Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.policy

2005-05 - 2005-06

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2005-05-01 through 2005-06-30

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.policy.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 4,127

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: It Sucks being Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 00:39:59 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <27b5b0a7b29907da35b967b0d458c169@userbeam.net>
Source record: 107231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <q5r7719i350tvcf72u9i23k3546d3ukv2t@4ax.com>
Lardass lloyd wrote:

> am proud of my HUD Section 8 apartment!

Notice how close the HUD officeis to the HUD apartments!
https://pic.hud.gov/pic/haprofiles/haprofilelist.asp
Records 1 to 1 of 1
HA Code HA Name HA City Program Type Low Rent Units
Activity status
AL067 ATHENS ATHENS Low-Rent 239 Active

Housing Authority: AL067 ATHENS
General HA Details
HA Program Type: Low-Rent
HA Fiscal Year End: 12/31
Last Update: 01/27/2005
HA Inventory Details
Low Rent Inventory Information
Status Developments Units
In Management 4 239
In Development 0 0
Total 4 239

fax: Fax Number: (256) 232 - 5430
Housing Authority: AL067 ATHENS
Address Information

Select Address Type: MailingPhysical

Address Line 1: 700 5TH Avenue
Address Line 2: Building J
City/Locality: ATHENS
County Name: LIMESTONE
State: AL
Zip Code: 35611 - 1565

Additional Address Information

Rural Route Code: Highway Contract Route Code: C004
County Code: 083 State Numeric Code: 01
Congressional District Code: 5 Locality Code: 2956
MSA Code: 3440 MCD Code: 90144
Census Tract Code: 020600 Block Code: 3
Centroid Match Code: Geo Match Code: 4
Entity Code: Class Code:

Latitude: 34.812366 Longitude: - 86.976378
Place Code: 02956

Callsign: N0VFP Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
Name: LLOYD A DAVIES
Addr1: 710 S CLINTON ST APT 202
Addr2: ATHENS, AL 35611
Country: USA
Effective: 11 Dec 2002 Expires: 26 Jan 2013
FRN: 0006069298 What's this?
FCC: ULS Listing
Lookups: 978
Update / Renew License

Coordinates: 34.796993 -86.968061
State: Alabama
County: Limestone
Grid: EM64mt
Area Code: 256
GMT Offset: -6
Time Zone: Central
Has DST?: Y
Birthday: 12 Sep 1973
Email: Show email address
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/n0vfp
QRZ Updated: 2003-03-17 14:43:08

2. Re: phil kane returns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 00:49:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <wMednbjFfcgRuunfRVn-1A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107232

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "Userbeam Remailer" <remailer@userbeam.net> wrote in message
> news:8d93cf2edcafceff2d12d13ba04222fa@userbeam.net...
>
>>phil kane returns to rrap
>>wonder how much hate he will spread
>>this time
>
>
>
> Phil has never spread any hate.
>
> I've seen a few folks try and slam a few good amateurs (Phil, Hans, and a
> few other come to mind), but generally I have seen that such folks that try
> and slam the good folks generally think they are quite the wit.
>
> I have found them only half right.

hehe, Good one, Jim.

What's the deal with the Phil bashers anyhow? I've never seen him be
anything but civil.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3. AB8MQ General Class Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 01:20:22 UTC
From: Two Test <NotRoger@TwoTest>
Message-ID: <d51atn$4sk$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107233
Cross-posted to:
alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Roger Wiseman Sex Test

1. A Clitoris is a flower you plant at the plaza. T_____F_____

2. A Pubic Hare is a wild rabbit. T_____F_____

3. A Vulva is a Swedish automobile. T_____F_____

4. A "Spread Eagle" is an extinct bird. T_____F_____

5. Integrity is a city in Pennsylvania. T_____F_____
5. A Fallopian Tube is part of a television. T_____F_____

6. A Wet Dream is what happens when you take a nap in a Thunderbird
with garbage bag windows. T_____F_____

7. Vagina is a medical term for heart trouble. T_____F_____

8. Fellatio is an Italian dagger. T_____F_____

9. A Menstrual Cycle has 3 wheels and is used by the meter maids.
T_____F_____

10. A G-string is part of a guitar. T_____F_____

11. Semen is a bunch of sailors. T_____F_____

12. Anus is a Latin word for your yearly vacation. T_____F_____

13. Testicles are found on an octopus. T_____F_____

14. Cunnilingus is a person who speaks more than one language.
T_____F_____

15. Asphalt describes rectal trouble. T_____F_____

16. KOTEX is a radio station in Texas. T_____F_____

17. Masturbate is used to catch big fish at Lake Acworth. T_____F_____

18. Coitus is a musical instrument made at Radio Shack. T_____F_____

19. Fetus was a character on Gunsmoke. T_____F_____

20. An Umbilical Cord is what you use to plug in the Christmas lights at
the plaza.T_____F_____

21. A Condom is an apartment that you buy. T_____F_____

22. When you miss a period, you can use a semicolon. T_____F_____

23. An Erection is when you vote for President Clinton. T_____F_____

24. A Premature Ejaculation is what your dad wishes he would have had. T_____F_____

25. An Orgasm is the person who plays the organ at a wedding. T_____F_____

26. A Penis is a flower that blooms in early Spring. T_____F_____

27. Uterus is a derogatory racial slur. T_____F_____

28. A semicolon is someone you call a half ass. T_____F_____

29. Axe is: A. What you use to settle a drug deal. B. When you have a question you____somebody. C. What your internet providers give you. D. All of the above, unnerstan?

30. What color are the white street police cars? _________

31. An STD is: A. A car made by Ford. B. A place you order flowers. C. Different time zone.

4. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 01:36:30 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <yoWce.1764$V01.478@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107234

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:HpUce.3196$GQ5.2735@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>>
>> This liability for the effects of one's "free speech" are a limit even
>> though it is not censorship.
>>
>
> There can be a PRICE for exercising free speech, but there is no LIMIT.
>
> "Congress shall make no law.... abridging the freedom of speech...."
> --Amendment I, US Constitution
>
> A limit is unconstitutional on it's face, and imposing a limit CAN put you
> in jail.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Yet we all know yelling "fire" in a theater is illegal and forbidden by law...yet no one has been able to overturn such a law as being unconstitutional. Other limits on "free speech" include a whole array of "hate crime" laws and other PC limitations on speech. As for anyone being put in jail for imposing a limit on speech??? Frankly, I have no idea how that would even possibly come about.

The constitution ONLY restricts government from imposing free speech limits. There is no such limitation on private entities imposing all kinds of speech limitations. Businesses do it all the time and fire employees for violating their business speech limitations. That's also why ISPs can take the action they do to ban people from their services if they so choose based on things they have said in newsgroups, email and/or on web sites. Happens all the time.

Just some additional things to consider...it isn't just a black and white issue. There's all sorts of grey areas in the realm of free speech limits.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

5. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 02:08:38 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200505.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <9161b34d7068cd62aa3066ed53927f36@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 107236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <J6Ece.1910$7F4.1363@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
robert casey <wa2...@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> >
> > BWHAHAHAHA..Lardass Davies who does shit himself on a daily
> > basis admits he eats it too.
>
> Eat shjt,

What's "shjt?"....do you mean, what you are, "SHIT?"

> it's organic..... ;-)

Speaking from personal experience?

Posting from Earthlink, I see, and using a netcom.com addy.

6. Re: New news service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 02:09:00 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <m5-dnZDD-lmjp-nfRVn-og@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107235

Ran the test today and everything worked as planned, I have heard that the toad was a bit concerned that the front ends of the radios might blow? No need to be concerned toad, they won't blow unless you blow them, but it doesn't take a rocket scientist to see that if both rigs are in transmit then the receiver sections are out of the picture, it wouldn't matter anyway as they are only being used as transmitters! Another concern was about malicious interference? Two problems here, the FCC isn't going to get involved with giving him a monitoring station for his own use, nor do they care what happens to his "shows" after the names he has called them. The other thing is that 3 khz above or below has been the accepted spacing in Amateur Radio.
Anyway, get those reports in to the toad as soon as possible and I'll start to record the ARRL bulletins tomorrow!

7. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 02:19:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <91Xce.2468$HL2.338@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107237

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

>
> Just some additional things to consider...it isn't just a black and
> white issue. There's all sorts of grey areas in the realm of free
> speech limits.
>

Hi Bill,

Long time, no see! Hope you're well.

Everything you've described is a PRICE, not a limit.

In accordance with the US Constitution I can say anything I want to say. The price I pay may be that I lose acess to a particular channel communications channel, but I am in no way limited in what I may say. The control freaks may persuade the likes of "Consolidated" to decline to carry Todd's words to us, but in no way can they prevent him from saying them. Unfortunately, rather than engage in an honest two-way dialog with someone with the grapes to identify himself, it is likely that Todd will become another of the many "Lloyd's" who infest rrap.

"Congress shall make no law.... abridging the freedom of speech...."
--Amendment I, US Constitution

I know that by pointing this out I stand in danger of the same treatment as accorded to Todd, but let Steve do "his best" as he earlier alluded in relation to a member of my family.

73, de Hans, K0HB

8. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 02:40:12 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <8a6be19e8a7bc86174379baa1b13875c@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 107238

In article <d50olg$1tu$0@pita.alt.net>
"Two Test" <NotRoger@TwoTest> wrote:

> Good one! Of course I am LMAO

And you certainly have quite the wide fat ass to laugh off,
Porky!

9. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 02:51:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GuXce.1900$BE3.770@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107239

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

>> A limit is unconstitutional on it's face, and imposing a limit CAN put you in
>> jail.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Yet we all know yelling "fire" in a theater is illegal and forbidden by
> law.

Abridging freedom of speech means denying a person the right to express their ideas. Yelling "fire" in a theater (when no fire exists) is not the expression of an idea, but rather an act calculated to cause panic. The legal sanction is not against stating the word "fire", but rather against "public endangerment".

I'm sure you can dig up all kinds of laws against "public endangerment", but I challenge you to find one specifically against yelling "fire".

"Congress shall make no law.... abridging the freedom of speech...."
--Amendment I, US Constitution

73, de Hans, K0HB

10. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 03:53:32 UTC
From: Schwartz <jewman@nospam>
Message-ID: <d51jru$m1g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107240

George Orwell wrote:
> In article <d50olg$1tu$0@pita.alt.net>
> "Two Test" <NotRoger@TwoTest> wrote:
>
>
>>Good one! Of course I am LMAO
>
>
> And you certainly have quite the wide fat ass to laugh off,
> Porky!
>

Roger is the laughingstock of Usenet.
Everybody laughs at (and pities) him.

The best part is that Mister Numbnuts is
too much of a dolt to see it.

No pride, no integrity, no common sense.
That is our Wogie....

11. Re: AB8MQ General Class Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 03:56:12 UTC
From: Schwartz <jewman@nospam>
Message-ID: <d51k0u$m1g$1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107241
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Two Test wrote:
> Roger Wiseman Sex Test
>
>
>
> 1. A Clitoris is a flower you plant at the plaza. T_____F_____
>
> 2. A Pubic Hare is a wild rabbit. T_____F_____
>
> 3. A Vulva is a Swedish automobile. T_____F_____
>
> 4. A "Spread Eagle" is an extinct bird. T_____F_____
>
> 5. Integrity is a city in Pennsylvania. T_____F_____
> 5. A Fallopian Tube is part of a television. T_____F_____
>
> 6. A Wet Dream is what happens when you take a nap in a Thunderbird
> with garbage bag windows. T_____F_____
>
> 7. Vagina is a medical term for heart trouble. T_____F_____
>
> 8. Fellatio is an Italian dagger. T_____F_____
>
> 9. A Menstrual Cycle has 3 wheels and is used by the meter maids.
> T_____F_____
>
> 10. A G-string is part of a guitar. T_____F_____
>
> 11. Semen is a bunch of sailors. T_____F_____
>
> 12. Anus is a Latin word for your yearly vacation. T_____F_____
>
> 13. Testicles are found on an octopus. T_____F_____
>
> 14. Cunnilingus is a person who speaks more than one language.
> T_____F_____
>
> 15. Asphalt describes rectal trouble. T_____F_____
>
> 16. KOTEX is a radio station in Texas. T_____F_____
>
> 17. Masturbate is used to catch big fish at Lake Acworth. T_____F_____
>

> 18. Coitus is a musical instrument made at Radio Shack. T_____F_____
>
> 19. Fetus was a character on Gunsmoke. T_____F_____
>
> 20. An Umbilical Cord is what you use to plug in the Christmas lights at
> the plaza.T_____F_____
>
> 21. A Condom is an apartment that you buy. T_____F_____
>
> 22. When you miss a period, you can use a semicolon. T_____F_____
>
> 23. An Erection is when you vote for President Clinton. T_____F_____
>
> 24. A Premature Ejaculation is what your dad wishes he would have had.
> T_____F_____
>
> 25. An Orgasm is the person who plays the organ at a wedding. T_____F_____
>
> 26. A Penis is a flower that blooms in early Spring. T_____F_____
>
> 27. Uterus is a derogatory racial slur. T_____F_____
>
> 28. A semicolon is someone you call a half ass. T_____F_____
>
> 29. Axe is: A. What you use to settle a drug deal. B. When you have a
> question you____somebody. C. What your internet providers give you. D.
> All of the above, unnerstan?
>
> 30. What color are the white street police cars? _________
>
> 31. An STD is: A. A car made by Ford. B. A place you order flowers. C.
> Different time zone.

AB8MQ will need some help with this one...

12. Re: AB8MQ General Class Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 06:01:55 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <nh_ce.2397$7F4.2197@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107243
Cross-posted to:
alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
>
> 16. KOTEX is a radio station in Texas. T_____F_____
>

Looks like K0TEX is (or will be) available as a vanity
call for any qualified fool that might want it. ;-)
```

13. Vic and Lib Nuts at it again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 06:02:11 UTC
From: Liberty Nutcase <VicMyHero@mentalcase>
Message-ID: <d51rd5dib0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107242

Listen to 3950 as Vic and his fellow conspiracy theorists go on and on and on.

This bunch of misfits could easily pass for the Cartoon Network. They are at least consistent...they don't like anybody.

14. Re: AB8MQ General Class Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 07:25:16 UTC
From: Lloyd 2 <NotRoger@NoForeskin>
Message-ID: <d5209l$o76$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107244
Cross-posted to:
alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:nh_ce.2397$7F4.2197@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

>
> 16. KOTEX is a radio station in Texas. T_____F_____
>

Looks like K0TEX is (or will be) available as a vanity
call for any qualified fool that might want it. ;-)

>

Roger should be looking into that since he is on a perpetual "rag".
AB8MQ is, after all, a "qualified fool".

15. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 12:01:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114948869.646499.16180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107245

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > There is a very painful and delicate balance between the lattitude
> > permitted by what we call "free speech" and where your "right" to
be
> > abusive in public stops.
> >
>
> "As it is an ancient truth that freedom cannot be legislated into
existence, so
> it is no less obvious that freedom cannot be censored into
existence."
> -- Dwight David Eisenhower (1890-1969), 34th US President
>
> "Our liberty depends on the freedom of speech and that cannot be
limited without
> being lost."
> -- Thomas Jefferson (1743-1826), 3rd US President

I seriously doubt that Thomas Jefferson or ANY of the Founding
Fathers could have envisioned a society where thier cherished
principles would be so abused and misused as these.

Please, Hans...Show me SOME really GOOD reason why it's necessary
to allow the kind of conduct that Todd et al would shove down our
throats under the guise of "Freedom of Speech".

An entire nation was created without so much as one "MF", "GD" or
other profanity having made it into print or recorded as having been
said in any public forum.

Nor was it necessary for such "speech" to be recorded in history
duing the Civil War, the "War to End All Wars" or the Second World War.
We managed to save "democracy" from the Nazis, Communists and Facists
without worrying about whose civil rights we might be violating by not
allowing them to call someone a

> The only valid limitation of free speech under our Constitution is
your
> individual right not to listen.

There is no truly "free" society, Hans. "We" as a society decide
what standards we consider to be necessary for that society to be
judged a worthy entity.

There are valid limitations on "freedom of speech", Hans, and it will be an ever-evolving concept.

I for one do not believe there's a single good reason to allow the kind of profanity that spews forth from Taylorville, Illinois, to be allowed in ANY forum.

Steve, K4YZ

16. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 12:03:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114949019.153763.26770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107246

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > Life's a lot cozier when you set yourself off from it.
>
> If you say so, Steve.
>
> Personally I live life and pursue freedom to the fullest. Sometimes
it isn't
> cozy, but that's the price of liberty. If you want "cozy" you'll
have to
> sacrifice your freedoms --- I'm not into that kind of sacrifice, and
so far our
> beloved Constitution hasn't been repealed.
>
> "Congress shall make no law.... abridging the freedom of speech...."
> --Amendment I, US Constitution

Nice quote, if itself not abridged!

The First Amendment says that CONGRESS shall make no law. Society
can set it's own standards, however.

Steve, K4YZ

17. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 12:07:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114949226.360485.312600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107247

KØHB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> >
> > This liability for the effects of one's "free speech" are a limit
even though
> > it is not censorship.
> >
>
> There can be a PRICE for exercising free speech, but there is no
LIMIT.
>
> "Congress shall make no law.... abridging the freedom of speech...."
> --Amendment I, US Constitution
>
> A limit is unconstitutional on it's face, and imposing a limit CAN
put you in
> jail.

And the very Constitution you quote, Hans, is a man made document
subject to the "Ammendments" made by those same men (and now women...).
It needs a re-vamping.

Steve, K4YZ

18. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 12:11:23 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ToWdnYMLi_odWunfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 107248

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:91Xce.2468$HL2.338@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Just some additional things to consider...it isn't just a black and
>> white issue. There's all sorts of grey areas in the realm of free
>> speech limits.
>>
>
> Hi Bill,
>
> Long time, no see! Hope you're well.
>
> Everything you've described is a PRICE, not a limit.
>

In any practical sense a PRICE is a limit.

> In accordance with the US Constitution I can say anything I want to say.
> The price I pay may be that I lose acess to a particular channel
> communications channel, but I am in no way limited in what I may say. The
> control freaks may persuade the likes of "Consolidated" to decline to
> carry Todd's words to us, but in no way can they prevent him from saying
> them. Unfortunately, rather than engage in an honest two-way dialog with
> someone with the grapes to identify himself, it is likely that Todd will
> become another of the many "Lloyd's" who infest rrap.
>

Actually not only can anyone say anything anywhere anyway they want to if
they are willing to pay the price but they can also DO anything they want to
anywhere anytime if they are willing to pay the PRICE. In some cases that
is codified into law and in others it is not.

Todd has demonstrated that he is not capable of maintaining an honest
two-way dialog. At some point, especially when he is proven wrong, he goes
ballistic, stooping to behavior that is unbelievable in anyone.

> "Congress shall make no law.... abridging the freedom of speech...."
> --Amendment I, US Constitution
>

Do you really think the framers of the Constitution meant anyone could say
anything at anytime? They wished to protect the publication and
dissemination of truth so that the citizenry could make informed decisions.
It's doubtful that they would have crafted this amendment for any other

reasons.

You have split hairs in another post about falsely yelling fire in a crowded theater. That it is prosecuted under laws about public endangerment. But that IS a limit on what a person can say or do. False distress signals are also illegal. That too is a limit on when we can say what.

> I know that by pointing this out I stand in danger of the same treatment
> as accorded to Todd, but let Steve do "his best" as he earlier alluded in
> relation to a member of my family.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Unless you stoop to making insulting comments about people's deceased children, extreme use of foul language, and the other antics that Todd has demonstrated, it is unlikely that you would be treated the same as he is.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

19. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 12:22:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1114950175.183827.113950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107249

These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
by individuals on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of April 30, 2005:

Novice - 28,604 (decrease of 20,725)
Technician - 268,116 (increase of 62,722)
Technician Plus - 49,987 (decrease of 78,873)
General - 136,783 (increase of 24,106)
Advanced - 76,410 (decrease of 23,372)
Extra - 106,577 (increase of 27,827)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,103 (decrease of 16,151)

Total all classes - 666,477 (decrease of 8,315)

Note that these totals do not include licenses that
have expired but are in the grace period. They also
do not include club, military, RACES or other
station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new Novice, Technician Plus or
Advanced licenses are no longer issued, and that all existing
Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

20. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 12:22:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114950179.799828.40230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107250

KØHB wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Just some additional things to consider...it isn't just a black and
> > white issue. There's all sorts of grey areas in the realm of free
> > speech limits.
> >
>
> Hi Bill,
>
> Long time, no see! Hope you're well.
>
> Everything you've described is a PRICE, not a limit.

Sure it's a limit, Hans.

Know why there's no Hummer in my driveway? Becasue it costs over
$60,000 to get one equipped the way I'd like it.

If the price was half that, I'd have one.

Seems like a limit to me.

You can argue the language all day long, Hans, but whether it's a
line in a lawbook saying "Thou shalt not..." or the threat of monetary
forfiture or imprisonment, there ARE limits.

You can use your same "argument" to say that there is no "limit"
to commiting murder or bank robbbery.

> In accordance with the US Constitution I can say anything I want to
say. The
> price I pay may be that I lose acess to a particular channel
communications
> channel, but I am in no way limited in what I may say.

Stand up in a populated room and say "I am going to kill the
President" and see how far your "limit" on what you THINK you can say
goes.

> The control freaks may
> persuade the likes of "Consolidated" to decline to carry Todd's words
to us, but
> in no way can they prevent him from saying them.

You try to set your own limits on what others say, Hans, by applying your own labels, ie: "control freaks", with the intention that this will cause them to change what they say to avoid your labelling. You are trying to set your own limits.

You are very much the same "control freak" that you accuse others of being.

> Unfortunately, rather than
> engage in an honest two-way dialog with someone with the grapes to identify
> himself, it is likely that Todd will become another of the many "Lloyd's" who
> infest rrap.

Yes, Todd "identified" himself, and as you say, he had "the grapes" to do it.

Todd's issues are not with signing his name. They are with his lack of civility and his failure to recognize that his behaviour, both in this forum and on the air, are not welcomed.

> "Congress shall make no law.... abridging the freedom of speech...."
> --Amendment I, US Constitution
>
> I know that by pointing this out I stand in danger of the same treatment as
> accorded to Todd, but let Steve do "his best" as he earlier alluded in relation
> to a member of my family.

I offered you a sincere "best wishes", Hans, and as usual you tried to turn it into something else. I have, in the past, refered to you as "Lennie with a License", and that is exactly why.

As Bill aptly pointed out, the First Ammendment restricts the government from arbitrarily making those laws.

NOTHING in the Constitution says that it can't be ammended if the citizens it governs so choose to do so. Perhaps it's time.

Steve, K4YZ

21. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 12:42:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114951339.357555.184710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107251

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Fri,Apr 29 2005 9:54 pm

> > I've never seen anyone ask about rear area Army radio stations
in
> >the 50's, Lennie, but you sure do let us know about it.
>
> Someone has to do it...poor Stevie NEVER did anything
> close to such HF communications...as an amateur or
> anything else.

Neither did you, Lennie.

You were a readio mechanic. Period. You were not a message
center clerk...You were not responsible for determining operating
frequencies, modes, etc.

If the box broke, you fixed it. Well done, but that doesn't make
you a radio OPERATOR.

> Stevie fails to get the point: A half century ago,
> the MAJOR message load throughout the U.S. military
> was by teleprinter, NOT morse code. Way higher than
> 90% of ALL message "traffic" in the military. A HALF
> CENTURY AGO.

Sure I get your point, Lennie...Here's a few for you:

(1) This forum is about Amateur Radio in the 21st century...Not
Army communications in the 1950's.

(2) YOU are the only one arguing about Morse Code-vs-teleprinter
traffic, Lennie. The rest of us moved on YEARS ago.

(3) You are still not licensed in nor have any practical
experience in AMATEUR RADIO communications. You continue to make
significant errors on matters of Amateur policy AND practice.

> > Your INITIAL claim, before everyone with a grade school
> mathematics
> >education put the numbers back in your face was that YOU were
> >responsible for this feat.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. You still don't understand what the
> word APPROXIMATION means.

Sure I do.

And no matter HOW you "APPROXIMATE" the numbers, all you were was a radio mechanic. Sure, your efficiency in keeping the transmitters on the air was important to the overall mission of ADA. But YOU were NOT responsible for sending a single one of those messages...

Not a one!

Huge snip of Lennie's rationalization for having made a stupid assertion and even more stupid tap dance around it...SNIPPED.

It hasn't worked in the years since you uttered it, it doesn't work today.

> Robeson CANNOT acknowledge that some have much
> more experience IN radio communications than
> he ever had.

But you have NEVER had experience IN "radio communications", Lennie...THAT is by your OWN hand per your CV.

You have always been a black box technician...NEVER a radio OPERATOR! Oh...yes...You pushed a button once that caused a transponder on the mood to beep back at you...Almost as exciting as pusing the car door remote.

On the otherhand, I have had over three DECADES of experience in the very programs which YOU purport to be knowldegeable of.

> Rather than find out anything of
> the various communications systems or organizations
> he winds up doing PERSONAL INSULTS in lieu of
> discussion on a SUBJECT.

Old dead horse dragged out and re-subjected to yet another whipping.

You are SO guilty of the same conduct, Lennie. Had you not STARTED it, perhaps we'd be carrying on a different conversation today.

> That's Robeson's
> sickness demonstrated in here against all of his
> opponents.

Untrue, but then you're not one to do well with facts.

> Robeson is the role model of today's amateur
> extra?

No more or no less than you are the role model of today's electronics engineer.

Steve, K4YZ

22. Re: New news service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 13:09:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114952975.574417.219760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107252

KC8GXW wrote:
> Ran the test today and everything worked as planned, I have heard
that
> the toad was a bit concerned that the front ends of the radios might
> blow? No need to be concerned toad, they won't blow unless you blow
> them, but it doesn't take a rocket scientist to see that if both rigs

> are in transmit then the receiver sections are out of the picture, it

> wouldn't matter anyway as they are only being used as transmitters!

Doesn't matter, Jim...Just your chain-jerking scared him off the
air!

QUOTE:

Sunday, May 01, 2005
NEWS
NEWS
FIRST THE SHOW WAS CANCELLED TODAY DUE TO ME BEING REALLY ILL. SECONDLY
THAT #####ING ###HOLE GIRLFRIEND OF STEVE'S KC8GXW IS THREATING ON THE
NEWSGROUP TO CAUSE INTERFERENCE WITH MY INFORMATION BULLETIN HERE IS
HIS MESSAGES PROVEN HIS THREATING TO CAUSE INTERFERENCE

I think you should get an award for conservation...for helping to
keep the trash off the air!

I wonder how many friends he thinks he's going to make by
transmitting his "shows" on 20 meters...It's one of the most crowded
bands already, and his one-way egocasts would only serve to torque-off
even more people than would ever give him grief on RRAP!

73

Steve, K4YZ

23. CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 13:16:45 UTC
From: ex-Ham <anon@exhamnbeans.net>
Message-ID: <d52kp1$5tm$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
> out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
> to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
> useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
> find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
> America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
> much of the U.S. at many times of day.

24. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 13:18:31 UTC
From: ex-Ham <anon@exhamnbeans.net>
Message-ID: <d52ksa$659$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only talk
> to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time with the
> Ham BS, like in our area a person ( the only one in his area ) tried to
> report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it to
> their weather school, they would not listen.
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
>> Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
>> We already have one location where radio can be used "properly", the
>> amateur
>> bands.
>> By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins hands
>> down!
>> Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur bands (me
>> included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop using the
>> radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of the
>> radio
>> as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along here--go
>> use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them have
>> what
>> makes 'em happy!!!
>> Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous and
>> get
>> in petty quibbles with the natives....
>>
>> Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>>
>
>
```

25. Re: Another BrainRant Laid To Rest. (With New Questions To Be Answered)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 13:19:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114953573.041456.323320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107255

K4YZ wrote:

> Now...About this assertion of yours that ARES won't respond and
is
> "overblown"...?!?!

Still waiting for an answer to that one, Brian...

And while your figuring out how you're going to dance around the initial question and the three examples I provided that DISproved your original assertion, here's yet another one:

QUOTE:

Radio Amateurs, ARES Group among NOAA's 2005 "Environmental Heroes" (Apr 28, 2005) -- The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) has recognized four Amateur Radio operators and an Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) group among its list of 2005 Environmental Heroes. Given in conjunction with Earth Day celebrations, Environmental Hero awards honor NOAA volunteers for their tireless efforts to preserve and protect the nation's environment.

UNQUOTE.

It just keeps getting better and better.....

Steve, K4YZ

26. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 13:24:08 UTC
From: ex-Ham <anon@exhamnbeans.net>
Message-ID: <d52l6r$717$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Several years ago I observed a ham attempt to enter a CB radio
report of a tornado touch down into a ham net. The hams would not
accept the report, because it was not in proper "format," the observer
had not been "trained," and the observer did not have a ham call
sign. While the hams continued their petty nit picking, the tornado
touched down. This is the mentality of ham radio today.

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:n9Xce.269$oD5.9516167@news.sisna.com...
> I, for one, accept this as valid and real... are hams even aware of its'
> existance? I wonder...
>
> Regards,
> John
>
> "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> | In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only talk
> to
> | Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time with the
> Ham
> | BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area) tried to
> report
> a
> | bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it to their
> weather
> | school, they would not listen.
> |
> |
> |
> | "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> | news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
> | > Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
> | > We already have one location where radio can be used "properly", the
> | > amateur
> | > bands.
> | > By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins hands
> down!
> | > Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur bands
> (me
> | > included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop using
> the
> | > radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of the

> | > radio
> | > as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along
> here--go
> | > use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them
> have
> | > what
> | > makes 'em happy!!!
> | > Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous and
> get
> | > in petty quibbles with the natives....
> | >
> | > Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
> | >
> | > Warmest regards,
> | > John
> | >
> | >
> |
> |
>
>

27. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 13:32:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114954344.398919.32050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ex-Ham wrote:
> "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only
talk
> > to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
with the
> > Ham BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area)
tried to
> > report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it
to
> > their weather school, they would not listen.

Nice try.

Hams only talk to Hams since no one else should be on an Amateur allocation. ANYone, whether they have an Amateur license or not, is a "CBer" if they are using a CB radio.

"Emergency communications" is NOT about how many people you can talk to...It's about conducting disaster-mitigating operations in an efficient and timely manner...whether that is on Amateur, commercial, military, etc. CB radio is NOT conducive to efficiency OR timeliness.

And ego's-be-danged...CB radio (11 meters, anyway...) is NOT the medium of choice of ANY disaster mitigation agency in the United States. Even REACT has abandoned 11 meters in favor of GMRS at 462/467MHZ FM.

> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
> >> Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
> >> We already have one location where radio can be used "properly",
the
> >> amateur
> >> bands.
> >> By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins
hands
> >> down!
> >> Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur
bands (me
> >> included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop
using the
> >> radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of
the

> >> radio
> >> as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along
here--go
> >> use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them
have
> >> what
> >> makes 'em happy!!!
> >> Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous
and
> >> get
> >> in petty quibbles with the natives....
> >>
> >> Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!

There's also a very real demand for prophylactics, Game-boy's and
Penthouse magazines...but they are of no use in emergency
communications either!

CB radio serves a purpose. I have one and I use it on occassion.
But it has long ago passed any expectation of being a viable means of
emergency communications. As I said...even REACT has abandoned it.

Steve, K4YZ

28. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 13:48:35 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9649634ECB032elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 107258

Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au> wrote in
news:KZTQRSZB38473.2427546296@reece.net.au:

> In article <d50ne7$v7t$0@pita.alt.net>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote:
>
>> He claims he did.
>
> Funny how the FCC license databse says he did, as well.
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..You lose, FUCK UP!
>
> http://www.arrl.org/fcc/fcclook.php3?call=ab8mq&x=6&y=6
>
>>The only (IKYABWAI)
>
> Brush those rotten green and black teeth more than once every
> two months, Porky, you won't have to go so often to the dentist
> and have them pulled out.
>
>
>

Why not link to the real FCC database?

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2386195

29. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 15:02:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zqidndj4o8UAcunfRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107259

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
>
>>Just some additional things to consider...it isn't just a black and
>>white issue. There's all sorts of grey areas in the realm of free
>>speech limits.
>>
>
>
> Hi Bill,
>
> Long time, no see! Hope you're well.
>
> Everything you've described is a PRICE, not a limit.
>
> In accordance with the US Constitution I can say anything I want to say. The
> price I pay may be that I lose acess to a particular channel communications
> channel, but I am in no way limited in what I may say.

By that definition, Free Speech exists everywhere. In some places the
price can be very very high, tho' 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

30. K1MAN GETS HIS OWN BLOG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 15:11:49 UTC
From: Baxter Blows <hamsandwich@myway.com>
Message-ID: <1114960309.947636.35630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107260

After reading about this asshole here, on newsline, in ARRL news, and on QRZ, and after listening to this lying fat fuck for years, it's time he had his own blog!

http://k1manthefool.blogspot.com/

Fair Warning: Stupid posts from assholes and sodomites like Roger Weissman, Todd McDaugherty, Llllloyd, and their various idiot personalities will be deleted

Posts can be be strictly anonymous (just don't sign your name)

No ip addresses will be harvested or revealed

No sign up necessary, just come and post your thoughts

Have fun!

http://k1manthefool.blogspot.com/

31. Re: kb3eia cry baby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 15:12:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <uYadnYfvrJJtbOnfRVn-1A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107261

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:

> aa2qa and kb3eia whine whine whine
>
> rrap cry babies

Wow! My first Italy Anonymous Remailer post.

Mentre posso essere un bambino gridante, siete un vigliacco.

- Mike KB3EIA -

32. Re: rrap cry babies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 15:20:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <GeWdnSUH8qIrbunfRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107262

Userbeam Remailer wrote:

> aa2qa and kb3eia whine whine whine
> rrap cry babies
>

And my first Userbeam email too!

Wï¿½hrend ich das Baby sein kann, das schreit, sind Sie ein Feigling.

- Mike KB3EIA -

33. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 15:41:12 UTC
From: Cory Mason <cory_mason@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115156837.d55d3bedbbe131403664befb758a5abb@bubbanews>
Source record: 107393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 01:30:06 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Anyone know any of these guys? Is it just possible the hams are playing you
>all for fools, planting rumors to titillate you?
>A dog chasing its own tail is a funny sight...
>
>Regards,
>John
>

John, Why do you have so much hatred for hams?

Regards,
Cory

34. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 15:44:43 UTC
From: Cory Mason <cory_mason@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115157052.8a0d0568bdb0963a09fb4ec5354cfbfc@bubbanews>
Source record: 107394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 13:27:50 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Facts are...
>
>
>
>There are millions of CB Radios out there. Some of these radios are in
>attics, basements and storage sheds--waiting and forgotten.
>
>The hams picture themselves as the source of ALL communications (well, along
>with gov't) in the event of a major catastrophe. This is quite obliviously
>ridiculous. It will be truckers and 4-wheelers out on the road, with their
>radios, in vast numbers, which will first begin to set up communications.
>They will be providing information and aiding in re-routing traffic around
>hazardous, dangerous and questionable areas the hams will be monitoring
>their communications picking up important information as it is happening.
>CB radios will be supplying hundreds or even thousands (tens-of-thousands,
>hundreds-of-thousands?) as the few hams with their hobby radios.
>
>Truckers are living in the real world, their radios are used in real world
>situations--reporting accidents, traffic conditions, emergencies and in
>conduction commerce--they WILL be the most valuable communication
>lines--their training has been continuous and ongoing....
>
>Freebanders and CB'ers will shine in the event of such an event--let us all
>hope it never happens...
>
>But, don't hang your head in shame as the hams would have it; hold it high,
>you are clearly head-and-shoulders in the defense, protection and
>communications of this great country!
>
>Hopefully, either the hams have CB equipment--or can quickly modify their
>equipment and do a quick catch-up!!! <grin>
>
>
>
>While debate and argument are good things and will help make it common
>knowledge that the hams have their heads up their A$$'s--the facts stated
>above are simply too obivious to argue. However, if/when you do run into
>hams which cannot face the real world--perhaps it would be a kindness to
>suggest a three-day observation in their local mental health facility....
>

>
>
>Regards,
>John
>

John, What is your problem with hams?

Regards
Cory

35. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 15:49:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yU6de.2614$HL2.345@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107263

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> There are valid limitations on "freedom of speech", Hans, and it
> will be an ever-evolving concept.

As much as I dislike the potty-mouth antics of Todd, he (and all citizens) are protected by rights laid out in Ammendment I of the Constitution.

Until you repeal that Ammendment (pack a lunch, it'll be a long job) the only limitation you can place on my Freedom of Speech is your right not to listen. Feel free to start any time.

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

36. Re: rrap cry babies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 15:51:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114962703.934911.189470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107264

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Userbeam Remailer wrote:
>
> > aa2qa and kb3eia whine whine whine
> > rrap cry babies
> >
>
> And my first Userbeam email too!
>
> Während ich das Baby sein kann, das schreit, sind Sie ein Feigling.

I was gonna say that this guy is about as German as the Pope, but
DANG! the new Pope IS German...

What did you do to get these anonymous cowards railing on YOU,
Mike...?!?! You and Jim Hampton are two of the coolest heads on the
group! Maybe that's what torques their bolts...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

37. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 15:53:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yX6de.2298$BE3.649@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107265

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> And the very Constitution you quote, Hans, is a man made document
> subject to the "Ammendments" made by those same men (and now
> women...). It needs a re-vamping.

There are mechanisms in place for citizens to work toward repeal of the 1st Ammendment, Steve. Go to work on that. In the meantime, accept it as the law of the land.

Hans, K0HB

38. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 15:55:54 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <e_6de.3454$GQ5.2880@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107266

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> NOTHING in the Constitution says that it can't be
> ammended if the citizens it governs so choose to
> do so.

Absolutely true, Steve. Go to work on that.

In the meantime, Ammendment I is the law of the land, regardless of how distasteful you find it.

de Hans, K0HB

39. TA2/JR7HAN on 14013

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 15:59:19 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <y17de.18363$V02.4200@fe08.lga>
Source record: 107267

...callin' CQ and takin' names!

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

40. Re: kb3eia cry baby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 16:02:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114961997.574626.143360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107268

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>
> > aa2qa and kb3eia whine whine whine
> >
> > rrap cry babies
>
> Wow! My first Italy Anonymous Remailer post.
>
> Mentre posso essere un bambino gridante, siete un vigliacco.

Mike...I am willing to bet your "fan" is about as Italian as the
Pope is!

73

Steve, K4YZ

41. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 16:21:07 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115159233.aa2716f4d1b1703d32d7e3049fcc45de@bubbanews>
Source record: 107452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 03 May 2005 17:05:11 -0400, KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
wrote:

>
>
>John Smith wrote:
>> CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have them!!!
>> We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
>> mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to the
>> general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
>> The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
>>
>> Think MAN!!! Think!
>>
>> Regards,
>> John
>>
>YEP, you'll be right out there in the neighborhoods with the looters and
>thief's, who will in turn kick your butt, steal your car and radio!
>Goto toads page and leave him a message, he builds all his equipment and
>he hates everybody, hams and cb'ers!
>http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

but they will have them thar cb radios to find out the good loot to
steal .

4-10 good buddy

42. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 16:53:44 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <sQ7de.2182$pe3.1771@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107269

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:91Xce.2468$HL2.338@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>> Just some additional things to consider...it isn't just a black and
>> white issue. There's all sorts of grey areas in the realm of free
>> speech limits.
>>
>
> Hi Bill,
>
> Long time, no see! Hope you're well.

Doing fine...thanks.

> Everything you've described is a PRICE, not a limit.

I think we'll just have to agree to disagree on that. In order
to even determine if a "price" can be extracted from someone, the limit
must be there in law of some form. Slander and liabel are both
clearly defined in the law and that defines the limit. If I use
your logic, then muder, theft, etc are merely things that if done
have a price (jail, etc) yet they are clearly prohibited by law...as
is slander, liable, certain pornography, etc.... IMHO

> In accordance with the US Constitution I can say anything I want to say.
> The price I pay may be that I lose acess to a particular channel
> communications channel, but I am in no way limited in what I may say. The
> control freaks may persuade the likes of "Consolidated" to decline to
> carry Todd's words to us, but in no way can they prevent him from saying
> them. Unfortunately, rather than engage in an honest two-way dialog with
> someone with the grapes to identify himself, it is likely that Todd will
> become another of the many "Lloyd's" who infest rrap.
>
> "Congress shall make no law.... abridging the freedom of speech...."
> --Amendment I, US Constitution

Yet there are many laws that do exactly that. Laws against disclosure
of secret information, slander, liabel, etc

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

43. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 17:02:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GY7de.2631$HL2.861@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107271

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Stand up in a populated room and say "I am going to kill
> the President" and see how far your "limit" on what you
> THINK you can say goes.

Nice Lennie-esque attempt at misdirection-by-outrageous-exaggeration, Steve. Todd, however distasteful his language, did not threaten the life of the President. He simply argued (in horribly crude language, and mostly without logical basis) that his rights for free speech on ham radio were threatened.

You don't agree with his assertions, and neither do I (see we >>CAN<< agree on something) but it's spookily ironic that your response was behaviour which reinforced his contention, by suppressing his expression of ideas!

"Our liberty depends on the freedom of speech and that cannot be limited without being lost."
-- Thomas Jefferson (1743-1826), 3rd US President

de Hans, K0HB

44. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 17:02:32 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <IY7de.2189$pe3.1238@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, that may be the mentality of a particular ham or one group of hams, but
it isn't every ham. There are idiots in any large group of people.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

"ex-Ham" <anon@exhamnbeans.net> wrote in message
news:d52l6r$717$0@pita.alt.net...
> Several years ago I observed a ham attempt to enter a CB radio
> report of a tornado touch down into a ham net. The hams would not
> accept the report, because it was not in proper "format," the observer
> had not been "trained," and the observer did not have a ham call
> sign. While the hams continued their petty nit picking, the tornado
> touched down. This is the mentality of ham radio today.

45. Re: New news service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 17:09:23 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <sqSdnQXVpKLZkOjfRVn-hQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107272

K4YZ wrote:

> KC8GXW wrote:
>
>>Ran the test today and everything worked as planned, I have heard
>
> that
>
>>the toad was a bit concerned that the front ends of the radios might
>>blow? No need to be concerned toad, they won't blow unless you blow
>>them, but it doesn't take a rocket scientist to see that if both rigs
>
>
>>are in transmit then the receiver sections are out of the picture, it
>
>
>>wouldn't matter anyway as they are only being used as transmitters!
>
>
> Doesn't matter, Jim...Just your chain-jerking scared him off the
> air!
>
> QUOTE:
>
> Sunday, May 01, 2005
> NEWS
> NEWS
> FIRST THE SHOW WAS CANCELLED TODAY DUE TO ME BEING REALLY ILL. SECONDLY
> THAT ####ING ###HOLE GIRLFRIEND OF STEVE'S KC8GXW IS THREATING ON THE
> NEWSGROUP TO CAUSE INTERFERENCE WITH MY INFORMATION BULLETIN HERE IS
> HIS MESSAGES PROVEN HIS THREATING TO CAUSE INTERFERENCE
>
> I think you should get an award for conservation...for helping to
> keep the trash off the air!
>
> I wonder how many friends he thinks he's going to make by
> transmitting his "shows" on 20 meters...It's one of the most crowded
> bands already, and his one-way egocasts would only serve to torque-off
> even more people than would ever give him grief on RRAP!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

He posted what I said here? OK TOAD, from now until eternity all my post on RRAP are copyright material and are not to be posted on your blank blank blank blog! :)

46. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 17:18:41 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <JcOdnaXPutbvkujfRVn-qw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ex-Ham wrote:

> Several years ago I observed a ham attempt to enter a CB radio
> report of a tornado touch down into a ham net. The hams would not
> accept the report, because it was not in proper "format," the observer
> had not been "trained," and the observer did not have a ham call
> sign. While the hams continued their petty nit picking, the tornado
> touched down. This is the mentality of ham radio today.

You say that you "observed a ham attempt to enter a CB radio report
of a tornado touch down into a ham net."
Then you say "and the observer did not have a ham call sign."

A ham without a callsign?

> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:n9Xce.269$oD5.9516167@news.sisna.com...
>
>>I, for one, accept this as valid and real... are hams even aware of its'
>>existance? I wonder...
>>
>>Regards,
>>John
>>
>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>>| In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only talk
>>to
>>| Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time with the
>>Ham
>>| BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area) tried to
>>report
>>a
>>| bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it to their
>>weather
>>| school, they would not listen.
>>|
>>|
>>|
>>| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>| news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
>>| > Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
>>| > We already have one location where radio can be used "properly", the
>>| > amateur

>>| > bands.
>>| > By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins hands
>>down!
>>| > Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur bands
>>(me
>>| > included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop using
>>the
>>| > radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of the
>>| > radio
>>| > as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along
>>here--go
>>| > use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them
>>have
>>| > what
>>| > makes 'em happy!!!
>>| > Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous and
>>get
>>| > in petty quibbles with the natives....
>>| >
>>| > Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
>>| >
>>| > Warmest regards,
>>| > John
>>| >
>>| >
>>|
>>|
>>
>>
>
>
>

47. Two-faced Nursie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 17:29:46 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <em8de.3029$V01.2560@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107274

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114952975.574417.219760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

:
: QUOTE:
:
: Sunday, May 01, 2005
: NEWS
: NEWS
: FIRST THE SHOW WAS CANCELLED TODAY DUE TO ME BEING REALLY ILL. SECONDLY
: THAT #####ING ###HOLE GIRLFRIEND OF STEVE'S KC8GXW IS THREATING ON THE
: NEWSGROUP TO CAUSE INTERFERENCE WITH MY INFORMATION BULLETIN HERE IS
: HIS MESSAGES PROVEN HIS THREATING TO CAUSE INTERFERENCE
:

On one hand (face) the Nursie pontificates selfrighteously about Toads
filthy mouth on rrap, and on the other hand (face) the Nursie imports Toads
filthy mouth to rrap from outside! Priceless!

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

48. Re: Wiseman's long haired photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 17:33:40 UTC
From: Lloyd 2 <NotRoger@NoForeskin>
Message-ID: <d533tnarq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107275

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:8708817833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
On 30 Apr 2005 15:35:24 -0700, lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com <Secwet Woger>
wrote:
> In article <87309017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
> "Lloyd" Secret Lardass<l...@no.spammmmm> wrote:
> >
> > On 30 Apr 2005 21:02:18 -0000, Anonymous <Secwet Woger> wrote:
>
> Showing off your cocksucking lisp again? Keep guessing, Porky!
>
> > > Oh, did you have somebody read it TO you?
> > >
> > > > After (IKYABWAI)
> > >
> > > Says the bald, obese chickenshit who posts through Altopia, Oh,
> > > the IRONY!
> >
> > Says the long-haired, greasy,
>
> Roger doesn't have long hair, Porky. You lose again.
> And what is the thing you have with grease? Did it start with your
> drunken abusive daddy coming home drunk and sodomizing you? He greased
> you up like a pig, didn't he?

Here's Roger's 2003 photo. Judge for yourself if he doesn't have long
hair and a greasy, pockmarked face. Roger posted that photo in the
Yahoo single's groups, Schwartz found it, and then Roger immediately
yanked everything off Yahoo within a few hours.

Enjoy.

http://img60.echo.cx/img60/4032/ab8mq08031md.jpg

=======
"If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14

He might be able to help matters by shaving and shampooing, but he sure as
heck ain't gonna fix UGLY!

No wonder he's single!

<derisive laughter stifled>

49. Re: rrap cry babies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 17:44:35 UTC
From: Lloyd 2 <NotRoger@NoForeskin>
Message-ID: <d534ia$ce4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107276

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114962703.934911.189470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Userbeam Remailer wrote:
>
> > aa2qa and kb3eia whine whine whine
> > rrap cry babies
> >
>
> And my first Userbeam email too!
>
> W�hrend ich das Baby sein kann, das schreit, sind Sie ein Feigling.

I was gonna say that this guy is about as German as the Pope, but
DANG! the new Pope IS German...

What did you do to get these anonymous cowards railing on YOU,
Mike...?!?! You and Jim Hampton are two of the coolest heads on the
group! Maybe that's what torques their bolts...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

>That is what Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ likes to do. He lurks and spams like the
coward he is.

50. Re: Steve suggests violence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 18:05:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114970717.770171.62530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107277

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1114836093.379763.287230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> :
> : I didn't introduce violence.
> :
>
> Where is Brian with his Liar-Meter?

Right here.

> We just got a big fat juicy one! There was no mention in this thread
of
> violence until Nursie introduced it with his words [an act of
violence
> against "people" like you].

Steve is a cengenital, natural-born liar. I'd bet that if you plugged him into a lie detector and asked if his name was "William Jefferson Clinton," he could respond "YES" without tripping the meter. Only when the telling of a lie causes an internal conflict will the meter trip. Steve has no internal conflict with lying. It's a natural act for him, like breathing.

He did introduce violence to this thread, then said that he didn't. He lied, but what's new?

> :
> : No, YOU opened the topic.
> :
>
> Wow! Instead of "acknowledging his mistake" (that's Nursie talk for
"aw
> crap, they caught me lying again"), he puts on his Pinnochio suit and
tells
> another big fat one!

But did he make counter-accusations, usually centered on "putz, cajones, manhood, cowardice, or homosexuality?" That's the usual Steve mode.

> The facts stand on their own, Nursie --- the first mention in this
thread of
> violence is your words [an act of violence against "people" like
you].

>
> Give us a meter reading Brian. How many is that now?
>
> 73,
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire

There have been so many lies recently that I lost count. At any rate,
it makes Steve look rediculous.

51. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 18:21:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114971691.848575.171560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107278

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > There is a very painful and delicate balance between the lattitude
> > permitted by what we call "free speech" and where your "right" to
be
> > abusive in public stops.
> >
>
> "As it is an ancient truth that freedom cannot be legislated into
existence, so
> it is no less obvious that freedom cannot be censored into
existence."
> -- Dwight David Eisenhower (1890-1969), 34th US President
>
> "Our liberty depends on the freedom of speech and that cannot be
limited without
> being lost."
> -- Thomas Jefferson (1743-1826), 3rd US President
>
> The only valid limitation of free speech under our Constitution is
your
> individual right not to listen.
>
> ZBM2,
>
> de Hans, K0HB

The fifth amendment gives Steve the right to be silent, but he won't.
The only time he get's quiet is when it comes time to back up his
claims of MARS service or seven hostile actions, but he quickly fills
in the silence with more accusations.

52. Re: K1MAN GETS HIS OWN BLOG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 18:37:23 UTC
From: Italy Anonymous Remailer <italy@italynospamrefruit.org>
Message-ID: <d537i9$k1c$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107279

"Baxter Blows" <hamsandwich@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1114960309.947636.35630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> After reading about this asshole here, on newsline, in ARRL news, and
> on QRZ, and after listening to this lying fat fuck for years, it's time
> he had his own blog!
>
> http://k1manthefool.blogspot.com/
>
> Fair Warning: Stupid posts from assholes and sodomites like Roger
> Weissman, Todd McDaugherty, Llllloyd, and their various idiot
> personalities will be deleted
>
> Posts can be be strictly anonymous (just don't sign your name)
>
> No ip addresses will be harvested or revealed
>
> No sign up necessary, just come and post your thoughts
>
> Have fun!
>
> http://k1manthefool.blogspot.com/
>

STALE SUBJECT
ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

53. Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·154

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 19:03:49 UTC
From: Ronald700034@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114974229.818051.10080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107280
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

This is the most important question of your life.

The question is: Are you saved?

It is not a question of how good you are,
nor if you are a church member, but are you saved?

Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?

The reason some people don't know for sure if they
are going to Heaven when they die is because they
just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that
you are going to Heaven.

The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful
place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is
to be saved so that we can live forever with Him
in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord
and believe in your heart that God raised Him from
the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth
in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood
and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to
a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was
placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus

said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and
then three days later come back to life and it
actually happens then this person must be the
real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have
redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of
sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
things that you have done in your life including
lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean
it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
to save you.

Now you are a real Christian and you can know for
sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this
life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing),
but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear
children, I write this to you so that you will not
sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks
to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ,
the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another

chance to do so because you do not know when you
will die.

Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life:
no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but
by me." (John 14:6)

This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus
Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your
sins and be forever separated from the love of God in
a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
what happens you are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

* Show this to your family and friends so they can also be saved.

* This message may get deleted so you may want to print a copy.

* Just press the [Ctrl][P] keys on your keyboard to print this page.

`°º.…..º°`°º.…..º°`°º.·□··.º°`°º.…..º°`°º.··.º°`

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

`°º.…..º°`°º.…..º°`°º.¸□..¸º°`°º.…..º°`°º.¸.º°`

54. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 19:05:57 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <rM9de.760$D91.652@fed1read01>
Source record: 107281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Bill Sohl wrote:

> No, that may be the mentality of a particular ham or one group of hams,
> but
> it isn't every ham. There are idiots in any large group of people.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
yeah. that is most certainly true.
unfortunately, some of those "idiots" tend to end up in positions of
power/responsibility and that's where their stupidity can endanger folks.
(

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCdSiWhomUgK3bdaYRAt6XAKDChcvvYew0El2ge1rOnxtI1VR3lwCg02h5
pbkRdEE/tDJCAJBwO3RgeeQ=
=kzWx
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

55. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 19:46:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8saa715ar41o4tqju1tr49lpr43o3vknkb@4ax.com>
Source record: 107282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 1 May 2005 06:32:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1114954344.398919.32050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>ex-Ham wrote:
>> "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>> > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only
>talk
>> > to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
>with the
>> > Ham BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area)
>tried to
>> > report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it
>to
>> > their weather school, they would not listen.
>
> Nice try.
>
> Hams only talk to Hams since no one else should be on an Amateur
>allocation. ANYone, whether they have an Amateur license or not, is a
>"CBer" if they are using a CB radio.
>
> "Emergency communications" is NOT about how many people you can
>talk to...It's about conducting disaster-mitigating operations in an
>efficient and timely manner...whether that is on Amateur, commercial,
>military, etc. CB radio is NOT conducive to efficiency OR timeliness.

CB radio was -THE- method of emergency comm in the three natural
disasters I have experienced. And in all three the hams couldn't quit
posturing and bickering about procedure long enough to accomplish
squat.

> And ego's-be-danged...CB radio (11 meters, anyway...) is NOT the
>medium of choice of ANY disaster mitigation agency in the United
>States. Even REACT has abandoned 11 meters in favor of GMRS at
>462/467MHZ FM.

Doesn't look that way to me:

http://www.reactintl.org/

>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
>> >> Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.

>> >> We already have one location where radio can be used "properly",
>the
>> >> amateur
>> >> bands.
>> >> By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins
>hands
>> >> down!
>> >> Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur
>bands (me
>> >> included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop
>using the
>> >> radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of
>the
>> >> radio
>> >> as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along
>here--go
>> >> use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them
>have
>> >> what
>> >> makes 'em happy!!!
>> >> Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous
>and
>> >> get
>> >> in petty quibbles with the natives....
>> >>
>> >> Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
>
> There's also a very real demand for prophylactics, Game-boy's and
>Penthouse magazines...but they are of no use in emergency
>communications either!
>
> CB radio serves a purpose. I have one and I use it on occassion.
>But it has long ago passed any expectation of being a viable means of
>emergency communications. As I said...even REACT has abandoned it.

Despite your personal opinion, CB radio is -very- useful for emergency
comm because a) there are so many of them; b) most of them are mobile;
c) most of them are off the grid; d) they are easy to use; e) there is
a well-established organization in place to utilize the band.... there
are several more reasons. But what has been proven time and time again
is that when landlines fail in an emergency, CBers heed the call to
duty just like every other citizen. After all, it's not called the
Citizen's Band for nothing.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

56. Re: New news service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 20:31:59 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <jKednXSUHuRdoejfRVn-sA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107283

More testing was done this afternoon with excellent results! Reports from both Nokomis IL and Ramsey IL of 20 over plus! These are better results then were expected. Remember this post is copyrighted!

57. Re: kb3eia cry baby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 20:32:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <KradnRIdwNknoejfRVn-sg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107284

K4YZ wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>>
>>
>>>aa2qa and kb3eia whine whine whine
>>>
>>>rrap cry babies
>>
>> Wow! My first Italy Anonymous Remailer post.
>>
>>Mentre posso essere un bambino gridante, siete un vigliacco.
>
>
> Mike...I am willing to bet your "fan" is about as Italian as the
> Pope is!

Ah, no doubt. Just replying in Italian out of respect! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

58. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 20:34:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <9IudnVWC0q_8x-jfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107298

Bill Sohl wrote:
> No, that may be the mentality of a particular ham or one group of hams, but
> it isn't every ham. There are idiots in any large group of people.

And CBers are a large group of idiots. The hams were right in not
communicating with a cber, who did not have a ham license, that was
transmitting illegally on the ham bands. Most cbers are best ignored.

59. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 20:36:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050501163638.195$3x@newsreader.com>
Source record: 107285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

KC8LDO - truth@truthsayer.org wrote:
> > "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> > > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only
> > > talk to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
> > > with the Ham BS
>
> What about the CB BS? "tan fer thar mercy sakes alive no doubt about it
> come on thar ya got that one gravedigger 186 world wide on the side
> wavin a hand from the sand pile mercy me no doubt no doubt the grave
> digger said that we gone BEEEEeeeEEEYYYEP Honk Squueeeeek"
>
LOL, check out Nad's coax run.

http://img205.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00036uz.jpg

I'm sure even a lowly CBer could do a better job than that, Nad.

60. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 20:51:13 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5Omdndfq4drU3OjfRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 107286

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:GY7de.2631$HL2.861@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> Stand up in a populated room and say "I am going to kill
>> the President" and see how far your "limit" on what you
>> THINK you can say goes.
>
> Nice Lennie-esque attempt at misdirection-by-outrageous-exaggeration,
> Steve. Todd, however distasteful his language, did not threaten the life
> of the President. He simply argued (in horribly crude language, and
> mostly without logical basis) that his rights for free speech on ham radio
> were threatened.
>
> You don't agree with his assertions, and neither do I (see we >>CAN<<
> agree on something) but it's spookily ironic that your response was
> behaviour which reinforced his contention, by suppressing his expression
> of ideas!
>

Hans are you saying that Todd may say whatever he wants whenever where he wants however he wants on the ham bands? Do you believe then that Part 97 is unconstitutional when it prohibits commericial use and foul language?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

61. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 20:52:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <kkbde.43$hQ7.8513654@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

NO! Channel 9 was abandoned for the cell phone--but, I assume many cells will be down in an emergency--then you will see the object replaced the by the cell phone--re-emerge, and that is/was NOT ham radio, it WAS CB... Damn hams keep re-writing history!!!!

Regards,
John
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114954344.398919.32050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
|
| ex-Ham wrote:
| > "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
| > news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
| > > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only
| talk
| > > to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
| with the
| > > Ham BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area)
| tried to
| > > report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it
| to
| > > their weather school, they would not listen.
|
| Nice try.
|
| Hams only talk to Hams since no one else should be on an Amateur
| allocation. ANYone, whether they have an Amateur license or not, is a
| "CBer" if they are using a CB radio.
|
| "Emergency communications" is NOT about how many people you can
| talk to...It's about conducting disaster-mitigating operations in an
| efficient and timely manner...whether that is on Amateur, commercial,
| military, etc. CB radio is NOT conducive to efficiency OR timeliness.
|
| And ego's-be-danged...CB radio (11 meters, anyway...) is NOT the
| medium of choice of ANY disaster mitigation agency in the United
| States. Even REACT has abandoned 11 meters in favor of GMRS at
| 462/467MHZ FM.
|
| > > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| > > news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
| > >> Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
| > >> We already have one location where radio can be used "properly",

| the
| > >> amateur
| > >> bands.
| > >> By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins
| hands
| > >> down!
| > >> Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur
| bands (me
| > >> included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop
| using the
| > >> radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of
| the
| > >> radio
| > >> as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along
| here--go
| > >> use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them
| have
| > >> what
| > >> makes 'em happy!!!
| > >> Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous
| and
| > >> get
| > >> in petty quibbles with the natives....
| > >>
| > >> Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
|
| There's also a very real demand for prophylactics, Game-boy's and
| Penthouse magazines...but they are of no use in emergency
| communications either!
|
| CB radio serves a purpose. I have one and I use it on occassion.
| But it has long ago passed any expectation of being a viable means of
| emergency communications. As I said...even REACT has abandoned it.
|
| Steve, K4YZ
|

62. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 20:55:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5nbde.44$y_7.8560784@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was CB radios, sent as emergency communications... the hams had a very secondary part... now, with all those CB's sitting down there, skip from that area should vastly improve--BOTH on 11 and 10 meters <grin>

Regards,
John

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message news:8saa715ar41o4tqju1tr49lpr43o3vknkb@4ax.com...
| On 1 May 2005 06:32:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
| <1114954344.398919.32050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
|
| >
| >ex-Ham wrote:
| >> "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
| >> news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
| >> > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only
| >talk
| >> > to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
| >with the
| >> > Ham BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area)
| >tried to
| >> > report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it
| >to
| >> > their weather school, they would not listen.
| >
| > Nice try.
| >
| > Hams only talk to Hams since no one else should be on an Amateur
| >allocation. ANYone, whether they have an Amateur license or not, is a
| >"CBer" if they are using a CB radio.
| >
| > "Emergency communications" is NOT about how many people you can
| >talk to...It's about conducting disaster-mitigating operations in an
| >efficient and timely manner...whether that is on Amateur, commercial,
| >military, etc. CB radio is NOT conducive to efficiency OR timeliness.
|
|
| CB radio was -THE- method of emergency comm in the three natural
| disasters I have experienced. And in all three the hams couldn't quit
| posturing and bickering about procedure long enough to accomplish
| squat.

|
|
| > And ego's-be-danged...CB radio (11 meters, anyway...) is NOT the
| >medium of choice of ANY disaster mitigation agency in the United
| >States. Even REACT has abandoned 11 meters in favor of GMRS at
| >462/467MHZ FM.
|
|
| Doesn't look that way to me:
|
| http://www.reactintl.org/
|
|
| >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| >> > news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
| >> >> Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
| >> >> We already have one location where radio can be used "properly",
| >the
| >> >> amateur
| >> >> bands.
| >> >> By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins
| >hands
| >> >> down!
| >> >> Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur
| >bands (me
| >> >> included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop
| >using the
| >> >> radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of
| >the
| >> >> radio
| >> >> as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along
| >here--go
| >> >> use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them
| >have
| >> >> what
| >> >> makes 'em happy!!!
| >> >> Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous
| >and
| >> >> get
| >> >> in petty quibbles with the natives....
| >> >>
| >> >> Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
| >
| > There's also a very real demand for prophylactics, Game-boy's and
| >Penthouse magazines...but they are of no use in emergency
| >communications either!
| >
| > CB radio serves a purpose. I have one and I use it on occassion.
| >But it has long ago passed any expectation of being a viable means of

| >emergency communications. As I said...even REACT has abandoned it.
|
|
| Despite your personal opinion, CB radio is -very- useful for emergency
| comm because a) there are so many of them; b) most of them are mobile;
| c) most of them are off the grid; d) they are easy to use; e) there is
| a well-established organization in place to utilize the band.... there
| are several more reasons. But what has been proven time and time again
| is that when landlines fail in an emergency, CBers heed the call to
| duty just like every other citizen. After all, it's not called the
| Citizen's Band for nothing.
|
|
|
|
|
|
| ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
| http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
Newsgroups
| ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

63. Re: kb3eia cry baby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 20:59:29 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Rqbde.253$pE2.103@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 107288

Italy Anonymous Remailer

Wow! I *am* impressed :))

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
<VBG>

"Italy Anonymous Remailer" <nobody@See.Comments.Header> wrote in message
news:MWISGTON38473.6146296296@anonymous.poster...
> aa2qa and kb3eia whine whine whine
>
> rrap cry babies
>
>
>

64. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 21:10:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <_Abde.233$8g.77@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 107290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Good thing I don't have to retest. Dang! I wasn't aware that amateur radio was dependent upon repeaters. I can't remember what repeaters I've used to use on 160 meters (not to mention 75, 40, 20, or 15). I did use the 10 meter repeater from a 440 port a couple of times to chat with Australia, but that is, of course, not appropriate for an emergency.

Of course, if it actually is, you'll be happy to know we have a few repeaters that are *not* connected to the mains. Windmill and solar do work, but I wouldn't expect one of your obvious intellectual ability to understand those concepts.

LOL

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"ex-Ham" <anon@exhamnbeans.net> wrote in message
news:d52kp1$5tm$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> <editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
> news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
> > out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
> > to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
> > useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
> > find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
> > America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
> > much of the U.S. at many times of day.
>
>

65. Re: rrap cry babies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 21:12:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <c8WdnTycJ8Wz2-jfRVn-jA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107289

K4YZ wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Userbeam Remailer wrote:
>>
>>
>>>aa2qa and kb3eia whine whine whine
>>>rrap cry babies
>>>
>>
>> And my first Userbeam email too!
>>
>>Wï¿½hrend ich das Baby sein kann, das schreit, sind Sie ein Feigling.
>
>
> I was gonna say that this guy is about as German as the Pope, but
> DANG! the new Pope IS German...
>
> What did you do to get these anonymous cowards railing on YOU,
> Mike...?!?! You and Jim Hampton are two of the coolest heads on the
> group! Maybe that's what torques their bolts...???

I think it was when I asked Jim H what was the problem that a few
people had with Phil.

- Mike KB3EIA -

66. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 21:12:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114981955.222596.184800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107291

I have never used my ham radio for commercial use nor have I used foul
language on it either. Steve assumes that since I do it on the internet
then I do it on the ham radio. Steve has never heard my transmission
yet he claims that's what I'm doing.
Todd N9OGL

67. Re: rrap cry babies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 21:24:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <gObde.255$KC1.150@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 107292

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114962703.934911.189470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Userbeam Remailer wrote:
>
> > aa2qa and kb3eia whine whine whine
> > rrap cry babies
> >
>
> And my first Userbeam email too!
>
> W�hrend ich das Baby sein kann, das schreit, sind Sie ein Feigling.

I was gonna say that this guy is about as German as the Pope, but
DANG! the new Pope IS German...

What did you do to get these anonymous cowards railing on YOU,
Mike...?!?! You and Jim Hampton are two of the coolest heads on the
group! Maybe that's what torques their bolts...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

Hello, Steve

Maybe since I was on dial-up and AT&T didn't have newsgroups caused me to be
a bit inactive and not likely to defend myself?

I'm up and running on 3 megabaud DSL now. Clear the decks LOL

The reality is that a lot of the folks that love to post anonymous stuff
have nothing better to do in their lives. Too bad, really.

All that aside, this new DSL is a lot nicer than the old DSL that I had and
far superior to that dial-up. Plus, I'm saving about $5.00 per month by
going back to Frontier for all my phone services plus DSL. Nice. 56k to 3
megabaud plus it costs less! :)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

68. Re: phil kane returns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 21:24:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <gObde.256$KC1.157@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 107293

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:wMednbjFfcgRuunfRVn-1A@adelphia.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > "Userbeam Remailer" <remailer@userbeam.net> wrote in message
> > news:8d93cf2edcafceff2d12d13ba04222fa@userbeam.net...
> >
> >>phil kane returns to rrap
> >>wonder how much hate he will spread
> >>this time
> >
> >
> >
> > Phil has never spread any hate.
> >
> > I've seen a few folks try and slam a few good amateurs (Phil, Hans, and
a
> > few other come to mind), but generally I have seen that such folks that
try
> > and slam the good folks generally think they are quite the wit.
> >
> > I have found them only half right.
>
> hehe, Good one, Jim.
>
> What's the deal with the Phil bashers anyhow? I've never seen him be
> anything but civil.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Hello, Mike

Phil is well educated. I'm sure you've seen some *amazing* posts in this
newsgroup. You are very unlikely to fool Phil with some half-baked truths,
outright lies, or outright fairy tales. I suspect that bothers some folks.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

69. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 21:32:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qVbde.3699$GQ5.888@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107294

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:5Omdndfq4drU3OjfRVn-gg@comcast.com...

>
> Hans are you saying that Todd may say whatever he wants
> whenever where he wants however he wants on the ham
> bands?

No, Dee, I didn't say that (and of course you know that I didn't). The hams bands aren't a right, but a regulated privilege.

>
> Do you believe then that Part 97 is unconstitutional when
> it prohibits commericial use and foul language?
>

No, I don't believe that (and of course you know that I don't). The amateur bands aren't a right but a regulated privilege.

I do believe, however, that Todd has protections in the Constitution which allow him to raise those issues here on rrap, just as Steve has protections for his assertions that parts of the Constitution are not appropriate to his political views. While I'm disgusted by Todds trashy language here, I am more disgusted by the overt actions to deny him his Constitutional protections.

73, de Hans, K0HB

.

70. Re: K1MAN GETS HIS OWN BLOG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 21:37:31 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <42754BF2.9020406@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 107295

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> "Baxter Blows" <hamsandwich@myway.com> wrote in message
> news:1114960309.947636.35630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>>After reading about this asshole here, on newsline, in ARRL news, and
>>on QRZ, and after listening to this lying fat fuck for years, it's time
>>he had his own blog!
>>
>>http://k1manthefool.blogspot.com/
>>
>>Fair Warning: Stupid posts from assholes and sodomites like Roger
>>Weissman, Todd McDaugherty, Llllloyd, and their various idiot
>>personalities will be deleted
>>
>>Posts can be be strictly anonymous (just don't sign your name)
>>
>>No ip addresses will be harvested or revealed
>>
>>No sign up necessary, just come and post your thoughts
>>
>>Have fun!
>>
>>http://k1manthefool.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>
>
> STALE SUBJECT
> ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
>
>
>
>
Did you notice that he doesn't even follow his own schedule as

posted on his web site. For years he made a big deal of having a
posted schedule - claiming that meant he had first rights to the
frequency just becuae he post a schedule.
John

71. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 21:39:32 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <uoOdnYsvgNNR9-jfRVn-jQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107303

Tex Wycoff wrote:
> Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
> and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
> hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
>
> Good Numbers,
>
> Tex

The biggest wind is all will be the cbers shouting "ten fer thar" along with a lot of other obscene junk to the point that no useful communications exists. Hams with VHF and UHF equipment will get the job done in spite of repeaters being down and they won't using silley phrases like 'good numbers'. Which numbers are bad? How do you distinguish between a 'good number' and a 'bad number'?

72. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 21:52:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050501175205.935$xS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 107296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

LOL, check out Nad's coax run.

http://img205.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00036uz.jpg

I'm sure even a lowly CBer could do a better job than that, Nad.

73. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 23:03:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050501190336.649$gq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 107300

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > No, that may be the mentality of a particular ham or one group of hams,
> > but it isn't every ham. There are idiots in any large group of people.
>
> And CBers are a large group of idiots. The hams were right in not
> communicating with a cber, who did not have a ham license, that was
> transmitting illegally on the ham bands. Most cbers are best ignored.
>
Hi JJ.

Begs the question why you have 464 posts in rec.radio.cb? :D

74. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 23:39:03 UTC
From: Tex Wycoff <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net>
Message-ID: <d53p7p$pk8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.

Good Numbers,

Tex

"ex-Ham" <anon@exhamnbeans.net> wrote in message
news:d52kp1$5tm$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> <editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
> news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
>> out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
>> to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
>> useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
>> find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
>> America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
>> much of the U.S. at many times of day.
>
>
```

75. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 23:51:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114991509.309557.176150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107304

From: "Dee Flint" on Sat,Apr 30 2005 4:13 pm

>"K□B" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:icTce.1770$BE3.679@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>>
>>>
>>> There is a very painful and delicate balance between the lattitude
>>> permitted by what we call "free speech" and where your "right" to
be
>>> abusive in public stops.
>>
>>
>> "As it is an ancient truth that freedom cannot be legislated into
>> existence, so it is no less obvious that freedom cannot be censored
into
>> existence."
>> -- Dwight David Eisenhower (1890-1969), 34th US President
>>
>> "Our liberty depends on the freedom of speech and that cannot be
limited
>> without being lost."
>> -- Thomas Jefferson (1743-1826), 3rd US President
>>
>> The only valid limitation of free speech under our Constitution is
your
>> individual right not to listen.
>>
>> ZBM2,
>>
>> de Hans, K0HB
>
>In a practical sense there are de facto limits. One is perfectly free
to
>commit slander and libel.

No, dear, "one is NOT perfectly free" in ANY practical sense.

By your logic, one is "perfectly free" to commit murder
or grand theft, etc.

You confuse "perfectly free" with BEING ABLE TO DO SOMETHING.

> It's not illegal and one cannot go to jail for it.

Slander (spoken) and libel (written) are NOT felonies.

Hello? There are TWO kinds of courts in our land and
under our (presumably you are a citizen of the USA)
laws: Criminal and Civil. [ask Phil Kane if you want
to make an issue of that...]

> Yet people CAN be held finanicially liable for the effects of their
>slander, etc.

It's a LOT stickier than that, Dee. FAILURE to carry out
a Civil COURT judgement order CAN result in both fines
and imprisonment.

The "financial" difference between Criminal and Civil
courts is that the State bears the legal costs of
Criminal prosecution...in Civil courts both sides
have to pay for legal representation (dependent on the
final decision of the court).

That's just basic civics information from public
school. I'm surprised you've forgotten that, being
a "parent" and all to the "children" in here...

> This liability for the effects of one's "free speech" are a
>limit even though it is not censorship.

Tsk. There's where you can't differentiate the Control
Freaks from the Free-speechers. The Control Freaks will
spend inordinate amounts of time in Harrassment,
Intimidation, Heckling, and Insulting certain groups...
all in an attempt to Assert Their Turf and try to force
all into "thinking" as they do (i.e., be of the same
opinions...or else). You can see them IN HERE. :-)

In Germany they once had "Krystalnacht." In here all
the glass-jawed Control Freaks bust their own chops
in spending all that "free time" on gratuitous
insulting, heckling, and general harrassement of
certain others. Their broken glass "tinkles" all
over each subject. "Free speech?" Only by a
technical definition.

Try to get with the program, Dee.

[message received and disposed of]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

76. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-01 23:55:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114991751.776483.157390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107305

From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 1 2005 5:42 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Fri,Apr 29 2005 9:54 pm
>
>> > I've never seen anyone ask about rear area Army radio stations
in
>> >the 50's, Lennie, but you sure do let us know about it.
>>
>> Someone has to do it...poor Stevie NEVER did anything
>> close to such HF communications...as an amateur or
>> anything else.
>
> Neither did you, Lennie.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Poor Stebie...still envious AND
FRUSTRATED. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> You were a readio mechanic.

"Readio?" Reallio? :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Primary MOS of Microwave Radio Relay
Operation and Maintenance Supervisor. Secondary MOS
of Fixed Station (transmitters) Radio Operation and
Maintenance Supervisor...and a few other little duties
such as Carrier Operation and Maintenance (not that
those Western Electric units had either drift or
failure). The VHF-UHF radio relay equipment operation
and maintenance fell under my primary MOS.

All official and everything...in my DD 214 and all the
records archived in St. Louis...not to mention posted
on Harold Hallikainen's website and also mentioned on
Walter Welkin's website for USAEUR post-WW2 Army
history. Hal is a ham, by the way. And, in that
vein, Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV, is not only still a ham
but was also there - in my Battalion at the same time
at the same station - doing the same thing I did on
another operating team. [sunnuvagun!]

> Period. You were not a message
>center clerk...You were not responsible for determining operating
>frequencies, modes, etc.

Tsk, tsk. The Chief Signal Officer, Washington DC,
did that sort of deciding for ALL involved in ACAN -

STARCOM - DCS and still does for all the alphabet
soup organization evolvement that followed after the
1960s.

> If the box broke, you fixed it.

...or sent it to the Depot. Depended on the "broke"
condition. Did you ever "fix" a TWO-TON HV
TRANSFORMER (cast iron case, lots of iron in the
core, much copper, etc., full of PCB liquid)? :-)

No sweat to the murine corpse...a murine could hold
it in one hand and still be able to sing about "shores
of tripoli." Lots of 40 KW MARS transmitters in the
the 1st Marine Air Wing, right? :-)

> Well done, but that doesn't make
>you a radio OPERATOR.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. You go argue with Chief of Signals
(new title invoked by previous general) in DC and
have the Army "revise" all those MOSs to conform to
the wishes of Stebie da Wundermarine.

In 24/7 COMMUNICATIONS we didn't PLAY at HF radio
like it was a hobby, some kind of recreation.
WE GOT THE MESSAGE THROUGH. That requires
OPERATION as well as maintenance. OPERATION
AT THE TIMES REQUIRED. MINIMUM DOWNTIME.
With every QSY a radio circuit was DOWN until the
new frequency was set, tuned, and checked by
Frequency Standards for carrier and shift. All
the while the messages routed through that circuit
were being stacked up, unable to be transmitted.
That was worse with the SSB circuits carrying 6
to 12 TTY channels simultaneously.

>> Stevie fails to get the point: A half century ago,
>> the MAJOR message load throughout the U.S. military
>> was by teleprinter, NOT morse code. Way higher than
>> 90% of ALL message "traffic" in the military. A HALF
>> CENTURY AGO.
>
> Sure I get your point, Lennie...Here's a few for you:

Tsk...I'm only giving you ONE finger. :-)

> (1) This forum is about Amateur Radio in the 21st century...Not
>Army communications in the 1950's.

TSK, TSK, TSK, NURSIE!

1. This forum is NOT for Stebie's experiences with
the Commanding General of the First Marine Air

Wing in NINETINE EIGHTY ONE!!! [24 years ago]

2. This forum is NOT for Stebie's BRAGS of mighty
murine service in 'SEVEN HOSTILE ACTIONS!'

3. This forum is NOT for Stebie's BRAGS of prowess
in SUTURING or about his "medical qualifications."

4. This forum is NOT for Stebie's continuing
refusal to admit that MARS is >>NOT<< "run by
amateurs." MARS is run by the MILITARY.

5. This forum is NOT about MARS at all, although
the ASSIGNED MARS frequencies are OUTSIDE
the ham bands. [sunnuvagun!]

> (2) YOU are the only one arguing about Morse Code-vs-teleprinter
>traffic, Lennie. The rest of us moved on YEARS ago.

BULLSHIT. Don't you PCTAs give that song and dance.
You PCTAs can't dance and your singing voices are as
flat as the 1930's attempted revival of vaudeville.

You MIGHTY MACHO MORSEMEN just CANNOT admit that TTY
was already downsizing manual morse a half century
ago. Sucks to be retrograde recreators of ancient
times, doesn't it?

> (3) You are still not licensed in nor have any practical
>experience in AMATEUR RADIO communications.

TSK, TSK, TSK. I'm a PROFESSIONAL in radio, have been
since 1953. :-)

Maybe ANOTHER radio license...for hobby/recreational
fun and games - like amateur radio - would be nice in
retirement. <shrug>

But...if all you hard-ass anal-retentive retrogrades
have to engage in the Control Freak harrassment like
amateur DILL SERGEANTS trying to treat "lesser
classes" like maggot recruits...y'all can just shove
it up your collective asses. It's a wonder that
ANYONE bothers to get into modern ham radio with all
you wannabe Chiefs making your smoke signals of
mighty radio "operation."

> You continue to make
>significant errors on matters of Amateur policy AND practice.

BULLSHIT. >>YOU<< do NOT set what is "right" and
what is "wrong."

OPINIONS are expressed in here and everyone's OPINION
is THEIRS, neither "right" nor "wrong." Except you

and a few other retrograde PCTAs HAVE to jump in with
ERROR! ERROR! on every OPINION contrary to yours.

> And no matter HOW you "APPROXIMATE" the numbers, all you were was
>a radio mechanic.

TSK, TSK, TSK. There goes the EX-marine mouthing off
again...trying to call the entire U.S. Army Signal Corps
"wrong." :-)

Stebie KNOWS ALL ABOUT MILITARY COMMUNICATIONS?!?!?

From WHAT experience? As a helo ground support
person at a landing field? Did the marines have
pigeon messaging (pigeons fly)? Tsk, the Army
gave that up right after WW One.

> Sure, your efficiency in keeping the transmitters on
>the air was important to the overall mission of ADA.

NO, no...THE ENTIRE MISSION OF THE UNITED STATES ARMY.

"ADA" did NOT have "a mission." The 8235th Army
Unit had a "mission." "ADA" was a callsign of the
radio facility...RUEP was the TTY identifier for
the Tokyo node within ACAN.

> But YOU were NOT
>responsible for sending a single one of those messages...
>
> Not a one!

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEEH...gasp, BWARHAH
ahahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Baby Stebie READ ALL THOSE MESSAGES TO PROVE THAT?

Tsk, tsk, tsk...messages were not the ONLY content
of Army Communications a half century ago OR now.
Stebie, from his VAST (well, half) EXPERIENCE in
24/7 military communications doesn't know shit from
order-wires. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

No problem, can't expect this Mighty Morseman to
understand a damn thing about HF communications
other than AMATEUR hobby/recreational radio of
one operator, one radio kind of thing.

> Huge snip of Lennie's rationalization for having made a stupid
>assertion and even more stupid tap dance around it...SNIPPED.

Tsk, tsk, tsk..."snipped" because little Stebie
doesn't have experience one on military communications

on HF, doesn't know the organization, doesn't know the
procedures, doesn't even know tap dancing...yet he tap
dances all the time in countless BRAGS of prowess.

> But you have NEVER had experience IN "radio communications",
>Lennie...THAT is by your OWN hand per your CV.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. STILL don't believe OR understand
what REAL communications is about, do you? All
you know is what you read in the AMATEUR radio
publications.

> You have always been a black box technician...NEVER a radio
>OPERATOR!

Tsk. Poor Stebie. Angry and frustrated...still
making the typos when furious (see following).

> Oh...yes...You pushed a button once that caused a
>transponder on the mood to beep back at you...Almost as exciting as
>pusing the car door remote.

Tsk. I've never known any "transponder" to have
"moods."

That's just a rationalization of humans. Electrons,
fields, and waves obey their OWN laws and totally
ignore the wishes of humans...or aliens who make
their pledges "for the corps, God, and country"
(in that order).

"Just pushing a button?!?" Tsk, tsk. BC-610s
(the ones in the AN/GRC-26 huts) could NOT be
operated by simple "button pushing." :-) Not
even the clumsy T-195 having Collins Autotune and
and an automatic antenna tuner (USMC development
contract in 1953, operational in 1955) could be
operated by a simple "button push." :-)

I started in HF radio in the vacuum tube era.
The ONLY transmitter that came close to just
"pushing a button" was the Western Electric LD-T2,
a commercial SSB 4 KW PEP HF transmitter that
could "tune itself" to any of 10 preset frequencies
(minimizing down time on QSYs). But, poor Stebie
NEVER would have been allowed near the actual
presetting of 12 potentiometers per frequency or
of checking the distortion of the 12 KHz spectral
bandwidth of that commercial SSB.

> On the otherhand, I have had over three DECADES of experience in
>the very programs which YOU purport to be knowldegeable of.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEEH...gasp, BWARHAH
ahahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

The WEEKEND WARRIOR doing his thing in AMATEUR radio
and playing like he be Chief of Signals of the
Amateur Radio Corps!

Stebie, cut the bullshit. I began in HF radio
before you were born. I've both OPERATED and
DESIGNED and BUILT (protoype) radios over MORE of
the EM spectrum than amateurs have been allowed
to in the 71 year life of the FCC.

Stebie did 8 hours a day, 5 days a week, for
"three decades" as an AMATEUR?!?!?

Guess Stebie was NOT in "the Corps" then...USMC
doesn't want HOBBYISTS defending the country...
USMC is a PROFESSIONAL military branch.

Guess Stebie was NOT in "nursing" earning his
"needles" in marvelous suture closures...nursing
is supposed to be professional, not amateur...but
Stebie allatime wanna link his "medicine" to
RADIO!!!

>> Rather than find out anything of
>> the various communications systems or organizations
>> he winds up doing PERSONAL INSULTS in lieu of
>> discussion on a SUBJECT.
>
> Old dead horse dragged out and re-subjected to yet another
>whipping.

Tsk. I've never called Stebie "a dead horse." The
BACK END of one, yes, but DEAD only in his mind and
ego. Tsk, tsk.

> You are SO guilty of the same conduct, Lennie.

Poor baby. Can't take it, can you? Great big heroic
Gunnery Nurse just can't stand any opinion opposite
to his own?

> Had you not STARTED
>it, perhaps we'd be carrying on a different conversation today.

1. I didn't "start" anything but an opposition to
the morse code test for an AMATEUR radio license.

2. The resident retrograde PCTAs in here >>STARTED<<
all the snide bullshit about "needing" a code
test to be "qualified" to operate an HF radio.

[that's all archived...begin with KH2D]

3. I began and was QUALIFED to operate high-power HF
transmitters in 1953...haven't bothered much to
get AUTHORIZATION to do so as an amateur...even
though I've legally operated on HF (last year,
from a sailboat, SSB 20 W PEP) without ANY radio
license. Sunnuvagun!

4. ALL of radio - except for a minority who still
live by 1930s standards and practices in amateur
radio - has advanced since I began 52 years ago
and keeps on advancing. That minority still
thinks that morsemanship is an absolute necessity
to operate below 30 MHz...all newcomers gots to
do dat to "show dedication and committment to
the amateur service!!!"

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEEH...gasp, BWARHAH
ahahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> That's Robeson's
>> sickness demonstrated in here against all of his
>> opponents.
>
> Untrue, but then you're not one to do well with facts.

Tsk, tsk, tsk!!! Google has the archives of Stebie's
SOCIOPATHIC (with overtones of psychosis) behavior
against ALL who oppose his opinions! Everyone in
here has seen it. The "projection" is evident to
anyone with a memory...projection of himself on others
when trying to blame them for the faults Stebie has.

Stebie tries very hard to make EVERY thread in this
newsgroup about HIM. Stebie gots too much time on
his hands...doesn't leave enough time for playing
with his radio set.

"If it looks like a duck and quacks like a duck and
has feathers like a duck, chances are very good that
it IS a duck!" So...Stebie snarls like a sociopath,
looks like a sociopath (scowls in every photo), has
the thin skin of a sociopath...chances are very good
Stebie >>IS<> Robeson is the role model of today's amateur
>> extra?
>
> No more or no less than you are the role model of today's
>electronics engineer.

Now, now, don't try for the sharp retort...you keep breaking all that glass and you retorts don't hold water. You kept saying (in addition to "PUTZ") that I was "nothing but a bench technician and NEVER an engineer!" [it's in Google archives several times]

Don't add HYPOCRITE to your faults. TRY for consistency.

I do NOT try to be any "role model of an engineer." I am one. Have been for a long time...since 1960 when I got my first design assignment at Micro-Radionics, Inc. [that's OVER three decades :-)] I've NOT behaved in your manner to others in engineering, not even in Design Reviews (as both a subject and as a reviewer). It is impossible to be so ONE-SIDED and stubborn as you've shown in these "discussions" and still hold a job. I've held my jobs, never been fired or "told to leave." I've attended many a night class in college-level, college-credit courses while still holding my day job...and never been told those college classes were "useless" or that "I was stupid and had to take them." Yes, I did NOT have the finances to attend college full-time, all years at once early in life, but that didn't stop me from learning enough on my own to continue with DESIGN engineering before the very late degree award. I've never met any periodical editor in-person yet have a 96% sell rate on all submitted manuscripts and was ASKED to be an Associate Editor for HR. Ham Radio magazine was in existance for 22 years as an independent, income solely from advertising yet Stebie expresses the opinion it was a "loser."

Tsk. Stebie runs to emotional extremes, thinks I "have to be published in professional journals" to "make my name." Actually, I have, but Stebie doesn't read them. Doesn't stop Stebie from yet more insults. I have written documents in public view plus living witnesses as to what I've done, but Stebie has only vague brags and "references" such as "write the CG of 1st MAW" about his 1981 "assignment." Yeah, right, as if 24 years is a normal Commanding General's tour of duty at ONE assignment? :-)

Today's (and yesterday's) engineers all know that electrons, fields, and waves obey physical laws, not the whims of humankind. Yesterday's (and even today) engineers looked/look to a future with an advancement of the state of the radio and

electronics art. All of radio (except the minds of retrograde worshippers of the amateur past) has either abandoned morse code or never considered it for new radio services. Retrogrades see NOTHING wrong with freezing the past...THEY made it and, by damn, every newbie MUST sweat and work and "show dedication" like THEY did! Like ham radio is NOT a hobby? :-)

The name of this newsgroup's game seems to be junior high name-calling, posturing and preening over "seven hostile actions" and other wannabe stuff. [try for "HALO drop" one of the classic pipe-dreams of Stebie] Makes it even tougher for any newcomer to show interest in any hobby radio when all these middle-school drop-outs wanna be the Dill Instructor, closing the main gate to all but "their kind." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

77. Radio New York International is ON THE AIR!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 00:05:16 UTC
From: bulbusboobiesbob <another5cats@catluver4.com>
Message-ID: <aae43$42756eb3$d1cc4523$25982@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 107306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

** John P Lightning and the whole RNI Crew ***

ON THE AIR RIGHT NOW !

----> 7.415 WBCQ AM

Web: http://www.11l-rni.com/

78. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 00:35:00 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <UAede.2383$pe3.489@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107307

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:9IudnVWC0q_8x-jfRVn-rg@gbronline.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> No, that may be the mentality of a particular ham or one group of hams,
>> but
>> it isn't every ham. There are idiots in any large group of people.
>
> And CBers are a large group of idiots. The hams were right in not
> communicating with a cber, who did not have a ham license, that was
> transmitting illegally on the ham bands. Most cbers are best ignored.

The statement did NOT state that the CBer was operating on
amateur frequencies. Here's the exact statement:
"Several years ago I observed a ham (ham #1) attempt to enter a CB radio
report of a tornado touch down into a ham net. The hams (#2) would not
accept the report, because it was not in proper "format," the observer
had not been "trained," and the observer did not have a ham call
sign."

Exactly how the "report" from the CBer was provided to the
first ham. The ststement indicates ham #2 refused to accept the
report from ham #1 because of format, nontrained source and
the lack of a ham callsign. From my perspective, that was a
dangerous and arbitrary position/action to take by ham #2.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

79. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 00:36:57 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <nrWdnXTLtsbUC-jfRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107312

Bill Sohl wrote:

> Exactly how the "report" from the CBer was provided to the
> first ham. The ststement indicates ham #2 refused to accept the
> report from ham #1 because of format, nontrained source and
> the lack of a ham callsign. From my perspective, that was a
> dangerous and arbitrary position/action to take by ham #2.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>
I don't think so. That is why you have formats to be followed by trained
people. Ham #2 was correct.

80. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 01:07:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <43fde.90$zT3.10358893@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and the
EMP sizzles their front ends...

Regards,
John

"Tex Wycoff" <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote in message
news:d53p7p$pk8$0@pita.alt.net...
| Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
| and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
| hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
|
| Good Numbers,
|
| Tex
|
|
|
| "ex-Ham" <anon@exhamnbeans.net> wrote in message
| news:d52kp1$5tm$0@pita.alt.net...
| >
| > <editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
| > news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
| >> Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
| >> out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
| >> to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
| >> useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
| >> find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
| >> America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
| >> much of the U.S. at many times of day.
| >
| >
|
|

81. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 01:18:47 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <wrOdnVzGtrv0POjfRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
> AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and the
> EMP sizzles their front ends...
>
> Regards,
> John

If there has been a nuclear explosion close enough to where you are that
generated an EMP that destroyed ss equipment, I doubt you are going to
be worried about a few repeaters.

82. Re: Radio New York International is ON THE AIR!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 01:28:01 UTC
From: Dan <diverd4778@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114997281.181527.239610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

& is currently playng something that got
the Secret Service
Quite excited . ..

83. Re: Radio New York International is ON THE AIR!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 01:37:56 UTC
From: bulbusboobiesbob <another5cats@catluver4.com>
Message-ID: <c102c$4275847a$d1cc7bcf$28096@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 107309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan" <diverd4778@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114997281.181527.239610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> & is currently playng something that got
> the Secret Service
> Quite excited . ..

..that was the controversial bit from Air America earlier
last week during the Randi Rhoades show which went
way over the line of professional broadcasting.

story here: http://www.nypost.com/entertainment/23522.htm

As JPL said of the event, whoever let this "bit"
get by their control boards at A.A. should have
been fired. (probably have by now)

84. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 02:54:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <IDgde.286$wa3.254@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 107311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yeah, Tex

And when we had the big ice storm back in the early 90s, why was the police department in one area severely affected switched to an amateur repeater?

Ever been around after a big blow? Ever notice that it is the *large* trees, not the small ones, that get blown over?

Try a google search on "wind loading" and see if you can figure it out.

BTW, after that ice storm, power and telephone service was cut for hundreds of miles. They were asking for volunteer amateur *hf* operators. They needed reliable communications covering hundreds of miles.

I am aware that a number of cbers run excessive power. Should you run hundreds or thousands of watts, you will be heard for 100 miles or perhaps a bit more. 70 miles, for certain. Unfortunately, 90% of the folks that do hear you and answer you won't be able to hear. Unless, of course, they are also running far far in excess of the 12 watts pep ssb. This is not ground wave, nor is it space wave. It is scatter. Try a google search on that and see what you come up with.

The answer to continuous communications over hundreds of miles (continuous from zero miles to several hundred) depends upon being able to shift frequency. Not a few megahertz; rather a range approaching a 10 to 1 range (from 3.5 to 30 MHz).

Meanwhile, go do that search on "wind loading", keeping in mind about the small trees surviving winds that blow over large trees.

With luck, you may figure it out.

As to small "disasters", such as an auto accident, cell phones are far more likely to supply the information than cb or amateur radio.

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Tex Wycoff" <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote in message
news:d53p7p$pk8$0@pita.alt.net...
> Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
> and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
> hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
>
> Good Numbers,
>
> Tex

>
>
>
> "ex-Ham" <anon@exhamnbeans.net> wrote in message
> news:d52kp1$5tm$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > <editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
> > news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
> >> out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
> >> to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
> >> useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
> >> find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
> >> America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
> >> much of the U.S. at many times of day.
> >
> >
>
>

85. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 03:23:21 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <J2hde.3802$GQ5.1427@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107313

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114949019.153763.26770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

> Society can set it's own standards, however.

I wonder when you changed your mind about "freedom of expression", Steve. Not too far back down the Google trail you were real high on the concept.

Witness the following gem!

>Easy, he just wait's until she crosses back out, then while clearly on the
>farmer's property, he exercises HIS second amendment rights and defends his
>property by force of arms. Of course, he doesn't need to kill her, but after
>he unloads several rounds of "Double Ought" into that Mercedes SUV, she will
>think twice about defiling another's property for her own benefit again.
>
>Simple freedom of expression.

Sunuvagun!

And you were giving "Mel" the song and dance about you don't believe in violence!

Sunuvagun!

de Hans, K0HB

86. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 03:35:43 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <jehde.35882$h6.17437@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 107314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:43fde.90$zT3.10358893@news.sisna.com...
> AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and
> the
> EMP sizzles their front ends...

What about your home?

87. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 04:26:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tZhde.123$af6.11318418@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding the radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get another....
And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf for a short duration--just long enough to fry the front end...

Regards,
John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message news:wrOdnVzGtrv0POjfRVn-3g@gbronline.com...
| John Smith wrote:
| > AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and the
| > EMP sizzles their front ends...
| >
| > Regards,
| > John
|
| If there has been a nuclear explosion close enough to where you are that
| generated an EMP that destroyed ss equipment, I doubt you are going to
| be worried about a few repeaters.

88. Please Get It Right, Hans...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 08:34:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115022892.675585.232020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107318

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1114949019.153763.26770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> > Society can set it's own standards, however.
>
> I wonder when you changed your mind about "freedom of expression",
Steve. Not
> too far back down the Google trail you were real high on the concept.
>
> Witness the following gem!
>
> >Easy, he just wait's until she crosses back out, then while clearly
on the
> >farmer's property, he exercises HIS second amendment rights and
defends his
> >property by force of arms. Of course, he doesn't need to kill her,
but after
> >he unloads several rounds of "Double Ought" into that Mercedes SUV,
she will
> >think twice about defiling another's property for her own benefit
again.
> >
> >Simple freedom of expression.
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> And you were giving "Mel" the song and dance about you don't believe
in
> violence!

Where did I say I didn't believe in violence, Hans?

I >>DID<< say that Mel et al flatter themselves if they thought
I'd prejudice my freedom with an act of violence against them. That is
NOT the same as suggesting that I don't think that there is a time and
a place for constructive violence.

Steve, K4YZ

89. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 13:25:05 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <TSpde.3489$D91.3289@fed1read01>
Source record: 107319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

John Smith wrote:

> In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was CB radios, sent as
> emergency communications... the hams had a very secondary part... now,
> with all those CB's sitting down there, skip from that area should vastly
> improve--BOTH on 11 and 10 meters <grin>
>
>
>
> Regards,
> John
now *who* is complaining about rewriting history?

your assertion that ham played only a small "secondary part" in the tsunami
distaster is simply put: false.

it was reporting in even a number of respectable sources throughout the
region that ham radio allowed government ministry personally to keep in
contact with the many islands that still had capability. mo where (I REPEAT
NO WHERE) was CB radio ever mentioned as a valid or utilized
communications medium.

if you can prove otherwise, please show me the links.

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCdioxhomUgK3bdaYRAhPIAJ4q+E6RbtL7oy7gC0CAncsrl18TDwCdESlk
HRQh2JkIelSqnWihv4OgOn0=
=/3Rg
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

90. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 13:28:24 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <_Vpde.3490$D91.950@fed1read01>
Source record: 107320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Tex Wycoff wrote:

> Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
> and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
> hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
>
> Good Numbers,
>
> Tex
who said that operations had to be limited to bands where there are
repeaters?

I spent a lot of time listening across the HF bands during the tsunami
distaster and I heard plenty of strong signals on the band passing
health/welfare traffic from the western pacific rim. I don't think I ever
even heard mention of repeater use at all in all of that traffic.

of course, you are right about 1 point: cell service was non-existant (save
for those with sat phones).

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCdir4homUgK3bdaYRAkbzAKCJRKq/pyAevsz4wIYkgm5uYY+towCgx0jw
Ys2Vz575byu+O20KjUPAXFY=
=APON
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

91. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 13:29:07 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115039977.ae9f28d9959d4d6c514e2b3e39a53c4a@bubbanews>
Source record: 107321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 1 May 2005 18:07:15 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and the
>EMP sizzles their front ends...
>
>Regards,
>John
>

of course all CB's are EMP hardened, and an EMP pulse wouldn't sizzle
their front ends. your an idiot john smith.

92. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 13:31:48 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115040138.737f125a19dc048240aa4ab3afc59d0b@bubbanews>
Source record: 107324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 1 May 2005 19:39:03 -0400, "Tex Wycoff"
<tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote:

>Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
>and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
>hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
>
>Good Numbers,
>
>Tex
>
>

not all ham radio depends on repeaters. dumbass cb'ers would be to
busy asking for smokey reports to help in an emergency. simple. even
a low life cb'er can comprehend that. no, nevermind. they can't.

4-10 good buddy.

93. Lennie's Back In Form...Old Rant's...Same Form...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 13:31:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115040715.399923.27130@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107322

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 1 2005 5:42 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "K4YZ" on Fri,Apr 29 2005 9:54 pm
> >
> >> > I've never seen anyone ask about rear area Army radio
stations
> in
> >> >the 50's, Lennie, but you sure do let us know about it.
> >>
> >> Someone has to do it...poor Stevie NEVER did anything
> >> close to such HF communications...as an amateur or
> >> anything else.
> >
> > Neither did you, Lennie.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Poor Stebie...still envious AND
> FRUSTRATED. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Nope...Not frustrated, Lennie...but just amazed at how much time
you ahd on your hands to try and redirect from your own newsgroup
silliness.

> > You were a readio mechanic.
>
> "Readio?" Reallio?

Typo. My Bad. You were still only a radio mechanic.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Primary MOS of Microwave Radio Relay
> Operation and Maintenance Supervisor.

M A I N T E N A N C E.

As in "mechanic".

> Secondary MOS
> of Fixed Station (transmitters) Radio Operation and
> Maintenance Supervisor...and a few other little duties
> such as Carrier Operation and Maintenance (not that
> those Western Electric units had either drift or
> failure). The VHF-UHF radio relay equipment operation
> and maintenance fell under my primary MOS.

Maintenance, maintenance, maintenance.

R A D I O M E C H A N I C.

Not one word of "OPERATOR" in there...Thanks for backing me up,
Lennie.

> > Period. You were not a message
> >center clerk...You were not responsible for determining operating
> >frequencies, modes, etc.
>
> Tsk, tsk. The Chief Signal Officer, Washington DC,
> did that sort of deciding for ALL involved in ACAN -
> STARCOM - DCS and still does for all the alphabet
> soup organization evolvement that followed after the
> 1960s.

You were not a message center clerk...You were not responsible for
determining operating frequencies, modes, etc.

Big snippage of usual stuff.

> > (1) This forum is about Amateur Radio in the 21st
century...Not
> >Army communications in the 1950's.
>
> TSK, TSK, TSK, NURSIE!
>
> 1. This forum is NOT for Stebie's experiences with
> the Commanding General of the First Marine Air
> Wing in NINETINE EIGHTY ONE!!! [24 years ago]

Nope. But It was one of SEVERAL assignments that placed me in a
position to OPERATE radios...Something you've not done.

> 2. This forum is NOT for Stebie's BRAGS of mighty
> murine service in 'SEVEN HOSTILE ACTIONS!'

"murine"...What's "murine", other than a commercial eye care
product. You wouldn't be proactively "dissing" the military service of
another, would you, Lennie?

You's emphatically stated in this forum that you don't do that.

> 3. This forum is NOT for Stebie's BRAGS of prowess
> in SUTURING or about his "medical qualifications."

Wasn't bragging, Lennie.
>
> 4. This forum is NOT for Stebie's continuing
> refusal to admit that MARS is >>NOT<< "run by
> amateurs." MARS is run by the MILITARY.

However this >>IS<< a forum for me to state that you continue to
promulgate a lie by trying to perpetuate that lie, Lennie.

I never said that MARS was not run by the military, Lennie.

Failure to validate that assertion is yet another LennieLie.

I HAVE said, and correctly so, that No Amateur Radio = No MARS.

> 5. This forum is NOT about MARS at all, although
> the ASSIGNED MARS frequencies are OUTSIDE
> the ham bands. [sunnuvagun!]

Sunnuvagun! Participation in the MARS program is predicated upon
having an AMATEUR RADIO LICENSE...Remember...The one YOU said you
didn't need to have to be a MARS participant.

> > (2) YOU are the only one arguing about Morse
Code-vs-teleprinter
> >traffic, Lennie. The rest of us moved on YEARS ago.
>
> BULL#####. Don't you PCTAs give that song and dance.
> You PCTAs can't dance and your singing voices are as
> flat as the 1930's attempted revival of vaudeville.

Ahhhhhhhhhh....More profanity to fill in the holes...And
Lennie...there you go giving orders again...And you always insinuating
that "PCTA'S are Nazis"...

> You MIGHTY MACHO MORSEMEN just CANNOT admit that TTY
> was already downsizing manual morse a half century
> ago. Sucks to be retrograde recreators of ancient
> times, doesn't it?

I wouldn't know...I am not the "retrograde recreator" of anything.

> > (3) You are still not licensed in nor have any practical
> >experience in AMATEUR RADIO communications.
>
> TSK, TSK, TSK. I'm a PROFESSIONAL in radio, have been
> since 1953.

You are still not licensed in nor ahve any practical experience
in AMATEUR RADIO communications.

> > You continue to make
> >significant errors on matters of Amateur policy AND practice.
>
> BULL#####. >>YOU<< do NOT set what is "right" and
> what is "wrong."

No, I don't.

But the fact remains that you continue to make errors, almost on a
weekly basis, about Amateur Radio practice and policy.

> Stebie, cut the bull#####. I began in HF radio
> before you were born. I've both OPERATED and
> DESIGNED and BUILT (protoype) radios over MORE of
> the EM spectrum than amateurs have been allowed

> to in the 71 year life of the FCC.

But the fact remains that you continue to make errors, almost on a
weekly basis, about Amateur Radio practice and policy.

> > Had you not STARTED
> >it, perhaps we'd be carrying on a different conversation today.
>
> 1. I didn't "start" anything but an opposition to
> the morse code test for an AMATEUR radio license.

You are a liar.

You started in on the "Nazi", thugs", etc etc etc. Deja'd,
Googled, filed for posterity.

> 3. I began and was QUALIFED to operate high-power HF
> transmitters in 1953...(SNIP)

You were qualified to MAINTAIN those radios. You were not a
qualified operator.

> (UNSNIP>>>haven't bothered much to
> get AUTHORIZATION to do so as an amateur...even
> though I've legally operated on HF (last year,
> from a sailboat, SSB 20 W PEP) without ANY radio
> license. Sunnuvagun!

Actually that was year-before-last, Lennie...Or so your rants have
gone...

> > No more or no less than you are the role model of today's
> >electronics engineer.

> I do NOT try to be any "role model of an engineer."

> It is impossible
> to be so ONE-SIDED and stubborn as you've shown
> in these "discussions" and still hold a job.

I am not interviewing with you for a job. I wouldn't work for a
habitual liar like you, Lennie...

> I've held my jobs, never been fired or "told to leave."

No doubt. But you've not been asked back to at least one.

Probably more.

> The name of this newsgroup's game seems to be
> junior high name-calling, posturing and
> preening over "seven hostile actions" and other
> wannabe stuff. [try for "HALO drop" one of the
> classic pipe-dreams of Stebie] Makes it even
> tougher for any newcomer to show interest in any

> hobby radio when all these middle-school drop-
> outs wanna be the Dill Instructor, closing the
> main gate to all but "their kind."

"Their kind", Lennie...?!?!

I've put far more NCT's into licenses than I have Extras.

I DO know that pleanty of new hams are licensed every day...with
AND without Morse Code skills. I know...I help a lot of them get here.

How many kids have YOU helped into an electronics career or
Amateur Radio, Lennie...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

94. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 13:32:29 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <PZpde.3491$D91.3418@fed1read01>
Source record: 107323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

John Smith wrote:

> AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and
> the EMP sizzles their front ends...
>
> Regards,
> John
same could be asked for most consumer grade electronics devices (including
CB radios). Believe me, they haven't yet built a cb or a ham rig that can
handle a close lightning strike (same effect as an emp blast at 70 miles
up) unless you are talking about old tube gear.

anymore, most everything solid state is made to be as "cheap" as possible.
to harden against the effects of EMP and other "radiation" sources would
make everything almost unaffordable (unless you are a government or
military org).

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCdivthomUgK3bdaYRAoXXAKCk8426TaR4sQYxnuSyU5CXgJgLGwCeIB72
BOpwei32TemisxqBI08SgQM=
=ZiPU
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

95. Re: rrap cry babies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 13:37:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115041071.811881.77900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107325

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1114962703.934911.189470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> >
> > > aa2qa and kb3eia whine whine whine
> > > rrap cry babies
> > >
> >
> > And my first Userbeam email too!
> >
> > Während ich das Baby sein kann, das schreit, sind Sie ein
Feigling.
>
> I was gonna say that this guy is about as German as the Pope, but
> DANG! the new Pope IS German...
>
> What did you do to get these anonymous cowards railing on YOU,
> Mike...?!?! You and Jim Hampton are two of the coolest heads on the
> group! Maybe that's what torques their bolts...???
>
> Hello, Steve
>
> Maybe since I was on dial-up and AT&T didn't have newsgroups caused
me to be
> a bit inactive and not likely to defend myself?

Just like the ALC's to do that.

> I'm up and running on 3 megabaud DSL now. Clear the decks LOL
>
> The reality is that a lot of the folks that love to post anonymous
stuff
> have nothing better to do in their lives. Too bad, really.
>
> All that aside, this new DSL is a lot nicer than the old DSL that I
had and
> *far* superior to that dial-up. Plus, I'm saving about $5.00 per
month by
> going back to Frontier for all my phone services plus DSL. Nice.
56k to 3
> megabaud plus it costs less! :)

I am on Bellsouth Wideband and it's great. Not quite instantaneous click-and-go, but pretty darned close!

Welcome back.

73

Steve, K4YZ

96. Re: Steve suggests violence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 13:46:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115041573.391578.45490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107326

bb wrote:
> Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1114836093.379763.287230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > :
> > : I didn't introduce violence.
> > :
> >
> > Where is Brian with his Liar-Meter?
>
> Right here.
>
> > We just got a big fat juicy one! There was no mention in this
thread
> of
> > violence until Nursie introduced it with his words [an act of
> violence
> > against "people" like you].
>
> Steve is a cengenital, natural-born liar.

What's, "cengenital"...Another of your attempts to use medical
terminology...?!?!

> He did introduce violence to this thread, then said that he didn't.
He
> lied, but what's new?

I didn't, but it seems to be making you two happy!

> There have been so many lies recently that I lost count. At any
rate,
> it makes Steve look rediculous.

I am still waiting for you to cite lie #1, Brain, but you've not
even cited what you think is a lie...

In the mean time...still wonder where those Techs went...Maybe
they were chased away by all the ex-ARES guys who aren't responding any
more...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

97. A Not So Private Message to Hans, K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 14:15:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115043321.109257.193890@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107327

Dear Hans,

You have addressed several e-mails to me over the past few weeks, and I have responded, relatively civily I believe, to each one.

Yesterday you sent one making some nasty allegations, yet when I responded, I got your message from Earthlink telling me I am not on your happy list.

So...It's OK for you to drop letters in my e-mail, yet NOT ok to respond to you in the same forum...?!?!

Sheeesh! You have the cajones to lambaste >>ME<< about "control freak" issues, Hans...?!?!

I have no problem with you sending mail to me, even when it makes unfounded allegations. However you owe me the courtesy of allowing me to respond in the same forum.

Take notice...Any future e-mail sent to me marked "PRIVATE MESSAGE" that gets a "bounced message" notice when I respond will find it's entire contents posted to RRAP for reply.

And keep your "control freak" allegations to yourself, Hans...You have more than ample number of examples of your own "control freak" issues of your own, this being one of them.

Steve, K4YZ

98. Re: Two-faced Nursie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 14:18:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115043522.759026.206210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107328

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1114952975.574417.219760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> :
> : QUOTE:
> :
> : Sunday, May 01, 2005
> : NEWS
> : NEWS
> : FIRST THE SHOW WAS CANCELLED TODAY DUE TO ME BEING REALLY ILL.
SECONDLY
> : THAT ####ING ###HOLE GIRLFRIEND OF STEVE'S KC8GXW IS THREATING ON
THE
> : NEWSGROUP TO CAUSE INTERFERENCE WITH MY INFORMATION BULLETIN HERE
IS
> : HIS MESSAGES PROVEN HIS THREATING TO CAUSE INTERFERENCE
> :
>
> On one hand (face) the Nursie pontificates selfrighteously about
Toads
> filthy mouth on rrap, and on the other hand (face) the Nursie imports
Toads
> filthy mouth to rrap from outside! Priceless!

No, I didn't.

Please note replacement of profanities with pound signs.

And I see you're still an anonymous lying coward.

Steve, K4YZ

99. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 14:34:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115044446.147810.323110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107329

N9OGL wrote:
> I have never used my ham radio for commercial use nor have I used
foul
> language on it either.

I never said you used it for commercial purposes. I never said
you use profanity on the air...I said you have to POTENTIAL to be the
same potty mouth on the air as you are here.

> Steve assumes that since I do it on the internet
> then I do it on the ham radio.

I assume nothing. However people who insist on violating civil
decorum in one forum tend to do it in others.

Remember the Vice President's faux pas a few weeks ago...?!?!

> Steve has never heard my transmission yet he claims that's
> what I'm doing.

You continue to insist that I have never heard you on the air,
Todd, yet you've never been to my station to know if I have or not, nor
have you had any representitive in my home to attest one way or the
other.

Why do you keep making this assertion when you know it's not
true...?!?!

And what seventh grader did you pay to make that post for you,
Todd...?!?! No profanity...Proper grammar...You even got periods in
the right places. I am impressed...What ever you paid the kid, double
it and keep him/her around...

Congratulations.

73

Steve, K4YZ

100. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 15:12:25 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <NLydnd9P5oGF_evfRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
> An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to
> generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding the
> radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get another....
> And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf for a short
> duration--just long enough to fry the front end...
>
> Regards,
> John

Since all my radios, save for one two meter rig, are tube type, then I
will still be on the air.

101. Re: A Not So Private Message to Hans, K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 15:18:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qxrde.2970$HL2.136@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107330

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Take notice...Any future e-mail sent to me marked "PRIVATE
> MESSAGE" that gets a "bounced message" notice when I respond will find
> it's entire contents posted to RRAP for reply.
>

I have all 4 of the messages in question available on my computer. I sent them privately because I prefer not to embarrass you in public, but I can post them here if that suits your fancy.

Hans, K0HB

102. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 15:28:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115047718.416910.109120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107331

>I never said you used it for commercial purposes. I never said
>you use profanity on the air...I said you have to POTENTIAL to be the
>same potty mouth on the air as you are here.

Don't assume anything stevey, despite what YOU think the internet and
radio are two different media's are are regulated differently. What you
can not say on the radio you can say on the internet.

I'll ignore the rest of the crap you wrote.

Todd N9OGL

103. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 15:30:35 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <v_ydndUgsL1g-evfRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107340

Tapioca Pudding AKA two-test Wogie wrote:
> In article <EYSdnRqCBeWSdO_fRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey
>
>
>>Yes, indeed I did <blatherings>
>
>
> No, Gopher face, you got one in 1999.

BZZZZT! Wrong again wogie. Post some proof of this alleged letter I
received from the FCC in 1999. Either put up or shut up.
>
>
>>Never had to retest
>
>
> And it's a good thing, too, because you sure couldn't pass it if
> you had to retake it today.

I don't violate the rules, so the FCC never has ask me to retest, unlike
two-test wogie.

>
>>Bet wogie couldn't pass even the General exam, <blatherings>
>
>
> He's already proven he could, shit for brains. And he was only
> retested once, you alcoholic moron.

Two-test wogie couldn't pass if he had to take the test when they were
real tests, in front of an FCC examiner. He can only pass by taking them
at a license give-away VE session where the answers to the questions are
available to be memorized from a question pool. No knowledge required.
Wogie holds an Extra yet he doesn't have a clue about RMS or ask him
about negative SWR. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
Poor two-test, had to be retested because he violated FCC rules.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!
By the way two-test, there are more holders of the Advanced license than
Extra.

104. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 15:44:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115048654.608480.212090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107332

More mistruth from the N9OGL lie-fest, n9oglvoice.blogspot.com:

Well, the beat goes on, and the question is who's threating who? Let's start at he beginning, N9OGL (ME) announced on the newsgroup rec.radio.amateur,policy that I was going to run an information bulletin on HF. (An Information bulletin is not defined by the FCC except that an information bulletin has to be directed to amateur radio operators and consist solely of amateur radio material.(97.3(a)(26)

An "information bulletin" that you refer to as a "show". A "show" that is nothing more than a showcase for your rhetoric..

It was then that control freak Steven Robbieson K4YZ began his nazi style campaign against me and my information bulletin claiming it was illegal and even had the balls to tell my internet service provider that I was "promote wanton violation of federal regulations" (Letter to Illinois Consolidated Communication). He claimed all this #### despite the fact that I proved that he was full of ####.

Todd...I gave you a "pass" on this the first time around because I know it was too easy for you to get confused with everything going on...

You ARE promoting wanton violation of FCC rules and regulations. You have stated, on numerous occassions, that you operated both a "pirate" TV and FM station...You've said you'd do it again.

I gave a link to the FCC website that stated what I've been say the whole time, that my staion is legal and there NOTHING he can do about it.

So you have.

And >>I<< provided links to YOUR assertions of the aforementioned "pirate" operations. Along with your gross profanities, razor blade comments, references to my deceased daughter, etc etc etc.

Civil people don't act that way, Todd, and your ISP agreed. You were warned but blew it off.

He claims that I'm abusive yet he has to cry and whine like the little ##### he is, to my service provider claiming I'm promote wanton violation of federal law although he knows dam well that my information bulletin are legal,

It's not about your shows, Todd....

If he doesn't then he is just as dumb as I thought he was. Speaking of dumb, that brings us to steve's girlfriend Little Jimmy KC8GXW who claims he's going to run two radio's on either side of my information bulletin (malicious Interference).

And like I said...If he does, then that's on him...And my wife won't let me have a girlfriend, Todd.

Although he might think it funny that he and his little #### K4YZ is "yanking my chain" we will see if the FCC thinks their yanking my chain is funny!

I am not yanking your chain, Todd...I told you it had BEEN yanked...

So who's threating who? we have liar K4YZ and his little whore Jimmy getting on the newsgroup acting like they own the place and suggestion to cause malicious interference with my LEGAL station.

You SAY it's legal. We have no evidence of it. You randymouthed other correspondents about being "appliance operators", yet when tasked to list YOUR station, you balked.

I hope that people on that newsgroup wise up and kick Stevey and Jimmy harassing ###es off the newsgroup.

The harrassing one already got booted, Todd. At least for a while...The one who signed your name and call yesterday was actually polite and civil. Was that you, or did you give someone your password...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

105. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 15:45:58 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <p-ydnSvST-CU9OvfRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107342

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:yoWce.1764$V01.478@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> :
> : Yet we all know yelling "fire" in a theater is illegal and forbidden by
> : law...
> :
>
> Show us the law.
>
> Freedom of speech gives you the right. That doesn't mean you -do- that, it
> means you -have the freedom to do- that, which is an excellent freedom to
> have available if you should happen to see a fire in a theater.
>
> Practically, if you hear someone yell "FIRE!" then you have some decisions
> to make. Are you going to believe that person or not, especially when you
> see nothing? If you do believe this person, are you going to run for the
> door like a crazed animal, or quickly make your way to the exit in a
> civilized manner?
>
> Whichever you choose, it's -your- choice and -your- responsibility. It
> is -not- the responsibility of the person who yelled "FIRE!" that -you-
> chose one direction or another.
>
> Thinking that it is the responsibility of the person who yelled "FIRE!"
> strips your power away from you and makes you not responsible for your
> life. Is that what you want?

I suggest the next time you go to the theater, stand up in the middle of
the movie and yell "FIRE!!!!" and see what happens if there is no fire.
See if the people who belive you and exit the theater get arrested or if
you are the one who gets arrested.
You have the freedom to talk about hijacking a plane too, but go to an
airport and tell the security guard you are thinking of hijacking a
plane and see what happens. Freedom of speech does not give anyone the
right to say anything, anywhere, anytime they please without facing
possible consequences.

106. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 15:51:00 UTC
From: K HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <E%rde.2979$HL2.1231@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107333

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote

>I have never used my ham radio for commercial use nor have I used foul
> language on it either. Steve assumes that since I do it on the internet
> then I do it on the ham radio. Steve has never heard my transmission
> yet he claims that's what I'm doing.
> Todd N9OGL

Todd,

Thanks for cleaning up your language.

73, de Hans, K0HB

PS: I think you "bulletin station" is an idea which should not be tossed aside lightly. It should be hurled aside with great force. But that's just me.

107. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 16:19:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115050776.434272.87980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107334

Steve,

>An "information bulletin" that you refer to as a "show". A "show"
>that is nothing more than a showcase for your rhetoric..

Despite what you hink steve and information bulletin doesn't have to be a "newscast" it only has to be directed only to amteur radio operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service.

>Todd...I gave you a "pass" on this the first time around because I
>know it was too easy for you to get confused with everything going
>on...

>You ARE promoting wanton violation of FCC rules and regulations.
>You have stated, on numerous occassions, that you operated both a
>"pirate" TV and FM station...You've said you'd do it again.

First off I never ran a Pirate radio station, I applied for a LPFM license, Secondly that's between me and the FCC, and as I stated before they will NOT doing anything about, and finally You don't know what the HELL was going on so I suggest you shut your fucking mouth, that does concern you.

>And >>I<< provided links to YOUR assertions of the aforementioned
>"pirate" operations. Along with your gross profanities, razor blade
>comments, references to my deceased daughter, etc etc etc.
> Civil people don't act that way, Todd, and your ISP agreed. You
>were warned but blew it off.

First off you taking the razor blade too serious....I don't you name in that post and was not directed towards you directly. The problem with service porviders is they only respond to complaints they don't investigate the complaint. if my ISP was on here and realize what a PRICK you are perhaps they would change their mine.

>You SAY it's legal. We have no evidence of it. You randymouthed
>other correspondents about being "appliance operators", yet when
tasked
>to list YOUR station, you balked

Below there is a link to the FCC website where you and others on this website can read all about information bulletins

http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html

>The harrassing one already got booted, Todd. At least for a
>while...The one who signed your name and call yesterday was actually

>polite and civil. Was that you, or did you give someone your
>password...?!?!

Well steve on a final note I hope that people on this newsgroup wise up and get sick of your harassment and boot your sorry ass off the newsgroup

Todd N9OGL

108. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 16:34:27 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115051667.765621.144940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107335

Well Hans Information bulletins are legal and there's really nothing anyone can do about it. I'm currently working with the House Subcommittee on Telecommunication to resolve this information bulletin problem.

Todd N9OGL

109. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 16:57:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115053045.446773.177000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107336

Steve,

here's some light reading for you!!!

Todd N9OGL

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 96-511

JANET RENO, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES, et al., APPELLANTS
v. AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION et al.

on appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern
District of Pennsylvania

[June 26, 1997]

Justice Stevens delivered the opinion of the Court.

At issue is the constitutionality of two statutory provisions enacted to protect minors from "indecent" and "patently offensive" communications on the Internet. Notwithstanding the legitimacy and importance of the congressional goal of protecting children from harmful materials, we agree with the three judge District Court that the statute abridges "the freedom of speech" protected by the First Amendment. [n.1]

The District Court made extensive findings of fact, most of which were based on a detailed stipulation prepared by the parties. See 929 F. Supp. 824, 830-849 (ED Pa. 1996). [n.2] The findings describe the character and the dimensions of the Internet, the availability ofsexually explicit material in that medium, and the problems confronting age verification for recipients of Internet communications. Because those findings provide the underpinnings for the legal issues, we begin with a summary of the undisputed facts.

The Internet

The Internet is an international network of interconnected computers. It is the outgrowth of what began in 1969 as a military program called "ARPANET," [n.3] which was designed to enable computers operated by the military, defense contractors, and universities conducting defense related research to communicate with one another by redundant channels even if some portions of the network were damaged in a war. While the

ARPANET no longer exists, it provided an example for the development of a number of civilian networks that, eventually linking with each other, now enable tens of millions of people to communicate with one another and to access vast amounts of information from around the world. The Internet is "a unique and wholly new medium of worldwide human communication." [n.4]

The Internet has experienced "extraordinary growth." [n.5] The number of "host" computers--those that store information and relay communications--increased from about 300 in 1981 to approximately 9,400,000 by the time of the trial in 1996. Roughly 60% of these hosts are located in the United States. About 40 million people used the Internet at the time of trial, a number that is expected to mushroom to 200 million by 1999.

Individuals can obtain access to the Internet frommany different sources, generally hosts themselves or entities with a host affiliation. Most colleges and universities provide access for their students and faculty; many corporations provide their employees with access through an office network; many communities and local libraries provide free access; and an increasing number of storefront "computer coffee shops" provide access for a small hourly fee. Several major national "online services" such as America Online, CompuServe, the Microsoft Network, and Prodigy offer access to their own extensive proprietary networks as well as a link to the much larger resources of the Internet. These commercial online services had almost 12 million individual subscribers at the time of trial.

Anyone with access to the Internet may take advantage of a wide variety of communication and information retrieval methods. These methods are constantly evolving and difficult to categorize precisely. But, as presently constituted, those most relevant to this case are electronic mail ("e mail"), automatic mailing list services ("mail exploders," sometimes referred to as "listservs"), "newsgroups," "chat rooms," and the "World Wide Web." All of these methods can be used to transmit text; most can transmit sound, pictures, and moving video images. Taken together, these tools constitute a unique medium--known to its users as "cyberspace"--located in no particular geographical location but available to anyone, anywhere in the world, with access to the Internet.

E mail enables an individual to send an electronic message--generally akin to a note or letter--to another individual or to a group of addressees. The message is generally stored electronically, sometimes waiting for the recipient to check her "mailbox" and sometimes making its receipt known through some type of prompt. A mail exploder is a sort of e mail group. Subscribers can send messages to a common e mail address, which then forwards the message to the group's other subscribers.Newsgroups also serve groups of regular participants, but these postings may be read by others as well. There are thousands of such groups, each serving to foster an exchange of information or

opinion on a particular topic running the gamut from, say, the music of Wagner to Balkan politics to AIDS prevention to the Chicago Bulls. About 100,000 new messages are posted every day. In most newsgroups, postings are automatically purged at regular intervals. In addition to posting a message that can be read later, two or more individuals wishing to communicate more immediately can enter a chat room to engage in real time dialogue--in other words, by typing messages to one another that appear almost immediately on the others' computer screens. The District Court found that at any given time "tens of thousands of users are engaging in conversations on a huge range of subjects." [n.6] It is "no exaggeration to conclude that the content on the Internet is as diverse as human thought." [n.7]

The best known category of communication over the Internet is the World Wide Web, which allows users to search for and retrieve information stored in remote computers, as well as, in some cases, to communicate back to designated sites. In concrete terms, the Web consists of a vast number of documents stored in different computers all over the world. Some of these documents are simply files containing information. However, more elaborate documents, commonly known as Web "pages," are also prevalent. Each has its own address--%rather like a telephone number." [n.8] Web pages frequently contain information and sometimes allow the viewer to communicate with the page's (or "site's") author. They generally also contain "links" to otherdocuments created by that site's author or to other (generally) related sites. Typically, the links are either blue or underlined text--sometimes images.

Navigating the Web is relatively straightforward. A user may either type the address of a known page or enter one or more keywords into a commercial "search engine" in an effort to locate sites on a subject of interest. A particular Web page may contain the information sought by the "surfer," or, through its links, it may be an avenue to other documents located anywhere on the Internet. Users generally explore a given Web page, or move to another, by clicking a computer "mouse" on one of the page's icons or links. Access to most Web pages is freely available, but some allow access only to those who have purchased the right from a commercial provider. The Web is thus comparable, from the readers' viewpoint, to both a vast library including millions of readily available and indexed publications and a sprawling mall offering goods and services.

>From the publishers' point of view, it constitutes a vast platform from which to address and hear from a world wide audience of millions of readers, viewers, researchers, and buyers. Any person or organization with a computer connected to the Internet can "publish" information. Publishers include government agencies, educational institutions, commercial entities, advocacy groups, and individuals. [n.9] Publishers may either make their material available to the entire pool of Internet users, or confine access to a selected group, such as those willing to pay for the privilege. "No single organization controls any membership in the Web, nor is there any centralized point from which individual

Web sites or services can be blocked from the Web." [n.10]

Sexually Explicit Material

Sexually explicit material on the Internet includes text, pictures, and chat and "extends from the modestly titillating to the hardest core." [n.11] These files are created, named, and posted in the same manner as material that is not sexually explicit, and may be accessed either deliberately or unintentionally during the course of an imprecise search. "Once a provider posts its content on the Internet, it cannot prevent that content from entering any community." [n.12] Thus, for example,

"when the UCR/California Museum of Photography posts to its Web site nudes by Edward Weston and Robert Mapplethorpe to announce that its new exhibit will travel to Baltimore and New York City, those images are available not only in Los Angeles, Baltimore, and New York City, but also in Cincinnati, Mobile, or Beijing--wherever Internet users live. Similarly, the safer sex instructions that Critical Path posts to its Web site, written in street language so that the teenage receiver can understand them, are available not just in Philadelphia, but also in Provo and Prague." [n.13]

Some of the communications over the Internet that originate in foreign countries are also sexually explicit. [n.14]

Though such material is widely available, users seldomencounter such content accidentally. "A document's title or a description of the document will usually appear before the document itself . . . and in many cases the user will receive detailed information about a site's content before he or she need take the step to access the document. Almost all sexually explicit images are preceded by warnings as to the content." [n.15] For that reason, the "odds are slim" that a user would enter a sexually explicit site by accident. [n.16] Unlike communications received by radio or television, "the receipt of information on the Internet requires a series of affirmative steps more deliberate and directed than merely turning a dial. A child requires some sophistication and some ability to read to retrieve material and thereby to use the Internet unattended." [n.17]

Systems have been developed to help parents control the material that may be available on a home computer with Internet access. A system may either limit a computer's access to an approved list of sources that have been identified as containing no adult material, it may block designated inappropriate sites, or it may attempt to block messages containing identifiable objectionable features. "Although parental control software currently can screen for certain suggestive words or for known sexually explicit sites, it cannot now screen for sexually explicit images." [n.18] Nevertheless, the evidence indicates that "a reasonably effective method by which parents can prevent their children from accessing sexually explicit and other material which parents may believe is inappropriate for their children will soon be available."

[n.19]

Age Verification

The problem of age verification differs for different uses of the Internet. The District Court categorically determined that there "is no effective way to determine the identity or the age of a user who is accessing material through e mail, mail exploders, newsgroups or chat rooms." [n.20] The Government offered no evidence that there was a reliable way to screen recipients and participants in such fora for age. Moreover, even if it were technologically feasible to block minors' access to newsgroups and chat rooms containing discussions of art, politics or other subjects that potentially elicit "indecent" or "patently offensive" contributions, it would not be possible to block their access to that material and "still allow them access to the remaining content, even if the overwhelming majority of that content was not indecent." [n.21]

Technology exists by which an operator of a Web site may condition access on the verification of requested information such as a credit card number or an adult password. Credit card verification is only feasible, however, either in connection with a commercial transaction in which the card is used, or by payment to averification agency. Using credit card possession as a surrogate for proof of age would impose costs on non commercial Web sites that would require many of them to shut down. For that reason, at the time of the trial, credit card verification was "effectively unavailable to a substantial number of Internet content providers." Id., at 846 (finding 102). Moreover, the imposition of such a requirement "would completely bar adults who do not have a credit card and lack the resources to obtain one from accessing any blocked material." [n.22]

Commercial pornographic sites that charge their users for access have assigned them passwords as a method of age verification. The record does not contain any evidence concerning the reliability of these technologies. Even if passwords are effective for commercial purveyors of indecent material, the District Court found that an adult password requirement would impose significant burdens on noncommercial sites, both because they would discourage users from accessing their sites and because the cost of creating and maintaining such screening systems would be "beyond their reach." [n.23]

In sum, the District Court found:

"Even if credit card verification or adult password verification were implemented, the Government presented no testimony as to how such systems could ensure that the user of the password or credit card is in fact over 18. The burdens imposed by credit card verification and adult password verification systems make them effectively unavailable to a substantial number of Internet content providers." Ibid. (finding 107).

The Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56, was an unusually important legislative enactment. As stated on the first of its 103 pages, its primary purpose was to reduce regulation and encourage "the rapid deployment of new telecommunications technologies." The major components of the statute have nothing to do with the Internet; they were designed to promote competition in the local telephone service market, the multichannel video market, and the market for over the air broadcasting. The Act includes seven Titles, six of which are the product of extensive committee hearings and the subject of discussion in Reports prepared by Committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives. By contrast, Title V--known as the "Communications Decency Act of 1996" (CDA)--contains provisions that were either added in executive committee after the hearings were concluded or as amendments offered during floor debate on the legislation. An amendment offered in the Senate was the source of the two statutory provisions challenged in this case. [n.24] Theyare informally described as the "indecent transmission" provision and the "patently offensive display" provision. [n.25]

The first, 47 U. S. C. A. §223(a) (Supp. 1997), prohibits the knowing transmission of obscene or indecent messages to any recipient under 18 years of age. It provides in pertinent part:

"(a) Whoever--

%(1) in interstate or foreign communications--

. . . . .

"(B) by means of a telecommunications device knowingly--

%(i) makes, creates, or solicits, and

%(ii) initiates the transmission of,

%any comment, request, suggestion, proposal, image, or other communication which is obscene or indecent, knowing that the recipient of the communication is under 18 years of age, regardless of whether the maker of such communication placedthe call or initiated the communication;

. . . . .

"(2) knowingly permits any telecommunications facility under his control to be used for any activity prohibited by paragraph (1) with the intent that it be used for such activity,

%shall be fined under Title 18, or imprisoned not more than two years, or both."

The second provision, §223(d), prohibits the knowing sending or displaying of patently offensive messages in a manner that is available to a person under 18 years of age. It provides:

"(d) Whoever--

"(1) in interstate or foreign communications knowingly--

"(A) uses an interactive computer service to send to a specific person or persons under 18 years of age, or

"(B) uses any interactive computer service to display in a manner available to a person under 18 years of age,

%any comment, request, suggestion, proposal, image, or other communication that, in context, depicts or describes, in terms patently offensive asmeasured by contemporary community standards, sexual or excretory activities or organs, regardless of whether the user of such service placed the call or initiated the communication; or

"(2) knowingly permits any telecommunications facility under such person's control to be used for an activity prohibited by paragraph (1) with the intent that it be used for such activity,

%shall be fined under Title 18, or imprisoned not more than two years, or both."

The breadth of these prohibitions is qualified by two affirmative defenses. See §223(e)(5). [n.26] One covers those who take "good faith, reasonable, effective, and appropriate actions" to restrict access by minors to the prohibited communications. §223(e)(5)(A). The other covers those who restrict access to covered material by requiring certain designated forms of age proof, such as a verified credit card or an adult identification number or code. §223(e)(5)(B).

On February 8, 1996, immediately after the Presidentsigned the statute, 20 plaintiffs [n.27] filed suit against the Attorney General of the United States and the Department of Justice challenging the constitutionality of §§223(a)(1) and 223(d). A week later, based on his conclusion that the term "indecent" was too vague to provide the basis for a criminal prosecution, District Judge Buckwalter entered a temporary restraining order against enforcement of §223(a)(1)(B)(ii) insofar as it applies to indecent communications. A second suit was then filed by 27 additional plaintiffs, [n.28] the two cases were consolidated, and a three judge District Court was convened pursuant to §561 of the Act. [n.29] After an evidentiary hearing, that Court entered a preliminary injunction against enforcement of both of the challenged provisions. Each of the three judges wrote a separate opinion, but their judgment was unanimous.

Chief Judge Sloviter doubted the strength of the Government's interest in regulating "the vast range of online material covered or potentially covered by the CDA," but acknowledged that the interest was "compelling" with respect to some of that material. 929 F. Supp., at 853. She concluded, nonetheless, that the statute "sweeps more broadly than necessary and thereby chills the expression of adults" and that the terms "patently offensive" and "indecent" were "inherently vague." Id., at 854. She also determined that the affirmative defenses were not "technologically or economically feasible for most providers," specifically considering and rejecting an argument that providers could

avoid liability by "tagging" their material in a manner that would allow potential readers to screen out unwanted transmissions. Id., at 856. Chief Judge Sloviter also rejected the Government's suggestion that the scope of the statute could be narrowed by construing it to apply only to commercial pornographers. Id., at 854-855.

Judge Buckwalter concluded that the word "indecent" in §223(a)(1)(B) and the terms "patently offensive" and "in context" in §223(d)(1) were so vague that criminal enforcement of either section would violate the "fundamental constitutional principle" of "simple fairness," id., at 861, and the specific protections of the First and Fifth Amendments, id., at 858. He found no statutory basis for the Government's argument that the challenged provisions would be applied only to "pornographic" materials, noting that, unlike obscenity, "indecency has not been defined to exclude works of serious literary, artistic, political or scientific value." Id., at 863. Moreover, the Government's claim that the work must be considered patently offensive "in context" was itself vague because the relevant context might "refer to, among other things, the nature of the communication as a whole, the time of day it was conveyed, the medium used, the identity of the speaker, or whether or not it is accompanied by appropriate warnings." Id., at 864. He believed that the unique nature of the Internet aggravated the vagueness of the statute. Id., at 865, n. 9.

Judge Dalzell's review of "the special attributes of Internet communication" disclosed by the evidence convinced him that the First Amendment denies Congress the power to regulate the content of protected speech on the Internet. Id., at 867. His opinion explained at length why he believed the Act would abridge significant protected speech, particularly by noncommercial speakers, while "[p]erversely, commercial pornographers would remain relatively unaffected." Id., at 879. He construed our cases as requiring a "medium specific" approach to the analysis of the regulation of mass communication, id., at 873, and concluded that the Internet--as "the most participatory form of mass speech yet developed," id., at 883--is entitled to "the highest protection from governmental intrusion," ibid. [n.30]

The judgment of the District Court enjoins the Government from enforcing the prohibitions in §223(a)(1)(B) insofar as they relate to "indecent" communications, but expressly preserves the Government's right to investigate and prosecute the obscenity or child pornography activities prohibited therein. The injunction against enforcement of §§223(d)(1) and (2) is unqualified because those provisions contain no separate reference to obscenity or child pornography.

The Government appealed under the Act's special review provisions, §561, 110 Stat. 142-143, and we noted probable jurisdiction, see 519 U. S. ___ (1996). In its appeal, the Government argues that the District Court erred in holding that the CDA violated both the First Amendment because it is overbroad and the Fifth Amendment because it is vague. While we discuss the vagueness of the CDA because of its

relevance to the First Amendment overbreadth inquiry, we conclude that the judgment should be affirmed without reaching the Fifth Amendment issue. We begin our analysis by reviewing the principal authorities on which the Government relies. Then, after describing the overbreadth of the CDA, we consider the Government's specific contentions, including its submission that we save portions of the statute either by severance or by fashioning judicial limitations on the scope of its coverage.

In arguing for reversal, the Government contends that the CDA is plainly constitutional under three ofour prior decisions: (1) Ginsberg v. New York, 390 U.S. 629 (1968); (2) FCC v. Pacifica Foundation, 438 U.S. 726 (1978); and (3) Renton v. Playtime Theatres, Inc., 475 U.S. 41 (1986). A close look at these cases, however, raises--rather than relieves--doubts concerning the constitutionality of the CDA.

In Ginsberg, we upheld the constitutionality of a New York statute that prohibited selling to minors under 17 years of age material that was considered obscene as to them even if not obscene as to adults. We rejected the defendant's broad submission that "the scope of the constitutional freedom of expression secured to a citizen to read or see material concerned with sex cannot be made to depend on whether the citizen is an adult or a minor." 390 U. S., at 636. In rejecting that contention, we relied not only on the State's independent interest in the well being of its youth, but also on our consistent recognition of the principle that "the parents' claim to authority in their own household to direct the rearing of their children is basic in the structure of our society." [n.31] In four important respects, the statute upheld in Ginsberg was narrower than the CDA. First, we noted in Ginsberg that "the prohibition against sales to minors does not bar parents who so desire from purchasing the magazines for their children." Id., at 639. Under the CDA, by contrast, neither the parents' consent--nor even their participation--in the communication would avoid the application of the statute. [n.32] Second, the NewYork statute applied only to commercial transactions, id., at 647, whereas the CDA contains no such limitation. Third, the New York statute cabined its definition of material that is harmful to minors with the requirement that it be "utterly without redeeming social importance for minors." Id., at 646. The CDA fails to provide us with any definition of the term "indecent" as used in§223(a)(1) and, importantly, omits any requirement that the "patently offensive" material covered by §223(d) lack serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value. Fourth, the New York statute defined a minor as a person under the age of 17, whereas the CDA, in applying to all those under 18 years, includes an additional year of those nearest majority.

In Pacifica, we upheld a declaratory order of the Federal Communications Commission, holding that the broadcast of a recording of a 12-minute monologue entitled "Filthy Words" that had previously been delivered to a live audience "could have been the subject of administrative sanctions." 438 U. S., at 730 (internal quotations omitted). The Commission had found that the repetitive use of certain

words referring to excretory or sexual activities or organs "in an afternoon broadcast when children are in the audience was patently offensive" and concluded that the monologue was indecent "as broadcast." Id., at 735. The respondent did not quarrel with the finding that the afternoon broadcast was patently offensive, but contended that it was not "indecent" within the meaning of the relevant statutes because it contained no prurient appeal. After rejecting respondent's statutory arguments, we confronted its two constitutional arguments: (1) that the Commission's construction of its authority to ban indecent speech was so broad that its order had to be set aside even if thebroadcast at issue was unprotected; and (2) that since the recording was not obscene, the First Amendment forbade any abridgement of the right to broadcast it on the radio.

In the portion of the lead opinion not joined by Justices Powell and Blackmun, the plurality stated that the First Amendment does not prohibit all governmental regulation that depends on the content of speech. Id., at 742-743. Accordingly, the availability of constitutional protection for a vulgar and offensive monologue that was not obscene depended on the context of the broadcast. Id., at 744-748. Relying on the premise that "of all forms of communication" broadcasting had received the most limited First Amendment protection, id., at 748-749, the Court concluded that the ease with which children may obtain access to broadcasts, "coupled with the concerns recognized in Ginsberg," justified special treatment of indecent broadcasting. Id., at 749-750.

As with the New York statute at issue in Ginsberg, there are significant differences between the order upheld in Pacifica and the CDA. First, the order in Pacifica, issued by an agency that had been regulating radio stations for decades, targeted a specific broadcast that represented a rather dramatic departure from traditional program content in order to designate when--rather than whether--it would be permissible to air such a program in that particular medium. The CDA's broad categorical prohibitions are not limited to particular times and are not dependent on any evaluation by an agency familiar with the unique characteristics of the Internet. Second, unlike the CDA, the Commission's declaratory order was not punitive; we expressly refused to decide whether the indecent broadcast "would justify a criminal prosecution." Id., at 750. Finally, the Commission's order applied to a medium which as a matter of history had "received the most limited First Amendment protection," id., at 748, in large part because warnings could not adequately protect the listener from unexpected program content. The Internet, however, has no comparable history. Moreover, the District Court found that the risk of encountering indecent material by accident is remote because a series of affirmative steps is required to access specific material.

In Renton, we upheld a zoning ordinance that kept adult movie theatres out of residential neighborhoods. The ordinance was aimed, not at the content of the films shown in the theaters, but rather at the

"secondary effects"--such as crime and deteriorating property values--that these theaters fostered: " `It is th[e] secondary effect which these zoning ordinances attempt to avoid, not the dissemination of "offensive" speech.' " 475 U. S., at 49 (quoting Young v. American Mini Theatres, Inc., 427 U.S. 50, 71, n. 34 (1976)). According to the Government, the CDA is constitutional because it constitutes a sort of "cyberzoning" on the Internet. But the CDA applies broadly to the entire universe of cyberspace. And the purpose of the CDA is to protect children from the primary effects of "indecent" and "patently offensive" speech, rather than any "secondary" effect of such speech. Thus, the CDA is a content based blanket restriction on speech, and, as such, cannot be "properly analyzed as a form of time, place, and manner regulation." 475 U. S., at 46. See also Boos v. Barry, 485 U.S. 312, 321 (1988) ("Regulations that focus on the direct impact of speech on its audience" are not properly analyzed under Renton); Forsyth County v. Nationalist Movement, 505 U.S. 123, 134 (1992) ("Listeners' reaction to speech is not a content neutral basis for regulation").

These precedents, then, surely do not require us to uphold the CDA and are fully consistent with the application of the moststringent review of its provisions.

In Southeastern Promotions, Ltd. v. Conrad, 420 U.S. 546, 557 (1975), we observed that "[e]ach medium of expression . . . may present its own problems." Thus, some of our cases have recognized special justifications for regulation of the broadcast media that are not applicable to other speakers, see Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. FCC, 395 U.S. 367 (1969); FCC v. Pacifica Foundation, 438 U.S. 726 (1978). In these cases, the Court relied on the history of extensive government regulation of the broadcast medium, see, e.g., Red Lion, 395 U. S., at 399-400; the scarcity of available frequencies at its inception, see, e.g., Turner Broadcasting System, Inc. v. FCC, 512 U.S. 622, 637-638 (1994); and its "invasive" nature, see Sable Communications of Cal., Inc. v. FCC, 492 U.S. 115, 128 (1989).

Those factors are not present in cyberspace. Neither before nor after the enactment of the CDA have the vast democratic fora of the Internet been subject to the type of government supervision and regulation that has attended the broadcast industry. [n.33] Moreover, the Internet is not as "invasive" as radio or television. The District Court specifically found that "[c]ommunications over the Internet do not `invade' an individual's home or appear on one's computer screen unbidden. Users seldom encounter content `by accident.' " 929 F. Supp., at844 (finding 88). It also found that "[a]lmost all sexually explicit images are preceded by warnings as to the content," and cited testimony that " `odds are slim' that a user would come across a sexually explicit sight by accident." Ibid.

We distinguished Pacifica in Sable, 492 U. S., at 128, on just this basis. In Sable, a company engaged in the business of offering sexually oriented prerecorded telephone messages (popularly known as "dial a

porn") challenged the constitutionality of an amendment to the Communications Act that imposed a blanket prohibition on indecent as well as obscene interstate commercial telephone messages. We held that the statute was constitutional insofar as it applied to obscene messages but invalid as applied to indecent messages. In attempting to justify the complete ban and criminalization of indecent commercial telephone messages, the Government relied on Pacifica, arguing that the ban was necessary to prevent children from gaining access to such messages. We agreed that "there is a compelling interest in protecting the physical and psychological well being of minors" which extended to shielding them from indecent messages that are not obscene by adult standards, 492 U. S., at 126, but distinguished our "emphatically narrow holding" in Pacifica because it did not involve a complete ban and because it involved a different medium of communication, id., at 127. We explained that "the dial it medium requires the listener to take affirmative steps to receive the communication." Id., at 127-128. "Placing a telephone call," we continued, "is not the same as turning on a radio and being taken by surprise by an indecent message." Id., at 128.

Finally, unlike the conditions that prevailed when Congress first authorized regulation of the broadcast spectrum, the Internet can hardly be considered a "scarce" expressive commodity. It provides relatively unlimited, low cost capacity for communication of allkinds. The Government estimates that "[a]s many as 40 million people use the Internet today, and that figure is expected to grow to 200 million by 1999." [n.34] This dynamic, multifaceted category of communication includes not only traditional print and news services, but also audio, video, and still images, as well as interactive, real time dialogue. Through the use of chat rooms, any person with a phone line can become a town crier with a voice that resonates farther than it could from any soapbox. Through the use of Web pages, mail exploders, and newsgroups, the same individual can become a pamphleteer. As the District Court found, "the content on the Internet is as diverse as human thought." 929 F. Supp., at 842 (finding 74). We agree with its conclusion that our cases provide no basis for qualifying the level of First Amendment scrutiny that should be applied to this medium.

Regardless of whether the CDA is so vague that it violates the Fifth Amendment, the many ambiguities concerning the scope of its coverage render it problematic for purposes of the First Amendment. For instance, each of the two parts of the CDA uses a different linguistic form. The first uses the word "indecent," 47 U. S. C. A. §223(a) (Supp. 1997), while the second speaks of material that "in context, depicts or describes, in terms patently offensive as measured by contemporary community standards, sexual or excretory activities or organs," §223(d). Given the absence of a definition of either term, [n.35] this difference in language will provokeuncertainty among speakers about how the two standards relate to each other [n.36] and just what they mean. [n.37] Could a spe aker confidently assume that a serious discussion about birth control practices, homosexuality, the

First Amendment issues raised by the Appendix to our Pacifica opinion, or the consequences of prison rape would not violate the CDA? This uncertainty undermines the likelihood that the CDA has been carefully tailored to the congressional goal of protecting minors from potentially harmful materials.

The vagueness of the CDA is a matter of special concern for two reasons. First, the CDA is a content based regulation of speech. The vagueness of such a regulation raises special First Amendment concerns because of its obvious chilling effect on free speech. See, e.g., Gentile v. State Bar of Nev., 501 U.S. 1030, 1048-1051 (1991). Second, the CDA is a criminal statute. In addition to the opprobrium and stigma of a criminal conviction, the CDA threatens violators with penalties including up to two years in prison for each act of violation. The severity of criminal sanctions may well cause speakers to remain silent rather than communicate even arguably unlawful words, ideas, and images. See, e.g., Dombrowski v. Pfister, 380 U.S. 479, 494 (1965). As a practical matter, this increased deterrent effect, coupled with the "risk of discriminatory enforcement" of vague regulations, poses greater First Amendment concerns than those implicated by the civil regulation reviewed in Denver Area Ed. Telecommunications Consortium, Inc. v. FCC, 518 U. S. ___ (1996).

The Government argues that the statute is no more vague than the obscenity standard this Court established in Millerv. California, 413 U.S. 15 (1973). But that is not so. In Miller, this Court reviewed a criminal conviction against a commercial vendor who mailed brochures containing pictures of sexually explicit activities to individuals who had not requested such materials. Id., at 18. Having struggled for some time to establish a definition of obscenity, we set forth in Miller the test for obscenity that controls to this day:

"(a) whether the average person, applying contemporary community standards would find that the work, taken as a whole, appeals to the prurient interest; (b) whether the work depicts or describes, in a patently offensive way, sexual conduct specifically defined by the applicable state law; and (c) whether the work, taken as a whole, lacks serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value." Id., at 24 (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

Because the CDA's "patently offensive" standard (and, we assume arguendo, its synonymous "indecent" standard) is one part of the three prong Miller test, the Government reasons, it cannot be unconstitutionally vague.

The Government's assertion is incorrect as a matter of fact. The second prong of the Miller test--the purportedly analogous standard--contains a critical requirement that is omitted from the CDA: that the proscribed material be "specifically defined by the applicable state law." This requirement reduces the vagueness inherent in the open ended term "patently offensive" as used in the CDA. Moreover, the Miller definition is limited to "sexual conduct," whereas the CDA extends also

to include (1) "excretory activities" as well as (2) "organs" of both a sexual and excretory nature.

The Government's reasoning is also flawed. Just because a definition including three limitations is not vague, it does not follow that one of those limitations, standing by itself, is not vague. [n.38] Each of Miller's additional two prongs--(1) that, taken as a whole, the material appeal to the "prurient" interest, and (2) that it "lac[k] serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value"--critically limits the uncertain sweep of the obscenity definition. The second requirement is particularly important because, unlike the "patently offensive" and "prurient interest" criteria, it is not judged by contemporary community standards. See Pope v. Illinois, 481 U.S. 497, 500 (1987). This "societal value" requirement, absent in the CDA, allows appellate courts to impose some limitations and regularity on the definition by setting, as a matter of law, a national floor for socially redeeming value. The Government's contention that courts will be able to give such legal limitations to the CDA's standards is belied by Miller's own rationale for having juries determine whether material is "patently offensive" according to community standards: that such questions are essentially ones of fact. [n.39]

In contrast to Miller and our other previous cases, the CDA thus presents a greater threat of censoring speech that, in fact, falls outside the statute's scope. Given the vague contours of the coverage of the statute, it unquestionably silences some speakers whose messages would be entitled to constitutional protection. That danger provides further reason for insisting that the statute not be overly broad. The CDA's burden on protected speech cannot be justified if it could be avoided by a more carefully drafted statute.

We are persuaded that the CDA lacks the precision that the First Amendment requires when a statute regulates the content of speech. In order to deny minors access to potentially harmful speech, the CDA effectively suppresses a large amount of speech that adults have a constitutional right to receive and to address to one another. That burden on adult speech is unacceptable if less restrictive alternatives would be at least as effective in achieving the legitimate purpose that the statute was enacted to serve.

In evaluating the free speech rights of adults, we have made it perfectly clear that "[s]exual expression which is indecent but not obscene is protected by the First Amendment." Sable, 492 U. S., at 126. See also Carey v. Population Services Int'l, 431 U.S. 678, 701 (1977) ("[W]here obscenity is not involved, we have consistentlyheld that the fact that protected speech may be offensive to some does not justify its suppression"). Indeed, Pacifica itself

admonished that "the fact that society may find speech offensive is not a sufficient reason for suppressing it." 438 U. S., at 745.

It is true that we have repeatedly recognized the governmental interest in protecting children from harmful materials. See Ginsberg, 390 U. S., at 639; Pacifica, 438 U. S., at 749. But that interest does not justify an unnecessarily broad suppression of speech addressed to adults. As we have explained, the Government may not "reduc[e] the adult population . . . to . . . only what is fit for children." Denver, 518 U. S., at ___ (slip op., at 29) (internal quotation marks omitted) (quoting Sable, 492 U. S., at 128). [n.40] "[R]egardless of the strength of the government's interest" in protecting children, "[t]he level of discourse reaching a mailbox simply cannot be limited to that which would be suitable for a sandbox." Bolger v. Youngs Drug Products Corp., 463 U.S. 60, 74-75 (1983).

The District Court was correct to conclude that the CDA effectively resembles the ban on "dial a porn" invalidated in Sable. 929 F. Supp., at 854. In Sable, 492 U. S., at 129, this Court rejected the argument that we should defer to the congressional judgment that nothing less than a total ban would be effective in preventing enterprising youngsters from gaining access to indecent communications. Sable thus made clear that the mere fact that a statutory regulation of speech was enactedfor the important purpose of protecting children from exposure to sexually explicit material does not foreclose inquiry into its validity. [n.41] As we pointed out last Term, that inquiry embodies an "over arching commitment" to make sure that Congress has designed its statute to accomplish its purpose "without imposing an unnecessarily great restriction on speech." Denver, 518 U. S., at ___ (slip op., at 11).

In arguing that the CDA does not so diminish adult communication, the Government relies on the incorrect factual premise that prohibiting a transmission whenever it is known that one of its recipients is a minor would not interfere with adult to adult communication. The findings of the District Court make clear that this premise is untenable.

Given the size of the potential audience for most messages, in the absence of a viable age verification process, the sender must be charged with knowing that one or more minors will likely view it. Knowledge that, for instance, one or more members of a 100 person chat group will be minor--and therefore that it would be a crime to send the group an indecent message--would surely burden communication among adults. [n.42]

The District Court found that at the time of trial existing technology did not include any effective method for a sender to prevent minors from obtaining access to its communications on the Internet without also denying access to adults. The Court found no effective way to determine the age of a user who is accessing material through e mail, mail exploders, newsgroups, or chat rooms. 929 F. Supp., at 845 (findings 90-94). As a practical matter, the Court also found that it would be prohibitively expensive for noncommercial--as well as some commercial--speakers who have Web sites to verify that their users are adults. Id., at 845-848 (findings 95-116). [n.43] These limitations

must inevitably curtail a significant amount of adult communication on the Internet. By contrast, the District Court found that "[d]espite its limitations, currently available user based software suggests that a reasonably effective method by which parents can prevent their children from accessing sexually explicit and other material which parents may believe is inappropriate for their children will soon be widely available." Id., at 842 (finding 73) (emphases added).

The breadth of the CDA's coverage is wholly unprecedented. Unlike the regulations upheld in Ginsberg and Pacifica, the scope of the CDA is not limited to commercial speech or commercial entities. Its open ended prohibitions embrace all nonprofit entities and individuals posting indecent messages or displaying them on their own computers in the presence of minors. The general,undefined terms "indecent" and "patently offensive" cover large amounts of nonpornographic material with serious educational or other value. [n.44] Moreover, the "community standards" criterion as applied to the Internet means that any communication available to a nation wide audience will be judged by the standards of the community most likely to be offended by the message. [n.45] The regulated subject matter includes any of the seven "dirty words" used in the Pacifica monologue, the use of which the Government's expert acknowledged could constitute a felony. See Olsen Test., Tr. Vol. V, 53:16-54:10. It may also extend to discussions about prison rape or safe sexual practices, artistic images that include nude subjects, and arguably the card catalogue of the Carnegie Library.

For the purposes of our decision, we need neither accept nor reject the Government's submission that the First Amendment does not forbid a blanket prohibition on all "indecent" and "patently offensive" messages communicated to a 17 year old--no matter how much valuethe message may contain and regardless of parental approval. It is at least clear that the strength of the Government's interest in protecting minors is not equally strong throughout the coverage of this broad statute. Under the CDA, a parent allowing her 17 year old to use the family computer to obtain information on the Internet that she, in her parental judgment, deems appropriate could face a lengthy prison term. See 47 U. S. C. A. §223(a)(2) (Supp. 1997). Similarly, a parent who sent his 17 year old college freshman information on birth control via e mail could be incarcerated even though neither he, his child, nor anyone in their home community, found the material "indecent" or "patently offensive," if the college town's community thought otherwise.

The breadth of this content based restriction of speech imposes an especially heavy burden on the Government to explain why a less restrictive provision would not be as effective as the CDA. It has not done so. The arguments in this Court have referred to possible alternatives such as requiring that indecent material be "tagged" in a way that facilitates parental control of material coming into their homes, making exceptions for messages with artistic or educational value, providing some tolerance for parental choice, and regulating some portions of the Internet--such as commercial web

sites--differently than others, such as chat rooms. Particularly in the light of the absence of any detailed findings by the Congress, or even hearings addressing the special problems of the CDA, we are persuaded that the CDA is not narrowly tailored if that requirement has any meaning at all.

In an attempt to curtail the CDA's facial overbreadth, the Government advances three additional arguments for sustaining the Act's affirmative prohibitions: (1) thatthe CDA is constitutional because it leaves open ample "alternative channels" of communication; (2) that the plain meaning of the Act's "knowledge" and "specific person" requirement significantly restricts its permissible applications; and (3) that the Act's prohibitions are "almost always" limited to material lacking redeeming social value.

The Government first contends that, even though the CDA effectively censors discourse on many of the Internet's modalities--such as chat groups, newsgroups, and mail exploders--it is nonetheless constitutional because it provides a "reasonable opportunity" for speakers to engage in the restricted speech on the World Wide Web. Brief for Appellants 39. This argument is unpersuasive because the CDA regulates speech on the basis of its content. A "time, place, and manner" analysis is therefore inapplicable. See Consolidated Edison Co. of N. Y. v. Public Serv. Comm'n of N. Y., 447 U.S. 530, 536 (1980). It is thus immaterial whether such speech would be feasible on the Web (which, as the Government's own expert acknowledged, would cost up to $10,000 if the speaker's interests were not accommodated by an existing Web site, not including costs for database management and age verification). The Government's position is equivalent to arguing that a statute could ban leaflets on certain subjects as long as individuals are free to publish books. In invalidating a number of laws that banned leafletting on the streets regardless of their content--we explained that "one is not to have the exercise of his liberty of expression in appropriate places abridged on the plea that it may be exercised in some other place." Schneider v. State (Town of Irvington), 308 U.S. 147, 163 (1939).

The Government also asserts that the "knowledge" requirement of both §§223(a) and (d), especially when coupled with the "specific child" element found in §223(d), saves the CDA from overbreadth. Because bothsections prohibit the dissemination of indecent messages only to persons known to be under 18, the Government argues, it does not require transmitters to "refrain from communicating indecent material to adults; they need only refrain from disseminating such materials to persons they know to be under 18." Brief for Appellants 24. This argument ignores the fact that most Internet fora--including chat rooms, newsgroups, mail exploders, and the Web--are open to all comers. The Government's assertion that the knowledge requirement somehow protects the communications of adults is therefore untenable. Even the strongest reading of the "specific person" requirement of §223(d) cannot save the statute. It would confer broad powers of censorship, in

the form of a "heckler's veto," upon any opponent of indecent speech who might simply log on and inform the would be discoursers that his 17 year old child--a "specific person . . . under 18 years of age," 47 U. S. C. A. §223(d)(1)(A) (Supp. 1997)--would be present.

Finally, we find no textual support for the Government's submission that material having scientific, educational, or other redeeming social value will necessarily fall outside the CDA's "patently offensive" and "indecent" prohibitions. See also n. 37, supra.

The Government's three remaining arguments focus on the defenses provided in §223(e)(5). [n.46] First, relying on the "good faith, reasonable, effective, and appropriate actions" provision, the Government suggests that "tagging" provides a defense that saves the constitutionality of the Act. The suggestion assumes that transmitters may encode their indecent communications in a way that would indicate their contents, thus permitting recipients to block their reception with appropriatesoftware. It is the requirement that the good faith action must be "effective" that makes this defense illusory. The Government recognizes that its proposed screening software does not currently exist. Even if it did, there is no way to know whether a potential recipient will actually block the encoded material. Without the impossible knowledge that every guardian in America is screening for the "tag," the transmitter could not reasonably rely on its action to be "effective."

For its second and third arguments concerning defenses--which we can consider together--the Government relies on the latter half of §223(e)(5), which applies when the transmitter has restricted access by requiring use of a verified credit card or adult identification. Such verification is not only technologically available but actually is used by commercial providers of sexually explicit material. These providers, therefore, would be protected by the defense. Under the findings of the District Court, however, it is not economically feasible for most noncommercial speakers to employ such verification. Accordingly, this defense would not significantly narrow the statute's burden on noncommercial speech. Even with respect to the commercial pornographers that would be protected by the defense, the Government failed to adduce any evidence that these verification techniques actually preclude minors from posing as adults. [n.47] Given that the risk of criminal sanctions "hovers over each content provider, like the proverbial sword of Damocles," [n.48] the District Court correctly refused to rely on unproven future technology to save the statute. The Government thus failed to prove that theproffered defense would significantly reduce the heavy burden on adult speech produced by the prohibition on offensive displays.

We agree with the District Court's conclusion that the CDA places an unacceptably heavy burden on protected speech, and that the defenses do not constitute the sort of "narrow tailoring" that will save an otherwise patently invalid unconstitutional provision. In Sable, 492 U.

S., at 127, we remarked that the speech restriction at issue there amounted to " `burn[ing] the house to roast the pig.' " The CDA, casting a far darker shadow over free speech, threatens to torch a large segment of the Internet community.

At oral argument, the Government relied heavily on its ultimate fall back position: If this Court should conclude that the CDA is insufficiently tailored, it urged, we should save the statute's constitutionality by honoring the severability clause, see 47 U.S.C. § 608 and construing nonseverable terms narrowly. In only one respect is this argument acceptable.

A severability clause requires textual provisions that can be severed. We will follow §608's guidance by leaving constitutional textual elements of the statute intact in the one place where they are, in fact, severable. The "indecency" provision, 47 U. S. C. A. §223(a) (Supp. 1997), applies to "any comment, request, suggestion, proposal, image, or other communication which is obscene or indecent." (Emphasis added.) Appellees do not challenge the application of the statute to obscene speech, which, they acknowledge, can be banned totally because it enjoys no First Amendment protection. See Miller, 413 U. S., at 18. As set forth by the statute, the restriction of "obscene" material enjoys a textual manifestation separate from that for "indecent" material, which we have held unconstitutional. Therefore, we willsever the term "or indecent" from the statute, leaving the rest of §223(a) standing. In no other respect, however, can §223(a) or §223(d) be saved by such a textual surgery.

The Government also draws on an additional, less traditional aspect of the CDA's severability clause, 47 U. S. C., §608, which asks any reviewing court that holds the statute facially unconstitutional not to invalidate the CDA in application to "other persons or circumstances" that might be constitutionally permissible. It further invokes this Court's admonition that, absent "countervailing considerations," a statute should "be declared invalid to the extent it reaches too far, but otherwise left intact." Brockett v. Spokane Arcades, Inc., 472 U.S. 491, 503-504 (1985). There are two flaws in this argument.

First, the statute that grants our jurisdiction for this expedited review, 47 U. S. C. A. §561 (Supp. 1997), limits that jurisdictional grant to actions challenging the CDA "on its face." Consistent with §561, the plaintiffs who brought this suit and the three judge panel that decided it treated it as a facial challenge. We have no authority, in this particular posture, to convert this litigation into an "as applied" challenge. Nor, given the vast array of plaintiffs, the range of their expressive activities, and the vagueness of the statute, would it be practicable to limit our holding to a judicially defined set of specific applications.

Second, one of the "countervailing considerations" mentioned in Brockett is present here. In considering a facial challenge, this Court may impose a limiting construction on a statute only if it is "readily

susceptible" to such a construction. Virginia v. American Bookseller's Assn., Inc., 484 U.S. 383, 397 (1988). See also Erznoznik, v. Jacksonville, 422 U.S. 205, 216 (1975) ("readily subject" to narrowing construction). The open ended character of the CDA provides no guidance whatever for limiting its coverage.

This case is therefore unlike those in which we have construed a statute narrowly because the text or other source of congressional intent identified a clear line that this Court could draw. Cf., e.g., Brockett, 472 U. S., at 504-505 (invalidating obscenity statute only to the extent that word "lust" was actually or effectively excised from statute); United States v. Grace, 461 U.S. 171, 180-183 (1983) (invalidating federal statute banning expressive displays only insofar as it extended to public sidewalks when clear line could be drawn between sidewalks and other grounds that comported with congressional purpose of protecting the building, grounds, and people therein). Rather, our decision in United States v. Treasury Employees, 513 U.S. 454, 479, n. 26 (1995), is applicable. In that case, we declined to "dra[w] one or more lines between categories of speech covered by an overly broad statute, when Congress has sent inconsistent signals as to where the new line or lines should be drawn" because doing so "involves a far more serious invasion of the legislative domain." [n.49] This Court "will not rewrite a . . . law to conform it to constitutional requirements." American Booksellers, 484 U. S., at 397. [n.50]

In this Court, though not in the District Court, the Government asserts that--in addition to its interest in protecting children--its "[e]qually significant" interest in fostering the growth of the Internet provides an independent basis for upholding the constitutionality of the CDA. Brief for Appellants 19. The Government apparently assumes that the unregulated availability of "indecent" and "patently offensive" material on the Internet is driving countless citizens away from the medium because of the risk of exposing themselves or their children to harmful material.

We find this argument singularly unpersuasive. The dramatic expansion of this new marketplace of ideas contradicts the factual basis of this contention. The record demonstrates that the growth of the Internet has been and continues to be phenomenal. As a matter of constitutional tradition, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, we presume that governmental regulation of the content of speech is more likely to interfere with the free exchange of ideas than to encourage it. The interest in encouraging freedom of expression in a democratic society outweighs any theoretical but unproven benefit of censorship.

For the foregoing reasons, the judgment of the district court is affirmed.

It is so ordered.

Notes

1 "Congress shall make no law . . . abridging the freedom of speech." U. S. Const., Amdt. 1.

2 The Court made 410 findings, including 356 paragraphs of the parties' stipulation and 54 findings based on evidence received in open court. See 929 F. Supp. at 830, n. 9, 842, n. 15.

3 An acronym for the network developed by the Advanced Research Project Agency.

4 Id., at 844 (finding 81).

5 Id., at 831 (finding 3).

6 Id., at 835 (finding 27).

7 Id., at 842 (finding 74).

8 Id., at 836 (finding 36).

9 "Web publishing is simple enough that thousands of individual users and small community organizations are using the Web to publish their own personal `home pages,' the equivalent of individualized newsletters about the person or organization, which are available to everyone on the Web." Id., at 837 (finding 42).

10 Id., at 838 (finding 46).

11 Id., at 844 (finding 82).

12 Ibid. (finding 86).

13 Ibid. (finding 85).

14 Id., at 848 (finding 117).

15 Id., at 844-845 (finding 88).

16 Ibid.

17 Id., at 845 (finding 89).

18 Id., at 842 (finding 72).

19 Ibid. (finding 73).

20 Id., at 845 (finding 90): "An e mail address provides no authoritative information about the addressee, who may use an e mail `alias' or an anonymous remailer. There is also no universal or reliable listing of e mail addresses and corresponding names or telephone numbers, and any such listing would be or rapidly become incomplete. For these reasons, there is no reliable way in many instances for a sender to know if the e mail recipient is an adult or a minor. The difficulty of e mail age verification is compounded for mail exploders such as listservs, which automatically send information to all e mail addresses on a sender's list. Government expert Dr. Olsen

agreed that no current technology could give a speaker assurance that only adults were listed in a particular mail exploder's mailing list."

21 Ibid. (finding 93).

22 Id., at 846 (finding 102).

23 Id., at 847 (findings 104-106):

"At least some, if not almost all, non commercial organizations, such as the ACLU, Stop Prisoner Rape or Critical Path AIDS Project, regard charging listeners to access their speech as contrary to their goals of making their materials available to a wide audience free of charge.

. . . . .

"There is evidence suggesting that adult users, particularly casual Web browsers, would be discouraged from retrieving information that required use of a credit card or password. Andrew Anker testified that HotWired has received many complaints from its members about HotWired's registration system, which requires only that a member supply a name, e mail address and self created password. There is concern by commercial content providers that age verification requirements would decrease advertising and revenue because advertisers depend on a demonstration that the sites arewidely available and frequently visited."

24 See Exon Amendment No. 1268, 141 Cong. Rec. S8120 (June 9, 1995). See also id., at S8087. This amendment, as revised, became§502 of the Communications Act of 1996, 110 Stat. 133, 47 U. S. C. A. §§223(a)%(e) (Supp. 1997). Some Members of the House of Representatives opposed the Exon Amendment because they thought it "possible for our parents now to child proof the family computer with these products available in the private sector." They also thought the Senate's approach would "involve the Federal Government spending vast sums of money trying to define elusive terms that are going to lead to a flood of legal challenges while our kids are unprotected." These Members offered an amendment intended as a substitute for the Exon Amendment, but instead enacted as an additional section of the Act entitled "Online Family Empowerment." See 110 Stat. 137, 47 U. S. C. A. §230 (Supp. 1997); 141 Cong. Rec. H8468-H8472. No hearings were held on the provisions that became law. See S. Rep. No. 104-23 (1995), p. 9. After the Senate adopted the Exon amendment, however, its Judiciary Committee did conduct a one day hearing on "Cyberporn and Children." In his opening statement at that hearing, Senator Leahy observed:

"It really struck me in your opening statement when you mentioned, Mr. Chairman, that it is the first ever hearing, and you are absolutely right. And yet we had a major debate on the floor, passed legislation overwhelmingly on a subject involving the Internet, legislation that could dramatically change--some would say even wreak havoc--on the Internet. The Senate went in willy nilly, passed legislation, and never once had a hearing, never once had a discussion other than an hour or so on the floor." Cyberporn and Children: The Scope of the Problem, The

State of the Technology, and the Need for Congressional Action, Hearing on S. 892 before the Senate Committee on the Judiciary, 104th Cong., 1st Sess., 7-8 (1995).

25 Although the Government and the dissent break §223(d)(1) into two separate "patently offensive" and "display" provisions, we follow the convention of both parties below, as well the District Court's order and opinion, in describing §223(d)(1) as one provision.

26 In full, § 223(e)(5) provides:

"(5) It is a defense to a prosecution under subsection (a)(1)(B) or (d) of this section, or under subsection (a)(2) of this section with respect to the use of a facility for an activity under subsection (a)(1)(B) of this section that a person--

"(A) has taken, in good faith, reasonable, effective, and appropriate actions under the circumstances to restrict or prevent access by minors to a communication specified in such subsections, which may involve any appropriate measures to restrict minors from such communications, including any method which is feasible under available technology; or

"(B) has restricted access to such communication by requiring use of a verified credit card, debit account, adult access code, or adult personal identification number."

27 American Civil Liberties Union; Human Rights Watch; Electronic Privacy Information Center; Electronic Frontier Foundation; Journalism Education Association; Computer Professionals for Social Responsibility; National Writers Union; Clarinet Communications Corp.; Institute for Global Communications; Stop Prisoner Rape; AIDS Education Global Information System; Bibliobytes; Queer Resources Directory; Critical Path AIDS Project, Inc.; Wildcat Press, Inc.; Declan McCullagh dba Justice on Campus; Brock Meeks dba Cyberwire Dispatch; John Troyer dba The Safer Sex Page; JonathanWallace dba The Ethical Spectacle; and Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc.

28 American Library Association; America Online, Inc.; American Booksellers Association, Inc.; American Booksellers Foundation for Free Expression; American Society of Newspaper Editors; Apple Computer, Inc.; Association of American Publishers, Inc.; Association of Publishers, Editors and Writers; Citizens Internet Empowerment Coalition; Commercial Internet Exchange Association; CompuServe Incorporated; Families Against Internet Censorship; Freedom to Read Foundation, Inc.; Health Sciences Libraries Consortium; Hotwired Ventures LLC; Interactive Digital Software Association; Interactive Services Association; Magazine Publishers of America; Microsoft Corporation; The Microsoft Network, L. L. C.; National Press Photographers Association; Netcom On Line Communication Services, Inc.; Newspaper Association of America; Opnet, Inc.; Prodigy Services Company; Society of Professional Journalists; Wired Ventures, Ltd.

29 110 Stat. 142-143, note following 47 U. S. C. A. §223 (Supp.1997).

30 See also 929 F. Supp., at 877: "Four related characteristics of Internet communication have a transcendent importance to our shared holding that the CDA is unconstitutional on its face. We explain these characteristics in our Findings of fact above, and I only rehearse them briefly here. First, the Internet presents very low barriers to entry. Second, these barriers to entry are identical for both speakers and listeners. Third, as a result of these low barriers, astoundingly diverse content is available on the Internet. Fourth, the Internet provides significant access to all who wish to speak in the medium, and even creates a relative parity among speakers." According to Judge Dalzell, these characteristics and therest of the District Court's findings "lead to the conclusion that Congress may not regulate indecency on the Internet at all." Ibid. Because appellees do not press this argument before this Court, we do not consider it. Appellees also do not dispute that the Government generally has a compelling interest in protecting minors from "indecent" and "patently offensive" speech.

31 390 U. S., at 639. We quoted from Prince v. Massachusetts, 321 U.S. 158, 166 (1944): "It is cardinal with us that the custody, care and nurture of the child reside first in the parents, whose primary function and freedom include preparation for obligations the state can neither supply nor hinder."

32 Given the likelihood that many E mail transmissions from an adult to a minor are conversations between family members, it is therefore incorrect for the dissent to suggest that the provisions of the CDA, even in this narrow area, "are no different from the lawwe sustained in Ginsberg." Post, at 8.

33 Cf. Pacifica Foundation v. FCC, 556 F. 2d 9, 36 (CADC 1977) (Levanthal, J., dissenting), rev'd, FCC v. Pacifica Foundation, 438 U.S. 726 (1978). When Pacifica was decided, given that radio stations were allowed to operate only pursuant to federal license, and that Congress had enacted legislation prohibiting licensees from broadcasting indecent speech, there was a risk that members of the radio audience might infer some sort of official or societal approval of whatever was heard over the radio, see 556 F. 2d, at 37, n. 18. No such risk attends messages received through the Internet, which is not supervised by any federal agency.

34 Juris. Statement 3 (citing 929 F. Supp., at 831 (finding 3)).

35 "Indecent" does not benefit from any textual embellishment at all. "Patently offensive" is qualified only to the extent that it involves "sexual or excretory activities or organs" taken "in context" and "measured by contemporary community standards."

36 See Gozlon Peretz v. United States, 498 U.S. 395, 404 (1991) ("Where Congress includes particular language in one section of a statute but omits it in another section of the same Act, it is generally presumed that Congress acts intentionally and purposely in the disparate inclusion and exclusion") (internal quotation marks omitted).

37 The statute does not indicate whether the "patently offensive" and "indecent" determinations should be made with respect to minors or the population as a whole. The Government asserts that the appropriate standard is "what is suitable material for minors." Reply Brief for Appellants 18, n. 13 (citing Ginsberg v. New York, 390 U.S. 629, 633 (1968)). But the Conferees expressly rejected amendments that would have imposed such a "harmful to minors" standard. See S. Conf. Rep. No. 104-230, p. 189 (1996) (S. Conf. Rep.), 142 Cong. Rec. H1145, H1165-1166 (Feb. 1, 1996). The Conferees also rejected amendments that would have limited the proscribed materials to those lacking redeeming value. See S. Conf. Rep., at 189, 142 Cong. Rec. H1165-1166 (Feb. 1, 1996).

38 Even though the word "trunk," standing alone, might refer to luggage, a swimming suit, the base of a tree, or the long nose of an animal, its meaning is clear when it is one prong of a three part description of a species of gray animals.

39 413 U. S., at 30 (Determinations of "what appeals to the `prurient interest' or is `patently offensive'. . . . are essentially questions of fact, and our Nation is simply too big and too diverse for this Court to reasonably expect that such standards could be articulated for all 50 States in a single formulation, even assuming the prerequisite consensus exists"). The CDA, which implements the "contemporary community standards" language of Miller, thus conflicts with the Conferees' own assertion that the CDA was intended "to establish a uniform national standard of content regulation." S. Conf. Rep., at 191.

40 Accord, Butler v. Michigan, 352 U.S. 380, 383 (1957) (ban on sale to adults of books deemed harmful to children unconstitutional); Sable Communications of Cal., Inc. v. FCC, 492 U.S. 115, 128 (1989) (ban on "dial a porn" messages unconstitutional); Bolger v. Youngs Drug Products Corp., 463 U.S. 60, 73 (1983) (ban on mailing of unsolicited advertisement for contraceptives unconstitutional).

41 The lack of legislative attention to the statute at issue in Sable suggests another parallel with this case. Compare 492 U. S., at 129-130 ("[A]side from conclusory statements during the debates by proponents of the bill, as well as similar assertions in hearings on a substantially identical bill the year before, . . . the congressional record presented to us contains no evidence as to how effective or ineffective the FCC's most recent regulations were or might prove to be. . . . No Congressman or Senator purported to present a considered judgment with respect to how often or to what extent minors could or would circumvent the rules and have access to dial a porn messages") with n. 24, supra.

42 The Government agrees that these provisions are applicable whenever "a sender transmits a message to more than one recipient, knowing that at least one of the specific persons receiving themessage is a minor." Opposition to Motion to Affirm and Reply to Juris. Statement 4-5, n. 1.

43 The Government asserts that "[t]here is nothing constitutionally suspect about requiring commercial Web site operators . . . to shoulder the modest burdens associated with their use." Brief for Appellants 35. As a matter of fact, however, there is no evidence that a "modest burden" would be effective.

44 Transmitting obscenity and child pornography, whether via the Internet or other means, is already illegal under federal law for both adults and juveniles. See 18 U.S.C. §§ 1464-1465 (criminalizing obscenity); §2251 (criminalizing child pornography). In fact, when Congress was considering the CDA, the Government expressed its view that the law was unnecessary because existing laws already authorized its ongoing efforts to prosecute obscenity, child pornography, and child solicitation. See 141 Cong. Rec. S8342 (June 14, 1995) (letter from Kent Markus, Acting Assistant Attorney General,U. S. Department of Justice, to Sen. Leahy).

45 Citing Church of Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. Hialeah, 508 U.S. 520 (1993), among other cases, appellees offer an additional reason why, in their view, the CDA fails strict scrutiny. Because so much sexually explicit content originates overseas, they argue, the CDA cannot be "effective." Brief for Appellees American Library Association et al. 33-34. This argument raises difficult issues regarding the intended, as well as the permissible scope of, extraterritorial application of the CDA. We find it unnecessary to address those issues to dispose of this case.

46 For the full text of §223(e)(5), see n. 26, supra.

47 Thus, ironically, this defense may significantly protect commercial purveyors of obscene postings while providing little (or no) benefit for transmitters of indecent messages that have significant social or artistic value.

48 929 F. Supp., at 855-856.

49 As this Court long ago explained, "It would certainly be dangerous if the Legislature could set a net large enough to catch all possible offenders and leave it to the courts to step inside and say who could be rightfully be detained and who should be set at large. This would, to some extent, substitute the judicial for the legislative department of the government." United States v. Reese, 92 U.S. 214, 221 (1876). In part because of these separation of powers concerns, we have held that a severability clause is "an aid merely; not an inexorable command." Dorchy v. Kansas, 264 U.S. 286, 290 (1924).

50 See also Osborne v. Ohio, 495 U.S. 103, 121 (1990) (judicial rewriting of statutes would derogate Congress's "incentive to draft a narrowly tailored law in the first place").

110. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 17:21:39 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <Dktde.2957$7F4.116@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107338

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:yoWce.1764$V01.478@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...

:
: Yet we all know yelling "fire" in a theater is illegal and forbidden by
: law...
:

Show us the law.

Freedom of speech gives you the right. That doesn't mean you -do- that, it means you -have the freedom to do- that, which is an excellent freedom to have available if you should happen to see a fire in a theater.

Practically, if you hear someone yell "FIRE!" then you have some decisions to make. Are you going to believe that person or not, especially when you see nothing? If you do believe this person, are you going to run for the door like a crazed animal, or quickly make your way to the exit in a civilized manner?

Whichever you choose, it's -your- choice and -your- responsibility. It is -not- the responsibility of the person who yelled "FIRE!" that -you- chose one direction or another.

Thinking that it is the responsibility of the person who yelled "FIRE!" strips your power away from you and makes you not responsible for your life. Is that what you want?

73,

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

111. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 17:29:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115054994.757507.39910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107339

N9OGL wrote:
> >I never said you used it for commercial purposes. I never said
> >you use profanity on the air...I said you have to POTENTIAL to be
the
> >same potty mouth on the air as you are here.
>
> Don't assume anything stevey, despite what YOU think the internet and
> radio are two different media's are are regulated differently. What
you
> can not say on the radio you can say on the internet.
>
> I'll ignore the rest of the crap you wrote.

Of course you will...You can't answer any of it in any meaningful
way that DOESN'T make you look like a fool...so take the easy way out.

Steve, K4YZ

112. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 17:51:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115056272.775158.11140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107341

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve,
>
> >An "information bulletin" that you refer to as a "show". A "show"
> >that is nothing more than a showcase for your rhetoric..
>
> Despite what you hink steve and information bulletin doesn't have to
be
> a "newscast" it only has to be directed only to amteur radio
operators
> consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur
> service.

You'd best read up on what a "bulletin" is, Todd.

You're broadcasting a show.

> >Todd...I gave you a "pass" on this the first time around because I
> >know it was too easy for you to get confused with everything going
> >on...
>
> >You ARE promoting wanton violation of FCC rules and regulations.
> >You have stated, on numerous occassions, that you operated both a
> >"pirate" TV and FM station...You've said you'd do it again.
>
> First off I never ran a Pirate radio station...(SNIP)

Then you're lying to SOMEone, Todd, because there's a ton of
messages with you bragging about your illegal stations. Including a
ton of messages signed off with your "pirate" station ID.

Some of those copied messages are on THEIR way to Washington.
Some are on their way to Springfield.

I wonder how much "support" you're going to get once some office
staffer starts to "research" those links...?!?!

> I applied for a LPFM license...(SNIP)

So you did. And the FCC wisely denied it.

> Secondly that's between me and the FCC...(SNIP)

Wrong.

ANY...repeat ANY application for licensure by the Federal
Communications Commission is a matter of public record. Whether it's
for a broadcast license, an Amateur license, GMRS, etc.

> and as I stated before
> they will NOT doing anything about, and finally You don't know what
the
> HELL was going on so I suggest you shut your ####ing mouth, that does
> concern you.

Well, Todd...You A L M O S T made it 24 hours without resorting
to potty mouth.

A N Y T H I N G Todd Daugherty does with a radio transmitter that
isn't under the control of the NTIA, the Armed Forces, or the
security/intel agencies D O E S concern me.

Ask the FCC.

> >And >>I<< provided links to YOUR assertions of the aforementioned
> >"pirate" operations. Along with your gross profanities, razor blade
> >comments, references to my deceased daughter, etc etc etc.
> > Civil people don't act that way, Todd, and your ISP agreed. You
> >were warned but blew it off.
>
> First off you taking the razor blade too serious....

I tend to take razor blades seriously, Todd.

> I don't you name in
> that post and was not directed towards you directly. The problem with
> service porviders is they only respond to complaints they don't
> investigate the complaint. if my ISP was on here and realize what a
> PRICK you are perhaps they would change their mine.

Perhaps they'd see what kind of language you use and how
absolutely assinine your rants are.

And hey, what happened to the 7th grader that so eloquently
prepared that other post....?!?!

You really had me going for a while there!

> >You SAY it's legal. We have no evidence of it. You randymouthed
> >other correspondents about being "appliance operators", yet when
> tasked
> >to list YOUR station, you balked
>
> Below there is a link to the FCC website where you and others on this
> website can read all about information bulletins
>
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html

You know what...It's no wonder you can't keep a civil or organized
thought in your head, Todd.

We were talking about your STATION...Not your shows.

> >The harrassing one already got booted, Todd. At least for a
> >while...The one who signed your name and call yesterday was actually
> >polite and civil. Was that you, or did you give someone your
> >password...?!?!
>
> Well steve on a final note I hope that people on this newsgroup wise
up
> and get sick of your harassment and boot your sorry ### off the
> newsgroup

There's been far worse than me here, Todd. Strangely enough all
the ner-do-well's have originated in Illinois.

Why is that?

Steve, K4YZ

113. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 17:53:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115056439.328622.136710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107343

N9OGL wrote:
> Well Hans Information bulletins are legal and there's really nothing
> anyone can do about it. I'm currently working with the House
> Subcommittee on Telecommunication to resolve this information
bulletin
> problem.

The "problem", Todd, is that you are part of the problem.

And I wouldn't hold out too much hope for much help from "The
House" any more, Todd...Especially after they get a look at your USENET
track record.

Good luck.

Steve, K4YZ

114. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 17:58:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115056709.434454.89520@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107344

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve,
>
> here's some light reading for you!!!

Interesting, Todd.

And within that document are at least four caveats that prevent the transmission of "sexually oriented" material contrary to the desires of the incidental receiver.

Find them if you can, Todd...It only took me 5 minutes...

Steve, K4YZ

115. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 18:00:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115056852.078025.48850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107345

Steve,
I'M SOOOOOOO SCARED!!!!

ASSHOLE

Todd N9OGL

116. Re: A Not So Private Message to Hans, K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 18:02:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115056921.834422.54330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107346

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Take notice...Any future e-mail sent to me marked "PRIVATE
> > MESSAGE" that gets a "bounced message" notice when I respond will
find
> > it's entire contents posted to RRAP for reply.
> >
>
> I have all 4 of the messages in question available on my computer. I
sent them
> privately because I prefer not to embarrass you in public, but I can
post them
> here if that suits your fancy.

They won't embarrass me, Hans. The POINT is that you drop YOUR
comments to my e-mail then block any reply. You accuse me of "control
issues" in this forum then manifest them in your own actions.

And if you care to do so, post them. I think that you will find
that not all of the "embarrassment" will fall on me, Sir!

Steve, K4YZ

117. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 18:10:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115057404.605215.86020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107347

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve,
> I'M SOOOOOOO SCARED!!!!
>
> ###HOLE

There ya go, Todd. Now you're back in form.

I could care less if you're "scared" or not, Todd. As a matter of fact, you go right on ahead and continue to act the way you've been acting...the profanities...admission of lawbreaking...cussing out the FCC and the government...Hey, you can even take another swipe at my Daughter if it makes you feel more like a man...It just makes things that more easy for me.

Steve, K4YZ

118. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 18:18:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115057916.330707.175850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107348

See steve that's what makes me better then you, I maybe obscene and I maybe indecent but I don't go around threaten people's lives, or even pretend to threaten people lives by claiming I sending shit to this group and sending stuff to that group just to screw someone over. that's what make me a hell of lot better then you asshole!

119. Re: A Not So Private Message to Hans, K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 18:22:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Mdude.3207$BE3.2081@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107349

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> They won't embarrass me, Hans.

I think you're probably right about that, as I examine your record here on rrap.

!!Sigh!!

de Hans, K0HB

120. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 18:44:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115059497.524050.16370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 1 May 2005 06:32:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1114954344.398919.32050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >ex-Ham wrote:
> >> "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >> news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> >> > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams
only
> >talk
> >> > to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
> >with the
> >> > Ham BS, like in our area a person ( the only one in his area )
> >tried to
> >> > report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make
it
> >to
> >> > their weather school, they would not listen.
> >
> > Nice try.
> >
> > Hams only talk to Hams since no one else should be on an
Amateur
> >allocation. ANYone, whether they have an Amateur license or not, is
a
> >"CBer" if they are using a CB radio.
> >
> > "Emergency communications" is NOT about how many people you can
> >talk to...It's about conducting disaster-mitigating operations in an
> >efficient and timely manner...whether that is on Amateur,
commercial,
> >military, etc. CB radio is NOT conducive to efficiency OR
timeliness.
>
>
> CB radio was -THE- method of emergency comm in the three natural
> disasters I have experienced. And in all three the hams couldn't quit
> posturing and bickering about procedure long enough to accomplish
> squat.

What "three natural disasters"...?!?!

Locations? Nature of disaster? Place? Dates?
```

> > And ego's-be-danged...CB radio (11 meters, anyway...) is NOT
the
> >medium of choice of ANY disaster mitigation agency in the United
> >States. Even REACT has abandoned 11 meters in favor of GMRS at
> >462/467MHZ FM.
>
>
> Doesn't look that way to me:
>
> http://www.reactintl.org/

Better go back and re-read some of those press releases...Almost
every one about GMRS.

UHF-CB, AKA GMRS, is the prefered method of operation for REACT
teams.

And if CB is all-that for emergency communications, why is the
federal goverment putting money into the ARRL and ARES and >>NOT<<
REACT...???

> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
> >> >> Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard
it.
> >> >> We already have one location where radio can be used
"properly",
> >the
> >> >> amateur
> >> >> bands.
> >> >> By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins
> >hands
> >> >> down!
> >> >> Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur
> >bands (me
> >> >> included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop
> >using the
> >> >> radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use
of
> >the
> >> >> radio
> >> >> as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get
along
> >here--go
> >> >> use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let
them
> >have
> >> >> what
> >> >> makes 'em happy!!!
> >> >> Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be
anonymous
> >and

> >> >> get
> >> >> in petty quibbles with the natives....
> >> >>
> >> >> Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for
it!!!!
> >
> > There's also a very real demand for prophylactics, Game-boy's
and
> >Penthouse magazines...but they are of no use in emergency
> >communications either!
> >
> > CB radio serves a purpose. I have one and I use it on
occassion.
> >But it has long ago passed any expectation of being a viable means
of
> >emergency communications. As I said...even REACT has abandoned it.
>
> Despite your personal opinion, CB radio is -very- useful for
emergency
> comm because a) there are so many of them;

There are a great many of them. Quantity does absolutely no good
unless they are in the hands of trained operators.

b) most of them are mobile;

Can't argue that one.

> c) most of them are off the grid;

Waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay off the grid!

d) they are easy to use;

Obviously. IQ is not a dermining factor for turning one on.

e) there is a well-established organization in place to utilize the
band....

There is an organization. They do use the band. But they conduct
most of their operations on GMRS. They have even submitted a proposal
to the FCC to allow greater flexibility in then use of GMRS by
501(c)(3) organizations.

Note no mention of using 11 meters for emergency or "homeland
security" purposes.

> there are several more reasons. But what has been proven time and
time again
> is that when landlines fail in an emergency, CBers heed the call to
> duty just like every other citizen. After all, it's not called the
> Citizen's Band for nothing.

There are a great many Patriotic, well-intentioned persons who own a CB and would gladly lend it's use to any meaningful emergency purpose, no doubt.

However the Citizen's Band Radio Service (11 meters) is nothing more than a footnote in disaster communications planning and practice. The unpredictable propagation, lack of trained persons and the overall lawlessness and shennanigans that goes on the service make it all but useless for practical use.

But when the Federal, State or local governments or the Armed Forces need a RELIABLE method of anciliary communicaitons they turn to ARES, RACES or MARS for those needs.

73

Steve, K4YZ

121. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 18:45:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115059558.411293.225740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107401

I suggest you read the whole document, the US supreme court struck it
down nice try though
Todd N9OGL

122. Re: A Not So Private Message to Hans, K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 18:48:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115059734.500915.236730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107402

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > They won't embarrass me, Hans.
>
> I think you're probably right about that, as I examine your record here on rrap.
>
> !!Sigh!!

You forgot some, Hans:

> They won't embarrass me, Hans. The POINT is that you drop YOUR
> comments to my e-mail then block any reply. You accuse me of "control
> issues" in this forum then manifest them in your own actions.

> And if you care to do so, post them. I think that you will find that not all of > the "embarrassment" will fall on me, Sir.

Not all of the "record" is mine, Hans. Don't be shy! Take YOUR bow!

Steve, K4YZ

123. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 18:52:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115059925.836996.307130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107403

>control-freak / dicktator steve says

>You'd best read up on what a "bulletin" is, Todd.
>You're broadcasting a show.

FROM THE FCC WEBSITE:

http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html

Q. What is an "information bulletin"?

A. The term is defined in Section 97.3. It is a message directed only to amateur operators and consists solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service. The control operator of the station transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur service.

Q. Does an amateur station transmitting information bulletins have to share the channel?

A. Yes. Section 97.101 provides that each station licensee and each control operator must cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and in making the most effective use of the amateur service frequencies. A specific transmitting channel is not assigned for the exclusive use of any amateur station. Section 97.101 also provides that no amateur operator shall cause interference to any radio communication or signal. There is no exemption for amateur stations transmitting for information bulletins.

Q. Is broadcasting prohibited?

A. Yes. Section 97.113 prohibits amateur stations from engaging in any form of broadcasting or in any activity related to program production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes.

Q. Is there an exception to this prohibition?

A. Yes, there is one exception: Communications directly related to the immediate safety of human life or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations to broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means of communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the event.

Q. What is "broadcasting"?

A. Section 97.3 defines the term "broadcasting," in the context of the amateur service, as a transmission intended for reception by the general public, either direct or delayed.

Sorry but I think I know what the rules state!

Todd N9OGL

124. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 18:58:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115060319.958168.8160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107404

N9OGL wrote:
> See steve that's what makes me better then you...(SNIP)

There's nothing about you that's better than me, Todd.

Not one thing.

I dont say that arrogantly or egotistically...It's just not
possible for you to be better than too many people.

I figure you to be a nicer guy than say....John Wayne Gacy, or Ted
Bundy...But that's about the limit.

I maybe obscene and I
> maybe indecent but I don't go around threaten people's lives, or
even
> pretend to threaten people lives by claiming I sending shit to this
> group and sending stuff to that group just to screw someone over.

I've not threatened anyone's life, Todd. And as for "...sending
to this group and sending stuff to taht group just to screw
someone over", just what do you call your letters to Congressman
Shimkus?

What do you call all those threatening and profane slurs you've
thrown at the ARRL when they've not uttered one single iota of comment
on you, your shows or your opinions...?!?!

> that's what make me a hell of lot better then you ###hole!

Keep repeating that to yourslef over and over, Todd. It's not
true today, it wasn't true yesterday, and even if you spent every last
day of your life in a monastery begging forgiveness it wouldn't be true
tomorrow.

You're just not capable of it.

Steve, K4YZ

125. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 19:15:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115061348.750119.141990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107405

>K4YZ says
>I've not threatened anyone's life, Todd. And as for "...sending
>#### to this group and sending stuff to taht group just to screw
>someone over", just what do you call your letters to Congressman
>Shimkus?

I'm not threating anyone with my letter to Shimkus, I mainly wait him to have the FCC clairfy the rules pertaining to information bulletins and resolve some of the problems surrounding information bulletins.

> What do you call all those threatening and profane slurs you've
>thrown at the ARRL when they've not uttered one single iota of comment

>on you, your shows or your opinions...?!?!

Well I'm not the only one that hates the ARRL with a passion. as far as I see they are the main problem surrounding the problems with information bulletins. the huge MAJORITY of people complaining about other Information Bulletins are ARRL members. Secondly when a person gets on a public fourm and ask why I'm on the FCC amateur Auxiliary list then It's time to take action. I have the right to my opinion as do you with yours if you don't want to listen to my comments then don't read them plain and simple.

>Keep repeating that to yourslef over and over, Todd. It's not
>true today, it wasn't true yesterday, and even if you spent every last

>day of your life in a monastery begging forgiveness it wouldn't be
true
>tomorrow.

I prefere Shintoism over christian religions

Todd N9OGL

126. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 19:20:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115061628.600023.146210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107406

'm not threating anyone with my letter to Shimkus, I mainly want him
to have the FCC clairfy the rules pertaining to information bulletins
and resolve some of the problems surrounding information bulletins.

127. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 19:33:30 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <acWdnVVtP7S4AuvfRVn-ug@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107412

John Smith wrote:
> No. But, a huge number of those CB's in attics and basements will be drug
> out (they will work fine, they havent' been hooked to an antenna.)

Doesn't have to be hooked to an antenna, the EMP will get them anyway.

> The HUGE NUMBER of CB radios vs. the number of ham radios, by the law of
> probability and statistics, is what guarantees there will be GOBS of CB's
> around...
> Think man!!! Think!!!

All manned by a bunch of idiots yelling "ten-fer thar, "hellooooooo radioooooooo", "ye gotche ears on?", and "get the f*** off my channel" into the mic.

128. Re: A perfect description of the genetically defective Porky Davies.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 20:14:44 UTC
From: anon <anon@anonpizzaman.org>
Message-ID: <d561kg$u4q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"anon" <anon@anonpizzaman.org> wrote in message
news:d561cb$tnc$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> news:87013097833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
>> On 2 May 2005 12:02:23 -0700, No Wun You Know <secwetwoger@aol.com>
>> wrote:
>> [drivelsnip]
>>
>> I wonder how many times this "person" is going to repost this badly
>> written piece of garbage. It's as though he has no words of his own,
>> and so he has to repeat this same thing over and over, for month after
>> month.
>>
>> What kind of mental illness involves the patient sitting in one place
>> while rocking back and forth and repeating the same thing over and
>> over?
>>
>> This constant repetition is prima facie proof that the poster is
>> mentally ill.
>>
>> (P.S., Do you want to lose that AOL account too? You forgot to use a
>> non-AOL proxy. Get stepping.)
>
>
> Roger has been devastated ever since he learned last week that Lloyd
> was promoted to Asst. District Manager, a promotion which includes
> unlimited use of a company vehicle, a large pay raise, & several other
> perks associated with Lloyd's new position.
> Congratulations Lloyd!
>
>
>
>
```

129. Re: Radio New York International is ON THE AIR!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 20:14:47 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <RO-dnXNVCLveF-vfRVn-pg@crocker.com>
Source record: 107408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

as they are every sunday night from 8pm to midnight eastern time. they also have a web site at: http://www.radionewyorkinternational.com/ where i believe you can listen on streaming audio also.

"bulbusboobiesbob" <another5cats@catluver4.com> wrote in message news:aae43$42756eb3$d1cc4523$25982@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> ** John P Lightning and the whole RNI Crew ***
>
> ON THE AIR RIGHT NOW !
>
> ----> 7.415 WBCQ AM
>
> Web: http://www.11l-rni.com/

130. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 20:30:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <E6wde.54$D65.3306609@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No. But, a huge number of those CB's in attics and basements will be drug
out (they will work fine, they havent' been hooked to an antenna.)
Some truckers will be behind mountains, in tunnels, under underpasses, etc,
etc...
The HUGE NUMBER of CB radios vs. the number of ham radios, by the law of
probability and statistics, is what guarantees there will be GOBS of CB's
around...
Think man!!! Think!!!

Warmest regards,
John

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1115039977.ae9f28d9959d4d6c514e2b3e39a53c4a@bubbanews...
| On Sun, 1 May 2005 18:07:15 -0700, "John Smith"
| <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
|
| >AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and
the
| >EMP sizzles their front ends...
| >
| >Regards,
| >John
| >
|
| of course all CB's are EMP hardened, and an EMP pulse wouldn't sizzle
| their front ends. your an idiot john smith.

131. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 20:34:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <h9wde.56$i73.3131517@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Smokey reports, would quickly be replaced with disaster reports... even the
smokeys would realize the time had come to watch their A$$E$ and the
truckers could then concentrate on helping!!!

But, your point is well taken, right now the smokeys are the biggest
problem, and CB is an excellent tool... <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1115040138.737f125a19dc048240aa4ab3afc59d0b@bubbanews...
| On Sun, 1 May 2005 19:39:03 -0400, "Tex Wycoff"
| <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote:
|
| >Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
| >and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
| >hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
| >
| >Good Numbers,
| >
| >Tex
| >
| >
|
| not all ham radio depends on repeaters. dumbass cb'ers would be to
| busy asking for smokey reports to help in an emergency. simple. even
| a low life cb'er can comprehend that. no, nevermind. they can't.
|
| 4-10 good buddy.

132. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 21:33:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502173355.964$nY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 107411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to
> > generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding
> > the radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get
> > another.... And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf
> > for a short duration--just long enough to fry the front end...
> >
> > Regards,
> > John
>
> Since all my radios, save for one two meter rig, are tube type, then I
> will still be on the air.
>
ewe collins show off.
```

133. Re: N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 21:47:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115070459.115891.281500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107413

K4YZ wrote:

> bb wrote:

> > Can you tell us why you are the most prolific poster on RRAP?
>
> Does it matter? If I never posted to RRAP, SOMEone would have
> "the most" posts here.

So why is it you?

Is it that you have so much to say? I don't think so.

134. Re: A Not So Private Message to Hans, K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 21:57:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115071048.681124.59020@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107414

K4YZ wrote:
> Dear Hans,
>
> You have addressed several e-mails to me over the past few
weeks,
> and I have responded, relatively civily I believe, to each one.

You opinions on civility are not valid.

> Yesterday you sent one making some nasty allegations, yet when I
> responded, I got your message from Earthlink telling me I am not on
> your happy list.

Whaaaaaaaaaaaaa!

> So...It's OK for you to drop letters in my e-mail, yet NOT ok to
> respond to you in the same forum...?!?!

Don't send ANYTHING to my email address. Ever!

> Sheeesh! You have the cajones to lambaste >>ME<< about "control
> freak" issues, Hans...?!?!

I couldn't think of a more likely candidate.

> I have no problem with you sending mail to me, even when it
makes
> unfounded allegations. However you owe me the courtesy of allowing
me
> to respond in the same forum.

Or what?

> Take notice...Any future e-mail sent to me marked "PRIVATE
> MESSAGE" that gets a "bounced message" notice when I respond will
find
> it's entire contents posted to RRAP for reply.

Like Todd's problems with his ISP? You posted something from his ISP
without you having been an adressee on it.

> And keep your "control freak" allegations to yourself,
Hans...You
> have more than ample number of examples of your own "control freak"
> issues of your own, this being one of them.
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Control yourself. Get freaky about it.

135. Re: A Not So Private Message to Hans, K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 21:59:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115071142.150572.130240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107415

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > They won't embarrass me, Hans.
>
> I think you're probably right about that, as I examine your record
here on rrap.
>
> !!Sigh!!
>
> de Hans, K0HB

It's a crying shame.

136. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 22:18:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502181831.207$PW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 107416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> Waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay off the grid!
>
> d) they are easy to use;
>
> Obviously. IQ is not a dermining factor for turning one on.
>
Nor is throwing rocks at them. Some of you ham guys (not all) can't get enough
of it. Todd is on line one for you, asking bout how to turn his radio on. :D
>
> Steve, K4YZ

137. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 22:20:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115072441.197292.215800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107417

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > > You had to go back three years to find
> > > something you could hang on to, but nice job!
> >
> > Nope, less than 2-1/2 years.
> >
> > Actually you're guilty of only a modest exaggeration, but if Brian
or
> Len had
> > exaggerated something by a similar ratio you'd have labled them
> "liars".
> >
> > I wonder why that is?
>
> If Brian or Lennie had such an error pointed out, there'd be a
six
> month long rant on how licensing in Somalia

You lying sack of excrement. You, David Heil, Brian Kelly and The Other Steve put out an APB and searched the web worldwide for one of my cards.

Since no one came forward with one, You declared that my operation never happened and that I was a liar.

You continue to say that to this day.

Now I want you to produce authentic evidence of your MARS activity on Okinawa, and of your claimed seven hostile actions.

> I just acknowledged the error when it was shown and moved on.

Again you're a lying sack of excrement. You complained that Hans had to dig 3 years deep to find your single "mistake."

You acknowledged your itsy bitsy mistake and TRIED to move on as quickly as you could, brushing aside all the mayhem that you caused along the way.

You make no apology for all of the accusations that your itsy bitsy little mistake enabled you to make, and still make to this day.

138. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 22:22:33 UTC
From: anon <anon@cbrusnronetenfour.net>
Message-ID: <d5694edvn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

One has only to attend any hamfest to see what buffoons hams
are. Old unshaven obese men with five handi-talkies on their belt,
their belt buckle hidden by rolls of fat and the filthy nacho cheese
stained t-shirts they wear. Always whining about how great ham
radio *used* to be, giving newcomers at their club meeting
the cold shoulder, then wondering why ham radio is becoming
ever more insignificant, while CB radio grows bigger each year.

139. Re: phil kane returns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 22:23:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115072591.052992.225150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107419

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> phil kane returns to rrap
> wonder how much hate he will spread
> this time

Hate? Phil Kane?

I cannot associate the words.

140. Re: Another BrainRant Laid To Rest. (With New Questions To Be Answered)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 22:27:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115072848.356507.174550@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107420

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Now...About this assertion of yours that ARES won't respond
and
> is
> > "overblown"...?!?!

"Won't respond???"

You'll have to Google up that one.

> Still waiting for an answer to that one, Brian...

Why? You've answered it yourself with your own inability to write a
duty schedule with available qualified radio amateur volunteers that
will meet the requirements laid out by the exercise planners.

Too bad for you, too bad for ARES, and too bad for Amateur Radio.

Next?

141. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 22:30:16 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <YRxde.32641$AE6.9069@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 107421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"anon" <anon@cbrusnronetenfour.net> wrote in message
news:d5694edvn0@pita.alt.net...
> One has only to attend any hamfest to see what buffoons hams
> are. Old unshaven obese men with five handi-talkies on their belt,
> their belt buckle hidden by rolls of fat and the filthy nacho cheese
> stained t-shirts they wear. Always whining about how great ham
> radio *used* to be, giving newcomers at their club meeting
> the cold shoulder, then wondering why ham radio is becoming
> ever more insignificant,

True that, the above part anyway. There's always the new crowd....you know the types....the one's you walked up behing in grade school carrying about 20 books....and that one in middle fell out! For them, ham radio has taken the place of women. The part below, doubtful.

while CB radio grows bigger each year.
>
>
>
>

142. Re: Two-faced Nursie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 22:40:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115073640.989732.292720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107422

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1114952975.574417.219760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> :
> : QUOTE:
> :
> : Sunday, May 01, 2005
> : NEWS
> : NEWS
> : FIRST THE SHOW WAS CANCELLED TODAY DUE TO ME BEING REALLY ILL.
SECONDLY
> : THAT ####ING ###HOLE GIRLFRIEND OF STEVE'S KC8GXW IS THREATING ON
THE
> : NEWSGROUP TO CAUSE INTERFERENCE WITH MY INFORMATION BULLETIN HERE
IS
> : HIS MESSAGES PROVEN HIS THREATING TO CAUSE INTERFERENCE
> :
>
> On one hand (face) the Nursie pontificates selfrighteously about
Toads
> filthy mouth on rrap, and on the other hand (face) the Nursie imports
Toads
> filthy mouth to rrap from outside! Priceless!
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire

I saw a movie once, and the antagonist tells the young Toad, "Toad, I
am your father."

143. Re: Steve's Really Losing It Now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 22:45:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115073939.007315.242930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107423

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "yojimbo" <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote in message
> news:yvudnW769rKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not...
> : On 29 Apr 2005 07:16:07 -0700, K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> : [drivelsnip]
> :
> : Poor, poor Steve. He has Todd's ISP boot Todd off this group, and
then
> : he gets so lonely that he starts posting Todd's stuff himself so
that he
> : can "rebut" it.
>
> Gee, and I thought I was the only one who noticed that curious fact.
>
> :
> : [Theme from "Twilight Zone" plays in the background.]
> :
>
> Probably more like the "Dueling Banjo" theme from Deliverance.
>
> 73,
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire

Steve said that he wanted to sit down and teach me a few things. I
asked if he was applying to be my new mentor. He looked so ridiculous.

144. Re: Steve suggests violence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 22:52:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115074331.805125.199890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107424

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1114836093.379763.287230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > :
> > > : I didn't introduce violence.
> > > :
> > >
> > > Where is Brian with his Liar-Meter?
> >
> > Right here.
> >
> > > We just got a big fat juicy one! There was no mention in this
> thread
> > of
> > > violence until Nursie introduced it with his words [an act of
> > violence
> > > against "people" like you].
> >
> > Steve is a cengenital, natural-born liar.
>
> What's, "cengenital"...Another of your attempts to use medical
> terminology...?!?!

With that kind of speeling, I could be a doctor. Your dodge is noted.

> > He did introduce violence to this thread, then said that he didn't.
> He
> > lied, but what's new?
>
> I didn't, but it seems to be making you two happy!

You did, and we're not happy about it.

Why did you introduce violence to this thread?

> > There have been so many lies recently that I lost count. At any
> rate,
> > it makes Steve look rediculous.
>
> I am still waiting for you to cite lie #1, Brain, but you've not
> even cited what you think is a lie...

Silly Gunny, when you open your mouth I hear a lie. It's become that simple. Maybe if you started telling truths...

145. Re: Enigma Message Special Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 22:59:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115074774.374021.297130@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
>
> > "Geoffrey S. Mendelson" <gsm@mendelson.com> wrote in message
> > news:slrnd708m4.nnq.gsm@cable.mendelson.com...
> > : In article
<1114542970.529293.75070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> > : kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > :
> > : Jews out there can not participate (for example, me) because
> > : it's during the Sabbath. Seems like WWII got rid of the NAZI's
but
> > : Brittish antisematism still lives on as strong as ever.
> > :
> > : Geoff.
> > :
> >
> > Yes, and we British (learn to spell if you wish to insult us) got
rid of the
> > Nazi's for you.
>
> I read the news today oh boy....
>
> The British army had just won the war......
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

What crumbly, yellowed newspaper did you read? They sailed to the
Falklands on the Queen Elizabeth! Hi, hi!

Hell, Kelly just might have more "real military experience" than many
of them.

146. Re: Lennie's Back In Form...Old Rant's...Same Form...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 23:04:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115075042.455080.58890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107426

From: "K4YZ" on Mon,May 2 2005 6:31 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 1 2005 5:42 am

etc...

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Poor Stebie...still envious AND
>> FRUSTRATED. Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
> Nope...Not frustrated, Lennie...but just amazed at how much time
>you ahd on your hands to try and redirect from your own newsgroup
>silliness.

"Ahd?" :-)

Tsk, tsk, I'm retired from regular hours, can set my
own hours. [sunnuvagun!]

"Silliness?" :-) Poor Stebie spends much time
on here attacking EVERYONE who doesn't agree with
him. Tsk, tsk, that is visible "silliness" to all
in here.

As to "redirect," you have it backwards as usual.
You must understand that your INSULTS against all
who disagree with you are NOT all your way and
that those who object to your personal attacks on
them and respond is NOT a "redirect" of anything
except your fantasy of being able to control this
newsgroup's content.

Example...you make NEW threads in here with the
sole purpose of insulting and/or attempting to
intimidate others...such as this "new" thread.

>> > You were a readio mechanic.
>>
>> "Readio?" Reallio?
>
> Typo. My Bad. You were still only a radio mechanic.

Tsk, tsk, tsk..."mechanics" were in the motor
pool doing maintenance on vehicles.

Poor Stebie, never having had the opportunity
of working REAL military communications thinks
that all non-amateur "operating" is done as if

in amateur radio. :-)

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Primary MOS of Microwave Radio Relay
>> Operation and Maintenance Supervisor.
>
> M A I N T E N A N C E.
>
> As in "mechanic".

W R O N G :-)

O P E R A T I O N and maintenance. That's what
the U.S. Army stated it to be and still does.
The definition for similar MOSs in the USN and
USAF say the same. Stebie, the military of today
and for the last half century have NOT done REAL
radio O P E R A T I O N in an amateur fashion of
one-operator-one-readio[sic]-pseQSL-UR599OM. :-)

Maybe the USMC did it that way? Must be if the
role model of today's murine says so... :-)

>> Secondary MOS
>> of Fixed Station (transmitters) Radio Operation and
>> Maintenance Supervisor...and a few other little duties
>> such as Carrier Operation and Maintenance (not that
>> those Western Electric units had either drift or
>> failure). The VHF-UHF radio relay equipment operation
>> and maintenance fell under my primary MOS.
>
> Maintenance, maintenance, maintenance.
>
> R A D I O M E C H A N I C.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...little Stebie fists his pudgy
hands, pounds on the table, whines like a baby
while REWRITING the United States military radio
experience, definitions, and mission.

> Not one word of "OPERATOR" in there...Thanks for backing me up,
>Lennie.

Tsk. Stebie must have tears of anger in his eyes
clouding his vision. :-)

Sorry, nursie, but OPERATING >>IS<< the name of
the game in REAL, non-amateur radio operation for
REAL communications, military OR civilian. The
military and the civilian communications people
do OPERATING. Regardless of your trying to
control the definitions. They've done that for
over a half century, longer than you've been
alive (loosely speaking on 'alive').

OPERATION to REAL communications folks means
KEEPING THE OPERATION GOING. To me, to them,
it isn't taking recreational pleasure in front
of a ready-built ricebox "tuning the bands" and
diddling a morse key when not skimming pages of
QST and dreaming of pioneer days in radio long
before your time.

But, Stebie, not having been IN the REAL radio
communications activity, just doesn't know what
OPERATING means other than playing with his
readios [sic].

>> > Period. You were not a message
>> >center clerk...You were not responsible for determining operating
>> >frequencies, modes, etc.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. The Chief Signal Officer, Washington DC,
>> did that sort of deciding for ALL involved in ACAN -
>> STARCOM - DCS and still does for all the alphabet
>> soup organization evolvement that followed after the
>> 1960s.
>
> You were not a message center clerk...You were not responsible
for
>determining operating frequencies, modes, etc.

I "was not a message center clerk?" Tsk, tsk.
You said I WAS, more than once. Have you
forgotten? [of course you have and you will be
demanding I produce the 'quote' from Google
archives, claiming you never said that! :-)]

Actually, for the one month spent in ADA Control,
I actually could determine which operating
frequencies to use...depending on the signal
reports generated by Receivers for the various
radio circuits...and the permission of the Duty
Officer, of course. There was a selection of
frequencies, all fixed and crystal controlled
back in the 1950s...no ALE then, no synthesizers
and no "VFOs" to diddle in Fixed Station
OPERATION. Signal strengths were HONEST, not
the gratuitous "599" used by too many hams...
too many others could see the same recorder
charts of carrier signal strength out at Camp
Owada (Receiver site shared with the USAF).

"Not responsible for modes..." OF COURSE NOT.
If the Chief Signal Office said that the San
Francisco radio circuit would have a 4-channel
SSB of 4 KW PEP -and- 4-TTY-via-MUX RTTY
circuit of 10 KW RF output, WE - the entire

station - WOULD OPERATE THAT and do it 24/7!
ON DEFINITE, ASSIGNED FREQUENCIES, ALLOWING
CHOICE ONLY BASED ON PROPAGATION CONDITIONS.

REAL communications providers don't "work the
bands" diddling with VFOs (and your alleged
"greatness in tuning ability" - haw - that
you "deserve to be called extra!"). In REAL
communications on 24/7 assignment, one IS
on frequency until ordered to change.

> Big snippage of usual stuff.

...because little Stebie REFUSES TO LEARN
HOW *REAL* COMMUNICATIONS PEOPLE DO THINGS.

Little Stebie, the Avenging Angle of Dearth,
wants only to INSULT, DEFAME, DENIGRATE all
those who disagree with him! Poor Stebie.

>> 1. This forum is NOT for Stebie's experiences with
>> the Commanding General of the First Marine Air
>> Wing in NINETINE EIGHTY ONE!!! [24 years ago]
>
> Nope. But It was one of SEVERAL assignments that placed me in a
>position to OPERATE radios...Something you've not done.

TSK, TSK, TSK. Stebie da wundermurine must give
his "definition" of "operating" readios [sic].

So far, Stebie hasn't shown a single REFERENCE to
ACTUALLY PROVE he did ANYTHING! He keeps getting
things W R O N G on "operating radio in the
military." Tsk, tsk, tsk. He keeps thinking that
all radios are "operated" by acting like radio
amateurs. United States military communications,
the REAL thing, not the MARS recreational/morale
stuff, is done in a PROFESSIONAL manner.

>> 2. This forum is NOT for Stebie's BRAGS of mighty
>> murine service in 'SEVEN HOSTILE ACTIONS!'
>
> "murine"...What's "murine", other than a commercial eye care
>product.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...you don't get it, do you? :-)

Robeson is EYEWASH. Sterile solution, "medically
approved" only in that it doesn't harm the eyes.

BWWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

TEMPER FRY little man.

>> 3. This forum is NOT for Stebie's BRAGS of prowess
>> in SUTURING or about his "medical qualifications."
>
> Wasn't bragging, Lennie.

HOW DO WE KNOW? WHY SHOULD WE CARE?

:-)

Does suture tying have ANYTHING to do with RADIO
OPERATING?!? :-)

Keeping a bedpan handy might be good for all the
"radiosport" contesters who like to rack up points.
[nurses have to handle bedpans...before and after]
Note: Few wastebaskets are waterproof and keeping
one under the desk for those contest days can only
harm the flooring.

>> 4. This forum is NOT for Stebie's continuing
>> refusal to admit that MARS is >>NOT<< "run by
>> amateurs." MARS is run by the MILITARY.
>
> However this >>IS<promulgate a lie by trying to perpetuate that
lie, Lennie.

The Avenging Angle of Dearth keeps up his
psychological syndrome of Projection...trying to
project his own acts on those of his "opponents."

Poor guy needs some mental therapy.

Let's take "operator" again. Stebie said "I never
'operated'" a radio. That is at odds with both the
old and new definitions of the USA Signal Corps,
J-6 of the JCS, lots and lots of definitions in
lots of USN publications, the civilian world in
MANY places such as dictionaries (try the huge
IEEE one, all concerned with ALL electronics...
which includes radio). Stebie keeps trying to
REWRITE everything in his OWN image...or fantasy.

I have - most definitely - OPERATED military
radios IN the military as both serviceperson AND
as a civilian. OPERATED - by anyone's REAL
definition - but not in Stebieworld. What's
really bad is that I have both text and photos
of me doing just that, some available on the
Internet for anyone to see. Does Stebie have
that? No? Tsk, tsk. We can all access QRZ and
see a middle-aged male (?) in a flight suit
sitting somewhere at some time. Is he OPERATING
A RADIO? No. He just sitting there, scowling
at the camera. Tsk, tsk. [get those teeth

fixed so you can show a proper smile sometime]

Stebie's "proof" of his military prowess is "in
his wallet" or, in other words, out of sight,
out of hearing. He say we got no business to
see all that, he "doesn't have to show it."
Tsk. Stebie doesn't have to be BELIEVED, either.

Hans Brakob has DEFINITELY been IN the military,
has OPERATED RADIO! He KNOWS what military
radio operation IS. Hans says all the words
which ARE FAMILIAR to the rest of us who HAVE
OPERATED military radio. Stebie don't talk
like dat, he mumble insults an' say everybody
else LIE! Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> I never said that MARS was not run by the military, Lennie.

Tsk. You will NOT say that the military DOES
RUN MARS! :-) Department of Defense DOES
DIRECT MARS. You've been shown the link to the
very definition of that DIRECTIVE, visible to
anyone accessing the DoD. You've been shown
the link to OFFICIAL MARS HISTORY from the U.S.
Army that ORIGINATED MARS. You've been shown
the link to access the Army's MARS Headquarters
at Fort Huachuca. Have you checked those
references? No? You still want to flame others
for not agreeing with your - now classic -
"Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" :-)

> Failure to validate that assertion is yet another LennieLie.

Merde! The Avenging Angle of Dearth keeps up his
silly PROJECTION syndrome!

> I HAVE said, and correctly so, that No Amateur Radio = No MARS.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Nursie got a bedpan over his
eyes. MARS exists because the DoD has DIRECTED
its existance. MARS has, and continues to run,
exercises WITHOUT amateur volunteers. Try
reading the MARS headquarters' statements instead
of getting all your 'readio' information from
ham magazines.

> Sunnuvagun! Participation in the MARS program is predicated upon
>having an AMATEUR RADIO LICENSE...Remember...The one YOU said you
>didn't need to have to be a MARS participant.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. You are attempting "logical
wordplay" again and FAIL. :-)

MARS operators (in everyone else's definition of
operator, not Stebie's) IN the military have to
OPERATE their radios according to the commands
they are given to do so. :-)

Hello? The "M" in MARS is "MILITARY." Are you
getting the connection? Yet?

>> BULL####. Don't you PCTAs give that song and dance.
>> You PCTAs can't dance and your singing voices are as
>> flat as the 1930's attempted revival of vaudeville.
>
> Ahhhhhhhhhh....More profanity to fill in the holes...

So...how many times has Stebie used the word PUTZ?

Calling someone a PENIS HEAD (translation of the
colloquial Yiddish pejorative "putz") is >>NOT<<
profanity?!?!? Ah, yes, in Stebieworld, such is
profanity only IF it is directed TO Stebie...Stebie
can say ANYTHING he wants. :-) And does! :-)

How about "pathological liar?" How many times has
Stebie used such "civil" (in Stebieland) discourse?
We - us readers - have lost count.

Tsk, tsk, Stebie do his PROJECTION syndrome again
and again, refusing to admit to wrongness and
blaming everyone else for HIS faults.

Tsk, tsk, a "marine" with "seven hostile actions"
becoming disturbed about profanity?!?!?

:-)

>> TSK, TSK, TSK. I'm a PROFESSIONAL in radio, have been
>> since 1953.
>
> You are still not licensed in nor ahve any practical experience
>in AMATEUR RADIO communications.

Which means WHAT, little man?

AMATEUR RADIO is NOT a business, NOT a guild, NOT a
union, NOT a military service, NOT a civilian
service. It is a recreational hobby pursuit, done
for personal pleasure. Voluntary.

AMATEUR RADIO works by the SAME physical laws as
every other radio. [regardless of what some extras
think it does]

AMATEUR RADIO in the United States is regulated by
the same federal agency as EVERY OTHER CIVIL RADIO
SERVICE in the United States. No exceptions.

Since the U.S. federal government regulates it, that
allows ANY CITIZEN to exercise their CONSTITUTIONAL
RIGHTS to communicate with their government on ANY
regulatory matter. [sunnuvagun!]

So, little man, regardless of whether I "ahve" [sic]
an AMATEUR radio license or not (I have a commercial
radio license), it only matters to CONTROL FREAKS
like yourself who can't seem to cow others into
acknowledging their self-defined (unauthorized)
"leadership" over others! :-)

BTW, this is NOT a moderated newsgroup. Try under-
standing (I know that is so hard for you) that YOU
do NOT control the content nor anyone else's
personal OPINION...of anything. You do NOT control
who has access here nor are you "judge" of anything
anywhere but in your own fevered little mind.

> But the fact remains that you continue to make errors, almost on
a
>weekly basis, about Amateur Radio practice and policy.

NO, sweetie, the "fact" does NOT "remain."

You are W R O N G.

Understand that Stebie's personal OPINION of
anything is NOT law. It is seldom reality.

The Avenging Angle of Dearth and "veteran of
seven hostile actions" does not appear to live
in reality. It may be too harsh for him...
unknown...none of us can appreciate his
psychosis?

Yes, I DISAGREE on many of the things that
the PCTA Double Standard extras think are
"correct" and "right" and "how things should
be." But, that disagreement does NOT mean that
such is "wrong." That disagreement would ONLY
be WRONG to the control freaks who demand
obediance to the control freaks' commands.

> Stebie, cut the bull####. I began in HF radio
> before you were born. I've both OPERATED and
> DESIGNED and BUILT (protoype) radios over MORE of
> the EM spectrum than amateurs have been allowed
> to in the 71 year life of the FCC.

But the fact remains that you continue to make errors, almost on a
weekly basis, about Amateur Radio practice and policy.

NO, sweetie, the "fact" does NOT "remain."

You are W R O N G.

Understand that Stebie's personal OPINION of
anything is NOT law. It is seldom reality.

The Avenging Angle of Dearth and "veteran of
seven hostile actions" does not appear to live
in reality. It may be too harsh for him...
unknown...none of us can appreciate his
psychosis?

Yes, I DISAGREE on many of the things that
the PCTA Double Standard extras think are
"correct" and "right" and "how things should
be." But, such disagreement does NOT mean
that it is "wrong." :-)

What IS wrong is trying to make everyone
conform to your particular view of things.

>> 1. I didn't "start" anything but an opposition to
>> the morse code test for an AMATEUR radio license.
>
> You are a liar.

No. :-) Ask Jim Kehler, KH2D. He was in here then.
You were NOT.

> You started in on the "Nazi", thugs", etc etc etc. Deja'd,
>Googled, filed for posterity.

Noooooo. :-) Only when some ex-Gomer Pyle
jumped in, waving his swagger stick (or was
that swaggering his waving stick?), and
making like a ham version of Chesty Puller.

That's ALL in Google...and saved to put up
your posterior, er, posterity...

Let's see...if someone struts like a Nazi,
shouts like a Nazi, looks like a Nazi, then
might very well BE a Nazi! [sunnuvagun!]

But in Stebie (da Avenging Angle of Dearth)
messaging, he be little more than Hitler
Youth after too many "hostile actions." :-)

>> 3. I began and was QUALIFED to operate high-power HF
>> transmitters in 1953...(SNIP)
>
> You were qualified to MAINTAIN those radios. You were not a
>qualified operator.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Stebie da wundermurine is now
calling the UNITED STATES MILIARY A LIAR.

Official orders said what I wrote in here.
Orders of the Signal Corps, United States
Army. Official pictures taken by an official
Signal photographer state that I was
OPERATING a big radio transmitter...all in
the official officialese of mimeo on the
photo back, along with ID of the USA.

"Qualified." Who is to judge of things in
1953 to 1956? Stebie da wundermurine? Noooo.
That was before/during/just-after Stebie
was born (probably with a congenital scowl).
Stebie born with "qualifications" to judge
who is "qualified?" :-)

Maybe Stebie wanna call the FCC a LIAR!?!
Got First 'Phone in Chicago office of FCC
in 1956. FCC say I was "qualified," but,
more importantly, gave me AUTHORIZATION!
[sunnuvagun!]

> I am not interviewing with you for a job.

Tsk. You aren't QUALIFIED to hold a Human
Resources job. Personnel folks have to be
human first...

> I wouldn't work for a habitual liar like you, Lennie...

Sweat not, little man. I wouldn't even
process the paperwork sent up by Personnel
for your interview. I'd stick a little
Post-It note on there and define you as
"fullashit" for applying as a Purchasing
Agent.

>> I've held my jobs, never been fired or "told to leave."
>
> No doubt. But you've not been asked back to at least one.

WRONG. Worked for Hughes Aircraft Company
twice...Missle Division in Canoga Park, CA,
the second time, first time at El Segundo
Division.

> Probably more.

Oh, and in case you are going to try that old
"NADC thing" again, let me remind you of the
REAL facts: I was there as a field representative
FOR RCA Corporation on their SECANT R&D contract
for system flight tests. Allatime getting paid
by RCA, not the USN, and remaining with RCA

afterwards. Never, ever "tried to get a job
there" as you kept saying for years LONG after
the fact. :-)

> "Their kind", Lennie...?!?!
>
> I've put far more NCT's into licenses than I have Extras.

Show the proof. :-)

Are their names logged in with your famous
"seven hostile actions?" :-) Was one of them
the Commanding General of the First Marine Air
Wing in 1981? :-) Maybe their names are in your
box of medals? :-)

> I DO know that pleanty of new hams are licensed every day...with
>AND without Morse Code skills. I know...I help a lot of them get
here.

Again, SHOW YOUR PROOF of your "help."

Tsk, tsk, if you "help" like you carry on
in here, you would NOT be authorized to be in
any ham radio recruiting office...you'd have
your portrait on the Post Office walls...as
one of the "most wanted."

> How many kids have YOU helped into an electronics career or
>Amateur Radio, Lennie...?!?!

I don't know about "kids," Stebie, but anyone who
asked me about electronics as a hobby or about
electronics as a PROFESSION would get a courteous
explanation, information sources, and pointers on
where to get more information. I am in both and
have been for a long time...a hobbyist since '47
and a professional since '52.

Radio is only a part of the entire, huge field of
technology called electronics. I am a member of
the Institute for Electrical and Electronics
Engineering (IEEE) which is a worldwide professional
association and has about a quarter million members
(I could get the exact number but some flamers
want to quibble about minutae). I find the whole
huge field of electronics and electricity fascinating
and never regretted getting into it. It has been
rewarding to me both personally and financially.

Like any association, the IEEE has its own self-
promotion agenda and programs. I don't always
agree on those agenda, perferring to promote

interest at my own level with others in my group of professional acquaintences. That isn't restricted to "kids" or schoolchildren, either as a hobby or as a profession. Some in here like to say "the right" way to get into either is THEIR way. Not so. The only "right" way is to show an interest and become educated in what it is about. There are NO "requirements" to "show dedication or committment" in a HOBBY...that's an artificiality imposed by certain membership groups...largely to wave their own self-patriotic flags.

I've never kept a "body count" on "recruitment." Neither have I attempted to brainwash any kiddies (teen agers, actually) at a couple of magnet schools. Straight talk, no group-self-patriotic bullshit. One MUST have the personality and sense of speaking-and-being-understood in such efforts. Without it the audience, whether teens or adults, will listen if one can present a point about the subject. I have experience in that and some good, praised qualities for that but it's not my favorite thing to do now. Heh, I used to teach others how to do presentations...including having a "hostile" audience. Not hecklers, those that didn't want to learn anything. The best one can hope for there is that they got some information and - maybe - changed their minds. It doesn't hurt to know a few actors in the acting profession (I do) who can give pointers. :-)

Now, give the rest of us Stebie's definition of OPERATING...and keep saying that everyone who doesn't agree with Stebie is a "LIAR." Nobody should expect otherwise... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

147. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 23:17:56 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115075876.211039.40910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107427

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 1 2005 5:42 am
>
> > You continue to make
> >significant errors on matters of Amateur policy AND practice.
>
> BULLSHIT. >>YOU<< do NOT set what is "right" and
> what is "wrong."
>
> OPINIONS are expressed in here and everyone's OPINION
> is THEIRS, neither "right" nor "wrong." Except you
> and a few other retrograde PCTAs HAVE to jump in with
> ERROR! ERROR! on every OPINION contrary to yours.

Steve is such a sorry sack of excrement. If he didn't have people on RRAP to argue with, he would have no reason for living.

Len, I'm enjoying a nice Vidal (fr. hybrid) that I picked on Veteran's Day 2003. Would you like me to send you a bottle? It's just slightly off dry. Drop me an e-mail at "myname@juno.com"

Ha! I just thought of something funny. An Ohio grown grape wine being sent to California. Welp, our ice wines are getting some notice anyway.

148. Re: Robeson Out-Manufactures Chrysler Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 23:21:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115076061.035509.124640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Now you slide out of acknowledging that
information
> > with
> > > > > > this
> > > > > > > > > silly spin.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > It REALLY sucks to be you, Brain...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > But nice try...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Steve, using your words, your rules, and your logic, you
> > failed
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > > > test. The test that you wrote!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You are simply not to be trusted.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What rules, Brian?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Your words, your rules, your logic. You've demanded
> > documentation
> > > > > from
> > > > > > > others. If documentation isn't provided, then the event
never
> > > > happened
> > > > > > and that person is forever known as a liar. Your words, your
> > > rules,
> > > > > > your logic.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Now documentation is being demanded from you. MARS
> > documentation.
> > > > > > Scan it. Post it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Or you fail and will forever be known as a liar (you've got a
> > good

> > > > > headstart on that, though).
> > > >
> > > > Sorry, Brain. Still no go on your re-direct.
> > >
> > > You've made more dodges than the Chrysler Corporation!
> > >
> > > And you've claimed that you were appointed to a MARS position on
> > > Okinawa. That seems impossible to me because you know little to
> > > nothing about MARS. Now back up your assertion with real
> > > documentation.
> > >
> > > Post your letter of appointment.
> >
> > Post the information I ahve been asking for you for YEARS,
> Brain.
>
> And because I have not, you've been calling me a liar, a miscreant, a
> homosexual, etc., for YEARS.
>
> No Documentation = Never Happened = LIAR!
>
> Now you will not provide authentic documentation for your claims of
> MARS leadership.
>
> Your words, your rules, and your logic says that you are a LIAR!
>
> How could it be any other way?
>
> > And feel free to inquire of CG, 1st MAW, as to my duty
> assignment
> > on my 1981 tour on Oki...
>
> To hell with them, I'm asking you for your documentation. Got
Papers?
>
> > No matter what I post here you'd simply "diss"
> > as "doctored".
>
> You'll find that I am more of a man than you are. Make the effort,
> Silly Gunny.
>
> Now Post your Papers!

No Papers = Robeson Lies

149. K4YZ has no Papers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 23:30:19 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115076619.848704.160860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Post your MARS authentic documentation, or become the liar,
miscreant
> > and Putz that you declare others to be for not documenting opinions
> or
> > claims.
>
> Sorry, Brain. You were given the particulars...Several times in
> over a year.

Not a particularly effective Dodge. Prolly a rusted out Omni with a
102" SS whip.

Now post authentic documentation of your MARS activities.

Got Papers???

> > Best of Luck, you natural born liar.
>
> No luck needed.

Indeed, you -could- try to bribe me.

> The facts remain that you had the data a year ago,
> you have it today.

I recall no authentic documentation of your MARS activities.

Got Papers???

150. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-02 23:42:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115077321.038083.205100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107430

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I never said I have one, Brain...
> >
> > Do you also call in "stolen vehicle" reports on cars you've never
> > owned? Missing persons on peole you've never known?
> >
> > "Woe is me!"
>
> You're still chasing the wrong tail, Brain...
>
> Not that THAT is unusual....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Caught you lying enough that my instincts are pretty good.

So you're crying "Woe is me" over the Brits loosing a band that you've never made a single amateur transmission on??? Has W4 land and G-land even made a 2M QSO yet?

Hi! You are as ridiculous as God has ever made a person.

Why don't we hold a raffle and at a buck a ticket, find some Central State's VHFfer, preferably a lowly Technician, who will -allow you- to use his 24GHz gear so you can be that Superior Extra that you've claimed to be for years. You just might be able to earn a Civil Air Patrol medal for you singularly in-distinctive accomplishment. Hi!

You lying sack of excrement.

151. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 00:14:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115079271.075438.183120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107431

From: "bb" on Mon,May 2 2005 3:20 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
> K□B wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>> If Brian or Lennie had such an error pointed out, there'd be a
six
>> month long rant on how licensing in Somalia
>
>You lying sack of excrement. You, David Heil, Brian Kelly and The
>Other Steve put out an APB and searched the web worldwide for one of
my
>cards.

True enough.

>Since no one came forward with one, You declared that my operation
>never happened and that I was a liar.
>
>You continue to say that to this day.

Stebie KNOWS things, Brian. He has the "facts"
locked up in his head (besides in his wallet).

Remember the Double Standard in here:

1. Everyone "owes" Stebie.

2. Stebie don't owe NUTHIN' to NOBODY!

Simple as that, shitty though that be.

>Now I want you to produce authentic evidence of your MARS activity on
>Okinawa, and of your claimed seven hostile actions.

Tsk. He will NOT.

Stebie wants everyone to "verify" his "Okinawa
MARS" thing with the "CG of 1st MAF, 1981."
Tsk. It is unlikely that the First Marine Air
Wing will still BE on Okinawa 24 years later,
let alone have the "same" Commanding General!"

Humphhh...on "seven hostile actions," I can't
see AIRCRAFT GROUND CREW AND SUPPORT being
anything other than REAR-AREA operations!
Wow! Some "hostile actions" with implied

combat and hand-to-hand fighting over ground
at the airfield! Stebie need a whole new
box to hold all his "medals" for "combat."

All we can expect is weeks of waffling and
misdirection by him to AVOID revelation of
truth.

This is all a bad re-make of "X Files"
fantasy on TV! ["The Truth ISN'T Out There"]

>> I just acknowledged the error when it was shown and moved on.
>
>Again you're a lying sack of excrement. You complained that Hans had
>to dig 3 years deep to find your single "mistake."

Standard Local Operating Procedure in Stebieland.

The acronym works out to be SLOP. :-)

>You acknowledged your itsy bitsy mistake and TRIED to move on as
>quickly as you could, brushing aside all the mayhem that you caused
>along the way.

Stebie NEBBER do wrong! Everybody else do wrong!

>You make no apology for all of the accusations that your itsy bitsy
>little mistake enabled you to make, and still make to this day.

Again, a given. Trouble is, Stebie just won't
admit he "exaggerated" a "little" on his claims.
In his view of things (apparent, judging from
thousands of postings) is that he ALWAYS TELLS
THE TRUTH...and anyone disagreeing with Stebie's
statements is a "LIAR."

Circular logic. Wonderful stuff and universally
used by psycho sociopaths. No one can effectively
"discuss" anything with one of those...the world
evolves around the sociopath and the world is (to
them) "always wrong."

"Temper Fry" I say to them. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

152. Re: A Not So Private Message to Hans, K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 00:20:13 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115079613.471839.28640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107432

From: "bb" on Mon,May 2 2005 2:57 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> Dear Hans,
>>
>> You have addressed several e-mails to me over the past few
weeks,
>> and I have responded, relatively civily I believe, to each one.
>
>You opinions on civility are not valid.

That's a given in here...QED. :-)

Once a few years ago, my wife was up in Washington state
and we were "talking" using Instant Messaging on AOL.

Steve popped in on the IM session (I had neglected to
reset the privacy controls after upgrading the AOL
software). He wanted to "chat."

He got one very short answer that I was busy.

My wife was trying to IM me about our niece then in
the hospital. Steve couldn't see that part of the
conversation, only that I was on-line at AOL. He
became increasingly insistent on having a "chat" via
IM. I ignored him since I was more concerned about
niece's condition than wanting to "chat" with Stebie.

Stebie got increasingly abusive in his wording to
me via IM. Finally, he made some remarks about my
"being too stuck-up to talk to him." That caused me
to tell my wife what was going on, paused to check
the privacy controls on IM, reset them, and continued
talking to my wife.

Stebie got ALL angry and abusive in public messages
after that...actually more so than usual.

Niece Laurel survived that operation for Crohn's
disease and continues life reasonably well. Stebie
keeps on with his abuse and accusations...he needs
SOME kind of operation... <shrug>

>> Yesterday you sent one making some nasty allegations, yet when
I
>> responded, I got your message from Earthlink telling me I am not on

>> your happy list.
>
>Whaaaaaaaaaaaaa!

Poor widdle Stebie.

Gosh, I should have saved Stebie's AOL Home Page
special that he manufactured, entitled "Never Trust
Lennie" or words to that effect. Poor guy went
bananas in a vicious fit of LIBEL. I had AOL
check it out and they tossed it.

Tsk. It would have been a cakewalk to win a civil
suit against Stebie just on that AOL Home Page.
Stebie "advertised" it in the newsgroup. Oh, he
wailed and wailed about "freedoms" and stuff...
"freedom" so HE could insult anyone he wanted
WITHOUT any censorship. :-)

Too bad I tossed it into the electron recycling
bin. Was a better "classic" than Stebie's pipe
dreaming over "being back in action and doing a
HALO drop!" [High-Altitude, Low-Opening, a
technique apparently used by SEALs]

>> So...It's OK for you to drop letters in my e-mail, yet NOT ok
to
>> respond to you in the same forum...?!?!
>
>Don't send ANYTHING to my email address. Ever!

AOL has nice privacy controls and I'm sure other
browser softwares have them.

>> Sheeesh! You have the cajones to lambaste >>ME<< about
"control
>> freak" issues, Hans...?!?!
>
>I couldn't think of a more likely candidate.

Nor I...and that covers a LOT of individuals in
here! :-)

>> I have no problem with you sending mail to me, even when it
makes
>> unfounded allegations. However you owe me the courtesy of allowing
me
>> to respond in the same forum.
>
>Or what?

Here's the way Stebie thinks the rules go down:

1. Everyone owes Stebie all kinds of courtesy.

2. Stebie don't own NUTHIN to anyone on courtesy.

It's as simple as that...demonstrated time and
time again.

>> Take notice...Any future e-mail sent to me marked "PRIVATE
>> MESSAGE" that gets a "bounced message" notice when I respond will
find
>> it's entire contents posted to RRAP for reply.
>
>Like Todd's problems with his ISP? You posted something from his ISP
>without you having been an adressee on it.

Stebie NEVER does anything wrong...he KNOWS things!

:-)

>> And keep your "control freak" allegations to yourself,
Hans...You
>> have more than ample number of examples of your own "control freak"
>> issues of your own, this being one of them.
>>
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Control yourself. Get freaky about it.

Ho ho! Highly unlikely, Brian. Stebie has a "rep"
to uphold...he wants to be CONSISTENT with his
abuse and accusations and general nastiness to all
who don't agree with him!

He has the "right" to do that, all guaranteed in
the CONSTITUTION OF STEVIELAND...the one on display
inside his head.

Okay...so maybe Stebie DOESN'T need shrink rapping?
Maybe he needs a SKIN SPECIALIST. Yeah, that's it,
he got such a thin skin that almost EVERYTHING
"rubs him the wrong way!" Poor guy, soooooo
sensitive to negativisms of his opinions and
actions. Boo hoo. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

153. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 00:56:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115081801.446930.15100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107433

From: "bb" on 2 May 2005 16:17:56 -0700

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 1 2005 5:42 am
>
>> > You continue to make
>> >significant errors on matters of Amateur policy AND practice.
>
>> BULLSHIT. >>YOU<< do NOT set what is "right" and
>> what is "wrong."
>
>> OPINIONS are expressed in here and everyone's OPINION
>> is THEIRS, neither "right" nor "wrong." Except you
>> and a few other retrograde PCTAs HAVE to jump in with
>> ERROR! ERROR! on every OPINION contrary to yours.
>
>Steve is such a sorry sack of excrement. If he didn't have people on
>RRAP to argue with, he would have no reason for living.

Maybe he found his "true calling!" :-)

>Len, I'm enjoying a nice Vidal (fr. hybrid) that I picked on Veteran's

>Day 2003. Would you like me to send you a bottle? It's just slightly

>off dry. Drop me an e-mail at "myn...@juno.com"

Thank you much but the small "wine cellar" here is full.
We don't do a lot of sipping here so much of what is there
is for dessert purposes. If you had a muscato amabile
(amiable muscat), that's something I'd never turn down!

>Ha! I just thought of something funny. An Ohio grown grape wine
being
>sent to California. Welp, our ice wines are getting some notice
anyway.

Hmmm...Ohio now competing with Missouri? :-)

When my wife and I drove back to the midwest in 2000,
we noticed lots and lots of little wineries in Missouri
(of all places). In 2001 on a similar trip we bought
lots of wines in Amana, Iowa...the flavored kind, all
very tasty. Made nice gifts..."imported from Amana."

In 1975 there was a private family reunion (just two Swedish-ancestry families) where the oldest gal cooked a fancy Greek dinner. Her husband is a scholar on Grecian things and they and sons lived in Paris at the time. She had brought some French wine for the dinner. After dinner I suggested she ought to get California wine in Paris...because "everyone knows that imported wines are best!" Chuckles all around on that.

Watch Stebie's reaction on that little story. :-)

Note that he will come unglued again and make an ISSUE of it. What we don't know is the exact details of his reply...but - as past is sometimes prologue - he WILL rant and rave, fuss and fume! QED. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

154. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 01:26:49 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sq2dnRmLqOD8TuvfRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 107434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

We all know that the 146MHz Band with no repeater needed goes father for the same amount of power, and audio is much better compared to CB . Also the talkies on this band has a good range for its antenna size, where as the CB talkies are useless. The FRS or any 460MHz equipment sucks for any range, some say good for 6 miles, yeh right, maybe in a line of site arrangement, in most cases .5 to one mile Max.

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:h9wde.56$i73.3131517@news.sisna.com...
> Smokey reports, would quickly be replaced with disaster reports... even
> the
> smokeys would realize the time had come to watch their A$$E$ and the
> truckers could then concentrate on helping!!!
>
> But, your point is well taken, right now the smokeys are the biggest
> problem, and CB is an excellent tool... <grin>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1115040138.737f125a19dc048240aa4ab3afc59d0b@bubbanews...
> | On Sun, 1 May 2005 19:39:03 -0400, "Tex Wycoff"
> | <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote:
> |
> | >Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
> | >and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
> | >hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
> | >
> | >Good Numbers,
> | >
> | >Tex
> | >
> | >
> |
> | not all ham radio depends on repeaters. dumbass cb'ers would be to
> | busy asking for smokey reports to help in an emergency. simple. even
> | a low life cb'er can comprehend that. no, nevermind. they can't.
> |
> | 4-10 good buddy.
>
>

155. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 01:41:24 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <b-ydnRB7h6xkaOvfRVn-pg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107438

John Smith wrote:
> You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
> ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
> MENTION!!!!
>
> One drawback:
> You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught... so, remember to keep
> that radio in your vehicle, don't leave home without it, and don't talk at
> home!!!!!

That's what a cber operating illegally with a 2 meter rig thought one
time in Dallas, he got quite a supprised when he was pulled over on LBJ
freeway by a Federal Marshal and FCC agents. Even being mobile won't
keep you from being caught if the FCC really decides to catch you.

156. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 02:00:54 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07>
Source record: 107435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeez,

CBers vs. Hams

What's the point in debating which is better?

Both have positives and negatives.

CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because of the national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a radar detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new transceiver for a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain anonymous, you can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in an emergency, can't get away from a high noise floor.

Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of riff-raft, frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance you're looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be reliable in emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones and access numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham bands also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a fraternity of techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be hard for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75 meters or 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.

I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big cities. Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible to use for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch to scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.

At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you can do with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to channel 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I give it to them. They are always gratefull.

When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact I made with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams like that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with now-a-days is antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with. Some work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.

I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this thread. If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least go get

a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to get a ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is too hard for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham licenses out.

They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone has no signal.

I'll choose to remain anonymous here.

Guy

157. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 03:21:06 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <B6Cde.3547$D91.3545@fed1read01>
Source record: 107436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

anon wrote:

> One has only to attend any hamfest to see what buffoons hams
> are. Old unshaven obese men with five handi-talkies on their belt,
> their belt buckle hidden by rolls of fat and the filthy nacho cheese
> stained t-shirts they wear. Always whining about how great ham
> radio *used* to be, giving newcomers at their club meeting
> the cold shoulder, then wondering why ham radio is becoming
> ever more insignificant, while CB radio grows bigger each year.
overgeneralizing a bit, are we?

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCdu4ihomUgK3bdaYRAml/AJ0ZzL1QZHKpF1LzWHwZG8KQZzvFpQCg0Mbm
+U5yqRhAwAFckBXoUxMeB80=
=44Yr
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

158. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 03:32:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <UgCde.6061$qQ3.5326990@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
MENTION!!!!

One drawback:
You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught... so, remember to keep
that radio in your vehicle, don't leave home without it, and don't talk at
home!!!!!

John

"Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
news:5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07...
| Jeez,
|
| CBers vs. Hams
|
| What's the point in debating which is better?
|
| Both have positives and negatives.
|
| CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because of the
| national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a radar
| detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new transceiver
for
| a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain anonymous, you
| can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in an emergency, can't
get
| away from a high noise floor.
|
| Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of
riff-raft,
| frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance you're
| looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be reliable in
| emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones and
access
| numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always
| monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham bands
| also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a fraternity of
| techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be hard
| for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75 meters or
| 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.
|
| I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big cities.

| Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible to
use
| for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch to
| scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these
| days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.
|
| At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you can
do
| with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to channel
| 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I give it to
them.
| They are always gratefull.
|
| When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were
| experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact I
made
| with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams like
| that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with now-a-days
is
| antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with. Some
| work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.
|
| I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this
thread.
| If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least go
get
| a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to get a
| ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is too
hard
| for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham licenses out.
|
| They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone has no
| signal.
|
| I'll choose to remain anonymous here.
|
| Guy
|

159. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 03:52:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iACde.6465$nA3.5309589@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 11 meters, with my 100 ft tower with a two element beam, I reach 50-75 air miles consistantly(at night when the chan is quiet)... but, I am on a valley floor... and that is 4.5 watts output... and you know I have NEVER ran more power than that!!!! (But, if I did, it would be a good sized chunk of this state, well, the valley walls would be a limit) And, of course, skip would be worldwide during the day...

On 10 meters, it is not that good (same tower, same ant), mainly because the hams don't have as good as antennas as the CB'ers (height wise.) And, there are just not as many hams here to chat with on 10 meters...

Regards,
John

"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:sq2dnRmLqOD8TuvfRVn-tQ@comcast.com...
| We all know that the 146MHz Band with no repeater needed goes father for
the
| same amount of power, and audio is much better compared to CB . Also the
| talkies on this band has a good range for its antenna size, where as the
CB
| talkies are useless. The FRS or any 460MHz equipment sucks for any range,
| some say good for 6 miles, yeh right, maybe in a line of site arrangement,
| in most cases .5 to one mile Max.
|
|
|
| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| news:h9wde.56$i73.3131517@news.sisna.com...
| > Smokey reports, would quickly be replaced with disaster reports... even
| > the
| > smokeys would realize the time had come to watch their A$$E$ and the
| > truckers could then concentrate on helping!!!
| >
| > But, your point is well taken, right now the smokeys are the biggest
| > problem, and CB is an excellent tool... <grin>
| >
| > Warmest regards,
| > John
| >
| > "Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
| > news:1115040138.737f125a19dc048240aa4ab3afc59d0b@bubbanews...
| > | On Sun, 1 May 2005 19:39:03 -0400, "Tex Wycoff"
| > | <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote:

```
| > |
| > | >Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
| > | >and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
| > | >hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
| > | >
| > | >Good Numbers,
| > | >
| > | >Tex
| > | >
| > | >
| > |
| > | not all ham radio depends on repeaters. dumbass cb'ers would be to
| > | busy asking for smokey reports to help in an emergency. simple. even
| > | a low life cb'er can comprehend that. no, nevermind. they can't.
| > |
| > | 4-10 good buddy.
| >
| >
|
|
```

160. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 08:22:31 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200505.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <4e4ca4174650283b5eacab797640920e@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 107440

In article <d51jru$m1g$0@pita.alt.net>
Schwartz <jewman@nospam> wrote:
>
> George Orwell wrote:
> > In article <d50olg$1t...@pita.alt.net>
> > "Two Test" <NotRoger@TwoTest> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >>Good one! Of course I am LMAO
> > >
> > >
> > > And you certainly have quite the wide fat ass to laugh off,
> > > Porky!
> > >
> >
> > Roger is

Your OWNER, BITCH! BWHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!

161. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 08:53:14 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mvee71tpifd7ibajotgarqjnuv6qknep9n@4ax.com>
Source record: 107442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 02 May 2005 02:54:32 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in <IDgde.286$wa3.254@news02.roc.ny>:

<snip>
>The answer to continuous communications over hundreds of miles (continuous
>from zero miles to several hundred) depends upon being able to shift
>frequency. Not a few megahertz; rather a range approaching a 10 to 1 range
>(from 3.5 to 30 MHz).

For point-to-point communications, HF is not nearly as reliable as
sat-comm or MF/LF/VLF. Hams have satellites, 160m -and- HF, which is
all well and fine. But the issue is that the bulk of radio comm is
needed -within- the disaster area. Outside lines are pretty well
covered because almost all of the emergency long-distance comm these
days is done with portable sat-phones that are already in the hands of
emergency organizations and government agencies. IOW, long distance
emergency communication works just fine without hams. Although in my
own experiences, hams -did- provide some public service by helping
people contact distant family members, but that's about it.

Slightly OT, I should also mention that some of the HF ham traffic I
heard during Mt. St. Helens and hurricane Gloria was absolutely
disgraceful -- some sick bastards think it's cool to get a QSL card
from a disaster zone. If hams want to criticize CBers for poor
behavior they should at least hold themselves to a higher standard.

>Meanwhile, go do that search on "wind loading", keeping in mind about the
>small trees surviving winds that blow over large trees.

The same applies to antennas.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

162. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 08:53:21 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <svee71ds9l38s72q542umvpuvtduo9hq68@4ax.com>
Source record: 107441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On 2 May 2005 11:44:57 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1115059497.524050.16370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 1 May 2005 06:32:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1114954344.398919.32050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >ex-Ham wrote:
>> >> "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>> >> > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams
>only
>> >talk
>> >> > to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
>> >with the
>> >> > Ham BS, like in our area a person ( the only one in his area )
>> >tried to
>> >> > report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make
>it
>> >to
>> >> > their weather school, they would not listen.
>> >
>> > Nice try.
>> >
>> > Hams only talk to Hams since no one else should be on an
>Amateur
>> >allocation. ANYone, whether they have an Amateur license or not, is
>a
>> >"CBer" if they are using a CB radio.
>> >
>> > "Emergency communications" is NOT about how many people you can
>> >talk to...It's about conducting disaster-mitigating operations in an
>> >efficient and timely manner...whether that is on Amateur,
>commercial,
>> >military, etc. CB radio is NOT conducive to efficiency OR
>timeliness.
>>
>>
>> CB radio was -THE- method of emergency comm in the three natural
>> disasters I have experienced. And in all three the hams couldn't quit
>> posturing and bickering about procedure long enough to accomplish
```

>> squat.
>
> What "three natural disasters"...?!?!
>
> Locations? Nature of disaster? Place? Dates?

Mt. St. Helens, 1980; Hurricane Gloria, 1985; and Spokane's Ice Storm
of 1996.

>> > And ego's-be-danged...CB radio (11 meters, anyway...) is NOT
>the
>> >medium of choice of ANY disaster mitigation agency in the United
>> >States. Even REACT has abandoned 11 meters in favor of GMRS at
>> >462/467MHZ FM.
>>
>>
>> Doesn't look that way to me:
>>
>> http://www.reactintl.org/
>
> Better go back and re-read some of those press releases...Almost
>every one about GMRS.

Where does it say that they have abandoned CB radio?

> UHF-CB, AKA GMRS, is the prefered method of operation for REACT
>teams.
>
> And if CB is all-that for emergency communications, why is the
>federal goverment putting money into the ARRL and ARES and >>NOT<<
>REACT...???

Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?

<snip>
> There are a great many Patriotic, well-intentioned persons who own
>a CB and would gladly lend it's use to any meaningful emergency
>purpose, no doubt.
>
> However the Citizen's Band Radio Service (11 meters) is nothing
>more than a footnote in disaster communications planning and practice.
>The unpredictable propagation, lack of trained persons and the overall
>lawlessness and shennanigans that goes on the service make it all but
>useless for practical use.

Yet they still come in mighty handy during a disaster. Feel free to
try and rationalize that paradox.

> But when the Federal, State or local governments or the Armed
>Forces need a RELIABLE method of anciliary communicaitons they turn to
>ARES, RACES or MARS for those needs.

Not even close. Both FEMA and the military have their own, 100%
self-contained communications networks complete with dedicated
satellites; and the military has more backup systems than you can
imagine. Most state and local governments have some sort of emergency
management agency or plan that evolved out of the old civil defense
networks initiated during the cold war. Usually it consists of the
LERN network, the Emergency Alert System, "hardened" land lines, and
the wide availability of satellite communications.

The fact is that long-distance radio communication has evolved well
beyond the need to rely on hams during emergencies. You should have
seen that coming when Sputnik was launched.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

163. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 11:10:51 UTC
From: Stu <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
Message-ID: <d57m51$4n8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

There is an increasing level of packet & spread spectrum
activity by CB stations in the traditional freeband ranges, which
indicates the ever growing ability of cb to provide reliable
worldwide comms and experiment with nontraditional modes.
There are at least three international cb packet nets. Amazing
what one observes, if you point your receiver outside the ham
bands.

Stu

164. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 11:56:14 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <nGJde.4298$Ri4.142@okepread07>
Source record: 107444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Even when I tuned around between 27.5 and 28 during the last peak (we're in the trough of the 11 sunspot cycle right now), I don't understand why those people don't just break down and get a ham license.

It's hard to exchange QSL cards when you're trying to remain anonymous.

Is it the excitement of doing something illegal and not getting caught? Is that the adreniline rush? Is that why they do it?

Guy

John Smith wrote:

> You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
> ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
> MENTION!!!!
>
> One drawback:
> You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught... so, remember to keep
> that radio in your vehicle, don't leave home without it, and don't talk at
> home!!!!!
>
> John
>
> "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
> news:5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07...
> | Jeez,
> |
> | CBers vs. Hams
> |
> | What's the point in debating which is better?
> |
> | Both have positives and negatives.
> |
> | CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because of
> | the national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a radar
> | detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new transceiver
> for
> | a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain anonymous,
> | you can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in an emergency,
> | can't
> get
> | away from a high noise floor.
> |
> | Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of

> riff-raft,
> | frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance
> | you're looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be reliable
> | in emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones and
> access
> | numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always
> | monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham bands
> | also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a fraternity
> | of
> | techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be hard
> | for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75 meters
> | or 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.
> |
> | I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big cities.
> | Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible to
> use
> | for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch to
> | scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these
> | days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.
> |
> | At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you can
> do
> | with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to
> | channel 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I give
> | it to
> them.
> | They are always gratefull.
> |
> | When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were
> | experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact I
> made
> | with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams like
> | that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with now-a-days
> is
> | antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with. Some
> | work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.
> |
> | I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this
> thread.
> | If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least go
> get
> | a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to get
> | a
> | ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is too
> hard
> | for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham licenses
> | out.
> |
> | They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone has no
> | signal.

> |
> | I'll choose to remain anonymous here.
> |
> | Guy
> |

165. Mary Tunder will swallow it all! (330)659-9448

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 12:09:31 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <QE4IMQ2W38475.8399421296@reece.net.au>
Source record: 107445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

(330)659-9448

166. Re: Amateur Radio is MUCH more valuable than CB Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 12:23:48 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115122458.9263d825813999e37a075380aeadadc6@bubbanews>
Source record: 107446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 2 May 2005 20:52:50 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>On 11 meters, with my 100 ft tower with a two element beam, I reach 50-75
>air miles consistantly(at night when the chan is quiet)... but, I am on a
>valley floor... and that is 4.5 watts output... and you know I have NEVER
>ran more power than that!!!! (But, if I did, it would be a good sized chunk
>of this state, well, the valley walls would be a limit) And, of course,
>skip would be worldwide during the day...
>
>On 10 meters, it is not that good (same tower, same ant), mainly because the
>hams don't have as good as antennas as the CB'ers (height wise.) And, there
>are just not as many hams here to chat with on 10 meters...
>
>

your antenna is a toy compared to most ham antennas. if you have
trouble chatting with somone on 10 meters, maybe you should learn to
speak english. most freebanders are quite illiterate.

167. Re: Enigma Message Special Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 12:34:10 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d57r42$s5c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 107447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Grï¿½mwï¿½tch thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½ wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Geoffrey S. Mendelson" <gsm@mendelson.com> wrote in message
>>>news:slrnd708m4.nnq.gsm@cable.mendelson.com...
>>>: In article
>
> <1114542970.529293.75070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
>
>>>: kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>>:
>>>: Jews out there can not participate (for example, me) because
>>>: it's during the Sabbath. Seems like WWII got rid of the NAZI's
>
> but
>
>>>: Brittish antisematism still lives on as strong as ever.
>>>:
>>>: Geoff.
>>>:
>>>
>>>Yes, and we British (learn to spell if you wish to insult us) got
>
> rid of the
>
>>>Nazi's for you.
>>
>> I read the news today oh boy....
>>
>> The British army had just won the war......
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> What crumbly, yellowed newspaper did you read? They sailed to the
> Falklands on the Queen Elizabeth! Hi, hi!

That was from "A Day in the Life" from the Beatles. Seems they had a
question on who won the war too!

- Mike KB3EIA -

168. Re: Amateur Radio is MUCH more valuable than CB Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 14:04:12 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115128482.4512c3b0889ad89e89ea860ab54846e1@bubbanews>
Source record: 107448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 1 May 2005 21:26:05 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to
>generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding the
>radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get another....
>And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf for a short
>duration--just long enough to fry the front end...
>

Other interesting findings made by The National Communications System
(NCS), a governmental entity made up from 22 different Federal
agenciesengineers were that portable generators, such as Honda types,
were not likely to be adversely effected by EMP at all. Neither were
hand-held walkie-talkie type portable radios, particularly those with
short stubby "rubber duck" antennas.

looks like hams with HT's is the best thing to have during an EMP
blast.

169. Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 14:21:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0OLde.5010$GQ5.2830@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107449

The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade has been overwhelmingly via the Technician license. This segment of the Amateur population does not seem highly attracted to ARRL membership, nor affiliative with the "national association" nature of ARRL.

Interestingly, however, these new Amateurs are "local joiners". They attach some importance to public service communications events such as disaster drills, SkyWarn, flood relief, marathons, parade communications, and similar functions of a local nature. Interestingly, even though their on-the-air participation is limited, they represent a significant portion of the crew at Field Day, hamfest staffs, and similar "local" events. They are also well represented on the rosters of many local clubs.

Following is a PBI (Partially Baked Idea) to favorably position ARRL (and Amateur Radio in general) with these newcomers to our hobby.

I propose that the ARRL BoD consider an initiative to attract these newcomers to an interest in ARRL by establishment of a new "Department of Community Support".

The mission of this department of ARRL would be to organize, train, support, and nurture a system of tactical communications teams on the LOCAL level. I use the term "tactical" as opposed to "emergency" intentionally to broaden the scope of the mission to include a wide variety of community-level communications needs.

This "department" would be outside the current Field Organization, and given VISIBLE and COMMITTED volunteer leadership at Director or Vice President rank.

Did I hear someone muttering "Isn't that what ARES is all about?" or "Our current field organization already provides for this." Good points -- ARRL already has some of the pieces in place, and it looks good on paper. Unfortunately these "pieces" tend to be scattered around the ARRL organization and are not linked into a cohesive program. Support and leadership responsibility, from Newington all the way down to the local level, is often a collateral duty and the attention level is spotty and often diluted by competing responsibilities and personal interests. Leadership attention at the SM level is widely variable, and SM's have a diminished mindshare of the general membership by the unfortunate H.Q. decision to remove "Section News" from the national journal of our Association. Without dwelling overlong on the shortcomings of the current situation, I think we can all agree on four points:

1) A focused national program with Director (or higher) level leadership would have more impact than the current fragmented attention to "local" Amateur Radio.

2) Such a program, if successful, would give Amateur Radio valuable credibility in the regulatory and legislative arenas, and with national organizations like Homeland Security, FEMA, and the Red Cross.

3) Such a program, if successful, would serve to elevate the perceived value of Amateur Radio with local civil authorities, perhaps softening the effects of issues like tower ordinances, etc.

4) Such a program, strongly identified with the League, would provide a membership "attractor" to those classes of Amateurs that are now only locally "affiliative". Gaining some traction into this huge reservoir of potential members would be a godsend to the health and growth of ARRL.

What would need to be done to implement such a plan. Here are some "off the top of my head" thoughts.

-- Define the mission and organizational structure.

-- Many of the people (SEC/EC's, etc) are already in place. The organization structure would need to include a short chain-of-command headed by a focused leader at Newington.

-- Move Field Day and SET into this organization as "their" events.

-- Devise a recognition vehicle to give visibility to noteworthy work by individuals and teams in this Department. This visibility (QST?) should extend outside the organization to the "general population" of hams as a recruiting tool.

-- Establish a new periodical (like NCJ for contesters and QEX for experimenters) to help build a "sense of community" among the participants.

-- Educate local civil authorities about this organization, their capabilities, and how to best interface with them to take advantage of their capabilities.

In order to build some critical mass and gain traction, the outreach effort to build these teams should not initially stress ARRL membership for "grassroots" level players, but rather depend on a strong ARRL identity to build esprit de corps and lead to an attitude of support and affiliation with the parent organization. Perhaps appointment to team leadership positions would be conditioned on ARRL membership.

Obviously a lot of this proposal needs a great deal of "fleshing out" and refinement, but I present it in the spirit of a "topic for discussion". I'm sure that the minds gathered here will not be bashful about improving my PBI.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

170. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 14:26:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>
>
> <snip>

The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.

171. Re: Amateur Radio is MUCH more valuable than CB Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 14:37:08 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <h7adnbwfdZgAEerfRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 107350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Besides he needs to read it again, he is using a beam, that is worthless for local emergency communications, the point is that in a local disaster use the two meter band works out allllllooottt better than CB.

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1115122458.9263d825813999e37a075380aeadadc6@bubbanews...
> On Mon, 2 May 2005 20:52:50 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>On 11 meters, with my 100 ft tower with a two element beam, I reach 50-75
>>air miles consistantly(at night when the chan is quiet)... but, I am on a
>>valley floor... and that is 4.5 watts output... and you know I have NEVER
>>ran more power than that!!!! (But, if I did, it would be a good sized
>>chunk
>>of this state, well, the valley walls would be a limit) And, of course,
>>skip would be worldwide during the day...
>>
>>On 10 meters, it is not that good (same tower, same ant), mainly because
>>the
>>hams don't have as good as antennas as the CB'ers (height wise.) And,
>>there
>>are just not as many hams here to chat with on 10 meters...
>>
>>
>
> your antenna is a toy compared to most ham antennas. if you have
> trouble chatting with somone on 10 meters, maybe you should learn to
> speak english. most freebanders are quite illiterate.

172. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 15:20:45 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <xBMde.152836$vK6.60083@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 107351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:UgCde.6061$qQ3.5326990@news.sisna.com...
> You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
> ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
> MENTION!!!!
>
> One drawback:
> You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught...
>
> John

What a myth! Amazing how the untrained "think" they can't be caught simply
because they are mobile. There's
quite a few truckers that thought that when they got caught for playing
around on 10 Meters. :)

J
>
> "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
> news:5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07...
> | Jeez,
> |
> | CBers vs. Hams
> |
> | What's the point in debating which is better?
> |
> | Both have positives and negatives.
> |
> | CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because of
> the
> | national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a radar
> | detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new transceiver
> for
> | a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain anonymous,
> you
> | can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in an emergency, can't
> get
> | away from a high noise floor.
> |
> | Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of
> riff-raft,
> | frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance
> you're
> | looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be reliable in

> | emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones and
> access
> | numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always
> | monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham bands
> | also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a fraternity
> of
> | techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be hard
> | for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75 meters
> or
> | 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.
> |
> | I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big cities.
> | Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible to
> use
> | for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch to
> | scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these
> | days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.
> |
> | At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you can
> do
> | with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to
> channel
> | 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I give it to
> them.
> | They are always gratefull.
> |
> | When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were
> | experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact I
> made
> | with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams like
> | that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with now-a-days
> is
> | antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with. Some
> | work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.
> |
> | I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this
> thread.
> | If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least go
> get
> | a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to get
> a
> | ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is too
> hard
> | for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham licenses
> out.
> |
> | They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone has no
> | signal.
> |
> | I'll choose to remain anonymous here.

> |
> | Guy
> |
>
>

173. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 15:21:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Ia2dnc_xL89KKerfRVn-hQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Freeband sucks wrote:
> On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>
>>>>Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>>>>supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>>>>that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>
>>>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>>>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>>
>>
>>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
>>do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>>
>>Stu
>>
>>
>
>
> 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>
> 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
>

It sure doesn't fit in the emergency plans of NORAD and Homeland
Security. I would be curious as to just which national emergency plans
(US Government) include any cb spread spectrum.

174. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 15:23:06 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <JDMde.152838$vK6.57585@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 107352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050501163638.195$3x@newsreader.com...
> KC8LDO - truth@truthsayer.org wrote:
>> > "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> > news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>> > > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only
>> > > talk to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
>> > > with the Ham BS
>>
>> What about the CB BS? "tan fer thar mercy sakes alive no doubt about it
>> come on thar ya got that one gravedigger 186 world wide on the side
>> wavin a hand from the sand pile mercy me no doubt no doubt the grave
>> digger said that we gone BEEEEeeeEEEYYYEP Honk Squueeeeek"
>>
> LOL, check out Nad's coax run.
>
LMAO!
```

175. Re: Steve suggests violence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 15:27:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115134042.369098.162230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107353

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1114836093.379763.287230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > :
> > > > : I didn't introduce violence.
> > > > :
> > > >
> > > > Where is Brian with his Liar-Meter?
> > >
> > > Right here.
> > >
> > > > We just got a big fat juicy one! There was no mention in this
> > thread
> > > of
> > > > violence until Nursie introduced it with his words [an act of
> > > violence
> > > > against "people" like you].
> > >
> > > Steve is a cengenital, natural-born liar.
> >
> > What's, "cengenital"...Another of your attempts to use medical
> > terminology...?!?!
>
> With that kind of speeling, I could be a doctor. Your dodge is
noted.

The humor was intended, but I guess it was over your head...Sorry.

> > > He did introduce violence to this thread, then said that he
didn't.
> > He
> > > lied, but what's new?
> >
> > I didn't, but it seems to be making you two happy!
>
> You did, and we're not happy about it.
>
> Why did you introduce violence to this thread?

I didn't, but you won't show where I did. No threat of physical
violence was made.

> > > There have been so many lies recently that I lost count. At any
> > rate,
> > > it makes Steve look rediculous.
> > >
> > I am still waiting for you to cite lie #1, Brain, but you've
not
> > even cited what you think is a lie...
> >
> Silly Gunny, when you open your mouth I hear a lie. It's become that
> simple. Maybe if you started telling truths...

The truth is there. You refuse to hear it. Horse/Water/Drink.

Steve, K4YZ

176. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 15:28:41 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <XIMde.152839$vK6.39684@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 107354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Tex Wycoff" <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote in message
news:d53p7p$pk8$0@pita.alt.net...
> Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
> and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
> hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
>
> Good Numbers,
>
> Tex

What's left? Hmmmm. Lessee. There's 160 Meters, 75,
40, 30, 20 17, 15, and 10 meters. None of which depend on "repeaters.
Nothing to "comprehend".

All them "good numbers thar"! ;)

LMAO!
>
>
>
> "ex-Ham" <anon@exhamnbeans.net> wrote in message
> news:d52kp1$5tm$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> <editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
>> news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
>>> out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
>>> to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
>>> useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
>>> find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
>>> America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
>>> much of the U.S. at many times of day.
>>
>>
>
>

177. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 15:30:18 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <tKMde.152840$vK6.124127@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 107356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:tZhde.123$af6.11318418@news.sisna.com...
> An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to
> generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding the
> radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get another....
> And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf for a short
> duration--just long enough to fry the front end...
>
> Regards,
> John
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:wrOdnVzGtrv0POjfRVn-3g@gbronline.com...
> | John Smith wrote:
> | > AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast
> and
> the
> | > EMP sizzles their front ends...
> | >
> | > Regards,
> | > John
> |
> | If there has been a nuclear explosion close enough to where you are that
> | generated an EMP that destroyed ss equipment, I doubt you are going to
> | be worried about a few repeaters.

.....and if it fries the ham rigs, it will fry the CB rigs,
too!

>
>

178. Re: Another BrainRant Laid To Rest. (With New Questions To Be Answered)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 15:31:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115134272.476075.320070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107355

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > Now...About this assertion of yours that ARES won't respond
> and
> > is
> > > "overblown"...?!?!
> >
> "Won't respond???"
>
> You'll have to Google up that one.

You've insisted that ARES is overblown and won't/can't respond to
any major disaster.

> > Still waiting for an answer to that one, Brian...
>
> Why? You've answered it yourself with your own inability to write a
> duty schedule with available qualified radio amateur volunteers that
> will meet the requirements laid out by the exercise planners.

And you CONTINUE TO LIE by insisting otherwise, Brain. It was
done. You're story blown. Too bad for you.

> Too bad for you, too bad for ARES, and too bad for Amateur Radio.

Too bad for YOU that your assertions were proven FALSE WEEKS ago.

> Next?

Next? Next we'll expect you to ante up answers to all the
questions still awaiting answers, Brian.

Steve, K4YZ

179. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 16:32:39 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d58938gec1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 107358

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade has been overwhelmingly
> via the Technician license. This segment of the Amateur population does not seem
> highly attracted to ARRL membership, nor affiliative with the "national
> association" nature of ARRL.

ARRL needs to speak to them.

> Interestingly, however, these new Amateurs are "local joiners". They attach some
> importance to public service communications events such as disaster drills,
> SkyWarn, flood relief, marathons, parade communications, and similar functions
> of a local nature. Interestingly, even though their on-the-air participation is
> limited, they represent a significant portion of the crew at Field Day, hamfest
> staffs, and similar "local" events. They are also well represented on the
> rosters of many local clubs.

No argument there. Many of the people I can count on to help with this
sort of thing are the Tech's.

> Following is a PBI (Partially Baked Idea) to favorably position ARRL (and
> Amateur Radio in general) with these newcomers to our hobby.
>
>
> I propose that the ARRL BoD consider an initiative to attract these newcomers to
> an interest in ARRL by establishment of a new "Department of Community Support".
>
>
> The mission of this department of ARRL would be to organize, train, support, and
> nurture a system of tactical communications teams on the LOCAL level. I use the
> term "tactical" as opposed to "emergency" intentionally to broaden the scope of
> the mission to include a wide variety of community-level communications needs.

That is a good idea. Tactical communications would serve as a good
training ground.

>
> This "department" would be outside the current Field Organization, and given
> VISIBLE and COMMITTED volunteer leadership at Director or Vice President rank.
>
>
> Did I hear someone muttering "Isn't that what ARES is all about?" or "Our
> current field organization already provides for this." Good points -- ARRL
> already has some of the pieces in place, and it looks good on paper.
> Unfortunately these "pieces" tend to be scattered around the ARRL organization
> and are not linked into a cohesive program. Support and leadership

> responsibility, from Newington all the way down to the local level, is often a
> collateral duty and the attention level is spotty and often diluted by competing
> responsibilities and personal interests. Leadership attention at the SM level
> is widely variable, and SM's have a diminished mindshare of the general
> membership by the unfortunate H.Q. decision to remove "Section News" from the
> national journal of our Association. Without dwelling overlong on the
> shortcomings of the current situation, I think we can all agree on four points:
>
>
> 1) A focused national program with Director (or higher) level leadership would
> have more impact than the current fragmented attention to "local" Amateur Radio.
>
>
> 2) Such a program, if successful, would give Amateur Radio valuable credibility
> in the regulatory and legislative arenas, and with national organizations like
> Homeland Security, FEMA, and the Red Cross.
>
>
> 3) Such a program, if successful, would serve to elevate the perceived value of
> Amateur Radio with local civil authorities, perhaps softening the effects of
> issues like tower ordinances, etc.
>
>
> 4) Such a program, strongly identified with the League, would provide a
> membership "attractor" to those classes of Amateurs that are now only locally
> "affiliative". Gaining some traction into this huge reservoir of
> potential members would be a godsend to the health and growth of ARRL.
>
>
> What would need to be done to implement such a plan. Here are some "off the top
> of my head" thoughts.
>
>
> -- Define the mission and organizational structure.
>
>
> -- Many of the people (SEC/EC's, etc) are already in place. The organization
> structure would need to include a short chain-of-command headed by a focused
> leader at Newington.
>
>
> -- Move Field Day and SET into this organization as "their" events.
>
>
> -- Devise a recognition vehicle to give visibility to noteworthy work by
> individuals and teams in this Department. This visibility (QST?) should extend
> outside the organization to the "general population" of hams as a recruiting
> tool.

Perhaps if the new hams believed that there was relevancy, they might
join, eh?

>
> -- Establish a new periodical (like NCJ for contesters and QEX for
> experimenters) to help build a "sense of community" among the participants.

I have mixed thoughts on this. Perhaps if the other efforts appear to
be working, it would be a good thing. It might be an expensive thing if
the effort were to fall flat.

>
> -- Educate local civil authorities about this organization, their capabilities,
> and how to best interface with them to take advantage of their capabilities.

Absolutely. Some effort should be extended toward event coverage also.
I think that there are a lot of groups that do not know about Hams even
offering this sort of coverage. And their other options don't work very
well. But these groups would probably enjoy the tie-in with the civil
work too.

> In order to build some critical mass and gain traction, the outreach effort to
> build these teams should not initially stress ARRL membership for "grassroots"
> level players, but rather depend on a strong ARRL identity to build esprit de
> corps and lead to an attitude of support and affiliation with the parent
> organization. Perhaps appointment to team leadership positions would be
> conditioned on ARRL membership.

>
> Obviously a lot of this proposal needs a great deal of "fleshing out" and
> refinement, but I present it in the spirit of a "topic for discussion". I'm
> sure that the minds gathered here will not be bashful about improving my PBI.

I do think this is a good idea, and as PBI's go, it is pretty good. I
believe that at some point in the process, advancement should be gently
suggested. I think esprit de corps hinges upon that also.

- Mike KB3EIA -

And tks for something to talk about! 8^)

180. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 16:52:19 UTC
From: Stu <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
Message-ID: <d58a4rfqn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>>
>>
>> <snip>
>
> The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
> their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.

Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.

Stu

181. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 16:54:05 UTC
From: Stu <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
Message-ID: <d58a85$g1c$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate.

Stu

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:xBMde.152836$vK6.60083@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:UgCde.6061$qQ3.5326990@news.sisna.com...
>> You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
>> ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
>> MENTION!!!!
>>
>> One drawback:
>> You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught...
>>
>> John
>
>
> What a myth! Amazing how the untrained "think" they can't be caught
> simply because they are mobile. There's
> quite a few truckers that thought that when they got caught for playing
> around on 10 Meters. :)
>
> J
>>
>> "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
>> news:5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07...
>> | Jeez,
>> |
>> | CBers vs. Hams
>> |
>> | What's the point in debating which is better?
>> |
>> | Both have positives and negatives.
>> |
>> | CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because of
>> the
>> | national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a radar
>> | detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new transceiver
>> for
>> | a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain anonymous,
>> you
>> | can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in an emergency, can't
```

>> get
>> | away from a high noise floor.
>> |
>> | Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of
>> riff-raft,
>> | frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance
>> you're
>> | looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be reliable in
>> | emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones and
>> access
>> | numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always
>> | monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham
>> bands
>> | also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a fraternity
>> of
>> | techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be
>> hard
>> | for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75 meters
>> or
>> | 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.
>> |
>> | I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big
>> cities.
>> | Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible to
>> use
>> | for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch to
>> | scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these
>> | days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.
>> |
>> | At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you
>> can
>> do
>> | with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to
>> channel
>> | 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I give it to
>> them.
>> | They are always gratefull.
>> |
>> | When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were
>> | experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact I
>> made
>> | with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams like
>> | that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with
>> now-a-days
>> is
>> | antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with. Some
>> | work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.
>> |
>> | I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this
>> thread.

>> | If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least go
>> get
>> | a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to get
>> a
>> | ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is too
>> hard
>> | for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham licenses
>> out.
>> |
>> | They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone has
>> no
>> | signal.
>> |
>> | I'll choose to remain anonymous here.
>> |
>> | Guy
>> |
>>
>>
>
>

182. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 17:24:07 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115140478.86b5d4f64404ca2af49ace004df4fcec@bubbanews>
Source record: 107361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
wrote:

>
>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>
>>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>>>
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>
>> The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>> their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>
>
>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
>do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>
>Stu
>
>

11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.

11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.

183. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 17:25:18 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115140548.1a320617e93e5875545e89b2be1b9aeb@bubbanews>
Source record: 107362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:54:05 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
wrote:

>Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate.
>
>Stu
>
>

freebanders don't use spread spectrum

184. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 17:32:17 UTC
From: Stu <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
Message-ID: <d58cfpkoj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1115140548.1a320617e93e5875545e89b2be1b9aeb@bubbanews...
> On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:54:05 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate.
>>
>>Stu
>>
>>
>
> freebanders don't use spread spectrum

Sure do *and* have been for quite a while.

Stu

185. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 17:33:03 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115141014.98e4a855719c70362ecb023ced37961d@bubbanews>
Source record: 107365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Tue, 3 May 2005 13:32:17 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
wrote:

>
>"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1115140548.1a320617e93e5875545e89b2be1b9aeb@bubbanews...
>> On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:54:05 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate.
>>>
>>>Stu
>>>
>>>
>>
>> freebanders don't use spread spectrum
>
>
>Sure do *and* have been for quite a while.
>
>Stu
>
>

on 11 meters? what type of radios are they using?
```

186. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 18:10:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8Pde.22901$xE5.12298402@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have them!!!
We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to the
general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!

Think MAN!!! Think!

Regards,
John

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1115140478.86b5d4f64404ca2af49ace004df4fcec@bubbanews...
| On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
| wrote:
|
| >
| >"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
| >news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
| >> Frank Gilliland wrote:
| >>>
| >>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
| >>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
| >>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
| >>>
| >>>
| >>> <snip>
| >>
| >> The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
| >> their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
| >
| >
| >Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
| >do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
| >
| >Stu
| >
| >
|
| 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
|
| 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
|

187. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 18:14:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <gcPde.22957$NK5.12408927@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No. I don't see CB helping NORAD, the gov't, the FCC, George Bush or my
OTHER PUBLIC SERVANTS, the cities' mayor, etc... they will just have to do
the best they can... <grin>
I see it helping myself, my neighbor and other fellow citizens....

However, I hope the damn hams will finally crawl down off their high horse
and take my traffic when they see all those needing REAL help!!!!
They just might not.... and here lies the real problem!

Regards,
John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:Ia2dnc_xL89KKerfRVn-hQ@gbronline.com...
| Freeband sucks wrote:
| > On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
| > wrote:
| >
| >
| >>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
| >>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
| >>
| >>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
| >>>
| >>>>Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
| >>>>supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
| >>>>that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
| >>>>
| >>>>
| >>>><snip>
| >>>
| >>>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
| >>>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
| >>
| >>
| >>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
| >>do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
| >>
| >>Stu
| >>
| >>
| >
| >
| > 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.

```
| >
| > 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
| >
|
| It sure doesn't fit in the emergency plans of NORAD and Homeland
| Security. I would be curious as to just which national emergency plans
| (US Government) include any cb spread spectrum.
```

188. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 18:18:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <RfPde.23010$q16.12586077@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Oh well, life is not a guaranteed thing...

Let me know when you have caught 'em all!!!

Regards,
John

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:xBMde.152836$vK6.60083@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
|
| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| news:UgCde.6061$qQ3.5326990@news.sisna.com...
| > You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
| > ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
| > MENTION!!!!
| >
| > One drawback:
| > You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught...
| >
| > John
|
|
| What a myth! Amazing how the untrained "think" they can't be caught
simply
| because they are mobile. There's
| quite a few truckers that thought that when they got caught for playing
| around on 10 Meters. :)
|
| J
| >
| > "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
| > news:5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07...
| > | Jeez,
| > |
| > | CBers vs. Hams
| > |
| > | What's the point in debating which is better?
| > |
| > | Both have positives and negatives.
| > |
| > | CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because of
| > the
| > | national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a radar
| > | detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new
```

transceiver
| > for
| > | a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain anonymous,
| > you
| > | can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in an emergency,
can't
| > get
| > | away from a high noise floor.
| > |
| > | Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of
| > riff-raft,
| > | frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance
| > you're
| > | looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be reliable in
| > | emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones and
| > access
| > | numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always
| > | monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham
bands
| > | also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a fraternity
| > of
| > | techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be
hard
| > | for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75
meters
| > or
| > | 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.
| > |
| > | I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big
cities.
| > | Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible
to
| > use
| > | for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch to
| > | scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these
| > | days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.
| > |
| > | At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you
can
| > do
| > | with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to
| > channel
| > | 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I give it to
| > them.
| > | They are always gratefull.
| > |
| > | When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were
| > | experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact I
| > made
| > | with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams

like
| > | that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with
now-a-days
| > is
| > | antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with.
Some
| > | work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.
| > |
| > | I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this
| > thread.
| > | If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least
go
| > get
| > | a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to
get
| > a
| > | ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is too
| > hard
| > | for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham licenses
| > out.
| > |
| > | They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone has
no
| > | signal.
| > |
| > | I'll choose to remain anonymous here.
| > |
| > | Guy
| > |
| >
| >
|
|

189. Re: Steve's Really Losing It Now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 18:20:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115144404.299390.224320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107368

bb wrote:

> Steve said that he wanted to sit down and teach me a few things. I
> asked if he was applying to be my new mentor. He looked so
ridiculous.

Only in that anyone might expect to be able to teach you ANYthing.

Steve, K4YZ

190. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 18:22:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <mjPde.23061$DE5.12304496@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Jerry:

Yes, but loosing 80% of the millions of CB radios, and loosing 80% of the
thousands of ham radios are two VERY different things!!!!

It is all in the numbers, like roulette yanno!!!

Regards,
John

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:tKMde.152840$vK6.124127@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
|
| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| news:tZhde.123$af6.11318418@news.sisna.com...
| > An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to
| > generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding
the
| > radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get another....
| > And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf for a short
| > duration--just long enough to fry the front end...
| >
| > Regards,
| > John
| >
| > "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
| > news:wrOdnVzGtrv0POjfRVn-3g@gbronline.com...
| > | John Smith wrote:
| > | > AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast
| > and
| > the
| > | > EMP sizzles their front ends...
| > | >
| > | > Regards,
| > | > John
| > |
| > | If there has been a nuclear explosion close enough to where you are
that
| > | generated an EMP that destroyed ss equipment, I doubt you are going to
| > | be worried about a few repeaters.
|
| .....................and if it fries the ham rigs, it will fry the CB
rigs,
| too!
```

|
| >
| >
|
|

191. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 18:26:49 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115144240.ace3140f585fbe1bbba6399f8db3ff02@bubbanews>
Source record: 107371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 3 May 2005 11:10:33 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have them!!!
>We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
>mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to the
>general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
>The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
>
>Think MAN!!! Think!
>
>Regards,
>John

think MAN!!! Think! where are your 11 meter spread spectrum radios?
answer the question,if you can.

>
>"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1115140478.86b5d4f64404ca2af49ace004df4fcec@bubbanews...
>| On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
>| wrote:
>|
>| >
>| >"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>| >news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>| >> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>| >>>
>| >>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>| >>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>| >>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>| >>>
>| >>>
>| >>> <snip>
>| >>
>| >> The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>| >> their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>| >
>| >
>| >Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
>| >do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>| >
>| >Stu
>| >

>| >
>|
>| 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>|
>| 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
>|
>

192. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 18:27:08 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0oPde.5477$BE3.5422@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107372

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d58938gec1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...

>
> I believe that at some point in the process, advancement
> should be gently suggested. I think esprit de corps hinges
> upon that also.
>

That may be true, or it might not be, but I think it is a completely separate agenda from pointing ARRL back at "mainstreet America".

In my mind we should leave the question of social-engineering missions to the regulators (FCC).

73, and thanks for your thoughtful comments,

de Hans, K0HB

193. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 18:29:04 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115144375.899316668fdb6e8e68eaf230fe9d7022@bubbanews>
Source record: 107373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Tue, 3 May 2005 11:14:38 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>No. I don't see CB helping NORAD, the gov't, the FCC, George Bush or my
>OTHER PUBLIC SERVANTS, the cities' mayor, etc... they will just have to do
>the best they can... <grin>
>I see it helping myself, my neighbor and other fellow citizens....
>
>However, I hope the damn hams will finally crawl down off their high horse
>and take my traffic when they see all those needing REAL help!!!!
>They just might not.... and here lies the real problem!
>
>Regards,
>John
>

well at least that way, you will all the smokey reports and know where
all the hookers all.

however, I hope the damn cb'ers will finally crawl down off their high
horse and take my traffic reports when they see all those needing REAL
help!!!!
they just might not.... and here lies the real problem!

>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>news:Ia2dnc_xL89KKerfRVn-hQ@gbronline.com...
>| Freeband sucks wrote:
>| > On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
>| > wrote:
>| >
>| >
>| >>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>| >>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>| >>
>| >>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>| >>>
>| >>>>Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>| >>>>supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>| >>>>that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>| >>>>
>| >>>>
>| >>>><snip>
>| >>>
>| >>>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
```

>| >>>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>| >>
>| >>
>| >>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
>| >>do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>| >>
>| >>Stu
>| >>
>| >>
>| >
>| >
>| > 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>| >
>| > 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
>| >
>|
>| It sure doesn't fit in the emergency plans of NORAD and Homeland
>| Security. I would be curious as to just which national emergency plans
>| (US Government) include any cb spread spectrum.
>

194. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 18:31:10 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115144501.5cb715318b8b3ee8e92e90df7ea7cb31@bubbanews>
Source record: 107374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Tue, 3 May 2005 11:22:12 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Jerry:
>
>Yes, but loosing 80% of the millions of CB radios, and loosing 80% of the
>thousands of ham radios are two VERY different things!!!!
>
>It is all in the numbers, like roulette yanno!!!
>
>Regards,
>John
>

true, who would miss a dumbass worthless cb'er. they are a dime a
dozen. but worth every penny for each, or is that worthless?

>"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>news:tKMde.152840$vK6.124127@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
>|
>| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>| news:tZhde.123$af6.11318418@news.sisna.com...
>| > An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to
>| > generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding
>the
>| > radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get another....
>| > And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf for a short
>| > duration--just long enough to fry the front end...
>| >
>| > Regards,
>| > John
>| >
>| > "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>| > news:wrOdnVzGtrv0POjfRVn-3g@gbronline.com...
>| > | John Smith wrote:
>| > | > AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast
>| > and
>| > the
>| > | > EMP sizzles their front ends...
>| > | >
>| > | > Regards,
>| > | > John
>| > |
>| > | If there has been a nuclear explosion close enough to where you are
```

>that
>| > | generated an EMP that destroyed ss equipment, I doubt you are going to
>| > | be worried about a few repeaters.
>|
>| .....and if it fries the ham rigs, it will fry the CB
>rigs,
>| too!
>|
>| >
>| >
>|
>|
>

195. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 18:42:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115145745.741547.250500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107375

N9OGL wrote:
> >K4YZ says
> >I've not threatened anyone's life, Todd. And as for "...sending
> >#### to this group and sending stuff to taht group just to screw
> >someone over", just what do you call your letters to Congressman
> >Shimkus?
>
> I'm not threating anyone with my letter to Shimkus, I mainly wait him
> to have the FCC clairfy the rules pertaining to information bulletins
> and resolve some of the problems surrounding information bulletins.

You had best be careful, Todd...The result STILL might not be what
you'd like...Especially after they get a load of your true intentions
and ulterior motives, Todd...

> > What do you call all those threatening and profane slurs you've
> >thrown at the ARRL when they've not uttered one single iota of
comment
> >on you, your shows or your opinions...?!?!
>
> Well I'm not the only one that hates the ARRL with a passion.

So what? My question to you was what has the ARRL done to you
that you'd use the language against them that you do?

The ARRL has not uttered ONE word about N9OGL, his shows or his
internet behaviour. Not one. Yet you have attacked them with
unwarranted ferocity and foulness. Why?

> as far as
> I see they are the main problem surrounding the problems with
> information bulletins.

The ARRL is not the problem.

The problem is with people like K1MAN and you who think that 97.3
is a blanket authorization to go into the Junior Broadcasting business.

The ARRL does not make policy on what constitutes an "information
bulletin", Todd.

> the huge MAJORITY of people complaining about other Information
Bulletins are ARRL members.

OK...Show me the numbers...

AND THEN show me where the >>ARRL ITSELF<<, not an Amateur who
HAPPENS to be an ARRL member, has caused you any problems.

> Secondly when a person
> gets on a public fourm and ask why I'm on the FCC amateur Auxiliary
> list then It's time to take action.

The action you need to take is (1) Clean up your mouth, (2)
Follow FCC rules and regulations, and (3) Realize that most Amateurs DO
NOT want another self-stylized broadcaster to plop down another
"information bulletin" station on the most crowded HF Amateur
allocation.

> I have the right to my opinion as
> do you with yours if you don't want to listen to my comments then
don't
> read them plain and simple.

And you have the right to ignore mine...but THIS is a public forum.
So you're stuck. And so far, I don't remember a single person
offering any support or encouragement to you to proceed with your
"information bulletins" on 20 meters.

> >Keep repeating that to yourslef over and over, Todd. It's not
> >true today, it wasn't true yesterday, and even if you spent every
last
>
> >day of your life in a monastery begging forgiveness it wouldn't be
> true
> >tomorrow.
>
> I prefere Shintoism over christian religions

Fine. But so far you've not demonstrated that you have the
clarity of thought or discipline of mind to practice Shintoism.

Here's an honest, forthright suggestion on your "bulletin" ideas,
Todd.

Become an ARRL "Official Bulletin Station". Use YOUR repeater to
rebroadcast ARRL bulletins, the Amateur Radio Newsline program, or
other RESPECTED, professionally produced offerings for the "main
course".

Then, at the end of the transmission, hold a directed net on
frequency to invite other Amateurs to join in for round table
discussions on any of the bulletins subjects, local policy issues, or
technical projects or ideas.

Build up some credibility there, then expand your "services" as
the demand dictates.

Like it or not, Todd, if you start broadasting your shows on 20
meters with any regularity, you will find yourself on the receiving end
of more grief than you ever thought of generating on RRAP.

Steve, K4YZ

196. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 18:50:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vJPde.5152$HL2.4362@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107376

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115145745.741547.250500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

> Here's an honest, forthright suggestion on your "bulletin" ideas,
> Todd.
>
> Become an ARRL "Official Bulletin Station". Use YOUR repeater to
> rebroadcast ARRL bulletins, the Amateur Radio Newsline program, or
> other RESPECTED, professionally produced offerings for the "main
> course".
>
> Then, at the end of the transmission, hold a directed net on
> frequency to invite other Amateurs to join in for round table
> discussions on any of the bulletins subjects, local policy issues, or
> technical projects or ideas.
>
> Build up some credibility there, then expand your "services" as
> the demand dictates.
>

Well crafted advice.

de Hans, K0HB

197. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 18:54:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115146487.919925.213550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107377

K0HB Said:

QUOTE:

Did I hear someone muttering "Isn't that what ARES is all about?" or
"Our
current field organization already provides for this." Good points --
ARRL
already has some of the pieces in place, and it looks good on paper.
Unfortunately these "pieces" tend to be scattered around the ARRL
organization
and are not linked into a cohesive program.

UNQUOTE.

Yes, that was my first thought, Hans.

A couple of points of my own.

First of all, there's already flexibility written into the ARES
program to allow for it's implementation based upon local needs or
concerns. The needs of emergency planners in Plymouth, MN or
Winchester, TN are going to be different than those of New York City or
Miami, FL.

I don't see how a nationally directed program could possibly do
anything more than appear to be micromanaging.

Secondly, the ARRL Special Services Club's program already offers
assistance for helping to manage some aspects of club activities,
offers of sponsorship, etc.

What they (the ARRL) COULD do, without creating a whole new
"branch" and field directorship with it, would be to start spending
some more money to get more recruiting materials, including TV/Radio
"commercials" into the field. They could "regionalize" the materials
with pictures of local clubs, landmarks, etc in them to help "identify"
them to the target audience.

Otherwise, I like the ideas.

73

Steve, K4YZ

198. Re: N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 18:59:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115146794.705688.13160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107378

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > bb wrote:
>
> > > Can you tell us why you are the most prolific poster on RRAP?
> >
> > Does it matter? If I never posted to RRAP, SOMEone would have
> > "the most" posts here.
>
> So why is it you?

Seems to me that "Barnabus" has put that onus on you, Brain. Like
I said...One day me, the next day someone else. That's just the way it
goes.

> Is it that you have so much to say?

I guess so.

> I don't think so.

Then your "thinking" is flawed (no new news there)

Steve, K4YZ

199. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 19:04:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115147091.867152.216940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107379

KØHB wrote:

. . . .

>
> Obviously a lot of this proposal needs a great deal of "fleshing out"
and
> refinement, but I present it in the spirit of a "topic for
discussion". I'm
> sure that the minds gathered here will not be bashful about improving
my PBI.

All warm and fuzzy good Hans but it's another OF's top-down "reorganizational solution" which I don't see would work any better than it's predecessors.

The League needs to recognize/concede that it has a serious marketing problem and address the problem the same way other businesses do in these situations. They have a product line which isn't selling to a large sector of their potential buyers. Why? Nobody actually knows. And nobody will know until the League finds out why the Techs aren't buying their wares.

Well-run businesses tackle this problem via market research and the League needs to do a bunch of long-overdue bottom-up market research as a first step if they expect to get any more real penetration into their Tech market.

The League should go out into the trenches in volume and, for openers, start asking all the Techs who are not ARRL members why they aren't members and what the League needs to do to pry the forty bucks a year out of them. Then properly analyze the results of the surveys and make the appropriate changes in their product line. Shuffling SM's duties around and talking up ham radio to the town burghers, etc., etc. as "potential solutions" would drive a real marketeer to tears of laughter.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

200. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 19:39:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115149166.683930.202200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
> CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have
them!!!
> We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in
our
> mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable
to the
> general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
> The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
>
> Think MAN!!! Think!

They won't have to "hunt up" anyone, assuming this is anything
other than a direct nuclear hit where there's NOone to "hunt up"
anyway.

Those who are parts of various volunteer teams, whether it's ARES,
REACT, Red Cross, Civil Air Patrol, etc etc etc, will know where to go
and what to do if the need arises. They won't need an "invitation" to
show up and they'll already know what to do.

And the only good an 11 meter CB radio will do is get "smokey"
reports along the way to their staging point.

73

Steve, K4YZ

201. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...not

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 19:58:23 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115149734.53cb2c199c1c74af272f0ca56d3c05ec@bubbanews>
Source record: 107381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

funny the california office of emergency services recognizes RACES but
not cb or freeband. why is that? cb'ers and freebanders show no self
control or discipline. during a emergency there will be hundreds of
the dummies all trying to talk over the top of each other.

breaker how bout a radio check,just want to see if this things gettin
out.

On Tue, 3 May 2005 11:10:33 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have them!!!
>We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
>mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to the
>general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
>The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
>
>Think MAN!!! Think!
>
>Regards,
>John
>
>"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1115140478.86b5d4f64404ca2af49ace004df4fcec@bubbanews...
>| On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
>| wrote:
>|
>| >
>| >"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>| >news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>| >> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>| >>>
>| >>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>| >>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>| >>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>| >>>
>| >>>
>| >>> <snip>
>| >>
>| >> The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>| >> their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>| >
>| >
>| >Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes

>| >do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>| >
>| >Stu
>| >
>| >
>|
>| 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>|
>| 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
>|
>

202. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 20:00:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qMQde.24380$fD.13334673@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Shortly after a LARGE EMP blast...

shhhhhhh, one will be able to hear a mouse whisper!!!!

Regards,
John

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1115144240.ace3140f585fbe1bbba6399f8db3ff02@bubbanews...
| On Tue, 3 May 2005 11:10:33 -0700, "John Smith"
| <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
|
| >CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have them!!!
| >We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
| >mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to
the
| >general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
| >The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
| >
| >Think MAN!!! Think!
| >
| >Regards,
| >John
|
| think MAN!!! Think! where are your 11 meter spread spectrum radios?
| answer the question,if you can.
|
| >
| >"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
| >news:1115140478.86b5d4f64404ca2af49ace004df4fcec@bubbanews...
| >| On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
| >| wrote:
| >|
| >| >
| >| >"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
| >| >news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
| >| >> Frank Gilliland wrote:
| >| >>>
| >| >>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a
government
| >| >>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a
link
| >| >>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from
where?

```
| >| >>>
| >| >>>
| >| >>> <snip>
| >| >>
| >| >> The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio
in
| >| >> their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
| >| >
| >| >
| >| >Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
| >| >do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and
packet.
| >| >
| >| >Stu
| >| >
| >| >
| >|
| >| 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
| >|
| >| 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
| >|
| >
|
```

203. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 20:01:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <sMQde.24381$qC.13290690@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, Jules Verne wrote fiction too... you just might have a career
there!!!

Regards,
John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115149166.683930.202200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
|
| John Smith wrote:
| > CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have
| them!!!
| > We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in
| our
| > mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable
| to the
| > general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
| > The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
| >
| > Think MAN!!! Think!
|
| They won't have to "hunt up" anyone, assuming this is anything
| other than a direct nuclear hit where there's NOone to "hunt up"
| anyway.
|
| Those who are parts of various volunteer teams, whether it's ARES,
| REACT, Red Cross, Civil Air Patrol, etc etc etc, will know where to go
| and what to do if the need arises. They won't need an "invitation" to
| show up and they'll already know what to do.
|
| And the only good an 11 meter CB radio will do is get "smokey"
| reports along the way to their staging point.
|
| 73
|
| Steve, K4YZ
|

204. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 20:33:10 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aeRde.5991$BE3.5417@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115149166.683930.202200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

>
> And the only good an 11 meter CB radio will do is get "smokey"
> reports along the way to their staging point.
>

During the floods here on the Red and Minnesota rivers a few years ago when
Grand Forks burned, the 11M guys patrolled the dikes and reported problems just
as effectively as the hams did. Reality trumps myth every time.

de Hans, K0HB

205. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 20:39:01 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <FjRde.3181$pe3.1276@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107391

>
> I do think this is a good idea, and as PBI's go, it is pretty good.
> I believe that at some point in the process, advancement should be
> gently suggested. I think esprit de corps hinges upon that also.

For some reason, when I read "advancement" above, my first thought
was to encourage the Techs to advance to "general" or "extra".
Then they'd get on HF and then have a reason to join a national
club (ARRL). But that reason is kinda stupid. Upgrading
doesn't mean that you'd have to give up the Tech allocations,
so the Techs could still do local stuff even if they did
upgrade. Not like the old days when a Novice upgraded to
Tech and thus lose the Novice allocations (now THAT was
stupid). Where am I going with this? Damm if I know... :-)

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> And tks for something to talk about! 8^)
>
You can say that again. Lately this newsgroup was nothing
except mindless flameage... :-)

206. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 21:05:11 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <f6qdnRMr6a8SeurfRVn-pg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
> CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have them!!!
> We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
> mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to the
> general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
> The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
>
> Think MAN!!! Think!
>
> Regards,
> John
>
YEP, you'll be right out there in the neighborhoods with the looters and
thief's, who will in turn kick your butt, steal your car and radio!
Goto toads page and leave him a message, he builds all his equipment and
he hates everybody, hams and cb'ers!
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

207. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...not

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 21:08:56 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <f6qdnRIr6a_yderfRVn-pg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Freeband sucks wrote:

> funny the california office of emergency services recognizes RACES but
> not cb or freeband. why is that? cb'ers and freebanders show no self
> control or discipline. during a emergency there will be hundreds of
> the dummies all trying to talk over the top of each other.
>
> breaker how bout a radio check,just want to see if this things gettin
> out.

All Chiefs and no Indians.

208. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 21:13:54 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115154834.559100.122730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107385

> You had best be careful, Todd...The result STILL might not be what
>you'd like...Especially after they get a load of your true intentions
>and ulterior motives, Todd...

ummmmm just another of your little threats....

> The ARRL is not the problem.
> The problem is with people like K1MAN and you who think that 97.3
>is a blanket authorization to go into the Junior Broadcasting
business.

>The ARRL does not make policy on what constitutes an "information
>bulletin", Todd.

No the problem is dumb amateur's who can't read the rules or the FCC
website. No but the ones who bitch about other information bulletins
are ARRL members

>The action you need to take is (1) Clean up your mouth, (2)
>Follow FCC rules and regulations, and (3) Realize that most Amateurs
DO
>NOT want another self-stylized broadcaster to plop down another
>"information bulletin" station on the most crowded HF Amateur
>allocation.

Answer to 1. NO, 2. I follow the FCC rules 3. Too bad I'm going to
start running 24/7 real soon

> And you have the right to ignore mine...but THIS is a public forum.
> So you're stuck. And so far, I don't remember a single person
>offering any support or encouragement to you to proceed with your
>"information bulletins" on 20 meters.

I could care less....I didn't ask for anyone's permission or
encouragement to run my information bulletin.

> Here's an honest, forthright suggestion on your "bulletin" ideas,
>Todd.

> Become an ARRL "Official Bulletin Station". Use YOUR repeater to
>rebroadcast ARRL bulletins, the Amateur Radio Newsline program, or
>other RESPECTED, professionally produced offerings for the "main
>course".

Naw, I stick with what I'm doing now

> Like it or not, Todd, if you start broadasting your shows on 20
>meters with any regularity, you will find yourself on the receiving

end
>of more grief than you ever thought of generating on RRAP.

Oh well It legal and there's nothing you can do about it

Todd N9OGL

209. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 21:16:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1115154962.511532.115520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107386

k...@dvol.com wrote:

> The League needs to recognize/concede that it has a serious marketing
> problem and address the problem the same way other businesses do in
> these situations. They have a product line which isn't selling to a
> large sector of their potential buyers. Why? Nobody actually knows.
And
> nobody will know until the League finds out why the Techs aren't
buying
> their wares.

Here's one big reason:

The League is a *national* organization. Focused mostly on national,
international, and regional issues, and not so much local ones.

Now if a ham's focus and interest are national or international, the
League can have a lot to offer. But at the local level, how much the
ARRL can offer someone depends entirely on who the local folks are.

As a prime example, look at QST. How much of it is devoted to purely
local stuff? Not much - the mag would have to be huge to cover ever
locality in any depth at all. So why should someone whose main interest
in amateur radio is the folks within, say, 50 miles, shell out $40/yr
for a membership?

73 de Jim, N2EY

210. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 21:22:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nYRde.5190$HL2.4769@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107387

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote

>
> I'm going to start running 24/7 real soon
>
> Oh well It legal and there's nothing you can do about it
>

You might want to review &#65533;97.7 and &#65533;97.109. (Or not.)

Good luck on this one now.

73, de Hans, K0HB

211. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 21:29:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1115155763.520880.257510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107390

KØHB wrote:
> The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade has been
overwhelmingly
> via the Technician license.

Do you have any numbers to back that up, Hans? The license numbers I post here every two weeks point back to 2000 but not to 1995.

I agree that since about 1987 or so, most new hams have started out as Technicians. Maybe even earlier than that. But it's also true that many of them have gone on to other license classes.

The total number of Technicians and Technician Pluses today is less than it was 5 years ago.

73 de Jim, N2EY

212. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 21:48:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <XkSde.5198$HL2.2486@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107392

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1115155763.520880.257510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:

>> The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade
>> has been overwhelmingly via the Technician license.

> .... since about 1987 or so, most new hams
> have started out as Technicians.

Jim, we can nit-pick the semantics if you really think that's productive, but the two statements above both seem to convey the same notion, which we might more clearly state "Most new hams since 1991 have entered via the Technician class which is now the largest single license class in the US, comprising almost half (47.7%) of the population of licensees in this country, nearly equal to the combined total population of the three higher classes.".

73, de Hans, K0HB

213. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 22:01:31 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <X4-dnanIs9hRaerfRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 107395

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:0OLde.5010$GQ5.2830@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade has been
> overwhelmingly via the Technician license. This segment of the Amateur
> population does not seem highly attracted to ARRL membership, nor
> affiliative with the "national association" nature of ARRL.
>
>
> Interestingly, however, these new Amateurs are "local joiners". They
> attach some importance to public service communications events such as
> disaster drills, SkyWarn, flood relief, marathons, parade communications,
> and similar functions of a local nature. Interestingly, even though their
> on-the-air participation is limited, they represent a significant portion
> of the crew at Field Day, hamfest staffs, and similar "local" events. They
> are also well represented on the rosters of many local clubs.
>
>
> Following is a PBI (Partially Baked Idea) to favorably position ARRL (and
> Amateur Radio in general) with these newcomers to our hobby.
>
>
> I propose that the ARRL BoD consider an initiative to attract these
> newcomers to an interest in ARRL by establishment of a new "Department of
> Community Support".
>
>
> The mission of this department of ARRL would be to organize, train,
> support, and nurture a system of tactical communications teams on the
> LOCAL level. I use the term "tactical" as opposed to "emergency"
> intentionally to broaden the scope of the mission to include a wide
> variety of community-level communications needs.
>
>
> This "department" would be outside the current Field Organization, and
> given VISIBLE and COMMITTED volunteer leadership at Director or Vice
> President rank.
>
>
> Did I hear someone muttering "Isn't that what ARES is all about?" or "Our
> current field organization already provides for this." Good points -- ARRL
> already has some of the pieces in place, and it looks good on paper.
> Unfortunately these "pieces" tend to be scattered around the ARRL
> organization and are not linked into a cohesive program. Support and

> leadership responsibility, from Newington all the way down to the local
> level, is often a collateral duty and the attention level is spotty and
> often diluted by competing responsibilities and personal interests.
> Leadership attention at the SM level is widely variable, and SM's have a
> diminished mindshare of the general membership by the unfortunate H.Q.
> decision to remove "Section News" from the national journal of our
> Association. Without dwelling overlong on the shortcomings of the current
> situation, I think we can all agree on four points:
>
>
> 1) A focused national program with Director (or higher) level leadership
> would have more impact than the current fragmented attention to "local"
> Amateur Radio.
>
>
> 2) Such a program, if successful, would give Amateur Radio valuable
> credibility in the regulatory and legislative arenas, and with national
> organizations like Homeland Security, FEMA, and the Red Cross.
>
>
> 3) Such a program, if successful, would serve to elevate the perceived
> value of Amateur Radio with local civil authorities, perhaps softening
> the effects of issues like tower ordinances, etc.
>
>
> 4) Such a program, strongly identified with the League, would provide a
> membership "attractor" to those classes of Amateurs that are now only
> locally "affiliative". Gaining some traction into this huge reservoir of
> potential members would be a godsend to the health and growth of ARRL.
>
>
> What would need to be done to implement such a plan. Here are some "off
> the top of my head" thoughts.
>
>
> -- Define the mission and organizational structure.
>
>
> -- Many of the people (SEC/EC's, etc) are already in place. The
> organization structure would need to include a short chain-of-command
> headed by a focused leader at Newington.
>
>
> -- Move Field Day and SET into this organization as "their" events.
>
>
> -- Devise a recognition vehicle to give visibility to noteworthy work by
> individuals and teams in this Department. This visibility (QST?) should
> extend outside the organization to the "general population" of hams as a

> recruiting tool.
>
>
> -- Establish a new periodical (like NCJ for contesters and QEX for
> experimenters) to help build a "sense of community" among the
> participants.
>
>
> -- Educate local civil authorities about this organization, their
> capabilities, and how to best interface with them to take advantage of
> their capabilities.
>
>
> In order to build some critical mass and gain traction, the outreach
> effort to build these teams should not initially stress ARRL membership
> for "grassroots" level players, but rather depend on a strong ARRL
> identity to build esprit de corps and lead to an attitude of support and
> affiliation with the parent organization. Perhaps appointment to team
> leadership positions would be conditioned on ARRL membership.
>
>
> Obviously a lot of this proposal needs a great deal of "fleshing out" and
> refinement, but I present it in the spirit of a "topic for discussion".
> I'm sure that the minds gathered here will not be bashful about improving
> my PBI.
>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

Overall I like the ideas. So who is going to take on the job of spearheading this. It's going to take someone willing to take the time and effort to work with bulldog determination to work with the ARRL (and push the ARRL) and make it happen.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

214. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 22:06:57 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ZoudnfnbSOuOa-rfRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 107396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:gcPde.22957$NK5.12408927@news.sisna.com...
> No. I don't see CB helping NORAD, the gov't, the FCC, George Bush or my
> OTHER PUBLIC SERVANTS, the cities' mayor, etc... they will just have to
> do
> the best they can... <grin>
> I see it helping myself, my neighbor and other fellow citizens....
>
> However, I hope the damn hams will finally crawl down off their high horse
> and take my traffic when they see all those needing REAL help!!!!
> They just might not.... and here lies the real problem!
>
> Regards,
> John
>

Some of the problem is not really ham related. It is due to the fact that the various emergency services will NOT accept an unconfirmed report from an untrained individual whether or not they are a ham or anyone else.

For example, if Joe Blow Citizen calls in a tornado on a land line, the report will be considered only a possible tornado not a confirmed tornado unless he is a trained storm spotter.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

215. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 22:16:00 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YYSdnbJ88PSvZerfRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 107397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:iACde.6465$nA3.5309589@news.sisna.com...
> On 11 meters, with my 100 ft tower with a two element beam, I reach 50-75
> air miles consistantly(at night when the chan is quiet)... but, I am on a
> valley floor... and that is 4.5 watts output... and you know I have NEVER
> ran more power than that!!!! (But, if I did, it would be a good sized
> chunk
> of this state, well, the valley walls would be a limit) And, of course,
> skip would be worldwide during the day...
>
> On 10 meters, it is not that good (same tower, same ant), mainly because
> the
> hams don't have as good as antennas as the CB'ers (height wise.) And,
> there
> are just not as many hams here to chat with on 10 meters...
>
> Regards,
> John

Last time I looked, the FCC regulations don't allow CB antennas to exceed 20
feet in height.

216. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 22:17:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <VIOdnSpgQOoAZerfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 107398

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:XkSde.5198$HL2.2486@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1115155763.520880.257510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> K�HB wrote:
>
>>> The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade
>>> has been overwhelmingly via the Technician license.
>
>> .... since about 1987 or so, most new hams
>> have started out as Technicians.
>
> Jim, we can nit-pick the semantics if you really think that's productive,
> but the two statements above both seem to convey the same notion, which we
> might more clearly state "Most new hams since 1991 have entered via the
> Technician class which is now the largest single license class in the US,
> comprising almost half (47.7%) of the population of licensees in this
> country, nearly equal to the combined total population of the three higher
> classes.".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

In any activity it would be normal for the "beginners" group to be the largest segment. There are always a lot of people who start activities but then drop out for a wide variety of reasons.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

217. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 22:21:45 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6Jqdncx8oPQXZOrfRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 107399

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:qVbde.3699$GQ5.888@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:5Omdndfq4drU3OjfRVn-gg@comcast.com...
>
>>
>> Hans are you saying that Todd may say whatever he wants
>> whenever where he wants however he wants on the ham
>> bands?
>
> No, Dee, I didn't say that (and of course you know that I didn't). The
> hams bands aren't a right, but a regulated privilege.
>
>>
>> Do you believe then that Part 97 is unconstitutional when
>> it prohibits commericial use and foul language?
>>
>
> No, I don't believe that (and of course you know that I don't). The
> amateur bands aren't a right but a regulated privilege.
>
> I do believe, however, that Todd has protections in the Constitution which
> allow him to raise those issues here on rrap, just as Steve has
> protections for his assertions that parts of the Constitution are not
> appropriate to his political views. While I'm disgusted by Todds trashy
> language here, I am more disgusted by the overt actions to deny him his
> Constitutional protections.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

The ability to express ideas is indeed protected (unless of course one is advocating the VIOLENT overthrow of the government). However those ideas can be expressed in trashy language or they can be expressed in non-trashy language. I see no violation of freedom of speech in requiring the use of non-trashy language in public forums. Internet news groups, since they are open to all, would be a public forum.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

218. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 22:31:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1ZSde.5214$HL2.4303@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107450

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> In any activity it would be normal for the "beginners" group to be the largest
> segment. There are always a
> lot of people who start activities but then drop out
> for a wide variety of reasons.
>

Astute observation. It would be nice to find a way reduce that "first termer"
attrition by promoting an atmosphere which reinforces the reasons they took the
time and trouble to come aboard. To steal an idea from marketing, "It's usually
a lot easier and cheaper to keep an old customer than to identify and recruit a
new one."

73, de Hans, K0HB

219. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 22:36:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <t1Tde.6305$BE3.501@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107451

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:X4-dnanIs9hRaerfRVn-ug@comcast.com...

>
> Overall I like the ideas. So who is going to take on the job of spearheading
> this.

As many of us as possible!

But it might not be as big a battle as you'd guess. I posted this same idea on QRZ.COM this morning and within the hour received a phone call from W5JBP. We spent a full half-hour exploring the PBI.

73, de Hans, K0HB

220. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 22:58:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115161128.566526.290210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107453

There no violation there I be pretty much home 24/7 your mistaking me
for k1man

todd n9ogl

221. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 23:03:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5tKdnZagFv6jnuXfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107454

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1115155763.520880.257510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>
>>>The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade
>>>has been overwhelmingly via the Technician license.
>
>
>>.... since about 1987 or so, most new hams
>>have started out as Technicians.
>
>
> Jim, we can nit-pick the semantics if you really think that's productive, but
> the two statements above both seem to convey the same notion, which we might
> more clearly state "Most new hams since 1991 have entered via the Technician
> class which is now the largest single license class in the US, comprising almost
> half (47.7%) of the population of licensees in this country, nearly equal to the
> combined total population of the three higher classes.".

Even if we do pick the nits, you have a point that is valid. A lot of
Technicians elect not to join the ARRL.

During the time that I was a Tech, I didn't think that the league was
relevant to what I was doing in Ham radio.

You know, stuff like helping with walkathons, 4-H events, Bike races....

Sound familiar to what you wrote? For about 2 years, the type of Ham
you spoke of was ME.

After I got my General license, I decided that ARRL was relevant to my
new interests.

And personal experience, not just opinion, is why I agree with you.

Event support is an overlooked area. Instead of the sometimes
(rightfully so) rigid protocols of the serious emergency work, it allows
the new guys and gals to get used to the idea of communications with
other people. We handle traffic, call for and receive assistance for the
occasional boo-boo, and occasional serious problem, but in a more
relaxed atmosphere. This is great for the new folks. It needs to be
encouraged.

- Mike KB3EIA -

222. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 23:06:45 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <b9idnZFbp9Crv-XfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107475

John Smith wrote:
> Jerry:
>
> Yes, but loosing 80% of the millions of CB radios, and loosing 80% of the
> thousands of ham radios are two VERY different things!!!!
>
> It is all in the numbers, like roulette yanno!!!
>
> Regards,
> John

So you have 20% of the cbres still on all yelling,
"aaauuuuuuuddddddiiiiiiooooo", "ten fer thar", along with gobs of other
obscene junk, stumbling all over each other like a bunch of babbons. I
will go with what may be fewer, but trained hams who know how to take
messages, pass traffic, follow protocal, and can work with emergency
officials anytime over a bunch of halfwit cbers screaming into their
mics attempting to stomp over the next guy.
That's the way emergency officials see it as well. CB isn't even
considered when it come to government disaster planning.

223. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 23:10:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <kZudneOCE4lVmeXfRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107455

Dee Flint wrote:

> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:XkSde.5198$HL2.2486@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>news:1115155763.520880.257510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade
>>>>has been overwhelmingly via the Technician license.
>>
>>>>.... since about 1987 or so, most new hams
>>>have started out as Technicians.
>>
>>Jim, we can nit-pick the semantics if you really think that's productive,
>>but the two statements above both seem to convey the same notion, which we
>>might more clearly state "Most new hams since 1991 have entered via the
>>Technician class which is now the largest single license class in the US,
>>comprising almost half (47.7%) of the population of licensees in this
>>country, nearly equal to the combined total population of the three higher
>>classes.".
>>
>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
> In any activity it would be normal for the "beginners" group to be the
> largest segment. There are always a lot of people who start activities but
> then drop out for a wide variety of reasons.

Every person that drops out is one small failure on our part.

We need to cultivate these new folk into the ARS. They are a resource
that is being largely ignored by the "better Hams".

- Mike KB3EIA -

224. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 23:11:11 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <b9idnZBbp9DCvuXfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107476

N9OGL wrote:

>
>
> I could care less....I didn't ask for anyone's permission or
> encouragement to run my information bulletin.

The proper phrase toddieboy is, "I *couldn't* care less." If you *could*
care less, then that means you do care, at least some.

225. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 23:20:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <2ZKdncyJcpwkuOXfRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cory Mason wrote:

> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 13:27:50 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>>While debate and argument are good things and will help make it common
>>knowledge that the hams have their heads up their A$$'s--the facts stated
>>above are simply too obivious to argue. However, if/when you do run into
>>hams which cannot face the real world--perhaps it would be a kindness to
>>suggest a three-day observation in their local mental health facility....
>>
>>
>>
>>Regards,
>>John
>>
>
>
> John, What is your problem with hams?
>
> Regards
> Cory
>

He is just another cber too lazy to get a ham license so the best he can
do is attempt to slam ham operators. Ham radio out performs cb radio in
so many ways it makes cb look really pathetic, and the cbers have done
an excellent job themselves of making cb look pathetic by making 11
meters the sewer pit of the radio spectrum.

226. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 23:33:55 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107484

George Orwell wrote:
> In article <v_ydndUgsL1g-evfRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
> excuse maker Cmd Buzz Corey
>
>> Tapioca Pudding AKA two-test Wogie wrote:
>
>
> Poor Gopher face, he couldn't even pass the Extra ONE time.
>
>
>>>In article <EYSdnRqCBeWSdO_fRVn...@gbronline.com>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Yes, indeed I did <blatherings>
>>>
>>>
>>>No, Gopher face, you got one in 1999.
>>
>>BZZZZT! Wrong again wogie. Post some proof of this alleged
>
> letter I
>
>>received from the FCC in 1999. Either put up or shut up.
>
>
> Circa 1999-2000, how's that for specifics, Gopher face?
> Here's your letter:
> ---
>
> March 29, 2000
>
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL--RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
>
>
> Mr. Steven A. Tunder
>
> 72643 Glasgow Road
>
> Freeport, OH 43973
>
> Licensee of N8WGM

>
>
> Mr. Roger L. Wiseman
>
> 610 Glen Haven Avenue
>
> Glendale, WV 26038-1302
>
> Licensee of KC8JBO
>
>
> Dear Messrs. Tunder and Wiseman:
>
> The Commission has been made aware of an ongoing personal
> dispute between
> you, and there have been allegations of interference or jamming
> on the
> Twenty-Meter Band.
>
> The purpose of this letter is to caution you both to review
> Section 97.1 of
> the Amateur Radio Service rules, and to make certain that
> whatever disputes
> that may exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies.
>
> Please call me at 717-338-2502 if you have any questions about
> this matter.
>
>
> Sincerely,
>
> W. Riley Hollingsworth
>
> Special Counsel, Amateur radio
>
> Enforcement Bureau
> ------------------------
> Would you like some pepper for that foot in your mouth, Gopher
> face?

How about the foot in your mouth wogie, I ain't Steve, not even close.
Poor two-test wogie, doesn't have a clue who he is talking about, but
then what's new?
>
> You sure did snivel the "damnage control" about it on the so-
> called "Liberty net."

Like I said two-test, I have never even listened to the "liberty net",
much less been on it. Again, you don't have a clue.
>
>

>>>>Never had to retest
>>>
>>>
>>>And it's a good thing, too, because you sure couldn't pass
>
> it if
>
>>>you had to retake it today.
>>
>>I don't violate the rules, (more lies)
>
>
> You violate the rules all the time, Gopher face. You jam people
> on 75 and 80 meters.
> You stopped for a time jamming people on 20.
> Especially after that letter above.

That is pretty hard to do since the only antenna I have up is a 40 meter
dipole. Again two-test, you don't have a clue.
>
>
>>>>Bet wogie couldn't pass even the General exam, <blatherings>
>>>
>>>
>>>He's already proven he could, shit for brains. And he was
>
> only
>
>>>retested once, you alcoholic moron.

Poor two-test.
>>
>>Two-test wogie couldn't pass (incoherent blatherings, Gopher
>
> face must be snorting cocaine again)
>
> But Roger did pass a commercial license exam in front of an FCC
> examiner in 1976, Gopher face. You lose again.

Well, well. I got my First Class Phone in 1960, so what does that have
to do with anything, other than two-test wogie couldn't have passed a
ham exam in front of an FCC examiner. The only way two-test could pass
is at a license give-away VE session where all the questions had the
answers available for memorization. So two-test got an Extra lite, big
deal, but he is still a novice and always will be. Poor two-test.

> And he's already proven he could pass the amateur tests,
> regardless, unlike you, who has to settle for an obsolete
> license.
>

Again you loose two-test, the Advanceed isn't obsolete and there are
more holders of the Advanced license than there are the Extra.
FCC spanked wogie real good, twice. SPANK SPANK.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

227. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 23:36:39 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <IvCdncjQKNqDt-XfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107485

Bill Sohl wrote:

> Ham #2 took an inflexible position that could have resulted
> in harm to life/limb and/or property. Yes, formats are helpful
> to be followed...BUT, no one should be so inflexible that
> they ignor or otherwise dismiss a report of something as potentially
> dangerous as the tornado that was referenced.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

Even emergency officials won't take a report from an untrained,
unofficial source. Ham #2 was correct.

228. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 23:36:51 UTC
From: Rob LaChance <none@noradiomailspam.net>
Message-ID: <d591se$1i0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25241-4277EAF2-117@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net...
From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
Oh well, life is not a guaranteed thing...
Let me know when you have caught 'em all!!!
Regards,
John

_
He didn't catch any. He padrooled the interstate
and wrote down truckers numbers and told the FCC he witnessed their
violations. The FCC sends the truckers a letter claiming the information
they received "indicates" a violation has taken place and warn them not
to do it again. As you probably already guessed by now, the majority of
these warnings go straight to the trash and the truckers become educated
in the way of radio law and learn the FCC must witness a violation for
any real action to be taken. Ironically, this often results in the very
opposite of what JO K4KWH is trying to achieve, as once the truckers
learn there is NO cb enforcement on TOP of their newly learned
education that the feds can't touch them through such tactics,
well,,,,you figure it out. :)

Moreover, many truckers now install marine radio equipment in
their trucks, or otoh, they open their hf xcvrs and communicate
most anywhere in the hf spectrum. I have heard long haul truckers
in the 6, 7, 8, 10, & 14 mHz bands. Tune between 5500 kHz to
7000 at night, and you can pick up a few long haul trucker nets.
They chatter all night while driving.

229. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 23:39:36 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <IvCdncvQKNp3t-XfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107486

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>
> From the different organizations I've been involved with, I think the
> larger beginner "drop-out" is just a truth of human nature. I've seen it
> in scouting, college, classic car clubs, etc. Exactly why newcomers move
> on to other pursuits is one of life's mysteries in most cases.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
With many people as soon as the new wears off and their initial
curiosity is satisfied, they move on to something new. Call it a short
attention span I guess.

230. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 23:43:10 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <IvCdncrQKNpKtuXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107488

bb wrote:

>
>
> You're a bit early. The Novice was the entry level license at that
> time. Technician was the consolation prize for passing the General
> exam, but failing the 13wpm exam.

Which still granted one the Novice privilege. Someone earlier posted
that when the Novice upgraded he lost Novice priviledges. Not so.

231. Re: Steve suggests violence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-03 23:50:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115164213.085410.85140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107457

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:

> > Why did you introduce violence to this thread?
>
> I didn't, but you won't show where I did.

And the lying continues.

> No threat of physical violence was made.

Why did you use the word "violence?"

232. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 00:04:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115165084.958298.180040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107458

k...@dvol.com wrote:

> The League should go out into the trenches in volume and, for
openers,
> start asking all the Techs who are not ARRL members why they aren't
> members and what the League needs to do to pry the forty bucks a year
> out of them. Then properly analyze the results of the surveys and
make
> the appropriate changes in their product line. Shuffling SM's duties
> around and talking up ham radio to the town burghers, etc., etc. as
> "potential solutions" would drive a real marketeer to tears of
> laughter.
>
> w3rv

I just received the reminder that I asked for when my membership is due
to expire. If I make it to Dayton I'll renew. If I don't, I won't. I
almost read last month's QST. I won't miss it.

233. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 00:09:40 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <e7b5871fd6b053df56f1aaad0f7c0868@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 107460

In article <v_ydndUgsL1g-evfRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
excuse maker Cmd Buzz Corey aka Gopher Face<m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Tapioca Pudding AKA two-test Wogie wrote:

Poor Gopher face, he couldn't even pass the Extra ONE time.

> > In article <EYSdnRqCBeWSdO_fRVn...@gbronline.com>
> > Cmd Buzz Corey
> >
> >
> >>Yes, indeed I did <blatherings>
> >
> >
> > No, Gopher face, you got one in 1999.
>
> BZZZZT! Wrong again wogie. Post some proof of this alleged
letter I
> received from the FCC in 1999. Either put up or shut up.

Circa 1999-2000, how's that for specifics, Gopher face?
Here's your letter:

March 29, 2000

CERTIFIED MAIL--RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Steven A. Tunder

72643 Glasgow Road

Freeport, OH 43973

Licensee of N8WGM

Mr. Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Licensee of KC8JBO

Dear Messrs. Tunder and Wiseman:

The Commission has been made aware of an ongoing personal
dispute between
you, and there have been allegations of interference or jamming

on the
Twenty-Meter Band.

The purpose of this letter is to caution you both to review
Section 97.1 of
the Amateur Radio Service rules, and to make certain that
whatever disputes
that may exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies.

Please call me at 717-338-2502 if you have any questions about
this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur radio

Enforcement Bureau

Would you like some pepper for that foot in your mouth, Gopher
face?

You sure did snivel the "damnage control" about it on the so-
called "Liberty net."

> >>Never had to retest
> >
> >
> > And it's a good thing, too, because you sure couldn't pass
it if
> > you had to retake it today.
>
> I don't violate the rules, (more lies)

You violate the rules all the time, Gopher face. You jam people
on 75 and 80 meters.
You stopped for a time jamming people on 20.
Especially after that letter above.

> >
> >>Bet wogie couldn't pass even the General exam, <blatherings>
> >
> >
> > He's already proven he could, shit for brains. And he was
only
> > retested once, you alcoholic moron.
>
> Two-test wogie couldn't pass (incoherent blatherings, Gopher
face must be snorting cocaine again)

But Roger did pass a commercial license exam in front of an FCC
examiner in 1976, Gopher face. You lose again.
And he's already proven he could pass the amateur tests,

regardless, unlike you, who has to settle for an obsolete
license.

234. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 00:10:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115165415.450927.265290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107459

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> k...@dvol.com wrote:
>
> > The League needs to recognize/concede that it has a serious
marketing
> > problem and address the problem the same way other businesses do in
> > these situations. They have a product line which isn't selling to a
> > large sector of their potential buyers. Why? Nobody actually knows.
> And
> > nobody will know until the League finds out why the Techs aren't
> buying
> > their wares.
>
> Here's one big reason:
>
> The League is a *national* organization. Focused mostly on national,
> international, and regional issues, and not so much local ones.

Hmmmm? Why have they formed Divisions and Sections, ARES and NTS?

> Now if a ham's focus and interest are national or international, the
> League can have a lot to offer. But at the local level, how much the
> ARRL can offer someone depends entirely on who the local folks are.
>
> As a prime example, look at QST. How much of it is devoted to purely
> local stuff? Not much - the mag would have to be huge to cover ever
> locality in any depth at all. So why should someone whose main
interest
> in amateur radio is the folks within, say, 50 miles, shell out $40/yr
> for a membership?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

The ARRL has made an effort to get web pages up for each division and
section. That is the method they use of distributing regional and
local information.

235. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 00:19:07 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115165947.850153.322370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107461

Mike Coslo wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1115155763.520880.257510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > KØHB wrote:
> >
> >
> > >>>The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade
> > >>>has been overwhelmingly via the Technician license.
> > >
> > >
> > >>.... since about 1987 or so, most new hams
> > >>have started out as Technicians.
> > >
> > >
> > > Jim, we can nit-pick the semantics if you really think that's
productive, but
> > > the two statements above both seem to convey the same notion, which
we might
> > > more clearly state "Most new hams since 1991 have entered via the
Technician
> > > class which is now the largest single license class in the US,
comprising almost
> > > half (47.7%) of the population of licensees in this country, nearly
equal to the
> > > combined total population of the three higher classes.".
>
> Even if we do pick the nits, you have a point that is valid. A lot
of
> Technicians elect not to join the ARRL.
>
> During the time that I was a Tech, I didn't think that the league
was
> relevant to what I was doing in Ham radio.
>
> You know, stuff like helping with walkathons, 4-H events, Bike
races....
>
> Sound familiar to what you wrote? For about 2 years, the type of Ham

> you spoke of was ME.
>
> After I got my General license, I decided that ARRL was relevant to

my
> new interests.

You echo Len's observation. The ARRL is about HF radio using Morse
Code.

236. Re: A Not So Private Message to Hans, K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 00:29:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115166578.895311.281990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107462

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Mon,May 2 2005 2:57 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> Dear Hans,
> >>
> >> You have addressed several e-mails to me over the past few
> weeks,
> >> and I have responded, relatively civily I believe, to each one.
> > >
> >You opinions on civility are not valid.
>
> That's a given in here...QED. :-)
>
> Once a few years ago, my wife was up in Washington state
> and we were "talking" using Instant Messaging on AOL.
>
> Steve popped in on the IM session (I had neglected to
> reset the privacy controls after upgrading the AOL
> software). He wanted to "chat."
>
> He got one very short answer that I was busy.
>
> My wife was trying to IM me about our niece then in
> the hospital. Steve couldn't see that part of the
> conversation, only that I was on-line at AOL. He
> became increasingly insistent on having a "chat" via
> IM. I ignored him since I was more concerned about
> niece's condition than wanting to "chat" with Stebie.
>
> Stebie got increasingly abusive in his wording to
> me via IM. Finally, he made some remarks about my
> "being too stuck-up to talk to him." That caused me
> to tell my wife what was going on, paused to check
> the privacy controls on IM, reset them, and continued
> talking to my wife.
>
> Stebie got ALL angry and abusive in public messages
> after that...actually more so than usual.

That's very hard to believe.

> Niece Laurel survived that operation for Crohn's
> disease and continues life reasonably well. Stebie
> keeps on with his abuse and accusations...he needs

> SOME kind of operation... <shrug>

If only the VA would pull Steve's head out of his rectum. "The "POP"
heard round the world!"

> >> Yesterday you sent one making some nasty allegations, yet
when
> I
> >> responded, I got your message from Earthlink telling me I am not
on
> >> your happy list.
> >
> >Whaaaaaaaaaaaaa!
>
> Poor widdle Stebie.
>
> Gosh, I should have saved Stebie's AOL Home Page
> special that he manufactured, entitled "Never Trust
> Lennie" or words to that effect. Poor guy went
> bananas in a vicious fit of LIBEL. I had AOL
> check it out and they tossed it.
>
> Tsk. It would have been a cakewalk to win a civil
> suit against Stebie just on that AOL Home Page.
> Stebie "advertised" it in the newsgroup. Oh, he
> wailed and wailed about "freedoms" and stuff...
> "freedom" so HE could insult anyone he wanted
> WITHOUT any censorship. :-)
>
> Too bad I tossed it into the electron recycling
> bin. Was a better "classic" than Stebie's pipe
> dreaming over "being back in action and doing a
> HALO drop!" [High-Altitude, Low-Opening, a
> technique apparently used by SEALs]

The only HALO Steve will ever see is the one shaking the answer "no" to
pass through the pearly gates.

> >> So...It's OK for you to drop letters in my e-mail, yet NOT ok
> to
> >> respond to you in the same forum...?!?!
> >
> >Don't send ANYTHING to my email address. Ever!
>
> AOL has nice privacy controls and I'm sure other
> browser softwares have them.
>
> >> Sheeesh! You have the cajones to lambaste >>ME<< about
> "control
> >> freak" issues, Hans...?!?!
> >

> >I couldn't think of a more likely candidate.
>
> Nor I...and that covers a LOT of individuals in
> here! :-)
>
> >> I have no problem with you sending mail to me, even when it
> makes
> >> unfounded allegations. However you owe me the courtesy of
allowing
> me
> >> to respond in the same forum.
> >
> >Or what?
>
> Here's the way Stebie thinks the rules go down:
>
> 1. Everyone owes Stebie all kinds of courtesy.
>
> 2. Stebie don't own NUTHIN to anyone on courtesy.
>
> It's as simple as that...demonstrated time and
> time again.
>
> >> Take notice...Any future e-mail sent to me marked "PRIVATE
> >> MESSAGE" that gets a "bounced message" notice when I respond will
> find
> >> it's entire contents posted to RRAP for reply.
> >
> >Like Todd's problems with his ISP? You posted something from his
ISP
> >without you having been an adressee on it.
>
> Stebie NEVER does anything wrong...he KNOWS things!
>
> :-)
>
> >> And keep your "control freak" allegations to yourself,
> Hans...You
> >> have more than ample number of examples of your own "control
freak"
> >> issues of your own, this being one of them.
> >>
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Control yourself. Get freaky about it.
>
> Ho ho! Highly unlikely, Brian. Stebie has a "rep"
> to uphold...he wants to be CONSISTENT with his
> abuse and accusations and general nastiness to all

> who don't agree with him!
>
> He has the "right" to do that, all guaranteed in
> the CONSTITUTION OF STEVIELAND...the one on display
> inside his head.
>
> Okay...so maybe Stebie DOESN'T need shrink rapping?
> Maybe he needs a SKIN SPECIALIST. Yeah, that's it,
> he got such a thin skin that almost EVERYTHING
> "rubs him the wrong way!" Poor guy, soooooo
> sensitive to negativisms of his opinions and
> actions. Boo hoo. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Maybe some Johnson's baby cream will sooth his thin skin.

237. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 00:37:46 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <uPUde.3276$pe3.878@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107463

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:nrWdnXTLtsbUC-jfRVn-rw@gbronline.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>> Exactly how the "report" from the CBer was provided to the
>> first ham. The ststement indicates ham #2 refused to accept the
>> report from ham #1 because of format, nontrained source and
>> the lack of a ham callsign. From my perspective, that was a
>> dangerous and arbitrary position/action to take by ham #2.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>>
> I don't think so. That is why you have formats to be followed by trained
> people. Ham #2 was correct.

Ham #2 took an inflexible position that could have resulted
in harm to life/limb and/or property. Yes, formats are helpful
to be followed...BUT, no one should be so inflexible that
they ignor or otherwise dismiss a report of something as potentially
dangerous as the tornado that was referenced.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

238. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 00:42:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9UUde.28287$CN5.50312286@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, I won't be waiting for someone with a radio to save me...
And, I won't be waiting for someone with a gun to save me either--I will
take my own <grin>

Regards,
John

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:f6qdnRMr6a8SeurfRVn-pg@centurytel.net...
|
|
| John Smith wrote:
| > CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have
them!!!
| > We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
| > mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to
the
| > general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
| > The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
| >
| > Think MAN!!! Think!
| >
| > Regards,
| > John
| >
| YEP, you'll be right out there in the neighborhoods with the looters and
| thief's, who will in turn kick your butt, steal your car and radio!
| Goto toads page and leave him a message, he builds all his equipment and
| he hates everybody, hams and cb'ers!
| http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
|

239. Re: N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 00:44:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115167463.037224.291510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107464

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > bb wrote:
> >
> > > > Can you tell us why you are the most prolific poster on RRAP?
> > >
> > > Does it matter? If I never posted to RRAP, SOMEone would
have
> > > "the most" posts here.
> >
> > So why is it you?
>
> Seems to me that "Barnabus" has put that onus on you, Brain.
Like
> I said...One day me, the next day someone else. That's just the way
it
> goes.
>
> > Is it that you have so much to say?
>
> I guess so.
>
> > I don't think so.
>
> Then your "thinking" is flawed (no new news there)

I'm not the one who posted, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Hi, hi!!!

So how about authentic documentation of your claims of MARS service?

Got Papers?

240. Re: Virginity among Whores

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 00:46:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115167570.864783.85620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107465

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1114809353.464864.9480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> :
> : Can you tell us why you are the most prolific poster on RRAP?
> :
>
> This query is like inquest of virginity among Naples streetwhores.

Spoken like a drunken sailor with "real military experience.".

241. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 00:47:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TYUde.28383$0X5.50787357@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oh my, well, are you sure--you see, it also is the ant I use on 10 meters
and I can't just remove the 11 meter part!!!! <grin>

Regards,
John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:YYSdnbJ88PSvZerfRVn-sQ@comcast.com...
|
| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| news:iACde.6465$nA3.5309589@news.sisna.com...
| > On 11 meters, with my 100 ft tower with a two element beam, I reach
50-75
| > air miles consistantly(at night when the chan is quiet)... but, I am on
a
| > valley floor... and that is 4.5 watts output... and you know I have
NEVER
| > ran more power than that!!!! (But, if I did, it would be a good sized
| > chunk
| > of this state, well, the valley walls would be a limit) And, of course,
| > skip would be worldwide during the day...
| >
| > On 10 meters, it is not that good (same tower, same ant), mainly because
| > the
| > hams don't have as good as antennas as the CB'ers (height wise.) And,
| > there
| > are just not as many hams here to chat with on 10 meters...
| >
| > Regards,
| > John
|
| Last time I looked, the FCC regulations don't allow CB antennas to exceed
20
| feet in height.
|
|
|

242. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 00:50:31 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <r%Ude.3285$pe3.2560@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107466

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1ZSde.5214$HL2.4303@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>> In any activity it would be normal for the "beginners" group
>> group to be the largest segment. There are always a
>> lot of people who start activities but then drop out
>> for a wide variety of reasons.
>
> Astute observation. It would be nice to find a way reduce that "first
> termer" attrition by promoting an atmosphere which reinforces the reasons
> they took the time and trouble to come aboard. To steal an idea from
> marketing, "It's usually a lot easier and cheaper to keep an old customer
> than to identify and recruit a new one."
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

From the different organizations I've been involved with, I think the larger beginner "drop-out" is just a truth of human nature. I've seen it in scouting, college, classic car clubs, etc. Exactly why newcomers move on to other pursuits is one of life's mysteries in most cases.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

243. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 00:54:32 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <c3Vde.3287$pe3.1369@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107468

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:kZudneOCE4lVmeXfRVn-jw@adelphia.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:XkSde.5198$HL2.2486@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>news:1115155763.520880.257510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>K&#65533;HB wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade
>>>>>>has been overwhelmingly via the Technician license.
>>>
>>>>>.... since about 1987 or so, most new hams
>>>>>have started out as Technicians.
>>>
>>>Jim, we can nit-pick the semantics if you really think that's productive,
>>>but the two statements above both seem to convey the same notion, which
>>>we might more clearly state "Most new hams since 1991 have entered via
>>>the Technician class which is now the largest single license class in the
>>>US, comprising almost half (47.7%) of the population of licensees in this
>>>country, nearly equal to the combined total population of the three
>>>higher classes.".
>>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>> In any activity it would be normal for the "beginners" group to be the
>> largest segment. There are always a lot of people who start activities
>> but then drop out for a wide variety of reasons.
>
> Every person that drops out is one small failure on our part.

I wouldn't take it that seriously. Lots of folks start something
new only to learn it isn't their "cup of tea." Now if they
drop because others in the hobby (whatever the hobby) didn't
welcome them or otherwise treated them in an unwelcome manner...
then that is a shame and a failure.

> We need to cultivate these new folk into the ARS. They are a resource that
> is being largely ignored by the "better Hams".
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Agree...We should always be encouraging new blood.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

244. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 00:55:33 UTC
From: anon <anon@abnospamanoncd.com>
Message-ID: <d596f6bhh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107467

>
> But Roger did pass a commercial license exam in front of an FCC
> examiner in 1976, Gopher face. You lose again.
> And he's already proven he could pass the amateur tests,
> regardless, unlike you, who has to settle for an obsolete
> license.
>

http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm

A search of the above FCC data base yields negative results
for a commercial FCC license in your name Roger. In fact, the
only FCC license you hold, according to the FCC, is your
ham radio license. Can't wait to hear your spew of excuses
and lies.

Possible Roger Excuses:

1. I passed it but let it expire.
2. I didn't say the commercial license was issued by the FCC.
3. You are not looking in the right data base.
4. I let it lapse, because I didn't need it anymore.
5. I found it in the FCC data base, why can't you?
6. You must not know how to look up a license.
7. (reserved for Roger)

245. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 00:59:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <c8Vde.6379$BE3.3029@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107469

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

> The ARRL is about HF radio using Morse Code.

Bullshit.

246. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 01:01:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115168495.010957.174790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107470

N9OGL wrote:
> > You had best be careful, Todd...The result STILL might not be what
> >you'd like...Especially after they get a load of your true
intentions
> >and ulterior motives, Todd...
>
> ummmmm just another of your little threats....

Not a threat, Todd.

It's just that sometimes it's not very wise to wave dirty laundry.
Sometimes the laudry get's cleaned, but then on other times it get's
thrown out.

Wonder what the "Subcommittee on Telecommunications" is going to
say when they get a load of some of your USENET postings...?!?!

> > The ARRL is not the problem.
> > The problem is with people like K1MAN and you who think that 97.3
> >is a blanket authorization to go into the Junior Broadcasting
> business.
>
> >The ARRL does not make policy on what constitutes an "information
> >bulletin", Todd.
>
> No the problem is dumb amateur's who can't read the rules or the FCC
> website. No but the ones who bitch about other information bulletins
> are ARRL members

I asked you before...I ask you again...where are your numbers?
Where are you getting this information from?

And as for "dumb amateurs"...who's the one trying to run a
"bulletin station" in the absence of support for it...?!?!

> >The action you need to take is (1) Clean up your mouth, (2)
> >Follow FCC rules and regulations, and (3) Realize that most Amateurs
> DO
> >NOT want another self-stylized broadcaster to plop down another
> >"information bulletin" station on the most crowded HF Amateur
> >allocation.
>
> Answer to 1. NO, 2. I follow the FCC rules 3. Too bad I'm going to
> start running 24/7 real soon

(1) I figured. It was a long shot.

(2) You better read again.

(3) It's not a "bulletin" if you're running "24/7".

> > And you have the right to ignore mine...but THIS is a public forum.
> > So you're stuck. And so far, I don't remember a single person
> >offering any support or encouragement to you to proceed with your
> >"information bulletins" on 20 meters.
>
> I could care less....I didn't ask for anyone's permission or
> encouragement to run my information bulletin.

Well...There's the very validation of my opinions, Todd...You are
doing this for you...NOT for any betterment of Amateur Radio.

> > Here's an honest, forthright suggestion on your "bulletin" ideas,
> >Todd.
>
> > Become an ARRL "Official Bulletin Station". Use YOUR repeater to
> >rebroadcast ARRL bulletins, the Amateur Radio Newsline program, or
> >other RESPECTED, professionally produced offerings for the "main
> >course".
>
> Naw, I stick with what I'm doing now

Sure. I'd expect nothing less. You're trying to do something you
have no market for with no experience to know the difference.

The results will be predictable.

> > Like it or not, Todd, if you start broadasting your shows on 20
> >meters with any regularity, you will find yourself on the receiving
> end
> >of more grief than you ever thought of generating on RRAP.
>
> Oh well It legal and there's nothing you can do about it

Yes there is. And it's already in progress.

Steve, K4YZ

247. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 01:01:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115165698.830137.39980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107471

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade has been
> overwhelmingly
> > via the Technician license.
>
> Do you have any numbers to back that up, Hans? The license numbers I
> post here every two weeks point back to 2000 but not to 1995.
>
> I agree that since about 1987 or so, most new hams have started out
as
> Technicians.

You're a bit early. The Novice was the entry level license at that
time. Technician was the consolation prize for passing the General
exam, but failing the 13wpm exam.

> Maybe even earlier than that. But it's also true that many
> of them have gone on to other license classes.
>
> The total number of Technicians and Technician Pluses today is less
> than it was 5 years ago.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

That doesn't surprise me.

248. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 01:06:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115168792.701853.133770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107473

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on 2 May 2005 16:17:56 -0700
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 1 2005 5:42 am
> >>
> >> > You continue to make
> >> >significant errors on matters of Amateur policy AND practice.
> >>
> >> BULLSHIT. >>YOU<< do NOT set what is "right" and
> >> what is "wrong."
> >>
> >> OPINIONS are expressed in here and everyone's OPINION
> >> is THEIRS, neither "right" nor "wrong." Except you
> >> and a few other retrograde PCTAs HAVE to jump in with
> >> ERROR! ERROR! on every OPINION contrary to yours.
> >>
> >Steve is such a sorry sack of excrement. If he didn't have people
on
> >RRAP to argue with, he would have no reason for living.
>
> Maybe he found his "true calling!" :-)
>
> ----
>
> >Len, I'm enjoying a nice Vidal (fr. hybrid) that I picked on
Veteran's
>
> >Day 2003. Would you like me to send you a bottle? It's just
slightly
>
> >off dry. Drop me an e-mail at "myn...@juno.com"
>
> Thank you much but the small "wine cellar" here is full.
> We don't do a lot of sipping here so much of what is there
> is for dessert purposes. If you had a muscato amabile
> (amiable muscat), that's something I'd never turn down!

I did make a dessert Orange Muscat (California juice) that won a 3rd in
the local competition. I'll have to check, but I think its all gone.
Very popular with everyone. Last fall I entered 4 white wines in
competition and three won 3rd places, and one was a first.

> >Ha! I just thought of something funny. An Ohio grown grape wine
> being

> >sent to California. Welp, our ice wines are getting some notice
> anyway.
>
> Hmmm...Ohio now competing with Missouri? :-)

Ohio was one of the original big wine states, early 1800's. A guy named Longsworth was behind it. The grape was "Delaware."

Actually, TJ (Tommy Jefferson) pushed hard to get vineyards established in America. He kept bringing vines in from France, and they never figured out why they wouldn't survive (phyloxeria). He wasn't quite satisfied with native grapes.

> When my wife and I drove back to the midwest in 2000,
> we noticed lots and lots of little wineries in Missouri
> (of all places).

Anywhere the Germans settled. Hermann, MO is kind of the heartland of the Missouri wine country, and I used to maintain the river gage on the bridge leading in to/out of town. That was a bad location.

In 2001 on a similar trip we bought
> lots of wines in Amana, Iowa...the flavored kind, all
> very tasty. Made nice gifts..."imported from Amana."

Ahh, yes, the Amana Colonies. My wife and I stopped just off I80 for a meal while travelling from Nebraska. The waitresses had German accents, but were probably 5th generation USA born. Didn't buy any wine nor home appliances during our stop.

> In 1975 there was a private family reunion (just two
> Swedish-ancestry families) where the oldest gal cooked
> a fancy Greek dinner. Her husband is a scholar on
> Grecian things and they and sons lived in Paris at
> the time. She had brought some French wine for the
> dinner. After dinner I suggested she ought to get
> California wine in Paris...because "everyone knows that
> imported wines are best!" Chuckles all around on that.

In 1976 that actually happened in a blind tasting in France with French judges. They said they could easily tell a California wine from French, then proceeded to rate several California wines higher than the most prestigious French wines. It changed the world of wine.

> Watch Stebie's reaction on that little story. :-)

Steve needs to import a little rationality. Actually, a lot of it.

> Note that he will come unglued again and make an
> ISSUE of it. What we don't know is the exact details
> of his reply...but - as past is sometimes prologue -
> he WILL rant and rave, fuss and fume! QED. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

To hell with him.

249. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 01:07:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115168825.424080.165730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107472

N9OGL wrote:
> There no violation there I be pretty much home 24/7 your mistaking me
> for k1man

Sooner or later you're going to have to leave the house for bread
and toilet paper. The second you walk out of the room, there's no
control operator present.

And what happened to school, Todd?

And when you "graduate", what about a job so you can move out of
mommy and daddy's house...?!?!

Or are mommy and daddy independently wealthy and letting you lay
up in your room 24/7...???

Guess that "Japanese" girlfriend of yours get's no attention, eh?
Or is she gonna lay up in the bedroom with you...???

Yes, Todd, I can see that you have a brilliant future ahead of
you.

Steve, K4YZ

250. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 01:11:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115169118.666052.40620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107474

N9OGL wrote:
>K4YZ wrote (but Todd didn't attribute)

> > Like it or not, Todd, if you start broadasting your shows on 20
> >meters with any regularity, you will find yourself on the receiving
> end
> >of more grief than you ever thought of generating on RRAP.
>
> Oh well It legal and there's nothing you can do about it

The I suggest you memorize this sentence and be prepared to repeat
it over and over:

"I have no recollection of that, Your Honor..."

Steve, K4YZ

251. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 01:28:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115170124.308594.54080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107477

> I asked you before...I ask you again...where are your numbers?
>Where are you getting this information from?
> And as for "dumb amateurs"...who's the one trying to run a
>"bulletin station" in the absence of support for it...?!?!

Tell you what go around to some of these other amatuer message boards and see what other amateurs think. I don't have any figures in front of me right now but, from what I've seen on these other message boards the majority of the amateurs who complain about OTHER information bulletins, not W1AW are ARRL members.

>(2) You better read again.

Believe me the amateur rules are a hell of lot easier to read then broadcast rules.

> (3) It's not a "bulletin" if you're running "24/7".

Not true, there is no time limit on information bulletins

>Yes there is. And it's already in progress.

GO FOR IT!!! your just validation my opinion that 1. I'm better then you cause I don't go crying to the government to settle a personal vendetta and get my nuts off doing it. 2. that your ham radio's number one PRICK! enjoy your poor pathetic ego trip and hey don't shot your wads too hard

Todd N9OGL

252. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 01:31:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115170284.287784.317080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107481

>And what happened to school, Todd?

The majority of the classes left that I have to take are on line.

Todd n9ogl

253. Re: Brian Couldn't Say It Once, Had To Try Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 01:37:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115170653.283116.75480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107482

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Well, Brain, since you chose to respond "twice" to the same post
> > > (couldn't make up your mind which insult to try...?!?!, I guess
> I'll
> > > respond to both in one...
> >
> > Multitasking from a guy with multiple personalities? Should be a
> piece
> > of cake for you.
>
> What multiple personalities, Brain?
>
> Exercising the priviledge of that Doctor license again?

Where are your papers? MARS papers?

> > > I left the other post intact at the bottom of this one for
> > > comparison...What happened...?!?!? Just so impressed with
yourself
> > you
> > > wanted to see more? Or realized that you failed the first time
> that
> > > you took a second shot...?!?!
> >
> > Google was hiccupping earlier, so I replied a second time.
>
> Uh huh.
>
> I say you were just too impressed with yourself the first time
and
> had to go for another spin.

What you say has no credibility. Got papers?

> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > You, Brain, have no business suggesting that YOU are
> > giving
> > > > > > anyone
> > > > > > a chance to "redeem" themselves.

> > > > > >
> > > > > > I have appointed myself. Just as you have appointed
yourself
> > > > judge,
> > > > > > jury and executioner in so many RRAP disputes, most of
which
> > you
> > > > > > started yourself.
> > > > > >
> > > > > HArdly, but knock yourself out, brain.
> > > > >
> > > > You're the #1 agitator.
> > > >
> > > And your other "answer":
> > >
> > > > On rra.CB, you'd be known as an "Agitator."
> > >
> > Perhaps. But being an "agitatior" does not mean being a
liar.
> >
> You're that, too. But I don't want people to call me Capt.
Obvious,
> or
> > turn me in to the SPCA for beating a dead horse.
>
> Why, Brain?
>
> Your stories ARE "obvious" as are your useless attempts to
snivvel
> out from under your stupid assertions.
>
> As for "dead horses", therin lays one of YOUR "double standards,
> Brain...same "catch phrases" over and over again...

My "stories" don't change. I have no need to "keep track" of what I've
told people.

So anyway, where are your papers?

> > > You ARE known as a liar...On RRCB or RRAP, agitator or not.
> >
> > Only you think that. Meanwhile, you have been proven to be a liar,
> and
> > make lying your daily bread.
>
> No, I am NOT the only one who thinks that. But keep telling
> yourself that if it makes you warm and snuggly.

It makes me sad that you debase yourself with constant lying,
outrageous claims, and libelous accusations.

> > > > > > Get used to it - there's a new Sheriff in town and he is
> > watching
> > > > > > you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Can't be you, Brain. Yuo don't have the cajones to sign
> your
> > > > > name
> > > > > > to your posts. There's not enough testosterone under that
> shirt
> > to
> > > > > > hold up a badge...even a "Jr Dick Tracy Detective" one....
> > > > >
> > > > > You underestimate everyone but yourself.
> > > >
> > > And your other reply to the same comment:
> > >
> > > > I know the answer, I just want to hear your answer. Hi!
> > >
> > I have enough testosterone
> >
> > Enough??? You think? You're like a powder keg. Maybe you ought
to
> > have estrogen therapy.
>
> Why? I get all the estrogen expsoure I need at work.

Hi! You get all worked up and no place to go. So you vent on RRAP.

> > to be able to put my picture up for
> > > public display...And know your "flabby" and "obese" comments are
> put
> > to
> > > the lie they are by it.
> > >
> > > Nice try, though...and the previously aired cheap shots at
the
> > > organization also duly noted...
> >
> > You failed to answer the question, so I'll ask it again: Why?
> >
> > You underestimate everyone but yourself. Why?
>
> I don't underestimate myself.

Really??? OK, Capt. Obvious. If you say so. Hi!

I ahve OVERestimated you on
> occassion, but you always snap back and remind us of your true
natrue.

Get hold of that anger.

> > I know the answer, I just want to hear your answer. Hi!
>
> Hi yourself...Or are you announcing your toxicity due to illict
> drug consumption...?!?!

Dodge Omni with a SS 102" whip? CB-Handle license plates?

> > > > I have made an informed decision. Until that time when you
post
> > > > official documentation, it will remain "alledged."
> > >
> > > No "alleged" to it, Brain.
> >
> > Alleged until you post authentic documentation.
>
> You were given the details, Brain.
>
> Far more information than YOU have ever provided us.

Insufficient. Post authentic documentation. Got papers?

> > > You've been given dates, times and specifics. Any police
> > > detective would be laughing all the way to court with that much
> > > "evidence", but you can't seem to connect the dots. Need
crayons?
> >
> > Need authentic letter of appointment. Got one?
>
> Don't need one. Gave you the specifics.
>
> But you don't have the cajones to find out for yourself.

Post your authentic papers. Got papers?

> > > I make mistakes, but I also acknowledge
> > > them when I do and move on.
> >
> > Then why cannot you live up to what you DEMAND from others?
>
> I gave you the DETAILS you asked for...then once you saw I was
> willing to do that, you changed the parameters...
>
> Sorry, Brain...You ahve the details...Now if there's more you
> need, I will post it RIGHT AFTER you answer some of the questions I
> ahve put to you for YEARS...

I don't recall what you claim are details.

Post authentic documentation. You know what you say about people who
can't or won't post authentic documentation???

It didn't happen, and they are lying about it.

> > Were those demands mistakes? If so, do take the time to
acknowledge
> > it, apologize for it. The day you start acting like a human being
> > instead of a complete jack### is the day you'll be treated as a
human
> > being.
>
> Ahhhhhhhhhhh...Getting into the AngryLennie mode...Out come the
> profanities in lieu of any further "rational" discussion. That
"civil
> discourse" you always make allusion to in others, Brain...?!?!
>
> Uh huh!

Nice Dodge Coronet with bald tires.

Now answer the tuff question.

Where your demands of others a mistake? Were your subsequent lies
about others a mistake?

> > > You do not. THAT is documented. Over and over and over.
> >
> > You do not, documented again and again, over and over. On the
> surface
> > it looks like you hold to a double-standard. But when I look
deeper,
> > it gets much, much worse.
>
> Even if I DID have a "double standard", it would be two
> "standards" more than you, Brain...

Finally an admission to the PCTA double-standard.

And therein lies the problem.

You think that having two standards is a good solution to your
problems.

> > > You have the specifics of when I was in MARS, the callsigns,
> > where
> > > I was when I had those calls
> >
> > Silly Gunny, I need to see an authentic letter of appointment. Got
> > one? (hint: look in that shoebox full of medals.)
>
> Sorry, Brain...

You lie. You have no sorrow.

> Like I said...RIGHT AFTER I start seeing some of the stuff that
I
> have been asking you about...Not even "documents"...Just some
> verifyable details...

Horrible, broke down Dodge.

No. You will never receive anything from me except condemnation.

Now back to your claims of having been appointed to a MARS leadership position. Post your papers. No Documentation = Never Happened = Lies.

Your words, your rules, your logic.

> > > and what I was doing.
> >
> > As far as what you were doing? Obviously not MARS communications
or
> > you just might know a little something about MARS. Hi!
> >
> > Now go find that letter of appointment and post it for all to see.
>
> Waiting on you, Brain...Waiting on you.....

In other words, you're lying. No MARS service.

254. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 01:42:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115170939.743649.51880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107483

N9OGL wrote:
>K4YZ wrote (and Todd deleted attributes:)
> > I asked you before...I ask you again...where are your numbers?
> >Where are you getting this information from?
> > And as for "dumb amateurs"...who's the one trying to run a
> >"bulletin station" in the absence of support for it...?!?!
>
> Tell you what go around to some of these other amatuer message boards
> and see what other amateurs think. I don't have any figures in front
of
> me right now but, from what I've seen on these other message boards
the
> majority of the amateurs who complain about OTHER information
> bulletins, not W1AW are ARRL members.

The only "figures" you have, Todd, are the ones you cut out of
porno pictures. Your sloughing.

> >(2) You better read again.
>
> Believe me the amateur rules are a hell of lot easier to read then
> broadcast rules.

Oh? Then why are you broadcasting on 20 meters?

> > (3) It's not a "bulletin" if you're running "24/7".
>
> Not true, there is no time limit on information bulletins

"Bulletins" are time-specific releases of information. Just like
"Headline News", if it's more than an hour old, it's old and no longer
a "bulletin".

> >Yes there is. And it's already in progress.
>
> GO FOR IT!!! your just validation my opinion that 1. I'm better then
> you cause I don't go crying to the government to settle a personal
> vendetta and get my nuts off doing it.

BBBWWWWHHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

So...CONGRESSMAN Shimkus and the "House Subcommitte on
Telecommunications" is NOT "the government"...!?!?!?!

Isn't that the same body you've asked to resolve your dilemma for
you...?!?!

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! !

2. that your ham radio's number
> one #####! enjoy your poor pathetic ego trip and hey don't shot your
> wads too hard

Oh Nooooooooooooooo Todd...I can't be any higher than tenth
place. In no certain order there's you, Brian Burke N0IMD, Lennie
nderson, "Fritz Wuehler", "Mel A. Nomah", Any one of the numerous
manifestation of Lloyd and Roger (one pont each), K1MAN, and the
Drafted Gay Pagan Chemical Corps Officer Formerly Known As Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

255. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 01:52:55 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <NIWdnfgkybow0-XfRVn-1w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107512

N9OGL wrote:

>
> Answer to 1. NO, 2. I follow the FCC rules 3. Too bad I'm going to
> start running 24/7 real soon

So are you going to be at your station as the control operator 24/7?

From your very immature blog site:

"No changes to the VHF schedule will be changed."

Care to explain that sentence?

Also from your site:

"N9OGL IS REAMPING HIS WEBSITE AT"

Just how does one go about "reamping" a website?

Making grammatical errors like that, that my first grade granddaughter would know better, do you really think anyone would be interested in your "broadcasts"?

256. Re: Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 01:55:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115171726.977355.193090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Why? I am not about to change my name to "Leonard H.
> Anderson".
> >
> > But that's what I see you doing most, calling people "Lying Putz!"
>
> Actually, I pretty much leave that to Lennie, although you've
> earned it yourself on a couple of occassions.

If only that were true, but it isn't. You are the one using the
phrase, not Len.

> > > On the other hand, we have you and Lennie and Y E A R S of
> > > stories not only laid bare, but aggressively defended as "right"
> even
> > > when PROVEN wrong. THAT is a lie.
> >
> > I see it differently.
>
> I am sure you do...I am sure you'd like to see ANYTHING that
> diverts attention away from your numerous errors in this forum over
the
> YEARS, Brain.

You still building Dodges?

> I made a mistake on "Q" signals in "military" communications.
> You've latched on to it although I have already admitted my error.

And in your simple, itsy bitsy little "error" you gave yourself
permission to call someone a liar, a penis, a miscreant, and worse.

Where is the apology for that?

> The problem is you are ...

The problem is that you continue to see everyone else as the problem.

Nurse, heal thyself.

>
> > > And so far, you've not proven that intent.
> >

> > You claimed to have held a MARS position of authority on Okinawa,
but
> > you demonstrate a huge lack of knowledge of MARS communications.
> >
> > So what am I to believe?
> >
> > Papers. Post your papers. Don't have 'em? Didn't happen. Your
> > words, your rules, your logic.
> >
> > Are you ready to abandon your core values because they are
> inconvenient
> > for you?
>
> No "core values" abandoned.

I could believe "no core values" period.

> I've asked you for details of assertions you've made for
> years...ie: just some name of the alleged OIC who gave you
"permission"
> to operate in Somalia, or some reference that validated your
> "unlicensed devices" claim.
>
> You've never offered an iota of verification of ANY form.

And I won't. You assholes treated me like the Antichrist in a Spanish
Inquisition.

> And I've never said "Let me see your papers"...That's Lennie's
> schtick...

You've asked for documentation, and if documentation is not
forthcomeing, then the event never happened, and the person is forever
held up as a liar, an miscreant, and a homosexual.

So I ask again, Where are your MARS documents? Post them!

> > > > It's pathetic that you can't live up to your own expectations
of
> > > > others. You're not a straw boss anymore, just a strawman.
> > >
> > > Nope. Sorry, Brain, but no matter how hard you try to shake
> > this,
> > > you've not proven a thing. No matter how loud yuo yell or how
hard
> > you
> > > try to re-direct, you're still a looooooong way behind being
> truthful.
> >
> > No redirection. I've merely asked you to post documentation of
your
> > claims to having held a position of authority in MARS

communications
> on
> > Okinawa. That wouldn't be necessary if you had shown even the
> > slightest knowledge of MARS communications...
>
> My error via "Q" signals does not negate teh rest of my
> expereicnes in MARS, Brain.

I say it does. Prove me wrong. Post your papers.

> You have the information.

I see no papers.

> Either act on it or admit you're a coward.

No Documentation = Didn't Happen = LIAR

Is Steve Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS a liar?

According to his own words, his own rules, and his own logic, the
answer is an emphatic yes.

257. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 01:57:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115171825.613430.30090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107489

N9OGL wrote:
> >And what happened to school, Todd?
>
> The majority of the classes left that I have to take are on line.

Uh huh...Sure.

Steve, K4YZ

258. Re: Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 02:12:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115172779.365498.289580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > Why? I am not about to change my name to "Leonard H.
> > Anderson".
> > >
> > > But that's what I see you doing most, calling people "Lying
Putz!"
> >
> > Actually, I pretty much leave that to Lennie, although you've
> > earned it yourself on a couple of occassions.
>
> If only that were true, but it isn't. You are the one using the
> phrase, not Len.

I didn't say Lennie was the one using it.

I said I leave that phrase to Lennie. YOU said I call "most
people" "lying putz".

That's not true.

> > > On the other hand, we have you and Lennie and Y E A R S
of
> > > stories not only laid bare, but aggressively defended as
"right"
> > even
> > > > when PROVEN wrong. THAT is a lie.
> > >
> > > I see it differently.
> >
> > I am sure you do...I am sure you'd like to see ANYTHING that
> > diverts attention away from your numerous errors in this forum over
> the
> > YEARS, Brain.
>
> You still building Dodges?

Nope...But you've been driving one now for as long as I've known
you.

> > I made a mistake on "Q" signals in "military" communications.
> > You've latched on to it although I have already admitted my error.

>
> And in your simple, itsy bitsy little "error" you gave yourself
> permission to call someone a liar, a penis, a miscreant, and worse.
>
> Where is the apology for that?

Apology for what? I said I was wrong for the "Q" signal error.

I was.

And I am RIGHT that Lennie is a liar, a miscreat, and a Putz. (I
didn't call him a penis...You should get your attributes right, Brain.

> > The problem is you are ...
>
> The problem is that you continue to see everyone else as the problem.
>
> Nurse, heal thyself.

I'm not ill. Heal myself of...?!?!

> > > > And so far, you've not proven that intent.
> > >
> > > You claimed to have held a MARS position of authority on Okinawa,
> but
> > > you demonstrate a huge lack of knowledge of MARS communications.
> > >
> > > So what am I to believe?
> > >
> > > Papers. Post your papers. Don't have 'em? Didn't happen. Your
> > > words, your rules, your logic.
> > >
> > > Are you ready to abandon your core values because they are
> > inconvenient
> > > for you?
> >
> > No "core values" abandoned.
>
> I could believe "no core values" period.

Then you and I agree...You have no core values.

> > I've asked you for details of assertions you've made for
> > years...ie: just some name of the alleged OIC who gave you
> "permission"
> > to operate in Somalia, or some reference that validated your
> > "unlicensed devices" claim.
> >
> > You've never offered an iota of verification of ANY form.
>
> And I won't. You ###holes treated me like the Antichrist in a
Spanish
> Inquisition.

Because YOU made all these claims that you could not/would not
substantiate. You make excuses as to why you won't, Brain, but the
ANSWER is that you CAN'T!

Kind of like the "ARES" claims and the "Chased Techs" ones you
have out there now.

Stupid claims with no reasonable expectation of corroborating
evidence, documentation or rationale.

> > And I've never said "Let me see your papers"...That's Lennie's
> > schtick...
>
> You've asked for documentation, and if documentation is not
> forthcomeing, then the event never happened, and the person is
forever
> held up as a liar, an miscreant, and a homosexual.

I've asked you for proof. Proof doesn't have to be in the form of
a document, and you've been TOLD that before.

What was the name of the USAF officer that allegedly gave you
"authorization" to operate Amateur Radio while in Somalia...?!?!

> So I ask again, Where are your MARS documents? Post them!

And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far more
information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for ALL
of the questions before you!

The bottom line is you're too cowardly to ask the appropriate
agencies if it's true.

The answers would leave you defenseless.

> > My error via "Q" signals does not negate teh rest of my
> > expereicnes in MARS, Brain.
>
> I say it does. Prove me wrong. Post your papers.

And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far more
information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for ALL
of the questions before you!

> > You have the information.
>
> I see no papers.

And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far more
information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for ALL
of the questions before you!

> > Either act on it or admit you're a coward.
>
> No Documentation = Didn't Happen = LIAR

And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far more information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for ALL of the questions before you!

> Is Steve Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS a liar?

Nope.

> According to his own words, his own rules, and his own logic, the
> answer is an emphatic yes.

So far, the score is Steve, 1, Brian 0.

I'll tell you what, Brain...You going to Dayton? I have my reservations made...How about if I just give them to you in person...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

259. K4YZ Has No Documents? That's a Problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 02:13:21 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115172801.002969.292020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Now...About this assertion of yours that ARES won't
respond
> > and
> > > > is
> > > > "overblown"...?!?!
> >
> > "Won't respond???"
> >
> > You'll have to Google up that one.
>
> You've insisted that ARES is overblown and won't/can't respond
to
> any major disaster.

You didn't Google it up.

You lie. You'll have to Google it up or forever be known as a liar, a
coward, and missing your "cajones."

> > > Still waiting for an answer to that one, Brian...
> >
> > Why? You've answered it yourself with your own inability to write
a
> > duty schedule with available qualified radio amateur volunteers
that
> > will meet the requirements laid out by the exercise planners.
>
> And you CONTINUE TO LIE by insisting otherwise, Brain.

I don't insist otherwise. I insist on exactly what I stated above.

It was
> done.

You lie. You left the customer unsupported and undersupported.

> You're story blown. Too bad for you.

You failed. You failed the ARES. You failed the customer.

You are a failure.

> > Too bad for you, too bad for ARES, and too bad for Amateur Radio.
>
> Too bad for YOU that your assertions were proven FALSE WEEKS
ago.

You falsely make claims. Untrue claims. You lie.

> > Next?
>
> Next? Next we'll expect you to ante up answers to all the
> questions still awaiting answers, Brian.

MARS letters of appointment. Prove your claims with authentic
documents.

Remember, Steve, you claimed that without documentation, the activity
never happened and the person is a liar, a miscreant, and a homosexual.

Are you a liar, a miscreant, and a homosexual?

Show your documents.

260. Re: Enigma Message Special Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 02:15:57 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115172957.687203.195470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> That was from "A Day in the Life" from the Beatles. Seems they had a

> question on who won the war too!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

They should have asked Eleanor Rigby or Rocky Racoon.

261. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 02:21:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <WkWde.30123$7Y7.56833124@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hmmm, yep, I wrote that--but that part may be viewed as "out of context."
It is in regards to emergencies and the roles CB/Freeband and ham will
play....

And, I am NOT known for my poetry!!!!

Warmest regards,
John
"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:2ZKdncyJcpwkuOXfRVn-vw@gbronline.com...
| Cory Mason wrote:
|
| > On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 13:27:50 -0700, "John Smith"
| > <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
|
| >>While debate and argument are good things and will help make it common
| >>knowledge that the hams have their heads up their A$$'s--the facts
stated
| >>above are simply too obivious to argue. However, if/when you do run
into
| >>hams which cannot face the real world--perhaps it would be a kindness to
| >>suggest a three-day observation in their local mental health
facility....
| >>
| >>
| >>
| >>Regards,
| >>John
| >>
| >
| >
| > John, What is your problem with hams?
| >
| > Regards
| > Cory
| >
|
| He is just another cber too lazy to get a ham license so the best he can
| do is attempt to slam ham operators. Ham radio out performs cb radio in
| so many ways it makes cb look really pathetic, and the cbers have done
| an excellent job themselves of making cb look pathetic by making 11
| meters the sewer pit of the radio spectrum.

262. Re: Brian Couldn't Say It Once, Had To Try Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 02:28:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115173705.655679.167650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107493

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Exercising the priviledge of that Doctor license again?
>
> Where are your papers? MARS papers?

And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far more
information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for ALL
of the questions before you!

> What you say has no credibility. Got papers?

And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far more
information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for ALL
of the questions before you!

> > As for "dead horses", therin lays one of YOUR "double
standards,
> > Brain...same "catch phrases" over and over again...
>
> My "stories" don't change. I have no need to "keep track" of what
I've
> told people.

Sure you do. You need to keep track of your "ARES" stories, your
"unlicensed devices" stories, your "chased the Techs away" stories...

> So anyway, where are your papers?

And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far more
information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for ALL
of the questions before you!

> > No, I am NOT the only one who thinks that. But keep telling
> > yourself that if it makes you warm and snuggly.
>
> It makes me sad that you debase yourself with constant lying,
> outrageous claims, and libelous accusations.

I am not lying.

And what "outrageous claims"..?!?!

And nothing is "libelous" if it's true. So far, you've provben me
right in just about all my "accusations" about you and Lennie.

> > Why? I get all the estrogen expsoure I need at work.
>
> Hi! You get all worked up and no place to go. So you vent on RRAP.

Hi yourself.

And hardly worked up. Body fluids and viruses put the chill on
any other ideations.

> I ahve OVERestimated you on
> > occassion, but you always snap back and remind us of your true
> natrue.
>
> Get hold of that anger.

What anger? Surely you're not going to try that Lennism about
typos = Anger, are you? Lennie's got a trail of them himself and has
already dispelled THAT claim.

> > Far more information than YOU have ever provided us.
>
> Insufficient. Post authentic documentation. Got papers?

And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far more
information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for ALL
of the questions before you!

> > > > You've been given dates, times and specifics. Any police
> > > > detective would be laughing all the way to court with that much
> > > > "evidence", but you can't seem to connect the dots. Need
> crayons?
> > >
> > > Need authentic letter of appointment. Got one?
> >
> > Don't need one. Gave you the specifics.
> >
> > But you don't have the cajones to find out for yourself.
>
> Post your authentic papers. Got papers?

And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far more
information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for ALL
of the questions before you!

> > Sorry, Brain...You have the details...Now if there's more you
> > need, I will post it RIGHT AFTER you answer some of the questions I
> > ahve put to you for YEARS...
>
> I don't recall what you claim are details.
>
> Post authentic documentation. You know what you say about people who
> can't or won't post authentic documentation???
>
> It didn't happen, and they are lying about it.

I've asked you to post DETAILS, Brain...SPECIFICS...

You never do, of course, but then everyone here EXCEPT you realizes
that you're blowing smoke.

> > Even if I DID have a "double standard", it would be two
> > "standards" more than you, Brain...
>
> Finally an admission to the PCTA double-standard.

No admission...Read again, Brain...

> And therein lies the problem.
>
> You think that having two standards is a good solution to your
> problems.

I don't.

Guess I should take your lead...If I had NO standards, then I
could make up anything I wanted to along the way...

Just like you do...

> > > > You have the specifics of when I was in MARS, the
callsigns,
> > > where
> > > > I was when I had those calls
> > >
> > > Silly Gunny, I need to see an authentic letter of appointment.
Got
> > > one? (hint: look in that shoebox full of medals.)
> >
> > Sorry, Brain...
>
> You lie. You have no sorrow.

I do. I have sorrow that people like you can't be forced into
treatment unless you make a threat of physical violence against
yourself or others.

> > Like I said...RIGHT AFTER I start seeing some of the stuff
that
> I
> > have been asking you about...Not even "documents"...Just some
> > verifyable details...
>
> Horrible, broke down Dodge.
>
> No. You will never receive anything from me except condemnation.

That's all you've evenr provided.

You make stories. You are asked to validate, or at least
rationalize your claims...

You never do.

> Now back to your claims of having been appointed to a MARS leadership
> position. Post your papers. No Documentation = Never Happened =
Lies.
>
> Your words, your rules, your logic.

And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far more
information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for ALL
of the questions before you!
>
> > > > and what I was doing.
> > >
> > > As far as what you were doing? Obviously not MARS communications
> or
> > > you just might know a little something about MARS. Hi!
> > >
> > > Now go find that letter of appointment and post it for all to
see.
> >
> > Waiting on you, Brain...Waiting on you.................
>
> In other words, you're lying. No MARS service.

In other words, the info's been in your hands for over a year.

Sucks to be you, Brain...Still a liar...Still a putz...

Still going to have to find a way to explain to your kids one day
why you lied in public so often.....

Steve, K4YZ

263. Re: K4YZ Has No Documents? That's a Problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 02:32:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115173925.339557.239630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:

> > > Next?
> >
> > Next? Next we'll expect you to ante up answers to all the
> > questions still awaiting answers, Brian.
>
> MARS letters of appointment. Prove your claims with authentic
> documents.
>
> Remember, Steve, you claimed that without documentation, the activity
> never happened and the person is a liar, a miscreant, and a
homosexual.
>
> Are you a liar, a miscreant, and a homosexual?
>
> Show your documents.

And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far more
information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for ALL
of the questions before you!

Care to carry on this conversation at Dayton, Brain? Or do you
have another hot date...???

Steve, K4YZ

264. Re: K4YZ Has No Documents? That's a Problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 02:32:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115173932.145674.265900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:

> > > Next?
> >
> > Next? Next we'll expect you to ante up answers to all the
> > questions still awaiting answers, Brian.
>
> MARS letters of appointment. Prove your claims with authentic
> documents.
>
> Remember, Steve, you claimed that without documentation, the activity
> never happened and the person is a liar, a miscreant, and a
homosexual.
>
> Are you a liar, a miscreant, and a homosexual?
>
> Show your documents.

And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far more
information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for ALL
of the questions before you!

Care to carry on this conversation at Dayton, Brain? Or do you
have another hot date...???

Steve, K4YZ

265. Policy discussion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 02:39:38 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <KBWde.982$7U.753@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 107496

Seems like it's just a group of fellers taking turns insulting each other in a perpetual, semi-literate daisy-chain.

Any actual discussion of ARRL policy here, or has all of that moved off to QRZ?

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php

266. Re: Steve suggests violence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 02:40:57 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <60c7f5ff5606b922c4020066bba8f4c5@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 107497

```
In article
<1115134042.369098.162230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> > > > > "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > >
news:1114836093.379763.287230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > :
> > > > > : I didn't introduce violence.
> > > > > :
> > > > >
> > > > > Where is Brian with his Liar-Meter?
> > > >
> > > > Right here.
> > > >
> > > > > We just got a big fat juicy one! There was no mention
in this
> > > thread
> > > > of
> > > > > violence until Nursie introduced it with his words [an
act of
> > > > violence
> > > > > against "people" like you].
> > > >
> > > > Steve is a cengenital, natural-born liar.
> > >
> > > What's, "cengenital"...Another of your attempts to use
medical
> > > terminology...?!?!
> >
> > With that kind of speeling, I could be a doctor. Your dodge
is
> noted.
>
> The humor was intended, but I guess it was over your
head...Sorry.
```

Your attempt at "humor" is like the rest of your endeavors,
Nursie, Piss-poor.

> > > > He did introduce violence to this thread, then said that
he
> didn't.
> > > He
> > > > lied, but what's new?
> > >
> > > I didn't, but it seems to be making you two happy!
> >
> > You did, and we're not happy about it.
> >
> > Why did you introduce violence to this thread?
>
> I didn't, but you won't show where I did. No threat of
physical
> violence was made.

Lying again, Nursie?

> > > > There have been so many lies recently that I lost count.
At any
> > > rate,
> > > > it makes Steve look rediculous.
> > >
> > > I am still waiting for you to cite lie #1, Brain, but
you've
> not
> > > even cited what you think is a lie...
> >
> > Silly Gunny, when you open your mouth I hear a lie. It's
become that
> > simple. Maybe if you started telling truths...
>
> The truth is there. You refuse [bullshit flushed]

You refuse to tell it, Nursie.

267. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 02:41:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dDWde.30484$Vh.57104428@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

And, you know partner, that is one VERY CHEAP SHOT... I am betting it is indicative of your whole character....

You should have mentioned it is from rec.radio.cb...

The group there are truck drivers, when speaking to truck drivers a much different form of speech is chosen than in other groups...

It would have been much more appropriate to have mentioned that.... or, even better, to have referred them to rec.radio.cb and told them I was posting there... either or both would have been preferable...

That is uncouth... what next... pickup something from a porn room and drop it here?

Indeed, if you look in the above postings, of mine, in this group, you will find I have expressed these same ideas here--with gentler nouns, verbs and text... but then, I think you are for another purpose... perhaps because you are feeling you are losing the argument there, and so wish to sick more hounds upon me?

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:2ZKdncyJcpwkuOXfRVn-vw@gbronline.com...
| Cory Mason wrote:
|
| > On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 13:27:50 -0700, "John Smith"
| > <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
|
| >>While debate and argument are good things and will help make it common
| >>knowledge that the hams have their heads up their A$$'s--the facts
stated
| >>above are simply too obivious to argue. However, if/when you do run
into
| >>hams which cannot face the real world--perhaps it would be a kindness to
| >>suggest a three-day observation in their local mental health
facility....
| >>
| >>
| >>
| >>Regards,
| >>John
| >>
| >

| >
| > John, What is your problem with hams?
| >
| > Regards
| > Cory
| >
|
| He is just another cber too lazy to get a ham license so the best he can
| do is attempt to slam ham operators. Ham radio out performs cb radio in
| so many ways it makes cb look really pathetic, and the cbers have done
| an excellent job themselves of making cb look pathetic by making 11
| meters the sewer pit of the radio spectrum.

268. Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 02:54:31 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <IPWde.26652$RP1.5044@fe10.lga>
Source record: 107498

I'm doing a little search and pounce on the bottom of 40. Work my first station, YO2BMK, from Romania. Cool, new country. On to T99W out of Bosnia. Swell, another new one! Next up, HA7PJ from Hungary. Not new, but nice QSO nonetheless.

What's funny? All three ops were named Emil. What are the odds on that. <hi>

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

269. Re: Steve suggests violence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 02:57:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115175432.843660.67370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107499

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
>
> > > Why did you introduce violence to this thread?
> >
> > I didn't, but you won't show where I did.
>
> And the lying continues.

It sure does...So when are you going to stop?

> > No threat of physical violence was made.
>
> Why did you use the word "violence?"

I didn't. The word was "introduced" by one of the anonymous lying
cowards.

Steve, K4YZ

270. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 03:01:49 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115175709.511656.234790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107500

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> k...@dvol.com wrote:
>
> > The League needs to recognize/concede that it has a serious
marketing
> > problem and address the problem the same way other businesses do in
> > these situations. They have a product line which isn't selling to a
> > large sector of their potential buyers. Why? Nobody actually knows.
> And
> > nobody will know until the League finds out why the Techs aren't
> buying
> > their wares.
>
> Here's one big reason:
>
> The League is a *national* organization. Focused mostly on national,
> international, and regional issues, and not so much local ones.
>
> Now if a ham's focus and interest are national or international, the
> League can have a lot to offer. But at the local level, how much the
> ARRL can offer someone depends entirely on who the local folks are.

Which is almost entirely a function of the quality of the leadership of the local clubs and the time and effort they have available to put into the clubs. Which the League can't control or do anything about no matter how they reshuffle the organization and event charts in Newington. Beyond that the facts are that the League has only managed to sign up ~20% of all licensees in this country nocodes thru 20wpm Extras and some uncounted huge number of those aren't members of local clubs either. There's nothing new about any of it, this whole topic area has been massaged into oblivion many times in the past and it'll come up again after this minor cycle of angst peters out.

> As a prime example, look at QST. How much of it is devoted to purely
> local stuff? Not much - the mag would have to be huge to cover ever
> locality in any depth at all. So why should someone whose main
interest
> in amateur radio is the folks within, say, 50 miles, shell out $40/yr
> for a membership?

That question has already been answered by a several hundred thousand zipped-up wallets.

Don't get me wrong though. I'm quite firmly convinced that the ARRL can and needs to boost it's support amongst the Techs but I'll stick with

my proposal vs. Hans' proposal.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

271. Re: Funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 03:02:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115175763.506054.192000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107501

Bert Craig wrote:
> I'm doing a little search and pounce on the bottom of 40. Work my
first
> station, YO2BMK, from Romania. Cool, new country. On to T99W out of
Bosnia.
> Swell, another new one! Next up, HA7PJ from Hungary. Not new, but
nice QSO
> nonetheless.
>
> What's funny? All three ops were named Emil. What are the odds on
that. <hi>

Uhhhhhhhhhhhhhh....same Op bootlegging three different
calls....?!?!?!

Nice run!

Steve, K4YZ

272. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 03:10:24 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <A2Xde.3636$7F4.1948@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107502

>
>
> Which still granted one the Novice privilege. Someone earlier posted
> that when the Novice upgraded he lost Novice priviledges. Not so.

It was true at one time, but the FCC realized the error and
fixed it so techs got to have novice privs. This was back around
1969 or so.

273. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 03:17:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <G9Xde.6468$BE3.2178@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107503

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
>
>>The ARRL is about HF radio using Morse Code.
>
> Bullshit.

What Hans meant to convey, "bb", is that your guess is incorrect and
that the ARRL is a large organization which promotes any number of
operational modes and bands. Of course he cut to the chase and managed
to sum it up in one word.

Dave K8MN

274. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 03:18:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <faXde.6469$BE3.5323@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107504

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

> I'll stick with my proposal vs. Hans' proposal.

Is there a copy of your proposal that I can point my browser at? I'd be
interested in your view.

73, de Hans, K0HB

275. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 03:33:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115177620.228984.204770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107507

Dave Heil wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >
> >
> >>The ARRL is about HF radio using Morse Code.
> >
> > Bullshit.
>
> What Hans meant to convey, "bb", is that your guess is incorrect and
> that the ARRL is a large organization which promotes any number of
> operational modes and bands. Of course he cut to the chase and
managed
> to sum it up in one word.

Very precise and eloquently put, Dave...

But do you think he got it...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

276. Re: Policy discussion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 03:40:08 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <St6dnZ2tFPyD2eXfRVn-jg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107508

```
Charles Brabham wrote:
> Seems like it's just a group of fellers taking turns insulting each other in
> a perpetual, semi-literate daisy-chain.
>
> Any actual discussion of ARRL policy here, or has all of that moved off to
> QRZ?
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
> Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
> Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
> Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
> Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php
>
It is what it seems like! You like blogs?
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
```

277. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 03:42:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115178135.000346.212020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1115149166.683930.202200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > And the only good an 11 meter CB radio will do is get "smokey"
> > > reports along the way to their staging point.
> > >
>
> During the floods here on the Red and Minnesota rivers a few years
ago when
> Grand Forks burned, the 11M guys patrolled the dikes and reported
problems just
> as effectively as the hams did. Reality trumps myth every time.

Congratulations, Hans.

ONE example.

Out of...thousands...of incidents...???

A very small group in once incident from one community...?!?!

Sure was a SMALL myth!

73

Steve, K4YZ

278. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 03:50:10 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115178610.959360.284320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107510

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> > I'll stick with my proposal vs. Hans' proposal.
>
> Is there a copy of your proposal that I can point my browser at? I'd
be
> interested in your view.

Oh fer chrissake, spare me will you Master Chief SIR, I clearly stated
that the ARRL should engage in a business-like market research effort
to find out how to connect with the non-member Techs rather than go off
on your fuzzy tangent.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

279. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 03:59:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115179140.727433.135110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107511

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:1ZSde.5214$HL2.4303@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> >> In any activity it would be normal for the "beginners" group
> >> group to be the largest segment. There are always a
> >> lot of people who start activities but then drop out
> >> for a wide variety of reasons.
> >
> > Astute observation. It would be nice to find a way reduce that
"first
> > termer" attrition by promoting an atmosphere which reinforces the
reasons
> > they took the time and trouble to come aboard. To steal an idea
from
> > marketing, "It's usually a lot easier and cheaper to keep an old
customer
> > than to identify and recruit a new one."
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> From the different organizations I've been involved with, I think the
> larger beginner "drop-out" is just a truth of human nature. I've
seen it
> in scouting, college, classic car clubs, etc. Exactly why newcomers
move
> on to other pursuits is one of life's mysteries in most cases.

It's a dirty job but somebody around here had to get to the bottom of
the bottom lines around here eventually.

You did, thankew for putting the cork in it William and g'nite.

. . . dit dit . . .

> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

w3rv

280. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 04:27:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <SaYde.5331$HL2.4439@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> A very small group in once incident from one community

Hi Steve,

ONE community?

A VERY SMALL group?

Check a map, Twenty-one counties in Minnesota and eleven counties in North Dakota were in the flood zone of the two rivers and their tributaries. Cities and farmsteads all up and down both sides of both rivers were diked, well over 180 miles of dikes were patrolled for almost three weeks.

de Hans, K0HB

281. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 04:51:49 UTC
From: Shadow998 <HRD998cc@yahoo.coom>
Message-ID: <FxYde.12471$J12.6930@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 107514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I still have one CB radio that I keep operational. I turn it on to reaffirm why I became a ham. In the early '70s, CB was still an honorable communication means employed by many groups performing public services. Most operators were considerate; the key word here is "were".

If we had a national emergency today, John and his "good buddies" would step on each other and crank up their "leenyars" to the point they would wipe out the nationwide 11 meter band with heterodyne. Wait---they already do that on a daily basis.

Quite a number of truckers are now licensed hams--they need to be able to communicate more than a mile or two.

I have good memories of my CB days, and I appreciate that it got me into ham radio. Unfortunately, "Sewer Pit" is a realistic description for what it has become in many areas--including mine.

Illegitimi non caborundum.

Russ

> >>While debate and argument are good things and will help make it common
> >>knowledge that the hams have their heads up their A$$'s--the facts
stated
> >>above are simply too obivious to argue. However, if/when you do run
into
> >>hams which cannot face the real world--perhaps it would be a kindness to
> >>suggest a three-day observation in their local mental health
facility....
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Regards,
> >>John
> >>
> >
> >
> > John, What is your problem with hams?
> >
> > Regards
> > Cory
> >
>
> He is just another cber too lazy to get a ham license so the best he can
> do is attempt to slam ham operators. Ham radio out performs cb radio in

> so many ways it makes cb look really pathetic, and the cbers have done
> an excellent job themselves of making cb look pathetic by making 11
> meters the sewer pit of the radio spectrum.

282. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 05:13:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iSYde.33349$gO2.58554501@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

shadow:

That is interesting.

I know men who instruct in colleges, are trusted with great secrets, who
run amateur, who run CB who I am very happy to have as friends...

Then:

I know men who instruct in colleges, are trusted with great secrets, who
run amateur, who run CB who I am better off for not having their company...

See, their differences lie in their character, and not in their position...
and in that light--I suspect you and commander buzz share the same....

Regards,
John

"Shadow998" <HRD998cc@yahoo.coom> wrote in message
news:FxYde.12471$J12.6930@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
|I still have one CB radio that I keep operational. I turn it on to
reaffirm
| why I became a ham. In the early '70s, CB was still an honorable
| communication means employed by many groups performing public services.
| Most operators were considerate; the key word here is "were".
|
| If we had a national emergency today, John and his "good buddies" would
step
| on each other and crank up their "leenyars" to the point they would wipe
out
| the nationwide 11 meter band with heterodyne. Wait---they already do that
| on a daily basis.
|
| Quite a number of truckers are now licensed hams--they need to be able to
| communicate more than a mile or two.
|
| I have good memories of my CB days, and I appreciate that it got me into
ham
| radio. Unfortunately, "Sewer Pit" is a realistic description for what it
| has become in many areas--including mine.
|
| Illegitimi non caborundum.
|
| Russ
|

|
|
| > >>While debate and argument are good things and will help make it common
| > >>knowledge that the hams have their heads up their A$$'s--the facts
| stated
| > >>above are simply too obivious to argue. However, if/when you do run
| into
| > >>hams which cannot face the real world--perhaps it would be a kindness
to
| > >>suggest a three-day observation in their local mental health
| facility....
| > >>
| > >>
| > >>
| > >>Regards,
| > >>John
| > >>
| > >
| > >
| > > John, What is your problem with hams?
| > >
| > > Regards
| > > Cory
| > >
| >
| > He is just another cber too lazy to get a ham license so the best he can
| > do is attempt to slam ham operators. Ham radio out performs cb radio in
| > so many ways it makes cb look really pathetic, and the cbers have done
| > an excellent job themselves of making cb look pathetic by making 11
| > meters the sewer pit of the radio spectrum.
|
|

283. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 06:24:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mkqg71de6jtal09u2p6h8c7mhot23cjj70@4ax.com>
Source record: 107516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Tue, 03 May 2005 10:26:20 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote
in :

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>>
>>
>>
>
>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.

The range of CB radio is 5 miles or less. Why would it be considered
as a method of communication for NORAD or the Department of Homeland
Secrecy? Regardless:

http://tinyurl.com/9s39v

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

284. Porky Davies confesses!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 06:56:36 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <JQ48WYIJ38476.6226388889@reece.net.au>
Source record: 107517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest, rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Porky Davies gives us his choice of what he likes to look at all day in

http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=19416:

"I can look at mens dicks all day"

Lardass Davies confesses he goes around looking at men's dicks in

http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=19427:

"I have seen plenty of dicks before"

285. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 09:11:38 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <1115197898.351482.140900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The group there are truck drivers, when speaking to truck drivers a
much
different form of speech is chosen than in other groups... ....

All CB'ers are truck drivers? I know a few truck drivers, and I didn't
need an interpretor... The ones I know seem to speak english.
Are you talking to Iranian truck drivers? I doubt they use many CB's...
Most prefer to bootleg on VHF using ham tranceivers....Kinda the
3rd world "family radio"....

Indeed, if you look in the above postings, of mine, in this group, you
will
find I have expressed these same ideas here--with gentler nouns, verbs
and
text...

I've read your rantings at both places....All in all....I still think
you
must have been an abused child...You seem fairly silly at either
group...gentle or not...BTW....What is this great "mis-information"
we hams have supposably foisted upon the world? I read that post....
Sillyness it was...You don't need to be a ham to foist
misinformation...
You can go back to barking at the moon now....
BTW, Don't worry. I'm not going to argue with you...
I fear it would be too painful for you to cope with.
I wouldn't want you to go "postal" on us, or anything....I remember
once on 75m, they picked on this dude for so long, he went postal
and shot his wife to death out of sheer frustration. No joke....
It was on the frequency I talked on at that time...Some out there
may still remember it....He was a "4" lander....Was about '85 or so...
He may still be serving time for it... So you can see my concern.
I wouldn't want you to end up like that. I've argued with some people,

and they started barking at the moon out of frustration. You already
bark at the moon, so I'm afraid I could be fatal to you...:(So I'll
leave
you alone....Resume barking now....
MK

286. Re: Wiseman's long haired photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 10:15:30 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns964C404D429CAelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 107519

"Lloyd 2" <NotRoger@NoForeskin> wrote in news:d533tnarq0@pita.alt.net:

>
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> news:8708817833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On 30 Apr 2005 15:35:24 -0700, lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com <Secwet Woger>
> wrote:
>> In article <87309017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
>> "Lloyd" Secret Lardass<l...@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>> >
>> > On 30 Apr 2005 21:02:18 -0000, Anonymous <Secwet Woger> wrote:
>>
<snip offensive garbage>
>
> Here's Roger's 2003 photo. Judge for yourself if he doesn't have long
> hair and a greasy, pockmarked face. Roger posted that photo in the
> Yahoo single's groups, Schwartz found it, and then Roger immediately
> yanked everything off Yahoo within a few hours.
>
> Enjoy.
>
> http://img60.echo.cx/img60/4032/ab8mq08031md.jpg
>
>
>
>
> =======
> "If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14
>
>
> He might be able to help matters by shaving and shampooing, but he sure
> as heck ain't gonna fix UGLY!
>
> No wonder he's single!
>
> <derisive laughter stifled>
>
>
>
>
>

That's not long hair - mine is more than twice that length

287. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 11:48:23 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d5acq7$12t2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 107520

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> As a prime example, look at QST. How much of it is devoted to purely
> local stuff? Not much - the mag would have to be huge to cover ever
> locality in any depth at all. So why should someone whose main interest
> in amateur radio is the folks within, say, 50 miles, shell out $40/yr
> for a membership?

I think that was a big part of Hans' point, Jim. 8^)

- MIke KB3EIA -

288. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 11:50:14 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d5actm$12t2$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 107521

bb wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>news:1115155763.520880.257510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade
>>>>has been overwhelmingly via the Technician license.
>>>
>>>
>>>.... since about 1987 or so, most new hams
>>>have started out as Technicians.
>>>
>>>
>>>Jim, we can nit-pick the semantics if you really think that's
>
> productive, but
>
>>>the two statements above both seem to convey the same notion, which
>
> we might
>
>>>more clearly state "Most new hams since 1991 have entered via the
>
> Technician
>
>>>class which is now the largest single license class in the US,
>
> comprising almost
>
>>>half (47.7%) of the population of licensees in this country, nearly
>
> equal to the
>
>>>combined total population of the three higher classes.".
>>
>> Even if we do pick the nits, you have a point that is valid. A lot

>
> of
>
>>Technicians elect not to join the ARRL.
>>
>> During the time that I was a Tech, I didn't think that the league
>
> was
>
>>relevant to what I was doing in Ham radio.
>>
>> You know, stuff like helping with walkathons, 4-H events, Bike
>
> races....
>
>> Sound familiar to what you wrote? For about 2 years, the type of Ham
>
>
>>you spoke of was ME.
>>
>> After I got my General license, I decided that ARRL was relevant to
>
> my
>
>>new interests.
>
>
> You echo Len's observation. The ARRL is about HF radio using Morse
> Code.

And lots of other modes too.

- Mike KB3EIA -

289. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 11:54:03 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d5ad4r$12t2$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 107522

Dave Heil wrote:

> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>> The ARRL is about HF radio using Morse Code.
>>
>>
>> Bullshit.
>
>
> What Hans meant to convey, "bb", is that your guess is incorrect and
> that the ARRL is a large organization which promotes any number of
> operational modes and bands. Of course he cut to the chase and managed
> to sum it up in one word.

Aside from the occasional article where a Ham speaks of the mode he/she uses, I find very little in QST about Morse code. Ads for Keys are there. But not a whole lot else.

- Mike KB3EIA -

290. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 13:38:08 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <b36ca5ea1a48f90f432c8c3ef206a73a@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 107523

In article <IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Excuse making Gopher face Tunder <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> How about (more lies)

Nice try Gopher face. You responded to the Gopher face name.
Just like the sniveling little bitch boy you are.

> Like I said

You say a lot of things, mainly excuses for why you are too
stupid and lazy to get the Extra license.
And you'd rather hold onto that obsolete license, always
settling for second best, I suppose.

> That is pretty hard to do
>
> Poor two-test.

Poor Gopher face, all he can put up is a lousy 40 meter antenna.
And he even admits it was hard to do.
No wonder you never could get the Extra, you can't do antenna
formulas.

> Well, well. I got my First Class Phone in (more lies)

No, you didn't. You are lying again, Gopher face.

> Again you loose two-test

Again, you "lose" Gopher Face. You can't even post in English.
Now go cry because you have that obsolete license.

--
Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is quite a "loser" when he
can't even spell "loser" in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

291. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 16:19:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <gC6ee.35$UD4.1905809@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It is your opinion as opposed to mine--this would be a petty argument at best...

Instead of choosing to argue a point I have already decided for myself--go visit there, then attempt to convince that the arguments, debates, and gentlemens' exchange is the same as it is here--if NOT, then I stand correct in what I have stated...

Quit nipping at my ankles, it grows boring...

John

<nm5k@wt.net> wrote in message
news:1115197898.351482.140900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
| The group there are truck drivers, when speaking to truck drivers a
| much
| different form of speech is chosen than in other groups... ....
|
| All CB'ers are truck drivers? I know a few truck drivers, and I didn't
| need an interpretor... The ones I know seem to speak english.
| Are you talking to Iranian truck drivers? I doubt they use many CB's...
| Most prefer to bootleg on VHF using ham tranceivers....Kinda the
| 3rd world "family radio"....
|
| Indeed, if you look in the above postings, of mine, in this group, you
| will
| find I have expressed these same ideas here--with gentler nouns, verbs
| and
| text...
|
| I've read your rantings at both places....All in all....I still think
| you
| must have been an abused child...You seem fairly silly at either
| group...gentle or not...BTW....What is this great "mis-information"
| we hams have supposably foisted upon the world? I read that post....
| Sillyness it was...You don't need to be a ham to foist
| misinformation...
| You can go back to barking at the moon now....
| BTW, Don't worry. I'm not going to argue with you...
| I fear it would be too painful for you to cope with.
| I wouldn't want you to go "postal" on us, or anything....I remember
| once on 75m, they picked on this dude for so long, he went postal
| and shot his wife to death out of sheer frustration. No joke....
| It was on the frequency I talked on at that time...Some out there

| may still remember it....He was a "4" lander....Was about '85 or so...
| He may still be serving time for it... So you can see my concern.
| I wouldn't want you to end up like that. I've argued with some people,
|
| and they started barking at the moon out of frustration. You already
| bark at the moon, so I'm afraid I could be fatal to you...:(So I'll
| leave
| you alone....Resume barking now....
| MK
|

292. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 16:21:10 UTC
From: anon <anon@cheesengashams.com>
Message-ID: <d5asn0$q2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107525

"anon" <anon@abnospamanoncd.com> wrote in message
news:d596f6bhh0@pita.alt.net...
> >
>> But Roger did pass a commercial license exam in front of an FCC
>> examiner in 1976, Gopher face. You lose again.
>> And he's already proven he could pass the amateur tests,
>> regardless, unlike you, who has to settle for an obsolete
>> license.
>>
>
>
> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
>
> A search of the above FCC data base yields negative results
> for a commercial FCC license in your name Roger. In fact, the
> *only* FCC license you hold, according to the FCC, is your
> ham radio license. Can't wait to hear your spew of excuses
> and lies.
>
> Possible Roger Excuses:
>
> 1. I passed it but let it expire.
> 2. I didn't say the commercial license was issued by the FCC.
> 3. You are not looking in the right data base.
> 4. I let it lapse, because I didn't need it anymore.
> 5. I found it in the FCC data base, why can't you?
> 6. You must not know how to look up a license.
> 7. (reserved for Roger)
>
>
>

Note how Roger remains curiously silent on the challenge as to
why his 1976 commercial license is not in the FCC's data base.
Perhaps the DeVry master degree curtains boy has been caught
in a bald face lie?
Your Turn negative SWR boy?

293. Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 16:35:17 UTC
From: anon <anon@cheesengashams.com>
Message-ID: <d5ath7$34n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107526

Roger remains curiously silent, after he was challenged to explain why his Commercial FCC license, which he claims was passed before a FCC examiner in 1976, does not appear in the FCC's data base?

Could it be because a list of his excuses (lies) was posted in advance?

Perhaps the DeVry master degree boy has never had said license?

Let me know if you want me to post the link to the FCC's data base again Roger?

294. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 16:47:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <w07ee.41$4U6.2100241@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 107527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

WOW! Five miles huh? I am going to have to go tell my neighbor, he is chatting with Australia on ch 38 LSB right now!!! In fact, he does that rather consistantly!!!

Or, he probably only thinks he is--still, I wonder who that guy with the aussie accent is, which is deceiving him???

John

"Frank Gilliland" wrote in message news:mkqg71de6jtal09u2p6h8c7mhot23cjj70@4ax.com...
| On Tue, 03 May 2005 10:26:20 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote
| in :
|
| >Frank Gilliland wrote:
| >>
| >> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
| >> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
| >> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
| >>
| >>
| >>
| >
| >The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
| >their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
|
|
| The range of CB radio is 5 miles or less. Why would it be considered
| as a method of communication for NORAD or the Department of Homeland
| Secrecy? Regardless:
|
| http://tinyurl.com/9s39v
|
|
|
|
|
|
| -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==-----
| http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
| ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption

=----

295. Re: Amateur Radio is MUCH more valuable than CB Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 17:17:28 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115226480.fb5eac57b84471f7d9ac81ae5469c31e@bubbanews>
Source record: 107528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 05 May 2005 02:07:35 +1000, Barry OGrady
<atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 03 May 2005 07:23:48 -0500, Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 2 May 2005 20:52:50 -0700, "John Smith"
>><assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>On 11 meters, with my 100 ft tower with a two element beam, I reach 50-75
>>>air miles consistantly(at night when the chan is quiet)... but, I am on a
>>>valley floor... and that is 4.5 watts output... and you know I have NEVER
>>>ran more power than that!!!! (But, if I did, it would be a good sized chunk
>>>of this state, well, the valley walls would be a limit) And, of course,
>>>skip would be worldwide during the day...
>>>
>>>On 10 meters, it is not that good (same tower, same ant), mainly because the
>>>hams don't have as good as antennas as the CB'ers (height wise.) And, there
>>>are just not as many hams here to chat with on 10 meters...
>>>
>>>
>>
>>your antenna is a toy compared to most ham antennas. if you have
>>trouble chatting with somone on 10 meters, maybe you should learn to
>>speak english. most freebanders are quite illiterate.
>
>Funny you should say that, because in english its 10 metres.
>
>Barry

Funny you should say that, because in the Merriam-Webster english
dictionary its meters. metres is a chiefly British variant of METER

296. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 17:23:24 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <su7ee.3888$Fm.1015@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 107529

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:b9idnZFbp9Crv-XfRVn-2w@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Jerry:
>>
>> Yes, but loosing 80% of the millions of CB radios, and loosing 80% of the
>> thousands of ham radios are two VERY different things!!!!
>>
>> It is all in the numbers, like roulette yanno!!!
>>
>> Regards,
>> John
>
> So you have 20% of the cbres still on all yelling,
> "aaauuuuuuuddddddiiiiiiooooo", "ten fer thar", along with gobs of other
> obscene junk, stumbling all over each other like a bunch of babbons. I
> will go with what may be fewer, but trained hams who know how to take
> messages, pass traffic, follow protocal, and can work with emergency
> officials anytime over a bunch of halfwit cbers screaming into their mics
> attempting to stomp over the next guy.
> That's the way emergency officials see it as well. CB isn't even
> considered when it come to government disaster planning.

Amounts to The FEW, the Proud, the TRAINED, doesn't it! ;) LMAO!

J

297. Important please read this Thank you! `°º.…º°`°º.…º°`°º.☐..º°`°º.…º°`°º..º°` `°º.…º°`°º.…º°`°º.☐..º°`°º.…º°`°º..º°` `°º.…º°`°º.…º°`°º.☐..º°`°º.…º°`°º..º°` `°º.…º°`°º.…º°`°º.☐..º°`°º.…º°`°º..º°` `°º.…º°`°º.…º°`°º.☐..º°`°º.…º°`°º..º°` `°º.…º°`°º.…º°`°º.☐..º°`°º.…º°`°º..º°` GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·156

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 17:39:23 UTC
From: Ronald700100@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115228363.201217.312440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107530
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

This is the most important question of your life.

The question is: Are you saved?

It is not a question of how good you are,
nor if you are a church member, but are you saved?

Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?

The reason some people don't know for sure if they
are going to Heaven when they die is because they
just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that
you are going to Heaven.

The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful
place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is
to be saved so that we can live forever with Him
in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord
and believe in your heart that God raised Him from
the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth
in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood
and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to
a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was
placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus

said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and
then three days later come back to life and it
actually happens then this person must be the
real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have
redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of
sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
things that you have done in your life including
lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean
it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
to save you.

Now you are a real Christian and you can know for
sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this
life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing),
but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear
children, I write this to you so that you will not
sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks
to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ,
the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another

chance to do so because you do not know when you
will die.

Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life:
no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but
by me." (John 14:6)

This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus
Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your
sins and be forever separated from the love of God in
a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
what happens you are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

* Show this to your family and friends so they can also be saved.

* This message may get deleted so you may want to print a copy.

* Just press the [Ctrl][P] keys on your keyboard to print this page.

`°º.¸..¸.º°`°º.¸..¸.º°`°º.·□..¸.º°`°º.¸..¸.º°`°º.¸..¸.º°`

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

`°º.…..º°`°º.…..º°`°º.¸□..¸º°`°º.…..º°`°º.¸.º°`

298. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 18:06:06 UTC
From: anon <none@hotopsclubpres.net>
Message-ID: <d5b2rc$f3l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1115226695.5f36eddccd6c13c5d4ffba071e2a7d6c@bubbanews...
> On Tue, 3 May 2005 16:59:54 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>>On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
>>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>>supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>>that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>><snip>
>>-
>>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>>-
>>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes do
>>figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>>Stu
>>
>>>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>>Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an
>>upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.
>
> and what would that other name be? tell us about the spread spectrum
> 11 meter cb radios. maybe elmer can help you?

Do a Google search, limiting your search to rec.radio.cb
Then do a general web search, using Google. Spread Spectrum,
Packet, and other digital modes have been discussed in
rec.radio.cb with posts dating back to 1991. Typical ham, you
want all the work done for you. And when are you going to
upgrade from No Code Tech?

299. Re: Important please read this Thank you!

`...`...`...`...`.?..`...`...`...`..`
`...`...`...`...`.?..`...`...`...`..`
`...`...`...`...`.?..`...`...`...`..`
`...`...`...`...`.?..`...`...`...`..`
`..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 18:13:15 UTC
From: Michael <muirhead@haidagwaii.net>
Message-ID: <FPqdna4kfN1djeTfRVn-2g@qcislands.net>
Source record: 107532
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

Ronald700100@yahoo.com wrote:

> This is the most important question of your life.
>
> The question is: Are you saved?

Nope.

I'm wasted. :-D

300. ⊠97.1(a) and (e) in action

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 18:50:57 UTC
From: K⊠HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IQ8ee.5664$GQ5.5493@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107533

ftp://espectro.sra.ufsc.br/pub/CNN_Tsunami_HamRadio.mpg

301. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 19:16:18 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6c9ee.5179$V01.4110@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107534

> The League is a *national* organization. Focused mostly on national,
> international, and regional issues, and not so much local ones.
>
> Now if a ham's focus and interest are national or international, the
> League can have a lot to offer. But at the local level, how much the
> ARRL can offer someone depends entirely on who the local folks are.
>
> As a prime example, look at QST. How much of it is devoted to purely
> local stuff? Not much - the mag would have to be huge to cover ever
> locality in any depth at all. So why should someone whose main interest
> in amateur radio is the folks within, say, 50 miles, shell out $40/yr
> for a membership?
>

There is a lot of "generic" local stuff the ARRL could
deal in. Doing a parade or county fair in Cowville, North
Nebraska won't be that different than the parade or county
fair in Swampgulch, Alabama. Sure the names are different,
but organizational politics and technical issues with 2 meter
handhelds on the street would be quite similar.

302. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 19:36:02 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115234794.8c902adf54050ceaddcf5a878b9dc0c8@bubbanews>
Source record: 107535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Wed, 4 May 2005 14:06:06 -0400, "anon" <none@hotopsclubpres.net>
wrote:

>
>"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1115226695.5f36eddccd6c13c5d4ffba071e2a7d6c@bubbanews...
>> On Tue, 3 May 2005 16:59:54 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>
>>>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>>>On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
>>>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>>>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>>>supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>>>that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>>><snip>
>>>-
>>>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>>>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>>>-
>>>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes do
>>>figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>>>Stu
>>>
>>>>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>>>Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an
>>>upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.
>>
>> and what would that other name be? tell us about the spread spectrum
>> 11 meter cb radios. maybe elmer can help you?
>
>
>Do a Google search, limiting your search to rec.radio.cb
>Then do a general web search, using Google. Spread Spectrum,
>Packet, and other digital modes have been discussed in
>rec.radio.cb with posts dating back to 1991. Typical ham, you
>want all the work done for you. And when are you going to
>upgrade from No Code Tech?
>
ok, do the search what do you find? talk about backwards swing, 800
and 900 mhz telephones. nothing about 11 meter cb spread spectrum.
```

something being discussed is not the same as having one or using one.
now answer the original question, where can i find a 11 meter spread
spectrum radio? typical freebander, not even smart enough to be a
no-code tech.

303. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 19:50:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115236217.746755.49510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107536

From: "KØHB" on Tues,May 3 2005 5:59 pm

>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
>> The ARRL is about HF radio using Morse Code.
>
>Bullshit.

In the face of REALITY of years of published fact
that Brian is right, I "hurl your opinion aside
with great force!"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

304. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 19:52:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115236371.971446.255800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107537

From: kelly@dvol.com on Tues,May 3 2005 12:04 pm

>K□B wrote:
>
>. . . .
>
>> Obviously a lot of this proposal needs a great deal of "fleshing out" and
>> refinement, but I present it in the spirit of a "topic for discussion". I'm
>> sure that the minds gathered here will not be bashful about improving my PBI.
>
>All warm and fuzzy good Hans but it's another OF's top-down
>"reorganizational solution" which I don't see would work any better
>than it's predecessors.
>
>The League needs to recognize/concede that it has a serious marketing
>problem and address the problem the same way other businesses do in
>these situations. They have a product line which isn't selling to a
>large sector of their potential buyers. Why? Nobody actually knows. And
>nobody will know until the League finds out why the Techs aren't buying
>their wares.
>
>Well-run businesses tackle this problem via market research and the
>League needs to do a bunch of long-overdue bottom-up market research as
>a first step if they expect to get any more real penetration into their
>Tech market.

I'm in complete agreement with Brian Kelly on that.

ARRL budgetarily exists on SALES OF PUBLICATIONS, not the annual dues. Figuring $30 x 170 thousand members (allowing for all the "Life" memberships done once) yields only a %5.1 million per year. That's about the cost to produce (and stay afloat) QST, the "membership magazine," to pay the printers, the fullfillment enablers, QST staff, all the magazine ancilliary costs - provided - they ALSO have revenue from advertising sales. Without that advertising sales income, QST will dry up and become just a newsletter

printed on newsprint.

The IRS forms (available on another website) show that
the ARRL monetary income for 2002 was about $12.5 million.
Even if all members paid $40/year dues, the total dues
income would be only $6.8 million. The rest of that income
came from PUBLICATIONS and RESALE of other goods...all
pushed on the ARRL website.

>The League should go out into the trenches in volume and, for openers,
>start asking all the Techs who are not ARRL members why they aren't
>members and what the League needs to do to pry the forty bucks a year
>out of them. Then properly analyze the results of the surveys and make
>the appropriate changes in their product line. Shuffling SM's duties
>around and talking up ham radio to the town burghers, etc., etc. as
>"potential solutions" would drive a real marketeer to tears of
>laughter.

Quite so. :-) But that CANNOT be explained to the
entrenched, we-know-what-is-best-for-everybody, old-
school thinking of the "leaders" at the ARRL. They
seem to want to run a little clubhouse of the BoD and
Hq staff, keeping things nice and cozy for themselves.

The psychological term is "conditioned thinking" by
the League. The leadership seems stuck in the way
things were done a half century ago...plus the rah-rah
self-promotion of the "ideals" of their elders of that
long-ago period. Their conditioning is almost absolute.
They just don't seem to understand that their cozy
existance-in-the-clubhouse is NOT what the newcomers
want to preserve. Newcomers, already exposed to the
wonders of worldwide webbing (no ionospheric
propagation problems), aren't interested in being
the epitome of morsemen as they were in the 1930s.

Yes, the ARRL plays to "high-technology" on things
such as satellite communications and "talking with
astronauts on the International Space Station" (all
three of them sometimes) and has a lot of books
(shipping cost extra if mail-ordered, no shipping
cost if purchased at ham stores) on a few things
which are state-of-the-art, sort-of. Lots of style,
plenty of gloss, little substance in general.

The vast majority of ARRL concentration in
publications is on the HF ham bands...where no-code
test Technicians are still forbidden - by law - to
operate. VHF and above is still treated "different"
something to be shunned by "real" hams...now as it
was a half century ago. Too many oldsters are still
conditioned to that time and to "working DX with CW

on HF," collecting "wallpaper" (QSL cards) to show their "prowess" as "radio operators." OK, that was FB in the 1950s when all the League "leaders" were young. Times have changed, the "leadership" hasn't.

"Marketing" research by the League? Don't bet on it. The "leadership" is still inclined to have their "burghers" their way...emphasizing, of course, "CW" skills as the epitome of all "real" radio amateurs. It worked for them when they were young, and, by T.O.M., it MUST apply to newcomers of today! :-)

League business as usual...as it was then, so shall it be now...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

305. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 20:01:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mS9ee.5682$GQ5.124@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107538

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1115236217.746755.49510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

> I "hurl your opinion aside with great force!"

As WA6AUD is oft heard to say ---> "Sunuvagun!" or "ZUE ZBM2"

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

306. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 20:03:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7U9ee.5684$GQ5.271@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote

> where can i find a 11 meter spread spectrum radio?

Why the phuck would you want one?

de Hans, K0HB

307. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 20:18:25 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115237337.40a6865dbd31b0f6057ed41d7da112ac@bubbanews>
Source record: 107540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 04 May 2005 20:03:15 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>> where can i find a 11 meter spread spectrum radio?
>
>Why the phuck would you want one?
>
>de Hans, K0HB
>
>

I phucking don't want one,I phucking just want to see one,ok?
why the phuch do you care so much?

308. Re: Wiseman's long haired photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 21:06:46 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <QUAZJYPY38476.9630324074@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 107541

In article <Xns964C404D429CAelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
"Alun L. Palmer" <elekt...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> "Lloyd 2" <NotRoger@NoForeskin> wrote in
news:d533tnarq0@pita.alt.net:
> > No wonder he's single!

But he isn't a 31 year old VIRGIN!

<derisive laughter>

> That's not long hair - mine is more than twice that length

The thing is, Roger's hair isn't long. Lardass Davies set up
that yahoo profile and
tried to pass it off as Roger's.

309. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 21:07:43 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <TaednWteqO08y-TfRVn-pg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107547

Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>
>
> The range of CB radio is 5 miles or less. Why would it be considered
> as a method of communication for NORAD or the Department of Homeland
> Secrecy? Regardless:

Disregarding the range problem, they won't consider cb because of the type of operators you typically find on cb. Would you want those bunch of morons handling your emergency traffic.

310. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 21:38:16 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <cd795d0bc214d2a65f00fdcc273bde74@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 107543

In article <d5asn0$q2$0@pita.alt.net>
"anon" <a...@cheesengashams.com> wrote:
>
> Note how Roger remains curio(whines and backpedaling excuses
why you can't successfully look it up!)

Not how you don't address the fact you are too fucking stupid to
look up his name on the Universal Licensing System website.

http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/

You LOSE as usual!

311. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 21:57:07 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <985c2b5a400fd5b6a62ad031f3ea43a5@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 107542

In article <d596f6bhh0@pita.alt.net>
"anon" <a...@abnospamanoncd.com> wrote:
>
> >
> > But Roger did pass a commercial license exam in front of an
FCC
> > examiner in 1976, Gopher face. You lose again.
> > And he's already proven he could pass the amateur tests,
> > regardless, unlike you, who has to settle for an obsolete
> > license.
> >
>
>
> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
>
> A search of the above FCC data base yields negative results
(Lardass' incompetence)

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA..Lardass can't even fucking look up a goddamned
license!

Do a name search at the ULS site , Dumbass Davies!!!!! Or can't
you even handle that simple task?

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/

312. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 22:27:03 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1115245623.420536.174130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107544

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1115155763.520880.257510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> KØHB wrote:
>
> >> The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade
> >> has been overwhelmingly via the Technician license.
>
> > .... since about 1987 or so, most new hams
> > have started out as Technicians.
>
> Jim, we can nit-pick the semantics if you really think that's
productive, but
> the two statements above both seem to convey the same notion, which
we might
> more clearly state "Most new hams since 1991 have entered via the
Technician
> class which is now the largest single license class in the US,
comprising almost
> half (47.7%) of the population of licensees in this country, nearly
equal to the
> combined total population of the three higher classes.".

I'm not trying to nitpick semantics, Hans, just looking for solid info.

I do agree that most new hams since 1991 have entered via the Tech license - in fact, by the mid-80s if not earlier, most new hams I encountered bypassed the Novice and went straight to Tech. The main reason they gave me was 2 meter and 440 repeaters - Techs could use 'em, Novices couldn't. The splitting of the Element 3 written test in 1987 and the dropping of the code test for Tech in 1991 just helped the trend along.

AH0A's posted numbers only go back to June 1997, which is of course 8 years rather than a decade, but let's take a look anyway:

June 1997 (per AH0A.org):

Novice - 66,551
Tech - 174,924
TechPlus - 139,608
Tech/TechPlus combined - 314,532 (46.4%)
General - 116,629
Advanced - 107,024
Extra - 73,737

General/Advanced/Extra combined - 297,390 (43.8%)
Total all classes: 678,473

April 2005 (per AH0A.org):

Novice - 28,615
Tech/TechPlus combined - 318,318 (47.7%)
General - 136,808
Advanced - 76,418
Extra - 106,587
General/Advanced/Extra combined - 319,813 (48.0%)
Total all classes: 666,746

So in the past 7 years 8 months we've seen growth of 3,786 in the Tech/TechPlus classes, pushing those classes' percentage of US hamdom up 1.3%. But in the same time period we've also seen growth of 22,423 in the General/Advanced/Extra classes, pushing those classes' percentage of US hamdom up 4.2%.

So when I read a claim that "The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade
has been overwhelmingly via the Technician license.", I would like to see more data.

Now it occurs to me that you may have meant that "via the Technician license" means most hams start that way, and I agree. Point is, it seems to me that a lot of new hams don't *stay* Technicians forever.

--

I don't think there's ever been a time when ARRL had a majority of US hams as members. The most I ever saw claimed was about one-third, and that was many decades ago.

I think the big unknown in all this is the percentage of *active* hams who are members - or even the number of active hams, period.

The number of ham radio licenses has always included a certain percentage of SK and totally inactive hams, but with the increase of the license term to 10 years back in 1984 and the general aging of the US population, it's logical to think that the percentage of SK and totally inactive hams has increased dramatically in recent years. From 1994 to 1999, no US ham licenses expired at all.

In the bad old days, those who lost interest quickly disappeared from the license totals. Novices had one or two years to upgrade or leave the air, and the other licenses were only good for 5 years. IIRC, it used to be that if you didn't get your Form 610 to FCC before the license expired, it was gone - no grace period. (Of course back then FCC would renew a lot sooner than 90 days before the license expired, upgrades caused automatic renewal and you could combine a renewal and a modification.)

73 de Jim, N2EY

313. Re: K4YZ Has No Documents? That's a Problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 22:39:10 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <f7d1d0f786e73a5a3a9b8fc1929991d0@paranoici.org>
Source record: 107545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article
<1115173932.145674.265900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> And I told you they're not forthcoming.

Of course, they're not, Nursie. You are a liar.

> Care to carry on this conversation at Dayton, Brain? Or
do you
> have another hot date...???

Figures you'd go to where the smelly, dirty hams are, Nursie.
I bet you have your handi-talkie belt and tinfoil hat all ready
for it.

314. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 23:02:27 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <BoCdnVE2wNm97-TfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107613

Tapioca Pudding wrote:
> In article <IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>
>>How about (more lies)
>
>
> Nice try Gopher face. You responded to the Gopher face name.
> Just like the sniveling little bitch boy you are.
>
>
>>Like I said
>
>
> You say a lot of things, mainly excuses for why you are too
> stupid and lazy to get the Extra license.
> And you'd rather hold onto that obsolete license, always
> settling for second best, I suppose.

You have a hard time with comprehension don't you two-test. The Advance
isn't obsolete, it is still a valid license. There are more Advanced
class holders then there are Extra.
You only got an Extra-lite, you never would have been able to pass a
real test for Extra, only one where the answers were provided for you.
At least my Advanced license is real, I didn't take the test at some
license VE give-away session where all the answers were provided like
two-test wogie did.
Poor two-test, the Extra lite ham. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!
>
>
>>That is pretty hard to do
>>
>>Poor two-test.
>
>
> Poor Gopher face, all he can put up is a lousy 40 meter antenna.
> And he even admits it was hard to do.

And where did I admit it was hard to do?

> No wonder you never could get the Extra, you can't do antenna
> formulas.

You're really funny two-test. NOT!!
>
>
>>Well, well. I got my First Class Phone in (more lies)
>
>
> No, you didn't. You are lying again, Gopher face.

Try and prove it two-test.

> Again, you "lose" Gopher Face. You can't even post in English.
> Now go cry because you have that obsolete license.
>
Now you go cry because the FCC made you retest, apanked you really good
and the best you could do is get an Extra-lite at a license VE give-away
session. HAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
> --
> Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is quite a "loser" when he
> can't even spell "loser" in
> <IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
> "Again you loose two-test"
>

Poor two-test wogie, the FCC spanked him really good. You see, wogie was
caught red-handed violating the FCC rules so Riley made him retake the
exam. Wogie couldn't operate according to the rules like any normal
ham, he had to go and jam other ham's transmissions and Riley caught him
red handed. So wogie had to retest in order to keep his license. Poor
two-test. Lets see the hands of all those who have been called in by the
FCC to retest. Oh, I only see wogie's hand...figures. I have been
licensed since 1961 and never once has the FCC made me retake the exam.
Poor widdle two-test can't say that. Poor two-test, he couldn't have
passed the exam except he took it at a license give-away VE session
where all the answers to all the questions were available for
memorization. Wogie got an Extra-lite, he never could have passed the
old test were knowledge was required and you had to set in front of an
FCC examiner. But on the other hand, someone from the FCC is probably
the last thing two-test wogie wants to see.
You care to explain negative SWR and RMS to us wogie?
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Stand up when I talk to you boy. LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!

315. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 23:07:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115248045.637288.326640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107546

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >K4YZ wrote (and Todd deleted attributes:)
> > > I asked you before...I ask you again...where are your numbers?
> > >Where are you getting this information from?
> > > And as for "dumb amateurs"...who's the one trying to run a
> > >"bulletin station" in the absence of support for it...?!?!
> >
> > Tell you what go around to some of these other amatuer message
boards
> > and see what other amateurs think. I don't have any figures in
front
> of
> > me right now but, from what I've seen on these other message boards
> the
> > majority of the amateurs who complain about OTHER information
> > bulletins, not W1AW are ARRL members.
>
> The only "figures" you have, Todd, are the ones you cut out of
> porno pictures. Your sloughing.
>
> > >(2) You better read again.
> >
> > Believe me the amateur rules are a hell of lot easier to read then
> > broadcast rules.
>
> Oh? Then why are you broadcasting on 20 meters?

I'm not, I'm running an Information Bulletin, big difference...a
broadcast is attended for reception of the general public while a
information bulletin is a program directed to amateur radio.

>
> > > (3) It's not a "bulletin" if you're running "24/7".
> >
> > Not true, there is no time limit on information bulletins
>
> "Bulletins" are time-specific releases of information. Just
like
> "Headline News", if it's more than an hour old, it's old and no
longer
> a "bulletin".
>

NOT according to the FCC Steve, don't believe me ask them.

> > >Yes there is. And it's already in progress.
> >
> > GO FOR IT!!! your just validation my opinion that 1. I'm better
then
> > you cause I don't go crying to the government to settle a personal
> > vendetta and get my nuts off doing it.
>
>
BBBWWWWHHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> So...CONGRESSMAN Shimkus and the "House Subcommitte on
> Telecommunications" is NOT "the government"...!?!?!?!
>
> Isn't that the same body you've asked to resolve your dilemma for
> you...?!?!
>
>
BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! !
>
> 2. that your ham radio's number
> > one #####! enjoy your poor pathetic ego trip and hey don't shot
your
> > wads too hard
>
> Oh Noooooooooooooooo Todd...I can't be any higher than tenth
> place. In no certain order there's you, Brian Burke N0IMD, Lennie
> nderson, "Fritz Wuehler", "Mel A. Nomah", Any one of the numerous
> manifestation of Lloyd and Roger (one pont each), K1MAN, and the
> Drafted Gay Pagan Chemical Corps Officer Formerly Known As Markie.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

316. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 23:13:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115248430.892227.31470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107548

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K□B" on Tues,May 3 2005 5:59 pm
>
> >"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >
> >> The ARRL is about HF radio using Morse Code.
> >
> >Bullshit.
>
> In the face of REALITY of years of published fact
> that Brian is right, I "hurl your opinion aside
> with great force!"
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Yup, everyone just got through saying that there's a problem attracting Technicians to the organization. No one seems to be able to put their finger on exactly why, only because they reject the -correct- answer (reminds me of the OJ case). And they still wring their hands and bite their knuckles and ask, "Why?"

OK, I'll rephrase the answer. The ARRL may not be >>>just<<< about HF radio using Morse Code, but the Technicians think it is. Hi! Sheds a whole new light on the subject. How about that for fair play?

317. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 23:24:23 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <9LednWNzr_t36uTfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107614

Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
> In article <d5asn0$q2$0@pita.alt.net>
> "anon" <a...@cheesengashams.com> wrote:
>
>>Note how Roger remains curio(whines and backpedaling excuses
>
> why you can't successfully look it up!)
>
> Not how you don't address the fact you are too fucking stupid to
> look up his name on the Universal Licensing System website.
>
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/
>
> You LOSE as usual!
>

HAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!! It's a restricted radiotelephone operator permit.
Not even a technical license like a First or Second Class Phone, just a
restricted operator permit. Doesn't even require a test, just complete
an FCC form. My pilot's license grants me the same priveledges.
Poor two-test, the best he can do is brag about holding an FCC
commercial RR operator's permit (not license) that doesn't even require
a simple test. Oh, part of the qualifications for holding such a license
does require one to be able to speak and hear, wonder how wogie fooled
them on that part? He can babble on and on, put speaking coherently is
another matter.
Wah-who, a restricted radiotelephone operator permit, hot-dog!!!!! Way
to go wogie, you really accomplished something there, yes sir!!!
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

318. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 23:29:07 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <eqqdnWCdYeJCx-TfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 107549

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1ZSde.5214$HL2.4303@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>>
>> In any activity it would be normal for the "beginners" group to be the
>> largest segment. There are always a
>> lot of people who start activities but then drop out
>> for a wide variety of reasons.
>>
>
> Astute observation. It would be nice to find a way reduce that "first
> termer" attrition by promoting an atmosphere which reinforces the reasons
> they took the time and trouble to come aboard. To steal an idea from
> marketing, "It's usually a lot easier and cheaper to keep an old customer
> than to identify and recruit a new one."
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

There will always be some we won't be able to keep no matter how hard we try. There will always be some who were just trying it out to see if they would like it but then found that they preferred other activities more. And that's ok. At least they have broadened there knowledge of the world a bit even if they don't stay with it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

319. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 23:30:40 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <7eWdnRVt6smlxuTfRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 107600

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:t1Tde.6305$BE3.501@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:X4-dnanIs9hRaerfRVn-ug@comcast.com...
>
>>
>> Overall I like the ideas. So who is going to take on the job of
>> spearheading this.
>
> As many of us as possible!
>
> But it might not be as big a battle as you'd guess. I posted this same
> idea on QRZ.COM this morning and within the hour received a phone call
> from W5JBP. We spent a full half-hour exploring the PBI.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Didn't say it would be a battle. However, even multititudes participate in trying to get this implement, you still need a leader to keep the herd going.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

320. K4YZ Has Rules!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 23:36:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115249793.866260.75640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:

> > Insufficient. Post authentic documentation. Got papers?
>
> And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far more
> information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for
ALL
> of the questions before you!

But Steve, wasn't it you who demanded documentation for everyone else's
activities?

Ayup!

And if the documentation didn't flow it meant that the event didn't
happen and the person was lying?

Yep, that was you. Your words, your rules, your logic. That is the
basis that you use for calling other people liars. It's too bad that
your heavy handed tactics have to be used on you, that's the way it
works. You are not exempt from your own rules, though you are
desperately trying to avoid them.

So again I ask you to post documentation of your MARS claims. An
authentic letter of appointment to your alledged claim of "ANCOIC
Okinawa" would be satisfactory. Got Papers? Sucks to be Robeson.

321. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 23:36:59 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2aqdnYwC28wsweTfRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 107602

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:kZudneOCE4lVmeXfRVn-jw@adelphia.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:XkSde.5198$HL2.2486@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>news:1115155763.520880.257510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>K&#65533;HB wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade
>>>>>>has been overwhelmingly via the Technician license.
>>>
>>>>.... since about 1987 or so, most new hams
>>>>have started out as Technicians.
>>>
>>>Jim, we can nit-pick the semantics if you really think that's productive,
>>>but the two statements above both seem to convey the same notion, which
>>>we might more clearly state "Most new hams since 1991 have entered via
>>>the Technician class which is now the largest single license class in the
>>>US, comprising almost half (47.7%) of the population of licensees in this
>>>country, nearly equal to the combined total population of the three
>>>higher classes.".
>>>
>>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>>
>>
>> In any activity it would be normal for the "beginners" group to be the
>> largest segment. There are always a lot of people who start activities
>> but then drop out for a wide variety of reasons.
>
> Every person that drops out is one small failure on our part.
>

I disagree. Everyone who has been convinced to give it a try is a success
on our part. Not everyone who tries it will find it to be interesting to
them in the long run. However, they have enriched their lives and broadened
their horizons by even an introductory participation.

> We need to cultivate these new folk into the ARS. They are a resource that
> is being largely ignored by the "better Hams".
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

We do need to spend more time in working with people to determine if they have a long term interest and help them develop that. Just because some drop out doesn't mean that the ARS has failed. It is perfectly legitimate for a person to start an activity and once they have learned something about it to make the judgement that their interets do no lie in that particular hobby. That's not an ARS failure.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

322. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 23:40:42 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XJydnWz0R74OwOTfRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 107603

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:IvCdncvQKNp3t-XfRVn-2g@gbronline.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>>
>> From the different organizations I've been involved with, I think the
>> larger beginner "drop-out" is just a truth of human nature. I've seen it
>> in scouting, college, classic car clubs, etc. Exactly why newcomers move
>> on to other pursuits is one of life's mysteries in most cases.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>>
>>
> With many people as soon as the new wears off and their initial curiosity
> is satisfied, they move on to something new. Call it a short attention
> span I guess.

I disagree and do not believe that it is a short attention span. Life is
rich with possible activities and interests. To sort among them and
determine what you like for the long haul is often best done by sampling the
activity. This means starting and then "dropping out" of some things.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

323. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 23:42:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Z_OdnSSNXdqAw-TfRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 107604

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:IvCdncrQKNpKtuXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com...
> bb wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> You're a bit early. The Novice was the entry level license at that
>> time. Technician was the consolation prize for passing the General
>> exam, but failing the 13wpm exam.
>
> Which still granted one the Novice privilege. Someone earlier posted that
> when the Novice upgraded he lost Novice priviledges. Not so.

Actually there was a time decades ago that it was so. There was a time that people had to hold a separate Novice license and a separate Technician license to participate in the privileges of both license levels. It was before my (ham) time but I've read about it in some book or another on the history of ham radio.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

324. Re: Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-04 23:55:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115250934.472666.107060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Why? I am not about to change my name to "Leonard H.
> > > Anderson".
> > > >
> > > > But that's what I see you doing most, calling people "Lying
> Putz!"
> > >
> > > Actually, I pretty much leave that to Lennie, although
you've
> > > earned it yourself on a couple of occassions.
> >
> > If only that were true, but it isn't. You are the one using the
> > phrase, not Len.
>
> I didn't say Lennie was the one using it.
>
> I said I leave that phrase to Lennie.

You leave that phrase to Len???

You >apply< that phrase to everyone wo you disagree with.

YOU said I call "most
> people" "lying putz".
>
> That's not true.

Most of your communications are with people that you disagree with, and
you've called most of them "putz."

> > > > > On the other hand, we have you and Lennie and Y E A R S
> of
> > > > > stories not only laid bare, but aggressively defended as
> "right"
> > > even
> > > > > when PROVEN wrong. THAT is a lie.
> > > >
> > > > I see it differently.
> > >
> > > I am sure you do...I am sure you'd like to see ANYTHING that

> > > diverts attention away from your numerous errors in this forum
over
> > the
> > > YEARS, Brain.
> >
> > You still building Dodges?
>
> Nope...But you've been driving one now for as long as I've known
> you.

Got Papers?

> > > I made a mistake on "Q" signals in "military"
communications.
> > > You've latched on to it although I have already admitted my
error.
> >
> > And in your simple, itsy bitsy little "error" you gave yourself
> > permission to call someone a liar, a penis, a miscreant, and worse.
> >
> > Where is the apology for that?
>
> Apology for what? I said I was wrong for the "Q" signal error.
>
> I was.
>
> And I am RIGHT that Lennie is a liar, a miscreat, and a Putz.

Your accusation is based upon your "mistake."

(I
> didn't call him a penis...You should get your attributes right,
Brain.

You can begin telling the truth about the terms you use at any time.

Penis is the translation of "putz."

Testicles is the translation of "cajones."

Homosexual is the translation for two guys sleeping together as "bunk
buddies."

Homosexual is the translation for two men marrying.

> > > The problem is you are ...
> >
> > The problem is that you continue to see everyone else as the
problem.
> >
> > Nurse, heal thyself.
>
> I'm not ill. Heal myself of...?!?!

Yourself.

> > > > > And so far, you've not proven that intent.
> > > >
> > > > You claimed to have held a MARS position of authority on
Okinawa,
> > but
> > > > you demonstrate a huge lack of knowledge of MARS
communications.
> > > >
> > > > So what am I to believe?
> > > >
> > > > Papers. Post your papers. Don't have 'em? Didn't happen.
Your
> > > > words, your rules, your logic.
> > > >
> > > > Are you ready to abandon your core values because they are
> > > inconvenient
> > > > for you?
> > >
> > > No "core values" abandoned.
> >
> > I could believe "no core values" period.
>
> Then you and I agree...You have no core values.

You and I don't agree.

> > > I've asked you for details of assertions you've made for
> > > years...ie: just some name of the alleged OIC who gave you
> > "permission"
> > > > to operate in Somalia, or some reference that validated your
> > > > "unlicensed devices" claim.
> > >
> > > > You've never offered an iota of verification of ANY form.
> >
> > And I won't. You ###holes treated me like the Antichrist in a
> Spanish
> > Inquisition.
>
> Because YOU made all these claims that you could not/would not
> substantiate. You make excuses as to why you won't, Brain, but the
> ANSWER is that you CAN'T!

How is that different from the calims that you cannot and will not
sustantiate? Where is your letter of appointment to "ANCOIC Okinawa
MARS?"

> Stupid claims with no reasonable expectation of corroborating
> evidence, documentation or rationale.

"ANCOIC Okinawa MARS" is a stupid claim. Now cough up that letter of
appointment.

> > > And I've never said "Let me see your papers"...That's
Lennie's
> > > schtick...
> >
> > You've asked for documentation, and if documentation is not
> > forthcomeing, then the event never happened, and the person is
> forever
> > held up as a liar, an miscreant, and a homosexual.
>
> I've asked you for proof. Proof doesn't have to be in the form
of
> a document, and you've been TOLD that before.

Prove your MARS service with a letter of appointment. Where is it?

> > So I ask again, Where are your MARS documents? Post them!
>
> And I told you they're not forthcoming.

Your words, your rules, your logic says that you are a liar.

> > > My error via "Q" signals does not negate teh rest of my
> > > expereicnes in MARS, Brain.
> >
> > I say it does. Prove me wrong. Post your papers.
>
> And I told you they're not forthcoming.

Your words, your rules, your logic says that you are a liar.

> > > You have the information.
> >
> > I see no papers.
>
> And I told you they're not forthcoming.

Your words, your rules, your logic says that you are a liar.

> > > Either act on it or admit you're a coward.
> >
> > No Documentation = Didn't Happen = LIAR
>
> And I told you they're not forthcoming.

Your words, your rules, your logic says that you are a liar.

> > Is Steve Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS a liar?
>
> Nope.
>
> > According to his own words, his own rules, and his own logic, the
> > answer is an emphatic yes.
>
> So far, the score is Steve, 1, Brian 0.

More lies.

> I'll tell you what, Brain...You going to Dayton? I have my
> reservations made...How about if I just give them to you in
> person...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Why would I want your reservations?

I've merely asked you to post your MARS documentation. Just as you've asked others to post documentation and subsequently called them liars, miscreants, and homosexuals for not providing you what you've demanded.

Why do you have such a problem coming up with the documentation? Could it be that your claimed MARS service never happened? That would certainly explain why you have no documentation.

325. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 00:02:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115251336.298899.172910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107606

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1114949019.153763.26770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> > Society can set it's own standards, however.
>
> I wonder when you changed your mind about "freedom of expression",
Steve. Not
> too far back down the Google trail you were real high on the concept.
>
> Witness the following gem!
>
> >Easy, he just wait's until she crosses back out, then while clearly
on the
> >farmer's property, he exercises HIS second amendment rights and
defends his
> >property by force of arms. Of course, he doesn't need to kill her,
but after
> >he unloads several rounds of "Double Ought" into that Mercedes SUV,
she will
> >think twice about defiling another's property for her own benefit
again.
> >
> >Simple freedom of expression.
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> And you were giving "Mel" the song and dance about you don't believe
in
> violence!
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> de Hans, K0HB

Hans, you must be terribly, terribly wrong.

Steven J Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP doesn't introduce violence into threads.
Hi!

326. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 00:10:05 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <S_OdnR9RGfC_GeTfRVn-uQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107616

N9OGL wrote:

>
> I'm not, I'm running an Information Bulletin, big difference...a
> broadcast is attended for reception of the general public while a
> information bulletin is a program directed to amateur radio.

Directing your opinions to amateur radio does not make it a bulletin.

327. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 00:10:56 UTC
From: anon <none@hotopsclubpres.net>
Message-ID: <d5bo7grum0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107607

"Tarapia Tapioco" <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it> wrote in message
news:cd795d0bc214d2a65f00fdcc273bde74@firenze.linux.it...
> In article <d5asn0$q2$0@pita.alt.net>
> "anon" <a...@cheesengashams.com> wrote:
>>
>> Note how Roger remains curio(whines and backpedaling excuses
> why you can't successfully look it up!)
>
> Not how you don't address the fact you are too fucking stupid to
> look up his name on the Universal Licensing System website.
>
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/
>
> You LOSE as usual!
>

Excuse #6, AS PREDICTED

328. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 00:12:26 UTC
From: anon <none@hotopsclubpres.net>
Message-ID: <d5boa5$s2a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107608

"A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
news:985c2b5a400fd5b6a62ad031f3ea43a5@melontraffickers.com...
> In article <d596f6bhh0@pita.alt.net>
> "anon" <a...@abnospamanoncd.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > But Roger did pass a commercial license exam in front of an
> FCC
>> > examiner in 1976, Gopher face. You lose again.
>> > And he's already proven he could pass the amateur tests,
>> > regardless, unlike you, who has to settle for an obsolete
>> > license.
>> >
>>
>>
>> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
>>
>> A search of the above FCC data base yields negative results
> (Lardass' incompetence)
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHA..Lardass can't even fucking look up a goddamned
> license!
>
> Do a name search at the ULS site , Dumbass Davies!!!!! Or can't
> you even handle that simple task?
>
> http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/
>

Excuse # 3, 5 & 6, AS PREDICTED

329. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 00:22:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115252530.891384.84080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107609

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Mon,May 2 2005 3:20 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> > K□B wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >> If Brian or Lennie had such an error pointed out, there'd be
a
> six
> >> month long rant on how licensing in Somalia
> >
> >You lying sack of excrement. You, David Heil, Brian Kelly and The
> >Other Steve put out an APB and searched the web worldwide for one of
> my
> >cards.
>
> True enough.

It is an eternal truth. The Jedi Knights have been studying for an
eternity that Steven J. Robeson is a lying sack of excrement.

> >Since no one came forward with one, You declared that my operation
> >never happened and that I was a liar.
> >
> >You continue to say that to this day.
>
> Stebie KNOWS things, Brian. He has the "facts"
> locked up in his head (besides in his wallet).
>
> Remember the Double Standard in here:
>
> 1. Everyone "owes" Stebie.
>
> 2. Stebie don't owe NUTHIN' to NOBODY!
>
> Simple as that, shitty though that be.

Don't forget that he has "The Power" to put people away with a single
phone call (dialing...).

> >Now I want you to produce authentic evidence of your MARS activity
on
> >Okinawa, and of your claimed seven hostile actions.
>

> Tsk. He will NOT.
>
> Stebie wants everyone to "verify" his "Okinawa
> MARS" thing with the "CG of 1st MAF, 1981."
> Tsk. It is unlikely that the First Marine Air
> Wing will still BE on Okinawa 24 years later,
> let alone have the "same" Commanding General!"
>
> Humphhh...on "seven hostile actions," I can't
> see AIRCRAFT GROUND CREW AND SUPPORT being
> anything other than REAR-AREA operations!
> Wow! Some "hostile actions" with implied
> combat and hand-to-hand fighting over ground
> at the airfield! Stebie need a whole new
> box to hold all his "medals" for "combat."
>
> All we can expect is weeks of waffling and
> misdirection by him to AVOID revelation of
> truth.

He has more Dodges than the Chrysler Corporation.

> This is all a bad re-make of "X Files"
> fantasy on TV! ["The Truth ISN'T Out There"]

They had to find some dummy to hold up a flight suit. Prolly needed
alterations.

> >> I just acknowledged the error when it was shown and moved on.
> >
> >Again you're a lying sack of excrement. You complained that Hans
had
> >to dig 3 years deep to find your single "mistake."
>
> Standard Local Operating Procedure in Stebieland.
>
> The acronym works out to be SLOP. :-)

He sorry sack, not sad sack.

> >You acknowledged your itsy bitsy mistake and TRIED to move on as
> >quickly as you could, brushing aside all the mayhem that you caused
> >along the way.
>
> Stebie NEBBER do wrong! Everybody else do wrong!

He needs to get over himself.

> >You make no apology for all of the accusations that your itsy bitsy
> >little mistake enabled you to make, and still make to this day.
>
> Again, a given. Trouble is, Stebie just won't
> admit he "exaggerated" a "little" on his claims.

> In his view of things (apparent, judging from
> thousands of postings) is that he ALWAYS TELLS
> THE TRUTH...and anyone disagreeing with Stebie's
> statements is a "LIAR."

It is an eternal truth. The Jedi Knights have been studying for an
eternity that Steven J. Robeson is a lying sack of excrement.

> Circular logic. Wonderful stuff and universally
> used by psycho sociopaths.

In the local freeway shooter trial, the prosecution said that a
paranoid schizophrenic is not necessarily insane.

No one can effectively
> "discuss" anything with one of those...the world
> evolves around the sociopath and the world is (to
> them) "always wrong."
>
> "Temper Fry" I say to them. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Megalomania.

330. Re: Steve's Really Losing It Now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 00:25:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115252750.542899.316140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107610

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Steve said that he wanted to sit down and teach me a few things. I
> > asked if he was applying to be my new mentor. He looked so
> ridiculous.
>
> Only in that anyone might expect to be able to teach you
ANYthing.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Huh?

331. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 00:30:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115253033.113313.123910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107611

Steve,

SO, I'm a memeber of a number of thing, I've been a member of army MARS
SINCE 1993.

TODD N9OGL

332. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 00:41:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <qb-dneZLipAr9uTfRVn-vQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107612

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
>
>>I'll stick with my proposal vs. Hans' proposal.
>
>
> Is there a copy of your proposal that I can point my browser at? I'd be
> interested in your view.

He kind of gave it, Hans. Hire a marketing firm.

My concern is that Marketing firms tend to work to the lowest common denominater. Not what we need.

Marketing is what gave us Ketchup that you can't get out of a bottle (Thicker!.... Richer!) and milkshakes that you have to eat with jackhammer....

- Mike KB3EIA -

333. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 01:47:45 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <k6mdnU4RSpK3A-TfRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107624

N9OGL wrote:
>>Directing your opinions to amateur radio does not make it a bulletin.
>
>
> According to the rules an Information bulletin is "A message directed
> only to amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct
> interest to the amateur service." and according to the FCC website
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html "The
> control operator of the station transmitting an information bulletin is
> responsible for determining that the subject matter is of direct
> interest to the amateur service. " and lastly "There are no specific
> time limits placed upon information bulletins by Commission rules."
> (letter to K1MAN from the FCC 2004)
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

That's just it toddieboy, your opinions are of no interest to the
amateur community.
So, are you going to be at your station 24/7 as the control operator as
you broadcast your non-bulletins?

334. To K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 01:52:00 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TOGdncjNj8vI4eTfRVn-3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 107615

Did I just here you work Crete on 40 meters?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

335. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 01:53:02 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <dpOdnVdSLrsSAuTfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107625

Anonymous AKA two-test wogie wrote:
> In article <d5boa5$s2a$0@pita.alt.net>
> "anon" <n...@hotopsclubpres.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>"A.Melon" <j...@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
>>news:985c2b5a400fd5b6a62ad031f3ea43a5@melontraffickers.com...
>>
>>>In article <d596f6$bh...@pita.alt.net>
>>>"anon" <a...@abnospamanoncd.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>But Roger did pass a commercial license exam in front of
>
> an
>
>>>FCC
>>>
>>>>>>examiner in 1976, Gopher face. You lose again.

BZZZZT!! Wrong!!! There is no exam for a restricted radiotelephone operator permit. It isn't even a license, just a permit. Fill out the FCC form, send it in, that's all there is to it. Wogie could't pass an exam in front of an FCC examiner if he tried, especially after Riley and the FCC spanked him real good for violating FCC regulations. Poor two-test, having delusions again.

336. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 02:39:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uHfee.5922$HL2.5039@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107617

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> There will always be some we won't be able to keep no
> matter how hard we try.

I can't argue with that. (And by extension, the less hard we try, the less we'll be able to keep.)

But my PBI has little (if anything) to do with "keeping" them in the hobby.

It has to do with ARRL membership growing more representative of the whole population of amateurs. (See the "Subject" line)

73, de Hans, K0HB

337. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 02:42:19 UTC
From: "Robert L. Giggles (Mrs.)" <constance4@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <260c6$4279880c$d1cc58b5$25874@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 107618

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:0OLde.5010$GQ5.2830@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade has been
overwhelmingly
> via the Technician license. This segment of the Amateur population does
not seem
> highly attracted to ARRL membership, nor affiliate with the "national
> association" nature of ARRL.

That's because most new hams can recognize a garden
variety classic New England "mutual admiration society"
of wannabe blue-bloods who's only reason for existence
is to maintain their monuments to the past which nobody
cares about anymore and to keep a magazine going
which is composed of 80% advertising.

Face it boys. the ARRL blew it BIG TIME when they had
the chance to eliminate the dammed Code for HF way
back in the early 1980's with the "Plain Language" rewrite
of the amateur rules. Today most of the ham fossils who
opposed this are either in their graves or waiting to expire
and we're stuck with an obsolete hobby as a result.

Thanks boys! (posthumously)

338. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 02:58:12 UTC
From: Buzzard <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050504225812.679$SF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 107619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> > From: deeflint01@comcast.net (Dee=A0Flint)
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:iACde.6465$nA3.5309589@news.sisna.com...
> > >Last time I looked, the FCC regulations don't
> >
> > >allow CB antennas to exceed 20 feet in height.
> >
> > Try 60 feet in height or 20 feet above the roof.
> >
> I did, and it works just fine. :)

339. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 03:00:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bvWdnZQBP9P0EeTfRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 107620

"Robert L. Giggles (Mrs.)" <constance4@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:260c6$4279880c$d1cc58b5$25874@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:0OLde.5010$GQ5.2830@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade has been
> overwhelmingly
>> via the Technician license. This segment of the Amateur population does
> not seem
>> highly attracted to ARRL membership, nor affiliate with the "national
>> association" nature of ARRL.
>
> That's because most new hams can recognize a garden
> variety classic New England "mutual admiration society"
> of wannabe blue-bloods who's only reason for existence
> is to maintain their monuments to the past which nobody
> cares about anymore and to keep a magazine going
> which is composed of 80% advertising.
>

The ARRL has fought and continues to fight to protect our spectrum, hardly the action of a "mutual admiration society". They might be able to do a better job if some of you non-members would get off YOUR high horses, join the group, and start working towards what you would like to see them doing. So long as you stay on the outside, they have no reason to represent you or your views.

You obviously have not investigated their various classes for all levels of interest in areas of ham radio activity such as the many emergency courses, etc. It is all too apparent that you speak from preconceived notions and haven't bothered to get involved. You simply sit there on the outside and whine and complain.

You want it to be different? Get in there and do the work to change it. Oh, that's too hard you say. Then you are just a parasite waiting for some one else to do the work so you can benefit without having contributed.

> Face it boys. the ARRL blew it BIG TIME when they had
> the chance to eliminate the dammed Code for HF way
> back in the early 1980's with the "Plain Language" rewrite
> of the amateur rules. Today most of the ham fossils who
> opposed this are either in their graves or waiting to expire
> and we're stuck with an obsolete hobby as a result.
>

Do a better job of reading up on history. The ARRL had NO chance of eliminating the code in the 1980s as the US was signatory to an international treaty that mandated code for HF privileges.

>
> Thanks boys! (posthumously)
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

340. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 03:06:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <H4gee.5867$GQ5.2115@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107621

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

> The ARRL may not be >>>just<<< about HF
> radio using Morse Code, but the Technicians think it is.

I think that's probably a reasonably accurate statement.

And it is what my PBI is intended to address --- putting ARRL back into "mainstreet amateur radio" where the Technicians live, and connecting with them in the activities that are relevant to them.

73, de Hans, K0HB

341. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 03:25:29 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <9M7ANVZ938476.9760300926@anonymous>
Source record: 107622

In article <d5boa5$s2a$0@pita.alt.net>
"anon" <n...@hotopsclubpres.net> wrote:
>
>
> "A.Melon" <j...@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
> news:985c2b5a400fd5b6a62ad031f3ea43a5@melontraffickers.com...
> > In article <d596f6$bh...@pita.alt.net>
> > "anon" <a...@abnospamanoncd.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > But Roger did pass a commercial license exam in front of
an
> > FCC
> >> > examiner in 1976, Gopher face. You lose again.
> >> > And he's already proven he could pass the amateur tests,
> >> > regardless, unlike you, who has to settle for an obsolete
> >> > license.
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
> >>
> >> A search of the above FCC data base yields negative results
> > (Lardass' incompetence)
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHA..Lardass can't even fucking look up a
goddamned
> > license!
> >
> > Do a name search at the ULS site , Dumbass Davies!!!!! Or
can't
> > you even handle that simple task?
> >
> > http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/
> >
>
>
>
> (whiny Excuse..as predicted)

And you still couldn't look it up, BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

342. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 03:36:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115264215.353018.184490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107623

>Directing your opinions to amateur radio does not make it a bulletin.

According to the rules an Information bulletin is "A message directed only to amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service." and according to the FCC website http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html "The control operator of the station transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur service. " and lastly "There are no specific time limits placed upon information bulletins by Commission rules." (letter to K1MAN from the FCC 2004)

Todd N9OGL

343. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 05:37:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115271456.490886.228370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107626

NO your missing the point it is up to the station transmitting it to determine if it is of interest to the amateur radio community, not the morons listening,

Todd N9OGL

344. Re: To K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 06:21:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115274101.152765.93370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107627

Dee Flint wrote:
> Did I just here you work Crete on 40 meters?

I sure wish it was! I need it!

But if you did, it was someone doing me a favor 'cuz I did a
double shift and haven't been near the radios!

73

Steve, K4YZ

345. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 06:25:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115274359.130695.4110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107628

N9OGL wrote:

> > Oh? Then why are you broadcasting on 20 meters?
>
> I'm not, I'm running an Information Bulletin, big difference...a
> broadcast is attended for reception of the general public while a
> information bulletin is a program directed to amateur radio.

No you're not. It's a "show". That's how you promote it.

You can TRY and make people believe that it's "just a bulletin",
but your threat to go "24/7" and your "itinerary" that does nothing but
parrot the ARRL website says otherwise.

Nice try, Slick.

Steve, K4YZ

346. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 06:33:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115274795.548214.275590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107629

N9OGL wrote:
> >Directing your opinions to amateur radio does not make it a
bulletin.
>
> According to the rules an Information bulletin is "A message directed
> only to amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter of
direct
> interest to the amateur service." ...(SNIP)

"...of direct interest to the amateur service."

You have torqued off everyone on this list except Brian and
Lennie, and their diminished character has been known for years.

And this is just OFF the air...

No one, here or on the air, wants to hear the ranting of a
functionally illiterate loudmouth who doesn't think twice about
violating the law when it suits him.

Just how many "fans" do you think you'll create by mimicking
ARRL's "bulletins" on the most crowded Amateur allocation
worldwide...???

You're the epitome of selfish, Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

347. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 06:37:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115275055.709803.298840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107630

N9OGL wrote:
> NO your missing the point it is up to the station transmitting it to
> determine if it is of interest to the amateur radio community, not
the
> morons listening

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHYAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

"...not the morons listening..."

BBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

YOU OUT-FREAKIN' DID YOURSELF THIS TIME! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

BWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

348. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 06:56:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115276160.700707.276450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107631

KØHB wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> > The ARRL may not be >>>just<<< about HF
> > radio using Morse Code, but the Technicians think it is.
>
> I think that's probably a reasonably accurate statement.

But why should they?

Almost every aspect of ARRL policy and practice is focused on
getting MORE people into Amateur Radio and USING those people in their
programs, ie ARES.

There's not one sentence, nay one letter in any ARRL policy or
program that "disses" anyone because of their level of licensure.

> And it is what my PBI is intended to address --- putting ARRL back
into
> "mainstreet amateur radio" where the Technicians live, and connecting
with them
> in the activities that are relevant to them.

ARES isn't? Special Services Clubs?

They've accomodated NCT's at almost every turn...Even most of the
League's long time awards have been adapated or modified in some form
to accomodate them.

I just don't see it. I didn't see it when I was a Novice. Nor
when I was a Tech, General, Advanced or Extra.

The ARRL is a NATIONAL organization. I think they do the best
that they can to help promote Amateur Radio, and if they were to do
anything more for the grass roots level, it would be with getting more
air-time/print in national media.

Several years ago we all got into a turn over how it seems like
there are certain mindsets drawn to Amateur Radio...EMS-types,
experimenters, "gadget freaks" and competitors.

Instead of trying to "recruit" persons from other (most likely
disinterested) demographics, why not just capitalize on the ones that
seem to have a large drawing? EMS, Aviation, "orienteering" and
outdoors types, engineering, etc.

Steve, K4YZ

349. A Final Word With Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 07:25:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115277941.743920.224980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107633

Brain,

I can see that this is going to go tit-for-tat ad nauseum, so I'll just add my last word on this and be done with you for now.

You have the information you asked for. After you finished choking on the fact that I gave you the information, you then demanded "documentation", alledging that I've demanded the same from you. Obviously a tactic to just drag this out.

I've not...I've asked for any PROOF that any of your claims or assertions were valid. ANY kind of verifyable reference...

Not a word...

In the case of your illegal bootlegging from Somalia, I asked you to just provide the name of the officer who allegedly gave you permission to operate there...Nothing.

You've been asked to validate your assertions about "unlicensed devices" having a "major roll in emergency communications", but you've balked at that too...Not a URL...Not an article...not one thing.

Your most recent rant about ARES being overblown and unlikely to respond to any significant emergency has been proven wrong over and over for the last several weeks with one news release after another...

And that "...they've chased all the Techs away..." silliness...?!?! Who chased who away? In the last 10 days of casual operation on 2 meter SSB alone I've worked 11 stations. Six of them were Techs. I didn't go in to asking them if they'd passed the code..I didn't even ASK them what class of license they had...Just got it from QRZ.

So...here we are...You've got my former USMC command, the dates, the places, former MARS calls, etc...All data you can verify with independent resources.

And from you..we have...

Nothing.

Spin your way out if that, if you'd like, but you're boring me, Mr. Burke. Go play with Todd and Lennie. That have nothing to offer too.....

Steve, K4YZ

350. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 07:26:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115278011.157731.267720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107632

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve,
>
> SO, I'm a memeber of a number of thing, I've been a member of army
MARS
> SINCE 1993.

What's your Army MARS callsign Todd...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

351. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 07:58:59 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115279939.768939.133820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107634

>Stebie said
>What's your Army MARS callsign Todd...?!?!

AAR5TB

Todd N9OGL

352. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 11:02:16 UTC
From: anon <none@cbferalltenfonet.com>
Message-ID: <d5cucifqj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107635

```
"Anonymous" <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net> wrote in message
news:9M7ANVZ938476.9760300926@anonymous...
> In article <d5boa5$s2a$0@pita.alt.net>
> "anon" <n...@hotopsclubpres.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>> "A.Melon" <j...@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
>> news:985c2b5a400fd5b6a62ad031f3ea43a5@melontraffickers.com...
>> > In article <d596f6$bh...@pita.alt.net>
>> > "anon" <a...@abnospamanoncd.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > But Roger did pass a commercial license exam in front of
> an
>> > FCC
>> >> > examiner in 1976, Gopher face. You lose again.
>> >> > And he's already proven he could pass the amateur tests,
>> >> > regardless, unlike you, who has to settle for an obsolete
>> >> > license.
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
>> >>
>> >> A search of the above FCC data base yields negative results
>> > (Lardass' incompetence)
>> >
>> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHA..Lardass can't even fucking look up a
> goddamned
>> > license!
>> >
>> > Do a name search at the ULS site , Dumbass Davies!!!!! Or
> can't
>> > you even handle that simple task?
>> >
>> > http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>> (whiny Excuse..as predicted)
>
> And you still couldn't look it up, BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>
```

Oh, but that was never the point Wogie. You showed us all
once again, what a well trained puppy dog you are Roger.

Fetch Roger, Sit Roger, Down Roger, Good Boy Roger.

353. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 12:32:22 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <JZydnV5jTe3ts-ffRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107644

N9OGL wrote:
> NO your missing the point it is up to the station transmitting it to
> determine if it is of interest to the amateur radio community, not the
> morons listening,
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Hard to believe you can really be so stupid toddieboy.

354. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 12:34:42 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <JZydnVljTe2esuffRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107646

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>>Directing your opinions to amateur radio does not make it a
>
> bulletin.
>
>>According to the rules an Information bulletin is "A message directed
>>only to amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter of
>
> direct
>
>>interest to the amateur service." ...(SNIP)
>
>
> "...of direct interest to the amateur service."
>
> You have torqued off everyone on this list except Brian and
> Lennie, and their diminished character has been known for years.
>
> And this is just OFF the air...
>
> No one, here or on the air, wants to hear the ranting of a
> functionally illiterate loudmouth who doesn't think twice about
> violating the law when it suits him.
>
> Just how many "fans" do you think you'll create by mimicking
> ARRL's "bulletins" on the most crowded Amateur allocation
> worldwide...???
>
> You're the epitome of selfish, Todd.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

But but Steve, toddieboy says it isn't up to you or anyone else to
determine if his trash is worth listening to, he determins that.

355. Re: Commander Butt Cornholer did you upgrade your little Advanced license yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 12:40:49 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <c_GdnfuA8o01reffRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107647

George Orwell AKA two-test wogie wrote:
> Of course, NOT! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
>

I am waiting for when the FCC eleminates the tests to upgrade, wogie.
Then I can just send in an FCC form for the Extra, like you did for your
restricted radiotelephone operator permit.
Who-ha, wogie worked real hard and got an FCC Restricted Radiotelephone
Operator Permit (no license), took him a week to figure out how to fill
out that FCC form. Had to get the six year old next door to help him
fill it out. Then Riley and the FCC make wogie retake his amateur exam
because wogie violated the FCC rules. So wogie runs down to the license
give-away VE session where the answers to the tests are given and gets
his Extra-lite. Yessir, real accomplishment there wogie.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

356. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 12:42:59 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <c_GdnfqA8o2lrOffRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107649

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> In article <dpOdnVdSLrsSAuTfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@this.ten> whined:
>
>
>>BZZZZT!! Wrong!!! There is no exam for a restricted
>
> radiotelephone
>
>>operator permit.
>
>
> Now. But Roger took and passed a 2nd Class Radiotelephone in
> 1976. In front of an FCC examiner. The guy with the horned
> rimmed glasses, crewcut, white shirt and tie and black pants.
> The one who if you didn't follow their instructions exactly
> would terminate your exam. The database clearly shows that the
> Restricted Radiotelephone Permit was granted in 1981. You should
> brush up on your reading skills.

So where is proof of this 2nd Class Radiotelephone license?
>
>
>>It isn't even a license (whines and excuses)
>
>
> Sort of like your obsolete Advanced.

There are more Advanced license holders then there are Extras, wogie
(who holds an Extra-lite).
>

357. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 13:02:38 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <v6CdnY9HyPDjq-ffRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107552

George Orwell wrote:
> In article <BoCdnVE2wNm97-TfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>> Tapioca remailer wrote:
>
>
>>>You say a lot of things, mainly excuses for why you are too
>>>stupid and lazy to get the Extra license.
>>>And you'd rather hold onto that obsolete license, always
>>>settling for second best, I suppose.
>>
>>You have a hard time with comprehension don't you two-test.
>
>
> You have a hard time with English, don't you, Gopher face?
>
> Well, you did drop out your freshman year of community college!
>
>
>>The Advance isn't obsolete,
>
>
> Looks like your English skills are, though!
>
>
>>(more excuses)
>
>
>>You only got an Extra-lite, you never would have been able to
>
> pass a
>
>>real test for Extra, only one where the answers were provided
>
> for you.
>
> No, I passed an Extra, something you can't do. At all!
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>
> You couldn't handle it, could you? From what I hear doing 13 wpm
> code really taxed that little squirrel cage in your malformed
> head.
>

>
>>At least my Advanced license is real, I didn't take the test
>
> at some
>
>>license VE give-away session where all the answers were
>
> provided
>
> You just bribed your VE, because you flunked your Advanced so
> many times. And you still couldn't pass the Extra, ever, could
> you? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

Yea, at a license give-away VE session where the answers to the test are available, no technical knowledge necessary. Kinda like that Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permit. So now wogie has an Extra-lite permit. Whoooooo-ha, way to go two-test.
Want to explain to us about negative SWR and RMS voltage two-test? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>>Try and prove it two-test.
>
>
> It would be on the FCC ULS website, Gopher face, if it existed.

OK, so where is your 2nd Class Phone two-test?

As far as my 1st Class Radiotelephone license, when it was issued in 1960, the holder of such license had to either use the license in his employment a certain amount of time or it could not be renewed. I had no need to use my license so it expired. That's why it isn't in the FCC database, dumb nuts.

That requirement was later changed for both the 1st and 2nd class licenses so they never expired.

So, where is your 2nd Class two-test? I don't see it on the FCC website.

>
> Now I can go on the Extra portions of the bands, much to your
> chagrin, Gopher face, since you couldn't pass the Extra test,
> even as it exists now. Ever.

Not being able to use the Extra class portion of the bands where you might be I look at as a blessing. Why would I want to go somewhere where two-test wogie trashes up everything? Why would I want to talk to someone who was requested by the FCC to retake his exam because he violated FCC regulations? Why would I want to communicate with someone with an Extra-lite permit? Why would I want to stoop that low?

>
> Poor Gopher face, has that obsolete license. Has to talk on 40
> meters along with the Shortwavce broadcast stations drowning his

> piss-willy signal out. Poor Gopher Face Butt Cornholer, he
> couldn't spell "loser", because he snorted so much cocaine and
> followed it up with a vodka chaser. Tell us Gopher face, why'd
> your wife walk out on you?

Sorry to dissappoint you two-test, my wife and I have been together for some 44 years now. On the other hand, you couldn't maintain a relationship with a woman for more than two weeks unless she was a blow up doll. Another man maybe.
Poor two-test wogie, had to retake the exam at the request of the FCC because wogie broke the rules. Now he brags about his Extra-lite permit.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

358. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 13:03:47 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <v6CdnY5HyPBRq-ffRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107551

N9OGL wrote:
>>That's just it toddieboy, your opinions are of no interest to the
>>amateur community.
>>So, are you going to be at your station 24/7 as the control operator
>
> as
>
>>you broadcast your non-bulletins?
>
>
> Sure am and I have a few other amatuer's who said they want in on it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Yea right. Get back to us sometime when you grow up and can tell the truth.

359. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 13:11:26 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <EoednWRrvOdMpeffRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107553

Anonymous AKA two-test wogie wrote:

<two-test wogie's incoherent blather deleted>

You know two-test, when Glendale, WV decided they need a village idiot
and they picked you, they scored big time!!!

360. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 13:20:00 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <c94e133152108612453efe0eb48f7ad9@userbeam.net>
Source record: 107636

In article
<1115253033.113313.123910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <todd...@consolidated.net> wrote:
>
> Steve,
>
> SO, I'm a memeber of a number of thing, I've been a member of
army MARS
> SINCE 1993.

And a member of the cum swallower's club from birth. Isn't that
right, you AIDS ridden FAGGOT???

361. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 13:30:12 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050505133012.59E1B170C5@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 107637

In article <dpOdnVdSLrsSAuTfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@this.ten> whined:

> BZZZZT!! Wrong!!! There is no exam for a restricted
radiotelephone
> operator permit.

Now. But Roger took and passed a 2nd Class Radiotelephone in
1976. In front of an FCC examiner. The guy with the horned
rimmed glasses, crewcut, white shirt and tie and black pants.
The one who if you didn't follow their instructions exactly
would terminate your exam. The database clearly shows that the
Restricted Radiotelephone Permit was granted in 1981. You should
brush up on your reading skills.

> It isn't even a license (whines and excuses)

Sort of like your obsolete Advanced.

362. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 13:33:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Qgpee.6152$HL2.1431@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107638

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115276160.700707.276450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

> Almost every aspect of ARRL policy and practice is focused on
> getting MORE people into Amateur Radio....

My PBI isn't concerned with "getting more people into Amateur Radio".

> Instead of trying to "recruit" persons from other (most likely
> disinterested) demographics, why not just capitalize on the
> ones that seem to have a large drawing?

My PBI has nothing to do with recruiting persons into Amateur Radio.

It deals with a program aimed at putting ARRL back on mainstreet where is was
when over 50% of "1 year Novices" were card carrying ARRL members.

de Hans, K0HB

363. Re: Wiseman's long haired photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 13:34:24 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <thats.woger.all.right@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 107639

On 4 May 2005 21:06:46 -0000, Italy Anonymous Remailer <Secwet Woger> wrote:
:
: The thing is, Roger's hair isn't long. Lardass Davies set up
: that yahoo profile and
: tried to pass it off as Roger's.

Oh yeah. Uh, huh. Uh, huh.

==========
"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't
mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id
<5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d00573c@mail.futureworlds.it>

364. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 13:55:33 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050505135533.1DDE4170CA@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 107640

In article <m76dnZ6x45JMmvHfRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> > In article <YpydnZFMkL3WnPbfRVn...@gbronline.com>
> > Cmd Secret Davey Nazi<m...@that.moc> wrote:
> >
> >
> > >>Hate to dissappoint you,(whines)
> >
> >
> > But you were always a disspointment, weren't you? Even to
your
> > parents.
> >
> > Who cares how long you have been a ham. Nobody.
> >
> >
> > >>Poor widdle two-t (poor lisping cocksucking fatass)
> >
> >
> > Poor little fatass Davey, he still can't prove the retest
letter
> > had a reason other than:
> >
> > "The FCC has the authority, pursuant to §97.519(d)(2) of the
> > rules to readminister any examination element previously
> > administered by a volunteer examiner."
> >
> > And still no other reason was given in Roger's retest letter!
> >
> > You should know, you or one of your cronies used an aonymous
> > remailer to post this:
> > Date: Thu, 8 Mar 2001 15:50:43 -0600
> > From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remai...@anon.xg.nu>
> > Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender
address
> > above.
> > It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer
software.
> > Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
> > remailer administrator at <a...@anon.xg.nu>.
> > Subject: Where'd you retest Wiseman?

> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
> > Message-ID: <692fe6db1f2598e67c54dd5d5cb3c...@anon.xg.nu>
> > Mail-To-News-Contact: a...@dizum.com
> > Organization: mail2n...@dizum.com
> > Lines: 19
> >
> > SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO
> >
> > Dear Mr. Wiseman:
> >
> > Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission’s
> > Rules, 47 C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the
> > authority to re-administer any examination element previously
> > administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE’s). The
Commission
> > may either administer the examination itself, or under the
> > supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
> > designated by the Commission.
> >
> > Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General
Class
> > Amateur Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the
> > supervision of an American Radio Relay League Volunteer
Examiner
> > Coordinator. This examination must be completed on or before
> > September 11, 2000. The required code speed that you must
> > demonstrate is 5 words per minute.
> >
> > ---
> > This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
> > It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
> > http://anon.xg.nu
> > ---
> >
>
> So, two-test

So, Butt Cornholer, how many times are you going to post the
same excuses as to why you can't pass the Extra exam?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

365. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 14:07:19 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <rMpee.4278$7F4.2453@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107641

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:bvWdnZQBP9P0EeTfRVn-gQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Robert L. Giggles (Mrs.)" <constance4@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:260c6$4279880c$d1cc58b5$25874@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>
>> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:0OLde.5010$GQ5.2830@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade has been
>> overwhelmingly
>>> via the Technician license. This segment of the Amateur population does
>> not seem
>>> highly attracted to ARRL membership, nor affiliate with the "national
>>> association" nature of ARRL.
>>
>> That's because most new hams can recognize a garden
>> variety classic New England "mutual admiration society"
>> of wannabe blue-bloods who's only reason for existence
>> is to maintain their monuments to the past which nobody
>> cares about anymore and to keep a magazine going
>> which is composed of 80% advertising.
>
> The ARRL has fought and continues to fight to protect our spectrum, hardly
> the action of a "mutual admiration society". They might be able to do a
> better job if some of you non-members would get off YOUR high horses, join
> the group, and start working towards what you would like to see them
> doing. So long as you stay on the outside, they have no reason to
> represent you or your views.
>
> You obviously have not investigated their various classes for all levels
> of interest in areas of ham radio activity such as the many emergency
> courses, etc. It is all too apparent that you speak from preconceived
> notions and haven't bothered to get involved. You simply sit there on the
> outside and whine and complain.
>
> You want it to be different? Get in there and do the work to change it.
> Oh, that's too hard you say. Then you are just a parasite waiting for
> some one else to do the work so you can benefit without having
> contributed.
>
>> Face it boys. the ARRL blew it BIG TIME when they had
>> the chance to eliminate the dammed Code for HF way
>> back in the early 1980's with the "Plain Language" rewrite

>> of the amateur rules. Today most of the ham fossils who
>> opposed this are either in their graves or waiting to expire
>> and we're stuck with an obsolete hobby as a result.
>
> Do a better job of reading up on history. The ARRL had NO chance of
> eliminating the code in the 1980s as the US was signatory to an
> international treaty that mandated code for HF privileges.

And, for what it is worth the code test will be gone for General
sometime down the road. It isn't a matter of if, it is simply
when at this point. ARRL's proposal recommends ending code
and ONLY retaining a code test for Extra.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

366. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 14:07:38 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <b1e87ee277176ef09ceca9d8b1090430@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 107642

In article <BoCdnVE2wNm97-TfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Tapioca remailer wrote:

> > You say a lot of things, mainly excuses for why you are too
> > stupid and lazy to get the Extra license.
> > And you'd rather hold onto that obsolete license, always
> > settling for second best, I suppose.
>
> You have a hard time with comprehension don't you two-test.

You have a hard time with English, don't you, Gopher face?

Well, you did drop out your freshman year of community college!

> The Advance isn't obsolete,

Looks like your English skills are, though!

>(more excuses)

> You only got an Extra-lite, you never would have been able to
pass a
> real test for Extra, only one where the answers were provided
for you.

No, I passed an Extra, something you can't do. At all!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

You couldn't handle it, could you? From what I hear doing 13 wpm
code really taxed that little squirrel cage in your malformed
head.

> At least my Advanced license is real, I didn't take the test
at some
> license VE give-away session where all the answers were
provided

You just bribed your VE, because you flunked your Advanced so
many times. And you still couldn't pass the Extra, ever, could
you? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

> Poor two-

Poor Gopher face, the obsolete licensed ham.

> And where did I admit it was hard to do?

You should lay off the cocaine use, Gopher face. Your short term memory just might return.

> You're really funny two-test. NOT!!

You're really funny... looking, Gopher face. You should really get those yellow buck teeth fixed.

> >>Well, well. I got my First Class Phone in (more lies)
> >
> >
> > No, you didn't. You are lying again, Gopher face.
>
> Try and prove it two-test.

It would be on the FCC ULS website, Gopher face, if it existed.

And try to use correct punctuation, Gopher face.

> > Again, you "lose" Gopher Face. You can't even post in English.
> > Now go cry because you have that obsolete license.
> >
> Now you go

Now I can go on the Extra portions of the bands, much to your chagrin, Gopher face, since you couldn't pass the Extra test, even as it exists now. Ever.

> > --
> > Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is quite a "loser" when he
> > can't even spell "loser" in
> > <IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn...@gbronline.com>:
> > "Again you loose two-test"
> >
>
> Poor two-

Poor Gopher face, has that obsolete license. Has to talk on 40 meters along with the Shortwavce broadcast stations drowning his piss-willy signal out. Poor Gopher Face Butt Cornholer, he couldn't spell "loser", because he snorted so much cocaine and followed it up with a vodka chaser. Tell us Gopher face, why'd your wife walk out on you?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
Were you such an underachiever with her, too?

367. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 14:23:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115303004.925497.139050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107643

>That's just it toddieboy, your opinions are of no interest to the
>amateur community.
>So, are you going to be at your station 24/7 as the control operator
as
>you broadcast your non-bulletins?

Sure am and I have a few other amatuer's who said they want in on it.

Todd N9OGL

368. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 14:36:39 UTC
From: anon <cbking@cbkingchanfour.net>
Message-ID: <d5dauh$k95$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Saw a spread spectrum set up in a long haul trucker rig yesterday.
Driver would not say exactly where they are being sold, except
somewhere in Illinois. His rig also had a Icom HF rig in it and
what looked like a marine radio. Too bad he wouldn't talke more.
A couple years ago these guys were very talkative. My guess, the
FCC letters are classic "unintended consequences." Meaning. these
guys are now much more security aware.

369. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 14:42:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115304133.622713.272480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107648

>Stebie said
>"...of direct interest to the amateur service."

Yes, but is up to the control operator transmitting it, not the people listening to determine if it is of direct interest to the amateur radio service.

> No one, here or on the air, wants to hear the ranting of a
>functionally illiterate loudmouth who doesn't think twice about
>violating the law when it suits him.

I can talk a Hell of lot better then I can right you fucking asshole.

> Just how many "fans" do you think you'll create by mimicking
>ARRL's "bulletins" on the most crowded Amateur allocation
>worldwide...???

Who says I mimicking the ARRL??

>You're the epitome of selfish, Todd.

DAM RIGHT! I didn't get in this hobby for you or any other dumb fuck ham operator, I got into it for my own selfishness.

97.3(a)
(4) Amateur service. "......duly authorized persons interested in radio technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary interest."

I think the key words to look at steve is "PERSONAL AIM" there is nothing in the rules stating that I have to listen to what other amateur say. It dumb ass groups like the ARRL which pushes this communistic "collectivism" on the amateur radio service. Then there is dumb asses like yourself who believe it.

Todd N9OGL

370. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 14:56:27 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <0d52a153def64f84e226ff83c26d0583@paranoici.org>
Source record: 107550

In article <d5ath7$34n$0@pita.alt.net>
"anon" <a...@cheesengashams.com> wrote:
>
> Roger remains

Your OWNER!

> Could it be because

Could it be perhaps you are too fucking stupid to find it. Yep,
that's it!

> Perhaps the DeVry master degree boy has never had said
> license?

Perhaps you should pull your malformed head out of your ass!
Roger never said he had a Master's degree from DeVry.

> Let me know if you want me to post the link to the FCC's
> data base again Roger?

Too bad that isn't the ULS link, DUMBASS! Which is the correct
one, Fuck up!

http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/ is, however. Do a name search,
"Wiseman, Roger L. and see if three items don't come up.
Dumbfuck!

371. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 15:43:05 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <31891cd2c657d499524ce01e3598e153@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 107554

In article <9LednWNzr_t36uTfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
> > In article <d5asn0$q...@pita.alt.net>
> > "anon" <a...@cheesengashams.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Note how Roger remains curio(whines and backpedaling excuses
> >
> > why you can't successfully look it up!)
> >
> > Not how you don't address the fact you are too fucking
stupid to
> > look up his name on the Universal Licensing System website.
> >
> > http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/
> >
> > You LOSE as usual!
> >
>
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!! It's a restricted radiotelephone operator
permit.

But you said Roger didn't have ANY Commercial license.

> Not even a technical license like a First or Second Class
Phone, just a
> restricted operator permit. Doesn't even require a test, just
complete
> an FCC form.

Now, it doesn't. Before it did. Besides it was granted in 1981.
Roger got his first Commercial license in 1976. In fact, it was
a 2nd class Phone. Looks like he let it lapse and just got the
RP in 1981. It still doesn't change the fact you claimed he
didn't have a license at all, Cmd Butt Cornholer!

> My pilot's license grants me the same priveledges.

You don't have a pilot's license.
Otherwise, you would know that you still have to have the RP
along with your pilot's license.
Looks like another one of your lies has made you lose again!

> Poor two-test,

Poor CMD Butt Cornholer,he still can't pass the Extra exam the
FIRST time, and has that obsolete license.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA...LOL!
LOL! LOL! LOL!LOL!
Better hold onto that obsolete license, loser, your License
class was phased out because
it was obsolete!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

372. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 15:57:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115308677.966362.182820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107555

From: "bb" on Wed,May 4 2005 5:22 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Mon,May 2 2005 3:20 pm
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> > K?B wrote:
>> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> >> If Brian or Lennie had such an error pointed out, there'd be
a six
>> >> month long rant on how licensing in Somalia
>> >
>> >You lying sack of excrement. You, David Heil, Brian Kelly and The
>> >Other Steve put out an APB and searched the web worldwide for one
of my
>> >cards.
>>
>> True enough.
>
>It is an eternal truth. The Jedi Knights have been studying for an
>eternity that Steven J. Robeson is a lying sack of excrement.

"Excrement, sack of, is he," said Yoda...

>> >Since no one came forward with one, You declared that my operation
>> >never happened and that I was a liar.
>> >
>> >You continue to say that to this day.
>>
>> Stebie KNOWS things, Brian. He has the "facts"
>> locked up in his head (besides in his wallet).
>>
>> Remember the Double Standard in here:
>>
>> 1. Everyone "owes" Stebie.
>>
>> 2. Stebie don't owe NUTHIN' to NOBODY!
>>
>> Simple as that, shitty though that be.
>
>Don't forget that he has "The Power" to put people away with a single
>phone call (dialing...).

YESSSS! The POWER - and the "qualification" as a
"professional" to simply make one phone call to
the "authorities" and anyone he states will be
PICKED UP!!!

Tsk, tsk, I don't know what Stebie was ON that
day but he overdosed on whatever it was...

>> >Now I want you to produce authentic evidence of your MARS activity
on
>> >Okinawa, and of your claimed seven hostile actions.
>>
>> Tsk. He will NOT.
>>
>> Stebie wants everyone to "verify" his "Okinawa
>> MARS" thing with the "CG of 1st MAF, 1981."
>> Tsk. It is unlikely that the First Marine Air
>> Wing will still BE on Okinawa 24 years later,
>> let alone have the "same" Commanding General!"
>>
>> Humphhh...on "seven hostile actions," I can't
>> see AIRCRAFT GROUND CREW AND SUPPORT being
>> anything other than REAR-AREA operations!
>> Wow! Some "hostile actions" with implied
>> combat and hand-to-hand fighting over ground
>> at the airfield! Stebie need a whole new
>> box to hold all his "medals" for "combat."
>>
>> All we can expect is weeks of waffling and
>> misdirection by him to AVOID revelation of
>> truth.
>
>He has more Dodges than the Chrysler Corporation.

I hope that Chrysler does a RECALL of all those
DEFECTIVE vehicles...

>> This is all a bad re-make of "X Files"
>> fantasy on TV! ["The Truth ISN'T Out There"]
>
>They had to find some dummy to hold up a flight suit. Prolly needed
>alterations.

Oh, they FOUND the dummy...but there were
ALTERCATIONS...!

>> >> I just acknowledged the error when it was shown and moved
on.
>> >
>> >Again you're a lying sack of excrement. You complained that Hans
had
>> >to dig 3 years deep to find your single "mistake."
>>

>> Standard Local Operating Procedure in Stebieland.
>>
>> The acronym works out to be SLOP. :-)
>
>He sorry sack, not sad sack.

"Truth is," said Yoda...

>> >You acknowledged your itsy bitsy mistake and TRIED to move on as
>> >quickly as you could, brushing aside all the mayhem that you caused
>> >along the way.
>>
>> Stebie NEBBER do wrong! Everybody else do wrong!
>
>He needs to get over himself.

...only if he has an out-of-body experience...

>> >You make no apology for all of the accusations that your itsy bitsy
>> >little mistake enabled you to make, and still make to this day.
>>
>> Again, a given. Trouble is, Stebie just won't
>> admit he "exaggerated" a "little" on his claims.
>> In his view of things (apparent, judging from
>> thousands of postings) is that he ALWAYS TELLS
>> THE TRUTH...and anyone disagreeing with Stebie's
>> statements is a "LIAR."
>
>It is an eternal truth. The Jedi Knights have been studying for an
>eternity that Steven J. Robeson is a lying sack of excrement.

Stebie went over to the Dark Side long ago...tsk.

>Megalomania.

Novelist Alfred Bester called it "megal-mood" in
"The Demolished Man."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

373. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 16:01:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115308891.868788.167350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107556

From: "bb" on Wed,May 4 2005 4:13 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K0HB" on Tues,May 3 2005 5:59 pm
>>
>> >"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>> >
>> >> The ARRL is about HF radio using Morse Code.
>> >
>> >Bullshit.
>>
>> In the face of REALITY of years of published fact
>> that Brian is right, I "hurl your opinion aside
>> with great force!"
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Yup, everyone just got through saying that there's a problem
attracting
>Technicians to the organization. No one seems to be able to put their
>finger on exactly why, only because they reject the -correct- answer
>(reminds me of the OJ case). And they still wring their hands and
bite
>their knuckles and ask, "Why?"

It's awful. Those olde-tymers just CAN'T understand
why all the newcomers DON'T worship the olde-tymers'
ideals of long ago.

Hell, I'm OLDER than most of them and I STARTED on
HF...but NOT doing a bit of "CW." :-)

>OK, I'll rephrase the answer. The ARRL may not be >>>just<<< about HF
>radio using Morse Code, but the Technicians think it is. Hi! Sheds a
>whole new light on the subject. How about that for fair play?

Works for me. :-)

Does definitely NOT work for those olde-tyme
morsemen locked into the standards and
practices of seven decades ago...they're
still scratching their balding heads wondering
why the rest of the radio world gave up on "CW."

Well, they have their imaginary universes
locked up in their heads. They seem to be

happy in their status quondam...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

374. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 16:44:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <q3see.6196$HL2.3930@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107557

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> Does definitely NOT work for those olde-tyme
> morsemen locked into the standards and
> practices of seven decades ago...they're
> still scratching their balding heads wondering
> why the rest of the radio world gave up on "CW."

Armenian judges gave it a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter,
but they were over-ruled by the umpires in instant replay, who
award it a 2.1.

The Armenian judges lodged a formal protest!

It was sufficiently trollish, of course, but way too obvious.
It was poorly written, poorly executed, and was so incredibly
lame as to lack the true drawing power of a really masterful
troll. Maybe as high as a 2.3 for the sheer stupidity of the
premise, but a 9.8? Never! The Armenian judges tear their
hair out, throw their balalaikas down in dismay, and perform
the traditional Armenian Dismay Chant! They demand a recount!

Regards,

Hans, K0HB
Lord High Keeper of the Troll-O-Meter

0 2 4 6 8 10
\
\
\
\
\
\
TROLL-O-METER

375. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 17:33:48 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d5dldsocq1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 107558

Where is the PBI at now, Hans?

- Mike KB3EIA -

376. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 17:51:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <U2tee.6052$GQ5.1964@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107559

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

>
> Where is the PBI at now, Hans?
>

It's here and at QRZ.COM forum for open-comment.

It's in the hands of W5JBP and the Dakota Division elected leadership for feedback.

73, de Hans, K0HB

377. Re: Commander Butt Cornholer did you upgrade your little Advanced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 19:09:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <25SdnVdoJ6CJEOffRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107569

Thrasher Remailer AKA chubby little two-test wogie wrote:

> In article <c_GdnfuA8o01reffRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
>>George Orwell AKA two-test wogie wrote:
>>
>>>Of course, NOT! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
>>>
>>
>>
>>I am waiting for when the FCC eleminates the tests to upgrade,
>
> wogie.
>
> Apparently you must want "affrimative action" for your obvious
> mental handicap.
> Retards like you always want the standards lowered, when you are
> too lazy and stupid to pass the current requirements.

You mean like the standards were lowered for the Extra-lite? You know two-test, questions from a pool where the answers are available, no knowledge required and from a license give-away VE session. That is the only way poor little two-test could have ever gotten the Extra-lite. Why didn't you get the Extra when it was a real test wogie? Couldn't hack it could you? No, the best you could settle for is the Extra-lite which requies no technical knowledge at all. Just memorize some answers to a few questions from the question pool and bingo! an Extra-lite. Give us the theory on negative SWR and RMS voltage if you will. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>
> You can't even spell "eliminated" coreectly, you could be hardly
> ever expected to actually follow FCC directions.
>

Uh, what is "coreectly"? Did you fall out of the gene pool again chubby two-test?
>

378. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 19:16:09 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <25SdnVFoJ6BDE-ffRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107571

lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
> Perhaps you should pull your malformed head out of your ass!
> Roger never said he had a Master's degree from DeVry.

Actually his master degree is from DeFry, you know, down at the local
Burger Barn serving up fries. The best poor chubby two-test wogie can do.

379. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 19:18:22 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <25SdnVBoJ6DrEuffRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107573

lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> robert casey wrote:
>
>>>http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/ is, however. Do a name search,
>>>"Wiseman, Roger L. and see if three items don't come up.
>>>Dumbfuck!
>>>
>>
>>I didn't know
>
>
> You could fill the Encyclopedia with what you do not know, kiddie
> diddler Casey.
> If you'd have bothered to check others in the database, you'd see that
> all Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permits have the RR prefix.
> Dumbass!
>

So where is that 2nd Class ticket you claim to have wogie?

380. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 19:26:02 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <eruee.5681$V01.4120@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107561

>
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/ is, however. Do a name search,
> "Wiseman, Roger L. and see if three items don't come up.
> Dumbfuck!
>

I didn't know the FCC could issue callsigns that start with "R"
"RR00025000" is Roger's "Restricted Operator" license call
sign. At least that's what the ULS web page says under
"Callsign" :-)

I suppose "Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permit" is what
they call a commercial license nowadays.

381. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 19:33:13 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <BLS9S47J38478.1480671296@reece.net.au>
Source record: 107562

In article <BoCdnVE2wNm97-TfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Tapioca remailer wrote:

> > You say a lot of things, mainly excuses for why you are too
> > stupid and lazy to get the Extra license.
> > And you'd rather hold onto that obsolete license, always
> > settling for second best, I suppose.
>
> You have a hard time with comprehension don't you two-test.

You have a hard time with English, don't you, Gopher face?

Well, you did drop out your freshman year of community college!

> The Advance isn't obsolete,

Looks like your English skills are, though!

>(more excuses)

> You only got an Extra-lite, you never would have been able to
pass a
> real test for Extra, only one where the answers were provided
for you.

No, I passed an Extra, something you can't do. At all!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

You couldn't handle it, could you? From what I hear doing 13 wpm
code really taxed that little squirrel cage in your malformed
head.

> At least my Advanced license is real, I didn't take the test
at some
> license VE give-away session where all the answers were
provided

You just bribed your VE, because you flunked your Advanced so
many times. And you still couldn't pass the Extra, ever, could
you? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

> Poor two-

Poor Gopher face, the obsolete licensed ham.

> And where did I admit it was hard to do?

You should lay off the cocaine use, Gopher face. Your short term memory just might return.

> You're really funny two-test. NOT!!

You're really funny... looking, Gopher face. You should really get those yellow buck teeth fixed.

> >>Well, well. I got my First Class Phone in (more lies)
> >
> >
> > No, you didn't. You are lying again, Gopher face.
>
> Try and prove it two-test.

It would be on the FCC ULS website, Gopher face, if it existed.

And try to use correct punctuation, Gopher face.

> > Again, you "lose" Gopher Face. You can't even post in English.
> > Now go cry because you have that obsolete license.
> >
> Now you go

Now I can go on the Extra portions of the bands, much to your chagrin, Gopher face, since you couldn't pass the Extra test, even as it exists now. Ever.

> > --
> > Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is quite a "loser" when he
> > can't even spell "loser" in
> > <IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn...@gbronline.com>:
> > "Again you loose two-test"
> >
>
> Poor two-

Poor Gopher face, has that obsolete license. Has to talk on 40 meters along with the Shortwavce broadcast stations drowning his piss-willy signal out. Poor Gopher Face Butt Cornholer, he couldn't spell "loser", because he snorted so much cocaine and followed it up with a vodka chaser. Tell us Gopher face, why'd your wife walk out on you?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
Were you such an underachiever with her, too?

382. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 19:34:50 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <66bb352e8ac3e94adef0fb584104d892@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 107560

```
In article <d5cuci$fqj$0@pita.alt.net>
"anon" <n...@cbferalltenfonet.com> wrote:
>
>
> "Anonymous" <Apple2Remai...@bigapple.dynalias.net> wrote in
message
> news:9M7ANVZ938476.9760300926@anonymous...
> > In article <d5boa5$s2...@pita.alt.net>
> > "anon" <n...@hotopsclubpres.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> "A.Melon" <j...@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
> >>
news:985c2b5a400fd5b6a62ad031f3ea43a5@melontraffickers.com...
> >> > In article <d596f6$bh...@pita.alt.net>
> >> > "anon" <a...@abnospamanoncd.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> > But Roger did pass a commercial license exam in front
of
> > an
> >> > FCC
> >> >> > examiner in 1976, Gopher face. You lose again.
> >> >> > And he's already proven he could pass the amateur
tests,
> >> >> > regardless, unlike you, who has to settle for an
obsolete
> >> >> > license.
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
> >> >>
> >> >> A search of the above FCC data base yields negative
results
> >> > (Lardass' incompetence)
> >> >
> >> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHA..Lardass can't even fucking look up a
> > goddamned
> >> > license!
> >> >
> >> > Do a name search at the ULS site , Dumbass Davies!!!!! Or
> > can't
> >> > you even handle that simple task?
```

> >> >
> >> > http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> (whiny Excuse..as predicted)
> >
> > And you still couldn't look it up,
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> >
>
>
> Oh, but that was never the point (incoherent blatherings)

No, that would be atop your malformed skull. You said Roger
didn't have a commercial license. Commander Butt Cornholer found
that he does have one. You lose again, Bitch Boy Davies. At
least Butt Cornholer could use a fucking search engine, you
can't. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Now Dance, bitch, Dance!

383. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 19:37:38 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <erKdnRyIlsfoCeffRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107580

lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
> Pot kettle Back, obsolete license boy.

What were you saying *two-test*?

384. Re: Wiseman's long haired photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 19:38:58 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <TNDM5IQY38477.6520601852@anonymous>
Source record: 107563

In article <thats.woger.all.right@houston-hunks.com>
Secret Nazi <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
> On 4 May 2005 21:06:46 -0000, Italy Anonymous Remailer <Secwet
Woger> wrote:
> :
> : The thing is, Roger's hair isn't long. Lardass Davies set up
> : that yahoo profile and
> : tried to pass it off as Roger's.

So, Secret Nazi, do you still molest the little kiddies?

> Oh yeah. Uh, huh. Uh, huh.

Thanks for admitting it. Now, did you ever find that Marshall
County, WV ARES website like a good little bitch? Hint: It isn't
the one for the ARES organization in Marshall County, Alabama!

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

385. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 19:43:06 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <GYSdnRutPpd6COffRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107585

lardass_lloydie AKA two-test wogie wrote:
>>So where
>
>
> So where is that "pilot's license" you clain to have, along with the
> Obsolete First Class Radio telephone, bitch boy?

The pilots license is right here in my billfold *two-test* boy. As far as the 1st Class Phone, I explained that but it was obviously too far over your head for you to understand.
So where is your 2nd Class ticket, chubby *two-test* boy?

What does your middle initial L stand for *two-test*? Lloydie?

You enjoy using that Welfare Extra-lite ticket *two-test*? Talked to Riley down at the FCC office lately? Maybe you better brush up on the question pool, Riley may want you to retake the test. LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!
>

386. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 19:43:49 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <26851f18963e4c9575f0502df6815206@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 107564

In article <dpOdnVdSLrsSAuTfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@this.ten> whined:

> BZZZZT!! Wrong!!! There is no exam for a restricted
radiotelephone
> operator permit.

Now. But Roger took and passed a 2nd Class Radiotelephone in 1976. In front of an FCC examiner. The guy with the horned rimmed glasses, crewcut, white shirt and tie and black pants. The one who if you didn't follow their instructions exactly would terminate your exam. The database clearly shows that the Restricted Radiotelephone Permit was granted in 1981. You should brush up on your reading skills.

> It isn't even a license (whines and excuses)

Sort of like your obsolete Advanced.

387. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 19:50:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <nYSdnS67IvpRCuffRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107587

lardass_lloydie AKA *two-test* wogie lloydie unwiseman@yahoo.com wrote:

> Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
> spell "loser"in
> <IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
> "Again you loose two-test"
>

Proof is in the pudding, like when you spell 'correctly' as 'coreectly'.
Should we post the list of all the words you have mispelled again
two-test. It is rather long.

So where is it again on the FCC website we can see proof of this 2nd
Class Radiotelephone ticket you claim to hold? Care to provide the URL
two-test curtains boy?

388. Re: Commander Butt Cornholer did you upgrade your little Advanced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 19:55:13 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <9tydne1-D-qBBOffRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107589

lardass_lloydie AKA wogie lloydie unwiseman@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>Uh, what is "coreectly"?
>
>
> Looks like a typo, obsolete licvense BOY.

I see, when you can't spell it's a typo. Like "licvense" I suppose
two-test wogie lloydie unwiseman.
>
>
> What is "two-test?"

Seem like everyone but you knows, and laughs about it. Still bragging
about that Restricted Radiotelephone Operator *permit*?
So where is this 2nd Class ticket you claim to hold?
Poor *two-test*, just can't hack it.

389. Re: Commander Butt Cornholer did you upgrade your little Advanced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 19:57:49 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <ES03MHBC38478.165150463@reece.net.au>
Source record: 107565

license yet?

In article <c_GdnfuA8o01reffRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
> George Orwell AKA two-test wogie wrote:
> > Of course, NOT! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
> >
>
>
> I am waiting for when the FCC eleminates the tests to upgrade,
wogie.

Apparently you must want "affrimative action" for your obvious
mental handicap.
Retards like you always want the standards lowered, when you are
too lazy and stupid to pass the current requirements.
Grow up fat boy, and do something that requires effort in your
life. Then you wouldn't have such
low self-esteem. Being drunk, fat, and stupid is no way to go
through life.

And what is "eleminates?" Is that what the DNA gene pool did you
yours, "eliminated" your defective DNA?
Because you are so inferior in every way. You want the standards
lowered because you can't match them.

> Then I can just send in an FCC

You can't even spell "eliminated" coreectly, you could be hardly
ever expected to actually follow FCC directions.

390. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 20:30:47 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <pain.woger.pain@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 107566

On Thu, 5 May 2005 21:43:49 +0200 (CEST), George Orwell <Secwet Woger> wrote:
:
: Now. But Roger took and passed a 2nd Class Radiotelephone in
: 1976. In front of an FCC examiner. The guy with the horned

Oh yeah. Uh, huh. Uh, huh.

: rimmed glasses, crewcut, white shirt and tie and black pants.

Probably another of Woger's sexual fantasies. Was he wearing mesh
stockings and carrying a whip?

: The one who if you didn't follow their instructions exactly
: would terminate your exam.

Ewwww! A sadistic dominator! He was nothing like those pot-bellied,
middle-aged men who were VE's for your Welfare Extra retest, was he?
No wonder you can't stop fantasizing about FCC examiners.

[Pssst! Play your cards right, and we can fix you up with one.]

==========
In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled
his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still
can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."

391. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:11:59 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115327519.304811.104230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107567

In article <EoednWRrvOdMpeffRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
> Anonymous AKA two-test wogie wrote:

[restore]
In article <d5ath7$34...@pita.alt.net>

"anon" <a...@cheesengashams.com> wrote:

> Roger remains

Your OWNER!

> Could it be because

Could it be perhaps you are too fucking stupid to find it. Yep,
that's it!

> Perhaps the DeVry master degree boy has never had said
> license?

Perhaps you should pull your malformed head out of your ass!
Roger never said he had a Master's degree from DeVry.

> Let me know if you want me to post the link to the FCC's
> data base again Roger?

Too bad that isn't the ULS link, DUMBASS! Which is the correct
one, Fuck up!

http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/ is, however. Do a name search,
"Wiseman, Roger L. and see if three items don't come up.
Dumbfuck!

[end restore]

Why'd you snip it, Butt Cornholer, that yellow streak running down your
back make you do it?

> <Cnd Butt Cornholer's incoherent blather deleted>
>
> You know

I know you were too fucking stupid to look it up correctly the first
time. And quit stealing lines others have used, Butt Cornholer.
And go curl up under your cheapassed computer desk because you have
that obsolete Advanced license. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! You couldn't even
get the Extra. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!

392. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:14:13 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115327653.363089.61680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107568

robert casey wrote:
> >
> > http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/ is, however. Do a name search,
> > "Wiseman, Roger L. and see if three items don't come up.
> > Dumbfuck!
> >
>
> I didn't know

You could fill the Encyclopedia with what you do not know, kiddie
diddler Casey.
If you'd have bothered to check others in the database, you'd see that
all Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permits have the RR prefix.
Dumbass!

393. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:21:44 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115328104.313394.178220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107570

In article <pain.woger.pain@houston-hunks.com>
Secret Nazi <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

> Probably another (IKYABWAI)

While your sexual fantasies invole naked little boys, huh, baby raper?

> Ewwww! A s (IKYABWAI #2, at least this time)

Poor Secret Nazi, he liked it when his Nazi daddy assfucked him when he
came home from the bars drunk.

>
> [Pss (Secret Nazi reveals how his priest daddy molested him and
others]

You can't even find the Marshall County, WV ARES website. Shit for
brains!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

FUCKUP Lee" <StaggerLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and imbecile
fucks
up as usual, when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama
and Marshall County, West Virginia are the same place in message
<afvsc2$6a...@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle
brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a place to

send email."

You're lucky if you can find your way back home to your hovel Secret
Nazi, if you ever leave it. I bet you spend your days cowering.

394. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:25:22 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115328322.102029.161460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107572

Cmd Butt Cornholed wrote:

> So where is proof of this 2nd Class Radiotelephone license?

So, where is this proof that you have anything but an obsolete ham
ticket?

It lapsed, dumbass, and was never renewed. Can't you fucking read?

> There are more Advanced license holders then there are Extras, wogie
> (who holds an Extra-lite).

Yes, I suppose there are a lot of underachievers like you in the world.
(who holds an obsolete ham license) BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

395. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:32:12 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115328732.570683.197090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107575

Cmd Butt Cornholed wrote:

> So, two-

So, Butt Cornholed, the never tested Extra, are you going to keep
whining the same thing over and over,BITCH BOY????

DANCE BITCH, DANCE!

396. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:34:01 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115328841.562457.278880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107574

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > robert casey wrote:
> >
> >>>http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/ is, however. Do a name search,
> >>>"Wiseman, Roger L. and see if three items don't come up.
> >>>Dumbfuck!
> >>>
> >>
> >>I didn't know
> >
> >
> > You could fill the Encyclopedia with what you do not know, kiddie
> > diddler Casey.
> > If you'd have bothered to check others in the database, you'd see
that
> > all Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permits have the RR prefix.
> > Dumbass!
> >
>
>
> So where

So where is that "pilot's license" you clain to have, along with the
Obsolete First Class Radio telephone, bitch boy?

397. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:36:03 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115328963.219239.260610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107579

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> > Perhaps you should pull your malformed head out of your ass!
> > Roger never said he had a Master's degree from DeVry.
>
> Actually

Actually, you flunked out of freshman year community college, and got a certificate, that's the best you can do, Butt Cornholed. Where's that "First Class Radiotelephone " license you claim to have? Oh, that's right it's obsolete, just like your Advanced ham license.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!

398. Re: To K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:40:56 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KrWdnceluPifDuffRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 107578

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115274101.152765.93370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> Did I just here you work Crete on 40 meters?
>
> I sure wish it was! I need it!
>
> But if you did, it was someone doing me a favor 'cuz I did a
> double shift and haven't been near the radios!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Probably just a similar sounding call in the pile up then. However both my
OM and I were able to independently crack the pile up and work it. HOORAY!!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

399. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:41:16 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115329276.114128.107450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107576

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >>That's just it toddieboy, your opinions are of no interest to the
> >>amateur community.
> >>So, are you going to be at your station 24/7 as the control
operator
> >
> > as
> >
> >>you broadcast your non-bulletins?
> >
> >
> > Sure am and I have a few other amatuer's who said they want in on
it.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
>
> Yea right. Get back to us sometime when you grow up and can tell the
truth.

Oh, the irony.

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

400. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:42:34 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115329354.249870.315240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107577

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > NO your missing the point it is up to the station transmitting it
to
> > determine if it is of interest to the amateur radio community, not
the
> > morons listening,
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
>
> Hard to believe you can really be so stupid toddieboy.

Pot kettle Back, obsolete license boy.

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

401. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:46:55 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115329615.480960.272100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107581

Cmd Butt Cornholed wrote:
> lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> > Perhaps you should pull your malformed head out of your ass!
> > Roger never said he had a Master's degree from DeVry.
>
> Actually

Actually you flunked out freshman year of community college, which is
why you are unable to even pass the Extra exam.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..poor obsolete license boy.

402. Re: Commander Butt Cornholer did you upgrade your little Advanced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:50:46 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115329846.922803.278050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107583

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Thrasher Remailer AKA chubby little two-test wogie wrote:
>
> > In article <c_GdnfuA8o01reffRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.ten> wrote:
> >
> >>George Orwell AKA two-test wogie wrote:
> >>
> >>>Of course, NOT! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>I am waiting for when the FCC eleminates the tests to upgrade,
> >
> > wogie.
> >
> > Apparently you must want "affrimative action" for your obvious
> > mental handicap.
> > Retards like you always want the standards lowered, when you are
> > too lazy and stupid to pass the current requirements.
>
> You mean like the standards were lowered for the Extra-lite?

And you still can't pass it.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> >
> > You can't even spell "eliminated" correctly, you could be hardly
> > ever expected to actually follow FCC directions.
> >
>
> Uh, what is "coreectly"?

Looks like a typo, obsolete licvense BOY.

What is :

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

> Did you fall out of the gene pool again

Did you slip outr of your mommy's yeasty vagina again, obsolete license
boy?

> chubby

Hardly, FATASSED Buzzy!

> two-test?

What is "two-test?" Are you making up words again?
No wonder you can't pass the Extra exam.

403. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:52:29 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115329949.091651.15120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107582

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > Pot kettle Back, obsolete license boy.
>
> What were you

What were you saying obsolete license BOY?

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

404. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 21:54:15 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115330055.553136.116960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107584

Cmd Butt Cornholed wrote:
> lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > Pot kettle Back, obsolete license boy.
>
> What

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

405. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 22:01:31 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115330491.610539.156800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107586

Cmd Butt Cornholed wrote:
> lardass_lloydie *wrong guess, obsolete license boy* wrote:
> >>So where
> >
> >
> > So where is that "pilot's license" you clain to have, along with
the
> > Obsolete First Class Radio telephone, bitch boy?
>
> The pilots license is right here in my billfold

Sure it is, you sure don't have any money in your billfold, either.

> *two-test* boy.

Obsolete license BOY!

> As far
> as the 1st Class Phone, I explained that but it was obviously too far

> over your head for you to understand.

In other words, you are lying again, Obsolete license boy.

> So where is your 2nd Class ticket,

So where is your many licenses save your obsolete one, obsolete license
boy?

> chubby

Hardly, FATASSED Butt Cornholed.

>*two-test* boy?

No, Can't pass the Extra test Boy.
Roger was only retested once. You lose as usual.

> What does your middle initial L stand for *two-test*? Lloydie?

What does your inability to pass a simple Extra exam make you, Obsolete
license boy?

>
> You enjoy using that

Yep. And at least I could pass it if I was retested. You can't even
pass it the FIRST time. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

406. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 22:04:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115330694.289731.13830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107588

N9OGL wrote:
> >Stebie said

> > No one, here or on the air, wants to hear the ranting of a
> >functionally illiterate loudmouth who doesn't think twice about
> >violating the law when it suits him.
>
> I can talk a Hell of lot better then I can right you ####ing
###hole.

I doubt it. I bet it takes you less than 60 seconds in any
conversation wherein you think you're not getting your way to resort to
the kind of language you let fly in here.

Which is probably why you're finishing up your "college" on-line
over this summer rather than by the deadline that's already passed.

> > Just how many "fans" do you think you'll create by mimicking
> >ARRL's "bulletins" on the most crowded Amateur allocation
> >worldwide...???
>
> Who says I mimicking the ARRL??

You do. Your post on your blog gave topics that were alomost
word-for-word from the ARRL website.

> >You're the epitome of selfish, Todd.
>
> DAM RIGHT! I didn't get in this hobby for you or any other dumb ####
> ham operator, I got into it for my own selfishness.

And when your selfishness degrades my ability to enjoy Amateur
Radio (ie: N9OGL one-way broadcasts on 20 meters), it's no longer a
matter of your OWN "enjoyment.

> 97.3(a)
> (4) Amateur service. "......duly authorized persons interested in
radio
> technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary interest."
>
> I think the key words to look at steve is "PERSONAL AIM" there is
> nothing in the rules stating that I have to listen to what other
> amateur say. It dumb ### groups like the ARRL which pushes this
> communistic "collectivism" on the amateur radio service. Then there
is
> dumb ###es like yourself who believe it.

There you go dissing the ARRL for a running race that they don't have a horse in...

Steve, K4YZ

407. Re: Commander Butt Cornholer did you upgrade your little Advanced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 22:05:59 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115329197.918823.199150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107591

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Thrasher Remailer AKA chubby little two-test wogie wrote:
>
> > In article <c_GdnfuA8o01reffRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.ten> wrote:
> >
> >>George Orwell AKA two-test wogie wrote:
> >>
> >>>Of course, NOT! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>I am waiting for when the FCC eleminates the tests to upgrade,
> >
> > wogie.
> >
> > Apparently you must want "affrimative action" for your obvious
> > mental handicap.
> > Retards like you always want the standards lowered, when you are
> > too lazy and stupid to pass the current requirements.
>
> You mean like the standards were lowered for the Extra-lite?

And still, you are unable to pass it. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

>
> >
> > You can't even spell "eliminated" correctly, you could be hardly
> > ever expected to actually follow FCC directions.
> >
>
> Uh, what is "coreectly"?

Look like a typo. What was "looser?"

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

> Did you fall out of the gene pool again chubby
> two-test?
>

Uh, what is "two-test"? Did you fall out of your whore mommy's yeasty
cunt again? Chubby? hardly, FATASSED Buzzy Corey!

408. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 22:07:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115330862.513299.154640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107590

N9OGL wrote:
> >Stebie said
> >What's your Army MARS callsign Todd...?!?!
>
>
> AAR5TB

Well...I'll be dogged.

And I'll also have to check it out. If Todd told me the sky is
blue and water is wet I'd look up and stick my hand under the faucet to
be sure....

Steve, K4YZ

409. Re: Steve's Really Losing It Now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 22:10:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115331042.311283.38020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107592

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > Steve said that he wanted to sit down and teach me a few things.
I
> > > asked if he was applying to be my new mentor. He looked so
> > ridiculous.
> >
> > Only in that anyone might expect to be able to teach you
> ANYthing.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Huh?

"Huh?" indeed!

Sheeeeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

410. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 22:19:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115331552.655956.50790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107593

From: "Dee Flint" on Wed,May 4 2005 8:00 pm

>"Robert L. Giggles (Mrs.)" <constance4@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in
message
>news:260c6$4279880c$d1cc58b5$25874@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>
>> "K□B" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:0OLde.5010$GQ5.2830@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade has been
overwhelmingly
>>> via the Technician license. This segment of the Amateur population
does not seem
>>> highly attracted to ARRL membership, nor affiliate with the
"national
>>> association" nature of ARRL.
>>
>> That's because most new hams can recognize a garden
>> variety classic New England "mutual admiration society"
>> of wannabe blue-bloods who's only reason for existence
>> is to maintain their monuments to the past which nobody
>> cares about anymore and to keep a magazine going
>> which is composed of 80% advertising.
>
>The ARRL has fought and continues to fight to protect our spectrum,
hardly
>the action of a "mutual admiration society".

Tsk, tsk, tsk..."protecting [your] spectrum"...

The 40 meter issue then sounds like the "24 years war"
in "fighting to protect" since it went UNresolved from
1979 to 2003...

Look at 60 meters...ARRL "fought" to get FIVE
CHANNELS?

Dee, you did NOT address the main point: ARRL is NOT
"representative" of the MAJORITY of licensed U.S.
radio amateurs...by anyone's count, including the
demographics published by the ARRL itself.

> They might be able to do a
>better job if some of you non-members would get off YOUR high horses,
join
>the group, and start working towards what you would like to see them

doing.

Oh, my, the LECTURE on How To Behave again. Tsk.

>So long as you stay on the outside, they have no reason to represent
you or your views.

As long as the ARRL does LITTLE to attract Technician
class licensees, it will REMAIN the "mutual admiration
society" seen by SO many others.

>You obviously have not investigated their various classes for all
levels of
>interest in areas of ham radio activity such as the many emergency
courses,
>etc.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. YOU "obviously" have not investigated
what the ARRL is NOT doing for the largest group of
licensed U.S. radio amateurs. Why else would there be
such enmity by so many?

Marie, are you saying that eating cake is BETTER for
all than bread? [don't lose your head about that]

> It is all too apparent that you speak from preconceived notions and
>haven't bothered to get involved.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. My "preconceived notions" are the
result of about 55 years of observation, talking to
many other radio amateurs, seeing/hearing what others
have to say, etc., etc.

There's NOTHING "preconceived" about the MASS opinion
that EXISTS.

> You simply sit there on the outside and whine and complain.

Shut them out if it disturbs your royalness. Can't
have you Elitists getting all hot and huffy about
the masses out there.

>You want it to be different? Get in there and do the work to change
it.
>Oh, that's too hard you say.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Now you are getting a mite hostile.

ARRL is IN CONTROL of what the ARRL DOES...and, by
all the possible objective observation, the BoD acts
like they are the Elite who Know What Is Good For All
...because that is what the BoD likes.

> Then you are just a parasite waiting for some
>one else to do the work so you can benefit without having contributed.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Now you ARE getting hostile!

Ooops, I forgot...the Elite KNOW What Is Good For
Everyone! All should OBEY the Elite...

How does the Elitist position of the ARRL "encourage"
anyone to enter amateur radio? Learn morse code?!?

Dee...I was WORKING IN HF 52 years ago and NEVER had
to know any morse code then, nor in all the years
that followed in my engineering career. In EVERY
other radio service in the USA, government included,
morse code is GOING or was NEVER CONSIDERED for ANY
communications. The ARRL still champions morse code
as the "requirement" for "working below 30 MHz" as
a radio amateur.

Hello? Can you NOT recognize how OUTMODED the notion
of "requiring" morse code testing as a "qualification"
is?

If you can't, then you have NO business of being so
Elitist and Knowing What Is Good For All.

>> Face it boys. the ARRL blew it BIG TIME when they had
>> the chance to eliminate the dammed Code for HF way
>> back in the early 1980's with the "Plain Language" rewrite
>> of the amateur rules. Today most of the ham fossils who
>> opposed this are either in their graves or waiting to expire
>> and we're stuck with an obsolete hobby as a result.
>
>Do a better job of reading up on history.

Dee, YOU "read up on history" and be sure to include
all the recent documents from WRC-03. :-)

> The ARRL had NO chance of
>eliminating the code in the 1980s as the US was signatory to an
>international treaty that mandated code for HF privileges.

That is SUCH crude nonsense that I almost want to use
Hans' method of dealing with it...i.e., "hurling it aside
with great force!"

Hello, Dee, are you with the sentient beings again?
Did you think the revision of S25 (almost entire) was
"done by the ARRL" at Geneva in 2003?!?

Dee, the ARRL got aced out in 2003 because of pressure
mainly from their "buddies" at the IARU and the largely-
extra-class-movers-and-shakers at No Code International.
The ARRL OPPOSED almost ALL the revisions that made S25
MORE modern for the international community of radio
amateurs. The revisions were done by VOTE...of the
INTERNATIONAL radio community.

Back two decades, the ARRL was OPPOSED to CHANGE. They did LITTLE if anything to effect change. WARCs didn't bring it up because the ARRL was "fighting" to preserve the status quo...to stop change anathema to the elders at the Newington admiration society.

The International Amateur Radio Union finally got tired of that stick-in-the-mud, stick-it-up-somewhere attitude of the ARRL and began their OWN lobbying for changes in S25 about the year 2000. ARRL could have joined with the IARU to be a part of PROGRESSIVE LEADERSHIP. It did not. The ARRL continued its opposition for at least three years into this new millennium. They still can't take a positive position, remain in the ineffective We Know What Is Best For All vacillating state.

The ARRL could have taken a position of MODERN LEADER-SHIP a decade ago. They could have pressured the administrations to change S25 THEN. They didn't do that. They haven't done that since. They've only promoted themselves, their products, and their olde-tyme members. The olde-tymers are pleased. THEY "know what is good for all" yet they don't. They don't understand that such Elitist tunnel-vision viewpoints do NOT attract newcomers, nor does it do any good for all but the olde-tymer minority.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

411. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 22:22:00 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <LJKdnQkMCssnJuffRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107598

N9OGL wrote:
>
>
> I can talk a Hell of lot better then I can right you fucking asshole.

Do you mean "write" toddieboy as I can "write"? I certainly hope you can talk better than you can "write", because you can't "write" worth a crap. I see, like wogie, you still use that jr. highschool vulguarity. Are you two brothers by chance?

412. Re: A Final Word With Brain...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 22:23:03 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115331783.675708.51680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107594

From: "K4YZ" on Thurs,May 5 2005 12:25 am

> I can see that this is going to go tit-for-tat ad nauseum, so
I'll
>just add my last word on this and be done with you for now.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, stebie...you don't GET the last word...

> You have the information you asked for.

WE don't, you self-described "royal."

> After you finished
>choking on the fact that I gave you the information, you then demanded
>"documentation", alledging that I've demanded the same from you.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Another LIE.

Stebie, anyone reading here can SEE what you wrote,
how you phrased your DEMANDS and how you phrased
your BRAGS/LIES. No PhD needed to realize it.

>Obviously a tactic to just drag this out.

What "tactic," mighty warrior of "seven hostile
actions?"

YOU have kept all this going ad nauseum, ALWAYS on
the ATTACK...and generally ALWAYS insulting.

> I've not...I've asked for any PROOF that any of your claims or
>assertions were valid. ANY kind of verifyable reference...

Poor baby, still under the delusion that you are
given Special Dispensation from sinning?

What kind of "word" of "proof" do we have from
stebie? CLAIMS, just CLAIMS...everything else is
"in your wallet."

"Seven hostile actions:" Where and When?

You CLAIM it, but haven't PROVED it yet.

The "in-charge non-commissioned officer" of a
MARS station? TWENTY-FOUR YEARS AGO and under
a GENERAL?!? Get real. Given the rapid
movement of military units around the globe,
there will NOT be any "records" to "verify"

your claims...certainly NOT logged into the
national archives in St. Louis.

How about that medical discharge you once
CLAIMED...the one that suddenly became an
"honorable" when you took heat for that
CLAIM?

How about that CLAIM that you could "just pick
up a telephone and have Brian 'picked up' by
authorities?" You know, the one where you
also CLAIMED you "had the 'medical qualifications'
for?

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Tsk. You should be working for an insurance
company as a CLAIMS ADJUSTER!

> Not a word...

Absolutely TRUE! NOT A WORD of PROOF from
stebie.

Not ONE single tangible PROOF of anything, not
a digitized certificate, NO valid references,
just a lot of BULLSHIT from the CLAIMSman.

> In the case of your illegal bootlegging from Somalia, I asked you
>to just provide the name of the officer who allegedly gave you
>permission to operate there...Nothing.

Stebie, "JAG" has QUIT the TV broadcasting
scene. YOU do NOT have ANY AUTHORITY to
CLAIM about "investigating any 'illegal
radio activity'" BY THE MILITARY IN A
FOREIGN LAND.

All anyone here has for "illegal radio
activity" is a bunch of HATE from a couple
of self-described "radio cops" who think they
are some kind of "agent" for the FCC...OR the
Department of State.

> You've been asked to validate your assertions about "unlicensed
>devices" having a "major roll in emergency communications", but you've
>balked at that too...Not a URL...Not an article...not one thing.

Poor baby...still under the notion you have
Special Dispensation for sinning? [see above
for the CLAIMS]

Poor stebie is livid with rage at being challenged
in ANYTHING TO DO WITH STEBIE'S *OPINIONS*, thinks
everyone against him MUST produce tangible proof
for any opinion opposite to stebie.

> Your most recent rant about ARES being overblown and unlikely to
>respond to any significant emergency has been proven wrong over and
>over for the last several weeks with one news release after another...

Poor deluded, brainwashed stebie...thinking that ALL
emergencies are handled ONLY by amateurs. He's been
given many facts by several, links included, going
back 11 years, and still can't admit that the REAL
emergency communications is NOT handled by amateurs.

> And that "...they've chased all the Techs away..."
>silliness...?!?! Who chased who away? In the last 10 days of casual
>operation on 2 meter SSB alone I've worked 11 stations.

All eleven? A big Ben Stein '...wowwwww....'

Tsk. A quarter million Technician class licensees
in the USA and stebie uses CLAIMS of ELEVEN to show
something. [what he shows is quite obscure...except
an almost total inability to REASON correctly]

> Six of them
>were Techs. I didn't go in to asking them if they'd passed the
code..I
>didn't even ASK them what class of license they had...Just got it from
>QRZ.

Tsk. Stebie is as 'believable' as a used car salesman.

> So...here we are...You've got my former USMC command, the dates,
>the places, former MARS calls, etc...All data you can verify with
>independent resources.

Tsk, tsk. Not ONE VISIBLE form of proof. A bunch of
stevie CLAIMS.

But, it's all stebie got, them CLAIMS. Eyewash.
Bluff, inuendo (like he's trying to stick something
there). NO VISIBLE PROOF.

> And from you..we have...
>
> Nothing.

From stebie: NOTHING. Hot air. CLAIMS. Can't
describe a single piece of military communications
equipment by name, nomenclature, where used on
what...doesn't know the procedures...doesn't know
the military laws governing authority in foreign
lands that he's never been in...tries to describe

others' military occupation specialties CONTRARY
to the entire military of the United States.

Stebie try to be guru, is hardly even a grunt.

Stebie try to be judge, is not even a jury or a
court clerk.

Stebie try to be hot dog, is only stale bun taken
from another.

> Spin your way out if that, if you'd like, but you're boring me,

Like anyone gives a shit. :-]

Stebie, GIVE IT UP. In radio you are a NOTHING,
just another bragging ham who has NO REAL knowledge
of communicating, a poseur who wants to be "big" on
word of mouth and some certificates hanging on the
wall...but with enough hot air to life a large
zeppelin.

DO try to NOT make EVERY thread in here all about
YOU and how you are "better" than anyone. You ain't.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

413. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 22:27:30 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <LJKdnQgMCsuyIOffRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107701

lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>
>>So where is proof of this 2nd Class Radiotelephone license?
>
>
> So, where is this proof that you have anything but an obsolete ham
> ticket?
>
> It lapsed, dumbass, and was never renewed. Can't you fucking read?

Uh-uh, sure wogie, anything you say *two-test*.

414. Re: Butt Cornholer the can't pass the Extra boy lies again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 22:31:30 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <LJKdnQsMCsuil-ffRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107702

Italy Anonymous Remailer AKA two-test wogie lloydie unwiseman wrote:

> The FCC doesn't have licenses issued from that long ago on their
> database, dumbass.

So now you use that excuse. Looks like *two-test* wogie boy lied again.

415. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 22:36:55 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <LJKdnQoMCssuluffRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107703

lardass_lloydie AKA wogie two-test lloydie unwiseman@yahoo.com wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Pot kettle Back, obsolete license boy.

GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, retake the General examination elements under the supervision of an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by September 11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and another licensee that included allegations of interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20 meters.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

416. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 22:40:21 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <SMGdnb33mpsLXeffRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107705

lardass_lloydie AKA wogie two-test lloydie unwiseman@yahoo.com wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Pot kettle Back, obsolete license boy.
>>
>>What

GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth. Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described could result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that the licensee contact him to discuss the allegations.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

417. And Lennie Chimes In....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 22:55:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115333734.772140.289260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107595

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Thurs,May 5 2005 12:25 am

> The "in-charge non-commissioned officer" of a
> MARS station? TWENTY-FOUR YEARS AGO and under
> a GENERAL?!? Get real.

Nice try, Lennie.

I gave you the unit, date, time and place. Nothing there
inaccurate.

And no where did I say I was working directly for a General
officer.

Please quote?

> How about that CLAIM that you could "just pick
> up a telephone and have Brian 'picked up' by
> authorities?" You know, the one where you
> also CLAIMED you "had the 'medical qualifications'
> for?

Nice try again, Lennie.

That's not what I said...But go right on ahead and try to run with
it.

> > In the case of your illegal bootlegging from Somalia, I asked
you
> >to just provide the name of the officer who allegedly gave you
> >permission to operate there...Nothing.
>
> Stebie, "JAG" has QUIT the TV broadcasting
> scene. YOU do NOT have ANY AUTHORITY to
> CLAIM about "investigating any 'illegal
> radio activity'" BY THE MILITARY IN A
> FOREIGN LAND.

Nothing was said about investigating military operations in a
foreign land, Lennie.

> > Your most recent rant about ARES being overblown and unlikely
to
> >respond to any significant emergency has been proven wrong over and
> >over for the last several weeks with one news release after
another...
>

> Poor deluded, brainwashed stebie...thinking that ALL
> emergencies are handled ONLY by amateurs. He's been
> given many facts by several, links included, going
> back 11 years, and still can't admit that the REAL
> emergency communications is NOT handled by amateurs.

The topic, Lennie, was not other radio services.

It was strictly about Brain's cliam of ARES not being what has
been stated.

> > And that "...they've chased all the Techs away..."
> >silliness...?!?! Who chased who away? In the last 10 days of
casual
> >operation on 2 meter SSB alone I've worked 11 stations.
>
> All eleven? A big Ben Stein '...wowwwww....'
>
> Tsk. A quarter million Technician class licensees
> in the USA and stebie uses CLAIMS of ELEVEN to show
> something. [what he shows is quite obscure...except
> an almost total inability to REASON correctly]

It does show something, Lennie.

It shows that I have the means from which to make an INFORMED
opinon on the matter.

You, however, do not.

> Stebie, GIVE IT UP. In radio you are a NOTHING,
> just another bragging ham who has NO REAL knowledge
> of communicating, a poseur who wants to be "big" on
> word of mouth and some certificates hanging on the
> wall...but with enough hot air to life a large
> zeppelin.

Pretty sour grapes there, Lennie...

Not true, of course, but it makes you feel better to "diss" fokls
who DO get on the air every day from their own radio stations.

> DO try to NOT make EVERY thread in here all about
> YOU and how you are "better" than anyone. You ain't.

That's not what I said, but I guess you're probably feeling a bit
inadequate inasmuch as you are constantly exposed for the inept, poorly
informed cretin that you are, Lennie.

Can't be helped, though...You keep doing it to yourself.

Steve, K4YZ

418. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-05 23:14:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115334872.067743.242580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107596

From: "K□B" on Thurs,May 5 2005 9:44 am

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>Armenian judges gave it a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter,
>but they were over-ruled by the umpires in instant replay, who
>award it a 2.1.
>
>The Armenian judges lodged a formal protest!

I HURL YOUR "UMPIRES" ASIDE WITH GREAT FORCE...

>premise, but a 9.8? Never! The Armenian judges tear their
>hair out, throw their balalaikas down in dismay, and perform
>the traditional Armenian Dismay Chant! They demand a recount!

CAN YOUR "UMPIRES" COUNT PAST SEVEN?

Or do they need permission to stay up late?

I didn't know you knew ANY Armenians. Lots and lots
of them living here in bordering Glendale, CA. Don't
know of any that have "balalaikas." Do you have a
"balalaika" or are you just pulling your strings?

Get a rag and wipe up all that condescension
collecting on your pipes...makes you look all
drippy...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Lord High Keeper of the Sanity Clauses

419. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 00:30:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PUyee.7417$BE3.3973@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107597

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> I HURL YOUR "UMPIRES" ASIDE WITH GREAT FORCE...

Yawn

420. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 00:36:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <-4ednZA2RMCaleffRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107599

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>
>> I HURL YOUR "UMPIRES" ASIDE WITH GREAT FORCE...
>
>
> Yawn

No doubt your yawn will be hurled aside with great force! Maybe even dismissed with great prejudice! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

421. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 00:41:21 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <R2zee.7422$BE3.2262@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107700

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in

>> Yawn
>
> No doubt your yawn will be hurled aside with great force!

There's plenty more where that one came from! <BSEG>

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"Hark! I Have Hurled My Words To The Far Corners Of
The Earth! What King Of Old Could Do Thus?"
--AC6V

422. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 00:53:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <iYSdnV1Jwv-3XeffRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107704

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>
>
>>>Yawn
>>
>>No doubt your yawn will be hurled aside with great force!
>
>
> There's plenty more where that one came from! <BSEG>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> "Hark! I Have Hurled My Words To The Far Corners Of
> The Earth! What King Of Old Could Do Thus?"
> --AC6V

Kewl!

Let me know what I can do to help tho'.

- Mike KB3EIA -

423. Re: Steve suggests violence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 01:13:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115341989.079521.212490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107706

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> >
> > > > Why did you introduce violence to this thread?
> > >
> > > I didn't, but you won't show where I did.
> >
> > And the lying continues.
>
> It sure does...So when are you going to stop?

Stop calling you a liar? Only you can answer that question.

> > > No threat of physical violence was made.
> >
> > Why did you use the word "violence?"
>
> I didn't. The word was "introduced" by one of the anonymous
lying
> cowards.

I've read back through this thread. You were the first to introduce
the word.

424. Not Looking Good for Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 01:21:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115342499.118321.241320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107707

K4YZ wrote:
> Brain,
>
> I can see that this is going to go tit-for-tat ad nauseum, so
I'll
> just add my last word on this and be done with you for now.

You'll be done when I say you're done, Silly Gunny.

> You have the information you asked for.

I do not. As I've explained to you for the past three weeks, your say so is no longer and never has been adequate for documentation purposes. I only accept authentic documents as your word cannot be trusted. Why can't your word be trusted? Easy: You Lie!

Post original, authentic documentation of your claims of MARS Service.

That will be all.

425. Re: And Steve has NO Documents

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 01:35:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115343320.208363.286490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107708

K4YZ wrote:

> I gave you the unit, date, time and place. Nothing there
> inaccurate.

Silly Gunny, I won't accept your say so. You need to show an
authenticate document with your name on it, appointing you to the
position of "ANCOIC MARS" with a duty location of Okinawa. Got one?

Any other correspondence from that claimed activity? Copy of MARS
license? Logs? Anything? Hello???

Don't think so because it didn't happen. Hi!

426. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 01:51:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115344312.236619.259750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107709

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed,May 4 2005 4:13 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "K0HB" on Tues,May 3 2005 5:59 pm
> >>
> >> >"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >> >
> >> >> The ARRL is about HF radio using Morse Code.
> >> >
> >> >Bullshit.
> >>
> >> In the face of REALITY of years of published fact
> >> that Brian is right, I "hurl your opinion aside
> >> with great force!"
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Yup, everyone just got through saying that there's a problem
> attracting
> >Technicians to the organization. No one seems to be able to put
their
> >finger on exactly why, only because they reject the -correct- answer
> >(reminds me of the OJ case). And they still wring their hands and
> bite
> >their knuckles and ask, "Why?"
>
> It's awful. Those olde-tymers just CAN'T understand
> why all the newcomers DON'T worship the olde-tymers'
> ideals of long ago.
>
> Hell, I'm OLDER than most of them and I STARTED on
> HF...but NOT doing a bit of "CW." :-)

And so they still search for the answer. The answer will only be
meaningful if they work hard for it (uphill both ways). Sufficient
time must pass for them to forget what you or I have said, and for them
to arrive at the answer independantly.

To borrow from Edison: 100% perspiration, 0% inspiration.

> >OK, I'll rephrase the answer. The ARRL may not be >>>just<<< about
HF
> >radio using Morse Code, but the Technicians think it is. Hi! Sheds
a

> >whole new light on the subject. How about that for fair play?
>
> Works for me. :-)
>
> Does definitely NOT work for those olde-tyme
> morsemen locked into the standards and
> practices of seven decades ago...they're
> still scratching their balding heads wondering
> why the rest of the radio world gave up on "CW."

Maybe one day, once they forget that you told them why already, they'll
arrive at that answer independantly, too.

> Well, they have their imaginary universes
> locked up in their heads. They seem to be
> happy in their status quondam...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I give up.

427. Re: K4YZ Has Rules!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 02:02:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115344953.669420.55540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
>
> > > Insufficient. Post authentic documentation. Got papers?
> >
> > And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far
more
> > information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided for
> ALL
> > of the questions before you!
>
> But Steve, wasn't it you who demanded documentation for everyone
else's
> activities?
>
> Ayup!
>
> And if the documentation didn't flow it meant that the event didn't
> happen and the person was lying?
>
> Yep, that was you. Your words, your rules, your logic. That is the
> basis that you use for calling other people liars. It's too bad that
> your heavy handed tactics have to be used on you, that's the way it
> works. You are not exempt from your own rules, though you are
> desperately trying to avoid them.
>
> So again I ask you to post documentation of your MARS claims. An
> authentic letter of appointment to your alledged claim of "ANCOIC
> Okinawa" would be satisfactory. Got Papers? Sucks to be Robeson.

Got papers?
```

428. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 02:05:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115345159.839744.128570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107711

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >Stebie said
> > >What's your Army MARS callsign Todd...?!?!
> >
> >
> > AAR5TB
>
> Well...I'll be dogged.

You are dogged. Now post authentic documentation of your claimed
position of authority in MARS/Okinawa. Got any?

429. Re: Steve's Really Losing It Now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 02:09:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115345356.453398.77140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107712

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > Steve said that he wanted to sit down and teach me a few
things.
> I
> > > > asked if he was applying to be my new mentor. He looked so
> > > ridiculous.
> > >
> > > Only in that anyone might expect to be able to teach you
> > ANYthing.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Huh?
>
> "Huh?" indeed!
>
> Sheeeeesh.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Sheesh indeed.

Got papers? Got anything at all?

430. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 02:20:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115346044.741699.28060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107713

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed,May 4 2005 5:22 pm
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "bb" on Mon,May 2 2005 3:20 pm
> >>
> >> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> > K?B wrote:
> >> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > >
> >> >> If Brian or Lennie had such an error pointed out, there'd
be
> a six
> >> >> month long rant on how licensing in Somalia
> >> >
> >> >You lying sack of excrement. You, David Heil, Brian Kelly and
The
> >> >Other Steve put out an APB and searched the web worldwide for one
> of my
> >> >cards.
> >>
> >> True enough.
> >
> >It is an eternal truth. The Jedi Knights have been studying for an
> >eternity that Steven J. Robeson is a lying sack of excrement.
>
> "Excrement, sack of, is he," said Yoda...

Weird Al Yankovich could probably show Robeson in a positive light.

> >> >Since no one came forward with one, You declared that my
operation
> >> >never happened and that I was a liar.
> >> >
> >> >You continue to say that to this day.
> >>
> >> Stebie KNOWS things, Brian. He has the "facts"
> >> locked up in his head (besides in his wallet).
> >>
> >> Remember the Double Standard in here:
> >>
> >> 1. Everyone "owes" Stebie.
> >>
> >> 2. Stebie don't owe NUTHIN' to NOBODY!
> >>

> >> Simple as that, shitty though that be.
> >
> >Don't forget that he has "The Power" to put people away with a
single
> >phone call (dialing...).
>
> YESSSS! The POWER - and the "qualification" as a
> "professional" to simply make one phone call to
> the "authorities" and anyone he states will be
> PICKED UP!!!
>
> Tsk, tsk, I don't know what Stebie was ON that
> day but he overdosed on whatever it was...

He doesn't do drugs or alcohol. Hi! Hi, hi!

If he was actually sober when he made those claims then he needs to be
picked up immediately.

> >> >Now I want you to produce authentic evidence of your MARS
activity
> on
> >> >Okinawa, and of your claimed seven hostile actions.
> >>
> >> Tsk. He will NOT.
> >>
> >> Stebie wants everyone to "verify" his "Okinawa
> >> MARS" thing with the "CG of 1st MAF, 1981."
> >> Tsk. It is unlikely that the First Marine Air
> >> Wing will still BE on Okinawa 24 years later,
> >> let alone have the "same" Commanding General!"
> >>
> >> Humphhh...on "seven hostile actions," I can't
> >> see AIRCRAFT GROUND CREW AND SUPPORT being
> >> anything other than REAR-AREA operations!
> >> Wow! Some "hostile actions" with implied
> >> combat and hand-to-hand fighting over ground
> >> at the airfield! Stebie need a whole new
> >> box to hold all his "medals" for "combat."
> >>
> >> All we can expect is weeks of waffling and
> >> misdirection by him to AVOID revelation of
> >> truth.
> >
> >He has more Dodges than the Chrysler Corporation.
>
> I hope that Chrysler does a RECALL of all those
> DEFECTIVE vehicles...

Death traps.

> >> This is all a bad re-make of "X Files"
> >> fantasy on TV! ["The Truth ISN'T Out There"]
> >
> >They had to find some dummy to hold up a flight suit. Prolly needed
> >alterations.
>
> Oh, they FOUND the dummy...but there were
> ALTERCATIONS...!

Seven of them.

> >> >> I just acknowledged the error when it was shown and moved
> on.
> >> >
> >> >Again you're a lying sack of excrement. You complained that Hans
> had
> >> >to dig 3 years deep to find your single "mistake."
> >>
> >> Standard Local Operating Procedure in Stebieland.
> >>
> >> The acronym works out to be SLOP. :-)
> >
> >He sorry sack, not sad sack.
>
> "Truth is," said Yoda...

Suffers from Excrementia! But it's treatable.

> >> >You acknowledged your itsy bitsy mistake and TRIED to move on as
> >> >quickly as you could, brushing aside all the mayhem that you
caused
> >> >along the way.
> >>
> >> Stebie NEBBER do wrong! Everybody else do wrong!
> >
> >He needs to get over himself.
>
> ...only if he has an out-of-body experience...

Hi! So many personalities that he could play leap-frog with himself.

> >> >You make no apology for all of the accusations that your itsy
bitsy
> >> >little mistake enabled you to make, and still make to this day.
> >>
> >> Again, a given. Trouble is, Stebie just won't
> >> admit he "exaggerated" a "little" on his claims.
> >> In his view of things (apparent, judging from
> >> thousands of postings) is that he ALWAYS TELLS
> >> THE TRUTH...and anyone disagreeing with Stebie's
> >> statements is a "LIAR."
> >
> >It is an eternal truth. The Jedi Knights have been studying for an

> >eternity that Steven J. Robeson is a lying sack of excrement.
>
> Stebie went over to the Dark Side long ago...tsk.

The Dark Side. Where the sun don't shine.

> >Megalomania.
>
> Novelist Alfred Bester called it "megal-mood" in
> "The Demolished Man."
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Hi! Robeson is Bester. Bester than everybody else.

431. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 03:12:26 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <ugBee.7484$BE3.3780@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107714

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> thinks

:
: So goodbye you lousy motherfucking assholes. Just remmember when the
: group is gone and your clik has to post somewheres else that you did it
: to yourselfs.
:

Dear George,

This newsgroup is no longer a newsgroup. It is but simply an inbred collection of mutually hateful misfits like K4YZ, KOHB, NOIMD, N2EY, Leonard Andersen, and those of similar ilk who find arousal in growling at one another. No useful information has appeared here in this century.

73, over and out,

Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte
--
"All persons, living or dead, are purely coincidental, and should not be construed."

432. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 03:13:34 UTC
From: Lloyd 2 <NotRoger@NoForeskin>
Message-ID: <d5encs$n8q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107715

> >
>
> Poor two-

Poor Gopher face, has that obsolete license. Has to talk on 40
meters along with the Shortwavce broadcast stations drowning his
piss-willy signal out. Poor Gopher Face Butt Cornholer, he
couldn't spell "loser", because he snorted so much cocaine and
followed it up with a vodka chaser. Tell us Gopher face, why'd
your wife walk out on you?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
Were you such an underachiever with her, too?
>

This is TOO good to pass up.

Wogie...your wife left you high and dry. She walked out on you and took her
kids with her.
If fact, Wogie, there are those who say that her kids were hers by a
previous marriage and were
NOT yours.
Story goes, Wogie, that she walked out on you because you were a moody
nutcase and you treated her kids as if they were second class citizens. You
just could not get along with her or the kids and were mean to them.

Then she got the house, the kids and the other belongings and you had to
move up north with Mommy.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

433. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 03:41:19 UTC
From: Not Roger <Lampshade@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d5ep0vqbm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107716

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
news:26851f18963e4c9575f0502df6815206@mixmaster.it...
In article <dpOdnVdSLrsSAuTfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@this.ten> whined:

> BZZZZT!! Wrong!!! There is no exam for a restricted
radiotelephone
> operator permit.

Now. But Roger took and passed a 2nd Class Radiotelephone in
1976. In front of an FCC examiner. The guy with the horned
rimmed glasses, crewcut, white shirt and tie and black pants.
The one who if you didn't follow their instructions exactly
would terminate your exam. The database clearly shows that the
Restricted Radiotelephone Permit was granted in 1981. You should
brush up on your reading skills.

> It isn't even a license (whines and excuses)

Sort of like your obsolete Advanced.

\

Sure thing, Wogie. You sat down in front of an alleged FCC rep. and filled
out a questionnaire.
Big Deal.

You did nothing that any CB'er could not have done, so what is the reason
you feel it is such a mark of excellence? There are literally thousands of
those Phone licenses floating about, so you did nothing extraordinary.

Oh, wait, you DID do something extraordinary. You rankled Riley
Hollingsworth several times and he sent you, out of the goodness of his FCC
heart, an "invitation" to retake your Amateur Radio tests.

He must think very highly of you to have taken time out of his busy schedule
to have personally sent you Retest Invitations.

Riley likes you. I can tell.

(next up...discussion ref. the many ISP's you have lost)

434. Re: Wiseman's long haired photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 03:43:21 UTC
From: Not Roger <Lampshade@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d5ep4q$qj1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107717

>
>
> He might be able to help matters by shaving and shampooing, but he sure
> as heck ain't gonna fix UGLY!
>
> No wonder he's single!
>
> <derisive laughter stifled>
>
>
>
>
>

That's not long hair - mine is more than twice that length

\

May well be. But you don't have two quarts of 10W-30 holding it in place.

435. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 04:02:27 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <n%Bee.5856$V01.2740@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107718

> If you'd have bothered to check others in the database, you'd see that
> all Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permits have the RR prefix.
> Dumbass!
>

The prefix "RR" belongs to Russia, fartknocker.... ;-)

436. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 04:30:26 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <1rml71prd6nc3vkefv2j170oq6vju2ni51@4ax.com>
Source record: 107720

Hi All,

Seeing as how there's finally something worthwhile to chime in on here (a refreshing break before getting back to the mindless flame wars that have characterized this NG for the last year or two), I'll de-lurk and contribute my $0.02 on this subject, in the hope that it might turn out to be worth at least $0.02.

In the comments that follow, I've quoted comments from several other posters as well as from Hans' original post, so as to get all my comments into one place rather than having them fragmented in several replies throughout the thread. As a result, this post is rather on the lengthy side, but...well, like i said, hopefully it's at least worth the $0.02. Here goes:

On Tue, 03 May 2005 14:21:48 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> got us started with his now well-known (to regulars anyway) PBI:

>The growth in numbers of Amateurs over the past decade has been overwhelmingly
>via the Technician license. This segment of the Amateur population does not seem
>highly attracted to ARRL membership, nor affiliative with the "national
>association" nature of ARRL.
>
>Interestingly, however, these new Amateurs are "local joiners". They attach some
>importance to public service communications events such as disaster drills,
>SkyWarn, flood relief, marathons, parade communications, and similar functions
>of a local nature. Interestingly, even though their on-the-air participation is
>limited, they represent a significant portion of the crew at Field Day, hamfest
>staffs, and similar "local" events. They are also well represented on the
>rosters of many local clubs.

I think it's worth noting here, the old adage about birds of a feather flocking together. It's been my experience that people join the local ham radio clubs for a variety of reasons, just as they get involved with amateur radio to begin with for a wide variety of reasons. When you bottom-line it, though, a local club remains a sure-fire way for a newcomer to meet others who share at least some of their interests in amateur radio. For many it's a way of introducing themselves to the other hams in their area, sort of like, "I've got my license and I'm here now, so when you hear me on the local repeaters you don't have to worry that I might be a bootlegger."

When they get to the local clubs and hook up with other newcomers (and the observation that the vast majority of newcomers to the ARS enter via the Technician route is dead on...that's why it's called the "entry-level license class"), they find the other Techs basically

doing the only things they're permitted to do, absent any meaningful
HF privileges unless they pass a code test - they're doing Skywarn;
tactical comms for parades, bike races, marathons; Field Day, etc.

Another old adage: When in Rome, do as the Romans do. Thus, it's no
surprise that many new Techs join in these activities. This is the
same route I myself took when I entered into the ARS back in '99 and
I'm still active in these pursuits now, except it's in a leadership
role rather than as one who is learning the ropes, so to speak.

>Following is a PBI (Partially Baked Idea) to favorably position ARRL (and
>Amateur Radio in general) with these newcomers to our hobby.
>
>
>I propose that the ARRL BoD consider an initiative to attract these newcomers to
>an interest in ARRL by establishment of a new "Department of Community Support".
>
>
>The mission of this department of ARRL would be to organize, train, support, and
>nurture a system of tactical communications teams on the LOCAL level. I use the
>term "tactical" as opposed to "emergency" intentionally to broaden the scope of
>the mission to include a wide variety of community-level communications needs.

Mike Coslo contributed on this that many of the people he can count on
for such activites are Techs, and added:

>"That is a good idea. Tactical communications would serve as a good training ground."

For what it's worth, I concur. In fact, I think tactical
communications is a skill that is too often ignored as many public
service and emergency communications groups opt for developing
proficiency in formal traffic handling - which is a valuable skill in
the right circumstances, but unless a group is located somewhere that
circumstances warrant the frequent use of formal traffic handling
(like being somewhere that often gets smacked by earthquakes,
tornadoes, hurricanes, and other major disasters that result in
relatively long relief efforts and a lot of health & Welfare
traffic)...well, many groups will only rarely need formal traffic
handling skills. Tactical communications skills, on the other hand,
are something I think any such group is going to always need,
especially if they are doing parades, races, etc.

Incidentally, I personally use the term "public service
communications" to distinguish this type of work from the more
commonly thought of "emergency" communications that is used during and
in the aftermath of some sort of disaster.

At any rate, to continue...

>This "department" would be outside the current Field Organization, and given
>VISIBLE and COMMITTED volunteer leadership at Director or Vice President rank.
>
>

>Did I hear someone muttering "Isn't that what ARES is all about?" or "Our
>current field organization already provides for this." Good points -- ARRL
>already has some of the pieces in place, and it looks good on paper.
>Unfortunately these "pieces" tend to be scattered around the ARRL organization
>and are not linked into a cohesive program. Support and leadership
>responsibility, from Newington all the way down to the local level, is often a
>collateral duty and the attention level is spotty and often diluted by competing
>responsibilities and personal interests. Leadership attention at the SM level
>is widely variable, and SM's have a diminished mindshare of the general
>membership by the unfortunate H.Q. decision to remove "Section News" from the
>national journal of our Association.

On this passage, Steve, K4YZ, commented as follows:

>First of all, there's already flexibility written into the ARES
>program to allow for it's implementation based upon local needs or
>concerns. The needs of emergency planners in Plymouth, MN or
>Winchester, TN are going to be different than those of New York City or
>Miami, FL.

As I see it, Steve, the problem with this is that in many (most?) areas, the local ARES group wears two hats, serving also as the local RACES group, and because FEMA guidelines for RACES call for its implementation at the County level, there's often a lack of ARES/RACES groups having established relationships with local governments (city, town, village, etc.). That is something that, as a result, often falls to the local clubs - but ARRL sees it as an ARES function and local clubs looking for support for their efforts in this area end up getting referred to the local ARES leadership...which is often hesitant to help because they see the clubs' efforts as undermining their own.

Steve continued:

>I don't see how a nationally directed program could possibly do
>anything more than appear to be micromanaging.

Again, admitting that their is a niche for local groups to be involved at a local level and offering some support to them independent of the ARES (or ARES/RACES) mechanism would not be micromanaging. Often there are entirely different missions involved, and even when the missions overlap, again it does not hurt ARES all that much for the local clubs to have a relationship with a city government's disaster preparedness officials in a county where the ARES group has a relationship with the county's disaster preparedness office.

In other words, this would eliminate the "turf war" mentality that often crops up when the local clubs, or other groups independent of ARES, start to actually succeed at what they're doing.

Steve went on...

>Secondly, the ARRL Special Services Club's program already offers
>assistance for helping to manage some aspects of club activities,
>offers of sponsorship, etc.

> What they (the ARRL) COULD do, without creating a whole new
>"branch" and field directorship with it, would be to start spending
>some more money to get more recruiting materials, including TV/Radio
>"commercials" into the field. They could "regionalize" the materials
>with pictures of local clubs, landmarks, etc in them to help "identify"
>them to the target audience.

To this I say, "Bingo!"

As one of two co-coordinators of the public service communications
team sponsored by a local SSC, I know from personal experience that
one of our biggest challenges is just letting people know we are here.
This is exactly the kind of support I had in mind above.

OK, back to Hans' original PBI:

> Without dwelling overlong on the
>shortcomings of the current situation, I think we can all agree on four points:

I'd settle for seeing everyone agree on ONE point. Four is really
asking a lot. But... <grin>

>1) A focused national program with Director (or higher) level leadership would
>have more impact than the current fragmented attention to "local" Amateur Radio.

I'd say that depends on whether the program deals directly with
amateurs operating at the local level (in which case I tend to agree),
or attempts to use the existing field organization to accomplish its
goals (in which case I have serious doubts, mainly because I'm
convinced that in many areas the existing field organization is so
completely out of touch with "local" amateur radio as to render any
meaningful progress in a project of this nature highly unlikely).

>2) Such a program, if successful, would give Amateur Radio valuable credibility
>in the regulatory and legislative arenas, and with national organizations like
>Homeland Security, FEMA, and the Red Cross.

I'm not so sure about this. As far as FEMA is concerned, and FEMA is
part of the DHS, they're primarily looking at RACES, and their
guidelines call for implmentation at the county level. To me, "local"
means this city, this town, this village. In some areas, county-level
might work. In my own area, I'm quite sure it would not. As for the
Red Cross, in my area the two Red Cross chapters are served agencies
of two different county ARES groups. As for the group I co-coordinate,
we have a relationship with a city that is served by the Red Cross
chapter that is based in a county whose ARES/RACES group has them as a
served agency, but it is not the same county our city is in!

>3) Such a program, if successful, would serve to elevate the perceived value of
>Amateur Radio with local civil authorities, perhaps softening the effects of
>issues like tower ordinances, etc.

I'm in complete agreement.

>4) Such a program, strongly identified with the League, would provide a
>membership "attractor" to those classes of Amateurs that are now only locally
>"affiliative". Gaining some traction into this huge reservoir of
>potential members would be a godsend to the health and growth of ARRL.

Again, I'm in complete agreement.

>What would need to be done to implement such a plan. Here are some "off the top
>of my head" thoughts.
>
>-- Define the mission and organizational structure.

You've already defined the mission quite well. The organizational
structure definitely needs to be defined.

>-- Many of the people (SEC/EC's, etc) are already in place. The organization
>structure would need to include a short chain-of-command headed by a focused
>leader at Newington.

If you're going through the SEC/EC's, you're going through ARES. In my
opinion, this is not going to work in many areas, including my own.
This needs to be totally separated from the existing field
organization, in my opinion.

>-- Move Field Day and SET into this organization as "their" events.

This is throwing the new dog a bone...however, I think the local
amateur radio clubs that sponsor public service communications groups
are probably already active in Field Day and already consider FD to be
one of "their" events - I know we do. Much less so with SET, which is
the "other" annual ARRL-sponsored EmComm event, and (in this area at
least) gets far less attention than Field Day.

My feeling is that Field Day and SET are fine the way they are, and
let both the ARES groups and the new local public service
communications groups participate.

>-- Devise a recognition vehicle to give visibility to noteworthy work by
>individuals and teams in this Department. This visibility (QST?) should extend
>outside the organization to the "general population" of hams as a recruiting
>tool.

You've already noted the boneheaded mistake the league made in
removing the section news from QST - undoing that mistake would be a
good start.

The user here who goes by Billy Beeper has commented:

>The ARRL has made an effort to get web pages up for each division and
>section. That is the method they use of distributing regional and
>local information.

It's easy to forget that not everyone has Internet access. As far as
the section news on the net is concerned, I'm subscribed to the

section news summary and am supposed to receive it monthly via e-mail, but have received nothing since December. If they are going to call QST "The Official Journal Of The ARRL" then the section news should be in each month's issue, period. That's the only way of insuring that it reaches each and every member. ARRL membership is about ham radio, not about the Internet. When they have a magazine that is sent to each and every member on a monthly basis, relying on the Internet to distribute regional and local information is just plain bullshit, IMO.

>-- Establish a new periodical (like NCJ for contesters and QEX for
>experimenters) to help build a "sense of community" among the participants.

I can see another magazine like QST, full of advertising, with a little note at the bottom of a page somewhere inviting you to visit the ARRL website if you want anything really useful. In which case, thanks, but I'm going to pass. There's also the problem of how to get the word out about this new periodical to its target audience. Since the audience you want to reach isn't ARRL members, talking about it in QST isn't going to cut it, because your target audience isn't receiving QST and isn't reading it.

>-- Educate local civil authorities about this organization, their capabilities,
>and how to best interface with them to take advantage of their capabilities.

Definitely. This is exactly the kind of support that is lacking now, in terms of what the local clubs are able to do in this regard.

>In order to build some critical mass and gain traction, the outreach effort to
>build these teams should not initially stress ARRL membership for "grassroots"
>level players, but rather depend on a strong ARRL identity to build esprit de
>corps and lead to an attitude of support and affiliation with the parent
>organization. Perhaps appointment to team leadership positions would be
>conditioned on ARRL membership.

Well, first of all, I think you produce a more effective team if you base appointment to leadership positions on ability rather than on what cards one does or doesn't have stuffed into one's wallet.

Beyond that, though, if you start to tie in ARRL membership, at that point, with many Techs you crash head-on into the other issue that a couple of guys here have already raised, namely, the perception held by many people about the ARRL and the Morse code issue. Based on what many people have said to me in eye-to-eye discussions, this issue is one of the major reasons why a lot of people choose not to join ARRL.

Len Anderson commented with respect to the ARRL position on code testing over the years as follows:

>The ARRL could have taken a position of MODERN LEADER-
>SHIP a decade ago.

Actually, the ARRL could have taken a position of modern leadership THREE decades ago when the mid-1970's Communicator Class proposal was

out there. Instead, the League chose to register strong opposition to the idea of any no-code license class, delaying the introduction of a codeless license class for another twenty years - something that many have never forgotten, myself included.

In fact, personally, I never will forget it.

Oh, yes, I've gotten over it - I'm now an ARRL member, I'm a duly appointed (by the SM) PIO in this section, and have a leadership role in an ARRL SSC here - but a lot of guys my age would have been involved in amateur radio twenty years earlier, and many retain that *perception* of the League today despite the current ARRL proposal for retaining the code test only for the Extra class license. Whether the perception is accurate or not, it is the *perception* in the minds of Tech licensees that matters, especially NCTs.

If someone sees ARRL as acting in opposition to their best interests, they're probably not going to join ARRL...and this is how many hams have felt about ARRL for years.

In this respect, Len and Billy are quite correct - the ARRL has shot itself in the foot more than once on this issue, at least with respect to the hams who would otherwise have benefitted from reduced emphasis on code proficiency in the testing procedures.

How they go about fixing that now, I don't know. For one thing, they should push hard for and hope for the FCC to get off its collective duff and allow Techs some meaningful HF privileges without a code test. Then, they need to take advantage of the opportunity this will present by providing some support for the newcomers once they start to exercise their new privileges. Sponsoring a new contest aimed at the new operators might help. Incorporating a new award perhaps - or maybe bring back RCC and...what was it called, the Friendship Award (where you tried to get a contact with callsigns ending in all the letters from A to Z)? But they've got to do something to offset the perception many people have of the League having crapped on no-coders for thirty years if they want to ever see those hams joining ARRL in any significant numbers.

>Obviously a lot of this proposal needs a great deal of "fleshing out" and
>refinement, but I present it in the spirit of a "topic for discussion". I'm
>sure that the minds gathered here will not be bashful about improving my PBI.

Hans, anything would be better than the endless flame wars in here, but you've actually come up with something constructive that just might be a good start toward doing something positive for the ARRL and for the ARS. Here's hoping it works out!

73 de John, KC2HMZ

437. Re: Commander Butt Cornholer did you upgrade your little Advanced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 04:56:28 UTC
From: Not Roger <Lampshade@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d5etdr$44f$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107719

Roger says,
"Looks like a typo, obsolete licvense BOY."

What a hoot!

438. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 05:08:47 UTC
From: Not Roger <Lampshade@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d5eu4u$5ip$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107721

>
> You enjoy using that

Yep. And at least I could pass it if I was retested. You can't even
pass it the FIRST time. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

\
You are our idol, Two Test.
You are renowned, infamous and much discussed.

You, Two Test, are the only contributor to this newsgroup who has had
"invitations" sent you
via the FCC to be mandatorily re-examined.

I'm sure Riley did this purely from the goodness of his FCC heart, didn't
he?

Riley obviously thinks about you quite often since you are on his personal
mailing list.
Not many Hams can boast of this.

439. Re: Commander Butt Cornholer did you upgrade your little Advanced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 13:36:11 UTC
From: BH <radiobilxx@zzgbronline.com>
Message-ID: <7ZudnQT16aXZEubfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107731

Thrasher Remailer wrote:
> In article
> Cmd Butt Cornholer, baby raper <me@that.ten> wrote:
>
> I see, w(more excuses)
>
> No, you don't see, your head is firmly entrenched up your ass.
> And learn how to punctuate your sentences, stupid.
>
>
>>>What is "two-test?"
>
>
>>Seem like everyone but you knows, and laughs about it.
>
>
> You laugh about made up words? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA what a moron!
>
> You still can't hack the Extra exam, obsolete license boy.
>
>

Poor widdle two-test wogie.

440. Re: Butt Cornholer the can't pass the Extra boy lies again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 13:36:29 UTC
From: BH <radiobilxx@zzgbronline.com>
Message-ID: <7ZudnQf16aXKEubfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107732

```
Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
> In article <LJKdnQsMCsuiI-ffRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <me@that.ten> wrote:
>
>
>>>The FCC doesn't have licenses issued from that long ago on
>
> their
>
>>>database, dumbass.
>
>
>>So now you use that excuse.
>
>
> No, call the FCC and ask them what their policy is on that.
> But you'd rather whine on the internet, wouldn't you?
>
>
>>Looks like
>
>
> You mean like your lies about your First Class Radiotelephone
> license and pilot's license?????
>

Poor widdle two-test wogie.
```

441. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 14:15:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115388946.628186.98360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107722

>stebie said
> Which is probably why you're finishing up your "college" on-line
>over this summer rather than by the deadline that's already passed.

What deadline???

>You do. Your post on your blog gave topics that were alomost
>word-for-word from the ARRL website

No,I post in the title the subject of what is a concern to the
amateur's and voice my opinion about it.

>And when your selfishness degrades my ability to enjoy Amateur
>Radio (ie: N9OGL one-way broadcasts on 20 meters), it's no longer a
>matter of your OWN "enjoyment.

BOOOOOO HOOOO go cry to someone who cares.

Todd N9OGL

442. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 14:50:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115391018.687659.241360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107723

N9OGL wrote:
> >stebie said
> > Which is probably why you're finishing up your "college" on-line
> >over this summer rather than by the deadline that's already passed.
>
> What deadline???

Six weeks ago you said you were "graduating" in 30 days.

You do the math, Todd.

> >You do. Your post on your blog gave topics that were alomost
> >word-for-word from the ARRL website
>
> No,I post in the title the subject of what is a concern to the
> amateur's and voice my opinion about it.

Uh huh.

Thief.

> >And when your selfishness degrades my ability to enjoy Amateur
> >Radio (ie: N9OGL one-way broadcasts on 20 meters), it's no longer a
> >matter of your OWN "enjoyment.
>
> BOOOOOO HOOOO go cry to someone who cares.

I'm not crying. I'm laughing...

...at you.

Steve, K4YZ

443. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 14:52:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115391152.205252.128780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107724

N9OGL wrote:

> I can talk a Hell of lot better then I can right you ####ing
###hole.

What a gem! Typical Todd!

Steve, K4YZ

444. Re: And Steve has NO Documents

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 14:57:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115391442.503635.97740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107725

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > I gave you the unit, date, time and place. Nothing there
> > inaccurate.
>
> Silly Gunny, I won't accept your say so. You need to show an
> authenticate document with your name on it, appointing you to the
> position of "ANCOIC MARS" with a duty location of Okinawa. Got one?

It's not my "say so".

It's the Marine Corps.

> Any other correspondence from that claimed activity? Copy of MARS
> license? Logs? Anything? Hello???
>
> Don't think so because it didn't happen. Hi!

Unlike your Somalia operation, this DID happen and happened with
the proper authorizations.

I even told you when, where and who to ask.

You just refuse to "make the call".

Because Mrs. Brain P Burke is married to a coward.

What will the kids say...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

445. Re: Butt Cornholer the can't pass the Extra boy lies again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 15:23:15 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <8cfc3534cb81e26aff82324d50f1b232@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 107726

In article <LJKdnQsMCsuiI-ffRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <me@that.ten> wrote:

>> The FCC doesn't have licenses issued from that long ago on
their
>> database, dumbass.

>So now you use that excuse.

No, call the FCC and ask them what their policy is on that.
But you'd rather whine on the internet, wouldn't you?

>Looks like

You mean like your lies about your First Class Radiotelephone
license and pilot's license?????

446. Re: Commander Butt Cornholer did you upgrade your little Advanced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 15:26:29 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <2Y8TZAEP38478.976724537@reece.net.au>
Source record: 107727

In article
Cmd Butt Cornholer, baby raper <me@that.ten> wrote:

I see, w(more excuses)

No, you don't see, your head is firmly entrenched up your ass.
And learn how to punctuate your sentences, stupid.

>> What is "two-test?"

>Seem like everyone but you knows, and laughs about it.

You laugh about made up words? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA what a moron!

You still can't hack the Extra exam, obsolete license boy.

447. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 15:27:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115393243.193553.286300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107728

>Stebie said
>Six weeks ago you said you were "graduating" in 30 days.

no, six months ago I would be done with school for this semester, I
have about two to three more years of school left.

Todd N9OGL

448. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 15:29:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115393364.587627.59040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107729

John Kasupski wrote:

> I think it's worth noting here, the old adage about birds of a
feather
> flocking together. It's been my experience that people join the local
> ham radio clubs for a variety of reasons, just as they get involved
> with amateur radio to begin with for a wide variety of reasons. When
> you bottom-line it, though, a local club remains a sure-fire way for
a
> newcomer to meet others who share at least some of their interests in
> amateur radio. For many it's a way of introducing themselves to the
> other hams in their area, sort of like, "I've got my license and I'm
> here now, so when you hear me on the local repeaters you don't have
to
> worry that I might be a bootlegger."

Exactly...And that's where a "national" organization can
help...Recruiting materials, media bites, testing/training materials.

It should be up to the "locals" to deceide where the focus of the
organization is going.

> When they get to the local clubs and hook up with other newcomers
(and
> the observation that the vast majority of newcomers to the ARS enter
> via the Technician route is dead on...that's why it's called the
> "entry-level license class"), they find the other Techs basically
> doing the only things they're permitted to do, absent any meaningful
> HF privileges unless they pass a code test - they're doing Skywarn;
> tactical comms for parades, bike races, marathons; Field Day, etc.

"...absent any meaningful HF privileges..."

Sounds like a set-up for the "If you don't have HF you're not a
Ham" argument, John. Not only are well over 90% of all Amateur
allocations above 50Mhz, so are most ACTIVITIES above 50MHz.

I went on up to Extra thinking all the activities were on HF only
to find I keep pulling back to 50Mhz and up for a lot of my hamming
activities.

I think the "failure" comes only from thinking that there's
"nothing to do" above 50Mhz. That's just wrong.

> Another old adage: When in Rome, do as the Romans do. Thus, it's no
> surprise that many new Techs join in these activities. This is the
> same route I myself took when I entered into the ARS back in '99 and

> I'm still active in these pursuits now, except it's in a leadership
> role rather than as one who is learning the ropes, so to speak.

And it's in fellowship that we learn more effectively. My only
"problem" with clubs is that they often try to be too many things to
too many people with too few resources.

> >"That is a good idea. Tactical communications would serve as a good
training ground."
>
> For what it's worth, I concur. In fact, I think tactical
> communications is a skill that is too often ignored as many public
> service and emergency communications groups opt for developing
> proficiency in formal traffic handling - which is a valuable skill in
> the right circumstances, but unless a group is located somewhere that
> circumstances warrant the frequent use of formal traffic handling
> (like being somewhere that often gets smacked by earthquakes,
> tornadoes, hurricanes, and other major disasters that result in
> relatively long relief efforts and a lot of health & Welfare
> traffic)...well, many groups will only rarely need formal traffic
> handling skills. Tactical communications skills, on the other hand,
> are something I think any such group is going to always need,
> especially if they are doing parades, races, etc.

I agree on the use of those skills, John...and those are some
roots we shouldn't get too far away from.

We've done exactly that here in Tennessee CAP, and now we are
"re-energizing" those basic communicator skills along with the techie
web-rat things. We're creating a "Communications College" to start
from Ground Zero and re-establish basic communicator skills.

> On this passage, Steve, K4YZ, commented as follows:
>
> >First of all, there's already flexibility written into the ARES
> >program to allow for it's implementation based upon local needs or
> >concerns. The needs of emergency planners in Plymouth, MN or
> >Winchester, TN are going to be different than those of New York City
or
> >Miami, FL.
>
> As I see it, Steve, the problem with this is that in many (most?)
> areas, the local ARES group wears two hats, serving also as the local
> RACES group, and because FEMA guidelines for RACES call for its
> implementation at the County level, there's often a lack of
ARES/RACES
> groups having established relationships with local governments (city,
> town, village, etc.). That is something that, as a result, often
falls
> to the local clubs - but ARRL sees it as an ARES function and local
> clubs looking for support for their efforts in this area end up
> getting referred to the local ARES leadership...which is often

> hesitant to help because they see the clubs' efforts as undermining
> their own.

My experiences here in TN are that all those hats are being worn
by ARES now. certainly not in all areas, but RACES as a seperate entity
is dwindling. Since the Feds have actually pulled the rug out from
under a lot of the RACES regulations the lines have blurred and one hat
seems to fit better!

> Steve continued:
>
> >I don't see how a nationally directed program could possibly do
> >anything more than appear to be micromanaging.
>
> Again, admitting that their is a niche for local groups to be
involved
> at a local level and offering some support to them independent of the
> ARES (or ARES/RACES) mechanism would not be micromanaging. Often
there
> are entirely different missions involved, and even when the missions
> overlap, again it does not hurt ARES all that much for the local
clubs
> to have a relationship with a city government's disaster preparedness
> officials in a county where the ARES group has a relationship with
the
> county's disaster preparedness office.
>
> In other words, this would eliminate the "turf war" mentality that
> often crops up when the local clubs, or other groups independent of
> ARES, start to actually succeed at what they're doing.

I think a lot of this is being answered by having the EC's or
DEC's oversee the ARES groups and coordinate the ARES representation
with the various EOC's.

The folks in the EOC's aren't concerned with where the operators
come from or what club sponsors them...Just as long as that cah do what
they say they can do.

BTW...Part of Tennessee's Homeland Security implementation was to
issue a funded mandate that all hospitals within the state have
operating Amateur Radio facilites. We have a short tower with the
V/UHF antennas installed already.

> Steve went on...
>
> >Secondly, the ARRL Special Services Club's program already offers
> >assistance for helping to manage some aspects of club activities,
> >offers of sponsorship, etc.
>
> > What they (the ARRL) COULD do, without creating a whole new
> >"branch" and field directorship with it, would be to start spending

> >some more money to get more recruiting materials, including TV/Radio
> >"commercials" into the field. They could "regionalize" the
materials
> >with pictures of local clubs, landmarks, etc in them to help
"identify"
> >them to the target audience.
>
> To this I say, "Bingo!"

Thanks.

73

Steve, K4YZ

449. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 15:35:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115393738.072027.176530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107730

N9OGL wrote:
> >Stebie said
> >Six weeks ago you said you were "graduating" in 30 days.
>
> no, six months ago I would be done with school for this semester, I
> have about two to three more years of school left.

Sorry, Todd..you said "graduating"...The end of the semester is not
"graduating".

Talk to Lennie about school...He managed to turn a 3 year diploma
program into a 14 year project. I am sure he can offer you some
pointers on how to be a career undergraduate student.

Steve, K4YZ

450. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 16:07:34 UTC
From: Roger's Owner <owner@wogieboysowner.com>
Message-ID: <d5g4kr$63b$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107733

Woger believes his Restricted Phone Permit is some sort of technical credential, similar to his belief that a DeVry "masters" degree earned via correspondence is some sort of academic credential. For the former, you fill out a FCC form, and for the latter, you complete ten simple open book "quizzes" and mail in your payment, sort of like a diploma mill.

ROTFLMAO!

451. ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 17:03:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1115398994.568605.223510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107734

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/05/05/101/?nc=1

73 de Jim, N2EY

452. Re: Wiseman's long haired photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 18:40:20 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <47298146b8bbde2541fc38dd60d72ff5@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 107735

In article <d5ep4q$qj1$0@pita.alt.net>
"Not Roger" <Lampshade@Glendale> wrote:

>May well be. But you don't have two quarts of 10W-30 holding it
in place.

Neither does he, but at least he has some on his head, unlike
you, FATASS!

Did you get that Rogaine yet? BWHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

453. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 19:26:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <4b2dnVNaBp0TfObfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107738

robert casey wrote:
>
>>>>
>>>
>>> I didn't know
>>
>>
>>
>> You could fill the Encyclopedia with what you do not know, kiddie
>> diddler Casey.
>
>
> An encyclopedia? Considering the amount of stuff known today,
> that ain't bad. As an electrical engineer, that means that
> I don't know much if anything about medicine, law, or
> finance. That should fill that encyclopedia. And then there's
> lots of foreign languages that I don't know. I doubt that there
> is anyone out there who doesn't know an encyclopedia's worth
> of knowledge...

Robert, I bet there are even some things about electrical engineering
you don't know, but wogie can fill you in. Get him to explain to you all
about negative SWR and RMS voltage. LOLOLOL!!!!!

Notice wogie called you a 'kiddie diddler'. He is always accusing others
of such activities, when in reality it is probably he who engages in
those activities and accuses others in a lame attempt to vindicate his
sorry self.
The guy need professional help really bad.

454. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 19:28:48 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <4b2dnVJaBp3Qf-bfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107739

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/05/05/101/?nc=1
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

The level of stupidity that some people can attain is mind boggling.

455. Re: Cmd Butt Cornholed is a registered sex offender!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 19:35:51 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ccSdnUvUyNW1eebfRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107741

Italy Anonymous Remailer AKA wogie (two-test) Lloydie unWiseman wrote:
> In addition to having a copuple of obsolete licenses and an
> imaginary pilot's license, it seems that Buzzy the can't pass
> the Extra test boy has another secret in his closet.

What is 'copuple' wogie? Having trouble spelling again I see.
>
> Did you register after your recent move like you're supposed to,
> pervert?
> And why did you molest your own little son?
>
>

Just when and where did I move to wogie, you have something to pack up
that statement?
By the way, my son is 41 years old, you seem to be really obsessed with
child molestation. Maybe someone should clue in the Marshall County
Child Services about your activities.

456. Re: Cmd Butt Cornholed is a registered sex offender!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 19:39:46 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <7MSdnXU5JdKveObfRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107743

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> In addition to having a copuple of obsolete licenses and an
> imaginary pilot's license, it seems that Buzzy the can't pass
> the Extra test boy has another secret in his closet.
>
> Did you register after your recent move like you're supposed to,
> pervert?
> And why did you molest your own little son?
>
>

And you better watch just who you call a registered sex offender butt boy. Now you better back up that statement with some fact if you think you can.

457. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 19:55:53 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <dZPee.5062$7F4.4904@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107736

>>>
>>
>>I didn't know
>
>
> You could fill the Encyclopedia with what you do not know, kiddie
> diddler Casey.

An encyclopedia? Considering the amount of stuff known today,
that ain't bad. As an electrical engineer, that means that
I don't know much if anything about medicine, law, or
finance. That should fill that encyclopedia. And then there's
lots of foreign languages that I don't know. I doubt that there
is anyone out there who doesn't know an encyclopedia's worth
of knowledge...

458. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 21:13:25 UTC
From: Roger's Owner <owner@wogieboysowner.com>
Message-ID: <d5gmik$h7u$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107737

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:dZPee.5062$7F4.4904@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>>>
>>>
>>>I didn't know
>>
>>
>> You could fill the Encyclopedia with what you do not know, kiddie
>> diddler Casey.
>
> An encyclopedia? Considering the amount of stuff known today,
> that ain't bad. As an electrical engineer, that means that
> I don't know much if anything about medicine, law, or
> finance. That should fill that encyclopedia. And then there's
> lots of foreign languages that I don't know. I doubt that there
> is anyone out there who doesn't know an encyclopedia's worth
> of knowledge...

People who have a FCC Restricted Operator Permit and a masters
degree from DeVry, earned via correspondence, are generally
considered to have an encyclopedia's worth of knowledge. Should
you doubt that, just ask Woger, he will be glad to tell you. Of
course, you will have to first filter out all the childish filth & profanity
that normally accompanies a reply from Roger.

459. Re: Not Looking Good for Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 21:36:10 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115415370.373019.243860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107740

From: "bb" on Thurs,May 5 2005 6:21 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> Brain,
>>
>> I can see that this is going to go tit-for-tat ad nauseum, so
I'll
>> just add my last word on this and be done with you for now.
>
>You'll be done when I say you're done, Silly Gunny.

Stebie think he "king." :-)

Him burgher king? :-)

>> You have the information you asked for.
>
>I do not. As I've explained to you for the past three weeks, your say
>so is no longer and never has been adequate for documentation
purposes.

Stebie's word is his bond! [BAIL bond...]

>I only accept authentic documents as your word cannot be trusted. Why
>can't your word be trusted? Easy: You Lie!
>
>Post original, authentic documentation of your claims of MARS Service.
>
>That will be all.

I hope the door didn't hit him in the ass on getting out.

Doors are expensive...take time to replace. Assholes
are cheap, plentiful. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

460. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 21:39:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115415541.716218.243480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107742

From: "bb" on Thurs,May 5 2005 6:51 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Wed,May 4 2005 4:13 pm
>>
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "K0HB" on Tues,May 3 2005 5:59 pm

< etc >
>
>> It's awful. Those olde-tymers just CAN'T understand
>> why all the newcomers DON'T worship the olde-tymers'
>> ideals of long ago.
>>
>> Hell, I'm OLDER than most of them and I STARTED on
>> HF...but NOT doing a bit of "CW." :-)
>
>And so they still search for the answer.

So far, all I see is RATIONALIZATION for the
alleged efficacy of morse code. LOTS and LOTS
of old-style BS that went invalid around 1950
or so.

For the BRAINWASHED, their "search" is ONLY
through the olde-tymer's Rah-Rah on how "CW
gets through when nothing else will..." :-)
They can't think any different...the conditioned
thinking (fancy word for brainwashing) is too
strongly embedded.

> The answer will only be
>meaningful if they work hard for it (uphill both ways).

Oh, it's MUCH more than that! Example -

"Work HARD [at ham radio] to show DEDICATION and
COMMITMENT to the 'amateur community!'" :-)

That's a typical conditioned thinker output,
imagining they are part of some "service" to
the nation, a "corps" a la some military force.

It's all ARTIFICIALITY, this group-think. Amateur
radio is a HOBBY, a recreational pursuit involving
radio, done for personal pleasure. Brainwashing
(by guess who) has conditioned the mental activity

of many that it is much more than a "mere" hobby.

So...the conditioned thinkers allow themselves to
be led into an imaginary state of "importance"
and "service" to justify their having fun with
their radio sets. They perceive themselves as
"better" than other humans/citizens and are
therefore "superior." [some NEED that self-applied
tag of "superior"]

> Sufficient
>time must pass for them to forget what you or I have said, and for
them
>to arrive at the answer independantly.

Not for those morsemen who won't give in until the
morse key is pried out of their cold, dead fingers.

Some just cannot go along with reality.

>To borrow from Edison: 100% perspiration, 0% inspiration.

Well, not quite that exaggerated on values... :-)

But darn close! :-)

>> Does definitely NOT work for those olde-tyme
>> morsemen locked into the standards and
>> practices of seven decades ago...they're
>> still scratching their balding heads wondering
>> why the rest of the radio world gave up on "CW."
>
>Maybe one day, once they forget that you told them why already,
they'll
>arrive at that answer independantly, too.

I'm basically an optimist that a few will see and
understand. Unfortunately, too many are stuck in
their own ego-boo (self boasting) of "excellence"
at morsemanship that they cannot possibly see
the rest of the world.

The rest of the radio world HAS given up on morse
code. None of them in that great big outer world
"needed to learn CW" in order to show them it was
too slow, too error prone to be worth applying to
REAL communications. Once, long ago, when there
was NOTHING ELSE in radio, morse code was good.
None of the morse proponents were ever alive in
those long-ago days so they can't speak of personal
activity of such morsemanship.

Amateur morsemen just cannot be convinced of any
of that. Most live in the fantasy that this new

millenium is still using the standards and practices
of the 20s and 30s..."keeping the 'tradition'" and
all that while they refuse to learn what today is
all about.

Several things are operative with these morsemen:
The strongest of them ARE skilled at morsemanship
and they have a NEED to be recognized for that...
much more than just being satisfied by themselves.
They MUST have many giving them honor and respect
for their accomplishments. They will prioritize
their skill as "above" any newcomer and thus will
demand adherence to what they had to meet long ago;
forget trying to change regulations with them.
Some are just so brainwashed that it may be beyond
medical science to get them to see reality.

Mix all of those together (in various parts) and
there's the "we're better than you 'no-coders'"
nyah-nyahs because they fancy themselves as "REAL"
hams. Homeboy stuff, "turf" staked out, and da
boys in da 'hood are ready to FIGHT all that don't
agree with their "superiority."

So, we've got FLAME WARS in here.

Not only that, we get re-runs of tired old cliches
or the anonymous middle-schoolers who love to
be kids again so they can cuss out others with
NO sense of civility or comportment. A few of
those (such as Barnabus Blowhard...or whatever
IT is) making like some not-quite-funny Miss
Manners chiding all the other children. :-)

>> Well, they have their imaginary universes
>> locked up in their heads. They seem to be
>> happy in their status quondam...
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>I give up.

Never give up! :-)

Of course, for those of us doing OTHER things
(like trying to work with Win32 APIs and actual
electronic hardware designs NOT written up in
QST), there isn't enough time left to play with
the adolescents "serving" in the mighty fifth
branch of the military...the Archaic Radio-
telegraphy Society (ARS), a "service to the
nation!" :-)

I salute all them...one finger at a time...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

461. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 22:39:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115419157.559975.86960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107744

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Thurs,May 5 2005 6:51 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "bb" on Wed,May 4 2005 4:13 pm
> >>
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: "K0HB" on Tues,May 3 2005 5:59 pm
>
> < etc >
> >
> >> It's awful. Those olde-tymers just CAN'T understand
> >> why all the newcomers DON'T worship the olde-tymers'
> >> ideals of long ago.
> >>
> >> Hell, I'm OLDER than most of them and I STARTED on
> >> HF...but NOT doing a bit of "CW." :-)
> >
> >And so they still search for the answer.
>
> So far, all I see is RATIONALIZATION for the
> alleged efficacy of morse code. LOTS and LOTS
> of old-style BS that went invalid around 1950
> or so.

So far the only two people I see beating anyone up over it is you
and Brian. And no one is likely to want to talk to either of you...in
ANY mode...

The rest of us moved on.

Huge snip of usual "I hate anyone who's not me" drivel snipped

> I salute all them...one finger at a time...

Of course you're waving from the outside looking in...But we'd
expect nothing less...It's all you've ever had to offer Amateur Radio.

Steve, K4YZ

462. Re: Not Looking Good for Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-06 22:41:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115419319.346244.130690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107745

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> Doors are expensive...take time to replace. ###holes
> are cheap, plentiful. <shrug>

Your wife should know, Lennie.

She put's her lips on one each night before she goes to bed. Ask
her.

Steve, K4YZ

463. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 00:04:03 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115423685.2d48782008c750cbc337378010bb42b1@bubbanews>
Source record: 107746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 6 May 2005 17:30:43 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>my original post that you responded too said
>
>>nothing about freeband.
>
>LMAO,what a lying POS you are...that's why you changed the topic
>header,,so the post I replied to regarding freeband would be
>forgot...what a CARD!

LMAO,what a lying POS you are...thats why you changed the topic to
freeband. so the post that i made regarding 11 meters would be
forgot..what a card!
>
>> in fact it said
>
>>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>>nothing about freeband there
>
>
>Only because you suffer a great moronic communcation deficit..as it is
>there plainly for the entire world to see, but you, for some odd reason,
>express magnicent failure regarding your memory, intellect, and
>communication skill. Here it is again,,,open wide:
>

you suffer from moronic communication deficet, there was nothing there
about freeband. so here it is again,open wide little boy:

From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
wrote:
"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
Frank Gilliland wrote:
Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
<snip>
-
The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.

-
Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
Stu

>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.

---

now off you go little boy,thanks for playing, next time come back with your thinking cap on!

464. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 00:42:56 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_K2dneAooeiJkuHfRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 107747

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115393364.587627.59040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Kasupski wrote:
>

[snip]

>> When they get to the local clubs and hook up with other newcomers
> (and
>> the observation that the vast majority of newcomers to the ARS enter
>> via the Technician route is dead on...that's why it's called the
>> "entry-level license class"), they find the other Techs basically
>> doing the only things they're permitted to do, absent any meaningful
>> HF privileges unless they pass a code test - they're doing Skywarn;
>> tactical comms for parades, bike races, marathons; Field Day, etc.
>
> "...absent any meaningful HF privileges..."
>
> Sounds like a set-up for the "If you don't have HF you're not a
> Ham" argument, John. Not only are well over 90% of all Amateur
> allocations above 50Mhz, so are most ACTIVITIES above 50MHz.
>
> I went on up to Extra thinking all the activities were on HF only
> to find I keep pulling back to 50Mhz and up for a lot of my hamming
> activities.
>
> I think the "failure" comes only from thinking that there's
> "nothing to do" above 50Mhz. That's just wrong.
>

Have you noticed how the majority of participants in non-repeater
VHF/UHF/and up activities are NOT the Technicians. Just get on during the
ARRL VHF contest and count the percentage of stations that are General class
and higher that you end up working.

My OM is a fan of the VHF realm and has made presentations to the club on
what you can do in these area of the hobby. The result? Well maybe 2 out
of 100 work satellites and SSB and another couple work FM simplex and SSB.
That's it (sigh).

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

465. Re: Why is Roger's Commercial License not in the FCC data base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 00:52:17 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200505.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <634491eb2757f25c1b8fa50bc429ace1@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 107748

In article
robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>> If you'd have bothered to check others in the database, you'd see that
>> all Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permits have the RR prefix.
>> Dumbass!

>The prefix "RR" belongs to Russia, fartknocker.... ;-)

That applies to amateur radio, shit for brains!
The prefix forthe serial numbers on the RP license begins with "RR," which is what was being discussed, dumbass!
But you are from New Jersey, so it only figures, you'd screw it up.

ROBERT F CASEY
855 Oradell Ave
Oradell NJ 07649

466. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 00:58:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115427490.821156.98230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107749

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1115393364.587627.59040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > John Kasupski wrote:
> >
>
> [snip]
>
> >> When they get to the local clubs and hook up with other newcomers
> > (and
> >> the observation that the vast majority of newcomers to the ARS
enter
> >> via the Technician route is dead on...that's why it's called the
> >> "entry-level license class"), they find the other Techs basically
> >> doing the only things they're permitted to do, absent any
meaningful
> >> HF privileges unless they pass a code test - they're doing
Skywarn;
> >> tactical comms for parades, bike races, marathons; Field Day, etc.
> >
> > "...absent any meaningful HF privileges..."
> >
> > Sounds like a set-up for the "If you don't have HF you're not a
> > Ham" argument, John. Not only are well over 90% of all Amateur
> > allocations above 50Mhz, so are most ACTIVITIES above 50MHz.
> >
> > I went on up to Extra thinking all the activities were on HF
only
> > to find I keep pulling back to 50Mhz and up for a lot of my hamming
> > activities.
> >
> > I think the "failure" comes only from thinking that there's
> > "nothing to do" above 50Mhz. That's just wrong.
> >
>
> Have you noticed how the majority of participants in non-repeater
> VHF/UHF/and up activities are NOT the Technicians. Just get on
during the
> ARRL VHF contest and count the percentage of stations that are
General class
> and higher that you end up working.
>
> My OM is a fan of the VHF realm and has made presentations to the
```

club on
> what you can do in these area of the hobby. The result? Well maybe
2 out
> of 100 work satellites and SSB and another couple work FM simplex and
SSB.
> That's it (sigh).

I guess it's a regional thing, Dee...The bands down here are alive
with a lot of stuff, including 2M SSB, etc...And lot's of the NCT's
that some other ill-informed individuals suggest have been "chased
away"...

73

Steve, K4YZ

467. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 01:07:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115428044.273656.300150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107650

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/05/05/101/?nc=1
> >
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> The level of stupidity that some people can attain is mind boggling.

Is it that surprising, Commander, considering where he's
from...Downtown Granola City...Land of flakes and nuts.

Los Angeles is a cesspool of what's wrong with Amateur
Radio...WB6JAC, KG6IRO, W6NUT, etc etc etc... And then there's Lennie
Anderson...Everything that's wrong with radio in one soup bowl.

Much of this is due to the dumping of hundreds if not thousands of
ill-prepared if not ill-informed "graduates" of the "radio school" of a
certain well known Amateur Radio author from Costa Mesa, CA.

Many of the repeaters in the mid and late 80's were saturated with
these "operators" who thought nothing of initiating a "honey-do"
autopatch in the middle of another on-going QSO. When asked what the
heck they thought they were doing, the answer was frequently "But
Gordon West told me....".

73

Steve, K4YZ

468. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 01:09:14 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <q7qdneBAXPGDpeHfRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107660

Not Roger wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:4b2dnVJaBp3Qf-bfRVn-rA@gbronline.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/05/05/101/?nc=1
>>
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>
> The level of stupidity that some people can attain is mind boggling.
>
> Right you are. Besides, who cares?
> The guy made a mistake. Big deal.
>
>

A mistake? The idiot made several mistakes, anyone of which should have
show him the light.

469. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 01:50:57 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115430657.947128.228450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107651

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "bb" on Thurs,May 5 2005 6:51 pm
> >
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: "bb" on Wed,May 4 2005 4:13 pm
> > >>
> > >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> From: "K0HB" on Tues,May 3 2005 5:59 pm
> > >
> > > < etc >
> > > >
> > > >> It's awful. Those olde-tymers just CAN'T understand
> > > >> why all the newcomers DON'T worship the olde-tymers'
> > > >> ideals of long ago.
> > > >>
> > > >> Hell, I'm OLDER than most of them and I STARTED on
> > > >> HF...but NOT doing a bit of "CW." :-)
> > > >
> > > >And so they still search for the answer.
> > >
> > > So far, all I see is RATIONALIZATION for the
> > > alleged efficacy of morse code. LOTS and LOTS
> > > of old-style BS that went invalid around 1950
> > > or so.
> >
> > So far the only two people I see beating anyone up over it is
you
> > and Brian. And no one is likely to want to talk to either of
you...in
> > ANY mode...
> >
> > The rest of us moved on.

Hi!!!

Looks like Steve can't take any more of Steve-Style Abuse. Poor thing.

Wonder why he thinks the rest of us like it?

470. Robeson has Excrementia!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-07-07 01:58:47 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115431127.535086.241810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107652

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > I gave you the unit, date, time and place. Nothing there
> > > inaccurate.
> >
> > Silly Gunny, I won't accept your say so. You need to show an
> > authenticate document with your name on it, appointing you to the
> > position of "ANCOIC MARS" with a duty location of Okinawa. Got
one?
>
> It's not my "say so".

It must be. I don't see any legitimate agency of the US Governemnt
saying anything about your endeavors.

> It's the Marine Corps.

Hi! Hi, hi!!!

The Marine Corps is silent on the subject. You better dig through that
box of medals and see if you can find some authentic documentation.
Funny how you have things that you didn't earn, but don't have the
things that just might save you from being a lying sack of excrement.

Hi!

> > Any other correspondence from that claimed activity? Copy of MARS
> > license? Logs? Anything? Hello???
> >
> > Don't think so because it didn't happen. Hi!
>
> Unlike your Somalia operation, this DID happen and happened with
> the proper authorizations.

Why would I beleive that?

> I even told you when, where and who to ask.

I told you to cough up some authentic documentation. Got any???

> You just refuse to "make the call".

You stupidly refuse to represent your own self-interest.

> Because Mrs. Brain P Burke is married to a coward.
>

> What will the kids say...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

They'll say that you suffer from Excrementia!

471. Re: Not Looking Good for Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 02:00:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115431237.699954.325870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107653

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Doors are expensive...take time to replace. ###holes
> > are cheap, plentiful. <shrug>
>
> Your wife should know, Lennie.
>
> She put's her lips on one each night before she goes to bed. Ask
> her.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Robeson has to personalize insults to include one's immediate family.

Poor Steve, suffers from Excrementia!

472. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 02:08:22 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8Y-dnddN89aGvuHfRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 107654

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115428044.273656.300150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/05/05/101/?nc=1
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>>
>> The level of stupidity that some people can attain is mind boggling.
>
> Is it that surprising, Commander, considering where he's
> from...Downtown Granola City...Land of flakes and nuts.
>
> Los Angeles is a cesspool of what's wrong with Amateur
> Radio...WB6JAC, KG6IRO, W6NUT, etc etc etc... And then there's Lennie
> Anderson...Everything that's wrong with radio in one soup bowl.
>
> Much of this is due to the dumping of hundreds if not thousands of
> ill-prepared if not ill-informed "graduates" of the "radio school" of a
> certain well known Amateur Radio author from Costa Mesa, CA.
>
> Many of the repeaters in the mid and late 80's were saturated with
> these "operators" who thought nothing of initiating a "honey-do"
> autopatch in the middle of another on-going QSO. When asked what the
> heck they thought they were doing, the answer was frequently "But
> Gordon West told me....".
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Well if his books are an accurate indication of what he teaches, then he most certainly did not tell these yahoos they could use such poor practices. However, way too many people only half listen.

When I'm teaching, I use the "tell them three times" approach on critical items and some of them still miss part of it and/or misinterpret the material. Oh well!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

473. Re: Steve's Really Losing It Now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 02:08:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115431708.368471.4750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107655

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Steve said that he wanted to sit down and teach me a few
> things.
> > I
> > > > > asked if he was applying to be my new mentor. He looked so
> > > > ridiculous.
> > > >
> > > > Only in that anyone might expect to be able to teach you
> > > ANYthing.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Huh?
> >
> > "Huh?" indeed!
> >
> > Sheeeeesh.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> Sheesh indeed.
>
> Got papers? Got anything at all?

Really! Anything at all???

C'mon Steve, you've got a box full of other people's medals, but you
don't have your own MARS license? Your MARS letter of appointment to
"ANCOIC???"

Hi!

You are not to be beleived.

474. K4YZ has NO Papers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 02:13:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115431992.251334.92200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> >
> > > > Insufficient. Post authentic documentation. Got papers?
> > >
> > > And I told you they're not forthcoming. I've given you far
> more
> > > information to verify this ONE claim than you've ever provided
for
> > ALL
> > > of the questions before you!
> >
> > But Steve, wasn't it you who demanded documentation for everyone
> else's
> > activities?
> >
> > Ayup!
> >
> > And if the documentation didn't flow it meant that the event didn't
> > happen and the person was lying?
> >
> > Yep, that was you. Your words, your rules, your logic. That is
the
> > basis that you use for calling other people liars. It's too bad
that
> > your heavy handed tactics have to be used on you, that's the way it
> > works. You are not exempt from your own rules, though you are
> > desperately trying to avoid them.
> >
> > So again I ask you to post documentation of your MARS claims. An
> > authentic letter of appointment to your alledged claim of "ANCOIC
> > Okinawa" would be satisfactory. Got Papers? Sucks to be Robeson.
>
> Got papers?

I could beleive that Robeson signed up to be a MARS member and got a
callsign, but I cannot beleive that he underwent any MARS training
whatsovever. Nor can I beleive that he held a position of authority in
Okinawa MARS.

Why do I think that?

Because he has shown no knowledge whatsoever of MARS, that's why.

He suffers from Excrementia.

475. Robeson is being Dogged

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 02:18:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115432305.860355.104080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107657

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >Stebie said
> > > >What's your Army MARS callsign Todd...?!?!
> > >
> > > AAR5TB
> >
> > Well...I'll be dogged.
>
> You are dogged. Now post authentic documentation of your claimed
> position of authority in MARS/Okinawa. Got any?

Robeson is being dogged for authentic documentation of his claimed MARS activities. Much like Robeson constantly dogs other people for "proof." But he no like it no more, got tired of waking up on the floor. No thank you please...

Excrementia runs deep. Into Robeson's life is did creep...

476. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 02:30:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VKVee.8441$HL2.7748@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107658

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> Have you noticed how the majority of participants in non-repeater VHF/UHF/and
> up activities are NOT the Technicians.

The Northern Lights Radio Society here in Minnesota is an organization of operators who are active in weak signal (SSB/CW) work on the VHF, UHF, and Microwave bands. I'm sure your OM has heard of the club. A significant number of the members are Technician licensees.

May be different where you live, but in this area the Technicians do a lions share of the work in Skywarn, Grandmas Marathon, Twin Cities Marathon, and a host of public service events. During disaster recovery (floods and tornados) events they are major contributors of time and talent.

Contrary to common sentiment, they are extraordinarily engaged in the local ham scene and not just "shack-on-a-belt-repeater-lizards" as some like to characterize them.

The sooner ARRL membership has Technicians as members in numbers proportional to their population, the sooner ARRL will truly represent the full spectrum of amateur licensees. My PBI seeks to make that happen.

73, de Hans, K0HB

477. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 02:51:59 UTC
From: Not Roger <Lampshade@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d5hagd$vg5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107659

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:4b2dnVJaBp3Qf-bfRVn-rA@gbronline.com...
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/05/05/101/?nc=1
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

The level of stupidity that some people can attain is mind boggling.

Right you are. Besides, who cares?
The guy made a mistake. Big deal.

478. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 04:56:04 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d5hhp2fap0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107661

>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>
> The level of stupidity that some people can attain is mind boggling.
>
> Right you are. Besides, who cares?
> The guy made a mistake. Big deal.
>
>

A mistake? The idiot made several mistakes, anyone of which should have
show him the light.

.

As was said earlier. Who cares?

But of course the "holier than thous" like to point fingers, as typified by
the original post.

479. Re: Important please read this Thank you!

`°o.._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.?_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°` `°o._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.?_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°`
`°o._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.?_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°` `°o._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.?_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°` `°o._

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 07:15:15 UTC
From: Vlachen <vlachen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7WZee.7774$tf1.387558@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 107662
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

Michael wrote:
> Ronald700100@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
>>This is the most important question of your life.
>>
>>The question is: Are you saved?
>
>
> Nope.
>
> I'm wasted. :-D
>
>

Dude! You too!!!

480. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 08:00:06 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <bA_ee.6449$D91.2275@fed1read01>
Source record: 107663

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Not Roger wrote:

>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:4b2dnVJaBp3Qf-bfRVn-rA@gbronline.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/05/05/101/?nc=1
>>
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
> The level of stupidity that some people can attain is mind boggling.
>
> Right you are. Besides, who cares?
> The guy made a mistake. Big deal.
and with that... "the possibilities for disaster boggle the mind!"

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCfHWGhomUgK3bdaYRArtwAJ9OPooZksib4z8d1nNZxEgDCTL6MQCgvDaI
WdnC+VcFzP05FziOh6meWBI=
=sfsN
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

481. Roger says...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 08:12:48 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d5ht9u$7n0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107664

"I bet you spend your days cowering."

Roger accuses another of doing what he does best. Wogie is no stranger when it comes to cowering behind curtains.
Hiding behind curtains is how Wogie earned his nickname. Curtains Boy.

By the way, Curtains Boy. I see that somebody spilled the beans about your failed marriage and the kids that were not yours. It is amazing that any woman in her right mind would have anything to do with you to begin with. It seems that all the women in your miserable little life have Restraining Orders against you.

Thats what happens when you French Kiss the kids goodnight.

482. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 09:46:22 UTC
From: Jim <NoKids@nospam>
Message-ID: <d5i2pi$h4s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107665

>
> The level of stupidity that some people can attain is mind boggling.
>
> Right you are. Besides, who cares?
> The guy made a mistake. Big deal.
and with that... "the possibilities for disaster boggle the mind!"

>>>>

Post editing are we?

It works, I guess, when words fail you.

483. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 12:08:45 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <b46dnU4k0uhKMuHfRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 107666

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:VKVee.8441$HL2.7748@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>>
>> Have you noticed how the majority of participants in non-repeater
>> VHF/UHF/and up activities are NOT the Technicians.
>
> The Northern Lights Radio Society here in Minnesota is an organization of
> operators who are active in weak signal (SSB/CW) work on the VHF, UHF, and
> Microwave bands. I'm sure your OM has heard of the club. A significant
> number of the members are Technician licensees.
>

And half our members are Technicians also. And almost half our officers are
Technicians. We've been very successful in getting them engaged in the
club.

> May be different where you live, but in this area the Technicians do a
> lions share of the work in Skywarn, Grandmas Marathon, Twin Cities
> Marathon, and a host of public service events. During disaster recovery
> (floods and tornados) events they are major contributors of time and
> talent.
>

As they do here.

> Contrary to common sentiment, they are extraordinarily engaged in the
> local ham scene and not just "shack-on-a-belt-repeater-lizards" as some
> like to characterize them.
>

The Technicians here are also quite engaged in all the public service,
community, and club activities. What the participation seems to lag here is
in the wide range of on air activities.

> The sooner ARRL membership has Technicians as members in numbers
> proportional to their population, the sooner ARRL will truly represent the
> full spectrum of amateur licensees. My PBI seeks to make that happen.
>

I thought that I had seen a statistic where close to half of the ARRL
membership were Technicians. If so, then it simply means that the Techs
need to get more involved on the management side to make things happen.
Afterall there is no reason that a Tech couldn't be President.

Perhaps if this PBI were more aimed at expanding the membership at all levels it would be more fruitful. Very little change would be needed to what you propose other than seeking out non-members of all classes.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

484. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 12:44:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115469892.122524.168600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107667

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: "bb" on Thurs,May 5 2005 6:51 pm
> > >
> > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >> From: "bb" on Wed,May 4 2005 4:13 pm
> > > >>
> > > >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >> >> From: "K0HB" on Tues,May 3 2005 5:59 pm
> > > >
> > > > < etc >
> > > > >
> > > > >> It's awful. Those olde-tymers just CAN'T understand
> > > > >> why all the newcomers DON'T worship the olde-tymers'
> > > > >> ideals of long ago.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Hell, I'm OLDER than most of them and I STARTED on
> > > > >> HF...but NOT doing a bit of "CW." :-)
> > > > >
> > > > >And so they still search for the answer.
> > > >
> > > > So far, all I see is RATIONALIZATION for the
> > > > alleged efficacy of morse code. LOTS and LOTS
> > > > of old-style BS that went invalid around 1950
> > > > or so.
> > >
> > > So far the only two people I see beating anyone up over it is
> you
> > and Brian. And no one is likely to want to talk to either of
> you...in
> > ANY mode...
> >
> > The rest of us moved on.
.
> Looks like Steve can't take any more of Steve-Style Abuse. Poor
thing.
>
> Wonder why he thinks the rest of us like it?

There's a small difference there, Brian.

When I make a mistake, I admit it.

When you ask for information (other than about non-radio aspects of my military service), I tell you.

You, on the other hand, are a habitual liar. As is Leonard H. Anderson.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

485. Habitual Liar Brian P Burke, N0IMD, Tries New Tact To Dodge Responsibility

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 13:08:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115471321.498748.118480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107668

bb wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > >Stebie said
> > > > >What's your Army MARS callsign Todd...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > AAR5TB
> > > >
> > > Well...I'll be dogged.
> > >
> > You are dogged. Now post authentic documentation of your claimed
> > position of authority in MARS/Okinawa. Got any?
> >
> Robeson is being dogged for authentic documentation of his claimed
MARS
> activities. Much like Robeson constantly dogs other people for
> "proof." But he no like it no more, got tired of waking up on the
> floor. No thank you please...

The difference here, being, that "Robeson" gave
proof...dates...times...places...callsigns...All information that can
be independently corroborated.

Brian P Burke, on the other hand, remians a habitual liar who
makes dumb assertions then refuses to back them up with any
corroborating facts.

> Excrementia runs deep. Into Robeson's life is did creep...

I think you meant "...IT did creep...", brain, but you got that
wrong too.

And you WOULD know about "excrementia"...You've certainly been
piling it high enough for long enough....

Steve, K4YZ

486. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 13:13:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115471618.459422.280840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107669

Not Roger wrote:

> Right you are. Besides, who cares? The guy made a mistake. Big deal.

No, he didn't "make a mistake".

A "mistake" is not having the volume turned up and accidenting
making a call n the repeater while others are chatting...

Hours of cat calls, profanities and pre-recorded "policitcal
commentary" on public service frequencies was absolutely assinine.
Doing it on Amatuer frequencies was stupid enough...but to the cops and
EMS...?!?!?

What an idiot! He's where he belongs.

Steve, K4YZ

487. Re: Steve's Really Losing It Now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 13:16:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115471768.454249.109890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107670

bb wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Steve said that he wanted to sit down and teach me a few
> > things.
> > > I
> > > > > > asked if he was applying to be my new mentor. He looked so
> > > > > ridiculous.
> > > > >
> > > > > Only in that anyone might expect to be able to teach you
> > > > ANYthing.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Huh?
> > >
> > > "Huh?" indeed!
> > >
> > > Sheeeeesh.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >
> > Sheesh indeed.
> >
> > Got papers? Got anything at all?
>
> Really! Anything at all???
>
> C'mon Steve, you've got a box full of other people's medals, but you
> don't have your own MARS license? Your MARS letter of appointment to
> "ANCOIC???"
>
> Hi!
>
> You are not to be beleived.

It's "believed", Brian.

And you have the information to corroborate my claims.

No guts to actually do it...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

488. Re: Not Looking Good for Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 13:21:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115472066.608279.66610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107671

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > Doors are expensive...take time to replace. ###holes
> > > are cheap, plentiful. <shrug>
> >
> > Your wife should know, Lennie.
> >
> > She put's her lips on one each night before she goes to bed.
Ask
> > her.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> Robeson has to personalize insults to include one's immediate family.
>
> Poor Steve, suffers from Excrementia!

I didn't insult anyone's families...Just redirected Lennie to where
the ###hole was...

Steve, K4YZ

489. Re: Steve's Really Losing It Now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 13:46:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115473573.607848.252200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107672

```
K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve said that he wanted to sit down and teach me a few
> > > things.
> > > > I
> > > > > > > asked if he was applying to be my new mentor. He looked
so
> > > > > > ridiculous.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Only in that anyone might expect to be able to teach
you
> > > > > ANYthing.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > Huh?
> > > > >
> > > > "Huh?" indeed!
> > > >
> > > Sheeeeesh.
> > > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > >
> > Sheesh indeed.
> > >
> > Got papers? Got anything at all?
> >
> > Really! Anything at all???
> >
> > C'mon Steve, you've got a box full of other people's medals, but
you
> > don't have your own MARS license? Your MARS letter of appointment
to
> > "ANCOIC???"
> >
> > Hi!
> >
```

> > You are not to be beleived.
>
> It's "believed", Brian.

You got me there! You da Man!

> And you have the information to corroborate my claims.
>
> No guts to actually do it...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It is your job to substantiate your alledged MARS involvement.

I suggest you do so. As you're so fond of saying: No proof = Lies.

Post something indisputable such as an actual license or letter of appointment. Best of Luck

490. Re: Not Looking Good for Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 13:50:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115473816.088463.228050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107673

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > > Doors are expensive...take time to replace. ###holes
> > > > are cheap, plentiful. <shrug>
> > >
> > > Your wife should know, Lennie.
> > >
> > > She put's her lips on one each night before she goes to bed.
> Ask
> > > her.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >
> > Robeson has to personalize insults to include one's immediate
family.
> >
> > Poor Steve, suffers from Excrementia!
>
> I didn't insult anyone's families...

You did.

> Just redirected Lennie to where the ###hole was...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Then you should have posted your QSL address.

Is this going to be your final, final, final word with me? Hi!

491. Steven J Robeson K4YZ K4CAP a Fool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 14:04:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115474681.387077.100030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107674

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > >Stebie said
> > > > > >What's your Army MARS callsign Todd...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > AAR5TB
> > > > >
> > > > > Well...I'll be dogged.
> > > >
> > > > You are dogged. Now post authentic documentation of your claimed
> > > > position of authority in MARS/Okinawa. Got any?
> > >
> > > Robeson is being dogged for authentic documentation of his claimed
> > MARS
> > > activities. Much like Robeson constantly dogs other people for
> > > "proof." But he no like it no more, got tired of waking up on the
> > > floor. No thank you please...
> >
> > The difference here, being, that "Robeson" gave
> > proof...dates...times...places...callsigns...All information that can
> > be independently corroborated.

I've found that you say a great many things which are not true, i.e., you lie. So the only way to learn if your MARS statements are true is for you to post indisputable evidence such as a MARS license, or a letter of appointment to "ANCOIC" MARS/Okinawa. Got any?

I still laugh each time I remember you saying, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."

So show some proof that you were ever involved. Until you do you're just a bystander without a clue.

I keep visiting your profile on QRZ and don't see your photo replaced with a scan of authentic documentation. Too bad for you.

> Brian P Burke, on the other hand, remians a habitual liar who
> makes dumb assertions then refuses to back them up with any
> corroborating facts.

I have my opinions. You have "Assertions of Fact." Back them up if you can.

Got Papers???

> > Excrementia runs deep. Into Robeson's life is did creep...
>
> I think you meant "...IT did creep...", brain, but you got that
> wrong too.

You got me there. You da Man! Verrry Impressive.

> And you WOULD know about "excrementia"...You've certainly been
> piling it high enough for long enough....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I get the distinct impression that you don't like your tactics used on
you. Hi!

Sucks to be Steven J Robeson K4YZ K4CAP ! ! !

492. Robeson not well thought of...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 14:10:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115475046.294941.183360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107675

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> Now, give the rest of us Stebie's definition of
> OPERATING...and keep saying that everyone who
> doesn't agree with Stebie is a "LIAR." Nobody
> should expect otherwise... :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

What hasn't Steve/K4YZ crapped on?

Steven J Robeson K4YZ K4CAP suffers from excrementia.

493. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 15:35:30 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <8f5fe.6472$D91.1754@fed1read01>
Source record: 107676

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Jim wrote:

>
>
>>
>> The level of stupidity that some people can attain is mind boggling.
>>
>> Right you are. Besides, who cares?
>> The guy made a mistake. Big deal.
> and with that... "the possibilities for disaster boggle the mind!"
>
>>>>>
>
> Post editing are we?
>
> It works, I guess, when words fail you.
nah! just adding a comment. :)

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCfOBChomUgK3bdaYRAm93AJ0U2YivbtZGJMLKcTcdAhAhbNLFggCfdjVK
80BlpgmZ83rIVyIA4nwgfYM=
=r10f
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

494. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 17:36:27 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <_amdnScdW5YphODfRVn-iw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107677

Dee Flint wrote:
>
>
>
> And half our members are Technicians also. And almost half our officers are
> Technicians. We've been very successful in getting them engaged in the
> club.
>

The Technicians have been kicked around by the 'higher class' licensees
or some time for some strange reason. There seems to be some elitists
attitude among some holding higher class licenses towards the Techs,
that they haven't quite attained the status of being a 'real ham' yet.
However, it seems that the Techs are doing one of the very things that
hams have long been noted for and helps justify our existance, that of
using ham radio for public service. They seem to be a class of folk who
aren't much interested in setting in front of an HF rig just to chat
with someone in the next state or country, but had much rather put their
energy into using ham radio to benefit others. Hooray for the Techs.

495. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 19:51:38 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <e%8fe.7035$7F4.3848@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107678

Not Roger wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:4b2dnVJaBp3Qf-bfRVn-rA@gbronline.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/05/05/101/?nc=1
>>
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>
> The level of stupidity that some people can attain is mind boggling.
>
> Right you are. Besides, who cares?
> The guy made a mistake. Big deal.
>
>
It wasn't one mistake. It wasn't an oversight. Not
a case of a defective transmitter causing TVI and
he not knowing it. Not a case of by mistake once using
SSB in the data (morse code) subband on 40m when
chasing some interesting DX. This bozo kept interfering
with other radio users many times. And he knew what
he was doing. No way an oversight.

496. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 20:06:57 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Bd9fe.679$8g.33@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 107680

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
news:d5hhp2fap0@pita.alt.net...
>
>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >
> >
> > The level of stupidity that some people can attain is mind boggling.
> >
> > Right you are. Besides, who cares?
> > The guy made a mistake. Big deal.
> >
> >
>
> A mistake? The idiot made several mistakes, anyone of which should have
> show him the light.
>
> .
>
> As was said earlier. Who cares?
>
> But of course the "holier than thous" like to point fingers, as typified
by
> the original post.
>
>

Oh, I see we have another bright bulb loose in the newsgroup.

Using police frequencies is just fine? Hmmm ... just wait until you need an ambulance and the dispatch frequency is being hammered by someone who thinks it is fine to "talk" whenever and wherever they like.

Also seems to me like we are getting an influx of folks who used to haunt "rec.radio.misc" which I dropped years ago due to the inane posts there. I remember "Arf Arf" is a big poster in there back then.

If I might steal a line from Hans,

ZBM-2

Jim AA2QA

497. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 20:06:58 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Cd9fe.680$8g.261@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 107679

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115471618.459422.280840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Not Roger wrote:
>
> > Right you are. Besides, who cares? The guy made a mistake. Big deal.
>
> No, he didn't "make a mistake".
>
> A "mistake" is not having the volume turned up and accidenting
> making a call n the repeater while others are chatting...
>
> Hours of cat calls, profanities and pre-recorded "policitcal
> commentary" on public service frequencies was absolutely assinine.
> Doing it on Amatuer frequencies was stupid enough...but to the cops and
> EMS...?!?!?
>
> What an idiot! He's where he belongs.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Hello, Steve

You make a good point with police and EMT frequencies. Eventually, the public has to be protected. Mistake? Yeah, like a bank robber - aw, I just made a mistake.

This might be an underlying problem between the hams and the freebanders. Some folks just won't know when and where to draw the line. There are reasons for rules (I don't particularly care to drive the wrong way down a divided highway and would appreciate that folks who do get their license revoked.). They exist to allow all of us to co-exist and share the airwaves.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

498. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 20:39:06 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <KH9fe.687$8g.94@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 107681

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1115398994.568605.223510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/05/05/101/?nc=1
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Hello, Jim

Good story. Hopefully, he'll be too busy with arthritis medication to go
putting up any antennas when he gets out :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

499. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 21:00:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115499641.990391.186220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107682

Dee Flint wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message

. . . .

> > The sooner ARRL membership has Technicians as members in numbers
> > proportional to their population, the sooner ARRL will truly
represent the
> > full spectrum of amateur licensees. My PBI seeks to make that
happen.
> >
>
> I thought that I had seen a statistic where close to half of the ARRL

> membership were Technicians.

This thread was started by Hans who stated in so many words that Techs
are under-represented by the ARRL because they don't join in the
quantities other class licensees join that some changes need to be
made, etc., etc. ~Half the hams in this country are Techs. If, as you
state, half the Techs are also ARRL members then what's the point to
this whole thread?? Or is it me again?

> If so, then it simply means that the Techs
> need to get more involved on the management side to make things
happen.
> Afterall there is no reason that a Tech couldn't be President.
>
> Perhaps if this PBI were more aimed at expanding the membership at
all
> levels it would be more fruitful. Very little change would be needed
to
> what you propose other than seeking out non-members of all classes.
>
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

w3rv

500. Ping - Hans K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 21:05:49 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <N4afe.689$8g.210@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 107683

Hello, Hans

I hope you didn't mind that note I forwarded to you about being recalled to active duty.

Ah, us swabbies. I wouldn't mind serving on that ship. That would be even better than serving under Captain Delaney ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

501. Robeson not well thought of...By Liars, Cheats and Miscreats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 21:27:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115501272.626404.32770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107684

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > Now, give the rest of us Stebie's definition of
> > OPERATING...and keep saying that everyone who
> > doesn't agree with Stebie is a "LIAR." Nobody
> > should expect otherwise... :-)
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> What hasn't Steve/K4YZ crapped on?
>
> Steven J Robeson K4YZ K4CAP suffers from excrementia.

K4CAP is not an active callsign, Brian.

Why do you conntinue to make such glaring errors? It's making
foolish errors that has made you the fool you are today.

Steve, K4YZ

502. Re: Ping - Hans K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 21:28:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sqafe.9914$BE3.2437@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107685

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:N4afe.689$8g.210@news01.roc.ny...

> I wouldn't mind serving on that ship.

Puts the term "ship mate" in a whole new perspective. Is that what the
bubbleheads referred to as "hot-bunking"?

73, Hans, K0HB

503. Brain's Folly 101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 21:31:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115501507.903437.90450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107686

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > The difference here, being, that "Robeson" gave
> > proof...dates...times...places...callsigns...All information that
can
> > be independently corroborated.
>
> I've found that you say a great many things which are not true, i.e.,
> you lie. So the only way to learn if your MARS statements are true
is
> for you to post indisputable evidence such as a MARS license, or a
> letter of appointment to "ANCOIC" MARS/Okinawa. Got any?

That's NOT the "only way".

The OTHER way is for you to take the information you've been given
and independently verify it yourself.

Be my guest.

> I get the distinct impression that you don't like your tactics used
on
> you.

There's no "tactics", Brain. There's you lying. Me proving you
to be lying. No problem.

> Sucks to be Steven J Robeson K4YZ K4CAP ! ! !

K4CAP is not an active callsign. Why do you continue to make
glaring errors when it's those errors that have made you the fool you
are today?

Steve, K4YZ

504. An Opportunity That Burke Squandered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 21:43:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115502229.731929.165130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107687

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> It is your job to substantiate your alledged MARS involvement.
>
> I suggest you do so. As you're so fond of saying: No proof = Lies.
>
> Post something indisputable such as an actual license or letter of
> appointment. Best of Luck

No luck needed.

You've been provided with all the information needed to make a reliable third party corroboration. On all THREE of my MARS involvements. Five callsigns all together. Every one of them verifiable. Dates...Times...Places....

You're every bit the coward I've claimed you to be by not making the effort to verify any one of them.

Think of it, Brain...I've given you a wide open opportunity to have life-time bragging rights that I "lied"...

The only PROBLEM here is that you are too much of a coward to take that step and find out if (1) I was lying or (2) You were wrong.

The point here being, of course, that I wouldn't expose a weak flank if I thought it would be used against me. Of course the TRUTH is that YOU are lying...Again...

You're a coward, Brian P Burke...Always were...always will be.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

505. Bozo Robeson Continues "Final" Reply.... Hi, hi!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 23:44:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115509442.336142.70930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > The difference here, being, that "Robeson" gave
> > > proof...dates...times...places...callsigns...All information that
> can
> > > be independently corroborated.
> >
> > I've found that you say a great many things which are not true,
i.e.,
> > you lie. So the only way to learn if your MARS statements are true
> is
> > for you to post indisputable evidence such as a MARS license, or a
> > letter of appointment to "ANCOIC" MARS/Okinawa. Got any?
>
> That's NOT the "only way".

It's the safest way for all involved. I'm sure that you'd want me
thoroughly convinced that you really did participate in MARS and was
not just a spectator as indicated by your breadth and depth of MARS
knowledge. Got papers?

> > I get the distinct impression that you don't like your tactics used
> on
> > you.
>
> There's no "tactics", Brain.

Probably how you found yourself in seven piles of excrement.

Hi! You really, really hate it, don't you?

> > Sucks to be Steven J Robeson K4YZ K4CAP ! ! !
>
> K4CAP is not an active callsign.

Probably never was. Just some Bozo thinking he'd swoop up a cool call
sign.

506. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 23:49:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lucfe.8704$GQ5.1937@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107689

"Not Roger" <Lampshade@Glendale> wrote

>
>
> The guy made a mistake. Big deal.
>
>

Quarter-million-dollars-bail mistake! That qualifies as a "big deal" in most neighborhoods.

507. Re: ex-KG6IRO Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 23:52:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fxcfe.8709$GQ5.5359@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107690

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote

>
> The level of stupidity that some people can attain is mind boggling.
>

"Sometimes the pool-pah exceeds the power of humans to comment."
---Bokonon

508. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-07 23:53:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115509988.993788.265120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107691

k...@dvol.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:

"Senior moment . . . "

This thread was started by Hans who stated in so many words that Techs are under-represented by the ARRL because they don't join in the quantities other class licensees join that some changes need to be made, etc., etc. ~Half the hams in this country are Techs.

Change to:

If, as you state, *half the ARRL members are Techs* then what's the point to this whole thread?? Or is it me again?

w3rv

509. What? No Papers? That's a Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 00:04:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115510689.310309.206790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107692

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > It is your job to substantiate your alledged MARS involvement.
> >
> > I suggest you do so. As you're so fond of saying: No proof = Lies.
> >
> > Post something indisputable such as an actual license or letter of
> > appointment. Best of Luck
>
> No luck needed.

More like a miracle.

> You've been provided with all the information needed to make a
> reliable third party corroboration. On all THREE of my MARS
> involvements. Five callsigns all together. Every one of them
> verifiable. Dates...Times...Places....

Wow. Three MARS involvements and you didn't learn a damned thing about
MARS. Were you just callsign collecting?

Oh, yeh, what about your claims of seven hostile actions?

> You're every bit the coward I've claimed you to be by not making
> the effort to verify any one of them.
>
> Think of it, Brain...I've given you a wide open opportunity to
> have life-time bragging rights that I "lied"...

You have. Many times about many things.

> The only PROBLEM here is that you are too much of a coward to
take
> that step and find out if (1) I was lying or (2) You were wrong.
>
> The point here being, of course, that I wouldn't expose a weak
> flank if I thought it would be used against me. Of course the TRUTH
is
> that YOU are lying...Again...
>
> You're a coward, Brian P Burke...Always were...always will be.
>
> Sucks to be you.
>

> Steve, K4YZ

Hi! That's all very tough talk coming from a short, pudgy former Marine. But if you had proof you'd have posted it. Why? So you could rub my nose in it, that's why.

So in the end, Robeson has nothing. Nothing at all. And he is not believed. He is not believable. He is not to be trusted. He lies.

510. Re: Robeson has Excrementia!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 00:27:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115512053.266614.94930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107693

From: "bb" on Fri,May 6 2005 6:58 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >
>> > > I gave you the unit, date, time and place. Nothing there
>> > > inaccurate.
>> >
>> > Silly Gunny, I won't accept your say so. You need to show an
>> > authenticate document with your name on it, appointing you to the
>> > position of "ANCOIC MARS" with a duty location of Okinawa. Got
one?
>>
>> It's not my "say so".
>
>It must be. I don't see any legitimate agency of the US Governemnt
>saying anything about your endeavors.

Stebie tried a simple BLUFF. He is a "credentialist"
whose expertise is all in his collection of certificates,
medals, titles, and badges of rank. He tried to pull
off a snowjob thinking that NOBODY would ever check
up on him.

>> It's the Marine Corps.
>
>Hi! Hi, hi!!!
>
>The Marine Corps is silent on the subject. You better dig through
that
>box of medals and see if you can find some authentic documentation.
>Funny how you have things that you didn't earn, but don't have the
>things that just might save you from being a lying sack of excrement.
>
>Hi!

Poor Stebie...he NEEDS to be a PART of something
"greater" than the ordinary...to "be somebody" in
his own eyes. He NEEDS to be in a position of
authority...to have some "belonging" to a mighty
(in some eyes) organization having lots of trappings
of patriotism, honor, glory, etc. The military cast
him out and he probably resents that, begging to
have a connections again.

MARS on Okinawa of 1981 was NOT of any importance in military communications in the Pacific area. It was primarily of the "special services" kind...of the same importance as any morale-boosting activity such as USO stage shows to entertain the troops. The message identifier of RUAW in the DCS (Defense Communications System) was handled by regular military communications personnel at Okinawa...as it had been since 1945. MARS activities were never a part of that. [Note: With the advent of the DSN the node identifiers were no doubt revised from the old 100 WPM TTY times' identifiers of the early 1980s]

"NCOIC" is an old, common military term, an acronym for Non-Commissioned Officer In Charge. It refers to what would be "foreman" or "superintendent" in civilian life. "OIC" (for Officer In Charge) would be the responsible commissioned officer.

Stebie did NOT explain what the "A" stood for in "ANOIC." That's an unusual acronym and not familiar.

>> > Any other correspondence from that claimed activity? Copy of MARS
>> > license? Logs? Anything? Hello???
>> >
>> > Don't think so because it didn't happen. Hi!
>>
>> Unlike your Somalia operation, this DID happen and happened
with
>> the proper authorizations.
>
>Why would I beleive that?

Stebie is just trying to BLUFF his way out, Brian. He will NOT answer you directly (a sure case of him doing the bluffing snowjob) and instead tries to misdirect the thread onto you and your alleged (by him and Heil) "illegal operation."

1981 was 24 years ago, in relative peacetime (the U.S. engagement in Vietnam stopped in 1975 or 30 years ago). Ordinary people NOT in the marines would not understand "MAW" as an acronym for "Marine Air Wing" nor the prefix of "1st" as being a unit designation, not the baggage-holder of "first" as in "first-class" or "number one," etc. Using "1st MAW" carries with it a sense of "familiarity" with the military as if the writer was someone with importance. Self-importance in this case. Military unit personnel, commanders included, come and go rather frequently...records

of 24 years ago are not kept intact in a unit but
are sent to some archival facility. The
"Commanding General" of the First Marine Air Wing
of today (if it was a flag rank) would NOT have
such detailed personnel records of two dozen
years ago to verify anyone...except other high
staff officers listed by name and rank in a unit
historical summary. Is a marine "wing" large
enough for star rank as a commander? That hasn't
been explained.

A "wing" in the USAF is a large unit and goes back
to Army Air Corps days. Bottom to top, the old
Air Force aircraft unit names were squadron, group,
wing...corresponding roughly to land forces'
company, battalion, brigade, respectively. There
is no explanation given by Stebie on the size or
actual function of a Marine "air wing"...he
presumes that "everyone will 'know' it." Few,
relatively speaking, will know it. The USMC is
the fourth smallest U.S. military branch. USMC
is one of the last branches to become organized
into MARS...the USN was the last major branch
to join, several years after the USAF joined
with the USA origninating branch.

In a similar manner, Stebie once emphasized
his "importance" in the Tennessee State Guard
which has (from their own website) four
"brigades." The TN *STATE* (not affiliated
with the national guard or the federal
government) idea of a "brigade" is of a size
equivalent to a conventional USA company.
Stebie had a "commission" with the TN STATE
Guard as a "First Lieutenant"...and briefly
had his picture in a group's personnel
descriptions as "communications officer."
[the picture had him in his usual scowl]
Tsk. Few states have INDEPENDENT "guard"
organizations and they are NOT under any
federal command as are Army or Air Guard
units in times of emergency.

Stebie never went to OCS let alone an Academy.

>> I even told you when, where and who to ask.
>
>I told you to cough up some authentic documentation. Got any???

Stebie keeps trying to BLUFF. He thinks his
bluff and bluster will work...it is very difficult
to pull out any detailed military information on

a relatively UN-important military position that
supposedly existed 24 years ago.

>> You just refuse to "make the call".
>
>You stupidly refuse to represent your own self-interest.

Stebie is doing nothing more than BLUFFING. He
doesn't hold any good cards, can't meet the "call."

>> Because Mrs. Brain P Burke is married to a coward.
>>
>> What will the kids say...?!?!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>They'll say that you suffer from Excrementia!

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Brian, Stebie is doing his misdirect
and personal insult thing again. He can't admit to
BLUFFING so he tries to turn things around with
misdirection into your personal life.

As I've written, copying an old, hoary folk maxim,
"assholes are cheap and plentiful." BLUFFERS
are that kind of person. They all suffer from
the malady of EXCREMENTIA...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

511. Re: What? No Papers? That's a Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 00:34:13 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115512453.724481.72330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107694

From: "bb" on Fri,May 6 2005 7:00 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > Doors are expensive...take time to replace. ###holes
>> > are cheap, plentiful. <shrug>
>>
>> Your wife should know, Lennie.
>>
>> She put's her lips on one each night before she goes to bed.
Ask her.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Robeson has to personalize insults to include one's immediate family.

It's part of the wonderful warm, friendly nature of
United States Radio Amateurs of the extra persuasion?

Poor Stebie...takes EVERYTHING personally.

Stebie is frustrated and angry, tries to relieve
himself by being nasty to everyone who disagrees
with him. He relieves himself in here quite a bit.

>Poor Steve, suffers from Excrementia!

The jury is still out on that particular definition
but it DOES seem to apply in here, doesn't it?

Offhand, I'd say it was part of his Projection
syndrome. He projects his own frustrations and
anger onto others.

For example, his sudden misdirection onto others'
wives...who were NOT in the thread subject before
but are now brought in. That might be a window
into his own relationship...the second one, that is,
since he doesn't want to bring up the first one.

Stebie likes to get VICIOUS against his perceived
enemies, cannot ever let go. Witness his anger and
frustration exemplified in another posting from the
"ex-KG6IRO Arrested" thread:

"Los Angeles is a cesspool of what's wrong with Amateur Radio...WB6JAC, KG6IRO, W6NUT, etc etc etc... And then there's Lennie Anderson...Everything that's wrong with radio in one soup bowl."

I'm not a part of that thread, haven't discussed anything there, but Stebie's anger and hatred stand out by including me, plus insulting a major urban area and about 10 million United States citizens. Stebie has a lot of HATE and he must vent. I am not connected in any way with the individuals whose callsigns are given, have not ever been cited by any agency for radio misuse (certainly not amateur radio). Still, Stebie MUST bring my misspelled name in with his anger and hatred. That's SICK.

The syndrome is textbook sociopathy, with the anger, hatred, and frustration indicative of other psychoses lurking in him. None of these are good qualities to take into the hobby of amateur radio.

I originally wrote that "assholes are cheap and plentiful." That was a very general statement, not at all orginal...but fitting in almost every society. Poor Stebie took it very personally... a sign, perhaps, of a guilty conscience? <shrug>

"Excrementia" is an apt term in Stebie's case. It fits in with his misogyny?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

512. Re: Robeson has Excrementia!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 01:02:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115514153.665168.222120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107695

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Fri,May 6 2005 6:58 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > I gave you the unit, date, time and place. Nothing there
> >> > > inaccurate.
> >> >
> >> > Silly Gunny, I won't accept your say so. You need to show an
> >> > authenticate document with your name on it, appointing you to
the
> >> > position of "ANCOIC MARS" with a duty location of Okinawa. Got
> one?
> >>
> >> It's not my "say so".
> >
> >It must be. I don't see any legitimate agency of the US Governemnt
> >saying anything about your endeavors.
>
> Stebie tried a simple BLUFF. He is a "credentialist"
> whose expertise is all in his collection of certificates,
> medals, titles, and badges of rank.

And MARS and Amateur callsigns.

> He tried to pull
> off a snowjob thinking that NOBODY would ever check
> up on him.

He is very foolish.

> >> It's the Marine Corps.
> >
> >Hi! Hi, hi!!!
> >
> >The Marine Corps is silent on the subject. You better dig through
> that
> >box of medals and see if you can find some authentic documentation.
> >Funny how you have things that you didn't earn, but don't have the
> >things that just might save you from being a lying sack of
excrement.
> >
> >Hi!

>
> Poor Stebie...he NEEDS to be a PART of something
> "greater" than the ordinary...to "be somebody" in
> his own eyes. He NEEDS to be in a position of
> authority...to have some "belonging" to a mighty
> (in some eyes) organization having lots of trappings
> of patriotism, honor, glory, etc. The military cast
> him out

They threw him aside with great force.

> and he probably resents that, begging to
> have a connections again.

Quite true. Wonder what "Rank" he claims to hold in the CAP???

> MARS on Okinawa of 1981 was NOT of any importance
> in military communications in the Pacific area. It
> was primarily of the "special services" kind...of
> the same importance as any morale-boosting activity
> such as USO stage shows to entertain the troops.

Miss Runner up?

> The message identifier of RUAW in the DCS (Defense
> Communications System) was handled by regular
> military communications personnel at Okinawa...as
> it had been since 1945. MARS activities were never
> a part of that. [Note: With the advent of the DSN
> the node identifiers were no doubt revised from
> the old 100 WPM TTY times' identifiers of the early
> 1980s]

But, but, but...

> "NCOIC" is an old, common military term, an acronym
> for Non-Commissioned Officer In Charge. It refers
> to what would be "foreman" or "superintendent" in
> civilian life. "OIC" (for Officer In Charge) would
> be the responsible commissioned officer.

That butterbar just might have been the person who appointed Steve to
"Chief, Okinawa MARS."

> Stebie did NOT explain what the "A" stood for in
> "ANOIC." That's an unusual acronym and not
> familiar.

Asshole?

> >> > Any other correspondence from that claimed activity? Copy of
MARS
> >> > license? Logs? Anything? Hello???
> >> >

> >> > Don't think so because it didn't happen. Hi!
> >>
> >> Unlike your Somalia operation, this DID happen and happened
> with
> >> the proper authorizations.
> >
> >Why would I beleive that?
>
> Stebie is just trying to BLUFF his way out, Brian.
> He will NOT answer you directly (a sure case of him
> doing the bluffing snowjob) and instead tries to
> misdirect the thread onto you and your alleged (by
> him and Heil) "illegal operation."

Steve somehow thinks that I need his approval. I don't.

But even worse is that he knows that he needs my approval. Hi!

> 1981 was 24 years ago, in relative peacetime (the
> U.S. engagement in Vietnam stopped in 1975 or 30
> years ago). Ordinary people NOT in the marines
> would not understand "MAW" as an acronym for
> "Marine Air Wing" nor the prefix of "1st" as being
> a unit designation, not the baggage-holder of
> "first" as in "first-class" or "number one," etc.
> Using "1st MAW" carries with it a sense of
> "familiarity" with the military as if the writer
> was someone with importance. Self-importance in
> this case. Military unit personnel, commanders
> included, come and go rather frequently...records
> of 24 years ago are not kept intact in a unit but
> are sent to some archival facility.

Welp, if he wants to clear his bad name, he has a request in at such a facility. Otherwise.... he is unable to articulate any rational knowledge of MARS activities indicating nothing more than a mere callsign collection at the very, very best.

The
> "Commanding General" of the First Marine Air Wing
> of today (if it was a flag rank) would NOT have
> such detailed personnel records of two dozen
> years ago to verify anyone...except other high
> staff officers listed by name and rank in a unit
> historical summary. Is a marine "wing" large
> enough for star rank as a commander? That hasn't
> been explained.

Nor will it. Attempts to do so will melt the snow job.

> A "wing" in the USAF is a large unit and goes back
> to Army Air Corps days. Bottom to top, the old

> Air Force aircraft unit names were squadron, group,
> wing...corresponding roughly to land forces'
> company, battalion, brigade, respectively. There
> is no explanation given by Stebie on the size or
> actual function of a Marine "air wing"...he
> presumes that "everyone will 'know' it." Few,
> relatively speaking, will know it. The USMC is
> the fourth smallest U.S. military branch. USMC
> is one of the last branches to become organized
> into MARS...the USN was the last major branch
> to join, several years after the USAF joined
> with the USA origninating branch.

Its important to Steve to be associated with MARS, no matter how Johnny
Come Lately the US Navy was on the scene.

> In a similar manner, Stebie once emphasized
> his "importance" in the Tennessee State Guard
> which has (from their own website) four
> "brigades."

Another desperate attempt to be affiliated with something with an
important sounding name.

Heh, heh. He's got to still have authentic documention of that.
Wonder if he will post it? Should I start betaing him up on that one,
too?

The TN *STATE* (not affiliated
> with the national guard or the federal
> government) idea of a "brigade" is of a size
> equivalent to a conventional USA company.
> Stebie had a "commission" with the TN STATE
> Guard as a "First Lieutenant"...and briefly
> had his picture in a group's personnel
> descriptions as "communications officer."

Are they fools? How the hell did he hoodwink them?

> [the picture had him in his usual scowl]
> Tsk. Few states have INDEPENDENT "guard"
> organizations and they are NOT under any
> federal command as are Army or Air Guard
> units in times of emergency.

Good. Could you imagine him with an Iraqui prisoner on a dog leash?

> Stebie never went to OCS let alone an Academy.

But, but, but... he's an Occifer in the CAP and the TenTen Militia.

> >> I even told you when, where and who to ask.
> >
> >I told you to cough up some authentic documentation. Got any???

>
> Stebie keeps trying to BLUFF. He thinks his
> bluff and bluster will work...it is very difficult
> to pull out any detailed military information on
> a relatively UN-important military position that
> supposedly existed 24 years ago.

My best guess is that he's lying again.

> >> You just refuse to "make the call".
> >
> >You stupidly refuse to represent your own self-interest.
>
> Stebie is doing nothing more than BLUFFING. He
> doesn't hold any good cards, can't meet the "call."

Keeps throwing money down. He's got problems. Doesn't know when to
fold.

> >> Because Mrs. Brain P Burke is married to a coward.
> >>
> >> What will the kids say...?!?!
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >They'll say that you suffer from Excrementia!
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Brian, Stebie is doing his misdirect
> and personal insult thing again. He can't admit to
> BLUFFING so he tries to turn things around with
> misdirection into your personal life.

Wants "equal time" with my son. I've told him to stay away in no
uncertain terms.

> As I've written, copying an old, hoary folk maxim,
> "assholes are cheap and plentiful." BLUFFERS
> are that kind of person. They all suffer from
> the malady of EXCREMENTIA...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He needs to chug a bottle of Kao-Pectate and quit crapping all over
RRAP.

513. Re: What? No Papers? That's a Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 01:22:56 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115515376.696572.24960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107696

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Fri,May 6 2005 7:00 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> > Doors are expensive...take time to replace. ###holes
> >> > are cheap, plentiful. <shrug>
> >>
> >> Your wife should know, Lennie.
> >>
> >> She put's her lips on one each night before she goes to bed.
> Ask her.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Robeson has to personalize insults to include one's immediate
family.
>
> It's part of the wonderful warm, friendly nature of
> United States Radio Amateurs of the extra persuasion?

I wouldn't go that far, but as a amateur extra class roll model, he
sucks.

> Poor Stebie...takes EVERYTHING personally.

And if it's not personal, he makes it that way.

> Stebie is frustrated and angry, tries to relieve
> himself by being nasty to everyone who disagrees
> with him. He relieves himself in here quite a bit.

I can't decide if it's a lack of self-control or if he's marking -his-
territory.

> >Poor Steve, suffers from Excrementia!
>
> The jury is still out on that particular definition
> but it DOES seem to apply in here, doesn't it?

I like it.

> Offhand, I'd say it was part of his Projection
> syndrome. He projects his own frustrations and
> anger onto others.

and inadequacies. Ooops! I'm practicing medicine without a license
again (dialing...). Hi!

> For example, his sudden misdirection onto others'
> wives...who were NOT in the thread subject before
> but are now brought in. That might be a window
> into his own relationship...the second one, that is,
> since he doesn't want to bring up the first one.

Steve needs allies. Assumes everyone has a bad marriage (like him) and
he can get the wife to gang up... Unless it's time to terrorize the
wives...

> Stebie likes to get VICIOUS against his perceived
> enemies, cannot ever let go. Witness his anger and
> frustration exemplified in another posting from the
> "ex-KG6IRO Arrested" thread:
>
> "Los Angeles is a cesspool of what's wrong with Amateur
> Radio...WB6JAC, KG6IRO, W6NUT, etc etc etc... And then
> there's Lennie Anderson...Everything that's wrong with
> radio in one soup bowl."

Souper Bowl.

> I'm not a part of that thread, haven't discussed
> anything there, but Stebie's anger and hatred stand
> out by including me, plus insulting a major urban
> area and about 10 million United States citizens.
> Stebie has a lot of HATE and he must vent. I am
> not connected in any way with the individuals whose
> callsigns are given, have not ever been cited by
> any agency for radio misuse (certainly not amateur
> radio). Still, Stebie MUST bring my misspelled
> name in with his anger and hatred. That's SICK.

He got the fever.

> The syndrome is textbook sociopathy, with the anger,
> hatred, and frustration indicative of other psychoses
> lurking in him. None of these are good qualities to
> take into the hobby of amateur radio.

There may be hope. Our local prosecutor, in the freeway shooting case,
claimed that being a paranoid schizo didn't necessarily make someone
crazy. Of course, the prosecutor doesn't want the shooter to get off
on an insanity plea.

> I originally wrote that "assholes are cheap and
> plentiful." That was a very general statement,
> not at all orginal...but fitting in almost every
> society. Poor Stebie took it very personally...
> a sign, perhaps, of a guilty conscience? <shrug>

I can certainly see the connection.

> "Excrementia" is an apt term in Stebie's case. It
> fits in with his misogyny?
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I don't know that term, misogyny. Sounds like Miso and Gunny.

514. Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 01:38:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115516311.895391.126990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107697

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > Now, give the rest of us Stebie's definition of
> > > OPERATING...and keep saying that everyone who
> > > doesn't agree with Stebie is a "LIAR." Nobody
> > > should expect otherwise... :-)
> > >
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> > What hasn't Steve/K4YZ crapped on?
> >
> > Steven J Robeson K4YZ K4CAP suffers from excrementia.
>
> K4CAP is not an active callsign, Brian.

Probably never was. Just some Bozo collecting cool callsigns.

> Why do you conntinue to make such glaring errors?

Typical Robeson Slant and Rant.

I said no such thing. You assume that I said that K4CAP was an active
callsign, or you just lied. I think the latter.

Active or not, it was issued to you, Bozo.

It's making
> foolish errors that has made you the fool you are today.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Your misredirection failed miserably. I've just shown you to be the
fool that I say you are.

515. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 02:23:54 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115519034.191949.137240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107698

>STEBIE SAID:

>You had best be careful, Todd...The result STILL might not be what
>you'd like...Especially after they get a load of your true intentions
>and ulterior motives, Todd...

What "TRUE INTENTIONS" and "ULTERIOR MOTIVES"?? to be able to voice my opinion about things in amateur radio....oh I forgot your communist who bleieves we must me a collective.

> Here's an honest, forthright suggestion on your "bulletin" ideas,
>Todd.

>Become an ARRL "Official Bulletin Station". Use YOUR repeater to
>rebroadcast ARRL bulletins, the Amateur Radio Newsline program, or
>other RESPECTED, professionally produced offerings for the "main
>course".

Why would I do that?? I mean who the hell said that these people were the only ones allowed to run information bulletins and be a monopoly?? so your communist who believes only ONE truth be allowed out there....just like a typical ARRL SUPPORTER!

Todd N9OGL

516. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 02:40:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115520022.380130.279020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107699

>N9OGL SAID
> >You do. Your post on your blog gave topics that were alomost
> >word-for-word from the ARRL website
> No,I post in the title the subject of what is a concern to the
> amateur's and voice my opinion about it.

>PANSY-ASS STEBIE SAID

> Uh huh.
> Thief.

Look's who talking you and your little butt buddies on here were
posting not only my pass messages but posting stuff from my website
without my permission in clear violation of copyright law.

Todd N9OGL

517. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 03:10:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115521852.737252.174370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107750

From: "Dee Flint" on Sat,May 7 2005 5:08 am

>"K□B" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:VKVee.8441$HL2.7748@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>> Contrary to common sentiment, they are extraordinarily engaged in
the
>> local ham scene and not just "shack-on-a-belt-repeater-lizards" as
some
>> like to characterize them.
>>
>The Technicians here are also quite engaged in all the public service,

>community, and club activities. What the participation seems to lag
here is
>in the wide range of on air activities.

We can be sure some of your best friends are Technicians...

>> The sooner ARRL membership has Technicians as members in numbers
>> proportional to their population, the sooner ARRL will truly
represent the
>> full spectrum of amateur licensees. My PBI seeks to make that
happen.
>
>I thought that I had seen a statistic where close to half of the ARRL
>membership were Technicians.

Whose pipe smoke were you gazing at for that factoid?

The ARRL remains silent on demographics of its
membership. On the QST "Publisher's Sworn
Circulation Statement" [www.arrl.org/ads/circ.html]
page marked "last revised 17 March 2005," the
ARRL membership as of 31 December 2004 was 151,727.
The "individuals who are ARRL members" is given
as 138,127. Obvious discrepancy there. ARRL
does not clarify what seems to be a glaring error
in arithmetic...

That's about ALL that is given BY the ARRL on their
membership...or any other demographics.

According to www.hamdata.com, the total licensees
for U.S. radio amateurs was 733,080 on 7 May 2005.

Of those, 310,455 were Technician classes...which
works out to 42.35% of the total. If the ARRL
membership is really as high as 151,727 then that
represents only 20.70% of the total number of
licensed U.S. radio amateurs.

> If so, then it simply means that the Techs
>need to get more involved on the management side to make things
happen.

Long jump of a conclusion. Tsk, tsk. What
would your class say about that?

>Afterall there is no reason that a Tech couldn't be President.

Quite true. The only requirement is that they
are natural-born citizens of the United States,
are at least 35 years old and been a resident of
the United States for at least 14 years.
- Article II, Section 1, Constitution of the
United States of America

Oh, you meant the ARRL? The ARRL laready has TWO
Presidents...Sumner and Haynie. Are you suggesting
they try for THREE? [incroyable...]

>Perhaps if this PBI were more aimed at expanding the membership at all

>levels it would be more fruitful. Very little change would be needed
to
>what you propose other than seeking out non-members of all classes.

ARRL membership is dropping. [go look at older
BoD Membership reports] Dropping with essentially
NO change in their requirements. That is NOT good
for the League.

What is "seeking out non-members of all classes?"

First you say that "half the ARRL membership is
Technicians," then you want more attraction for
all classes? You aren't being clear in what you
are saying.

BTW, how DID the cake taste?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

518. Re: Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 04:02:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115522997.383957.11300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107751

From: bb on May 7, 9:38 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> > > Now, give the rest of us Stebie's definition of
>> > > OPERATING...and keep saying that everyone who
>> > > doesn't agree with Stebie is a "LIAR." Nobody
>> > > should expect otherwise... :-)
>
>> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org
>
>> > What hasn't Steve/K4YZ crapped on?
>
>> > Steven J Robeson K4YZ K4CAP suffers from excrementia.
>
>> K4CAP is not an active callsign, Brian.
>
>Probably never was. Just some Bozo collecting cool callsigns.

LOTS of them. Credentialism. Good substitute for
being able at something...

>> Why do you conntinue to make such glaring errors?
>
>Typical Robeson Slant and Rant.
>
>I said no such thing. You assume that I said that K4CAP was an active

>callsign, or you just lied. I think the latter.
>
>Active or not, it was issued to you, Bozo.

True enough. Note that it HAD to have "CAP" in the callsign.
Very Important for the pudgies in poopy suits.

However, Robeson has NOT stated what he thinks "operating"
is. Tsk, tsk, I will accept what the United States Government
has described, especially the U.S. Army who said I was at
least three different kinds of OPERATOR during my years of
military service. Stebie disagrees with the United States
Government. Tsk, tsk.

>It's making
>> foolish errors that has made you the fool you are today.

>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Your misredirection failed miserably. I've just shown you to be the
>fool that I say you are.

Poor Stebie, once again with Projection on others what his own
faults are. I don't know why he keeps that up. It doesn't work
and annoys all the readers.

Such misdirection (onto "personal faults" of others) might get him
away from defining what Stebie thinks as "operator" is, but I doubt
he has the courage (or conviction) to state that. Even if he did,
it
would be something about ham radio style "operating." :-) The
United States military communications groups are PROFESSIONAL
in all aspects, not amateur radio hobbyists. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

519. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 04:04:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hdgfe.10089$BE3.1748@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107752

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> ARRL membership as of 31 December 2004 was 151,727.
> The "individuals who are ARRL members" is given
> as 138,127. Obvious discrepancy there. ARRL
> does not clarify what seems to be a glaring error
> in arithmetic...

No "discrepancy" exits; no "glaring error in arithmetic" exists.

QST circulation numbers will always be lower than ARRL membership numbers
because multi-member households recieve a single copy of QST.

Sunuvagun!

> The ARRL laready has TWO Presidents...Sumner and Haynie.

Glaring error in arithmetic, Kindly Old Sir. There is only ONE President of
ARRL, Jim Haynie. Dave Sumner is Secretary and CEO.

Sunuvagun!

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
--
The moving cursor prints, and having printed, blinks on.

520. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 05:41:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115530891.183544.3180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107753

From: "Dee Flint" on Sat,May 7 2005 5:08 am

>"K□B" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:VKVee.8441$HL2.7748@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>> Contrary to common sentiment, they are extraordinarily engaged in
the
>> local ham scene and not just "shack-on-a-belt-repeater-lizards" as
some
>> like to characterize them.
>>
>The Technicians here are also quite engaged in all the public service,

>community, and club activities. What the participation seems to lag
here is
>in the wide range of on air activities.

We can be sure some of your best friends are Technicians...

>> The sooner ARRL membership has Technicians as members in numbers
>> proportional to their population, the sooner ARRL will truly
represent the
>> full spectrum of amateur licensees. My PBI seeks to make that
happen.
>
>I thought that I had seen a statistic where close to half of the ARRL
>membership were Technicians.

Whose pipe smoke were you gazing at for that factoid?

The ARRL remains silent on demographics of its
membership. On the QST "Publisher's Sworn
Circulation Statement" [www.arrl.org/ads/circ.html]
page marked "last revised 17 March 2005," the
ARRL membership as of 31 December 2004 was 151,727.
The "individuals who are ARRL members" is given
as 138,127. Obvious discrepancy there. ARRL
does not clarify what seems to be a glaring error
in arithmetic...

That's about ALL that is given BY the ARRL on their
membership...or any other demographics.

According to www.hamdata.com, the total licensees
for U.S. radio amateurs was 733,080 on 7 May 2005.

Of those, 310,455 were Technician classes...which
works out to 42.35% of the total. If the ARRL
membership is really as high as 151,727 then that
represents only 20.70% of the total number of
licensed U.S. radio amateurs.

> If so, then it simply means that the Techs
>need to get more involved on the management side to make things
happen.

Long jump of a conclusion. Tsk, tsk. What
would your class say about that?

>Afterall there is no reason that a Tech couldn't be President.

Quite true. The only requirement is that they
are natural-born citizens of the United States,
are at least 35 years old and been a resident of
the United States for at least 14 years.
- Article II, Section 1, Constitution of the
United States of America

Oh, you meant the ARRL? The ARRL laready has TWO
Presidents...Sumner and Haynie. Are you suggesting
they try for THREE? [incroyable...]

>Perhaps if this PBI were more aimed at expanding the membership at all

>levels it would be more fruitful. Very little change would be needed
to
>what you propose other than seeking out non-members of all classes.

ARRL membership is dropping. [go look at older
BoD Membership reports] Dropping with essentially
NO change in their requirements. That is NOT good
for the League.

What is "seeking out non-members of all classes?"

First you say that "half the ARRL membership is
Technicians," then you want more attraction for
all classes? You aren't being clear in what you
are saying.

BTW, how DID the cake taste?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

521. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 06:01:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115532103.351651.301500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107754

From: "K□B" on May 8, 12:04 am

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> ARRL membership as of 31 December 2004 was 151,727.
>> The "individuals who are ARRL members" is given
>> as 138,127. Obvious discrepancy there. ARRL
>> does not clarify what seems to be a glaring error
>> in arithmetic...
>
>No "discrepancy" exits; no "glaring error in arithmetic" exists.

Does the ARRL clearly state that? No?

>QST circulation numbers will always be lower than ARRL membership
numbers
>because multi-member households recieve a single copy of QST.

Tsk, tsk, they ought to EXPLAIN that in those
Sworn Statements.

>Sunuvagun!

Did your icehole melt again?

I thought your proposal had some merit. I just expressed
the thought that the ARRL just would NOT do such a
radical thing.

>> The ARRL laready has TWO Presidents...Sumner and Haynie.
>
>Glaring error in arithmetic, Kindly Old Sir. There is only ONE
President of
>ARRL, Jim Haynie. Dave Sumner is Secretary and CEO.

Yeah, riiiiight, super chief. :-)

Can a lowly Technician become PRESIDENT of the UNITED
STATES, super chief? :-)

I think Dee can answer for herself...except that she
doesn't want to associate with "lowly" unlicensed
(in the amateur radio service) persons who don't love
the League.

You think otherwise? Feel free. You ARE free to
express contempt for those who don't embrace your
ideas wholeheartedly. <shrug>

I would think you better serving the "amateur
community" by disciplining certain other extras
who make such terrible errors and personal insults
such as our "veteran of seven hostile actions."
He NOT be a good role-model to advertise U.S.
amateur radio.

Dee is an okay person. She's just a BELIEVER in
the gloriousness of the League which can do no
wrong. [i.e., a conditioned thinker]

>The moving cursor prints, and having printed, blinks on.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Cursors do NOT print, super chief.

Not in C++, not in Win32 APIs, not in anything.

Metaphors be with you, super chief...but don't get
another tattoo in Tattoine.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

522. Re: What? No Papers? That's a Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 06:06:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115532392.893352.98890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107755

From: bb on May 7, 9:22 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Fri,May 6 2005 7:00 pm
>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> >> > Doors are expensive...take time to replace. ###holes
>> >> > are cheap, plentiful. <shrug>

>> >Robeson has to personalize insults to include one's immediate
family.
>
>> It's part of the wonderful warm, friendly nature of
>> United States Radio Amateurs of the extra persuasion?
>
>I wouldn't go that far, but as a amateur extra class roll model, he
sucks.

NOOOOOO!!!! Say it isn't so!

Maybe Jimmie Noserve is preparing to "defend Stebie's honor" again?

Ought to be interesting...

>> Poor Stebie...takes EVERYTHING personally.
>
>And if it's not personal, he makes it that way.

Mostly he MAKES it that way. Tsk, tsk.

>> Stebie is frustrated and angry, tries to relieve
>> himself by being nasty to everyone who disagrees
>> with him. He relieves himself in here quite a bit.
>
>I can't decide if it's a lack of self-control or if he's marking -his-
territory.

He pisses ALL over those that don't agree with him...
"marking his territory." Hi hi !! :-)

>> >Poor Steve, suffers from Excrementia!
>
>> The jury is still out on that particular definition
>> but it DOES seem to apply in here, doesn't it?
>

>I like it.

So do I, now that I see Stebie hasn't learned to control himself
...once more...

>> Offhand, I'd say it was part of his Projection
>> syndrome. He projects his own frustrations and
>> anger onto others.
>
>and inadequacies. Ooops! I'm practicing medicine without a license
>again (dialing...). Hi!

"Inadequacies?!?" Mais non, Stebie is a Ruff & Tuff marine, a
veteran of "seven hostile actions" and an "ANCOIC" of an itty
bitty special services radio set. He has "taught" (or "taut") all
kinds of amateurs as ham radio's answer to ELMER Wingfield.

Stebie has yet to reveal all those NAMES of his "elmer" subjects
and probably won't...out of some manufactured "promise never
to reveal them." Hi hi and a Ho ho.

>> For example, his sudden misdirection onto others'
>> wives...who were NOT in the thread subject before
>> but are now brought in. That might be a window
>> into his own relationship...the second one, that is,
>> since he doesn't want to bring up the first one.
>
>Steve needs allies. Assumes everyone has a bad marriage (like him)
and
>he can get the wife to gang up... Unless it's time to terrorize the
>wives...

Stebie loves to gang up on those he perceives to be "weak."

He will use ANYTHING as a personal insult to someone who
disagrees with him. If that means dragging in spouses, hurling
insinuations at the BSA, snarling at offspring, no problem for
Stebie. He loves to belittle anyone not agreeing with him.

>> I'm not a part of that thread, haven't discussed
>> anything there, but Stebie's anger and hatred stand
>> out by including me, plus insulting a major urban
>> area and about 10 million United States citizens.
>> Stebie has a lot of HATE and he must vent. I am
>> not connected in any way with the individuals whose
>> callsigns are given, have not ever been cited by
>> any agency for radio misuse (certainly not amateur
>> radio). Still, Stebie MUST bring my misspelled
>> name in with his anger and hatred. That's SICK.
>
>He got the fever.

It's more than "fever." He's just nuts.

>> I originally wrote that "assholes are cheap and
>> plentiful." That was a very general statement,
>> not at all orginal...but fitting in almost every
>> society. Poor Stebie took it very personally...
>> a sign, perhaps, of a guilty conscience? <shrug>
>
>I can certainly see the connection.
>
>> "Excrementia" is an apt term in Stebie's case. It
>> fits in with his misogyny?
>
>I don't know that term, misogyny. Sounds like Miso and Gunny.

Misogyny means "hatred of women." Stebie considers
women as sex objects only. Notice how often he has used the
term "honey-do" for XYLs with licenses... [i.e., "Honey, do this
for me..." etc. call on radio] Depreciatory description.

See also Stebie's day-dreaming about sexy young stars in the
media such as Brittney Spears. He needs his fantasies fired
up. Fantasies seem his whole life.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

523. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 12:44:58 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <gI6dnfBaSaRLlOPfRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 107756

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1115509988.993788.265120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> k...@dvol.com wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>
> "Senior moment . . . "
>
> This thread was started by Hans who stated in so many words that Techs
> are under-represented by the ARRL because they don't join in the
> quantities other class licensees join that some changes need to be
> made, etc., etc. ~Half the hams in this country are Techs.
>
> Change to:
>
> If, as you state, *half the ARRL members are Techs* then what's the
> point to this whole thread?? Or is it me again?
>
> w3rv
>

If Hans is correct about the scarcity of Techs in the ARRL membership, he proposes a way to attract them is all. I simply indicated that his original premise may or may not be true. Thus it should be checked. I don't know what the numbers are. I simply thought I saw something on it but haven't checked it. It is my point of view that the ARRL ought to try to get the involvement of more hams of all classes.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

524. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 14:14:10 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <S8pfe.10587$HL2.2388@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107757

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> I would think you better serving the "amateur
> community" by disciplining certain other extras
> who make such terrible errors and personal insults
> such as our "veteran of seven hostile actions."
> He NOT be a good role-model to advertise U.S.
> amateur radio.

Sorry, Kindly Old Sir, but Amateur Radio is a hobby for me. "Discipline" and "role-model" aren't part of the lexicon. It's not the responsibility of Amateur Radio to resolve his personality deficiencies, nor yours.

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

525. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 14:27:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Llpfe.8966$GQ5.2798@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107758

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> Can a lowly Technician become PRESIDENT of the UNITED
> STATES, super chief?

Certainly, super corporal, if they can capture enough votes. K7UGA ran, but even with the support of Hillary Clinton, lost the race to a lowly non-licensed short-term ex-sailor.

Sunuvagun.

de Hans, K0HB

526. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 15:24:58 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <IZudnZngv6HEsuPfRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 107759

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:S8pfe.10587$HL2.2388@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>
>> I would think you better serving the "amateur
>> community" by disciplining certain other extras
>> who make such terrible errors and personal insults
>> such as our "veteran of seven hostile actions."
>> He NOT be a good role-model to advertise U.S.
>> amateur radio.
>
> Sorry, Kindly Old Sir, but Amateur Radio is a hobby for me. "Discipline"
> and "role-model" aren't part of the lexicon. It's not the responsibility
> of Amateur Radio to resolve his personality deficiencies, nor yours.
>
> ZBM2,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>

Ok, call me dense or humor impaired but I don't get the "ZBM2".

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

527. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 15:41:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5rqfe.10616$HL2.4942@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107760

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:IZudnZngv6HEsuPfRVn-oQ@comcast.com...

>
> Ok, call me dense or humor impaired but I don't get the "ZBM2".
>

No, I wouldn't call you any of those things, Dee.

"ZBM2" is the operating signal meaning "Place a competent operator on this
circuit."

Generally not considered complimentary.

73, de Hans, K0HB

528. Poor little Butt Cornholer, his imaginary "pilot's license" is not in the FAA's database!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 16:55:30 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <NXMZEJJB38481.0385416667@reece.net.au>
Source record: 107761

Looks like he lied again!

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

529. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 16:56:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115571376.542358.8770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107762

ZBM2...

With most kindest regards...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

530. Re: Poor little Butt Cornholer, his imaginary "pilot's license" is not in the FAA's database!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 17:40:18 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@mywebmancaster.net>
Message-ID: <d5liqp$ua9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107763

"Thrasher Remailer" <thrasher@reece.net.au> wrote in message
news:NXMZEJJB38481.0385416667@reece.net.au...
>
> Looks like he lied again!
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
>

Afternoon Roger. Hope you did something nice today for someone's
mother.....naw, nevermind, sorry I asked.

531. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 18:06:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115575563.121995.161240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107764

```
K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: "bb" on Thurs,May 5 2005 6:51 pm
> > > >
> > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > >> From: "bb" on Wed,May 4 2005 4:13 pm
> > > > >>
> > > > >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > >> >> From: "K0HB" on Tues,May 3 2005 5:59 pm
> > > > >
> > > > > < etc >
> > > > >
> > > > >> It's awful. Those olde-tymers just CAN'T understand
> > > > >> why all the newcomers DON'T worship the olde-tymers'
> > > > >> ideals of long ago.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Hell, I'm OLDER than most of them and I STARTED on
> > > > >> HF...but NOT doing a bit of "CW." :-)
> > > > >
> > > > >And so they still search for the answer.
> > > >
> > > > So far, all I see is RATIONALIZATION for the
> > > > alleged efficacy of morse code. LOTS and LOTS
> > > > of old-style BS that went invalid around 1950
> > > > or so.
> > >
> > > So far the only two people I see beating anyone up over it
is
> > you
> > > and Brian. And no one is likely to want to talk to either of
> > you...in
> > > ANY mode...
> > >
> > > The rest of us moved on.
> .
> > Looks like Steve can't take any more of Steve-Style Abuse. Poor
> thing.
> >
> > Wonder why he thinks the rest of us like it?
>
> There's a small difference there, Brian.
>
```

> When I make a mistake, I admit it.

Sometimes. Rarely. Eventually.

But in the meantime, you assume that the other person is wrong and take great liberties in calling them names, accusing them of lying, question their manhood, and sometimes even infer that they are homosexual or pedophiles.

Then you discover that you were wrong, and apologize for making a teeny weeny mistake. No harm, no foul, and never apologize for all of the mayhem you cause.

You're a loser.

532. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 18:15:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115576103.451362.316020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107765

KØHB wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
> > ARRL membership as of 31 December 2004 was 151,727.
> > The "individuals who are ARRL members" is given
> > as 138,127. Obvious discrepancy there. ARRL
> > does not clarify what seems to be a glaring error
> > in arithmetic...
>
> No "discrepancy" exits; no "glaring error in arithmetic" exists.
>
> QST circulation numbers will always be lower than ARRL membership
numbers
> because multi-member households recieve a single copy of QST.
>
> Sunuvagun!

QST circulation numbers will always be higher than ARRL membership
numbers because of library subscriptions and news stand sales.

533. Re: Poor little Butt Cornholer, his imaginary "pilot's license" is not in the FAA's database!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 20:08:19 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <QsSdnc25DI7SEuPfRVn-rQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107772

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Thrasher Remailer AKA wogie lloydie two-test unwiseman wrote:
>
>> Looks like he lied again!
>>
>> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>>
>
> We all know who the liar is wogie and it is you. You have yet to post
> any proof of any of your accusations you have made of anyone.
> Quit while you're ahead wogie, you are already the laughing stock of the
> internet, everyone knows what a sad little POS you really are.
> And if you knew who I was you might be able to find my pilots license in
> the FCC data base.

And I will correct my mistake before you do wogie, I meant to say the
FAA database. And thanks for bringing this up by the way. I just went
into the FAA database and got a logon id so I could change my airman
certificate number from my SSN to another number.
So again wogie, you prove you don't have a clue.

534. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 20:44:19 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <88adnR_42oD1BePfRVn-iw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107773

N9OGL wrote:
>>N9OGL SAID
>>
>>>You do. Your post on your blog gave topics that were alomost
>>>word-for-word from the ARRL website
>>
>>No,I post in the title the subject of what is a concern to the
>>amateur's and voice my opinion about it.
>
>
>>PANSY-ASS STEBIE SAID
>
>
>>Uh huh.
>
> > Thief.
>
> Look's who talking you and your little butt buddies on here were
> posting not only my pass messages but posting stuff from my website
> without my permission in clear violation of copyright law.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

So file a law suit and get laughed out of court.

535. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 21:15:44 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <2bmdnckfqJIxPePfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107777

N9OGL wrote:
>>So file a law suit and get laughed out of court.
>
>
> I don't think so, copyright law states that you have to have the
> persons permission before republishing it.

Well toddieboy, you claim 'stuff' from your website was used 'without your permission in clear violatioin of copyright law'. So either sue or shut up.

536. New Domain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 21:21:18 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@k8cpa.org>
Message-ID: <ll0t719triiumqrml1h835ck9uh1mc9o0s@4ax.com>
Source record: 107766

http://www.k8cpa.org

Enjoy... :)

537. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 21:22:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <d8qdnfsS5a76P-PfRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107779

N9OGL wrote:
> Don't matter unless I say they are public domain they are copyrighted.
> the writer has to say I'm putting this work in public domain (word for
> word) for it to be in public domain, if he does not then it not in
> public domain. I suggest you some copyright law, I've been doing that
> crap a lot longer the amateur radio I have a number of works that
> copyrighted. under copright law you can use fair use of the work, but
> as court have stated a person making a comment can only use a small
> portion of the work. like I said Stebie read some Copyright Law.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Toddieboy, has it ever occured to you that you are operating on about a
5th grade level?

538. Re: New Domain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 21:30:18 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <I4CdnQUCjp-KGOPfRVn-pw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107767

I thought you gave your slow code license back? What's a greargrinder?
How's the CB amp biz going for you? Are you allowed to drive a truck
after the accidents and cussing at the customers?

Chuck wrote:

> http://www.k8cpa.org
>
> Enjoy... :)
>
>

539. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 21:38:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115588333.972835.268120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107768

N9OGL wrote:
> >STEBIE SAID:
>
> >You had best be careful, Todd...The result STILL might not be what
> >you'd like...Especially after they get a load of your true
intentions
> >and ulterior motives, Todd...
>
> What "TRUE INTENTIONS" and "ULTERIOR MOTIVES"?? to be able to voice
my
> opinion about things in amateur radio....oh I forgot your communist
who
> bleieves we must me a collective.

Your "those morons who are listening" comment illuminated your
true intentions, Todd...You have no interest in Amateur Radio other
than as a forum to artificially bolster your deficient testosterone
levels.

And Todd...Your grammar, spelling and construction really need some
work.

Allow me: "Oh...I forgot that you're a Communist who believes that
we must be a collective."

No, I am an American Armed Forces Veteran who knows what's at
stake. You, on the other hand, are a stay-at-home spoiled brat
parasite sponging off of your aging parents.

And that's my liberal opinion.

> > Here's an honest, forthright suggestion on your "bulletin" ideas,
> >Todd.
>
> >Become an ARRL "Official Bulletin Station". Use YOUR repeater to
> >rebroadcast ARRL bulletins, the Amateur Radio Newsline program, or
> >other RESPECTED, professionally produced offerings for the "main
> >course".
>
> Why would I do that?? I mean who the hell said that these people were
> the only ones allowed to run information bulletins and be a
monopoly??
> so your communist who believes only ONE truth be allowed out
> there....just like a typical ARRL SUPPORTER!

There you go...blaming the ARRL for something that they've not chimed one word in on, Todd....You've still not explained to me why you are blaming the ARRL for anything.

My O N L Y comment of "support" has been that the ARRL bulletin station is recognized by the FCC as the defacto representitive of the Amateur Radio Service membership...I make this statement since W1AW is the ONLY "bulletin station" that the FCC makes direct liasion with when it needs to pass messages to the Amateur Service, ie: communications emergencies, etc.

Steve, K4YZ

540. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 21:41:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115588517.279503.94560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107769

N9OGL wrote:
> >K4YZ wrote:
> > >You do. Your post on your blog gave topics that were alomost
> > >word-for-word from the ARRL website
>
> > No,I post in the title the subject of what is a concern to the
> > amateur's and voice my opinion about it.
>
> >PANSY-### STEBIE SAID
>
> > Uh huh.
> > Thief.
>
> Look's who talking you and your little butt buddies on here were
> posting not only my pass messages but posting stuff from my website
> without my permission in clear violation of copyright law.

Your "pass messeages" (PAST messages, Todd) were made in open
public forum.

And I don't need your permission to address comments aimed at me.
Sorry. Nice try.

Steve, K4YZ

541. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 21:45:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZLvfe.10720$HL2.2173@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107770

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: kelly@dvol.com on Tues,May 3 2005 12:04 pm

> ARRL budgetarily exists on SALES OF PUBLICATIONS, not the
> annual dues. Figuring $30 x 170 thousand members (allowing
> for all the "Life" memberships done once) yields only a
> %5.1 million per year.

Figuring $30 would be wrong. The cost of membership is $39 per year for those to age 64. Those 65 and older pay $36. For a two-year membership, those under 65 pay $38 per year and those 65 and older pay $35. For a three-year membership, those under 65 pay $37 and those 65 and older pay $34.34 No need to "allow" for those life memberships as that money was invested. The League got my money up front. In a couple of years, I'll have been a Life Member for thirty years.

> That's about the cost to produce
> (and stay afloat) QST, the "membership magazine," to pay
> the printers, the fullfillment enablers, QST staff, all
> the magazine ancilliary costs - provided - they ALSO have
> revenue from advertising sales. Without that advertising
> sales income, QST will dry up and become just a newsletter
> printed on newsprint.

No kidding? I have little doubt that the ARRL will continue to sell advertising so that QST won't take the form of a newsletter and be printed on newsprint.

> The IRS forms (available on another website) show that
> the ARRL monetary income for 2002 was about $12.5 million.
> Even if all members paid $40/year dues, the total dues
> income would be only $6.8 million. The rest of that income
> came from PUBLICATIONS and RESALE of other goods...all
> pushed on the ARRL website.

Some of that income comes from publications sales. Some of it comes from investments.

>>The League should go out into the trenches in volume and, for openers,
>>start asking all the Techs who are not ARRL members why they aren't
>>members and what the League needs to do to pry the forty bucks a year
>>out of them. Then properly analyze the results of the surveys and make
>>the appropriate changes in their product line. Shuffling SM's duties
>>around and talking up ham radio to the town burghers, etc., etc. as
>>"potential solutions" would drive a real marketeer to tears of
>>laughter.

>
>
> Quite so. :-) But that CANNOT be explained to the
> entrenched, we-know-what-is-best-for-everybody, old-
> school thinking of the "leaders" at the ARRL.

Sorry, old boy, I'll have to see some proof of your statement.

> They
> seem to want to run a little clubhouse of the BoD and
> Hq staff, keeping things nice and cozy for themselves.

I'd like to see proof of that one too. Nobody from the Board actually
spends a lot of time at League HQ. The Board meets in Newington
periodically.
>
> The psychological term is "conditioned thinking" by
> the League. The leadership seems stuck in the way
> things were done a half century ago...plus the rah-rah
> self-promotion of the "ideals" of their elders of that
> long-ago period. Their conditioning is almost absolute.

I'm sure you have similar views on the VFW, American Legion and similar
membership organizations. What proof have you of your claims?

> They just don't seem to understand that their cozy
> existance-in-the-clubhouse is NOT what the newcomers
> want to preserve.

The Board of Directors doesn't live and work in Newington, Len. The
Directors come from all over the country. Do the directors of the VFW
live in a clubhouse?

> Newcomers, already exposed to the
> wonders of worldwide webbing (no ionospheric
> propagation problems), aren't interested in being
> the epitome of morsemen as they were in the 1930s.

The World Wide Web is not amateur radio and you have little way to know
what amateur radio newcomers want or don't want.

> Yes, the ARRL plays to "high-technology" on things
> such as satellite communications and "talking with
> astronauts on the International Space Station" (all
> three of them sometimes) and has a lot of books
> (shipping cost extra if mail-ordered, no shipping
> cost if purchased at ham stores) on a few things
> which are state-of-the-art, sort-of. Lots of style,
> plenty of gloss, little substance in general.

Please substantiate your claim of "little substance".

> The vast majority of ARRL concentration in
> publications is on the HF ham bands...where no-code

> test Technicians are still forbidden - by law - to
> operate. VHF and above is still treated "different"
> something to be shunned by "real" hams...now as it
> was a half century ago.

Please demonstrate that the ARRL treats VHF operation as something to be shunned by anyone.

> Too many oldsters are still
> conditioned to that time and to "working DX with CW
> on HF," collecting "wallpaper" (QSL cards) to show
> their "prowess" as "radio operators." OK, that was
> FB in the 1950s when all the League "leaders" were
> young. Times have changed, the "leadership" hasn't.

The leadership of the ARRL has changed quite a number of times in the time I've been licensed. The working of DX is still enjoyable. Collecting QSL cards is still enjoyable.

> "Marketing" research by the League? Don't bet on it.
> The "leadership" is still inclined to have their
> "burghers" their way...emphasizing, of course, "CW"
> skills as the epitome of all "real" radio amateurs.
> It worked for them when they were young, and, by
> T.O.M., it MUST apply to newcomers of today! :-)

Again, I'd like you to flesh out your empty claims with some substantiation.

Dave K8MN

542. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 21:48:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xOvfe.10723$HL2.7330@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107771

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed,May 4 2005 4:13 pm
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: "K0HB" on Tues,May 3 2005 5:59 pm
>>>
>>>
>>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

>>Yup, everyone just got through saying that there's a problem
>
> attracting
>
>>Technicians to the organization. No one seems to be able to put their
>>finger on exactly why, only because they reject the -correct- answer
>>(reminds me of the OJ case). And they still wring their hands and
>
> bite
>
>>their knuckles and ask, "Why?"
>
>
> It's awful. Those olde-tymers just CAN'T understand
> why all the newcomers DON'T worship the olde-tymers'
> ideals of long ago.
>
> Hell, I'm OLDER than most of them and I STARTED on
> HF...but NOT doing a bit of "CW." :-)

Then again, you still aren't a ham. :-)

Dave K8MN

543. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 23:16:44 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115594204.457986.228840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107774

Dee Flint wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1115509988.993788.265120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > k...@dvol.com wrote:
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> > "Senior moment . . . "
> >
> > This thread was started by Hans who stated in so many words that
Techs
> > are under-represented by the ARRL because they don't join in the
> > quantities other class licensees join that some changes need to be
> > made, etc., etc. ~Half the hams in this country are Techs.
> >
> > Change to:
> >
> > If, as you state, *half the ARRL members are Techs* then what's the
> > point to this whole thread?? Or is it me again?
> >
> > w3rv
> >
>
> If Hans is correct about the scarcity of Techs in the ARRL
membership, he
> proposes a way to attract them is all. I simply indicated that his
original
> premise may or may not be true. Thus it should be checked. I don't
know
> what the numbers are. I simply thought I saw something on it but
haven't
> checked it.

OK, I'll see if I can get the numbers.

> It is my point of view that the ARRL ought to try to get the
> involvement of more hams of all classes.

I don't think you'd find anybody around here who wouldn't agree. With
one probable exception of course.

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

w3rv

544. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 23:19:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115594370.894967.160700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107775

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >>N9OGL SAID
> >>
> >>>You do. Your post on your blog gave topics that were alomost
> >>>word-for-word from the ARRL website
> >>
> >>No,I post in the title the subject of what is a concern to the
> >>amateur's and voice my opinion about it.
> >>
> >>
> >>PANSY-ASS STEBIE SAID
> >>
> >>
> >>Uh huh.
> >>
> >> > Thief.
> >>
> >> Look's who talking you and your little butt buddies on here were
> >> posting not only my pass messages but posting stuff from my website
> >> without my permission in clear violation of copyright law.
> >>
> >> Todd N9OGL
> >>
>
> So file a law suit and get laughed out of court.

I don't think so, copyright law states that you have to have the
persons permission before republishing it. Fair-Use according to the
court says you can use a small portion of the work but not the whole
work. I suggest cornwhore to read up on copyright law. I've been doing
to copyright thing for a VERY LONG time.

545. Re: Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 23:26:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Eexfe.763$8g.214@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 107776

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1115522997.383957.11300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> From: bb on May 7, 9:38 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> > > Now, give the rest of us Stebie's definition of
> >> > > OPERATING...and keep saying that everyone who
> >> > > doesn't agree with Stebie is a "LIAR." Nobody
> >> > > should expect otherwise... :-)
> >
> >> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org
> >
> >> > What hasn't Steve/K4YZ crapped on?
> >
> >> > Steven J Robeson K4YZ K4CAP suffers from excrementia.
> >
> >> K4CAP is not an active callsign, Brian.
> >
> >Probably never was. Just some Bozo collecting cool callsigns.
>
> LOTS of them. Credentialism. Good substitute for
> being able at something...
>
> >> Why do you conntinue to make such glaring errors?
> >
> >Typical Robeson Slant and Rant.
> >
> >I said no such thing. You assume that I said that K4CAP was an active
>
> >callsign, or you just lied. I think the latter.
> >
> >Active or not, it was issued to you, Bozo.
>
> True enough. Note that it HAD to have "CAP" in the callsign.
> Very Important for the pudgies in poopy suits.
>
> However, Robeson has NOT stated what he thinks "operating"
> is. Tsk, tsk, I will accept what the United States Government
> has described, especially the U.S. Army who said I was at
> least three different kinds of OPERATOR during my years of
> military service. Stebie disagrees with the United States

> Government. Tsk, tsk.
>
> >It's making
> >> foolish errors that has made you the fool you are today.
> >
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Your misredirection failed miserably. I've just shown you to be the
> >fool that I say you are.
>
> Poor Stebie, once again with Projection on others what his own
> faults are. I don't know why he keeps that up. It doesn't work
> and annoys all the readers.
>
> Such misdirection (onto "personal faults" of others) might get him
> away from defining what Stebie thinks as "operator" is, but I doubt
> he has the courage (or conviction) to state that. Even if he did,
> it
> would be something about ham radio style "operating." :-) The
> United States military communications groups are PROFESSIONAL
> in all aspects, not amateur radio hobbyists. <shrug>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Hello, Len

It just might be what a particular operator is familiar with. Back when (in the 60s) the military used a lot of HF point to point communications, they (the radiomen) were familiar with having to change frequencies, how to set crypto gear, and many other things. One thing they were *not* familiar with was how to handle a strong signal.

When the communications station attempted to re-establish communications with Saipan, Hans, K0HB, was sent to Saipan. The communications station kept telling him he was "loud, but garbled". Big hint - too much signal for the local oscillator injection to properly demodulate the ssb. I called Hans from the hamshack (KG6AAY) and we (the hams) ended up not only establishing communications but got orders to pass traffic from Saipan to Commander, Naval Forces Marianas directly.

I also recall whilst aboard ship we lost the "broadcast" - multiplex signals for many channels of teletypes. The problem was that we were getting to close to the station and we were in a skip zone. I suggested to the chief that we try LF (low frequency). He didn't think it would work, but said to give it a try as everything else was out. On LF, the signal was overpowering. In a few minutes, the broadcast was back up and running.

In both of these instances, it was the hams that had the proper experience.

I shan't go much further than to say that one day whilst aboard ship, we received an SOS on 500 KHz. It turns out that only *two* of us could

actually copy Morse at any reasonable speed. I don't know about the other guy, but I was an amateur extra and also had a commercial telegraph license. The military radioman were *supposed* to be able to copy 16 words per minute to graduate from A school.

Sorry to shoot your "professional" theory down. You aren't totally wrong, of course; it is what happens when the *unexpected* happens.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

546. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 23:31:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115595113.664285.223880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107778

Don't matter unless I say they are public domain they are copyrighted. the writer has to say I'm putting this work in public domain (word for word) for it to be in public domain, if he does not then it not in public domain. I suggest you some copyright law, I've been doing that crap a lot longer the amateur radio I have a number of works that copyrighted. under copright law you can use fair use of the work, but as court have stated a person making a comment can only use a small portion of the work. like I said Stebie read some Copyright Law.

Todd N9OGL

547. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 23:41:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rsxfe.10420$BE3.2682@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107780

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

> QST circulation numbers will always be higher
> than ARRL membership numbers because of
> library subscriptions and news stand sales.

You're mistaken.

de Hans, K0HB

548. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-08 23:43:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115595787.527483.28880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107781

Has it occured to you that you and stebien are both assholes???

549. FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 01:43:26 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <5fd70b6c01c8d4ea31c312c8d2a37ae7@userbeam.net>
Source record: 107782

WE SAID IT ON THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO FIRST AND THAT WAS THE
BRODCAST FLAGS ARE GOING TO BE SLAPPED DOWN IN COURT. THE FLAGS
WAS SLAPPED DOWN BECAUSE FCC CANT RETULATE CONTENT AFTER BROADCAST
IS OVER.

THE FUCKING BITCH IDIOTS IN RRAP WOULVE KNOWN IT IF THEY HAD READ
OUR BLOG SIGHT BUT NOT ON ARRL SIGHT. IDIOT BOY YZ SHOULD KEEP
SLOBERING FAT MOUTH SHUT.

550. Re: Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 01:50:05 UTC
From: Sparky <sparky@spitsputterfume.net>
Message-ID: <d5mfi5iqb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107783

> Hello, Len
>
> It just might be what a particular operator is familiar with. Back when
> (in
> the 60s) the military used a lot of HF point to point communications, they
> (the radiomen) were familiar with having to change frequencies, how to set
> crypto gear, and many other things. One thing they were *not* familiar
> with
> was how to handle a strong signal.
>
> When the communications station attempted to re-establish communications
> with Saipan, Hans, K0HB, was sent to Saipan. The communications station
> kept telling him he was "loud, but garbled". Big hint - too much signal
> for
> the local oscillator injection to properly demodulate the ssb. I called
> Hans from the hamshack (KG6AAY) and we (the hams) ended up not only
> establishing communications but got orders to pass traffic from Saipan to
> Commander, Naval Forces Marianas directly.
>
> I also recall whilst aboard ship we lost the "broadcast" - multiplex
> signals
> for many channels of teletypes. The problem was that we were getting to
> close to the station and we were in a skip zone. I suggested to the chief
> that we try LF (low frequency). He didn't think it would work, but said
> to
> give it a try as everything else was out. On LF, the signal was
> overpowering. In a few minutes, the broadcast was back up and running.
>
> In both of these instances, it was the hams that had the proper
> experience.
>
> I shan't go much further than to say that one day whilst aboard ship, we
> received an SOS on 500 KHz. It turns out that only *two* of us could
> actually copy Morse at any reasonable speed. I don't know about the other
> guy, but I was an amateur extra and also had a commercial telegraph
> license.
> The military radioman were *supposed* to be able to copy 16 words per
> minute
> to graduate from A school.
>
> Sorry to shoot your "professional" theory down. You aren't totally wrong,
> of course; it is what happens when the *unexpected* happens.
>
>

> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

My gosh, I never knew. It is nothing short of a miracle that the today's active military forces can still attain any level of readiness, with you and Hans no longer on active duty.

73,

Sparky

551. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 03:01:38 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <KtSdnTShGO0JT-PfRVn-hg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107784

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> WE SAID IT ON THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO FIRST AND THAT WAS THE
> BRODCAST FLAGS ARE GOING TO BE SLAPPED DOWN IN COURT. THE FLAGS
> WAS SLAPPED DOWN BECAUSE FCC CANT RETULATE CONTENT AFTER BROADCAST
> IS OVER.
>
> THE FUCKING BITCH IDIOTS IN RRAP WOULVE KNOWN IT IF THEY HAD READ
> OUR BLOG SIGHT BUT NOT ON ARRL SIGHT. IDIOT BOY YZ SHOULD KEEP
> SLOBERING FAT MOUTH SHUT.

TOAD? Learn to dance little gay boy.

552. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 04:06:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UkBfe.9519$GQ5.5359@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107785

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dee Flint" on Wed,May 4 2005 8:00 pm

>>The ARRL has fought and continues to fight to protect our spectrum,
>
> hardly
>
>>the action of a "mutual admiration society".
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk..."protecting [your] spectrum"...
>
> The 40 meter issue then sounds like the "24 years war"
> in "fighting to protect" since it went UNresolved from
> 1979 to 2003...

The issue has been resolved, old fellow, and implementation is in progress.

> Look at 60 meters...ARRL "fought" to get FIVE
> CHANNELS?

Don't let it keep you up nights, Leonard. You aren't able to access them.

>> It is all too apparent that you speak from preconceived notions and
>>haven't bothered to get involved.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. My "preconceived notions" are the
> result of about 55 years of observation, talking to
> many other radio amateurs, seeing/hearing what others
> have to say, etc., etc.

You've been a bystander for 55 years. That doesn't make you an expert
on the ARRL. As far as your "talking to many other radio amateurs":
What do you mean "other radio amateurs". You aren't a radio amateur.

>>You want it to be different? Get in there and do the work to change

> it.

>>Oh, that's too hard you say.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Now you are getting a mite hostile.

> ARRL is IN CONTROL of what the ARRL DOES...

Another in your series of masterful statements of the obvious, Len?
Who knew that the ARRL is in control of what it does?

> ...and, by
> all the possible objective observation, the BoD acts
> like they are the Elite who Know What Is Good For All
> ...because that is what the BoD likes.

You really have little idea how the ARRL works, Leonard. The ARRL Board of Directors takes action. Members of each Division have input to their Director. The Director's vote on any issue is not likely to please all. If enough of the League members in any Division disagree with the votes of their Director, they may vote him out.

>> Then you are just a parasite waiting for some
>>one else to do the work so you can benefit without having contributed.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Now you ARE getting hostile!
>
> Ooops, I forgot...the Elite KNOW What Is Good For
> Everyone! All should OBEY the Elite...
>
> How does the Elitist position of the ARRL "encourage"
> anyone to enter amateur radio? Learn morse code?!?
>
> Dee...I was WORKING IN HF 52 years ago and NEVER had
> to know any morse code then, nor in all the years
> that followed in my engineering career.

Then again, fifty-two years later and you still have no HF amateur radio license. In fact, you have no amateur radio license of any kind.

> In EVERY
> other radio service in the USA, government included,
> morse code is GOING or was NEVER CONSIDERED for ANY
> communications. The ARRL still champions morse code
> as the "requirement" for "working below 30 MHz" as
> a radio amateur.

...and, as you've read many times before, thousands of radio amateurs use morse daily. It seems not to matter to those ops that other services aren't using more code.

> Hello? Can you NOT recognize how OUTMODED the notion
> of "requiring" morse code testing as a "qualification"
> is?

It is obvious that large numbers of licensed hams do not recognize your "facts".

Dave K8MN

553. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 08:26:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115627194.552422.312670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107786

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Dee...I was WORKING IN HF 52 years ago and NEVER had
> > to know any morse code then, nor in all the years
> > that followed in my engineering career.
>
>
> Then again, fifty-two years later and you still have no HF amateur
radio
> license. In fact, you have no amateur radio license of any kind.

Actually, he has no license of ANY kind that allows him to access
HF, Parts 15 and 95 notwithstanding.

(His GROL does not allow him to access ANYthing without a
"STATION" license that specifies discreet channels, mode, exact power,
etc...In otherwords, he's just a radio mechanic)

> > In EVERY
> > other radio service in the USA, government included,
> > morse code is GOING or was NEVER CONSIDERED for ANY
> > communications. The ARRL still champions morse code
> > as the "requirement" for "working below 30 MHz" as
> > a radio amateur.
>
> ...and, as you've read many times before, thousands of radio amateurs

> use morse daily. It seems not to matter to those ops that other
> services aren't using more code.

What's with this creep?

This is about AMATEUR RADIO, Lennie...

Not the Radio Lemming Service.

> > Hello? Can you NOT recognize how OUTMODED the notion
> > of "requiring" morse code testing as a "qualification"
> > is?
>
> It is obvious that large numbers of licensed hams do not recognize
your
> "facts".

A unique point is noting that NCT numbers are dropping...Dropping
because they are upgrading to General and Extra faster than they are

coming in.

73

Steve, K4YZ

554. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 08:30:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115627459.674842.7920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107787

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> WE SAID IT ON THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO FIRST AND THAT WAS THE
> BRODCAST FLAGS ARE GOING TO BE SLAPPED DOWN IN COURT. THE FLAGS
> WAS SLAPPED DOWN BECAUSE FCC CANT RETULATE CONTENT AFTER BROADCAST
> IS OVER.
>
> THE #####ING BITCH IDIOTS IN RRAP WOULVE KNOWN IT IF THEY HAD READ
> OUR BLOG SIGHT BUT NOT ON ARRL SIGHT. IDIOT BOY YZ SHOULD KEEP
> SLOBERING FAT MOUTH SHUT.

There's nothing that warms my heart more than seeing what our
educational and social programs have brought forth to our society.

I am sure Toiddie's mommie and daddie are proud.

Steve, K4YZ

555. Re: Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 08:37:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115627842.656310.35850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107788

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Now, give the rest of us Stebie's definition of
> > > > OPERATING...and keep saying that everyone who
> > > > doesn't agree with Stebie is a "LIAR." Nobody
> > > > should expect otherwise... :-)
> > > >
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > >
> > > What hasn't Steve/K4YZ crapped on?
> > >
> > > Steven J Robeson K4YZ K4CAP suffers from excrementia.
> >
> > K4CAP is not an active callsign, Brian.
>
> Probably never was. Just some Bozo collecting cool callsigns.

More idiocy. Why do you keep doing this to yourself, Brian?

> > Why do you conntinue to make such glaring errors?
>
> Typical Robeson Slant and Rant.

"Slant and Rant"..?!?! A new spin to hide your failed efforts to
hide yuor own mistruths and cowardice, Brian P...?!?!

> I said no such thing. You assume that I said that K4CAP was an
active
> callsign, or you just lied. I think the latter.

You're attaching it to your posts as if it were an active
callsign. That's not factual. Anyone who accesses "QRZ.COM" knows
you're off base, Brian.

> Active or not, it was issued to you, Bozo.

"Bozo" now! Getting a bit miffed that your attempts to get around
the fact that you've been bested again, eh, Brain...???

> It's making
> > foolish errors that has made you the fool you are today.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>

> Your misredirection failed miserably. I've just shown you to be the
> fool that I say you are.

You've shown nothing, except that you are making a fool out of
yourself by addressing me with a callsign that I've not held for 2
years.

Not that you need one more thing to add to your CV, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

556. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 08:39:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115627988.760029.46220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107789

N9OGL wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> > So file a law suit and get laughed out of court.
>
> I don't think so, copyright law states that you have to have the
> persons permission before republishing it. Fair-Use according to the
> court says you can use a small portion of the work but not the whole
> work. I suggest cornwhore to read up on copyright law. I've been
doing
> to copyright thing for a VERY LONG time.

I echo what Commander Buzz said.

I DARE you to try and file a case, Toiddie!

Steve, K4YZ

557. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 08:43:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115628189.633314.126450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107790

N9OGL wrote:
> like I said Stebie read some Copyright Law.

This is a hoot....

Toiddie steals stuff from ARRL's website in order to create a
"show" on 20 meter HF, then whines like a 3 year old who just dropped
his sucker in the mud when his efforts to stiffle "free speech" are
rubbed in his nose by claimed "copyright law" violations...

What a putz.

Steve, K4YZ

558. Re: Robeson has Excrementia!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 09:14:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115630084.656213.199350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107791

bb wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> > Stebie tried a simple BLUFF. He is a "credentialist"
> > whose expertise is all in his collection of certificates,
> > medals, titles, and badges of rank.
>
> And MARS and Amateur callsigns.

And all of them, unlike your "Somalia" operation, are/were valid.

> > Poor Stebie...he NEEDS to be a PART of something
> > "greater" than the ordinary...to "be somebody" in
> > his own eyes. He NEEDS to be in a position of
> > authority...to have some "belonging" to a mighty
> > (in some eyes) organization having lots of trappings
> > of patriotism, honor, glory, etc. The military cast
> > him out
>
> They threw him aside with great force.

Lessee...Lennie's a member of nothing, and Brain alleges to lead
some cub scouts around from time to time.

> > Stebie did NOT explain what the "A" stood for in
> > "ANOIC." That's an unusual acronym and not
> > familiar.
>
> ###hole?

Please, please Todd...I mean Brain...your IQ is showing...

> > Stebie is just trying to BLUFF his way out, Brian.
> > He will NOT answer you directly (a sure case of him
> > doing the bluffing snowjob) and instead tries to
> > misdirect the thread onto you and your alleged (by
> > him and Heil) "illegal operation."
>
> Steve somehow thinks that I need his approval. I don't.

Oh no, Brain...

Make no mistake about it...I know EXACTLY who you needed
authorization for your "Somalia" operation...And there was not a single
one of the officers in your unit who had that authority.

> But even worse is that he knows that he needs my approval.

I need nothing from you, Brain.

You refusal to answer the questions I put to you in response to assertions YOU make is all I need...It's all ANYone following any of this needs.

> Welp, if he wants to clear his bad name...(SNIP)

I have no "bad name" to clear, Brain.

You asked for information, I gave it to you.

You then tried to change the parameters since you know that following up on the information I gave you is going to make an even bigger idiot out of you than you already are...If that's possible...

> The
> > "Commanding General" of the First Marine Air Wing
> > of today (if it was a flag rank) would NOT have
> > such detailed personnel records of two dozen
> > years ago to verify anyone...except other high
> > staff officers listed by name and rank in a unit
> > historical summary. Is a marine "wing" large
> > enough for star rank as a commander? That hasn't
> > been explained.
>
> Nor will it. Attempts to do so will melt the snow job.

Acutally, the Marine Corps keeps rather definitive records...Going back to the beginning of the Marine Corps in 1775.

The "snowjob" is you trying to find a way to beg off of following up on the very specific details you were given...

> > In a similar manner, Stebie once emphasized
> > his "importance" in the Tennessee State Guard
> > which has (from their own website) four
> > "brigades."
>
> Another desperate attempt to be affiliated with something with an
> important sounding name.

For two Veterans who allege patriotic service, both of you seem to be embarrased or ashamed of it.

I think you are the ones we should be ashemed of...

In fact, I know we are...

> > [the picture had him in his usual scowl]
> > Tsk. Few states have INDEPENDENT "guard"
> > organizations and they are NOT under any
> > federal command as are Army or Air Guard
> > units in times of emergency.
>

> Good. Could you imagine him with an Iraqui prisoner on a dog leash?

No...but I can see me with you on one...

And Lennie's rendering of the state guard/defense force programs
is not accurate.

> > Stebie keeps trying to BLUFF. He thinks his
> > bluff and bluster will work...it is very difficult
> > to pull out any detailed military information on
> > a relatively UN-important military position that
> > supposedly existed 24 years ago.
>
> My best guess is that he's lying again.

If that's your "best guess", then you pretty well fell flat on
your face...

Again.

> Wants "equal time" with my son. I've told him to stay away in no
> uncertain terms.

Of course you would.

And like I said, he'll find out about you in due time, Brain.

It's inevitable.

And what do you think he'll say when he sees what a habitual liar
you are, Brain...???

Steve, K4YZ

559. Steve's Final, Final, Final Word with Billybeeper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 10:55:06 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115636106.089927.324400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107792

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > Stebie tried a simple BLUFF. He is a "credentialist"
> > > whose expertise is all in his collection of certificates,
> > > medals, titles, and badges of rank.
> >
> > And MARS and Amateur callsigns.
>
> And all of them, unlike your "Somalia" operation, are/were valid.

No papers, no valid. Your words, your rules, your logic.

Show us your papers.

> > > Poor Stebie...he NEEDS to be a PART of something
> > > "greater" than the ordinary...to "be somebody" in
> > > his own eyes. He NEEDS to be in a position of
> > > authority...to have some "belonging" to a mighty
> > > (in some eyes) organization having lots of trappings
> > > of patriotism, honor, glory, etc. The military cast
> > > him out
> >
> > They threw him aside with great force.
>
> Lessee...Lennie's a member of nothing, and Brain alleges to lead
> some cub scouts around from time to time.

Len is a member if IEEE, you are not.

> > > Stebie did NOT explain what the "A" stood for in
> > > "ANOIC." That's an unusual acronym and not
> > > familiar.
> >
> > ###hole?
>
> Please, please Todd...I mean Brain...your IQ is showing...

I thought it was a pretty good guess.

> > > Stebie is just trying to BLUFF his way out, Brian.
> > > He will NOT answer you directly (a sure case of him
> > > doing the bluffing snowjob) and instead tries to
> > > misdirect the thread onto you and your alleged (by
> > > him and Heil) "illegal operation."

> >
> > Steve somehow thinks that I need his approval. I don't.
>
> Oh no, Brain...
>
> Make no mistake about it...I know EXACTLY who you needed
> authorization for your "Somalia" operation...And there was not a
single
> one of the officers in your unit who had that authority.

Silly Gunny, I had a Commander. Unlike your claimed "ANCOIC" stint, we
had leadership.

> > But even worse is that he knows that he needs my approval.
>
> I need nothing from you, Brain.

You beg for my approval. You get all upset when it isn't there.

> You refusal to answer the questions I put to you in response to
> assertions YOU make is all I need...It's all ANYone following any of
> this needs.

Silly Gunny, your refusal to post authentic documentation in response
to your silly assertions...

> > Welp, if he wants to clear his bad name...(SNIP)
>
> I have no "bad name" to clear, Brain.
>
> You asked for information, I gave it to you.

I asked for authentic documentation. You have none. You lied.

> > The
> > > "Commanding General" of the First Marine Air Wing
> > > of today (if it was a flag rank) would NOT have
> > > such detailed personnel records of two dozen
> > > years ago to verify anyone...except other high
> > > staff officers listed by name and rank in a unit
> > > historical summary. Is a marine "wing" large
> > > enough for star rank as a commander? That hasn't
> > > been explained.
> >
> > Nor will it. Attempts to do so will melt the snow job.
>
> Acutally, the Marine Corps keeps rather definitive
records...Going
> back to the beginning of the Marine Corps in 1775.

Then you have absolutely no excuse for not posting authentic
documentation of your MARS claims...

...unless they are just claims.

> The "snowjob" is you trying to find a way to beg off of
following
> up on the very specific details you were given...

Is this your final, final, final word? Hi!

> > > In a similar manner, Stebie once emphasized
> > > his "importance" in the Tennessee State Guard
> > > which has (from their own website) four
> > > "brigades."
> >
> > Another desperate attempt to be affiliated with something with an
> > important sounding name.
>
> For two Veterans who allege patriotic service, both of you seem
> to be embarrased or ashamed of it.

Embarassed by you desperately trying to get back in to a uniform, any
uniform (even a uniform that doesn't fit).

> > > [the picture had him in his usual scowl]
> > > Tsk. Few states have INDEPENDENT "guard"
> > > organizations and they are NOT under any
> > > federal command as are Army or Air Guard
> > > units in times of emergency.
> >
> > Good. Could you imagine him with an Iraqui prisoner on a dog
leash?
>
> No...but I can see me with you on one...

I have no doubt you fantasize about that.

> And Lennie's rendering of the state guard/defense force programs
> is not accurate.

Then clear everything up - POST AUTHENTIC DOCUMENTATION

> > > Stebie keeps trying to BLUFF. He thinks his
> > > bluff and bluster will work...it is very difficult
> > > to pull out any detailed military information on
> > > a relatively UN-important military position that
> > > supposedly existed 24 years ago.
> >
> > My best guess is that he's lying again.
>
> If that's your "best guess", then you pretty well fell flat on
> your face...

Your words, your rules, your logic. No Papers = LIAR.

> > Wants "equal time" with my son. I've told him to stay away in no
> > uncertain terms.

>
> Of course you would.
>
> And like I said, he'll find out about you in due time, Brain.
>
> It's inevitable.

More projection? Hate your father? Hi!

Is this your final, final, final word?

560. Re: Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 11:06:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115636793.479352.128990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107793

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Now, give the rest of us Stebie's definition of
> > > > > OPERATING...and keep saying that everyone who
> > > > > doesn't agree with Stebie is a "LIAR." Nobody
> > > > > should expect otherwise... :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > > >
> > > > What hasn't Steve/K4YZ crapped on?
> > > >
> > > > Steven J Robeson K4YZ K4CAP suffers from excrementia.
> > >
> > > K4CAP is not an active callsign, Brian.
> >
> > Probably never was. Just some Bozo collecting cool callsigns.
>
> More idiocy. Why do you keep doing this to yourself, Brian?

By "more idiocy" are you claiming that the callsign was never issued to
you, or that you never activated the callsign, or that it wasn't a cool
callsign, or that you're not Bozo?

Be specific, but precise. Limit your answer to 200 words or less.

> > > Why do you conntinue to make such glaring errors?
> >
> > Typical Robeson Slant and Rant.
>
> "Slant and Rant"..?!?! A new spin to hide your failed efforts to
> hide yuor own mistruths and cowardice, Brian P...?!?!

So why did you attempt to diss your K4CAP call? Convenience?

> > I said no such thing. You assume that I said that K4CAP was an
> active
> > callsign, or you just lied. I think the latter.
>
> You're attaching it to your posts as if it were an active
> callsign. That's not factual. Anyone who accesses "QRZ.COM" knows
> you're off base, Brian.

K4CAP was not issued to you? You didn't tag tour RRAP posts with
K4CAP?

Slant and Rant is your trademark.

> > Active or not, it was issued to you, Bozo.
>
> "Bozo" now! Getting a bit miffed that your attempts to get
around
> the fact that you've been bested again, eh, Brain...???

If K4CAP was not issued to you, and if your never posted to RRAP with
the tagline of K4CAP, then you indeed have bested me. Hi!

But the archives say you lie.

> > It's making
> > > foolish errors that has made you the fool you are today.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Your misredirection failed miserably. I've just shown you to be
the
> > fool that I say you are.
>
> You've shown nothing,

I've shown your to be a fool and a liar. Again. It's so easy - I
don't know why you keep coming back for more? You must love
humiliation.

except that you are making a fool out of
> yourself by addressing me with a callsign that I've not held for 2
> years.

You've made that callsign infamous with your never ending Slants and
Rants on RRAP. Idiot.

bb

561. Re: Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably If Your're Looking For Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 13:20:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115644803.543190.261080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107794

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > > Steven J Robeson K4YZ K4CAP suffers from excrementia.
> > > > >
> > > > > K4CAP is not an active callsign, Brian.
> > > >
> > > > Probably never was. Just some Bozo collecting cool callsigns.
> > >
> > > More idiocy. Why do you keep doing this to yourself, Brian?
> >
> > By "more idiocy" are you claiming that the callsign was never issued
to
> > you, or that you never activated the callsign, or that it wasn't a
cool
> > callsign, or that you're not Bozo?

By "more idiocy" I am claiming that you are an idiot. Was it
really that hard to figure out?

And I am not "collecting" callsigns. callsigns are an
admistrative tool. Nothing more, nothing less.

> Be specific, but precise. Limit your answer to 200 words or less.

OK.

Brian P Burke is an idiot. He's a habitual liar who must find
ways to hide his cowardice and inadequacies. His current
"documentation" rant in the face of verifiable references is proof.

He makes assinine assertions that are easily disproven with
information from third party sources. He is inept at telling the truth.

There...that was 54 words. Beat your "200 words or less"
challenge by almost 75%.

Next.

> > > > Why do you conntinue to make such glaring errors?
> > >
> > > Typical Robeson Slant and Rant.
> >
> > "Slant and Rant"..?!?! A new spin to hide your failed efforts
to
> > hide yuor own mistruths and cowardice, Brian P...?!?!
>

> So why did you attempt to diss your K4CAP call? Convenience?

I didn't "diss" it. You are appending it to current threads as if
it were current.

It's not. But doing so when anyone else can check QRZ for
themselves DOES make YOU look like the idiot I am claiming you to be,
so please...be my guest...

> > > I said no such thing. You assume that I said that K4CAP was an
> > active
> > > callsign, or you just lied. I think the latter.
> >
> > You're attaching it to your posts as if it were an active
> > callsign. That's not factual. Anyone who accesses "QRZ.COM" knows
> > you're off base, Brian.
>
> K4CAP was not issued to you? You didn't tag tour RRAP posts with
> K4CAP?

It's not my current callsign...Anymore than T5/N0IMD is yours.

> Slant and Rant is your trademark.

Oh...?!?! I've never filed for trademark protection.

Why are you making yet another public lie, Brain?

> > > Active or not, it was issued to you, Bozo.
> >
> > "Bozo" now! Getting a bit miffed that your attempts to get
> around
> > the fact that you've been bested again, eh, Brain...???
>
> If K4CAP was not issued to you, and if your never posted to RRAP with
> the tagline of K4CAP, then you indeed have bested me.

K4CAP was issued to me. It no longer is.

Your use of it aas if it were makes you look foolish.

Do you enjoy looking foolish, Brain?

(That was a rhetoical question...we all know you do....)

> But the archives say you lie.

No they don't.

But they've sure got you by the short hairs, Brian....

> > > It's making
> > > > foolish errors that has made you the fool you are today.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ

> > >
> > > Your misredirection failed miserably. I've just shown you to be
> the
> > > fool that I say you are.
> >
> > You've shown nothing,
>
> I've shown your to be a fool and a liar. Again. It's so easy - I
> don't know why you keep coming back for more? You must love
> humiliation.

Still waiting for you to show where a lie on my part occured,
Brain...

On the OTHER hand, we're still waiting for your reference as to
what unlicensed devices play a "major role" in emergency comms".

And who was that anonymous Air Force officer who allegedly
approved your T5 operation...?!?!

And P-U-H-L-E-E-E-Z-E tell us where all those Techs got run off
to. Maybe they are hiding with all those ARES guys wo aren't
responding...?!?!

> except that you are making a fool out of
> > yourself by addressing me with a callsign that I've not held for 2
> > years.
>
> You've made that callsign infamous with your never ending Slants and
> Rants on RRAP. Idiot.

There's an idiot here, alrught, Brain...your wife kissed him
good-bye this morning...

Steve, K4YZ

562. Re: Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably If Your're Looking For Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 13:38:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <SJJfe.10755$BE3.110@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107795

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> callsigns are an admistrative tool. Nothing more, nothing less.

Darn! For over 40 years I've been using mine as an operating and identification tool. Silly me!

de Hans, K0HB

563. Where's Brian P Burke's Picture? He Criticizes Others...Let's See His...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 14:01:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115647273.961323.322940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107796

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Lessee...Lennie's a member of nothing, and Brain alleges to
lead
> > some cub scouts around from time to time.
>
> Len is a member if IEEE, you are not.

True.

He's a "life member" in an organization that he had to buy his way into. IEEE does not, to the best of my knowledge, participate in any public service, emergency service or other community-based programs. Do they even do any philanthropic work? Scholarships? Even the ARRL and CAP have money for kids to go on to advanced education with....What does the IEEE offer kids...???

> > > > Stebie did NOT explain what the "A" stood for in
> > > > "ANOIC." That's an unusual acronym and not
> > > > familiar.
> > >
> > > ###hole?
> >
> > Please, please Todd...I mean Brain...your IQ is showing...
>
> I thought it was a pretty good guess.

And as I said...Your IQ is showing.

> > Make no mistake about it...I know EXACTLY who you needed
> > authorization for your "Somalia" operation...And there was not a
> single
> > one of the officers in your unit who had that authority.
>
> Silly Gunny, I had a Commander. Unlike your claimed "ANCOIC" stint,
we
> had leadership.

Obviously not.

You allege that one of them "gave (you) permission" to operate your Amateur radio station when he did not, in fact, have that authority to do so. Some "leadership".

I am sure he is grateful that if you were too stupid to keep your mouth shut about operating from there, you've at least not embarrassed

him (her?) by dropping his name thereby exposing him (her?) for being
an idiot too....

> > You refusal to answer the questions I put to you in response
to
> > assertions YOU make is all I need...It's all ANYone following any
of
> > this needs.
>
> Silly Gunny, your refusal to post authentic documentation in response
> to your silly assertions...

Look, Brain...

Just admit that you don't have the guts to ask the Marine Corps
what my official duties were during the periods I gave you.

> > For two Veterans who allege patriotic service, both of you
seem
> > to be embarrased or ashamed of it.
>
> Embarassed by you desperately trying to get back in to a uniform, any
> uniform (even a uniform that doesn't fit).

All of my uniforms fir just fine, thank-you. (So says the USAF
Liasion Officers in front of whom I just stood a SAREX eval...)

Nice try though.

Since you're challenging me on who looks appropriate in uniforms,
let's see you post a picture of you in your "Official" Cub scout
leader's uniform, Brain...

You've lied about me being "flabby" despite evidence to the
contrary...Let's see a pic of YOU on line in your Official U. S. Cub
Scout Leader Uniform, complete with den numbers, council patch and
WEBLOS pin...

I wonder, by the way, what the Scouts would have to say about one
of their "leaders" who publically lies and uses the kind of language
you use in this public forum, Brain...?!?!

Or is reality a bit too challenging for you?

Steve, K4YZ

564. Re: Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably If Your're Looking For Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 14:03:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115647409.680897.274370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107797

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > callsigns are an admistrative tool. Nothing more, nothing less.
>
> Darn! For over 40 years I've been using mine as an operating and
identification
> tool. Silly me!

They are that too, Hans...But no different than your telephone
number, Zip Code or ip address...

73

Steve, K4YZ

565. Re: What? No Papers? That's a Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 14:09:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115647787.830736.158000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107798

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> See also Stebie's day-dreaming about sexy young stars in the
> media such as Brittney Spears. He needs his fantasies fired
> up. Fantasies seem his whole life.

Well, Lennie, there's another area where you and I are at odds.

First of all, at least I know who Britney Spears is.

Secondly, a person without fantasies is dead...or soon will be.

And lastly, at least I've had the initiative to chase some of
mine...You just plopped into that rut and were perfectly happy to stay
there...happy as long as you could blame anyone else for it...

Steve, K4YZ

566. Re: Poor little Butt Cornholer, his imaginary "pilot's license" is not in the FAA's database!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 14:14:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115648068.999393.136950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107799

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > Thrasher Remailer AKA wogie lloydie two-test unwiseman wrote:
> >
> >> Looks like he lied again!
> >>
> >> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!
> >>
> >>
> >
> > We all know who the liar is wogie and it is you. You have yet to
post
> > any proof of any of your accusations you have made of anyone.
> > Quit while you're ahead wogie, you are already the laughing stock
of the
> > internet, everyone knows what a sad little POS you really are.
> > And if you knew who I was you might be able to find my pilots
license in
> > the FCC data base.
>
> And I will correct my mistake before you do wogie, I meant to say the

> FAA database. And thanks for bringing this up by the way. I just went

> into the FAA database and got a logon id so I could change my airman
> certificate number from my SSN to another number.
> So again wogie, you prove you don't have a clue.

Speaking of not having a clue and flying...I wonder if Todd's been
flying his illegal "ultralight" any more...?!?!

He really should have gotten a clue by now and put some staples in
that yap of his before he blurts out some OTHER federal law that he's
violating....

73

Steve, K4YZ

567. Re: Where's Brian P Burke's Picture? He Criticizes Others...Let's See His...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 14:39:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DCKfe.11044$HL2.1845@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107850

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Do they even do any philanthropic work? Scholarships? Even the ARRL
> and CAP have money for kids to go on to advanced education with....What
> does the IEEE offer kids...???

IEEE sponsors hundreds of college-level scholarships, grants, internships, and other educational awards totalling upwards of $1,000,000 per year.

High-school programs include the PEERS which provides resources at the high-school level to encourage students in the study of science, math, and technology.

With NSF and CCNY they sponsor "Stuff That Works", a set of academic tools (lesson plans/curriculum units) for elementary and middle school teachers to use to introduce the world of technology and engineering to their students.

The list could go on for several pages.

While ARRL has an excellent track record in supporting youth and education, their efforts pale by comparison to the work being done by IEEE.

de Hans, K0HB

568. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 14:52:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115650348.662830.287660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107851

here the acually article from my blog

Friday, May 06, 2005

U.S. Court of Appeal Strikes Down Broadcast Flags

The U.S. COURT OF APPEAL struck down this morning the FCC Broadcast Flags. The court ruled that the FCC had over stepped it authority by trying to regulated the content after it was recieved. the full text can be found here:
RULING

569. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 15:24:58 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <srqdnQUtXrvtAOLfRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107853

Tor Johnson wrote:
> "Userbeam Remailer" <remailer@userbeam.net> wrote in message
> news:5fd70b6c01c8d4ea31c312c8d2a37ae7@userbeam.net...
>
>>WE SAID IT ON THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO FIRST AND THAT WAS THE
>>BRODCAST FLAGS ARE GOING TO BE SLAPPED DOWN
>
>
> (cut)
>
> Can someone explain what this putz is ttrying to say ?

No one can make any sense out of what toddieboy tries to say.

570. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 15:38:47 UTC
From: Tor Johnson <vanmanisaputz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <d5f79$427f8404$d1cc796e$16187@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 107852

"Userbeam Remailer" <remailer@userbeam.net> wrote in message
news:5fd70b6c01c8d4ea31c312c8d2a37ae7@userbeam.net...
> WE SAID IT ON THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO FIRST AND THAT WAS THE
> BRODCAST FLAGS ARE GOING TO BE SLAPPED DOWN

(cut)

Can someone explain what this putz is ttrying to say ?

He seems to write in "white-ebonics" (grin...baaaa hahahahaha!)

Would likely make a great studio audience groupie for
the Jerry Springer Show..?

571. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 17:52:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115661159.932499.25790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107854

<Command bitchwhore said
>No one can make any sense out of what toddieboy tries to say.

Hey stupid fuck, that wasn't me that post it.
Todd N9OGL

572. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 17:52:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115661166.705952.26580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107855

<Command bitchwhore said
>No one can make any sense out of what toddieboy tries to say.

Hey stupid fuck, that wasn't me that post it.
Todd N9OGL

573. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 19:12:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115665926.752768.267990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107856

Tor Johnson wrote:
> "Userbeam Remailer" <remailer@userbeam.net> wrote in message
> news:5fd70b6c01c8d4ea31c312c8d2a37ae7@userbeam.net...
> > WE SAID IT ON THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO FIRST AND THAT WAS THE
> > BRODCAST FLAGS ARE GOING TO BE SLAPPED DOWN
>
> (cut)
>
> Can someone explain what this putz is ttrying to say ?
>
> He seems to write in "white-ebonics" (grin...baaaa hahahahaha!)
>
> Would likely make a great studio audience groupie for
> the Jerry Springer Show..?

Yeah...watch for the episode "Functionally Illiterate Stay-At-Home Parasite Adult Kids Who Won't Get A Job Or A Bath"

The whole hour is devoted to Todd. He'll be the one in the black leather camasole, mesh stockings and peaked Nazi field cap.

If they can get him out of his bedroom, that is......

Steve, K4YZ

574. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 19:14:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115666084.356170.101040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107857

N9OGL wrote:
> <Command bitch##### said
> >No one can make any sense out of what toddieboy tries to say.
>
> Hey stupid ####, that wasn't me that post it.

It doesn't matter if you did or didn't, Todd...

It sure SOUNDED like you, writes like you, and YOU encourage that
kind of anonymous, profane behaviour, so you deserve the results.

Welcome to "freedom of speech".

Steve, K4YZ

575. Re: Where's Brian P Burke's Picture? He Criticizes Others...Let's See His...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 19:18:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115666289.374122.20090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107858

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > Do they even do any philanthropic work? Scholarships? Even the
ARRL
> > and CAP have money for kids to go on to advanced education
with....What
> > does the IEEE offer kids...???
>
>
> IEEE sponsors hundreds of college-level scholarships, grants,
internships, and
> other educational awards totalling upwards of $1,000,000 per year.
>
> High-school programs include the PEERS which provides resources at
the
> high-school level to encourage students in the study of science,
math, and
> technology.
>
> With NSF and CCNY they sponsor "Stuff That Works", a set of academic
tools
> (lesson plans/curriculum units) for elementary and middle school
teachers to use
> to introduce the world of technology and engineering to their
students.
>
> The list could go on for several pages.
>
> While ARRL has an excellent track record in supporting youth and
education,
> their efforts pale by comparison to the work being done by IEEE.

Gee...that's greeeeeat.

Wonder why none of that money seems to make it to one of the more
well known outreach programs?

Thanks for the info, Hans.

73

Steve, K4YZ

576. Re: Where's Brian P Burke's Picture? He Criticizes Others...Let's See His...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 19:30:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9TOfe.270$2u5.159@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107859

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Wonder why none of that money seems to make
> it to one of the more well known outreach programs?

What "well known outreach program" would that be, Steve?

de Hans, K0HB

577. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 19:36:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me!@that.moc>
Message-ID: <maadnUjtS_hKROLfRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107892

N9OGL wrote:
> WHAT SAID I ABOUT YOUR KID WASN'T SLANDER EITHER BUT THE TRUTH :)
>
> TODD N9OGL
>

But what you said was about as low as a person can possibably get. Nice going toddieboy, it shows just what you really are.

578. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 19:38:54 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115667534.102394.224550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107860

FUCK YOU STEVE YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE

579. Re: Where's Brian P Burke's Picture? He Criticizes Others...Let's See His...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 19:40:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <71Pfe.11118$HL2.5166@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107861

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> He's a "life member" in an organization that he had to buy his way
> into.

"buy his way into"?!?!

Sorta like you "buy your way into" ARRL?

Sunuvagun!

de Hans, K0HB

580. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 19:42:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115667726.528287.109800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107862

AT LEASE I AIN'T SOME FUCKING ASSHOLE MOTHER FUCKER

STEVE YOU WILL ALWAY BE A FUCKING ASSHOLE

TODD N9OGL

581. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 19:52:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115668344.467184.165530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107863

hmmm...maybe I should send this to aol and see what they say....can you
say TOS???

582. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 19:56:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115668607.029862.10420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107864

N9OGL wrote:
> #### YOU STEVE YOU ####ING ###HOLE

Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhh! Use all five neurons for that one, Todd?

Steve, K4YZ

583. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 19:59:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115668796.021966.15440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107865

N9OGL wrote:
> AT LEASE I AIN'T SOME #####ING ###HOLE MOTHER #####ER

I am sure that, having realized her error in birthing you, that your mother would not allow you to get back in there under ANY circumstances, Todd.

> STEVE YOU WILL ALWAY BE A #####ING ###HOLE

I am sure you think so, Todd. Of course that's ALL you can think of, so it narrows the options.

Steve, K4YZ

584. cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:01:39 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050509200139.56E33170D0@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 107867

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Control: rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy
Approved: moderator@control.rmgroup

Comment: Group being removed from servers at request of Steven Robeson,
K4YZ, moderator of rec.radio.amateur.policy, due to excessive abuse and
spam.

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP 9.0.0 (Build 1917) Beta

iQA/AwUBQn5jO62geATFmUgDEQK24ACfQw0RIc08BrOIWwQGomdGRIzdl+EAnRBN
0VYkhj+f+pxbkvTCeKN492JG
=fary
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

585. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:02:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115668953.067001.121440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107866

N9OGL wrote:
> hmmm...maybe I should send this to aol and see what they say....can
you
> say TOS???

You can say a lot of things, Todd.

The fact is that if you follow the headers more than a few of my
posts to NOT originate from AOL, the tagline on the Google posts
notwithstanding.

So you go right ahead...Then I will show them YOUR posts without
the poundsigns in place of the colorful language, and we'll see what
happenes.

Good luck.

Steve,K4YZ

586. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:03:36 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me!@that.moc>
Message-ID: <apydnV9yHMLufeLfRVn-hw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107897

N9OGL wrote:
>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>But what you said was about as low as a person can possibably get.
>
> Nice
>
>>going toddieboy, it shows just what you really are
>
>
> Hey, if you all want to be assholes on here I can be one too.

That has never been disputed toddieboy. No one here but you has stooped so low as to make a slur about someone's deceased child. You must really take pride in that.

587. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:05:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115669129.689742.171990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107868

From: "bb" on Sun,May 8 2005 11:15 am

>K□B wrote:
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>>
>> > ARRL membership as of 31 December 2004 was 151,727.
>> > The "individuals who are ARRL members" is given
>> > as 138,127. Obvious discrepancy there. ARRL
>> > does not clarify what seems to be a glaring error
>> > in arithmetic...
>>
>> No "discrepancy" exits; no "glaring error in arithmetic" exists.
>>
>> QST circulation numbers will always be lower than ARRL membership
numbers
>> because multi-member households recieve a single copy of QST.
>>
>> Sunuvagun!
>
>QST circulation numbers will always be higher than ARRL membership
>numbers because of library subscriptions and news stand sales.

To quote from the ARRL's own page in regards to the
"Publisher's Sworn Circulation Statement" on web page
www.arrl.org/ads/circ.html -

1. Average monthly paid circulation by type:
Association, individuals who are ARRL members 138,137
Subscribers, institutions such as libraries, etc. 816
Net single copy sales, radio stores, etc. 1,481
*includes 20,233 Life Members 140,434

Hans wants to escalate things to a Battle Royal when he feels
anyone has "wronged" the blessed ARRL...other than him. :-)

The total of Subscribers, institutions and net single copy
sales is 2,297. That corresponds to only 1.64% of the total
of 140,434. The total of 20,233 Life Members is far above
that. More importantly, there's NO statement on how many
households have more than one ARRL member so it is difficult
to quantify Hans' CLAIM of "wrongness." Hans wants himself
free of challenge.

Now, if Hans says "no discrepancy exists" or "no glaring
error in arithmetic exists," then IT DOESN'T EXIST!!!

Further, if Hans says you are "completely wrong," then you ARE WRONG!!!

Them's the Laws in this here "Everyone Loves da ARRL" newsgroup. Hans has spoken. Therefore it is SO. :-)

Reality is different. But, reality doesn't exist in here.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

588. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:06:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115669165.708999.50070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107869

N9OGL wrote:
> hmmm...maybe I should send this to aol and see what they say....can
you
> say TOS???

Oh...and by the way...I'll show them the pics you sent...remember
the vaccuum cleaner? Then I will show them your post where you propose
to stay in your bedroom 24/7 in order to run your radio show.

Remember, Todd...It's not slander if it's true.

You go ahead and send AOL that TOS, Todd...I'll show them where
you're "coming from"...

Steve, K4YZ

589. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:08:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115669284.316094.288890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107870

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sun,May 8 2005 11:15 am

> Reality is different. But, reality doesn't exist in here.

Sure it does. we just can't get you and Brainless to come around
to it.

But we keep trying...

Steve, K4YZ

590. Re: Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:08:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115669287.432411.250120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107871

From: "Jim Hampton" on Sun,May 8 2005 4:26 pm

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1115522997.383957.11300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> From: bb on May 7, 9:38 pm
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> would be something about ham radio style "operating." :-) The
>> United States military communications groups are PROFESSIONAL
>> in all aspects, not amateur radio hobbyists. <shrug>

>It just might be what a particular operator is familiar with. Back
when (in
>the 60s) the military used a lot of HF point to point communications,
they
>(the radiomen) were familiar with having to change frequencies, how to
set
>crypto gear, and many other things. One thing they were *not*
familiar with
>was how to handle a strong signal.

[heh...I could see what was coming before reading further]

>When the communications station attempted to re-establish
communications
>with Saipan, Hans, K0HB, was sent to Saipan. The communications
station
>kept telling him he was "loud, but garbled". Big hint - too much
signal for
>the local oscillator injection to properly demodulate the ssb. I
called
>Hans from the hamshack (KG6AAY) and we (the hams) ended up not only
>establishing communications but got orders to pass traffic from Saipan
to
>Commander, Naval Forces Marianas directly.

So...an anecdote from USN days is suddenly a Standard
Operating Procedure? Or a professional dissertation on
how certain others are "completely 'wrong'?" :-)

Two things, perhaps three, come to mind here.

First of all, the HF military receivers of the 60s era, typified by the R-388s and R-390s, all had RF GAIN controls. NOT a problem to handle the alleged "overloads" of strong signal length. There are ADDITIONAL controls for attenuation, not only on the receivers but on their outboard Converters for TTY or SSB separation. Were all the "S Meters" on those receivers inoperative?

Secondly, a transmitter could have been deliberately mistuned (without damage to itself) to reduce the signal power output. Non-standard, but that would have been a possibility...such as toss a wire out the window (porthole?) and tune that up. :-)

Third, how did YOU "know" the garble WAS "intermodulation distortion" if you didn't have any way of determining the incoming signal strength? I'm familiar with the characteristics of U.S. military receivers of the 60s (through testing to spec. and for other, specialized applications)...and those have a rather wide dynamic signal strength range with or without the deliberate attenuation via RF GAIN setting.

>I also recall whilst aboard ship we lost the "broadcast" - multiplex signals
>for many channels of teletypes.

Sounds like the common "commercial format" SSB that's been around since the 30s...12 KHz wide modulation spectrum, the outer 6 KHz carrying 4 to 12 TTY tone pairs. One needs the Converters (outboard) to separate them and all the Converters I've seen all have separate level-setting controls...as do the "carrier" equipments that separate out the individual TTY signals.

> The problem was that we were getting to
>close to the station and we were in a skip zone. I suggested to the chief
>that we try LF (low frequency). He didn't think it would work, but said to
>give it a try as everything else was out. On LF, the signal was
>overpowering. In a few minutes, the broadcast was back up and running.

What are you saying there? Are you saying that LF is "immune" from IM effects? [ain't so...]

The USN was running 12 KHz SSB on LF? Capable of it? Doesn't sound likely. Please explain.

>In both of these instances, it was the hams that had the proper experience.

"Proper?" :-)

Your tale, oriented for the "lets all give each other high-
fives as hams for saving the world again" crowd in here is
a nice story. But, without some revealing details, it seems
little more than a STORY.

In that same era, in the USA, it was not uncommon
to have Field Radio AN/GRC-26 huts-and-trucks at
sites as close as 15 miles. They never had any
reported "overload to the point of garble" on their
single-channel TTY with AM voice communications
locally. "Angry-twenty-six" was typically 400 W
(CW) output carrying dipole and half-rhombic wire
antennas, using either R-388 or R-390 receivers.

Could it be - gasp, choke - that the Army guys
were "better" at communications than you sailors? :-)

>I shan't go much further than to say that one day whilst aboard ship,
we
>received an SOS on 500 KHz. It turns out that only *two* of us could
>actually copy Morse at any reasonable speed. I don't know about the
other
>guy, but I was an amateur extra and also had a commercial telegraph
license.
>The military radioman were *supposed* to be able to copy 16 words per
minute
>to graduate from A school.

There ya go! Another tale of "hams make the world
safe and show the pros how to do it via CW." :-)

Ho hum.

Why is it that the ENTIRE maritime world of radio
REJECTED morse code messaging for distress and
safety in favor of GMDSS? Tsk. I've heard all the
tales of "GMDSS won't work!" from all the retired
navy morsemen...but GMDSS continues to work...with
the approval of the maritime community. Tsk.

Field Radio MOSs in the Army of the 60s era ALSO
had to demonstrate morsemanship. Ho hum. The
Army field commanders insisted on using TTY
messaging just the same. There's NO need to
demonstrate morsemanship in ANY branches' radio
communications specialties today. NONE.

>Sorry to shoot your "professional" theory down.

Just WHO were you aiming at? Wasn't me. I wasn't
hit by any "fire." :-)

Your airborne "spotter" must have been that TN CAP
ace, Stebie Robeson, former "ANCOIC" of Okinawa
MARS and PR Field Agent for ARRL recruiting. :-)

HE should have his wings clipped.

> You aren't totally wrong,
>of course; it is what happens when the *unexpected* happens.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Us ex-Army types just never had
"emergencies" or "weren't as good as hams?" :-)

Best you hike on down to the nearest office of
BuShips or whatever and inform THEM that they
are "all wrong" or even "partly wrong" and
re-insitute all that morsemanship training (to
keep the world safe through use of Sam's wonderful
code). Think of it as a "holy mission."

Peace be unto you. Amen.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

591. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:09:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115669378.438325.161910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107872

>The fact is that if you follow the headers more than a few of my
>posts to NOT originate from AOL, the tagline on the Google posts
>notwithstanding

Mine are from google to but you had the fucking balls to bitch to my
service provider.

Todd N9OGL

592. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:12:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115669575.242686.53310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107873

>Oh...and by the way...I'll show them the pics you sent...remember
>the vaccuum cleaner? Then I will show them your post where you
propose
>to stay in your bedroom 24/7 in order to run your radio show.
HEY DICKHEAD I DIDN'T POST THAT PICTURE AND YOU LITTLE FUCKER I WANT
YOU TO PROVE THAT I POST IT.....OH, I FORGOT WHEN PEOPLE ASK YOU TO
POST SHIT ON HERE TO PROVE YOURSELF YOU DON'T BECAUSE YOUR A FUCKING
LYING FUCKING ASSHOLE

TODD N9OGL

593. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:14:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115669668.163785.191840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107874

WHAT SAID I ABOUT YOUR KID WASN'T SLANDER EITHER BUT THE TRUTH :)

TODD N9OGL

594. Re: Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 20:27:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115670435.120573.271120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107875

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> So...an anecdote from USN days...(SNIP)

Nothing about Amateur radio there.

> Two things, perhaps three, come to mind here.

None of them about Amateur radio, I bet.

> First of all, the HF military receivers of the 60s era...(SNIP)

Yep...I was right.

> Secondly, a transmitter could have been deliberately
> mistuned (without damage to itself) to reduce the
> signal power output. Non-standard, but that would have
> been a possibility...such as toss a wire out the window
> (porthole?) and tune that up.

About radios...getting closer...

> Third, how did YOU "know" the garble WAS "intermodulation
> distortion" if you didn't have any way of determining
> the incoming signal strength? I'm familiar with the
> characteristics of U.S. military receivers of the 60s...(SNIP)

...and very little else...

> >I also recall whilst aboard ship we lost the "broadcast" - multiplex
> signals
> >for many channels of teletypes.
>
> Sounds like the common "commercial format" SSB that's
> been around since the 30s...12 KHz wide modulation
> spectrum, the outer 6 KHz carrying 4 to 12 TTY tone
> pairs. One needs the Converters (outboard) to
> separate them and all the Converters I've seen all
> have separate level-setting controls...as do the
> "carrier" equipments that separate out the individual
> TTY signals.

Whoa...got through a whole paragraph without using the words "..in
the 1960's"...way to go Lennie! Think ya can make it to, say, 1973
anytime soon...?!?!

> What are you saying there? Are you saying that LF
> is "immune" from IM effects? [ain't so...]

>
> The USN was running 12 KHz SSB on LF? Capable of it?
> Doesn't sound likely. Please explain.
>
> >In both of these instances, it was the hams that had the proper
> experience.
>
> "Proper?"
>
> Your tale, oriented for the "lets all give each other high-
> fives as hams for saving the world again" crowd in here is
> a nice story. But, without some revealing details, it seems
> little more than a STORY.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhh...back to dissing anyone ELSE'S "story" as being
just that...a story...Now you'll give us YOUR version of a "true
story".

> In that same era, in the USA, it was not uncommon
> to have Field Radio AN/GRC-26 huts-and-trucks at
> sites as close as 15 miles. They never had any
> reported "overload to the point of garble" on their
> single-channel TTY with AM voice communications
> locally. "Angry-twenty-six" was typically 400 W
> (CW) output carrying dipole and half-rhombic wire
> antennas, using either R-388 or R-390 receivers.

Dang I'm good!

> Could it be - gasp, choke - that the Army guys
> were "better" at communications than you sailors?

...and even managed to throw in a "diss" to give it some polish!

> There ya go! Another tale of "hams make the world
> safe and show the pros how to do it via CW."

...and a "diss" for Amateur Radio.

> Ho hum.

You got that right.

> Why is it that the ENTIRE maritime world of radio
> REJECTED morse code messaging for distress and
> safety in favor of GMDSS? Tsk. I've heard all the
> tales of "GMDSS won't work!" from all the retired
> navy morsemen...but GMDSS continues to work...with
> the approval of the maritime community. Tsk.

Here we go with the "jump off the cliff" mode of why Amateur radio
should be like Maritime or Armed Forces communications.

> Field Radio MOSs in the Army of the 60s era ALSO
> had to demonstrate morsemanship. Ho hum. The
> Army field commanders insisted on using TTY
> messaging just the same. There's NO need to
> demonstrate morsemanship in ANY branches' radio
> communications specialties today. NONE.

This forum is about A M A T E U R R A D I O....

Wishe we could get that across to ya, Lennie...

> >Sorry to shoot your "professional" theory down.
>
> Just WHO were you aiming at? Wasn't me. I wasn't
> hit by any "fire."

Guess that solidifies my opinion on your "professionalism"....

> Your airborne "spotter" must have been that TN CAP
> ace, Stebie Robeson, former "ANCOIC" of Okinawa
> MARS and PR Field Agent for ARRL recruiting.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...THERE it is!

> HE should have his wings clipped.

Not by a gutless punk like you, Lennie...At least I got the wings!
Still got your "student pilot certificate" locked away with that GROL
that you alleged expired...?!?!

> > You aren't totally wrong,
> >of course; it is what happens when the *unexpected* happens.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Us ex-Army types just never had
> "emergencies" or "weren't as good as hams?"

Gee...All the other branches have "emergencies"...Maybe your LACK
of "emergencies" was due to a lack of proximity to any danger...?!?!

> Best you hike on down to the nearest office of
> BuShips or whatever and inform THEM that they
> are "all wrong" or even "partly wrong" and
> re-insitute all that morsemanship training (to
> keep the world safe through use of Sam's wonderful
> code). Think of it as a "holy mission."
>
> Peace be unto you. Amen.

Diss, diss, and more diss.

Steve, K4YZ

595. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-09-09 20:29:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115670572.154543.253240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107876

N9OGL wrote:
> >Oh...and by the way...I'll show them the pics you sent...remember
> >the vaccuum cleaner? Then I will show them your post where you
> propose
> >to stay in your bedroom 24/7 in order to run your radio show.
>
> HEY #####HEAD I DIDN'T POST THAT PICTURE AND YOU LITTLE ####ER I WANT
> YOU TO PROVE THAT I POST IT.....OH, I FORGOT WHEN PEOPLE ASK YOU TO
> POST ##### ON HERE TO PROVE YOURSELF YOU DON'T BECAUSE YOUR A #####ING
> LYING #####ING ###HOLE

Those neurons are getting thinner by the minute, Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

596. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:32:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115670742.369705.100830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107877

Dee Flint wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1115509988.993788.265120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

> > If, as you state, *half the ARRL members are Techs* then what's the
> > point to this whole thread?? Or is it me again?
> >
> > w3rv
> >
>
> If Hans is correct about the scarcity of Techs in the ARRL
membership, he
> proposes a way to attract them is all. I simply indicated that his
original
> premise may or may not be true. Thus it should be checked. I don't
know
> what the numbers are. I simply thought I saw something on it but
haven't
> checked it. It is my point of view that the ARRL ought to try to get
the
> involvement of more hams of all classes.

I went for the actual numbers.

I tossed an e-mail msg at Dave Sumner requesting a breakdown of ARRL memberships vs. their license classes which he came right back with. The last time these numbers were pulled together in detail at HQ was in August 1996 as reported in the February 1997 issue of QST. He added "The proportions will not have changed dramatically since then."

Extras 38,852
Advanced 39,430
General 25,245
Tech Plus 22,634
Tech 24,021
Novice 2,627

Total members = 152,809

Total Techs = 46,655 or ~30% of the ARRL membership are Techs vs. ~50% of all licensees. There's a shortfall of Techs within the membership but certainly not any sort of "yawning gap" in the representation of Techs at the ARRL (or vice versa) as Hans has implied.

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

w3rv

597. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:37:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115671023.746913.3080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107878

like i said asshole prove it, prove that I posted that vaccuum cleaner picture, you can't because i didn't post it and your a lying sack of shit and a poor excuse for a human being.

Todd N9OGL

598. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:37:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115671056.062075.214870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107879

N9OGL wrote:
> WHAT SAID I ABOUT YOUR KID WASN'T SLANDER EITHER BUT THE TRUTH

Yep..she's gone. Thankfully she passed at home with loved ones at
hand.

Can any of us say for certain how long we'll be here...?!?!
Sudden sickness...a car accident...a stray bullet from a drive
by...?!?! Who knows. The press is full of sad stories of early
demise. And who knows who will be next...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

599. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:39:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115671161.472991.41830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107880

K4YZ IS THE CHIEF ABUSER HERE.....HE IS NOT THE MODERATOR.

TODD N9OGL

600. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:41:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115671286.767311.27780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107881

N9OGL wrote:
> like i said ###hole prove it, prove that I posted that vaccuum
cleaner
> picture, you can't because i didn't post it and your a lying sack of
> #### and a poor excuse for a human being.

I don't have to "prove" anything. The picture will speak for
itself.

And from a punk who disses deceased disabled kids, you sure have a
unique perspective on what constitutes a "poor excuse for a human
being", living at home with the folks, holed up in your bedroom and
all....

Steve, K4YZ

601. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:43:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115671395.763468.38280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107882

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ IS THE CHIEF ABUSER HERE.....HE IS NOT THE MODERATOR.

I am neither, Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

602. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 20:56:54 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115672214.682208.123320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107883

>STEBIE SAID
>I don't have to "prove" anything. The picture will speak for
>itself.

>Yeah, you don't have to because you don't want to be proven wrong. By
not proven it your showing >that your a liar that from now on shouldn't
be taken serious.
> And from a punk who disses deceased disabled kids, you sure have a
>unique perspective on what constitutes a "poor excuse for a human
>being", living at home with the folks, holed up in your bedroom and
>all....

booohooo like I always say all fair in war. I wouldn't say I'm "holed
up" in my bedroom I live in a very BIG house. so go cry to some who
cares.

Todd N9OGL

603. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 21:04:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115672640.520777.169650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107884

NAW, Steve your just a little net cop / ham cop

todd n9ogl

604. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 21:11:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115673061.014299.169520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107885

k...@dvol.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:

> Extras 38,852
> Advanced 39,430
> General 25,245
> Tech Plus 22,634
> Tech 24,021
> Novice 2,627
>
> Total members = 152,809
>
> Total Techs = 46,655 or ~30% of the ARRL membership are Techs vs.
~50%
> of all licensees. There's a shortfall of Techs within the membership
> but certainly not any sort of "yawning gap" in the representation of
> Techs at the ARRL (or vice versa) as Hans has implied.

If we throw out those Novices, which aren't any appreciable number,
then the percentages look like this:

Extras: 25.52%

Advanced: 25.91%

General: 16.25%

Techs: 30.65%

...or leave the Novices in...it only changes the percentages by
1/10th or thereabouts of a percent...

Nonetheless...The Techs DO comprise a significant membership base
in the ARRL...Enough to be a significant voting block if they wanted
to.

So why don't they?

Lennie and Brain contend that they are somehow a repressed subset
of the membership, yet there's not a single impediment to ANY person
with ANY specific interest in ARRL policies or programs from pushing
for changes in those programs and policies if they so choose.

If anything, we should be asking why are the Generals so
inequitably represented in the ARRL membership.

The ARRL is, afterall, a membership organization. If in this day
and age there's not been some major effort to organize a major change

to the ARRL's policies and programs, then apparently they ARE representing the opinions of their demographic fairly evenly.

If there was such a disaffection for the ARRL, where's the "alter-ARRL"...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

605. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 21:18:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115673482.221669.254810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107886

monsieur sandman wrote:
> On 9 May 2005 13:39:21 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:
> > K4YZ IS THE CHIEF ABUSER HERE.....HE IS NOT THE MODERATOR.
>
> That looks like an attempt to have this newsgroup completely
> eliminated, although I have no way of checking the PGP signature to
> see if it is a valid request.
>
> If K4YZ is involved, he is in flagrant violation of AOL's terms of
> service, which won't even allow an AOL member to be "disruptive" let
> alone to try and cancel a news group.
>
> K4YZ should be aware that "what goes around comes around" and that he
> has made no friends with his net copping. If I were K4YZ, I'd be
> expecting to hear from AOL at almost any time now.

(1) Why would I hide behind a remailer and threaten to "diable"
the newsgroups? That stupid troll's been going around for 2 weeks
now...It's as assinine now as it was when the anonymous coward that
uttered it suggested it.

(2) If Todd or anyone else cares to try and file a "TOS" with AOL
alleging that I am behind that idiocy, be my guest. I am sure they
have adequate resources to determine who the real culprit is.

(3) And your "what goes around" comment sounds a lot like a
threat...Guess that's why you hide behind a remailer yourself. Perhaps
you should read a bit deeper.

Steve, K4YZ

606. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 21:22:10 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6wQfe.41$OU1.19@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107887

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> 1. Average monthly paid circulation by type:
> Association, individuals who are ARRL members 138,137
> Subscribers, institutions such as libraries, etc. 816
> Net single copy sales, radio stores, etc. 1,481
> *includes 20,233 Life Members 140,434

Simple addition It corresponds exactly with their claim of

"Average paid circulation for the six months ended December 31, 2004 = 140,434"

No "glaring error in arithmetic" that I can see!

> More importantly, there's NO statement on how many
> households have more than one ARRL member so it is difficult
> to quantify Hans' CLAIM of "wrongness."

Not difficult to quantify at all, Len. From the same page you cut-and-pasted from we see that "American Radio Relay League membership, December 31, 2004 = 151,727", and from your cut-and-paste we learn that 138,137 copies were mailed to members (includes life members). Then by simple subtraction we can "quantify" that 13,590 members did not receive their own copy of QST, ergo, there are 13,590 ARRL members who live in the same household with another member who DOES receive a copy of QST.

Sunuvagun!

Reality doesn't care what Len believes.

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

607. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 21:23:58 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <9OKdnVqil4hpSeLfRVn-qg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107888

N9OGL wrote:

> WHAT SAID I ABOUT YOUR KID WASN'T SLANDER EITHER BUT THE TRUTH :)
>
> TODD N9OGL
>

It's like a toad switch somebody flips. With no OFF position.

ON-- WACKO NUT CASE
[[
[[
ON-- Illiterate idiot

Either way you have a major defect!

608. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 21:28:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <0CQfe.229$wM2.75@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107889

Hey Toad,

How come you stopped singing the Red Panty song on 14.313 and elsewhere?
Did the mean ole RH get ya? You know he did.

Dan/W4NTI

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1115672640.520777.169650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> NAW, Steve your just a little net cop / ham cop
>
> todd n9ogl
>

609. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 21:33:55 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <uOWdnTZEWdvbSuLfRVn-tg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107890

N9OGL wrote:

<snip>
> booohooo like I always say all fair in war.
<snip>
> Todd N9OGL
>
You always say that? Are you at war allot? Don't you have equipment to build, a non existing show to run, a poodle to spank. Why not just stay on your so called blog and make your threats and call names there. Go hide under your mommys bed.
See ya, wouldn't want to be ya!

610. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 21:44:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115675056.413161.75000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107891

>Hey Toad,

>How come you stopped singing the Red Panty song on 14.313 and
elsewhere?
>Did the mean ole RH get ya? You know he did.

>Dan/W4NTI

it wasn't me dan but hey if you want I'll go down there and sing a few
songs for you good old boys
hell I'll even take request.

Todd N9OGL

611. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 21:53:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115675605.736035.125740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107893

non-existing show to run HA, I have a much right to be here just as you
do. I don't like when asshole ham operators get on this newsgroup
"CLAIMING" I'm posting shit on here when I'm not.I will be on this
wekend so check it out...oh how the downloading of kiddy porn coming?
Better share with your buddy STEBIE
Todd N9OGL

612. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 21:55:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115675735.864114.137160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107894

>COMMAND BITCHWHORE SAID:
>But what you said was about as low as a person can possibably get.
Nice
>going toddieboy, it shows just what you really are

Hey, if you all want to be assholes on here I can be one too.

Todd N9OGL

613. Re: Where's Brian P Burke's Picture? He Criticizes Others...Let's See His...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 22:03:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115676204.994288.159940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107895

From: "K4YZ" on Mon,May 9 2005 7:01 am

>bb wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > Lessee...Lennie's a member of nothing, and Brain alleges to
lead
>> > some cub scouts around from time to time.
>>
>> Len is a member if IEEE, you are not.
>
> True.
>
> He's a "life member" in an organization that he had to buy his
way
>into.

"Buy" my way into it?

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHHAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Ahem, ANNUAL DUES since first joining in 1973 don't
quite meet Stebies' nasty inferences.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHHAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Tsk. On reaching a total of chronological years
plus years of membership, a member automatically
becomes a Life Member, NO dues required, SPECTRUM
magazine still arrives every month, etc. NO
extra payment required.

First-time (full) members are automatically
eligible if they are graduates of an approved
college or university. Others must obtain the
written affidavit of at least three current IEEE
members of full or greater membership status. I
did the latter in 1973, had no problem getting
such written confirmation; I'd already had the
responsibility for engineering design for years.

All of that and much more can be confirmed/found
on the IEEE website at www.ieee.org.

IEEE is a PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION of a quarter
million members worldwide.

So is the ACM, the Association of Computing Machinery,
the very first-in-the-world professional association
of Information Technologists. For a few years I was
also a member of the ACM.

> IEEE does not, to the best of my knowledge, participate in any
>public service, emergency service or other community-based programs.
>Do they even do any philanthropic work? Scholarships? Even the ARRL
>and CAP have money for kids to go on to advanced education
with....What
>does the IEEE offer kids...???

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHHAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Tsk. One MUST laff and laff at Stebie's IGNORANCE.

Hans Brakob already pointed out what the IEEE does
for public service work, education, etc.

Stebie has merely to access www.ieee.org on the
web and see for himself.

But, Stebie will have to have his own ER team
ready to reattach his tongue and lip when he
sees what goes on. :-)

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHHAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> > > > Stebie did NOT explain what the "A" stood for in
>> > > > "ANOIC." That's an unusual acronym and not
>> > > > familiar.
>> > >
>> > > ###hole?
>> >
>> > Please, please Todd...I mean Brain...your IQ is showing...
>>
>> I thought it was a pretty good guess.
>
> And as I said...Your IQ is showing.

Stebie EVADES the question. To repeat:

What DOES the "A" in "ANCOIC" stand for?

>> > Make no mistake about it...I know EXACTLY who you needed
>> > authorization for your "Somalia" operation...And there was not a
single
>> > one of the officers in your unit who had that authority.
>>
>> Silly Gunny, I had a Commander. Unlike your claimed "ANCOIC" stint,

we
>> had leadership.
>
> Obviously not.
>
> You allege that one of them "gave (you) permission" to operate
>your Amateur radio station when he did not, in fact, have that
>authority to do so. Some "leadership".

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Stebie keeps on with his MANUFACTURED
wrongness of others.

Stebie is NOT in any position of radio regulation
anywhere. Stebie was NEVER in Somalia. Stebie was
not even in any military service at that time. Yet
Stebie "KNOWS" all the details!!!

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHHAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> Look, Brain...
>
> Just admit that you don't have the guts to ask the Marine Corps
>what my official duties were during the periods I gave you.

Tsk. The VA doesn't have any individual information
on Stebie's military service!

Sunnuvagun!

> All of my uniforms fir just fine, thank-you. (So says the USAF
>Liasion Officers in front of whom I just stood a SAREX eval...)

Tsk. The VA doesn't have any individual information on
Stebie's Civil Air Patrol service!

Sunnuvagun!

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHHAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> Since you're challenging me on who looks appropriate in uniforms,
>let's see you post a picture of you in your "Official" Cub scout
>leader's uniform, Brain...

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Stebie's UNIFORM-wearing pictures don't
show him smiling enough to show his teeth. Stebie keeps
denying he is "getting thick in his middle" so maybe
that's why he frowns and looks so serious? :-)

Get your teeth fixed, Stebie.

That will help you chew your thoughts into more digestible
form...not to mention biting your tongue a lot when you
babble MANUFACTURED, FICTITIOUS UNTRUTHS about professional

organizations.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHHAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> I wonder, by the way, what the Scouts would have to say about one
>of their "leaders" who publically lies and uses the kind of language
>you use in this public forum, Brain...?!?!

The BSA Chief Council might chew out Brian for being in
this newsgroup with spiteful, hating, ignorant yahoos
like Stebie. That's about it. :-)

So, Stebie, keep up the good work as a Public Relations
Representative in the ARRL's membership drive! You are
a Role Model of today's Amateur Extra Class!

Huzzah and a big ZBM2 to you...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

614. Re: Where's Brian P Burke's Picture? He Criticizes Others...Let's See His...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 22:05:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115676307.901574.312930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107896

From: "K□B" on Mon,May 9 2005 12:30 pm

>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> Wonder why none of that money seems to make
>> it to one of the more well known outreach programs?
>
>What "well known outreach program" would that be, Steve?

Stebie's WALLET.

That's where he keeps his "records," his data on
"seven hostile actions," his "ANCOIC of MARS"
appointment (by the CG of the 1st MAW), all his
awards and medals, etc.

Stebie won't reveal what is IN that "wallet" so
we have to "take his word" that all he says is
"true."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

615. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 22:27:25 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <c66dnUV8BvVSfuLfRVn-qg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107898

N9GAY wrote:
> non-existing show to run HA, I have a much right to be here just as you
> do. I don't like when asshole ham operators get on this newsgroup
> "CLAIMING" I'm posting shit on here when I'm not.I will be on this
> wekend so check it out...oh how the downloading of kiddy porn coming?
> Better share with your buddy STEBIE
> Todd N9GAY
>
Hey little perv, I didn't accuse you of anything yet, you are making
slanderous statements! You seem to always allude to kiddy porn, are you
an expert? Holed up in your little dark room?
Yes you do have a right to be here, but you have no right to make
slanderous statements.
As far as you being "on" this weekend, why have we heard this before?
24/7 I thought were your words. Two weeks ago? Hold your pecker breath
toad, nobody north of Ohio will ever hear you!

616. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 22:31:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115677866.250021.323900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107899

The 24/7 programming will begin this coming weekend.

Enjoy
Todd N9OGL

617. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 22:31:43 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <C-idnepsy-NMeeLfRVn-jA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107800

N9OGL wrote:

>>COMMAND BITCHWHORE SAID:
>>But what you said was about as low as a person can possibably get.
>
> Nice
>
>>going toddieboy, it shows just what you really are
>
>
> Hey, if you all want to be assholes on here I can be one too.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Have you ever made one post that doesn't call somebody a name? And yes, you still are an illiterate idiot, a major malfunction, and a lying, story telling gay boy who is also an appliance operator, except your appliance is the vacuum cleaner!

618. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 22:32:41 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115677961.783480.7770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107801

K4YZ wrote:
> k...@dvol.com wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
>
> > Extras 38,852
> > Advanced 39,430
> > General 25,245
> > Tech Plus 22,634
> > Tech 24,021
> > Novice 2,627
> >
> > Total members = 152,809
> >
> > Total Techs = 46,655 or ~30% of the ARRL membership are Techs vs.
> ~50%
> > of all licensees. There's a shortfall of Techs within the
membership
> > but certainly not any sort of "yawning gap" in the representation
of
> > Techs at the ARRL (or vice versa) as Hans has implied.
>
> If we throw out those Novices, which aren't any appreciable
number,
> then the percentages look like this:
>
> Extras: 25.52%
>
> Advanced: 25.91%
>
> General: 16.25%
>
> Techs: 30.65%
>
> ...or leave the Novices in...it only changes the percentages by
> 1/10th or thereabouts of a percent...
>
> Nonetheless...The Techs DO comprise a significant membership
base
> in the ARRL...Enough to be a significant voting block if they wanted
> to.
>
> So why don't they?

Why should they? Do the Techs have some big problem with the League
which went over my head?

> Lennie and Brain contend that they are somehow a repressed
subset
> of the membership,

Those two "contend" a lotta things which are bass ackward and/or
off-the-wall. They're your turf, enjoy, I can't be bothered.

> yet there's not a single impediment to ANY person
> with ANY specific interest in ARRL policies or programs from pushing
> for changes in those programs and policies if they so choose.
>
> If anything, we should be asking why are the Generals so
> inequitably represented in the ARRL membership.

Fixing that gross inequity will involve the creation of yet another
department at HQ based on the next PBI which we haven't seen yet.

> The ARRL is, afterall, a membership organization. If in this day
> and age there's not been some major effort to organize a major change
> to the ARRL's policies and programs, then apparently they ARE
> representing the opinions of their demographic fairly evenly.

AMEN, that's the whole point and the only point which actually matters
in the context of this thread.

> If there was such a disaffection for the ARRL, where's the
> "alter-ARRL"...?!?!

Been tried several times and they all died almost on the spot.

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

619. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 23:27:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115681243.730628.286500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107802

KC8GXW,

HAVE YOU EVER POSTED ANYTHING NOT CALLING SOMEONE GAY, OR TELLING
LIES...YOUR JUST AS BAD AS YOUR BOYFRIEND STEVE K4YZ

Todd N9OGL

620. Re: Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 23:41:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115682101.669608.44970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107803

From: "K4YZ (self-official Nett Kopp)" on Mon,May 9 2005 1:27 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> So...an anecdote from USN days...(SNIP)
>
> Nothing about Amateur radio there.

Nothing about CAP...nothing about "SAREX," nothing
about Stebie at all!

Tsk, tsk, Stebie MUST make this thread HIS with
his immoderate MODERATION! :-)

>> Two things, perhaps three, come to mind here.
>
> None of them about Amateur radio, I bet.

Nothing about Nursing...nothing about MARS on
Okinawa. Tsk.

>> First of all, the HF military receivers of the 60s era...(SNIP)
>
> Yep...I was right.

Let's all hear it for Stebie's famous "seven hostile
actions" and his LAW-GIVING on Somalian radio! :-)

> Whoa...got through a whole paragraph without using the words "..in
>the 1960's"...way to go Lennie! Think ya can make it to, say, 1973
>anytime soon...?!?!

No problem. In 1973 I became a full member of IEEE
while working on design of the RF section of a 1.6
GHz transponder, part of the RCA Corporation SECANT
R&D program.

> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhh...back to dissing anyone ELSE'S "story" as being
>just that...a story...Now you'll give us YOUR version of a "true
>story".

No "story" of mine. We are NOT talking about stebie's
"appointment as 'ANCOIC' of MARS on Okinawa in 1981."

Jim Hampton was NOT talking about Stebie's stupendous
technical wizardry and wondrous adventures on Guam.
Disappointed? You should be. Imagine...a whole
thread without Stebie heckling and jeckling like a

crow in a cornfield. :-)

> Dang I'm good!

At WHAT?!? Acting like an ASSHOLE in public? Yes,
you do a credible job of that.

> Here we go with the "jump off the cliff" mode of why Amateur
radio
>should be like Maritime or Armed Forces communications.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. You've forgotten the "memorable"
snarlings of the (late?) W0EX in here on how
GMDSS would NEVER WORK! :-)

> This forum is about A M A T E U R R A D I O....
>
> Wishe we could get that across to ya, Lennie...

"Wishe?" :-) You "wishe-washe?" :-)

Stebie needs to be reminded of something: This
newsgroup is NOT about the USMC, CAP, "SAREX"
whatevers, or being an "ANCOIC" on Okinawa in
the 1981s. It is NOT about "calling the VA to
get the real info on Stebie da wundermurine."
It is NOT about Stebie's "battles" with his
"opponents" and how "bad/wrong/ignorant" they
are. It is NOT about Stebie at all!

> Not by a gutless punk like you, Lennie...At least I got the wings!
>Still got your "student pilot certificate" locked away with that GROL
>that you alleged expired...?!?!

"Gutless punk?!?" :-) :-)

You have "wings?" Gosh, we all thought you were
flapping your keyboard jaw in here... :-)

I got my FIRST CLASS RADIOTELEPHONE (COMMERCIAL)
RADIO OPERATORS LICENSE in 1956 in the Chicago
field office of the FCC. Had Stebie been toilet
trained then? No? Tsk, he still needs that
training...

The FCC turned the Commercial 'Phone classes into a
single General Radiotelephone Operator License many
years later. FCC also decreased the NECESSITY of
requiring any "GROL" for most NON-amateur radio
transmission. I keep my last COMMERCIAL license in
the safety-deposit box...hardly worth keeping now
except as a memento.

> Gee...All the other branches have "emergencies"...Maybe your LACK
>of "emergencies" was due to a lack of proximity to any danger...?!?!

Okay, Last Action Hero, let's all hear about the
When and Where of YOUR "seven hostile actions!"

Let's all hear about how you fought the enemy as
a mighty murine being aircraft ground support at
the airstrip! Get lots of wounds in that "front-
line" FIGHTING? How many medals for "heroism?"

Tsk, tsk. All I got was a Good Conduct ribbon,
didn't even get the medal...and being stationed
in Tokyo, Japan. NOT front-line duty like mighty
murine Stebie. :-)

> Diss, diss, and more diss.

Poor Stebie. Thought the thread was HIS, had to
snarl and growl and heckle and jeckle his
"opponents." :-)

Good luck on your new appointment as U.S. Amateur
Radio Extra recruitment representative. Let's all
hope you get customers at your post office office
and NOT your picture on the posters at the post
office. :-)

Temper fry...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

621. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-09 23:45:10 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115682310.590177.64000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107804

From: kelly@dvol.com on Mon,May 9 2005 1:32 pm

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1115509988.993788.265120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

>I went for the actual numbers.

That is to your credit. Applause.

>I tossed an e-mail msg at Dave Sumner requesting a breakdown of ARRL
>memberships vs. their license classes which he came right back with.
>The last time these numbers were pulled together in detail at HQ was
in
>August 1996 as reported in the February 1997 issue of QST. He added
>"The proportions will not have changed dramatically since then."
>
>Extras 38,852
>Advanced 39,430
>General 25,245
>Tech Plus 22,634
>Tech 24,021
>Novice 2,627
>
>Total members = 152,809

None of us can work without REAL numbers to compare
and we are all stuck with ARRL's own numbers.

One problem is that August 1996 is about 8 1/2
years ago.

Okay, the "proportions will not have changed
'dramatically' since then" but 8 1/2 years is a
rather long time. In the dated March 2005 page
of ARRL's Sworn Statement, ARRL indicates a total
number of members as of 31 Dec 04 of 151,727 or
roughly a thousand LESS than the number in 1996.

Not "dramatic." :-)

>Total Techs = 46,655 or ~30% of the ARRL membership are Techs vs. ~50%
>of all licensees. There's a shortfall of Techs within the membership
>but certainly not any sort of "yawning gap" in the representation of
>Techs at the ARRL (or vice versa) as Hans has implied.

Not "dramatic?" :-)

While it was good that you contacted ARRL folks direct, there's still the problem of trying to connect 1996 numbers with 2005 numbers. Things don't match for either "dramatic" or even mellow-dramatic comparison. :-)

As an example, I quoted www.hamdata.com numbers as of 7 May 2005 in here. On that day there were a total of 723,570 amateur radio licensees (less club calls). The total number of Technician class licensees were, on that day, 350,455. That's 48.43 percent of the total. Compared to the 30.53 percent of Techs as ARRL members of only 30.53% in August 1996, I'd say that comparison IS "dramatic."

But, the "high rank" ham licensees are going to bitch and moan and rationalize the be-jeezus out of those numbers and do some remarkable "numbers" while performing on this stage...a sort of "American Idle" show. :-)

Let's take raw numbers, such as 46,655 ARRL member Techs in 1996. Compare those to 350,455 Techs as of 7 May 05 of 350,455. That's a delta of a "mere" 303,800!

A few years ago, I thought that it would be "remarkable" if just a quarter of all licensees would be Techs. NOW it is edging up to HALF of ALL ham licensees!

ARRL bias, as revealed through the pages of QST, is still towards "working DX on HF with CW." QST still has a column of "The World Above 50 MHz," as if that was still a strange planet. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

622. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 00:21:38 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0YOdneyJ5642Y-LfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 107805

```
<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1115670742.369705.100830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1115509988.993788.265120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>> > If, as you state, *half the ARRL members are Techs* then what's the
>> > point to this whole thread?? Or is it me again?
>> >
>> > w3rv
>> >
>>
>> If Hans is correct about the scarcity of Techs in the ARRL
> membership, he
>> proposes a way to attract them is all. I simply indicated that his
> original
>> premise may or may not be true. Thus it should be checked. I don't
> know
>> what the numbers are. I simply thought I saw something on it but
> haven't
>> checked it. It is my point of view that the ARRL ought to try to get
> the
>> involvement of more hams of all classes.
>
> I went for the actual numbers.
>
> I tossed an e-mail msg at Dave Sumner requesting a breakdown of ARRL
> memberships vs. their license classes which he came right back with.
> The last time these numbers were pulled together in detail at HQ was in
> August 1996 as reported in the February 1997 issue of QST. He added
> "The proportions will not have changed dramatically since then."
>
> Extras 38,852
> Advanced 39,430
> General 25,245
> Tech Plus 22,634
> Tech 24,021
> Novice 2,627
>
> Total members = 152,809
>
> Total Techs = 46,655 or ~30% of the ARRL membership are Techs vs. ~50%
> of all licensees. There's a shortfall of Techs within the membership
```

> but certainly not any sort of "yawning gap" in the representation of
> Techs at the ARRL (or vice versa) as Hans has implied.
>
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> w3rv
>

Thanks. I appreciate your getting that info. Basically that puts it
somewhere in the middle of what I thought as compared to what Hans thought.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

623. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 02:18:27 UTC
From: Sparky <sparky@spitsputterfume.net>
Message-ID: <d5p5i4jcv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107806

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115673482.221669.254810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> //flushed//

AOL = Training Wheels For The Internet

624. Re: What? No Papers? That's a Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 02:35:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115692535.953270.51560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107807

From: "K4YZ" <Roarke on Fantasy Island> on Mon,May 9 2005 10:09 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> See also Stebie's day-dreaming about sexy young stars in the
>> media such as Brittney Spears. He needs his fantasies fired
>> up. Fantasies seem his whole life.
>
> Well, Lennie, there's another area where you and I are at odds.

"Another" area? :-) Tsk, from the way you snarl it is
ALL areas!

> First of all, at least I know who Britney Spears is.

Marvelous. What's her callsign? Have you "worked"
her? How many wet dreams have you had about this
pop star? Did you think YOU got her pregnant? :-)

> Secondly, a person without fantasies is dead...or soon will be.

In that case Stebie will NEVER die! :-)

> And lastly, at least I've had the initiative to chase some of
>mine...You just plopped into that rut and were perfectly happy to stay

>there...happy as long as you could blame anyone else for it...

"Rut?!?" :-) "Initiative?!?" :-)

Tsk. I've never lived on Fantasy Island, haven't even
met Ricardo Montalban although I've seen him play
international football at a charity game. That was
before his auto accident and leg injury. Never met
Herve Villichaize either (the spelling doesn't ring a
bell)...although I've met a few little people.

Tsk, tsk. My "wallet" is empty of fantasies, full
only of money...doesn't have any record of "seven
hostile actions" there. Nor have I been "ANCOIC of
MARS on Okinawa." Haven't even purchased any medals
at a military surplus store to fill a cigar box.

Golly yes, Gomer, I just started out in HF radio
communications at a "little" Army radio station
(merely the 3rd largest in ACAN - STARCOM - DCS)
and did a whole career as an electronics engineer in
the aerospace...taking all those college-credit
night classes you despise so much. Yeah, all that

"rut" of paying off a 24-year mortgage in 20 years and - much later - marrying my high school sweet-heart, both of us now happy and living in the southern house with comfortable income. Terrible "rut" to have both sold articles to and later working part time for Ham Radio magazine. Of course you despise and loath a "defunct" magazine, even if it exeisted for only 22 years as an independent unsupported by a large membership organization. Tsk, tsk, all that without once having to test for morsemanship!!!

Keep dreaming your fantasies, little Stebie. It keeps you almost alive in here, snarling insults at everyone who doesn't like your opinions.

Good luck in your new "rutless" job of being the Role Model for the modern U.S. Amateur Extra! Recruitment of new hams will "skyrocket."
[blow up at launch...]

Temper fry...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

625. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 02:37:04 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <7M-dndvAPY3Fgx3fRVn-ig@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107808

N9OGL wrote:

> KC8GXW,
>
> HAVE YOU EVER POSTED ANYTHING NOT CALLING SOMEONE GAY, OR TELLING
> LIES...YOUR JUST AS BAD AS YOUR BOYFRIEND STEVE K4YZ
>
> Todd N9OGL

Toad, I haven't lied, and you are the only one I've called gay, and that's only because of all the lies you have about me on your blog, all you ever say to me is some gay remark. Can't you get Steve out of your head? I have never posted anything on your blog and you have no right to post the multitude of slanderous lies you have about me! Last chance toad, remove it or else. OH I'm not the type of person to netcop or snitch you out, all I'm saying is that by tomorrow it better be removed or else. You claim that you would say all this crap to my face, well toad, that's not true, you wouldn't have the chance to open you mouth and let all those vulgar slanderous remarks out. Saying that I dl kiddy porn, that's accusing me of committing a felony, and we all know why you are such an expert on kiddy porn now don't we toad!
Does Steve have to be in every post you make, and can't you be a little original? Every slam you make is just an echo of some anonymous poster, don't you have a thought of your own?
Stop for a second and think about it toad, look at your post, every ham is an ahole
Slander isn't the same as free speech!
A little hint toad, some people know what real life is and know that you can't just type in caps and hide behind a keyboard and your mommy's dress forever, someday you have to come out.

One more thing idiot, your show IS non existent, it hasn't aired, just because you keep saying "next week", it still is non existent. If you did air it, it won't be up 24/7 as you say, you see toad, more of your lies!
You cry netcop, who's crying to the FCC? You toad, and there is nothing they will do for you. Who cries to the House Subcommittee on Telecommunication? Why toad, you again, as I see it you are a snitch toad, but, nobody cares! You keep saying that Steve is my girlfriend, why would you continue to have these gay thoughts toad? One thing for sure, you better get me out of your gay fantasies and remove the slander from your stupid little blog!

Check out my blog toad.
http://powerhousecb.tripod.com/images/index.html

626. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 03:21:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115695283.653668.132810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107809

Sorry jimmy no can do...

Todd N9OGL

627. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 03:52:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115697149.652807.215480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107810

>You cry netcop, who's crying to the FCC? You toad, and there is
nothing
>they will do for you. Who cries to the House Subcommittee on
>Telecommunication? Why toad, you again, as I see it you are a snitch
>toad, but, nobody cares!

The only thing I'm doing when it comes to the House Subcommittee of Communication is to have them clarify the rules pertaining to Information bulletins, that's hardly "snitching" anyone can have the FCC clarify the rules, a real amateur operator who know that. I would say they don't care because i recieved a letter back from the House and I'm to send in a consent form and all document to them to investigate the matter, I haven't said much about it on here or on my blog because I was told by someone to keep it quiet. But it is in the works and I will post my report on my blog as soon as all of this done. As for slander You started all this shit by calling me gay...So your just as bad as a me buckaroo. As for my blog I am not going to remove ANYTHING from my blog, but hey that little comment of yours about running two transmitter on either side of my signal IS going to be part of my report so thanks for allow me to prove my point about the problems with information bulletin. One more thing, if you try to remove my blog or me off the internet it too will be recorded in my report, and again will prove my point....that your a netcop just like your little buddy stebie.
GOOD LUCK IN THE CONTEST!!!!!!!

Todd N9OGL

628. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 05:09:30 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ep6dnaFnZsSH3x3fRVn-qg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107811

N9OGL wrote:
>>You cry netcop, who's crying to the FCC? You toad, and there is
>
> nothing
>
>>they will do for you. Who cries to the House Subcommittee on
>>Telecommunication? Why toad, you again, as I see it you are a snitch
>>toad, but, nobody cares!
>
>
> The only thing I'm doing when it comes to the House Subcommittee of
> Communication is to have them clarify the rules pertaining to
> Information bulletins, that's hardly "snitching" anyone can have the
> FCC clarify the rules, a real amateur operator who know that. I would
> say they don't care because i recieved a letter back from the House and
> I'm to send in a consent form and all document to them to investigate
> the matter, I haven't said much about it on here or on my blog because
> I was told by someone to keep it quiet. But it is in the works and I
> will post my report on my blog as soon as all of this done. As for
> slander You started all this shit by calling me gay...So your just as
> bad as a me buckaroo. As for my blog I am not going to remove ANYTHING
> from my blog, but hey that little comment of yours about running two
> transmitter on either side of my signal IS going to be part of my
> report so thanks for allow me to prove my point about the problems with
> information bulletin. One more thing, if you try to remove my blog or
> me off the internet it too will be recorded in my report, and again
> will prove my point....that your a netcop just like your little buddy
> stebie.
> GOOD LUCK IN THE CONTEST!!!!!!!
>
> Todd N9OGL

See toad, You're accusing me of something that I never said, that makes you a liar. It's you're, like you are, not "your a netcop". So by you accusing me is proving your point? When the heck did I say I would netcop you, you little weasel? I'm not going to net cop you, what I'll do is a thousand times worse you little fool. You are gay, prove you're not, being gay isn't a sexual thing you idiot. Saying I dl kiddy porn is slander and you have accused me of a felony. You better correct this now or you will pay. For your information, transmitting 3 khz away is legal. Now this is your illiterate interpretation of the law, didn't you say you were going to get me charged with a felony, that you would have a monitoring station listen to your show? Wasn't your words "even saying something like that should get his ass in trouble"? Well toad, I'm

waiting, where's the trouble? Aren't these also your words? "we will see if the FCC thinks their yanking my chain is funny" You snitched me out to the FCC? Did they laugh in your face? The bottom line toad is this, if you snitched to the FCC, well then you're a netcop. If you didn't snitch, well then you are a liar. So what is it toad, are you a netcop or a liar? you are one or the other. So before you call me something, look in the mirror snitch!
Now the next thing moron, news groups aren't moderated, nobody is elected to be the news group spokesman, nobody is going to kick anybody off this news group just because you say to! You call everybody else dumb, you're the moron. Think about this the next time you are operating your appliance, two rigs transmitting at the same time six kHz apart will not do any harm to the front ends ot the receivers!
I'm giving you until tomorrow to remove the slander from your blog, I don't need any more of your stupidity. My rules, my way or you'll pay big time, last warning turd. You can cry all you want sissy boy, you can say the pen is mightier then the sword until somebody sticks a sword up you ass!

629. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 05:20:55 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ULOdne23MNJZ2R3fRVn-1g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107812

N9OGL wrote:

> Sorry jimmy no can do...
>
> Todd N9OGL

You'll be sorry weasel!
Check out this page about you toad. Put your mouse pointer over the url
and left click!

http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/

630. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacuum removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 05:41:05 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <BrmdncB_OoPo1B3fRVn-og@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107813

N9OGL wrote:

One more thing, if you try to remove my blog or
> me off the internet it too will be recorded in my report, and again
> will prove my point....that your a netcop just like your little buddy
> stebie.
> GOOD LICK IN THE CONTEST!!!!!!!
>
> Todd N9OGL

Lets talk about this statement toad. I never said anything about me removing anything, get it straight toad. You are saying that you will record and report, is this not net coping? You are a little peon snitch. Steve isn't my buddy, this is just another of your lies toad. Again, do you just echo others? His name is Steve not stebie? Get original toad, come up with some of your own sayings. You say "GOOD LICK IN THE CONTEST!!!!!!!" sheesh, you have the same saying on your blog in 2 places, you are gay. I bet you have never been on HF except that one time to check in to the lambda net. I bet you even have rainbow stickers on your Chevette. Toad, if you need to reply, leave Steve out of it, get over your infatuation of the man, I really don't think he's your type, gay that is. DO the threats or shut up moron!

Oh, final thought, That was you in the picture with the vacuum, and why in the heck did you pick the name "RED PANTIES MAN" ?
The clock is ticking red panties boy!

631. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 08:52:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115715128.828208.54560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107814

FUCK YOU, I AM NOT GOING TO REMOVE ANYTHING FROM MY BLOG!

632. Re: Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 12:35:52 UTC
From: Sgt Halftrack <warhero@deskcommandoboy.org>
Message-ID: <d5q9o4$di1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107815

"Sparky" <sparky@spitsputterfume.net> wrote in message
news:d5mfi5iqb0@pita.alt.net...
>> Hello, Len
>>
>> It just might be what a particular operator is familiar with. Back when
>> (in
>> the 60s) the military used a lot of HF point to point communications,
>> they
>> (the radiomen) were familiar with having to change frequencies, how to
>> set
>> crypto gear, and many other things. One thing they were *not* familiar
>> with
>> was how to handle a strong signal.
>>
>> When the communications station attempted to re-establish communications
>> with Saipan, Hans, K0HB, was sent to Saipan. The communications station
>> kept telling him he was "loud, but garbled". Big hint - too much signal
>> for
>> the local oscillator injection to properly demodulate the ssb. I called
>> Hans from the hamshack (KG6AAY) and we (the hams) ended up not only
>> establishing communications but got orders to pass traffic from Saipan to
>> Commander, Naval Forces Marianas directly.
>>
>> I also recall whilst aboard ship we lost the "broadcast" - multiplex
>> signals
>> for many channels of teletypes. The problem was that we were getting to
>> close to the station and we were in a skip zone. I suggested to the
>> chief
>> that we try LF (low frequency). He didn't think it would work, but said
>> to
>> give it a try as everything else was out. On LF, the signal was
>> overpowering. In a few minutes, the broadcast was back up and running.
>>
>> In both of these instances, it was the hams that had the proper
>> experience.
>>
>> I shan't go much further than to say that one day whilst aboard ship, we
>> received an SOS on 500 KHz. It turns out that only *two* of us could
>> actually copy Morse at any reasonable speed. I don't know about the
>> other
>> guy, but I was an amateur extra and also had a commercial telegraph
>> license.
>> The military radioman were *supposed* to be able to copy 16 words per
>> minute

>> to graduate from A school.
>>
>> Sorry to shoot your "professional" theory down. You aren't totally
>> wrong,
>> of course; it is what happens when the *unexpected* happens.
>>
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
>
>
> My gosh, I never knew. It is nothing short of a miracle that the today's
> active military forces can still attain any level of readiness, with you
> and
> Hans no longer on active duty.
>
> 73,
>
> Sparky
>

Down at the legion hall, you see them daily, rear echelon typists and
cooks. After a few beers, they all turn into Green Berets and Navy
SEALS, with more medals than Audie Murphy and Chesty Puller
combined.
ROTFLMAO!

633. Re: Wogie holds a Restricted Radio Telephone Operator PERMIT-Big deal!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 13:25:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me!@that.moc>
Message-ID: <pr2dnd8TWtOrTh3fRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107820

Userbeam Remailer AKA two-test curtains boy wogie lloydie wussman wrote:
> This is not surprising, given the outright lies he has posted on
> here and elsewhere.
>

And we all know who the liar is wogie, it is you turd.

> http://wireless.fcc.gov/commoperators/rp.html
>
> Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permit (RP)
> Description

Wogie holds a RR Operator *Permit*. Wha-hooo, big deal. Just fill out
the FCC form, no test required. Almost as hard as that welfare
Extra-lite ham ticket he has, which he wouldn't have except that Riley
caught him red-handed violating the rules and made him retest to keep
his license. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

> To qualify, you must:

>
> be able to speak and hear;

You must have lied about this or you wouldn't have qualified.

>
> First Class Radiotelephone Operator License <--That was yours,

But but, I thought you said I was lying about that. Make up your crack
soaked mind wogie.

> Second Class Radiotelephone Operator License

And that was yours, or so you claimed or did you lie about that one too,
turd? Wogie, the habitual lier.

634. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 13:28:34 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d5qcu2$p5g$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 107816

So I guess the upshot of all this is that the ARRL is going along swimmingly, everything is just great.

Since the League represents its members accurately, we better not do anything to change it. It's all good.

The loss in membership since 1997 is just some kind of aberration, and besides, good riddance - we don't need *those* types anyhow.

An almost 13 percent drop in membership since 1997 is *nothing* to worry about.

- Mike KB3EIA -

635. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 13:28:42 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <uY-dnd5wlY6WKh3fRVn-1w@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107817

N9OGL wrote:
> FUCK YOU, I AM NOT GOING TO REMOVE ANYTHING FROM MY BLOG!
>
Then you better hide punk!

636. Re: FCC gets ####ing hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 15:11:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115737901.644086.267600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107818

```
N9OGL wrote:
> >STEBIE SAID
> >I don't have to "prove" anything. The picture will speak for
> >itself.
>
> >Yeah, you don't have to because you don't want to be proven wrong.
By
> not proven it your showing that your a liar that from now on
shouldn't
> be taken serious.

It's not for me to make that decision, Todd..Go ahead...try it.

> > And from a punk who disses deceased disabled kids, you sure have a
> >unique perspective on what constitutes a "poor excuse for a human
> >being", living at home with the folks, holed up in your bedroom and
> >all....
>
> booohooo like I always say all fair in war.

"...all fair in war"...?!?!

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAA
HAHAHAHAHA!
! ! ! !

There's no "war" here, Todd. You don't have what it takes to
survive one. You're a loud mouth punk who runs his mouth off becasue
he thinks that since his 'opponents' are more than a couple hours drive
away you can act with impunity.

> I wouldn't say I'm "holed
> up" in my bedroom I live in a very BIG house. so go cry to some who
> cares.

But you DID say you were, Todd...And that you'd stay there 24/7 to
be control operator for your broadcasts on 20 meters and "VHF".

So...which version of your stories are you using today?

Steve, K4YZ
```

637. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 15:13:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115738034.206937.281020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107819

N9OGL wrote:
> KC8GXW,
>
> HAVE YOU EVER POSTED ANYTHING NOT CALLING SOMEONE GAY, OR TELLING
> LIES...YOUR JUST AS BAD AS YOUR BOYFRIEND STEVE K4YZ

That's cute.

You accuse Jim of making claims of homosexuality then claim that I
am HIS "boyfriend".

Whata putz.

Steve, K4YZ

638. Re: Real tecnichal experts dont become hams so FCC regs mean nothing big

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 15:25:36 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me!@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Z6ednex2e49fbR3fRVn-1A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Nomen Nescio AKA toddieboy wrote:
> NOWDAYS YOU HAVE TO GET A COLLEGE DIGREE BEFORE YOU CAN EVEN START TO
> USE THINGS LIKE CHIP FAPRICATORS OR RADIO TELESCOPES AND SO ALL HAMS
> IS ARE CONSUMERS NOT LEDERS OF THINGS. THAT IS WHY THEY NEED BULETINS
> WHAT REGULAR KEEP THEM UP TO WHAT THE REAL EXPERTS DOING.

What the heck is a college 'digree"? And what is a chip 'fapricators'?
And sure, we really do need 'buletins' to keep us up with the experts.
If these 'experts' can't spell any better than you, then I doubt we need
their advise.

639. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 15:34:54 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115739294.543043.93620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107821

Well Jimmy, if it is 3KHz that's ok but, you stated that you were going to run two 1,000 watt transmitters on either side of my station which kind of looks like your trying to cause interference with my legal station. As for the monitoring stations I said nothing about the FCC I have my own monitoring stations out there doing my monitoring for me....so I don't know where you got your paranoid ideas from. As for slander I don't what on my blog is slander, the only thing on there, talking about you is your post from this newsgroup about running the two transmitters on either side of my transmission. So hey go for it, but I don't think it's going to get anywhere. As for slander call me gay, when I am not is also slander but hey go for it.

Todd N9OGL

640. Lennie Off On Anti-Amateur Radio Rant Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 15:38:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115739490.427315.113720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107822

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ (self-official Nett Kopp)" on Mon,May 9 2005 1:27 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> So...an anecdote from USN days...(SNIP)
> >
> > Nothing about Amateur radio there.
>
> Nothing about CAP...nothing about "SAREX," nothing
> about Stebie at all!

Nothing still about Amateur Radio, I see...

> Tsk, tsk, Stebie MUST make this thread HIS with
> his immoderate MODERATION! :-)
>
> >> Two things, perhaps three, come to mind here.
> >
> > None of them about Amateur radio, I bet.
>
> Nothing about Nursing...nothing about MARS on
> Okinawa. Tsk.

And still nothing about Amateur Radio.

> >> First of all, the HF military receivers of the 60s era...(SNIP)
> >
> > Yep...I was right.
>
> Let's all hear it for Stebie's famous "seven hostile
> actions" and his LAW-GIVING on Somalian radio!

I've given no "law" on "Somalian" radio, and the rest...well...you
know about the rest...I love watching you get twisted, Lennie...Seems
you do it a lot thse days.

> > Whoa...got through a whole paragraph without using the words
"..in
> >the 1960's"...way to go Lennie! Think ya can make it to, say, 1973
> >anytime soon...?!?!
>
> No problem. In 1973 I became a full member of IEEE
> while working on design of the RF section of a 1.6
> GHz transponder, part of the RCA Corporation SECANT
> R&D program.

But what were you doing with AMATEUR RADIO in 1973?

> > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhh...back to dissing anyone ELSE'S "story" as being
> >just that...a story...Now you'll give us YOUR version of a "true
> >story".
>
> No "story" of mine. We are NOT talking about stebie's
> "appointment as 'ANCOIC' of MARS on Okinawa in 1981."

Too bad. It's factual.

> Jim Hampton was NOT talking about Stebie's stupendous
> technical wizardry and wondrous adventures on Guam.
> Disappointed? You should be. Imagine...a whole
> thread without Stebie heckling and jeckling like a
> crow in a cornfield.

And not a word from Lennie about Amatuer radio.

> > Dang I'm good!
>
> At WHAT?!? Acting like an ###HOLE in public? Yes,
> you do a credible job of that.

And the "professional" breaks out the big guns again.

> > Here we go with the "jump off the cliff" mode of why Amateur
> radio
> >should be like Maritime or Armed Forces communications.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. You've forgotten the "memorable"
> snarlings of the (late?) W0EX in here on how
> GMDSS would NEVER WORK!

I don't care about his ramblings about GMDSS.

I care about your deceit, mistruths and "snarlings" about a radio
service that you are not a participant in and have no practical
experience in.....

> > This forum is about A M A T E U R R A D I O....
> >
> > Wishe we could get that across to ya, Lennie...
>
> "Wishe?" :-) You "wishe-washe?"

Still doesn't change a thing, Lennie.

You're still an outsider looking in with no prctical experience.

> Stebie needs to be reminded of something: This
> newsgroup is NOT about the USMC, CAP, "SAREX"
> whatevers, or being an "ANCOIC" on Okinawa in
> the 1981s. It is NOT about "calling the VA to
> get the real info on Stebie da wundermurine."

> It is NOT about Stebie's "battles" with his
> "opponents" and how "bad/wrong/ignorant" they
> are. It is NOT about Stebie at all!

Well. Lennie...YOU are the only one who typically needs to bring up
the USMC. Brain's failed attempts at "dissing" my alleged overweight
status vis-a-vis my pic on QRZ is usually the only references to CAP.
and Okinawa only came up as a result of discussing MARS which IS a
Amateur Radio related topic.

None of those topics are ones in which YOU have any prctical
experience.

> > Not by a gutless punk like you, Lennie...At least I got the
wings!
> >Still got your "student pilot certificate" locked away with that
GROL
> >that you alleged expired...?!?!
>
> "Gutless punk?!?"

Yep. G U T L E S S P U N K. As in "too afraid to take a test
that gradeschoolers can pass..."

> You have "wings?" Gosh, we all thought you were
> flapping your keyboard jaw in here...

Got wings. And the license and PRACTICAL EXPERINCE to back them
up.

> I got my FIRST CLASS RADIOTELEPHONE (COMMERCIAL)
> RADIO OPERATORS LICENSE in 1956 in the Chicago
> field office of the FCC. Had Stebie been toilet
> trained then? No? Tsk, he still needs that
> training...

I doubt that I had...However that 1956 Commercial license still
isn't worth a hill of beans for Amateur Radio use.

> The FCC turned the Commercial 'Phone classes into a
> single General Radiotelephone Operator License many
> years later. FCC also decreased the NECESSITY of
> requiring any "GROL" for most NON-amateur radio
> transmission. I keep my last COMMERCIAL license in
> the safety-deposit box...hardly worth keeping now
> except as a memento.

Ahhhhhhh yes...the infamous GROL. The one you claim "expired in
October
2000...BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA...
! ! ! ! ! !

> > Gee...All the other branches have "emergencies"...Maybe your
LACK
> >of "emergencies" was due to a lack of proximity to any danger...?!?!
>
> Okay, Last Action Hero, let's all hear about the
> When and Where of YOUR "seven hostile actions!"

Nope. Just to twist your nipples, Lennie.

> Let's all hear about how you fought the enemy as
> a mighty murine being aircraft ground support at
> the airstrip! Get lots of wounds in that "front-
> line" FIGHTING? How many medals for "heroism?"

I wasn't always "ground support", Lennie. Aircrewmen come from
maintenance MOS's, you know!

> Tsk, tsk. All I got was a Good Conduct ribbon,
> didn't even get the medal...and being stationed
> in Tokyo, Japan. NOT front-line duty like mighty
> murine Stebie.

Gee, Lennie...I got the USMC Good Conduct medal SEVERAL times...Got
the medal AND the ribbon AND the attaching stars to prove it.

> > Diss, diss, and more diss.
>
> Poor Stebie. Thought the thread was HIS, had to
> snarl and growl and heckle and jeckle his
> "opponents."

You're not an "opponent", Lennie...

> Good luck on your new appointment as U.S. Amateur
> Radio Extra recruitment representative. Let's all
> hope you get customers at your post office office
> and NOT your picture on the posters at the post
> office.

Speaking of "recruits", Lennie...You've been asked before how many
people YOU have "recruited" into electronics, Amateur Radio, etc
before, but have never answered the question.

> Temper fry...
>
> LenIamAgutlessPunkAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

641. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 15:44:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115739858.579329.196230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107823

monsieur sandman wrote:
> On Mon, 09 May 2005 21:10:56 GMT, Justín Käse
<chupacabra@operamail.com> wrote:
> >
> > That post has a forged signature.
> > The key holder: christinA eijkhout <hamstermumke@xs4all.nl>
> > Did not sign it.
>
> So K4YZ adds forgery to his dossier?

Nope...Not me.

> Or is that a forgery by Todd?
> Somehow, it seems too literate to be N9OGL's work, so I'll stick with
> the theory that it is someone else's (<wink> <wink>) post.

Absolutely. Todd couldn't forge an "X".

Steve, K4YZ

642. Re: Robeson has Excrementia!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 16:04:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115741056.822018.324660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107824

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Fri,May 6 2005 6:58 pm

> >It must be. I don't see any legitimate agency of the US Governemnt
> >saying anything about your endeavors.
>
> Stebie tried a simple BLUFF. He is a "credentialist"
> whose expertise is all in his collection of certificates,
> medals, titles, and badges of rank. He tried to pull
> off a snowjob thinking that NOBODY would ever check
> up on him.

No bluff. If I didn't want you to "check up" on this, I wouldn't
have given you the information.

be my guest or be known (which you already are, byt the way...) as
a coward.

> >> It's the Marine Corps.
> >
> >Hi! Hi, hi!!!
> >
> >The Marine Corps is silent on the subject.

The Marine Corps is only "silent on the subject" since you didn't
ask the question for them to answer.

> Poor Stebie...he NEEDS to be a PART of something
> "greater" than the ordinary...to "be somebody" in
> his own eyes. He NEEDS to be in a position of
> authority...to have some "belonging" to a mighty
> (in some eyes) organization having lots of trappings
> of patriotism, honor, glory, etc. The military cast
> him out and he probably resents that, begging to
> have a connections again.

Wheeeeeeeeeeeeelp....The Armed forces didn't cast me out, Lennie.

As for "belonging"...Why don't you go wake up Mrs. Correspondence
School shrink and ask her what "Maslow's Hierarchy" is all about.

I know certain aspects of human development are beyond you, Lennie,
so perhaps she can guide you a bit...

> MARS on Okinawa of 1981 was NOT of any importance
> in military communications in the Pacific area.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.....But Army communications in 1953 is important today...Uh huh...Funny how you manipulate what's important and what's not, Lennie....

> "NCOIC" is an old, common military term, an acronym
> for Non-Commissioned Officer In Charge. It refers
> to what would be "foreman" or "superintendent" in
> civilian life. "OIC" (for Officer In Charge) would
> be the responsible commissioned officer.
>
> Stebie did NOT explain what the "A" stood for in
> "ANOIC." That's an unusual acronym and not
> familiar.

I guess "assistant" was beyond you..?!?!?

Even the Army has "ANCOIC" billets, Lennie.

> Stebie is just trying to BLUFF his way out, Brian.
> He will NOT answer you directly (a sure case of him
> doing the bluffing snowjob) and instead tries to
> misdirect the thread onto you and your alleged (by
> him and Heil) "illegal operation."

No "misdirect" lennie.

Unlike Brain, I provided a specific unit, date and place.

Brain has provided nothing.

> 1981 was 24 years ago...(SNIP)

Very good, Lennie.

And 1953 was 52 years ago.

Huge snippage of Lennie's attempts to make an excuse for not following up on my assignements snipped.

Usual dissing of others military service noted.

> Few states have INDEPENDENT "guard"
> organizations and they are NOT under any
> federal command as are Army or Air Guard
> units in times of emergency.

They're not "independant", Lennie. They're under the direct control of the Adjutant General of the responsible state...Just like the National Guard unless federally mobilized.

> As I've written, copying an old, hoary folk maxim,
> "###holes are cheap and plentiful." BLUFFERS
> are that kind of person. They all suffer from
> the malady of EXCREMENTIA...

Then from your assertion I can conclude you have a terminal
case...

The foregoing was a massive bluff...On YOUR part.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

643. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 16:11:19 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115741479.271835.321700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107825

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: kelly@dvol.com on Mon,May 9 2005 1:32 pm
>
> >Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1115509988.993788.265120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> >
> > >I went for the actual numbers.
> >
> > That is to your credit. Applause.

Nah, no applause Sweetums, it's just and old engineer's trick which
apparently isn't used much these days. "If you don't have the info
simply get off yer butt and ASK somebody who DOES have info."

> > >I tossed an e-mail msg at Dave Sumner requesting a breakdown of ARRL
> > >memberships vs. their license classes which he came right back with.
> > >The last time these numbers were pulled together in detail at HQ was
> > in
> > >August 1996 as reported in the February 1997 issue of QST. He added
> > >"The proportions will not have changed dramatically since then."
> > >
> > >Extras 38,852
> > >Advanced 39,430
> > >General 25,245
> > >Tech Plus 22,634
> > >Tech 24,021
> > >Novice 2,627
> > >
> > >Total members = 152,809
> >
> > None of us can work without REAL numbers to compare
> > and we are all stuck with ARRL's own numbers.
> >
> > One problem is that August 1996 is about 8 1/2
> > years ago.
> >
> > Okay, the "proportions will not have changed
> > 'dramatically' since then" but 8 1/2 years is a
> > rather long time. In the dated March 2005 page
> > of ARRL's Sworn Statement, ARRL indicates a total
> > number of members as of 31 Dec 04 of 151,727 or
> > roughly a thousand LESS than the number in 1996.

>
> Not "dramatic." :-)

Yes Sweetums, a lousy 0.7% drop in total membership in 8.5 years is not a dramatic anything. In fact it indicates a rather comfortable level of stability so all is well in Newington.

> >Total Techs = 46,655 or ~30% of the ARRL membership are Techs vs. ~50%
> >of all licensees. There's a shortfall of Techs within the membership
> >but certainly not any sort of "yawning gap" in the representation of
> >Techs at the ARRL (or vice versa) as Hans has implied.
>
> Not "dramatic?" :-)
>
> While it was good that you contacted ARRL folks
> direct, there's still the problem of trying to
> connect 1996 numbers with 2005 numbers. Things
> don't match for either "dramatic" or even mellow-
> dramatic comparison. :-)
>
> As an example, I quoted www.hamdata.com numbers
> as of 7 May 2005 in here. On that day there were
> a total of 723,570 amateur radio licensees (less
> club calls). The total number of Technician class
> licensees were, on that day, 350,455. That's
> 48.43 percent of the total. Compared to the 30.53
> percent of Techs as ARRL members of only 30.53%
> in August 1996, I'd say that comparison IS
> "dramatic."

> But, the "high rank" ham licensees are going to
> bitch and moan and rationalize the be-jeezus out
> of those numbers and do some remarkable "numbers"
> while performing on this stage...a sort of
> "American Idle" show. :-)

Sweetums you silly old thing you blew it again, you missed the real kicker in bush-league imbroglio. The gist of Hans' proposal being that the League needs to reshuffle some of it's organization charts. His new program would "fix" what he perceives as some huge lack of Techs' interest in the ARRL and draw them into the Inner Sanctum. Welp, in the end his perception ain't reality at all even with rough passes at rough numbers yes? Fact is that ~17% of the pore downtrodden Techs are League members whilst only around 13% of the "high-ranking" Generals are members. Now what? Hmmm?

> Let's take raw numbers, such as 46,655 ARRL
> member Techs in 1996. Compare those to 350,455
> Techs as of 7 May 05 of 350,455. That's a delta
> of a "mere" 303,800!

Cut your smoke & mirrors act Sweetums, I did not just get off the boat.

> A few years ago, I thought that it would be
> "remarkable" if just a quarter of all licensees
> would be Techs. NOW it is edging up to HALF of
> ALL ham licensees!

Like I said, all is well in Newington. Hiram Percy would be delighted.

> ARRL bias, as revealed through the pages of QST,
> is still towards "working DX on HF with CW."
> QST still has a column of "The World Above 50
> MHz," as if that was still a strange planet. :-)

<snore>

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

w3rv

644. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 16:25:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115742347.945632.143230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107826

N9OGL wrote:
> Well Jimmy, if it is 3KHz that's ok but, you stated that you were
going
> to run two 1,000 watt transmitters on either side of my station which
> kind of looks like your trying to cause interference with my legal
> station.

Guess what, Todd...?!?!

As long as he doesn't transmit on top of you and his station
otherwise meets technical requirements...HIS IS LEGAL TOO!

There's nothing in Part 97 about having two signals on one band.

> As for the monitoring stations I said nothing about the FCC I
> have my own monitoring stations out there doing my monitoring for
> me....

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! !! !

Lloyd, Roger, and a couple of SWLers with "SW" on their boom
boxes!!!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHA!
! ! ! ! ! ! !

> so I don't know where you got your paranoid ideas from. As for
> slander I don't what on my blog is slander, the only thing on there,
> talking about you is your post from this newsgroup about running the
> two transmitters on either side of my transmission. So hey go for it,
> but I don't think it's going to get anywhere. As for slander call me
> gay, when I am not is also slander but hey go for it.

But when it is, you ARE, so he was right all along.

Steve, K4YZ

645. Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 16:52:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115743932.842080.306250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107827

Have fun, Lennie!

http://engadget.com/entry/1234000463042528/

Steve, K4YZ

646. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 17:01:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115744488.848670.305970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107828

>Bitchwhore Stevey Said
>Guess what, Todd...?!?!
> As long as he doesn't transmit on top of you and his station
>otherwise meets technical requirements...HIS IS LEGAL TOO!
>There's nothing in Part 97 about having two signals on one band.

Hey Stebie let me send it to the FCC and see what they say....but the
way he wrote it seems that he attended to cover up my signal

KC8GXW Apr 29, 2:05 pm show options
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: KC8GXW - Find messages by this author
Date: Fri, 29 Apr 2005 17:05:58 -0400
Local: Fri,Apr 29 2005 2:05 pm
Subject: New news service!
Reply |Reply to Author| Forward| Print| Individual Message| Show
original| Report Abuse

Since I've acquired (2) Alinco DX-70 transceivers and (2) Ameritron
AL-82 Amplifiers, I've decided to choose two frequencies on 20 meters
(3
khz either side of toads show) and have a 24/7 news service warning
other amateurs about the toad (freedom of speech). Since I hate the
ARRL
so much, I'll rebroadcast all of their bulletins for amateurs that may
have missed when the ARRL first broadcast them. Frequencies pending on
toads choice of frequency. I hope toad gets a female from Taylorville
to
help him/her/it run the show, they'd have to be smarter them
him/her/it,
you can't get much dumber!
KC8GXW Apr 30, 6:14 am show options
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: KC8GXW - Find messages by this author
Date: Sat, 30 Apr 2005 09:14:57 -0400
Local: Sat,Apr 30 2005 6:14 am
Subject: UPDATE
Reply |Reply to Author| Forward| Print| Individual Message| Show
original| Report Abuse
I just placed a HY-GAIN TH3 on both of my 50' towers placed 250' apart
and feeding them with 9914F cable. One station will be my call and the
other station will be my wifes call. I won't use rotors because both
antennas will be pointed South. I'll be doing some testing later today.

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -
KC8GXW wrote: > Since I've acquired (2) Alinco DX-70 transceivers and (2) Ameritron > AL-82 Amplifiers, I've decided to choose two frequencies on 20 meters (3 > khz either side of toads show) and have a 24/7 news service warning > other amateurs about the toad (freedom of speech). Since I hate the ARRL > so much, I'll rebroadcast all of their bulletins for amateurs that may > have missed when the ARRL first broadcast them. Frequencies pending on > toads choice of frequency. I hope toad gets a female from Taylorville to > help him/her/it run the show, they'd have to be smarter them him/her/it, > you can't get much dumber!
KC8GXW Apr 30, 7:53 am show options
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: KC8GXW - Find messages by this author
Date: Sat, 30 Apr 2005 10:53:20 -0400
Local: Sat,Apr 30 2005 7:53 am
Subject: Re: UPDATE
Reply |Reply to Author| Forward| Print| Individual Message| Show original| Report Abuse
KC8GXW wrote:
> I just placed a HY-GAIN TH3 on both of my 50' towers placed 250' apart
> and feeding them with 9914F cable. One station will be my call and the
> other station will be my wifes call. I won't use rotors because both
> antennas will be pointed South. I'll be doing some testing later today.
If you happen to hear my test on 20 meters later today, please note the

time and frequency and also if you can copy both signals, they should be
6 khz apart. Please forward this information along with signal strength

and your location to todd so that he can place this critical test information on his blog page. Forward any information, even that you didn't hear the test!
Thank you for your time.
KC8GXW Apr 30, 7:09 pm show options
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: KC8GXW - Find messages by this author
Date: Sat, 30 Apr 2005 22:09:00 -0400
Subject: Re: New news service!
Reply |Reply to Author| Forward| Print| Individual Message| Show original| Report Abuse
Ran the test today and everything worked as planned, I have heard that the toad was a bit concerned that the front ends of the radios might blow? No need to be concerned toad, they won't blow unless you blow them, but it doesn't take a rocket scientist to see that if both rigs are in transmit then the receiver sections are out of the picture, it wouldn't matter anyway as they are only being used as transmitters! Another concern was about malicious interference? Two problems here,

the FCC isn't going to get involved with giving him a monitoring
station
for his own use, nor do they care what happens to his "shows" after
the names he has called them. The other thing is that 3 khz above or
below has been the accepted spacing in Amateur Radio.
Anyway, get those reports in to the toad as soon as possible and
I'll
start to record the ARRL bulletins tomorrow!

So lets see what the FCC says. I'm suprise steve that your still on
here....hmmmm AOL hasn't kick your ass off yet?

Todd N9OGL

647. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 17:13:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115745186.668723.54120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107829

N9OGL wrote:
> >Bitch##### Stevey Said
> >Guess what, Todd...?!?!
> > As long as he doesn't transmit on top of you and his station
> >otherwise meets technical requirements...HIS IS LEGAL TOO!
> >There's nothing in Part 97 about having two signals on one band.
>
> Hey Stebie let me send it to the FCC and see what they say....but the
> way he wrote it seems that he attended to cover up my signal

he "attended" to cover up your...what...???

Big snip of BS from his "blog"....

> So lets see what the FCC says.

Until an offense occurs, what CAN they say...?!?!

> I'm suprise steve that your still on
> here....hmmmm AOL hasn't kick your ### off yet?

Why would they, Todd? I don't use profanity, I don't make threats
of physical abuse, and I don't make ill will towards others deceased
children.

Even if they did (which they won't), I will still be able to post
to RRAP unfettered. AOL is NOT my primary ISP.

Nice try though...

Steve, K4YZ

648. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 17:27:33 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <4b2dnZGmhZObch3fRVn-hQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107830

N9OGL wrote:
>>Bitchwhore Stevey Said
>>Guess what, Todd...?!?!
>>As long as he doesn't transmit on top of you and his station
>>otherwise meets technical requirements...HIS IS LEGAL TOO!
>>There's nothing in Part 97 about having two signals on one band.
>
>
> Hey Stebie let me send it to the FCC and see what they say....but the
> way he wrote it seems that he attended to cover up my signal
>
<snip all the redundant crap>
So you are snitching you little cry baby! Same as a netcop.
You know I haven't seen you deny anything except the part about being gay. That would make it true that you are a moron, that you are an illiterate idiot and a snitch. Cry to the FCC, I won't loose any sleep over it red panties boy, that's right, you don't deny that either, or that it's you in the picture that you posted, or that you are an expert on kiddy porn, so all these things must be true. Toad, it's not interference if We don't hear you! So run tell your mommy, cry all you want, and be sure to check that your coax is intact, we wouldn't want to hurt your finely tuned homebuilt transmitter! Besides, who will hear you if you don't post a frequency, as if anybody wants to listen to a gay boy wantabe pirate ramble on about his misinterpretation of broadcast law. I won't laugh at you toad, there's nothing funny about you, I feel sorry for you, and I feel bad about picking on somebody as handicapped as you are. It's just a shame that you can't get the help that you need. Oh by the way, the word is intended because I didn't "attended" anything to do with a low life dead beat like you!

649. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 17:36:47 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115746606.999905.275050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107832

BOOOOOOHOOOOOOOO I'm not a netcop, I don't think causing interference to a legal information bulletin should be allow, or even suggesting causing interference...so you and your little slut Stebie believe what you want...But Jimmy in my opinion you and your little buddy steve are dumbasses and don't know what the hell you are talking about.

Todd N9OGL

650. Re: Real tecnichal experts dont become hams so FCC regs mean nothing big

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 17:39:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115746758.476000.291950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

WELL IF YOUR DUMB ENOUGH TO BELIEVE IT ME THAT PERHAPS WE DO

TODD N9OGL

651. Re: Real tecnichal experts dont become hams so FCC regs mean nothing big

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 17:58:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115747884.889083.24780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> WELL IF YOUR DUMB ENOUGH TO BELIEVE IT ME THAT PERHAPS WE DO

Perhaps if your posts were a bit more mature and well written they
couldn't be so easily "mistaken" for the silliness foregoing.

Looks like you to me....

Steve, K4YZ

652. Re: Real tecnichal experts dont become hams so FCC regs mean nothing big

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 18:11:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115748669.271667.114020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

well steve that's because your a fucking moron, and believe anything
you read

Todd N9OGL

653. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 18:12:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115748738.078895.121210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107836

N9OGL wrote:
> BOOOOOOHOOOOOOOO I'm not a netcop...(SNIP)

Sure you are.

You called ME a "netcop" for busting your bad behaviour to YOUR
ISP, Todd. Now you've alluded to trying to get AOL to bust me.

Probelm is that you don't have a valid complaint.

I did.

> I don't think causing interference
> to a legal information bulletin should be allow, or even suggesting
> causing interference...

It's not legal to intentionally cause interference to anyone
operating legally. What you are proposing isn't.

"Suggesting" interference is just like your "suggesting" the
improper use of razor blades for violent intent, Todd..."protected",
remember...?!?!?

Or is your "freedom of speech" rants just for your own benefit
when it suits you? Does it not apply to you too?

> so you and your little #### Stebie believe what
> you want...But Jimmy in my opinion you and your little buddy steve
are
> dumb###es and don't know what the hell you are talking about.

Coming from a profane, functionally illiterate idiot like you,
Todd, I'd have to say that YOU are the one with an awareness
deficiency.

Steve, K4YZ

654. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 21:09:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115759358.789749.106170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107837

From: k...@dvol.com on 10 May 2005 09:11:19 -0700

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: k...@dvol.com on Mon,May 9 2005 1:32 pm
>
>> >Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> <k...@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1115509988.993788.265120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

>> One problem is that August 1996 is about 8 1/2
>> years ago.
>
>> Okay, the "proportions will not have changed
>> 'dramatically' since then" but 8 1/2 years is a
>> rather long time. In the dated March 2005 page
>> of ARRL's Sworn Statement, ARRL indicates a total
>> number of members as of 31 Dec 04 of 151,727 or
>> roughly a thousand LESS than the number in 1996.
>
>> Not "dramatic." :-)
>
>Yes Sweetums, a lousy 0.7% drop in total membership in 8.5 years is
not
>a dramatic anything. In fact it indicates a rather comfortable level
of
>stability so all is well in Newington.

Has ARRL membership EVER gotten as high as a
quarter of all licensed U.S. amateurs?

On "8.5 years is not a dramatic anything," that's
a rather gross fluff-off, "sweetums." A child who
begins public school at age 5 will be almost out
of Middle school in 8 1/2 years. Rather dramatic,
I'd say...but, since you are cheerleading the ARRL,
you will aerily dismiss it when it comes to the
League. :-)

>Sweetums you silly old thing you blew it again, you missed the real
>kicker in bush-league imbroglio.

"Blew" WHAT, you silly old beeper geriatric? :-)

>The gist of Hans' proposal being that
>the League needs to reshuffle some of it's organization charts.

Tsk. It's a LOT MORE than that, "sweetums."

The ARRL has to have its MINDSET realigned and
recalibrated to fit this new millennium. It can't
continue on using the now-very-old standards and
practices of the 1930s in amateur radio...such as
the bias in favor of morsemanship over everything
else...such as the bias in favor of featuring the
HF bands over all other bands.

>His new
>program would "fix" what he perceives as some huge lack of Techs'
>interest in the ARRL and draw them into the Inner Sanctum.

As of 7 May 2005, the actual license numbers from
the FCC database, as shown on www.hamdata.com, show
that 48.43% of all U.S. amateur licensees are in
the Technician class category. [wait a few days
and the percentage will get higher... :-)] At
the present rate of growth of Technicians...and at
the present rate of attrition in all the other
classes, the MAJORITY of U.S. amateur licensees
will be Technicians in another couple of years.

Regardless of not fitting YOUR perplexed paradigm
on What Ham Radio Should Be, the unalterable fact
is that the ARRL only pays lip-service and spins
"approval" of those "lower classes" insofar as
what the League thinks Ham Radio Should Be. If
you would get away from sniping at others not
sharing your concepts of hamdom, you could note
the "survival syndrome" exhibited by the ARRL and
its BoD...they just don't like CHANGE and want to
keep things cozy and comfy as THEY like it in the
hobby.

> Welp, in the
>end his perception ain't reality at all even with rough passes at
rough
>numbers yes?

Using survey numbers of 1996 in the year 2005 isn't
even close to your "engineering way," "sweetums."
It certainly would NOT be good business sense.
Don't forget that the League gets millions out
of their PUBLISHING and product sale/resale end
of operations. [check out their Federal income
tax statements for the real numbers]

> Fact is that ~17% of the pore downtrodden Techs are League
>members whilst only around 13% of the "high-ranking" Generals are
>members. Now what? Hmmm?

Cut your smoke & mirrors act, "sweetums." :-)

>> Let's take raw numbers, such as 46,655 ARRL
>> member Techs in 1996. Compare those to 350,455
>> Techs as of 7 May 05 of 350,455. That's a delta
>> of a "mere" 303,800!
>
>Cut your smoke & mirrors act Sweetums, I did not just get off the
boat.

An aircraft carrier is NOT a "boat." :-)

Your glasses must have fallen in the water then,
since you can't understand that USING 8 1/2 year
old data to make your point (preceding) and now
saying that this data is no good...that only makes
you an intellectual hypocrite. Or a PCTA (they
are very similar in that regard).

>Like I said, all is well in Newington. Hiram Percy would be delighted.

Tsk. You are still mumbling Maxims?

Maxim DIED over a half century ago, "sweetums."

ARRL membership is STILL LESS than a quarter of
all licensed U.S. amateurs. [21.1% to be more
exact]

>> ARRL bias, as revealed through the pages of QST,
>> is still towards "working DX on HF with CW."
>> QST still has a column of "The World Above 50
>> MHz," as if that was still a strange planet. :-)
>
><snore>

Poor baby...strain too much for your ancient bones?
Can't handle controversy? Think you are "better"
than the average ham hobbyist? Of course...you
are morse code tested!!! That makes you "superior!"

[superior...like the lake...all wet? :-)]

Quit chomping them hoagies, old timer, they give
you gas and make you fall asleep in your rocker.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

655. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 21:49:04 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <k82dnYPu6ZzMsRzfRVn-og@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107838

N9OGL wrote:
> BOOOOOOHOOOOOOOO I'm not a netcop, I don't think causing interference
> to a legal information bulletin should be allow, or even suggesting
> causing interference...so you and your little slut Stebie believe what
> you want...But Jimmy in my opinion you and your little buddy steve are
> dumbasses and don't know what the hell you are talking about.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Listen 1 more time you stupid little idiot, if you have something to say
to me, then say it, leave your idol Steve out of every post you make to me.
Your opinion is nothing you fool, a snitch is a snitch, in your opinion
I broke the law because your non existent show might have been
interfered with? You don't know what you are talking about butt wipe.
Better yet fool, quit talking and do the deed, snitch me out! Tell them
that there might be a definite possibility of an absolute maybe that
somebody could be thinking of interfering with your nonexistent illegal
show! What a moron! Pull your tiny head out of the vacuum long enough to
think about it you perv!
Here's something for you to report to your little mommy mr. red panties
man, tell her I'm going to hunt you down on HF and on echolink and cover
your little homemade signal up! I'm going to get you banned from
echolink because I'll let my novice neighbor talk over your 2 meter link
and you being responsible for your stinky bedroom station will pay the
fine! :)
Here, I understand this is too much for you to understand moron, so
answer this.
You said
"I don't think causing interference
to a legal information bulletin should be allow, or even suggesting
causing interference"
Do you make the laws up as you go? Show me where I said I was going to
interfere with you, better yet, show me a law against "even suggesting
causing interference". Have your motoring stations stand by, have your
bodyguard hold your gay hand as you cross the street!
It's a bird, it's a plane, no, it's a gay toad that calls himself the
red panties boy!

656. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 21:49:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <M%9ge.616$bm5.23@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107839

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1115675056.413161.75000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >Hey Toad,
>
>>How come you stopped singing the Red Panty song on 14.313 and
> elsewhere?
>>Did the mean ole RH get ya? You know he did.
>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>
> it wasn't me dan but hey if you want I'll go down there and sing a few
> songs for you good old boys
> hell I'll even take request.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Is that what you told RH?

He didn't believe you either.

Dan/W4NTI

657. Re: toad gets hands slapped and vacume removed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 21:53:31 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kZKdnfeGNpHAsBzfRVn-hg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107840

K4YZ wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>BOOOOOOHOOOOOOOO I'm not a netcop...(SNIP)
>
>
> Sure you are.
>
> You called ME a "netcop" for busting your bad behaviour to YOUR
> ISP, Todd. Now you've alluded to trying to get AOL to bust me.
>
> Probelm is that you don't have a valid complaint.
>
> I did.
>
>
>>I don't think causing interference
>>to a legal information bulletin should be allow, or even suggesting
>>causing interference...
>
>
> It's not legal to intentionally cause interference to anyone
> operating legally. What you are proposing isn't.
>
> "Suggesting" interference is just like your "suggesting" the
> improper use of razor blades for violent intent, Todd..."protected",
> remember...?!?!?
>
> Or is your "freedom of speech" rants just for your own benefit
> when it suits you? Does it not apply to you too?
>
>
>>so you and your little #### Stebie believe what
>>you want...But Jimmy in my opinion you and your little buddy steve
>
> are
>
>>dumb###es and don't know what the hell you are talking about.
>
>
> Coming from a profane, functionally illiterate idiot like you,
> Todd, I'd have to say that YOU are the one with an awareness
> deficiency.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

Awareness deficiency. This moron has looked me up on QRZ and doesn't even realize that my low class license can't legally transmit on HF at all, Well Now he can tell the FCC that part also, what a waste of O2 the toad is!

658. Re: Real tecnichal experts dont become hams so FCC regs mean nothing big

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 21:55:27 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kZKdnfaGNpFPsBzfRVn-hg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:

> well Todd that's because your a fucking moron, and believe anything
> you read
>
> Todd N9OGL

Now that's funny, the toad just described himself! :)

659. Re: Real tecnichal experts dont become hams so FCC regs mean nothing big

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 22:32:11 UTC
From: Mike Speed <mike8s2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115764331.520348.282140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Nomen Nescio wrote:
> NOWDAYS YOU HAVE TO GET A COLLEGE DIGREE BEFORE YOU CAN EVEN START TO
> USE THINGS LIKE CHIP FAPRICATORS

Typing in all caps is considered shouting.

I used to work in a chip fabrication plant and I didn't have a degree.

> OR RADIO TELESCOPES AND SO ALL HAMS

I take extreme exception to that. We may not have 100 foot dishes,
but I routinely measured 1.5 db noise (at 432) on the crab nebula to
test
my array - sounds a little like radio astronomy to me. Amateurs
have also produced "detailed" all-sky maps at certain frequencies
and have had them published in technical journals.

> IS ARE CONSUMERS NOT LEDERS OF THINGS. THAT IS WHY THEY NEED
BULETINS
> WHAT REGULAR KEEP THEM UP TO WHAT THE REAL EXPERTS DOING.

"Real Experts?" How many radio innovations used today are as
a direct result of technical interest and furthering of the radio art
produced by these "amateurs".

>
> THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO AT http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com CAN HELP
> OUT WHEN YOU NEED TO KNOW IMPORTUNT STUFF. EVEN BETTER WILL BE THE
> BRODCAST STARTING WEEKEND NOW. LOOK FOR IT UNLESS YOU PUSSY LIKE
K4YZ
> AND TO SCARED TO LET OTHER PEOPLE DO WHAT THEY WANT UNDER FREEDOM OF
> SPEECH. KC8GXW IS NO MENTION. P

660. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-10 22:57:47 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me!@that.moc>
Message-ID: <8uOdnViqclowwxzfRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107845

N9OGL wrote:
> WHAT SAID I ABOUT YOUR KID WASN'T SLANDER EITHER BUT THE TRUTH :)
>
> TODD N9OGL
>

And sunk you to the depths of the sewer pit of humanity.

661. The toads hit counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 00:46:07 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <p_GdnWmxDMNSyBzfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107843

Did you ever see somebody hit their face with a moving truck?
http://216.176.81.228/n9ogl.htm

http://gostats.com/gogi/viewstats.pl?mn=N9OGL
Nobody even goes to the idiots page! :)
What a laugh!

Calculated for today
Not enough unique hits to estimate unique hits
Not enough pageview hits to estimate pageview hits

662. Toads model airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 01:10:54 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <H_WdnWRibpc-xhzfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107844

On this page you can see the toads model airplane that he claims to be licensed to fly. You can also see his Psycho brother, maybe it runs in the bloodline?
This page will take 2 hours to load as it was written by the internet kiddy porn expert, the toad himself!
Darn if the whole family doesn't look gay, matter of fact, in my opinion there looks like hints of inbreeding in the family.

http://216.176.81.228/pictures.htm

663. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 01:23:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <P-6dnYCt4toAwxzfRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107846

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: k...@dvol.com on 10 May 2005 09:11:19 -0700

>>Yes Sweetums, a lousy 0.7% drop in total membership in 8.5 years is
>> not a dramatic anything. In fact it indicates a rather comfortable level
>> of stability so all is well in Newington.
>
>
> Has ARRL membership EVER gotten as high as a
> quarter of all licensed U.S. amateurs?
>
> On "8.5 years is not a dramatic anything," that's
> a rather gross fluff-off, "sweetums."

Agreed.

But my math says the drop is more like almost 13%.

Sources are the ARRL annual reports at:

http://www2.remote.arrl.org/announce/annualreport/

1997 (highest membership) 177,396

2003 (last year I have an annual report for) 154,545

22,851 members were lost in that time.

Wouldn't a .7 % drop be more like 1242 members leaving?

Hard to say that that sort of drop isn't dramatic!

- Mike KB3EIA -

664. The toad almos makes cents

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 01:36:37 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <rISdnQOjMdUz_BzfRVn-oA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107847

As posted on:
http://members.aol.com/_ht_a/k1man14275/myhomepage/business.html

Pecuniary Interest

I have read a lot of articles about one K1MAN and how many amateur radio operators feel, including the FCC, about Glenn Baxter's "broadcast" and how there's some "pecuniary interest," mainly referring to his website www.k1man.com. But many don't talk about W1AW and the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) and its "pecuniary interest," like the fact the ARRL on their web site not only invites you to join as members, but also makes amateurs pay a HIGH membership fee which continues to increase. But they also, on their website, offer for sale books and other stuff. Now many out there would say that is a non-profit organization and that money is used to support the ARRL and support amateur radio. No matter, in my humble opinion, if it's a non-profit organization or for profit; commerce is commerce. So no matter if it's K1MAN or W1AW, "pecuniary interest" should apply regardless of who it is. I mean paying $39 dollars for a "club" (or should I say "Inc.") is not, in my humble opinion, worth it. I mean, what the hell have they done for me? NOTHING! The only place I see that money going is in the pocket of their bosses. So what do you get for that $39??? A crappy magazine which is biased and really is only good as toilet paper. Hell, you would be better off supporting your local ham club which would address issues that affect you, because the ARRL only wants you money. For $39 dollars I would want something more out of club that would benefit me, and I'm not talking some crappy magazine. Now I'm not saying that K1MAN is any better, but before these "die hard" ARRL member start getting on these newsgroups and message boards, look inside yourself and ask yourself what has the ARRL done for me??? The Amateurs have over the last 20-25 years lost bands. The league isn't pushing hard enough for changes regarding BPL or PRB-1. Instead the ARRL would send the paid lackey Hollingsworth to suppress free speech on the air. The ARRL is known for their anti-no-code stand back in 1983. They are known for petitioning the FCC for some rules change that are stupid. But to me it's the ARRL pushing onto it's members the idea that there is no free speech on the radio and their "Legal Advisor" and formal FCC henchman Phil Kane gets on these newsgroups and boards to spread the ARRL propaganda and lies about speech on the airways.

Todd N9OGL

665. N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 01:44:07 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <64-dnaJZp9_3_hzfRVn-vQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107848

Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW
Author: Todd Daugherty
Date: Sun, 30 Jan 2005 16:40:38
Bytes: 1096
Lines: 33
References: <41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1> <2NGdneugfKh8gGHcRVn-ow@comcast.com>
X-Trace:
XRef: news.sisna.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:220870
Hello,
It will be on 20 meters tomorrow at 4.00pm CST

Todd N9OGL

"Chuck O'Neal" wrote in message
news:2NGdneugfKh8gGHcRVn-ow@comcast.com...
> What frequency? Will it be on a band where there is any propagation?
>
> "Todd Daugherty" wrote in message
> news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> > Hello everyone,
> >
> > The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
> > Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at
4.00pm
> > CST
> >
> > Todd n9ogl

Sheesh and this was over 4 months ago and he still hasn't got it going!

666. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 02:07:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115777231.521117.263070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107849

Mike Coslo wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: k...@dvol.com on 10 May 2005 09:11:19 -0700
>
> >>Yes Sweetums, a lousy 0.7% drop in total membership in 8.5 years is
> >> not a dramatic anything. In fact it indicates a rather comfortable
level
> >> of stability so all is well in Newington.
> >
> >
> > Has ARRL membership EVER gotten as high as a
> > quarter of all licensed U.S. amateurs?
> >
> > On "8.5 years is not a dramatic anything," that's
> > a rather gross fluff-off, "sweetums."
>
> Agreed.
>
>
> But my math says the drop is more like almost 13%.
>
> Sources are the ARRL annual reports at:
>
> http://www2.remote.arrl.org/announce/annualreport/
>
>
> 1997 (highest membership) 177,396
>
> 2003 (last year I have an annual report for) 154,545
>
> 22,851 members were lost in that time.
>
> Wouldn't a .7 % drop be more like 1242 members leaving?
>
> Hard to say that that sort of drop isn't dramatic!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Apologies for being repetitious here but sometimes that's what it takes
. . When I asked Sumner for the by-class breakdown he wrote that the
last available data he has is from August *1996* as reported in the
February 1997 issue of QST.

Extras 38,852
Advanced 39,430

General 25,245
Tech Plus 22,634
Tech 24,021
Novice 2,627

Total members Aug. 1996 = 152,809

If you have a problem with this don't bore me with it, take it up with Sumner.

w3rv

667. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 03:04:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115780646.423750.180180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107950

Hey Dan i'll be happy to sing for you good ol' boys how about a round of yankee Doodle.

Todd N9OGL

668. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 03:08:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115780919.274580.277470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107951

No true, It has been on at lease six times.
I've been busy the majority of the time with school.

Todd N9OGL

669. Re: FCC developing psychological test for ham spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 03:11:11 UTC
From: Nathan <ndameese700@aol.com>
Message-ID: <c486$428177cc$d1cc7c39$22256@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 107952
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.gw-bush,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

This has got to be the biggest load of bullshit I've seen come
down the pike in quite a long time.

What a load of HORSECRAPOLA !!

(...and if it's true then 80% of the current hams would fail just
go to any hamfest and look around at the people in attendence.
looks like a freak convention)

"KWAR" <KWAR@abc.net> wrote in message
news:1182he2fimsoud2@corp.supernews.com...
> Psychological Testing of Amateur Radio Applicants...
> The Cure for Spectrum Rage by Emil Heisseluft
>
> "The FCC is in the process of developing rules that will mandate
> the administration of psychological tests to new amateur radio
> applicants as well as to operators applying for the renewal of
> their licenses."
>
> http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/April%202005%20Highlights.html
>

670. Re: FCC developing psychological test for ham spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 03:13:37 UTC
From: Bert Hyman <bert@iphouse.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9652E222D3475VeebleFetzer@news.iphouse.com>
Source record: 107953
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.gw-bush,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In news:c486$428177cc$d1cc7c39$22256@snip.allthenewsgroups.com "Nathan" <ndameese700@aol.com> wrote:

> (...and if it's true then 80% of the current hams would fail just
> go to any hamfest and look around at the people in attendence.
> looks like a freak convention)

That's because hamfests have been taken over by computer folks; almost no radios to be found these days.

--
Bert Hyman St. Paul, MN bert@iphouse.com

671. Re: FCC developing psychological test for ham spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 04:31:02 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <UbSdnf6mFr8RFxzfRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107954
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.gw-bush,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Nathan wrote:
> This has got to be the biggest load of bullshit I've seen come
> down the pike in quite a long time.
>
> What a load of HORSECRAPOLA !!
>
> (...and if it's true then 80% of the current hams would fail just
> go to any hamfest and look around at the people in attendence.
> looks like a freak convention)
>
> "KWAR" <KWAR@abc.net> wrote in message
> news:1182he2fimsoud2@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>Psychological Testing of Amateur Radio Applicants...
>> The Cure for Spectrum Rage by Emil Heisseluft
>>
>>"The FCC is in the process of developing rules that will mandate
>> the administration of psychological tests to new amateur radio
>> applicants as well as to operators applying for the renewal of
>> their licenses."
>>
>>http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/April%202005%20Highlights.html
>>
>

Are you related to the toad or just another moron? That was an April
fools joke, look at the date.

672. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 04:33:48 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <UbSdnfmmFr-vFhzfRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107955

N9OGL wrote:

> No true, It has been on at lease six times.
> I've been busy the majority of the time with school.
>
> Todd N9OGL

More lies fool? You said 24/7. That means full time, not 10 seconds
posing as the red panty boy!

673. Re: FCC developing psychological test for ham spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 04:38:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115786297.434386.255100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

must be one of your inbred realitives....

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

674. Re: FCC developing psychological test for ham spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 04:45:49 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <qcadnVLVCs2dExzfRVn-uA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107958

N9OGL wrote:

> must be one of your inbred realitives....
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW

Are you talking to me you idiot? Can't you come up with something new?
You sound like an echo.
You must be talking to yourself, cause the only pictures I saw were of
your inbred moronic family of kiddy porn downloaders, BUT I'm glad to
see you are back on your meds gay boy.
Oh I almost forgot. Check me out, coming to a town near you soon!

675. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 04:45:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115786750.865252.14450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107957

No dumb ass, I started out with a hour program, come summer it will be 24/7 as a matter of fact it will be 24/7 sooner then you think....put the vaccum down and read...if you can. If not I think roger can read it for you...I think he's look for a room mate, you both would make a lovely couple.

Todd N9OGL

676. Re: The toad almos makes cents

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 04:46:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115786803.450033.302130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107959

What the hell is almos???

677. Re: Toads model airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 04:49:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115786948.957733.315960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107960

Well coming from a inbred CB operator with an IQ of 76....

678. Re: The toad almos makes cents

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 04:53:25 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <IOOdnZRqXPFNEhzfRVn-uQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107962

N9OGL wrote:

> What the hell is almos???
I thought you might understand it better moron, did you miss the CENTS part?
Get it ALMOS MAKES CENTS, it's called toadebonics, spell anything
anyway you want. Hey toad, I need some trees trimmed, is Johnson still
in business? How about Mother Goose Day Care, do they still baby-sit you
when mommy is out playing?

679. Re: Toads model airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 04:53:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115787209.386251.287520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107961

By the way you inbred that's not a model airplane, it's a real
airplane, of course an inbred like you couldn't or don't have the balls
to fly something like that.

"I didn't love my sister, i just got her pregnant"
Famous words from Jim KC8GXW

680. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 04:59:06 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <2bOdnYNC4tK-DBzfRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107963

N9OGL wrote:

> No dumb ass, I started out with a hour program, come summer it will be
> 24/7 as a matter of fact it will be 24/7 sooner then you think....put
> the vaccum down and read...if you can. If not I think roger can read it
> for you...I think he's look for a room mate, you both would make a
> lovely couple.
>
> Todd N9OGL

There you go talking gay stuff again, Roger? What happened to Steve?
What is "he's look for a room mate"? Is this more toadebonics? What's
"vaccum"? Your main source of food? Oh you meant VACUUM, I think you're
looking in the mirror and got confused there gayboy!

681. Re: Toads model airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 05:06:59 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <koKdnXrKdKKfDhzfRVn-2A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107964

N9OGL wrote:

> By the way you inbred that's not a model airplane, it's a real
> airplane, of course an inbred like you couldn't or don't have the balls
> to fly something like that.
>
> "I didn't love my sister, i just got her pregnant"

What's a matter toad, did I hit a sore spot about the inbreeding
in your family? Look at the mailbox in the picture fool, It's bigger
than the toy plane

682. Notice of public meeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 08:30:19 UTC
From: John Bartley <john.bartley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115800219.359455.267700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107965

Notice of public meeting

CARES (Clackamas Amateur Radio Emergency Services) Corporation Board of Directors

17 May, 19:00 local
Shari's Restaurant
Oregon City Shopping Center
1926 McLoughlin Boulevard at I-205
Oregon City, Oregon

http://tinyurl.com/dg9yy

683. Looking for more information.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 10:14:38 UTC
From: sam_brandt50@samsroverdogs.com
Message-ID: <1115806478.458141.268090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107966

Hi I am interested in more information. Where is the best spot? You
can email me at any of the following addresses:
Thanks, Sam
masontss280@samsroverdogs.com alex280@gantabot.com
james280@slickbillspoliticalbiz.com grant280@getthefourthedition.com
dave280@slowmovingtrains.org harlo280@gillionpossibilities.com
bob280@spacemanspifflandsonthemoon.com
mary280@goodmedicalinformation.org jason280@spanishtires.net
domingo280@goodwalkinggroup.com carlo280@spotteddogteam.com
randy280@greateditionz.com jeff280@stillthebomb.com
vaughn280@greatshowforyou.biz chee280@stingingrose.com
edward280@greenapplez.com

684. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 12:12:32 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d5ssrg$fl6$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 107967

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: k...@dvol.com on 10 May 2005 09:11:19 -0700
>>
>>>>Yes Sweetums, a lousy 0.7% drop in total membership in 8.5 years is
>>>>not a dramatic anything. In fact it indicates a rather comfortable
>
> level
>
>>>>of stability so all is well in Newington.
>>>
>>>
>>> Has ARRL membership EVER gotten as high as a
>>> quarter of all licensed U.S. amateurs?
>>>
>>> On "8.5 years is not a dramatic anything," that's
>>> a rather gross fluff-off, "sweetums."
>>
>>Agreed.
>>
>>
>> But my math says the drop is more like almost 13%.
>>
>>Sources are the ARRL annual reports at:
>>
>>http://www2.remote.arrl.org/announce/annualreport/
>>
>>
>>1997 (highest membership) 177,396
>>
>>2003 (last year I have an annual report for) 154,545
>>
>>22,851 members were lost in that time.
>>
>> Wouldn't a .7 % drop be more like 1242 members leaving?
>>
>> Hard to say that that sort of drop isn't dramatic!
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

>
> Apologies for being repetitious here but sometimes that's what it takes
> . . When I asked Sumner for the by-class breakdown he wrote that the
> last available data he has is from August *1996* as reported in the
> February 1997 issue of QST.
>
> Extras 38,852
> Advanced 39,430
> General 25,245
> Tech Plus 22,634
> Tech 24,021
> Novice 2,627
>
> Total members Aug. 1996 = 152,809
>
> If you have a problem with this don't bore me with it, take it up with
> Sumner.

From the ARRL Annual Report for 1996 source

http://www.arrl.org/announce/annualreport/

On page 5, they announce the numbers:
175,023 members

The following year was the year that the ARRL experienced its all time
peak membership:

177,396.

So whether I'm boring you or not, you were the one bragging about your
smarts in going to "the source". I went to a source too. Mine aren't
broken down by class, but you would have to admit that 22,214 is a
significant difference when the total numbers are compared.

One of us is wrong with the numbers. Maybe your source made a mistake?
Or maybe *all* those annual reports were wrong. Which do you think more
likely?

- mike KB3EIA -

685. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 14:15:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115820904.828003.296470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107968

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> On "8.5 years is not a dramatic anything," that's
> a rather gross fluff-off, "sweetums." A child who
> begins public school at age 5 will be almost out
> of Middle school in 8 1/2 years. Rather dramatic,
> I'd say...but, since you are cheerleading the ARRL,
> you will aerily dismiss it when it comes to the
> League.

And that same child is more likely to be an HF-licensed Radio
Amateur in that time frame than you are, Lennie.

Embarrassing, ain't it...

Steve, K4YZ

686. Re: Toads illegal model airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 14:28:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115821688.440682.187780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107969

N9OGL wrote:
> By the way you inbred that's not a model airplane, it's a real
> airplane, of course an inbred like you couldn't or don't have the
#####
> to fly something like that.

Actually, it's an ultralight...Or it WAS an ultralight until your illegal modification with a 10 gallon tank which invalidated it's blanket coverage under Part 103 of the FAA's rules and regulations.

It's not presently licensed as either an "experimental" or as a "light sport aircraft" either, so if you fly it, you are doing so illegally.

And you're not licensed either, Todd...as of this morning's ACB update, there's no "Todd E Daugherty" in their database...No medical...No airman's certificate...No nothing...

Go figure.

Steve, K4YZ

687. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 14:32:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115821939.697652.203820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107970

KC8GXW wrote:

> Is this more toadebonics?

Jim! I love it! But is it copyrighted, and will you sue me if I
use it...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

688. Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 14:41:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115822494.171933.206080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107971

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > WHAT SAID I ABOUT YOUR KID WASN'T SLANDER EITHER BUT THE TRUTH :)
> >
> > TODD N9OGL
> >
>
> And sunk you to the depths of the sewer pit of humanity.

Remember, Buzz...it was the Toadster who, in one of his anonymous
characters said: "What goes around comes around".

He doesn't know the half of it....

Steve, K4YZ

689. Re: Poor little Butt Cornholer, his imaginary "pilot's license" is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 15:07:31 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <072df0cfc050014d023f8ad6563d81f9@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 107973

In article <GuydnewbUsu1GePfRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of litle boys <me@that.moc> whined:

>We all know who

You are whining excatly like Lardass Lloyd Davies.

>And if you knew who I was you might be able to find my pilots
license in
>the FCC data base.

You mean the pilot's license that isn't there????

Poor Butt Cornholer, has that obsolete license!
BWHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

690. Re: The toads hit counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 15:07:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115824069.316689.32600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107972

KC8GXW wrote:
> Did you ever see somebody hit their face with a moving truck?
> http://216.176.81.228/n9ogl.htm

I had to look, Jim, since you brought it up!

I followed the links to "pictures"...I learned a couple things...

I now know why Todd is so intent on running his broadcasts on 20 meters...Every picture of a female family member was taken with at least one of them with their mouth in gear...this is obviously Todd's only way to get a word in without sputtering "But Auntie...but Auntie...but Auntie..."

Also, I will have to compliment Todd's dad, seen in the last (or next to last?) pic on the page. Pretty fit-looking fella for his age...I am guessing around 58-62...

Of course I am sure he stays that way working the hours he has to in order to support his deadbeat stay-at-home adult son...bad enough he has to feed, clothe and house him, now he's going to have to pony-up the funds to pay for at least 2 radio transmitters running 24/7.

But what does Todd care...

No picture of his alleged Japanese girlfriend. I (really don't) wonder why...

73

Steve, K4YZ

691. Re: Toads illegal model airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 15:12:59 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <zNWdnW7MfchivR_fRVn-gQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107974

K4YZ wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>By the way you inbred that's not a model airplane, it's a real
>>airplane, of course an inbred like you couldn't or don't have the
>
> #####
>
>>to fly something like that.
>
>
> Actually, it's an ultralight...Or it WAS an ultralight until your
> illegal modification with a 10 gallon tank which invalidated it's
> blanket coverage under Part 103 of the FAA's rules and regulations.
>
> It's not presently licensed as either an "experimental" or as a
> "light sport aircraft" either, so if you fly it, you are doing so
> illegally.
>
> And you're not licensed either, Todd...as of this morning's ACB
> update, there's no "Todd E Daugherty" in their database...No
> medical...No airman's certificate...No nothing...
>
> Go figure.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Kind of fits in with his NO B#### theory you think?

692. Re: Poor little Butt Cornholer, his imaginary "pilot's license" is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 15:27:58 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <75SdnR0dTupR2R_fRVn-2A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107978

George Orwell AKA two-test wogie lloydie wussman wrote:
> In article <GuydnewbUsu1GePfRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>We all know who
>
>
> You are whining excatly like Lardass Lloyd Davies.
>

You seem to be fixated on Lloyd Davies wogie, is he a fantasy of yours?

>
>>And if you knew who I was you might be able to find my pilots
>
> license in
>
>>the FCC data base.
>
>
>
> You mean the pilot's license that isn't there????

So tell me just what name or other search criteria you are using to
look for it. It's there wogie, if you know what to look for.

Poor wogie, had to retake the amateur radio exam because Riley caught
him red handed violating the rules, so now he has the welfare extra-lite
ticket he obtained by taking the exam at a license give-away VE session
where all the answers to all the questions are available. No knowledge
necessary, just memorize the answers. So tell us all about negative SWR
and RMS voltage wogie.

And then wogie braggs about having an RR Operator *Permit*, no test
necessary, just fill out an FCC form. Whaaa-hoooo, big deal!!! What
happened to that 2nd Class ticket you claim to have had wogie?

693. Re: Toads illegal model airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 16:01:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115824798.042982.226770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107975

KC8GXW wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >>By the way you inbred that's not a model airplane, it's a real
> >>airplane, of course an inbred like you couldn't or don't have the
> >
> > #####
> >
> >>to fly something like that.
> >
> >
> > Actually, it's an ultralight...Or it WAS an ultralight until
your
> > illegal modification with a 10 gallon tank which invalidated it's
> > blanket coverage under Part 103 of the FAA's rules and regulations.
> >
> > It's not presently licensed as either an "experimental" or as
a
> > "light sport aircraft" either, so if you fly it, you are doing so
> > illegally.
> >
> > And you're not licensed either, Todd...as of this morning's
ACB
> > update, there's no "Todd E Daugherty" in their database...No
> > medical...No airman's certificate...No nothing...
> >
> > Go figure.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Kind of fits in with his NO B#### theory you think?

You got THAT right... ! ! ! !

73

Steve, K4YZ

694. Re: Secret Nazi Saggytits Lee, his obese mommy Terry uses roll-on deoderant for lipstick!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 16:34:49 UTC
From: Roger's Owner <owner@rogersownertrash.com>
Message-ID: <d5tc3o$l35$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107976

"Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer" <nobody@cypherpunks.to> wrote
in message news:20050511150433.BDC86170EC@mail.cypherpunks.to...
>
> Now dance, Secret Nazi Saggytits Lee!
>
> Did you figure out the Marshall County, Alabama ARES website
> isn't the one for Marshall County, WV, yet? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> Fuck up!
>

Hey Stagger,

Looks like Roger can't get you out of his head.
ROTFLMAO!

v/t,

Roger's Owner

695. Todd - N9OGL Libels Phil Kane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 17:34:39 UTC
From: Baxter Blows <hamsandwich@myway.com>
Message-ID: <1115832879.554170.242830@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107977

As posted on Todd's Blog...

"and their "Legal Advisor" and formal FCC henchman Phil Kane gets on
these
newsgroups and boards to spread the ARRL propaganda and lies about
speech on the airways.

Todd N9OGL"

hmmm... defamation, libel, character assasination... wonder what that
would be worth in civil court?

696. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 18:04:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115834668.427314.35690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107979

Michael Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>

> > last available data he has is from August *1996* as reported in the
> > February 1997 issue of QST.
> >
> > Extras 38,852
> > Advanced 39,430
> > General 25,245
> > Tech Plus 22,634
> > Tech 24,021
> > Novice 2,627
> >
> > Total members Aug. 1996 = 152,809
> >
> > If you have a problem with this don't bore me with it, take it up
with
> > Sumner.
>
> From the ARRL Annual Report for 1996 source
>
> http://www.arrl.org/announce/annualreport/
>
> On page 5, they announce the numbers:
> 175,023 members
>
> The following year was the year that the ARRL experienced its all
time
> peak membership:
>
> 177,396.
>
>
> So whether I'm boring you or not, you were the one bragging about
your
> smarts in going to "the source".

'Scuse me?? Where, exactly, did I brag about any of it? I simply fired off another request for some info to a League management type and Sumner responded as usual. Which is typical of the sorts of things he and the rest of the folk at HQ get paid to do. I've done it any number of times in the past and I expect I'll do it many more times in the future. This is "bragging" on my part??

> I went to a source too. Mine aren't
> broken down by class, but you would have to admit that 22,214 is a
> significant difference when the total numbers are compared.

Uh-huh. As if an 11% discrepancy in some arcane data in a hobby NG
actually matters.

> One of us is wrong with the numbers.

Makes no sense.

> Maybe your source made a mistake?
> Or maybe *all* those annual reports were wrong. Which do you think
more
> likely?

I don't "think about" such things Michael, I don't take offhand
potshots at whether or not a specfic dataset is right or wrong and
neither do the rest of us who are expected to responsibly process data
and crunch numbers. We chase down the data to it's source and
straighten out discrepancies by the numbers. Yeah, I know. "Not your
field". Obviously. Not my problem. His e-mail address is
<k1zz@arrl.org>.

> - mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

697. Re: Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 19:21:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1Xsge.1063$r7.577@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107980

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Have fun, Lennie!

Finally!!!! Morse code is faster than >>something<< !!!!!

It's faster than a teeny-bopper text-messaging on a flip phone 12-key phone-pad. What an unimpeachable endorsement that one is! My sides hurt from laughing so hard.

Sunuvagun!

de Hans, K0HB

698. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 20:29:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <DWtge.1107$LO1.129@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107982

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1115780646.423750.180180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Hey Dan i'll be happy to sing for you good ol' boys how about a round
> of yankee Doodle.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
Sounds good Toad, or better yet, sing Dixie. It was written by a yank ya
know.

Dan/W4NTI

699. Re: Toads illegal model airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 20:29:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3Xtge.4845$Dh.1890@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107981

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Actually, it's an ultralight...Or it WAS an ultralight until your
> illegal modification with a 10 gallon tank which invalidated it's
> blanket coverage under Part 103 of the FAA's rules and regulations.

"your rantings have nothing to do with Amateur Radio" -- K4YZ

> It's not presently licensed as either an "experimental" or as a
> "light sport aircraft" either, so if you fly it, you are doing so
> illegally.

"your rantings have nothing to do with Amateur Radio" -- K4YZ

> And you're not licensed either, Todd...as of this morning's ACB
> update, there's no "Todd E Daugherty" in their database...No
> medical...No airman's certificate...No nothing...

"your rantings have nothing to do with Amateur Radio" -- K4YZ

700. Re: The toads hit counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 20:33:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <V_tge.4860$r7.1191@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107983

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Every picture of a female family member was taken with at
> least one of them with their mouth in gear...this is obviously Todd's
> only way to get a word in without sputtering "But Auntie...but
> Auntie...but Auntie..."

Why is Steve so fixated on "female family members" of other rrap'ers?

A member of my family called his attempts at familiarity "creepy".

701. N9OGL SHOW ON TONIGHT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 20:59:04 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115845144.598717.221570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107984

N9OGL SHOW ON LATER TONIGHT

THE N9OGL SHOW will be aired tonight after I get done with my final exam. it will be around 8.00 -9.00pm CST on 20 METERS.

TODD N9OGL

702. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 21:04:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115845465.265018.86530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107985

From: "K4YZ" <noviceclasstroll@aol.com> on May 11, 10:15 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote [in response to W3RV]:
>
>> On "8.5 years is not a dramatic anything," that's
>> a rather gross fluff-off, "sweetums." A child who
>> begins public school at age 5 will be almost out
>> of Middle school in 8 1/2 years. Rather dramatic,
>> I'd say...but, since you are cheerleading the ARRL,
>> you will aerily dismiss it when it comes to the
>> League.
>
> And that same child is more likely to be an HF-licensed Radio
>Amateur in that time frame than you are, Lennie.
>
> Embarrassing, ain't it...

Tsk, tsk, tsk. NO "embarrasment" at all...to me.
I've been a working PROFESSIONAL in radio-electronics
since 1952, passed a First 'Phone test in 1956, been
co-owner of a business radio in what is now called
Private Land Mobile Radio Service, and have legally
OPERATED on many MORE parts of the EM spectrum than
is permitted to just amateur radio licensees.

However, Robeson's post is just more of the puerile
junior-high school babbling by the Avenging Angle of
Dearth, Stebie Robeson, off on another tangent
of hatred, trying to mouth-off more abuse. Tsk.
It does indicate that the mindset of some amateur
extras hasn't gone much beyond age 13 1/2.

At question is NUMBER DATA on/from ARRL and the
DATE of such numbers. Kelly contends that an
8 1/2 year period is inconsequential to the
discussion. Coslo disagrees with that. I disagree
with Kelly's contention. Robeson can only jeer and
heckle the participants in that discussion, not
being able to think while in the midst of his
unstable emotional volatility.

Kelly thinks that the ARRL is "going along
swimingly," no problems there, everything just
fine.

Not the case in reality. Brakob realizes that and so does Coslo. Note the statements on the www.hamdata.com webpage in regards to statistics: TECHNICIAN class license totals have been increasing at a rate of 26 per day! [that's about four times faster than the combined General and Extra class increases of 6 per day]

On the license class totals, it is interesting to compare (via Hamdata) those of 11 May 05 versus those of two years prior:

2005 2003
Both Tech Classes - 350,566 348,749
All four others - 373,171 378,994
Total, all classes - 723,737 727,743

Percentage of Techs - 48.44 47.92

Comparison of Growth, 2005 v. 2003

Gain or Loss, Techs - +1,817
Gain or Loss, other four - -5,823

Gain or Loss, all licensees -4,006

It should be noted that the peak of U.S. amateur radio license numbers was on 2 Jul 03 with a total of 737,938 then (number of club calls not known). The Hamdata statistics are derived automatically by downloading the publicly-available FCC database (massive in size) and sorting for classes.

The increase in both Technician classes is not "dramatic" but it IS an increase and has NOT stopped as some amateur extras claimed "would happen" after the 12-year elapse from the 1991 creation of the (no-code-test) Technician class. At 48.44 percent of ALL current licensees, that IS a very large percentage and is constantly approaching a MAJORITY (it hasn't stopped increasing in 14 years).

It should be obvious (but is not to some closed mindsets) that the "other four" classes (Novice, General, Advanced, Extra) have had their totals DROP in numbers. The "other four" all require morse code testing. The no-longer-issued-new Novice and Advanced classes dropped by 11,649 but the General and Extra classes gained only 5,826. The net change in the "other four" is -5,823. The two-year growth in both Technician classes is NOT enough to stem the 4,006 loss in licenses overall in two years.

The (no-code-test) Technician class licensee is FORBIDDEN to operate below 30 MHz. A Technician Plus licensee is permitted below 30 MHz only if they have taken a morse code test. Old paradigms of "the majority of hams work in the HF bands" is rapidly approaching oblivion. The "World Above 50 MHz" may soon be the majority-use spectrum in amateur radio. The ARRL may not be tuned in to that band...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

703. Re: N9OGL SHOW ON TONIGHT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 21:21:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JHuge.1229$OU1.1106@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107986

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1115845144.598717.221570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> N9OGL SHOW ON LATER TONIGHT
>
> THE N9OGL SHOW will be aired tonight after I get done with my final
> exam. it will be around 8.00 -9.00pm CST on 20 METERS.

What is the approximate QRG?

73, de Hans, K0HB

704. Re: N9OGL SHOW ON TONIGHT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 21:56:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115848575.864852.155530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107987

I look for a unused frequency, but i will say look near the top of the band.

Todd N9OGL

705. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 22:53:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115852014.754706.165800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107988

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > On "8.5 years is not a dramatic anything," that's
> > a rather gross fluff-off, "sweetums." A child who
> > begins public school at age 5 will be almost out
> > of Middle school in 8 1/2 years. Rather dramatic,
> > I'd say...but, since you are cheerleading the ARRL,
> > you will aerily dismiss it when it comes to the
> > League.

Sweetums you old dear why don't *you* get off your butt and chase down more current data than I've been able to come up with. Then maybe you'd be able to rub it in my face when it turns out that your newer by-class ratios are "dramatically different" from the 8.5 year old data I posted.

. . . nah, you don't have the gonads . .

> And that same child is more likely to be an HF-licensed Radio
> Amateur in that time frame than you are, Lennie.
>
> Embarrassing, ain't it...

Look at the bright side Steve, with Sweetums on the outside looking in we have less spectrum pollution to deal with.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

706. Re: N9OGL SHOW ON TONIGHT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 23:47:29 UTC
From: Digital Salute <npspamplease@nospam>
Message-ID: <d5u5ib$h06$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107989

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1115845144.598717.221570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
N9OGL SHOW ON LATER TONIGHT

THE N9OGL SHOW will be aired tonight after I get done with my final
exam. it will be around 8.00 -9.00pm CST on 20 METERS.

TODD N9OGL

Where on twenty?
Roger wants to jamb it.

707. Re: N9OGL SHOW ON TONIGHT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-11 23:52:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EUwge.6211$Dh.18@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107990

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote

> it will be around 8.00 -9.00pm CST on 20 METERS.

Will that be 9:00-10:00pm to those of us who observe CDT.

73, de Hans, K0HB

708. Re: N9OGL SHOW ON TONIGHT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 00:29:10 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <mICdndwV__PFPh_fRVn-tg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107991

N9OGL wrote:
> N9OGL SHOW ON LATER TONIGHT
>
> THE N9OGL SHOW will be aired tonight after I get done with my final
> exam. it will be around 8.00 -9.00pm CST on 20 METERS.
>
> TODD N9OGL

If you can't hear the illiterate idiot just listen up or down 3 khz
either side for my rebuttal!

709. Re: Anti-Baxter Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 00:43:40 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <k-udnSfSzfCwVh_fRVn-1A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107995

N9OGL wrote:
> I was combing through my Bookmarks here on my internet browser and I
> came across K1MAN biggest fan Baxter Buster website and notice that no
> one has left a comment on his website. I was just wondering were all
> the Anti-K1MAN people are?? It really a shame that this BIG K1MAN fan
> put all that work and research into that "website" and no one is even
> commenting on it. I was hoping to read all the ANTI-K1MAN crap and
> propaganda that morons put on it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

So, did anyone hear the toddieboy show tonight?

710. Re: N9OGL SHOW ON TONIGHT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 01:06:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115859980.459208.291830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107992

Hans,

I'm eatting dinner right now, I will be done around 8.30 pm CDT and the
show will be on.

Todd N9OGL

711. Re: N9OGL SHOW ON TONIGHT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 02:15:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <H_yge.1566$OU1.1518@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107993

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote

> I will be done around 8.30 pm CDT and the
> show will be on.

Should I listen for a "show" or a "bulletin"?

712. Anti-Baxter Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 03:03:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115867021.626300.111590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107994

I was combing through my Bookmarks here on my internet browser and I came across K1MAN biggest fan Baxter Buster website and notice that no one has left a comment on his website. I was just wondering were all the Anti-K1MAN people are?? It really a shame that this BIG K1MAN fan put all that work and research into that "website" and no one is even commenting on it. I was hoping to read all the ANTI-K1MAN crap and propaganda that morons put on it.

Todd N9OGL

713. Re: Anti-Baxter Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 04:19:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BPAge.1630$OU1.1411@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107996

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote

>I was combing through my Bookmarks here on my internet browser and I
> came across K1MAN biggest fan Baxter Buster website and notice that no
> one has left a comment on his website. I was just wondering were all
> the Anti-K1MAN people are?? It really a shame that this BIG K1MAN fan
> put all that work and research into that "website" and no one is even
> commenting on it. I was hoping to read all the ANTI-K1MAN crap and
> propaganda that morons put on it.

Yawn.

K1MAN is old news, and not on anyones radar anymore. He'll disappear when his
license renewal application is denied this fall.

Yawn.

de Hans, K0HB

714. Re: Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 04:25:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115871923.122390.90410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107997

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > Have fun, Lennie!
>
> Finally!!!! Morse code is faster than >>something<< !!!!!
>
> It's faster than a teeny-bopper text-messaging on a flip phone 12-key
phone-pad.
> What an unimpeachable endorsement that one is! My sides hurt from
laughing so
> hard.

I imagine that your sides hurt from having too much "sides" to
carry around.

> Sunuvagun!

Indeed.

Steve, K4YZ

715. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 06:01:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1115877702.689181.185480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107998

From: kellie@dvol.com on 11 May 2005 15:53:34 -0700

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> > On "8.5 years is not a dramatic anything," that's
>> > a rather gross fluff-off, "sweetums." A child who
>> > begins public school at age 5 will be almost out
>> > of Middle school in 8 1/2 years. Rather dramatic,
>> > I'd say...but, since you are cheerleading the ARRL,
>> > you will aerily dismiss it when it comes to the
>> > League.
>
>Sweetums you old dear why don't *you* get off your butt and chase down

>more current data than I've been able to come up with. Then maybe
you'd
>be able to rub it in my face when it turns out that your newer
by-class
>ratios are "dramatically different" from the 8.5 year old data I
>posted.

Thank you, senior, but I'd prefer to get data direct
from the FCC...even if it has to be through Hamdata.
It is daily, it is honest, it isn't "massaged."

...and quit coming on to me with those endearing
terms like "sweetums." I'm straight. :-)

>. . . nah, you don't have the gonads . .

"Gonads?" :-)

Kellie equates sex with Sumner?!? :-)

Kellie doesn't have the GUTS to admit he might have
made a mistake, "screwing around" with things he
apparently can't handle. :-)

Tsk. Kellie is looking for "love" in all the wrong
places...

[practice safe eating - use condiments...]

>Look at the bright side Steve, with Sweetums on the outside looking in

>we have less spectrum pollution to deal with.

Good grief, Kellie, you two already create more POLLUTION than any one government agency can control! :-)

You really CAN'T bother to consider the hobby for anyone else but yourself, can you?

Hmmmm...a matched pair, those two...role-models for the U.S. amateur extra class.

Say "Hi" to Dave S. next meeting you attend in the "Residence Radio Club" he is the trustee for...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

716. Re: The toads hit counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 09:02:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115888562.772657.65110@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107999

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > Every picture of a female family member was taken with at
> > least one of them with their mouth in gear...this is obviously
Todd's
> > only way to get a word in without sputtering "But Auntie...but
> > Auntie...but Auntie..."
>
> Why is Steve so fixated on "female family members" of other rrap'ers?

I'm not. Take a look at the pics...You'll understand why.

> A member of my family called his attempts at familiarity "creepy".

I imagine that there's a lot creepy in your household, Hans.

Steve, K4YZ

717. Re: Anti-Baxter Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 10:55:41 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@supnetcastershow.net>
Message-ID: <d5vcjv$nt9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107900

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:BPAge.1630$OU1.1411@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote
>
>>I was combing through my Bookmarks here on my internet browser and I
>> came across K1MAN biggest fan Baxter Buster website and notice that no
>> one has left a comment on his website. I was just wondering were all
>> the Anti-K1MAN people are?? It really a shame that this BIG K1MAN fan
>> put all that work and research into that "website" and no one is even
>> commenting on it. I was hoping to read all the ANTI-K1MAN crap and
>> propaganda that morons put on it.
>
> Yawn.
>
> K1MAN is old news, and not on anyones radar anymore. He'll disappear when
> his license renewal application is denied this fall.
>
> Yawn.
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>

It will be grand entertainment this Fall, watching all the Baxter haters having apoplectic fits of rage, as Baxter's license is routinely renewed.

73,

Lloyd

718. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 12:05:54 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d5vgr2gdq1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 107901

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>
>
>>>last available data he has is from August *1996* as reported in the
>>>February 1997 issue of QST.
>>>
>>>Extras 38,852
>>>Advanced 39,430
>>>General 25,245
>>>Tech Plus 22,634
>>>Tech 24,021
>>>Novice 2,627
>>>
>>>Total members Aug. 1996 = 152,809
>>>
>>>If you have a problem with this don't bore me with it, take it up
>>> with Sumner.
>>
>> From the ARRL Annual Report for 1996 source
>>
>>http://www.arrl.org/announce/annualreport/
>>
>>On page 5, they announce the numbers:
>>175,023 members
>>
>>The following year was the year that the ARRL experienced its all
>>time peak membership:
>>
>> 177,396.
>>
>>
>> So whether I'm boring you or not, you were the one bragging about
>
> your
>
>>smarts in going to "the source".
>
>
> 'Scuse me?? Where, exactly, did I brag about any of it? I simply fired
> off another request for some info to a League management type and

> Sumner responded as usual. Which is typical of the sorts of things he
> and the rest of the folk at HQ get paid to do. I've done it any number
> of times in the past and I expect I'll do it many more times in the
> future. This is "bragging" on my part??

You wrote:

>>>* Nah, no applause Sweetums, it's just and old engineer's trick which
>>>* apparently isn't used much these days. "If you don't have the info
>>>* simply get off yer butt and ASK somebody who DOES have info."

You don't think that is sarcastic and bragging about how you were
astute enough to do a simple task that apparently is little used?

>>I went to a source too. Mine aren't
>>broken down by class, but you would have to admit that 22,214 is a
>>significant difference when the total numbers are compared.
>
>
> Uh-huh. As if an 11% discrepancy in some arcane data in a hobby NG
> actually matters.

If you read the reports, it doesn't appear that ARRL thinks the
membership numbers are arcane.

They are *very* much concerned about the membership drop. It isn't too
hard to figure out what happens to an organization that loses 13% of its
members in 6 years (1997-2003)

>
>
>>One of us is wrong with the numbers.
>
>
> Makes no sense.
>
>
>>Maybe your source made a mistake?
>>Or maybe *all* those annual reports were wrong. Which do you think
>>more likely?
>
>
> I don't "think about" such things Michael, I don't take offhand
> potshots

What offhand potshot? Is reporting a different result a potshot?

> at whether or not a specfic dataset is right or wrong and
> neither do the rest of us who are expected to responsibly process data
> and crunch numbers.

Do people who responsibly process data (as opposed to say me?...)
happily process data that is wrong?

> We chase down the data to it's source and
> straighten out discrepancies by the numbers.

Cool. I don't feel much need to chase my numbers down much further, as the annual reports, while not unimpeachable, are an audited instrument. Bad membership figures in an annual report would be bad indeed.

> Yeah, I know. "Not your
> field". Obviously.

I don't understand this at all. Are you arguing from authority?

> Not my problem. His e-mail address is

No thanks.

I don't know why you're worked up about this. Show me the location of my rudeness and "offhand potshot" behavior, and I'll be happy to apologise here in the group.

- Mike KB3EIA -

719. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 12:44:02 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <0c2dnXY4f4gR5x7fRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107915

ARRL Idiots wrote:
> ARRL blunders have added up over the years, and many
> ARRL blunders were the result of their superior-than-thou
> attitude toward their members. It is no surprise the
> pigeons are now coming home to roost.
>
>
> One example. In the mid 80s, ARRL members in Hawaii
> requested the ARRL to bulk air mail QST to Hawaii to avoid
> the three month delays in receiving QST. Virtually all
> magazine publishers bulk air mail their publications to Hawaii,
> but not the ARRL. Their attitude was To Hell With their
> Hawaii members. Hawaii ARRL members responded by canceling
> their ARRL membership. Cost to the ARRL would have been
> pennies, instead the ARRL permanently lost members, and those
> former members continue to curse the ARRL in Hawaii to this
> day.
>
>
>

And your source for this information is?

720. Re: N9OGL SHOW ON TONIGHT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 12:57:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115902675.043580.279300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107902

N9OGL wrote:
> N9OGL SHOW ON LATER TONIGHT
>
> THE N9OGL SHOW will be aired tonight after I get done with my final
> exam. it will be around 8.00 -9.00pm CST on 20 METERS.

Wow...Maybe he'll be on the air, but maybe he'll be a bit late,
but possibly he'll be late unless he's early in which case he might not
be on at all.....

What an id-jit.

Steve, K4YZ

721. Re: Toads illegal model airplane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 13:01:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115902867.406970.72440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107903

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > Actually, it's an ultralight...Or it WAS an ultralight until your
> > illegal modification with a 10 gallon tank which invalidated it's
> > blanket coverage under Part 103 of the FAA's rules and regulations.
>
> "your rantings have nothing to do with Amateur Radio" -- K4YZ

I wonder why it is that YOU are the only one who can jerk Lennie's
chain about his "redirections", but when I do it it's subject for
ridcule, Hans...

Could it be a bit of that two-facededness coming out again...???

I bet it is!

Steve, K4YZ

722. Re: Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 13:06:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8xIge.5472$r7.1872@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107904

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> I imagine that your sides hurt from having too
> much "sides" to carry around.

My doctor says my weight is ideal. Works for me!

http://www.walleyecentral.com/photopost/showphoto.php?photo=2126

http://www.walleyecentral.com/photopost/showphoto.php?photo=2125

Sunuvagun!

de Hans, K0HB

723. Re: Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 13:10:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TAIge.62$DK.6@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107905

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> I imagine that your sides hurt from having too
> much "sides" to carry around.

Can't sustain your position on the merits of the facts so you revert to personalization, and untruthful personalization at that.

Sunuvagun!

de Hans, K0HB

724. Re: Secret Nazi Saggytits Lee, his obese mommy Terry uses roll-on deoderant for lipstick!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 13:14:37 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200505.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <b5ca13a76a0379880393cf56738a278c@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 107907

In article <d5tc3o$l35$0@pita.alt.net>
"Roger's BitchBOY Davies" <o...@rogersownertrash.com> wrote:

> Hey S

Hey, Porky, tell us all why you are up at 4 AM whining about
Roger Wiseman on a dail basis!

Porky Davies gives us his choice of what he likes to look at all
day in
http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=19416:
"I can look at mens dicks all day"

Lardass Davies confesses he goes around looking at men's dicks in
http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=19427:
"I have seen plenty of dicks before"

Not to mention how Porky Davies has to beg his parents for money
for a new used shitbox when his shitbox Ford bit the dirt:

Lardass" shitbox dies and he has to beg for money to get another
shitbox in
http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=20268:
"My mom and dad may buy me a new car. I can't deliver pizzas
tomoorw because they engine starting smoking. Damn this sucks"

725. Re: N9OGL SHOW ON TONIGHT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 13:18:19 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <toKdnbmqKJ4Dyh7fRVn-sQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107906

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>N9OGL SHOW ON LATER TONIGHT
>>
>>THE N9OGL SHOW will be aired tonight after I get done with my final
>>exam. it will be around 8.00 -9.00pm CST on 20 METERS.
>
>
> Wow...Maybe he'll be on the air, but maybe he'll be a bit late,
> but possibly he'll be late unless he's early in which case he might not
> be on at all.....
>
> What an id-jit.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

He's smarter then we think! Darn it toad, how did you know if you don't say what time or what frequency and you only run 5 watts on a noisy band with not much propagation at night, I can't interfere with you if I can't hear you! Maybe The front ends of my radios are blown from transmitting with both at the same time?

726. Technical Question for toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 13:28:09 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <QtWdnYFtYK5xxB7fRVn-2Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107908

I readed about 1 off your invetion and whated to tri it myself.
To convert the 12 voltag dc vacume moter to 110 ac voltag I understand
frum your writting that I need a big carbun resister Will a peace of
oak charchel about 2 feet long ans 5 inch long be sufishant?
gud lick in the contest you #### ### a hole :)

727. Re: Secret Nazi Saggytits Lee, his obese mommy Terry uses roll-on deoderant for lipstick!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 13:51:40 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <four.foot.two.smallguy@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 107909

On Wed, 11 May 2005 12:34:49 -0400, Roger's Owner wrote:
:
: Hey Stagger,
:
: Looks like Roger can't get you out of his head.
: ROTFLMAO!

Oh my. At first I didn't notice the post. Woger is so short that his posts are easily missed. As I recall, you can find Woger's height by taking the square root of two. That turns out to be Woger's height in meters.

I wonder if Woger has finally found out what the square root of two has to do with r.m.s. voltage and current calculations?

==========
"The good Christian should beware of mathematicians and all those who make empty prophecies. The danger already exists that mathematicians have made a covenant with the devil to darken the spirit and confine man in the bonds of Hell."
-- St. Augustine

728. Re: Secret Nazi Saggytits Lee, his obese mommy Terry uses roll-on deoderant for lipstick!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 13:55:39 UTC
From: Roger's Owner <owner@wogieownersecwet.org>
Message-ID: <d5vn5kqks0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107910

"Fritz Wuehler" <fritz@spamexpire-200505.rodent.frell.theremailer.net> wrote
in message
news:b5ca13a76a0379880393cf56738a278c@msgid.frell.theremailer.net...
> In article <d5tc3o$l35$0@pita.alt.net>
> "Roger's BitchBOY Davies" <o...@rogersownertrash.com> wrote:
>
>> Hey S
>
> Hey, Porky, tell us all why you are up at 4 AM whining about
> Roger Wiseman on a dail basis!
>
> Porky Davies gives us his choice of what he likes to look at all
> day in
> http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=19416:
> "I can look at mens dicks all day"
>
> Lardass Davies confesses he goes around looking at men's dicks in
> http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=19427:
> "I have seen plenty of dicks before"

Your filth-spewing skills are not what they used to be Roger.

>
> Not to mention how Porky Davies has to beg his parents for money
> for a new used shitbox when his shitbox Ford bit the dirt:
>
> Lardass" shitbox dies and he has to beg for money to get another
> shitbox in
> http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=20268:
> "My mom and dad may buy me a new car. I can't deliver pizzas
> tomoorw because they engine starting smoking. Damn this sucks"
>

Obviously, Roger, you are quite blind with envy now that Lloyd has
unlimited use of a company vehicle, since he was promoted to
Asst. District Manager.

729. Re: Anti-Baxter Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 14:10:56 UTC
From: hamsandwich@myway.com
Message-ID: <1115907056.462406.218310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107911

Those who know will not say, and those who say, do not know.

By the way, love your website!

http://www.usenet-replayer.com/groups/alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman.html

Roger wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:BPAge.1630$OU1.1411@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote
> >
> >>I was combing through my Bookmarks here on my internet browser and
I
> >> came across K1MAN biggest fan Baxter Buster website and notice
that no
> >> one has left a comment on his website. I was just wondering were
all
> >> the Anti-K1MAN people are?? It really a shame that this BIG K1MAN
fan
> >> put all that work and research into that "website" and no one is
even
> >> commenting on it. I was hoping to read all the ANTI-K1MAN crap and
> >> propaganda that morons put on it.
> >
> > Yawn.
> >
> > K1MAN is old news, and not on anyones radar anymore. He'll
disappear when
> > his license renewal application is denied this fall.
> >
> > Yawn.
> >

> > de Hans, K0HB
> >
>
>
> It will be grand entertainment this Fall, watching all the Baxter
haters
> having apoplectic fits of rage, as Baxter's license is routinely
> renewed.
>
> 73,
>

> Roger

730. Re: Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 14:34:34 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d5vphprmg1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 107912

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>
>> I imagine that your sides hurt from having too
>>much "sides" to carry around.
>
>
> My doctor says my weight is ideal. Works for me!
>
> http://www.walleyecentral.com/photopost/showphoto.php?photo=2126
>
> http://www.walleyecentral.com/photopost/showphoto.php?photo=2125

Who's the guy holding ya, Hans? <big grin>

- Mike KB3EIA -

731. Re: Anti-Baxter Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 15:03:15 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <PdudnS-kdcyp7R7fRVn-sA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107913

N9OGL wrote:
> I was combing through my Bookmarks here on my internet browser and I
> came across K1MAN biggest fan Baxter Buster website and notice that no
> one has left a comment on his website. I was just wondering were all
> the Anti-K1MAN people are?? It really a shame that this BIG K1MAN fan
> put all that work and research into that "website" and no one is even
> commenting on it. I was hoping to read all the ANTI-K1MAN crap and
> propaganda that morons put on it.
>
> Todd N9OGL

I'd rather get no replies then the ones you get on your moronic gay site.

732. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 15:15:12 UTC
From: ARRL Idiots <screw@thearrlfools.net>
Message-ID: <d5vrqk$6ot$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107914

ARRL blunders have added up over the years, and many
ARRL blunders were the result of their superior-than-thou
attitude toward their members. It is no surprise the
pigeons are now coming home to roost.

One example. In the mid 80s, ARRL members in Hawaii
requested the ARRL to bulk air mail QST to Hawaii to avoid
the three month delays in receiving QST. Virtually all
magazine publishers bulk air mail their publications to Hawaii,
but not the ARRL. Their attitude was To Hell With their
Hawaii members. Hawaii ARRL members responded by canceling
their ARRL membership. Cost to the ARRL would have been
pennies, instead the ARRL permanently lost members, and those
former members continue to curse the ARRL in Hawaii to this
day.

733. Re: Anti-Baxter Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 16:13:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <lgLge.1551$LO1.242@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107916

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1115867021.626300.111590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I was combing through my Bookmarks here on my internet browser and I
> came across K1MAN biggest fan Baxter Buster website and notice that no
> one has left a comment on his website. I was just wondering were all
> the Anti-K1MAN people are?? It really a shame that this BIG K1MAN fan
> put all that work and research into that "website" and no one is even
> commenting on it. I was hoping to read all the ANTI-K1MAN crap and
> propaganda that morons put on it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
Well Toad, the thing you don't comprehend about Baxter. Is that what can
be said, has been said. He is history, a fly speck on the arse of
society. And any sensible person knows that......now what is YOUR problem?

Dan/W4NTI

734. Re: Real tecnichal experts dont become hams so FCC regs mean nothing big

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 16:13:34 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d60afnmoe3@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 107936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Mike Speed" <mike8s2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1115764331.520348.282140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > NOWDAYS YOU HAVE TO GET A COLLEGE DIGREE BEFORE YOU CAN EVEN START TO
> > USE THINGS LIKE CHIP FAPRICATORS
>
> Typing in all caps is considered shouting.
>
> I used to work in a chip fabrication plant and I didn't have a degree.
>
> > OR RADIO TELESCOPES AND SO ALL HAMS
>
> I take extreme exception to that. We may not have 100 foot dishes,
> but I routinely measured 1.5 db noise (at 432) on the crab nebula to
> test
> my array - sounds a little like radio astronomy to me. Amateurs
> have also produced "detailed" all-sky maps at certain frequencies
> and have had them published in technical journals.
>
> > IS ARE CONSUMERS NOT LEDERS OF THINGS. THAT IS WHY THEY NEED
> BULETINS
> > WHAT REGULAR KEEP THEM UP TO WHAT THE REAL EXPERTS DOING.
>
> "Real Experts?" How many radio innovations used today are as
> a direct result of technical interest and furthering of the radio art
> produced by these "amateurs".
>
> >
> > THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO AT http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com CAN HELP
> > OUT WHEN YOU NEED TO KNOW IMPORTUNT STUFF. EVEN BETTER WILL BE THE
> > BRODCAST STARTING WEEKEND NOW. LOOK FOR IT UNLESS YOU PUSSY LIKE
> K4YZ
> > AND TO SCARED TO LET OTHER PEOPLE DO WHAT THEY WANT UNDER FREEDOM OF
> > SPEECH. KC8GXW IS NO MENTION. P
>

Loudmouth dumbo Mr. Nescio doesn't seem to know that radio astronomy was
invented by a ham.
IIRC hams were also involved in the development of SSB and FM too.

73 Roger ZR3RC

735. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 16:56:01 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.igdqpd5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 107917

On Thu, 12 May 2005 08:44:02 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

>> One example. In the mid 80s, ARRL members in Hawaii
>> requested the ARRL to bulk air mail QST to Hawaii to avoid
>> the three month delays in receiving QST. Virtually all
>> magazine publishers bulk air mail their publications to Hawaii,
>> but not the ARRL. Their attitude was To Hell With their
>> Hawaii members. Hawaii ARRL members responded by canceling
>> their ARRL membership. Cost to the ARRL would have been
>> pennies, instead the ARRL permanently lost members, and those
>> former members continue to curse the ARRL in Hawaii to this
>> day.
>>
>And your source for this information is?

Isn't it great that half-truths gets posted every day.

I (as well as others) were on the ARRL's Pacific Division committee
that looked into this. The problem was that CERTAIN Pacific Section
(Hawaii) members wanted their issues sent either by first-class mail
from the stsateside printing plant or alternatively bulk-mail from
the same source. In either case, they did not want to pay the
additional costs. "Pennies" it wasn't. By that time most magazines
were being printed on the Island but the very small circulation of
QST there didn't make that economical either. Bulk mail would have
required additonal sorting in Honolulu which was an additional
charge over and above the shipment.

The best recommendation was the bulk shipment with the members
paying the "offshore" rate to cover the additonal cost. This didn't
sit too well, and lots of "Hawaii IS in the United States" shouts
were heard. In the end, the members affected were given the choice
of status quo (surface mail at "basic" rate) or first-class airmail
delivery paying the extra charge. Some picked one, others picked
the other. Still others used that as an excuse to not pay ARRL dues
but still benefit from the regulatory work that the League did and
still does on behalf of all radio amateurs, members or not.

Case closed.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

736. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 17:45:06 UTC
From: ARRL Idiots <screw@thearrlfools.net>
Message-ID: <d604ji$pu0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107918

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.igdqpd5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Thu, 12 May 2005 08:44:02 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>> One example. In the mid 80s, ARRL members in Hawaii
>>> requested the ARRL to bulk air mail QST to Hawaii to avoid
>>> the three month delays in receiving QST. Virtually all
>>> magazine publishers bulk air mail their publications to Hawaii,
>>> but not the ARRL. Their attitude was To Hell With their
>>> Hawaii members. Hawaii ARRL members responded by canceling
>>> their ARRL membership. Cost to the ARRL would have been
>>> pennies, instead the ARRL permanently lost members, and those
>>> former members continue to curse the ARRL in Hawaii to this
>>> day.
>>>
>>And your source for this information is?
>
> Isn't it great that half-truths gets posted every day.
>
> I (as well as others) were on the ARRL's Pacific Division committee
> that looked into this. The problem was that CERTAIN Pacific Section
> (Hawaii) members wanted their issues sent either by first-class mail
> from the ststeside printing plant or alternatively bulk-mail from
> the same source. In either case, they did not want to pay the
> additional costs. "Pennies" it wasn't. By that time most magazines
> were being printed on the Island but the very small circulation of
> QST there didn't make that economical either. Bulk mail would have
> required additonal sorting in Honolulu which was an additional
> charge over and above the shipment.
>
> The best recommendation was the bulk shipment with the members
> paying the "offshore" rate to cover the additonal cost. This didn't
> sit too well, and lots of "Hawaii IS in the United States" shouts
> were heard. In the end, the members affected were given the choice
> of status quo (surface mail at "basic" rate) or first-class airmail
> delivery paying the extra charge. Some picked one, others picked
> the other. Still others used that as an excuse to not pay ARRL dues
> but still benefit from the regulatory work that the League did and
> still does on behalf of all radio amateurs, members or not.
>
> Case closed.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

>

BULLSHIT! The ARRL did not want to even discuss the
matter. The ARRL's "solution" was to tell individual members
to pay for air mail delivery.

CASE CLOSE (now)

and nobody benefited from any "regulatory" work
more BULLSHIT!

Results speak loudest. The league is an organization which is
rapidly fading into history, due to the very attitude you display
here. Let me spell it out for you:

A R R O G A N C E

CASE CLOSED

737. ARRL Dues Notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 17:58:27 UTC
From: ARRL Idiots <screw@thearrlfools.net>
Message-ID: <d605cb$r4s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107919

Hey SUCKERS!

Time for all you fools to once again send your sucker dues to ARRL in Newington.

The Big Do Nothing ARRL is losing membership every day, so don't delay, send your sucker dues to ARRL Newington.

Hurry Hurry Hurry

738. Re: Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 18:20:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115922022.796686.89400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107920

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > I imagine that your sides hurt from having too
> > much "sides" to carry around.
>
> Can't sustain your position on the merits of the facts so you revert
to
> personalization, and untruthful personalization at that.

Untruthful as in your opinion.

Truthful as in according to the pictures that you recently posted,
you have too much 'sides'...

And since when are YOU concerned about "...the merit of the
facts...", Hans...???

YOU are JUST as quick to slide in a personal poke as anyone in
here, so don't even TRY to 'look innocent' !

Steve, K4YZ

739. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 18:22:22 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <pth@hamfruitsnutsdx.net>
Message-ID: <d606pd$tj4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107921

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.igdqpd5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>
> Isn't it great that half-truths gets posted every day.
>
> I (as well as others) were on the ARRL's
//remaining drivel flushed//

You show much aggression.
That is no way to win members for an organization.
A contrite approach would be more effective.

Dr Hambone

740. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 18:38:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115923092.156318.163390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107922

ARRL Idiots wrote:
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.igdqpd5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> > On Thu, 12 May 2005 08:44:02 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>> One example. In the mid 80s, ARRL members in Hawaii
> >>> requested the ARRL to bulk air mail QST to Hawaii to avoid
> >>> the three month delays in receiving QST. Virtually all
> >>> magazine publishers bulk air mail their publications to Hawaii,
> >>> but not the ARRL. Their attitude was To Hell With their
> >>> Hawaii members. Hawaii ARRL members responded by canceling
> >>> their ARRL membership. Cost to the ARRL would have been
> >>> pennies, instead the ARRL permanently lost members, and those
> >>> former members continue to curse the ARRL in Hawaii to this
> >>> day.
> >>>
> >>And your source for this information is?
> >
> > Isn't it great that half-truths gets posted every day.
> >
> > I (as well as others) were on the ARRL's Pacific Division
committee
> > that looked into this. The problem was that CERTAIN Pacific
Section
> > (Hawaii) members wanted their issues sent either by first-class
mail
> > from the ststeside printing plant or alternatively bulk-mail from
> > the same source. In either case, they did not want to pay the
> > additional costs. "Pennies" it wasn't. By that time most
magazines
> > were being printed on the Island but the very small circulation of
> > QST there didn't make that economical either. Bulk mail would
have
> > required additonal sorting in Honolulu which was an additional
> > charge over and above the shipment.
> >
> > The best recommendation was the bulk shipment with the members
> > paying the "offshore" rate to cover the additonal cost. This
didn't
> > sit too well, and lots of "Hawaii IS in the United States" shouts
> > were heard. In the end, the members affected were given the
choice
> > of status quo (surface mail at "basic" rate) or first-class
airmail

> > delivery paying the extra charge. Some picked one, others picked
> > the other. Still others used that as an excuse to not pay ARRL
dues
> > but still benefit from the regulatory work that the League did and
> > still does on behalf of all radio amateurs, members or not.
> >
> > Case closed.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
>
>
> BULL#####! The ARRL did not want to even discuss the
> matter. The ARRL's "solution" was to tell individual members
> to pay for air mail delivery.

Methinks you a liar, Anonymous Coward.

> CASE CLOSE (now)

Guess not, since you're here discussing it. And if you mean "in
this forum", you don't have the power to "close" anything except your
mind.

> and nobody benefited from any "regulatory" work
> more BULL#####!

And you demonstrate your I G N O R A N C E.

> Results speak loudest. The league is an organization which is
> rapidly fading into history, due to the very attitude you display
> here. Let me spell it out for you:
>
> A R R O G A N C E
>
> CASE CLOSED

The A R R O G A N C E here is that you think you have the power
to "close" anything other than your mind. And the ARRL is "rapidly
fading" anywhere....

Steve, K4YZ

741. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 18:41:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115923309.940090.158400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107923

N9OGL wrote:
> No true, It has been on at lease six times.
> I've been busy the majority of the time with school.

Six times too many.

And I guess the short yellow bus is a bit too slow to get you home
by sunset, eh, Todd...???

Steve, K4YZ

742. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:03:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115924624.711296.96850@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107924

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sun,May 8 2005 11:15 am
>
> >K□B wrote:
> >> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
> >>
> >> > ARRL membership as of 31 December 2004 was 151,727.
> >> > The "individuals who are ARRL members" is given
> >> > as 138,127. Obvious discrepancy there. ARRL
> >> > does not clarify what seems to be a glaring error
> >> > in arithmetic...
> >>
> >> No "discrepancy" exits; no "glaring error in arithmetic" exists.
> >>
> >> QST circulation numbers will always be lower than ARRL membership
> numbers
> >> because multi-member households recieve a single copy of QST.
> >>
> >> Sunuvagun!
> >
> >QST circulation numbers will always be higher than ARRL membership
> >numbers because of library subscriptions and news stand sales.
>
> To quote from the ARRL's own page in regards to the
> "Publisher's Sworn Circulation Statement" on web page
> www.arrl.org/ads/circ.html -
>
> 1. Average monthly paid circulation by type:
> Association, individuals who are ARRL members 138,137
> Subscribers, institutions such as libraries, etc. 816
> Net single copy sales, radio stores, etc. 1,481
> *includes 20,233 Life Members 140,434
>
> Hans wants to escalate things to a Battle Royal when he feels
> anyone has "wronged" the blessed ARRL...other than him. :-)
>
> The total of Subscribers, institutions and net single copy
> sales is 2,297. That corresponds to only 1.64% of the total
> of 140,434. The total of 20,233 Life Members is far above
> that. More importantly, there's NO statement on how many
> households have more than one ARRL member so it is difficult
> to quantify Hans' CLAIM of "wrongness." Hans wants himself
> free of challenge.

>
> Now, if Hans says "no discrepancy exists" or "no glaring
> error in arithmetic exists," then IT DOESN'T EXIST!!!
>
> Further, if Hans says you are "completely wrong," then you
> ARE WRONG!!!
>
> Them's the Laws in this here "Everyone Loves da ARRL"
> newsgroup. Hans has spoken. Therefore it is SO. :-)
>
> Reality is different. But, reality doesn't exist in here.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Circulation numbers >are< higher than membership numbers as shown above.

bb

743. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:06:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115924764.319339.130600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107925

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1115780646.423750.180180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Hey Dan i'll be happy to sing for you good ol' boys how about a
round
> > of yankee Doodle.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
> Sounds good Toad, or better yet, sing Dixie. It was written by a
yank ya
> know.

Hey Dan...I bet Toiddie hasn't strapped on that illegal ultralight ever since I pointed out that TEAM HiMAX is made in Tennessee...That had to chap his hide!

Nickle says he won't tell us about his "station" 'cuz it's probably Ten-Tec, made in...A-yup...T E N N E S S E E ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

744. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:09:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115924966.639610.293240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107926

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "bb" on Sun,May 8 2005 11:15 am
>
> > Reality is different. But, reality doesn't exist in here.
>
> Sure it does. we just can't get you and Brainless to come
around
> to it.
>
> But we keep trying...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Come around to accepting your falsehoods? Not likely.

745. N9OGL To Introduce FCC Thwarting Technology To His Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:11:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115925078.233774.187900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107927

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48696&item=5774148855&rd=1

Think it will work...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

746. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:12:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115925133.795953.172720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107928

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "bb" on Wed,May 4 2005 4:13 pm
> >
> >
> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>From: "K0HB" on Tues,May 3 2005 5:59 pm
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> >>Yup, everyone just got through saying that there's a problem
> >>
> > attracting
> >>
> >>Technicians to the organization. No one seems to be able to put
their
> >>finger on exactly why, only because they reject the -correct-
answer
> >>(reminds me of the OJ case). And they still wring their hands and
> >>
> > bite
> >>
> >>their knuckles and ask, "Why?"
> >>
> >>
> > It's awful. Those olde-tymers just CAN'T understand
> > why all the newcomers DON'T worship the olde-tymers'
> > ideals of long ago.
> >>
> > Hell, I'm OLDER than most of them and I STARTED on
> > HF...but NOT doing a bit of "CW." :-)
>
> Then again, you still aren't a ham. :-)
>
> Dave K8MN

And he still hasn't worked any out-of-band Frenchmen. You're way ahead
on that one.

bb

747. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:12:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115925163.800352.156070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107929

>Stebie said
>Six times too many.
>Steve, K4YZ

Ohh, what are you going to do about it??? nothing, except whine about
it on here.
Correction seven times counting last night transmission

Todd N9OGL

748. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:14:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115925255.364070.312890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107930

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: "bb" on Sun,May 8 2005 11:15 am
> > >
> > > > Reality is different. But, reality doesn't exist in here.
> > >
> > > Sure it does. we just can't get you and Brainless to come
> around
> > > to it.
> > >
> > > But we keep trying...
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> Come around to accepting your falsehoods? Not likely.

What "faslehoods"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

749. Re: Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:14:48 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115925288.302799.140690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107931

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > I imagine that your sides hurt from having too
> > much "sides" to carry around.
>
> My doctor says my weight is ideal. Works for me!
>
> http://www.walleyecentral.com/photopost/showphoto.php?photo=2126
>
> http://www.walleyecentral.com/photopost/showphoto.php?photo=2125
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> de Hans, K0HB

What taxidermist did you get those from?

Congrats on the great catches!

750. Re: N9OGL To Introduce FCC Thwarting Technology To His Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:15:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115925329.212875.294190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107932

Why would I need it?? I'm not doing anything illegal. it just like you
Steve to look in the CB section of Egay.

Todd N9OGL

751. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:16:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115925413.292991.299360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107933

N9OGL wrote:
> >Stebie said
> >Six times too many.
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> Ohh, what are you going to do about it???

It's not what >>I<< am going to do about it.

> nothing, except whine about it on here.

You're the one with the whining problem, Todd.

> Correction seven times counting last night transmission

"Last night transmission" being the start of the 24/7 broadcasts
that you've been insisting that are just around the corner...???

Steve, K4YZ

752. Re: N9OGL To Introduce FCC Thwarting Technology To His Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:18:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115925527.891212.293890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107934

N9OGL wrote:
> Why would I need it?? I'm not doing anything illegal. it just like
you
> Steve to look in the CB section of Egay.

You WILL need it, eventually, Todd...

Steve, K4YZ

753. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:22:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115925770.238261.250810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107935

>STEBIE SAID
> Hey Dan...I bet Toiddie hasn't strapped on that illegal ultralight
>ever since I pointed out that TEAM HiMAX is made in Tennessee...That
>had to chap his hide!

Not anymore, they went bankrupt a few years back and was bought by a
company I believe in Minnesota. You know it's been kind of nice out
this week I think I'll go up since I have no more school.

Todd N9OGL

754. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:38:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115926689.545521.235010@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107937

>STEEVEEE SAID
> "Last night transmission" being the start of the 24/7 broadcasts
>that you've been insisting that are just around the corner...???

No, I've deiced not to run 24 hours because it would be a burden for
one person to do for the time being. I am however going to extended it
on HF from one hour to three hours. But that's not to say I will be
going 24 hours in the future.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
"THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO"

755. Re: Where's Brian P Burke's Picture? He Criticizes Others...Let's See His...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 19:38:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115926712.220996.90730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107938

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Lessee...Lennie's a member of nothing, and Brain alleges to
> lead
> > > some cub scouts around from time to time.
> >
> > Len is a member if IEEE, you are not.
>
> True.
>
> He's a "life member" in an organization that he had to buy his
way
> into.

You do not pay dues to any organizations? the ARRL?

IEEE does not, to the best of my knowledge, participate in any
> public service, emergency service or other community-based programs.

It is not that kind of an organization.

> Do they even do any philanthropic work? Scholarships? Even the ARRL
> and CAP have money for kids to go on to advanced education
with....What
> does the IEEE offer kids...???

Don't know. I'm not a member. Are you?

Let me point you to where you can find answers to your questions:

ieee.org

> > > > > Stebie did NOT explain what the "A" stood for in
> > > > > "ANOIC." That's an unusual acronym and not
> > > > > familiar.
> > > >
> > > > ###hole?
> > >
> > > Please, please Todd...I mean Brain...your IQ is showing...
> >
> > I thought it was a pretty good guess.
>
> And as I said...Your IQ is showing.

I thought it was a pretty good guess.

So what does the "A" stand for?

> > > Make no mistake about it...I know EXACTLY who you needed
> > > authorization for your "Somalia" operation...And there was not a
> > single
> > > one of the officers in your unit who had that authority.
> >
> > Silly Gunny, I had a Commander. Unlike your claimed "ANCOIC"
stint,
> we
> > had leadership.
>
> Obviously not.
>
> You allege that one of them "gave (you) permission" to operate
> your Amateur radio station when he did not, in fact, have that
> authority to do so. Some "leadership".
>
> I am sure he is grateful that if you were too stupid to keep
your
> mouth shut about operating from there, you've at least not
embarrassed
> him (her?) by dropping his name thereby exposing him (her?) for being
> an idiot too....
>
> > > You refusal to answer the questions I put to you in response
> to
> > > assertions YOU make is all I need...It's all ANYone following any
> of
> > > this needs.
> >
> > Silly Gunny, your refusal to post authentic documentation in
response
> > to your silly assertions...
>
> Look, Brain...
>
> Just admit that you don't have the guts to ask the Marine Corps
> what my official duties were during the periods I gave you.
>
> > > For two Veterans who allege patriotic service, both of you
> seem
> > > to be embarrased or ashamed of it.
> >
> > Embarassed by you desperately trying to get back in to a uniform,
any
> > uniform (even a uniform that doesn't fit).
>
> All of my uniforms fir just fine, thank-you. (So says the USAF
> Liasion Officers in front of whom I just stood a SAREX eval...)
>

> Nice try though.
>
> Since you're challenging me on who looks appropriate in
uniforms,
> let's see you post a picture of you in your "Official" Cub scout
> leader's uniform, Brain...
>
> You've lied about me being "flabby" despite evidence to the
> contrary...Let's see a pic of YOU on line in your Official U. S. Cub
> Scout Leader Uniform, complete with den numbers, council patch and
> WEBLOS pin...

Please understand, Silly Gunny, that the Cubmaster doesn't wear a den
number nor any WEBELOS pins.

Your pretense at knowledge of scouting is pathetic.

> I wonder, by the way, what the Scouts would have to say about
one
> of their "leaders" who publically lies and uses the kind of language
> you use in this public forum, Brain...?!?!
>
> Or is reality a bit too challenging for you?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Please withdraw your QRZ photograph. You're an embarassment.

756. Re: ARRL Dues Notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 20:05:11 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ReWdnf88Hv2XTh7fRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107945

ARRL Idiots wrote:
> Hey SUCKERS!
>
> Time for all you fools to once again send your sucker
> dues to ARRL in Newington.
>
> The Big Do Nothing ARRL is losing membership every
> day, so don't delay, send your sucker dues to ARRL
> Newington.
>
> Hurry Hurry Hurry
>
>
>
>
>

You kin to toddieboy by chance?

757. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 20:05:24 UTC
From: rrap Clean Up Crew <al@nonealtfcnet.org>
Message-ID: <d60cqf$84l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107939

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115924764.319339.130600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Hey Dan...
//K4YZ toilet bowl contents flushed//

73,

rrap clean up crew

758. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 20:06:34 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ReWdnf48Hv34Th7fRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107946

ARRL Idiots wrote:
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.igdqpd5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>
>>On Thu, 12 May 2005 08:44:02 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>One example. In the mid 80s, ARRL members in Hawaii
>>>>requested the ARRL to bulk air mail QST to Hawaii to avoid
>>>>the three month delays in receiving QST. Virtually all
>>>>magazine publishers bulk air mail their publications to Hawaii,
>>>>but not the ARRL. Their attitude was To Hell With their
>>>>Hawaii members. Hawaii ARRL members responded by canceling
>>>>their ARRL membership. Cost to the ARRL would have been
>>>>pennies, instead the ARRL permanently lost members, and those
>>>>former members continue to curse the ARRL in Hawaii to this
>>>>day.
>>>>
>>>
>>>And your source for this information is?
>>
>> Isn't it great that half-truths gets posted every day.
>>
>> I (as well as others) were on the ARRL's Pacific Division committee
>> that looked into this. The problem was that CERTAIN Pacific Section
>> (Hawaii) members wanted their issues sent either by first-class mail
>> from the ststeside printing plant or alternatively bulk-mail from
>> the same source. In either case, they did not want to pay the
>> additional costs. "Pennies" it wasn't. By that time most magazines
>> were being printed on the Island but the very small circulation of
>> QST there didn't make that economical either. Bulk mail would have
>> required additonal sorting in Honolulu which was an additional
>> charge over and above the shipment.
>>
>> The best recommendation was the bulk shipment with the members
>> paying the "offshore" rate to cover the additonal cost. This didn't
>> sit too well, and lots of "Hawaii IS in the United States" shouts
>> were heard. In the end, the members affected were given the choice
>> of status quo (surface mail at "basic" rate) or first-class airmail
>> delivery paying the extra charge. Some picked one, others picked
>> the other. Still others used that as an excuse to not pay ARRL dues
>> but still benefit from the regulatory work that the League did and
>> still does on behalf of all radio amateurs, members or not.
>>

>> Case closed.
>>
>>--
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>
>
>
>
> BULLSHIT! The ARRL did not want to even discuss the
> matter. The ARRL's "solution" was to tell individual members
> to pay for air mail delivery.
>
> CASE CLOSE (now)
>
> and nobody benefited from any "regulatory" work
> more BULLSHIT!
>
> Results speak loudest. The league is an organization which is
> rapidly fading into history, due to the very attitude you display
> here. Let me spell it out for you:
>
> A R R O G A N C E
>
> CASE CLOSED
>
>
>
>
I think you are a good canadiate for an opti-rectomy procedure.

759. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 20:08:41 UTC
From: rrap Clean Up Crew <al@nonealtfcnet.org>
Message-ID: <d60d0i$8dn$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107940

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115923309.940090.158400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
//K4YZ trash flushed//

73,

rrap Clean Up Crew

760. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 20:11:59 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ReWdnfk8Hv0nSR7fRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107949

N9OGL wrote:
>>Stebie said
>>Six times too many.
>
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> Ohh, what are you going to do about it??? nothing, except whine about
> it on here.
> Correction seven times counting last night transmission
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

So, did anyone out there hear toddies broadcast? Anyone?

761. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 20:14:11 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ReWdnfg8Hv3XSB7fRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107947

N9OGL wrote:
>>STEEVEEE SAID
>>"Last night transmission" being the start of the 24/7 broadcasts
>>that you've been insisting that are just around the corner...???
>
>
> No, I've deiced not to run 24 hours because it would be a burden for
> one person to do for the time being. I am however going to extended it
> on HF from one hour to three hours. But that's not to say I will be
> going 24 hours in the future.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> "THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO"
>

Somehow I didn't think that 24/7 crap was going to hold up.

762. Re: N9OGL To Introduce FCC Thwarting Technology To His Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 20:29:22 UTC
From: rrap Clean Up Crew <al@nonealtfcnet.org>
Message-ID: <d60e7a$a93$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107941

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115925527.891212.293890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
//K4YZ trash flushed//

73,

rrap Clean Up Crew

763. Hitler IS innocent of all accusations!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 20:47:21 UTC
From: Italy Anonymous Remailer <anon@italyremaileranon.org>
Message-ID: <d60f9a$c3l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107942
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.libertarian,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The ultimate cause of this terrorism stems from our involvement in
> and
> support of the criminal behavior of Israel.
>
> TERROR IN RESPONSE TO TERROR
>
> The Palestinians and many of their Arab allies have been the target of
> a
> half century of unrelenting Israeli terrorism.
>
> In the late 1940s the Zionists took over Palestine and drove out over
> 600,000 people from their homes through widespread acts of terrorism.
> Among
> those events was the sadistic massacre of 254 Palestinian mostly old
> men,
> women and children at Deir Yassin. After the bloodletting, the Jews
> then
> purposely publicized the event so as to make the people flee in panic
> from
> their homes and businesses from which they still haven't been allowed
> to
> return.
>
> Former Israel Prime Minister, Menachem Begin, boasted of the
> importance of
> the massacre of Deir Yassin in his book The Revolt: The Story of the
> Irgun.
> He wrote that there would not have been a State of Israel without the
> "victory" of Deir Yassin. "The Haganah carried out victorious attacks
> on
> other fronts... In a state of terror, the Arabs fled, crying, 'Deir
> Yassin."
> (1)
>
> Nor did the massacres cease after the establishment of the Jewish
> State;
> they continued in times of both peace and war. Following are the names
> of
> some of them: Sharafat Massacre, Kibya Massacre, Kafr Qasem Massacre,
> Al-Sammou' Massacre, the Sabra And Shatila Massacre, Oyon Qara
> Massacre,
> Al-Aqsa Mosque Massacre, the Ibrahimi Mosque Massacre, the Jabalia

> Massacre.
> (2)
>
> In a policy of ethnic cleansing, Israel continues to keep residents of
> Palestine who were born there and whose families lived there for
> countless
> generations, from returning home. At the same time, it gives generous
> incentives for genetic Jews who never lived in Palestine to immigrate
> from
> the far corners of the world.
>
> The British also suffered greatly from Israeli terror, such as the
> horrendous bombing of the King David Hotel in Jerusalem.
>
> Israeli terror has not stopped since. Israel has more prisoners per
> capita
> than any other nation of the world, more than Stalinist Russia or Red
> China
> during its worst periods. It routinely tortures its Palestinian
> prisoners,
> and is in fact the only nation in the modern world that legally
> sanctions
> torture. In fact, a Jewish human rights group in Israel confirmed in a
> 60-page report that 85% of Palestinian detainees undergo torture while
> in
> custody.(3) Even a major New York Times article by the Jewish Joel
> Greenburg, stated matter-of-factly that Israel tortures 500 to 600
> Palestinians every month.(4)
>
> Israel has targeted and assassinated thousands of Palestinian leaders,
> and
> this includes scholars, clerics, businessmen, philosophers and poets,
> anyone
> who inspires the Palestinian people to patriotism. These
> assassinations have
> occurred all over the world, even in the United States. In the process
> they
> have killed many thousands of women and children. They have even
> repeatedly
> bombed Palestinian refugee camps packed with women and children.
>
> Not only did they establish their Israeli state over Palestinian land,
> (in
> 1948 Palestinians personally owned over 90 percent of it) the Jews
> took
> almost all of the Palestinian personal property: the land, farms,
> homes and
> businesses. After they drove out the Palestinian refugees and refused
> their

> return, they then passed an "abandoned property" law that confiscated
> Palestinian property and gave to the Jews. The law even has the
> chutzpah to
> forbid ever selling any of their stolen land to Palestinians. (5)
>
> In 1982 Israel invaded Lebanon. During their invasion and 18 year
> occupation
> an estimated 40,000 civilians died. Israel relentlessly bombed and
> attacked
> cities and villages and also many hospitals and orphanages (as
> documented by
> the Norwegian Red Cross) and devastated the ancient and once beautiful
> city
> of Beirut.
>
> The current Prime Minister of Israel, Ariel Sharon, doesn't dare even
> step
> foot in Belgium or the Netherlands, fearing an indictment of the World
> Court
> for war crimes. Sharon is responsible for the murder of two thousand
> of
> refugees in the Sabra and Shatila camps in Lebanon.
>
> Israel shot down a Libyan passenger airliner over the Sinai Peninsula
> killing 111 people.
>
> And it is has not just been the Palestinians who have suffered from
> Israeli
> terror.
>
> ZIONIST TERROR AGAINST THE UNITED STATES
>
> Americans have also suffered from Zionist terror. In fact, Israel has
> committed a number of acts of war against the United States.
>
> Israel has a long record of terrorism against the United States going
> all
> the way back to 1954. In that year, the Israel government plotted to
> blow up
> American installations in Cairo and Alexandria and blame it on
> Egyptian
> nationals. By chance the plot failed and was uncovered. It was named
> the
> Lavon Affair after the man who supposedly set up the terrorism, Pinhas
> Lavon, the Israeli Defense Chief. He resigned in 1955 over the
> incident. (6)
>
> In 1967, Israel purposefully attacked with unmarked jet fighters and
> torpedo

> boats, the U.S.S. Liberty, an American Navy vessel of the Sinai
> Peninsula,
> even machine gunning the deployed life rafts of the ship. The attack
> killed
> 31 American servicemen and wounded over 170.(7) They sought to sink
> the
> ship, kill all the Americans and blame it on the Egyptians so they
> could
> have American support to conquer larger areas of the Arab world.
>
> The attack on the Liberty was nothing short of a vicious act of war
> against
> the United States by Israel. In spite of the fact that U.S. Secretary
> of
> State Dean Rusk and navy chief Admiral Moorer said that the attack on
> the
> USS Liberty by Israel was deliberate. The all-powerful Zionist Lobby
> prevented a formal Congressional investigation. If the Lobby can even
> cover
> up horrendous Israeli crimes against America, it's no wonder they can
> cover
> up Israel's endless crimes against the Palestinians. Yet, after the
> Liberty
> attack America didn't even reduce our billions of dollars of aid, in
> fact
> the story quickly vanished from the news after a few short days.
>
> In 1986 Israel actually caused us to wrongly go to war and militarily
> attack
> another nation. The Mossad planted a transmitter in Tripoli, Libya
> and then
> broadcast terrorist messages in Libyan code indicating Libyan
> responsibility
> for killing two Americans in the bombing of the La Belle discoth�que
> in
> Germany.(8) (It was later proven that Libya had nothing to do with
> the
> bombing) By use of this fraud, Israel induced the American bombing of
> Libya.
> American bombs wrecked havoc there. One of those killed was the infant
> daughter of the Libyan President. It is certainly a dastardly act of
> war
> against a nation to induce it into wrongly attacking another nation.
> Only a
> truly evil enemy of America would do such a thing.
>
> Every Palestinian and Arab is aware that Israel's half century of
> terror
> could never have occurred without the active financial, military, and

> diplomatic support of the United States. They know that the Jewish
> Lobby has
> control of American Mideast Policy and the Zionists can get whatever
> they
> want from Congress on issues important to them.
>
> It was American support of Israel's brutal invasion and occupation of
> Lebanon in the 1980s that led directly to the bombing of the U.S.
> Marine
> contingent, killing 300 American young men.
>
> THE INVOLVEMENT OF AMERICAN IN ISRAELI CRIMES
>
> Arabs know that almost every bomb that kills their people comes from
> America, Every bullet, every tank, and every fighter plane, is
> manufactured
> or paid for by American dollars. It is America's billions of dollars
> of
> support that have enabled the Jewish state to terrorize the Arab
> people for
> half a century.
>
> Even though Israel invaded Lebanon and killed thousands of civilians,
> America never threatened to bomb Tel Aviv (as it did Iraq) if Israel
> refused
> to obey UN resolutions to withdraw. A comparison of America's reaction
> to
> Iraq's invasion of Kuwait to that of Israel's invasion of Lebanon is
> instructive.
>
> America's one-sided policy foreign policy can be illustrated by the
> different treatment afforded Israel and Iraq:
>
> 1. Iraq invaded Kuwait. Israel invaded Lebanon.
> 2. Perhaps 3000 Kuwait civilians died in the initial war with Iraq.
> 40,000
> Lebanese
> died from the time of the invasion through the occupation.
> 3. Iraq disobeyed UN resolutions to leave Kuwait. Israel disobeyed UN
> resolutions to
> leave Lebanon. (for 18 years)
> 4. Iraq broke international conventions on chemical, biological and
> nuclear
> weapons.
> Yet Israel is a far greater offender, having one of the greatest
> stores
> of chemical,
> biological and nuclear weapons in the world
> 5. Iraq refused UN inspections. Israel has always refused UN

> inspection.
> 6. For these violations we bombed Iraq. In response to Israel's
> crimes,
> America just
> continued to send more billions of dollars.
>
> American foreign policy was and continues to be Israeli policy. Israel
> was
> not threatened with even a cutoff of U.S. aid as thousands of Lebanese
> civilians died from the Israeli actions. Iraq was once a friend of
> America
> with whom we bought oil and did much business. America actually
> supported
> Saddam Hussein and Iraq's war with Iran. Iraq did nothing against the
> United
> States, but it made the mistake of becoming a strong enemy of Israel.
> So the
> Jewish and the Jewish-controlled gentile bureaucrats, and
> Jewish-dominated
> media quickly made our former friend, Saddam Hussein, into our
> archenemy.
>
> We dropped more explosives on Iraq country in a few weeks than we had
> in the
> whole of the Second World War. We killed hundreds of thousands of
> Iraqis
> including tens of thousands of civilians. Then we engaged in a
> blockade and
> embargo of Iraq that even the anti-Iraq United Nations says led to the
> deaths of at least 1,200,000 children and hundreds of thousands of
> elderly.
> Let those Americans who don't understand the why of this terrorism
> concentrate on this shocking fact. One million, two hundred thousand
> children have died as a direct result of our policy toward Iraq.
>
> The Jewish Lobby and the Jewish-dominated media are very careful not
> to let
> the American people fully understand the real reason for the Iraqi war
> or
> the true issues in the Palestinian question. They really don't want
> Americans to know why so many millions in the Arab world hate us and
> why the
> number grows larger every day.
>
> They don't want us to know the real reasons why Americans are so hated
> --
> because it is the Jewish bosses of American foreign policy who are the
> one's
> responsible for this growing hatred of America. Imagine the anger and

> feelings of despair that would drive men to sacrifice their own lives
> to get
> at us.
>
> The Zionist bosses know that by America's supporting the criminal
> policies
> of Israel spawns hatred against America. They are certainly aware
> that
> bombing and going to war against nations solely for Israel's benefit
> creates
> dedicated and fanatical enemies against America, enemies who will seek
> revenge in American blood.
>
> Of course, they never put America's interests first; their own Jewish
> interests are always paramount. In actuality, they are fully aware
> that the
> brutal and stupid acts of terror that recently happened in New York
> and
> Washington only help the Zionist cause by engendering enormous
> American
> anger at Israeli enemies, and make Americans more easily manipulated
> to do
> the bidding of Israel.
>
> Think about who really gained from this terror. Have the Palestinians
> benefited? This terrorist act destroyed all the progress the
> Palestinians
> have recently made with world opinion. It has blinded the world to
> Israeli
> terrorism. Israel is the only winner in this tragedy. They will now
> have a
> green light to do anything they want against the Palestinians. They
> can kill
> any of their foes whether they are violent or non-violent. They will
> get all
> the money they want from the American people, and no one will be
> thinking
> about their on-going suppression, murder and human rights violations
> against
> the Palestinian people.
>
> No, the Zionists are the only benefactors of the horrendous day of
> terror on
> September 11, 2001. Ironic isn't it, that although Zionist criminal
> actions
> led to this terror, only the Zionists will benefit from it. Of course,
> they
> reason they benefit because the American mass media is completely in
> their

> hands and it will never ask the proper questions of why these
> horrendous
> events are happening. Unfortunately, too few people will ever hear
> voices
> such as mine who dare to challenge the Zionist lies.
>
> That's why we are in this mess, because a foreign power has become the
> most
> powerful lobby in the American government and controls the direction
> of the
> mass communication media in America.
>
> Let me repeat that one more time. The primary reason we are suffering
> from
> terrorism in the United States today is because our government policy
> is
> completely subordinated to a foreign power: Israel and the efforts of
> world-wide Jewish Supremacism.
>
> American Flags are flying everywhere in America in the aftermath of
> the
> attack on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon. That is good, for
> we need
> to be more patriotic. If we were more patriotic for the real interests
> of
> America rather than for Israel, we would not now suffer from
> terrorism.
>
> And truly, what could be more patriotic than wanting our own country
> to be
> led by our own people in the service of America; not a foreign power
> or a
> powerful minority.
>
> Yes, we must fight tooth and nail against any terrorists who attack
> our
> country. But, we must understand why this terror is occurring, and how
> it
> has been spawned. It has occurred because of long-standing treason
> against
> the United States and her people.
>
> WHAT HAS ZIONISM COST THE AMERICAN PEOPLE?
>
> The Jewish Lobby and media power has cost the United States about 6
> billion
> dollars a year in foreign aid and weapons, almost one third of
> America's
> entire foreign aid budget during the last half century and as much

> money
> spent in America's drug war.
>
> It has poisoned our relations with the oil-rich Mideast nations. In
> response
> to our policies, the Arabs came together and developed their "oil
> weapon"
> which has cost Americans at least ten at least ten trillion dollars in
> higher oil costs.
>
> It has alienated the entire Arab world, leading to destruction or
> confiscation of billions of dollars of American property in those
> countries,
> kidnapping of American citizens, and generated enormous hatred for the
> American people.
>
> How has Israel has paid us back for our support? They have
> continually
> spied on us on us (the Jonathan Pollard Case), sold our highest
> secrets
> (such as to the biggest nucleur threat to us currently in the world:
> the
> Communist Chinese) and stolen our enriched uranium for their illegal
> nucleur weapons.
>
> They have launched terrorist attacks against the United States such as
> the
> Lavon Affair and the Attack on the USS Liberty. Both these acts were
> nothing
> short of vicious acts of war against the United States. Providing
> false
> information for America to wrongly go to war against another country
> is also
> nothing less than an Israeli act of war against the United States.
> Yet, in
> response to these despicable actions against America, our Zionist
> controlled
> leaders did not even reduce our billions of dollars of financial and
> military aid to Israel. We give Israel about 6 billion dollars in aid
> each
> year, that is more than all the nations of Sub-Saharan Africa, the
> Caribbean
> and South America.
>
> What is the latest cost of our subservience to the criminal actions of
> Zionism? The latest price we have paid is the horrendous acts of
> terrorism
> on September 11, 2001.
>

> The powerful agents of Israel in American media and government are
> ultimately responsible for this terror against the United States just
> as
> surely as if they themselves had piloted those planes into the World
> Trade
> Center and the Pentagon.
>
> And now they cynically plan to use the terror that they themselves
> created
> to increase the cycle of violence against Israel's enemies. You can be
> sure
> that the Zionist powers plan to do far more than simply punish the
> perpetrators of these events. America will once again be used to
> strike
> against whomever Israel wants.
>
> An indiscriminate or intemperate response from America would
> ultimately
> produce even more hatred against America, and bring more terroristic
> acts
> upon the heads of American people. The increasing cycle of hatred is
> exactly want the Zionists want, for their aim that we will fight
> Israel's
> enemies for them, spilling our blood instead of theirs. They are only
> ones
> who truly benefit from America's pain. Rather than Israel, we will pay
> the
> ultimate price.
>
> Unless we heal the wounds and give America a better course, every new
> missile and bomb we send will come back to us again. Every life we
> take in
> foreign lands will result in more American lives lost here and abroad.
> America will sink more and more into uncertainty and fear.
>
> We must have cool heads now and break this cycle of violence.
>
> Many traitors in our government have supported Zionism's criminal
> activities
> rather than the true interests of the American people. They have
> spawned the
> hatred that drove these terrible acts. Unless their power is broken
> Americans will be haunted by an increasing specter of terrorism.
>
> Let us pray for the American victims of these events and for their
> suffering
> families. Let us swiftly and harshly punish the perpetrators of these
> dastardly acts.
>

> But, even more importantly, let us understand why these events
> occurred and
> how we can heal the hatred against our nation.
>
> Once we understand the reason why, then we will all agree on the sure
> way to
> prevent such terroristic acts in the future.
>
> The solution to this huge problem is extremely obvious and it is very
> simple.
>
> America must heed the farewell address of the Father of our Country
> and
> "avoid foreign entanglements."
>
> And we must always put America and the American People first."
> David Duke
> September 15, 2001
> www.Davidduke.com
>
>
>
> FOOTNOTES
>
> 1. Begin, M. (1964). The Revolt: The Story Of The Irgun. Tel-Aviv:
> Hadar
> Pub. p.162.
> 2. Erlich, G. (1992). Not Only Deir Yassin. Hebrew Daily Ha'ir. May
> 6.
> 3. Sami Sockol, Moshe Reinfeld (1998) May 20. the Israeli daily,
> Ha'aretz
> 4. Joel Greenberg (1993) Israel Rethinks Interrogation of Arabs
> Aug. 14
> New York Times
> 5. Badi, J. (1960). Fundamental Laws Of The State Of Israel. New
> York.
> p.156.
> 6. Bar-Joseph, Uri (1995)Intelligence Intervention in the Politics
> of
> Democratic States: The United States, Israel, and Britain. University
> Park,
> PA: Penn State Press,
> 7. Ennes, J. (1979). Assault On The Liberty. New York: Random
> House.
> 8. Ostrovsky, Victor. (1995) The Other Side of Deception: A Rogue
> Agent
> Exposes the Mossad's
> Secret Agenda. New York: Harper
> 9. See the chapter on media control in my book, My Awakening. Also

> available at Davidduke.com
> 10. Bill Gertz (1993) Washington Times and Michael R. Gordon, New
> York
> Times Oct.13
> 11. A & L Cockburn (1991) Dangerous Liaison
>
>
>
>
> www.spearhead-uk.com http://www.natvan.com
> http://www.thebirdman.org http://www.RealNews247.com

764. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 20:57:23 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <DqidnYW_Vd-mfR7fRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108008

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>>So, did anyone out there hear toddies broadcast? Anyone?
>
>
> Who in their right mind would want to bother? His behaviour in here means
> that I have killfiled him and I'm not about to seek out and listen to such
> an idiot.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
I seriously doubt anyone heard his broadcast because I seriously doubt
he was even on 20 meters.

765. Re: Anti-Baxter Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 21:02:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115931749.358983.152900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107943

>Some gay person said
>I'd rather get no replies then the ones you get on your moronic gay
site.

Then quit posting stuff there.

Todd N9OGL

766. Re: Anti-Baxter Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 21:05:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115931944.816990.91070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107944

DAN,

What's going to piss you off is when the FCC renews Glenn's License,
and I'll be laughing SOOOOO at you so hard.

Todd N9OGL

767. Re: Real tecnichal experts dont become hams so FCC regs mean nothing big

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 22:11:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115935895.999783.216430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No, by a amateur from Weaten Illinois named Ruben helped in the
creation of the parabolic dish, used for radio Astronomy.

Todd N9OGL

768. Re: Real tecnichal experts dont become hams so FCC regs mean nothing big

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 22:19:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115936346.243131.262570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Sorry it's Grote Ruber..here's a webpage about him

http://www.nrao.edu/whatisra/hist_reber.shtml

Todd N9OGL

769. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 22:26:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1115936797.018598.286770@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108001

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" <noviceclasstroll@aol.com> on May 11, 10:15 am
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote [in response to W3RV]:

> Note the statements on the
> www.hamdata.com webpage in regards to statistics:
> TECHNICIAN class license totals have been
> increasing at a rate of 26 per day! [that's about
> four times faster than the combined General and
> Extra class increases of 6 per day]

Does that 26 per day include Technician Pluses renewed as
Technicians? Does it include the Novices who pass Element 2 and get
a "Tech-with-HF"?

> On the license class totals, it is interesting to
> compare (via Hamdata) those of 11 May 05 versus
> those of two years prior:
>
> 2005 2003
> Both Tech Classes - 350,566 348,749
> All four others - 373,171 378,994
> Total, all classes - 723,737 727,743
>
> Percentage of Techs - 48.44 47.92
>
> Comparison of Growth, 2005 v. 2003
>
> Gain or Loss, Techs - +1,817
> Gain or Loss, other four - -5,823
>
> Gain or Loss, all licensees -4,006
>
Very interesting!

But note that the hamdata.com numbers include licenses
that are expired but in the grace period. They also
include club and other non-operator licenses. The numbers I
post here twice a month include only current, unexpired licenses
held by individuals.

I think the totals I post are a more accurate snapshot of the
license situation than the numbers on hamdata.com, because the
inclusion of expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses skews the
totals considerably.

> It should be noted that the peak of U.S. amateur
> radio license numbers was on 2 Jul 03 with a total
> of 737,938 then (number of club calls not known).
> The Hamdata statistics are derived automatically
> by downloading the publicly-available FCC database
> (massive in size) and sorting for classes.

How massive?

Let's look at some other numbers:

These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
by individuals on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329 (7.31%)
Technician - 205,394 (30.44%)
Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.09%)
General - 112,677 (16.70%)
Advanced - 99,782 (14.79%)
Extra - 78,750 (11.67%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.53%)

Total General/Advanced/Extra - 291,209 (43.16%)

Total Novice/General/Advanced/Extra - 340,538 (50.47%)

Total all classes - 674,792

As of April 30, 2005:

Novice - 28,604 (decrease of 20,725) (4.29%)
Technician - 268,116 (increase of 62,722) (40.23%)
Technician Plus - 49,987 (decrease of 78,873) (7.50%)
General - 136,783 (increase of 24,106) (20.52%)
Advanced - 76,410 (decrease of 23,372) (11.46%)
Extra - 106,577 (increase of 27,827) (15.99%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,103 (decrease of 16,151) (47.73%)

Total General/Advanced/Extra - 319,770 (increase of 28,651) (47.98%)

Total Novice/General/Advanced/Extra - 348,374 (increase of 7,836)
(52.27%)

Total all classes - 666,477 (decrease of 8,315)

> The increase in both Technician classes is not
> "dramatic" but it IS an increase and has NOT
> stopped as some amateur extras claimed "would
> happen" after the 12-year elapse from the 1991
> creation of the (no-code-test) Technician class.

Who claimed that would happen? I sure didn't.

> At 48.44 percent of ALL current licensees, that
> IS a very large percentage and is constantly
> approaching a MAJORITY (it hasn't stopped
> increasing in 14 years).

Not really.

The number of current Tech/Tech Plus licenses held by
individuals is now over 16,000 *less* than it was just
5 years ago. It is trending *away* from a majority - if you look
at the number of current, unexpired licenses.

The percentage of US hams with a current, unexpired Tech or Tech Plus
license has dropped by 1.8% in the past 5 years. The percentage of US
hams with a current, unexpired General, Advanced or Extra license has
grown by 4.82% in the same time period.

Of course some of that growth is Novices and Tech Pluses upgrading
to General or Extra. And some of it is new hams who don't let the
current license requirements stop them.

> It should be obvious (but is not to some closed
> mindsets) that the "other four" classes (Novice,
> General, Advanced, Extra) have had their totals
> DROP in numbers.

Yet in the 5 years since restructuring, the opposite is true - the
number of Techs/Tech Pluses has dropped and the number of the "other
four" has increased.

> The "other four" all require
> morse code testing.

So does a Tech Plus, but you count them as Techs. You also count
licenses that are expired but in the grace period as if they were
current licenses.

> The no-longer-issued-new
> Novice and Advanced classes dropped by 11,649 but
> the General and Extra classes gained only 5,826.
> The net change in the "other four" is -5,823.
> The two-year growth in both Technician classes
> is NOT enough to stem the 4,006 loss in licenses
> overall in two years.

And the significance of this is?

> The (no-code-test) Technician class licensee is
> FORBIDDEN to operate below 30 MHz.

Only if they have not passed Element 1.

> A Technician
> Plus licensee is permitted below 30 MHz only if
> they have taken a morse code test.

Of course Technician
Pluses who renew as Technicians keep their HF privileges, and
Technicians who pass Element 1 get them, even though their
licenses don't change class.

So an unknown number of "Technicians" can legally operate on some HF
amateur bands. Also, any amateur with a Technician Plus or Novice
license, current, grace period, or expired, can get a General or Extra
without any further code testing.

What's your point in all this, Len? You give a lot of numbers but never
seem to say why they matter.

And why does all this concern you so much? You're not a radio
amateur, and it appears that you'll never become one either -
your "out of the box" claim of almost 5-1/2 years ago notwithstanding.

770. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 22:32:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ub-dnRulrPMJRB7fRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 108002

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:ReWdnfk8Hv0nSR7fRVn-vQ@gbronline.com...
> N9OGL wrote:
>>>Stebie said
>>>Six times too many.
>>
>> >Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> Ohh, what are you going to do about it??? nothing, except whine about
>> it on here.
>> Correction seven times counting last night transmission
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>>
>
> So, did anyone out there hear toddies broadcast? Anyone?

Who in their right mind would want to bother? His behaviour in here means
that I have killfiled him and I'm not about to seek out and listen to such
an idiot.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

771. Re: Anti-Baxter Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 22:35:00 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <g62dnXlhi76LRx7fRVn-1A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108003

N9OGL wrote:
>>Some gay person said
>>I'd rather get no replies then the ones you get on your moronic gay
>
> site.
>
> Then quit posting stuff there.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
See toad, another lie from you. I never posted anything on your gay
site. Before you accuse me again, you better be able to prove it!

772. Re: Anti-Baxter Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 22:35:36 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <g62dnW0hi76kRx7fRVn-1A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108004

N9OGL wrote:

> DAN,
>
> What's going to piss you off is when the FCC renews Glenn's License,
> and I'll be laughing SOOOOO at you so hard.
>
> Todd N9OGL

huh?

773. The Microcephalic Dwarf and Etiquette

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 22:55:59 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <Doggiedaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d60mvb$ql3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108005
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The Microcephalic Dwarf and Etiquette During an Amateur Radio and Newsgroup etiquette class, Riley Hollingsworth says to his students: "If you were QSO'ing with a well educated Ham such as David Heil and during the QSO you needed to go to the toilet, what would you say to him?"Mike replies "Be right back, I gotta go pee."Riley says: "That would be very rude and improper on your part."Lloyd replies: "I'm sorry I need to go to the toilet, I'll be back in a minute."Riley says: "That's much better, but to mention the word ''toilet'' during a QSO is still unpleasant." And little Rogie says: "My friend Dave, please excuse me for a moment. I must go shake hands with a personal friend, whom, I hope, to be able to introduce to you after dinner." Riley made Roger retest.

774. Re: Robeson "Slant and Rant" Fails Miserably >>>AND<<< He Gets Caught In Yet Another Lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 22:59:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115938768.403229.193100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108006

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > > callsigns are an admistrative tool. Nothing more, nothing less.
> >
> > Darn! For over 40 years I've been using mine as an operating and
> identification
> > tool. Silly me!
>
> They are that too, Hans...But no different than your telephone
> number, Zip Code or ip address...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

How can it be "They are that too, Hans...," if, "Nothing more, nothing less," is true?

More lies? Ayup.

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi!!!

Got PAPERS???

775. Re: Real tecnichal experts dont become hams so FCC regs mean nothing big

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 22:59:52 UTC
From: Mike Speed <mike8s2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115938792.733305.62290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yes, Edwin Armstrong invented FM.

776. Omniscient Robeson/K4YZ, Expert At All Subjects

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 23:18:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115939882.688824.287000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108009

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

Let's see a pic of YOU on line in your Official U. S. Cub
> > Scout Leader Uniform, complete with den numbers, council patch and
> > WEBLOS pin...
>
> Please understand, Silly Gunny, that the Cubmaster doesn't wear a den
> number nor any WEBELOS pins.
>
> Your pretense at knowledge of scouting is pathetic.

Your pretense at knowledge of military communications is pathetic.

777. Re: Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 23:20:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115940014.658229.295820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108010

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > I imagine that your sides hurt from having too
> > much "sides" to carry around.
>
> Can't sustain your position on the merits of the facts so you revert
to
> personalization, and untruthful personalization at that.
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> de Hans, K0HB

Steve lies.

778. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 23:24:04 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <28idnd4bFfo2nhnfRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108026

N9OGL wrote:
> Information Bulletins
>
> Ok, this is for those fucking whinny little amateur radio operators who
> have a fucking hard time reading the rules and regulations and are to
>

Again you show your immaturity toddieboy.

779. Re: The toads hit counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 23:24:07 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115940247.081305.289230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108011

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > Every picture of a female family member was taken with at
> > least one of them with their mouth in gear...this is obviously
Todd's
> > only way to get a word in without sputtering "But Auntie...but
> > Auntie...but Auntie..."
>
> Why is Steve so fixated on "female family members" of other rrap'ers?

That's a very disturbing observation. I'm still trying to figure out
his mention of "terrorizing wives" remarks some time ago.

> A member of my family called his attempts at familiarity "creepy".

Quitecreepy!

780. Re: Omniscient Robeson/K4YZ, Expert At All Subjects

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 23:33:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115940829.274307.195980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108012

bb wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> Let's see a pic of YOU on line in your Official U. S. Cub
> > > Scout Leader Uniform, complete with den numbers, council patch
and
> > > WEBLOS pin...
> >
> > Please understand, Silly Gunny, that the Cubmaster doesn't wear a
den
> > number nor any WEBELOS pins.
> >
> > Your pretense at knowledge of scouting is pathetic.
>
> Your pretense at knowledge of military communications is pathetic.

And your pretense at knowledge of MARS communications is pathetic.

POST YOUR PAPERS!

781. Re: Robeson has Excrementia!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 23:40:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115941259.142561.31080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108013

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Stebie did NOT explain what the "A" stood for in
> > "ANOIC." That's an unusual acronym and not
> > familiar.
>
> I guess "assistant" was beyond you..?!?!?
>
> Even the Army has "ANCOIC" billets, Lennie.

Are you trying to say that the U.S. Marine Corps has "ANCOIC" billets?

If so, you could just say it and quit trying to be cute. We've all
seen your picture and you're not going to make it as "cute."

OK. Assuming you're not lying again, post your letter of appointment,
or post a copy of the action that placed you in that "ANCOIC" billet.

Best of Luck.

782. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 23:44:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115941489.902301.134080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108014

```
K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: "bb" on Sun,May 8 2005 11:15 am
> > > >
> > > > Reality is different. But, reality doesn't exist in here.
> > > >
> > > Sure it does. we just can't get you and Brainless to come
> > around
> > > to it.
> > > >
> > > But we keep trying...
> > > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > Come around to accepting your falsehoods? Not likely.
>
> What "faslehoods"...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

The things we see when you hit the "post message" button.
```

783. Re: N9OGL To Introduce FCC Thwarting Technology To His Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 23:48:24 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <V-GdnaC8KK3Xdh7fRVn-rQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108015

N9OGL wrote:
> Why would I need it?? I'm not doing anything illegal. it just like you
> Steve to look in the CB section of Egay.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
Why is everything gay to you? Egay? QueerRZ? Do you ever have normal
thoughts?

784. Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 23:48:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115941728.973378.80750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108016

Information Bulletins

Ok, this is for those fucking whinny little amateur radio operators who have a fucking hard time reading the rules and regulations and are to busy breastfeeding off of there mama's tit. I know due to their fucking inbreeding that they don't have the mental capacity to read anyway, and for fucking morons who are going to post off the subject material don't waste my fucking time, I will delete that shit from my blog. I know you all are my "FANS" but I'm fighting a war here against assholes who is want to suppress my right to free speech. So for you fucking retarded C.B. operators (and you know who you are) take that dildo out of each other's ass and listen up. I know those fat bastards on rec.radio.amateur.policy don't have a clue when it comes to rules and regulations so let me spell it out for you.

An information bulletin is "A message directed only to amateur radio operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service." (47 U.S.C. 97.3(a)(26) The FCC has even gone farther on their website stating "The control operator of the station transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur service."
(http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html#s3).
Unlike some amateur radio operators who believe that the receiving station, or even the amateur radio community as a whole can determine what the station transmits.

An information bulletin and a broadcast are two different things. As stated above an information bulletin is "directed to amateur radio operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur radio service". While a broadcast is "A transmission intended for reception by the general public either direct or relayed." (47 U.S.C. 97.3(a)(10). That's the difference one is attended for the reception of the general public while the other is aimed at a certain group (amateur radio operators)

One of the things I hear from those little crybabies on rec.radio.amateur.policy is that an information bulletin has to be a "newscast" yet, no where in the FCC rules does it state that nor is there any ruling by the FCC that states that it has to be a newscast. As a matter of fact in a warning letter sent in 2004 to one K1MAN, who also transmits news and talk programming directed to the amateur radio service. The FCC informed Mr. Baxter K1MAN, that his transmissions were legal
"It is the finding of this office that you are, with some exceptions,

generally in compliance with the Commission's rules in the amateur service related to broadcasting and information bulletin, and we will explain as followed. Broadcasting is prohibited in the amateur radio service, with some exceptions. Section 97.3(a)(10) defines broadcasting as "transmissions intended for the reception by the general public, either direct or relayed." One-way transmissions are limited in the amateur service, but an exception is allowed in 97.111(b)(6) for "Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins." Information bulletins are defined by Section 97.3(a)(26) as messages "directed only to amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service." There is no specific time limit placed upon information bulletins by the Commission rules. A review of your programs at random times since March 3, 2003 indicates that your transmissions were directed to amateur radio operators, not to the general public, and that the individual bulletins were related to the amateur radio service. The only notable exception was the offering of a award for information leading to the identity of parties making threatening telephone calls to you" (letter to Glenn Baxter K1MAN from the Federal Communication Commission April 14, 2004)

My information bulletins are directed to the amateur radio service and consist solely of subject matter of a direct interest to the amateur radio service. So those whinny little assholes on rec.radio.amateur.policy can bitch and whine all they want the fact is my station is legal. So K4YZ can cry little the big baby he is to who ever, the fact is they won't do anything about it.

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
The N9OGL Show
"The Voice of Amateur Radio"

785. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 23:54:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115942060.915337.286710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108017

Don't doubt too hard, I was on 14.321.00 last night

N9OGL

786. Robeson One Brilliant Almost NCOIC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 23:56:00 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115942160.897172.88700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108018

K4YZ wrote:
>
> The net "is" real life.
>

Hi, hi!

787. Re: N9OGL To Introduce FCC Thwarting Technology To His Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-12 23:58:20 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <0-qdnfWe3sI_cB7fRVn-3A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108019

K4YZ wrote:

> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48696&item=5774148855&rd=1
>
> Think it will work...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I think the tpad needs one of these.
http://zapatopi.net/afdb.html

788. Robeson Goes AWOL on Final Final Reply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 00:05:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115942714.129758.24450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > The difference here, being, that "Robeson" gave
> > > > proof...dates...times...places...callsigns...All information
that
> > can
> > > > be independently corroborated.
> > >
> > > I've found that you say a great many things which are not true,
> i.e.,
> > > you lie. So the only way to learn if your MARS statements are
true
> > is
> > > for you to post indisputable evidence such as a MARS license, or
a
> > > letter of appointment to "ANCOIC" MARS/Okinawa. Got any?
> >
> > That's NOT the "only way".
>
> It's the safest way for all involved. I'm sure that you'd want me
> thoroughly convinced that you really did participate in MARS and was
> not just a spectator as indicated by your breadth and depth of MARS
> knowledge. Got papers?

Show 'em if you got 'em.

> > > I get the distinct impression that you don't like your tactics
used
> > on
> > > you.
> >
> > There's no "tactics", Brain.
>
> Probably how you found yourself in seven piles of excrement.

Robeson is strangely silent.

> Hi! You really, really hate it, don't you?

So much so that he abandons his rant.

> > > Sucks to be Steven J Robeson K4YZ K4CAP ! ! !
> >
> > K4CAP is not an active callsign.
>
> Probably never was. Just some Bozo thinking he'd swoop up a cool
call
> sign.

789. Re: Real tecnichal experts dont become hams so FCC regs mean nothing big

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 00:19:49 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <vOidnQW_SIw1bx7fRVn-1w@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:

> Sorry it's Grote Ruber..here's a webpage about him
>
> http://www.nrao.edu/whatisra/hist_reber.shtml
>
> Todd N9OGL

Toad wasn't it just a week ago you said that Steve got your ISP to boot
you off the news group? Why are you still here if that's true? Was that
just another of your lies?
You know something, if you didn't come here calling just about
everybody names, such as MF AHOLE, moron, dumba## appliance operators,
the list goes on, stop acting like you're smarter then everybody else,
well toad, you just might have been accepted. Why makeup lies? Where
does it get you ? Some people have made some good suggestions to you,
and all you do is put them down and call them names.
One thought toad, look at your blog, if you had children that might be
interested in amateur radio and they did a search, would you truly want
them to stumble across a page so full of hate and vulgar language? I
know I doni¿½t want my children or grand children to see that! The garbage
you spew on your blog is an embarrassment to American ham radio operators!

790. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 00:31:08 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <W-idnRgY-5HPaB7fRVn-hw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108022

You might have a right to spew this garbage on your page, and if somebody really wants to read it, they can go there. So why not leave it there? Get back on your meds, and please come up with your own put downs, you are beginning to sound like an echo.
Think about it toad, name one person here that stands up for you? That would be somebody with a real call sign or name. I bet you can't do it.
So goto your blog and spew the trash, censor people and scream FREE SPEECH all you want, there is no need to repeat it here!
Besides you said Steve got you bounced from this news group, just more lies?

791. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 01:05:28 UTC
From: Annie <Nospam@dogs>
Message-ID: <d60ugg$8dm$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108023

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:W-idnRgY-5HPaB7fRVn-hw@centurytel.net...

You might have a right to spew this garbage on your page, and if
somebody really wants to read it, they can go there. So why not leave it
there? Get back on your meds, and please come up with your own put
downs, you are beginning to sound like an echo.
Think about it toad, name one person here that stands up for you? That
would be somebody with a real call sign or name. I bet you can't do it.
So goto your blog and spew the trash, censor people and scream FREE
SPEECH all you want, there is no need to repeat it here!
Besides you said Steve got you bounced from this news group, just more
lies?

....

After being booted from one end of the newsgroup to the other and back again
one
would think Todd would have by now gotten the hint.
And a dictionary, too.

792. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 01:09:36 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <none@nonuserbeamremail.org>
Message-ID: <d60uko$8l4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108024

American Radio Ripoff League,

of, by, and for the Newington arrogant elites.

They know what is best for you, the common ham.

793. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 01:10:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115946640.575383.189620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108025

Well Jim you fucking asshole, i have as much right to be here as you do and I don't censor anyone asshole, I suggest you get you head out of your ass. As for being booted off this newsgroup you fucking nazi I have the papers to prove it. So back to your boy toy Steevee

Todd N9OGL

794. Check What This Guy Says About ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 01:41:27 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <none@nonuserbeamremail.org>
Message-ID: <d610gdbkj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108027

www.ny1e.com/arrl.htm

795. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 01:53:18 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <JO-dncBQNqMNlRnfRVn-rQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108028

N9OGL wrote:

> Well Jim you f****** ahole, i have as much right to be here as you do
> and I don't censor anyone ahole, I suggest you get you head out of
> your ass. As for being booted off this newsgroup you fucking nazi I
> have the papers to prove it. So back to your boy toy Steevee
>
> Todd N9OGL

You have as much right as anybody to be here, I never said you didn't. I
am saying that you have no right to be calling me names like you are here!
Did you say get my head out of my butt? OH, let me quote you moron
"and for fucking morons who are going to post off the subject
material don't waste my fucking time, I will delete that shit from my
blog."
That's censorship if I've ever seen it!
Next thing. Please answer the question with out going of on a nut!
You said
"As for being booted off this newsgroup you fucking nazi I
have the papers to prove it"
Well idiot, WHY ARE YOU HERE THEN? Last week you said they didn't send
you a registered letter? What papers? One lie after another! Don't you
see the counteraction? Calling me names doesn't prove you right!
Please, if you want to answer me, leave you fascination with steve out
of it! Is everybody who doesn't agree with you a nazi?
You know freedom of speech only goes so far, like I can say I'd slap you
silly if I saw you on the street, and to tell you the truth toad, if you
talked to me like that to my face I would. But you aren't worth the time
to look up. You don't know who I am, you don't know who I know, you
don't know if I'm big or little, tough or a wimp. We do know you are a
wimp and think you can hide behind a keyboard, but someday, somewhere,
you'll mouth off to the right person and you'll be eating food through a
straw.
NOW, show me one person here who respects you, one person who will back
you up. Tell me why you are here if you were booted. I know the true
answer toad, you're a liar!

796. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 02:02:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115949759.270072.237690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108029

You konw Jimmy you want me not to call you names yet, at the same time you have the fucking balls to call me names so hey, you want some respect from me stop calling me names and hey, i stop calling you names. As for Censorship on my blog, I have a number of indviduals who are using my blog for stuff i don't want on it, if you don't believe me look at some of the comments. If they want to write "gay" material they can do it on their own website. I don't want anyone respect I could care less who likes me on here or not.

Todd N9OGL

797. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 02:05:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115949916.703084.273550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108030

What you don't want it archived so people can't look it up and see what
a total asshole you are???

798. Re: Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 02:27:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1115951224.312033.218970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108031

K4YZ wrote:
> Have fun, Lennie!
>
> http://engadget.com/entry/1234000463042528/
>
> Steve, K4YZ

VCR Alert:

An unconfirmed report says that there will be another attempt at this
tomorrow night, May 13, on the "Tonight Show"

73 de Jim, N2EY

799. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 02:43:37 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <1-ydnQk9zIHGiRnfRVn-uA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108032

N9OGL wrote:

> You konw Jimmy you want me not to call you names yet, at the same time
> you have the fucking balls to call me names so hey, you want some
> respect from me stop calling me names and hey, i stop calling you
> names. As for Censorship on my blog, I have a number of indviduals who
> are using my blog for stuff i don't want on it, if you don't believe me
> look at some of the comments. If they want to write "gay" material they
> can do it on their own website. I don't want anyone respect I could
> care less who likes me on here or not.
>
> Todd N9OGL

You read too much into everything and you still never answered the
questions! I didn't ask you to respect me, and I'm not calling you a
f##### ahole.
Lets take this a step at at time.
1) I said to name 1 person here who want's to read your blog stuff here,
you have it posted on your blog, so why post it here if nobody want's
to see it?
2) If you were booted from here, why are you here? Don't read too much
into this, just a question, I'm not saying you can't be here!
3) If you had children, would you want them to stumble across your blog?
Again, just a question.
Calling me every name you can come up with doesn't explain or answer
anything.
4) I'm not being a nazi, you can go broadcast, put on a show, call it
information, I don't care. BUT you keep accusing me of stuff I didn't
do, some being felonies. NOT GOOD dude. I never said you broke the law,
it's not my problem. I didn't post on your blog, it's not my style. BUT
if you talked to me in person like you do here, I'd hit you so hard your
whole family would hurt!
So just answer the questions like a human, leave all the put downs and
all the ahole remarks out of it, just make some sense, if you're booted,
why are you here? simple question. If you didn't get a registered
letter, what papers? Now slowly, don't blow a gasket, calm done and tell
the truth, see how refreshing it can be.
I don't care what you think of me or anybody else, just think about
why you want to post the stuff that gets you kicked around?
I haven't seen any positive replies on your blog, not that I care, but
do you ever wonder if it's really the rest of the world or is it just you?

800. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 02:51:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FCUge.6103$r7.2624@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108033

bb wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: "bb" on Wed,May 4 2005 4:13 pm
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>From: "K0HB" on Tues,May 3 2005 5:59 pm
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>>
>>>>Yup, everyone just got through saying that there's a problem
>>>
>>>attracting
>>>
>>>
>>>>Technicians to the organization. No one seems to be able to put
>
> their
>
>>>>finger on exactly why, only because they reject the -correct-
>
> answer
>
>>>>(reminds me of the OJ case). And they still wring their hands and
>>>
>>>bite
>>>
>>>
>>>>their knuckles and ask, "Why?"
>>>
>>>
>>> It's awful. Those olde-tymers just CAN'T understand
>>> why all the newcomers DON'T worship the olde-tymers'
>>> ideals of long ago.
>>>
>>> Hell, I'm OLDER than most of them and I STARTED on

>>> HF...but NOT doing a bit of "CW." :-)
>>
>>Then again, you still aren't a ham. :-)

> And he still hasn't worked any out-of-band Frenchmen. You're way ahead
> on that one.

I certainly am. Len can't work any French amateurs in or out of any amateur radio band. If he should ever obtain an amateur radio license, he only need worry about keeping his station where it should be. He is under no obligation to police the operating of foreign amateurs.

Dave K8MN

801. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 02:52:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ADUge.6104$r7.4488@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108034

N9OGL wrote:
>>Stebie said
>>Six times too many.
>
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> Ohh, what are you going to do about it??? nothing, except whine about
> it on here.
> Correction seven times counting last night transmission

You're starting to remind me of Pee Wee Herman, Todd.

Dave K8MN

802. new to group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 03:06:31 UTC
From: rchrdprss <rchrdpress@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <42841a9e$1_2@spool9-west.superfeed.net>
Source record: 108036

This is SO funny.

I have kids in their late teens, and I'm over 50, and I have not seen so much petty nonsense since high school!

This is great. I am SO glad I found this group. This is great entertainment!

Much better than just searching the waves...

RP

803. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 03:06:46 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Avednc4nK-ZVhBnfRVn-og@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108035

Did I say counteraction?
I meant counterdiction. Is there such a word? hmm? maybe he won't
understand anyway!

804. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 04:34:51 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <NtWdne7g0_B1cxXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108139

N9OGL wrote:
> bal bal bal Steve you a moron.....but hey you think you have something
> there go for it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

bal bal bal???? Did you mean blah blah blah, toddieboy?

N9OGL THE IDIOT OF AMATEUR RADIO.

805. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 05:33:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115962380.134308.222550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108037

N9OGL wrote:
> Information Bulletins
>
> Ok, this is for those ####ing whinny little amateur radio operators
who
> have a ####ing hard time reading the rules and regulations and are to
> busy breastfeeding off of there mama's tit. I know due to their
> ####ing inbreeding that they don't have the mental capacity to read
> anyway, and for ####ing morons who are going to post off the subject
> material don't waste my ####ing time, I will delete that @@@@ from my
> blog.

Guess your expressed intent to "delete that @@@@ from my blog" now
makes you a confirmed liar since you insisted you'd never do that, even
when you yourself admitted you knew there were bogus posts made in
other people's names.

Not that I am surprised...

> I know you all are my "FANS" but I'm fighting a war here
> against ###holes who is want to suppress my right to free speech.

You're not fighting anything, Todd.

You're a profane, loudmouth punk who intends to QRM an already
overcrowded Amateur band for the sole purpose of sating your ego.

And since you jsut stated your intent to "...delete that @@@@ from
my blog", you have no business whining about anyone trying to impede
YOUR "free speech".

> So for you ####ing retarded C.B. operators.....(SNIP)

Anyone who usess the "f" word in a sentence where he also accuses
someone of being retarded is an weak minded fool.

>(and you know who you are)

Yes...We know who you are, Todd...

> take that dildo out of each other's ### and listen up.

You'd better be the one doing some listening, Todd...

> I know those fat
> bastards on rec.radio.amateur.policy don't have a clue when it comes
> to rules and regulations so let me spell it out for you.

You forget, Todd...We've seen your picture...You ahve no business
accusing anyone else of being "fat", Todd.

> An information bulletin is "A message directed only to amateur radio
> operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to
the
> amateur service."

Yes Todd...we get that part. The part YOU don't understand is that
there is no support, need or desire for a Wannabe Junior Disc Jockey on
the 20 meter band.

Also, you have blatantly stated that you have absolutely no
interest or concern for "...those morons who are listening..."

Big snip...

> An information bulletin and a broadcast are two different things.

You keep saying that but you don't get it.

> One of the things I hear from those little crybabies on
> rec.radio.amateur.policy is that an information bulletin has to be a
> "newscast" yet, no where in the FCC rules does it state that nor is
> there any ruling by the FCC that states that it has to be a newscast.

No one has said it has to be a "newscast".

Yet another big snip of usual rantings...

> My information bulletins are directed to the amateur radio service
and
> consist solely of subject matter of a direct interest to the amateur
> radio service.

...the SAME Radio Service that you have called "...those morons
who are listening..."

> So those whinny little assholes on
> rec.radio.amateur.policy can bitch and whine all they want the fact
is
> my station is legal. So K4YZ can cry little the big baby he is to who
> ever, the fact is they won't do anything about it.

Don't bet your daddy's house on that one, Toddie.

> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> The N9OGL Show

You never answered Hans' question...Are you broadcasting a
bulletin or a show...?!?!

> "The Voice of Amateur Radio"

You're NOT "The Voice of Amateur Radio" any more than you're an
English major.

Steve, K4YZ

806. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 05:37:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115962633.900483.122280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108038

N9OGL wrote:
> Well Jim you ####ing ###hole, i have as much right to be here as you
do
> and I don't censor anyone asshole...(SNIP)

Liar.

Quote: "I know due to their ####ing inbreeding that they don't
have the mental capacity to read anyway, and for ####ing morons who are
going to post off the subject material don't waste my ####ing time, I
will delete that shit from my blog."

> I suggest you get you head out of
> your ###. As for being booted off this newsgroup you ####ing nazi I
> have the papers to prove it. So back to your boy toy Steevee

And you should get booted again if you keep the mouth up.

Steve, K4YZ

807. Re: Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 05:42:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115962954.388572.113860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108039

bb wrote:

> Steve lies.

You keep saying that, Brain, but you've not supplied evidence of a
single one...

Steve, K4YZ

808. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 05:45:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115963141.076454.214210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108040

N9OGL wrote:
> What you don't want it archived so people can't look it up and see
what
> a total asshole you are???

If you'd learn how to quote and attribute effectively, Todd, it
WOULD be archived regardless of what he says...Only the original
message would be dropped.

Guess they didn't teach you that in your summer school computer
course, eh...?!?! Who's running that program? K-Tel? Ronco?

Steve, K4YZ

809. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 06:02:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115962795.714426.56250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108041

N9OGL wrote:
> >STEEVEEE SAID
> > "Last night transmission" being the start of the 24/7 broadcasts
> >that you've been insisting that are just around the corner...???
>
> No, I've deiced not to run 24 hours because it would be a burden for
> one person to do for the time being.

The burden here, Todd, is trying to get you to understand that
your QRM isn't wanted...nor is it good Amateur practice.

> I am however going to extended it
> on HF from one hour to three hours. But that's not to say I will be
> going 24 hours in the future.

How can you do that missing ten feet of coax from the middle of
your run?

Steve, K4YZ

810. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 06:19:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115965154.888938.143690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108042

fuck you steve, I don't have to read all that gay crap being posted on my blog.

811. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 06:23:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115965391.566856.157020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108043

Hey Steve you freaking moron I am interfering with anyone you asshole!!
A don't threaten me you moron.

Todd N9OGL

812. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 06:27:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115965620.785112.205820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108044

N9OGL wrote:
> #### you steve, I don't have to read all that gay crap being posted
on
> my blog.

So...You only allow pro-Todd lies to be on your blog, eh?

Nothing new there...You scream about your "freedom of speech" yet
you jump right to it if it suits you.

Two-faced coward.

Steve, K4YZ

813. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 06:30:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115965850.679729.207950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108045

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey Steve you freaking moron I am interfering with anyone you
###hole!!

That's right...you ARE interfering.

> A don't threaten me you moron.

I didn't threaten you, Todd. I asked you how can you transmit a
signal with 10 feet of coax missing.

Steve, K4YZ

814. Re: Check What This Guy Says About ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 07:24:16 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115969056.113372.99480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108046

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> www.ny1e.com/arrl.htm

Sayeth your hero NY1E "I quit the league and sent back my volunteer examiner papers years ago when they proposed giving Novices' phone privileges".

Uh-huh. Right.

I had a Novice ticket which included phone priveleges before your "hero" was even BORN!

Bwaaahaha!

Nitwits.

w3rv

815. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 07:33:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115969620.913198.263710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108047

>Some dickhead steve said
> So...You only allow pro-Todd lies to be on your blog, eh?
> Nothing new there...You scream about your "freedom of speech" yet
>you jump right to it if it suits you.

no, I'm getting sick of your buddy Roger Wiseman and his buddies
getting on there posting stuff of topic and dealing of a sexual nature.

>Two-faced coward.

And your pond scum hell your below pond scum.

Todd N9OGL
"THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO"

816. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 07:36:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115969818.797192.275130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108048

> Hey Steve you freaking moron I am NOT interfering with anyone you

>###hole!!
>That's right...you ARE interfering.

I CORRECT IT, I AM NOT INTERFERING YOU FUCKING PIECE OF SHIT

817. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 08:02:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1115965495.777432.306410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108049

Hey steve eat shit and die

Todd N9OGL
THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

818. Re: Check What This Guy Says About ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 09:43:54 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115977434.618511.223030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108050

k...@dvol.com wrote:
> Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> > www.ny1e.com/arrl.htm
>
> Sayeth your hero NY1E "I quit the league and sent back my volunteer
> examiner papers years ago when they proposed giving Novices' phone
> privileges".
>
> Uh-huh. Right.
>
> I had a Novice ticket which included phone priveleges before your
> "hero" was even BORN!
>
> Bwaaahaha!
>
> Nitwits.
>
> w3rv

Didn't W0EX quit the ARRL VEC?

819. Re: Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 09:50:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115977801.165270.192830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108051

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Steve lies.
>
> You keep saying that, Brain, but you've not supplied evidence of
a
> single one...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I took a 3-week long snapshot of your postings and saw 29 lies, and I
didn't even read all of your squabbles with the RRA.MISC guys.

820. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 09:52:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1115977946.150689.105330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108052

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>From: "bb" on Wed,May 4 2005 4:13 pm
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>From: "K0HB" on Tues,May 3 2005 5:59 pm
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Yup, everyone just got through saying that there's a problem
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>attracting
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Technicians to the organization. No one seems to be able to put
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>their
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>finger on exactly why, only because they reject the -correct-
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>answer
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>(reminds me of the OJ case). And they still wring their hands
and
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>bite
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>their knuckles and ask, "Why?"
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> It's awful. Those olde-tymers just CAN'T understand
> >>>>>> why all the newcomers DON'T worship the olde-tymers'
> >>>>>> ideals of long ago.

> >>>
> >>> Hell, I'm OLDER than most of them and I STARTED on
> >>> HF...but NOT doing a bit of "CW." :-)
> >>
> >>Then again, you still aren't a ham. :-)
>
> > And he still hasn't worked any out-of-band Frenchmen. You're way
ahead
> > on that one.
>
> I certainly am.

Yes, you certainly are.

821. Working home station and club station field day 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 12:00:20 UTC
From: ki4bbl <greg.doughty@vbschools.com>
Message-ID: <1115985620.484502.203890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108053

Hi all,

Well, I am jazzed about field day this year, however, I have additional responsibilities this year that I have not had in the past. I am signed up to work the club site, but I cannot stay the whole time. I would also like to have a station at home with dipole thrown in trees and generator kicking (Hopefully neighbors are ok with this for apprx. 8 hours. Can I work the club and then come home and work the home station and submit a log on my behalf as well? I would be a 1D station at home.

Thanks
Greg
ki4bbl

822. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 13:41:29 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <RJGdnd4rO_SbVhnfRVn-oQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108058

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey Steve you freaking moron I am interfering with anyone you asshole!!

You are? The rules forbid that toddieboy.

> A don't threaten me you moron.

????

823. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 13:43:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <RJGdndkrO_QeVhnfRVn-oQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108059

N9OGL wrote:

>
> And your pond scum hell your below pond scum.

Did you mean "you're" as in you are, toddieboy?
You really do need to grow up.

824. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 15:00:27 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ur6dnYlJIbOQXBnfRVn-qg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108054

>
> And your pond scum hell your below pond scum.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> "THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO"

That should read "And you're pond scum hell you're below pond scum."

So gay boy, why can't you answer just a few questions? If you were kicked out, why are you here? If you didn't get a letter, what papers do you have?

825. Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 15:01:22 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ur6dnYhJIbPeXBnfRVn-qg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108055

Tomorrow, Friday May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text messaging team and a Morse code team.

The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken Miller K6CTW.

Chip and Ken do a lot of contests and DXpeditions. Joe Drago, props manager for the show is KF6OCP.

This should result in some excellent PR for ham radio.

73 De Don KA9QJG

Friendly reminder: E

826. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 15:10:55 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@textshowlloyd.org>
Message-ID: <d62fu2$8b4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108056

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:ur6dnYhJIbPeXBnfRVn-qg@centurytel.net...
> Tomorrow, Friday May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
> messaging team and a Morse code team.
>
> The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken Miller
> K6CTW.
>
> Chip and Ken do a lot of contests and DXpeditions. Joe Drago, props
> manager for the show is KF6OCP.
>
> This should result in some excellent PR for ham radio.
>
>
> 73 De Don KA9QJG
>

YAWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWN

827. Re: Working home station and club station field day 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 15:32:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115998354.022556.286230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108057

ki4bbl wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> Well, I am jazzed about field day this year, however, I have
additional
> responsibilities this year that I have not had in the past. I am
> signed up to work the club site, but I cannot stay the whole time. I
> would also like to have a station at home with dipole thrown in trees
> and generator kicking (Hopefully neighbors are ok with this for
apprx.
> 8 hours. Can I work the club and then come home and work the home
> station and submit a log on my behalf as well? I would be a 1D
station
> at home.

All transmitters have to be within a 1000 foot diameter circle. See
section 4.1 of the rules.

http://www.arrl.org/contests/rules/2005/rules-fd-2005.html

Get into it from home with your own call anyway, lotta fun.

> Thanks
> Greg
> ki4bbl

w3rv

828. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 15:45:20 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <a9idnTGin9sOVhnfRVn-pA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108061

I'll top post to save a lot of wasted scrolling.
You can't answer the question so that proves you are a liar again!

KC8GXW wrote:

>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> You konw Jimmy you want me not to call you names yet, at the same time
>> you have the fucking balls to call me names so hey, you want some
>> respect from me stop calling me names and hey, i stop calling you
>> names. As for Censorship on my blog, I have a number of indviduals who
>> are using my blog for stuff i don't want on it, if you don't believe me
>> look at some of the comments. If they want to write "gay" material they
>> can do it on their own website. I don't want anyone respect I could
>> care less who likes me on here or not.
>> Todd N9OGL
>
>
> You read too much into everything and you still never answered the
> questions! I didn't ask you to respect me, and I'm not calling you a
> f##### ahole.
> Lets take this a step at at time.
> 1) I said to name 1 person here who want's to read your blog stuff here,
> you have it posted on your blog, so why post it here if nobody want's
> to see it?
> 2) If you were booted from here, why are you here? Don't read too much
> into this, just a question, I'm not saying you can't be here!
> 3) If you had children, would you want them to stumble across your blog?
> Again, just a question.
> Calling me every name you can come up with doesn't explain or answer
> anything.
> 4) I'm not being a nazi, you can go broadcast, put on a show, call it
> information, I don't care. BUT you keep accusing me of stuff I didn't
> do, some being felonies. NOT GOOD dude. I never said you broke the law,
> it's not my problem. I didn't post on your blog, it's not my style. BUT
> if you talked to me in person like you do here, I'd hit you so hard your
> whole family would hurt!
> So just answer the questions like a human, leave all the put downs and
> all the ahole remarks out of it, just make some sense, if you're booted,
> why are you here? simple question. If you didn't get a registered
> letter, what papers? Now slowly, don't blow a gasket, calm done and tell
> the truth, see how refreshing it can be.
> I don't care what you think of me or anybody else, just think about why

> you want to post the stuff that gets you kicked around?
> I haven't seen any positive replies on your blog, not that I care, but
> do you ever wonder if it's really the rest of the world or is it just you?
>

829. Re: Check What This Guy Says About ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 15:45:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1115999122.723253.40190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108060

bb wrote:
> k...@dvol.com wrote:
> > Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> > > www.ny1e.com/arrl.htm
> >
> > Sayeth your hero NY1E "I quit the league and sent back my volunteer
> > examiner papers years ago when they proposed giving Novices' phone
> > privileges".
> >
> > Uh-huh. Right.
> >
> > I had a Novice ticket which included phone priveleges before your
> > "hero" was even BORN!
> >
> > Bwaaahaha!
> >
> > Nitwits.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Didn't W0EX quit the ARRL VEC?

I think he did but I forget why he quit.

830. Re: Working home station and club station field day 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 16:04:50 UTC
From: Little O'Me <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <fij98152jc8od3ejprbj9m7do9bk9597cu@fe02.buzzardnews.com>
Source record: 108062

On 13 May 2005 05:00:20 -0700, "ki4bbl" <greg.doughty@vbschools.com>
wrote:

>Hi all,
>
>Well, I am jazzed about field day this year, however, I have additional
>responsibilities this year that I have not had in the past. I am
>signed up to work the club site, but I cannot stay the whole time. I
>would also like to have a station at home with dipole thrown in trees
>and generator kicking (Hopefully neighbors are ok with this for apprx.
>8 hours. Can I work the club and then come home and work the home
>station and submit a log on my behalf as well? I would be a 1D station
>at home.
>
>Thanks
>Greg
>ki4bbl

Yes, you can operate both. You cannot, however, work the club station
from your home station and give them a point.

If you use a generator (emergency power) at your home you would be
operating Class "E", not Class "D". Class "D" is for home stations on
commercial power.

Finally, remember that any contact on any band counts, except for the
WARC bands (30, 17 and 12) and contacts on 146.520 mHz.

See the ARRL website at http://www.arrl.org/contests/forms/ for the
Field Day rules or a complete packet of the rules and other useful
information.

73, Greg, and I'll be looking for you during Field Day.

Rick
WM6M

831. Re: Working home station and club station field day 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 16:42:02 UTC
From: "G. Doughty" <ki4bbl@cox.net>
Message-ID: <vN4he.13448$iU.8420@lakeread05>
Source record: 108063

Thanks for the info. Just what I needed. Listen for the clarity of the
6146 tubes I'll be burning up at night. I am contemplating taking the cover
off of the ts 520 so I can watch the tubes glow, HOWEVER, with my three year
old daughter watching and camping in the backyard, I may just leave it all
together. I don't need her being thrown into a tree from the jolt!
73
ki4bbl

"Little O'Me" <me@here.com> wrote in message
news:fij98152jc8od3ejprbj9m7do9bk9597cu@fe02.buzzardnews.com...
> On 13 May 2005 05:00:20 -0700, "ki4bbl" <greg.doughty@vbschools.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Hi all,
>>
>>Well, I am jazzed about field day this year, however, I have additional
>>responsibilities this year that I have not had in the past. I am
>>signed up to work the club site, but I cannot stay the whole time. I
>>would also like to have a station at home with dipole thrown in trees
>>and generator kicking (Hopefully neighbors are ok with this for apprx.
>>8 hours. Can I work the club and then come home and work the home
>>station and submit a log on my behalf as well? I would be a 1D station
>>at home.
>>
>>Thanks
>>Greg
>>ki4bbl
>
>
> Yes, you can operate both. You cannot, however, work the club station
> from your home station and give them a point.
>
> If you use a generator (emergency power) at your home you would be
> operating Class "E", not Class "D". Class "D" is for home stations on
> commercial power.
>
> Finally, remember that any contact on any band counts, except for the
> WARC bands (30, 17 and 12) and contacts on 146.520 mHz.
>
> See the ARRL website at http://www.arrl.org/contests/forms/ for the
> Field Day rules or a complete packet of the rules and other useful
> information.
>
> 73, Greg, and I'll be looking for you during Field Day.

>
> Rick
> WM6M

832. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 16:55:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116003314.865621.203560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108064

N9OGL wrote:
> >Some dickhead steve said
> > So...You only allow pro-Todd lies to be on your blog, eh?
> > Nothing new there...You scream about your "freedom of speech" yet
> >you jump right to it if it suits you.
>
> no, I'm getting sick of your buddy Roger Wiseman and his buddies
> getting on there posting stuff of topic and dealing of a sexual
nature.

Well gee whiz, Todd...ya think that your use of the "f" word,
frequent suggestions of improper use of the rectum and other sexually
suggestive language MIGHT have something to do with it...?!?!

You're only reaping what you have sewn.

And if you remove one word of anyone elses posts from your blog,
you've proven that you are an untrustworthy liar.

> >Two-faced coward.
>
> And your pond scum hell your below pond scum.

Pond scum doesn't insult deceased children. You do, however.

> Todd N9OGL
> "THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO"

You're only the voice of Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

833. freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 16:57:31 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <N-qdnUHfYOTjQRnfRVn-qg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108065

From http://myrockport.com/world.htm

A three-judge panel of the Michigan Court of Appeals declared that the talking penis, nicknamed Dick Smart, telling "purportedly humorous" jokes on a Grand Rapids, Michigan, public access cable television channel constituted indecent exposure.

The court let stand a one-day jail sentence already served by the show's creator, Timothy Huffman.

Wednesday's ruling contained a transcript of the three-minute segment that aired twice in 2000, including the voice-over lines delivered in the style of the late comedian Rodney Dangerfield: "Hi, I'm Dick Smart. I am a comedian, yeah, stand up, ha."

Huffman could not immediately be reached for comment but he told the Detroit Free Press newspaper he planned to appeal in defence of his right to freedom of speech.

834. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 16:57:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116003470.942548.107750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108066

KC8GXW wrote:
> >
> > And your pond scum hell your below pond scum.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > "THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO"
>
> That should read "And you're pond scum hell you're below pond scum."
>
> So gay boy, why can't you answer just a few questions? If you were
> kicked out, why are you here? If you didn't get a letter, what papers
do
> you have?

His ISP told him to stay away.

Problem is that Todd, being the spoiled brat that he is, doesn't
take direction very well.

Guess when he get's up one morning and goes to sign on but can't
do it, he'll get the message.

Steve, K4YZ

835. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 16:59:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116003580.164745.120560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108067

N9OGL wrote:
> > Hey Steve you freaking moron I am NOT interfering with anyone you
>
> >###hole!!
> >That's right...you ARE interfering.
>
> I CORRECT IT, I AM NOT INTERFERING YOU ####ING PIECE OF ####

If you kep up on HF with your "show", you're "interfering".

Steve, K4YZ

836. Re: Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 17:03:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116003785.830757.67530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108068

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > Steve lies.
> >
> > You keep saying that, Brain, but you've not supplied evidence
of
> a
> > single one...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I took a 3-week long snapshot of your postings and saw 29 lies, and I
> didn't even read all of your squabbles with the RRA.MISC guys.

And you haven't validated a one of them.

Not a one.

Nice troll, however.

Now...we're STILL waiting on some validation of your claims on:
(1) Somalia (2) Unlicnesed Devices (3) ARES (4) "lost" techs

That is for starters.

Steve, K4YZ

837. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 17:08:44 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <GpadnRpXBeCDQhnfRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108069

N9OGL wrote:

>
>
> no, I'm getting sick of your buddy Roger Wiseman and his buddies
> getting on there posting stuff of topic and dealing of a sexual nature.
>

Didn't you just post that it was me posting on your blog? Another lie?
>
<snip>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> "THE EMBARRASSMENT OF AMATEUR RADIO"
^^^^^^^^^^^^^

838. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 17:20:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116004804.918344.308650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108070

That was a state court hahahaha

Here's another link

http://www.rcfp.org/news/mag/28-3/bct-accessto.html

Todd N9OGL

839. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 17:39:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116005986.325503.202750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108071

,,,,and another link

http://www.freep.com/news/latestnews/pm4130_20050511.htm

he's going to file an appeal to the Michigan Supreme Court....Wait unit
it hit's the federal Court, they will throw the state's ruling out. The
Supreme Court has already ruled on indcency on public access back in
1996. (See Denver Area Educational Telecommunications Consortium Inc.
vs. FCC)
In that case the U.S. Supreme Court rulied that indecent material on
public access was protected by the First Amendment.

840. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 17:43:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116006197.038356.126910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108072

>Guess when he get's up one morning and goes to sign on but can't
>do it, he'll get the message

yeah and we will know the asshole is who cried to them.....Mr. Netcop
STEVE Robbieson

Todd N9OGL

841. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 17:43:53 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Kpmdnc4XrIvBehnfRVn-3A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108073

N9OGL wrote:
>bla bla bla sputter lie lie bla bla

Who cares what toad wrote.
If you can't answer my questions don't make fun of my post! LIAR.
Unless you were involved in the show? I bet you did the talking on the
show. I'm sure the breath matches!

842. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 17:48:34 UTC
From: rrap Clean Up Crew <rrcuc@rrcuctgcd.org>
Message-ID: <d62p5m$1df$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108074

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1116003580.164745.120560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> If you kep up on HF with your "show", you're

//usual K4YZ trash & garbage flushed//

73,

rrap Clean Up Crew

843. Re: Here's One For Lennie To Choke On....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 17:51:32 UTC
From: rrap Clean Up Crew <rrcuc@rrcuctgcd.org>
Message-ID: <d62pb7$1me$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108075

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1116003785.830757.67530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Now...we're STILL waiting on some validation of your claims on:
> (1) Somalia (2) Unlicnesed Devices (3) ARES (4) "lost" techs

/////usual K4YZ trash & garbage flushed///////

73,

rrap Clean Up Crew

844. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 17:54:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116006886.126855.304900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108076

1. I HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO VIEW MY BLOG I DON'T KNOW ANY, NOR DO I KNOW WHO CONNECTS TO MY BLOG I HAVE NO WAY KNOWING....IF IT WAS COMPUTER I COULD TELL YOU, BUT SINCE IT IS ON GOOGLE'S COMPUTER I HAVE NO WAY OF KNOWING.

2. BECAUSE I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH AUTHORITY, EXPECIALLY WHEN IT COME FROM A SMALL DUMBASS TELEPHONE COMPANY WHO LISTENS TO HAM OPERATOR WHO THINKS HE THE MODERATOR OF THIS NEWSGROUP AS IS NOT. A TELEPHONE COMPANY WHO DOES NOT INVESTIGATE ANYTHING BUT ONLY GO'S ON BY COMPLAINTS

3 I DON'T HAVE CHILDERN AND THERE IS A VAST MAJORITY OF FILTERING SOFTWARE THAT PARENTS CAN BY. SECONDLY MY SITE IS SHIT, THERE ARE SITES OUT THERE WORSE THEN MINE....WHY DON'T YOU COMPLAIN ABOUT THEM??

N9OGL

845. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 17:57:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116007031.062779.211140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108077

I DIDN'T MAKE FUN OF YOUR POST I ADDED OTHER LINKS...DON'T JUST READ
SHIT OFF ONE SITE...GET ALL THE FACTS.

n9ogl

846. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 18:00:16 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <C46dnYQ9wM2udhnfRVn-gw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108078

N9OGL wrote:

> ,,,,and another link
>
> http://www.freep.com/news/latestnews/pm4130_20050511.htm
>
>
> he's going to file an appeal to the Michigan Supreme Court....Wait unit
> it hit's the federal Court, they will throw the state's ruling out. The
> Supreme Court has already ruled on indcency on public access back in
> 1996. (See Denver Area Educational Telecommunications Consortium Inc.
> vs. FCC)
> In that case the U.S. Supreme Court rulied that indecent material on
> public access was protected by the First Amendment.

At first I thought somebody was faking the toad (like anybody would want to). But then I saw "Wait unit" did he mean "weight unit? Pounds? ounces? Grams? Maybe the toad can come to Michigan and represent Mr. Timothy Huffman, since the toad is always the expert.

847. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 18:05:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116007552.839462.217840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108079

It's wait until it it hits the federal courts.

n9ogl

848. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 18:07:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116007668.671184.178630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108080

>Maybe the toad can come to Michigan and represent Mr.
>Timothy Huffman, since the toad is always the expert.

He already has Alliance for Media and the ACLU and a few other public
access groups representing him

n9ogl

849. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 18:57:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116010642.100165.123870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108081

N9OGL wrote:
> It's wait until it it hits the federal courts.

I sure hope you can wax floors and flip hamburgers, Todd, because
you'll never make it as a English teacher!

Steve, K4YZ

850. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 18:59:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116010741.682905.147740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108082

N9OGL wrote:
> >Guess when he get's up one morning and goes to sign on but can't
> >do it, he'll get the message
>
> yeah and we will know the ###hole is who cried to them.....Mr. Netcop
> STEVE Robbieson

Don't know any "Robbieson", Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

851. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 19:08:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116011297.718383.184760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108083

N9OGL wrote:
> 1. I HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO VIEW MY BLOG I DON'T KNOW ANY, NOR
DO
> I KNOW WHO CONNECTS TO MY BLOG I HAVE NO WAY KNOWING....IF IT WAS
> COMPUTER I COULD TELL YOU, BUT SINCE IT IS ON GOOGLE'S COMPUTER I
HAVE
> NO WAY OF KNOWING.

But you pick-and-choose who get's a "say" on your blog and who doesn't. That's censorship. You proclaim against alleged threats to your "freedom of speech", no matter how ugly or vile it is...But then you go right on ahead and do the very thing you claim you are against.

> 2. BECAUSE I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH AUTHORITY, EXPECIALLY WHEN IT COME
> FROM A SMALL DUMB### TELEPHONE COMPANY WHO LISTENS TO HAM OPERATOR
WHO
> THINKS HE THE MODERATOR OF THIS NEWSGROUP AS IS NOT. A TELEPHONE
> COMPANY WHO DOES NOT INVESTIGATE ANYTHING BUT ONLY GO'S ON BY
> COMPLAINTS

I don't think I am a moderator, Todd.

And your phone compnay/ISP had EVIDENCE of your misdeeds in hand. I sent it to them.

> 3 I DON'T HAVE CHILDERN...(SNIP)

Thank God (or the diety of your choice).

If you ever do, however, do us ALL a favor and don't let them see anything you write. It will confuse and disappoint them.

> (UNSNIP)...AND THERE IS A VAST MAJORITY OF FILTERING
> SOFTWARE THAT PARENTS CAN BY.

That's "buy".

> SECONDLY MY SITE IS ####

In this one thing we can absolutely, unabashedly agree. Your site certainly is ####.

> THERE ARE
> SITES OUT THERE WORSE THEN MINE....WHY DON'T YOU COMPLAIN ABOUT
THEM??

If they were pontificating on Amateur Radio issues and bringing it to this forum, I would. I have no other reason to go to anyone else's

"blog". I never would have gone to yours had you not broadcast it in this forum.

Steve, K4YZ

852. Re: The toads hit counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 19:11:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116011504.646597.122360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108084

bb wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > > Every picture of a female family member was taken with at
> > > least one of them with their mouth in gear...this is obviously
> Todd's
> > > only way to get a word in without sputtering "But Auntie...but
> > > Auntie...but Auntie..."
> >
> > Why is Steve so fixated on "female family members" of other
rrap'ers?
>
> That's a very disturbing observation. I'm still trying to figure out
> his mention of "terrorizing wives" remarks some time ago.

What's disturbing is your failure to find the alleged
"terrorizing" comments and re-cite them.

I have, of course, addressed it several times, but you continue to
misrepresent the truth.

That's why we know that Brian P Burke is a habitual liar. he
proves it for us.

Steve, K4YZ

853. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 19:45:44 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <IfadnS1-9vGrvBjfRVn-hw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108097

N9OGL wrote:
>>Sociopath Steve wrote:
>>And your phone compnay/ISP had EVIDENCE of your misdeeds in hand.
>>I sent it to them.
>
>
> Yeah, one sided evidence, Speaking of which some friends of mine at the
> FBI are wondering about this comment you made a few days ago.

Your friends at the FBI, now that's a laugh. Care to name some of these
FBI friends?
>
> "Can any of us say for certain how long we'll be here...?!?!
> Sudden sickness...a car accident...a stray bullet from a drive
> by...?!?!
>
> Who knows. The press is full of sad stories of early demise. And
> who knows who will be next...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ"
>
> Their just wondering.

Do you mean "they're" as in "they are"? By the way you write, structure
sentences, misuse words and spell, I wonder how you ever got past the
5th grade. You must have been one of those passed-along kids that they
passed to the next grade just to get rid of you.

How can a 30+ something year old be so ignorant when it comes to writing
and spelling? Everyone mispells a word or has a finger check on the
keyboard now and then, but toddieboy, some of your statements don't even
make sense.

854. Re: friday 13th brodcast about minute men and fucking border patrole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 19:46:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <IfadnSx-9vHjvBjfRVn-hw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

George Orwell wrote:
> WHEN MINUTE MEN LEAVE PETROL FUCKING BORDER PETROL TOLD NO YOU DONT
> MAKE MORE ARESTS SO WE DONT LOOK BAD. POST NEWSPAPER HAS PROOF AND
> WE HAVE HAM BORDER MINUTE MAN TALK ALL OF THAT AND MORE. YOU BE THEIR
> FOR BRODCAST ON 14.320 PLUS OR MUNUS 30 TONIGHT.
>
> THIS IS BIG EXPLOSAVE STORY WHAT ARRL WOULDNT TELL YOU BUT WE WILL.
>

Time? Are do we just guess?

855. Re: The toads hit counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 20:10:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116015005.310262.56490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108085

Steve,
What is it with you calling everyone a liar? What everyone's a liar
except you?? I really doubt that...I think you liar and a sociopath.

N9OGL

856. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 20:15:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116015315.305014.116570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108086

Steve,

Bull, my show is just a legal as W1AW and hey I don't see you
complaining about that station.

N9OGL

857. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 20:18:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116015510.933674.117260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108087

>Sociopath Steve said
>I sure hope you can wax floors and flip hamburgers, Todd, because
>you'll never make it as a English teacher!

I don't attend to teach english......my field is computer science.

n9ogl

858. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 20:20:16 UTC
From: rrap Clean Up Crew <rrcuc@rrcuctgcd.org>
Message-ID: <d63222$sb1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108088

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1116010642.100165.123870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> I sure hope you can wax floors and flip
///////usual K4YZ trash & garbage flushed////////

73,

rrap Clean Up Crew

859. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 20:21:13 UTC
From: rrap Clean Up Crew <rrcuc@rrcuctgcd.org>
Message-ID: <d6323s$sf1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108089

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1116011297.718383.184760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>////////usual k4yz trash & garbage flushed///////

73,

rrap Clean up Crew

860. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 20:21:57 UTC
From: rrap Clean Up Crew <rrcuc@rrcuctgcd.org>
Message-ID: <d63258sgr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108090

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1116010741.682905.147740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
///////usual k4yz trash & garbage flushed///////

73,

rrap Clean up crew

861. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 20:21:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116015717.473505.275440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108092

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,May 12 2005 3:26 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>But note that the hamdata.com numbers include licenses
>that are expired but in the grace period. They also
>include club and other non-operator licenses. The numbers I
>post here twice a month include only current, unexpired licenses
>held by individuals.

So sayeth the Keeper of the Amateur Census. :-)

>I think the totals I post are a more accurate snapshot of the
>license situation than the numbers on hamdata.com, because the
>inclusion of expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses skews the
>totals considerably.

I don't think so. Many others don't think so.

Now PROVE you are the ONLY ACCURATE voice of
what goes on in this "amateur community."

The FCC doesn't appear to think as you do, Jimmie.
If a licensee is in their grace period and then
renews their license before that period is up,
it just resets the FCC data. The licensed amateur
still retains his/her license after renewal.

>> It should be noted that the peak of U.S. amateur
>> radio license numbers was on 2 Jul 03 with a total
>> of 737,938 then (number of club calls not known).
>> The Hamdata statistics are derived automatically
>> by downloading the publicly-available FCC database
>> (massive in size) and sorting for classes.
>
>How massive?

You have to ask?!? :-) MANY megabytes, Jimmie.
The information is THERE, publicly accessible.
As Kellie exhorts, "get off your duff and go find
it!" :-)

Hint: The actual numbers change on database size.

>Who claimed that would happen? I sure didn't.

Tsk, tsk. My posting was NOT directed to you. :-)

You are NOT on trial. Tell your legal counsel to
quit billing you for legal representation. This
is NOT a court. :-)

>Of course Technician
>Pluses who renew as Technicians keep their HF privileges, and
>Technicians who pass Element 1 get them, even though their
>licenses don't change class.
>
>So an unknown number of "Technicians" can legally operate on some HF
>amateur bands. Also, any amateur with a Technician Plus or Novice
>license, current, grace period, or expired, can get a General or Extra
>without any further code testing.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie Noserve is still trying to foist
off his OWN concept of "the real amateur community"
where the Technician classes are "not real hams"
(REAL hams work DX on HF with CW?).

Jimmie boy, give us your EXACT numbers on those Tech
class licensees who ONLY "work" above 30 MHz. Then
PROVE that they all hunger for or desire to "work CW"
on the HF bands...as all "real hams" should. :-)

>What's your point in all this, Len? You give a lot of numbers but
never
>seem to say why they matter.

"Sweetums," I don't INTERPRET raw data. I just quote
it from the public database downloaded by one website
from the FCC.

I HAVE said "why it matters." You don't want to listen.
You don't want to believe anything contrary to your
immaculate concept of "real ham radio."

Why does that bother you so much, Jimmie? Do you suspect
I gored your sacred cow or something? Has your "honor"
been sullied? Are you "appealing a court ruling" in
here? Must be. You take things SO seriously!

>And why does all this concern you so much?

I dunno, Jimmie, YOU are going to TELL me WHY "I am so
concerned" because you KNOW everything. :-)

Maybe I might want to get a ham license someday? Or
maybe I just like to get to the TRUTH of matters
without all the smoke and mirrors of some fanatics
who take their HOBBY as a Life Calling?

> You're not a radio
>amateur, and it appears that you'll never become one either -

Tsk, tsk. Jimmie boy, YOU don't CONTROL anything or
anybody.

I'm a PROFESSIONAL in radio-electronics. Have been for
53 years. I'm a HOBBYIST in radio-electronics too,
have been for about 57 years.

[sunnuvagun!]

>your "out of the box" claim of almost 5-1/2 years ago notwithstanding.

Poor baby. Poor Brother Jimmie, monk at the Church of
Saint Hiram, having doubts about his LIFE CALLING in
the AMATEUR ORDER. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

A HOBBY is NOT a Life Calling, Jimmie. It doesn't
require LIFELONG DEVOTION and Absolute Adherence to
the VOWS taken when one entered the Order.

I never made a SACRED VOW in HERE on anything,
"Sweetums." You are trying to MANUFACTURE that
condition. Nice misdirection on what HAD been a
discussion of public database numbers versus Bro.
Jimmie's concept of U.S. ham radio (as seen from
the insides of his mind's monastery).

I've taken ONE VOW absolute. In my marriage
ceremony. I wear only ONE ring, a wedding ring.
I've taken ANOTHER VOW absolute...that of defending
the U.S. Constitution when I was inducted into the
U.S. Army. I still hold to BOTH those vows.
NO problem to me. Those are absolute.

You apparently think some newsgroup content is
EQUIVALENT to such an absolute VOW to be held
forever. If so, you are as nuts as your buddy
and amateur extra role-model for all hams, Stebie
the Avenging Angle of Dearth.

Go step out of your monastery, Bro. Jimmie.

Peace be unto you.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

862. Re: The toads hit counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 20:22:44 UTC
From: rrap Clean Up Crew <rrcuc@rrcuctgcd.org>
Message-ID: <d6326mskp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108091

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1116011504.646597.122360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

////////usual k4yz trash & garbage flushed////////

73,

rrap Clean Up Crew

863. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 20:25:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116015938.718455.145990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108093

>Sociopath Steve wrote:
>And your phone compnay/ISP had EVIDENCE of your misdeeds in hand.
>I sent it to them.

Yeah, one sided evidence, Speaking of which some friends of mine at the
FBI are wondering about this comment you made a few days ago.

"Can any of us say for certain how long we'll be here...?!?!
Sudden sickness...a car accident...a stray bullet from a drive
by...?!?!

Who knows. The press is full of sad stories of early demise. And
who knows who will be next...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ"

Their just wondering.

Todd N9OGL

864. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 20:45:09 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 108094

On 13 May 2005 13:18:30 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:
:
: I don't attend to teach english......my field is computer science.
:

Oh? Can you describe the differences among (1) a compiler, (2) an incremental compiler, and (3) an interpreter?

What is a left linear grammar? What is Backus Naur notation used to describe?

What is RPN? What is an overloaded C++ operator? What is a Touring machine? What is SGML?

And lastly: What is a "Roger Wiseman LIFO?" If you can answer this question, I'll give you credit for all of the others.

==========
"The feminist agenda is not about equal rights for women. It is about a socialist, anti-family political movement that encourages women to leave their husbands, kill their children, practice witchcraft, destroy capitalism and become lesbians." -- Pat Robertson

865. Re: new to group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 20:57:59 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116017879.195033.308260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108095

Welcome to REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY!!!

Newsgroup moderator is Steve Robeson K4YZ

Todd N9OGL
"The Voice of Amateur Radio

866. Re: new to group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 21:02:06 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <jKmdnUuvv9dLiBjfRVn-pA@crocker.com>
Source record: 108096

if you like this you'll love nino on 14195!

"rchrdprss" <rchrdpress@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:42841a9e$1_2@spool9-west.superfeed.net...
> This is SO funny.
>
>
>
> I have kids in their late teens, and I'm over 50, and I have not seen so
> much petty nonsense since high school!
>
>
>
> This is great. I am SO glad I found this group. This is great
> entertainment!
>
> Much better than just searching the waves...
>
>
>
> RP
>
>

867. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 21:52:02 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <a9KdnZds_Y8ZvBjfRVn-1g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108098

N9OGL wrote:

> I DIDN'T MAKE FUN OF YOUR POST I ADDED OTHER LINKS...DON'T JUST READ
> SHIT OFF ONE SITE...GET ALL THE FACTS.
>
> n9ogl
You didn't make fun?

Toad said "That was a state court hahahaha"
Looks like making fun to me!

The toad caught in yet another lie.
I didn't need to interpret the law toad, And It says in my post that he planned to appeal in defense of his right to freedom of speech. I didn't need to post the laws and the producers names of the shows, and I bet if We look at goggled would find at least 20 sites with info! That's part of your problem, redundancy. Every time you post something should I c&p the info from the ARRL also?
The main question for you to answer is WHAT EDUCATIONAL VALUE IS A TALKING PENIS? You going to put one of those on your show?
Shifting to toadebonics:
OH weight, you already dew have one.

868. Re: Anti-Baxter Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 22:10:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <TB9he.200$M36.109@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108151

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1115931944.816990.91070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> DAN,
>
> What's going to piss you off is when the FCC renews Glenn's License,
> and I'll be laughing SOOOOO at you so hard.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

No Toad, it won't piss me off. The FCC is so beyond making any sense that
nothing would surprise me.

Dan/W4NTI

869. Re: Anti-Baxter Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 22:11:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <MC9he.202$M36.107@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108150

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:g62dnW0hi76kRx7fRVn-1A@centurytel.net...
>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> DAN,
>>
>> What's going to piss you off is when the FCC renews Glenn's License,
>> and I'll be laughing SOOOOO at you so hard.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>
> huh?
>

I used my Russian Crylic alphabet translater from intercept school to help
with the translation.

Dan/W4NTI

870. Re: Check What This Guy Says About ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 22:13:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <FE9he.204$M36.130@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108152

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1115969056.113372.99480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Userbeam Remailer wrote:
>> www.ny1e.com/arrl.htm
>
> Sayeth your hero NY1E "I quit the league and sent back my volunteer
> examiner papers years ago when they proposed giving Novices' phone
> privileges".
>
> Uh-huh. Right.
>
> I had a Novice ticket which included phone priveleges before your
> "hero" was even BORN!
>
> Bwaaahaha!
>
> Nitwits.
>
> w3rv
>
I had a novice ticket with 2meter phone privledges on it also. Way way back
there in 1961. Your right....nitwits.

Dan/W4NTI

871. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 22:15:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <1G9he.205$M36.21@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108154

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115924764.319339.130600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>> news:1115780646.423750.180180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > Hey Dan i'll be happy to sing for you good ol' boys how about a
> round
>> > of yankee Doodle.
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL
>> >
>> Sounds good Toad, or better yet, sing Dixie. It was written by a
> yank ya
>> know.
>
> Hey Dan...I bet Toiddie hasn't strapped on that illegal ultralight
> ever since I pointed out that TEAM HiMAX is made in Tennessee...That
> had to chap his hide!
>
> Nickle says he won't tell us about his "station" 'cuz it's
> probably Ten-Tec, made in...A-yup...T E N N E S S E E ! ! ! ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I doubt he has a station any longer after RH chewed him up.

Dan/W4NTI

872. Re: friday 13th brodcast about minute men and fucking border patrole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 22:15:40 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <2F9he.5699$5u4.20205@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 108153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If you don't want to look bad, perhaps you could learn to spell, observe
some basic grammar and abandon expletives.

Just a suggestion.
Your humble servant,
O.G.

George Orwell wrote:
> WHEN MINUTE MEN LEAVE PETROL FUCKING BORDER PETROL TOLD NO YOU DONT
> MAKE MORE ARESTS SO WE DONT LOOK BAD. POST NEWSPAPER HAS PROOF AND
> WE HAVE HAM BORDER MINUTE MAN TALK ALL OF THAT AND MORE. YOU BE THEIR
> FOR BRODCAST ON 14.320 PLUS OR MUNUS 30 TONIGHT.
>
> THIS IS BIG EXPLOSAVE STORY WHAT ARRL WOULDNT TELL YOU BUT WE WILL.
>

873. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 22:16:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <NG9he.206$M36.56@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108155

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1115925770.238261.250810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >STEBIE SAID
>> Hey Dan...I bet Toiddie hasn't strapped on that illegal ultralight
>>ever since I pointed out that TEAM HiMAX is made in Tennessee...That
>>had to chap his hide!
>
> Not anymore, they went bankrupt a few years back and was bought by a
> company I believe in Minnesota. You know it's been kind of nice out
> this week I think I'll go up since I have no more school.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Don't forget to drift over Washington DC. Do us all a favor.

Dan/W4NTI

874. Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6) This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ. The good news is that you can be sure you are going to Heaven when this life is over if you allow Jesus Christ to save you today. Do not wait until later to be saved because you do not know exactly when you will die. [Posted by: RonaldGrossi3826@yahoo.com on May 13, 2005] [6:27:43 pm]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 22:27:22 UTC
From: RonaldGrossi3826@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116023242.382035.183640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108156
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

http://www.gotquestions.org/sinners-prayer.html << I saw this site on a search directory. Great Resource!

875. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 22:29:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116023353.979565.287260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108157

Well I don't you fucking Dickhead!! Spell Check that asshole!!!

876. Re: cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 22:30:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116023435.643238.62870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108158

Danny,

Tell him to go for it!!!

N9OGL

877. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 23:44:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116027847.707156.125570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108159

>Some Moron Said
>WHAT EDUCATIONAL VALUE IS A TALKING PENIS?

Public Access doesn't have to be Educational. It adult entertainment
and it was aired after 10pm even in broadcasting if aired at that time
would be legal.

Todd N9OGL

878. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 23:56:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <2Lmdna7fVLvwzRTfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108145

Bill Sohl wrote:

> Failure to consider the details? There's no accounting for stupid
> decisions or apparently the Text Messgae
> champion didn't do his homework. If he had, he'd know
> morse code experts exceed his own 160 character per minute
> Guiness World Record rate.
>

But the text message whiz kids were probably certain that text
messaging, being the latest technology, would just have to blow away
something as ancient as Morse code. Guess they found out differently.

879. Re: k4yz violates aol tos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-13 23:59:44 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <-b6dnR2SJ_rkohjfRVn-iw@crocker.com>
Source record: 108160

i thought aol eliminated usenet access????

"Nomen Nescio" <nobody@dizum.com> wrote in message
news:faec92c0757aa71af65fba338d256b1c@dizum.com...
> report filed with aol
>

880. Re: k4yz violates aol tos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 00:10:02 UTC
From: Annie B Bad <Nospam@dogs>
Message-ID: <d63fkhjkc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108161

"Nomen Nescio" <nobody@dizum.com> wrote in message
news:faec92c0757aa71af65fba338d256b1c@dizum.com...
report filed with aol

You GO, Wogie!

881. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 00:22:53 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <OJKdnZoMI6BD2RjfRVn-vA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108162

N9OGL wrote:
> 1. I HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO VIEW MY BLOG I DON'T KNOW ANY, NOR DO
> I KNOW WHO CONNECTS TO MY BLOG I HAVE NO WAY KNOWING....IF IT WAS
> COMPUTER I COULD TELL YOU, BUT SINCE IT IS ON GOOGLE'S COMPUTER I HAVE
> NO WAY OF KNOWING.

I said to name 1 person HERE who want's to read your blog stuff HERE,
you have it posted on your blog, so why post it HERE if nobody want's
to see it? Can you HEAR me now?
One question still unanswered

> 2. BECAUSE I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH AUTHORITY, EXPECIALLY WHEN IT COME
> FROM A SMALL DUMBASS TELEPHONE COMPANY WHO LISTENS TO HAM OPERATOR WHO
> THINKS HE THE MODERATOR OF THIS NEWSGROUP AS IS NOT. A TELEPHONE
> COMPANY WHO DOES NOT INVESTIGATE ANYTHING BUT ONLY GO'S ON BY
> COMPLAINTS

I asked: If you were booted from here, why are you here? Don't read too
much into this, just a question, I'm not saying you can't be here!
Two questions still unanswered

> 3 I DON'T HAVE CHILDERN
Thank goodness for this!

AND THERE IS A VAST MAJORITY OF FILTERING
> SOFTWARE THAT PARENTS CAN BY.
>SECONDLY MY SITE IS SHIT,

Truer words were never spoken

>THERE ARE SITES OUT THERE WORSE THEN MINE....
>WHY DON'T YOU COMPLAIN ABOUT THEM??
>
> N9OGL
>
Because (drum roll) When a child that may be interested in Amateur radio
does a search they won't come across any site as disgusting as yours. I
don't care about the other porno/dirt sites, BUT when a child stumbles
across your site and you claim to be "THE VOICE of AMATEUR RADIO". Well
toad, what do you think they will think of ham radio then?

882. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 00:24:59 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <OJKdnZUMl6DG2BjfRVn-vA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108163

N9OGL wrote:

> Well I don't you fucking Dickhead!! Spell Check that asshole!!!
>
And this reply is meant for who? Kind of makes you wonder who the real
Richard Cranium is now doesn't it?

883. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 00:37:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <MKydnT5RpMr5-xjfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108167

N9OGL wrote:
> Well I don't you fucking Dickhead!! Spell Check that asshole!!!
>

Again you show your complete immaturity. A 30+ year old that throws
tantrums like a 6 year old. Grow up toddieboy!!

884. Re: The toads hit counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 00:54:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116032067.444215.135960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108164

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > Every picture of a female family member was taken with at
> > > > least one of them with their mouth in gear...this is obviously
> > Todd's
> > > > only way to get a word in without sputtering "But Auntie...but
> > > > Auntie...but Auntie..."
> > >
> > > Why is Steve so fixated on "female family members" of other
> rrap'ers?
> >
> > That's a very disturbing observation. I'm still trying to figure
out
> > his mention of "terrorizing wives" remarks some time ago.
>
> What's disturbing is your failure to find the alleged
> "terrorizing" comments and re-cite them.
>
> I have, of course, addressed it several times, but you continue
to
> misrepresent the truth.

Failure to find it???

I read it the first time around and I've reposted it several times.

You address it by pretending it doesn't exist. You lie to yourself,
and you lie to people on RRAP. Who else do you lie to?

885. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 00:56:07 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <J-ednZUSmo810RjfRVn-vQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108165

N9OGL wrote:

> Information Bulletins
>
> Ok, this is for those fucking whinny little amateur radio operators who
> have a fucking hard time reading the rules and regulations and are to
> busy breastfeeding off of there mama's tit. I know due to their
> fucking inbreeding that they don't have the mental capacity to read
> anyway, and for fucking morons who are going to post off the subject
> material don't waste my fucking time, I will delete that shit from my
> blog. I know you all are my "FANS" but I'm fighting a war here
> against assholes who is want to suppress my right to free speech. So
> for you fucking retarded C.B. operators (and you know who you are) take
> that dildo out of each other's ass and listen up. I know those fat
> bastards on rec.radio.amateur.policy don't have a clue when it comes
> to rules and regulations so let me spell it out for you.

SNIP SNIP SNIP

You are going to SPELL it out for somebody? Thanks toad for the best
laugh I've had all week.
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> The N9OGL Show
> "The EMBARRASSMENT of Amateur Radio"
^^^^^^^^^^^^^

886. Steve is Short...short on facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 01:07:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116032829.087510.243270@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108166

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > Steve lies.
> > >
> > > You keep saying that, Brain, but you've not supplied
evidence
> of
> > a
> > > single one...
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I took a 3-week long snapshot of your postings and saw 29 lies, and
I
> > didn't even read all of your squabbles with the RRA.MISC guys.
>
> And you haven't validated a one of them.
>
> Not a one.
>
> Nice troll, however.

No troll. Just facts.

Speaking of Facts, got any? Scan 'em and post 'em. Especially the one
about "A" NCOIC of MARS/Okinawa.

887. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 01:17:59 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <UO6dnaq2FfUW-BTfRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108146

Lloyd wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>>KC8GXW wrote:
>>
>>>May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
>>>a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
>>>messaging team and a Morse code team.
>>
>>>The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken >
>>
>>Miller K6CTW.
>>
>>They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
>>text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his hand
>>(signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
>>
>>And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>
>
> YAWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWN!
>
>
>
>
Lloyd yawns because he doesn't understand Morse code.

888. Re: The toads hit counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 17:55:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116093341.515859.227380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108168

From: "bb" on Fri,May 13 2005 5:54 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K□B wrote:
>> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>> > >
>> > > > Every picture of a female family member was taken with at
>> > > > least one of them with their mouth in gear...this is obviously
>> > Todd's
>> > > > only way to get a word in without sputtering "But Auntie...but
>> > > > Auntie...but Auntie..."
>> > >
>> > > Why is Steve so fixated on "female family members" of other
>> rrap'ers?
>> >
>> > That's a very disturbing observation. I'm still trying to figure
out
>> > his mention of "terrorizing wives" remarks some time ago.
>>
>> What's disturbing is your failure to find the alleged
>> "terrorizing" comments and re-cite them.
>>
>> I have, of course, addressed it several times, but you continue
to
>> misrepresent the truth.
>
>Failure to find it???
>
>I read it the first time around and I've reposted it several times.
>
>You address it by pretending it doesn't exist. You lie to yourself,
>and you lie to people on RRAP. Who else do you lie to?

...to the voices in his head...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

889. Re: Robeson has Excrementia!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 17:59:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116093555.485630.192280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108169

From: "bb" on Fri,May 13 2005 6:07 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > bb wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > Steve lies.
>> > >
>> > > You keep saying that, Brain, but you've not supplied
evidence of a
>> > > single one...
>> > >
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> > I took a 3-week long snapshot of your postings and saw 29 lies,
and I
>> > didn't even read all of your squabbles with the RRA.MISC guys.
>>
>> And you haven't validated a one of them.
>>
>> Not a one.
>>
>> Nice troll, however.
>
>No troll. Just facts.
>
>Speaking of Facts, got any? Scan 'em and post 'em. Especially the
one
>about "A" NCOIC of MARS/Okinawa.

I've been in and around military organizations between
1952 and 1989 and have NEVER seen, not even heard
mentioned, of any "Assistant" NCOIC (Non-Commissioned
Officer In Charge) TITLE.

That may be a "new" title in military hierarchy?

Could be that the USMC does things "differently." They
like to call the equivalent of a Private First Class as
"Lance Corporal." Much more "important" a title.

I'm still waiting for the Avenging Angle of Dearth to
supply his "authority" ("assistant" or otherwise) on
Somalian Radio Regulations...and of the USAF command
functions in regards to communications.

I've sort of given up on the Assistant to supply his "authority" to "just pick up a phone and, using his 'medical qualifications', have anyone else 'picked up' [by other authorities]."

MARS on Okinawa must have gotten a lot bigger since the 60s. Perhaps they added a second cushion to the Operating Office single desk chair? Sort of an "Assistant" cushion? As is common in the military, that second cushion would be abbreviated "Ass." [seems to fit him somehow...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

890. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 18:49:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108170

KC8GXW wrote:
> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
> messaging team and a Morse code team.

> The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken >
Miller K6CTW.

They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his hand
(signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.

And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...

73 de Jim, N2EY

891. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 19:01:48 UTC
From: Lloyd <pizza@lloyddamanpizza.com>
Message-ID: <d65hqp$1e7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108171

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> KC8GXW wrote:
>> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
>> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
>> messaging team and a Morse code team.
>
>> The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken >
> Miller K6CTW.
>
> They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
> text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his hand
> (signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
>
> And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

YAWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWN!

892. Re: Robeson has Excrementia!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 20:50:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116103833.207118.306590@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108172

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Fri,May 13 2005 6:07 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > bb wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > > Steve lies.
> >> > >
> >> > > You keep saying that, Brain, but you've not supplied
> evidence of a
> >> > > single one...
> >> > >
> >> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> > I took a 3-week long snapshot of your postings and saw 29 lies,
> and I
> >> > didn't even read all of your squabbles with the RRA.MISC guys.
> >>
> >> And you haven't validated a one of them.
> >>
> >> Not a one.
> >>
> >> Nice troll, however.
> >
> >No troll. Just facts.
> >
> >Speaking of Facts, got any? Scan 'em and post 'em. Especially the
> one
> >about "A" NCOIC of MARS/Okinawa.
>
> I've been in and around military organizations between
> 1952 and 1989 and have NEVER seen, not even heard
> mentioned, of any "Assistant" NCOIC (Non-Commissioned
> Officer In Charge) TITLE.
>
> That may be a "new" title in military hierarchy?

I held the title of "Assistant Chief, Weather Station Operations" while
chopped to the 2nd Infantry Division, Camp Casey AIN, ROK.

It was not a rank, and it was not an "NCOIC" billet. It was a
"weather" thing.

> Could be that the USMC does things "differently."

No doubt. The USMC probably did things "differently" than Steve
remembers.

They
> like to call the equivalent of a Private First Class as
> "Lance Corporal." Much more "important" a title.

Ah, yes. The "jousting" Corporals.

> I'm still waiting for the Avenging Angle of Dearth to
> supply his "authority" ("assistant" or otherwise) on
> Somalian Radio Regulations...and of the USAF command
> functions in regards to communications.

Don't. He has none. He doesn't even have the documents for the things
he claims of himself. He's one doc shy of a load.

> I've sort of given up on the Assistant to supply his
> "authority" to "just pick up a phone and, using his
> 'medical qualifications', have anyone else 'picked
> up' [by other authorities]."

I remain a free man. He must of been smoking crack when he made those
unsubstantiated claims of absolute authority.

> MARS on Okinawa must have gotten a lot bigger since
> the 60s. Perhaps they added a second cushion to the
> Operating Office single desk chair? Sort of an
> "Assistant" cushion? As is common in the military,
> that second cushion would be abbreviated "Ass."
> [seems to fit him somehow...]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Some of the guys probably thought that of me. Goes with the job.

But it sure took Stevie Wonder a long time to remember what the "A"
stood for. Medication?

893. Re: The toads hit counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 20:53:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116104003.145001.312870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108173

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Fri,May 13 2005 5:54 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K□B wrote:
> >> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >> > >
> >> > > > Every picture of a female family member was taken with at
> >> > > > least one of them with their mouth in gear...this is
obviously
> >> > Todd's
> >> > > > only way to get a word in without sputtering "But
Auntie...but
> >> > > > Auntie...but Auntie..."
> >> > >
> >> > > Why is Steve so fixated on "female family members" of other
> >> rrap'ers?
> >> >
> >> > That's a very disturbing observation. I'm still trying to
figure
> out
> >> > his mention of "terrorizing wives" remarks some time ago.
> >>
> >> What's disturbing is your failure to find the alleged
> >> "terrorizing" comments and re-cite them.
> >>
> >> I have, of course, addressed it several times, but you
continue
> to
> >> misrepresent the truth.
> >
> >Failure to find it???
> >
> >I read it the first time around and I've reposted it several times.
> >
> >You address it by pretending it doesn't exist. You lie to yourself,
> >and you lie to people on RRAP. Who else do you lie to?
>
> ...to the voices in his head...

They don't believe him, either. So sad.

894. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 21:32:43 UTC
From: gareth <cronjob@btconnect.com>
Message-ID: <d65qpr$n6$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 108175

The Full video is available at:

www.qrq.org.uk

QRQ=Please Send Faster !!!

ACT NOW TO STOP DE-REGULATION OFD AMATEUR RADIO IN THE UK _ THE LAND WHERE IT ALL STARTED !!!!!!!! - see http://www.rsgb.org.uk/news/sigbrief.pdf this has WORLDWIDE REPO.CUSHIONS

--
--73deG1LVN
http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM
bring a bottle!

895. Re: group trolls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 21:33:49 UTC
From: gareth <cronjob@btconnect.com>
Message-ID: <d65qrtmpi1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 108174

www.g1lvn.org.uk/troll.htm

--
--73deG1LVN
http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM
bring a bottle!

896. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 22:09:50 UTC
From: gareth <cronjob@btconnect.com>
Message-ID: <d65svd$s80$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 108176

Agreed.

I blame CB

--
--73deG1LVN
http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM
bring a bottle!

897. Re: I ASK YOU...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 22:51:07 UTC
From: SMUG G1 <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1116111067.276791.307100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108177
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
--> rrrr has Entered the room.
rrrr .o0( j )
<-- rrrr has Left the room.
--> gareth has Entered the room.
<-- gareth has Left the room.
--> g3vki has Entered the room.
g3vki > hello
g3vki > CQ
<-- g3vki has Left the room.
somebody > feck
--> g3vki has Entered the room.
g3vki > hello again
* g3vki Waves goodbye *
<-- g3vki has Left the room.
--> bob has Entered the room.
<-- bob has Left the room.
--> GM6QE has Entered the room.
GM6QE > hello
<-- GM6QE has Left the room.
--> GM6QE has Entered the room.
<-- GM6QE has Left the room.
--> GM6QE has Entered the room.
GM6QE > does this do anything ?
GM6QE > Reminds me of 4m
* GM6QE Looks around *
* GM6QE Looks around *
* GM6QE Ponders *
* GM6QE Waves goodbye *
<-- GM6QE has Left the room.
--> Leo has Entered the room.
Leo > this seem's a waste of time
<-- Leo has Left the room.
--> GM6QE has Entered the room.
<-- GM6QE has Left the room.
--> g3vki has Entered the room.
g3vki > hello, me again
<-- g3vki has Left the room.
somebody > by george OM is that you g3vki?
<-- somebody has Left the room.
--> Crazy88 has Entered the room.
<-- Crazy88 has Left the room.
--> Nick has Entered the room.
--> Shirley has Entered the room.
```

```
Shirley > Hi handsome, want some action on 2m?
--> BONA has Entered the room.
* Shirley voodoo doll Carole *
Shirley > fuck me BONA !!!
* Shirley voddo doll's Carole *
<-- BONA has Left the room.
Shirley > G1LVN where r u?
Shirley > ... hiding
Shirley > _TWO DEGREES means _DIVIDED LOYALTIES
Shirley > nice photo Walts. Who ate all the pies?
* Shirley wipes his cock and rolls over *
* Shirley Giggles *
Shirley > 1 2 3 o'clock 4 o'clock rock - it's teh Big Man himsel -->f
Magnun!!
Shirley > 1 2 3 o'clock 4 o'clock rock - it's teh Big Man himsel
Magnun!!
Shirley > 1 2 3 o'clock 4 o'clock rock - it's teh Big Man himsel -->f
Shirley > 1 2 3 o'clock 4 o'clock rock - it's teh Big Man himsel -->f
<-- Shirley has Left the room.
--> Carole has Entered the room.
Carole > Jim344
<-- Carole has Left the room.
--> Spunker has Entered the room.
<-- Spunker has Left the room.
--> SideShowBob has Entered the room.
--> pezzy has Entered the room.
* pezzy hi all *
<-- pezzy has Left the room.
.zpKKK .o0{ XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX. }
.zpKKK > hi all?
.zpKKK > hi
.zpKKK > begorra

--73deG1LVN
www.g1lvn.org.uk/audio.htm
```

898. More Proof That Brian P. Burke Is A Habitual Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 23:02:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116111769.641509.127020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108178

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > What's disturbing is your failure to find the alleged
> > "terrorizing" comments and re-cite them.
> >
> > I have, of course, addressed it several times, but you
continue
> to
> > misrepresent the truth.
>
> Failure to find it???
>
> I read it the first time around and I've reposted it several times.

No, you've reposted YOUR assertions that I was suggesting
"terrorizing wives" and "slashing tires".

I never stated that.

I DID state that there have been people who have suffered those
crimes when they made it a point of being a newsgroup or chat room
antagonist...Like your buddy Lennie.

I have REPEATEDLY stated that that is unacceptable behaviour.

You, on the otherhand, have only been repeating your claims
WITHOUT substantiation.

> You address it by pretending it doesn't exist. You lie to yourself,
> and you lie to people on RRAP. Who else do you lie to?

No one. Your're the liar, Brain, and you will prove it by not
quoting the item YOU claim is my "threat" to "terrorize" family members
and "slash tires".

Brian P. Burke, N0IMD, is a habitual liar.

Period.

Steve, K4YZ

899. Re: k4yz violates aol tos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 23:04:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116111861.719901.181530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108179

Nomen Nescio wrote:

> report filed with aol

Uh huh...Good luck.

Steve, K4YZ

900. Re: I ASK YOU...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 23:06:26 UTC
From: G1LVN <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1116111986.834575.197570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108180
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
.zpKKK > hi all?
.zpKKK > hi
.zpKKK > begorra
.zpKKK > I just saved a buch of money on my car insurance !

www.qrq.org.uk
```

901. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 23:06:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <U-WdnRZzK-FsOxvfRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108194

N9OGL wrote:
> Fuck you steve you fucking bitchwhore, i hope one of these days your
> fucking ISP kick's your ass off the Net.
>
> n9ogl
>

Way to go toddieboy, you just continue to prove how immature and
childish you are.

902. .zpKKK > I just saved a buch of money on my car insurance !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 23:10:16 UTC
From: G1LVN <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1116112216.267716.213760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108181

it's at:
htp://www.qrq.org.uk (qrq=please send faster) - video, takes a few mins
to load - But it's the Full Jay Leno Video

--73deG1lVN
http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM
bring a bottle!

903. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 23:14:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116112487.892361.32050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108182

N9OGL wrote:
> >Sociopath Steve wrote:
> >And your phone compnay/ISP had EVIDENCE of your misdeeds in hand.
> >I sent it to them.
>
> Yeah, one sided evidence...(SNIP)

Nope. I gave them newsgroup attributes, links to this forum,
links to your previous claims to illegal beahviour. They had a chance
to see both sides, Todd.

> Speaking of which some friends of mine at the
> FBI are wondering about this comment you made a few days ago.

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
! ! ! ! ! ! !

> Their just wondering.

Liar! And it's "They're wondering".

You fit right in with Brian Burke and Lennie Anderson! They both
have lot's of make-believe friends too!

Steve, K4YZ

904. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 23:18:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116112730.044623.92590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108183

N9OGL wrote:
> >Sociopath Steve said
> >I sure hope you can wax floors and flip hamburgers, Todd, because
> >you'll never make it as a English teacher!
>
> I don't attend to teach english......my field is computer science.

It's "intend", Todd...And you got the attributes wrong.

But I am sure glad to hear that you're not going to teach
English...If you are as "good" at "computer science" as you are
English, then I'd bone up on these phrases:

"Would you like to super size that order?"

"Be careful there Sir...I just laid fresh wax there..."

Steve, K4YZ

905. Re: friday 13th brodcast about minute men and ####ing border patrole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 23:22:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116112969.704201.174750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bob wrote:
> If you don't want to look bad, perhaps you could learn to spell,
observe
> some basic grammar and abandon expletives.
>
> Just a suggestion.
> Your humble servant,
> O.G.

Never mind Todd. He thinks if he adds an anonymous remailer
"buddy" it will look like there's more than one person involved in his
folly.

Steve, K4YZ

906. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 23:27:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116113228.323418.316330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108185

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve,
>
> Bull, my show is just a legal as W1AW and hey I don't see you
> complaining about that station.

Because they know the difference between a "bulletin" and a
"show".

W1AW's information is generally timely, is to the point, is
without editorialization, can be heard from across the street to across
the world, and is DEPENDABLE.

You, on the otherhand, are poorly informed, loudmouthed, profane,
can't get enough RF out to illuminate a lightbulb, and tend to
"broadcst" whenever whatever "obligations" you have allow you to try.

Steve, K4YZ

907. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-14 23:30:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116113415.052790.311440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108186

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey steve eat #### and die

Those last five neurons firing again, Todd...???

> THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

Not in this lifetime, Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

908. N0IMD: Liar >>AND<< Coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 00:02:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116112197.871089.111340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108187

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Steve lies.
> > > >
> > > > You keep saying that, Brain, but you've not supplied
> evidence
> > of
> > > > a
> > > > single one...
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > I took a 3-week long snapshot of your postings and saw 29 lies,
and
> I
> > > didn't even read all of your squabbles with the RRA.MISC guys.
> >
> > And you haven't validated a one of them.
> >
> > Not a one.
> >
> > Nice troll, however.
>
> No troll. Just facts.
>
> Speaking of Facts, got any? Scan 'em and post 'em. Especially the
one
> about "A" NCOIC of MARS/Okinawa.

It's already been "scared up"...

Dates...times...Places...

What's missing is adequate testosterone levels in the Burke
residence to verify them.

This would be directly due to Brian P Burke being a coward.

Not my problem.

Steve, K4YZ

909. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 00:27:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116116842.817133.214980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108188

Fuck you steve you fucking bitchwhore, i hope one of these days your fucking ISP kick's your ass off the Net.

n9ogl

910. Re: I ASK YOU...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 00:38:15 UTC
From: Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk>
Message-ID: <XRwhe.6785$hn5.332@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 108189
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

SMUG G1 wrote:
> --> rrrr has Entered the room.
> rrrr .o0(j)
> <-- rrrr has Left the room.
> --> gareth has Entered the room.
> <-- gareth has Left the room.
> --> g3vki has Entered the room.
> g3vki > hello
> g3vki > CQ
> <-- g3vki has Left the room.
> somebody > feck
> --> g3vki has Entered the room.
> g3vki > hello again
> * g3vki Waves goodbye *
> <-- g3vki has Left the room.
> --> bob has Entered the room.
> <-- bob has Left the room.
> --> GM6QE has Entered the room.
> GM6QE > hello
> <-- GM6QE has Left the room.
> --> GM6QE has Entered the room.
> <-- GM6QE has Left the room.
> --> GM6QE has Entered the room.
> GM6QE > does this do anything ?
> GM6QE > Reminds me of 4m
> * GM6QE Looks around *
> * GM6QE Looks around *
> * GM6QE Ponders *
> * GM6QE Waves goodbye *
> <-- GM6QE has Left the room.
> --> Leo has Entered the room.
> Leo > this seem's a waste of time
> <-- Leo has Left the room.
> --> GM6QE has Entered the room.
> <-- GM6QE has Left the room.
> --> g3vki has Entered the room.
> g3vki > hello, me again
> <-- g3vki has Left the room.
> somebody > by george OM is that you g3vki?
> <-- somebody has Left the room.
> --> Crazy88 has Entered the room.
> <-- Crazy88 has Left the room.
> --> Nick has Entered the room.

> --> Shirley has Entered the room.
> Shirley > Hi handsome, want some action on 2m?
> --> BONA has Entered the room.
> * Shirley voodoo doll Carole *
> Shirley > fuck me BONA !!!
> * Shirley voddo doll's Carole *
> <-- BONA has Left the room.
> Shirley > G1LVN where r u?
> Shirley > ... hiding
> Shirley > _TWO DEGREES means _DIVIDED LOYALTIES
> Shirley > nice photo Walts. Who ate all the pies?
> * Shirley wipes his cock and rolls over *
> * Shirley Giggles *
> Shirley > 1 2 3 o'clock 4 o'clock rock - it's teh Big Man himsel -->f
> Magnun!!
> Shirley > 1 2 3 o'clock 4 o'clock rock - it's teh Big Man himsel
> Magnun!!
> Shirley > 1 2 3 o'clock 4 o'clock rock - it's teh Big Man himsel -->f
> Shirley > 1 2 3 o'clock 4 o'clock rock - it's teh Big Man himsel -->f
> <-- Shirley has Left the room.
> --> Carole has Entered the room.
> Carole > Jim344
> <-- Carole has Left the room.
> --> Spunker has Entered the room.
> <-- Spunker has Left the room.
> --> SideShowBob has Entered the room.
> --> pezzy has Entered the room.
> * pezzy hi all *
> <-- pezzy has Left the room.
> ..zpKKK .o0{ XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX. }
> ..zpKKK > hi all?
> ..zpKKK > hi
> ..zpKKK > begorra
>
> --73deG1LVN
> www.g1lvn.org.uk/audio.htm
>
Is there a way of showing the history without being connected all the time?
How do you stop it timing out and disconnecting you, or is it just me
that happens to?
The room on my web site is VERY quiet.
--
;-)
73 de Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI - mine's a pint.
http://turner-smith.co.uk

911. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 00:46:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116117977.336880.115680@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108190

>STEVE SAID
>Not in this lifetime, Todd.

sure am, I am the voice of amateur radio, No one wants to hear those news bulletins, what they want to hear is a true voice someone who will not bullshit about an Issue. Someone who will tell it as it is. Don't worry Steve Hopefully by fall I will be transmitting all the amateur bands from 160 Meters to 2.4 Ghz. So you can go listen to your crappy little W1AW but the reality is amateur's want a real voice and little buddy your at him, enjoy

73
Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
14.321.00 MHz
52.430.00 MHz
145.650.00 MHz
Power 100 Watts.

912. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 01:11:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116119465.807073.301960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108191

>Bteve said
>W1AW's information is generally timely, is to the point, is
>without editorialization, can be heard from across the street to
across
>the world, and is DEPENDABLE.

First off there is no rule that states a bulletin can't be a editoral, if there is show me, because frankly you have yet to show me a rule or an FCC decision that states that. So until you do, I've come to the conclusion NOT to read or even respond to your post until you can prove me wrong. Oh by the way if a newscast isn't suppose to be a editorial then I suggest you get hold of the Fox News Network Because the "MAJORITY" of their "NEWSCAST" are editorial.

I would also Like you prove me wrong (in my program) that I am "uninformed" as for not getting enough power to light a lightbulb, I have at my disposal a assortment of RF Transmitter which are able to get me out (Some are as high as 10 Kw) I have collected a huge assortement of radio transmitters over the last 14 years so don't worry if you think I can't get out. As for time the FCC has never stated there is a time limit on how long a bulletin may be. Again prove me wrong Until you prove me wrong I am not going to respond to any of your post unitil you proven me wrong

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
THE TRUE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO!!!!
14.321.00 MHz
52.430.00 MHz

913. More Proof That Steven J Robeson K4YZ Is A Habitual Liar!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 01:14:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116119642.973977.145290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108192

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > What's disturbing is your failure to find the alleged
> > > "terrorizing" comments and re-cite them.
> > >
> > > I have, of course, addressed it several times, but you
> continue
> > to
> > > misrepresent the truth.
> >
> > Failure to find it???
> >
> > I read it the first time around and I've reposted it several times.
>
> No, you've reposted YOUR assertions that I was suggesting
> "terrorizing wives" and "slashing tires".

Then why did you state those words???

> I never stated that.

You DID!

> I DID state that there have been people who have suffered those
> crimes when they made it a point of being a newsgroup or chat room
> antagonist...

What people? Name them?

You made a thinly veiled threat that harm would come to Len or his wife
or his property because he disagreed with you.

> Like your buddy Lennie.

Like you!

> I have REPEATEDLY stated that that is unacceptable behaviour.

You are an unacceptable person behaving in an unacceptable manner and
spouting unacceptable statements!

> You, on the otherhand, have only been repeating your claims
> WITHOUT substantiation.

I merely show you your own words, and you hate it!

> > You address it by pretending it doesn't exist. You lie to
yourself,
> > and you lie to people on RRAP. Who else do you lie to?
>
> No one.

You're lying again!

914. Steve is Short...short on documentation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 01:28:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116120500.697732.200210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108193

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Steve lies.
> > > > >
> > > > > You keep saying that, Brain, but you've not supplied
> > evidence
> > > of
> > > > a
> > > > > single one...
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > I took a 3-week long snapshot of your postings and saw 29 lies,
> and
> > I
> > > > didn't even read all of your squabbles with the RRA.MISC guys.
> > >
> > > And you haven't validated a one of them.
> > >
> > > Not a one.
> > >
> > > Nice troll, however.
> >
> > No troll. Just facts.
> >
> > Speaking of Facts, got any? Scan 'em and post 'em. Especially the
> one
> > about "A" NCOIC of MARS/Okinawa.
>
> It's already been "scared up"...

"Scare" yourself, Silly Gunny.

But do post authentic documentation of your claimed appointment to "A"
NCOIC Okinawa MARS. Post 'em if you've got 'em!

> Dates...times...Places...

Heresay. Post authentic documentation.

> What's missing is adequate testosterone levels in the Burke
> residence to verify them.

Verifying your preposterous claims is not my job.

> This would be directly due to Brian P Burke being a coward.

Got anything better than that?

> Not my problem.

Lack of AUTHENTIC documentation is your problem. Put Up or Shut Up.

Remember your own words, "No documentation means it never happened."

Hi!

For future reference, stop making claims that you can't prove. Makes
you look even more stupid than you already are. Best of Luck.

915. Robeson will say just about anything...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 01:39:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116121148.742147.171640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >Sociopath Steve wrote:
> > >And your phone compnay/ISP had EVIDENCE of your misdeeds in hand.
> > >I sent it to them.
> >
> > Yeah, one sided evidence...(SNIP)
>
> Nope. I gave them newsgroup attributes, links to this forum,
> links to your previous claims to illegal beahviour. They had a
chance
> to see both sides, Todd.

Bricks through windows? Slashed tires? Terrorized wives? Violence?

All utterences of yours on rrap.

> > Speaking of which some friends of mine at the
> > FBI are wondering about this comment you made a few days ago.
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> > Their just wondering.
>
> Liar! And it's "They're wondering".

"Dialing...." Steve lets his fingers do the wandering. Hi, hi!

> You fit right in with Brian Burke and Lennie Anderson! They
both
> have lot's of make-believe friends too!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Sorry, no make believe friends, make believe phone calls, make believe
MARS appointments, nor make believe hostile actions.

That is entirely your make believe arena.

916. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 02:14:49 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <FPadndktWq8BLRvfRVn-jA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108196

N9OGL wrote:

>>Bteve said
>>W1AW's information is generally timely, is to the point, is
>>without editorialization, can be heard from across the street to
>
> across
>
>>the world, and is DEPENDABLE.
>
>
> First off there is no rule that states a bulletin can't be a editoral,
> if there is show me, because frankly you have yet to show me a rule or
> an FCC decision that states that. So until you do, I've come to the
> conclusion NOT to read or even respond to your post until you can prove
> me wrong.

More lies toad, everytime I prove you wrong all you do is type in caps
lock and call foul names!
> I would also Like you prove me wrong (in my program) that I am
> "uninformed" as for not getting enough power to light a lightbulb, I
> have at my disposal a assortment of RF Transmitter which are able to
> get me out (Some are as high as 10 Kw) I have collected a huge
> assortement of radio transmitters over the last 14 years

I thought all other ham radio operators were appliance operators and you
built/invented all your stuff?

> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> THE TRUE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO!!!!
> 14.321.00 MHz
> 52.430.00 MHz
>

917. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 02:22:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116123745.167459.153740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108197

>You, on the otherhand, are poorly informed, loudmouthed, profane,
>can't get enough RF out to illuminate a lightbulb, and tend to
>"broadcst" whenever whatever "obligations" you have allow you to try.

That's righjt Stebie, I do what I want, when I want!!!

SUCK IT!! and take that to the bank!!!

Todd N9OGL
B.A.P (BAD ASS PIRATE)

918. toad can't answer why he lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 02:26:52 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <FPadndgtWq_3LhvfRVn-jA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108198

N9OGL wrote:

> 1. I HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO VIEW MY BLOG I DON'T KNOW ANY, NOR DO
> I KNOW WHO CONNECTS TO MY BLOG I HAVE NO WAY KNOWING....IF IT WAS
> COMPUTER I COULD TELL YOU, BUT SINCE IT IS ON GOOGLE'S COMPUTER I HAVE
> NO WAY OF KNOWING.

I said to name 1 person HERE who want's to read your blog stuff HERE,
you have it posted on your blog, so why post it HERE if nobody want's
to see it? Can you HEAR me now?
One question still unanswered

> 2. BECAUSE I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH AUTHORITY, EXPECIALLY WHEN IT COME
> FROM A SMALL DUMBASS TELEPHONE COMPANY WHO LISTENS TO HAM OPERATOR WHO
> THINKS HE THE MODERATOR OF THIS NEWSGROUP AS IS NOT. A TELEPHONE
> COMPANY WHO DOES NOT INVESTIGATE ANYTHING BUT ONLY GO'S ON BY
> COMPLAINTS

I asked: If you were booted from here, why are you here? Don't read too
much into this, just a question, I'm not saying you can't be here!
Two questions still unanswered

> 3 I DON'T HAVE CHILDERN

Thank goodness for this!

AND THERE IS A VAST MAJORITY OF FILTERING

> SOFTWARE THAT PARENTS CAN BY. SECONDLY MY SITE IS SHIT,

Truer words were never spoken

> THERE ARE SITES OUT THERE WORSE THEN MINE....
> WHY DON'T YOU COMPLAIN ABOUT THEM??
>
> N9OGL
>
Because (drum roll) When a child that may be interested in Amateur radio
does a search they won't come across any site as disgusting as yours. I
don't care about the other porno/dirt sites, BUT when a child stumbles
across your site and you claim to be "THE VOICE of AMATEUR RADIO". Well
toad, what do you think they will think of ham radio then?

919. OFF his MEDS again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 02:40:10 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <F6WdnfugKuYaKxvfRVn-1A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108199

The toad now claims that Steve has tossed toad off of the internet!
The toad must have used his time machine to go back in time and post
this crap!

920. Re: Robeson has Excrementia!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 03:04:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116126285.522069.96510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108200

From: "bb" on Sat,May 14 2005 1:50 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Fri,May 13 2005 6:07 pm
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:

>> I've sort of given up on the Assistant to supply his
>> "authority" to "just pick up a phone and, using his
>> 'medical qualifications', have anyone else 'picked
>> up' [by other authorities]."
>
>I remain a free man. He must of been smoking crack when he made those
>unsubstantiated claims of absolute authority.
>
>> MARS on Okinawa must have gotten a lot bigger since
>> the 60s. Perhaps they added a second cushion to the
>> Operating Office single desk chair? Sort of an
>> "Assistant" cushion? As is common in the military,
>> that second cushion would be abbreviated "Ass."
>> [seems to fit him somehow...]
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Some of the guys probably thought that of me. Goes with the job.
>
>But it sure took Stevie Wonder a long time to remember what the "A"
>stood for. Medication?

...Alzheimer's

LenAnderson@ieee.org

921. Re: OFF his MEDS again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 03:09:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116126591.818991.40620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108201

He did you fucking dickhead.....quick smoking crack.

922. Re: OFF his MEDS again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 03:18:20 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <i4qdnSBNQIzmIhvfRVn-jg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108202

N9OGL wrote:

.....quick smoking crack.

I didn't call you names gay boy, you started it this time. He Kicked you off the internet? I thought it was this newsgroup? Two different things idiot. You get off the crack porn boy. You lie, lie, lie, you weren't booted off the internet you fool, get your mommy to proof read your illiterate post toilet breath.

923. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 03:22:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116127333.841528.109690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108203

>some little bitchwhore said

>More lies toad, everytime I prove you wrong all you do is type in caps

>lock and call foul names!

you have NOT stated anything worth debating. As for lying I think YOU
and you sociopathic boyfriend steve are the liars

>I thought all other ham radio operators were appliance operators and
you
>built/invented all your stuff?

I have and i've also bought commercial equipment.

Todd N9OGL
THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

924. Re: OFF his MEDS again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 03:25:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116127528.640537.124120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108204

Hey dickhead according to their letter you lying shack of shit they stated they would remove me if I continued doing what i was doing......you don't know what the hell you are talking about

TODD N9OGL
THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

925. Re: OFF his MEDS again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 03:51:52 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <YoidnWGkG7vKWhvfRVn-tQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108205

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey dickhead according to their letter you lying shack of shit they
> stated they would remove me if I continued doing what i was
> doing......you don't know what the hell you are talking about
>
> TODD N9OGL
> THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

You eat with that mouth? You are off your meds again toad.
1) You said you never got a letter.
2) You said Steve got you booted off the internet
3) Last week you said it was this newsgroup you were kicked off
4) Above you state " they would remove me if I continued doing what i
was doing"
They WOULD remove you is different then you were booted.
Make up your mind idiot, it's a small thing to make up, are you posting
or are you not here posting? Which is it. Don't call me a liar, I'm just
repeating what you said gay boy. Now go take your meds and kiss your
mommy goodnight, perv.

926. For the Anti Morse Coders That Lurk Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 03:54:07 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <zJzhe.26144$tQ.25870@fed1read06>
Source record: 108206

On the tonite, show Jay Leno had two Ham Operators vs cell phoners in a contest for speed.

Guess who won ?

See video clip at URL:

http://www.tarc.org/index.php?load=leno

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

927. Re: For the Anti Morse Coders That Lurk Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 03:56:01 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <hLzhe.26145$tQ.939@fed1read06>
Source record: 108207

Ancient Technology huh

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
news:zJzhe.26144$tQ.25870@fed1read06...
> On the tonite, show Jay Leno had two Ham Operators vs cell phoners in a
> contest for speed.
>
> Guess who won ?
>
> See video clip at URL:
>
> http://www.tarc.org/index.php?load=leno
>
> --
> CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

928. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 03:58:27 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <naOdnYTYdpB4VRvfRVn-rw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108208

N9OGL wrote:

> you have NOT stated anything worth debating. As for lying I think YOU
> and you sociopathic boyfriend steve are the liars

N9OGL wrote:

> 1. I HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO VIEW MY BLOG I DON'T KNOW ANY, NOR DO
> I KNOW WHO CONNECTS TO MY BLOG I HAVE NO WAY KNOWING....IF IT WAS
> COMPUTER I COULD TELL YOU, BUT SINCE IT IS ON GOOGLE'S COMPUTER I HAVE
> NO WAY OF KNOWING.

I said to name 1 person HERE who want's to read your blog stuff HERE,
you have it posted on your blog, so why post it HERE if nobody want's
to see it? Can you HEAR me now?
One question still unanswered

> 2. BECAUSE I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH AUTHORITY, EXPECIALLY WHEN IT COME
> FROM A SMALL DUMBASS TELEPHONE COMPANY WHO LISTENS TO HAM OPERATOR WHO
> THINKS HE THE MODERATOR OF THIS NEWSGROUP AS IS NOT. A TELEPHONE
> COMPANY WHO DOES NOT INVESTIGATE ANYTHING BUT ONLY GO'S ON BY
> COMPLAINTS

I asked: If you were booted from here, why are you here? Don't read too
much into this, just a question, I'm not saying you can't be here!
Two questions still unanswered

> 3 I DON'T HAVE CHILDERN

Thank goodness for this!

AND THERE IS A VAST MAJORITY OF FILTERING

> SOFTWARE THAT PARENTS CAN BY. SECONDLY MY SITE IS SHIT,

Truer words were never spoken

> THERE ARE SITES OUT THERE WORSE THEN MINE....
> WHY DON'T YOU COMPLAIN ABOUT THEM??
>
> N9OGL
>
Because (drum roll) When a child that may be interested in Amateur radio
does a search they won't come across any site as disgusting as yours. I
don't care about the other porno/dirt sites, BUT when a child stumbles
across your site and you claim to be "THE VOICE of AMATEUR RADIO". Well
toad, what do you think they will think of ham radio then?

I've pointed out your lies many time toilet breath, and you can't answer your lies.
So gayboy, turn off the vacuum and tell me the lies I have made? But instead of slinging trash talk, answer the few questions above.

One more question perv, if you are so rich, own your own airplane, have tons of equipment, why are you still sleeping in mommy and daddies bed?

929. Re: OFF his MEDS again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 04:00:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116129603.850136.174390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108209

Go kiss your little bitch Steve

Asshole

Todd n9ogl

930. Re: OFF his MEDS again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 04:05:45 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3PudnXFWgpEFVxvfRVn-tA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108210

N9OGL wrote:

> Go kiss your little bitch Steve
>
> Asshole
>
> Todd n9ogl

You know all about aholes don't you toad? You've had your head up your for most of your pathetic life. Again why are you so obsessed with Steve? Is he a father figure to you? Do you keep having these gay visions everyday? Get back on the meds and see your shrink first thing Monday Morning.

931. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 04:22:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116130928.184069.298480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108211

>I said to name 1 person HERE who want's to read your blog stuff HERE,
>you have it posted on your blog, so why post it HERE if nobody want's
>to see it? Can you HEAR me now?
> One question still unanswered

And I said Dickhead that I don't know anyone on here, because it's
assholes like you that will badmouth anyone who would support me so
they keep their opinion off here.

>I asked: If you were booted from here, why are you here? Don't read
too
>much into this, just a question, I'm not saying you can't be here!
>Two questions still unanswered

Like I said again DIckhead, I have a problem with authority expecially
a second rate phoen company who listenn to the likes of K4YZ. So I'm
back...get over it you fucking narrow minded asshole.

>Because (drum roll) When a child that may be interested in Amateur
radio
>does a search they won't come across any site as disgusting as yours.
I
>don't care about the other porno/dirt sites, BUT when a child stumbles

>across your site and you claim to be "THE VOICE of AMATEUR RADIO".
Well
>toad, what do you think they will think of ham radio then?

Oh well you Commie bastard, I have the right to have my site the way I
want it...,.deal with it

Your the one lying calling me gay when I am not, your not only a liar
but a narrow minded asshole and hey you little fucker I live in a four
story house, and yes with my parents but I very seldom see them because
I stay pretty much on my level of house.

I'm done talking to assholes like you and your little bitchwhore
Steve....your both FUCKING LIARS!!!!!

TODD N9OGL
THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

932. Re: OFF his MEDS again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 04:23:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116131029.223692.29380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108212

NO STEVE IS YOUR LITTLE BITCHWHORE

933. Re: Robeson has Excrementia!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 07:47:31 UTC
From: Roger <Nospam@reset>
Message-ID: <d66uqg$rh0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108213

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
.............>>>>>

Len. Have you observed that your occasional sniping attempts are, for the most part, going unnoticed?
Perhaps you could seek input from Roger Wiseman so as to be able to add a bit of "flavor" to your posts.

Roger may be small in stature, but his vocabulary is larger than life. And twice as dirty.

934. Re: OFF his MEDS again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 07:56:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116143779.264086.271430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108214

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey ####head according to their letter you lying shack of #### they
> stated they would remove me if I continued doing what i was
> doing......you don't know what the hell you are talking about

Then why are you still doing it?

Steve, K4YZ

935. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 08:09:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116144563.676610.184180@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108215

N9OGL wrote:
> >I said to name 1 person HERE who want's to read your blog stuff
HERE,
> >you have it posted on your blog, so why post it HERE if nobody
want's
> >to see it? Can you HEAR me now?
> > One question still unanswered
>
> And I said ####head that I don't know anyone on here, because it's
> ###holes like you that will badmouth anyone who would support me so
> they keep their opinion off here.

The thing is, Todd, no one here supports you.

You're filthy, you're foul, and you're ignorant. You MIGHT have
had some supporters here up until the time you took that cheap shot at
my daughter. Even the other net denizens dropped out after that.

> >I asked: If you were booted from here, why are you here? Don't read
> too
> >much into this, just a question, I'm not saying you can't be here!
> >Two questions still unanswered
>
> Like I said again ####head, I have a problem with authority
expecially
> a second rate phoen company who listenn to the likes of K4YZ.

When will you start taking responsibility for your own actions,
Todd?

It was YOUR words and YOUR actions that put you in HAC with the
ISP.

> So I'm
> back...get over it you ####ing narrow minded @@@hole.

Not for long.

> >Because (drum roll) When a child that may be interested in Amateur
> radio
> >does a search they won't come across any site as disgusting as
yours.
> I
> >don't care about the other porno/dirt sites, BUT when a child
stumbles
>
> >across your site and you claim to be "THE VOICE of AMATEUR RADIO".

> Well
> >toad, what do you think they will think of ham radio then?
>
> Oh well you Commie bastard, I have the right to have my site the way
I
> want it...,.deal with it

You're the Communist, Todd...

You want to bring everything down to your level rather than try to
rise to excellence...Or at least try to get there...

Communism was all about living at the least common denominator.

That's what YOU are about.

> Your the one lying calling me gay when I am not, your not only a liar
> but a narrow minded ###hole and hey you little ####er I live in a
four
> story house, and yes with my parents but I very seldom see them
because
> I stay pretty much on my level of house.

That must be the basement...That IS your "level", Todd.

> I'm done talking to ###holes like you and your little ##########
> Steve....your both ###ING LIARS!!!!!

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

936. Brian P Burke Remains a Liar...Why, Brian? For your Kids to See?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 08:17:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116145037.050725.16390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >Sociopath Steve wrote:
> > > >And your phone compnay/ISP had EVIDENCE of your misdeeds in
hand.
> > > >I sent it to them.
> > >
> > > Yeah, one sided evidence...(SNIP)
> >
> > Nope. I gave them newsgroup attributes, links to this forum,
> > links to your previous claims to illegal beahviour. They had a
> chance
> > to see both sides, Todd.
>
> Bricks through windows? Slashed tires? Terrorized wives? Violence?
>
> All utterences of yours on rrap.

I could quote one or two words from any of your posts, Brain, and
have you looking like a cross between John Wayne Gacy, Ted Bundy and
Wayne Williams.

You refuse to cite the alleged threats.

Well...Let me word that a bit more carefully...You're UNABLE to
cite the posts because to do so would prove me right...

That's why you remain a coward, too.....

> > > Speaking of which some friends of mine at the
> > > FBI are wondering about this comment you made a few days ago.
> >
> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! ! ! !
!
> >
> > > Their just wondering.
> >
> > Liar! And it's "They're wondering".
>
> "Dialing...." Steve lets his fingers do the wandering. Hi, hi!

Did dial...

Intersting conversation.

Some very interesting folks in Fairfield County.

> > You fit right in with Brian Burke and Lennie Anderson! They
> both
> > have lot's of make-believe friends too!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Sorry, no make believe friends, make believe phone calls, make
believe
> MARS appointments, nor make believe hostile actions.
>
> That is entirely your make believe arena.

Nope.

Take a chance, Oh Cowardly One...

Chief AF MARS. (Need the address?) Can get you the address for
the administrative officer at 1st MAW or MCB camp Butler if you'd like.

No cajones?

Steve, K4YZ

937. Re: k1man victorious

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 08:22:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116145350.647431.278520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108217

where??? Let us read it.

Todd N9OGL

938. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 09:57:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116151052.583661.121820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108218

N9OGL wrote:
> #### you steve you ####ing ##########

Does that "Japanese" girlfriend know how you disgrace women with
your language, Todd?

> i hope one of these days your
> ####ing ISP kick's your ### off the Net.

Well, Todd, if I ever start using your kind of language, attack
diseased children, and basically begin to act like a ripe diaper, like
you, then I imagine they will.

But since that won't happen, I guess you're just out of luck.

Steve, K4YZ

939. Re: For the Anti Morse Coders That Lurk Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 11:06:15 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <anon@rraptrashguys.net>
Message-ID: <d67ae3fjo0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108219

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
news:zJzhe.26144$tQ.25870@fed1read06...
> On the tonite, show Jay Leno had two Ham Operators vs cell phoners in a
> contest for speed.
>
> Guess who won ?
>

YAWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWN!

940. Re: OFF his MEDS again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 11:07:53 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <anon@rraptrashguys.net>
Message-ID: <d67ae3fjo1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108220

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1116143779.264086.271430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
//////usual k4yz trash flushed////////

73,

rrap Trash Clean Up Crew

941. Re: freedom of speech?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 11:09:43 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <anon@rraptrashguys.net>
Message-ID: <d67ahiftm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108221

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1116151052.583661.121820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
//////usual k4yz trash flushed//////

73,

rrap Clean Up Crew

942. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 12:43:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116161033.989834.201100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108222

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,May 12 2005 3:26 pm
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

N2EY wrote:

> >But note that the hamdata.com numbers include licenses
> >that are expired but in the grace period. They also
> >include club and other non-operator licenses. The numbers I
> >post here twice a month include only current, unexpired
> >licenses held by individuals.

> >I think the totals I post are a more accurate snapshot of the
> >license situation than the numbers on hamdata.com, because the
> >inclusion of expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses skews the
> >totals considerably.
>
> I don't think so.

That's fine.

> Many others don't think so.

How many? Who are they?
>
> Now PROVE you are the ONLY ACCURATE voice of
> what goes on in this "amateur community."

Why, Len? I don't claim to be the only accurate voice. Just more
accurate than you.

> The FCC doesn't appear to think as you do, Jimmie.

Sure they do.

> If a licensee is in their grace period and then
> renews their license before that period is up,
> it just resets the FCC data. The licensed amateur
> still retains his/her license after renewal.

That's right. Also irrelevant.

I've pointed out that the numbers I post are of currently-licensed
individuals. You fail to mention that the numbers
on hamdata.com include clubs and other non-individual licenses
(over 9,000 of them) and expired-but-in-the-grace-period
licenses.

> >> It should be noted that the peak of U.S. amateur
> >> radio license numbers was on 2 Jul 03 with a total
> >> of 737,938 then (number of club calls not known).
> >> The Hamdata statistics are derived automatically
> >> by downloading the publicly-available FCC database
> >> (massive in size) and sorting for classes.
> >
> >How massive?
>
> You have to ask?!? :-)

I don't have to. I just did. Don't you know?

> MANY megabytes, Jimmie.

How many?
>
> >Who claimed that would happen? I sure didn't.
>
> Tsk, tsk. My posting was NOT directed to you. :-)

Just answer the question, please.

> >Of course Technician
> >Pluses who renew as Technicians keep their HF privileges, and
> >Technicians who pass Element 1 get them, even though their
> >licenses don't change class.
> >
> >So an unknown number of "Technicians" can legally operate on
> >some HF
> >amateur bands. Also, any amateur with a Technician Plus or
> >Novice
> >license, current, grace period, or expired, can get a General > >or
Extra
> >without any further code testing.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie Noserve is still trying to foist
> off his OWN concept of "the real amateur community"
> where the Technician classes are "not real hams"
> (REAL hams work DX on HF with CW?).

That's simply not true, Len.

You're just making up bullshit. Typical jackass behavior for you.

;-)
;-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-)

I've repeatedly said that "radio amateur" means anyone licensed
in the Amateur Radio Service. License class, band and mode don't
matter. VHF/UHF is as much "real amateur radio" as HF.

> >What's your point in all this, Len? You give a lot of numbers > >but
never seem to say why they matter.

>
> "Sweetums,"

I'm not your sweetums, Len.

> I don't INTERPRET raw data.

That's true. You MISINTERPRET raw data...

> I just quote
> it from the public database downloaded by one website
> from the FCC.

So you accept hamdata.com without question...
>
> I HAVE said "why it matters."

Where?

> You don't want to listen.

Sure I do. But I don't wade through the mountains of posts you
make here.

Tell us again "why it matters", Len. You've got plenty of time
and no shortage of verbiage to spout.

> You don't want to believe anything contrary to your
> immaculate concept of "real ham radio."

Just tell us why it matters.

> Why does that bother you so much, Jimmie? Do you suspect
> I gored your sacred cow or something? Has your "honor"
> been sullied? Are you "appealing a court ruling" in
> here? Must be. You take things SO seriously!

I don't take you seriously at all, Len. But I do point out
the holes in your arguments, and your factual/logical mistakes,
which seems to enrage you no end..
>
> >And why does all this concern you so much?
>
> I dunno, Jimmie, YOU are going to TELL me WHY "I am so
> concerned" because you KNOW everything. :-)

> Maybe I might want to get a ham license someday?

Nope. We can rule that right out.

> Or
> maybe I just like to get to the TRUTH of matters
> without all the smoke and mirrors of some fanatics
> who take their HOBBY as a Life Calling?

Nope. Truth is one thing you avoid....

> > You're not a radio
> >amateur, and it appears that you'll never become one either -
>
> Tsk, tsk. Jimmie boy, YOU don't CONTROL anything or
> anybody.

Sure I do. I don't control everything or everybody, though.

> I'm a PROFESSIONAL in radio-electronics. Have been for
> 53 years. I'm a HOBBYIST in radio-electronics too,
> have been for about 57 years.

So what? You're not a radio amateur, never been one, and it appears
that you'll never become one either. That's the TRUTH.

> >your "out of the box" claim of almost 5-1/2 years ago
> >notwithstanding.
>
> Poor baby. Poor Brother Jimmie, monk at the Church of
> Saint Hiram, having doubts about his LIFE CALLING in
> the AMATEUR ORDER. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Why no, Len, it's not about me at all.

> A HOBBY is NOT a Life Calling, Jimmie. It doesn't
> require LIFELONG DEVOTION and Absolute Adherence to
> the VOWS taken when one entered the Order.

> I never made a SACRED VOW in HERE on anything,
> "Sweetums."

No, you didn't. I never claimed you did.

> You are trying to MANUFACTURE that
> condition.

Nope - I'm simply pointing out what you claimed you would do,
but haven't done yet.

> Nice misdirection on what HAD been a
> discussion of public database numbers versus Bro.
> Jimmie's concept of U.S. ham radio (as seen from
> the insides of his mind's monastery).
>
> I've taken ONE VOW absolute. In my marriage
> ceremony. I wear only ONE ring, a wedding ring.
> I've taken ANOTHER VOW absolute...that of defending
> the U.S. Constitution when I was inducted into the
> U.S. Army. I still hold to BOTH those vows.
> NO problem to me. Those are absolute.

So what?

Seems to me you're telling us that we should only believe you
on those two things where you made a "vow absolute". And you're saying

that the rest of what you say isn't reliable at all.

> You apparently think some newsgroup content is
> EQUIVALENT to such an absolute VOW to be held
> forever.

Nope. I'm simply pointing out what you claimed you would do,
but haven't done yet. And will probably never do.

That TRUTH appears to bother you a lot.

943. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 15:15:13 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <NJidnWAaBrMc-hrfRVn-sQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108223

N9OGL wrote:

>>I said to name 1 person HERE who want's to read your blog stuff HERE,
>>you have it posted on your blog, so why post it HERE if nobody want's
>>to see it? Can you HEAR me now?
>>One question still unanswered
>
>
> And I said Dickhead that I don't know anyone on here, because it's
> assholes like you that will badmouth anyone who would support me so
> they keep their opinion off here.
No toad you said

" N9OGL wrote:

> 1. I HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO VIEW MY BLOG I DON'T KNOW ANY, NOR DO
> I KNOW WHO CONNECTS TO MY BLOG I HAVE NO WAY KNOWING....IF IT WAS
> COMPUTER I COULD TELL YOU, BUT SINCE IT IS ON GOOGLE'S COMPUTER I HAVE
> NO WAY OF KNOWING."
Still didn't answer the question
>>I asked: If you were booted from here, why are you here? Don't read
>
> too
>
>>much into this, just a question, I'm not saying you can't be here!
>>Two questions still unanswered
>
>
> Like I said again DIckhead, I have a problem with authority expecially
> a second rate phoen company who listenn to the likes of K4YZ. So I'm
> back...get over it you fucking narrow minded asshole.
Ok I'll grant you this may be an answer, BUT if they told you not to
come here and you still do, the booting off the Internet is soon to come!

>>Because (drum roll) When a child that may be interested in Amateur
>
> radio
>
>>does a search they won't come across any site as disgusting as yours.
>
> I
>
>>don't care about the other porno/dirt sites, BUT when a child stumbles
>
>

>>across your site and you claim to be "THE VOICE of AMATEUR RADIO".
>
> Well
>
>>toad, what do you think they will think of ham radio then?
>
>
> Oh well you Commie bastard, I have the right to have my site the way I
> want it...,.deal with it

My question makes me a commie bastared (whatever that might be)? You
don't respect ham radio nor are you the voice of amateur radio, but
these things don't make me a commie?

> Your the one lying calling me gay when I am not, your not only a liar
> but a narrow minded asshole and hey you little fucker I live in a four
> story house, and yes with my parents but I very seldom see them because
> I stay pretty much on my level of house.

You've called me 50 names so what, you have lied about many many things
toad, and you are gay, that's a truth, so get over it.
> I'm done talking to assholes like you and your little bitchwhore
> Steve....your both FUCKING LIARS!!!!!

You're still obsessed with Steve, what does he have to do with anything
I've said to you?
> TODD N9OGL
> THE EMBARRASSMENT OF AMATEUR RADIO
>

944. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 15:18:23 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kYCdnTHEj_Oj9RrfRVn-ow@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108224

K4YZ wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
>

>>I stay pretty much on my level of house.
>
>
> That must be the basement...That IS your "level", Todd.
>

We've seen the cage in the basement, where they keep the gay aunt and
her gay lover! How does that cage load up on 20 meters toad?

> Steve, K4YZ
>

945. Re: k1man victorious

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 15:20:39 UTC
From: FTIV <no.email.here@comcast.com>
Message-ID: <NuWdnXAK38Fa9RrfRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 108225

That my friends is 100% BS!

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1116145350.647431.278520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> where??? Let us read it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

946. Re: k1man victorious

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 15:20:49 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kYCdnTDEj_NS9RrfRVn-ow@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108226

N9OGL wrote:

> where??? Let us read it.
>
> Todd N9OGL

What a toad!

947. Question for K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 15:26:30 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <KoidnVKKU9249xrfRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108227

Steve, why and when did you threaten toads life, and why did you get him kicked off the internet?
If toads not a liar then these things must be true, I'm still very confused as to why he is still here? Could he be using his time machine.
I figured since he is so obsessed with you and looks to you as a father figure, you just might have an answer.
TIA for any light you could shed on this matter.

948. k1man in the news

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 16:00:05 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <66OdnRRKcrWf7xrfRVn-sw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108228

k1man license revoked after making disparaging remarks about the fcc
read all about it

949. Re: k1man victorious

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 17:18:47 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <anon@rraptrashguys.net>
Message-ID: <d68061$4iq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108229

"FTIV" <no.email.here@comcast.com> wrote in message
news:NuWdnXAK38Fa9RrfRVn-3A@comcast.com...
> That my friends is 100% BS!
>

Lets see, a Comcast account from Pennsylvania and a
Baxter hater who posts to this group. Not too hard to
figure out who that is.

950. Re: k1man in the news

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 18:39:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116182372.503260.221010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108230

What a lie....

951. Felon N8WWM threatens Dayton Hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 18:43:18 UTC
From: Al Banys <LelandsBoss@inductoheat.nut>
Message-ID: <42879306$0$24311$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 108232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

From N8WWM@arrl.net

Hey CUNT,
Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN.
Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring it into your life.
I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
I volunteer to show ya how.
CUNT
Your turn, CUNT!

From N8WWM@arrl.net

You pissbag, I hope you go to Dayton. I will be wearing a sign with my call on it, front and back. Come up and introduce yourself and get a lunger hawked on your faggot ass, just like your wife pus bag twistie. I fukkin dare ya. Looks like I am gonna hafta start smokin cigars or something to generate enough flegm for all you mutant nuttsak slurpers.
By the way, have you stopped molesting hamsters yet?

From:N8WWM@arrl.net

Hey Peepeeholic:
Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real dumb idea.
Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the trainedpuppet.
Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
----------�----------
From N8WWM@arrl.net

Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have the heart to cut, be civil. I have.

952. n9ogl site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 18:44:56 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <_ImdnR0w55E1BRrfRVn-tQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108231

toads site doesn't show up on a google search since an unnamed hacker friend had it removed from the search engines. We don't have to worry about any kids finding it now.
From what I hear Mr. Riley is going to do a repeat of what happened to k1man soon to n9ogl.
Read all about it.

953. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 18:46:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116182801.225787.144260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108233

>Some asshole said
>and you are gay, that's a truth, so get over it.

What a lie......if your going to tell a lie tell a lie a little better
then that....ask your buddy steve about lying he can give you some
pointers he good at lying..

Todd N9OGL

954. Re: n9ogl site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 18:51:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116183065.981127.53570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108234

Lie, lie lie, you steve must be good buddies to tell all these lies.

N9OGL

955. Re: Felon N8WWM threatens Dayton Hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 18:57:29 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <iOCdnd2qwNQEBhrfRVn-ig@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Now we know where n9ogl gets it from, he's really a 10-4 gud buddy

Al Banys wrote:

> From N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> Hey CUNT,
> Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
> headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed
> name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna
> party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL
> KEYCLOWN.
> Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring
> it into your life.
> I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
> I volunteer to show ya how.
> CUNT
> Your turn, CUNT!
>
> ---
> From N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> You pissbag, I hope you go to Dayton. I will be
> wearing a sign with my call on it, front and back. Come up and
> introduce yourself and get a lunger hawked on your faggot ass, just
> like your wife pus bag twistie. I fukkin dare ya. Looks like I am gonna
> hafta start smokin cigars or something to generate enough flegm for all
> you mutant nuttsak slurpers.
> By the way, have you stopped molesting hamsters yet?
> ---
>
> From:N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> Hey Peepeeholic:
> Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or
> ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
> You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to
> the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real
> dumb idea.
> Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> trainedpuppet.

> Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> ------------------------------ï¿½--------------------------
> From N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
> bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have
> the heart to cut, be civil. I have.
>
>
>
>

956. Re: k1man victorious

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 18:59:16 UTC
From: hamsandwich@myway.com
Message-ID: <1116183556.157299.74370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108236

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> "FTIV" <no.email.here@comcast.com> wrote in message
> news:NuWdnXAK38Fa9RrfRVn-3A@comcast.com...
> > That my friends is 100% BS!
> >
>
>
> Lets see, a Comcast account from Pennsylvania and a
> Baxter hater who posts to this group. Not too hard to
> figure out who that is.

Hmmm FTIV... could it be Frank Todd the 4th? Pretty obvious who it is since he's not trying to hide his identity like some pussies from GlenDale, WV!

Roger Wiseman admites his homosexual love for Lloyd Davies at:

http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=23661

Is it true Wiseman is a cum guzzling faggot??

957. Re: n9ogl site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 19:01:44 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <87881017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 108237

On Sun, 15 May 2005 14:44:56 -0400, KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> lied:
> toads site doesn't show up on a google search since an unnamed hacker
> friend had it removed from the search engines. We don't have to worry
> about any kids finding it now.

Your "hacker" must really suck. Here's the Google cache entry - easily found
with a standard Google search:

http://64.233.179.104/search?q=cache:zJB8RvWwY0EJ:n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/+&hl=en

Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

958. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 19:09:00 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <T9GdndIUufPQAxrfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108238

N9OGL wrote:

>>Some asshole said
>>and you are gay, that's a truth, so get over it.
>
>
> What a lie......if your going to tell a lie tell a lie a little better
> then that....ask your buddy steve about lying he can give you some
> pointers he good at lying..
>
> Todd N9OGL

Get a book of paper and write Steve 1,000,000,000,000 and maybe you can
then get his name off your gay mind. Again mr. untruth, if you ARE
booted off the internet, why are you still posting. See toad, the
biggest lie of all!

959. Now we know why Roger twitches.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 20:04:37 UTC
From: Roger <Chucklehead@retested>
Message-ID: <d68a0bmpk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108239
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Psychic Spasmophilia and Mystical Experience In Psychoneurosis is Not an Illness, Dabrowski refers to a condition identified as "spasmophilia," defined as "the tendency toward muscular twitching, spasms, or convulsions from even slight mechanical or electrical stimulation" (pp.304-305), and a type of personality, called the "tetanoidal personality," defined as a "personality type...characterized by muscular twitching, spasms, tendency to convulsions, etc., as in tetany" (p.305).

Roger Wiseman is 49. He studied the history of Dwarfism at DeVry on weekends.

For some years he had symptoms of excessive nervous excitability, he was depressed and began to think of the danger of mental illness. In a few months his condition became more aggravated. He went through periods of "mental spasms." On one hand he considered himself normal; on the other hand he thought of himself as one overly concerned with menial issues.

He went to look at places of concern (he lives in West Virginia after the Divorce); he experienced the tragedies of the past as something of actual validity, something authentic. He was hypersensitive to successful people. He thought that those who pass him by are being shown more respect than him, so he becomes responsible for the ruination of their lives... (p.82)

Roger says, "I have been experiencing muscle twitching and spasms all over my body for a few years now. It started in my left eye. At first, I would notice it after I sneezed, flinched or got under the shower. Then it started to do it on a more frequent basis. Then I started noticing small twitches in my arms, then my back, then in my legs. By the end of the week I felt them going off every few seconds, randomly, from head to toe. I have gone to Dr. Phil, had EMG, and they found nothing! I admit I suffer from anxiety, I decided to try Zoloft to see if this would stop the spasms. So far it hasn't helped. I sleep pretty well, and have no other health problems or ill feelings. I am wondering if anyone else has had this, or could shed some light?"

960. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 20:14:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116188054.099699.182110@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108240

YOUR THE BIGGEST LIAR ON HERE.....

961. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 20:46:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116189969.990267.24810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108241

These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
by individuals on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of May 14, 2005:

Novice - 28,604 (decrease of 20,832)
Technician - 268,529 (increase of 63,135)
Technician Plus - 49,553 (decrease of 79,307)
General - 136,683 (increase of 24,006)
Advanced - 76,274 (decrease of 23,508)
Extra - 106,577 (increase of 27,913)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,082 (decrease of 16,172)

Total all classes - 666,199 (decrease of 8,593)

Note that these totals do not include licenses that
have expired but are in the grace period. They also
do not include club, military, RACES or other
station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new Novice, Technician Plus or
Advanced licenses are no longer issued, and that all existing
Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

962. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 21:26:00 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <x5OdnZpzhsb1IxrfRVn-2Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108242

N9OGL wrote:
> YOUR THE BIGGEST LIAR ON HERE.....
>
Watch this buttwipe it's you are or you're, like you have been told this
50 times dummy
Why are you still posting if you got booted?
Do you see 1 person who backs you up at all? Even on your blog, there's
not 1 good comment about you or the things you do. You're gay and that's
how you'll stay.....

YOU'RE THE LITTLEST GAY BOY ON HERE..... If I shout it then it must be true!
Mr Riley doesn't like lying gay boys so he said you were next to get
booted. He also asked me not to transmit near your sissy signal since
they need to record it.
See ya, wouldn't want to be ya.

963. Why does Robeson have such an "interest" in other people's children?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 21:30:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116192634.776784.31090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > >Sociopath Steve wrote:
> > > > >And your phone compnay/ISP had EVIDENCE of your misdeeds in
> hand.
> > > > > >I sent it to them.
> > > > >
> > > > > Yeah, one sided evidence...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > > Nope. I gave them newsgroup attributes, links to this
forum,
> > > links to your previous claims to illegal beahviour. They had a
> > chance
> > > > to see both sides, Todd.
> > >
> > Bricks through windows? Slashed tires? Terrorized wives?
Violence?
> >
> > All utterences of yours on rrap.
>
> I could quote one or two words from any of your posts, Brain,
and
> have you looking like a cross between John Wayne Gacy, Ted Bundy and
> Wayne Williams.

More likely Charlie Chan. You cried and whined when I used English as
a Second Language (ESL). You wanted to inform my family. Idiot.

> You refuse to cite the alleged threats.

You, on the other hand, are bizarre and creepy. Your words are
archived in GOOGLE.

> Well...Let me word that a bit more carefully...You're UNABLE to
> cite the posts because to do so would prove me right...
>
> That's why you remain a coward, too.....

You haven't been proved right in some time. Best of Luck, though,
especially with the "A" NCOIC documentation. It's all on you.

> > > > Speaking of which some friends of mine at the
> > > > FBI are wondering about this comment you made a few days ago.

> > >
> > >
BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! ! !
!
> !
> > >
> > > > Their just wondering.
> > >
> > > Liar! And it's "They're wondering".
> >
> > "Dialing...." Steve lets his fingers do the wandering. Hi, hi!
>
> Did dial...
>
> Intersting conversation.
>
> Some very interesting folks in Fairfield County.

I certainly hope they documented the call. It will be good to have a government agency confirm that you have an unnatural interest in me and my family. Please say that you dialed 911. Please.

> > > You fit right in with Brian Burke and Lennie Anderson! They
> > both
> > > have lot's of make-believe friends too!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Sorry, no make believe friends, make believe phone calls, make
> believe
> > MARS appointments, nor make believe hostile actions.
> >
> > That is entirely your make believe arena.
>
> Nope.
>
> Take a chance, Oh Cowardly One...

With you??? No. You provide evidence of your claims. Got any?

> Chief AF MARS. (Need the address?) Can get you the address for
> the administrative officer at 1st MAW or MCB camp Butler if you'd
like.

I know Ray Collins. What would he have to do with appointing you to "A" NCOIC of NMC MARS/Okinawa??? If he had, you can be assured that he would have done so with a letter of appointment. Hi!

"Sorry Hans, US Marine Corps >>>IS<<< Air Force!!!" Hi, hi!

> No cajones?

I merely lack your stupidity.

> Steve, K4YZ

bb

964. Re: Question for K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 21:34:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116192860.164170.126590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108244

KC8GXW wrote:
> Steve, why and when did you threaten toads life, and why did you get
him
> kicked off the internet?
> If toads not a liar then these things must be true, I'm still very
> confused as to why he is still here? Could he be using his time
machine.
> I figured since he is so obsessed with you and looks to you as a
> father figure, you just might have an answer.
> TIA for any light you could shed on this matter.

Mutual Admiration.

965. Is that really a jogger?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 21:34:33 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <JoOdnRIsGP70XRrfRVn-iA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108245

Does a jogger run past your house many times a day toad? Check his polished shoes out, is he really a jogger? See that white van at the cross street, it really has some dark windows huh? They know you're gay and they have come to take you away! So are you going to ignore the fact that you are gay or reply and lie?

966. toads family business on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 21:45:37 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <E8KdnYspTNGdXhrfRVn-uA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108246

He sent me an email with this in it.

http://tinyurl.com/asymb

967. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 21:53:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116193990.517309.5170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108247

tell your little bitch Riley I'm waiting......But hey coming from
someone who loves Kiddy Porn...well...

968. Re: n9ogl site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 21:59:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116194350.039189.117010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108248

not according to googles I checked it still listed....your a very poor
liar.

Todd N9OGL
THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

969. Re: toads family business on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 22:00:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116194444.654732.85940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108249

BTW your is in the mail I hope you and your boyfriend Steve are happy
together :)

Todd N9OGL

970. Re: toads family business on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 22:06:33 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <-KWdnRkmPot6WhrfRVn-iA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108100

N9OGL wrote:

> BTW your is in the mail I hope you and your boyfriend Steve are happy
> together :)
>
> Todd N9OGL

This is a complete sentence? Does it mean something?

971. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 22:09:54 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <-vadneBOg9kvVRrfRVn-pw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108101

N9OGL wrote:

> tell your little bitch Riley I'm waiting......But hey coming from
> someone who loves Kiddy Porn...well...

Riley loves kiddy porn? gee toad you just get deeper and deeper, but
that's how you gays are.
Speaking of liars.
If you have been kicked off the internet, how are you still posting?
If you are ignoring me, why do you remark on each post?

972. Re: toads family business on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 22:12:46 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <-vadneNOg9nBVBrfRVn-pw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108102

Stop emailing me toad, I'm only going to tell you this once.

N9OGL wrote:

> BTW your is in the mail I hope you and your boyfriend Steve are happy
> together :)
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

973. Re: Question for K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 22:40:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116196801.998427.213870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108103

KC8GXW wrote:
> Steve, why and when did you threaten toads life, and why did you get
him
> kicked off the internet?

I never threatened Toad's life...even "suggestively".

And he's here, so obviously he DIDN'T get "kicked off" the
Internet. His ISP >>DID<< warn hom that his continued behaviour would
result in that action.

> If toads not a liar then these things must be true, I'm still very
> confused as to why he is still here? Could he be using his time
machine.

Must be. I don't think it's news to anyone with an IQ over 70
that Todd Daugherty is a very disturbed, very lonely little man. Hos
outbursts here are pretty evident.

I have no dubt that if any one of us said "boo" to him in an open,
public venue that he'd be wringing urine out of his torusers for a
week.

> I figured since he is so obsessed with you and looks to you as a
> father figure, you just might have an answer.

I'd castrate myself if I were the progentiator of a worm like
that.

> TIA for any light you could shed on this matter.

Not much light, I am afaid. Like I said, he's distrurbed and
lonely. No doubt that "Japanese" girlfriend (who doesn't appear in any
of the many pics on Todd's various sites) doesn't exist. Also, the
"other hams" who are so anxious to help him with his broadcasts are
fictional, too.

73

Steve, K4YZ

974. Re: Brian P Burke Remains a Liar...Why, Brian? For your Kids to See?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 23:05:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116195596.531457.158970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 15 2005 1:17 am

>bb wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > N9OGL wrote:
>> > > >Sociopath Steve wrote:

>> > Liar! And it's "They're wondering".
>>
>> "Dialing...." Steve lets his fingers do the wandering. Hi, hi!
>
> Did dial...
>
> Intersting conversation.>
>
> Some very interesting folks in Fairfield County.

Tsk. Typical Robeson IMPLICATION. NO details of

1. The actual authority in this "Fairfield County."

2. What was said. [Robeson will cite some alleged
"privacy" ergo he will not have to reveal anything]

3. Nothing at all on the "interesting" part.

4. All of the above is just total nonsense, a
fantasy on Robeson's part.

>> > You fit right in with Brian Burke and Lennie Anderson! They
both
>> > have lot's of make-believe friends too!
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> Sorry, no make believe friends, make believe phone calls, make
believe
>> MARS appointments, nor make believe hostile actions.
>>
>> That is entirely your make believe arena.
>
> Nope.

Actually, Robeson is "taking the chance" by NOT finding
out anything in detail. He is BLUFFING without having
done any research.

> Take a chance, Oh Cowardly One...

Robeson seems to be the "coward." Having had over five
years to "research" ANYTHING I've mentioned in regards
to employers OR currently-living amateur radio licensee
friends, he has FAILED to do so.

Instead, Robeson FABRICATED an entirely FALSE person
who allegedly "knew me" at NADC (closed for a decade)
back in 1971. Robeson MANUFACTURED a FALSITY that I
"was seeking employment there" even though I've
explained otherwise (I was, and continued to be, an
employee of RCA Corporation until 1975). Robeson
took a "coward's" route by MANUFACTURING A FALSITY.

> Chief AF MARS. (Need the address?) Can get you the address for
>the administrative officer at 1st MAW or MCB camp Butler if you'd
like.

The USAF Communications Headquarters is at Scott AFB,
isn't it? Why is Stebie mentioning Air Force? :-)
Stebie might think that Scott AFB will close its doors
quickly since it is on the DoD closure list...then
"nobody can find out." :-)

In reality, DETAILED unit records in the U.S. military
are NOT kept forever. This is particularly true for
enlisted personnel. Few military units and installations
remain intact in one place with "personnel departments"
equivalent to civilian employers. Units can be
deactivated, reactivated, or merged with other units on
a rapid basis, their names and nomenclatures changed
(seemingly at whims of higher commanders) as fast as
orders can be "cut." :-)

Stebie is BLUFFING when he expects an old unit of "his"
to be intact 24 years after his claim of service there.
Not only that, but he is ignorant of the Privacy Act of
1974. Requests for information must be in writing.
Legal cites: 5 U.S.C. 552a(3)(3)on writing plus
authority on information in 44 U.S.C. 2907, 3101, and
Public Law 104-134 (April 26, 1996).

The best bet for a response is through NARA at the
NPRC [National Archives and Records Administration,
National Personnel Records Center at St. Louis, MO]
Website: www.nara.gov (click on links to get NPRC)

Requests for information BY veterans or approved
family relatives is free but can take up to 12 weeks.
Requests for information MUST be done in WRITING,
sent by mail or FAX. There IS a charge for others,
such as employer personnel departments, but costs
will have to be determined for that first before

any information is returned.

Since the NPRC has some 70 million records, they require detailed information such as an SN or SSN. [my ASN was RA16408336 but the USA and USAF changed over to SSN on 1 Jul 69, USN on 1 Jan 72, and USCG on 1 Oct 74] To submit ANY request for information, Standard Form 180 must be used whether by mail or FAX (form available for download on website or by writing NPRC at 9700 Page Ave., St. Louis, MO, 63132-5100). A veteran's SSN (Social Security Number) MUST be included whether or not a Service Number (SN) is included in the form.

Such corroborating request data is necessary in WRITING to put a damper on bluffers, blackmailers, fraud and scam artists or other perverse individuals out to "get" others. However, the bluffers can just manufacture their own "records" and use others' lack of their SSN to verify the bluffers' service record CLAIMS. Since those records do NOT contain EVERYTHING, CLAIMS cannot be ascertained fully...so the CLAIMANT can go on their outraged, high-horse attitude against anyone doubting them! :-)

One way to ascertain and verify another's claims is to cite friends and acquaintences who served together in the same unit...as I did with Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV. Or have an interview done with an unbiased third party such as the Pacific Stars & Stripes (visible on estripes archives for 10 Nov 2002). Or have in possession documents from the unit or by the unit (as I do, two of which are posted at another's website)...or have official service branch photographs marked by the distinctive back-of-photo information (as I do).

Apparently Robeson doesn't accept any mention of friends who have amateur radio licenses (as I have with W6MJN or KD6JG). Robeson thinks such are "make-believe." :-) In that case the Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder is "also wrong" since Al Walston (W6MJN) and his signature is on my marriage certificate as a witness (he was my best man). It's ALL a "make-believe" to Robeson if he doesn't accept it or hates someone.

> No cajones?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. "He-man challenges" oriented towards overloaded testosterone levels and/or sexual ability?

In that case, Robeson has "no cojones" (nor ability to spell any better in Spanish) since he hasn't gotten up the courage to check out anything of mine, not after five years of finding it out. He has gotten that information more than once, publicly, in here.

Stebie would seem to prefer sitting around, doing NOTHING but personal insults, denigrations, fake humiliation-laughter, and almost countless BLUFFS which are just LIES. He will NOT hesitate to call others for "liars," perhaps because he has done so much LYING of his own in the past?

Stebie is the ROLE-MODEL of today's U.S. amateur radio Extra class licensee? [he is not chastised by fellow Extras so he must be "approved by the amateur community!"]

NOT a good role-model. Does NOT arouse any "interest" (other than masochism) in joining the "ranks" of amateur radio.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

975. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 23:35:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116200136.544496.168750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108105

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun,May 15 2005 5:43 am

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,May 12 2005 3:26 pm
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>Why, Len? I don't claim to be the only accurate voice. Just more
>accurate than you.

Heh heh heh...only by YOUR "authority!" :-)

>> The FCC doesn't appear to think as you do, Jimmie.
>
>Sure they do.

Tsk, tsk, Jimmie...in the REAL reality of now they
do NOT think as you do.

The ONLY one who "thinks like you do" is YOU. :-)

>I've pointed out that the numbers I post are of currently-licensed
>individuals. You fail to mention that the numbers
>on hamdata.com include clubs and other non-individual licenses
>(over 9,000 of them) and expired-but-in-the-grace-period
>licenses.

Tsk, tsk. Early symptoms of Alzheimer's?

I've written "exclusive of club calls" on quoting
the Hamdata statistics.

Jimmie, get your definitions correct. Those amateur
licensees who are IN the 2-year grace period for
renewal are NOT "expired." The FCC doesn't call them
that. If those renewals are done within that grace
period, they retain their old call.

"Expired" applies AFTER the total 10-year plus 2-year
grace period.

>Just answer the question, please.

No. :-)

>That's simply not true, Len.
>
>You're just making up bullshit. Typical jackass behavior for you.

Tsk, tsk, very Very VERY WRONG, Jimmie Noserve.

Try to use CIVIL language in here...or are you
studying under your buddie, Stebie da Avenging Angle
of Dearth, aka today's Amateur Extra role-model?

>I've repeatedly said that "radio amateur" means anyone licensed
>in the Amateur Radio Service. License class, band and mode don't
>matter. VHF/UHF is as much "real amateur radio" as HF.

No, you have NOT.

>I'm not your sweetums, Len.

Heaven forbid, NO! :-)

I was using familiar terms to I-had-dinner-with-the-
Captain Kellie...ya know da Philly "tuff tawk?" :-)

Or is your last hoagie disagreeing with you?

>> I don't INTERPRET raw data.
>
>That's true. You MISINTERPRET raw data...

Poor baby...I do NOT INTERPRET as Jimmie Noserve
"interprets." That's why you are so disturbed and
angry, compelled to try to "put me right." :-)

>> I just quote
>> it from the public database downloaded by one website
>> from the FCC.
>
>So you accept hamdata.com without question...

NO. You are WRONG!

I question almost everything. I accept Hamdata figures
for the simple reason that they do NOT go into ARRL-
style sinning-by-omission on radio history and their
minority viewpoint on How Amateur Radio SHOULD Be.

Does the ARRL download the daily FCC database on
U.S. amateur radio licensees? No? Tsk, tsk.

>> You don't want to listen.
>
>Sure I do. But I don't wade through the mountains of posts you
>make here.

No, Jimmie, you do NOT want to listen to anything but
the fantasy you've come to believe about a hobby.

Jimmie, do NOT engage in word "food fights." Your
aim is WAY off and you cause too much collateral
damage.

>I don't take you seriously at all, Len.

No sweat, Jimmie Noserve. I've never taken you as anything
but a Believer, a toady to ARRL group-think, and a liver in
the past before your time.

> But I do point out
>the holes in your arguments, and your factual/logical mistakes,
>which seems to enrage you no end..

No, No, NO, Jimmie, you got it WRONG again.

All you do is engage in some odd word play to try
and "justify" your rationalizations of your fantasy
ideas about a hobby. That is NOT "correcting
mistakes."

>> Maybe I might want to get a ham license someday?
>
>Nope. We can rule that right out.

Who are YOU to judge?

You are NOT in the FCC.

You are NOT an official in the ARRL.

All you are is acting like a snide little hamlet who
can't accept that you do NOT "rule" anything (except
with a pencil and paper).

>Nope. Truth is one thing you avoid....

WRONG...WRONG...WRONG...WRONG...

>> Tsk, tsk. Jimmie boy, YOU don't CONTROL anything or
>> anybody.
>
>Sure I do. I don't control everything or everybody, though.

Tsk, you've LOST CONTROL of YOURSELF there... :-)

>>So what? You're not a radio amateur, never been one, and it appears
>>that you'll never become one either. That's the TRUTH.

WHO said "I will never become one?" :-)

Jimmie Noserve predicts the future? Should he be
called Jimmie Nostradamus? :-)

Jimmie, I've been an UNLICENSED radio-electronics
hobbyist longer than you've been alive...and that IS
the truth...in REALITY.

I've been a LICENSED PROFESSIONAL in radio-electronics
about as long as you've been alive...and that IS the
truth...in REALITY.

I was OPERATING on HF BEFORE you were born, Jimmie,
IN the military...the military you've NEVER been in.
That is reality...whether or not you care to accept it.

Don't even ATTEMPT to CONTROL what I am "allowed" to
do in the FUTURE...because you CANNOT and you do NOT
know the future.

Quit acting like a spoiled child who demands obediance
to you and your ideas.

>Why no, Len, it's not about me at all.

This thread is about the ARRL and the FUTURE, Jimmie.

It isn't about the PAST that you glorify.

It is about NUMBERS and who is getting what license
in amateur radio. [the Technicians are getting the
overwhelming majority of new licenses, upsetting
your cherished ideals of How Amateur Radio Should Be]

>> I never made a SACRED VOW in HERE on anything,
>> "Sweetums."
>
>No, you didn't. I never claimed you did.
>
>> You are trying to MANUFACTURE that
>> condition.
>
>Nope - I'm simply pointing out what you claimed you would do,
>but haven't done yet.

You aren't being CONSISTENT. Try to get it straight.
Is it what *I* "might" do or is it about "what I will
'never' do?"

You are (seemingly) bringing up an OLD piece of a
posting to IMPLY that I "must" do it...EXACTLY as
I wrote it. :-) YOU be the "jackass" when you keep
on doing that.

Jimmie, this thread is NOT about me. Why do you want
to convene a kangaroo court? Are you that unhappy
with things that you NEED to antagonistically "pick
on" others? Get CONTROL of YOUR life. Get OFF of
mine.

>Nope. I'm simply pointing out what you claimed you would do,
>but haven't done yet. And will probably never do.
>
>That TRUTH appears to bother you a lot.

See, that OLD piece of posting is still sticking to the
roof of your brain. Are you an Effluent?

FANTASY doesn't bother me. You have much FANTASY going...especially when you come up with What Amateur Radio Should Be (according to your god-like perceptions and beliefs). Fantasy can be FUN as entertainment. FANTASY should NOT be any basis for REGULATIONS...yet that is what you think is "truth."

TRUTH is what the FCC database has...the FCC grants the licenses, can tally up what it grants. The TRUTH is that the increase in NEW Technician licenses in the USA is averaging about 26 per day, over four times the total of other-class licenses put together. The ARRL is still busy keeping up the charade that U.S. ham radio is like it was many decades ago. It isn't. They aren't really trying to entice Technician class licensees into League membership. The post that Hans Brakob made addresses that FACT.

YOU don't seem to like that TRUTH. You want to call such facts "wrong" because they are against your BELIEFS. You want to "strike back" at those that present the TRUTH because such TRUTHs are uncomfortable to your fantasy.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

976. Re: Question for K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 23:46:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116200797.622364.112760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108106
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

STEVE THE LIAR!!!!

From: "K4YZ"
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC gets fucking hands slapped
Date: 9 May 2005 13:37:36 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <1115671056.062075.214870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
References: <5fd70b6c01c8d4ea31c312c8d2a37ae7@userbeam.net>

<1115665926.752768.267990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1115668344.467184.165530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1115669165.708999.50070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1115669668.163785.191840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138

X-Trace: posting.google.com 1115671060 31150 127.0.0.1 (9 May 2005
20:37:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 9 May 2005 20:37:40 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1115669668.163785.191840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> WHAT SAID I ABOUT YOUR KID WASN'T SLANDER EITHER BUT THE TRUTH

Yep..she's gone. Thankfully she passed at home with loved ones at
hand.

Can any of us say for certain how long we'll be here...?!?!
Sudden sickness...a car accident...a stray bullet from a drive
by...?!?! Who knows. The press is full of sad stories of early
demise. And who knows who will be next...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

977. Re: Question for K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-15 23:55:49 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <E82dnbLJm48ZfBrfRVn-sA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108107

N9OGL wrote:
> STEVE THE LIAR!!!!
>
TOAD THE SNITCH NETCOP!!!!

978. Re: Question for K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 00:13:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116202392.483132.170830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108108

Jim the Fucking asshole of the internet!!!!!

979. Re: Question for K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 00:17:36 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <B5GdnaW5ddICexrfRVn-2A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108109

Well you know what they say about snitches toad, almost the same as gay liars.!!!!!!!!!!!!

N9OGL wrote:

> Jim the Fucking asshole of the internet!!!!!
>

980. Re: Brian P Burke Remains a Liar...Why, Brian? For your Kids to See?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 00:27:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116203247.443063.12380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 15 2005 1:17 am
>
> >bb wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> > > >Sociopath Steve wrote:
>
>
> >> > Liar! And it's "They're wondering".
> >>
> >> "Dialing...." Steve lets his fingers do the wandering. Hi, hi!
> >>
> > Did dial...
> >
> > Intersting conversation.>
> >
> > Some very interesting folks in Fairfield County.
>
> Tsk. Typical Robeson IMPLICATION. NO details of
>
> 1. The actual authority in this "Fairfield County."
>
> 2. What was said. [Robeson will cite some alleged
> "privacy" ergo he will not have to reveal anything]
>
> 3. Nothing at all on the "interesting" part.
>
> 4. All of the above is just total nonsense, a
> fantasy on Robeson's part.

Robeson lies. Probably just more lies. Totally expected.

> >> > You fit right in with Brian Burke and Lennie Anderson!
They
> both
> >> > have lot's of make-believe friends too!
> >> >
> >> > Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >> Sorry, no make believe friends, make believe phone calls, make
> believe
> >> MARS appointments, nor make believe hostile actions.
> >>

> >> That is entirely your make believe arena.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Actually, Robeson is "taking the chance" by NOT finding
> out anything in detail. He is BLUFFING without having
> done any research.

He shouldn't have to do "research." After all, he claims "A" NCOIC of
Okinawa MARS. Yet he is woefully ignorant of MARS communications.

> > Take a chance, Oh Cowardly One...
>
> Robeson seems to be the "coward." Having had over five
> years to "research" ANYTHING I've mentioned in regards
> to employers OR currently-living amateur radio licensee
> friends, he has FAILED to do so.
>
> Instead, Robeson FABRICATED an entirely FALSE person
> who allegedly "knew me" at NADC (closed for a decade)
> back in 1971. Robeson MANUFACTURED a FALSITY that I
> "was seeking employment there" even though I've
> explained otherwise (I was, and continued to be, an
> employee of RCA Corporation until 1975). Robeson
> took a "coward's" route by MANUFACTURING A FALSITY.

Robeson is deceitful.

> > Chief AF MARS. (Need the address?) Can get you the address for
> >the administrative officer at 1st MAW or MCB camp Butler if you'd
> like.
>
> The USAF Communications Headquarters is at Scott AFB,
> isn't it? Why is Stebie mentioning Air Force? :-)
> Stebie might think that Scott AFB will close its doors
> quickly since it is on the DoD closure list...then
> "nobody can find out." :-)

I used to walk into Ray Collins office whenever I had questions not
covered by the MOD. Friendly guy.

> In reality, DETAILED unit records in the U.S. military
> are NOT kept forever. This is particularly true for
> enlisted personnel. Few military units and installations
> remain intact in one place with "personnel departments"
> equivalent to civilian employers. Units can be
> deactivated, reactivated, or merged with other units on
> a rapid basis, their names and nomenclatures changed
> (seemingly at whims of higher commanders) as fast as
> orders can be "cut." :-)

Steve was probably one of those guys who had trouble holding on to a
job.

> Stebie is BLUFFING when he expects an old unit of "his"
> to be intact 24 years after his claim of service there.
> Not only that, but he is ignorant of the Privacy Act of
> 1974. Requests for information must be in writing.
> Legal cites: 5 U.S.C. 552a(3)(3)on writing plus
> authority on information in 44 U.S.C. 2907, 3101, and
> Public Law 104-134 (April 26, 1996).
>
> The best bet for a response is through NARA at the
> NPRC [National Archives and Records Administration,
> National Personnel Records Center at St. Louis, MO]
> Website: www.nara.gov (click on links to get NPRC)
>
> Requests for information BY veterans or approved
> family relatives is free but can take up to 12 weeks.
> Requests for information MUST be done in WRITING,
> sent by mail or FAX. There IS a charge for others,
> such as employer personnel departments, but costs
> will have to be determined for that first before
> any information is returned.
>
> Since the NPRC has some 70 million records, they
> require detailed information such as an SN or SSN.
> [my ASN was RA16408336 but the USA and USAF changed
> over to SSN on 1 Jul 69, USN on 1 Jan 72, and USCG
> on 1 Oct 74] To submit ANY request for information,
> Standard Form 180 must be used whether by mail or
> FAX (form available for download on website or by
> writing NPRC at 9700 Page Ave., St. Louis, MO,
> 63132-5100). A veteran's SSN (Social Security
> Number) MUST be included whether or not a Service
> Number (SN) is included in the form.
>
> Such corroborating request data is necessary in
> WRITING to put a damper on bluffers, blackmailers,
> fraud and scam artists or other perverse
> individuals out to "get" others. However, the
> bluffers can just manufacture their own "records"
> and use others' lack of their SSN to verify the
> bluffers' service record CLAIMS. Since those
> records do NOT contain EVERYTHING, CLAIMS cannot
> be ascertained fully...so the CLAIMANT can go on
> their outraged, high-horse attitude against anyone
> doubting them! :-)

Steve could post his SSN.

> One way to ascertain and verify another's claims
> is to cite friends and acquaintences who served

> together in the same unit...as I did with Gene
> Rosenbaum, N2JTV. Or have an interview done with
> an unbiased third party such as the Pacific Stars
> & Stripes (visible on estripes archives for 10 Nov
> 2002). Or have in possession documents from the
> unit or by the unit (as I do, two of which are
> posted at another's website)...or have official
> service branch photographs marked by the distinctive
> back-of-photo information (as I do).
>
> Apparently Robeson doesn't accept any mention of
> friends who have amateur radio licenses (as I have
> with W6MJN or KD6JG). Robeson thinks such are
> "make-believe." :-) In that case the Los Angeles
> County Registrar-Recorder is "also wrong" since Al
> Walston (W6MJN) and his signature is on my marriage
> certificate as a witness (he was my best man). It's
> ALL a "make-believe" to Robeson if he doesn't accept
> it or hates someone.

He lacks judgement, yet he constantly passes judgement.

> > No cajones?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. "He-man challenges" oriented towards
> overloaded testosterone levels and/or sexual ability?
>
> In that case, Robeson has "no cojones" (nor ability to
> spell any better in Spanish) since he hasn't gotten
> up the courage to check out anything of mine, not
> after five years of finding it out. He has gotten
> that information more than once, publicly, in here.
>
> Stebie would seem to prefer sitting around, doing
> NOTHING but personal insults, denigrations, fake
> humiliation-laughter, and almost countless BLUFFS
> which are just LIES. He will NOT hesitate to call
> others for "liars," perhaps because he has done so
> much LYING of his own in the past?
>
> Stebie is the ROLE-MODEL of today's U.S. amateur
> radio Extra class licensee? [he is not chastised
> by fellow Extras so he must be "approved by the
> amateur community!"]
>
> NOT a good role-model. Does NOT arouse any
> "interest" (other than masochism) in joining the
> "ranks" of amateur radio.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Pillsbury Dough Boy with mustache said this on his web site:

"I may have to yield to aging, but I refuse to grow up!"

981. Re: Question for K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 00:29:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116203358.896222.253460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108111

Fuck you Jim...You fucking asshole

982. Re: Question for K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 00:29:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116203363.957761.86620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108112

Fuck you Jim...You fucking asshole

983. Re: Question for K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 00:36:27 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <8aCdnacpE8uWdhrfRVn-3A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108113

I won't be here for a few days toad, it's time for a road trip!!!!!!!!!!
While I'm gone ask your FBI friends about who I really am, what I do and
while you're at it look at the pictures they have of me and my family.
That should give you plenty to think about until we talk again.

N9OGL wrote:

> bla bla bla you Jim...You bla bla bla
>

984. Re: k1man in the news

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 00:39:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116203972.901107.101000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108114

It will be funny when the FCC renews his license,,,,I will be laughing
my ass off, while you little boys are crying about it.

Todd N9OGL
THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

985. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with potty mouth hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 00:40:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116204053.659335.71890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108115

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> You're just making up bullshit. Typical jackass behavior for you.
>
>
;-)
;-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-);-)

Jim defrocked after episode of potty mouth.

986. Re: Felon N8WWM threatens Dayton Hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 07:18:07 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116227887.793263.34510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

KC8GXW wrote:
> Now we know where n9ogl gets it from, he's really a 10-4 gud buddy
>
> Al Banys wrote:
>
> > From N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > Hey CUNT,
> > Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a
red
> > headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an
assumed
> > name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit
hunk...wanna
> > party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot
ILLEGAL
> > KEYCLOWN.
> > Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can
bring
> > it into your life.
> > I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
> > I volunteer to show ya how.
> > CUNT
> > Your turn, CUNT!
> >
> >

> > From N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > You pissbag, I hope you go to Dayton. I will be
> > wearing a sign with my call on it, front and back. Come up and
> > introduce yourself and get a lunger hawked on your faggot ass, just
> > like your wife pus bag twistie. I fukkin dare ya. Looks like I am
gonna
> > hafta start smokin cigars or something to generate enough flegm for
all
> > you mutant nuttsak slurpers.
> > By the way, have you stopped molesting hamsters yet?
> >

> >
> > From:N8WWM@arrl.net
> >

> > Hey Peepeeholic:
> > Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you
or
> > ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
> > You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back
to
> > the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a
real
> > dumb idea.
> > Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> > junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you
laying
> > there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> > coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> > trainedpuppet.
> > Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> > building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> > ----------
> > From N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and
broken
> > bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or
don't have
> > the heart to cut, be civil. I have.
> >
> >
> >
> >

First off, you cant send from arrl.net, which clearly shows the post to
be a forgery.

Second, There is no threat there unless the individual was disturbed.

987. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 10:39:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116239952.173000.135740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108117

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun,May 15 2005 5:43 am
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,May 12 2005 3:26 pm
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> >Why, Len? I don't claim to be the only accurate voice. Just
> >more accurate than you.
>
> Heh heh heh...only by YOUR "authority!" :-)

Which is more reliable than *your* "authority", Len....;-) ;-) ;-)
>
> >> The FCC doesn't appear to think as you do, Jimmie.
> >
> >Sure they do.
>
> Tsk, tsk, Jimmie...in the REAL reality of now they
> do NOT think as you do.

Yes, they do.

> The ONLY one who "thinks like you do" is YOU. :-)

Prove it.
>
> >I've pointed out that the numbers I post are of currently-
> >licensed
> >individuals. You fail to mention that the numbers
> >on hamdata.com include clubs and other non-individual licenses
> >(over 9,000 of them) and expired-but-in-the-grace-period
> >licenses.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Early symptoms of Alzheimer's?

Not in me.
>
> I've written "exclusive of club calls" on quoting
> the Hamdata statistics.

> Jimmie, get your definitions correct. Those amateur
> licensees who are IN the 2-year grace period for
> renewal are NOT "expired."

The licensees may or may not have expired. Their
licenses *have* expired.

> The FCC doesn't call them that.

Yes, they do.

Quoting FCC rules, 97.21 iii:

"b) A person whose amateur station license grant has expired may apply
to the FCC for renewal of the license grant for another term during a 2
year filing grace period. The application must be received at the
address specified above prior to the end of the grace period. Unless
and until the license grant is renewed, no privileges in this Part are
conferred."

The licensee may not have expired but the license sure has.

I suggest you actually read Part 97, Len.

> If those renewals are done within that grace
> period, they retain their old call.

Of course. But until FCC acts on the renewal application,
the license is expired.

> "Expired" applies AFTER the total 10-year plus 2-year
> grace period.

No, it doesn't. See 97.21. FCC also uses the term "expire"
elsewhere in Part 97, to refer to licenses that have reached
the end of the 10 year term, but not the grace period.

> >Just answer the question, please.
>
> No. :-)

Then why should I answer any of yours?

> >That's simply not true, Len.
> >
> >You're just making up bullshit. Typical jackass behavior for
> >you.
>
> Tsk, tsk, very Very VERY WRONG, Jimmie Noserve.

No Len. Would you prefer if I called you a diminutive nickname?

> Try to use CIVIL language in here...

I have - for years. It doesn't work with you, Len. You exhibit
jackass behavior in accordance with a predictable profile
regardless - if a person disagrees with your opinions and/or
points out errors in your postings here.

> >I've repeatedly said that "radio amateur" means anyone licensed
> >in the Amateur Radio Service. License class, band and mode
> >don't
> >matter. VHF/UHF is as much "real amateur radio" as HF.

>
> No, you have NOT.

Sure I have. You're in error again, Len.

> >> I just quote
> >> it from the public database downloaded by one website
> >> from the FCC.
> >
> >So you accept hamdata.com without question...
>
> NO. You are WRONG!
>
> I question almost everything. I accept Hamdata figures
> for the simple reason that they do NOT go into ARRL-
> style sinning-by-omission on radio history and their
> minority viewpoint on How Amateur Radio SHOULD Be.

I see, You use ad-hominem criteria.

> Does the ARRL download the daily FCC database on
> U.S. amateur radio licensees?

Yes.

> > But I do point out
> >the holes in your arguments, and your factual/logical mistakes,
> >which seems to enrage you no end..
>
> No, No, NO, Jimmie, you got it WRONG again.
>
> All you do is engage in some odd word play to try
> and "justify" your rationalizations of your fantasy
> ideas about a hobby. That is NOT "correcting
> mistakes."

See above about the word "expire" as used by FCC in Part 97.
You made a mistake, Len. I pointed it out.

> >> Maybe I might want to get a ham license someday?
> >
> >Nope. We can rule that right out.
>
> Who are YOU to judge?

Who do I have to be? You judge everyone else here, why shouldn't I?
>
> You are NOT in the FCC.

Neither are you.

> You are NOT an official in the ARRL.

Neither are you.
>
> All you are is acting like a snide little hamlet who
> can't accept that you do NOT "rule" anything (except
> with a pencil and paper).

That's more a description of you than me, Len.

>
> >>So what? You're not a radio amateur, never been one, and it
> >>appears
> >>that you'll never become one either. That's the TRUTH.
>
> WHO said "I will never become one?" :-)

It appears you will never become one. Just an observation.

> Jimmie, I've been an UNLICENSED radio-electronics
> hobbyist longer than you've been alive...and that IS
> the truth...in REALITY.

So what? All that means is that you are old and that you
have fooled with some electronic stuff. Lots of people
can say the same thing.

> I've been a LICENSED PROFESSIONAL in radio-electronics
> about as long as you've been alive...and that IS the
> truth...in REALITY.

So what? All that means is that you are old and that you
passed a written test for an FCC *commercial* license once upon a time.
Lots of people can say the same thing.

> I was OPERATING on HF BEFORE you were born, Jimmie,
> IN the military...the military you've NEVER been in.
> That is reality...whether or not you care to accept it.

So what? All that means is that you are old and that you
once served in the Army, where you were trained to do certain
transmitter adjustments, as part of a large team of specialists. Lots
of people can say the same thing.
>
> Don't even ATTEMPT to CONTROL

Control? Another mistake by you. I just observe and predict.

> what I am "allowed" to
> do in the FUTURE...because you CANNOT and you do NOT
> know the future.

I do know your behavior here, though, and that's a clear predictor of
what you will and won't do. For example, I've
predicted that you'll make up insulting nicknames for those
who disagree with you no matter how they address you. So far
you've proved that prediction 100% correct.

> Quit acting like a spoiled child who demands obediance
> to you and your ideas.

"Don't even ATTEMPT to CONTROL what I am "allowed" to
do in the FUTURE...because you CANNOT and you do NOT
know the future." - Len Anderson

Apply your own rules for others to your own behavior, Len.
>
> >Why no, Len, it's not about me at all.
>
> This thread is about the ARRL and the FUTURE, Jimmie.

"Don't even ATTEMPT to CONTROL what I am "allowed" to
do in the FUTURE...because you CANNOT and you do NOT
know the future." - Len Anderson

> It isn't about the PAST that you glorify.
>
> It is about NUMBERS and who is getting what license
> in amateur radio. [the Technicians are getting the
> overwhelming majority of new licenses,

That's true. But so what?

> upsetting
> your cherished ideals of How Amateur Radio Should Be]

Not true.

The fact is that a lot of those Technicians are going on to other
licenses.

Some time back you posted the snippet that the Technician class was
growing at a rate of 26 per day. I asked how many Technician Pluses
were being renewed as Technicians, but you didn't answer.

It turns out that the Technician Plus class is *losing* about 26 per
day. Of course not all of them are renewing as Technicians - some are
upgrading and some are being removed from the database. (the above is
from hamdata.com)

> >> I never made a SACRED VOW in HERE on anything,
> >> "Sweetums."
> >
> >No, you didn't. I never claimed you did.
> >
> >> You are trying to MANUFACTURE that
> >> condition.
> >
> >Nope - I'm simply pointing out what you claimed you would do,
> >but haven't done yet.
>
> You aren't being CONSISTENT. Try to get it straight.
> Is it what *I* "might" do or is it about "what I will

> 'never' do?"

You claimed you were going for Extra "right out of the box". January
19, 2000, more than 5-1/4 years ago. But you haven't even got a
Technician license yet.

As I wrote before:

You're not a radio amateur, never been one, and it
appears that you'll never become one either. That's the TRUTH.

Note the phrase "it appears that you'll never".
>
> You are (seemingly) bringing up an OLD piece of a
> posting to IMPLY that I "must" do it...EXACTLY as
> I wrote it. :-)

Not at all, Len. I'm simply pointing out what you said you would
do, but haven't done yet. And that it appears you'll never do it.

> YOU be the "jackass" when you keep
> on doing that.

Hmmm...now who doesn't like the truth?
>
> Jimmie, this thread is NOT about me. Why do you want
> to convene a kangaroo court? Are you that unhappy
> with things that you NEED to antagonistically "pick
> on" others? Get CONTROL of YOUR life. Get OFF of
> mine.

Sounds like you're telling me to shut up. Here's a clue, Len:

"Don't even ATTEMPT to CONTROL what I am "allowed" to
do in the FUTURE...because you CANNOT and you do NOT
know the future." - Len Anderson
>
>
> >Nope. I'm simply pointing out what you claimed you would do,
> >but haven't done yet. And will probably never do.

See? That's what you want to control.
> >
> >That TRUTH appears to bother you a lot.
>
> See, that OLD piece of posting is still sticking to the
> roof of your brain. Are you an Effluent?
>
> FANTASY doesn't bother me. You have much FANTASY
> going...especially when you come up with What Amateur
> Radio Should Be (according to your god-like perceptions
> and beliefs). Fantasy can be FUN as entertainment.
> FANTASY should NOT be any basis for REGULATIONS...yet

> that is what you think is "truth."
>
> TRUTH is what the FCC database has...the FCC grants
> the licenses, can tally up what it grants. The TRUTH
> is that the increase in NEW Technician licenses in the
> USA is averaging about 26 per day, over four times the
> total of other-class licenses put together.

No, that's not what hamdata.com says. You're wrong, Len.

Hamdata.com says that the number
of Technician licenses is increasing at the rate of about 25
per day - *from all sources*. Not just new licenses - *ALL* sources.
Got that?

It means Technician Pluses
renewed as Technicians, Novices who pass Element 2, and new
licenses.

Hamdata.com also shows that the Tech Plus license class is
decreasing by about 25 per day over the same time period.

You misinterpreted hamdata.com.

> The ARRL
> is still busy keeping up the charade that U.S. ham
> radio is like it was many decades ago.

How are they doing that?

> It isn't. They
> aren't really trying to entice Technician class
> licensees into League membership.

How do you know?

> The post that Hans
> Brakob made addresses that FACT.
>
> YOU don't seem to like that TRUTH. You want to call
> such facts "wrong" because they are against your
> BELIEFS. You want to "strike back" at those that
> present the TRUTH because such TRUTHs are uncomfortable
> to your fantasy.
>
Not me.

You're simply saying that your *opinions* about ARRL are "THE TRUTH".
That's just bullshit on your part. No other word to describe it.

988. Re: Question for K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 10:56:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116240974.668786.192240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108118
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

N9OGL wrote:
> STEVE THE LIAR!!!!

Nope.

Old headers snipped. Here's what you really need to see:

> N9OGL wrote:
> > WHAT SAID I ABOUT YOUR KID WASN'T SLANDER EITHER BUT THE TRUTH
>
> Yep..she's gone. Thankfully she passed at home with loved ones
at
> hand.
>
> Can any of us say for certain how long we'll be here...?!?!
> Sudden sickness...a car accident...a stray bullet from a drive
> by...?!?! Who knows. The press is full of sad stories of early
> demise. And who knows who will be next...?!?!

Yep. That's exactly what I wrote.

And it was in the same post wherein I lamented the loss of my
daughter and her untimely death. And everything I said in it is true.

E V E R Y DAY of the week there are articles about people who
"died suddenly", people killed in automobile accidents, and innocents
sitting in their own living rooms kiled by a stray bullet.

Not one word of it untrue.

Maybe next you'll be telling us about the black helicopters taking
pictures of your house, Todd?

Or are you just taking lessons from Brian "Habitual Liar" Burke?
He likes to take bits and pieces of other peoples posts and try to make
threatening sounding posts too.

It doesn't work for him either...It only makes him look all the
more foolhearty...Not that you need much help in THAT department, Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

989. Re: Felon N8WWM threatens Dayton Hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 11:05:29 UTC
From: Al Banys <Lelandsboss@inductoheat.nut>
Message-ID: <42887fe1_2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 108119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

N8WWM@arrl.net posting as:
"chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1116227887.793263.34510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

KC8GXW wrote:
> Now we know where n9ogl gets it from, he's really a 10-4 gud buddy
>
> Al Banys wrote:
>
> > From N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > Hey CUNT,
> > Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a
red
> > headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an
assumed
> > name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit
hunk...wanna
> > party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot
ILLEGAL
> > KEYCLOWN.
> > Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can
bring
> > it into your life.
> > I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
> > I volunteer to show ya how.
> > CUNT
> > Your turn, CUNT!
> >
> >

> > From N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > You pissbag, I hope you go to Dayton. I will be
> > wearing a sign with my call on it, front and back. Come up and
> > introduce yourself and get a lunger hawked on your faggot ass, just
> > like your wife pus bag twistie. I fukkin dare ya. Looks like I am
gonna
> > hafta start smokin cigars or something to generate enough flegm for
all
> > you mutant nuttsak slurpers.
> > By the way, have you stopped molesting hamsters yet?

> >

> >
> > From:N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > Hey Peepeeholic:
> > Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you
or
> > ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
> > You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back
to
> > the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a
real
> > dumb idea.
> > Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> > junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you
laying
> > there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> > coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> > trainedpuppet.
> > Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> > building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> > ----------
> > From N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and
broken
> > bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or
don't have
> > the heart to cut, be civil. I have.
> >
> >
> >
> >

First off, you cant send from arrl.net, which clearly shows the post to
be a forgery.
>
No. It is his (your) email address. Since when does he (you) post from his
(your) email box?
>

Second, There is no threat there unless the individual was disturbed.
>
Oh there is -NO- doubt you are a disturbed individual, N8WWM.

http://groups-beta.google.com/groups?q=felon%20n8wwm

The funny part is you are nothing more than a sick weakling, that has no
place making threats to people. You don't have
enough ass to pay for the check your loud mouth writes...one look says it

all!

http://img147.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly0gy.jpg

990. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 11:18:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116242317.914164.154840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108120

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> > Jimmie, get your definitions correct. Those amateur
> > licensees who are IN the 2-year grace period for
> > renewal are NOT "expired."
>
> The licensees may or may not have expired. Their
> licenses *have* expired.
>
> > The FCC doesn't call them that.
>
> Yes, they do.
>
> Quoting FCC rules, 97.21 iii:
>
> "b) A person whose amateur station license grant has expired may
apply
> to the FCC for renewal of the license grant for another term during a
2
> year filing grace period. The application must be received at the
> address specified above prior to the end of the grace period. Unless
> and until the license grant is renewed, no privileges in this Part
are
> conferred."
>
> The licensee may not have expired but the license sure has.
>
> I suggest you actually read Part 97, Len.

Now THAT would be refreshing...

Of course you've cited that exact same paragraph to Lennie on
several occassions before when he's made the same error, yet he
continues to make THE SAME ERROR.

> > >Just answer the question, please.
> >
> > No.
>
> Then why should I answer any of yours?

Indeed.

Lennie's been asked MANY questions by many persons on numerous
subjects...The only answer (paraphrased) "I don't have to answer the

questions of mighty morsemen".

But he has point-blank DEMANDED answers from us...Hence my refusal
to further address my Armed Forces service outside the realm of
radio...I love seeing him twist in his seat...Burns him up to know he
doesn't have control.

> > Try to use CIVIL language in here...
>
> I have - for years. It doesn't work with you, Len. You exhibit
> jack### behavior in accordance with a predictable profile
> regardless - if a person disagrees with your opinions and/or
> points out errors in your postings here.

Lennie's nickname should be "Diode"...things are only one way with
him...

> > > But I do point out
> > >the holes in your arguments, and your factual/logical mistakes,
> > >which seems to enrage you no end..
> >
> > No, No, NO, Jimmie, you got it WRONG again.
> >
> > All you do is engage in some odd word play to try
> > and "justify" your rationalizations of your fantasy
> > ideas about a hobby. That is NOT "correcting
> > mistakes."
>
> See above about the word "expire" as used by FCC in Part 97.
> You made a mistake, Len. I pointed it out.

Facts are NOT Lennie's forte.

> > Jimmie, I've been an UNLICENSED radio-electronics
> > hobbyist longer than you've been alive...and that IS
> > the truth...in REALITY.
>
> So what? All that means is that you are old and that you
> have fooled with some electronic stuff. Lots of people
> can say the same thing.

And obviously not very proud of what he's allegedly done...No
details..no pics....Nothing...

> > I was OPERATING on HF BEFORE you were born, Jimmie,
> > IN the military...the military you've NEVER been in.
> > That is reality...whether or not you care to accept it.
>
> So what? All that means is that you are old and that you
> once served in the Army, where you were trained to do certain
> transmitter adjustments, as part of a large team of specialists. Lots
> of people can say the same thing.

He was a radio mechanic. Nothing more...nothing less.

> > Quit acting like a spoiled child who demands obediance
> > to you and your ideas.
>
> "Don't even ATTEMPT to CONTROL what I am "allowed" to
> do in the FUTURE...because you CANNOT and you do NOT
> know the future." - Len Anderson

That was the pot calling the kettle black!

> Apply your own rules for others to your own behavior, Len.

Yeah...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight...Wanna see the pics from my weekend
soire with Britney Spears too...?!?!

> > You aren't being CONSISTENT. Try to get it straight.
> > Is it what *I* "might" do or is it about "what I will
> > 'never' do?"
>
> You claimed you were going for Extra "right out of the box". January
> 19, 2000, more than 5-1/4 years ago. But you haven't even got a
> Technician license yet.

Exactly what HAS Lennie done with ANY "radio hobby" activity since
he joined this forum? Other than "DX" the ATIS at LAX with a scanner,
that is...?!?!

> > You are (seemingly) bringing up an OLD piece of a
> > posting to IMPLY that I "must" do it...EXACTLY as
> > I wrote it. :-)
>
> Not at all, Len. I'm simply pointing out what you said you would
> do, but haven't done yet. And that it appears you'll never do it.

Why WOULDN'T we expect you to do what you said you'd do, Lennie?

Is your written word not of any value?

> > YOU don't seem to like that TRUTH. You want to call
> > such facts "wrong" because they are against your
> > BELIEFS. You want to "strike back" at those that
> > present the TRUTH because such TRUTHs are uncomfortable
> > to your fantasy.
> >
> Not me.
>
> You're simply saying that your *opinions* about ARRL are "THE TRUTH".
> That's just bull#### on your part. No other word to describe it.

I'm still waiting to see if Lennie ever ponies up any proof for his
assertion that the "ARRL is dishonest".

73

Steve, K4YZ

991. 10 Days to D-Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 12:12:01 UTC
From: G1LVN <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1116245521.025164.19700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108121
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Deregulation that is!

On 26th of May OfCom the UK radio Authority will issue a consulation document on the future of amateur radio licensing in the UK. The consulation is open to all and will ask a number of questions on options such as licence for life, de-regulation and:

"..options to make the (UK) amateur radio service WT Act licence-exempt" [#1] - That's all amateur radio exempt from licensing under the UK Wireless Telegraphy Act, it'll be a nightmare on the bands, HF included.

Just so you do not miss this important event in world amateur radio, add yourself to the mailing list here:

http://www.ofcom.org.uk/static/subscribe/radiospectrum.htm

and have your say. You will be emailed immediately the consulation is published. Have your say!

73deG1LVN

ref #1 -
http://www.ofcom.org.uk/radiocomms/ifi/licensing/classes/amateur/forthcoming

992. Re: 10 Days to D-Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 12:22:12 UTC
From: gareth <cronjob@btconnect.com>
Message-ID: <d6a39kevq1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 108122

"G1LVN" <gareth.paley@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1116245521.025164.19700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> ref #1 -
>
http://www.ofcom.org.uk/radiocomms/ifi/licensing/classes/amateur/forthcoming
>

no matter how many times I read it:

"For the avoidance of doubt, whilst the formal public consultation will put
forward a number of other options for the future, those options are
explicitly not recommended by Ofcom. Specifically, Ofcom will not recommend
any options to make the amateur radio service WT Act licence-exempt;
however, for transparency and completeness, this will be put forward as an
option in the public consultation in order to gather the community's views "

....something still stinks.

993. Re: Brian P Burke Remains a Liar...Why, Brian? For your Kids to See?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 13:29:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116250183.690577.152590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 15 2005 1:17 am
>
> >bb wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> > > >Sociopath Steve wrote:
>
>
> >> > Liar! And it's "They're wondering".
> >>
> >> "Dialing...." Steve lets his fingers do the wandering. Hi, hi!
> >>
> > Did dial...
> >
> > Intersting conversation.>
> >
> > Some very interesting folks in Fairfield County.
>
> Tsk. Typical Robeson IMPLICATION. NO details of

SNIP

You don't live in Fairfield County, Lennie.

> >> > You fit right in with Brian Burke and Lennie Anderson!
They
> both
> >> > have lot's of make-believe friends too!
> >> >
> >> > Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >> Sorry, no make believe friends, make believe phone calls, make
> believe
> >> MARS appointments, nor make believe hostile actions.
> >>
> >> That is entirely your make believe arena.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Actually, Robeson is "taking the chance" by NOT finding
> out anything in detail. He is BLUFFING without having
> done any research.

Nope. Wrong again, but then I guess you're used to it.

> > Take a chance, Oh Cowardly One...
>
> Robeson seems to be the "coward." Having had over five
> years to "research" ANYTHING I've mentioned in regards
> to employers OR currently-living amateur radio licensee
> friends, he has FAILED to do so.

Don't need to, Lennie.

I don't doubt any of the places you said you were in. I was able
to verify at least one of them, so I will give you the benefit of the
doubt on the rest.

> Instead, Robeson FABRICATED an entirely FALSE person
> who allegedly "knew me" at NADC (closed for a decade)
> back in 1971. Robeson MANUFACTURED a FALSITY that I
> "was seeking employment there" even though I've
> explained otherwise (I was, and continued to be, an
> employee of RCA Corporation until 1975). Robeson
> took a "coward's" route by MANUFACTURING A FALSITY.

I didn't "MANUFACTURE" anything, Lennie.

Sorry you found it embarrasing to find out that I >>DID<< take the
time to follwo up on your "CV".

> > Chief AF MARS. (Need the address?) Can get you the address for
> >the administrative officer at 1st MAW or MCB camp Butler if you'd
> like.
>
> The USAF Communications Headquarters is at Scott AFB,
> isn't it? Why is Stebie mentioning Air Force?

I didn't mention the Air Force. I mentioned AF MARS.

I was a member of USAF MARS at one point. Gave everyone here the
callsign and dates I was a member. Your puppetboy asked for
information. That was part of it. Now he's trying to worm his way out
from under having to actually find out that, yes, Steve was telling the
truth.

> Stebie might think that Scott AFB will close its doors
> quickly since it is on the DoD closure list...then
> "nobody can find out."

Nope.

Those records will be in tact somewhere. And all of my various
MARS callsigns have been "public" knowledge on my QRZ "bio" for YEARS.

You and your PuppetBoy have missed a prime opportunity to try and
prove me wrong, but have never taken it....Oh yeah...I forgot...It was
all TRUE! Screws up THAT rant!

> In reality, DETAILED unit records in the U.S. military
> are NOT kept forever.

But the records I am telling YOU about are.

> Stebie is BLUFFING when he expects an old unit of "his"
> to be intact 24 years after his claim of service there.

That "old unit" was a Marine Corps Air Wing and a Marine Corps
Base. Two minutes with Google will prove they are still there.

As are all of the units I ever served with EXCEPT NAMTD 1032 at
MCAS Tustin.

> Not only that, but he is ignorant of the Privacy Act of
> 1974. Requests for information must be in writing.
> Legal cites: 5 U.S.C. 552a(3)(3)on writing plus
> authority on information in 44 U.S.C. 2907, 3101, and
> Public Law 104-134 (April 26, 1996).

Sheesh, Lennie!

I told you over and over years ago that I'd sign a release for you
when you brought that up the FIRST time.

You never took advantage of THAT offer, either.

Big snip of snow job on recovering military records.

The fact is Lennie will never follow up on ANY of the facts I have
given him because they will prove him wrong...Again...

> Apparently Robeson doesn't accept any mention of
> friends who have amateur radio licenses (as I have
> with W6MJN or KD6JG). Robeson thinks such are
> "make-believe."

There you go lying again, Lennie.

I think they are real. They are also personal friends of yours.
They'd be pretty WEAK friends if they didn't stand up for you, right or
wrong.

On the other hand, I HAVE spoke with at least one person who no
reason to misrepresent an opinion about you.

Very telling.

> In that case the Los Angeles
> County Registrar-Recorder is "also wrong" since Al
> Walston (W6MJN) and his signature is on my marriage
> certificate as a witness (he was my best man).

Judging from what we've seen of your behaviour in this forum, he
was the ONLY man in your wedding party.

> In that case, Robeson has "no cojones" (nor ability to
> spell any better in Spanish) since he hasn't gotten
> up the courage to check out anything of mine, not
> after five years of finding it out. He has gotten
> that information more than once, publicly, in here.

As I said...You've already been "outted". By a thrid party with no
reason to misrepresent his opinion.

> Stebie would seem to prefer sitting around, doing
> NOTHING but personal insults, denigrations, fake
> humiliation-laughter, and almost countless BLUFFS
> which are just LIES. He will NOT hesitate to call
> others for "liars," perhaps because he has done so
> much LYING of his own in the past?

No...because you've BEEB CAUGHT LYING so often, Lennie.

Don't lie and you won't be called a liar.

> NOT a good role-model. Does NOT arouse any
> "interest" (other than masochism) in joining the
> "ranks" of amateur radio.

I guess you've missed the big message here, Lennie.

We don't want you. You have nothing to offer...You've offered
nothing, you've DONE nothing of any value to or for Amateur radio.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

994. Re: Brian P Burke Remains a Liar...Why, Brian? For your Kids to See?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 13:36:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116250609.510138.244010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> Robeson lies. Probably just more lies. Totally expected.

You claim that but you don't prove it.

> > Actually, Robeson is "taking the chance" by NOT finding
> > out anything in detail. He is BLUFFING without having
> > done any research.
>
> He shouldn't have to do "research." After all, he claims "A" NCOIC
of
> Okinawa MARS. Yet he is woefully ignorant of MARS communications.

Nope. I was wrong about "Q" signal useage in REGULAR military
communications.

So far, the rest is rantrot of yours. Oh well.

> Robeson is deceitful.

You claim that but you don't prove it.

> > > Chief AF MARS. (Need the address?) Can get you the address
for

> I used to walk into Ray Collins office whenever I had questions not
> covered by the MOD. Friendly guy.

I am sure he was.

> Steve was probably one of those guys who had trouble holding on to a
> job.

Nope. Doing just fine, thank-you!

> Steve could post his SSN.

Now you're TRYING to be funny instead of just being laughed at...

> He lacks judgement, yet he constantly passes judgement.

Oh no...I make no "judgement".

I make observations. I express my opinion based upon evvaluation
of those observations.

> Pillsbury Dough Boy with mustache said this on his web site:
>
> "I may have to yield to aging, but I refuse to grow up!"

He did...?!?!

He must have taken that from MY site! Mine says the same thing!

Steve, K4YZ

995. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 13:48:27 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Lw1ie.5627$w21.3760@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108125

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> KC8GXW previously wrote:
>> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
>> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
>> messaging team and a Morse code team.
>> The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken >
>> Miller K6CTW.
>
> They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
> text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his hand
> (signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
> And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Pretty much a no brainer that the text messaging would lose. Sending text
from a cellphone is pretty clumsy and slow. After each character is
inputted
sender must wait a second or so for the character to be accepted and for
the "cursor" to move to the next position indicating it is ready for the
next
character to be inputted. Also, different characters take several repeated
"pushes" of the key associated with that character to get to that
character...
Example: The letter 'S' is on keypad number 7 (along with P, Q and R)
and to get an 'S' into the text message you must hit the 7 button four times
to cycle the character selection first to P, then to Q, then to R and then
to S.

Even after the text message is completed and sent, there is a latency and
delay in actual delivery from the cellphone of the sender to recipt
at the cellphone of the individual intended to receive the test message.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

996. Re: More Proof That Steven J Robeson K4YZ Is A Habitual Liar!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 13:53:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116251599.347924.251220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108126

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > What's disturbing is your failure to find the alleged
> > > > "terrorizing" comments and re-cite them.
> > > >
> > > > I have, of course, addressed it several times, but you
> > continue
> > > to
> > > > misrepresent the truth.
> > >
> > > Failure to find it???
> > >
> > > I read it the first time around and I've reposted it several
times.
> >
> > No, you've reposted YOUR assertions that I was suggesting
> > "terrorizing wives" and "slashing tires".
>
> Then why did you state those words???
>
> > I never stated that.
>
> You DID!

You continue to pull words out of paragraphs and then try to make
them into something I never said.

THAT being the case, I can put together some rather ribald "posts"
of "yours" IRT "pornography", "mental weakness", "lying" and "child
molestation".

> > I DID state that there have been people who have suffered
those
> > crimes when they made it a point of being a newsgroup or chat room
> > antagonist...
>
> What people? Name them?
>
> You made a thinly veiled threat that harm would come to Len or his
wife
> or his property because he disagreed with you.

I made NO threats, "thinly veiled" or otherwise about ahrm to
anyone or their wives...Unless you include the harm that MIGHT come to
YOU if I were to mail some of your posts to your spouses and let them
see how you act in public.

> > Like your buddy Lennie.
>
> Like you!

Nope.

> > I have REPEATEDLY stated that that is unacceptable behaviour.
>
> You are an unacceptable person behaving in an unacceptable manner and
> spouting unacceptable statements!

Unaccpetable to YOU since I frequently point out your errors,
mistakes and blatant lies and have no reservation to say so.

Sorry it's annoying to you. I've told you before if you'd stop
lying people would stop calling you a liar.

It really is that simple.

> > You, on the otherhand, have only been repeating your claims
> > WITHOUT substantiation.
>
> I merely show you your own words, and you hate it!

Hardly.

> > > You address it by pretending it doesn't exist. You lie to
> yourself,
> > > and you lie to people on RRAP. Who else do you lie to?
> >
> > No one.
>
> You're lying again!

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

997. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 14:15:46 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <nW1ie.16266$eU.14548@fed1read07>
Source record: 108127

Yeah rationalize all you want BUT
The cell phoners lost to a 160 year old technology

CW LIVES
--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:Lw1ie.5627$w21.3760@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> KC8GXW previously wrote:
>>> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
>>> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
>>> messaging team and a Morse code team.
>>> The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken >
>>> Miller K6CTW.
>>
>> They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
>> text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his hand
>> (signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
>> And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Pretty much a no brainer that the text messaging would lose. Sending text
> from a cellphone is pretty clumsy and slow. After each character is
> inputted
> sender must wait a second or so for the character to be accepted and for
> the "cursor" to move to the next position indicating it is ready for the
> next
> character to be inputted. Also, different characters take several
> repeated
> "pushes" of the key associated with that character to get to that
> character...
> Example: The letter 'S' is on keypad number 7 (along with P, Q and R)
> and to get an 'S' into the text message you must hit the 7 button four
> times
> to cycle the character selection first to P, then to Q, then to R and then
> to S.
>
> Even after the text message is completed and sent, there is a latency and
> delay in actual delivery from the cellphone of the sender to recipt
> at the cellphone of the individual intended to receive the test message.
>

> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

998. Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 14:18:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116253130.477480.309240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108128

N9OGL wrote:
> >STEBIE SAID
> > Hey Dan...I bet Toiddie hasn't strapped on that illegal ultralight
> >ever since I pointed out that TEAM HiMAX is made in Tennessee...That
> >had to chap his hide!
>
> Not anymore, they went bankrupt a few years back and was bought by a
> company I believe in Minnesota. You know it's been kind of nice out
> this week I think I'll go up since I have no more school.

So...Knowing that your "airplane" is illegal, you still intend to fly it? License or not, Todd, when you operate ANY aircraft authorized by the FAA, you are bound by FAA Rules and Regulations, and hence are subject to FAA punitive actions.

You've admitted that your ultralight is NOT in complaince with Part 103. Do you intend to knowingly violate FAA R&R's like you do FCC R&R's...?!?!

It would be a real shame for you to wind up getting sanctioned by the FAA before you get that Sport Pilot license, now wouldn't it...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

999. Re: 10 Days to Deregulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 15:23:24 UTC
From: G1LVN <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1116257003.989131.68360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108129
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The _EXACT reference is worse than that Walt:

"For the avoidance of doubt, whilst the formal public consultation will put forward a number of other options for the future, those options are explicitly not recommended by Ofcom. Specifically, Ofcom will not recommend any options to make the amateur radio service WT Act licence-exempt; however, for transparency and completeness, this will be put forward as an option in the public consultation in order to gather the community's views"

Q1- who is doubting and why?
Q2- when exactly is the "future" and if they are not recommended then why put the forward?
Q3- why are they putting WT Act Licence-exemption down as an option? Why even mention it? Why ask for a raise of hands even if the do not "recommend".
Q4-who are they "recommending" it to?

Incidentally - what do you make of this job advert, currently running on OfCom's website?

http://tinyurl.com/bnoqk

"Change Management Senior Associate: Deregulation and Contracting out unit - Riverside House

The Deregulation and Contracting Out Unit is responsible for managing contracts to deliver licences for Citizens' Band, Amateur Radio, Maritime and Aeronautical users (presently circa 160,000 licences each year) and planning for the deregulation of relevant licence classes. The team also deals with technical queries from licence-holders, provides international representation on spectrum access groups, develops new licence schemes to improve customer service, negotiates spectrum access for special events and manages the contract for broadcasting and special events licensing.

The successful candidate will support the Unit Head in implementing deregulation in these bands as well as contributing to plans to reform the management of Programme Making and Special Events (PMSE) spectrum....................."

I could go on. Looks like it's all decided

73 de G1LVN

1000. Re: 10 Days to D-Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 15:36:41 UTC
From: gareth <cronjob@btconnect.com>
Message-ID: <d6aem9$j0n$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 108130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

<lucifer@eternal-flames.gov> wrote in message
news:75eh81982453gvabnq67260dkvfd09b2lc@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 16 May 2005 14:53:36 +0100, Walt Davidson
> <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> Any truth to the rumour that DuffCom have been sending an emissary or
> two around the country, urging CBers to vote for the complete de-reg.
> package.

Half the M3's still are CBers

> about no more reciprocal licences, no more repeater networks, no more
> Nov's, etc. etc. Well they had to follow the script that RSPP sent
> them, didn't they?
>
>
Who is RSPP?

73 de M3WWS
--http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM

1001. Re: 10 Days to Deregulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 15:38:11 UTC
From: gareth <cronjob@btconnect.com>
Message-ID: <d6aep3$j7s$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 108131
Cross-posted to: 24hoursupport.helpdesk,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<lucifer@eternal-flames.gov> wrote in message
news:42fh81lokfok6i9bpn4vc6loam1q3o36gb@4ax.com...
> On 16 May 2005 08:23:24 -0700, "G1LVN" <gareth.paley@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >The Deregulation and Contracting Out Unit is responsible for managing
> >contracts to deliver licences for Citizens' Band, Amateur Radio,
> >Maritime and Aeronautical users (presently circa 160,000 licences each
> >year) and planning for the deregulation of relevant licence classes.
> >The team also deals with technical queries from licence-holders,
> >provides international representation on spectrum access groups,
> >develops new licence schemes to improve customer service, negotiates
> >spectrum access for special events and manages the contract for
> >broadcasting and special events licensing.
>
> Oh goody! I'm gonna get me one of those 121.5MHz AM transceivers and
> talk-down some planes... Well if the band is (according to your
> interpretation) going to be deregulated, why not?
>
> A new game for the 'kiddies' instead of playing with flight-sim
> programs, they can crash planes into each other.
>
> Bloody likely they'll deregulate aeronautical radio, isn't it?
>
> >The successful candidate will support the Unit Head in implementing
> >deregulation in these bands as well as contributing to plans to reform
> >the management of Programme Making and Special Events (PMSE)
> >spectrum....................."
> >
> >I could go on.
>
> And you no doublt will.
>
> >Looks like it's all decided
>
> COBBLERS. The show ain't over until the fat lady sings.
>
> Old Nick.
>
LOL

1002. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 16:02:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116259368.190804.99260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108132

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> > >Just answer the question, please.
> >
> > No. :-)
>
> Then why should I answer any of yours?

There is no reason so don't.

"Don't Feed the Animaks"

> > >That's simply not true, Len.
> > >
> > >You're just making up bullshit. Typical jackass behavior for
> > >you.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, very Very VERY WRONG, Jimmie Noserve.
>
> No Len. Would you prefer if I called you a diminutive nickname?

Probably.

"Jackass" doesn't really do him justice James. Jackasses are gentle
little guys, he's more like a big horse's ass.

w3rv

1003. Re: 10 Days to Deregulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 16:18:26 UTC
From: gareth <cronjob@btconnect.com>
Message-ID: <d6ah4ipgo1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 108133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

<lucifer@eternal-flames.gov> wrote in message
news:42fh81lokfok6i9bpn4vc6loam1q3o36gb@4ax.com...
> On 16 May 2005 08:23:24 -0700, "G1LVN" <gareth.paley@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >The Deregulation and Contracting Out Unit is responsible for managing
> >contracts to deliver licences for Citizens' Band, Amateur Radio,
> >Maritime and Aeronautical users (presently circa 160,000 licences each
> >year) and planning for the deregulation of relevant licence classes.
> >The team also deals with technical queries from licence-holders,
> >provides international representation on spectrum access groups,
> >develops new licence schemes to improve customer service, negotiates
> >spectrum access for special events and manages the contract for
> >broadcasting and special events licensing.
>
> Oh goody! I'm gonna get me one of those 121.5MHz AM transceivers and
> talk-down some planes... Well if the band is (according to your
> interpretation) going to be deregulated, why not?
>
> A new game for the 'kiddies' instead of playing with flight-sim
> programs, they can crash planes into each other.
>
> Bloody likely they'll deregulate aeronautical radio, isn't it?
>

Well yes it does if this job description [#1] is anything to go by:

As Change Management Senior Associate for the Deregulation and Contracting
out unit you will be responsible for:
. Liaising with colleagues throughout Ofcom (including IS) to ensure that
the reformed licensing systems are delivered on time.
. Developing plans to reform the management of PMSE spectrum by introducing
a Spectrum Management Organisation (SMO).
. Liaising with colleagues within Ofcom and with external stakeholders to
establish SMO spectrum property rights in sufficient detail for an auction
to be viable.
. Negotiating sufficiently liberal coexistence agreements with primary
spectrum users to enable Ofcom to withdraw from the current licence by
licence clearance process.
. Liaising with colleagues throughout Ofcom to identify issues arising from
policy and market reviews, which affect the Citizens' Band, Amateur,
Aeronautical, Maritime or PMSE spectrum sectors.

73de M3WWS

Ref #1 - http://www.ofcom.org.uk/about/jobs/operations/cmsass?a=87101

1004. Re: 10 Days to Deregulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 16:59:37 UTC
From: gareth <cronjob@btconnect.com>
Message-ID: <d6ajhp$48l$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 108135
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:psjh819bjacfrfm82m1861m8d2hvcvbot4@4ax.com...
> On 16 May 2005 08:23:24 -0700, "G1LVN" <gareth.paley@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I could go on.
>
> Don't bother!
>
> >Looks like it's all decided
>
> Indeed.
>
> "The closing date for applications is 8 April 2005."
>
> I wonder if the L.I.A.R. got the job.
> :-)

That'll be uk.radio.amateur closed down next week then. OfCom regulate ISP's
in the UK as well.

--
--73deG1LVN
http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM
bring a bottle!

1005. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 16:59:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116262779.214876.28250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108134

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > KC8GXW previously wrote:
> >> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
> >> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
> >> messaging team and a Morse code team.
> >> The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken >
> >> Miller K6CTW.
> >
> > They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
> > text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his hand
> > (signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
> > And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Pretty much a no brainer that the text messaging would lose.

Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?

> Sending text
> from a cellphone is pretty clumsy and slow. After each character is
> inputted
> sender must wait a second or so for the character to be accepted and
for
> the "cursor" to move to the next position indicating it is ready for
the
> next
> character to be inputted.

Not on my cellphone.

>Also, different characters take several repeated
> "pushes" of the key associated with that character to get to that
> character...

Of course - but the same is true of some Morse characters, too.

> Example: The letter 'S' is on keypad number 7 (along with P, Q and R)
> and to get an 'S' into the text message you must hit the 7 button
four times
> to cycle the character selection first to P, then to Q, then to R and
then
> to S.

The text-message sender was the *world champion*. He's in the Guinness book for 160 characters in 57 seconds.
>
> Even after the text message is completed and sent, there is a latency and
> delay in actual delivery from the cellphone of the sender to recipt
> at the cellphone of the individual intended to receive the test message.

That didn't matter in this test because the text messager hadn't even finished inputting the message when the Morse ops were done - with hard copy (pencil and paper).

The audience was confident the text-message folks would win. They made a heck of a racket but the Morse ops were unfazed.

Did you see the clip, Bill? You can download it from a number of websites, in .wmv format.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1006. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 18:19:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116267562.587043.202050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108136

bal bal bal Steve you a moron.....but hey you think you have something there go for it.

Todd N9OGL

1007. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 18:39:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116268794.148937.89650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108137

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > KC8GXW previously wrote:
> > >> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
> > >> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
> > >> messaging team and a Morse code team.
> > >> The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken >
> > >> Miller K6CTW.
> > >
> > > They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
> > > text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his
hand
> > > (signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
> > > And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Pretty much a no brainer that the text messaging would lose.
>
> Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?
>
> > Sending text
> > from a cellphone is pretty clumsy and slow. After each character
is
> > inputted
> > sender must wait a second or so for the character to be accepted
and
> for
> > the "cursor" to move to the next position indicating it is ready
for
> the
> > next
> > character to be inputted.
>
> Not on my cellphone.
>
> >Also, different characters take several repeated
> > "pushes" of the key associated with that character to get to that
> > character...
>
> Of course - but the same is true of some Morse characters, too.
>
> > Example: The letter 'S' is on keypad number 7 (along with P, Q and

R)
> > and to get an 'S' into the text message you must hit the 7 button
> four times
> > to cycle the character selection first to P, then to Q, then to R
and
> then
> > to S.
>
> The text-message sender was the *world champion*. He's in the
Guinness
> book for 160 characters in 57 seconds.
> >
> > Even after the text message is completed and sent, there is a
latency
> and
> > delay in actual delivery from the cellphone of the sender to recipt
> > at the cellphone of the individual intended to receive the test
> message.
>
> That didn't matter in this test because the text messager hadn't even
> finished inputting the message when the Morse ops were done - with
hard
> copy (pencil and paper).
>
> The audience was confident the text-message folks would win. They
made
> a heck of a racket but the Morse ops were unfazed.
>
> Did you see the clip, Bill? You can download it from a number of
> websites, in .wmv format.

I can see it now . . "Faster sells!", the next wave of cellphones will
come equipped with paddles.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1008. Re: 10 Days to Deregulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 19:41:28 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <4288f765$0$16431$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 108138
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:5csh81toeekhhtte2b0k782mj2bujljra8@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 16 May 2005 16:59:37 +0000 (UTC), "gareth"
> <cronjob@btconnect.com> wrote:
>
> >That'll be uk.radio.amateur closed down next week then. OfCom regulate ISP's
> >in the UK as well.
>
> I don't know why you're bothered about it, Gareth.
>
> You don't need an amateur licence for your silly Echolink system
> anyway ... and if you *must* have RF gateways (although I can't think
> why), they are available on eQSO using PMR446 - which is already
> deregulated.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

Congratulations Wlat

Nicely put

DieSea

1009. Re: Statues. was "10 Days to D-Day"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 20:31:12 UTC
From: G1LVN <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1116275472.880149.283130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108140
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

luci...@eternal-flames.gov wrote:
> normally keep them. They really shouldn't be too surprised at the
> numbers of UK amateurs who are suggesting they do the same as another
> little boy's statue.
>
> In Rue de l'Etuve, in Brussels is the statue of Mannekin Pis,
> who basically is pissing off.
>
You really don't think that a large number of UK Hams will vote for
deregulation, just to spite "the heavy handed" RSGB? Turn 20m into
"Familiy radio"???

If that's the case, then we must make sure that everyone around the
world with an interest in amateur radio, submits a response to the
consultation!

Sign up here:
http://www.ofcom.org.uk/static/subscribe/radiospectrum.htm

and watch your mail box on the 26th.

1010. Re: 10 Days to Deregulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-16 20:43:05 UTC
From: gareth <cronjob@btconnect.com>
Message-ID: <d6b0kpbfn1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 108141
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

:isten sunshine it's IRLP not echolink, get it right OK!

http://qsy.to/eqso/

--
--73deM3WWS
http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM
bring a bottle!

1011. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 01:14:52 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <gAbie.2782$M36.2012@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108142

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1116262779.214876.28250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > KC8GXW previously wrote:
>> >> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno featured
>> >> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
>> >> messaging team and a Morse code team.
>> >> The Morse code team consisted of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken
>> >> Miller K6CTW.
>> >
>> > They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
>> > text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his hand
>> > (signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
>> > And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Pretty much a no brainer that the text messaging would lose.
>
> Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?

Failure to consider the details? There's no accounting for stupid
decisions or apparently the Text Messgae
champion didn't do his homework. If he had, he'd know
morse code experts exceed his own 160 character per minute
Guiness World Record rate.

>> Sending text
>> from a cellphone is pretty clumsy and slow. After each character is
>> inputted
>> sender must wait a second or so for the character to be accepted and
>> for the "cursor" to move to the next position indicating it is
>> ready for the next character to be inputted.
>
> Not on my cellphone.

There must be some default delay.

>> Also, different characters take several repeated
>> "pushes" of the key associated with that character to get to that
>> character...
>
> Of course - but the same is true of some Morse characters, too.

But three dits for an S is still likly faster than a cellphone keypad
response.

>> Example: The letter 'S' is on keypad number 7 (along with P, Q and R)
>> and to get an 'S' into the text message you must hit the 7 button
>> four times to cycle the character selection first to P, then to Q,
>> then to R and then to S.
>
> The text-message sender was the *world champion*. He's in the Guinness
> book for 160 characters in 57 seconds.

Thats roughly 32 wpm. No real threat to many "high-speed"
morse code folks. Isn't the morse code record well over 50wpm
(50 wpm = 250 characters/min)?

>> Even after the text message is completed and sent, there is a latency
>> and delay in actual delivery from the cellphone of the sender to
>> receipt at the cellphone of the individual intended to receive the
>> test message.
>
> That didn't matter in this test because the text messager hadn't even
> finished inputting the message when the Morse ops were done - with hard
> copy (pencil and paper).

OK.

> The audience was confident the text-message folks would win. They made
> a heck of a racket but the Morse ops were unfazed.

No accounting for lack of knowledge on the audience's part.

> Did you see the clip, Bill? You can download it from a number of
> websites, in .wmv format.
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Haven't seen it myself...just heard about it this morning.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1012. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 01:19:32 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <EEbie.2790$M36.1415@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108143

Who's rationalizing? I just stated the obvious
as I see it. The winner being morse is not
surprising to me. Personally I'm glad it happened.
It gives amateur radio, and CW in particular,
a rare opportunity to get national attention.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
news:nW1ie.16266$eU.14548@fed1read07...
> Yeah rationalize all you want BUT
> The cell phoners lost to a 160 year old technology
> CW LIVES
> CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !
>
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Lw1ie.5627$w21.3760@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>> KC8GXW previously wrote:
>>>> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
>>>> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
>>>> messaging team and a Morse code team.
>>>> The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken >
>>>> Miller K6CTW.
>>>
>>> They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
>>> text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his hand
>>> (signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
>>> And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Pretty much a no brainer that the text messaging would lose. Sending
>> text
>> from a cellphone is pretty clumsy and slow. After each character is
>> inputted
>> sender must wait a second or so for the character to be accepted and for
>> the "cursor" to move to the next position indicating it is ready for the
>> next
>> character to be inputted. Also, different characters take several
>> repeated
>> "pushes" of the key associated with that character to get to that

>> character...
>> Example: The letter 'S' is on keypad number 7 (along with P, Q and R)
>> and to get an 'S' into the text message you must hit the 7 button four
>> times
>> to cycle the character selection first to P, then to Q, then to R and
>> then to S.
>>
>> Even after the text message is completed and sent, there is a latency and
>> delay in actual delivery from the cellphone of the sender to recipt
>> at the cellphone of the individual intended to receive the test message.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK

1013. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 01:38:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <SI2dnXkd7OrF1hTfRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 108144

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:gAbie.2782$M36.2012@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1116262779.214876.28250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>> > KC8GXW previously wrote:
>>> >> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno featured
>>> >> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
>>> >> messaging team and a Morse code team.
>>> >> The Morse code team consisted of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken
>>> >> Miller K6CTW.
>>> >
>>> > They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
>>> > text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his hand
>>> > (signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
>>> > And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
>>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>> Pretty much a no brainer that the text messaging would lose.
>>
>> Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?
>
> Failure to consider the details? There's no accounting for stupid
> decisions or apparently the Text Messgae
> champion didn't do his homework. If he had, he'd know
> morse code experts exceed his own 160 character per minute
> Guiness World Record rate.
>
>>> Sending text
>>> from a cellphone is pretty clumsy and slow. After each character is
>>> inputted
>>> sender must wait a second or so for the character to be accepted and
>>> for the "cursor" to move to the next position indicating it is
>>> ready for the next character to be inputted.
>>
>> Not on my cellphone.
>
> There must be some default delay.
>
>>> Also, different characters take several repeated
>>> "pushes" of the key associated with that character to get to that

>>> character...
>>
>> Of course - but the same is true of some Morse characters, too.
>
> But three dits for an S is still likly faster than a cellphone keypad
> response.
>
>>> Example: The letter 'S' is on keypad number 7 (along with P, Q and R)
>>> and to get an 'S' into the text message you must hit the 7 button
>>> four times to cycle the character selection first to P, then to Q,
>>> then to R and then to S.
>>
>> The text-message sender was the *world champion*. He's in the Guinness
>> book for 160 characters in 57 seconds.
>
> Thats roughly 32 wpm. No real threat to many "high-speed"
> morse code folks. Isn't the morse code record well over 50wpm
> (50 wpm = 250 characters/min)?
>

The official record is over 70wpm (see The Art & Skill of Radiotelegraphy)
and that would be a blazing 350+ characters per minute.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1014. Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 05:56:29 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 108147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hi gang!

Just for some grins, check this out:
http://www.lildobe.net/video/

It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I listened to about 37 years ago ....

The more things change, the more they stay the same.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1015. Re: 10 Days to Deregulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 06:51:09 UTC
From: MW0GUV <me@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <d6c472jkh1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 108148
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:5csh81toeekhhtte2b0k782mj2bujljra8@4ax.com...

> You don't need an amateur licence for your silly Echolink system
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

Actually yes you do!, you have to send in a copy of your validation doc
before you can use the software

Andy MW0GUV

1016. Re: 10 Days to Deregulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 09:32:18 UTC
From: G1LVN <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1116322338.348129.293840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108149
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yep same now with eQSO. You have to enter a code to register. Where as
any fool can make up a licence and go on air.

1017. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 15:12:54 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d6d1lmatp1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 108250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
> Hi gang!
>
>
> Just for some grins, check this out:
> http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>
> It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
> sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
> listened
> to about 37 years ago ....
>
> The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>

When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign, where
these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call sign in
there?

Chad

1018. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 15:52:59 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116345179.086051.226140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Jim Hampton wrote:
> Hi gang!
>
>
> Just for some grins, check this out:
> http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>
> It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
It
> sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
listened
> to about 37 years ago ....
>
> The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

Here's some nuts & bolts details on the skit via the Elecraft & FRC
reflectors:

- - - -

In case anyone is interested, here's something K6CTW posted on the
Elecraft list last night. K3PH:

- - - -

Well to answer some of the questions I have received:

1. Ben was just getting ready to start entering the last 2 words when I
was done.

2. None of us had any idea of the text we would be sending, not only
for the "show" but also for the 3 rehersals (in which we smoked em
every time)

3. Chip, K7JA was sending and I, Ken, K6CTW was receiving.

4. The radios were FT-817's provided by Chip's company Yaesu and HRO.
Reason for that choice was that we needed the most frequency agile
radios we could get. When I talked to the technical folks, they
recommended we START at 2 meters and go up because of all of the lower
frequency noise and RFI from the other TV equipment. When I got there,

we took out a spectrum analyzer and studied all of the interference possibilities. I ended up choosing 432.200 MHz because that guaranteed no RFI from their equipment and we were high enough not to overload their front ends either. This was then verified and it was what we were using at the FT-817's lowest setting.

5. I already knew that 28-30 wpm would easily keep us in front of even the current world record holder, and also it is the fastest speed that I can make nice readable copy on paper with a "stick".

6. The telegrapher "costumes" were the producer's idea but it was fun for both Chip and I.

7. In rehersal I, K6CTW had a number of lines which would have really done a nice job of plugging ham radio and telegraphy however at our last dress rehersal, they decided to cut them out to make the segment "fit". (maybe next time).

8. I decided that I would be the receiver because I'm not bothered by crowd noise etc. If you enjoyed the segment, PLEASE email, write, etc. to let "The Tonight Show" know about it. That way we may have a chance for a bigger segment next time. Thanks for the kind comments from all and let's keep on having fun! (It is a hobby after all). 73, Ken, K6CTW

- - - - -

*A few more points for clarification: When my XYL, Connie, originally answered the call, at my home, from the producers of the show, she explained that I operated both codes and that I considered myself to be a good but not exceptional operator. However, she is the one who "sold" the producers that the skit could work. She also did a VERY credible job of explaining Morse telegraphy and the radio code and its uses. (You all might think to send her a thanks too as without her, this would never have happened cjcarroll2 at earthlink.net) When they contacted me later that afternoon, I explained that my wife was correct, I am a competent CW and Morse operator.

Your point is interesting in that they did try and get the fastest text messengers they could fly in. I did however explain that if the Tonight Show folks had been able to get the equivalent world record holder in CW to do this, it would have been at lmost TRIPLE the speed we were going at.

The reason, as I have explained before, that we worked at about 28 or so wpm is that this is the fastest speed that I can make nice readable copy with a pencil as they did not have the ability to let me use a typewriter for copy (and I thought they were going to do a camera shot of my copy for verification).

Also, since we did not have the time to set up headphones, I just added some extra volume for me, had the stage folks cut my mike (to prevent feedback and overload) and copied off of the speaker. To re-emphasize the point, I'm just an average CW op who ccasionally operates the CW SS

QRP, whith my K2 of course, and really enjoys CW, and traffic handling.
By the way, the radios used were Chip's not from Yaesu and the backup
radios were from HRO. 73, Ken, K6CTW *

- - - -

w3rv

1019. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 16:16:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116346604.108167.106960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108252

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1116262779.214876.28250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> > KC8GXW previously wrote:
> >> >> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno featured
> >> >> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
> >> >> messaging team and a Morse code team.
> >> >> The Morse code team consisted of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken
> >> >> Miller K6CTW.
> >> >
> >> > They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
> >> > text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his
hand
> >> > (signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
> >> > And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> Pretty much a no brainer that the text messaging would lose.
> >
> > Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?
>
> Failure to consider the details?

Obviously.

> There's no accounting for stupid
> decisions or apparently the Text Messgae
> champion didn't do his homework. If he had, he'd know
> morse code experts exceed his own 160 character per minute
> Guiness World Record rate.

You'd think the Guinness World Record Holder for text messaging
would have looked up the equivalent record for Morse code....

> >> Sending text
> >> from a cellphone is pretty clumsy and slow. After each character
is
> >> inputted
> >> sender must wait a second or so for the character to be accepted
and
> >> for the "cursor" to move to the next position indicating it is

> >> ready for the next character to be inputted.
> >
> > Not on my cellphone.
>
> There must be some default delay.

You push a key that makes it accept the character right away.
>
> >> Also, different characters take several repeated
> >> "pushes" of the key associated with that character to get to that
> >> character...
> >
> > Of course - but the same is true of some Morse characters, too.
>
> But three dits for an S is still likly faster than a cellphone keypad
> response.

Sure. But look a letters like C and Y...
>
> >> Example: The letter 'S' is on keypad number 7 (along with P, Q and
R)
> >> and to get an 'S' into the text message you must hit the 7 button
> >> four times to cycle the character selection first to P, then to Q,
> >> then to R and then to S.
> >
> > The text-message sender was the *world champion*. He's in the
Guinness
> > book for 160 characters in 57 seconds.
>
> Thats roughly 32 wpm. No real threat to many "high-speed"
> morse code folks. Isn't the morse code record well over 50wpm
> (50 wpm = 250 characters/min)?

It's over 75 wpm.

The limiting factor experienced by the two Morse Code ops was how fast
the
receiving op could block-print with pencil on paper. They wanted to be
able to hold up the received copy so there'd be no question of
reception.

> >> Even after the text message is completed and sent, there is a
latency
> >> and delay in actual delivery from the cellphone of the sender to
> >> receipt at the cellphone of the individual intended to receive the
> >> test message.
> >
> > That didn't matter in this test because the text messager hadn't
even
> > finished inputting the message when the Morse ops were done - with
hard
> > copy (pencil and paper).

>
> OK.
>
> > The audience was confident the text-message folks would win. They
made
> > a heck of a racket but the Morse ops were unfazed.
>
> No accounting for lack of knowledge on the audience's part.

Just made it more of a sporting course.
>
> > Did you see the clip, Bill? You can download it from a number of
> > websites, in .wmv format.
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Haven't seen it myself...just heard about it this morning.

Try this one:

http://www.kkn.net/~n6tv/Text_vs_Morse_Leno_2005_05_13.wmv

It's an 8 MB .wmv file. Other sites have bigger files but they don't
show any more of the clip.

Best bet is to leftclick, then choose "Save Target As" so you don't tie
up the server. With dialup, that's about the only way. Worth the wait.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1020. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 16:20:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116346857.106194.269640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Chad Wahls wrote:
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
> > Hi gang!
> >
> >
> > Just for some grins, check this out:
> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
> >
> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is
worth
> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
It
> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I

> > listened
> > to about 37 years ago ....
> >
> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
>
> When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign,
where
> these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call sign
in
> there?

What you see on TV is taped and edited. They cut out things like the
ID.

FCC requires that you ID at the *end* of a QSO, and every 10 minutes if
the QSO goes on longer than 10 minutes. The clip is only 3 minutes
long.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1021. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 16:33:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116347630.635854.66840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108254

K4YZ wrote:
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > Jimmie, get your definitions correct. Those amateur
> > > licensees who are IN the 2-year grace period for
> > > renewal are NOT "expired."
> >
> > The licensees may or may not have expired. Their
> > licenses *have* expired.
> >
> > > The FCC doesn't call them that.
> >
> > Yes, they do.
> >
> > Quoting FCC rules, 97.21 iii:
> >
> > "b) A person whose amateur station license grant has expired may
> apply
> > to the FCC for renewal of the license grant for another term during
a
> 2
> > year filing grace period. The application must be received at the
> > address specified above prior to the end of the grace period.
Unless
> > and until the license grant is renewed, no privileges in this Part
> are
> > conferred."
> >
> > The licensee may not have expired but the license sure has.
> >
> > I suggest you actually read Part 97, Len.
>
> Now THAT would be refreshing...

Don't hold your breath....
>
> Of course you've cited that exact same paragraph to Lennie on
> several occassions before when he's made the same error, yet he
> continues to make THE SAME ERROR.

Not really. You are mistaken, Steve.

Back around the end of last year, Len posted here that *all* licensees
were perfectly legal to operate in the grace period. That's simply not

true.

The way it works is that if someone files for renewal in a timely fashion (meaning during the 90 day window at the end of a license term), FCC allows them to keep their license privileges (and keep operating legally) while the renewal action is pending. But if the end of the 10 year license passes - even by one day! - the licensee is *not* allowed to operate until the renewal is actually processed by FCC.

So there are some licensees who can "legally operate in the grace period" - those who filed their renewals in a timely fashion. The rest cannot. Len wrote that all licensees could legally operate in the grace period - that's simply wrong.

His mistake this time is different - he claims FCC doesn't use the term "expired" to mean licenses whose 10 year term is past but which have not
been renewed. That's wrong too - the term "expired" is used by FCC for just that purpose.

Len made two different mistakes on the same section of Part 97, Steve, not one.

> > > >Just answer the question, please.
> > >
> > > No.
> >
> > Then why should I answer any of yours?
>
> Indeed.
>
> Lennie's been asked MANY questions by many persons on numerous
> subjects...The only answer (paraphrased) "I don't have to answer the
> questions of mighty morsemen".

Brian Burke does the same thing. So I ignore him.

> But he has point-blank DEMANDED answers from us...Hence my
refusal
> to further address my Armed Forces service outside the realm of
> radio...I love seeing him twist in his seat...Burns him up to know he
> doesn't have control.
>
> > > Try to use CIVIL language in here...
> >
> > I have - for years. It doesn't work with you, Len. You exhibit
> > jack### behavior in accordance with a predictable profile
> > regardless - if a person disagrees with your opinions and/or
> > points out errors in your postings here.
>
> Lennie's nickname should be "Diode"...things are only one way

with
> him...

Hmmm...
>
> > > > But I do point out
> > > >the holes in your arguments, and your factual/logical mistakes,
> > > >which seems to enrage you no end..
> > >
> > > No, No, NO, Jimmie, you got it WRONG again.
> > >
> > > All you do is engage in some odd word play to try
> > > and "justify" your rationalizations of your fantasy
> > > ideas about a hobby. That is NOT "correcting
> > > mistakes."
> >
> > See above about the word "expire" as used by FCC in Part 97.
> > You made a mistake, Len. I pointed it out.
>
> Facts are NOT Lennie's forte.
>
> > > Jimmie, I've been an UNLICENSED radio-electronics
> > > hobbyist longer than you've been alive...and that IS
> > > the truth...in REALITY.
> >
> > So what? All that means is that you are old and that you
> > have fooled with some electronic stuff. Lots of people
> > can say the same thing.
>
> And obviously not very proud of what he's allegedly done...No
> details..no pics....Nothing...

No website. AOL allows a website for each screen name - Len could have
seven websites, showing us what he's done "in radio". He has none.

> > > I was OPERATING on HF BEFORE you were born, Jimmie,
> > > IN the military...the military you've NEVER been in.
> > > That is reality...whether or not you care to accept it.
> >
> > So what? All that means is that you are old and that you
> > once served in the Army, where you were trained to do certain
> > transmitter adjustments, as part of a large team of specialists.
Lots
> > of people can say the same thing.
>
> He was a radio mechanic. Nothing more...nothing less.

Not a bad thing.
>
> > > Quit acting like a spoiled child who demands obediance
> > > to you and your ideas.

> >
> > "Don't even ATTEMPT to CONTROL what I am "allowed" to
> > do in the FUTURE...because you CANNOT and you do NOT
> > know the future." - Len Anderson
>
> That was the pot calling the kettle black!
>
> > Apply your own rules for others to your own behavior, Len.
>
> Yeah...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight...Wanna see the pics from my
weekend
> soire with Britney Spears too...?!?!

AAAAHHHHHH!!!! MY EYES!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> > > You aren't being CONSISTENT. Try to get it straight.
> > > Is it what *I* "might" do or is it about "what I will
> > > 'never' do?"
> >
> > You claimed you were going for Extra "right out of the box".
January
> > 19, 2000, more than 5-1/4 years ago. But you haven't even got a
> > Technician license yet.
>
> Exactly what HAS Lennie done with ANY "radio hobby" activity
since
> he joined this forum? Other than "DX" the ATIS at LAX with a
scanner,
> that is...?!?!

> > > You are (seemingly) bringing up an OLD piece of a
> > > posting to IMPLY that I "must" do it...EXACTLY as
> > > I wrote it. :-)
> >
> > Not at all, Len. I'm simply pointing out what you said you would
> > do, but haven't done yet. And that it appears you'll never do it.
>
> Why WOULDN'T we expect you to do what you said you'd do, Lennie?
>
> Is your written word not of any value?

Bingo!
>
> > > YOU don't seem to like that TRUTH. You want to call
> > > such facts "wrong" because they are against your
> > > BELIEFS. You want to "strike back" at those that
> > > present the TRUTH because such TRUTHs are uncomfortable
> > > to your fantasy.
> > >
> > Not me.
> >

> > You're simply saying that your *opinions* about ARRL are "THE
TRUTH".
> > That's just bull#### on your part. No other word to describe it.
>
> I'm still waiting to see if Lennie ever ponies up any proof for
his
> assertion that the "ARRL is dishonest".
>
The claim was that there was no way the children pictured could have
obtained their licenses honestly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1022. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 18:04:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116353054.498657.16440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108255

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Of course you've cited that exact same paragraph to Lennie on
> > several occassions before when he's made the same error, yet he
> > continues to make THE SAME ERROR.
>
> Not really. You are mistaken, Steve.

You're right, of course...However Lennie DOES still refuse to
acknowledge the error and correct himself.

Still wrong.

> > Lennie's been asked MANY questions by many persons on numerous
> > subjects...The only answer (paraphrased) "I don't have to answer
the
> > questions of mighty morsemen".
>
> Brian Burke does the same thing. So I ignore him.

I guess I am getting there. After our last "rounds" it appeared
that Brian might actually be open to communication, however he started
right back into the same rants, the same lies, and the same refusal to
substantiate his own claims.

Pity that. Pity what his kids will see someday.

> > And obviously not very proud of what he's allegedly done...No
> > details..no pics....Nothing...
>
> No website. AOL allows a website for each screen name - Len could
have
> seven websites, showing us what he's done "in radio". He has none.

Seven more opportunities to REALLY participate in the radio hobby
squandered. I am not surprised, though.

> > He was a radio mechanic. Nothing more...nothing less.
>
> Not a bad thing.

No...not a bad thing...but not what he has been representing his
service as...

> > > > Quit acting like a spoiled child who demands obediance
> > > > to you and your ideas.
> > >

> > > "Don't even ATTEMPT to CONTROL what I am "allowed" to
> > > do in the FUTURE...because you CANNOT and you do NOT
> > > know the future." - Len Anderson
> >
> > That was the pot calling the kettle black!
> >
> > > Apply your own rules for others to your own behavior, Len.
> >
> > Yeah...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight...Wanna see the pics from my
> weekend
> > soire with Britney Spears too...?!?!
>
> AAAAHHHHHH!!!! MY EYES!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Exactly.

> > I'm still waiting to see if Lennie ever ponies up any proof for
> his
> > assertion that the "ARRL is dishonest".
> >
> The claim was that there was no way the children pictured could have
> obtained their licenses honestly.

I'm not going to dig it out, Jim, but Lennie DID use the exact words "...the ARRL BoD is dishonest..." on several occassions. I quoted and requoted his comments on numerous occassions asking him to validate that claim...Needless to say, he never did.

73...An A B S O L U T E L Y gorgeous day here in SE Tennessee...Sorry I ahve to work this afternoon!

Steve, K4YZ

1023. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 23:52:00 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <ceWdnZ7O3PmTPhffRVn-1w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108260

N9OGL wrote:
> I maybe a "idiot" but you and your buddy steve are assholes....hell i
> bet you aren't even a real amatuer operator.
>
> Todd n9ogl
>

I was licensed before you were born, toddieboy.

1024. Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-17 23:57:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116374273.795045.10440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 15 2005 1:17 am

> > > Chief AF MARS. (Need the address?) Can get you the address
for
> > >the administrative officer at 1st MAW or MCB camp Butler if you'd
> > like.
> >
> > The USAF Communications Headquarters is at Scott AFB,
> > isn't it? Why is Stebie mentioning Air Force?
>
> I didn't mention the Air Force. I mentioned AF MARS.

Do you know where HQ, AF MARS is? (Doh!)

Do you know which agency Air Force MARS belongs to? (Doh!)

> I was a member of USAF MARS at one point.

Alleged member.

Gave everyone here the
> callsign and dates I was a member.

Alleged member.

Your puppetboy asked for
> information. That was part of it. Now he's trying to worm his way
out
> from under having to actually find out that, yes, Steve was telling
the
> truth.

Check your authentic Air Force MARS documentation. The address is on
it. Of course, you didn't know that because you've never had authentic
Air Force MARS documentation, because you've never been a member of Air
Force MARS.

You knowledge of any service's MARS communications is grossly lacking.

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi!

1025. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 00:30:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517203000.356$Qm@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> Hi gang!
>
> Just for some grins, check this out:
> http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>
> It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
> sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
> listened to about 37 years ago ....
>
> The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
Hello Jim.

Is CW considered a binary of sorts?

Are you enjoying your new internet pipe?

1026. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 00:33:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517203312.431$PE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

truth@itellyathetruth.com wrote:
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
> > > Hi gang!
> > >
> > >
> > > Just for some grins, check this out:
> > > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
> > >
> > > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> > > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
> > > It sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands
> > > I listened
> > > to about 37 years ago ....
> > >
> > > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
> > >
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA
> > >
> > >
> >
> > When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign, where
> > these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call sign
> > in there?
>
> They were legit on 440 mhz, Chad. Low power, short range. Maybe callsigns
> were transmitted right after the stunt?
>
Nice coax run, nad.

1027. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 01:45:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116380740.168773.248910@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108259

I maybe a "idiot" but you and your buddy steve are assholes....hell i bet you aren't even a real amatuer operator.

Todd n9ogl

1028. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 03:26:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <CByie.447$hd5.152@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 108261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050517203000.356$Qm@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > Hi gang!
> >
> > Just for some grins, check this out:
> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
> >
> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
> > listened to about 37 years ago ....
> >
> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> Hello Jim.
>
> Is CW considered a binary of sorts?
>
> Are you enjoying your new internet pipe?

Hello, Mopar

Some call it binary, but the fact that there are both short and long characters as well as short and long spaces (spacing between characters is shorter than spacing between words) leads me to not consider it "binary". When I wrote a Morse code decoder, I was using a base 3 system to decode it. At least if I recall correctly. I still have the source code but haven't looked at it for about a decade.

I am *loving* this new pipe! I booted the computer, the wave file played as Windows started up, I hadn't clicked on Explorer, I bent over to pick up a magazine, and when I looked at the computer, the anti-virus had already downloaded and installed the update! :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1029. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 09:22:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116408165.241222.41010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108262

N9OGL wrote:
> bal bal bal Steve you a moron.....but hey you think you have
something
> there go for it.

>>I<< am a moron?

I'm not the blithering idiot that gave the "specifications" for
his ultralight in a public forum when those specifications clearly
indicate that the "ultralight" violates FAA rules and regulations.

Try again Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

1030. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 09:26:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116408404.674956.23030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108263

N9OGL wrote:
> I maybe a "idiot" ...(SNIP)

No "maybe" to it, Todd. You're an idiot alright.

> (UNSNIP)...but you and your buddy steve are ###holes....

Not me...I'm not even REMOTELY kin to you, Todd.

> #### i bet you aren't even a real amatuer operator.

According to QRZ he is.

Steve, K4YZ

1031. Brian P Burke A Habitual Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 09:33:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116408786.368395.99430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I was a member of USAF MARS at one point.
>
> Alleged member.

Nope. Real member. AFA1OQ.

You've been given the callsign, dates and times I was in AFMARS.
Why do you keep calling me a liar when you have the verifiable data in
hand?

I say you're a coward.

Your own inaction proves it.

> Gave everyone here the
> > callsign and dates I was a member.
>
> Alleged member.

Nope. Real member. AFA1OQ.

You've been given the callsign, dates and times I was in AFMARS.
Why do you keep calling me a liar when you have the verifiable data in
hand?

I say you're a coward.

Your own inaction proves it.

> Your puppetboy asked for
> > information. That was part of it. Now he's trying to worm his way
> out
> > from under having to actually find out that, yes, Steve was telling
> the
> > truth.
>
> Check your authentic Air Force MARS documentation. The address is on
> it. Of course, you didn't know that because you've never had
authentic
> Air Force MARS documentation, because you've never been a member of
Air
> Force MARS.

And you continue to be a habitual liar.

Pity that.

Steve, K4YZ

1032. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 13:01:55 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <l8em81tkvansin0n1f0jckvcmol94hd0ka@4ax.com>
Source record: 108265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 18 May 2005 03:26:26 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>
>
>Hello, Mopar
>
>Some call it binary, but the fact that there are both short and long
>characters as well as short and long spaces (spacing between characters is
>shorter than spacing between words) leads me to not consider it "binary".
>When I wrote a Morse code decoder, I was using a base 3 system to decode it.
>At least if I recall correctly. I still have the source code but haven't
>looked at it for about a decade.
>
>I am *loving* this new pipe! I booted the computer, the wave file played as
>Windows started up, I hadn't clicked on Explorer, I bent over to pick up a
>magazine, and when I looked at the computer, the anti-virus had already
>downloaded and installed the update! :))

Broadband is very nice. Once you get a taste of it, you'll never go
back. Combined with wireless access points, I can move all over the
house and access the internet with my laptop. I can access streaming
audio to pipe to my computer and stereo in my garage, when I'm outside
working. Internet video content can be viewed on the TV. I can even
monitor the environmental controls in the house from remote locations.
Technology is great. The future is now.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1033. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 15:46:49 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@zzz.mcn.net>
Message-ID: <JrJie.3889$Ri4.3549@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108266

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in news:nW1ie.16266
$eU.14548@fed1read07:

> Yeah rationalize all you want BUT
> The cell phoners lost to a 160 year old technology
>
> CW LIVES

Shhhhhhh, Quiet,

The dumb down ham radio anti-Code ARRL crowd doesn't want anyone to know CW is an effective way to communicate.

Why did the league screw the service. Outcome based licensing. Quantity not quality. To feed corporate greed. Like CB'er type behavior.

Cancel your League memberships, they're doing anyone who loves the hobby any good.

KB7ADL

1034. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 18:38:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116441508.523620.115480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "bb" on Tues,May 17 2005 4:57 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 15 2005 1:17 am

>> > > Chief AF MARS. (Need the address?) Can get you the address
for
>> > >the administrative officer at 1st MAW or MCB camp Butler if you'd
like.
>> >
>> > The USAF Communications Headquarters is at Scott AFB,
>> > isn't it? Why is Stebie mentioning Air Force?
>>
>> I didn't mention the Air Force. I mentioned AF MARS.
>
>Do you know where HQ, AF MARS is? (Doh!)
>
>Do you know which agency Air Force MARS belongs to? (Doh!)

Poor lil Stebie doesn't understand that "AF" is a common
abbreviation for AIR FORCE.

I think the Internet needs some kind of yellow highlighter
for the brain-dead readers. :-)

>> I was a member of USAF MARS at one point.
>
>Alleged member.

An "Assistant" member? Just like an "Assistant NCOIC?" :-)

Stebie has the "proof in his wallet." :-)

>>Gave everyone here the callsign and dates I was a member.
>
>Alleged member.

Tsk. His "member" needs a little blue pill...?

Do modern - or even older - callbooks include MARS
callsigns?

Stebie will probably babble about "calling the VA" for
"verification" on that. :-)

>> Your puppetboy asked for
>> information. That was part of it. Now he's trying to worm his way
out
>> from under having to actually find out that, yes, Steve was telling
the
>> truth.
>
>Check your authentic Air Force MARS documentation. The address is on
>it. Of course, you didn't know that because you've never had
authentic
>Air Force MARS documentation, because you've never been a member of
Air
>Force MARS.
>
>You knowledge of any service's MARS communications is grossly lacking.
>
>"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi!

Stebie has BEEN to Mars? Many times? Probably Seven times?
Hostile planet, but not much Action.

About the best way Stebie could be "in" AF MARS is to have
him stuffed and mounted in a case at the museum-lobby of the
USAF Communications Command at Scott AFB. He could become a
static display. [fits his "static" in here...lots of QRM]

I'll have to go back to www.grunt.com ("Sgt Grit's" store
"If we don't have it, Chesty wouldn't want it...") and see
if they have some authentic Stebie MARS authentications.
QRZ doesn't have his MARS calls. Does Buckmaster?

Maybe the "Tonight Show" with Jay Leno will have him as a
"guest?" Kind of fits in with their "morse code triumphant"
sort of "contest." Hi, hi!

Meanwhile, back to the Extra Double Standard newsgroup where
mighty macho morsemen can call their opponents "jackass" and
"horse's ass" and "penis head" (in Yiddish) but their
opponents must be oh-so-polite and civil back to them. That
kind of talk is "approved" by Jimmie Noserve...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1035. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 18:41:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116441719.072292.75800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm

>Chad Wahls wrote:
>>"Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>> > Hi gang!

>> When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign,
where
>> these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call
sign in
>> there?
>
>What you see on TV is taped and edited. They cut out things like the
ID.

Good old Jimmie Noserve jumping in as the "expert" on
TV broadcast production (years of work in that...). :-)

When is the actual NBC "Tonight Show" taped, Jimmie?
At what time? How long before the NBC TV network
feed?

You've been there, right? Waiting in line along
Alameda with the other tourists? Or do you have
"insider information" again? :-)

>FCC requires that you ID at the *end* of a QSO, and every 10 minutes
if
>the QSO goes on longer than 10 minutes. The clip is only 3 minutes
>long.

Oh, my, yes, I'm sure the producers were most extremely
careful to NEVER break any amateur radio regulations!
[they might ALL lose their JOBS over such a gaffe!] :-)

=======

The "Tonight Show" is ENTERTAINMENT. It isn't a
journalism show. It isn't a documentary show. With
the new production company featuring Jay Leno, the
tone of the "Tonight Show" has taken on a sharper edge,
more biting, as compared to De Cordova's company with
the late, great Johnny Carson. Leno likes to twit fads
and ignorant people.

A current fad is "TXT-ing," that of sending short text messages over cell phones having that feature. It's a big thing among teeners all over the country now. [the USA has over 100 million cell phone subscriberships]

Cell phones were never designed or implemented with text messaging in mind. They were done to extend the wired VOICE telephone. However, as the original analog cell phone converted to digital, a limited form of text messaging was possible and that was incorporated into subscribers' sets. Teeners picked up on that as a form of "very personal, like 'private talk' communication" that grown-ups and others couldn't immediately overhear. That 'privacy' thing is a big hit with teeners. ;-)

"Morse code" is the first BIG instant-communications means and debuted 160 years ago. The On-Off signalling of "morse code" was the ONLY PRACTICAL means of using radio for communications, demonstrated 109 years ago. [most people are AWARE of "morse code" through the entertainment media and most have never experienced first-hand any live communications with that...they know it is OLD and obsolete for practical communications]

It is a no-brainer that old, obsolete "morse code" is faster than the new fad of "TXT-ing" messages via a cell phone. The "demonstration contest" on the Leno show was a SETUP to deliberately POKE FUN at the fad of "TXT-ing." It wasn't anything else...just one more item of "humor" a la the Leno company to get the audience amused by poking fun at current fads.

Ham radio morsemen Lifestylers want to wave flags about "morse code" and how triumphant was their "success" in that show-biz SETUP that was a guaranteed pratfall by the "TXT-ers." That short Entertainment bit was NEVER intended as a true contest.

For a REAL contest, live, [rehearsed or not] manual communications means, pit an experienced typist pair using old, surplus 60 WPM teleprinters against a pair of experienced morsemen using either pencil-and-paper or manual typewriters to record received "morse code." Use "over-the-shoulder" live TV camera angles to show the "incoming" text for the audience...and to show the errors made by the morsemen.

Will TV Entertainment shows ever consider such? No. There's no real Entertainment value except for a very small niche-interest fraction of an audience. This is less of a show-biz SETUP than modern "TXT-ing" versus 160-year-old manual "morse code." Audiences won't have anything to LAUGH at. Teleprinters are going to

win out eventually since their throughput is sustainable and less error-prone than manual "morse code" means.

The radio world has LONG AGO done the "contest" bit in actual practice and found "morse code" to be the LOSER. The rest of the radio world has GIVEN UP on "morse code" for communications. The die-hard fanatics in amateur radio still cling to "morse code" as "good" or even "best" because they are still lost in the fantasy and mythology of olden times.

That's not SHOW-BIZ, Jimmie, that's REALITY. Show's over. Get a grip. Go home.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1036. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 19:11:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116443492.878175.109010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108269

>Stebie said
>I'm not the blithering idiot that gave the "specifications" for
>his ultralight in a public forum when those specifications clearly
>indicate that the "ultralight" violates FAA rules and regulations.

If mine violates the FAA rules tehn I know well over 1,000 people who are also in violation Stebie. by hey like I said if you think you have something on me go for it.....Go cry to my ISP that I'm in violation of Federal Law.....Go cry to the FAA or whatever agency you want...but I know your nothing but a fruity nutcase.

Todd N9OGL

1037. Re: 10 Days to Deregulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 20:15:39 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@its-as-easy-as-they-say-multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <428ba22f.2058271@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 108270
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"G1LVN" <gareth.paley@gmail.com> wrote:

: The _EXACT reference is worse than that Walt:
:

if no licence required then no exam required then no instructors required.

multiband cb doesnt need a national society as it'll be anarchy.

1038. Re: 10 Days to D-Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 20:18:07 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@its-as-easy-as-they-say-multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <428ba2ef.2250101@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 108271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"gareth" <cronjob@btconnect.com> wrote:

: <lucifer@eternal-flames.gov> wrote in message
: news:75eh81982453gvabnq67260dkvfd09b2lc@4ax.com...
: > On Mon, 16 May 2005 14:53:36 +0100, Walt Davidson
: > <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:
: >
: >
: >
: > Any truth to the rumour that DuffCom have been sending an emissary or
: > two around the country, urging CBers to vote for the complete de-reg.
: > package.
:
: Half the M3's still are CBers
:
: Who is RSPP?
:
: 73 de M3WWS
: --http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM

m3osn pse note

1039. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 21:48:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518174804.752$PM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Truth@true.com wrote:
> > Do you have a point besides the top of your head, sniveling crybaby?
>
> Are you going to threaten to beat up the next person who tells
> you freebanding is illegal? :-)
>
No. Do you have the nerve to insult me in person? Otherwise you're nothing
more than another keyboard blowhard.

1040. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 21:58:35 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <eUOie.35$rp.33@fed1read07>
Source record: 108273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1116441719.072292.75800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm
>
>>Chad Wahls wrote:
>>>"Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>> > Hi gang!
>
>
>>> When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign,
> where
>>> these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call
> sign in
>>> there?
>>
>>What you see on TV is taped and edited. They cut out things like the
> ID.
>
> Good old Jimmie Noserve jumping in as the "expert" on
> TV broadcast production (years of work in that...). :-)
>
> When is the actual NBC "Tonight Show" taped, Jimmie?
> At what time? How long before the NBC TV network
> feed?
>
> You've been there, right? Waiting in line along
> Alameda with the other tourists? Or do you have
> "insider information" again? :-)
>
>>FCC requires that you ID at the *end* of a QSO, and every 10 minutes
> if
>>the QSO goes on longer than 10 minutes. The clip is only 3 minutes
>>long.
>
> Oh, my, yes, I'm sure the producers were most extremely
> careful to NEVER break any amateur radio regulations!
> [they might ALL lose their JOBS over such a gaffe!] :-)
>
> =======
>
> The "Tonight Show" is ENTERTAINMENT. It isn't a
> journalism show. It isn't a documentary show. With

> the new production company featuring Jay Leno, the
> tone of the "Tonight Show" has taken on a sharper edge,
> more biting, as compared to De Cordova's company with
> the late, great Johnny Carson. Leno likes to twit fads
> and ignorant people.
>
> A current fad is "TXT-ing," that of sending short text
> messages over cell phones having that feature. It's a
> big thing among teeners all over the country now. [the
> USA has over 100 million cell phone subscriberships]
>
> Cell phones were never designed or implemented with
> text messaging in mind. They were done to extend the
> wired VOICE telephone. However, as the original analog
> cell phone converted to digital, a limited form of text
> messaging was possible and that was incorporated into
> subscribers' sets. Teeners picked up on that as a form
> of "very personal, like 'private talk' communication"
> that grown-ups and others couldn't immediately overhear.
> That 'privacy' thing is a big hit with teeners. ;-)
>
> "Morse code" is the first BIG instant-communications
> means and debuted 160 years ago. The On-Off signalling
> of "morse code" was the ONLY PRACTICAL means of using
> radio for communications, demonstrated 109 years ago.
> [most people are AWARE of "morse code" through the
> entertainment media and most have never experienced
> first-hand any live communications with that...they
> know it is OLD and obsolete for practical communications]
>
> It is a no-brainer that old, obsolete "morse code" is
> faster than the new fad of "TXT-ing" messages via a cell
> phone. The "demonstration contest" on the Leno show
> was a SETUP to deliberately POKE FUN at the fad of
> "TXT-ing." It wasn't anything else...just one more
> item of "humor" a la the Leno company to get the
> audience amused by poking fun at current fads.
>
> Ham radio morsemen Lifestylers want to wave flags about
> "morse code" and how triumphant was their "success" in
> that show-biz SETUP that was a guaranteed pratfall
> by the "TXT-ers." That short Entertainment bit was
> NEVER intended as a true contest.
>
> For a REAL contest, live, [rehearsed or not] manual
> communications means, pit an experienced typist pair
> using old, surplus 60 WPM teleprinters against a pair
> of experienced morsemen using either pencil-and-paper
> or manual typewriters to record received "morse code."
> Use "over-the-shoulder" live TV camera angles to show

> the "incoming" text for the audience...and to show
> the errors made by the morsemen.
>
> Will TV Entertainment shows ever consider such? No.
> There's no real Entertainment value except for a very
> small niche-interest fraction of an audience. This is
> less of a show-biz SETUP than modern "TXT-ing" versus
> 160-year-old manual "morse code." Audiences won't
> have anything to LAUGH at. Teleprinters are going to
> win out eventually since their throughput is
> sustainable and less error-prone than manual "morse
> code" means.
>
> The radio world has LONG AGO done the "contest" bit in
> actual practice and found "morse code" to be the
> LOSER. The rest of the radio world has GIVEN UP on
> "morse code" for communications. The die-hard
> fanatics in amateur radio still cling to "morse code"
> as "good" or even "best" because they are still lost in
> the fantasy and mythology of olden times.
>
> That's not SHOW-BIZ, Jimmie, that's REALITY. Show's
> over. Get a grip. Go home.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
Blah Blah

THE CELLPHONERS LOST TO 160 Year Old Technology

1041. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 22:03:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518180332.979$PC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050517203000.356$Qm@newsreader.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > > Hi gang!
> > >
> > > Just for some grins, check this out:
> > > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
> > >
> > > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> > > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
> > > It sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands
> > > I listened to about 37 years ago ....
> > >
> > > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA
> > >
> > Hello Jim.
> >
> > Is CW considered a binary of sorts?
> >
> > Are you enjoying your new internet pipe?
>
> Hello, Mopar
>
> Some call it binary, but the fact that there are both short and long
> characters as well as short and long spaces (spacing between characters
> is shorter than spacing between words) leads me to not consider it
> "binary". When I wrote a Morse code decoder, I was using a base 3 system
> to decode it. At least if I recall correctly. I still have the source
> code but haven't looked at it for about a decade.
>
Too much info you CW machine you! :) I've heard it wasn't intended as
a binary but it's not totally unlike one.
>
> I am *loving* this new pipe! I booted the computer, the wave file played
> as Windows started up, I hadn't clicked on Explorer, I bent over to pick
> up a magazine, and when I looked at the computer, the anti-virus had
> already downloaded and installed the update! :))
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY

> Jim AA2QA
>
I can't imagine ever going back to dial-up unless I end up broke in
my old age. Rock-on.

1042. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 22:05:38 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <T_Oie.9845$Bg4.3041@fe10.lga>
Source record: 108275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
news:eUOie.35$rp.33@fed1read07...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1116441719.072292.75800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm
>>
>>>Chad Wahls wrote:
>>>>"Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>>> > Hi gang!
>>
>>
>>>> When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign,
>> where
>>>> these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call
>> sign in
>>>> there?
>>>
>>>What you see on TV is taped and edited. They cut out things like the
>> ID.
>>
>> Good old Jimmie Noserve jumping in as the "expert" on
>> TV broadcast production (years of work in that...). :-)
>>
>> When is the actual NBC "Tonight Show" taped, Jimmie?
>> At what time? How long before the NBC TV network
>> feed?
>>
>> You've been there, right? Waiting in line along
>> Alameda with the other tourists? Or do you have
>> "insider information" again? :-)
>>
>>>FCC requires that you ID at the *end* of a QSO, and every 10 minutes
>> if
>>>the QSO goes on longer than 10 minutes. The clip is only 3 minutes
>>>long.
>>
>> Oh, my, yes, I'm sure the producers were most extremely
>> careful to NEVER break any amateur radio regulations!
>> [they might ALL lose their JOBS over such a gaffe!] :-)
>>
>> =======

>>
>> The "Tonight Show" is ENTERTAINMENT. It isn't a
>> journalism show. It isn't a documentary show. With
>> the new production company featuring Jay Leno, the
>> tone of the "Tonight Show" has taken on a sharper edge,
>> more biting, as compared to De Cordova's company with
>> the late, great Johnny Carson. Leno likes to twit fads
>> and ignorant people.
>>
>> A current fad is "TXT-ing," that of sending short text
>> messages over cell phones having that feature. It's a
>> big thing among teeners all over the country now. [the
>> USA has over 100 million cell phone subscriberships]
>>
>> Cell phones were never designed or implemented with
>> text messaging in mind. They were done to extend the
>> wired VOICE telephone. However, as the original analog
>> cell phone converted to digital, a limited form of text
>> messaging was possible and that was incorporated into
>> subscribers' sets. Teeners picked up on that as a form
>> of "very personal, like 'private talk' communication"
>> that grown-ups and others couldn't immediately overhear.
>> That 'privacy' thing is a big hit with teeners. ;-)
>>
>> "Morse code" is the first BIG instant-communications
>> means and debuted 160 years ago. The On-Off signalling
>> of "morse code" was the ONLY PRACTICAL means of using
>> radio for communications, demonstrated 109 years ago.
>> [most people are AWARE of "morse code" through the
>> entertainment media and most have never experienced
>> first-hand any live communications with that...they
>> know it is OLD and obsolete for practical communications]
>>
>> It is a no-brainer that old, obsolete "morse code" is
>> faster than the new fad of "TXT-ing" messages via a cell
>> phone. The "demonstration contest" on the Leno show
>> was a SETUP to deliberately POKE FUN at the fad of
>> "TXT-ing." It wasn't anything else...just one more
>> item of "humor" a la the Leno company to get the
>> audience amused by poking fun at current fads.
>>
>> Ham radio morsemen Lifestylers want to wave flags about
>> "morse code" and how triumphant was their "success" in
>> that show-biz SETUP that was a guaranteed pratfall
>> by the "TXT-ers." That short Entertainment bit was
>> NEVER intended as a true contest.
>>
>> For a REAL contest, live, [rehearsed or not] manual
>> communications means, pit an experienced typist pair
>> using old, surplus 60 WPM teleprinters against a pair

>> of experienced morsemen using either pencil-and-paper
>> or manual typewriters to record received "morse code."
>> Use "over-the-shoulder" live TV camera angles to show
>> the "incoming" text for the audience...and to show
>> the errors made by the morsemen.
>>
>> Will TV Entertainment shows ever consider such? No.
>> There's no real Entertainment value except for a very
>> small niche-interest fraction of an audience. This is
>> less of a show-biz SETUP than modern "TXT-ing" versus
>> 160-year-old manual "morse code." Audiences won't
>> have anything to LAUGH at. Teleprinters are going to
>> win out eventually since their throughput is
>> sustainable and less error-prone than manual "morse
>> code" means.
>>
>> The radio world has LONG AGO done the "contest" bit in
>> actual practice and found "morse code" to be the
>> LOSER. The rest of the radio world has GIVEN UP on
>> "morse code" for communications. The die-hard
>> fanatics in amateur radio still cling to "morse code"
>> as "good" or even "best" because they are still lost in
>> the fantasy and mythology of olden times.
>>
>> That's not SHOW-BIZ, Jimmie, that's REALITY. Show's
>> over. Get a grip. Go home.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
> Blah Blah
>
> THE CELLPHONERS LOST TO 160 Year Old Technology

Just bagged SV8/DF7XE/P on 20! Won't find Macedonia popping in on your cell
phone very often, not to mention the associated thrill of the catch.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

1043. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 22:16:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116454576.705431.39350@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108276

N9OGL wrote:
> >Stebie said
> >I'm not the blithering idiot that gave the "specifications" for
> >his ultralight in a public forum when those specifications clearly
> >indicate that the "ultralight" violates FAA rules and regulations.
>
> If mine violates the FAA rules tehn I know well over 1,000 people who
> are also in violation Stebie.

Too true. And I know people cheat on their taxes.

But MOST of them are not so stupid as to admit doing it in a
public forum.

> by hey like I said if you think you have
> something on me go for it.....Go cry to my ISP that I'm in violation
of
> Federal Law.....Go cry to the FAA or whatever agency you want...but I
> know your nothing but a fruity nutcase.

"...fruity nutcase..."

That's funny, coming from the same guy who prints porno pictures
of himself on his blog, admits to violating federal law in public, and
who desecrates the memory of deceased children...

Stay tuned.

Steve, K4YZ
>
> Todd N9OGL

1044. Lennie Tries And Fails Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 22:23:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116454981.359644.229640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Tues,May 17 2005 4:57 pm

> I think the Internet needs some kind of yellow highlighter
> for the brain-dead readers.

I am sure your screen has highlighter and "White-Out" both on it,
Lennie.

> >>Gave everyone here the callsign and dates I was a member.
> >
> >Alleged member.
>
> Tsk. His "member" needs a little blue pill...?

Nope. That would be YOUR problem.

> Maybe the "Tonight Show" with Jay Leno will have him as a
> "guest?" Kind of fits in with their "morse code triumphant"
> sort of "contest."

I was wondering how long it was going to take you to get to
that...

Sucks to have your rants ruined on network TV, doesn't it,
Lennie...?!?!

> Meanwhile, back to the Extra Double Standard newsgroup where
> mighty macho morsemen can call their opponents "jackass" and
> "horse's ass" and "penis head" (in Yiddish) but their
> opponents must be oh-so-polite and civil back to them. That
> kind of talk is "approved" by Jimmie Noserve...

You got all the "civility" due to you and then some for a long
time, Lennie. You got exactly what you wanted.

This road was paved by you. Enjoy the trip.

You're a liar.

You're a fool.

And yes, you're a putz.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

1045. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 22:28:12 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <oLudncCKC6IMXBbfRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 108278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:T_Oie.9845$Bg4.3041@fe10.lga...
> "Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
> news:eUOie.35$rp.33@fed1read07...
>>
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> news:1116441719.072292.75800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm
>>>
>>>>Chad Wahls wrote:
>>>>>"Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>>>> > Hi gang!

[big snip]

>>> That's not SHOW-BIZ, Jimmie, that's REALITY. Show's
>>> over. Get a grip. Go home.
>>>
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>
>> Blah Blah
>>
>> THE CELLPHONERS LOST TO 160 Year Old Technology
>
> Just bagged SV8/DF7XE/P on 20! Won't find Macedonia popping in on your
> cell phone very often, not to mention the associated thrill of the catch.
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782

Yeah know what you mean Bert. Last month I got Oman on 20m CW. How sweet
it is!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1046. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 22:30:27 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116455427.556288.116400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Caveat Lector wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message

> > over. Get a grip. Go home.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> Blah Blah
>
> THE CELLPHONERS LOST TO 160 Year Old Technology

Ayup. But you're wasting the bandwidth by even responding to his
bafflegab, it's his "condition" in play you see. Pore 'ole thing.

w3rv

1047. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 22:51:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116456705.980593.127520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108281

I didn't post porn pictures of myself you fucking asshole. Secondly I'm not violating Federal Law you fucking sack shit!!!!!
YOUR ONE OF THE BIGGEST LIARS ON HERE!!!!!!!!

Todd N9OGL

1048. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 22:51:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116456711.306567.161620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108280

I didn't post porn pictures of myself you fucking asshole. Secondly I'm not violating Federal Law you fucking sack shit!!!!!
YOUR ONE OF THE BIGGEST LIARS ON HERE!!!!!!!!

Todd N9OGL

1049. Re: DX Activations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 22:54:28 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <sKCdnT0UtsUxWhbfRVn-hA@crocker.com>
Source record: 108282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

yep, self assigned cb calls. the first number is the country number, then the IR is the club name, then the number after that is the member number. they have their own dxcc awards to give themselves and iota and all that stuff for illegal activity.

<truth@itellyathetruth.net> wrote in message news:NfLie.46319$US.24740@news.ono.com...
> > 19IR04/EU-1462005-01-01-2005 to 12-31-05
> > EU-14619
> >
> >
> > 175IR/02005-02-202005-06-20-14IR0011
> >
> >
> > 265IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0431
> >
> >
> > 320/224IR0112005-01-012005-12-31OC-0181IR7492
> >
> >
> > 320IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0181
> >
>
> What the heck are those? Made up callsigns???

1050. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 23:15:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116458157.444128.292580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108283

N9OGL wrote:
> I didn't post porn pictures of myself you ####ing ###hole.

Then who was the man taking the pictures of you, Todd?

I have remained quiet on Jim's "gayboy" claims IRT you, Todd, but since you've now admitted that YOU didn't take the pictures, someone else HAD to...

> Secondly I'm
> not violating Federal Law you ####ing sack #####!!!!!

Not sitting in front of your computer, you're not, Todd...

But fire up that illegal ultralight for a flip around the patch and you are.

Also, you've already admitted to OTHER federal rules infractions, so you are just adding fuel to the fire.

> YOUR ONE OF THE BIGGEST LIARS ON HERE!!!!!!!!

No I'm not. I just twist your bolts by pointing out all of your assinine rantings as the foolhearty endeavors that they are.

Steve, K4YZ

1051. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 23:42:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116459740.814975.120410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Tues,May 17 2005 4:57 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 15 2005 1:17 am
>
> >> > > Chief AF MARS. (Need the address?) Can get you the
address
> for
> >> > >the administrative officer at 1st MAW or MCB camp Butler if
you'd
> like.
> >> >
> >> > The USAF Communications Headquarters is at Scott AFB,
> >> > isn't it? Why is Stebie mentioning Air Force?
> >>
> >> I didn't mention the Air Force. I mentioned AF MARS.
> >
> >Do you know where HQ, AF MARS is? (Doh!)
> >
> >Do you know which agency Air Force MARS belongs to? (Doh!)
>
> Poor lil Stebie doesn't understand that "AF" is a common
> abbreviation for AIR FORCE.

Steve can't be wrong.

> I think the Internet needs some kind of yellow highlighter
> for the brain-dead readers. :-)
>
> >> I was a member of USAF MARS at one point.
> >
> >Alleged member.
>
> An "Assistant" member? Just like an "Assistant NCOIC?" :-)
>
> Stebie has the "proof in his wallet." :-)

Careful, now. Steve is a Captain in the CAP. He might try to have you
do puh ups.

> >>Gave everyone here the callsign and dates I was a member.
> >

> >Alleged member.
>
> Tsk. His "member" needs a little blue pill...?
>
> Do modern - or even older - callbooks include MARS
> callsigns?
>
> Stebie will probably babble about "calling the VA" for
> "verification" on that. :-)

Steve either has documentation or he doesn't. And if he doesn't, his words come back to haunt him once more. No documentation means you never did it.

> >> Your puppetboy asked for
> >> information. That was part of it. Now he's trying to worm his
way
> out
> >> from under having to actually find out that, yes, Steve was
telling
> the
> >> truth.
> >
> >Check your authentic Air Force MARS documentation. The address is
on
> >it. Of course, you didn't know that because you've never had
> authentic
> >Air Force MARS documentation, because you've never been a member of
> Air
> >Force MARS.
> >
> >You knowledge of any service's MARS communications is grossly
lacking.
> >
> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi!
>
> Stebie has BEEN to Mars? Many times? Probably Seven times?
> Hostile planet, but not much Action.
>
> About the best way Stebie could be "in" AF MARS is to have
> him stuffed and mounted in a case at the museum-lobby of the
> USAF Communications Command at Scott AFB. He could become a
> static display. [fits his "static" in here...lots of QRM]

That would make him Ex-Static.

> I'll have to go back to www.grunt.com ("Sgt Grit's" store
> "If we don't have it, Chesty wouldn't want it...") and see
> if they have some authentic Stebie MARS authentications.
> QRZ doesn't have his MARS calls. Does Buckmaster?

Wonder what medal in his shoebox was for his AF MARS service?

> Maybe the "Tonight Show" with Jay Leno will have him as a
> "guest?" Kind of fits in with their "morse code triumphant"
> sort of "contest." Hi, hi!

Stupid ham tricks?

> Meanwhile, back to the Extra Double Standard newsgroup where
> mighty macho morsemen can call their opponents "jackass" and
> "horse's ass" and "penis head" (in Yiddish) but their
> opponents must be oh-so-polite and civil back to them. That
> kind of talk is "approved" by Jimmie Noserve...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Jim served in "other" ways.

1052. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-18 23:55:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116460516.943636.240300@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108285

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Brian Burke does the same thing. So I ignore him.

That only became a problem after you stated that a Morse Code Exam
would pose a barrier to Morse Code use and I brought it to the front.
Hi!

Now you call people names and swear a lot.

1053. Re: More Proof That Steven J Robeson K4YZ Is A Habitual Liar!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 00:04:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116461093.797679.265670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108286

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > What's disturbing is your failure to find the alleged
> > > > > "terrorizing" comments and re-cite them.
> > > > >
> > > > > I have, of course, addressed it several times, but you
> > > continue
> > > > to
> > > > > misrepresent the truth.
> > > >
> > > > Failure to find it???
> > > >
> > > > I read it the first time around and I've reposted it several
> times.
> > >
> > > No, you've reposted YOUR assertions that I was suggesting
> > > "terrorizing wives" and "slashing tires".
> >
> > Then why did you state those words???
> >
> > > I never stated that.
> >
> > You DID!
>
> You continue to pull words out of paragraphs and then try to
make
> them into something I never said.
>
> THAT being the case, I can put together some rather ribald
"posts"
> of "yours" IRT "pornography", "mental weakness", "lying" and "child
> molestation".

You have.

> > > I DID state that there have been people who have suffered
> those
> > > crimes when they made it a point of being a newsgroup or chat
room
> > > antagonist...
> >

> > What people? Name them?
> >
> > You made a thinly veiled threat that harm would come to Len or his
> wife
> > or his property because he disagreed with you.
>
> I made NO threats, "thinly veiled" or otherwise about ahrm to
> anyone or their wives...

Your words, Steve, ~A person only has to feel threatened for a threat
to have ocurred.

Unless you include the harm that MIGHT come to
> YOU if I were to mail some of your posts to your spouses and let them
> see how you act in public.

Don't mail anything to my home. If you do, the Postal Inspector will
open the package.

> > > Like your buddy Lennie.
> >
> > Like you!
>
> Nope.

Denial. Hi!

> > > I have REPEATEDLY stated that that is unacceptable
behaviour.
> >
> > You are an unacceptable person behaving in an unacceptable manner
and
> > spouting unacceptable statements!
>
> Unaccpetable to YOU since I frequently point out your errors,
> mistakes and blatant lies and have no reservation to say so.

You lie a lot.

> Sorry it's annoying to you. I've told you before if you'd stop
> lying people would stop calling you a liar.

Speaking of lying, where is your "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa documentation?

> It really is that simple.

Got papers?

> > > You, on the otherhand, have only been repeating your claims
> > > WITHOUT substantiation.
> >
> > I merely show you your own words, and you hate it!
>
> Hardly.

"No documentation means you didn't do it."

"A person only has to feel threatened for a threat to have occured."

> > > > You address it by pretending it doesn't exist. You lie to
> > yourself,
> > > > and you lie to people on RRAP. Who else do you lie to?
> > >
> > > No one.
> >
> > You're lying again!
>
> Nope.

Send nothing to my home. You've been notified. Best of Luck.

1054. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 00:07:21 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@k8cpa.org>
Message-ID: <65mn81d9294gf11lre2r287lkqgjglvmkh@4ax.com>
Source record: 108287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Tracing route to ACAC9E83.ipt.aol.com [172.172.158.131]
over a maximum of 30 hops:

1 10 ms 8 ms 9 ms 10.105.64.1
2 9 ms 7 ms 7 ms 10.105.64.1
3 8 ms 10 ms 10 ms
fe-2-6-ur01.southgate.mi.mich.comcast.net
.61.169]
4 11 ms 9 ms 9 ms
srp-0-1-ar01.taylor.mi.mich.comcast.net [6
1.129]
5 12 ms 9 ms 10 ms 12.119.243.37
6 10 ms 9 ms 10 ms gbr2-p30.dtrmi.ip.att.net
[12.123.139.34]
7 10 ms 17 ms 13 ms tbr2-p012601.dtrmi.ip.att.net
[12.122.12.1
8 19 ms 19 ms 17 ms tbr2-cl1.cgcil.ip.att.net
[12.122.10.134]
9 16 ms 17 ms 18 ms ggr2-p3120.cgcil.ip.att.net
[12.123.6.69]
10 18 ms 18 ms 17 ms pop2-chi-P5-3.atdn.net [66.185.132.97]
11 19 ms 19 ms 28 ms bb2-chi-P1-0.atdn.net [66.185.148.66]
12 33 ms 34 ms 33 ms bb2-vie-P14-0.atdn.net
[66.185.152.215]
13 33 ms 33 ms 35 ms pop2-vie-P1-0.atdn.net
[66.185.139.131]
14 35 ms 36 ms 35 ms dar2-mtc-S1-0-0.atdn.net
[66.185.139.138]
15 * * * Request timed out.
16 * * * Request timed out.
17 36 ms 33 ms 33 ms ipt-mtcf13.proxy.aol.com
[64.12.120.173]
18 96 ms 100 ms 96 ms ACAC9E83.ipt.aol.com [172.172.158.131]

Trace complete.

AOL troll, go figure...

On Wed, 18 May 2005 21:04:41 GMT, Truth@true.com wrote:

>> Do you have a point besides the top of your head, sniveling crybaby?
>
>
```

>Are you going to threaten to beat up the next person who tells
>you freebanding is illegal? :-)
>
>
>> truth@itsalltrue.com wrote:
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040417141637.901$t1@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > I'm gonna enjoy beating you to a pulp.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------
>> > ------------- Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040417141637.901$t1@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > I'll kick both of your asses at the same time, putz.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > news:20040422201910.568$GG@newsreader.com:
>> >
>> > Just keep looking over your shoulder
>> > What comes around goes around.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> > Steveo wrote in
>> > news:20040422201910.568$GG@newsreader.com:
>> >
>> > He's gonna mess around and get hurt.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote
>> >
>> > > iamnotgeorge2...@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote
>> > > I am not george and I hate to see you think you are going
>> > > to intimidate anyone.
>> >
>> > Too late for that, besides it's called paybacks.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040509215943.303$7d@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > What say, Lee? Got time to get your ass kicked on lunch hour?
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040509214504.289$Co@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > > Meet Steve here and bring sparring gear:

>> >
>> > > Medina YMCA
>> > > 3076-B Remsen Road
>> > > Medina, OH 44256
>> > > Phone: 330-725-3098
>> >
>> > Fuck him. I want Leland.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> >
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040514193201.527$xd@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > Look, jackass..the only reason you're still running your pie hole
>> > is because you don't live close to me. Otherwise I'd have taught
>> > you an old school lesson too.
>> >
>> > Keep fanning the flames, you could be next, Busch.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> >
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040520130842.916...@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > Well I for one am not the least bit afraid to go to madison hts
>> > or toledo on a whim. In fact, after seeing dogie, I'd fight him
>> > and nad at the same time at inductoheat.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> >
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040520075458.569...@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > This ain't no social call, nad. You'll need to meet me in the
>> > parking lot..and if you have the nerve to say your spew to my
>> > face, your nose won't be much use to you for a while anyway.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------

1055. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 00:35:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CbRie.4240$Ri4.1367@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108288

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote

> ...MY SITE IS SHIT...
>
> N9OGL

Yes, it certainly is.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

1056. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 00:55:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116464120.763250.135200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108289

Roger Wiseman first posted it on my website as you, he got it off a gay website. and what OTHER RULE VIOLATION??? Steve your nothing more than s SOCIOPATH LIAR.

ASSHOLE

TODD N9OGL

1057. Re: DX Activations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 01:05:35 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <BDRie.23071$NZ1.2631@fe09.lga>
Source record: 108290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:sKCdnT0UtsUxWhbfRVn-hA@crocker.com...
> yep, self assigned cb calls. the first number is the country number, then
> the IR is the club name, then the number after that is the member number.
> they have their own dxcc awards to give themselves and iota and all that
> stuff for illegal activity.
>
> <truth@itellyathetruth.net> wrote in message
> news:NfLie.46319$US.24740@news.ono.com...
>> > 19IR04/EU-1462005-01-01-2005 to 12-31-05
>> > EU-14619
>> >
>> >
>> > 175IR/02005-02-202005-06-20-14IR0011
>> >
>> >
>> > 265IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0431
>> >
>> >
>> > 320/224IR0112005-01-012005-12-31OC-0181IR7492
>> >
>> >
>> > 320IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0181
>> >
>>
>> What the heck are those? Made up callsigns???

Pretty much on target. A picture is worth a thousand words.

http://www.sugar-delta.org/

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

1058. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 02:55:48 UTC
From: keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116471348.151428.86620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Do you have the nerve to say where you will be camping so we can
introduce ourselves?? Nope!

1059. Mopathetic making hams laugh at his sissiness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 02:59:18 UTC
From: keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116471558.143741.198390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If hams are so scared of you then why are you too sissyboy to say where you are camping, nutjob? You are just a sick freak with a tiny brain who just can't stand not to be taken seriously. Face it, you can't do squat about the AKC. You are just a pathetic little wimp who won't accept that he is powerless over the Anti's. LOL!

Tell us where you are camping and we will come say it to your face, sissyboy. Will you? NOPE! LOL! COWARD!

1060. Here is the smoking gun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 07:57:59 UTC
From: Steven@gregpalast.com
Message-ID: <29d13ace.e5a10cd4@mail.gregpalast.com>
Source record: 108293

The memo that has "IMPEACH HIM" written all over it.

The top-level government memo marked "SECRET AND STRICTLY PERSONAL", dated eight months before Bush sent us into Iraq, following a closed meeting with the President, reads, "Military action was now seen as inevitable. Bush wanted to remove Saddam through military action justified by the conjunction of terrorism and WMD. But the intelligence and facts were being fixed around the policy."

Read that again: "The intelligence and facts were being fixed...."

For years, after each damning report on BBC TV, viewers inevitably ask me, "Isn't this grounds for impeachment?" -- vote rigging, a blind eye to terror and the bin Ladens before 9-11, and so on. Evil, stupidity and self-dealing are shameful but not impeachable. What's needed is a "high crime or misdemeanor."

And if this ain't it, nothing is.

The memo uncovered this week by the TIMES, goes on to describe an elaborate plan by George Bush and British Prime Minister Tony Blair to hoodwink the lanet into supporting an attack on Iraq knowing full well the evidence for war was a phony.

A conspiracy to commit serial fraud is, under federal law, racketeering. However, the Mob's schemes never cost so many lives. Here's more. "Bush had made up his mind to take military action. But the case was thin. Saddam was not threatening his neighbors, and his WMD capability was less than that of Libya, North Korea or Iran."

Really? But Mr. Bush told us, "Intelligence gathered by this and other governments leaves no doubt that the Iraq regime continues to possess and conceal some of the most lethal weapons ever devised."

A month ago, the Silberman-Robb Commission issued its report on WMD intelligence before the war, dismissing claims that Bush fixed the facts with this snooty, condescending conclusion written directly to the President, "After a thorough review, the Commission found no indication that the Intelligence Community distorted the evidence regarding Iraq's weapons." We now know the report was a bogus 618 pages of thick whitewash aimed to let Bush off the hook for his murderous mendacity. Read on: The invasion build-up was then set, says the memo, "beginning 30 days before the US Congressional elections." Mission accomplished. You should parse the entire memo -- reprinted below -- and see if you can make it through its three pages without losing your lunch. Now sharp readers may note they didn't see this memo, in fact, printed in the New York Times. It wasn't. Rather, it was splashed across the front pages of the

Times of LONDON on Monday.

It has effectively finished the last, sorry remnants of Tony Blair's political career. (While his Labor Party will most assuredly win the elections Thursday, Prime Minister Blair is expected, possibly within months, to be shoved overboard in favor of his Chancellor of the Exchequer, a political execution which requires only a vote of the Labour party's members in Parliament.)

But in the US, barely a word. The New York Times covers this hard evidence of Bush's fabrication of a casus belli as some "British" elections story. Apparently, our President's fraud isn't "news fit to print."

My colleagues in the UK press have skewered Blair, digging out more incriminating memos, challenging the official government factoids and fibs. But in the US press nada, bubkes, zilch. Bush fixed the facts and somehow that's a story for "over there."

The Republicans impeached Bill Clinton over his cigar and Monica's affections. And the US media could print nothing else. Now, we have the stone, cold evidence of bending intelligence to sell us on death by the thousands, and neither a Republican Congress nor what is laughably called US journalism thought it worth a second look.

My friend Daniel Ellsberg once said that what's good about the American people is that you have to lie to them. What's bad about Americans is that it's so easy to do.

Greg Palast, former columnist for Britain's
Guardian papers, is the author of the New York Times bestseller, "The Best Democracy Money Can Buy". Subscribe to his columns at GregPalast.COM.
Media requests to CONTACT(at)GregPalast.COM.
Permission to reprint with attribution granted.

[Here it is - the secret smoking gun memo
- discovered by the Times of London. - GP]

SECRET AND STRICTLY PERSONAL - UK EYES ONLY
DAVID MANNING

From: Matthew Rycroft

Date: 23 July 2002 S 195 /02

cc: Defence Secretary,Foreign Secretary, Attorney-General,
Sir Richard Wilson, John Scarlett, Francis Richards, CDS, C,
Jonathan Powell, Sally Morgan, Alastair Campbell

IRAQ: PRIME MINISTER'S MEETING, 23 JULY

Copy addressees and you met the Prime Minister on 23 July to discuss Iraq.

This record is extremely sensitive. No further copies should be made. It should be shown only to those with a genuine need to know its contents.

John Scarlett summarised the intelligence and latest JIC assessment. Saddam's regime was tough and based on extreme fear. The only way to overthrow it was likely to be by massive military action. Saddam was worried and expected an attack, probably by air and land, but he was not convinced that it would be immediate or overwhelming. His regime expected their neighbours to line up with the US. Saddam knew that regular army morale was poor. Real support for Saddam among the public was probably narrowly based.

C reported on his recent talks in Washington. There was a perceptible shift in attitude. Military action was now seen as inevitable. Bush wanted to remove Saddam, through military action, justified by the conjunction of terrorism and WMD. But the intelligence and facts were being fixed around the policy. The NSC had no patience with the UN route, and no enthusiasm for publishing material on the Iraqi regime's record. There was little discussion in Washington of the aftermath after military action.

CDS said that military planners would brief CENTCOM on 1-2 August, Rumsfeld on 3 August and Bush on 4 August.

The two broad US options were:

(a) Generated Start. A slow build-up of 250,000 US troops, a short (72 hour) air campaign, then a move up to Baghdad from the south. Lead time of 90 days (30 days preparation plus 60 days deployment to Kuwait).

(b) Running Start. Use forces already in theatre (3 x 6,000), continuous air campaign, initiated by an Iraqi casus belli. Total lead time of 60 days with the air campaign beginning even earlier. A hazardous option.

The US saw the UK (and Kuwait) as essential, with basing in Diego Garcia and Cyprus critical for either option. Turkey and other Gulf states were also important, but less vital. The three main options for UK involvement were:

(i) Basing in Diego Garcia and Cyprus, plus three SF squadrons.

(ii) As above, with maritime and air assets in addition.

(iii) As above, plus a land contribution of up to 40,000, perhaps with a discrete role in Northern Iraq entering from Turkey, tying down two Iraqi divisions.

The Defence Secretary said that the US had already begun "spikes of activity" to put pressure on the regime. No decisions had been taken, but he thought the most likely timing in US minds for military action to begin was January, with the timeline beginning 30 days before the US Congressional elections.

The Foreign Secretary said he would discuss this with Colin Powell this week. It seemed clear that Bush had made up his mind to take military action, even if the timing was not yet decided. But the case was thin. Saddam was not threatening his neighbours, and his WMD capability was less than that of Libya, North Korea or Iran. We should work up a plan

for an ultimatum to Saddam to allow back in the UN weapons inspectors. This would also help with the legal justification for the use of force.

The Attorney-General said that the desire for regime change was not a legal base for military action. There were three possible legal bases: self-defence, humanitarian intervention, or UNSC authorisation. The first and second could not be the base in this case. Relying on UNSCR 1205 of three years ago would be difficult. The situation might of course change.

The Prime Minister said that it would make a big difference politically and legally if Saddam refused to allow in the UN inspectors. Regime change and WMD were linked in the sense that it was the regime that was producing the WMD. There were different strategies for dealing with Libya and Iran. If the political context were right, people would support regime change. The two key issues were whether the military plan worked and whether we had the political strategy to give the military plan the space to work.

On the first, CDS said that we did not know yet if the US battleplan was workable. The military were continuing to ask lots of questions.

For instance, what were the consequences, if Saddam used WMD on day one, or if Baghdad did not collapse and urban warfighting began? You said that Saddam could also use his WMD on Kuwait. Or on Israel, added the Defence Secretary.

The Foreign Secretary thought the US would not go ahead with a military plan unless convinced that it was a winning strategy. On this, US and UK interests converged. But on the political strategy, there could be US/UK differences. Despite US resistance, we should explore discreetly the ultimatum. Saddam would continue to play hard-ball with the UN.

John Scarlett assessed that Saddam would allow the inspectors back in only when he thought the threat of military action was real.

The Defence Secretary said that if the Prime Minister wanted UK military involvement, he would need to decide this early. He cautioned that many in the US did not think it worth going down the ultimatum route. It would be important for the Prime Minister to set out the political context to Bush.

Conclusions:

(a) We should work on the assumption that the UK would take part in any military action. But we needed a fuller picture of US planning before we could take any firm decisions. CDS should tell the US military that we were considering a range of options.

(b) The Prime Minister would revert on the question of whether funds could be spent in preparation for this operation.

(c) CDS would send the Prime Minister full details of the proposed military campaign and possible UK contributions by the end of the week.

(d) The Foreign Secretary would send the Prime Minister the background on the UN inspectors, and discreetly work up the ultimatum to Saddam. He would also send the Prime Minister advice on the positions of countries in the region especially Turkey, and of the key EU member states.

(e) John Scarlett would send the Prime Minister a full intelligence update.

(f) We must not ignore the legal issues: the Attorney-General would consider legal advice with FCO/MOD legal advisers. (I have written separately to commissionthis follow-up work.)

MATTHEW RYCROFT
(Rycroft was a Downing Street foreign policy aide)

1061. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 08:24:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116491094.089918.302880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108294

N9OGL wrote:
> Roger Wiseman first posted it on my website as you, he got it off a
gay
> website.

Sure he did, Todd...SURE he did.

> and what OTHER RULE VIOLATION???

Lessee...You mean OTHER than your admission of operating broadcast
FM an TV "stations", or your operating an aircraft that does NOT meet
Part 103 requirements to be an ultralight, Todd...???

> Steve your nothing more than s SOCIOPATH LIAR.

No I'm not.

> ###HOLE

Your mama.

Steve, K4YZ

1062. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 08:28:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116491284.805717.196630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108295

N9OGL wrote:
> Roger Wiseman first posted it on my website...(SNIP)

BTW, Todd...THIS is the kind of behaviour you engender when you blather about "freedom of speech" all-the-while doing it laced with profanities and insanities.

Get used to it.

Steve, K4YZ

1063. Re: More Proof That Steven J Robeson K4YZ Is A Habitual Liar!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 08:40:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116492028.017023.324890@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108296

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I made NO threats, "thinly veiled" or otherwise about ahrm to
> > anyone or their wives...
>
> Your words, Steve, ~A person only has to feel threatened for a threat
> to have ocurred.

In this case, I think the "threat" is your fear of what your WIFE
is going to think about the silliness you conduct in this forum.

Momma swing a nasty rolling pin, does she...?!?!

> Unless you include the harm that MIGHT come to
> > YOU if I were to mail some of your posts to your spouses and let
them
> > see how you act in public.
>
> Don't mail anything to my home. If you do, the Postal Inspector will
> open the package.

Sure they will.

And the WORST thing they might find are hard copies of your lies,
deceit and public misconduct, Brian.

And by the way, the "Postal Inspector" doesn't "inspect" FedEx,
DHL, UPS, etc...

> > > > Like your buddy Lennie.
> > >
> > > Like you!
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Denial.

Nope.

Truth.

You should try it some time.

> > Unaccpetable to YOU since I frequently point out your errors,
> > mistakes and blatant lies and have no reservation to say so.
>
> You lie a lot.

Nope.

I agree that you don't LIKE what I say...Mostly because what I ahve to say shreds your silly rants to bits, but that doesn't make it lies.

> > Sorry it's annoying to you. I've told you before if you'd stop
> > lying people would stop calling you a liar.
>
> Speaking of lying, where is your "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa documentation?

The abbreviation is "ANCOIC", Brain, and it's waiting for you to go first...Afterall, I've had questions in front of you for YEARS.

Unlike YOU, however, I've given specific dates, times, details, locations, etc...More than enough for any reasonable person to make a solid third party determination.

You, on the otherhand, continue to be devious, deceitful and deceptive.

In otherwords...a liar.

> > > > > You address it by pretending it doesn't exist. You lie to
> > > yourself,
> > > > > and you lie to people on RRAP. Who else do you lie to?
> > > >
> > > > No one.
> > >
> > > You're lying again!
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Send nothing to my home. You've been notified. Best of Luck.

You obviously don't think Mrs. Brain has much of a sense of humor. Your tone and response leads me to believe I am closer to THAT truth than you'd care I be...

Wonder what she'd think about you trying to keep the truth from her?

Wonder what she'd think about finding out that her husband has an alter ego she doesn't know about, Brain...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1064. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 08:48:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116492519.999964.4930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108297

Steve you dumbass all that "pirate" I supposed to have done, was done 10 years ago you fucking asshole. Steve your fucking pathetic I figured it out, the only reason Len Anderson and Brian Burke keep talking to you is because like me I feel sorry for your pathetic ass....I mean here is someone (YOU) who is wasting his life on the internet.....your nothing in reality by a waste of space.

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
The N9OGL BBS
216.176.81.228

1065. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 08:53:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116492818.940283.86300@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Poor lil Stebie doesn't understand that "AF" is a common
> > abbreviation for AIR FORCE.
>
> Steve can't be wrong.

Sure he can...and sometimes is...but not this time.

> > Stebie has the "proof in his wallet." :-)
>
> Careful, now. Steve is a Captain in the CAP. He might try to have
you
> do puh ups.

"puh ups"...?!?!

Are you having a hard time finishing what you start, Brain?

> > Stebie will probably babble about "calling the VA" for
> > "verification" on that.
>
> Steve either has documentation or he doesn't. And if he doesn't, his
> words come back to haunt him once more. No documentation means you
> never did it.

No haunting, Brain.

The "haunting", here, is you refusing to act on the information
provided you.

Dates...times...places...callsigns....

You know...those little things called "facts"...(I know that's a
new concept for you...deal with it though...)

> > Meanwhile, back to the Extra Double Standard newsgroup where
> > mighty macho morsemen can call their opponents "jackass" and
> > "horse's ass" and "penis head" (in Yiddish) but their
> > opponents must be oh-so-polite and civil back to them. That
> > kind of talk is "approved" by Jimmie Noserve...
>
> Jim served in "other" ways.

You and Lennie brag about having been Veterans, however you seem
to have a problem with understanding exactly what being a veteran is

and what it stands for.

The last five Presidents of the United States seem to have had a clear vision of volunteerism...and also about "service".

Guess they shudda consulted with you two first, eh...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1066. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 09:00:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116493252.405448.312760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108299

Accually I have remoded it.

Todd N9OGL
THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
THE N9OGL BBS
216.176.81.228

1067. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 09:10:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116493823.198851.256870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108300

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve you dumb###...(SNIP

I am always amazed at your skill and wizardry with the written word, Todd...They teach you that at Taylorville Headstart?

> (UNSNIP)...all that "pirate" I supposed to have done, was done
> 10 years ago you ####ing ###hole.

So what? You did it...or so you say...You broke the law. Now it's back on you...Ya shouldn't have done it in the first place, and shouldn't ahve been bragging about it in public in the second.

> Steve your ###ing pathetic I figured it out...(SNIP)

Todd, it's "Steve, you're so ####ing pathetic. I figured it out."

If you're going to call someone "pathetic", Todd, at least try to get the paragraph in which you make the insult in grammatically correct.

Otherwise YOU are the one who comes out looking "pathetic".

> the only reason Len Anderson and Brian Burke keep talking to
> you is because like me I feel sorry for your pathetic ass....(SNIP)

Lennie the Liar and Brain the Liar's PuppetBoy "keep talking to me" because they need to target their frustrations and their inadequacies on SOMEone, and I keep poking holes in their rants.

They can't stand it.

> I mean
> here is someone (YOU) who is wasting his life on the internet.....(SNIP)

I guess it's silly of me to point out that in order to MAKE that stupid assertion YOU had to be "on the internet" too...?!?!

> your nothing in reality by a waste of space.

You want to back up and take another running start at that comment again, Todd...?!?! That was even MORE meaningless, een by your own standards! (as low as they are...)

Steve, K4YZ

1068. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 09:19:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116494367.161397.274050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108301

N9OGL wrote:
> Accually I have remoded it.

"remoded" it...?!?!

What? now it's SSB? ATV...?!?!

It's STILL ####....

Steve, K4YZ

1069. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 09:22:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116494543.057489.131290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108302

bb wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > Brian Burke does the same thing. So I ignore him.
>
> That only became a problem after you stated that a Morse Code Exam
> would pose a barrier to Morse Code use and I brought it to the front.

And you STILL haven't explained yourself or made your point.

> Now you call people names and swear a lot.

As opposed to YOUR swearing and YOUR name calling?

Oh..And not to forget...Your habitual lying and deceit...???

Lessee....430....

Steve, K4YZ

1070. Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 10:36:49 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <5O2dnSh7SaPc8RHfRVn-hg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108303

The criminal complaint cited an FCC investigation revealing that Gerritsen "often transmits his prerecorded political messages and real-time harassment and profanity for hours at a time, often making it impossible for licensed radio operators to use the public frequencies."

It wasn't about him having a license or not, but about his one way broadcast and tying up a frequency.

Snitches are a dying breed.

1071. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 12:08:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <8c0p811efs6unvnk9rq7malat1f5sibm0b@4ax.com>
Source record: 108304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 18 May 2005 22:03:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I can't imagine ever going back to dial-up unless I end up broke in
>my old age. Rock-on.

Don't worry Steveo, by the time you are in your "old age" a broadband
video/voice/data line will be as common as standard phone service is
today.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1072. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 13:20:38 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <f5udnaAWsISAMhHfRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108307

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve you dumbass all that "pirate" I supposed to have done, was done
> 10 years ago you fucking asshole.

So that somehow makes it legal?

1073. Here is the smoking gun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 13:25:01 UTC
From: Simon@gregpalast.com
Message-ID: <87919bfe.c8882148@mail.gregpalast.com>
Source record: 108305

The memo that has "IMPEACH HIM" written all over it.

The top-level government memo marked "SECRET AND STRICTLY PERSONAL", dated eight months before Bush sent us into Iraq, following a closed meeting with the President, reads, "Military action was now seen as inevitable. Bush wanted to remove Saddam through military action justified by the conjunction of terrorism and WMD. But the intelligence and facts were being fixed around the policy."

Read that again: "The intelligence and facts were being fixed...."

For years, after each damning report on BBC TV, viewers inevitably ask me, "Isn't this grounds for impeachment?" -- vote rigging, a blind eye to terror and the bin Ladens before 9-11, and so on. Evil, stupidity and self-dealing are shameful but not impeachable. What's needed is a "high crime or misdemeanor."

And if this ain't it, nothing is.

The memo uncovered this week by the TIMES, goes on to describe an elaborate plan by George Bush and British Prime Minister Tony Blair to hoodwink the lanet into supporting an attack on Iraq knowing full well the evidence for war was a phony.

A conspiracy to commit serial fraud is, under federal law, racketeering. However, the Mob's schemes never cost so many lives. Here's more. "Bush had made up his mind to take military action. But the case was thin. Saddam was not threatening his neighbors, and his WMD capability was less than that of Libya, North Korea or Iran."

Really? But Mr. Bush told us, "Intelligence gathered by this and other governments leaves no doubt that the Iraq regime continues to possess and conceal some of the most lethal weapons ever devised."

A month ago, the Silberman-Robb Commission issued its report on WMD intelligence before the war, dismissing claims that Bush fixed the facts with this snooty, condescending conclusion written directly to the President, "After a thorough review, the Commission found no indication that the Intelligence Community distorted the evidence regarding Iraq's weapons." We now know the report was a bogus 618 pages of thick whitewash aimed to let Bush off the hook for his murderous mendacity. Read on: The invasion build-up was then set, says the memo, "beginning 30 days before the US Congressional elections." Mission accomplished. You should parse the entire memo -- reprinted below -- and see if you can make it through its three pages without losing your lunch. Now sharp readers may note they didn't see this memo, in fact, printed in the New York Times. It wasn't. Rather, it was splashed across the front pages of the

Times of LONDON on Monday.

It has effectively finished the last, sorry remnants of Tony Blair's political career. (While his Labor Party will most assuredly win the elections Thursday, Prime Minister Blair is expected, possibly within months, to be shoved overboard in favor of his Chancellor of the Exchequer, a political execution which requires only a vote of the Labour party's members in Parliament.)

But in the US, barely a word. The New York Times covers this hard evidence of Bush's fabrication of a casus belli as some "British" elections story. Apparently, our President's fraud isn't "news fit to print."

My colleagues in the UK press have skewered Blair, digging out more incriminating memos, challenging the official government factoids and fibs. But in the US press nada, bubkes, zilch. Bush fixed the facts and somehow that's a story for "over there."

The Republicans impeached Bill Clinton over his cigar and Monica's affections. And the US media could print nothing else. Now, we have the stone, cold evidence of bending intelligence to sell us on death by the thousands, and neither a Republican Congress nor what is laughably called US journalism thought it worth a second look.

My friend Daniel Ellsberg once said that what's good about the American people is that you have to lie to them. What's bad about Americans is that it's so easy to do.

Greg Palast, former columnist for Britain's
Guardian papers, is the author of the New York Times bestseller, "The Best Democracy Money Can Buy". Subscribe to his columns at GregPalast.COM.
Media requests to CONTACT(at)GregPalast.COM.
Permission to reprint with attribution granted.

[Here it is - the secret smoking gun memo
- discovered by the Times of London. - GP]

SECRET AND STRICTLY PERSONAL - UK EYES ONLY
DAVID MANNING

From: Matthew Rycroft

Date: 23 July 2002 S 195 /02

cc: Defence Secretary,Foreign Secretary, Attorney-General,
Sir Richard Wilson, John Scarlett, Francis Richards, CDS, C,
Jonathan Powell, Sally Morgan, Alastair Campbell

IRAQ: PRIME MINISTER'S MEETING, 23 JULY

Copy addressees and you met the Prime Minister on 23 July to discuss Iraq.

This record is extremely sensitive. No further copies should be made. It should be shown only to those with a genuine need to know its contents.

John Scarlett summarised the intelligence and latest JIC assessment. Saddam's regime was tough and based on extreme fear. The only way to overthrow it was likely to be by massive military action. Saddam was worried and expected an attack, probably by air and land, but he was not convinced that it would be immediate or overwhelming. His regime expected their neighbours to line up with the US. Saddam knew that regular army morale was poor. Real support for Saddam among the public was probably narrowly based.

C reported on his recent talks in Washington. There was a perceptible shift in attitude. Military action was now seen as inevitable. Bush wanted to remove Saddam, through military action, justified by the conjunction of terrorism and WMD. But the intelligence and facts were being fixed around the policy. The NSC had no patience with the UN route, and no enthusiasm for publishing material on the Iraqi regime's record. There was little discussion in Washington of the aftermath after military action.

CDS said that military planners would brief CENTCOM on 1-2 August, Rumsfeld on 3 August and Bush on 4 August.

The two broad US options were:

(a) Generated Start. A slow build-up of 250,000 US troops, a short (72 hour) air campaign, then a move up to Baghdad from the south. Lead time of 90 days (30 days preparation plus 60 days deployment to Kuwait).

(b) Running Start. Use forces already in theatre (3 x 6,000), continuous air campaign, initiated by an Iraqi casus belli. Total lead time of 60 days with the air campaign beginning even earlier. A hazardous option.

The US saw the UK (and Kuwait) as essential, with basing in Diego Garcia and Cyprus critical for either option. Turkey and other Gulf states were also important, but less vital. The three main options for UK involvement were:

(i) Basing in Diego Garcia and Cyprus, plus three SF squadrons.

(ii) As above, with maritime and air assets in addition.

(iii) As above, plus a land contribution of up to 40,000, perhaps with a discrete role in Northern Iraq entering from Turkey, tying down two Iraqi divisions.

The Defence Secretary said that the US had already begun "spikes of activity" to put pressure on the regime. No decisions had been taken, but he thought the most likely timing in US minds for military action to begin was January, with the timeline beginning 30 days before the US Congressional elections.

The Foreign Secretary said he would discuss this with Colin Powell this week. It seemed clear that Bush had made up his mind to take military action, even if the timing was not yet decided. But the case was thin. Saddam was not threatening his neighbours, and his WMD capability was less than that of Libya, North Korea or Iran. We should work up a plan

for an ultimatum to Saddam to allow back in the UN weapons inspectors. This would also help with the legal justification for the use of force.

The Attorney-General said that the desire for regime change was not a legal base for military action. There were three possible legal bases: self-defence, humanitarian intervention, or UNSC authorisation. The first and second could not be the base in this case. Relying on UNSCR 1205 of three years ago would be difficult. The situation might of course change.

The Prime Minister said that it would make a big difference politically and legally if Saddam refused to allow in the UN inspectors. Regime change and WMD were linked in the sense that it was the regime that was producing the WMD. There were different strategies for dealing with Libya and Iran. If the political context were right, people would support regime change. The two key issues were whether the military plan worked and whether we had the political strategy to give the military plan the space to work.

On the first, CDS said that we did not know yet if the US battleplan was workable. The military were continuing to ask lots of questions.

For instance, what were the consequences, if Saddam used WMD on day one, or if Baghdad did not collapse and urban warfighting began? You said that Saddam could also use his WMD on Kuwait. Or on Israel, added the Defence Secretary.

The Foreign Secretary thought the US would not go ahead with a military plan unless convinced that it was a winning strategy. On this, US and UK interests converged. But on the political strategy, there could be US/UK differences. Despite US resistance, we should explore discreetly the ultimatum. Saddam would continue to play hard-ball with the UN.

John Scarlett assessed that Saddam would allow the inspectors back in only when he thought the threat of military action was real.

The Defence Secretary said that if the Prime Minister wanted UK military involvement, he would need to decide this early. He cautioned that many in the US did not think it worth going down the ultimatum route. It would be important for the Prime Minister to set out the political context to Bush.

Conclusions:

(a) We should work on the assumption that the UK would take part in any military action. But we needed a fuller picture of US planning before we could take any firm decisions. CDS should tell the US military that we were considering a range of options.

(b) The Prime Minister would revert on the question of whether funds could be spent in preparation for this operation.

(c) CDS would send the Prime Minister full details of the proposed military campaign and possible UK contributions by the end of the week.

(d) The Foreign Secretary would send the Prime Minister the background on the UN inspectors, and discreetly work up the ultimatum to Saddam. He would also send the Prime Minister advice on the positions of countries in the region especially Turkey, and of the key EU member states.

(e) John Scarlett would send the Prime Minister a full intelligence update.

(f) We must not ignore the legal issues: the Attorney-General would consider legal advice with FCO/MOD legal advisers. (I have written separately to commissionthis follow-up work.)

MATTHEW RYCROFT
(Rycroft was a Downing Street foreign policy aide)

1074. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 14:20:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050519102026.669$rk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 18 May 2005 22:03:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I can't imagine ever going back to dial-up unless I end up broke in
> >my old age. Rock-on.
>
> Don't worry Steveo, by the time you are in your "old age" a broadband
> video/voice/data line will be as common as standard phone service is
> today.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
Yea it will probably be part of my wrist watch, and a keyboard will be
a thing of the past..

1075. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 16:40:54 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <qk3je.1576$8g.199@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 108308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050519102026.669$rk@newsreader.com...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> > On 18 May 2005 22:03:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >I can't imagine ever going back to dial-up unless I end up broke in
> > >my old age. Rock-on.
> >
> > Don't worry Steveo, by the time you are in your "old age" a broadband
> > video/voice/data line will be as common as standard phone service is
> > today.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
> Yea it will probably be part of my wrist watch, and a keyboard will be
> a thing of the past..

I always wanted a real Dick Tracy 2 way wrist tv. It is close ... :)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1076. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 18:02:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116525778.238391.80830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108309

fuck you, you fucking asshole

1077. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 18:05:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116525921.595874.135210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108310

it happen a long time ago, no one gives a fuck what happen a long time ago....the only ones that do, are the ones who like living in he past.

Todd N9OGL

1078. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 18:16:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116526604.900823.297610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108311

He ain't my hero....

Todd N9OGL
THE VOICE OF AMATUER RADIO
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz
THE N9OGL BBS
216.176.81.228

1079. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 18:22:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116526932.771172.11200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108312

It's remodeled...I redid he whole thing.

Asshole

Todd N9OGL
THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com /
THE N9OGL BBS
216.176.81.228

1080. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 18:29:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116527371.743793.243430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108313

N9OGL wrote:
> #### you, you ####ing asshole

Congratulations. You managed to make a post where the "F" word
was 40% of your vocabulary.

Aren't you proud of yourself...???

Steve, K4YZ

1081. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 18:40:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116528003.271693.134370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108314

N9OGL wrote:
> it happen a long time ago, no one gives a #### what happen a long
time
> ago....

I care. Historians care. Archeologists care. The FCC and FAA
care.

> the only ones that do, are the ones who like living in he past.

Wrong.

Steve, K4YZ

1082. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 18:57:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116529058.946612.308800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108315

Bet me asshole.....only crybaby tattle tales like you care. but hey,
you'll never do anything about it execpt cry about on here.

Todd N9OGL
THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
14.321.00 MHz

1083. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 19:14:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116530055.107281.92800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108316

N9OGL wrote:
> Bet me ###hole.....

It's illegal to gamble with minors, Todd. You're chronological age
may be 36, but you act like a 10 year old.

> only crybaby tattle tales like you care. but hey,
> you'll never do anything about it execpt cry about on here.

Oh?

Steve, K4YZ

1084. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 19:25:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116530746.419771.253360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108317

And you act like a asshole......

fuck you

Todd N9OGL

1085. Re: Information Bulletin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 19:36:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116531378.967874.52020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108318

and you still make yourself look like a prick happy??

1086. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 20:32:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116534741.848572.261300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108319

Jimmy, there's a BIG difference between what he did and what I'm doing.

Todd N9OGL

1087. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 20:44:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bV6je.4549$Ri4.4209@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108320

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:

>>(UNSNIP)...all that "pirate" I supposed to have done, was done
>>10 years ago you ####ing ###hole.
>
>
> So what? You did it...or so you say...You broke the law. Now
> it's back on you...Ya shouldn't have done it in the first place, and
> shouldn't ahve been bragging about it in public in the second.

Todd is playing fast and loose with the truth again, Steve. Google up the two-part Todd quotes I posted here some time back and look at the dates. They aren't ten years old. They're much more recent.

Dave K8MN

1088. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 20:55:46 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <yMudnV7I87HPYBHfRVn-vg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108322

N9OGL wrote:
> Jimmy, there's a BIG difference between what he did and what I'm doing.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

You are replying to my post? I thought you said you weren't going to do
that? Another lie? You're lucky you took all that garbage about me of
your crap blog.
Question toad, is that a vanity call? what's ogl stand for,
Obsessed Gay Lid?

1089. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 20:57:45 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <yMudnVnI87FaYBHfRVn-vg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108321

N9OGL wrote:

> He ain't my hero....
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE EMBARRASSMENT OF AMATUER RADIO
> http://n9oglperv.blogspot.com/
> N9OGL SHOW

1090. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 21:11:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116537060.978175.307430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108323

OH I SEE YOUR STILL THE LITTLE QUEER BAIT PEDOFILE.
AND SECONDLY THERE WAS SHIT ABOUT YOU ON MY BLOG TO BEGIN
WITH....EXCEPT WHAT YOU STATED ON HERE

TODD N9OGL

1091. Re: toads family business on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 21:11:49 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <GvGdnXphK-WOnBDfRVn-hw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108324

N9OGL wrote:

> BTW your is in the mail I hope you and your boyfriend Steve are happy
> together :)
>
> Todd N9OGL

Well, what's in the mail? Did you send it fifth class pony express?

1092. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 21:13:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116537185.499111.82180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108325

OH STEVE'S BITCH IS ON HERE.

1093. Re: toads family business on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 21:20:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116537628.789102.191010@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108326

Only a fucking moron like you would be that.....you tell a lie and i added to it and you fucking believed it.

Todd n9ogl
THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

1094. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 21:22:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116537723.962176.289580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108327

YEAH, POSTING MY WORKS ON HERE WITHOUT MY PERMISSION.....

1095. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 21:46:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116539179.897160.198490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108328

YEAH THE MAJORITY OF THEM ARE 5 YEARS OLD....AND AS I STATED BEFORE, IT IS BETWEEN ME AND THE FCC....NOT ME, THE FCC AND TWO ASSHOLES NAMED DAVE AND STEVE,,,AND AGAIN ASSHOLE EVEN IF IT'S HAS FIVE YEAR THE FCC HASN'T DONE ANYTHING AND WON'T BECAUSE IT WILL OPEN A BIG CAN OF WORMS THEY DON'T WANT TO OPEN.....SO YOU AND STEVE COMPLAIN ALL YOU WANT....NOTHING WILL COME OF IT....

TODD N9OGL

1096. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 21:46:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116539181.657086.146720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108329

YEAH THE MAJORITY OF THEM ARE 5 YEARS OLD....AND AS I STATED BEFORE, IT IS BETWEEN ME AND THE FCC....NOT ME, THE FCC AND TWO ASSHOLES NAMED DAVE AND STEVE,,,AND AGAIN ASSHOLE EVEN IF IT'S HAS FIVE YEAR THE FCC HASN'T DONE ANYTHING AND WON'T BECAUSE IT WILL OPEN A BIG CAN OF WORMS THEY DON'T WANT TO OPEN.....SO YOU AND STEVE COMPLAIN ALL YOU WANT....NOTHING WILL COME OF IT....

TODD N9OGL

1097. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 21:46:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116539181.786004.146790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108330

YEAH THE MAJORITY OF THEM ARE 5 YEARS OLD....AND AS I STATED BEFORE, IT IS BETWEEN ME AND THE FCC....NOT ME, THE FCC AND TWO ASSHOLES NAMED DAVE AND STEVE,,,AND AGAIN ASSHOLE EVEN IF IT'S HAS FIVE YEAR THE FCC HASN'T DONE ANYTHING AND WON'T BECAUSE IT WILL OPEN A BIG CAN OF WORMS THEY DON'T WANT TO OPEN.....SO YOU AND STEVE COMPLAIN ALL YOU WANT....NOTHING WILL COME OF IT....

TODD N9OGL

1098. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 22:05:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116540325.576743.255830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > Poor lil Stebie doesn't understand that "AF" is a common
> > > abbreviation for AIR FORCE.
> >
> > Steve can't be wrong.
>
> Sure he can...and sometimes is...but not this time.

Yes, this time. Again.

> > > Stebie has the "proof in his wallet." :-)
> >
> > Careful, now. Steve is a Captain in the CAP. He might try to have
> you
> > do puh ups.
>
> "puh ups"...?!?!
>
> Are you having a hard time finishing what you start, Brain?

I soft-stroked the "s." It taht a Federal Crime? Homosexual
Behavio[u]r?

How's your "rank" holding up?

> > > Stebie will probably babble about "calling the VA" for
> > > "verification" on that.
> >
> > Steve either has documentation or he doesn't. And if he doesn't,
his
> > words come back to haunt him once more. No documentation means you
> > never did it.
>
> No haunting, Brain.

Hi! Then produce your documentation.

> The "haunting", here, is you refusing to act on the information
> provided you.

I don't act on "hot" tips.

Produce authentic documentation.

> Dates...times...places...callsigns....

Yes! Authentic documentation with dates, times, places and names.

Got any?

> You know...those little things called "facts"...(I know that's a
> new concept for you...deal with it though...)

Exactly!!! Facts. Got any?

> > > Meanwhile, back to the Extra Double Standard newsgroup where
> > > mighty macho morsemen can call their opponents "jackass" and
> > > "horse's ass" and "penis head" (in Yiddish) but their
> > > opponents must be oh-so-polite and civil back to them. That
> > > kind of talk is "approved" by Jimmie Noserve...
> >
> > Jim served in "other" ways.
>
> You and Lennie brag about having been Veterans, however you seem
> to have a problem with understanding exactly what being a veteran is
> and what it stands for.
>
> The last five Presidents of the United States seem to have had a
> clear vision of volunteerism...and also about "service".

Indeed. Jim could have volunteered to serve in the Unites States
Military. He had other more important things to do like pursue a
degree in engineering, and looking out for himself. And the military
still needs engineers, even if they are civilians. Go to
WWW.USAJOBS.OPM.GOV and select "Middle East."

> Guess they shudda consulted with you two first, eh...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I'm good with volunteerism in the U.S. armed services. Tell Jim to let
us know how it's going when he gets there.

1099. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 22:10:51 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116540651.570929.213400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108332

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > > Brian Burke does the same thing. So I ignore him.
> >
> > That only became a problem after you stated that a Morse Code Exam
> > would pose a barrier to Morse Code use and I brought it to the
front.
>
> And you STILL haven't explained yourself or made your point.

No explanation necessary. Jim made the NCTA Point!

> > Now you call people names and swear a lot.
>
> As opposed to YOUR swearing and YOUR name calling?

I don't snip out a person's legitimate callsign because it "offends,"
then turn around and call someone an asshole.

> Oh..And not to forget...Your habitual lying and deceit...???

How can I forget that. You've got that corner locked up tight.

> Lessee....430....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You give yourself way too much credit. It was only 29 when I lost
count at the end of the treee weeks.

1100. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 22:12:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116540732.571220.17750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108333

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > >Just answer the question, please.
> > >
> > > No. :-)
> >
> > Then why should I answer any of yours?
>
> There is no reason so don't.
>
> "Don't Feed the Animaks"
>
> > > >That's simply not true, Len.
> > > >
> > > >You're just making up bullshit. Typical jackass behavior for
> > > >you.
> > >
> > > Tsk, tsk, very Very VERY WRONG, Jimmie Noserve.
> >
> > No Len. Would you prefer if I called you a diminutive nickname?
>
> Probably.
>
> "Jackass" doesn't really do him justice James. Jackasses are gentle
> little guys, he's more like a big horse's ass.
>
> w3rv

...with "real" military experience. Got any?

1101. More Proof That Steven J Robeson K4YZ Is A Habitual Liar!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 22:26:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116541591.037779.110730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108334

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I made NO threats, "thinly veiled" or otherwise about ahrm
to
> > > anyone or their wives...
> >
> > Your words, Steve, ~A person only has to feel threatened for a
threat
> > to have ocurred.
>
> In this case, I think the "threat" is your fear of what your
WIFE
> is going to think about the silliness you conduct in this forum.
>
> Momma swing a nasty rolling pin, does she...?!?!

Hi! You tell me.

> > Unless you include the harm that MIGHT come to
> > > YOU if I were to mail some of your posts to your spouses and let
> them
> > > see how you act in public.
> >
> > Don't mail anything to my home. If you do, the Postal Inspector
will
> > open the package.
>
> Sure they will.
>
> And the WORST thing they might find are hard copies of your
lies,
> deceit and public misconduct, Brian.
>
> And by the way, the "Postal Inspector" doesn't "inspect" FedEx,
> DHL, UPS, etc...

Rest assured that any local authority will do the opening. Send
nothing to me or addressed to my home.

> > > > > Like your buddy Lennie.
> > > >
> > > > Like you!
> > >

> > > Nope.
> >
> > Denial.
>
> Nope.
>
> Truth.
>
> You should try it some time.

Try sending some my way and I will.

> > > Unaccpetable to YOU since I frequently point out your
errors,
> > > mistakes and blatant lies and have no reservation to say so.
> >
> > You lie a lot.
>
> Nope.

Right. You lie a whole lot.

> I agree that you don't LIKE what I say...Mostly because what I
> ahve to say shreds your silly rants to bits, but that doesn't make it
> lies.

Still waiting on that "authentic" MARS documentation. Got any?

You know what you say of people without "authentic" documentation?

Your words, your rules, your embarassment.

> > > Sorry it's annoying to you. I've told you before if you'd
> stop
> > > lying people would stop calling you a liar.
> >
> > Speaking of lying, where is your "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa
> documentation?
>
> The abbreviation is "ANCOIC", Brain, and it's waiting for you to
> go first...Afterall, I've had questions in front of you for YEARS.

But I've already been labeled a liar.

So you produce your authentic documentation or forever be known as a
liar and a gay man.

> Unlike YOU, however, I've given specific dates, times, details,
> locations, etc...More than enough for any reasonable person to make a
> solid third party determination.

And I have given such information previously. Additionally, had you
had a QSO with me, you'd have my QSL card with exact GMT date/time and
frequency hand written by me on it. Unfortunately for you, you were

unable to make the trip.

Since you didn't get one of my cards, you have labeled me a liar and a gay man.

So it is your turn to provide authentic documentation of your alleged MARS appointment to "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa, or will will forever be known as a liar and a gay man.

> You, on the otherhand, continue to be devious, deceitful and
> deceptive.
>
> In otherwords...a liar.

Got papers? No papers = LIAR!

Your words, your rules, your embarassment.

> > > > > > You address it by pretending it doesn't exist. You lie to
> > > > > yourself,
> > > > > > and you lie to people on RRAP. Who else do you lie to?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No one.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're lying again!
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > Send nothing to my home. You've been notified. Best of Luck.
>
> You obviously don't think Mrs. Brain has much of a sense of
humor.
> Your tone and response leads me to believe I am closer to THAT truth
> than you'd care I be...
>
> Wonder what she'd think about you trying to keep the truth from
> her?
>
> Wonder what she'd think about finding out that her husband has
an
> alter ego she doesn't know about, Brain...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Hi! Multi-Steve says other people have alter-ego's. Hi, hi!

Projection? Ayup.

1102. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 22:29:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116541785.618139.298980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108335

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > KC8GXW previously wrote:
> > >> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
> > >> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
> > >> messaging team and a Morse code team.
> > >> The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken >
> > >> Miller K6CTW.
> > >
> > > They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
> > > text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his
hand
> > > (signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
> > > And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Pretty much a no brainer that the text messaging would lose.
>
> Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?

Are you really that stupid?

1103. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 22:32:35 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116541955.229781.171900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108336

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> > Failure to consider the details? There's no accounting for stupid
> > decisions or apparently the Text Messgae
> > champion didn't do his homework. If he had, he'd know
> > morse code experts exceed his own 160 character per minute
> > Guiness World Record rate.
> >
>
> But the text message whiz kids were probably certain that text
> messaging, being the latest technology, would just have to blow away
> something as ancient as Morse code. Guess they found out differently.

If the CEO of NEXTEL had to pass a Morse Code exam to get his company
licensed, we wouldn't have a NEXTEL.

1104. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 22:34:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116542072.498109.224240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108337

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> Sure. But look a letters like C and Y...

Don't let Silly Gunny catch you dropping characters like that.

1105. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 23:10:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <U19je.7455$w21.5619@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Poor Len, just can't keep on subject.

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1116441719.072292.75800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm
>
>>Chad Wahls wrote:
>>>"Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>> > Hi gang!
>
>
>>> When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign,
> where
>>> these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call
> sign in
>>> there?
>>
>>What you see on TV is taped and edited. They cut out things like the
> ID.
>
> Good old Jimmie Noserve jumping in as the "expert" on
> TV broadcast production (years of work in that...). :-)
>
> When is the actual NBC "Tonight Show" taped, Jimmie?
> At what time? How long before the NBC TV network
> feed?
>
> You've been there, right? Waiting in line along
> Alameda with the other tourists? Or do you have
> "insider information" again? :-)
>
>>FCC requires that you ID at the *end* of a QSO, and every 10 minutes
> if
>>the QSO goes on longer than 10 minutes. The clip is only 3 minutes
>>long.
>
> Oh, my, yes, I'm sure the producers were most extremely
> careful to NEVER break any amateur radio regulations!
> [they might ALL lose their JOBS over such a gaffe!] :-)
>
> =======

>
> The "Tonight Show" is ENTERTAINMENT. It isn't a
> journalism show. It isn't a documentary show. With
> the new production company featuring Jay Leno, the
> tone of the "Tonight Show" has taken on a sharper edge,
> more biting, as compared to De Cordova's company with
> the late, great Johnny Carson. Leno likes to twit fads
> and ignorant people.
>
> A current fad is "TXT-ing," that of sending short text
> messages over cell phones having that feature. It's a
> big thing among teeners all over the country now. [the
> USA has over 100 million cell phone subscriberships]
>
> Cell phones were never designed or implemented with
> text messaging in mind. They were done to extend the
> wired VOICE telephone. However, as the original analog
> cell phone converted to digital, a limited form of text
> messaging was possible and that was incorporated into
> subscribers' sets. Teeners picked up on that as a form
> of "very personal, like 'private talk' communication"
> that grown-ups and others couldn't immediately overhear.
> That 'privacy' thing is a big hit with teeners. ;-)
>
> "Morse code" is the first BIG instant-communications
> means and debuted 160 years ago. The On-Off signalling
> of "morse code" was the ONLY PRACTICAL means of using
> radio for communications, demonstrated 109 years ago.
> [most people are AWARE of "morse code" through the
> entertainment media and most have never experienced
> first-hand any live communications with that...they
> know it is OLD and obsolete for practical communications]
>
> It is a no-brainer that old, obsolete "morse code" is
> faster than the new fad of "TXT-ing" messages via a cell
> phone. The "demonstration contest" on the Leno show
> was a SETUP to deliberately POKE FUN at the fad of
> "TXT-ing." It wasn't anything else...just one more
> item of "humor" a la the Leno company to get the
> audience amused by poking fun at current fads.
>
> Ham radio morsemen Lifestylers want to wave flags about
> "morse code" and how triumphant was their "success" in
> that show-biz SETUP that was a guaranteed pratfall
> by the "TXT-ers." That short Entertainment bit was
> NEVER intended as a true contest.
>
> For a REAL contest, live, [rehearsed or not] manual
> communications means, pit an experienced typist pair
> using old, surplus 60 WPM teleprinters against a pair

> of experienced morsemen using either pencil-and-paper
> or manual typewriters to record received "morse code."
> Use "over-the-shoulder" live TV camera angles to show
> the "incoming" text for the audience...and to show
> the errors made by the morsemen.
>
> Will TV Entertainment shows ever consider such? No.
> There's no real Entertainment value except for a very
> small niche-interest fraction of an audience. This is
> less of a show-biz SETUP than modern "TXT-ing" versus
> 160-year-old manual "morse code." Audiences won't
> have anything to LAUGH at. Teleprinters are going to
> win out eventually since their throughput is
> sustainable and less error-prone than manual "morse
> code" means.
>
> The radio world has LONG AGO done the "contest" bit in
> actual practice and found "morse code" to be the
> LOSER. The rest of the radio world has GIVEN UP on
> "morse code" for communications. The die-hard
> fanatics in amateur radio still cling to "morse code"
> as "good" or even "best" because they are still lost in
> the fantasy and mythology of olden times.
>
> That's not SHOW-BIZ, Jimmie, that's REALITY. Show's
> over. Get a grip. Go home.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1106. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 23:30:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xk9je.3313$X92.230@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108339

N9OGL wrote:
> YEAH THE MAJORITY OF THEM ARE 5 YEARS OLD....AND AS I STATED BEFORE, IT
> IS BETWEEN ME AND THE FCC....NOT ME, THE FCC AND TWO A------S NAMED
> DAVE AND STEVE,,,AND AGAIN A-----E EVEN IF IT'S HAS FIVE YEAR THE FCC
> HASN'T DONE ANYTHING AND WON'T BECAUSE IT WILL OPEN A BIG CAN OF WORMS
> THEY DON'T WANT TO OPEN.....SO YOU AND STEVE COMPLAIN ALL YOU
> WANT....NOTHING WILL COME OF IT....

You just told us that your pirate activity was ten years in the past.
Now you tell us that it is five years in the past. No amount of TYPING
IN UPPER CASE changes fact. Your use of swear words doesn't help your
erroneous claim either.

Dave K8MN

1107. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 23:47:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1A9je.4680$Ri4.4386@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108340

bb wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>>>Just answer the question, please.
>>>>
>>>> No. :-)
>>>
>>>Then why should I answer any of yours?
>>
>>There is no reason so don't.
>>
>>"Don't Feed the Animaks"
>>
>>
>>>>>That's simply not true, Len.
>>>>>
>>>>>You're just making up bullshit. Typical jackass behavior for
>>>>>you.
>>>>
>>>> Tsk, tsk, very Very VERY WRONG, Jimmie Noserve.
>>>
>>>No Len. Would you prefer if I called you a diminutive nickname?
>>
>>Probably.
>>
>>"Jackass" doesn't really do him justice James. Jackasses are gentle
>>little guys, he's more like a big horse's ass.
>>
>>w3rv
>
>
> ...with "real" military experience. Got any?

W3RV has real amateur radio experience. Do you suppose Len has any?

Our Leonard certainly has more time-in-grade as a horse's patoot.

Dave K8MN

1108. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 23:50:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cD9je.4682$Ri4.3595@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108341

bb wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>Bill Sohl wrote:

>>Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?
>
>
> Are you really that stupid?

Don't leave us hanging, Brian. Why did they do it? Was the thousands
of dollars in valueable cash and prizes? Was it to gain needed exposure
for their garage band? Was it because they're masochists? Was it
because they're a couple of clueless boobs?

Dave K8MN

1109. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-19 23:51:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rE9je.4684$Ri4.1889@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108342

bb wrote:

> If the CEO of NEXTEL had to pass a Morse Code exam to get his company
> licensed, we wouldn't have a NEXTEL.

To quote you, "Are you really that stupid?"

Dave K8MN

1110. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 00:50:30 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <JdKdnaeAg77LqRDfRVn-3w@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108343

N9OGL wrote:
> OH I SEE YOUR STILL THE LITTLE QUEER BAIT PEDOFILE.
> AND SECONDLY THERE WAS SHIT ABOUT YOU ON MY BLOG TO BEGIN
> WITH....EXCEPT WHAT YOU STATED ON HERE
>
> TODD N9OGL

None of that makes any sense at all. The crap on your blog you put there, any your gay self is lucky that you took it off.
You said you won't answer me, so you posting this is another of your gay lies. Go do your show and don't forget you are net control on the lambda net tonight.
FIRST, If you were kicked off the internet, why is your gay post here?
Second, Why do you know so much about porn, kiddy porn and being a pedophile?
Third, the term is not "your a sociopath liar" It's YOU'RE a PATHOLOGICAL liar, so if YOU'RE going to call names idiot, get it right!
Forth, Where's the mail you sent?
Fifth , Did you ask your FBI buddy yet?
Until you get your gay head out of your ass and answer these questions, don't talk to me!

1111. Re: IT WILL NEVER BE YOU BITCHES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 00:55:39 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <96adnfw8OdADyRDfRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108421

N9OGL wrote:
> I have more intelligents then you, you fucking kid porn lover!
>

You may have 'intelligents' (whatever that is, some of your gay buddies
maybe?) but you sure don't have any 'intelligence'. You really are a
dumb cluck toddieboy.

1112. Re: toads family business on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 00:58:00 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <6uCdnc0uNZGFqxDfRVn-qA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108344

N9OGL wrote:

> Only a fucking moron like you would be that.....you tell a lie and i
> added to it and you fucking believed it.
>
> Todd n9ogl
> THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

Moron? here's what you said, with no attributes.

"BTW your is in the mail I hope you and your boyfriend Steve are happy
together

Todd N9OGL"

You added to what? I believed what? My what's in the mail? You're (your)
the moron, none of what you said in the first post makes sense, so the
second post doesn't add up either. But I'm glad that you finely admit
that you lie!

"you tell a lie and i added to it and you fucking believed it."

So toad, your ISP said you can't be here, what's keeping you here?
You're not a moron toad, a moron is handicapped and needs a little help.
You on the other hand are an illiterate idiot and beyond any help.

1113. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 01:04:09 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <p-idnaHWrb0UqhDfRVn-gQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108345

N9OGL wrote:

BLO BLO BLO (gayboys way of saying bla bla bla)
> TODD N9OGL
>
Still lying and obsessed with steve? You don't change do you?
Didn't they teach you and the "Computers For Dummy's" class how to
unstick the caps lock key?
Take the hint gayboy, nobody want's you here, not even your low class
ISP, so go away!

1114. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 01:21:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116552101.285684.225090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108347

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >>Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >>Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?
> >
> >
> > Are you really that stupid?
>
> Don't leave us hanging, Brian. Why did they do it? Was the
thousands
> of dollars in valueable cash and prizes? Was it to gain needed
exposure
> for their garage band? Was it because they're masochists? Was it
> because they're a couple of clueless boobs?
>
> Dave K8MN

Because even bad publicity is publicity.

1115. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 01:22:04 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116552124.265771.186830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108346

FUCK YOU AQSSHOLE!!!!

1116. Re: toads family business on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 01:22:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116552173.328237.59540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108348

FUCK YOU!!!

1117. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 01:24:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116552253.312915.199310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108400

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > If the CEO of NEXTEL had to pass a Morse Code exam to get his
company
> > licensed, we wouldn't have a NEXTEL.
>
> To quote you, "Are you really that stupid?"
>
> Dave K8MN

I wondered what it would take to get you out of the woodwork again?

How are those out of band Frenchmen doing these days?

1118. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 01:24:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116552271.683734.296970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108349

FUCK YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY BUDDIES ON HERE

1119. Re: IT WILL NEVER BE YOU BITCHES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 01:33:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116552837.715246.257300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108401

Well Loyd, that's not me....but dumbass like you all on here can't grasp that concept. It does look like your work.

Todd N9OGL

1120. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 01:42:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Tfbje.3671$Lc1.3502@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108402

bb wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>>>>Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?
>>>
>>>
>>>Are you really that stupid?
>>
>>Don't leave us hanging, Brian. Why did they do it? Was the
>
> thousands
>
>>of dollars in valueable cash and prizes? Was it to gain needed
>
> exposure
>
>>for their garage band? Was it because they're masochists? Was it
>>because they're a couple of clueless boobs?
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
>
> Because even bad publicity is publicity.

I'm sure the Roger Wisemans and Todd O'Whatshisfaces of the world
applaud your view.

Dave K8MN

1121. Re: IT WILL NEVER BE YOU BITCHES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 01:42:11 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <q92dnUdW3ubv3RDfRVn-hw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108403

N9OGL wrote:

> Well Loyd, that's not me....but dumbass like you all on here can't
> grasp that concept. It does look like your work.
>
> Todd N9OGL

If everybody on here is a dumbass and you are here, doesn't that also make you a dumbass? Why don't you just just take your illiterate gay self out of here, nobody likes you, you don't like anybody, so just go away! Can you grasp that concept?

1122. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 01:45:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_ibje.3673$Lc1.1779@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108404

bb wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>
>>>If the CEO of NEXTEL had to pass a Morse Code exam to get his
>
> company
>
>>>licensed, we wouldn't have a NEXTEL.
>>
>>To quote you, "Are you really that stupid?"
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> I wondered what it would take to get you out of the woodwork again?
>
> How are those out of band Frenchmen doing these days?

There was nothing about the French in your post, Brian. Tell us how a
five word per minute code exam would have stymied the CEO of Nextel.
Nothing would have ever been accomplished if a morse test was involved.

You're almost as pathetic as Leonard.

Dave K8MN

1123. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 01:45:47 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <QL2dndOhMqPW3BDfRVn-qA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108405

N9OGL wrote:

> FUCK YOU AND YOUR LITTLE GAY BUDDIES ON HERE

No toad, unlike you, I don't have gay buddies, and please take the course "how to use the caps lock key" next semester.

Oh, I take it you can't answer even 1 of the questions? That would make you a liar on all accounts!

1124. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 01:52:38 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <dPqdnd3ke_F03xDfRVn-sg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108406

N9OGL wrote:

> FUCK YOU AQSSHOLE!!!!

AQSSHOLE? Is that a word? Is this the best you can come up with? Toad,
for the last time, I'm not gay so stop saying you want sex with me!
Do they let pedophiles such as yourself live that close to the Mother
Goose Daycare?
I also heard that you pick up your partners at the Classic Bouquet.

1125. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:00:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116554435.302735.217780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108410

I NOT GAY EITHER YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE MOTHER FUCKER!!!!!!

1126. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:00:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116554436.936873.90640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108409

I NOT GAY EITHER YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE MOTHER FUCKER!!!!!!

1127. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:00:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116554437.456071.63440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108407

I NOT GAY EITHER YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE MOTHER FUCKER!!!!!!

1128. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:00:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116554437.029525.84550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108408

I NOT GAY EITHER YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE MOTHER FUCKER!!!!!!

1129. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:00:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116554437.305592.91140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108411

I NOT GAY EITHER YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE MOTHER FUCKER!!!!!!

1130. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:00:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116554437.551719.99490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108412

I NOT GAY EITHER YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE MOTHER FUCKER!!!!!!

1131. Re: IT WILL NEVER BE YOU BITCHES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:02:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116554521.688666.227510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108413

I have more intelligents then you, you fucking kid porn lover!

1132. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:03:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116554592.101616.48830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108414

no but you LOVE your kiddy porn!!!

1133. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:21:41 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <neGdnfNAgZgq1BDfRVn-hg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108415

N9OGL wrote:

> no but you LOVE your kiddy porn!!!

Toad, you say this because? Do you have a reason to accuse me of a felony? Does freedom of speech allow you to make things up, to accuse others of being like yourself? I say you're gay because you look gay, you wear gay cloths, you posted a picture of yourself doing gay stuff, all this gives me reason to call you gay. Now that you are bringing up child porn all the time, well toad, makes me wonder why your gay mind always goes there?

1134. Re: IT WILL NEVER BE YOU BITCHES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:26:25 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <n4-dnXPLn8BI1xDfRVn-ow@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108416

N9OGL wrote:

> I have more intelligents then you, you fucking kid porn lover!
^^^^^^^^^^^
I bet you do, whatever intelligents are?
I'm glad that we have gotten you away from being obsessed with men,
now we have to work on your obsession with child porn.

This is in answer to this post?

N9OGL wrote:

> Well Loyd, that's not me....but dumbass like you all on here can't
> grasp that concept. It does look like your work.
>
> Todd N9OGL

If everybody on here is a dumbass and you are here, doesn't that also
make you a dumbass? Why don't you just just take your illiterate gay
self out of here, nobody likes you, you don't like anybody, so just go
away! Can you grasp that concept?

1135. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:29:23 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <2q2dnfJaLuAb1hDfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108417

N9OGL wrote:

> I NOT GAY EITHER YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE MOTHER FUCKER!!!!!!

Typing it 6 times in caps doesn't change things but it does show how
illiterate you are. I bet you are crying like the gay boy you are toad.

1136. Obsessed Gay Lid pole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:45:06 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <efudne84z96p0hDfRVn-uw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108418

Who want's the gay toad to stay here?

1137. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:48:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116557295.912729.174060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108419

oh, wants the matter, you went to steve's house and wouldn't give you a knob job :(go back to downloading your kiddy porn.

1138. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 02:54:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116557670.181351.77460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108420

hey I know people that don't look gay but are....and I didn't post that picture you fucking kiddy lover...your porbably the one that post it....looking for little boys huh? there are no attribute of a person being gay, only a dumb fuck like you would profile people...go back to looking for little boys you fucking asshole.

Todd N9OGL

1139. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 04:01:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116561688.429051.160150@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108422

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> >>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>>Just answer the question, please.
> >>>>
> >>>> No. :-)
> >>>
> >>>Then why should I answer any of yours?
> >>
> >>There is no reason so don't.
> >>
> >>"Don't Feed the Animaks"
> >>
> >>
> >>>>That's simply not true, Len.
> >>>>
> >>>>You're just making up bullshit. Typical jackass behavior for
> >>>>you.
> >>>>
> >>>> Tsk, tsk, very Very VERY WRONG, Jimmie Noserve.
> >>>
> >>>No Len. Would you prefer if I called you a diminutive nickname?
> >>
> >>Probably.
> >>
> >>"Jackass" doesn't really do him justice James. Jackasses are gentle
> >>little guys, he's more like a big horse's ass.
> >>
> >>w3rv
> >
> >
> > ...with "real" military experience. Got any?
>
> W3RV has real amateur radio experience. Do you suppose Len has any?
>
> Our Leonard certainly has more time-in-grade as a horse's patoot.

Bofum actually. Between the artifact "battle-scarred" RTTY repeater
babysitter and his mindless T5 shipping dock carton-kicking syncophant

. . yeah, they both make the "grade". Plop-plop.

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

1140. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 04:30:47 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116563447.781510.325040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108423

bb wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > >>Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > > >>Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Are you really that stupid?
> >
> > Don't leave us hanging, Brian. Why did they do it? Was the
> thousands
> > of dollars in valueable cash and prizes? Was it to gain needed
> exposure
> > for their garage band? Was it because they're masochists? Was it
> > because they're a couple of clueless boobs?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
>
> Because even bad publicity is publicity.

AHA! There it is! Completely explains why you and what's-his-face the warped old bench tech from LA continue to post your off-the-wall inanities to this NG.

Publicity at *any* cost.

Got it.

Carry on.

w3rv

1141. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 05:37:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116567422.455440.75730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Thurs,May 19 2005 11:10 pm

>Poor Len, just can't keep on subject.

Dannyboy, I was ON the subject. :-)

Sigh...I'll have to repeat what I said...

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1116441719.072292.75800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm
>>
>>>Chad Wahls wrote:
>>>>"Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>>>> > Hi gang!
>>
>>
>>>> When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign,
where
>>>> these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call
sign in
>>>> there?
>>
>>>What you see on TV is taped and edited. They cut out things like the
ID.
>>
>> Good old Jimmie Noserve jumping in as the "expert" on
>> TV broadcast production (years of work in that...). :-)
>>
>> When is the actual NBC "Tonight Show" taped, Jimmie?
>> At what time? How long before the NBC TV network
>> feed?
>>
>> You've been there, right? Waiting in line along
>> Alameda with the other tourists? Or do you have
>> "insider information" again? :-)
>>
>>>FCC requires that you ID at the *end* of a QSO, and every 10 minutes
if
>>>the QSO goes on longer than 10 minutes. The clip is only 3 minutes
long.
>>
>> Oh, my, yes, I'm sure the producers were most extremely

>> careful to NEVER break any amateur radio regulations!
>> [they might ALL lose their JOBS over such a gaffe!] :-)
>>
>> =======

The "Tonight Show" is ENTERTAINMENT. It isn't a journalism show. It isn't a documentary show. With the new production company featuring Jay Leno, the tone of the "Tonight Show" has taken on a sharper edge, more biting, as compared to De Cordova's company with the late, great Johnny Carson. Leno likes to twit fads and ignorant people.

A current fad is "TXT-ing," that of sending short text messages over cell phones having that feature. It's a big thing among teeners all over the country now. [the USA has over 100 million cell phone subscriberships]

Cell phones were never designed or implemented with text messaging in mind. They were done to extend the wired VOICE telephone. However, as the original analog cell phone converted to digital, a limited form of text messaging was possible and that was incorporated into subscribers' sets. Teeners picked up on that as a form of "very personal, like 'private talk' communication" that grown-ups and others couldn't immediately overhear. That 'privacy' thing is a big hit with teeners. ;-)

"Morse code" is the first BIG instant-communications means and debuted 160 years ago. The On-Off signalling of "morse code" was the ONLY PRACTICAL means of using radio for communications, demonstrated 109 years ago. [most people are AWARE of "morse code" through the entertainment media and most have never experienced first-hand any live communications with that...they know it is OLD and obsolete for practical communications]

It is a no-brainer that old, obsolete "morse code" is faster than the new fad of "TXT-ing" messages via a cell phone. The "demonstration contest" on the Leno show was a SETUP to deliberately POKE FUN at the fad of "TXT-ing." It wasn't anything else...just one more item of "humor" a la the Leno company to get the audience amused by poking fun at current fads.

Ham radio morsemen Lifestylers want to wave flags about "morse code" and how triumphant was their "success" in that show-biz SETUP that was a guaranteed pratfall by the "TXT-ers." That short Entertainment bit was NEVER intended as a true contest.

For a REAL contest, live, [rehearsed or not] manual communications means, pit an experienced typist pair using old, surplus 60 WPM teleprinters against a pair of experienced morsemen using either pencil-and-paper or manual typewriters to record received "morse code." Use "over-the-shoulder" live TV camera angles to show the "incoming" text for the audience...and to show the errors made by the morsemen.

Will TV Entertainment shows ever consider such? No. There's no real Entertainment value except for a very small niche-interest fraction of an audience. This is less of a show-biz SETUP than modern "TXT-ing" versus 160-year-old manual "morse code." Audiences won't have anything to LAUGH at. Teleprinters are going to win out eventually since their throughput is sustainable and less error-prone than manual "morse code" means.

The radio world has LONG AGO done the "contest" bit in actual practice and found "morse code" to be the LOSER. The rest of the radio world has GIVEN UP on "morse code" for communications. The die-hard fanatics in amateur radio still cling to "morse code" as "good" or even "best" because they are still lost in the fantasy and mythology of olden times.

That's not SHOW-BIZ, Dannyboy, that's REALITY. Show's over. Get a grip. Go home.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1142. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 05:40:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116567630.490785.144800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "bb" on Thurs,May 19 2005 3:05 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > > Poor lil Stebie doesn't understand that "AF" is a common
>> > > abbreviation for AIR FORCE.
>> >
>> > Steve can't be wrong.
>>
>> Sure he can...and sometimes is...but not this time.
>
>Yes, this time. Again.

Tsk. I think Stebie done tried to use "AF" meaning
"armed forces." He be confused. Not the first time.

>> > > Stebie has the "proof in his wallet." :-)
>> >
>> > Careful, now. Steve is a Captain in the CAP. He might try to
have you
>> > do puh ups.
>>
>> "puh ups"...?!?!
>>
>> Are you having a hard time finishing what you start, Brain?
>
>I soft-stroked the "s." It taht a Federal Crime? Homosexual
Behavio[u]r?
>
>How's your "rank" holding up?

Stebie is as rank as they come.

>> > > Stebie will probably babble about "calling the VA" for
>> > > "verification" on that.
>> >
>> > Steve either has documentation or he doesn't. And if he doesn't,
his
>> > words come back to haunt him once more. No documentation means
you
>> > never did it.
>>
>> No haunting, Brain.

>
>Hi! Then produce your documentation.

Tsk. Stebie doesn't have any. Claims, brags, hot air, yes.

NO PROOF.

>> The "haunting", here, is you refusing to act on the information
>> provided you.
>
>I don't act on "hot" tips.
>
>Produce authentic documentation.

Stebie no got them. Tsk.

>> Dates...times...places...callsigns....
>
>Yes! Authentic documentation with dates, times, places and names.
>
>Got any?

He no got.

>> You know...those little things called "facts"...(I know that's
a
>> new concept for you...deal with it though...)
>
>Exactly!!! Facts. Got any?

No proof. Zero. Zip. Nada.

>> > > Meanwhile, back to the Extra Double Standard newsgroup where
>> > > mighty macho morsemen can call their opponents "jackass" and
>> > > "horse's ass" and "penis head" (in Yiddish) but their
>> > > opponents must be oh-so-polite and civil back to them. That
>> > > kind of talk is "approved" by Jimmie Noserve...
>> >
>> > Jim served in "other" ways.
>>
>> You and Lennie brag about having been Veterans, however you seem
>> to have a problem with understanding exactly what being a veteran is
>> and what it stands for.
>>
>> The last five Presidents of the United States seem to have had
a
>> clear vision of volunteerism...and also about "service".

In all of Title 47 C.F.R., the term "service" is a regulatory
one denoting the type and kind of radio activity being
regulated. Stebie doesn't understand that. He thinks that
the amateur service is some kind of "national service" or
"service to the country." :-)

In that case, the Citizens Band Radio SERVICE will have all radio
amateurs outnumbered by a large ratio. :-)

>Indeed. Jim could have volunteered to serve in the Unites States
>Military. He had other more important things to do like pursue a
>degree in engineering, and looking out for himself. And the military
>still needs engineers, even if they are civilians. Go to
>WWW.USAJOBS.OPM.GOV and select "Middle East."

Doesn't matter. Jimmie Noserve is an EXPERT on the military.
He KNOWS how military men feel/act/etc. Jimmie can "correct"
any veterans' "mistakes." He's read several books on the subject...

>> Guess they shudda consulted with you two first, eh...?!?!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>I'm good with volunteerism in the U.S. armed services. Tell Jim to
let
>us know how it's going when he gets there.

Now THAT will be INTERESTING! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1143. Re: More Proof That Steven J Robeson K4YZ Is A Habitual Liar!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 05:53:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116568425.625300.164330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108426

From: "bb" on Thurs,May 19 2005 3:26 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> > > I made NO threats, "thinly veiled" or otherwise about ahrm
to
>> > > anyone or their wives...
>> >
>> > Your words, Steve, ~A person only has to feel threatened for a
threat
>> > to have ocurred.
>>
>> In this case, I think the "threat" is your fear of what your
WIFE
>> is going to think about the silliness you conduct in this forum.
>>
>> Momma swing a nasty rolling pin, does she...?!?!
>
>Hi! You tell me.

Tsk, tsk. Stebie, at a loss for anything else, MUST bring in
WIVES to the non-discussion.

He attempts humiliation and shame of others, a mild form of
Personal Attack mode that is supposed to be "non-threatening."
All he has is a NON-reply and a NON-threat.

>> > Unless you include the harm that MIGHT come to
>> > > YOU if I were to mail some of your posts to your spouses and let
them
>> > > see how you act in public.
>> >
>> > Don't mail anything to my home. If you do, the Postal Inspector
will
>> > open the package.
>>
>> Sure they will.
>>
>> And the WORST thing they might find are hard copies of your
lies,
>> deceit and public misconduct, Brian.
>>
>> And by the way, the "Postal Inspector" doesn't "inspect" FedEx,

>> DHL, UPS, etc...
>
>Rest assured that any local authority will do the opening. Send
>nothing to me or addressed to my home.

Stebie has elevated the "threat" level. He thinks. :-)

Tsk. He should go back to "signing" his posts with "Steve and
the Boys" (as if he had a "gang" of anything but his split
personalities).

>> Truth.
>>
>> You should try it some time.
>
>Try sending some my way and I will.

Poor Stebie. In his world, whatever he says/writes/shouts IS
"truth." Reality and the rest of the human society doesn't
think so.

>> > > Unaccpetable to YOU since I frequently point out your
errors,
>> > > mistakes and blatant lies and have no reservation to say so.
>> >
>> > You lie a lot.
>>
>> Nope.
>
>Right. You lie a whole lot.

Tsk. It's a good thing he isn't into real estate. He would have
been arrested for fraud long ago with his "lots." :-)

>> I agree that you don't LIKE what I say...Mostly because what I
>> ahve to say shreds your silly rants to bits, but that doesn't make
it
>> lies.
>
>Still waiting on that "authentic" MARS documentation. Got any?

He doesn't "ahve" any authentic documentation. <shrug>

>You know what you say of people without "authentic" documentation?

PCTA Extra Double Standard. QED and deja vu. :-)

>Your words, your rules, your embarassment.

The PCTA Extra Double Standard makes it all "different."

>> > > Sorry it's annoying to you. I've told you before if you'd
>> stop
>> > > lying people would stop calling you a liar.

>> >
>> > Speaking of lying, where is your "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa
>> documentation?
>>
>> The abbreviation is "ANCOIC", Brain, and it's waiting for you
to
>> go first...Afterall, I've had questions in front of you for YEARS.
>
>But I've already been labeled a liar.
>
>So you produce your authentic documentation or forever be known as a
>liar and a gay man.

Stebie doesn't "ahve" any documentation. Because he doesn't,
he has to go into his chicken dance all around producing the
documentation. QED once again.

>> Unlike YOU, however, I've given specific dates, times, details,
>> locations, etc...More than enough for any reasonable person to make
a
>> solid third party determination.
>
>And I have given such information previously. Additionally, had you
>had a QSO with me, you'd have my QSL card with exact GMT date/time and
>frequency hand written by me on it. Unfortunately for you, you were
>unable to make the trip.

Stebie keeps "tripping" over his own writings in here.

>Since you didn't get one of my cards, you have labeled me a liar and a
>gay man.

Stebie is an emotionally volatile Sore Loser. Poor baby.

>So it is your turn to provide authentic documentation of your alleged
>MARS appointment to "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa, or will will forever be
>known as a liar and a gay man.

Sounds fair enough to me.

Tsk. I'll bet Stebie doesn't have name/rank of the NCOIC he
was "assistant" to. :-) Or of the OIC in charge of the
MARS station. Or of the equipment at that mighty MARS station.

>> You, on the otherhand, continue to be devious, deceitful and
>> deceptive.
>>
>> In otherwords...a liar.
>
>Got papers? No papers = LIAR!
>
>Your words, your rules, your embarassment.

Stebie hoist hisself on own petard. :-)

>> > Send nothing to my home. You've been notified. Best of Luck.
>>
>> You obviously don't think Mrs. Brain has much of a sense of
humor.
>> Your tone and response leads me to believe I am closer to THAT
truth
>> than you'd care I be...
>>
>> Wonder what she'd think about you trying to keep the truth from
>> her?
>>
>> Wonder what she'd think about finding out that her husband has
an
>> alter ego she doesn't know about, Brain...?!?!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Hi! Multi-Steve says other people have alter-ego's. Hi, hi!>
>
>Projection? Ayup.

With all his multiple personalities working hard, he has us
outnumbered, Brian! :-)

But, psychological projection he DOES do in here. He can't
admit it without "losing face" so he waltzes around every
claim, trying to blame others for his own faults. He can't
help himself at this stage.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1144. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 05:55:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116568554.750090.25650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108427

From: "bb" on Thurs,May 19 2005 3:29 pm

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > > KC8GXW previously wrote:
>> > >> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
>> > >> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
>> > >> messaging team and a Morse code team.
>> > >> The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken
>
>> > >> Miller K6CTW.
>> > >
>> > > They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
>> > > text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his
hand
>> > > (signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
>> > > And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
>> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> > Pretty much a no brainer that the text messaging would lose.
>>
>> Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?
>
>Are you really that stupid?

I don't think Jimmy Noserve is "stupid" exactly. He's so
brainwashed by amateur morsemanship that he can't come to
any intellectual decision...for him there is NO decision to
make. :-)

Some just can't think out of their little box. It's like the
retired engineer who came on Johnny Carson's "Tonight Show"
with a powered unicycle. One-wheeled cycle, powered by a
small gas engine used in lawn mowers. Johnny had some good-
natured fun with the guest and, when the guest had trouble
starting the gas engine (with a pull rope), Johnny got in and
pulled several times. The rope BROKE. All kinds of laughter
from the audience. [most "knew it couldn't be done" years
before the Segway debuted...]

Carson invited the guest back later...this time with a new
pull-start rope. The electronically stabilized powered
unicycle did indeed work and the guest tooled around the

small stage to well-deserved applause. This might have been one of the last shows of "Tonight" in New York City before moving to Burbank...don't have an exact air date on it.

So, all the amateur morsemen "knew" that morse code would "triumph" in this non-contest twit of the TXT-ing fad. :-)

Indeed, all the amateur morsemen in here do the cheering and "morse-patriotism" thing because they, too, have been brainwashed into thinking that morsemanship is "real operating" in radio. They will leap and embrace the slightest thing that makes morse "better" than any other mode. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1145. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 10:32:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116585154.799645.169130@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108428

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Thurs,May 19 2005 3:29 pm
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> > news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> > > KC8GXW previously wrote:
> >> > >> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
> >> > >> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
> >> > >> messaging team and a Morse code team.
> >> > >> The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and
Ken
> >
> >> > >> Miller K6CTW.
> >> > >
> >> > > They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
> >> > > text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his
> hand
> >> > > (signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
> >> > > And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
> >> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >> > Pretty much a no brainer that the text messaging would lose.
> >>
> >> Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?
> >
> >Are you really that stupid?
>
> I don't think Jimmy Noserve is "stupid" exactly.

Rest trashed.

Nothing peritnent...Nothing new...Nothing rational.

Same old LennieRant.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1146. More Brian Burke Deceit. More Evidence of His Dishonesty.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 10:52:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116586339.254289.284660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108429

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > I made NO threats, "thinly veiled" or otherwise about ahrm
> to
> > > > > anyone or their wives...
> > > >
> > > > Your words, Steve, ~A person only has to feel threatened for a
> threat
> > > > to have ocurred.
> > >
> > > In this case, I think the "threat" is your fear of what your
> WIFE
> > > is going to think about the silliness you conduct in this forum.
> > >
> > > Momma swing a nasty rolling pin, does she...?!?!
> >
> > Hi! You tell me.

Why?

She's YOUR wife. You told me you didn't want me anywhere near the
family, Brain.

Confused again?

> > And by the way, the "Postal Inspector" doesn't "inspect"
FedEx,
> > DHL, UPS, etc...
>
> Rest assured that any local authority will do the opening. Send
> nothing to me or addressed to my home.

I shall if I deem it appropriate to do so.

Or do you have an attorney that will receive your mail?

Maybe the Boy Scout council would accept it for you? Of course
THEY would have to open it to see what was in it. Wouldn't that be a
surpirse to them to see what kind of character ou really are...?!?!

Why are you so scared to have the "locals" see YOUR words,
Brian...?!?!

> > Truth.
> >
> > You should try it some time.
>
> Try sending some my way and I will.

You get it all the time.

Denial on YOUR part is not MY problem.

> > > > Unaccpetable to YOU since I frequently point out your
> errors,
> > > > mistakes and blatant lies and have no reservation to say so.
> > >
> > > You lie a lot.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Right. You lie a whole lot.

Nope.

> > I agree that you don't LIKE what I say...Mostly because what I
> > ahve to say shreds your silly rants to bits, but that doesn't make
it
> > lies.
>
> Still waiting on that "authentic" MARS documentation. Got any?

Yep. And yo STILL have the facts peraining to my prior
membership.

> You know what you say of people without "authentic" documentation?
>
> Your words, your rules, your embarassment.

I'm not embarrassed, Brain.

I gave you exact details. More than YOU have ever given on ANY
topic.

YOU remain an unrepentant liar and deceiver.

Not MY problem, Brain.

> > > > Sorry it's annoying to you. I've told you before if you'd
> > stop
> > > > lying people would stop calling you a liar.
> > >
> > > Speaking of lying, where is your "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa
> > documentation?
> >
> > The abbreviation is "ANCOIC", Brain, and it's waiting for you
to
> > go first...Afterall, I've had questions in front of you for YEARS.

>
> But I've already been labeled a liar.

Not "labelled" a liar, Brain...

PROVEN a liar.

> So you produce your authentic documentation or forever be known as a
> liar and a gay man.

Be my guest, Brain.

I'll just include THAT little bit in the package.

> > Unlike YOU, however, I've given specific dates, times,
details,
> > locations, etc...More than enough for any reasonable person to make
a
> > solid third party determination.
>
> And I have given such information previously. Additionally, had you
> had a QSO with me, you'd have my QSL card with exact GMT date/time
and
> frequency hand written by me on it. Unfortunately for you, you were
> unable to make the trip.

I've made the trip to ALL of the places EXCEPT Somalia that you've
purported to have been, Brain.

And had I "worked" you while you were IN Somalia, it wouldn't be
worth anything more than a curiostiy.

You were not properly authorized to operate there. So the effort
would have been wasted.

> Since you didn't get one of my cards, you have labeled me a liar and
a
> gay man.

I've never called you gay, Brain.

Please site the post wherein you thing I said "your're gay".

> So it is your turn to provide authentic documentation of your alleged
> MARS appointment to "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa, or will will forever be
> known as a liar and a gay man.

Hey, Brain, Like you said...You're already known for lying...

I wouldn't expect you to change anything today.

> > You, on the otherhand, continue to be devious, deceitful and
> > deceptive.
> >
> > In otherwords...a liar.

>
> Got papers? No papers = LIAR!

Nope.

> Your words, your rules, your embarassment.

I'm not embarrased, Brain.

You're not even telling the truth about 'documentation".

I've asked you for FACTS before, Brain...Some tidbit of
information that could be independently verified.

YOU are the one stressing "documentation"...Not me.

You're welcome to site the post wherein I said otherwise...As a
matter of fact, we'll put all this demand for 'documentation' right
where it belongs...In YOUR lap.

You say I insist on "papers"...Prove it.

> > > > > > > You address it by pretending it doesn't exist. You lie
to
> > > > > yourself,
> > > > > > > and you lie to people on RRAP. Who else do you lie to?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No one.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You're lying again!
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Send nothing to my home. You've been notified. Best of Luck.
> >
> > You obviously don't think Mrs. Brain has much of a sense of
> humor.
> > Your tone and response leads me to believe I am closer to THAT
truth
> > than you'd care I be...
> >
> > Wonder what she'd think about you trying to keep the truth
from
> > her?
> >
> > Wonder what she'd think about finding out that her husband has
> an
> > alter ego she doesn't know about, Brain...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Hi! Multi-Steve says other people have alter-ego's.
>

> Projection? Ayup.

Nope.

Just wondering why you're so scared of the idea that your spouse
would see YOUR words in this forum...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1147. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 10:54:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116586486.087285.298620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108430

N9OGL wrote:
> Jimmy, there's a BIG difference between what he did and what I'm doing.

We'll give you credit for not jamming local EMS, fire and Police channels.

But not much else. I personally consider your shows to be nothing more than RF-SPAM.

Steve, K4YZ

1148. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 10:58:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116586734.332870.114230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108431

N9OGL wrote:
> And you act like a ###hole......

I act nothing like you.

> #### you

See...there you go soliciting sexual favors from a person of the
same gender.

Guess Jim >>IS<< right...You ARE gay.

Steve, K4YZ

1149. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 11:00:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116586825.602806.277430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108432

N9OGL wrote:
> OH STEVE'S ##### IS ON HERE.

Your mama joined RRAP?

When?

Steve, K4YZ

1150. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 11:03:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116586980.027681.23600@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108433

Dave Heil wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
>
> >>(UNSNIP)...all that "pirate" I supposed to have done, was done
> >>10 years ago you ####ing ###hole.
> >
> >
> > So what? You did it...or so you say...You broke the law. Now
> > it's back on you...Ya shouldn't have done it in the first place,
and
> > shouldn't ahve been bragging about it in public in the second.
>
> Todd is playing fast and loose with the truth again, Steve. Google
up
> the two-part Todd quotes I posted here some time back and look at the

> dates. They aren't ten years old. They're much more recent.

Hey, Dave...

Yeah...I know...Todd, just like Brian and Lennie can't keep his
rants straight.

(I almost screwed up and said ...'can't keep his facts straight',
but that would have presumed he's uttered some...)

Hey...How'd ya like that Leno...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1151. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 11:08:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116587302.754296.54680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108434

N9OGL wrote:
> YEAH THE MAJORITY OF THEM ARE 5 YEARS OLD....AND AS I STATED BEFORE,
IT
> IS BETWEEN ME AND THE FCC....

Wrong, Todd.

It's between you, the FCC, and ANY United States citizen who cares
to comment. With extrememly few exceptions, any/everything that occurs
on 'civil' radio spectrum is the concern of EVERY citizen.

> NOT ME, THE FCC AND TWO ###HOLES NAMED DAVE AND STEVE

Good...because there's NOT any ###holes named Dave OR Steve here.

> ,,,AND AGAIN ###HOLE EVEN IF IT'S HAS FIVE YEAR THE FCC
> HASN'T DONE ANYTHING AND WON'T BECAUSE IT WILL OPEN A BIG CAN OF
WORMS
> THEY DON'T WANT TO OPEN.....SO YOU AND STEVE COMPLAIN ALL YOU
> WANT....NOTHING WILL COME OF IT....

It's already happening, Todd.

The file's opened, and your name's in it.

Along with a fistful of your past proclamations of your
wrongdoing.

Unless they come after you sooner, good luck at your next renewal.

Steve, K4YZ

1152. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 11:09:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116587390.258592.63010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108435

N9OGL wrote:
> YEAH, POSTING MY WORKS ON HERE WITHOUT MY PERMISSION.....

"works"...?!?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

1153. Re: toads family business on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 11:12:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116587549.430451.41310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108436

N9OGL wrote:
> #### YOU!!!

Way to go, Todd!

Yesterday morning you were only at "40%" of your post using the "F"
word!

You managed to make it 50% today!

I bet your parents are proud of you!

Steve, K4YZ

1154. Re: IT WILL NEVER BE YOU BITCHES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 11:17:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116587852.302131.77740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108437

N9OGL wrote:
> I have more intelligents then you...(SNIP)

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

R O T F L M A O ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

1155. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 11:23:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116588219.424136.62460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > The last five Presidents of the United States seem to have had
a
> > clear vision of volunteerism...and also about "service".
>
> Indeed. Jim could have volunteered to serve in the Unites States
> Military. He had other more important things to do like pursue a
> degree in engineering, and looking out for himself. And the military
> still needs engineers, even if they are civilians. Go to
> WWW.USAJOBS.OPM.GOV and select "Middle East."
>
> > Guess they shudda consulted with you two first, eh...?!?!
>
> I'm good with volunteerism in the U.S. armed services.

No, you're not

> Tell Jim to let
> us know how it's going when he gets there.

You swore to uphold and defend the Constitution of the United
States.

Obviously you have a problem, however, with people who live their
lives within that same Constitution.

So...was your Oath as a member of the Armed Forces just some words
you recited in order to get a federal job? Sure looks that way.

Steve, K4YZ

1156. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 12:17:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116591477.306260.219950@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108439

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > > Brian Burke does the same thing. So I ignore him.
> > >
> > > That only became a problem after you stated that a Morse Code
Exam
> > > would pose a barrier to Morse Code use and I brought it to the
> front.
> >
> > And you STILL haven't explained yourself or made your point.
>
> No explanation necessary. Jim made the NCTA Point!

What point? There's only you and Lennie going "SEE! SEE!"

> > > Now you call people names and swear a lot.
> >
> > As opposed to YOUR swearing and YOUR name calling?
>
> I don't snip out a person's legitimate callsign because it "offends,"
> then turn around and call someone an ###hole.

You just skip to the profanities.

Now I see the difference.

> > Oh..And not to forget...Your habitual lying and deceit...???
>
> How can I forget that. You've got that corner locked up tight.

No...

I have YOU locked tight in that corner...

Well...No...On second thought, YOU have you locked in that corner.

> > Lessee....430....
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You give yourself way too much credit. It was only 29 when I lost
> count at the end of the treee weeks.

"treee weeks"...????

Celebrating "Arbor Day", are you?

And "29" what?

Steve, K4YZ

1157. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 12:19:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ialr8198rc40a5e11r3d4crqcmpkdi70oa@4ax.com>
Source record: 108440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 19 May 2005 16:40:54 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>
>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:20050519102026.669$rk@newsreader.com...
>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> > On 18 May 2005 22:03:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > >I can't imagine ever going back to dial-up unless I end up broke in
>> > >my old age. Rock-on.
>> >
>> > Don't worry Steveo, by the time you are in your "old age" a broadband
>> > video/voice/data line will be as common as standard phone service is
>> > today.
>> >
>> > Dave
>> > "Sandbagger"
>> >
>> Yea it will probably be part of my wrist watch, and a keyboard will be
>> a thing of the past..
>
>
>I always wanted a real Dick Tracy 2 way wrist tv. It is close ... :)

Its closer than you think. Once we create a WIFI-like wireless data
network to blanket most areas, this will become a reality. Cellular
can already do this to some extent, but the cellular network cannot
handle the increased bandwidth required for full motion video.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1158. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 12:22:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116591766.349622.198810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108441

bb wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:

> > "Jack###" doesn't really do him justice James. Jack###es are gentle
> > little guys, he's more like a big horse's ###.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> ...with "real" military experience. Got any?

I love it.

A rear-area weather-guesser chiding others on 'military service".

Steve, K4YZ

1159. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 12:34:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116592452.870126.236460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm

> The "Tonight Show" is ENTERTAINMENT.

Sure glad we have you here to remind us of that.

> Leno likes to twit fads and ignorant people.

As opposed to YOU who IS a twit and an ignorant person.
>
> A current fad is "TXT-ing," that of sending short text
> messages over cell phones having that feature.

> It is a no-brainer that old, obsolete "morse code" is
> faster than the new fad of "TXT-ing" messages via a cell
> phone. The "demonstration contest" on the Leno show
> was a SETUP to deliberately POKE FUN at the fad of
> "TXT-ing." It wasn't anything else...just one more
> item of "humor" a la the Leno company to get the
> audience amused by poking fun at current fads.

Surrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrre it was.....

I guess the fact that the Morse guys did it faster and with 100%
accuracy doesn't account for anything, eh?

> Ham radio morsemen Lifestylers want to wave flags about
> "morse code" and how triumphant was their "success" in
> that show-biz SETUP that was a guaranteed pratfall
> by the "TXT-ers." That short Entertainment bit was
> NEVER intended as a true contest.

I >>K N E W<< that Lennie would try to talk his way out of this
one...!

> For a REAL contest, live, [rehearsed or not] manual
> communications means, pit an experienced typist pair
> using old, surplus 60 WPM teleprinters against a pair
> of experienced morsemen using either pencil-and-paper
> or manual typewriters to record received "morse code."
> Use "over-the-shoulder" live TV camera angles to show
> the "incoming" text for the audience...and to show
> the errors made by the morsemen.

They didn't do "over the shoulder" video on Leno, Lennie, however
the Morse guys apparently did 100% copy.

I was able to hear 90% from TV audio, and the receiving guy
apparently got it 100% right.

> The radio world has LONG AGO done the "contest" bit in
> actual practice and found "morse code" to be the
> LOSER. The rest of the radio world has GIVEN UP on
> "morse code" for communications. The die-hard
> fanatics in amateur radio still cling to "morse code"
> as "good" or even "best" because they are still lost in
> the fantasy and mythology of olden times.

"fantasy"...?!?! "mythology"...?!?!

The Leno program shot a BIG hole in THOSE adjective for you,
Lennie.

> That's not SHOW-BIZ, Jimmie, that's REALITY. Show's
> over. Get a grip. Go home.

Lame Loser Lennie...Barking orders again...

Mad when no one listens or heeds him....

Maybe because he's wrong so often...???

Steve, K4YZ

1160. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 13:11:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xmlje.3504$X92.644@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> I guess the fact that the Morse guys did it faster and with 100%
> accuracy doesn't account for anything, eh?
>

Doesn't account for much!

It's kind of like a speed contest between a turtle and a snail --- mildly interesting, but inconsequential when comparing them to the speed of a cheetah.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

1161. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 13:15:42 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <2qlje.4640$M36.1506@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108444

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1116568554.750090.25650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> > >> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
>>> > >> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell
>>> > >> phone text messaging team and a Morse code team.
>>> > >> The Morse code team will consist of Chip
>>> > >> Margelli K7JA and Ken Miller K6CTW
>>>>>>
>>> > > They utterly smoked the text messaging folks.
>>> > > The look on the text-message guy's face when the
>>> > > receiving Morse op put up his hand (signaling) that
>>> > > he had the message complete) was priceless.
>>> > > And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
>>> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>> >
>>> > (Bill K2UNK): Pretty much a no brainer that
>>> > the text messaging would lose.
>>>
>>> (Jim N2EY): Then why did the text-message
>>> folks agree to the contest?
>>
>>(bb wrote): Are you really that stupid?

> (Len wrote): I don't think Jimmy Noserve is "stupid" exactly.
> He's so
> brainwashed by amateur morsemanship that he can't come to
> any intellectual decision...for him there is NO decision to
> make. :-) Some just can't think out of their little box.

(SNIP of Unicycle story)

> So, all the amateur morsemen "knew" that morse code would
> "triumph" in this non-contest twit of the TXT-ing fad. :-)

Len,
I don't consider myself an "amateur morseman" but I
could see that morse would win out over current text
messaging via cellphone. Now if the input device for
text messaging had been a full keyboard, then I'd expect
the text messaging to win out as I belive records for
typing/keyboarding (100+ WPM I think) do exceed
the morse record (70+ per someone else's post).

> Indeed, all the amateur morsemen in here do the cheering
> and "morse-patriotism" thing because they, too, have been
> brainwashed into thinking that morsemanship is "real

> operating" in radio.

Sorry Len, I can't agree with your statements here.
Like it or not, morse operating IS real operating radio...
just as driving my antique cars is real automobile driving.
Is morse commonplace outside of ham radio? No
it isn't, but that does not make the use of morse by
hams any less "operating radio" then any other mode.

Given that you (Len) believe morse is NOT operating
radio, would it be your desire to see morse banned
as a mode of use by amateurs?

> They (amateur morsemen) will leap and embrace the
> slightest thing that makes morse "better" than any other
> mode. :-)

I don't see anything in the morse win over text
messaging that suggests that. The morse win over
text messaging was a specific comparison only.
The win did not prove nor did I see any amateur
in this newsgroup suggest that the win showed
that morse was "better than any other mode."

Yes, I've been around
this newsgroup long enough to know that there is
a handful (or at least was at one time) of hams that
might have held such "morse is better than any other
mode" perspective, but I think the issue has
ckarified significantly in recent years to the point that
the issue is the TEST and only the test for most
hams.

As such, I applaud the morse win over Text
messaging because it was a good opportunity to
get some publicity for ham radio. Will text messaging
always be second (speed wise) to morse...who knows,
but without a full keyboard for entry, I suspect it
will be...but maybe someday there will be telepathic
input of text at which point all bets are off :-) :-)

Cheers and congrats again to the morse winners.
Bill K2UNK

1162. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 13:30:17 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <JDlje.4886$uR4.592@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:xmlje.3504$X92.644@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>> I guess the fact that the Morse guys did it faster and
>> with 100% accuracy doesn't account for anything, eh?
>
> Doesn't account for much!
> It's kind of like a speed contest between a turtle and a snail --- mildly
> interesting, but inconsequential when comparing them to the speed of a
> cheetah.
> de Hans, K0HB

Hans has it nailed pretty much. The value of the Leno Sjow contest was the publicity for ham radio. Beyond that, it was just a mildly interesting win for the hams. Other than that, what else does the morse vs text messaging contest win "account for"?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1163. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 13:47:47 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <HfqdnaqPI7tkmxPfRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108446

Bill Sohl wrote:

> Given that you (Len) believe morse is NOT operating
> radio, would it be your desire to see morse banned
> as a mode of use by amateurs?

The reason that lennieboy believes Morse is not operating radio is
because he can't do it.

1164. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 18:43:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116614599.247836.239080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108447

well steve it will be awhile because i've already had a renewal and
nothing happened......I think your blowing smoke.....

Todd N9OGL

1165. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 21:20:18 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116624018.830060.126520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108448

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Thurs,May 19 2005 3:29 pm
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> > news:1116096562.624285.303310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> > > KC8GXW previously wrote:
> >> > >> May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature
> >> > >> a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text
> >> > >> messaging team and a Morse code team.
> >> > >> The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and
Ken
> >
> >> > >> Miller K6CTW.
> >> > >
> >> > > They utterly smoked the text messaging folks. The look on the
> >> > > text-message guy's face when the receiving Morse op put up his
> hand
> >> > > (signaling that he had the message complete) was priceless.
> >> > > And the Morse ops weren't even going that fast...
> >> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >> > Pretty much a no brainer that the text messaging would lose.
> >>
> >> Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?
> >
> >Are you really that stupid?
>
> I don't think Jimmy Noserve is "stupid" exactly.

Gee, thanks, Len....;-)

> He's so
> brainwashed by amateur morsemanship that he can't come to
> any intellectual decision...for him there is NO decision to
> make. :-)

That's simply not true, Len. Not funny either, smiley or no
smiley.

> Some just can't think out of their little box.

That's true. You're describing yourself again. Your fear of
change is showing.

> It's like the
> retired engineer who came on Johnny Carson's "Tonight Show"
> with a powered unicycle. One-wheeled cycle, powered by a
> small gas engine used in lawn mowers. Johnny had some good-
> natured fun with the guest and, when the guest had trouble
> starting the gas engine (with a pull rope), Johnny got in and
> pulled several times. The rope BROKE. All kinds of laughter
> from the audience. [most "knew it couldn't be done" years
> before the Segway debuted...]

Carson retired from "The Tonight Show" several years ago, Len. The
appearance you describe happened many years ago. Why are you still
living in the past?

btw, the Segway is neither a unicycle nor powered by a gas engine.
>
> Carson invited the guest back later...this time with a new
> pull-start rope. The electronically stabilized powered
> unicycle did indeed work and the guest tooled around the
> small stage to well-deserved applause. This might have been
> one of the last shows of "Tonight" in New York City before
> moving to Burbank...don't have an exact air date on it.

Is there a point to your story, Len?

> So, all the amateur morsemen "knew" that morse code would
> "triumph" in this non-contest twit of the TXT-ing fad. :-)

Considering that the Morse Code team beat the text-message team
in all three rehersals and on the taped show, it was kind of a
safe bet....

It really seems to bother you that the Morse Code team won, Len.
Why is that?

> Indeed, all the amateur morsemen in here do the cheering
> and "morse-patriotism" thing because they, too, have been
> brainwashed into thinking that morsemanship is "real
> operating" in radio.

That's simply not true, Len.

The truth is that using Morse Code *is* real radio operating. So is
the use of lots of other modes.

> They will leap and embrace the
> slightest thing that makes morse "better" than any other
> mode. :-)

The plain, simple fact is that the Morse Code team, using the mode
at a speed about 1/3 that of the world record, beat the *world
record holder* in text messaging every time the test was run.

I suspect that if the text message team had won, we'd never hear
the end of it from you.

--

It's really telling that some people let an established, proven technology hold them back, just because it's not brand-new and requires learning a new skill to use. Rather than learn it, they seek to change a system that has worked well for decades. They fear change, and most of all they fear the change in themselves that learning causes.

1166. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 23:02:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116630151.250535.158980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108449

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> >>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>>Just answer the question, please.
> >>>>
> >>>> No. :-)
> >>>
> >>>Then why should I answer any of yours?
> >>
> >>There is no reason so don't.
> >>
> >>"Don't Feed the Animaks"
> >>
> >>
> >>>>That's simply not true, Len.
> >>>>
> >>>>You're just making up bullshit. Typical jackass behavior for
> >>>>you.
> >>>>
> >>>> Tsk, tsk, very Very VERY WRONG, Jimmie Noserve.
> >>>
> >>>No Len. Would you prefer if I called you a diminutive nickname?
> >>
> >>Probably.
> >>
> >>"Jackass" doesn't really do him justice James. Jackasses are gentle
> >>little guys, he's more like a big horse's ass.
> >>
> >>w3rv
> >
> >
> > ...with "real" military experience. Got any?
>
> W3RV has real amateur radio experience.

Says he does.

> Do you suppose Len has any?
>
> Our Leonard certainly has more time-in-grade as a horse's patoot.

And you just might match him for "time-in-service."

1167. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 23:03:05 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <J0uje.7972$w21.5717@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108350

"Just�n K�se" <chupacabra@operamail.com> wrote in message
news:428e498e.4795867@chupacabra...
> In Message-ID:<2qlje.4640$M36.1506@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
> posted on Fri, 20 May 2005 13:15:42 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>>As such, I applaud the morse win over Text
>>messaging because it was a good opportunity to
>>get some publicity for ham radio. Will text messaging
>>always be second (speed wise) to morse...who knows,
>>but without a full keyboard for entry, I suspect it
>>will be...but maybe someday there will be telepathic
>>input of text at which point all bets are off :-) :-)
>
> If there's ever a way to do telepathic text entry to a keyboard,
> then why not just telepath it to the receiver's notepad instead?

```
Telepathic signal path loss/dissipation over distance :-) :-)

Cheers
Bill K2UNK
```

1168. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 23:08:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116630484.690334.285040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108351

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> Bofum actually. Between the artifact "battle-scarred" RTTY repeater
> babysitter and his mindless T5 shipping dock carton-kicking
syncophant
> . . yeah, they both make the "grade". Plop-plop.
>
> w3rv

"Shipping dock, carton-kicking what?" Hi, hi!

I was never a loggie!

I'm still curious about your claims of "real military experience."

Got any?

And I didn't say any on you'se guys at Dayton today.

1169. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 23:11:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116630705.129592.273890@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108352

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > > "Jack###" doesn't really do him justice James. Jack###es are
gentle
> > > little guys, he's more like a big horse's ###.
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > ...with "real" military experience. Got any?
>
> I love it.

I do too. Superior Extra's making yet more BS claims of things they've
never done.

> A rear-area weather-guesser chiding others on 'military
service".

Do detail -your- claims of your service, oh Silly Gunny.

The guys who got kicked out of boot camp for sleep-walking have more
"real military experience" than Brian Kelly/W3RV.

1170. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 23:16:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116631003.822638.324980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108353

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Brian Burke does the same thing. So I ignore him.
> > > >
> > > > That only became a problem after you stated that a Morse Code
> Exam
> > > > would pose a barrier to Morse Code use and I brought it to the
> > front.
> > >
> > > And you STILL haven't explained yourself or made your point.
> >
> > No explanation necessary. Jim made the NCTA Point!
>
> What point? There's only you and Lennie going "SEE! SEE!"

Silly Gunny, if an Extra craps in the woods, it still stinks.

> > > > Now you call people names and swear a lot.
> > >
> > > As opposed to YOUR swearing and YOUR name calling?
> >
> > I don't snip out a person's legitimate callsign because it
"offends,"
> > then turn around and call someone an ###hole.
>
> You just skip to the profanities.
>
> Now I see the difference.

Right, no double-standard "A" NCOIC.

> > > Oh..And not to forget...Your habitual lying and deceit...???
> >
> > How can I forget that. You've got that corner locked up tight.
>
> No...
>
> I have YOU locked tight in that corner...
>
> Well...No...On second thought, YOU have you locked in that
corner.

I see it differently. Much differently.

> > > Lessee....430....
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > You give yourself way too much credit. It was only 29 when I lost
> > count at the end of the treee weeks.
>
> "treee weeks"...????
>
> Celebrating "Arbor Day", are you?

"Tree" is proper radio pronunciation of "Three" on MARS nets. Hi, hi!

Best of Luck on that one.

> And "29" what?

New lies.

1171. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 23:22:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116631328.814790.326010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108354

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>bb wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>If the CEO of NEXTEL had to pass a Morse Code exam to get his
> >
> > company
> >
> >>licensed, we wouldn't have a NEXTEL.
> >>
> >>To quote you, "Are you really that stupid?"
> >>
> >>Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> > I wondered what it would take to get you out of the woodwork again?
> >
> > How are those out of band Frenchmen doing these days?
>
> There was nothing about the French in your post, Brian.

Yes there was. See above.

Tell us how a
> five word per minute code exam would have stymied the CEO of Nextel.
> Nothing would have ever been accomplished if a morse test was
involved.

What's to tell?

> You're almost as pathetic as Leonard.

Len never worked Frenchmen out of band.

1172. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 23:23:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116631412.907222.50040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108355

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>bb wrote:
> >>
> >>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>
> >>>>Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Are you really that stupid?
> >>
> >>Don't leave us hanging, Brian. Why did they do it? Was the
> >
> > thousands
> >
> >>of dollars in valueable cash and prizes? Was it to gain needed
> >
> > exposure
> >
> >>for their garage band? Was it because they're masochists? Was it
> >>because they're a couple of clueless boobs?
> >>
> >>Dave K8MN
> >
> > Because even bad publicity is publicity.
>
> I'm sure the Roger Wisemans and Todd O'Whatshisfaces of the world
> applaud your view.
>
> Dave K8MN

Who are they?

1173. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 23:24:54 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116631494.051347.67840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108356

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >
> > > >>Then why did the text-message folks agree to the contest?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Are you really that stupid?
> > >
> > > Don't leave us hanging, Brian. Why did they do it? Was the
> > thousands
> > > of dollars in valueable cash and prizes? Was it to gain needed
> > exposure
> > > for their garage band? Was it because they're masochists? Was
it
> > > because they're a couple of clueless boobs?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> > Because even bad publicity is publicity.
>
> AHA! There it is! Completely explains why you and what's-his-face the
> warped old bench tech from LA continue to post your off-the-wall
> inanities to this NG.
>
> Publicity at *any* cost.
>
> Got it.
>
> Carry on.
>
> w3rv

Like civilians claiming "real military experience?"

1174. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-20 23:38:29 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116632309.275136.106050@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > The last five Presidents of the United States seem to have
had
> a
> > > clear vision of volunteerism...and also about "service".
> >
> > Indeed. Jim could have volunteered to serve in the Unites States
> > Military. He had other more important things to do like pursue a
> > degree in engineering, and looking out for himself. And the
military
> > still needs engineers, even if they are civilians. Go to
> > WWW.USAJOBS.OPM.GOV and select "Middle East."
> >
> > > Guess they shudda consulted with you two first, eh...?!?!
> >
> > I'm good with volunteerism in the U.S. armed services.
>
> No, you're not

I must be. I volunteered. I kept volunteering.

> > Tell Jim to let
> > us know how it's going when he gets there.
>
> You swore to uphold and defend the Constitution of the United
> States.

Against all enemies, foreign and domestic.

> Obviously you have a problem, however, with people who live
their
> lives within that same Constitution.

Explain. Hey, while you're at it, why don't you produce authentic
documentation of your alleged "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa.

> So...was your Oath as a member of the Armed Forces just some
words
> you recited in order to get a federal job? Sure looks that way.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I'm offended that Jim claimed "service in other ways." What other ways?

1175. Is Robeson off his rocker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 00:07:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116634075.638685.144750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108358

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > I made NO threats, "thinly veiled" or otherwise about
ahrm
> > to
> > > > > anyone or their wives...
> > > >
> > > > Your words, Steve, ~A person only has to feel threatened for a
> > threat
> > > > to have ocurred.
> > >
> > > In this case, I think the "threat" is your fear of what your
> > WIFE
> > > is going to think about the silliness you conduct in this forum.
> > >
> > > Momma swing a nasty rolling pin, does she...?!?!
> >
> > Hi! You tell me.
>
> Why?
>
> She's YOUR wife. You told me you didn't want me anywhere near
the
> family, Brain.
>
> Confused again?

Not at all. You give all of the signs of a stalker. Always needing to talk to my wife, and wanting equal time with my son. After posting my street number "430" in one of you last posts, I thought you might have stopped by on your way to the Dayton Hamfest.

So did she swing a nasty rolling pin or did she wave her revolver?

1176. Didn't see Robeson at Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 00:12:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116634364.315940.235590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108359

No sawed-off, chubby little guy in a flight suit. I even went to the ARRL boothes, the MARS booth, and the (Wisconsin) CAP RV.

Steve was no where to be found.

1177. Re: The net is the answer (was: IT WILL NEVER BE YOU BITCHES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 00:54:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116636891.976878.73840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108360

Lloyd,
I don't use anonymous remailer if I have something to say I aint't going to hide behind an anonymous remailer. I can't run shoutcast i don't have the right type of computer and There is too many "web radio's" out there. As for echolink, the Mac version isn't like the windows version and is unable to run confernce...as for buying a server the majority of funds are going for my education. The point is my information bulletin is legal and no matter what steve and his little buddies think they can complain all they want but information bulletins are legal on the amateur bands,

1178. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 01:01:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116637277.570039.30120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108361

From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri,May 20 2005 1:15 pm

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1116568554.750090.25650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

>Len,
>I don't consider myself an "amateur morseman" but I
>could see that morse would win out over current text
>messaging via cellphone.

Agreed, Bill. :-) That bit of entertainment, and of twitting the TXT-ers on their cell phones, was never any "contest." :-)

> Now if the input device for
>text messaging had been a full keyboard, then I'd expect
>the text messaging to win out as I belive records for
>typing/keyboarding (100+ WPM I think) do exceed
>the morse record (70+ per someone else's post).

Agreed again, but - again - that bit on the "Tonight Show" was never any "contest" to "prove" anything.

A few things are evident in this newsgroup. Firstly, there are the cast-in-concrete conservatives who have been brainwashed into believing that the ULTIMATE skill in amateur radio is morsemanship. Secondly, there is that handful of irregular regulars in here trying to "win out" over anyone expressing any opinion other than theirs...those stop at nothing to attempt damaging their "opponents" credibility through the usual attempted intimidation and personal insults.

It matters not - in this newsgroup environment - that the rest of the radio world has "put morse to the test" and found it wanting in favor of better communications modes. The only practitioners of morsemanship still active and USING it are in amateur radio in the USA. All the other radio services in the USA have given up on using any form of morse code for communications. [automated station IDs in morse tones is not communications]

>> Indeed, all the amateur morsemen in here do the cheering
>> and "morse-patriotism" thing because they, too, have been
>> brainwashed into thinking that morsemanship is "real

>> operating" in radio.
>
>Sorry Len, I can't agree with your statements here.
>Like it or not, morse operating IS real operating radio...
>just as driving my antique cars is real automobile driving.

Bill, in all honesty, I was talking about the PCTA Extra Double Standard brainwashed diehards in here...NOT yourself...OR driving antique cars.

Witness the constant statements of that "expert military communicator" who keeps insisting I was "only a radio mechanic" or the critic who never served but "had dinner with the Captain (of an aircraft carrier)." :-)

Morsemanship IS PART of radio operating...but ONLY of a radio that actually DOES USE on-off keying of the RF carrier with morse code. Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED by anyone operating an aircraft radio - either civilian or military. Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED of anyone operating a broadcast services transmitter. Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED for anyone sending a GMDSS distress or safety message. Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED of any of the radios (in the millions) used in Public Safety or Private Land Mobile Radio Service. Not in the FCC regulations for those radio services...and others.

>Is morse commonplace outside of ham radio? No
>it isn't, but that does not make the use of morse by
>hams any less "operating radio" then any other mode.
>
>Given that you (Len) believe morse is NOT operating
>radio, would it be your desire to see morse banned
>as a mode of use by amateurs?

Bill, that's NOT a "given." IF and only IF morse code skills ARE REQUIRED in radio operation, then morsemanship IS a PART of radio operation.

Do not try to put words in there that I am "banning morse code operation" in amateur radio. I am NOT.

Others - in here - have already tried that. They have failed...but they keep persisting in their misguided attempts to suppress the real subjects by their personal attacks and misstatements against others.

You haven't posed a viable question.

>> They (amateur morsemen) will leap and embrace the
>> slightest thing that makes morse "better" than any other
>> mode. :-)
>

>I don't see anything in the morse win over text
>messaging that suggests that. The morse win over
>text messaging was a specific comparison only.

Call it a "loaded test" akin to a "loaded question."
A SETUP.

Cellular telephony does not, nor was it ever designed, to
send textual communications. Cellular telephony was
designed and implemented to communicate by VOICE.

>The win did not prove nor did I see any amateur
>in this newsgroup suggest that the win showed
>that morse was "better than any other mode."

Bill, I will have to put you down as a LITERALIST then.
A "literalist" is one who takes all written text as it
is, unable to read in anything "between the lines" and
acting like some "language purist."

I'm sorry you've turned into that.

>Yes, I've been around
>this newsgroup long enough to know that there is
>a handful (or at least was at one time) of hams that
>might have held such "morse is better than any other
>mode" perspective, but I think the issue has
>ckarified significantly in recent years to the point that
>the issue is the TEST and only the test for most
>hams.

This newsgrope group is NOT "most hams." :-)

It is a handful of PCTA Extra Double Standard bearers
on some personal "mission" to "win supremacy" in a
newsgroup. They will readily fall-to anyone speaking
against the league-speak and attack them like starving
vultures after ripe carrion. :-)

>As such, I applaud the morse win over Text
>messaging because it was a good opportunity to
>get some publicity for ham radio.

"Publicity," yes. But at what price? By putting other
means of communications DOWN in a rigged test?

If that's the only means of "getting publicity" nationally,
then it is of rather low taste.

WHERE has any ardent radio amateur run with this "victory
for morse code?" The only talk seems to be WITHIN amateur
radio circles. Does the general public give a damn one
way or another? The general public has seen morse code "in
action" in many western movies and TV shows, set in periods

well before anyone's time. The general public is bound to have seen "TXT-ing" by teeners and others in the present time, eye-witnessing it in person. Right now TXT-ing is part of at least three commercial ads on TV...that I've seen today. Haven't seen a thing on amateur radio lately (discounting that non-contest "contest" on "Tonight").

Bill, it's NOT my job to promote amateur radio. I don't have an amateur radio license nor any vested interest in amateur radio. My purpose in here has been to argue against the morse code TEST for any amateur radio license. My "opponents" in here have generally been an insulting little group of hypocritical moralsts "representing" the "highest class" of USA radio amateurs. As EXAMPLES, they've been far from good for the "amateur community."

> Will text messaging
>always be second (speed wise) to morse...who knows,
>but without a full keyboard for entry, I suspect it
>will be...but maybe someday there will be telepathic
>input of text at which point all bets are off :-) :-)

Why do you bring up "telepathy" as a communications mode? You have to understand that the PCTA Extra Double Standard bearers ALREADY KBNOW that all amateurs "must" test for morse code. They don't NEED "telepathy" when they have proven morsemanship skills. ["CW gets through when nothing else will"...probably including telepathy and electric power]

The discussions should be about the TEST for morsemanship. It isn't. The contents of these newsgroper's messages is a comical set of middle-school juvenile posturing and adolescent cuss words...especially those of other languages and dialects not native to those middle-aged adolescents.

So, 91 years after the ARRL was formed, the best national-exposure "publicity for amateur radio" is a rigged late-night TV show segment ridiculing cell phone TXT-ing?

According to the U.S. Census Bureau figures for 2004, there are 100 million cell phone subscriptions in the USA. How many morse code operators are there in radio in the USA?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1179. Re: The net is the answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 01:53:35 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <wK6dnZ-kjO4CCRPfRVn-sQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108362

N9OGL wrote:
> Lloyd,
> I don't use anonymous remailer if I have something to say I aint't
> going to hide behind an anonymous remailer.
<snip>
It doesn't matter what you use, you never have anything worthwhile to say.

1180. Re: Didn't see Robeson at Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 01:55:23 UTC
From: Adam <HamAnon@anon>
Message-ID: <d6m4dt$8c2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108364

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1116634364.315940.235590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
No sawed-off, chubby little guy in a flight suit. I even went to the
ARRL boothes, the MARS booth, and the (Wisconsin) CAP RV.

Steve was no where to be found.

So what were you expecting to do if he was there?

Shootout at the Dayton Corral?
Challenge him to spitwads at ten paces?

Which CB flea market tailgate where you working from?

(BTW, bb Earp...it is 'booths', not boothes.)

1181. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid pole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 01:55:35 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <wK6dnZ6kjO6KCBPfRVn-sQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108363

KC8GXW wrote:

> Who want's the gay toad to stay here?

See toad, not 1 person. Take the hint liar and hit the road.

1182. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 02:00:31 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <QfOdncFp-OPcCxPfRVn-gQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108365

N9OGL wrote:

>>STEVE SAID
>>Not in this lifetime, Todd.
>
>
>
> sure am, I am the voice of amateur radio, No one wants to hear those
> news bulletins, what they want to hear is a true voice someone who will
> not bullshit about an Issue. Someone who will tell it as it is. Don't
> worry Steve Hopefully by fall I will be transmitting all the amateur
> bands from 160 Meters to 2.4 Ghz. So you can go listen to your crappy
> little W1AW but the reality is amateur's want a real voice and little
> buddy your at him, enjoy
>
> 73
> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
> 14.321.00 MHz
> 52.430.00 MHz
> 145.650.00 MHz
> Power 100 Watts.

The truth? You don't know what the truth means you idiot.
Why the heck transmit on all the bands, if you're on 144 mhz why put it
on other bands higher? It's all local after that, why waste frequencies?

1183. Re: The net is the answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 02:06:31 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <S9KdnZBZnuk0ChPfRVn-tQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108366

N9OGL wrote:

> Lloyd,
<snip>
I can't run shoutcast i
> don't have the right type of computer and There is too many "web
> radio's" out there. As for echolink, the Mac version isn't like the
> windows version and is unable to run confernce...as for buying a server
> the majority of funds are going for my education.
<snip>
You said you were out of school (why pour more money into that pit, it's not doing you any good with all of your intellagents) , you also said you were very rich, unlimited funds I think you said. Why not spend $400.00 and buy a windows machine? Heck, you say you are putting a radio on every band. Make up your mind or quit lying idiot.

1184. Toads Job App.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 02:13:12 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <cd-dnRexUdWnBBPfRVn-uQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108367

Deer Sir,

I waunt to apply for the secritary job what I saw in the paper. I can Type real quik wit one finggar and do sum a counting.

I think I am good on the phone and no I am a pepole person, Pepole really seam to respond to me well.

Iï¿½m lookin for a Jobb as a secritary but it musent be to complicaited.

I no my spelling is not to good but find that I Offen can get a job thru my persinalety. My salerery is open so we can discus wat you want to pay me and wat you think that I am werth,

I can start imeditely. Thank you in advanse fore yore anser. .

hopifuly Yore best aplicant so farr.

Cinseerly,

I only download Little Leprechaun sex
TODD E McDAUGHERTY
ps: IF YOU DON'T HIRE ME YOUR A F***ING A**HOLE BI*** m***ER****ER

1185. Re: Toads Job App.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 02:20:34 UTC
From: Adam <HamAnon@anon>
Message-ID: <d6m5t4$c82$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108368

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:cd-dnRexUdWnBBPfRVn-uQ@centurytel.net...
Deer Sir,

I waunt to apply for the secritary job what I saw in the paper. I can
Type real quik wit one finggar and do sum a counting.

I think I am good on the phone and no I am a pepole person, Pepole
really seam to respond to me well.

I&#65533;m lookin for a Jobb as a secritary but it musent be to complicaited.

I no my spelling is not to good but find that I Offen can get a job thru
my persinalety. My salerery is open so we can discus wat you want to pay
me and wat you think that I am werth,

I can start imeditely. Thank you in advanse fore yore anser. .

hopifuly Yore best aplicant so farr.

Cinseerly,

I only download Little Leprechaun sex
TODD E McDAUGHERTY
ps: IF YOU DON'T HIRE ME YOUR A F***ING A**HOLE BI*** m***ER****ER

(You forgot to mention that Todd likes to write. He can't spell for crap,
but he likes to write.)

1186. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid pole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 02:32:40 UTC
From: Bruce <ab8mq@anonramailer>
Message-ID: <d6m6jp$e0n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108369

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:wK6dnZ6kjO6KCBPfRVn-sQ@centurytel.net...

KC8GXW wrote:

> Who want's the gay toad to stay here?

See toad, not 1 person. Take the hint liar and hit the road.

Hit the road, Toad.
see? It rhymes.

1187. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 02:38:27 UTC
From: Bruce <ab8mq@anonramailer>
Message-ID: <d6m6ul$f0n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108370

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:QfOdncFp-OPcCxPfRVn-gQ@centurytel.net...

N9OGL wrote:

>>STEVE SAID
>>Not in this lifetime, Todd.
>
>
>
> sure am, I am the voice of amateur radio, No one wants to hear those
> news bulletins, what they want to hear is a true voice someone who will
> not bullshit about an Issue. Someone who will tell it as it is. Don't
> worry Steve Hopefully by fall I will be transmitting all the amateur
> bands from 160 Meters to 2.4 Ghz. So you can go listen to your crappy
> little W1AW but the reality is amateur's want a real voice and little
> buddy your at him, enjoy
>
> 73
> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
> 14.321.00 MHz
> 52.430.00 MHz
> 145.650.00 MHz
> Power 100 Watts.

The truth? You don't know what the truth means you idiot.
Why the heck transmit on all the bands, if you're on 144 mhz why put it
on other bands higher? It's all local after that, why waste frequencies?

Todd is running QRP. He must be. I sure as <expletive> can't hear him in
Glendale, West By God Virginia.
Of course my antennas arnet what they used to be sisnce the <expletive> city
made me take some of them down. Turn your <expletive> ing power up, Choad.

1188. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 03:07:51 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <bCxje.8048$w21.1555@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108371

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1116637277.570039.30120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri,May 20 2005 1:15 pm
>
>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>news:1116568554.750090.25650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Len,
>>I don't consider myself an "amateur morseman" but I
>>could see that morse would win out over current text
>>messaging via cellphone.
>
> Agreed, Bill. :-) That bit of entertainment, and of
> twitting the TXT-ers on their cell phones, was never
> any "contest." :-)
>
>> Now if the input device for
>>text messaging had been a full keyboard, then I'd expect
>>the text messaging to win out as I belive records for
>>typing/keyboarding (100+ WPM I think) do exceed
>>the morse record (70+ per someone else's post).
>
> Agreed again, but - again - that bit on the "Tonight
> Show" was never any "contest" to "prove" anything.
>
> A few things are evident in this newsgroup. Firstly,
> there are the cast-in-concrete conservatives who have
> been brainwashed into believing that the ULTIMATE
> skill in amateur radio is morsemanship. Secondly,
> there is that handful of irregular regulars in here
> trying to "win out" over anyone expressing any
> opinion other than theirs...those stop at nothing to
> attempt damaging their "opponents" credibility
> through the usual attempted intimidation and personal
> insults.

Personally, if someone wants to believe that morse
is THE ULTIMATE skill in amateur radio, that's
their right and opinion. Clearly neither you nor I
agree with that.

> It matters not - in this newsgroup environment - that
> the rest of the radio world has "put morse to the test"
> and found it wanting in favor of better communications
> modes. The only practitioners of morsemanship still

> active and USING it are in amateur radio in the USA.
> All the other radio services in the USA have given up
> on using any form of morse code for communications.
> [automated station IDs in morse tones is not
> communications]

Actually there are others in amateur radio "outside"
the USA that also embrace morse as a favored mode.

>>> Indeed, all the amateur morsemen in here do the cheering
>>> and "morse-patriotism" thing because they, too, have been
>>> brainwashed into thinking that morsemanship is "real
>>> operating" in radio.
>>
>>Sorry Len, I can't agree with your statements here.
>>Like it or not, morse operating IS real operating radio...
>>just as driving my antique cars is real automobile driving.
>
> Bill, in all honesty, I was talking about the PCTA Extra
> Double Standard brainwashed diehards in here...NOT
> yourself...OR driving antique cars.

OK, but that's not how it read.

> Witness the constant statements of that "expert military
> communicator" who keeps insisting I was "only a radio
> mechanic" or the critic who never served but "had dinner
> with the Captain (of an aircraft carrier)." :-)

I try to avoid all personal attack commentary.

> Morsemanship IS PART of radio operating...but ONLY of a
> radio that actually DOES USE on-off keying of the RF
> carrier with morse code.

Which covers a considerable amount of radios. Additionally,
it is not simply CW (on-off keying of carrier) that allows
use of morse. I can send morse as an on/off tone via any
FM capable transmitter.

> Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED
> by anyone operating an aircraft radio - either civilian
> or military. Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED of anyone
> operating a broadcast services transmitter. Morsemanship
> is NOT REQUIRED for anyone sending a GMDSS distress or
> safety message. Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED of any of
> the radios (in the millions) used in Public Safety or
> Private Land Mobile Radio Service. Not in the FCC
> regulations for those radio services...and others.

Agreed and nothing I said contradicts that.

>>Is morse commonplace outside of ham radio? No
>>it isn't, but that does not make the use of morse by
>>hams any less "operating radio" then any other mode.
>>
>>Given that you (Len) believe morse is NOT operating
>>radio, would it be your desire to see morse banned
>>as a mode of use by amateurs?
>
> Bill, that's NOT a "given." IF and only IF morse
> code skills ARE REQUIRED in radio operation, then
> morsemanship IS a PART of radio operation.

Well at this point, morse as a requirement is only
required for General and Extra class licenses...which
is a requirement I am totally on record as opposing.

> Do not try to put words in there that I am "banning
> morse code operation" in amateur radio. I am NOT.

Good...thanks for the clarification.

> Others - in here - have already tried that. They
> have failed...but they keep persisting in their
> misguided attempts to suppress the real subjects by
> their personal attacks and misstatements against others.
>
> You haven't posed a viable question.

The question was posed to see what role, if any,
you accept as valid via amateur radio.

>>> They (amateur morsemen) will leap and embrace the
>>> slightest thing that makes morse "better" than any other
>>> mode. :-)
>>
>>I don't see anything in the morse win over text
>>messaging that suggests that. The morse win over
>>text messaging was a specific comparison only.
>
> Call it a "loaded test" akin to a "loaded question."
> A SETUP.

Call it whatever. It makes no difference as I see it.

> Cellular telephony does not, nor was it ever designed, to
> send textual communications. Cellular telephony was
> designed and implemented to communicate by VOICE.

Agree totally...which is why I would expect morse
to win as it did.

>>The win did not prove nor did I see any amateur
>>in this newsgroup suggest that the win showed
>>that morse was "better than any other mode."

>
> Bill, I will have to put you down as a LITERALIST then.
> A "literalist" is one who takes all written text as it
> is, unable to read in anything "between the lines" and
> acting like some "language purist."
> I'm sorry you've turned into that.

I will wear the badge of "literalist" with pride. Frankly
there's too much reading between the lines anyway.
If people can't be straight-up, then I'm not here to
second guess their true intentions.

>>Yes, I've been around
>>this newsgroup long enough to know that there is
>>a handful (or at least was at one time) of hams that
>>might have held such "morse is better than any other
>>mode" perspective, but I think the issue has
>>ckarified significantly in recent years to the point that
>>the issue is the TEST and only the test for most
>>hams.
>
> This newsgrope group is NOT "most hams." :-)

I didn't say it was.

> It is a handful of PCTA Extra Double Standard bearers
> on some personal "mission" to "win supremacy" in a
> newsgroup. They will readily fall-to anyone speaking
> against the league-speak and attack them like starving
> vultures after ripe carrion. :-)

The newsgroup has "some" folks that might fit your
description...but they are fewer today than before and
they are not sole participants in the newsgroup as
others (you, me, etc) clearly have differing viewpoints.

>>As such, I applaud the morse win over Text
>>messaging because it was a good opportunity to
>>get some publicity for ham radio.
>
> "Publicity," yes. But at what price? By putting other
> means of communications DOWN in a rigged test?

Should we have a pity party for the poor text messaging
record holder. If the text message folks feared a put
down then they had the option to not play. They decided to
play and they lost....fair, square, contrived or how ever
you feel it was inevitable that text messaging would lose.

> If that's the only means of "getting publicity" nationally,
> then it is of rather low taste.

Why is it of low taste? Did the losers get razzed by the morse
winners?

> WHERE has any ardent radio amateur run with this "victory
> for morse code?" The only talk seems to be WITHIN amateur
> radio circles. Does the general public give a damn one
> way or another? The general public has seen morse code "in
> action" in many western movies and TV shows, set in periods
> well before anyone's time.

When was the last time you saw morse used on any TV
show...especially as a mode used by hams? I watch a fair
amount of TV and can't recall it. But the issue of publicity is
simple. The airing of the morse vs text messaging contest
was a brief opportunity to expose amateur radio to
the public. I have no doubt that there would not be a sudden
wave of new interest because of that airing, but perhaps
it stirred some interest in a few...which is fine by me.

> The general public is bound to
> have seen "TXT-ing" by teeners and others in the present
> time, eye-witnessing it in person. Right now TXT-ing is
> part of at least three commercial ads on TV...that I've
> seen today. Haven't seen a thing on amateur radio lately
> (discounting that non-contest "contest" on "Tonight").

Agreed which is why the contest at least was a positive
exposure for ham radio...whether one like or dislikes
morse code.

> Bill, it's NOT my job to promote amateur radio. I don't
> have an amateur radio license nor any vested interest in
> amateur radio. My purpose in here has been to argue
> against the morse code TEST for any amateur radio license.

Then argue against the test. Your post appeared to be
far more focused against morse use in general rather
than specifically the test requirement.

> My "opponents" in here have generally been an insulting
> little group of hypocritical moralsts "representing" the
> "highest class" of USA radio amateurs. As EXAMPLES, they've
> been far from good for the "amateur community."

As before, I try to stay out of the personal attack
commentary that I've seen some of these discussions
reduced to.

>> Will text messaging
>>always be second (speed wise) to morse...who knows,
>>but without a full keyboard for entry, I suspect it
>>will be...but maybe someday there will be telepathic
>>input of text at which point all bets are off :-) :-)
>

> Why do you bring up "telepathy" as a communications mode?

Please note the two smilies at the end of the statement.

> You have to understand that the PCTA Extra Double Standard
> bearers ALREADY KBNOW that all amateurs "must" test for
> morse code.

But we already know that morse is NOT a requirement for
every ham license and in more and more countries it is
being dropped completely. The USA will surely follow...it
is just a matter of time. It's not happening here fast enough
(IMHO), but it will.

> They don't NEED "telepathy" when they have
> proven morsemanship skills. ["CW gets through when nothing
> else will"...probably including telepathy and electric power]

And we already know the FCC doesn't accept that argument.

> The discussions should be about the TEST for morsemanship.
> It isn't. The contents of these newsgroper's messages is a
> comical set of middle-school juvenile posturing and
> adolescent cuss words...especially those of other languages
> and dialects not native to those middle-aged adolescents.

This discussion started when the contest was reported.
No one that I recall claimed it proved or otherwise
served to support justification of continued morse testing.
From my reading it was simply a bit of positive publicity
on a national TV show.

> So, 91 years after the ARRL was formed, the best national-
> exposure "publicity for amateur radio" is a rigged late-night
> TV show segment ridiculing cell phone TXT-ing?

Who said it was "the best" publicity? It was a brief one-shot
opportunity. That's it.

> According to the U.S. Census Bureau figures for 2004, there
> are 100 million cell phone subscriptions in the USA. How
> many morse code operators are there in radio in the USA?

Your point?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1189. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 03:32:29 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116646349.446086.261860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108372

bb wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>
> > Bofum actually. Between the artifact "battle-scarred" RTTY repeater
> > babysitter and his mindless T5 shipping dock carton-kicking
> syncophant
> > . . yeah, they both make the "grade". Plop-plop.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> "Shipping dock, carton-kicking what?" Hi, hi!
>
> I was never a loggie!
>
> I'm still curious about your claims of "real military experience."
>
> Got any?

In uniform? Zip.

In my J.A. Banks suits you bet!

What's any of it have to do with ham radio??

> And I didn't say any on you'se guys at Dayton today.

They prolly saw you first and ducked out of sight.

w3rv

1190. Re: The net is the answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 03:43:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116647029.850886.177180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108373

Why would I want to get a virus catching machine...like a windows machine??....I run a mac and not once have I gotten a virus or spam...I stick with a mac. As for school I am out of school for the time but I planning to return to school real soon.

Todd N9OGL

1191. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid pole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 03:45:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116647121.176645.32080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108374

fuck you....this isn't your newsgroup you fucking nazi kiddy porn lover

1192. Re: The net is the answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 04:53:26 UTC
From: Roger L <ab8mq@anonramailer>
Message-ID: <d6mero$2ah$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108375

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1116647029.850886.177180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
Why would I want to get a virus catching machine...like a windows
machine??....I run a mac and not once have I gotten a virus or spam...I
stick with a mac. As for school I am out of school for the time but I
planning to return to school real soon.

Todd N9OGL

You better go to skool some more Toddie. Go to Devry like me. Learn to spell
and go for a master degree.
When you move out of your daddys house you better be workning toward a
Master Degree like me. I don't want any illaterate moving in with me sincse
that would look bad.
and I have a Extra license too. If you want to move in with me you wll have
to be a Extra also. Easy to get. Just ask me.
I have a spare bedroom and room in my drive for your Yugo, so come on over
when you get $500 for a deposit.

Your freind, roger.

1193. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 06:32:28 UTC
From: Orville <FunHouse@noLen>
Message-ID: <d6mkledcb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108376

Leave it to Len to repost 100 plus lines to say what he could say in six or less words.

Brevity, Len, brevity.

1194. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 06:37:17 UTC
From: bb <havingfun@bb>
Message-ID: <d6mkui$e51$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108377

> 73
> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
> 14.321.00 MHz
> 52.430.00 MHz
> 145.650.00 MHz
> Power 100 Watts.

The truth? You don't know what the truth means you idiot.
Why the heck transmit on all the bands, if you're on 144 mhz why put it
on other bands higher? It's all local after that, why waste frequencies?

88888888888888888888888888888888888888

Since you are a no code tech you have no dog in this fight.
Upgrade and then comment.

bb

1195. Re: The net is the answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 06:49:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116658174.355342.183510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108378

No thanks roger, but I think Jim or steve are looking for a room buddy.

Todd N9OGL

1196. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 06:51:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116658298.218362.101620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108379

That's your opinion, but hey it's legal "SPAM" hehehehehehehehehehehe

Todd N9OGL

1197. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid pole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 06:56:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116658561.730937.135310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108380

No one answered because they didn't want to be bad mouth by you and
your boyfriend steve, you should of asked the other way like, Who wants
n9ogl to go?
it's Ok, I know a no-code tech aren't the smartest one of the group

1198. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid pole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 07:11:58 UTC
From: bb <havingfun@bb>
Message-ID: <d6mmvh$ih2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108381

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1116658561.730937.135310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
No one answered because they didn't want to be bad mouth by you and
your boyfriend steve, you should of asked the other way like, Who wants
n9ogl to go?
it's Ok, I know a no-code tech aren't the smartest one of the group
.....

Damn, Todd. Will you ever get a clue?

It is not 'should of', but rather should have...

Also, "I know a no-code tech aren't the smartest one of the group" is
hilarious.

Damn, Todd. Just when you cannot appear to be any more stupid than you
already are, you open your dumbass mouth and prove to all that you are even
more stupid than you at first appear.
How many times will it take, Toad, before you come to the harsh realization
that you are not the brightest bulb on the tree?
In plain English, Todd, you are extremely stupid. Not ignorant...stupid.
STUPID! No in between, no nothing. You are a simpleton, a stupid concrete
blockhead of sheer stupidity.

And the best part? You have not the brains to realize it.

1199. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 10:21:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116670860.412095.201940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108382

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri,May 20 2005 1:15 pm

> ><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >news:1116568554.750090.25650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

> >Len,
> >I don't consider myself an "amateur morseman" but I
> >could see that morse would win out over current text
> >messaging via cellphone.
>
> Agreed, Bill. :-) That bit of entertainment, and of
> twitting the TXT-ers on their cell phones, was never
> any "contest." :-)

Sure it was. The Morse Code team handled the message faster than the
text messaging team.

Did you see the segment, Len?

> > Now if the input device for
> >text messaging had been a full keyboard, then I'd expect
> >the text messaging to win out as I belive records for
> >typing/keyboarding (100+ WPM I think) do exceed
> >the morse record (70+ per someone else's post).

Of course. But that wasn't the point..
>
> Agreed again, but - again - that bit on the "Tonight
> Show" was never any "contest" to "prove" anything.

Sure it was. In fact it proved a couple of things. Not only
was Morse Code faster, the audience thought text-messaging
would win, and was surprised by the outcome.

> A few things are evident in this newsgroup. Firstly,
> there are the cast-in-concrete conservatives who have
> been brainwashed into believing that the ULTIMATE
> skill in amateur radio is morsemanship.

Who are they, Len?

Is there something wrong with being "conservative"?

How about cast-in-concrete liberals who believe the opposite?

> Secondly,
> there is that handful of irregular regulars in here

> trying to "win out" over anyone expressing any
> opinion other than theirs...those stop at nothing to
> attempt damaging their "opponents" credibility
> through the usual attempted intimidation and personal
> insults.

Well, Len, considering the way you address your opponents
with demeaning diminutive nicknames, make fun of their
education, work experience, military service, age, physical
appearance and any other characteristics you know of, I'd
say that you've just done a great job of describing yourself.

> It matters not - in this newsgroup environment - that
> the rest of the radio world has "put morse to the test"
> and found it wanting in favor of better communications
> modes.

Text messaging wasn't better than Morse Code in the contest.

> The only practitioners of morsemanship still
> active and USING it are in amateur radio in the USA.

And the rest of the world. Not just the USA.

> All the other radio services in the USA have given up
> on using any form of morse code for communications.
> [automated station IDs in morse tones is not
> communications]

It's communicating the identity of the station...

So what's your point, Len? Do you think amateurs should stop
using Morse Code because other radio services have stopped?

> >> Indeed, all the amateur morsemen in here do the cheering
> >> and "morse-patriotism" thing because they, too, have been
> >> brainwashed into thinking that morsemanship is "real
> >> operating" in radio.

> >Sorry Len, I can't agree with your statements here.
> >Like it or not, morse operating IS real operating radio...
> >just as driving my antique cars is real automobile driving.

Well said, Bill!
>
> Bill, in all honesty, I was talking about the PCTA Extra
> Double Standard brainwashed diehards in here...NOT
> yourself...OR driving antique cars.

Is Morse Code radio operation "real operating" or not, Len?

> Witness the constant statements of that "expert military
> communicator" who keeps insisting I was "only a radio
> mechanic" or the critic who never served but "had dinner

> with the Captain (of an aircraft carrier)." :-)

Do these people have names?
>
> Morsemanship IS PART of radio operating...but ONLY of a
> radio that actually DOES USE on-off keying of the RF
> carrier with morse code.

Or tone-modulation of a carrier, or frequency shift, or a bunch of
other ways to send it.

So what?

> Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED
> by anyone operating an aircraft radio - either civilian
> or military. Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED of anyone
> operating a broadcast services transmitter. Morsemanship
> is NOT REQUIRED for anyone sending a GMDSS distress or
> safety message. Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED of any of
> the radios (in the millions) used in Public Safety or
> Private Land Mobile Radio Service. Not in the FCC
> regulations for those radio services...and others.

So what? None of those services involve amateur radio operation.
Most of them don't even require a license, or a skilled operator.
>
> >Is morse commonplace outside of ham radio? No
> >it isn't, but that does not make the use of morse by
> >hams any less "operating radio" then any other mode.
> >
> >Given that you (Len) believe morse is NOT operating
> >radio, would it be your desire to see morse banned
> >as a mode of use by amateurs?
>
> Bill, that's NOT a "given."

That doesn't answer the question, Len.

> IF and only IF morse
> code skills ARE REQUIRED in radio operation, then
> morsemanship IS a PART of radio operation.

That's just nonsense.
>
> Do not try to put words in there that I am "banning
> morse code operation" in amateur radio. I am NOT.

But it seems you would like to. You attack the mode itself
at every opportunity.
>
> Others - in here - have already tried that. They
> have failed...but they keep persisting in their
> misguided attempts to suppress the real subjects by

> their personal attacks and misstatements against others.

You mean like telling another to "shut the hell up, you little
USMC feldwebel"?

> You haven't posed a viable question.

"would it be your desire to see morse banned as a mode of use by
amateurs?"

There you go.

> >> They (amateur morsemen) will leap and embrace the
> >> slightest thing that makes morse "better" than any other
> >> mode. :-)
> >
> >I don't see anything in the morse win over text
> >messaging that suggests that. The morse win over
> >text messaging was a specific comparison only.
>
> Call it a "loaded test" akin to a "loaded question."
> A SETUP.

How was it a setup, Len? The Morse ops didn't even use abbreviations.
They went about 1/3 the world-record speed.
The audience made a lot of noise, too. Yet they still won,
with 100% perfect copy.

> Cellular telephony does not, nor was it ever designed, to
> send textual communications. Cellular telephony was
> designed and implemented to communicate by VOICE.

Not true. The text messging feature is built into the phones.
It's part of the design - as are the ability to send pictures
and other data, access the internet, etc.

>From my cell phone I can do things like check my available
free minutes and the balance on the bill for cellular service.

Most cell phones in the USA aren't analog anymore, Len.

Did you know that Nokia is trying to patent a cell phone that
uses Morse Code?

> >The win did not prove nor did I see any amateur
> >in this newsgroup suggest that the win showed
> >that morse was "better than any other mode."
>
> Bill, I will have to put you down as a LITERALIST then.
> A "literalist" is one who takes all written text as it
> is, unable to read in anything "between the lines" and
> acting like some "language purist."

Why not just say what you mean to say, Len?
>
> I'm sorry you've turned into that.
>
> >Yes, I've been around
> >this newsgroup long enough to know that there is
> >a handful (or at least was at one time) of hams that
> >might have held such "morse is better than any other
> >mode" perspective, but I think the issue has
> >ckarified significantly in recent years to the point that
> >the issue is the TEST and only the test for most
> >hams.
>
> This newsgrope group is NOT "most hams." :-)

Sure. We have one non-ham lecturing others on how ham radio
should be....

> It is a handful of PCTA Extra Double Standard bearers
> on some personal "mission" to "win supremacy" in a
> newsgroup. They will readily fall-to anyone speaking
> against the league-speak and attack them like starving
> vultures after ripe carrion. :-)

Sounds like you just described NCTAs as "ripe carrion", Len ;-)

> >As such, I applaud the morse win over Text
> >messaging because it was a good opportunity to
> >get some publicity for ham radio.
>
> "Publicity," yes. But at what price? By putting other
> means of communications DOWN in a rigged test?

The test wasn't rigged.
>
> If that's the only means of "getting publicity" nationally,
> then it is of rather low taste.
>
> WHERE has any ardent radio amateur run with this "victory
> for morse code?" The only talk seems to be WITHIN amateur
> radio circles. Does the general public give a damn one
> way or another? The general public has seen morse code "in
> action" in many western movies and TV shows, set in periods
> well before anyone's time.

When?

> The general public is bound to
> have seen "TXT-ing" by teeners and others in the present
> time, eye-witnessing it in person. Right now TXT-ing is
> part of at least three commercial ads on TV...that I've
> seen today. Haven't seen a thing on amateur radio lately
> (discounting that non-contest "contest" on "Tonight").

What do you suggest, Len?
>
> Bill, it's NOT my job to promote amateur radio. I don't
> have an amateur radio license nor any vested interest in
> amateur radio.

Then why are you here?

> My purpose in here has been to argue
> against the morse code TEST for any amateur radio license.

Why? You say you have no vested interest but you sure
do post here a lot. Most of your posting has nothing to do
with the Morse Code test.

> My "opponents" in here have generally been an insulting
> little group of hypocritical moralsts "representing" the
> "highest class" of USA radio amateurs. As EXAMPLES, they've
> been far from good for the "amateur community."

How about *your* behavior here, Len? Have you been good for, say,
the IEEE?

> > Will text messaging
> >always be second (speed wise) to morse...who knows,
> >but without a full keyboard for entry, I suspect it
> >will be...but maybe someday there will be telepathic
> >input of text at which point all bets are off :-) :-)
>
> Why do you bring up "telepathy" as a communications mode?
> You have to understand that the PCTA Extra Double Standard
> bearers ALREADY KBNOW that all amateurs "must" test for
> morse code. They don't NEED "telepathy" when they have
> proven morsemanship skills. ["CW gets through when nothing
> else will"...probably including telepathy and electric power]

Gee, Len, you missed Bill's joke even with two smileys...

> The discussions should be about the TEST for morsemanship.

Are you the moderatior?

> It isn't.

Then why are you still here, if that's your purpose? The Leno show
post that started this thread said nothing of Morse Code testing.

> The contents of these newsgroper's messages is a
> comical set of middle-school juvenile posturing and
> adolescent cuss words...especially those of other languages
> and dialects not native to those middle-aged adolescents.

You mean like someone who writes in a sort of Charlie Chan dialect, or
someone who insists on calling others demeaning diminutive nicknames?

> So, 91 years after the ARRL was formed, the best national-
> exposure "publicity for amateur radio" is a rigged late-night
> TV show segment ridiculing cell phone TXT-ing?

Guess your sense of humor doesn't stretch very far, Len.

1200. Re: Toads other hero.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 10:47:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116672448.415597.31140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108383

N9OGL wrote:
> That's your opinion, but hey it's legal "SPAM" hehehehehehehehehehehe

No, it's not.

Steve, K4YZ

1201. Re: The net is the answer (was: IT WILL NEVER BE YOU BITCHES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 10:49:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116672587.409562.20250@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108384

N9OGL wrote:
> ...as for buying a server
> the majority of funds are going for my education.

Yeah.

Right.

So what are you REALLY doing with the money, Todd?

Steve, K4YZ

1202. Re: Didn't see Robeson at Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 10:53:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116672839.061555.251460@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108385

bb wrote:
> No sawed-off, chubby little guy in a flight suit.

I don't know any sawed off little guy's in flight suits, Your
Lyingness.

> I even went to the
> ARRL boothes, the MARS booth, and the (Wisconsin) CAP RV.
>
> Steve was no where to be found.

What a coincidence...I didn't see you either.

Go figure.

Steve, K4YZ

1203. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 10:55:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116672933.371626.94380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Bill Sohl wrote:

> The value of the Leno
> Sjow contest was the publicity for ham radio. Beyond
> that, it was just a mildly interesting win for the hams.
> Other than that, what else does the morse vs text
> messaging contest win "account for"?

Actually, Bill, I think the main focus of the Leno show contest and its Australian predecessor wasn't ham radio at all.

There's a certain mindset that automatically connects "newer" with "faster/better/easier". There's even a word for it: "neophilia", meaning unreasonable love of new things.

The Leno clip shows that mindset in action in the way the woman being interviewed by Jay Leno immediately assumes text messaging will be faster than Morse Code. You also see it in the way the audience agrees. Text messaging is more than 150 years newer than Morse code - it must be faster, right?

Of course Jay knows the outcome is almost certain to be very different - that's the whole point of the segment. And when the Morse Code team wins the contest, the point is clear: Newer isn't always better, and old isn't always bad or useless.

--

There was a segment on the NPR radio quiz show "Wait, Wait, Don't Tell Me" on May 14, in which a caller was asked to identify the one true story out of three. One story was about the Australian text-vs.-Morse contest, and the other two were made-up along the lines of a new product having some surprising characteristics, like tomatoes that were flammable. (The caller got it right).

73 de Jim, N2EY

1204. Re: Is Robeson off his rocker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 11:04:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116673444.406291.237880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108387

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > I made NO threats, "thinly veiled" or otherwise about
> ahrm
> > > to
> > > > > > anyone or their wives...
> > > > >
> > > > > Your words, Steve, ~A person only has to feel threatened for
a
> > > threat
> > > > > > to have ocurred.
> > > >
> > > > In this case, I think the "threat" is your fear of what
your
> > > WIFE
> > > > is going to think about the silliness you conduct in this
forum.
> > > >
> > > > Momma swing a nasty rolling pin, does she...?!?!
> > >
> > > Hi! You tell me.
> >
> > Why?
> >
> > She's YOUR wife. You told me you didn't want me anywhere near
> the
> > family, Brain.
> >
> > Confused again?
>
> Not at all. You give all of the signs of a stalker.

Nope.

If I was a "stalker", you'd never know it until it was too late.

> Always needing to talk to my wife, and wanting equal time with my
son.

Wrong on the "needing" to talk to your wife OR son.

Just interested to see what kind of hair I raise on your neck when
I suggest what THEIR reaction might be if they got ahold of YOUR posts
from this forum.

I think we ALL have a pretty clear picture of what THAT would be
like.

You're scared to death that she'll see what your alter-ego does on
the computer.

> After posting my
> street number "430" in one of you last posts, I thought you might
have
> stopped by on your way to the Dayton Hamfest.
>
> So did she swing a nasty rolling pin or did she wave her revolver?

A revolver...?!?!

You leave your wife home alone with a REVOLVER...?!?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! !

Brain, I doubt she'd do either.

She married YOU, so with the exception of a few like Lloyd, Roger,
Todd and Lennie, the only way left for her is up.

Sheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

1205. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 11:06:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116673570.095533.291310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108388

N9OGL wrote:
> well steve it will be awhile because i've already had a renewal and
> nothing happened......I think your blowing smoke.....

"Nothing happened" becasue your renewal was before you started in
on RRAP with all your silliness.

Trust me. The next "renewal" won't pass so easily.

Steve, K4YZ

1206. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 11:39:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116675588.635084.122990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108389

N9OGL wrote:
> >STEVE SAID
> >Not in this lifetime, Todd.
>
>
> sure am, I am the voice of amateur radio, No one wants to hear those
> news bulletins, what they want to hear is a true voice someone who
will
> not bull#### about an Issue.

You are the one voice of a profane, functionally illiterate and
irresponsible spoiled brat.

As for "no BS'ing about issues", so far all I have seen you offer
is parroting wha the ARRL put's on their newsletter.

You're an intellectual thief.

> Someone who will tell it as it is.

And that someone is...?!?!

Because it SURE is NOT you!

> Don't
> worry Steve Hopefully by fall I will be transmitting all the amateur
> bands from 160 Meters to 2.4 Ghz.

Only if your microwave is on 2.4GHz

> So you can go listen to your crappy
> little W1AW...(SNIP)

At least I can HEAR W1AW...reliably...In several modes.

> but the reality is amateur's want a real voice...(SNIP)

OK..so who do you propose to BE this voice...???

It's sure not you. You ARE the aforementoned foul mouthed punk.

> and little buddy your at him, enjoy

I am missing a few pages from my Todd-to-English translation book,
Todd...What was THAt supposed to say?

> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

There is no Todd O'Dochartaigh licensed as N9OGL

> THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

Not in THIS lifetime.

> 14.321.00 MHz

Too little power to be heard here.

> 52.430.00 MHz

I doubt it.

> 145.650.00 MHz

Where no one will be listening for you...In a part of the 2 meter band that is not in accordance with the established band plan for 2 meters.

> Power 100 Watts.

Wimp. You couldn't whip up enough ERP to override your neighborhood CB jammer. How embarrassing.

Steve, K4YZ

1207. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 11:45:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116675947.869185.158700@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108390

bb wrote:
> > 73
> > Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> > THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
> > 14.321.00 MHz
> > 52.430.00 MHz
> > 145.650.00 MHz
> > Power 100 Watts.
>
>> The truth? You don't know what the truth means you idiot.
>> Why the heck transmit on all the bands, if you're on 144 mhz why put
it
>> on other bands higher? It's all local after that, why waste
frequencies?
>>
>> Since you are a no code tech you have no dog in this fight.
>> Upgrade and then comment.

Uh....Brain....???

His comment addressed "144 mhz".

That is very clearly within the scope of his license.

And although he didn't mention it, six meters is within the scope
of his license too. As are the "higher local" frequencies.

He didn't address any comments to the 20 meter frequency.

Sheeeesh......

Steve, K4YZ

1208. Re: The net is the answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 11:55:51 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <IdednXdija1bvBLfRVn-rw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108391

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>...as for buying a server
>>the majority of funds are going for my education.
>
>
> Yeah.
>
> Right.
>
> So what are you REALLY doing with the money, Todd?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Buying more gay cloths and kiddy porn, on and lets not forget vacuum repairs

1209. NEW POLE for N9 Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 12:03:13 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <WaudnbrlPKcfvhLfRVn-hA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108392

How many want the gay illiterate to leave?

Who want's the gay illiterate idiot to get busted by the FCC?

Who want's the illiterate moron to get another gay lover to take his time up so he'll stop posting here?

Who want's the fool from Gaylorville to stay and keep posting his filth?

Who thinks the toad makes sense?

How many think the toad is gay?

1210. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid pole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 12:20:43 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <WdqdnQCrpsgBuhLfRVn-gA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108393

N9OGL wrote:

> No one answered because they didn't want to be bad mouth by you and
> your boyfriend steve, you should of asked the other way like, Who wants
> n9ogl to go?
> it's Ok, I know a no-code tech aren't the smartest one of the group
^^^^^^
It's ok (both caps wrong) isn't period .
This is from a collage education?

No code? Where does it say that? Is this info from your FBI butt buddies? Toad, you are the only one here bad mouthing, you started with calling ALL hams idiot appliance operators and saying how much smarter you are then everyone else here. Does your class of license make you better then me, smarter then me? I might have gone from Novice to Tech, meaning I had the same theory test that you had and just 5 wpm code. BUT toad if a higher license class makes you better, so be it, just remember that Steve and Dave and Dan all are a higher class then you. Heck, everybody here is higher class then you! :)
Also remember, after the extensive search you did to find a post or two that somebody with this call made on QRZ, you still didn't know the class of license until I pointed it out to you, idiot!
Toad, go vote on the other pole, everybody here is interested in what you think, NOT.
Another thing idiot, I never saw a post on your perv blog that praised you either, explain that?

1211. Re: The End Game: Baxter Thread of 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 12:41:45 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <_LidncBBM5wVsRLfRVn-hQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108394

Posted in rec.radio.amateur.misc

Sandie wrote:

> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:GAtje.4829$M36.3795@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "n9ogl" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1116552619.412882.147290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Dear imbred,
>>
>>Unlike Mr. Baxter, I find an unused frequncy for my show, So complain
>>all you want.
>>
>>Todd N9OGL
>>THE N9OGL SHOW
>>14.321.00 MHz
>>
>
>
> Ah come on folks, this guy can't be for real. No one can be so
ignorant,
> or can they?
>
> frequncy imbred \
>
> BWAHHHHHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAAA
>
> Dan/W4NTI
> ==========
>
>
> Oh, he's for real, Dan. Not even Rodney Dangerfield could be this funny.
>
> The sad part is that this guy is serious and hasn't a clue.

Toads reply to prove that he doesn't have a clue!

In what way???

Todd N9OGL

1212. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 13:33:57 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <8NGje.1355$Xh.750@fed1read07>
Source record: 108395

"Orville" <FunHouse@noLen> wrote in message
news:d6mkledcb0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Leave it to Len to repost 100 plus lines to say what he could say in six
> or
> less words.
> Brevity, Len, brevity.
>
Hey I can do that

The cellphoners LOST

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

1213. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 13:53:37 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116683617.266088.266370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108396

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message

. . . . .

> Given that you (Len) believe morse is NOT operating
> radio, would it be your desire to see morse banned
> as a mode of use by amateurs?

He'd *love* it of couse.

> > They (amateur morsemen) will leap and embrace the
> > slightest thing that makes morse "better" than any other
> > mode. :-)
>
> I don't see anything in the morse win over text
> messaging that suggests that. The morse win over
> text messaging was a specific comparison only.
> The win did not prove nor did I see any amateur
> in this newsgroup suggest that the win showed
> that morse was "better than any other mode."

It was an enjoyable stunt pulled together by the show's producers and
nothing more and none of us "Morsemen" have taken it any further
either. He's taking the leap simply to generate dissention. Which is
normal behavior on his part and is the only reason he lurks in this NG.
.

> Yes, I've been around
> this newsgroup long enough to know that there is
> a handful (or at least was at one time) of hams that
> might have held such "morse is better than any other
> mode" perspective, but I think the issue has
> ckarified significantly in recent years to the point that
> the issue is the TEST and only the test for most
> hams.

Of course and I'm one of those. The sky will not fall if eventually
nocode Extras show up on the bands and I suspect that most if not all
us PCTAs hold the same view. At no point thru the years have I for one
ever made any all-inclusive statements like "Morse being better than
any other mode", this nonsense is 100% of his making to serve his own
strange purposes.

> As such, I applaud the morse win over Text
> messaging because it was a good opportunity to
> get some publicity for ham radio. Will text messaging

> always be second (speed wise) to morse...who knows,
> but without a full keyboard for entry, I suspect it
> will be...but maybe someday there will be telepathic
> input of text at which point all bets are off :-) :-)

Definitely off!

> Cheers and congrats again to the morse winners.
> Bill K2UNK

w3rv

1214. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 16:34:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116693263.210058.177530@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108397

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri,May 20 2005 1:15 pm
>
> ><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >news:1116568554.750090.25650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
. . . . .

> > Now if the input device for
> >text messaging had been a full keyboard, then I'd expect
> >the text messaging to win out as I belive records for
> >typing/keyboarding (100+ WPM I think) do exceed
> >the morse record (70+ per someone else's post).
>
> Agreed again, but - again - that bit on the "Tonight
> Show" was never any "contest" to "prove" anything.
>
> A few things are evident in this newsgroup. Firstly,
> there are the cast-in-concrete conservatives who have
> been brainwashed into believing that the ULTIMATE
> skill in amateur radio is morsemanship.

Uh, no that's not the way it works at all, has nothing to do with
"brainwashing", has to do with CW being a very attractive mode for a
number of reasons for those of us who use the mode and nothing more.
Fact is that with a couple possible exceptions I don't believe there's
a CW op in this NG who doesn't use other modes too. So blather like
your "ULTIMATE skill in amateur radio is morsemanship" are simply
screwball contrivances on your part which you post in hopes that
they'll provide a platform which focuses attention on you.

> Secondly,
> there is that handful of irregular regulars in here
> trying to "win out" over anyone expressing any
> opinion other than theirs...those stop at nothing to
> attempt damaging their "opponents" credibility
> through the usual attempted intimidation and personal
> insults.

Bwaahaha! From the master of all of the above! Hypocrite.

> It matters not - in this newsgroup environment - that
> the rest of the radio world has "put morse to the test"
> and found it wanting in favor of better communications
> modes. The only practitioners of morsemanship still
> active and USING it are in amateur radio in the USA.

That's simply bullshit. Hams from upwards of 200 DXCC countries show up regularly in the two major annual CW DX contests. Many if not most of those countries require that their hams pass code tests in order to operate in the HF bands and in the contests.

> >Sorry Len, I can't agree with your statements here.
> >Like it or not, morse operating IS real operating radio...
> >just as driving my antique cars is real automobile driving.
>
> Bill, in all honesty,

Bwaahaha! As if.

> I was talking about the PCTA Extra
> Double Standard brainwashed diehards in here...NOT
> yourself...OR driving antique cars.
>
> Witness the constant statements of that "expert military
> communicator" who keeps insisting I was "only a radio
> mechanic"

By the military definition were you or were you not ever a U.S. Army radio operator?

> or the critic who never served but "had dinner
> with the Captain (of an aircraft carrier)." :-)

Any number of times actually. In fact every Friday nite while underway. Do you have a problem with it?

Maybe this is the point in history when you can uncork an ongoing mystery for me. There's been piles of bafflegab from all quarters in this NG over the years about who done what in the military and who didn't, etc. Looming over all this is my complete failure to understand what anybody's military service or a lack thereof has to do with ham radio policies in general and more specifically with discusssions about retaining the code tests in Part 97. I just don't see any logical connection betweem the two basic topics. If you have a few spare moments would you be kind enough to explain this linkage in terms I might be able to grasp?

> Morsemanship IS PART of radio operating...but ONLY of a
> radio that actually DOES USE on-off keying of the RF
> carrier with morse code. Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED
> by anyone operating an aircraft radio - either civilian
> or military. Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED of anyone
> operating a broadcast services transmitter. Morsemanship
> is NOT REQUIRED for anyone sending a GMDSS distress or
> safety message. Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED of any of
> the radios (in the millions) used in Public Safety or
> Private Land Mobile Radio Service. Not in the FCC
> regulations for those radio services...and others.

Why should Part 97 licensees have to "do" what other services do? Or
not do.

> >Is morse commonplace outside of ham radio? No
> >it isn't, but that does not make the use of morse by
> >hams any less "operating radio" then any other mode.
> >
> >Given that you (Len) believe morse is NOT operating
> >radio, would it be your desire to see morse banned
> >as a mode of use by amateurs?
>
> Bill, that's NOT a "given." IF and only IF morse
> code skills ARE REQUIRED in radio operation, then
> morsemanship IS a PART of radio operation.
>
> Do not try to put words in there that I am "banning
> morse code operation" in amateur radio. I am NOT.
>
> Others - in here - have already tried that. They
> have failed...but they keep persisting in their
> misguided attempts to suppress the real subjects by
> their personal attacks and misstatements against others.
>
> You haven't posed a viable question.

Bilge. He sure as hell did pose a viable question and nailed you to the
wall in his very polite way. Now cut your duck, bob and weave routine
and answer Bill's direct question, "would it be your desire to see
morse banned as a mode of use by amateurs?". Yes or no.

. . . .

> >The win did not prove nor did I see any amateur
> >in this newsgroup suggest that the win showed
> >that morse was "better than any other mode."
>
> Bill, I will have to put you down as a LITERALIST then.
> A "literalist" is one who takes all written text as it
> is, unable to read in anything "between the lines" and
> acting like some "language purist."
>
> I'm sorry you've turned into that.

Yeah, I'll just bet you are since you're the local Lord of Twisted
Verbiage. Bill has your number just like the rest of us have, you're
toast Sweetums, the last credible NCTA has left your house of cards.

. . .

> So, 91 years after the ARRL was formed, the best national-
> exposure "publicity for amateur radio" is a rigged late-night
> TV show segment ridiculing cell phone TXT-ing?

Good policy point (??!)

Toast.

<snore>

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

w3rv

1215. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 17:20:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116696058.648442.33860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108398

I wouldn't bet on it

N9OGL

1216. What makes the toad a better person?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 18:09:23 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <oZKdnXbDIZrO5BLfRVn-rQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108399

What makes the toad a better person then the rest of the people here?
His class of license?
His 1 year a gaylerville Community Collage?
His good upbringing?
All his knowledge and inventions?
Could it be his large vocabulary?
His sense of fairness?
How about his ability to C&P info from the FCC rules?
His willingness to express his thoughts, even though they sound like
an echo of some other idiot?
His source of never ending funds in which he buys an unlimited amount
of home built equipment, but alas, there's not enough to buy a cheap
windows machine, or an apartment of his own.
His endless lies, or his typing profanities in CAPS every time he's caught?
Could it be his snappy, well thought out comebacks? OR maybe, just
maybe his gay looks and cloths?
Maybe it's where he lives in a small town where you can't put a line
between the business district and the residential area?
Tell us toad, what makes you so much better then everybody else?

1217. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 18:34:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116700460.311841.201930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108450

>Stevey said
> As for "no BS'ing about issues", so far all I have seen you offer
>is parroting wha the ARRL put's on their newsletter.
>You're an intellectual thief.

Copyright law does not protect Facts, Copyright law protects the way a
work is express, in a fix form.
nice try though..

>You ARE the aforementoned foul mouthed punk.

really, well your a lying asshole....until you can prove I use foul
language on the radio...you a liar. just because I use foul language on
here doesn't mean I'm using it on the radio...that's like accusing
someone of being gay when they are not because they way the look.

>There is no Todd O'Dochartaigh licensed as N9OGL

booo hoooo go tell it to someone who cares...jerk

>Where no one will be listening for you...In a part of the 2 meter
>band that is not in accordance with the established band plan for 2
>meters.

bandplans on VHF and UHF vary from state to state.

>Wimp. You couldn't whip up enough ERP to override your
>neighborhood CB jammer. How embarrassing.

That'sbarefoot...like I said before I have access of transmitter as
high as 10KW if I need them, I have become a collector of transmitters
for a long time.

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

1218. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 18:57:12 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <XbCdnQcUnM59PxLfRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108451

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
"triumph" in this non-contest twit of the TXT-ing fad. :-)
>
> Indeed, all the amateur morsemen in here do the cheering
> and "morse-patriotism" thing because they, too, have been
> brainwashed into thinking that morsemanship is "real
> operating" in radio. They will leap and embrace the
> slightest thing that makes morse "better" than any other
> mode. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

So, can you operate Morse lennieboy?

1219. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 21:17:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116710247.093820.3240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108452

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > > Bofum actually. Between the artifact "battle-scarred" RTTY
repeater
> > > babysitter and his mindless T5 shipping dock carton-kicking
> > syncophant
> > > . . yeah, they both make the "grade". Plop-plop.
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > "Shipping dock, carton-kicking what?" Hi, hi!
> >
> > I was never a loggie!
> >
> > I'm still curious about your claims of "real military experience."
> >
> > Got any?
>
> In uniform? Zip.
>
> In my J.A. Banks suits you bet!
>
> What's any of it have to do with ham radio??

You tell me. You're the one who made the "real military service" brag
on this very forum. Real military service wich you don't have.

> > And I didn't say any on you'se guys at Dayton today.
>
> They prolly saw you first and ducked out of sight.
>
> w3rv

So the mighty Tree RV didn't make it either?

Some hams you guys are.

1220. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 21:20:58 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116710458.352574.65160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108453

```
bb wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > > Bofum actually. Between the artifact "battle-scarred" RTTY
> repeater
> > > > babysitter and his mindless T5 shipping dock carton-kicking
> > > syncophant
> > > > > . . yeah, they both make the "grade". Plop-plop.
> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > "Shipping dock, carton-kicking what?" Hi, hi!
> > >
> > > I was never a loggie!
> > >
> > > I'm still curious about your claims of "real military
experience."
> > >
> > > Got any?
> >
> > In uniform? Zip.
> >
> > In my J.A. Banks suits you bet!
> >
> > What's any of it have to do with ham radio??
>
> You tell me. You're the one who made the "real military service"
brag
> on this very forum. Real military service wich you don't have.
>
> > > And I didn't say any on you'se guys at Dayton today.
> >
> > They prolly saw you first and ducked out of sight.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> So the mighty Tree RV didn't make it either?
>
> Some hams you guys are.

That would be "which" for little-man Steve.
```

1221. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 21:23:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116710601.789736.259420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108454

From: "Bill Sohl" on Sat,May 21 2005 3:07 am

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1116637277.570039.30120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri,May 20 2005 1:15 pm
>>
>>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>news:1116568554.750090.25650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

>> A few things are evident in this newsgroup. Firstly,
>> there are the cast-in-concrete conservatives who have
>> been brainwashed into believing that the ULTIMATE
>> skill in amateur radio is morsemanship. Secondly,
>> there is that handful of irregular regulars in here
>> trying to "win out" over anyone expressing any
>> opinion other than theirs...those stop at nothing to
>> attempt damaging their "opponents" credibility
>> through the usual attempted intimidation and personal
>> insults.
>
>Personally, if someone wants to believe that morse
>is THE ULTIMATE skill in amateur radio, that's
>their right and opinion. Clearly neither you nor I
>agree with that.

Agreed on that agreement. Others go way over the line
of the subject on their "replies." That isn't deniable.
It's archived in Google (for those that want to relive
past messaging, seeking to "avenge their wrongs").

For years and years the ARRL has emphasized morsemanship
over and above all other skills in amateur radio. The
Why of that is rooted in a time before us, back in the
30s when T.O.M. ruled. Three-quarters of a century later
times have changed.

>> It matters not - in this newsgroup environment - that
>> the rest of the radio world has "put morse to the test"
>> and found it wanting in favor of better communications
>> modes. The only practitioners of morsemanship still
>> active and USING it are in amateur radio in the USA.
>> All the other radio services in the USA have given up
>> on using any form of morse code for communications.
>> [automated station IDs in morse tones is not
>> communications]
>

>Actually there are others in amateur radio "outside"
>the USA that also embrace morse as a favored mode.

Fine for them. However, that doesn't have any bearing on
morse code use OR testing IN the United States of America.

>>>Sorry Len, I can't agree with your statements here.
>>>Like it or not, morse operating IS real operating radio...
>>>just as driving my antique cars is real automobile driving.
>>
>> Bill, in all honesty, I was talking about the PCTA Extra
>> Double Standard brainwashed diehards in here...NOT
>> yourself...OR driving antique cars.
>
>OK, but that's not how it read.

Tsk. You are "not able" to recognize these PCTA Extra
Double Standard bearers parroting the ARRL over-
emphasis on morsemanship?!? That's surprising. Most of
their myths seem self-evident.

I was NOT speaking of driving antique cars. Were there
any actual running "cars" ("horseless carriages" self-
contained, carrying people and driven by people) in 1844
when the first Morse-Vail Telegraph System began operating?

>> Witness the constant statements of that "expert military
>> communicator" who keeps insisting I was "only a radio
>> mechanic" or the critic who never served but "had dinner
>> with the Captain (of an aircraft carrier)." :-)
>
>I try to avoid all personal attack commentary.

If time permits, I'll meet it head-on...and usually defeat
the insulters...whether they admit it or not (very few have
the courage to do anything but harass, heckle, and insult
in "replies").

The big difference is that I DO have documentary evidence
on what I write...and it is referenced elsewhere.

>> Morsemanship IS PART of radio operating...but ONLY of a
>> radio that actually DOES USE on-off keying of the RF
>> carrier with morse code.
>
>Which covers a considerable amount of radios. Additionally,
>it is not simply CW (on-off keying of carrier) that allows
>use of morse. I can send morse as an on/off tone via any
>FM capable transmitter.

You have now entered the area of reducto ad absurdum.

Yes, you CAN whistle morse code characters on any voice
transmitter. Or use a little code practice oscillator set
with a speaker beeping into the microphone...if there isn't
any pucker left in your whistle. :-)

But WHY? Because you "can?" :-) How does that demonstrate
"real operating?"

Bill, to venture into the absurd, I can rig up an AFSK kluge
to send Data over a voice transmitter...and "read" it via
most any available audio-connected-only commercial "TOR" modem.
All that "proves" is that kluges are possible. It is much
better to connect them electronically, follow the technical
requirements in Title 47, and do it properly.

On that alleged "contest" of morse code versus TXT-ing on TV,
it would have been more fair to have the morsemen whistle or
beep or make whatever sound-equivalent to a morse code signal
over cellphones rather than using ham radio equipment. Or
use VOICE on the cell phones in a competition with morse code
over ham radios. But...that would defeat the purpose of this
"test" which was intended as a "funny" put-down of a popular
fad among young people of today.

>> Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED
>> by anyone operating an aircraft radio - either civilian
>> or military. Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED of anyone
>> operating a broadcast services transmitter. Morsemanship
>> is NOT REQUIRED for anyone sending a GMDSS distress or
>> safety message. Morsemanship is NOT REQUIRED of any of
>> the radios (in the millions) used in Public Safety or
>> Private Land Mobile Radio Service. Not in the FCC
>> regulations for those radio services...and others.
>
>Agreed and nothing I said contradicts that.

Bill, you were NOT being "contradicted."

>>>Given that you (Len) believe morse is NOT operating
>>>radio, would it be your desire to see morse banned
>>>as a mode of use by amateurs?
>>
>> Bill, that's NOT a "given." IF and only IF morse
>> code skills ARE REQUIRED in radio operation, then
>> morsemanship IS a PART of radio operation.
>
>Well at this point, morse as a requirement is only
>required for General and Extra class licenses...which
>is a requirement I am totally on record as opposing.
>
>> Do not try to put words in there that I am "banning
>> morse code operation" in amateur radio. I am NOT.
>

>Good...thanks for the clarification.

WHAT "clarification," Bill?

You made a most-definitely-LOADED statement. It was akin
to "when did you stop beating your wife" kind of thing.

I've said before that I am NOT for "banning morse code
operation by amateurs." Look all over in Google as you
wish and you will see that.

By the way, when did YOU stop beating your wife? :-)

[see how easy it is? :-)]

>> Others - in here - have already tried that. They
>> have failed...but they keep persisting in their
>> misguided attempts to suppress the real subjects by
>> their personal attacks and misstatements against others.
>>
>> You haven't posed a viable question.
>
>The question was posed to see what role, if any,
>you accept as valid via amateur radio.

It was? :-)

Tsk. I thought you were simply trying a "civil" rejoinder
or something about my alleged "hatred of amateur radio." :-)

Lots in amateur radio are into "role" playing. Several of
those are in here, all busy busy making "claims" of radio
experience (not to mention "hostile action" participation
in the military) that they can't back up. Several in here
put on self-appointed robes of "jurists" busy trying to
"sentence" all who disagree with them. :-)

>> Call it a "loaded test" akin to a "loaded question."
>> A SETUP.
>
>Call it whatever. It makes no difference as I see it.

I didn't see the Friday night episode of the "Tonight Show"
and had to ask a friend over at NBC on Alameda about it.
Quite a different take on it, but supporting my
contention, when information is gathered from the show's
production people. [NBC Western Hq is on Alameda Blvd
in Burbank, CA, about a few miles away from my house]

>> Cellular telephony does not, nor was it ever designed, to
>> send textual communications. Cellular telephony was
>> designed and implemented to communicate by VOICE.
>
>Agree totally...which is why I would expect morse

>to win as it did.

So, you CAN agree that it was a very biased "contest?"

>>The win did not prove nor did I see any amateur
>>in this newsgroup suggest that the win showed
>>that morse was "better than any other mode."
>>
>> Bill, I will have to put you down as a LITERALIST then.
>> A "literalist" is one who takes all written text as it
>> is, unable to read in anything "between the lines" and
>> acting like some "language purist."
>> I'm sorry you've turned into that.
>
>I will wear the badge of "literalist" with pride. Frankly
>there's too much reading between the lines anyway.
>If people can't be straight-up, then I'm not here to
>second guess their true intentions.

Okay, so EVERYONE has to dot their "i" and cross their "t"
properly and BELIEVE what the ARRL tells them is true? :-)

Very little "second guessing" is needed with this bunch.
Their agendas are clear and easy to see as a neon sign.

>>>Yes, I've been around
>>>this newsgroup long enough to know that there is
>>>a handful (or at least was at one time) of hams that
>>>might have held such "morse is better than any other
>>>mode" perspective, but I think the issue has
>>>ckarified significantly in recent years to the point that
>>>the issue is the TEST and only the test for most
>>>hams.
>>
>> This newsgrope group is NOT "most hams." :-)
>
>I didn't say it was.

Ah, but the handfull of PCTA Extra Double Standard bearers
seem fond of using their royal "we" (of the "amateur
community") is "critiquing" those that don't agree with
them!

Bill, you are NOT on "trial" here and these public messages
are NOT private and directed solely to you. [just a
reminder] :-)

>> It is a handful of PCTA Extra Double Standard bearers
>> on some personal "mission" to "win supremacy" in a
>> newsgroup. They will readily fall-to anyone speaking
>> against the league-speak and attack them like starving
>> vultures after ripe carrion. :-)
>

>The newsgroup has "some" folks that might fit your
>description...but they are fewer today than before and
>they are not sole participants in the newsgroup as
>others (you, me, etc) clearly have differing viewpoints.

There are NO "differing viewpoints" with that group,
Bill. They claim the only "truth" and all others are
"liars," "in error," "simply mistaken," etc. :-)

To NOT agree with these PCTA Extra Double Standard self-
imposed "judges" of all is to invite a barrage of their
anger and hatred and scathing insult commentary that is
NOT limited to radio subjects. Quod erat demonstrandum,
QED (not a Q code abbreviation).

>>>As such, I applaud the morse win over Text
>>>messaging because it was a good opportunity to
>>>get some publicity for ham radio.
>>
>> "Publicity," yes. But at what price? By putting other
>> means of communications DOWN in a rigged test?
>
>Should we have a pity party for the poor text messaging
>record holder. If the text message folks feared a put
>down then they had the option to not play. They decided to
>play and they lost....fair, square, contrived or how ever
>you feel it was inevitable that text messaging would lose.

Okay, then you agree it was a SETUP? :-)

>> If that's the only means of "getting publicity" nationally,
>> then it is of rather low taste.
>
>Why is it of low taste? Did the losers get razzed by the morse
>winners?

Not on the show. In HERE. :-)

Several anony-mouses spoke up about the "triumph" of morse
(as a 160-year-old "technology" according to one anony-
mouse). :-)

>When was the last time you saw morse used on any TV
>show...especially as a mode used by hams? I watch a fair
>amount of TV and can't recall it.

The last time I remember was a bad rewrite of "The
French Atlantic Affair" as a movie-for-TV. That novel
of the same name was written by a radio amateur and
reviewed in one of the ham publications. Years ago.
Total rewrite of the plot characters...the ham heros
in the TV version were young teeners (with Extra
calls) instead of the medical doctor on the ship and

the TV writer in Beverly Hills doing the "CW" bit to
circumvent the hijacking of a French ocean liner.
Good suspensful novel, I thought (as did reviewers)
but an awful hokey rewrite for TV (as did reviewers).

> But the issue of publicity is
>simple. The airing of the morse vs text messaging contest
>was a brief opportunity to expose amateur radio to
>the public. I have no doubt that there would not be a sudden
>wave of new interest because of that airing, but perhaps
>it stirred some interest in a few...which is fine by me.

Okay...but, remember, you are not on trial here. :-)

Maybe David Letterman can come up with some better
publicity on his show? Something besides his "ten?"
And don't forget the CABLE channels...

Just think, the new Military channel could have Stebie
in a half hour interview explaining his "A" NCOIC ops on
Okinawa MARS as saving the free world. Or Kellie, in his
finest Banks suit, on the Food channel telling of the
dining (with the Captain) on aircraft carriers (served by
"drudges" of course)...perhaps his "shooting bears" would
still be Classified by Navy Intelligence. Tremendous
possibilities, Bill!

The History channel could do a whole hour documentary on
the successes - and failures - of the ARRL's first trans-
continental messaging system. Discovery channel could go
a whole hour on "Now You're Talking" about how morse code
is the "international language" in this new millennium
despite the pervasive Internet now linking most of the
world with no ionospheric problems.

Endless possibilities, Bill!

>Then argue against the test. Your post appeared to be
>far more focused against morse use in general rather
>than specifically the test requirement.

To use an old military term...TS. :-)

Saying ANYTHING negative to the PCTA Extra Double Standard
bearers is to invite the usual starving-vulture feeding
frenzy of OUTRAGE that anyone would speak against morse!
:-)

In effect, you are "blaming me" for "inciting a riot" (in
here). :-)

I am supposed to sit idly by and take all the **** such as
"I was 'only' a radio mechanic" (as a real E-5 supervisor in
a station FAR bigger than anything any ham organization has),

I "dishonor" deceased members of my military unit by honoring them, my wife got two Masters degrees by going to a "correspondence school" (University of Illinois at Urbana), I was "only a bench tech" in the aerospace industry, that an independent ham publication was "no good," "defunct," after 22 years of independent periodical sales and considered the best technical periodical on amateur radio? Not to mention that wonderful little expression of "PUTZ" written by a non-Yiddish person...or that I "didn't express 'interest' in radio" because I didn't get a ham license first before going into the radio-electronics industry, getting a commercial license first.

[there are many more examples...all are in Google archives]

Yes, Bill, you can lay ALL kinds of "blame" on ME. No problem. That suits the complacency and proper "position in the (closed) society" of amateur radio...all marching to the same music as composed in Newington "for the good of all." Critics of the "service" should all be SILENCED lest they "upset the status quo." All for ORDER in the "service." :-)

This newsgroup is full of EXAMPLES of modern-day licensed radio amateurs. Good "publicity" for amateur radio? Yes, for INSIDE amateur radio where the controllers can hold all miscreants silent while they berate the "infidels" who will not toe the line as they command.

OUTSIDE of amateur radio, where amateur radio must coexist with all other radio services, is another story. Even more so with the general public who are not overly interested in the HOBBY of some, not obligingly respectful of the claims of greatness by those INSIDE the hobby. <shrug>

So, Bill, three minutes of "publicity" on national TV is "good." After how many years of NONE AT ALL?

>As before, I try to stay out of the personal attack
>commentary that I've seen some of these discussions
>reduced to.

Okay, we'll put you down as CONDONING the actions of some of these licensed radio amateurs.

You did say that "some publicity" is better than none, right?

>> According to the U.S. Census Bureau figures for 2004, there
>> are 100 million cell phone subscriptions in the USA. How
>> many morse code operators are there in radio in the USA?
>
>Your point?

"Point?" What "point?" I asked "how many morse code operators are there in radio in the USA." [I didn't restrict that to just

radio amateurs]

One in three Americans has a cell phone subscription. It's a safe bet that there are at least 100 million cell phone handsets operational now. Hams are outnumberd by cell phone users at least 100:1.

Again, according to the U. S. Census Bureau statement (of 2004), in 2003 at least one in five Americans had some kind of Internet access. That's roughly 60 million Americans. The Internet enables all instant communications with every continent except Antarctica. Was "good publicity" responsible for that?

Twenty years ago neither of those cell phone or Internet statistics could have been stated. Suddenly (after 20 years) there they are.

Morse code has been around for 161 years. It was once the only means possible for "instant" communications anywhere. No longer. If morse code was so "good," "so triumphant" why didn't it survive and grow?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1222. Re: Didn't see Robeson at Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 21:24:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116710678.948670.269790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108455

Adam wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1116634364.315940.235590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> No sawed-off, chubby little guy in a flight suit. I even went to the
> ARRL boothes, the MARS booth, and the (Wisconsin) CAP RV.
>
> Steve was no where to be found.
>
>
> So what were you expecting to do if he was there?
>
> Shootout at the Dayton Corral?
> Challenge him to spitwads at ten paces?
>
> Which CB flea market tailgate where you working from?
>
> (BTW, bb Earp...it is 'booths', not boothes.)

Don't know. Steve had issues that he couldn't resolve on this forum.

1223. Re: Didn't see Robeson at Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 21:29:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116710963.756506.295580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108456

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > No sawed-off, chubby little guy in a flight suit.
>
> I don't know any sawed off little guy's in flight suits, Your
> Lyingness.

I only know one. You can see a photo of him at

http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/profile.html

> > I even went to the
> > ARRL boothes, the MARS booth, and the (Wisconsin) CAP RV.
> >
> > Steve was no where to be found.
>
> What a coincidence...I didn't see you either.

Another new lie?

> Go figure.

Not hard to figure your lyingness out.

1224. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 21:34:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116711284.450888.282500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108457

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > > 73
> > > Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> > > THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
> > > 14.321.00 MHz
> > > 52.430.00 MHz
> > > 145.650.00 MHz
> > > Power 100 Watts.
> >
> >> The truth? You don't know what the truth means you idiot.
> >> Why the heck transmit on all the bands, if you're on 144 mhz why
put
> it
> >> on other bands higher? It's all local after that, why waste
> frequencies?
> >>
> >> Since you are a no code tech you have no dog in this fight.
> >> Upgrade and then comment.
>
> Uh....Brain....???

Moron.

1225. Re: More Proof That Steven J Robeson K4YZ Is A Habitual Liar!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 22:03:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116713032.641939.135630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108458

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Thurs,May 19 2005 3:26 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >> > > I made NO threats, "thinly veiled" or otherwise about
ahrm
> to
> >> > > anyone or their wives...
> >> >
> >> > Your words, Steve, ~A person only has to feel threatened for a
> threat
> >> > to have ocurred.
> >>
> >> In this case, I think the "threat" is your fear of what your
> WIFE
> >> is going to think about the silliness you conduct in this forum.
> >>
> >> Momma swing a nasty rolling pin, does she...?!?!
> >
> >Hi! You tell me.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Stebie, at a loss for anything else, MUST bring in
> WIVES to the non-discussion.

Threats of "Dialing...." Threats of talking to other people's wives...

Silly Gunny is finally coming to the conclusion that he is impotent in
having others bend to his will. "Must. Get. Reinforcement." to
borrow a line from Captain James T Kirk.

> He attempts humiliation and shame of others, a mild form of
> Personal Attack mode that is supposed to be "non-threatening."
> All he has is a NON-reply and a NON-threat.

He is finally coming to the realization that he is Quiteineffective in
the Extra Bully role model.
>
> >> > Unless you include the harm that MIGHT come to
> >> > > YOU if I were to mail some of your posts to your spouses and
let
> them
> >> > > see how you act in public.

> >> >
> >> > Don't mail anything to my home. If you do, the Postal Inspector
> will
> >> > open the package.
> >>
> >> Sure they will.
> >>
> >> And the WORST thing they might find are hard copies of your
> lies,
> >> deceit and public misconduct, Brian.
> >>
> >> And by the way, the "Postal Inspector" doesn't "inspect"
FedEx,
> >> DHL, UPS, etc...
> >
> >Rest assured that any local authority will do the opening. Send
> >nothing to me or addressed to my home.
>
> Stebie has elevated the "threat" level. He thinks. :-)
>
> Tsk. He should go back to "signing" his posts with "Steve and
> the Boys" (as if he had a "gang" of anything but his split
> personalities).

Sick freak.

> >> Truth.
> >>
> >> You should try it some time.
> >
> >Try sending some my way and I will.
>
> Poor Stebie. In his world, whatever he says/writes/shouts IS
> "truth." Reality and the rest of the human society doesn't
> think so.

It takes a village idiot. Maybe when Hillary gets elected he'll
finally get the help that the Veterans Administration has been unable
to give.

> >> > > Unaccpetable to YOU since I frequently point out your
> errors,
> >> > > mistakes and blatant lies and have no reservation to say so.
> >> >
> >> > You lie a lot.
> >>
> >> Nope.
> >
> >Right. You lie a whole lot.
>
> Tsk. It's a good thing he isn't into real estate. He would have

> been arrested for fraud long ago with his "lots." :-)

Sand lots. Kicking sand. Kicking and kicking lots of sand. He's a
banty rooster.

> >> I agree that you don't LIKE what I say...Mostly because what
I
> >> ahve to say shreds your silly rants to bits, but that doesn't make
> it
> >> lies.
> >
> >Still waiting on that "authentic" MARS documentation. Got any?
>
> He doesn't "ahve" any authentic documentation. <shrug>

Maybe he was born at home by an unwed mother. <shrug>

> >You know what you say of people without "authentic" documentation?
>
> PCTA Extra Double Standard. QED and deja vu. :-)

He goes to the back of the line at the department of redundancy
department.

> >Your words, your rules, your embarassment.
>
> The PCTA Extra Double Standard makes it all "different."

I agree. It makes these guy's core values quiteridiculdous.

> >> > > Sorry it's annoying to you. I've told you before if
you'd
> >> stop
> >> > > lying people would stop calling you a liar.
> >> >
> >> > Speaking of lying, where is your "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa
> >> documentation?
> >>
> >> The abbreviation is "ANCOIC", Brain, and it's waiting for you
> to
> >> go first...Afterall, I've had questions in front of you for YEARS.
> >
> >But I've already been labeled a liar.
> >
> >So you produce your authentic documentation or forever be known as a
> >liar and a gay man.
>
> Stebie doesn't "ahve" any documentation. Because he doesn't,
> he has to go into his chicken dance all around producing the
> documentation. QED once again.

Chicken dance??? Hi, hi!

I'm reminded of the Octoberfest (in September) with sawed-off, fat little guys in flight suits squawking and making a spectacle of themselves. Hi!!!

> >> Unlike YOU, however, I've given specific dates, times, details,
> >> locations, etc...More than enough for any reasonable person to make
> a
> >> solid third party determination.
> >
> >And I have given such information previously. Additionally, had you
> >had a QSO with me, you'd have my QSL card with exact GMT date/time and
> >frequency hand written by me on it. Unfortunately for you, you were
> >unable to make the trip.
>
> Stebie keeps "tripping" over his own writings in here.

>>>EVERYONE<<< keeps tripping over Silly Gunny's writings in here.

> >Since you didn't get one of my cards, you have labeled me a liar and a
> >gay man.
>
> Stebie is an emotionally volatile Sore Loser. Poor baby.

And a Cold Sore. Infantile.

> >So it is your turn to provide authentic documentation of your alleged
> >MARS appointment to "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa, or will will forever be
> >known as a liar and a gay man.
>
> Sounds fair enough to me.

>Equally Fair< as he has been to me!

> Tsk. I'll bet Stebie doesn't have name/rank of the NCOIC he
> was "assistant" to. :-) Or of the OIC in charge of the
> MARS station. Or of the equipment at that mighty MARS station.

He doesn't have to remember such inane details. The appointing official is named in the signature block of his authentic letter of appointment.

Did he ever have any official documentation??? I think not.

> >> You, on the otherhand, continue to be devious, deceitful and
> >> deceptive.
> >>
> >> In otherwords...a liar.
> >
> >Got papers? No papers = LIAR!

> >
> >Your words, your rules, your embarassment.
>
> Stebie hoist hisself on own petard. :-)

I've Quiteenjoyed holding the Silly Gunny to the standards he has set for me. Not surprisingly, he has been unable to live up to his own standards. Yet he begs and begs me to accept less than authentic documentation. I think he is lying about most things he's claimed.

> >> > Send nothing to my home. You've been notified. Best of Luck.
> >>
> >> You obviously don't think Mrs. Brain has much of a sense of
> humor.
> >> Your tone and response leads me to believe I am closer to THAT
> truth
> >> than you'd care I be...
> >>
> >> Wonder what she'd think about you trying to keep the truth
from
> >> her?
> >>
> >> Wonder what she'd think about finding out that her husband
has
> an
> >> alter ego she doesn't know about, Brain...?!?!
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Hi! Multi-Steve says other people have alter-ego's. Hi, hi!>
> >
> >Projection? Ayup.
>
> With all his multiple personalities working hard, he has us
> outnumbered, Brian! :-)

Wonder if he has them all on a time clock. Prolly runs into some big money.

> But, psychological projection he DOES do in here. He can't
> admit it without "losing face" so he waltzes around every
> claim, trying to blame others for his own faults. He can't
> help himself at this stage.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Welp, I find him Quiteineffective at the >>>Bully<<< role, try as he might. Maybe one day he will find serenity in the Hillary Clinton Home for Wayward Maniacs. Until then, we either keep trying to point him in the right direction, or killfile him. What do you suggest?

1226. Re: Is Robeson off his rocker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 22:37:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116715025.130237.15810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108459

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I made NO threats, "thinly veiled" or otherwise
about
> > ahrm
> > > > > to
> > > > > > > anyone or their wives...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Your words, Steve, ~A person only has to feel threatened
for
> a
> > > > threat
> > > > > > to have ocurred.
> > > > > >
> > > > > In this case, I think the "threat" is your fear of what
> your
> > > > WIFE
> > > > > is going to think about the silliness you conduct in this
> forum.
> > > > >
> > > > Momma swing a nasty rolling pin, does she...?!?!
> > > >
> > > Hi! You tell me.
> > >
> > Why?
> >
> > She's YOUR wife. You told me you didn't want me anywhere
near
> > the
> > > family, Brain.
> > >
> > Confused again?
> >
> Not at all. You give all of the signs of a stalker.
>
> Nope.
>
> If I was a "stalker", you'd never know it until it was too late.

...Sayeth the stalker. I find you Quitetreacherous and creepy. Keep
your distance.

> > Always needing to talk to my wife, and wanting equal time with my
> son.
>
> Wrong on the "needing" to talk to your wife OR son.

You're lying again, oh creepy one!

> Just interested to see what kind of hair I raise on your neck
when
> I suggest what THEIR reaction might be if they got ahold of YOUR
posts
> from this forum.

The hair is raised, oh creepy one.
She's been informed about your problem.
She is prepared to deal with you, as I am.
Even my youngest knows how to dial 911.
Stay away. You've been warned.

> I think we ALL have a pretty clear picture of what THAT would be
> like.

You couldn't begin to imagine.

> You're scared to death that she'll see what your alter-ego does
on
> the computer.

Hi!

> > After posting my
> > street number "430" in one of you last posts, I thought you might
> have
> > stopped by on your way to the Dayton Hamfest.

So Creepy Steve, why did you drop the number "430" in your latest post
on rrap??? Planning on doing a little pre-Hamfest stalking? Post
Hamfest-stalking?

> > So did she swing a nasty rolling pin or did she wave her revolver?
>
> A revolver...?!?!

Yep, a five-shooter. Tree Fife Seben. Get it??? Tree fife Seben???
Hi, hi!

Go read your MARS MOD (for the very first time) and figure it out.
Hi!!!

> You leave your wife home alone with a REVOLVER...?!?!?!

Not "a" revolver, Silly Gunny. "Her" revolver.

And I don't consider her alone. Why would you?

>
BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! !

Hi, hi! Jokes on you. The very last laugh is always on you.

> Brain, I doubt she'd do either.

She's not much in the baking department, just biscuits and such. I
usaully get the birthday cakes from the bakery.
So the roller pin routine is just a figment of your over-vivid
imagination.

But I have absolutely no doubt she'd do the latter. I actually fear
coming home late.

> She married YOU,

Indeed. Smart woman. The smartest I've ever known. She could have
done better.

so with the exception of a few like Lloyd, Roger,
> Todd and Lennie, the only way left for her is up.

I know Len. I don't travel in the same circles as the others. Are
they buds of yours?

> Sheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeesh.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Sheesh indeed. Stay away. You'd be stupid not to, but intelligence
isn't your strong suite. Sigh!

You've been notified. Stay away. Get it??? Say yes, please.

1227. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 22:59:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116716342.117923.77240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Thurs,May 19 2005 3:05 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> > > Poor lil Stebie doesn't understand that "AF" is a common
> >> > > abbreviation for AIR FORCE.
> >> >
> >> > Steve can't be wrong.
> >>
> >> Sure he can...and sometimes is...but not this time.
> >
> >Yes, this time. Again.
>
> Tsk. I think Stebie done tried to use "AF" meaning
> "armed forces." He be confused. Not the first time.
>
> >> > > Stebie has the "proof in his wallet." :-)
> >> >
> >> > Careful, now. Steve is a Captain in the CAP. He might try to
> have you
> >> > do puh ups.
> >>
> >> "puh ups"...?!?!
> >>
> >> Are you having a hard time finishing what you start, Brain?
> >
> >I soft-stroked the "s." It taht a Federal Crime? Homosexual
> Behavio[u]r?
> >
> >How's your "rank" holding up?
>
> Stebie is as rank as they come.

Stinks like a lying sack of excrement.

> >> > > Stebie will probably babble about "calling the VA" for
> >> > > "verification" on that.
> >> >
> >> > Steve either has documentation or he doesn't. And if he
doesn't,
> his

> >> > words come back to haunt him once more. No documentation means
> you
> >> > never did it.
> >>
> >> No haunting, Brain.
> >
> >Hi! Then produce your documentation.
>
> Tsk. Stebie doesn't have any. Claims, brags, hot air, yes.
>
> NO PROOF.

Even if his references could confirm his claims, it's likely they
wouldn't. There's a reason he was the "A" NCOIC.

> >> The "haunting", here, is you refusing to act on the
information
> >> provided you.
> >
> >I don't act on "hot" tips.
> >
> >Produce authentic documentation.
>
> Stebie no got them. Tsk.

Hmmmm? No docs = lies. His rules, his logic, his embarassment.

> >> Dates...times...places...callsigns....
> >
> >Yes! Authentic documentation with dates, times, places and names.
> >
> >Got any?
>
> He no got.

Then he one sorry sack of excrement. Stinking to high heaven.

> >> You know...those little things called "facts"...(I know
that's
> a
> >> new concept for you...deal with it though...)
> >
> >Exactly!!! Facts. Got any?
>
> No proof. Zero. Zip. Nada.

That's a problem. That's a huge problem, ...an insurmountable problem.

Just what does he think we'll accept without authentic documentation???

> >> > > Meanwhile, back to the Extra Double Standard newsgroup
where
> >> > > mighty macho morsemen can call their opponents "jackass"

and
> >> > > "horse's ass" and "penis head" (in Yiddish) but their
> >> > > opponents must be oh-so-polite and civil back to them.
That
> >> > > kind of talk is "approved" by Jimmie Noserve...
> >> >
> >> > Jim served in "other" ways.
> >>
> >> You and Lennie brag about having been Veterans, however you
seem
> >> to have a problem with understanding exactly what being a veteran
is
> >> and what it stands for.
> >>
> >> The last five Presidents of the United States seem to have
had
> a
> >> clear vision of volunteerism...and also about "service".
>
> In all of Title 47 C.F.R., the term "service" is a regulatory
> one denoting the type and kind of radio activity being
> regulated. Stebie doesn't understand that. He thinks that
> the amateur service is some kind of "national service" or
> "service to the country." :-)
>
> In that case, the Citizens Band Radio SERVICE will have all radio
> amateurs outnumbered by a large ratio. :-)

He's talking about the guys driving 1982 Subaru station wagons with
"save da mediteranean fruit fly" and rainbow bumper stickers.

> >Indeed. Jim could have volunteered to serve in the Unites States
> >Military. He had other more important things to do like pursue a
> >degree in engineering, and looking out for himself. And the
military
> >still needs engineers, even if they are civilians. Go to
> >WWW.USAJOBS.OPM.GOV and select "Middle East."
>
> Doesn't matter. Jimmie Noserve is an EXPERT on the military.
> He KNOWS how military men feel/act/etc. Jimmie can "correct"
> any veterans' "mistakes." He's read several books on the
subject...

Jimmy is Mr. Fiction. "No Experience = Shut-Up" according to da double
standard.

> >> Guess they shudda consulted with you two first, eh...?!?!
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >I'm good with volunteerism in the U.S. armed services. Tell Jim to

> let
> >us know how it's going when he gets there.
>
> Now THAT will be INTERESTING! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Highly, highly unlikely. Guys like Jim have no problem letting guys like you, me, and Steve take the risks. They set way, way back and make the calls.

Later on you learn that they gave blood at the ARC Bloodmobile on the third Thursday and are very, very good with it all. They "served" in other ways. Cheers with a little glass of OJ. Hi!

1228. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 23:05:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116716717.534628.323190@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108461

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> For years and years the ARRL has emphasized morsemanship
> over and above all other skills in amateur radio.

How, Len?

Let's look at some examples...

Contests and Operating Activities:

Almost every contest ARRL sponsors is open to modes other than
Morse Code. The two exceptions are Straight Key Night and the
160 Meter Contest.

Public Service:

Most ARES activities are organized around voice modes, not Morse Code.
The NTS structure has had both voice and Morse Code nets for more than
40 years.

Publications:

QST has articles on every new mode that comes down the pike. Articles
on Morse Code are no more numerous than any other mode. There have long
been regular columns on VHF/UHF, digital modes,
and even SSB (in the late 1940s and early 1950s). No column
on Morse Code.

The long list of ARRL publications includes a very few books on Morse
Code. Other modes are at least as well represented. It has been many
years since Morse Code had its own chapter in the Handbook.

W1AW:

Bulletins and other information are sent from W1AW on voice, RTTY and
Morse Code. Morse Code does have its own practice sessions sent from
W1AW.

Awards:

Every operating award but one can be earned using modes other than
Morse Code. The sole exception is the Morse Code Proficiency program,
which starts at 10 wpm.

Policy:

In 1953, when the FCC opened all amateur operating privileges to all US
radio amateurs except Novices and Technicians, ARRL did not
try to require more code testing for full privileges.

In 1963, in its original "incentive licensing" proposal to FCC, ARRL proposed that full privileges be available only to Advanced and Extra licensees, and that the Advanced be reopened to new issues. This meant that full priviliges would require more written testing but not more code testing.

In 1990, ARRL supported the creation of a nocodetest amateur radio license.

In 1998, ARRL proposed reducing the code test requirement for a General class license from 13 wpm to 5 wpm, and the code test requirement for an Extra class license from 20 wpm to 12 wpm.

In 2001, ARRL took a neutral stand on retaining code testing as a part of ITU-R treaty requirements.

In 2004, ARRL proposed to FCC that code testing be retained only for the Extra class license, and only at 5 wpm.

So - how can it truthfully be said that:

"For years and years the ARRL has emphasized morsemanship over and above all other skills in amateur radio." ?

That's not a loaded question.

1229. "Lessee....430...." Stalker Robeson has my address in his sights...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 23:27:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116718023.664841.226510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108462

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Brian Burke does the same thing. So I ignore him.
> > > >
> > > > That only became a problem after you stated that a Morse Code
> Exam
> > > > would pose a barrier to Morse Code use and I brought it to the
> > front.
> > >
> > > And you STILL haven't explained yourself or made your point.
> >
> > No explanation necessary. Jim made the NCTA Point!
>
> What point? There's only you and Lennie going "SEE! SEE!"
>
> > > > Now you call people names and swear a lot.
> > >
> > > As opposed to YOUR swearing and YOUR name calling?
> >
> > I don't snip out a person's legitimate callsign because it
"offends,"
> > then turn around and call someone an ###hole.
>
> You just skip to the profanities.
>
> Now I see the difference.
>
> > > Oh..And not to forget...Your habitual lying and deceit...???
> >
> > How can I forget that. You've got that corner locked up tight.
>
> No...
>
> I have YOU locked tight in that corner...
>
> Well...No...On second thought, YOU have you locked in that
corner.
>
> > > Lessee....430....

Why does Robeson have my street address in his sights?

1230. Beyond creepy. Why does Robeson stalk me???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 23:39:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116718773.032912.48480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108463

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > > Brian Burke does the same thing. So I ignore him.
> >
> > That only became a problem after you stated that a Morse Code Exam
> > would pose a barrier to Morse Code use and I brought it to the
front.
>
> And you STILL haven't explained yourself or made your point.
>
> > Now you call people names and swear a lot.
>
> As opposed to YOUR swearing and YOUR name calling?
>
> Oh..And not to forget...Your habitual lying and deceit...???
>
> Lessee....430....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

"Lessee....430...." what???? What??? I made no reference to "430."

Why does Steve stalk my street address??? Creepy!!!

Does he plan on stopping by my address on his way to the Dayton
Hamvention??? On his way home???

Gotta talk to my wife??? Equal time with my son???

Yikes! Extra Creepiness. Jim/N3EY might approve, but no one else
would.

1231. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-21 23:50:40 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116719440.232432.94330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108464

bb wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > > Bofum actually. Between the artifact "battle-scarred" RTTY
> repeater
> > > > babysitter and his mindless T5 shipping dock carton-kicking
> > > syncophant
> > > > > . . yeah, they both make the "grade". Plop-plop.
> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > "Shipping dock, carton-kicking what?" Hi, hi!
> > >
> > > I was never a loggie!
> > >
> > > I'm still curious about your claims of "real military
> experience."
> > >
> > > Got any?
> >
> > In uniform? Zip.
> >
> > In my J.A. Banks suits you bet!
> >
> > What's any of it have to do with ham radio??
>
> You tell me. You're the one who made the "real military service"
> brag
> on this very forum. Real military service wich you don't have.

Heh. That's 100% bullshit Burke and you know it. Cite the post by
date/time.

> > > And I didn't say any on you'se guys at Dayton today.
> >
> > They prolly saw you first and ducked out of sight.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> So the mighty Tree RV didn't make it either?
>
> Some hams you guys are.

How's your "antanna project" coming along?

1232. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 00:04:47 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116720287.431758.261520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108465

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > For years and years the ARRL has emphasized morsemanship
> > over and above all other skills in amateur radio.
>
> How, Len?
>
> Let's look at some examples...

> Policy:
>
> In 1953, when the FCC opened all amateur operating privileges to all
US
> radio amateurs except Novices and Technicians, ARRL did not
> try to require more code testing for full privileges.

Their very, very last effort at leadership. Fifty two years ago.

HALF A FRIGGIN LIFETIME AGO FOR RADIO EXPERIMENTORS!!! Idiot!

> In 1963, in its original "incentive licensing" proposal to FCC, ARRL
> proposed that full privileges be available only to Advanced and Extra
> licensees, and that the Advanced be reopened to new issues. This
meant
> that full priviliges would require more written testing but not more
> code testing.

I advocate that full privs be established for 90WPM tested amateurs.
Got any??? Privs for those not able to achieve 90WPM will be
reassigned to the BPL bands. Idiot!

> In 1990, ARRL supported the creation of a nocodetest amateur radio
> license.

Why not 1987??? Idiot!

Where was the ARRL's leadership when the FCC made the "Novice
Enhancement?" Why did the FCC have to go it alone without the ARRL's
"""Leadership???""" Extra-wipe! Idiot!

N2EY is so full of EXTRA excrement that I just can't allow the rest of
his original post to be quoted. You offend thinking people unlike any
"off color" callsign that you would care to strike.

1233. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 00:18:51 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116721131.347647.138740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:xmlje.3504$X92.644@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >> I guess the fact that the Morse guys did it faster and
> >> with 100% accuracy doesn't account for anything, eh?
> >
> > Doesn't account for much!
> > It's kind of like a speed contest between a turtle and a snail ---
mildly
> > interesting, but inconsequential when comparing them to the speed
of a
> > cheetah.
> > de Hans, K0HB
>
> Hans has it nailed pretty much. The value of the Leno
> Sjow contest was the publicity for ham radio. Beyond
> that, it was just a mildly interesting win for the hams.
> Other than that, what else does the morse vs text
> messaging contest win "account for"?
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Bill, are you and Hans trying to tell me that scores and scores of
youthful white males aren't going to go out and nail the 5aka13-15WPM
Farnsworth exam at the next Hamfest???

I'm inclined to agree. What were they thinking???

1234. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 00:20:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116721244.996114.291480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> > The value of the Leno
> > Sjow contest was the publicity for ham radio. Beyond
> > that, it was just a mildly interesting win for the hams.
> > Other than that, what else does the morse vs text
> > messaging contest win "account for"?
>
> Actually, Bill, I think the main focus of the Leno show
> contest and its Australian predecessor wasn't ham radio
> at all.
>
> There's a certain mindset that automatically connects
> "newer" with "faster/better/easier". There's even a
> word for it: "neophilia", meaning unreasonable love
> of new things.

Like your new favorite word, "neocon?"

1235. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 00:37:22 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116722242.253486.21310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108468

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > > Bofum actually. Between the artifact "battle-scarred" RTTY
repeater
> > > babysitter and his mindless T5 shipping dock carton-kicking
> > syncophant
> > > . . yeah, they both make the "grade". Plop-plop.
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > "Shipping dock, carton-kicking what?" Hi, hi!
> >
> > I was never a loggie!
> >
> > I'm still curious about your claims of "real military experience."
> >
> > Got any?
>
> In uniform? Zip.

That's right. So don't go blathering that you've got anything even
resembling "real military experience" again. Got it?

You've been outed.

> In my J.A. Banks suits you bet!

Hi!

> What's any of it have to do with ham radio??

Absolutely nothing!

So why did you make such lying claims on a ham radio newsgroup???

You've been outed!

> > And I didn't say any on you'se guys at Dayton today.
>
> They prolly saw you first and ducked out of sight.
>
> w3rv

I saw Ed Hare up on stage while I renewed my membership for the very last time. Haynie was approachable.

Though I disagreed with Ed, I actually respected his presence on RRAP right up to the time he called me a bootlegger. He crossed the line and he knows it.

I could have respected Kelly as well. He made the same mistake and he has told lies. For example, he has no military experience though he has said otherwise.

1236. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 00:41:35 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116722495.815057.57900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108469

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > Bofum actually. Between the artifact "battle-scarred" RTTY
> > repeater
> > > > > babysitter and his mindless T5 shipping dock carton-kicking
> > > > syncophant
> > > > > . . yeah, they both make the "grade". Plop-plop.
> > > > >
> > > > > w3rv
> > > >
> > > > "Shipping dock, carton-kicking what?" Hi, hi!
> > > >
> > > > I was never a loggie!
> > > >
> > > > I'm still curious about your claims of "real military
> experience."
> > > >
> > > > Got any?
> > >
> > > In uniform? Zip.
> > >
> > > In my J.A. Banks suits you bet!
> > >
> > > What's any of it have to do with ham radio??
> >
> > You tell me. You're the one who made the "real military service"
> brag
> > on this very forum. Real military service wich you don't have.
>
> Heh. That's 100% bullshit Burke and you know it. Cite the post by
> date/time.

Hi! If you want to characterize your words as 100% bullshit Kelly,
I'll not stand in your way.

> > > > And I didn't say any on you'se guys at Dayton today.
> > >
> > > They prolly saw you first and ducked out of sight.
> > >
> > > w3rv

> >
> > So the mighty Tree RV didn't make it either?
> >
> > Some hams you guys are.
>
> How's your "antanna project" coming along?

So how was your visit to Dayton?

1237. Question on web spiders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 00:42:04 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <vzQje.1452$Xh.1367@fed1read07>
Source record: 108470

Maybe not the right place but seems there are several web experts here.

Can web spiders read and harvest e-mail addresses from a pdf file ?

Many users and folks like QRZ.com are using jpegs not ascii for listing
e-mails -- this seems to work.

So for pdf files without going to a jpeg --- are ascii text addresses
harvestable ?

Thanks

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

1238. Re: Question on web spiders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 01:53:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116726826.953998.64370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108471

Caveat Lector wrote:
> Maybe not the right place but seems there are several web experts
here.
>
> Can web spiders read and harvest e-mail addresses from a pdf file ?

Ask the Adobe people.

> Many users and folks like QRZ.com are using jpegs not ascii for
listing
> e-mails -- this seems to work.
>
> So for pdf files without going to a jpeg --- are ascii text addresses

> harvestable ?
>
> Thanks

Loads fewer spams since switching to this throwaway web name, despite
all of the heartfelt objections of loser K4YZ.

1239. The Great Liberty Net - 3.860

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 02:07:53 UTC
From: Juicy Oranges <borisfartgss0@amishonline.com>
Message-ID: <84210$428fe9ca$d1cc7bf5$10234@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 108472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The Great Liberty Net is ON THE AIR now !

3.860 LSB

1240. Re: The Great Liberty Net - 3.960 CORRECTION! 3.960 mHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 02:09:59 UTC
From: Juicy Oranges <borisfartgss0@amishonline.com>
Message-ID: <4cd24$428fea31$d1cc7bf5$10379@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 108473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Juicy Oranges" <borisfartgss0@amishonline.com> wrote in message
news:84210$428fe9ca$d1cc7bf5$10234@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> The Great Liberty Net is ON THE AIR now !
>
> 3.-60 LSB

Sorry ! That's 3.960 Mhz

1241. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 02:29:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <h8Sje.20099$Bg3.13159443@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom... just so others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe to grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are gone to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to return... however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...

Regards,
John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
> Hi gang!
>
>
> Just for some grins, check this out:
> http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>
> It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
> sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
> listened
> to about 37 years ago ....
>
> The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>

1242. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 02:41:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116729684.814760.176920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108475

```
bb wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > > Bofum actually. Between the artifact "battle-scarred" RTTY
> repeater
> > > > babysitter and his mindless T5 shipping dock carton-kicking
> > > syncophant
> > > > > . . yeah, they both make the "grade". Plop-plop.
> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > "Shipping dock, carton-kicking what?" Hi, hi!
> > >
> > > I was never a loggie!
> > >
> > > I'm still curious about your claims of "real military
experience."
> > >
> > > Got any?
> >
> > In uniform? Zip.
>
> That's right. So don't go blathering that you've got anything even
> resembling "real military experience" again. Got it?
>
> You've been outed.
>
> > In my J.A. Banks suits you bet!
>
> Hi!
>
> > What's any of it have to do with ham radio??
>
> Absolutely nothing!
>
> So why did you make such lying claims on a ham radio newsgroup???
>
> You've been outed!
>
> > > And I didn't say any on you'se guys at Dayton today.
> >
```

> > They prolly saw you first and ducked out of sight.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> I saw Ed Hare up on stage while I renewed my membership for the very
> last time. Haynie was approachable.
>
> Though I disagreed with Ed, I actually respected his presence on RRAP
> right up to the time he called me a bootlegger. He crossed the line
> and he knows it.
>
> I could have respected Kelly as well. He made the same mistake and
he
> has told lies. For example, he has no military experience though he
> has said otherwise.

I consistently have more intelligent discussions with my bathroom
doorknob than I've ever been able to coax out of you Burke.

1243. Re: Is Robeson off his rocker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 04:23:45 UTC
From: Tommy <havingfun@bb>
Message-ID: <d6p1g2$d6m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108476

Sheesh indeed. Stay away. You'd be stupid not to, but intelligence
isn't your strong suite. Sigh!

You've been notified. Stay away. Get it??? Say yes, please.

The word I think you meant to use is "suit", not suite.
Then again, maybe you didn't.

1244. Armed Forces Day 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 04:33:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FYTje.4700$Lc1.3001@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108477
Cross-posted to: sci.military.naval,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: spacer.gif

I DON'T WANT TO BE A SAILOR

A little boy sat all alone, consumed by childish dreams
A baseball glove lay by his side, unraveling at its seams.
The final game had just been played, the score was 10 to 2
His little league team had lost again but that was nothing new.
Winning or losing didn't mean that much to this quiet, little lad
What bothered him most and hurt the worst was the absence of his dad.
There were seventeen kids and sixteen dads at almost every game.
And everyone knew why his dad didn't come but still it wasn't the same.

His daddy was a Boatswains Mate, a sailor all the way.
He'd been on cans and sweeps and such and often was away.
But then one day his dad came home without his usual grin
And told his Mom they had to talk, his orders had come in.

He heard his father speak of things he didn't understand
Like duty and honor and country and what's expected of a man.
His Mom sat awful quietly, her face was etched with fear.
He knew that she had heard some words she didn't want to hear.

And then his daddy picked him up just like any other day
And said "You know I love you, son, but I've got to go away."
"You know that I'm a sailor and you know what sailors do -
We run the ships and fight the wars for loved ones just like you."

To the boy it seemed like that had been a long, long, time ago.
And a boy really needs a dad around to help him as he grows.
To hold the bike when he learns to ride and to fix his baseball glove
But most of all he needs a dad to fill his life with love.

His mama read him letters that his daddy used to write
With strange new words he'd never heard that filled his heart with fright.
His daddy spoke of all the men who helped him fight the war
And how his Chief the day before had won the Silver Star.

His letters spoke of courage and a gallant brotherhood
Forged of soldiers and of sailors fighting for the common good.
And he praised the brave, young warriors - Army Green and Navy Blue
And he said "they keep the peace and fight the wars for loved ones just
like you."

Everyday the young boy waited for the mailman to come by
For he loved his daddy's letters but they made his mama cry.
Just how long, the young boy wondered, would his mama have to wait

For his daddy to come walking down the drive and through the gate.

As the young boy ate his sandwich and his mama swept the floor
There appeared two Navy chaplains knocking softly on the door.
We regret to inform you" was the way the speech began
Then the mother grabbed her little boy and out the door she ran.

Nestled softly in her bosom, the young boy began to cry
At his mama's mournful question "Oh Lord, Why? Oh Why? Oh Why?
Even at this tender age, he knew his dad was gone
And that he and his mama would always be alone.

A little boy sat all alone, consumed by childish dreams
A baseball glove lay at his side, unraveling at its seams.
He wiped away the tears of youth just as the hearse passed by
"Dad, I don't want to be a sailor. It makes my mama cry."

Larry L. Dunn
RMCM(SS)(NAC), USN Ret.

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: spacer.gif]

1245. Re: Armed Forces Day 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 04:44:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <87Uje.4239$X92.2620@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108478
Cross-posted to: sci.military.naval,rec.radio.amateur.policy

As The Old Man Turned

by Joe Roche

The old man said, "Stop the car, I'll walk the rest of the way." The younger man silently obeyed.

The old man got out of the car, leaning heavily on his cane, began the long walk down the deserted pier. Halfway down the pier he stopped. The younger man watched as the older man seemed to straighten up and uncurl his body that had been racked the last few years with rheumatism. The younger man got out of the car and slowly walked down the pier, until he stood about ten feet behind and to the side of the old man. He was worried about him. The old man hadn't been the same since his wife of fifty-six years died two years ago. He thought his request to visit this place was strange, but he took him here anyway, without question. He loved the old man and would certainly do anything he asked. He looked out to sea, wondering what the old man was looking for.

The years dropped from the older mans eyes as he watched the tall, strong young boy walking down the pier, in his summer whites, with his seabag jauntily thrown over his left shoulder with an arrogance that only is afforded the young. The young sailor was looking for his boat. When he found it, he crossed the brow, saluted the ensign and the topside watch and reported aboard his very first duty station. It was 1944.

The young man was told to go below and ask for the COB, who would assign him his bunk. After the COB introduced himself he pointed to a bunk and told him, "That would be his world for the next patrol." He then introduced him to his new boss, who threw a white apron at him and told him change out of his whites, since he wouldn't be going anywhere for the next few weeks.
The next few weeks turned into two months of mess cooking, trying to qualify and his first war patrol. During which, his duty station was to sit in the mess hall and use a stop watch. If they were attacked by the Japs, he was to time and count the depth charges.

One patrol led to another and then another and by the end of the third patrol he finally received his coveted Dolphins. It was about three weeks after his fourth patrol that he woke up one morning with terrible pains in his abdomen. He could barely get around. He took some good-natured kidding about trying to get out of work, but by nightfall it was clear something was very wrong and he was rushed over to sick bay. After a preliminary examination it was determined that he had acute appendicitis and would have to be operated on.

It was while he recuperated that his boat went back to sea. He was angry that she went to sea without him and he missed being with his friends and crewmates,

but he understood. After all, there was a war going on.

After his recuperation was over, he was given a new set of orders to another boat and immediately went to sea. It was while he was at sea, he found out his first boat was long overdue and was assumed lost.

The old man's eyes clouded up and his memories of so long ago faded once more to a place that he hadn't visited in such a long time.

As the old man turned, the younger man saw a single tear run down his cheek and was overwhelmed with sadness for his grandfather. He wanted to do something to make it allright for him, but in his heart he knew he couldn't. That what ever the old man was going through, he and only he, would have to deal with whatever was bothering him.

On the long trip home, the younger man said, "Poppa, what was it that made you so sad back there on the pier?"

The old man never spoke to anyone, including his wife, about what had happened during the war. But he told his grandson. He told him because he finally realized that his story needs to be remembered by people, so these things wouldn't repeat themselves. He told his story. His grandson listened without interruption. He thought of his Poppa, a kid going away to war.

"Hell, at that age, I was getting ready for four years of fun at college," thought the younger man.

Two days after they returned home, the old man died peacefully in his sleep.

At the funeral, a card with the following poem was left in the old man's coffin. It read;

There is a port of no return, where ships
May ride at anchor for a little space.
And then, some starless night, the cable slips,
Leaving an eddy at the mooring place . . .
Gulls, veer no longer. Sailor, rest your oar.
No tangled wreckage will be washed ashore.

The young man did not see who placed it there.

1246. Re: Question on web spiders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 05:51:54 UTC
From: Chester <OhMy@guess>
Message-ID: <d6p6landt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108479

Loads fewer spams since switching to this throwaway web name, despite
all of the heartfelt objections of loser K4YZ.

<chuckle, snort, guffaw>

Pot, kettle.

1247. N0IMD Remains Documented Habitual Liar.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 08:55:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116752145.016620.210800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108480

bb wrote:

> I've Quiteenjoyed holding the Silly Gunny to the standards he has set
> for me. Not surprisingly, he has been unable to live up to his own
> standards. Yet he begs and begs me to accept less than authentic
> documentation. I think he is lying about most things he's claimed.

You may "think" anything you care to.

The facts are that the facts have been given to you and you're too
cowardly to verify them for yourself.

And I am far and away from any "standard" set by you, Brain.

You asked for information, and I gave it to you.

THEN you wanted "documentation".

YOU, on the other hand, have NEVER answered a single one of the
questions put to you by myself, Dave K8MN, Jim N2EY, etc. Not a single
answer HERE, let alone any "documentation".

Brian P Burke remains a documented habitual liar.

Steve, K4YZ

1248. Re: Didn't see Robeson at Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 08:58:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116752318.384397.5210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108481

bb wrote:
> Adam wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1116634364.315940.235590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > No sawed-off, chubby little guy in a flight suit. I even went to
the
> > ARRL boothes, the MARS booth, and the (Wisconsin) CAP RV.
> >
> > Steve was no where to be found.
> >
> >
> > So what were you expecting to do if he was there?
> >
> > Shootout at the Dayton Corral?
> > Challenge him to spitwads at ten paces?
> >
> > Which CB flea market tailgate where you working from?
> >
> > (BTW, bb Earp...it is 'booths', not boothes.)
>
> Don't know. Steve had issues that he couldn't resolve on this forum.

The only "unresolved issue" in this forum, Brain, is determining
why you remain a habitual liar even though you've been turned out on
each and every falsehood you've made.

Steve, K4YZ

1249. Re: Todd To Now Violate FAA Rules and Regulations, Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 09:00:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116752458.514546.295570@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108482

N9OGL wrote:
> I wouldn't bet on it

Well that's the first wise thing you've done, Todd...Because you'd lose and you'd be out that much more money you COULD have applied to your legal defense.

Steve, K4YZ

1250. Re: N0IMD Remains Documented Habitual Liar.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 09:14:12 UTC
From: Jihad <AllahSucks@animals>
Message-ID: <d6pigmdcu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108483

> I've Quiteenjoyed holding the Silly Gunny to the standards he has set
> for me. Not surprisingly, he has been unable to live up to his own
> standards. Yet he begs and begs me to accept less than authentic
> documentation. I think he is lying about most things he's claimed.

Brian continues his stupidity...

.

1251. Re: Is Robeson off his rocker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 09:17:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116753439.577391.293460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108484

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > If I was a "stalker", you'd never know it until it was too
late.
>
> ...Sayeth the stalker. I find you Quitetreacherous and creepy. Keep
> your distance.

No problem.

> > > Always needing to talk to my wife, and wanting equal time with my
> > son.
> >
> > Wrong on the "needing" to talk to your wife OR son.
>
> You're lying again, oh creepy one!

Nope.

YOU are the one who "needs" to talk to your wife, Brain. You need
to tell here what a disgusting lying creep you are, and how you've
embarrassed the family name in a public forum.

> > Just interested to see what kind of hair I raise on your neck
> when
> > I suggest what THEIR reaction might be if they got ahold of YOUR
> posts
> > from this forum.
>
> The hair is raised, oh creepy one.
> She's been informed about your problem.
> She is prepared to deal with you, as I am.
> Even my youngest knows how to dial 911.
> Stay away. You've been warned.

Here's a warning for you, Brain...

Have a lawyer on retainer.

> > I think we ALL have a pretty clear picture of what THAT would
be
> > like.
>
> You couldn't begin to imagine.
>
> > You're scared to death that she'll see what your alter-ego

does
> on
> > the computer.
>
> Hi!

Hello to you too. But I'd be worried about what your Mrs might
have to say when she get's wind of your REAL behaviour in this forum.

Lying.

Deceit.

Disgrace.

> > > After posting my
> > > street number "430" in one of you last posts, I thought you might
> > have
> > > stopped by on your way to the Dayton Hamfest.
>
> So Creepy Steve, why did you drop the number "430" in your latest
post
> on rrap??? Planning on doing a little pre-Hamfest stalking? Post
> Hamfest-stalking?

Nope.

> > > So did she swing a nasty rolling pin or did she wave her
revolver?
> >
> > A revolver...?!?!
>
> Yep, a five-shooter. Tree Fife Seben. Get it??? Tree fife Seben???
> Hi, hi!

A toy. And five rounds...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

Now who's making silly threats...?!?!

> Go read your MARS MOD (for the very first time) and figure it out.
> Hi!!!
>
> > You leave your wife home alone with a REVOLVER...?!?!?!
>
> Not "a" revolver, Silly Gunny. "Her" revolver.

Doesn't matter WHOSE revolver it is...It's a revolver.

You ever try to reload a revolver when the Pucker Factor is at 7.5
or higher?

> And I don't consider her alone. Why would you?

She's married to you. Even when you're there she's alone.

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! !
>
> Hi, hi! Jokes on you. The very last laugh is always on you.

Not this time...And never by you, Little Man!

> > Brain, I doubt she'd do either.
>
> She's not much in the baking department, just biscuits and such. I
> usaully get the birthday cakes from the bakery.
> So the roller pin routine is just a figment of your over-vivid
> imagination.

No...I bet it's pretty close...Maybe some other household
implement, but about the same...

> But I have absolutely no doubt she'd do the latter. I actually fear
> coming home late.

I imagine she fears you coming home....period.

> > She married YOU,
>
> Indeed. Smart woman. The smartest I've ever known. She could have
> done better.

Something we can both agree upon.

> so with the exception of a few like Lloyd, Roger,
> > Todd and Lennie, the only way left for her is up.
>
> I know Len. I don't travel in the same circles as the others. Are
> they buds of yours?

Nope. But I know they'd be beneath her...of course they're
beneath just about anyone, so that was a no-brainer.

> > Sheeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeesh.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Sheesh indeed. Stay away. You'd be stupid not to, but intelligence
> isn't your strong suite. Sigh!
>
> You've been notified. Stay away. Get it??? Say yes, please.

Notified of what?

That you're scared to death that Mrs Brain may find out what a
habitual liar you are? Or how you constantly make claims and

assertions that you can't won't back up? That you've made a mockery of the family name?

If I were you I'd start praying that Jr and Mrs Burke don't find RRAP. Oh, I am sure they'll get some good laughs from it, but in the end, they'll want to find out what motivates someone to call another a "habitual liar" in a public forum, and eventually they WILL learn the truth about your other nature.

Bummer, huh...

Steve, K4YZ

1252. Brian Burke Continues Deceit and Misdirection.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 09:26:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116754013.446172.195720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > The last five Presidents of the United States seem to have
> had
> > > a
> > > > clear vision of volunteerism...and also about "service".
> > >
> > > Indeed. Jim could have volunteered to serve in the Unites States
> > > Military. He had other more important things to do like pursue a
> > > degree in engineering, and looking out for himself. And the
> military
> > > still needs engineers, even if they are civilians. Go to
> > > WWW.USAJOBS.OPM.GOV and select "Middle East."
> > >
> > > > Guess they shudda consulted with you two first, eh...?!?!
> > >
> > > I'm good with volunteerism in the U.S. armed services.
> >
> > No, you're not
> >
> I must be. I volunteered. I kept volunteering.

No...you keep "volunteering" to collect a paycheck.

You quite obviously have a problem with the society that the
Constitution you alleged to support and defend provides.

> > > Tell Jim to let
> > > us know how it's going when he gets there.
> >
> > You swore to uphold and defend the Constitution of the United
> > States.
> >
> Against all enemies, foreign and domestic.

Yep. However there's no "enemies" of the Constitution here...

> > Obviously you have a problem, however, with people who live
> their
> > lives within that same Constitution.
> >
> Explain.

No explanation is necessary. Your on-going support of Lennie's
cowardly "Jimmie Noserve"'s rants is evidence enough.

> Hey, while you're at it, why don't you produce authentic
> documentation of your alleged "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa.

Right after we get some of the information we've been asking YOU
for for YEARS...

> > So...was your Oath as a member of the Armed Forces just some
> words
> > you recited in order to get a federal job? Sure looks that way.
>
> I'm offended that Jim claimed "service in other ways." What other
ways?

Why would you expect Jim to answer such an insulting question when
YOU have overtly refused to answer questions he's put to you for YEARS,
Brain?

(Rhetorical question...we know the answer...you're a coward...)

Steve, K4YZ

1253. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 09:53:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116755607.089391.72780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Stebie is as rank as they come.
>
> Stinks like a lying sack of excrement.

I smell like you?

Unlikely.

> Even if his references could confirm his claims, it's likely they
> wouldn't. There's a reason he was the "A" NCOIC.

Only one big one...being three paygrades junior to the guy who was
>>THE<< NCOIC.

> > Stebie no got them. Tsk.
>
> Hmmmm? No docs = lies. His rules, his logic, his embarassment.

I'm not the embarrassed one here, Brain.

In case you weren't paying attention, only Todd falls for your
misdirects, and that's because he's a developmentally delayed adult.

> > >> Dates...times...places...callsigns....
> > >
> > >Yes! Authentic documentation with dates, times, places and names.
> > >
> > >Got any?
> >
> > He no got.
>
> Then he one sorry sack of excrement. Stinking to high heaven.

I smell like you?

> > Doesn't matter. Jimmie Noserve is an EXPERT on the military.
> > He KNOWS how military men feel/act/etc. Jimmie can "correct"
> > any veterans' "mistakes." He's read several books on the
> subject...
>
> Jimmy is Mr. Fiction. "No Experience = Shut-Up" according to da
double
> standard.

So far, nothing Jim has stated has been incorrect. He's corrected you AND Lennie on numerous military issues, communications and otherwise, and has yet to be shown to be wrong.

> Highly, highly unlikely. Guys like Jim have no problem letting guys
> like you, me, and Steve take the risks. They set way, way back and
> make the calls.

Don't try to lump yourself in with me, Brain. Most of my time in the USMC was crewing the MC version of the Pave Low (CH53A and D), a lot of which got me put in places I was not real happy to be in.

You and Lennie got to play rear area office clerk for most of your tours. I give you some added credit for your Somalia tour, your misrepresentation of your Amateur Radio exploits notwithstanding, but not by much.

> Later on you learn that they gave blood at the ARC Bloodmobile on the
> third Thursday and are very, very good with it all. They "served" in
> other ways. Cheers with a little glass of OJ.

I lucked out. The only "injury" I suffered in the field was some sand that got in under my visor and flight glasses and irritated the be-jeebers out of me. Some of my colleagues weren't so lucky. That bloodmobile donation you just made fun of was their ticket home alive instead of in a bag.

Steve, K4YZ

1254. Brian Burke and More Unsubstantiated Accusations.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 10:03:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116756190.210137.115830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108487

bb wrote:

> HALF A FRIGGIN LIFETIME AGO FOR RADIO EXPERIMENTORS!!! Idiot!

> I advocate that full privs be established for 90WPM tested amateurs.
> Got any??? Privs for those not able to achieve 90WPM will be
> reassigned to the BPL bands. Idiot!

> Why not 1987??? Idiot!

> Where was the ARRL's leadership when the FCC made the "Novice
> Enhancement?" Why did the FCC have to go it alone without the ARRL's
> """Leadership???""" Extra-wipe! Idiot!
>
> N2EY is so full of EXTRA excrement that I just can't allow the rest
of
> his original post to be quoted. You offend thinking people unlike
any
> "off color" callsign that you would care to strike.

What's "off color" is your emotional, biases, unsubstantiated
accusations, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

1255. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 11:23:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116761019.480136.161560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108488

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > > 73
> > > > Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> > > > THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
> > > > 14.321.00 MHz
> > > > 52.430.00 MHz
> > > > 145.650.00 MHz
> > > > Power 100 Watts.
> > >
> > >> The truth? You don't know what the truth means you idiot.
> > >> Why the heck transmit on all the bands, if you're on 144 mhz why
> put
> > it
> > >> on other bands higher? It's all local after that, why waste
> > frequencies?
> > >>
> > >> Since you are a no code tech you have no dog in this fight.
> > >> Upgrade and then comment.
> >
> > Uh....Brain....???
>
> Moron.

Yes, Brain, I'd have to agree...You're a moron.

Allow me to reattach the part you snipped:

REQUOTE:

Uh....Brain....???

His comment addressed "144 mhz".

That is very clearly within the scope of his license.

And although he didn't mention it, six meters is within the scope
of his license too. As are the "higher local" frequencies.

He didn't address any comments to the 20 meter frequency.

UNQUOTE:

I'm trying reeeeeeeeeeal hard to figure what you thought was
moronic about pointing out YOUR error...Unless you were just accepting
responsibility for MAKING a moronic post....

Wake up, Brain...

You're just too stupid to realize that you're only slightly less transparent than wax paper.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

1256. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 11:33:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116761592.427575.246980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108489

N9OGL wrote:
> >Stevey said
> > As for "no BS'ing about issues", so far all I have seen you offer
> >is parroting wha the ARRL put's on their newsletter.
> >You're an intellectual thief.
>
> Copyright law does not protect Facts, Copyright law protects the way
a
> work is express, in a fix form.
> nice try though..

So...it's OK for you to just plod along behind the ARRL, stealing
their format and topics...?!?!

> >You ARE the aforementoned foul mouthed punk.
>
> really, well your a lying ###hole....

No, I'm not.

You're a foul mouthed punk. That's been proven in this forum.

Live it...Embrace it...You set the pace...

> until you can prove I use foul
> language on the radio...you a liar.

I didn't say "on the radio", Todd...

I just said you're a foul mouthed punk.

That's absolutely true.

> just because I use foul language on
> here doesn't mean I'm using it on the radio...that's like accusing
> someone of being gay when they are not because they way the look.

I didn't say 'on the radio", Todd...and why are you so "defensive"
about being gay? Lot's of people are gay. You'll be a lot happier
with yourself if you just let go and admit it.

> >There is no Todd O'Dochartaigh licensed as N9OGL
>
> booo hoooo go tell it to someone who cares...jerk

I am telling it to somone who cares, punk.

> >Where no one will be listening for you...In a part of the 2 meter
> >band that is not in accordance with the established band plan for 2

> >meters.
>
> bandplans on VHF and UHF vary from state to state.

And on your suggested channel there will be no one in IL or MO
listening for you.

> >Wimp. You couldn't whip up enough ERP to override your
> >neighborhood CB jammer. How embarrassing.
>
> That'sbarefoot...like I said before I have access of transmitter as
> high as 10KW if I need them, I have become a collector of
transmitters
> for a long time.

You've been a collector of fantasies for even longer.

> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

There you go...Lying in public again.

Todd, you get PO'ed when people call you a liar, yet you give them
so many chances to do so.

What's up with that?

Steve, K4YZ

1257. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 14:54:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Q21ke.4831$Lc1.1252@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108490

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> There is no Todd O'Dochartaigh licensed as N9OGL
>

I've been licensed almost 50 years and the FCC still doesn't know my first name either. So what?

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

1258. Re: Didn't see Robeson at Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 14:55:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116773732.393694.171430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108491

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Don't know. Steve had issues that he couldn't resolve on this
forum.
>
> The only "unresolved issue" in this forum, Brain, is determining
> why you remain a habitual liar even though you've been turned out on
> each and every falsehood you've made.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You had issues to bring to Dayton. So where were you?

1259. Re: Is Robeson off his rocker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 15:04:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Zb1ke.4836$Lc1.2339@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108492

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> You ever try to reload a revolver when the Pucker Factor is at 7.5
> or higher?
>

No need for a second round, let alone a reload. You might raise the Creepy Factor above midscale, but never the Pucker Factor.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

1260. Can anyone figure out Robeson's latest rant tangent?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 15:13:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116774794.412976.237140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > The last five Presidents of the United States seem to
have
> > had
> > > a
> > > > > clear vision of volunteerism...and also about "service".
> > > >
> > > > Indeed. Jim could have volunteered to serve in the Unites
States
> > > > Military. He had other more important things to do like pursue
a
> > > > degree in engineering, and looking out for himself. And the
> > military
> > > > still needs engineers, even if they are civilians. Go to
> > > > WWW.USAJOBS.OPM.GOV and select "Middle East."
> > > >
> > > > > Guess they shudda consulted with you two first,
eh...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > I'm good with volunteerism in the U.S. armed services.
> > >
> > > No, you're not
> >
> > I must be. I volunteered. I kept volunteering.
>
> No...you keep "volunteering" to collect a paycheck.

Hi! I suppose you wrote "VOID" across your paychecks and sent them
back?

> You quite obviously have a problem with the society that the
> Constitution you alleged to support and defend provides.

How so?

> > > > Tell Jim to let
> > > > us know how it's going when he gets there.
> > >
> > > You swore to uphold and defend the Constitution of the

United
> > > States.
> >
> > Against all enemies, foreign and domestic.
>
> Yep. However there's no "enemies" of the Constitution here...

So why are you bringing up the defense of the Constitution here?

You really ought to get your stuff in one sock before starting off on a
new rant tangent.

> > > Obviously you have a problem, however, with people who live
> > their
> > > lives within that same Constitution.
> >
> > Explain.
>
> No explanation is necessary. Your on-going support of Lennie's
> cowardly "Jimmie Noserve"'s rants is evidence enough.

You're Quitevague about your newest accusations. Please be more
specific.

> > Hey, while you're at it, why don't you produce authentic
> > documentation of your alleged "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa.
>
> Right after we get some of the information we've been asking YOU
> for for YEARS...

So then, you really are a liar. You're the very thing you claim of
everyone else.

> > > So...was your Oath as a member of the Armed Forces just some
> > words
> > > you recited in order to get a federal job? Sure looks that way.
> >
> > I'm offended that Jim claimed "service in other ways." What other
> ways?
>
> Why would you expect Jim to answer such an insulting question
when
> YOU have overtly refused to answer questions he's put to you for
YEARS,
> Brain?

Why wouldn't he? He has no problem insulting others.

> (Rhetorical question...we know the answer...you're a coward...)

Hi, hi! That's rich coming from the guy who couldn't make Dayton to
see me. Seven hostile actions? Hi!

So is Jim checking out the governments needs over in the desert? He would be drawing a nice, tax-free paycheck.

1261. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 15:29:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116775767.474797.115670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > Doesn't matter. Jimmie Noserve is an EXPERT on the military.
> > > He KNOWS how military men feel/act/etc. Jimmie can "correct"
> > > any veterans' "mistakes." He's read several books on the
> > subject...
> >
> > Jimmy is Mr. Fiction. "No Experience = Shut-Up" according to da
> double
> > standard.
>
> So far, nothing Jim has stated has been incorrect.

Hi! Silly Gunny has his blinders on.

Jim constantly makes statements on things which he has no experience in. Jim constantly tells others to be silent on things which they have little or no experience in. That is a clear double-standard. That you won't see it is telling.

He's corrected
> you AND Lennie on numerous military issues, communications and
> otherwise, and has yet to be shown to be wrong.

I recall you being corrected on military and MARS communications issues. Often.

> > Highly, highly unlikely. Guys like Jim have no problem letting
guys
> > like you, me, and Steve take the risks. They set way, way back and
> > make the calls.
>
> Don't try to lump yourself in with me, Brain. Most of my time
in
> the USMC was crewing the MC version of the Pave Low (CH53A and D), a
> lot of which got me put in places I was not real happy to be in.

The Reluctant Marine! Figures. Even reluctant people serve. So how did Jim serve in other way?

> You and Lennie got to play rear area office clerk for most of
your
> tours. I give you some added credit for your Somalia tour, your

> misrepresentation of your Amateur Radio exploits notwithstanding, but
> not by much.

You don't "give" me anything. My service is not up for your approval.

> > Later on you learn that they gave blood at the ARC Bloodmobile on
the
> > third Thursday and are very, very good with it all. They "served"
in
> > other ways. Cheers with a little glass of OJ.
>
> I lucked out. The only "injury" I suffered in the field was
some
> sand that got in under my visor and flight glasses and irritated the
> be-jeebers out of me.

So how did you malinger your way into a medical discharge requiring
rehabilitative therapy?

Some of my colleagues weren't so lucky. That
> bloodmobile donation you just made fun of was their ticket home alive
> instead of in a bag.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

People everywhere are lucky because others give blood and organ tissue.

But Jim says he "served in other ways." What ways?

1262. Case Closed. Robeson fails his own standards.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 16:00:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116777610.304428.232310@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108495

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > I've Quiteenjoyed holding the Silly Gunny to the standards he has
set
> > for me. Not surprisingly, he has been unable to live up to his own
> > standards. Yet he begs and begs me to accept less than authentic
> > documentation. I think he is lying about most things he's claimed.
>
> You may "think" anything you care to.

And with your permission, I think you are a liar.

> The facts are that the facts have been given to you and you're
too
> cowardly to verify them for yourself.

They are your claims. You must verify them with authentic, legitimate
documentation.

Start with your letter of appointment to your alleged "A" NCOIC
MARS/Okinawa.

> And I am far and away from any "standard" set by you, Brain.

Without doubt. Furthermore, you are far away from the standard that
you have set for others. Far, far away.

> You asked for information, and I gave it to you.
>
> THEN you wanted "documentation".

No. You made claims of holding a MARS leadership position, and you
have demonstrated an intense ignorance of MARS. It doesn't add up.
New layers of claims are not valid verification.

I ask for authentic documentation of your claims. Got any?

> YOU, on the other hand, have NEVER answered a single one of the
> questions put to you by myself, Dave K8MN, Jim N2EY, etc. Not a
single
> answer HERE, let alone any "documentation".

A lie. Yet another Robeson lie.

Nope, you guys needed papers, Steve. Papers. Papers from my Commander
and/or the United Nations, and papers from the ARRL for DXCC.

And so you have labeled me a liar and coward, and have made inuendo that I am a gay man and a pedophile.

So where is your documentation? Without documentation, wouldn't that make you a liar and coward, a gay man and pedophile???

Steve, can you stand up to the standards that you have set for others?

I guess not. Other than being a documented liar, I won't hold you to the other names that you've applied to me. This is the conclusion of another Robeson tragedy. Case closed.

1263. Re: Is Robeson off his rocker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 16:07:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116778069.262245.82740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108496

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > You ever try to reload a revolver when the Pucker Factor is at
7.5
> > or higher?
> >
>
> No need for a second round, let alone a reload. You might raise the
Creepy
> Factor above midscale, but never the Pucker Factor.
>
> dit dit
>
> de Hans, K0HB

Maybe he's got a Jason hockey mask. That's always good for a half a
point in the movies. What a creep.

1264. Re: Armed Forces Day 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 16:11:14 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.igw7aq8.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 108497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.military.naval

On Sun, 22 May 2005 04:44:52 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:

Hans, thank you for the two pieces which you posted.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1265. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 16:15:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116778501.460052.33610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108498

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> I consistently have more intelligent discussions with my bathroom
> doorknob than I've ever been able to coax out of you Burke.

Maybe you two have more in common.

1266. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 16:17:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116778648.799139.63050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

John Smith wrote:
> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom...
just so
> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe
to
> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are
gone
> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to
return...
> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>
> Regards,
> John

If it can't be the ham radio that they knew in their youth, they do
want it destroyed, gone, dead. Several have made such claims.

1267. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 19:17:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6V4ke.4872$Mb6.2211985@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The number of hams--worldwide, is fewer in number than the number of illegal aliens in California... they have already done it--their alzheimers may just be too advanced for them to realize it...

Warmest regards,
John

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1116778648.799139.63050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom...
> just so
>> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe
> to
>> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are
> gone
>> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to
> return...
>> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>>
>> Regards,
>> John
>
> If it can't be the ham radio that they knew in their youth, they do
> want it destroyed, gone, dead. Several have made such claims.
>

1268. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 20:14:38 UTC
From: Ralph <notbb@anon>
Message-ID: <d6qp6vtel0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Some of my colleagues weren't so lucky. That
> bloodmobile donation you just made fun of was their ticket home alive
> instead of in a bag.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

People everywhere are lucky because others give blood and organ tissue.

But Jim says he "served in other ways." What ways?

.

Give it a rest, old timer. What Steve (or any other person) did or did not
do in service to this country is none of your business.
Nobody owes you an answer to anything.

It is probably just as well that Steve didn't bump into you at Dayton. You
would have had to walk all the way back to your car to change your Relys.

1269. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 20:19:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116793157.473866.112440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "bb" on Sat,May 21 2005 3:59 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Thurs,May 19 2005 3:05 pm
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>

>> >> > > Stebie will probably babble about "calling the VA" for
>> >> > > "verification" on that.
>> >> >
>> >> > Steve either has documentation or he doesn't. And if he
doesn't, his
>> >> > words come back to haunt him once more. No documentation means
you
>> >> > never did it.
>> >>
>> >> No haunting, Brain.
>> >
>> >Hi! Then produce your documentation.
>>
>> Tsk. Stebie doesn't have any. Claims, brags, hot air, yes.
>>
>> NO PROOF.
>
>Even if his references could confirm his claims, it's likely they
>wouldn't. There's a reason he was the "A" NCOIC.

Brian, it doesn't matter in HERE.

Stebie will "come back" with "you are LYING" and make some kind of
dire implication "to reveal all to your wife" or some other
nonsense and just ignore production of actual proof. Stebie will
name-call, insult, denigrate anyone demanding that HE produce any
proof and that will be that. It's a standard Bluff.

>> >> The "haunting", here, is you refusing to act on the
information
>> >> provided you.
>> >
>> >I don't act on "hot" tips.
>> >
>> >Produce authentic documentation.

>>
>> Stebie no got them. Tsk.
>
>Hmmmm? No docs = lies. His rules, his logic, his embarassment.

Mere "details," Brian. Those are all "in his wallet" and "he
doesn't
have to show them" to anybody. :-)

>> >> Dates...times...places...callsigns....
>> >
>> >Yes! Authentic documentation with dates, times, places and names.
>> >
>> >Got any?
>>
>> He no got.
>
>Then he one sorry sack of excrement. Stinking to high heaven.

Not in Stebieland. He be perfumed there. :-)

>> >> You know...those little things called "facts"...(I know
that's a
>> >> new concept for you...deal with it though...)
>> >
>> >Exactly!!! Facts. Got any?
>>
>> No proof. Zero. Zip. Nada.
>
>That's a problem. That's a huge problem, ...an insurmountable
problem.
>
>Just what does he think we'll accept without authentic
documentation???

His "word!" <insert several lines of hyena laffing in here>

:-)

>> >> > Jim served in "other" ways.
>> >>
>> >> You and Lennie brag about having been Veterans, however you
seem
>> >> to have a problem with understanding exactly what being a veteran
is
>> >> and what it stands for.
>> >>
>> >> The last five Presidents of the United States seem to have
had a
> >> clear vision of volunteerism...and also about "service".
>>
>> In all of Title 47 C.F.R., the term "service" is a regulatory

>> one denoting the type and kind of radio activity being
>> regulated. Stebie doesn't understand that. He thinks that
>> the amateur service is some kind of "national service" or
>> "service to the country." :-)
>>
>> In that case, the Citizens Band Radio SERVICE will have all radio
>> amateurs outnumbered by a large ratio. :-)
>
>He's talking about the guys driving 1982 Subaru station wagons with
>"save da mediteranean fruit fly" and rainbow bumper stickers.

I think that Stebie's fanciful imagination was working overtime when
he got to the "presidential service" bit! :-)

Since FDR's time, Truman, Eisenhower, Kennedy, Johnson, Carter,
Reagan, Nixon, Ford, and G.H.W. Bush *ALL* served in the U.S.
military
but long before Stebie's alleged USMC "career." That's NINE U.S.
Presidents. I don't think any one of them had an amateur radio
license!

Clinton did NOT serve IN the U.S. military and Dubya never got
beyond the Air Guard. I don't think either one of them had an
amateur radio license. But Stebie gots an Extra AMATEUR license
so he is "comparable" in "service" to at least NINE U.S.
Presidents!!!

Geezus H. Cottonpicker, Stebie done stretched things out so FAR
that his comparison rubber BROKE. Stebie has SNAPPED.

This Memorial Day I'll do what I think on HONORING the veterans
of the United States military. You have and I have. I will
mention Cpl Elmer Hardy and Capt Jim Tomlinson, both gone
suddenly on the 1st of July, 1950, both members of *MY* Signal
Battalion (the one I served in). I will HONOR them and the
other 18 members of MY Battalion who lost their lives in the
same crash in Korea...sent there from the "rear-area" of Japan
by Gen MacArthur to improve communications after the North
Koreans invaded South Korea.

Stebie is going to say I "dishonor" them by mentioning them.
He has stated that before and that is just as damnably FALSE
as anything he has ever babbled. Normally I would have told
Stebie ("Mr. Seven Hostile Actions") to Go To Hell. He is
already there. Scroom.

>> >Indeed. Jim could have volunteered to serve in the Unites States
>> >Military. He had other more important things to do like pursue a
>> >degree in engineering, and looking out for himself. And the
military
>> >still needs engineers, even if they are civilians. Go to
>> >WWW.USAJOBS.OPM.GOV and select "Middle East."

>>
>> Doesn't matter. Jimmie Noserve is an EXPERT on the military.
>> He KNOWS how military men feel/act/etc. Jimmie can "correct"
>> any veterans' "mistakes." He's read several books on the
subject...
>
>Jimmy is Mr. Fiction. "No Experience = Shut-Up" according to da
double
>standard.

Jimmie Noserve never worked any REAL radio communications beyond amateur hobby stuff. He never took any Oath on being inducted and never even got to "dine with the Captain" (on an aircraft carrier) and being "served by drudges." He never ever had any worries that anyone on the "other side" would harm his precious being. He could sit back and laff and laff at the "errors" of getting wrong USSR aircraft nomenclature and poke fun at no-coders doing REAL COMMUNICATIONS just a few flying hours away from hostiles.

>> >I'm good with volunteerism in the U.S. armed services. Tell Jim to
let
>> >us know how it's going when he gets there.
>>
>> Now THAT will be INTERESTING! :-)
>
>Highly, highly unlikely. Guys like Jim have no problem letting guys
>like you, me, and Steve take the risks. They set way, way back and
>make the calls.

They are the "elite" and shouldn't risk their precious bodies in any way. We are the "drudges" that Kellie talks about, the lower class, not near as ELITE as the No-Serves.

>Later on you learn that they gave blood at the ARC Bloodmobile on the
>third Thursday and are very, very good with it all. They "served" in
>other ways. Cheers with a little glass of OJ. Hi!

I'll follow "Kizzie's salute" and spit in THEIR O.J. Foggem!

A respectful salute to you, Brian, as one veteran to another.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1270. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 20:31:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116793914.155051.67810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "bb" on Sat,May 21 2005 5:04 pm

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > For years and years the ARRL has emphasized morsemanship
>> > over and above all other skills in amateur radio.
>>
>> How, Len?
>>
>> Let's look at some examples...
>
>> Policy:
>>
>> In 1953, when the FCC opened all amateur operating privileges to all
US
>> radio amateurs except Novices and Technicians, ARRL did not
>> try to require more code testing for full privileges.
>
>Their very, very last effort at leadership. Fifty two years ago.
>
>HALF A FRIGGIN LIFETIME AGO FOR RADIO EXPERIMENTORS!!! Idiot!

Jimmie was THERE...in his heart. :-)

Gosh and gee-whilikers...in 1953 I was doing HF communications
while in the U.S. Army and didn't have to know a thing about
morse code. Reason is probably that NONE of the three dozen
high power transmitters at one station (only the 3rd largest in
the Army network) used any morse code. NONE of the personnel
at that station were required to know morse code to operate
all those transmitters (minimum 1 KW RF out, maximum 15 KW
but later increased to 40 KW). HF bands, 24/7 operations,
nearly a quarter million messages handled each month.

>> In 1963, in its original "incentive licensing" proposal to FCC, ARRL
>> proposed that full privileges be available only to Advanced and
Extra
>> licensees, and that the Advanced be reopened to new issues. This
meant
>> that full priviliges would require more written testing but not more
>> code testing.
>
>I advocate that full privs be established for 90WPM tested amateurs.
>Got any??? Privs for those not able to achieve 90WPM will be

>reassigned to the BPL bands. Idiot!

As Jimmie Noserve likes to put it, "that's simply 'untrue'." :-)

Brian, you have to understand that Jimmie is the Compleat
(amateur) Manchurian Candidate. Fully brainwashed into thinking
that the ARRL is the holy grail of "truth" and all good works.

>> In 1990, ARRL supported the creation of a nocodetest amateur radio
>> license.
>
>Why not 1987??? Idiot!
>
>Where was the ARRL's leadership when the FCC made the "Novice
>Enhancement?" Why did the FCC have to go it alone without the ARRL's
>"""Leadership???""" Extra-wipe! Idiot!

Jimmie is WRONG BY OMISSION. According to all the documents
PRIOR to the final decision on FCC 90-53, the ARRL was
AGAINST that. The ARRL only supported the final R&O on the
creation of the no-code-test Technician class AFTER THE FACT.

Jimmie just can't bring himself to admit that many OTHER
parties were trying to cancel the code test PRIOR to 1990.
Some time spent in the FCC Reading Room of the correspondence
PRIOR to 1990 will show enough support for No-Code-Test that
the FCC was lobbied into the 90-53 issuance.

Once the NO-CODE-TEST Technician class was established, the
ARRL had no other choice to "support" it...it would have been
politically destructive to the League to oppose it AFTER THE
FACT.

Jimmie wants to OMIT certain details to show that the ARRL is
in the "leadership." It isn't. The ARRL still hasn't gotten
a membership larger than a quarter of all licensed U.S.
radio amateurs.

The FASTEST GROWING CLASS in U.S. amateur radio - since 1991 -
is that very same NO-CODE-TEST Technician class. NO OTHER
class has grown so large, so quickly, in the history of
amateur radio as regulated by the FCC since 1934.

Jimmie is going to trot out his outworn cliches' and other
statements (nearly all sinning by omission of details) and
state "that is simply wrong." :-) He can't think for
himself anymore in amateur radio matters, apparently. He
is one of the number-one bunting putter-upper and flag
waver for the League. The ARRL can do NO wrong in his eyes.

>N2EY is so full of EXTRA excrement that I just can't allow the rest of
>his original post to be quoted. You offend thinking people unlike any
>"off color" callsign that you would care to strike.

In my view, Jimmie is so brainwashed that he can't help himself. He, like Stebie da Avenging Angle of Dearth, is into such a fantasy that Jimmie really thinks what he writes is "truth" and anything contrary is "untrue." Jimmie just can't conceive of his words being in error, therefore he speaks "truth."

As to the "off-color callsign" (Kim's vanity call), that's just his personal morality thing. It's almost like he took Vows and was ordained a Priest in the Holy Orders of the Church of St. Hiram...of the Latter-Day Radio Saints? Or was it Former-Day Radio Saints? Must be that for his wanting to cite all those "leadership" actions happening during and before he was born. Another amateur "role-model" to emulate on seeking the "highest goals" in amateur radio...complete Nirvana in morsemanship. Ho hum.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1271. Re: "Lessee....430...." Stalker Robeson has my address in his sights...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 20:48:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116794914.077172.315980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108504

From: "bb" on Sat,May 21 2005 5:37 pm

>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> > > Bofum actually. Between the artifact "battle-scarred" RTTY
repeater
>> > > babysitter and his mindless T5 shipping dock carton-kicking
syncophant
>> > > . . yeah, they both make the "grade". Plop-plop.
>> > >
>> > > w3rv
>> >
>> > "Shipping dock, carton-kicking what?" Hi, hi!
>> >
>> > I was never a loggie!
>> >
>> > I'm still curious about your claims of "real military experience."
>> >
>> > Got any?
>>
>> In uniform? Zip.
>
>That's right. So don't go blathering that you've got anything even
>resembling "real military experience" again. Got it?
>
>You've been outed.

Kellie was NEVER "IN." :-)

Kellie has NEVER worked any military communications, doesn't
know feces from footwear polish on what the BIG people do.

Kellie ought to go to the following links for some "education:"

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment

>> In my J.A. Banks suits you bet!
>
>Hi!

The brand is more likely "Jar Jar Binks." :-)

Next month it will probably escalate to "Saville Row" and
the rack next to Sean Connery's... :-)

>> What's any of it have to do with ham radio??
>
>Absolutely nothing!
>
>So why did you make such lying claims on a ham radio newsgroup???
>
>You've been outed!

Kellie has made some outrageous claims in here NOT about amateur radio. His "I got 26 patents!" claim is a classic...which turns into ONE...that got "multiplied" from alleged "foreign filings."

There's Kellie's claims of the "P-51 pilot using a knee-key and morse to communicate while pioneering airways over the pole." Which is another great exaggeration stolen from old aviation tales.

Kellie's famous "28 Volt Jeeps" of WW2 is another...one used by somebody to "power up" some ARC-5 surplus radios. He could fool some newbies on that except for the fact that WW2 vehicles had mostly 6 Volt ignition systems. Post-WW2 jeeps were converted to 28 Volt systems along with most other land vehicles. [conversion was still going on until the mid-1950s]

Somehow he thinks that all will be impressed by his claim to having dinner (or was it supper?) "with the Captain" and being "served by 'drudges'" makes him "special" with hams. Now we are getting the "J. A. Banks suit" thing. [never heard of the brand, must be an eastie coast kind of thing]

>> > And I didn't say any on you'se guys at Dayton today.
>>
>> They prolly saw you first and ducked out of sight.
>>
>> w3rv
>
>I saw Ed Hare up on stage while I renewed my membership for the very
>last time. Haynie was approachable.
>
>Though I disagreed with Ed, I actually respected his presence on RRAP
>right up to the time he called me a bootlegger. He crossed the line
>and he knows it.

I've exchanged e-mail with Jim Haynie after his short time in this newsgroup. That was civil...on both sides. I wasn't going to change his mind and he couldn't change mine.

Ed Hare was very kind in sending me a year's worth of back issues of QEX some time ago. Gracious act and those issues were, as expected, very interesting. We also exchanged e-mail, him expecting me to acquiesce and "accept" the Newington views. We were also civil in that back and forth

although he tended towards a bit of aggression when I did
not accept the Newington party line.

>I could have respected Kelly as well. He made the same mistake and he
>has told lies. For example, he has no military experience though he
>has said otherwise.

All of the "veterans" in here who have NOT worked any military
radio communications all say "that isn't AMATEUR." TS. Radio
is radio, regardless of who turns it on. In the 1950s - and on
into the 1970s - HF was still used by the military for majority
message traffic worldwide. Those military radios used the very
same physics as any civilian radios. The ionosphere didn't pop-
to and salute if a military radio came on HF. Millions of
messages flowed through the Defense Communications System or
DCS worldwide by the end of the Vietnam War (for US in 1975)
but Kellie was never a part of that. He likes to HINT that he
was, but anyone who has actually DONE it can recognize he is
only a standard bullshitter whose "experience" in BIG comms
is restricted to amateur radio hobby playing-around...and his
imagination.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1272. Re: Putting ARRL back "mainstream" with hometown hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 20:51:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116795064.878072.222110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108505

From: "bb" on Sat,May 21 2005 3:03 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Thurs,May 19 2005 3:26 pm
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > > I made NO threats, "thinly veiled" or otherwise about
ahrm to
>> >> > > anyone or their wives...
>> >> >
>> >> > Your words, Steve, ~A person only has to feel threatened for a
threat
>> >> > to have ocurred.
>> >>
>> >> In this case, I think the "threat" is your fear of what your
WIFE
>> >> is going to think about the silliness you conduct in this forum.
>> >>
>> >> Momma swing a nasty rolling pin, does she...?!?!
>> >
>> >Hi! You tell me.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. Stebie, at a loss for anything else, MUST bring in
>> WIVES to the non-discussion.
>
>Threats of "Dialing...." Threats of talking to other people's
wives...
>
>Silly Gunny is finally coming to the conclusion that he is impotent in
>having others bend to his will. "Must. Get. Reinforcement." to
>borrow a line from Captain James T Kirk.

It might have been a disagreement among "Steve and the Boys."
[Stebie's former "signature"]

Apparently the "Boys" gave him the finger and walked off...?

>> He attempts humiliation and shame of others, a mild form of
>> Personal Attack mode that is supposed to be "non-threatening."
>> All he has is a NON-reply and a NON-threat.
>
>He is finally coming to the realization that he is Quiteineffective in

>the Extra Bully role model.

He might think he is Borg..."resistance is futile" etc. :-)

>> >> > > Unless you include the harm that MIGHT come to
>> >> > > YOU if I were to mail some of your posts to your spouses and
let them
>> >> > > see how you act in public.
>> >> >
>> >> > Don't mail anything to my home. If you do, the Postal
Inspector will
>> >> > open the package.
>> >>
>> >> Sure they will.
>> >>
>> >> And the WORST thing they might find are hard copies of your
lies,
>> >> deceit and public misconduct, Brian.
>> >>
>> >> And by the way, the "Postal Inspector" doesn't "inspect"
FedEx,
>> >> DHL, UPS, etc...
>> >
>> >Rest assured that any local authority will do the opening. Send
>> >nothing to me or addressed to my home.
>>
>> Stebie has elevated the "threat" level. He thinks. :-)
>>
>> Tsk. He should go back to "signing" his posts with "Steve and
>> the Boys" (as if he had a "gang" of anything but his split
>> personalities).
>
>Sick freak.

Stebie is one of those computer-modem types who escapes into his
own world of imagination when on-line...the one where he can say
anything he wants to anyone and never worry about harm to him.

Stebie seems to worry a lot about how "wives" feel. That indicates
some problems HE has rather than us. [Stebie will deny and deny
that, yet we two don't mention our wives but Stebie brings that up
often]

>> >> Truth.
>> >>
>> >> You should try it some time.
>> >
>> >Try sending some my way and I will.
>>
>> Poor Stebie. In his world, whatever he says/writes/shouts IS
>> "truth." Reality and the rest of the human society doesn't
>> think so.

>
>It takes a village idiot. Maybe when Hillary gets elected he'll
>finally get the help that the Veterans Administration has been unable
>to give.

Stebie is still unaware that the U.S. government doesn't give
out information on citizens as freely as he thinks. The VA
won't give out any info on him by a simple phone call. Not
since 1976. :-)

>> >> > > Unaccpetable to YOU since I frequently point out your
errors,
>> >> > > mistakes and blatant lies and have no reservation to say so.
>> >> >
>> >> > You lie a lot.
>> >>
>> >> Nope.
>> >
>> >Right. You lie a whole lot.
>>
>> Tsk. It's a good thing he isn't into real estate. He would have
>> been arrested for fraud long ago with his "lots." :-)
>
>Sand lots. Kicking sand. Kicking and kicking lots of sand. He's a
>banty rooster.

It's more like a box of kitty litter he is "kicking" in. :-)

>> >> I agree that you don't LIKE what I say...Mostly because what
I
>> >> ahve to say shreds your silly rants to bits, but that doesn't
make it
>> >> lies.
>> >
>> >Still waiting on that "authentic" MARS documentation. Got any?
>>
>> He doesn't "ahve" any authentic documentation. <shrug>
>
>Maybe he was born at home by an unwed mother. <shrug>

I'll argue that he might not be of terrestrial origin...:-)

Then again, he could have been "issued" rather than born...

Or maybe sold over the counter at "Sgt Grit - 'If we don't have
it, Chesty wouldn't want it'" :-)

>> >You know what you say of people without "authentic" documentation?
>>
>> PCTA Extra Double Standard. QED and deja vu. :-)
>
>He goes to the back of the line at the department of redundancy
>department.

He might get to the front of the line when his number is up.

>> >Your words, your rules, your embarassment.
>>
>> The PCTA Extra Double Standard makes it all "different."
>
>I agree. It makes these guy's core values quiteridiculdous.

Might be a result of his marine "core?" :-)

>> >> > > Sorry it's annoying to you. I've told you before if
you'd stop
>> >> > > lying people would stop calling you a liar.
>> >> >
>> >> > Speaking of lying, where is your "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa
>> >> > documentation?
>> >>
>> >> The abbreviation is "ANCOIC", Brain, and it's waiting for
you to
>> >> go first...Afterall, I've had questions in front of you for
YEARS.
>> >
>> >But I've already been labeled a liar.
>> >
>> >So you produce your authentic documentation or forever be known as
a
>> >liar and a gay man.
>>
>> Stebie doesn't "ahve" any documentation. Because he doesn't,
>> he has to go into his chicken dance all around producing the
>> documentation. QED once again.
>
>Chicken dance??? Hi, hi!
>
>I'm reminded of the Octoberfest (in September) with sawed-off, fat
>little guys in flight suits squawking and making a spectacle of
>themselves. Hi!!!

...but scowling with ASSISTANT NCO "authority" all the time...:-)

>> >> Unlike YOU, however, I've given specific dates, times,
details,
>> >> locations, etc...More than enough for any reasonable person to
make a
>> >> solid third party determination.
>> >
>> >And I have given such information previously. Additionally, had
you
>> >had a QSO with me, you'd have my QSL card with exact GMT date/time
and
>> >frequency hand written by me on it. Unfortunately for you, you
were
>> >unable to make the trip.

>>
>> Stebie keeps "tripping" over his own writings in here.
>
>>>>EVERYONE<<< keeps tripping over Silly Gunny's writings in here.

Stebie's outbursts trigger all sorts of anony-mousies to write
nasty things in here. The place is getting to be a cess-pool.

>> >Since you didn't get one of my cards, you have labeled me a liar
and a
>> >gay man.
>>
>> Stebie is an emotionally volatile Sore Loser. Poor baby.
>
>And a Cold Sore. Infantile.

A walking herpes outbreak...

>> >So it is your turn to provide authentic documentation of your
alleged
>> >MARS appointment to "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa, or will will forever be
>> >known as a liar and a gay man.
>>
>> Sounds fair enough to me.
>
> >Equally Fair< as he has been to me!

There's still the matter of the PCTA Extra Double Standard.

THEY can say things in here which are "truth" while all
NCTAs "tell lies." :-)

>> Tsk. I'll bet Stebie doesn't have name/rank of the NCOIC he
>> was "assistant" to. :-) Or of the OIC in charge of the
>> MARS station. Or of the equipment at that mighty MARS station.
>
>He doesn't have to remember such inane details. The appointing
>official is named in the signature block of his authentic letter of
>appointment.
>
>Did he ever have any official documentation??? I think not.

Stebie hasn't even described the Okinawa MARS station.

Maybe he thinks it is some kind of secret, hush-hush, very
sensitive installation? :-)

>> >> You, on the otherhand, continue to be devious, deceitful and
>> >> deceptive.
>> >>
>> >> In otherwords...a liar.
>> >
>> >Got papers? No papers = LIAR!

>> >
>> >Your words, your rules, your embarassment.
>>
>> Stebie hoist hisself on own petard. :-)
>
>I've Quiteenjoyed holding the Silly Gunny to the standards he has set
>for me. Not surprisingly, he has been unable to live up to his own
>standards. Yet he begs and begs me to accept less than authentic
>documentation. I think he is lying about most things he's claimed.

Remember the venue, Brian. This is the home of the PCTA Extra
Double Standard. Anything they state is "truth" and anything
against that is "lying."

>> >> > Send nothing to my home. You've been notified. Best of Luck.
>> >>
>> >> You obviously don't think Mrs. Brain has much of a sense of
humor.
>> >> Your tone and response leads me to believe I am closer to THAT
truth
>> >> than you'd care I be...
>> >>
>> >> Wonder what she'd think about you trying to keep the truth
from
>> >> her?
>> >>
>> >> Wonder what she'd think about finding out that her husband
has an
>> >> alter ego she doesn't know about, Brain...?!?!
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Hi! Multi-Steve says other people have alter-ego's. Hi, hi!>
>> >
>> >Projection? Ayup.
>>
>> With all his multiple personalities working hard, he has us
>> outnumbered, Brian! :-)
>
>Wonder if he has them all on a time clock. Prolly runs into some big
>money.

I think they all work for the motto "For the Corps, God, and
Country!"

All "volunteer" work. :-)

Actually, Stebie is guilty as hell of the "projection" syndrome.
He must be worried that HIS wife will find out about his
extravagant claims. [his SECOND wife, that is...]

My wife and I have our computers (near identical) on separate computer desks (identical, I made them out of butcher-block tops on legs plus pull-out keyboard drawers) in our converted 3rd bedroom (now an "office"). Those are at right angles in one corner...we can easily look over and see what the other is doing. Right now I'm off-line answering this and she is on-line looking up something. We don't have any secrets from one another. She sees what I'm working on and I see what she's working on. Respect for one another is a large plus in any marriage.

Stebie wanted to drive in a "wedge" to my marriage early-on with lots and lots of insinuations and implications, all a lot of falsies. He didn't get anywhere...but that's all he had for his "replies." That's Stebie's idea of "amateur radio policy discussion" - trying to distort and insult other peoples' families and relationships.

>> But, psychological projection he DOES do in here. He can't
>> admit it without "losing face" so he waltzes around every
>> claim, trying to blame others for his own faults. He can't
>> help himself at this stage.
>
>Welp, I find him Quiteineffective at the >>>Bully<<< role, try as he
>might. Maybe one day he will find serenity in the Hillary Clinton
Home
>for Wayward Maniacs. Until then, we either keep trying to point him
in
>the right direction, or killfile him. What do you suggest?

Elect him to the ARRL Board of Directors? :-)

Find an autographed first-edition of "Mein Kampf" to add to his collection of amateur radio book?

Or we can just watch him disintigrate slowly, sinking deeper into his psychosis of self-perceived greatness and gargantuan claims.

Or maybe just call 1-800-DENTIST to find him a good one to fix his teeth so he can smile on a new photograph?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1273. Re: More Proof That Steven J Robeson K4YZ Is A Habitual Liar!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 20:56:10 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116795370.797480.35910@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108506

From: "bb" on Sat,May 21 2005 3:03 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Thurs,May 19 2005 3:26 pm
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > > I made NO threats, "thinly veiled" or otherwise about
ahrm to
>> >> > > anyone or their wives...
>> >> >
>> >> > Your words, Steve, ~A person only has to feel threatened for a
threat
>> >> > to have ocurred.
>> >>
>> >> In this case, I think the "threat" is your fear of what your
WIFE
>> >> is going to think about the silliness you conduct in this forum.
>> >>
>> >> Momma swing a nasty rolling pin, does she...?!?!
>> >
>> >Hi! You tell me.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. Stebie, at a loss for anything else, MUST bring in
>> WIVES to the non-discussion.
>
>Threats of "Dialing...." Threats of talking to other people's
wives...
>
>Silly Gunny is finally coming to the conclusion that he is impotent in
>having others bend to his will. "Must. Get. Reinforcement." to
>borrow a line from Captain James T Kirk.

It might have been a disagreement among "Steve and the Boys."
[Stebie's former "signature"]

Apparently the "Boys" gave him the finger and walked off...?

>> He attempts humiliation and shame of others, a mild form of
>> Personal Attack mode that is supposed to be "non-threatening."
>> All he has is a NON-reply and a NON-threat.
>
>He is finally coming to the realization that he is Quiteineffective in

>the Extra Bully role model.

He might think he is Borg..."resistance is futile" etc. :-)

>> >> > > Unless you include the harm that MIGHT come to
>> >> > > YOU if I were to mail some of your posts to your spouses and
let them
>> >> > > see how you act in public.
>> >> >
>> >> > Don't mail anything to my home. If you do, the Postal
Inspector will
>> >> > open the package.
>> >>
>> >> Sure they will.
>> >>
>> >> And the WORST thing they might find are hard copies of your
lies,
>> >> deceit and public misconduct, Brian.
>> >>
>> >> And by the way, the "Postal Inspector" doesn't "inspect"
FedEx,
>> >> DHL, UPS, etc...
>> >
>> >Rest assured that any local authority will do the opening. Send
>> >nothing to me or addressed to my home.
>>
>> Stebie has elevated the "threat" level. He thinks. :-)
>>
>> Tsk. He should go back to "signing" his posts with "Steve and
>> the Boys" (as if he had a "gang" of anything but his split
>> personalities).
>
>Sick freak.

Stebie is one of those computer-modem types who escapes into his
own world of imagination when on-line...the one where he can say
anything he wants to anyone and never worry about harm to him.

Stebie seems to worry a lot about how "wives" feel. That indicates
some problems HE has rather than us. [Stebie will deny and deny
that, yet we two don't mention our wives but Stebie brings that up
often]

>> >> Truth.
>> >>
>> >> You should try it some time.
>> >
>> >Try sending some my way and I will.
>>
>> Poor Stebie. In his world, whatever he says/writes/shouts IS
>> "truth." Reality and the rest of the human society doesn't
>> think so.

>
>It takes a village idiot. Maybe when Hillary gets elected he'll
>finally get the help that the Veterans Administration has been unable
>to give.

Stebie is still unaware that the U.S. government doesn't give
out information on citizens as freely as he thinks. The VA
won't give out any info on him by a simple phone call. Not
since 1976. :-)

>> >> > > Unaccpetable to YOU since I frequently point out your
errors,
>> >> > > mistakes and blatant lies and have no reservation to say so.
>> >> >
>> >> > You lie a lot.
>> >>
>> >> Nope.
>> >
>> >Right. You lie a whole lot.
>>
>> Tsk. It's a good thing he isn't into real estate. He would have
>> been arrested for fraud long ago with his "lots." :-)
>
>Sand lots. Kicking sand. Kicking and kicking lots of sand. He's a
>banty rooster.

It's more like a box of kitty litter he is "kicking" in. :-)

>> >> I agree that you don't LIKE what I say...Mostly because what
I
>> >> ahve to say shreds your silly rants to bits, but that doesn't
make it
>> >> lies.
>> >
>> >Still waiting on that "authentic" MARS documentation. Got any?
>>
>> He doesn't "ahve" any authentic documentation. <shrug>
>
>Maybe he was born at home by an unwed mother. <shrug>

I'll argue that he might not be of terrestrial origin...:-)

Then again, he could have been "issued" rather than born...

Or maybe sold over the counter at "Sgt Grit - 'If we don't have
it, Chesty wouldn't want it'" :-)

>> >You know what you say of people without "authentic" documentation?
>>
>> PCTA Extra Double Standard. QED and deja vu. :-)
>
>He goes to the back of the line at the department of redundancy
>department.

He might get to the front of the line when his number is up.

>> >Your words, your rules, your embarassment.
>>
>> The PCTA Extra Double Standard makes it all "different."
>
>I agree. It makes these guy's core values quiteridiculdous.

Might be a result of his marine "core?" :-)

>> >> > > Sorry it's annoying to you. I've told you before if
you'd stop
>> >> > > lying people would stop calling you a liar.
>> >> >
>> >> > Speaking of lying, where is your "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa
>> >> > documentation?
>> >>
>> >> The abbreviation is "ANCOIC", Brain, and it's waiting for
you to
>> >> go first...Afterall, I've had questions in front of you for
YEARS.
>> >
>> >But I've already been labeled a liar.
>> >
>> >So you produce your authentic documentation or forever be known as
a
>> >liar and a gay man.
>>
>> Stebie doesn't "ahve" any documentation. Because he doesn't,
>> he has to go into his chicken dance all around producing the
>> documentation. QED once again.
>
>Chicken dance??? Hi, hi!
>
>I'm reminded of the Octoberfest (in September) with sawed-off, fat
>little guys in flight suits squawking and making a spectacle of
>themselves. Hi!!!

...but scowling with ASSISTANT NCO "authority" all the time...:-)

>> >> Unlike YOU, however, I've given specific dates, times,
details,
>> >> locations, etc...More than enough for any reasonable person to
make a
>> >> solid third party determination.
>> >
>> >And I have given such information previously. Additionally, had
you
>> >had a QSO with me, you'd have my QSL card with exact GMT date/time
and
>> >frequency hand written by me on it. Unfortunately for you, you
were
>> >unable to make the trip.

>>
>> Stebie keeps "tripping" over his own writings in here.
>
>>>>EVERYONE<<< keeps tripping over Silly Gunny's writings in here.

Stebie's outbursts trigger all sorts of anony-mousies to write
nasty things in here. The place is getting to be a cess-pool.

>> >Since you didn't get one of my cards, you have labeled me a liar
and a
>> >gay man.
>>
>> Stebie is an emotionally volatile Sore Loser. Poor baby.
>
>And a Cold Sore. Infantile.

A walking herpes outbreak...

>> >So it is your turn to provide authentic documentation of your
alleged
>> >MARS appointment to "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa, or will will forever be
>> >known as a liar and a gay man.
>>
>> Sounds fair enough to me.
>
> >Equally Fair< as he has been to me!

There's still the matter of the PCTA Extra Double Standard.

THEY can say things in here which are "truth" while all
NCTAs "tell lies." :-)

>> Tsk. I'll bet Stebie doesn't have name/rank of the NCOIC he
>> was "assistant" to. :-) Or of the OIC in charge of the
>> MARS station. Or of the equipment at that mighty MARS station.
>
>He doesn't have to remember such inane details. The appointing
>official is named in the signature block of his authentic letter of
>appointment.
>
>Did he ever have any official documentation??? I think not.

Stebie hasn't even described the Okinawa MARS station.

Maybe he thinks it is some kind of secret, hush-hush, very
sensitive installation? :-)

>> >> You, on the otherhand, continue to be devious, deceitful and
>> >> deceptive.
>> >>
>> >> In otherwords...a liar.
>> >
>> >Got papers? No papers = LIAR!

>> >
>> >Your words, your rules, your embarassment.
>>
>> Stebie hoist hisself on own petard. :-)
>
>I've Quiteenjoyed holding the Silly Gunny to the standards he has set
>for me. Not surprisingly, he has been unable to live up to his own
>standards. Yet he begs and begs me to accept less than authentic
>documentation. I think he is lying about most things he's claimed.

Remember the venue, Brian. This is the home of the PCTA Extra
Double Standard. Anything they state is "truth" and anything
against that is "lying."

>> >> > Send nothing to my home. You've been notified. Best of Luck.
>> >>
>> >> You obviously don't think Mrs. Brain has much of a sense of
humor.
>> >> Your tone and response leads me to believe I am closer to THAT
truth
>> >> than you'd care I be...
>> >>
>> >> Wonder what she'd think about you trying to keep the truth
from
>> >> her?
>> >>
>> >> Wonder what she'd think about finding out that her husband
has an
>> >> alter ego she doesn't know about, Brain...?!?!
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Hi! Multi-Steve says other people have alter-ego's. Hi, hi!>
>> >
>> >Projection? Ayup.
>>
>> With all his multiple personalities working hard, he has us
>> outnumbered, Brian! :-)
>
>Wonder if he has them all on a time clock. Prolly runs into some big
>money.

I think they all work for the motto "For the Corps, God, and
Country!"

All "volunteer" work. :-)

Actually, Stebie is guilty as hell of the "projection" syndrome.
He must be worried that HIS wife will find out about his
extravagant claims. [his SECOND wife, that is...]

My wife and I have our computers (near identical) on separate computer desks (identical, I made them out of butcher-block tops on legs plus pull-out keyboard drawers) in our converted 3rd bedroom (now an "office"). Those are at right angles in one corner...we can easily look over and see what the other is doing. Right now I'm off-line answering this and she is on-line looking up something. We don't have any secrets from one another. She sees what I'm working on and I see what she's working on. Respect for one another is a large plus in any marriage.

Stebie wanted to drive in a "wedge" to my marriage early-on with lots and lots of insinuations and implications, all a lot of falsies. He didn't get anywhere...but that's all he had for his "replies." That's Stebie's idea of "amateur radio policy discussion" - trying to distort and insult other peoples' families and relationships.

>> But, psychological projection he DOES do in here. He can't
>> admit it without "losing face" so he waltzes around every
>> claim, trying to blame others for his own faults. He can't
>> help himself at this stage.
>
>Welp, I find him Quiteineffective at the >>>Bully<<< role, try as he
>might. Maybe one day he will find serenity in the Hillary Clinton
Home
>for Wayward Maniacs. Until then, we either keep trying to point him
in
>the right direction, or killfile him. What do you suggest?

Elect him to the ARRL Board of Directors? :-)

Find an autographed first-edition of "Mein Kampf" to add to his collection of amateur radio book?

Or we can just watch him disintigrate slowly, sinking deeper into his psychosis of self-perceived greatness and gargantuan claims.

Or maybe just call 1-800-DENTIST to find him a good one to fix his teeth so he can smile on a new photograph?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1274. Re: Armed Forces Day 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 21:30:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <8S6ke.8801$w21.2829@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.military.naval

```
A slow hand salute.
```

Dan/W4NTI
"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.igw7aq8.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Sun, 22 May 2005 04:44:52 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:
>
>
> Hans, thank you for the two pieces which you posted.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

1275. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 22:44:17 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <5cOdnfxb9s6KtQzfRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108515

John Smith wrote:

> ... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have been
> as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test taking" could
> study for a day and pass the most challenging

I think you need to go back and look at the early exams. There was a time when an applicant was required to actually draw a schematic of various circuits and explaine how they worked. And even after the exams became multiple choice type, one had to know the material to get the correct answer as the answers to the acutal questions were not available. There were study guides with sample questions, but no questions pools with the exact answer available for memorization. If you did not know the theory, then you probably weren't going to pass.
Again john smith knows not of what he speaks.

1276. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 23:30:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <eC8ke.1846$8g.450@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 108508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Nice try, John

In the original post I stated "just for grins".

As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the kid punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day when the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure out what my change was. She had to call a manager!

I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am active in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service for a week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use my Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without mains. Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There are two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!

I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no sanity test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to high power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.

What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a knot over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.

As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I suspect that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's license as well ....

Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but the underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for *everyone*. This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a repeater which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television, aircraft guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more. Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles came about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think there might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio. Originally, there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the world, total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology progressed, there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to 300 gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed many times since.

At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I have another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.

Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down on the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't like my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet. It is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box turned up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning. Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share should this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the mp3 cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack on the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv. I hope they enjoy my tunes:

1) Washington Post March
2) Anchors aweigh
3) The Thunderer
4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)

I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden :))

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:h8Sje.20099$Bg3.13159443@news.sisna.com...
> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom... just so
> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe to
> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are gone
> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to return...
> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>
> Regards,
> John
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
> > Hi gang!
> >
> >
> > Just for some grins, check this out:
> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
> >
> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
> > listened
> > to about 37 years ago ....
> >
> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
> >
> >

> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
>
>

1277. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 23:30:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <eC8ke.1847$8g.548@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 108510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:xmlje.3504$X92.644@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > I guess the fact that the Morse guys did it faster and with 100%
> > accuracy doesn't account for anything, eh?
> >
>
> Doesn't account for much!
>
> It's kind of like a speed contest between a turtle and a snail --- mildly
> interesting, but inconsequential when comparing them to the speed of a
cheetah.
>
> dit dit
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

Hello, Hans

Very true. One interesting thing of note - text messaging I'd consider similar to cw in one thing - it may be fun, but not highly useful. If one needs to send a lot of text, a computer and the Internet just might be considerably faster (assuming one can touch type at a reasonable rate). Via radio, there are digital modes, of course, and text can be reliably sent much faster with many of the digital modes (even the very dated RTTY).

I have to chuckle at the length of this thread; I thought it an interesting link and figured there would be a couple of cw detractors that would answer, but the thread turned out a bit longer than I figured. I keep forgetting how heated the cw vs no cw arguement can get.

I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and .... well, I probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can vertical :)

Fortunately, the better half didn't get upset ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1278. Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 23:30:58 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <GvGdnVkZy6GpiwzfRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 108509

When I turned in my ticket at the Hamvention I normally check out what the prizes are. This year I couldn't see even one item from Yaesu. Kenwood and Icom etc. had items on display, but not Yaesu. I wonder if the Hamvention did something to tick them off.

Also I would say that both the flea market and the inside vendor numbers were down by at least 20%. There were MANY empty spaces in the flea market area when in past years it was mostly full. I also heard that Mendelson wanted more space and a break on the flea market rental since they are going out of business. The Hamvention, based I what I heard around, said no dice, so they didn't even bother to show up and they're only a few miles from the arena too. They spaced out many of the inside vendor booth areas so it wasn't too obvious that the number of vendors was way down.

What did anybody else notice? I hope the above isn't a long term trend.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1279. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-22 23:46:02 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1116805562.454085.58650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and ....
well, I
> probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can
> vertical :)
>

Ah yes, a vertical 'beverage' antenna! Our FD group had one for 20M,
but we had to quit using it when our FD QTH changed to the football
field of a local High School.

Anyhow, Happy Birthday!

73, de Hans, K0HB

1280. Re: Brian Burke Continues Deceit and Misdirection.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 00:04:38 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116806678.788635.272170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "bb" on Sun,May 22 2005 8:29 am

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > > Doesn't matter. Jimmie Noserve is an EXPERT on the military.
>> > > He KNOWS how military men feel/act/etc. Jimmie can "correct"
>> > > any veterans' "mistakes." He's read several books on the
subject...
>> >
>> > Jimmy is Mr. Fiction. "No Experience = Shut-Up" according to da
double
>> > standard.
>>
>> So far, nothing Jim has stated has been incorrect.
>
>Hi! Silly Gunny has his blinders on.

Well I sort of thought those blinder improved Stebie's
looks...kind of softened the fierce scowl he always shows
in pictures. [I do wish he would have a dentist work over
his teeth so he could smile in those photos...]

>Jim constantly makes statements on things which he has no experience
>in. Jim constantly tells others to be silent on things which they
have
>little or no experience in. That is a clear double-standard. That
you
>won't see it is telling.

Tsk. Jimmie has played with some surplus military radios
and suddenly becomes "expert" enough to "correct" others who
have worked with them...like AN/PRC-104s and AN/PRC-119s.

Jimmie made a bunch of "guru observations" of the aerospace
business a year or so ago, yet he's not told of which
companies those were...or the government branch where he was
employed as a "space expert."

Jimmie claims to be a "radio manufacturer" (!) yet there is
no record of such a business in the business journals. He
DID show pictures of a klugy vacuum tube transmitter that was
supposed to be "state of the art"...and built in the 1990s.

>> He's corrected
>> you AND Lennie on numerous military issues, communications and
>> otherwise, and has yet to be shown to be wrong.
>
>I recall you being corrected on military and MARS communications
>issues. Often.

Tsk. Again, it's a point-of-view. To Stebie he NEVER makes
mistakes. [he won't admit to such at any rate...]

Everyone ELSE LIES if they disagree with heroic Stebie.

>> > Highly, highly unlikely. Guys like Jim have no problem letting
guys
>> > like you, me, and Steve take the risks. They set way, way back
and
>> > make the calls.
>>
>> Don't try to lump yourself in with me, Brain. Most of my time
in
>> the USMC was crewing the MC version of the Pave Low (CH53A and D), a
>> lot of which got me put in places I was not real happy to be in.
>
>The Reluctant Marine! Figures. Even reluctant people serve. So how
>did Jim serve in other way?

Tsk. Stebie was GROUND crew.

Tsk, tsk, Stebie "wasn't real happy to be..." Poor baby.

Wow, "Pave Low!" Stebie sounds like he was reading old
Aviation Week & Space Technology magazines that are in
the CAP orderly room? :-) HELICOPTER. Wings rotate.

>> You and Lennie got to play rear area office clerk for most of
your
>> tours. I give you some added credit for your Somalia tour, your
>> misrepresentation of your Amateur Radio exploits notwithstanding,
but
>> not by much.
>
>You don't "give" me anything. My service is not up for your approval.

Nor mine. I went where I was assigned. Anyone can see where at:

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment

Jimmie Noserve never understood that a while ago. He thought
anyone could go on up to the "front lines" and "fight" whenever
they wanted to. [he must see a lot of movies...]

>> > Later on you learn that they gave blood at the ARC Bloodmobile on
the
>> > third Thursday and are very, very good with it all. They "served"
in

>> > other ways. Cheers with a little glass of OJ.
>>
>> I lucked out. The only "injury" I suffered in the field was
some
>> sand that got in under my visor and flight glasses and irritated the
>> be-jeebers out of me.
>
>So how did you malinger your way into a medical discharge requiring
>rehabilitative therapy?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Stebie SLIPPED UP in his story generation!

This casts a great lot of DOUBT on his "medical discharge"
claims!

Of course, "medical discharge" can also be for PSYCHIATRIC
reasons.

>> Some of my colleagues weren't so lucky. That
>> bloodmobile donation you just made fun of was their ticket home
alive
>> instead of in a bag.

"Colleagues?!?" GROUND crew wre exposed to "hostile fire?"

>People everywhere are lucky because others give blood and organ
tissue.

True enough.

>But Jim says he "served in other ways." What ways?

I'm wondering the same thing...but will never get Jimmie to tell
what it is. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1281. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 00:08:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <da9ke.4897$nh.2864287@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time when dropping code would have saved ham radio--I fear that time has come and gone... cw has no real use to anyone, anymore... it is like having to learn to type to use the internet... no such requirement... however, because typing DOES have a use--you will find many learning to type after they realize the need...

... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have been as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test taking" could study for a day and pass the most challenging... they have been made even simplier still... yet amateur radio declined and continues to do so--

... now "critical mass" has been reached, so many other forms of communication exist that amateur radio is on its' death march... radios are so far and few between... and so little demand... they are too expensive with most to bother with... you could give ham licences away in the streets and not improve that...

... no, the OMs' alzheimers and lack of ability to see the future has killed ham radio--you'd think the old guys must have hated it--to have stood by and watched it die--if what you say is correct--and they DID have all their mental facilities about them and still allowed it...

Warmest regards,
John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:eC8ke.1846$8g.450@news01.roc.ny...
> Nice try, John
>
> In the original post I stated "just for grins".
>
> As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the kid
> punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day
> when
> the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure out
> what my change was. She had to call a manager!
>
> I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am active
> in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service for
> a
> week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use my
> Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without mains.
> Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There are

> two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!
>
> I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power
> stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no sanity
> test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to
> high
> power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.
>
> What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a knot
> over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.
>
> As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I suspect
> that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test
> ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's license
> as
> well ....
>
> Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but
> the
> underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for
> *everyone*.
> This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a
> repeater
> which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television, aircraft
> guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more.
> Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles came
> about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic
> lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think there
> might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio. Originally,
> there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the
> world,
> total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology progressed,
> there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean
> everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to 300
> gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed
> many
> times since.
>
> At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I have
> another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.
>
> Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down on
> the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't like
> my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet. It
> is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box turned
> up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning.
> Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share
> should
> this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the
> mp3

> cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack on
> the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv. I
> hope they enjoy my tunes:
>
> 1) Washington Post March
> 2) Anchors aweigh
> 3) The Thunderer
> 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>
> I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a
> feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden :))
>
>
> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:h8Sje.20099$Bg3.13159443@news.sisna.com...
>> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom... just
>> so
>> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe to
>> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are
>> gone
>> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to return...
>> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>>
>> Regards,
>> John
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>> > Hi gang!
>> >
>> >
>> > Just for some grins, check this out:
>> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>> >
>> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
>> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
>> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
>> > listened
>> > to about 37 years ago ....
>> >
>> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA

>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

1282. Re: Can anyone figure out Robeson's latest rant tangent?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 00:08:38 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116806918.368701.190290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "bb" on Sun,May 22 2005 8:13 am

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > bb wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > The last five Presidents of the United States seem to
have had a
>> > > > clear vision of volunteerism...and also about "service".
>> > >
>> > > Indeed. Jim could have volunteered to serve in the Unites
States
>> > > Military. He had other more important things to do like
pursue a
>> > > degree in engineering, and looking out for himself. And the
military
>> > > still needs engineers, even if they are civilians. Go to
>> > > WWW.USAJOBS.OPM.GOV and select "Middle East."
>> > >
>> > > > Guess they shudda consulted with you two first,
eh...?!?!
>> > >
>> > > I'm good with volunteerism in the U.S. armed services.
>> > >
>> > No, you're not
>> >
>> > I must be. I volunteered. I kept volunteering.
>>
>> No...you keep "volunteering" to collect a paycheck.
>
>Hi! I suppose you wrote "VOID" across your paychecks and sent them
>back?

He probably sent them all to the ARRL Truss Fund. :-)

I wonder if Stebie has bothered to study recent USA history?
He left out FOUR Presidents who served in the military since
FDR...and I'm not counting Dubya.

>> You quite obviously have a problem with the society that the
>> Constitution you alleged to support and defend provides.
>

>How so?

Somebody ought to break the news to Stebie, might as well be
me...

STEBIE, NOW HEAR THIS...

NO CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES ACKNOWLEDGES YOU AS EMPEROR,
LEADER, PLENTY-POTENT-ATE, OR EVEN "ANCOIC" OF ANYONE ELSE.

<CLIK>

Poor fella thinks the Constitution of the USA has some kind of
paragraph saying "everyone has to abide by this wanna-be Dill
Sergeant (with the pursed, pickled lips and sour disposition).

>> > > > Tell Jim to let
>> > > > us know how it's going when he gets there.
>> > >
>> > > You swore to uphold and defend the Constitution of the
United
>> > > States.
>> >
>> > Against all enemies, foreign and domestic.
>>
>> Yep. However there's no "enemies" of the Constitution here...
>
>So why are you bringing up the defense of the Constitution here?

Sorry, Brian, Stebie >>IS<< the Constitution! Hi, hi!

>You really ought to get your stuff in one sock before starting off on
a
>new rant tangent.

Looks like an internal battle among his personalities...one shouted
out of turn...

>> > > Obviously you have a problem, however, with people who live
their
>> > > lives within that same Constitution.
>> >
>> > Explain.
>>
>> No explanation is necessary. Your on-going support of Lennie's
>> cowardly "Jimmie Noserve"'s rants is evidence enough.
>
>You're Quitevague about your newest accusations. Please be more
>specific.

Tsk. Stebie >>IS<< the Constitution! :-)

Saying anything against Stebie is Sedition, Treason Against
The State!!!

>> > Hey, while you're at it, why don't you produce authentic
>> > documentation of your alleged "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa.
>>
>> Right after we get some of the information we've been asking
YOU
>> for for YEARS...
>
>So then, you really are a liar. You're the very thing you claim of
>everyone else.

Ah, not quite from Stebie's point of view. In his distorted view
Stebie is "always truthful" but everyone who doesn't like him is
a "LIAR," "deceitful," "hates ham radio," and/or has underarm odor.

>> > > So...was your Oath as a member of the Armed Forces just
some words
>> > > you recited in order to get a federal job? Sure looks that way.
>> >
>> > I'm offended that Jim claimed "service in other ways." What other
ways?
>>
>> Why would you expect Jim to answer such an insulting question
when
>> YOU have overtly refused to answer questions he's put to you for
YEARS,
>> Brain?
>
>Why wouldn't he? He has no problem insulting others.

Tsk, now Jimmie Noserve is going to be furious! Jimmie "NEVER"
"insults" anyone...all he does is "point out mistakes" that
"others do." :-)

>> (Rhetorical question...we know the answer...you're a coward...)
>
>Hi, hi! That's rich coming from the guy who couldn't make Dayton to
>see me. Seven hostile actions? Hi!

Tsk. Readers are still unaware of Stebie's mighty warrior acts
WHEN and WHERE of those "seven hostile actions!"

Maybe they were "special ops" and veddy, veddy "secret" that
Stebie can't reveal? :-)

[gosh, maybe we can hear one of his "HALO Drop" stories?]

>So is Jim checking out the governments needs over in the desert? He
>would be drawing a nice, tax-free paycheck.

Jimmie is "serving in other ways" by defending the Constitution
of the ARRL...against all enemies, especially the domestic
no-coders. Plus he is probably training for another marathon,
another "service for his country." Hi, hi!

That and keeping his Time Machine running so he can jump back to times when Kode was King in raddio. "Important national service," you bet! :-)

<insert eight lines of all-caps hyena laghter here>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1283. Enjoyed Hamvention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 00:53:18 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Zr6dncNdMdETtAzfRVn-2w@comcast.com>
Source record: 108516

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:GvGdnVkZy6GpiwzfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
> When I turned in my ticket at the Hamvention I
> normally check out what the prizes are. This year
> I couldn't see even one item from Yaesu. Kenwood
> and Icom etc. had items on display, but not Yaesu.
> I wonder if the Hamvention did something to tick
> them off.
>
> Also I would say that both the flea market and the
> inside vendor numbers were down by at least 20%.
> There were MANY empty spaces in the flea market
> area when in past years it was mostly full. I also
> heard that Mendelson wanted more space and a break
> on the flea market rental since they are going out
> of business. The Hamvention, based I what I heard
> around, said no dice, so they didn't even bother
> to show up and they're only a few miles from the
> arena too. They spaced out many of the inside
> vendor booth areas so it wasn't too obvious that
> the number of vendors was way down.
>
> What did anybody else notice? I hope the above
> isn't a long term trend.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

Don't know if it was down or not but enjoyed it immensely. I and the OM
were helping out a new ham select and buy equipment and of course picked up
a few goodies for ourselves too.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1284. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 01:27:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116811624.232931.294670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 22 2005 2:53 am

>bb wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Don't try to lump yourself in with me, Brain. Most of my time in
>the USMC was crewing the MC version of the Pave Low (CH53A and D), a
>lot of which got me put in places I was not real happy to be in.

Whoa, there, "SEA STALLION."

The CH-53A to CH-53D are called "Sea Stallion," NOT "Pave Low."
CH-53E is called "Super Sea Stallion."

The "Pave Low" is the MH-53E through O suffix, operated mostly
by the USAF. More specifially "Pave Low II" to "Pave Low VI."

The "CH" in the type designation stands for Cargo, Helicopter.

The CH-53A through CH-53D are CARGO HAULERS.

Some of the MH-53s are into special ops. But, the Sea Stallion
is basically a CARGO HAULER, first operational in 1966.

Took only a few minutes on the Internet to check that out.

Now, heroic "seven hostile actions" veteran, what exactly ARE
you trying to foist over on everyone with a CARGO HAULER, and
whatinhell does that have to do with AMATEUR RADIO?!?!?

As usual, the "seven hotile actions" hero is running another
reputation SCAM on everyone. Significant OMISSIONS of information
along with an outright LIE on aircraft names versus type number.

If you are going to LIE, BLUFF, SCAM, try to get your military
nomenclature CORRECT. You did NOT do so! Stand down.

That is all. Dismissed.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1285. Re: NEW POLE for N9 Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 03:23:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116818609.715676.187130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108518

Huh, no one answered your question.....too bad no-coder!

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

1286. Re: NEW POLE for N9 Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 03:32:44 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <SOudnQ2tV-V_0wzfRVn-oA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108519

N9OGL wrote:
> Huh, no one answered your question.......too bad no-coder!
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
>
Nobody answered because they are afraid that you would post stuff about them on your gay blog.
Well answer this gayboy, where does it say no code on my license? Does a class of license make you better then others?
Are all Irish in your family gay and into kiddy porn or is it just you toad? Are you just saying you are Irish so you can wear a skirt and call it a kilt gayboy?

1287. Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 03:37:16 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <LIqdnYnCsftr0gzfRVn-qw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108520

Where does it say no code on my license idiot? As far as you putting me down gayboy, you didn't know my license class until I told you, and I don't need a license to wipe out your puny signal!
BUT the question is, if I'm not a no code then you are a gay liar. :)

1288. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 03:56:53 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <9wcke.204$kS3.11@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 108521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Sent: Sunday, May 22, 2005 4:30 PM
Subject: Re: Just for grins - CW

> Nice try, John
>
> In the original post I stated "just for grins".
>
> As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the kid
> punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day
> when
> the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure out
> what my change was. She had to call a manager!

OMG, she couldn't count backwards? This is what the
future has to look forward too.

>
> I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am active
> in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service for
> a
> week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use my
> Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without mains.
> Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There are
> two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!
>
> I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power
> stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no sanity
> test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to
> high
> power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.

No test for people in life, you & I both know that :)

>
> What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a knot
> over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.
>
> As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I suspect
> that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test
> ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's license
> as
> well ....

>
> Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but
> the
> underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for
> *everyone*.
> This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a
> repeater
> which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television, aircraft
> guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more.
> Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles came
> about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic
> lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think there
> might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio. Originally,
> there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the
> world,
> total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology progressed,
> there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean
> everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to 300
> gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed
> many
> times since.
>
> At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I have
> another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.
>
> Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down on
> the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't like
> my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet. It
> is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box turned
> up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning.
> Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share
> should
> this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the
> mp3
> cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack on
> the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv. I
> hope they enjoy my tunes:

LOL!!!, the 60's 70 are over big guy ;)

>
> 1) Washington Post March
> 2) Anchors aweigh
> 3) The Thunderer
> 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>
> I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a
> feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden :))
>
>
> With all due regards from Rochester, NY

> Jim AA2QA

Oh Yeah..... Go to hear from You Jim.

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

1289. Re: NEW POLE for N9 Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 04:26:48 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1116822408.419182.76520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108522

Oh, he is definitely gay. And retarded. And unemployed. Can you
imagine not having anything better to do than put on a "show" 24/7 on
the AMATEUR BANDS???

1290. COLLINS 62S-1 VHF Transverter FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 04:33:42 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <G2dke.8313$cd.1305@trnddc01>
Source record: 108523

You don't see this one often:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&Item=5776923356

Thanks for looking.

1291. Re: NEW POLE for N9 Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 07:02:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116831729.623211.224820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108524

KC8GXW,

No but I looked at the FCC site...and I believe you to be a No-coder,
or in my humble opinion a No-code former CB operator. Well no one in my
family is gay, however, I feel that perhaps by you calling people gay
when they are not gay, you yourself are unable to grasp the
understanding that perhaps your gay, and your venting your sexual
furstrations out by calling people gay because you can't admit to
yourself what you are, gay. as for kilts they mostly worn by the
scottish not irsh. Perhaps you shouldn't vent your angry and sexual
frustrations out on people and do something creative with it, like
learning code.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

1292. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 07:05:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116831928.431674.33030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108525

no, but your a tech, according to the FCC website, meaning you haven't
even did your 5 WPM....go learn code little boy

TODD N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00

1293. Re: Question on web spiders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 07:42:34 UTC
From: "G. Doughty" <ki4bbl@cox.net>
Message-ID: <UNfke.22365$iU.11713@lakeread05>
Source record: 108526

I don't think so. Actually, now that I think about it, Google uses technology to generate hits on pdf's so it must be possible. Used to be it couldn't be done but OCR programming has come a long way and could be embedded in search engines. Unless the PDF files that are being found have a tag for the metasearchers because they want to be found. If I recall, when I search the net and get a pdf hit, the words in my search are still highlighted in the document. It probably wouldn't be difficult to do the same thing with a jpg.

Just my 2 cents.
Greg
ki4bbl

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message news:vzQje.1452$Xh.1367@fed1read07...
> Maybe not the right place but seems there are several web experts here.
>
> Can web spiders read and harvest e-mail addresses from a pdf file ?
>
> Many users and folks like QRZ.com are using jpegs not ascii for listing
> e-mails -- this seems to work.
>
> So for pdf files without going to a jpeg --- are ascii text addresses
> harvestable ?
>
> Thanks
>
> --
> CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1294. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 10:17:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116843429.655000.37490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108527

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:xmlje.3504$X92.644@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> One interesting thing of note - text messaging I'd consider
> similar to cw in one thing - it may be fun, but not highly
> useful.

I disagree!

They're both highly useful. Just in different ways.

> If one
> needs to send a lot of text, a computer and the Internet just
> might be
> considerably faster (assuming one can touch type at a
> reasonable rate).

Of course! But then you need a readout device.

Usefulness depends on the application.

> Via
> radio, there are digital modes, of course, and text can be
> reliably sent
> much faster with many of the digital modes (even the very dated
> RTTY).

Sure - if someone has the equipment handy.

But the whole digital-mode-faster thing is kind of bogus in my view.
It's like saying that since we have cars, there's no reason to have
competitions where people run anymore. Heck, why doesn't everyone in
the Olympic marathon use rollerblades? The times would drop
dramatically.

>
> I have to chuckle at the length of this thread; I thought it
> an interesting
> link and figured there would be a couple of cw detractors that
> would answer,
> but the thread turned out a bit longer than I figured. I keep
> forgetting
> how heated the cw vs no cw arguement can get.

The telling part is that the anticode folks call the test "rigged"
and other nonsense in an attempt to discredit what happened. But
the plain simple fact is that a couple of good Morse Code

operators, going about 1/3 the world-record speed, were faster
than the *world record holders* in text messaging.

What they missed is that the whole point of the segment was to
show that "newer" isn't always "faster/better/easier" and that
"older" doesn't equal "useless". The outfits on the two teams
were a visual way of adding to that.

One member of the Morse Code team was reportedly at Dayton
in his telegrapher outfit.
>
> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday
> and .... well, I
> probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave
> beer can vertical :)

Hope it was happy. Trouble is they put beer in aluminum cans now...

1295. Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 10:57:21 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116845841.512509.88690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108528

LenAnderson@ieee.org

"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult thing
in order to "win an argument." "

wrote:

> He could sit back and laff and laff at the "errors" of
> getting wrong USSR aircraft nomenclature

Do you mean this sort of thing, Len?

Are you admitting you made mistakes?

BEGIN QUOTE

Lines: 216
X-Admin: n...@aol.com
From: n...@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Nov 2004 10:35:41 GMT
References: <419051D6.AD54040A@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler (Queue Name: usenet_offline-m11)
Subject: Re: Designed And Built By PROFESSIONALS....
Message-ID: <20041111053541.21488.00000076@mb-m11.aol.com>

In article <419051D6.AD540...@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k...@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <c2356669.0411081002.605d1...@posting.google.com>,
N...@AOL.COM
>> (N2EY) writes:
>>
>> >lenove...@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20041107232416.07902.00000048@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0411071516.325ca...@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybee...@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >n...@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20041106120011.06515.00000036@mb-m15.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <20041105152716.12265.00000...@mb-m28.aol.com>,
>> >> lenove...@aol.com
>> >> >> (Len Over 21) writes:

>> >> >>
>> >> >> >In article <20041105070227.10298.00000...@mb-m25.aol.com>,
>> >> n...@aol.comSPAMNO
>> >> >> >(N2EY) writes:
>
>> >> Jimmie got as far as looking up Bear bombers in some book.
>> >
>> >Naw, just the Internet. Found out they weren't a threat to Len when
he
>> >was in Japan.
>> >
>> >Sunuvagun!
>>
>> Tsk. You seem to be saying there were NO Soviet bombers in
>> range of Japan in the 1950s?
>
>That's wrong (again), Leonard. He is saying that Soviet "Bear"
bombers
>could not have been a threat to you during your military service in
>Japan, despite what you indicated here.

Here's exactly what Len wrote about "Bear" bombers:

> From: Len Over 21 (lenove...@aol.com)
> Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Date: 2002-12-08 21:01:08 PST
>
> "The distance between Chongjin, North Korea and Tokyo (where
> I was assigned) is about 500 air miles. The distance between
> Vladivostok, USSR, was about the same distance. That's about
> an hour's flight in a Bear (NATO name for a Soviet bomber). Less
> time of flight now with jet turbine aircraft."

Note that Len doesn't actually say there were any Bear bombers in
Vladivostok
or Chongjin at the time, or that he ever saw any, etc. But the
implication that
he was in some sort of imminent danger from them is clear.

Here's what I wrote in response:

>I think you missed some relevant points, Dave. Len's reference to the
>"Bear" bomber might lead the unsuspecting to think he was in some sort
>of danger from them while in Japan. However:

>- The airline distance from Chongjin, North Korea to Tokyo is at least
670 statute miles. The distance from Vladivostok to Tokyo is 663
statute
miles. (Source: "Esso War Map III, Featuring The Pacific Theater",
printed 1944).

>- For interesting info on the Tupolev TU-95, and its variants, known
> to NATO as the "Bear", see:

> http://www.fas.org/nuke/guide/russia/bomber/tu-95.htm

> which tells us that:

>- Development of the TU-95/"Bear" began in June, 1951.

>- First flight of the first prototype, November, 1952.

>- Production began January, 1956.

>- First deployment August, 1957.

>- Four turboprop engines driving counterrotating propellers.
> "Turboprop" refers to jet turbine engines driving propellers.

>- Len left Japan before any TU-95s were deployed.

Therefore, they were no threat at all to him when he was in Japan. Also, the
distances and flight times were greater than he stated.

>As with your well known
>"Sphincter Post", it leads some of us to question your character.

It just leads me to question Len's grasp of objective reality.

What's curious about the Sphincter Post is that it's a direct insult to the
military service of a member of the Coast Guard, who served as a radioman in
Hawaii and who described some experiences while serving. Yet Len was never in
the Coast Guard and never did the kind of radio operating he criticizes.

More telling, however, is Len's classic "Feldwebel Post" in which he told you
(Dave) to "shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel".

In just one short sentence, Len manages to violate Godwin's Law, insult a
branch of the US military, attempt to deny someone their First Amendment
rights, uses a mild profanity, tries to act as the moderator of an unmoderated
newsgroup, and arguably makes an ethnic slur in the mix.

As we often say where I work:

"Everybody's good at *something*.

>> >The bile on rrap comes from the three-way Steve/Len/Wiliam whizzing
>> >contest. You all must get something from it, because you sure put a
>> >lot of effort into it.

>>
>> Tsk. Rev. Jim is readying another Sermon on the Antenna Mount?
>
>Jim states a fact. Don't you have an acceptable response?

Acceptable to whom? Len sees nothing unacceptable in his behavior, but finds
the behavior of certain others to be unacceptable to him. In the above example,
it is perfectly acceptable (to Len) for him to imply that he was in constant,
imminent danger from TU-95s while he was in Japan, but completely unacceptable
for me to point out that there were no TU-95s deployed anywhere until after he
left.

>> Rev. Jim "puts a lot of effort" into making SURE that all those
>> he thinks need "corrections" get those "corrections!" QED.

Takes very little effort on my part. Len makes so many mistakes here that I
don't try to correct all of them.

>What has that to do with your whizzing contest?

Nothing.
>
>> >btw, Len, a little googling turned up the fun fact that Steve began
>> >calling you a putz back on August 6, 1999 - if not earlier. Of course
>> >you had previously made a habit of calling him "nursie" and other
>> >names, and referring to him by the wrong gender.
>>
>> Tsk. You are still being Judge and Jury via Google, aintfcha?
>>
>> :-)
>
>Jim is? Not at all, Leonard. Your archived words and the dates on
>which you posted them are archived. It is proof of your actions. In
>this case, things didn't happen the way you claim they did.
>
>> When Rev. Jim runs out of arguments in the present, he MUST
>> resort to Googling to "prove" something.

Len constantly rehashes the past, then is angered by and abusive of those who
present conflicting information disproving his assertions.

Most importantly, Len cannot seem to get Steve to stop calling him a putz.
>

>...and it looks like the Google archives of newsgroup posts did just
>that. The archive seems to prove that version of events is not
correct.

Which is why Len switches to name-calling and excessive emoticons. He's
been
shown to be mistaken, which is simply unacceptable.

>> Jimmie thinks he can "win" some past arguments by repeating and
>> rehashing OLD ones?

The facts speak for themselves.
>
>When you start the "Jimmie" stuff, it is obvious that he has zapped
you
>good. You made a recent statement and issued it as a factual account
of
>something which took place. The trouble is, the Google archives say
>otherwise.

The amusing part is that Len talks about "the past" more than anyone
else here,
then gets angry when his version of events is shown to be somewhat
unreliable
or incomplete (to put it mildly).
>
>> Of course...if for no other reason that Jimmie
>> Must Be Right in his own mind. Subject itself be damned,
concentrate
>> on defaming the opponent in order to "win." Tsk.

Posting of facts is "defaming the opponent"?

>Diversion on your part. If you didn't want to be batted around on
this
>issue, you could have refrained from, "Well, HE started it".
>
>> >Perhaps you need to try some new techniques if you want him to
stop.
>>
>> Ah...you must have run out of damp hankies to slap folk on the
>> wrist as self-styled moderator! :-)
>
>When did it become Jim's job to regulate Steve?
>
>> >But I don't think you want him to stop.
>>
>> Doesn't really matter to me. There will ALWAYS be some yo-yo
>> out there who can't argue a subject for squat and does the
personal
>> insult thing in order to "win an argument." :-) Those are
alleasy

>> marks. Plenty of them. :-)
>
>If anyone would know, you'd know.
>
>You don't want anyone else doing what you do.
>
Let's remember that phrase, shall we?

"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult thing
in
order to "win an argument." "

That's pretty much a fair description of what Len does here.

END QUOTE

1296. As Usual, Lennie Chimes In On Topic He Has No Experience In

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 11:38:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116848331.276060.60140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 22 2005 2:53 am
>
> >bb wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
> > Don't try to lump yourself in with me, Brain. Most of my time
in
> >the USMC was crewing the MC version of the Pave Low (CH53A and D), a
> >lot of which got me put in places I was not real happy to be in.
>
> Whoa, there, "SEA STALLION."
>
> The CH-53A to CH-53D are called "Sea Stallion," NOT "Pave Low."
> CH-53E is called "Super Sea Stallion."

No screaming eagle, huh, rear area gutless one...?!?!

Ya think that MAYBE that's why I said "...the MC >>>version<<< of
the Pave Low..."...?!?!

Brain was in the Air Force. That's why the cross-reference.

> The "Pave Low" is the MH-53E through O suffix, operated mostly
> by the USAF. More specifially "Pave Low II" to "Pave Low VI."

Amazing how "smart" you are with the click of a mouse, eh...?!?!

Too bad you can't retain some of that...

> The "CH" in the type designation stands for Cargo, Helicopter.

Very good....Still not any "smoking gun", though...

> The CH-53A through CH-53D are CARGO HAULERS.

As is the CH53E Super Sea Stallion, on which I was qual'ed.

> Some of the MH-53s are into special ops. But, the Sea Stallion
> is basically a CARGO HAULER, first operational in 1966.

Actually first operational in 1965.

The "CARGO HAULER" was used for "special ops" through out it's
career too, Lennie. That's why the USMC had them fitted with VULCAN's,
-50's, etc.

That's also why the USMC fit's them for vertical envelopment.

The USMC, The Air Force and the Navy all used them for SpecOp insertions, Maritime patrol, security-of-the seas missions.

> Took only a few minutes on the Internet to check that out.

Sure did.

Guess what else...?!?!

The airframe comes off the same production line at Sikorsky at Stratford, CT.

The same airframe...Over 80% interchangeable.

And the missions of the CH-53 (ALL variants) far exceed "CARGO HAULING".

Of course you didn't know that because the scope of your knowledge stopped at your wrist.

> Now, heroic "seven hostile actions" veteran, what exactly ARE
> you trying to foist over on everyone with a CARGO HAULER, and
> whatinhell does that have to do with AMATEUR RADIO?!?!?
>
> As usual, the "seven hotile actions" hero is running another
> reputation SCAM on everyone. Significant OMISSIONS of information
> along with an outright LIE on aircraft names versus type number.

I didn't lie about a single thing, Lennie.

If you want a REAL education, you should read some of the histories of the USMC's various Sea Stallion units...Most notably HMH-462, HMH-464, HMH-363 and HMH-361.

I was in all except 464 at one time or another.

Take the time to do some research and you'll find a few of those "seven hostile actions" you claim I never participated in.

> If you are going to LIE, BLUFF, SCAM, try to get your military
> nomenclature CORRECT.

I did so. Absolutely correct.

> You did NOT do so!

Leonard H. Anderson has uttered another falsehood. Why does he insist on doing that?

> Stand down.

You do not now have, nor did you ever, nor will you ever have the authority, expertise, training, experience or qualifications to give me an order or to imply you are giving me an order.

The service history and deployment of the CH53-series aircraft is
yet another "field" in which YOUR "experience" is from a mouse click.

I was there. You were not.

You're just TOO inadequate.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1297. Re: Brian Burke Continues Deceit and Misdirection.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 11:53:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116849180.994868.184820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sun,May 22 2005 8:29 am

> >The Reluctant Marine! Figures. Even reluctant people serve. So
how
> >did Jim serve in other way?
>
> Tsk. Stebie was GROUND crew.

Steve was an Avionics Technician. MOS's 6612, 6616 and 6323. (And
B-Billet 8981...)

Care to figure out from what MOS's (among others...) the USMC
get's it's Enlisted Aircrews, Lennie?

> >You don't "give" me anything. My service is not up for your
approval.
>
> Nor mine. I went where I was assigned. Anyone can see where at:

Both of your service's ARE "up for approval"...You put it there.

You certainly take liberties with "approving" mine.

> >> Some of my colleagues weren't so lucky. That
> >> bloodmobile donation you just made fun of was their ticket home
> alive
> >> instead of in a bag.
>
> "Colleagues?!?" GROUND crew wre exposed to "hostile fire?"

If you think that my "maintenance" MOS meant I never left the
ground, you've once again demonstrated your complete and utter lack of
knowledge and experience on yet another topic, Lennie.

Since you're so busy mouse-clicking lately, why don't you avail
yourself of some knowledge on USN/USMC Enlisted Aircrew training and
deployment, Lennie...?!?!

You're making yet another fool out of yourself otherwise...As if
THAT is news...

Putz.

Seve, K4YZ

1298. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 11:53:45 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d6sg89rme1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 108531

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> When I turned in my ticket at the Hamvention I
> normally check out what the prizes are. This year
> I couldn't see even one item from Yaesu. Kenwood
> and Icom etc. had items on display, but not Yaesu.
> I wonder if the Hamvention did something to tick
> them off.
>
> Also I would say that both the flea market and the
> inside vendor numbers were down by at least 20%.
> There were MANY empty spaces in the flea market
> area when in past years it was mostly full.

Yep, less flea vendors than the past couple years. Also less complete junk. There was certainly junk there, but I'd say that the quality level was up a bit.

> I also
> heard that Mendelson wanted more space and a break
> on the flea market rental since they are going out
> of business. The Hamvention, based I what I heard
> around, said no dice, so they didn't even bother
> to show up and they're only a few miles from the
> arena too. They spaced out many of the inside
> vendor booth areas so it wasn't too obvious that
> the number of vendors was way down.
>
> What did anybody else notice? I hope the above
> isn't a long term trend.

I don't think the number was 20 percent, but it was down a bit.

I had a good time, spent too much money, and will be back again next year. One thing is for certain, vendors that weren't there didn't get any of my money...

- Mike KB3EIA -

1299. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 12:09:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050523080905.721$ev@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and .... well,
> I probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can
> vertical :)
>
> Fortunately, the better half didn't get upset ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
Happy belated B-day, Jim. Many more returns! 160 meter half wave, is
that anything like enough beer to float a battleship? <g>

1300. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 12:20:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116850819.546937.313800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108533

N9OGL wrote:
> no, but your a tech, according to the FCC website, meaning you
haven't
> even did your 5 WPM....go learn code little boy

Well, Todd...Once again, you've opened your mouth and made a
complete idiot out of yourself.

The FCC has not been archiving "TechPlus" licenses for a while
now. Any Technician class licensee who has (1) A CSCE from an approved
VEC attesting to completion of Element 1, or (2) A copy of a previously
held "coded" license (regardless of when) may exercise the privileges
of a "Tech Plus" without further FCC administrative requirement or
documentation.

A CSCE held for the purposes of documenting Element 1 completion
and exercising of "Tech Plus" privileges remains in force in
perpetuity.

A CSCE intended for upgrade purposes remains valid only for 1
year.

Do you have any other topics in which you are woefully
ill-prepared to discuss that you'd like to make stupid assertions
about?

Steve, K4YZ

1301. Re: NEW POLE for N9 Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 12:30:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116851447.893235.53010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108534

N9OGL wrote:
> Huh, no one answered your question.....too bad no-coder!

You have no evidence that he's NOT a code-tested licensee, Todd.

And I would have answered, but SOME of us have jobs, Todd...Not all of us can sponge off our aging, elderly parents with a clear conscience like you can.

How many want the gay illiterate to leave?

Which one, Jim...there's so many to choose from!

Roger...Lloyd...Todd...

Who want's the gay illiterate idiot to get busted by the FCC?

I do! I do!

Who want's the illiterate moron to get another gay lover to take his time up so he'll stop posting here?

Just move Toiddie in with Roger. They'll beat each other to death trying to get to the computer to see how insulting they can be.

And besides...Toiddie needs someone to hold the camera for him!

Who want's the fool from Gaylorville to stay and keep posting his filth?

I say we print up a lot of Toiddie's stuff and mail it to Mom and Dad...If they are worth their salt, they'll either bounce him out of the house, or at the very least take away his access priviledges.

Who thinks the toad makes sense?

I think some toads make more sense than Todd does! !! !

How many think the toad is gay?

Sound's like a trick question to me! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

1302. Thank-You, Lennie, For Proving Your Cowardice Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 12:52:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116852732.021962.314660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> This Memorial Day I'll do what I think on HONORING the veterans
> of the United States military.

You will "honor" no one until you've published an unequivocal
apology for your cowardly and snivveling attempts to use the sacrifices
of others in order to embellish what was an unremarkable tour of duty
in the Army.

Such as:

> I will mention Cpl Elmer Hardy and Capt Jim Tomlinson, both gone
> suddenly on the 1st of July, 1950, both members of *MY* Signal
> Battalion (the one I served in).

They were not members of "YOUR" signal batallion, Lennie.

You were still in the States when they died. A civilian.

> I will HONOR them and the
> other 18 members of MY Battalion who lost their lives in the
> same crash in Korea...sent there from the "rear-area" of Japan
> by Gen MacArthur to improve communications after the North
> Koreans invaded South Korea.

And you were STILL not a member of THEIR signal batallion.

You were still in the States when they died. A civilian.

> Stebie is going to say I "dishonor" them by mentioning them.

No, I do not state, nor have I EVER stated, that you dishonor
them by "mentioning" them.

What I DO state is that you try to embellish your own character
with THEIR scarifices, just like you did in the foregoing three
paragraphs.

Just like I've been saying all along....

> He has stated that before and that is just as damnably FALSE
> as anything he has ever babbled. Normally I would have told
> Stebie ("Mr. Seven Hostile Actions") to Go To Hell. He is
> already there. Scroom.

"Hell" is where people who try to embellish their own meager
"service" by trying to associate themselves with MEN who died three

years earlier go, Lennie...

Put on that NOMEX underwear, Lennie.

You did it before...You did it today...Just like I said you had.

Thanks for proving me right again...

> A respectful salute to you, Brian, as one veteran to another.

At least Brian got a shot at some real live-fire service...(pun
not intended)

YOU are just the fire-and-brimstone service...

> LenIjustTriedToPolishUpMyrecordAgainAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1303. Brian Burke Can't Face Yet Another Rousing Slap-Down. That's Gotta Hurt!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 13:12:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116853942.686908.158110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108536

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > I've Quiteenjoyed holding the Silly Gunny to the standards he has
> set
> > > for me. Not surprisingly, he has been unable to live up to his
own
> > > standards. Yet he begs and begs me to accept less than authentic
> > > documentation. I think he is lying about most things he's
claimed.
> >
> > You may "think" anything you care to.
>
> And with your permission, I think you are a liar.

You may think anything you care to.

Proving it's another thing.

> No. You made claims of holding a MARS leadership position, and you
> have demonstrated an intense ignorance of MARS. It doesn't add up.
> New layers of claims are not valid verification.

There's no "layers of claims".

And you have yet to prove this alleged "intense ignorance" of
MARS, N/MC, AF >>OR<< Army.

> I ask for authentic documentation of your claims. Got any?

I gave you more than you've ever provided me on YOUR assertions...

And MINE are verifiable.

> > YOU, on the other hand, have NEVER answered a single one of
the
> > questions put to you by myself, Dave K8MN, Jim N2EY, etc. Not a
> single
> > answer HERE, let alone any "documentation".
>
> A lie. Yet another Robeson lie.

Nope...No "lie"..."Robeson' or otherwise.

> Nope, you guys needed papers, Steve. Papers. Papers from my
Commander
> and/or the United Nations, and papers from the ARRL for DXCC.

We've asked you for names, Brain...Some verifiable credits...Even
the callsigns of some stations you "worked" from T5...

Nothing.

> And so you have labeled me a liar and coward...

No...YOU have labelled yourself a liar and coward...By virtue of
lying and cowardice.

> and have made inuendo
> that I am a gay man and a pedophile.

No...YOU have made comments that were suggestive of "alternative
lifestyle" choices.

You don't pay attention to what you say, how you say it and the
context in which you say it.

> So where is your documentation? Without documentation, wouldn't that
> make you a liar and coward, a gay man and pedophile???

Nope.

> Steve, can you stand up to the standards that you have set for
others?

I already have.

I told you I was in MARS.

You said I wasn't.

I gave you callsigns, dates, times and places.

You can either "make the call" and determine the validity of my
claims or not.

Doing so will show you that I was truthful...Again...

NOT doing so will only show that you are the coward that I claim
you to be.

So far that is the option that you seem to favor.

I guess you figure it's easier to take the slapdown you're due for
that, than having to admit that I was being Quitefacctual.

> I guess not. Other than being a documented liar, I won't hold you to
> the other names that you've applied to me. This is the conclusion of
> another Robeson tragedy. Case closed.

There's no tragedy "here", Brain, other than the one marked by the
passing of yet another May 16th.

The only thing "documented" here is my dogged determination to rub
your nose in your continued deceit and mistruthfulness.

And you're certainly giving me a lot of opportunities to work
with!

Steve, K4YZ

1304. Re: NEW POLE for N9 Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 13:18:17 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <dpqdnfLo4KWBRQzfRVn-uw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108537

N9OGL wrote:
> KC8GXW,
>
> No but I looked at the FCC site...and I believe you to be a No-coder,
> or in my humble opinion a No-code former CB operator. Well no one in my
> family is gay, however, I feel that perhaps by you calling people gay
> when they are not gay, you yourself are unable to grasp the
> understanding that perhaps your gay, and your venting your sexual
> furstrations out by calling people gay because you can't admit to
> yourself what you are, gay. as for kilts they mostly worn by the
> scottish not irsh. Perhaps you shouldn't vent your angry and sexual
> frustrations out on people and do something creative with it, like
> learning code.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
>
It's YOU'RE not YOUR. ie: YOU'RE an illiterate idiot.

1305. Re: Didn't see Robeson at Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 13:19:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116854385.165642.287870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108538

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > No sawed-off, chubby little guy in a flight suit.
> >
> > I don't know any sawed off little guy's in flight suits, Your
> > Lyingness.
>
> I only know one. You can see a photo of him at
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/profile.html

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmm...I stand 5'9 (without the flight boots...A bit
taller with them)...hardly "sawed off".

> > > I even went to the
> > > ARRL boothes, the MARS booth, and the (Wisconsin) CAP RV.
> > >
> > > Steve was no where to be found.
> >
> > What a coincidence...I didn't see you either.
>
> Another new lie?

"New lie"...?!?!

I said I didn't see you there. You have already said you didn't
see me.

Can't figure out which tale to tell now, Brain...???

> > Go figure.
>
> Not hard to figure your lyingness out.

No "lying" here...except for the "lying" I am going to do on the
couch today...It's been a BUSY weekend!

Steve, K4YZ

1306. Re: Didn't see Robeson at Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 13:24:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116854654.452572.213760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108539

Adam wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1116634364.315940.235590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> No sawed-off, chubby little guy in a flight suit. I even went to
the
>> ARRL boothes, the MARS booth, and the (Wisconsin) CAP RV.
>>
>> Steve was no where to be found.
>
> So what were you expecting to do if he was there?

The same thing he does here...

Duck and cover...

Steve, K4YZ

1307. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 13:31:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116855098.349520.280620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108540

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > There is no Todd O'Dochartaigh licensed as N9OGL
> >
>
> I've been licensed almost 50 years and the FCC still doesn't know my
first name
> either. So what?

So...are you now "O'Brakobaigh" too...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1308. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 13:36:12 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <D-6dnUrY4tPMQQzfRVn-rQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108541

I said
"BUT the question is, if I'm not a no code then you are a gay liar.

Obsessed Gay Lid wrote:

> no, but your a tech, according to the FCC website, meaning you haven't
> even did your 5 WPM....go learn code little boy
>
> TODD N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00

Oh but you are so wrong idiot, in another post I said I was a novice before I was a tech. So I guess the truth is there, You are an IDIOT gay boy.
Get a vanity call N9OGI Obsessed Gay Idiot...go learn the rules idiot. For all you know I did the general theory, which was required before there were tech and tech plus license's, which, if I desired, would be a free upgrade by just filling out some paperwork. But you would still be a lying gay idiot toad.
The bottom line idiot was and still is,
(1) where on my license does it say it's a no code license?
(2) If having a higher class license makes you better then me, then Steve, Dave, Dee Dee, and Dan all have a higher class license then you, so I guess that makes us both peons! But you're still a gay idiot!
Have a happy :)

1309. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 14:10:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rvlke.1699$oT1.326@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108542

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> So...are you now "O'Brakobaigh" too...?

What would make you think that? Are you now MacRobeson? My extraction is
mostly Russian, not Irish. My surname on original church records is Brakovich.

So what?

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

1310. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 14:18:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116857889.858452.59330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108543

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > So...are you now "O'Brakobaigh" too...?
>
> What would make you think that?

Your injecting yourself into the fray woulod make me think that.

You chastise others for such exchanges, then pop in for a bit of
tit-for-tat of your own...Just makes me wonder which side you're
showing today, Hans.

> Are you now MacRobeson?

Nope...but then I don't purport to HAVE another name.

> My extraction is mostly Russian, not Irish.

My extraction is American.

> My surname on original church records is Brakovich.

But what's your surname on offical American records?

> So what?

So what indeed...You're the Johnny-Come-Lately, Hans...You tell ME
"so what"...

Steve, K4YZ

1311. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 14:19:00 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <oDlke.6277$uR4.3687@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108544

Lots of stuff snipped with additional comments below.

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1116710601.789736.259420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Bill Sohl" on Sat,May 21 2005 3:07 am
>
>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>news:1116637277.570039.30120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Fri,May 20 2005 1:15 pm
>>>
>>>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>news:1116568554.750090.25650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> A few things are evident in this newsgroup. Firstly,
>>> there are the cast-in-concrete conservatives who have
>>> been brainwashed into believing that the ULTIMATE
>>> skill in amateur radio is morsemanship. Secondly,
>>> there is that handful of irregular regulars in here
>>> trying to "win out" over anyone expressing any
>>> opinion other than theirs...those stop at nothing to
>>> attempt damaging their "opponents" credibility
>>> through the usual attempted intimidation and personal
>>> insults.
>>
>>Personally, if someone wants to believe that morse
>>is THE ULTIMATE skill in amateur radio, that's
>>their right and opinion. Clearly neither you nor I
>>agree with that.
>
> Agreed on that agreement. Others go way over the line
> of the subject on their "replies." That isn't deniable.
> It's archived in Google (for those that want to relive
> past messaging, seeking to "avenge their wrongs").
>
> For years and years the ARRL has emphasized morsemanship
> over and above all other skills in amateur radio.

Yet ARRL has significantly altered their position...per
their filling for rules changes to the FCC that would ONLY
retain a morse test for Extra. I still disagree with ARRL
as to the need for ANY test, but ARRL certainly (IMHO)
is no longer hyping Morse to the extent you suggest.

(SNIP)

>>I try to avoid all personal attack commentary.
>
> If time permits, I'll meet it head-on...and usually defeat
> the insulters...whether they admit it or not (very few have
> the courage to do anything but harass, heckle, and insult
> in "replies").
>
> The big difference is that I DO have documentary evidence
> on what I write...and it is referenced elsewhere.

Again...I try to avoid all personal attack commentary.

(SNIP)

> You have now entered the area of reducto ad absurdum.
> Yes, you CAN whistle morse code characters on any voice
> transmitter. Or use a little code practice oscillator set
> with a speaker beeping into the microphone...if there isn't
> any pucker left in your whistle. :-)
>
> But WHY? Because you "can?" :-) How does that demonstrate
> "real operating?"

If I have a two way contact using on/off tone for morse via
an FM mode, it's real operating. I suspect we will just
have to agree to disagree on that.

(SNIP)

>>> Cellular telephony does not, nor was it ever designed, to
>>> send textual communications. Cellular telephony was
>>> designed and implemented to communicate by VOICE.
>>
>>Agree totally...which is why I would expect morse
>>to win as it did.
>
> So, you CAN agree that it was a very biased "contest?"

I agree the result was predictable.

>>>The win did not prove nor did I see any amateur
>>>in this newsgroup suggest that the win showed
>>>that morse was "better than any other mode."
>>>
>>> Bill, I will have to put you down as a LITERALIST then.
>>> A "literalist" is one who takes all written text as it
>>> is, unable to read in anything "between the lines" and
>>> acting like some "language purist."
>>> I'm sorry you've turned into that.
>>
>>I will wear the badge of "literalist" with pride. Frankly
>>there's too much reading between the lines anyway.
>>If people can't be straight-up, then I'm not here to
>>second guess their true intentions.

>
> Okay, so EVERYONE has to dot their "i" and cross their "t"
> properly and BELIEVE what the ARRL tells them is true? :-)

How you jump from me bing a literalist to saying I think
everyone should BELIEVE what ARRL tells them is
true" is beyond my comprehension.

> Very little "second guessing" is needed with this bunch.
> Their agendas are clear and easy to see as a neon sign.

Assuming that is so, they ain't getting their way are they?
Morse testing WILL be ended in US ham license requirements.
It is just a matter of when?

>>>>Yes, I've been around
>>>>this newsgroup long enough to know that there is
>>>>a handful (or at least was at one time) of hams that
>>>>might have held such "morse is better than any other
>>>>mode" perspective, but I think the issue has
>>>>ckarified significantly in recent years to the point that
>>>>the issue is the TEST and only the test for most
>>>>hams.
>>>
>>> This newsgrope group is NOT "most hams." :-)
>>
>>I didn't say it was.
>
> Ah, but the handfull of PCTA Extra Double Standard bearers
> seem fond of using their royal "we" (of the "amateur
> community") is "critiquing" those that don't agree with
> them!

Their "we" doesn't speak for me nor do I believe they
ever meant it to.

(SNIP)

>>The newsgroup has "some" folks that might fit your
>>description...but they are fewer today than before and
>>they are not sole participants in the newsgroup as
>>others (you, me, etc) clearly have differing viewpoints.
>
> There are NO "differing viewpoints" with that group,
> Bill. They claim the only "truth" and all others are
> "liars," "in error," "simply mistaken," etc. :-)
>
> To NOT agree with these PCTA Extra Double Standard self-
> imposed "judges" of all is to invite a barrage of their
> anger and hatred and scathing insult commentary that is
> NOT limited to radio subjects. Quod erat demonstrandum,
> QED (not a Q code abbreviation).

I totally disagree with the PCTA folks but I'm not
receiving any scathy insults. One or two extremeists
have resorted to name calling in the past, but so
what...such name calling pretty much shows them for
their lack of credibility on the issue anyway.

>>>>As such, I applaud the morse win over Text
>>>>messaging because it was a good opportunity to
>>>>get some publicity for ham radio.
>>>
>>> "Publicity," yes. But at what price? By putting other
>>> means of communications DOWN in a rigged test?
>>
>>Should we have a pity party for the poor text messaging
>>record holder. If the text message folks feared a put
>>down then they had the option to not play. They decided to
>>play and they lost....fair, square, contrived or how ever
>>you feel it was inevitable that text messaging would lose.
>
> Okay, then you agree it was a SETUP? :-)

I agree the result was predictable...if you want to call
it a set-up, then so be it.

>>> If that's the only means of "getting publicity" nationally,
>>> then it is of rather low taste.
>>
>>Why is it of low taste? Did the losers get razzed by the morse
>>winners?
>
> Not on the show. In HERE. :-)

Who really cares other than hams as to what appears
here? I suspect very few non-hams read this forum.

(SNIP)

>>Then argue against the test. Your post appeared to be
>>far more focused against morse use in general rather
>>than specifically the test requirement.
>
> To use an old military term...TS. :-)

Guess you ran out of logical arguments? :-) :-)

> Saying ANYTHING negative to the PCTA Extra Double Standard
> bearers is to invite the usual starving-vulture feeding
> frenzy of OUTRAGE that anyone would speak against morse!
> :-)

Well I'm fairly safe at the moment inspite of my opposition
to all morse testing.

> OUTSIDE of amateur radio, where amateur radio must coexist with
> all other radio services, is another story. Even more so with
> the general public who are not overly interested in the HOBBY
> of some, not obligingly respectful of the claims of greatness
> by those INSIDE the hobby. <shrug>
>
> So, Bill, three minutes of "publicity" on national TV is "good."

It's three more minutes than NONE.

>>As before, I try to stay out of the personal attack
>>commentary that I've seen some of these discussions
>>reduced to.
>
> Okay, we'll put you down as CONDONING the actions of some of
> these licensed radio amateurs.

I don't condone it, I avoid it. Its not a personal
crusade of mine to point out every extremist rant, rave
or personal insult/attack.

> You did say that "some publicity" is better than none, right?

I did.

>>> According to the U.S. Census Bureau figures for 2004, there
>>> are 100 million cell phone subscriptions in the USA. How
>>> many morse code operators are there in radio in the USA?
>>
>>Your point?
>
> "Point?" What "point?" I asked "how many morse code operators
> are there in radio in the USA." [I didn't restrict that to just
> radio amateurs]

OK, for argument sake, let's say there are 1/3 million morse
code operators in the USA (hams and anyone else). Now
what's your point?

> One in three Americans has a cell phone subscription. It's a
> safe bet that there are at least 100 million cell phone handsets
> operational now. Hams are outnumberd by cell phone users at
> least 100:1.

Nothing new there.

> Again, according to the U. S. Census Bureau statement (of 2004),
> in 2003 at least one in five Americans had some kind of Internet
> access. That's roughly 60 million Americans. The Internet
> enables all instant communications with every continent except
> Antarctica. Was "good publicity" responsible for that?

Good publicity, new technology and very cheap internet
access and/or cellphone ability is why 60 million folks have
internet and/or cellphones today.

> Twenty years ago neither of those cell phone or Internet statistics
> could have been stated. Suddenly (after 20 years) there they are.

Suddenly? 20 years isn't suddenly.
Other new technologies have seen similar relatively
short periods whereby they overcame existing
technologies:
CD over records - about 5 years
DVD vs VCR - about 5 years
Autos over horses - about 30 years
TV over Radio as prmary home entertainment - < 10 years

> Morse code has been around for 161 years. It was once the only
> means possible for "instant" communications anywhere. No longer.

So what? It is used and liked by many hams...that
is their choice. Their use nor their being proponents
of morse use has NOT prevailed with the FCC
regarding the morse test (per the 4/2000 new rules)
except at the time retention of a 5wpm test for HF
access per then international treaty requirements.

The international treaty has changed and eliminated
any requirement for morse competency by hams
with HF access...BUT the FCC has not yet acted
upon that international change...BUT when the FCC does,
I have every belief that all code testing will be dropped...
regardless of what ARRL or any code test advocate has
or will say.

> If morse code was so "good," "so triumphant" why
> didn't it survive and grow?

It isn't an issue of good or not. It is now only an
issue of test or not. Those that want to use and advocate
use of morse to others are free to do so. Advocating
USE is not advocating need for a test...a point also
recognized by the FCC in the past.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1312. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 14:21:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JFIke.1701$oT1.524@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108545

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> My extraction is American.

North or South?

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

1313. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 15:04:19 UTC
From: Hamsoldandnew <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net>
Message-ID: <1cd26$4291f0f0$d1cc59c0$3860@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 108546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:GvGdnVkZy6GpiwzfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
> When I turned in my ticket at the Hamvention I
> normally check out what the prizes are. This year
> I couldn't see even one item from Yaesu. Kenwood
> and Icom etc. had items on display, but not Yaesu.
> I wonder if the Hamvention did something to tick
> them off.

If they exhibited their typical condescending
mentality or mannerisms like they do to the paying
dealers/vendors in the outside flea market, this would
not suprise me at all. I'd not even donate a cheap prize
pair of dollar store headphones. BTW we really liked the
condition of the dealer's parking lot this year!
The blacktop of the Hara Arena reminded me of a
cross between the Lunar landscape and the San Andreas
Fault in some places. As someone on the live chat
commented quite accurately: '..the Hara should rent out
their parking lot to Range Rover for Customer Test Drives
during the weekdays'.

> Also I would say that both the flea market and the
> inside vendor numbers were down by at least 20%.
> There were MANY empty spaces in the flea market
> area when in past years it was mostly full.

Year after year less and less sellers. I attribute this to
e-Bay, the cost of selling at the Hamvention and the
ever diminishing returns. 9:00 AM on Sunday was
positively dismal. I would say that fully 40% of the
outside Vendors packed up and left on Saturday night.

This year was our last, Dayton is just not worth it anymore.
Better to sell it online and have the UPS Truck
come to your house and pick the stuff up for
delivery instead of carting it 500+ miles just to bring
it all back home rain dampened again...

> I also heard that Mendelson wanted more space and a break
> on the flea market rental since they are going out
> of business. The Hamvention, based I what I heard
> around, said no dice, so they didn't even bother
> to show up and they're only a few miles from the
> arena too.

We heard the same story making the rounds
among the dealers on Saturday. Probably true.

> They spaced out many of the inside
> vendor booth areas so it wasn't too obvious that
> the number of vendors was way down.
> What did anybody else notice? I hope the above
> isn't a long term trend.

Those spaces were a combination of that and
a small number of dealers who decided not to
go at all the last minute. The hamfest committee
was hoping that alot of people would show up
and pay for a space...like that was going to happen. (!)

I also saw several tables of 100% cell phone and computer
junk which was a major disappointment. I would be
rather PO'ed also if I paid $40 to get in and I saw
standard flea market type vendors selling stuff that
I could see, at any weekend mart FOR FREE and
with no admission charge!

Forget it folks..
IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.

1314. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 15:22:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050523112213.845$SO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
> Forget it folks..
> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
>
I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention at
the outside vendors booths.

1315. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 15:22:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jzmke.5230$Lc1.1719@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108548

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> So what indeed...You're the Johnny-Come-Lately, Hans...You tell ME
> "so what".

"Johnny-Come-Lately" ?!?! By any measure you care to use, you are decidedly junior to me in both TIS and TIG. Get used to it, boot!

But back to "so what" ... You call Todd a liar for signing a post "Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL".

By that same measure you are "lying in public" (your term) when you sign a post "Steve, K4YZ" since FCC records do not show anyone named "Steve" as holder of the call sign K4YZ.

Sunuvagun!

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

1316. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 15:25:29 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1116861929.363818.46950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last trip to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment for sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio electronic gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.

In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.

1317. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 15:27:55 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4291F67B.49A3E9D5@milestones.com>
Source record: 108550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Steveo wrote:

> "Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
> > Forget it folks..
> > IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> > It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> > despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> > Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> > equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> > Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
> >
> I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention at
> the outside vendors booths.

In the Hamventions defense it's not their fault as to what is brought inside for sale. They're probably trying to do the best they can to put on a good event.

Agreed, most stuff is going to eBay these days, and no problem with that. Can't really fault a seller for wanting to move his/her equipment rather than waiting another year for Dayton.

I've seen the same here even with local fest's over the last number of years.

dxAce (N8KDV)
Michigan
USA

1318. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 15:57:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116863865.576394.103800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108551

if you did the general theory it would say general...with your free upgrade hehehe, i didn't say high class made anyone better, years do.....as far as i'm concern your still a CB operator in denial that he gay and has to vent high angry and sexual frustrations out by calling other people gay.

Todd ODochartaigh N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

1319. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 16:07:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116864421.155616.261020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108552

>Stebie said
>Well, Todd...Once again, you've opened your mouth and made a
>complete idiot out of yourself.

Well steve in my humble opinion your a liar....you still didn't answer
me, where in a FCC rules or FCC decision that states an information
bulletin is a news bulletin??

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

1320. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 16:14:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fjnke.4869$X92.1738@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote

> ... and one vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.

Sounds good to me! You have a problem with bikini clad YL'S?

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

1321. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 17:08:57 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <O7OdnTocOce0kw_fRVn-1A@comcast.com>
Source record: 108554

On Mon, 23 May 2005 07:53:45 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

> I don't think the number was 20 percent, but it was down a bit.
>
> I had a good time,

I did too, but its the trend I don't like.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1322. Re: Enjoyed Hamvention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 17:11:37 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <O7OdnTUcOcdUkw_fRVn-1A@comcast.com>
Source record: 108555

On Sun, 22 May 2005 20:53:18 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:GvGdnVkZy6GpiwzfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
>> When I turned in my ticket at the Hamvention I
>> normally check out what the prizes are. This year
>> I couldn't see even one item from Yaesu. Kenwood
>> and Icom etc. had items on display, but not Yaesu.
>> I wonder if the Hamvention did something to tick
>> them off.
>>
>> Also I would say that both the flea market and the
>> inside vendor numbers were down by at least 20%.
>> There were MANY empty spaces in the flea market
>> area when in past years it was mostly full. I also
>> heard that Mendelson wanted more space and a break
>> on the flea market rental since they are going out
>> of business. The Hamvention, based I what I heard
>> around, said no dice, so they didn't even bother
>> to show up and they're only a few miles from the
>> arena too. They spaced out many of the inside
>> vendor booth areas so it wasn't too obvious that
>> the number of vendors was way down.
>>
>> What did anybody else notice? I hope the above
>> isn't a long term trend.
>>
>>
>> --
>> Leland C. Scott
>> KC8LDO
>
>
> Don't know if it was down or not but enjoyed it immensely. I and the OM
> were helping out a new ham select and buy equipment and of course picked up
> a few goodies for ourselves too.

I'm glad you had a good time, so did I. I just found the reduction in the
number of flea market and inside vendors very noticeable compared to years
past.

Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1323. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 17:17:04 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <pNadnV6uDrmNjQ_fRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 108556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 May 2005 16:14:03 +0000, Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote
>
>> ... and one vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.
>
> Sounds good to me! You have a problem with bikini clad YL'S?

I liked the blond working in the "Radio Works" booth. The two working
around the corner from the Kenwood exhibit were nice, and the top heavy
one working in the far back corner in the hall where the Hummer was at too.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1324. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 17:39:14 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <429214de.20632687@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 108557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 23 May 2005 15:22:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
>> Forget it folks..
>> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
>> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
>> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
>> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
>> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
>> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
>>
>I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention at
>the outside vendors booths.

Was the entrance fee really $40.00?

1325. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 17:45:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050523134547.444$U9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 23 May 2005 15:22:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
> >> Forget it folks..
> >> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> >> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> >> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> >> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> >> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> >> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
> >>
> >I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention
> >at the outside vendors booths.
>
> Was the entrance fee really $40.00?
>
We paid $25.

1326. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 20:17:09 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d6tdo5$p7q$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 108559

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
>
>> ... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have
>> been as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test
>> taking" could study for a day and pass the most challenging
>
>
> I think you need to go back and look at the early exams. There was a
> time when an applicant was required to actually draw a schematic of
> various circuits and explaine how they worked.

Is that supposed to be hard?

> And even after the exams
> became multiple choice type, one had to know the material to get the
> correct answer as the answers to the acutal questions were not
> available.

Yeah. You'll find that question pool bugaboo in a lot of fields these days, including fields where if a person makes a mistake because of not knowing the material, lives may be lost.

> There were study guides with sample questions, but no
> questions pools with the exact answer available for memorization.

Now if you want *really* hard, make it no study guide, no question pool, and the applicant has to do all the learning research with NO idea of what is on the test! 8^)

> If you
> did not know the theory, then you probably weren't going to pass.
> Again john smith knows not of what he speaks.

I took the tests from the question pools. For me, they were all pretty easy. They were not easy because of the question pools. They were easy because they were fairly basic material.

What I have seen of the earlier test is that they too were pretty basic. Any difference is not so great that those who came before need not feel any superiority.

I aced the Technician test with the only study being the safety questions.

I did study a bit for the General.

For the Extra, I spent a week taking the on-line tests. Questions that I knew the answer to, I got right of course.

Those that I got wrong earned me a trip to the books or online to find out why I got it wrong. By the time I was finished, I aced the test just about every time on line, and then in the actual test.

And I knew the material.

Elapsed time, one week.

Now the Morse code was another thing entirely. That was hard.

But then I'm just a dum nickel extra! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1327. Old farts like Robeson, Len and bb have ruined this group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 20:24:43 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <2f482bd3c1734420b76187c797d9241b@userbeam.net>
Source record: 108560

Nobody gives a shit about your MARS activities and we're fucking fed up with reading about it. I personally believe that Robeson, "bb," and Lennie are the biggest fools on rram and rrap, but I'm stuck over here in Kuwait reading things through Google and can't filter out their dreck.

Fortunately, there are still web boards like qrz.com where idiots like them are simply not tolerated. I'm gone from here and will be reading the web boards exclusively.

1328. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 20:26:34 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <hI-dnTz0TcvjoQ_fRVn-gg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108561

N9OGL wrote:
> if you did the general theory it would say general...with your free
> upgrade hehehe, i didn't say high class made anyone better, years
> do.....as far as i'm concern your still a CB operator in denial that he
> gay and has to vent high angry and sexual frustrations out by calling
> other people gay.
>
> Todd ODochartaigh N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz

So my lower class license makes me a cb'er? Never owned or operated one toad. You're wrong, the tech license used to be the general theory with only 5 wpm code, so the license would say Technician. When they dropped the code requirement to 5 wpm, all that was required of a tech licensed prior to, I think it was 1987, could upgrade to general with just some paperwork. So see toad, you're talking out the side of your face and you don't know what the hell you are saying! So my being first licensed in 1984 make me have more time the you the illiterate idiot! Hmm, That makes you the gay porno queen cb'er pirate parrot.
You're such an idiot toad, I told you my class of license, you didn't figure it out on your own.
So toad, I've been licensed longer then you and have the same theory as you, I have more education then you'll ever have, from a university, not the Gaylorville certificate club.
In YOUR opinion, that makes you the illegal cb'er.
Any more lies to make you feel superior?
BTW, how's the boys down at the flower shop? Did you ever wonder how I found out that you hung out there? When are your FBI buddies getting back to you, soon I hope!

1329. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 20:33:13 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d6tem9$p04$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 108562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hamsoldandnew wrote:

> Forget it folks..
> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
>

Buh-Bye!

DLTDHYOTAOYWO!

- Mike KB3EIA

1330. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 20:34:51 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d6tepb$p04$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 108563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote
>
>
>>... and one vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.
>
>
> Sounds good to me! You have a problem with bikini clad YL'S?

Which vendor? I didn't see anything of the sort! 8^(

- Mike KB3EIA -

1331. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 20:39:21 UTC
From: Pioneer 8 <maytheschwartzbewithyou@wookiesonendor.com>
Message-ID: <9a4c6$42923fb6$d8536f58$31465@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 108564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050523112213.845$SO@newsreader.com...

> I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage
> sale than a hamvention at the outside vendors
> booths.

The Bottom Line:

Ham Op's in general and the Hamvention Committee
and the DARA has yet to grasp that timeless law of
Business MBA called: "The Law of Diminishing Returns".

1332. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 20:44:37 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d6tfbm$12c4$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 108566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John S. wrote:

> If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last trip
> to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment for
> sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
> hamfests.

I bought a fair amount of interesting Ham stuff for fair prices.

And there was a surprising amount of non-radio electronic
> gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
> vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.

Yeehaa! Too bad I didn't get to see it

> In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
> because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
> itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.

Now you've hit on something. I wouldn't doubt that a lot of people who are "fed up" with Dayton are just tired of the whole hamfest thing in general.

I've been going for three years now. There were less vendors this year than last. But there was less crap than last year also. And a lot of the crap that went missing this year was the people selling ancient computers and old HT junk. If you wanted ham radio items, there was plenty to be had. And I'd rather have fewer vendors selling more ham radio equipment than more vendors selling IBM XT's and Old Handi-talkies that some Fire department got rid of in 1990.

Interesting that with the high prices that Collins equipment has been getting lately, a lot of them came out of the woodwork. I would suggest that anyone collecting them keep their eyes open for good deals.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1333. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 20:46:58 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <whrke.7222$5u4.24045@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 108565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That would be the Laffer Curve.
BTW: tickets were $20 advance, $25 at door.

>
>
> The Bottom Line:
>
> Ham Op's in general and the Hamvention Committee
> and the DARA has yet to grasp that timeless law of
> Business MBA called: "The Law of Diminishing Returns".
>
>

1334. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 22:03:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116885801.693201.286580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108567

Wrong, for the general class required 13 WPM morse code, it wasn't
until recently that they changed the rules. I got my General in 1993
and had to have the 13 WPM Morse code. Taylorville does not have a
university or college, The college I'm going to is in Springfield right
next to the University of Illinois, which I went to back in 1988. So
I've been to both a college and a university. There are no flower shops
here in this small town gaylord.....again please focus your angry and
sexual frustration on something more creative.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.

1335. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 22:43:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116888193.565144.196290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108568

N9OGL wrote:
> if you did the general theory it would say general...with your free
> upgrade hehehe, i didn't say high class made anyone better, years
> do.....

Not always true...

Look at you...

Been an Amateur licensee for years and still not worth squat.

> as far as i'm concern your still a CB operator in denial that he
> gay and has to vent high angry and sexual frustrations out by calling
> other people gay.

But you ARE gay, Todd.

It's not "venting", "angry" or "frusration" if it's true.

> Todd ODochartaigh N9OGL

There's no FCC licensee named "Todd O'Dochartaigh" in the FCC
database.

> THE N9OGL SHOW

There's all the proof I need that you're not running a "bulletin
station".

Steve, K4YZ

1336. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 22:47:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116888445.311524.274170@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108569

N9OGL wrote:
> >Stebie said
> >Well, Todd...Once again, you've opened your mouth and made a
> >complete idiot out of yourself.
>
> Well steve in my humble opinion your a liar....

Todd, you are anything BUT "humble".

Loud mouthed...profane...functionally illiterate...

But NOT "humble"...

> you still didn't answer
> me, where in a FCC rules or FCC decision that states an information
> bulletin is a news bulletin??

What? What are you asking, Todd?

And hot flash, hot rod...A "bulletin" >>IS<< "news"...

If it's not, then you're broadcasting.

> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

There's no person named "Todd O'Dochartaigh" in the FCC database.

So why are you lying about your identity?

> THE N9OGL SHOW

Is it a "show" or a bulletin"...???

Steve, K4YZ

1337. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 22:50:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116888633.303033.297970@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108570

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > > 73
> > > > > Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> > > > > THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
> > > > > 14.321.00 MHz
> > > > > 52.430.00 MHz
> > > > > 145.650.00 MHz
> > > > > Power 100 Watts.
> > > >
> > > >> The truth? You don't know what the truth means you idiot.
> > > >> Why the heck transmit on all the bands, if you're on 144 mhz
why
> > put
> > > it
> > > >> on other bands higher? It's all local after that, why waste
> > > frequencies?
> > > >>
> > > >> Since you are a no code tech you have no dog in this fight.
> > > >> Upgrade and then comment.
> > >
> > > Uh....Brain....???
> >
> > Moron.
>
> Yes, Brain, I'd have to agree...You're a moron.
>
> Allow me to reattach the part you snipped:

Steve, the only thing you need to reattach is the tail on your donkey
butt.

> REQUOTE:
>
> Uh....Brain....???
>
> His comment addressed "144 mhz".
>
> That is very clearly within the scope of his license.
>
> And although he didn't mention it, six meters is within the
scope
> of his license too. As are the "higher local" frequencies.

>
> He didn't address any comments to the 20 meter frequency.
>
> UNQUOTE:

You're a gem, Silly Gunny. Thanks for reposting.

> I'm trying reeeeeeeeeeal hard to figure what you thought was
> moronic about pointing out YOUR error...Unless you were just
accepting
> responsibility for MAKING a moronic post....
>
> Wake up, Brain...
>
> You're just too stupid to realize that you're only slightly less
> transparent than wax paper.
>
> It really is THAT simple.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

What is simple is Silly Gunny jumping to conclusions. Go back to the
first "bb" posting above and notice that it is >>>NOT<<< my account.
So thanks for trying "reeeeeeeeeeal hard" to point out >my< error.
Idiot.

1338. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 22:53:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116888816.532787.86550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108571

K4YZ wrote:
>
> But what's your surname on offical American records?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Asking for "official records?" Hi!

1339. Re: Old farts like Robeson, Len and bb have ruined this group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 22:54:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116888849.127509.287690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

George Orwell wrote:
> Nobody gives a #### about your MARS activities and we're ####ing
> fed up with reading about it.

And please remind me where myself or anyone else said "Let's Make
Sure That All Of The Anonymous Cowards Are happy".

> I personally believe that Robeson,
> "bb," and Lennie are the biggest fools on rram and rrap, but I'm
> stuck over here in Kuwait reading things through Google and can't
> filter out their dreck.

Unless you were on a commercial aircraft that made a forced
landing there, you are there by your own hand having volunteered for
service in the Armed Forces.

Your siutation is not of anyone else's doing but your own if
you're not happy. Or are you on of those "I didn't enlist for this...I
just wanted the money for college..." people?

BTW...How come you have the guts to go in REAL "harm's way", but
you haven't got the cajones to sign your real name?

> Fortunately, there are still web boards like qrz.com where idiots
> like them are simply not tolerated. I'm gone from here and will
> be reading the web boards exclusively.

And I do post on QRZ from time to time, so just quit your whining
and suck it up, Soldier.

Steve, K4YZ

1340. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 22:56:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116888968.231001.13990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108573

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > So what indeed...You're the Johnny-Come-Lately, Hans...You tell ME
> > "so what".
>
> "Johnny-Come-Lately" ?!?! By any measure you care to use, you are
decidedly
> junior to me in both TIS and TIG. Get used to it, boot!
>
> But back to "so what" ... You call Todd a liar for signing a post
"Todd
> O'Dochartaigh N9OGL".
>
> By that same measure you are "lying in public" (your term) when you
sign a post
> "Steve, K4YZ" since FCC records do not show anyone named "Steve" as
holder of
> the call sign K4YZ.
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> ZBM2,
>
> de Hans, K0HB

Steve falls apart when you apply his rules to his behavio[u]r. He just
can't meet his own expectations.

1341. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 22:58:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116889108.987517.288460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108574

bb wrote:

> What is simple is Silly Gunny jumping to conclusions. Go back to the
> first "bb" posting above and notice that it is >>>NOT<<< my account.
> So thanks for trying "reeeeeeeeeeal hard" to point out >my< error.

Well...for ONCE you got something right!

I stand corrected and apologize. I'll be more careful to double
check the headers, Brain.

> Idiot.

I'm not ANY blood relation to you that I know of....

Steve, K4YZ

1342. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:00:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116889229.462055.285300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108576

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > But what's your surname on offical American records?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Asking for "official records?"

Nope.

Since you're all about "reading the original", Brain, go back and
read MY original sentence out-loud and see if you can figure out YOUR
error...

Steve, K4YZ

1343. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:01:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Chtke.6562$M36.1056@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I have a "use for CW". I use it to communicate with others using the same mode. I use it to participate in Amateur Radio.

If you or your ilk don't care to do so. Stay the hell off my frequency.

Have a good day idiot.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:da9ke.4897$nh.2864287@news.sisna.com...
> You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio
> dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time when
> dropping code would have saved ham radio--I fear that time has come and
> gone... cw has no real use to anyone, anymore... it is like having to
> learn to type to use the internet... no such requirement... however,
> because typing DOES have a use--you will find many learning to type after
> they realize the need...
>
> ... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have been
> as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test taking" could
> study for a day and pass the most challenging... they have been made even
> simplier still... yet amateur radio declined and continues to do so--
>
> ... now "critical mass" has been reached, so many other forms of
> communication exist that amateur radio is on its' death march... radios
> are so far and few between... and so little demand... they are too
> expensive with most to bother with... you could give ham licences away in
> the streets and not improve that...
>
> ... no, the OMs' alzheimers and lack of ability to see the future has
> killed ham radio--you'd think the old guys must have hated it--to have
> stood by and watched it die--if what you say is correct--and they DID have
> all their mental facilities about them and still allowed it...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:eC8ke.1846$8g.450@news01.roc.ny...
>> Nice try, John
>>
>> In the original post I stated "just for grins".
>>

>> As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the kid
>> punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day
>> when
>> the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure
>> out
>> what my change was. She had to call a manager!
>>
>> I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am
>> active
>> in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service
>> for a
>> week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use
>> my
>> Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without
>> mains.
>> Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There are
>> two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!
>>
>> I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power
>> stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no sanity
>> test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to
>> high
>> power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.
>>
>> What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a knot
>> over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.
>>
>> As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I suspect
>> that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test
>> ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's license
>> as
>> well ....
>>
>> Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but
>> the
>> underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for
>> *everyone*.
>> This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a
>> repeater
>> which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television, aircraft
>> guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more.
>> Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles came
>> about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic
>> lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think there
>> might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio. Originally,
>> there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the
>> world,
>> total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology progressed,
>> there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean
>> everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to 300

>> gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed
>> many
>> times since.
>>
>> At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I have
>> another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.
>>
>> Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down on
>> the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't
>> like
>> my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet.
>> It
>> is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box
>> turned
>> up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning.
>> Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share
>> should
>> this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the
>> mp3
>> cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack on
>> the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv.
>> I
>> hope they enjoy my tunes:
>>
>> 1) Washington Post March
>> 2) Anchors aweigh
>> 3) The Thunderer
>> 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>>
>> I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a
>> feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden :))
>>
>>
>> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:h8Sje.20099$Bg3.13159443@news.sisna.com...
>>> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom... just
>>> so
>>> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe to
>>> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are
>>> gone
>>> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to return...
>>> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> John

>>>
>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>> > Hi gang!
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > Just for some grins, check this out:
>>> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>>> >
>>> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
>>> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
>>> > It
>>> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
>>> > listened
>>> > to about 37 years ago ....
>>> >
>>> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>>> > Jim AA2QA
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

1344. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:04:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116889458.109526.208120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108577

bb wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > > So what indeed...You're the Johnny-Come-Lately, Hans...You tell
ME
> > > "so what".
> >
> > "Johnny-Come-Lately" ?!?! By any measure you care to use, you are
> decidedly
> > junior to me in both TIS and TIG. Get used to it, boot!
> >
> > But back to "so what" ... You call Todd a liar for signing a post
> "Todd
> > O'Dochartaigh N9OGL".
> >
> > By that same measure you are "lying in public" (your term) when you
> sign a post
> > "Steve, K4YZ" since FCC records do not show anyone named "Steve" as
> holder of
> > the call sign K4YZ.
> >
> > Sunuvagun!
> >
> > ZBM2,
> >
> > de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> Steve falls apart when you apply his rules to his behavio[u]r. He
just
> can't meet his own expectations.

"Falls apart"...?!?!

You'd like to think so, I guess.....

An abbreviated FIRST name is a commom familiarity in American
communication.

A completely different LAST name is an "alias".

Or that's how law enforcement sees it.

Steve, K4YZ

1345. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:10:21 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <TNCdnXJsl_I-_w_fRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108578

N9OGL wrote:
> Wrong, for the general class required 13 WPM morse code, it wasn't
> until recently that they changed the rules. I got my General in 1993
> and had to have the 13 WPM Morse code. Taylorville does not have a
> university or college, The college I'm going to is in Springfield right
> next to the University of Illinois, which I went to back in 1988. So
> I've been to both a college and a university. There are no flower shops
> here in this small town gaylord.....again please focus your angry and
> sexual frustration on something more creative.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz.
>
Ok toad, Last time, read very slowly. Yes the general was 13 wpm, but in the late 80's there were no tech/no code, at that time the tech was, now take a deep breath, General theory but the novice code of 5 wpm. Got it so far? In the 90's the Tech test was lightened. You're right again, it wasn't until recently that the rules were changed to 5wpm for all license classes. Now read really slow, when the code requirement was lowered, the Tech's that had taken the general theory but only had the 5 wpm code were grand fathered into Generals, if they filed the paperwork, this was because they had passed all the requirements to be a general.
So you know how you type in caps with all the profane language?
()%^#H#H$%^#H#H$%^ @#%&(**(^%%#$.
Get it yet idiot? Just because somebody is a Tech today doesn't mean they are no code. So now that I have pointed out that you are stupid and a liar again, I'm done with you, you are too lame to understand and too gay to care!
I've had my license longer then you and I've had more education then you'll ever have. Taking a course at a collage isn't the same as a degree, and you'll always be the GAY ILLITERATE IDIOT.
There is no arguing with an idiot like you, your mind is so small that it's closed to any real facts, you don't know what reality is and you lie all the time. You can't afford an apartment, you can't afford a windows machine you aren't an appliance operator, but you have the best home built station that money can buy? What an idiot.
You've never answered 1 question toad, all you do is turn everything upside down and echo statements of other people, you don't have a thought of your own!
Be watching for me, coming to a town near you very soon!:)

1346. Re: More Proof That Steven J Robeson K4YZ Is A Habitual Liar!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:11:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116889863.343157.308690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108579

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sat,May 21 2005 3:03 pm
>
> >Did he ever have any official documentation??? I think not.
>
> Stebie hasn't even described the Okinawa MARS station.
>
> Maybe he thinks it is some kind of secret, hush-hush, very
> sensitive installation? :-)

Yup, passing all that H&W traffic. He probably screened all messages
so that he could "talk" to their wives.

1347. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:12:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <qrtke.6564$M36.2614@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108580

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d6tdo5$p7q$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> ... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have
>>> been as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test
>>> taking" could study for a day and pass the most challenging
>>
>>
>> I think you need to go back and look at the early exams. There was a time
>> when an applicant was required to actually draw a schematic of various
>> circuits and explaine how they worked.
>
> Is that supposed to be hard?
>
>
>> And even after the exams became multiple choice type, one had to know the
>> material to get the correct answer as the answers to the acutal questions
>> were not available.
>
> Yeah. You'll find that question pool bugaboo in a lot of fields these
> days, including fields where if a person makes a mistake because of not
> knowing the material, lives may be lost.
>
>
>
>
>
>> There were study guides with sample questions, but no questions pools
>> with the exact answer available for memorization.
>
> Now if you want *really* hard, make it no study guide, no question pool,
> and the applicant has to do all the learning research with NO idea of what
> is on the test! 8^)
>
>> If you did not know the theory, then you probably weren't going to pass.
>> Again john smith knows not of what he speaks.
>
> I took the tests from the question pools. For me, they were all pretty
> easy. They were not easy because of the question pools. They were easy

> because they were fairly basic material.
>
> What I have seen of the earlier test is that they too were pretty basic.
> Any difference is not so great that those who came before need not feel
> any superiority.
>
>
> I aced the Technician test with the only study being the safety questions.
>
> I did study a bit for the General.
>
> For the Extra, I spent a week taking the on-line tests. Questions that I
> knew the answer to, I got right of course.
>
> Those that I got wrong earned me a trip to the books or online to find out
> why I got it wrong. By the time I was finished, I aced the test just about
> every time on line, and then in the actual test.
>
> And I knew the material.
>
> Elapsed time, one week.
>
> Now the Morse code was another thing entirely. That was hard.
>
> But then I'm just a dum nickel extra! ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Mike,

I believe what the gentleman was trying to convey is that the present day tests are a total farce. The answer is readly available and it is just a "formality" to even pass it.

You said you compaired some of the older tests. Just how far back did you go? The commenter was obviously refering to those tests given in the 50 and 60. I like to call it the error of "BB" . You know....before Bash. If you don't know what I am refering to, then you had no right to comment the way you did.

Have a nice day.

Dan/W4NTI

1348. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:15:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <outke.6565$M36.2074@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1116805562.454085.58650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>
>>
>> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and ....
> well, I
>> probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can
>> vertical :)
>>
>
> Ah yes, a vertical 'beverage' antenna! Our FD group had one for 20M,
> but we had to quit using it when our FD QTH changed to the football
> field of a local High School.
>
> Anyhow, Happy Birthday!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Happy B-day guy.

Our club group built a 3 element 160 meter yagi out of steel beer cans for a
Field Day one year.

Dan/W4NTI

1349. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:18:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Rwtke.6567$M36.5016@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050523080905.721$ev@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>>> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and .... well,
>> I probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can
>> vertical :)
>>
>> Fortunately, the better half didn't get upset ;)
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
> Happy belated B-day, Jim. Many more returns! 160 meter half wave, is
> that anything like enough beer to float a battleship? <g>

Only if it tied to a blivit.

Dan/W4NTI

1350. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:20:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116890404.744139.57470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Ralph wrote:
> Some of my colleagues weren't so lucky. That
> > bloodmobile donation you just made fun of was their ticket home
alive
> > instead of in a bag.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> People everywhere are lucky because others give blood and organ
tissue.
>
>
> But Jim says he "served in other ways." What ways?
>
> .
>
> Give it a rest, old timer.

I'm no old-timer. In ham years I'm a young pup. I've been licensed
less than 20 years to prove it.

What Steve (or any other person) did or did not
> do in service to this country is none of your business.
> Nobody owes you an answer to anything.

Ordinarily, I would agree. However, Steve has demanded such
information from myself and others. What I have done is placed his
demands and his rules back on him. He squirms in his new role.

> It is probably just as well that Steve didn't bump into you at
Dayton. You
> would have had to walk all the way back to your car to change your
Relys.

Welp, he was the one who set up the meeting. I didn't feel right about
meeting up with a disabled veteran; apparently it was his long felt
desire. But where the rubber meets the road, he got a flat.

1351. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:22:41 UTC
From: bb <billybleepeer@jumbo>
Message-ID: <d6tojjsnj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108584

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:hI-dnTz0TcvjoQ_fRVn-gg@centurytel.net...

N9OGL wrote:
> if you did the general theory it would say general...with your free
> upgrade hehehe, i didn't say high class made anyone better, years
> do.....as far as i'm concern your still a CB operator in denial that he
> gay and has to vent high angry and sexual frustrations out by calling
> other people gay.
>
> Todd ODochartaigh N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz

So my lower class license makes me a cb'er? Never owned or operated one toad. You're wrong, the tech license used to be the general theory with only 5 wpm code, so the license would say Technician. When they dropped the code requirement to 5 wpm, all that was required of a tech licensed prior to, I think it was 1987, could upgrade to general with just some paperwork. So see toad, you're talking out the side of your face and you don't know what the hell you are saying! So my being first licensed in 1984 make me have more time the you the illiterate idiot! Hmm, That makes you the gay porno queen cb'er pirate parrot.
You're such an idiot toad, I told you my class of license, you didn't figure it out on your own.
So toad, I've been licensed longer then you and have the same theory as you, I have more education then you'll ever have, from a university, not the Gaylorville certificate club.
In YOUR opinion, that makes you the illegal cb'er.
Any more lies to make you feel superior?
BTW, how's the boys down at the flower shop? Did you ever wonder how I found out that you hung out there? When are your FBI buddies getting back to you, soon I hope!
==========

collage: n. a work of art made by pasting various materials on a single surface.

college: n an institution of higher learning granting degrees. 2. a constituent unit of a university.

1352. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:31:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116891097.584048.42890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sat,May 21 2005 5:04 pm
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > For years and years the ARRL has emphasized morsemanship
> >> > over and above all other skills in amateur radio.
> >>
> >> How, Len?
> >>
> >> Let's look at some examples...
> >>
> >> Policy:
> >>
> >> In 1953, when the FCC opened all amateur operating privileges to
all
> US
> >> radio amateurs except Novices and Technicians, ARRL did not
> >> try to require more code testing for full privileges.
> >
> >Their very, very last effort at leadership. Fifty two years ago.
> >
> >HALF A FRIGGIN LIFETIME AGO FOR RADIO EXPERIMENTORS!!! Idiot!
>
> Jimmie was THERE...in his heart. :-)

He may have lusted in his heart, but he is no peanut farmer.

> Gosh and gee-whilikers...in 1953 I was doing HF communications
> while in the U.S. Army and didn't have to know a thing about
> morse code. Reason is probably that NONE of the three dozen
> high power transmitters at one station (only the 3rd largest in
> the Army network) used any morse code. NONE of the personnel
> at that station were required to know morse code to operate
> all those transmitters (minimum 1 KW RF out, maximum 15 KW
> but later increased to 40 KW). HF bands, 24/7 operations,
> nearly a quarter million messages handled each month.

Hey, you went through the IGY57/58. Did you notice anything unusual
about the propagation?

> >> In 1963, in its original "incentive licensing" proposal to FCC,
ARRL
> >> proposed that full privileges be available only to Advanced and

> Extra
> >> licensees, and that the Advanced be reopened to new issues. This
> meant
> >> that full priviliges would require more written testing but not
more
> >> code testing.
> >
> >I advocate that full privs be established for 90WPM tested amateurs.
> >Got any??? Privs for those not able to achieve 90WPM will be
> >reassigned to the BPL bands. Idiot!
>
> As Jimmie Noserve likes to put it, "that's simply 'untrue'." :-)
>
> Brian, you have to understand that Jimmie is the Compleat
> (amateur) Manchurian Candidate. Fully brainwashed into thinking
> that the ARRL is the holy grail of "truth" and all good works.

These clowns have no problem denying amateur privs to people who cannot attain 5, 13, or 20wpm rates. I wish to set the bar higher than they can attain and deny privs to them. That is all.

> >> In 1990, ARRL supported the creation of a nocodetest amateur radio
> >> license.
> >
> >Why not 1987??? Idiot!
> >
> >Where was the ARRL's leadership when the FCC made the "Novice
> >Enhancement?" Why did the FCC have to go it alone without the
ARRL's
> >"""Leadership???""" Extra-wipe! Idiot!

I guess "Extra-wipe" was a bit strong. So sorry.

> Jimmie is WRONG BY OMISSION. According to all the documents
> PRIOR to the final decision on FCC 90-53, the ARRL was
> AGAINST that. The ARRL only supported the final R&O on the
> creation of the no-code-test Technician class AFTER THE FACT.

History Prof Jim is a revisionist.

> Jimmie just can't bring himself to admit that many OTHER
> parties were trying to cancel the code test PRIOR to 1990.
> Some time spent in the FCC Reading Room of the correspondence
> PRIOR to 1990 will show enough support for No-Code-Test that
> the FCC was lobbied into the 90-53 issuance.

Oh, please. You know how these guys are about documentation. Long on demands, short on product.

> Once the NO-CODE-TEST Technician class was established, the
> ARRL had no other choice to "support" it...it would have been
> politically destructive to the League to oppose it AFTER THE
> FACT.

The train was leaving the station. Toot-toot. They had to jump aboard
or get left behind.

> Jimmie wants to OMIT certain details to show that the ARRL is
> in the "leadership." It isn't. The ARRL still hasn't gotten
> a membership larger than a quarter of all licensed U.S.
> radio amateurs.

Not only that, but the FCC doesn't appear to be looking at the ARRL in
any leadership capacity.

> The FASTEST GROWING CLASS in U.S. amateur radio - since 1991 -
> is that very same NO-CODE-TEST Technician class. NO OTHER
> class has grown so large, so quickly, in the history of
> amateur radio as regulated by the FCC since 1934.

Don't confuse them with the facts

> Jimmie is going to trot out his outworn cliches' and other
> statements (nearly all sinning by omission of details) and
> state "that is simply wrong." :-) He can't think for
> himself anymore in amateur radio matters, apparently. He
> is one of the number-one bunting putter-upper and flag
> waver for the League. The ARRL can do NO wrong in his eyes.

Ask him how far it is to the moon. Hi!

> >N2EY is so full of EXTRA excrement that I just can't allow the rest
of
> >his original post to be quoted. You offend thinking people unlike
any
> >"off color" callsign that you would care to strike.
>
> In my view, Jimmie is so brainwashed that he can't help himself.
> He, like Stebie da Avenging Angle of Dearth, is into such a
> fantasy that Jimmie really thinks what he writes is "truth"
> and anything contrary is "untrue." Jimmie just can't conceive
> of his words being in error, therefore he speaks "truth."

Ever since I pointed out that his comment "A morse code exam would be a
barrier to morse code use" was exactly our point, he's gotten Extra
defensive and ultra-sensitive.

> As to the "off-color callsign" (Kim's vanity call), that's just
> his personal morality thing. It's almost like he took Vows and
> was ordained a Priest in the Holy Orders of the Church of
> St. Hiram...of the Latter-Day Radio Saints? Or was it
> Former-Day Radio Saints? Must be that for his wanting to
> cite all those "leadership" actions happening during and
> before he was born. Another amateur "role-model" to emulate
> on seeking the "highest goals" in amateur radio...complete
> Nirvana in morsemanship. Ho hum.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I especially like his vision of an active amateur radio service during WWII. Hi! What a vision! What a revision!!!

bb

1353. Re: Brian Burke Continues Deceit and Misdirection.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:39:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116891582.601935.251410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "bb" on Sun,May 22 2005 8:29 am
>
> > >The Reluctant Marine! Figures. Even reluctant people serve. So
> how
> > >did Jim serve in other way?
> >
> > Tsk. Stebie was GROUND crew.
>
> Steve was an Avionics Technician. MOS's 6612, 6616 and 6323.
(And
> B-Billet 8981...)
>
> Care to figure out from what MOS's (among others...) the USMC
> get's it's Enlisted Aircrews, Lennie?

Are those "box-kicking" MOS's?

> > >You don't "give" me anything. My service is not up for your
> approval.
> >
> > Nor mine. I went where I was assigned. Anyone can see where
at:
>
> Both of your service's ARE "up for approval"...You put it
there.
>
> You certainly take liberties with "approving" mine.

Nope. You've continually denigrated my service and Len's with REMF and
box-kicker descriptions.

> > >> Some of my colleagues weren't so lucky. That
> > >> bloodmobile donation you just made fun of was their ticket home
> > alive
> > >> instead of in a bag.
> >
> > "Colleagues?!?" GROUND crew wre exposed to "hostile fire?"
>
> If you think that my "maintenance" MOS meant I never left the
> ground, you've once again demonstrated your complete and utter lack
of
> knowledge and experience on yet another topic, Lennie.

Test flight mike?

1354. Re: Can anyone figure out Robeson's latest rant tangent?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:50:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116892214.514541.271440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sun,May 22 2005 8:13 am
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > bb wrote:
> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > > > The last five Presidents of the United States seem to
> have had a
> >> > > > > clear vision of volunteerism...and also about "service".
> >> > >
> >> > > > Indeed. Jim could have volunteered to serve in the Unites
> States
> >> > > > Military. He had other more important things to do like
> pursue a
> >> > > > degree in engineering, and looking out for himself. And the
> military
> >> > > > still needs engineers, even if they are civilians. Go to
> >> > > > WWW.USAJOBS.OPM.GOV and select "Middle East."
> >> > >
> >> > > > > Guess they shudda consulted with you two first,
> eh...?!?!
> >> > >
> >> > > > I'm good with volunteerism in the U.S. armed services.
> >> > >
> >> > > No, you're not
> >> >
> >> > I must be. I volunteered. I kept volunteering.
> >>
> >> No...you keep "volunteering" to collect a paycheck.
> >
> >Hi! I suppose you wrote "VOID" across your paychecks and sent them
> >back?
>
> He probably sent them all to the ARRL Truss Fund. :-)
>
> I wonder if Stebie has bothered to study recent USA history?
> He left out FOUR Presidents who served in the military since
> FDR...and I'm not counting Dubya.

He's not too bright. He thought he was heading somewhere with that.

> >> You quite obviously have a problem with the society that the
> >> Constitution you alleged to support and defend provides.
> >
> >How so?
>
> Somebody ought to break the news to Stebie, might as well be
> me...
>
> STEBIE, NOW HEAR THIS...
>
> NO CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES ACKNOWLEDGES YOU AS EMPEROR,
> LEADER, PLENTY-POTENT-ATE, OR EVEN "ANCOIC" OF ANYONE ELSE.
>
> <CLIK>
>
> Poor fella thinks the Constitution of the USA has some kind of
> paragraph saying "everyone has to abide by this wanna-be Dill
> Sergeant (with the pursed, pickled lips and sour disposition).

He needs to be ignored. His shrillness level will go up until he is
able to break glass.

> >> > > > Tell Jim to let
> >> > > > us know how it's going when he gets there.
> >> > >
> >> > > You swore to uphold and defend the Constitution of the
> United
> >> > > States.
> >> >
> >> > Against all enemies, foreign and domestic.
> >>
> >> Yep. However there's no "enemies" of the Constitution
here...
> >
> >So why are you bringing up the defense of the Constitution here?
>
> Sorry, Brian, Stebie >>IS<< the Constitution! Hi, hi!

I guess he thought he was going somewhere with that and for a
split-second I thought he might be going somewhere with it, too. But I
was wrong.

> >You really ought to get your stuff in one sock before starting off
on
> a
> >new rant tangent.
>
> Looks like an internal battle among his personalities...one
shouted
> out of turn...

I'd love to watch him do drill, calling out commands to the other hims.

> >> > > Obviously you have a problem, however, with people who
live
> their
> >> > > lives within that same Constitution.
> >> >
> >> > Explain.
> >>
> >> No explanation is necessary. Your on-going support of
Lennie's
> >> cowardly "Jimmie Noserve"'s rants is evidence enough.
> >
> >You're Quitevague about your newest accusations. Please be more
> >specific.
>
> Tsk. Stebie >>IS<< the Constitution! :-)
>
> Saying anything against Stebie is Sedition, Treason Against
> The State!!!

Flat tire.

> >> > Hey, while you're at it, why don't you produce authentic
> >> > documentation of your alleged "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa.
> >>
> >> Right after we get some of the information we've been asking
> YOU
> >> for for YEARS...
> >
> >So then, you really are a liar. You're the very thing you claim of
> >everyone else.
>
> Ah, not quite from Stebie's point of view. In his distorted view
> Stebie is "always truthful" but everyone who doesn't like him is
> a "LIAR," "deceitful," "hates ham radio," and/or has underarm
odor.

I see Steve for what he is. Impotent and angry.

> >> > > So...was your Oath as a member of the Armed Forces just
> some words
> >> > > you recited in order to get a federal job? Sure looks that
way.
> >> >
> >> > I'm offended that Jim claimed "service in other ways." What
other
> ways?
> >>
> >> Why would you expect Jim to answer such an insulting question
> when
> >> YOU have overtly refused to answer questions he's put to you for
> YEARS,
> >> Brain?

> >
> >Why wouldn't he? He has no problem insulting others.
>
> Tsk, now Jimmie Noserve is going to be furious! Jimmie "NEVER"
> "insults" anyone...all he does is "point out mistakes" that
> "others do." :-)

The record states otherwise. Jim can be just as abusive as his
henchmen.

> >> (Rhetorical question...we know the answer...you're a
coward...)
> >
> >Hi, hi! That's rich coming from the guy who couldn't make Dayton to
> >see me. Seven hostile actions? Hi!
>
> Tsk. Readers are still unaware of Stebie's mighty warrior acts
> WHEN and WHERE of those "seven hostile actions!"

They apparently haven't seen his stated desires to see me at Dayton.

> Maybe they were "special ops" and veddy, veddy "secret" that
> Stebie can't reveal? :-)
>
> [gosh, maybe we can hear one of his "HALO Drop" stories?]

Impotent and angry.

> >So is Jim checking out the governments needs over in the desert? He
> >would be drawing a nice, tax-free paycheck.
>
> Jimmie is "serving in other ways" by defending the Constitution
> of the ARRL...against all enemies, especially the domestic
> no-coders. Plus he is probably training for another marathon,
> another "service for his country." Hi, hi!

Jim is delicate, gets frustrated when his fascade fades.

> That and keeping his Time Machine running so he can jump back
> to times when Kode was King in raddio. "Important national
> service," you bet! :-)
>
> <insert eight lines of all-caps hyena laghter here>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I especially like his trips down memory lane where we had an active
amateur radio service during WWII.

1355. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-23 23:53:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116892430.787844.55880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108588

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> "who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult
thing
> in order to "win an argument." "

Len, this proves he hangs on your every word. Hi!

1356. Re: Can anyone figure out Robeson's latest rant tangent?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 00:06:37 UTC
From: bb <billybleepeer@jumbo>
Message-ID: <d6tr5u$1g3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1116892214.514541.271440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sun,May 22 2005 8:13 am
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > bb wrote:
> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > > > The last five Presidents of the United States seem to
> have had a
> >> > > > > clear vision of volunteerism...and also about "service".
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Indeed. Jim could have volunteered to serve in the Unites
> States
> >> > > > Military. He had other more important things to do like
> pursue a
> >> > > > degree in engineering, and looking out for himself. And the
> military
> >> > > > still needs engineers, even if they are civilians. Go to
> >> > > > WWW.USAJOBS.OPM.GOV and select "Middle East."
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Guess they shudda consulted with you two first,
> eh...?!?!
> >> > > >
> >> > > > I'm good with volunteerism in the U.S. armed services.
> >> > > >
> >> > > No, you're not
> >> >
> >> > I must be. I volunteered. I kept volunteering.
> >>
> >> No...you keep "volunteering" to collect a paycheck.
> >
> >Hi! I suppose you wrote "VOID" across your paychecks and sent them
> >back?
>
> He probably sent them all to the ARRL Truss Fund. :-)
>
> I wonder if Stebie has bothered to study recent USA history?
> He left out FOUR Presidents who served in the military since

> FDR...and I'm not counting Dubya.

He's not too bright. He thought he was heading somewhere with that.

> >> You quite obviously have a problem with the society that the
> >> Constitution you alleged to support and defend provides.
> >
> >How so?
>
> Somebody ought to break the news to Stebie, might as well be
> me...
>
> STEBIE, NOW HEAR THIS...
>
> NO CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES ACKNOWLEDGES YOU AS EMPEROR,
> LEADER, PLENTY-POTENT-ATE, OR EVEN "ANCOIC" OF ANYONE ELSE.
>
> <CLIK>
>
> Poor fella thinks the Constitution of the USA has some kind of
> paragraph saying "everyone has to abide by this wanna-be Dill
> Sergeant (with the pursed, pickled lips and sour disposition).

He needs to be ignored. His shrillness level will go up until he is
able to break glass.

> >> > > > Tell Jim to let
> >> > > > us know how it's going when he gets there.
> >> > >
> >> > > You swore to uphold and defend the Constitution of the
> United
> >> > > States.
> >> >
> >> > Against all enemies, foreign and domestic.
> >>
> >> Yep. However there's no "enemies" of the Constitution
here...
> >
> >So why are you bringing up the defense of the Constitution here?
>
> Sorry, Brian, Stebie >>IS<< the Constitution! Hi, hi!

I guess he thought he was going somewhere with that and for a
split-second I thought he might be going somewhere with it, too. But I
was wrong.

> >You really ought to get your stuff in one sock before starting off
on
> a
> >new rant tangent.
>
> Looks like an internal battle among his personalities...one

shouted
> out of turn...

I'd love to watch him do drill, calling out commands to the other hims.

> >> > > Obviously you have a problem, however, with people who
live
> their
> >> > > lives within that same Constitution.
> >> >
> >> > Explain.
> >>
> >> No explanation is necessary. Your on-going support of
Lennie's
> >> cowardly "Jimmie Noserve"'s rants is evidence enough.
> >
> >You're Quitevague about your newest accusations. Please be more
> >specific.
>
> Tsk. Stebie >>IS<< the Constitution! :-)
>
> Saying anything against Stebie is Sedition, Treason Against
> The State!!!

Flat tire.

> >> > Hey, while you're at it, why don't you produce authentic
> >> > documentation of your alleged "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa.
> >>
> >> Right after we get some of the information we've been asking
> YOU
> >> for for YEARS...
> >
> >So then, you really are a liar. You're the very thing you claim of
> >everyone else.
>
> Ah, not quite from Stebie's point of view. In his distorted view
> Stebie is "always truthful" but everyone who doesn't like him is
> a "LIAR," "deceitful," "hates ham radio," and/or has underarm
odor.

I see Steve for what he is. Impotent and angry.

> >> > > So...was your Oath as a member of the Armed Forces just
> some words
> >> > > you recited in order to get a federal job? Sure looks that
way.
> >> >
> >> > I'm offended that Jim claimed "service in other ways." What
other
> ways?
> >>

> >> Why would you expect Jim to answer such an insulting question
> when
> >> YOU have overtly refused to answer questions he's put to you for
> YEARS,
> >> Brain?
> >
> >Why wouldn't he? He has no problem insulting others.
>
> Tsk, now Jimmie Noserve is going to be furious! Jimmie "NEVER"
> "insults" anyone...all he does is "point out mistakes" that
> "others do." :-)

The record states otherwise. Jim can be just as abusive as his
henchmen.

> >> (Rhetorical question...we know the answer...you're a
coward...)
> >
> >Hi, hi! That's rich coming from the guy who couldn't make Dayton to
> >see me. Seven hostile actions? Hi!
>
> Tsk. Readers are still unaware of Stebie's mighty warrior acts
> WHEN and WHERE of those "seven hostile actions!"

They apparently haven't seen his stated desires to see me at Dayton.

> Maybe they were "special ops" and veddy, veddy "secret" that
> Stebie can't reveal? :-)
>
> [gosh, maybe we can hear one of his "HALO Drop" stories?]

Impotent and angry.

> >So is Jim checking out the governments needs over in the desert? He
> >would be drawing a nice, tax-free paycheck.
>
> Jimmie is "serving in other ways" by defending the Constitution
> of the ARRL...against all enemies, especially the domestic
> no-coders. Plus he is probably training for another marathon,
> another "service for his country." Hi, hi!

Jim is delicate, gets frustrated when his fascade fades.

> That and keeping his Time Machine running so he can jump back
> to times when Kode was King in raddio. "Important national
> service," you bet! :-)
>
> <insert eight lines of all-caps hyena laghter here>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I especially like his trips down memory lane where we had an active
amateur radio service during WWII.

==========

facade: n 1. the front part of a building, especially an imposing one. 2. a false or superficial appearance.

1357. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 00:08:26 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <_fuke.6755$uR4.3565@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> If you wanted ham radio items, there was
> plenty to be had. And I'd rather have fewer vendors selling more ham
> radio equipment than more vendors selling IBM XT's and Old Handi-talkies
> that some Fire department got rid of in 1990.
>

Don't forget the old beat up VCRs and such....

1358. Re: Old farts like Robeson, Len and bb have ruined this group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 00:32:40 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ICuke.1963$8g.1032@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 108591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hello "George"

Old farts? I resemble that remark :))

Enjoy Kuwait; I enjoyed Vietnam for 11 months ;)

Be happy you aren't in Iraq.

With all due regards,
Jim AA2QA

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
news:cc8e5b47f296535f00f1cf62db46e1d6@mixmaster.it...
> Nobody gives a shit about your MARS activities and we're fucking
> fed up with reading about it. I personally believe that Robeson,
> "bb," and Lennie are the biggest fools on rram and rrap, but I'm
> stuck over here in Kuwait reading things through Google and can't
> filter out their dreck.
>
> Fortunately, there are still web boards like qrz.com where idiots
> like them are simply not tolerated. I'm gone from here and will
> be reading the web boards exclusively. P
>

1359. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 00:34:54 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <OEuke.1964$8g.1541@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 108592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dan,

I must ask ... did you rotate the whole county to turn the beam? :)

73,
Jim AA2QA

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:outke.6565$M36.2074@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:1116805562.454085.58650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and ....
> > well, I
> >> probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can
> >> vertical :)
> >>
> >
> > Ah yes, a vertical 'beverage' antenna! Our FD group had one for 20M,
> > but we had to quit using it when our FD QTH changed to the football
> > field of a local High School.
> >
> > Anyhow, Happy Birthday!
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
>
> Happy B-day guy.
>
> Our club group built a 3 element 160 meter yagi out of steel beer cans for
a
> Field Day one year.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

1360. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 00:43:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <MMuke.1966$8g.1628@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 108593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050523080905.721$ev@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and ....
well,
> > I probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can
> > vertical :)
> >
> > Fortunately, the better half didn't get upset ;)
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> Happy belated B-day, Jim. Many more returns! 160 meter half wave, is
> that anything like enough beer to float a battleship? <g>

Hello, Mopar

No, but my head would have believe do the next day :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1361. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 00:43:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <MMuke.1965$8g.1223@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 108594

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1116843429.655000.37490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
Jim Hampton wrote:
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:xmlje.3504$X92.644@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> One interesting thing of note - text messaging I'd consider
> similar to cw in one thing - it may be fun, but not highly
> useful.

I disagree!

They're both highly useful. Just in different ways.

> If one
> needs to send a lot of text, a computer and the Internet just
> might be
> considerably faster (assuming one can touch type at a
> reasonable rate).

Of course! But then you need a readout device.

Usefulness depends on the application.

> Via
> radio, there are digital modes, of course, and text can be
> reliably sent
> much faster with many of the digital modes (even the very dated
> RTTY).

Sure - if someone has the equipment handy.

But the whole digital-mode-faster thing is kind of bogus in my view.
It's like saying that since we have cars, there's no reason to have
competitions where people run anymore. Heck, why doesn't everyone in
the Olympic marathon use rollerblades? The times would drop
dramatically.

>
> I have to chuckle at the length of this thread; I thought it
> an interesting
> link and figured there would be a couple of cw detractors that
> would answer,
> but the thread turned out a bit longer than I figured. I keep
> forgetting
> how heated the cw vs no cw arguement can get.

The telling part is that the anticode folks call the test "rigged"
and other nonsense in an attempt to discredit what happened. But
the plain simple fact is that a couple of good Morse Code
operators, going about 1/3 the world-record speed, were faster
than the *world record holders* in text messaging.

What they missed is that the whole point of the segment was to
show that "newer" isn't always "faster/better/easier" and that
"older" doesn't equal "useless". The outfits on the two teams
were a visual way of adding to that.

One member of the Morse Code team was reportedly at Dayton
in his telegrapher outfit.
>
> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday
> and .... well, I
> probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave
> beer can vertical :)

Hope it was happy. Trouble is they put beer in aluminum cans now...

Hello, Hans

Well, when I was really fairly good at Morse, I copied 40 words per minute
with no errors in Bainbridge, MD in "A" school.

Many folks forget that digital modes (and lets include cw for this one) are
relatively errorless despite numbers and spellings that are difficult.
There are a number of folks that would have problems typing 30 words per
minute, let alone 50 for a really good CW op. A teletype or even the modern
modes aren't going to help (even the Internet) if you can't type well. Some
people think that because they can "cut and paste" or forward a message that
makes them some kind of superior communicator.

The name of the game is to have fun - and, if you are intelligent, be
prepared.

What kind of tuner did you use for that fence (read "antenna") on Saipan?
Man, you were pounding in. Too bad the folks at the receiver site didn't
understand about reducing rf/if gain LOL.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1362. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 00:45:55 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <the.sky.is.falling@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 108595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 22 May 2005 17:08:37 -0700, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
: You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio
: dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time when

Difficult premise, coupled with a fuzzy definition. What does "dying"
mean to you? If you're going to assert that amateur radio is dying,
then you need to come up with a working definition of "dying" so that
others can decide if you're right.

My problem is that, when I review the statistics, there isn't any such
process which can be clearly identified. True, over the very recent
past the total number of licenses has declined, but that decline is on
the order of 2% since April, 2004. If the baseline is made longer,
and totals going back to 1995 are examined, a 2% change in the total
amateur population is seen to lie well within the noise (two year
variance). It is therefore difficult to decide if it is significant
without resorting to a detailed statistical analysis.

My sense is that it isn't significant (yet). It looks as though the
trend line (least-squares, linear fit) is horizontal, and only
additional time will tell if it really has a negative slope.

To reiterate, those who run around claiming that "amateur radio is
dying" can't seem to either articulate what "dying" means or to prove
their case.

And as the old Shania Twain song says, "That don't impress me much."

1363. Re: Old farts like Robeson, Len and bb have ruined this group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 00:47:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IQuke.1900$oT1.506@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote

> I'm stuck over here in Kuwait ......

Thank you for your service.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1364. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 00:52:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8Vuke.5333$4d2.6615057@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

... dream on...

John

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:the.sky.is.falling@houston-hunks.com...
> On Sun, 22 May 2005 17:08:37 -0700, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
> wrote:
> : You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio
> : dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time
> when
>
> Difficult premise, coupled with a fuzzy definition. What does "dying"
> mean to you? If you're going to assert that amateur radio is dying,
> then you need to come up with a working definition of "dying" so that
> others can decide if you're right.
>
> My problem is that, when I review the statistics, there isn't any such
> process which can be clearly identified. True, over the very recent
> past the total number of licenses has declined, but that decline is on
> the order of 2% since April, 2004. If the baseline is made longer,
> and totals going back to 1995 are examined, a 2% change in the total
> amateur population is seen to lie well within the noise (two year
> variance). It is therefore difficult to decide if it is significant
> without resorting to a detailed statistical analysis.
>
> My sense is that it isn't significant (yet). It looks as though the
> trend line (least-squares, linear fit) is horizontal, and only
> additional time will tell if it really has a negative slope.
>
> To reiterate, those who run around claiming that "amateur radio is
> dying" can't seem to either articulate what "dying" means or to prove
> their case.
>
> And as the old Shania Twain song says, "That don't impress me much."

1365. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 00:53:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8Wuke.5334$1p2.6682766@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dan:

You will never find me using cw... you will never finding me talking to
anyone of your ilk... fear not...

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Chtke.6562$M36.1056@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I have a "use for CW". I use it to communicate with others using the same
>mode. I use it to participate in Amateur Radio.
>
> If you or your ilk don't care to do so. Stay the hell off my frequency.
>
> Have a good day idiot.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:da9ke.4897$nh.2864287@news.sisna.com...
>> You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio
>> dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time
>> when dropping code would have saved ham radio--I fear that time has come
>> and gone... cw has no real use to anyone, anymore... it is like having
>> to learn to type to use the internet... no such requirement... however,
>> because typing DOES have a use--you will find many learning to type after
>> they realize the need...
>>
>> ... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have
>> been as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test taking"
>> could study for a day and pass the most challenging... they have been
>> made even simplier still... yet amateur radio declined and continues to
>> do so--
>>
>> ... now "critical mass" has been reached, so many other forms of
>> communication exist that amateur radio is on its' death march... radios
>> are so far and few between... and so little demand... they are too
>> expensive with most to bother with... you could give ham licences away
>> in the streets and not improve that...
>>
>> ... no, the OMs' alzheimers and lack of ability to see the future has
>> killed ham radio--you'd think the old guys must have hated it--to have
>> stood by and watched it die--if what you say is correct--and they DID
>> have all their mental facilities about them and still allowed it...

>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:eC8ke.1846$8g.450@news01.roc.ny...
>>> Nice try, John
>>>
>>> In the original post I stated "just for grins".
>>>
>>> As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the kid
>>> punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day
>>> when
>>> the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure
>>> out
>>> what my change was. She had to call a manager!
>>>
>>> I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am
>>> active
>>> in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service
>>> for a
>>> week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use
>>> my
>>> Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without
>>> mains.
>>> Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There
>>> are
>>> two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!
>>>
>>> I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power
>>> stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no
>>> sanity
>>> test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to
>>> high
>>> power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.
>>>
>>> What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a
>>> knot
>>> over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.
>>>
>>> As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I suspect
>>> that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test
>>> ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's
>>> license as
>>> well ....
>>>
>>> Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but
>>> the
>>> underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for
>>> *everyone*.

>>> This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a
>>> repeater
>>> which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television,
>>> aircraft
>>> guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more.
>>> Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles
>>> came
>>> about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic
>>> lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think
>>> there
>>> might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio. Originally,
>>> there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the
>>> world,
>>> total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology
>>> progressed,
>>> there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean
>>> everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to 300
>>> gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed
>>> many
>>> times since.
>>>
>>> At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I
>>> have
>>> another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.
>>>
>>> Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down
>>> on
>>> the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't
>>> like
>>> my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet.
>>> It
>>> is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box
>>> turned
>>> up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning.
>>> Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share
>>> should
>>> this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the
>>> mp3
>>> cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack
>>> on
>>> the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv.
>>> I
>>> hope they enjoy my tunes:
>>>
>>> 1) Washington Post March
>>> 2) Anchors aweigh
>>> 3) The Thunderer
>>> 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>>>
>>> I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a

>>> feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden :))
>>>
>>>
>>> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>>> Jim AA2QA
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:h8Sje.20099$Bg3.13159443@news.sisna.com...
>>>> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom... just
>>>> so
>>>> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe
>>>> to
>>>> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are
>>>> gone
>>>> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to return...
>>>> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> John
>>>>
>>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>>> > Hi gang!
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> > Just for some grins, check this out:
>>>> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>>>> >
>>>> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
>>>> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
>>>> > It
>>>> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
>>>> > listened
>>>> > to about 37 years ago ....
>>>> >
>>>> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>>>> > Jim AA2QA
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>

>
>

1366. Steven Robeson has all the earmarks of being two-faced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 01:00:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%0vke.5434$Lc1.4787@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108599

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> A completely different LAST name is an "alias".
>
> Or that's how law enforcement sees it.

Bwahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!

Alias, schmalias! As if "law enforcement" gives a rats patoot about how Todd identifies himself on rrap?!?! Unless he's trying to obscure his identity (he's not) for purposes of illegal activity (nothing illegal about posting on rrap) he's free to use whatever identification suits his fancy, or he can choose to be completely unidentified.

And if it suits >>your<< fancy you can identify yourself as "Steve" here on rrap even though FCC records don't show anyone by that name holding the callsign K4YZ.

ZBM2,

de Hans Brakovich, K0HB

dit dit

1367. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 01:21:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qkvke.5336$lf2.6639684@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

First they would have to get someone young enough to realize anything in a position where they could help... krist, hamvention... that looks more like a convenction of geriatric patients at a knitting competition...

Well, it was bright and lit up well--all those bald heads relecting the light... <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Pioneer 8" <maytheschwartzbewithyou@wookiesonendor.com> wrote in message news:9a4c6$42923fb6$d8536f58$31465@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050523112213.845$SO@newsreader.com...
>
>> I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage
>> sale than a hamvention at the outside vendors
>> booths.
>
> The Bottom Line:
>
> Ham Op's in general and the Hamvention Committee
> and the DARA has yet to grasp that timeless law of
> Business MBA called: "The Law of Diminishing Returns".
>
> ---------
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1368. Why I Hans Brakob Acting So Foolish These Days?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 01:21:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116897692.708750.104250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108600

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > A completely different LAST name is an "alias".
> >
> > Or that's how law enforcement sees it.
>
> Bwahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!

I'd ask you to prove otherwise, but you're as bad as Lennie and
Brain about "showing your work".

> Alias, schmalias! As if "law enforcement" gives a rats patoot about
how Todd
> identifies himself on rrap?!?!

I didn't say anything about what law enforcement thinks about
Todd, Hans.

I said that law enforcement considers representing one's self with
a different name to be an "alias".

> Unless he's trying to obscure his identity
> (he's not) for purposes of illegal activity (nothing illegal about
posting on
> rrap) he's free to use whatever identification suits his fancy, or he
can choose
> to be completely unidentified.

He has, in the past, used his "identity" to conduct illegal
activities.

> And if it suits >>your<< fancy you can identify yourself as "Steve"
here on rrap
> even though FCC records don't show anyone by that name holding the
callsign
> K4YZ.

Sure it does.

> de Hans Brakovich, K0HB

The FCC database does not show a "Brakovich" as licensee for K0HB.

Steve, K4YZ

1369. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 01:24:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gnvke.5058$X92.5027@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote

>
> Our club group built a 3 element 160 meter yagi out of steel beer cans for a
> Field Day one year.
>

Hey Dan,

Do you know how to tell the difference between a fairy-tale and a sea-story?

Scroll down

A fairy-tale starts out --- "Once upon a time....."

A sea-story starts out --- "Now this is no shit....."

dit dit

73, de Hans, K0HB

1370. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 01:36:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Jyvke.5339$_m3.6952050@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Naaa, it is true--I was the one who drank all the beer so they'd have those cans to use... <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:gnvke.5058$X92.5027@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Our club group built a 3 element 160 meter yagi out of steel beer cans
>> for a Field Day one year.
>>
>
> Hey Dan,
>
> Do you know how to tell the difference between a fairy-tale and a
> sea-story?
>
> Scroll down
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> A fairy-tale starts out --- "Once upon a time....."
>
> A sea-story starts out --- "Now this is no shit....."
>
>
> dit dit
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

1371. Re: Old farts like Robeson, Len and bb have ruined this group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 01:49:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116899384.202222.59570@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

George Orwell wrote:
> Nobody gives a shit about your MARS activities and we're fucking
> fed up with reading about it. I personally believe that Robeson,
> "bb," and Lennie are the biggest fools on rram and rrap, but I'm
> stuck over here in Kuwait reading things through Google and can't
> filter out their dreck.
>
> Fortunately, there are still web boards like qrz.com where idiots
> like them are simply not tolerated. I'm gone from here and will
> be reading the web boards exclusively. P

Loved your book, 1984. Didn't think you'd still be kicking.

So have you done any hamming in Kuwait? Need anything?

1372. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 01:55:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116899716.871008.303240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108603

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> When I turned in my ticket at the Hamvention I
> normally check out what the prizes are. This year
> I couldn't see even one item from Yaesu. Kenwood
> and Icom etc. had items on display, but not Yaesu.
> I wonder if the Hamvention did something to tick
> them off.

Yaesu was not in the same arena with Icom and Kenwood, either. Don't recall if it's always been that way.

> Also I would say that both the flea market and the
> inside vendor numbers were down by at least 20%.
> There were MANY empty spaces in the flea market
> area when in past years it was mostly full.

I was there on Friday. I thought it would plus-up on Saturday.

I also
> heard that Mendelson wanted more space and a break
> on the flea market rental since they are going out
> of business. The Hamvention, based I what I heard
> around, said no dice, so they didn't even bother
> to show up and they're only a few miles from the
> arena too. They spaced out many of the inside
> vendor booth areas so it wasn't too obvious that
> the number of vendors was way down.

The ARRL was there in force.

> What did anybody else notice? I hope the above
> isn't a long term trend.

Old men. Yes, it's a long-term trend. bb

1373. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 02:01:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116900096.072591.322740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John S. wrote:
> If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
trip
> to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment for
> sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
> hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio electronic
> gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
> vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.

ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.

> In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
> because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
> itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.

Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...

1374. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 02:03:51 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116900231.140758.104460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote
>
> > ... and one vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.
>
> Sounds good to me! You have a problem with bikini clad YL'S?
>
> dit dit
>
> de Hans, K0HB

Hopefully Robeson doesn't pop in here and tell us they were selling
"something."

1375. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 02:04:58 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <eZvke.1969$8g.1953@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 108606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:da9ke.4897$nh.2864287@news.sisna.com...
> You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio
> dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time when
> dropping code would have saved ham radio--I fear that time has come and
> gone... cw has no real use to anyone, anymore... it is like having to
> learn to type to use the internet... no such requirement... however,
> because typing DOES have a use--you will find many learning to type after
> they realize the need...
>
> ... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have been
> as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test taking" could
> study for a day and pass the most challenging... they have been made even
> simplier still... yet amateur radio declined and continues to do so--
>
> ... now "critical mass" has been reached, so many other forms of
> communication exist that amateur radio is on its' death march... radios
are
> so far and few between... and so little demand... they are too expensive
> with most to bother with... you could give ham licences away in the
streets
> and not improve that...
>
> ... no, the OMs' alzheimers and lack of ability to see the future has
killed
> ham radio--you'd think the old guys must have hated it--to have stood by
and
> watched it die--if what you say is correct--and they DID have all their
> mental facilities about them and still allowed it...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

Hello, John

I suspect that Morse testing will be dropped. When, I'm not sure, but some countries have done so already. I honestly believe (but could be wrong) that most of the older amateurs (say the 20 wpm extra gang of which I am one) do not believe that Morse should be required.

Hopefully, that will end some of the contention amongst some of us. The reality is that amateur radio does need some infusion of young blood. Having just celebrated my 58th birthday, I can appreciate that LOL.

There are a lot of different forms of communication. I suspect that some people will be attracted to amateur radio simply because of the vast amount of experimentation that can be done at reasonable powers (you don't need kilowatts, but a few milliwatts may not suffice).

I've been moderating one Yahoo group (and now co-own it) which is devoted to helping folks get their tickets. The biggest thing is not to have the hams flame the cbers or scanner enthusiasts. We are all interested in radio (or television - or other modes, for that matter) and the flame wars are the biggest deterrent (not Morse code), at least in my mind. Flame wars do *not* happen. Moderation until folks calm down and removal or even banning if they continue. There are too many good folks in cb and amateur radio (not to mention scanner and sw folks) to let the flamers wreck everything.

As far as mental ability, I tried like heck to kill mine when I celebrated my birthday. The only result was a big headache LOL.

You and I might have a minor disagreement, but it appears you are willing to discuss it. So am I. As to typing, I had an interview some number of years ago and when it was mentioned that the individual that was to be replaced was producing their newsletter, I mentioned that I could type over 65 words per minute on a bad day into a headwind. When they said "we don't type anymore, we use computers", I stated that I believed it was time to call a halt to the interview. Certainly keyboards have changed a bit (I remember close to 50 years ago, my father had an old typewriter with a "cents" figure on it), but the keys (alpha and numerics) are laid out the same. Yes, the computer keyboard has an extra set of keys with numbers (use the numbers lock) on it. Still, I have no problem with 65 words per minute. I admit I'm down a bit from the time I had to fight a 100 word per minute teletype to get burst of 92 words per minute out, but I still have no real problem either typing or programming.

Some of us are trying to pass the torch to younger folks. The plain fact is that amateur radio need younger folks (not to mention more women).

If you might be interested in joining, please e-mail me off group. The address is aa2qa@frontiernet.net

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1376. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 02:07:45 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <9rydnSZ0vaXrEQ_fRVn-3g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108609

bb wrote:
> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:hI-dnTz0TcvjoQ_fRVn-gg@centurytel.net...
>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>if you did the general theory it would say general...with your free
>>upgrade hehehe, i didn't say high class made anyone better, years
>>do.....as far as i'm concern your still a CB operator in denial that he
>>gay and has to vent high angry and sexual frustrations out by calling
>>other people gay.
>>
>>Todd ODochartaigh N9OGL
>>THE N9OGL SHOW
>>14.321.00 MHz
>
>
>
> So my lower class license makes me a cb'er? Never owned or operated one
> toad. You're wrong, the tech license used to be the general theory with
> only 5 wpm code, so the license would say Technician. When they dropped
> the code requirement to 5 wpm, all that was required of a tech licensed
> prior to, I think it was 1987, could upgrade to general with just some
> paperwork. So see toad, you're talking out the side of your face and you
> don't know what the hell you are saying! So my being first licensed in
> 1984 make me have more time the you the illiterate idiot! Hmm, That
> makes you the gay porno queen cb'er pirate parrot.
> You're such an idiot toad, I told you my class of license, you didn't
> figure it out on your own.
> So toad, I've been licensed longer then you and have the same theory as
> you, I have more education then you'll ever have, from a university, not
> the Gaylorville certificate club.
> In YOUR opinion, that makes you the illegal cb'er.
> Any more lies to make you feel superior?
> BTW, how's the boys down at the flower shop? Did you ever wonder how I
> found out that you hung out there? When are your FBI buddies getting
> back to you, soon I hope!
> ==========
>
> collage: n. a work of art made by pasting various materials on a single
> surface.
>
> college: n an institution of higher learning granting degrees. 2. a

> constituent unit of a university.

A Community Collage offers a 1 year certificate or a 2 year Associate
degree where as a University offers a 4 year Bachelors degree or higher.

1377. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 02:17:09 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <9ZSdnX8hWYE4Ew_fRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 108611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 May 2005 16:44:37 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

> I've been going for three years now. There were less vendors this year
> than last. But there was less crap than last year also. And a lot of the
> crap that went missing this year was the people selling ancient
> computers and old HT junk. If you wanted ham radio items, there was
> plenty to be had. And I'd rather have fewer vendors selling more ham
> radio equipment than more vendors selling IBM XT's and Old Handi-talkies
> that some Fire department got rid of in 1990.

That's too bad since this is one of the few flea markets where you can find this "old stuff". In fact I found exactly what I was looking for, some old 72 pin 32MB EDO memory sticks and some 128MB 168 pin DIMM memory sticks. It was FAR cheaper than any vendor on line I found, if they even had what I was looking for in the first place. Those old computers get put into service as packet nodes, dedicated remote rig control computers, DSP based sound card digital modes, etc. I would rather tie up the old PII 333MHz machine I'm using right now, got it for $80 including the monitor at a local Ham fest, than my new AMD FX-55 system. Now all I have to do is find some Linux based sound card software.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1378. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 02:17:31 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ANmdneZoJflpEw_fRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 108610

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1116843429.655000.37490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
Jim Hampton wrote:
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:xmlje.3504$X92.644@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> One interesting thing of note - text messaging I'd consider
> similar to cw in one thing - it may be fun, but not highly
> useful.

I disagree!

They're both highly useful. Just in different ways.

> If one
> needs to send a lot of text, a computer and the Internet just
> might be
> considerably faster (assuming one can touch type at a
> reasonable rate).

Of course! But then you need a readout device.

Usefulness depends on the application.

> Via
> radio, there are digital modes, of course, and text can be
> reliably sent
> much faster with many of the digital modes (even the very dated
> RTTY).

Sure - if someone has the equipment handy.

But the whole digital-mode-faster thing is kind of bogus in my view.
It's like saying that since we have cars, there's no reason to have
competitions where people run anymore. Heck, why doesn't everyone in
the Olympic marathon use rollerblades? The times would drop
dramatically.

>
> I have to chuckle at the length of this thread; I thought it
> an interesting
> link and figured there would be a couple of cw detractors that
> would answer,
> but the thread turned out a bit longer than I figured. I keep
> forgetting
> how heated the cw vs no cw arguement can get.

The telling part is that the anticode folks call the test "rigged"
and other nonsense in an attempt to discredit what happened. But
the plain simple fact is that a couple of good Morse Code
operators, going about 1/3 the world-record speed, were faster
than the *world record holders* in text messaging.

What they missed is that the whole point of the segment was to
show that "newer" isn't always "faster/better/easier" and that
"older" doesn't equal "useless". The outfits on the two teams
were a visual way of adding to that.

One member of the Morse Code team was reportedly at Dayton
in his telegrapher outfit.
==========

Yup. I saw him walking around the show.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1379. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 02:22:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xbKdnSMkPfKCDQ_fRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 108612

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:D-6dnUrY4tPMQQzfRVn-rQ@centurytel.net...
>I said
> "BUT the question is, if I'm not a no code then you are a gay liar.
>
> Obsessed Gay Lid wrote:
>
>> no, but your a tech, according to the FCC website, meaning you haven't
>> even did your 5 WPM....go learn code little boy
>>
>> TODD N9OGL
>> THE N9OGL SHOW
>> 14.321.00
>
> Oh but you are so wrong idiot, in another post I said I was a novice
> before I was a tech. So I guess the truth is there, You are an IDIOT gay
> boy.
> Get a vanity call N9OGI Obsessed Gay Idiot...go learn the rules idiot.
> For all you know I did the general theory, which was required before there
> were tech and tech plus license's, which, if I desired, would be a free
> upgrade by just filling out some paperwork. But you would still be a lying
> gay idiot toad.
> The bottom line idiot was and still is,
> (1) where on my license does it say it's a no code license?
> (2) If having a higher class license makes you better then me, then Steve,
> Dave, Dee Dee, and Dan all have a higher class license then you, so I
> guess that makes us both peons! But you're still a gay idiot!
> Have a happy :)

FYI, I prefer Dee rather than Dee Dee.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1380. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 02:39:04 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <LN-dnUSFqvZVDg_fRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 108613

On Mon, 23 May 2005 18:55:16 -0700, bb wrote:

> Yaesu was not in the same arena with Icom and Kenwood, either. Don't
> recall if it's always been that way.

They were located in the same areas as they have been for the last 5 years or so that I've been going to the Hamvention. This was the only year I saw where Yaesu didn't have one single item donated for a prize.

> I was there on Friday. I thought it would plus-up on Saturday.

That was nothing compared to a few years back where EVERY space in the flea market area was filled! It used to take me at least one full day to walk the outside area, this last time I did it in half a day because there were so many empty spaces.

> The ARRL was there in force.

They didn't look too particularly busy either. Several of the booths they had set up for specific areas the people behind the tables looked like they had a had time trying to stay awake since nobody was there asking questions etc.

>
>> What did anybody else notice? I hope the above isn't a long term trend.
>
> Old men. Yes, it's a long-term trend.

That's really too bad since there is a lot the ARRL can do to change that. One of the big problems is the ARRL, and the old time Hams, who want to treat HF as the "Crown Jewel" of Ham Radio and the heavy emphasis on it when trying to recruit new Hams and current Hams to upgrade. The fact is young kids can DX all they want on the computer, no license required. So if they want to get young Hams in to the hobby they need to wake up and show them what Ham Radio has to offer that they CAN'T get using the Internet and a cell phone.

Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1381. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 02:45:09 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <A_SdnUAaSuGkCA_fRVn-ow@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108614

Dee Flint wrote:

> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:D-6dnUrY4tPMQQzfRVn-rQ@centurytel.net...
>
>>I said
>>"BUT the question is, if I'm not a no code then you are a gay liar.
>>
>>Obsessed Gay Lid wrote:
>>
>>
>>>no, but your a tech, according to the FCC website, meaning you haven't
>>>even did your 5 WPM....go learn code little boy
>>>
>>>TODD N9OGL
>>>THE N9OGL SHOW
>>>14.321.00
>>
>>Oh but you are so wrong idiot, in another post I said I was a novice
>>before I was a tech. So I guess the truth is there, You are an IDIOT gay
>>boy.
>>Get a vanity call N9OGI Obsessed Gay Idiot...go learn the rules idiot.
>>For all you know I did the general theory, which was required before there
>>were tech and tech plus license's, which, if I desired, would be a free
>>upgrade by just filling out some paperwork. But you would still be a lying
>>gay idiot toad.
>>The bottom line idiot was and still is,
>>(1) where on my license does it say it's a no code license?
>>(2) If having a higher class license makes you better then me, then Steve,
>>Dave, Dee Dee, and Dan all have a higher class license then you, so I
>>guess that makes us both peons! But you're still a gay idiot!
>>Have a happy :)
>
>
> FYI, I prefer Dee rather than Dee Dee.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

I guess if I was talking to you, I might care!

1382. Re: Why I Hans Brakob Acting So Foolish These Days?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 02:48:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1Cwke.5128$X92.50@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108615

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> The FCC database does not show a "Brakovich" as licensee for K0HB.

The FCC database is irrelevant to rrap. (Sorta like "Steve".)

Sunuvagun!

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

1383. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 02:48:55 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <l8udnXtrpeuKCw_fRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 108616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 23 May 2005 19:01:36 -0700, bb wrote:

> ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.

I saw that and I don't know what the point was they were trying to make.

Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1384. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 02:56:28 UTC
From: KHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wJwke.5132$X92.2981@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

> Hopefully Robeson doesn't pop in here and tell us they
> were selling "something."

The correct terminology according to K4YZ is "Musta beem selling SOMEthing!". I don't know how you "beem" sell SOMEthing, but I'm sure "Steve" (alias for K4YZ) will provide a weasel-worded explanation.

dit dit
(Note Farnsworth spacing)

de Hans, K0HB

1385. Re: Old farts like Robeson, Len and bb have ruined this group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 04:09:42 UTC
From: Pioneer 8 <maytheschwartzbewithyou@wookiesonendor.com>
Message-ID: <8483c$4292a964$d1cc7916$4653@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 108618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
news:cc8e5b47f296535f00f1cf62db46e1d6@mixmaster.it...

> but I'm stuck over here in Kuwait reading things
> through Google and can't filter out their dreck.

Methinks yer one lucky dude OM!

Look at it this way......

You can be laughing one minute at
the amusing reads in rrap, and have
Al Jazeera Network on the TeeVee
in the background (with the sound
off) and be amused at pics of islamic religious
fanatics blowing each other up via IED's
or S-B'ers on an hourly basis in Iraq the next.

One final question, is kooky Brother Stair audible
in Kuwait via shortwave? He must go over real well
in A-rab land! :)

1386. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 04:17:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116908265.215992.170960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108619

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon,May 23 2005 3:57 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult
thing
>in order to "win an argument." "

Oh, oh! Reverend Jim issued a Sermon On The Antenna Mount! :-)

Not only that, the poor guy is still furious over NOT "winning"
a newsgroup argument THREE YEARS AGO! :-)

Not only that, the "argument" wasn't even about RADIO!

Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
and international socio-political politics are another group.

"RADIO" includes all of the HF portion of the EM spectrum. That's
where the major international communications networks WERE in
the 1950s. I was part of that - for three years - as a
volunteer in the United States Army...operating HF transmitters
for an Army station. A "small" station, only the third largest
in the worldwide Army net at the time, just 36 transmitters
ranging from 1 to 15 KW in 1953 (43, up to 40 KW in 1956) and
none of them using OOK CW modes. That station was located in
Japan, specifically a few miles outside of Tokyo. 24/7 ops
attached directly to the Far East Command Headquarters, then
in Tokyo...continuous links to Seoul, Pusan, Okinawa, Manila,
Saigon, Anchorage, Seattle, Hawaii, San Francisco plus local
HF nets all throughout the Kanto Plain of Honshu Island.

In early 1953 there was still a state of War between the
United Nations and North Korea although a truce was
imminent (that would settle into a "permanent" Truce in
July, 1953). I had voluntarily enlisted in the Army on
13 March 1952...when there was still active warfare in
Korea. My assignment to the Far East was ordered by the
Army and not subject to my approval or disapproval...one goes
where assigned or one goes where the penitentiary is (after a
court martial).

Army duty required a continuous practice on soldiering skills
regardless of one's military occupation specialty. In addition
to that, there were continuing updates on emergency and
contingency plans plus operational tests of same. Note the
location of Japan to the easternmost parts of the USSR, then

an adversary in the "Cold War." The USSR then possessed the atomic bomb.

Since I was in the Signal Corps and working on/with radio communications, my unit was NOT briefed on the overall military capabilities of the Soviet Union to the detail outlined in the Janes books. We had one simple directive in the case of military conflict: "Close with, and destroy the enemy." We were all reminded of that in the regular training sessions of Provisional Infantry Platoon ("PIP") practice, along with "you are soldiers FIRST and signalmen second." We were NOT given any of the movie-style practice on identifying Soviet aircraft from little models or silouettes...nor were we instructed in operation of anti-aircraft defense (there were other units for that purpose).

While all that was going on, station ADA kept on 24/7 operations at a rate of nearly a quarter million messages a month through its facilities...thousands of miles from the United States of America. Was FEC Hq "in danger" from its "rear-area location?" Depends on what you "4F" types want to call "danger" from your safe locations in the states.

Now, did I make a "mistake" on calling out Soviet "Bear" bomber types in 1953? Yes. In the 49 years from 1953 to 2002 (date of your target "example" message) I've seen a LOT of statistics and information on Soviet military power. If I needed to be SPECIFIC, then I would go look up the EXACT thing. But...Soviet aircraft was NOT in my "line of work" in 1953 to 1956. Radio was. HF radio...and VHF, UHF, and microwave radio. RADIO, Jimmie. RADIOS that must operate 24/7, "getting the message through." I made NO "mistakes" there.

You want to sit safe at your computer and scoff and scoff and show how "expert" you are in military and political matters...and NEVER having served in any government post or military in REAL SERVICE for your country. You want to "tell me how it is" in the military without wearing a uniform, taking any induction oath, or being shipped out of the country to some foreign base. You want to attempt humiliation all because you are still "suffering" from not winning some "message battle" years ago. Poor baby.

Jimmie boy, there's NO indication that you EVER worked IN radio...or in aerospace...and you want to be an "expert guru type" on that. You've not worked AT the FCC, you've not worked AT the ARRL, you are NOT in the judiciary, yet you try to speak as if you were all of that. All you seem to have is long "tenure" in amateur radio NEWSGROUP MESSAGING. Hours and hours and hours of that. More than I have.

On Memorial Day you WILL be remembered. We veterans all remember the anti-military civilians who like to spit on military people one way or another, SAFE in their un-touched communities expressing contempt for anyone who has served via the Internet.

Amateur radio is a hobby activity carried on for the purpose of personal enjoyment. It is, in part, a technological endeavor involving the laws of physics, regulated by the government because ALL radio works by those same physical laws. Amateur radio is NOT a fifth branch of the armed forces. Ham operators are NOT "serving their country" any more than stamp collectors or bird watchers or other hobbyists. Try to keep from translating your amateur radio license form into a DD-214.

Here's my "salute" to you, Jimmie Noserve, on this upcoming Memorial Day: <middle finger upraised>

Temper fry...

LenAnderson@ieee.org
ex-RA16408336, USA, Signal Corps (1952-1956)

1387. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 04:19:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116908373.565435.134890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108620

From: "bb" on Mon,May 23 2005 4:53 pm

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> "who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult
thing
>> in order to "win an argument." "
>
>Len, this proves he hangs on your every word. Hi!

Not a complement, tsk. Jimmie has "hung" himself enough
already in here. He may have run out of "rope?"

Lately he has desired REOPENING three-year-old postings
to ARGUE those postings all over again. Oh, my. Can't
let the past be past, can he?

Computer-modem communications must be his LIFE now?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1388. Re: Robeson lives to lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 04:27:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116908873.593009.216750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "bb" on Mon,May 23 2005 4:31 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Sat,May 21 2005 5:04 pm
>>
>> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> >>Their very, very last effort at leadership. Fifty two years ago.
>> >
>> >>HALF A FRIGGIN LIFETIME AGO FOR RADIO EXPERIMENTORS!!! Idiot!
>>
>> Jimmie was THERE...in his heart. :-)
>
>He may have lusted in his heart, but he is no peanut farmer.

"Our" Jimmie is NO "president." :-)

>> Gosh and gee-whilikers...in 1953 I was doing HF communications
>> while in the U.S. Army and didn't have to know a thing about
>> morse code. Reason is probably that NONE of the three dozen
>> high power transmitters at one station (only the 3rd largest in
>> the Army network) used any morse code. NONE of the personnel
>> at that station were required to know morse code to operate
>> all those transmitters (minimum 1 KW RF out, maximum 15 KW
>> but later increased to 40 KW). HF bands, 24/7 operations,
>> nearly a quarter million messages handled each month.
>
>Hey, you went through the IGY57/58. Did you notice anything unusual
>about the propagation?

I returned to the States in early 1956.

The only thing I recall was a TOTAL ionospheric blackout
(on local midnight shift) in the Fall of 1955. Lasted about
three hours, give or take. Back-logged about 100 messages or
so which impacted the flow a bit. Before IGY, of course.
Only the long-distance circuits (nearly all) over 300 miles
were affected. VHF and above LOS was not affected.

>> Brian, you have to understand that Jimmie is the Compleat
>> (amateur) Manchurian Candidate. Fully brainwashed into thinking
>> that the ARRL is the holy grail of "truth" and all good works.
>
>These clowns have no problem denying amateur privs to people who

cannot
>attain 5, 13, or 20wpm rates. I wish to set the bar higher than they
>can attain and deny privs to them. That is all.

Didn't and doesn't bother me either way, but remember that
those clowns "pioneered the airwaves"...speaking of course of
N2EY, K4YZ, W3RV, K8MN. They are "more deserving" because
they exist. :-)

>> >> In 1990, ARRL supported the creation of a nocodetest amateur
radio
>> >> license.
>> >
>> >Why not 1987??? Idiot!
>> >
>> >Where was the ARRL's leadership when the FCC made the "Novice
>> >Enhancement?" Why did the FCC have to go it alone without the
ARRL's
>> >"""Leadership???""" Extra-wipe! Idiot!
>
>I guess "Extra-wipe" was a bit strong. So sorry.

Don't be. I used an extra tissue wiping my eyes due to
laughing at them... :-)

>> Jimmie is WRONG BY OMISSION. According to all the documents
>> PRIOR to the final decision on FCC 90-53, the ARRL was
>> AGAINST that. The ARRL only supported the final R&O on the
>> creation of the no-code-test Technician class AFTER THE FACT.
>
>History Prof Jim is a revisionist.

Well, he doesn't exactly "lie," but neither is he telling
ALL the truth. He follows the ARRL Party Line exactly.

>> Jimmie just can't bring himself to admit that many OTHER
>> parties were trying to cancel the code test PRIOR to 1990.
>> Some time spent in the FCC Reading Room of the correspondence
>> PRIOR to 1990 will show enough support for No-Code-Test that
>> the FCC was lobbied into the 90-53 issuance.
>
>Oh, please. You know how these guys are about documentation. Long on
>demands, short on product.

Yes, I do...but remember that "these guys" are all PCTA Extras
and have their Double Standard to rationalize their actions.

However, Jimmie is still upset about OLD postings in here and
demands some kind of "recount" and weird "admission of guilt"
over some imaginary "wrongdoing." Jimmie's MISDIRECTION into
old, old posting arguments is one form of his rationalizations.

>> Once the NO-CODE-TEST Technician class was established, the
>> ARRL had no other choice to "support" it...it would have been
>> politically destructive to the League to oppose it AFTER THE
>> FACT.
>
>The train was leaving the station. Toot-toot. They had to jump
aboard
>or get left behind.

Jimmie wants to "forget" about the ARRL statements made prior
to and during 90-53.

>> Jimmie wants to OMIT certain details to show that the ARRL is
>> in the "leadership." It isn't. The ARRL still hasn't gotten
>> a membership larger than a quarter of all licensed U.S.
>> radio amateurs.
>
>Not only that, but the FCC doesn't appear to be looking at the ARRL in
>any leadership capacity.

That seems evident now that the Internet allows DIRECT
communications with the FCC. Opinions of individual hams are
no longer "screened" by the ARRL for ARRL lobbying.

>> The FASTEST GROWING CLASS in U.S. amateur radio - since 1991 -
>> is that very same NO-CODE-TEST Technician class. NO OTHER
>> class has grown so large, so quickly, in the history of
>> amateur radio as regulated by the FCC since 1934.
>
>Don't confuse them with the facts

I can't confuse them when they don't recognize those facts.

>> Jimmie is going to trot out his outworn cliches' and other
>> statements (nearly all sinning by omission of details) and
>> state "that is simply wrong." :-) He can't think for
>> himself anymore in amateur radio matters, apparently. He
>> is one of the number-one bunting putter-upper and flag
>> waver for the League. The ARRL can do NO wrong in his eyes.
>
>Ask him how far it is to the moon. Hi!

? Is he pretending to be Ralph Kramden? :-)

>> In my view, Jimmie is so brainwashed that he can't help himself.
>> He, like Stebie da Avenging Angle of Dearth, is into such a
>> fantasy that Jimmie really thinks what he writes is "truth"
>> and anything contrary is "untrue." Jimmie just can't conceive
>> of his words being in error, therefore he speaks "truth."
>
>Ever since I pointed out that his comment "A morse code exam would be
a
>barrier to morse code use" was exactly our point, he's gotten Extra
>defensive and ultra-sensitive.

Jimmie won't admit to making errors. It's as simple as that.

>> As to the "off-color callsign" (Kim's vanity call), that's just
>> his personal morality thing. It's almost like he took Vows and
>> was ordained a Priest in the Holy Orders of the Church of
>> St. Hiram...of the Latter-Day Radio Saints? Or was it
>> Former-Day Radio Saints? Must be that for his wanting to
>> cite all those "leadership" actions happening during and
>> before he was born. Another amateur "role-model" to emulate
>> on seeking the "highest goals" in amateur radio...complete
>> Nirvana in morsemanship. Ho hum.
>>
>I especially like his vision of an active amateur radio service during
>WWII. Hi! What a vision! What a revision!!!

Super vision! [either he IS that or NEEDS that... :-)]

Jimmie "lived" IN WW2...in his heart and fantasy...just never
was IN the military or faced the prospect of harm to his body
then or later...when he was alive and could have, but didn't,
serve in the military. "He served in other ways..."

I just can't picture Jimmie using an M-209 Code Converter and
tapping on an AN/GRC-9 to "send intel back from the front lines"
to his unit (which wasn't done much in actual fact). Since the
tanks and trucks depended on VOICE comms over VHF sets in Yurp
then, he can't be pictured using a knee key in a bouncing
Sherman tank facing the Panzers along the Rhine. Neither can
he be thought of as "working" the ARC-5 sets in B-17s and B-24s
over Germany (the two pilots used the Command set, the radio-
op-gunner was only connected to the low-HF Liason set). He
certainly wouldn't use VOICE on the first "handie-talkie" (on
HF, operational in 1940) since he thinks HF is only for morse.
Neither would he be pictured using a BC-1000 "walkie-talkie"
(VHF voice only and Heavy backpack) even though that was
widely used - and praised - by the "grunts" of that time,
both in Italy and in Yurp. Jimmie is a MORSEMAN and that is
that... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1389. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 04:35:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Payke.5517$Lc1.4258@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108622

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> Here's my "salute" to you, Jimmie Noserve, on this upcoming
> Memorial Day: <middle finger upraised>
>

Looks like Len chased down a large helping of anchovies with a bad bottle of Chardonnay!

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

1390. Re: Brian Burke Continues Deceit and Misdirection.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 04:36:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116909396.357323.15330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "bb" on Mon,May 23 2005 4:50 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Sun,May 22 2005 8:13 am
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > bb wrote:
>> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > >
>> >> > > > The last five Presidents of the United States seem
to

>> >> No...you keep "volunteering" to collect a paycheck.
>> >
>> >Hi! I suppose you wrote "VOID" across your paychecks and sent them
>> >back?
>>
>> He probably sent them all to the ARRL Truss Fund. :-)
>>
>> I wonder if Stebie has bothered to study recent USA history?
>> He left out FOUR Presidents who served in the military since
>> FDR...and I'm not counting Dubya.
>
>He's not too bright. He thought he was heading somewhere with that.

Sevie committed Navigational Error! Wrong HEADING! :-)

Of course, there's the possibility he suffers from mental
blackouts and just cannot remember American history.
Traumatic Stress Syndrome from those "seven hostile actions?"

>> Poor fella thinks the Constitution of the USA has some kind of
>> paragraph saying "everyone has to abide by this wanna-be Dill
>> Sergeant (with the pursed, pickled lips and sour disposition).
>
>He needs to be ignored. His shrillness level will go up until he is
>able to break glass.

Since I and my wife each got LCD flat-panel displays last
year, I'm not worried about him breaking our monitors.
I may have to sleeve the fluorescents over the L-desk in
translucent sleeving, though.

As for "shrillness" [plus outrage] at least 40 db over S9,
wait until I show a copy of his "dishonoring my unit"
message to the guys who are going to be at the Westwood
federal cemetary on Memorial Day! Poor Sevie gonna be
so outnumbered by REAL veterans... :-(

>> >So why are you bringing up the defense of the Constitution here?
>>
>> Sorry, Brian, Stebie >>IS<< the Constitution! Hi, hi!
>
>I guess he thought he was going somewhere with that and for a
>split-second I thought he might be going somewhere with it, too. But
I
>was wrong.

Tsk, the only place he went was out-the-door, out-to-lunch,
out-of-his-mind. He's hard to follow, allatime being in
such a RAGE.

>> >You really ought to get your stuff in one sock before starting off
on a
>> >new rant tangent.
>>
>> Looks like an internal battle among his personalities...one
shouted
>> out of turn...
>
>I'd love to watch him do drill, calling out commands to the other
hims.

Yes, that DOES sound interesting and amusing... :-)

>> Tsk. Stebie >>IS<< the Constitution! :-)
>>
>> Saying anything against Stebie is Sedition, Treason Against
>> The State!!!
>
>Flat tire.

That "tire" still has LOTS of hot air in it... :-)

>> Ah, not quite from Stebie's point of view. In his distorted view
>> Stebie is "always truthful" but everyone who doesn't like him is
>> a "LIAR," "deceitful," "hates ham radio," and/or has underarm
odor.
>
>I see Steve for what he is. Impotent and angry.

Sure looks that way.

>> >> Why would you expect Jim to answer such an insulting
question when
>> >> YOU have overtly refused to answer questions he's put to you for
YEARS,

>> >> Brain?
>> >
>> >Why wouldn't he? He has no problem insulting others.
>>
>> Tsk, now Jimmie Noserve is going to be furious! Jimmie "NEVER"
>> "insults" anyone...all he does is "point out mistakes" that
>> "others do." :-)
>
>The record states otherwise. Jim can be just as abusive as his
>henchmen.

True enough again. "Henchmen" = PCTA Extra Double Standards
bearers.

>> Tsk. Readers are still unaware of Stebie's mighty warrior acts
>> WHEN and WHERE of those "seven hostile actions!"
>
>They apparently haven't seen his stated desires to see me at Dayton.

Maybe he just needs eyeglasses? He probably won't go to the
largest eyeglass chain due to the name: Lenscrafters. Hi hi.

>> Maybe they were "special ops" and veddy, veddy "secret" that
>> Stebie can't reveal? :-)
>>
>> [gosh, maybe we can hear one of his "HALO Drop" stories?]
>
>Impotent and angry.

Not enough medals in his cigar box. He needs to check out
www.grunt.com, "Sgt Grit - 'if we don't have it, Chesty
wouldn't want it!'" Lots of goodies there. Hi hi.

>> >So is Jim checking out the governments needs over in the desert?
He
>> >would be drawing a nice, tax-free paycheck.
>>
>> Jimmie is "serving in other ways" by defending the Constitution
>> of the ARRL...against all enemies, especially the domestic
>> no-coders. Plus he is probably training for another marathon,
>> another "service for his country." Hi, hi!
>
>Jim is delicate, gets frustrated when his fascade fades.

Awwwwww....

>> That and keeping his Time Machine running so he can jump back
>> to times when Kode was King in raddio. "Important national
>> service," you bet! :-)
>>
>> <insert eight lines of all-caps hyena laghter here>
>
>I especially like his trips down memory lane where we had an active

>amateur radio service during WWII.

Jimmie might have amended that by now. Remember that Jimmie's statements are always "correct" and his "mission" is to "simply correct others' mistakes!" Said so hisself. Hi hi hi hi!!!!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

[NOT affiliated with Lenscrafters]

1391. Re: Old farts like Robeson, Len and bb have ruined this group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 04:47:31 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116910051.056651.79010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:
> George Orwell wrote:
> > Nobody gives a shit about your MARS activities and we're fucking
> > fed up with reading about it. I personally believe that Robeson,
> > "bb," and Lennie are the biggest fools on rram and rrap, but I'm
> > stuck over here in Kuwait reading things through Google and can't
> > filter out their dreck.
> >
> > Fortunately, there are still web boards like qrz.com where idiots
> > like them are simply not tolerated. I'm gone from here and will
> > be reading the web boards exclusively. P
>
> Loved your book, 1984. Didn't think you'd still be kicking.
>
> So have you done any hamming in Kuwait? Need anything?

He could prolly use some of your homebrewed antennas, kick a carton of
'em to him.

1392. Re: Thank-You, Lennie, For Proving Your Cowardice Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 05:00:26 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116910826.504797.124190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "K4YZ" on Mon,May 23 2005 5:52 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> This Memorial Day I'll do what I think on HONORING the veterans
>> of the United States military.
>
> You will "honor" no one until you've published an unequivocal
>apology for your cowardly and snivveling attempts to use the
sacrifices
>of others in order to embellish what was an unremarkable tour of duty
>in the Army.

"You do not now have, nor did you ever, nor will you ever
have the authority, expertise, training, experience or
qualifications to give me an order or to imply you are
giving me an order."

BWAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAAAAAHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEE
HAWHAWHAWHAWHAWHAW!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1393. Re: Brian Burke Continues Deceit and Misdirection.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 05:03:55 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116911035.048956.176200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "K4YZ" on Mon,May 23 2005 4:38 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Sun,May 22 2005 2:53 am
>>
>> >bb wrote:
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>> > Don't try to lump yourself in with me, Brain. Most of my time
in
>> >the USMC was crewing the MC version of the Pave Low (CH53A and D),
a
>> >lot of which got me put in places I was not real happy to be in.
>>
>> Whoa, there, "SEA STALLION."
>>
>> The CH-53A to CH-53D are called "Sea Stallion," NOT "Pave Low."
>> CH-53E is called "Super Sea Stallion."
>
> No screaming eagle, huh, rear area gutless one...?!?!
>
> Ya think that MAYBE that's why I said "...the MC >>>version<<< of
the Pave Low..."...?!?!
>
> Brain was in the Air Force. That's why the cross-reference.

1. Helicopters are NOT a radio subject, let alone AMATEUR RADIO.

2. Military aircraft nomenclature, names are NOT a requirement
in AMATEUR RADIO.

3. Are there any AMATEURS operating "helicopter mobile?" :-)

>> The "Pave Low" is the MH-53E through O suffix, operated mostly
>> by the USAF. More specifially "Pave Low II" to "Pave Low VI."
>
> Amazing how "smart" you are with the click of a mouse, eh...?!?!

All the information on the Internet is at ANYONE's disposal.

> Too bad you can't retain some of that...

Not my job. Too bad Stebie can't remember to get names correct
on helicopters he has "served." :-)

>> The "CH" in the type designation stands for Cargo, Helicopter.
>
> Very good....Still not any "smoking gun", though...
>
>> The CH-53A through CH-53D are CARGO HAULERS.
>
> As is the CH53E Super Sea Stallion, on which I was qual'ed.

1. The colloquial term for "qualified" is spelled "qualled"
as it is pronounced.

2. The CH-47 and CH-54 are also Cargo Handlers, types that
I've designed test sets for...and gotten them in
production and eventually delivered (RCA EASD).

>> Some of the MH-53s are into special ops. But, the Sea Stallion
>> is basically a CARGO HAULER, first operational in 1966.
>
> Actually first operational in 1965.

FORTY YEAR OLD DESIGN. :-)

> The "CARGO HAULER" was used for "special ops" through out it's
>career too, Lennie. That's why the USMC had them fitted with
VULCAN's,
>-50's, etc.

Tsk...next thing will be Stebie bragging on his "special ops"
experience...maybe with a "HALO Drop" story? :-)

The tactical and strategic use of helicopters is NOT anything
close to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

> That's also why the USMC fit's them for vertical envelopment.

NOT applicable to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

> The USMC, The Air Force and the Navy all used them for SpecOp
>insertions, Maritime patrol, security-of-the seas missions.

NOT applicable to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

> The airframe comes off the same production line at Sikorsky at
>Stratford, CT.

NOT applicable to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

> The same airframe...Over 80% interchangeable.

NOT applicable to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

> And the missions of the CH-53 (ALL variants) far exceed "CARGO
>HAULING".

NOT applicable to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

> Of course you didn't know that because the scope of your
knowledge
>stopped at your wrist.

Not my job to know details of helicopters. :-)

> I didn't lie about a single thing, Lennie.

Not in Stebieworld. Stebie NEVER lies in Stebieworld...everyone
else does there... :-)

> If you want a REAL education, you should read some of the
>histories of the USMC's various Sea Stallion units...Most notably
>HMH-462, HMH-464, HMH-363 and HMH-361.
>
> I was in all except 464 at one time or another.

NOT applicable to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

> Take the time to do some research and you'll find a few of those
>"seven hostile actions" you claim I never participated in.

Is Stebie's name featured anywhere on those "research pages?"

So far, none of us readers have found out the WHEN and
WHERE of Stebie's famous "seven hostile actions."

Hint: This newsgroup is NOT about the Glory of the USMC
or about helicopters. Try to stay focussed. If you write
names of helicopters, try to get them CORRECT.

>> If you are going to LIE, BLUFF, SCAM, try to get your military
>> nomenclature CORRECT.
>
> I did so. Absolutely correct.
>
>> You did NOT do so!

Tsk, tsk. I corrected you and you admitted to the INCORRECT
"cross-reference" (your term for covering up your mistake). :-)

>> Stand down.
>
> You do not now have, nor did you ever, nor will you ever have the
>authority, expertise, training, experience or qualifications to give
me
>an order or to imply you are giving me an order.

BWWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAAAAAHAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA<gasp>HAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HEHEHHEEHEHEHEHEHEHEHE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Tsk. Stebie ought to go to work for "Sgt Grit - 'if we don't
have it, Chesty wouldn't want it."

NOBODY can tell mighty Stebie ANYTHING!

BWWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAAAAAHAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA<gasp>HAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HEHEHHEEHEHEHEHEHEHEHE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> The service history and deployment of the CH53-series aircraft is
>yet another "field" in which YOUR "experience" is from a mouse click.

Absolutely! :-)

BWWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAAAAAHAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA<gasp>HAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HEHEHHEEHEHEHEHEHEHEHE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I've spent 53 years working in RADIO-ELECTRONICS, not
helicopters. This forum is NOT about helicopters. This
newsgroup is about RADIO, specifically amateur radio policy.

So, Stebie, how much time did you spend in Building 82? :-)

Temper fry...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1394. Re: Brian Burke Continues Deceit and Misdirection.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 05:05:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116911129.220633.127480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "K4YZ" on Mon,May 23 2005 4:53 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Sun,May 22 2005 8:29 am
>
>> >The Reluctant Marine! Figures. Even reluctant people serve. So
how
>> >did Jim serve in other way?
>>
>> Tsk. Stebie was GROUND crew.
>
> Steve was an Avionics Technician. MOS's 6612, 6616 and 6323.
(And
>B-Billet 8981...)
>
> Care to figure out from what MOS's (among others...) the USMC
>get's it's Enlisted Aircrews, Lennie?

Nope. This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY, not
the glory and history of the USMC.

So...Seve can tell us exactly WHAT those MOSs DO, can he? :-)

Tsk, so far Seve has AVOIDED stating outright that he was
an aircrewman. That leaves only GROUND crew...which are
as important as any position for 40-year-old aircraft designs.

>> >You don't "give" me anything. My service is not up for your
approval.
>>
>> Nor mine. I went where I was assigned. Anyone can see where at:
>
> Both of your service's ARE "up for approval"...You put it there.

BWWWAHAHAHAHAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!

Up yours, Seve. :-)

> If you think that my "maintenance" MOS meant I never left the
>ground, you've once again demonstrated your complete and utter lack of
>knowledge and experience on yet another topic, Lennie.

Tsk, tsk, STILL no statement of exactly what Seve did as
"aircrew."

BWWWAHAHAHAHAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!

> Since you're so busy mouse-clicking lately, why don't you avail
>yourself of some knowledge on USN/USMC Enlisted Aircrew training and
>deployment, Lennie...?!?!

BWWWAHAHAHAHAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!

> Putz.
>
>Seve, K4YZ

Tsk, tsk...not good Recruiting Sergeant behavior there...

Temper fry...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1395. Re: Can anyone figure out Robeson's latest rant tangent?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 05:09:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116911364.902563.138680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "bb" on Mon,May 23 2005 4:50 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Sun,May 22 2005 8:13 am
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > bb wrote:
>> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > >
>> >> > > > > The last five Presidents of the United States seem
to

>> >> No...you keep "volunteering" to collect a paycheck.
>> >
>> >Hi! I suppose you wrote "VOID" across your paychecks and sent them
>> >back?
>>
>> He probably sent them all to the ARRL Truss Fund. :-)
>>
>> I wonder if Stebie has bothered to study recent USA history?
>> He left out FOUR Presidents who served in the military since
>> FDR...and I'm not counting Dubya.
>
>He's not too bright. He thought he was heading somewhere with that.

Sevie committed Navigational Error! Wrong HEADING! :-)

Of course, there's the possibility he suffers from mental
blackouts and just cannot remember American history.
Traumatic Stress Syndrome from those "seven hostile actions?"

>> Poor fella thinks the Constitution of the USA has some kind of
>> paragraph saying "everyone has to abide by this wanna-be Dill
>> Sergeant (with the pursed, pickled lips and sour disposition).
>
>He needs to be ignored. His shrillness level will go up until he is
>able to break glass.

Since I and my wife each got LCD flat-panel displays last
year, I'm not worried about him breaking our monitors.
I may have to sleeve the fluorescents over the L-desk in
translucent sleeving, though.

As for "shrillness" [plus outrage] at least 40 db over S9,
wait until I show a copy of his "dishonoring my unit"
message to the guys who are going to be at the Westwood
federal cemetary on Memorial Day! Poor Sevie gonna be
so outnumbered by REAL veterans... :-(

>> >So why are you bringing up the defense of the Constitution here?
>>
>> Sorry, Brian, Stebie >>IS<< the Constitution! Hi, hi!
>
>I guess he thought he was going somewhere with that and for a
>split-second I thought he might be going somewhere with it, too. But
I
>was wrong.

Tsk, the only place he went was out-the-door, out-to-lunch,
out-of-his-mind. He's hard to follow, allatime being in
such a RAGE.

>> >You really ought to get your stuff in one sock before starting off
on a
>> >new rant tangent.
>>
>> Looks like an internal battle among his personalities...one
shouted
>> out of turn...
>
>I'd love to watch him do drill, calling out commands to the other
hims.

Yes, that DOES sound interesting and amusing... :-)

>> Tsk. Stebie >>IS<< the Constitution! :-)
>>
>> Saying anything against Stebie is Sedition, Treason Against
>> The State!!!
>
>Flat tire.

That "tire" still has LOTS of hot air in it... :-)

>> Ah, not quite from Stebie's point of view. In his distorted view
>> Stebie is "always truthful" but everyone who doesn't like him is
>> a "LIAR," "deceitful," "hates ham radio," and/or has underarm
odor.
>
>I see Steve for what he is. Impotent and angry.

Sure looks that way.

>> >> Why would you expect Jim to answer such an insulting
question when
>> >> YOU have overtly refused to answer questions he's put to you for
YEARS,

>> >> Brain?
>> >
>> >Why wouldn't he? He has no problem insulting others.
>>
>> Tsk, now Jimmie Noserve is going to be furious! Jimmie "NEVER"
>> "insults" anyone...all he does is "point out mistakes" that
>> "others do." :-)
>
>The record states otherwise. Jim can be just as abusive as his
>henchmen.

True enough again. "Henchmen" = PCTA Extra Double Standards
bearers.

>> Tsk. Readers are still unaware of Stebie's mighty warrior acts
>> WHEN and WHERE of those "seven hostile actions!"
>
>They apparently haven't seen his stated desires to see me at Dayton.

Maybe he just needs eyeglasses? He probably won't go to the
largest eyeglass chain due to the name: Lenscrafters. Hi hi.

>> Maybe they were "special ops" and veddy, veddy "secret" that
>> Stebie can't reveal? :-)
>>
>> [gosh, maybe we can hear one of his "HALO Drop" stories?]
>
>Impotent and angry.

Not enough medals in his cigar box. He needs to check out
www.grunt.com, "Sgt Grit - 'if we don't have it, Chesty
wouldn't want it!'" Lots of goodies there. Hi hi.

>> >So is Jim checking out the governments needs over in the desert?
He
>> >would be drawing a nice, tax-free paycheck.
>>
>> Jimmie is "serving in other ways" by defending the Constitution
>> of the ARRL...against all enemies, especially the domestic
>> no-coders. Plus he is probably training for another marathon,
>> another "service for his country." Hi, hi!
>
>Jim is delicate, gets frustrated when his fascade fades.

Awwwwww....

>> That and keeping his Time Machine running so he can jump back
>> to times when Kode was King in raddio. "Important national
>> service," you bet! :-)
>>
>> <insert eight lines of all-caps hyena laghter here>
>
>I especially like his trips down memory lane where we had an active

>amateur radio service during WWII.

Jimmie might have amended that by now. Remember that Jimmie's statements are always "correct" and his "mission" is to "simply correct others' mistakes!" Said so hisself. Hi hi hi hi!!!!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

[NOT affiliated with Lenscrafters]

1396. Re: Can anyone figure out Robeson's latest rant tangent?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 05:12:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116911520.943682.164870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "bb" on Mon,May 23 2005 4:53 pm

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> "who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult
thing
>> in order to "win an argument." "
>
>Len, this proves he hangs on your every word. Hi!

Not a complement, tsk. Jimmie has "hung" himself enough
already in here. He may have run out of "rope?"

Lately he has desired REOPENING three-year-old postings
to ARGUE those postings all over again. Oh, my. Can't
let the past be past, can he?

Computer-modem communications must be his LIFE now?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1397. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 05:12:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PIyke.5203$X92.3230@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108630

bb wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>>"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult
>
> thing
>
>>in order to "win an argument." "
>
>
> Len, this proves he hangs on your every word. Hi!

Doesn't look that way to me. It would appear that Len has been hung by
his very words.

Dave K8MN

1398. Re: More Proof That Steven J Robeson K4YZ Is A Habitual Liar!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 05:22:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116912140.013193.225250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108631

From: "bb" on Mon,May 23 2005 4:39 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: "bb" on Sun,May 22 2005 8:29 am
>>
>> > >The Reluctant Marine! Figures. Even reluctant people serve. So
how
>> > >did Jim serve in other way?
>> >
>> > Tsk. Stebie was GROUND crew.
>>
>> Steve was an Avionics Technician. MOS's 6612, 6616 and 6323.
(And
>> B-Billet 8981...)
>>
>> Care to figure out from what MOS's (among others...) the USMC
>> get's it's Enlisted Aircrews, Lennie?
>
>Are those "box-kicking" MOS's?

Sevie be trying to kick box in here. Keeps hurting his
foot, falling over.

He doesn't understand that this newsgroup is about RADIO,
not his USMC career or helicopters. Heck, even on helos
he gets his nomenclature wrong. Tsk.

>> > >You don't "give" me anything. My service is not up for your
approval.
>> >
>> > Nor mine. I went where I was assigned. Anyone can see where
at:

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equpment

[Sevie thinks that omitting a website will make it go away]

>> Both of your service's ARE "up for approval"...You put it
there.
>>
>> You certainly take liberties with "approving" mine.
>
>Nope. You've continually denigrated my service and Len's with REMF
and
>box-kicker descriptions.

Sevie never worked any REAL communications in the military
is why. He can't even describe the MARS station on Okinawa,
has to have OTHERS "find out" independently. Tsk. Anyone
so proud of working a real MARS station ought to remember it
forever, reel off types and names from memory, etc. Sevie
can't seem to mumble out any radio box types in his "helo
maintenance" experience. Tsk.

>> > >> Some of my colleagues weren't so lucky. That
>> > >> bloodmobile donation you just made fun of was their ticket home
alive
>> > >> instead of in a bag.
>> >
>> > "Colleagues?!?" GROUND crew wre exposed to "hostile fire?"
>>
>> If you think that my "maintenance" MOS meant I never left the
>> ground, you've once again demonstrated your complete and utter lack
of
>> knowledge and experience on yet another topic, Lennie.
>
>Test flight mike?

Tsk. Sevie was ostensibly stationed (somewhat) near China Lake,
which is also not too far from Edwards AFB. Too bad the self-
made "pilot in command" on CAP never got into such real testing.
Oddly enough, for all Sevie's bragging about "being pilot in
command," he never got right-seat in helos...never even left-
seat. [helos reverse the Command and Second seats traditionally
left and right, respectively, in fixed-wing craft]

There's still a chance for Sevie to get "test flight mike" time
since China Lake is going to be doubled in total personnel in
the new DoD base planning. Edwards isn't closing, neither is
the AF Plant at Palmdale. Kern County Airport #7 was closed to
USMC ops decades ago, is now private, and Scaled Composites
flies real spacecraft out of there [see Burt Rutan & Co.].

Too bad Sevie will NEVER get to work in Building 82 at BUR. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1399. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 05:42:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116913374.473320.10990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108632

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>> Here's my "salute" to you, Jimmie Noserve, on this upcoming
>> Memorial Day: <middle finger upraised>
>>
>
>Looks like Len chased down a large helping of anchovies with a bad
bottle of
>Chardonnay!
>
>dit dit

Pardonez mois, cher Hans, but none of that Frog food here.

Was inlagd sill (pickled herring to you dootschy types) on
knackebrod (hardtack, white rye to be exact), and some cool
Tuborg. Plus some skarp ost (sharp cheese, cultured from
California happy cows' milk) on the side. Viking snack
food.

Be careful what you say lest Aliasing Sevie "tells your wife..."

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1400. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 06:10:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116915058.229584.116580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108633

>stebie said
>A completely different LAST name is an "alias".

not really true steve, pen names are legal so is anonymous speech over
the internet.
you are the weakest link ...good bye

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.

1401. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 06:28:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116916101.457647.278250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108634

N9OGL wrote:
> >stebie said
> >A completely different LAST name is an "alias".
>
> not really true steve, pen names are legal so is anonymous speech
over
> the internet.

You're not writing for a Pulitzer.

Anonymous speech is cowardly speech.

> you are the weakest link ...good bye

Not in THIS forum, Todd.

> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

More lies.

> THE N9OGL SHOW

More evidence.

Steve, K4YZ

1402. Leonard H. Anderson Disgraces All Veterans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 06:38:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116916716.282449.20280@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108635

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> In early 1953 there was still a state of War between the
> United Nations and North Korea although a truce was
> imminent (that would settle into a "permanent" Truce in
> July, 1953). I had voluntarily enlisted in the Army on
> 13 March 1952...when there was still active warfare in
> Korea.

"...active warfare in Korea..."

Which, of course, was never visited BY Sgt Lennie Anderson during his tour of duty.

> Now, did I make a "mistake" on calling out Soviet "Bear"
> bomber types in 1953? Yes. In the 49 years from 1953 to
> 2002 (date of your target "example" message) I've seen a
> LOT of statistics and information on Soviet military power.
> If I needed to be SPECIFIC, then I would go look up the
> EXACT thing. But...Soviet aircraft was NOT in my "line of
> work" in 1953 to 1956. Radio was. HF radio...and VHF,
> UHF, and microwave radio. RADIO, Jimmie. RADIOS that must
> operate 24/7, "getting the message through." I made NO
> "mistakes" there.

Amateur Radio is not in your "..line of work..." in 1953, 1956, or even 2005.

Nor is the employment of the CH53 or Pave Low helicopters, mental health care, medical health care, politics, emergency communications or aerial navigation.

All subjects that you've ventured asssertions of fact on and never got quite right.

> Here's my "salute" to you, Jimmie Noserve, on this upcoming
> Memorial Day: <middle finger upraised>

Why would anyone have expected anything else?

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> ex-RA16408336, USA, Signal Corps (1952-1956)

Lying coward who tries to embellish his own "record" on the sacrifices of men he never knew and never served with.

You'e a disgrace to all Veterans of all branches, dead or alive.

Steve, K4YZ

1403. Re: Thank-You, Lennie, For Proving Your Cowardice Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 06:42:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116916935.505504.35970@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Mon,May 23 2005 5:52 am
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> This Memorial Day I'll do what I think on HONORING the veterans
> >> of the United States military.
> >
> > You will "honor" no one until you've published an unequivocal
> >apology for your cowardly and snivveling attempts to use the
> sacrifices
> >of others in order to embellish what was an unremarkable tour of
duty
> >in the Army.
>
> "You do not now have, nor did you ever, nor will you ever
> have the authority, expertise, training, experience or
> qualifications to give me an order or to imply you are
> giving me an order."
>
> BWAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAAAAAHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEEHEE
> HAWHAWHAWHAWHAWHAW!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I expected nothing less.

Your vileness in the face of the looming Memorial Day is even more
emphatic than usual...even for you.

Steve, K4YZ

1404. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 06:43:23 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <f2Ake.1983$8g.1939@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 108636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:9wcke.204$kS3.11@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
> Sent: Sunday, May 22, 2005 4:30 PM
> Subject: Re: Just for grins - CW
>
>
> > Nice try, John
> >
> > In the original post I stated "just for grins".
> >
> > As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the kid
> > punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day
> > when
> > the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure
out
> > what my change was. She had to call a manager!
>
> OMG, she couldn't count backwards? This is what the
> future has to look forward too.
>
> >
> > I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am
active
> > in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service
for
> > a
> > week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use
my
> > Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without
mains.
> > Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There
are
> > two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!
> >
> > I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power
> > stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no
sanity
> > test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to
> > high
```

> > power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.
>
> No test for people in life, you & I both know that :)
>
> >
> > What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a
knot
> > over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.
> >
> > As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I suspect
> > that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test
> > ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's
license
> > as
> > well ....
> >
> > Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but
> > the
> > underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for
> > *everyone*.
> > This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a
> > repeater
> > which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television,
aircraft
> > guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more.
> > Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles
came
> > about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic
> > lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think
there
> > might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio. Originally,
> > there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the
> > world,
> > total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology
progressed,
> > there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean
> > everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to 300
> > gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed
> > many
> > times since.
> >
> > At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I
have
> > another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.
> >
> > Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down
on
> > the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't
like
> > my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet.

It
> > is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box
turned
> > up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning.
> > Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share
> > should
> > this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the
> > mp3
> > cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack
on
> > the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv.
I
> > hope they enjoy my tunes:
>
> LOL!!!, the 60's 70 are over big guy ;)
>
> >
> > 1) Washington Post March
> > 2) Anchors aweigh
> > 3) The Thunderer
> > 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
> >
> > I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a
> > feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden :))
> >
> >
> > With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
>
> Oh Yeah..... Go to hear from You Jim.
>
> Landshark
>

Hello, Sharkie

My bad. I meant 700 watt system - not something from the 70s LOL. All Bose speakers. A couple hundred watts on the bass alone (and it does shake, although I keep the volume down).

Good to hear from you too. I'm not really mad, just disappointed that folks don't understand the need for regulation. I have a dog and she stays in the house for the most part. When I have her out, if she barks more than a few times, I bring her in. The neighbors don't need to hear a dog barking for 20 minutes or more. Same thing with the stereo system.

Heck, I've made a mistake in the past. Had a party with the Hammond turned up pretty well (as well as the Leslies) and the cops showed up. I apologized and shut it off. Never done that since (and that was 25 years ago). No biggie; anyone can make a mistake.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1405. Re: Brian Burke Continues Deceit and Misdirection.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 06:47:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116917264.442418.133550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: "bb" on Sun,May 22 2005 8:29 am
> > >
> > > > >The Reluctant Marine! Figures. Even reluctant people serve.
So
> > how
> > > > >did Jim serve in other way?
> > > >
> > > > Tsk. Stebie was GROUND crew.
> > >
> > Steve was an Avionics Technician. MOS's 6612, 6616 and 6323.
> (And
> > B-Billet 8981...)
> > >
> > Care to figure out from what MOS's (among others...) the USMC
> > get's it's Enlisted Aircrews, Lennie?
>
> Are those "box-kicking" MOS's?

You're welcome to do the homework and find out.

Espeically the 8981 MOS.
>
> > > >You don't "give" me anything. My service is not up for your
> > approval.
> > > >
> > > Nor mine. I went where I was assigned. Anyone can see where
> at:
> > >
> > Both of your service's ARE "up for approval"...You put it
> there.
> > >
> > You certainly take liberties with "approving" mine.
>
> Nope. You've continually denigrated my service and Len's with REMF
and
> box-kicker descriptions.

I never "denigrated" your service, Brain.

I said your Amateur Radio activities from Somalia were not legal.

I've also told you to not lump me into the same pile with you and Lennie. I give you more credit for having been in a forward area, and have acknowledged that.

Your mentor's only exposure to any "incomming fire" was required rifle range time. last I checked RF-burns and paper cuts don't count for much.

Steve, K4YZ

1406. Hans On A LennieRant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 06:52:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116917550.899915.161740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> > Hopefully Robeson doesn't pop in here and tell us they
> > were selling "something."
>
> The correct terminology according to K4YZ is "Musta beem selling
SOMEthing!". I
> don't know how you "beem" sell SOMEthing, but I'm sure "Steve" (alias
for K4YZ)
> will provide a weasel-worded explanation.

What "weasel worded" explanation, Hans?

You STILL have yet to explain this alleged insult adequately to
anyone.

I said that pretty faces in comely attire sell things. That's
nothing that any first year advertising student doesn't already know.

So...Just running off at the mouth on yet another self-righteous
rant, hans?

Seems like it too me.

Steve, K4YZ

1407. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 07:30:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116919853.392476.149920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108640

>Stebie said
>You're not writing for a Pulitzer.

you don't have to write a Pulitzer to have a pen name

>Anonymous speech is cowardly speech.

Really?? go tell that to founding fathers of this country, they wrote
the Federalist papers under Anonymous names.

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.

1408. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 12:09:29 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1116936569.488836.23090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

bb wrote:
> John S. wrote:
> > If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
> trip
> > to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment
for
> > sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
> > hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio
electronic
> > gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
> > vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.
>
> ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
JS> Very YL as in pre-teens?

>
> > In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
> > because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
> > itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.
>
> Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...

JS> I suspect that hams are already well represented in the AARP.

1409. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 12:12:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <42931A31.F5F7E0E3@milestones.com>
Source record: 108642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John S." wrote:

> bb wrote:
> > John S. wrote:
> > > If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
> > trip
> > > to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment
> for
> > > sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
> > > hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio
> electronic
> > > gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
> > > vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.
> >
> > ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
> JS> Very YL as in pre-teens?
>
> >
> > > In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
> > > because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
> > > itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.
> >
> > Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...
>
> JS> I suspect that hams are already well represented in the AARP.

One only needs to be 50 to join AARP. Don't need no kids, lids or space cadets.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1410. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 13:08:23 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <XoWdnafgp4352Q7fRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108646

N9OGL wrote:
> if you did the general theory it would say general..

You are a moron toddie boy, to get the tech one has to take the general theory test, the differnce was that code.

1411. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 13:09:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <XoWdnabgp40h2Q7fRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108647

N9OGL wrote:
> no, but your a tech, according to the FCC website, meaning you haven't
> even did your 5 WPM....go learn code little boy
>
> TODD N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00
>

You mean 'you haven't *done* your 5 WPM". Go learn how to use English
little boy.

1412. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 13:14:05 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <1196a50pvi90abe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 108643

Which is ... ????

Jim

> if they want to get young Hams in to the hobby they need to wake up and
> show them what Ham Radio has to offer that they CAN'T get using the
> Internet and a cell phone.

1413. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 13:27:40 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <XvadnRnz8YD01A7fRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108651

Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>> ... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have
>>> been as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test
>>> taking" could study for a day and pass the most challenging
>>
>>
>>
>> I think you need to go back and look at the early exams. There was a
>> time when an applicant was required to actually draw a schematic of
>> various circuits and explaine how they worked.
>
>
> Is that supposed to be hard?

Can you draw the schematic for a push-pull RF amplifier using link coupling and explain how it works? Can you draw an AM transmitter using Heising modulation and explain how it works?

1414. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 13:37:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <4IWdnfSYptpM1g7fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108652

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> I believe what the gentleman was trying to convey is that the present day
> tests are a total farce. The answer is readly available and it is just a
> "formality" to even pass it.

Absolutely, otherwise there are a lot of hams that wouldn't be hams.
People like toddieboy, wogie and lot of others who would not be hams if
they really had to have technical knowledge to pass a test instead of
just memorizing answers.

1415. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 13:39:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <4IWdnfeYptqI0Q7fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

John Smith wrote:
> Dan:
>
> You will never find me using cw...

Well, you don't need it on CB.

1416. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 13:43:56 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <PMGdnele5vbf0A7fRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Stagger Lee wrote:

>
> To reiterate, those who run around claiming that "amateur radio is
> dying" can't seem to either articulate what "dying" means or to prove
> their case.
>
> And as the old Shania Twain song says, "That don't impress me much."

Those who are too dumb or lazy to get a license like to parrot the old
standard, 'ham radio is dying". They can't make the grade so they like
to think ham radio will someday go away then they won't feel so inferior.

1417. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 14:10:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116943808.299440.102500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108644

N9OGL wrote:
> >Stebie said
> >You're not writing for a Pulitzer.
>
> you don't have to write a Pulitzer to have a pen name

Nope. But that doesn't change the fact that you are far-and-away
from being a "writer".

> >Anonymous speech is cowardly speech.
>
> Really?? go tell that to founding fathers of this country, they wrote
> the Federalist papers under Anonymous names.

They're all dead, and they all signed their REAL names to the
Declaration of Independence and the Constitution.

> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

Who's "O'Dochartaigh"...?!?!

> THE N9OGL SHOW

Broadcasting a show, eh?

Steve, K4YZ

1418. today live on echolink/irlp ISS qso with School in California 17:21utc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 14:47:53 UTC
From: g4nji@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <42943e6e.10815000@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 108645

Detail for ISS contact with Coronado School in California on 5/24/05
at 17:21UTC

Coordinator: Dieter Schliemann,KX4Y Event Type: School Contact
Start Date (mm/dd/yy): 05/24/05 End Date (mm/dd/yy): 05/24/05
Open to the Public: Yes Special Event Callsign: NA1SS

City: Coronado State/Province: CA
Country: US

Additional Comments:

We hope to see you join us in listening to this contact between the
ISS and the Coronado School in California on 24 May 2005 on the
EchoLink AMSAT and EDU_NET servers. The event will start at 17:21UTC.
Please give the EDU_NET server your preference over the AMSAT server
for your connection. This will keep the load light on the AMSAT
server, assuring us of better audio quality all around.

If using IRLP is more convenient for you than using EchoLink, please
connect to the IRLP reflector 9010. The ARISS IRLP Team is feeding
the audio into that reflector. No prior approval is needed to connect
to the IRLP reflector 9010.

Thanks for your interest and 73, de Dieter kx4y

Yahoo! Groups Links

<*> To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/echoirlpsupport/

<*> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
echoirlpsupport-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

<*> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Albert Corker
G4NJI---Rotherham,S/york's
g4nji@blueyonder.co.uk
http://www.g4nji.pwp.blueyonder.co.uk

1419. Toads Wal-Mart job

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 15:17:01 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <BaWdnd2Cbcfu2A7fRVn-sg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108649

On Wed, 29 Sep 2004 15:53:47 -0700, "Todd Daugherty"
<toddaug[USENETBOT-PROTECTED]consolidated.net> wrote:

>I am a formal employee of Wal-Mart Stores Inc. I was fired about a
month ago
>by the store manger who at the very beginning did not like me. I
called the
>District Manger who informed me that I wasn't fired and had a long
talk with
>the store manger about him firing me. Upon returning to work that day.
The
>store manger informed me that all the stockman were going to clean up the
>fixture room and I was going to be first one. When I asked the other
>stockman if they were told about cleaning the fixture room they said no.
>Since I was hired back I was the only one cleaning out the fixture room.
>While I was cleaning the fixture room up the store manger was writing
me up
>for not doing my other duties. The store manger along with the assistant
>manger would nit-pick at everything I do. All of this happen after
that one
>event. As of last Sunday the store manger got his way and fired me
again. I
>am sick of him harassing me.

Read about it here
http://tinyurl.com/cftn9

1420. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 15:17:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AAHke.5996$Lc1.4972@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108648

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote

> if you did the general theory it would say general

You're mistaken.

The original Technician written examination, up until 1981 if memory serves, was the exact same test as the General written examination. If you passed the written and 13WPM you became a General --- if you passed 5WPM you became a Technician. If you failed the code test you came back in 30 days and took the whole thing over again, with no credit for having passed the written.

Life's a bitch and then you die and they give all your toys away.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

1421. Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 15:25:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116948334.911866.280290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108650

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Mon,May 23 2005 4:39 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: "bb" on Sun,May 22 2005 8:29 am
> >>
> >> > >The Reluctant Marine! Figures. Even reluctant people serve.
So
> how
> >> > >did Jim serve in other way?
> >> >
> >> > Tsk. Stebie was GROUND crew.
> >>
> >> Steve was an Avionics Technician. MOS's 6612, 6616 and 6323.
> (And
> >> B-Billet 8981...)
> >>
> >> Care to figure out from what MOS's (among others...) the USMC
> >> get's it's Enlisted Aircrews, Lennie?
> >
> >Are those "box-kicking" MOS's?
>
> Sevie be trying to kick box in here. Keeps hurting his
> foot, falling over.
>
> He doesn't understand that this newsgroup is about RADIO,
> not his USMC career or helicopters. Heck, even on helos
> he gets his nomenclature wrong. Tsk.

No, Lennie...this newsgroup is about AMATEUR radio...

And I did not get anything wrong on "nomenclature" of military
aircraft...rotary wing or otherwise.

> >> > >You don't "give" me anything. My service is not up for your
> approval.
> >> >
> >> > Nor mine. I went where I was assigned. Anyone can see where
> at:
>
> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equpment
>
> [Sevie thinks that omitting a website will make it go away]

I guess it's silly to point out that YOU got the URL wrong,
Lennie.

> >> Both of your service's ARE "up for approval"...You put it
> there.
> >>
> >> You certainly take liberties with "approving" mine.
> >
> >Nope. You've continually denigrated my service and Len's with REMF
> and
> >box-kicker descriptions.
>
> Sevie never worked any REAL communications in the military
> is why. He can't even describe the MARS station on Okinawa,
> has to have OTHERS "find out" independently.

So who asked to 'describe' the MARS station, Lennie...?!?!

> Anyone
> so proud of working a real MARS station ought to remember it
> forever, reel off types and names from memory, etc. Sevie
> can't seem to mumble out any radio box types in his "helo
> maintenance" experience. Tsk.

But LENNIE! You're the one who, on the one hand, says that this
forum is NOT about USMC service...

But I guess it >>IS<< about "Army" service, eh...?!?!

> >> > >> Some of my colleagues weren't so lucky. That
> >> > >> bloodmobile donation you just made fun of was their ticket
home
> alive
> >> > >> instead of in a bag.
> >> >
> >> > "Colleagues?!?" GROUND crew wre exposed to "hostile fire?"
> >>
> >> If you think that my "maintenance" MOS meant I never left the
> >> ground, you've once again demonstrated your complete and utter
lack
> of
> >> knowledge and experience on yet another topic, Lennie.
> >
> >Test flight mike?
>
> Tsk. Sevie was ostensibly stationed (somewhat) near China Lake,
> which is also not too far from Edwards AFB. Too bad the self-
> made "pilot in command" on CAP never got into such real testing.
> Oddly enough, for all Sevie's bragging about "being pilot in
> command," he never got right-seat in helos...never even left-
> seat. [helos reverse the Command and Second seats traditionally
> left and right, respectively, in fixed-wing craft]

Actually I DID get some front seat time, Lennie...As many Enlisted
Aircrewmen do in the USMC...'authorized' or not.

> There's still a chance for Sevie to get "test flight mike" time
> since China Lake is going to be doubled in total personnel in
> the new DoD base planning. Edwards isn't closing, neither is
> the AF Plant at Palmdale. Kern County Airport #7 was closed to
> USMC ops decades ago, is now private, and Scaled Composites
> flies real spacecraft out of there [see Burt Rutan & Co.].

Since you seem to be on yet another rant about what the newsgroup
is and isn't, Lennie, I am wondering how you manage to connect staffing
levels at China Lake with is...

And by the way...Betya I have more take-off's and landings logged
at Mojave than you do...

> Too bad Sevie will NEVER get to work in Building 82 at BUR.

Give up your janatorial duties, did you, Lennie...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1422. Re: Old farts like Robeson, Len and bb have ruined this group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 17:05:03 UTC
From: 813tube <haveacowonjune4@fumplefish.net>
Message-ID: <778c4$42935eb9$d1cc5908$3727@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 108655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
news:cc8e5b47f296535f00f1cf62db46e1d6@mixmaster.it...
> Nobody gives a shit about your MARS activities and we're fucking
> fed up with reading about it. I personally believe that Robeson,
> "bb," and Lennie are the biggest fools on rram and rrap, but I'm
> stuck over here in Kuwait reading things through Google and can't
> filter out their dreck.

This should keep all these hams busy!

THE VIRTUAL STRIPPER !!! (REALLY, I'M NOT MAKIN THIS UP)

HERE: http://www.rock1053.com/virtualstripper/index384.html

1423. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 17:10:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116954634.336279.19960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108656

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> > John Smith wrote:

> >> ... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always
have
> >> been as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test
> >> taking" could study for a day and pass the most challenging

> > I think you need to go back and look at the early exams. There was
a
> > time when an applicant was required to actually draw a schematic of

> > various circuits and explaine how they worked.
>
> Is that supposed to be hard?

Depends on the person. For someone who knows a little radio theory and
the regulations of the amateur radio service, none of the tests were
very hard.

Heck, I passed the old General and Advanced class tests in 1968 - at
the age of 14. That was the summer between 8th and 9th grade for me. No
big deal, there were younger hams than me with Extras back then.

The difference between then and now is the test *method* more than the
content.
>
> > And even after the exams
> > became multiple choice type,

(about 1960 for the General)

> > one had to know the material to get the
> > correct answer as the answers to the acutal questions were not
> > available.
>
> Yeah. You'll find that question pool bugaboo in a lot of fields
these
> days, including fields where if a person makes a mistake because of
not
> knowing the material, lives may be lost.

Good or bad, I don't think FCC will go back to the old way.

> > There were study guides with sample questions, but no
> > questions pools with the exact answer available for memorization.

>
> Now if you want *really* hard, make it no study guide, no question
> pool, and the applicant has to do all the learning research with NO
idea
> of what is on the test! 8^)

The old study guides were essay-type Q&A that outlined the general area
of
knowledge. One question could cover a *lot* of ground. The old Extra
study
guide was as much as 279 questions at one point.

> > If you
> > did not know the theory, then you probably weren't going to pass.
> > Again john smith knows not of what he speaks.
>
> I took the tests from the question pools. For me, they were all
pretty
> easy. They were not easy because of the question pools. They were
easy
> because they were fairly basic material.

But you had seen the exact Q&A before, right?
>
> What I have seen of the earlier test is that they too were pretty
> basic. Any difference is not so great that those who came before need

> not feel any superiority.

Not a question of superiority, but of test validity.

The difference between then and now is the test *method* more than the
content.

> I aced the Technician test with the only study being the safety
questions.
>
> I did study a bit for the General.
>
> For the Extra, I spent a week taking the on-line tests. Questions
that
> I knew the answer to, I got right of course.
>
> Those that I got wrong earned me a trip to the books or online to
find
> out why I got it wrong. By the time I was finished, I aced the test
just
> about every time on line, and then in the actual test.
>
> And I knew the material.
>
> Elapsed time, one week.

For you.

But I bet you had more than a little electrical/radio knowledge before
you ever looked at a ham radio study guide.

> Now the Morse code was another thing entirely. That was hard.

> But then I'm just a dum nickel extra! ;^)
>
I bet it says the same thing on your license as it does on mine. With
no mention of dumb or nickles, Mike.

Each of us met the requirements in force at the time of being licensed.
That the
requirements changed over time isn't usually due to the people taking
the new tests.

Looking down on somebody today because they didn't take the same tests
you took years ago is kind of like getting mad at someone who paid less
for a VCR last week than you paid 20 years ago....

73 de Jim, N2EY

1424. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 17:26:31 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d6vo47rgo1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 108658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Mon, 23 May 2005 19:01:36 -0700, bb wrote:
>
>
>
>>ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
>
>
> I saw that and I don't know what the point was they were trying to make.

Didn't see that. But as a Japanese owned company, they have a different culture, and some of the things they do might seem a little different to us.

Yup... that seems different!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1425. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 17:28:22 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d6vo7mrgo2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 108657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
>
>>Hopefully Robeson doesn't pop in here and tell us they
>>were selling "something."
>
>
> The correct terminology according to K4YZ is "Musta beem selling SOMEthing!". I
> don't know how you "beem" sell SOMEthing, but I'm sure "Steve" (alias for K4YZ)
> will provide a weasel-worded explanation.
>
> dit dit
> (Note Farnsworth spacing)
>

HAH! Two digs with one post, Good one Hans. Just goes to show that no
subject ever dies on rrap, they just keep getting recycled.... ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1426. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 17:48:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9OJke.20155$y43.15813767@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Don't need it anywhere... well, the amateur exam... then one can move on...

Warmest regards,
John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:4IWdnfeYptqI0Q7fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dan:
>>
>> You will never find me using cw...
>
> Well, you don't need it on CB.

1427. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 17:51:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pQJke.20192$DC3.15877235@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

By the ARRL own statistics, ham radio is dying--don't you realize the
population is growing in leaps and bounds... and still hams dwindle (and
inactive numbers are LARGE)--get some geriatric medication for that
alzheimers man!

Warmest regards,
John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:PMGdnele5vbf0A7fRVn-iQ@gbronline.com...
> Stagger Lee wrote:
>
>>
>> To reiterate, those who run around claiming that "amateur radio is
>> dying" can't seem to either articulate what "dying" means or to prove
>> their case.
>>
>> And as the old Shania Twain song says, "That don't impress me much."
>
> Those who are too dumb or lazy to get a license like to parrot the old
> standard, 'ham radio is dying". They can't make the grade so they like to
> think ham radio will someday go away then they won't feel so inferior.

1428. TWO DAYS TO GO (to teh most important day in Ham radio History)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 19:05:04 UTC
From: G1LVN <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1116961504.581733.211120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108661
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

....to deregulation of Amateur Radio in the UK that is!

*** From the country that brought you HF with no morse test -->
Complete deregulation --> Wireless Telegraphy Act excempt,
un-regulated, un-policed, un-enforced, free-for-all SHF/UHF/VHF/HF
bands within a 18,000 mile radius of London, England

*** Have YOUR SAY !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
----->>> JUST DO IT ----->>> (read on, click on the reply link below)

http://www.ofcom.org.uk/static/subscribe/radiospectrum.htm

On 26th of May "OfCom" the UK's Radio Authority will issue a
consulation document on the future of Amateur Radio Licensing in the
UK. The consulation is open to all and will ask a number of questions
on options such as licence for life, de-regulation and:

"..options to make the (UK) amateur radio service WT Act
licence-exempt" [#1] - That's all amateur radio exempt from licensing
under the UK Wireless Telegraphy Act, it'll be a nightmare on the
bands, HF included.

Just so you do not miss this important event in world amateur radio,
add yourself to the mailing list here:

http://www.ofcom.org.uk/static/subscribe/radiospectrum.htm

....and HAVE YOUR SAY !!! You will be emailed on May 26th, immediately
the consulation is published. HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE
YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!!

--73deG1LVN
in the meantime:
http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM

1429. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 19:10:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116961834.600152.245060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108662

From: "K4YZ" on Mon,May 23 2005 11:38 pm

> Lying coward who tries to embellish his own "record" on the
>sacrifices of men he never knew and never served with.
>
> You'e a disgrace to all Veterans of all branches, dead or alive.

OK, enough of this beating around the bush, Chesty II, let your hair down and tell us how you REALLY feel...!

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

1430. Re: TWO DAYS TO GO (to teh most important day in Ham radio History)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 19:26:50 UTC
From: gareth <cronjob@btconnect.com>
Message-ID: <d6vv5piku1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 108663
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

rubbish, you still have to pay for a CB licence in the UK !!

1431. Re: TWO DAYS TO GO (to teh most important day in Ham radio History)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 20:22:01 UTC
From: gareth <cronjob@btconnect.com>
Message-ID: <d702d8$s7g$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 108664
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

far too dry for our American cousins I suggest

--
--73deG1LVN
http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM
bring a bottle!

1432. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 21:46:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1116971218.372335.268250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108665

Here's how it went when I got into it...back in 1991-1992

No-Code : Written Exam (No code was introducted in 1991)

Novice : written exam and a 5 wpm

Technician : written exam

General : written exam, 13WPM

Advance Class: written exam

Extra Class: written exam, 20WPM

Recently they changed that and is followed

No code: No change

General: 5WPM and written exam (if you have taken your 5WPM all you had to do is take the written exam for the general and your grandfather in)

If KC8GXW was a general (Ie had taken his written exam for his general) then the FCC database would say Technician it would say general. The fact is the FCC database says he is a old technician,

Todd N9OGL

1433. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 22:13:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116972832.411760.264860@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108666

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Mon,May 23 2005 11:38 pm
>
> > Lying coward who tries to embellish his own "record" on the
> >sacrifices of men he never knew and never served with.
> >
> > You'e a disgrace to all Veterans of all branches, dead or alive.
>
> OK, enough of this beating around the bush, Chesty II, let your
> hair down and tell us how you REALLY feel...!

I think that pretty well summed it up.

Snip to...

> Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

You should know...You've been living that despair out in this
forum.

Seek help. You don't have too many opportunities left.

Steve, K4YZ

1434. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 22:16:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116972966.102935.123460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108667

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon,May 23 2005 3:57 am
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult
> > thing in order to "win an argument." "
>
> Oh, oh! Reverend Jim issued a Sermon On The Antenna Mount! :-)

No, Len, I just quoted your own words back to you. They fit your
actions best.
>
> Not only that, the poor guy is still furious over NOT "winning"
> a newsgroup argument THREE YEARS AGO! :-)

You're the one shouting and carrying on at great length, Len. Not me.
>
> Not only that, the "argument" wasn't even about RADIO!

So what? Most of your posts here aren't really about "RADIO", either.
>
> Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
> and international socio-political politics are another group.

Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to be afraid
to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.
>
> "RADIO" includes all of the HF portion of the EM spectrum. That's
> where the major international communications networks WERE in
> the 1950s. I was part of that - for three years - as a
> volunteer in the United States Army...operating HF transmitters
> for an Army station.

You and about 700 other military personnel....

> A "small" station, only the third largest
> in the worldwide Army net at the time, just 36 transmitters
> ranging from 1 to 15 KW in 1953 (43, up to 40 KW in 1956) and
> none of them using OOK CW modes.

And all of them paid for by US taxpayers - most of whom were civilians.
At least one transmitter was built by a vacuum-cleaner company. OBTW -
most of that equipment was designed and built by civilians...

> That station was located in
> Japan, specifically a few miles outside of Tokyo. 24/7 ops

> attached directly to the Far East Command Headquarters, then
> in Tokyo...continuous links to Seoul, Pusan, Okinawa, Manila,
> Saigon, Anchorage, Seattle, Hawaii, San Francisco plus local
> HF nets all throughout the Kanto Plain of Honshu Island.

That's nice, Len. You've told that story here many, many, many, many
times. I've looked at your faded photos on that website.

What in the world does it have to do with amateur radio policy today?

> In early 1953 there was still a state of War between the
> United Nations and North Korea although a truce was
> imminent (that would settle into a "permanent" Truce in
> July, 1953). I had voluntarily enlisted in the Army on
> 13 March 1952...when there was still active warfare in
> Korea. My assignment to the Far East was ordered by the
> Army and not subject to my approval or disapproval...one goes
> where assigned or one goes where the penitentiary is (after a
> court martial).

So?

> Army duty required a continuous practice on soldiering skills
> regardless of one's military occupation specialty. In addition
> to that, there were continuing updates on emergency and
> contingency plans plus operational tests of same. Note the
> location of Japan to the easternmost parts of the USSR, then
> an adversary in the "Cold War." The USSR then possessed the
> atomic bomb.

I know. What's your point?
>
> Since I was in the Signal Corps and working on/with radio
> communications, my unit was NOT briefed on the overall
> military capabilities of the Soviet Union to the detail
> outlined in the Janes books. We had one simple directive
> in the case of military conflict: "Close with, and destroy
> the enemy." We were all reminded of that in the regular
> training sessions of Provisional Infantry Platoon ("PIP")
> practice, along with "you are soldiers FIRST and signalmen
> second." We were NOT given any of the movie-style
> practice on identifying Soviet aircraft from little models
> or silouettes...nor were we instructed in operation of
> anti-aircraft defense (there were other units for that
> purpose).

Did you *ever* see any Soviet aircraft when you were in Japan, Len?

> While all that was going on, station ADA kept on 24/7
> operations at a rate of nearly a quarter million messages
> a month through its facilities...thousands of miles from
> the United States of America.

700+ personnel, too.

> Was FEC Hq "in danger" from
> its "rear-area location?" Depends on what you "4F" types
> want to call "danger" from your safe locations in the states.

I was never classified "4F", Len. I still have my draft card, btw.

> Now, did I make a "mistake" on calling out Soviet "Bear"
> bomber types in 1953? Yes.

At last! Len actually admits a mistake!

> In the 49 years from 1953 to
> 2002 (date of your target "example" message) I've seen a
> LOT of statistics and information on Soviet military power.
> If I needed to be SPECIFIC, then I would go look up the
> EXACT thing.

But you didn't. In fact it was you who brought up the Bear bombers in the first place - back in 2002 and again a day or two ago. Not me.

What you did was to understate the distance from where you were to the Soviet Union, and you overstated the threat by describing it as being about an hour's flight by a bomber that wasn't even in production while you were there.

If you want to play that game, Len, how about this:

You grew up at a time when the enemies of the USA were thousands of miles away, and could not directly attack CONUS. Even in WW2, the direct threat to the USA was some sabotage, U-boats sinking ships off the east coast, and some Japanese submarine gunfire and incendiary balloons off the west coast.

I grew up at a time when the enemies of the USA could directly attack CONUS with nuclear missiles (both landbased and submarine launched) in a matter of minutes. There was no defense against such missiles - in fact, they could be here before an effective alarm could be sounded.

I remember the Cuban Missile Crisis even though I was a child at the time. I knew what "the bomb" was and what it could do - and how quick it could get to Philadelphia from Havana.

Yes, we've all lived under that threat for many decades now. But you didn't grow up with it - I did.

> But...Soviet aircraft was NOT in my "line of
> work" in 1953 to 1956.

You still messed up on the distances and the threat.

> Radio was. HF radio...and VHF,
> UHF, and microwave radio. RADIO, Jimmie. RADIOS that must
> operate 24/7, "getting the message through." I made NO
> "mistakes" there.

Did you design or build those radios, Len? Did you pay for them?

Or were you part of a large, well-equipped, well-trained team
specifically set up to do the job, and provided with everything
you needed to do it right?

I think the latter.
>
> You want to sit safe at your computer and scoff and scoff
> and show how "expert" you are in military and political
> matters...

BULLSHIT, Len. That's pure and adulterated BULLSHIT.

There's just no other word for it.

You made several mistakes about Soviet aircraft and distances from
the USSR to Japan. I pointed out those mistakes. You have a hissyfit
whenever I point out a mistake of yours, which is quite often.

I don't claim to be an expert at anything. You got your facts wrong and
I pointed out the error. You are not some sort of sacred elder whom
everyone must never contradict.

> and NEVER having served in any government post
> or military in REAL SERVICE for your country.

What constitutes "REAL SERVICE", Len? Is it only uniformed military
service? Or can someone serve in other ways?

How about law enforcement? Firefighters? Emergency medical personnel?

Or don't they count?

Besides, I've seen how you address those who *do* have military and
government service, but who dare to disagree with you. Their service
means nothing to you - in fact, you make fun of it, question their
veracity, insult them in every way you can imagine. For example,
there's your classic "sphincter post" and the unforgettable "feldwebel
post".

So it's logical to conclude that no matter what military or
government service I had, you'd still behave towards me the way
you do, if I dared to disagree with you.

It's what you *do*, Len. We've all seen it, many times.

> You want to
> "tell me how it is" in the military without wearing a
> uniform, taking any induction oath, or being shipped out
> of the country to some foreign base.

No, I don't. I simply point out some of your mistakes.

You, OTOH, want to tell everyone how things you have no experience
with should be. Like the age limit for an amateur radio license, even

though you've never been a parent.

> Jimmie boy,
> there's NO indication that you EVER worked IN
> radio...or in aerospace...

So what? No matter what experience I had, it's logical to conclude that you'd behave the same way towards me.

> and you want to be an "expert
> guru type" on that.

I don't claim to be an expert on anything, Len.

> You've not worked AT the FCC, you've
> not worked AT the ARRL, you are NOT in the judiciary,

Neither have you!

> yet
> you try to speak as if you were all of that.

Not me, Len. That's your game.

> All you seem
> to have is long "tenure" in amateur radio NEWSGROUP MESSAGING.
> Hours and hours and hours of that. More than I have.

Wrong again, Len. You were on rrap before me. Your postings exceed mine in number and volume. I make one little post and you go off like a fire hydrant of words.

> On Memorial Day you WILL be remembered. We veterans all
> remember the anti-military civilians who like to spit on
> military people one way or another, SAFE in their un-
> touched communities expressing contempt for anyone who
> has served via the Internet.

You served via the internet? ;-)

Here's a fact, Len: I'm not one of those you describe, no matter how you try to spin it. Not in any way.

Here's another fact, Len: Your military service does not mean your comments here or anywhere else are somehow sacred from challenge or correction of factual and/or logical mistakes.

You make a lot of talk about freedom of speech but when someone like me actually exercises that freedom, you tell them to shut up.

Perhaps I should repost your classic "feldwebel post". Here's what you told K8MN (who has both military *and* government service, in *radio*):

Quoting Len Anderson:

"shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel"

(end quote)
>
> Amateur radio is a hobby activity carried on for the purpose
> of personal enjoyment.

That's part of it. But not all of it. There's also the public
service aspect. Why don't you mention that aspect?

> It is, in part, a technological
> endeavor involving the laws of physics, regulated by the
> government because ALL radio works by those same physical
> laws.

Gee whiz, Len, tell us something we *don't* know....

> Amateur radio is NOT a fifth branch of the armed
> forces.

Nobody claimed it was.

> Ham operators are NOT "serving their country"
> any more than stamp collectors or bird watchers or other
> hobbyists.

That's simply not true, Len. You keep ignoring the public service
performed by radio amateurs.

What constitutes "REAL SERVICE", Len? Is it only uniformed military
service? Or can someone serve in other ways?

How about law enforcement? Firefighters? Emergency medical personnel?

Or don't they count?

> Try to keep from translating your amateur
> radio license form into a DD-214.

Len, "you do not now have, nor did you ever, nor will you ever
have the authority, expertise, training, experience or
qualifications to give me an order or to imply you are
giving me an order."

Those are your words, Len, but they fit perfectly in this situation!

> Here's my "salute" to you, Jimmie Noserve, on this upcoming
> Memorial Day: <middle finger upraised>

Gee, Len, that's really impressive. Do you think that sort of
behavior somehow makes you "right" and me "wrong"? It sure
seems you think that way.

1435. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 22:19:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <4MNke.7131$M36.4662@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:OEuke.1964$8g.1541@news01.roc.ny...
> Dan,
>
> I must ask ... did you rotate the whole county to turn the beam? :)
>
>
> 73,
> Jim AA2QA
>
I don't remember.......

Dan/W4NTI

1436. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 22:20:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <0NNke.7134$M36.689@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:gnvke.5058$X92.5027@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Our club group built a 3 element 160 meter yagi out of steel beer cans
>> for a Field Day one year.
>>
>
> Hey Dan,
>
> Do you know how to tell the difference between a fairy-tale and a
> sea-story?
>
> Scroll down
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> A fairy-tale starts out --- "Once upon a time....."
>
> A sea-story starts out --- "Now this is no shit....."
>
>
> dit dit
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

Wow Hans, thats the same way in the Army and Air Force. The Marines
believe anything.....just kidding.

Dan/W4NTI

1437. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 22:27:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vdednaHlQ57yNw7fRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 108670

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:AAHke.5996$Lc1.4972@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote
>
>> if you did the general theory it would say general
>
> You're mistaken.
>
> The original Technician written examination, up until 1981 if memory
> serves, was the exact same test as the General written examination.

Technician licenses granted before March 21, 1987.

> If you passed the written and 13WPM you became a General --- if you
> passed 5WPM you became a Technician. If you failed the code test you came
> back in 30 days and took the whole thing over again, with no credit for
> having passed the written.
>
> Life's a bitch and then you die and they give all your toys away.
>
> dit dit
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>

Dee D. Flint, 1987

1438. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 22:30:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2cOdnTxD7e-eNg7fRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 108671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pQJke.20192$DC3.15877235@news.sisna.com...
> By the ARRL own statistics, ham radio is dying--don't you realize the
> population is growing in leaps and bounds... and still hams dwindle (and
> inactive numbers are LARGE)--get some geriatric medication for that
> alzheimers man!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>

The general population is NOT growing by leaps and bounds.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1439. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 22:33:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <zYNke.9930$w21.5795@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Then explain to me what it is you just did?

Dan/W4NTI
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:8Wuke.5334$1p2.6682766@news.sisna.com...
> Dan:
>
> You will never find me using cw... you will never finding me talking to
> anyone of your ilk... fear not...
>
> John
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Chtke.6562$M36.1056@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>I have a "use for CW". I use it to communicate with others using the same
>>mode. I use it to participate in Amateur Radio.
>>
>> If you or your ilk don't care to do so. Stay the hell off my frequency.
>>
>> Have a good day idiot.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:da9ke.4897$nh.2864287@news.sisna.com...
>>> You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio
>>> dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time
>>> when dropping code would have saved ham radio--I fear that time has come
>>> and gone... cw has no real use to anyone, anymore... it is like having
>>> to learn to type to use the internet... no such requirement... however,
>>> because typing DOES have a use--you will find many learning to type
>>> after they realize the need...
>>>
>>> ... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have
>>> been as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test
>>> taking" could study for a day and pass the most challenging... they have
>>> been made even simplier still... yet amateur radio declined and
>>> continues to do so--
>>>
>>> ... now "critical mass" has been reached, so many other forms of
>>> communication exist that amateur radio is on its' death march... radios
>>> are so far and few between... and so little demand... they are too
>>> expensive with most to bother with... you could give ham licences away

>>> in the streets and not improve that...
>>>
>>> ... no, the OMs' alzheimers and lack of ability to see the future has
>>> killed ham radio--you'd think the old guys must have hated it--to have
>>> stood by and watched it die--if what you say is correct--and they DID
>>> have all their mental facilities about them and still allowed it...
>>>
>>> Warmest regards,
>>> John
>>>
>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> news:eC8ke.1846$8g.450@news01.roc.ny...
>>>> Nice try, John
>>>>
>>>> In the original post I stated "just for grins".
>>>>
>>>> As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the
>>>> kid
>>>> punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day
>>>> when
>>>> the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure
>>>> out
>>>> what my change was. She had to call a manager!
>>>>
>>>> I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am
>>>> active
>>>> in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service
>>>> for a
>>>> week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use
>>>> my
>>>> Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without
>>>> mains.
>>>> Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There
>>>> are
>>>> two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!
>>>>
>>>> I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power
>>>> stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no
>>>> sanity
>>>> test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to
>>>> high
>>>> power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.
>>>>
>>>> What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a
>>>> knot
>>>> over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.
>>>>
>>>> As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I
>>>> suspect
>>>> that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test

>>>> ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's
>>>> license as
>>>> well ....
>>>>
>>>> Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but
>>>> the
>>>> underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for
>>>> *everyone*.
>>>> This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a
>>>> repeater
>>>> which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television,
>>>> aircraft
>>>> guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more.
>>>> Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles
>>>> came
>>>> about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic
>>>> lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think
>>>> there
>>>> might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio.
>>>> Originally,
>>>> there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the
>>>> world,
>>>> total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology
>>>> progressed,
>>>> there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean
>>>> everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to
>>>> 300
>>>> gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed
>>>> many
>>>> times since.
>>>>
>>>> At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I
>>>> have
>>>> another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.
>>>>
>>>> Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down
>>>> on
>>>> the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't
>>>> like
>>>> my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet.
>>>> It
>>>> is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box
>>>> turned
>>>> up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning.
>>>> Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share
>>>> should
>>>> this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the
>>>> mp3
>>>> cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack
>>>> on

>>>> the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv.
>>>> I
>>>> hope they enjoy my tunes:
>>>>
>>>> 1) Washington Post March
>>>> 2) Anchors aweigh
>>>> 3) The Thunderer
>>>> 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>>>>
>>>> I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a
>>>> feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden
>>>> :))
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>>>> Jim AA2QA
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:h8Sje.20099$Bg3.13159443@news.sisna.com...
>>>>> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom...
>>>>> just so
>>>>> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe
>>>>> to
>>>>> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are
>>>>> gone
>>>>> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to
>>>>> return...
>>>>> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> John
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>>>> > Hi gang!
>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Just for some grins, check this out:
>>>>> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>>>>> >
>>>>> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
>>>>> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
>>>>> > It
>>>>> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
>>>>> > listened
>>>>> > to about 37 years ago ....
>>>>> >
>>>>> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.

>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>>>>> > Jim AA2QA
>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

1440. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 22:38:10 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JtednWHs1YpDMQ7fRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 108673

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:vdednaHlQ57yNw7fRVn-qg@comcast.com...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:AAHke.5996$Lc1.4972@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote
>>
>>> if you did the general theory it would say general
>>
>> You're mistaken.
>>
>> The original Technician written examination, up until 1981 if memory
>> serves, was the exact same test as the General written examination.
>
> Technician licenses granted before March 21, 1987.
>
>> If you passed the written and 13WPM you became a General --- if you
>> passed 5WPM you became a Technician. If you failed the code test you
>> came back in 30 days and took the whole thing over again, with no credit
>> for having passed the written.
>>
>> Life's a bitch and then you die and they give all your toys away.
>>
>> dit dit
>>
>> de Hans, K0HB
>>
> Dee D. Flint, 1987

Had 1987 on the brain.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1441. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 22:40:58 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <_3Oke.9957$w21.2215@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108674

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:4IWdnfSYptpM1g7fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>> I believe what the gentleman was trying to convey is that the present day
>> tests are a total farce. The answer is readly available and it is just a
>> "formality" to even pass it.
>
> Absolutely, otherwise there are a lot of hams that wouldn't be hams.
> People like toddieboy, wogie and lot of others who would not be hams if
> they really had to have technical knowledge to pass a test instead of just
> memorizing answers.

Exactly, and it is quite tough to draw out a schematic of a AM transmitter using Heizing modulation. I remember having to keep straight a bridge, half wave and a full wave. I don't remember having to draw out a schematic, but I certainly remember having a print on the exam that I had to describe what was going on with it. Not quite as tough, but basically the same idea.

Dan/W4NTI

1442. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 22:47:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116974862.284862.80360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108675

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
> > and international socio-political politics are another group.
>
> Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to be afraid
> to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.

In order to have a "civil discussion" on Amateur Radio policy,
Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make
informed opinions or suggestions from.

No one doubts his "inside the black box" knowledge, however he
knows almost "diddly squat" about Amatuer Radio practice or policy.
His rants on "Morse Code TESTING" are emotionally based and bear no
relevence to current Amateur employment of the mode.

In other words, "He Just Doesn't Get It".

73

Steve, K4YZ

1443. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 23:02:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%nOke.24895$GA3.18133098@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Just the increase in illegal aliens qualifies for "leaps and bounds"
status...

Warmest regards,
John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:2cOdnTxD7e-eNg7fRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pQJke.20192$DC3.15877235@news.sisna.com...
>> By the ARRL own statistics, ham radio is dying--don't you realize the
>> population is growing in leaps and bounds... and still hams dwindle (and
>> inactive numbers are LARGE)--get some geriatric medication for that
>> alzheimers man!
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>
> The general population is NOT growing by leaps and bounds.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1444. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 23:25:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Dan:
> >
> > You will never find me using cw...
>
> Well, you don't need it on CB.
>
It's been done, JJ.

1445. Re: TWO DAYS TO GO (to teh most important day in Ham radio History)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 23:26:45 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <4293b837$0$2594$da0feed9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 108677
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Barry OGrady" <atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:iec7915cc38os6omgqrpgt1pkm7uq3bbhv@4ax.com...
> On 24 May 2005 12:05:04 -0700, "G1LVN" <gareth.paley@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >....to deregulation of Amateur Radio in the UK that is!
> >
> >*** From the country that brought you HF with no morse test -->
> >Complete deregulation --> Wireless Telegraphy Act excempt,
> >un-regulated, un-policed, un-enforced, free-for-all SHF/UHF/VHF/HF
> >bands within a 18,000 mile radius of London, England
> >
> >*** Have YOUR SAY !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > ----->>> JUST DO IT ----->>> (read on, click on the reply link below)
> >
> >http://www.ofcom.org.uk/static/subscribe/radiospectrum.htm
> >
> >
> >On 26th of May "OfCom" the UK's Radio Authority will issue a
> >consulation document on the future of Amateur Radio Licensing in the
> >UK. The consulation is open to all and will ask a number of questions
> >on options such as licence for life, de-regulation and:
> >
> >"..options to make the (UK) amateur radio service WT Act
> >licence-exempt" [#1] - That's all amateur radio exempt from licensing
> >under the UK Wireless Telegraphy Act, it'll be a nightmare on the
> >bands, HF included.
> >
> >Just so you do not miss this important event in world amateur radio,
> >add yourself to the mailing list here:
> >
> >http://www.ofcom.org.uk/static/subscribe/radiospectrum.htm
>
> Page not found
>
> As a result of user feedback, we have made some major changes to the structure
of the Ofcom website.
> We hope that this will make it easier for everyone to find what they are looking
for, but it may
> mean that some old links may no longer work. We have also added a series of
different search options
> which we also hope will assist users in finding the information they seek.
>

> >....and HAVE YOUR SAY !!! You will be emailed on May 26th, immediately
> >the consulation is published. HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE
> >YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!!
> >
> >--73deG1LVN
> >in the meantime:
> >http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

Now I found this RATHER Interesting Link

Go ON HAVE A DIG ROUND

It makes interesting reading

http://www.ofcom.org.uk/radiocomms/ifi/licensing/classes/amateur/?a=87101

I tried to get into the internet section and noted that at the moment its pass word protected

Boooooooooty Sleeeeeeeeep calls

regards to All

(Well Almost)

DieSea

1446. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 23:27:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TLOke.25296$Gq4.18392158@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hmmm, I could record a long QSO on cw and play it for the truckers... give 'em something to appreciate... <grin>

So the hams didn't feel left, could record the truckers and play that for them... <evil'er grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com...
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > Dan:
>> >
>> > You will never find me using cw...
>>
>> Well, you don't need it on CB.
>>
> It's been done, JJ.

1447. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 23:40:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524194035.301$Ud@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:gnvke.5058$X92.5027@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> Our club group built a 3 element 160 meter yagi out of steel beer cans
> >> for a Field Day one year.
> >>
> >
> > Hey Dan,
> >
> > Do you know how to tell the difference between a fairy-tale and a
> > sea-story?
> >
> > Scroll down
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > A fairy-tale starts out --- "Once upon a time....."
> >
> > A sea-story starts out --- "Now this is no shit....."
> >
> >
> > dit dit
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Wow Hans, thats the same way in the Army and Air Force. The Marines
> believe anything.....just kidding.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
This looks like submarine duty down here.

1448. Re: TWO DAYS TO GO (to teh most important day in Ham radio History)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 23:46:43 UTC
From: David Norris <david_norris@fastmail.fm>
Message-ID: <D1Pke.97$i4.69@newsfe2-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 108679
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If only it were April fools day...

G1LVN wrote:

> ....to deregulation of Amateur Radio in the UK that is!
>
> *** From the country that brought you HF with no morse test -->
> Complete deregulation --> Wireless Telegraphy Act excempt,
> un-regulated, un-policed, un-enforced, free-for-all SHF/UHF/VHF/HF
> bands within a 18,000 mile radius of London, England
>
> *** Have YOUR SAY !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> ----->>> JUST DO IT ----->>> (read on, click on the reply link below)
>
> http://www.ofcom.org.uk/staticï¿½/subscribe/radiospectrum.htm
>
>
> On 26th of May "OfCom" the UK's Radio Authority will issue a
> consulation document on the future of Amateur Radio Licensing in the
> UK. The consulation is open to all and will ask a number of questions
> on options such as licence for life, de-regulation and:
>
> "..options to make the (UK) amateur radio service WT Act
> licence-exempt" [#1] - That's all amateur radio exempt from licensing
> under the UK Wireless Telegraphy Act, it'll be a nightmare on the
> bands, HF included.
>
> Just so you do not miss this important event in world amateur radio,
> add yourself to the mailing list here:
>
> http://www.ofcom.org.uk/staticï¿½/subscribe/radiospectrum.htm
>
>
> ....and HAVE YOUR SAY !!! You will be emailed on May 26th, immediately
> the consulation is published. HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE
> YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!!
>
> --73deG1LVN
> in the meantime:
> http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM

1449. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-24 23:54:26 UTC
From: bb <billybleepeer@jumbo>
Message-ID: <d70er1esc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1116900096.072591.322740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

John S. wrote:
> If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
trip
> to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment for
> sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
> hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio electronic
> gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
> vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.

ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.

> In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
> because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
> itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.

Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...

...or had Rely dispensors in the bathrooms....

1450. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 00:02:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KgPke.25845$iN4.18576452@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

How about offering free eye exams and bottles of laxitives?

Warmest regards,
John

"bb" <billybleepeer@jumbo> wrote in message
news:d70er1esc0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1116900096.072591.322740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> John S. wrote:
>> If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
> trip
>> to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment for
>> sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
>> hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio electronic
>> gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
>> vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.
>
> ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
>
>> In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
>> because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
>> itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.
>
> Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...
>
> ...or had Rely dispensors in the bathrooms....
>
>

1451. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 00:12:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524201258.135$Fv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> Hmmm, I could record a long QSO on cw and play it for the truckers...
> give 'em something to appreciate... <grin>
>
> So the hams didn't feel left, could record the truckers and play that for
> them... <evil'er grin>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com...
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
> >> John Smith wrote:
> >> > Dan:
> >> >
> >> > You will never find me using cw...
> >>
> >> Well, you don't need it on CB.
> >>
> > It's been done, JJ.
>
>
>
>
>
>
You can eat shit and die too for all I care, you top posting freak.
```

1452. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 00:22:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <rJOdnRWWGrKnWA7fRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108685

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>
>
>>>>... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always
>>>> have
>>>>been as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test
>>>>taking" could study for a day and pass the most challenging
>
>
>>>I think you need to go back and look at the early exams. There was
>>> a time when an applicant was required to actually draw a schematic of
>>>various circuits and explaine how they worked.
>>
>> Is that supposed to be hard?
>
>
> Depends on the person. For someone who knows a little radio theory and
> the regulations of the amateur radio service, none of the tests were
> very hard.
>
> Heck, I passed the old General and Advanced class tests in 1968 - at
> the age of 14. That was the summer between 8th and 9th grade for me. No
> big deal, there were younger hams than me with Extras back then.
>
> The difference between then and now is the test *method* more than the
> content.
>
>>>And even after the exams
>>>became multiple choice type,
>
>
> (about 1960 for the General)
>
>
>>>one had to know the material to get the
>>>correct answer as the answers to the acutal questions were not
>>>available.
>>

>> Yeah. You'll find that question pool bugaboo in a lot of fields
>>thesedays, including fields where if a person makes a mistake because of
>> not knowing the material, lives may be lost.
>
>
> Good or bad, I don't think FCC will go back to the old way.

Nope.

>
>>>There were study guides with sample questions, but no
>>>questions pools with the exact answer available for memorization.
>>
>> Now if you want *really* hard, make it no study guide, no question
>>pool, and the applicant has to do all the learning research with NO
>> idea of what is on the test! 8^)
>
>
> The old study guides were essay-type Q&A that outlined the general area
> of knowledge. One question could cover a *lot* of ground. The old Extra
> study guide was as much as 279 questions at one point.
>
>
>>>If you
>>>did not know the theory, then you probably weren't going to pass.
>>>Again john smith knows not of what he speaks.
>>
>> I took the tests from the question pools. For me, they were all
>> pretty easy. They were not easy because of the question pools. They were
>> easy because they were fairly basic material.
>
>
> But you had seen the exact Q&A before, right?

Weell, the key word is "exact". I noticed that when I took my Extra
exam, many of the answers appeared in a different order than they were
in the question pool. I came away convinced that the person who
memorized the question pool was actually doing things the hard way.
>>
>> For the Extra, I spent a week taking the on-line tests. Questions
>> that I knew the answer to, I got right of course.
>>
>> Those that I got wrong earned me a trip to the books or online to
>> find out why I got it wrong. By the time I was finished, I aced the test
>>just about every time on line, and then in the actual test.
>>
>> And I knew the material.
>>
>> Elapsed time, one week.
>
>

> For you.

Absolutely.

> But I bet you had more than a little electrical/radio knowledge before
> you ever looked at a ham radio study guide.

Yup. I think that my level of expertise was just a little skewed. I got sidelined onto computers fairly early in the 1970's. Then I worked mostly in digital, then changed careers, going into photography, videography, and 3-d animation (waaayy too many hats to wear, but whatever) But I did have a good bit of electrical experience

>
>> Now the Morse code was another thing entirely. That was hard.
>
>
>> But then I'm just a dum nickel extra! ;^)
>>
>
> I bet it says the same thing on your license as it does on mine. With
> no mention of dumb or nickles, Mike.
>
> Each of us met the requirements in force at the time of being licensed.
> That the requirements changed over time isn't usually due to the people taking
> the new tests.

Yup. My comment was mostly sarcasm. The only way that anyone knows my "vintage" is by my callsign

> Looking down on somebody today because they didn't take the same tests
> you took years ago is kind of like getting mad at someone who paid less
> for a VCR last week than you paid 20 years ago....

HAR!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1453. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 00:22:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <jzPke.26155$n05.18636911@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo:

You name is almost as cute as a girls, you sound gay... there is nothing
wrong with gay people yanno, but better if you stay with your own kind...
<grin>

Top posters bother gays yanno...

Warmest regards,
John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050524201258.135$Fv@newsreader.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> Hmmm, I could record a long QSO on cw and play it for the truckers...
>> give 'em something to appreciate... <grin>
>>
>> So the hams didn't feel left, could record the truckers and play that for
>> them... <evil'er grin>
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com...
>> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
>> >> John Smith wrote:
>> >> > Dan:
>> >> >
>> >> > You will never find me using cw...
>> >>
>> >> Well, you don't need it on CB.
>> >>
>> > It's been done, JJ.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
> You can eat shit and die too for all I care, you top posting freak.

1454. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 00:29:24 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <OuWdnadnNsl0Ww7fRVn-rw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108686

N9OGL wrote:

> Here's how it went when I got into it...back in 1991-1992
>
> No-Code : Written Exam (No code was introducted in 1991)
>
> Novice : written exam and a 5 wpm
>
> Technician : written exam
>
> General : written exam, 13WPM
>
> Advance Class: written exam
>
> Extra Class: written exam, 20WPM
>
>
> Recently they changed that and is followed
>
> No code: No change
>
> General: 5WPM and written exam (if you have taken your 5WPM all you had
> to do is take the written exam for the general and your grandfather in)
>
> If KC8GXW was a general (Ie had taken his written exam for his general)
> then the FCC database would say Technician it would say general. The
> fact is the FCC database says he is a old technician,
>
> Todd N9OGL

I didn't say I was a general, that's why I told you to read real slow. I
said that I had to take the General theory in the early 80's but I
didn't do 13 wpm code so I only got Tech. So you are wrong about the
know code.
So Toad, can you say you were wrong? We aren't talking about 1991.
Besides, what's an ex stock boy from Wally world know anyway!

1455. Re: Toads Wal-Mart job

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 00:33:38 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <MeadnWKTI_F7Wg7fRVn-rw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108687

Toad, if they fired you because you are gay, you might have a case!

But I still wonder what wally world pays stock boys? You can buy your
own airplane, travel the world, own lots of megawatt transmitters, How
do I put my application in on line?

KC8GXW wrote:

>
>
> On Wed, 29 Sep 2004 15:53:47 -0700, "Todd Daugherty"
> <toddaug[USENETBOT-PROTECTED]consolidated.net> wrote:
>
> >I am a formal employee of Wal-Mart Stores Inc. I was fired about a
> month ago
> >by the store manger who at the very beginning did not like me. I
> called the
> >District Manger who informed me that I wasn't fired and had a long
> talk with
> >the store manger about him firing me. Upon returning to work that day.
> The
> >store manger informed me that all the stockman were going to clean up the
> >fixture room and I was going to be first one. When I asked the other
> >stockman if they were told about cleaning the fixture room they said no.
> >Since I was hired back I was the only one cleaning out the fixture room.
> >While I was cleaning the fixture room up the store manger was writing
> me up
> >for not doing my other duties. The store manger along with the assistant
> >manger would nit-pick at everything I do. All of this happen after
> that one
> >event. As of last Sunday the store manger got his way and fired me
> again. I
> >am sick of him harassing me.
>
> Read about it here
> http://tinyurl.com/cftn9
>

1456. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 01:13:42 UTC
From: RJ <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ajQke.247674$cg1.76951@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 108688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Plus $8.00 for the busing for a total of $33.00.

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050523134547.444$U9@newsreader.com...
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 23 May 2005 15:22:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
>> >> Forget it folks..
>> >> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
>> >> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
>> >> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
>> >> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
>> >> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
>> >> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
>> >>
>> >I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention
>> >at the outside vendors booths.
>>
>> Was the entrance fee really $40.00?
>>
> We paid $25.

1457. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 01:26:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524212604.323$kp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"RJ" <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> Plus $8.00 for the busing for a total of $33.00.
>
>
Why did you pay $8 more than me, did you buy nerd protection..er parking? :)
>
>

> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050523134547.444$U9@newsreader.com...
> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 23 May 2005 15:22:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
> >> >> Forget it folks..
> >> >> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> >> >> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> >> >> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> >> >> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> >> >> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> >> >> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
> >> >>
> >> >I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a
> >> >hamvention at the outside vendors booths.
> >>
> >> Was the entrance fee really $40.00?
> >>
> > We paid $25.

1458. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 01:44:21 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <JoydnU_WeetaZQ7fRVn-ug@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108697

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>> Can you draw the schematic for a push-pull RF amplifier using link
>> coupling and explain how it works? Can you draw an AM transmitter
>> using Heising modulation and explain how it works?
>
>
> Given a little bit of studying, yes.

Ah, there is the key, "studying", not just memorizing. Once you study it
and know it, it isn't hard.

1459. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 02:10:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524221014.665$Ph@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> Steveo:
>
> You name is almost as cute as a girls, you sound gay... there is nothing
> wrong with gay people yanno, but better if you stay with your own kind...
> <grin>
>
> Top posters bother gays yanno...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050524201258.135$Fv@newsreader.com...
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> Hmmm, I could record a long QSO on cw and play it for the truckers...
> >> give 'em something to appreciate... <grin>
> >>
> >> So the hams didn't feel left, could record the truckers and play that
> >> for them... <evil'er grin>
> >>
> >> Warmest regards,
> >> John
> >>
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com...
> >> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
> >> >> John Smith wrote:
> >> >> > Dan:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You will never find me using cw...
> >> >>
> >> >> Well, you don't need it on CB.
> >> >>
> >> > It's been done, JJ.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > You can eat shit and die too for all I care, you top posting freak.
>
```

-top post corrected-
>
> You name is almost as cute as a girls, you sound gay... there is nothing
> wrong with gay people yanno, but better if you stay with your own kind...
> <grin>
>
> Top posters bother gays yanno...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
Oh calling CBer's gay is a new approach, fuckstick. I'm done reading
yore tripe. -buh bye-

1460. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 02:16:35 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7eRke.7710$uR4.964@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108692

>
> I didn't say I was a general, that's why I told you to read real slow. I
> said that I had to take the General theory in the early 80's but I
> didn't do 13 wpm code so I only got Tech.

All such a very old Tech licensee would have to do to
upgrade to "general" was to show a VE his old license.
It wasn't automatic, as the FCC didn't keep records
that far back before 1987 (when they stopped having
the general written test for techs). Later the FCC
dropped the code for techs.

I was such a very old tech, and I decided to lock in my
new privs by doing the upgrade. While I was at it
I took and passed 4A and 4B (this was a few months
before the restructuring rules were to kick in but
after they announced it), held onto the CSCEs and
cashed them in at a special VE session held early
morning Restructuring day. I was in the FCC data
base the next week as a newly minted extra. Oddly
enough, in those stats someone posts here from time to time,
I'd be included as an old extra as I was in the database
before his cutoff date of May 2000. (Oh, if you look
up my call at the FCC web site, you'll find a Dec 2000
date, because I moved QTHs then). Kept my old "novice"
style callsign (2x3).

1461. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 02:21:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ViRke.27731$ZH7.19652778@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hey, it is ok to be gay, you can't help it (true you did come into the world defecating a size one, and now a size eleven--EWWWWWWWWW!)... don't go away mad, just go away... <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050524221014.665$Ph@newsreader.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> Steveo:
>>
>> You name is almost as cute as a girls, you sound gay... there is nothing
>> wrong with gay people yanno, but better if you stay with your own kind...
>> <grin>
>>
>> Top posters bother gays yanno...
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050524201258.135$Fv@newsreader.com...
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> Hmmm, I could record a long QSO on cw and play it for the truckers...
>> >> give 'em something to appreciate... <grin>
>> >>
>> >> So the hams didn't feel left, could record the truckers and play that
>> >> for them... <evil'er grin>
>> >>
>> >> Warmest regards,
>> >> John
>> >>
>> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com...
>> >> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
>> >> >> John Smith wrote:
>> >> >> > Dan:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > You will never find me using cw...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Well, you don't need it on CB.
>> >> >>
>> >> > It's been done, JJ.

>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> > You can eat shit and die too for all I care, you top posting freak.
>>
> -top post corrected-
>>
>> You name is almost as cute as a girls, you sound gay... there is nothing
>> wrong with gay people yanno, but better if you stay with your own kind...
>> <grin>
>>
>> Top posters bother gays yanno...
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
> Oh calling CBer's gay is a new approach, fuckstick. I'm done reading
> yore tripe. -buh bye-

1462. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 02:31:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <GMednVYFEfkAfg7fRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108694

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> Can you draw the schematic for a push-pull RF amplifier using link
> coupling and explain how it works? Can you draw an AM transmitter using
> Heising modulation and explain how it works?

Given a little bit of studying, yes. Do you want B or AB for the pp amp?

Heising is a plate modulation method for a type A amp.

Buzz, it's just different, not harder.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1463. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 02:35:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <GMednVEFEfnEeQ7fRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108695

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>
>> I believe what the gentleman was trying to convey is that the present
>> day tests are a total farce. The answer is readly available and it is
>> just a "formality" to even pass it.
>
>
> Absolutely, otherwise there are a lot of hams that wouldn't be hams.
> People like toddieboy, wogie and lot of others who would not be hams if
> they really had to have technical knowledge to pass a test instead of
> just memorizing answers.

Look Buzz, I'll readily admit that you are 100's of times a better ham
than I can ever hope to be, all because of the harder test you had to
take. Please put up with me! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1464. BroadcastDB - Live Radios and TV database: Just added:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 02:56:11 UTC
From: admin@broadcastdb.com
Message-ID: <1116989771.415615.202540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

BroadcastDB - Live radios and TV database: Just added:

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

Listen - Live radios:

Active 103.9 FM (64K) - CHR - Ystad / Sweden
City 105.9 FM (56K) - CHR / Södra Dalarna - Sweden
City 107.3 FM (56K) - CHR - Göteborg / Sweden
Studio 107.5 - CHR - Göteborg / Sweden
Mix megapol - Music, news, top 40 - Göteborg / Sweden
The voice - Talk radio - Göteborg / Sweden
Vinyl 107 - Talk radio - Göteborg / Sweden
Cross Net Rock Christian Radio, Gospel - Stockholm / Sweden
Decibel 107.4 FM - CHR, pop - Sundsvall / Sweden
Falkoping 90.8 FM (100K) - Oldies - Falkoping / Sweden
Gold 104.9 FM (40k) - Adult Contemporary - Södermland / Sweden
Goteborgs 103.1 FM (56K) - College - Goteborg / Sweden
Guld 106.6 FM - Classics Hits - Sundsvall / Sweden
Hit FM 105.8 - CHR, Top 40 - Kalmar / Sweden
Kramfors 90.5 FM (56K) - Kramfors / Sweden
Lugna Favoriter 104.7 FM - Adult Contemporary - Stockholm / Sweden
Match 105.5 FM - CHR, Rock - Boras / Sweden
Metro 101.9 FM - Pop - Stockholm / Sweden
Mix Megapol (56K) - CHR - Stockholm / Sweden
Nord 98.3 FM (56K) - CHR - Stockholm / Sweden
NRJ Sverige 105.1 FM (64K) - CHR, Top 40 - Stockholm / Sweden
RIX FM - CHR, Top 40 - Stockholm / Sweden
Lugna Favoriter - CHR, Top 40 - Stockholm / Sweden
Svenska Favoriter - CHR, Top 40 - Stockholm / Sweden
Bandit Rock 106-3 - CHR, Top 40 - Stockholm / Sweden
Power Hit 106.3 FM (100K) - Dance, Top 40, CHR - Stockholm / Sweden
Pite 92.8 FM (200K) - Pop, CHR - Pitea / Sweden
Radio AF 99.1 FM - Adult Contemporay - Lunds / Sweden
Right on Radio 89.2 FM - Rock, Classic Rock - Gotland / Sweden
Rocket 95.3 FM (100K) - Hard Rock - Stockholm / Sweden
Rock Klassiker 106.7 FM (56K) - Classic Rock - Stockholm / Sweden
Sotenas 95.3 FM - CHR - Kungshamn / Sweden
Radio Stella 106.0 FM - Top 40, Rock, News, Full Service - Helsingborg
/ Sweden
Studentradio 95.3 FM - Classical, Regional Music - Stockholm / Sweden
Stockholm City Radio 88.0 FM - News, Culture - Stockholm / Sweden
Sverige P1 - 92.4 FM (64K) - World music, News, talk - Stockholm /
Sweden

Sverige P2 Musik FM (64K) - Classical - Stockholm / Sweden
Sverige P3 - 99.3 FM - Pop, Rock, CHR - Stockholm / Sweden
Sverige P4 - 93.8 FM (100K) - News, Talk - Stockholm / Sweden
Sverige P5 - 103.3 FM (100K) - Adult contemporary - Stockholm /
Sweden
Sverige Sisuradio - News, Talk - Stockholm / Sweden
Sverige SR X (100K) - Rock - Stockholm / Sweden
Sverige Klassiskt (100K) - Classical, Opera - Stockholm / Sweden
The Voice 105.9 FM - CHR, Pop - Stockholm / Sweden
Viking 101.4 FM (64K) - Oldies, Variety - Ekerö / Sweden
Vinyl 107.3 FM (56K) - Oldies, Classics - Stockholm / Sweden
WebRadio Nightfly (128K) - Trance, Dance, techno - Stockholm / Sweden
WebRadio Soundic Radio (128K) - Electronica - Stockholm / Sweden
3 Fach 97.7 FM (56K) - Dance, CHR - Lucerne / Switzerland
Aktuell 99.2 FM - St. Gallen / Switzerland
Argovia 90.3 FM (64K) - Adult Contemporary - Brugge / Switzerland
Basel-1 101.7 FM - Top 40, CHR - Basel / Switzerland
Basilisk 94.6 FM (128K) - Mix - Basel / Switzerland
BE 1 FM 101.7 (128K) - Top 40, Dance, CHR - Berne / Switzerland
Beo Berner Oberland 96.8 FM - Adult Contemporary, Oldies - Interlaken /
Switzerland
Central 95.2 FM - Oldies, News, Sports - Brunnen / Switzerland
Couleur-3 100.1 FM (64K) - Alternative - Lausanne / Switzerland
DRS 1 FM (40K) - News, Talk / Switzerland
DRS 2 FM (40K) - News, Classical / Switzerland
DRS 3 FM (40K) - News, Classical / Switzerland
DRS MW 531 AM (40K) - Folk Music - Zurich / Switzerland
DRS Virus (40K) - Pop Rock Music - Zurich / Switzerland
Emme 106.0 FM - College, News, talk - Langnau / Switzerland
Energy Radio Z 100.9 FM - Adult Contemporary - Zurich / Switzerland
Espace 2 94.2 FM - Classical, Opera - Geneva / Switzerland
Radio Eviva 95.2 FM (40K) - Folk Music - Zurich / Switzerland
Extra 97.7 FM - Classic Rock, CHR, Sports - Berna / Switzerland
Fiume Ticino 90.6 FM (64K) - Webcam, Italian Hits, Top 40 - Pianezzo /
Switzerland
Framboise 101.9 FM - CHR, dance - Plateau / Switzerland
Frequence Banane 92.4 FM (64K) -College - University - Lausanne /
Switzerland
Fribourg 89.4 FM -CHR, Dance - Freiburg/ Switzerland
Global FM (64K) -DAB, CHR, Adult Contemporary, Variety / Switzerland
Grischa 107 FM -Dance - Chur / Switzerland
Iischers Vibration 99.7 FM (128K) - Oldies, Dance, Hot Adult
Contemporary - Sierre / Switzerland
Jazz International - Jazz - Crissier / Switzerland
Kanal K 107.9 FM - Latin Music, Ambient, techno, Hip Hop - Aarau/
Switzerland
Lac 91.8 FM - Pop, Rock, Fench Music - Geneva/ Switzerland
La Premiere 94.8 FM - Pop, Rock - Zurich / Switzerland
Lausanne 103.3 FM (128K) - Dance, Adult Contemporary - Lausanne /
Switzerland

Lora 97.5 FM - Zurich / Switzerland
Lounge-Radio.com (64K) - Brazil electro, ambient, tempo -Zurich / Switzerland
Lune 91.2 FM (96K) - Cable Radio, Pop Rock - Neuchatel / Switzerland
M24 94.6 FM (96K) - Mix, Dance, Rap, Hip-hop - Geneva / Switzerland
MFM Meyrin 99.0 FM (128K) - Adult Contemporary - Meyrin / Switzerland
One FM 107 (128K) - CHR - Geneva / Switzerland
Option Musique 90.8 FM - French Music - Zurich / Switzerland
Power Radio 95.6 FM - Rock Station - Mellingen / Switzerland
Radio Pilatus 104.9 FM (56K) - Alternative - Lucerne / Switzerland
R3iii 106.5 FM - CHR - Lugano/ Switzerland
Rabe 95.6 FM - Brazilian music, latin, MPB, World Music - Berna / Switzerland
Radio-24 102.8 FM -Top 40, CHR - Zurich / Switzerland
Radio 32 (64K) - Oldies - Solothurn / Switzerland
Radio 105 FM (40K) - Mix, Dance, CHR, Classics, Rock - Basle/ Switzerland
Radio X 94.5 Mhz FM (64K) - Radio X 94.5 Mhz FM (64K) / Switzerland
Rhône 104.3 FM - Adult Contemporary - Valais / Switzerland
Rottu Oberwallis 102.2 FM (56K) - Webcam, Classic, Oldies, Sports - Visp / Switzerland
RTN 95.8 FM (128K) - CHR, Adult Contemporary - La Neuveville / Switzerland
Ruckus Radio - Rock/Punk/Post Hardcore FFAF, TBS, Underoath, Dandy warhols, oasis - Ontario / Canada
Cross Rhythms - Christian, Rap, Also available on digital satellite in Europe (Sky channel 876) and via the Internet - United Kingdom

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

- Search our database of live radios and TV's
- Save a list of your favorite stations
- Rate the stations - let other people know who has the best music
- Add stations, help us build the biggest stream database on the web

Listen live stations by format:

Talk, News, Sports, Variety, Religious, Classical, Jazz, Latin, Brasilian music, Easy listening, Ambient, Lounge, Alternative, College, CHR, Top 40,
Dance, Urban, Rock, classic rock, Adult contemporary, Classics, Oldies, Country, Techno.

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

---

http://www.broadcastdb.com
Radios, TV, live streams on the web!
A database of all Live broadcast stations on the Internet

1465. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 05:08:07 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <XKTke.1337$rY6.977@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 108698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:f2Ake.1983$8g.1939@news01.roc.ny...

> I
>> > hope they enjoy my tunes:
>>
>> LOL!!!, the 60's 70 are over big guy ;)
>>
>> >
>> > 1) Washington Post March
>> > 2) Anchors aweigh
>> > 3) The Thunderer
>> > 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>> >
>> > I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a
>> > feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden
>> > :))
>> >
>> >
>> > With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>>
>> Oh Yeah.............. Go to hear from You Jim.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>
> Hello, Sharkie
>
> My bad. I meant 700 watt system - not something from the 70s LOL. All
> Bose
> speakers. A couple hundred watts on the bass alone (and it does shake,
> although I keep the volume down).
>
> Good to hear from you too. I'm not really mad, just disappointed that
> folks
> don't understand the need for regulation. I have a dog and she stays in
> the
> house for the most part. When I have her out, if she barks more than a
> few
> times, I bring her in. The neighbors don't need to hear a dog barking for
> 20 minutes or more.

I know the feeling. I also know, neighbors should work things out rather
than call the police over such trivial things.

> Same thing with the stereo system.
>
> Heck, I've made a mistake in the past. Had a party with the Hammond
> turned
> up pretty well (as well as the Leslies) and the cops showed up. I
> apologized and shut it off. Never done that since (and that was 25 years
> ago). No biggie; anyone can make a mistake.

Know the feeling :) I had my cousins out last year, Thursday night and was
out in my backyard 2 minutes past 10, started heading into the house and
they came a running out and said the music was keeping their kid awake. Went
over the next morning, Six pack & bottle of wine in hand as an apology, the
wife says "oh you were keeping me awake". I mean, it's not like I do this
but once every 3 years, show a little patience., I do when they start firing
off fireworks into the air, midweek after
10pm.

>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>

Landshark

--
Kindness is the language which the deaf
can hear and the blind can see.

1466. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 05:19:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <PVTke.28145$P93.21341325@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hmmm, construct a HERF... that'll keep the neighbors busy...

Warmest regards,
John

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:XKTke.1337$rY6.977@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:f2Ake.1983$8g.1939@news01.roc.ny...
>
>> I
>>> > hope they enjoy my tunes:
>>>
>>> LOL!!!, the 60's 70 are over big guy ;)
>>>
>>> >
>>> > 1) Washington Post March
>>> > 2) Anchors aweigh
>>> > 3) The Thunderer
>>> > 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>>> >
>>> > I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have
>>> > a
>>> > feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden
>>> > :))
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>>> > Jim AA2QA
>>>
>>> Oh Yeah..... Go to hear from You Jim.
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>>
>> Hello, Sharkie
>>
>> My bad. I meant 700 watt system - not something from the 70s LOL. All
>> Bose
>> speakers. A couple hundred watts on the bass alone (and it does shake,
>> although I keep the volume down).
>>
>> Good to hear from you too. I'm not really mad, just disappointed that

>> folks
>> don't understand the need for regulation. I have a dog and she stays in
>> the
>> house for the most part. When I have her out, if she barks more than a
>> few
>> times, I bring her in. The neighbors don't need to hear a dog barking
>> for
>> 20 minutes or more.
>
> I know the feeling. I also know, neighbors should work things out rather
> than call the police over such trivial things.
>
>> Same thing with the stereo system.
>>
>> Heck, I've made a mistake in the past. Had a party with the Hammond
>> turned
>> up pretty well (as well as the Leslies) and the cops showed up. I
>> apologized and shut it off. Never done that since (and that was 25 years
>> ago). No biggie; anyone can make a mistake.
>
> Know the feeling :) I had my cousins out last year, Thursday night and was
> out in my backyard 2 minutes past 10, started heading into the house and
> they came a running out and said the music was keeping their kid awake.
> Went over the next morning, Six pack & bottle of wine in hand as an
> apology, the wife says "oh you were keeping me awake". I mean, it's not
> like I do this but once every 3 years, show a little patience., I do when
> they start firing off fireworks into the air, midweek after
> 10pm.
>
>>
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
>>
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> Kindness is the language which the deaf
> can hear and the blind can see.
>

1467. Re: Toads Wal-Mart job

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 09:49:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117014546.327736.187570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108750

KC8GXW wrote:
> > On Wed, 29 Sep 2004 15:53:47 -0700, "Todd Daugherty"
> > <toddaug[USENETBOT-PROTECTED]consolidated.net> wrote:
> >
> > >I am a formal employee of Wal-Mart Stores Inc. I was fired about a
> > month ago
> > >by the store manger who at the very beginning did not like me. I
> > called the
> > >District Manger who informed me that I wasn't fired and had a long
> > talk with
> > >the store manger about him firing me. Upon returning to work that day.
> > The
> > >store manger informed me that all the stockman were going to clean up the
> > >fixture room and I was going to be first one. When I asked the other
> > >stockman if they were told about cleaning the fixture room they said no.
> > >Since I was hired back I was the only one cleaning out the fixture room.
> > >While I was cleaning the fixture room up the store manger was writing
> > me up
> > >for not doing my other duties. The store manger along with the assistant
> > >manger would nit-pick at everything I do. All of this happen after
> > that one
> > >event. As of last Sunday the store manger got his way and fired me
> > again. I
> > >am sick of him harassing me.
> >
> > Read about it here
> > http://tinyurl.com/cftn9
> Toad, if they fired you because you are gay, you might have a case!
>
> But I still wonder what wally world pays stock boys? You can buy your
> own airplane, travel the world, own lots of megawatt transmitters, How
> do I put my application in on line?

It's not about what Wal-mart paid him, Jim...It's about what he
can sponge off of mommy and daddy.

Let's see...he's allegedly 36...Just giving M&D the benefit of the
doubt of having been 21 at the time the Toad was hatched, that would
make them 57...

Almost time to retire, but still breast feeding Junior. Mom's got
to have some callouses by now!

Disgusting...You'd think M&D would have cut that cord decades ago.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1468. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 11:19:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117019960.563519.190810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

John Smith wrote:
> By the ARRL own statistics, ham radio is dying

Well, shrinking, anyway. The total number of US hams is down slightly from the peak of a few years ago, while the total US population continues to grow.

But I would note that the shrinkage occurred *after* the April 2000 reductions in both Morse Code and written testing for all available license classes. IOW, making the licenses easier to get in 2000 did not result in sustained growth.

Looking further back, examine the growth from 1990 or 1991 to 2000. (1990 is when medical waivers made it possible to get any amateur license with a 5 wpm test, and 1991 is when the Technician lost its code test. Then compare the growth in that 9 year period to the growth in an equal period of time before 1990 or 1991. You'll find that the overall increase in the '80s was *greater* than in the '90s.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1469. Re: TWO DAYS TO GO (to teh most important day in Ham radio History)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 12:44:25 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy.cowley@uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <IH1q21.D70@bath.ac.uk>
Source record: 108752
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

G1LVN maundered:
> ....to deregulation of Amateur Radio in the UK that is!
>
> *** From the country that brought you HF with no morse test -->
> Complete deregulation --> Wireless Telegraphy Act excempt,
> un-regulated, un-policed, un-enforced, free-for-all SHF/UHF/VHF/HF
> bands within a 18,000 mile radius of London, England
>
<PLONK!>
G1LVN are you awake? Can you read? More to the point are you
getting Hamiltons from the RSCB for writing this drivel?

Try this quote from the OFCOM page :-

"For the avoidance of doubt, whilst the formal public consultation
will put forward a number of other options for the future, those
options are explicitly not recommended by Ofcom. Specifically,
Ofcom will not recommend any options to make the amateur radio
service WT Act licence-exempt; however, for transparency and
completeness, this will be put forward as an option in the
public consultation in order to gather the community's views"

This is the real link to the OFCOM page on the consultation:-

http://www.ofcom.org.uk/radiocomms/ifi/licensing/classes/amateur/forthcoming?a=87101

http://tinyurl.com/dnall

Just try to read and understand it instead of spreading FUD
because you cannot comprehend simple and straightforward English.
OFCOM is not going to deregulate Amateur Radio in the U.K. They
are going to deprive the RSGB of an expected income stream, and
the beneficiaries of all the free jollies are throwing their
toys out of the pram.

No rational amateur can object to what OFCOM is really proposing,
unless they have an ulterior motive as the RSGB hierarchy do.

Andy, M1EBV

1470. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 13:52:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Sq%ke.32$s64.9@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> But I would note that the shrinkage occurred *after* the
> April 2000 reductions in both Morse Code and written
> testing for all available license classes. IOW, making
> the licenses easier to get in 2000 did not result in
> sustained growth.
>

Two questions:

1) Is this shrinkage due to...
a. Less new applicants
b. Increased attrition

2) Are easier tests the cause of the shrinkage...
a. Yes
b. No

dit dit
(Note Farnsworth spacing)

de Hans, K0HB

1471. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 14:06:01 UTC
From: BJ <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dD%ke.249529$cg1.160317@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 108754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yaesu gave away caps that are worth a lot more than a little blue sticker
from Icom. I'm sure the caps cost a few thousand dollars and everyone could
have one.
Bob, AA8X

X
"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote in message
news:1cd26$4291f0f0$d1cc59c0$3860@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:GvGdnVkZy6GpiwzfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
> > When I turned in my ticket at the Hamvention I
> > normally check out what the prizes are. This year
> > I couldn't see even one item from Yaesu. Kenwood
> > and Icom etc. had items on display, but not Yaesu.
> > I wonder if the Hamvention did something to tick
> > them off.
>
> If they exhibited their typical condescending
> mentality or mannerisms like they do to the paying
> dealers/vendors in the outside flea market, this would
> not suprise me at all. I'd not even donate a cheap prize
> pair of dollar store headphones. BTW we really liked the
> condition of the dealer's parking lot this year!
> The blacktop of the Hara Arena reminded me of a
> cross between the Lunar landscape and the San Andreas
> Fault in some places. As someone on the live chat
> commented quite accurately: '..the Hara should rent out
> their parking lot to Range Rover for Customer Test Drives
> during the weekdays'.
>
> > Also I would say that both the flea market and the
> > inside vendor numbers were down by at least 20%.
> > There were MANY empty spaces in the flea market
> > area when in past years it was mostly full.
>
> Year after year less and less sellers. I attribute this to
> e-Bay, the cost of selling at the Hamvention and the
> ever diminishing returns. 9:00 AM on Sunday was
> positively dismal. I would say that fully 40% of the
> outside Vendors packed up and left on Saturday night.
>
> This year was our last, Dayton is just not worth it anymore.

> Better to sell it online and have the UPS Truck
> come to your house and pick the stuff up for
> delivery instead of carting it 500+ miles just to bring
> it all back home rain dampened again...
>
> > I also heard that Mendelson wanted more space and a break
> > on the flea market rental since they are going out
> > of business. The Hamvention, based I what I heard
> > around, said no dice, so they didn't even bother
> > to show up and they're only a few miles from the
> > arena too.
>
> We heard the same story making the rounds
> among the dealers on Saturday. Probably true.
>
> > They spaced out many of the inside
> > vendor booth areas so it wasn't too obvious that
> > the number of vendors was way down.
> > What did anybody else notice? I hope the above
> > isn't a long term trend.
>
> Those spaces were a combination of that and
> a small number of dealers who decided not to
> go at all the last minute. The hamfest committee
> was hoping that alot of people would show up
> and pay for a space...like that was going to happen. (!)
>
> I also saw several tables of 100% cell phone and computer
> junk which was a major disappointment. I would be
> rather PO'ed also if I paid $40 to get in and I saw
> standard flea market type vendors selling stuff that
> I could see, at any weekend mart FOR FREE and
> with no admission charge!
>
> Forget it folks..
> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
>

1472. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 15:43:18 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <8KadnWChhI6JJgnfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> One possible explanation is that the real problem
> is publicity and image, not license requirements.
>
> If people don't know what ham radio is, the license
> requirements have no effect on them.

Ham radio just isn't very appealing to the current generation. There are too many other things to compete, computers, the Internet, vidoe games. Kids had rather be skilled at playing the latest video game than have technical skills in some outdated (to them) mode of communication. They had much rather build a computer than a radio. Who needs a ham radio station to talk to someone in another state or even in another country, just whip out the cell phone. Almost every teenager now has one.

1473. Re: TWO DAYS TO GO (to teh most important day in Ham radio History)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 15:48:45 UTC
From: G1LVN <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1117036125.849999.33190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108755
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You just wait for one of the questions that will be published tomorrow.

1474. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 16:16:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Tx1le.28$Yw1.822370@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

It is obvious there is a decline in interest in amateur radio, I think the reasons are many, since the gear is constructed for such a small "nitch" of users--the equip is expensive--this is only one more reason for the decline.

I have never heard anyone complain the exams were too difficult (of course, I am mainly around college age kids who go for a license), it is always the code--they hate it--some can be pushed to complete the code to get the license--after, they simply never use the code again...most of these young fellows are interested in GHz freqs and above...and how a computer can be interfaced with the radio...

Warmest regards,
John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1117019960.563519.190810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> By the ARRL own statistics, ham radio is dying
>
> Well, shrinking, anyway. The total number of US hams is down slightly
> from the peak of a few years ago, while the total US population
> continues to grow.
>
> But I would note that the shrinkage occurred *after* the
> April 2000 reductions in both Morse Code and written
> testing for all available license classes. IOW, making
> the licenses easier to get in 2000 did not result in
> sustained growth.
>
> Looking further back, examine the growth from 1990 or 1991 to
> 2000. (1990 is when medical waivers made it possible to get
> any amateur license with a 5 wpm test, and 1991 is when the
> Technician lost its code test. Then compare the growth in that
> 9 year period to the growth in an equal period of time before
> 1990 or 1991. You'll find that the overall increase in the '80s
> was *greater* than in the '90s.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1475. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 16:19:25 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <4294a4ff.16086406@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 108757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 24 May 2005 17:22:40 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:20050524201258.135$Fv@newsreader.com...
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> Hmmm, I could record a long QSO on cw and play it for the truckers...
>>> give 'em something to appreciate... <grin>
>>>
>>> So the hams didn't feel left, could record the truckers and play that for
>>> them... <evil'er grin>
>>>
>>> Warmest regards,
>>> John
>>>
>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com...
>>> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
>>> >> John Smith wrote:
>>> >> > Dan:
>>> >> >
>>> >> > You will never find me using cw...
>>> >>
>>> >> Well, you don't need it on CB.
>>> >>
>>> > It's been done, JJ.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>> You can eat shit and die too for all I care, you top posting freak.
>

>Steveo:
>
>You name is almost as cute as a girls, you sound gay... there is nothing
>wrong with gay people yanno, but better if you stay with your own kind...
><grin>
>
>Top posters bother gays yanno...
>

>Warmest regards,
>John

Could be worse, after all your name could be Brett???
Now that would really suck..

1476. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 16:21:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117038079.311054.81300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > But I would note that the shrinkage occurred *after* the
> > April 2000 reductions in both Morse Code and written
> > testing for all available license classes. IOW, making
> > the licenses easier to get in 2000 did not result in
> > sustained growth.

> Two questions:
>
> 1) Is this shrinkage due to...
> a. Less new applicants
> b. Increased attrition

>From what I can see at hamdata.com and AH0A.org, it seems to
me that the number of new hams has been slowly increasing
since at least 1997 (which is as far back as AH0A.org goes)
but attrition has been rising even faster.

How much of the attrition increase is due to "involuntary"
causes (SKs, hams in nursing homes, etc.) vs. "voluntary"
causes (loss of interest) is a matter of pure speculation.
I don't have good data on that one way or the other.

It does seem to me, however, that when a survey says 22% of
recently-licensed new hams interviewed have *never* set up
their own station and gotten on the air with it, something's
amiss in the "interest" department.

We sometimes see statistics about the "average age of US
hams today is XX" and predictions of doom for the future
as today's hams become SKs. What we don't see are statistics
on how the "average age" was computed (mean? median? mode?)
nor the age distribution (bell curve? exponential?). Nor
do we see stats on what the "average age" was 10, 20, 30
years ago.

Looking around at club meetings and hamfests isn't a good
sample because a lot of us don't go to those things very
often.
>
> 2) Are easier tests the cause of the shrinkage...
> a. Yes
> b. No

No good way to tell. One thing is certain: The test reductions have not resulted in a flood of new hams compared to before the test reductions.

One possible explanation is that the real problem is publicity and image, not license requirements.

If people don't know what ham radio is, the license requirements have no effect on them.

Another factor is that if the license requirements are made "too easy", what you may have are some folks who have a license but no station because it's "too difficult" for them to set one up. Then they forget about ham radio and go on to something else.

One thing I remember clearly from my newcomer days as a 12-13 year old is that once I found out what amateur radio was, and how to get started, the license requirements were "not a problem". They were simply a challenge. If there had not been a Novice license, I simply would have gone for General right out of the box.

A lot of the kids I knew then, and know now, are the same way when they are interested in something.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1477. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 16:34:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117038874.923606.220670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108759

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:

> >>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> >>>John Smith wrote:
>
> >>>>... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always
> >>>> have
> >>>>been as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test
> >>>>taking" could study for a day and pass the most challenging
> >
> >
> >>>I think you need to go back and look at the early exams. There was
> >>> a time when an applicant was required to actually draw a schematic of
> >>>various circuits and explaine how they worked.
> >>
> >> Is that supposed to be hard?
> >
> >
> > Depends on the person. For someone who knows a little radio theory and
> > the regulations of the amateur radio service, none of the tests were
> > very hard.
> >
> > Heck, I passed the old General and Advanced class tests in 1968 - at
> > the age of 14. That was the summer between 8th and 9th grade for me. No
> > big deal, there were younger hams than me with Extras back then.
> >
> > The difference between then and now is the test *method* more than the
> > content.
> >
> >>>And even after the exams
> >>>became multiple choice type,
> >
> >
> > (about 1960 for the General)
> >
> >
> >>>one had to know the material to get the
> >>>correct answer as the answers to the acutal questions were not
> >>>available.
> >>
> >> Yeah. You'll find that question pool bugaboo in a lot of fields
> >>thesedays, including fields where if a person makes a mistake because of

> >> not knowing the material, lives may be lost.
> >
> >
> > Good or bad, I don't think FCC will go back to the old way.
>
> Nope.

So it's really immaterial what the old exams were like, other than to
point out the differences. Newer hams have no choice in the matter -
they can't take the old tests even if they wanted to.

One more difference about the old tests, though: Judging by the
study guides, the old tests focused on a few subject areas in depth,
while the new tests cover more subject areas but in much less detail.

> >>>There were study guides with sample questions, but no
> >>>questions pools with the exact answer available for memorization.
> >>
> >> Now if you want *really* hard, make it no study guide, no question
> >>pool, and the applicant has to do all the learning research with NO
> >> idea of what is on the test! 8^)
> >
> >
> > The old study guides were essay-type Q&A that outlined the general area
> > of knowledge. One question could cover a *lot* of ground. The old Extra
> > study guide was as much as 279 questions at one point.
> >
> >
> >>>If you
> >>>did not know the theory, then you probably weren't going to pass.
> >>>Again john smith knows not of what he speaks.
> >>
> >> I took the tests from the question pools. For me, they were all
> >> pretty easy. They were not easy because of the question pools. They were
> >> easy because they were fairly basic material.
> >
> >
> > But you had seen the exact Q&A before, right?
>
> Weell, the key word is "exact". I noticed that when I took my Extra
> exam, many of the answers appeared in a different order than they were
> in the question pool. I came away convinced that the person who
> memorized the question pool was actually doing things the hard way.

The way most people would set out to "memorize" the Q&A is to simply
learn to associate the right answer with the question by any means
possible. You don't need a verbatim memorization nor any info about the
distractors.

That's a lot different than actually understanding the material.

> >> For the Extra, I spent a week taking the on-line tests. Questions
> >> that I knew the answer to, I got right of course.
> >>
> >> Those that I got wrong earned me a trip to the books or online to
> >> find out why I got it wrong. By the time I was finished, I aced the test
> >>just about every time on line, and then in the actual test.
> >>
> >> And I knew the material.
> >>
> >> Elapsed time, one week.
> >
> >
> > For you.
>
> Absolutely.
>
> > But I bet you had more than a little electrical/radio knowledge before
> > you ever looked at a ham radio study guide.
>
> Yup. I think that my level of expertise was just a little skewed. I got
> sidelined onto computers fairly early in the 1970's. Then I worked
> mostly in digital, then changed careers, going into photography,
> videography, and 3-d animation (waaayy too many hats to wear, but
> whatever) But I did have a good bit of electrical experience

So you knew most of the material already! And what you didn't know was
more of
an extension to your existing knowledge base in the electricity area,
rather
than a completely new field.
>
> >> Now the Morse code was another thing entirely. That was hard.

Besides your auditory situation, it was hard for another reason: It was
new,
and did not represent an extension of your existing knowledge base the
way
learning some more electronic/radio theory did.

> >> But then I'm just a dum nickel extra! ;^)

> > I bet it says the same thing on your license as it does on mine. With
> > no mention of dumb or nickles, Mike.
> >
> > Each of us met the requirements in force at the time of being licensed.
> > That the requirements changed over time isn't usually due to the people taking
> > the new tests.
>
> Yup. My comment was mostly sarcasm. The only way that anyone knows my
> "vintage" is by my callsign

Sort of. I know hams with 2x3 callsigns who have been Extras for 30+
years. They

just never went for a vanity call.

> > Looking down on somebody today because they didn't take the same tests
> > you took years ago is kind of like getting mad at someone who paid less
> > for a VCR last week than you paid 20 years ago....
>
> HAR!
>
But isn't that true?

Back in 1997 I paid over $2k for a new Dell system. 200 MHz 32 MB
Pentium II, 17" Trinitron monitor, HP 820 printer, etc. Today you
couldn't get $50 for it (if you
could even find someone to buy it!) - in part because for $500 you
could buy a new Dell system that was an order of magnitude more
computer in almost every way.

Should I be mad at the person who spends $500 today because s/he got a
new Dell
for 1/4 what I paid 8 years ago?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1478. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 17:36:10 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <jLqdnUengNsXKgnfRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 108760

On Tue, 24 May 2005 06:14:05 -0700, RST Engineering wrote:

> Which is ... ????
>
> Jim
>
>
>> if they want to get young Hams in to the hobby they need to wake up and
>> show them what Ham Radio has to offer that they CAN'T get using the
>> Internet and a cell phone.

For one thing rebuilding a wireless network in this country. In some areas, like Detroit, packet is nearly dead. I use computers a lot, I have 4 on my private home network now running several different operating systems, and I would love to be able to setup several servers without having to worry about my ISP threatening to cancel my service for doing do.

Using modified WiFi gear, or the Icom D-Star system, would give many Hams speeds much faster that you can get using just dial up, and many Hams still use dial up because they can't get DSL or Broadband at their home.

Then there is nothing like having communications that doesn't die when you you need it most, and doesn't come with a monthly bill. I was on the air back when we had the great north east black out several years ago. When a lot of people couldn't get through using their cell phone because the system crapped out or was simply over loaded. I also know of several Hams who will not get cell phones for their older kids because of the cost of the bills. It was cheaper in the long run to get some low end VHF HT's, get his kids licensed, and had them use the radios when they need to talk to them. As much as teenagers like to gab among themselves I think getting them HT's instead of cell phones would work out much better, it's like one big party line, and they don't have to worry about air time minutes.

And that's just a couple.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1479. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 17:42:37 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <x46dnQu7hJ2QJAnfRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 108761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 24 May 2005 13:26:31 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

>
>
> Leland C. Scott wrote:
>> On Mon, 23 May 2005 19:01:36 -0700, bb wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
>>
>>
>> I saw that and I don't know what the point was they were trying to make.
>
>
> Didn't see that. But as a Japanese owned company, they have a different
> culture, and some of the things they do might seem a little different to us.
>
> Yup... that seems different!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Well all of the people there, at the Hamvention, were American. I wonder
if the girls were some of their kids and that was a way to take them along
and have Icom foot the bill?

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1480. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 20:36:49 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d72nl0lic1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 108763

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>> Can you draw the schematic for a push-pull RF amplifier using link
>>> coupling and explain how it works? Can you draw an AM transmitter
>>> using Heising modulation and explain how it works?
>>
>>
>>
>> Given a little bit of studying, yes.
>
>
> Ah, there is the key, "studying", not just memorizing. Once you study it
> and know it, it isn't hard.

I studied for my extra test.

A person would have to be an idiot to memorize *especially* the Extra test. You have some 800 questions to memorize. Not real smart to memorize that many questions for all that appear on the actual test.

Especially when the actual test answers are juggled from the pool answers.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1481. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 20:57:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050525165719.521$mT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > One possible explanation is that the real problem
> > is publicity and image, not license requirements.
> >
> > If people don't know what ham radio is, the license
> > requirements have no effect on them.
>
> Ham radio just isn't very appealing to the current generation. There are
> too many other things to compete, computers, the Internet, vidoe games.
> Kids had rather be skilled at playing the latest video game than have
> technical skills in some outdated (to them) mode of communication. They
> had much rather build a computer than a radio. Who needs a ham radio
> station to talk to someone in another state or even in another country,
> just whip out the cell phone. Almost every teenager now has one.
>
IAWTP.
```

1482. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 21:18:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117055889.528592.109310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > One possible explanation is that the real problem
> > is publicity and image, not license requirements.

> > If people don't know what ham radio is, the license
> > requirements have no effect on them.

> Ham radio just isn't very appealing to the current generation. There are
> too many other things to compete, computers, the Internet, vidoe games.
> Kids had rather be skilled at playing the latest video game than have
> technical skills in some outdated (to them) mode of communication. They
> had much rather build a computer than a radio. Who needs a ham radio
> station to talk to someone in another state or even in another country,
> just whip out the cell phone. Almost every teenager now has one.

That's true of most of the population - but most of that has been true
for decades now.

I was high school class of 1972. In a school of over 2400 boys, with a
curriculum that emphasized math and science, we had no more than a
half-dozen hams.

Back then ham radio had "competition" (in no particular order) from
sports, school activities, music, counterculture events, antiwar
protests, CB, TV, radio, music, cars and girls. Also family chores,
schoolwork and after-school jobs.

We didn't have cell phones or the internet but we had the telephone and
we could get around pretty well, with or without cars.

In those days the #1 technical hobby for teenage boys was working on
cars. For less than the price of most ham rigs, you could buy a $100
used car and fix it up well enough to get around. Some lucky rich kids
got 10-year-old hand-me-down cars from the parental units, which they
then worked on to keep on the road. Cars were simpler then, and a
mechanically-minded kid knew all about how they worked long before
driving age.

So "competition" for kids' time is nothing new.

The most-often-asked questions about ham radio, then and now, are:

"Who do you talk to?"
"What do you talk about?" and
"Why go to all that trouble to talk to strangers?"

Most people back then "didn't get it". A few did. Same as today.

IMHO the prime time to attract kids to ham radio is middle school or earlier.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1483. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 21:24:21 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117056261.657512.188460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108766

John Smith wrote:
> It is obvious there is a decline in interest in amateur radio, I think the
> reasons are many, since the gear is constructed for such a small "nitch" of
> users--the equip is expensive--this is only one more reason for the decline.

The equipment *is* expensive if you buy it new. That's always been a
problem.
But it's cheaper now (relative to inflation) than ever before.

> I have never heard anyone complain the exams were too difficult (of course,
> I am mainly around college age kids who go for a license),

Of course - they have plenty of math and science background, I bet. And
they're used to taking tests.

I got the Advanced in the summer before I entered high school - 1968.

> it is always the
> code--they hate it--some can be pushed to complete the code to get the
> license--after, they simply never use the code again...

I think a lot depends on how something is presented. If the code is
presented as some sort of difficult thing you "have to do", then of
course it's going to be resented.

IMHO the prime time to attract kids to ham radio is middle school and
earlier.

> most of these young
> fellows are interested in GHz freqs and above...

They don't need to pass a code test to get all amateur radio privileges
above 30 MHz. Just a 35 question written test.

> and how a computer can be
> interfaced with the radio...

If that's what they're really into, the code test isn't involved at
all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1117019960.563519.190810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> > John Smith wrote:
> >> By the ARRL own statistics, ham radio is dying
> >
> > Well, shrinking, anyway. The total number of US hams is down slightly
> > from the peak of a few years ago, while the total US population
> > continues to grow.
> >
> > But I would note that the shrinkage occurred *after* the
> > April 2000 reductions in both Morse Code and written
> > testing for all available license classes. IOW, making
> > the licenses easier to get in 2000 did not result in
> > sustained growth.
> >
> > Looking further back, examine the growth from 1990 or 1991 to
> > 2000. (1990 is when medical waivers made it possible to get
> > any amateur license with a 5 wpm test, and 1991 is when the
> > Technician lost its code test. Then compare the growth in that
> > 9 year period to the growth in an equal period of time before
> > 1990 or 1991. You'll find that the overall increase in the '80s
> > was *greater* than in the '90s.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

1484. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 21:49:29 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117057769.685182.323320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> > Hopefully Robeson doesn't pop in here and tell us they
> > were selling "something."
>
> The correct terminology according to K4YZ is "Musta beem selling SOMEthing!". I
> don't know how you "beem" sell SOMEthing, but I'm sure "Steve" (alias for K4YZ)
> will provide a weasel-worded explanation.
>
> dit dit
> (Note Farnsworth spacing)
>
> de Hans, K0HB

Farnsworth, Yikes!

I'm fairly certain that's why I didn't become a General class in 1987.

Speaking of weasel-worded explanations from Steve, I'm still waiting
for an explanation why he has posted my house number here?

1485. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 21:56:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117058168.902131.78280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Mon, 23 May 2005 19:01:36 -0700, bb wrote:
>
>
> > ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
>
> I saw that and I don't know what the point was they were trying to make.
>
> Regards,
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

Hans said it best:

"The correct terminology according to K4YZ is "Musta beem selling
SOMEthing!". I
don't know how you "beem" sell SOMEthing, but I'm sure "Steve" (alias
for K4YZ)
will provide a weasel-worded explanation."

I didn't get the bath robes either.

1486. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 22:29:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117060184.783561.58170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108769

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >>
> >>"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult
> >
> > thing
> >
> >>in order to "win an argument." "
> >
> >
> > Len, this proves he hangs on your every word. Hi!
>
> Doesn't look that way to me. It would appear that Len has been hung by
> his very words.
>
> Dave K8MN

How's Six Meters? Heard any out of band Frenchmen?

1487. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 22:35:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117060523.912374.311940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108770

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon,May 23 2005 3:57 am
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult
> thing
> >in order to "win an argument." "
>
> Oh, oh! Reverend Jim issued a Sermon On The Antenna Mount! :-)
>
> Not only that, the poor guy is still furious over NOT "winning"
> a newsgroup argument THREE YEARS AGO! :-)
>
> Not only that, the "argument" wasn't even about RADIO!
>
> Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
> and international socio-political politics are another group.
>
> "RADIO" includes all of the HF portion of the EM spectrum. That's
> where the major international communications networks WERE in
> the 1950s. I was part of that - for three years - as a
> volunteer in the United States Army...operating HF transmitters
> for an Army station.

In Jim's circles, there is no "volunteering." You get bad grades and
your waiver goes away. Then the Draftsman comes knocking.

1488. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 22:44:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Bd7le.161$IL3.53@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108771

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>
>>>>"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult
>>>
>>>thing
>>>
>>>
>>>>in order to "win an argument." "
>>>
>>>
>>>Len, this proves he hangs on your every word. Hi!
>>
>>Doesn't look that way to me. It would appear that Len has been hung by
>>his very words.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> How's Six Meters? Heard any out of band Frenchmen?

Why would you like to know? Are you operational on 6m?

Dave K8MN

1489. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 22:51:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117061494.345344.74740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108772

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > Was FEC Hq "in danger" from
> > its "rear-area location?" Depends on what you "4F" types
> > want to call "danger" from your safe locations in the states.
>
> I was never classified "4F", Len. I still have my draft card, btw.

And your college deferment? marital? fatherhood?

1490. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 22:56:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117061772.892358.276190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108773

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
> > > and international socio-political politics are another group.
> >
> > Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to be afraid
> > to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.
>
> In order to have a "civil discussion" on Amateur Radio policy,
> Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make
> informed opinions or suggestions from.

That never stopped Mike Powell or any of his predecessors. But it must
stop Len. Len must be stopped "SOMEhow!" At any cost.

1491. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 22:59:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117061990.181166.320540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108774

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>bb wrote:
> >>
> >>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >>>>
> >>>>"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult
> >>>
> >>>thing
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>in order to "win an argument." "
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Len, this proves he hangs on your every word. Hi!
> >>
> >>Doesn't look that way to me. It would appear that Len has been hung by
> >>his very words.
> >>
> >>Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> > How's Six Meters? Heard any out of band Frenchmen?
>
> Why would you like to know? Are you operational on 6m?
>
> Dave K8MN

Just wondering if you're still working out of band Frenchmen on six meters or if you've curbed your enthusiasm for illegal pileups at any cost?

1492. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 23:17:32 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wdWdnZe6tKc1mgjfRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 108775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Tx1le.28$Yw1.822370@news.sisna.com...
> It is obvious there is a decline in interest in amateur radio, I think the
> reasons are many, since the gear is constructed for such a small "nitch"
> of users--the equip is expensive--this is only one more reason for the
> decline.
>
> I have never heard anyone complain the exams were too difficult (of
> course, I am mainly around college age kids who go for a license), it is
> always the code--they hate it--some can be pushed to complete the code to
> get the license--after, they simply never use the code again...most of
> these young fellows are interested in GHz freqs and above...and how a
> computer can be interfaced with the radio...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

If all they are interested in is the GHz frequencies and up, they never need to bother with code for the license. The codeless Technician license gives them full privileges, full power levels, and all modes for all frequencies above 30 MHz.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1493. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-25 23:35:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117064107.043430.309930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108776

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.
> > > Military
> > > and international socio-political politics are another
> > > group.
> >
> > Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to
> > be afraid
> > to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.
>
> In order to have a "civil discussion" on Amateur Radio
> policy,
> Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which
> to make informed opinions or suggestions from.

Whether the discussion is "informed" or not isn't the issue,
Steve. My point was about Len's ability to have *civil*
(as in well-mannered) discussion with those who disagree
with him.

I've tried many times, but Len insists on responding to
my disagreement with direct insults, even though I didn't
insult him. Apparently he sees my disagreement as an insult.
>
> No one doubts his "inside the black box" knowledge,

I do, Steve. Len talks a lot of nomenclature and buzzwords
but when it comes to actually solving practical radio problems
we don't see anything. His articles for ham radio (22 years ago)
were all basic theory, not practical projects.

> however he
> knows almost "diddly squat" about Amatuer Radio practice or
> policy.

Not the point.

> His rants on "Morse Code TESTING" are emotionally based and
> bear no
> relevence to current Amateur employment of the mode.

Obviously.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1494. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 00:00:13 UTC
From: Roger <Glendale@WVByGod>
Message-ID: <d733hs$66b$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1117057769.685182.323320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Farnsworth, Yikes!

I'm fairly certain that's why I didn't become a General class in 1987.

Speaking of weasel-worded explanations from Steve, I'm still waiting
for an explanation why he has posted my house number here?
>
That was so we may all send you Get Well cards.

1495. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 01:21:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_w9le.89$7v6.2659479@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dee:

You suggest that to them... they will take it as personal slur on their intelligence... if they don't have the top licence--they don't want any--it is akin to getting an "A" in the class--you would never get the under-achievers to even bother--they'll just fire up IRC or P2P phone and chat to Australia all night long... or, whip out the cell phone their company internship is furnishing... <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:wdWdnZe6tKc1mgjfRVn-qQ@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Tx1le.28$Yw1.822370@news.sisna.com...
>> It is obvious there is a decline in interest in amateur radio, I think
>> the reasons are many, since the gear is constructed for such a small
>> "nitch" of users--the equip is expensive--this is only one more reason
>> for the decline.
>>
>> I have never heard anyone complain the exams were too difficult (of
>> course, I am mainly around college age kids who go for a license), it is
>> always the code--they hate it--some can be pushed to complete the code to
>> get the license--after, they simply never use the code again...most of
>> these young fellows are interested in GHz freqs and above...and how a
>> computer can be interfaced with the radio...
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>
>
> If all they are interested in is the GHz frequencies and up, they never
> need to bother with code for the license. The codeless Technician license
> gives them full privileges, full power levels, and all modes for all
> frequencies above 30 MHz.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1496. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 03:31:50 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117078310.573154.258100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I would agree...the days of hamfests are coming to a close.

I was a faithful attendee of Dayton until 1999....since then I have NO urge to go since Ebay serves my needs well.

This last summer I went to our local hamfest after not going for ten years...it confirmed that I had not missed anything by not going.

I seriously doubt that the Dayton Hamvention will last another ten years.

I also suspect we will begin seeing manufacturers going the same route as the hobby continues to die out.

TMT

1497. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 04:33:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117082038.900968.180100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108780

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > > > Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
> > > > > and international socio-political politics are another group.
> > >
> > > > Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to be afraid
> > > > to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.
> >
> > In order to have a "civil discussion" on Amateur Radio policy,
> > Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make
> > informed opinions or suggestions from.
>
> That never stopped Mike Powell or any of his predecessors.

"Mike Powell (and his) predecessors" staff out assignmentss who
ARE informed on the various issues.

> But it must Len. Len must be stopped "SOMEhow!" At any cost.

I may or may not like the politics of Mr Powell, but whether he
has said or done anyhing I didn't like, I can't say he was lying or
obviously being deceitful in his dealings with Amateur Radio.

The same does NOT hold true for Lennie.

Or you.

Steve, K4YZ

1498. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 04:42:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117082562.920831.311560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108781

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > > Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.
> > > > Military
> > > > and international socio-political politics are another
> > > > group.
> > >
> > > Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to
> > > be afraid
> > > to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.
> >
> > In order to have a "civil discussion" on Amateur Radio
> > policy,
> > Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which
> > to make informed opinions or suggestions from.
>
> Whether the discussion is "informed" or not isn't the issue,
> Steve. My point was about Len's ability to have *civil*
> (as in well-mannered) discussion with those who disagree
> with him.

Point taken. You're quite right.

> I've tried many times, but Len insists on responding to
> my disagreement with direct insults, even though I didn't
> insult him. Apparently he sees my disagreement as an insult.

Obviously.

Of course his insistance on using diminutives when you clearly
address him with at least the accepted social civility demonstrates
what we've been saying all along.

> > No one doubts his "inside the black box" knowledge,
>
> I do, Steve. Len talks a lot of nomenclature and buzzwords
> but when it comes to actually solving practical radio problems
> we don't see anything. His articles for ham radio (22 years ago)
> were all basic theory, not practical projects.

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....Good point. And when I think back on
it, Lennie fought tooth-and-nail to get involved in a meaningful
discussion on microwave technology.

Part of my persistence in trying to get him to do so, of course,
was directly tied to his suggestion that he and his "engineering
bretheren" had so much to offer Amateur Radio.

Of couse ALL he has offered Amateur Radio are arguments, name
calling and the aforementioned articles in said defunct magazine.

Not THOSE I doubt were his...

> > however he
> > knows almost "diddly squat" about Amatuer Radio practice or
> > policy.
>
> Not the point.

It is when he's humiliating himself by making assinine assertions
that are obviously not rooted in fact.

> > His rants on "Morse Code TESTING" are emotionally based and
> > bear no relevence to current Amateur employment of the mode.
>
> Obviously.

Ayup.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1499. News From Japan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 09:19:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117099151.587691.322560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108782

The Japanese Ministry of Post and Telecommunications announced a reduction of Morse Code test requirements on May 24th, 2005. The announced changes, effective October 1, 2005, reduce the requirements for 1st and 2nd class licenses to 5 wpm, solid copy for two minutes. Previously these two license classes required 12 and 9 wpm, respectively. The code test requirement for a third class license, previously 5 wpm, will be eliminated.

The no-code-test fourth class license remains unchanged.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1500. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 09:38:21 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117100301.200943.115740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108783

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
> > > > > and international socio-political politics are another group.
> > > >
> > > > Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to be afraid
> > > > to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.
> > >
> > > In order to have a "civil discussion" on Amateur Radio policy,
> > > Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make
> > > informed opinions or suggestions from.
> >
> > That never stopped Mike Powell or any of his predecessors.
>
> "Mike Powell (and his) predecessors" staff out assignmentss who
> ARE informed on the various issues.

Like they were informed about refarming the 220 band to UPS? BPL?

> > But it must Len. Len must be stopped "SOMEhow!" At any cost.
>
> I may or may not like the politics of Mr Powell, but whether he
> has said or done anyhing I didn't like, I can't say he was lying or
> obviously being deceitful in his dealings with Amateur Radio.
>
> The same does NOT hold true for Lennie.
>
> Or you.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Definitely not you.

1501. Re: TWO DAYS TO GO (to teh most important day in Ham radio History)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 09:46:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117100810.831849.193730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108784
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

G1LVN wrote:
> ....to deregulation of Amateur Radio in the UK that is!
>
> *** From the country that brought you HF with no morse test -->
> Complete deregulation --> Wireless Telegraphy Act excempt,
> un-regulated, un-policed, un-enforced, free-for-all SHF/UHF/VHF/HF
> bands within a 18,000 mile radius of London, England
>
> *** Have YOUR SAY !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Is the sky falling, too?

1502. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 09:52:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117101135.889504.140970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Tue, 24 May 2005 13:26:31 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Leland C. Scott wrote:
> >> On Mon, 23 May 2005 19:01:36 -0700, bb wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
> >>
> >>
> >> I saw that and I don't know what the point was they were trying to make.
> >
> >
> > Didn't see that. But as a Japanese owned company, they have a different
> > culture, and some of the things they do might seem a little different to us.
> >
> > Yup... that seems different!
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Well all of the people there, at the Hamvention, were American.

Maybe not. I heard one old couple speaking German.

I wonder
> if the girls were some of their kids and that was a way to take them along
> and have Icom foot the bill?

Nobody gets it. They should hire a USA advertising firm and run that
sort of thing past them first.

1503. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 10:08:22 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117102102.327780.66060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108786

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Actually I DID get some front seat time, Lennie...As many Enlisted
> Aircrewmen do in the USMC...'authorized' or not.
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ

One of Steve's rare admissions of wrongdoing.

1504. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 11:08:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <w-adnVbwiKTLMwjfRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 108787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1117101135.889504.140970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Leland C. Scott wrote:
>> On Tue, 24 May 2005 13:26:31 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> > Leland C. Scott wrote:
>> >> On Mon, 23 May 2005 19:01:36 -0700, bb wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> I saw that and I don't know what the point was they were trying to
>> >> make.
>> >
>> >
>> > Didn't see that. But as a Japanese owned company, they have a different
>> > culture, and some of the things they do might seem a little different
>> > to us.
>> >
>> > Yup... that seems different!
>> >
>> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> Well all of the people there, at the Hamvention, were American.
>
> Maybe not. I heard one old couple speaking German.
>

And I saw several people wearing hats/badges/etc from various European
countries.

> I wonder
>> if the girls were some of their kids and that was a way to take them
>> along
>> and have Icom foot the bill?
>
> Nobody gets it. They should hire a USA advertising firm and run that
> sort of thing past them first.

>

I'd agree with that.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1505. Re: News From Japan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 11:11:11 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9eqdnapxQaJrMwjfRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 108788

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1117099151.587691.322560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> The Japanese Ministry of Post and Telecommunications announced a
> reduction of Morse Code test requirements on May 24th, 2005. The
> announced changes, effective October 1, 2005, reduce the requirements
> for 1st and 2nd class licenses to 5 wpm, solid copy for two minutes.
> Previously these two license classes required 12 and 9 wpm,
> respectively. The code test requirement for a third class license,
> previously 5 wpm, will be eliminated.
>
> The no-code-test fourth class license remains unchanged.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Interesting that they chose not to eliminate it entirely given the change in
treaty requirements. Any info on their rationale?
Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1506. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 11:33:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117107222.235850.130410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108789

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > Actually I DID get some front seat time, Lennie...As many Enlisted
> > Aircrewmen do in the USMC...'authorized' or not.
> >
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> One of Steve's rare admissions of wrongdoing.

At least I >>DO<< admit when I make a mistake...

You still have a string of whoppers all the way back to "I operated from T5 legally.." (and 'unlicensed devices', 'ARES is overblown', etc etc)

And "stick time" is an airwing tradition. It's a treat and a priviledge. One of the "bennies" of actually working in/on the airframes...not just watching them at an airshow or from the window of an office.

Steve, K4YZ

1507. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 11:35:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117107327.915951.221420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108790

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.
> > > > > Military
> > > > > and international socio-political politics are another
> > > > > group.
> > > >
> > > > Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to
> > > > be afraid
> > > > to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.
> > >
> > > In order to have a "civil discussion" on Amateur Radio
> > > policy,
> > > Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which
> > > to make informed opinions or suggestions from.
> >
> > Whether the discussion is "informed" or not isn't the issue,
> > Steve. My point was about Len's ability to have *civil*
> > (as in well-mannered) discussion with those who disagree
> > with him.
>
> Point taken. You're quite right.
>
> > I've tried many times, but Len insists on responding to
> > my disagreement with direct insults, even though I didn't
> > insult him. Apparently he sees my disagreement as an insult.
>
> Obviously.
>
> Of course his insistance on using diminutives when you clearly
> address him with at least the accepted social civility
> demonstrates
> what we've been saying all along.

Think about why Len does all that. I call him Len, he calls me "Jimmie boy" or some such. If I call him a similar name, I would then validate his behavior. But if I just call him Len, or Mr. Anderson, his attempt to misdirect fails.

This does not mean letting his mistakes go unchallenged. Nor does it mean not calling his bullshit what it is.

> > > No one doubts his "inside the black box" knowledge,
> >
> > I do, Steve. Len talks a lot of nomenclature and buzzwords
> > but when it comes to actually solving practical radio problems
> > we don't see anything. His articles for ham radio (22 years
> > ago)
> > were all basic theory, not practical projects.
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....Good point. And when I think back on
> it, Lennie fought tooth-and-nail to get involved in a meaningful
> discussion on microwave technology.
>
Anybody can "discuss" with a little point-and-click research. I'm
talking about actually *doing* something, such as an actual project.

Len will tell you all about some piece of gear he worked on at some job
years ago. But ask him what he has recently built at home, on his own
time, with his own resources, and he's got nothing to show you.

He'll go on and on about "some Extras" at the radio store who didn't
know much about the triple loop PLL system in his R-70 - 22+ years ago.
But in fact he didn't design or build the thing.

> Part of my persistence in trying to get him to do so, of course,
> was directly tied to his suggestion that he and his "engineering
> bretheren" had so much to offer Amateur Radio.

And you bought that line? HAW!

Remember the Tech Plus WA6 guy who used to lecture us here on "no
setasides for legacy modes" "elitism" and "electronic paintball wars"
and such? us all about his engineering expertise in radio (which is
genuine, btw)?

After restructuring, he went and got his Extra - then got on HF SSB
with a manufactured transceiver and proceeded to work DX. He may even
have DXCC by now. Also got a 2x1 vanity call.

Nothing wrong with any of that but it sure was surreal.
>
> Of couse ALL he has offered Amateur Radio are arguments, name
> calling and the aforementioned articles in said defunct magazine.

If Len were really interested in microwaves and amateur radio, he'd
have gotten a license years ago. The Tech only required 5 wpm code,
when it had a code test.
>
> Not THOSE I doubt were his...
>
> > > however he
> > > knows almost "diddly squat" about Amatuer Radio practice or
> > > policy.
> > >
> > Not the point.

>
> It is when he's humiliating himself by making assinine assertions
> that are obviously not rooted in fact.
>
So? Correct his mistakes without behaving the way he does. Or just
ignore him.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1508. Re: TWO DAYS TO GO (to teh most important day in Ham radio History)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 11:39:00 UTC
From: gareth <cronjob@btconnect.com>
Message-ID: <d74cgj$2bg$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 108791

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1117100810.831849.193730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> G1LVN wrote:
> > ....to deregulation of Amateur Radio in the UK that is!
> >
> > *** From the country that brought you HF with no morse test -->
> > Complete deregulation --> Wireless Telegraphy Act excempt,
> > un-regulated, un-policed, un-enforced, free-for-all SHF/UHF/VHF/HF
> > bands within a 18,000 mile radius of London, England
> >
> > *** Have YOUR SAY !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Is the sky falling, too?
>
Acording to Chicken Licking, yes.

This out today:

http://www.ofcom.org.uk/consult/condocs/aradio/aradio.pdf

looks like fixed penalty fines (ham "speeding tickets") is on the table as
well.

1509. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 11:46:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117107976.227296.309940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108792

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
> > > > > > and international socio-political politics are another group.
> > > > >
> > > > > Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to be afraid
> > > > > to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.
> > > >
> > > > In order to have a "civil discussion" on Amateur Radio policy,
> > > > Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make
> > > > informed opinions or suggestions from.
> > >
> > > That never stopped Mike Powell or any of his predecessors.
> >
> > "Mike Powell (and his) predecessors" staff out assignmentss who
> > ARE informed on the various issues.
>
> Like they were informed about refarming the 220 band to UPS? BPL?

"UPS" was not the only entity involved in that "deal" Brain, and
Amateur Radio operators are as responsible for that folly as anyone.

We had more than andequate opportunity to get that band "loaded
up" like 2 meters ('Use It Or Lose It") but didn't.

BTW...remember the FIRST 'threat' to the 1.25m band and for what
purpose?

> > > But it must Len. Len must be stopped "SOMEhow!" At any cost.
> >
> > I may or may not like the politics of Mr Powell, but whether he
> > has said or done anyhing I didn't like, I can't say he was lying or
> > obviously being deceitful in his dealings with Amateur Radio.
> >
> > The same does NOT hold true for Lennie.
> >
> > Or you.
>
> Definitely not you.

I've neither lied nor been deceitful in any discussion on Amateur Radio, Brain.

The same canNOT be said for you and Lennie. Shame on you both.

Steve, K4YZ

1510. Re: Brian Burke Continues Deceit and Misdirection.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 13:30:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117114249.118531.32920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Mon,May 23 2005 4:38 am

> > Ya think that MAYBE that's why I said "...the MC >>>version<<< of
> the Pave Low..."...?!?!
> >
> > Brain was in the Air Force. That's why the cross-reference.
>
> 1. Helicopters are NOT a radio subject, let alone AMATEUR RADIO.

Sure they are.

If we can be bombarded with reams of old tales on how you dodged "incomming artillery fire", "served under the threat of the Soviet Bear", and how "you" passed 1.2 million messages, >>I<< can discuss helos.

> 2. Military aircraft nomenclature, names are NOT a requirement
> in AMATEUR RADIO.

Neither are the names of soldiers you never served with and who died three years before you were ever in the Army...but you deem it necessary to being them up anyway.

> 3. Are there any AMATEURS operating "helicopter mobile?"

There was at least one in the Marines many years ago. I know of several Flight Medics with Life Flight (Nashville) and Life Force (Chattanooga) today who are Amateurs and carry HT's on occassion.

> >> The "Pave Low" is the MH-53E through O suffix, operated mostly
> >> by the USAF. More specifially "Pave Low II" to "Pave Low VI."
> >
> > Amazing how "smart" you are with the click of a mouse, eh...?!?!
>
> All the information on the Internet is at ANYONE's disposal.

Yes, it is...

> > Too bad you can't retain some of that...
>
> Not my job. Too bad Stebie can't remember to get names correct
> on helicopters he has "served."

I did get them correct. Absolutely correct.

YOU tried to make an issue out of my making an anolgy between the
USMC CH53 and the USAF Pave Low.

It didn't work.

> >> The "CH" in the type designation stands for Cargo, Helicopter.
> >
> > Very good....Still not any "smoking gun", though...
> >
> >> The CH-53A through CH-53D are CARGO HAULERS.
> >
> > As is the CH53E Super Sea Stallion, on which I was qual'ed.
>
> 1. The colloquial term for "qualified" is spelled "qualled"
> as it is pronounced.

And many people abbreviate it "qual'ed". You don'...that's fine.
But YOU were never one of those persons "qual'ed" on ANY airframe.

> 2. The CH-47 and CH-54 are also Cargo Handlers, types that
> I've designed test sets for...and gotten them in
> production and eventually delivered (RCA EASD).

And never qualified on either of them as a flight mechanic,
aircrewman, gunner or other flgith crew.

Not a one.

And it's cargo HAULERS, not cargo "handlers", Lennie. 'Handlers'
are known as LOADMASTERS...On USMC heavy helicopters the Crew Chief
also doubles in that role.

> > >> Some of the MH-53s are into special ops. But, the Sea Stallion
> >> is basically a CARGO HAULER, first operational in 1966.
> >
> > Actually first operational in 1965.
>
> FORTY YEAR OLD DESIGN.

So...can we assume by your disdain for things over 40 years old
that wwe will not hear anymore meaningless meanderings about
"ADA"...?!?!

> > The "CARGO HAULER" was used for "special ops" through out it's
> >career too, Lennie. That's why the USMC had them fitted with
> VULCAN's,
> >-50's, etc.
>
> Tsk...next thing will be Stebie bragging on his "special ops"
> experience...maybe with a "HALO Drop" story?

Nope...Never was a SpecOp operator.

> The tactical and strategic use of helicopters is NOT anything
> close to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

True. But you're not qualifed on all three subjects, yet in the
last three days you've tried to preach to me on them.

Silly Rabbit.

At one time or another I've participated in all three.

> > That's also why the USMC fit's them for vertical envelopment.
>
> NOT applicable to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

Got your nose rubbed in your error again, eh Lennie...?!?!

> > The USMC, The Air Force and the Navy all used them for SpecOp
> >insertions, Maritime patrol, security-of-the seas missions.
>
> NOT applicable to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

Got your nosed rubbed in your own error again, eh, Lennie? Of
course any brief "search" via Google, et al, will produce numerous
reports that substantiate exactly what I said...

> > The airframe comes off the same production line at Sikorsky at
> >Stratford, CT.
>
> NOT applicable to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

Got your nosed rubbed in your own error again, eh, Lennie? Of
course you want to change subject now...Got caught with your "facts"
exposed again...

> > The same airframe...Over 80% interchangeable.
>
> NOT applicable to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

Quite correct...But still absolutely true.

> > And the missions of the CH-53 (ALL variants) far exceed "CARGO
> >HAULING".
>
> NOT applicable to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

Of course it is, Lennie.

YOU made an issue out of it! And still absolutely true.

> > Of course you didn't know that because the scope of your
> knowledge
> >stopped at your wrist.
>
> Not my job to know details of helicopters.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh ! ! !

THERE WE GO!

Lennie thought he'd be a smart alec and try to "ding" me on yet
another topic HE was unfamiliar with...

Got zinged!

YESTERDAY he "knew the details"... Now that he got slapped around
for his errors, it's...

........."NOT MY JOB"...!!!!

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

> > I didn't lie about a single thing, Lennie.
>
> Not in Stebieworld. Stebie NEVER lies in Stebieworld...everyone
> else does there...

Not in "Stebieworld" (wherever that's supposed to be), not
here...Not about these topics...

> > If you want a REAL education, you should read some of the
> >histories of the USMC's various Sea Stallion units...Most notably
> >HMH-462, HMH-464, HMH-363 and HMH-361.
> >
> > I was in all except 464 at one time or another.
>
> NOT applicable to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

Ewwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwww....OUCH!

Spanked by "The Gunny" again!

> > Take the time to do some research and you'll find a few of those
> >"seven hostile actions" you claim I never participated in.
>
> Is Stebie's name featured anywhere on those "research pages?"
>
> So far, none of us readers have found out the WHEN and
> WHERE of Stebie's famous "seven hostile actions."

And you won't from me.

"NOT applicable to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY".

> Hint: This newsgroup is NOT about the Glory of the USMC
> or about helicopters. Try to stay focussed. If you write
> names of helicopters, try to get them CORRECT.

Hint: It's "focused". That error's been pointed out to you
frequently but you continue to make it.

And I got them "CORRECT"...Including who flies what and why....

> >> If you are going to LIE, BLUFF, SCAM, try to get your military
> >> nomenclature CORRECT.
> >
> > I did so. Absolutely correct.
> >
> >> You did NOT do so!
>
> Tsk, tsk. I corrected you and you admitted to the INCORRECT
> "cross-reference" (your term for covering up your mistake).

No error...no mistake. The USMC flies the "CH53" (and variants)
and the USAF flies the "Pave Low".

> NOBODY can tell mighty Stebie ANYTHING!

Plenty of folks can "tell me lots"...

YOU, on the otherhand, seem to ahve a problem getting a LOT of
things about Amateur Radio and current military aircraft right, Lennie.

> > The service history and deployment of the CH53-series aircraft is
> >yet another "field" in which YOUR "experience" is from a mouse click.
>
> Absolutely!

And LIMITED to that "experience".

I, on the otherhand, have FIRST HAND experience and didn't need to
find a URL to get it...

> I've spent 53 years working in RADIO-ELECTRONICS, not
> helicopters. This forum is NOT about helicopters. This
> newsgroup is about RADIO, specifically amateur radio policy.

No...You spent 53 years riding other people's coat tails. And now
you spend your retirement riding the mouse to try and impress people
with what you can find out "now" because you didn't do it "then".

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1511. Re: Old farts like Robeson, Len and bb have ruined this group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 13:50:49 UTC
From: hudleypearse@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1117115449.104494.192960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>One final question, is kooky Brother Stair audible
>in Kuwait via shortwave? He must go over real well
>in A-rab land! :)

Stair claims someone phoned him from Saudi and said he'd heard him on
his pickup radio.

Hudley Pearse

1512. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 14:49:25 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <119bofrhkru7981@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 108795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Are you kidding? They've gotten more ink in this newsgroup than any of the other vendors. I'd say the ploy worked.

Jim

>> Nobody gets it. They should hire a USA advertising firm and run that
>> sort of thing past them first.
>>
>
> I'd agree with that.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1513. Re: TWO DAYS TO GO (to teh most important day in Ham radio History)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 14:53:40 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Uplle.10761$w21.10732@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108796

"gareth" <cronjob@btconnect.com> wrote in message
news:d74cgj$2bg$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1117100810.831849.193730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> G1LVN wrote:
>> > ....to deregulation of Amateur Radio in the UK that is!
>> > ** From the country that brought you HF with no morse test
>> > Complete deregulation --> Wireless Telegraphy Act excempt,
>> > un-regulated, un-policed, un-enforced,
>> > free-for-all SHF/UHF/VHF/HF
>> > bands within a 18,000 mile radius of London, England

The Ofcom proposal is published online at:

http://www.ofcom.org.uk/consult/condocs/aradio/aradio.pdf

Other than licensing for a lifetime rather a fixed interval, I don't
see Wireless Telegraphy Act license exemption as a desired
Ofcom proposal.

License exemption is addressed as an alternative but it is
immediately dismissed by Ofcom as impractical for
several reasons.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1514. The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 14:59:27 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ru6dnVXcGvrKeQjfRVn-sw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108797

The toad doesn't have a job or an ISP, soon he won't have an amateur license either!

1515. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 16:08:57 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <twmle.2241$8g.866@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 108798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:_w9le.89$7v6.2659479@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> You suggest that to them... they will take it as personal slur on their
> intelligence... if they don't have the top licence--they don't want
any--it
> is akin to getting an "A" in the class--you would never get the
> under-achievers to even bother--they'll just fire up IRC or P2P phone and
> chat to Australia all night long... or, whip out the cell phone their
> company internship is furnishing... <grin>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>

Hello, John

Are you suggesting just giving away the license? Heck, I learned grade 1.5 Braille in under two weeks (and I can see). I decided I wanted to as a friend is blind and he provided me a Braille slate (that was many decades ago). It was not a big deal; in fact my friend then got on my case asking if I could learn Braille in two weeks, what was the big deal with the code. The reality was that I was lazy. One week later, I was copying 18 words per minute. A few years later, I put 40 words per minute, perfect copy, on paper. It is all a matter of what you want.

As to the cell phone, heck - I can talk to someone anywhere anytime using a land-line telephone. Or my HT (yes, even Australia, thanks to the 10 meter repeater). Of course, amateur radio is not designed to replace the telephone.

I just had some good information from someone via the Internet on fixing a big Hammond X-66 from the 60s. I've repaired a lot of 'em, but this one had me bugged. The guy is in Mexico. The telephone would be of no use as I had to locate someone who knew something about it.

When folks start arguing against amateur radio, they usually bring up a subject that amateur radio is not ideally designed for. Heck, do you want to build a house using only a saw? Perhaps only a hammer? No, you choose the tools you need at the time you need them. In the case of getting help with the Hammond (gawd, I hated the thought of pouring paint thinner down into the scanner - but it worked!), how are you going to locate someone knowledgeable in the subject? Organ repair? No, the organ service guy in this area has referred a few folks with old Hammonds to me. I've always

been able to fix 'em even if he can't. But this time I was stuck. Calling the organ repair guy would have yielded no help as I have more knowledge than he. I did call organ repair service in Chicago as that is all that is left of the original Hammond company (it was sold to Ford and then Suzuki) as the new owners didn't want to handle the old stuff. They didn't know, so the telephone was no longer of any use.

Despite the failure of the telephone, I would not suggest that the telephone has no use.

Amateur radio has far to many facets to try and pinpoint exactly what it is. As far as someone not wanting the code, fine. It will go away, but when is unknown. Had I waited for a codeless tech license, I would have delayed 30 some years waiting for a ticket that didn't require cw.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1516. Re: News From Japan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 16:25:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117124727.720900.74060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108799

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1117099151.587691.322560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > The Japanese Ministry of Post and Telecommunications announced a
> > reduction of Morse Code test requirements on May 24th, 2005.

>
> Interesting that they chose not to eliminate it entirely given the change in
> treaty requirements.

Yes, Dee, *very* interesting!

Any info on their rationale?

None so far. But it's noteworthy that it took them so long to make the change, and it won't be effective form several months yet.

The number of JA amateur station licenses has been steadily declining for about 10 years, even with their nocodetest 4th class HF license. It will be interesting to see what happens to the number of JA hams with these changes.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1517. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 16:49:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117126168.851911.190960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108700

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
> > > > > > > and international socio-political politics are another group.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to be afraid
> > > > > > to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.
> > > > >
> > > > > In order to have a "civil discussion" on Amateur Radio policy,
> > > > > Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make
> > > > > informed opinions or suggestions from.
> > > >
> > > > That never stopped Mike Powell or any of his predecessors.
> > >
> > > "Mike Powell (and his) predecessors" staff out assignmentss who
> > > ARE informed on the various issues.
> >
> > Like they were informed about refarming the 220 band to UPS? BPL?
>
> "UPS" was not the only entity involved in that "deal" Brain, and
> Amateur Radio operators are as responsible for that folly as anyone.

Well, that's one opinion....
>
> We had more than andequate opportunity to get that band "loaded
> up" like 2 meters ('Use It Or Lose It") but didn't.

That happened for a bunch of reasons.

First off, 220 is not a ham band by international treaty. It's
primarily land mobile - we get it as a secondary allocation here in
Region 2 because the FCC lets us. Most parts of the world have never
had a band there. That's why it's not used for satellite comms.

And because of the relatively small market, the selection of
manufactured rigs for 220 was and is less than for 2 meters or 440.

All of which means FCC could reallocate some or all of 220 without
waiting for treaty changes.

> BTW...remember the FIRST 'threat' to the 1.25m band and for what
> purpose?

Yup. It was stopped by two factors: Opposition by hams (including
ARRL), and
disinterest by the users who would supposedly migrate there.

The first threat was from the EIA, who saw an opportunity to sell lots
of new
radios, antennas and accessories, while relieving the congestion on the
existing
allocation. The users didn't like the fact that almost all of their
existing equipment would become useless.

In both cases, the original threat was to the entire band, then part of
it.

Perhaps more amateur activity would have saved 220-222.

> > > > But it must Len. Len must be stopped "SOMEhow!" At any cost.

Fun fact: I haven't seen anyone here tell Len to shut up (as in "shut
the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel") or to go away. But he has done
so several times.

Who is trying to stop who?

> > > I may or may not like the politics of Mr Powell, but whether he
> > > has said or done anyhing I didn't like, I can't say he was lying or
> > > obviously being deceitful in his dealings with Amateur Radio.

C'mon, Steve, anyone who knows a little about radio knows that BPL is a
real
threat to every radio service that uses the same frequencies. Anyone
who
knows a little about the whole theory of why FCC exists knows that one
of its
fundamental purposes is to protect licensed radio services from
avoidable
interference - either from other radio services, or from other
electrical
devices and systems.

All Mr. Powell had to do was look at the measurements and observations
made
by any number of observers - including but not limited to ARRL - and it
was
obvious what would happen. Or look at the experiences in other
countries. Or
declare that *any* interference complaints would result in immediate
BPL system shutdown until the problem was fixed. Instead, the business
model overrode the engineering model.

Perhaps all the outcry from hams and the ARRL may yet carry the day
against

BPL. Note how few systems are actually in operation, and how many have

shut down. Note also how many that were under consideration have not
gone
forward due to the interference issue. Meanwhile, DSL, cable and WiFi
expand
daily.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1518. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 17:23:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117128200.020873.37660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108701

KC8GXW wrote:
> The toad doesn't have a job or an ISP, soon he won't have an amateur
> license either!

what is your obession with me??? I have an ISP I don't know what the hell your talking about, as for not having a license either, I don't think so, because I'm almost finish with my letter...and it's a shocker because I know where the problem is really at. Anyway why don't you stock you boyfriend K4YZ, I know you two love taking up eachother's asses!!

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

1519. Re: Old farts like Robeson, Len and bb have ruined this group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 18:26:01 UTC
From: hhc314@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1117131961.340944.287380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ROFL Clueless Idiot!

Seems to me that putting up with guys like might just be the cost of dumbing down the ham licensing exams (assuming he even holds a ham license).

Harry C. (ex K2JEZ)

p.s., Have fun hanging out on qrz.com! :-)

1520. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 18:35:25 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <2M6dnfxi-YRwiwvfRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 108703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 26 May 2005 02:52:15 -0700, bb wrote:

>
>
> Leland C. Scott wrote:
>> On Tue, 24 May 2005 13:26:31 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> > Leland C. Scott wrote:
>> >> On Mon, 23 May 2005 19:01:36 -0700, bb wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> I saw that and I don't know what the point was they were trying to make.
>> >
>> >
>> > Didn't see that. But as a Japanese owned company, they have a different
>> > culture, and some of the things they do might seem a little different to us.
>> >
>> > Yup... that seems different!
>> >
>> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> Well all of the people there, at the Hamvention, were American.
>
> Maybe not. I heard one old couple speaking German.

I was talking about the people running the Icom booth.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1521. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 18:40:30 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <kLydnS8MH_OChQvfRVn-3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 108704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 26 May 2005 07:49:25 -0700, RST Engineering wrote:

> Are you kidding? They've gotten more ink in this newsgroup than any of the
> other vendors. I'd say the ploy worked.

Yeah, negative advertising, they look like cheapskates compared to
Kenwood and Icom, Yaesu couldn't even donate a cheap HT.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1522. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 18:40:56 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <h6-dnbs9EbKihQvfRVn-sg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108705

N9OGL wrote:

>
> KC8GXW wrote:
>
>>The toad doesn't have a job or an ISP, soon he won't have an amateur
>>license either!
>
>
> what is your obession with me??? I have an ISP I don't know what the
> hell your talking about, as for not having a license either, I don't
> think so, because I'm almost finish with my letter...and it's a shocker
> because I know where the problem is really at. Anyway why don't you
> stock you boyfriend K4YZ, I know you two love taking up eachother's
> asses!!
>
> Todd N9OGL

Why are you so obsessed with Steve and his rear? As for your next job,
can you say "Would you like fries and a drink with that?" :)

1523. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 18:52:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <SVole.135$OY7.5887116@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I am saying, it really doesn't matter, it is too late, possibly if the exams were made twice as difficult so the intellectuals felt challenged and the code was dropped--perhaps it would appeal to more... however, I think there is little chance of any changes which will save it, ancient old men keep reciting the same mantras, are in denial that the methods they think will work, WON'T and keep trying to find answers reading tea leaves...

... truth is, there is not a large enough group of people in their teens and twentys to breath life into it... and I don't see anyway of getting them in... other than what I have already suggested... we need some of the brightest minds comming from college right now--I teach a course at a Jr. college... I have found the WILL NOT bother with the code... they would have no problem passing an exam ten times as tough... I have seen this in action...

A lot of people worry about their kids cell phone use and would like to limit it... I say put a code exam on the damn thing... problem would be cured overnight!

Well, they would probably just switch to IRC and instant messaging--if they are not already there--so put a damn code exam on those too!!! <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:twmle.2241$8g.866@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:_w9le.89$7v6.2659479@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> You suggest that to them... they will take it as personal slur on their
>> intelligence... if they don't have the top licence--they don't want
> any--it
>> is akin to getting an "A" in the class--you would never get the
>> under-achievers to even bother--they'll just fire up IRC or P2P phone and
>> chat to Australia all night long... or, whip out the cell phone their
>> company internship is furnishing... <grin>
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>
>

> Hello, John
>
> Are you suggesting just giving away the license? Heck, I learned grade
> 1.5
> Braille in under two weeks (and I can see). I decided I wanted to as a
> friend is blind and he provided me a Braille slate (that was many decades
> ago). It was not a big deal; in fact my friend then got on my case asking
> if I could learn Braille in two weeks, what was the big deal with the
> code.
> The reality was that I was lazy. One week later, I was copying 18 words
> per
> minute. A few years later, I put 40 words per minute, perfect copy, on
> paper. It is all a matter of what you want.
>
> As to the cell phone, heck - I can talk to someone anywhere anytime using
> a
> land-line telephone. Or my HT (yes, even Australia, thanks to the 10
> meter
> repeater). Of course, amateur radio is not designed to replace the
> telephone.
>
> I just had some good information from someone via the Internet on fixing a
> big Hammond X-66 from the 60s. I've repaired a lot of 'em, but this one
> had
> me bugged. The guy is in Mexico. The telephone would be of no use as I
> had
> to locate someone who knew something about it.
>
> When folks start arguing against amateur radio, they usually bring up a
> subject that amateur radio is not ideally designed for. Heck, do you want
> to build a house using only a saw? Perhaps only a hammer? No, you choose
> the tools you need at the time you need them. In the case of getting help
> with the Hammond (gawd, I hated the thought of pouring paint thinner down
> into the scanner - but it worked!), how are you going to locate someone
> knowledgeable in the subject? Organ repair? No, the organ service guy in
> this area has referred a few folks with old Hammonds to me. I've always
> been able to fix 'em even if he can't. But this time I was stuck.
> Calling
> the organ repair guy would have yielded no help as I have more knowledge
> than he. I did call organ repair service in Chicago as that is all that
> is
> left of the original Hammond company (it was sold to Ford and then Suzuki)
> as the new owners didn't want to handle the old stuff. They didn't know,
> so
> the telephone was no longer of any use.
>
> Despite the failure of the telephone, I would not suggest that the
> telephone
> has no use.
>

> Amateur radio has far to many facets to try and pinpoint exactly what it
> is.
> As far as someone not wanting the code, fine. It will go away, but when
> is
> unknown. Had I waited for a codeless tech license, I would have delayed
> 30
> some years waiting for a ticket that didn't require cw.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

1524. Make money searching in google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 19:10:21 UTC
From: xtodopoderoso@yahoo.com.ar
Message-ID: <1117134620.930811.247100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108707

ello everybody, I found on the web an interesting way to gain some
money:
it's netbux, that allows you to learn something while you search the
web
through google or altaista.
I earned 40$ in a month, not a lot, but I have simply to use Google to
do My
searches.
If you want try it subscribe at:

http://netbux.org/?r=241779

Here I post the mail that convinced me to start making money!

It pays you $0.01 - $0.05 for every search you make on major search engines. You can potentially earn $200 - $5000 Per Month just by searching the web. A Decent Second Income, right?

With Netbux, you get paid to search on Google, Yahoo, MSN, AOL, Alta vista, All The Web, Excite, Lycos, LookSmart, Ask Jeeves, Dog Pile, Dictionary, Downloads, Ebay and more...

Search anything, absolutely anything! You get paid!!

Upto 40 searches daily.

It takes only 10-15 minutes to complete 40 searches.

100% direct referrals' search earnings.

Minimum Payout: $75.0

Payments via Stormpay or Check on 15th of every month.

Please note that Netbux pays in shares for searches. Shares are the credits that you earn when using Netbux. At the beginning of each month a value of a share is determined according to the total amount of revenue that Netbux generated for the previous month. SHARES ARE CONVERTED INTO CASH AFTER EVERY MONTH. So, a share could be worth as much as $.01 - $.05 or more, BUT NOT ANY LESS. You will earn 1 share per search that you and your referrals make for up to 40 searches per day, per person. So if each referral maxed their paid searches out per day and you had only 10 referrals, you would earn 26,400 shares per month. Now, if the value of each share came to be $.02 for the month than you would have earned $264.00 for the month! What if over time you accumulated 20, 30, 50, 100 or more? This program is real and have already paid out to members. All together, $8,570.46 was the total amount of payments for April! The highest paid member earned $846.04!

The average payout was about $124.00! The next payout is estimated to be over $20,000! Don't you want a piece of the pie? Click Here

Join NetBux

1525. CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 19:17:55 UTC
From: Siegfried Kemper <bounce@parsifal.ath.cx>
Message-ID: <LD7VDHV438498.6338541667@si93kru1.poster>
Source record: 108708

Poor "LIAR" Butt Cornholer, he spells like Mongolloyd Davies and
has that obsolete license.
He's too stupid and lazy to upgrade to Extra, he makes all kinds
of excuses why he holds onto that obsolete ham license, and
makes up lies that he has a pilot's license.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

1526. Re: TWO DAYS TO GO (to teh most important day in Ham radio History)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 19:28:58 UTC
From: Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk>
Message-ID: <_rple.171$f%4.65@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 108709
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

G1LVN wrote:
> .....to deregulation of Amateur Radio in the UK that is!
>
> *** From the country that brought you HF with no morse test -->
> Complete deregulation --> Wireless Telegraphy Act excempt,
> un-regulated, un-policed, un-enforced, free-for-all SHF/UHF/VHF/HF
> bands within a 18,000 mile radius of London, England
>
> *** Have YOUR SAY !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> ----->>> JUST DO IT ----->>> (read on, click on the reply link below)
>
> http://www.ofcom.org.uk/staticï¿½/subscribe/radiospectrum.htm
>
>
> On 26th of May "OfCom" the UK's Radio Authority will issue a
> consulation document on the future of Amateur Radio Licensing in the
> UK. The consulation is open to all and will ask a number of questions
> on options such as licence for life, de-regulation and:
>
> "..options to make the (UK) amateur radio service WT Act
> licence-exempt" [#1] - That's all amateur radio exempt from licensing
> under the UK Wireless Telegraphy Act, it'll be a nightmare on the
> bands, HF included.
>
> Just so you do not miss this important event in world amateur radio,
> add yourself to the mailing list here:
>
> http://www.ofcom.org.uk/staticï¿½/subscribe/radiospectrum.htm
>
>
> .....and HAVE YOUR SAY !!! You will be emailed on May 26th, immediately
> the consulation is published. HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE
> YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!! HAVE YOUR SAY !!!
>
> --73deG1LVN
> in the meantime:
> http://qsy.to/ChorleyFM
>
I've just received a reminder to renew my licence by 30 June, should I
wait and see if I can save ï¿½15? How many pints is that?
--
;-)
73 de Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI - mine's a pint.

http://turner-smith.co.uk

1527. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 19:49:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117136941.326960.299020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108710

your job is "hey mister $50 for a blowjob!!" and i'm not obsessed with
K4YZ and his ass, He is an ass and so are you!!!!

Todd N9OGL

1528. Re: TWO DAYS TO GO (to teh most important day in Ham radio History)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 21:08:26 UTC
From: gareth <cronjob@btconnect.com>
Message-ID: <d75dsa$mf7$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 108711
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI" <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk> wrote in message
news:_rple.171$f%4.65@newsfe2-gui.ntli.net...

> I've just received a reminder to renew my licence by 30 June, should I
> wait and see if I can save £15? How many pints is that?
> --
> ;-)

September they'll announce the decision apparantly, but the current contract
with radio licencing has a bit to run after that

HTH

1529. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 21:33:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117143223.161644.249770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108712

From: "bb" on Wed,May 25 2005 3:35 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon,May 23 2005 3:57 am
>>
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>> >"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult thing
>> >in order to "win an argument." "
>>
>> Oh, oh! Reverend Jim issued a Sermon On The Antenna Mount! :-)
>>
>> Not only that, the poor guy is still furious over NOT "winning"
>> a newsgroup argument THREE YEARS AGO! :-)
>>
>> Not only that, the "argument" wasn't even about RADIO!
>>
>> Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
>> and international socio-political politics are another group.
>>
>> "RADIO" includes all of the HF portion of the EM spectrum. That's
>> where the major international communications networks WERE in
>> the 1950s. I was part of that - for three years - as a
>> volunteer in the United States Army...operating HF transmitters
>> for an Army station.
>
>In Jim's circles, there is no "volunteering." You get bad grades and
>your waiver goes away. Then the Draftsman comes knocking.

Tsk, the "knocking" stopped about 32 years ago. Jimmie has NO
worry. He could sit back in comfortable safety in PA and read
all about the military and international realpolitik without
once having participated in anything. He became a Virtual
military expert without once being IN any branch or working in
any office of the Department of Defense.

Getting to the heart of the matter, Jimmie feels TERRIBLY "insulted"
when one doesn't like what Jimmie likes. The slightest negativistic
opinion to his lofty views of What Should Be is considered a gaffe
of major proportions. He will carry that with him for years and
years, attempting to force a continuing confrontation over long-past
discussion threads...the most others have dropped long ago. :-)

[he is regularly bringing up old, faded postings from Google as
if to continue to "do battle" on those topics forever and ever]

But, staying with old things seems his forte' such as with homebuilt vacuum tube transmitters "designed" and built in the 1990s...which (according to him) amazes his neighbors when he can draw schematics of it and describe details on it from memory. :-) In my career, I've never encountered any design engineer who couldn't do that on his/her designs! [Jimmie says he is an engineer...but the closest he has come to details on that is (from a Comment at the ECFS) "in the vehicle transportation industry."] [it might be "radio cars" or something like that?]

Jimmie wants NUMBERS? He is regularly giving some sort of tabulation
on the "valid licenses" of radio amateurs in the USA. However, he has not described the sorting program used or which download of the FCC database used as a base of that tabulation. [slightly over three quarters of a million records to process] We are to accept his NUMBERS as "correct" because Jimmie never makes mistakes. All others, ESPECIALLY those challenging him, always "make mistakes."

Most folks would accept some website that regularly downloads the huge FCC amateur database, sorts it, and presents gross totals. Not Jimmie. He wants "massaged" NUMBERS, showing only the "valid" licensees..."valid" in HIS viewpoint. Jimmie needs MASSAGING of those NUMBERS in order to "show us" something...and thus we get the INTERPRETATIONS of those "valid" numbers. It's sort of like laying-on-of-hands devination, almost mystical, guruistic. No one dare defy such radio gods...the Wrath of Jimmie will descend upon the newsgroup years in the future, demanding "discussion" on that so that Jimmie can "triumph" and be gloriously "correct." :-)

I especially like his "reason" for maintaining the code test for all amateurs having below-30-MHz operating privileges: "Morse code is the SECOND-most popular mode on HF, therefore the code test 'deserves' to remain!" [I'll drop any comments on his plea for the code test for a "universal language" for amateurs so that all U.S. ham licensees "can talk to the 'underprivileged' hams of the world via morse]

He IS persistent to a neurotic degree. Indefatigable although he never wore "fatigues." Maybe he DOES have a Time Machine? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1530. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 21:37:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117143473.996380.89460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108713

From: "bb" on Wed,May 25 2005 3:51 pm

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > Was FEC Hq "in danger" from
>> > its "rear-area location?" Depends on what you "4F" types
>> > want to call "danger" from your safe locations in the states.
>>
>> I was never classified "4F", Len. I still have my draft card, btw.
>
>And your college deferment? marital? fatherhood?

Actually irrelevant...the "draft" ended on 27 January 1973. :-)

Jimmie isn't really old enough to be CONSIDERED for any "draft"
prior to the beginning of 1973, despite his self-portrayal as
a "seasoned old ham." [back to the smokehouse with that one...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1531. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 21:40:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117143658.191831.98890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108714

From: "bb" on Wed,May 25 2005 3:56 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > > Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
>> > > and international socio-political politics are another group.
>> >
>> > Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to be afraid
>> > to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.
>>
>> In order to have a "civil discussion" on Amateur Radio policy,
>> Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make
>> informed opinions or suggestions from.
>
>That never stopped Mike Powell or any of his predecessors. But it must
>stop Len. Len must be stopped "SOMEhow!" At any cost.

The Avenging Angle of Dearth is off on another tangent.

Gosh, do you think that "experience" is ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY?

The FCC doesn't require ANY staff or commissioners to hold
amateur radio licenses in order to REGULATE U.S. amateur radio!

["Sunnuvagun!" as Hans might remark...]

"Civil discourse" by Sevie (or Stebie, depending on which alter ego
is gabbling) consists of his wanting to crucify me on charges of
something like Treason or Sedition for honoring the members of my
Signal Battalion, continually calling me a "penis head" using a
Yiddish pejorative, and denouncing my knowledge of radio by not
"knowing the truth" about helicopters! :-)

Tsk. The PCTA Extra Double Standard *MUST* prevail to those
"civil discoursairs" ranging the high seas and destroying all
vestiges of discontent in their HOBBY.

I especially like Jimmie's "reason" for retention of the code test:
it is the SECOND most popular mode on ham HF bands, therefore the
test MUST remain!" Sevie (or Stebie) would probably require all
examinees to tap out the words to the Marines Him in morse as well.

"Civility" = total and absolute agreement with whatever the ARRL
publishes, anytime and anywhere.

1984 came and is still with us.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1532. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 21:49:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117144192.434513.227930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108715

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed,May 25 2005 3:51 pm

> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > Was FEC Hq "in danger" from
> >> > its "rear-area location?" Depends on what you "4F"
> >> > types
> >> > want to call "danger" from your safe locations in the
> >> > states.
> >>
> >> I was never classified "4F", Len. I still have my draft
> >> card, btw.
> >>
> >>And your college deferment? marital? fatherhood?
>
> Actually irrelevant...the "draft" ended on 27 January
> 1973. :-)

The last man to be drafted in the USA entered the Army
on June 30, 1973.
>
> Jimmie isn't really old enough to be CONSIDERED for
> any "draft"
> prior to the beginning of 1973,

I was 18 in April of 1972, Len. I registered and got my
card. Still have it as a reminder of those times.

Marital and parental deferments ended in the 1960s IIRC.
I never had any sort of deferment or exemption from the
draft.

> despite his self-portrayal as
> a "seasoned old ham."

I've been an active radio amateur for 37-1/2 years. I've
never described myself as a "seasoned old ham".

You aren't even a beginner in ham radio, Len. A grade
schooler with a brand new Technician license is more
qualified as a radio amateur than you are.

1533. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 22:54:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MIudnew_hv92zgvfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 108716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Too_Many_Tools" <too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1117078310.573154.258100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I would agree...the days of hamfests are coming to a close.
>
> I was a faithful attendee of Dayton until 1999....since then I have NO
> urge to go since Ebay serves my needs well.
>
> This last summer I went to our local hamfest after not going for ten
> years...it confirmed that I had not missed anything by not going.
>
> I seriously doubt that the Dayton Hamvention will last another ten
> years.
>
> I also suspect we will begin seeing manufacturers going the same route
> as the hobby continues to die out.
>
> TMT
>

It won't die out unless prospective hams choose to believe false statements like "... as the hobby continues to die out". You are attempting to create a self fulfilling prophecy. There are bound to be fluctuations both up and down over the years but at over 600,000 licensed hams we are not yet in any danger of dying out unless people believe unsupported statements like yours.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1534. Re: News From Japan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 22:57:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Tvsle.11125$w21.1474@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108717

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1117124727.720900.74060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1117099151.587691.322560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > The Japanese Ministry of Post and Telecommunications announced a
>> > reduction of Morse Code test requirements on May 24th, 2005.
>
>>
>> Interesting that they chose not to eliminate it entirely given the change
>> in
>> treaty requirements.
>
> Yes, Dee, *very* interesting!
>
> Any info on their rationale?
>
>
> None so far. But it's noteworthy that it took them so long to make the
> change, and it won't be effective form several months yet.
>
> The number of JA amateur station licenses has been steadily declining
> for about 10 years, even with their nocodetest 4th class HF license. It
> will be interesting to see what happens to the number of JA hams with
> these changes.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
Perhaps they want the capability of being able to copy the Russian, Chinese,
and the N. Koreans.

Gee.....just like the old Eastern Block countries did.....they still have
the CW. Gee wonder why?

May I venture an opinion? Hows this? When the crap hits the fan and all
we have left is basic CW to communicate with....where are the ops coming
from?

Dan/W4NTI

1535. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 23:00:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117148428.183451.291450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108718

N9OGL wrote:
> your job is "hey mister $50 for a ####job!!"

How do you know this, Todd? Been there? Done that?

> and i'm not obsessed with K4YZ and his ass...(SNIP)

Sure you are, Todd...You mention it frequently even in posts where
I am not involved at all. It's obviously on your mind.

> He is an ### and so are you!!!!

No, I'm not. But you're looking like a reall good candidate.

Steve, K4YZ

1536. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 23:02:03 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3e2dnYuVMJ_oyAvfRVn-uA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108719

N9OGL wrote:

> your job is "hey mister $50 for a blowjob!!" and i'm not obsessed with
> K4YZ and his ass, He is an ass and so are you!!!!
>
> Todd N9OGL

You know what toad, I haven't called you gay yet, but every comment you make is about something gay. Well here goes gayboy, only a gay like you would know how much to charge!
I have my code and you're too stupid to understand, you got fired from Wally world, how pathetic can you get. Live at home with mommy and daddy, fake having an Asian girlfriend, lie about your wealth. Toad, you just can't get any lower can you? I bet you even talk with a lisp, and are really going to beauty school!

1537. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 23:16:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117149419.568179.305850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108720

From: "bb" on Thurs,May 26 2005 3:08 am

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> Actually I DID get some front seat time, Lennie...As many Enlisted
>> Aircrewmen do in the USMC...'authorized' or not.
>>
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>One of Steve's rare admissions of wrongdoing.

Heh heh heh...he spun that into a bunch of nasty remarks on
others. Whatever Stebie did was "justified" and other BS.
:-)

I'm still not sure what rotary-wing aircraft have to do with
AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. :-)

...or of Sevie's self-appointed "guardianship" of the
newsgroup, such as the public defamation of veterans who were
IN military service when Sevie was issued into this life.*

Well, maybe Sevie got a WAS while doing "stick time?" :-)
Helicopter Mobile! That's rare for amateur radio. Stebie
should be written up in QST! Could even be a cover photo
(him scowling into the camera as usual)!

I'm still waiting to be arrested by Homeland Security and
the federal Attorney General on Sedition and Treason
Against the State for disagreeing with Stebie. [I'm sure
he called them, using his "professional qualifications" as
"authority" :-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

* Issued from a USMC stockroom, not born in normal manner?

1538. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 23:21:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117149681.826432.253430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108721

AND YOUR A BUTT MUCH ASSHOLE CB OPERATOR WHO ONLY KNOWS 5WPM....AND YOUR LITTLE BOYFRIEND STEVE AND YOU JUST LOVE GIVEN EACH OTHER KNOB JOBS ....ASSHOLES.

TODD N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

1539. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 23:22:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117149774.674292.319280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108722

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,May 26 2005 4:35 am

>K4YZ wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> > Whether the discussion is "informed" or not isn't the issue,
>> > Steve. My point was about Len's ability to have *civil*
>> > (as in well-mannered) discussion with those who disagree
>> > with him.
>>
>> Point taken. You're quite right.
>>
>> > I've tried many times, but Len insists on responding to
>> > my disagreement with direct insults, even though I didn't
>> > insult him. Apparently he sees my disagreement as an insult.
>>
>> Obviously.
>>
>> Of course his insistance on using diminutives when you clearly
>> address him with at least the accepted social civility
>> demonstrates what we've been saying all along.
>
>Think about why Len does all that.

Heh heh heh. It couldn't be because of Robeson calling me
penis head in Yiddish or now trying to level a charge of
"shame" on my military service record? :-)

> But if I just call him Len, or Mr.
>Anderson, his attempt to misdirect fails.

Sir James, you need not bow but you can quit trying to give
me the finger...! :-)

>This does not mean letting his mistakes go unchallenged. Nor does
>it mean not calling his bullshit what it is.

Ah...there it is...ANY disagreement with Sir James of Miccolis
is "bullshit!" :-)

>> > > No one doubts his "inside the black box" knowledge,
>> >
>> > I do, Steve. Len talks a lot of nomenclature and buzzwords
>> > but when it comes to actually solving practical radio problems

>> > we don't see anything. His articles for ham radio (22 years
>> > ago) were all basic theory, not practical projects.

That's "simply untrue," your most esteemed noble highness
Sir James of Miccolis. Tsk, tsk, tsk, you haven't read
beyond your ham publications! :-)

>> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....Good point. And when I think back on
>> it, Lennie fought tooth-and-nail to get involved in a meaningful
>> discussion on microwave technology.

Tsk, tsk. Stebie has been told a MILLION times not to
exaggerate! :-)

>Anybody can "discuss" with a little point-and-click research. I'm
>talking about actually *doing* something, such as an actual project.

Tsk. Jimmie is invited to the Long Beach, CA, International
Microwave Symposium during the entire second week of June this
year. "Actual projects!" :-)

>Len will tell you all about some piece of gear he worked on at some job
>years ago. But ask him what he has recently built at home, on his own
>time, with his own resources, and he's got nothing to show you.

WHY must Sir James "be shown?" Does that have anything to do
with unexpected ungratuitous compliments not received for his
"state of the art" amateur radio transmitter using vacuum
tubes and done in the 1990s? The one where his neighbors
were amazed at his knowledge and description of that "project?"
:-)

>He'll go on and on about "some Extras" at the radio store who didn't
>know much about the triple loop PLL system in his R-70 - 22+ years ago.
>But in fact he didn't design or build the thing.

Tsk. Mention something just twice in here and Sir Jimmie puts
the label of "going on and on about it!" :-)

So, how many "projects" has Jimmie designed and built using
PLLs? Or DDSs?

How many "radios" has Jimmie designed/built at his work?
Especially those NOT done from kits...?

>Nothing wrong with any of that but it sure was surreal.

Tsk. ANYTHING not done in the "accepted traditional" way is
"surreal" to Sir James of the Nobel Houses of PA.

>> Of couse ALL he has offered Amateur Radio are arguments, name
>> calling and the aforementioned articles in said defunct magazine.

That's "simply untrue," Pilot In Command Stebie...[search Google]

>If Len were really interested in microwaves and amateur radio, he'd
>have gotten a license years ago. The Tech only required 5 wpm code,
>when it had a code test.

Tsk, tsk, tsk! Jimmie gonna have a fit now, I did it differently. Was a supervisor of microwave radio relay terminals in 1954-1956 while in the Army, terminals operating at 1.8 GHz. Then I got a First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) Operator License (only one exam) in 1956, entered the California aerospace industry first working at Hughes Aircraft Company in El Segundo, CA, worked some more at microwaves (up in X-Band region) as well as from DC on up to microwaves. So far, there wasn't any need to learn morse code or "pass any morse code test" to transmit. Not even in 1960 when I was working on Ka-Band microwave equipment (as well as UHF high power sources). Class D CB was authorized in 1958 and that rather ended any need for a "ham license" to use a voice transceiver. Tsk. I've since transmitted from VLF on up to microwaves, in LF, MF, HF, VHF, UHF on land, in the air, on the water, all without having to pass any amateur radio license or pass any morse code test! ["Sunnuvagun!"] All very LEGAL. [I won't go "on and on" about actually being the co-owner of a business radio on high VHF because Judge James doesn't approve of non-amateur things]

Well, Judge Sir James of the Noble Houses of CW decrees that I shall NOT ever set foot in here without expressing some personal desire of obtaining an amateur radio license! [he ate too many torts, I think, either that or his legal briefs are too tight]

Tsk, tsk, Tsk, TSK...all I'm trying to do is argue against the retention of the morse code test in federal regulations on U.S. amateur radio. Instead, I am called names (in Yiddish by non-Yiddish speakers), accused of Sedition and Treason Against The State, and told that my opinions are "simply not true!"

>>
>> Not THOSE I doubt were his...
>>
>> > > however he
>> > > knows almost "diddly squat" about Amatuer Radio practice or
>> > > policy.
>> >
>> > Not the point.

How about the Avenging Angle of Dearth's continual typos of "amatuer" for 'amateur?' :-)

>>
>> It is when he's humiliating himself by making assinine assertions
>> that are obviously not rooted in fact.
>>
>So? Correct his mistakes without behaving the way he does. Or just
>ignore him.

Tsk, tsk. Stebie CANNOT ignore anyone. He is emotionally volatile and triggers off faster than a vial of nitroglycerine in a blender. Stebie shouts and hollers and insults and even speaks in tongues, defaming ANYONE disagreeing with him! :-)

Ah, but there is now Love and Harmony in the PCTA Extra Double Standard bearers. Jimmie now condones Stebie's actions. Of course all of those PCTA Extras are examples of U.S. "Amatuer" Radio, defending tradition and their tree house as if they had all taken an Oath to Defend the Constitution (of the ARRL) against ALL "enemies," with their Lives if necessary! :-)

Judge Sir James, Night of the Noble Houses of CW, keep defending the NEED for the morse code test because it is the SECOND-most-popular mode on HF ham bands! Be sure and spit on any U.S. military veterans who don't love and cherish morse code on Memorial Day. That's a good Night. Goodnight.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1540. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 23:35:05 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <sLWdnScgWaSuwAvfRVn-oQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108723

N9OGL wrote:

> AND YOUR A BUTT MUCH ASSHOLE CB OPERATOR WHO ONLY KNOWS 5WPM....AND
> YOUR LITTLE BOYFRIEND STEVE AND YOU JUST LOVE GIVEN EACH OTHER KNOB
> JOBS ....ASSHOLES.
>
> TODD N9gay
> THE N9gay SHOW
>

Did I hit a nerve gay boy? Every reply you make is gay in nature. See how wrong you were when you said I didn't know code, we'll just add this to the very long list of your gay lies!
Have a ferry nice gay day is Gaylorville.

The next time you are trying to put your sad signal on 14.321.0000 MHz, unkey for a second and look at how strong my signal is 3.000 kc up!

1541. Re: Thank-You, Lennie, For Proving Your Cowardice Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 23:50:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117151420.493829.317850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: K4YZ on May 24, 2:42 am

> Your vileness in the face of the looming Memorial Day is even more
>emphatic than usual...even for you.

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary
figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely
path
of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron in the
other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air,
interspersed
with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The
Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the valley of
neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts
cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow
steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a
cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the
Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the
tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder
leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering
sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred
lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

1542. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-26 23:51:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eitle.160$c_1.6296640@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee:

That is all fine and dandy, and a scope goat for the why "hams are NOT dying" mantra... what group(so) are a smaller minority than the hams, and why should anyone (even the FCC) bother with such a small group--when--it can be combined with other users and managed much more intelligently, fairly and cheaply... hams are just not that special--this is all ancient technology they have and deal with, the future needs to combine young engineers and scientists into communications so everyone ends up with a better use of the radio spectrum...

The younger hams should set the course, they will inherit what they build... within one decade many of those holding ham tickets will be gone, in two decades the numbers are LARGE, in three decades those numbers are FRIGHTENING!!!!

Those under 40 would best pilot this ship...

Regards,
John
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:MIudnew_hv92zgvfRVn-sA@comcast.com...
>
> "Too_Many_Tools" <too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1117078310.573154.258100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>I would agree...the days of hamfests are coming to a close.
>>
>> I was a faithful attendee of Dayton until 1999....since then I have NO
>> urge to go since Ebay serves my needs well.
>>
>> This last summer I went to our local hamfest after not going for ten
>> years...it confirmed that I had not missed anything by not going.
>>
>> I seriously doubt that the Dayton Hamvention will last another ten
>> years.
>>
>> I also suspect we will begin seeing manufacturers going the same route
>> as the hobby continues to die out.
>>
>> TMT
>>
>
> It won't die out unless prospective hams choose to believe false
> statements like "... as the hobby continues to die out". You are

> attempting to create a self fulfilling prophecy. There are bound to be
> fluctuations both up and down over the years but at over 600,000 licensed
> hams we are not yet in any danger of dying out unless people believe
> unsupported statements like yours.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1543. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 00:11:21 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117152681.153277.68190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Those under 40 would best pilot this ship..."

JS> But look at the pilots of the ARRL.

Do you know of a source for reliable data on ham licenses that drills down below the often quoted total licenses outstanding. Are there any studies that estimate the number of active licenses and ages of the holders and how those numbers have trended over time.

1544. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 00:26:19 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117153579.217102.21740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

no, you got the truckstop toiletworker's discount!

1545. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 00:32:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <kUtle.164$oE3.6513729@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

that is an interesting question, I think statistics became so bad in 1999 to
2002 that they have began hiding them, what do you have?

Warmest regards,
John

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1117152681.153277.68190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> "Those under 40 would best pilot this ship..."
>
> JS> But look at the pilots of the ARRL.
>
> Do you know of a source for reliable data on ham licenses that drills
> down below the often quoted total licenses outstanding. Are there any
> studies that estimate the number of active licenses and ages of the
> holders and how those numbers have trended over time.
>

1546. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 00:35:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <nXtle.165$mY3.6614147@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

... well, it usually works like that, you find it just after you claim it is
hard to do, minutes later...
http://ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html
http://ah0a.org/FCC/

Warmest regards,
John

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1117152681.153277.68190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> "Those under 40 would best pilot this ship..."
>
> JS> But look at the pilots of the ARRL.
>
> Do you know of a source for reliable data on ham licenses that drills
> down below the often quoted total licenses outstanding. Are there any
> studies that estimate the number of active licenses and ages of the
> holders and how those numbers have trended over time.
>

1547. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 00:48:46 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <VrSdnZ8eb_1XEgvfRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108747

Siegfried Kemper wrote:
> Poor "LIAR" Butt Cornholer, he spells like Mongolloyd Davies and
> has that obsolete license.
> He's too stupid and lazy to upgrade to Extra, he makes all kinds
> of excuses why he holds onto that obsolete ham license, and
> makes up lies that he has a pilot's license.
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
>
>
>
Gee wogie, you finally wake up from your drug induced stupor and
struggle to the keyboard to grace us with your babbling again?

1548. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 01:12:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117156344.985321.164350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108728

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
> > > > > > > > and international socio-political politics are another group.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to be afraid
> > > > > > > to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > In order to have a "civil discussion" on Amateur Radio policy,
> > > > > > Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make
> > > > > > informed opinions or suggestions from.
> > > > >
> > > > > That never stopped Mike Powell or any of his predecessors.
> > > >
> > > > "Mike Powell (and his) predecessors" staff out assignmentss who
> > > > ARE informed on the various issues.
> > >
> > > Like they were informed about refarming the 220 band to UPS? BPL?
> >
> > "UPS" was not the only entity involved in that "deal" Brain, and
> > Amateur Radio operators are as responsible for that folly as anyone.
>
> Well, that's one opinion....
>
> > We had more than andequate opportunity to get that band "loaded
> > up" like 2 meters ('Use It Or Lose It") but didn't.
>
> That happened for a bunch of reasons.
>
> First off, 220 is not a ham band by international treaty. It's
> primarily land mobile - we get it as a secondary allocation here in
> Region 2 because the FCC lets us. Most parts of the world have never
> had a band there. That's why it's not used for satellite comms.
>
> And because of the relatively small market, the selection of
> manufactured rigs for 220 was and is less than for 2 meters or 440.
>

> All of which means FCC could reallocate some or all of 220 without
> waiting for treaty changes.
>
> > BTW...remember the FIRST 'threat' to the 1.25m band and for what
> > purpose?
>
> Yup. It was stopped by two factors: Opposition by hams (including
> ARRL), and
> disinterest by the users who would supposedly migrate there.
>
> The first threat was from the EIA, who saw an opportunity to sell lots
> of new
> radios, antennas and accessories, while relieving the congestion on the
> existing
> allocation. The users didn't like the fact that almost all of their
> existing equipment would become useless.
>
> In both cases, the original threat was to the entire band, then part of
> it.
>
> Perhaps more amateur activity would have saved 220-222.
>
> > > > > But it must Len. Len must be stopped "SOMEhow!" At any cost.
>
> Fun fact: I haven't seen anyone here tell Len to shut up (as in "shut
> the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel") or to go away. But he has done
> so several times.
>
> Who is trying to stop who?
>
> > > > I may or may not like the politics of Mr Powell, but whether he
> > > > has said or done anyhing I didn't like, I can't say he was lying or
> > > > obviously being deceitful in his dealings with Amateur Radio.
>
> C'mon, Steve, anyone who knows a little about radio knows that BPL is a
> real
> threat to every radio service that uses the same frequencies. Anyone
> who
> knows a little about the whole theory of why FCC exists knows that one
> of its
> fundamental purposes is to protect licensed radio services from
> avoidable
> interference - either from other radio services, or from other
> electrical
> devices and systems.
>
> All Mr. Powell had to do was look at the measurements and observations
> made
> by any number of observers - including but not limited to ARRL - and it
> was

> obvious what would happen. Or look at the experiences in other
> countries. Or
> declare that *any* interference complaints would result in immediate
> BPL system shutdown until the problem was fixed. Instead, the business
> model overrode the engineering model.
>
> Perhaps all the outcry from hams and the ARRL may yet carry the day
> against
> BPL. Note how few systems are actually in operation, and how many have
>
> shut down. Note also how many that were under consideration have not
> gone
> forward due to the interference issue. Meanwhile, DSL, cable and WiFi
> expand
> daily.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

So in the end, Jim confirms that Steve's "assertion of fact," i.e., "Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make informed opinions or suggestions from" doesn't stop anyone else from making uninformed decision which changes all of ham radio. So if Mike Powell can have an uninformed opinion, why can't anyone else?

1549. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 01:18:30 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117156710.448746.66430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Thu, 26 May 2005 07:49:25 -0700, RST Engineering wrote:
>
> > Are you kidding? They've gotten more ink in this newsgroup than any of the
> > other vendors. I'd say the ploy worked.
>
> Yeah, negative advertising, they look like cheapskates compared to
> Kenwood and Icom, Yaesu couldn't even donate a cheap HT.
>
> Regards,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

ICOM had the Very YL's in bathrobes.

1550. Re: News From Japan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 01:25:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117157133.034642.164370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108732

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1117099151.587691.322560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > The Japanese Ministry of Post and Telecommunications announced a
> > > reduction of Morse Code test requirements on May 24th, 2005.
>
> >
> > Interesting that they chose not to eliminate it entirely given the change in
> > treaty requirements.
>
> Yes, Dee, *very* interesting!
>
> Any info on their rationale?
>
>
> None so far.

Just jump on the low end of 20 and find out. Remember, CW
communications transcends all language barriers.

But it's noteworthy that it took them so long to make the
> change, and it won't be effective form several months yet.

There's always the bright side, right?

> The number of JA amateur station licenses has been steadily declining
> for about 10 years, even with their nocodetest 4th class HF license. It
> will be interesting to see what happens to the number of JA hams with
> these changes.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

May you live in interesting times.

1551. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 01:26:58 UTC
From: nobody <nobody@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <119cufkp6ij7gcd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 108736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Been to your local mall lately?... Young girls in pajamas and fuzzy slippers
is apparently the "in" thing right now, but if Icom is trying to associate
itself with this type of crowd there's something terribly wrong...

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1116900096.072591.322740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.

1552. Re: News From Japan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 01:27:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117157231.391086.8560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108733

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1117124727.720900.74060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1117099151.587691.322560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> > The Japanese Ministry of Post and Telecommunications announced a
> >> > reduction of Morse Code test requirements on May 24th, 2005.
> >
> >>
> >> Interesting that they chose not to eliminate it entirely given the change
> >> in
> >> treaty requirements.
> >
> > Yes, Dee, *very* interesting!
> >
> > Any info on their rationale?
> >
> >
> > None so far. But it's noteworthy that it took them so long to make the
> > change, and it won't be effective form several months yet.
> >
> > The number of JA amateur station licenses has been steadily declining
> > for about 10 years, even with their nocodetest 4th class HF license. It
> > will be interesting to see what happens to the number of JA hams with
> > these changes.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> Perhaps they want the capability of being able to copy the Russian, Chinese,
> and the N. Koreans.
>
> Gee.....just like the old Eastern Block countries did.....they still have
> the CW. Gee wonder why?
>
> May I venture an opinion? Hows this? When the crap hits the fan and all
> we have left is basic CW to communicate with....where are the ops coming
> from?

Here's one. I'll still be able to talk, and key the microphone.

1553. Re: Old farts like Robeson, Len and bb have ruined this group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 01:30:38 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117157438.190965.289960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > George Orwell wrote:
> > > Nobody gives a shit about your MARS activities and we're fucking
> > > fed up with reading about it. I personally believe that Robeson,
> > > "bb," and Lennie are the biggest fools on rram and rrap, but I'm
> > > stuck over here in Kuwait reading things through Google and can't
> > > filter out their dreck.
> > >
> > > Fortunately, there are still web boards like qrz.com where idiots
> > > like them are simply not tolerated. I'm gone from here and will
> > > be reading the web boards exclusively. P
> >
> > Loved your book, 1984. Didn't think you'd still be kicking.
> >
> > So have you done any hamming in Kuwait? Need anything?
>
> He could prolly use some of your homebrewed antennas, kick a carton of
> 'em to him.

I'm sure he'd prefer my homebrewed ales and wine, but I'm not about to
ship such contraban to that theater.

Why don't you mail him a Bible?

1554. Steve's Shame - Best of Luck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 01:32:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117157578.982144.121410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108735

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > Actually I DID get some front seat time, Lennie...As many Enlisted
> > > Aircrewmen do in the USMC...'authorized' or not.
> > >
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > One of Steve's rare admissions of wrongdoing.
>
> At least I >>DO<< admit when I make a mistake...

I guess 1 out of 200 makes you honest and reliable. Best of Luck

1555. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 01:52:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117158722.324619.162850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108737

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > So what indeed...You're the Johnny-Come-Lately, Hans...You tell
> ME
> > > > "so what".
> > >
> > > "Johnny-Come-Lately" ?!?! By any measure you care to use, you are
> > decidedly
> > > junior to me in both TIS and TIG. Get used to it, boot!
> > >
> > > But back to "so what" ... You call Todd a liar for signing a post
> > "Todd
> > > O'Dochartaigh N9OGL".
> > >
> > > By that same measure you are "lying in public" (your term) when you
> > sign a post
> > > "Steve, K4YZ" since FCC records do not show anyone named "Steve" as
> > holder of
> > > the call sign K4YZ.
> > >
> > > Sunuvagun!
> > >
> > > ZBM2,
> > >
> > > de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> > Steve falls apart when you apply his rules to his behavio[u]r. He
> just
> > can't meet his own expectations.
>
> "Falls apart"...?!?!
>
> You'd like to think so, I guess.......

Welp, you certainly didn't comply with your own demands.

> An abbreviated FIRST name is a commom familiarity in American
> communication.

My first name has no abbreviation or nicknames. Sometimes I'm required
to sign initials, such as on a mortgage document. Is that OK with you?

> A completely different LAST name is an "alias".

You must have a point? Best of luck getting to it.

> Or that's how law enforcement sees it.

Oh, definitely (???).

> Steve, K4YZ

You're getting stranger and stranger each day, Roberson. Why not just reply to Hans about your alias issues?

And when are you going to explain why you posted my house number on this forum?

1556. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 01:58:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117159112.111667.258800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108738

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed,May 25 2005 3:51 pm
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > Was FEC Hq "in danger" from
> >> > its "rear-area location?" Depends on what you "4F" types
> >> > want to call "danger" from your safe locations in the states.
> >>
> >> I was never classified "4F", Len. I still have my draft card, btw.
> >
> >And your college deferment? marital? fatherhood?
>
> Actually irrelevant...the "draft" ended on 27 January 1973. :-)
>
> Jimmie isn't really old enough to be CONSIDERED for any "draft"
> prior to the beginning of 1973, despite his self-portrayal as
> a "seasoned old ham." [back to the smokehouse with that one...]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He has a "draft card." I guess if he gets it punched five times, the
sixth beer is free?

1557. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 02:03:01 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ih4dd14.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 108743

On 24 May 2005 10:10:34 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>> > And even after the exams
>> > became multiple choice type,
>
>(about 1960 for the General)

Way before that. In took my Novice and Tech exams in 1952 and they
were multiple choice.

BTW - relative to when the marital and parent draft deferments
stopped - it was at the end of August 1965. My wedding to my
now-deceased former wife was on August 22, 1965 and there were a lot
of weddings that weekend because of the end of the deferment (which
didn't affect me because I had a medical deferment).

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1558. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 02:04:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <2aidnXbMSM7cHQvfRVn-vQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108739

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>
>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>>>... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always
>>>>>have
>>>>>been as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test
>>>>>taking" could study for a day and pass the most challenging
>>>
>>>
>>>>>I think you need to go back and look at the early exams. There was
>>>>>a time when an applicant was required to actually draw a schematic of
>>>>>various circuits and explaine how they worked.
>>>>
>>>> Is that supposed to be hard?
>>>
>>>
>>>Depends on the person. For someone who knows a little radio theory and
>>>the regulations of the amateur radio service, none of the tests were
>>>very hard.
>>>
>>>Heck, I passed the old General and Advanced class tests in 1968 - at
>>>the age of 14. That was the summer between 8th and 9th grade for me. No
>>>big deal, there were younger hams than me with Extras back then.
>>>
>>>The difference between then and now is the test *method* more than the
>>>content.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>And even after the exams
>>>>>became multiple choice type,
>>>
>>>
>>>(about 1960 for the General)
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>>>one had to know the material to get the
>>>>>correct answer as the answers to the acutal questions were not
>>>>>available.
>>>>
>>>> Yeah. You'll find that question pool bugaboo in a lot of fields
>>>>thesedays, including fields where if a person makes a mistake because of
>>>>not knowing the material, lives may be lost.
>>>
>>>
>>>Good or bad, I don't think FCC will go back to the old way.
>>
>>Nope.
>
>
> So it's really immaterial what the old exams were like, other than to
> point out the differences. Newer hams have no choice in the matter -
> they can't take the old tests even if they wanted to.

Indeed, what would be the reason? Those old tests aren't really
relevant today. One poster asked questions about push-pull amps and
obscure modulation schemes. (except to a few AM'ers) While all this is
very interesting - it isn't relevant to most of hamming today.

> One more difference about the old tests, though: Judging by the
> study guides, the old tests focused on a few subject areas in depth,
> while the new tests cover more subject areas but in much less detail.
>
>
>>>>>There were study guides with sample questions, but no
>>>>>questions pools with the exact answer available for memorization.
>>>>
>>>> Now if you want *really* hard, make it no study guide, no question
>>>>pool, and the applicant has to do all the learning research with NO
>>>>idea of what is on the test! 8^)
>>>
>>>
>>>The old study guides were essay-type Q&A that outlined the general area
>>>of knowledge. One question could cover a *lot* of ground. The old Extra
>>>study guide was as much as 279 questions at one point.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>If you
>>>>>did not know the theory, then you probably weren't going to pass.
>>>>>Again john smith knows not of what he speaks.
>>>>
>>>> I took the tests from the question pools. For me, they were all
>>>>pretty easy. They were not easy because of the question pools. They were
>>>>easy because they were fairly basic material.

>>>
>>>
>>>But you had seen the exact Q&A before, right?
>>
>> Weell, the key word is "exact". I noticed that when I took my Extra
>>exam, many of the answers appeared in a different order than they were
>>in the question pool. I came away convinced that the person who
>>memorized the question pool was actually doing things the hard way.
>
>
> The way most people would set out to "memorize" the Q&A is to simply
> learn to associate the right answer with the question by any means
> possible. You don't need a verbatim memorization nor any info about the
> distractors.
>
> That's a lot different than actually understanding the material.

Do you really think "most" people would do that? I would expect that I
have something in common with most who want to become a ham, which is to
say an abiding interest in the subject. As a person who came up through
the pool system, it didn't take much time to figure out that I was going
to spend a lot more time memorizing the test than I would just learning
the material.

>
>>>> For the Extra, I spent a week taking the on-line tests. Questions
>>>>that I knew the answer to, I got right of course.
>>>>
>>>> Those that I got wrong earned me a trip to the books or online to
>>>>find out why I got it wrong. By the time I was finished, I aced the test
>>>>just about every time on line, and then in the actual test.
>>>>
>>>> And I knew the material.
>>>>
>>>> Elapsed time, one week.
>>>
>>>
>>>For you.
>>
>> Absolutely.
>>
>>
>>>But I bet you had more than a little electrical/radio knowledge before
>>>you ever looked at a ham radio study guide.
>>
>> Yup. I think that my level of expertise was just a little skewed. I got
>>sidelined onto computers fairly early in the 1970's. Then I worked
>>mostly in digital, then changed careers, going into photography,
>>videography, and 3-d animation (waaayy too many hats to wear, but
>>whatever) But I did have a good bit of electrical experience
>

>
> So you knew most of the material already! And what you didn't know was
> more of
> an extension to your existing knowledge base in the electricity area,
> rather
> than a completely new field.
> >
>
>>>> Now the Morse code was another thing entirely. That was hard.
>
>
> Besides your auditory situation, it was hard for another reason: It was
> new,
> and did not represent an extension of your existing knowledge base the
> way
> learning some more electronic/radio theory did.
>
>
>>>> But then I'm just a dum nickel extra! ;^)
>
>
>>>I bet it says the same thing on your license as it does on mine. With
>>>no mention of dumb or nickles, Mike.
>>>
>>>Each of us met the requirements in force at the time of being licensed.
>>>That the requirements changed over time isn't usually due to the people taking
>>>the new tests.
>>
>> Yup. My comment was mostly sarcasm. The only way that anyone knows my
>>"vintage" is by my callsign
>
>
> Sort of. I know hams with 2x3 callsigns who have been Extras for 30+
> years. They
> just never went for a vanity call.
>
>
>>>Looking down on somebody today because they didn't take the same tests
>>>you took years ago is kind of like getting mad at someone who paid less
>>>for a VCR last week than you paid 20 years ago....
>>
>>HAR!
>>
>
> But isn't that true?
>
> Back in 1997 I paid over $2k for a new Dell system. 200 MHz 32 MB
> Pentium II, 17" Trinitron monitor, HP 820 printer, etc. Today you
> couldn't get $50 for it (if you
> could even find someone to buy it!) - in part because for $500 you

> could buy a new Dell system that was an order of magnitude more
> computer in almost every way.
>
> Should I be mad at the person who spends $500 today because s/he got a
> new Dell
> for 1/4 what I paid 8 years ago?

Obviously some do!

I just like to tweak some of the folk who *know* that the hams of old were so superior. As time goes on, I hear of old time 20 meter and 80 meter shenanigans, and there was no no-coders to blame it on, just people who passed their difficult tests in front of a steely eyed F.C.C agent, after having to travel 5000 miles in a blizzard or monsoon or dust storm or whatever with cardboard tied to their feet and two hot potatoes in their pockets for sustenance... ;^)

Things like that are for the most part just examples of how time has changed.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1559. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 02:09:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <eeednanup5LBHAvfRVn-3w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108740

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>
>> One possible explanation is that the real problem
>> is publicity and image, not license requirements.
>>
>> If people don't know what ham radio is, the license
>> requirements have no effect on them.
>
>
> Ham radio just isn't very appealing to the current generation. There are
> too many other things to compete, computers, the Internet, vidoe games.
> Kids had rather be skilled at playing the latest video game than have
> technical skills in some outdated (to them) mode of communication. They
> had much rather build a computer than a radio. Who needs a ham radio
> station to talk to someone in another state or even in another country,
> just whip out the cell phone. Almost every teenager now has one.

Probably be a good idea to get rid of the idea that ham radio is just
about talking to people in different countries.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1560. Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 02:16:40 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <atmdnZJoQrOPHgvfRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108741

Didn't you say 10 years ago? Get new batteries for your calculator, this adds up to 6 years ago fool!

Subject:
Re: America: Sold Out
Date:
Mon, 31 May 1999 21:24:26 GMT
From:
n9ogl@my-deja.com
Organization:
Deja.com - Share what you know. Learn what you don't.
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.pirate
References:
1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , 6

<BIG SNIP!!!>
Hello kevin,

First off I apply twice for a license and got the run around from the FCC. The FCC is here to serve the public intrest and I my humble opinion the FCC does not serve the public intrest. Secondly I really didn't have to fill the form out to begin with because the form for low power television (form 346 or something like that) does not have a proper OMB number which violates the Paper Reduction Act. Next, My applications were returned because there was no filing window. yet, at the same time they were handing out low television translators, low power Television Boosters, and low power television licenses out left and right so screw the FCC. Bill Kennard also told me in a email letter that the FCC has only juridiction over INTERSTATE AND FOREIGN COMMUNICATION so my signal, being only 8 watts on UHF will not cross the state line and is therefore not in the FCC juridiction and finally Go ahead and turn me in because I'm going on the air without one...I'm going on the air to serve my communites intrest. So go ahead and call the FCC...if they shut me down it will show they don't serve the public intrest. Because shutting it down will hurt this community which has no local television service.

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
624 East Main Cross
Taylorville, Illinois 62568

1561. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 02:18:56 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Wb2dnfbrTq0OHgvfRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 108742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 26 May 2005 18:18:30 -0700, bb wrote:

> ICOM had the Very YL's in bathrobes.

So far nobody seems to have any idea what the the point was they were trying to communicate. I sure don't, but at least Icom donated some equipment for prizes.

Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1562. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 02:28:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117160883.017979.146990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108744

.....And your point??? your bring up information that's old and is consider closed by me and he federal goverment....unfortunately You, Dave Heil, Steve and cornwhore are stupid and can understand that..

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz
THE TRUE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO!!

1563. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 02:37:06 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <oOudnehe4JNhNgvfRVn-pg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108850

N9OGL wrote:
>>Some Dumbass said
>>What is "he federal goverment"?
>
>
> It is suppose to be The federal Goverment
>
> and there is no Vanderveer house, I don't know where you came up with
> that
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
> THE IDIOT VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
>

1564. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 02:39:50 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <VNednQqktfbkFQvfRVn-qg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108745

N9OGL wrote:

> .....And your point??? your bring up information that's old and is
> consider closed by me and he federal goverment....unfortunately You,
> Dave Heil, Steve and cornwhore are stupid and can understand that..
>
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz

Who cares what you consider closed? What is "he federal goverment"?
What in the world does this mean "stupid and can understand that"?
And what is a lowlife 13 wpm General ex stockboy from Wally world doing
calling 20 wpm Extra's stupid?
Toad, did you work at the Vanderveer House before you got fired from
Wall-Mart?

1565. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 02:54:45 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117162485.610739.296400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Unfortunately I don't have much...only rumor and innuendo.

The FCC statistics are interesting and show what appears to be a gradual decline in membership - The total of renewals plus new licenses is less than total expirations. The FCC staff analysis in 2000 was not exactly glowing in it's projection about the future - kind of a gradual decline. It would be interesting to see the data over a longer period of time but I believe FCC changed it's methods around 2000.

What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to know the answer to.

There may be a point in the future where the new loss of members begins to increase but there is no way to forecast that because licenses are good for 10 years and there is no way to project future expirations because we don't have any idea what current license usage is.

1566. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 03:24:50 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <42969302.1CD95F5E@yapoo.com>
Source record: 108748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

-.-. --.- ?

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Hi gang!
>
> Just for some grins, check this out:
> http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>
> It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
> sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I listened
> to about 37 years ago ....
>
> The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

1567. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 04:26:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117168004.161869.319700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108749

>Some Dumbass said
>What is "he federal goverment"?

It is suppose to be The federal Goverment

and there is no Vanderveer house, I don't know where you came up with
that

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz
THE REAL VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

1568. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 05:19:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117171171.785842.295810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108851

> THE IDIOT VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

Commander Buttwhore, the asshole of rec.radio.amateur.policy

1569. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 05:35:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117172159.049438.52860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108852

From: "bb" on Thurs,May 26 2005 6:12 pm

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > bb wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > bb wrote:
>> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>So in the end, Jim confirms that Steve's "assertion of fact," i.e.,
>"Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make
>informed opinions or suggestions from" doesn't stop anyone else from
>making uninformed decision which changes all of ham radio. So if Mike
>Powell can have an uninformed opinion, why can't anyone else?

Nobody can unless they've taken a code test. Mikey Powell
didn't so Judge Sir James of Morsemanhall forced him to
resign... :-)

beep, beep

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1570. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 05:40:47 UTC
From: Jack Hamilton <jfh@acm.org>
Message-ID: <n2cd915f7vkptdgamu36hip868j9hq9ng2@4ax.com>
Source record: 108853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>The younger hams should set the course, they will inherit what they build...
>within one decade many of those holding ham tickets will be gone, in two
>decades the numbers are LARGE, in three decades those numbers are
>FRIGHTENING!!!!
>
>Those under 40 would best pilot this ship...

And people under 40 (or under 50, for that matter) aren't going to
bother with Morse Code, for the most part.

It will be interesting to see what the license numbers look like in a
few years in countries that have eliminated the code requirement. Will
the numbers be better there? For that matter, are other countries
seeing the same apparent declines that we see in the US?

--
Jack Hamilton
Sacramento, California
--
<> Qui vit sans folie n'est pas si sage qu'il croit.
<> Franï¿½ois VI, duc de La Rochefoucauld

1571. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 06:31:34 UTC
From: Roger <Glendale@WVByGod>
Message-ID: <d76f19$1ho$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108854

.....And your point??? your bring up information that's old and is
consider closed by me and he federal goverment....unfortunately You,
Dave Heil, Steve and cornwhore are stupid and can understand that..

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz
THE TRUE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO!!

>

Toddie. At the risk of getting too personal, may I ask you a question?

You said you are angaged to a gal. As in plans for marriage?

Lloyd told me (you know I hate Lardass with a passion and don't believe him)
that your woman friend is not a natural born woman. Lloyd said your friend
used to be a boy but had an accidental sex change when he slid down a
bowling alley and got his manhood caught in the pin setting machine.
Lloyd told me your future wife used to have male plumbing but now there is
nothing but mangled mush there.

Just to prove your claim, would you post a photo of your gal on your blog?
If y'all are serious about getting married then the offer to move in with me
in Glendale is off. Two numbnuts is company, two and a half is a crowd.

Maybe I'll ask Les to move in with me instead. Two numbnuts guys is company
and I need someone to help split the rent.

Roger

1572. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 06:41:08 UTC
From: Roger <Glendale@WVByGod>
Message-ID: <d76fdh$21b$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I still think we should send bb a Get Well card.
Father's Day is upcoming and bb is not well.

1573. Dayton...much ado about nothing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 10:30:23 UTC
From: Sal <Clone@noEmail>
Message-ID: <d76src$nk1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108856

So what is the big deal about Dayton? Is it the Nirvana of Ham Radio? Paradise Lost?
What one sees, for the most part, is a scurvy collection of goofily clad old men trailing homemade carts or modified grocery carts behind them as they drag "for sale" junk behind them.
Forget getting close to the new vendors booths. The Old Farts gather around the new vendors booths and dawdle for hours on end. No, they won't spend a penny, but they love to stand in front of the new vendors booths and share their flatulence with those standing behind them. The only way to get close to the new vendor booths is to hope that one of the Old Men wets his Relys and has to head to the bathroom.

Then there is always the Double Dip who comes to the fest with six handie-talkies on his aleady overburdended 1960's white belt. You have seen them. Six HT's, three on each side with mics dangling from every angle. It makes you wonder how the Goons keep their pants up.
And what Dayton Hamfest would not be complete without at least one Goofball running around the arena with a small beam antenna mounted on his hat? You have seen the likes. Beam me up, Scotty.

Oh, and let us not ignore the ever present Junk Vendors in the outside arena. Anybody want to buy a burned out Marine battery? Some ten year old AA batteries still in the orginal 1995 package?

Dayton is far from the Ham Radio Mecca it once used to be. It has turned into a Flea Market.

I went to Dayton once. After arriving at 0700 and not being allowed into the main arena until 11:00 I quickly wrote Dayton off, never to return.

It is not worth the trip.

1574. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 12:41:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117197689.892579.13300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108857

N9OGL wrote:
> .....And your point??? your bring up information that's old and is
> consider closed by me and he federal goverment....

Oh..?!?! You speak for the federal government now...???

I don't think so!

> unfortunately You,
> Dave Heil, Steve and corn###### are stupid and can understand that..

Yes, I can understand that you are stupid and CAN'T understand
that we are going to do our collective best to keep white trash like
you from screwing up a good thing.

> THE TRUE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO!!

An absolute lie.

Steve, K4YZ

1575. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 13:02:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117198946.431087.233570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108858

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,May 26 2005 4:35 am

> >> > > No one doubts his "inside the black box" knowledge,
> >> >
> >> > I do, Steve. Len talks a lot of nomenclature and buzzwords
> >> > but when it comes to actually solving practical radio problems
> >> > we don't see anything. His articles for ham radio (22 years
> >> > ago) were all basic theory, not practical projects.
>
> That's "simply untrue," your most esteemed noble highness
> Sir James of Miccolis. Tsk, tsk, tsk, you haven't read
> beyond your ham publications!

Ahhhhhh....But Lennie, as YOU have been pointing out, THIS forum
is about AMATEUR RADIO...

And at least three of us have been challenging you to present some
sort of reference to something you've done RECENTLY for "radio"...

I'd call anything in the last 5 years "recent".

> >> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....Good point. And when I think back on
> >> it, Lennie fought tooth-and-nail to get involved in a meaningful
> >> discussion on microwave technology.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Stebie has been told a MILLION times not to
> exaggerate!

No exaggeration.

You made the claim that all your engineering bretheren could offer
so much to Amatuer Radio. Then we asked YOU to ante up on some of
that.

You balked.

> >Anybody can "discuss" with a little point-and-click research. I'm
> >talking about actually *doing* something, such as an actual project.
>
> Tsk. Jimmie is invited to the Long Beach, CA, International
> Microwave Symposium during the entire second week of June this
> year. "Actual projects!"

But none by Leonard H. Anderson and certainly none by Leonard H.
Anderson related to Amateur Radio.

Not a one.

> >> Of couse ALL he has offered Amateur Radio are arguments, name
> >> calling and the aforementioned articles in said defunct magazine.
>
> That's "simply untrue," Pilot In Command Stebie...[search Google]

All you have offered Amateur Radio is argument and insult.

> >If Len were really interested in microwaves and amateur radio, he'd
> >have gotten a license years ago. The Tech only required 5 wpm code,
> >when it had a code test.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk! Jimmie gonna have a fit now, I did it differently.
> Was a supervisor of microwave radio relay terminals in 1954-1956
> while in the Army, terminals operating at 1.8 GHz...(SNIP)

Usual "...back in 1954..." story line.

Not a single Amateur Radio relevent item.

> >So? Correct his mistakes without behaving the way he does. Or just
> >ignore him.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Stebie CANNOT ignore anyone. He is emotionally
> volatile and triggers off faster than a vial of nitroglycerine
> in a blender. Stebie shouts and hollers and insults and even
> speaks in tongues, defaming ANYONE disagreeing with him!

What defamation, Lennie?

You're a liar. Been caught dozens of times.

You're not an Amateur Radio licensee. Siad you'd GET an Amateur
license, but never did.

Your SOLE purpose here is as combative-antagonist.

You're the RRAP version of Iraqui "insurgents"....Most are not
even Iraqui, and just come in form other places to get into a fight.

> Ah, but there is now Love and Harmony in the PCTA Extra Double
> Standard bearers. Jimmie now condones Stebie's actions.

What "actions"...?!?! Calling a liar a liar? What's wrong with
that?

> Be sure and spit on
> any U.S. military veterans who don't love and cherish morse
> code on Memorial Day.

I've restrained myself on a lot of my adjectives of choice,
Lennie, but that comment warrants the re-issuance of the title
"scumbag" upon your shouders.

You brazenly wordsmith yourself into familiarity with Soldiers who
died in combat before you were ever in the service, then claim victim
status as a "veteran" when it suits you for your own emotional

handwringing.

FYI, Lennie...Since your offering of a <middle finger salute> the other day I've started collecting caffinated drinks...I'll have a very special 'salute' for YOUR funeral...(Hint to Mrs Lennie...wear Scotchguarded footwear that day...)

Steve, K4YZ

1576. Leonard H. Anderson..."Veteran" of Shame...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 13:08:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117199283.242950.179160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108859

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Actually I DID get some front seat time, Lennie...As many Enlisted
> > > > Aircrewmen do in the USMC...'authorized' or not.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > One of Steve's rare admissions of wrongdoing.
> >
> > At least I >>DO<< admit when I make a mistake...
>
> I guess 1 out of 200 makes you honest and reliable. Best of Luck

No luck needed Brain.

You've not even REMOTELY demonstrated "lie #1", let alone any
subsequent.

You, however, we have bagged and tagged.

Steve, K4YZ

1577. Re: Toad Daugherty has all the earmarks of being gay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 13:14:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117199692.649784.138800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108860

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> > > >
> > > > > So what indeed...You're the Johnny-Come-Lately, Hans...You tell
> > ME
> > > > > "so what".
> > > >
> > > > "Johnny-Come-Lately" ?!?! By any measure you care to use, you are
> > > decidedly
> > > > junior to me in both TIS and TIG. Get used to it, boot!
> > > >
> > > > But back to "so what" ... You call Todd a liar for signing a post
> > > "Todd
> > > > O'Dochartaigh N9OGL".
> > > >
> > > > By that same measure you are "lying in public" (your term) when you
> > > sign a post
> > > > "Steve, K4YZ" since FCC records do not show anyone named "Steve" as
> > > holder of
> > > > the call sign K4YZ.
> > > >
> > > > Sunuvagun!
> > > >
> > > > ZBM2,
> > > >
> > > > de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > >
> > > Steve falls apart when you apply his rules to his behavio[u]r. He
> > just
> > > can't meet his own expectations.
> >
> > "Falls apart"...?!?!
> >
> > You'd like to think so, I guess.......
>
> Welp, you certainly didn't comply with your own demands.

There was no 'demand' to start with.

> > An abbreviated FIRST name is a commom familiarity in American
> > communication.

>
> My first name has no abbreviation or nicknames. Sometimes I'm required
> to sign initials, such as on a mortgage document. Is that OK with you?

There are nick-names for "Brian".

> > A completely different LAST name is an "alias".
>
> You must have a point? Best of luck getting to it.

It's been gotten to. Sorry you weren't paying attention, but then
that's not a new event for you, is it...?!?!

> > Or that's how law enforcement sees it.
>
> Oh, definitely (???).

Next time law enforcement pulls you over and asks to see your
license, and he says "...are you Mr Burke?", tell him no, that it's
really O'Burketaigh. Wtch the fun begin.

> You're getting stranger and stranger each day, Roberson. Why not just
> reply to Hans about your alias issues?

Why not just reply to me about T5 authorizations, "unlicensed
devices", "ARES" responses, etc etc etc...???

> And when are you going to explain why you posted my house number on
> this forum?

When are YOU going to explain why YOU have not answered the
>>myriad<< of questions put to you in the last 5 years?

Steve, K4YZ

1578. Brian Burke Tries To Get Deployed US Soldiers Flogged By Islamic Clerics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 13:19:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117199978.352278.10300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > He could prolly use some of your homebrewed antennas, kick a carton of
> > 'em to him.
>
> I'm sure he'd prefer my homebrewed ales and wine, but I'm not about to
> ship such contraban to that theater.
>
> Why don't you mail him a Bible?

Sheeeesh...didn't learn a danged thing while you were 'in country',
did you, Burke?

He'd be better off explaining away the alcohol than he would a
Christian Bible.

You're not much on current events and social or religious
sensitivites, are you, "BRAIN"...?!?!

Sheeeesh. What an I D I O T ! ! ! ! ! !!

Steve, K4YZ

1579. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 13:29:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117200546.103888.237430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108862

N9OGL wrote:
> AND YOUR A BUTT MUCH ###HOLE CB OPERATOR WHO ONLY KNOWS 5WPM....

How do you know he only "knows" 5WPM, Todd?

I "tested" at 5WPM in September 1972 and was up to 25WPM by January 1st 1973. Just because he "tested" at 5WPM doesn't mean that's all he's proficient at...

BTW...You indicated that you tested at 13WPM...Good for you...care to exercise it on 20 meter CW just to see if you can keep up...?!?!

Since you think I'm just an "###hole CB operator", let's see how well YOU hold up after 5WPM, Toiddie!

BTW...No keyers, keyboards or electronic devices for encoding or decoding...Straight Key or Bugs only.

> AND
> YOUR LITTLE BOYFRIEND STEVE AND YOU JUST LOVE GIVEN EACH OTHER KNOB
> JOBS ....###HOLES.

Uh huh...He's in MI and I am in TN...

Tell ya what...since you obviously think that he and I are so "big" as to be able to "service" each other from that far away, how about if we only get them HALF as hard and just slap you around in the middle...?!?!

Schmuck.

Steve, K4YZ

1580. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 13:43:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117201410.779755.317700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108863

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Lots of stuff snipped with additional comments below.
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1116710601.789736.259420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

> >>Should we have a pity party for the poor text messaging
> >>record holder. If the text message folks feared a put
> >>down then they had the option to not play. They decided to
> >>play and they lost....fair, square, contrived or how ever
> >>you feel it was inevitable that text messaging would lose.
> >
> > Okay, then you agree it was a SETUP?
>
> I agree the result was predictable...if you want to call
> it a set-up, then so be it.

It takes two hands to use a cellphone for text-messaging purposes.

In only takes two fingers to send Morse Code on a keyer.

The Morse guys were older, hence more experienced, yet the "text"
guys were younger and had greater reaction times and speed.

This really could have gone the other way had the Morse guys had
to "error" a letter and had to retransmit a letter.

I don't see a 'set up'...

> >>Then argue against the test. Your post appeared to be
> >>far more focused against morse use in general rather
> >>than specifically the test requirement.
> >
> > To use an old military term...TS.
>
> Guess you ran out of logical arguments?

Bingo.

> >>> According to the U.S. Census Bureau figures for 2004, there
> >>> are 100 million cell phone subscriptions in the USA. How
> >>> many morse code operators are there in radio in the USA?
> >>
> >>Your point?
> >
> > "Point?" What "point?" I asked "how many morse code operators
> > are there in radio in the USA." [I didn't restrict that to just

> > radio amateurs]
>
> OK, for argument sake, let's say there are 1/3 million morse
> code operators in the USA (hams and anyone else). Now
> what's your point?

There was no "point", Bill...Just Lennie trying to reshuffle the
deck for time.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1581. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 14:02:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117199096.929663.28540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108864

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> I'm still waiting to be arrested by Homeland Security and
> the federal Attorney General on Sedition and Treason
> Against the State for disagreeing with Stebie. [I'm sure
> he called them, using his "professional qualifications" as
> "authority"]

Sheeesh, Lennie...You really DO need to seek some assistance.

And forget Mrs Correspondence School...She hasn't done you any
good up to this point.

Steve, K4YZ

1582. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 14:34:23 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <4KKdnf0JN4MB0ArfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108866

N9OGL wrote:
>>THE IDIOT VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
>
>
> Commander Buttwhore, the asshole of rec.radio.amateur.policy
>

Toddieboy, the gay voice of amateur radio.

1583. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 14:36:17 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <4KKdnfwJN4OA0wrfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108867

K4YZ wrote:
>
> yet the "text"
> guys were younger and had greater reaction times and speed.

But probably more experienced at text messaging that the code guys.

1584. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 14:38:36 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <4KKdnf8JN4Mm0wrfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
> interest wanes.

I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
prices higher than ever.

1585. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 15:21:21 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117207281.823516.14040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee,

I am basing my comments on what I see within the hobby.

When I went to Dayton, I was a member of a group of about 30 members who spent significant time and money to go and we did that for many years.

>From that group, now only less than five people go to Dayton and even those only go every couple years.

These are people who do hold licenses but use them less and less if at all. Many have since sold their stations.

Ham radio is in its twilight years and as the old guard dies off which is happening at an ever increasing rate, the slide into obscurity will accelerate.

As has been noted earlier, take a hard look at the demographics of the attendees of the Dayton Hamvention. It is obvious to the most casual observer that the age of the attendees is heavily weighted to the 50-60+ year range. Give them another ten years and the numbers decline further, it is doubtful that they will have the numbers to sustain the costs incurred to host the Hamvention.

I also think that manufacturers are preparing for this decline. The recent cancellation of the Drake R8B indicates that the hobby is not capable of supporting its equipment needs or the development of new product. The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as interest wanes.

Your thoughts?

TMT

1586. Re: Dayton...much ado about nothing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 18:02:13 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1117216933.420110.3100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108869

Sal wrote:
> So what is the big deal about Dayton? Is it the Nirvana of Ham Radio?
> Paradise Lost?
> What one sees, for the most part, is a scurvy collection of goofily clad old
> men trailing homemade carts or modified grocery carts behind them as they
> drag "for sale" junk behind them.
> Forget getting close to the new vendors booths. The Old Farts gather around
> the new vendors booths and dawdle for hours on end. No, they won't spend a
> penny, but they love to stand in front of the new vendors booths and share
> their flatulence with those standing behind them. The only way to get close
> to the new vendor booths is to hope that one of the Old Men wets his Relys
> and has to head to the bathroom.
>
> Then there is always the Double Dip who comes to the fest with six
> handie-talkies on his aleady overburdended 1960's white belt. You have seen
> them. Six HT's, three on each side with mics dangling from every angle. It
> makes you wonder how the Goons keep their pants up.
> And what Dayton Hamfest would not be complete without at least one Goofball
> running around the arena with a small beam antenna mounted on his hat? You
> have seen the likes. Beam me up, Scotty.
>
>
> Oh, and let us not ignore the ever present Junk Vendors in the outside
> arena. Anybody want to buy a burned out Marine battery? Some ten year old AA
> batteries still in the orginal 1995 package?
>
> Dayton is far from the Ham Radio Mecca it once used to be. It has turned
> into a Flea Market.
>
> I went to Dayton once. After arriving at 0700 and not being allowed into
> the main arena until 11:00 I quickly wrote Dayton off, never to return.
>
> It is not worth the trip.

I dunno, I'd like to make it there one of these days. The event happens
to coincide with our busiest time of the year, so days off (Much less a
week!) are strongly discouraged. I'd love to make it to the Elecraft
booth.

At least my sig made it this year. I worked N8D on 7005 on the last
day. <hi>

Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/ CC #1736

QRP ARCI #11782

1587. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 19:29:44 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <ba12331298e6d5ef80ab46a4451685dd@paranoici.org>
Source record: 108870

High-el slobbered into his jar of cum:

>You said you are angaged to a gal. As in plans for marriage?

>Lloyd told me (you know I hate Lardass with a passion and don't believe him)
>that your woman friend is not a natural born woman. Lloyd said your friend
>used to be a boy but had an accidental sex change when he slid down a
>bowling alley and got his manhood caught in the pin setting machine.
>Lloyd told me your future wife used to have male plumbing but now there is
>nothing but mangled mush there.

Speaking from experience with Layla? Is that how "she" became a
Swedish whore? Or are you just thinking wishfully while you touch
yourself?

>Just to prove your claim, would you post a photo of your gal on your blog?
>If y'all are serious about getting married then the offer to move in with me
>in Glendale is off. Two numbnuts is company, two and a half is a crowd.

You should know, gay wad High-el.

>Maybe I'll ask Les to move in with me instead. Two numbnuts guys is company
>and I need someone to help split the rent.

you and Les are made for each other High-el. He steals from the taxpayer
just like you used to do before you were fired.

1588. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 20:03:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117224197.115059.155810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108871

BTW asshole, i'm not gay and no in no way am I a Lid!!!

Todd N9OGL

1589. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 20:31:34 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <GsLIe.8901$uR4.4838@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data
> we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC
> doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to
> know the answer to.
>
> There may be a point in the future where the new loss of members begins
> to increase but there is no way to forecast that because licenses are
> good for 10 years and there is no way to project future expirations
> because we don't have any idea what current license usage is.
>
Measuring how crowded the bands are? But that would require
measurements from years ago to mean much. Assuming that most
hams using their license spend about 2 to 3% time transmitting and
the rest listening (tuning around the bands looking for interesting
DX or rag chews) one could get a rough idea how many active
hams exist. Do one measurement on a contest weekend, and another
on a non contest weekend. From a QTH in the midwest. One would
have to figure how many hams are in propagation range at the
time of measurement.

1590. Re: Dayton...much ado about nothing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 20:41:03 UTC
From: Dan <my@home.com>
Message-ID: <zBLle.2231$p94.1051@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 108874

Get some new material.

"Sal" <Clone@noEmail> wrote in message news:d76src$nk1$0@pita.alt.net...
> So what is the big deal about Dayton? Is it the Nirvana of Ham Radio?
> Paradise Lost? blah blah blah.....

1591. Re: News From Japan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 20:41:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ABLle.8914$M36.6918@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108875

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1117157231.391086.8560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1117124727.720900.74060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1117099151.587691.322560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > The Japanese Ministry of Post and Telecommunications announced a
>> >> > reduction of Morse Code test requirements on May 24th, 2005.
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Interesting that they chose not to eliminate it entirely given the
>> >> change
>> >> in
>> >> treaty requirements.
>> >
>> > Yes, Dee, *very* interesting!
>> >
>> > Any info on their rationale?
>> >
>> >
>> > None so far. But it's noteworthy that it took them so long to make the
>> > change, and it won't be effective form several months yet.
>> >
>> > The number of JA amateur station licenses has been steadily declining
>> > for about 10 years, even with their nocodetest 4th class HF license. It
>> > will be interesting to see what happens to the number of JA hams with
>> > these changes.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> Perhaps they want the capability of being able to copy the Russian,
>> Chinese,
>> and the N. Koreans.
>>
>> Gee.....just like the old Eastern Block countries did.....they still have
>> the CW. Gee wonder why?
>>
>> May I venture an opinion? Hows this? When the crap hits the fan and
>> all
>> we have left is basic CW to communicate with....where are the ops coming

>> from?
>
> Here's one. I'll still be able to talk, and key the microphone.
>

You can take em to the water, but ya cain't make em drink.

Dan/W4NTI

1592. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 20:41:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <8CLIe.8916$M36.4322@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108873

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1117224197.115059.155810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> BTW asshole, i'm not gay and no in no way am I a Lid!!!
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Any votes on those two subjects?

Dan/W4NTI

1593. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 20:45:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <bGLle.8923$M36.4387@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108876

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1117149681.826432.253430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> AND YOUR A BUTT MUCH ASSHOLE CB OPERATOR WHO ONLY KNOWS 5WPM....AND
> YOUR LITTLE BOYFRIEND STEVE AND YOU JUST LOVE GIVEN EACH OTHER KNOB
> JOBS ....ASSHOLES.
>
> TODD N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
>

Fill in the blanks.....

If it walks like a Duck....it's a _____.

If it talks like a Duck......it's a_____.

If it walks like a Fag.......it's a_____.

If it talks like a Fag........it's a_____.

Dan/W4NTI

1594. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 20:55:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117227311.704958.182960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108877

Well Dan, I am in no way gay nor am I a lid....unlike a few people on here I know.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.
The Voice of Amateur Radio

1595. Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 20:55:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <vPLle.8936$M36.7122@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108878

Just a friendly warning to you Toad. 14.322 is owned and operated by the Mexican, Columbian and Puerto Rican Cartel. They may have to make an example of you if you interupt their business dealings from 14.321.

Why not try 13.555 or 6800, there nice and clear.

Dan/W4NTI

1596. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 20:56:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117227391.824336.243630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108879

your the one who's a LID along with your little buddies K4YZ and KC8GXW

TODD N9OGL

1597. Re: Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 20:58:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117227502.990651.278670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108880

Oh I'm Scared.....and the only thing allowed on 13.555 and 6800 is Part 15.

Todd N9OGL

1598. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 21:30:21 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117229421.825408.208880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108881

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed,May 25 2005 3:35 pm

> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon,May 23 2005 3:57 am
> >>
> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >> >
> >> >"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult thing
> >> >in order to "win an argument." "
> >>
> >> Oh, oh! Reverend Jim issued a Sermon On The Antenna Mount! :-)
> >>
> >> Not only that, the poor guy is still furious over NOT "winning"
> >> a newsgroup argument THREE YEARS AGO! :-)
> >>
> >> Not only that, the "argument" wasn't even about RADIO!
> >>
> >> Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
> >> and international socio-political politics are another group.
> >>
> >> "RADIO" includes all of the HF portion of the EM spectrum. That's
> >> where the major international communications networks WERE in
> >> the 1950s. I was part of that - for three years - as a
> >> volunteer in the United States Army...operating HF transmitters
> >> for an Army station.
> >
> >In Jim's circles, there is no "volunteering." You get bad grades and
> >your waiver goes away. Then the Draftsman comes knocking.
>
> Tsk, the "knocking" stopped about 32 years ago. Jimmie has NO
> worry. He could sit back in comfortable safety in PA and read
> all about the military and international realpolitik without
> once having participated in anything.

How many Soviet aircraft did you see when you were in Japan in the
1950s, Len?

> He became a Virtual
> military expert without once being IN any branch or working in
> any office of the Department of Defense.

I don't claim to be an expert in anything.

You've never been "IN" amateur radio, yet you want to tell us How It
Should Be.

> Getting to the heart of the matter, Jimmie feels TERRIBLY "insulted"
> when one doesn't like what Jimmie likes.

Not true. You're the one who calls people names, and tells them to shut
up
if they don't agree with you.

> [he is regularly bringing up old, faded postings from Google as
> if to continue to "do battle" on those topics forever and ever]

Gee, Len, you bring up even older and more faded stuff about defunct
ham radio magazines and your experiences of a half-century ago. Why
is it OK for you to rehash events of 20, 30, 50 years ago, but not
OK for others to remind you of the events of 2 or 3 years past?

Seems like a double standard on your part.

> Jimmie wants NUMBERS? He is regularly giving some sort of
> tabulation
> on the "valid licenses" of radio amateurs in the USA.

No, I'm not. You're mistaken, Len. Wrong again.

The numbers I post here twice a month are those of current, unexpired
FCC amateur licenses held by individuals. That's stated in each post.
The word
valid is not used to describe them.

You've claimed that FCC doesn't use the word "expired" to describe
licenses in the grace period, but Part 97 shows that you're wrong on
that.

> However, he
> has not described the sorting program used or which download of the
> FCC database used as a base of that tabulation. [slightly over
> three quarters of a million records to process]

So? You've posted the numbers from hamdata.com without describing how
they are generated.

> We are to accept
> his NUMBERS as "correct" because Jimmie never makes mistakes. All
> others, ESPECIALLY those challenging him, always "make mistakes."

That's just not true, Len.
>
> Most folks would accept some website that regularly downloads the
> huge FCC amateur database, sorts it, and presents gross totals.

Then why don't you accept the numbers I post?

> Not Jimmie. He wants "massaged" NUMBERS, showing only the "valid"
> licensees..."valid" in HIS viewpoint.

Nope.

The numbers I post come from a website that regularly downloads the huge FCC amateur database, sorts it, and presents gross totals. All I do is copy them and post them here.

No "massaging".

> Jimmie needs MASSAGING
> of those NUMBERS in order to "show us" something...and thus we get
> the INTERPRETATIONS of those "valid" numbers.

How? The numbers are what they are.

> I especially like his "reason" for maintaining the code test for
> all amateurs having below-30-MHz operating privileges: "Morse
> code is the SECOND-most popular mode on HF, therefore the code
> test 'deserves' to remain!"

I'm glad you like it, Len. It's a really good, valid reason to keep a test of basic Morse Code skill for an amateur radio license.

1599. Re: Dayton...much ado about nothing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 21:33:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Vbednbcfx8S5DwrfRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 108882

"Sal" <Clone@noEmail> wrote in message news:d76src$nk1$0@pita.alt.net...
> So what is the big deal about Dayton? Is it the Nirvana of Ham Radio?
> Paradise Lost?

It's a place to actually see just about every current radio all set up at the same time. It's a place to see a plethora of various styles of antennas set all at one time. I always come back with much new information to consider in improving my station and my mobile setup.

> What one sees, for the most part, is a scurvy collection of goofily clad
> old
> men trailing homemade carts or modified grocery carts behind them as they
> drag "for sale" junk behind them.

There were also a lot of "normal" looking people there.

> Forget getting close to the new vendors booths. The Old Farts gather
> around
> the new vendors booths and dawdle for hours on end. No, they won't spend a
> penny, but they love to stand in front of the new vendors booths and share
> their flatulence with those standing behind them. The only way to get
> close
> to the new vendor booths is to hope that one of the Old Men wets his Relys
> and has to head to the bathroom.

Had no problem whatsoever getting close to the vendors booths new or old.

>
> Then there is always the Double Dip who comes to the fest with six
> handie-talkies on his aleady overburdended 1960's white belt. You have
> seen
> them. Six HT's, three on each side with mics dangling from every angle. It
> makes you wonder how the Goons keep their pants up.
> And what Dayton Hamfest would not be complete without at least one
> Goofball
> running around the arena with a small beam antenna mounted on his hat? You
> have seen the likes. Beam me up, Scotty.
>
>

So what? It makes them happy.

> Oh, and let us not ignore the ever present Junk Vendors in the outside
> arena. Anybody want to buy a burned out Marine battery? Some ten year old
> AA
> batteries still in the orginal 1995 package?
>

So what? It's also a place to find the unusual that you've been looking for.

> Dayton is far from the Ham Radio Mecca it once used to be. It has turned
> into a Flea Market.
>

So what? If the outside vendors want to pay for the spot, let them.

> I went to Dayton once. After arriving at 0700 and not being allowed into
> the main arena until 11:00 I quickly wrote Dayton off, never to return.
>

What did you do wrong? I've never had a problem getting right in at the opening time.

> It is not worth the trip.
>

Too bad you think so.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1600. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 21:33:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117229633.494579.96680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108883

From: Mike Coslo on Thurs 26 May 2005 22:04

>> Should I be mad at the person who spends $500 today because s/he got a
>> new Dell for 1/4 what I paid 8 years ago?
>
> Obviously some do!
>
> I just like to tweak some of the folk who *know* that the hams of old
>were so superior. As time goes on, I hear of old time 20 meter and 80
>meter shenanigans, and there was no no-coders to blame it on, just
>people who passed their difficult tests in front of a steely eyed F.C.C
>agent, after having to travel 5000 miles in a blizzard or monsoon or
>dust storm or whatever with cardboard tied to their feet and two hot
>potatoes in their pockets for sustenance... ;^)

[don't forget uphill both ways... :-)]

> Things like that are for the most part just examples of how time has
>changed.

Ah, but some PEOPLE don't change that much, Mike! :-)

Everything has to be to THEIR WAY when they "made their mark"
as valiant Radio Pioneers of HF the "hard way," they thought
they were the only ones who "worked for it!" [all others got
it "free" or something, never ever actually working for
anything]

In 1945 a young "unknown" writer got an article published in
Wireless World magazine about a revolutionary new idea of using
three satellites in geosynchronous orbits to relay communications
around the globe. Of course, nobody had yet put any satellites
UP there, much less develop rockets that could place them there.
"Experts" in radio of that time generally thought it too "blue
sky" to be practical, a few saying it was "preposterous." About
1998 (give or take) there was a lot of argument about who could
be alloted the LAST of the equatorial orbits for communications
satellites...the spaces had been FILLED. 24/7 communications
satellites have been a common thing for over two decades now,
none of them bothered by the vagaries of the ionosphere.

The young writer had worked for the RAF during WW2 developing
GCA (Ground Controlled Approach) or "blind landing system."
He was a junior "boffin" or technical engineer, had never built
such a communications system before, never even worked on
rockets. He sort of dropped out of the electronics field and

became a novelist, concentrating on science-fiction. He's still living, in Sri Lanka, still writing, still active. His name is Arthur C. Clarke, author of dozens of best-selling novels.

If Clarke had such an "interest" in radio and communications, then he should have become a licensed radio amateur in the UK FIRST according to the Political Correctness of some in here. Can't have any of that speculative nonsense about the future! Everything "best" can only be done on HF bands and the "best" way to do that is by morse code! [that's why all the other radio services on HF still use morse code? :-)]

I guess it is VITAL and IMPORTANT that ALL amateurs KEEP all the anachronisms of the past alive, as A Living Museum of Radio, doing EXACTLY as the pioneers did it over a half century ago. NO deviations, everything according to Procedure, By the Book, Tradition held to the nth degree, Marching In Ranks to the Morse Drumbeat, etc., just as these other expert gurus of amateur radio did in Their youth.

All that for a HOBBY...?

bit, bit

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1601. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 21:36:01 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PvKdnbY08sBYDwrfRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 108884

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1117200546.103888.237430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> N9OGL wrote:
>> AND YOUR A BUTT MUCH ###HOLE CB OPERATOR WHO ONLY KNOWS 5WPM....
>
> How do you know he only "knows" 5WPM, Todd?
>
> I "tested" at 5WPM in September 1972 and was up to 25WPM by January
> 1st 1973. Just because he "tested" at 5WPM doesn't mean that's all
> he's proficient at...
>

Absolutely true. I've worked several Generals that I couldn't keep up with
and I passed the 20wpm test.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1602. Re: Dayton...much ado about nothing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 21:38:43 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <npKdnX1V15r6DgrfRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 108885

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:1117216933.420110.3100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Sal wrote:
>> So what is the big deal about Dayton? Is it the Nirvana of Ham Radio?
>> Paradise Lost?
>> What one sees, for the most part, is a scurvy collection of goofily clad
>> old
>> men trailing homemade carts or modified grocery carts behind them as they
>> drag "for sale" junk behind them.
>> Forget getting close to the new vendors booths. The Old Farts gather
>> around
>> the new vendors booths and dawdle for hours on end. No, they won't spend
>> a
>> penny, but they love to stand in front of the new vendors booths and
>> share
>> their flatulence with those standing behind them. The only way to get
>> close
>> to the new vendor booths is to hope that one of the Old Men wets his
>> Relys
>> and has to head to the bathroom.
>>
>> Then there is always the Double Dip who comes to the fest with six
>> handie-talkies on his aleady overburdended 1960's white belt. You have
>> seen
>> them. Six HT's, three on each side with mics dangling from every angle.
>> It
>> makes you wonder how the Goons keep their pants up.
>> And what Dayton Hamfest would not be complete without at least one
>> Goofball
>> running around the arena with a small beam antenna mounted on his hat?
>> You
>> have seen the likes. Beam me up, Scotty.
>>
>>
>> Oh, and let us not ignore the ever present Junk Vendors in the outside
>> arena. Anybody want to buy a burned out Marine battery? Some ten year old
>> AA
>> batteries still in the orginal 1995 package?
>>
>> Dayton is far from the Ham Radio Mecca it once used to be. It has turned
>> into a Flea Market.
>>

>> I went to Dayton once. After arriving at 0700 and not being allowed into
>> the main arena until 11:00 I quickly wrote Dayton off, never to return.
>>
>> It is not worth the trip.
>
> I dunno, I'd like to make it there one of these days. The event happens
> to coincide with our busiest time of the year, so days off (Much less a
> week!) are strongly discouraged. I'd love to make it to the Elecraft
> booth.
>
> At least my sig made it this year. I worked N8D on 7005 on the last
> day. <hi>
>
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/ CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782
>

I think you would enjoy it. Just seeing all the gear of the various brands and models of radios, antennas, etc all in one place at one time is worth it even if you buy nothing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1603. Leonard H. Anderson Utters Yet ANOTHER Mistruth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 21:40:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117230058.763040.283920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108886

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> I guess it is VITAL and IMPORTANT that ALL amateurs KEEP all
> the anachronisms of the past alive, as A Living Museum of
> Radio, doing EXACTLY as the pioneers did it over a half
> century ago. NO deviations, everything according to
> Procedure, By the Book, Tradition held to the nth degree,
> Marching In Ranks to the Morse Drumbeat, etc., just as
> these other expert gurus of amateur radio did in Their youth.

You keep making this assertion, Lennie, and it's just stone cold proof of MY assertion that you're an unrelenting liar without one bit of fact, substantiation or corroboration.

Thanks for proving me right...again...

Steve, K4YZ

1604. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 21:47:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117230444.743530.221360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108887

N9OGL wrote:
> BTW ###hole...(SNIP)

No attributes again.

> i'm not gay...(SNIP)

Then why are you constantly talking about other men's buttocks and genitalia.

I say you are. Prove me wrong.

> and no in no way am I a Lid!!!

Sure you are. You're broadcasting on 20 meters and too stupid to pick a frequency on 2 meters that is in accordance with the generally accepted band plan. (Please don't give me that silly business about "other states"...Illinois isn't one of them...)

Steve, K4YZ

1605. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 21:57:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117231022.685275.265960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108888

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,May 26 2005 4:35 am
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> >> > Whether the discussion is "informed" or not isn't the issue,
> >> > Steve. My point was about Len's ability to have *civil*
> >> > (as in well-mannered) discussion with those who disagree
> >> > with him.
> >>
> >> Point taken. You're quite right.
> >>
> >> > I've tried many times, but Len insists on responding to
> >> > my disagreement with direct insults, even though I didn't
> >> > insult him. Apparently he sees my disagreement as an insult.
> >>
> >> Obviously.
> >>
> >> Of course his insistance on using diminutives when you clearly
> >> address him with at least the accepted social civility
> >> demonstrates what we've been saying all along.
> >
> >Think about why Len does all that.
>
> Heh heh heh. It couldn't be because of Robeson calling me
> penis head in Yiddish or now trying to level a charge of
> "shame" on my military service record? :-)

Maybe. Trouble is, Len, you respond to everyone who disagrees with you
in the same way, whether they call you such names or not.

You and Steve have been insulting each other steadily for years now. It
would be interesting to go back in Google and see which of you started
that game. Of course, you won't do that.
>
> > But if I just call him Len, or Mr.
> >Anderson, his attempt to misdirect fails.
>
> Sir James, you need not bow but you can quit trying to give
> me the finger...! :-)

Where am I trying to give you anything, Len?

> >This does not mean letting his mistakes go unchallenged. Nor does
> >it mean not calling his bullshit what it is.
>
> Ah...there it is...ANY disagreement with Sir James of Miccolis
> is "bullshit!" :-)

Nope. I just call your bullshit what it is.

> >> > > No one doubts his "inside the black box" knowledge,
> >> >
> >> > I do, Steve. Len talks a lot of nomenclature and buzzwords
> >> > but when it comes to actually solving practical radio problems
> >> > we don't see anything. His articles for ham radio (22 years
> >> > ago) were all basic theory, not practical projects.
>
> That's "simply untrue," your most esteemed noble highness
> Sir James of Miccolis. Tsk, tsk, tsk, you haven't read
> beyond your ham publications! :-)

Sure I have - but that's not the point. When it comes to solving
practical
amateur radio problems, you don't have anything to show us.

> >> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....Good point. And when I think back on
> >> it, Lennie fought tooth-and-nail to get involved in a meaningful
> >> discussion on microwave technology.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Stebie has been told a MILLION times not to
> exaggerate! :-)
>
> >Anybody can "discuss" with a little point-and-click research. I'm
> >talking about actually *doing* something, such as an actual project.
>
> Tsk. Jimmie is invited to the Long Beach, CA, International
> Microwave Symposium during the entire second week of June this
> year. "Actual projects!" :-)

By whom, Len?

> >Len will tell you all about some piece of gear he worked on at some job
> >years ago. But ask him what he has recently built at home, on his own
> >time, with his own resources, and he's got nothing to show you.
>
> WHY must Sir James "be shown?"

You don't have anything to show, do you, Len?

> >He'll go on and on about "some Extras" at the radio store who didn't
> >know much about the triple loop PLL system in his R-70 - 22+ years ago.
> >But in fact he didn't design or build the thing.
>
> Tsk. Mention something just twice in here and Sir Jimmie puts

> the label of "going on and on about it!" :-)

You've told the story many times, Len.

> So, how many "projects" has Jimmie designed and built using
> PLLs? Or DDSs?

How many Soviet aircraft did you see when you were in Japan in the
1950s, Len?

How many amateur radio receivers, transmitters or transmitters have you
designed and built, Len?

How many of your articles for ham radio magazine were for construction
projects?

> How many "radios" has Jimmie designed/built at his work?
> Especially those NOT done from kits...?

How many have you designed and built at home with your own resources,
Len?

> >> Of couse ALL he has offered Amateur Radio are arguments, name
> >> calling and the aforementioned articles in said defunct magazine.
>
> That's "simply untrue," Pilot In Command Stebie...[search Google]

I have. It's true. You don't have anything practical to show us.

> >If Len were really interested in microwaves and amateur radio, he'd
> >have gotten a license years ago. The Tech only required 5 wpm code,
> >when it had a code test.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk! Jimmie gonna have a fit now, I did it differently.

Yes, your fits are very different...

> Was a supervisor of microwave radio relay terminals in 1954-1956
> while in the Army, terminals operating at 1.8 GHz.

There you go, rehashing the past again.

Did you design and build the microwave equipment, or just install and
maintain it?

Did you teach yourself how to do the job, or work your way through
school, or did you learn on the taxpayer's tab?

Did you pay for any of the equipment or other resources, or was it all
provided free for your use to do the job?

> Then I got a
> First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) Operator License (only
> one exam) in 1956, entered the California aerospace industry first
> working at Hughes Aircraft Company in El Segundo, CA, worked some
> more at microwaves (up in X-Band region) as well as from DC on up

> to microwaves. So far, there wasn't any need to learn morse code
> or "pass any morse code test" to transmit.

And none of it was amateur radio.

> Not even in 1960 when
> I was working on Ka-Band microwave equipment (as well as UHF high
> power sources).

Not ham radio either.

> Class D CB was authorized in 1958 and that rather
> ended any need for a "ham license" to use a voice transceiver.

On a few channels, using low-power type-accepted equipment.

> Tsk. I've since transmitted from VLF on up to microwaves, in LF,
> MF, HF, VHF, UHF on land, in the air, on the water, all without
> having to pass any amateur radio license or pass any morse
> code test! ["Sunnuvagun!"] All very LEGAL.

None of it amateur radio. Almost all of it on someone else's station
license, with someone else paying the way.
>
> Well, Judge Sir James of the Noble Houses of CW decrees that I
> shall NOT ever set foot in here without expressing some personal
> desire of obtaining an amateur radio license!

Simply not true, Len. I've never told you nor anyone else here to shut
up. Nor have I ever said that a license is required to post here.

You, on the other hand, have told people here to shut up, go away, etc.

> Tsk, tsk, Tsk, TSK...all I'm trying to do is argue against the
> retention of the morse code test in federal regulations on U.S.
> amateur radio.

Then why all of your commentary on other, nonrelated subjects, Len? You
go on and on about things completely unrelated to the Morse Code test.

> Instead, I am called names (in Yiddish by non-
> Yiddish speakers), accused of Sedition and Treason Against The
> State,

Not by me!

> and told that my opinions are "simply not true!"

Some of your statements here are not based on fact. Like the claim that

FCC doesn't use the word "expired" to describe licenses in the grace
period.
>>
> >> Not THOSE I doubt were his...
> >>

> >> > > however he
> >> > > knows almost "diddly squat" about Amatuer Radio practice or
> >> > > policy.
> >> >
> >> > Not the point.
>
> >> It is when he's humiliating himself by making assinine assertions
> >> that are obviously not rooted in fact.
> >>
> >So? Correct his mistakes without behaving the way he does. Or just
> >ignore him.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Stebie CANNOT ignore anyone. He is emotionally
> volatile and triggers off faster than a vial of nitroglycerine
> in a blender. Stebie shouts and hollers and insults and even
> speaks in tongues, defaming ANYONE disagreeing with him! :-)

Just like *you*, Len. I think you like it - you *want* others to behave the way you do.

I won't do that, which seems to really tick you off.

> Ah, but there is now Love and Harmony in the PCTA Extra Double
> Standard bearers. Jimmie now condones Stebie's actions.

Not all of them. Where do you get that idea?

> Judge Sir James, Night of the Noble Houses of CW, keep
> defending the NEED for the morse code test because it is the
> SECOND-most-popular mode on HF ham bands!

I will! It's a good, sound, valid reason for a test of basic skill. Not the only reason by any means, though.

> Be sure and spit on
> any U.S. military veterans who don't love and cherish morse
> code on Memorial Day.

I don't "spit on" any US military veterans, Len.

1606. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 21:58:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117231106.874963.135040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108889

N9OGL wrote:
> Well Dan, I am in no way gay...(SNIP)

Sure you are. You are constantly making mention of other men's buttocks and genitalia.

> nor am I a lid....(SNIP)

Sure you are. You're broadcasting on 20 meters and too stupid to pick a 2 meter frequency that's in accordance with the generally accepted band plan.

> unlike a few people on here I know.

Like who?

I for one hav never talked to you on the air. And If I was in Sweepstakes, down to my last section, and IL was all I needed to Clean sweep and there you were with a 20/S(signal calling CQ in the clear....I'd pass.

> Todd N9OGL

> THE N9OGL SHOW

Braodcasting Nothing You Can Use Whenever You Need It Least

> The Voice of Amateur Radio

No, you're not...you're just the voice of one lonely, functionally illiterate, foul mouthed spoiled brat.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

1607. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 22:08:26 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117231706.190411.156370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108890

From: "K4YZ" on Fri 27 May 2005 06:02

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,May 26 2005 4:35 am

> I've restrained myself on a lot of my adjectives of choice,
>Lennie, but that comment warrants the re-issuance of the title
>"scumbag" upon your shouders.
>
> You brazenly wordsmith yourself into familiarity with Soldiers who
>died in combat before you were ever in the service, then claim victim
>status as a "veteran" when it suits you for your own emotional
>handwringing.
>
> FYI, Lennie...Since your offering of a <middle finger salute> the
>other day I've started collecting caffinated drinks...I'll have a very
>special 'salute' for YOUR funeral...(Hint to Mrs Lennie...wear
>Scotchguarded footwear that day...)

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

1608. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 22:10:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117231851.928558.174940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108891

From: "K4YZ" on Fri 27 May 2005 07:02

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> I'm still waiting to be arrested by Homeland Security and
>> the federal Attorney General on Sedition and Treason
>> Against the State for disagreeing with Stebie. [I'm sure
>> he called them, using his "professional qualifications" as
>> "authority"]
>
> Sheeesh, Lennie...You really DO need to seek some assistance.
>
> And forget Mrs Correspondence School...She hasn't done you any
>good up to this point.

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

1609. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 22:19:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117232359.973544.87240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108892

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >
> >>>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >
> >>>>>John Smith wrote:
> >>
> > So it's really immaterial what the old exams were like, other than to
> > point out the differences. Newer hams have no choice in the matter -
> > they can't take the old tests even if they wanted to.
>
> Indeed, what would be the reason? Those old tests aren't really
> relevant today.

The subject matter, maybe. Replace questions about mercury-vapor
rectifiers with ones about silicon diodes, for example.

> One poster asked questions about push-pull amps and
> obscure modulation schemes. (except to a few AM'ers) While all this is
> very interesting - it isn't relevant to most of hamming today.

The point is that, in the opinion of a number of people, the old exams
- actually the old exam *methods* - required a different sort of
understanding of the material covered than today's exams.

> > One more difference about the old tests, though: Judging by the
> > study guides, the old tests focused on a few subject areas in depth,
> > while the new tests cover more subject areas but in much less detail.

Take the subject of, say, Ohm's Law for DC circuits. With the exam
methods used today, we know the exact form and content of each question
that could appear on the test. No surprises. If someone can get the
right answer to the Ohm's Law problems in the pool, they're all set,
regardless of their understanding.

But in the old test methods, we did not know the exact form of the
Ohm's Law questions. We only knew there would be some. So most
prospective hams learned how to solve all sorts of problems with Ohm's
Law.

> >>>>>There were study guides with sample questions, but no
> >>>>>questions pools with the exact answer available for memorization.
> >>>>
> >>>> Now if you want *really* hard, make it no study guide, no question
> >>>>pool, and the applicant has to do all the learning research with NO
> >>>>idea of what is on the test! 8^)
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>The old study guides were essay-type Q&A that outlined the general area
> >>>of knowledge. One question could cover a *lot* of ground. The old Extra
> >>>study guide was as much as 279 questions at one point.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>If you
> >>>>>did not know the theory, then you probably weren't going to pass.
> >>>>>Again john smith knows not of what he speaks.
> >>>>
> >>>> I took the tests from the question pools. For me, they were all
> >>>>pretty easy. They were not easy because of the question pools. They were
> >>>>easy because they were fairly basic material.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>But you had seen the exact Q&A before, right?
> >>
> >> Weell, the key word is "exact". I noticed that when I took my Extra
> >>exam, many of the answers appeared in a different order than they were
> >>in the question pool. I came away convinced that the person who
> >>memorized the question pool was actually doing things the hard way.
> >
> >
> > The way most people would set out to "memorize" the Q&A is to simply
> > learn to associate the right answer with the question by any means
> > possible. You don't need a verbatim memorization nor any info about the
> > distractors.
> >
> > That's a lot different than actually understanding the material.
>
>
> Do you really think "most" people would do that?

If they want to memorize the pool, yes. I don't know how many people
want to do that.

> I would expect that I
> have something in common with most who want to become a ham, which is to
> say an abiding interest in the subject. As a person who came up through
> the pool system, it didn't take much time to figure out that I was going
> to spend a lot more time memorizing the test than I would just learning
> the material.

Memorizing in this context doesn't mean being able to regenerate the
questions and answers verbatim. It just means remembering enough to
connect the right answer to the question, after having seen both
before.

> >>>> For the Extra, I spent a week taking the on-line tests. Questions
> >>>>that I knew the answer to, I got right of course.
> >>>>
> >>>> Those that I got wrong earned me a trip to the books or online to
> >>>>find out why I got it wrong. By the time I was finished, I aced the test
> >>>>just about every time on line, and then in the actual test.
> >>>>
> >>>> And I knew the material.
> >>>>
> >>>> Elapsed time, one week.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>For you.
> >>
> >> Absolutely.
> >>
> >>
> >>>But I bet you had more than a little electrical/radio knowledge before
> >>>you ever looked at a ham radio study guide.
> >>
> >> Yup. I think that my level of expertise was just a little skewed. I got
> >>sidelined onto computers fairly early in the 1970's. Then I worked
> >>mostly in digital, then changed careers, going into photography,
> >>videography, and 3-d animation (waaayy too many hats to wear, but
> >>whatever) But I did have a good bit of electrical experience
> >
> >
> > So you knew most of the material already! And what you didn't know was
> > more of
> > an extension to your existing knowledge base in the electricity area,
> > rather
> > than a completely new field.
> > >
> >
> >>>> Now the Morse code was another thing entirely. That was hard.
> >
> >
> > Besides your auditory situation, it was hard for another reason: It was
> > new,
> > and did not represent an extension of your existing knowledge base the
> > way
> > learning some more electronic/radio theory did.
> >
> >
> >>>> But then I'm just a dum nickel extra! ;^)
> >

> >
> >>>I bet it says the same thing on your license as it does on mine. With
> >>>no mention of dumb or nickles, Mike.
> >>>
> >>>Each of us met the requirements in force at the time of being licensed.
> >>>That the requirements changed over time isn't usually due to the people taking
> >>>the new tests.
> >>
> >> Yup. My comment was mostly sarcasm. The only way that anyone knows my
> >>"vintage" is by my callsign
> >
> >
> > Sort of. I know hams with 2x3 callsigns who have been Extras for 30+
> > years. They
> > just never went for a vanity call.
> >
> >
> >>>Looking down on somebody today because they didn't take the same tests
> >>>you took years ago is kind of like getting mad at someone who paid less
> >>>for a VCR last week than you paid 20 years ago....
> >>
> >>HAR!
> >>
> >
> > But isn't that true?
> >
> > Back in 1997 I paid over $2k for a new Dell system. 200 MHz 32 MB
> > Pentium II, 17" Trinitron monitor, HP 820 printer, etc. Today you
> > couldn't get $50 for it (if you
> > could even find someone to buy it!) - in part because for $500 you
> > could buy a new Dell system that was an order of magnitude more
> > computer in almost every way.
> >
> > Should I be mad at the person who spends $500 today because s/he got a
> > new Dell for 1/4 what I paid 8 years ago?
>
> Obviously some do!

But not me.

>
> I just like to tweak some of the folk who *know* that the hams of old
> were so superior.

Some were, some weren't.

> As time goes on, I hear of old time 20 meter and 80
> meter shenanigans, and there was no no-coders to blame it on, just
> people who passed their difficult tests in front of a steely eyed F.C.C
> agent, after having to travel 5000 miles in a blizzard or monsoon or
> dust storm or whatever with cardboard tied to their feet and two hot
> potatoes in their pockets for sustenance... ;^)

I recall a time when it was extremely rare to hear an intentional violation on the ham bands. Things like the "L1berty Net", W6NUT and 14.313 simply did not exist. There was nothing on the ham bands that wasn't "G rated". A very large part of the reason was that hams had a culture - a tradition - of keeping it that way. PArt of that tradition was that the license was valued as an achievement and an investment of time and effort.
>
> Things like that are for the most part just examples of how time has
> changed.
>
Here's another way to look at it:

Suppose you trained (like I did) to run a 26.22 mile marathon. And suppose you completed a couple of them, earning the right to describe yourself as a marathon runner.

Now suppose some people complained that the marathon distance was too long, and kept out too many. So they get the marathon distance changed to 5 miles, and call themselves marathon runners too.

How would that make you feel?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1610. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 22:33:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117233226.808839.123760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108893

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Fri 27 May 2005 07:02
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> I'm still waiting to be arrested by Homeland Security and
> >> the federal Attorney General on Sedition and Treason
> >> Against the State for disagreeing with Stebie. [I'm sure
> >> he called them, using his "professional qualifications" as
> >> "authority"]
> >
> > Sheeesh, Lennie...You really DO need to seek some assistance.
> >
> > And forget Mrs Correspondence School...She hasn't done you any
> >good up to this point.
>
> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary
> figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely
> path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron
> in the other...(SNIP)

Cute, Lennie.

Hate to befuddle you with details, but the Sentinel at the Tomb Of
the Unknowns is an Army enlisted man...Not a Marine.

Steve, K4YZ

1611. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 23:01:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117234866.290204.238200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108894

>Sure you are. You're broadcasting on 20 meters and too stupid to
>pick a 2 meter frequency that's in accordance with the generally
>accepted band plan.

HEY ASSHOLE! read this really slow so you can fucking understand
it....Broadcasting is a transmission intended for the reception of the
gerneral public either direct or relay....you and your FUCKING ARRL
(which are a bunch of fucking asshole morons) are misinterpting the
rules wrong. LIKE I SAID MANY TIME BEFORE YOU FUCKING PIECE OF SHIT!!!
YOU SHOW ME AN FCC RULE OR FCC RULING THAT STATES AN INFORMATION
BULLETIN IS A NEWSCAST.....I DON'T GIVE A FUCK WHAT YOU AND YOU FUCKING
ARRL HAS TO SAY...AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED YOU FUCKING COCKSUCKER YOU
AND YOUR ARRL CAN EAT SHIT AND FUCKING DIE!!!!!!!!!!

1612. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 23:12:27 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <lP6dnUZzStrsWgrfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Siegfried Kemper wrote:
> In article <4KKdnf8JN4Mm0wrfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>Too_Many_Tools wrote:
>>
>>>The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
>>>line is another indicator that money is being spent
>
> elsewhere as
>
>>>interest wanes.
>>
>>I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear
>
> going for
>
>>prices higher than ever.
>
>
> Of course they are, of course they are, because the obsolete
> license BOY says so!
>
>
>
Go back your stale beer and drugs wogie, no one is paying any attention
to what you have to say. Say, how many times do you use that
Restricted Radio Operators *Permit* you keep bragging about? You know,
the one where you don't even take a test, just fill out an FCC form.
What happened to that Second Class ticket you claimed to have? Did the
FCC make you retest for that one too and you failed?
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

1613. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 23:17:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527191730.305$Nh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> > The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> > line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
> > interest wanes.
>
> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
> prices higher than ever.
>
There was lots of Collins stuff at Dayton. The 30-L1 was on every corner
it seemed.

1614. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 23:17:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117235873.636259.285530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108896

No Steve you fucking dipshit, that's what you are a asshole!!!!! you show me an FCC rule or an FCC decision that states the an Information bulletin has to be a newscast....I DON'T GIVE A FUCK WHAT YOU AND YOUR FUCKING ARRL BELIEVES!!!!

TODD N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.

1615. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 23:19:24 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <GoSdnTAu_MhjNwrfRVn-uA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108897

A Bigger Dumbass liar wrote:
>>Some Dumbass said
>>What is "he federal goverment"?
>
>
> It is suppose to be The federal Goverment
>
> and there is no Vanderveer house, I don't know where you came up with
> that
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
> THE REAL VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

From your own dumbass words fool!

This priceless gem comes from alt.sci.physics.new-theories:

n9ogl
Aug 29 1999, 12:00 am

Hello

To start, I would like to excused for the poor typing of this text. I'm
not very good with grammar nor am I with spelling.

1. Objects and Time
In the small community of Taylorville; where I live there once was
a house known as Vanderveer House. It was once of course owned by the
Vanderveer family. in the 1980's the house was totally destroyed and a
Wal-Mart was put in it place. The question is this Is the Vanderveer
house still there after being destroyed back in the 1980's The Answer is
But it isn't in this "Time Frames". Basic physics dicatates "that no two
object can occupy the same space at the same point in time" however the
second part should go something like this "but, two object can occupy
the same space at different points in time" What most people see as
ghost or shadows from the past might be where the time frames are close
enough that they can see both way. Time is a mesurement, which we
measure by either clock or by "cause and effect" By cause and effect I'm
of course refering to events with history. for example many people can
remember events from their tenth birthday. So when you think of time or
a object like a building or a love one perhaps you should look at it
fourth dimensional.

So you must have worked at the Vanderveer House before you got fired
from Wall Mart . And don't call me dumbass, you stupid illiterate idiot

gay boy!

1616. Re: Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 23:22:45 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <GoSdnTMu_MhbNgrfRVn-uA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108898

Illiterate idiot N9OGL wrote:

> Oh I'm Scared.....and the only thing allowed on 13.555 and 6800 is Part
> 15.
>
> Todd N9OGL

So, you're just a dumbass fired stockboy pirate.

1617. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 23:43:55 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <1hbf91p0vkbjp5b7967g8p9te0s6l8uu31@4ax.com>
Source record: 108899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

a number of collins gear models continue to bring in " staggering "
used prices .... this and the interest / prices keep rising on
ebay.

to see what I mean go to the " radio: CB, Ham, & Shortwave "
category and type in Collins and then look at the prices that the
640 " closed out " items have brought and be stunned !!!!!!!

used drake gear is right there behind collins too ....

some examples of recent collins sales :
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776496330&rd=1
gasp........
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=5776923356&rd=1
double gasp ....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=5776501846&rd=1
omg .....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=5775948196&rd=1
huh ???? ....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1502&item=5774578908&rd=1
numbing ....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=5775229238&rd=1

and 100s more, recently .... simply shocking used prices
.... if this is a " drop " in prices I wouldn't want to see
the higher ones !!!!!!!!

k..............

On 27 May 2005 23:17:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
>> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
>> > The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
>> > line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
>> > interest wanes.
>>
>> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
>> prices higher than ever.
>>
>There was lots of Collins stuff at Dayton. The 30-L1 was on every corner
>it seemed.

1618. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-27 23:49:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527194920.242$M4@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:
> a number of collins gear models continue to bring in " staggering "
> used prices .... this and the interest / prices keep rising on
> ebay.
>
> to see what I mean go to the " radio: CB, Ham, & Shortwave "
> category and type in Collins and then look at the prices that the
> 640 " closed out " items have brought and be stunned !!!!!!!
>
> used drake gear is right there behind collins too ....
>
> some examples of recent collins sales :
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776496
> 330&rd=1 gasp........
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=57769233
> 56&rd=1 double gasp ....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=57765018
> 46&rd=1 omg .....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=57759481
> 96&rd=1 huh ???? ....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1502&item=57745789
> 08&rd=1 numbing ....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=57752292
> 38&rd=1
>
> and 100s more, recently .... simply shocking used prices
> .... if this is a " drop " in prices I wouldn't want to see
> the higher ones !!!!!!!!
>
> k..............
>
> On 27 May 2005 23:17:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> >> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> >> > The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> >> > line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
> >> > interest wanes.
> >>
> >> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
> >> prices higher than ever.
> >>
> >There was lots of Collins stuff at Dayton. The 30-L1 was on every corner

> >it seemed.
>
I,ve had an S-line for at least 20 years or more, they are a very
dependable boat anchor and also forgiving to the occasional dumb-ass attack
that we all suffer from time to time.

1619. Re: News From Japan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 00:07:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117238862.075935.286550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108801

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1117157231.391086.8560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1117124727.720900.74060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1117099151.587691.322560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > The Japanese Ministry of Post and Telecommunications announced a
> >> >> > reduction of Morse Code test requirements on May 24th, 2005.
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Interesting that they chose not to eliminate it entirely given the
> >> >> change
> >> >> in
> >> >> treaty requirements.
> >> >
> >> > Yes, Dee, *very* interesting!
> >> >
> >> > Any info on their rationale?
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > None so far. But it's noteworthy that it took them so long to make the
> >> > change, and it won't be effective form several months yet.
> >> >
> >> > The number of JA amateur station licenses has been steadily declining
> >> > for about 10 years, even with their nocodetest 4th class HF license. It
> >> > will be interesting to see what happens to the number of JA hams with
> >> > these changes.
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >> Perhaps they want the capability of being able to copy the Russian,
> >> Chinese,
> >> and the N. Koreans.
> >>
> >> Gee.....just like the old Eastern Block countries did.....they still have
> >> the CW. Gee wonder why?
> >>
> >> May I venture an opinion? Hows this? When the crap hits the fan and
> >> all

> >> we have left is basic CW to communicate with....where are the ops coming
> >> from?
> >
> > Here's one. I'll still be able to talk, and key the microphone.
> >
>
> You can take em to the water, but ya cain't make em drink.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Why drink bad water?

1620. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 00:16:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117239386.114474.179390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

nobody wrote:
> Been to your local mall lately?... Young girls in pajamas and fuzzy slippers
> is apparently the "in" thing right now, but if Icom is trying to associate
> itself with this type of crowd there's something terribly wrong...

In 1976, outside of Ft Lee Army Installation, there were women shopping
at the grocery store with bathrobes and bare feet. The grocery store
was next to Section 8 housing.

1621. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 00:18:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527201836.100$IO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
> nobody wrote:
> > Been to your local mall lately?... Young girls in pajamas and fuzzy
> > slippers is apparently the "in" thing right now, but if Icom is trying
> > to associate itself with this type of crowd there's something terribly
> > wrong...
>
> In 1976, outside of Ft Lee Army Installation, there were women shopping
> at the grocery store with bathrobes and bare feet. The grocery store
> was next to Section 8 housing.
>
Soul train was a hit too? <g>

1622. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 00:19:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117239571.706567.126870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108804

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > Actually I DID get some front seat time, Lennie...As many Enlisted
> > > Aircrewmen do in the USMC...'authorized' or not.
> > >
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > One of Steve's rare admissions of wrongdoing.
>
> At least I >>DO<< admit when I make a mistake...
>
> You still have a string of whoppers all the way back to "I
> operated from T5 legally.." (and 'unlicensed devices', 'ARES is
> overblown', etc etc)
>
> And "stick time" is an airwing tradition. It's a treat and a
> priviledge. One of the "bennies" of actually working in/on the
> airframes...not just watching them at an airshow or from the window of
> an office.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yo da man, CAP Ace.

1623. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 00:39:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117240744.421156.104860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108805

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed,May 25 2005 3:56 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> > > Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
> >> > > and international socio-political politics are another group.
> >> >
> >> > Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to be afraid
> >> > to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.
> >>
> >> In order to have a "civil discussion" on Amateur Radio policy,
> >> Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make
> >> informed opinions or suggestions from.
> >
> >That never stopped Mike Powell or any of his predecessors. But it must
> >stop Len. Len must be stopped "SOMEhow!" At any cost.
>
> The Avenging Angle of Dearth is off on another tangent.

The Tangential Gunny. Smokey Bear hat is tilted.

> Gosh, do you think that "experience" is ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY?

If it is, then Steve can no longer speak of MARS.

> The FCC doesn't require ANY staff or commissioners to hold
> amateur radio licenses in order to REGULATE U.S. amateur radio!
>
> ["Sunnuvagun!" as Hans might remark...]

Steven J. Robeson is free to petition his government.

> "Civil discourse" by Sevie (or Stebie, depending on which alter ego
> is gabbling) consists of his wanting to crucify me on charges of
> something like Treason or Sedition for honoring the members of my
> Signal Battalion, continually calling me a "penis head" using a
> Yiddish pejorative, and denouncing my knowledge of radio by not
> "knowing the truth" about helicopters! :-)

Steven J. Robeson will disqualify you under every circumstance. He's
the original mean mistreater.

> Tsk. The PCTA Extra Double Standard *MUST* prevail to those
> "civil discoursairs" ranging the high seas

and the low seas.

and destroying all
> vestiges of discontent in their HOBBY.

CW gets through when everything else will.

> I especially like Jimmie's "reason" for retention of the code test:
> it is the SECOND most popular mode on ham HF bands, therefore the
> test MUST remain!"

Way back when amateurs had tails, and the Morse Code was the >>>ONLY<<<
mode, there was no morse code test.

Sevie (or Stebie) would probably require all
> examinees to tap out the words to the Marines Him in morse as well.

If they miss a beat, they will forever be known as a LIAR and a Gay Man
(standard Steve way of dealing with discontinuity in his personal
life).

> "Civility" = total and absolute agreement with whatever the ARRL
> publishes, anytime and anywhere.
>
> 1984 came and is still with us.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I read 1984 during the 1983 holidays. There's a lot of Steve in there.

1624. Re: Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 00:42:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117240963.402851.243440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108806

>So, you're just a dumbass fired stockboy pirate.

AND YOUR A DUMBASS BITCHWHORE!!!!

1625. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 00:45:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117241116.888588.317560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108807

>So you must have worked at the Vanderveer House before you got fired
>from Wall Mart . And don't call me dumbass, you stupid illiterate idiot
>gay boy!

WELL DUMBASS, UNTIL YOU LEARN SOMETHING ABOUT PHYSICS....YOUR
JUST A DUMBASS CB OPERATOR WITH A LICENSE

1626. Re: Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 00:51:42 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1117241502.844910.290720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108809

Who wants to assist Bathrooman?

1627. Re: Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 00:51:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117241512.484630.189820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108808

On 13.555 the power output for a unlicensed device is 10,000 microvolts per meter @ 30 meters. On 6.800 the power output for a unlicensed device is 100 micorvolts per meter @ 30 meters..I know I used to transmit on 13.555.00 MHz.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

1628. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 00:59:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117241945.429617.189580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108810

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "bb" on Wed,May 25 2005 3:35 pm
>
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon,May 23 2005 3:57 am
> > >>
> > >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > >> >
> > >> >"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult thing
> > >> >in order to "win an argument." "
> > >>
> > >> Oh, oh! Reverend Jim issued a Sermon On The Antenna Mount! :-)
> > >>
> > >> Not only that, the poor guy is still furious over NOT "winning"
> > >> a newsgroup argument THREE YEARS AGO! :-)
> > >>
> > >> Not only that, the "argument" wasn't even about RADIO!
> > >>
> > >> Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
> > >> and international socio-political politics are another group.
> > >>
> > >> "RADIO" includes all of the HF portion of the EM spectrum. That's
> > >> where the major international communications networks WERE in
> > >> the 1950s. I was part of that - for three years - as a
> > >> volunteer in the United States Army...operating HF transmitters
> > >> for an Army station.
> > >
> > >In Jim's circles, there is no "volunteering." You get bad grades and
> > >your waiver goes away. Then the Draftsman comes knocking.
> >
> > Tsk, the "knocking" stopped about 32 years ago. Jimmie has NO
> > worry. He could sit back in comfortable safety in PA and read
> > all about the military and international realpolitik without
> > once having participated in anything.
>
> How many Soviet aircraft did you see when you were in Japan in the
> 1950s, Len?

I've seen Soviet aircraft without being overseas. I've seen them on
RADAR penetrating CONUS airspace.

So where were you when you saw Soviet aircraft? How did you serve?

> > He became a Virtual
> > military expert without once being IN any branch or working in
> > any office of the Department of Defense.
>
> I don't claim to be an expert in anything.

That would probably be for the best.

> You've never been "IN" amateur radio, yet you want to tell us How It
> Should Be.

When you merely want to exclude people??? I've no problem with him
anything he might say to you with your kind of attitude.

> > Getting to the heart of the matter, Jimmie feels TERRIBLY "insulted"
> > when one doesn't like what Jimmie likes.
>
> Not true. You're the one who calls people names, and tells them to shut
> up
> if they don't agree with you.

Jim calls them jackasses.

> > [he is regularly bringing up old, faded postings from Google as
> > if to continue to "do battle" on those topics forever and ever]
>
> Gee, Len, you bring up even older and more faded stuff about

Highly respected...

defunct
> ham radio magazines and your experiences of a half-century ago.

Every issue of QST has excerpts from half a century ago. I've never,
ever, ever seen you complain. Ever.

Why
> is it OK for you to rehash events of 20, 30, 50 years ago, but not
> OK for others to remind you of the events of 2 or 3 years past?

Because you say it isn't OK for Len to remind you of events of 50 years
ago, but never comment when the ARRL does it.

> Seems like a double standard on your part.

Double-standard, indeed. That could be your middle name.

> > Jimmie wants NUMBERS? He is regularly giving some sort of
> > tabulation
> > on the "valid licenses" of radio amateurs in the USA.
>
> No, I'm not. You're mistaken, Len. Wrong again.

Are you absolutely, positively sure?

> The numbers I post here twice a month are those of current, unexpired
> FCC amateur licenses held by individuals. That's stated in each post.
> The word
> valid is not used to describe them.

They are invalid numbers? They are invalid licenses?

1629. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 01:02:07 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117239899.521875.243470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108811

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Thurs,May 26 2005 6:12 pm
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > bb wrote:
> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > bb wrote:
> >> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > >So in the end, Jim confirms that Steve's "assertion of fact," i.e.,
> > >"Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make
> > >informed opinions or suggestions from" doesn't stop anyone else from
> > >making uninformed decision which changes all of ham radio. So if Mike
> > >Powell can have an uninformed opinion, why can't anyone else?
> >
> > Nobody can unless they've taken a code test. Mikey Powell
> > didn't so Judge Sir James of Morsemanhall forced him to
> > resign... :-)
> >
> > beep, beep
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org

They're so full of excrement.

1630. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 01:06:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117242413.234526.295430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108812

K4YZ wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > Lots of stuff snipped with additional comments below.
> >
> > <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > news:1116710601.789736.259420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> > >>Should we have a pity party for the poor text messaging
> > >>record holder. If the text message folks feared a put
> > >>down then they had the option to not play. They decided to
> > >>play and they lost....fair, square, contrived or how ever
> > >>you feel it was inevitable that text messaging would lose.
> > >
> > > Okay, then you agree it was a SETUP?
> >
> > I agree the result was predictable...if you want to call
> > it a set-up, then so be it.
>
> It takes two hands to use a cellphone for text-messaging purposes.

Not if it's cemented to an operating console.

> In only takes two fingers to send Morse Code on a keyer.

It only takes one finger to send you a message.

1631. Re: Brian Burke Tries To Get Deployed US Soldiers Flogged By Islamic Clerics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 01:10:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117242608.456991.120210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> > > He could prolly use some of your homebrewed antennas, kick a carton of
> > > 'em to him.
> >
> > I'm sure he'd prefer my homebrewed ales and wine, but I'm not about to
> > ship such contraban to that theater.
> >
> > Why don't you mail him a Bible?
>
> Sheeeesh...didn't learn a danged thing while you were 'in country',
> did you, Burke?
>
> He'd be better off explaining away the alcohol than he would a
> Christian Bible.

It was a setup, you imbecile.

> You're not much on current events and social or religious
> sensitivites, are you, "BRAIN"...?!?!

I wonder if they ever desecrate a Bible? Would it be news worthy?

1632. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 01:11:10 UTC
From: Siegfried Kemper <bounce@parsifal.ath.cx>
Message-ID: <0CDJRMJI38499.8815509259@si93kru1.poster>
Source record: 108814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <4KKdnf8JN4Mm0wrfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> > The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> > line is another indicator that money is being spent
elsewhere as
> > interest wanes.
>
> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear
going for
> prices higher than ever.

Of course they are, of course they are, because the obsolete
license BOY says so!

1633. Re: Old farts like Robeson, Len and bb have ruined this group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 01:13:51 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117242831.852531.122400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hhc314@yahoo.com wrote:
> ROFL Clueless Idiot!
>
> Seems to me that putting up with guys like might just be the cost of
> dumbing down the ham licensing exams (assuming he even holds a ham
> license).

Robeson and billy beeper are pre-nocode licensees. Robeson is a
shining example of a 20wpm tested Extra.

1634. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 01:33:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UtqdncijYaf0VwrfRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> Dee,
>
> I am basing my comments on what I see within the hobby.
>
> When I went to Dayton, I was a member of a group of about 30 members
> who spent significant time and money to go and we did that for many
> years.
>
>>From that group, now only less than five people go to Dayton and even
> those only go every couple years.
>
> These are people who do hold licenses but use them less and less if at
> all. Many have since sold their stations.

Well there ya go! These folk have run their course in Ham radio and are bored and dropping out. Happens in a lot of hobbies

> Ham radio is in its twilight years and as the old guard dies off which
> is happening at an ever increasing rate, the slide into obscurity will
> accelerate.

Any hobby dies out for the person that loses interest.

> As has been noted earlier, take a hard look at the demographics of the
> attendees of the Dayton Hamvention. It is obvious to the most casual
> observer that the age of the attendees is heavily weighted to the
> 50-60+ year range. Give them another ten years and the numbers decline
> further, it is doubtful that they will have the numbers to sustain the
> costs incurred to host the Hamvention.

Making a demographic out of the people at Dayton? Just how many young kids are you expecting to see there?

I don't doubt that the Ham radio population is likely an older one. I also don't doubt that demographics for a lot of hobbies are shifting. It wasn't until my 40s that I started to get enough spare time to even think about a hobby. I tried Amateur astronomy, but the wx in central PA is kinda like Seattle, and I looked into amateur radio. BTW, if you think Ham radio is graying, Amateur astronomy would shock you.

The young ones will come into the hobby. We just have to wait for them to grow up.

> I also think that manufacturers are preparing for this decline. The
> recent cancellation of the Drake R8B indicates that the hobby is not

> capable of supporting its equipment needs or the development of new
> product.

Yeah, how many hams do you think ever had a Drake R8B? And of those who do, how many use it as part of their station?

The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
> interest wanes.

Personally I think any price drop in Collins equipment is on account of potential buyers regaining sanity. At Dayton this year, there were a lot of them. Some sold, a fair number didn't. Especially at the one tent where the people were trying to sell them for way over their worth.

Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the price of a decent new rig.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1635. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 01:35:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UtqdncujYadpVwrfRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
>
>> The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
>> line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
>> interest wanes.
>
>
> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
> prices higher than ever.

So many came out this year at Dayton that potential buyers could pretty much call the shots. After all, you can ask for @ kilobucks for an old Collins, but is it worth that much if no one buys it for that much?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1636. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 01:39:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UtqdncqjYadPVgrfRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

krackula wrote:
>
> a number of collins gear models continue to bring in " staggering "
> used prices .... this and the interest / prices keep rising on
> ebay.
>

They look like they are down a bit too me. Certainly many of the brand displayed at Dayton had similar or higher prices.

Those sat too. I think the bloom is off that rose.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

1637. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 01:46:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527214650.447$Tz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> krackula wrote:
> >
> > a number of collins gear models continue to bring in " staggering "
> > used prices .... this and the interest / prices keep rising on
> > ebay.
> >
>
> They look like they are down a bit too me. Certainly many of the
> brand displayed at Dayton had similar or higher prices.
>
> Those sat too. I think the bloom is off that rose.....
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
A lot -o- stuff sat at Dayton, in fact I don't recall many people carrying
anything besides their pocket-protector, and muti-ht's.

1638. Re: Physics according to toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 01:59:40 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <28Gdnc7fxpnPaQrfRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108840

N9OGL wrote:
> that has nothing to do with my "physics" and frankly little man you
> could never understand my great theories.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Hell toddieboy, half the time we can't even understand your
grammatically poor and incorrectly spelled postings.

1639. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 02:17:18 UTC
From: Ted <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <OwQle.1801$MI4.295@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
>"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
>news:1117152681.153277.68190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> "Those under 40 would best pilot this ship..."
>>
>> JS> But look at the pilots of the ARRL.
>>
>> Do you know of a source for reliable data on ham licenses that drills
>> down below the often quoted total licenses outstanding. Are there any
>> studies that estimate the number of active licenses and ages of the
>> holders and how those numbers have trended over time.
>>

John Smith wrote in message ...
>... well, it usually works like that, you find it just after you claim it
is
>hard to do, minutes later...
>http://ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html
>http://ah0a.org/FCC/
>
>Warmest regards,
>John

It looks like the bottom line is that back in
June 1997 there were 678,473 licensed hams
and in May of 1995 there were 664,972 licensed hams.
Thats a decrease of 2 percent over 8 years. Its a decline but not by much.

Does anyone have statistics that go back three or four decades??

1640. Re: News From Japan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 02:26:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117247187.985125.97970@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108822

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
. . . .

> > The number of JA amateur station licenses has been steadily declining
> > for about 10 years, even with their nocodetest 4th class HF license. It
> > will be interesting to see what happens to the number of JA hams with
> > these changes.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> Perhaps they want the capability of being able to copy the Russian, Chinese,
> and the N. Koreans.
>
> Gee.....just like the old Eastern Block countries did.....they still have
> the CW. Gee wonder why?
>
> May I venture an opinion? Hows this? When the crap hits the fan and all
> we have left is basic CW to communicate with....where are the ops coming
> from?

>From the kiddie chat rooms and RRAP of course . . . (?!)

In it's Evil Empire days in the eastern bloc it was their militaries
which very heavily encouraged and supported ham radio. They made no
bones about the fact that they viewed hams as a pool of reserve
military assets with critical skills. Hundreds if not thousands of
clubs were equipped with freebie military gear and near-mandatory
participation in contests was the norm since contesting is a
skill-builder. I remember listening to some of their "inhouse"
contests, SPs working UAs, etc. Those guys were *good*, almost 100%
hand-keyed 25-30 WPM CW hour after hour.

Maybe there's a grain of truth in your contention Dan, maybe some
countries still see some value in retaining some basic communications
skills in case push comes to shove . . . ?

> Dan/W4NTI

w3rv

1641. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 02:39:14 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117247954.769484.229760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

robert casey wrote:
> >
> > What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data
> > we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC
> > doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to
> > know the answer to.
> >
> > There may be a point in the future where the new loss of members begins
> > to increase but there is no way to forecast that because licenses are
> > good for 10 years and there is no way to project future expirations
> > because we don't have any idea what current license usage is.
> >
> Measuring how crowded the bands are? But that would require
> measurements from years ago to mean much. Assuming that most
> hams using their license spend about 2 to 3% time transmitting and
> the rest listening (tuning around the bands looking for interesting
> DX or rag chews) one could get a rough idea how many active
> hams exist. Do one measurement on a contest weekend, and another
> on a non contest weekend. From a QTH in the midwest. One would
> have to figure how many hams are in propagation range at the
> time of measurement.

Reminds me of an old giggler.

Guy tunes around looking for a hole on 20M, finds one and asks "Is this
frequency in use?"

A snarly 30 over nine signal pops up "Yes it's in use, I'm listening on
it."

w3rv

1642. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 02:59:41 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <V_Wdneshzp0CQwrfRVn-gg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108824

Dumbass Gayboy N9OGL wrote:
>>So you must have worked at the Vanderveer House before you got fired
>
>>from Wall Mart . And don't call me dumbass, you stupid illiterate idiot
>
>>gay boy!
>
>
> WELL DUMBASS, UNTIL YOU LEARN SOMETHING ABOUT PHYSICS....YOUR
> JUST A DUMBASS CB OPERATOR WITH A LICENSE
>
Toad, you can't delete 3/4 of the message and turn it all your way.

You said "and there is no Vanderveer house, I don't know where you came
up with that"

I said that you said" where I live there once was
a house known as Vanderveer House. It was once of course owned by the
Vanderveer family.
I showed you where it came from jerkoff. Calling names doesn't make you
right, pecker breath!

Whatever the hell that has to do with Physics or cb, I have no idea!
Now to where you learned about Physics, could that have been in the
fixture room at Wall Marts? I'm surprised that an illiterate such as
your self can even spell Physics, I'll bet you say it with a lisp!
A ham license has nothing to do with Physics, If you ever get into a
real collage and take classes above 090 you'll find that Physics 100 is
about theories that can't be proven nor disproved and Physics 101 is
more about electronics theory.
BUT we weren't talking about Physics, we YOU said there was no
Vanderveer house and YOU asked where that came from and I showed you!
So lying gay stockboy, your turn!

1643. Re: Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:03:16 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <dJ6dnRim3-vrQgrfRVn-3g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108825

Dumbass Gayboy N9OGL wrote:

> On 13.555 the power output for a unlicensed device is 10,000 microvolts
> per meter @ 30 meters. On 6.800 the power output for a unlicensed
> device is 100 micorvolts per meter @ 30 meters..I know I used to
> transmit on 13.555.00 MHz.

From the fixture room with the other stockboys!
I'll bet with a little more power then that, and not with your home made
transmitters either!

> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
>

1644. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:03:33 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <9cRle.1422$OE4.187@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 108826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Scott in Baltimore" <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote in message
news:42969302.1CD95F5E@yapoo.com...
> -.-. --.- ?

Hello, Scott

Where the heck have you been of late? I gotta laugh, I have to make the sounds to copy the -.-. stuff on the computer. Dots and dashes make little sense to me - sounds, however, are something else.

I'm back on a DSL account again. Whew! Dial-up was a killer.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1645. Re: Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:04:21 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <dJ6dnRum3-srQgrfRVn-3g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108827

N9OGL wrote:

>>So, you're just a dumbass fired stockboy pirate.
>
>
> AND YOUR A DUMBASS BITCHWHORE!!!!

Toad, you shouldn't talk about your blowup asian girlfriend that way!

1646. Re: The End Game: Baxter Thread of 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:12:59 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <deidnbes_OUhfArfRVn-qQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n9ogl wrote:
>
> and why don't you eat shit and die...these are NOT your
> newsgroups......if you want to kick people off I think QUEER RADIO ZONE
> (QRZ) has a few openings.....maybe your little buddy K4YZ can join you
> and you can get on there and DICTATE what people think or say.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz.

Is that another threat? You want me to die? Didn't your ISP tell you not
to post here? I really don't care what or where you post perv, I was
just pointing out the obvious, something you can't or won't understand!
Oh, Why is there always some reference to Steve in every reply you make?
Why is there also some gay comment from you? Is this your cute little
way of saying you want Steve? I really don't think he goes that way toad!

1647. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:18:40 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <i0nf91pohrmper5gfcfc1olr28jvpb6s2j@4ax.com>
Source record: 108829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
>price of a decent new rig.
>
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
or it's applications ....

for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
, full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band. no comparison
at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400
invested in mine , so far) or collins !! certainly the value is
in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.

obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job
.... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
...... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both
my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) .... I do
use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.

k.................

1648. Re: Dayton...much ado about nothing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:23:34 UTC
From: Roger <Glendale@tawdry>
Message-ID: <d78o72$6ki$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108830

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:Vbednbcfx8S5DwrfRVn-pw@comcast.com...

"Sal" <Clone@noEmail> wrote in message news:d76src$nk1$0@pita.alt.net...
> So what is the big deal about Dayton? Is it the Nirvana of Ham Radio?
> Paradise Lost?

It's a place to actually see just about every current radio all set up at
the same time. It's a place to see a plethora of various styles of antennas
set all at one time. I always come back with much new information to
consider in improving my station and my mobile setup.

> What one sees, for the most part, is a scurvy collection of goofily clad
> old
> men trailing homemade carts or modified grocery carts behind them as they
> drag "for sale" junk behind them.

There were also a lot of "normal" looking people there.

> Forget getting close to the new vendors booths. The Old Farts gather
> around
> the new vendors booths and dawdle for hours on end. No, they won't spend a
> penny, but they love to stand in front of the new vendors booths and share
> their flatulence with those standing behind them. The only way to get
> close
> to the new vendor booths is to hope that one of the Old Men wets his Relys
> and has to head to the bathroom.

Had no problem whatsoever getting close to the vendors booths new or old.

>
> Then there is always the Double Dip who comes to the fest with six
> handie-talkies on his aleady overburdended 1960's white belt. You have
> seen
> them. Six HT's, three on each side with mics dangling from every angle. It
> makes you wonder how the Goons keep their pants up.
> And what Dayton Hamfest would not be complete without at least one
> Goofball
> running around the arena with a small beam antenna mounted on his hat? You
> have seen the likes. Beam me up, Scotty.
>
>

So what? It makes them happy.

> Oh, and let us not ignore the ever present Junk Vendors in the outside
> arena. Anybody want to buy a burned out Marine battery? Some ten year old

> AA
> batteries still in the orginal 1995 package?
>

So what? It's also a place to find the unusual that you've been looking
for.

> Dayton is far from the Ham Radio Mecca it once used to be. It has turned
> into a Flea Market.
>

So what? If the outside vendors want to pay for the spot, let them.

> I went to Dayton once. After arriving at 0700 and not being allowed into
> the main arena until 11:00 I quickly wrote Dayton off, never to return.
>

What did you do wrong? I've never had a problem getting right in at the
opening time.

> It is not worth the trip.
>

Too bad you think so.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

<
So what?

1649. Physics according to toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:24:47 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <1NqdnbD_gNAFeQrfRVn-qw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108831

http://tinyurl.com/8pwda

1650. Re: Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:32:56 UTC
From: Roger <Glendale@tawdry>
Message-ID: <d78oon$812$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108832

"Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message
news:1117241502.844910.290720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
Who wants to assist Bathrooman?

Roger, AB8MQ will. He is the resident scat expert.

1651. Re: Physics according to toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:41:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117251660.612517.84370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108834

that has nothing to do with my "physics" and frankly little man you could never understand my great theories.

Todd N9OGL

1652. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:41:21 UTC
From: Schwartz <jewman@nospam>
Message-ID: <d78p8d$94m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108833

Anonymous wrote:
> High-el slobbered into his jar of cum:
>
>
>>You said you are angaged to a gal. As in plans for marriage?
>
>
>>Lloyd told me (you know I hate Lardass with a passion and don't believe him)
>>that your woman friend is not a natural born woman. Lloyd said your friend
>>used to be a boy but had an accidental sex change when he slid down a
>>bowling alley and got his manhood caught in the pin setting machine.
>>Lloyd told me your future wife used to have male plumbing but now there is
>>nothing but mangled mush there.
>
>
> Speaking from experience with Layla? Is that how "she" became a
> Swedish whore? Or are you just thinking wishfully while you touch
> yourself?
>
>
>>Just to prove your claim, would you post a photo of your gal on your blog?
>>If y'all are serious about getting married then the offer to move in with me
>>in Glendale is off. Two numbnuts is company, two and a half is a crowd.
>
>
> You should know, gay wad High-el.
>
>
>>Maybe I'll ask Les to move in with me instead. Two numbnuts guys is company
>>and I need someone to help split the rent.
>
>
> you and Les are made for each other High-el. He steals from the taxpayer
> just like you used to do before you were fired.
>
Poor stooge Wogie. He had to post twice with seperate anon emailers. It
looks like he has learned that the free anon remailers are not
reliabnle, so he posted twice "just in case".

Stick to the Nim board, Stooge. Your filth more in keeping with that
bunch of misfits.

1653. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:42:34 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <M_ednY96V-M3dQrfRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 108836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:30 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
> price of a decent new rig.

Antiquities are only worth what people are willing to pay for them
including old tube junk. 10 to 15 years ago an old Chrysler Hot Rod from
the 60's or 70's wasn't worth much, now you can't touch one in very good
shape for less than 3 to 4 times the original selling price new. Go figure.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1654. Re: The End Game: Baxter Thread of 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:42:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117251762.269413.94380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Like I said dickless, go to QRZ if you want to boot people off....you
NAZI, COMMUNIST SCUMBAG

Todd N9OGL

1655. Re: Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:53:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117252408.168172.58010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108837

>BITCHWHORE KC8GXW SAID
>From the fixture room with the other stockboys!
>I'll bet with a little more power then that, and not with your home made
>transmitters either!

Nope,I ran a transmitter on 13.555.00 MHz at 10,000 micorvolts per
meter at 30 meters. But a dickless CB operator couldn't understand
that.

TODD N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00MHz

1656. Re: Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 03:57:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117252652.224913.207510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108838

KC8GXW May 27, 7:22 pm

Illiterate idiot N9OGL wrote:
> Oh I'm Scared.....and the only thing allowed on 13.555 and 6800 is Part
> 15.
> Todd N9OGL

>So, you're just a dumbass fired stockboy pirate

Part 15 doesn't have anything to do with pirate radio. part 15 is
legal....oh I forgot your just a dumbass monkey who doesn't look beyond
Part 97.

TODD N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

1657. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 04:08:34 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Hs6dnXdmFaFfcwrfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 108839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 26 May 2005 19:54:45 -0700, John S. wrote:

> What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data
> we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC
> doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to
> know the answer to.

What would be of much more interest is the use to which the licenses are put. A history of how the various classes operated over time would provide a big clue as to what is happening to the service now. Hard numbers are better than the constant speculation I see here all the time. For example a decline in some types of operations could reflect a trend where the technology in use is either unpopular or being replaced by another one. For example AM by SSB. This would even answer the ever present question, and assertion, that Ham Radio is being supplemented by increased computer use and the Internet. Knowing that then the ARRL could spend it's time promoting those things that apparently Ham Radio still had the edge in capabilities. If you want increased license numbers then you have to know how to "market" Ham Radio, and if you don't know what the market wants, or you promote the wrong thing, then you end up with a declining market share, i.e. license numbers.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1658. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 04:33:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QwSle.75$Af5.3116198@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not interested in getting a ham ticket... I don't think they are lying... I'd almost bet they are telling the truth...

Yanno, you can do a lot of things to try to fix something--but when you find the right fix--you'll know it!!!

Warmest regards,
John

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in message news:Hs6dnXdmFaFfcwrfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
> On Thu, 26 May 2005 19:54:45 -0700, John S. wrote:
>
>
>> What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data
>> we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC
>> doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to
>> know the answer to.
>
> What would be of much more interest is the use to which the licenses are
> put. A history of how the various classes operated over time would provide
> a big clue as to what is happening to the service now. Hard numbers are
> better than the constant speculation I see here all the time. For example
> a decline in some types of operations could reflect a trend where the
> technology in use is either unpopular or being replaced by another one.
> For example AM by SSB. This would even answer the ever present question,
> and assertion, that Ham Radio is being supplemented by increased computer
> use and the Internet. Knowing that then the ARRL could spend it's time
> promoting those things that apparently Ham Radio still had the edge in
> capabilities. If you want increased license numbers then you have to know
> how to "market" Ham Radio, and if you don't know what the market wants, or
> you promote the wrong thing, then you end up with a declining market
> share, i.e. license numbers.
>
> Regards,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

1659. The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 04:36:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117255012.541412.212390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108842

>From N9OGL BLOG
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

THE ARRL LIES!!!!!

I have figured out what amateur's think the way the do when it comes to information bulletins and what I am running. It is not the FCC that is telling them this shit, but the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) and here is what I'm talking about. The following is from the The FCC Rule Book : Complete Guide to the FCC Regulations Governing Amateur Radio published by the American Radio Relay League Edited by Norm Bliss WA1CCQ. The title is called One-Way Information Bulletins and it reads:

"The best known one-way bulletin comes from W1AW, for decades, W1AW has transmitted brief information bulletins. It is common practice for other amateur stations to conduct similar operations, usually on a localized basis, and as part of a scheduled net or on some other limited, scheduled basis. Such Bulletin are important to the dissemination of timely and accurate information to radio amateurs

W1AW and other stations providing this service generally have been careful to make such bulletins brief and factual. Occasionally, such as during the launch of an amateur radio satellite or space shuttle, some amateur stations using phone have been put into quasi-broadcast operation to provide real-time information about a developing situation of special interest to radio amateurs. Such events are rare and amateurs have exercised restraint [97.113(b)(e)]

According to rules, the content of bulletins must be limited to information on matter of direct interest to radio amateurs only, and never intended for the ears of the general public [97.3(a)(24)]. As with business communications, the potential for abuse of one-way transmissions rule has long existed. There is no room in the crowded amateur high-frequency bands for lengthy one-way transmissions on a regular, quasi-broadcast basis. Information bulletins by definition must be confined to factual matter, not a matter opinion. They must be intended to inform, rather than to entertain. [97.111(b)(6)]" (ARRL The FCC Rulebook, 1995, page 4-4)

This information which the ARRL is publishing is not true for the simple reason that he FCC in a warning letter to K1MAN in 2004 stated that his programs were in compliance with the FCC rules concerning the content of his programs. They also stated that there was "No specific time limits placed upon information bulletins by the commission rules." [Warning Letter to K1MAN, April 14 2004, Page 2 Section 4] The FCC has even stated on their website that "The control operator of the station

transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining
that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur service."
So who are going to believe the ARRL who is pushing content control and
supress free speech of the FCC???

1660. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 05:13:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117257220.346475.297890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108843

>KC8GXW Said
> showed you where it came from jerkoff. Calling names doesn't make you
>right, pecker breath!
Whatever the hell that has to do with Physics or cb, I have no idea!
>Now to where you learned about Physics, could that have been in the
>fixture room at Wall Marts? I'm surprised that an illiterate such as
>your self can even spell Physics, I'll bet you say it with a lisp!
>A ham license has nothing to do with Physics, If you ever get into a
>real collage and take classes above 090 you'll find that Physics 100 is
>about theories that can't be proven nor disproved and Physics 101 is
>more about electronics theory.
>BUT we weren't talking about Physics, we YOU said there was no
>Vanderveer house and YOU asked where that came from and I showed you!
>So lying gay stockboy, your turn!

first off everytime you use a radio,your using a part of physics, so
ham radio does have something to do with physics, even the term SWR or
Standing Wave Ratio is a physics term. As for what it has to do with
physics I was explaining a concept that a little lid like yourself
could not even begin to understand. But let me try to explain it,
although this is not the proper forum to do so.

At one time in taylorville there was a house called Vanderveer house.
In the early 80's they torn it down and built a wal-mart (long before
my time working at wal-mart, I was still in jurior high) Now the
question that needs to be asked is the house (vanderveer house) really
gone? and the answer is no, the reason is that the house is still there
in it pride and joy, but in a different frame of time. Einstein also
wrote about time frames in his theory of realtivity but, I have
expanded even farther in that field to surpass Einstein. My theories
are based on "time frames" and "subspace" the example of the house was
that an example, my main theories in that field has to do with
particles and subatomic particles.
but hey, I know a little CB operator couldn't understand these high
tech theories.

Todd N9OGL

1661. High Tech Todd?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 07:15:01 UTC
From: Roger <Glendale@tawdry>
Message-ID: <d795ri$5sv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108844

Todd said
"I know a little CB operator couldn't understand these high
tech theories."

Todd. Oh, poor Todd. Stop fooling yourself, will you? You are for sure not fooling anybody in these newsgroups, so why do you persist?

Life is a challenge for everybody, Todd. For some more than others.

How many times does the comment have to be made that your life challenge has left you in the dust of eternal bewilderment? Or words to that effect. (I hesitate to call you stupid)

Todd. You could begin by using a spell check program. There are many such programs available and many are free for the downloading. You could, but apparently don't, use a word program to author your responses before posting them. Of course you are either too lazy or not 'high tech' enough to do so.

Hint: Use a word program and let it do the spell check. Then, if you are 'high tech' enough you can copy and paste your 'high tech' comments to the Newsgroups. Unfortunately the free word programs will not filter your asinine use of profanity.

And Todd, despite the comments that seem to question you gender of preference, I will ignore them for the time being. Just answer me this, Todd.

Do you prefer to be addressed as Mister Todd or Ms. Todd?

1662. Re: Dayton...much ado about nothing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 07:32:30 UTC
From: Roger <Glendale@tawdry>
Message-ID: <d796pq$81t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108845

I think you would enjoy it. Just seeing all the gear of the various brands and models of radios, antennas, etc all in one place at one time is worth it even if you buy nothing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
[

Well, OK, if you say so.

There still remains that noxious flatulance issue.

Standing in line behind or beside a malordorous individual may suit you, but not me.

Of course if one is subjected to such for a long enough time, one's olfactory sensors become numbed to the offensive odors.

I would surmise that you, Dee Dee (oops..you disdain being called Dee Dee) are of a more fastidious nature in that you bathe regularly.

Would that some of the Dayton attendees do likewise.

1663. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 07:54:42 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <ze6dneiG9MxfvgXfRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 108846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:

> Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> interested in getting a ham ticket...

Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking point is many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know what it is. The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio. The ideal place to find that information are the classes the ARRL is pushing under their educational program.

This is an important point because the kids can then make an "informed" choice based facts and first hand experience instead of something they read in a magazine or seen on TV / movies. Also just how many of those kids that do get licensed stick with the hobby? For those that don't these are the ones that have the answers as to why, for example the cell phone - instant messaging fits their needs and interest better. I don't know if the ARRL even bothers to try and collect this kind of data.

>I'd almost bet they are telling the truth...

Why wouldn't they?

> Yanno, you can do a lot of things to try to fix something--but when you
> find the right fix--you'll know it!!!

If you're going to try random chance at least try to narrow down the number of options, improve the odds of success, a bit. That's only going to happen if the ARRL gets systematic about collecting some useful and meaningful data, otherwise known as market research.

Normally I just sandbag on the side in this news group reading the posts. Most of the arguments over licensing numbers are petty much useless since most don't have any hard statistical data to support their points. I have to admit some of the arguments I've read are very well constructed and logical, but without the hard data it's just all speculation.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1664. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 08:42:21 UTC
From: Tom <Extra@nospam>
Message-ID: <d79asq$h5c$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
>>interested in getting a ham ticket...
>
>
> Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking point is
> many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know what it
> is. The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio. The
> ideal place to find that information are the classes the ARRL is pushing
> under their educational program.
>
> This is an important point because the kids can then make an "informed"
> choice based facts and first hand experience instead of something they
> read in a magazine or seen on TV / movies. Also just how many of those
> kids that do get licensed stick with the hobby? For those that don't these
> are the ones that have the answers as to why, for example the cell phone -
> instant messaging fits their needs and interest better. I don't know if
> the ARRL even bothers to try and collect this kind of data.
>
>
>>I'd almost bet they are telling the truth...
>
>
> Why wouldn't they?
>
>
>>Yanno, you can do a lot of things to try to fix something--but when you
>>find the right fix--you'll know it!!!
>
>
> If you're going to try random chance at least try to narrow down the
> number of options, improve the odds of success, a bit. That's only going
> to happen if the ARRL gets systematic about collecting some useful and
> meaningful data, otherwise known as market research.
>
> Normally I just sandbag on the side in this news group reading the posts.
> Most of the arguments over licensing numbers are petty much useless since
> most don't have any hard statistical data to support their points. I have
> to admit some of the arguments I've read are very well constructed and

> logical, but without the hard data it's just all speculation.
>
> Regards,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

The dilemma, if you want to describe it as that, of declining Ham licensees is little to worry about. It appears that some few hand-wringers are making much ado about nothing.

I have noted that, over the years, the majority of new Hams are not joining the ARRL. And for good reason, too.

The ARRL is a dinosaur, replete with septegenarians who are interested in doing things the 'old way'. Many new Hams look at the yearly fee to be a member and simply toss the application in the waste basket. I did this very thing some 25 years ago and never looked back.
After all, who really needs the ARRL? What do you get for your membership? A monthly magazine? Big deal.

I will leave the speculation and 'hard data' gathering processes to others who show an interest in data processing. Their efforts will be of little value in the long term scheme, other than they gathered some numbers and percentages that have little, if any, value in the long run.

Meanwhile, catch me on the HF bands. I'll leave the overcrowded two meter repeaters to the handi-talkie newbies.

All the more reason to upgrade.

1665. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 08:54:42 UTC
From: Layla <Extra@nospam>
Message-ID: <d79bjvigj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108848

Schwartz wrote:
> Anonymous wrote:
>
>> High-el slobbered into his jar of cum:

Wiseman slobbered using two or more anonymous remailers.

Chief Stenger is still watching you, Woger. You and I both know that the local PD has their eyes on you.
It has not escaped the Chief's attention that you live only a couple of blocks from the local grade school.

The only reason you have not messed with the kids, like you did in Florida, is that you know the local police officers are watching you very closely.

And you don't want to be put back into a mental facility again, do you? You know how much you hated it the last time.

1666. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 09:10:22 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <d79chv$ob6$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 108849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jack Hamilton" <jfh@acm.org> wrote in message
news:n2cd915f7vkptdgamu36hip868j9hq9ng2@4ax.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >The younger hams should set the course, they will inherit what they
build...
> >within one decade many of those holding ham tickets will be gone, in two
> >decades the numbers are LARGE, in three decades those numbers are
> >FRIGHTENING!!!!
> >
> >Those under 40 would best pilot this ship...
>
> And people under 40 (or under 50, for that matter) aren't going to
> bother with Morse Code, for the most part.
>
> It will be interesting to see what the license numbers look like in a
> few years in countries that have eliminated the code requirement. Will
> the numbers be better there? For that matter, are other countries
> seeing the same apparent declines that we see in the US?

In VK, we continue to see a steady decline, both in absolute numbers and in
membership of our national radio body (Wireless institute of Australia)
despite the removal of CW as an comulsory entry requirement onto HF.
However, we are about to see a new licence system introduced similar to the
UK system (which I believe resulted in an increase in numbers there). Age
demographics are pretty much on the "old side" - but there continues tobe a
trickle of young amateurs coming on line - how it will go in the end, not
sure.
On a side note, the use of HF appears to have increased, but not
dramatically and it would be difficult to prove conclusively that this is
the case (based mainly on callback numbers to the weekly WIA broadcasts).

Matt

> --
> Jack Hamilton
> Sacramento, California
> --
> <> Qui vit sans folie n'est pas si sage qu'il croit.
> <> Fran&#65533;ois VI, duc de La Rochefoucauld

1667. Re: Leonard H. Anderson Utters Yet ANOTHER Mistruth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 09:12:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <mCWIe.9338$M36.5986@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108900

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1117230058.763040.283920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> I guess it is VITAL and IMPORTANT that ALL amateurs KEEP all
>> the anachronisms of the past alive, as A Living Museum of
>> Radio, doing EXACTLY as the pioneers did it over a half
>> century ago. NO deviations, everything according to
>> Procedure, By the Book, Tradition held to the nth degree,
>> Marching In Ranks to the Morse Drumbeat, etc., just as
>> these other expert gurus of amateur radio did in Their youth.
>
> You keep making this assertion, Lennie, and it's just stone cold
> proof of MY assertion that you're an unrelenting liar without one bit
> of fact, substantiation or corroboration.
>
> Thanks for proving me right...again...
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Steve,

Where does Lennie come up with all this tripe? I read most of what is going on here with the knife fighting. But I certainly don't see where those that enjoy CW and HF are "stuck in the mud" so to speak.

This is a sincere question. I guess I just don't understand his mindset.

Dan/W4NTI

1668. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 09:19:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <dIWle.9342$M36.7001@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108901

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1117233226.808839.123760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Fri 27 May 2005 07:02
>>
>>
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> >> I'm still waiting to be arrested by Homeland Security and
>> >> the federal Attorney General on Sedition and Treason
>> >> Against the State for disagreeing with Stebie. [I'm sure
>> >> he called them, using his "professional qualifications" as
>> >> "authority"]
>> >
>> > Sheeesh, Lennie...You really DO need to seek some assistance.
>> >
>> > And forget Mrs Correspondence School...She hasn't done you any
>> >good up to this point.
>>
>> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary
>> figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely
>> path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron
>> in the other...(SNIP)
>
> Cute, Lennie.
>
> Hate to befuddle you with details, but the Sentinel at the Tomb Of
> the Unknowns is an Army enlisted man...Not a Marine.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I think I have figured Lennie out Steve....after this disgusting parody of
Memorial Day.

I think he was a victim of a "barracks party" in his youth. Perhaps the
application of lye soap, and the rough wool green blanket to tender parts of
his anatomy have caused permanent brain damage.

Dan/W4NTI

1669. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 09:27:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <vQWIe.9343$M36.2939@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108902

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1117255012.541412.212390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >From N9OGL BLOG
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
>
> THE ARRL LIES!!!!!
>
> I have figured out what amateur's think the way the do when it comes to
> information bulletins and what I am running. It is not the FCC that is
> telling them this shit, but the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) and
> here is what I'm talking about. The following is from the The FCC Rule
> Book : Complete Guide to the FCC Regulations Governing Amateur Radio
> published by the American Radio Relay League Edited by Norm Bliss
> WA1CCQ. The title is called One-Way Information Bulletins and it reads:
>
>
> "The best known one-way bulletin comes from W1AW, for decades, W1AW
> has transmitted brief information bulletins. It is common practice for
> other amateur stations to conduct similar operations, usually on a
> localized basis, and as part of a scheduled net or on some other
> limited, scheduled basis. Such Bulletin are important to the
> dissemination of timely and accurate information to radio amateurs
>
> W1AW and other stations providing this service generally have been
> careful to make such bulletins brief and factual. Occasionally, such as
> during the launch of an amateur radio satellite or space shuttle, some
> amateur stations using phone have been put into quasi-broadcast
> operation to provide real-time information about a developing situation
> of special interest to radio amateurs. Such events are rare and
> amateurs have exercised restraint [97.113(b)(e)]
>
> According to rules, the content of bulletins must be limited to
> information on matter of direct interest to radio amateurs only, and
> never intended for the ears of the general public [97.3(a)(24)]. As
> with business communications, the potential for abuse of one-way
> transmissions rule has long existed. There is no room in the crowded
> amateur high-frequency bands for lengthy one-way transmissions on a
> regular, quasi-broadcast basis. Information bulletins by definition
> must be confined to factual matter, not a matter opinion. They must be
> intended to inform, rather than to entertain. [97.111(b)(6)]" (ARRL
> The FCC Rulebook, 1995, page 4-4)
>
> This information which the ARRL is publishing is not true for the
> simple reason that he FCC in a warning letter to K1MAN in 2004 stated

> that his programs were in compliance with the FCC rules concerning the
> content of his programs. They also stated that there was "No specific
> time limits placed upon information bulletins by the commission rules."
> [Warning Letter to K1MAN, April 14 2004, Page 2 Section 4] The FCC has
> even stated on their website that "The control operator of the station
> transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining
> that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur service."
> So who are going to believe the ARRL who is pushing content control and
> supress free speech of the FCC???
>

You sound like a under educated clone of K1MAN. Oh BTW....where is his
20meter "show" now big mouth?

You don't seem to get it do you Toad? You can't beat city hall. You
certainly can't compete with a bunch of lawyers on the Federal tit. Wake up
before they come down on you so hard you won't know what hit you.

Dan/W4NTI

1670. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 09:30:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <8TWle.9345$M36.7337@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108903

And I thought Roger Wiseman had a foul mouth. This guy has taken over first place.

Dan/W4NTI

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1117234866.290204.238200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >Sure you are. You're broadcasting on 20 meters and too stupid to
>>pick a 2 meter frequency that's in accordance with the generally
>>accepted band plan.
>
> HEY ASSHOLE! read this really slow so you can fucking understand
> it....Broadcasting is a transmission intended for the reception of the
> gerneral public either direct or relay....you and your FUCKING ARRL
> (which are a bunch of fucking asshole morons) are misinterpting the
> rules wrong. LIKE I SAID MANY TIME BEFORE YOU FUCKING PIECE OF SHIT!!!
> YOU SHOW ME AN FCC RULE OR FCC RULING THAT STATES AN INFORMATION
> BULLETIN IS A NEWSCAST.....I DON'T GIVE A FUCK WHAT YOU AND YOU FUCKING
> ARRL HAS TO SAY...AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED YOU FUCKING COCKSUCKER YOU
> AND YOUR ARRL CAN EAT SHIT AND FUCKING DIE!!!!!!!!!!
>

1671. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 09:59:08 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <Doggiedaze@Liberals.com>
Message-ID: <d79fco$pr3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108904

> Cute, Lennie.
>
> Hate to befuddle you with details, but the Sentinel at the Tomb Of
> the Unknowns is an Army enlisted man...Not a Marine.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I think I have figured Lennie out Steve....after this disgusting parody of Memorial Day.

I think he was a victim of a "barracks party" in his youth. Perhaps the application of lye soap, and the rough wool green blanket to tender parts of his anatomy have caused permanent brain damage.

Dan/W4NTI

..

Dan. Len is what we used to refer to as a "chairborne" warrior.
He learned all the nomenclature, read all the books, but he didn't leave his chair.

Len may know what an old C-47 (DC-30) looks like but I bet a month wages that he never exited the aircraft the way I used to.
Len, ex military self-proclaimed professional that you are, veteran of all things military, how many jumps do you have in your resume'? Leave Steve out of this...stop questioning him for a minute.

Len commented to Steve that he had "stick time" or something to that effect.
Len, in my military book the term "stick" denoted the number of Troopers getting ready to go out the door. As in a "stick" of fifteen or twenty paratroopers.

By the way, Dan. Giving Len a "barracks party" would these days result in Len crying to the CO that he was set upon by his fellows and he'd go crying to the nearest politician.

Hats off to you, Dan. Thank you for your service.

Airborne!!!

Arf! Arf! (former Screaming Eagle, Ft. Campbell, Ky.)

1672. Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 10:23:08 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <Doggiedaze@Liberals.com>
Message-ID: <d79gpqsed0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108905

Len, lest you nit pick about the location of Fort Campbell, let me explain. Yes, I was for sure at Ft. Campbell in Kentucky. The base saddles the state line between Kentucky and Tennessee.

I would wake up in Kentucky and go across the street to the PX in Tennessee. You see, Len, the stae border ran right down the dividing line of the base. I would do PT in Kentucky and and have a cold one at the end of the day in Tennessee.

Of course you chairborne types would not know this.

And Len, you have not answered my question. What was your MOS and what did you do in the service of our country?

It seems that you and bb have a fair share of hot air with little to substantiate your claims.

Stand up. Hook up. Stand in the door.

Airborne!

1673. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 11:29:15 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4298560B.FBBD21DD@yapoo.com>
Source record: 108906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Jim Hampton wrote:
> > -.-. --.- ?
> Where the heck have you been of late? I gotta laugh, I have to make the
> sounds to copy the -.-. stuff on the computer. Dots and dashes make little
> sense to me - sounds, however, are something else.

I'm around, just not here. I can't believe that alpha-hotel is still at it!

> I'm back on a DSL account again. Whew! Dial-up was a killer.

Dial-up is like connecting a piece of fish tank airhose to a fire
hydrant and trying to get a glass of water when you're really thirsty!

1674. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 11:32:59 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <429856EB.BF8A79EE@yapoo.com>
Source record: 108907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> I'll leave the overcrowded two
> meter repeaters to the handi-talkie newbies.

And they think they're hot shit with underpowered radios that barely
cover the noise on the repeater. Two meter simplex is cool, though.

1675. Re: News From Japan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 11:44:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117280692.891076.67510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108908

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> . . . .
>
> > > The number of JA amateur station licenses has been steadily declining
> > > for about 10 years, even with their nocodetest 4th class HF license. It
> > > will be interesting to see what happens to the number of JA hams with
> > > these changes.
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > Perhaps they want the capability of being able to copy the Russian, Chinese,
> > and the N. Koreans.
> >
> > Gee.....just like the old Eastern Block countries did.....they still have
> > the CW. Gee wonder why?
> >
> > May I venture an opinion? Hows this? When the crap hits the fan and all
> > we have left is basic CW to communicate with....where are the ops coming
> > from?
>
> >From the kiddie chat rooms and RRAP of course . . . (?!)
>
> In it's Evil Empire days in the eastern bloc it was their militaries
> which very heavily encouraged and supported ham radio. They made no
> bones about the fact that they viewed hams as a pool of reserve
> military assets with critical skills. Hundreds if not thousands of
> clubs were equipped with freebie military gear and near-mandatory
> participation in contests was the norm since contesting is a
> skill-builder. I remember listening to some of their "inhouse"
> contests, SPs working UAs, etc. Those guys were *good*, almost 100%
> hand-keyed 25-30 WPM CW hour after hour.

My latest ARRL Handbook shows a photo of a Chinese girl doing RDF.

> Maybe there's a grain of truth in your contention Dan, maybe some
> countries still see some value in retaining some basic communications
> skills in case push comes to shove . . . ?
>
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> w3rv

A grain indeed. A single grain in a 15 ton load.

1676. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 12:02:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117281746.116563.211600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108909

Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > Cute, Lennie.
> >
> > Hate to befuddle you with details, but the Sentinel at the Tomb Of
> > the Unknowns is an Army enlisted man...Not a Marine.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> I think I have figured Lennie out Steve....after this disgusting parody of
> Memorial Day.
>
> I think he was a victim of a "barracks party" in his youth. Perhaps the
> application of lye soap, and the rough wool green blanket to tender parts of
> his anatomy have caused permanent brain damage.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> ..
>
> Dan. Len is what we used to refer to as a "chairborne" warrior.
> He learned all the nomenclature, read all the books, but he didn't leave his
> chair.
>
> Len may know what an old C-47 (DC-30) looks like but I bet a month wages
> that he never exited the aircraft the way I used to.

Did you get pushed out??

> Len, ex military self-proclaimed professional that you are, veteran of all
> things military, how many jumps do you have in your resume'? Leave Steve out
> of this...stop questioning him for a minute.

Does your resume state your number of jumps? Hi!

> Len commented to Steve that he had "stick time" or something to that effect.

Actually, Steve commented to the world that Steve had illegal stick
time.

> Len, in my military book the term "stick" denoted the number of Troopers
> getting ready to go out the door. As in a "stick" of fifteen or twenty
> paratroopers.

Steve was referring to the controls, as in flying the aircraft. The
only times he jumped out of the craft was when it was on the ground.

But he probably hollered out an "Oohah!" each time.

> By the way, Dan. Giving Len a "barracks party" would these days result in
> Len crying to the CO that he was set upon by his fellows and he'd go crying
> to the nearest politician.

What do you base that assumption on?

> Hats off to you, Dan. Thank you for your service.
>
> Airborne!!!
>
> Arf! Arf! (former Screaming Eagle, Ft. Campbell, Ky.)

Hats off to all Veterans.

1677. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 12:15:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <G46dneZuSphh_QXfRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 108910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:QwSle.75$Af5.3116198@news.sisna.com...
> Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> interested in getting a ham ticket... I don't think they are lying... I'd
> almost bet they are telling the truth...
>
> Yanno, you can do a lot of things to try to fix something--but when you
> find the right fix--you'll know it!!!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>

Need a lot bigger sample size though. The younger people that I know find
the code the most fascinating part. The resistance comes from people taking
up ham radio who are middle aged. However neither your sample nor mine is
large enough to draw conclusions.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:Hs6dnXdmFaFfcwrfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
>> On Thu, 26 May 2005 19:54:45 -0700, John S. wrote:
>>
>>
>>> What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data
>>> we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC
>>> doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to
>>> know the answer to.
>>
>> What would be of much more interest is the use to which the licenses are
>> put. A history of how the various classes operated over time would
>> provide
>> a big clue as to what is happening to the service now. Hard numbers are
>> better than the constant speculation I see here all the time. For example
>> a decline in some types of operations could reflect a trend where the
>> technology in use is either unpopular or being replaced by another one.
>> For example AM by SSB. This would even answer the ever present question,
>> and assertion, that Ham Radio is being supplemented by increased computer
>> use and the Internet. Knowing that then the ARRL could spend it's time
>> promoting those things that apparently Ham Radio still had the edge in
>> capabilities. If you want increased license numbers then you have to know
>> how to "market" Ham Radio, and if you don't know what the market wants,
>> or

>> you promote the wrong thing, then you end up with a declining market
>> share, i.e. license numbers.
>>
>> Regards,
>>
>> Leland C. Scott
>> KC8LDO
>
>

1678. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 12:26:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TtKdnRXVkY7h_gXfRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 108911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message
news:d79chv$ob6$1@otis.netspace.net.au...
>
> In VK, we continue to see a steady decline, both in absolute numbers and
> in
> membership of our national radio body (Wireless institute of Australia)
> despite the removal of CW as an comulsory entry requirement onto HF.
> However, we are about to see a new licence system introduced similar to
> the
> UK system (which I believe resulted in an increase in numbers there). Age
> demographics are pretty much on the "old side" - but there continues tobe
> a
> trickle of young amateurs coming on line - how it will go in the end, not
> sure.
> On a side note, the use of HF appears to have increased, but not
> dramatically and it would be difficult to prove conclusively that this is
> the case (based mainly on callback numbers to the weekly WIA broadcasts).
>
>
>
> Matt
>

Keep in mind that any change in rules may take years to have a noticeable impact on the number of licensees. How long has VK been codeless? Has it been long enough to have the hoped for impact? If you change licensing systems, the same questions need considered before judging it.

Here in the states, it seems that the last couple of changes in licensing requirements has had no noticeable long term effect.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1679. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 12:38:22 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <UKqdncMBmb7Y-wXfRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 108912

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:vQWle.9343$M36.2939@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1117255012.541412.212390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >From N9OGL BLOG
>> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
>>
>> THE ARRL LIES!!!!!
>>
>> I have figured out what amateur's think the way the do when it comes to
>> information bulletins and what I am running. It is not the FCC that is
>> telling them this shit, but the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) and
>> here is what I'm talking about. The following is from the The FCC Rule
>> Book : Complete Guide to the FCC Regulations Governing Amateur Radio
>> published by the American Radio Relay League Edited by Norm Bliss
>> WA1CCQ. The title is called One-Way Information Bulletins and it reads:
>>
>>
>> "The best known one-way bulletin comes from W1AW, for decades, W1AW
>> has transmitted brief information bulletins. It is common practice for
>> other amateur stations to conduct similar operations, usually on a
>> localized basis, and as part of a scheduled net or on some other
>> limited, scheduled basis. Such Bulletin are important to the
>> dissemination of timely and accurate information to radio amateurs
>>
>> W1AW and other stations providing this service generally have been
>> careful to make such bulletins brief and factual. Occasionally, such as
>> during the launch of an amateur radio satellite or space shuttle, some
>> amateur stations using phone have been put into quasi-broadcast
>> operation to provide real-time information about a developing situation
>> of special interest to radio amateurs. Such events are rare and
>> amateurs have exercised restraint [97.113(b)(e)]
>>
>> According to rules, the content of bulletins must be limited to
>> information on matter of direct interest to radio amateurs only, and
>> never intended for the ears of the general public [97.3(a)(24)]. As
>> with business communications, the potential for abuse of one-way
>> transmissions rule has long existed. There is no room in the crowded
>> amateur high-frequency bands for lengthy one-way transmissions on a
>> regular, quasi-broadcast basis. Information bulletins by definition
>> must be confined to factual matter, not a matter opinion. They must be
>> intended to inform, rather than to entertain. [97.111(b)(6)]" (ARRL
>> The FCC Rulebook, 1995, page 4-4)

>>
>> This information which the ARRL is publishing is not true for the
>> simple reason that he FCC in a warning letter to K1MAN in 2004 stated
>> that his programs were in compliance with the FCC rules concerning the
>> content of his programs. They also stated that there was "No specific
>> time limits placed upon information bulletins by the commission rules."
>> [Warning Letter to K1MAN, April 14 2004, Page 2 Section 4] The FCC has
>> even stated on their website that "The control operator of the station
>> transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining
>> that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur service."
>> So who are going to believe the ARRL who is pushing content control and
>> supress free speech of the FCC???
>>
>
> You sound like a under educated clone of K1MAN. Oh BTW....where is his
> 20meter "show" now big mouth?
>
> You don't seem to get it do you Toad? You can't beat city hall. You
> certainly can't compete with a bunch of lawyers on the Federal tit. Wake
> up before they come down on you so hard you won't know what hit you.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

People have tried and tried to tell him that opinion, facts, news, and information are four different things but he persists in confusing the four items. No one would have any problem with his transimitting facts, news or information but he persists in insisting that opinion falls under the category of information. The only "opinions" that would fit the information definition are those rendered by the judicial system or a governing body as they are clarifications of the rules. Based on the one or two titles of this broadcasts that he has listed here, his do not qualify as information.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1680. N9OGL LIES! N9OGL'S PARENTS LIE! N9OGL'S REAL PARENTS LIE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 12:54:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117284840.138268.16040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108913

N9OGL wrote:
> >From N9OGL BLOG
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
>
> THE ARRL LIES!!!!!
>
> I have figured out what amateur's think the way the do when it comes to
> information bulletins and what I am running...(SNIP TO...)

> This information which the ARRL is publishing is not true for the
> simple reason that he FCC in a warning letter to K1MAN in 2004 stated
> that his programs were in compliance with the FCC rules concerning the
> content of his programs. They also stated that there was "No specific
> time limits placed upon information bulletins by the commission rules."
> [Warning Letter to K1MAN, April 14 2004, Page 2 Section 4] The FCC has
> even stated on their website that "The control operator of the station
> transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining
> that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur service."

That warning letter was the opinon of the person writing it, and
NOT "official policy". THE ONLY "RULES" THE FCC CAN ENFORCE ARE THOSE
IN WRITING AS PUBLSIHED IN PART 97 EXCEPT UNDER VERY STRICT AND LIMITED
INSTANCES.

K1MAN's operations were found to be generally in compliance, but
he ahs also be warned, re-warned, and warned again about pusing those
limits.

> So who are going to believe the ARRL who is pushing content control and
> supress free speech of the FCC???

The ARRL is suppressing the "free speech of the FCC"...?!?!

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
!!
!

W H A T A N I D I O T ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Todd...You want to be the next "K1MAN"...fine...MOST people are
going to scorn your name, threaten your safety, sue you in court and
otherwise make a mockery of you and your "cause". The only one's
"supporting" you are going to be people like...well...like YOU!

Steve, K4YZ

1681. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 13:08:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117285713.454081.149090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108914

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1117233226.808839.123760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "K4YZ" on Fri 27 May 2005 07:02
> >>
> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> I'm still waiting to be arrested by Homeland Security and
> >> >> the federal Attorney General on Sedition and Treason
> >> >> Against the State for disagreeing with Stebie. [I'm sure
> >> >> he called them, using his "professional qualifications" as
> >> >> "authority"]
> >> >
> >> > Sheeesh, Lennie...You really DO need to seek some assistance.
> >> >
> >> > And forget Mrs Correspondence School...She hasn't done you any
> >> >good up to this point.
> >>
> >> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary
> >> figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely
> >> path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron
> >> in the other...(SNIP)
> >
> > Cute, Lennie.
> >
> > Hate to befuddle you with details, but the Sentinel at the Tomb Of
> > the Unknowns is an Army enlisted man...Not a Marine.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I think I have figured Lennie out Steve....after this disgusting parody of
> Memorial Day.
>
> I think he was a victim of a "barracks party" in his youth. Perhaps the
> application of lye soap, and the rough wool green blanket to tender parts of
> his anatomy have caused permanent brain damage.

You're probably right, Dan...My take on his "brain lock" in the
50's has to do with his drunken return to the hooch one night, passing
out face down in a benjo ditch somewhere...All that methane messed the
kid up...

I'd can't say I am surprised to see Lennie using the Tomb of the Unknowns as the backdrop for some purile "story". However after his several passes at familiarity with men who died before he was inducted, I guess it shouldn't have been a big surprise.

He's the kind of "veteran" that perpetuate some of the stereotypes that other Veterans have to overcome.

I followed one of Lennie's links once and came up on the website of "his" old signal batallion. I ought to take some of his quotes from here and drop them there and see how his old buddies take to his use of their comrades sacrifices being used like that.

Ought to make him reeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeal popular at the next reunion...if he dares to go.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1682. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 13:14:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050528091424.724$yd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 108915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> Normally I just sandbag on the side in this news group reading the posts.
>
LOL, which NG is that? You're not counting your sock posting aol proxy
account (172.172.158.131) lelnad.

Nice job on the coax, btw.

1683. Re: Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 13:31:31 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <QN6dnc-Og_8p7wXfRVn-uQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108916

N9OGL wrote:
> KC8GXW May 27, 7:22 pm
>
> Illiterate idiot N9OGL wrote:
>
>>Oh I'm Scared.....and the only thing allowed on 13.555 and 6800 is Part
>>15.
>>Todd N9OGL
>
>
>
>>So, you're just a dumbass fired stockboy pirate
>
>
> Part 15 doesn't have anything to do with pirate radio. part 15 is
> legal....oh I forgot your just a dumbass monkey who doesn't look beyond
> Part 97.
>
>
> TODD N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
>
But you're still just a dumbass fired gay stockboy pirate!

1684. Re: Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 13:34:57 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <HOSdnROgvcYe7gXfRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108917

N9OGL wrote:

>>BITCHWHORE KC8GXW SAID
>
>>From the fixture room with the other stockboys!
>
>>I'll bet with a little more power then that, and not with your home made
>>transmitters either!
>
>
> Nope,I ran a transmitter on 13.555.00 MHz at 10,000 micorvolts per
> meter at 30 meters. But a dickless CB operator couldn't understand
> that.
>
>
> TODD N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00MHz

Maybe not. But I do understand that I had to repeat 20 times that the original tech has 5 wpm code and you still didn't understand, I also understand why a fool who never was with a girl could turn gay just like you did! and you're still just a dumbass fired stockboy pirate!

1685. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 13:38:51 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <HOSdnRKgvcbx6QXfRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108918

N9OGL wrote:

>>From N9OGL BLOG
<snip all c&p>

Toad didn't write this, there's no major spelling errors, all he can do
is echo somebody else!

1686. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 13:43:50 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117287830.616003.278890@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not interested in getting a ham ticket..."

I think the ARRL and the licensed hams need to consider that question too. Most young people don't see ham radio as an up-to-date way of communication. Rather they see the licensing and equipment required as an anachronism. They have numerous highly portable license-free ways of communicating with one another and getting information about the world. Why go through the hassle of getting a license and radio equipment only to find out that the people you work and play with are not on the bands. They don't know what see you on 2 meters means - and when it is explained most of them say but I can do that on a cell phone with lots of people right now.

1687. Re: The End Game: Baxter Thread of 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 13:50:35 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <yPadnVEhPOqz6gXfRVn-tg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:

> Like I said dickless, go to QRZ if you want to boot people off....you
> NAZI, COMMUNIST SCUMBAG
>
>
> Todd N9OGL

Listen used toilet paper breath, post what I said if you are going to
turn it around and lie! I hope you goto jail when you can't pay the
fine, we all know a fired stockboy has no money, you'll be Buba's new
wife, but then again, you already know what that's like!

REPOST
n9ogl wrote:

>
> and why don't you eat shit and die...these are NOT your
> newsgroups......if you want to kick people off I think QUEER RADIO ZONE
> (QRZ) has a few openings.....maybe your little buddy K4YZ can join you
> and you can get on there and DICTATE what people think or say.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz.

Is that another threat? You want me to die? Didn't your ISP tell you not
to post here? I really don't care what or where you post perv, I was
just pointing out the obvious, something you can't or won't understand!
Oh, Why is there always some reference to Steve in every reply you make?
Why is there also some gay comment from you? Is this your cute little
way of saying you want Steve? I really don't think he goes that way toad!
END OF REPOST

Where does it say anything about kicking anybody off of anywhere? Where
do I say anything about QRZ? Are these the voices in your head? If
you're going to answer a reply, at least answer what was written, not
something from the future/past, or wherever you get this crap from.
Did or didn't YOUR ISP kick you? Your turn again you DRUNK GAY LITTLE
LEPRECHAUN!

1688. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 13:53:00 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <yPadnVAhPOog6gXfRVn-tg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108921

N9OGL wrote:

>>Sure you are. You're broadcasting on 20 meters and too stupid to
>>pick a 2 meter frequency that's in accordance with the generally
>>accepted band plan.
>
>
> HEY ASSHOLE! read this really slow so you can fucking understand
> it....Broadcasting is a transmission intended for the reception of the
> gerneral public either direct or relay....you and your FUCKING ARRL
> (which are a bunch of fucking asshole morons) are misinterpting the
> rules wrong. LIKE I SAID MANY TIME BEFORE YOU FUCKING PIECE OF SHIT!!!
> YOU SHOW ME AN FCC RULE OR FCC RULING THAT STATES AN INFORMATION
> BULLETIN IS A NEWSCAST.....I DON'T GIVE A FUCK WHAT YOU AND YOU FUCKING
> ARRL HAS TO SAY...AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED YOU FUCKING COCKSUCKER YOU
> AND YOUR ARRL CAN EAT SHIT AND FUCKING DIE!!!!!!!!!

Another threat? You want Steve to die? You're stepping way over the line
toad.

1689. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 13:57:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117288641.854997.242930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108923

Arf! Arf! wrote:
> Len, lest you nit pick about the location of Fort Campbell, let me explain.
> Yes, I was for sure at Ft. Campbell in Kentucky. The base saddles the state
> line between Kentucky and Tennessee.

I'm just a lowly ole Jarhead Airdale and I knew that...WITHOUT
having to point-and-click to find it!

> I would wake up in Kentucky and go across the street to the PX in Tennessee.
> You see, Len, the stae border ran right down the dividing line of the base.
> I would do PT in Kentucky and and have a cold one at the end of the day in
> Tennessee.
>
> Of course you chairborne types would not know this.
>
> And Len, you have not answered my question. What was your MOS and what did
> you do in the service of our country?

Allow me to help, Arf.

Lennie has regaled us with his tales of Radioland Warheroness over
and over...

Lennie held >>MAINTENANCE<< MOS's for "microwave relay" systems in
the 1950's. He has tried to use that to impress us with how he
allegedly "operated HF radio without Morse Code" back in the day...The
problem is he NEVER held any operator MOS.

No doubt he keyed up the rig to help set SWR or pre-set channels
on ocssassion, but Lennie was no closer to being a "radio operator"
than "Barney" is to being a Tyrannasaurus Rex.

You missed his "1.2 million messages passed" song and dance. He
tried to pass off as his own accomplishment some phenominal numbers of
traffic passed...

Needless to say just about everyone landed on his head over the
numbers...THEN the story changed to "Well...I was part of a TEAM that
did it...".

Yeah...uh huh...right...

I can see HQMC peeing down their legs in hillarity if I tried to
get my record to reflect how I was "part of the team" of "my" old unit
in Viet Nam...Oh..Wait...I was still in High School when they did
that...Oh well..I'll tell 'em Lennie said it's OK!

His other NG faux pas, first "aired" about 4 years ago and repeated here within the last week, has been his use of the sacrifices of the lives of Soldiers who were KIA's to make him appear as though he was somehow involved in their greater glory. That in and of itself is about as disgusting and disgraceful as one (alleged) veteran can be towards another.

You may have seen Jim Miccolis ream Lennie on the "Russian Bear" threat and his 'sphinchter' post.

In one episode of buffoonery and grandstanding, Lennie went on to tell us how he "lived under the threat of the Russian (Tu-95) Bear" while he was in Japan. Well, any reasonably well informed aviation historian knows that the bear entered service well AFTER Lennie had rotated back to CONUS.

And his "sphinchter" post was a scathing damnation of another poster who "didn't know what it was like to be under "incomming" artillery fire", as if HE knew what it was like...Ask Jim to provide you the link...It's yet another damning example of how this wonderputz feels perfectly at liberty to TAKE liberties with military service.

And of course he can't help but ridicule anyone who "volunteers" for ANYthing, and especially Civil Air Patrol and other military programs...Oh, Lennie is ALL ABOUT dragging out his former military service number like it was five white stars on a field of blue when it suits him...

Of course he can't even get THOSE insults correct. You should have seen his attempts to "call me" on CAP's inventory...airframes CAP hasn't flown in 20 years!

And Lennie's scathing damnation of the "civilian defense" services of WW2...Of course Lennie was a pre-pubescent adolescent in the midwest during the war...But hey, let's not let details get in the way...

Lennie enlisted without waiting to be drafted. Good for him. He managed to get out with a GCM. Bravo. No one can take that much away from him...But his lies, deceit and liberties with other people's sacrifices SINCE then make him a real piece of work.....

> It seems that you and bb have a fair share of hot air with little to
> substantiate your claims.

At least Brian Burke (I have to give him this due where it's due...) did some forward-deployed time in Somalia. He and I both know what incomming fire sounds like...!

Lennie's "incomming fire" was an M-80 rolled down the squadbay on July 4th!

> Stand up. Hook up. Stand in the door.
>
> Airborne!

OOOHRAH!

Thanks, Trooper!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1690. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 13:57:23 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <y4WdnXoHTrBY5QXfRVn-hg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108922

N9OGL wrote:

> I have expanded even farther in that field to surpass Einstein.
> Todd N9OGL
>
toad, the only thing you surpassed is Asinine!
10-4 gud buddy?
Why do you know so much about cb's and gay stuff? Where did you get
you're education in Physics, a spiderman comic book?

1691. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 14:09:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117289393.982931.151220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108924

N9OGL wrote:
> >Sure you are. You're broadcasting on 20 meters and too stupid to
> >pick a 2 meter frequency that's in accordance with the generally
> >accepted band plan.
>
> HEY ###HOLE! read this really slow so you can ####ing understand
> it....

No, Todd...YOU read THIS...

> Broadcasting is a transmission intended for the reception of the
> gerneral public either direct or relay....you and your ####ING ARRL...(SNIP)

Todd, I've asked you before to show me where I've ever claimed to
be part of or support the ARRL, and for you to show us where the ARRL
has said a SINGLE thing about you, your broadcasts, or your activites
in this forum.

As of today...Squat.

> (which are a bunch of ####ing ###hole morons)

No...YOU are the ####ing ###hole moron. Not one single person
here has offered yo a word of encouragement or support...many have
pointed out some very reasonable alternatives to your broadcasts, yet
you are determined to just PO anyone and everyone who you come into
contact with.

THAT is moronic.

> (UNSNIP)...are misinterpting the
> rules wrong. LIKE I SAID MANY TIME BEFORE YOU ####ING PIECE OF ####!!!
> YOU SHOW ME AN FCC RULE OR FCC RULING THAT STATES AN INFORMATION
> BULLETIN IS A NEWSCAST.....

You've been provided with SEVERAL 'for instances'. You refuse to
pay attention.

> I DON'T GIVE A #### WHAT YOU AND YOU ####ING
> ARRL HAS TO SAY...AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED YOU ####ING COCK####ER YOU
> AND YOUR ARRL CAN EAT #### AND ####ING DIE!!!!!!!!!!

Whew. THAT was impressive.

Steve, K4YZ

1692. Re: Physics according to toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 14:28:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117290482.794335.231800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108925

N9OGL wrote:
> that has nothing to do with my "physics" and frankly little man you
> could never understand my great theories.

You mean like the one where you cryogenically cool a storage
capacitor with Liquid Oxygen...???

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

1693. Re: Obsessed Gay Lid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 14:37:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117291075.313903.40830@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108926

N9OGL wrote:
> No Steve you ####ing dip####, that's what you are a ###hole!!!!!

Do I even have to help you get your insults right?

"No, Steve, you ####ing dip####. That's what you are. An
###hole."

Please feel free to cut and paste that, Todd.

> your show me an FCC rule or an FCC decision that states the an
> Information bulletin has to be a newscast....I DON'T GIVE A #### WHAT
> YOU AND YOUR ####ING ARRL BELIEVES!!!!

And I don't care what YOU believe, Todd.

BTW...I am sending you an early Christmas present. In it you will
find all the things you need to build a prototype of your LOX cooled
storage capacitor.

Just do me ooooooooooooooooooooone favor....

Just before you load the cooling conduit, repeat these words at
the TOP of your lungs foru times and in each of the major points of the
compass.....

'FIRE IN THE HOLE'...! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

1694. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 14:41:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117291286.530197.211180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108927

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > Lots of stuff snipped with additional comments below.
> > >
> > > <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > > news:1116710601.789736.259420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > > > >>Should we have a pity party for the poor text messaging
> > > > >>record holder. If the text message folks feared a put
> > > > >>down then they had the option to not play. They decided to
> > > > >>play and they lost....fair, square, contrived or how ever
> > > > >>you feel it was inevitable that text messaging would lose.
> > > > >
> > > > > Okay, then you agree it was a SETUP?
> > > >
> > > > I agree the result was predictable...if you want to call
> > > > it a set-up, then so be it.
> > >
> > > It takes two hands to use a cellphone for text-messaging purposes.
> >
> > Not if it's cemented to an operating console.

Well...there you go...another evolution in common sense.

> > In only takes two fingers to send Morse Code on a keyer.
>
> It only takes one finger to send you a message.

Seems that's the only method of "digital" communications you and
Lennie seem to know...Why am I not surprised?

Steve, K4YZ

1695. Re: The End Game: Baxter Thread of 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 14:43:01 UTC
From: duckecho@gmail.com
Message-ID: <fq0h915n70mgfkuo6kt8c403figh916mg9@4ax.com>
Source record: 108928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 27 May 2005 20:42:42 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net>
wrote:

>....you NAZI...

Well, this thread is officially over.

>THE N9OGL SHOW
>14.321.00 MHz.

How does someone have a "show" on an amateur radio frequency?

1696. Re: Warning to the Toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 15:40:04 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <3c7d76aa2133618bdb24b44afae1756a@dizum.com>
Source record: 108929

```
In article <d78oon$812$0@pita.alt.net>
"Roger" <Glendaleowns@tawdryfatfucksonaltopia> wrote:
>
>
> "Bathrooman" <drle...@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:1117241502.844910.290720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Who wants to assist Bathrooman?
>
> Roger, AB8MQ will. He is the resident scat expert.

And your OWNER, apparently, bitch BOY!
```

1697. Re: Physics according to toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 15:47:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Mo0me.1319$s64.544@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108930

N9OGL wrote:
> that has nothing to do with my "physics" and frankly little man you
> could never understand my great theories.

I encountered one individual in the past who had a number of "great theories". He wrote them on the outside of 9 x 12 manila envelopes in crayon and magic marker and mailed them to U.S. embassies.

Take a physic, Todd.

Dave K8MN

1698. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 15:53:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117295629.812243.180610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108931

Arf! Arf! wrote:

> Of course you chairborne types would not know this.
>
> And Len, you have not answered my question.

Hello Arf!,

Len does not answer most questions. He says they're "loaded",
even when it's clear they are not.

> What was your MOS and what did
> you do in the service of our country?

Now ya did it - he'll do a couple dozen kilobytes about ADA
again...
>
> It seems that you and bb have a fair share of hot air
> with little to substantiate your claims.

Here, I'll sum it up for you:

"No matter what employment, education, experience or
government/military service a person has, if that person opposes Mr.
Anderson's views, he/she will be the target of Mr. Anderson's insults,
ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic/gender/racial slurs,
excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior."
>
> Stand up. Hook up. Stand in the door.
>
> Airborne!

Gotta take some serious cajones to jump out of an aircraft that
is flying perfectly well. Double or triple that to do it in order to
engage hostile folks on the ground.

Here's a big "thank you" to you and all who have served our country,
Arf. Both in uniform and in other ways.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1699. Re: Physics according to toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 15:57:45 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <UI2dnTQREf1mCQXfRVn-1g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108932

N9OGL wrote:
> that has nothing to do with my "physics" and frankly little man you
> could never understand my great theories.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
I thank God everyday that I don't understand your theories! If I did
understand them, I would call them hallucinations!

1700. Re: N9OGL LIES! N9OGL'S PARENTS LIE! N9OGL'S REAL PARENTS LIE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 16:24:08 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <KqqdnaJx4_K3BgXfRVn-tw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108933

K4YZ wrote:

>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>>From N9OGL BLOG
>>http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
>>
>>THE ARRL LIES!!!!!
>>
>>I have figured out what amateur's think the way the do when it comes to
>>information bulletins and what I am running...(SNIP TO...)
>
>
>>This information which the ARRL is publishing is not true for the
>>simple reason that he FCC in a warning letter to K1MAN in 2004 stated
>>that his programs were in compliance with the FCC rules concerning the
>>content of his programs. They also stated that there was "No specific
>>time limits placed upon information bulletins by the commission rules."
>>[Warning Letter to K1MAN, April 14 2004, Page 2 Section 4] The FCC has
>>even stated on their website that "The control operator of the station
>>transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining
>>that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur service."
>
>
> That warning letter was the opinon of the person writing it, and
> NOT "official policy". THE ONLY "RULES" THE FCC CAN ENFORCE ARE THOSE
> IN WRITING AS PUBLSIHED IN PART 97 EXCEPT UNDER VERY STRICT AND LIMITED
> INSTANCES.
>
> K1MAN's operations were found to be generally in compliance, but
> he ahs also be warned, re-warned, and warned again about pusing those
> limits.
>
>
>>So who are going to believe the ARRL who is pushing content control and
>>supress free speech of the FCC???
>
>
> The ARRL is suppressing the "free speech of the FCC"...?!?!
>
> BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
A!!!

!!!
>
> W H A T A N I D I O T ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Todd...You want to be the next "K1MAN"...fine...MOST people are
> going to scorn your name, threaten your safety, sue you in court and
> otherwise make a mockery of you and your "cause". The only one's
> "supporting" you are going to be people like...well...like YOU!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Nobody warned his parents in the 60's that drug usage could lead to
birth defects in their yet unborn children!

1701. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 16:58:07 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <zq1me.11934$w21.11083@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> For those that don't these
> are the ones that have the answers as to why, for example the cell phone -
> instant messaging fits their needs and interest better. I don't know if
> the ARRL even bothers to try and collect this kind of data.
>

This competition, coupled with the testing requirements,
sure puts ham radio at a disadvantage. How many kids,
saddled with tons of tests and other such from school,
really want to be bothered with more of this?

1702. Re: Dayton...much ado about nothing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 17:54:04 UTC
From: Apu's Mobil <dotheadpushstart@towelheadpullstart.com>
Message-ID: <af6f$429a577a$d1cc5aba$27573@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 108991

"Sal" <Clone@noEmail> wrote in message news:d76src$nk1$0@pita.alt.net...
> So what is the big deal about Dayton? Is it the Nirvana of Ham Radio?
> Paradise Lost?

...all the above and more

> What one sees, for the most part, is a scurvy collection of goofily clad
old
> men trailing homemade carts or modified grocery carts behind them as they
> drag "for sale" junk behind them.

My favorite is the guys who are breathing heavily while
lugging a cart behind them. The ones who look like their
just 5 more steps from a CVA right there in the flea market.
You'd think these oderiferous bulbous buffoons

> Forget getting close to the new vendors booths. The Old Farts gather
around
> the new vendors booths and dawdle for hours on end. No, they won't spend a
> penny, but they love to stand in front of the new vendors booths and share
> their flatulence with those standing behind them. The only way to get
close
> to the new vendor booths is to hope that one of the Old Men wets his Relys
> and has to head to the bathroom.
>
> Then there is always the Double Dip who comes to the fest with six
> handie-talkies on his aleady overburdended 1960's white belt. You have
seen
> them. Six HT's, three on each side with mics dangling from every angle. It
> makes you wonder how the Goons keep their pants up.
> And what Dayton Hamfest would not be complete without at least one
Goofball
> running around the arena with a small beam antenna mounted on his hat? You
> have seen the likes. Beam me up, Scotty.
>
>
> Oh, and let us not ignore the ever present Junk Vendors in the outside
> arena. Anybody want to buy a burned out Marine battery? Some ten year old
AA
> batteries still in the orginal 1995 package?
>
> Dayton is far from the Ham Radio Mecca it once used to be. It has turned
> into a Flea Market.
>
> I went to Dayton once. After arriving at 0700 and not being allowed into

> the main arena until 11:00 I quickly wrote Dayton off, never to return.
>
> It is not worth the trip.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1703. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 20:01:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117310395.703280.294920@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108935

From: "Arf! Arf!" on Sat 28 May 2005 05:23

>Len, lest you nit pick about the location of Fort Campbell, let me explain.
>Yes, I was for sure at Ft. Campbell in Kentucky. The base saddles the state
>line between Kentucky and Tennessee.

Does anyone care where an anony-mouse "saddles?" :-)

Anony-mousies have horsies? :-)

>I would wake up in Kentucky and go across the street to the PX in Tennessee.
>You see, Len, the stae border ran right down the dividing line of the base.
>I would do PT in Kentucky and and have a cold one at the end of the day in
>Tennessee.

Sounds like you had way too many "cold ones" in straddling the
"stae" line. Sober up and try again.

What has that to do with AMATEUR RADIO? You MUST follow your
Commander's (Gen. Chesty "stick time" Robeson, USMC) Order of
the Day: The only "airborne" talk is USMC helicopters. The
only amateur radio talk allowed by him is USMC MARS ops on
Okinawa.

>Of course you chairborne types would not know this.
>
>And Len, you have not answered my question. What was your MOS and what did
>you do in the service of our country?

Am I supposed to pop-to and immediately "answer a question" of
some anony-mouse? :-)

My primary MOS was 281.6, microwave radio relay operation and
maintenance supervisor, secondary MOSs as Fixed Station radio
operation and maintenance supervisor, carrier terminal
operation and maintenance, United States Army. A buddy in my
outfit, serving at the same station but on a different
operating team (we had four teams) is Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV.

You can see the details of where and how I served at:

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment

There are three documents there (second group on that web
page). The first one, "My Three Years with ADA", describes
it in more detail.

As to working as a civilian on DoD contracts, there's not much available to show you, especially not to anony-mousies. Pick Hughes Aircraft Co. Ground Systems, RCA Corporation, Teledyne Electronics, and some others on up to 1989...just tell them you are "Arf" the man you say you are and demand they TELL you what I did in electronics engineer as a civilian. I'm sure they will salute you smartly. With the middle finger.

>It seems that you and bb have a fair share of hot air with little to
>substantiate your claims.

I've got all sorts of documents, some already scanned to back up MY claims "Arf-ass," and the United States government to reference it all. Just go to the big Military Records center (NARA) at St. Louis, MO, and demand to see my Army record. They have a website and can even return information over the Internet. Here, I'll even give you my ASN: RA 16 408 336. Trouble is, YOU have to supply YOUR SSN on the Standard Form for getting those details. The U.S. government doesn't accept demands from anony-mousies. Expect another middle-finger salute from them when you can't supply that. You deserve such.

>Stand up. Hook up. Stand in the door.

Tsk. You've seen too many military movies on TV.

Next time, do NOT forget the parachute as you just did...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

ex-RA16408336, USA, 1952-1960, Signal Corps, Sgt (E-5)
HONORABLE Discharge

1704. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 20:07:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117310827.917343.253580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108936

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri 27 May 2005 15:19

>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>Here's another way to look at it:
>
>Suppose you trained (like I did) to run a 26.22 mile marathon. And
>suppose you completed a couple of them, earning the right to describe
>yourself as a marathon runner.
>
>Now suppose some people complained that the marathon distance was too
>long, and kept out too many. So they get the marathon distance changed
>to 5 miles, and call themselves marathon runners too.
>
>How would that make you feel?

Awwwwwwww...ain't that a darn shame?!?

Outside of starting with the letter M, I don't see ANY
comparison of marathon running to morse code.

The FCC doesn't require ANY athletic skill/talent/expertise
to get ANY radio operator license.

Sunnuvagun! [TM Hans Brakob, more or less]

Suppose you were an original radio amateur like thousands that
came before you, training and working real hard to learn and
be expert in SPARK transmitter technology...then the nasty
federal authorities BANNED SPARK forever! And those darn feds
had the NERVE to allow newcomers into ham radio without ANY
knowledge or experience of SPARK! SPARK was the BEGINNING
for hundreds of early radio amateurs in the USA! BASIC!

FAX me your TS card, I'll punch it...you poor baby...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1705. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 20:09:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117310984.390374.70240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108937

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri 27 May 2005 14:30

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Wed,May 25 2005 3:35 pm
>
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon,May 23 2005 3:57 am

>> He became a Virtual
>> military expert without once being IN any branch or working in
>> any office of the Department of Defense.
>
>I don't claim to be an expert in anything.

Now, now, you are being toooo modest... :-)

>You've never been "IN" amateur radio, yet you want to tell us How It
>Should Be.

That's simply untrue. :-)

But...one just cannot tell a PCTA Extra anything. :-)

>> Getting to the heart of the matter, Jimmie feels TERRIBLY "insulted"
>> when one doesn't like what Jimmie likes.
>
>Not true. You're the one who calls people names, and tells them to shut up
>if they don't agree with you.

Tsk, tsk. Someone like that should be removed from this
newsgroup "clubhouse," shouldn't they? :-)

You can begin by removing some 1x2 Extras in here, such
as the one always calling his "opponents" for "Putz."

>> [he is regularly bringing up old, faded postings from Google as
>> if to continue to "do battle" on those topics forever and ever]
>
>Gee, Len, you bring up even older and more faded stuff about defunct
>ham radio magazines and your experiences of a half-century ago.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, perhaps because I actually DID those things
and you did not? Awwww....

>Why is it OK for you to rehash events of 20, 30, 50 years ago, but not
>OK for others to remind you of the events of 2 or 3 years past?

Who said "it isn't OK?" Jimmie, you do that all the time
lapsing back to your teenage years and that MIGHTY TEST
for an AMATEUR radio license!

>Seems like a double standard on your part.

Awwwww...you seeing double all the time, Jimmie? Yes you
are. Get some medical attention. See Dr. Robeson, both of
him, maybe some of his other alter egos...he has the
"qualifications" to do things. He says.

>> Jimmie wants NUMBERS? He is regularly giving some sort of
>> tabulation on the "valid licenses" of radio amateurs in the USA.
>
>No, I'm not. You're mistaken, Len. Wrong again.

They aren't tabulations?!? Are you MAKING THOSE UP?!?!?

>The numbers I post here twice a month are those of current, unexpired
>FCC amateur licenses held by individuals. That's stated in each post.
>The word valid is not used to describe them.

So...where do those NUMBERS come from?

>You've claimed that FCC doesn't use the word "expired" to describe
>licenses in the grace period, but Part 97 shows that you're wrong on
>that.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. There's a GRACE PERIOD, Jimmie. That's in
the regulations. Do you mean each "expired" licensee has to
RETEST? Say goodnight "gracie?" Grazie...

>So? You've posted the numbers from hamdata.com without describing how
>they are generated.

That's simply untrue, Jimmie.

>> We are to accept
>> his NUMBERS as "correct" because Jimmie never makes mistakes. All
>> others, ESPECIALLY those challenging him, always "make mistakes."
>
>That's just not true, Len.

So...you DO make mistakes?!? Who would have thought that....?

>> Most folks would accept some website that regularly downloads the
>> huge FCC amateur database, sorts it, and presents gross totals.
>
>Then why don't you accept the numbers I post?

Tsk. You don't accept www.hamdata.com numbers.

>> Not Jimmie. He wants "massaged" NUMBERS, showing only the "valid"
>> licensees..."valid" in HIS viewpoint.
>
>Nope.

>
>The numbers I post come from a website that regularly downloads the
>huge FCC amateur database, sorts it, and presents gross totals. All I
>do is copy them and post them here.

WHICH website, Jimmie? How can we trust your "honesty"
in anything when you make so many mistakes?

>No "massaging".

Your medium is the massage.

>> Jimmie needs MASSAGING
>> of those NUMBERS in order to "show us" something...and thus we get
>> the INTERPRETATIONS of those "valid" numbers.
>
>How? The numbers are what they are.

Tsk, tsk, it's the editorial commentary that you add... :-)

>> I especially like his "reason" for maintaining the code test for
>> all amateurs having below-30-MHz operating privileges: "Morse
>> code is the SECOND-most popular mode on HF, therefore the code
>> test 'deserves' to remain!"
>
>I'm glad you like it, Len.

That's simply untrue, Jimmie.

> It's a really good, valid reason to keep a
>test of basic Morse Code skill for an amateur radio license.

It's your bullshit OPINION, Jimmie. NOT a "fact."

It's not an international requirement. It's not something that
the FCC thinks is necessary for amateur license grants. They
keep it in the rules because the PCTA lobbyists from ARRL and
other olde-tymers insist/demand it is "necessary" (because they
HAD to pass it), and because amateur radio isn't that important
to address rules changes right now.

Enjoy the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society) in all its
beepery. Keep on recreating the pioneer days in radio that
you were never a part of, poor guy. Hold those ancient
traditions, standards, and practices forever...make sure
nothing is changed and keep on saying to hell with newcomers,
make them work like Jimmie did as a teenager. After all,
wasn't amateur radio created in YOUR image? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1706. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 20:13:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117311194.392618.268630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108938

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: "bb" on Wed,May 25 2005 3:35 pm
>>
>> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon,May 23 2005 3:57 am
>> > >>
>> > >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> > >> >
>> > >> >"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult thing
>> > >> >in order to "win an argument." "
>> > >>
>> > >> Oh, oh! Reverend Jim issued a Sermon On The Antenna Mount! :-)
>> > >>
>> > >> Not only that, the poor guy is still furious over NOT "winning"
>> > >> a newsgroup argument THREE YEARS AGO! :-)
>> > >>
>> > >> Not only that, the "argument" wasn't even about RADIO!
>> > >>
>> > >> Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
>> > >> and international socio-political politics are another group.
>> > >>
>> > >> "RADIO" includes all of the HF portion of the EM spectrum. That's
>> > >> where the major international communications networks WERE in
>> > >> the 1950s. I was part of that - for three years - as a
>> > >> volunteer in the United States Army...operating HF transmitters
>> > >> for an Army station.
>> > >
>> > >In Jim's circles, there is no "volunteering." You get bad grades and
>> > >your waiver goes away. Then the Draftsman comes knocking.
>> >
>> > Tsk, the "knocking" stopped about 32 years ago. Jimmie has NO
>> > worry. He could sit back in comfortable safety in PA and read
>> > all about the military and international realpolitik without
>> > once having participated in anything.
>>
>> How many Soviet aircraft did you see when you were in Japan in the
>> 1950s, Len?

Only one, but at a distance, couldn't get close enough to read
any manufacturer's tags on the airframe of the MiG. From a
North Korean defector who flew it to South Korea, was in display
at a USAF base on Honshu.

>I've seen Soviet aircraft without being overseas. I've seen them on
>RADAR penetrating CONUS airspace.
>
>So where were you when you saw Soviet aircraft? How did you serve?

Jimmie did proofreading on the Brit series "Janes All The World
Aircraft." Did that at the local public library.

>> > He became a Virtual
>> > military expert without once being IN any branch or working in
>> > any office of the Department of Defense.
>>
>> I don't claim to be an expert in anything.
>
>That would probably be for the best.

Ah, but he comes ON like the CEO of the newsgroup. :-)

>> You've never been "IN" amateur radio, yet you want to tell us How It
>> Should Be.
>
>When you merely want to exclude people??? I've no problem with him
>anything he might say to you with your kind of attitude.

Jimmie needs to be newsgroup CEO.

>> > Getting to the heart of the matter, Jimmie feels TERRIBLY "insulted"
>> > when one doesn't like what Jimmie likes.
>>
>> Not true. You're the one who calls people names, and tells them to shut up
>> if they don't agree with you.
>
>Jim calls them jackasses.

PCTA Extra Double Standard. QED.

>> > [he is regularly bringing up old, faded postings from Google as
>> > if to continue to "do battle" on those topics forever and ever]
>>
>> Gee, Len, you bring up even older and more faded stuff about
>
>Highly respected...
>
>>defunct
>>ham radio magazines and your experiences of a half-century ago.
>
>Every issue of QST has excerpts from half a century ago. I've never,
>ever, ever seen you complain. Ever.

Brian, careful, you know how painful it is to remind Jimmie
of the obvious. Pastor Jimmie might write another Sermon on
the Antenna Mount!

>>Why
>>is it OK for you to rehash events of 20, 30, 50 years ago, but not
>>OK for others to remind you of the events of 2 or 3 years past?
>
>Because you say it isn't OK for Len to remind you of events of 50 years
>ago, but never comment when the ARRL does it.

Jimmie wants to rehash what I was "supposed to do" in Japan,
but Jimmie has NEVER served in any military anywhere anytime.
That's "okay."

Jimmie wants Total Agreement with his OPINIONS. If there is
no Total Agreement, then dissention is "wrong," must be
BANNED, shouted down, tarred and feathered, etc., etc.

>> Seems like a double standard on your part.
>
>Double-standard, indeed. That could be your middle name.

I think it should be just "H" (for hypocrite).

>> > Jimmie wants NUMBERS? He is regularly giving some sort of
>> > tabulation
>> > on the "valid licenses" of radio amateurs in the USA.
>>
>> No, I'm not. You're mistaken, Len. Wrong again.
>
>Are you absolutely, positively sure?

Jimmie is never wrong. Other people are wrong...when they
disagree with Jimmie.

>> The numbers I post here twice a month are those of current, unexpired
>> FCC amateur licenses held by individuals. That's stated in each post.
>> The word valid is not used to describe them.
>
>They are invalid numbers? They are invalid licenses?

Jimmie loves wordplay, spending long hours at his old, faded
computer, exercising his imaginary powers of CEO of the
newsgroup. He's wasting lots of folks' time.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1707. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 20:15:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117311306.659580.104610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108939

From: "bb" on Fri 27 May 2005 17:39

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Wed,May 25 2005 3:56 pm
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > > Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
>> >> > > and international socio-political politics are another group.
>> >> >
>> >> > Then why do you go off on so many tangents, Len? You seem to be afraid
>> >> > to have a civil discussion about amateur radio policy here.
>> >>
>> >> In order to have a "civil discussion" on Amateur Radio policy,
>> >> Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make
>> >> informed opinions or suggestions from.
>> >
>> >That never stopped Mike Powell or any of his predecessors. But it must
>> >stop Len. Len must be stopped "SOMEhow!" At any cost.
>>
>> The Avenging Angle of Dearth is off on another tangent.
>
>The Tangential Gunny. Smokey Bear hat is tilted.

Poor guy, HAS to be in uniform all the time, shouting orders,
demanding obediance! He must be a real delight at the Legion
Hall bar these days.

>> Gosh, do you think that "experience" is ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY?
>
>If it is, then Steve can no longer speak of MARS.

I thought Steve >>IS<< MARS! :-)

>> The FCC doesn't require ANY staff or commissioners to hold
>> amateur radio licenses in order to REGULATE U.S. amateur radio!
>>
>> ["Sunnuvagun!" as Hans might remark...]
>
>Steven J. Robeson is free to petition his government.

Ah, but he doesn't feel that his "opponents" should!

>> "Civil discourse" by Sevie (or Stebie, depending on which alter ego
>> is gabbling) consists of his wanting to crucify me on charges of
>> something like Treason or Sedition for honoring the members of my
>> Signal Battalion, continually calling me a "penis head" using a
>> Yiddish pejorative, and denouncing my knowledge of radio by not
>> "knowing the truth" about helicopters! :-)
>
>Steven J. Robeson will disqualify you under every circumstance. He's
>the original mean mistreater.

Textbook egotistic sociopath.

>> Tsk. The PCTA Extra Double Standard *MUST* prevail to those
>> "civil discoursairs" ranging the high seas
>
>and the low seas.

Very low...

>and destroying all vestiges of discontent in their HOBBY.
>
>CW gets through when everything else will.

That's engraved above the entrance to the Church of St. Hiram.

>> I especially like Jimmie's "reason" for retention of the code test:
>> it is the SECOND most popular mode on ham HF bands, therefore the
>> test MUST remain!"
>
>Way back when amateurs had tails, and the Morse Code was the >>>ONLY<<<
>mode, there was no morse code test.

Right...and the only way to generate RF inexpensively was by
SPARK technology! Spark is banned.

>> Sevie (or Stebie) would probably require all
>> examinees to tap out the words to the Marines Him in morse as well.
>
>If they miss a beat, they will forever be known as a LIAR and a Gay Man
>(standard Steve way of dealing with discontinuity in his personal
>life).

Gotta love the sociopath's version of "civility!"

>> "Civility" = total and absolute agreement with whatever the ARRL
>> publishes, anytime and anywhere.
>>
>> 1984 came and is still with us.
>
>I read 1984 during the 1983 holidays. There's a lot of Steve in there.

He be "little brother." Big Brother be in Newington.

Little brother get brain washed, still not clean.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1708. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 20:16:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117311376.438310.136030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108940

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Thurs,May 26 2005 6:12 pm
>>
>> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > bb wrote:
>> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > bb wrote:
>> >> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>
>> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> >So in the end, Jim confirms that Steve's "assertion of fact," i.e.,
>> >"Lennie would have to have some sort of experience from which to make
>> >informed opinions or suggestions from" doesn't stop anyone else from
>> >making uninformed decision which changes all of ham radio. So if Mike
>> >Powell can have an uninformed opinion, why can't anyone else?
>>
>> Nobody can unless they've taken a code test. Mikey Powell
>> didn't so Judge Sir James of Morsemanhall forced him to
>> resign... :-)
>>
>> beep, beep
>
>They're so full of excrement.

Well, some of that is what they ingested...:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1709. Re: Toads spam!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 20:56:26 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <_92dnYB1id5gRwXfRVn-sw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108941

N9OGL wrote:
<CRAP FROM HIS BLOG>
If it's on your blog and people can comment on it at your blog, why repost it here, isn't that spam? If you're posting it here, why have the blog? That's just like putting your lame show on every ham band. People that want it will find it, you don't need to shove it down their throat. But then again you are in a religious town where they shove it at you every chance they get, every corner, ring your door bell, call you on the phone then mail you a copy!
Now toad, don't twist this around and call me a nazi and tell me to die, I'll take that as a threat and I believe in the golden rule, "Do unto others before the do unto you!"

1710. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 22:04:09 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117317849.581882.45170@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108942

From: "K4YZ" on Sat 28 May 2005 06:08

>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1117233226.808839.123760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "K4YZ" on Fri 27 May 2005 07:02
>> >>
>> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Hate to befuddle you with details, but the Sentinel at the Tomb Of
> > the Unknowns is an Army enlisted man...Not a Marine.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

Poor baby...can't you see that the "Tomb of the Unknown SOLDER"
is a parody on your own self-promotional ego? :-)

SOLDER is a blend of lead and tin. Your tinny little diatribes
on my patriotism and unit honors is just base-less. You need
to get the lead out and learn to associate with different people
(other than the imaginary goblins that live in your head).

>>
>> I think I have figured Lennie out Steve....after this disgusting parody of
>> Memorial Day.
>>
>> I think he was a victim of a "barracks party" in his youth. Perhaps the
>> application of lye soap, and the rough wool green blanket to tender parts of
>> his anatomy have caused permanent brain damage.
>
> You're probably right, Dan...My take on his "brain lock" in the
>50's has to do with his drunken return to the hooch one night, passing
>out face down in a benjo ditch somewhere...All that methane messed the
>kid up...

Tsk, tsk, tsk. No such thing happened to me. You two need to
quit assuming that YOUR experiences were shared by others.

> I'd can't say I am surprised to see Lennie using the Tomb of the
>Unknowns as the backdrop for some purile "story". However after his
>several passes at familiarity with men who died before he was inducted,
>I guess it shouldn't have been a big surprise.
>
> He's the kind of "veteran" that perpetuate some of the stereotypes

>that other Veterans have to overcome.

Poor babies. Wrong either one of them and they go into paroxysms
of ANGER and accusations of badness! :-)

> I followed one of Lennie's links once and came up on the website
>of "his" old signal batallion. I ought to take some of his quotes from
>here and drop them there and see how his old buddies take to his use of
>their comrades sacrifices being used like that.

Feel free to access http://www.78sigbn.army.mil

The Signal Battalion I was assigned to, FEC Sig Svc Bn, 8235th Army
Unit, was originally formed on Honshu in late 1945 as the 71st
Signal Service Battalion. Shortly thereafter, the 72nd Sig Svc Bn
was formed at Camp Zama near Yokohama. The "71st" name was
changed to "FEC" (for Far East Command) in 1953, but retained the
numerical designation of 8235th AU. In 1956 the two battalions
were merged to form the Japan Signal Service Battalion, head-
quartered at Camp Zama. That was part of the U.S. Army down-
sizing that took place after the Korean War entered its "permanent"
Truce state in 1953.

Further downsizing took place in 1963 when the entire communications
station in central Honshu was transferred from USA to USAF. That
consolidated the Camp Owada receiver site to entirely USAF instead
of being a joint command of USA/USAF. The Camp Tomlinson trans-
mitter site was untouched, only personnel of USAF exchanged for
former USA. The torn-tape TTY Relay facility at Camp Drake
(modernized and in a new building by 1962) was transferred to USAF.
The combined battalions at Camp Zama were further downsized in
1964, and organizationally reported to a Signal Brigade at the
USARPAC (United States Army Pacific) in Fort Shafter, HI, the
new location of what had been Far East Command Hq originally
located in Tokyo.

In 1992 there was further reorganization in USARPAC, with the
516th Signal Brigade directly reporting to USARPAC with four
Signal Battalions reporting to the 516th: 78th Signal Battalion
in Camp Zama (which had originally been the two battalions
mentioned above), 59th Signal Battalion in Alaska, 58th Signal
Battalion at Fort Buckner, Okinawa, 30th Signal Battalion at
Fort Shafter. A principle duty of the 516th Bde is to operate
and maintain, among several things, the military's DSN or
Digital Switched Network, very similar to the civilian Internet
but having limited access and much greater encryption
capability. A subsidiary responsibility is operating and
maintaining HF radio facilities as a back-up to existing DSN,
Satellite, and Troposcatter communications. The old station
callsign of ADA was transferred to Hq, USARPAC, in Hawaii, and
remains today; the old radio facilities transferred to USAF
were closed, disbanded in 1978.

There is NO "website" of the 8235th AU. There is only the present-day USARPAC organization and the 78th Sig Bn at Camp Zama, the much-reduced size of Hardy Barracks in Tokyo, that is left of the FEC Hq, Central (Honshu) Command USA, and the ADA communications facilities in Honshu of the 1950s. Military units and locations tend to change and shift with time and differing missions. There is NO "reunion" that takes place. I have located 9 individuals who were at the ADA comm facilities prior to 1964, 1 civilian, 7 Army, 2 USAF, and we have corresponded by surface and e-mail. The Pacific edition of the Stars and Stripes military newspaper interviewed me for an article published on 10 Nov 02, used six of my photographs; that is available for viewing at the 'estripes' archives for that date. Tokyo offices of the Stars and Stripes is still located in Hardy Barracks (named in honor of Cpl Elmer Hardy, a member of the old 71st Bn, killed in the crash of a transport in Korea along with 18 other battalion personnel) on 1 Jul 50.

This Memorial Day I will honor ALL veterans who ARE veterans with special affinity for those who served in the same unit I was privileged to serve. If you wish to continue saying that is some kind of "defamation," that is your right of free speech. You are free to contact the Historian of the 78th Signal Battalion, the United States Army, the Adjutant General of the United States, the Department of Homeland Security or your fabled "authorities" which can "respond to your telephone message to have me 'picked up'". However, such "defamation" is your own inability to coexist with others and will be seen by all parties concerned. It will be YOUR humiliation to "level charges," not mine. The United States government has my service record, all my security records and interviews, all archived at several places besides NARA in St. Louis, MO. There is NOTHING in my records, not in the states of Illinois or California, not in any local governments that are any sort of "disgrace" to me or my relatives. You will NOT succeed in any such "defamation" charges, but will be seen as just another egotistic little sociopath living in imaginary glory on the Internet.

Dismissed.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1711. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 22:39:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <HN6dnR5GpP-JbgXfRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108943

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>So it's really immaterial what the old exams were like, other than to
>>>point out the differences. Newer hams have no choice in the matter -
>>>they can't take the old tests even if they wanted to.
>>
>> Indeed, what would be the reason? Those old tests aren't really
>>relevant today.
>
>
> The subject matter, maybe. Replace questions about mercury-vapor
> rectifiers with ones about silicon diodes, for example.

No argument there.

>>One poster asked questions about push-pull amps and
>>obscure modulation schemes. (except to a few AM'ers) While all this is
>>very interesting - it isn't relevant to most of hamming today.
>
>
> The point is that, in the opinion of a number of people, the old exams
> - actually the old exam *methods* - required a different sort of
> understanding of the material covered than today's exams.

Yes.

>
>>>One more difference about the old tests, though: Judging by the

>>>study guides, the old tests focused on a few subject areas in depth,
>>>while the new tests cover more subject areas but in much less detail.
>
>
> Take the subject of, say, Ohm's Law for DC circuits. With the exam
> methods used today, we know the exact form and content of each question
> that could appear on the test. No surprises. If someone can get the
> right answer to the Ohm's Law problems in the pool, they're all set,
> regardless of their understanding.
>
> But in the old test methods, we did not know the exact form of the
> Ohm's Law questions. We only knew there would be some. So most
> prospective hams learned how to solve all sorts of problems with Ohm's
> Law.

Okay. Now comes the question of how to reconcile the in-depth
questioning of the old tests with the large amount of new material that
would be needed to accommodate what has transpired since the good old days.

About the only way I can think of to accomplish this would be to add a
LOT of questions to the test.

>
>>>>>>>There were study guides with sample questions, but no
>>>>>>>questions pools with the exact answer available for memorization.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Now if you want *really* hard, make it no study guide, no question
>>>>>>pool, and the applicant has to do all the learning research with NO
>>>>>>idea of what is on the test! 8^)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>The old study guides were essay-type Q&A that outlined the general area
>>>>>of knowledge. One question could cover a *lot* of ground. The old Extra
>>>>>study guide was as much as 279 questions at one point.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>If you
>>>>>>>>>>did not know the theory, then you probably weren't going to pass.
>>>>>>>>>>Again john smith knows not of what he speaks.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I took the tests from the question pools. For me, they were all
>>>>>>pretty easy. They were not easy because of the question pools. They were
>>>>>>easy because they were fairly basic material.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>But you had seen the exact Q&A before, right?
>>>>
>>>> Weell, the key word is "exact". I noticed that when I took my Extra
>>>>exam, many of the answers appeared in a different order than they were

>>>>in the question pool. I came away convinced that the person who
>>>>memorized the question pool was actually doing things the hard way.
>>>
>>>
>>>The way most people would set out to "memorize" the Q&A is to simply
>>>learn to associate the right answer with the question by any means
>>>possible. You don't need a verbatim memorization nor any info about the
>>>distractors.
>>>
>>>That's a lot different than actually understanding the material.
>>
>>
>> Do you really think "most" people would do that?
>
>
> If they want to memorize the pool, yes. I don't know how many people
> want to do that.

Right. I think that most people that want to learn above the Technician
level *want* to know the material.

And frankly, there were enough bad eggs let in under the old system,
that I think that those who tout the superior Hams produced by the old
system might want to consider the subject before yapping about folks
like me.

>>I would expect that I
>>have something in common with most who want to become a ham, which is to
>>say an abiding interest in the subject. As a person who came up through
>>the pool system, it didn't take much time to figure out that I was going
>>to spend a lot more time memorizing the test than I would just learning
>>the material.
>
>
> Memorizing in this context doesn't mean being able to regenerate the
> questions and answers verbatim. It just means remembering enough to
> connect the right answer to the question, after having seen both
> before.

>
>>>>>> For the Extra, I spent a week taking the on-line tests. Questions
>>>>>>that I knew the answer to, I got right of course.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Those that I got wrong earned me a trip to the books or online to
>>>>>>find out why I got it wrong. By the time I was finished, I aced the test
>>>>>>just about every time on line, and then in the actual test.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And I knew the material.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Elapsed time, one week.
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>For you.
>>>>
>>>> Absolutely.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>But I bet you had more than a little electrical/radio knowledge before
>>>>>you ever looked at a ham radio study guide.
>>>>
>>>> Yup. I think that my level of expertise was just a little skewed. I got
>>>>sidelined onto computers fairly early in the 1970's. Then I worked
>>>>mostly in digital, then changed careers, going into photography,
>>>>videography, and 3-d animation (waaayy too many hats to wear, but
>>>>whatever) But I did have a good bit of electrical experience
>>>
>>>
>>>So you knew most of the material already! And what you didn't know was
>>>more of
>>>an extension to your existing knowledge base in the electricity area,
>>>rather
>>>than a completely new field.
>>> >
>>>
>>>>>> Now the Morse code was another thing entirely. That was hard.
>>>
>>>
>>>Besides your auditory situation, it was hard for another reason: It was
>>>new,
>>>and did not represent an extension of your existing knowledge base the
>>>way
>>>learning some more electronic/radio theory did.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> But then I'm just a dum nickel extra! ;^)
>>>
>>>
>>>>>I bet it says the same thing on your license as it does on mine. With
>>>>>no mention of dumb or nickles, Mike.
>>>>>
>>>>>Each of us met the requirements in force at the time of being licensed.
>>>>>That the requirements changed over time isn't usually due to the people taking
>>>>>the new tests.
>>>>
>>>> Yup. My comment was mostly sarcasm. The only way that anyone knows my
>>>>"vintage" is by my callsign
>>>
>>>
>>>Sort of. I know hams with 2x3 callsigns who have been Extras for 30+

>>>years. They
>>>just never went for a vanity call.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Looking down on somebody today because they didn't take the same tests
>>>>>you took years ago is kind of like getting mad at someone who paid less
>>>>>for a VCR last week than you paid 20 years ago....
>>>>
>>>>HAR!
>>>>
>>>
>>>But isn't that true?
>>>
>>>Back in 1997 I paid over $2k for a new Dell system. 200 MHz 32 MB
>>>Pentium II, 17" Trinitron monitor, HP 820 printer, etc. Today you
>>>couldn't get $50 for it (if you
>>>could even find someone to buy it!) - in part because for $500 you
>>>could buy a new Dell system that was an order of magnitude more
>>>computer in almost every way.
>>>
>>>Should I be mad at the person who spends $500 today because s/he got a
>>>new Dell for 1/4 what I paid 8 years ago?
>>
>> Obviously some do!
>
>
> But not me.
>
>> I just like to tweak some of the folk who *know* that the hams of old
>>were so superior.
>
>
> Some were, some weren't.
>
>
>>As time goes on, I hear of old time 20 meter and 80
>>meter shenanigans, and there was no no-coders to blame it on, just
>>people who passed their difficult tests in front of a steely eyed F.C.C
>>agent, after having to travel 5000 miles in a blizzard or monsoon or
>>dust storm or whatever with cardboard tied to their feet and two hot
>>potatoes in their pockets for sustenance... ;^)
>
>
> I recall a time when it was extremely rare to hear an intentional
> violation on the ham bands. Things like the "L1berty Net", W6NUT and
> 14.313 simply did not exist. There was nothing on the ham bands that
> wasn't "G rated". A very large part of the reason was that hams had a
> culture - a tradition - of keeping it that way. PArt of that tradition
> was that the license was valued as an achievement and an investment of

> time and effort.
>
>>Things like that are for the most part just examples of how time has
>>changed.
>>
>
> Here's another way to look at it:
>
> Suppose you trained (like I did) to run a 26.22 mile marathon. And
> suppose you completed a couple of them, earning the right to describe
> yourself as a marathon runner.
>
> Now suppose some people complained that the marathon distance was too
> long, and kept out too many. So they get the marathon distance changed
> to 5 miles, and call themselves marathon runners too.
>
> How would that make you feel?

Well, of course there are many different distances that can be run.

But your point as I see it is that things have been made so much easier that people such as myself can just step in easily, following in the footsteps of people who had to really *earn* their licenses.

Those who came before are therefore justified in resenting the newcomers because we didn't have to prove ourselves (take the harder test)

That there are people out there that feel that way is of no doubt. Personally, I think they are a much greater threat to the health of the ARS than us nickel types. I've watched them belittle the new guys to their face. Thats no way to encourage folks.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1712. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 22:54:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZYGdnYCsQdoCawXfRVn-2g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

krackula wrote:
>> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
>>price of a decent new rig.
>>
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
> or it's applications ....
>
> for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
> , full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
> side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
> r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
> and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
> is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
> weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band.

What I would like to see is some data to back that up. If the tube
stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute.

> no comparison
> at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
> tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
> why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400
> invested in mine , so far) or collins !! certainly the value is
> in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
> looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
>
> obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job

Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state
technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits and their power
supplies at Dayton, and will restore them. I came into Ham radio (radio
at all) too late for tube stuff, and frankly, the tube rigs seem very
cool to me.

> .... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
> ..... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
> strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
> for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both

> my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) ..... I do
> use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.

It would seem that you should sell that FT1000 and go strictly tubes if all you do is use it for transmitting. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1713. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 23:00:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <vsqdnTA_lcSAZQXfRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
>>interested in getting a ham ticket...
>
>
> Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking point is
> many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know what it
> is.

Bingo!

> The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio.

I don't find the internet or cell phones to be any competition to
Amateur radio at all. The internet requires the ability to click a
mouse. Cell phones are for yacking on. Neither are technical hobbies.

Cell phones as a hobby? Sounds odd! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1714. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 23:02:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117321335.686542.119370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108945

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Sat 28 May 2005 06:08
>
> >Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1117233226.808839.123760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: "K4YZ" on Fri 27 May 2005 07:02
> >> >>
> >> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > > Hate to befuddle you with details, but the Sentinel at the Tomb Of
> > > > the Unknowns is an Army enlisted man...Not a Marine.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> Poor baby...can't you see that the "Tomb of the Unknown SOLDER"
> is a parody on your own self-promotional ego?

In my world, there are certain things that are perfectly fine to
"parody" and things that aren't...The Tomb of the Unknowns is one of
those that isn't.

> The Signal Battalion I was assigned to...(SNIP)

YaddaYaddaYadda....

I guess you haven't gotten the message yet that we (yes, WE...)
don't care.

> Further downsizing took place in 1963...(SNIP)

And we STILL don't care...

> This Memorial Day I will honor ALL veterans who ARE veterans
> with special affinity for those who served in the same unit
> I was privileged to serve. If you wish to continue saying
> that is some kind of "defamation," that is your right of free
> speech.

I never same you defamed them.

I said you DISHONOR them with your snivvelling efforts to try and
make yourself look better with THEIR sacrifices.

> There is NOTHING in my records, not in the states of Illinois
> or California, not in any local governments that are any sort
> of "disgrace" to me or my relatives.

And once again you continue to ignore the fact that I NEVER said that there was anything in any "record" about what you did in the service.

It's how you have tried to use the sacrifices of others to YOUR benefit in this forum.

> Dismissed.

Not by a lowlife little creep like you.

You're a disgrace to all veterans. I suggest you stay away from any and all military cemetaries, now and in the future...

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1715. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 23:15:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2Y6me.144$r_2.8274028@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Every student going though the electronics and engineering courses at the college here knows very well what a ham ticket is (the instructor is a ham), and darn well pass any of the exams blindfolded... seldom do they see the need or have the desire when they have tuned the bands and listened...

You think most other colleges are different?

Warmest regards,
John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:vsqdnTA_lcSAZQXfRVn-qA@adelphia.com...
Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
>>interested in getting a ham ticket...
>
>
> Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking point is
> many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know what it
> is.

Bingo!

> The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio.

I don't find the internet or cell phones to be any competition to
Amateur radio at all. The internet requires the ability to click a
mouse. Cell phones are for yacking on. Neither are technical hobbies.

Cell phones as a hobby? Sounds odd! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1716. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 23:18:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <s%6me.146$oa3.8361061@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 108948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a single russian tube (two tube in push-pull driving it--in the amp) which is outputting approx. 4.5KW... can you imagine what the transistor (mosfet) amp which could match it would look like--or cost!!!!

Warmest regards,
John
"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:ZYGdnYCsQdoCawXfRVn-2g@adelphia.com...
krackula wrote:
>> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
>>price of a decent new rig.
>>
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
> or it's applications ....
>
> for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
> , full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
> side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
> r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
> and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
> is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
> weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band.

What I would like to see is some data to back that up. If the tube stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute.

> no comparison
> at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
> tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
> why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400
> invested in mine , so far) or collins !! certainly the value is
> in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
> looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
>
> obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job

Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits and their power

supplies at Dayton, and will restore them. I came into Ham radio (radio at all) too late for tube stuff, and frankly, the tube rigs seem very cool to me.

> .... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
> ..... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
> strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
> for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both
> my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) ..... I do
> use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.

It would seem that you should sell that FT1000 and go strictly tubes if all you do is use it for transmitting. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1717. Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 23:42:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <muadnSdIoqFJnATfRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108949

Many people lament that there is not enough interest in Ham radio by young people.

There are often many reasons given for this deficiency, and somewhat less "fixes".

One of the reasons that is given very often is that Amateur radio is in some sort of competition with the Internet. Let us look at this theory.

What is the competition between the two? In order to use the internet, one must of course have a computer. It must be connected to the internet, through one of several methods. Once the person has learned to turn on the computer, open a few programs or so, they have the necessary skills to work the internet.

Amateur radio on the other hand, requires that a radio be used, which requires some skill in operating. An antenna system needs to be connected to this radio. Whereas it is possible to have everything set up for the Ham, most young people do not have the resources to have someone set up their system. Coupled with the possibility of putting an antenna in operation that only costs a few dollars, or even less if the youngster has good scrounging skills, the likelihood is that they would design and put up their own antenna, another skill needed.

So there is a large difference in the skills needed for the two hobbies.

Cell phones as competition? While there is a temptation to snipe "Get Real!", I'll address those too.

What would make a person decide to take up Cell phone use as a hobby? Cell phones allow you to talk to people that you know (for the most part) and operate in the same manner as a regular telephone, save that you take the cell with you, and you are generally tied in the same building with a standard telephone. It's hard to imagine someone doing that as a hobby, although there are a lot of people who spend a lot of time using them.

So what makes a youngster decide to become a Ham?

We can try using the input of those who became Hams at a young age. Most of what I have heard is that the person was very interested in the technical aspects involved with getting on the air. Making antennas, building rigs, and getting them on the air was a big part of the attraction.

In the end, I believe that it is young people that have a technical interest that will likely become Hams.

And that, I believe, is the crux of the issue.

America is not a place that encourages those who might be thinking of a technical career. We have a tendency to encourage a more "pop culture" outlook, which as often as not discounts actual learning for "street cred", and actually turns the smart person into an object of ridicule. There are levels, and there are levels. If a person is intelligent, and wants a good livelihood, you will find careers that are acceptable. You can be a movie star, or perhaps a lawyer. A whole spectrum follows, but engineering and the technical fields are not very high on that list.

How often is the Techie portrayed as a sort of Bill Nye, the science guy type (at best). How about the smart woman who takes off her glasses and suddenly becomes the hot babe? Professor Frink on "The Simpsons"? Pop culture is not kind to the technical types.

My experiences with programs like "bring your sons and daughters to work day" shows that almost none of the kids is even thinking of a technical field. A lot want to be lawyers.

Once in the past, we were scared into thinking that maybe science and technology was maybe not such a bad thing. That happened when the commies launched Sputnik. Suddenly it seemed important that at least some of our kids decided to work in the sciences. Hopefully we will decide that again without having to be shocked into it.

I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to come into our hobby.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1718. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 23:53:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <lNidnYsiz4LymQTfRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John S. wrote:
> "Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> interested in getting a ham ticket..."
>
> I think the ARRL and the licensed hams need to consider that question
> too. Most young people don't see ham radio as an up-to-date way of
> communication. Rather they see the licensing and equipment required as
> an anachronism. They have numerous highly portable license-free ways
> of communicating with one another and getting information about the
> world.

Before the internet and cell phones, we had television and regular phones.

> Why go through the hassle of getting a license and radio
> equipment only to find out that the people you work and play with are
> not on the bands.

??? I guess your outlook and idea of what Ham radio is is to chat with
your buds? In that respect, you are probably correct. If all you want to
do is *that*, you are better served by chat rooms or the telephone. Why
on earth anyone with that as their main interest would become a ham is
beyond me. Ham radio is not the best hobby for that, although we can do
that.

They don't know what see you on 2 meters means - and
> when it is explained most of them say but I can do that on a cell phone
> with lots of people right now.

A person that gives that sort of answer is never going to be a Ham.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1719. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-28 23:57:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <INidnYoiz4LWmATfRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> I have a single russian tube (two tube in push-pull driving it--in the
> amp) which is outputting approx. 4.5KW... can you imagine what the
> transistor (mosfet) amp which could match it would look like--or cost!!!!

Hollow state definitely has its uses!

- Mike KB3EIA -

>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net
> <mailto:mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>> wrote in message
> news:ZYGdnYCsQdoCawXfRVn-2g@adelphia.com...
> krackula wrote:
> >> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly
> worth the
> >>price of a decent new rig.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
> > > or it's applications ....
> > >
> > > for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
> > > , full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
> > > side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
> > > r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
> > > and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
> > > is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
> > > weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band.
>
> What I would like to see is some data to back that up. If the tube
> stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute.
>
>
>
> > no comparison
> > at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
> > tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
> > why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400

> > invested in mine , so far) or collins !! certainly the
> value is
> > in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
> > looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
> >
> > obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job
>
> Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state
> technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits and their
> power
> supplies at Dayton, and will restore them. I came into Ham radio (radio
> at all) too late for tube stuff, and frankly, the tube rigs seem very
> cool to me.
>
>
> > .... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
> > ..... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
> > strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
> > for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both
> > my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) .... I do
> > use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.
>
> It would seem that you should sell that FT1000 and go strictly tubes if
> all you do is use it for transmitting. 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

1720. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 00:16:40 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <o5ydnTpMivJolATfRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 109002

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:muadnSdIoqFJnATfRVn-gg@adelphia.com...
[snip]
> I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least
> common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and
> scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to come
> into our hobby.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I would tend to agree with that. All through school, I was somewhat of an
"outcast" because I was interested in science and technology.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1721. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 00:48:17 UTC
From: Belching Betty the Traffic Slug <returnofthesithwithmanboobs@fatsoatdayton.com>
Message-ID: <1ca3a42991157d1cc7b57$28808@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 109003

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:muadnSdIoqFJnATfRVn-gg@adelphia.com...
> Many people lament that there is not enough interest in Ham radio by
> young people.

What chance ham radio had to interest young people is loooong gone.
(...of course arrl will never admit to this, to them it's still sometime in
1965)

> One of the reasons that is given very often is that Amateur radio is in
> some sort of competition with the Internet. Let us look at this theory.

Here's another 'white paper' theory:

Kids & INTERNET: Instant Messager, Chat Rooms, Videoconference
in REAL TIME with your friends, Play games (Halo for example) over
a Network with your friends, send messages via cellphone to e-mail and
visa-versa, computers are cheap $300 for a P4 fully loaded at
C-shows...etc...etc...

Kids and HAM RADIO: Radios can be expensive, big antennas needed if
you want HF, all to talk to are sour old men (or retro-bigots if your on
75 Meters at night) who hate kids for the most part, packet-radio a joke
at 9600 baud (circa 1981 speed!!) compared to DSL, radios expensive to
fix if they break and most radios (except yaesu) are built like a cheap VCR
kids on ham few and far between.....etc

any questions?

(it sucks eh?)

1722. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 01:01:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <r-Sdne3XT4buiQTfRVn-2g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> Every student going though the electronics and engineering courses at
> the college here knows very well what a ham ticket is (the instructor is
> a ham), and darn well pass any of the exams blindfolded... seldom do
> they see the need or have the desire when they have tuned the bands and
> listened...
>
> You think most other colleges are different?

Dunno. Where I am employed, one of the EE courses specifically ends in
a Ham ticket being received.

My point is that Internet and cell phones are to Ham radio as fish are
to banjos. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net
> <mailto:mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>> wrote in message
> news:vsqdnTA_lcSAZQXfRVn-qA@adelphia.com...
> Leland C. Scott wrote:
> > On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> >>interested in getting a ham ticket...
> >
> >
> > Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking
> point is
> > many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know
> what it
> > is.
>
> Bingo!
>
> > The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> > using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio.
>
> I don't find the internet or cell phones to be any competition to
> Amateur radio at all. The internet requires the ability to click a
> mouse. Cell phones are for yacking on. Neither are technical hobbies.
>
> Cell phones as a hobby? Sounds odd! 8^)

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

1723. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 01:05:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <e-GdnXPPsKDciATfRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109005

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:muadnSdIoqFJnATfRVn-gg@adelphia.com...
> [snip]
>
>>I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least
>>common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and
>>scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to come
>>into our hobby.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> I would tend to agree with that. All through school, I was somewhat of an
> "outcast" because I was interested in science and technology.

And isn't that a strange thing? Women especially, can be ostracized for interest in "non-womanly" things. And that pressure to conform can be pretty tough. Good to see you didn't cave.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1724. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 01:19:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <%M8me.9733$M36.7347@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109006

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1117285713.454081.149090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1117233226.808839.123760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "K4YZ" on Fri 27 May 2005 07:02
>> >>
>> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> I'm still waiting to be arrested by Homeland Security and
>> >> >> the federal Attorney General on Sedition and Treason
>> >> >> Against the State for disagreeing with Stebie. [I'm sure
>> >> >> he called them, using his "professional qualifications" as
>> >> >> "authority"]
>> >> >
>> >> > Sheeesh, Lennie...You really DO need to seek some assistance.
>> >> >
>> >> > And forget Mrs Correspondence School...She hasn't done you any
>> >> >good up to this point.
>> >>
>> >> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary
>> >> figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely
>> >> path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron
>> >> in the other...(SNIP)
>> >
>> > Cute, Lennie.
>> >
>> > Hate to befuddle you with details, but the Sentinel at the Tomb Of
>> > the Unknowns is an Army enlisted man...Not a Marine.
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> I think I have figured Lennie out Steve....after this disgusting parody
>> of
>> Memorial Day.
>>
>> I think he was a victim of a "barracks party" in his youth. Perhaps the
>> application of lye soap, and the rough wool green blanket to tender parts
>> of
>> his anatomy have caused permanent brain damage.
>
> You're probably right, Dan...My take on his "brain lock" in the

> 50's has to do with his drunken return to the hooch one night, passing
> out face down in a benjo ditch somewhere...All that methane messed the
> kid up...
>
> I'd can't say I am surprised to see Lennie using the Tomb of the
> Unknowns as the backdrop for some purile "story". However after his
> several passes at familiarity with men who died before he was inducted,
> I guess it shouldn't have been a big surprise.
>
> He's the kind of "veteran" that perpetuate some of the stereotypes
> that other Veterans have to overcome.
>
> I followed one of Lennie's links once and came up on the website
> of "his" old signal batallion. I ought to take some of his quotes from
> here and drop them there and see how his old buddies take to his use of
> their comrades sacrifices being used like that.
>
> Ought to make him reeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeal popular at the
> next reunion...if he dares to go.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Lets see now, how did it go???

I wanta be a Chairborne Ranger...
I want to dream of the life of Danger.......

I remember seeing those in living color, smack in the middle of Saigon. I was ashamed to admit I was in the Air Force. The only thing strack I saw was a set of silver stripes that I was ordered to remove due to they made good targets.

Ah yes....I wanna be a chariborne ranger.

Dan/W4NTI

1725. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 01:22:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <GP8me.152$_w5.9277557@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Really, have you seen the new devices by nokia? Surf the web, instant message, download, upload.... cell phones are just about to take one GIANT step for mankind....
http://reviews-zdnet.com.com/Nokia_N770_Internet_Tablet/4505-3127_16-31396042-2.html

The new N770 Internet Tablet by Nokia uses Linux (no fees paid to Microsoft or anyone!--so os is free) will blow the socks off anyone not up-to-date....

Warmest regards,
John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:r-Sdne3XT4buiQTfRVn-2g@adelphia.com...
John Smith wrote:
> Every student going though the electronics and engineering courses at
> the college here knows very well what a ham ticket is (the instructor is
> a ham), and darn well pass any of the exams blindfolded... seldom do
> they see the need or have the desire when they have tuned the bands and
> listened...
>
> You think most other colleges are different?

Dunno. Where I am employed, one of the EE courses specifically ends in
a Ham ticket being received.

My point is that Internet and cell phones are to Ham radio as fish are
to banjos. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net
> <mailto:mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>> wrote in message
> news:vsqdnTA_lcSAZQXfRVn-qA@adelphia.com...
> Leland C. Scott wrote:
> > On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> >>interested in getting a ham ticket...
> >
> >
> > Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking
> point is
> > many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know
> what it
> > is.

>
> Bingo!
>
> > The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> > using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio.
>
> I don't find the internet or cell phones to be any competition to
> Amateur radio at all. The internet requires the ability to click a
> mouse. Cell phones are for yacking on. Neither are technical hobbies.
>
> Cell phones as a hobby? Sounds odd! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

1726. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 01:24:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <IR8me.153$kF5.9307258@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, I must have missed all the BIG and EXCITING things I can do with my rig--please enlighten me--I am missing something!!!

Warmest regards,
John
"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:lNidnYsiz4LymQTfRVn-vg@adelphia.com...
John S. wrote:
> "Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> interested in getting a ham ticket..."
>
> I think the ARRL and the licensed hams need to consider that question
> too. Most young people don't see ham radio as an up-to-date way of
> communication. Rather they see the licensing and equipment required as
> an anachronism. They have numerous highly portable license-free ways
> of communicating with one another and getting information about the
> world.

Before the internet and cell phones, we had television and regular phones.

> Why go through the hassle of getting a license and radio
> equipment only to find out that the people you work and play with are
> not on the bands.

??? I guess your outlook and idea of what Ham radio is is to chat with your buds? In that respect, you are probably correct. If all you want to do is *that*, you are better served by chat rooms or the telephone. Why on earth anyone with that as their main interest would become a ham is beyond me. Ham radio is not the best hobby for that, although we can do that.

They don't know what see you on 2 meters means - and
> when it is explained most of them say but I can do that on a cell phone
> with lots of people right now.

A person that gives that sort of answer is never going to be a Ham.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1727. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 01:29:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <IV8me.12223$w21.7890@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109009

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1117321335.686542.119370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Sat 28 May 2005 06:08
>>
>> >Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1117233226.808839.123760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: "K4YZ" on Fri 27 May 2005 07:02
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>
>> > > Hate to befuddle you with details, but the Sentinel at the Tomb Of
>> > > the Unknowns is an Army enlisted man...Not a Marine.
>> > >
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> Poor baby...can't you see that the "Tomb of the Unknown SOLDER"
>> is a parody on your own self-promotional ego?
>
> In my world, there are certain things that are perfectly fine to
> "parody" and things that aren't...The Tomb of the Unknowns is one of
> those that isn't.
>
>> The Signal Battalion I was assigned to...(SNIP)
>
> YaddaYaddaYadda....
>
> I guess you haven't gotten the message yet that we (yes, WE...)
> don't care.
>
>> Further downsizing took place in 1963...(SNIP)
>
> And we STILL don't care...
>
>> This Memorial Day I will honor ALL veterans who ARE veterans
>> with special affinity for those who served in the same unit
>> I was privileged to serve. If you wish to continue saying
>> that is some kind of "defamation," that is your right of free
>> speech.

>
> I never same you defamed them.
>
> I said you DISHONOR them with your snivvelling efforts to try and
> make yourself look better with THEIR sacrifices.
>
>> There is NOTHING in my records, not in the states of Illinois
>> or California, not in any local governments that are any sort
>> of "disgrace" to me or my relatives.
>
> And once again you continue to ignore the fact that I NEVER said
> that there was anything in any "record" about what you did in the
> service.
>
> It's how you have tried to use the sacrifices of others to YOUR
> benefit in this forum.
>
>> Dismissed.
>
> Not by a lowlife little creep like you.
>
> You're a disgrace to all veterans. I suggest you stay away from
> any and all military cemetaries, now and in the future...
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I was assigned to a couple signal units in my Army days. Thats right Lennie, I have BOTH Air Force and Army time. And if it matters, I was in signal/commo operations/maintenance all the way.

But you don't see me "living in my past" as you seem to do. Although I do admit to some problems with startle response and bad dreams from time to time. But I don't care to, nor NEED to elaborate on that either.

I really do feel sorry for you Lennie. Perhaps you should just take a break and get a grip on what's left of your life.

Veteran USAF, 1964 to 1968
Veteran USArmy, 1971 to 1979

(and to save you the trouble of asking why I didn't go for 20).....I am 100% service connected with the VA for injuries received in Vietnam).

There you are Lennie, putz, take a shot.

Dan/W4NTI

1728. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 01:48:26 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <n-adnVr6ZMv_oQTfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109018

N9OGL wrote:
>>From N9OGL BLOG
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
>
> THE ARRL LIES!!!!!
>
> According to rules, the content of bulletins must be limited to
> information on matter of direct interest to radio amateurs only, and
> never intended for the ears of the general public [97.3(a)(24)].

Well there is where they got you toddieboy, you see whatever you have to say is of no direct interest to radio amateurs (or to anyone for that matter).

1729. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 01:50:42 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <n-adnVX6ZMt3oQTfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109019

N9OGL wrote:
> At one time in taylorville there was a house called Vanderveer house.
> In the early 80's they torn it down and built a wal-mart (long before
> my time working at wal-mart, I was still in jurior high)

Didn't know they had 'juriors' in high-school. Are you sure you actually
graduated from elementary school?

1730. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 01:55:56 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XfednaGGSsinvATfRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 109010

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:e-GdnXPPsKDciATfRVn-og@adelphia.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:muadnSdIoqFJnATfRVn-gg@adelphia.com...
>> [snip]
>>
>>>I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least
>>>common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and
>>>scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to
>>>come into our hobby.
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>> I would tend to agree with that. All through school, I was somewhat of
>> an "outcast" because I was interested in science and technology.
>
> And isn't that a strange thing? Women especially, can be ostracized for
> interest in "non-womanly" things. And that pressure to conform can be
> pretty tough. Good to see you didn't cave.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Couldn't cave because then I wouldn't be me. I simply decided I wanted to
remain myself rather than become a different person.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1731. Re: N9OGL LIES! N9OGL'S PARENTS LIE! N9OGL'S REAL PARENTS LIE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 01:57:26 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <n-adnVT6ZMsSowTfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109020


```
K4YZ wrote:
>
>
> The ARRL is suppressing the "free speech of the FCC"...?!?!
>
> BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
A!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!
>
> W H A T A N I D I O T ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Todd...You want to be the next "K1MAN"...fine...MOST people are
> going to scorn your name, threaten your safety, sue you in court and
> otherwise make a mockery of you and your "cause". The only one's
> "supporting" you are going to be people like...well...like YOU!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

The kid is a blithering idiot.
```

1732. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 02:14:49 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <463a05afcce0b7a1d7fb47b4f7ace97d@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 109011

In article <d79bjvigj0@pita.alt.net>
Layla <Extra@nospam> wrote:
>
> Schwartz wrote:
> > Anonymous wrote:
> >
> >> High-el slobbered into his jar of cum:
>
>
> Wiseman slobbered using two or more anonymous remailers.

And Dumbass "Layla" guesses wrong again!

> Chief Stenger is still watching you, Woger. You and I both
know that the
> local PD has their eyes on you.

Bullshit. Unless they have a good reason, they can't be
"watching" somebody, dumbass.
You sure are as ignorant of the law as you are with everything
else.

> It has not escaped the Chief's attention that you live only a
couple of
> blocks from the local grade school.

So? Roger has lived near a local greade school for over 10
years,DUMBASS! However, your police chief has repeatedly told
you not to hang around the one near you, didn't he? And not to
wear that raincoat with nothing on underneath it while you drool
over the little boys playing at recess, Porky.

> The only reason you have not messed with the kids, like you
did in
> Florida,

He did? That's news to everybody who has a clue, DUMBASS!
Funny, how the FDLE has no record of such activities. You lose
again.

> is that you know the local police officers are watching you
> very closely.

Oh, Since when?

> And you don't want to be put back into a mental facility
again, do you?

> You know how much you hated it the last time.

Roger hasn't been in one the first time, shit for brains.

(the stench of Davies permeates the previous post)
Smells like Lardass Lloyd Davies has been self-medicating with
that womanly Bud lite beer again.

1733. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 02:18:23 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AFE751.19182228052005@newssvr13-ext.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 109012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

In article <r-Sdne3XT4buiQTfRVn-2g@adelphia.com>,
Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
> > Every student going though the electronics and engineering courses
> > at the college here knows very well what a ham ticket is (the
> > instructor is a ham), and darn well pass any of the exams
> > blindfolded... seldom do they see the need or have the desire when
> > they have tuned the bands and listened...
> >
> > You think most other colleges are different?
>
> Dunno. Where I am employed, one of the EE courses specifically ends
> in a Ham ticket being received.
>
> My point is that Internet and cell phones are to Ham radio as fish
> are to banjos. 8^)

This is starting to sound like an over the air Ham conversation.
Exchanges like this are why I have no desire to be one.

Maybe you could drop rec.radio.shortwave off the newsgroup header like I
did.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1734. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 02:30:58 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117333858.435664.209690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109013

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > > Lots of stuff snipped with additional comments below.
> > > >
> > > > <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:1116710601.789736.259420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > > > >>Should we have a pity party for the poor text messaging
> > > > > >>record holder. If the text message folks feared a put
> > > > > >>down then they had the option to not play. They decided to
> > > > > >>play and they lost....fair, square, contrived or how ever
> > > > > >>you feel it was inevitable that text messaging would lose.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Okay, then you agree it was a SETUP?
> > > > >
> > > > > I agree the result was predictable...if you want to call
> > > > > it a set-up, then so be it.
> > > >
> > > > It takes two hands to use a cellphone for text-messaging purposes.
> > >
> > > Not if it's cemented to an operating console.
> >
> > Well...there you go...another evolution in common sense.
> >
> > > > In only takes two fingers to send Morse Code on a keyer.
> > >
> > > It only takes one finger to send you a message.
> >
> > Seems that's the only method of "digital" communications you and
> > Lennie seem to know...Why am I not surprised?

A straight key requires only one finger. I thought a Mighty Macho
Morseman such as yourself would know that.

1735. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 02:37:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117334256.784696.286540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109014

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>
> > Of course you chairborne types would not know this.
> >
> > And Len, you have not answered my question.
>
> Hello Arf!,

Bow wow.

> Len does not answer most questions. He says they're "loaded",
> even when it's clear they are not.
>
> > What was your MOS and what did
> > you do in the service of our country?
>
> Now ya did it - he'll do a couple dozen kilobytes about ADA
> *again*...
> >
> > It seems that you and bb have a fair share of hot air
> > with little to substantiate your claims.
>
> Here, I'll sum it up for you:
>
> "No matter what employment, education, experience or
> government/military service a person has, if that person opposes Mr.
> Anderson's views, he/she will be the target of Mr. Anderson's insults,
> ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic/gender/racial slurs,
> excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior."
> >
> > Stand up. Hook up. Stand in the door.
> >
> > Airborne!
>
> Gotta take some serious cajones to jump out of an aircraft that
> is flying perfectly well.

I met up with a Ranger at Benning who apparently disagreed. I asked
him how he liked jumping, and he said he had no choice. I asked him
what he meant, and he told me that there was no such thing as a
perfectly good Air Force airplane. So I asked him if there was such a
thing as a perfectly good Army parachute.

Double or triple that to do it in order to
> engage hostile folks on the ground.
>
> Here's a big "thank you" to you and all who have served our country,
> Arf. Both in uniform and in other ways.

What other ways?

1736. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 02:44:24 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117334664.273209.85660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109015

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Arf! Arf!" on Sat 28 May 2005 05:23
>
> >Len, lest you nit pick about the location of Fort Campbell, let me explain.
> >Yes, I was for sure at Ft. Campbell in Kentucky. The base saddles the state
> >line between Kentucky and Tennessee.
>
> Does anyone care where an anony-mouse "saddles?" :-)

He agrees with Robeson. Anonymous is good when it agrees with Robeson.
Even Jim is making smacking lip noises at the anonymous.

> Anony-mousies have horsies? :-)

Cavalry.

> >I would wake up in Kentucky and go across the street to the PX in Tennessee.
> >You see, Len, the stae border ran right down the dividing line of the base.
> >I would do PT in Kentucky and and have a cold one at the end of the day in
> >Tennessee.
>
> Sounds like you had way too many "cold ones" in straddling the
> "stae" line. Sober up and try again.

I think Tennessee doesn't tax military income. Wonder where he claims
his residence.

> What has that to do with AMATEUR RADIO? You MUST follow your
> Commander's (Gen. Chesty "stick time" Robeson, USMC) Order of
> the Day: The only "airborne" talk is USMC helicopters. The
> only amateur radio talk allowed by him is USMC MARS ops on
> Okinawa.

And illegal stick time.

> >Of course you chairborne types would not know this.
> >
> >And Len, you have not answered my question. What was your MOS and what did
> >you do in the service of our country?
>
> Am I supposed to pop-to and immediately "answer a question" of
> some anony-mouse? :-)

Aren't you?

> My primary MOS was 281.6, microwave radio relay operation and
> maintenance supervisor, secondary MOSs as Fixed Station radio

> operation and maintenance supervisor, carrier terminal
> operation and maintenance, United States Army. A buddy in my
> outfit, serving at the same station but on a different
> operating team (we had four teams) is Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV.
>
> You can see the details of where and how I served at:
>
> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment
>
> There are three documents there (second group on that web
> page). The first one, "My Three Years with ADA", describes
> it in more detail.
>
> As to working as a civilian on DoD contracts, there's not much
> available to show you, especially not to anony-mousies. Pick
> Hughes Aircraft Co. Ground Systems, RCA Corporation, Teledyne
> Electronics, and some others on up to 1989...just tell them
> you are "Arf" the man you say you are and demand they TELL
> you what I did in electronics engineer as a civilian. I'm sure
> they will salute you smartly. With the middle finger.

Bow wow.

> >It seems that you and bb have a fair share of hot air with little to
> >substantiate your claims.
>
> I've got all sorts of documents, some already scanned to back
> up MY claims "Arf-ass," and the United States government to
> reference it all. Just go to the big Military Records center
> (NARA) at St. Louis, MO, and demand to see my Army record.
> They have a website and can even return information over the
> Internet. Here, I'll even give you my ASN: RA 16 408 336.
> Trouble is, YOU have to supply YOUR SSN on the Standard Form
> for getting those details. The U.S. government doesn't accept
> demands from anony-mousies. Expect another middle-finger
> salute from them when you can't supply that. You deserve such.
>
> >Stand up. Hook up. Stand in the door.
>
> Tsk. You've seen too many military movies on TV.

Wild Geese.

> Next time, do NOT forget the parachute as you just did...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> ex-RA16408336, USA, 1952-1960, Signal Corps, Sgt (E-5)
> HONORABLE Discharge

1737. "Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 02:55:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117335339.737701.325930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109016

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> I was ashamed to admit I was in the Air Force.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

That's too bad.

1738. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 03:00:48 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117335648.079141.12600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109017

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "bb" on Thurs,May 26 2005 6:12 pm
> > >
> > >They're so full of excrement.
> >
> > Well, some of that is what they ingested...:-)

They are what they eat.

1739. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 04:40:04 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <63744e07f52e64cccfdad3b2dda32a85@dizum.com>
Source record: 109021

In article <d78p8d$94m$0@pita.alt.net>
Schwartz <jewman@nospam> wrote:

> Poor stooge Wo

Poor Lardass, so owned he sees Roger everywhere! Wrong guess
again, DUMBASS!

1740. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 04:48:03 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <d7bhi3$1gu6$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 109022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:TtKdnRXVkY7h_gXfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> Keep in mind that any change in rules may take years to have a noticeable
> impact on the number of licensees. How long has VK been codeless? Has it
> been long enough to have the hoped for impact? If you change licensing
> systems, the same questions need considered before judging it.
>
> Here in the states, it seems that the last couple of changes in licensing
> requirements has had no noticeable long term effect.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

CW as a requirement for access to HF was dropped as at 01 Jan 2004. There has not been the expected massive increase in amateur licences - I think that VK currently has around 10,000 licenced amateurs (plus or minus a few) - with numbers pretty stagnant/decreasing slightly. I feel that there has been enough time for the expected increase to be visible, but this has yet to occur - maybe the majority of those with an interest in radios already have their licences, or lost interest in the subject - who knows. Onto another part of the thread - age. Most amateurs are older - when I took out my licence aged 23, I was one of the youngest around - going to the first club meeting, I was somewhat dismayed to see that I was the youngest by at least ten years, but at least I had lots of experience to learn from. Nowdays (ten years later), I am seeing young people getting into the hobby, but not in the numbers that I would like to see, nor in the numbers that we need to have a healthy population. Buggered if I know what to do, but the new foundation licence that is due to come in (not sure when as the date seems to continue slipping right) has great promise to at least increase numbers.

Matt

1741. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 05:14:10 UTC
From: Lloyd <Llloyd#2@GuessAgain>
Message-ID: <d7bj2e$pv1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109023
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Nomen Nescio" <nobody@dizum.com> wrote in message
news:63744e07f52e64cccfdad3b2dda32a85@dizum.com...
In article <d78p8d$94m$0@pita.alt.net>
Schwartz <jewman@nospam> wrote:

> Poor stooge Wo

Poor Lardass, so owned he sees Roger everywhere! Wrong guess
again, DUMBASS!
=

Oh, yes. It is YOU, Scat Boy. Now that the house across the street has been
sold you no longer have to peek out your curtains to see if there is a
remote camera in there.
Your paranoia must have dropped by two points.

I see that you are as yet unsure of who is posting what in regards to you.
One minute I am Lloyd, the next I am Dave, and the next I am Schwartz. Life
in that jumbled up cranium of yours must be one big, fuzzy blur. I guess
your prescription meds do that, huh?

Take another prescript. Thorazine, Scat Boy. Then it will be Happy Trails To
You as you nod off into Happy Happy Land.

Oh, wait, you are not Roger, but only a facsimile. How forgetful of me.

1742. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 05:28:11 UTC
From: Jamar <nolid@nohome>
Message-ID: <d7bjsmrof0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109024

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:o5ydnTpMivJolATfRVn-3w@comcast.com...

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:muadnSdIoqFJnATfRVn-gg@adelphia.com...
[snip]
> I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least
> common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and
> scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to come
> into our hobby.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I would tend to agree with that. All through school, I was somewhat of an
"outcast" because I was interested in science and technology.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
//

That explains why you were not asked to the proms.
All this time I had suspected it was a result of your condescending
attitude.

1743. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 06:59:40 UTC
From: Layla <Extra@nospam>
Message-ID: <d7bp8f$5f9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109025

Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
> In article <d79bjvigj0@pita.alt.net>
> Layla <Extra@nospam> wrote:
>
>>Schwartz wrote:
>>
>>>Anonymous wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>High-el slobbered into his jar of cum:
>>
>>
>>Wiseman slobbered using two or more anonymous remailers.
>
>
> And Dumbass "Layla" guesses wrong again!
>
>
>
>>Chief Stenger is still watching you, Woger. You and I both
>
> know that the
>
>>local PD has their eyes on you.
>
>
> Bullshit. Unless they have a good reason, they can't be
> "watching" somebody, dumbass.
> You sure are as ignorant of the law as you are with everything
> else.
>
>
>
>>It has not escaped the Chief's attention that you live only a
>
> couple of
>
>>blocks from the local grade school.
>
>
> So? Roger has lived near a local greade school for over 10
> years,DUMBASS! However, your police chief has repeatedly told
> you not to hang around the one near you, didn't he? And not to
> wear that raincoat with nothing on underneath it while you drool

> over the little boys playing at recess, Porky.
>
>
>>The only reason you have not messed with the kids, like you
>
> did in
>
>>Florida,
>
>
> He did? That's news to everybody who has a clue, DUMBASS!
> Funny, how the FDLE has no record of such activities. You lose
> again.
>
>
>>is that you know the local police officers are watching you
>>very closely.
>
>
> Oh, Since when?
>
>
>
>>And you don't want to be put back into a mental facility
>
> again, do you?
>
>>You know how much you hated it the last time.
>
>
>
> Roger hasn't been in one the first time, shit for brains.
>
> (the stench of Davies permeates the previous post)
> Smells like Lardass Lloyd Davies has been self-medicating with
> that womanly Bud lite beer again.
>

Wow! Did someone hit the soft spot on Baby Roger's head?
Of course the response from the Social Misfit was to be expected.

What else is new?

Wogie Wabbit...it is no secwet that you were under the care of a doctor
for your mental confusion. Your pwoblems, Wogie, cost you a marriage,
your kids, and a home.
It is perfectly understandable that you deny same. Anybody in your shoes
would do likewise.

After all, Wogie, who in their right mind would want the world to know
that they have a problem with mental stability? And far be it for us to

comment on you being the recipient of monthly SSI checks. No, not us. We wouldn't want to let the 'cat out of the bag' that you are unemployable because of your disability. That is your business and us three Lloyds respect your right to privacy, just as you do ours.

Llloyd 1
Llloyd 2
Llloyd 3
Dave
Stagger Lee
Arf!
Schwartz
Steve
bb
Todd

...and just about all other signators you madly guess at.

1744. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 07:05:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117350352.040510.132200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109026

But, according to the FCC it is the station transmitting the bulletin
to determine if it is of interest to amateur radio operators....not
some morons on the newsgroup on the internet.

Todd N9OGL

1745. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 07:29:06 UTC
From: Terry <Minnesota@Minn>
Message-ID: <d7bqve$8l3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109027

> One of the reasons that is given very often is that Amateur radio is in
> some sort of competition with the Internet. Let us look at this theory.

Here's another 'white paper' theory:

Kids & INTERNET: Instant Messager, Chat Rooms, Videoconference
in REAL TIME with your friends, Play games (Halo for example) over
a Network with your friends, send messages via cellphone to e-mail and
visa-versa, computers are cheap $300 for a P4 fully loaded at
C-shows...etc...etc...

Kids and HAM RADIO: Radios can be expensive, big antennas needed if
you want HF, all to talk to are sour old men (or retro-bigots if your on
75 Meters at night) who hate kids for the most part, packet-radio a joke
at 9600 baud (circa 1981 speed!!) compared to DSL, radios expensive to
fix if they break and most radios (except yaesu) are built like a cheap VCR
kids on ham few and far between.....etc

any questions?

;;

Nope. You just about covered it all.
That leaves only the holdout dregs of CB who are now getting Tech licenses
and migrating to two meters.
You can hear them every day on the local repeaters. They use CB slang such
as "hate and discontent", "make my phone go ringy-dingy", "I heard that, I
did", and the all-time favorite? "Ten four, good buddy."
They buy a Rad Shack 2 meter ht and hammer the repeaters all day long. Ask
one of them to switch over to simplex and you can hear the confusion in
their voices. "Simplex. What is that?" or, "I can't get out that far."
Oh, and for laughs just listen to the Techies as they give each other signal
reports on a repeater. They tell each other they are full quieting and have
good audio. Oh, duh!
The ultimate hoot for me was when last week a couple of Techies were
hammering the daylights out of a local repeater. One was getting out of
range and his Techie buddie suggested they move to another repeater. What
did I hear but the one Tech say to the other, "I am gonna QSY to my truck."
Lids. Lids and more Lids.

(ditttos on the Yaesu gear. I wouldn't own anything but)

1746. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 07:54:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117353261.221323.166980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109028

>Stevie said
> No...YOU are the ####ing ###hole moron. Not one single person
>here has offered yo a word of encouragement or support...many have
>pointed out some very reasonable alternatives to your broadcasts, yet
>you are determined to just PO anyone and everyone who you come into
>contact with.

HAHAHAHAHA WHAT ALTERNATIVES YOU FULL OF IT STEVIE

Todd N9OGL

1747. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 08:09:22 UTC
From: Roger <MentalCase@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d7btaudgg0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109029

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1117353261.221323.166980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>Stevie said
> No...YOU are the ####ing ###hole moron. Not one single person
>here has offered yo a word of encouragement or support...many have
>pointed out some very reasonable alternatives to your broadcasts, yet
>you are determined to just PO anyone and everyone who you come into
>contact with.

HAHAHAHAHA WHAT ALTERNATIVES YOU FULL OF IT STEVIE

Todd N9OGL
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

Todd. Go to bed. Shut off your radios, get a spell check and for God's sake,
will you learn how to spell?
You have been told time after time after time that you are stupid. How many
times do you have to hear this before you get the hint?

Damn, Todd. Even the dumbest of dumbasses would have gotten the message by
now. Not you. What will it take, Todd? Does somebody have to smack you over
your thick head with a two-by-four to get your attention?

Let me put this as clearly as possible so that you understand. I will spell
it slowly.

You are stupid

Get the message?

1748. Take The HINT Todd...No One Cares About Your Idiotic "Broadcasts"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 10:17:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117361859.516522.87830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109030

N9OGL wrote:
> But, according to the FCC it is the station transmitting the bulletin
> to determine if it is of interest to amateur radio operators....not
> some morons on the newsgroup on the internet.

Then why do you insist on bringing it here?

And don't you realize that since YOU are determining the content,
NOT the people listening, YOU are going to make a LOT of enemies?

You keep calling all the people who are potential listeners to your
broadcasts "morons"...How do you intend to develop any listener base by
insulting everyone you come into contact with.

Pay attention, Todd...

No one in this forum, and there are quite a few disparate
ideologies here, likes your idea or supports you. When this many
people who can't agree on anything else DO agree on ONE thing, you can
bet your bottom dollar that it's true. And we all agree you're an
idiot.

We don't care about your half-baked "theories". They are as dumb
as they come. They're unsafe, to boot.

Your opinions on FCC practice and policy are based upon your
emotional, knee jerk reactions based on YOUR failures to get a
broadcast license which was, in and of itself, due to YOUR unworthiness
for said licensure.

You're a loser all the way around, and we (yes...the "royal "we")
don't give a hoot about you or your opinions

It's not about "suppressing (your) free speech".."Speech" all you
want to...we just don't want to HEAR it, Todd. So if you keep running
into brick walls everytime you open your mouth, don't say you weren't
told.

Steve, K4YZ

1749. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 10:46:34 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <A-6dnT2m_ZIAAATfRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 109031

"Jamar" <nolid@nohome> wrote in message news:d7bjsmrof0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:o5ydnTpMivJolATfRVn-3w@comcast.com...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:muadnSdIoqFJnATfRVn-gg@adelphia.com...
> [snip]
>> I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least
>> common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and
>> scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to
>> come
>> into our hobby.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> I would tend to agree with that. All through school, I was somewhat of an
> "outcast" because I was interested in science and technology.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> //
>
> That explains why you were not asked to the proms.
> All this time I had suspected it was a result of your condescending
> attitude.
>

And who says I was not asked to the proms? Little do you know.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1750. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 10:52:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <N46dnQQwR9aEAgTfRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 109032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message
news:d7bhi3$1gu6$1@otis.netspace.net.au...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:TtKdnRXVkY7h_gXfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>> Keep in mind that any change in rules may take years to have a noticeable
>> impact on the number of licensees. How long has VK been codeless? Has
>> it
>> been long enough to have the hoped for impact? If you change licensing
>> systems, the same questions need considered before judging it.
>>
>> Here in the states, it seems that the last couple of changes in licensing
>> requirements has had no noticeable long term effect.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> CW as a requirement for access to HF was dropped as at 01 Jan 2004. There
> has not been the expected massive increase in amateur licences - I think
> that VK currently has around 10,000 licenced amateurs (plus or minus a
> few) - with numbers pretty stagnant/decreasing slightly. I feel that
> there
> has been enough time for the expected increase to be visible, but this has
> yet to occur - maybe the majority of those with an interest in radios
> already have their licences, or lost interest in the subject - who knows.
> Onto another part of the thread - age. Most amateurs are older - when I
> took out my licence aged 23, I was one of the youngest around - going to
> the
> first club meeting, I was somewhat dismayed to see that I was the youngest
> by at least ten years, but at least I had lots of experience to learn
> from.
> Nowdays (ten years later), I am seeing young people getting into the
> hobby,
> but not in the numbers that I would like to see, nor in the numbers that
> we
> need to have a healthy population. Buggered if I know what to do, but the
> new foundation licence that is due to come in (not sure when as the date
> seems to continue slipping right) has great promise to at least increase
> numbers.
>
>
>
> Matt
>
>

I don't think a year is really long enough to tell. In addition, is the word getting out to prospective hams? Did the decrease in numbers come from lack of interest on the part of prospective hams or the failure to find prospective hams? Changes in licensing will have no noticeable impact when people don't even know that ham radio exists or barely know it exists but have no idea what it is all about.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1751. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 11:47:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117367252.632761.26300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109034

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
> >>>So it's really immaterial what the old exams were
> >>>like, other than to
> >>>point out the differences. Newer hams have no choice
> >>>in the matter -
> >>>they can't take the old tests even if they wanted to.

> >>Indeed, what would be the reason? Those old tests
> >>aren't really relevant today.

> > The subject matter, maybe. Replace questions about
> > mercury-vapor
> > rectifiers with ones about silicon diodes, for example.

> No argument there.

That sort of replacement has been going on continuously.

> >>One poster asked questions about push-pull amps and
> >>obscure modulation schemes. (except to a few AM'ers)
> >>While all this is
> >>very interesting - it isn't relevant to most of hamming today.

> > The point is that, in the opinion of a number of people,
> > the old exams
> > - actually the old exam *methods* - required a
> > different sort of
> > understanding of the material covered than today's exams.

> Yes.

That's really the crux of the whole issue.

> >>>One more difference about the old tests, though:
> >>>Judging by the
> >>>study guides, the old tests focused on a few subject
> >>>areas in depth,
> >>>while the new tests cover more subject areas but
> >>>in much less detail.

> > Take the subject of, say, Ohm's Law for DC circuits.
> > With the exam
> > methods used today, we know the exact form and content
> > of each question
> > that could appear on the test. No surprises. If someone
> > can get the
> > right answer to the Ohm's Law problems in the pool,
> > they're all set, regardless of their understanding.

> > But in the old test methods, we did not know the exact
> > form of the
> > Ohm's Law questions. We only knew there would be some. So most
> > prospective hams learned how to solve all sorts
> > of problems with Ohm's Law.

> Okay. Now comes the question of how to reconcile the in-depth
> questioning of the old tests with the large amount of new
> material that
> would be needed to accommodate what has transpired since
> the good old days.

Part of the solution is the replacement mentioned above. Another
is to enlarge the question pools, not just in quantity but in
variety of questions.

> About the only way I can think of to accomplish this
> would be to add a LOT of questions to the test.

FCC policy disagrees.

Consider the written testing required to step from
General to Extra. In the really bad
old days (before 1967, when the Advanced was closed off)
a prospective Extra had to take a written test of ~100 questions.

After 1967, that test was split into two tests (Advanced and
Extra) totalling about the same number of questions.

Before the 2000 restructuring, the step took two tests totalling 90
questions.

Now it takes one 50 question test.

See the pattern?

>
> Right. I think that most people that want to learn above
> the Technician level *want* to know the material.

Depends entirely on the person. And the point is what
the *system* tends to reward.
>
> And frankly, there were enough bad eggs let in under
> the old system,
> that I think that those who tout the superior Hams

> produced by the old
> system might want to consider the subject before
> yapping about folks like me.

How many bad eggs? And what kind? I was there - nothing like
W6NUT, 3950 or 14.313 existed back then. Nothing like ex-KG6IRO
and the guy on the West Coast who sent false distress signals
on the marine VHF band.

Yes, some hams back
then did break the rules. But compare the violations of those
days to the violations of today, in both number and quantity.

No test, code or written, can be a perfect "bad egg filter".
Particularly not a one-shot test that confers privileges that
are renewable indefinitely.

But that doesn't mean there's no difference between tests and
test methods.

> >>>Back in 1997 I paid over $2k for a new Dell system. 200 MHz > >>>32 MB
> >>>Pentium II, 17" Trinitron monitor, HP 820 printer, etc.
> >>>Today you
> >>>couldn't get $50 for it (if you
> >>>could even find someone to buy it!) - in part because
> >>>for $500 you
> >>>could buy a new Dell system that was an order of
> >>>magnitude more computer in almost every way.

> >>>Should I be mad at the person who spends $500 today
> >>>because s/he got a
> >>>new Dell for 1/4 what I paid 8 years ago?

> >> Obviously some do!

> > But not me.

> >>I just like to tweak some of the folk who *know* that the
> >>hams of old were so superior.

> > Some were, some weren't.

> > I recall a time when it was extremely rare to
> > hear an intentional
> > violation on the ham bands. Things like the
> > "L1berty Net", W6NUT and
> > 14.313 simply did not exist. There was nothing
> > on the ham bands that
> > wasn't "G rated". A very large part of the reason
> > was that hams had a
> > culture - a tradition - of keeping it that way.
> > PArt of that tradition
> > was that the license was valued as an achievement
> > and an investment of time and effort.

> >>Things like that are for the most part just
> >>examples of how time has changed.

> > Here's another way to look at it:

> > Suppose you trained (like I did) to run a 26.22 mile
> > marathon. And
> > suppose you completed a couple of them, earning the
> > right to describe yourself as a marathon runner.

> > Now suppose some people complained that the marathon
> > distance was too
> > long, and kept out too many. So they get the marathon
> > distance changed
> > to 5 miles, and call themselves marathon runners too.

> > How would that make you feel?

> Well, of course there are many different distances that
> can be run.

How would it make you *feel*, Mike?

> But your point as I see it is that things have been made
> so much easier
> that people such as myself can just step in easily,
> following in the
> footsteps of people who had to really *earn* their licenses.

No, that's not the point.

The point is that such a change would breed resentment in those
who had met the old standard.

The problem is that the resentment should be against the *system*,
not the people, unless they had something to do with changing
the system.

> Those who came before are therefore justified in resenting the
> newcomers because we didn't have to prove ourselves (take the
> harder test)

They may be justified in resenting the *system*, but not the
people. Big difference.
>
> That there are people out there that feel that way is of
> no doubt.
> Personally, I think they are a much greater threat to the
> health of the
> ARS than us nickel types. I've watched them belittle the
> new guys to their face.

That's just wrong.

> Thats no way to encourage folks.
>

Agreed.

But at the same time it's important to understand how the system has changed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1752. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 11:47:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117367264.603120.205390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109033

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Arf! Arf!" on Sat 28 May 2005 05:23
>
> >Len, lest you nit pick about the location of Fort Campbell, let me explain.
> >Yes, I was for sure at Ft. Campbell in Kentucky. The base saddles the state
> >line between Kentucky and Tennessee.
>
> Does anyone care where an anony-mouse "saddles?"

Ft Campbell is anonymous?

A stealth Army base?

> >Of course you chairborne types would not know this.
> >
> >And Len, you have not answered my question. What was your MOS and what did
> >you do in the service of our country?
>
> Am I supposed to pop-to and immediately "answer a question" of
> some anony-mouse?

You won't answer questions from those of us who are NOT
anonymous...so why not...?!?!

> My primary MOS was 281.6, microwave radio relay operation and
> maintenance supervisor, secondary MOSs as Fixed Station radio
> operation and maintenance supervisor, carrier terminal
> operation and maintenance, United States Army. A buddy in my
> outfit, serving at the same station but on a different
> operating team (we had four teams) is Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV.

Neither of which served in combat, and never any closer to a
combat zone that the front pages of Stars and Stripes.

> As to working as a civilian on DoD contracts, there's not much
> available to show you, especially not to anony-mousies. Pick
> Hughes Aircraft Co. Ground Systems, RCA Corporation, Teledyne
> Electronics, and some others on up to 1989...just tell them
> you are "Arf" the man you say you are and demand they TELL
> you what I did in electronics engineer as a civilian. I'm sure
> they will salute you smartly. With the middle finger.

Lennie and his middle finger.

Arf, let me tell you about Lennie and at least ONE of his places of
"employment"...It wasn't so rosey as he makes out....

> >It seems that you and bb have a fair share of hot air with little to
> >substantiate your claims.
>
> I've got all sorts of documents, some already scanned to back
> up MY claims "Arf-ass," and the United States government to
> reference it all. Just go to the big Military Records center
> (NARA) at St. Louis, MO, and demand to see my Army record.
> They have a website and can even return information over the
> Internet. Here, I'll even give you my ASN: RA 16 408 336.
> Trouble is, YOU have to supply YOUR SSN on the Standard Form
> for getting those details. The U.S. government doesn't accept
> demands from anony-mousies. Expect another middle-finger
> salute from them when you can't supply that. You deserve such.

The "middle finger salute" again, eh Lennie...Whew...

Arf, Lennie served. We give him that.

The problem stems from his efforts to validate his "Newsgroup Combat Award"...He's made several (one within the last few days...) where he's tried to associate his "combat" inn this newsgroup with the REAL combat that killed several soldiers in a unit that he subsequently belonged to.

Of course they died three years before he was even inducted into the Army...Pretty disgusting behaviour for a guy who claims to "honor" war dead.

> ex-RA16408336, USA, 1952-1960, Signal Corps, Sgt (E-5)
> HONORABLE Discharge

Honorable discharge...DIShonorable behaviour ever since...

Steve, K4YZ

1753. Re: The End Game: Baxter Thread of 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 12:05:18 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <LfKdnbJdR5mcLQTfRVn-ug@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n9ogl wrote:
>>I have to agree, Toad has nothing to say that any real amateur would care to 0
>>hear.
>
>
>>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ The_Toad_Show_Sucks
>
>
>
> Group Not Found
>
> There is no group called The_Toad_Show_Sucks. Please make sure you
> typed the web address correctly. If you have done so, the group may no
> longer exist.
>
> You may also search or browse for groups on the Yahoo! Groups Home
> Page.
>

What a total idiot! All he learned at Gaylorville Collage was to
cut & paste. Did you forget to remove the space before
"The_Toad_Show_Sucks" idiot?

1754. the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 12:14:34 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <jsGdnRLH7omwLwTfRVn-vA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109036

The toad caused illegal malicious interference last night.
A local group of hams were having an informal net on 14.321. MHz last
night when out of the blue the toad started his monolog show right up on
top of them! At least 5 of the group got recordings! Shame on you toad.
Write all the letters you want, but you are about to get one yourself idiot!

1755. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 12:30:04 UTC
From: Scott Garvison <ka8jno@btc-bci.com>
Message-ID: <mBime.4$Cb3.1013@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 109037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If you are into RACES/ARES or the like, then Ham radio is very useful. What happens when the cell towers, twisted pair & trunked radio systems are overloaded or damaged in a disaster? That is where ham radio can shine. Also it may not be "high tech", but when you build you own Yagi or cubical quad antenna & know that you have to think a little bit to make communication in a certain country (what band to use to make the hop) takes some thought vs. cell phone & internet which takes very little thought. My .02

"Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message news:d7bhi3$1gu6$1@otis.netspace.net.au...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:TtKdnRXVkY7h_gXfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>> Keep in mind that any change in rules may take years to have a noticeable
>> impact on the number of licensees. How long has VK been codeless? Has
>> it
>> been long enough to have the hoped for impact? If you change licensing
>> systems, the same questions need considered before judging it.
>>
>> Here in the states, it seems that the last couple of changes in licensing
>> requirements has had no noticeable long term effect.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> CW as a requirement for access to HF was dropped as at 01 Jan 2004. There
> has not been the expected massive increase in amateur licences - I think
> that VK currently has around 10,000 licenced amateurs (plus or minus a
> few) - with numbers pretty stagnant/decreasing slightly. I feel that
> there
> has been enough time for the expected increase to be visible, but this has
> yet to occur - maybe the majority of those with an interest in radios
> already have their licences, or lost interest in the subject - who knows.
> Onto another part of the thread - age. Most amateurs are older - when I
> took out my licence aged 23, I was one of the youngest around - going to
> the
> first club meeting, I was somewhat dismayed to see that I was the youngest
> by at least ten years, but at least I had lots of experience to learn
> from.
> Nowdays (ten years later), I am seeing young people getting into the
> hobby,
> but not in the numbers that I would like to see, nor in the numbers that
> we
> need to have a healthy population. Buggered if I know what to do, but the

> new foundation licence that is due to come in (not sure when as the date
> seems to continue slipping right) has great promise to at least increase
> numbers.
>
>
>
> Matt
>
>

1756. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 12:31:19 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Quidncf0v4FvKATfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 109038

"Jamar" <nolid@nohome> wrote in message news:d7bjsmrof0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:o5ydnTpMivJolATfRVn-3w@comcast.com...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:muadnSdIoqFJnATfRVn-gg@adelphia.com...
> [snip]
> > I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least
> > common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and
> > scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to
come
> > into our hobby.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> I would tend to agree with that. All through school, I was somewhat of an
> "outcast" because I was interested in science and technology.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> //
>
> That explains why you were not asked to the proms.
> All this time I had suspected it was a result of your condescending
> attitude.

Well I guess that an interesting discussion degenerated at the 3rd post
.....

73 safe holiday everyone ..... Tom KI3R Belle Vernon Pa

1757. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 12:44:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117370675.387453.43200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109039

N9OGL wrote:
> >Stevie said
> > No...YOU are the ####ing ###hole moron. Not one single person
> >here has offered yo a word of encouragement or support...many have
> >pointed out some very reasonable alternatives to your broadcasts, yet
> >you are determined to just PO anyone and everyone who you come into
> >contact with.
>
> HAHAHAHAHA WHAT ALTERNATIVES...

Like getting yourself appointed as an "Official Bulletin Station"
and doing this in a program that is recognized and respected.

(The keyword there being "respected"...)

> YOU FULL OF IT STEVIE

I am sure you think so. We'll see when the dust settles, Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

1758. Lennie and Brain Chasing Their Tails

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 12:48:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117370910.773493.125630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109040

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Fri 27 May 2005 17:39

> >I read 1984 during the 1983 holidays. There's a lot of Steve in there.
>
> He be "little brother." Big Brother be in Newington.

Is it just me, or do these two resemble two dogs chasing
each-others tail...?!?!

steve, K4YZ

1759. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 12:49:24 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QISdnQMkluKyJwTfRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 109041

> Kids & INTERNET: Instant Messager, Chat Rooms, Videoconference
> in REAL TIME with your friends, Play games (Halo for example) over
> a Network with your friends, send messages via cellphone to e-mail and
> visa-versa, computers are cheap $300 for a P4 fully loaded at
> C-shows...etc...etc...

I'll buy that .....

> Kids and HAM RADIO: Radios can be expensive, big antennas needed if
> you want HF,

Big maybe ...expensive ...like anything else what you want to put into it
....

all to talk to are sour old men (or retro-bigots if your on
> 75 Meters at night) who hate kids for the most part,

Ah com on ..here we go again with this crap ..... this happens in all
endeavors ... avoid those idiots by a turn of the dial or better yet get on
CW where this "effluent" isn't present ....

packet-radio a joke
> at 9600 baud (circa 1981 speed!!)

Agreed ..... what started out as a interesting meld of computer hi-tech
into the service fizzled ..... perhaps more by govt. regulation than
anything else ..... not an expert here .....

compared to DSL, radios expensive to
> fix if they break

Yes and no ..... simple homemade stuff is easy to fix if you built it ....
I hate to use the old saw but I have yet to see a computer whiz etch his own
motherboard of late .....

and most radios (except yaesu) are built like a cheap VCR

huh ?????? I have many radios that have gone for years without a problem
....

> kids on ham few and far between.....etc
>
> any questions?

Yep ...what is your call ..... and have you helped any kids get their
tickets of late .....

> (it sucks eh?)

Nope ....but your attitude sure does .....

73 Tom KI3R Belle Vernon Pa ....

1760. Lennie Sticks Hands In Own Diaper...Results Predictable...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 12:51:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117371114.812710.8690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109042

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Jimmie loves wordplay, spending long hours at his old, faded
> computer, exercising his imaginary powers of CEO of the
> newsgroup. He's wasting lots of folks' time.

Geeze, Lennie...You remind me of a 6 month old...

Stuck your hands into your own diaper and rubbed your business all
over your own face then sit there laughing at it.

Sheeeesh. So predictable.

Steve, K4YZ

1761. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 12:58:06 UTC
From: Joe Cameltoe <slit@thewhy.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.05.29.13.06.30.822510@thewhy.net>
Source record: 109043

On Sat, 28 May 2005 19:42:14 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Many people lament that there is not enough interest in Ham radio by
> young people.
>
> There are often many reasons given for this deficiency, and somewhat
> less "fixes".
>
> One of the reasons that is given very often is that Amateur radio is in
> some sort of competition with the Internet. Let us look at this theory.
>
> What is the competition between the two? In order to use the internet,
> one must of course have a computer. It must be connected to the
> internet, through one of several methods. Once the person has learned to
> turn on the computer, open a few programs or so, they have the
> necessary skills to work the internet.
>
> Amateur radio on the other hand, requires that a radio be used, which
> requires some skill in operating. An antenna system needs to be
> connected to this radio. Whereas it is possible to have everything set
> up for the Ham, most young people do not have the resources to have
> someone set up their system. Coupled with the possibility of putting an
> antenna in operation that only costs a few dollars, or even less if the
> youngster has good scrounging skills, the likelihood is that they would
> design and put up their own antenna, another skill needed.
>
> So there is a large difference in the skills needed for the two hobbies.
>
> Cell phones as competition? While there is a temptation to snipe "Get
> Real!", I'll address those too.
>
> What would make a person decide to take up Cell phone use as a hobby?
> Cell phones allow you to talk to people that you know (for the most
> part) and operate in the same manner as a regular telephone, save that
> you take the cell with you, and you are generally tied in the same
> building with a standard telephone. It's hard to imagine someone doing
> that as a hobby, although there are a lot of people who spend a lot of
> time using them.
>
> So what makes a youngster decide to become a Ham?
>
> We can try using the input of those who became Hams at a young age.
> Most of what I have heard is that the person was very interested in the
> technical aspects involved with getting on the air. Making antennas,

> building rigs, and getting them on the air was a big part of the attraction.
>
> In the end, I believe that it is young people that have a technical
> interest that will likely become Hams.
>
> And that, I believe, is the crux of the issue.
>
> America is not a place that encourages those who might be thinking of a
> technical career. We have a tendency to encourage a more "pop culture"
> outlook, which as often as not discounts actual learning for "street
> cred", and actually turns the smart person into an object of ridicule.
> There are levels, and there are levels. If a person is intelligent, and
> wants a good livelihood, you will find careers that are acceptable. You
> can be a movie star, or perhaps a lawyer. A whole spectrum follows, but
> engineering and the technical fields are not very high on that list.
>
> How often is the Techie portrayed as a sort of Bill Nye, the science
> guy type (at best). How about the smart woman who takes off her glasses
> and suddenly becomes the hot babe? Professor Frink on "The Simpsons"?
> Pop culture is not kind to the technical types.
>
> My experiences with programs like "bring your sons and daughters to
> work day" shows that almost none of the kids is even thinking of a
> technical field. A lot want to be lawyers.
>
> Once in the past, we were scared into thinking that maybe science and
> technology was maybe not such a bad thing. That happened when the
> commies launched Sputnik. Suddenly it seemed important that at least
> some of our kids decided to work in the sciences. Hopefully we will
> decide that again without having to be shocked into it.
>
> I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least
> common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and
> scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to
> come into our hobby.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

The answer is simple:

They're lazy and have NO imaginations. They want the easy, don't
have to do/learn anything way. Look at all the retards on thier cellphones
EVERY FREAKING MINUTE of the day.

1762. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 13:06:44 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <X-ednY31MJrdIwTfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 109044

> Nope. You just about covered it all.
> That leaves only the holdout dregs of CB who are now getting Tech licenses
> and migrating to two meters.

Used to be that the hams came mostly from the SWL fraternity ..... we have had CB converts test and upgrade with no difficulty .... problems on the repeaters .....any were solved by a phone call to that person not by an on air "dressing down"

> You can hear them every day on the local repeaters. They use CB slang such
> as "hate and discontent", "make my phone go ringy-dingy", "I heard that, I
> did", and the all-time favorite? "Ten four, good buddy."

Did you give the guys a call on the phone and explain procedure or write them a note ??? We all were young once either in age or experience .....at 57 I still routinely put my foot in my mouth ...

> They buy a Rad Shack 2 meter ht and hammer the repeaters all day long. Ask
> one of them to switch over to simplex and you can hear the confusion in
> their voices.

Here is where I have to agree with you Terry ..... better yet ask them to switch over to CW or the digital modes on HF. The problem I see is that we don't have enough people upgrading to HF or using any mode but VHF FM.

"Simplex. What is that?" or, "I can't get out that far."
> Oh, and for laughs just listen to the Techies as they give each other
signal
> reports on a repeater. They tell each other they are full quieting and
have
> good audio. Oh, duh!

Again our job as members of this "fraternity" is to be a guide to to new guys or ladys. Always remember the stupid things that we have done or said in the past ....and boy do I have a lot of those ......

> The ultimate hoot for me was when last week a couple of Techies were
> hammering the daylights out of a local repeater. One was getting out of
> range and his Techie buddie suggested they move to another repeater. What
> did I hear but the one Tech say to the other, "I am gonna QSY to my
truck."
> Lids. Lids and more Lids.

No just newcombers for the most part who need a little guidence but I do know guys who have been in this line for 50 years who would fit the Lid title ....

>
> (ditttos on the Yaesu gear. I wouldn't own anything but)

I'm looking at a 897 for the car ...looks pretty neat .....

Takle care all 73 Tom KI3R Belle Vernon Pa.

1763. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 13:15:40 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <3018c98424aee5e6c5c84e39d4dd7237@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 109045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <d7bp8f$5f9$0@pita.alt.net>
Layla <Extra@nospam> wrote:

> Wow! Did someone (IKYABWAI)

Wow, someone did hit you on your oblong malformed head. Fact is, you are such a chickenshit. Tell us how you aren't fat boy! You keep seeing Roger everywhere! And Roger was never under the care of any Doctor, nor does he get SSI checks, but you never provide proof that he does, do you, mentally ill retard?

Maybe Nursie can share his dead daughter with you, after he gets through with her.

1764. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 13:20:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117372842.185973.237640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109046

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "Arf! Arf!" on Sat 28 May 2005 05:23
> >
> > >Len, lest you nit pick about the location of Fort Campbell, let me explain.
> > >Yes, I was for sure at Ft. Campbell in Kentucky. The base saddles the state
> > >line between Kentucky and Tennessee.
> >
> > Does anyone care where an anony-mouse "saddles?"
>
> Ft Campbell is anonymous?
>
> A stealth Army base?

What's the problem with the location of Ft. Campbell?

> > >Of course you chairborne types would not know this.
> > >
> > >And Len, you have not answered my question. What was your MOS and what did
> > >you do in the service of our country?
> >
> > Am I supposed to pop-to and immediately "answer a question" of
> > some anony-mouse?
>
> You won't answer questions from those of us who are NOT
> anonymous...so why not...?!?!

Got papers?

> > My primary MOS was 281.6, microwave radio relay operation and
> > maintenance supervisor, secondary MOSs as Fixed Station radio
> > operation and maintenance supervisor, carrier terminal
> > operation and maintenance, United States Army. A buddy in my
> > outfit, serving at the same station but on a different
> > operating team (we had four teams) is Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV.
>
> Neither of which served in combat, and never any closer to a
> combat zone that the front pages of Stars and Stripes.

Never saw you in the pacific stars and stripes.

> > As to working as a civilian on DoD contracts, there's not much
> > available to show you, especially not to anony-mousies. Pick
> > Hughes Aircraft Co. Ground Systems, RCA Corporation, Teledyne
> > Electronics, and some others on up to 1989...just tell them
> > you are "Arf" the man you say you are and demand they TELL

> > you what I did in electronics engineer as a civilian. I'm sure
> > they will salute you smartly. With the middle finger.
>
> Lennie and his middle finger.
>
> Arf, let me tell you about Lennie and at least ONE of his places of
> "employment"...It wasn't so rosey as he makes out....

Interesting how you suck up to an anonymous when he/she might agree
with you.

> > >It seems that you and bb have a fair share of hot air with little to
> > >substantiate your claims.
> >
> > I've got all sorts of documents, some already scanned to back
> > up MY claims "Arf-ass," and the United States government to
> > reference it all. Just go to the big Military Records center
> > (NARA) at St. Louis, MO, and demand to see my Army record.
> > They have a website and can even return information over the
> > Internet. Here, I'll even give you my ASN: RA 16 408 336.
> > Trouble is, YOU have to supply YOUR SSN on the Standard Form
> > for getting those details. The U.S. government doesn't accept
> > demands from anony-mousies. Expect another middle-finger
> > salute from them when you can't supply that. You deserve such.
>
> The "middle finger salute" again, eh Lennie...Whew...

I can't think of a more deserving tribute to you.

> Arf, Lennie served. We give him that.

As if it were yours to grant.

> The problem stems from his efforts to validate his "Newsgroup
> Combat Award"...He's made several (one within the last few days...)

several what?

> where he's tried to associate his "combat" inn this newsgroup with the
> REAL combat that killed several soldiers in a unit that he subsequently
> belonged to.

I suppose all of your seven hostile actions were with non-combat units?

> Of course they died three years before he was even inducted into
> the Army...Pretty disgusting behaviour for a guy who claims to "honor"
> war dead.

Nobody in the U.S. Marine Corps died before you were inducted?

> > ex-RA16408336, USA, 1952-1960, Signal Corps, Sgt (E-5)
> > HONORABLE Discharge
>
> Honorable discharge...DIShonorable behaviour ever since...

>
> Steve, K4YZ

Seven hosed-up claims.

1765. Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 13:28:29 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117373309.485924.317250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109047

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "bb" on Fri 27 May 2005 17:39
>
> > >I read 1984 during the 1983 holidays. There's a lot of Steve in there.
> >
> > He be "little brother." Big Brother be in Newington.
>
> Is it just me, or do these two resemble two dogs chasing
> each-others tail...?!?!
>
> steve, K4YZ

Yeh, it's just you.

Now explain why you posted my street number in this forum.

1766. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 13:39:04 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <meqdnfQugKKRWwTfRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 109048

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:Quidncf0v4FvKATfRVn-hQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Jamar" <nolid@nohome> wrote in message news:d7bjsmrof0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:o5ydnTpMivJolATfRVn-3w@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:muadnSdIoqFJnATfRVn-gg@adelphia.com...
>> [snip]
>> > I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least
>> > common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and
>> > scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to
> come
>> > into our hobby.
>> >
>> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> I would tend to agree with that. All through school, I was somewhat of
>> an
>> "outcast" because I was interested in science and technology.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> //
>>
>> That explains why you were not asked to the proms.
>> All this time I had suspected it was a result of your condescending
>> attitude.
>
>
> Well I guess that an interesting discussion degenerated at the 3rd post
> .....
>
>
> 73 safe holiday everyone ..... Tom KI3R Belle Vernon Pa

Unfortunately that's the usual pattern. It's very difficult to keep a good discussion going without someone putting in derogatory remarks just to feed their own egos.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1767. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 13:40:47 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117374047.063086.83390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Before the internet and cell phones, we had television and regular
phones. "
JS> That is true, we did have television and land line telephones,
with all of their inherent limitations. But the internet provides a
range of communication that is unmatched by any other method of
communication, including 13 channels of VHF, a smattering of channels
on UHF on TV and rotary dial phones.

> Why go through the hassle of getting a license and radio
> equipment only to find out that the people you work and play with are
> not on the bands.

"??? I guess your outlook and idea of what Ham radio is is to
chat with
your buds? In that respect, you are probably correct. If all you want
to
do is *that*, you are better served by chat rooms or the telephone. Why

on earth anyone with that as their main interest would become a ham is
beyond me. Ham radio is not the best hobby for that, although we can do

that. "

JS> Communication is what amateur radio is about...people talking with
one another usually about everyday things or as you say "chatting with
their buds". And it is about the camraderie of people enjoying and
talking about their equipment. And how could we forget band congesting
contesting - how many people one can have a 5 word conversation with
over a set period of time. The hobby isn't about providing useful
emergency communications however. The last time I heard a hurricane
net it descended into chaos with hams trampling over one another trying
to be the first to contact someone in the emergency area. Hams have
not "saved the day" other than in their dreams for a long long time.

If ham radio is about something else, please inform me as well as the
potential hams who see amateur radio as little more than an expensive
and self-limiting way of talking to one another. Or said another way
if you stripped the communications aspect from the hobby what would be
left. And how many people would queue up for licenses?

Hams take a cavalier attitude when it comes to explaining the value of
ham radio, they end up driving the young new entrants away. They find
it difficult to consider new ways that might attract new blood into the
hobby. Hams have to be able to explain what the benefits of being a
ham are. Unfortunately most adopt a bunker mentality that goes like

this: "The requirements are what they are because I had to take them long long ago. Yes morse code is important, but I really can't tell you why. If you have to ask what the benefits of being a ham are, then there is a good chance you won't enjoy being a ham. Please consider another hobby."

1768. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 13:47:44 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <9385107595542b6a1f94eb946180d36c@userbeam.net>
Source record: 108950

In article <VrSdnZ8eb_1XEgvfRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Siegfried Kemper wrote:
> > Poor "LIAR" Butt Cornholer, he spells like Mongolloyd Davies
and
> > has that obsolete license.
> > He's too stupid and lazy to upgrade to Extra, he makes all
kinds
> > of excuses why he holds onto that obsolete ham license, and
> > makes up lies that he has a pilot's license.
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> >
> Gee wogie,

Gee Butt Cornholer, you finally got away from molesting your own
little children to finally get on your shitty 486 to log on and
whine about Roger Wiseman some more, you lisping cocksucker!

1769. Re: Steve is Short...short on documentation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 13:49:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117374583.568134.159040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108951

```
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve lies.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You keep saying that, Brain, but you've not supplied
> > > evidence
> > > > of
> > > > > a
> > > > > > single one...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I took a 3-week long snapshot of your postings and saw 29 lies,
> > and
> > > I
> > > > > > didn't even read all of your squabbles with the RRA.MISC guys.
> > > > >
> > > > > And you haven't validated a one of them.
> > > > >
> > > > > Not a one.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nice troll, however.
> > > >
> > > > No troll. Just facts.
> > > >
> > > > Speaking of Facts, got any? Scan 'em and post 'em. Especially the
> > one
> > > > about "A" NCOIC of MARS/Okinawa.
> > >
> > > It's already been "scared up"...
>
> "Scare" yourself, Silly Gunny.
>
> But do post authentic documentation of your claimed appointment to "A"
> NCOIC Okinawa MARS. Post 'em if you've got 'em!
>
> > Dates...times...Places...
>
```

> Heresay. Post authentic documentation.
>
> > What's missing is adequate testosterone levels in the Burke
> > residence to verify them.
>
> Verifying your preposterous claims is not my job.
>
> > This would be directly due to Brian P Burke being a coward.
>
> Got anything better than that?
>
> > Not my problem.
>
> Lack of AUTHENTIC documentation is your problem. Put Up or Shut Up.
>
> Remember your own words, "No documentation means it never happened."
>
> Hi!
>
> For future reference, stop making claims that you can't prove. Makes
> you look even more stupid than you already are. Best of Luck.

And explain why you have posted my street number on this forum.

1770. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 13:54:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117374894.984337.203780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108952

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: "bb" on Fri 27 May 2005 17:39
> >
> > > > >I read 1984 during the 1983 holidays. There's a lot of Steve in there.
> > >
> > > > He be "little brother." Big Brother be in Newington.
> >
> > Is it just me, or do these two resemble two dogs chasing
> > each-others tail...?!?!
> >
> > steve, K4YZ
>
> Yeh, it's just you.

I don't think so...

There's two day's worth of you and Lennie glad-handing each other.

> Now explain why you posted my street number in this forum.

What number is your street? Most streets have names, although my
street IS a number..."(12th Avenue)"

A bit paranoid, Brain?

Been keeping a close eye on the mail box, UPS and Fedex guys to see
if Mrs Brain might get a package YOU wouldn't want her to see...???

Keeping a watch out for any black helicopters or unmarked vans
prowling the neighborhood?

You need a break, Brain...Or quit chasing Lennie's tail in public
like that...Maybe the methane has you delirious.

Steve, K4YZ

1771. Brian P Burke Remains A Coward...And It Was So Easy To Avoid...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 14:21:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117376495.059056.13450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108953

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > Speaking of Facts, got any? Scan 'em and post 'em. Especially the
> > one
> > > about "A" NCOIC of MARS/Okinawa.
> >
> > It's already been "scared up"...
>
> "Scare" yourself, Silly Gunny.
>
> But do post authentic documentation of your claimed appointment to "A"
> NCOIC Okinawa MARS. Post 'em if you've got 'em!
>
> > Dates...times...Places...
>
> Heresay. Post authentic documentation.

Not "heresay".

Independently verifiable information...which you are too cowardly
to verify.

> > What's missing is adequate testosterone levels in the Burke
> > residence to verify them.
>
> Verifying your preposterous claims is not my job.

There's nothing preposterous about any of them.

> > This would be directly due to Brian P Burke being a coward.
>
> Got anything better than that?

Nope. That pretty well summed it up. Direct. Concise.
Accurate.

> > Not my problem.
>
> Lack of AUTHENTIC documentation is your problem. Put Up or Shut Up.

Facts have already been disseminated. You refuse to verify them.

This substantiates my claim that Brian P Burke is a coward.
>
> Remember your own words, "No documentation means it never happened."

You've been given faaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaar more "documentation" than you ever gave for any ONE thing ever asked of you.

Keeps you high on the "Bragged About It But Got Nothing To Show For It" list.

> For future reference, stop making claims that you can't prove.

You and Lennie are the only one's who bring up my prior service record...And I've answered why I won't got "there" any more.

However you HAVE been given dates, times, places, callsigns for other radio related evolutions that I have been through.

You won't follow up.

> Makes you look even more stupid than you already are.

What does? You asked for details. You got them. Then you change the parameters and demand "documentation".

Sorry, Brain...You've already been drawn and quartered on this one.

> Best of Luck.

For what? Proving you a coward and liar? No luck needed there...You do all the work for me!

Thanks!

Steve, K4YZ

1772. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 14:33:54 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <d696143f026473665caa35290a776f39@userbeam.net>
Source record: 108954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <lP6dnUZzStrsWgrfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Go back your (IKABWAI)

Go back to molesting your own kids and the neighbor's kids, Butt
Cornholer. Butt Cornholer here was recently arrested for
fondling his neighbor's kids. Nobody is paying attentiopn to
you, obsolete license boy. They found out you don't have a
commercial or pilot's license except in your mentally ill tiny
brain stem. Does gbrobnline.com know you are using their service
to download kiddie porn again?

1773. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 14:49:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117378143.587838.95910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mike Coslo wrote:
> krackula wrote:
> >> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
> >>price of a decent new rig.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> >
> > maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
> > or it's applications ....
> >
> > for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
> > , full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
> > side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
> > r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
> > and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
> > is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
> > weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band.
>
> What I would like to see is some data to back that up. If the tube
> stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute.

No problem: http://www.sherweng.com/presentation.html

This guy obviously knows what he's talking about so shaddup and pay
attenetion, you just might learn something Michael.

> > no comparison
> > at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
> > tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
> > why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400
> > invested in mine , so far) or collins !!

Yeah . . I have a stock late S/N meatball S3B I just might juice up one
of these days. I also have a JRC NRD-545 you'd probably enjoy. Quirky
thing but once you get on top of it it really cooks.

> > certainly the value is
> > in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
> > looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
> >
> > obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job

>
> Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state
> technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits

100% dumpster ballast.

> and their power
> supplies at Dayton, and will restore them. I came into Ham radio (radio
> at all) too late for tube stuff, and frankly, the tube rigs seem very
> cool to me.
>
>
> > .... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
> > ..... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
> > strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
> > for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both
> > my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) .... I do
> > use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.
>
> It would seem that you should sell that FT1000 and go strictly tubes if
> all you do is use it for transmitting. 8^)

Ouch: How do you spell clueless? I loves you dearly Michael, you're a very nice guy and yer heart is in the right place but you have this frustrating penchant for getting over yer head at warp speed when discussions turn to even moderately deep-end techie sorts of topics. You done it again.

This guy is obviously into low-band weak signal dxing on 160/80/40 etc. Those of us take this game seriously understand what it takes in the way of rcvr performance. He's talking about using his modded R4-C as an outboard RX because of it's legendary front end performance, it's blocking dynamic range and it's third order intercept points as they relate to successfully dealing with very difficult band conditions. Which leaves out your Heath treasures and all members of the FT-1000 clan in this particular pursuit.

"If the tube stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute" eh? Welp there ya go.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

1774. Re: Toad was a pirate 10 years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 15:09:19 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <EH6XV4II38501.7148032407@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 108956

In article <d7bj2e$pv1$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <Llloyd#2@GuessAgain> wrote:

Have you always been this fucking stupid?

> Oh, yes. It is

It is your nature, genetically defective unskilled labor pizza
BOY!
Guess again, DUMBASS! Oh, the "house across the street" from
Roger never had any webcam ever. Looks like you can't even lie
correctly, you fucking imbecile.

1775. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 15:20:06 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <52bde66b543180590b41197c7f5d304e@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 108957

In article
<1117374894.984337.203780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> I don't think...

We already know that, Nursie.
You keep proving it over and over and over again, can't provide
documentation BOY.

> There's two day's worth of you and Lennie glad-handing
each other.

Then there's years of you and Secret Nazi High-el giving each
other the reach around on here.

> What number is your street? Most streets have names,
although my
> street IS a number..."(12th Avenue)"

Are you stalking him, Nursie?

> A bit paranoid, Brain?

A bit psycho, Nursie??????

> Been keeping a close eye on the mail box, UPS and Fedex
guys to see
> if Mrs Brain might get a package YOU wouldn't want her to
see...???

Yep, looks like Nursie is stalking "Brian." Poor Nursie, he's
got that low self-esteem.

> Keeping a watch out for any black helicopters or unmarked
vans
> prowling the neighborhood?

Oh, do tell us you were involved in that sort of activity while
in the service, Nursie. Just like you say you don't wear a
girdle to keep that gut in.

> You need a break, Brain...Or quit chasing Lennie's tail in
public
> like that...Maybe the methane has you delirious.

But, Nursie, your farts dissipate before it reaches the TN state
line. Regardless, that explains the stench around your house.

1776. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 16:01:03 UTC
From: dan Yemiola <dan@yemiola.net>
Message-ID: <d7covv$cihr$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 108958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> >> Do you know of a source for reliable data on ham licenses that drills
> >> down below the often quoted total licenses outstanding. Are there any
> >> studies that estimate the number of active licenses and ages of the
> >> holders and how those numbers have trended over time.
> >>>
> It looks like the bottom line is that back in
> June 1997 there were 678,473 licensed hams
> and in May of 1995 there were 664,972 licensed hams.
> Thats a decrease of 2 percent over 8 years. Its a decline but not by
much.
>
> Does anyone have statistics that go back three or four decades??
>

Part of the problem here is that the "base" demographic numbers of total US population is changing. The increase or decrease in the absolute numbers of hams is not germane.

A more accurate statistic for the last 40-50 years is the change in the percentage of hams in the total US population.

Example:

say you have 200,000 hams in 1930 in a population of 200 million (1%) vs 250,000 in 2000 in a population of 350 million.
Even though you have an increase in the ABSOLUTE number of hams the PERCENTAGE of hams is going down.
In this example: As a group, the relative impact and visibility of hams vs the total US population is going down.

Does any one know the URL of an accurate set of historical ham population data? Hopefuly from the very begiining of FCC licensing?

Dan Yemiola
AI8O

1777. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 16:48:28 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <d7cros$980$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 108959

Joe Cameltoe (slit@thewhy.net) writes:
> On Sat, 28 May 2005 19:42:14 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> Many people lament that there is not enough interest in Ham radio by
>> young people.
>>
>> There are often many reasons given for this deficiency, and somewhat
>> less "fixes".
>>
>> One of the reasons that is given very often is that Amateur radio is in
>> some sort of competition with the Internet. Let us look at this theory.
>>
>> What is the competition between the two? In order to use the internet,
>> one must of course have a computer. It must be connected to the
>> internet, through one of several methods. Once the person has learned to
>> turn on the computer, open a few programs or so, they have the
>> necessary skills to work the internet.
>>
>> Amateur radio on the other hand, requires that a radio be used, which
>> requires some skill in operating. An antenna system needs to be
>> connected to this radio. Whereas it is possible to have everything set
>> up for the Ham, most young people do not have the resources to have
>> someone set up their system. Coupled with the possibility of putting an
>> antenna in operation that only costs a few dollars, or even less if the
>> youngster has good scrounging skills, the likelihood is that they would
>> design and put up their own antenna, another skill needed.
>>
>> So there is a large difference in the skills needed for the two hobbies.
>>
>> Cell phones as competition? While there is a temptation to snipe "Get
>> Real!", I'll address those too.
>>
>> What would make a person decide to take up Cell phone use as a hobby?
>> Cell phones allow you to talk to people that you know (for the most
>> part) and operate in the same manner as a regular telephone, save that
>> you take the cell with you, and you are generally tied in the same
>> building with a standard telephone. It's hard to imagine someone doing
>> that as a hobby, although there are a lot of people who spend a lot of
>> time using them.
>>
>> So what makes a youngster decide to become a Ham?
>>
>> We can try using the input of those who became Hams at a young age.
>> Most of what I have heard is that the person was very interested in the

>> technical aspects involved with getting on the air. Making antennas,
>> building rigs, and getting them on the air was a big part of the attraction.
>>
>> In the end, I believe that it is young people that have a technical
>> interest that will likely become Hams.
>>
>> And that, I believe, is the crux of the issue.
>>
>> America is not a place that encourages those who might be thinking of a
>> technical career. We have a tendency to encourage a more "pop culture"
>> outlook, which as often as not discounts actual learning for "street
>> cred", and actually turns the smart person into an object of ridicule.
>> There are levels, and there are levels. If a person is intelligent, and
>> wants a good livelihood, you will find careers that are acceptable. You
>> can be a movie star, or perhaps a lawyer. A whole spectrum follows, but
>> engineering and the technical fields are not very high on that list.
>>
>> How often is the Techie portrayed as a sort of Bill Nye, the science
>> guy type (at best). How about the smart woman who takes off her glasses
>> and suddenly becomes the hot babe? Professor Frink on "The Simpsons"?
>> Pop culture is not kind to the technical types.
>>
>> My experiences with programs like "bring your sons and daughters to
>> work day" shows that almost none of the kids is even thinking of a
>> technical field. A lot want to be lawyers.
>>
>> Once in the past, we were scared into thinking that maybe science and
>> technology was maybe not such a bad thing. That happened when the
>> commies launched Sputnik. Suddenly it seemed important that at least
>> some of our kids decided to work in the sciences. Hopefully we will
>> decide that again without having to be shocked into it.
>>
>> I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least
>> common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and
>> scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to
>> come into our hobby.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> The answer is simple:
>
> They're lazy and have NO imaginations. They want the easy, don't
> have to do/learn anything way. Look at all the retards on thier cellphones
> EVERY FREAKING MINUTE of the day.

The problem with that is that you've just alienated the very group
that needs to be part of amateur radio (for the hobby, for them).
YOu can't go into a room full of people you want to attract and
call them names.

I do argue that the failure of amateur radio to attract young people is because we a) aren't trying and b)don't know how.

The minute you characterize all the young as some monolithic group, you are saying you don't know the kids, and if you don't know them, then there's no way of bridging that gap. I'm not sure how we do it, but I do know that one has to get into their heads to reach them.

Keep in mind that decades ago, amateur radio was hardly a mainstreem interest among the population. SOme would be interested, many would not. There'd be people like you back then characterizing the young people, minus the cellphones, basically saying the same thing. Ultimately, little has changed.

Michael VE2BVW

1778. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 18:40:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <c0ome.1519$bL5.575@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 108960

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:muadnSdIoqFJnATfRVn-gg@adelphia.com...
> Many people lament that there is not enough interest in Ham radio by
> young people.
>
> There are often many reasons given for this deficiency, and somewhat
> less "fixes".
>
> One of the reasons that is given very often is that Amateur radio is in
> some sort of competition with the Internet. Let us look at this theory.
>
> What is the competition between the two? In order to use the internet,
> one must of course have a computer. It must be connected to the
> internet, through one of several methods. Once the person has learned to
> turn on the computer, open a few programs or so, they have the
> necessary skills to work the internet.
>
> Amateur radio on the other hand, requires that a radio be used, which
> requires some skill in operating. An antenna system needs to be
> connected to this radio. Whereas it is possible to have everything set
> up for the Ham, most young people do not have the resources to have
> someone set up their system. Coupled with the possibility of putting an
> antenna in operation that only costs a few dollars, or even less if the
> youngster has good scrounging skills, the likelihood is that they would
> design and put up their own antenna, another skill needed.
>
> So there is a large difference in the skills needed for the two hobbies.
>
> Cell phones as competition? While there is a temptation to snipe "Get
> Real!", I'll address those too.
>
> What would make a person decide to take up Cell phone use as a hobby?
> Cell phones allow you to talk to people that you know (for the most
> part) and operate in the same manner as a regular telephone, save that
> you take the cell with you, and you are generally tied in the same
> building with a standard telephone. It's hard to imagine someone doing
> that as a hobby, although there are a lot of people who spend a lot of
> time using them.
>
> So what makes a youngster decide to become a Ham?
>
> We can try using the input of those who became Hams at a young age.
> Most of what I have heard is that the person was very interested in the
> technical aspects involved with getting on the air. Making antennas,

> building rigs, and getting them on the air was a big part of the
attraction.
>
> In the end, I believe that it is young people that have a technical
> interest that will likely become Hams.
>
> And that, I believe, is the crux of the issue.
>
> America is not a place that encourages those who might be thinking of a
> technical career. We have a tendency to encourage a more "pop culture"
> outlook, which as often as not discounts actual learning for "street
> cred", and actually turns the smart person into an object of ridicule.
> There are levels, and there are levels. If a person is intelligent, and
> wants a good livelihood, you will find careers that are acceptable. You
> can be a movie star, or perhaps a lawyer. A whole spectrum follows, but
> engineering and the technical fields are not very high on that list.
>
> How often is the Techie portrayed as a sort of Bill Nye, the science
> guy type (at best). How about the smart woman who takes off her glasses
> and suddenly becomes the hot babe? Professor Frink on "The Simpsons"?
> Pop culture is not kind to the technical types.
>
> My experiences with programs like "bring your sons and daughters to
> work day" shows that almost none of the kids is even thinking of a
> technical field. A lot want to be lawyers.
>
> Once in the past, we were scared into thinking that maybe science and
> technology was maybe not such a bad thing. That happened when the
> commies launched Sputnik. Suddenly it seemed important that at least
> some of our kids decided to work in the sciences. Hopefully we will
> decide that again without having to be shocked into it.
>
> I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least
> common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and
> scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to
> come into our hobby.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Hello, Mike

You've hit the nail on the head. Look at one reply to Dee's comment.

I went to a pipe organ concert last evening and ran into a guy who has been
in broadcasting. I'm glad I got out of broadcasting. He was glad he was
approaching retirement. He told me that he hated news. Oh, if you are an
anchor or weatherman, you can make $150,000 a year, no sweat. But for the
folks that have to take the equipment and run the recorders or gather the
news for that personality, wages are terrible.

I was in broadcasting at one time. I served as an engineer at a couple of stations and chief engineer of one station. The pay was pretty good.

Then the FCC changed things. No more 1st class radiotelephone license required. This allowed anyone to get in with no prior anything.

Stations (due to competition from satellite and cable tv) started networking. One local station let go most of the staff and they get their feed from another station in Syracuse (that also feeds other stations owned by the same entity). A skeleton crew for the news, network feeds during the day, and the feed from Syracuse otherwise. One person serves as chief engineer for 5 stations and they run him ragged. And he makes less than those on-air personalities that might work 4 hours per day (plus a few more researching, but certainly less than 8 hours per day). BTW, the interns work for *nothing*. It is part of their college "education". Since they receive college credit for the work, there is no labor law violation. I've heard that the working jobs are paying perhaps 7 to 12 bucks per hour. Why would someone want to get into that? Heck, don't think, cut grass, and you'll make perhaps 8 or 10.

I recently posted a link. Most interesting. Direct TV (which I subscribe to) is launching a number of satellites to feed high-definition to the U.S. Guess who launched the satellite for them? Russia!

China has most of our manufacturing.

I refuse to work as a toolmaker for $10.00 per hour. I'd take a job as a janitor before virtually giving away labor that should be around $30.00 per hour.

Many folks that got hit with the latest cuts where I put in most of my career are back working as temps - at about 60% wages and no benefits.

Fortunately, they didn't pull the plug fast enough on me. Although my pension is small, I do get health coverage (at least most of it) from my former employer.

I am not considering just not working. I won't have the income that I'd like, but enough to manage on. Social Insecurity is only 4 1/2 years away.

I had enough technical knowledge stolen from me over the years at that company. The last time, I was speaking with a supervisor about how terrible the code was in their programmable ladder controllers. I told him that scan rates could be cut by a factor of 7 and cycle times improved. I also mentioned that I had automated ladder generation with optimization back around 1982.

He stated that if I could do it, he'd take me in to see the manager. I told him that I had the code already but was not going to give it to the department because we were going to loose our jobs anyway.

So, China does the work manually. They didn't want the machines.

Fasten your seatbelts. We're going down fast and it is going to be a bumpy ride.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1779. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 18:44:14 UTC
From: Stinque <ab8mq@nospam>
Message-ID: <d7d2h8$884$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108961

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1117373309.485924.317250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "bb" on Fri 27 May 2005 17:39
>
> > >I read 1984 during the 1983 holidays. There's a lot of Steve in there.
> >
> > He be "little brother." Big Brother be in Newington.
>
> Is it just me, or do these two resemble two dogs chasing
> each-others tail...?!?!
>
> steve, K4YZ

Yeh, it's just you.

Now explain why you posted my street number in this forum.
>>

I wish he'd post it again.

And why should anybody explain anything to you? You don't count.

1780. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 18:46:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117392382.550623.106920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108963

YEAH RIGHT!!!

Todd N9OGL

1781. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 18:46:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117392392.775477.7110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108962

YEAH RIGHT!!!

Todd N9OGL

1782. Re: The End Game: Baxter Thread of 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 18:51:12 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117392672.446856.169540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

there is no group with that name....

Todd N9OGL

1783. Re: The End Game: Baxter Thread of 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 18:54:43 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117392883.131539.162410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

There is no such group, and I even did a search at
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ I think your full of it.

Todd N9OGL

1784. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 18:54:51 UTC
From: Stinque <ab8mq@nospam>
Message-ID: <d7d355$97s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108966

"Tarapia Tapioco" <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it> wrote in message

We already know that, Nursie.
You keep proving it over and over and over again, can't provide
documentation BOY.

/\

Curtains Boy has been a busy little social misfit this day. Wow! Not one,
but THREE anonymous email sources.

His meds must have finally kicked him into Happy Happy Land.

1785. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 18:59:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117393177.884122.270780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108967

Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
> In article
> <1117374894.984337.203780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > I don't think...
>
> We already know that, Nursie.
> You keep proving it over and over and over again, can't provide
> documentation BOY.
>
> > There's two day's worth of you and Lennie glad-handing
> each other.
>
> Then there's years of you and Secret Nazi High-el giving each
> other the reach around on here.
>
> > What number is your street?

The number you posted, "Lessee....430." There was absolutely no
"context" for that kind of post. Completely out of left field, with
the intention of intimidation.

Most streets have names,
> although my
> > street IS a number..."(12th Avenue)"

I could care less what your street is.

> Are you stalking him, Nursie?

Steve posted my county in here, then my street number just before the
Dayton Hamfest. I live in Ohio and am probably on a circuitous route
that a crazy man would take to get to Dayton.

He works hard at intimidation, but he just doesn't have that big of a
presence to deliver any "pucker factor" as he calls it. The only one
he's fooling is himself.

And as of yet, Steve has absolutely >>>NO<<< explanation on why he
posted, "Lessee....430" out of left field. I wonder what kind of
weasely words he'll come up with on how that got injected into a RRAP
posting of his.

> > A bit paranoid, Brain?
>
> A bit psycho, Nursie??????

I'd give that a thumbs up.

> > Been keeping a close eye on the mail box, UPS and Fedex
> guys to see
> > if Mrs Brain might get a package YOU wouldn't want her to
> see...???
>
> Yep, looks like Nursie is stalking "Brian." Poor Nursie, he's
> got that low self-esteem.

Looks that way to me on both accounts.

> > Keeping a watch out for any black helicopters or unmarked
> vans
> > prowling the neighborhood?
>
> Oh, do tell us you were involved in that sort of activity while
> in the service, Nursie.

He was probably at Whacko under secret orders. Hi!

Just like you say you don't wear a
> girdle to keep that gut in.

You mean he's got a girdle on in that pudgy picture? Hi! Wonder it
wasn't a straight jacket.

> > You need a break, Brain...Or quit chasing Lennie's tail in
> public
> > like that...Maybe the methane has you delirious.

Steven J. Robeson, K4YZ, you need to stop trying to intimidate and
scare people who disagree with you. It makes you look like you've got
a screw loose. Hmmmm?

1786. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 19:01:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117392297.156448.180690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108968

>Like getting yourself appointed as an "Official Bulletin Station"
>and doing this in a program that is recognized and respected.

Why the hell would I do that? they are not the only ones who can run
bulletins, Information bulletins are not a monopoly and the ARRL
DOESN'T OWN THE MONOPOLY!!!

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

1787. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 19:02:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117393375.128101.144830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108969

Stinque wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1117373309.485924.317250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: "bb" on Fri 27 May 2005 17:39
> >
> > > > >I read 1984 during the 1983 holidays. There's a lot of Steve in there.
> > >
> > > > He be "little brother." Big Brother be in Newington.
> >
> > Is it just me, or do these two resemble two dogs chasing
> > each-others tail...?!?!
> >
> > steve, K4YZ
>
> Yeh, it's just you.
>
> Now explain why you posted my street number in this forum.
> >>
>
> I wish he'd post it again.
>
> And why should anybody explain anything to you? You don't count.

If anything or anyone shows up at my house, they'll be explaining to
the authorities.

1788. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 19:12:21 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117393941.102668.276220@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108970

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
. . . .

> So what makes a youngster decide to become a Ham?
>
> We can try using the input of those who became Hams at a young age.
> Most of what I have heard is that the person was very interested in the
> technical aspects involved with getting on the air.
> Making antennas,
> building rigs, and getting them on the air was a big part of the attraction.

I was one of those back in the "Golden Era" of ham radio. There were two basic types of kids who got into ham radio. Those who had a strong interest in electronics and enjoyed building their rigs and such many of whom went on to become EEs and EE techs.

The other category consisted of kids whose interests were in using ham radio to *communicate*. I was one of those. I was a certified geek from a tender age but I didn't have much interest in electronics as such because I was far more interested in things mechanical which eventually led me into a career in mechanical enginering with DXing and contesting strictly on a hobby basis. Others in this group had no particular interests in technical matters at all.

When I look back at all the kid hams I knew and what they've done since then on the job and in the hobby it's about a 30/70 split. 30% are into electronics, 70% are not and never were. One of my young ham acquaintances from back then became a priest for instance. As often as not having to study electronics and do soldering iron pushups were friggin' obstacles to getting on the air.

> In the end, I believe that it is young people that have a technical
> interest that will likely become Hams.
>
> And that, I believe, is the crux of the issue.

Sort of. Bottom line here though is that ham radio has historically attracted a much broader group of kids than just those with an interest in electronics.

>
> America is not a place that encourages those who might be thinking of a
> technical career. We have a tendency to encourage a more "pop culture"
> outlook, which as often as not discounts actual learning for "street
> cred",

There's another factor here. I raised three kids and they're raising a total of five kids under Pop-pop's "close supervision". The problem I'm seeing at least within today's version of the Yuppy class is overprogrammed kids and sports. Their lives are consumed by carefully planned "events" mom & dad have arranged for them. Football practice here then more football practice, soccer practice, two swim meets this week and oops let's not forget Corryne's dance class and there goes mom "Crap, I gotta be in three places at the same time again!"

There aren't any open slots in the kids' schedules for some quiet soldering iron time on their own. It's obsessive, massive and insane.

> and actually turns the smart person into an object of ridicule.

I'm not laying any claims to being particulary smart and I took a lot of cheap shots about being a geek up thru my junior high school years. I wasn't alone with this problem either, all us young hams had to deal with it to one extent or another. I was lucky because I've never been bothered by "peer pressure", fuggem, "meet me out by the swings after school . . ". The wilting lilly type geeks had it much tougher but I don't remember any who pulled out of ham radio because of the crap they took from other kids.

The big drivers kids have is their parents, if the parents support their interests the geeks will be OK. If the parents don't support or think much of their interests the geek kids have a real problem.

My parents were very supportive of my interest in ham radio (except when I blotted out the TV while Dad while watching the Friday nite Gillete fights. "Radios off NOW". Click). I don't see where life has changed very much in this respect.

> There are levels, and there are levels. If a person is intelligent, and
> wants a good livelihood, you will find careers that are acceptable. You
> can be a movie star, or perhaps a lawyer. A whole spectrum follows, but
> engineering and the technical fields are not very high on that list.
>
> How often is the Techie portrayed as a sort of Bill Nye, the science
> guy type (at best). How about the smart woman who takes off her glasses
> and suddenly becomes the hot babe? Professor Frink on "The Simpsons"?
> Pop culture is not kind to the technical types.
>
> My experiences with programs like "bring your sons and daughters to
> work day" shows that almost none of the kids is even thinking of a
> technical field. A lot want to be lawyers.

Kids ain't stupid, they follow the money. Problem there is that one of these days the lawyer biz is gonna tank because of overpopulation.

> Once in the past, we were scared into thinking that maybe science and
> technology was maybe not such a bad thing. That happened when the
> commies launched Sputnik. Suddenly it seemed important that at least
> some of our kids decided to work in the sciences. Hopefully we will
> decide that again without having to be shocked into it.

Don't even get me started on that debacle. I was one of the first to find Sputnik 1 on 15M which I did with the ham club's old Hammarlund rcvr. Long story but I'll spare ya that one.

Kids flocked to engineering schools in battalions then they dumped into the Apollo program. When that bubble broke months after the Apollo program ended several years later something like 200,000 graduate engineers found themselves out on the bricks looking for jobs.

I met a guy in that timeframe who was one of those. You won't believe this one but it's true: This guy had spent his entire professional career "engineering" NASA control and annunciator panel PILOT LAMPS for God's sake! He finally found a job as a real estate agent and barely beat the sheriff to the title for his abode. Thousands of others weren't so lucky.

These guys (and a few gals, very few) have raised their kids and are becoming grandparents today. They have clout, they been there in volume. I wouldn't expect them to encourage acquiring technical educations, at least not in engineering, I would expect a lot of lingering bitterness about engineering careers on their parts.

As much as I've enjoyed my 40+ year engineering career even I'd hesitate about encouraging a kid to get into the biz. Like everything else the engineering of the products we use is being shipped offshore. I dunno, don't look good to me . .

> I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least
> common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and
> scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to
> come into our hobby.

I agree with that 100% but it would take years to have any noticeable effect.

Taking the topic out a bit further so what if ham radio shrinks, even if it shrinks a bunch? What would be the real-world implications? A bit less clout at the FCC? The League might have to lay off a few bodies? After that what?? We have a helluva lot more hams today per capita today than we had back in the "Golden Era". If we lost half of us we'd still be ahead. We've been sitting ducks for years with respect to losing some of our spectrum space above 30Mhz. and it has nothing do with the number of valid ham tickets.

The huge change from the "Golden Era" involves the HF spectrum. HF radio has become almost passe as far as it's commercial value is concerned. Hell, the FCC is dumping BPL all over it, sez it all. So I don't see where even a precipitous drop the number of ham tickets will have any effect on our HF privs. So what's left to get excited about??

With or without kids citizens of all ages will continue to come into the hobby which will continue to evolve just like it has for the past century. I dunno what ham radio will be like 25-50 out but my hunch is that it'll be smaller, maybe much smaller but still very much alive and

well. The future is much bigger than any of us or the even the ARRL (gasp!), there's absolutely nothing we can do about any of it. Rants and hand-wringing in this NG notwithstanding.

Let it roll and enjoy the trip people.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

1789. Robeson Remains A Coward...And It Was So Easy To Avoid...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 19:29:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117394995.078089.139580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108971

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Speaking of Facts, got any? Scan 'em and post 'em. Especially the
> > > one
> > > > about "A" NCOIC of MARS/Okinawa.
> > >
> > > It's already been "scared up"...
> >
> > "Scare" yourself, Silly Gunny.
> >
> > But do post authentic documentation of your claimed appointment to "A"
> > NCOIC Okinawa MARS. Post 'em if you've got 'em!
> >
> > > Dates...times...Places...
> >
> > Heresay. Post authentic documentation.
>
> Not "heresay".
>
> Independently verifiable information...which you are too cowardly
> to verify.

All you need is authentic documentation. Got any?

> > > What's missing is adequate testosterone levels in the Burke
> > > residence to verify them.
> >
> > Verifying your preposterous claims is not my job.
>
> There's nothing preposterous about any of them.

Given your demonstrated knowledge of military and MARS communications,
I'd say your claims are preposterous. That's why you need to post
authentic documentation. No more claims.

Tell you what, I'll let you make a copy of your letter of appointment
to "A" NCOIC of Okinawa/MARS, and you can black out your SSN on the
copy with a sharpie. Then scan it in and replace that silly photo of
yourself on QRZ with authentic documentation that has been sanitized of
your SSN. You only need to leave it up for a couple of days until I
have a chance to read it, then if it looks on the up and up, I'll grant
you your claim.

But don't go expecting an apology or anything like that because you did it to yourself. Remember what you said, "No documentation means you didn't do it and you're lying about it." What was I to think without you providing documentation???

> > > This would be directly due to Brian P Burke being a coward.
> >
> > Got anything better than that?
>
> Nope. That pretty well summed it up. Direct. Concise.
> Accurate.

Yet you are the one not posting documentation. You are the one trying to intimidate. You are the coward, hiding behind a bully. You are both a coward and a bully, as bullies usually are.

> > > Not my problem.
> >
> > Lack of AUTHENTIC documentation is your problem. Put Up or Shut Up.
>
> Facts have already been disseminated. You refuse to verify them.
>
> This substantiates my claim that Brian P Burke is a coward.

Hi! You must provide authentic documentation of your claims. Until then they are just more Robeson nonsense.

> > Remember your own words, "No documentation means it never happened."
>
> You've been given faaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaar more "documentation" than
> you ever gave for any ONE thing ever asked of you.

Documentation? All I've seen are claims.

> Keeps you high on the "Bragged About It But Got Nothing To Show
> For It" list.

And now you're on the list. Got documentation?

> > For future reference, stop making claims that you can't prove.
>
> You and Lennie are the only one's who bring up my prior service
> record...And I've answered why I won't got "there" any more.

It's clear why you won't go there. Your service record must be shameful.

But then you trot yourself out in any kind of uniform that you can in order to look important.

> However you HAVE been given dates, times, places, callsigns for
> other radio related evolutions that I have been through.

Evolutions? Hi! Congratulations. You've evovled into a ham, the pinnacle of Radiodom.

> You won't follow up.

The only follow-up I'll do is to read your authentic documentation.
Got any?

> > Makes you look even more stupid than you already are.
>
> What does? You asked for details. You got them.

You're a liar. I don't trust your "details." I asked for
documentation, then you claim to have posted "details" a year ago.
Wunderful. I don't care about your claimed details. I asked for
documentation. >Authentic< documentation.

Then you
> change the parameters and demand "documentation".

I asked you for documentation, just as you've asked me for
documentation. I have not provided you with documentation, and so you
have labeled me a liar, a coward, and a gay man. Your times up. Post
it now.

> Sorry, Brain...You've already been drawn and quartered on this
> one.

No Steve, you've only proved that you can't stand up to the kind of
scrutiny that you've placed upon others. You fail miserably when
measured by your own words, your own rules, your own logic. Again.

Now about posting my street number on RRAP. Why did you do that?

1790. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 19:42:38 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <MWome.7049$vp.262@fed1read07>
Source record: 108972

Oh yeah -- take a look at San Diego youth training -- URL:
http://www.signonsandiego.com/news/northcounty/20050507-9999-1mi7hams.html

Only one of several schools here that are training school kids

So what are you doing to get more "young'uns" into the Amateur Radio Service
?
--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:c0ome.1519$bL5.575@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:muadnSdIoqFJnATfRVn-gg@adelphia.com...
>> Many people lament that there is not enough interest in Ham radio by
>> young people.
>>

1791. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 20:06:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117397211.685877.252410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108973

garigue wrote:

> Used to be that the hams came mostly from the SWL fraternity ..... we have
> had CB converts test and upgrade with no difficulty .... problems on the
> repeaters .....any were solved by a phone call to that person not by an on
> air "dressing down"
>
>
> > You can hear them every day on the local repeaters. They use CB slang such
> > as "hate and discontent", "make my phone go ringy-dingy", "I heard that, I
> > did", and the all-time favorite? "Ten four, good buddy."
>
> Did you give the guys a call on the phone and explain procedure or write
> them a note ??? We all were young once either in age or experience .....at
> 57 I still routinely put my foot in my mouth ...

Every once in a blue moon I regress back into my mischevious mode and
at the tail end of a qso on one of the heavily-used 2M machines I'll
drop in the "Ten 'Fo Good Buddy" routine just see what happens. On a
couple of these occasions yup, sure enough here cometh one of the
self-appointed repeater cops with a stern lecture about "proper
operating practices". I just leave well enough alone and listen to all
the squelch tails which pop up. A buddy told me that one of these
goofballs got up at a meeting and asked "what can we do about w3rv?"

You need to gain another 7 years in the saddle before you'll be 65
which is THE major milestone. After you hit that one you have not only
a free pass to having both feet in your mouth but it's also *expected*.
Geezerhood is a great, you'll enjoy it no end.

> Takle care all 73 Tom KI3R Belle Vernon Pa.

w3rv

1792. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 20:09:23 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117397362.993262.327460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108974

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>>
>> > Of course you chairborne types would not know this.
>> >
>> > And Len, you have not answered my question.
>>
>> Hello Arf!,
>
>Bow wow.

Brian, Pastor Miccolis ASSUMES this anony-mouse ("Arf! Arf!")
is legitimate...because he (in anonymity) attacks me. That
is all part of the PCTA Extra Double Standard hypocrisy.

The Pastor does give lip-service to all the PC phrases AS IF
he "had been there, done that" when his real purpose is to
level a personal attack on his newsgroup "opponents."

That is followed by:

>> Len does not answer most questions. He says they're "loaded",
>> even when it's clear they are not.

"It is clear they are not" is one of the most hypocritical
of the Pastor's statements. Jimmie LOADS questions in order
to elicit a response he can then attack them as "mistaken."

>>
>> > What was your MOS and what did
>> > you do in the service of our country?
>>
>> Now ya did it - he'll do a couple dozen kilobytes about ADA
>> *again*...

Poor Jimmie. NEVER was in the military, NEVER worked any
professional communications, NEVER did much except play with
his "homebuilt" hobby radios. But, Jimmie will idolize and
rhapsodize on the pioneers of radio doing their thing before
Jimmie's existance...so much so that he makes them into
"heroes" and near-mythological figures.

>> > It seems that you and bb have a fair share of hot air
>> > with little to substantiate your claims.
>>
>> Here, I'll sum it up for you:
>>

>> "No matter what employment, education, experience or
>> government/military service a person has, if that person opposes Mr.
>> Anderson's views, he/she will be the target of Mr. Anderson's insults,
>> ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic/gender/racial slurs,
>> excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior."

All of that to summarize poor Jimmie NOT being able to take
the heat of discussion ON subjects. He MUST go into a
Personal Attack mode to "defend himself." His ego (his most
sacred cow) was severely injured and he seeks retribution.
He is still wearing bandages over his goring of several years
back...

>> >
>> > Stand up. Hook up. Stand in the door.
>> >
>> > Airborne!
>>
>> Gotta take some serious cajones to jump out of an aircraft that
>> is flying perfectly well.

Gotta take some serious "cajones" to ENLIST voluntarily...or
even accept a draft notice (which Jimmie never did).

Jimmie "knows" all about parachute jumping in the military.

Jimmie must think that "cajones" is acceptible but "balls" is
not acceptible when both words are useable in the same
context. He can be very prim, proper, and oh-so-"civil" by
using a Spanish colloquialism in regards to Manly Courage.

>I met up with a Ranger at Benning who apparently disagreed. I asked
>him how he liked jumping, and he said he had no choice. I asked him
>what he meant, and he told me that there was no such thing as a
>perfectly good Air Force airplane. So I asked him if there was such a
>thing as a perfectly good Army parachute.

Heh heh heh. Cross fingers and hope all the QC people did
their thing properly...on airplanes as well as parachutes.

>>Double or triple that to do it in order to
>>engage hostile folks on the ground.

Jimmie must think that anyone against HIS opinions in here are
"hostiles." Anyone acting against HIS opinions is not considered
"patriotic." :-)

>> Here's a big "thank you" to you and all who have served our country,
>> Arf. Both in uniform and in other ways.
>
>What other ways?

According to Jimmie's general outlook, those "other ways" must be
to Support and Defend the Constitution of the ARRL and preserve

the morse code test for amateur radio license examinations
(against all oppressors). Very Patriotic. Very Memorable.
Because:

"No matter what employment, education, experience or
government/military service a person has, if that person opposes Mr.
Miccolis' views, he/she will be the target of Mr. Miccolis' insults,
ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic/gender/racial slurs,
excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior."

[see how easy that was? :-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1793. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 20:12:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117397525.592798.229010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108975

From: "bb" on Sun 29 May 2005 06:20

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: "Arf! Arf!" on Sat 28 May 2005 05:23
>> >
>> > >Len, lest you nit pick about the location of Fort Campbell, let me explain.
>> > >Yes, I was for sure at Ft. Campbell in Kentucky. The base saddles the state
>> > >line between Kentucky and Tennessee.
>> >
>> > Does anyone care where an anony-mouse "saddles?"
>>
>> Ft Campbell is anonymous?
>>
>> A stealth Army base?
>
>What's the problem with the location of Ft. Campbell?

Irrelevant. I've never even commented anywhere on the location
of Fort Campbell. :-)

But, the Avenging Angle of Dearth, ever vigilant to defend the
United Stevies of America (against all oppressors) simply took
something out of context in order to Personally Attack me once
again.

Gen Chesty "Stick Time" Robeson seems to suffer vision losses
both on his own writing ant that of others. [too much Viagra
intake resulting in loss of vision?] The anony-mouse wrote
"...base saddles the state line..." when the word "saddle"
should have been "STRADDLES." :-)

>> > >Of course you chairborne types would not know this.
>> > >
>> > >And Len, you have not answered my question. What was your MOS and what did
>> > >you do in the service of our country?
>> >
>> > Am I supposed to pop-to and immediately "answer a question" of
>> > some anony-mouse?
>>
>> You won't answer questions from those of us who are NOT
>> anonymous...so why not...?!?!
>
>Got papers?

The only "papers" Stebie has are the morning ones (printed on
newsprint) and probably filched from a neighbor's driveway.

>> > My primary MOS was 281.6, microwave radio relay operation and
>> > maintenance supervisor, secondary MOSs as Fixed Station radio
>> > operation and maintenance supervisor, carrier terminal
>> > operation and maintenance, United States Army. A buddy in my
>> > outfit, serving at the same station but on a different
>> > operating team (we had four teams) is Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV.
>>
>> Neither of which served in combat, and never any closer to a
>> combat zone that the front pages of Stars and Stripes.
>
>Never saw you in the pacific stars and stripes.

Try the Sunday editions' Beetle Bailey cartoon strip and
picture the First Sergeant as "Seven Hostile Actions" Stebie.

That takes some imagination since the comic strip version has
at least one tooth. [we don't see any teeth showing in Stebie's
pictures]

>> > As to working as a civilian on DoD contracts, there's not much
>> > available to show you, especially not to anony-mousies. Pick
>> > Hughes Aircraft Co. Ground Systems, RCA Corporation, Teledyne
>> > Electronics, and some others on up to 1989...just tell them
>> > you are "Arf" the man you say you are and demand they TELL
>> > you what I did in electronics engineer as a civilian. I'm sure
>> > they will salute you smartly. With the middle finger.
>>
>> Lennie and his middle finger.
>>
>> Arf, let me tell you about Lennie and at least ONE of his places of
>> "employment"...It wasn't so rosey as he makes out....
>
>Interesting how you suck up to an anonymous when he/she might agree
>with you.

The Avenging Angle of Dearth is going to redraw his hyperbole of
the (unamed) "PhD" at (the former) NADC in PA who supposedly
"critiqued" my "job performance" while "working for the Navy"
in 1971. :-) A very big pile of Stebie BULLSHIT, not even
good for fertilizing anything but Stebie's anger and hatred.

>> The "middle finger salute" again, eh Lennie...Whew...
>
>I can't think of a more deserving tribute to you.
>
>> Arf, Lennie served. We give him that.
>
>As if it were yours to grant.

AS IF this anony-mouse ("Arf! Arf!") ACTUALLY SERVED.

We readers don't have any PROOF of the anony-mousie's
IDENTITY, let alone if this babbler actually served.

But, that is all part of the PCTA Extra Double Standard.
Looking back a few months on Google archives will show
Stebie (The Last Action Hero) becoming incensed, enraged
at OTHER anony-mousies who were "not kind" to Stebie.
Tsk, tsk. Stebie is on-record as having directly insulted
their person with words like "coward."

Stebie is just a duplicious hypocrite, "serving" the
United Stebies of America, one nation invisible,
populated by many imaginative alter egos.

>> The problem stems from his efforts to validate his "Newsgroup
>> Combat Award"...He's made several (one within the last few days...)
>
>several what?

Stebie cannot comprehend what was written. Nineteen members of
the 71st Signal Service Battalion, 8235th AU, United States Army,
were killed in a transport plane crash in SOUTH Korea on 1 Jul 50.
Not in "combat." Those were ordered there by General MacArthur
to reinforce communications in SOUTH Korea as a result of invading
forces from NORTH Korea. Three USAF air crew were also killed.
The main billet of the 71st and also offices of the Army Central
Command (Honshu) was named "Hardy Barracks" in 1952 in honor of
Cpl Elmer Hardy, one of the 19 who perished on 1 Jul 50. The new
transmitter site of Army station ADA (and barracks of B Co.) at
Kashiwa, Japan, was named "Camp Tomlinson" in honor of Captain
Jim Tomlinson, another of the 19 crash victims.

I was assigned to the 71st Signal Service Battalion, 8235th AU,
in February, 1953. Stebie, once again in his angry hatred,
wants to twist that into "cowardice" and "disgrace" and
"dishonor" on my part because I display a sense of pride in
what MY unit did...and continued to do long afterwards. Three
members of the 8235th AU (then renamed Far East Command Signal
Service Battalion) would die in three separate actions while
on TDY (Temporary Duty assignment) in Korea...AFTER the "Truce"
had begun in July, 1953. That "Truce" continues to this day,
the Korean War is NOT OVER. From time to time, armed skirmishes
happen along the Demilitarized Zone, combat on a small scale.

Stebie's "validation" of HIS "combat" is a very UN-detailed,
Ambiguous mention of "participation in seven hostile actions."
The WHEN and WHERE of those "seven hostile actions" has NOT
been stated. Stebie REFUSES to state the WHEN and WHERE.

One can only assume that Stebie is just a damn LIAR, bluffing
in an effort to be more "manly, macho" in his mumblings about
his own "combat experiences."

>> where he's tried to associate his "combat" inn this newsgroup with the
>> REAL combat that killed several soldiers in a unit that he subsequently
>> belonged to.
>
>I suppose all of your seven hostile actions were with non-combat units?

Stebie can't even state the WHEN and WHERE of his "seven hostile
actions." He postures as a Mighty Warrior yet cannot say, other
than his "battles" in here, WHERE he "fought."

>> Of course they died three years before he was even inducted into
>> the Army...Pretty disgusting behaviour for a guy who claims to "honor"
>> war dead.
>
>Nobody in the U.S. Marine Corps died before you were inducted?

Absolutely NONE before his induction...they must "live forever"
according to Stebie..."in his heart."

It would be interesting to see the Last Action Hero spout off
about "dishonor" at any Retreat Ceremony, a Funeral of a non-
marine, any Memorial Day gathering to honor the fallen. It would
be a given that this Last Action Hero (of "seven hostile actions")
would not survive that day...nor would anyone around him be
arrested by REAL authorities. We would then see that this
great "patriot" (of the United Stevies of America) was nothing
more than a bluffing bully, tosser of shit, big loudmouth, and
a representative of modern-day U.S. Amateur Radio behavior.

A problem is that the bluffing bully, big loudmouth, tosser
of shit, and lying story-teller just hasn't let up. He keeps
on LYING, manufacturing things about others that never
happened to them, manufacturing glory about himself that he
cannot PROVE.

Is that what the HOBBY of U.S. amateur radio has become?

I hope not...yet the evidence appears almost daily in here.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

ex-RA16408336, USA, 1952-1960, Signal Corps, Sgt (E-5)
HONORABLE Discharge

1794. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 20:15:09 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117397709.868017.257950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108976

From: "bb" on Sat 28 May 2005 19:30

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:

>> > > In only takes two fingers to send Morse Code on a keyer.
>> >
>> > It only takes one finger to send you a message.
>>
>> Seems that's the only method of "digital" communications you and
>> Lennie seem to know...Why am I not surprised?
>
>A straight key requires only one finger. I thought a Mighty Macho
>Morseman such as yourself would know that.

The Last Action Hero may not have figured out WHICH finger
to use. He is confronted with a choice of one out of ten.
That may present a difficulty.

Mighty Stebie doesn't NEED fingers. He only has to IMAGINE
sending morse and, lo!, it happens...and he will spend a few
years bragging about his marvelous ability...as an Extra
amateur radio operator...who hasn't shown any knowledge of
maintenance or theory of operation, not even a familiarity
with simple Ohm's Law of Resistance...so then he can chide
and bully all others who don't need morsemanship about
"OPERATING (using morse code)."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1795. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 20:25:09 UTC
From: Siegfried Kemper <bounce@parsifal.ath.cx>
Message-ID: <M1AMW6AL38501.6797453704@si93kru1.poster>
Source record: 108977

In article <d7d355$97s$0@pita.alt.net>
"Stinque" <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
>
> Cur

While my owned bitch, the unkilled pizza BOY, the king of knob jobs in Alabama, incessantly whines and whines. Poor baby, can't figure out how to use remailers, so he whines about that. Whine some more, my little bitch.

1796. Re: Physics according to toad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 20:30:02 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <f195845e7b2208e7f38c0442413fce40@dizum.com>
Source record: 108978

In article <Mo0me.1319$s64.544@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > that has nothing to do with my "physics" and frankly little
man you
> > could never understand my great theories.
>
> I encountered one individual in the past who had a number of
"great
> theories". He wrote them on the outside of 9 x 12 manila
envelopes in
> crayon and magic marker and mailed them to U.S. embassies.

And it was your assignment (one of your many "plum assignments")
to sort them, wasn't it?

> Take a physic, Todd.

Ironic, coming from somebody who is as full of shit as you are!

1797. Re: The End Game: Baxter Thread of 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 20:54:55 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kuSdnU8dkZ-8sQffRVn-tg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n9ogl wrote:
> There is no such group, and I even did a search at
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ I think your full of it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
Well maybe you can take the time machine and look in the future idiot!

1798. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 21:02:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117397398.454782.261270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108980

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Many people lament that there is not enough interest
> in Ham radio by young people.

Agreed - but how much would be enough?
>
> There are often many reasons given for this deficiency,
> and somewhat less "fixes".
>
> One of the reasons that is given very often is that
> Amateur radio is in some sort of competition with the
> Internet.

Every activity is in competition with every other.

> What is the competition between the two? In order to use
> the internet,
> one must of course have a computer. It must be connected to the
> internet, through one of several methods. Once the person has
> learned to
> turn on the computer, open a few programs or so, they have
> the necessary skills to work the internet.

Yup. But there's more:

A computer has many uses, from being a glorified typewriter to a
serious research/calculation device to gaming to producing all sorts of
multimedia stuff.

Most decent white-collar jobs today require computer skills. Many
blue-collar jobs also require them.
>
> Amateur radio on the other hand, requires that a radio be used,
> which
> requires some skill in operating. An antenna system needs to be
> connected to this radio. Whereas it is possible to have
> everything set
> up for the Ham, most young people do not have the resources to
> have
> someone set up their system. Coupled with the possibility of
> putting an
> antenna in operation that only costs a few dollars, or even
> less if the
> youngster has good scrounging skills, the likelihood is that
> they would
> design and put up their own antenna, another skill needed.

>
> So there is a large difference in the skills needed for the
> two hobbies.

You're missing a couple of other points, Mike.

Computers are all over the place, inexpensive, and often available as
hand-me-downs. PCs only a few years old can be had for next-to-nothing.

Some people live in places where putting up an antenna - *any* antenna
- is banned by CC&Rs. A family isn't likely to move so that Junior can
put up a G5RV.
>
> Cell phones as competition? While there is a temptation
> to snipe "Get Real!", I'll address those too.
>
> What would make a person decide to take up Cell phone
> use as a hobby?
> Cell phones allow you to talk to people that you know
>(for the most
> part) and operate in the same manner as a regular telephone,
> save that
> you take the cell with you, and you are generally tied in
> the same
> building with a standard telephone. It's hard to imagine
> someone doing
> that as a hobby, although there are a lot of people who
> spend a lot of time using them.

You missed the point, Mike.

Before cell phones became inexpensive and ubiquitous, the
average person didn't have many options for personal
mobile/portable communications. There was ham radio and
cb and not much else. Cell phones changed all that.

> So what makes a youngster decide to become a Ham?

Same things that make anyone else.
>
> We can try using the input of those who became Hams at a
> young age.
> Most of what I have heard is that the person was very
> interested in the
> technical aspects involved with getting on the air.
> Making antennas,
> building rigs, and getting them on the air was a big
> part of the attraction.

I was one of those people - licensed at age 13. With no
real help from the parents, btw.
>
> In the end, I believe that it is young people

> that have a technical
> interest that will likely become Hams.

More complex than that.

There are three basic areas of interest involved:

1) Technical (likes to fool around with radio stuff)

2) Operating (likes the actual operating experience)

3) Communicating (likes the message content more than
the medium)

Most hams' reasons for getting into the ARS are a mixture
of the three.

For example, I know some DXers whose main focus is #2. They
love the thrill of the chase, hunting down the new ones, etc.
Their stations are technical wonders - but the technical stuff
is simply a means to an end, not the end in itself.

Then there are the ragchew types who have real long-term friendships
on-air. Their focus is mainly #3.

Or the techno types who are always working on a project but rarely on
the air. Once they get something working really well, the excitement is
gone and they're off to something else.

>And that, I believe, is the crux of the issue.
>
I think it's more complex.

>America is not a place that encourages those who might be
> thinking of a
> technical career. We have a tendency to encourage a more
> "pop culture"
> outlook, which as often as not discounts actual learning
> for "street
> cred", and actually turns the smart person into an object of
> ridicule.
> There are levels, and there are levels. If a person is
> intelligent, and
> wants a good livelihood, you will find careers that are
> acceptable. You
> can be a movie star, or perhaps a lawyer. A whole spectrum
> follows, but
> engineering and the technical fields are not very high on that
> list.

Agreed.
>
> How often is the Techie portrayed as a sort of Bill Nye,
> the science guy type (at best).

How many TV shows and movies ever depict engineering or
technical folks at all, compared to other fields like health
care or law enforcement?

> How about the smart woman who takes off her glasses
> and suddenly becomes the hot babe?

Bailey Quarters. Although she's hot with the glasses *on*, as well..

> Professor Frink on "The Simpsons"?
> Pop culture is not kind to the technical types.

Been that way for a long time, Mike.
>
> My experiences with programs like "bring your sons and
> daughters to
> work day" shows that almost none of the kids is even thinking
> of a
> technical field. A lot want to be lawyers.

Or business types, or a lot of other things.
>
> Once in the past, we were scared into thinking that maybe
> science and
> technology was maybe not such a bad thing. That happened when
> the commies launched Sputnik.

Yeah - who'd a thunk they could do something like that?

> Suddenly it seemed important that at least
> some of our kids decided to work in the sciences. Hopefully
> we will
> decide that again without having to be shocked into it.

No, today is worse. The society seems unshockable. Look at where
so much stuff today is made...
>
> I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering
> to the least
> common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the
> technically and
> scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters
> who want to come into our hobby.
>
The fact of the matter is that amateur radio has always been
a rather specialized activity anyway.

I graduated high school in 1972 - the golden age of space
and technology, right?

In my high school of 2500 boys there were at most six licensed
hams. In the girls' school next door there were *none*. 5000
middle class kids in suburban Philly, going to schools where the
emphasis was on math and science, and there were but a handful of

hams. And this was in an era before CC&Rs, cable TV, VCRs, cell phones, PCs, etc.

73 de Jim, N2EY

The most popular highschool technical activity back then was working on cars. A kid with a few tools and skills could get a few dollars together, buy an old heap and get on the road.

1799. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 21:19:06 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ih9k7u5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 108981

On Sat, 28 May 2005 21:48:26 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

>Well there is where they got you toddieboy, you see whatever you have to
>say is of no direct interest to radio amateurs (or to anyone for that
>matter).

And where it says that the station licensee is responsible for
determining if the content complies with the rules, what it means is
that if it doesn't comply, it's the station licensee who gets hit
in the face, not that the station licensee has a free hand to do
whatever s/he wants.

Simple words for simple folks.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1800. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 21:42:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <cHqme.1536$ql5.802@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 108982

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
news:MWome.7049$vp.262@fed1read07...
>
> Oh yeah -- take a look at San Diego youth training -- URL:
> http://www.signonsandiego.com/news/northcounty/20050507-9999-1mi7hams.html
>
> Only one of several schools here that are training school kids
>
> So what are you doing to get more "young'uns" into the Amateur Radio
Service
> ?
> --
> CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !
>

So what am I doing trying to get young folks into amateur radio?

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HamRadioHelpGroup

With all due regards to UALLbeware ...
Jim AA2QA

1801. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 22:28:52 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <5vSdneefuum73wffRVn-hQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 108983

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Sat, 28 May 2005 21:48:26 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>
>>Well there is where they got you toddieboy, you see whatever you have to
>>say is of no direct interest to radio amateurs (or to anyone for that
>>matter).
>
>
> And where it says that the station licensee is responsible for
> determining if the content complies with the rules, what it means is
> that if it doesn't comply, it's the station licensee who gets hit
> in the face, not that the station licensee has a free hand to do
> whatever s/he wants.
>
> Simple words for simple folks.....
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
What you don't understand is that if toad says it then it's true in his
mind.
Toad can twist the meaning to fit his needs at the time, this is his
idea of freedom of speech. As an example only, toad will read in the
bible that God put plants with seeds on earth for man's consumption,
toad will turn that around to mean, marijuana has seeds so it's ok to
smoke it and the hell with any laws because that's what the toad wants
to do!

1802. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 22:59:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117407544.533456.241400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108984

bb wrote:
> Stinque wrote:

> > And why should anybody explain anything to you? You don't count.
>
> If anything or anyone shows up at my house, they'll be explaining to
> the authorities.

Explain what?

Copies of YOUR own posts?

I'd be interested in your suggestions as to what Postal Service
regulations might be violated there, Brain...

Steve, K4YZ

1803. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 23:04:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117407882.203553.71930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108985

bb wrote:

> > > You need a break, Brain...Or quit chasing Lennie's tail in
> > public
> > > like that...Maybe the methane has you delirious.
>
> Steven J. Robeson, K4YZ, you need to stop trying to intimidate and
> scare people who disagree with you. It makes you look like you've got
> a screw loose. Hmmmm?

The loose screw here, Brain, belongs to you and Lennie.

The two of you load up this NG with tons of mistruthful and
blatantly dishonest and deceitful rhetoric, and then when faced with
even the IDEA that your behaviour might be exposed to those wo DO know
you personally, you go into a tailspin.

Your reactions here pretty much prove to me that your conduct here
does not mirror how you are in "real life", and the idea that your
conduct here might be exposed to family or friends scares the hell out
of you.

So...Why behave one way in here and an other for your family and
friends?

Steve, K4YZ

1804. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 23:13:16 UTC
From: Stinque <ab8mq@nospam>
Message-ID: <d7di9n$a2b$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 108986

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1117407882.203553.71930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

bb wrote:

> > > You need a break, Brain...Or quit chasing Lennie's tail in
> > public
> > > like that...Maybe the methane has you delirious.
>
> Steven J. Robeson, K4YZ, you need to stop trying to intimidate and
> scare people who disagree with you. It makes you look like you've got
> a screw loose. Hmmmm?

The loose screw here, Brain, belongs to you and Lennie.

The two of you load up this NG with tons of mistruthful and
blatantly dishonest and deceitful rhetoric, and then when faced with
even the IDEA that your behaviour might be exposed to those wo DO know
you personally, you go into a tailspin.

Your reactions here pretty much prove to me that your conduct here
does not mirror how you are in "real life", and the idea that your
conduct here might be exposed to family or friends scares the hell out
of you.

So...Why behave one way in here and an other for your family and
friends?

Steve, K4YZ

Aw, go ahead. Post his address again.
Maybe someone will send him a get well card.

1805. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 23:32:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <iJadnc7VPLOWzAffRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108987

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>krackula wrote:
>>
>>>> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
>>>>price of a decent new rig.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
>>>or it's applications ....
>>>
>>>for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
>>>, full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
>>>side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
>>>r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
>>>and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
>>>is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
>>> weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band.
>>
>> What I would like to see is some data to back that up. If the tube
>>stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute.
>
>
> No problem: http://www.sherweng.com/presentation.html
>
> This guy obviously knows what he's talking about so shaddup and pay
> attenetion, you just might learn something Michael.

Thanks for the link, Brian. It is pretty interesting

>>> no comparison
>>> at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
>>>tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
>>>why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400
>>>invested in mine , so far) or collins !!
>
>
> Yeah . . I have a stock late S/N meatball S3B I just might juice up one
> of these days. I also have a JRC NRD-545 you'd probably enjoy. Quirky

> thing but once you get on top of it it really cooks.
>
>
>>> certainly the value is
>>>in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
>>>looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
>>>
>>>obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job
>>
>> Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state
>>technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits
>
>
> 100% dumpster ballast.

Negative. Their absolute performance is not an issue. I was never
exposed to tube technology, ever. These units are simple, and I will use
them to learn about the hollow state. As long as they work, I will be
well served by them.

>
>>and their power
>>supplies at Dayton, and will restore them. I came into Ham radio (radio
>>at all) too late for tube stuff, and frankly, the tube rigs seem very
>>cool to me.
>>
>>
>>
>>>.... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
>>>..... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
>>>strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
>>>for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both
>>>my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) .... I do
>>>use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.
>>
>> It would seem that you should sell that FT1000 and go strictly tubes if
>>all you do is use it for transmitting. 8^)
>
>
> Ouch: How do you spell clueless? I loves you dearly Michael, you're a
> very nice guy and yer heart is in the right place but you have this
> frustrating penchant for getting over yer head at warp speed when
> discussions turn to even moderately deep-end techie sorts of topics.
> You done it again.

I've made a career of it

> This guy is obviously into low-band weak signal dxing on 160/80/40 etc.
> Those of us take this game seriously understand what it takes in the
> way of rcvr performance.

He's talking about using his modded R4-C as an
> outboard RX because of it's legendary front end performance, it's
> blocking dynamic range and it's third order intercept points as they
> relate to successfully dealing with very difficult band conditions.
> Which leaves out your Heath treasures and all members of the FT-1000
> clan in this particular pursuit.
>
> "If the tube stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute" eh? Welp
> there ya go.

It was an interesting report to look at. I would have to say that there
is more to the game than the 2KHz dynamic range, as important as that
is, especially to CW users.

But I do appreciate the info!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1806. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 23:47:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117410425.035608.104280@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108988

From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black) on Sun 29 May 2005
16:48

>Joe Cameltoe (slit@thewhy.net) writes:
>> On Sat, 28 May 2005 19:42:14 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

>>> My experiences with programs like "bring your sons and daughters to
>>> work day" shows that almost none of the kids is even thinking of a
>>> technical field. A lot want to be lawyers.
>>>
>>> Once in the past, we were scared into thinking that maybe science and
>>> technology was maybe not such a bad thing. That happened when the
>>> commies launched Sputnik. Suddenly it seemed important that at least
>>> some of our kids decided to work in the sciences. Hopefully we will
>>> decide that again without having to be shocked into it.
>>>
>>> I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least
>>> common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and
>>> scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to
>>> come into our hobby.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> The answer is simple:
>>
>> They're lazy and have NO imaginations. They want the easy, don't
>> have to do/learn anything way. Look at all the retards on thier cellphones
>> EVERY FREAKING MINUTE of the day.
>
>The problem with that is that you've just alienated the very group
>that needs to be part of amateur radio (for the hobby, for them).
>YOu can't go into a room full of people you want to attract and
>call them names.
>
>I do argue that the failure of amateur radio to attract young
>people is because we a) aren't trying and b)don't know how.

Good, thoughtful commentary, Michael.

Part of the problem is the evident posturing of some on these
newsgroups, the self-importance that some generate about
their particular hobby interest. A few become "insulted"
when others talk about a "hobby," yet that is what it is and
that is what it has always been. A hobby, per se, is not
a derogatory label...except to the posturing self-important

individuals who fantasize that they more than they are.

Those who are IN a particular activity are often guilty of tunnel-vision that focusses too much on their own favored interest. Once locked into that "camera setting," they've lost their field of view on a wide panorama.

>The minute you characterize all the young as some monolithic group,
>you are saying you don't know the kids, and if you don't know
>them, then there's no way of bridging that gap. I'm not sure how
>we do it, but I do know that one has to get into their heads to
>reach them.

Heh heh, I've heard the particular lament of "we can't get these (darn) kids to LISTEN to us!" for lots of generations and have read of the same thing in books printed before I existed. :-) It's a VERY common parental angst.

One thing that teachers learn - if they are good at teaching - is to NEVER TALK DOWN to students...not even if one is boiling over inside because they "won't listen." :-) The problem is really in the teacher being unable to properly teach. That applies to ANY subject and ANYONE explaining something. The "teacher" has to address the subject, put the spotlight on it and avoid shining the spotlight on themselves. The subject will be new to the "students" but the "teacher" is familiar.

"Teachers" have to know much more about the subject than their "lesson plan." They have to organize their "teaching" into a comprehensible, learnable flow of ideas and concepts that "students" can mentally digest (difficult but obviously not impossible). Knowing more about the subject than the "plan outline," allows them to field interruptions of some "students" about bits and pieces of the subject that they might have already learned. Such "students" could be lightly disciplined "in class" with something like "that's true, but let's bring that up later after we look at the overall picture (of this subject)." There's lots of similar ways to keep control on "teaching" a subject to a group.

>Keep in mind that decades ago, amateur radio was hardly a mainstreem
>interest among the population. SOme would be interested, many would
>not.

That's true but way too many (in here at least) want to get "insulted" from an apparent "attack" on what they do! :-)

Having spent over a half century IN radio and electronics, having been to many places, being a member of the IEEE for 32 years, my observation (shared with others) is that the "technical people" got INTO technology because it was interesting to them personally...WITHOUT having to go through the licensed amateur radio route. Far more non-

amateurs in professional electronics than those who might have gotten a ham ticket during their teen-age years.

All of electronics can be a technological marvel which is found truly fascinating by thousands upon thousands. That extends much farther than just HF radio in hobbies. Robotics is one huge and growing hobby area right now. "Computing" already has dozens of major hobby groups within it, all made possible by desktop sized computers with enormous capabilities that didn't exist two decades ago.

Devotees of amateur HF "operating" tend to look down their noses at those "other" hobbies, posturing that "they don't have the smarts to do the 'great' things that 'we' did" yet few are able to comprehend that those "other" hobbies require as much or more intellect than theirs.

> There'd be people like you back then characterizing the young
>people, minus the cellphones, basically saying the same thing.

Heh heh heh. True enough. Way too many conveniently neglect the fact that they were young once and "guilty" of the very same faults of their generation.

The technical phenomenon of cellular telephony has put roughly 100 MILLION cell phones into the USA population. [statement of the U.S. Census Bureau over two years ago based on cell phone subscriptions here as one in three of the population] Cell phones (little two-way radios in themselves) are just extensions of a general need to communicate amongst one's peer group...little different from wired telephone use by teenagers a half century ago. Using cell phones as a "hobby" is wrong. Semi-private communications is a social group act, not a hobby.

Those who are too IN to their particular hobby (such as amateur radio) have lost sight of how widespread and pervasive the entire world of "radio" has become. They've lost sight of that other technical phenomenon, the Internet with its ability to reach most of the world without any ionospheric perterbations affecting HF bands. They've become ignorant to the fact that the rest of the "radio" world has gone beyond HF, that HF is NOT the Holy Grail of communications means nor are the very early skills of "radio operating" some kind of ultimate test of "skill."

>Ultimately, little has changed.

True enough. History - as far as some folks' attitudes - DOES repeat itself, again and again. :-)

The paradigms of yesterday just DON'T apply today. Those reasons for being have been crowded out with a cornucopia of NEW, challenging avocations affordable by most. The world and technology has CHANGED. Some people haven't.

The inability to change, to accept change, may be a human survival trait? The "familiar" represents "security." It is known. To be good at something is comforting, reassuring. To individuals. But, the overall "tribe" has accepted change, accepted it, and is enjoying it.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1807. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 23:50:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117410616.951685.236800@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108989

From: "bb" on Sun 29 May 2005 11:59

>Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
>> In article
>> <1117374894.984337.203780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
>> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> > I don't think...
>>
>> We already know that, Nursie.
>> You keep proving it over and over and over again, can't provide
>> documentation BOY.
>>
>> > There's two day's worth of you and Lennie glad-handing
>> > each other.
>>
>> Then there's years of you and Secret Nazi High-el giving each
>> other the reach around on here.
>>
>> > What number is your street?
>
>The number you posted, "Lessee....430." There was absolutely no
>"context" for that kind of post. Completely out of left field, with
>the intention of intimidation.

Intimidation is the Last Action Hero's best "weapon."

He's tried that on me, too. Didn't work. :-)

>Most streets have names,
>> although my
>> > street IS a number..."(12th Avenue)"
>
>I could care less what your street is.
>
>> Are you stalking him, Nursie?
>
>Steve posted my county in here, then my street number just before the
>Dayton Hamfest. I live in Ohio and am probably on a circuitous route
>that a crazy man would take to get to Dayton.

His raging, trembling fingers (needed two to send morse)
probably poked the wrong buttons on his Garmin.

>He works hard at intimidation, but he just doesn't have that big of a
>presence to deliver any "pucker factor" as he calls it. The only one

>he's fooling is himself.

It makes him feel BIG and STRONG in front of his alter
egos...the "leader" of "Steve and the Boys." :-)

Maybe that's what he thinks is the ethics of a modern U.S.
amateur extra licensee? Threats and intimidations?

>And as of yet, Steve has absolutely >>>NO<<< explanation on why he
>posted, "Lessee....430" out of left field. I wonder what kind of
>weasely words he'll come up with on how that got injected into a RRAP
>posting of his.

I got everyone topped. Some weird multiple-personality
type wanted to "stalk" me a few years ago, even left a
couple messages on my answering machine. No problem to me.
Before my wife and I left on a trip up north, I made a
copy of the pertinent messages from the newsgroup and gave
it to a Los Angeles Police Department detective, along
with a request to have the telephone security people
monitor my telephone line. The MPD didn't know that.
The LAPD have a "stalker" unit here and follow-up on such
things after a couple of stalking deaths in this city.

I don't know what the police and security people did,
but the "stalker" on here hasn't been visible since.

>> > A bit paranoid, Brain?
>>
>> A bit psycho, Nursie??????
>
>I'd give that a thumbs up.

Two thumbs. I'll bet Ebert and Roeper would add two more
thumbs to his science-fiction comedy capers. ["on a planet
faaaaaarrrr, faaaaaaarrr away..."]

>> > Been keeping a close eye on the mail box, UPS and Fedex guys to see
>> > if Mrs Brain might get a package YOU wouldn't want her to see...???
>>
>> Yep, looks like Nursie is stalking "Brian." Poor Nursie, he's
>> got that low self-esteem.
>
>Looks that way to me on both accounts.

Welp, with Stebie allatime mentioning other folks' wives,
that's kind of telling on HIS life with the second wife.
["Amelia" he's said]

>> > Keeping a watch out for any black helicopters or unmarked vans
>> > prowling the neighborhood?
>>
>> Oh, do tell us you were involved in that sort of activity while

>> in the service, Nursie.
>
>He was probably at Whacko under secret orders. Hi!

"Whacko MAS?" Maybe that's where he got his unauthorized
"stick time" in helos? Where is that? Area 51?

>>Just like you say you don't wear a
>> girdle to keep that gut in.
>
>You mean he's got a girdle on in that pudgy picture? Hi! Wonder it
>wasn't a straight jacket.

Tsk. Stebie isn't very "straight" with us.

>> > You need a break, Brain...Or quit chasing Lennie's tail in public
>> > like that...Maybe the methane has you delirious.
>
>Steven J. Robeson, K4YZ, you need to stop trying to intimidate and
>scare people who disagree with you. It makes you look like you've got
>a screw loose. Hmmmm?

Let's all take up a collection and buy Stebie a battery-
powered screwdriver. It will make his screw tight, the
best he's had in a long time...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1808. Re: Robeson Remains A Coward...And It Was So Easy To Avoid...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-29 23:54:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117410868.790928.34350@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108990

From: "bb" on Sun 29 May 2005 12:29

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:

>> Independently verifiable information...which you are too cowardly
>> to verify.
>
>All you need is authentic documentation. Got any?

It's a "no use" request, Brian. He doesn't have any.

>> > > What's missing is adequate testosterone levels in the Burke
>> > > residence to verify them.
>> >
>> > Verifying your preposterous claims is not my job.
>>
>> There's nothing preposterous about any of them.
>
>Given your demonstrated knowledge of military and MARS communications,
>I'd say your claims are preposterous. That's why you need to post
>authentic documentation. No more claims.

Sounds reasonable enough to me.

>Tell you what, I'll let you make a copy of your letter of appointment
>to "A" NCOIC of Okinawa/MARS, and you can black out your SSN on the
>copy with a sharpie. Then scan it in and replace that silly photo of
>yourself on QRZ with authentic documentation that has been sanitized of
>your SSN. You only need to leave it up for a couple of days until I
>have a chance to read it, then if it looks on the up and up, I'll grant
>you your claim.

Given the past fusillades of insults at you in this newsgroup
you are way too kind, Brian.

Since he doesn't have any such documentation, he can't post
it anywhere.

>But don't go expecting an apology or anything like that because you did
>it to yourself. Remember what you said, "No documentation means you
>didn't do it and you're lying about it." What was I to think without
>you providing documentation???

Stebie must think we should all bow down and honor his
magnificence? Believe everything he has written? :-)

>> > > This would be directly due to Brian P Burke being a coward.
>> >
>> > Got anything better than that?
>>
>> Nope. That pretty well summed it up. Direct. Concise.
>> Accurate.
>
>Yet you are the one not posting documentation. You are the one trying
>to intimidate. You are the coward, hiding behind a bully. You are
>both a coward and a bully, as bullies usually are.

True enough, demonstrated hundreds of times in here, all
documented on Google.

Stebie doesn't have the documentary evidence, thus he cannot
post any anywhere. He wants to gloss over that fact by going
into the usual Personal Insult statements, calling you names.

>> > > Not my problem.
>> >
>> > Lack of AUTHENTIC documentation is your problem. Put Up or Shut Up.
>>
>> Facts have already been disseminated. You refuse to verify them.
>>
>> This substantiates my claim that Brian P Burke is a coward.
>
>Hi! You must provide authentic documentation of your claims. Until
>then they are just more Robeson nonsense.

There's the possibility that Robeson's psycho-whatever is
such that he really believes he HAS the "documentation"
(of some sort) even though none of it is tangible, visible
to anyone else. That's getting into serious mental
instability.

More probably is that Robeson is just an ordinary bullshitting
bully trying to "make a name for himself" using non-specific
claims and waltzing around providing actual documentation by
misdirection into the Personal Insult statements.

>> > Remember your own words, "No documentation means it never happened."
>>
>> You've been given faaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaar more "documentation" than
>> you ever gave for any ONE thing ever asked of you.
>
>Documentation? All I've seen are claims.

The "documentation" is probably on a "planet faaaarrrr, faaaarrr
away" in a science-fiction movie.

>> Keeps you high on the "Bragged About It But Got Nothing To Show
>> For It" list.
>
>And now you're on the list. Got documentation?

It's all "in his wallet."

>> > For future reference, stop making claims that you can't prove.
>>
>> You and Lennie are the only one's who bring up my prior service
>> record...And I've answered why I won't got "there" any more.
>
>It's clear why you won't go there. Your service record must be
>shameful.

That's a possibility. Then again, it may be just lackluster,
without any of the heroic "action" he implies he was in.

>But then you trot yourself out in any kind of uniform that you can in
>order to look important.

That's rather telling, psychologically. Hospital greens,
Tennessee STATE guard fatigues, flight suit. Never showing
his teeth. [his teeth are "in his wallet?"]

>> However you HAVE been given dates, times, places, callsigns for
>> other radio related evolutions that I have been through.
>
>Evolutions? Hi! Congratulations. You've evovled into a ham, the
>pinnacle of Radiodom.

"Pinnacle?" In his case the word might be "barnacle." :-)

>> You won't follow up.
>
>The only follow-up I'll do is to read your authentic documentation.
>Got any?

Stebie will charge you with being a pickpocket...for trying
to look "in his wallet." :-)

>> > Makes you look even more stupid than you already are.
>>
>> What does? You asked for details. You got them.
>
>You're a liar. I don't trust your "details." I asked for
>documentation, then you claim to have posted "details" a year ago.
>Wunderful. I don't care about your claimed details. I asked for
>documentation. >Authentic< documentation.

Maybe his dog ate them? Arf! Arf! :-)

>>Then you change the parameters and demand "documentation".
>
>I asked you for documentation, just as you've asked me for
>documentation. I have not provided you with documentation, and so you
>have labeled me a liar, a coward, and a gay man. Your times up. Post
>it now.

He won't. At best he might trot out the old standby insult
he used on me, "dishonoring" my military unit.

Or maybe he will put in "treason" or "sedition?"

>> Sorry, Brain...You've already been drawn and quartered on this
>> one.
>
>No Steve, you've only proved that you can't stand up to the kind of
>scrutiny that you've placed upon others. You fail miserably when
>measured by your own words, your own rules, your own logic. Again.

Good old PCTA Extra Double Standard! Their best
rationale! :-)

"Drawn and quartered!" Stebie is getting better on his
grandiose phraseology...it's almost better than "radio
related evolutions!" Not quite, but up there. His
imagination is on overdrive...

>Now about posting my street number on RRAP. Why did you do that?

"Steve and the boys" are busy sewing together some
white sheets to wear when they erect a burning cross
on your front lawn...chanting "Freedom! ...for bullies
and braggarts!"

Or maybe they rented a DVD by Mel Gibson about the life of
William Wallace? Poor Stebie should have watched ALL of it.
Wallace was drawn and quartered, the five parts buried in
different places. [historical fact] Stebie's documentation
is buried in different places, too, all separated.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1809. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 00:16:11 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <_oKdnbRz47uJ5gffRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108997

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Sat, 28 May 2005 21:48:26 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>
>>Well there is where they got you toddieboy, you see whatever you have to
>>say is of no direct interest to radio amateurs (or to anyone for that
>>matter).
>
>
> And where it says that the station licensee is responsible for
> determining if the content complies with the rules, what it means is
> that if it doesn't comply, it's the station licensee who gets hit
> in the face, not that the station licensee has a free hand to do
> whatever s/he wants.
>
> Simple words for simple folks.....
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

However toddieboy thinks he can come up with any subject, add his on warped opinions, declare it of interest to hams and present it as a bulletin and he is within the rules. This 39 year old kid, still sponging off his parents, doesn't have a clue.

1810. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 00:20:07 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <_oKdnbdz47uC4QffRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 108998

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> In article <VrSdnZ8eb_1XEgvfRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>Siegfried Kemper wrote:
>>
>>>Poor "LIAR" Butt Cornholer, he spells like Mongolloyd Davies
>
> and
>
>>>has that obsolete license.
>>>He's too stupid and lazy to upgrade to Extra, he makes all
>
> kinds
>
>>>of excuses why he holds onto that obsolete ham license, and
>>>makes up lies that he has a pilot's license.
>>>BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Gee wogie,
>
>
> Gee Butt Cornholer, you finally got away from molesting your own
> little children to finally get on your shitty 486 to log on and
> whine about Roger Wiseman some more, you lisping cocksucker!
>
>
>
>
My own little children? You lose again as usual wogie, my 'children' are
grown, much wiser and more decent than you can ever hope to be. Who's
whining about you wogie? We don't whine about you, we just laugh about
you, two-test. Laugh about how Riley made you retest for you to keep
your ham license because he caught you red-handed violating the FCC
regulations. We laugh about how you brag about your welfare extra ticket
you got at that license give-away VE session and about how you brag
about that *Restricted* Radio Operator *PERMIT* that you didn't even
have to take a test for, just fill out the FCC form. Worked any DX with
that *PERMIT* lately wogie?
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

1811. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 00:24:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117412641.699161.222070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108992

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sat 28 May 2005 19:30
>
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>
>
> >> > > In only takes two fingers to send Morse Code on a keyer.
> >> >
> >> > It only takes one finger to send you a message.
> >>
> >> Seems that's the only method of "digital" communications you and
> >> Lennie seem to know...Why am I not surprised?
> >
> >A straight key requires only one finger. I thought a Mighty Macho
> >Morseman such as yourself would know that.
>
> The Last Action Hero may not have figured out WHICH finger
> to use. He is confronted with a choice of one out of ten.
> That may present a difficulty.

He could always "Dial" for advice from interesting people in Fairfield
County..

> Mighty Stebie doesn't NEED fingers.

Doesn't need a brain either. Just two synapses firing like the
simplest organism.

He only has to IMAGINE
> sending morse and, lo!, it happens...and he will spend a few
> years bragging about his marvelous ability...as an Extra
> amateur radio operator...who hasn't shown any knowledge of
> maintenance or theory of operation, not even a familiarity
> with simple Ohm's Law of Resistance...so then he can chide
> and bully all others who don't need morsemanship about
> "OPERATING (using morse code)."
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He is Extra. He has reached the pinnacle of Radiodom.

1812. Re: The End Game: Baxter Thread of 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 01:43:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <jdume.10420$M36.10351@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"n9ogl" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1117392883.131539.162410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> There is no such group, and I even did a search at
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ I think your full of it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Gullible too !!!!

Dan/W4NTI

1813. Re: "Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 01:48:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Khume.10429$M36.2231@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108994

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1117335339.737701.325930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>> I was ashamed to admit I was in the Air Force.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> That's too bad.
>

You had to be there bb.

Dan/W4NTI

1814. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 01:52:31 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <zlume.10431$M36.3769@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 108995

>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> ex-RA16408336, USA, 1952-1960, Signal Corps, Sgt (E-5)
>> HONORABLE Discharge
>

Gee wiz Lennie, you got out just before your bunch got TDY orders to Monkey Mountain. Too bad.

Dan/W4NTI

1815. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 01:57:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5JadnbCh3LuU7gffRVn-hw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 108996

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Many people lament that there is not enough interest
>>in Ham radio by young people.
>
>
> Agreed - but how much would be enough?

Dunno. I personally agree somewhat with Brian K's assertion that the absolute number of Hams could indeed drop without serious problems.

On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good mix of ages.

>>There are often many reasons given for this deficiency,
>>and somewhat less "fixes".
>>
>>One of the reasons that is given very often is that
>>Amateur radio is in some sort of competition with the
>>Internet.
>
>
> Every activity is in competition with every other.

Surely. Comparisons between the two show that Amateur radio is a tad bit more involved than buying a computer, doing a dialup and surfing the net. Will a person who's idea of a hobby is clicking a mouse button find Amateur radio a tad intimidating?

>>What is the competition between the two? In order to use
>>the internet,
>>one must of course have a computer. It must be connected to the
>>internet, through one of several methods. Once the person has
>>learned to
>>turn on the computer, open a few programs or so, they have
>>the necessary skills to work the internet.
>
>
> Yup. But there's more:
>
> A computer has many uses, from being a glorified typewriter to a
> serious research/calculation device to gaming to producing all sorts of
> multimedia stuff.

Don't forget surfing porn. A great way to build character! 8^)

> Most decent white-collar jobs today require computer skills. Many
> blue-collar jobs also require them.
>
>>Amateur radio on the other hand, requires that a radio be used,
>>which
>>requires some skill in operating. An antenna system needs to be
>>connected to this radio. Whereas it is possible to have
>>everything set
>>up for the Ham, most young people do not have the resources to
>>have
>>someone set up their system. Coupled with the possibility of
>>putting an
>>antenna in operation that only costs a few dollars, or even
>>less if the
>>youngster has good scrounging skills, the likelihood is that
>>they would
>>design and put up their own antenna, another skill needed.
>>
>>So there is a large difference in the skills needed for the
>>two hobbies.
>
>
> You're missing a couple of other points, Mike.
>
> Computers are all over the place, inexpensive, and often available as
> hand-me-downs. PCs only a few years old can be had for next-to-nothing.

Not missing a point. To me, computers are like underwear - pretty much
gotta have it.

> Some people live in places where putting up an antenna - *any* antenna
> - is banned by CC&Rs. A family isn't likely to move so that Junior can
> put up a G5RV.

Heh! i had a thought -maybe we could get some of the rebellious types
to go stealth! 8^)

>>Cell phones as competition? While there is a temptation
>>to snipe "Get Real!", I'll address those too.
>>
>>What would make a person decide to take up Cell phone
>>use as a hobby?
>>Cell phones allow you to talk to people that you know
>>(for the most
>>part) and operate in the same manner as a regular telephone,
>>save that
>>you take the cell with you, and you are generally tied in
>>the same
>>building with a standard telephone. It's hard to imagine
>>someone doing
>>that as a hobby, although there are a lot of people who
>>spend a lot of time using them.

>
>
> You missed the point, Mike.
>
> Before cell phones became inexpensive and ubiquitous, the
> average person didn't have many options for personal
> mobile/portable communications. There was ham radio and
> cb and not much else. Cell phones changed all that.

Actually, I think you missed my point! My point is that if a person is making a choice of hobbies to get into, the concept of choosing between Amateur radio and using a cell phone just isn't in the mix. I see trendy teens with cell phones glued to their heads every day. I can only assume that they spend hours each day on them. I can guarantee that that kid has never considered amateur radio as a hobby. I doubt they consider their cells as a hobby either. So it is pretty hard to think of that as competition.

I have two cell phones, a few computers, spend a lot of time on the internet in my job and off work, and I cannot explain the seeming exclusivity ascribed to the them as related to my Ham license.

>> So what makes a youngster decide to become a Ham?
>
> Same things that make anyone else.
>
>>We can try using the input of those who became Hams at a
>>young age.
>>Most of what I have heard is that the person was very
>>interested in the
>>technical aspects involved with getting on the air.
>>Making antennas,
>>building rigs, and getting them on the air was a big
>>part of the attraction.
>
>
> I was one of those people - licensed at age 13. With no
> real help from the parents, btw.
>
>>In the end, I believe that it is young people
>>that have a technical
>>interest that will likely become Hams.
>
>
> More complex than that.
>
> There are three basic areas of interest involved:
>
> 1) Technical (likes to fool around with radio stuff)
>
> 2) Operating (likes the actual operating experience)

>
> 3) Communicating (likes the message content more than
> the medium)
>
> Most hams' reasons for getting into the ARS are a mixture
> of the three.
>
> For example, I know some DXers whose main focus is #2. They
> love the thrill of the chase, hunting down the new ones, etc.
> Their stations are technical wonders - but the technical stuff
> is simply a means to an end, not the end in itself.
>
> Then there are the ragchew types who have real long-term friendships
> on-air. Their focus is mainly #3.
>
> Or the techno types who are always working on a project but rarely on
> the air. Once they get something working really well, the excitement is
> gone and they're off to something else.
>
>
>>And that, I believe, is the crux of the issue.
>>
>
> I think it's more complex.
>
>
>>America is not a place that encourages those who might be
>>thinking of a
>>technical career. We have a tendency to encourage a more
>>"pop culture"
>>outlook, which as often as not discounts actual learning
>>for "street
>>cred", and actually turns the smart person into an object of
>>ridicule.
>>There are levels, and there are levels. If a person is
>>intelligent, and
>>wants a good livelihood, you will find careers that are
>>acceptable. You
>>can be a movie star, or perhaps a lawyer. A whole spectrum
>>follows, but
>>engineering and the technical fields are not very high on that
>>list.
>
>
> Agreed.
>
>>How often is the Techie portrayed as a sort of Bill Nye,
>>the science guy type (at best).
>
>

> How many TV shows and movies ever depict engineering or
> technical folks at all, compared to other fields like health
> care or law enforcement?

And we've only had a gazillion shows about that kind of stuff.

One show made a start toward a good positive presentation of engineers
and techies. It was Star Trek. The original series had a very kind
treatment of Scottie, the engineer.

>
>>How about the smart woman who takes off her glasses
>>and suddenly becomes the hot babe?
>
>
> Bailey Quarters. Although she's hot with the glasses *on*, as well..

I'll bet you liked Marcia Strassman too!

>
>>Professor Frink on "The Simpsons"?
>>Pop culture is not kind to the technical types.
>
>
> Been that way for a long time, Mike.
>
>>My experiences with programs like "bring your sons and
>>daughters to
>>work day" shows that almost none of the kids is even thinking
>>of a
>>technical field. A lot want to be lawyers.
>
> Or business types, or a lot of other things.

Yup. I don't know if you heard about this, but there are some people
who want to bring proposition 19 into the whole of education.

I can see it now, young women being forced to become engineers.....

>>Once in the past, we were scared into thinking that maybe
>>science and
>>technology was maybe not such a bad thing. That happened when
>>the commies launched Sputnik.
>
>
> Yeah - who'd a thunk they could do something like that?
>
>>Suddenly it seemed important that at least
>>some of our kids decided to work in the sciences. Hopefully
>>we will
>>decide that again without having to be shocked into it.
>
>

> No, today is worse. The society seems unshockable. Look at where
> so much stuff today is made...

I share your concern. BTW, the place where so much of our "stuff" is
made is not our friend.

>>I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering
>>to the least
>>common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the
>>technically and
>>scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters
>>who want to come into our hobby.
>>
>
> The fact of the matter is that amateur radio has always been
> a rather specialized activity anyway.

No argument there.

> I graduated high school in 1972 - the golden age of space
> and technology, right?

Well, pretty close to the end of it....

> In my high school of 2500 boys there were at most six licensed
> hams. In the girls' school next door there were *none*. 5000
> middle class kids in suburban Philly, going to schools where the
> emphasis was on math and science, and there were but a handful of
> hams. And this was in an era before CC&Rs, cable TV, VCRs, cell
> phones, PCs, etc.

My basic thesis is that we as a society are moving toward the
celebration of the ordinary, the mundane. We have lost our edge. And
that can only last for so long.

If we can celebrate those who *DO* things instead of simply consume
things, we might reverse that trend.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> The most popular highschool technical activity back then was working on
> cars. A kid with a few tools and skills could get a few dollars
> together, buy an old heap and get on the road.

Been there, done that. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1816. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 02:48:16 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117421296.587793.279040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 108999

Mike Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:

. . . .

> >>> certainly the value is
> >>>in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
> >>>looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
> >>>
> >>>obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job
> >>
> >> Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state
> >>technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits
> > >
> > > 100% dumpster ballast.
> >
> Negative. Their absolute performance is not an issue. I was never
> exposed to tube technology, ever. These units are simple, and I will use
> them to learn about the hollow state. As long as they work, I will be
> well served by them.

OK, OK you're excused. This time.

> > >
> > > Ouch: How do you spell clueless? I loves you dearly Michael, you're a
> > > very nice guy and yer heart is in the right place but you have this
> > > frustrating penchant for getting over yer head at warp speed when
> > > discussions turn to even moderately deep-end techie sorts of topics.
> > > You done it again.
> >
> I've made a career of it

Yeah, I've NOTICED!

< GROAN! >

< bang head on desk >

< repeatedly >

> He's talking about using his modded R4-C as an
> > outboard RX because of it's legendary front end performance, it's
> > blocking dynamic range and it's third order intercept points as they
> > relate to successfully dealing with very difficult band conditions.
> > Which leaves out your Heath treasures and all members of the FT-1000
> > clan in this particular pursuit.

> >
> > "If the tube stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute" eh? Welp
> > there ya go.
>
> It was an interesting report to look at. I would have to say that there
> is more to the game than the 2KHz dynamic range,

I agree with that for the most part but I'd like to see your list.

> as important as that
> is, especially to CW users.

Great rcvrs is great rcvrs modes aside. Where did you get the notion
that the guy is excusively a CW op? For all we know he could be a
phone-only op.

> But I do appreciate the info!

Look at it as a mythology, hype and general BS buster. You can lay down
$2,300 for a new MP Mark 5 or pay maybe $500 for a clean used IC-746
and wind up with essentially the same signal handling capabilities and
still have most of the commonly-used bells & whistles.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

1817. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 03:13:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117422837.919520.207330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109050

>However toddieboy thinks he can come up with any subject, add his on
>warped opinions, declare it of interest to hams and present it as a
>bulletin and he is within the rules. This 39 year old kid, still
>sponging off his parents, doesn't have a clue.

As long as it amateur related it's legal, no where in the FCC rules
does it state that information bulletins can't be opinionated. It's you
and he other's on here that don't have a clue.

Todd N9OGL

1818. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 04:24:13 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <6a41d8cacc23d8c15746f54b8d86eb0b@userbeam.net>
Source record: 109051

In article
<1117392297.156448.180690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"N9OGL" <todd...@consolidated.net> yips like a rabid pomeranian:
>
> >Like getting yourself appointed as an "Official Bulletin
Station"
> >and doing this in a program that is recognized and respected.
>
> Why the hell would I do that? they are not the only ones who
can run
> bulletins, Information bulletins are not a monopoly and the
ARRL
> DOESN'T OWN THE MONOPOLY!!!

No, Toad, "Parker Bros." owns "Monopoly," you stupid gay thing.
But you do hold the monopoly on stupidity.

1819. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 06:20:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117434046.937104.273890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109052

From: "bb" on May 29, 8:24 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Sat 28 May 2005 19:30
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:

>> >> > > In only takes two fingers to send Morse Code on a keyer.
>
>> >> > It only takes one finger to send you a message.
>
>> >> Seems that's the only method of "digital" communications you and
>> >> Lennie seem to know...Why am I not surprised?
>
>> >A straight key requires only one finger. I thought a Mighty Macho
>> >Morseman such as yourself would know that.
>
>> The Last Action Hero may not have figured out WHICH finger
>> to use. He is confronted with a choice of one out of ten.
>> That may present a difficulty.
>
>He could always "Dial" for advice from interesting people in Fairfield
>County..

Yup. That takes just ONE finger. :-)

Tsk, then he gets all disturbed about getting another finger
in return... :-)

>> Mighty Stebie doesn't NEED fingers.
>
>Doesn't need a brain either. Just two synapses firing like the
>simplest organism.

I say FOUR synapses. Extra Class paramecium level. :-)

...better than lowly bacteria.

>> He only has to IMAGINE
>> sending morse and, lo!, it happens...and he will spend a few
>> years bragging about his marvelous ability...as an Extra
>> amateur radio operator...who hasn't shown any knowledge of
>> maintenance or theory of operation, not even a familiarity
>> with simple Ohm's Law of Resistance...so then he can chide
>> and bully all others who don't need morsemanship about
>> "OPERATING (using morse code)."

>
>He is Extra. He has reached the pinnacle of Radiodom.

Yup. The very TOP level of amateurism. As an OPERATOR
who once said he was "deserving" of that because of the
"way he could tune in a signal!" :-)

Doesn't know feces from shoe polish on what's behind the
front panels or how it works, but he can "operate" and tune
that dial!!! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1820. Re: Morse code contest on Jay Leno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 06:22:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117434144.344266.237640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109053

From: "bb" on May 29, 8:24 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Sat 28 May 2005 19:30
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:

>> >> > > In only takes two fingers to send Morse Code on a keyer.
>
>> >> > It only takes one finger to send you a message.
>
>> >> Seems that's the only method of "digital" communications you and
>> >> Lennie seem to know...Why am I not surprised?
>
>> >A straight key requires only one finger. I thought a Mighty Macho
>> >Morseman such as yourself would know that.
>
>> The Last Action Hero may not have figured out WHICH finger
>> to use. He is confronted with a choice of one out of ten.
>> That may present a difficulty.
>
>He could always "Dial" for advice from interesting people in Fairfield
>County..

Yup. That takes just ONE finger. :-)

Tsk, then he gets all disturbed about getting another finger
in return... :-)

>> Mighty Stebie doesn't NEED fingers.
>
>Doesn't need a brain either. Just two synapses firing like the
>simplest organism.

I say FOUR synapses. Extra Class paramecium level. :-)

...better than lowly bacteria.

>> He only has to IMAGINE
>> sending morse and, lo!, it happens...and he will spend a few
>> years bragging about his marvelous ability...as an Extra
>> amateur radio operator...who hasn't shown any knowledge of
>> maintenance or theory of operation, not even a familiarity
>> with simple Ohm's Law of Resistance...so then he can chide
>> and bully all others who don't need morsemanship about
>> "OPERATING (using morse code)."

>
>He is Extra. He has reached the pinnacle of Radiodom.

Yup. The very TOP level of amateurism. As an OPERATOR
who once said he was "deserving" of that because of the
"way he could tune in a signal!" :-)

Doesn't know feces from shoe polish on what's behind the
front panels or how it works, but he can "operate" and tune
that dial!!! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1821. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 06:24:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117434252.367508.206540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109054

From: Mike Coslo on May 29, 9:57 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>>Many people lament that there is not enough interest
>>>in Ham radio by young people.
>
>> Agreed - but how much would be enough?
>
> Dunno. I personally agree somewhat with Brian K's assertion that the
>absolute number of Hams could indeed drop without serious problems.
>
> On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good mix of ages.

Younger hobbyists have to be there to "take orders" from the
older ones? :-)

>>>There are often many reasons given for this deficiency,
>>>and somewhat less "fixes".
>
>>>One of the reasons that is given very often is that
>>>Amateur radio is in some sort of competition with the
>>>Internet.
>
>> Every activity is in competition with every other.

Among teen-agers? How can you possibly say that? :-)

> Surely. Comparisons between the two show that Amateur radio is a tad
>bit more involved than buying a computer, doing a dialup and surfing the
>net. Will a person who's idea of a hobby is clicking a mouse button find
>Amateur radio a tad intimidating?

Tsk, tsk. Ham speak with forked tongue on inpugning "no
intellect required." A sort of Deus Ex Mousina attitude.

Mike, you were never into computers and BBSs before the
Macintosh mouse debuted, were you? Lots and lots of ALL
ages were BBSing, having a great time without the GUI, well
before Windows, when not on-line they were doing programming,
writing games, "unprotecting" protected disks, experimenting
with the first modem advancements, etc. Budding authors were
practicing writing and established writers were generating
manuscripts with relative ease. Accounting people had
discovered the first spreadsheets and increased the efficiency

of their inventory, financial records, establishing both
schedules and prices of products they were making. ALL
BEFORE the advent of either the computer Mouse or GUI.

To get an HF transceiver in their vehicles, both young and
old could buy a set of transceiver, antenna, microphone for
under $200 from Sears, K-Mart, Wall-Mart, etc. in the
morning and have it installed and working in the afternoon.
Of course that was "CB" and therefore "lowly" and, to some,
"criminal." :-) [all before the GUI and mouse]

I have to admit that putting up one's ham station DOES
take some smarts. One copies an antenna design out of the
ARRL Antenna Handbook, getting somewhat close to the
dimensions. One can copy a whole transceiver design out
of the ARRL Handbook, then rescue themselves by scrapping
the unworking project with a ready-built transceiver bought
at HRO (reviews of performance presented by the "ARRL Lab"
and published in QST). They can even buy coax cable
assemblies when they are unable to put on PL-259s right,
even buy whole antenna kits. I'd say that was "plug and
play" on the same order as PCs, but before Microsith
came out with that marketing/sales phrase. :-)

>> A computer has many uses, from being a glorified typewriter to a
>> serious research/calculation device to gaming to producing all sorts of
>> multimedia stuff.
>
> Don't forget surfing porn. A great way to build character! 8^)

Tsk, Mike. You never saw "dirty pictures" elsewhere (not over a
computer) when you were "building (your own) character?" :-)

>> Most decent white-collar jobs today require computer skills. Many
>> blue-collar jobs also require them.

True enough. There aren't a lot of businesses or
corporations that need "ham radio skills" (even discounting
morse code). Back before the GUI and computer mousies,
push-to-talk two-way radios were common in business large
and small, with public safety organizations, in the military,
and in government. All easy to use...and NOT on amateur
bands nor needing morse code skills.

> Not missing a point. To me, computers are like underwear - pretty much
>gotta have it.

I've not seen any "HANES" computer bramds in stores...

>> Some people live in places where putting up an antenna - *any* antenna
>> - is banned by CC&Rs. A family isn't likely to move so that Junior can
>> put up a G5RV.

Unless either of you have some NEW info courtesy of the U.S. Census Bureau, you will find the MOST residences in the USA do NOT have such restrictions.

> Heh! i had a thought -maybe we could get some of the rebellious types
>to go stealth! 8^)

You haven't heard of MOBILE or even HAND-HELD transceivers?!?

> Actually, I think you missed my point! My point is that if a person is
>making a choice of hobbies to get into, the concept of choosing between
>Amateur radio and using a cell phone just isn't in the mix. I see trendy
>teens with cell phones glued to their heads every day. I can only assume
>that they spend hours each day on them. I can guarantee that that kid
>has never considered amateur radio as a hobby.

How do you present this "guarantee?" In writing? From "long experience" in observation? [remember there are a few of us who've been around longer and seen MORE teeners - even been one once - have MANY DIFFERENT observations of others over the past half century]

> I doubt they consider
>their cells as a hobby either. So it is pretty hard to think of that as
>competition.

Competition for teeners' TIME. They have the same 24 hours a day as adults and infants, the same need to sleep, eat, and do other things (such as attend school).

>> How many TV shows and movies ever depict engineering or
>> technical folks at all, compared to other fields like health
>> care or law enforcement?

TV shows and Movies are for ENTERTAINMENT of enough customers that will pay the Producers of same...and artists. Entertainment shows go for the Emotions of the audience.

> One show made a start toward a good positive presentation of engineers
>and techies. It was Star Trek. The original series had a very kind
>treatment of Scottie, the engineer.

...who ran the works of a SPACESHIP (circa 1967) as thunk up by MOVIE people, the Producers, the Writers, the scenery and prop designers. NOT "techie" insofar as our then-present society was. EMOTION stuff, NOT education.

>> I graduated high school in 1972 - the golden age of space
>> and technology, right?
>
> Well, pretty close to the end of it....

NOT EVEN CLOSE. Having been IN the "space business" since 1964 and working for the manufacturer of the Space Shuttle

Main Engine (as well as the Apollo first-stage engine), you
both missed the Space Shuttle program and well over a
hundred STS missions. You've MISSED the unmanned vehicle
missions and negated the tremendous data gathered by the
Mars rovers and the trips to the outer edges of our solar
system. You two have completely ignored when the "personal
computer" made its debuts beginning in the mid-1970s, and
suddenly skyrocketing after 1980. You've missed the first
computer networking of BBSs that began in the late 1970s
or have recognized the Internet phenomenon happening after
it went public in 1991. Perhaps you've both become too
blase' about computers and the Internet?

>My basic thesis is that we as a society are moving toward the
>celebration of the ordinary, the mundane. We have lost our edge. And
>that can only last for so long.

Tsk, your own middle-age angst is mumbling. :-)

I've heard the SAME sort of complaint by others about their
generations' folly for about six decades. :-)

> If we can celebrate those who *DO* things instead of simply consume
>things, we might reverse that trend.

That has been going on in nearly all technological endeavors
for as long as I can remember. The DO-ers are celebrated.
A survey of the IEEE Milestone history program in electronics
demonstrates that, a program that is shared with other
technological associations. The birth of the first "hard
drive" has been Milestoned recently...IBM's RAMAC and the
Model 350 disk storage system (1956) out of a small IBM
lab in Silicon Valley.

But, to be celebrated, you MUST do something that others
consider more remarkable than average. Your own personal
accomplishment is NOT enough. One isn't a DO-er just by
making something and showing it on the Internet to a wide
ranging viewing audience, then proclaiming its "greatness."
Neither is one a DO-er by explaining what they "will" do
and expecting plaudits BEFORE they've ever done anything.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1822. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 06:27:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117434424.082631.43570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109055

From: Dan/W4NTI on May 28, 9:29 pm

>I was assigned to a couple signal units in my Army days. Thats right
>Lennie, I have BOTH Air Force and Army time. And if it matters, I was in
>signal/commo operations/maintenance all the way.

Doing WHAT? Explain. All military units have personnel to
cook, maintain supply rooms, clerks to handle administrative
tasks, and so forth. Being "in" a Signal unit is NO guarantee
that you were a techie type. I've explained precisely what
I did a long time ago, have the pictures, have them posted,
have the data verified with others (including a civilian
engineer employed by both Army and Air Force).

>But you don't see me "living in my past" as you seem to do.

Poor guy. Knowing history isn't "living in the past."

Being able to trace the lineage of a particular military unit
is more like genaology. The U.S. Army's Center for Military
History has much on that. Considerable information on the
ten years of the Vietnam War.

Some people LIKE seeing the growth/change/reformation of
the U.S. military as well as the growth of radio-electronics
technology...especially those who were IN the electronics
industry and actually designing and building such electronics
as I was.

>Although I do admit to some problems with startle response and
>bad dreams from time to time. But I don't care to, nor NEED to
>elaborate on that either.

No one was asking you to elaborate on personal problems or
in adjustment to civilian life.

>I really do feel sorry for you Lennie.

No, you do NOT. You are ANGRY. You are lashing out at
others for some personal reason.

>Perhaps you should just take a break
>and get a grip on what's left of your life.

I have nice luggage, thanks to Samsonite. I don't have a
grip and I've never had the grippe. I am retired, have a
comfortable income, a wonderful wife (also retired), no debts,
and a lot of experience in radio-electronics accumulated over

more than a half century. I've NEVER claimed to be any sort
of "war hero" and the highest "medal" I've gotten is a Good
Conduct Ribbon. :-)

I came into this newsgroup years ago to argue against the
retention of the morse code test for any radio operator
license. Since then I've been subjected to all sorts of
personal insults, had to endure insults directed at my
family, my wife, my ancestry, my intelligence, my patriotism,
and many more things...all having NOTHING to do with any
argument for or against morse code testing. I mention my
own three years of HF communications operating to explain
that large-scale military communications means did NOT use
morse code from prior to 1953. [actually since 1948] That's
HISTORY in the larger world of radio communications,
documented elsewhere...although neglected by the "historians"
at the ARRL. It's not even classified any more, is all for
public dissemination. [very little of it was Classified in
any way]

>Veteran USAF, 1964 to 1968
>Veteran USArmy, 1971 to 1979
>
>(and to save you the trouble of asking why I didn't go for 20).....I am 100%
>service connected with the VA for injuries received in Vietnam).

I'll have to be blunt here:

1. I've never asked "why you didn't go for 20." I don't care
one way or the other.

2. I've been doing computer-modem communications for 20 years
and have seen both the truthful and outright liars, met
people of both kinds. It's extremely EASY to LIE on this
medium...and to find that LIARS can be hateful, vengeful
sorts when they cannot dupe anyone into believing them.

3. The Vietnam War ENDED in May, 1975. The only U.S.
military there are some investigators looking for
remains of U.S. personnel. [you claim Army service
to 1979...which was 26 years ago]

4. The Korean War has NOT ENDED since it began 55 years
ago. There are still U.S. forces stationed in South
Korea and DO still patrol the DMZ today. It's rare
now, but armed skirmishes have happened during the
52 years of the official "Truce" begun in July 1953.

5. You have NOT explained your "injuries" received in
Vietnam. Accidents happen to military personnel whether
or not they are involved in any "hostile actions."
That is NOT germane to any discussion of amateur radio
policy.

6. Are we to take "your word" verbatim? You do not take mine (indeed, no PCTA wants to take the word of an NCTA). Do you have documentary proof of your injuries or disabilities? All the veterans I've visited at the Van Nuys VA hospital have had one form or another of such documentation (some had NOT been in battle) and disablement was obvious to the naked eye. In THIS medium there is only words on a screen, not even a real proof that a particular screen name is what they say they are.

7. Are you looking for self-promotion or for self-recognition or to garner sympathy and understanding, perhaps respect and worship? the Internet newsgroups are NOT such venues. Hire a good PR person and a web page designer for that, use a private website.

8. Internet newsgroups are NOT good places to start a HATE group. At best you can ignite a Flame War, but that will eventually die out from ennui...or have the provider just close down the group. [that has happened in here] If you really want to HATE someone, then take out a private web page and vent your spleen there. That does not give you any protection since you will then be open to Civil Court action for Libel. You could lose every bit of money you have (except federal disability monies) on losing a Civil Court Libel suit.

9. I'm not a patsy for some sob story. I've seen too many con-jobs tried on myself and others...and that includes a few of the veterans at that Van Nuys VA hospital! Some don't know when to quit even when they are under daily observation. Neither am I bothered by raging hatred or "threats" against me levelled by some "outraged" person. Don't forget I've done computer-modem comms for a long time and seen wayyyy too many examples.

>There you are Lennie, putz, take a shot.

No problem. 9 batteries in a Time-On-Target. Hope you enjoyed the concussions. There are more batteries standing by for another "Fire Mission." Your choice.

We can FIGHT in words or actually discuss a SUBJECT. Again, your choice. I can meet either one.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1823. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 08:14:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117440888.790002.261870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109056

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dan/W4NTI on May 28, 9:29 pm
>
>
> >I was assigned to a couple signal units in my Army days. Thats right
> >Lennie, I have BOTH Air Force and Army time. And if it matters, I was in
> >signal/commo operations/maintenance all the way.
>
> Doing WHAT? Explain. All military units have personnel to
> cook, maintain supply rooms, clerks to handle administrative
> tasks, and so forth. Being "in" a Signal unit is NO guarantee
> that you were a techie type.

I guess you were too busy whipping up a snappy retort that you
missed the "operations/maintenance" line, Lennie.

> Poor guy. Knowing history isn't "living in the past."

Retelling it over and over and over and over and over is.....

> Being able to trace the lineage of a particular military unit
> is more like genaology.

But in your case, it's an opportunity to make claims to honors you
didn't earn.

Putz.

> >I really do feel sorry for you Lennie.
>
> No, you do NOT. You are ANGRY. You are lashing out at
> others for some personal reason.

He's not expressed ANY anger...

And we DO feel sorry for you, Lennie.

After all, here you are, nearing end of life, and doing nothing
but arguing, fighting and calling people names. Haven't accomplished a
single solitary notable thing.

> >Perhaps you should just take a break
> >and get a grip on what's left of your life.
>
> I have nice luggage, thanks to Samsonite. I don't have a
> grip and I've never had the grippe.

Finally...a ray of hope...Lennie admits he doesn't have a
grip...we've known it for years, of course.

> I've NEVER claimed to be any sort
> of "war hero" .....(SNIP)

No...you just try to make it APPEAR as though you served as a "war
hero"...By standing on the sacrifices that others made. No doubt that
"comfortable retirement" you make reference was made on the
accomplishments of others too.

> I came into this newsgroup years ago to argue against the
> retention of the morse code test for any radio operator
> license. Since then I've been subjected to all sorts of
> personal insults, had to endure insults directed at my
> family, my wife, my ancestry, my intelligence, my patriotism,
> and many more things...

Gee, Lennie...

If you don't like it, imagine what WE thought when you threw it our
way first.

> all having NOTHING to do with any argument for or against morse code > testing.

Guess YOU should have stayed on topic from the git-go, Lennie...

> I mention my
> own three years of HF communications operating to explain
> that large-scale military communications means did NOT use
> morse code from prior to 1953.

YOU did NOT have "three years of HF communications operating"...

YOU were a radio mechanic.

Yes, You occassionally keyed a transmitter in the course of doing
maintenance...That no more makes you an "operator" any more than my
doing maintenance on a CH53 made me a helo pilot.

> >Veteran USAF, 1964 to 1968
> >Veteran USArmy, 1971 to 1979
> >
> >(and to save you the trouble of asking why I didn't go for 20).....I am 100%
> >service connected with the VA for injuries received in Vietnam).
>
> I'll have to be blunt here:
>
> 1. I've never asked "why you didn't go for 20." I don't care
> one way or the other.
>
> 2. I've been doing computer-modem communications for 20 years
> and have seen both the truthful and outright liars, met
> people of both kinds. It's extremely EASY to LIE on this
> medium...and to find that LIARS can be hateful, vengeful

> sorts when they cannot dupe anyone into believing them.

Yes, and you do it very effectively.

> 7. Are you looking for self-promotion or for self-
> recognition or to garner sympathy and understanding,
> perhaps respect and worship? the Internet newsgroups are
> NOT such venues. Hire a good PR person and a web page
> designer for that, use a private website.

Gee, Lennie...

Why do you suggest he go elsewhere to do what you've been doing
all along right here?

Scared of some competition?

> 9. I'm not a patsy for some sob story. I've seen too many
> con-jobs tried on myself and others...and that includes a
> few of the veterans at that Van Nuys VA hospital! Some
> don't know when to quit even when they are under daily
> observation. Neither am I bothered by raging hatred or
> "threats" against me levelled by some "outraged" person.
> Don't forget I've done computer-modem comms for a long
> time and seen wayyyy too many examples.

Whoa! Lennie!

There you go dissing Veterans again....even disabled vets in a
hospital!

Does your scumminess know no bounds?

You are the progentiator of many-a-sob story, and would dare to
label us "pastsy" for your pleasures.

I am proud to say taht many of us don't take your silliness.

> >There you are Lennie, putz, take a shot.
>
> No problem. 9 batteries in a Time-On-Target. Hope you enjoyed
> the concussions. There are more batteries standing by for
> another "Fire Mission." Your choice.

And you'd love it.

You couldn't stand to be civil for more than one round. It's just
not in you.

> We can FIGHT in words or actually discuss a SUBJECT. Again,
> your choice. I can meet either one.

Let's discuss how to get you into a VE session and actually get
some PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE in Amateur Radio practice and policy. Then
you MIGHT start to have something to talk about.

Until then, please be so kind as to wipe off the window where
you've had your snout pushed up against it looking in....

Steve, K4YZ

1824. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 08:20:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117441221.153239.99070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109057

Stinque wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1117407882.203553.71930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> bb wrote:
>
> > > > You need a break, Brain...Or quit chasing Lennie's tail in
> > > public
> > > > like that...Maybe the methane has you delirious.
> > >
> > Steven J. Robeson, K4YZ, you need to stop trying to intimidate and
> > scare people who disagree with you. It makes you look like you've got
> > a screw loose. Hmmmm?
>
> The loose screw here, Brain, belongs to you and Lennie.
>
> The two of you load up this NG with tons of mistruthful and
> blatantly dishonest and deceitful rhetoric, and then when faced with
> even the IDEA that your behaviour might be exposed to those wo DO know
> you personally, you go into a tailspin.
>
> Your reactions here pretty much prove to me that your conduct here
> does not mirror how you are in "real life", and the idea that your
> conduct here might be exposed to family or friends scares the hell out
> of you.
>
> So...Why behave one way in here and an other for your family and
> friends?
>
> Aw, go ahead. Post his address again.
> Maybe someone will send him a get well card.

Naaaaaw....N0IMD will just threaten me with "the authorities"
again...And I didn't publish his address...Of course his Amatuer Radio
license is public information as is the address thereon...

Steve, K4YZ

1825. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 08:44:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117442644.500229.176170@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109058

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Mike Coslo on May 29, 9:57 pm

> NOT EVEN CLOSE. Having been IN the "space business" since
> 1964 and working for the manufacturer of the Space Shuttle
> Main Engine...(SNIP)

Even aerospace industries have to hire janitors, Lennie...I am
sure you were very enthusiastic in your duties.

Steve, K4YZ

1826. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 08:47:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117442835.030577.226760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109059

N9OGL wrote:
> >However toddieboy thinks he can come up with any subject, add his on
> >warped opinions, declare it of interest to hams and present it as a
> >bulletin and he is within the rules. This 39 year old kid, still
> >sponging off his parents, doesn't have a clue.
>
> As long as it amateur related it's legal, no where in the FCC rules
> does it state that information bulletins can't be opinionated. It's you
> and he other's on here that don't have a clue.

The "clueless one" is going to be the midwestern "bulletin station
broadcaster" with a bushel-ful of 10-penny nails in his coax, Todd....

Steve, K4YZ

1827. Robeson Exposes Anderson As A Coward...And It Was So Easy To Avoid...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 08:50:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117443010.161223.6310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109060

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sun 29 May 2005 12:29
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>
>
> >> Independently verifiable information...which you are too cowardly
> >> to verify.
> >
> >All you need is authentic documentation. Got any?
>
> It's a "no use" request, Brian. He doesn't have any.

Gee, Lennie....

Only one line into your diatribe and we find you factless again.

You're making this way too easy, but thanks...

Steve, K4YZ

1828. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 09:25:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117445130.562671.272210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109061

N9OGL wrote:
> >Like getting yourself appointed as an "Official Bulletin Station"
> >and doing this in a program that is recognized and respected.
>
> Why the hell would I do that? they are not the only ones who can run
> bulletins, Information bulletins are not a monopoly and the ARRL
> DOESN'T OWN THE MONOPOLY!!!

The point, Todd, is that they have an established and respected
program from which you could learn and expand.

But I let myself forget that common sense and patience is NOT your
forte...My bad...

Steve, K4YZ

1829. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 11:49:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117453765.136496.173430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109062

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:

> >>Many people lament that there is not enough interest
> >>in Ham radio by young people.

> > Agreed - but how much would be enough?

> Dunno. I personally agree somewhat with Brian K's
> assertion that the
> absolute number of Hams could indeed drop without
> serious problems.

It's happening already.

The important number is how many *active* hams there are.

> On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
> mix of ages.

Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?

How would it compare to the way things were 10, 20, 30 years ago?

Looking around at hamfests and club meetings isn't necessarily
a representative sample of the ham population.

> >>There are often many reasons given for this deficiency,
> >>and somewhat less "fixes".

One thing is for sure: Adding an age requirement, as proposed
to FCC by one frequent poster here, isn't a fix nor a good
idea.

> >>One of the reasons that is given very often is that
> >>Amateur radio is in some sort of competition with the
> >>Internet.

> > Every activity is in competition with every other.

> Surely. Comparisons between the two show that Amateur
> radio is a tad
> bit more involved than buying a computer, doing a dialup
> and surfing the
> net. Will a person who's idea of a hobby is clicking a mouse
> button find
> Amateur radio a tad intimidating?

More than a tad!

> >>What is the competition between the two? In order to use
> >>the internet,
> >>one must of course have a computer. It must be connected to
> >>the
> >>internet, through one of several methods. Once the person has
> >>learned to
> >>turn on the computer, open a few programs or so, they have
> >>the necessary skills to work the internet.

> > Yup. But there's more:
>
> > A computer has many uses, from being a glorified typewriter
> > to a
> > serious research/calculation device to gaming to
> > producing all sorts of multimedia stuff.
>
> Don't forget surfing porn. A great way to build character! 8^)

See "all sorts of multimedia stuff".

> > Most decent white-collar jobs today require computer
> > skills. Many blue-collar jobs also require them.

> >>Amateur radio on the other hand, requires that a
> >>radio be used, which
> >>requires some skill in operating. An antenna system
> >>needs to be
> >>connected to this radio. Whereas it is possible to have
> >>everything set
> >>up for the Ham, most young people do not have the resources to
> >>have
> >>someone set up their system. Coupled with the possibility of
> >>putting an
> >>antenna in operation that only costs a few dollars, or even
> >>less if the
> >>youngster has good scrounging skills, the likelihood is that
> >>they would
> >>design and put up their own antenna, another skill needed.
> >>
> >>So there is a large difference in the skills needed for the
> >>two hobbies.
> >
> >
> > You're missing a couple of other points, Mike.
> >
> > Computers are all over the place, inexpensive, and
> > often available as
> > hand-me-downs. PCs only a few years old can be had
> > for next-to-nothing.
>
> Not missing a point. To me, computers are like underwear -

> pretty much gotta have it.

And like underwear, there are some things better done without
computers...
>
> > Some people live in places where putting up an
> > antenna - *any* antenna
> > - is banned by CC&Rs. A family isn't likely to
> > move so that Junior can put up a G5RV.
>
> Heh! i had a thought -maybe we could get some of the
> rebellious types to go stealth! 8^)

A few might.
>
> >>Cell phones as competition? While there is a temptation
> >>to snipe "Get Real!", I'll address those too.

> >>What would make a person decide to take up Cell phone
> >>use as a hobby?
> >>Cell phones allow you to talk to people that you know
> >>(for the most
> >>part) and operate in the same manner as a regular telephone,
> >>save that
> >>you take the cell with you, and you are generally tied in
> >>the same
> >>building with a standard telephone. It's hard to imagine
> >>someone doing
> >>that as a hobby, although there are a lot of people who
> >>spend a lot of time using them.
>
> > You missed the point, Mike.

> > Before cell phones became inexpensive and ubiquitous, the
> > average person didn't have many options for personal
> > mobile/portable communications. There was ham radio and
> > cb and not much else. Cell phones changed all that.
>
> Actually, I think you missed my point! My point is that
> if a person is
> making a choice of hobbies to get into, the concept of
> choosing between
> Amateur radio and using a cell phone just isn't in the mix.
> I see trendy
> teens with cell phones glued to their heads every day.
> I can only assume
> that they spend hours each day on them.

Maybe - but kids spending lots of time on the 'phone isn't
a new thing at all. Goes back to the '50s at least.

> I can guarantee that that kid
> has never considered amateur radio as a hobby.
> I doubt they consider
> their cells as a hobby either.

Means to an end, not an end in itself.

> So it is pretty hard to think of that as competition.

Here's how:

Back before cell phones, one "selling point" for ham radio
was something like "if you and your friends got ham licenses,
you could talk all you want without tying up the 'phone."
Another was that repeaters extended the range of an HT, mobile
or compromise home station enormously.

Of course that's a "means to an end" application - the goal is
talking to the friends, the radio part is simply how it's done.

20 years ago such a "sales pitch" made sense. Today, in most
places, the response would be to simply get a cell phone.
>
> I have two cell phones, a few computers, spend a lot of
> time on the
> internet in my job and off work, and I cannot explain
> the seeming
> exclusivity ascribed to the them as related to my Ham license.

Time spent on them is not time on the air.

> >>So what makes a youngster decide to become a Ham?
> >
> > Same things that make anyone else.
> >
> >>We can try using the input of those who became Hams at a
> >>young age.
> >>Most of what I have heard is that the person was very
> >>interested in the
> >>technical aspects involved with getting on the air.
> >>Making antennas,
> >>building rigs, and getting them on the air was a big
> >>part of the attraction.
> >
> > I was one of those people - licensed at age 13. With no
> > real help from the parents, btw.
> >
> >>In the end, I believe that it is young people
> >>that have a technical
> >>interest that will likely become Hams.
>
> > More complex than that.
> >
> > There are three basic areas of interest involved:

> >
> > 1) Technical (likes to fool around with radio stuff)
> >
> > 2) Operating (likes the actual operating experience)
> >
> > 3) Communicating (likes the message content more than
> > the medium)
> >
> > Most hams' reasons for getting into the ARS are a mixture
> > of the three.
> >
> > For example, I know some DXers whose main focus is #2. They
> > love the thrill of the chase, hunting down the new ones, etc.
> > Their stations are technical wonders - but the technical stuff
> > is simply a means to an end, not the end in itself.
> >
> > Then there are the ragchew types who have real
> > long-term friendships
> > on-air. Their focus is mainly #3.
> >
> > Or the techno types who are always working on a project
> > but rarely on
> > the air. Once they get something working really well,
> > the excitement is
> > gone and they're off to something else.

> >>And that, I believe, is the crux of the issue.

> > I think it's more complex.

> >>America is not a place that encourages those who might be
> >>thinking of a
> >>technical career. We have a tendency to encourage a more
> >>"pop culture"
> >>outlook, which as often as not discounts actual learning
> >>for "street
> >>cred", and actually turns the smart person into an object of
> >>ridicule.
> >>There are levels, and there are levels. If a person is
> >>intelligent, and
> >>wants a good livelihood, you will find careers that are
> >>acceptable. You
> >>can be a movie star, or perhaps a lawyer. A whole spectrum
> >>follows, but
> >>engineering and the technical fields are not very high on that
> >>list.

> > Agreed.

> >>How often is the Techie portrayed as a sort of Bill Nye,
> >>the science guy type (at best).

> > How many TV shows and movies ever depict engineering or
> > technical folks at all, compared to other fields like health
> > care or law enforcement?
>
> And we've only had a gazillion shows about that kind of stuff.

Bingo.
>
> One show made a start toward a good positive presentation
> of engineers
> and techies. It was Star Trek. The original series had a
> very kind treatment of Scottie, the engineer.

The portrayal of the engineer as a Scot is a classic stereotype. Goes
back to "MacAndrew's Hymn" at least.

> >>How about the smart woman who takes off her glasses
> >>and suddenly becomes the hot babe?

> > Bailey Quarters. Although she's hot with the
> > glasses *on*, as well..

> I'll bet you liked Marcia Strassman too!

Of course - Mrs. Kotter...

> >>Professor Frink on "The Simpsons"?
> >>Pop culture is not kind to the technical types.

> > Been that way for a long time, Mike.

> >>My experiences with programs like "bring your sons and
> >>daughters to
> >>work day" shows that almost none of the kids is even thinking
> >>of a
> >>technical field. A lot want to be lawyers.
> >
> > Or business types, or a lot of other things.
>
> Yup. I don't know if you heard about this, but there are
> some people
> who want to bring proposition 19 into the whole of education.
>
> I can see it now, young women being forced to become
> engineers.....

There's a big difference between equality of opportunity and
equality of result.

Look what happened to the guy at Harvard...

> >>Once in the past, we were scared into thinking that maybe
> >>science and
> >>technology was maybe not such a bad thing. That happened when
> >>the commies launched Sputnik.

> > Yeah - who'd a thunk they could do something like that?

> >>Suddenly it seemed important that at least
> >>some of our kids decided to work in the sciences. Hopefully
> >>we will
> >>decide that again without having to be shocked into it.

> > No, today is worse. The society seems unshockable.
> > Look at where
> > so much stuff today is made...

> I share your concern. BTW, the place where so much of
> our "stuff" is made is not our friend.

Sure they are - as long as we play by *their* rules.

Part of the problem goes back decades, to when the USA decided
that certain sectors of manufacturing could be dominated by
imports.
>
> >>I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering
> >>to the least
> >>common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the
> >>technically and
> >>scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters
> >>who want to come into our hobby.

> > The fact of the matter is that amateur radio has always been
> > a rather specialized activity anyway.
>
> No argument there.
>
> > I graduated high school in 1972 - the golden age of space
> > and technology, right?
>
> Well, pretty close to the end of it....
>
> > In my high school of 2500 boys there were at most six licensed
> > hams. In the girls' school next door there were *none*. 5000
> > middle class kids in suburban Philly, going to schools where the
> > emphasis was on math and science, and there were but a handful of
> > hams. And this was in an era before CC&Rs, cable TV, VCRs, cell
> > phones, PCs, etc.
>
> My basic thesis is that we as a society are moving toward the
> celebration of the ordinary, the mundane. We have lost our
> edge. And that can only last for so long.

I think it's the opposite - we don't celebrate the "ordinary"
enough!

Suppose - just suppose - that instead of going to the moon on
a "before this decade is out" timeline, the USA had devoted
some of those resources to developing energy

independence. Energy crisis? what energy crisis?
>
> If we can celebrate those who *DO* things instead of simply
> consume things, we might reverse that trend.

You mean "produce things". The people who are celebrities today
are all doers - movie stars, sports figures, etc.

> > The most popular highschool technical activity back
> > then was working on
> > cars. A kid with a few tools and skills could get
> > a few dollars
> > together, buy an old heap and get on the road.
>
> Been there, done that. 8^)
>
How many highschoolers do that today?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1830. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 11:50:56 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <MK2dnXBLZ96_YwffRVn-vA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109063

N9OGL wrote:
>>However toddieboy thinks he can come up with any subject, add his on
>>warped opinions, declare it of interest to hams and present it as a
>>bulletin and he is within the rules. This 39 year old kid, still
>>sponging off his parents, doesn't have a clue.
>
>
> As long as it amateur related it's legal, no where in the FCC rules
> does it state that information bulletins can't be opinionated. It's you
> and he other's on here that don't have a clue.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
If nobody here has a clue and they all hate you and you are so much
superior then everybody here (I know how you like run on sentences), Why
the heck do you continue to show your gay face here? Stay on your blog
and life will be grand. To quote your own words "EAT CRAP, BARK AT THE
MOON, THEN DIE IDIOT.

1831. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 12:17:18 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117455438.116580.64850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109064

Somewhere there's a psychiatrist who could make a living off Toddie
alone.

1832. Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 13:31:00 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <oAEme.193$RV5.76@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 109065

I thought of today and what it means and came across this article.

Here's a bit from the Seattle Times of May 30, 2005, from Nancy Bartley, staff reporter:

Florence Abrahamson was only 15 when she went to war for the first time.

She was a married mother of three, with a son in the Navy, when duty called again more than 20 years later.

Now 102, Abrahamson is being honored by legislators, officials in her hometown of Aberdeen, and by Seattle's Museum of Flight as one of a number of "Rosie the Riveters" who worked on Boeing and de Havilland airplane assembly lines during wartime.

Abrahamson, however, is among the rarest of them all: She is the Northwest's last surviving "Rosie" from two world wars - and perhaps the only one anywhere, Museum of Flight officials believe.

An upcoming trip to Seattle for the recognition ceremony and a tour of Boeing, all part of the museum's week of Memorial Day events, have significance for Abrahamson: For the first time, she will actually see a finished version of the B-17 bomber she worked on during World War II.

For the Aberdeen woman, whose blue eyes loom large behind her spectacles, it's all much ado about what, to her, was "just duty" and "what anyone would have done."

"Here is a gal who worked in two world wars," said Polson Museum Director John Larson. The rarity of that "just blew us away."

The museum selected Abrahamson as this year's "Pioneer of the Year" for her contributions to the community and for her long history in the Grays Harbor area. Abrahamson's work life began shortly after her father died, which left her mother a widow with five children to support. Abrahamson and her brother were the two eldest.

In 1917, at the beginning of America's entrance into "The Great War," the Grays Harbor Commercial Co. in Cosmopolis, one of the first sawmills in the harbor and located where the Weyerhaeuser pulp mill is now, needed help manufacturing de Havilland warplanes. While Abrahamson's brother was readily accepted, the company was torn over whether to hire women.

When Abrahamson was hired in 1918, she dressed in overalls - daring attire at a time when proper ladies wore long skirts - and walked to work at the factory every morning from the family home less than a mile away.

She spent her days making spruce lath for the de Havilland DH-4 biplane, the only U.S.-built warplane to see World War I combat. Her life was regulated by the steam plant's whistle, which signaled the start of work, lunch and end of the day.

World War I was different from the war that followed, she said.

Although Grays Harbor citizens - including her husband-to-be, Hugo Abrahamson - served in the military, the fighting in Europe seemed more remote than that of World War II.

After the 1941 attack on Pearl Harbor, Aberdeen citizens shaded their windows at night. The Boeing Aberdeen factory was camouflaged with trees on the roof. Japanese submarines lurked off the coast. And American warplanes patrolled the harbor.

By then, Florence and Hugo were married and living in house they built in 1925. He was working at a mill, and she was employed at a small grocery when the new war effort called.

She tied a red bandana over her hair, donned a pair of slacks and became a riveter, fastening the aluminum skin onto B-17s. But as she placed rivet after rivet, she always wondered what the final plane looked like, with all those carefully laid rivets stitching the aluminum together as precisely as if she had been cross-stitching a sampler.

She was so fast that co-workers asked her if she was "trying to win the war all by yourself." And she proved herself so capable - despite being left-handed in an occupation set up to accommodate only the right-handed - that she became an inspector, checking the work of others. Later, she would help make components for more than 5,000 B-29s.

Now, Abrahamson's day of discovery is closing in.

"The important title being bestowed on you must fill your heart with fond memories and a warm sense of pride for your enormous wartime contributions," state Rep. Gigi Talcott, R-Tacoma, wrote to her. Her efforts are "appreciated by every American who has experienced liberty and freedom."

Thursday, Abrahamson will join a number of other Rosie the Riveters as guests of the Museum of Flight. Sporting her Boeing security badge from World War II, she will be accompanied by four of her six grandchildren for a tour of Boeing and the museum's B-17G "Fuddy Duddy."

Abrahamson's husband died in 1974 and the last of her three children in 2004, but she is adored by her surviving grandchildren and six great-grandchildren. All have heard her colorful stories: Her assembly-line days; the ordeal of taking a driver's test for the first time at 57, after her son taught her to drive in a Pontiac so large she nicknamed it "the beast." After failing once, she passed the test on her second try but slammed the cranky license examiner against the dash when she braked too hard.

"I wake up at night thinking about it even after all these years," she said.

And no one will forget her arrest - sometime past the age of 60 - for a fishing violation.

"I was caught fishing in a fish hatchery," she admitted sheepishly. Fishermen friends kept advising her to go farther up the Satsop River. "How was I to know it was part of the hatchery?"

Afterward, when she showed up at church, the congregation "sang the prisoner's song" when she walked in, she recalled. And there was a fund drive at some stores to help her pay her fine. The judge ultimately dismissed the charge as long as she promised to "fish somewhere else."

She figured that was good advice and went to Westport, Grays Harbor County, where she then won a 1964 fishing derby with a 48-pound salmon.

She smiles in satisfaction at the thought. Just like she does when she thinks of those days during the war when she could fasten a rivet quick as anything.

Nancy Bartley: 206-464-8522 or [email address had been here]

in a letter to Florence Abrahamson

Copyright © 2005 The Seattle Times Company

--
'X-No-Archive: yes'

That's the story

1833. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 13:48:14 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <f5mdnajup_vqhAbfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 109066

> You need to gain another 7 years in the saddle before you'll be 65
> which is THE major milestone. After you hit that one you have not only
> a free pass to having both feet in your mouth but it's also *expected*.
> Geezerhood is a great, you'll enjoy it no end.
>
> > Takle care all 73 Tom KI3R Belle Vernon Pa.
>
> w3rv

God willing Kelly ..God willing ....

73 Tom KI3R

1834. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 13:56:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hYEme.3671$MI4.2497@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109067

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:oAEme.193$RV5.76@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...

>I thought of today and what it means and came across this article.

I'm sure the fine lady was an excellend riveter, but the copyrighted article
(you had permission to reproduce it?) has >>NOTHING<< to do with Memorial Day,
when we honor those who made the ultimate sacrifice.

1835. Robeson Is A Coward...And It Was So Easy To Avoid...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 13:59:35 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117461575.751543.272680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109069

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "bb" on Sun 29 May 2005 12:29
> >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> bb wrote:
> > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > >> Independently verifiable information...which you are too cowardly
> > > >> to verify.
> > > >
> > > >All you need is authentic documentation. Got any?
> > >
> > > It's a "no use" request, Brian. He doesn't have any.
> >
> > Gee, Lennie....
> >
> > Only one line into your diatribe and we find you factless again.
> >
> > You're making this way too easy, but thanks...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

Factless??? Hi, hi!

Prove Len and me wrong for once. Post your authentic documentation.
Thanks.

1836. Memorial Day 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:00:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <h0Fme.2452$s64.3@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.military.naval

I am the American Sailor

Author unknown

Hear my voice, America! Though I speak through the mist of 200 years, my shout for freedom will echo through liberty's halls for many centuries to come. Hear me speak, for my words are of truth and justice, and the rights of man. For those ideals I have spilled my blood upon the world's troubled waters. Listen well, for my time is eternal - yours is but a moment.

I am the spirit of heroes past and future. I am the American Sailor. I was born upon the icy shores at Plymouth, rocked upon the waves of the Atlantic, and nursed in the wilderness of Virginia. I cut my teeth on New England codfish, and I was clothed in southern cotton. I built muscle at the halyards of New Bedford whalers, and I gained my sea legs high atop mizzen of Yankee clipper ships.

Yes, I am the American Sailor, one of the greatest seamen the world has ever known. The sea is my home and my words are tempered by the sound of paddle wheels on the Mississippi and the song of whales off Greenland's barren shore. My eyes have grown dim from the glare of sunshine on blue water, and my heart is full of star-strewn nights under the Southern Cross.
My hands are raw from winter storms while sailing down round the Horn, and they are blistered from the heat of cannon broadside while defending our nation. I am the American Sailor, and I have seen the sunset of a thousand distant, lonely lands. I am the American Sailor. It was I who stood tall beside John Paul Jones as he shouted, "I have not yet begun to fight!" I fought upon the Lake Erie with Perry, and I rode with Stephen Decatur into Tripoli harbor to burn Philadelphia.

I met Guerriere aboard Constitution, and I was lashed to the mast with Admiral Farragut at Mobile Bay. I have heard the clang of Confederate shot against the sides of Monitor. I have suffered the cold with Peary at the North Pole, and I responded when Dewey said, "You may fire when ready Gridley," at Manila Bay. It was I who transported supplies through submarine infested waters when our soldier's were called "over there." I was there as Admiral Byrd crossed the South Pole. It was I who went down with the Arizona at Pearl Harbor, who supported our troops at Inchon, and patrolled dark deadly waters of the Mekong Delta.

I am the American Sailor and I wear many faces. I am a pilot soaring across God's blue canopy and I am a Seabee atop a dusty bulldozer in the South Pacific. I am a corpsman nursing the wounded in the jungle, and I am a torpedoman in the Nautilus deep beneath the North Pole. I am hard and I am strong.

But it was my eyes that filled with tears when my brother went down with the Thresher, and it was my heart that rejoiced when Commander Shepherd rocketed

into orbit above the earth. It was I who languished in a Viet Cong prison camp, and it was I who walked upon the moon. It was I who saved the Stark and the Samuel B. Roberts in the mine infested waters of the Persian Gulf. It was I who pulled my brothers from the smoke filled compartments of the Bonefish and wept when my shipmates died on the Iowa and White Plains and Cole. When called again, I was there, on the tip of the spear for Operations Desert Shield and Desert Storm.

I am the American Sailor. I am woman, I am man, I am white and black, yellow, red and brown. I am Jew, Muslim, Christian and Buddhist. I am Irish, Filipino, African, French, Chinese, and Indian. And my standard is the outstretched hand of Liberty. Today, I serve around the world, on land, in air, on and under the sea. I serve proudly, at peace once again, but with the fervent prayer that I need not be called again.

Tell your children of me. Tell them of my sacrifice, and how my spirit soars above their country. I have spread the mantle of my nation over the ocean and I will guard her forever. I am her heritage and yours.

I am the American Sailor

1837. More Lennie Tale Telling and Other NG Buffoonery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:06:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117461974.251012.35760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109070

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sun 29 May 2005 06:20
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: "Arf! Arf!" on Sat 28 May 2005 05:23
> >> >
> >> > >Len, lest you nit pick about the location of Fort Campbell, let me explain.
> >> > >Yes, I was for sure at Ft. Campbell in Kentucky. The base saddles the state
> >> > >line between Kentucky and Tennessee.
> >> >
> >> > Does anyone care where an anony-mouse "saddles?"
> >>
> >> Ft Campbell is anonymous?
> >>
> >> A stealth Army base?
> >
> >What's the problem with the location of Ft. Campbell?
>
> Irrelevant. I've never even commented anywhere on the location
> of Fort Campbell.

Sure you did. Read above. Nice try to dodge it, though.

> But, the Avenging Angle of Dearth, ever vigilant to defend the
> United Stevies of America (against all oppressors) simply took
> something out of context in order to Personally Attack me once
> again.

It's just a bit of "you" turned back on you, Lennie...

You were wrong. You were insulting. You were...well, YOU!

> >> Arf, let me tell you about Lennie and at least ONE of his places of
> >> "employment"...It wasn't so rosey as he makes out....
> >
> >Interesting how you suck up to an anonymous when he/she might agree
> >with you.
>
> The Avenging Angle of Dearth is going to redraw his hyperbole of
> the (unamed) "PhD" at (the former) NADC in PA who supposedly
> "critiqued" my "job performance" while "working for the Navy"
> in 1971. A very big pile of Stebie BULLSHIT, not even
> good for fertilizing anything but Stebie's anger and hatred.

No BS.

No anger (on my part, anyway).

And no hatred...again on "my part"...

Sorry it get's your tail in a twist, Lennie, but it WAS the direct
result of information YOU provided.

Shudda kept your mouth shut instead of trying to show off.

> >> The "middle finger salute" again, eh Lennie...Whew...
> >
> >I can't think of a more deserving tribute to you.
> >
> >> Arf, Lennie served. We give him that.
> >
> >As if it were yours to grant.
>
> AS IF this anony-mouse ("Arf! Arf!") ACTUALLY SERVED.
>
> We readers don't have any PROOF of the anony-mousie's
> IDENTITY, let alone if this babbler actually served.

Uhhhhhh...One of us readers DOES know who "Arf Arf" is, since he's
corresponded with me directly...Ergo THIS reader DOES know he's served,
and Proudly so.

> >> The problem stems from his efforts to validate his "Newsgroup
> >> Combat Award"...He's made several (one within the last few days...)
> >
> >several what?
>
> Stebie cannot comprehend what was written. Nineteen members of
> the 71st Signal Service Battalion, 8235th AU, United States Army,
> were killed in a transport plane crash in SOUTH Korea on 1 Jul 50.

I comprehend that just fine, Lennie.

It has NOTHING to do with Lennie Anderson, ADA, Amateur Radio, or
much of anything else except that it was a tragic loss of American
lives.

You were still in the States. You were a civilian.

> I was assigned to the 71st Signal Service Battalion, 8235th AU,
> in February, 1953. Stebie, once again in his angry hatred,
> wants to twist that into "cowardice" and "disgrace" and
> "dishonor" on my part because I display a sense of pride in
> what MY unit did...and continued to do long afterwards.

What you have DONE, you miserable lying coward, is try to use
those sacrifices on several different occassions, this past week being
example, for your own personal glorification...NOT to "honor" those who
died in service to their Nation.

> Three members of the 8235th AU (then renamed Far East Command Signal
> Service Battalion) would die in three separate actions while
> on TDY (Temporary Duty assignment) in Korea...AFTER the "Truce"
> had begun in July, 1953. That "Truce" continues to this day,
> the Korean War is NOT OVER. From time to time, armed skirmishes
> happen along the Demilitarized Zone, combat on a small scale.

But YOU were not even in-theater, Lennie. Not a single bit of
that event is pertinent to ANYthing you ever did in the Army...Not a
thing...

You've not been TO Korea, then or since. Civilian OR Army.

> Stebie's "validation" of HIS "combat" is a very UN-detailed,
> Ambiguous mention of "participation in seven hostile actions."
> The WHEN and WHERE of those "seven hostile actions" has NOT
> been stated. Stebie REFUSES to state the WHEN and WHERE.

And it will stay that way. Those who have asked me privately have
been told...I volunteered the information to two others and they have
had it within their discretion to follow-up on it as they saw fit.

You, on the other hand, will not be told by me. You've proven and
re-proven your complete lack of honesty, character and dependability.
You try to make a mockery of anything and everything anyone and
everyone has ever mentioned in this forum...Except for brain, of
course, but he's just as disgustingly dishonest as you are.

> One can only assume that Stebie is just a damn LIAR, bluffing
> in an effort to be more "manly, macho" in his mumblings about
> his own "combat experiences."

Nope.

> >> Of course they died three years before he was even inducted into
> >> the Army...Pretty disgusting behaviour for a guy who claims to "honor"
> >> war dead.
> >
> >Nobody in the U.S. Marine Corps died before you were inducted?
>
> Absolutely NONE before his induction...they must "live forever"
> according to Stebie..."in his heart."

Sure they did.

I just don't deem it appropriate to discuss them in such a way so
as to make it appear as though I was somehow associated with their
sacrifices. I wasn't.

YOU, on the other hand, Lennie, seem to think that you were part
and parcel of those events.

You weren't.

> It would be interesting to see the Last Action Hero spout off
> about "dishonor" at any Retreat Ceremony, a Funeral of a non-
> marine, any Memorial Day gathering to honor the fallen.

"Marine" is capitalized.

And Lennie, I've carried the colors at more retreat ceremonies, funerals, honors parades and other events than you can even begin to imagine...Soldiers...Sailors...Airmen, and yes...Marines...Even a couple of CAP members along the way....

> It would
> be a given that this Last Action Hero (of "seven hostile actions")
> would not survive that day...nor would anyone around him be
> arrested by REAL authorities. We would then see that this
> great "patriot" (of the United Stevies of America) was nothing
> more than a bluffing bully, tosser of ####, big loudmouth, and
> a representative of modern-day U.S. Amateur Radio behavior.

Ahhhhhhhhhhh...Out with the profanities again, Lennie...

And swaddled in the middle of lies and bluffs of your own. REAL bluffs.

> A problem is that the bluffing bully, big loudmouth, tosser
> of #####, and lying story-teller just hasn't let up. He keeps
> on LYING, manufacturing things about others that never
> happened to them, manufacturing glory about himself that he
> cannot PROVE.

I never claimed any "glory", Lennie.

I was a U. S. Marine. I had a job to do, and I did it...

As for the teller of tales and the tosser of, well, stuff...we have YOU to thank for that...

> Is that what the HOBBY of U.S. amateur radio has become?

How would YOU know, Lennie...?!?! You're not a licensed Amateur. You don't even subscribe to any of the journals. If you did, you MIGHT be a bit better informed on many issues on which you deem fit to comment but obviously aren't prepared to do.

> I hope not...yet the evidence appears almost daily in here.

The evidence leads me to believe that I'd rather have a daughter in a cat house than a son in the IEEE.

> ex-RA16408336, USA, 1952-1960, Signal Corps, Sgt (E-5)
> HONORABLE Discharge

"HONORABLE" discharge in 1960.

Discredited liar and deceiver in 2005.

What a putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1838. Brian Burke ("bb"), N0IMD, Gutless Coward...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:10:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117462213.779629.276000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109071

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: "bb" on Sun 29 May 2005 12:29
> > >
> > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > >> bb wrote:
> > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > >> Independently verifiable information...which you are too cowardly
> > > >> to verify.
> > > >
> > > >All you need is authentic documentation. Got any?
> > >
> > > It's a "no use" request, Brian. He doesn't have any.
> >
> > Gee, Lennie....
> >
> > Only one line into your diatribe and we find you factless again.
> >
> > You're making this way too easy, but thanks...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Factless???

Yep. Factless.

> Prove Len and me wrong for once. Post your authentic documentation.

You've already been given authentic facts, Brian.

The problem is you're a coward. The answers will break yoru
heart.

> Thanks.

You're welcome.

Steve, K4YZ

1839. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:12:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117462347.699390.78540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109072

KØHB wrote:
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:oAEme.193$RV5.76@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>
> >I thought of today and what it means and came across this article.
>
> I'm sure the fine lady was an excellend riveter, but the copyrighted article
> (you had permission to reproduce it?) has >>NOTHING<< to do with Memorial Day,
> when we honor those who made the ultimate sacrifice.

(sigh)

Steve, K4YZ

1840. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:12:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117462353.911674.129740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109073

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > > > You need a break, Brain...Or quit chasing Lennie's tail in
> > > public
> > > > like that...Maybe the methane has you delirious.
> >
> > Steven J. Robeson, K4YZ, you need to stop trying to intimidate and
> > scare people who disagree with you. It makes you look like you've got
> > a screw loose. Hmmmm?
>
> The loose screw here, Brain, belongs to you and Lennie.
>
> The two of you load up this NG with tons of mistruthful and
> blatantly dishonest and deceitful rhetoric,

Steve, I have modeled my recent on-line behavio[u]r after you. You
don't like it? I just can't imagine why.

and then when faced with
> even the IDEA that your behaviour might be exposed to those wo DO know
> you personally, you go into a tailspin.

No one here is asking for "equal time" with your children, your wife.

> Your reactions here pretty much prove to me that your conduct here
> does not mirror how you are in "real life", and the idea that your
> conduct here might be exposed to family or friends scares the hell out
> of you.

Assess your own life. Get yourself fixed, repaired, healed. I'll give
you the 800 number to the VA for you if you would only call them.
Check-in and stay awhile.

So why have you posted my streed number on RRAP? What explanation
could be beleived other than a lame attempt at intimidation?

And your MARS documentation....

Got any?

1841. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:14:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <_N-dneAxD-HiogbfRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109084

Mr. Obvious wrote:
> Somewhere there's a psychiatrist who could make a living off Toddie
> alone.
>

Only if his mommy and daddy pay the bill. toddieboy doesn't have a job (can't hold one) so he sure couldn't. A 36 year old, jobless nothing living off his parents, what a joke. Mom and Dad should have kicked him out long ago.

1842. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:15:38 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117462538.185708.188870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109074

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

. . .

> > On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
> > mix of ages.
>
> Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
> population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?

What "dire fate" would befall ham radio if there wasn't a single licensee under 21? What do they actaully bring to hobby which is so important?? Sorry, makes no sense, I just don't get it.

If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and recruit retirees instead of chasing kids? The retirees are far more independent than kids, they're more mature, on average they don't care about nonsense like instant gratification and peer pressure and they have the time the kids don't have. And in most cases they also have the money the kids don't have.

w3rv

1843. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:19:32 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-P6dndh1b6YK3QbfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109085

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:oAEme.193$RV5.76@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>
>
>>I thought of today and what it means and came across this article.
>
>
> I'm sure the fine lady was an excellend riveter, but the copyrighted article
> (you had permission to reproduce it?) has >>NOTHING<< to do with Memorial Day,
> when we honor those who made the ultimate sacrifice.
>
>
>
>
As Charlie Brown would say, 'good grief!!" Don't you have something to
do today Hans?

1844. Re: Freaky Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:24:00 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117463040.597717.214480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109075

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Stinque wrote:
>
> > > And why should anybody explain anything to you? You don't count.
> >
> > If anything or anyone shows up at my house, they'll be explaining to
> > the authorities.
>
> Explain what?
>
> Copies of YOUR own posts?

Copies of your posts, and the unnatural desire to have "equal time"
with my son, my wife.

> I'd be interested in your suggestions as to what Postal Service
> regulations might be violated there, Brain...

Stalking.

> Steve, K4YZ

You've been told not to send me e-mail, US mail, FEDEX or UPS.
Nothing. Stay away, creep.

1845. Cowardly Billy Beeper...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:27:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117463225.934121.143180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109076

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > > > > You need a break, Brain...Or quit chasing Lennie's tail in
> > > > > public
> > > > > > like that...Maybe the methane has you delirious.
> > > >
> > > > Steven J. Robeson, K4YZ, you need to stop trying to intimidate and
> > > > scare people who disagree with you. It makes you look like you've got
> > > > a screw loose. Hmmmm?
> > >
> > > The loose screw here, Brain, belongs to you and Lennie.
> > >
> > > The two of you load up this NG with tons of mistruthful and
> > > blatantly dishonest and deceitful rhetoric,
> >
> > Steve, I have modeled my recent on-line behavio[u]r after you. You
> > don't like it? I just can't imagine why.

You've done nothing of the sort, Brain.

You continue to lie.

You continue to be deceitful.

Nothing's changed.

> and then when faced with
> > even the IDEA that your behaviour might be exposed to those wo DO know
> > you personally, you go into a tailspin.
> >
> > No one here is asking for "equal time" with your children, your wife.

But be my guest, Brain.

I assume you know my wife's callsign, ergo you know her name and
the address, which is of course the same.

Go ahead...drop her a line.

See what happens.

> > Your reactions here pretty much prove to me that your conduct here
> > does not mirror how you are in "real life", and the idea that your
> > conduct here might be exposed to family or friends scares the hell out
> > of you.

>
> Assess your own life. Get yourself fixed, repaired, healed. I'll give
> you the 800 number to the VA for you if you would only call them.
> Check-in and stay awhile.

There's nothing to be fixed.

I think my patience and persistence in dealing with your incessant
lying and deceit has been adequate and appropriate.

> So why have you posted my streed number on RRAP? What explanation
> could be beleived other than a lame attempt at intimidation?

Waht 'intimidation', Brain...???

Oh...you mean the idea that your family might find out what a
deciteful little troll you are in public is "intimidat(ing)"...?!?!

THEN STOP DOING IT!

> And your MARS documentation....
>
> Got any?

Gave you the information. Got the guts to ask the right people the
right questions?

Thought not.....

Steve, K4YZ

1846. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:29:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117463371.284309.222440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109077

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Poor guy. Knowing history isn't "living in the past."
>
> Retelling it over and over and over and over and over is.....

Making other people learn an archaic radio mode so that you'll have
someone to talk to is.

1847. Brian Burke Proves That "Stupid" Never Takes A Holiday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:34:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117463653.278022.185480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109078

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Stinque wrote:
> > >
> > > > And why should anybody explain anything to you? You don't count.
> > > >
> > > If anything or anyone shows up at my house, they'll be explaining to
> > > the authorities.
> > >
> > Explain what?
> > >
> > Copies of YOUR own posts?
> >
> Copies of your posts, and the unnatural desire to have "equal time"
> with my son, my wife.

What's "unnatural"...???

You claimed you were telling your son "what a creep" I was. I
have the right to rebut that.

> > I'd be interested in your suggestions as to what Postal Service
> > regulations might be violated there, Brain...
> >
> Stalking.

No stalking involved, Brain.

> You've been told not to send me e-mail, US mail, FEDEX or UPS.
> Nothing. Stay away, creep.

Don't like the idea that some of YOUR posts in RRAP may show up on
the doorstep, Brain...?!?!

Then stop making stupid, deceitful and dishonest psots.

And if you want to avoid the confrontation altogehter, just excuse
yourself from any further participation in RRAP.

It's not "stalking" when YOU intentionally get in the alleged
'stalkers' face, "Brain"...

Sheeeeesh....

Well, Brain...Today being the day it is and your posts being what
they are, you've proven once and for all that "stupid" doesn't take
holidays.

Steve, K4YZ

1848. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:35:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117463703.720742.187920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109080

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> > news:oAEme.193$RV5.76@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > >I thought of today and what it means and came across this article.
> >
> > I'm sure the fine lady was an excellend riveter, but the copyrighted article
> > (you had permission to reproduce it?) has >>NOTHING<< to do with Memorial Day,
> > when we honor those who made the ultimate sacrifice.
>
> (sigh)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Where's Jimmy "the Riveter" on this one?

1849. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 14:35:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117463748.241635.246460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109079

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > Poor guy. Knowing history isn't "living in the past."
> >
> > Retelling it over and over and over and over and over is.....
>
> Making other people learn an archaic radio mode so that you'll have
> someone to talk to is.

Making who do what, Brain? I've not "made" anyone do anything.

Steve, K4YZ

1850. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 15:50:59 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <DDGme.209$RV5.190@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 109081

No, Hans, I did not have permission to reproduce it <said sarcastically>. I believe I've seen many, many times, articles from newspapers and websites quoted on the web. Wrong? Don't know. And, I beg to differ with you. For me, it had everything to do with Memorial Day.

Kim W5TIT

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:hYEme.3671$MI4.2497@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:oAEme.193$RV5.76@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>
> >I thought of today and what it means and came across this article.
>
> I'm sure the fine lady was an excellend riveter, but the copyrighted
article
> (you had permission to reproduce it?) has >>NOTHING<< to do with Memorial
Day,
> when we honor those who made the ultimate sacrifice.
>
>
>
>

1851. Re: Memorial Day 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 15:59:11 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <jLGme.211$RV5.40@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 109082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.military.naval

Here's kind of another take on that poem: I like both of them:

"Hear my voice, America! Though I speak through the mist of 200 years, my shout for freedom will echo through liberty's halls for many centuries to come. Hear me speak, for my words are of truth and justice, and the rights of man. For those ideals I have spilled my blood upon the worlds troubled waters. Listen well, for my time is eternal -- yours is but a moment. I am the spirit of heroes past and future.
I am the American Sailor. I was born upon the icy shores at Plymouth, rocked upon the waves of the Atlantic, and nursed in the wilderness of Virginia. I cut my teeth on New England codfish, and I was clothed in southern cotton. I built muscle at the halyards of New Bedford whalers, and I gained my sea legs high atop the mizzenmasts of Yankee clipper ships.

Yes, I am the American Sailor, one of the greatest seamen the world has ever known. The sea is my home and my words are tempered by the sound of paddle wheels on the Mississippi and the song of whales off Greenland's barren shore. My eyes have grown dim from the glare of sunshine on blue water, and my heart is full of star-strewn nights under the Southern Cross. My hands are raw from winter storms while sailing down round the Horn, and they are blistered from the heat of cannon broadside while defending our nation.

I am the American Sailor, and I have seen the sunset of a thousand distant, lonely lands. I am the American Sailor. It was I who stood tall beside John Paul Jones as he shouted, "I have not yet begun to fight!" I fought upon the Lake Erie with Perry, and I rode with Stephen Decatur into Tripoli Harbor to burn Philadelphia. I met Guerriere aboard Constitution, and I was lashed to the mast with Admiral Farragut at Mobile Bay. I have heard the clang of Confederate shot against the sides of Monitor. I have suffered the cold with Peary at the North Pole, and I responded when Dewey said, "You may fire when ready Gridley," at Manila Bay. It was I who transported supplies through sub marine infested waters when our soldier's were called "over there."

I was there as Admiral Byrd crossed the South Pole. It was I who went down with the Arizona at Pearl Harbor, who supported our troops at Inchon, and patrolled dark deadly waters of the Mekong Delta.

I am the American Sailor and I wear many faces. I am a pilot soaring across God's blue canopy and I am a Seabee atop a dusty bulldozer in the South Pacific. I am a corpsman nursing the wounded in the jungle, and I am a Torpedoman in the Nautilus deep beneath the North Pole. I am hard and I am strong. But it was my eyes that filled with tears when my brother went down with the Thresher, and it was my heart that rejoiced when Commander Shepherd rocketed into orbit above the earth. It was I who languished in a Viet Cong prison camp, and it was I who walked upon the moon. It was I who saved the

Stark and the Samuel B. Roberts in the mine-infested waters of the Persian Gulf. It was I who pulled my brothers from the smoke-filled compartments of the Bonefish and wept when my shipmates died on the Iowa and White Plains. When called again, I was there, on the tip of the spear for Operations Desert Shield and Desert Storm.

I am the American Sailor. I am white and black, yellow, red and brown. I am Christian, Jew, Muslim and Buddhist. I am Irish, Filipino, African, French, Chinese, and Indian. And my standard is the outstretched hand of Liberty. Today, I serve around the world; on land, in air, on and under the sea. I serve proudly, at peace once again, but with the fervent prayer that I need not be called again. Tell your children of me. Tell them of my sacrifice, and how my spirit soars above their country. I have spread the mantle of my nation over the ocean, and I will guard her forever. I am her heritage and yours."

****

Author: MUCM J. Wallace, USN

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:h0Fme.2452$s64.3@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>
> I am the American Sailor
>
> Author unknown
>

1852. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 16:05:30 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <eRGme.213$RV5.56@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 109083

For me, Memorial Day is more than honoring those who have died. Death comes naturally for a soldier (I've heard that somewhere and it's something that really made an impression upon me). But, everyone who ever had anything to do with creating war, going to support it's efforts, staying home to support it's efforts (as in the case of the article from the Seattle Times), all kinds of heroes: sung and unsung, they all deserve our moment of pause and recognition--MORE than on days like today.

But, days like today give us all a collective moment or two to recognize the magnitude of sacrifice that those people made--whether on the shores of war or in the cities of our nation to keep her engines moving and take care of our soldiers and their families and, if you'll think about it, to give those soldiers something to come home to, even.

'Nuff said...

Kim W5TIT

PS--Who's "Jimmy" the riveter (or was that a colloquialism for a "man" riveter?

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1117463703.720742.187920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

K4YZ wrote:
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> > news:oAEme.193$RV5.76@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > >I thought of today and what it means and came across this article.
> >
> > I'm sure the fine lady was an excellend riveter, but the copyrighted
article
> > (you had permission to reproduce it?) has >>NOTHING<< to do with
Memorial Day,
> > when we honor those who made the ultimate sacrifice.
>
> (sigh)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Where's Jimmy "the Riveter" on this one?

1853. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 17:21:38 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <CYHme.1577$5A6.766@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109086

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1117462538.185708.188870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> . . .
>
> > > On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
> > > mix of ages.
> >
> > Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
> > population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?
>
> What "dire fate" would befall ham radio if there wasn't a single
> licensee under 21? What do they actaully bring to hobby which is so
> important?? Sorry, makes no sense, I just don't get it.
>
> If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and recruit retirees instead
> of chasing kids? The retirees are far more independent than kids,
> they're more mature, on average they don't care about nonsense like
> instant gratification and peer pressure and they have the time the kids
> don't have. And in most cases they also have the money the kids don't
> have.
>
> w3rv
>

Kelly,

I think we're batting our gums over nothing. The original post, in my mind, hit the nail on the head. Technical folks seem to be almost unwanted in the United States. One reason for amateur radio (at least in the past) was to attract the technically oriented and hopefully some would persue their interest and become engineers.

Engineers don't make tons of money these days. Skilled trades folks are almost unwanted. I had to laugh, there were ads for toolmakers (a number of years minimum experience) that ran $10.00 to $12.00 per hour. I just saw an ad for a parking lot attendent at $11.00 per hour. Of course, the requirements for that job were tough. Almost as tough as amateur radio requirements. Not only did you need a high school diploma (or ged, or equivalent experience), you had to be able to make change without the use of a computer or calculator!

Meanwhile, Russia launches Direct TVs latest hi-definition satellite, China does the manufacturing.

As to your suggestion about putting a minimum age limit for amateurs, raising it enough (say to 55) would ensure that mostly appliance operators apply.

Sorry, I can't agree on an age limit for amateurs (although I understand what you mean about the numbers game - therefor the suggestion). Meanwhile, we have to get rid of manufacturing and perhaps teachers too. We have more important stuff to deal with, such as the weapons of mass destruction.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1854. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 17:31:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <D5Ime.3773$MI4.613@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109087

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote

> We have more important stuff to deal with, such
> as the weapons of mass destruction.

It's amazing how hard those suckers are to find! So far about 1,600 of our
youth have died looking for those pesky things.

Just when the military cemeteries were filling mostly with old retired warriors,
it looks like Memorial Day is getting a new lease on life.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

1855. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 17:50:04 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <yYudnTbFMJ3lzwbfRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 109088

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1117462538.185708.188870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> . . .
>
>> > On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
>> > mix of ages.
>>
>> Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
>> population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?
>
> What "dire fate" would befall ham radio if there wasn't a single
> licensee under 21? What do they actaully bring to hobby which is so
> important?? Sorry, makes no sense, I just don't get it.
>
> If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and recruit retirees instead
> of chasing kids? The retirees are far more independent than kids,
> they're more mature, on average they don't care about nonsense like
> instant gratification and peer pressure and they have the time the kids
> don't have. And in most cases they also have the money the kids don't
> have.
>
> w3rv
>

I've often suggested recruiting people in the 40 to 50 year old range.
Their kids are grown or nearly so. They have a better income than when they
were younger and a little more free time than when they were younger. And
they are still young enough to have energy and enthusiasm for new
activities.

Personally I try to encourage everyone of all ages who shows even a hint of
interest.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1856. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 17:53:44 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <y6SdnVBKSfvOzgbfRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 109089

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:eRGme.213$RV5.56@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> For me, Memorial Day is more than honoring those who have died. Death
> comes
> naturally for a soldier (I've heard that somewhere and it's something that
> really made an impression upon me). But, everyone who ever had anything
> to
> do with creating war, going to support it's efforts, staying home to
> support
> it's efforts (as in the case of the article from the Seattle Times), all
> kinds of heroes: sung and unsung, they all deserve our moment of pause and
> recognition--MORE than on days like today.
>
> But, days like today give us all a collective moment or two to recognize
> the
> magnitude of sacrifice that those people made--whether on the shores of
> war
> or in the cities of our nation to keep her engines moving and take care of
> our soldiers and their families and, if you'll think about it, to give
> those
> soldiers something to come home to, even.
>
> 'Nuff said...
>
> Kim W5TIT

And very nicely said. It reflects how I feel too.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1857. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 17:58:03 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <LuIme.2524$8g.2141@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109090

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ih9k7u5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Sat, 28 May 2005 21:48:26 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> >Well there is where they got you toddieboy, you see whatever you have to
> >say is of no direct interest to radio amateurs (or to anyone for that
> >matter).
>
> And where it says that the station licensee is responsible for
> determining if the content complies with the rules, what it means is
> that if it doesn't comply, it's the station licensee who gets hit
> in the face, not that the station licensee has a free hand to do
> whatever s/he wants.
>
> Simple words for simple folks.....
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

Hello, Phil

A good effort, but your post has a couple of minor problems despite not having any errors. You use the word "licensee"; I have serious doubts if most of the flame-fest types understand that term. Besides, a lot of folks will interpret the rules the way they see fit. I'd love to see someone try to explain to a judge what the law states. Oh, I'd *love* to be in that courtroom.

I hope any such individual has deep pockets, not to mention some spare time ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1858. Silly Gunny on the edge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 18:18:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117477085.418094.83760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109091

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > You need a break, Brain...Or quit chasing Lennie's tail in
> > > > > public
> > > > > > like that...Maybe the methane has you delirious.
> > > >
> > > > Steven J. Robeson, K4YZ, you need to stop trying to intimidate and
> > > > scare people who disagree with you. It makes you look like you've got
> > > > a screw loose. Hmmmm?
> > >
> > > The loose screw here, Brain, belongs to you and Lennie.
> > >
> > > The two of you load up this NG with tons of mistruthful and
> > > blatantly dishonest and deceitful rhetoric,
> >
> > Steve, I have modeled my recent on-line behavio[u]r after you. You
> > don't like it? I just can't imagine why.
>
> You've done nothing of the sort, Brain.

But I have. And I can barely stand myself while I do it. Much like
you must not be able to stand yourself. Please get help.

> You continue to lie.
>
> You continue to be deceitful.
>
> Nothing's changed.

You should see lots of yourself in my postings; lots of new thread
headings, lots of accusations of liar, demanding all sorts of things.
Your words, your rules, your logic. Hi!

But I won't stoop to calling you a gay man. That would insult gay men
everywhere. And I won't be demanding equal time with your children or
wife as you have done with mine. That's much too creepy for me.

> > and then when faced with
> > > even the IDEA that your behaviour might be exposed to those wo DO know
> > > you personally, you go into a tailspin.
> >
> > No one here is asking for "equal time" with your children, your wife.
>

> But be my guest, Brain.

I have no interest in your children or your wife. The problem is your
interest in other people's children and wives. Mine in particular, but
you have made the same comments about other's families.

> I assume you know my wife's callsign, ergo you know her name and
> the address, which is of course the same.
>
> Go ahead...drop her a line.
>
> See what happens.

Thanks, but I have my own family to look after.

> > > Your reactions here pretty much prove to me that your conduct here
> > > does not mirror how you are in "real life", and the idea that your
> > > conduct here might be exposed to family or friends scares the hell out
> > > of you.
> >
> > Assess your own life. Get yourself fixed, repaired, healed. I'll give
> > you the 800 number to the VA for you if you would only call them.
> > Check-in and stay awhile.
>
> There's nothing to be fixed.

Hi!

> I think my patience and persistence in dealing with your incessant
> lying and deceit has been adequate and appropriate.

Look inward, silly gunny.

> > So why have you posted my streed number on RRAP? What explanation
> > could be beleived other than a lame attempt at intimidation?
>
> Waht 'intimidation', Brain...???

So why have you posted my street number on RRAP?

You have yet to explain your actions.

> Oh...you mean the idea that your family might find out what a
> deciteful little troll you are in public is "intimidat(ing)"...?!?!
>
> THEN STOP DOING IT!

Do I intimidate you? It isn't my intention. I just want to know why
you have made frequent mention of needing to get to my family and have
posted my house number on RRAP. So, why?

In any event, I suggest that you stop.

> > And your MARS documentation....
> >

> > Got any?
>
> Gave you the information. Got the guts to ask the right people the
> right questions?

Steve, you are the right person. You said in another post that Len was lying when he said that you didn't have authentic documentation. The flip-side of your accusation is that you actually do have the proper documentation, so you really are the right person to ask. Post it if you really do have it. Got papers?

> Thought not.....

Thought not, indeed.

And your "drawn and quartered" remark, have you been playing with sharps again?

1859. "Steven J Robeson" Never Takes A Holiday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 18:21:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117477293.893357.205440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109092

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > Stinque wrote:
> > >
> > > > > And why should anybody explain anything to you? You don't count.
> > > >
> > > > If anything or anyone shows up at my house, they'll be explaining to
> > > > the authorities.
> > >
> > > Explain what?
> > >
> > > Copies of YOUR own posts?
> >
> > Copies of your posts, and the unnatural desire to have "equal time"
> > with my son, my wife.
>
> What's "unnatural"...???
>
> You claimed you were telling your son "what a creep" I was. I
> have the right to rebut that.

No, you don't.

> > > I'd be interested in your suggestions as to what Postal Service
> > > regulations might be violated there, Brain...
> >
> > Stalking.
>
> No stalking involved, Brain.

Others will judge, not you.

> > You've been told not to send me e-mail, US mail, FEDEX or UPS.
> > Nothing. Stay away, creep.
>
> Don't like the idea that some of YOUR posts in RRAP may show up on
> the doorstep, Brain...?!?!
>
> Then stop making stupid, deceitful and dishonest psots.
>
> And if you want to avoid the confrontation altogehter, just excuse
> yourself from any further participation in RRAP.
>

> It's not "stalking" when YOU intentionally get in the alleged
> 'stalkers' face, "Brain"...
>
> Sheeeeesh....

You've been warned.

> Well, Brain...Today being the day it is and your posts being what
> they are, you've proven once and for all that "stupid" doesn't take
> holidays.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Indeed. You should know enough to stay away.

1860. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 18:28:57 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117477737.351077.154720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109093

Kim wrote:
> For me, Memorial Day is more than honoring those who have died. Death comes
> naturally for a soldier ...

I should hope not. I think that death comes hard for most, soldier or
not.

1861. Re: Brian Burke ("bb"), N0IMD, Gutless Coward...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 18:30:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117477852.491158.105220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109094

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: "bb" on Sun 29 May 2005 12:29
> > > >
> > > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >> bb wrote:
> > > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >> Independently verifiable information...which you are too cowardly
> > > > >> to verify.
> > > > >
> > > > >All you need is authentic documentation. Got any?
> > > > >
> > > > It's a "no use" request, Brian. He doesn't have any.
> > > >
> > > Gee, Lennie....
> > >
> > Only one line into your diatribe and we find you factless again.
> > >
> > You're making this way too easy, but thanks...
> > >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Factless???
>
> Yep. Factless.
>
> > Prove Len and me wrong for once. Post your authentic documentation.
>
> You've already been given authentic facts, Brian.

We've only seen your baseless assertions and claims. Post Docs.

1862. Re: Memorial Day 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 18:56:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117479416.379408.181990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.military.naval

KØHB wrote:
> I am the American Sailor
>
Thanks Hans.

Let us also remember those lost in the Merchant Marine.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1863. Broadcastdb - Over 6500+ Radio and TV stations : Just Added:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 19:32:56 UTC
From: broadcastdb <radio@broadcastdb.com>
Message-ID: <1117481576.955871.250940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Broadcastdb - Over 6500+ Live Radio and TV stations broadcasting over the Internet.

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

Watch:

The lastest movie Trailer: 'Mr. and Mrs. Smith'
A sexy action adventure. John and Jane Smith are an ordinary suburban couple with an ordinary, lifeless suburban marriage. But each of them has a secret: They are legendary assassins working for competing organizations. When the truth comes out, John and Jane end up in each other's cross-hairs.

Mr. and Mrs. Smith Trailer Brad Pitt Angelina Jolie Adam Brody - Action and Adventure

Listen - Just added: Live radios:

Radio Oriente 720 AM Porlamar - News, Music, Talk radio - Porlamar/Venezuela
Radio 880 AM Pto. Ordaz - News, Music, Talk radio - Pto. Ordaz/Venezuela
Radio Carúpano 1110 AM Carupano - News, Music, Talk radio - Carupano/Venezuela
Radio Venezuela 790 AM Caracas - News, Music, Talk radio - Caracas/Venezuela
Radio 880 AM Maracay - News, Music, Talk radio - Maracay/Venezuela
Radio Llanera 960 AM Acarigua - News, Music, Talk radio - Acarigua/Venezuela
Radio Valencia 1220 AM Valencia - News, Music, Talk radio - Valencia/Venezuela
Radio Ondas del Caribe 1150 Pto. Fijo - News, Music, Talk radio - Pto. Fijo/Venezuela
Radio Tricolor 990 AM Barquisimeto - News, Music, Talk radio - Barquisimeto/Venezuela
Mara Ritmo 900 AM Maracaibo - News, Music, Talk radio - Maracaibo/Venezuela
Radio Barinas 1190 AM Barinas - News, Music, Talk radio - Barinas/Venezuela
Nuevo Buinense 107.9 FM - Folkloric music, latin hits, news - Buin, Region Metropolitana / Chile
Radio Portales de Santiago 1180 AM - Tango, Folkloric music, latin hits, news - Santiago / Chile
Ràdio Balaguer - Top 40, CHR - Music and news 24 hours -

Cataluña/Spain
Tarrega 92.3 FM - Pop, dance, CHR - Tarrega/Lleida - Cataluña / Spain
KARR-AM 1460 - Religious,Christian - Kirkland / Washington - USA
KASB-FM 89.3 - College - Bellevue / Washington - USA
KJVH-FM 89.5 - Christian Contemporary - Longview / Washington - USA
KAYB-FM 88.1 - Religious - Sunnyside / Washington - USA
KMTT-FM 103.7 - Top 40, Alternative - Tacoma / Washington - USA
KNDD 107.7 FM - Alternative, Funk,Punk, Reggae, Rock `n`Roll, Wave -
Seattle / Washington - EUA
Radio Sibenik - Croatia - Listen live :) 24/7 - Sibenik/Croatia
Roots Radio - Americana & Roots - Turnhout/Belgium

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

- Search our database of live radios and TV's
- Save a list of your favorite stations
- Rate the stations - let other people know who has the best music
- Add stations, help us build the biggest stream database on the web

Listen live stations by format:

Talk, News, Sports, Variety, Religious, Classical, Jazz, Latin,
Contemporary Hit Radio(CHR),
Brasilian music, Easy listening, International, Ambient, Lounge,
Alternative, College, Modern Rock, Top 40,
Dance, Urban, Rock, classic rock, Adult contemporary, Classics, Oldies,
Country, Techno, Smooth Jazz,
World Music, Spanish.

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

----

http://www.broadcastdb.com
Radios, TV, live streams on the web!
A database of all Live broadcast stations on the Internet

1864. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 20:51:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <b1Lme.1597$hJ6.806@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109097

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:yYudnTbFMJ3lzwbfRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1117462538.185708.188870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > . . .
> >
> >> > On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
> >> > mix of ages.
> >>
> >> Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
> >> population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?
> >
> > What "dire fate" would befall ham radio if there wasn't a single
> > licensee under 21? What do they actaully bring to hobby which is so
> > important?? Sorry, makes no sense, I just don't get it.
> >
> > If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and recruit retirees instead
> > of chasing kids? The retirees are far more independent than kids,
> > they're more mature, on average they don't care about nonsense like
> > instant gratification and peer pressure and they have the time the kids
> > don't have. And in most cases they also have the money the kids don't
> > have.
> >
> > w3rv
> >
>
> I've often suggested recruiting people in the 40 to 50 year old range.
> Their kids are grown or nearly so. They have a better income than when
they
> were younger and a little more free time than when they were younger. And
> they are still young enough to have energy and enthusiasm for new
> activities.
>
> Personally I try to encourage everyone of all ages who shows even a hint
of
> interest.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

>

Hello, Dee

You are one who is an asset to amateur radio. One who doesn't quibble over someone's age, gender, or background (heaven help us if it is a cber wanting to get in LOL).

Go tell 'em, gal!

The best of 73s from Rochester, NY (someone will gripe about a plural here LOL)
Jim

ps - I consider the best of 73s to be a singular collective and think Dee deserves a big *thanks* for encouraging anyone and everyone!
:)

pps - anyone who thinks not, just be my guest and ZBM-2

:))

1865. Re: Leonard H Anderson..."Veteran" Of Shame.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 22:11:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <kcMme.10845$M36.2235@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109098

Yes Lennie, I can document everything I say. My VA file has it all. Go take a look.

Dan/W4NTI

1866. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 22:26:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <MqMme.12999$w21.8159@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109099

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:hYEme.3671$MI4.2497@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:oAEme.193$RV5.76@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>I thought of today and what it means and came across this article.
>
> I'm sure the fine lady was an excellend riveter, but the copyrighted
> article (you had permission to reproduce it?) has >>NOTHING<< to do with
> Memorial Day, when we honor those who made the ultimate sacrifice.
>
>
>
That is typical W5TWIT, totally bassackwards thought pattern.

Dan/W4NTI

1867. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 22:28:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3sMme.13000$w21.2817@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109100

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:eRGme.213$RV5.56@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> For me, Memorial Day is more than honoring those who have died.
<SNIP>

There it is. She does not even know what Memorial Day means. No further discussion needed.

Dan/W4NTI

1868. Re: Brian Burke ("bb"), N0IMD, Gutless Coward...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 22:55:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117493728.878316.36490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109101

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > From: "bb" on Sun 29 May 2005 12:29
> > > > >
> > > > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >> bb wrote:
> > > > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >> Independently verifiable information...which you are too cowardly
> > > > > > >> to verify.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >All you need is authentic documentation. Got any?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's a "no use" request, Brian. He doesn't have any.
> > > > >
> > > > > Gee, Lennie....
> > > > >
> > > > > Only one line into your diatribe and we find you factless again.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're making this way too easy, but thanks...
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Factless???
> > >
> > > Yep. Factless.
> > >
> > > > Prove Len and me wrong for once. Post your authentic documentation.
> > >
> > > You've already been given authentic facts, Brian.
> >
> > We've only seen your baseless assertions and claims.

Not baseless.

References previously provided.

Brian P Burke is a coward and a liar...Again...

Steve, K4YZ

1869. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 23:12:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117494735.046507.86520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109102

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> . . .
> > > On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
> > > mix of ages.

> > Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
> > population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?

> What "dire fate" would befall ham radio if there wasn't a single
> licensee under 21? What do they actaully bring to hobby which
> is so
> important?? Sorry, makes no sense, I just don't get it.
>
Whole bunch of things:

1) Youth is the future

2) One of the Basis and Purposes of the ARS is education - which includes things like educating youth. Even if a young ham does not become an engineer or technical type, the technical background of ham radio is a good thing to have.

3) The ARS has the image of an "old white guy's hobby" in some circles. While that's not an accurate picture, losing younger hams isn't going to help things

4) Young folks have a lot to offer the ARS.

> If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and recruit retirees > instead of chasing kids?

That's been going on for a couple decades now. Look at the folks we did FD with a few years ago - most of the older folks in that crowd were licensed after age 55.

The thing to do is what Dee says - recruit anyone with an interest.

> The retirees are far more independent than kids,

Very true.

> they're more mature,

HAH! Look at the FCC enforcement letters - you don't see many young people being cited for serious operating violations.

There was a guy in Florida named Flippo or some such, and now
Gerritsen in LA. They have no counterparts in the younger
crowd.

Or you can look at the behavior of one "retired from
regular hours" frequent poster here....Maturity?

> on average they don't care about nonsense like
> instant gratification and peer pressure
> and they have the time the kids
> don't have. And in most cases they also have the money the kids > don't have.

Those I'll agree with.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1870. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 23:21:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117495295.042099.253500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109103

>Commander CornWhore said
>Only if his mommy and daddy pay the bill. toddieboy doesn't have a job
>(can't hold one) so he sure couldn't. A 36 year old, jobless nothing
>living off his parents, what a joke. Mom and Dad should have kicked him
>out long ago.

I don't have job, because I'm too busy with school. So Cornwhore, I
don't have the time for a job. As for paying the bills, I've done my
share for paying the bills. As for living of mommy and daddy, I've made
my money in stocks that I don't have to worry.

1871. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 23:46:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117496771.314465.35660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109104

>The "clueless one" is going to be the midwestern "bulletin station
>broadcaster" with a bushel-ful of 10-penny nails in his coax, Todd....
>Steve, K4YZ

No, Steve you and the other morons on here are the clueless ones,
because you and the others one here can't understand that

1. No where in the FCC rules does it state that an Information
Bulletin has to be news bulletin or newscast. Nor, does it state that
an information bulletin can't be opinionated.

2. The FCC has stated repeatedly that there is no time limit on
information bulletins, in the FCC rules

3. It is up to the station transmitting the information bulletin to
make sure it is amateur related, notthe stations listening.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

1872. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 23:54:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117497262.194877.230640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109105

>Stebie said
> The point, Todd, is that they have an established and respected
>program from which you could learn and expand.
> But I let myself forget that common sense and patience is NOT your
>forte...My bad...

>Steve, K4YZ

Whatever, the fact is that there is NO FCC RULE that states an information bulletin can't be opinionated, if the FCC wanted it to be just "newscast" they have the power to clarify that it only be an newscast. The question then to be raised is if they limit it to newscast and not allow opinionated information, how does it not violate Section 326 of the Communication Act.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

1873. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-30 23:56:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117497371.882559.187360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109106

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message

. . . . .

> Kelly,
>
> I think we're batting our gums over nothing.

When you get right down to it you're probably right. Which is typical
of just about all threads in this NG.

> The original post, in my mind,
> hit the nail on the head.
> Technical folks seem to be almost unwanted in the
> United States. One reason for amateur radio (at least in the past) was to
> attract the technically oriented and hopefully some would persue their
> interest and become engineers.

Nice warm fuzzy theory and it worked in a number of cases. Fact is
though that if a ham ticket was some sort of prerequisite for becoming
an EE the EE discipline would be basically to invisible in this
country. Which it certainly is not.

> Engineers don't make tons of money these days. Skilled trades folks are
> almost unwanted. I had to laugh, there were ads for toolmakers (a number of
> years minimum experience) that ran $10.00 to $12.00 per hour.

I was raised in my Dad's 20-man took & die works but I wised up after a
couple years on the bench and went to engineering school, I know that
biz well. The job shop rate around here is in the $25/hr range. One of
my brothers who has his papers is knocking down $30+/hr and has UAW
bennies I'd kill for plus he has all the OT he can be bothered with.
Must be a *flock* of unemployed toolmakers around Rochester . .

> I just saw an
> ad for a parking lot attendent at $11.00 per hour. Of course, the
> requirements for that job were tough. Almost as tough as amateur radio
> requirements. Not only did you need a high school diploma (or ged, or
> equivalent experience), you had to be able to make change without the use of
> a computer or calculator!
>
> Meanwhile, Russia launches Direct TVs latest hi-definition satellite, China
> does the manufacturing.
>
> As to your suggestion about putting a minimum age limit for amateurs,

Whoa: Stop Jim. Go back and read my post and point out where I
suggested anything about an age limit on anybody or anything.

> raising it enough (say to 55) would ensure that mostly appliance operators
> apply.
>
> Sorry, I can't agree on an age limit for amateurs (although I understand
> what you mean about the numbers game - therefor the suggestion). Meanwhile,
> we have to get rid of manufacturing and perhaps teachers too. We have more
> important stuff to deal with, such as the weapons of mass destruction.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

w3rv

1874. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 00:19:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <k4Ome.2549$8g.385@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109107

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1117497371.882559.187360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>
> . . . . .
>
> > Kelly,
> >
> > I think we're batting our gums over nothing.
>
> When you get right down to it you're probably right. Which is typical
> of just about all threads in this NG.
>
> > The original post, in my mind,
> > hit the nail on the head.
> > Technical folks seem to be almost unwanted in the
> > United States. One reason for amateur radio (at least in the past) was
to
> > attract the technically oriented and hopefully some would persue their
> > interest and become engineers.
>
> Nice warm fuzzy theory and it worked in a number of cases. Fact is
> though that if a ham ticket was some sort of prerequisite for becoming
> an EE the EE discipline would be basically to invisible in this
> country. Which it certainly is not.
>
> > Engineers don't make tons of money these days. Skilled trades folks are
> > almost unwanted. I had to laugh, there were ads for toolmakers (a
number of
> > years minimum experience) that ran $10.00 to $12.00 per hour.
>
> I was raised in my Dad's 20-man took & die works but I wised up after a
> couple years on the bench and went to engineering school, I know that
> biz well. The job shop rate around here is in the $25/hr range. One of
> my brothers who has his papers is knocking down $30+/hr and has UAW
> bennies I'd kill for plus he has all the OT he can be bothered with.
> Must be a *flock* of unemployed toolmakers around Rochester . .
>
> > I just saw an
> > ad for a parking lot attendent at $11.00 per hour. Of course, the
> > requirements for that job were tough. Almost as tough as amateur radio
> > requirements. Not only did you need a high school diploma (or ged, or
> > equivalent experience), you had to be able to make change without the

use of
> > a computer or calculator!
> >
> > Meanwhile, Russia launches Direct TVs latest hi-definition satellite,
China
> > does the manufacturing.
> >
> > As to your suggestion about putting a minimum age limit for amateurs,
>
> Whoa: Stop Jim. Go back and read my post and point out where I
> suggested anything about an age limit on anybody or anything.
>
> > raising it enough (say to 55) would ensure that mostly appliance
operators
> > apply.
> >
> > Sorry, I can't agree on an age limit for amateurs (although I understand
> > what you mean about the numbers game - therefor the suggestion).
Meanwhile,
> > we have to get rid of manufacturing and perhaps teachers too. We have
more
> > important stuff to deal with, such as the weapons of mass destruction.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
>
> w3rv
>

Hello, Kelly

As I re-read the thread, I agree. You were stating "if a numbers game",
etc.

As to this area, Rochester is about as depressed as it gets right now. We
were rated number one or two a couple weeks ago.

You are correct in that a good toolmaker should be knocking down $30.00 per
hour or so. I made $12.00 plus an hour in 1978! I've heard some toolmakers
that were loosing their jobs that they refused to work for $10.00 per hour
and I don't blame them.

What goes around, comes around. 20 years from now, there won't be the
skilled workforce nor the engineers to fill positions. It will be sad, but
once we have sunk to equal to the lowest common denominator, there will be
no folks to fill the positions.

Of course, we can Kaizaan the problem (is the spelling correct?). The
managers can have a coffee and doughnut party, but it will be to no avail.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1875. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 00:21:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <a6Ome.1616$jO6.872@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109108

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1117494735.046507.86520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > . . .
> > > > On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
> > > > mix of ages.
>
> > > Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
> > > population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?
>
> > What "dire fate" would befall ham radio if there wasn't a single
> > licensee under 21? What do they actaully bring to hobby which
> > is so
> > important?? Sorry, makes no sense, I just don't get it.
> >
> Whole bunch of things:
>
> 1) Youth is the future
>
> 2) One of the Basis and Purposes of the ARS is education - which
> includes things like educating youth. Even if a young ham does
> not become an engineer or technical type, the technical background
> of ham radio is a good thing to have.
>
> 3) The ARS has the image of an "old white guy's hobby" in some
> circles. While that's not an accurate picture, losing younger
> hams isn't going to help things
>
> 4) Young folks have a lot to offer the ARS.
>
> > If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and recruit retirees >
instead of chasing kids?
>
> That's been going on for a couple decades now. Look at the folks
> we did FD with a few years ago - most of the older folks in that
> crowd were licensed after age 55.
>
> The thing to do is what Dee says - recruit anyone with an interest.
>
> > The retirees are far more independent than kids,
>

> Very true.
>
> > they're more mature,
>
> HAH! Look at the FCC enforcement letters - you don't see many
> young people being cited for serious operating violations.
>
> There was a guy in Florida named Flippo or some such, and now
> Gerritsen in LA. They have no counterparts in the younger
> crowd.
>
> Or you can look at the behavior of one "retired from
> regular hours" frequent poster here....Maturity?
>
> > on average they don't care about nonsense like
> > instant gratification and peer pressure
> > and they have the time the kids
> > don't have. And in most cases they also have the money the kids > don't
have.
>
> Those I'll agree with.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Hello, Jim

I sent an off-group message to Dee. You are correct in that she is correct.

The less arguing and more recruiting we can do, the better.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1876. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 00:23:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <P7Ome.1617$3M6.684@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109109

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:MqMme.12999$w21.8159@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:hYEme.3671$MI4.2497@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> > news:oAEme.193$RV5.76@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> >>I thought of today and what it means and came across this article.
> >
> > I'm sure the fine lady was an excellend riveter, but the copyrighted
> > article (you had permission to reproduce it?) has >>NOTHING<< to do with
> > Memorial Day, when we honor those who made the ultimate sacrifice.
> >
> >
> >
> That is typical W5TWIT, totally bassackwards thought pattern.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Sorry, Dan

I will respond on group to this.

Thanks for your non-thoughts.

ZBM-2,
Jim AA2QA

1877. Re: Memorial Day 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 00:25:58 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <qaOme.1618$3M6.1385@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.military.naval

Thanks, Hans

Also, thank you for supplying the communications when the Navy failed back in the mid to late 60s (Saipan to Guam).

I'm just glad we got through the thing to sit and discuss it on this forum, regardless of what anyone else thinks LOL.

We all should remember the men and women who have served - especially those who gave their lives.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1878. Thanks for the humor, Lennie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 00:26:07 UTC
From: Diana <Diana@nospam>
Message-ID: <d7gaulinr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109110

You know, I almost have to feel sorry for Lennie. His self-righteous, petulant indignation is giving me cause to be unsure of his stability.

Yes, Lennie, you sound akin to a petulant little schoolgirl who simply HAS to get the last word(s) in, no matter the cost.

ï¿½Neither am I bothered by raging hatred or "threats" against me levelled (sic) by some "outraged" person.ï¿½

Apparently I am not reading the same newsgroup as you, Lennie. Where is the ï¿½raging hatredï¿½ you speak of? Oh, I see. How DARE someone have the sheer audacity to disagree with you? Apparently that is, in your mind, ï¿½raging hatredï¿½.
Nor have I seen so much as a single ï¿½threatï¿½ posted by anybody, most especially W4NTI.

Who is this ï¿½outragedï¿½ individual you allude to? Oh, I understand. As soon as another poster has the insolence to question or contradict your statements, you ï¿½seeï¿½ an outraged person.

You really must calm down just a teensy weensy bit, Lennie. Stop taking everything you read as a personal affront. You are doing your hypertension little good.

(thanks for the laughs, nevertheless)

1879. Hams having fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 00:45:00 UTC
From: Bernie the Bagle Man <fathamwaddle0b@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8570a$429bb378$d1cc5860$17505@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 109112

??????????????????????????????????

I hate it when my lady plays with my
man boobs during sex, but she seems
to be the only one who will have sex
with the boobed man. ;)

??????????????????????????????????

1880. Re: Thanks for the humor, Lennie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 00:57:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ADOme.13087$w21.10711@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109113

Thank you "Diana". Now lets see Lennie's "comments".

Dan/W4NTI

"Diana" <Diana@nospam> wrote in message news:d7gaulinr0@pita.alt.net...
> You know, I almost have to feel sorry for Lennie. His self-righteous,
> petulant indignation is giving me cause to be unsure of his stability.
>
> Yes, Lennie, you sound akin to a petulant little schoolgirl who simply HAS
> to get the last word(s) in, no matter the cost.
>
> ?Neither am I bothered by raging hatred or "threats" against me levelled
> (sic) by some "outraged" person.?
>
> Apparently I am not reading the same newsgroup as you, Lennie. Where is
> the ?raging hatred? you speak of? Oh, I see. How DARE someone have the
> sheer audacity to disagree with you? Apparently that is, in your mind,
> ?raging hatred?.
> Nor have I seen so much as a single ?threat? posted by anybody, most
> especially W4NTI.
>
> Who is this ?outraged? individual you allude to? Oh, I understand. As soon
> as another poster has the insolence to question or contradict your
> statements, you ?see? an outraged person.
>
> You really must calm down just a teensy weensy bit, Lennie. Stop taking
> everything you read as a personal affront. You are doing your hypertension
> little good.
>
> (thanks for the laughs, nevertheless)

1881. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 00:59:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <oFOme.13090$w21.1993@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109114

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1117496771.314465.35660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >The "clueless one" is going to be the midwestern "bulletin station
>>broadcaster" with a bushel-ful of 10-penny nails in his coax, Todd....
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
> No, Steve you and the other morons on here are the clueless ones,
> because you and the others one here can't understand that
>
> 1. No where in the FCC rules does it state that an Information
> Bulletin has to be news bulletin or newscast. Nor, does it state that
> an information bulletin can't be opinionated.
>
> 2. The FCC has stated repeatedly that there is no time limit on
> information bulletins, in the FCC rules
>
> 3. It is up to the station transmitting the information bulletin to
> make sure it is amateur related, notthe stations listening.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
>

If all this is true.....where is K1MAN's "call in talk show" ????????????

Dan/W4NTI

1882. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 00:59:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <fGOme.13092$w21.11986@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109115

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1117497262.194877.230640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >Stebie said
>> The point, Todd, is that they have an established and respected
>>program from which you could learn and expand.
>> But I let myself forget that common sense and patience is NOT your
>>forte...My bad...
>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> Whatever, the fact is that there is NO FCC RULE that states an
> information bulletin can't be opinionated, if the FCC wanted it to be
> just "newscast" they have the power to clarify that it only be an
> newscast. The question then to be raised is if they limit it to
> newscast and not allow opinionated information, how does it not violate
> Section 326 of the Communication Act.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
>

So, where is K1MAN's "call in talk radio show" ?????????

Dan/W4NTI

1883. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 01:08:31 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <jOOme.10655$uR4.8068@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109116

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1117494735.046507.86520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> . . .
>> > > On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
>> > > mix of ages.
>
>> > Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
>> > population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?
>
>> What "dire fate" would befall ham radio if there wasn't a single
>> licensee under 21? What do they actaully bring to hobby which
>> is so
>> important?? Sorry, makes no sense, I just don't get it.
>>
> Whole bunch of things:
>
> 1) Youth is the future
>

Old thought pattern. Amateur Radio has turned into a hobby for the "older
crowd".
The youth of today are too busy getting daddy and mommy to buy them a new
cell phone
and/or laptop.

> 2) One of the Basis and Purposes of the ARS is education - which
> includes things like educating youth. Even if a young ham does
> not become an engineer or technical type, the technical background
> of ham radio is a good thing to have.
>
So who is going to educate them? How many ham stations have you
seen at a school lately? For that matter....when is your club going to put
on a school demo?

> 3) The ARS has the image of an "old white guy's hobby" in some
> circles. While that's not an accurate picture, losing younger
> hams isn't going to help things
>
> 4) Young folks have a lot to offer the ARS.
>

Sure they do.....so go recruit them. Stop jacking your jaws and do
something.

>> If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and recruit retirees > instead
>> of chasing kids?
>

There you go....were zero beat now.

> That's been going on for a couple decades now. Look at the folks
> we did FD with a few years ago - most of the older folks in that
> crowd were licensed after age 55.
>
> The thing to do is what Dee says - recruit anyone with an interest.
>

Correct.

>> The retirees are far more independent than kids,
>
> Very true.
>
>> they're more mature,
>

And they got the money to buy a rig, antenna, house and lot to put it
on...etc.

> HAH! Look at the FCC enforcement letters - you don't see many
> young people being cited for serious operating violations.
>
Of course not. They have a signal to be heard. FCC can't hear anything
below 20/9.

> There was a guy in Florida named Flippo or some such, and now
> Gerritsen in LA. They have no counterparts in the younger
> crowd.
>
Oh really? How about that computer geek in California that hacked all the
computer
systems????? He was a ham....forgot his call.

> Or you can look at the behavior of one "retired from
> regular hours" frequent poster here....Maturity?
>
>> on average they don't care about nonsense like
>> instant gratification and peer pressure
>> and they have the time the kids
>> don't have. And in most cases they also have the money the kids > don't
>> have.
>
> Those I'll agree with.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

>

There are pro/con on all the above. IMHO the basic thing....recruit all
those you can and
let the chips fall where they will. You have to love Ham Radio to come and
join us. If you
don't.......(this will tick em off) WE DON'T NEED YA.

Dan/W4NTI

1884. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 01:11:26 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <78cdb$429bb9bd$4e0dbaf$24893@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 109117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In 1963, the CBers outnumbered the Ham Population.

The number of US hams exceeded 250,000 in 1963.

1917 - about 6,000

1928 - about 17,000

1936 - about 46,000

1950 - near 90,000

1956 - over 140,000

1958 - about 160,000

1963 - over 250,000

1977 - 327,000

1989 - over 500,000

1997 June - 678,473

2001 Jan 1 - 682,240

2002 Oct 31 -- 684,355

Total number of USA Licensed Amateurs by License Class

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech/+ - 334,254
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total all classes - 674,792

As of April 3, 2005

Novice - 28,869 (-41.48%) (-20,460)
Tech/+ - 318,221 (-4.80%) (-16,033)
General - 137,093 (+21.67%) (+24,416)
Advanced - 76,706 (-23.13%) (-23,076)
Extra - 106,238 (+34.91%) (+27,488)

Total All Classes - 667,318

Total all classes (5/14/00) - 674,792
Total all Classes (4/21/03) - 687,860
Total all classes (9/6/04) - 674,788
Total all classes (4/3/05) - 667,318

Total loss of 7,474 since 5/14/2000 (Was 674,792)
Total loss of 7,470 since 9/6/2004 (Was 674,788)
Total Loss of 20,542 since 4/2003 (all time high of 687,860)

Notes,
For the 9th straight reporting period, all classes except for Extra declined. There was a net loss of 845 licensees in this reporting period from the last reporting period.

The base totals are from implementation of the then new licensing changes in May 2000. September 6, 2004 is the date I started measuring the changes. The peak number was in April 2003.

Ace - WH2T

"dan Yemiola" <dan@yemiola.net> wrote in message
news:d7covv$cihr$1@news3.infoave.net...
>
> Does any one know the URL of an accurate set of historical ham population
> data? Hopefuly from the very begiining of FCC licensing?
>
> Dan Yemiola
> AI8O
>
>

1885. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 01:13:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ySOme.10659$uR4.8356@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109118

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:k4Ome.2549$8g.385@news01.roc.ny...
>
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1117497371.882559.187360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Jim Hampton wrote:
>> > <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>
>> . . . . .
>>
>> > Kelly,
>> >
>> > I think we're batting our gums over nothing.
>>
>> When you get right down to it you're probably right. Which is typical
>> of just about all threads in this NG.
>>
>> > The original post, in my mind,
>> > hit the nail on the head.
>> > Technical folks seem to be almost unwanted in the
>> > United States. One reason for amateur radio (at least in the past) was
> to
>> > attract the technically oriented and hopefully some would persue their
>> > interest and become engineers.
>>
>> Nice warm fuzzy theory and it worked in a number of cases. Fact is
>> though that if a ham ticket was some sort of prerequisite for becoming
>> an EE the EE discipline would be basically to invisible in this
>> country. Which it certainly is not.
>>
>> > Engineers don't make tons of money these days. Skilled trades folks
>> > are
>> > almost unwanted. I had to laugh, there were ads for toolmakers (a
> number of
>> > years minimum experience) that ran $10.00 to $12.00 per hour.
>>
>> I was raised in my Dad's 20-man took & die works but I wised up after a
>> couple years on the bench and went to engineering school, I know that
>> biz well. The job shop rate around here is in the $25/hr range. One of
>> my brothers who has his papers is knocking down $30+/hr and has UAW
>> bennies I'd kill for plus he has all the OT he can be bothered with.
>> Must be a *flock* of unemployed toolmakers around Rochester . .
>>
>> > I just saw an

>> > ad for a parking lot attendent at $11.00 per hour. Of course, the
>> > requirements for that job were tough. Almost as tough as amateur radio
>> > requirements. Not only did you need a high school diploma (or ged, or
>> > equivalent experience), you had to be able to make change without the
> use of
>> > a computer or calculator!
>> >
>> > Meanwhile, Russia launches Direct TVs latest hi-definition satellite,
> China
>> > does the manufacturing.
>> >
>> > As to your suggestion about putting a minimum age limit for amateurs,
>>
>> Whoa: Stop Jim. Go back and read my post and point out where I
>> suggested anything about an age limit on anybody or anything.
>>
>> > raising it enough (say to 55) would ensure that mostly appliance
> operators
>> > apply.
>> >
>> > Sorry, I can't agree on an age limit for amateurs (although I
>> > understand
>> > what you mean about the numbers game - therefor the suggestion).
> Meanwhile,
>> > we have to get rid of manufacturing and perhaps teachers too. We have
> more
>> > important stuff to deal with, such as the weapons of mass destruction.
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>>
>> w3rv
>>
>
> Hello, Kelly
>
> As I re-read the thread, I agree. You were stating "if a numbers game",
> etc.
>
> As to this area, Rochester is about as depressed as it gets right now. We
> were rated number one or two a couple weeks ago.
>
> You are correct in that a good toolmaker should be knocking down $30.00
> per
> hour or so. I made $12.00 plus an hour in 1978! I've heard some
> toolmakers
> that were loosing their jobs that they refused to work for $10.00 per hour
> and I don't blame them.
>

> What goes around, comes around. 20 years from now, there won't be the
> skilled workforce nor the engineers to fill positions. It will be sad,
> but
> once we have sunk to equal to the lowest common denominator, there will be
> no folks to fill the positions.
>
> Of course, we can Kaizaan the problem (is the spelling correct?). The
> managers can have a coffee and doughnut party, but it will be to no avail.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>
Your right Jim. I see no chance for the USA to stay on top. IMHO it is due to the liberal mindset that started in the 60s and has taken over our public schools and Universities.

And I see no help for it.

Dan/W4NTI

1886. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 01:25:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117502710.123381.52720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109119

>If all this is true.....where is K1MAN's "call in talk show" ????????????
>Dan/W4NTI

I don't know Dan, but what i've heard he has moved frequency, I can ask him if you want. But the FCC ruled last year that the content of his station was legal, except for the reward he offered for the names of the people causing Interference. But the content of his station was legal, the FCC seems to go after him for interference.

Todd N9OGL

1887. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 01:30:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117503042.319074.144160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109120

I've heard that he has moved frequency, but I can ask him if you want.
The FCC last year ruled his content was legal but the interference he's
causing wasn't. The FCC is going after him for interference and
controling his station remotely

1888. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 01:32:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117503127.389583.81810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109121

>So, where is K1MAN's "call in talk radio show" ?????????
>Dan/W4NTI

I've heard that he has moved frequency, but I can ask him if you want.
The FCC last year ruled his content was legal but the interference he's
causing wasn't. The FCC is going after him for interference and
controling his station remotely.

TODD N9OGL

1889. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 02:11:07 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <%IPme.13115$w21.2663@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109122

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1117502710.123381.52720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >If all this is true.....where is K1MAN's "call in talk show"
> >?????????????
>>Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> I don't know Dan, but what i've heard he has moved frequency, I can ask
> him if you want. But the FCC ruled last year that the content of his
> station was legal, except for the reward he offered for the names of
> the people causing Interference. But the content of his station was
> legal, the FCC seems to go after him for interference.
>
> Todd N9OGL

You are so ignorant, and naive Todd. He didn't change frequency. He was told to knock it off. 14.275 BELONGED to him. Just ask him. Go ahead and have a chat with the wannabe broadcaster....tell him this.....if he comes back on 14.275 the war resumes.

You are going down the same trail Todd......pay attention for once.

Dan/W4NTI

1890. Re: The toad is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 02:11:58 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <OJPme.13118$w21.9769@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109123

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1117503042.319074.144160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> I've heard that he has moved frequency, but I can ask him if you want.
> The FCC last year ruled his content was legal but the interference he's
> causing wasn't. The FCC is going after him for interference and
> controling his station remotely
>
No kidding......gee...tell me more.

Dan/W4NTI

1891. Re: Thanks for the humor, Lennie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 02:19:02 UTC
From: Millie Volt <NotMIllie@lennieboy>
Message-ID: <d7ghi0$u57$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109124

"Diana" <Diana@nospam> wrote in message news:d7gaulinr0@pita.alt.net...
You know, I almost have to feel sorry for Lennie. His self-righteous,
petulant indignation is giving me cause to be unsure of his stability.

<cut>

This oughta cause his sphincter to go tight.
Any bets on how many chapters Len will write in response?

1892. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 02:23:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117506188.850361.236680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109125

The difference between me and him Danny is I chck to see if the frequency is in use, if it is I move on. I pick a unused frequency to run my bulletin. Your the one ingnorant and naive if you can understand that his transmissions (except for the interference) is legal, The FCC said so and your stupid that you can't understand that.

Todd N9OGL

1893. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 02:23:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117506200.649807.289210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109126

The difference between me and him Danny is I chck to see if the frequency is in use, if it is I move on. I pick a unused frequency to run my bulletin. Your the one ingnorant and naive if you can understand that his transmissions (except for the interference) is legal, The FCC said so and your stupid that you can't understand that.

Todd N9OGL

1894. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 02:25:48 UTC
From: Dave Head <rally2xs@att.net>
Message-ID: <atin91p6pqu91uc57ii8ucm6grgpd48843@4ax.com>
Source record: 109127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 28 May 2005 03:42:21 -0500, Tom <Extra@nospam> wrote:

>The dilemma, if you want to describe it as that, of declining Ham
>licensees is little to worry about. It appears that some few
>hand-wringers are making much ado about nothing.
>
>I have noted that, over the years, the majority of new Hams are not
>joining the ARRL. And for good reason, too.
>
>The ARRL is a dinosaur, replete with septegenarians who are interested
>in doing things the 'old way'. Many new Hams look at the yearly fee to
>be a member and simply toss the application in the waste basket. I did
>this very thing some 25 years ago and never looked back.
>After all, who really needs the ARRL? What do you get for your
>membership? A monthly magazine? Big deal.

While the current administration would like to make out that the "economy is strong", there seems to be mounting evidence that it is harder for people to increase there economic situation, move up the ladder of success, etc. Immigrants who used to only take 3 generations to get from where they are to the mainstream middle class are now expected to take six generations to do it, if at all. The country is, reportedly, becoming less of a meritocracy, where people move up based on their ability, and more of a "caste system" where people are born to an economic level, and move up only with great diffuculty.

See:

http://www.csmonitor.com/2005/0523/p17s01-cogn.html

This is probably at work for holding back a lot of potential amateurs. You don't go spending the money you might once have spent on a radio if you're 30 yrs old and a technical geek - you now spend it on the rent.

Prosperity is becoming more of a rare thing, with really staggaring numbers of jobs being shipped overseas because their workers will build a software program at a pay of $30K per year, compared with a worker here that gets $80K per year. The general "think" on this by the American public seems to be that the $80K SW engineer here is just too greedy, and deserves to be out of a job in preference to the $30K a year Indian or Russian or whoever. IOW, grassroots opinion is supporting the outsourcing.

So this is probably the basis for how this hobby, and a lot of other hobbies requiring the spending of significant cash, is going to fail - not for lack of personal interest or ability, but from an economic lack of ability to reasonably pursue the hobby. Yeah, we've always sat around and wanted a bigger

tower like Chief Brody wanted a bigger boat - but the basic stuff of ham radio, a decent transciever, is likely harder for the newer hams than it used to be for us. Heck, we had Heathkit! Now, people either don't have good jobs, or their present jobs are so uncertain that they won't spend the money and go in debt for the radio or tower or whatever.

So, welcome to the 21st Century.

What to do about it? The income tax is largely at work here. Corporate taxes make producing things here more expensive. Companies ship their jobs overseas where the aren't taxed so much - if India were to tax a worker there 50%, and take that $30K a year SW engineer down to $15K, is that more or less expensive overall than using the Stateside SW engineer at a 28% rate for that level of salary. 28% of $80K is $22,400. So, that's _more_ $$$ down the drain for taxes here than a 50% tax overseas would be.

Get rid of the income tax, and espcially the corporate income tax, and replace it with a sales tax, and all of a sudden that cheap accounting software package from India that used to cost $200 now costs maybe $260. In the meanwhile, the equivalent product built here, for $250 or so, first has its price go down from the USA-based company not having to pay income tax, and then add the sales tax percentage, and you get a _much_ more competitive USA-built piece of software.

Hooray! Its what we need now... I mean _NOW_.

>I will leave the speculation and 'hard data' gathering processes to
>others who show an interest in data processing. Their efforts will be of
>little value in the long term scheme, other than they gathered some
>numbers and percentages that have little, if any, value in the long run.
>
>Meanwhile, catch me on the HF bands. I'll leave the overcrowded two
>meter repeaters to the handi-talkie newbies.

Well, there's nothing wrong with repeaters, handi-talkies, and newbies. We need the newbies, and having lotsa repeaters is a _good_ thing.

This is 2nd hand from a local ham telling the story of an emergency exercise involving FEMA and a communications network over a local repeater. Everything was going outstandingly when the FEMA guy thought he'd throw a monkey wrench into the works. He went over to the net control guy and told him to simulate the repeater going down. Net control just called the guy with the biggest home signal and told him to tell everybody to go to another local repeater, and the net was back on the air pretty much without skipping a beat. The FEMA fellow said, "How'd you _do_ that?" NC just smiled and said, "Hey, we have backups!"
>
>All the more reason to upgrade.

Always a good idea.

Dave Head

1895. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 02:33:38 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-NOdnW-kc8uzbQbfRVn-1Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109132

N9OGL wrote:
>>Commander CornWhore said
>>Only if his mommy and daddy pay the bill. toddieboy doesn't have a job
>>(can't hold one) so he sure couldn't. A 36 year old, jobless nothing
>>living off his parents, what a joke. Mom and Dad should have kicked him
>>out long ago.
>
>
> I don't have job, because I'm too busy with school.

Sure, anything you say toddieboy.

> So Cornwhore, I
> don't have the time for a job. As for paying the bills, I've done my
> share for paying the bills. As for living of mommy and daddy, I've made
> my money in stocks that I don't have to worry.

Sure you have toddieboy. So if you have made so much money is stocks,
why don't you get your own place and let mom and dad have their own
space for a change?

1896. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 02:43:08 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0bQme.4143$MI4.2432@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109128

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote

> For me, Memorial Day is more than honoring those who have died.

At the risk of appearing to be an unsensitive old galoot, and at the further risk of alienating the 2 YL's who want to honor Rosie the Riveter on Memorial day, I must strenuously disagree.

There are a lot of well-deserved "days" to honor veterans and servicemen in general, and those others out of uniform who labored "in the cause", but Memorial Day is NOT that day.

Memorial Day (originally called "Decoration Day" because the main activity wasn't an extra Monday off for a barbeque in the back yard, but rather decorating grave sites) was established specifically to remember those honored comrades in arms who LAID DOWN THEIR LIVES for us. Let's never dilute that sacred honor by turning Memorial Day into another "feel good day" for every other patriotic group who wants to tag along on their glorious sacrifice.

The rest of us have Veterans Day/Armistice Day, Labor Day, Armed Forces Day, Navy Day, etc. to recognize our contributions. Can we be content with that?

de Hans

1897. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 03:30:14 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <aTQme.2561$8g.1982@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109129

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:ySOme.10659$uR4.8356@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:k4Ome.2549$8g.385@news01.roc.ny...
> >
> > <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1117497371.882559.187360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> Jim Hampton wrote:
> >> > <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >>
> >> . . . . .
> >>
> >> > Kelly,
> >> >
> >> > I think we're batting our gums over nothing.
> >>
> >> When you get right down to it you're probably right. Which is typical
> >> of just about all threads in this NG.
> >>
> >> > The original post, in my mind,
> >> > hit the nail on the head.
> >> > Technical folks seem to be almost unwanted in the
> >> > United States. One reason for amateur radio (at least in the past)
was
> > to
> >> > attract the technically oriented and hopefully some would persue
their
> >> > interest and become engineers.
> >>
> >> Nice warm fuzzy theory and it worked in a number of cases. Fact is
> >> though that if a ham ticket was some sort of prerequisite for becoming
> >> an EE the EE discipline would be basically to invisible in this
> >> country. Which it certainly is not.
> >>
> >> > Engineers don't make tons of money these days. Skilled trades folks
> >> > are
> >> > almost unwanted. I had to laugh, there were ads for toolmakers (a
> > number of
> >> > years minimum experience) that ran $10.00 to $12.00 per hour.
> >>
> >> I was raised in my Dad's 20-man took & die works but I wised up after a
> >> couple years on the bench and went to engineering school, I know that
> >> biz well. The job shop rate around here is in the $25/hr range. One of

> >> my brothers who has his papers is knocking down $30+/hr and has UAW
> >> bennies I'd kill for plus he has all the OT he can be bothered with.
> >> Must be a *flock* of unemployed toolmakers around Rochester . .
> >>
> >> > I just saw an
> >> > ad for a parking lot attendent at $11.00 per hour. Of course, the
> >> > requirements for that job were tough. Almost as tough as amateur
radio
> >> > requirements. Not only did you need a high school diploma (or ged,
or
> >> > equivalent experience), you had to be able to make change without the
> > use of
> >> > a computer or calculator!
> >> >
> >> > Meanwhile, Russia launches Direct TVs latest hi-definition satellite,
> > China
> >> > does the manufacturing.
> >> >
> >> > As to your suggestion about putting a minimum age limit for amateurs,
> >>
> >> Whoa: Stop Jim. Go back and read my post and point out where I
> >> suggested anything about an age limit on anybody or anything.
> >>
> >> > raising it enough (say to 55) would ensure that mostly appliance
> > operators
> >> > apply.
> >> >
> >> > Sorry, I can't agree on an age limit for amateurs (although I
> >> > understand
> >> > what you mean about the numbers game - therefor the suggestion).
> > Meanwhile,
> >> > we have to get rid of manufacturing and perhaps teachers too. We
have
> > more
> >> > important stuff to deal with, such as the weapons of mass
destruction.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> >> > Jim AA2QA
> >>
> >> w3rv
> >>
> >
> > Hello, Kelly
> >
> > As I re-read the thread, I agree. You were stating "if a numbers game",
> > etc.
> >
> > As to this area, Rochester is about as depressed as it gets right now.

We
> > were rated number one or two a couple weeks ago.
> >
> > You are correct in that a good toolmaker should be knocking down $30.00
> > per
> > hour or so. I made $12.00 plus an hour in 1978! I've heard some
> > toolmakers
> > that were loosing their jobs that they refused to work for $10.00 per
hour
> > and I don't blame them.
> >
> > What goes around, comes around. 20 years from now, there won't be the
> > skilled workforce nor the engineers to fill positions. It will be sad,
> > but
> > once we have sunk to equal to the lowest common denominator, there will
be
> > no folks to fill the positions.
> >
> > Of course, we can Kaizaan the problem (is the spelling correct?). The
> > managers can have a coffee and doughnut party, but it will be to no
avail.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
> >
> >
> Your right Jim. I see no chance for the USA to stay on top. IMHO it is
due
> to the liberal mindset that started in the 60s and has taken over our
public
> schools and Universities.
>
> And I see no help for it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Hello, Dan

Cutting aid to eduation, the V.A., and other programs is a function of the liberal mindset?

Meanwhile, a ton of cuts for the rich. BTW, they want to eliminate the deposit (5 cents) per can of soda (and other stuff such as beer) in NYS. They wish to replace it with a similar *tax*! Have you noticed that they wish to eliminate (or have they already) a deduction for energy efficient vehicles - yet retain a deduction for SUVs weighing over .... what is it, 3

tons?

The so-called liberals wanted the deposit to encourage folks to return the cans and not leave them all over the place. Pataki (our governor) and his gang (republicans) want to replace the deposit with a *tax*! They can't stand to see the money returned to the people.

The reality is that the Republicans want to kill the poor to save the rich.

Nice try.

Given a few years of our troups being killed in Iraq, I'll be interested in seeing how they will replace them. Duty *forever* in Iraq is not likely to work.

I had no problems with attacking Afganistan. Iraq, however, with its' weapons of mass destruction .... well, sooner or later the folks with the modest double-digit I.Q.s will wake up. How many weapons of mass destruction have they found? England is really upset with Tony Blair. They want him out. I offered to trade Bush for him, but, unfortunately, no one wants Bush.

Of course, the Democrats that get elected because of this will be blamed when they have to make changes. Changes will have to be made, whether we like it or not.

BTW, I didn't inhale :))

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1898. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 04:41:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1WRme.4182$MI4.2012@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109130

N9OGL wrote:
Your the one ingnorant and naive if you can understand
> that his transmissions (except for the interference) is legal...

Priceless!

Dave K8MN

1899. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 05:00:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <GbSme.1641$FG6.1514@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109131

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:jOOme.10655$uR4.8068@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1117494735.046507.86520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> . . .
> >> > > On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
> >> > > mix of ages.
> >
> >> > Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
> >> > population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?
> >
> >> What "dire fate" would befall ham radio if there wasn't a single
> >> licensee under 21? What do they actaully bring to hobby which
> >> is so
> >> important?? Sorry, makes no sense, I just don't get it.
> >>
> > Whole bunch of things:
> >
> > 1) Youth is the future
> >
>
> Old thought pattern. Amateur Radio has turned into a hobby for the "older
> crowd".
> The youth of today are too busy getting daddy and mommy to buy them a new
> cell phone
> and/or laptop.
>
> > 2) One of the Basis and Purposes of the ARS is education - which
> > includes things like educating youth. Even if a young ham does
> > not become an engineer or technical type, the technical background
> > of ham radio is a good thing to have.
> >
> So who is going to educate them? How many ham stations have you
> seen at a school lately? For that matter....when is your club going to
put
> on a school demo?
>
> > 3) The ARS has the image of an "old white guy's hobby" in some
> > circles. While that's not an accurate picture, losing younger

> > hams isn't going to help things
> >
> > 4) Young folks have a lot to offer the ARS.
> >
>
> Sure they do.....so go recruit them. Stop jacking your jaws and do
> something.
>
> >> If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and recruit retirees >
instead
> >> of chasing kids?
> >
>
> There you go....were zero beat now.
>
>
> > That's been going on for a couple decades now. Look at the folks
> > we did FD with a few years ago - most of the older folks in that
> > crowd were licensed after age 55.
> >
> > The thing to do is what Dee says - recruit anyone with an interest.
> >
>
> Correct.
>
>
> >> The retirees are far more independent than kids,
> >
> > Very true.
> >
> >> they're more mature,
> >
>
> And they got the money to buy a rig, antenna, house and lot to put it
> on...etc.
>
> > HAH! Look at the FCC enforcement letters - you don't see many
> > young people being cited for serious operating violations.
> >
> Of course not. They have a signal to be heard. FCC can't hear anything
> below 20/9.
>
> > There was a guy in Florida named Flippo or some such, and now
> > Gerritsen in LA. They have no counterparts in the younger
> > crowd.
> >
> Oh really? How about that computer geek in California that hacked all
the
> computer
> systems????? He was a ham....forgot his call.

>
> > Or you can look at the behavior of one "retired from
> > regular hours" frequent poster here....Maturity?
> >
> >> on average they don't care about nonsense like
> >> instant gratification and peer pressure
> >> and they have the time the kids
> >> don't have. And in most cases they also have the money the kids > don't
> >> have.
> >
> > Those I'll agree with.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> There are pro/con on all the above. IMHO the basic thing....recruit all
> those you can and
> let the chips fall where they will. You have to love Ham Radio to come
and
> join us. If you
> don't.......(this will tick em off) WE DON'T NEED YA.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
Point well made, Dan

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1900. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 05:56:54 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d7guah$m0g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109133

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:0bQme.4143$MI4.2432@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote

> For me, Memorial Day is more than honoring those who have died.

At the risk of appearing to be an unsensitive old galoot, and at the further risk of alienating the 2 YL's who want to honor Rosie the Riveter on Memorial
day, I must strenuously disagree.

There are a lot of well-deserved "days" to honor veterans and servicemen in general, and those others out of uniform who labored "in the cause", but Memorial Day is NOT that day.

Memorial Day (originally called "Decoration Day" because the main activity wasn't an extra Monday off for a barbeque in the back yard, but rather decorating grave sites) was established specifically to remember those honored
comrades in arms who LAID DOWN THEIR LIVES for us. Let's never dilute that sacred honor by turning Memorial Day into another "feel good day" for every other patriotic group who wants to tag along on their glorious sacrifice.

The rest of us have Veterans Day/Armistice Day, Labor Day, Armed Forces Day, Navy Day, etc. to recognize our contributions. Can we be content with that?

de Hans

.

Point well made, Hans. Feel no qualms about alienating the 2 YL's. They meant well despite their lack of direction on the true purpose of this day.

You are not insensitive. You are correct.

(a slow salute to those who gave all they had)

1901. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 07:07:57 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d7h2fr$u50$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109134

> Your right Jim. I see no chance for the USA to stay on top. IMHO it is
due
> to the liberal mindset that started in the 60s and has taken over our
public
> schools and Universities.
>
> And I see no help for it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Hello, Dan

Cutting aid to eduation, the V.A., and other programs is a function of the
liberal mindset?

>No. The liberals want to increase taxes to pay for these entitlements.
Trouble is the Liberal mindset is that there is never enough taxation.
Who pays these taxes?
Liberals brought us Medicare, a system fraught with fraud and theft. Dittos
for Food Stamps. Likewise for Govt. subsidized housing, now in the third and
fourth generations.

Meanwhile, a ton of cuts for the rich. BTW, they want to eliminate the
deposit (5 cents) per can of soda (and other stuff such as beer) in NYS.
They wish to replace it with a similar *tax*! Have you noticed that they
wish to eliminate (or have they already) a deduction for energy efficient
vehicles - yet retain a deduction for SUVs weighing over .... what is it, 3
tons?

>Look at the facts. The "rich" pay far more than the every day Joe Sixpack
worker.
http://www.rushlimbaugh.com/home/menu/top_50__of_wage_earners_pay_96_09__of_income_taxes.g
uest.html

The so-called liberals wanted the deposit to encourage folks to return the
cans and not leave them all over the place. Pataki (our governor) and his
gang (republicans) want to replace the deposit with a *tax*! They can't
stand to see the money returned to the people.

The reality is that the Republicans want to kill the poor to save the rich.

>Yet more Liberal invective based on emotion rather than facts. Why not do
what other states are doing. Eliminate the silly deposit requirement?
Typical Liberal mindset. More Goverment intervention into personal lives...

Nice try.

>Poor try.

Given a few years of our troups being killed in Iraq, I'll be interested in seeing how they will replace them. Duty *forever* in Iraq is not likely to work.

>Yet the morale of our troops is extremely high and despite the liberal press, they come home feeling as though they are doing a good thing. Dan Rather and you excluded.

I had no problems with attacking Afganistan. Iraq, however, with its' weapons of mass destruction .... well, sooner or later the folks with the modest double-digit I.Q.s will wake up. How many weapons of mass destruction have they found? England is really upset with Tony Blair. They want him out. I offered to trade Bush for him, but, unfortunately, no one wants Bush.

>That explains why he won a second term, hands down, despite rampant voter fraud in the blue states.

Of course, the Democrats that get elected because of this will be blamed when they have to make changes. Changes will have to be made, whether we like it or not.

>After 8 years of our troops, ships, and yes, the First Ever World Trade Center Bombing under Clinton's rule...changes indeed must be made. Terrorists were emboldened by Clinton's inaction and yes, cowardice.

BTW, I didn't inhale :))

>Not necessary. Your thought processes are already befuddled enough.

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

>Dittos.

>And while I have the podium, Jim, I agree with Dan on this one. The Liberal mindset has turned our schools inside out. Our kids cannot recite the Pledge of Allegiance because it has, GASP!, the God word in it. Yet the Muslim kids in New York are allowed to kneel toward Mecca several times a day and the Liberals say not one word about that. That is called "tolerance", while any mention of God has to be dealt with and eliminated quickly lest the Christians "indoctrinate" our kids.
Graduating eighth-graders have never heard of the Getteysburg Address, Antietam or Atlanta. I guess their liberal teachers were too busy teaching them to be "tolerant" of alternate lifestyles. Our sixth graders have no idea who Abe Lincoln was, that he enacted the Emancipatiion Proclamation, but they know all about Martin Luther King and Muhammed.
Our fifth graders know nothing of our Constitution, but they know that guns are bad and Rap Music is good.
Our fourth graders don't understand why their mommy and daddy got a divorce, but they know that it is OK for Little Johnny to have two Dads or two

Mommies.
Our third graders are fast learning that they cannot bring to school photos of their dads or moms who are serving in the military for Show and Tell. Allah forbid that the kids should be subjected to a photo of a proud Marine doing his or her duty for our country. The liberal teachers call that "zero tolerance".
Oh, the latest Liberal hoot? A couple of school systems are now being ever so gracious in allowing the kids to recite The Pledge, but they must now omit the Gasp!, God word and replace it with "your belief". How sickeningly politically correct.

The Liberals, accompanied by the likes of Chappaquiddic Ted are doing our country great harm. You could't pay me to be a Democrat, but obviously there are those who can be.

Dan is correct on this one. You lose.

1902. Time To Pay Attention, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 08:56:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117529802.702064.289190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109135

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > Stinque wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > And why should anybody explain anything to you? You don't count.
> > > > >
> > > > > If anything or anyone shows up at my house, they'll be explaining to
> > > > > the authorities.
> > > >
> > > > Explain what?
> > > >
> > > > Copies of YOUR own posts?
> > >
> > > Copies of your posts, and the unnatural desire to have "equal time"
> > > with my son, my wife.
> >
> > What's "unnatural"...???
> >
> > You claimed you were telling your son "what a creep" I was. I
> > have the right to rebut that.
>
> No, you don't.

Yes, I do. And if I choose to do so, I WILL be heard by my
intended audience, whether YOU want them to hear it or not in a forum
that you'll no longer be able to "control" from their eyes.

> > > > I'd be interested in your suggestions as to what Postal Service
> > > > regulations might be violated there, Brain...
> > >
> > > Stalking.
> >
> > No stalking involved, Brain.
>
> Others will judge, not you.

It's still not stalking, Brain, regardless of how emotional you get
about it...And if I were you, I'd not be so quick to make assertions
about being "judged".

> > > You've been told not to send me e-mail, US mail, FEDEX or UPS.
> > > Nothing. Stay away, creep.

> >
> > Don't like the idea that some of YOUR posts in RRAP may show up on
> > the doorstep, Brain...?!?!
> >
> > Then stop making stupid, deceitful and dishonest psots.
> >
> > And if you want to avoid the confrontation altogehter, just excuse
> > yourself from any further participation in RRAP.
> >
> > It's not "stalking" when YOU intentionally get in the alleged
> > 'stalkers' face, "Brain"...
> >
> > Sheeeeesh....
>
> You've been warned.

You've been warned too. You seem to think that your inane
"threats" and "warnings" in this forum cause me some ceoncern.

They don't.

> > Well, Brain...Today being the day it is and your posts being what
> > they are, you've proven once and for all that "stupid" doesn't take
> > holidays.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Indeed. You should know enough to stay away.

No...YOU should know enough to stop making assinine posts that are
so transparently stupid that they wil be challenged for their
stupidity.

And as for "warnings", here's one for you...You've called me a
liar about my MARS participation and some of my former USMC duties.
You've been given more than an adequate amount of details to validate
my claims. You continue to call me a liar and other similar
adjectives.

Your margin for maneuvering is narrowing rapidly, Brian. I
strongly suggest that you reconsider your present conduct and claims,
lest you care to discuss them in ANOTHER forum. And once they move to
that forum, your behaviour in THIS forum will be beyond your capacity
to hide from your family.

Pooh-pooh that away if you care to...But you do so at your
peril...

Steve, K4YZ

1903. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 09:06:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117530402.599484.115280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109136

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:

> >> > Of course you chairborne types would not know this.
> >> >
> >> > And Len, you have not answered my question.
> >>
> >> Hello Arf!,
> >
> >Bow wow.
>
> Brian, Pastor Miccolis ASSUMES this anony-mouse ("Arf! Arf!")
> is legitimate...because he (in anonymity) attacks me.

No, Len, that's not true.

I "assume "Arf! Arf!" is legitimate because there's no evidence
that he isn't.

Some time back an anonymous poster calling himself "Leo" appeared
here. Claimed he was a ham in Canada. You considered him "legitimate".

So what's the difference?

> The Pastor does give lip-service to all the PC phrases AS IF
> he "had been there, done that" when his real purpose is to
> level a personal attack on his newsgroup "opponents."

I know what "chairborne" means, Len. Doesn't matter if I was
part of anything or not. In fact, it's not about me at all.
>
> That is followed by:
>
> >> Len does not answer most questions. He says they're "loaded",
> >> even when it's clear they are not.

That's a plain, simple fact, Len. You don't answer most questions
posed to you here.

> "It is clear they are not" is one of the most hypocritical
> of the Pastor's statements. Jimmie LOADS questions in order
> to elicit a response he can then attack them as "mistaken."

OK, Len - then give us some examples of these "loaded" questions.
Show us what you mean.

> >> > What was your MOS and what did
> >> > you do in the service of our country?

> >> Now ya did it - he'll do a couple dozen kilobytes about ADA
> >> *again*...

> Poor Jimmie. NEVER was in the military,

So? I never claimed to be. It's not about me.

You've told us about your exploits at ADA many, many, many times,
Len. That's the point.

> NEVER worked any professional communications,

How do you know, Len? And why does it matter - it's not
about me.

> NEVER did much except play with
> his "homebuilt" hobby radios.

Now, that's not true at all. I've done lots of things -
including many things you haven't. That really seems
to bug you, Len.

> But, Jimmie will idolize and
> rhapsodize on the pioneers of radio doing their thing before
> Jimmie's existance...so much so that he makes them into
> "heroes" and near-mythological figures.

Show us some examples, Len.

> >> > It seems that you and bb have a fair share of hot air
> >> > with little to substantiate your claims.
> >>
> >> Here, I'll sum it up for you:
> >>
> >> "No matter what employment, education, experience or
> >> government/military service a person has, if that person
> >> opposes Mr.
> >> Anderson's views, he/she will be the target of Mr.
> >> Anderson's insults,
> >> ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic/gender/racial
> >> slurs,
> >> excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior."
>
> All of that to summarize poor Jimmie NOT being able to take
> the heat of discussion ON subjects.

No, Len, it's not about me. It's what *you* do here when
someone doesn't agree with you.

> He MUST go into a
> Personal Attack mode to "defend himself." His ego (his most
> sacred cow) was severely injured and he seeks retribution.

> He is still wearing bandages over his goring of several years
> back...

That's *you*, Len, not me.

> >> > Stand up. Hook up. Stand in the door.
> >> >
> >> > Airborne!
> >>
> >> Gotta take some serious cajones to jump out of an aircraft
> >> that is flying perfectly well.
>
> Gotta take some serious "cajones" to ENLIST voluntarily...or
> even accept a draft notice (which Jimmie never did).

Did you ever "accept a draft notice", Len?
>
> Jimmie "knows" all about parachute jumping in the military.

I don't claim to know all about it. Just some things.

You ever do any parachute jumps, Len?

1904. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 09:30:07 UTC
From: Randi <WleelWeights@nospam>
Message-ID: <d7haqaiio0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109137

Did you ever "accept a draft notice", Len?
>
> Jimmie "knows" all about parachute jumping in the military.

I don't claim to know all about it. Just some things.

You ever do any parachute jumps, Len?

Give disgruntled Len a two hour course during Jump Week at Benning, duct tape his Relys to his hips and let him fly.
I guarantee you that by the time old Lennie hits the silk he will have more than filled his Relys and will be well on his way to filling his reserve Rely.

By the time he lands he will have done Double Doodie.

1905. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 10:55:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117536949.282560.263410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109138

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Brian, Pastor Miccolis ASSUMES this anony-mouse ("Arf! Arf!")
> > is legitimate...because he (in anonymity) attacks me.
>
> No, Len, that's not true.
>
> I "assume "Arf! Arf!" is legitimate because there's no evidence
> that he isn't.
>
> Some time back an anonymous poster calling himself "Leo" appeared
> here. Claimed he was a ham in Canada. You considered him "legitimate".
>
> So what's the difference?

As well he did the infamous "MegHz".

How will Lennie slime out from underneath THESE "double
standards"...???

Watch and see!

Steve, K4YZ

1906. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 10:58:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117537084.913967.323530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109139

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Jimmie "knows" all about parachute jumping in the military.
>
> I don't claim to know all about it. Just some things.
>
> You ever do any parachute jumps, Len?

He must...

He's the "big jerk" at the end of the opening shock.

Steve, K4YZ

1907. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 11:04:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117537477.931250.53540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109140

N9OGL wrote:
> The difference between me and him Danny is I chck to see if the
> frequency is in use, if it is I move on. I pick a unused frequency to
> run my bulletin.

Obviously not, LiarToddie. There's already rumblings about your
diatribe just showing up in the middle of on-going QSO's.

> Your the one ingnorant and naive if you can understand
> that his transmissions (except for the interference) is legal, The FCC
> said so and your stupid that you can't understand that.

I really had a suck-butt night at work, and this was just what I
needed to add some laughter back into my routine.

Thanks, Todd! You are good for ONE thing... ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

1908. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 12:07:05 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <JrYme.742$RV5.625@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 109141

I don't think you're the slightest bit interested in whether you are alienating me or not, Hans. So, your feeble attempt to reconcile the angst of your original response to mine is lost, since I'm sure you're more interested in the fact that Dee (someone who you are probably more inclined to want to pat on the head) aligned herself along the lines of what I was thinking.

In addition, no matter what the "original" Memorial Day was intended for. We've come a long way in this country. As you mention, there are days specifically angled at the military (Veterans Day, Armed Forces Day) wherein it may be more appropriate to remember "just" our military heroes.

However, I doubt "back in the day" a second thought was even raised or given to remember the "civilian" side of the military. A parallel might be something along the lines of completely disregarding that many amateur radio operators have been involved in the public safety efforts of our hometown heroes--and I've never yet seen a major display of recognition or thanks for that. It's true that our police forces and rescue forces, right down to the 911 call handlers and dispatchers locked away in the confines of the office, are all paid and are "in there" for our safety, and confronting dangerous situations. It's also true that there are many civilian aspects, amateur radio for one, that are "in there" for our safety, and confronting dangerous situations also.

You may wish to remember only those who are/were in the military--and of those, maybe only persons who have perished, Hans. And, I point out, I haven't jumped down your throat for a thing. I posted a wonderful article about a fantastic person and you came back with nothing but hate, contempt, and angst. You could have made the adult decision to allow someone else their belief. You did not, and you still haven't "changed my mind." Do you know why? Because my choice to remember another aspect of the defense and continuation of the United States of America on a day like Memorial Day is my personal choice. I'll point out here that there are many civilians who have died in the support of our military, home and abroad. And, I did not say I remember them at the absence of anything grand or proud about Memorial Day. I remember our civilian contribution *in addition* to the military one.

It is in the spirit of the times (the fact that we've "grown up" in this country--note that the female contribution to the military has always been there; but hardly has it always been celebrated and recognized as it is today) that I take pause every Memorial Day and remember the people who settled this land which, incidentally, were the North American Indians, the people who conquered this land (our forefathers), the people who shaped our nation (our ancestors), and the people whom have defended her since--our

military and others who "have served." And, I shall continue to do that, Hans, as you shall continue in your traditional way.

As and aside, I find it interesting that you chose to point out "Veterans Day/Armistice Day, Labor Day, Armed Forces Day, Navy Day, etc." (minus Labor Day, incidentally) as days "the rest of us" have to celebrate others' contributions. I would certainly not set aside Veterans Day to recognize and celebrate the contributions of civilians, nor for Armed Forces or Navy Day. For me (note the "for me," Hans?) those days are especially for those who have served...in the military.

And, you are an in[sic]sensitive old gloat and that appeared long ago, not because of this exchange.

Kim W5TIT

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:0bQme.4143$MI4.2432@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
>
> > For me, Memorial Day is more than honoring those who have died.
>
> At the risk of appearing to be an unsensitive old galoot, and at the further
> risk of alienating the 2 YL's who want to honor Rosie the Riveter on Memorial
> day, I must strenuously disagree.
>
> There are a lot of well-deserved "days" to honor veterans and servicemen in
> general, and those others out of uniform who labored "in the cause", but
> Memorial Day is NOT that day.
>
> Memorial Day (originally called "Decoration Day" because the main activity
> wasn't an extra Monday off for a barbeque in the back yard, but rather
> decorating grave sites) was established specifically to remember those honored
> comrades in arms who LAID DOWN THEIR LIVES for us. Let's never dilute that
> sacred honor by turning Memorial Day into another "feel good day" for every
> other patriotic group who wants to tag along on their glorious sacrifice.
>
> The rest of us have Veterans Day/Armistice Day, Labor Day, Armed Forces Day,
> Navy Day, etc. to recognize our contributions. Can we be content with that?
>
> de Hans
>

>
>
>

1909. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 12:08:24 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <YsYme.743$RV5.285@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 109142

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
news:d7guah$m0g$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>
> Point well made, Hans. Feel no qualms about alienating the 2 YL's. They
> meant well despite their lack of direction on the true purpose of this
day.
>
> You are not insensitive. You are correct.
>
> (a slow salute to those who gave all they had)
>

How rather parental and condescending of you. Lack of direction, eh? What
an idiot...

Kim W5TIT

1910. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 12:30:20 UTC
From: Siegfried Kemper <bounce@parsifal.ath.cx>
Message-ID: <WYW9LCTN38503.3507638889@si93kru1.poster>
Source record: 109143

In article <_oKdnbdz47uC4QffRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> > Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> >
> > Gee Butt Cornholer, you finally got away from molesting your
own
> > little children to finally get on your shitty 486 to log on
and
> > whine about Roger Wiseman some more, you lisping cocksucker!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> My own little children? You lose again as usual wogie,

Oh, so you *are* a lisping cocksucker. Your little girly voice
proves that you are less than manly, too.
Get that desperately needed Rogaine,yet?

> my 'children' are grown, much wiser and more decent than you
can ever hope to be.

At the very least, they have your molesting them to work through
with the many psychotherapy sessions they are forced to go to.
And because they didn't have much of a childhood, for you
weren't a good provider and just sexually and physically abused
them. They sure don't have anything else they want to remember
you by.

> Who's whining

You are, bitch boy! Now whine boy, whine. I command you to whine
in your followup! Just like one of Pavlov's dogs.

> about you wogie? We don't whine about you, we just laugh about
> you, two-test.

And your cocksucking lisp keeps us laughing about you, as well
as because you just make up words like "wogie" and "two-test."
That must be because you just have that obsolete license and are
bitter about it, and a "pilot's license" only in your tiny
little remaining brain cell.

> Laugh about how Riley made you retest for you to keep
> your ham license because he caught you red-handed violating
the FCC

> regulations.

Really? Funny that Roger's retest letter doesn't state that.
Poor thing, you are so fucking senile you can't read anymore?
Your reading comprehension wasn't the best to begin with, given
your mental retardation, but now you're really going downhill.
But you got a letter from Riley as well, didn't you?

>We laugh about how you brag about your welfare extra ticket

And we laugh that you are too stupid and lazy to even get that.

> Worked any DX with that *PERMIT*

You could, I suppose, but I prefer to work it on the sections of
the bands you can't go because you are too stupid and lazy to
even get the Extra. Maybe you can bang on that cheapassed Ten-
Tech equipment you have and get it to work for you. That sort of
junk is so unreliable, sort of like your wife.

Fly those model airplanes and pretend you have a pilot's license
lately, shit for brains?
BHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHA!!!!!!

1911. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 12:51:36 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <O_idnYvT08dHwAHfRVn-tg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109144

N9OGL wrote:

>>Commander CornWhore said
>>Only if his mommy and daddy pay the bill. toddieboy doesn't have a job
>>(can't hold one) so he sure couldn't. A 36 year old, jobless nothing
>>living off his parents, what a joke. Mom and Dad should have kicked him
>>out long ago.
>
>
> I don't have job, because I'm too busy with school. So Cornwhore, I
> don't have the time for a job. As for paying the bills, I've done my
> share for paying the bills. As for living of mommy and daddy, I've made
> my money in stocks that I don't have to worry.

The only "stocks" you've made money at is being a stock boy at
Wall-Mart, and you got fired from there because you're gay!
If you were/are so rich, why were you working at wallyworld less then a
year ago?
BTW Schools out for the summer!

1912. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 15:00:09 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d7hu5p$o1i$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 109145

Jim Hampton wrote:

> Fasten your seatbelts. We're going down fast and it is going to be a bumpy
> ride.

Sad to say, you stand a very good chance of being right! 8^(

- Mike KB3EIA -

1913. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 15:06:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117551963.955112.319200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109146

>Obviously not, LiarToddie. There's already rumblings about your
>diatribe just showing up in the middle of on-going QSO's.

YEAH RIGHT, KC8GXW is lying at his ass and your a stupid fuck to
believe him.

Todd N9OGL

1914. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 15:06:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117551969.728146.8020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109147

>Obviously not, LiarToddie. There's already rumblings about your
>diatribe just showing up in the middle of on-going QSO's.

YEAH RIGHT, KC8GXW is lying at his ass and your a stupid fuck to
believe him.

Todd N9OGL

1915. Re: Thanks for the humor, Lennie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 15:10:38 UTC
From: Bernie the Bagle Man <fathamwaddle0b@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <82731$429c7e59$d1cc7c74$20209@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 109148

"Millie Volt" <NotMIllie@lennieboy> wrote in message
news:d7ghi0$u57$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Diana" <Diana@nospam> wrote in message news:d7gaulinr0@pita.alt.net...
> You know, I almost have to feel sorry for Lennie. His self-righteous,
> petulant indignation is giving me cause to be unsure of his stability.
>
> <cut>
>
> This oughta cause his sphincter to go tight.
> Any bets on how many chapters Len will write in response?

I hate it when my lady plays with my
man boobs during sex, but she seems
to be the only one who will have sex
with the boobed man. ;)

1916. Posturing and puffery!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 15:37:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6x%me.3028$s64.1528@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109149

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Pooh-pooh that away if you care to...But you do so at your
> peril...
>

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

Pooh-pooh-pooh-pooh!

1917. River of Light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 16:30:01 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117557001.480127.118800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109250

http://tinyurl.com/bqkdz

73 de Jim, N2EY

1918. Re: River of Light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 16:46:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3y0ne.137$W77.100@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109251

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> http://tinyurl.com/bqkdz

Sunuvagun! With an ultra-efficient system like this who needs WIFI?

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

1919. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 16:52:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117558377.325634.258540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109252

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1117494735.046507.86520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> . . .
> >> > > On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
> >> > > mix of ages.
> >
> >> > Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
> >> > population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?
> >
> >> What "dire fate" would befall ham radio if there wasn't a single
> >> licensee under 21? What do they actaully bring to hobby which
> >> is so
> >> important?? Sorry, makes no sense, I just don't get it.
> >>
> > Whole bunch of things:
> >
> > 1) Youth is the future

> Old thought pattern.

Not at all, Dan. It's still true.

> Amateur Radio has turned into a hobby for the "older crowd".

When I was a teenager, it seemed like almost all other hams were
ancient. Not my dad's age but my granddad's age.

> The youth of today are too busy getting daddy and mommy to buy them a new
> cell phone and/or laptop.

Maybe where you are.
>
> > 2) One of the Basis and Purposes of the ARS is education - which
> > includes things like educating youth. Even if a young ham does
> > not become an engineer or technical type, the technical background
> > of ham radio is a good thing to have.
> >
> So who is going to educate them?

The same sort of folks who educated me. Most of what I learned about
ham radio in my teens came from books and personal experience. Now

we have the internet too.

> How many ham stations have you
> seen at a school lately?

More than existed when I was in grade and high school.

> For that matter....when is your club going to put
> on a school demo?

Perhaps in the fall. But that's not the point, is it?

> > 3) The ARS has the image of an "old white guy's hobby" in some
> > circles. While that's not an accurate picture, losing younger
> > hams isn't going to help things
> >
> > 4) Young folks have a lot to offer the ARS.
>
> Sure they do.....so go recruit them. Stop jacking your jaws and do
> something.

I have, Dan.
>
> >> If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and recruit retirees > instead
> >> of chasing kids?
> >
>
> There you go....were zero beat now.

> > That's been going on for a couple decades now. Look at the folks
> > we did FD with a few years ago - most of the older folks in that
> > crowd were licensed after age 55.
> >
> > The thing to do is what Dee says - recruit anyone with an interest.

> Correct.

> >> The retirees are far more independent than kids,
> >
> > Very true.
> >
> >> they're more mature,

> And they got the money to buy a rig, antenna, house and lot to put it
> on...etc.
>
> > HAH! Look at the FCC enforcement letters - you don't see many
> > young people being cited for serious operating violations.
> >
> Of course not. They have a signal to be heard. FCC can't hear anything
> below 20/9.

Sure they can, but first they need complaints from hams.
>

> > There was a guy in Florida named Flippo or some such, and now
> > Gerritsen in LA. They have no counterparts in the younger
> > crowd.
> >
> Oh really? How about that computer geek in California that hacked all the
> computer systems????? He was a ham....forgot his call.

You mean Kevin Mitnik (sp?) Yes, he was a ham - but he did not violate
Part 97,
nor any other radio service (that I know of).

His crimes were all about hacking into computer systems. Which cost him
his
ham license (just like the KV fella) because FCC considers all
violations,
particularly those of the Communications Act, when granting licenses.

Flippo and Gerritsen were convicted of *radio* violations. They're both
a long way from being teenagers.

> > Or you can look at the behavior of one "retired from
> > regular hours" frequent poster here....Maturity?
> >
> >> on average they don't care about nonsense like
> >> instant gratification and peer pressure
> >> and they have the time the kids
> >> don't have. And in most cases they also have the money the kids > don't
> >> have.
> >
> > Those I'll agree with.

> There are pro/con on all the above. IMHO the basic thing....recruit all
> those you can and let the chips fall where they will.

Agreed.

> You have to love Ham Radio to come and
> join us. If you
> don't.......(this will tick em off) WE DON'T NEED YA.
>
The trick is that if they don't love it, they won't stay anyway.

That's what I mean by "a means to an end". If someone got into ham
radio
as a means to local "honeydew" communication, they're likely to have
replaced their ham rig with a cell phone. But if they got into ham
radio
because of interest in "radio for its own sake"...the cell phone won't
change their interest any more than a wired phone.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1920. Re: Time To Pay Attention, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 16:55:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0G0ne.139$W77.107@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109253

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> I strongly suggest that you reconsider your present conduct and claims,
> lest you care to discuss them in ANOTHER forum.

G R E A T idea Steve.

Let's petition the powers-that-be for "ANOTHER forum" called
"rec.radio.steve.and.brian.and.todd.and.len.snip.and.snipe.among.themselves".

Then we could return rrap to its regular programming.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

1921. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 17:06:22 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <EJmdnWPpdpwzBAHfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109254

N9OGL wrote:
>>Obviously not, LiarToddie. There's already rumblings about your
>>diatribe just showing up in the middle of on-going QSO's.
>
>
> YEAH RIGHT, KC8GXW is lying at his ass and your a stupid fuck to
> believe him.
>
> Todd N9OGL
lying at his ass ??? How do I lie at my ass? Prove it! I've proved
all of your lies.

And for the last time idiot it's YOU'RE a stupid f*** not YOUR a stupid
f***.

1922. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 17:30:38 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117560638.094985.77100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109255

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > . . .
> > > > On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
> > > > mix of ages.
>
> > > Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
> > > population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?
>
> > What "dire fate" would befall ham radio if there wasn't a single
> > licensee under 21? What do they actaully bring to hobby which
> > is so
> > important?? Sorry, makes no sense, I just don't get it.
> >
> Whole bunch of things:
>
> 1) Youth is the future

??. . ya got me. What does THAT mean??

> 2) One of the Basis and Purposes of the ARS

No counter, that nonsense is a long-since worn out old bureaucratic relic/crutch which needs a serious update. Ham radio is a recreational pastime with some value in it's ability to provide emergency comms and not much if anything else.

> is education - which
> includes things like educating youth. Even if a young ham does
> not become an engineer or technical type, the technical background
> of ham radio is a good thing to have.

> 3) The ARS has the image of an "old white guy's hobby" in some
> circles.

In a *lot* of circles and they're basically right. This phenomenon is a result of evolutionary forces at work within the hobby. There are two choices here: Go with the obvious flow and accept where Mother Nature is leading us and take advantage of it -OR- fight Mother which is always a losing battle and try to keep applying the mores, values and expectations of the yesteryears when we came into the hobby 50, 30 even 20 years ago. So yeah, ham radio has been moving toward being an old white fart's RF spectrum playground for years. Once more I ask *so what?*

> While that's not an accurate picture, losing younger
> hams isn't going to help things

We can't lose what we don't have.

> 4) Young folks have a lot to offer the ARS.

Beats me, name a few of those. Besides kids being better tower monkeys
than us geezers are.

> > If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and recruit retirees instead of chasing
kids?
>
> That's been going on for a couple decades now.

Don't agree. Point out one example of a formal effort to consciously
recruit older folk. Which is like all the widely publicized (and
generally failed) programs which have been targeting kids over the
years.

> Look at the folks
> we did FD with a few years ago - most of the older folks in that
> crowd were licensed after age 55.

Exactly, you couldn't have made my point any better, thankew. If we're
playing a numbers game and/or if we're trying to find the most
promising market sector for recruiting puposes it's obvious to me that
the older crowd, particularly the retirees would be much more fertile
territory than the kids. Take a hypothetical example: The ARRL sets
aside $100k for a one-year recruiting campaign and brings in a
marketing firm to handle it. The firm gets up to speed on the
demographics of the hobby and reserches where new members are coming
from *today* and where they're not coming from. My bet is that they'd
spend the majority of the dollars on getting ads and articles into the
AARP magazine vs. spending any of it on demonstrably futile SOP ARRL
kiddie chasing expeditions.

> The thing to do is what Dee says - recruit anyone with an interest.

Of course but that has nothing to do with reaching out which is where
I'm coming from. .

> > The retirees are far more independent than kids,
>
> Very true.
>
> > they're more mature,
>
> HAH! Look at the FCC enforcement letters - you don't see many
> young people being cited for serious operating violations.
>
> There was a guy in Florida named Flippo or some such, and now
> Gerritsen in LA. They have no counterparts in the younger
> crowd.

>
> Or you can look at the behavior of one "retired from
> regular hours" frequent poster here....Maturity?

Oh come on James, if there's a *thousand* of those twistoids and
miscreants in the hobby they'd represent a lousy 0.15% of the total
licensed population. The rest of society should be this free of
a-holes.

> > on average they don't care about nonsense like
> > instant gratification and peer pressure
> > and they have the time the kids
> > don't have. And in most cases they also have the money the kids > don't have.
>
> Those I'll agree with.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1923. Re: River of Light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 17:35:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117560928.039721.267850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109256

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://tinyurl.com/bqkdz
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

. . . code practice . . ?

w3rv

1924. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 18:11:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117563078.931801.191640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109257

> lying at his ass ??? How do I lie at my ass? Prove it! I've proved
>all of your lies.
>And for the last time idiot it's YOU'RE a stupid f*** not YOUR a stupid
>f***.

I mean't to say your lying out your ass which you are....you getting on here claiming I was interferning with a QSO in progress, you are a FUCKING LIAR, just like you buddy steve. YOU WANT ME PROVE IT, OK YOU LITTLE FUCKER, ALL MY HF ANTENNA'S ARE DOWN AND HAVE BEEN DOWN FOR THE LAST TWO WEEKS, BECAUSE WE ARE PUTTING A NEW ROOF ON OUR HOUSE...YOU FUCKING LYING ASSHOLE. SO PROVE ME OTHERWISE BITCH.

TODD N9OGL

1925. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 18:43:05 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <kP2dneOkEfchUgHfRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109270

Siegfried Kemper AKA two-test wogie wrote:
>
> At the very least, they have your molesting them to work through
> with the many psychotherapy sessions they are forced to go to.
> And because they didn't have much of a childhood, for you
> weren't a good provider and just sexually and physically abused
> them. They sure don't have anything else they want to remember
> you by.

Well you strike out again wogie, but then what's new? Both of our well adjusted, mature and successful children live within a few blocks of the wife and I and we have 8 wonderful grandchildren. Sorry about your abusive childhood that led you into a life of bitterness, drugs and mental health problems. Too bad your marriage failed, you wife walked out on you and non of your children want anything to do with you. Could there be something about that we don't know wogie? Maybe you were the molester. I would wager that the local Child Protective Services keeps an eye on you wogie. You wouldn't even have a house if it weren't for Marie leaving you that hovel you live in down there by the creek.

>
>
>>Who's whining
>
>
> You are, bitch boy! Now whine boy, whine. I command you to whine
> in your followup! Just like one of Pavlov's dogs.
>
>
>>about you wogie? We don't whine about you, we just laugh about
>>you, two-test.
>
>
> And your cocksucking lisp keeps us laughing about you, as well
> as because you just make up words like "wogie" and "two-test."
> That must be because you just have that obsolete license and are
> bitter about it, and a "pilot's license" only in your tiny
> little remaining brain cell.
>

>>Laugh about how Riley made you retest for you to keep
>>your ham license because he caught you red-handed violating
>
> the FCC
>

>>regulations.
>
>
> Really? Funny that Roger's retest letter doesn't state that.
> Poor thing, you are so fucking senile you can't read anymore?
> Your reading comprehension wasn't the best to begin with, given
> your mental retardation, but now you're really going downhill.
> But you got a letter from Riley as well, didn't you?
>

But another letter did. Poor wogie, had to retest to keep his license
because the FCC caught him red-handed violating the FCC regulations. The
FCC doesn't make someone retest just for the fun of it. There is always
some violations of the rules involved.

So why do you keep talking as if you arent' wogie, wogie? Can't even
stand yourself I suppose.

Never had a letter from Riley wogie, again you don't have a clue.
>
>>We laugh about how you brag about your welfare extra ticket
>
>
> And we laugh that you are too stupid and lazy to even get that.
>
>
>>Worked any DX with that *PERMIT*
>
>
> You could, I suppose, but I prefer to work it on the sections of
> the bands you can't go because you are too stupid and lazy to
> even get the Extra. Maybe you can bang on that cheapassed Ten-
> Tech equipment you have and get it to work for you.

Ten-Tech? Another strike out wogie, I don't use Ten-Tech equipment.
Poor wogie, doesn't have a clue.
>That sort of
> junk is so unreliable, sort of like your wife.
>
> Fly those model airplanes and pretend you have a pilot's license
> lately, shit for brains?
> BHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> AHAHA!!!!!!

Poor wogie, best he can do is a welfare Extra-lite ticket he got at a
license give-away VE session, no technical knowledge required, and a
Restricted Radio Operator *Permit* that you just fill out an FCC form
for. What happened to that 2nd Class ticket you claimed to have wogie?
At least I do have a pilot's license and can prove it to anyone who
cares to come see it. Can you prove you have a 2nd Class ticket wogie?
Didn't think so.
Poor two-test, he can only hope Riley doesn't make him retest again.

Wogie, the laughing stock of the ham radio and the Internet.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1926. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 18:45:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <kP2dneKkEffMTQHfRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109272

N9OGL wrote:
>>lying at his ass ??? How do I lie at my ass? Prove it! I've proved
>>all of your lies.
>>And for the last time idiot it's YOU'RE a stupid f*** not YOUR a stupid
>>f***.
>
>
> I mean't to say your lying out your ass which you are....

Toddieboy, you stupidity is simply amazing.

1927. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 19:08:44 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <A9ydncoviPqiKwHfRVn-ig@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109258

N9OGL wrote:

>>lying at his ass ??? How do I lie at my ass? Prove it! I've proved
>>all of your lies.
>>And for the last time idiot it's YOU'RE a stupid f*** not YOUR a stupid
>>f***.
>
>
> I mean't to say your lying out your ass which you are....you getting on
> here claiming I was interferning with a QSO in progress, you are a
> FUCKING LIAR, just like you buddy steve. YOU WANT ME PROVE IT, OK YOU
> LITTLE FUCKER, ALL MY HF ANTENNA'S ARE DOWN AND HAVE BEEN DOWN FOR THE
> LAST TWO WEEKS, BECAUSE WE ARE PUTTING A NEW ROOF ON OUR HOUSE...YOU
> FUCKING LYING ASSHOLE. SO PROVE ME OTHERWISE BITCH.
>
>
> TODD N9OGL

I mean't to say....What kind of word is that?
Don't you say on your blog that your show is on 20 meters on Saturday's
at 4.00 pm?
Does this mean that you are lying on your blog? Get it right toad, you
can't have it both ways! Didn't you go off on a rampage and blame
KC8GWX , Rand Fuller of attempting to jam your show?
Do you know any other words besides B**** F***** A**H***
BTW the way toad, I'm not so little, so stop calling me little, I've
seen you and it wouldn't take me more then 10 seconds to slap you into
the little whining gay boy that you are!
I've got a copy of the tape, so you can pull all your antennas down,
heck you didn't have any antennas up last month when you were going to
get on the liberty net!
Name 1 person here that has had a QSO on hf with you, even just name,
QTH, RST. You've never been on HF idiot and before you insult my
intellect you better get some more "intelegents" your self.
Hey gayboy, schools out, you were fired last fall so you couldn't have
gotten through more then 1 semester, get a job, McDonalds maybe?

1928. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 19:11:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117566679.345852.26220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109259

>A member of K4YZ School of lying said:
>
>The toad caused illegal malicious interference last night.
>A local group of hams were having an informal net on 14.321. MHz last
>night when out of the blue the toad started his monolog show right up on
>top of them! At least 5 of the group got recordings! Shame on you toad.
>Write all the letters you want, but you are about to get one yourself idiot!

Yeah, and your lying out your ass... Another poud student of the K4YZ
School of Lying!!!

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

1929. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 19:11:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117566692.705165.125630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109260

>A member of K4YZ School of lying said:
>
>The toad caused illegal malicious interference last night.
>A local group of hams were having an informal net on 14.321. MHz last
>night when out of the blue the toad started his monolog show right up on
>top of them! At least 5 of the group got recordings! Shame on you toad.
>Write all the letters you want, but you are about to get one yourself idiot!

Yeah, and your lying out your ass... Another proud student of the K4YZ
School of Lying!!!

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

1930. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 19:13:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117566804.636485.119610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109261

From: "Kim" on Tues 31 May 2005 12:07

>I don't think you're the slightest bit interested in whether you are
>alienating me or not, Hans. So, your feeble attempt to reconcile the angst
>of your original response to mine is lost, since I'm sure you're more
>interested in the fact that Dee (someone who you are probably more inclined
>to want to pat on the head) aligned herself along the lines of what I was
>thinking.
>
>...

Well said, Kim. There's a strong undertone (perhaps "undertow")
of unwanted machismo present in this group of "mighty warriors."

In the morning edition of the Los Angeles Times, 30 May 2005,
was the story of Marie Michell Robinson, a 20 year old WASP
(Womens Air force Service Pilots) who died in the October 1944
crash of a B-25 twin-engine bomber she was co-piloting in the
Mojave Desert region of California.

WASPs were not military members but neither were they exactly
ciivlians. They earned a base pay of $250 a month but had to
pay all of the meal and lodging costs out of that (even if
stationed at military bases) and had to pay for their own
uniforms. Marie had been married just two weeks to Major
Hampton Robinson, an Army medical doctor.

The crash site was re-found by a trio of amateur aviation
"archaeologists" in southern California who had (on their own
time) searched for over a year to relocate the crash site.
The trio found it in early May. While Marie's body had been
recovered over a half century before, the trio uncovered her
personal belongings: A wedding band, bracelet (with name and
wings emblem), a WASP pin, nail file, and a wristwatch whose
hands had stopped at 1:40 PM, the time of the crash. Personal
items of the other two crew members were recovered and all are
being returned to their surviving family members.

WASPs were not given veterans' status until 1979.

Source: LA Times, 30 May 2005, Valley Edition, Section B,
page 1, written by H. G. Resa, Times Staff Writer; includes
photos of personal articles and one of the amateur aviation
archaeologists.

>In addition, no matter what the "original" Memorial Day was intended for.
>We've come a long way in this country. As you mention, there are days

>specifically angled at the military (Veterans Day, Armed Forces Day) wherein
>it may be more appropriate to remember "just" our military heroes.

During World War II the WASPs weren't military, weren't civilian,
yet they served the nation by giving up their time to aid the
war effort. Some gave everything: 38 WASPs died in that service.
They were not acknowledged as "veterans" by the U.S.
government until 25 years later.

This country is a bit late on following-through about "coming a
long way" but it just barely manages to keep up. Three civilians,
on their own voluntary time and not members of the military or
government, relocated the crash site and uncovered personal
belongings, found and notified remaining family members. They
honored the true spirit of Memorial Day.

Several individuals in here do NOT keep up and they pervert the
meaning of Memorial Day to serve their own egos. Most of those
were not even born when World War II ended...yet they seem to
demand strict adherence to Their "Rules" of memorialism. Some
have rather insane definitions of honoring the fallen such as
remembrance and honor being classified as a "dishonor."

All that in a newgroup supposedly about policies in a HOBBY
radio activity. Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

ex-RA16408336, U.S. Army 1952-1960, Signal Corps, Sgt (E=5)

1931. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 19:13:25 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <A9ydncUviPrKKgHfRVn-ig@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109262

N9OGL wrote:

> The difference between me and him Danny is I chck to see if the
> frequency is in use, if it is I move on. I pick a unused frequency to
> run my bulletin. Your the one ingnorant and naive if you can understand
> that his transmissions (except for the interference) is legal, The FCC
> said so and your stupid that you can't understand that.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Get it right idiot.
It's YOU'RE stupid as in you are stupid, not your stupid. The point is
toad, don't be stupid when you call others stupid you moron!

1932. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 19:17:42 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ApOdncMCHaPEJQHfRVn-hQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109263

N9OGL wrote:

>>A member of K4YZ School of lying said:
>>
>>The toad caused illegal malicious interference last night.
>>A local group of hams were having an informal net on 14.321. MHz last
>>night when out of the blue the toad started his monolog show right up on
>>top of them! At least 5 of the group got recordings! Shame on you toad.
>>Write all the letters you want, but you are about to get one yourself idiot!
>
>
> Yeah, and your lying out your ass... Another poud student of the K4YZ
> School of Lying!!!
>
>
> Todd N9OGL

poud student????
I've asked you to leave Steve and reference to ass out of your replays
to me.
I've got a copy of the tape toad, I won't send it in, I'm not a
snitch, but other people might?

1933. Re: "Steven J Robeson" Never Takes A Holiday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 19:18:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117567112.778710.262700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109264

From: "bb" on Mon 30 May 2005 11:30

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > > From: "bb" on Sun 29 May 2005 12:29
>> > > >
>> > > > >K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > >> bb wrote:
>> > > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > >
>> > > > >> Independently verifiable information...which you are too cowardly
>> > > > >> to verify.
>> > > > >
>> > > > >All you need is authentic documentation. Got any?
>> > > >
>> > > > It's a "no use" request, Brian. He doesn't have any.
>> > >
>> > > Gee, Lennie....
>> > >
>> > > Only one line into your diatribe and we find you factless again.
>> > >
>> > > You're making this way too easy, but thanks...
>> >
>> > Factless???
>>
>> Yep. Factless.
>>
>> > Prove Len and me wrong for once. Post your authentic documentation.
>>
>> You've already been given authentic facts, Brian.
>
>We've only seen your baseless assertions and claims. Post Docs.

Hmmmm...this can go on for years... :-)

1. Stebie has NO documentation so he can't post it.

2. Everyone is supposed to "take Stebie's 'word' for it."

3. Stebie will substitute Personal Insults for actual proof.

4. Stebie will then be asked (again) to show documentation.

5. Continue iteration by looping to (1)...ad nauseum.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1934. Re: Posturing and puffery!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 19:20:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117567253.434366.70940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109265

From: "K□B" on Tues 31 May 2005 15:37

>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>> Pooh-pooh that away if you care to...But you do so at your
>> peril...

>BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!
>
>Pooh-pooh-pooh-pooh!

Good imitation of the sounds Stebie makes! [the human
whoopee cushion]

BWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1935. Re: Thanks for the humor, Lennie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 19:23:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117567387.916364.282470@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109266

From: Dan/Diana on May 30, 8:57 pm

>Thank you "Diana". Now lets see Lennie's "comments".

Nice try at cross-dressing, Dan/Diana, but your makeup is crooked.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1936. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 19:27:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117567662.279992.91600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109267

From: Dan/Diana on May 30, 9:08 pm

>You have to love Ham Radio to come and join us. If you
>don't.......(this will tick em off) WE DON'T NEED YA.

Oooooooohhhh! :-)

Sounds like the usual HATE group sloganeering. Do you have
that mighty macho morseman slogan on the white sheets you
wear at your "clubhouse?" Printed on old confederate flags?

Talk to the ARRL marketing folks...maybe they can make those
sheets (and presumably T-shirts for informal wear) available
by mail-order (shipping charges extra)?

Does your "ham club" have a regulation ring or do you have
your regular fight nights on bare dirt?

Sounds like "lots of fun" for a radio hobby...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1937. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 19:30:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117567822.861369.32650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109268

From: "K4YZ" on Mon 30 May 2005 01:44

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Mike Coslo on May 29, 9:57 pm
>
>> NOT EVEN CLOSE. Having been IN the "space business" since
>> 1964 and working for the manufacturer of the Space Shuttle
>> Main Engine...(SNIP)
>
> Even aerospace industries have to hire janitors, Lennie...I am
>sure you were very enthusiastic in your duties.

IN aerospace industry doing specific space related work:

Electro-Optical Systems, Pasadena, CA (then a Division of Xerox,
now a Division of Loral) as spacecraft fabrication engineer.
Clean room environment, strict QC, microwelding of "cordwood"
and all soldering/inspection under 10x stereo microscopes.
Unmanned spacecraft packages included Mars Mariner 67,
Quadrupole Spectrometer, ALSEP (Apollo Lunar Surface
Experiment Pacakage) SWS (Solar Wind Spectrometer). 1960s.

Rocketdyne Division of Rockwell International, Canoga Park, CA
MTS II (Member of the Technical Staff), Instrumentation
Engineering Group, doing instrumentation design for laser
deformable mirror program (an initial part of the "Star Wars"
program under President Reagan), LOX flowmeter replacement
on the SSME (Space Shuttle Main Engine - there are three on
each Shuttle), classified work for Atomics International
(a spin-off of Rocketdyne, also owned by Rockwell then).
1980s. Boeing Aircraft Company bought Rocketdyne a few
years ago and sales are pending for Rocketdyne to be sold to
another corporation. Rocketdyne was originally a Division
of North American Aviation; Rockwell bought that with the
Division being part of the package. Shuttle was designed
and built by the main aviation group.

NO custodial services performed for any employer, any time.

I was enthused by nearly ALL projects.

So, what did Stebie do after being fired as a Purchasing
Agent of a small company after less than a half year of
employment? Go back into custodial services or continue
cleaning bed pans? :-)

Temper fry.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1938. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 19:34:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117568064.647034.117310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109269

>> Gotta take some serious "cajones" to ENLIST voluntarily...or
>> even accept a draft notice (which Jimmie never did).
>
>Did you ever "accept a draft notice", Len?

Couldn't. Was already IN the U.S. Army. The Army Serial
Number I was given had the prefix "RA" on it...that denoted
a VOLUNTARY ENLISTMENT. Those who got draft notices and
went in that way got the "US" prefix.

What was YOUR prefix, Jimmie?

Oh, that's right, I almost forgot that the Army switched
from ASNs to the Social Security Number in 1969. Tsk, tsk,
YOU could NEVER have an ASN!

>> Jimmie "knows" all about parachute jumping in the military.
>
>I don't claim to know all about it. Just some things.

You are way too modest. You've read about so many things.

>You ever do any parachute jumps, Len?

One.

For personal experience. Wasn't a requirement in radio.

Maybe it's a new requirement in AMATEUR radio now? [I must
check with the FCC more often...they "revise the amateur
regulations so often" and everyone knows (in here) that
amateur radio is "a vital important service to the nation"
as I've been told by others]

No, parachute jumping is NOT a requirement for amateur
radio. Last change to U.S. amateur radio regulations was
in 2002...something about amateur antennas...

How many Jumps have YOU made, Jimmie? [other than through
those hoops]

Does everyone have to Jump when you command, Jimmie?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1939. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 20:56:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uc4ne.284$W77.138@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109271

N9OGL wrote:
>>lying at his ass ??? How do I lie at my ass? Prove it! I've proved
>>all of your lies.
>>And for the last time idiot it's YOU'RE a stupid f*** not YOUR a stupid
>>f***.
>
>
> I mean't to say your lying out your a## which you are....you getting on
> here claiming I was interferning...

Simply priceless!

>with a QSO in progress, you are a
> F##KING LIAR, just like you buddy steve. YOU WANT ME PROVE IT, OK YOU
> LITTLE F##KER, ALL MY HF ANTENNA'S ARE DOWN AND HAVE BEEN DOWN FOR THE
> LAST TWO WEEKS, BECAUSE WE ARE PUTTING A NEW ROOF ON OUR HOUSE...YOU
> F##KING LYING A##HOLE. SO PROVE ME OTHERWISE B##CH.

So, in telling us that your "show" continues, you've been less than
truthful with us?

Dave K8MN

1940. Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 21:08:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117573712.328894.258140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109273

Todd sent me a couple of challenges...thought you might get a
laugh from them:

Item First:

QUOTE

n9ogl has sent you a link to a weblog:
Are starting again at voice and giving everyone chance.
If you want I will let you write guest colum of other opinion.
Up to the chalenge, retard?

Todd

Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Post: NEW WEBSITE
Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/new-website.html

UNQUOTE

The "chalenge", Todd, is getting through your "posts" and
"letters" without getting a headache from deciphering your
semi-literate grammar.

There is no way on God's Green Earth that I would "guest write" a
column on a blog of yours, thereby appearing to lend even the most
indirect or unintentional "legitimacy" to your "blog".

Item Second:

QUOTE

K9OGL has sent you a link to a weblog:
Are starting again at voice and giving everyone chance.
If you want I will let you write guest colum of other opinion.
Up to the chalenge, retard?

Todd

Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Post: THE ARRL LIES!!!!!
Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/arrl-lies.html

UNQUOTE

Who is "K9OGL", Todd? Can't even get your own call right?

And Todd...

If you're going to call someone else a "retard", you really ought to not make the claim in a sentence that makes it appear as though the claim was MADE by a "retard"...(Although in this case we would be correct...)

And I repeat my previous assertion...There is no way on God's Green Earth that I would "guest write" on your blog, thereby lending, however unintentional, some "legitimacy" to your blog.

Steve, K4YZ

1941. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 21:23:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117574637.349639.173030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109274

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> Gotta take some serious "cajones" to ENLIST voluntarily...or
> >> even accept a draft notice (which Jimmie never did).
> >
> >Did you ever "accept a draft notice", Len?
>
> Couldn't. Was already IN the U.S. Army. The Army Serial
> Number I was given had the prefix "RA" on it...that denoted
> a VOLUNTARY ENLISTMENT. Those who got draft notices and
> went in that way got the "US" prefix.
>
> What was YOUR prefix, Jimmie?
>
> Oh, that's right, I almost forgot that the Army switched
> from ASNs to the Social Security Number in 1969. Tsk, tsk,
> YOU could NEVER have an ASN!

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...More LennieDoubleStandard going on
here...Lennie LOVES to chastise Amateurs over alleged "I've got mine
but you'll never have it" claims...

Yet THERE HE IS doing it point blank himself...

> >> Jimmie "knows" all about parachute jumping in the military.
> >
> >I don't claim to know all about it. Just some things.
>
> You are way too modest. You've read about so many things.

As have YOU, Lennie...

For example you've read a lot about Amateur Radio.

You've never DONE Amateur radio...but you've read about it.

> >You ever do any parachute jumps, Len?
>
> One.

I told you guys...

He was the "big jerk" at the end of the opening shock.

> For personal experience. Wasn't a requirement in radio.

Uh huh...yeah...right...OK...sure...(yeeeeeeeeeaaawn)

> Maybe it's a new requirement in AMATEUR radio now? [I must
> check with the FCC more often...they "revise the amateur
> regulations so often" and everyone knows (in here) that
> amateur radio is "a vital important service to the nation"
> as I've been told by others]

As we have ALL been told by several federal agencies and private
benevolent organizations that make that claim, Lennie...

Are you now calling those agencies liars too?

> No, parachute jumping is NOT a requirement for amateur
> radio. Last change to U.S. amateur radio regulations was
> in 2002...something about amateur antennas...
>
> How many Jumps have YOU made, Jimmie? [other than through
> those hoops]
>
> Does everyone have to Jump when you command, Jimmie?
>
> LenILoveToMakeThisUpAsIgoAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1942. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 21:32:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117575163.192485.120360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109275

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Mon 30 May 2005 01:44
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Mike Coslo on May 29, 9:57 pm
> >>
> >> NOT EVEN CLOSE. Having been IN the "space business" since
> >> 1964 and working for the manufacturer of the Space Shuttle
> >> Main Engine...(SNIP)
> >>
> > Even aerospace industries have to hire janitors, Lennie...I am
> >sure you were very enthusiastic in your duties.
>
> IN aerospace industry doing specific space related work:
>
> Electro-Optical Systems, Pasadena, CA (then a Division of Xerox,
> now a Division of Loral) as spacecraft fabrication engineer.

Uh huh. Right.

> Clean room environment, strict QC...(SNIP)

I assume that to mean "Quick Cleaning"...janitors are supposed to
do that...

> microwelding of "cordwood"
> and all soldering/inspection under 10x stereo microscopes.
> Unmanned spacecraft packages included Mars Mariner 67,
> Quadrupole Spectrometer, ALSEP (Apollo Lunar Surface
> Experiment Pacakage) SWS (Solar Wind Spectrometer). 1960s.

Don't believe a bit of it.

Science fiction...er...Lennie fiction, I guess.

> Rocketdyne Division of Rockwell International...(SNIP)

I didn't believe the preceeding...I sure don't beleive this.

> NO custodial services performed for any employer, any time.

I bet.

> I was enthused by nearly ALL projects.

But none of them involving Amateur Radio.

> So, what did Stebie do after being fired as a Purchasing
> Agent of a small company after less than a half year of
> employment?

"Stebie" wouldn't know. "Stebie" wasn't fired, and you continue
to get other bits of information wrong, Lennie.

This has been readdressed to you before. Why do you continue to
get it wrong? Just looking at past headers on messages proves that
much.

> Go back into custodial services or continue
> cleaning bed pans?

I don't do either. Benefit of being an ER Nurse.

> LenIdon'tCareHowLudicrousMyLiesAppearAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1943. Re: Posturing and puffery!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 21:39:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117575586.443274.169620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109276

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Pooh-pooh that away if you care to...But you do so at your
> > peril...
> >
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!
>
> Pooh-pooh-pooh-pooh!

Careful, Hans...Your "Depends" are loose around the legs.

Steve, K4YZ

1944. Lennie Tries To Dodge Cowardice Claim...Fails

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 21:46:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117575978.068975.313990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109277

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Mon 30 May 2005 11:30

> >> You've already been given authentic facts, Brian.
> >
> >We've only seen your baseless assertions and claims. Post Docs.
>
> Hmmmm...this can go on for years...

As has your baseless accusations of "dishonesty" at the ARRL,
rumors of conspiracy by "mighty morsemen", etc etc etc...

> 1. Stebie has NO documentation so he can't post it.

However verifiable details WERE given.

> 2. Everyone is supposed to "take Stebie's 'word' for it."

Nope. Details were given. Go ask the authorities that were
cited.

> 3. Stebie will substitute Personal Insults for actual proof.

The "personal insults" are of cowardice for those who claim no
details were given when in fact they were...

> 4. Stebie will then be asked (again) to show documentation.

That's your bluff, Lennie...

> 5. Continue iteration by looping to (1)...ad nauseum.

The nausea is problably Mrs Lennie trying to stave off your
drooling advances...But the "looping" comes from those who refuse to
verify the facts already given...That would be you and Brainless.

> LenMakingMoreAssinineUnfoundedAllegationsAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1945. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:02:17 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <ugnp91dfhfp055qovcmrbnfi3ihqdolt49@4ax.com>
Source record: 109278

On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:

>Some time back an anonymous poster calling himself "Leo" appeared
>here. Claimed he was a ham in Canada. You considered him "legitimate".

Hmmm - probably because I am! ..... :)

73, Leo

1946. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:10:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117577445.935886.187050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109279

GO RIGHT AHEAD YOU LITTLE BITCH, IT ISN'T ME ON THAT TAPE, SO DO THE FUCK WHATEVER YOU WANT. BECAUSE I'LL SUE YOU AND ANYOTHER BITCH ON HERE THAT CLAIMS TO HAVE A TAPE AND I'LL SUE THE FUCKING FCC FOR BELIEVING YOU WORTHLESS SORRY ASSES.

TODD N9OGL

1947. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:15:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117577745.195358.55590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109280

DUMBASS I DIDN'T SENT YOU THAT SHIT YOU FUCKING LIAR

1948. Re: Lennie Tries To Dodge Cowardice Claim...Fails

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:22:29 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117578149.880152.231990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109281

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "bb" on Mon 30 May 2005 11:30
>
> > >> You've already been given authentic facts, Brian.
> > >
> > >We've only seen your baseless assertions and claims. Post Docs.
> >
> > Hmmmm...this can go on for years...
>
> As has your baseless accusations of "dishonesty" at the ARRL,
> rumors of conspiracy by "mighty morsemen", etc etc etc...

Not all conspiracy theories are false.

> > 1. Stebie has NO documentation so he can't post it.
>
> However verifiable details WERE given.

I think Steve just admitted to no documentation.

> > 2. Everyone is supposed to "take Stebie's 'word' for it."
>
> Nope. Details were given. Go ask the authorities that were
> cited.

You know what "no documentation" means? You said it means you didn't
do it, i.e., a lie.

> > 3. Stebie will substitute Personal Insults for actual proof.
>
> The "personal insults" are of cowardice for those who claim no
> details were given when in fact they were...

The cowardice is for claims of having documentation, yet being somehow
unable to post it.

> > 4. Stebie will then be asked (again) to show documentation.
>
> That's your bluff, Lennie...

I'm the one asking.

> > 5. Continue iteration by looping to (1)...ad nauseum.
>
> The nausea is problably Mrs Lennie trying to stave off your
> drooling advances...

Huh? How did you dream that one up?

Why don't you leave people's families out of your insults?

But the "looping" comes from those who refuse to
> verify the facts already given...That would be you and Brainless.

It is in your best interest to verify your own claims. After all, you said that if you can't provide documentation it means that you didn't do it, i.e., a lie.

> > LenMakingMoreAssinineUnfoundedAllegationsAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Ah, more penis talk.

1949. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:23:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117578221.396932.73100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109282

LYING DICKHEAD!!!!!!!!!

1950. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:29:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117578581.284407.237850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109283

WELL I THINK YOUR FULL OF SHIT, NICE LYING STEVE TAUGHT YOU WELL....BTW I HAVE ONE MORE WEEK THEN I GO BACK TO SCHOOL, AND THEN I GO IN THE FALL......BUT YOU STILL A LITTLE ASSHOLE ALONG WITH YOUR BOYFRIENDS STEVE, DAVE, AND COMMANDER BITCHWHORE. SO SEND OFF YOU "LITTLE TAPE" I'LL NOT ONLY SUE THE PEOPLE WHO MADE THAT FAKE PIECE OF SHIT, BUT I'LL SUE THE FCC FOR BELIEVING YOUR SORRY ASSES, AND HEY YOU CAN QUOTE ME ON THAT...BITCH!

TODD N9OGL

1951. Re: River of Light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:31:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117578674.907036.254680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109284

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > http://tinyurl.com/bqkdz
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> . . . code practice . . ?
>
> w3rv

The Russians did a similar thing to the American Embassy in the 60's and 70's. They beamed strong SHF at the building in the hopes of disruption brain patterns. Hmmmm? Maybe Steve was on guard duty then.

1952. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:37:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117579065.734974.25060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109285

Leo wrote:
> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>
> >Some time back an anonymous poster calling himself "Leo" appeared
> >here. Claimed he was a ham in Canada. You considered him "legitimate".
>
> Hmmm - probably because I am! ..... :)
>
And why should "Arf! Arf!" be treated any differently?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1953. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:40:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117579205.348116.27040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109286

Christy D wrote:
> On Tue, 31 May 2005 12:07:05 GMT, Kim <mail@nomail.com> wrote:
> [102 lines snipped]
>
> The correctness of the original opinion is directly proportional to
> the length of the attempted rebuttal.

inversely proportional?

1954. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:48:40 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117579720.346193.267750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109287

Leo wrote:
> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>
> >Some time back an anonymous poster calling himself "Leo" appeared
> >here. Claimed he was a ham in Canada. You considered him "legitimate".
>
> Hmmm - probably because I am! ..... :)
>
> 73, Leo

It's Steve that gets Quitebothered by anonymous posters.

Oh, correction. ...by anonymous posters that don'tQuiteagreewithhim.

1955. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:50:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vs6dnaRCHe7HdwHfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 109288

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:0bQme.4143$MI4.2432@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
>
>> For me, Memorial Day is more than honoring those who have died.
>
> At the risk of appearing to be an unsensitive old galoot, and at the
> further risk of alienating the 2 YL's who want to honor Rosie the Riveter
> on Memorial day, I must strenuously disagree.
>

You won't alienate me unless you stoop to the disgusting tactics of the likes of Todd. I seriously doubt that you would ever fall to such depths.

> There are a lot of well-deserved "days" to honor veterans and servicemen
> in general, and those others out of uniform who labored "in the cause",
> but Memorial Day is NOT that day.
>

There is no day to honor those not in uniform who gave all they could to support the soldiers. I've searched every holiday list I can find and there is nothing. It harms no one for me to choose to include those people in my thoughts.

> Memorial Day (originally called "Decoration Day" because the main activity
> wasn't an extra Monday off for a barbeque in the back yard, but rather
> decorating grave sites) was established specifically to remember those
> honored comrades in arms who LAID DOWN THEIR LIVES for us. Let's never
> dilute that sacred honor by turning Memorial Day into another "feel good
> day" for every other patriotic group who wants to tag along on their
> glorious sacrifice.
>

I do not believe it dilutes their sacred honor.

> The rest of us have Veterans Day/Armistice Day, Labor Day, Armed Forces
> Day, Navy Day, etc. to recognize our contributions. Can we be content
> with that?
>

None of these honor the civilian contributions to support the soldiers. Labor Day has nothing whatsoever to do with honor those who supported our soldiers. Instead it was proposed in the 1880s by the labor unions and adopted as a way to recognize the everyday worker for their contributions to the economy and society.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1956. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:51:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117579881.039889.158600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109289

Hey dumbass, I didn't sent you that shit because 1. no way in hell would I want you as a guest "writer" I think before you go around accusing people of something that you make sure it was sent by that person.

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
toddaug@consolidated.net

1957. Re: Brian Burke ("bb"), N0IMD, Gutless Coward...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:52:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117579924.809042.219430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109290

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > From: "bb" on Sun 29 May 2005 12:29
> > > > > >
> > > > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >> bb wrote:
> > > > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> Independently verifiable information...which you are too cowardly
> > > > > >> to verify.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >All you need is authentic documentation. Got any?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's a "no use" request, Brian. He doesn't have any.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Gee, Lennie....
> > > > >
> > > > > Only one line into your diatribe and we find you factless again.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're making this way too easy, but thanks...
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Factless???
> > >
> > > Yep. Factless.
> > >
> > > Prove Len and me wrong for once. Post your authentic documentation.
> >
> > You've already been given authentic facts, Brian.
> >
> > We've only seen your baseless assertions and claims.
>
> Not baseless.
>
> References previously provided.
>
> Brian P Burke is a coward and a liar...Again...

How can that be? I've merely asked you for what you demand from others. Don't like it? Whaaaaaaa!

So do you have documentation or don't you?

1958. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 22:55:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117580115.882277.50900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109291

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Heh heh, I've heard the particular lament of "we can't get
> these (darn) kids to LISTEN to us!" for lots of generations
> and have read of the same thing in books printed before I
> existed. :-) It's a VERY common parental angst.

Gee, Len, since you've never actually been a parent, it sounds
like you're talking about something you've never actually done..
>
> One thing that teachers learn - if they are good at teaching -
> is to NEVER TALK DOWN to students...not even if one is boiling
> over inside because they "won't listen." :-) The problem is
> really in the teacher being unable to properly teach. That
> applies to ANY subject and ANYONE explaining something. The
> "teacher" has to address the subject, put the spotlight on it
> and avoid shining the spotlight on themselves. The subject
> will be new to the "students" but the "teacher" is familiar.

Have you ever been a teacher of young people, Len?
>
> "Teachers" have to know much more about the subject than
> their "lesson plan." They have to organize their "teaching"
> into a comprehensible, learnable flow of ideas and concepts
> that "students" can mentally digest (difficult but obviously
> not impossible). Knowing more about the subject than the
> "plan outline," allows them to field interruptions of some
> "students" about bits and pieces of the subject that they
> might have already learned. Such "students" could be
> lightly disciplined "in class" with something like "that's
> true, but let's bring that up later after we look at the
> overall picture (of this subject)." There's lots of similar
> ways to keep control on "teaching" a subject to a group.

You don't seem to be able to do any teaching here, though, Len.

> That's true but way too many (in here at least) want to get
> "insulted" from an apparent "attack" on what they do! :-)

You get insulted by someone disagreeing with you, or pointing
out your mistakes.
>
> Having spent over a half century IN radio and electronics,
> having been to many places, being a member of the IEEE for
> 32 years, my observation (shared with others) is that the
> "technical people" got INTO technology because it was
> interesting to them personally...WITHOUT having to go

> through the licensed amateur radio route. Far more non-
> amateurs in professional electronics than those who might
> have gotten a ham ticket during their teen-age years.

So? You've never been a radio amateur, either.

> The technical phenomenon of cellular telephony has put
> roughly 100 MILLION cell phones into the USA population.
> [statement of the U.S. Census Bureau over two years ago
> based on cell phone subscriptions here as one in three
> of the population] Cell phones (little two-way radios in
> themselves) are just extensions of a general need to
> communicate amongst one's peer group...little different
> from wired telephone use by teenagers a half century ago.

And they don't require any license by the user. They're almost
totally automatic in operation, too. Is that what
you think amateur radio should be?

> Using cell phones as a "hobby" is wrong.

Says who?

> Semi-private
> communications is a social group act, not a hobby.

What in the world does that mean?

> Those who are too IN to their particular hobby (such as
> amateur radio) have lost sight of how widespread and
> pervasive the entire world of "radio" has become.

Who are you talking about, Len?

> They've
> lost sight of that other technical phenomenon, the Internet
> with its ability to reach most of the world without any
> ionospheric perterbations affecting HF bands.

So what?

> They've
> become ignorant to the fact that the rest of the "radio"
> world has gone beyond HF, that HF is NOT the Holy Grail
> of communications means nor are the very early skills
> of "radio operating" some kind of ultimate test of
> "skill."

Who said they were?

You sound like a powerboater trying to ruin the fun of sailboaters.

> True enough. History - as far as some folks' attitudes -
> DOES repeat itself, again and again. :-)

Yep, we see your same old tired wornout attitudes here again
and again, Len...;-)

> The paradigms of yesterday just DON'T apply today.

Some of them do. Or do you insist on a continuous techno-cultural
revolution?

> Those
> reasons for being have been crowded out with a cornucopia
> of NEW, challenging avocations affordable by most. The
> world and technology has CHANGED. Some people haven't.

Is that a bad thing? Is all change somehow good?

>
> The inability to change, to accept change, may be a human
> survival trait? The "familiar" represents "security." It
> is known. To be good at something is comforting, reassuring.
> To individuals. But, the overall "tribe" has accepted
> change, accepted it, and is enjoying it.

Not all change is good, Len. The fact that the mob does something
does not make it better, or right.

Look at the contest between the text messagers and the Morse Code
operators. The "tribe" (the audience) was so sure the world-champion
text messagers would be faster than the Morse Code operators in their
historic costumes. Yet the Morse Code ops, going about 1/3 world
record speed, passed the message perfectly, without abbreviations,
and presented the result in written form before the text messagers
could even get the message inputted into the 'phone. (They had two
words to go - "car insurance").

And it's rather surreal to see you lecturing and posturing on
"young'uns in Ham Radio" when it was *you* who suggested to FCC that
no-one below the age of 14
years be allowed to obtain *any* class of amateur radio license. Yet
when asked
for examples of young people causing problems in amateur radio because
of their
youth, you could not give a single example.

1959. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 23:03:38 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117580618.220059.44450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109292

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> Gotta take some serious "cajones" to ENLIST voluntarily...or
> >> even accept a draft notice (which Jimmie never did).
> >
> >Did you ever "accept a draft notice", Len?
>
> Couldn't.

So the answer is no.

> Was already IN the U.S. Army.

At age 20. In my time, they were drafting 19 year olds.

> What was YOUR prefix, Jimmie?

What does it matter, Len?

> >> Jimmie "knows" all about parachute jumping in the military.
> >
> >I don't claim to know all about it. Just some things.
>
> You are way too modest. You've read about so many things.

Just like you, Len.

> >You ever do any parachute jumps, Len?
>
> One.
>
> For personal experience. Wasn't a requirement in radio.

Was it in the military? Was it from an airplane?
>
> Maybe it's a new requirement in AMATEUR radio now? [I must
> check with the FCC more often...they "revise the amateur
> regulations so often" and everyone knows (in here) that
> amateur radio is "a vital important service to the nation"
> as I've been told by others]

You've never met the requirements for any amateur license, Len,
and some of your interpretations of Part 97 have been shown to
be in error.
>
> How many Jumps have YOU made, Jimmie?

What does it matter, Len?

Suppose I had 100 parachute jumps on record - would you behave any differently towards me?

I don't think so.

I see how you behave towards those with more service time than you - with more experience than you - with more education than you. Doesn't matter what their qualifications are, if they disagree with you, they are treated to your classic jackass behavior.

Please note that I described your *behavior*, Len, not you. I have not called anyone on usenet a jackass. Certainly not you, because I don't think you're a jackass.

If I were to call someone a jackass, it could be an insult to jackasses everywhere. Also, if a person really *is* a jackass, then they can hardly be blamed for *behaving* like a jackass.

But if a person is *not* a jackass, yet exhibits jackass behavior, they have only themselves to blame.

1960. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 23:11:20 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <d3rp91huofgpde4hcv8fp3idmu4svla0jh@4ax.com>
Source record: 109293

On 31 May 2005 15:37:45 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>>
>> >Some time back an anonymous poster calling himself "Leo" appeared
>> >here. Claimed he was a ham in Canada. You considered him "legitimate".
>>
>> Hmmm - probably because I am! ..... :)
>>
>And why should "Arf! Arf!" be treated any differently?

Perhaps you could enlighten us on that, Jim.....as I recall, you
yourself argued the other side of this point back in November, when I
was not agreeing with you (back in the 'Near Space Science'
thread).....your exact words were:

>> Didn't get past me. Leo sez he's a VE3. But no call, no last name, no
>> positive
>> ID, no website, no outside confirmation. Maybe he is, maybe he ain't.

Hmmm - let's see here....I wasn't agreeing with you, so perhaps I am
not legitimate. Yet here you make a case for Arf! Arf!'s existance
because he does agree with you.

That's a puzzle, alright - wish I could help you answer it! :)

73, Leo

1961. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 23:13:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <F5adncMwUK53cgHfRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 109294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
news:78cdb$429bb9bd$4e0dbaf$24893@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> In 1963, the CBers outnumbered the Ham Population.
>
> The number of US hams exceeded 250,000 in 1963.
>
> 1917 - about 6,000
>
>
> 1928 - about 17,000
>
>
> 1936 - about 46,000
>
>
> 1950 - near 90,000
>
>
> 1956 - over 140,000
>
>
> 1958 - about 160,000
>
>
> 1963 - over 250,000
>
>
> 1977 - 327,000
>
> 1989 - over 500,000
>
> 1997 June - 678,473
>
> 2001 Jan 1 - 682,240
>
> 2002 Oct 31 -- 684,355
>

Could you add the corresponding general population figures. Then we could
see if the number of hams is growing faster or slower than the general
popluation.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1962. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 23:42:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3E6ne.11308$M36.3581@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109295

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:0bQme.4143$MI4.2432@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
>
>> For me, Memorial Day is more than honoring those who have died.
>
> At the risk of appearing to be an unsensitive old galoot, and at the
> further risk of alienating the 2 YL's who want to honor Rosie the Riveter
> on Memorial day, I must strenuously disagree.
>
> There are a lot of well-deserved "days" to honor veterans and servicemen
> in general, and those others out of uniform who labored "in the cause",
> but Memorial Day is NOT that day.
>
> Memorial Day (originally called "Decoration Day" because the main activity
> wasn't an extra Monday off for a barbeque in the back yard, but rather
> decorating grave sites) was established specifically to remember those
> honored comrades in arms who LAID DOWN THEIR LIVES for us. Let's never
> dilute that sacred honor by turning Memorial Day into another "feel good
> day" for every other patriotic group who wants to tag along on their
> glorious sacrifice.
>
> The rest of us have Veterans Day/Armistice Day, Labor Day, Armed Forces
> Day, Navy Day, etc. to recognize our contributions. Can we be content
> with that?
>
> de Hans
>
>
>
And there it is.

Dan/W4NTI

1963. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 23:51:37 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117583497.743173.120750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109296

Dee Flint wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1117462538.185708.188870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > . . .
> >
> >> > On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
> >> > mix of ages.
> >>
> >> Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
> >> population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?
> >
> > What "dire fate" would befall ham radio if there wasn't a single
> > licensee under 21? What do they actaully bring to hobby which is so
> > important?? Sorry, makes no sense, I just don't get it.
> >
> > If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and recruit retirees instead
> > of chasing kids? The retirees are far more independent than kids,
> > they're more mature, on average they don't care about nonsense like
> > instant gratification and peer pressure and they have the time the kids
> > don't have. And in most cases they also have the money the kids don't
> > have.
> >
> > w3rv
> >
>
> I've often suggested recruiting people in the 40 to 50 year old range.
> Their kids are grown or nearly so. They have a better income than when they
> were younger and a little more free time than when they were younger. And
> they are still young enough to have energy and enthusiasm for new
> activities.

Absolutely, the 40 and up sector is where I expect most new hams will
come from. By a wide margin. There's another factor out there to
consider. The Boomers are coming up fast on their late 50s/early 60s
and this bunch is a whole *lot* more tech-savvy than my generation is
and I'm only a few years older than they are. They're some serious
candidates for recruiting into ham radio.

> Personally I try to encourage everyone of all ages who shows even a hint of
> interest.

I believe we all have some responsibility to Elmer newbies into the hobby wherever they pop up. Which I call "passive recruiting". I'd like to see some "aggressive recruiting" aimed this time at the "over the hill gang". I don't think it's ever been tried before. Which is another good reason to take a whack at it.

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

w3rv

1964. New BPL system by Motorola desgned to mimize interence per ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 23:52:08 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <IM6ne.11116$uR4.9836@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109297

http://www.remote.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/05/23/1/?nc=1

ARRL indicates this system shows promise. See the articele
itself for details.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1965. Re: Thanks for the humor, Lennie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 23:53:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <iO6ne.11315$M36.8903@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109298

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1117567387.916364.282470@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Dan/Diana on May 30, 8:57 pm
>
>>Thank you "Diana". Now lets see Lennie's "comments".
>
> Nice try at cross-dressing, Dan/Diana, but your makeup is crooked.
>
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

You really are an idiot, ain't ya Lennie?

Are you not capable of reading headers? And I never have, nor will I ever
use a fake ID, or address.

I say it the way it is.

Dan/W4NTI

1966. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 23:56:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <BQ6ne.11316$M36.9023@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109200

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1117506188.850361.236680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> The difference between me and him Danny is I chck to see if the
> frequency is in use, if it is I move on. I pick a unused frequency to
> run my bulletin. Your the one ingnorant and naive if you can understand
> that his transmissions (except for the interference) is legal, The FCC
> said so and your stupid that you can't understand that.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Good for you Toad. It still makes no difference. You are going down the
same road. So have fun while you can.

Dan/W4NTI

1967. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-05-31 23:56:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <7R6ne.11317$M36.9569@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109299

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1WRme.4182$MI4.2012@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> N9OGL wrote:
> Your the one ingnorant and naive if you can understand
>> that his transmissions (except for the interference) is legal...
>
>
> Priceless!
>
> Dave K8MN

Maybe we should sell tickets.

Dan/W4NTI

1968. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 00:04:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117584245.396428.29750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109201

From: Leo on Tues 31 May 2005 15:02

>On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>
>>Some time back an anonymous poster calling himself "Leo" appeared
>>here. Claimed he was a ham in Canada. You considered him "legitimate".
>
>Hmmm - probably because I am! ..... :)

Nice to see you again, Leo! Of course, you have to realize
definitions in here are tangential to the Real World.

If you are FOR morse code testing by administrations, you are
"legitimate."

If you are against morse code testing then you are "illegitimate,"
"in error," "mistaken," "are a lying penis-head" and have
underarm odor.

Meanwhile, the anony-mousies may have gone back to their spam
letters of being some kind of "financial officer" of some
African country seeking "additional funds" to "get back"
millions in "held monies" and will freely "share some of that
"held money" if you cross their e-palms with more money. :-)

[anyone can be anything in this medium...and many are! :-)]

I'm not at all sure of what some of the cross-dressing anony-
mousies are in here. They may have been tossed off some porn
sites catering to "amateurs" and are confused as to content in
here... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1969. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 00:06:10 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117584370.563135.186930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109202

From: "K4YZ" on Tues 31 May 2005 14:23

> Putz.

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

1970. Re: Lennie Tries To Dodge Cowardice Claim...Fails

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 00:09:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117584552.764067.255510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109203

From: "K4YZ" on Tues 31 May 2005 14:46

> Putz.

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

1971. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 00:22:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <sd7ne.13602$w21.2460@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109204

```
>> Your right Jim. I see no chance for the USA to stay on top. IMHO it is
> due
>> to the liberal mindset that started in the 60s and has taken over our
> public
>> schools and Universities.
>>
>> And I see no help for it.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> Hello, Dan
>
> Cutting aid to eduation, the V.A., and other programs is a function of the
> liberal mindset?
>

That is not what I said, nor meant. And you know it. However I'll follow
your trend.....

No, it is a result of idiots that happen to be Republicans.

> Meanwhile, a ton of cuts for the rich. BTW, they want to eliminate the
> deposit (5 cents) per can of soda (and other stuff such as beer) in NYS.
> They wish to replace it with a similar *tax*! Have you noticed that they
> wish to eliminate (or have they already) a deduction for energy efficient
> vehicles - yet retain a deduction for SUVs weighing over .... what is it,
> 3
> tons?
>
> The so-called liberals wanted the deposit to encourage folks to return the
> cans and not leave them all over the place. Pataki (our governor) and his
> gang (republicans) want to replace the deposit with a *tax*! They can't
> stand to see the money returned to the people.
>
> The reality is that the Republicans want to kill the poor to save the
> rich.
>
> Nice try.
>

Oh come on now Jim. That is idiotic and you know it. The Republicans don't
want to kill the poor. They want them to keep voting for Republicans.
Hey...sorta like the Democrats, eh?
```

> Given a few years of our troups being killed in Iraq, I'll be interested
> in
> seeing how they will replace them. Duty *forever* in Iraq is not likely
> to
> work.
>

Ah.....the VN syndrom is still alive and well amongst the Liberals amongst
us. Hey Jim...didn't work then....won't work now. The Liberals EXTENDED
the VIETNAM WAR.....don't ya know?

> I had no problems with attacking Afganistan. Iraq, however, with its'
> weapons of mass destruction .... well, sooner or later the folks with the
> modest double-digit I.Q.s will wake up.

That's because you and apparantly a large proportion of the US public have
no concept at all of why we are in IRAQ. It's called divide and conquor,
it's called setting up a Democracy (or something close) right smack in the
middle of the Middle East. It's called ending this endless BS coming out of
the Jihad morons. I would rather fight them there then here. Wake
up....or would you prefere a bunch of ragheads in the streets of NJ killing
and raping your women and kids?

Far fetched? Burn a Quaron and see what the enlightened ones do. Oh,
sorry. We already saw a touch of that one.

How many weapons of mass
> destruction have they found?

They all went to Syria. They are next you know.

England is really upset with Tony Blair. They
> want him out. I offered to trade Bush for him, but, unfortunately, no one
> wants Bush.
>
Oh come on now....Chiaraq is history, Tony Blair will be vindicated. The
dumb ass Britts threw out Churchill after he defeated Hitler (with our help
of course). You can't expect clear thinking folks to consider Great
Brittain as a bastion of common sense now can you?

> Of course, the Democrats that get elected because of this will be blamed
> when they have to make changes. Changes will have to be made, whether we
> like it or not.
>
> BTW, I didn't inhale :))
>
>

Sure you did. It's ok the statute has ended by now.
>
>
> Best regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

>
>
73 old boy.

Dan/W4NTI

1972. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 00:32:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Tm7ne.13609$w21.7258@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109205

Here Lennie, take this quarter....and call some one that cares.

You are in serious need of a rubber room.

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1117567662.279992.91600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Dan/Diana on May 30, 9:08 pm
>
>
>
>>You have to love Ham Radio to come and join us. If you
>>don't.......(this will tick em off) WE DON'T NEED YA.
>
> Ooooooohhhh! :-)
>
> Sounds like the usual HATE group sloganeering. Do you have
> that mighty macho morseman slogan on the white sheets you
> wear at your "clubhouse?" Printed on old confederate flags?
>
> Talk to the ARRL marketing folks...maybe they can make those
> sheets (and presumably T-shirts for informal wear) available
> by mail-order (shipping charges extra)?
>
> Does your "ham club" have a regulation ring or do you have
> your regular fight nights on bare dirt?
>
> Sounds like "lots of fun" for a radio hobby...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1973. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 01:02:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117587773.643879.227160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109206

>Good for you Toad. It still makes no difference. You are going down the
>same road. So have fun while you can.
>Dan/W4NTI

In what way??

Todd N9OGL

1974. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 01:27:00 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <xcydnV6SRPl7kwDfRVn-vQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109207

N9OGL wrote:
> LYING DICKHEAD!!!!!!!!!
>
Come on toady, tell us how you really feel!

1975. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 01:39:21 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <J5mdnfpRUcNTjADfRVn-oA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109208

N9OGL wrote:

> WELL I THINK YOUR FULL OF SHIT, NICE LYING STEVE TAUGHT YOU WELL....BTW
> I HAVE ONE MORE WEEK THEN I GO BACK TO SCHOOL, AND THEN I GO IN THE
> FALL......BUT YOU STILL A LITTLE ASSHOLE ALONG WITH YOUR BOYFRIENDS
> STEVE, DAVE, AND COMMANDER BITCHWHORE. SO SEND OFF YOU "LITTLE TAPE"
> I'LL NOT ONLY SUE THE PEOPLE WHO MADE THAT FAKE PIECE OF SHIT, BUT I'LL
> SUE THE FCC FOR BELIEVING YOUR SORRY ASSES, AND HEY YOU CAN QUOTE ME ON
> THAT...BITCH!
>
>
> TODD N9OGL

Toad, once again, It's YOU'RE full of ****,
Why do you need to include Steve in every reply, if I learned about lying from anybody here, it's from you idiot. You forget that I've seen your ugly mug, pointy nose, gay stare. Do you really think typing in caps proves anything? You are like the idiot that thinks if he screams louder that he's right, that is until somebody shuts his mouth for him, like the FCC will be doing to you very soon! :)
Is Gaylorville anywhere near Gailsburg or Peoria? You lied about your ISP kicking you, you lied about your show being on, you lie about everything.
You know how to tell when the toad is lying? His lips are moving!
BTW, I saw your other gay page about you getting tied up by the 3 cowboys, I bet you got excited at the thought of that.
Sorry to hear that you have to goto summer school, are you taking your GED classes? Maybe when you graduate, you can get a managers job at Radio Shack!

1976. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 01:41:50 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <J5mdnfVRUcP9jwDfRVn-oA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109209

N9OGL wrote:

> GO RIGHT AHEAD YOU LITTLE BITCH, IT ISN'T ME ON THAT TAPE, SO DO THE
> FUCK WHATEVER YOU WANT. BECAUSE I'LL SUE YOU AND ANYOTHER BITCH ON HERE
> THAT CLAIMS TO HAVE A TAPE AND I'LL SUE THE FUCKING FCC FOR BELIEVING
> YOU WORTHLESS SORRY ASSES.
>
> TODD N9OGL

Well make good on your threat idiot. I'm claiming to have a tape, so sue
me gayboy!
Have a happy. :)

1977. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 01:53:16 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <hd6dnbWcWfSTiADfRVn-vA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109210

N9OGL wrote:

> Hey dumbass, I didn't sent you that shit because 1. no way in hell
> would I want you as a guest "writer" I think before you go around
> accusing people of something that you make sure it was sent by that
> person.
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> toddaug@consolidated.net

Is that more of your censorship idiot?

1978. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 01:59:28 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <RfqdnZL6x90eiwDfRVn-3g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109211

N9OGL wrote:

> Hey dumbass, I didn't sent you that shit because 1. no way in hell
> would I want you as a guest "writer" I think before you go around
> accusing people of something that you make sure it was sent by that
> person.
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> toddaug@consolidated.net

Did you say "I think before you go around
accusing people of something that you make sure it was sent by that
person."
This from the idiot moron lying fool that has accused more then 1 person
of posting crap on his gay blog!
Toad, sue me fool, I have a tape! I'd send you a copy if you wouldn't
claim it was something else! Make sense of that!
>

1979. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 03:13:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117595629.820393.159840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109212

>Toad, sue me fool, I have a tape! I'd send you a copy if you wouldn't
>claim it was something else! Make sense of that!

Sure, it's a fake, made up by some morons either on this newsgroup or
from the ARRL.

Todd N9OGL

1980. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 03:15:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117595758.720864.15140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109213

FUCK YOU ASSHOLE AND ALL YOUR LITTLE BITCHES ON HERE.

1981. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 03:20:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117596030.808746.124590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109214

MAYBE YOU SHOULD THAT FAKE TAPE TO YOUR GAY BOYFRIENDS AT THE ARRL AND
YOU ALL CAN GET OFF ON IT. BECAUSE YOU ARE A LIAR AND SO IS YOUR
FUCKING ASSHOLE BUDDIES, THE ARRL.

TODD N9OGL

1982. Re: Brian Burke ("bb"), N0IMD, Gutless Coward...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 04:26:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117599971.501514.231980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109215

From: "bb" on Tues 31 May 2005 15:52

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > bb wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > > > > From: "bb" on Sun 29 May 2005 12:29

<etc., etc., etc...>

>> > > > Prove Len and me wrong for once. Post your authentic documentation.
>> > >
>> > > You've already been given authentic facts, Brian.
>> >
>> > We've only seen your baseless assertions and claims.
>>
>> Not baseless.
>>
>> References previously provided.
>>
>> Brian P Burke is a coward and a liar...Again...
>
>How can that be? I've merely asked you for what you demand from
>others. Don't like it? Whaaaaaaa!

Good old PCTA Extra Double Standard from Stebie. :-)

>So do you have documentation or don't you?

He doesn't but he's very busy thinking up new insults for those
that demand of him the same thing he demands of others. :-)

I give this loop about 30 more iterations. We ask, Stebie
insults. Stebie nebber comes up with documentation. Yawn.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1983. Re: Lennie Tries To Dodge Cowardice Claim...Fails

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 04:29:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117600164.401624.53480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109216

From: "bb" on Tues 31 May 2005 15:22

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: "bb" on Mon 30 May 2005 11:30
>>
>> > >> You've already been given authentic facts, Brian.
>> > >
>> > >We've only seen your baseless assertions and claims. Post Docs.
>> >
>> > Hmmmm...this can go on for years...
>>
>> As has your baseless accusations of "dishonesty" at the ARRL,
>> rumors of conspiracy by "mighty morsemen", etc etc etc...
>
>Not all conspiracy theories are false.

Yes, and even some paranoids realize that someone IS out to
get them! :-)

Stebie is a BELIEVER. He can't accept ANY negativism against
his Church of St. Hiram, not against morse code testing (he
IS a mighty macho morseman, etc.).

>> > 1. Stebie has NO documentation so he can't post it.
>>
>> However verifiable details WERE given.
>
>I think Steve just admitted to no documentation.

Stebie "NEVER" admits to anything. Everyone else "LIES." :-)

>> > 2. Everyone is supposed to "take Stebie's 'word' for it."
>>
>> Nope. Details were given. Go ask the authorities that were
>> cited.
>
>You know what "no documentation" means? You said it means you didn't
>do it, i.e., a lie.

Stebie "NEVER" lies. The moon is also made of green cheese.

Two equal-value statements in truth. :-)

>> > 3. Stebie will substitute Personal Insults for actual proof.
>>
>> The "personal insults" are of cowardice for those who claim no

>> details were given when in fact they were...
>
>The cowardice is for claims of having documentation, yet being somehow
>unable to post it.

Stebie is "all that he says," one "nation" under the weather,
invisible. Long may he wave his "we, we" in here. :-)

>> > 4. Stebie will then be asked (again) to show documentation.
>>
>> That's your bluff, Lennie...
>
>I'm the one asking.

Poor Stebie, can't tell one from the other.

>> > 5. Continue iteration by looping to (1)...ad nauseum.
>>
>> The nausea is problably Mrs Lennie trying to stave off your
>> drooling advances...
>
>Huh? How did you dream that one up?

He prolly hasn't been "getting any" lately. :-)

>Why don't you leave people's families out of your insults?

He might be looking for a stable family somewhere else?

One where he can be "BOSS" and push around others?

>> But the "looping" comes from those who refuse to
>> verify the facts already given...That would be you and Brainless.
>
>It is in your best interest to verify your own claims. After all, you
>said that if you can't provide documentation it means that you didn't
>do it, i.e., a lie.

He just doesn't understand reality, Brian. He lives too
much in Stebieland where anything he says is "truth."
He has rejected Reality. He can't push (too many) people
around in Reality, doesn't have the rank anymore.

>> Putz.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Ah, more penis talk.

Tsk, tsk. Stebie tells OTHERS they are "gay," yet right
there, in front of the world, we see evidence of HIS likes.

Could it be that the "closet door" is not fully closed now?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1984. Re: Thanks for the humor, Lennie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 04:31:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117600293.495444.265040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109217

From: Dan/W4NTI/someone on May 31, 7:53 pm

>> From: Dan/Diana on May 30, 8:57 pm
>
>>>Thank you "Diana". Now lets see Lennie's "comments".
>
>> Nice try at cross-dressing, Dan/Diana, but your makeup is crooked.
>
>
>You really are an idiot, ain't ya Lennie?
>
>Are you not capable of reading headers?

Very capable. Been doing it for two decades now. :-)

Are YOU "Diana?" Tsk, I didn't accuse you of that, did I?

Do you have "friends" who post in your favor? I'm sure
you have lots of "friends."

> And I never have, nor will I ever use a fake ID, or address.

Riiiiiight. And the moon is made of green cheese.

Tsk, tsk. I've seen cases of some on subscription BBSs
(local networks) have two or MORE "identities," even to
calling from two different locations to try to outwit
the telephone company security folks. The Internet is
FULL of those fakes.

Some of those multiple personalities have been IN HERE.

"Quitefine" is still in here. :-)

>I say it the way it is.

Tsk, tsk, Dan/Whoever, You say it the way you PERCEIVE it.

So, are you going to go back to writing scam messages
about "recovering money from some African nation" or do
you have other "perfectly honest, noble, and absolutely
true" (also "unbiased"...BWAHAHAHA) statements to make?

You have a very low rating as a heckler, Dan. Take some
lessons from your PCTA peers on that. Those peers will
show you how to "peer" on those you hate. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1985. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 04:37:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117600654.146184.61570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109218

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 31 May 2005 15:55

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> Heh heh, I've heard the particular lament of "we can't get
>> these (darn) kids to LISTEN to us!" for lots of generations
>> and have read of the same thing in books printed before I
>> existed. :-) It's a VERY common parental angst.
>
>Gee, Len, since you've never actually been a parent, it sounds
>like you're talking about something you've never actually done..

Gee, Quitefine, I HAVE. [too bad for you...]

Hello? You have failure to comprehend what I wrote? Of
course you've failed. Tsk, tsk, tsk, OTHERS have written
and said for decades that "those darn kids just don't
LISTEN to us!" OTHERS have written and said that. :-)

So, Jimmy/Quitefine, ARE YOU A PARENT?

And why is parenthood some kind of "essential" in radio?

Gosh, I've asked before in here..."does one now have to
present a medical doctor's statement of prospective
licensee's sperm count" to VEs? Does one?

I don't think so. The FCC didn't ask for that in 1956
when I got my first (commercial) radio operator license.

You are very wrought-up on this subject of "parenting."
Why? Why for discussion of amateur radio policy?

Oh, I see now...you want to REVIVE and old newsgroup
argument of 1998 and hope to "win" this go-around again!
Yes, you are still (apparently) nursing several severe
"wounds" suffered in newsgroup word "battle." Tsk. Get
medical help. Go see the Sturgeon General, Doc Stebie.

>Have you ever been a teacher of young people, Len?

An invited speaker at a couple of local "magnet" schools,
Jimmie. Went over rather well. You see, I've had some
experience speaking before groups and can "gauge" an
audience's attention while speaking. That comes from
practice. "Young people" (teen-agers to everyone else)
is just another group having different likes/dislikes
than adults.

>You don't seem to be able to do any teaching here, though, Len.

Tsk, tsk. Some groups remain UNABLE to learn, heads of incredible density bone, anchored in their brainwashed BELIEFS. NOBODY human can get through to them... :-)

>You get insulted by someone disagreeing with you, or pointing
>out your mistakes.

Why do you keep beating the mother of your children, parent Jimmie? :-)

>So? You've never been a radio amateur, either.

It's very difficult for me to step down to what YOU call "amateur radio." Been too long in grown-up commercial radio and electronics.

Tsk, tsk. A radio does NOT operate by different laws of physics because some government agency designates it as "amateur." Didn't you learn that in Ivy school?

>And they don't require any license by the user. They're almost
>totally automatic in operation, too. Is that what
>you think amateur radio should be?

Tsk, tsk. You are "loading' a question again. :-) You keep saying something like it is "improper" (or whatever you have in mind) for me to tell any radio amateur what to do or "what amateur radio should be. If I say anything remotely associated with such an act, you mount your figurative high horse and gallop off in another (misdirection) to do some kind of figurative battle! Remarkable desire to "do battle" by someone who never did any military service.

My argument in here is simply to eliminate the morse code test for a radio license. I have NO desire to "tell you what to do," and such a thing you would never obey anyway...NO ONE can tell Jimmie what to do! :-)

Jimmie boy, what YOU want in automatons is for every ham to emulate a modem so that they can automatically decode morse code. You are very, very (almost unnaturally) firm on that, giving all sorts of specious "reasons" for that "entrance exam" into narrow amateur bands below 30 MHz.

>> Using cell phones as a "hobby" is wrong.
>
>Says who?
>
> Semi-private communications is a social group act, not a hobby.
>
>What in the world does that mean?

Tsk, Tsk, TSK! Jimmie implies he has "parenting skills" and
yet he is BLIND to what modern-day teeners DO in their peer
group activities? :-)

"TXT-ing" is a modern FAD amongst teeners, the ability to send
little notes to another, hardly any restrictions, and much
easier to do than the old way with actual paper. It is a FUN
social act for them, a minor rebelliousness against old strict
rules of behavior in class, in assemblies, in any area where
they were not allowed to pass paper notes back and forth. They
can "TXT" with one hand, less observable by teachers or other
adults. It is NOT a "hobby" Jimmie, it is just a thing they DO.

>You sound like a powerboater trying to ruin the fun of sailboaters.

Why are you trying to be Admiral-in-Charge of water traffic?

Jimmie, this is a newsgroup for amateur radio policy. Sailing
and power-boat driving is quite another newsgroup.

>Yep, we see your same old tired wornout attitudes here again
>and again, Len...;-)

I'm not the one bringing up my 6-year-old comment on amateur
radio minimum age. YOU are. You've done that several times,
twice now after I've said I had not pursued the matter since
1999.

>> The paradigms of yesterday just DON'T apply today.
>
>Some of them do. Or do you insist on a continuous techno-cultural
>revolution?

Are you still beating your wife? :-)

>Look at the contest between the text messagers and the Morse Code
>operators. The "tribe" (the audience) was so sure the world-champion
>text messagers would be faster than the Morse Code operators in their
>historic costumes. Yet the Morse Code ops, going about 1/3 world
>record speed, passed the message perfectly, without abbreviations,
>and presented the result in written form before the text messagers
>could even get the message inputted into the 'phone. (They had two
>words to go - "car insurance").

Jimmie, TRY to realize that the real "tribe" is the entire
world of radio communications, and has been ever since 1896.
That "tribe" has DROPPED morse code in favor of other, better,
faster, more reliable communications modes for decades. Even
the international maritime distress and safety frequency of
500 KHz was supplanted by GMDSS by the REAL mariners themselves.
There's no need for morsemanship on 500 KHz to avoid a repeat of
the Titanic disaster. Air traffic has dropped morse on long,
over-water flights; sea traffic now uses HF SSB voice and TORs
for data; the military long since dropped morse code for

communications purposes. In the USA all you have left is some AUTOMATIC ID machines at VORs and VORTACs and a few LF beacons that few pilots actually use over land. AUTOMATIC machines, Jimmie, which can endlessly generate the ID in morse code.

NOBODY is considering "TXT-ing" as any sort of replacement for modern data modes for written communications. That "test" on an Entertainment show was deliberately staged to poke fun at this relatively recent FAD of "TXT-ing." People in the Staff of the Tonight Show on NBC at Burbank, CA, have informed me of the intent of that short bit.

Drop the discussion, Jimmie. You haven't "won" any sort of argument...rather you've helped FABRICATE a non-issue.

>And it's rather surreal to see you lecturing and posturing on
>"young'uns in Ham Radio" when it was *you* who suggested to FCC that
>*no-one* below the age of 14
>years be allowed to obtain *any* class of amateur radio license.

Jimmie boy, STOP bringing up that six-year-old argument which (I perceive) you tried to use as some kind of "character flaw." Hans Brakob floated the first argument on that in here, we argued on it, but YOU have to keep bringing it up, bringing it up, bringing it up. It's like you have intellectual bullemia. If you must vomit so much please do it someplace else.

YOU are NOT going to "win" OLD arguments that you didn't "win" some time ago. Quit acting the age of that old Comment of mine on FCC 98-143. Two little tykes of 6 years got their amateur radio licenses (Novice and Technician) plus got their pictures taken with a kindly, grandfatherly-looking VE who "administered" their tests. It was on the ARRL web page news some time ago. LET IT GO.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1986. Re: The End Game: Baxter Thread of 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 05:21:53 UTC
From: n9ogl <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117603313.609515.224480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>Well maybe you can take the time machine and look in the future idiot!

I HAVE AND YOUR STILL AN ASSHOLE AND A LIAR IN THE FUTURE.....

TODD N9OGL

1987. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 06:58:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117609127.064231.182650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109221

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey dumbass, I didn't sent you that shit because 1. no way in hell
> would I want you as a guest "writer" I think before you go around
> accusing people of something that you make sure it was sent by that
> person.
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> toddaug@consolidated.net

QUOTE

Return-Path: <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Received: from rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (rly-ya01.mail.aol.com
[172.18.141.83]) by air-ya03.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILINYA34-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:16:01 -0400
Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILRELAYINYA16-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:15:58 -0400
Received: from bla161.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id D6C0F19674
for <k4yz@aol.com>; Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
Message-ID:
<22590970.1117577752762.JavaMail.root@bla161.prod.google.com>
From: n9ogl <toddaug@consolidated.net>
To: k4yz@aol.com
Subject: The Voice of Amateur Radio: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:319993467:9395241
X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
n9ogl has sent you a link to a weblog:

Wimpy mother ####er I offer you a man's chance and you slap my face.

Now I come to your place and slap your face but I use a baseball bat.
You will look good with your mouth at the back you neck.

now you done it bitch.

Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Post: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/voice-gets-face-lift.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/

UNQUOTE

I'll tell your what, Todd. I'll give you until 3PM central June
1st to figure out who it was before I call the Taylorville Police and
file a criminal complaint.

If need be, I'll drive to Taylorville and sign it myself, and I
will bring copies of the posts, headers and all, including this threat.

Get busy.

Steve, K4YZ

1988. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 07:00:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117609247.818595.273720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109220

N9OGL wrote:
> >Toad, sue me fool, I have a tape! I'd send you a copy if you wouldn't
> >claim it was something else! Make sense of that!
>
> Sure, it's a fake, made up by some morons either on this newsgroup or
> from the ARRL.

There's a "moron", here, Todd...but he's not from the ARRL.

He's in Taylorville, IL. And he's got a lot of explaining to do
in the next 15 hours...

Steve, K4YZ

1989. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 07:06:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117609572.912364.298360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109222

N9OGL wrote:
> GO RIGHT AHEAD YOU LITTLE #####, IT ISN'T ME ON THAT TAPE, SO DO THE
> #### WHATEVER YOU WANT. BECAUSE I'LL SUE YOU AND ANYOTHER ##### ON HERE
> THAT CLAIMS TO HAVE A TAPE AND I'LL SUE THE ####ING FCC FOR BELIEVING
> YOU WORTHLESS SORRY ###ES.

Right now, Todd, YOU are the one who has something to worry about
from "suits"...Acutally not a suit but a criminal complaint.

June 1st...3PM...get busy...

And if they DO have a tape, and it IS proven to be you, just WHAT
do you think you're going to "sue" the FCC for...?!?!

All I can say, Todd, is that all of this is YOUR doing...You've set
yourself up for this, now you're reaping the rewards.

Pat yourself on the back.

Steve, K4YZ

1990. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 07:15:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117610150.343537.261030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109223

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Tues 31 May 2005 14:23
>
> > Putz.
>
>
> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...(SNIP)

Again with the misuse of the Tomb of the Unknowns.

Yes, I saw the "Solder", but it's still inappropriate.

I guess the Tomb takes on a different meaning for those of us who actually SERVED with others while IN combat. Monuments to American war dead take on a different meaning when you actually KNOW men who died and where there when it happened...not just read about it in a report somewhere.

But you go right on ahead expressing your "free speech" and your "right" to parody such things...and I'll go on calling you for what I think you are for doing it...

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1991. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 07:36:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117611393.330333.173360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109224

>Right now, Todd, YOU are the one who has something to worry about
>from "suits"...Acutally not a suit but a criminal complaint.

criminal complaint for what???

Todd N9OGL

1992. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 07:39:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117611547.915602.323850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109225

All I can do is contact google and inform them that someone is hacking
my site and have them investigate it.

Todd N9OGL

1993. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 07:45:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117611913.867562.109510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109226

N9OGL wrote:
> WELL I THINK YOUR FULL OF ####, NICE LYING STEVE TAUGHT YOU WELL....

I've not "taught" anyone anything here, Todd, save to point out
what a foul mouthed little toad you are...And I'd ave to say that your
"response" here pretty well validates that.

> BTW I HAVE ONE MORE WEEK THEN I GO BACK TO SCHOOL, AND THEN I GO IN THE
> FALL......

And we still ahve yet to see you unveil your "24/7" HF broadcasts
that you "promised".

One ToddLie after another.

> BUT YOU STILL A LITTLE ###HOLE ALONG WITH YOUR BOYFRIENDS
> STEVE, DAVE, AND COMMANDER ##########. SO SEND OFF YOU "LITTLE TAPE"

There you go with your male-oriented fetish response, Todd.

Just come out of the closet and get it over with. You'll be more
happy with your life...Or should I say "...more gay"...?!?!

> I'LL NOT ONLY SUE THE PEOPLE WHO MADE THAT FAKE PIECE OF ####, BUT I'LL
> SUE THE FCC FOR BELIEVING YOUR SORRY ###ES, AND HEY YOU CAN QUOTE ME ON
> THAT...#####!

We will.

And if the FCC "believes" the tape, it will only be after they run
it through electronic forensics to determine that it IS a legitimate
tape...so then you'll be up against the wall, Todd.

Go ahead..."sue"...! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

1994. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 07:47:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117612028.710085.62800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109227

N9OGL wrote:
> All I can do is contact google and inform them that someone is hacking
> my site and have them investigate it.

I don't care what you do, Todd...Just have an answer to me by 3PM
today or I'll file the criminal complaint...In person at TPD if I need
to.

Saavy?

Steve, K4YZ

1995. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 07:49:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117612153.641426.261010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109228

well i'll have to find out who to write too....it make take time

Todd N9OGL

1996. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 07:50:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117612257.300868.38230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109229

N9OGL wrote:
> >Right now, Todd, YOU are the one who has something to worry about
> >from "suits"...Acutally not a suit but a criminal complaint.
>
>
> criminal complaint for what???

The baseball bat threat you made in an e mail to me.

QUOTE:

n9ogl has sent you a link to a weblog:

Wimpy mother ####er I offer you a man's chance and you slap my face.

Now I come to your place and slap your face but I use a baseball bat.
You will look good with your mouth at the back you neck.

now you done it bitch.

Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Post: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/voice-gets-face-lift.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/

UNQUOTE

The headers are in the other thread.

From initial looks, it looks like YOUR e mail and YOUR "blog" sent
it.

So it's YOUR problem to fix.

Get busy.

Steve, K4YZ

1997. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 07:52:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117612333.657343.185590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109230

N9OGL wrote:
> well i'll have to find out who to write too....it make take time

You've got all the time you need...right up until 3PM today.

Steve, K4YZ

1998. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 08:01:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117612860.430404.284980@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109231

I sent a message to them and we will wait and see, but I didn't send
that message, WHAT?? not complaining to my ISP????

Todd N9OGL

1999. Lennie Barks Commands...No One Listens...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 08:23:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117614216.400112.138680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109232

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 31 May 2005 15:55
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> Heh heh, I've heard the particular lament of "we can't get
> >> these (darn) kids to LISTEN to us!" for lots of generations
> >> and have read of the same thing in books printed before I
> >> existed. :-) It's a VERY common parental angst.
> >
> >Gee, Len, since you've never actually been a parent, it sounds
> >like you're talking about something you've never actually done..
>
> Gee, Quitefine, I HAVE. [too bad for you...]

A lie.

> Hello? You have failure to comprehend what I wrote? Of
> course you've failed. Tsk, tsk, tsk, OTHERS have written
> and said for decades that "those darn kids just don't
> LISTEN to us!" OTHERS have written and said that.

And you have yet to prove an example of just ONE kid that ever
violated FCC rules requiring FCC intervention.

> >Have you ever been a teacher of young people, Len?
>
> An invited speaker at a couple of local "magnet" schools,
> Jimmie. Went over rather well. You see, I've had some
> experience speaking before groups and can "gauge" an
> audience's attention while speaking. That comes from
> practice. "Young people" (teen-agers to everyone else)
> is just another group having different likes/dislikes
> than adults.

"...speaking before groups..."

An invited speaker to an organized class presentation is NOT child
rearing.

> >So? You've never been a radio amateur, either.
>
> It's very difficult for me to step down to what YOU call
> "amateur radio." Been too long in grown-up commercial
> radio and electronics.

Oh! It's a "step down" now...

In many other posts you've 'expressed" alleged admiration for
Amateur Radio...especially for your ham buddy best man and Gene in NJ.

Were you lying then, or lying now?

> Tsk, tsk. A radio does NOT operate by different laws of
> physics because some government agency designates it as
> "amateur." Didn't you learn that in Ivy school?

Who, Lennie, other than you, has ever suggested otherwise in this
forum?

> My argument in here is simply to eliminate the morse code test
> for a radio license. I have NO desire to "tell you what to do,"
> and such a thing you would never obey anyway...

WHAT AN ABSOLUTE AND AUDACIOUS LIE!

> "TXT-ing" is a modern FAD amongst teeners, the ability to send
> little notes to another, hardly any restrictions, and much
> easier to do than the old way with actual paper. It is a FUN
> social act for them, a minor rebelliousness against old strict
> rules of behavior in class, in assemblies, in any area where
> they were not allowed to pass paper notes back and forth. They
> can "TXT" with one hand, less observable by teachers or other
> adults. It is NOT a "hobby" Jimmie, it is just a thing they DO.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...I see!

So...teenaagers sending messages by Morse Code is a
hobby...Teenagers sending messages by text message is "...just a thing
they do..."

> Are you still beating your wife?

Are you still lying to yours?

> Jimmie, TRY to realize that the real "tribe" is the entire
> world of radio communications, and has been ever since 1896.
> That "tribe" has DROPPED morse code in favor of other, better,
> faster, more reliable communications modes for decades.

There's no "tribes" on the electromagnetic spectrum save for
licenses issued to recognized Tribal agencies by the FCC.

> Even the international maritime distress and safety frequency of
> 500 KHz was supplanted by GMDSS by the REAL mariners themselves.

Ahem...How is this pertinent to Amateur radio?

> Drop the discussion, Jimmie. You haven't "won" any sort of
> argument...rather you've helped FABRICATE a non-issue.

Hey Jim...

This is Lennie's way of saying "Shut up...I'm embarraased enough
already..."

> >And it's rather surreal to see you lecturing and posturing on
> >"young'uns in Ham Radio" when it was *you* who suggested to FCC that
> >*no-one* below the age of 14
> >years be allowed to obtain *any* class of amateur radio license.
>
> Jimmie boy, STOP bringing up that six-year-old argument which
> (I perceive) you tried to use as some kind of "character flaw."
> Hans Brakob floated the first argument on that in here, we
> argued on it, but YOU have to keep bringing it up, bringing it
> up, bringing it up. It's like you have intellectual bullemia.
> If you must vomit so much please do it someplace else.

Then Lennie....

YOU stop bring up ADA...

YOU stop telling us about guys you never knew dying in a fight you were never in...

YOU stop telling us about your alleged non-Amateur Radio related "career" as if it were pertinent to the Morse Code issue...It's not...

> YOU are NOT going to "win" OLD arguments that you didn't "win"
> some time ago. Quit acting the age of that old Comment of mine
> on FCC 98-143. Two little tykes of 6 years got their amateur
> radio licenses (Novice and Technician) plus got their pictures
> taken with a kindly, grandfatherly-looking VE who "administered"
> their tests. It was on the ARRL web page news some time ago.
> LET IT GO.

He's already won.

You've been discredited over and over, and your snivvelling little "shut up I don't want to talk about it anymore" rant isn't going to change things.

If you want things to be "let go", then YOU have to "let go" of YOUR repetitive, insulting, demeaning behaviour here...We're just as tired of your insults as you are of us rubbing your nose in them.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2000. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 08:26:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117614361.912744.29430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109233

N9OGL wrote:
> I sent a message to them and we will wait and see, but I didn't send
> that message...(SNIP)

If you didn't, then you have nothing to worry about.

But if I don't see positive steps taken by 3PM, I file the
criminal complaint for the baseball bat comment.

> WHAT?? not complaining to my ISP????

They got a copy too...Of all three letters.

Steve, K4YZ

2001. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 08:49:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117615750.504341.323420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109234

the one problem with that steve is my blog can not sent one message to one person, it doesn't have that capability. it can send messages out to a group on a mailing list and everyone recieves that message(s) and currently here is no one on that mailing list except me, So basically it's a fake.

2002. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 09:23:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117617789.214190.33110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109235

N9OGL wrote:
> the one problem with that steve is my blog can not sent one message to
> one person, it doesn't have that capability. it can send messages out
> to a group on a mailing list and everyone recieves that message(s) and
> currently here is no one on that mailing list except me, So basically
> it's a fake.

The message came from YOUR e-mail address.

QUOTE

Return-Path: <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Received: from rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (rly-ya01.mail.aol.com
[172.18.141.83]) by air-ya03.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILINYA34-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:16:01 -0400
Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILRELAYINYA16-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:15:58 -0400
Received: from bla161.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id D6C0F19674
for <k4yz@aol.com>; Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
Message-ID:
<22590970.1117577752762.JavaMail.root@bla161.prod.google.com>
From: n9ogl <toddaug@consolidated.net>
To: k4yz@aol.com
Subject: The Voice of Amateur Radio: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:319993467:9395241
X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0

So fix it.

Steve, K4YZ

2003. Re: The End Game: Baxter Thread of 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 09:32:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117618344.776144.25270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

duckecho@gmail.com wrote:
> On 27 May 2005 20:42:42 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net>
> wrote:
>
> >....you NAZI...
>
> Well, this thread is officially over.
>
> >THE N9OGL SHOW
> >14.321.00 MHz.
>
> How does someone have a "show" on an amateur radio frequency?

That's exactly what we've been trying to tell him, but he refuses
to pay attention.

Steve, K4YZ

2004. Re: Brian Burke ("bb"), N0IMD, Gutless Coward...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 09:46:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117619177.537134.69810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109237

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Tues 31 May 2005 15:52
>
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > bb wrote:
> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > > > > > From: "bb" on Sun 29 May 2005 12:29
>
> <etc., etc., etc...>
>
>
> >> > > > Prove Len and me wrong for once. Post your authentic documentation.
> >> > > >
> >> > > You've already been given authentic facts, Brian.
> >> > >
> >> > We've only seen your baseless assertions and claims.
> >> >
> >> Not baseless.
> >> >
> >> References previously provided.
> >> >
> >> Brian P Burke is a coward and a liar...Again...
> > >
> >How can that be? I've merely asked you for what you demand from
> >others. Don't like it? Whaaaaaaa!
>
> Good old PCTA Extra Double Standard from Stebie. :-)
>
> >So do you have documentation or don't you?
>
> He doesn't but he's very busy thinking up new insults for those
> that demand of him the same thing he demands of others. :-)
>
> I give this loop about 30 more iterations. We ask, Stebie
> insults. Stebie nebber comes up with documentation. Yawn.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

And what is his explanation for posting my street number on RRAP?

2005. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 09:50:51 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117619451.090027.147080@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109238

Christy D wrote:
> On 31 May 2005 15:40:05 -0700, bb <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Christy D wrote:
> > > On Tue, 31 May 2005 12:07:05 GMT, Kim <mail@nomail.com> wrote:
> > > [102 lines snipped]
> > >
> > > The correctness of the original opinion is directly proportional to
> > > the length of the attempted rebuttal.
> >
> > inversely proportional?
>
> No. The longer the rebuttal attempt, the more likely it is that the
> original opinion was correct. Hence the direct proportionality.

Len will be pleased to here that.

2006. Re: Brian Burke ("bb"), N0IMD, Gutless Coward...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 09:53:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117619617.083034.33280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109239

bb wrote:

> And what is his explanation for posting my street number on RRAP?

You don't live on a street with a number. It has a name...or so
says QRZ.

Why are you so confused?

Steve, K4YZ

2007. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 09:57:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117619858.632925.319540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109240

bb wrote:
> Christy D wrote:
> > On 31 May 2005 15:40:05 -0700, bb <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Christy D wrote:
> > > > On Tue, 31 May 2005 12:07:05 GMT, Kim <mail@nomail.com> wrote:
> > > > [102 lines snipped]
> > > >
> > > > The correctness of the original opinion is directly proportional to
> > > > the length of the attempted rebuttal.
> > > >
> > > inversely proportional?
> > >
> > No. The longer the rebuttal attempt, the more likely it is that the
> > original opinion was correct. Hence the direct proportionality.
> >
> Len will be pleased to here that.

"Len will be pleased to >>here<< that."...?!?!

(Since you seem to enjoy busting me for typos....)

I don't think you were paying attention, Brain...(Like THAT'S
new...)

Re-read what was written...Lennie will NOT be pleased...(Like
THAT'S new either!!!)

Steve, K4YZ

2008. Re: Brian Burke ("bb"), N0IMD, Gutless Coward...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 09:59:29 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117619969.906803.170070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109241

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > And what is his explanation for posting my street number on RRAP?
>
> You don't live on a street with a number. It has a name...or so
> says QRZ.
>
> Why are you so confused?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Why won't Steve say? What does Steve fear?

2009. Re: Brian Burke ("bb"), N0IMD, Gutless Coward...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 10:49:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117622964.794205.254530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109242

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > And what is his explanation for posting my street number on RRAP?
> >
> > You don't live on a street with a number. It has a name...or so
> > says QRZ.
> >
> > Why are you so confused?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Why won't Steve say?

Say what?

> What does Steve fear?

Nothing that you can field!

Steve, K4YZ

2010. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 11:25:02 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kqKdnarVEZqJBgDfRVn-uA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109243

N9OGL wrote:

> FUCK YOU ASSHOLE AND ALL YOUR LITTLE BITCHES ON HERE.

No toad, I'm not into the gay stuff, you'll have to get one of your
buddies at the flower shop to take you up on that offer!

2011. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 11:29:01 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kqKdnaXVEZqdAQDfRVn-uA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109244

N9OGL wrote:

> the one problem with that steve is my blog can not sent one message to
> one person, it doesn't have that capability. it can send messages out
> to a group on a mailing list and everyone recieves that message(s) and
> currently here is no one on that mailing list except me, So basically
> it's a fake.

That's very impressive, not 1 other person to send mail to, you must be very lonely toad! Did you ever notice that there's not even 1 person on this newsgroup to back you up either?
>

2012. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 11:30:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117625451.306448.246850@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109245

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 31 May 2005 15:55

> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> >> Heh heh, I've heard the particular lament of "we can't get
> >> these (darn) kids to LISTEN to us!" for lots of
> >> generations
> >> and have read of the same thing in books printed before I
> >> existed. :-) It's a VERY common parental angst.
> >
> >Gee, Len, since you've never actually been a parent, it sounds
> >like you're talking about something you've never actually
> >done..
> >
> Gee, Quitefine, I HAVE. [too bad for you...]

You have been a parent, Len? That's news - you've told us
all about your life and never mentioned that.

> Hello? You have failure to comprehend what I wrote?

No, I understood it well.

> Of
> course you've failed. Tsk, tsk, tsk, OTHERS have written
> and said for decades that "those darn kids just don't
> LISTEN to us!" OTHERS have written and said that. :-)

And you agree with them - even though you have no experience
as a parent. You're lecturing others on things you have no
real experience with.

> So, Jimmy/Quitefine, ARE YOU A PARENT?

Suppose I am, Len - would that cause your behavior to change in a
positive way? Or would you simply use that information to make fun of
me?

I suspect the latter.

> And why is parenthood some kind of "essential" in radio?

It's not. But when you lecture the newsgroup on "young'uns in ham
radio", your lack of experience is relevant, don't you think?

You make a big deal about others' lack of military service or
other experience - now the shoe is on the other foot.

>
> Gosh, I've asked before in here..."does one now have to
> present a medical doctor's statement of prospective
> licensee's sperm count" to VEs? Does one?
>
> I don't think so. The FCC didn't ask for that in 1956
> when I got my first (commercial) radio operator license.

When you were 24 years old....
>
> You are very wrought-up on this subject of "parenting."
> Why? Why for discussion of amateur radio policy?

Look at the subject line.
>
> Oh, I see now...you want to REVIVE and old newsgroup
> argument of 1998 and hope to "win" this go-around again!

I won before and I'll win again. But it goes back farther than
1998 and continues into the present.

For example, back in 1996 you wrote:

"I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters" in what is a
primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational activity."

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/913159af9e8f2526?output=g
plain

That pretty much says it all - *you* have a problem including young
people. The surreal part is that you're neither a parent nor a radio
amateur.

> Yes, you are still (apparently) nursing several severe
> "wounds" suffered in newsgroup word "battle."

Not me. I'm not the one shouting, tsking and calling people names.

> >Have you ever been a teacher of young people, Len?
>
> An invited speaker at a couple of local "magnet" schools,
> Jimmie. Went over rather well. You see, I've had some
> experience speaking before groups and can "gauge" an
> audience's attention while speaking. That comes from
> practice. "Young people" (teen-agers to everyone else)
> is just another group having different likes/dislikes
> than adults.

In other words, no. You gave some talks (subject unknown)
but as far as actually teaching a course, where the results
could be measured (testing results), you're again talking
without experience.
>
> >You don't seem to be able to do any teaching here, though, Len.

>
> Tsk, tsk. Some groups remain UNABLE to learn, heads of
> incredible density bone, anchored in their brainwashed
> BELIEFS. NOBODY human can get through to them... :-)
>
Seems like the poor workman blaming his tools.

> >You get insulted by someone disagreeing with you, or pointing
> >out your mistakes.
>
> >So? You've never been a radio amateur, either.
>
> It's very difficult for me to step down to what YOU call
> "amateur radio."

Ah, there you are. Talking down to the audience.

> Been too long in grown-up commercial
> radio and electronics.

Yet you seek to ban young people from amateur radio - of
which you are not a part.

Your answer indicates what many have long suspected: that you
expect to be instantly recognized as an expert without having
to meet the requirements for a license.

> Tsk, tsk. A radio does NOT operate by different laws of
> physics because some government agency designates it as
> "amateur."

So what? "Physics" isn't the only consideration.

Do you think the rules for the amateur radio service should be the same
as for other radio services?

> >And they don't require any license by the user. They're almost
> >totally automatic in operation, too. Is that what
> >you think amateur radio should be?
>
> Tsk, tsk. You are "loading' a question again. :-)

How? You brought up cell phones, not me.

> You keep
> saying something like it is "improper" (or whatever you
> have in
> mind) for me to tell any radio amateur what to do or "what
> amateur radio should be.

That's simply not true, Len.

I say it's "surreal".

You can lecture and posture all you want. I've never told you
to shut up, either directly or indirectly. But you have told
me and others to do so. Like your classic "feldwebel post"
to K8MN...

> If I say anything remotely associated
> with such an act, you mount your figurative high horse and
> gallop off in another (misdirection) to do some kind of
> figurative battle! Remarkable desire to "do battle" by
> someone who never did any military service.

See? There you go..

>
> My argument in here is simply to eliminate the morse
> code test for a radio license.

Then why do you go off on so many tangents? Like the age-
requirement thing?

> I have NO desire to "tell you what to do,"

HAW! That's a good one!

You've told me "what to do" many times here. Even in this very
post.

> and such a thing you would never obey anyway...NO
> ONE can tell Jimmie what to do! :-)

> Jimmie boy,

Why do you call me that, Len?

Do you want to be addressed in similar manner?

Or is it just your desire to insult and demean?

> what YOU want in automatons is for every ham to
> emulate a modem so that they can automatically decode morse
> code.

A modem cannot understand Morse Code, Len.

> You are very, very (almost unnaturally) firm on that,
> giving all sorts of specious "reasons" for that "entrance
> exam" into narrow amateur bands below 30 MHz.

Why is a basic test of Morse Code skill such a problem for
you, Len?

> >> Using cell phones as a "hobby" is wrong.
> >
> >Says who?
> >
> > Semi-private communications is a social group act,
> > not a hobby.

> >
> >What in the world does that mean?
>
> Tsk, Tsk, TSK! Jimmie implies he has "parenting skills" and
> yet he is BLIND to what modern-day teeners DO in their peer
> group activities? :-)

Your writing is simply unclear, Len.
>
> "TXT-ing" is a modern FAD amongst teeners, the ability
> to send
> little notes to another, hardly any restrictions, and much
> easier to do than the old way with actual paper.

Guess what, Len - people of all ages do text messaging. It's
not just teenagers. For example, if I know a coworker is in a meeting,
and needs some piece of information, I'll send a text message rather
than call. Much less intrusive and the information is already in text
form. Been doing that for *years*.

> It is a FUN
> social act for them, a minor rebelliousness against old
> strict
> rules of behavior in class, in assemblies, in any area where
> they were not allowed to pass paper notes back and forth.

It's not acceptable behavior in class. Not in the schools I know,
anyway.

> They
> can "TXT" with one hand, less observable by teachers or other
> adults.

Do you think that's a good way to spend class time, Len? Did the kids
text message when you were giving your lecture?

> It is NOT a "hobby" Jimmie,

Of course it's a hobby - they do it for fun, it's not required, and
they don't get paid for it. Isn't that the definition?

What's your defintion of "hobby", Len?

> it is just a thing they DO.

You wrote:

"Using cell phones as a "hobby" is wrong."

But you don't say why.
>
> >You sound like a powerboater trying to ruin the fun of
> >sailboaters.
>

> Jimmie, this is a newsgroup for amateur radio policy.
> Sailing
> and power-boat driving is quite another newsgroup.
>
It's an analogy, Len. Sailboats used to be the dominant way
of water transport. Navies used sail, cargo ships used sail,
fishing vessels, explorers, etc. Just like Morse Code.

Now sail has all but disappeared, except in a few special applications
and in "hobby" (pleasure) boating.

Just like Morse Code.

See the analogy?

> >Yep, we see your same old tired wornout attitudes here again
> >and again, Len...;-)
>
> I'm not the one bringing up my 6-year-old comment on amateur
> radio minimum age. YOU are. You've done that several times,
> twice now after I've said I had not pursued the matter since
> 1999.

It's more than your comments to FCC in 1999, Len. There's also your
charges of fraud against ARRL and some VEs in the licensing of young
children. There's your posts against those of us who were licensed at
young ages (13 in my case). There's your
post where you wrote:

"I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters" in what is a
primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational activity."

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/913159af9e8f2526?output=g
plain

And there's the fact that you don't have a single example of *any*
problems caused by the licensing of young people, yet
you would deny a license to *anyone* under the age of 14.

Why?

> >> The paradigms of yesterday just DON'T apply today.
> >
> >Some of them do. Or do you insist on a continuous techno-
> >cultural revolution?
>
> >Look at the contest between the text messagers and the
> >Morse Code
> >operators. The "tribe" (the audience) was so sure the
> >world-champion
> >text messagers would be faster than the Morse Code
> >operators in their
> >historic costumes. Yet the Morse Code ops, going

> >about 1/3 world
> >record speed, passed the message perfectly, without
> >abbreviations,
> >and presented the result in written form before the
> >text messagers
> >could even get the message inputted into the 'phone.
> >(They had two
> >words to go - "car insurance").
>
> Jimmie, TRY to realize that the real "tribe" is the entire
> world of radio communications, and has been ever since 1896.

So?

> That "tribe" has DROPPED morse code in favor of other,
> better,
> faster, more reliable communications modes for decades.

Not true, Len. The maritime services were still using Morse
Code extensively as recently as 1997. Less than a decade
ago.

Besides - *hams* still use Morse Code extensively.

> Even
> the international maritime distress and safety frequency of
> 500 KHz was supplanted by GMDSS by the REAL mariners
> themselves.

No. It was replaced by the ship owners who wanted to save money on crew
costs.

> There's no need for morsemanship on 500 KHz to avoid a
> repeat of the Titanic disaster.

So what? Amateurs don't use 500 kHz.

> Air traffic has dropped morse on long,
> over-water flights; sea traffic now uses HF SSB voice and
> TORs
> for data; the military long since dropped morse code for
> communications purposes. In the USA all you have left is
> some
> AUTOMATIC ID machines at VORs and VORTACs and a few LF
> beacons
> that few pilots actually use over land. AUTOMATIC machines,
> Jimmie, which can endlessly generate the ID in morse code.

Just like the way sailboats have been mostly replaced by power boats.

> NOBODY is considering "TXT-ing" as any sort of replacement
> for
> modern data modes for written communications.

So? That's not the point.

> That "test" on
> an Entertainment show was deliberately staged to poke fun at
> this relatively recent FAD of "TXT-ing." People in the Staff
> of the Tonight Show on NBC at Burbank, CA, have informed me > of the intent of that short bit.

The fact is that the Morse Code operators proved the "tribe" to be wrong.

> Drop the discussion, Jimmie.

You previously wrote:

"I have NO desire to "tell you what to do,""

but now you're doing just that!

You're telling me to shut up, Len.

> You haven't "won" any sort of
> argument...rather you've helped FABRICATE a non-issue.

Wasn't me that told FCC to deny licenses to anyone under 13. It isn't me that has "trouble with integrating "youngsters" in what is a primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational activity."

It isn't me accusing VEs of fraud.

It's *you*, Len.

> >And it's rather surreal to see you lecturing and posturing on
> >"young'uns in Ham Radio" when it was *you* who suggested to
> > FCC that
> >*no-one* below the age of 14
> >years be allowed to obtain *any* class of amateur radio
> >license.
>
> Jimmie boy,

There you go again. Why do you call names like that, Len? Is there a reason you can't just call me "Jim", the way I call you "Len"?

> STOP bringing up that six-year-old argument which
> (I perceive) you tried to use as some kind of "character
> flaw."

There you go, telling me to shut up. Again.

I bring up the issue because it shows your true attitude about "young'uns in ham radio". (see the subject line). It's right on the subject.

> Hans Brakob floated the first argument on that in here, we
> argued on it, but YOU have to keep bringing it up, bringing > it up, bringing it up.

Why not? You haven't admitted that you were wrong in the first
place about age limits.

Besides, you bring up the same stuff over and over again. Much
more than I. Like your experience at ADA....

> It's like you have intellectual bullemia.
> If you must vomit so much please do it someplace else.

In other words, you want me to shut up.

> YOU are NOT going to "win" OLD arguments that you
> didn't "win" some time ago.

So you think age limits for a ham license are a good idea?

Why?

> Quit acting the age of that old Comment of mine
> on FCC 98-143.

I'm not the one calling people names, shouting, etc., Len. You are.

> Two little tykes of 6 years got their amateur
> radio licenses (Novice and Technician) plus got
> their pictures
> taken with a kindly, grandfatherly-looking VE
> who "administered"
> their tests. It was on the ARRL web page news some time ago.

And you said there must have been fraud involved. You accused ARRL and
the VEs involved of dishonesty. Right here. That's a fact. Shall I
repost those claims?

> LET IT GO.

Why? So the truth is ignored?

Fun fact, Len: Recently, a six-year-old earned her *General* class
license. Code test and all. It was on the ARRL webpage.

2013. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 11:43:05 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <1ZydnXiXKr3QAgDfRVn-ow@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109246

N9OGL wrote:

> I sent a message to them and we will wait and see, but I didn't send
> that message, WHAT?? not complaining to my ISP????
>
>
> Todd N9OGL

Did you just challenge him to write your ISP? What an idiot.
With the way you scream, typing in all caps, and threaten ,name
calling. I would believe you to be very capable of violence. I would
never think you could do it face to face, because you are such a wuss,
but you are the type to sneak up from behind!
I still have a tape fool, have your lawyer call your buddies at the
FBI and let them know! When it rains, it pours for you toad, get in the
time machine, go back in time and correct this right away.

2014. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 13:21:33 UTC
From: Steve Stone <spfleck@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1d07806397890ab1989691@news.citlink.net>
Source record: 109247

I've done a couple of pitches to Boy Scouts touring our Emergency Management Office in a quest of merit badges. As part of the pitch we give them a tour of the ham radio area, HF, VHF, UHF, packet, scanners, 3 computers networked together. We simulate a weather drill with check in's from 20 - 30 miles away. We make HF contacts to show them long distance comms.

I'd say about one quarter to one fifth of the audience seems truely interested in ham radio. The rest could care less.Just something they sit thru to get a merit badge.

Thinking about the hams in our local club and ARES groups I come up with the following of why people become hams or ARES members.

They are into the technology.
They do some level of it as a career.
They use it as a means to keep in touch with far flung friends and family who are also hams.
They want to help their community and see ham radio as a way to make that happen, sometimes becuase no other option exsists due to health concerns.
They see it as a challenge that they want to conquer.
Mom and / or Dad are hams and they got pushed into it.

Steve
N2UBP

2015. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 13:52:28 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <c2f2ad90ead7d4c3c65e09249284983f@paranoici.org>
Source record: 109248

In article <kP2dneOkEfchUgHfRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

Keep guessing, dumbass!

> Siegfried Kemper wrote:
> >
> > At the very least, they have your molesting them to work
through
> > with the many psychotherapy sessions they are forced to go
to.
> > And because they didn't have much of a childhood, for you
> > weren't a good provider and just sexually and physically
abused
> > them. They sure don't have anything else they want to
remember
> > you by.
>
> Well you strike (more whining)

I know, my words strike at your oh so fragile ego, too bad your
kids will be in years of psychotherapy because you molested them.

> But another letter did.

But you were referring to the retest letter. Loser! Or are you
too goddamned senile to follow along?

He still passed his retest, you can't even get the Extra. That
morse code requirement too hard for you?

>(more whining)

> Never had a letter from Riley

Yes, you have.
Poor Butt Cornholer, your kids and grandkids who are always in
trouble with the law have to stay close to you to sponge off of
you. Not that your SSI for your mental illness brings in that
much, huh? Or could it be because they have "power of attorney"
over your affairs because you are a senile old dumbfuck?
BTW, Marie didn't leave Roger any house by the creek, since the
house was Roger's, dumbass!

>
> Ten-Tech? (whining and sniveling flushed)

Poor Butt cornholer, has that Ten-Tech equipment. Can't afford
the good equipment, but then he always held "unskilled labor"
type of employment.
He only flies model airplanes and thinks that gives hima real
pilot's license instead of one out of a crackerjack box.

> > Fly those model airplanes and pretend you have a pilot's
license
> > lately, shit for brains?
> >
BHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> > AHAHA!!!!!!
>
> Poor wogie,

Poor butt cornholer, has an obsolete ham license and an
imaginary pilot's license. I know you don't have a pilot's
license, liar! Caught you in another lie again. Nice to see you
still have your cocksucking lisp,though.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!
!!!
Poor Butt Cornholer, when Riley makes him retest he'll be
downgraded to General, since they made the Advanced an obsolete
license. But Butt Cornholer couldn't even pass the no code
technician license exam if he was retested today.

2016. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 14:26:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%zjne.602$W77.465@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109249

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> But if I don't see positive steps taken by 3PM, I file the
> criminal complaint ......

Quit waffling Steve......

First you require him to "figure it out" by 3PM. Now you just need to see
"positive steps".

Quit posturing --- nail him or shut up about it.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2017. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 14:29:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MCjne.604$W77.557@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109150

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder

Tasteless and revolting, stark dishonor to the real "Unknowns".

de Hans, K0HB

2018. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 15:09:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117638553.008113.195720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109151

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > the one problem with that steve is my blog can not sent one message to
> > one person, it doesn't have that capability. it can send messages out
> > to a group on a mailing list and everyone recieves that message(s) and
> > currently here is no one on that mailing list except me, So basically
> > it's a fake.
>
> That's very impressive, not 1 other person to send mail to, you must be
> very lonely toad! Did you ever notice that there's not even 1 person on
> this newsgroup to back you up either?

Well...that's not completely true, Jim...

Brian and Lennie have both shared warm and fuzzy comments with
him. Not really "back(ing) (him) up", but certainly encouraging to an
otherwise clueless loser.

But then you have to consider what Lennie/Brian represent. Not
much of it good.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2019. Leonard H Anderson Violates American Veterans with Filthy Parodies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 15:20:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117639251.041272.20230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109152

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Tues 31 May 2005 14:46

> > Putz.

> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...(SNIP)

It wasn't enough for you to dishonor Soldiers whose names you DID know, you dishonor America's most sacred war dead with your sickening parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns.

And the SAME putrid tale in more than one thread.

And I am sure you'll treat us to some other re-telling of your great dedication to your fellow Veterans, telling us yet another lie about how you spend your time at the local VA hospital visiting the patients.

What's next? Kicking the neighbor kid's puppy?

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2020. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 15:24:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117639459.239266.38420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109153

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Hey dumbass, I didn't sent you that shit because 1. no way in hell
> > would I want you as a guest "writer" I think before you go around
> > accusing people of something that you make sure it was sent by that
> > person.
> >
> > Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> > toddaug@consolidated.net
>
> QUOTE
>
> Return-Path: <toddaug@consolidated.net>
> Received: from rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (rly-ya01.mail.aol.com
> [172.18.141.83]) by air-ya03.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
> MAILINYA34-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:16:01 -0400
> Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
> rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
> MAILRELAYINYA16-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:15:58 -0400
> Received: from bla161.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
> by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id D6C0F19674
> for <k4yz@aol.com>; Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
> Message-ID:
> <22590970.1117577752762.JavaMail.root@bla161.prod.google.com>
> From: n9ogl <toddaug@consolidated.net>
> To: k4yz@aol.com
> Subject: The Voice of Amateur Radio: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> Date: Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
> X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
> X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:319993467:9395241
> X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
> n9ogl has sent you a link to a weblog:
>
> Wimpy mother ####er I offer you a man's chance and you slap my face.
>
> Now I come to your place and slap your face but I use a baseball bat.
> You will look good with your mouth at the back you neck.
>
> now you done it bitch.
>
> Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio

> Post: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
> Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/voice-gets-face-lift.html
>
> --
> Powered by Blogger
> http://www.blogger.com/
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> I'll tell your what, Todd. I'll give you until 3PM central June
> 1st to figure out who it was before I call the Taylorville Police and
> file a criminal complaint.
>
> If need be, I'll drive to Taylorville and sign it myself, and I
> will bring copies of the posts, headers and all, including this threat.
>
> Get busy.

Let's make that FOUR Letters

Return-Path: <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Received: from rly-ya06.mx.aol.com (rly-ya06.mail.aol.com
[172.18.141.88]) by air-ya03.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILINYA33-76f429dd13b2ce; Wed, 01 Jun 2005 11:16:29 -0400
Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
rly-ya06.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILRELAYINYA65-76f429dd13b2ce; Wed, 01 Jun 2005 11:16:12 -0400
Received: from bla9.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 798951FD604
for <k4yz@aol.com>; Wed, 1 Jun 2005 08:16:11 -0700 (PDT)
Message-ID: <20559220.1117638971492.JavaMail.root@bla9.prod.google.com>
From: Todd <toddaug@consolidated.net>
To: k4yz@aol.com
Subject: The Voice of Amateur Radio: NEW WEBSITE
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Wed, 1 Jun 2005 08:16:11 -0700 (PDT)
X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:422035459:13153337
X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:

I check and there no way email can go one person from blog. I think
you forged email for news group which a crime.

So you have until 3pm tomorrow for apologize or I contact police about
impursonation and libel of me.

Got that, retard?

Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Post: NEW WEBSITE
Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/new-website.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/

2021. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 15:55:07 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <At6dnT-BCtDCRwDfRVn-pQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109154

<snip>
>
> I check and there no way email can go one person from blog. I think
> you forged email for news group which a crime.
>
> So you have until 3pm tomorrow for apologize or I contact police about
> impursonation and libel of me.
>
> Got that, retard?
>
> Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
> Post: NEW WEBSITE
> Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/new-website.html
>
> --
> Powered by Blogger
> http://www.blogger.com/
>
You are going to charge somebody with libel? Wasn't it you who keeps
saying the I d/l kiddy porn. You libeled me as well as accused me of a
felony!
You, the illiterate idiot has the nerve to call somebody a retard?
Somebody that's retarded is handicapped, you on the other hand are a
moronic idiot.
So toad, I have the tape, have your lawyer call!

2022. An idea for the toad show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 16:13:46 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <89OdnadirJggQwDfRVn-gQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109155

I don't know the rules but if it's legal, why not put your show on ATV on 220 mhz? You just might create some interest in 220 as well as amateur radio and Amateur Television.

2023. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 16:16:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Gblne.4671$MI4.2254@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109156

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 31 May 2005 15:55
>

>>Have you ever been a teacher of young people, Len?

> An invited speaker at a couple of local "magnet" schools,
> Jimmie. Went over rather well. You see, I've had some
> experience speaking before groups and can "gauge" an
> audience's attention while speaking. That comes from
> practice. "Young people" (teen-agers to everyone else)
> is just another group having different likes/dislikes
> than adults.

You just couldn't bring yourself to answer the question, could you
Leonard? Jim didn't ask if you'd been a public speaker.

>>You don't seem to be able to do any teaching here, though, Len.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk. Some groups remain UNABLE to learn, heads of
> incredible density bone, anchored in their brainwashed
> BELIEFS. NOBODY human can get through to them... :-)

...and some people are simply unable to become good teachers. They
simply have no knack for it. You seem to fall into that category.
Alienating the students doesn't make for an attentive class.

>>So? You've never been a radio amateur, either.
>
>
> It's very difficult for me to step down to what YOU call
> "amateur radio." Been too long in grown-up commercial
> radio and electronics.

There's part of your problem, Len. The condescension isn't going to win
you many points. Many of us here have been professionally involved in
radio and electronics *and* we're also hams. You're a stuffed shirt and
a non-ham.

> Tsk, tsk. A radio does NOT operate by different laws of
> physics because some government agency designates it as
> "amateur." Didn't you learn that in Ivy school?

You might have a point if all there was to amateur radio was sitting
around discussing radio theory.

>>And they don't require any license by the user. They're almost
>>totally automatic in operation, too. Is that what
>>you think amateur radio should be?
>

> My argument in here is simply to eliminate the morse code test
> for a radio license. I have NO desire to "tell you what to do,"
> and such a thing you would never obey anyway...NO ONE can tell
> Jimmie what to do! :-)

1. You want to eliminate morse testing in an endeavor in which you are
not a participant.

2. You don't want to tell anyone what to do.

Those two things look to be mutually exclusive.

> I'm not the one bringing up my 6-year-old comment on amateur
> radio minimum age. YOU are. You've done that several times,
> twice now after I've said I had not pursued the matter since
> 1999.

Neither have you disavowed your comment. You've not followed up to the
FCC with an "I was wrong about a minimum age for entry into amateur
radio". Not pursuing something further isn't the same as reversing your
views.

>>> The paradigms of yesterday just DON'T apply today.
>>
>>Some of them do. Or do you insist on a continuous techno-cultural
>>revolution?

I'm immediately suspicious of anyone who ever uses the term "paradigm".

>
> NOBODY is considering "TXT-ing" as any sort of replacement for
> modern data modes for written communications. That "test" on
> an Entertainment show was deliberately staged to poke fun at
> this relatively recent FAD of "TXT-ing." People in the Staff
> of the Tonight Show on NBC at Burbank, CA, have informed me of
> the intent of that short bit.

I'm sure the Tonight Show staff check in with you from time to time,
just to make certain that they've got their ducks in a row.

> Drop the discussion, Jimmie. You haven't "won" any sort of
> argument...rather you've helped FABRICATE a non-issue.

Actually, Leonard, I think Jim has gotten your goat on the issue.

>>And it's rather surreal to see you lecturing and posturing on
>>"young'uns in Ham Radio" when it was *you* who suggested to FCC that
>>*no-one* below the age of 14
>>years be allowed to obtain *any* class of amateur radio license.
>

>
> Jimmie boy, STOP bringing up that six-year-old argument which
> (I perceive) you tried to use as some kind of "character flaw."
> Hans Brakob floated the first argument on that in here, we
> argued on it, but YOU have to keep bringing it up, bringing it
> up, bringing it up. It's like you have intellectual bullemia.
> If you must vomit so much please do it someplace else.

Izzat one of your "Go away" lines, Leonard? I'm sure you'd like folks to stop bringing up things you've written which appear to have been boneheaded moves.

> YOU are NOT going to "win" OLD arguments that you didn't "win"
> some time ago. Quit acting the age of that old Comment of mine
> on FCC 98-143. Two little tykes of 6 years got their amateur
> radio licenses (Novice and Technician) plus got their pictures
> taken with a kindly, grandfatherly-looking VE who "administered"
> their tests. It was on the ARRL web page news some time ago.
> LET IT GO.

You intimated at the time that they must have cheated in order to obtain their licenses. You stated that you didn't believe that "CHILDREN" should be permitted to operate amateur radio stations without supervision. You commented to the FCC that their should be a minimum entry age for amateur radio even though 1) there is no record of a problem with adolescent licensees and 2) you have nothing whatever to do with amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

2024. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 18:12:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117649545.154245.255660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109157

Another fake letter, I'm not going to waste my time with this crap.

2025. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 18:43:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1117651429.585606.196460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109158

if it came from my email address then my IP would be in the header, which it is not. the only IP address in that header are yours and googles. It has been confimed that those two IP's are the only one in the header, and since my blog does not allow sending of email, except to members and there is only one member, then it is a fake. so go call who the hell you want googles will back me up on it.

Todd

2026. Re: Thank you to the Vets--and Civilians who help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 20:34:17 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <L5RWCKPI38504.940474537@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 109159

In article <3dcd1b6e.1075257@alvillage.net>
"Christy D" AKA Lardass Lloyd Davies posing as an attorney
<daviesc...@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
> On 31 May 2005 15:40:05 -0700, bb <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Christy D wrote:
> > > On Tue, 31 May 2005 12:07:05 GMT, Kim <m...@nomail.com>
wrote:
> > > [102 lines snipped]
> > >
> > > The correctness of the original opinion is directly
proportional to
> > > the length of the attempted rebuttal.
> >
> > inversely proportional?
>
> No. The longer the rebuttal attempt, the more likely it is
that the
> original opinion was correct. Hence the direct
proportionality.

Porky, you'd be better off to stick to talking about what you
know best, nothing.

2027. Re: An idea for the toad show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 21:58:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <hcqne.14043$w21.13641@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109160

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:89OdnadirJggQwDfRVn-gQ@centurytel.net...
>I don't know the rules but if it's legal, why not put your show on ATV on
>220 mhz? You just might create some interest in 220 as well as amateur
>radio and Amateur Television.
>

Why don't you know the rules? Didn't you study for your give away Tech
ticket? Apparantly you missed the part that ATV is only authorized in the
440 band and above. You see it is 6 Mhz wide, and their ain't enough to
play on 222Mhz.

Dan/W4NTI

2028. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 21:59:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <qdqne.14045$w21.12376@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109161

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1117610150.343537.261030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Tues 31 May 2005 14:23
>>
>> > Putz.
>>
>>
>> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...(SNIP)
>
> Again with the misuse of the Tomb of the Unknowns.
>
> Yes, I saw the "Solder", but it's still inappropriate.
>
> I guess the Tomb takes on a different meaning for those of us who
> actually SERVED with others while IN combat. Monuments to American war
> dead take on a different meaning when you actually KNOW men who died
> and where there when it happened...not just read about it in a report
> somewhere.
>
> But you go right on ahead expressing your "free speech" and your
> "right" to parody such things...and I'll go on calling you for what I
> think you are for doing it...
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Your right about that Steve...it makes a hell of a difference.

Dan/W4NTI

2029. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 22:03:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <sgqne.14047$w21.10180@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109162

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:MCjne.604$W77.557@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder
>
> Tasteless and revolting, stark dishonor to the real "Unknowns".
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
It just shows he is full of BS. Has no concept of what he speaks, just is here harass and trash those that have real experience and hands on knowledge. In other words a bullshit artist.

In Nam we called them REMF. And to help you out loser Lennie....that is Rear Echelon Mother F..... you fill in the blank.

Dan/W4NTI

2030. Re: Thanks for the humor, Lennie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 22:07:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <_kqne.14051$w21.12198@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109163

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1117600293.495444.265040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Dan/W4NTI/someone on May 31, 7:53 pm
>
>>> From: Dan/Diana on May 30, 8:57 pm
>>
>>>>Thank you "Diana". Now lets see Lennie's "comments".
>>
>>> Nice try at cross-dressing, Dan/Diana, but your makeup is crooked.
>>
>>
>>You really are an idiot, ain't ya Lennie?
>>
>>Are you not capable of reading headers?
>
> Very capable. Been doing it for two decades now. :-)
>
> Are YOU "Diana?" Tsk, I didn't accuse you of that, did I?
>
> Do you have "friends" who post in your favor? I'm sure
> you have lots of "friends."
>
>> And I never have, nor will I ever use a fake ID, or address.
>
> Riiiiiight. And the moon is made of green cheese.
>
> Tsk, tsk. I've seen cases of some on subscription BBSs
> (local networks) have two or MORE "identities," even to
> calling from two different locations to try to outwit
> the telephone company security folks. The Internet is
> FULL of those fakes.
>
> Some of those multiple personalities have been IN HERE.
>
> "Quitefine" is still in here. :-)
>
>>I say it the way it is.
>
> Tsk, tsk, Dan/Whoever, You say it the way you PERCEIVE it.
>
> So, are you going to go back to writing scam messages
> about "recovering money from some African nation" or do
> you have other "perfectly honest, noble, and absolutely
> true" (also "unbiased"...BWAHAHAHA) statements to make?

>
> You have a very low rating as a heckler, Dan. Take some
> lessons from your PCTA peers on that. Those peers will
> show you how to "peer" on those you hate. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
A pathetic example of a Oxygen Bandit if I have ever seen one. What are
they putting on your grave stone Lennie? May I recommend this one ?

W A N A B E E First Class

or perhaps;

CHAIRBOURN RANGER

It's a toss up....which do you prefer?

Dan/W4NTI

2031. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 22:11:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Boqne.14052$w21.2287@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109164

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1117587773.643879.227160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >Good for you Toad. It still makes no difference. You are going down the
>>same road. So have fun while you can.
>>Dan/W4NTI
>
> In what way??
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
Your attitude and your big mouth will sink you faster than the Titanic. You are your own worse enemy. FCC already has you in their sites. You have been warned by many on here. You know it all. Damn the Torpedoes...Full speed ahead. They ain't gonna get me....I'll sue them.

Putz.

Dan/W4NTI

2032. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 22:27:59 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <kgbs91ho04763h9q8j0hrkhc8d9onn31s1@4ax.com>
Source record: 109165

On 31 May 2005 17:04:05 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: Leo on Tues 31 May 2005 15:02
>
>>On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>>
>>>Some time back an anonymous poster calling himself "Leo" appeared
>>>here. Claimed he was a ham in Canada. You considered him "legitimate".
>>
>>Hmmm - probably because I am! ..... :)
>
> Nice to see you again, Leo! Of course, you have to realize
> definitions in here are tangential to the Real World.

Agreed - a parallel dimension, of sorts.... :)

>
> If you are FOR morse code testing by administrations, you are
> "legitimate."
>
> If you are against morse code testing then you are "illegitimate,"
> "in error," "mistaken," "are a lying penis-head" and have
> underarm odor.

Heh! or worse..... :)

>
> Meanwhile, the anony-mousies may have gone back to their spam
> letters of being some kind of "financial officer" of some
> African country seeking "additional funds" to "get back"
> millions in "held monies" and will freely "share some of that
> "held money" if you cross their e-palms with more money. :-)
>
> [anyone can be anything in this medium...and many are! :-)]
>
> I'm not at all sure of what some of the cross-dressing anony-
> mousies are in here. They may have been tossed off some porn
> sites catering to "amateurs" and are confused as to content in
> here... :-)

Wherever they came from, they've sure been wreaking havoc in here
lately! Seems to have quieted down a bit in the last few weeks,

though.

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

2033. Re: An idea for the toad show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 22:31:09 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <eM-dnUSIt5SsqgPfRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109166

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:89OdnadirJggQwDfRVn-gQ@centurytel.net...
>
>>I don't know the rules but if it's legal, why not put your show on ATV on
>>220 mhz? You just might create some interest in 220 as well as amateur
>>radio and Amateur Television.
>>
>
>
> Why don't you know the rules? Didn't you study for your give away Tech
> ticket? Apparantly you missed the part that ATV is only authorized in the
> 440 band and above. You see it is 6 Mhz wide, and their ain't enough to
> play on 222Mhz.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
I don't know the rules for ATV for my free tech license, which by the
way was General theory, But I guess he can play ATV on 440.
If I was going to do RTTY, packet, ATV, or any mode, I'll look up the
rules before I do it! Heck, I have a small brain amd I don't need to
know rules for stuff I'm not doing!

2034. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 22:36:51 UTC
From: Shamil Basayev <666@LensPlace>
Message-ID: <d7ld9ees0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109167

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1117600654.146184.61570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 31 May 2005 15:55

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> Heh heh, I've heard the particular lament of "we can't get
>> these (darn) kids to LISTEN to us!" for lots of generations
>> and have read of the same thing in books printed before I
>> existed. :-) It's a VERY common parental angst.
>
>Gee, Len, since you've never actually been a parent, it sounds
>like you're talking about something you've never actually done..

Gee, Quitefine, I HAVE. [too bad for you...]

Hello? You have failure to comprehend what I wrote? Of
course you've failed. Tsk, tsk, tsk, OTHERS have written
and said for decades that "those darn kids just don't
LISTEN to us!" OTHERS have written and said that. :-)

So, Jimmy/Quitefine, ARE YOU A PARENT?

And why is parenthood some kind of "essential" in radio?

Gosh, I've asked before in here..."does one now have to
present a medical doctor's statement of prospective
licensee's sperm count" to VEs? Does one?

I don't think so. The FCC didn't ask for that in 1956
when I got my first (commercial) radio operator license.

You are very wrought-up on this subject of "parenting."
Why? Why for discussion of amateur radio policy?

Oh, I see now...you want to REVIVE and old newsgroup
argument of 1998 and hope to "win" this go-around again!
Yes, you are still (apparently) nursing several severe
"wounds" suffered in newsgroup word "battle." Tsk. Get
medical help. Go see the Sturgeon General, Doc Stebie.

>Have you ever been a teacher of young people, Len?

An invited speaker at a couple of local "magnet" schools,
Jimmie. Went over rather well. You see, I've had some
experience speaking before groups and can "gauge" an
audience's attention while speaking. That comes from
practice. "Young people" (teen-agers to everyone else)

is just another group having different likes/dislikes
than adults.

>You don't seem to be able to do any teaching here, though, Len.

Tsk, tsk. Some groups remain UNABLE to learn, heads of
incredible density bone, anchored in their brainwashed
BELIEFS. NOBODY human can get through to them... :-)

>You get insulted by someone disagreeing with you, or pointing
>out your mistakes.

Why do you keep beating the mother of your children,
parent Jimmie? :-)

>So? You've never been a radio amateur, either.

It's very difficult for me to step down to what YOU call
"amateur radio." Been too long in grown-up commercial
radio and electronics.

Tsk, tsk. A radio does NOT operate by different laws of
physics because some government agency designates it as
"amateur." Didn't you learn that in Ivy school?

>And they don't require any license by the user. They're almost
>totally automatic in operation, too. Is that what
>you think amateur radio should be?

Tsk, tsk. You are "loading' a question again. :-) You keep
saying something like it is "improper" (or whatever you have in
mind) for me to tell any radio amateur what to do or "what
amateur radio should be. If I say anything remotely associated
with such an act, you mount your figurative high horse and
gallop off in another (misdirection) to do some kind of
figurative battle! Remarkable desire to "do battle" by someone
who never did any military service.

My argument in here is simply to eliminate the morse code test
for a radio license. I have NO desire to "tell you what to do,"
and such a thing you would never obey anyway...NO ONE can tell
Jimmie what to do! :-)

Jimmie boy, what YOU want in automatons is for every ham to
emulate a modem so that they can automatically decode morse
code. You are very, very (almost unnaturally) firm on that,
giving all sorts of specious "reasons" for that "entrance exam"
into narrow amateur bands below 30 MHz.

>> Using cell phones as a "hobby" is wrong.
>
>Says who?
>
> Semi-private communications is a social group act, not a hobby.

>
>What in the world does that mean?

Tsk, Tsk, TSK! Jimmie implies he has "parenting skills" and
yet he is BLIND to what modern-day teeners DO in their peer
group activities? :-)

"TXT-ing" is a modern FAD amongst teeners, the ability to send
little notes to another, hardly any restrictions, and much
easier to do than the old way with actual paper. It is a FUN
social act for them, a minor rebelliousness against old strict
rules of behavior in class, in assemblies, in any area where
they were not allowed to pass paper notes back and forth. They
can "TXT" with one hand, less observable by teachers or other
adults. It is NOT a "hobby" Jimmie, it is just a thing they DO.

>You sound like a powerboater trying to ruin the fun of sailboaters.

Why are you trying to be Admiral-in-Charge of water traffic?

Jimmie, this is a newsgroup for amateur radio policy. Sailing
and power-boat driving is quite another newsgroup.

>Yep, we see your same old tired wornout attitudes here again
>and again, Len...;-)

I'm not the one bringing up my 6-year-old comment on amateur
radio minimum age. YOU are. You've done that several times,
twice now after I've said I had not pursued the matter since
1999.

>> The paradigms of yesterday just DON'T apply today.
>
>Some of them do. Or do you insist on a continuous techno-cultural
>revolution?

Are you still beating your wife? :-)

>Look at the contest between the text messagers and the Morse Code
>operators. The "tribe" (the audience) was so sure the world-champion
>text messagers would be faster than the Morse Code operators in their
>historic costumes. Yet the Morse Code ops, going about 1/3 world
>record speed, passed the message perfectly, without abbreviations,
>and presented the result in written form before the text messagers
>could even get the message inputted into the 'phone. (They had two
>words to go - "car insurance").

Jimmie, TRY to realize that the real "tribe" is the entire
world of radio communications, and has been ever since 1896.
That "tribe" has DROPPED morse code in favor of other, better,
faster, more reliable communications modes for decades. Even
the international maritime distress and safety frequency of
500 KHz was supplanted by GMDSS by the REAL mariners themselves.
There's no need for morsemanship on 500 KHz to avoid a repeat of

the Titanic disaster. Air traffic has dropped morse on long,
over-water flights; sea traffic now uses HF SSB voice and TORs
for data; the military long since dropped morse code for
communications purposes. In the USA all you have left is some
AUTOMATIC ID machines at VORs and VORTACs and a few LF beacons
that few pilots actually use over land. AUTOMATIC machines,
Jimmie, which can endlessly generate the ID in morse code.

NOBODY is considering "TXT-ing" as any sort of replacement for
modern data modes for written communications. That "test" on
an Entertainment show was deliberately staged to poke fun at
this relatively recent FAD of "TXT-ing." People in the Staff
of the Tonight Show on NBC at Burbank, CA, have informed me of
the intent of that short bit.

Drop the discussion, Jimmie. You haven't "won" any sort of
argument...rather you've helped FABRICATE a non-issue.

>And it's rather surreal to see you lecturing and posturing on
>"young'uns in Ham Radio" when it was *you* who suggested to FCC that
>*no-one* below the age of 14
>years be allowed to obtain *any* class of amateur radio license.

Jimmie boy, STOP bringing up that six-year-old argument which
(I perceive) you tried to use as some kind of "character flaw."
Hans Brakob floated the first argument on that in here, we
argued on it, but YOU have to keep bringing it up, bringing it
up, bringing it up. It's like you have intellectual bullemia.
If you must vomit so much please do it someplace else.

YOU are NOT going to "win" OLD arguments that you didn't "win"
some time ago. Quit acting the age of that old Comment of mine
on FCC 98-143. Two little tykes of 6 years got their amateur
radio licenses (Novice and Technician) plus got their pictures
taken with a kindly, grandfatherly-looking VE who "administered"
their tests. It was on the ARRL web page news some time ago.
LET IT GO.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

--
The correctness of the original opinion is directly proportional to
the length of the attempted rebuttal

2035. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 22:39:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117665582.734815.195590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109168

Leo wrote:
> On 31 May 2005 15:37:45 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:

> >> >Some time back an anonymous poster calling himself "Leo"
> >> >appeared
> >> >here. Claimed he was a ham in Canada. You considered
> >> >him "legitimate".

> >> Hmmm - probably because I am! ..... :)

> >And why should "Arf! Arf!" be treated any differently?

> Perhaps you could enlighten us on that, Jim.....

OK

> as I recall, you
> yourself argued the other side of this point back in
> November, when I
> was not agreeing with you (back in the 'Near Space Science'
> thread).....your exact words were:
>
> >> Didn't get past me. Leo sez he's a VE3. But no call, no last
> >> name, no positive
> >> ID, no website, no outside confirmation. Maybe he is, maybe
> >> he ain't.

What part of that is not accurate, Leo? Maybe you are who you
say you are, and maybe you aren't. Maybe "Arf!" is who he says
he is - or maybe he ain't.
>
> Hmmm - let's see here....I wasn't agreeing with you, so perhaps > I am not legitimate.

Not at all. Your lack of verifiable ID means there's room for
doubt. Same for "Arf" or any other anonymous poster.

> Yet here you make a case for Arf! Arf!'s existance
> because he does agree with you.

There's as much reason to doubt his claims as there is to doubt
yours, Leo. And as little!

Please note that Len insists on calling "Arf!" names and comparing
him to Nigerian bank scammers, but does not do the same for
those who agree with him.

In addition, Len has posted here under a variety of screen names
(like "averyfine" and "averyfineman") often without any real ID.

If you want to remain anonymous, that's fine with me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2036. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 22:57:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117666673.533242.167610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109169

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 31 May 2005 15:55

>
> > Drop the discussion, Jimmie. You haven't "won" any sort of
> > argument...rather you've helped FABRICATE a non-issue.
>
> Actually, Leonard, I think Jim has gotten your goat on the
> issue.

Actually, Dave, I'm not trying to get anyone's goat. The subject is about "young'uns in ham radio", and it's obvious that things like parenting, teaching, and age limits for a license are right on-subject.

> >>And it's rather surreal to see you lecturing and posturing on
> >>"young'uns in Ham Radio" when it was *you* who suggested to
> >> FCC that *no-one* below the age of 14
> >>years be allowed to obtain *any* class of amateur radio
> >>license.

The USA has never had such an age limit. Some other countries, like Canada, had them at one time but got rid of them.

> > Jimmie boy, STOP bringing up that six-year-old
> > argument which
> > (I perceive) you tried to use as some kind of "character
> > flaw."
> > Hans Brakob floated the first argument on that in here, we
> > argued on it, but YOU have to keep bringing it up,
> > bringing it
> > up, bringing it up. It's like you have intellectual
> > bullemia.
> > If you must vomit so much please do it someplace else.
>
> Izzat one of your "Go away" lines, Leonard?

It's a "shut up" line, Dave. Not as obvious as the classic "feldwebel post", but that's what it means nonetheless.

> I'm sure you'd like folks
> to stop bringing up things you've written which appear to
> have been boneheaded moves.
>
> > YOU are NOT going to "win" OLD arguments that you

> > didn't "win"
> > some time ago. Quit acting the age of that old
> > Comment of mine
> > on FCC 98-143. Two little tykes of 6 years got
> > their amateur
> > radio licenses (Novice and Technician) plus got
> > their pictures
> > taken with a kindly, grandfatherly-looking VE
> > who "administered"
> > their tests. It was on the ARRL web page news
> > some time ago.
> > LET IT GO.
>
> You intimated at the time that they must have cheated
> in order to obtain their licenses.

IIRC, Dave, the claim was that the children could not
have obtained their licenses honestly - that they
must have gotten some "help" from the VEs. Len
claimed "very mild fraud" in the whole process.

Of course Len wasn't there, doesn't know the people
involved, and bases his opinion on what some teacher
told him about the reading skills of a six-year-old.
That the children might have passed the tests honestly
was dismissed by Len.

btw, a six-year-old earned her General a year or so ago.

How the example of the six-year-olds translates into an
age limit of 14 has never been explained.

The fact is that if someone gets enough right answers on
the written test, they get the credit.

> You stated that you didn't believe that "CHILDREN"
> should be permitted to operate amateur radio stations without
> supervision.

That would include folks like me as a Novice. With my homebrew
transmitter.

Why would Len want to keep young folks out of ham radio?

Here's one possibility:

Back in 1996, ARRL had Readex conduct a survey of 1500 amateurs.
One results display showed the support for code testing in
relation to the age of the amateur responding to the survey.

The group that showed the most positive support for code testing
(85%!) was the *youngest* age group.

2037. Re: The ARRL Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-01 23:59:01 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <24ca50dcb4d1917ff92eef4719067248@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 109170

In article
<1117611913.867562.109510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> And if the FCC "believes" the tape, it will only be after
they run
> it through electronic forensics to determine that it IS a
legitimate
> tape...so then you'll be up against the wall, Todd.

Nursie, you've been watching too much of the "C.S.I." t.v.
series' again and can't seperate a televison show from reality.
Where's that documentation?

2038. Re: An idea for the toad show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 00:31:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <qrsne.14111$w21.5770@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109171

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:eM-dnUSIt5SsqgPfRVn-rA@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
>> news:89OdnadirJggQwDfRVn-gQ@centurytel.net...
>>
>>>I don't know the rules but if it's legal, why not put your show on ATV on
>>>220 mhz? You just might create some interest in 220 as well as amateur
>>>radio and Amateur Television.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Why don't you know the rules? Didn't you study for your give away Tech
>> ticket? Apparantly you missed the part that ATV is only authorized in
>> the 440 band and above. You see it is 6 Mhz wide, and their ain't
>> enough to play on 222Mhz.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
> I don't know the rules for ATV for my free tech license, which by the way
> was General theory, But I guess he can play ATV on 440.
> If I was going to do RTTY, packet, ATV, or any mode, I'll look up the
> rules before I do it! Heck, I have a small brain amd I don't need to know
> rules for stuff I'm not doing!
>

You do on USENET. Especially if you suggest or recommend ANYTHING AT ALL.
You see.......a whole bunch of these so called hams......haven't a clue of
what they can do, or what they are doing.

Why confuse them?

Dan/W4NTI

2039. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 01:00:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <h4Odnd492NSjxwPfRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109172

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1117462538.185708.188870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>. . .
>>
>>
>>>>On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
>>>>mix of ages.
>>>
>>>Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
>>>population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?
>>
>>What "dire fate" would befall ham radio if there wasn't a single
>>licensee under 21? What do they actaully bring to hobby which is so
>>important?? Sorry, makes no sense, I just don't get it.
>>
>>If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and recruit retirees instead
>>of chasing kids? The retirees are far more independent than kids,
>>they're more mature, on average they don't care about nonsense like
>>instant gratification and peer pressure and they have the time the kids
>>don't have. And in most cases they also have the money the kids don't
>>have.
>>
>>w3rv
>>
>
>
> Kelly,
>
> I think we're batting our gums over nothing. The original post, in my mind,
> hit the nail on the head. Technical folks seem to be almost unwanted in the
> United States.

In fact, that was my main point.

> One reason for amateur radio (at least in the past) was to
> attract the technically oriented and hopefully some would persue their
> interest and become engineers.

>
> Engineers don't make tons of money these days. Skilled trades folks are
> almost unwanted. I had to laugh, there were ads for toolmakers (a number of
> years minimum experience) that ran $10.00 to $12.00 per hour. I just saw an
> ad for a parking lot attendent at $11.00 per hour. Of course, the
> requirements for that job were tough. Almost as tough as amateur radio
> requirements. Not only did you need a high school diploma (or ged, or
> equivalent experience), you had to be able to make change without the use of
> a computer or calculator!

Don't worry Jim. We Americans cam be the parking lot attendants for the
world after they pass us by

>
> Meanwhile, Russia launches Direct TVs latest hi-definition satellite, China
> does the manufacturing.

We park the cars and staff the fast food stores. And listen to bitchin
cool mu5ic and have street cred. wow

2040. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 01:04:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <KdidnSmLh6WTxgPfRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109173

Dee Flint wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1117462538.185708.188870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>. . .
>>
>>
>>>>On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good
>>>>mix of ages.
>>>
>>>Sure - but how much is enough? If, say, 10% of the US amateur
>>>population were under the age of 21, would that be enough?
>>
>>What "dire fate" would befall ham radio if there wasn't a single
>>licensee under 21? What do they actaully bring to hobby which is so
>>important?? Sorry, makes no sense, I just don't get it.
>>
>>If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and recruit retirees instead
>>of chasing kids? The retirees are far more independent than kids,
>>they're more mature, on average they don't care about nonsense like
>>instant gratification and peer pressure and they have the time the kids
>>don't have. And in most cases they also have the money the kids don't
>>have.
>>
>>w3rv
>>
>
>
> I've often suggested recruiting people in the 40 to 50 year old range.
> Their kids are grown or nearly so. They have a better income than when they
> were younger and a little more free time than when they were younger. And
> they are still young enough to have energy and enthusiasm for new
> activities.
Thats what worked for me. I had some free time with my kid going into
high school, and needed something more cerebral. For all it's fun, Ice
Hockey isn't a mental thing. Got my first license at 46 y.o.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2041. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 01:15:48 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117674948.514536.73260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109174

These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
by individuals on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of May 31, 2005:

Novice - 28,370 (decrease of 20,959)
Technician - 268,575 (increase of 63,181)
Technician Plus - 49,098 (decrease of 79,762)
General - 136,581 (increase of 23,904)
Advanced - 76,119 (decrease of 23,663)
Extra - 106,707 (increase of 27,957)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,673 (decrease of 16,581)

Total all classes - 665,450 (decrease of 9,342)

Note that these totals do not include licenses that
have expired but are in the grace period. They also
do not include club, military, RACES or other
station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new Novice, Technician Plus or
Advanced licenses are no longer issued, and that all existing
Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2042. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 01:29:07 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109177

Anonymous AKA two-test fatboy wogie wrote:

>
>
> But you were referring to the retest letter. Loser! Or are you
> too goddamned senile to follow along?

Read it and weep wogie, if you can't read it, get the six year old next door to read it to you and be sure and don't molest him as you would like to do.

< GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth. Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described could result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that the licensee contact him to discuss the allegations. >

You were caught redhanded by Riley and the boys causing malicious interference on 20 meters.
Poor fatboy two-test wogie, had to retake the test because the best he can do on ham radio is jam others signals.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!! What a pathetic POS loser.

>
> He still passed his retest, you can't even get the Extra.

He, he, he, you keep talking as if you weren't wogie? Can't even stand yourself I suppose. We all know who you are wogie. Tell us why you have to use anon remailers to post to Internet wogie.

So fatboy you got a wellfare extra light ticket. Took it at one of those license give-away VE sessions. No tech knowledge necessary, just memorize a few answers to some questions, kinda like that *restricted* radio operator *permit* you got wogie, no knowledge necessary, just fill out the FCC form. Want to tell us what happened to that 2nd class ticket you claimed to have? Have you figured out how to use the push-to-talk button on the mike so you can use that *restricted permit* wogie, or is that too technical for you. LOLOLOLOLOL!!!

> That
> morse code requirement too hard for you?

Want to meet me on the air sometime for a little code practice wogie.
Can you do 2 wpm yet?

>>Never had a letter from Riley
>
>
> Yes, you have.

So post some proof of this letter two-test fatboy. Either put up or shut up. I have posted proof of your malicious interference letter.

> BTW, Marie didn't leave Roger any house by the creek, since the
> house was Roger's, dumbass!

You wouldn't have anything wogie if Marie hadn't left it to you. Death was probably a relief to her just to be rid of a fat little dysfunctional turd like you.
>
>
>>Ten-Tech? (whining and sniveling flushed)
>
>
> Poor Butt cornholer, has that Ten-Tech equipment. Can't afford
> the good equipment, but then he always held "unskilled labor"
> type of employment.

Never ran any Ten Tech equipment wogie. I run Collins gear, far beyond your technical capabilities. You don't even have a clue wogie.

>
> Poor butt cornholer, has an obsolete ham license and an
> imaginary pilot's license. I know you don't have a pilot's
> license, liar!

Ok so prove it little turd head. Tell us what name you search under on the FAA web site that you claim shows I have no pilot certificate. You don't have a clue wogie, but then you never did. Don't you have another appointment with the mental health services again soon?

> Caught you in another lie again.

Caught you in your usual lies again fatboy two-test.

> !!!
> Poor Butt Cornholer, when Riley makes him retest he'll be
> downgraded to General, since they made the Advanced an obsolete
> license. But Butt Cornholer couldn't even pass the no code
> technician license exam if he was retested today.
>

Riley doesn't have and will not have a reason to make me retest wogie, because I don't get on the air and cause malicious interference, broadcast music (maybe you and toddie boy could get together and do a show) and make unidentified transmissions like you do wogie. You see the FCC dosen't make someone retest just for the fun of it, unlike you who

was caught causing malicious interference on 20 meters.

Poor jammer two-test, caught redhanded and spanked really good by the
FCC and had to retest to keep his license. Spank, spank, spank.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Boo!!!! Now run and hid behind the curtains again, two-test curtians fatboy.

LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!

2043. Re: An idea for the toad show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 01:30:04 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <6NednZm7q8u8_APfRVn-iA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109175

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:eM-dnUSIt5SsqgPfRVn-rA@centurytel.net...
>
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>>"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
>>>news:89OdnadirJggQwDfRVn-gQ@centurytel.net...
>>>
>>>
>>>>I don't know the rules but if it's legal, why not put your show on ATV on
>>>>220 mhz? You just might create some interest in 220 as well as amateur
>>>>radio and Amateur Television.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Why don't you know the rules? Didn't you study for your give away Tech
>>>ticket? Apparantly you missed the part that ATV is only authorized in
>>>the 440 band and above. You see it is 6 Mhz wide, and their ain't
>>>enough to play on 222Mhz.
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>>I don't know the rules for ATV for my free tech license, which by the way
>>was General theory, But I guess he can play ATV on 440.
>>If I was going to do RTTY, packet, ATV, or any mode, I'll look up the
>>rules before I do it! Heck, I have a small brain amd I don't need to know
>>rules for stuff I'm not doing!
>>
>
>
> You do on USENET. Especially if you suggest or recommend ANYTHING AT ALL.
> You see.......a whole bunch of these so called hams......haven't a clue of
> what they can do, or what they are doing.
>
> Why confuse them?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
Well there you go, that's why I said it to toad, because he knows everything

and I did say at the beginning "I don't know the rules but if it's legal".
But I do understand that I have a free license and am just a "so called
ham". I know I can't correct somebody that's wrong, Ms. Flint has
pointed me straight on that subject. Thanks for pointing out my
ignorance of usenet rules. :)

2044. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 03:57:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117684663.581302.175470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109176

From: Leo <nom...@noway.com> on Wed, 01 Jun 2005 18:27:59 -0400

>On 31 May 2005 17:04:05 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>From: Leo on Tues 31 May 2005 15:02
>>>On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:

>>>>Some time back an anonymous poster calling himself "Leo" appeared
>>>>here. Claimed he was a ham in Canada. You considered him "legitimate".
>
>>>Hmmm - probably because I am! ..... :)
>
>> Nice to see you again, Leo! Of course, you have to realize
>> definitions in here are tangential to the Real World.
>
>Agreed - a parallel dimension, of sorts.... :)
>
Several years ago I compared some of the attitudes in here
to old "Twilight Zone" and "Outer Limits" TV shows. Must
have their antennae out too far from their scalps... :-)

>> If you are FOR morse code testing by administrations, you are
>> "legitimate."
>
>> If you are against morse code testing then you are "illegitimate,"
>> "in error," "mistaken," "are a lying penis-head" and have
>> underarm odor.
>
>Heh! or worse..... :)

Tsk, ain't it awful? :-)

Poor Jimmie is all upset by "my name-calling." He is sorely
wounded. I've called him names like James, Jimmy, Jimmie
and he is all a-twitter over those "insults." Tsk, tsk,
he must have thought those are equivalent to "gutless lying
coward", a term used often by another PCTA Extra in
addition to "PUTZ." Maybe I'll just refer to James as
"Your Exhalted Highness" and he will immediately understand
that it does mean His High (and Mighty) Holiness! :-)

Yup, yup, there's a very REAL PCTA Double Standard in here.

These "radio experts" (all through an ability at rapid
morse code) seem to have NO experience with the new
lead-free "solder" which is starting to give electronics
production lines some very real problems with tin dendrites
or "whiskers." [dendrites form out of lead-free solder long
after assembly and can grow to short out traces on PCBs]
Apparently not one of the morsemen (might and macho though
they may be) KNOW about this as part of RoHS.

So, a master super chief calls a play on words nasty things.
TOMB OF THE UNKNOWN SOLDER is a many-level little diatribe.
First, on this lead-free solder according to RoHS rules.
Second, on our beloved "critic" and self-appointed, self-
styled Raddio Kopp - the Avenging Angle of Dearth. Third,
on all the emotion-only image dwellers who get too
overwrought by "approved" stylized patriotic phrases and
Want To KILL (or otherwise do nasty to) all those who do
not knee-jerk to THEIR "approved" patriotism.

Actually, those in the third group are hypocrites, taking
advantage of the medium to be much more than they really
are. Their "patriotism" is primarily for themselves, as
they can "be all that they (wish to) be" in a terrible
parody-travesty of the U.S. Army recruiting phrase.

On entering the U.S. military, recruits are sworn in and
promise to "defend the Constitution of the United States."
Too many in here have substituted ARRL for the United
States. Defending the Constitution of the ARRL (probably
with their lives, if needs be) is NOT national patriotism,
no matter how they rage.

>> [anyone can be anything in this medium...and many are! :-)]
>
>> I'm not at all sure of what some of the cross-dressing anony-
>> mousies are in here. They may have been tossed off some porn
>> sites catering to "amateurs" and are confused as to content in
>> here... :-)
>
>Wherever they came from, they've sure been wreaking havoc in here
>lately! Seems to have quieted down a bit in the last few weeks,
>though.

Ask "Quitefine," the nom de pheume of James Miccolis.

"Pheume" is an aliteration, another play on words, a
mixture of 'plume' and 'fume' and 'phew.' :-)

Screum.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2045. Re: the toad show causes illegal malicious interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 04:53:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117688020.800827.103660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109178

N9OGL wrote:
> if it came from my email address then my IP would be in the header,
> which it is not. the only IP address in that header are yours and
> googles. It has been confimed that those two IP's are the only one in
> the header, and since my blog does not allow sending of email, except
> to members and there is only one member, then it is a fake. so go call
> who the hell you want googles will back me up on it.

And in the meantime the local LE folks will start a file on
you...If they haven't already...

Works for me.

Steve, K4YZ

2046. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 04:57:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117688271.126151.163900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109179

N9OGL wrote:
> Another fake letter, I'm not going to waste my time with this crap.

QUOTE

Return-Path: <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Received: from rly-xj01.mx.aol.com (rly-xj01.mail.aol.com
[172.20.116.38]) by air-xj01.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILINXJ14-500429e04a226e; Wed, 01 Jun 2005 14:55:27 -0400
Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
rly-xj01.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILRELAYINXJ110-500429e04a226e; Wed, 01 Jun 2005 14:55:30 -0400
Received: from bla2.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 0DDDA1FD61C
for <k4yz@aol.com>; Wed, 1 Jun 2005 11:55:30 -0700 (PDT)
Message-ID: <5993180.1117652130049.JavaMail.root@bla2.prod.google.com>
From: Todd <toddaug@consolidated.net>
To: k4yz@aol.com
Subject: The Voice of Amateur Radio: THE ARRL LIES!!!!!
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Wed, 1 Jun 2005 11:55:30 -0700 (PDT)
X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:289951131:9395241
X-Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:

I block all comment on the blog and now you can't post your ##### ######.
Whine in policy all you want ##### but you not ever be alowed post
again.

Remember to apologize before 3pm tomorrow or else.

Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
Post: THE ARRL LIES!!!!!
Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/arrl-lies.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/
AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0

UNQUOTE

Five.

Steve, K4YZ

2047. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 05:02:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117687796.535206.274160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109180

N9OGL wrote:
> Another fake letter, I'm not going to waste my time with this crap.

OK.

Then I will.

Steve, K4YZ

2048. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 05:30:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117690234.790758.143920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109181

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> So, a master super chief calls a play on words nasty things.
> TOMB OF THE UNKNOWN SOLDER is a many-level little diatribe.

A disgraceful parody is a disgraceful parody.

Period.

You dishonor their sacrifice...

But then it's not the first time you've done it...And highly
unlikely that it will be the last.

> Actually, those in the third group are hypocrites, taking
> advantage of the medium to be much more than they really
> are. Their "patriotism" is primarily for themselves, as
> they can "be all that they (wish to) be" in a terrible
> parody-travesty of the U.S. Army recruiting phrase.

No...>>MY<< Patrotism is for the United States, and those who died
in it's defense.

YOUR "patriotism" is about what suits Lennie at that particular
moment.

And it's very ironic to see YOU suuggesting "hypocr(acy)" of
others, Lennie...You wear it like a crown.

> On entering the U.S. military, recruits are sworn in and
> promise to "defend the Constitution of the United States."

And there are those who think that having served the Constitution
means they should be allowed to abuse it. Like you.

> Too many in here have substituted ARRL for the United
> States. Defending the Constitution of the ARRL (probably
> with their lives, if needs be) is NOT national patriotism,
> no matter how they rage.

Only YOU make that suggestion.

Because YOU are a liar. Documented. Archived.

> LenIenjoyDishonoringAmericansKilledInActionAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

2049. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 06:00:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117692042.742635.210220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109182

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 31 May 2005 15:55
>
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > >> Heh heh, I've heard the particular lament of "we can't get
> > >> these (darn) kids to LISTEN to us!" for lots of
> > >> generations
> > >> and have read of the same thing in books printed before I
> > >> existed. :-) It's a VERY common parental angst.
> >
> > >Gee, Len, since you've never actually been a parent, it sounds
> > >like you're talking about something you've never actually
> > >done..
> >
> > Gee, Quitefine, I HAVE. [too bad for you...]
>
> You have been a parent, Len? That's news - you've told us
> all about your life and never mentioned that.
>
> > Hello? You have failure to comprehend what I wrote?
>
> No, I understood it well.
>
> > Of
> > course you've failed. Tsk, tsk, tsk, OTHERS have written
> > and said for decades that "those darn kids just don't
> > LISTEN to us!" OTHERS have written and said that. :-)
>
> And you agree with them - even though you have no experience
> as a parent. You're lecturing others on things you have no
> real experience with.
>
> > So, Jimmy/Quitefine, ARE YOU A PARENT?
>
> Suppose I am, Len - would that cause your behavior to change in a
> positive way? Or would you simply use that information to make fun of
> me?
>
> I suspect the latter.
>
> > And why is parenthood some kind of "essential" in radio?
>

> It's not. But when you lecture the newsgroup on "young'uns in ham
> radio", your lack of experience is relevant, don't you think?

Lennie's not a parent, if we follow his own logic.

Lennie has repeatedly insisted that if I did certain things in the
Armed Forces, then I would be quick to brag about them and discuss them
readily. Since HE does it, EVERYONE must do it too.....

That's not the truth, but that's what Lennie has insisted.

Therefore, that being the case, and Lennie having failed to
discuss his successful procreation and subsequent rearing of offspring,
we can conclude that it never happened.

> > You are very wrought-up on this subject of "parenting."
> > Why? Why for discussion of amateur radio policy?
>
> Look at the subject line.

Lennie claims to be an engineer, but can't seem to make notes of
the "details"...

> > Oh, I see now...you want to REVIVE and old newsgroup
> > argument of 1998 and hope to "win" this go-around again!
>
> I won before and I'll win again. But it goes back farther than
> 1998 and continues into the present.
>
> For example, back in 1996 you wrote:
>
> "I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters" in what is a
> primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational activity."
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/913159af9e8f2526?output
=gplain
>
> That pretty much says it all - *you* have a problem including young
> people. The surreal part is that you're neither a parent nor a radio
> amateur.

Lennie doesn't let little things like "no practical experience"
keep him from expressing an "expert" opinion on a great many range of
issues.

> Your answer indicates what many have long suspected: that you
> expect to be instantly recognized as an expert without having
> to meet the requirements for a license.

It was suggested to Lennie that he take some of this energy and
submit a proposal to the FCC to allow "engineers" either a free pass
or some sort of a "bridge" exam to get licensure.

Never did it.

> > Tsk, tsk. A radio does NOT operate by different laws of
> > physics because some government agency designates it as
> > "amateur."
>
> So what? "Physics" isn't the only consideration.

And Lennie's been asked to please show where ANYone, other than
him, has made said issue of it...

No answers.

> > >> Using cell phones as a "hobby" is wrong.
> > >
> > >Says who?
> > >
> > > Semi-private communications is a social group act,
> > > not a hobby.
> > >
> > >What in the world does that mean?
> >
> > Tsk, Tsk, TSK! Jimmie implies he has "parenting skills" and
> > yet he is BLIND to what modern-day teeners DO in their peer
> > group activities?
>
> Your writing is simply unclear, Len.

It's also assinine.

Would Lennie suggest that because people drive cars and trucks for
"business" that no one may then drive, maintain or collect them for a
"hobby"...?!?!

Lennie has AOL...Just put the word "cell phone" into the "hobbies"
search criteria of the "Members Directory" and watch the hits flow in.

> > "TXT-ing" is a modern FAD amongst teeners, the ability
> > to send
> > little notes to another, hardly any restrictions, and much
> > easier to do than the old way with actual paper.
>
> Guess what, Len - people of all ages do text messaging. It's
> not just teenagers. For example, if I know a coworker is in a meeting,
> and needs some piece of information, I'll send a text message rather
> than call. Much less intrusive and the information is already in text
> form. Been doing that for *years*.

Once upon a time the idea that "teeners" using spark gaps and old
Model T ignition coils for "communications" would be nothing more than
a fad...

> > >You sound like a powerboater trying to ruin the fun of
> > >sailboaters.
> >
> > Jimmie, this is a newsgroup for amateur radio policy.

> > Sailing
> > and power-boat driving is quite another newsgroup.
> >
> It's an analogy, Len. Sailboats used to be the dominant way
> of water transport. Navies used sail, cargo ships used sail,
> fishing vessels, explorers, etc. Just like Morse Code.
>
> Now sail has all but disappeared, except in a few special applications
> and in "hobby" (pleasure) boating.
>
> Just like Morse Code.
>
> See the analogy?

He can't see his an...uh...nose. How can he see an
"analogy"...?!?!

> > Drop the discussion, Jimmie.
>
> You previously wrote:
>
> "I have NO desire to "tell you what to do,""
>
> but now you're doing just that!
>
> You're telling me to shut up, Len.

And this kind of behaviour is unknown from him...?!?!

> > Jimmie boy,
>
> There you go again. Why do you call names like that, Len?
> Is there a reason you can't just call me "Jim", the way I
> call you "Len"?

That might make you his "peer", Jim...

Can't have that!

> > STOP bringing up that six-year-old argument which
> > (I perceive) you tried to use as some kind of "character
> > flaw."
>
> There you go, telling me to shut up. Again.
>
> I bring up the issue because it shows your true attitude about
> "young'uns in ham radio". (see the subject line). It's right on
> the subject.

He wasn't a Ham at a young age, ergo NO one should be a Ham at ANY
age...

> > Two little tykes of 6 years got their amateur
> > radio licenses (Novice and Technician) plus got
> > their pictures
> > taken with a kindly, grandfatherly-looking VE
> > who "administered"
> > their tests. It was on the ARRL web page news some time ago.
>
> And you said there must have been fraud involved. You accused ARRL and
> the VEs involved of dishonesty. Right here. That's a fact. Shall I
> repost those claims?
>
> > LET IT GO.
>
> Why? So the truth is ignored?

So Lennie can quit getting his nose rubbed in yet another of his
fallicies.

> Fun fact, Len: Recently, a six-year-old earned her *General* class
> license. Code test and all. It was on the ARRL webpage.

Our local club recently added two new licensees...both 9....No
record, but yet more evidence that there ARE "young 'uns" entering
Amateur Radio.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2050. Re: TWO DAYS TO GO (to teh most important day in Ham radio History)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 08:44:21 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9669302C52242elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 109183

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in news:Uplle.10761$w21.10732
@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net:

>
> "gareth" <cronjob@btconnect.com> wrote in message
> news:d74cgj$2bg$1@nwrdmz03.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com...
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1117100810.831849.193730@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> G1LVN wrote:
>>> > ....to deregulation of Amateur Radio in the UK that is!
>>> > ** From the country that brought you HF with no morse test
>>> > Complete deregulation --> Wireless Telegraphy Act excempt,
>>> > un-regulated, un-policed, un-enforced, free-for-all SHF/UHF/VHF/HF
>>> > bands within a 18,000 mile radius of London, England
>
> The Ofcom proposal is published online at:
>
> http://www.ofcom.org.uk/consult/condocs/aradio/aradio.pdf
>
> Other than licensing for a lifetime rather a fixed interval, I don't
> see Wireless Telegraphy Act license exemption as a desired
> Ofcom proposal.
>
> License exemption is addressed as an alternative but it is
> immediately dismissed by Ofcom as impractical for
> several reasons.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>

That's 100% correct.

The subplot here is that the RSGB (now known by many of us as the RSCB)
originally got the idea that deregulation meant that they could take over
licensing and make loads of money. Instead, Ofcom are introducing a free
lifetime licence, and so the Soceity are trying to talk us all out of
saving the 15 pounds a year (US Dollars 27.29 at today's exchange rate
according to XE.com) because they want the money for themselves! I've never
been a member, and it isn't likely that I'll join anytime soon.

73 de Alun, G8VUK, N3KIP

2051. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 10:39:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117708772.919581.204240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109184

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Leo <nom...@noway.com> on Wed, 01 Jun 2005 18:27:59 -0400
>
> >On 31 May 2005 17:04:05 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>From: Leo on Tues 31 May 2005 15:02
> >>>On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>
> Poor Jimmie is all upset by "my name-calling."

Do you mean me, Len?

I'm not "all upset". I just wonder why you do it.

> He is sorely wounded.

Not me.

> I've called him names like James, Jimmy, Jimmie

And a lot more. Always as an insult. Why?

> and he is all a-twitter over those "insults."

I'm just asking *why* you do that, when I call you "Len" or
"Mr. Anderson" or "Anderson" - and nothing else.

> Tsk, tsk,
> he must have thought those are equivalent to "gutless lying
> coward", a term used often by another PCTA Extra in
> addition to "PUTZ."

Let's see....

Steve, K4YZ, calls you those names. I don't, and never have, yet
you somehow think that since he calls you those names, it's
all right for you to call me names.

That doesn't make any sense at all, Len.

> Maybe I'll just refer to James as
> "Your Exhalted Highness" and he will immediately understand
> that it does mean His High (and Mighty) Holiness! :-)

Why not just "Jim" or "N2EY"? Is there some reason you cannot
do that?

> Yup, yup, there's a very REAL PCTA Double Standard in here.

Not really.

What you're saying is that if one "PCTA Extra" calls you a name, you
are somehow justified in calling all "PCTA Extras" names.

That just doesn't make sense.
>
> These "radio experts" (all through an ability at rapid
> morse code) seem to have NO experience with the new
> lead-free "solder" which is starting to give electronics
> production lines some very real problems with tin dendrites
> or "whiskers." [dendrites form out of lead-free solder long
> after assembly and can grow to short out traces on PCBs]
> Apparently not one of the morsemen (might and macho though
> they may be) KNOW about this as part of RoHS.

> So, a master super chief calls a play on words nasty things.
> TOMB OF THE UNKNOWN SOLDER is a many-level little diatribe.

It's not funny, Len. It's an insult to the honor of the Unknowns.
Your little parody is, as Hans, K0HB describes, revolting and in
very poor taste. I would add disgusting, poorly written, and a
waste of bandwidth.

Most of all, you dishonor *yourself* by writing it and posting it
repeatedly.

Had I written something like that, you'd have a major tantrum.
Heck, you have a tantrum just for a minor disagreement.

2052. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 12:28:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <y9ydnSq95LI3vQLfRVn-1A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109189

Userbeam Remailer AKA fatboy two-test wogie, the little coward who hides behind the curtains wrote:

>
> Yet, you can't even pass it, that's why you are depserately
> holding onto your obsolete Advanced.
> Dumbass, the new Extra pool took the questions and materials
> from the old Advanced exams, so in other words, Roger passed the
> same test you took, dumbass!

So wogie memorized some answers to some test questions, big deal. There was no pool of questions with the answers when I passed my advanced test, just a study guide. I had to know the material, unlike you wogie. And I did it all in front of an FCC examiner, not at some license give-away VE session like you wogie. You get a give-away ticket and now you think you have really accomplished something. You used that *restricted permit* yet wogie? You know, the one you just fill out an FCC form for. Is that the best you can do? What happened to that 2nd class ticket you claimed to have fatboy? Come on curtains boy, fess up. You never had a 2nd class ticket now did you? Just more of your habititual lying. Too dumb to ever pass such a technical exam. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>>>That
>>>morse code requirement too hard for you?
>>
>>Want to (incompetent Cornholer's postering)
>
>
> Hehe..I can and did pass a 13 wpm code test when I initialy got
> my General, dumbass! Still can't get that 5 wpm, eh, obsolete
> license boy?

First you talk as if you aren't wogie, now you say "I can". Make up your drugged shattered mind curtians boy. You don't even know who you are who you are posting to. What a stupid little POS turd.

Same code test I passed for the Advanced. So once again, want to get on the air for some code practice wogie?

>>>>Never had a letter from Riley
>>>
>>>
>>>Yes, you have.
>>

>>So post some proo (whines)

So where is your proof of this letter, two-test curtains fatboy? You can't produce it because it is just another of your lies, chubby. But we have both letters you received from the FCC, the one about your malicious interference on 20 meters that eventutally resulted in your having to retake the test.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> No, you haven't. You only posted the ARRL press release about
> it. You fucked up again.
> And it alleged "malicious interference". Of course, one could
> presume with equal validity, the "evidence" was fabricated and
> thus improperly presented. You lose.

Nothing was fabricated, you were caught red-handed by Riley, you are the loser fatboy. Had to retest to keep your license.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

>
> Oh, yes he does, for you have been jamming Toad's braodcasts.
> It's all on tape.

Sure sure wogie. I haven't even heard the little twerps broadcasts. Glad you also think he is 'broadcasting' which is agianst the rules, but you enjoy violating the rules don't you curtains boy. Is toddieboy your son by any chance? You two are sure a lot alike, both completely stupid and like to violate FCC regulations. At least toddieboy hasn't had to retest to keep his license like you fatboy...yet.

> I know you are too chickenshit to go see Roger in person.
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

So you can hide behind the curtains like when you were visited once before, two-test wogie? HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!.

So when is your next appointment with the mental health services?

2053. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 13:16:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vEDne.5109$MI4.2073@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109185

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> Another fake letter, I'm not going to waste my time with this crap.
>
> OK.
>
> Then I will.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Is it 3:00PM yet?

2054. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 13:28:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dQDne.4224$s64.3049@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109186

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> TOMB OF THE UNKNOWN SOLDER is a many-level little diatribe.

No, it's not a diatribe, Len; it's an insult to the "Tomb of the Unknown".

It was an ill-considered attempt to make a cutesy
7th-grade-clever-play-on-words, and it dishonors the national shrine which it
attempts to use in parody. That you now try to rationalize your gaffe as just
another episode in the ongoing Len-vs-Steve snit-contest speaks volumes.

de Hans, K0HB

2055. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 13:34:14 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <5cc0bbebf130356fa13155a37a763e69@userbeam.net>
Source record: 109187

In article <9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Senile old child molester Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys
<m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Anonymous wrote:

Wrong guess again, receding hairline boy!
Roger is "fat"? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA.... Goddamn, are
you a stupid fucking imbecile!

> >
> >
> > But you were referring to the retest letter. Loser! Or are
you
> > too goddamned senile to follow along?
>
> Read it (as usual, Cornholer fucks that up)

That's not Roger's retest letter, dumbass. That's the ARRL press
release. Thanks for proving you can't think or read.

Here is the real retest letter, from Google, since it was posted
to the newsgroups (probably by you) before:

July 11, 2000

CERTIFIED MAIL--RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47
C.F.R.
Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to re-
administer any
examination element previously administered by Volunteer
Examiners (VE's).
The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or
under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE

designated by
the Commission.

Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class Amateur
Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the supervision of an
American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner Coordinator. This examination
must be completed on or before September 11, 2000. The required code speed
that you must demonstrate is 5 words per minute.

Please be prepared to verify your current address and to present a photo
identification. Pursuant to Commission rules, your license will be cancelled
if you do not appear for re-examination. You will be granted an Amateur
Radio license consistent with the elements you pass upon re-examination.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio

Enforcement Bureau

Now, where in the letter does it say "interference" was the reason for the retest?
In fact, the only reason is as follows:

"Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R.
Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to re-administer any
examination element previously administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE's).
The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE designated by
the Commission."

Looks like you lose, as usual.

Oh, and the required code speed at the time of retest was 5 wpm, initially, Roger got his General with
the 13 wpm code speed in 1999.
Such a request is part of the Volunteer Examining program. It

could have been made for any number of
reasons that may have had nothing to do with any of the
addressee's actions. An investigation into the VE group that
gave the test, would be a good example.

Oh, and it isn't a "spank", dumbass. Learn what words mean
before you attempt to use them.
If Roger had his ticket pulled, that would be a "spank", DUMBASS!

> >
> > He still passed his retest, you can't even get the Extra.
>
> He, (more whiny excuses)

Hahahahahaha..keep pretending you aren't too stupid and lazy to
get the Extra. Keep flying those model airplanes and thinking
you have a "pilot's license", too!!!! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

>
> So fatboy you got a wellfare

"Wellfare" Dumbass Cornholer makes good spell!
You should know how "welfare"is spelled, you senile old bastard,
since you get it from the government.

>(more whining by Cornholer)

Yet, you can't even pass it, that's why you are depserately
holding onto your obsolete Advanced.
Dumbass, the new Extra pool took the questions and materials
from the old Advanced exams, so in other words, Roger passed the
same test you took, dumbass!

>
> > That
> > morse code requirement too hard for you?
>
> Want to (incompetent Cornholer's postering)

Hehe..I can and did pass a 13 wpm code test when I initialy got
my General, dumbass! Still can't get that 5 wpm, eh, obsolete
license boy?
I see, once you got that obsolete license, you applied yourself
like you do in other things, you never improve.

>
> >>Never had a letter from Riley
> >
> >
> > Yes, you have.
>
> So post some proo (whines)

When you post the proof that Roger wouldn't have anything except what Marie left him.

> Either put up or shut up.

Aww, poor Butt Cornholer, on the rag again?

> I have posted proof of your malicious interference letter.

No, you haven't. You only posted the ARRL press release about it. You fucked up again.
And it alleged "malicious interference". Of course, one could presume with equal validity, the "evidence" was fabricated and thus improperly presented. You lose.

Even what warning letters represent is put in each FCC Enforcement Logs on the ARRL web site:

"NOTE: Issuance by the FCC of a Warning Notice indicates that the FCC has what it believes to be reliable evidence of possible rules infractions and not necessarily that the recipient has violated FCC rules."

http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2005/0521.html?nc=1 for example.

>
> > BTW, Marie didn't leave Roger any house by the creek, since the
> > house was Roger's, dumbass!
>
> You wouldn't have (unsubstantiated blatherings)

Proof???? No, you don't have any.

> Never ran any (whiny excuses)

Oh, so you can't even afford Ten-Tech equipment, oblsolete license boy? I guess when your children have power of attorney over you like yours do, they control the financial purse strings. WHat's the matter you senile old fuck, can't you remember even your own children's names????

> >
> > Poor butt cornholer, has an obsolete ham license and an
> > imaginary pilot's license. I know you don't have a pilot's
> > license, liar!
>
> Ok so prove it l (whines)

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA..my, that's quite the temper tantrum, obsolete license and imaginary pilots license boy.
There is nobody listed under "Buzz Corey" dumbass. That is the only name you give on here, unless you are hiding behind some "curtains"? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

>
> > Caught you in another lie again.
>
> Caught you (IKYABWAI)

You couldn't catch a fucking clue if you did the clue mating
dance and plastered clue hormones all over your wrinkled obese
body.

>
> > !!!
> > Poor Butt Cornholer, when Riley makes him retest he'll be
> > downgraded to General, since they made the Advanced an
obsolete
> > license. But Butt Cornholer couldn't even pass the no code
> > technician license exam if he was retested today.
> >
>
>
> Riley doesn't (more whines and backpedals)

Oh, yes he does, for you have been jamming Toad's braodcasts.
It's all on tape.

Now tell us all about your imaginary pilot's license, obsolete
license boy.
I know you are too chickenshit to go see Roger in person.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

--
Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imgainary
spelling skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

2056. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 14:21:09 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <pBEne.6$tr.3@fed1read03>
Source record: 109188

One of locals tells his kids as they come of age -- you want a drivers
license and a car -- sure thing -- right after you get a Ham license (;-)

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

2057. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 15:15:30 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d7n7qhsoc1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 109190

Caveat Lector wrote:
> One of locals tells his kids as they come of age -- you want a drivers
> license and a car -- sure thing -- right after you get a Ham license (;-)
>

sounds like a good deal to me.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2058. ADAGIO A L'EUROPE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 16:49:28 UTC
From: juba_diff@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1117730968.683067.101960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109191

ADAGIO A L'EUROPE
www.europe2020.org/fr/home.htm - 2005 -
Un "Adagio à l'Europe" , une oeuvre unique célébrant ...
http://www.europe2020.org/fr/section_audio/AdagioEurope/adagio.htm
ADAGIO TO EUROPE
Europe 2020
. MUSIC: 'Adagio to Europe', a unique work celebrating the European
Union
www.europe2020.org/en/home.htm - 2005 -
To listen to extract, click here
http://www.ADAGIO TO EUROPE.europe2020
http://www.ADAGIOTOEUROPE.europe2020
http://www.europe2020.org/en/section_audio/AdagioEurope/adagio.htm
http://www.europe2020.org/fr/section_audio/AdagioEurope/adagio.htm
http://www.europe2020.org/en/section_audio/AdagioEurope/ADAGIO_TO_EUROPE.wav
Pour entendre un extrait, cliquez ici
To listen to extract, click here

2059. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 18:08:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OWHne.4296$s64.3926@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109192

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri 27 May 2005 14:30
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: "bb" on Wed,May 25 2005 3:35 pm
>>
>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon,May 23 2005 3:57 am

>>Gee, Len, you bring up even older and more faded stuff about defunct
>>ham radio magazines and your experiences of a half-century ago.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, perhaps because I actually DID those things
> and you did not? Awwww....

A good many of us have done any number of things which you've never
done. We're also radio amateurs. You've never done that either.

>>Why is it OK for you to rehash events of 20, 30, 50 years ago, but not
>>OK for others to remind you of the events of 2 or 3 years past?
>
>
> Who said "it isn't OK?" Jimmie, you do that all the time
> lapsing back to your teenage years and that MIGHTY TEST
> for an AMATEUR radio license!

Do you feel that it is somehow inappropriate for Jim to bring up AMATEUR
radio in an AMATEUR radio newsgroup, Leonard?

Dave K8MN

2060. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 18:21:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <E6Ine.4302$s64.1018@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109193

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Arf! Arf! wrote:

>>>Now ya did it - he'll do a couple dozen kilobytes about ADA
>>>*again*...
>
>
> Poor Jimmie. NEVER was in the military, NEVER worked any
> professional communications, NEVER did much except play with
> his "homebuilt" hobby radios.

Poor Jimmie? Is it a sad thing because someone was never in the
military? Do you know that Jim has never worked in professional
communications? How would you know if Jim "NEVER did much"?

>>>Gotta take some serious cajones to jump out of an aircraft that
>>>is flying perfectly well.
>
>
> Gotta take some serious "cajones" to ENLIST voluntarily...

It does? And one who chooses not to do so doesn't have any? Is that
your claim?

> ...or
> even accept a draft notice (which Jimmie never did).

That would have been pretty tough for him to do since the draft no
longer existed. You never quite get the facts right, do you?

Dave K8MN

2061. Re: An idea for the toad show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 18:26:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <GbIne.14471$w21.1661@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109194

```
"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:6NednZm7q8u8_APfRVn-iA@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
>> news:eM-dnUSIt5SsqgPfRVn-rA@centurytel.net...
>>
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>
>>>>"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:89OdnadirJggQwDfRVn-gQ@centurytel.net...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I don't know the rules but if it's legal, why not put your show on ATV
>>>>>on 220 mhz? You just might create some interest in 220 as well as
>>>>>amateur radio and Amateur Television.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Why don't you know the rules? Didn't you study for your give away Tech
>>>>ticket? Apparantly you missed the part that ATV is only authorized in
>>>>the 440 band and above. You see it is 6 Mhz wide, and their ain't
>>>>enough to play on 222Mhz.
>>>>
>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>I don't know the rules for ATV for my free tech license, which by the
>>>way was General theory, But I guess he can play ATV on 440.
>>>If I was going to do RTTY, packet, ATV, or any mode, I'll look up the
>>>rules before I do it! Heck, I have a small brain amd I don't need to know
>>>rules for stuff I'm not doing!
>>>
>>
>>
>> You do on USENET. Especially if you suggest or recommend ANYTHING AT
>> ALL. You see.......a whole bunch of these so called hams......haven't a
>> clue of what they can do, or what they are doing.
>>
>> Why confuse them?
```

>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
> Well there you go, that's why I said it to toad, because he knows
> everything
> and I did say at the beginning "I don't know the rules but if it's legal".
> But I do understand that I have a free license and am just a "so called
> ham". I know I can't correct somebody that's wrong, Ms. Flint has pointed
> me straight on that subject. Thanks for pointing out my ignorance of
> usenet rules. :)
>
Not rules....just the way it is.

Dan/W4NTI

2062. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 18:32:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117737154.892036.84200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109195

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> Another fake letter, I'm not going to waste my time with this crap.
> >
> > OK.
> >
> > Then I will.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Is it 3:00PM yet?

And then some. Why? need a watch for Christmas?

Steve, K4YZ

2063. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 19:29:13 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117740553.533098.327100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109196

From: "K4YZ" on Wed 1 Jun 2005 23:00

[the lonely sentinel bursts out in rage and anger, unable to control his emotions...mighty flashes issue from his red pilot lights...he raises his USMC bayonetted soldering iron and strikes! Whiff...the unconnected strike punctures the empty air...]

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 31 May 2005 15:55

>> It's not. But when you lecture the newsgroup on "young'uns in ham
>> radio", your lack of experience is relevant, don't you think?
>
> Lennie's not a parent, if we follow his own logic.
>
> Lennie has repeatedly insisted that if I did certain things in the
>Armed Forces, then I would be quick to brag about them and discuss them
>readily. Since HE does it, EVERYONE must do it too.....
>
> That's not the truth, but that's what Lennie has insisted.

That's simply untrue, Stebie.

Stebie NEVER worked HF communications in the USMC. Indeed, he was NEVER in any sort of radio communications tasks as a helicopter ground maintenance crew.

Stebie still hasn't verified his CLAIM of being "Assistant" NCOIC at a USMC MARS station.

The United States military used NON-morse HF communications for the major tactical/strategic radio communications since 1948. [Stebie wasn't even conceived until much later...]

Thousands of men (and a few women) have worked in HF communications in the military. I was one of those thousands IN the military working on HF communications, "getting the messages through" and on a 24/7 basis. Stebie, the Avenging Angle of Dearth, has NOT. Stebie is jealous, poor thing.

> Therefore, that being the case, and Lennie having failed to
>discuss his successful procreation and subsequent rearing of offspring,
>we can conclude that it never happened.

Stebie, in his RAGE and ANGER, has lost his bearings on what
this newsgroup is about. Hint: It is about radio amateur
policy matters. Pediatrics is NOT the subject.

Stebie has expressed an inordinate desire to talk about others'
families, especially WIVES. This newsgroup is NOT about
Stebie's fantasies about others' marital relationships nor is
it about SEX. Yet, Stebie keeps on mentioning his "enemies"
(in the newsgroup and probably everywhere) as "penis head,"
using a Yiddish pejorative (even when he is unfamiliar with
Judaism nor its Central European ethnic group of Yiddish.

>> > You are very wrought-up on this subject of "parenting."
>> > Why? Why for discussion of amateur radio policy?
>>
>> Look at the subject line.
>
> Lennie claims to be an engineer, but can't seem to make notes of
>the "details"...

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Both "Quitefine" and Stebie (Assistant NCOIC
of Rage and Anger among the PCTA) don't understand that
"young ones" are not necessarily just their "own" offspring.

Stebie, the self-appointed "ethnic puritan" of this newsgroup,
couldn't make it on his own as a Purchasing Agent of a small
electronics company, yet claims/postures/implies that he
"knows" all about radio-electronics engineering, what the
engineers know, what the engineers do, etc., etc., etc.
CLAIMS. Brags. Posturing. Stebie's forte' as a mighty
macho morseman.

I make no "claim" of engineering. I AM one and have been for
many years. My professional occupation.

Stebie is a NURSE. "Quitefine" (James Miccolis) will NOT
reveal what HE works on or for...other than letting slip once
that he "works in vehicular technology" (in one of his
comments on one of the 18 Petitions for amateur radio
restructuring. "Quitefine" is NOT a member of the worldwide
Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE), a
professional association.

>> That pretty much says it all - *you* have a problem including young
>> people. The surreal part is that you're neither a parent nor a radio
>> amateur.
>
> Lennie doesn't let little things like "no practical experience"
>keep him from expressing an "expert" opinion on a great many range of
>issues.

The "surreal" part of Miccolis and Robeson's diatribes is that:

1. No pediatrician is required to be a "parent." There is NO such "requirement" in any academic organization to "be a parent" in ANY degreed/titled work involving children OR procreation of children.

2. "Quitefine" (Miccolis) seems to disregard laws of physics in that ALL radios work by the SAME physical laws, regardless of human designations as to their application. [inconceivable that a claimed double-degree individual would insist that ONLY licensed radio amateurs (who have obtained a federal merit badge only in amateurism) can express any opinion at all.

3. In the United States of America, ANY citizen has the RIGHT to comment to their government on ANY law or regulation REGARDLESS of whether or not they have any "license" or other "authorization" to "operate" under some rules or regulations.

4. Neither Miccolis ("Quitefine") nor Robeson have ANY "authority" to RULE on U.S. amateur radio regulations, yet the seek to bar, to subjugate, to eliminate ANYONE BUT licensed radio amateurs from even talking about it. Apparently those two control freaks do not understand that NO FCC Commissioner or staff member is "required" to possess an amateur radio license in order to offcially regulate U.S. amateur radio.

5. Miccolis-"Quitefine" has NOT YET stated how many offspring HE has parented. He implies he has but the number, gender, are all big unknowns. Robeson has only mentioned his "offspring" from his second marriage...neglecting any mention of "offspring" from his first, failed marriage. Regardless of the NON-applicability of "offspring" as the ONLY "authoritative experience" in discussing young people, they implore (if not directly order) "offspring" as a "prime requirement" to talk about young people.

>> Your answer indicates what many have long suspected: that you
>> expect to be instantly recognized as an expert without having
>> to meet the requirements for a license.
>
> It was suggested to Lennie that he take some of this energy and
>submit a proposal to the FCC to allow "engineers" either a free pass
>or some sort of a "bridge" exam to get licensure.
>
> Never did it.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. A NON-applicable "request" NOT required.

That so-called "request" was simply a MISDIRECTION to try to stop any further talk on ELIMINATION OF THE MORSE CODE TEST for an amateur radio license.

As is quite obvious under any U.S. citizens' RIGHTS under the Constitution (of the United States, NOT the ARRL), "licensure" in amateur radio is NOT REQUIRED to talk about GETTING INTO amateur radio. To reiterate, NO FCC Commissioner or Staffer is required to possess any amateur radio license in order to lawfully regulate U.S. amateur radio.

Both of these control freaks have been invited to take their "authority" and "shove it up their I/O ports."

They never did it. However, they might have...and enjoyed it.

>> > Tsk, tsk. A radio does NOT operate by different laws of
>> > physics because some government agency designates it as
>> > "amateur."
>>
>> So what? "Physics" isn't the only consideration.
>
> And Lennie's been asked to please show where ANYone, other than
>him, has made said issue of it...
>
> No answers.

TSK, TSK, TSK. MANY ANSWERS. Apparently these two control freaks do not have sufficient reading comprehension...or have a psychological inability to separate their fantasies from reality. They are continuously "making issues" of such "clubhouse rules" where only THEY can "rule" yet neither one has legal/lawful/actual authority to rule.

>> Your writing is simply unclear, Len.
>
> It's also assinine.

Stebie, the Avenging Angle of Dearth, the newsgroup's counterpart to Elfren Saldivar, can only "reply" with a series of Personal Insults...which is little more than his RAGE and ANGER and personal frustration showing clearly.

> Would Lennie suggest that because people drive cars and trucks for
>"business" that no one may then drive, maintain or collect them for a
>"hobby"...?!?!

Stebie is still unable to focus on the newsgroup subject. Matters of vehicular transportation do NOT belong here.

> Once upon a time the idea that "teeners" using spark gaps and old
>Model T ignition coils for "communications" would be nothing more than
>a fad...

"SPARK," technically damped impulse oscillation, is FORBIDDEN by law.

Neither "Quitefine" nor Stebie were alive in the early days of radio when "Spark" was all that was available to amateur

radio. They've only READ about it, could NOT have used it
LEGALLY.

>> See the analogy?
>
> He can't see his an...uh...nose. How can he see an
>"analogy"...?!?!

Stebie is overly concerned with anal-genital areas of the
body. Stebie is overly concerned with other people's
wives, including desires to talk about their sexual or
marital practices.

This is NOT the newsgroup to talk about those things.

>> > Jimmie boy,
>>
>> There you go again. Why do you call names like that, Len?
>> Is there a reason you can't just call me "Jim", the way I
>> call you "Len"?
>
> That might make you his "peer", Jim...
>
> Can't have that!

PCTA Extra Double Standard. "Quitefine" and Stebie both
"allow" name-calling such as "PUTZ" and "gutless coward"
to others, yet object to their aliases or diminutive forms
of their given names.

Miccolis is NOT my "peer." He has not identified his actual
place of employment, has not identified his own "parenthood"
(which he REQUIRES of others), and has claimed to be a
"radio manufacturer." Tsk, he is not even a member of any
professional association. Stebie is a NURSE, has not even
worked IN any electronics engineering position...nor has he
done HF communications while in the military.

>> And you said there must have been fraud involved. You accused ARRL and
>> the VEs involved of dishonesty. Right here. That's a fact. Shall I
>> repost those claims?

Tsk, tsk. I wrote, some time ago, that the ARRL "sins by omission."
They do so often, especially in their publications on the history
of radio. The ARRL over-emphasizes (from omitting other workers
in radio) the "role" that radio amateurs have played in the
evolution of radio communications.

The first use of Single Sideband techniques was in long-distance
wired telephony. The first consistent use of SSB in HF
communications was by commercial and government organizations
beginning in the 1930s...and continues to this day. The ARRL
seems to have lost touch with the activities of commercial and

government users of SSB and imply that "SSB pioneering" was done by radio amateurs in the 1950s, two decades after the fact. One case of intellectual fraud...but it serves the purpose of making hobbyist hams feel "important." They (and many self-important hams) point to the Strategic Air Command about SSB and forget that such was SINGLE-CHANNEL SSB, something already done prior to WW2, dropped before WW2 due to lack of frequency stability techniques to keep costs low.

The ARRL has implied that quartz crystal frequency stability owes its existance (especially after WW2) to "efforts by hams" (in more pioneering). They neglect an overall electronics industry need for stable frequency control. They neglect the tremendous effort on wartime production of quartz crystal units by the electronics industry - A million quartz units a month in the last three years of WW2 and a national priority second only to the Manhattan Project. By not mentioning what has been known in the electronics industry they imply that hams are responsible for the pioneering...which tells ham hobbyists nice emotional things that make them feel important.

The first use of VHF FM in mobile communications was pioneered by commercial companies (Link and Motorola) and a few police departments prior to WW2. That was vastly increased by the U.S. military during WW2. The EM spectrum from VHF and up was opened by the whole radio world just before and certainly after WW2. Real history. The ARRL in QST magazine still refers to that huge part of the EM spectrum as "the world above 50 MHz" as if it is a sort of ghetto.

Where the HF part of the EM spectrum was once a major carrier of long-distance communications (especially over water), that is now greatly reduced, supplanted by geostationary sattelite radio relay, under-water digital fiber cable (using optically-"pumped" non-electronic amplifiers) carrying thousands of comm channels, troposcatter low microwave multi-channel beyond the line of sight distance. Users on HF have gone to single-channel SSB voice and TORs (Teleprinter Over Radio) data instead of manual morse code modes. The U.S. military no longer requires morsemanship for any communications occupation specialties. Still, the ARRL features HF communications, especially by "CW" as a "prime" communications spectrum...and the consign the "world above 50 MHz" to a sort of ghetto for those of lesser "ability." The ARRL loves to emphasize morsemanship as the epitome of amateur radio "excellence" to satisfy the old men at the League and the membership who want to feel good and "important in radio."

The ARRL still want to ignore the obvious fact of the overwhelmingly-most-increasing class in amateur radio being Technicians. They want to gloss over the fact that most of

those are NO-CODE-TEST Technicians. That pleases the old man hams who still think that morsemanship is "important" in radio. Those old men (chronologically or in mindset) want to fantasize their dreams of "being somebody." They like the words that feed their fantasies...and that lets the League hang onto them and keep them members.

There are several more subjects on radio history that can be shown, but the fantasizers and imaginers and those who want to posture about their "importance" will object and call names. Their "intellectual" response is to bring out idolated cases that are supposed to "refute" challenges of the actual sinning by omission by the mighty League.

> Our local club recently added two new licensees...both 9....No
>record, but yet more evidence that there ARE "young 'uns" entering
>Amateur Radio.

Irrelevant, relatively isolated case. Apparently neither one is the offspring of Stebie - who once touted the "ability" and "dedication" of his own 9-year-old.

So, all you mental nine-year-olds, feel good about your sub-teen intellectual prowess on passing the TEST. Continue to scamper about your "private clubhouse" and generally behave emotionally like kiddies about your "superiorities." Sooner or later your kinder-kind MIGHT grow up. [I'm losing my optimism on that]

The rest of us IN the radio-electronics industry will continue doing our adult things. We will pat you on the head when you are nice and spank you when you misbehave. Go to your room.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2064. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 21:23:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117747412.087341.212230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109197

From: K0HB on Jun 2, 9:28 am

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> TOMB OF THE UNKNOWN SOLDER is a many-level little diatribe.

>No, it's not a diatribe, Len; it's an insult to the "Tomb of the Unknown".

Kiss my yes, Hans.

You've had a week to run off at the mouth on that repeated
bit of text...except your newsgroup ox was gored by Kim's
message. You are rather the high-strung "I'm in charge here"
person whenever someone comes up with ANY story "opposite"
your own cut-and-pastes from OTHER authors.

>It was an ill-considered attempt to make a cutesy
>7th-grade-clever-play-on-words?, and it dishonors the national shrine which it
>attempts to use in parody.

Pizz off, master super jeffe. You are NOT Chief of the Boat here.

> That you now try to rationalize your gaffe as just
>another episode in the ongoing Len-vs-Steve snit-contest speaks volumes.

Awwww...your newsgroup ox that got gored is still in pain?

I didn't see where you objected to that emotionally-unstable
good-buddy Extra's comments on "PUTZ" or "gutless coward" and
other Personal Insults about "dishonor" of men in my military
unit. Ah, but that's "okay" because Stebie da Wunder Marine
and this newsgroup's counterpart to Elfren Saldivar, is an
Amateur Extra!

PCTA Extra Double Standard flies again...above the flag of
our (at least MY) country.

Come to think of it, master super jeffe, I didn't see YOU at
the big federal cemetary on Monday. I was there as were
hundreds of others, honoring the souls of military veterans.
Too bad. A nice day. We could honor veterans with simple
prayers and ceremonies, and we did.

Now, great big "patriotic" master super el jefe, I'm going to
use my little bit of text anywhichway I want and still it
won't "dishonor" any members of my old military unit or the
entire United States Army. Feel free to contact the
Attorney General of the United States and have me arrested on

federal grounds of Treason and/or Sedition if that so ill suits your pristine salt-sea-washed self. Call the Department of Homeland Security if that suits you...and be sure to say a citizen of the United States of America, a veteran of the United States Army (Honorable), is "dishonoring" YOUR IDEA of what "patriotism" is supposed to be.

Oh, yes, and above all, NEVER let ANYONE post any story in here RIGHT AFTER YOU DID. Nobody but nobody can try to trump YOUR "literary efforts" of cutting-and-pasting OTHER people's textual works! Those folks "dishonor" your patriotism, don't they? :-(

Oh, and polish up that ham shield. There's a spot of dirt on it and that detracts from the blinding light of "federal authority" it's supposed to have whenever you yank it out of your pocket prior to "arresting a suspect."

You're out of the closet and into the ranks of the PCTA Extra Double Standard bearers. Keep your little clubhouse CLOSED from the "evil" influences of those "unpatriotic" no-coders!

LenAnderson@ieee.org
RA16408336, USA, Honorable and still Honoring the REAL vets

2065. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 21:52:50 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <ilvu91lb1qr8aqakaio74q1uo2hfb27t44@4ax.com>
Source record: 109198

On 1 Jun 2005 15:39:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 31 May 2005 15:37:45 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>
>snip
>
>If you want to remain anonymous, that's fine with me.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

With all due respect, Sir, I do not feel obligated in the least to
seek or accept your permission to do so.

73, Leo

2066. The "POP" Heard Round the World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 22:27:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117751225.743182.148030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109199

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Christy D wrote:
> > > On 31 May 2005 15:40:05 -0700, bb <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Christy D wrote:
> > > > > On Tue, 31 May 2005 12:07:05 GMT, Kim <mail@nomail.com> wrote:
> > > > > [102 lines snipped]
> > > > >
> > > > > The correctness of the original opinion is directly proportional to
> > > > > the length of the attempted rebuttal.
> > > > >
> > > > inversely proportional?
> > > >
> > > No. The longer the rebuttal attempt, the more likely it is that the
> > > original opinion was correct. Hence the direct proportionality.
> > >
> > Len will be pleased to here that.
> >
> "Len will be pleased to >>here<< that."...?!?!
>
> (Since you seem to enjoy busting me for typos....)

Only when it's obvious that you're hallucinating.

> I don't think you were paying attention, Brain...(Like THAT'S
> new...)

Such baseless accusations (lies) you're always making.

> Re-read what was written...Lennie will NOT be pleased...(Like
> THAT'S new either!!!)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Pull your head out and re-read it yourself. You won't be pleased.

Christy said that the longer the reply, the more likely it is that the
original opinion was correct.

Len has long replies. Sometimes really, really long replies. Do you
want to argue that, too?

2067. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 22:40:51 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <nvvu91l55l8plajvcslmo5hairl5747usc@4ax.com>
Source record: 109300

On 1 Jun 2005 15:39:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 31 May 2005 15:37:45 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>
>>snip
>
>What part of that is not accurate, Leo? Maybe you are who you
>say you are, and maybe you aren't. Maybe "Arf!" is who he says
>he is - or maybe he ain't.

Perhaps!

>>
>> Hmmm - let's see here....I wasn't agreeing with you, so perhaps > I am not legitimate.
>
>Not at all. Your lack of verifiable ID means there's room for
>doubt. Same for "Arf" or any other anonymous poster.

You seem to place a great deal of value on 'verifiability', Jim.

Heh. Just because someone's callbook ID is verifiable doesn't mean
that much else is. Take you, for example - other than what's in the
QRZ database, how much do we know about you? Just what you have
stated in your posts - that's all. Is what you say true ? Maybe -
maybe not. Unless someone is willing to put in the effort, time and
expense to research an individual's claims, they can be neither proven
nor disproven.

I suggest that, in a public forum such as this, an individual's
behaviour is a far better indicator of who they are than something as
silly as a callbook listing. Forget claims of education, heroic feats
and braggodocio - just read what the individual writes, and you'll see
the real person shine through. (for example, what were you doing when
you questioned my 'legitimacy' in this thread, Jim - picking yet
another fight with Len? Hmmm - that happens a lot, doesn't it??)

Whether "Arf!" or anyone else here is 'legitimate' or not is quite
immaterial! Why people are here, and how they behave while in here,

is of much greater importance.

And, of course, whether you believe that another poster is
'legitimate' or not is of no importance at all.

>
>> Yet here you make a case for Arf! Arf!'s existance
>> because he does agree with you.
>
>There's as much reason to doubt his claims as there is to doubt
>yours, Leo. And as little!
>
>Please note that Len insists on calling "Arf!" names and comparing
>him to Nigerian bank scammers, but does not do the same for
>those who agree with him.

Not necessarily. I have not always agreed with Len's points either -
nor he with mine - neither do I belittle his (considerable)
accomplishments and experience or deliberately pick fights with him to
bolster my own ego. (ahem).

Maybe that's why civil discourse is possible between us? Duh.....

Some on the group seem to have a pathological need to pick fights with
others, though - are you suggesting that the target of these attacks
should not return fire? (That wouldn't be much fun, would it?)

>
>In addition, Len has posted here under a variety of screen names
>(like "averyfine" and "averyfineman") often without any real ID.

I had no problem figuring out who the author of those posts were - did
you? Get the humor? Avery Fineman...A Very Fine Man...heh heh.

>snip

>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

2068. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 22:49:24 UTC
From: Shamil Basayev <666@LensPlace>
Message-ID: <d7o2csjfr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109301

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1117684663.581302.175470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
From: Leo <nom...@noway.com> on Wed, 01 Jun 2005 18:27:59 -0400

>On 31 May 2005 17:04:05 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>From: Leo on Tues 31 May 2005 15:02
>>>On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:

>>>>Some time back an anonymous poster calling himself "Leo" appeared
>>>>here. Claimed he was a ham in Canada. You considered him "legitimate".
>
>>>Hmmm - probably because I am! ..... :)
>
>> Nice to see you again, Leo! Of course, you have to realize
>> definitions in here are tangential to the Real World.
>
>Agreed - a parallel dimension, of sorts.... :)
>
Several years ago I compared some of the attitudes in here
to old "Twilight Zone" and "Outer Limits" TV shows. Must
have their antennae out too far from their scalps... :-)

>> If you are FOR morse code testing by administrations, you are
>> "legitimate."
>
>> If you are against morse code testing then you are "illegitimate,"
>> "in error," "mistaken," "are a lying penis-head" and have
>> underarm odor.
>
>Heh! or worse..... :)

Tsk, ain't it awful? :-)

Poor Jimmie is all upset by "my name-calling." He is sorely
wounded. I've called him names like James, Jimmy, Jimmie
and he is all a-twitter over those "insults." Tsk, tsk,
he must have thought those are equivalent to "gutless lying
coward", a term used often by another PCTA Extra in
addition to "PUTZ." Maybe I'll just refer to James as
"Your Exhalted Highness" and he will immediately understand
that it does mean His High (and Mighty) Holiness! :-)

Yup, yup, there's a very REAL PCTA Double Standard in here.

These "radio experts" (all through an ability at rapid
morse code) seem to have NO experience with the new
lead-free "solder" which is starting to give electronics
production lines some very real problems with tin dendrites
or "whiskers." [dendrites form out of lead-free solder long
after assembly and can grow to short out traces on PCBs]
Apparently not one of the morsemen (might and macho though
they may be) KNOW about this as part of RoHS.

So, a master super chief calls a play on words nasty things.
TOMB OF THE UNKNOWN SOLDER is a many-level little diatribe.
First, on this lead-free solder according to RoHS rules.
Second, on our beloved "critic" and self-appointed, self-
styled Raddio Kopp - the Avenging Angle of Dearth. Third,
on all the emotion-only image dwellers who get too
overwrought by "approved" stylized patriotic phrases and
Want To KILL (or otherwise do nasty to) all those who do
not knee-jerk to THEIR "approved" patriotism.

Actually, those in the third group are hypocrites, taking
advantage of the medium to be much more than they really
are. Their "patriotism" is primarily for themselves, as
they can "be all that they (wish to) be" in a terrible
parody-travesty of the U.S. Army recruiting phrase.

On entering the U.S. military, recruits are sworn in and
promise to "defend the Constitution of the United States."
Too many in here have substituted ARRL for the United
States. Defending the Constitution of the ARRL (probably
with their lives, if needs be) is NOT national patriotism,
no matter how they rage.

>> [anyone can be anything in this medium...and many are! :-)]
>
>> I'm not at all sure of what some of the cross-dressing anony-
>> mousies are in here. They may have been tossed off some porn
>> sites catering to "amateurs" and are confused as to content in
>> here... :-)
>
>Wherever they came from, they've sure been wreaking havoc in here
>lately! Seems to have quieted down a bit in the last few weeks,
>though.

Ask "Quitefine," the nom de pheume of James Miccolis.

"Pheume" is an aliteration, another play on words, a
mixture of 'plume' and 'fume' and 'phew.' :-)

Screum.

LenAnderson@ieee.org
.....

--
The correctness of the original opinion is directly proportional to
the length of the attempted rebuttal

2069. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 23:08:21 UTC
From: Shamil Basayev <666@LensPlace>
Message-ID: <d7o3gg$li3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109302

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1117740553.533098.327100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
From: "K4YZ" on Wed 1 Jun 2005 23:00

[the lonely sentinel bursts out in rage and anger, unable to
control his emotions...mighty flashes issue from his red pilot
lights...he raises his USMC bayonetted soldering iron and
strikes! Whiff...the unconnected strike punctures the empty
air...]

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 31 May 2005 15:55

>> It's not. But when you lecture the newsgroup on "young'uns in ham
>> radio", your lack of experience is relevant, don't you think?
>
> Lennie's not a parent, if we follow his own logic.
>
> Lennie has repeatedly insisted that if I did certain things in the
>Armed Forces, then I would be quick to brag about them and discuss them
>readily. Since HE does it, EVERYONE must do it too.....
>
> That's not the truth, but that's what Lennie has insisted.

That's simply untrue, Stebie.

Stebie NEVER worked HF communications in the USMC. Indeed, he
was NEVER in any sort of radio communications tasks as a
helicopter ground maintenance crew.

Stebie still hasn't verified his CLAIM of being "Assistant"
NCOIC at a USMC MARS station.

The United States military used NON-morse HF communications
for the major tactical/strategic radio communications since
1948. [Stebie wasn't even conceived until much later...]

Thousands of men (and a few women) have worked in HF
communications in the military. I was one of those thousands
IN the military working on HF communications, "getting the
messages through" and on a 24/7 basis. Stebie, the Avenging
Angle of Dearth, has NOT. Stebie is jealous, poor thing.

> Therefore, that being the case, and Lennie having failed to
>discuss his successful procreation and subsequent rearing of offspring,
>we can conclude that it never happened.

Stebie, in his RAGE and ANGER, has lost his bearings on what
this newsgroup is about. Hint: It is about radio amateur
policy matters. Pediatrics is NOT the subject.

Stebie has expressed an inordinate desire to talk about others'
families, especially WIVES. This newsgroup is NOT about
Stebie's fantasies about others' marital relationships nor is
it about SEX. Yet, Stebie keeps on mentioning his "enemies"
(in the newsgroup and probably everywhere) as "penis head,"
using a Yiddish pejorative (even when he is unfamiliar with
Judaism nor its Central European ethnic group of Yiddish.

>> > You are very wrought-up on this subject of "parenting."
>> > Why? Why for discussion of amateur radio policy?
>>
>> Look at the subject line.
>
> Lennie claims to be an engineer, but can't seem to make notes of
>the "details"...

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Both "Quitefine" and Stebie (Assistant NCOIC
of Rage and Anger among the PCTA) don't understand that
"young ones" are not necessarily just their "own" offspring.

Stebie, the self-appointed "ethnic puritan" of this newsgroup,
couldn't make it on his own as a Purchasing Agent of a small
electronics company, yet claims/postures/implies that he
"knows" all about radio-electronics engineering, what the
engineers know, what the engineers do, etc., etc., etc.
CLAIMS. Brags. Posturing. Stebie's forte' as a mighty
macho morseman.

I make no "claim" of engineering. I AM one and have been for
many years. My professional occupation.

Stebie is a NURSE. "Quitefine" (James Miccolis) will NOT
reveal what HE works on or for...other than letting slip once
that he "works in vehicular technology" (in one of his
comments on one of the 18 Petitions for amateur radio
restructuring. "Quitefine" is NOT a member of the worldwide
Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE), a
professional association.

>> That pretty much says it all - *you* have a problem including young
>> people. The surreal part is that you're neither a parent nor a radio
>> amateur.
>
> Lennie doesn't let little things like "no practical experience"
>keep him from expressing an "expert" opinion on a great many range of
>issues.

The "surreal" part of Miccolis and Robeson's diatribes is that:

1. No pediatrician is required to be a "parent." There is NO such "requirement" in any academic organization to "be a parent" in ANY degreed/titled work involving children OR procreation of children.

2. "Quitefine" (Miccolis) seems to disregard laws of physics in that ALL radios work by the SAME physical laws, regardless of human designations as to their application. [inconceivable that a claimed double-degree individual would insist that ONLY licensed radio amateurs (who have obtained a federal merit badge only in amateurism) can express any opinion at all.

3. In the United States of America, ANY citizen has the RIGHT to comment to their government on ANY law or regulation REGARDLESS of whether or not they have any "license" or other "authorization" to "operate" under some rules or regulations.

4. Neither Miccolis ("Quitefine") nor Robeson have ANY "authority" to RULE on U.S. amateur radio regulations, yet the seek to bar, to subjugate, to eliminate ANYONE BUT licensed radio amateurs from even talking about it. Apparently those two control freaks do not understand that NO FCC Commissioner or staff member is "required" to possess an amateur radio license in order to offcially regulate U.S. amateur radio.

5. Miccolis-"Quitefine" has NOT YET stated how many offspring HE has parented. He implies he has but the number, gender, are all big unknowns. Robeson has only mentioned his "offspring" from his second marriage...neglecting any mention of "offspring" from his first, failed marriage. Regardless of the NON-applicability of "offspring" as the ONLY "authoritative experience" in discussing young people, they implore (if not directly order) "offspring" as a "prime requirement" to talk about young people.

>> Your answer indicates what many have long suspected: that you
>> expect to be instantly recognized as an expert without having
>> to meet the requirements for a license.
>
> It was suggested to Lennie that he take some of this energy and
>submit a proposal to the FCC to allow "engineers" either a free pass
>or some sort of a "bridge" exam to get licensure.
>
> Never did it.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. A NON-applicable "request" NOT required.

That so-called "request" was simply a MISDIRECTION to try to stop any further talk on ELIMINATION OF THE MORSE CODE TEST for an amateur radio license.

As is quite obvious under any U.S. citizens' RIGHTS under the Constitution (of the United States, NOT the ARRL), "licensure" in amateur radio is NOT REQUIRED to talk about GETTING INTO amateur radio. To reiterate, NO FCC Commissioner or Staffer is required to possess any amateur radio license in order to lawfully regulate U.S. amateur radio.

Both of these control freaks have been invited to take their "authority" and "shove it up their I/O ports."

They never did it. However, they might have...and enjoyed it.

>> > Tsk, tsk. A radio does NOT operate by different laws of
>> > physics because some government agency designates it as
>> > "amateur."
>>
>> So what? "Physics" isn't the only consideration.
>
> And Lennie's been asked to please show where ANYone, other than
>him, has made said issue of it...
>
> No answers.

TSK, TSK, TSK. MANY ANSWERS. Apparently these two control freaks do not have sufficient reading comprehension...or have a psychological inability to separate their fantasies from reality. They are continuously "making issues" of such "clubhouse rules" where only THEY can "rule" yet neither one has legal/lawful/actual authority to rule.

>> Your writing is simply unclear, Len.
>
> It's also assinine.

Stebie, the Avenging Angle of Dearth, the newsgroup's counterpart to Elfren Saldivar, can only "reply" with a series of Personal Insults...which is little more than his RAGE and ANGER and personal frustration showing clearly.

> Would Lennie suggest that because people drive cars and trucks for
>"business" that no one may then drive, maintain or collect them for a
>"hobby"...?!?!

Stebie is still unable to focus on the newsgroup subject. Matters of vehicular transportation do NOT belong here.

> Once upon a time the idea that "teeners" using spark gaps and old
>Model T ignition coils for "communications" would be nothing more than
>a fad...

"SPARK," technically damped impulse oscillation, is FORBIDDEN by law.

Neither "Quitefine" nor Stebie were alive in the early days of radio when "Spark" was all that was available to amateur

radio. They've only READ about it, could NOT have used it
LEGALLY.

>> See the analogy?
>
> He can't see his an...uh...nose. How can he see an
>"analogy"...?!?!

Stebie is overly concerned with anal-genital areas of the
body. Stebie is overly concerned with other people's
wives, including desires to talk about their sexual or
marital practices.

This is NOT the newsgroup to talk about those things.

>> > Jimmie boy,
>>
>> There you go again. Why do you call names like that, Len?
>> Is there a reason you can't just call me "Jim", the way I
>> call you "Len"?
>
> That might make you his "peer", Jim...
>
> Can't have that!

PCTA Extra Double Standard. "Quitefine" and Stebie both
"allow" name-calling such as "PUTZ" and "gutless coward"
to others, yet object to their aliases or diminutive forms
of their given names.

Miccolis is NOT my "peer." He has not identified his actual
place of employment, has not identified his own "parenthood"
(which he REQUIRES of others), and has claimed to be a
"radio manufacturer." Tsk, he is not even a member of any
professional association. Stebie is a NURSE, has not even
worked IN any electronics engineering position...nor has he
done HF communications while in the military.

>> And you said there must have been fraud involved. You accused ARRL and
>> the VEs involved of dishonesty. Right here. That's a fact. Shall I
>> repost those claims?

Tsk, tsk. I wrote, some time ago, that the ARRL "sins by omission."
They do so often, especially in their publications on the history
of radio. The ARRL over-emphasizes (from omitting other workers
in radio) the "role" that radio amateurs have played in the
evolution of radio communications.

The first use of Single Sideband techniques was in long-distance
wired telephony. The first consistent use of SSB in HF
communications was by commercial and government organizations
beginning in the 1930s...and continues to this day. The ARRL
seems to have lost touch with the activities of commercial and

government users of SSB and imply that "SSB pioneering" was done by radio amateurs in the 1950s, two decades after the fact. One case of intellectual fraud...but it serves the purpose of making hobbyist hams feel "important." They (and many self-important hams) point to the Strategic Air Command about SSB and forget that such was SINGLE-CHANNEL SSB, something already done prior to WW2, dropped before WW2 due to lack of frequency stability techniques to keep costs low.

The ARRL has implied that quartz crystal frequency stability owes its existance (especially after WW2) to "efforts by hams" (in more pioneering). They neglect an overall electronics industry need for stable frequency control. They neglect the tremendous effort on wartime production of quartz crystal units by the electronics industry - A million quartz units a month in the last three years of WW2 and a national priority second only to the Manhattan Project. By not mentioning what has been known in the electronics industry they imply that hams are responsible for the pioneering...which tells ham hobbyists nice emotional things that make them feel important.

The first use of VHF FM in mobile communications was pioneered by commercial companies (Link and Motorola) and a few police departments prior to WW2. That was vastly increased by the U.S. military during WW2. The EM spectrum from VHF and up was opened by the whole radio world just before and certainly after WW2. Real history. The ARRL in QST magazine still refers to that huge part of the EM spectrum as "the world above 50 MHz" as if it is a sort of ghetto.

Where the HF part of the EM spectrum was once a major carrier of long-distance communications (especially over water), that is now greatly reduced, supplanted by geostationary sattelite radio relay, under-water digital fiber cable (using optically-"pumped" non-electronic amplifiers) carrying thousands of comm channels, troposcatter low microwave multi-channel beyond the line of sight distance. Users on HF have gone to single-channel SSB voice and TORs (Teleprinter Over Radio) data instead of manual morse code modes. The U.S. military no longer requires morsemanship for any communications occupation specialties. Still, the ARRL features HF communications, especially by "CW" as a "prime" communications spectrum...and the consign the "world above 50 MHz" to a sort of ghetto for those of lesser "ability." The ARRL loves to emphasize morsemanship as the epitome of amateur radio "excellence" to satisfy the old men at the League and the membership who want to feel good and "important in radio."

The ARRL still want to ignore the obvious fact of the overwhelmingly-most-increasing class in amateur radio being Technicians. They want to gloss over the fact that most of

those are NO-CODE-TEST Technicians. That pleases the old
man hams who still think that morsemanship is "important" in
radio. Those old men (chronologically or in mindset) want to
fantasize their dreams of "being somebody." They like the
words that feed their fantasies...and that lets the League
hang onto them and keep them members.

There are several more subjects on radio history that can be
shown, but the fantasizers and imaginers and those who want
to posture about their "importance" will object and call names.
Their "intellectual" response is to bring out idolated cases
that are supposed to "refute" challenges of the actual
sinning by omission by the mighty League.

> Our local club recently added two new licensees...both 9....No
>record, but yet more evidence that there ARE "young 'uns" entering
>Amateur Radio.

Irrelevant, relatively isolated case. Apparently neither one
is the offspring of Stebie - who once touted the "ability" and
"dedication" of his own 9-year-old.

So, all you mental nine-year-olds, feel good about your sub-
teen intellectual prowess on passing the TEST. Continue to
scamper about your "private clubhouse" and generally behave
emotionally like kiddies about your "superiorities." Sooner
or later your kinder-kind MIGHT grow up. [I'm losing my
optimism on that]

The rest of us IN the radio-electronics industry will continue
doing our adult things. We will pat you on the head when you
are nice and spank you when you misbehave. Go to your room.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

This newsgroup is not about Pediatrics. Nor is it about a weak bladder, and
you sure seem to be "pissing" an awful lot of late, Lennie.
--
The correctness of the original opinion is directly proportional to
the length of the attempted rebuttal

2070. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 23:44:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4RMne.4394$s64.3381@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109303

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> You're out of the closet and into the ranks of the PCTA
> Extra Double Standard bearers. Keep your little clubhouse
> CLOSED from the "evil" influences of those "unpatriotic"
> no-coders!
>

Obviously you've neglected to pay attention to my position regarding Morse testing. Or you've paid attention, but you can't reconcile the cognitive dissonance of an NCTA who dares to call you to task for your fouling of the Tomb of the Unknowns.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2071. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-02 23:58:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117756682.840238.250470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109304

Leo wrote:
> On 1 Jun 2005 15:39:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 31 May 2005 15:37:45 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> >> >
> >> >snip
> >> >
> >> >If you want to remain anonymous, that's fine with me.
> >> >
> >> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> With all due respect, Sir, I do not feel obligated in the
> least to seek or accept your permission to do so.

It's not about permission, Leo.

It's about the idea that I'm not going to make a big deal about
your "real"identity. Nor am I going to judge the content of
your posts by whether or not you reveal that identity, or whether or
not you agree with me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2072. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 00:10:43 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <hIKdnVd1ydShWALfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109318

KC8GXW wrote:
> What makes a person a real ham?

Anyone who holds a license and when operating on the ham bands, acts
like a gentleman at all times. There are some on here who wouldn't qualify.

2073. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 00:21:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117758096.205995.309120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109305

Leo wrote:
> On 1 Jun 2005 15:39:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 31 May 2005 15:37:45 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> >
> >>snip
> >
> >What part of that is not accurate, Leo? Maybe you are who you
> >say you are, and maybe you aren't. Maybe "Arf!" is who he says
> >he is - or maybe he ain't.
>
> Perhaps!
>
> >>
> >> Hmmm - let's see here....I wasn't agreeing with you, so
> >> perhaps I am not legitimate.
> >
> >Not at all. Your lack of verifiable ID means there's room for
> >doubt. Same for "Arf" or any other anonymous poster.
>
> You seem to place a great deal of value on 'verifiability',
> Jim.

I put some value on it.

If a person really believes in what they say, why shouldn't they
give their "real" identity?

> Heh. Just because someone's callbook ID is verifiable doesn't > mean that much else is.
Take you, for example - other than
> what's in the QRZ database, how much do we know about you?
> Just what you have
> stated in your posts - that's all.

Actually some people here know a lot more. There's private email,
my website (which you found), and articles I've written in amateur
radio magazines. I've also met at least one other rrapper in person,

and had QSOs with several others on the ham bands. In
fact, I had QSOs with at least two other regulars here long before
I ever heard of rrap.

> Is what you say true ?

Yes.

> Maybe -
> maybe not. Unless someone is willing to put in the effort,
> time and
> expense to research an individual's claims, they can be neither
> proven nor disproven.

Some claims are easily disproven, though. For example, here in
the USA, amateur radio licenses have a normal term of 10 years.
If a license is not renewed before the end of its term, the
amateur loses all operating privileges. However, there is a two-year
grace period during which a ham can apply for renewal without
retesting.

This is all explained clearly in Part 97 (FCC rules for the ARS.

Len Anderson claimed that the FCC does not use the word "expired"
to describe licenses that are in the grace period. Part 97 clearly
shows that FCC does indeed use the words "expire" and "expired" for
that purpose. So Len's claim is easily disproven.
>
> I suggest that, in a public forum such as this, an individual's
> behaviour is a far better indicator of who they are than
> something as silly as a callbook listing.

Why is a callbook listing "silly"?

I agree with you that someone's behaviour is a better indicator,
though.

> Forget claims of education, heroic feats
> and braggodocio - just read what the individual writes,
> and you'll see
> the real person shine through. (for example, what were you
> doing when
> you questioned my 'legitimacy' in this thread, Jim - picking yet
> another fight with Len?

No.

Look back at the actual discussion, and you'll see it was about
the possibility that you and Len were one and the same person.
W3RV said it was not possible and I said it was.

How is that trying to pick a fight?

> Hmmm - that happens a lot, doesn't it??)

Actually, Len seems to want to pick fights with anyone who
disagrees with him on Morse Code testing - or a variety of
other subjects.
>
> Whether "Arf!" or anyone else here is 'legitimate' or not is
> quite immaterial!

I agree! Tell it to Len, though.

> Why people are here, and how they behave while in here,
> is of much greater importance.
>
> And, of course, whether you believe that another poster is
> 'legitimate' or not is of no importance at all.

> >> Yet here you make a case for Arf! Arf!'s existance
> >> because he does agree with you.
> >
> >There's as much reason to doubt his claims as there is to doubt
> >yours, Leo. And as little!
> >
> >Please note that Len insists on calling "Arf!" names and
> >comparing
> >him to Nigerian bank scammers, but does not do the same for
> >those who agree with him.
>
> Not necessarily. I have not always agreed with Len's points
> either -
> nor he with mine - neither do I belittle his (considerable)
> accomplishments and experience or deliberately pick fights with > him to bolster my own
ego. (ahem).

You mean like the way he makes fun of my name, education, age,
license class, homebrew equipment, radio operating skills....
>
> Maybe that's why civil discourse is possible between us?
> Duh.....

Or maybe it's because you haven't disagreed with him on any
of his trigger issues.

Or maybe you and he are one and the same. Or maybe you're a
friend of his, doing a version good cop/bad cop.

Some of your phrases sound a lot like Len. That doesn't prove
a thing, of course.

> Some on the group seem to have a pathological need to pick
> fights with
> others, though - are you suggesting that the target of these
> attacks
> should not return fire? (That wouldn't be much fun, would it?)

Let's see... Len calls me names even though I don't call him
anyhting except "Len" or "Mr. Anderson" or "Len Anderson".

Len tells me and others here to shut up and/or go away - but I don't
tell anyone to do either.

Who is picking a fight with whom?
>
> >In addition, Len has posted here under a variety of screen
> >names
> >(like "averyfine" and "averyfineman") often without any real
> >ID.
>
> I had no problem figuring out who the author of those posts
> were - did you?

At first. But that's not the point.

> Get the humor? Avery Fineman...A Very Fine Man...heh heh.

Doesn't seem funny to me.

Here's a simple, direct question:

Do you think Len's little piece on the "Tomb of the Unknown Solder" is
funny? Or is it an insult to the Unknowns? Or is
it something else?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2074. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 00:37:48 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <SLudnbdWwuvDOwLfRVn-2g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109306

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>Hey dumbass, I didn't sent you that shit because 1. no way in hell
>>would I want you as a guest "writer" I think before you go around
>>accusing people of something that you make sure it was sent by that
>>person.
>>
>>Todd Daugherty N9OGL
>>toddaug@consolidated.net
>
>
> QUOTE
>
> Return-Path: <toddaug@consolidated.net>
> Received: from rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (rly-ya01.mail.aol.com
> [172.18.141.83]) by air-ya03.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
> MAILINYA34-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:16:01 -0400
> Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
> rly-ya01.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
> MAILRELAYINYA16-13c429ce21e161; Tue, 31 May 2005 18:15:58 -0400
> Received: from bla161.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
> by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id D6C0F19674
> for <k4yz@aol.com>; Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
> Message-ID:
> <22590970.1117577752762.JavaMail.root@bla161.prod.google.com>
> From: n9ogl <toddaug@consolidated.net>
> To: k4yz@aol.com
> Subject: The Voice of Amateur Radio: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> Date: Tue, 31 May 2005 15:27:27 -0700 (PDT)
> X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
> X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:319993467:9395241
> X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
> n9ogl has sent you a link to a weblog:
>
> Wimpy mother ####er I offer you a man's chance and you slap my face.
>
> Now I come to your place and slap your face but I use a baseball bat.
> You will look good with your mouth at the back you neck.
>
> now you done it bitch.

>
> Blog: The Voice of Amateur Radio
> Post: THE VOICE GETS A FACE LIFT
> Link: http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/2005/05/voice-gets-face-lift.html
>
> --
> Powered by Blogger
> http://www.blogger.com/
>
> UNQUOTE
<snip>

That can't be the toad, his type can't hold a Louisville Slugger!

2075. Re: The "POP" Heard Round the World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 00:48:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117759681.429627.31260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109307

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > Len will be pleased to here that.
> >
> > "Len will be pleased to >>here<< that."...?!?!
> >
> > (Since you seem to enjoy busting me for typos....)
>
> Only when it's obvious that you're hallucinating.

Who's hallucinating?

You can't seem to get through your own fantasies, Brain...

> > I don't think you were paying attention, Brain...(Like THAT'S
> > new...)
>
> Such baseless accusations (lies) you're always making.

It's not baseless.

IE: Unlicesed Devices, ARES, MARS, Lawful Operating from Foreign
Soil, etc.

You've been proven wrong on every single one.

> > Re-read what was written...Lennie will NOT be pleased...(Like
> > THAT'S new either!!!)
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Pull your head out and re-read it yourself. You won't be pleased.

Nothing to re-read. Yuo got it wrong. Now you've gotten it wrong
twice.

> Christy said that the longer the reply, the more likely it is that the
> original opinion was correct.

Uh huh.

I say Lennie's an idiot.

Lennie takes six paragraphs to tell us how he knows everything and
how his years at ADA make him ultimately qulified to be an idiot.

I retort and call Lennie a BIG idiot.

He comes back with 12 paragraphs. Proves Christy's Law that my original assertion (he's an idiot) was correct.

Christy's Law. It works

> Len has long replies. Sometimes really, really long replies. Do you
> want to argue that, too?

What's to argue? Lennie uses his verbostiy to hide his failings and inadequacies. It's legend.

Steve, K4YZ

2076. What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 00:51:12 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <1d-dnWeqRoMeNALfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109308

What makes a person a real ham?
Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
license they hold now?
Can a person climb to Extra with today's test and be considered a real ham?
Is yodoc aka K3LT a real ham, or the one to determine who is a real ham?
Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
license" ever be considered a real ham?
Does getting a vanity license that is an older call such as K8*** or
WD8*** make you a real ham?
I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a cb'er
or no coder as the toad says?
I'm not being a troll, I would really like to know the answers to this!

2077. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 00:59:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qXNne.4416$s64.2585@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109309

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote

>I would really like to know the answers to this!

The FCC says this:

&#65533;97.3 Definitions.

(a) (1) Amateur operator. A person named in an amateur
operator/primary station grant on the ULS consolidated
licensee database

If your name is on an unexpired license grant, you're a real ham.

Next question?

73, de Hans, K0HB

2078. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 01:08:03 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Ce6dnZkwrL7rMALfRVn-tw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109310

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote
>
>
>>I would really like to know the answers to this!
>
>
> The FCC says this:
>
> ï¿½97.3 Definitions.
>
> (a) (1) Amateur operator. A person named in an amateur
> operator/primary station grant on the ULS consolidated
> licensee database
>
> If your name is on an unexpired license grant, you're a real ham.
>
> Next question?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

Thanks Hans, I knew that part. I was wondering what people here think a real ham is. In the past 10 years I've heard so much belittling about lower class licenses, I was just curious what others thought was on this subject? I understand that there is no real answer and most of it is just an opinion.
Some people here wouldn't consider anybody a real ham unless they could quote all the FCC rules and regs. by heart! :)

2079. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 01:10:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Y5One.13076$M36.259@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109311

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:1d-dnWeqRoMeNALfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...
> What makes a person a real ham?
> Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
A "Real Ham" is proficient in all forms and modes of communications. One of the primary purposes of Amateur Radio is to provide a pool of qualified radio operators in the event of emergency. Thus being able to use Morse Code at anything but training wheel speed is a big plus.

> Is it being accepted by certain other hams?

No, not entirely. But being able to assimilate with minimum friction is a big help. In otherwords don't show up with a "I know it all attitude" and have a bit of respect for those that have been there before you.

> Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
> license they hold now?

That does have a bearing on things. Don't come on with the above attitute holding a Technician ticket for 6 months, and expect to be treating with respect. Respect is earned me boy.

> Can a person climb to Extra with today's test and be considered a real
> ham?

No, not really. Must I elaborate on the obvious?

If one does that wonderous dead and then EARNS the respect of those other hams. A lot of what I said above is bypassed. Respect, Earned those are the key words here.

> Is yodoc aka K3LT a real ham, or the one to determine who is a real ham?

Don't know the man.

> Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
> license" ever be considered a real ham?

Sure...see above.

> Does getting a vanity license that is an older call such as K8*** or
> WD8*** make you a real ham?

A WD8? a joke right? Try W or K ...one by two or three, non vanity of course. Thats an old timer.

As a matter of fact the FCC once considered the W/K prefix "prefered calls". And at one time the FCC required you to change your call if you permanetly moved to another district. And in deference to the W/K....if you had one

they would issue another from the prefered block.

Which is how I got my present call (W4NTI) because I used to have K8BHH.
Course that all went to crap with the alphabet soup system of today.

> I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a cb'er
> or no coder as the toad says?

No, just a no coder. Unless of course you did pass a 5wpm test. Then you
would be a Technician. Hi hi.

> I'm not being a troll, I would really like to know the answers to this!
>

Hope that helps a bit.

Dan/W4NTI

2080. Leonard H Anderson Continues Dishonesty, Deceit and Diversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 01:34:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117762483.552965.301690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109312

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Wed 1 Jun 2005 23:00
>
> [the lonely sentinel...(SNIP)

At least you took the hint about your horrific behaviour vis-a-vis
parodies of the Tomb of the Unknowns...You may be teachable yet.

> > Lennie has repeatedly insisted that if I did certain things in the
> >Armed Forces, then I would be quick to brag about them and discuss them
> >readily. Since HE does it, EVERYONE must do it too.....
> >
> > That's not the truth, but that's what Lennie has insisted.
>
> That's simply untrue, Stebie.

It's absolutely true, Lennie.

You've stated that exactly before.

> Stebie NEVER worked HF communications in the USMC.

THAT is abslutely UNRUE, Lennie, and you've been provided
references to verify same.

> Indeed, he
> was NEVER in any sort of radio communications tasks as a
> helicopter ground maintenance crew.

I guess ann those COM/NAV Avionics MOS'es were for naught, eh?

> Stebie still hasn't verified his CLAIM of being "Assistant"
> NCOIC at a USMC MARS station.

That's not what I said, Lennie.

Get your facts straight.

> > Therefore, that being the case, and Lennie having failed to
> >discuss his successful procreation and subsequent rearing of offspring,
> >we can conclude that it never happened.
>
> Stebie, in his RAGE and ANGER...(SNIP)

Just give us the kid's names, Lennie.

The rest is just subterfuge on your part to avoid the question.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Both "Quitefine" and Stebie (Assistant NCOIC
> of Rage and Anger among the PCTA) don't understand that
> "young ones" are not necessarily just their "own" offspring.

No siad "their own" offspring, Lennie.

Why do you continue to avoid the fact that there are NO documented
(as per FCC records) of "children" being a regulatory burden on their
enforcement requirements.

> Stebie, the self-appointed "ethnic puritan" of this newsgroup...(SNIP)

Another lie, Lennie. Stop lying.

> couldn't make it on his own as a Purchasing Agent of a small
> electronics company, yet claims/postures/implies that he
> "knows" all about radio-electronics engineering, what the
> engineers know, what the engineers do, etc., etc., etc.
> CLAIMS. Brags. Posturing. Stebie's forte' as a mighty
> macho morseman.

More lies.

Why does Leonard H Anderson continue to lie?

Where are these alleged "claims" of "know(ing) all about
radio-engineering..."

You've beena sked before. You never substantiate your claim.

YOU prove MY claim that you are a liar.

Again.

> I make no "claim" of engineering. I AM one and have been for
> many years. My professional occupation.

You claim to be an engineer. You refuse to produce any
references, other than a few by-lines in a failed Amateur magazine, to
show such work.

> Stebie is a NURSE.

That's not quite correct.

I am GOOD Nurse.

> The "surreal" part of Miccolis and Robeson's diatribes is that:
>
> 1. No pediatrician is required to be a "parent." There is NO
> such "requirement" in any academic organization to "be a
> parent" in ANY degreed/titled work involving children OR
> procreation of children.

There's nothing "surreal" about it at all.

What's "surreal" is an unlicensed, childless old man petitioning
the FCC to enact an age limit where one is not called for.

> 2. "Quitefine" (Miccolis) seems to disregard laws of physics
> in that ALL radios work by the SAME physical laws,
> regardless of human designations as to their application.
> [inconceivable that a claimed double-degree individual
> would insist that ONLY licensed radio amateurs (who have
> obtained a federal merit badge only in amateurism) can
> express any opinion at all.

Leonard H. Anderson perpetrates yet ANOTHER lie.

NEVER ONCE has Jim Miccolis, myself, or any other licensed
participant in this fourm suggested anything of the like.

> 3. In the United States of America, ANY citizen has the RIGHT
> to comment to their government on ANY law or regulation
> REGARDLESS of whether or not they have any "license" or
> other "authorization" to "operate" under some rules or
> regulations.

Sure they do.

And it's also glaring apparent when the "petitioner" has absolutely
no idea what they are talking about.

That usually comes from not having any practical experience in the
subject matter they are commenting on. IE: Lennie and Amateur Radio
or Child Rearing.

> 4. Neither Miccolis ("Quitefine") nor Robeson have ANY
> "authority" to RULE on U.S. amateur radio regulations,
> yet the seek to bar, to subjugate, to eliminate ANYONE
> BUT licensed radio amateurs from even talking about it.
> Apparently those two control freaks do not understand
> that NO FCC Commissioner or staff member is "required"
> to possess an amateur radio license in order to offcially
> regulate U.S. amateur radio.

You continue THIS misrepresentation despite there being absolutely
no evidence of ANYone except YOU trying to "enforce" some kind of
censorship...As a matter of fact, within the last 5 days alone you've
made no fewer than four direct demands to "shut up", "drop it", and
"LEAVE IT ALONE" and "just forget it"...

> 5. Miccolis-"Quitefine" has NOT YET stated how many offspring
> HE has parented. He implies he has but the number, gender,
> are all big unknowns. Robeson has only mentioned his
> "offspring" from his second marriage...neglecting any
> mention of "offspring" from his first, failed marriage.
> Regardless of the NON-applicability of "offspring" as
> the ONLY "authoritative experience" in discussing young
> people, they implore (if not directly order) "offspring"

> as a "prime requirement" to talk about young people.

Lies, lies and more lies.

I've mentioned all of my kids at one time or another, Lennie.

> >> > Tsk, tsk. A radio does NOT operate by different laws of
> >> > physics because some government agency designates it as
> >> > "amateur."
> >>
> >> So what? "Physics" isn't the only consideration.
> >
> > And Lennie's been asked to please show where ANYone, other than
> >him, has made said issue of it...
> >
> > No answers.
>
> TSK, TSK, TSK. MANY ANSWERS.

A blatant lie.

Provide JUST ONE quote rom ANY of us wherein we state that the
physics of radiowave propagation are any different for Amateur Radio
than any other service.

> Apparently these two control
> freaks do not have sufficient reading comprehension...or have
> a psychological inability to separate their fantasies from
> reality. They are continuously "making issues" of such
> "clubhouse rules" where only THEY can "rule" yet neither one
> has legal/lawful/actual authority to rule.

We'll see when you produce the above requested cite.

> > Once upon a time the idea that "teeners" using spark gaps and old
> >Model T ignition coils for "communications" would be nothing more than
> >a fad...
>
> "SPARK," technically damped impulse oscillation, is FORBIDDEN
> by law.

Sure it is.

But that doesn't negate my (factual) notation that early radio was
once deemed nothing mroe than a "fad", just like text messaging is for
kids today.

> >> > Jimmie boy,
> >>
> >> There you go again. Why do you call names like that, Len?
> >> Is there a reason you can't just call me "Jim", the way I
> >> call you "Len"?
> >
> > That might make you his "peer", Jim...

> >
> > Can't have that!
>
> PCTA Extra Double Standard. "Quitefine" and Stebie both
> "allow" name-calling such as "PUTZ" and "gutless coward"
> to others, yet object to their aliases or diminutive forms
> of their given names.

Jim, in ANY "manifestation", has never called you ANY name.

> Miccolis is NOT my "peer."

Acutally, in THIS forum, you are not HIS peer. You aren't even in
the same room, let alone sitting at the same table with him.

> Stebie is a NURSE, has not even
> worked IN any electronics engineering position...(SNIP)

True.

> (UNSNIP)...nor has he done HF communications while in the military.

Not true.

> >> And you said there must have been fraud involved. You accused ARRL and
> >> the VEs involved of dishonesty. Right here. That's a fact. Shall I
> >> repost those claims?
>
> Tsk, tsk. I wrote, some time ago, that the ARRL "sins by omission."
> They do so often, especially in their publications on the history
> of radio. The ARRL over-emphasizes (from omitting other workers
> in radio) the "role" that radio amateurs have played in the
> evolution of radio communications.

Big snip of irrelevent historical diversion...

You point-blank called the League, in general, and the BoD in
particular, "dishonest".

You've been challenged over and over to provide SOME sort of
verifiable reference that at least corroborates a CLAIM of dishonesty,
let alone any facts to substantiate it.

> The first use of Single Sideband techniques...(SNIP)

13 lines of non-pertinet diversion.

> The ARRL has implied that quartz crystal frequency stability
> owes its existance (especially after WW2) to "efforts by hams"
> (in more pioneering). They neglect an overall electronics
> industry need for stable frequency control. They neglect the
> tremendous effort on wartime production of quartz crystal units
> by the electronics industry - A million quartz units a month
> in the last three years of WW2 and a national priority second
> only to the Manhattan Project. By not mentioning what has

> been known in the electronics industry they imply that hams
> are responsible for the pioneering...which tells ham hobbyists
> nice emotional things that make them feel important.

The FACTS are that this crystanl control WAS pioneered by Amateurs
and implemented by them.

> The first use of VHF FM in mobile communications was pioneered
> by commercial companies (Link and Motorola) and a few police
> departments prior to WW2. That was vastly increased by the U.S.
> military during WW2. The EM spectrum from VHF and up was opened
> by the whole radio world just before and certainly after WW2.
> Real history. The ARRL in QST magazine still refers to that
> huge part of the EM spectrum as "the world above 50 MHz" as if
> it is a sort of ghetto.

No...

The characteristics of radio wave propagation ARE different above
50Mhz. Perhaps if you were actually PRACTICED in the radio arts you'd
know this.

> Where the HF part...(SNIP)

Seventeen lines of diversion.

> The ARRL still want to ignore the obvious fact of the
> overwhelmingly-most-increasing class in amateur radio being
> Technicians. They want to gloss over the fact that most of
> those are NO-CODE-TEST Technicians. That pleases the old
> man hams who still think that morsemanship is "important" in
> radio. Those old men (chronologically or in mindset) want to
> fantasize their dreams of "being somebody." They like the
> words that feed their fantasies...and that lets the League
> hang onto them and keep them members.

More absolutley dishonest and deceitful rhetoric.

WHY does Leonard H Anderson continue to lie in the face of
overwhelming evidence to the contrary...?!?!

> There are several more subjects on radio history that can be
> shown, but the fantasizers and imaginers and those who want
> to posture about their "importance" will object and call names.
> Their "intellectual" response is to bring out idolated cases
> that are supposed to "refute" challenges of the actual
> sinning by omission by the mighty League.

Even a casual perusal of ARRL publications will show Lennie's
tales to be exactly that...tales...

> > Our local club recently added two new licensees...both 9....No
> >record, but yet more evidence that there ARE "young 'uns" entering
> >Amateur Radio.

>
> Irrelevant, relatively isolated case. Apparently neither one
> is the offspring of Stebie - who once touted the "ability" and
> "dedication" of his own 9-year-old.

Not irrelevent. Factual. It's happening all over the United
States.

Even in Southern California.

> The rest of us IN the radio-electronics industry will continue
> doing our adult things.

Like what?

You've not done a single "adult" thing here. You lie...you
insult...you deceive...

> We will pat you on the head when you
> are nice and spank you when you misbehave.

No you won't.

> Go to your room.

Yet another Lennieism for "shut up"

> LenWhoDoYouThinkYouAreTalkingToAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

2081. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 01:36:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <guOne.1334$W77.1103@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109313

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote

> Thanks Hans, I knew that part. I was wondering what people here think a real
> ham is. In the past 10 years I've heard so much belittling about lower class
> licenses, I was just curious what others thought was on this subject? I
> understand that there is no real answer and most of it is just an opinion.

I think that there >>IS<< a real answer. If you have any class of drivers license you're a "real" licensed driver. The same holds true for hams.

The opinion of those who "belittle" others who haven't attained some arbitrary class of license or demonstrated an ability to beep at some arbitrary speed is, in my opinion, really nothing more than a mask for their own insecurity.

The "hands-down-best-ham-I-ever-knew" is Gene Schwarz, W0VDI. Gene was an original 1953 Technician, and the last beep he ever copied was at his 5WPM examination session 52 years ago. A great Elmer, club "sparkplug"/volunteer, tinkerer-cum-laude (several RF-related patents in his name --- never went to engineering school), expert public service traffic handler, and all-around-good-guy. Sadly, Gene is now SK (victim of the "Big C"). Based on the class of his license, he'd not be "real" in some opinions around here, so you can guess how much weight I think "opinion" carries.

I hold little regard for, and give no credence to the opinion of any ham who judges other hams based on the class of their license.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2082. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 02:43:14 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6tPne.14676$w21.14583@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109314

> I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a cb'er
> or no coder as the toad says?

Study the extra written test, bring your oldest license, and
(if you pass it) get your extra. Then you'd be the same
as me.

As for being a "real" ham, do one or more of the following:
Elmering, contesting, building equipment and experimenting,
public service, and such. And NOT QRMing, repeater kerchunking
excessively, ignoring accepted formal or informal band plans,
tuning up on top of other QSOs, bad language, and such.

2083. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 02:45:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117766752.683813.106620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109316

From: Leo on Jun 2, 6:40 pm

>On 1 Jun 2005 15:39:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>Leo wrote:
>>> On 31 May 2005 15:37:45 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >Leo wrote:
>>> >> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:

<etc.>

>>What part of that is not accurate, Leo? Maybe you are who you
>>say you are, and maybe you aren't. Maybe "Arf!" is who he says
>>he is - or maybe he ain't.
>
>Perhaps!
>
>
>>> Hmmm - let's see here....I wasn't agreeing with you, so perhaps
>>> I am not legitimate.
>
>>Not at all. Your lack of verifiable ID means there's room for
>>doubt. Same for "Arf" or any other anonymous poster.
>
>You seem to place a great deal of value on 'verifiability', Jim.
>
>Heh. Just because someone's callbook ID is verifiable doesn't mean
>that much else is. Take you, for example - other than what's in the
>QRZ database, how much do we know about you? Just what you have
>stated in your posts - that's all. Is what you say true ? Maybe -
>maybe not. Unless someone is willing to put in the effort, time and
>expense to research an individual's claims, they can be neither proven
>nor disproven.

I disagree in part, as far as this newsgroup is concerned:
Certain individuals in here (curiously coincidental on
their attitudes favoring morse code testing!) are allowed
free rein on whatever they CLAIM. Others, challenging that
attitude are rained on...lately insofar as national
patriotism is concerned.

That is the manifest of the PCTA Extra Double Standard
bearers.

>I suggest that, in a public forum such as this, an individual's
>behaviour is a far better indicator of who they are than something as
>silly as a callbook listing. Forget claims of education, heroic feats

>and braggodocio - just read what the individual writes, and you'll see
>the real person shine through. (for example, what were you doing when
>you questioned my 'legitimacy' in this thread, Jim - picking yet
>another fight with Len? Hmmm - that happens a lot, doesn't it??)

I can almost read the responses to that before he writes
them... :-)

>Whether "Arf!" or anyone else here is 'legitimate' or not is quite
>immaterial! Why people are here, and how they behave while in here,
>is of much greater importance.

Ahem...this is NOT allowed in the PCTA Extra Double
Standard handbook. :-)

>And, of course, whether you believe that another poster is
>'legitimate' or not is of no importance at all.

Oy gevalt! That will raise the Hue and Cry! :-)

>>Please note that Len insists on calling "Arf!" names and comparing
>>him to Nigerian bank scammers, but does not do the same for
>>those who agree with him.

"Nigerian?" Did I say specifically "Nigerian?" :-)

>Not necessarily. I have not always agreed with Len's points either -
>nor he with mine - neither do I belittle his (considerable)
>accomplishments and experience or deliberately pick fights with him to
>bolster my own ego. (ahem).
>
>Maybe that's why civil discourse is possible between us? Duh.....

Another U.S. Extra used to pull out some phrase about
"gentlemen" and discourse in here. That Extra seems
to have dropped such phraseology when others have posted
items about national patriotism in here...after he has
repeat-posted old works written by others. <sigh>

>Some on the group seem to have a pathological need to pick fights with
>others, though - are you suggesting that the target of these attacks
>should not return fire? (That wouldn't be much fun, would it?)

Bill Cosby used to have a comedy routine many years ago
about the Revolutionary War. It started out with a
"Referee" explaining to the "player captains" what the
"game rules" were. [naturally one-sided, the Redcoats
had to march in straight lines in the open while the
Revolutionaries could hide behind trees and rocks, firing
at will] If one was an American, that was very funny.

That was definitely NOT funny to a nationalistic Britisher
at a social gathering in the Hollywood Hills. I could see
the Brit's point of view, but others seemed not to. The

hostess sensed nastiness brewing and intervened by changing
the subject to a party activity, etc. Crisis averted.

Bill Cosby went on to become a beloved comedian in American
TV. Yet the subject matter [our Revolutionary War] was not
a trivial thing when it was fought; England never really
forgave the upstart Colonies for that and the War of 1812
had to repeat the whole process nearly 4 decades later.
England didn't win that war either.

A very remote parallel sort-of exists in here, the PCTA
bravely "fighting" to keep their royal RULE alive, yet are
slowly losing. The much-touted near-Byzantine CLASS
structure of U.S. amateur radio has not been decimated, it
has been cut in HALF. [Roman Legions were never that
severe with their "disciplinary" measures of decimation]
Further, to add insult to their "injury," the beloved
Title/Rank/Status of "20 WPM Tested" was reduced to a
"mere" 5 WPM. [their cold, dead reasoning refuses to
let their mental fingers release their code keys...tsk]
Their "Empire" is falling and they curse the evil "sloth"
in revenge; George Lucas couldn't make a film on this
epic due to too many "Annakin" manmiquins abounding.
[see "Revenge of the Sloth" who want only the "easy way
to get a license...etc, etc, ad nauseum]

>>In addition, Len has posted here under a variety of screen names
>>(like "averyfine" and "averyfineman") often without any real ID.
>
>I had no problem figuring out who the author of those posts were - did
>you? Get the humor? Avery Fineman...A Very Fine Man...heh heh.

Anal-retentive morsemen have a "sense of humor" limited to
laughing at those they try to humiliate. Tsk.

My REAL Identity has never changed. It is still the same as
my byline in Ham Radio Magazine, as is my postal address.
A "screen name" or "handle" on a computer-modem provider site
is dependent on the standards that provider establishes. There
is NO "real ID" possible through nearly all computer-modem
service providers. The exception is the "forwarding alias"
provided by some sites such as the IEEE and ARRL both have
for their members. As an IEEE member since 1973, my real
identity is definitely known to them and has been for 35 years.
I could not fake any "forwarding alias" through them due to
their own controls to insure honesty; I could only choose what
to use as an "alias" name. I had that capability since over
three years ago but never got around to using it.

"Avery Fineman," "LenOver21" were all handles I used in BBS
computer-modem communications 20 years ago. "No CW Test"
was a new one chosen just for this newsgroup 5 years ago.

That was dropped due to the late (?) W0EX perverting that to "No CW Testicles" in his replies. Strangely, there was NO objection to that rather obvious male anatomy term but there was much ado about breasts and the alleged "pornographic" callsign of Kim, W5TIT.

When I first joined AOL, their rules permitted screen names of a maximum of 8 characters. Since AOL membership is a few millions and that they do not allow identical names, having a set of common real names makes it difficult to choose a desired screen name without possessing a personal, federally-authorized, official merit badge callsign of the U.S. amateur radio corps. Since my life is NOT ruled by any hobby, the choice was either to use an old handle or improvise with a bit of humor. [humor is hard to come by in this oh-so-very serious gathering of beagles] AOL rules were relaxed later to all members' relief...and also increased to a maximum of SEVEN screen names per subscription! [all the same subscriber and billed to the subscriber] His Most Honorable Royal Highness of Morseman Hall has that same option.

Leo, if you happen to chance upon an AOL screen name of "Juan Mortime" in the future, that will be me. [pronounce it to get the pun - mightier than the sword] No doubt that is, somewhere, already OUTRAGING and INSULTING (!) Spanish speakers for "unpatriotically defacing Latin customs" everywhere. Sigh. I have more names plus another Internet provider. Everywhere one goes we find the anal-retentive with figurative USB connectors jammed up their I/O ports.

If someone wants to actually discuss something with me, fine. I've done that for 20 years without insulting anyone... except those USB-in-the-I/O-port folks. Others who think they can shoot verbal nasties at me with impugnity will get much more "return fire" than they expected. Screum.

Best of springtime to you up in the north lands, Leo.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2084. Re: An idea for the toad show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 02:46:16 UTC
From: Mr Germanium <ck722a0006@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1b655$429fc463$d1cc41d6$31077@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 109315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:89OdnadirJggQwDfRVn-gQ@centurytel.net...
> I don't know the rules but if it's legal, why not put your show on ATV
> on 220 mhz? You just might create some interest in 220 as well as
> amateur radio and Amateur Television.

I wish that all of them would get the heck back
to 14.313 and 75 Meters. There ain't been a
really funny ham radio freq since RH busted up
the original 14.313 and 3.894.5 some Years ago.

Ham radio needs a DEDICATED HF COMEDY CHANNEL
on 20 and 75 Meters once again, to provide us all (ham and SWL
alike) with some good quality LIVE ENTERTAINMENT once again.

Heck...some of the keyclowns in rec.radio.amateur.policy would make
for great on-the-air personalities and "Dayton Celebrities" just like ole
Herbie, Porkbutt, Polly and the rest of em were Years ago if they'd
just get off Usenet and back on live radio once again!

Shoot, I can recall when Herb showed up at Dayton one year with
a few of the other Original 14.313 Clowns and hams were actually
lining up to get his autograph (!!). Now that's Ham Celebrity Status!

How about it boys? The stage is empty and you're just a PTT switch away
from ham radio stardom, unless you want to sit here and whack-off
to usenet postings like a couple of nerds !!

2085. Re: The "POP" Heard Round the World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 02:47:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117766873.796571.107440@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109317

From: bb on Jun 2, 6:27 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > Christy D wrote:

>Christy said that the longer the reply, the more likely it is that the
>original opinion was correct.

"Christy," singing like a New Minstrel, might belong to that
irritated group that gets tired tracing out more than 50
words with their finger... :-)

>Len has long replies. Sometimes really, really long replies. Do you
>want to argue that, too?

The Avenging Angle of Dearth will ARGUE-FIGHT-INSULT anything
I write in here. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2086. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 03:08:26 UTC
From: yea right <spam@spam.spam>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.06.03.03.08.26.908057@spam.spam>
Source record: 109319

On Thu, 02 Jun 2005 20:51:12 -0400, KC8GXW wrote:

> What makes a person a real ham?

Some time hanging in a smoke house and a bunch of salt

2087. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 03:16:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <LYPne.258$fo3.12689585@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109321

KC8GXW:

Why are we are "REAL" hams--our chit don't stink, we have an IQ above room temp and have passed the test--we paste a darn hobby licence on the wall as if it were a PHD... we worship the "dit dah God", gawd man, get a clue... <grin>

... some of even have a sense of humor--that not only makes a real ham, it makes a real man...

Warmest regards,
John

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:1d-dnWeqRoMeNALfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...
> What makes a person a real ham?
> Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
> Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
> Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
> license they hold now?
> Can a person climb to Extra with today's test and be considered a real
> ham?
> Is yodoc aka K3LT a real ham, or the one to determine who is a real
> ham?
> Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
> license" ever be considered a real ham?
> Does getting a vanity license that is an older call such as K8*** or
> WD8*** make you a real ham?
> I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a
> cb'er or no coder as the toad says?
> I'm not being a troll, I would really like to know the answers to
> this!
>

2088. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 03:20:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3aSdnTeDaf0JUQLfRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109320

KC8GXW wrote:
> What makes a person a real ham?

Being cured and smoked over a real hickory wood fire....

> Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?

8.5 wpm, if my numbers are correct.

> Is it being accepted by certain other hams?

Who on earth would care about that?

> Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
> license they hold now?

Only people who were licensed on February 15th, 1955 are real hams. All
others are a sham.

> Can a person climb to Extra with today's test and be considered a real ham?

You have to take the tests administered in 1932.

> Is yodoc aka K3LT a real ham, or the one to determine who is a real ham?

Good lord, he hasn't posted here in a long time, why bring Larry into
the mix?

> Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
> license" ever be considered a real ham?

You don't know the half of it! I was forced at gunpoint to take the
ridiculously easy tests available today. I wanted to take an older test,
but the bleary eyed VE, cocked the trigger on his 45, and I knew it was
to either become a nickel extra, or die!

> Does getting a vanity license that is an older call such as K8*** or
> WD8*** make you a real ham?

Hey! I'm thinking about getting a Vanity. Be nice!

> I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a cb'er
> or no coder as the toad says?

Everyone is a CB'er.

> I'm not being a troll, I would really like to know the answers to this!

Hey, if you have to ask.......... 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2089. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 03:24:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3aSdnTaDaf3jUALfRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109322

robert casey wrote:
>
>> I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a
>> cb'er or no coder as the toad says?
>
>
> Study the extra written test, bring your oldest license, and
> (if you pass it) get your extra. Then you'd be the same
> as me.
>
> As for being a "real" ham, do one or more of the following:
> Elmering, contesting, building equipment and experimenting,
> public service, and such. And NOT QRMing, repeater kerchunking
> excessively, ignoring accepted formal or informal band plans,
> tuning up on top of other QSOs, bad language, and such.

Best answer yet, Robert!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2090. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 03:31:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <baQne.260$kQ3.12961856@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109323

robert:

You just described a "decent human being", been so long since I seen
one--I forgot what they look like--thanks for reminding me...

Warmest regards,
John

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:6tPne.14676$w21.14583@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>> I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a
>> cb'er or no coder as the toad says?
>
> Study the extra written test, bring your oldest license, and
> (if you pass it) get your extra. Then you'd be the same
> as me.
>
> As for being a "real" ham, do one or more of the following:
> Elmering, contesting, building equipment and experimenting,
> public service, and such. And NOT QRMing, repeater kerchunking
> excessively, ignoring accepted formal or informal band plans,
> tuning up on top of other QSOs, bad language, and such.

2091. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 03:34:03 UTC
From: vshah1010@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1117769643.671364.73870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109324

What makes a person a real ham?

KOHB says
The FCC says this:
§97.3 Definitions.
(a) (1) Amateur operator. A person named in an amateur
operator/primary station grant on the ULS consolidated
licensee database
If your name is on an unexpired license grant, you're a real ham.

The definition you quoted says"Amateur Operator". There is no mention
of the word "ham"
in the definition.

"Ham" is a label given to certain people with a certain belief system.
"Hams" are a subset of the group of Amateur operators. Not all amateur
operators are "hams".

What makes a person a Ham? There are too many characteristics to list.

Hams like antennas, and Morse code. They usually don't like the
technical aspect of the hobby (except for antennas).

They are often older, and male. They like to use the terminology. They
like to discuss ham politics.

There are other characteristics of a ham, which I will not list.

Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
Yes, this is absolutely required to be accepted as a ham.

Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
Hams share certain beliefs and characteristics.
Without acceptance, one cannot be a "true" ham.

Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
license they hold now?

Sorry, I do not know or understand the question. As for the class of
licence they hold right now, Morse code is required above the
Technician class. Those in the Technician class can still be hams, as
long as they agree with ham beliefs. One of those beliefs, of course,
is that they will eventually learn Morse code.

Can a person climb to Extra with today's test and be considered a real
ham?
Yes, of course.

Is yodoc aka K3LT a real ham, or the one to determine who is a real ham?
I don't know.

Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away license" ever be considered a real ham?

The license is a "give away" - no disputing that.

I answered this question above. You are still a ham if you believe, and will eventually learn Morse code.

Does getting a vanity license that is an older call such as K8*** or WD8*** make you a real ham?

I don't know.

I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a cb'er
or no coder as the toad says?

I'm not being a troll, I would really like to know the answers to this!

How did you know about the vanity license?

2092. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 05:44:22 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <119vrhto5ipl238@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 109325

What makes a real amateur radio operator is a current and valid station and operator license issued by the FCC.

What makes a real ham is the ability to kindly and gently assist another radio operator to understand something about the art and science of electronics that they do not understand.

Jim

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message news:1d-dnWeqRoMeNALfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...
> What makes a person a real ham?

2093. Re: Leonard H Anderson Continues Dishonesty, Deceit and Diversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 06:10:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117779018.562421.82120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109326

From: "K4YZ" <tombsentinel@aol.com> on 2 Jun 2005 18:34:43 -0700

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Wed 1 Jun 2005 23:00

>> Stebie NEVER worked HF communications in the USMC.
>
> THAT is abslutely UNRUE, Lennie, and you've been provided
>references to verify same.

Poor Stebie, already "UNRUE-ing" his words...

> Just give us the kid's names, Lennie.
>
> The rest is just subterfuge on your part to avoid the question.

Poor Stebie, wanna-be sub-stitute and "ASSISTANT" NCOIC.

Stebie striking for TERRORIST now? Tsk, tsk.

> No siad "their own" offspring, Lennie.

Tsk, tsk. Quit jumping up and down with clenched fists, Stebie.
Write understandable English. Or Yiddish...

>> Stebie, the self-appointed "ethnic puritan" of this newsgroup...(SNIP)
>
> Another lie, Lennie. Stop lying.

Stebie no say he gonna "keep after me?" :-)

Stebie say dat once.

> More lies.
>
> <etc>

> You've beena sked before. You never substantiate your claim.

Talking German? Scandinavian?

Ya, aye bin a "sked" before, aye had a "sked" ven small boy,
play-ed inna snow. Lotsa fun. Voopee!

> You claim to be an engineer. You refuse to produce any
>references, other than a few by-lines in a failed Amateur magazine, to
>show such work.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, wanna-be torquemada lost his grip on the torture machine handle, got tangled in the rack chains. :-)

Poor Stebie got a whole short-form resume of mine plus names of hams as references. Stebie no check them out. Poor Stebie, red in face, froth on lips, consumed by anger and hate. Stebie NO LIKE resume, say it "CV" (curriculum vitae) and have no place here. Bad Stebie.

> What's "surreal" is an unlicensed, childless old man petitioning
>the FCC to enact an age limit where one is not called for.

Stebie be nutso, ipso facto.

Len he got FCC commercial license.
Len he got California driver's license.
Len he got poetic license.

Len NOT "petition FCC."
Len make Comment on 22 NPRMs and Petitions.

> NEVER ONCE has Jim Miccolis, myself, or any other licensed
>participant in this fourm suggested anything of the like.

Stebie be perfect, nebber lie, say allatime odder pipples LIE!

> And it's also glaring apparent when the "petitioner" has absolutely
>no idea what they are talking about.

Stebie rite funny, he "aska sked" something. We not know what Stebie babbling about.

> You continue THIS misrepresentation despite there being absolutely
>no evidence of ANYone except YOU trying to "enforce" some kind of
>censorship...As a matter of fact, within the last 5 days alone you've
>made no fewer than four direct demands to "shut up", "drop it", and
>"LEAVE IT ALONE" and "just forget it"...

Poor poor Stebie. He show signs of early memory loss.

Stebie forget comment to FCC on 25 January 1999? One where Stebie say I no can say nothing to FCC? Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> I've mentioned all of my kids at one time or another, Lennie.

Stebie make Big Issue out of issue? Stebie get 9-year-old's license?

Stebie be big macho man wid fambly. Two fambly. Lotsa alimony paid to wifie #1.

> Provide JUST ONE quote rom ANY of us wherein we state that the
>physics of radiowave propagation are any different for Amateur Radio
>than any other service.

Tsk, tsk. Stebie not show signs of understanding physics of radio. Stebie take too many other "physics?" Take funny

stuff out of hospital pharmacy storage?

> But that doesn't negate my (factual) notation that early radio was
>once deemed nothing mroe than a "fad", just like text messaging is for
>kids today.

Stebie gonna make Petition wid FCC? Stebie say all cellphone user
gotta take morse code test? Mebbe dey all get ham license?

Stebie hab fun in China. China got 300 MILLION cell phone users.

Stebie know morse code version for Chinese?

> You point-blank called the League, in general, and the BoD in
>particular, "dishonest".

Ooooo! Ooooo! Stebie be BELIEVER in Church of St. Hiram!

Stebie NOT understand "sin by omission." Tsk, tsk.

League nebber lie to Stebie. League be Church to Stebie!

> You've been challenged over and over to provide SOME sort of
>verifiable reference that at least corroborates a CLAIM of dishonesty,
>let alone any facts to substantiate it.

Ooooo! Ooooo! Stebie forget long-ago postings in here!

Stebie hopping mad over anybody calling League dishonest!

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> The FACTS are that this crystanl control WAS pioneered by Amateurs
>and implemented by them.

Stebie be implemented wid brainwash. Stebie not know history
of piezoelectric phenomenon, think amateurs invent ALL...

> The characteristics of radio wave propagation ARE different above
>50Mhz. Perhaps if you were actually PRACTICED in the radio arts you'd
>know this.

Stebie now think he be James Clerk Maxwell 2nd? Stebie nuts.

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary
figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely
path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron
in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air,
interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet
extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep
in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single
sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux
you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do
bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the
sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does
not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the

Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

2094. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 07:49:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117784991.922911.281420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109327

KC8GXW wrote:

> That can't be the toad, his type can't hold a Louisville Slugger!

You may be right. I found this in my spambox this morning:

QUOTE:

This is the Postfix program at host blogger.com.

I'm sorry to have to inform you that your message could not be
be delivered to one or more recipients. It's attached below.

For further assistance, please send mail to <postmaster>

If you do so, please include this problem report. You can
delete your own text from the attached returned message.

The Postfix program

<toddaug@consolidated.net>: host email.consolidated.net[216.176.95.140]
said:
550 <toddaug@consolidated.net>... No such mailbox (in reply to RCPT
TO
command)

Final-Recipient: rfc822; toddaug@consolidated.net
Action: failed
Status: 5.0.0
Diagnostic-Code: X-Postfix; host email.consolidated.net[216.176.95.140]
said:
550 <toddaug@consolidated.net>... No such mailbox (in reply to RCPT
TO
command)

Received: from bla137.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2FEDC1968A
for <toddaug@consolidated.net>; Thu, 2 Jun 2005 18:40:44 -0700
(PDT)
Message-ID:
<28226669.1117762143786.JavaMail.root@bla137.prod.google.com>
From: Steve Robeson <k4yz@aol.com>
To: toddaug@consolidated.net
Subject: Ultimate Insurance: Ultimate Insurance System - Annuity
Marketing Lead
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Date: Thu, 2 Jun 2005 18:40:44 -0700 (PDT)

Steve Robeson has sent you a link to a weblog:

You'd better buy this insurance. I'm going to make sure that you need
it.

Steve

Blog: Ultimate Insurance
Post: Ultimate Insurance System - Annuity Marketing Lead
Link:
http://russultimateinsurancesystems.blogspot.com/2005/06/ultimate-insurance-system-_111776
143648160717.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/

UNQUOTE

As much as it pains me to do so, I may owe Todd an apology for the
others. It now appears that someone tried to send a "message" to Todd
threatening him as "me".

Guess we just need to find out who...

Steve, K4YZ

2095. Re: Leonard H Anderson Continues Dishonesty, Deceit and Diversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 08:00:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117785645.087706.59750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109328

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" <tombsentinel@aol.com> on 2 Jun 2005 18:34:43 -0700

> >> Stebie, the self-appointed "ethnic puritan" of this newsgroup...(SNIP)
> >
> > Another lie, Lennie. Stop lying.
>
> Stebie no say he gonna "keep after me?"

It has nothing to do with your (or anyone else's) ethnicity.

> > What's "surreal" is an unlicensed, childless old man petitioning
> >the FCC to enact an age limit where one is not called for.
>
> Stebie be nutso, ipso facto.

Nope.

> Len he got FCC commercial license.

Yep. and not a bit of good for transmitting on ANY band. No
STATION license to transmit with.

> Len he got California driver's license.

So do a million illegal aliens. Your point?

> Len he got poetic license.

Unfortunatley he never uses that one.

> Len NOT "petition FCC."

That's my point. You allege an impropriety in licensing. You
have been encouraged to do something about it. You haven't. No guts.

> Len make Comment on 22 NPRMs and Petitions.

You mean you spammed the FCC with the same diatribe and personal
insults you accuse others of.

> Stebie be perfect, nebber lie, say allatime odder pipples LIE!

You need help, Lennie.

> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...(SNIP)

And once again Leonard H Anderson demonsrates his absolute lack of
respect and reverence for American soldiers who made the ultimate
sacrifice for their country.

Leonard H Anderson is a disgrace to all Veterans of the Armed Forces of the United States, living or deceased.

Again.

steve, K4YZ

> Temper fry.

2096. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 08:13:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117786396.151816.112400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109329

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Leo on Jun 2, 6:40 pm
>
> >On 1 Jun 2005 15:39:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:

> >>In addition, Len has posted here under a variety of screen names
> >>(like "averyfine" and "averyfineman") often without any real ID.
> >>
> >I had no problem figuring out who the author of those posts were - did
> >you? Get the humor? Avery Fineman...A Very Fine Man...heh heh.
>
> Anal-retentive morsemen have a "sense of humor" limited to
> laughing at those they try to humiliate. Tsk.

Our "sense of humor" does not extend to persons who blatantly
misrepresent their identity.

You out-and-out lied. As if THAT was new...

> "Avery Fineman," "LenOver21" were all handles I used in BBS
> computer-modem communications 20 years ago.

Uhhhhhh...not completely true...

Those are ALL screen names (plus Lenof21 and who knows what other
names...) that Lennie's tried to dilute his rhetoric with.

> "No CW Test"
> was a new one chosen just for this newsgroup 5 years ago.
> That was dropped due to the late (?) W0EX perverting that to
> "No CW Testicles" in his replies.

"...the late (?)..."

You doubt that Dick has passed away...?!?!

And YOU have the CAJONES to fuss about anyone else "perverting"
something in this forum...?!?! Sheesh, Lennie! You've dang near got a
monopoly on it!

> If someone wants to actually discuss something with me, fine.
> I've done that for 20 years without insulting anyone...

Wheeelp...You managed to make up for it here. Guess it's less
problematic than beating your wife...

In spades...

> LenIamTheKingOfIronicInsultsAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

2097. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 10:21:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117794112.205468.260040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109330

vshah1010@comcast.net wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:

VIPPY! Your're back!

> The definition you quoted says"Amateur Operator". There is no mention
> of the word "ham" in the definition.

Oh crap....same old rhetoric...I see you haven't changed!

> "Ham" is a label given to certain people with a certain belief system.

What "belief systems", Vipul...?!?!

"Belief systems" is a catch-phrase you usurped from Lennie
Anderson. Lennie is NOT a good role model to scam lines from.

> Hams like antennas, and Morse code. They usually don't like the
> technical aspect of the hobby (except for antennas).

(Here we go again....)

Who says so, Vipul...?!?! What organization, rule or entity made
this "rule"...?!?! I've asked you this before and you've never
explained yourself.

> They are often older, and male.

So...Shall we implement euthansia and castration if a licensee
dares to get older or have the wrong chromosomes...?!?!

> They like to use the terminology.

Uhhhhh...yyyeahhhh...It's called "communication"...In order to
effectively express and exchange ideas there has to be some agreed upon
language and terms relating to the intended topic, Vipul.

Please name me ANY sport, science, avocation, passtime or other
human pursuit that DOESN'T have 'terminology', Vipul.

> They like to discuss ham politics.

As opposed to roast beef politics...?!?!

> There are other characteristics of a ham, which I will not list.

Of course not.

>> Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
>

> Yes, this is absolutely required to be accepted as a ham.

Who says? Where is this stated? In what FCC rule or ARRL by-law
is it established? Is it in invisible ink on my FCC Form 660?

>> Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
>
> Hams share certain beliefs and characteristics.

Baptists share certain beliefs and characteristics. So do
dentists. Police, Fire and EMS personnel have their own beliefs. So
do Hindus, NASCAR drivers and cat lovers.

What was YOUR point?

> Without acceptance, one cannot be a "true" ham.

Sure you can.

Lennie doesn't accept ANY of us...Does that mean we don't exist?

Once and for all, Vipul...

There is no one person, group, organization, entity or "belief
system" that dictates what makes a "good ham".

> Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
> license they hold now?
>
> Sorry, I do not know or understand the question.

Did you need it in another language? Seemed pretty straight
forward to me.

> As for the class of
> licence they hold right now, Morse code is required above the
> Technician class. Those in the Technician class can still be hams, as
> long as they agree with ham beliefs. One of those beliefs, of course,
> is that they will eventually learn Morse code.

You keep saying this but you never explain yourself.

>> Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
>> license" ever be considered a real ham?
>
> The license is a "give away" - no disputing that.

Sure there is.

To the best of my knowledge no one is "given" a license. Everyone
is required to take one of several written exams. If they opt to
operate on HF, they must take a Morse Code exam.

And despite the fact that the license IS markedly easier to get
these days, people still manage to fail the exams. Ergo it's NOT a
"giveaway"...

> How did you know about the vanity license?

Uhhhhhhhhhhh...read about it?

Maybe it was in some of that "terminology" you seem to think is so bad...

Steve, K4YZ

2098. Re: The "POP" Heard Round the World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 10:44:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117795483.149904.127710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109331

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Len will be pleased to here that.
> > >
> > > "Len will be pleased to >>here<< that."...?!?!
> > >
> > > (Since you seem to enjoy busting me for typos....)
> >
> > Only when it's obvious that you're hallucinating.
>
> Who's hallucinating?
>
> You can't seem to get through your own fantasies, Brain...

Any fantasy of mine cannot influence your ability to string together a
sentence.

> > > I don't think you were paying attention, Brain...(Like THAT'S
> > > new...)
> >
> > Such baseless accusations (lies) you're always making.
>
> It's not baseless.
>
> IE: Unlicesed Devices, ARES, MARS, Lawful Operating from Foreign
> Soil, etc.
>
> You've been proven wrong on every single one.

Yet we're talking about What Christy has written, and you've been shown
to be wrong. Again.

> > > Re-read what was written...Lennie will NOT be pleased...(Like
> > > THAT'S new either!!!)
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Pull your head out and re-read it yourself. You won't be pleased.
>
> Nothing to re-read. Yuo got it wrong. Now you've gotten it wrong
> twice.

I asked for clarification. Christy gave it. You're wrong.

> > Christy said that the longer the reply, the more likely it is that the
> > original opinion was correct.
>
> Uh huh.
>
> I say Lennie's an idiot.

It's not about you. It's about what Christy said that would please
Len.

Too bad for you.

2099. Re: The "POP" Heard Round the World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 10:51:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117795904.500573.263080@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109332

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: bb on Jun 2, 6:27 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
>
> >Christy said that the longer the reply, the more likely it is that the
> >original opinion was correct.
>
> "Christy," singing like a New Minstrel, might belong to that
> irritated group that gets tired tracing out more than 50
> words with their finger... :-)

Who's to say?

> >Len has long replies. Sometimes really, really long replies. Do you
> >want to argue that, too?
>
> The Avenging Angle of Dearth will ARGUE-FIGHT-INSULT anything
> I write in here. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I tire of Steve's incessant lying. I tire of his peers always giving
him a pass on his tirades. They're all a pretty useless assembly of
Extras.

2100. Re: Brian Burke ("bb"), N0IMD, Gutless Coward...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 11:00:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117796420.650375.160740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109333

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > And what is his explanation for posting my street number on RRAP?
> > >
> > > You don't live on a street with a number. It has a name...or so
> > > says QRZ.
> > >
> > > Why are you so confused?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Why won't Steve say?
>
> Say what?
>
> > What does Steve fear?
>
> Nothing that you can field!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve cannot be forthright in explaining why he posted my street number
on RRAP, days before the Dayton Hamvention.

Steve dodges and weasles.

2101. Re: The "POP" Heard Round the World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 11:02:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117796095.743916.149250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109334

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > You can't seem to get through your own fantasies, Brain...
>
> Any fantasy of mine cannot influence your ability to string together a
> sentence.

Why would I want to "string together a sentence" based on any
fantasy of yours, Brain?

You're a discredited liar. I have no desire to emulate that.

> > > > I don't think you were paying attention, Brain...(Like THAT'S
> > > > new...)
> > >
> > > Such baseless accusations (lies) you're always making.
> >
> > It's not baseless.
> >
> > IE: Unlicesed Devices, ARES, MARS, Lawful Operating from Foreign
> > Soil, etc.
> >
> > You've been proven wrong on every single one.
>
> Yet we're talking about What Christy has written, and you've been shown
> to be wrong. Again.

Nope.

> > > > Re-read what was written...Lennie will NOT be pleased...(Like
> > > > THAT'S new either!!!)
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Pull your head out and re-read it yourself. You won't be pleased.
> >
> > Nothing to re-read. Yuo got it wrong. Now you've gotten it wrong
> > twice.
>
> I asked for clarification. Christy gave it. You're wrong.

No, I'm not.

> > > Christy said that the longer the reply, the more likely it is that the
> > > original opinion was correct.
> >

> > Uh huh.
> >
> > I say Lennie's an idiot.
>
> It's not about you. It's about what Christy said that would please
> Len.
>
> Too bad for you.

Too bad I was right?

Why?

QUOTE:

On 31 May 2005 15:40:05 -0700, bb <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:

> Christy D wrote:
> > On Tue, 31 May 2005 12:07:05 GMT, Kim <m...@nomail.com> wrote:
> > [102 lines snipped]

> > The correctness of the original opinion is directly proportional to
> > the length of the attempted rebuttal.

> inversely proportional?

No. The longer the rebuttal attempt, the more likely it is that the
original opinion was correct. Hence the direct proportionality.

UNQUOTE

Sorry, Brain...You've screwed the pooch...Again...

Steve, K4YZ

2102. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 11:49:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <htXne.1$pJ.314480@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109335

If ever in doubt why the ham numbers are driving, drive over to a
college, ask students in the electronics engineering and technology
classes why they are not interested in getting a ham license. Many will
really not even know much about--however, when they hear the part about
code, and how for all privileges you must take the code test--you lose
them...
I am sure now there will be a lot who disagree with this--they will ALL
be over 30 and they will never ask the people who would get the
licenses--why they DON'T get the licenses...
Nero fiddles--Rome burns...

Warmest regards,
John
"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:muadnSdIoqFJnATfRVn-gg@adelphia.com...
> Many people lament that there is not enough interest in Ham radio by
> young people.
>
> There are often many reasons given for this deficiency, and somewhat
> less "fixes".
>
> One of the reasons that is given very often is that Amateur radio is
> in some sort of competition with the Internet. Let us look at this
> theory.
>
> What is the competition between the two? In order to use the internet,
> one must of course have a computer. It must be connected to the
> internet, through one of several methods. Once the person has learned
> to turn on the computer, open a few programs or so, they have the
> necessary skills to work the internet.
>
> Amateur radio on the other hand, requires that a radio be used, which
> requires some skill in operating. An antenna system needs to be
> connected to this radio. Whereas it is possible to have everything set
> up for the Ham, most young people do not have the resources to have
> someone set up their system. Coupled with the possibility of putting
> an antenna in operation that only costs a few dollars, or even less if
> the youngster has good scrounging skills, the likelihood is that they
> would design and put up their own antenna, another skill needed.
>
> So there is a large difference in the skills needed for the two
> hobbies.
>
> Cell phones as competition? While there is a temptation to snipe "Get

> Real!", I'll address those too.
>
> What would make a person decide to take up Cell phone use as a hobby?
> Cell phones allow you to talk to people that you know (for the most
> part) and operate in the same manner as a regular telephone, save that
> you take the cell with you, and you are generally tied in the same
> building with a standard telephone. It's hard to imagine someone doing
> that as a hobby, although there are a lot of people who spend a lot of
> time using them.
>
> So what makes a youngster decide to become a Ham?
>
> We can try using the input of those who became Hams at a young age.
> Most of what I have heard is that the person was very interested in
> the technical aspects involved with getting on the air. Making
> antennas, building rigs, and getting them on the air was a big part of
> the attraction.
>
> In the end, I believe that it is young people that have a technical
> interest that will likely become Hams.
>
> And that, I believe, is the crux of the issue.
>
> America is not a place that encourages those who might be thinking of
> a technical career. We have a tendency to encourage a more "pop
> culture" outlook, which as often as not discounts actual learning for
> "street cred", and actually turns the smart person into an object of
> ridicule. There are levels, and there are levels. If a person is
> intelligent, and wants a good livelihood, you will find careers that
> are acceptable. You can be a movie star, or perhaps a lawyer. A whole
> spectrum follows, but engineering and the technical fields are not
> very high on that list.
>
> How often is the Techie portrayed as a sort of Bill Nye, the science
> guy type (at best). How about the smart woman who takes off her
> glasses and suddenly becomes the hot babe? Professor Frink on "The
> Simpsons"? Pop culture is not kind to the technical types.
>
> My experiences with programs like "bring your sons and daughters to
> work day" shows that almost none of the kids is even thinking of a
> technical field. A lot want to be lawyers.
>
> Once in the past, we were scared into thinking that maybe science and
> technology was maybe not such a bad thing. That happened when the
> commies launched Sputnik. Suddenly it seemed important that at least
> some of our kids decided to work in the sciences. Hopefully we will
> decide that again without having to be shocked into it.
>
> I am pretty firmly convinced that until we stop catering to the least
> common denominator, until we stop marginalizing the technically and

> scientifically inclined, we will not find many youngsters who want to
> come into our hobby.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2103. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 12:04:33 UTC
From: Mr Germanium <ck722a0006@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 109336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

WOW !!!!

A Freeband REPEATER :)

Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!

Does it operate 24/7 ?

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8188-429E3BA8-5@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net...
> Some of the freeband gang been having at it with yet another repeater
> on the Penna/NY line. When they first launched it, there were some
> technical audio issues, but they seem to have straightened them out
> since then. This repeater appears to be among the new "roving" repeaters
> the clubs are moving from place to place in order to avoid detection.
> Enraged hammies, send your foaming-at-the-mouth complaints to the FCC
> flunky field agent in Texas. Tell 'em I sent ya' for good measure!
>
>
>
>
> "Fuck the FCC! Fuck 'em!"..Al Lewis (Grandpa Munster)
>
>
>

2104. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 13:06:33 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d7pkkp$t70$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 109337

vshah1010@comcast.net wrote:
> What makes a person a real ham?
>
> KOHB says
> The FCC says this:
> ï¿½97.3 Definitions.
> (a) (1) Amateur operator. A person named in an amateur
> operator/primary station grant on the ULS consolidated
> licensee database
> If your name is on an unexpired license grant, you're a real ham.
>
> The definition you quoted says"Amateur Operator". There is no mention
> of the word "ham"
> in the definition.
>
> "Ham" is a label given to certain people with a certain belief system.
> "Hams" are a subset of the group of Amateur operators. Not all amateur
> operators are "hams".
>
> What makes a person a Ham? There are too many characteristics to list.
>
> Hams like antennas, and Morse code. They usually don't like the
> technical aspect of the hobby (except for antennas).
>
> They are often older, and male. They like to use the terminology. They
> like to discuss ham politics.
>
> There are other characteristics of a ham, which I will not list.

Vipul! ong time no see your print. Howyadoin?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2105. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 13:20:09 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <--idneD5QIiRxD3fRVn-uw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109338

K4YZ wrote:
>
> KC8GXW wrote:
>
>
>>That can't be the toad, his type can't hold a Louisville Slugger!
>
>
> You may be right. I found this in my spambox this morning:
>
> QUOTE:
>
> This is the Postfix program at host blogger.com.
>
> I'm sorry to have to inform you that your message could not be
> be delivered to one or more recipients. It's attached below.
>
> For further assistance, please send mail to <postmaster>
>
> If you do so, please include this problem report. You can
> delete your own text from the attached returned message.
>
> The Postfix program
>
> <toddaug@consolidated.net>: host email.consolidated.net[216.176.95.140]
> said:
> 550 <toddaug@consolidated.net>... No such mailbox (in reply to RCPT
> TO
> command)
>
>
> Final-Recipient: rfc822; toddaug@consolidated.net
> Action: failed
> Status: 5.0.0
> Diagnostic-Code: X-Postfix; host email.consolidated.net[216.176.95.140]
> said:
> 550 <toddaug@consolidated.net>... No such mailbox (in reply to RCPT
> TO
> command)
>
>
> Received: from bla137.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
> by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2FEDC1968A
> for <toddaug@consolidated.net>; Thu, 2 Jun 2005 18:40:44 -0700

> (PDT)
> Message-ID:
> <28226669.1117762143786.JavaMail.root@bla137.prod.google.com>
> From: Steve Robeson <k4yz@aol.com>
> To: toddaug@consolidated.net
> Subject: Ultimate Insurance: Ultimate Insurance System - Annuity
> Marketing Lead
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> Date: Thu, 2 Jun 2005 18:40:44 -0700 (PDT)
>
>
>
> Steve Robeson has sent you a link to a weblog:
>
> You'd better buy this insurance. I'm going to make sure that you need
> it.
>
> Steve
>
> Blog: Ultimate Insurance
> Post: Ultimate Insurance System - Annuity Marketing Lead
> Link:
> http://russultimateinsurancesystems.blogspot.com/2005/06/ultimate-insurance-system-_1117
76143648160717.html
>
> --
> Powered by Blogger
> http://www.blogger.com/
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> As much as it pains me to do so, I may owe Todd an apology for the
> others. It now appears that someone tried to send a "message" to Todd
> threatening him as "me".
>
> Guess we just need to find out who...
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
Makes you wonder doesn't it? Maybe he's smarter then you think, NOPE,
couldn't happen. As far as owing an apology, just deduct it from the 30
or so that he owes people here!

2106. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 14:38:05 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <gXZne.182$Cr.70@fed1read07>
Source record: 109339

1. Elmers and helps other Hams.
2. Gets involved in Emergency Service and is prepared to assist in an emergency
3. Promotes international good will by corresponding with foreign hams (on the air, e-mail, echolink, etc) or hosting
4. Frequents news groups and forums and helps others
5. Supports a local repeater group
6. Monitors a local repeater and helps visitors and locals with their questions
7. Helps with youth groups and new hams
8. Observes the calling frequencies and band plans useage
9, Communicates in a gentlemanly fashion
10. Is totally cognizant of the technical standards of their station
11. Is a member of the ARRL
12. Writes letters or e-mails regarding laws, BPL, spectrum use, FCC policy
13. Educate the general public on the value of Amateur Radio
14. Avoid public bashing of Amateur Radio

If you do all of these -- you be a real ham
--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

2107. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 15:20:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ty_ne.32$A36.675983@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109340

Caveat:

Some of that sounds just like the shriners, masons, illuminati and the
local moose lodge here... the rest a ham might do...

Warmest regards,
John

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
news:gXZne.182$Cr.70@fed1read07...
> 1. Elmers and helps other Hams.
> 2. Gets involved in Emergency Service and is prepared to assist in an
> emergency
> 3. Promotes international good will by corresponding with foreign hams
> (on the air, e-mail, echolink, etc) or hosting
> 4. Frequents news groups and forums and helps others
> 5. Supports a local repeater group
> 6. Monitors a local repeater and helps visitors and locals with their
> questions
> 7. Helps with youth groups and new hams
> 8. Observes the calling frequencies and band plans useage
> 9, Communicates in a gentlemanly fashion
> 10. Is totally cognizant of the technical standards of their station
> 11. Is a member of the ARRL
> 12. Writes letters or e-mails regarding laws, BPL, spectrum use, FCC
> policy
> 13. Educate the general public on the value of Amateur Radio
> 14. Avoid public bashing of Amateur Radio
>
> If you do all of these -- you be a real ham
> --
> CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !
>

2108. test - please ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 16:42:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117816942.656177.256850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109341

test google

2109. Re: test - please ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 17:18:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_h0oe.223$HM.176@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109342

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> test google

UR 599X OM.

2110. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 17:53:31 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <eef2baa1fe9fcc8c72438e3a292a2211@paranoici.org>
Source record: 109343

In article <y9ydnSq95LI3vQLfRVn-1A@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <m...@that.moc> aka senile old
fuck who molests his grandkids and hides behind aliases whined:
>
> Userbeam Remailer wrote:
>
> >
> > Yet, you can't even pass it, that's why you are depserately
> > holding onto your obsolete Advanced.
> > Dumbass, the new Extra pool took the questions and materials
> > from the old Advanced exams, so in other words, Roger passed
the
> > same test you took, dumbass!
>
> So wogie memorized some answers to some test questions, big
deal.

To over 600 or so questions? You claim Roger is a dumb
hillbilly....are you contradicting yourself, lisping cocksucking
faggot? And since there is no way of knowing which exact
questions are on the test, tell me how he could just memorize
the questions and answers and pass it. Guess he'd have to know
the material inside out, right?

> There was no pool of questions with the answers when I passed
my advanced
> test, just a study guide. (lies)

More lies from the imaginary pilot's license twat Butt
Cornholer. There probably was a "study guide" for the Extra,
too, so why didn't you get it?

>I had to know the material, unlike you wogie.

You must've been out the day English punctuation was reviewed,
too, obloslete license boy!
Roger had to know the material,idiot, since there is no idea
until the test is administered which questions are on the test.
And how could Roger possibly remember all of the over 600 or so
questions? That is, if he is a "hillbilly" like you claim.

> And I

More lies, just like your imaginary pilot's license.
Why didn't you remember that you upgraded from that Conditional
class license to Advanced?

> You get a give-away ticket and now

But, you still can't pass it, no matter how much you delude yourself. "Give away" ticket (which is the no code tech in reality) or not. If it's so easy and you are such a electronics expert, why don't you have one?
What's the matter, you're not afraid your obvious lack of reading skills would prevent you from getting it, are you?
You can use the excuse that it is for "elitists" all you want to, but you know deep down inside you just don't have what it takes to get it.

>(more excuses)

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> First

First you claim I am not Roger, now you claim I am. Can't you make up your senile mind, you senile fuck?
Oh,and wipe your chin, you senile old fucking useless piece of shit. And change your colostomy bag, you're dripping again.

>
> Same code test I passed for the Advanced. So once again, want to get on
> the air for some code practice wogie?

No, you couldn't find that imaginary pilot's lcense, you probvably couldn't find your key.

Besides, you send like a fucking lame elephant......

And do keep thinking I am Roger, dumbass! It shows how owned you are.

> So where is your proof of this (whines,post edits and backpedals,oh,my! Butt Cornholer doing something dishonest! Oh,my!)

So where is your proof that Roger was made to retest because of "interference"??????

You are long on accusations and short on proof, sort of like how your wife finds you in the bedroom, shorty.

If you have both letters, don't forget the one YOU got from Riley, Gopher face, that would make three letters.

Here's what you snipped out because it proved you are a liar:

Here is the real retest letter, from Google, since it was posted to the newsgroups (probably by you) before:

July 11, 2000

CERTIFIED MAIL--RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R.
Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to re-administer any
examination element previously administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE's).
The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE designated by
the Commission.

Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class Amateur
Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the supervision of an
American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner Coordinator. This examination
must be completed on or before September 11, 2000. The required code speed
that you must demonstrate is 5 words per minute.

Please be prepared to verify your current address and to present a photo
identification. Pursuant to Commission rules, your license will be cancelled
if you do not appear for re-examination. You will be granted an Amateur
Radio license consistent with the elements you pass upon re-examination.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio

Enforcement Bureau

Now, where in the letter does it say "interference" was the
reason for the retest?
In fact, the only reason is as follows:

"Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47
C.F.R.
Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to re-
administer any
examination element previously administered by Volunteer
Examiners (VE's).
The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or
under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
designated by
the Commission."

Looks like you lose, as usual.

Oh, and the required code speed at the time of retest was 5 wpm,
initially, Roger got his General with
the 13 wpm code speed in 1999.
Such a request is part of the Volunteer Examining program. It
could have been made for any number of
reasons that may have had nothing to do with any of the
addressee's actions. An investigation into the VE group that
gave the test, would be a good example.

Oh, and it isn't a "spank", dumbass. Learn what words mean
before you attempt to use them.
If Roger had his ticket pulled, that would be a "spank", DUMBASS!

[end restore]

But of course, you'll say it got chopped out in an accident with
one of your light planes.....you know one of those model planes
you fly and delude yourself into thinking you are a real pilot.

> >
> > No, you haven't. You only posted the ARRL press release about
> > it. You fucked up again.
> > And it alleged "malicious interference". Of course, one could
> > presume with equal validity, the "evidence" was fabricated
and
> > thus improperly presented. You lose.
>
> Nothing was (lies)

And your proof is????????? How do YOU personally know that
nothing was fabricated? Talking out of your fat ass again? No,
you don't have any proof, do you, DUMBASSED senile old fuck with
an obsolete license and an imaginary pilot's license.
All you can do is post a press release that doesn't give all the
facts.
"Caught red handed by Riley?" Hardly. In fact, here is the

letter (I know you'll snip it out, because you hate the truth):

November 09, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately
and maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed Amateurs on the 14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has
occurred at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be
self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to
the basis and purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for
legitimate users. This serves as a Warning Notice that such operation
will not be tolerated. Additional incidents of operation of the type
described above will result in a monetary fine being levied against
you and in revocation proceedings before an Administrative Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

I notice too, he could not give any specific times or
frequencies this was supposed to have occured, which means when
you faked the tape you forgot to include them, dumbass!

It said "monitoring evidence (i.e. submitted tape)",which is a long way from being caught "red-handed". And tapes can be editied, and you should know, huh, that wasn't the first time you've sent in doctored tapes.

Which still goes back to the statement on the ARRL webpage about the FCC enforcement logs:

"NOTE: Issuance by the FCC of a Warning Notice indicates that the FCC has what it believes to be reliable evidence of possible rules infractions and not necessarily that the recipient has violated FCC rules."

http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2005/0521.html?nc=1 for example.

"Possible" rule infractions. Not Probable. Do list all the times and frquencies you've personally hear Roger interfere!
(I bet you snip this part out, too!)

> Sure (whiens and backpedals)

More excuses and backpedals, obsolete license boy?
It's all on tape, imaginary pilot's license boy. You really shouldn't jam Toadie's braodcast, no matter if they are in competition with your idiotic broadcasts. Sort of the lesser of two idiotic broadcasts.

>
> > I know you are too chickenshit to go see Roger in person.
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
>
> So you (whines, lies, etc)

Nope, Gopher face Tunder was too chickenshit to get out of his truck, he sat in it while it idled and called Roger on a cell phone. Too chickenshit to come knock on the door. And, he even pointed his truck for a quick getaway, poor thing. He really should have painted the bondo holding that piece of shit truck better,he rust spots showed through. He really could talk tough over the internet and even said he'd be back by for a second visit, but gopher face has yet to show his rat-boy face.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>
> So when is your next appointment with the mental health services?

Never had any to begin with.
So, when is your next court date about your molesting your own grandkids, imaginary pilot's license boy??

--
Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imaginary
spelling skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

2111. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 18:07:26 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <2tSdnRLgJvfEXz3fRVn-gQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109347

Anonymous wrote:

>
> To over 600 or so questions? You claim Roger is a dumb
> hillbilly....are you contradicting yourself, lisping cocksucking
> faggot?

I never claimed you were a 'dumb hillbilly', but now that you mention
it, the description fits you pretty well two-test.

> And since there is no way of knowing which exact
> questions are on the test, tell me how he could just memorize
> the questions and answers and pass it. Guess he'd have to know
> the material inside out, right?

Like you know all about negative SWR and RMS. Give us some theory on
that wogie. LOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!

>
> You must've been out the day English punctuation was reviewed,
> too, obloslete license boy!
> Roger had to know the material,idiot, since there is no idea
> until the test is administered which questions are on the test.
> And how could Roger possibly remember all of the over 600 or so
> questions? That is, if he is a "hillbilly" like you claim.

Like I said, I never claimed you were a 'hillbilly', you said that. If I
said it prove it, but it must be true.

>
>
> First you claim I am not Roger, now you claim I am. Can't you
> make up your senile mind, you senile fuck?

Never claimed you weren't wogie, always knew you were two-test wogie,
you are the one who seems confused, curtains fatboy.

>
>>Same code test I passed for the Advanced. So once again, want
>
> to get on
>
>>the air for some code practice wogie?
>
>
> No, you couldn't find that imaginary pilot's lcense, you
> probvably couldn't find your key.

I see, in other words you can't copy code. LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!! The key and my pilots license are right here, so want to get on for some code practice wogie? Name the time and frequency. Bet you can't even copy your own call. LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!
>
> Besides, you send like a fucking lame elephant......

If I can't find my key as you claim, then how do you know? Make up you crack damaged mind curtains boy.

>
> backpedals,oh,my! Butt Cornholer doing something dishonest!
> Oh,my!)

I haven't backpeddled about anything, I have show proof of your letters from the FCC. So where is proof of what you claim about me, curtains boy? Show proof of this letter you calim I received from the FCC. And I ask you again to show proof of how you claim I don't have a pilot certificate. Just what name do you search the FAA database with to make this wild claim wogie? Put up or shut up fatboy.
>
> So where is your proof that Roger was made to retest because of
> "interference"??????
>
> You are long on accusations and short on proof, sort of like how
> your wife finds you in the bedroom, shorty.

Where is your proof of your claims about me, you are long on accusations but never have proved a single thing, two-test wogie.
>
> If you have both letters, don't forget the one YOU got from
> Riley, Gopher face, that would make three letters.

So show proof of this letter you claim I received, two-test curtains fatboy. Post it here fatboy so we can see it. Put up or shut up.

>
> Here's what you snipped out because it proved you are a liar:
>
> Here is the real retest letter, from Google, since it was posted
> to the newsgroups (probably by you) before:

Same old yada yade by wogie deleted.

So wogie, you deny you were sent a letter about your malicious interference on 20 meters?

>>>thus improperly presented. You lose.

You lose for violating the FCC regulations with malicious intereference two-test curtains boy. The FCC made you retest to keep your license. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!
Did you remember to send Riley a Christmas present this year wogie for being you pen pal. Seems he like to write letters to you a lot.

HAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>
>
> And your proof is????????? How do YOU personally know that
> nothing was fabricated?

So where is your proof of this fabrication two-test. The FCC caught you
red handed. LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!

>
> --------------
> November 09, 1999
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
>
> Roger L. Wiseman
>
> 610 Glen Haven Avenue
>
> Glendale, WV 26038-1302
>
> Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO
>
>
> Dear Mr. Wiseman:
>
> The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been
> deliberately
> and maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other
> licensed Amateurs on the 14 MHz Amateur band.

You were caught on tape wogie, too bad. The FCC made you retake you test
to keep your license. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

> I notice too, he could not give any specific times or
> frequencies this was supposed to have occured, which means when
> you faked the tape you forgot to include them, dumbass!

Why would I or anyone need to fake any tapes of you wogie, when you
violate the rules on your own.

>
>
> Nope, Gopher face Tunder was too chickenshit to get out of his
> truck, he sat in it while it idled and called Roger on a cell
> phone. Too chickenshit to come knock on the door.

While you hid behind the curtains messing your pants hoping he wouldn't
come to the door. LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2112. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 18:12:59 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <j6udnf4K9O9EXj3fRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

Christy D wrote:
> On Fri, 3 Jun 2005 19:53:31 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org> wrote:
> [flush]
>
> Just remember: The truth of the original post is proportional to the
> length of the attempted rebuttal.
>
> By reposting that FCC letter, you demonstrated your illogical position
> to everyone.

Two-test Wogie has never had a logical position on anything.

2113. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 18:43:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117824182.959893.56800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109344

John Smith wrote:
> If ever in doubt why the ham numbers are driving...(SNIP)

You don't know how many nights I've sat up wondering where Amateur
numbers were "driving" to...

> (UNSNIP)...drive over to a
> college, ask students in the electronics engineering and technology
> classes why they are not interested in getting a ham license.

Uhhhhhhhhhhh...classes and working to pay for the
education...?!?!

> Many will
> really not even know much about--however, when they hear the part about
> code, and how for all privileges you must take the code test--you lose
> them...

No...

YOU will lose them...>>I<< tell them about all the great things you
can do with an Amateur License, even the NO CODE TECHNICIAN which
conveys all operating modes and 97% of all allocated frequencies...

That Morse test only applies to less than 3% of Amateur
allocations, and only if you want to operate on HF.

> I am sure now there will be a lot who disagree with this--they will ALL
> be over 30 and they will never ask the people who would get the
> licenses--why they DON'T get the licenses...

Why should I wonder...?!?!

LOTS of people don't wear dayglo fingernail polish, eat kim-chi,
or have sex in phone booths...

But SOME do!

> Nero fiddles--Rome burns...

Let me guess...YOU are the tone deaf nerd in flammable
footie-bottom PJ's asking him if he'll take requests.

> Warmest regards,

Unlikely.

> John

Uh huh...

Steve, K4YZ

2114. Re: test - please ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 18:49:07 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <42a0a6f0$1_2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 109345

google sucks and so do you!
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1117816942.656177.256850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> test google
>

2115. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 19:21:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <q52oe.73$9E4.1484917@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109349

Ahhh, my typos grow more numerous with age... declining is the word I meant to type...
As I said, this argument will go on, numbers decline, and always fixes for a non-existent problem will be applied, I have watched this for decades now--code is dead and no one will accept that--they won't even ask the people who WOULD get the licenses if that is true--and it is... I have asked...

As I look at it, hams are all a bunch which want to destroy the hobby and watch it die as freqs are stripped away and their numbers become too small to be of interest to anyone, let alone the FCC... they would ONLY do this if they wanted the hobby to die--but for some strange reason--wish to claim otherwise!!! ... go figure...

Warmest regards,
John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1117824182.959893.56800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> If ever in doubt why the ham numbers are driving...(SNIP)
>
> You don't know how many nights I've sat up wondering where Amateur
> numbers were "driving" to...
>
>> (UNSNIP)...drive over to a
>> college, ask students in the electronics engineering and technology
>> classes why they are not interested in getting a ham license.
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...classes and working to pay for the
> education...?!?!
>
>> Many will
>> really not even know much about--however, when they hear the part
>> about
>> code, and how for all privileges you must take the code test--you
>> lose
>> them...
>
> No...
>
> YOU will lose them...>>I<< tell them about all the great things you
> can do with an Amateur License, even the NO CODE TECHNICIAN which
> conveys all operating modes and 97% of all allocated frequencies...
>

> That Morse test only applies to less than 3% of Amateur
> allocations, and only if you want to operate on HF.
>
>> I am sure now there will be a lot who disagree with this--they will
>> ALL
>> be over 30 and they will never ask the people who would get the
>> licenses--why they DON'T get the licenses...
>
> Why should I wonder...?!?!
>
> LOTS of people don't wear dayglo fingernail polish, eat kim-chi,
> or have sex in phone booths...
>
> But SOME do!
>
>> Nero fiddles--Rome burns...
>
> Let me guess...YOU are the tone deaf nerd in flammable
> footie-bottom PJ's asking him if he'll take requests.
>
>> Warmest regards,
>
> Unlikely.
>
>> John
>
> Uh huh...
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2116. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 19:45:50 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <3uWdnatLQ-Z_RT3fRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109355

Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
> Then, one day, Joe called CQ on twenty meters and got no
> reply. He tried again the next day with the same result. He
> kept trying for a week, but no one ever came back to him.
> Finally, he called one of his friends on the twisted pair, to set
> up a contact. But, an elderly-sounding lady informed him
> that his friend was no longer among the living.

Guess the same scenerio happened all over the world if poor old Joe
couldn't raise anyone on 20 meters.

> Joe paged through his old, dog-eared Callbook. But he
> couldn't find a single listing of anyone he had worked recently.
> That's when he realized he was the only one left.
>
> Joe had just started back toward the house when he suddenly
> tired. He at down to rest on the grass. He felt a squeezing
> pain in his chest, and his left arm ached. He lay back.
>
> His antenna, and clouds drifting by above it, were the last
> things he saw. But Joe and his like-minded friends had lived
> long enough to accomplish their goal;
>
> THEY HAD CLEANED UP THE AIRWAVES!

Has anyone looked outside lately to see if the sky is falling?

2117. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 20:39:26 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d7qfceuem1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 109346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

Did you ever know that you're my hero,
And everything I would like to be?
I can fly higher than an eagle,
For you are the wind beneath my wings.

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1117649545.154245.255660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Another fake letter, I'm not going to waste my time with this crap.
>

2118. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 21:12:34 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6J3oe.367$HM.94@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109350

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

> As I look at it, hams are all a bunch which want to destroy the hobby and
> watch it die as freqs are stripped away and their numbers become too small to
> be of interest to anyone, let alone the FCC... they would ONLY do this if they
> wanted the hobby to die--but for some strange reason--wish to claim
> otherwise!!! ... go figure...

-- THE LAST HAM --

It was a warm sunny day, with just a slight breeze. Joe squinted at the top of his tower, admiring the five-element 20 meter monobander he had built the previous winter. It was an imposing sight, yet had never been used. Joe was the last ham.

Joe never intended to be the last ham, but it worked out that way. He thought back to how it had all started in the 80's when the FCC created the no-code Tech license. Joe considered that action the biggest blunder any government agency had ever perpetrated on the citizens of the United States of America.

"Just think of it," Joe had remarked, "an amateur radio license with no Morse code requirements! It will mean ruin for us all!" Joe ignored the fact that the no-code license brought new blood into the hobby after the amateur ranks had been shrinking for many years. He refused to notice that after the FCC created this new license category, the number of active hams increased at a dizzying rate.

Joe hated no-code hams. He wouldn't accept the no-code license as just another way of entering Amateur ranks, and refused to acknowledge that many no-coders upgrade to higher-class licenses. No explanation was good enough for Joe.

Joe and some like-minded cronies hung out on the local repeater, where they expounded at length their belief that the new hams are somehow less than human. They even suggested that the way to clean up the ham bands was to get rid of all 2-by-3 calls. They joked that everyone ought to own a no-code Tech. When new operators dared talk to Joe or his buddies, they found themselves humbled, scolded, and scorned.

In his zeal to control "his" airwaves, Joe monitored the local repeater with a stop-watch, to make sure interlopers "ID'ed" on time. If they went a little over, he gave them a tongue-lashing. He even harassed them when they operated perfectly, just to make sure they knew they weren't welcome.

Of course, Joe never gave his callsign when he did this. He regarded himself not as a jammer, but as a radio cop -- keeping the ham bands pure. Soon others joined Joe's cause. After all, "The new no-coders made two meters sound like CB!"

Slowly at first, then at a faster and faster rate, newcomers dropped out of the local clubs, then off the air completely. Joe was ecstatic. It was working; he was saving the airwaves.

The number of active hams dropped to far fewer than when he started. He figured only the "real hams" were left, so he didn't mind when the Callbook shrunk to the size of a comic book. But with so few hams, the political power of Amateur Radio diminished. Soon ham spectrum shrunk, too.

That didn't bother Joe; he cared only about 2 and 20 meters. He thought it was funny when the FCC auctioned many VHF and UHF bands, "those no-coder hangouts," to commercial interests.

Finally, citing "no further need for an Amateur license category," the FCC stopped issuing new licenses. Before long, Joe and his buddies were the only hams left. But that was fine. After all, they all got their licenses back when hams took tests at FCC offices, and not at one of those VEC jokes that allowed an applicant to take a test here or there.

Joe and his cronies spent long hours ragchewing on 20, bragging about how good things were. Occasionally they paused, but only to note when one of their clan became a "silent key."

Then, one day, Joe called CQ on twenty meters and got no reply. He tried again the next day with the same result. He kept trying for a week, but no one ever came back to him. Finally, he called one of his friends on the twisted pair, to set up a contact. But, an elderly-sounding lady informed him that his friend was no longer among the living.

Joe paged through his old, dog-eared Callbook. But he couldn't find a single listing of anyone he had worked recently. That's when he realized he was the only one left.

Joe had just started back toward the house when he suddenly tired. He at down to rest on the grass. He felt a squeezing pain in his chest, and his left arm ached. He lay back.

His antenna, and clouds drifting by above it, were the last things he saw. But Joe and his like-minded friends had lived long enough to accomplish their goal;

THEY HAD CLEANED UP THE AIRWAVES!

2119. Re: The "POP" Heard Round the World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 21:15:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117833344.848621.161520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109351

From: "K4YZ" <sentinelatthetomb@aol.com> on Thurs 2 Jun 2005 17:48

>bb wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > > Len will be pleased to here that.
>> >
>> > "Len will be pleased to >>here<< that."...?!?!
>> >
>> > (Since you seem to enjoy busting me for typos....)
>>
>> Only when it's obvious that you're hallucinating.
>
> Who's hallucinating?

Stebie.

> You can't seem to get through your own fantasies, Brain...

Stebie brain got SOP for everbody's fantasies? :-)

Pass the peyote, Stebie-san, high-high. :-)

>> > I don't think you were paying attention, Brain...(Like THAT'S
>> > new...)
>>
>> Such baseless accusations (lies) you're always making.
>
> It's not baseless.

Stebie stole 4th base, claims it his. Stebie not in ball park.

> IE: Unlicesed Devices, ARES, MARS, Lawful Operating from Foreign
>Soil, etc.
>
> You've been proven wrong on every single one.

Stebie high honcho in gubmint? Ober FCC? Uber FCC? :-)

Stebie be Riley Robeson? Stebie be Sevie Hollingsworth?

Stebie have ham shield in wallet, show and make arrests?

>> > Re-read what was written...Lennie will NOT be pleased...(Like
>> > THAT'S new either!!!)
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>

>> Pull your head out and re-read it yourself. You won't be pleased.
>
> Nothing to re-read. Yuo got it wrong. Now you've gotten it wrong
>twice.

Who "Yuo?" New basketball player for Texas team? Dey got Yao.

>> Christy said that the longer the reply, the more likely it is that the
>> original opinion was correct.
>
> Uh huh.
>
> I say Lennie's an idiot.

Stebie Einstein? Stebie make General law of Relatives?

Stebie go Switzerland, be patent clerk? Stebie a freud?

Stebie give yodel lessons?

> Lennie takes six paragraphs to tell us how he knows everything and
>how his years at ADA make him ultimately qulified to be an idiot.
>
> I retort and call Lennie a BIG idiot.

Stebie forget "PUTZ," "gutless coward," etc. :-)

> He comes back with 12 paragraphs. Proves Christy's Law that my
>original assertion (he's an idiot) was correct.
>
> Christy's Law. It works

Who "Christy?" Musical group? New holiday? Stebie change
gender and be "Christy?"

Stebie not say. Stebie need "sked?" Good name be "Rosebud"
for snow sked. Fits.

>> Len has long replies. Sometimes really, really long replies. Do you
>> want to argue that, too?
>
> What's to argue? Lennie uses his verbostiy to hide his failings
>and inadequacies. It's legend.

Stebie have legend. Stebie be legend. Stebie is legend in his
own mind.

Stebie have myth? Wifie#2 find out, bye-bye Mithter Stebie.

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary
figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely
path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron
in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air,
interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet
extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep

in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

2120. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 21:33:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <G04oe.86$4K1.10102853@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109361

Yep... that is pretty much the mantra... you hear it around 60+ year old guys who don't realize they already are at the end of the line when it comes of being of importance to the hobby--so, being a vindictive lot, and in denial, now attempt to block the younger innovative hams with a current knowledge and education which they feel are a threat.... I all ready know all that, although my words don't spell it out so a person deep in the disease of Alzheimer's can understand, I have chalked the wall...

... somehow when you say "cleaned up the airwaves", I hear more "created a good ole buddies club of senior citizens".... <grin>

John

"K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:6J3oe.367$HM.94@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>> As I look at it, hams are all a bunch which want to destroy the hobby
>> and watch it die as freqs are stripped away and their numbers become
>> too small to be of interest to anyone, let alone the FCC... they
>> would ONLY do this if they wanted the hobby to die--but for some
>> strange reason--wish to claim otherwise!!! ... go figure...
>
> -- THE LAST HAM --
>
> It was a warm sunny day, with just a slight breeze. Joe
> squinted at the top of his tower, admiring the five-element 20
> meter monobander he had built the previous winter. It was an
> imposing sight, yet had never been used. Joe was the last ham.
>
> Joe never intended to be the last ham, but it worked out
> that way. He thought back to how it had all started in the 80's
> when the FCC created the no-code Tech license. Joe considered
> that action the biggest blunder any government agency had ever
> perpetrated on the citizens of the United States of America.
>
> "Just think of it," Joe had remarked, "an amateur radio
> license with no Morse code requirements! It will mean ruin for
> us all!" Joe ignored the fact that the no-code license brought
> new blood into the hobby after the amateur ranks had been
> shrinking for many years. He refused to notice that after the
> FCC created this new license category, the number of active hams

> increased at a dizzying rate.
>
> Joe hated no-code hams. He wouldn't accept the no-code
> license as just another way of entering Amateur ranks, and
> refused to acknowledge that many no-coders upgrade to higher-
> class licenses. No explanation was good enough for Joe.
>
> Joe and some like-minded cronies hung out on the local
> repeater, where they expounded at length their belief that the
> new hams are somehow less than human. They even suggested that
> the way to clean up the ham bands was to get rid of all 2-by-3
> calls. They joked that everyone ought to own a no-code Tech.
> When new operators dared talk to Joe or his buddies, they found
> themselves humbled, scolded, and scorned.
>
> In his zeal to control "his" airwaves, Joe monitored the
> local repeater with a stop-watch, to make sure interlopers
> "ID'ed" on time. If they went a little over, he gave them a
> tongue-lashing. He even harassed them when they operated
> perfectly, just to make sure they knew they weren't welcome.
>
> Of course, Joe never gave his callsign when he did this. He
> regarded himself not as a jammer, but as a radio cop -- keeping
> the ham bands pure. Soon others joined Joe's cause. After all,
> "The new no-coders made two meters sound like CB!"
>
> Slowly at first, then at a faster and faster rate, newcomers
> dropped out of the local clubs, then off the air completely. Joe
> was ecstatic. It was working; he was saving the airwaves.
>
> The number of active hams dropped to far fewer than when he
> started. He figured only the "real hams" were left, so he didn't
> mind when the Callbook shrunk to the size of a comic book. But
> with so few hams, the political power of Amateur Radio
> diminished. Soon ham spectrum shrunk, too.
>
> That didn't bother Joe; he cared only about 2 and 20 meters.
> He thought it was funny when the FCC auctioned many VHF and UHF
> bands, "those no-coder hangouts," to commercial interests.
>
> Finally, citing "no further need for an Amateur
> license category," the FCC stopped issuing new licenses. Before
> long, Joe and his buddies were the only hams left. But that was
> fine. After all, they all got their licenses back when hams took
> tests at FCC offices, and not at one of those VEC jokes that
> allowed an applicant to take a test here or there.
>
> Joe and his cronies spent long hours ragchewing on 20,
> bragging about how good things were. Occasionally they paused,

> but only to note when one of their clan became a "silent key."
>
> Then, one day, Joe called CQ on twenty meters and got no
> reply. He tried again the next day with the same result. He
> kept trying for a week, but no one ever came back to him.
> Finally, he called one of his friends on the twisted pair, to set
> up a contact. But, an elderly-sounding lady informed him
> that his friend was no longer among the living.
>
> Joe paged through his old, dog-eared Callbook. But he
> couldn't find a single listing of anyone he had worked recently.
> That's when he realized he was the only one left.
>
> Joe had just started back toward the house when he suddenly
> tired. He at down to rest on the grass. He felt a squeezing
> pain in his chest, and his left arm ached. He lay back.
>
> His antenna, and clouds drifting by above it, were the last
> things he saw. But Joe and his like-minded friends had lived
> long enough to accomplish their goal;
>
> THEY HAD CLEANED UP THE AIRWAVES!
>

2121. want to bang my sister?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 21:43:45 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d7qj52$219$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 109353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

I just did!

http://old-plump-fat.com/vid-fat-130/tp02.jpg

2122. MY Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 21:44:36 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d7qj6i$22c$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 109352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

SWEET!!!! I"M SO THERE DUDE!!!!
>
"Mr Germanium" <ck722a0006@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> WOW !!!!
>
> A Freeband REPEATER :)
>

2123. Re: The "POP" Heard Round the World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 21:49:40 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117835380.585661.255300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109354

From: "bb" on Fri 3 Jun 2005 03:51

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: bb on Jun 2, 6:27 pm
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>>
>> >Christy said that the longer the reply, the more likely it is that the
>> >original opinion was correct.
>>
>> "Christy," singing like a New Minstrel, might belong to that
>> irritated group that gets tired tracing out more than 50
>> words with their finger... :-)
>
>Who's to say?

I know...:-) But, we have the solitary tomb sentinel busy
"guarding" the territory for ANY opinions other than his own.

We are stuck with the Avenging Angle of Dearth. Ho hum.

Eventually the "pop" will be an artery and he will become
the usual vegetable garden typical to stroke victims. We
will be able to see that while we are still alive. :-)

That is going to put a damper on his Class A behavior and
incessant machismo attitude of always "being right" (in his
own mind). His stress is building. Tsk.

>> >Len has long replies. Sometimes really, really long replies. Do you
>> >want to argue that, too?
>>
>> The Avenging Angle of Dearth will ARGUE-FIGHT-INSULT anything
>> I write in here. :-)
>>
>I tire of Steve's incessant lying. I tire of his peers always giving
>him a pass on his tirades. They're all a pretty useless assembly of
>Extras.

Understand fully. They aren't ever going to be role models
of anything they way they act and posture in here.

Many years ago at a division of a national corporation there
was a long-time Amateur Extra near retirement age. He was a
good sort, knew his stuff, never tried to put his hobby into
the forefront as a Lifestyle. He also had a mischevious

sense of humor and was quite tolerant of younger staff getting way too overworked over their hobby discussions. When he found a couple of radio amateurs engaged in a heated argument he would listen a minute or so, then casually interrupt with "Say, did you know that ham is the butchered meat of swine?"

The arguers would be momentarily stunned. He would grin slightly and amble away, the grin growing. He had the right balance of priorities. He retired and showed up at some L.A. Council meetings since. Lost track of him. I've never forgotten that (correct) statement he made more than once. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2124. Re: want to bang my sister?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 22:16:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7F4oe.93$EB3.14642338@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

Hey, is that the same pinup girl I keep seeing at everyone's station,
you know, they one they got posted next to the world map???

John

"Roger Wiseman" <AB8MQ@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d7qj52$219$2@domitilla.aioe.org...
>I just did!
>
> http://old-plump-fat.com/vid-fat-130/tp02.jpg
>
>
>
>

2125. Re: test - please ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 22:35:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117838130.783058.180920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109356

He must've forget to load up his transmitter into Steve.

2126. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 22:36:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <hY4oe.101$BD4.16591009@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109360

LOL...

That sound isn't the sky falling, it is the old guys falling out and leaving this world for a better place... this decade begins the big drop in number of old hams... what is 15% to 25% loss before 2010 expected... depends on whose numbers you go by, and ARRL isn't saying--I'd say that is one indication they are in denial and hiding from the problem... and much must be guessed, as there is that all burning question on people in the knows mind--after a ham passes how long is the avg time before his license will be in expired status? Figures are getting as bad as where you see dead people have been voting at the polls!!!!

Warmest regards,
John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:3uWdnatLQ-Z_RT3fRVn-uA@gbronline.com...
> K?HB wrote:
>>
>> Then, one day, Joe called CQ on twenty meters and got no
>> reply. He tried again the next day with the same result. He
>> kept trying for a week, but no one ever came back to him.
>> Finally, he called one of his friends on the twisted pair, to set
>> up a contact. But, an elderly-sounding lady informed him
>> that his friend was no longer among the living.
>
> Guess the same scenerio happened all over the world if poor old Joe
> couldn't raise anyone on 20 meters.
>
>> Joe paged through his old, dog-eared Callbook. But he
>> couldn't find a single listing of anyone he had worked recently.
>> That's when he realized he was the only one left.
>>
>> Joe had just started back toward the house when he suddenly
>> tired. He at down to rest on the grass. He felt a squeezing
>> pain in his chest, and his left arm ached. He lay back.
>>
>> His antenna, and clouds drifting by above it, were the last
>> things he saw. But Joe and his like-minded friends had lived
>> long enough to accomplish their goal;
>>
>> THEY HAD CLEANED UP THE AIRWAVES!
>

>
> Has anyone looked outside lately to see if the sky is falling?

2127. Sky is falling!!! Everyone has alzheimers,unable to save even themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 22:44:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <335oe.105$uW4.17208413@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109362

http://ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html

http://ah0a.org/FCC/

Warmest regards,
John
--
Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality, right
before my eyes--in real time!
Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?

2128. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 22:45:40 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <lll1a114i64i9lc8rpo8ftl4lo0nqutmjs@4ax.com>
Source record: 109357

On 2 Jun 2005 17:21:36 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 1 Jun 2005 15:39:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 31 May 2005 15:37:45 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >
>> >> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>> >
>snip
>
>Here's a simple, direct question:
>
>Do you think Len's little piece on the "Tomb of the Unknown Solder" is
>funny? Or is it an insult to the Unknowns? Or is
>it something else?

Personally, I saw it for the humorous parody that it was intended to
be - not 'laugh out loud' funny, but humourous (and rather witty!)
nevertheless.

I understand that some may find that particular subject to be one that
should not be parodied - but, considering the obvious pride that the
author has in both his own military service and the service of others,
I would strongly doubt that any disrespect whatsoever was intended to
those whose ultimate sacrifice is honoured by the Tomb of the Unknown
Soldier.

My $.02 .

>
>
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

2129. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 22:55:53 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <usn1a1l0q16riv5hbl8f45h8nndvbu659k@4ax.com>
Source record: 109358

On Thu, 2 Jun 2005 17:49:24 -0500, "Shamil Basayev" <666@LensPlace>
wrote:

>
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1117684663.581302.175470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>From: Leo <nom...@noway.com> on Wed, 01 Jun 2005 18:27:59 -0400
>
>>On 31 May 2005 17:04:05 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>From: Leo on Tues 31 May 2005 15:02
>>>>On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>> >> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>Just About Everybody Wrote
>snip
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>.....

Oh oh! He's doing it again!

Jim! Len's a Russian!

2130. Re: The "POP" Heard Round the World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 23:06:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117837797.932956.114680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109363

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > You can't seem to get through your own fantasies, Brain...
> >
> > Any fantasy of mine cannot influence your ability to string together a
> > sentence.
>
> Why would I want to "string together a sentence" based on any
> fantasy of yours, Brain?
>
> You're a discredited liar. I have no desire to emulate that.

I stand corrected. I should have said, "...your inability..."

> > > > > I don't think you were paying attention, Brain...(Like THAT'S
> > > > > new...)
> > > > >
> > > > Such baseless accusations (lies) you're always making.
> > > >
> > > It's not baseless.
> > > >
> > > IE: Unlicesed Devices, ARES, MARS, Lawful Operating from Foreign
> > > Soil, etc.
> > > >
> > > You've been proven wrong on every single one.
> > >
> > Yet we're talking about What Christy has written, and you've been shown
> > to be wrong. Again.
>
> Nope.

..

> > > > > Re-read what was written...Lennie will NOT be pleased...(Like
> > > > > THAT'S new either!!!)
> > > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > Pull your head out and re-read it yourself. You won't be pleased.
> > >
> > Nothing to re-read. Yuo got it wrong. Now you've gotten it wrong
> > twice.
> >

> > I asked for clarification. Christy gave it. You're wrong.
>
> No, I'm not.

..

> > > > Christy said that the longer the reply, the more likely it is that the
> > > > original opinion was correct.
> > >
> > > Uh huh.
> > >
> > > I say Lennie's an idiot.
> >
> > It's not about you. It's about what Christy said that would please
> > Len.
> >
> > Too bad for you.
>
> Too bad I was right?
>
> Why?

..

> QUOTE:
>
> On 31 May 2005 15:40:05 -0700, bb <billybee...@juno.com> wrote:
>
> > Christy D wrote:
> > > On Tue, 31 May 2005 12:07:05 GMT, Kim <m...@nomail.com> wrote:
> > > [102 lines snipped]
>
> > > The correctness of the original opinion is directly proportional to
> > > the length of the attempted rebuttal.
>
> > inversely proportional?
>
> No. The longer the rebuttal attempt, the more likely it is that the
> original opinion was correct. Hence the direct proportionality.
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Sorry, Brain...You've screwed the pooch...Again...

"Screwed the pooch?" It that a marine corps thing?

And why can't you explain why you posted my street address on RRAP?

2131. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 23:42:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TqSdnSh9-euMdj3fRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 109364

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:1d-dnWeqRoMeNALfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...
> What makes a person a real ham?
> Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
> Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
> Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
> license they hold now?
> Can a person climb to Extra with today's test and be considered a real
> ham?
> Is yodoc aka K3LT a real ham, or the one to determine who is a real ham?
> Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
> license" ever be considered a real ham?
> Does getting a vanity license that is an older call such as K8*** or
> WD8*** make you a real ham?
> I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a cb'er
> or no coder as the toad says?
> I'm not being a troll, I would really like to know the answers to this!
>

A good ham is one who strives to follow all rules and regulations pertaining
to amateur radio.
A good ham is one who strives to use good operating practices at all times.
A good ham is one who strives to learn and develop within the hobby.
A good ham is one who strives to help his fellow hams learn and develop
within the hobby.
A good ham is one who strives to give to the community via the use of his
hobby.

Look up the "Amateur's Code". I'm sure there's a copy floating around the
internet somewhere. That pretty well defines a good ham. It doesn't
include anything like code speed, date licensed, license class, types of
test available to take or any of that sort of thing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2132. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-03 23:50:18 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <t9ednbKCbcNpcT3fRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 109365

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1117794112.205468.260040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> vshah1010@comcast.net wrote:
>> KC8GXW wrote:
>
> VIPPY! Your're back!
>
>> The definition you quoted says"Amateur Operator". There is no mention
>> of the word "ham" in the definition.

GROAN.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2133. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 00:23:07 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <yqmdnVGWl4LAhzzfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Blue Cornchips wrote:

>>Vijay Mukhi, a call centre analyst, said websites have sprung up in the
>>US giving phone numbers of companies which use call centres in India,
>>and listing Hindi swear words to be used to abuse staff. 'When you move
>>jobs away from a country, there's going to be a lot of pent-up
>>frustration which gets let out on Indian workers,' he said.

There might not be so much frustration if they could understand enough
English to understand your problem. I delt with a help desk in India
over a credit card issue...never could get it across what my problem was
no matter how many ways I attempted to expalin it, when I asked to speak
to a manager, she hung up.
Maybe these companies will finally get the message that customers are
getting tired of dealing with people who can't understand enough English
to be of any help and bring the support centers back to the U.S. Don't
hold your breath.

2134. Re: Todd's Challenges...A Response (While Holding Back The Laughter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 00:29:35 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1117844975.773303.314950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

N9 Out Go the Legalities

Toss off ye scurvy dogs and man the guns!!! Thar's piratin' ta do!!!
Arrg!!!

2135. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 00:46:53 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <1S6oe.125$2e7.7@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109367

Hello, Dan

A 1X2 call starting with "W" or "K"? How many of these folks do you think are around that are *not* in possession of a vanity call? C'mon! Give us a break! The original holders of 1X2 calls are mostly, if not entirely, sk. A dear friend of mine, W2ZS (almost the end of the 1X2 calls) has been sk for decades. Like about 3 decades.

My original call, issued in 1962, was WN2CJV. That would have made me WB2CJV had I passed the 13 word per minute code test. Unfortunately, it took me another year to get to 13 and I obtained WB2OSP in 1964.

With the issuance of vanity call signs, most of the 1X3 calls are gone - mostly vanity call signs. Depending upon the call district, some of the states were as far as a WD prefix.

Since I doubt many folks would spend money to get a call sign beginning with WA, WB, etc., those are the folks that you can be certain have been licensed since the early 60s. I did obtain WA3RJX in 1970, however, when I moved to Pennsylvania. Still, had I kept that call, it would be 35 years old! Moving back then might also require a call sign change - along with a "newbie" type call sign.

When the FCC allowed extra class licensees to choose their own call sign in the early 70s, I obtained N2JH. At that point, I'm not sure if they would allow you to grab an old expired call.

Once the gates were opened for vanity call signs, all bets are off as to how long an amateur has been licensed. My bet would be that the WA and WB type prefixes would be the only ones that would *almost* guarantee the individual has been licensed long enough to qualify for the quarter century club. It won't be long (and may have already happened) that there are some 5 word per minute folks with a 1X2 call (which means fairly new hams).

I have to ask what the heck you were thinking with your response that a 1X2 or 1X3 call indicates an old timer?

???
:)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Y5One.13076$M36.259@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message

> news:1d-dnWeqRoMeNALfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...
> > What makes a person a real ham?
> > Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
> A "Real Ham" is proficient in all forms and modes of communications. One
of
> the primary purposes of Amateur Radio is to provide a pool of qualified
> radio operators in the event of emergency. Thus being able to use Morse
> Code at anything but training wheel speed is a big plus.
>
>
> > Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
>
> No, not entirely. But being able to assimilate with minimum friction is a
> big help. In otherwords don't show up with a "I know it all attitude" and
> have a bit of respect for those that have been there before you.
>
> > Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
> > license they hold now?
>
> That does have a bearing on things. Don't come on with the above attitute
> holding a Technician ticket for 6 months, and expect to be treating with
> respect. Respect is earned me boy.
>
> > Can a person climb to Extra with today's test and be considered a real
> > ham?
>
> No, not really. Must I elaborate on the obvious?
>
> If one does that wonderous dead and then EARNS the respect of those other
> hams. A lot of what I said above is bypassed. Respect, Earned those
are
> the key words here.
>
> > Is yodoc aka K3LT a real ham, or the one to determine who is a real ham?
>
> Don't know the man.
>
> > Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
> > license" ever be considered a real ham?
>
> Sure...see above.
>
> > Does getting a vanity license that is an older call such as K8*** or
> > WD8*** make you a real ham?
>
> A WD8? a joke right? Try W or K ...one by two or three, non vanity of
> course. Thats an old timer.
>
> As a matter of fact the FCC once considered the W/K prefix "prefered
calls".

> And at one time the FCC required you to change your call if you permanetly
> moved to another district. And in deference to the W/K....if you had one
> they would issue another from the prefered block.
>
> Which is how I got my present call (W4NTI) because I used to have K8BHH.
> Course that all went to crap with the alphabet soup system of today.
>
>
> > I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a cb'er
> > or no coder as the toad says?
>
> No, just a no coder. Unless of course you did pass a 5wpm test. Then
you
> would be a Technician. Hi hi.
>
>
> > I'm not being a troll, I would really like to know the answers to this!
> >
>
> Hope that helps a bit.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

2136. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 00:47:56 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <0T6oe.126$2e7.82@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109368

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:t9ednbKCbcNpcT3fRVn-sQ@comcast.com...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1117794112.205468.260040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > vshah1010@comcast.net wrote:
> >> KC8GXW wrote:
> >
> > VIPPY! Your're back!
> >
> >> The definition you quoted says"Amateur Operator". There is no mention
> >> of the word "ham" in the definition.
>
> GROAN.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Hello, Dee

I see you got suckered into reading this thread too ... :)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2137. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 01:23:40 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <JdydnblZgOoDnzzfRVn-oQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109369

Jim Hampton wrote:
> Hello, Dan
>
> A 1X2 call starting with "W" or "K"? How many of these folks do you think
> are around that are *not* in possession of a vanity call? C'mon! Give us a
> break! The original holders of 1X2 calls are mostly, if not entirely, sk.
> A dear friend of mine, W2ZS (almost the end of the 1X2 calls) has been sk
> for decades. Like about 3 decades.
>
> My original call, issued in 1962, was WN2CJV. That would have made me
> WB2CJV had I passed the 13 word per minute code test. Unfortunately, it
> took me another year to get to 13 and I obtained WB2OSP in 1964.
>
> With the issuance of vanity call signs, most of the 1X3 calls are gone -
> mostly vanity call signs. Depending upon the call district, some of the
> states were as far as a WD prefix.
>
> Since I doubt many folks would spend money to get a call sign beginning with
> WA, WB, etc., those are the folks that you can be certain have been licensed
> since the early 60s. I did obtain WA3RJX in 1970, however, when I moved to
> Pennsylvania. Still, had I kept that call, it would be 35 years old!
> Moving back then might also require a call sign change - along with a
> "newbie" type call sign.
>
> When the FCC allowed extra class licensees to choose their own call sign in
> the early 70s, I obtained N2JH. At that point, I'm not sure if they would
> allow you to grab an old expired call.
>
> Once the gates were opened for vanity call signs, all bets are off as to how
> long an amateur has been licensed. My bet would be that the WA and WB type
> prefixes would be the only ones that would *almost* guarantee the individual
> has been licensed long enough to qualify for the quarter century club. It
> won't be long (and may have already happened) that there are some 5 word per
> minute folks with a 1X2 call (which means fairly new hams).

I know a few with N8*** that are/were 5 wpm and were licensed in 1983 or
earlier, I don't think that would make them fairly new hams. A few more
years and they will qualify for the quarter century club.

> I have to ask what the heck you were thinking with your response that a 1X2
> or 1X3 call indicates an old timer?
>
> ???
> :)
>

>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

2138. Re: Sky is falling!!! Everyone has alzheimers,unable to save even themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 01:27:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8E7oe.135$fW.23859326@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109374

... and those of us not in denial and attempting to replace fact with
fantasy, are blind, not good with figures, or have misplaced our glasses
and are at a loss to locate them!!! <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:335oe.105$uW4.17208413@news.sisna.com...
> http://ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html
>
> http://ah0a.org/FCC/
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
> --
> Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality,
> right before my eyes--in real time!
> Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>
>

2139. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 01:31:17 UTC
From: Alan <what?@web>
Message-ID: <d7r08bbei0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109370

<Lennie's "book" snipped...>

If someone wants to actually discuss something with me, fine.
I've done that for 20 years without insulting anyone...
except those USB-in-the-I/O-port folks. Others who think
they can shoot verbal nasties at me with impugnity will get
much more "return fire" than they expected. Screum.

Best of springtime to you up in the north lands, Leo.

Lennie's Rule Of Thumb when pontificating...

"Never EVER say in one sentence that which can be belaborbed ad nauseum."

2140. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 01:40:49 UTC
From: Alan <what?@web>
Message-ID: <d7r0q7$ci8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109371

Nope, Gopher face Tunder was too chickenshit to get out of his truck, he sat in it while it idled and called Roger on a cell phone. Too chickenshit to come knock on the door. And, he even pointed his truck for a quick getaway, poor thing. He really should have painted the bondo holding that piece of shit truck better,he rust spots showed through. He really could talk tough over the internet and even said he'd be back by for a second visit, but gopher face has yet to show his rat-boy face. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>
> So when is your next appointment with the mental health services?

Never had any to begin with.
So, when is your next court date about your molesting your own grandkids, imaginary pilot's license boy??

--

Where goeth Roger L. Wiseman, so followeth the foul stench of the sewer.

2141. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 01:46:31 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_ZednZPoaMGvlTzfRVn-1w@comcast.com>
Source record: 109372

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:0T6oe.126$2e7.82@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:t9ednbKCbcNpcT3fRVn-sQ@comcast.com...
>>
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1117794112.205468.260040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > vshah1010@comcast.net wrote:
>> >> KC8GXW wrote:
>> >
>> > VIPPY! Your're back!
>> >
>> >> The definition you quoted says"Amateur Operator". There is no mention
>> >> of the word "ham" in the definition.
>>
>> GROAN.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>
> Hello, Dee
>
> I see you got suckered into reading this thread too ... :)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

I wasn't groaning about the thread but about Vipul rejoining the group with the same old tired rants that he had before. He thinks that hamfests and ham radio should be a place where he can pick up sexy girls. Since he keeps getting that illusion shattered, he rants and raves about it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2142. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 02:02:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cZ7oe.142$k32.24196113@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109376

Well, I do like the women to at least have all their teeth...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:_ZednZPoaMGvlTzfRVn-1w@comcast.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:0T6oe.126$2e7.82@news01.roc.ny...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:t9ednbKCbcNpcT3fRVn-sQ@comcast.com...
>>>
>>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1117794112.205468.260040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> > vshah1010@comcast.net wrote:
>>> >> KC8GXW wrote:
>>> >
>>> > VIPPY! Your're back!
>>> >
>>> >> The definition you quoted says"Amateur Operator". There is no
>>> >> mention
>>> >> of the word "ham" in the definition.
>>>
>>> GROAN.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Hello, Dee
>>
>> I see you got suckered into reading this thread too ... :)
>>
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>
> I wasn't groaning about the thread but about Vipul rejoining the group
> with the same old tired rants that he had before. He thinks that
> hamfests and ham radio should be a place where he can pick up sexy
> girls. Since he keeps getting that illusion shattered, he rants and
> raves about it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

>

2143. Re: Sky is falling!!! Everyone has alzheimers,unable to save even themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 02:11:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <g58oe.145$8p2.24224906@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109375

```
... oh, I forgot, anyone who bothers us with real facts and figures and
goes out and asks questions and attempts to get a real clue of what is
going on, and doesn't join up with the good ole boys club here,
responding with a loud, "AYE!!!" to the partyline, on command--why we
will call him a troll...

... only danger to you guys is if enough figure out what is going on
here... the truth--it is out there...

Warmest regards,
John
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:8E7oe.135$fW.23859326@news.sisna.com...
> ... and those of us not in denial and attempting to replace fact with
> fantasy, are blind, not good with figures, or have misplaced our
> glasses and are at a loss to locate them!!! <grin>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:335oe.105$uW4.17208413@news.sisna.com...
>> http://ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html
>>
>> http://ah0a.org/FCC/
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>> --
>> Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality,
>> right before my eyes--in real time!
>> Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>>
>>
>
>
```

2144. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 02:43:58 UTC
From: Blue Cornchips <slackmoose4@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6682c$42a11562$d1cc4919$1760@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 109373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Shamelessly stolen from alt.tasteless:

(a real laugh riot! Great ways on how 2 insult foreign tech support monkeys
!!)

"Auto" <auto91179@hushmail.com> wrote in message
news:1117834522.890714.109380@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Indian call staff quit over abuse on the line
>
> Abuse from British and American customers is driving increasing numbers
> of Indian call centre workers from their jobs, defeated by the strain
> of handling persistent rudeness.
>
> Irate customers was cited as one of the main industry stress factors in
> a recent survey of call centre staff and some organisations have begun
> employing psychiatrists and counsellors to help employees to cope.
>
> 'I've had people tell me, "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",
> said Eugene, 27, whose former employer, Spectrumind, provided an
> accounts services for BT. 'There was a lot of racist abuse once people
> detected from our accents that we weren't English. I saw girls reduced
> to tears by it.'
>
> Pooja Chopra, 29, from Delhi, who spent two years fielding calls for BT
> Cellnet and America Online, faced similar abuse. 'People would say,
> "You're a Paki, I don't want to talk to you, pass me to someone who can
> speak my language".
>
> Workers face a spectrum of rudeness - from sexual harassment to fury at
> unsolicited sales calls, to open racism. Industry analysts have seen
> the phenomenon of racist clients grow in recent years, as customers in
> the UK and the US become increasingly sensitive to the political issue
> of jobs outsourced to India.
>
> Shyamanuja Das, editor of Global Outsourcing magazine, which published
> a study on the stress factors triggering call centre resignations, said
> that hostility from clients was one of the factors which caused workers
> to quit - 25 per cent of those questioned said client vitriol was a
> major cause of stress.
>
> 'The anger in the West over job losses and fear about offshoring has
> made this a growing problem. Some people call up with deliberately
> difficult questions. Most just say things like: "You're from India. You
> don't know anything. I don't want to speak to you", he said.

> Vijay Mukhi, a call centre analyst, said websites have sprung up in the US giving phone numbers of companies which use call centres in India, and listing Hindi swear words to be used to abuse staff. 'When you move jobs away from a country, there's going to be a lot of pent-up frustration which gets let out on Indian workers,' he said.
>
> As staff turnover is a major problem, with some companies battling an annual departure rate of 60-70 per cent, organisations are taking radical steps to help staff to deal with abuse. In recent months some firms have decided to provide psychological support to their workers. Sanjay Salooja's Delhi-based firm, Empower, has 20 trained counsellors who tour the city's largest call centres, providing support to harassed employees.
>
> 'Most employees are very young and don't have the skills to allow them to cope with this kind of abuse,' he said. Workers are already feeling the stress of having to work through the night and are under extreme pressure to meet productivity targets. 'They are vulnerable anyway, and an abusive call really knocks confidence. They don't want to take another call for an hour or two, and their performance is impacted.'
>
> The idea of consulting therapists remains taboo in much of Indian society, but the stigma is waning. 'Our research shows that about 50 per cent of workers would like the chance to receive counselling,' Deepal Raheja, one of the programme's psychiatrists, said.
>
> The therapists try to help staff realise that the abuse is not personal and to put things in perspective, he said. 'Somebody I counselled was very upset after a British customer had asked for an address near Trafalgar Square and he had to admit he didn't know where Trafalgar Square was. His customer became very abusive, and the incident really dented his self-esteem,' he said.
>
> Some companies still specify staff must anglicise their names, adopting forenames such as Mary and John, to try to stave off resentment.
>
> There are no unions yet to represent the 350,000 workers in the Indian call centre business, but unionist Gautam Mody, who is trying to launch the first call centre workers' collective, said this was a problem that needed to be addressed urgently abroad. 'Some workers are deeply hurt by this abuse. The issue of xenophobia cannot be resolved from this end; there must be a battle against it in the countries responsible.'
>
> More organisations have started to let staff hang up on persistently rude customers (formerly a sackable offence), after warning them three times to mind their language. Trainers try to help new staff understand the different cultural forms of rudeness they are likely to encounter.
>
> 'British customers can be very rude but in a polite way,' Anita

> Bhuttar, training vice-president of GTL, a Mumbai-based company, said.
> 'Usually they won't use abusive language but you can tell from the tone
> of their voice they're angry.'
>
> 'I found it difficult to work for British clients,' Pooja Chopra said.
> 'They wouldn't call you names, but you could hear the hostility in
> their voices. The US customers were generally much more easy-going.'
>
> http://observer.guardian.co.uk/international/story/0,6903,1494871,00.html
>

2145. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 03:17:56 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <E39oe.42532$tt5.1042@edtnps90>
Source record: 109378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Blue Cornchips wrote:
>
>
>>> Vijay Mukhi, a call centre analyst, said websites have sprung up in the
>>> US giving phone numbers of companies which use call centres in India,
>>> and listing Hindi swear words to be used to abuse staff. 'When you move
>>> jobs away from a country, there's going to be a lot of pent-up
>>> frustration which gets let out on Indian workers,' he said.
>
>
> There might not be so much frustration if they could understand enough
> English to understand your problem. I delt with a help desk in India
> over a credit card issue...never could get it across what my problem was
> no matter how many ways I attempted to expalin it, when I asked to speak
> to a manager, she hung up.
> Maybe these companies will finally get the message that customers are
> getting tired of dealing with people who can't understand enough English
> to be of any help and bring the support centers back to the U.S. Don't
> hold your breath.

It's worse than you think. The US politicians seem addicted to using overseas
call centres. You'd think they would keep the jobs at home, being your public
servants and all...

==========

Georgia is one of 32 states using the same two call centers in India and another
in Mexico for Spanish-speaking callers.

http://www.11alive.com/specials/usworld/india/india_article.aspx?storyid=49513
==========

mike

2146. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 05:13:40 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117862020.670495.264380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109379

From: Leo <nom...@noway.com> on Fri,Jun 3 2005 6:55 pm

>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>news:1117684663.581302.175470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>From: Leo <nom...@noway.com> on Wed, 01 Jun 2005 18:27:59 -0400

>> LenAnder...@ieee.org
>>.............................?.......
>
>
>Oh oh! He's doing it again!
>
>Jim! Len's a Russian!

Da, Comrade Leo! I am KGB, parashoot in from Bear bumber
vid agents Brubitsch, Borbitsch, and Garbitsch!

Dosvedanya.

2147. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 06:33:19 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117866799.094403.305720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109380

From: Leo on Fri 3 Jun 2005 18:45

>On 2 Jun 2005 17:21:36 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>Leo wrote:
>>> On 1 Jun 2005 15:39:42 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

<etc.>

>>Here's a simple, direct question:
>>
>>Do you think Len's little piece on the "Tomb of the Unknown Solder" is
>>funny? Or is it an insult to the Unknowns? Or is
>>it something else?
>
>Personally, I saw it for the humorous parody that it was intended to
>be - not 'laugh out loud' funny, but humourous (and rather witty!)
>nevertheless.

Actually, it is a sharp and pointed piece of satiric sarcasm
that is unmistakably aimed at our Last Action Hero (seven
times) in here. :-)

>I understand that some may find that particular subject to be one that
>should not be parodied - but, considering the obvious pride that the
>author has in both his own military service and the service of others,
>I would strongly doubt that any disrespect whatsoever was intended to
>those whose ultimate sacrifice is honoured by the Tomb of the Unknown
>Soldier.

There's a very simple ceremony done in the U.S. military,
or at least was, and I'm not sure of other branches
besides Army. It is called "Retreat" and done at the
beginning of sundown, coincident with lowering the Flag.
Most times it is done simply by a group accompanying the
Flag handlers. Sometimes it has a simple dedication to an
individual or individuals. One "stands a Retreat" out of
respect, to honor all that went before. Anyone who "stands
a Retreat" will understand. Others, especially those never
having served, will dismiss it as simply lowering the flag,
just another excuse by the military for some kind of ceremony.
They cannot feel the ceremony within. They are not a Part
of it.

There's another curious-to-non-military-persons custom or
rather attitude which pertains to Unit Recognition. Navy
personnel express that by a pride in "their" ship. Other

branches will identify with their Unit or work identity. It is sometimes referred to (technically) as Unit Cohesiveness or (familiarly) as Teamwork or perhaps just inwardly as Pride in what one does.

In my case I had that sense of Unit with the Signal Battalion I was assigned to. I had no choice in which unit, yet grew to feel a part of it, of identifying it as "my" battalion. I took pride in doing my assigned work. I learned of the unit's origin, how it changed over time, saw how it kept changing while I was there...and kept track of it even long after my Honorable Discharge was given me in 1960.

What I find truly abhorrent, distasteful, disrespectful, and quite sickening is the charges of "dishonor" leveled by a hateful little emotional loose cannon in here done for no other reason than he hates some people and cannot hold back his rage and anger. What adds to the abhorrence, distaste, and disrespect is the self-perceived NON-serving veteran who wants to "chide" others for their "mistakes" about the U.S. military when they are (supposedly) U.S. citizens. Their whole reason for their "charges" are based on nothing but trying to "win" some argument over a subject...using those rather obvious false charges which have NO relationship to the subject.

Simple summation: Jimmie be too uptight and anal; he needs to get laid.

>My $.02 .

...and now mine...plus shipping charges...Jimmie gets bill.

This has departed from "amateur radio" subjects but, given, the overall anal-retentive attitude in here of trying to tie together military forces with a HOBBY involving radio (which is NO tie at all), I felt it had to be said.

We can now resume the usual PCTA Extra Double Standard banner waving long in progress. The Morsebirds are twittering in full song...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2148. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 06:35:40 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117866940.385798.132830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109381

From: "Dee Flint" on Fri 3 Jun 2005 19:42

>"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
>news:1d-dnWeqRoMeNALfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...

>Look up the "Amateur's Code". I'm sure there's a copy floating around the
>internet somewhere.

...including the ARRL who first published it well before WW2.

Note especially #2: "The Amateur is Loyal...He offers his
loyalty, encouragement and support for his fellow radio
amateurs, his local club, and to the American Radio Relay
League, through which amateur radio is represented."

It has been in the front of annual ARRL Handbooks in the
1970s and should be on the ARRL website now.

Oh, my, a bit self-serving the League was, wasn't it?

In the 1920s the ARRL had not yet attained their virtual
monopoly on national amateur radio membership organizations
in the USA. The ARRL was striving for being #1 then and
were starting to get ahead through the first of its many
publications. That sort of self-serving "patriotism" was
designed to (subtly) develop League Loyalty then. It's a
GOOD technique for organization survival. It WORKED.

"Through which amateur radio is represented." NOT quite a
full truth. The ARRL is most definitely NOT a true
government representative for the radio amateur. The ARRL
membership is only 1 in 5 of all radio amateur licensees.
EVERY U.S. citizen's TRUE representatives, radio amateur
or not, is their elected government officials and the FCC
itself. All of us have the perfect RIGHT to go direct to
the FCC and voice our own opinions on radio regulatory
matters. There is NO NEED (except by the ARRL itself to
survive) to use the League as an "amateur's representative"
to our government.

The ARRL "represents" ONLY the valid, licensed amateur and
then only 1 in 5. All others can forget any possibility of
"representation" to our government. That's just the way
it is...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2149. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 07:26:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117869978.139812.142340@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109382

Dee Flint wrote:

> He thinks that hamfests and
> ham radio should be a place where he can pick up sexy girls. Since he keeps
> getting that illusion shattered, he rants and raves about it.

Ah-ah-ahhhhhhhhh, Dee! let's get the "terminology" right...

...it's "preeti fems"...

73!

Steve, K4YZ

2150. More on Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 07:32:44 UTC
From: Laughing At Lennie <what?@web>
Message-ID: <d7rle2$g10$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109383

Da, Comrade Leo! I am KGB, parashoot in from Bear bumber
vid agents Brubitsch, Borbitsch, and Garbitsch!

Dosvedanya.

//
/////

Leninie, old Lennie..

You are such the Groucho Marx of this group.

Your self-serving 'humor', real or perceived, affords us hour upon hour of poring over your never ending and overly verbose attempts at rivaling the comedians you attempt to emulate.

Oh, wait. You have no desire to emulate others, do you? You perceive yourself as a unique individual who, in his seventy plus years, entertains himself with banal nitpicking coupled with a healthy dose of overbearing condescension.

You live in a smug little corner of your making, Len. Thank goodness you live there alone. It is obviously a lonely corner.

As was posted prior, thank you for the humor. You are perhaps one of the most talented of Trolls in these groups even though you often err with the basics.

You are very good at doing Back Flips when so doing serves your purposes.

So there you have it, Lennie. Age aside, many of us enjoy your Septgenarian diatribes/comments and find great humor in same. We shall bear with you as you author five, six, and ten or more paragraphs. We won't read them, but we will bear with you as we fast forward to the bottom and look for the "punch line", if indeed there is one.

And, Lennie. Just as Leo is a 'real' and accepted entity (in your eyes), and a "real" Ham, so also is Arf! Arf! Because you accept one and deny the other has little to do with their existance. Your self-exalted opinion of which of the two is 'real' has (Gasp!) no bearing in this group.

Nobody cares. Your opinion, Len, means squat.

2151. Lennie Is A Nit Who Should be Picked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 07:38:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117870699.029962.219710@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109384

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Leo on Fri 3 Jun 2005 18:45

> What I find truly abhorrent, distasteful, disrespectful,
> and quite sickening is the charges of "dishonor" leveled
> by a hateful little emotional loose cannon in here done
> for no other reason than he hates some people and cannot
> hold back his rage and anger.

You ARE dishonorable, Lennie.

Over the course of 3 years or so you've repeated these stories
about Soldiers you didn' know who died in combat before yo were even
inducted in such a way as to associate YOUR lame rear-area,
non-combatant radio mechanic duty with them for your own
glorification..

That was disgusting enough.

Now you've tried to score your self-proclaimed "newsgroup
messaging points" with this purile "parody" of the Tomb of the
Unknowns.

I just can't manifest language intense enough to describe how vile
I find THAT without using profanity in a public forum

Lastly, you do so while insulting the participants in an avocation
for which you have utter disgust, have blatantly lied about, and have
no intention what-so-ever of being the least bit helpful for.

"Scumbag" pretty well sums it up.

In short, "we" don't really care what YOU find "abhorrent,
distasteful and disrespectable"...because you ARE 'abhorrent,
distasteful and disrespectful'.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2152. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 07:41:06 UTC
From: Laughing At Lennie <what?@web>
Message-ID: <d7rltqgri0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109385

Len takes yet another leap toward making friends in the Amateur Radio community...

2153. Educating Brian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 07:50:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117871414.474781.245570@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109386

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > No. The longer the rebuttal attempt, the more likely it is that the
> > original opinion was correct. Hence the direct proportionality.
>
> > Sorry, Brain...You've screwed the pooch...Again...
>
> "Screwed the pooch?" It that a marine corps thing?

Marine Corps is capitalized, just like Air Force, Brain...

> And why can't you explain why you posted my street address on RRAP?

I didn't post your "street address" on RRAP, Brain...

A street address consists of a house number, apartment or suite if
applicable, and the name or number of the street.

EG: 151 12th Avenue Northwest. That is a "street address, albeit
not a complete "mailing address".

A "mailing address" consists of a full street address or
appropriate box number, a city, state and postal code where postal
codes are employed. The name of the country of the destination address
is the last line if different from the originating address.

I'd think after having been deployed overseas and, I assume,
having mailed things home before that you'd kow this bit of
information.

So...you want to try again...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2154. Re: Lennie Is A Nit Who Should be Picked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 07:50:23 UTC
From: Laughing At Lennie <what?@web>
Message-ID: <d7rmf5$hl7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109387

You ARE dishonorable, Lennie.

Over the course of 3 years or so you've repeated these stories
about Soldiers you didn' know who died in combat before yo were even
inducted in such a way as to associate YOUR lame rear-area,
non-combatant radio mechanic duty with them for your own
glorification..

That was disgusting enough.

Now you've tried to score your self-proclaimed "newsgroup
messaging points" with this purile "parody" of the Tomb of the
Unknowns.

I just can't manifest language intense enough to describe how vile
I find THAT without using profanity in a public forum

Lastly, you do so while insulting the participants in an avocation
for which you have utter disgust, have blatantly lied about, and have
no intention what-so-ever of being the least bit helpful for.

"Scumbag" pretty well sums it up.

In short, "we" don't really care what YOU find "abhorrent,
distasteful and disrespectable"...because you ARE 'abhorrent,
distasteful and disrespectful'.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

Wow! You pretty much put the icing on Lennie's cake, Steve.
Of course it goes without saying that Lennie will HAVE to post a three
chapter response.

You know Len. Never say in one sentence that which he can better say in 18
paragraphs.
Bets are on that Len will post a novel in response, though none of us will
read it.

2155. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 07:58:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117871896.605787.131520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109388

KØHB wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
> > As I look at it, hams are all a bunch which want to destroy the hobby and
> > watch it die as freqs are stripped away and their numbers become too small to
> > be of interest to anyone, let alone the FCC... they would ONLY do this if they
> > wanted the hobby to die--but for some strange reason--wish to claim
> > otherwise!!! ... go figure...
>
> -- THE LAST HAM --

Snipppppppppppppppppppppppppppppppp ! ! !

Hans, why don't you just go out to the barn, put a rope over the
rafters, tie it off so one end is about 7 feet in the air.

Then loop one end around your neck while standing on a ladder.

Then rock the ladder until it falls away, leaving you and your
cynicism to swing in the cool Minnesota breeze.

Sheeesh. Why get up and shave tomorrow...?!?! Why not just go
ahead and cut your throat if the future is so bleak to you...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2156. Re: Lennie Is A Nit Who Should be Picked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 11:57:13 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <_emdne_b9YX_ITzfRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109395

K4YZ wrote:

> In short, "we" don't really care what YOU find "abhorrent,
> distasteful and disrespectable"...because you ARE 'abhorrent,
> distasteful and disrespectful'.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Don't know why anyone pays *any* attention to lennieboy, he isn't a ham,
too dumb/lazy to become one so he has no skin in the game. What he has
to say is of no revelence at all. Just ignore him completely and maybe
he will eventually go away.

2157. Re: Educating Brian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 12:34:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tdhoe.577$4u6.459@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109389

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> A "mailing address" consists of a full street address or
> appropriate box number, a city, state and postal code where postal
> codes are employed. The name of the country of the destination address
> is the last line if different from the originating address.
>

Thank you, Captain Obvious. Perhaps that information was useful to someone.
Out in the barn.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2158. Re: Educating Brian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 12:37:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117888665.266537.7660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109390

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > No. The longer the rebuttal attempt, the more likely it is that the
> > > original opinion was correct. Hence the direct proportionality.
> >
> > > Sorry, Brain...You've screwed the pooch...Again...
> >
> > "Screwed the pooch?" It that a marine corps thing?
>
> Marine Corps is capitalized, just like Air Force, Brain...

Who could tell? You usually capitalize every other word.

> > And why can't you explain why you posted my street address on RRAP?
>
> I didn't post your "street address" on RRAP, Brain...
>
> A street address consists of a house number, apartment or suite if
> applicable, and the name or number of the street.
>
> EG: 151 12th Avenue Northwest. That is a "street address, albeit
> not a complete "mailing address".
>
> A "mailing address" consists of a full street address or
> appropriate box number, a city, state and postal code where postal
> codes are employed. The name of the country of the destination address
> is the last line if different from the originating address.
>
> I'd think after having been deployed overseas and, I assume,
> having mailed things home before that you'd kow this bit of
> information.
>
> So...you want to try again...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

"Lessee....430"

What was that supposed to mean?

Why must you dodge? Why must you use weasle words? Why can't you
answer the question?

2159. Re: Educating Brian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 12:45:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117889115.935283.7710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109391

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > A "mailing address" consists of a full street address or
> > appropriate box number, a city, state and postal code where postal
> > codes are employed. The name of the country of the destination address
> > is the last line if different from the originating address.
> >
>
> Thank you, Captain Obvious. Perhaps that information was useful to someone.
> Out in the barn.
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB

Steve won't answer my question, won't "educate" me. Steve won't say what he meant by "Lessee....430." Steve dodges. Steve won't be a "man" about it and accept responsibility for his actions. It's like he's anonymous WRT "Lessee....430."

Steve fears something.

2160. Look, it's Dodge Robeson...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 12:54:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117889645.197978.75610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109392

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Fri 3 Jun 2005 03:51
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: bb on Jun 2, 6:27 pm
> >>
> >> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> bb wrote:
> >>
> >> >Christy said that the longer the reply, the more likely it is that the
> >> >original opinion was correct.
> >>
> >> "Christy," singing like a New Minstrel, might belong to that
> >> irritated group that gets tired tracing out more than 50
> >> words with their finger... :-)
> >>
> >Who's to say?
>
> I know...:-) But, we have the solitary tomb sentinel busy
> "guarding" the territory for ANY opinions other than his own.
>
> We are stuck with the Avenging Angle of Dearth. Ho hum.
>
> Eventually the "pop" will be an artery and he will become
> the usual vegetable garden typical to stroke victims. We
> will be able to see that while we are still alive. :-)
>
> That is going to put a damper on his Class A behavior and
> incessant machismo attitude of always "being right" (in his
> own mind). His stress is building. Tsk.

Notice how the coward won't explain what he meant by "Lessee...430." I
need to start tabulating the dodges like the time I kept track of his
new lies for three weeks.

> >> >Len has long replies. Sometimes really, really long replies. Do you
> >> >want to argue that, too?
> >>
> >> The Avenging Angle of Dearth will ARGUE-FIGHT-INSULT anything
> >> I write in here. :-)
> >>
> >I tire of Steve's incessant lying. I tire of his peers always giving
> >him a pass on his tirades. They're all a pretty useless assembly of
> >Extras.
>

> Understand fully. They aren't ever going to be role models
> of anything they way they act and posture in here.

Steven J. Robeson has soiled his father's name.

> Many years ago at a division of a national corporation there
> was a long-time Amateur Extra near retirement age. He was a
> good sort, knew his stuff, never tried to put his hobby into
> the forefront as a Lifestyle. He also had a mischevious
> sense of humor and was quite tolerant of younger staff getting
> way too overworked over their hobby discussions. When he
> found a couple of radio amateurs engaged in a heated argument
> he would listen a minute or so, then casually interrupt with
> "Say, did you know that ham is the butchered meat of swine?"
>
> The arguers would be momentarily stunned. He would grin
> slightly and amble away, the grin growing. He had the right
> balance of priorities. He retired and showed up at some L.A.
> Council meetings since. Lost track of him. I've never
> forgotten that (correct) statement he made more than once.
> :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Careful, now. You might upset the mighty machismo morsemen, especially
"Jimmy the Riveter."

2161. My uncle-daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 13:14:56 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d7s9mt$lc0$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 109394

Home channel 23

http://www.tomservo.cc/show.aspx/redneck.gif

2162. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 13:17:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117891034.533270.123230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109393

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Wed 1 Jun 2005 23:00
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 31 May 2005 15:55

> >> It's not. But when you lecture the newsgroup on "young'uns
> >> in ham
> >> radio", your lack of experience is relevant, don't you think?

> > Lennie's not a parent, if we follow his own logic.

Not exactly correct. He's never mentioned being a parent, that's all.
Given his tendency to tell us all about himself in extreme detail, it's
unlikely he is a parent, but not impossible.

> The United States military used NON-morse HF communications
> for the major tactical/strategic radio communications since
> 1948.

What does that have to do with "young'uns in ham radio", Len?
btw, the US military *did* use Morse Code for a variety of
communications purposes long after 1948.

> >Therefore, that being the case, and Lennie having failed to
> >discuss his successful procreation and subsequent rearing of
> >offspring, we can conclude that it never happened.

We can *presume* that.
>
> Stebie, in his RAGE and ANGER, has lost his bearings on what
> this newsgroup is about. Hint: It is about radio amateur
> policy matters. Pediatrics is NOT the subject.

Actually it's about "young'uns in ham radio". The experiences
of parents do have a direct bearing on that subject.

> >> > You are very wrought-up on this subject of "parenting."
> >> > Why? Why for discussion of amateur radio policy?
> >>
> >> Look at the subject line.
> >
> > Lennie claims to be an engineer, but can't seem to make
> >notes of the "details"...
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Both "Quitefine"

??

> and Stebie (Assistant NCOIC
> of Rage and Anger among the PCTA) don't understand that
> "young ones" are not necessarily just their "own" offspring.

Babysitting is not the same thing as being a parent, Len.
Good parents are responsible 100% of the time. They can't
give the kid back.

> Stebie, the self-appointed "ethnic puritan" of this
> newsgroup,
> couldn't make it on his own as a Purchasing Agent of a small
> electronics company, yet claims/postures/implies that he
> "knows" all about radio-electronics engineering, what the
> engineers know, what the engineers do, etc., etc., etc.
> CLAIMS. Brags. Posturing. Stebie's forte' as a mighty
> macho morseman.
>
> I make no "claim" of engineering. I AM one and have been for
> many years. My professional occupation.

Old Russian saying: "Trust, but verify" ;-)
>
> Stebie is a NURSE.

Among other things.

> (James Miccolis) will NOT
> reveal what HE works on or for.

That's right.

Is there *any* job I could hold that would change your mind
about me and my opinions, Len?

> ..other than letting slip once
> that he "works in vehicular technology" (in one of his
> comments on one of the 18 Petitions for amateur radio
> restructuring.

If that's what you got from those comments, you need to
work on your reading comprehension, Len. I doubt very much
that "works in vehicular technology" was in my comments.

> "Quitefine" is NOT a member of the worldwide
> Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE), a
> professional association.

Neither am I, Len.

Membership in any professional organization has nothing to
do with the qualifications to be an amateur radio operator.
Nor does it have anything to do with "young'uns in ham radio".

So why go on about it?
>
> >> That pretty much says it all - *you* have a problem
> >> including young
> >> people. The surreal part is that you're neither a
> >> parent nor a radio amateur.
> >
> > Lennie doesn't let little things like "no practical
> > experience"
> > keep him from expressing an "expert" opinion on a great many > > range of issues.
>
> The "surreal" part of Miccolis and Robeson's diatribes is
> that:
>
> 1. No pediatrician is required to be a "parent."

But *all* pediatricians are required to have extensive training
and practical experience with children. They are required to be
certified and licensed in a variety of ways to do their jobs.

Are you a pediatrician, Len? Are you trained, licensed, and/or
certified in *any* medical/pediatric specialty?

> There is NO
> such "requirement" in any academic organization to "be a
> parent" in ANY degreed/titled work involving children OR
> procreation of children.

But *all* in those jobs are required to have extensive training
and practical experience with children. They are required to be
certified and licensed in a variety of ways to do their jobs.

Are you a teacher, Len? Are you trained, licensed, and/or
certified in *any* educational/academic specialty?

> 2. (Miccolis) seems to disregard laws of physics
> in that ALL radios work by the SAME physical laws,
> regardless of human designations as to their application.

That's just plain wrong, Len.

> [inconceivable that a claimed double-degree individual
> would insist that ONLY licensed radio amateurs (who have
> obtained a federal merit badge only in amateurism) can
> express any opinion at all.

It's inconceivable because it's not true, Len. I've never said
that you or anyone else can not or should not give their
opinion.

What I have done is to point out your lack of qualification
and experience in certain areas.

You, on the other hand, have actually told people to shut up.

Big difference.
>
> 3. In the United States of America, ANY citizen has the
> RIGHT
> to comment to their government on ANY law or regulation
> REGARDLESS of whether or not they have any "license" or
> other "authorization" to "operate" under some rules or
> regulations.

Who has tried to deny you that right, Len? Not me.
>
> 4. Neither Miccolis nor Robeson have ANY
> "authority" to RULE on U.S. amateur radio regulations,

Nor do you, Len.

> yet the seek to bar, to subjugate, to eliminate ANYONE
> BUT licensed radio amateurs from even talking about it.

Len, that's complete and utter bullshit. There's just no
other word for it.

I challenge you to show *any* evidence or example where I have
tried "to bar, to subjugate, to eliminate ANYONE BUT licensed radio
amateurs from even talking" about *anything*.

C'mon, Len. You've made that bullshit claim over and over again.
Now show us where I ever did anything of the sort.

I don't think you can.

> Apparently those two control freaks do not understand
> that NO FCC Commissioner or staff member is "required"
> to possess an amateur radio license in order to offcially
> regulate U.S. amateur radio.

SHow us your evidence, Len.
>
> 5. Miccolis- has NOT YET stated how many offspring
> HE has parented.

Why should I? Would it make any difference to you?

> He implies he has

Where?

> but the number, gender,
> are all big unknowns.

What difference would it make?
>
> >> Your answer indicates what many have long suspected: that you
> >> expect to be instantly recognized as an expert without having
> >> to meet the requirements for a license.
> >

> > It was suggested to Lennie that he take some of this
> > energy and
> >submit a proposal to the FCC to allow "engineers" either a free pass
> >or some sort of a "bridge" exam to get licensure.
> >
> > Never did it.

Good thing, too. It's a terrible idea.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. A NON-applicable "request" NOT required.
>
> That so-called "request" was simply a MISDIRECTION to try to
> stop any further talk on ELIMINATION OF THE MORSE CODE TEST
> for an amateur radio license.
>
> As is quite obvious under any U.S. citizens' RIGHTS under the
> Constitution (of the United States, NOT the
> ARRL), "licensure"
> in amateur radio is NOT REQUIRED to talk about GETTING INTO
> amateur radio. To reiterate, NO FCC Commissioner or Staffer
> is required to possess any amateur radio license in order to
> lawfully regulate U.S. amateur radio.

You're not the FCC, Len.

> Both of these control freaks have been invited to take their
> "authority" and "shove it up their I/O ports."

Gee, Len, you've really made your point.

Seems to me, Len, that you can't take *any* opposition to your
views. If someone points out your lack of qualification or
experience, you think they are telling you to shut up, even
though they're not.
>
> They never did it. However, they might have...and enjoyed
> it.

Is that your idea of a good time, Len? ;-)
>
> >> > Tsk, tsk. A radio does NOT operate by different laws of
> >> > physics because some government agency designates it as
> >> > "amateur."
> >>
> >> So what? "Physics" isn't the only consideration.
> >
> > And Lennie's been asked to please show where ANYone, other than
> >him, has made said issue of it...
> >
> > No answers.
>
> TSK, TSK, TSK. MANY ANSWERS.

Where?

> Apparently these two control
> freaks do not have sufficient reading comprehension...or have
> a psychological inability to separate their fantasies from
> reality.

No, you just don't write well, Len.

> >> Your writing is simply unclear, Len.
> >
> > It's also assinine.
>
> Stebie, the Avenging Angle of Dearth, the newsgroup's
> counterpart to Elfren Saldivar, can only "reply" with a
> series
> of Personal Insults...which is little more than his RAGE and
> ANGER and personal frustration showing clearly.

Sounds like you two have a lot in common, Len....

> >> > Jimmie boy,
> >>
> >> There you go again. Why do you call names like that, Len?
> >> Is there a reason you can't just call me "Jim", the way I
> >> call you "Len"?

Why not just call me "Jim" or "N2EY", Len?

> > That might make you his "peer", Jim...
> >
> > Can't have that!
>
> PCTA Extra Double Standard. "Quitefine" and Stebie both
> "allow" name-calling such as "PUTZ" and "gutless coward"
> to others, yet object to their aliases or diminutive forms
> of their given names.

Well, you can't be talking about me, then. All I call you is "Len" or
"Mr. Anderson". Or maybe some combination of those names.

> Miccolis is NOT my "peer."

Why not, Len?

> He has not identified his actual
> place of employment, has not identified his own "parenthood"
> (which he REQUIRES of others)

No, I don't.

> , and has claimed to be a "radio manufacturer."

Yep. I'm with Southgate Radio. Not my day job, of course.

Here's a hint, Len: "Manufacture" means to make something. So anyone
who makes a radio set is a radio manufacturer.

> Tsk, he is not even a member of any
> professional association.

How do you know?

If I join IEEE, will I become your peer?

> >> And you said there must have been fraud involved.
> >> You accused ARRL and
> >> the VEs involved of dishonesty. Right here. That's a fact.
> >> Shall I
> >> repost those claims?
>
> Tsk, tsk. I wrote, some time ago, that the ARRL "sins by omission."

No, that's not what I'm referring to. It's about the licensing
of six-year-olds.

> They do so often, especially in their publications
> on the history of radio.

Such as? Tell us where it's actually done.

>
> > Our local club recently added two new licensees...both
> > 9....No
> >record, but yet more evidence that there ARE "young 'uns"
> >entering
> >Amateur Radio.
>
> Irrelevant, relatively isolated case.

Why is it irrelevant? It's right on target.

> So, all you mental nine-year-olds, feel good about your sub-
> teen intellectual prowess on passing the TEST. Continue to
> scamper about your "private clubhouse" and generally behave
> emotionally like kiddies about your "superiorities." Sooner
> or later your kinder-kind MIGHT grow up. [I'm losing my
> optimism on that]
>
> The rest of us IN the radio-electronics industry will
> continue
> doing our adult things. We will pat you on the head when you
> are nice and spank you when you misbehave.

Sounds like a threat of violence, Len.

I'd like to see you try!

> Go to your room.

2163. Re: CMD Wiseman Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 13:22:23 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d7si3e$vp1$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 109450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

"Userbeam Remailer" <remailer@userbeam.net> wrote in message
news:9385107595542b6a1f94eb946180d36c@userbeam.net...
> In article <VrSdnZ8eb_1XEgvfRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>>
>> Siegfried Kemper wrote:
>> > Poor "LIAR" Butt Cornholer, he spells like Mongolloyd Davies
> and
>> > has that obsolete license.
>> > He's too stupid and lazy to upgrade to Extra, he makes all
> kinds
>> > of excuses why he holds onto that obsolete ham license, and
>> > makes up lies that he has a pilot's license.
>> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> Gee wogie,
>
> Gee Butt Cornholer, you finally got away from molesting your own
> little children to finally get on your shitty 486 to log on and
> whine about Roger Wiseman some more, you lisping cocksucker!
>
>
I enjoy banging sheep!

http://dali.feld.cvut.cz/kecy/upload/obrazky/redneck.jpg

2164. I had to get my date drunk first before the fun began last night!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 13:33:38 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d7si3h$vp1$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 109451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

http://www.rednecks.info/pictures/redneck-palm-pilot.jpg

2165. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 14:09:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tCioe.166$eo2.26538118@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109396

No, you just face reality, always put real facts, figures on the table, change what you can, attempt to stay relevant, fix what you can immediately, have an outlook that you are in it for the long run and have the patience to wait until the future opens up so other things can be set right later on. Crawling in to a hole, hiding from reality or taking the easy way out with a barn rafter seems to be the method too many are already doing...

John
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1117871896.605787.131520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
> > As I look at it, hams are all a bunch which want to destroy the
> > hobby and
> > watch it die as freqs are stripped away and their numbers become too
> > small to
> > be of interest to anyone, let alone the FCC... they would ONLY do
> > this if they
> > wanted the hobby to die--but for some strange reason--wish to claim
> > otherwise!!! ... go figure...
>
> -- THE LAST HAM --

Snipppppppppppppppppppppppppppppppp ! ! !

Hans, why don't you just go out to the barn, put a rope over the rafters, tie it off so one end is about 7 feet in the air.

Then loop one end around your neck while standing on a ladder.

Then rock the ladder until it falls away, leaving you and your cynicism to swing in the cool Minnesota breeze.

Sheeesh. Why get up and shave tomorrow...?!?! Why not just go ahead and cut your throat if the future is so bleak to you...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2166. Re: test - please ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 14:40:56 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117896056.551539.258400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109397

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> test google

. . . Google has already been tested. Several times.

2167. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 15:37:18 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns966B763D182FDelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 109398

KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in
news:JdydnblZgOoDnzzfRVn-oQ@centurytel.net:

>
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>> Hello, Dan
>>
>> A 1X2 call starting with "W" or "K"? How many of these folks do you
>> think are around that are *not* in possession of a vanity call?
>> C'mon! Give us a break! The original holders of 1X2 calls are
>> mostly, if not entirely, sk. A dear friend of mine, W2ZS (almost the
>> end of the 1X2 calls) has been sk for decades. Like about 3 decades.
>>
>> My original call, issued in 1962, was WN2CJV. That would have made me
>> WB2CJV had I passed the 13 word per minute code test. Unfortunately,
>> it took me another year to get to 13 and I obtained WB2OSP in 1964.
>>
>> With the issuance of vanity call signs, most of the 1X3 calls are gone
>> - mostly vanity call signs. Depending upon the call district, some of
>> the states were as far as a WD prefix.
>>
>> Since I doubt many folks would spend money to get a call sign
>> beginning with WA, WB, etc., those are the folks that you can be
>> certain have been licensed since the early 60s. I did obtain WA3RJX
>> in 1970, however, when I moved to Pennsylvania. Still, had I kept
>> that call, it would be 35 years old! Moving back then might also
>> require a call sign change - along with a "newbie" type call sign.
>>
>> When the FCC allowed extra class licensees to choose their own call
>> sign in the early 70s, I obtained N2JH. At that point, I'm not sure
>> if they would allow you to grab an old expired call.
>>
>> Once the gates were opened for vanity call signs, all bets are off as
>> to how long an amateur has been licensed. My bet would be that the WA
>> and WB type prefixes would be the only ones that would *almost*
>> guarantee the individual has been licensed long enough to qualify for
>> the quarter century club. It won't be long (and may have already
>> happened) that there are some 5 word per minute folks with a 1X2 call
>> (which means fairly new hams).
>
> I know a few with N8*** that are/were 5 wpm and were licensed in 1983
> or earlier, I don't think that would make them fairly new hams. A few
> more years and they will qualify for the quarter century club.

>
>> I have to ask what the heck you were thinking with your response that
>> a 1X2 or 1X3 call indicates an old timer?
>>
>> ???
>> :)
>>
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
>
>

I became eligible for QCWA this year (it goes from January 1st of your 25th year since getting a ham licence of any class anywhere in the world), but there's no chapter near here, AFAIK, which makes me less inclined to join. I was first licenced in the UK (as a no-coder, LOL!) so my US call isn't indicative of when I was first licenced. I'm not sure exactly when the US call allocation system was changed, but I understand that it was more than 25 years ago, so there are some original 1x3 'N' calls that would be eligible for QCWA now. I beleive that someone with an N3C__ call told me it was issued in 1980.

73 de Alun, G8VUK, N3KIP

Licensed since 29th February 1980

2168. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 15:40:20 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <EXjoe.15296$w21.489@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109399

I was referring to the fact that W/K prefix followed by a number then two or three letters is considered an old timer callsign.

The fact that the FCC has totally screwed that up is not relevant.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:1S6oe.125$2e7.7@news01.roc.ny...
> Hello, Dan
>
> A 1X2 call starting with "W" or "K"? How many of these folks do you think
> are around that are *not* in possession of a vanity call? C'mon! Give us
> a
> break! The original holders of 1X2 calls are mostly, if not entirely, sk.
> A dear friend of mine, W2ZS (almost the end of the 1X2 calls) has been sk
> for decades. Like about 3 decades.
>
> My original call, issued in 1962, was WN2CJV. That would have made me
> WB2CJV had I passed the 13 word per minute code test. Unfortunately, it
> took me another year to get to 13 and I obtained WB2OSP in 1964.
>
> With the issuance of vanity call signs, most of the 1X3 calls are gone -
> mostly vanity call signs. Depending upon the call district, some of the
> states were as far as a WD prefix.
>
> Since I doubt many folks would spend money to get a call sign beginning
> with
> WA, WB, etc., those are the folks that you can be certain have been
> licensed
> since the early 60s. I did obtain WA3RJX in 1970, however, when I moved
> to
> Pennsylvania. Still, had I kept that call, it would be 35 years old!
> Moving back then might also require a call sign change - along with a
> "newbie" type call sign.
>
> When the FCC allowed extra class licensees to choose their own call sign
> in
> the early 70s, I obtained N2JH. At that point, I'm not sure if they would
> allow you to grab an old expired call.
>
> Once the gates were opened for vanity call signs, all bets are off as to
> how
> long an amateur has been licensed. My bet would be that the WA and WB
> type

> prefixes would be the only ones that would *almost* guarantee the
> individual
> has been licensed long enough to qualify for the quarter century club. It
> won't be long (and may have already happened) that there are some 5 word
> per
> minute folks with a 1X2 call (which means fairly new hams).
>
> I have to ask what the heck you were thinking with your response that a
> 1X2
> or 1X3 call indicates an old timer?
>
> ???
> :)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Y5One.13076$M36.259@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
>> news:1d-dnWeqRoMeNALfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...
>> > What makes a person a real ham?
>> > Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
>> A "Real Ham" is proficient in all forms and modes of communications. One
> of
>> the primary purposes of Amateur Radio is to provide a pool of qualified
>> radio operators in the event of emergency. Thus being able to use Morse
>> Code at anything but training wheel speed is a big plus.
>>
>>
>> > Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
>>
>> No, not entirely. But being able to assimilate with minimum friction is
>> a
>> big help. In otherwords don't show up with a "I know it all attitude"
>> and
>> have a bit of respect for those that have been there before you.
>>
>> > Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
>> > license they hold now?
>>
>> That does have a bearing on things. Don't come on with the above
>> attitute
>> holding a Technician ticket for 6 months, and expect to be treating with
>> respect. Respect is earned me boy.
>>
>> > Can a person climb to Extra with today's test and be considered a real

>> > ham?
>>
>> No, not really. Must I elaborate on the obvious?
>>
>> If one does that wonderous dead and then EARNS the respect of those other
>> hams. A lot of what I said above is bypassed. Respect, Earned those
> are
>> the key words here.
>>
>> > Is yodoc aka K3LT a real ham, or the one to determine who is a real
>> > ham?
>>
>> Don't know the man.
>>
>> > Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
>> > license" ever be considered a real ham?
>>
>> Sure...see above.
>>
>> > Does getting a vanity license that is an older call such as K8*** or
>> > WD8*** make you a real ham?
>>
>> A WD8? a joke right? Try W or K ...one by two or three, non vanity of
>> course. Thats an old timer.
>>
>> As a matter of fact the FCC once considered the W/K prefix "prefered
> calls".
>> And at one time the FCC required you to change your call if you
>> permanetly
>> moved to another district. And in deference to the W/K....if you had one
>> they would issue another from the prefered block.
>>
>> Which is how I got my present call (W4NTI) because I used to have K8BHH.
>> Course that all went to crap with the alphabet soup system of today.
>>
>>
>> > I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a
>> > cb'er
>> > or no coder as the toad says?
>>
>> No, just a no coder. Unless of course you did pass a 5wpm test. Then
> you
>> would be a Technician. Hi hi.
>>
>>
>> > I'm not being a troll, I would really like to know the answers to this!
>> >
>>
>> Hope that helps a bit.
>>

>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
>

2169. Re: What makes Dan a loser?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 15:40:54 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d7si8r$9c$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 109452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:EXjoe.15296$w21.489@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I was referring to the fact that W/K prefix followed by a number then two
>or three letters is considered an old timer callsign.
>
> The fact that the FCC has totally screwed that up is not relevant.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
Nice spin, loser. What you think is not relevant.
>

2170. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 16:02:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117899894.175065.67800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109453

K4YZ wrote:
> vshah1010@comcast.net wrote:
> > KC8GXW wrote:
>
> VIPPY! Your're back!

Hello Vipul.

> > The definition you quoted says"Amateur Operator". There is no mention
> > of the word "ham" in the definition.
>
> Oh crap....same old rhetoric...I see you haven't changed!

He see's that you haven't either.

> > "Ham" is a label given to certain people with a certain belief system.
>
> What "belief systems", Vipul...?!?!
>
> "Belief systems" is a catch-phrase you usurped from Lennie
> Anderson. Lennie is NOT a good role model to scam lines from.

People don't have belief systems?

> > Hams like antennas, and Morse code. They usually don't like the
> > technical aspect of the hobby (except for antennas).
>
> (Here we go again....)

(There YOU go again...)

> Who says so, Vipul...?!?! What organization, rule or entity made
> this "rule"...?!?! I've asked you this before and you've never
> explained yourself.

Yet I see no mention of a "rule." Whatever are you talking about,
Steve?

> > They are often older, and male.
>
> So...Shall we implement euthansia and castration if a licensee
> dares to get older or have the wrong chromosomes...?!?!

Shall you?

> > They like to use the terminology.
>
> Uhhhhh...yyyeahhhh...It's called "communication"...In order to

> effectively express and exchange ideas there has to be some agreed upon
> language and terms relating to the intended topic, Vipul.

QSL.

> Please name me ANY sport, science, avocation, passtime or other
> human pursuit that DOESN'T have 'terminology', Vipul.

So amateurs have terminology and -don't- like to use it? Are you
agreeing with Vipul or disagreeing with Vipul???

> > They like to discuss ham politics.
>
> As opposed to roast beef politics...?!?!

Chicken politics. Squawk, squawk. Like saying "Lessee....430" without
explanation.

> > There are other characteristics of a ham, which I will not list.
>
> Of course not.

Of course.

> >> Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
> >
> > Yes, this is absolutely required to be accepted as a ham.
>
> Who says? Where is this stated? In what FCC rule or ARRL by-law
> is it established? Is it in invisible ink on my FCC Form 660?

I disagree with Vipul on this one. Five WPM wasn't enough to be
accepted as a full ham by many practioners of the ancient art.

> >> Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
> >
> > Hams share certain beliefs and characteristics.
>
> Baptists share certain beliefs and characteristics. So do
> dentists. Police, Fire and EMS personnel have their own beliefs. So
> do Hindus, NASCAR drivers and cat lovers.

What belief system do you share?

> What was YOUR point?

What was your point in saying, "Lessee....430?"

> > Without acceptance, one cannot be a "true" ham.
>
> Sure you can.

I agree with Steve on this one. You just have to ignore the
knuckle-heads that would put you down for not attaining ever higher
Morse Code speeds.

> Lennie doesn't accept ANY of us...

Not true.

> Does that mean we don't exist?

You exist in a negative way.

> Once and for all, Vipul...
>
> There is no one person, group, organization, entity or "belief
> system" that dictates what makes a "good ham".

Not according to Larry, Dick, or Kelly.

Unfortunately, if only more amateur on here would come forward and
condemn those who exhibit the characteristics of a "bad ham," such as
yourself...

> > Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
> > license they hold now?
> >
> > Sorry, I do not know or understand the question.
>
> Did you need it in another language? Seemed pretty straight
> forward to me.

Bruce has his Extra. Seems like most of the trouble-makers are Extras.

> > As for the class of
> > licence they hold right now, Morse code is required above the
> > Technician class. Those in the Technician class can still be hams, as
> > long as they agree with ham beliefs. One of those beliefs, of course,
> > is that they will eventually learn Morse code.
>
> You keep saying this but you never explain yourself.

The have to profess the faith, and frequently claim that they are
"studying the Morse Code."

> >> Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
> >> license" ever be considered a real ham?
> >
> > The license is a "give away" - no disputing that.
>
> Sure there is.

Todays entry level license exam contains far more breadth and depth of
radio communications knowledge than any entry level license in the
history of amateur radio.

> To the best of my knowledge no one is "given" a license. Everyone
> is required to take one of several written exams. If they opt to
> operate on HF, they must take a Morse Code exam.

Captain Obvious.

> And despite the fact that the license IS markedly easier to get
> these days, people still manage to fail the exams. Ergo it's NOT a
> "giveaway"...

Far more difficult...

> > How did you know about the vanity license?
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhh...read about it?

K4CAP...?

> Maybe it was in some of that "terminology" you seem to think is so
> bad...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Terminology like, "Lessee....430?"

2171. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 16:02:15 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11a3k4lsuvemp36@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 109455

Shucks, since my yf (KB9MII) and I met at a hamvention, does that mean we need to split up -- even if I still think she's the sexiest woman left of the Mississippi?

{;-)

Jim

> I wasn't groaning about the thread but about Vipul rejoining the group
> with the same old tired rants that he had before. He thinks that hamfests
> and ham radio should be a place where he can pick up sexy girls. Since he
> keeps getting that illusion shattered, he rants and raves about it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

2172. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 16:03:32 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns966B7AB3B25F8elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 109454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote in news:E39oe.42532$tt5.1042@edtnps90:

> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>> Blue Cornchips wrote:
>>
>>
>>>> Vijay Mukhi, a call centre analyst, said websites have sprung up in
>>>> the US giving phone numbers of companies which use call centres in
>>>> India, and listing Hindi swear words to be used to abuse staff.
>>>> 'When you move jobs away from a country, there's going to be a lot
>>>> of pent-up frustration which gets let out on Indian workers,' he
>>>> said.
>>
>>
>> There might not be so much frustration if they could understand enough
>> English to understand your problem. I delt with a help desk in India
>> over a credit card issue...never could get it across what my problem
>> was no matter how many ways I attempted to expalin it, when I asked to
>> speak to a manager, she hung up.
>> Maybe these companies will finally get the message that customers are
>> getting tired of dealing with people who can't understand enough
>> English to be of any help and bring the support centers back to the
>> U.S. Don't hold your breath.
>
>
> It's worse than you think. The US politicians seem addicted to using
> overseas call centres. You'd think they would keep the jobs at home,
> being your public servants and all...
>
> ==========
>
> Georgia is one of 32 states using the same two call centers in India
> and another in Mexico for Spanish-speaking callers.
>
> http://www.11alive.com/specials/usworld/india/india_article.aspx?storyid
> =49513 ==========
>
>
>
>
> mike
>

Most Indians speak very good English, at least in the cities, AFAIK. I've never been to India, but all the Indians I've met elsewhere spoke perfect English, albeit sometimes heavily accented. They have many different languages and use English as a lingua franca to talk to other Indians in their own country, so complete fluency is more the rule than the exception.

Of course, they do speak what you would regard as British English, but I understand that the call centres all give training in American colloquialisms. I've never had the least trouble communicating with anyone in Indian call centres, but then I am British, after all. Perhaps you will all have to learn the Queen's English? It makes a change from learning to label your rubbish 'basura'. Perhaps I could offer classes in how to communicate with call centres in proper English. Note that they are call 'centres', not 'centers'. The British Empire strikes back!

They always seem particularly pleased to hear an English voice on the line, and sometimes ask me if I like cricket. I think it is a relief for them not to have to talk American. They can get quite chatty. There was one funny incident when I asked one of them if he was in India and he told me that he wasn't allowed to answer that question!

2173. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 16:24:10 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117902250.301821.324060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"The US politicians seem addicted to using overseas call centres."

JS> Just how are politicians addicted to using overseas calling
centers. Other than the occasional one who might call a porn talk site
of course....

2174. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 16:30:04 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ScKdnVTHcLqSSjzfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109457

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:1d-dnWeqRoMeNALfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...
>
>>What makes a person a real ham?
>>Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
>
> A "Real Ham" is proficient in all forms and modes of communications. One of
> the primary purposes of Amateur Radio is to provide a pool of qualified
> radio operators in the event of emergency. Thus being able to use Morse
> Code at anything but training wheel speed is a big plus.
>
>
>
>>Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
>
>
> No, not entirely. But being able to assimilate with minimum friction is a
> big help. In otherwords don't show up with a "I know it all attitude" and
> have a bit of respect for those that have been there before you.

Would that be the "give away license" that you made reference to in
another post? You do realize that there are still Tech's out there that
took the old Novice and then upgraded to Tech by doing the general theory!

>>Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
>>license they hold now?
>
>
> That does have a bearing on things. Don't come on with the above attitute
> holding a Technician ticket for 6 months, and expect to be treating with
> respect. Respect is earned me boy.
>
>
>>Can a person climb to Extra with today's test and be considered a real
>>ham?
>
>
> No, not really. Must I elaborate on the obvious?
>
> If one does that wonderous dead and then EARNS the respect of those other
> hams. A lot of what I said above is bypassed. Respect, Earned those are
> the key words here.
>
>

>>Is yodoc aka K3LT a real ham, or the one to determine who is a real ham?
>
>
> Don't know the man.
>
>
>>Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
>>license" ever be considered a real ham?
>
>
> Sure...see above.
>
>
>>Does getting a vanity license that is an older call such as K8*** or
>>WD8*** make you a real ham?
>
>
> A WD8? a joke right? Try W or K ...one by two or three, non vanity of
> course. Thats an old timer.

But there are too many no code tech's that get a vanity call now adays.
>
> As a matter of fact the FCC once considered the W/K prefix "prefered calls".
> And at one time the FCC required you to change your call if you permanetly
> moved to another district. And in deference to the W/K....if you had one
> they would issue another from the prefered block.
>
> Which is how I got my present call (W4NTI) because I used to have K8BHH.
> Course that all went to crap with the alphabet soup system of today.

There's an Extra near here that still has his K8*** call, he was a tech
until the early nineties and upgraded to Extra when it was still 20 wpm.
>
>
>>I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a cb'er
>>or no coder as the toad says?
>
>
> No, just a no coder. Unless of course you did pass a 5wpm test. Then you
> would be a Technician. Hi hi.

I took the 5wpm when I did my novice.
>
>
>>I'm not being a troll, I would really like to know the answers to this!
>>
>
>
> Hope that helps a bit.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

>
>

2175. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 16:32:59 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117902779.362757.118830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I agree. My experience with american call centers has been about as good as those that appear to be located overseas. The quality of the response is directly related to the skills and knowlege of the individual - their location has little to do with it. Tucson, Arizona has numerous call centers operating there, and I would guess many of the operators have a non-U.S. accent as well. When calling a call center my purpose is to get information. I've found that by staying cordial and sometimes repeating a question that I get the results I'm looking for. Some on this forum(not you) seem to be more focused on insulting the operators and generally causing problems. They must have a lot of free time.

2176. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 16:38:21 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <f-mdnQLfVd9jRTzfRVn-sw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109459

Mike Coslo wrote:

> KC8GXW wrote:
>
>> What makes a person a real ham?
>
>
> Being cured and smoked over a real hickory wood fire....
>
>> Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
>
>
> 8.5 wpm, if my numbers are correct.
>
>> Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
>
>
> Who on earth would care about that?
>
>> Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
>> license they hold now?
>
>
> Only people who were licensed on February 15th, 1955 are real hams. All
> others are a sham.
>
>> Can a person climb to Extra with today's test and be considered a real
>> ham?
>
>
> You have to take the tests administered in 1932.
>
>
>> Is yodoc aka K3LT a real ham, or the one to determine who is a real ham?
>
>
> Good lord, he hasn't posted here in a long time, why bring Larry
> into the mix?

I wondered if anybody remembered him! I know Kim would. I asked about
Larry because in his book, you couldn't be a "real ham" unless you
thought like he did, of course you needed to enjoy CW to be real!
>
>> Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
>> license" ever be considered a real ham?

>
>
> You don't know the half of it! I was forced at gunpoint to take the
> ridiculously easy tests available today. I wanted to take an older test,
> but the bleary eyed VE, cocked the trigger on his 45, and I knew it was
> to either become a nickel extra, or die!
>
>
>> Does getting a vanity license that is an older call such as K8*** or
>> WD8*** make you a real ham?
>
>
> Hey! I'm thinking about getting a Vanity. Be nice!
>
>
>> I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a
>> cb'er or no coder as the toad says?
>
>
> Everyone is a CB'er.
>
>> I'm not being a troll, I would really like to know the answers to this!
>
>
> Hey, if you have to ask.......... 8^)
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2177. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 17:18:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <%nloe.176$2e7.44@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns966B7AB3B25F8elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote in news:E39oe.42532$tt5.1042@edtnps90:
>
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >> Blue Cornchips wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>> Vijay Mukhi, a call centre analyst, said websites have sprung up in
> >>>> the US giving phone numbers of companies which use call centres in
> >>>> India, and listing Hindi swear words to be used to abuse staff.
> >>>> 'When you move jobs away from a country, there's going to be a lot
> >>>> of pent-up frustration which gets let out on Indian workers,' he
> >>>> said.
> >>
> >>
> >> There might not be so much frustration if they could understand enough
> >> English to understand your problem. I delt with a help desk in India
> >> over a credit card issue...never could get it across what my problem
> >> was no matter how many ways I attempted to expalin it, when I asked to
> >> speak to a manager, she hung up.
> >> Maybe these companies will finally get the message that customers are
> >> getting tired of dealing with people who can't understand enough
> >> English to be of any help and bring the support centers back to the
> >> U.S. Don't hold your breath.
> >
> >
> > It's worse than you think. The US politicians seem addicted to using
> > overseas call centres. You'd think they would keep the jobs at home,
> > being your public servants and all...
> >
> > ==========
> >
> > Georgia is one of 32 states using the same two call centers in India
> > and another in Mexico for Spanish-speaking callers.
> >
> > http://www.11alive.com/specials/usworld/india/india_article.aspx?storyid
> > =49513 ==========
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > mike

> >
>
> Most Indians speak very good English, at least in the cities, AFAIK. I've
> never been to India, but all the Indians I've met elsewhere spoke perfect
> English, albeit sometimes heavily accented. They have many different
> languages and use English as a lingua franca to talk to other Indians in
> their own country, so complete fluency is more the rule than the
exception.
>
> Of course, they do speak what you would regard as British English, but I
> understand that the call centres all give training in American
> colloquialisms. I've never had the least trouble communicating with anyone
> in Indian call centres, but then I am British, after all. Perhaps you will
> all have to learn the Queen's English? It makes a change from learning to
> label your rubbish 'basura'. Perhaps I could offer classes in how to
> communicate with call centres in proper English. Note that they are call
> 'centres', not 'centers'. The British Empire strikes back!
>
> They always seem particularly pleased to hear an English voice on the
line,
> and sometimes ask me if I like cricket. I think it is a relief for them
not
> to have to talk American. They can get quite chatty. There was one funny
> incident when I asked one of them if he was in India and he told me that
he
> wasn't allowed to answer that question!

Hello, Alan

Thank you for your kind offer, but I have a few friends in the U.K. who have kindly offered to teach me the Queen's English.

I did catch some flak one time when I created a database and had a sub-category of "moulded" components. We tend to drop the letter "u" over here quite a bit. And we had better, given the political climate over here :))

Unfortunately, I have no idea if I can set my spell checker for the Queen's English; if I could, I most certainly would as I would use it especially to communicate with a couple of extreme right-wing friends on the net ;)

For what it is worth, my grandfather (my father's dad) was Scottish; he emigrated to the U.S. when he was 7 years old.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2178. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 17:28:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <A_adnRiqVYP3eTzfRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 109461

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1117891034.533270.123230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Wed 1 Jun 2005 23:00
>> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 31 May 2005 15:55

[snip]

>>
>> The rest of us IN the radio-electronics industry will
>> continue
>> doing our adult things. We will pat you on the head when you
>> are nice and spank you when you misbehave.
>
> Sounds like a threat of violence, Len.
>
> I'd like to see you try!
>
>> Go to your room.
>

Most humorous when you remember that he has frequently attempted to deride me by referring to me as "Mama Dee" when I use family examples to illustrate talking points. I only use them as examples where the above paragraph shows that he thinks he should be able to actually exercise parental type control over other people.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2179. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 17:29:56 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <aaCdnZ4HuIo7lj_fRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109474

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
>
> Tsk, tsk, Stebie have orgasm of crapping on folks not in
> this thread. :-)
>
> Stebie be role model. Stebie not model but he be playing
> role of Gonad the Librarian in action films. [seven
> hostile action films?]

There you go talking like a three year old again.

2180. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 17:31:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117906260.034428.84110@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109462

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > vshah1010@comcast.net wrote:
> > > KC8GXW wrote:
> > >
> > > VIPPY! Your're back!
> >
> > Hello Vipul.
> >
> > > > The definition you quoted says"Amateur Operator". There is no mention
> > > > of the word "ham" in the definition.
> > >
> > > Oh crap....same old rhetoric...I see you haven't changed!
> >
> > He see's that you haven't either.

How do you know? Mental telepathy? (Or are you just "mental",
which is more likely)

> > > "Ham" is a label given to certain people with a certain belief system.
> > >
> > > What "belief systems", Vipul...?!?!
> > >
> > > "Belief systems" is a catch-phrase you usurped from Lennie
> > > Anderson. Lennie is NOT a good role model to scam lines from.
> >
> > People don't have belief systems?

Sure they do.

But your mentor uses the term "belief system" as if it were dirty.

He has "belief systems" too, but they don't seem to include
honesty, trustworthiness or strength of character.

> > > Hams like antennas, and Morse code. They usually don't like the
> > > > technical aspect of the hobby (except for antennas).
> > >
> > > (Here we go again....)
> >
> > (There YOU go again...)
> >
> > > Who says so, Vipul...?!?! What organization, rule or entity made
> > > this "rule"...?!?! I've asked you this before and you've never
> > > explained yourself.
> >

> Yet I see no mention of a "rule." Whatever are you talking about,
> Steve?

Gee, Brain...You got the point but didn't even knoiw it...

There's no "rule"...Yet Vippy seems to think there is.

Good for you.

> > > They are often older, and male.
> >
> > So...Shall we implement euthansia and castration if a licensee
> > dares to get older or have the wrong chromosomes...?!?!
>
> Shall you?

Care to be number 1? I can help you either way you care to go...

> > > They like to use the terminology.
> >
> > Uhhhhh...yyyeahhhh...It's called "communication"...In order to
> > effectively express and exchange ideas there has to be some agreed upon
> > language and terms relating to the intended topic, Vipul.
>
> QSL.
>
> > Please name me ANY sport, science, avocation, passtime or other
> > human pursuit that DOESN'T have 'terminology', Vipul.
>
> So amateurs have terminology and -don't- like to use it? Are you
> agreeing with Vipul or disagreeing with Vipul???

I am humiliating Vipul.

HE seems to think that there's some black undertones to Amateurs
using subject-specific terminology.

I have pointed out to him, repeatedly, that there is NO human
endeavor that doesn't ahve it's own "lingo".

> > > They like to discuss ham politics.
> >
> > As opposed to roast beef politics...?!?!
>
> Chicken politics. Squawk, squawk. Like saying "Lessee....430" without
> explanation.

No expalnation is necessary.

Just like no explanation for illegal operating from Somalia.

Or for what "major role" unlicensed devices play in "emergency
comms".

And don't forget those overblown ARES folks who won't respond to a
callout...

Still waiting on those, Brain...

> > > There are other characteristics of a ham, which I will not list.
> >
> > Of course not.
>
> Of course.
>
> > > >> Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
> > > >
> > > > Yes, this is absolutely required to be accepted as a ham.
> > >
> > > Who says? Where is this stated? In what FCC rule or ARRL by-law
> > > is it established? Is it in invisible ink on my FCC Form 660?
> >
> > I disagree with Vipul on this one. Five WPM wasn't enough to be
> > accepted as a full ham by many practioners of the ancient art.

"Many"...?!?!

I would interpret "many" as to be a significant percentage. What's
YOUR call, Brain? 10%? 35%? 50%..?!?!

From what demographic do you get your data?

> > > >> Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
> > > >
> > > > Hams share certain beliefs and characteristics.
> > >
> > > Baptists share certain beliefs and characteristics. So do
> > > dentists. Police, Fire and EMS personnel have their own beliefs. So
> > > do Hindus, NASCAR drivers and cat lovers.
> >
> > What belief system do you share?

Hmmmmm? Which one? I am basically conservative in my politics. I
am a former Paramedic and and presently an ACLS Nurse. I am a licensed
aviator.

Not to mention a 30+ year licensed Amateur.

> > What was YOUR point?
> >
> > What was your point in saying, "Lessee....430?"

What was your point in "Somalia", "unlicensed devices", "ARES",
etc etc etc?

> > > Without acceptance, one cannot be a "true" ham.
> > >
> > > Sure you can.
> >

> I agree with Steve on this one. You just have to ignore the
> knuckle-heads that would put you down for not attaining ever higher
> Morse Code speeds.

Then I can assume you now publically acknowledge that I have
stated, now and in the past, that being a 20+WPM code deamon is not a
prerequisite to being a good Amateur?

> > Lennie doesn't accept ANY of us...
>
> Not true.

He doesn't. He talks nice to you because of your public expression
of "admration" fro him in the face of copious evidence that he's a
chronic liar.

> > Does that mean we don't exist?
>
> You exist in a negative way.

No more or less than you, Brain.

> > Once and for all, Vipul...
> >
> > There is no one person, group, organization, entity or "belief
> > system" that dictates what makes a "good ham".
>
> Not according to Larry, Dick, or Kelly.

Oh?

Where?

I've enver seen one of them state that one and only one person,
group organization, entity or belief system is the only true one.

Quotes, please.

> Unfortunately, if only more amateur on here would come forward and
> condemn those who exhibit the characteristics of a "bad ham," such as
> yourself...

But what transpires in here is NOT "Amateur Radio".

You can discuss my on-air operating with K0HB, K8MN and N2EY if
you care to.

N0IMD is not in my log, on ANY mode.

> > > Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
> > > license they hold now?
> > >
> > > Sorry, I do not know or understand the question.
> >
> > Did you need it in another language? Seemed pretty straight

> > forward to me.
>
> Bruce has his Extra. Seems like most of the trouble-makers are Extras.

Every bag of potatoes has one spoiled in it.

Bruce is Amateur Radio's spoiled potatoe.

You'll please note that no one here with an Extra, other than
Bruce, LIKES Bruce.

> > > As for the class of
> > > licence they hold right now, Morse code is required above the
> > > Technician class. Those in the Technician class can still be hams, as
> > > long as they agree with ham beliefs. One of those beliefs, of course,
> > > is that they will eventually learn Morse code.
> >
> > You keep saying this but you never explain yourself.
>
> The have to profess the faith, and frequently claim that they are
> "studying the Morse Code."

Who are "the", Brain? (I know you meant "they")...???

> > >> Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
> > >> license" ever be considered a real ham?
> > >
> > > The license is a "give away" - no disputing that.
> >
> > Sure there is.
>
> Todays entry level license exam contains far more breadth and depth of
> radio communications knowledge than any entry level license in the
> history of amateur radio.

Yep. Since 1991.

Lennie's never availed himself of it.

> > To the best of my knowledge no one is "given" a license. Everyone
> > is required to take one of several written exams. If they opt to
> > operate on HF, they must take a Morse Code exam.
>
> Captain Obvious.

Obviously not. Jim (GXW) called it a "give away" and Vipul
agreed.

I agree that it's almost childishly simple to get, however folks
still manage to fail it at a regular basis. It's still not a "give
away".

> > And despite the fact that the license IS markedly easier to get
> > these days, people still manage to fail the exams. Ergo it's NOT a

> > "giveaway"...
>
> Far more difficult...
>
> > > How did you know about the vanity license?
> >
> > Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...read about it?
>
> K4CAP...?

And K4YZ. And I read about the program, just like I suggest above.

Your point?

> > Maybe it was in some of that "terminology" you seem to think is so
> > bad...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Terminology like, "Lessee....430?"

Like "unlicensed devices", "overblown ARES", etc etc etc...

Steve, K4YZ

2181. Why Does Brian P Burke Continue To Misrepresent The Truth In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 18:01:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117904696.957325.81840@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109463

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "bb" on Fri 3 Jun 2005 03:51
> >
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: bb on Jun 2, 6:27 pm
> > >>
> > >> >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> >> bb wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >Christy said that the longer the reply, the more likely it is that the
> > >> >original opinion was correct.
> > >>
> > >> "Christy," singing like a New Minstrel, might belong to that
> > >> irritated group that gets tired tracing out more than 50
> > >> words with their finger... :-)
> > >
> > >Who's to say?
> >
> > I know...:-) But, we have the solitary tomb sentinel busy
> > "guarding" the territory for ANY opinions other than his own.
> >
> > We are stuck with the Avenging Angle of Dearth. Ho hum.
> >
> > Eventually the "pop" will be an artery and he will become
> > the usual vegetable garden typical to stroke victims. We
> > will be able to see that while we are still alive. :-)
> >
> > That is going to put a damper on his Class A behavior and
> > incessant machismo attitude of always "being right" (in his
> > own mind). His stress is building. Tsk.
>
> Notice how the coward won't explain what he meant by "Lessee...430." I
> need to start tabulating the dodges like the time I kept track of his
> new lies for three weeks.

None of those added up to "1". You claimed there were lies
present, but never substantiated a single claim.

So start in on this if you'd care to...It will just keep you from
bothering others.

> > >> >Len has long replies. Sometimes really, really long replies. Do you
> > >> >want to argue that, too?
> > >>

> > >> The Avenging Angle of Dearth will ARGUE-FIGHT-INSULT anything
> > >> I write in here. :-)
> > >>
> > >I tire of Steve's incessant lying. I tire of his peers always giving
> > >him a pass on his tirades. They're all a pretty useless assembly of
> > >Extras.
> >
> > Understand fully. They aren't ever going to be role models
> > of anything they way they act and posture in here.
>
> Steven J. Robeson has soiled his father's name.

No, I've not.

However YOU have a lot of explaining to do to your Son and Spouse.

Documented. Archived.

Steve, K4YZ

2182. Re: Bear bombers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 18:04:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117908290.264591.245600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109464

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: "bb" on Wed,May 25 2005 3:35 pm
> >> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > >> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon,May 23 2005 3:57 am
> >> > >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> > >> >
> >> > >> >"who can't argue a subject for squat and does the personal insult thing
> >> > >> >in order to "win an argument." "
> >> > >>
> >> > >> Oh, oh! Reverend Jim issued a Sermon On The Antenna Mount! :-)
> >> > >>
> >> > >> Not only that, the poor guy is still furious over NOT "winning"
> >> > >> a newsgroup argument THREE YEARS AGO! :-)
> >> > >>
> >> > >> Not only that, the "argument" wasn't even about RADIO!
> >> > >>
> >> > >> Hello? This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY. Military
> >> > >> and international socio-political politics are another group.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> "RADIO" includes all of the HF portion of the EM spectrum. That's
> >> > >> where the major international communications networks WERE in
> >> > >> the 1950s. I was part of that - for three years - as a
> >> > >> volunteer in the United States Army...operating HF transmitters
> >> > >> for an Army station.
> >> > >
> >> > >In Jim's circles, there is no "volunteering." You get bad grades and
> >> > >your waiver goes away. Then the Draftsman comes knocking.
> >> >
> >> > Tsk, the "knocking" stopped about 32 years ago. Jimmie has NO
> >> > worry. He could sit back in comfortable safety in PA and read
> >> > all about the military and international realpolitik without
> >> > once having participated in anything.
> >>
> >> How many Soviet aircraft did you see when you were in Japan in the
> >> 1950s, Len?
>
> Only one, but at a distance, couldn't get close enough to
> read
> any manufacturer's tags on the airframe of the MiG. From a
> North Korean defector who flew it to South Korea, was in
> display at a USAF base on Honshu.

So in fact W3RV has seen more Soviet military aircraft than
you..and he saw them in flight, crewed by non-defectors.

> >I've seen Soviet aircraft without being overseas. I've seen
> them on RADAR penetrating CONUS airspace.

Aeroflot?

> >> You've never been "IN" amateur radio, yet you want to
> >> tell us How It Should Be.
> >
> >When you merely want to exclude people??? I've no
> >problem with him
> >anything he might say to you with your kind of attitude.
>
> Jimmie needs to be newsgroup CEO.

Not me, Len. I'm not the one telling people to shut up
or go away.
>
> >> > Getting to the heart of the matter, Jimmie feels
> >> > TERRIBLY "insulted"
> >> > when one doesn't like what Jimmie likes.
> >>
> >> Not true. You're the one who calls people names, and
> >> tells them to shut up
> >> if they don't agree with you.
> >
> >Jim calls them jackasses.

I've never called anyone here a jackass.

What I have done is describe some of their *behavior* as
"jackass behavior".

> >> > [he is regularly bringing up old, faded postings
> >> > from Google as
> >> > if to continue to "do battle" on those topics
> >> > forever and ever]
> >>
> >> Gee, Len, you bring up even older and more faded stuff about
> >
> >Highly respected...

> >>defunct
> >>ham radio magazines and your experiences of a half-century
> >>ago.
> >
> >Every issue of QST has excerpts from half a century ago. I've
> >never,
> >ever, ever seen you complain. Ever.

Half a page devoted to past issues of 25, 50, 75 years ago. Out of
a magazine of well over 100 pages.

And each month the information is different. Len tells the same
tired stories over and over again.

> >>Why
> >>is it OK for you to rehash events of 20, 30, 50 years ago,
> >>but not
> >>OK for others to remind you of the events of 2 or 3 years
> >>past?
> >
> >Because you say it isn't OK for Len to remind you of events
> >of 50 years
> >ago, but never comment when the ARRL does it.
>
> Jimmie wants to rehash what I was "supposed to do" in Japan,

Where do you get that. Len? Where did I ever say what you
were supposed to do in Japan?
>
> Jimmie wants Total Agreement with his OPINIONS. If there is
> no Total Agreement, then dissention is "wrong," must be
> BANNED, shouted down, tarred and feathered, etc., etc.

You just can't take strong opposition to your opinions, Len.

> >> Seems like a double standard on your part.
> >
> >Double-standard, indeed. That could be your middle name.
>
> I think it should be just "H" (for hypocrite).

Len - *your* middle initial is "H". Mine isn't.
>
> >> > Jimmie wants NUMBERS? He is regularly giving
> >> > some sort of
> >> > tabulation
> >> > on the "valid licenses" of radio amateurs in the USA.
> >>
> >> No, I'm not. You're mistaken, Len. Wrong again.
> >
> >Are you absolutely, positively sure?

On that point, yes.

> >> The numbers I post here twice a month are those of
> >> current, unexpired
> >> FCC amateur licenses held by individuals.
> >> That's stated in each post.
> >> The word valid is not used to describe them.
> >
> >They are invalid numbers? They are invalid licenses?

They are current licenses - as opposed to expired licenses,
cancelled licenses, revoked licenses.

> Jimmie loves wordplay, spending long hours at his old, faded
> computer,

Gee, Len, your verbiage output here far exceeds mine. You seem
to be describing yourself!

> exercising his imaginary powers of CEO of the
> newsgroup. He's wasting lots of folks' time.

Do you want me to shut up, Len?

2183. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 18:13:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <3bmoe.147$UG3.38@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109465

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:JdydnblZgOoDnzzfRVn-oQ@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > Hello, Dan
> >
> > A 1X2 call starting with "W" or "K"? How many of these folks do you
think
> > are around that are *not* in possession of a vanity call? C'mon! Give
us a
> > break! The original holders of 1X2 calls are mostly, if not entirely,
sk.
> > A dear friend of mine, W2ZS (almost the end of the 1X2 calls) has been
sk
> > for decades. Like about 3 decades.
> >
> > My original call, issued in 1962, was WN2CJV. That would have made me
> > WB2CJV had I passed the 13 word per minute code test. Unfortunately, it
> > took me another year to get to 13 and I obtained WB2OSP in 1964.
> >
> > With the issuance of vanity call signs, most of the 1X3 calls are gone -
> > mostly vanity call signs. Depending upon the call district, some of the
> > states were as far as a WD prefix.
> >
> > Since I doubt many folks would spend money to get a call sign beginning
with
> > WA, WB, etc., those are the folks that you can be certain have been
licensed
> > since the early 60s. I did obtain WA3RJX in 1970, however, when I moved
to
> > Pennsylvania. Still, had I kept that call, it would be 35 years old!
> > Moving back then might also require a call sign change - along with a
> > "newbie" type call sign.
> >
> > When the FCC allowed extra class licensees to choose their own call sign
in
> > the early 70s, I obtained N2JH. At that point, I'm not sure if they
would
> > allow you to grab an old expired call.
> >
> > Once the gates were opened for vanity call signs, all bets are off as to
how
> > long an amateur has been licensed. My bet would be that the WA and WB

type
> > prefixes would be the only ones that would *almost* guarantee the
individual
> > has been licensed long enough to qualify for the quarter century club.
It
> > won't be long (and may have already happened) that there are some 5 word
per
> > minute folks with a 1X2 call (which means fairly new hams).
>
> I know a few with N8*** that are/were 5 wpm and were licensed in 1983 or
> earlier, I don't think that would make them fairly new hams. A few more
> years and they will qualify for the quarter century club.
>
> > I have to ask what the heck you were thinking with your response that a
1X2
> > or 1X3 call indicates an old timer?
> >
> > ???
> > :)
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
>

This is true; I had the call N2JH back in the 70s. The N prefix was made available to amateurs sometime in the late 60s, but I'm not sure exactly when. My point, however, is that a WA or WB prefix pretty well indicates someone who has been licensed for 40 years or so (depending upon district). My WB2OSP license was issued in 1964, so figure the WA2 calls were a few years earlier (WB2CJV would have been in May, 1962).

My point was that no one, I suspect, would apply for a WA or WB prefix under the vanity callsign rules. This would, to me, certify that individual as an old-timer. As to the 1X3 calls with a prefix of "W" or "K", my point is that most of them have probably been issued as a vanity call after the FCC started that sometime in the 1990s.

I knew Dwight Hill, K2BRE and he has been an sk for quite a while. Were he still alive, he would be close to 90 years old. Certainly, there are some still around with us with a 1X3 issued back in the 50s or earlier (Wayne, W2NSD comes to mind), but I suspect *most* current 1X3 or 1X2 holders are holders due to having a vanity call.

Dan's point of a 1X3 being an indicator of an old timer does not hold water. I'd bet if you averaged all of the years the 1X3 tickets have had an amateur license, it would be *less* than the average of all the WA and WB prefixes (I could be wrong, but the WA WB prefixes are mostly well over 40 years old - at least in the second call district). My friend, Tim, WB2KAO, was licensed around 1963 and has his original call. He isn't an old timer due

to that WB prefix? 42 years of being licensed? This would be typical of a WA or WB prefix. Unless you can tell me someone who got their WA or WB prefix using the vanity call system.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2184. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 18:13:35 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117908814.956839.229610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109466

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > vshah1010@comcast.net wrote:
> > > > KC8GXW wrote:
> > >
> > > VIPPY! Your're back!
> >
> > Hello Vipul.
> >
> > > > The definition you quoted says"Amateur Operator". There is no mention
> > > > of the word "ham" in the definition.
> > >
> > > Oh crap....same old rhetoric...I see you haven't changed!
> >
> > He see's that you haven't either.
>
> How do you know? Mental telepathy? (Or are you just "mental",
> which is more likely)

Backchannel.

> > > > "Ham" is a label given to certain people with a certain belief system.
> > >
> > > What "belief systems", Vipul...?!?!
> > >
> > > "Belief systems" is a catch-phrase you usurped from Lennie
> > > Anderson. Lennie is NOT a good role model to scam lines from.
> >
> > People don't have belief systems?
>
> Sure they do.
>
> But your mentor uses the term "belief system" as if it were dirty.

I see no evidence of that.

> He has "belief systems" too, but they don't seem to include
> honesty, trustworthiness or strength of character.

Some people who claim to have all of the above are creeps.

"Lessee....430."

> > > > Hams like antennas, and Morse code. They usually don't like the
> > > > technical aspect of the hobby (except for antennas).

> > >
> > > (Here we go again....)
> >
> > (There YOU go again...)
> >
> > > Who says so, Vipul...?!?! What organization, rule or entity made
> > > this "rule"...?!?! I've asked you this before and you've never
> > > explained yourself.
> >
> > Yet I see no mention of a "rule." Whatever are you talking about,
> > Steve?
>
> Gee, Brain...You got the point but didn't even knoiw it...
>
> There's no "rule"...Yet Vippy seems to think there is.
>
> Good for you.

I see no evidence that Vipul thinks there's a rule. I only see
evidence of you thinking there is.

> > > > They are often older, and male.
> > >
> > > So...Shall we implement euthansia and castration if a licensee
> > > dares to get older or have the wrong chromosomes...?!?!
> >
> > Shall you?
>
> Care to be number 1? I can help you either way you care to go...

Is that a threat?

> > > > They like to use the terminology.
> > >
> > > Uhhhhh...yyyeahhhh...It's called "communication"...In order to
> > > effectively express and exchange ideas there has to be some agreed upon
> > > language and terms relating to the intended topic, Vipul.
> >
> > QSL.
> >
> > > Please name me ANY sport, science, avocation, passtime or other
> > > human pursuit that DOESN'T have 'terminology', Vipul.
> >
> > So amateurs have terminology and -don't- like to use it? Are you
> > agreeing with Vipul or disagreeing with Vipul???
>
> I am humiliating Vipul.

You da man!

> HE seems to think that there's some black undertones to Amateurs
> using subject-specific terminology.

Amateurs are encouraged to use plain language in every emergency
management manual or training course that I've ever seen. Maybe Vipul
is on to something. Maybe you're not.

> I have pointed out to him, repeatedly, that there is NO human
> endeavor that doesn't ahve it's own "lingo".

Sleeping?

> > > > They like to discuss ham politics.
> > >
> > > As opposed to roast beef politics...?!?!
> >
> > Chicken politics. Squawk, squawk. Like saying "Lessee....430" without
> > explanation.
>
> No expalnation is necessary.

More "lingo?" Quit using big words that you don't know what they mean.

> Just like no explanation for illegal operating from Somalia.
>
> Or for what "major role" unlicensed devices play in "emergency
> comms".
>
> And don't forget those overblown ARES folks who won't respond to a
> callout...
>
> Still waiting on those, Brain...

More dodges. Creep.

> > > > There are other characteristics of a ham, which I will not list.
> > >
> > > Of course not.
> >
> > Of course.
> >
> > > >> Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
> > > >
> > > > Yes, this is absolutely required to be accepted as a ham.
> > >
> > > Who says? Where is this stated? In what FCC rule or ARRL by-law
> > > is it established? Is it in invisible ink on my FCC Form 660?
> >
> > I disagree with Vipul on this one. Five WPM wasn't enough to be
> > accepted as a full ham by many practioners of the ancient art.
>
> "Many"...?!?!
>
> I would interpret "many" as to be a significant percentage. What's
> YOUR call, Brain? 10%? 35%? 50%..?!?!
>

> From what demographic do you get your data?

Why did you drop "Lessee....430" in one of your posting right before
the Dayton Hamvention? Were you planning on stopping by my home while
you were travelling in Ohio?

> > > >> Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
> > > >
> > > > Hams share certain beliefs and characteristics.
> > >
> > > Baptists share certain beliefs and characteristics. So do
> > > dentists. Police, Fire and EMS personnel have their own beliefs. So
> > > do Hindus, NASCAR drivers and cat lovers.
> >
> > What belief system do you share?
>
> Hmmmmm? Which one?

Steve, right?

I am basically conservative in my politics. I
> am a former Paramedic and and presently an ACLS Nurse. I am a licensed
> aviator.
>
> Not to mention a 30+ year licensed Amateur.

Paramedic and Nurse is a belief system? Aviation is a belief system?

> > > What was YOUR point?
> >
> > What was your point in saying, "Lessee....430?"
>
> What was your point in "Somalia", "unlicensed devices", "ARES",
> etc etc etc?

Why can't you answer a question about you dropping my house number into
one of your posts prior to the Dayton Hamvention?

> > > > Without acceptance, one cannot be a "true" ham.
> > >
> > > Sure you can.
> >
> > I agree with Steve on this one. You just have to ignore the
> > knuckle-heads that would put you down for not attaining ever higher
> > Morse Code speeds.
>
> Then I can assume you now publically acknowledge that I have
> stated, now and in the past, that being a 20+WPM code deamon is not a
> prerequisite to being a good Amateur?

I publically acknowledge that Steven J Robeson, 20WPM Extra is not a
good Amateur.

> > > Lennie doesn't accept ANY of us...
> >
> > Not true.
>
> He doesn't. He talks nice to you because of your public expression
> of "admration" fro him in the face of copious evidence that he's a
> chronic liar.

You're a chronic liar.

> > > Does that mean we don't exist?
> >
> > You exist in a negative way.
>
> No more or less than you, Brain.

We'll just have to disagree on that one.

> > > Once and for all, Vipul...
> > >
> > > There is no one person, group, organization, entity or "belief
> > > system" that dictates what makes a "good ham".
> >
> > Not according to Larry, Dick, or Kelly.
>
> Oh?
>
> Where?
>
> I've enver seen one of them state that one and only one person,
> group organization, entity or belief system is the only true one.
>
> Quotes, please.

Dick quit being a VE because he didn't """believe""" that the new
people were worthy of his time. Larry is on record numerous times
telling everyone who tripped over his postings that he was better than
everyone else. Kelly, well, he Kelly.

> > Unfortunately, if only more amateur on here would come forward and
> > condemn those who exhibit the characteristics of a "bad ham," such as
> > yourself...
>
> But what transpires in here is NOT "Amateur Radio".

An amateur is balanced.

> You can discuss my on-air operating with K0HB, K8MN and N2EY if
> you care to.
>
> N0IMD is not in my log, on ANY mode.

And you are not in any of my logs. Not in my KA0ZFY, N0iMD, HL9ACT,
N0iMD/KH2, N0iMD/9, N0iMD/T5, N0iMD/9 logs.

> > > > Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
> > > > license they hold now?
> > > >
> > > > Sorry, I do not know or understand the question.
> > >
> > > Did you need it in another language? Seemed pretty straight
> > > forward to me.
> >
> > Bruce has his Extra. Seems like most of the trouble-makers are Extras.
>
> Every bag of potatoes has one spoiled in it.

At least.

> Bruce is Amateur Radio's spoiled potatoe.

Hi!

> You'll please note that no one here with an Extra, other than
> Bruce, LIKES Bruce.

I don't care who likes whom. I merely stated that most of the
trouble-makers are Extra licensed amateurs, and I stand by my
statement.

> > > > As for the class of
> > > > licence they hold right now, Morse code is required above the
> > > > Technician class. Those in the Technician class can still be hams, as
> > > > long as they agree with ham beliefs. One of those beliefs, of course,
> > > > is that they will eventually learn Morse code.
> > >
> > > You keep saying this but you never explain yourself.
> >
> > The have to profess the faith, and frequently claim that they are
> > "studying the Morse Code."
>
> Who are "the", Brain? (I know you meant "they")...???

Those who feel they have to agree with ham beliefs, or at least play
lip service to it or else feel the scorn of the hard-boiled hams.

> > > >> Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
> > > >> license" ever be considered a real ham?
> > > >
> > > > The license is a "give away" - no disputing that.
> > >
> > > Sure there is.
> >
> > Todays entry level license exam contains far more breadth and depth of
> > radio communications knowledge than any entry level license in the
> > history of amateur radio.
>
> Yep. Since 1991.
>

> Lennie's never availed himself of it.

Nor have most Americans, even those who are highly skilled in radio
communications and engineering.

> > > To the best of my knowledge no one is "given" a license. Everyone
> > > is required to take one of several written exams. If they opt to
> > > operate on HF, they must take a Morse Code exam.
> >
> > Captain Obvious.
>
> Obviously not. Jim (GXW) called it a "give away" and Vipul
> agreed.
>
> I agree that it's almost childishly simple to get, however folks
> still manage to fail it at a regular basis. It's still not a "give
> away".

Agreed.

> > > And despite the fact that the license IS markedly easier to get
> > > these days, people still manage to fail the exams. Ergo it's NOT a
> > > "giveaway"...
> >
> > Far more difficult...
> >
> > > > How did you know about the vanity license?
> > >
> > > Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...read about it?
> >
> > K4CAP...?
>
> And K4YZ. And I read about the program, just like I suggest above.
>
> Your point?

You did more than read about it.

> > > Maybe it was in some of that "terminology" you seem to think is so
> > > bad...
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Terminology like, "Lessee....430?"
>
> Like "unlicensed devices", "overblown ARES", etc etc etc...

No. Very much unlike those. Exactly like my house number.

> Steve, K4YZ

I just can't understand why you would post my house number prior to the
Dayton Hamvention unless you planned on stopping by while you were in

Ohio.

Were you planning on stopping by? Didn't you have something to "teach"
me?

2185. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 18:21:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2jmoe.191$eK2.28008578@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109467

Sounds to me that many here have confused the idea of "a decent human being" with a "ham"... true, the best type of ham is both a "hobbist radio operator" and "a decent human being"... however, I am not so sure the FCC is into regulating that one trait...

John

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message news:1117908814.956839.229610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > vshah1010@comcast.net wrote:
>> > > > KC8GXW wrote:
>> > >
>> > > VIPPY! Your're back!
>> >
>> > Hello Vipul.
>> >
>> > > > The definition you quoted says"Amateur Operator". There is no
>> > > > mention
>> > > > of the word "ham" in the definition.
>> > >
>> > > Oh crap....same old rhetoric...I see you haven't changed!
>> >
>> > He see's that you haven't either.
>>
>> How do you know? Mental telepathy? (Or are you just "mental",
>> which is more likely)
>
> Backchannel.
>
>> > > > "Ham" is a label given to certain people with a certain belief
>> > > > system.
>> > >
>> > > What "belief systems", Vipul...?!?!
>> > >
>> > > "Belief systems" is a catch-phrase you usurped from Lennie
>> > > Anderson. Lennie is NOT a good role model to scam lines from.
>> >
>> > People don't have belief systems?
>>
>> Sure they do.

>>
>> But your mentor uses the term "belief system" as if it were
>> dirty.
>
> I see no evidence of that.
>
>> He has "belief systems" too, but they don't seem to include
>> honesty, trustworthiness or strength of character.
>
> Some people who claim to have all of the above are creeps.
>
> "Lessee....430."
>
>> > > > Hams like antennas, and Morse code. They usually don't like the
>> > > > technical aspect of the hobby (except for antennas).
>> > >
>> > > (Here we go again....)
>> >
>> > (There YOU go again...)
>> >
>> > > Who says so, Vipul...?!?! What organization, rule or entity
>> > > made
>> > > this "rule"...?!?! I've asked you this before and you've never
>> > > explained yourself.
>> >
>> > Yet I see no mention of a "rule." Whatever are you talking about,
>> > Steve?
>>
>> Gee, Brain...You got the point but didn't even knoiw it...
>>
>> There's no "rule"...Yet Vippy seems to think there is.
>>
>> Good for you.
>
> I see no evidence that Vipul thinks there's a rule. I only see
> evidence of you thinking there is.
>
>> > > > They are often older, and male.
>> > >
>> > > So...Shall we implement euthansia and castration if a
>> > > licensee
>> > > dares to get older or have the wrong chromosomes...?!?!
>> >
>> > Shall you?
>>
>> Care to be number 1? I can help you either way you care to go...
>
> Is that a threat?
>
>> > > > They like to use the terminology.

>> > >
>> > > Uhhhhh...yyyeahhhh...It's called "communication"...In order
>> > > to
>> > > effectively express and exchange ideas there has to be some
>> > > agreed upon
>> > > language and terms relating to the intended topic, Vipul.
>> >
>> > QSL.
>> >
>> > > Please name me ANY sport, science, avocation, passtime or
>> > > other
>> > > human pursuit that DOESN'T have 'terminology', Vipul.
>> >
>> > So amateurs have terminology and -don't- like to use it? Are you
>> > agreeing with Vipul or disagreeing with Vipul???
>>
>> I am humiliating Vipul.
>
> You da man!
>
>> HE seems to think that there's some black undertones to Amateurs
>> using subject-specific terminology.
>
> Amateurs are encouraged to use plain language in every emergency
> management manual or training course that I've ever seen. Maybe Vipul
> is on to something. Maybe you're not.
>
>> I have pointed out to him, repeatedly, that there is NO human
>> endeavor that doesn't ahve it's own "lingo".
>
> Sleeping?
>
>> > > > They like to discuss ham politics.
>> > >
>> > > As opposed to roast beef politics...?!?!
>> >
>> > Chicken politics. Squawk, squawk. Like saying "Lessee....430"
>> > without
>> > explanation.
>>
>> No expalnation is necessary.
>
> More "lingo?" Quit using big words that you don't know what they
> mean.
>
>
>> Just like no explanation for illegal operating from Somalia.
>>
>> Or for what "major role" unlicensed devices play in "emergency
>> comms".

>>
>> And don't forget those overblown ARES folks who won't respond to
>> a
>> callout...
>>
>> Still waiting on those, Brain...
>
> More dodges. Creep.
>
>> > > > There are other characteristics of a ham, which I will not
>> > > > list.
>> > >
>> > > Of course not.
>> >
>> > Of course.
>> >
>> > > >> Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
>> > > >
>> > > > Yes, this is absolutely required to be accepted as a ham.
>> > >
>> > > Who says? Where is this stated? In what FCC rule or ARRL
>> > > by-law
>> > > is it established? Is it in invisible ink on my FCC Form 660?
>> >
>> > I disagree with Vipul on this one. Five WPM wasn't enough to be
>> > accepted as a full ham by many practioners of the ancient art.
>>
>> "Many"...?!?!
>>
>> I would interpret "many" as to be a significant percentage.
>> What's
>> YOUR call, Brain? 10%? 35%? 50%..?!?!
>>
>> From what demographic do you get your data?
>
> Why did you drop "Lessee....430" in one of your posting right before
> the Dayton Hamvention? Were you planning on stopping by my home while
> you were travelling in Ohio?
>
>> > > >> Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
>> > > >
>> > > > Hams share certain beliefs and characteristics.
>> > >
>> > > Baptists share certain beliefs and characteristics. So do
>> > > dentists. Police, Fire and EMS personnel have their own beliefs.
>> > > So
>> > > do Hindus, NASCAR drivers and cat lovers.
>> >
>> > What belief system do you share?
>>

>> Hmmmmm? Which one?
>
> Steve, right?
>
> I am basically conservative in my politics. I
>> am a former Paramedic and and presently an ACLS Nurse. I am a
>> licensed
>> aviator.
>>
>> Not to mention a 30+ year licensed Amateur.
>
> Paramedic and Nurse is a belief system? Aviation is a belief system?
>
>> > > What was YOUR point?
>> >
>> > What was your point in saying, "Lessee....430?"
>>
>> What was your point in "Somalia", "unlicensed devices", "ARES",
>> etc etc etc?
>
> Why can't you answer a question about you dropping my house number
> into
> one of your posts prior to the Dayton Hamvention?
>
>> > > > Without acceptance, one cannot be a "true" ham.
>> > >
>> > > Sure you can.
>> >
>> > I agree with Steve on this one. You just have to ignore the
>> > knuckle-heads that would put you down for not attaining ever higher
>> > Morse Code speeds.
>>
>> Then I can assume you now publically acknowledge that I have
>> stated, now and in the past, that being a 20+WPM code deamon is not a
>> prerequisite to being a good Amateur?
>
> I publically acknowledge that Steven J Robeson, 20WPM Extra is not a
> good Amateur.
>
>> > > Lennie doesn't accept ANY of us...
>> >
>> > Not true.
>>
>> He doesn't. He talks nice to you because of your public
>> expression
>> of "admration" fro him in the face of copious evidence that he's a
>> chronic liar.
>
> You're a chronic liar.
>

>> > > Does that mean we don't exist?
>> >
>> > You exist in a negative way.
>>
>> No more or less than you, Brain.
>
> We'll just have to disagree on that one.
>
>> > > Once and for all, Vipul...
>> > >
>> > > There is no one person, group, organization, entity or
>> > > "belief
>> > > system" that dictates what makes a "good ham".
>> >
>> > Not according to Larry, Dick, or Kelly.
>>
>> Oh?
>>
>> Where?
>>
>> I've enver seen one of them state that one and only one person,
>> group organization, entity or belief system is the only true one.
>>
>> Quotes, please.
>
> Dick quit being a VE because he didn't """believe""" that the new
> people were worthy of his time. Larry is on record numerous times
> telling everyone who tripped over his postings that he was better than
> everyone else. Kelly, well, he Kelly.
>
>> > Unfortunately, if only more amateur on here would come forward and
>> > condemn those who exhibit the characteristics of a "bad ham," such
>> > as
>> > yourself...
>>
>> But what transpires in here is NOT "Amateur Radio".
>
> An amateur is balanced.
>
>> You can discuss my on-air operating with K0HB, K8MN and N2EY if
>> you care to.
>>
>> N0IMD is not in my log, on ANY mode.
>
> And you are not in any of my logs. Not in my KA0ZFY, N0iMD, HL9ACT,
> N0iMD/KH2, N0iMD/9, N0iMD/T5, N0iMD/9 logs.
>
>> > > > Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the
>> > > > class of
>> > > > license they hold now?

>> > > >
>> > > > Sorry, I do not know or understand the question.
>> > >
>> > > Did you need it in another language? Seemed pretty straight
>> > > forward to me.
>> >
>> > Bruce has his Extra. Seems like most of the trouble-makers are
>> > Extras.
>>
>> Every bag of potatoes has one spoiled in it.
>
> At least.
>
>> Bruce is Amateur Radio's spoiled potatoe.
>
> Hi!
>
>> You'll please note that no one here with an Extra, other than
>> Bruce, LIKES Bruce.
>
> I don't care who likes whom. I merely stated that most of the
> trouble-makers are Extra licensed amateurs, and I stand by my
> statement.
>
>> > > > As for the class of
>> > > > licence they hold right now, Morse code is required above the
>> > > > Technician class. Those in the Technician class can still be
>> > > > hams, as
>> > > > long as they agree with ham beliefs. One of those beliefs, of
>> > > > course,
>> > > > is that they will eventually learn Morse code.
>> > >
>> > > You keep saying this but you never explain yourself.
>> >
>> > The have to profess the faith, and frequently claim that they are
>> > "studying the Morse Code."
>>
>> Who are "the", Brain? (I know you meant "they")...???
>
> Those who feel they have to agree with ham beliefs, or at least play
> lip service to it or else feel the scorn of the hard-boiled hams.
>
>> > > >> Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give
>> > > >> away
>> > > >> license" ever be considered a real ham?
>> > > >
>> > > > The license is a "give away" - no disputing that.
>> > >
>> > > Sure there is.
>> >

>> > Todays entry level license exam contains far more breadth and depth
>> > of
>> > radio communications knowledge than any entry level license in the
>> > history of amateur radio.
>>
>> Yep. Since 1991.
>>
>> Lennie's never availed himself of it.
>
> Nor have most Americans, even those who are highly skilled in radio
> communications and engineering.
>
>> > > To the best of my knowledge no one is "given" a license.
>> > > Everyone
>> > > is required to take one of several written exams. If they opt to
>> > > operate on HF, they must take a Morse Code exam.
>> >
>> > Captain Obvious.
>>
>> Obviously not. Jim (GXW) called it a "give away" and Vipul
>> agreed.
>>
>> I agree that it's almost childishly simple to get, however folks
>> still manage to fail it at a regular basis. It's still not a "give
>> away".
>
> Agreed.
>
>> > > And despite the fact that the license IS markedly easier to
>> > > get
>> > > these days, people still manage to fail the exams. Ergo it's NOT
>> > > a
>> > > "giveaway"...
>> >
>> > Far more difficult...
>> >
>> > > > How did you know about the vanity license?
>> > >
>> > > Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...read about it?
>> >
>> > K4CAP...?
>>
>> And K4YZ. And I read about the program, just like I suggest
>> above.
>>
>> Your point?
>
> You did more than read about it.
>
>> > > Maybe it was in some of that "terminology" you seem to think

>> > > is so
>> > > bad...
>> > >
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> > Terminology like, "Lessee....430?"
>>
>> Like "unlicensed devices", "overblown ARES", etc etc etc...
>
> No. Very much unlike those. Exactly like my house number.
>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
> I just can't understand why you would post my house number prior to
> the
> Dayton Hamvention unless you planned on stopping by while you were in
> Ohio.
>
> Were you planning on stopping by? Didn't you have something to
> "teach"
> me?
>

2186. best to avoid racist terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 18:53:34 UTC
From: uncle arnie <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all>
Message-ID: <11a3u5agqvufn71@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 109468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Best to avoid racist terms such as "paki". It's right up there with "nigger". "Monkey" is no better - only applied from what I see to non-white persons, it's at about the level of "boy".

Blue Cornchips wrote:

>
> Shamelessly stolen from alt.tasteless:
>
> (a real laugh riot! Great ways on how 2 insult foreign tech support
> monkeys !!)
>

2187. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 19:01:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117909792.599818.117180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109469

KC8GXW wrote:

> I didn't take the free upgrade to general,

There's no free upgrade to General.

If someone earned a Technician back when that license used the same
written as the General, they can get a no-additional test
upgrade to General through the VE system. FCC recognizes old
(pre-March 21, 1987) Element 3 as carrying credit for new
Element 3.

But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2188. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 19:41:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117914077.494926.155270@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109472

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > 3) The ARS has the image of an "old white guy's hobby" in some
> > circles.
>
> In a *lot* of circles and they're basically right.
> This phenomenon is a
> result of evolutionary forces at work within
> the hobby. There are two
> choices here: Go with the obvious flow and
> accept where Mother Nature
> is leading us and take advantage of it -OR- fight
> Mother which is
> always a losing battle and try to keep applying the
> mores, values and
> expectations of the yesteryears when we came into
> the hobby 50, 30 even
> 20 years ago.

Actually I we should go back to those "mores, values and
expectations of the yesteryears" - in a way.

Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
oriented publication) of the
so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
specifically aimed at "young'uns". The license requirements
weren't reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
the tests easier for kids to pass. The "Beginner And Novice"
columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
to kids!
>
> > > If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and
> > > recruit retirees instead of chasing kids?
> >
> > That's been going on for a couple decades now.
>
> Don't agree. Point out one example of a formal effort to
> consciously
> recruit older folk. Which is like all the widely publicized (and
> generally failed) programs which have been targeting kids over

> the years.

See above - I'm thinking the trick is to *not* target *any* age
group.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2189. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 19:42:12 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <headnQuYiuhenj_fRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 109470

```
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:3bmoe.147$UG3.38@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:JdydnblZgOoDnzzfRVn-oQ@centurytel.net...
>>
>>
>> Jim Hampton wrote:
>> > Hello, Dan
>> >
>> > A 1X2 call starting with "W" or "K"? How many of these folks do you
> think
>> > are around that are *not* in possession of a vanity call? C'mon! Give
> us a
>> > break! The original holders of 1X2 calls are mostly, if not entirely,
> sk.
>> > A dear friend of mine, W2ZS (almost the end of the 1X2 calls) has been
> sk
>> > for decades. Like about 3 decades.
>> >
>> > My original call, issued in 1962, was WN2CJV. That would have made me
>> > WB2CJV had I passed the 13 word per minute code test. Unfortunately,
>> > it
>> > took me another year to get to 13 and I obtained WB2OSP in 1964.
>> >
>> > With the issuance of vanity call signs, most of the 1X3 calls are
>> > gone -
>> > mostly vanity call signs. Depending upon the call district, some of
>> > the
>> > states were as far as a WD prefix.
>> >
>> > Since I doubt many folks would spend money to get a call sign beginning
> with
>> > WA, WB, etc., those are the folks that you can be certain have been
> licensed
>> > since the early 60s. I did obtain WA3RJX in 1970, however, when I
>> > moved
> to
>> > Pennsylvania. Still, had I kept that call, it would be 35 years old!
>> > Moving back then might also require a call sign change - along with a
>> > "newbie" type call sign.
>> >
>> > When the FCC allowed extra class licensees to choose their own call
>> > sign
```

> in
>> > the early 70s, I obtained N2JH. At that point, I'm not sure if they
> would
>> > allow you to grab an old expired call.
>> >
>> > Once the gates were opened for vanity call signs, all bets are off as
>> > to
> how
>> > long an amateur has been licensed. My bet would be that the WA and WB
> type
>> > prefixes would be the only ones that would *almost* guarantee the
> individual
>> > has been licensed long enough to qualify for the quarter century club.
> It
>> > won't be long (and may have already happened) that there are some 5
>> > word
> per
>> > minute folks with a 1X2 call (which means fairly new hams).
>>
>> I know a few with N8*** that are/were 5 wpm and were licensed in 1983 or
>> earlier, I don't think that would make them fairly new hams. A few more
>> years and they will qualify for the quarter century club.
>>
>> > I have to ask what the heck you were thinking with your response that a
> 1X2
>> > or 1X3 call indicates an old timer?
>> >
>> > ???
>> > :)
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>> >
>>
>
> This is true; I had the call N2JH back in the 70s. The N prefix was made
> available to amateurs sometime in the late 60s, but I'm not sure exactly
> when. My point, however, is that a WA or WB prefix pretty well indicates
> someone who has been licensed for 40 years or so (depending upon
> district).
> My WB2OSP license was issued in 1964, so figure the WA2 calls were a few
> years earlier (WB2CJV would have been in May, 1962).
>
> My point was that no one, I suspect, would apply for a WA or WB prefix
> under
> the vanity callsign rules. This would, to me, certify that individual as
> an
> old-timer. As to the 1X3 calls with a prefix of "W" or "K", my point is
> that most of them have probably been issued as a vanity call after the FCC

> started that sometime in the 1990s.
>
> I knew Dwight Hill, K2BRE and he has been an sk for quite a while. Were
> he
> still alive, he would be close to 90 years old. Certainly, there are some
> still around with us with a 1X3 issued back in the 50s or earlier (Wayne,
> W2NSD comes to mind), but I suspect *most* current 1X3 or 1X2 holders are
> holders due to having a vanity call.
>

They did not run out of sequentially issued 1x3 calls until sometime in 1993 (varying slightly by call area). Sequentially issued 1x2 calls were still available in come call areas into the early 1990s. The 1x2s really went fast in late 1980s and the very early 1990s. I knew a great many hams who had finally chosen to upgrade to Extra so that they could contribute to amateur radio by becoming VEs and got their 1x2s. Although you didn't have to be an Extra to be a VE, you were limited on who you could administer tests to if you were not.

I received my call (N8UZE) in 1992 and my daughter received her call (N8ZNW) in 1993.

> Dan's point of a 1X3 being an indicator of an old timer does not hold
> water.

Definitely not. Many holders of 1x3 calls got their licenses in the 1990s and many new licenses today are getting 1x3 calls through the vanity system since there are none available for sequential issue. However if one looks only at the sequentially issued calls, the Ws are older than the Ks which in turn are older than the Ns.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2190. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 19:50:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117914631.479794.72940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109471

From: "bb" on Sat 4 Jun 2005 09:02

>K4YZ wrote:
>> vshah1010@comcast.net wrote:
>> > KC8GXW wrote:
>>
>> VIPPY! Your're back!
>
>Hello Vipul.
>
>> > The definition you quoted says"Amateur Operator". There is no mention
>> > of the word "ham" in the definition.
>>
>> Oh crap....same old rhetoric...I see you haven't changed!
>
>He see's that you haven't either.

Stebie likes to crap...on everyone he no like.

>> > "Ham" is a label given to certain people with a certain belief system.
>>
>> What "belief systems", Vipul...?!?!
>>
>> "Belief systems" is a catch-phrase you usurped from Lennie
>> Anderson. Lennie is NOT a good role model to scam lines from.
>
>People don't have belief systems?

Tsk, tsk, Stebie have orgasm of crapping on folks not in
this thread. :-)

Stebie be role model. Stebie not model but he be playing
role of Gonad the Librarian in action films. [seven
hostile action films?]

>> > Hams like antennas, and Morse code. They usually don't like the
>> > technical aspect of the hobby (except for antennas).
>>
>> (Here we go again....)
>
>(There YOU go again...)

It's "rerun season" again, Brian. :-)

>> Who says so, Vipul...?!?! What organization, rule or entity made
>> this "rule"...?!?! I've asked you this before and you've never
>> explained yourself.

>
>Yet I see no mention of a "rule." Whatever are you talking about,
>Steve?

Stebie forget that Stebieland "rules" not published in Reality.

Stebie think He be "ruler."

["Give a man an inch and he thinks he's a ruler!" - tagline]

>> > They are often older, and male.
>>
>> So...Shall we implement euthansia and castration if a licensee
>> dares to get older or have the wrong chromosomes...?!?!
>
>Shall you?

Many in here wonder if Stebie is genus homo...

>> > They like to use the terminology.
>>
>> Uhhhhh...yyyeahhhh...It's called "communication"...In order to
>> effectively express and exchange ideas there has to be some agreed upon
>> language and terms relating to the intended topic, Vipul.
>
>QSL.

Stebie is a card.

>> Please name me ANY sport, science, avocation, passtime or other
>> human pursuit that DOESN'T have 'terminology', Vipul.
>
>So amateurs have terminology and -don't- like to use it? Are you
>agreeing with Vipul or disagreeing with Vipul???

Brian, the Rand Corporation cryptology department has been
working all night on that...still hasn't figured it out. :-)

>> > They like to discuss ham politics.
>>
>> As opposed to roast beef politics...?!?!
>
>Chicken politics. Squawk, squawk. Like saying "Lessee....430" without
>explanation.

Ham have beef? Sounds fishy. Most foul.

Why did Stebie cross the road? To get to Brian's house
for breakfast of stalking-over-easy, to crisp his toast
and pee in his post toasties. Stebie think like that.

>> > There are other characteristics of a ham, which I will not list.
>>
>> Of course not.

>
>Of course.

Stebie off course.

>> >> Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
>> >
>> > Yes, this is absolutely required to be accepted as a ham.
>>
>> Who says? Where is this stated? In what FCC rule or ARRL by-law
>> is it established? Is it in invisible ink on my FCC Form 660?
>
>I disagree with Vipul on this one. Five WPM wasn't enough to be
>accepted as a full ham by many practioners of the ancient art.

Right you are, Brian! WPM >>GREATER<< than 60!!!

ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society) needs mighty macho
morsemen heroes!!! "Faster than a speeding CQ, able to
leap tall pile-ups in a single QSO!"

Look, up in the sky...is it a bird? Is it a plane? No!
It's SuperHAM! <cut to tight shot of Stebie in tights,
a big ass on his chest> <roll up heroic theme music>

>> >> Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
>> >
>> > Hams share certain beliefs and characteristics.
>>
>> Baptists share certain beliefs and characteristics. So do
>> dentists. Police, Fire and EMS personnel have their own beliefs. So
>> do Hindus, NASCAR drivers and cat lovers.
>
>What belief system do you share?

Stebie belong Church of Stebiology?

>> What was YOUR point?
>
>What was your point in saying, "Lessee....430?"

"Steve and the Boys" were looking for "action."

At least "seven" of them. :-)

>> Lennie doesn't accept ANY of us...
>
>Not true.

I think Stebie was speaking for HIS multiple personalities.

>> Does that mean we don't exist?
>
>You exist in a negative way.

"For every action there is a reaction." - Newton

"I have seven hostile actions." - Stebie

>> Once and for all, Vipul...
>>
>> There is no one person, group, organization, entity or "belief
>> system" that dictates what makes a "good ham".
>
>Not according to Larry, Dick, or Kelly.

The Three Stooges?

>Unfortunately, if only more amateur on here would come forward and
>condemn those who exhibit the characteristics of a "bad ham," such as
>yourself...

Stebie will find out their house number and threaten them
with it... :-)

>> > As for the class of
>> > licence they hold right now, Morse code is required above the
>> > Technician class. Those in the Technician class can still be hams, as
>> > long as they agree with ham beliefs. One of those beliefs, of course,
>> > is that they will eventually learn Morse code.
>>
>> You keep saying this but you never explain yourself.
>
>The have to profess the faith, and frequently claim that they are
>"studying the Morse Code."

...and admire, respect, worship Stebie. :-)

>> To the best of my knowledge no one is "given" a license. Everyone
>> is required to take one of several written exams. If they opt to
>> operate on HF, they must take a Morse Code exam.
>
>Captain Obvious.

[I think it may have just dawned on Stebie's mighty intellect]

>> And despite the fact that the license IS markedly easier to get
>> these days, people still manage to fail the exams. Ergo it's NOT a
>> "giveaway"...
>
>Far more difficult...

Nine-year-olds can pass it. Stebie's nine-year-old pass it?
We not hear of that. Mebbe too difficult for her...?

True definition: "Ham is the butchered meat of swine."

Heh heh heh. Stebie gonna round up "his Boys" and post my
house number, for sure... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2191. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 19:51:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <hDnoe.199$BU4.28433478@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109492

Dig up people out of the cemetery and hand 'em ham licenses?

Warmest regards,
John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1117914077.494926.155270@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > 3) The ARS has the image of an "old white guy's hobby" in some
>> > circles.
>>
>> In a *lot* of circles and they're basically right.
>> This phenomenon is a
>> result of evolutionary forces at work within
>> the hobby. There are two
>> choices here: Go with the obvious flow and
>> accept where Mother Nature
>> is leading us and take advantage of it -OR- fight
>> Mother which is
>> always a losing battle and try to keep applying the
>> mores, values and
>> expectations of the yesteryears when we came into
>> the hobby 50, 30 even
>> 20 years ago.
>
> Actually I we should go back to those "mores, values and
> expectations of the yesteryears" - in a way.
>
> Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
> and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
> oriented publication) of the
> so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
> annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
> specifically aimed at "young'uns". The license requirements
> *weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
> the tests easier for kids to pass. The "Beginner And Novice"
> columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
> And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
> to kids!
>>
>> > > If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and
>> > > recruit retirees instead of chasing kids?

>> >
>> > That's been going on for a couple decades now.
>>
>> Don't agree. Point out one example of a formal effort to
>> consciously
>> recruit older folk. Which is like all the widely publicized (and
>> generally failed) programs which have been targeting kids over
>> the years.
>
> See above - I'm thinking the trick is to *not* target *any* age
> group.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2192. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 20:10:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117915846.660615.53640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109473

From: "bb" on Sat 4 Jun 2005 09:02

>K4YZ wrote:
>> vshah1010@comcast.net wrote:
>> > KC8GXW wrote:
>>
>> VIPPY! Your're back!
>
>Hello Vipul.
>
>> > The definition you quoted says"Amateur Operator". There is no mention
>> > of the word "ham" in the definition.
>>
>> Oh crap....same old rhetoric...I see you haven't changed!
>
>He see's that you haven't either.

Stebie likes to crap...on everyone he no like.

>> > "Ham" is a label given to certain people with a certain belief system.
>>
>> What "belief systems", Vipul...?!?!
>>
>> "Belief systems" is a catch-phrase you usurped from Lennie
>> Anderson. Lennie is NOT a good role model to scam lines from.
>
>People don't have belief systems?

Tsk, tsk, Stebie have orgasm of crapping on folks not in
this thread. :-)

Stebie be role model. Stebie not model but he be playing
role of Gonad the Librarian in action films. [seven
hostile action films?]

>> > Hams like antennas, and Morse code. They usually don't like the
>> > technical aspect of the hobby (except for antennas).
>>
>> (Here we go again....)
>
>(There YOU go again...)

It's "rerun season" again, Brian. :-)

>> Who says so, Vipul...?!?! What organization, rule or entity made
>> this "rule"...?!?! I've asked you this before and you've never
>> explained yourself.

>
>Yet I see no mention of a "rule." Whatever are you talking about,
>Steve?

Stebie forget that Stebieland "rules" not published in Reality.

Stebie think He be "ruler."

["Give a man an inch and he thinks he's a ruler!" - tagline]

>> > They are often older, and male.
>>
>> So...Shall we implement euthansia and castration if a licensee
>> dares to get older or have the wrong chromosomes...?!?!
>
>Shall you?

Many in here wonder if Stebie is genus homo...

>> > They like to use the terminology.
>>
>> Uhhhhh...yyyeahhhh...It's called "communication"...In order to
>> effectively express and exchange ideas there has to be some agreed upon
>> language and terms relating to the intended topic, Vipul.
>
>QSL.

Stebie is a card.

>> Please name me ANY sport, science, avocation, passtime or other
>> human pursuit that DOESN'T have 'terminology', Vipul.
>
>So amateurs have terminology and -don't- like to use it? Are you
>agreeing with Vipul or disagreeing with Vipul???

Brian, the Rand Corporation cryptology department has been
working all night on that...still hasn't figured it out. :-)

>> > They like to discuss ham politics.
>>
>> As opposed to roast beef politics...?!?!
>
>Chicken politics. Squawk, squawk. Like saying "Lessee....430" without
>explanation.

Ham have beef? Sounds fishy. Most foul.

Why did Stebie cross the road? To get to Brian's house
for breakfast of stalking-over-easy, to crisp his toast
and pee in his post toasties. Stebie think like that.

>> > There are other characteristics of a ham, which I will not list.
>>
>> Of course not.

>
>Of course.

Stebie off course.

>> >> Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
>> >
>> > Yes, this is absolutely required to be accepted as a ham.
>>
>> Who says? Where is this stated? In what FCC rule or ARRL by-law
>> is it established? Is it in invisible ink on my FCC Form 660?
>
>I disagree with Vipul on this one. Five WPM wasn't enough to be
>accepted as a full ham by many practioners of the ancient art.

Right you are, Brian! WPM >>GREATER<< than 60!!!

ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society) needs mighty macho
morsemen heroes!!! "Faster than a speeding CQ, able to
leap tall pile-ups in a single QSO!"

Look, up in the sky...is it a bird? Is it a plane? No!
It's SuperHAM! <cut to tight shot of Stebie in tights,
a big ass on his chest> <roll up heroic theme music>

>> >> Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
>> >
>> > Hams share certain beliefs and characteristics.
>>
>> Baptists share certain beliefs and characteristics. So do
>> dentists. Police, Fire and EMS personnel have their own beliefs. So
>> do Hindus, NASCAR drivers and cat lovers.
>
>What belief system do you share?

Stebie belong Church of Stebiology?

>> What was YOUR point?
>
>What was your point in saying, "Lessee....430?"

"Steve and the Boys" were looking for "action."

At least "seven" of them. :-)

>> Lennie doesn't accept ANY of us...
>
>Not true.

I think Stebie was speaking for HIS multiple personalities.

>> Does that mean we don't exist?
>
>You exist in a negative way.

"For every action there is a reaction." - Newton

"I have seven hostile actions." - Stebie

>> Once and for all, Vipul...
>>
>> There is no one person, group, organization, entity or "belief
>> system" that dictates what makes a "good ham".
>
>Not according to Larry, Dick, or Kelly.

The Three Stooges?

>Unfortunately, if only more amateur on here would come forward and
>condemn those who exhibit the characteristics of a "bad ham," such as
>yourself...

Stebie will find out their house number and threaten them
with it... :-)

>> > As for the class of
>> > licence they hold right now, Morse code is required above the
>> > Technician class. Those in the Technician class can still be hams, as
>> > long as they agree with ham beliefs. One of those beliefs, of course,
>> > is that they will eventually learn Morse code.
>>
>> You keep saying this but you never explain yourself.
>
>The have to profess the faith, and frequently claim that they are
>"studying the Morse Code."

...and admire, respect, worship Stebie. :-)

>> To the best of my knowledge no one is "given" a license. Everyone
>> is required to take one of several written exams. If they opt to
>> operate on HF, they must take a Morse Code exam.
>
>Captain Obvious.

[I think it may have just dawned on Stebie's mighty intellect]

>> And despite the fact that the license IS markedly easier to get
>> these days, people still manage to fail the exams. Ergo it's NOT a
>> "giveaway"...
>
>Far more difficult...

Nine-year-olds can pass it. Stebie's nine-year-old pass it?
We not hear of that. Mebbe too difficult for her...?

True definition: "Ham is the butchered meat of swine."

Heh heh heh. Stebie gonna round up "his Boys" and post my
house number, for sure... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2193. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 20:16:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117916189.353946.49350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109475

From: "bb" on Sat 4 Jun 2005 05:54

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Fri 3 Jun 2005 03:51
>>
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: bb on Jun 2, 6:27 pm
>> >>
>> >> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> bb wrote:

>> I know...:-) But, we have the solitary tomb sentinel busy
>> "guarding" the territory for ANY opinions other than his own.
>>
>> We are stuck with the Avenging Angle of Dearth. Ho hum.
>>
>> Eventually the "pop" will be an artery and he will become
>> the usual vegetable garden typical to stroke victims. We
>> will be able to see that while we are still alive. :-)
>>
>> That is going to put a damper on his Class A behavior and
>> incessant machismo attitude of always "being right" (in his
>> own mind). His stress is building. Tsk.
>
>Notice how the coward won't explain what he meant by "Lessee...430." I
>need to start tabulating the dodges like the time I kept track of his
>new lies for three weeks.

Well, don't expect him to change any time soon. He's tried
the same thing with me (10048 being my house number) except
that my entire mailing address has been printed in HR in
every article (common procedure in amateur radio periodicals).

The solitary tomb sentinel has an ugly habit of bullying
others to "gain supremacy" or something similar. He can do
so in relative "safety" from the time-distance isolation of
this medium. Bullies take advantage of that "protection"
(and usually act a lot "tougher") but all it shows is ordinary
cowardice.

>> >I tire of Steve's incessant lying. I tire of his peers always giving
>> >him a pass on his tirades. They're all a pretty useless assembly of
>> >Extras.
>>
>> Understand fully. They aren't ever going to be role models
>> of anything they way they act and posture in here.

>
>Steven J. Robeson has soiled his father's name.

Yes, and probably soiled his pants on encountering some who
don't feel threatened by his bullying machismo. :-)

>> way too overworked over their hobby discussions. When he
>> found a couple of radio amateurs engaged in a heated argument
>> he would listen a minute or so, then casually interrupt with
>> "Say, did you know that ham is the butchered meat of swine?"
>>
>> The arguers would be momentarily stunned. He would grin
>> slightly and amble away, the grin growing. He had the right

>Careful, now. You might upset the mighty machismo morsemen, especially
>"Jimmy the Riveter."

Heh heh heh. I'm not worried about Jimmie. He gets upset so
easily, thinks I am "calling him names."

Tsk, tsk. I've called him all sorts of names: James, Jim,
Jimmy, Jimmie, James Miccolis, and just Miccolis. He feels
so "insulted" now about being called all those NAMES that he
just wastes everyone's time.

Ham radio has certainly changed since I first heard about it
back in the 40s. So many uptight folks in it now, so easily
bruised, some wanting to bully, terrorize, and FIGHT! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2194. Re: Lennie Is A Nit Who Should be Picked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 20:22:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117916515.722240.223780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109476

From: "K4YZ" <LaraCrapTombRaider@aol.com> on Sat 4 Jun 2005 00:38

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Leo on Fri 3 Jun 2005 18:45

> You ARE dishonorable, Lennie.
>
> Over the course of 3 years or so you've repeated these stories
>about Soldiers you didn' know who died in combat before yo were even
>inducted in such a way as to associate YOUR lame rear-area,
>non-combatant radio mechanic duty with them for your own
>glorification..
>
> That was disgusting enough.
>
> Now you've tried to score your self-proclaimed "newsgroup
>messaging points" with this purile "parody" of the Tomb of the
>Unknowns.
>
> I just can't manifest language intense enough to describe how vile
>I find THAT without using profanity in a public forum
>
> Lastly, you do so while insulting the participants in an avocation
>for which you have utter disgust, have blatantly lied about, and have
>no intention what-so-ever of being the least bit helpful for.
>
> "Scumbag" pretty well sums it up.
>
> In short, "we" don't really care what YOU find "abhorrent,
>distasteful and disrespectable"...because you ARE 'abhorrent,
>distasteful and disrespectful'.
>
> Putz.

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary
figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely
path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron
in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air,
interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet
extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep
in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single
sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux
you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do
bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the
sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does

not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

2195. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 20:49:06 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <6tooe.151$oZ3.150@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109477

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:headnQuYiuhenj_fRVn-oA@comcast.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:3bmoe.147$UG3.38@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> > "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> > news:JdydnblZgOoDnzzfRVn-oQ@centurytel.net...
> >>
> >>
> >> Jim Hampton wrote:
> >> > Hello, Dan
> >> >
> >> > A 1X2 call starting with "W" or "K"? How many of these folks do you
> > think
> >> > are around that are *not* in possession of a vanity call? C'mon!
Give
> > us a
> >> > break! The original holders of 1X2 calls are mostly, if not
entirely,
> > sk.
> >> > A dear friend of mine, W2ZS (almost the end of the 1X2 calls) has
been
> > sk
> >> > for decades. Like about 3 decades.
> >> >
> >> > My original call, issued in 1962, was WN2CJV. That would have made
me
> >> > WB2CJV had I passed the 13 word per minute code test. Unfortunately,
> >> > it
> >> > took me another year to get to 13 and I obtained WB2OSP in 1964.
> >> >
> >> > With the issuance of vanity call signs, most of the 1X3 calls are
> >> > gone -
> >> > mostly vanity call signs. Depending upon the call district, some of
> >> > the
> >> > states were as far as a WD prefix.
> >> >
> >> > Since I doubt many folks would spend money to get a call sign
beginning
> > with
> >> > WA, WB, etc., those are the folks that you can be certain have been
> > licensed
> >> > since the early 60s. I did obtain WA3RJX in 1970, however, when I

> >> > moved
> > to
> >> > Pennsylvania. Still, had I kept that call, it would be 35 years old!
> >> > Moving back then might also require a call sign change - along with a
> >> > "newbie" type call sign.
> >> >
> >> > When the FCC allowed extra class licensees to choose their own call
> >> > sign
> > in
> >> > the early 70s, I obtained N2JH. At that point, I'm not sure if they
> > would
> >> > allow you to grab an old expired call.
> >> >
> >> > Once the gates were opened for vanity call signs, all bets are off as
> >> > to
> > how
> >> > long an amateur has been licensed. My bet would be that the WA and
WB
> > type
> >> > prefixes would be the only ones that would *almost* guarantee the
> > individual
> >> > has been licensed long enough to qualify for the quarter century
club.
> > It
> >> > won't be long (and may have already happened) that there are some 5
> >> > word
> > per
> >> > minute folks with a 1X2 call (which means fairly new hams).
> >>
> >> I know a few with N8*** that are/were 5 wpm and were licensed in 1983
or
> >> earlier, I don't think that would make them fairly new hams. A few more
> >> years and they will qualify for the quarter century club.
> >>
> >> > I have to ask what the heck you were thinking with your response that
a
> > 1X2
> >> > or 1X3 call indicates an old timer?
> >> >
> >> > ???
> >> > :)
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> >> > Jim AA2QA
> >> >
> >>
> >
> > This is true; I had the call N2JH back in the 70s. The N prefix was
made

> > available to amateurs sometime in the late 60s, but I'm not sure exactly
> > when. My point, however, is that a WA or WB prefix pretty well
indicates
> > someone who has been licensed for 40 years or so (depending upon
> > district).
> > My WB2OSP license was issued in 1964, so figure the WA2 calls were a few
> > years earlier (WB2CJV would have been in May, 1962).
> >
> > My point was that no one, I suspect, would apply for a WA or WB prefix
> > under
> > the vanity callsign rules. This would, to me, certify that individual
as
> > an
> > old-timer. As to the 1X3 calls with a prefix of "W" or "K", my point is
> > that most of them have probably been issued as a vanity call after the
FCC
> > started that sometime in the 1990s.
> >
> > I knew Dwight Hill, K2BRE and he has been an sk for quite a while. Were
> > he
> > still alive, he would be close to 90 years old. Certainly, there are
some
> > still around with us with a 1X3 issued back in the 50s or earlier
(Wayne,
> > W2NSD comes to mind), but I suspect *most* current 1X3 or 1X2 holders
are
> > holders due to having a vanity call.
> >
>
> They did not run out of sequentially issued 1x3 calls until sometime in
1993
> (varying slightly by call area). Sequentially issued 1x2 calls were still
> available in come call areas into the early 1990s. The 1x2s really went
> fast in late 1980s and the very early 1990s. I knew a great many hams who
> had finally chosen to upgrade to Extra so that they could contribute to
> amateur radio by becoming VEs and got their 1x2s. Although you didn't
have
> to be an Extra to be a VE, you were limited on who you could administer
> tests to if you were not.
>
> I received my call (N8UZE) in 1992 and my daughter received her call
(N8ZNW)
> in 1993.
>
> > Dan's point of a 1X3 being an indicator of an old timer does not hold
> > water.
>
> Definitely not. Many holders of 1x3 calls got their licenses in the 1990s
> and many new licenses today are getting 1x3 calls through the vanity
system

> since there are none available for sequential issue. However if one looks
> only at the sequentially issued calls, the Ws are older than the Ks which
in
> turn are older than the Ns.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
Hello, Dee

I am pretty sure you are correct. I also believe that WV2 was the novice prefix which would turn into WA2 when the tech or general was obtained (no quick routes to the extra back then; you had to have some time with a license before you could even take the test). WN2 turned into WB2. Not sure about the K prefix. The novice goes back into the 50s, I believe.

You are right, when the Ws were exhausted, they ran through the Ks. Then they started with WA and so on. The N prefix became available in the very late 60s or very early 70s. WA and WB predate the N prefix.

Still haven't heard from Dan. Perhaps we could sked for a nice chat - as long as he can put up with a "newbie". Better keep it slow, say 30 words per minute cw with no automated copy devices. I suppose if he pushes me, I might consider 40. Not much more, however; I'm not up to that stuff anymore. Of course, if push came to shove .... I might be willing to give the high-speed stuff a crack, but don't expect much from a former WN prefix.

If he *really* wants to push the envelope, I'd be willing to take a week and meet him using American Land-Line Morse. Should be good for a hoot. No more than 16, however, as I'd be using a mental look-up table. Not enough call for land-line Morse to make it worthwhile to get good at it. I only used it once in 1968. Of course, I hadn't copied Morse since 1969 when I retook the extra in 1993 .... at 20 words per minute .... ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA, newbie extrodinaire
ps - the new guys and gals deserve respect too. I guess I just didn't care for his implication on the prefix.

2196. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 21:07:58 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117919278.732222.95610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109478

John Smith wrote:
> Sounds to me that many here have confused the idea of "a decent human
> being" with a "ham"...

I have no such illusions.

true, the best type of ham is both a "hobbist
> radio operator" and "a decent human being"... however, I am not so sure
> the FCC is into regulating that one trait...
>
> John

John, you'll catch hell on here for describing amateur radio as a
"hobby."

2197. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 21:46:21 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <b9CdnZRh5r2wvD_fRVn-sQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109479

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
>
>
>>I didn't take the free upgrade to general,
>
>
> There's no free upgrade to General.
>
> If someone earned a Technician back when that license used the same
> written as the General, they can get a no-additional test
> upgrade to General through the VE system. FCC recognizes old
> (pre-March 21, 1987) Element 3 as carrying credit for new
> Element 3.
>
> But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
> Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a give away
tech license! :)

2198. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 21:48:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ukpoe.216$ox7.30076062@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109480

Really? What else I am supposed to be doing, listening for ET?
<tongue-in-cheek>

Warmest regards,
John

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1117919278.732222.95610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Sounds to me that many here have confused the idea of "a decent human
>> being" with a "ham"...
>
> I have no such illusions.
>
> true, the best type of ham is both a "hobbist
>> radio operator" and "a decent human being"... however, I am not so
>> sure
>> the FCC is into regulating that one trait...
>>
>> John
>
> John, you'll catch hell on here for describing amateur radio as a
> "hobby."
>

2199. Re: best to avoid racist terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 22:32:09 UTC
From: running dogg <run@ning.dog>
Message-ID: <42a22cd0$1_1@spool9-west.superfeed.net>
Source record: 109481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.shortwave

uncle arnie wrote:

> Best to avoid racist terms such as "paki". It's right up there with
> "nigger". "Monkey" is no better - only applied from what I see to non-white
> persons, it's at about the level of "boy".
>
> Blue Cornchips wrote:
>
> >
> > Shamelessly stolen from alt.tasteless:
> >
> > (a real laugh riot! Great ways on how 2 insult foreign tech support
> > monkeys !!)
> >
>

And people then wonder why the tech support "monkey" in India is so
surly. If you lived in Bangalore and had to work from 9pm to 5am doing
tech support for Americans who call you "paki" all the time, you'd be
mad too.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2200. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 23:22:04 UTC
From: Aristotle <wandering_philosopher@socratic_disciplines.org>
Message-ID: <5md4a19d1qknqed0ulu859n6m75s15kat3@4ax.com>
Source record: 109482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

>Most Indians speak very good English, at least in the cities, AFAIK. I've
>never been to India, but all the Indians I've met elsewhere spoke perfect
>English, albeit sometimes heavily accented. They have many different
>languages and use English as a lingua franca to talk to other Indians in
>their own country, so complete fluency is more the rule than the exception.

I know that most Indians speak good English, and I have no problem
with their accent. But I had one once who apparently did not
understand English. It was as if he was reading from a script and was
expecting a certain answer back. It was VERY frustrating. Perhaps if
these call centers were a little more discriminating in who they
hired.

2201. Re: best to avoid racist terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 23:56:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <kcroe.13961$M36.7847@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"running dogg" <run@ning.dog> wrote in message
news:42a22cd0$1_1@spool9-west.superfeed.net...
> uncle arnie wrote:
>
>> Best to avoid racist terms such as "paki". It's right up there with
>> "nigger". "Monkey" is no better - only applied from what I see to
>> non-white
>> persons, it's at about the level of "boy".
>>
>> Blue Cornchips wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > Shamelessly stolen from alt.tasteless:
>> >
>> > (a real laugh riot! Great ways on how 2 insult foreign tech support
>> > monkeys !!)
>> >
>>
>
> And people then wonder why the tech support "monkey" in India is so
> surly. If you lived in Bangalore and had to work from 9pm to 5am doing
> tech support for Americans who call you "paki" all the time, you'd be
> mad too.
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

Excuse me....what the hell is a paki? And why is it a racist slur?

Dan/W4NTI
```

2202. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 23:56:24 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <V6idnd-vkeu1-j_fRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109501

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> I am pretty sure you are correct. I also believe that WV2 was the novice
> prefix which would turn into WA2 when the tech or general was obtained (no
> quick routes to the extra back then; you had to have some time with a
> license before you could even take the test). WN2 turned into WB2. Not
> sure about the K prefix. The novice goes back into the 50s, I believe.

Novices who got K calls were KN, the N being dropped with upgrade. When
I got my Novice I recieved a K call, friends who were licensed at the
same time got WN calls which became WA on upgrade. The WA lasted until
they ran out of A's then they started issuing WB's.

2203. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 23:58:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <V6idnd6vkes--j_fRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109502

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:6tooe.151$oZ3.150@news02.roc.ny...
>
>
>>You are right, when the Ws were exhausted, they ran through the Ks. Then
>>they started with WA and so on. The N prefix became available in the very
>>late 60s or very early 70s. WA and WB predate the N prefix.
>>
>
> I don't know about all area's but I can say this, for the 8th
> district...circa summer of 1961 they did indeed re-issue K calls.

Same in 5 land, my K call was a re-issue.

2204. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-04 23:58:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117929524.777789.183070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109484

John Smith wrote:
> Really? What else I am supposed to be doing, listening for ET?
> <tongue-in-cheek>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

It's OK. Really. Balanced hams don't worry about what the fringe
think.

;^)

2205. Re: Thanks for the humor, Lennie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 00:09:24 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117930164.687422.34730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109485

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> A pathetic example of a Oxygen Bandit if I have ever seen one. What are
> they putting on your grave stone Lennie? May I recommend this one ?
>
> W A N A B E E First Class
>
>
> or perhaps;
>
> CHAIRBOURN RANGER
>
> It's a toss up....which do you prefer?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

I suggest for yours:

You should worry about your own piece of the rock.

2206. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 00:17:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Nwroe.13967$M36.257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109486

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:ScKdnVTHcLqSSjzfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
>> news:1d-dnWeqRoMeNALfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...
>>
>>>What makes a person a real ham?
>>>Is it doing more then 5 wpm code?
>>
>> A "Real Ham" is proficient in all forms and modes of communications. One
>> of the primary purposes of Amateur Radio is to provide a pool of
>> qualified radio operators in the event of emergency. Thus being able to
>> use Morse Code at anything but training wheel speed is a big plus.
>>
>>
>>
>>>Is it being accepted by certain other hams?
>>
>>
>> No, not entirely. But being able to assimilate with minimum friction is
>> a big help. In otherwords don't show up with a "I know it all attitude"
>> and have a bit of respect for those that have been there before you.
>
> Would that be the "give away license" that you made reference to in
> another post? You do realize that there are still Tech's out there that
> took the old Novice and then upgraded to Tech by doing the general theory!

Yes it is, and yes I am. So what? The general theory was mainly concerned
with freqs used by Generals and HF stuff. At that time in history the Tech
test was centered around V/Uhf stuff.
>
>>>Could it be the date they were first licensed, or maybe the class of
>>>license they hold now?
>>
>>
>> That does have a bearing on things. Don't come on with the above
>> attitute holding a Technician ticket for 6 months, and expect to be
>> treating with respect. Respect is earned me boy.
>>
>>
>>>Can a person climb to Extra with today's test and be considered a real
>>>ham?

>>
>>
>> No, not really. Must I elaborate on the obvious?
>>
>> If one does that wonderous dead and then EARNS the respect of those other
>> hams. A lot of what I said above is bypassed. Respect, Earned those
>> are the key words here.
>>
>>
>>>Is yodoc aka K3LT a real ham, or the one to determine who is a real ham?
>>
>>
>> Don't know the man.
>>
>>
>>>Can somebody who gets into amateur radio today with the "give away
>>>license" ever be considered a real ham?
>>
>>
>> Sure...see above.
>>
>>
>>>Does getting a vanity license that is an older call such as K8*** or
>>>WD8*** make you a real ham?
>>
>>
>> A WD8? a joke right? Try W or K ...one by two or three, non vanity of
>> course. Thats an old timer.
>
> But there are too many no code tech's that get a vanity call now adays.

Which totally sucks. The FCC at ONE TIME wanted distintive callsigns for
class of license. Now it is too much work to bother with. What a joke they
are.

>>
>> As a matter of fact the FCC once considered the W/K prefix "prefered
>> calls". And at one time the FCC required you to change your call if you
>> permanetly moved to another district. And in deference to the W/K....if
>> you had one they would issue another from the prefered block.
>>
>> Which is how I got my present call (W4NTI) because I used to have K8BHH.
>> Course that all went to crap with the alphabet soup system of today.
>
> There's an Extra near here that still has his K8*** call, he was a tech
> until the early nineties and upgraded to Extra when it was still 20 wpm.

So what? having a old K8 means he has a original issue K8 call. You don't
seem to comprehend that what was this and what is now ain't no where near
the same. All there was back then was W and K calls, followed by WA, WB,
WD, then a re-issue for a while, then the alphabet soup we have today.

>>
>>
>>>I didn't take the free upgrade to general, so would this make me a cb'er
>>>or no coder as the toad says?
>>
>>
>> No, just a no coder. Unless of course you did pass a 5wpm test. Then
>> you would be a Technician. Hi hi.
>
> I took the 5wpm when I did my novice.

Congrats....so did I. Then I jumped over the Tech and took my General at
13wpm, then my Advanced then my Extra at 20wpm. Your point is?

>>
>>
>>>I'm not being a troll, I would really like to know the answers to this!
>>>
>>
>>
>> Hope that helps a bit.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
Dan/W4NTI

2207. Re: best to avoid racist terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 00:20:22 UTC
From: Conan Ford <conanford@com.hotmail>
Message-ID: <Xns966BBA8F9A5CAconanfordhotmailcom1@24.71.223.159>
Source record: 109487

uncle arnie <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all> wrote in
news:11a3u5agqvufn71@corp.supernews.com:

> Best to avoid racist terms such as "paki". It's right up there with
> "nigger". "Monkey" is no better - only applied from what I see to
> non-white persons, it's at about the level of "boy".
>

Well, President Bush said "paki" once a couple years ago, out of naivety,
so why can't everyone else?

2208. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 00:22:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117930932.500692.186360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109488

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sat 4 Jun 2005 05:54
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "bb" on Fri 3 Jun 2005 03:51
> >>
> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: bb on Jun 2, 6:27 pm
> >> >>
> >> >> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> >> bb wrote:
>
> >> I know...:-) But, we have the solitary tomb sentinel busy
> >> "guarding" the territory for ANY opinions other than his own.
> >>
> >> We are stuck with the Avenging Angle of Dearth. Ho hum.
> >>
> >> Eventually the "pop" will be an artery and he will become
> >> the usual vegetable garden typical to stroke victims. We
> >> will be able to see that while we are still alive. :-)
> >>
> >> That is going to put a damper on his Class A behavior and
> >> incessant machismo attitude of always "being right" (in his
> >> own mind). His stress is building. Tsk.
> >
> >Notice how the coward won't explain what he meant by "Lessee...430." I
> >need to start tabulating the dodges like the time I kept track of his
> >new lies for three weeks.
>
> Well, don't expect him to change any time soon. He's tried
> the same thing with me (10048 being my house number) except
> that my entire mailing address has been printed in HR in
> every article (common procedure in amateur radio periodicals).
>
> The solitary tomb sentinel has an ugly habit of bullying
> others to "gain supremacy" or something similar. He can do
> so in relative "safety" from the time-distance isolation of
> this medium. Bullies take advantage of that "protection"
> (and usually act a lot "tougher") but all it shows is ordinary
> cowardice.
>
>
> >> >I tire of Steve's incessant lying. I tire of his peers always giving

> >> >him a pass on his tirades. They're all a pretty useless assembly of
> >> >Extras.
> >>
> >> Understand fully. They aren't ever going to be role models
> >> of anything they way they act and posture in here.
> >
> >Steven J. Robeson has soiled his father's name.
>
> Yes, and probably soiled his pants on encountering some who
> don't feel threatened by his bullying machismo. :-)
>
> >> way too overworked over their hobby discussions. When he
> >> found a couple of radio amateurs engaged in a heated argument
> >> he would listen a minute or so, then casually interrupt with
> >> "Say, did you know that ham is the butchered meat of swine?"
> >>
> >> The arguers would be momentarily stunned. He would grin
> >> slightly and amble away, the grin growing. He had the right
>
> >Careful, now. You might upset the mighty machismo morsemen, especially
> >"Jimmy the Riveter."
>
> Heh heh heh. I'm not worried about Jimmie. He gets upset so
> easily, thinks I am "calling him names."
>
> Tsk, tsk. I've called him all sorts of names: James, Jim,
> Jimmy, Jimmie, James Miccolis, and just Miccolis. He feels
> so "insulted" now about being called all those NAMES that he
> just wastes everyone's time.
>
> Ham radio has certainly changed since I first heard about it
> back in the 40s. So many uptight folks in it now, so easily
> bruised, some wanting to bully, terrorize, and FIGHT! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

These are certainly not the good people that I first met when I became
a ham. The trouble with amateur radio started with the advent of the
no-code license. People began entering the service that did not
worship at the altar of St. Hiram, and did not kneel and kisst the feet
of Extras.

2209. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 00:30:36 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <MIroe.15552$w21.5081@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109489

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:headnQuYiuhenj_fRVn-oA@comcast.com...

>
>> Dan's point of a 1X3 being an indicator of an old timer does not hold
>> water.
>
> Definitely not. Many holders of 1x3 calls got their licenses in the 1990s
> and many new licenses today are getting 1x3 calls through the vanity
> system since there are none available for sequential issue. However if
> one looks only at the sequentially issued calls, the Ws are older than the
> Ks which in turn are older than the Ns.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

I think you are trying to be a devils advocate here Dee. You should know I
was refering to the time before incentive licensing. If you don't...you do
now. So my comments are valid and correct.

Dan/W4NTI

2210. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 00:39:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <5Rroe.12980$uR4.11952@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109490

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:6tooe.151$oZ3.150@news02.roc.ny...

>
> You are right, when the Ws were exhausted, they ran through the Ks. Then
> they started with WA and so on. The N prefix became available in the very
> late 60s or very early 70s. WA and WB predate the N prefix.
>
I don't know about all area's but I can say this, for the 8th
district...circa summer of 1961 they did indeed re-issue K calls. I
received KN8BHH, my friend received KN8BBL, another friend received KN8DEN,
etc. however just a month or so later another group of buds received WN8
which turned into WA8 calls. Go figure.
>

> Still haven't heard from Dan. Perhaps we could sked for a nice chat - as
> long as he can put up with a "newbie". Better keep it slow, say 30 words
> per minute cw with no automated copy devices. I suppose if he pushes me,
> I
> might consider 40. Not much more, however; I'm not up to that stuff
> anymore. Of course, if push came to shove .... I might be willing to give
> the high-speed stuff a crack, but don't expect much from a former WN
> prefix.
>
> If he *really* wants to push the envelope, I'd be willing to take a week
> and
> meet him using American Land-Line Morse. Should be good for a hoot. No
> more than 16, however, as I'd be using a mental look-up table. Not enough
> call for land-line Morse to make it worthwhile to get good at it. I only
> used it once in 1968. Of course, I hadn't copied Morse since 1969 when I
> retook the extra in 1993 .... at 20 words per minute .... ;)
>
>
Haven't heard from me? This is the first time I saw this. Sure I'll sked
ya. I'm on 3.575 every evening at 1900CST, that would be 2000Z. On
Sundays I am net control (local time).

I have not used the railroad code in 40 years, so I'll pass on that one.

The only thing that is automatic in my cw is my ability to copy. Should
still do well at 50 or so. If not we qrs.

Send me a e-mail and follow my SPAM catchers instructions. I don't give a
rip who believe me here.

Dan/W4NTI

2211. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 00:52:44 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <bvqdncVGb9RA0T_fRVn-sg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109491

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
<snip>
>Yes it is, and yes I am. So what? The general theory was mainly
>concerned
>with freqs used by Generals and HF stuff. At that time in history the
>Tech
>test was centered around V/Uhf stuff.

So that would also make most of the generals in the last 15 years also a
give away license? Seeing as the only difference was 13wpm.

<snip>

>>>No, just a no coder. Unless of course you did pass a 5wpm test. Then
>>>you would be a Technician. Hi hi.
>>
>>I took the 5wpm when I did my novice.
>
>
> Congrats....so did I. Then I jumped over the Tech and took my General at
> 13wpm, then my Advanced then my Extra at 20wpm. Your point is?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
My point was in answer to your statement!

2212. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 01:26:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109494

KC8GXW wrote:
> > But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
> > Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a > give away tech license! :)

Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2213. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 01:27:37 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sJmdnZj5NLoryT_fRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 109493

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Nwroe.13967$M36.257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:ScKdnVTHcLqSSjzfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...
>>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
>>> news:1d-dnWeqRoMeNALfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...
>>>

[snip]

>>
>> But there are too many no code tech's that get a vanity call now adays.
>
> Which totally sucks. The FCC at ONE TIME wanted distintive callsigns for
> class of license. Now it is too much work to bother with. What a joke
> they are.
>

They are still limited to getting a call that is limited by their license
class. Technicians were assigned 1x3 calls until the sequentially available
1x3 calls ran out. That some now choose to get a 1x3 vanity only means that
they are getting a call that they are entitled to by license class.

>>>
>>> As a matter of fact the FCC once considered the W/K prefix "prefered
>>> calls". And at one time the FCC required you to change your call if you
>>> permanetly moved to another district. And in deference to the W/K....if
>>> you had one they would issue another from the prefered block.
>>>
>>> Which is how I got my present call (W4NTI) because I used to have K8BHH.
>>> Course that all went to crap with the alphabet soup system of today.
>>
>> There's an Extra near here that still has his K8*** call, he was a tech
>> until the early nineties and upgraded to Extra when it was still 20 wpm.
>
> So what? having a old K8 means he has a original issue K8 call. You
> don't seem to comprehend that what was this and what is now ain't no where
> near the same. All there was back then was W and K calls, followed by
> WA, WB, WD, then a re-issue for a while, then the alphabet soup we have
> today.
>

The FCC could have issued N calls back then if they had wanted to. The block was assigned to the US.

There's no "alphabet soup" crap today. The call sign eligibility is the same as it has been since they initiated the 5 level license class decades ago. We have the same call sign eligibility today as then. The problem is that a lot of call signs have been "used" and so they went to the next available block. Ws were used first, then Ks, and then Ns and then As.

Today no call district has 1x3s available for sequential issue (except perhaps for some of the special territories). In addition 1x2s and 2x1s are no long available for sequential issue. Why? They have all been issued. The only available ones are those that have been returned to the pool by lack of renewal by the licensee for whatever reason. It will not be long now before the last block of Extra class call signs (2x2 beginning with AA - AL) is used up in the sequential system. The only reason it hasn't is that a lot of people are keeping their old call signs instead of getting new ones when they upgrade.

If someone comes into a license session today with no license and passes the code, Tech written and General written all in one sitting, he/she will receive a 2x3 call. Yes even a General will receive a 2x3 if it is a new issue. To get a call "reflecting his class", means that the new General has to search for vacated calls and find one available and get it as a vanity.

I kept my original call sign when I upgraded to advanced and then extra as there were no 1x2s left in my call district. I didn't like the 2x1s or the 2x2s.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2214. Re: best to avoid racist terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 01:29:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117934940.394216.314570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "running dogg" <run@ning.dog> wrote in message

> Excuse me....what the hell is a paki?

A Pakistani. I heard the term used in Canada years before I first heard
it used in the U.S.

> And why is it a racist slur?

Beats me. Ask one.

> Dan/W4NTI

w3rv

2215. Re: best to avoid racist terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 01:36:55 UTC
From: uncle arnie <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all>
Message-ID: <11a4lpofo7q735e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 109496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> "running dogg" <run@ning.dog> wrote in message
> news:42a22cd0$1_1@spool9-west.superfeed.net...
>
> Excuse me....what the hell is a paki? And why is it a racist slur?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

It is a term for someone of East Indian descent. My East Indian friends from the Caribbean and Europe, some of the many generations, take great offence to it. It is derived from Pakistan, which is a mostly a Moslem country. Indians tend to be Hindu. So it's a combination of wrong country, wrong religion, as well as used by others who aren't part of the India/Hindu - Pakistan/Moslem wars and conflict as a direct insult. There is zero tolerance for it in schools here for instance. Perhaps there are no East Indians where you live.

2216. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 01:39:27 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <jJsoe.2480$RV5.711@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 109497

No offense to those who live in other countries, those who are from other countries living here, etc. BUT, John S., when the job loss is in your household, the frustration will be quite evident in you, as well, I am sure. I can logically figure out that it is not the "other peoples'" fault. However, the emotion of losing a job, and sometimes just shy of retirement, many times after long, long years at a company, is quite overwhelming and leaves one short of "feeling friendly."

Kim W5TIT

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1117902779.362757.118830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I agree. My experience with american call centers has been about as
> good as those that appear to be located overseas. The quality of the
> response is directly related to the skills and knowlege of the
> individual - their location has little to do with it. Tucson, Arizona
> has numerous call centers operating there, and I would guess many of
> the operators have a non-U.S. accent as well. When calling a call
> center my purpose is to get information. I've found that by staying
> cordial and sometimes repeating a question that I get the results I'm
> looking for. Some on this forum(not you) seem to be more focused on
> insulting the operators and generally causing problems. They must have
> a lot of free time.
>

2217. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 01:42:31 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <wuOdneAB_ukVxT_fRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109498

George Orwell wrote:

> If anyone ever harbored the illusion that Robeson had any
> honor, they should know that Todd has been kicked off his
> ISP because of lies that Robeson emailed to them.
>
> Just remember, low-life Robeson: What goes around comes around.
>
> What a piece of work you are.
>

And you know this because? Toad bragged that his ISP told him to not
come on the news groups but he refused to respect the ISP's authority.
If Steve snitched toad out, then that is wrong, but you just saying it
doesn't make it true!

2218. Indian call centres

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 01:51:18 UTC
From: uncle arnie <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all>
Message-ID: <11a4mkndpmscocf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 109499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Those who are interested, here's a link to a radio program about Indian call centres and the social conditions that bring them to India.

http://www.cbc.ca/dispatches/summer2003.html

This is the text, search for India and you'll come to the real audio link:

College graduates often complain how hard it is to find a job these days. But imagine living in India, where unemployment among young people can be more than 50 percent.

Getting work on the subcontinent is kind of like staying dry in monsoon season.

Except in the southern city of Bangalore, where the CBC's Mihira Lakshman says the ability to sound like you're from the American heartland, is the key to a whole new kind of career.

2219. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 02:14:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Cetoe.247$gL3.31071257@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109503

Balanced hams, is that like a "ham and swiss?" a balanced meal? <grin>

John
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1117929524.777789.183070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Really? What else I am supposed to be doing, listening for ET?
>> <tongue-in-cheek>
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>
> It's OK. Really. Balanced hams don't worry about what the fringe
> think.
>
> ;^)
>

2220. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 02:16:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <_PKdnctO8Prz_T_fRVn-ug@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109500

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
> and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
> oriented publication) of the
> so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
> annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
> specifically aimed at "young'uns". The license requirements
> *weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
> the tests easier for kids to pass. The "Beginner And Novice"
> columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
> And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
> to kids!

Let us assume that a company makes a video game. It's pretty popular and sells a lot.

They would like to sell more.

Should they make their next game really easy? Will millions more teenagers buy the game because they can beat the game the first time they play it?

Do they want to make it so hard that it is impossible to beat?

BTW, the answer is no on both counts. People who would play video games do not buy games that are too easy to beat. Nor too hard.

Comparisons to the ease or difficulty of the ARS licensing process are invited.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2221. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 02:29:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Gstoe.252$u81.30865456@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109504

Mike:

That would really be funny--if it wasn't so pathetic...
How about a few calculus questions on the test, how about having to write short story with grammar, spelling and punctuation correct. How about having to give a description of the physics of the electron-hole theory, argument at to why the theory should be considered valid, and an opposing argument on why it should be considered flawed. How about demonstrating how the quadratic formula relates to thermal physics, electrical physics--some examples of its' uses in both...
Gesus, the ham exams are for dummies... get real...
When the hams talk about how difficult the test EVER was it is a joke, the damn test can be passed by any second year college student after a day of study... you guys end up describing the size of your own mind when you do this--and still after all these years you have not figured it out--people laugh--haven't you seen them laughing before, surely you caught one or two out of the corner of your eye?
Wake up... the only reason people don't rub your nose in your own dog poop is they are not like you--they have been raised differently--they try to be nice no matter what... but there is an end to tolerance of bad behavior...

John
"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:_PKdnctO8Prz_T_fRVn-ug@adelphia.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
>> and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
>> oriented publication) of the
>> so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
>> annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
>> specifically aimed at "young'uns". The license requirements
>> *weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
>> the tests easier for kids to pass. The "Beginner And Novice"
>> columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
>> And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
>> to kids!
>
> Let us assume that a company makes a video game. It's pretty popular
> and sells a lot.
>
> They would like to sell more.
>
> Should they make their next game really easy? Will millions more

> teenagers buy the game because they can beat the game the first time
> they play it?
>
> Do they want to make it so hard that it is impossible to beat?
>
> BTW, the answer is no on both counts. People who would play video
> games do not buy games that are too easy to beat. Nor too hard.
>
> Comparisons to the ease or difficulty of the ARS licensing process are
> invited.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2222. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 03:03:07 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ihl4577.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 109509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 04 Jun 2005 23:22:04 GMT, Aristotle wrote:

>I know that most Indians speak good English, and I have no problem
>with their accent. But I had one once who apparently did not
>understand English. It was as if he was reading from a script and was
>expecting a certain answer back. It was VERY frustrating. Perhaps if
>these call centers were a little more discriminating in who they
>hired.

The problem which I find most is not lack of fluency with English
(in any of its variations) but lack of fluency in the subject at
hand. How can you get assistance with a PC card driver, for
instance, when the person doesn't know (or denies) that the
particular operating system which is being used exists?

Fortunately these problems don't crop up that often for me.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2223. Re: Thanks for the humor, Lennie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 03:15:22 UTC
From: Laughing At Lennie <what?@web>
Message-ID: <d7tqng$hq0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109505

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1117930164.687422.34730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> A pathetic example of a Oxygen Bandit if I have ever seen one. What are
> they putting on your grave stone Lennie? May I recommend this one ?
>
> W A N A B E E First Class
>
>
> or perhaps;
>
> CHAIRBOURN RANGER
>
> It's a toss up....which do you prefer?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

I suggest for yours:

You should worry about your own piece of the rock.

(pssstt...Brian, you said, "Why must you use weasle words?" I'm sure you
meant to say "weasel" instead.)

2224. Re: best to avoid racist terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 03:29:26 UTC
From: Frito <anon@viaanon>
Message-ID: <d7trhrjkl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109506

"uncle arnie" <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all> wrote in message
news:11a4lpofo7q735e@corp.supernews.com...
Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> "running dogg" <run@ning.dog> wrote in message
> news:42a22cd0$1_1@spool9-west.superfeed.net...
>
> Excuse me....what the hell is a paki? And why is it a racist slur?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

It is a term for someone of East Indian descent. My East Indian friends
from the Caribbean and Europe, some of the many generations, take great
offence to it. It is derived from Pakistan, which is a mostly a Moslem
country. Indians tend to be Hindu. So it's a combination of wrong
country, wrong religion, as well as used by others who aren't part of the
India/Hindu - Pakistan/Moslem wars and conflict as a direct insult. There
is zero tolerance for it in schools here for instance. Perhaps there are
no East Indians where you live.

Awww, fer crying out loud. Another Politically Correct hand-wringer steps in
and wants to play the part of a Thought Policeman.
Know what? I have lived in this country all my life and can and will admit
that I would prefer to sometimes use the somewhat generic term, Raghead. It
is succinct and to the point.
So what if THEY are offended? And who are YOU to suggest that I or anybody
else should abide by your concept of what is acceptable and what is not?

2225. Re: Indian call centres

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 03:31:19 UTC
From: Frito <anon@viaanon>
Message-ID: <d7trldjtp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109507

"uncle arnie" <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all> wrote in message
news:11a4mkndpmscocf@corp.supernews.com...
Those who are interested, here's a link to a radio program about Indian call centres and the social conditions that bring them to India.

http://www.cbc.ca/dispatches/summer2003.html

This is the text, search for India and you'll come to the real audio link:

College graduates often complain how hard it is to find a job these days. But imagine living in India, where unemployment among young people can be more than 50 percent.

Getting work on the subcontinent is kind of like staying dry in monsoon season.

Except in the southern city of Bangalore, where the CBC's Mihira Lakshman says the ability to sound like you're from the American heartland, is the key to a whole new kind of career.

Maybe they should do something about their skyrocketing birth rate.....

2226. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 03:33:30 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <eouoe.205$2e7.103@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109508

Hello, Kim

Dang, top post or bottom post, but who cares?

Reality is that they changed the rules and it cut my pension by around $300 per month! I was lucky, however. A few folks were just shy off 55 (one guy was 2 weeks short of 55) and they get no health coverage nor life insurance.

They pulled the plug a bit late on me. Although not big, I'm looking at over $300 per week after taxes. After working a contract job at $13.00 per hour (what a whack in pay!) and we all were let go after 3 months, I've decided to heck with it. I can live on the $300 to $350 per week. I've only a few years before Social Insecurity and I have health insurance. I have to make adjustments, but I can pay my taxes.

I'm certain that changes will occur when enough folks get hurt. I'm just tired of banging my head into a brick wall. I read the same old tired threads and the claims that the left-wing groups lied when they reported stories. Certainly mistakes have been made, but I cannot help but note that as time goes by and more stories leak out, it would appear that some folks will just not let go of the official stance. Whether amateur radio or the current administration.

I did have a laugh. Years ago, I wrote a program which generated ladder code for a programmable ladder controller. I could do the work of 8 hours of tool engineering time in 15 minutes. A lot of credit was given to other folks. Amazingly, the program "disappeared".

I had left and then went back to that company some 7 years later. When our department was getting ready to close, I spoke with one supervisor about the fact that the programming in the controllers was terrible and I could increase production about 20% (I proved a 50% increase back in 1982). When he suggested we approach the manager I told him the truth. It wouldn't save his job nor mine. I let it go. I honestly don't care and after the requisite two years I will e-mail the code to a competitor. A foreign competitor. I generally avoid confrontation and tend to not be nasty, but in this case .... :))

Make my day.

I have generally found that the well educated are either labeled as "geeks" or "left-wing radicals". The self-righteous folks haven't seen real flack. It is about time that they did.

I've seen good old "Dave" talking about "old timers". He's off by a mile, but anyone with a ticket should be welcomed and accepted with none of the B.S. concerning license classes. There are codeless techs that can run

rings around me, and I can run rings around most of the extras. I'm not talking Morse, but I could there too.

I can assure you, anyone with a "holier than thou" attitude on one group I co-own will be gone in a heartbeat. We all have enough troubles without some idiot adding to the garbage.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:jJsoe.2480$RV5.711@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> No offense to those who live in other countries, those who are from other
> countries living here, etc. BUT, John S., when the job loss is in your
> household, the frustration will be quite evident in you, as well, I am
sure.
> I can logically figure out that it is not the "other peoples'" fault.
> However, the emotion of losing a job, and sometimes just shy of
retirement,
> many times after long, long years at a company, is quite overwhelming and
> leaves one short of "feeling friendly."
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
> "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:1117902779.362757.118830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > I agree. My experience with american call centers has been about as
> > good as those that appear to be located overseas. The quality of the
> > response is directly related to the skills and knowlege of the
> > individual - their location has little to do with it. Tucson, Arizona
> > has numerous call centers operating there, and I would guess many of
> > the operators have a non-U.S. accent as well. When calling a call
> > center my purpose is to get information. I've found that by staying
> > cordial and sometimes repeating a question that I get the results I'm
> > looking for. Some on this forum(not you) seem to be more focused on
> > insulting the operators and generally causing problems. They must have
> > a lot of free time.
> >
>
>

2227. Re: best to avoid racist terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 03:46:54 UTC
From: uncle arnie <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all>
Message-ID: <11a4tdjf509g5b2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 109510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill Turner wrote:

>
>>> And why is it a racist slur?
>
> --
>
> To hell with all this PC crap. You call me a Yank, I'll call you a Paki
> and we'll have a beer and laugh about the silliness of some people.
>
> --
> Bill, W6WRT

For the uneducated redneck you must be to post such idiocy, to bring it closer to home, you should say "I'll call you nigger and we'll have a beer ..."

Since when is racism PC crap? Heard about Bosnia, Rwanda, Sudan, Kashmir?

2228. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 04:01:50 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <vtq4a1p7tva5ssui5lc395shrh41ffs53u@4ax.com>
Source record: 109512

On 2 Jun 2005 19:45:52 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: Leo on Jun 2, 6:40 pm
>
>>On 1 Jun 2005 15:39:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>> On 31 May 2005 15:37:45 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> >Leo wrote:
>>>> >> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> <etc.>
>
>>>What part of that is not accurate, Leo? Maybe you are who you
>>>say you are, and maybe you aren't. Maybe "Arf!" is who he says
>>>he is - or maybe he ain't.
>>
>>Perhaps!
>>
>>
>>>> Hmmm - let's see here....I wasn't agreeing with you, so perhaps
>>>> I am not legitimate.
>>
>>>Not at all. Your lack of verifiable ID means there's room for
>>>doubt. Same for "Arf" or any other anonymous poster.
>>
>>You seem to place a great deal of value on 'verifiability', Jim.
>>
>>Heh. Just because someone's callbook ID is verifiable doesn't mean
>>that much else is. Take you, for example - other than what's in the
>>QRZ database, how much do we know about you? Just what you have
>>stated in your posts - that's all. Is what you say true ? Maybe -
>>maybe not. Unless someone is willing to put in the effort, time and
>>expense to research an individual's claims, they can be neither proven
>>nor disproven.
>
> I disagree in part, as far as this newsgroup is concerned:
> Certain individuals in here (curiously coincidental on
> their attitudes favoring morse code testing!) are allowed
> free rein on whatever they CLAIM. Others, challenging that
> attitude are rained on...lately insofar as national
> patriotism is concerned.

Fair enough.

>
> That is the manifest of the PCTA Extra Double Standard
> bearers.
>
>>I suggest that, in a public forum such as this, an individual's
>>behaviour is a far better indicator of who they are than something as
>>silly as a callbook listing. Forget claims of education, heroic feats
>>and braggodocio - just read what the individual writes, and you'll see
>>the real person shine through. (for example, what were you doing when
>>you questioned my 'legitimacy' in this thread, Jim - picking yet
>>another fight with Len? Hmmm - that happens a lot, doesn't it??)
>
> I can almost read the responses to that before he writes
> them... :-)

Me too! :)

>
>>Whether "Arf!" or anyone else here is 'legitimate' or not is quite
>>immaterial! Why people are here, and how they behave while in here,
>>is of much greater importance.
>
> Ahem...this is NOT allowed in the PCTA Extra Double
> Standard handbook. :-)
>
>>And, of course, whether you believe that another poster is
>>'legitimate' or not is of no importance at all.
>
> Oy gevalt! That will raise the Hue and Cry! :-)

Please don't say "Hue" - you'll raise bad memories of the Tit
Offensive.... :)

>
>
>>>Please note that Len insists on calling "Arf!" names and comparing
>>>him to Nigerian bank scammers, but does not do the same for
>>>those who agree with him.
>
> "Nigerian?" Did I say specifically "Nigerian?" :-)

You must have - Jim said you did! :)

>
>
>>Not necessarily. I have not always agreed with Len's points either -
>>nor he with mine - neither do I belittle his (considerable)
>>accomplishments and experience or deliberately pick fights with him to
>>bolster my own ego. (ahem).
>>
>>Maybe that's why civil discourse is possible between us? Duh.....
>
> Another U.S. Extra used to pull out some phrase about

> "gentlemen" and discourse in here. That Extra seems
> to have dropped such phraseology when others have posted
> items about national patriotism in here...after he has
> repeat-posted old works written by others. <sigh>
>
>>Some on the group seem to have a pathological need to pick fights with
>>others, though - are you suggesting that the target of these attacks
>>should not return fire? (That wouldn't be much fun, would it?)
>
> Bill Cosby used to have a comedy routine many years ago
> about the Revolutionary War. It started out with a
> "Referee" explaining to the "player captains" what the
> "game rules" were. [naturally one-sided, the Redcoats
> had to march in straight lines in the open while the
> Revolutionaries could hide behind trees and rocks, firing
> at will] If one was an American, that was very funny.
>
> That was definitely NOT funny to a nationalistic Britisher
> at a social gathering in the Hollywood Hills. I could see
> the Brit's point of view, but others seemed not to. The
> hostess sensed nastiness brewing and intervened by changing
> the subject to a party activity, etc. Crisis averted.
>
> Bill Cosby went on to become a beloved comedian in American
> TV. Yet the subject matter [our Revolutionary War] was not
> a trivial thing when it was fought; England never really
> forgave the upstart Colonies for that and the War of 1812
> had to repeat the whole process nearly 4 decades later.
> England didn't win that war either.

Funny how some folks get all bent out of shape over something as
simple as that. Comedy requires an open mind!

Some of our contemporaries would have a coronary if tey were to sit
through "Team America - World Police". Catchy soundtrack!

>
> A very remote parallel sort-of exists in here, the PCTA
> bravely "fighting" to keep their royal RULE alive, yet are
> slowly losing. The much-touted near-Byzantine CLASS
> structure of U.S. amateur radio has not been decimated, it
> has been cut in HALF. [Roman Legions were never that
> severe with their "disciplinary" measures of decimation]
> Further, to add insult to their "injury," the beloved
> Title/Rank/Status of "20 WPM Tested" was reduced to a
> "mere" 5 WPM. [their cold, dead reasoning refuses to
> let their mental fingers release their code keys...tsk]
> Their "Empire" is falling and they curse the evil "sloth"
> in revenge; George Lucas couldn't make a film on this
> epic due to too many "Annakin" manmiquins abounding.
> [see "Revenge of the Sloth" who want only the "easy way

> to get a license...etc, etc, ad nauseum]

Sad, isn't it - Jeez, it's just a hobby!

>
>>>In addition, Len has posted here under a variety of screen names
>>>(like "averyfine" and "averyfineman") often without any real ID.
>>
>>I had no problem figuring out who the author of those posts were - did
>>you? Get the humor? Avery Fineman...A Very Fine Man...heh heh.
>
> Anal-retentive morsemen have a "sense of humor" limited to
> laughing at those they try to humiliate. Tsk.
>
> My REAL Identity has never changed. It is still the same as
> my byline in Ham Radio Magazine, as is my postal address.
> A "screen name" or "handle" on a computer-modem provider site
> is dependent on the standards that provider establishes. There
> is NO "real ID" possible through nearly all computer-modem
> service providers. The exception is the "forwarding alias"
> provided by some sites such as the IEEE and ARRL both have
> for their members. As an IEEE member since 1973, my real
> identity is definitely known to them and has been for 35 years.
> I could not fake any "forwarding alias" through them due to
> their own controls to insure honesty; I could only choose what
> to use as an "alias" name. I had that capability since over
> three years ago but never got around to using it.
>
> "Avery Fineman," "LenOver21" were all handles I used in BBS
> computer-modem communications 20 years ago. "No CW Test"
> was a new one chosen just for this newsgroup 5 years ago.
> That was dropped due to the late (?) W0EX perverting that to
> "No CW Testicles" in his replies. Strangely, there was NO
> objection to that rather obvious male anatomy term but there
> was much ado about breasts and the alleged "pornographic"
> callsign of Kim, W5TIT.
>
> When I first joined AOL, their rules permitted screen names
> of a maximum of 8 characters. Since AOL membership is a few
> millions and that they do not allow identical names, having
> a set of common real names makes it difficult to choose a
> desired screen name without possessing a personal, federally-
> authorized, official merit badge callsign of the U.S. amateur
> radio corps. Since my life is NOT ruled by any hobby, the
> choice was either to use an old handle or improvise with a
> bit of humor. [humor is hard to come by in this oh-so-very
> serious gathering of beagles] AOL rules were relaxed later
> to all members' relief...and also increased to a maximum of
> SEVEN screen names per subscription! [all the same subscriber
> and billed to the subscriber] His Most Honorable Royal
> Highness of Morseman Hall has that same option.

Now that AOL has phased out newsgroups, Jim is posting via Google - he is free to use any name that he chooses.

BTW, have you noticed that since he began posting via Google, his language has deteriorated significantly (i.e. his posts contain the word "bulls$%^" quite frequently now - which was quite a rarity in the past..

See my comments in a previous post regarding how true character is reflected in one's posts..... :)

>
> Leo, if you happen to chance upon an AOL screen name of
> "Juan Mortime" in the future, that will be me. [pronounce
> it to get the pun - mightier than the sword] No doubt that
> is, somewhere, already OUTRAGING and INSULTING (!) Spanish
> speakers for "unpatriotically defacing Latin customs"
> everywhere. Sigh. I have more names plus another Internet
> provider. Everywhere one goes we find the anal-retentive
> with figurative USB connectors jammed up their I/O ports.

Heh....No problem, Juan - won't bother me a bit!

Juwanna Bet that you'll hear something about that from your - um - fan club about that? :)

>
> If someone wants to actually discuss something with me, fine.
> I've done that for 20 years without insulting anyone...
> except those USB-in-the-I/O-port folks. Others who think
> they can shoot verbal nasties at me with impugnity will get
> much more "return fire" than they expected. Screum.
>
> Best of springtime to you up in the north lands, Leo.

And you too, Len - Jeez, we're finally getting some of the weather up here that you lucky Californians enjoy most of the year! :)

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

2229. Re: best to avoid racist terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 04:01:54 UTC
From: Geno Paris <anon@GlendaleRemailer>
Message-ID: <d7tten$nc3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109511

"uncle arnie" <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all> wrote in message
news:11a4tdjf509g5b2@corp.supernews.com...
Bill Turner wrote:

>
>>> And why is it a racist slur?
>
> ----------
>
> To hell with all this PC crap. You call me a Yank, I'll call you a Paki
> and we'll have a beer and laugh about the silliness of some people.
>
> --
> Bill, W6WRT

For the uneducated redneck you must be to post such idiocy, to bring it
closer to home, you should say "I'll call you nigger and we'll have a
beer ..."

Since when is racism PC crap? Heard about Bosnia, Rwanda, Sudan, Kashmir?

Here we go again. More PC hand wringing from the mewling, overly sensitive
leftistas.
Go to Rwanda and tell those black thugs with machetes in their hands to
stop. See what it will get you.

2230. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 04:10:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qZ6dnVjACpt65z_fRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 109513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ihl4577.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Sat, 04 Jun 2005 23:22:04 GMT, Aristotle wrote:
>
>>I know that most Indians speak good English, and I have no problem
>>with their accent. But I had one once who apparently did not
>>understand English. It was as if he was reading from a script and was
>>expecting a certain answer back. It was VERY frustrating. Perhaps if
>>these call centers were a little more discriminating in who they
>>hired.
>
> The problem which I find most is not lack of fluency with English
> (in any of its variations) but lack of fluency in the subject at
> hand. How can you get assistance with a PC card driver, for
> instance, when the person doesn't know (or denies) that the
> particular operating system which is being used exists?
>
> Fortunately these problems don't crop up that often for me.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

While they don't crop up that often for me either, I don't bother to call the help desks for the very reason that you mention. I just keep plodding along until I manage to figure it out for myself.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2231. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 05:18:25 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d7u1u6$6et$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:qZ6dnVjACpt65z_fRVn-uA@comcast.com...

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ihl4577.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Sat, 04 Jun 2005 23:22:04 GMT, Aristotle wrote:
>
>>I know that most Indians speak good English, and I have no problem
>>with their accent. But I had one once who apparently did not
>>understand English. It was as if he was reading from a script and was
>>expecting a certain answer back. It was VERY frustrating. Perhaps if
>>these call centers were a little more discriminating in who they
>>hired.
>
> The problem which I find most is not lack of fluency with English
> (in any of its variations) but lack of fluency in the subject at
> hand. How can you get assistance with a PC card driver, for
> instance, when the person doesn't know (or denies) that the
> particular operating system which is being used exists?
>
> Fortunately these problems don't crop up that often for me.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

While they don't crop up that often for me either, I don't bother to call
the help desks for the very reason that you mention. I just keep plodding
along until I manage to figure it out for myself.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Agreed, Dee. I am fortunate in that I have several savvy friends who are
more than willing to assist me in my times of need. I also find it a
personal challenge to muddle through the glitches as they crop up and try to
resolve them myself. It is a great "hands-on" learning experience.
At least that is how I view it.

I guess it is a trade-off, eh? Spend two hours on the phone listening to
canned music or spend two hours figuring it out for one's self. As you said,
it doesn't crop all that often.

2232. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 05:29:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117949395.193558.265210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109515

George Orwell wrote:
> If anyone ever harbored the illusion that Robeson had any
> honor, they should know that Todd has been kicked off his
> ISP because of lies that Robeson emailed to them.
>
> Just remember, low-life Robeson: What goes around comes around.
>
> What a piece of work you are.

I'm not the lowlife.

Todd started it. Todd was told to rein in the crap from his blog
but didn't do it.

Todd exercised his "rights"...I exercised mine.

Todd got exactly what he asked for.

Steve, K4YZ

2233. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 05:44:27 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <%iwoe.42942$tt5.37396@edtnps90>
Source record: 109516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

John S. wrote:

> "The US politicians seem addicted to using overseas call centres."
>
> JS> Just how are politicians addicted to using overseas calling
> centers. Other than the occasional one who might call a porn talk site
> of course....
>

Good point. I should have been more precise and said that many US state governments are too quick to ship jobs overseas. An irony in all of this is that many of the social assistance programs are managed out of country. If the jobs were kept in North America, there would be far fewer people needing the services of the very people that deprived them of a possible income.

mike

2234. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 05:46:42 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <Glendale@nospam>
Message-ID: <d7u3j8$9rs$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109517

K4YZ wrote:
>
> George Orwell wrote:
>
>>If anyone ever harbored the illusion that Robeson had any
>>honor, they should know that Todd has been kicked off his
>>ISP because of lies that Robeson emailed to them.
>>
>>Just remember, low-life Robeson: What goes around comes around.
>>
>>What a piece of work you are.
>
>
> I'm not the lowlife.
>
> Todd started it. Todd was told to rein in the crap from his blog
> but didn't do it.
>
> Todd exercised his "rights"...I exercised mine.
>
> Todd got exactly what he asked for.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
You have to admire Todd. He seems to be an unsinkable cork.
Todd fancies himself a writer, but has yet to master the use of a spell
check.
Take for example this sentence from his site. "There was junk all over
the floor and boxes stacked up ever where."

Need I elucidate?

2235. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 06:01:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <rzwoe.208$2e7.123@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109518

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:5Rroe.12980$uR4.11952@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:6tooe.151$oZ3.150@news02.roc.ny...
>
> >
> > You are right, when the Ws were exhausted, they ran through the Ks.
Then
> > they started with WA and so on. The N prefix became available in the
very
> > late 60s or very early 70s. WA and WB predate the N prefix.
> >
> I don't know about all area's but I can say this, for the 8th
> district...circa summer of 1961 they did indeed re-issue K calls. I
> received KN8BHH, my friend received KN8BBL, another friend received
KN8DEN,
> etc. however just a month or so later another group of buds received WN8
> which turned into WA8 calls. Go figure.
> >
>
> > Still haven't heard from Dan. Perhaps we could sked for a nice chat -
as
> > long as he can put up with a "newbie". Better keep it slow, say 30
words
> > per minute cw with no automated copy devices. I suppose if he pushes
me,
> > I
> > might consider 40. Not much more, however; I'm not up to that stuff
> > anymore. Of course, if push came to shove .... I might be willing to
give
> > the high-speed stuff a crack, but don't expect much from a former WN
> > prefix.
> >
> > If he *really* wants to push the envelope, I'd be willing to take a week
> > and
> > meet him using American Land-Line Morse. Should be good for a hoot. No
> > more than 16, however, as I'd be using a mental look-up table. Not
enough
> > call for land-line Morse to make it worthwhile to get good at it. I
only
> > used it once in 1968. Of course, I hadn't copied Morse since 1969 when
I
> > retook the extra in 1993 .... at 20 words per minute .... ;)

> >
> >
> Haven't heard from me? This is the first time I saw this. Sure I'll sked
> ya. I'm on 3.575 every evening at 1900CST, that would be 2000Z. On
> Sundays I am net control (local time).
>
> I have not used the railroad code in 40 years, so I'll pass on that one.
>
> The only thing that is automatic in my cw is my ability to copy. Should
> still do well at 50 or so. If not we qrs.
>
> Send me a e-mail and follow my SPAM catchers instructions. I don't give a
> rip who believe me here.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>

Hello, Dan

Should be fun. I could well loose, who knows? But I'll tell you what, I hope both of us learn a bit of respect for each other. I honestly don't know how well I'll do much past 40 as it has been quite a few years - but I'm willing to give it a try. I will get your addy minus the spam catcher and explain further.

Hang on to your shorts, it might be a bumpy ride LOL

Seriously, Dan, I hope we can end this one on a friendly note. I get upset sometimes with some of the threads. I bear no ill will against newcomers nor old timers. I do hope you read what I stated concerning the 1X3 and 1X2 call signs. I was being honest there.

In any case, I'll be in touch. Wanna bet that we'll hear from Len on this one? A beer? LOL

BTW, you are right about the KN prefixes. Those became the KX3 calls. At the time, however, New York was the most populus state and the 2 district was into the WB2 calls. I've still got my original novice license issued in 1962 as WN2CJV which would have become a WB2 call. I'm willing to try and take a digital photo of it and send it to you if you wish. There are differences between districts. And I still abide by my thoughts that the average WA or WB has been licensed longer than the average 1X2 or 1X3 call simply because of the FCC and its' vanity call sign program.

All other stuff aside, when did you use land-line? How long have you been licensed? I am not trying to say I'm a real old-timer; I'm not. I am simply making the argument that due to the vanity call sign program, a lot, if not most, of the 1X2 and 1X3 calls are vanity calls. I also was WA3RJX some 6 years *after* being WB2OSP. It is a matter of the call district. If you move, you loose (at least back in the days). I was N2JH in the early

70s, given the time to renew one's license, that was some 10 years and more after the WN2CJV (or even the WB2OSP) ticket.

Heck, maybe after we get a time and frequency (and the 4 call district might be tough except for 40 meters), perhaps we can get a few others on the frequency.

I'd almost bet that Hans could wipe us both out ... but we can see .... :)

Tell ya what, if Lennie comes up on frequency on CW, I'm gonna quit right then and there!

Let's give it a go.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2236. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 06:14:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <vLwoe.268$nI7.33043574@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109519

Roger:

Having been in college for more than 300 units, having seen English professors making uncounted typos, spelling error, errors in grammar, etc... you look like a nit picking idiot... but I think you fail to know that, and either unable or unwilling to see how others visualize you...

I'll bet you go over your posts as if you are creating a real literary gem which will dazzle us all with your brilliance--truth be known, it sends multitudes of us to the john to puke!

Yes, I can see you now grinning like a damn fool, having checked the post at least three times, spell checked it, and probably have shown it to a good buddy so he gloat with you over your dynamic mind and amazing ability to put text to work... the rest of us just get ill... we quickly type our posts at 80 wpm, skip spell check and assume adults who have been to college will read them--it seems we have been wrong all along...

Warmest regards,
John
"Roger Wiseman" <Glendale@nospam> wrote in message
news:d7u3j8$9rs$0@pita.alt.net...
> K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> George Orwell wrote:
>>
>>>If anyone ever harbored the illusion that Robeson had any
>>>honor, they should know that Todd has been kicked off his
>>>ISP because of lies that Robeson emailed to them.
>>>
>>>Just remember, low-life Robeson: What goes around comes around.
>>>
>>>What a piece of work you are.
>>
>>
>> I'm not the lowlife.
>>
>> Todd started it. Todd was told to rein in the crap from his
>> blog
>> but didn't do it.
>>
>> Todd exercised his "rights"...I exercised mine.
>>

>> Todd got exactly what he asked for.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
> You have to admire Todd. He seems to be an unsinkable cork.
> Todd fancies himself a writer, but has yet to master the use of a
> spell check.
> Take for example this sentence from his site. "There was junk all
> over the floor and boxes stacked up ever where."
>
> Need I elucidate?
>
>

2237. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 07:55:31 UTC
From: Lloyd 2 <nospam@anon>
Message-ID: <d7ub4p$n1o$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109520

"Having been in college for more than 300 units, having seen English professors making uncounted typos, spelling error, errors in grammar, etc... you look like a nit picking idiot... but I think you fail to know that, and either unable or unwilling to see how others visualize you..."

How quaint. How others, most especially yourself, visualize me is of little consequence to me. I simply don't care and your thoughts on the subject are of little importance.

"I'll bet you go over your posts as if you are creating a real literary gem which will dazzle us all with your brilliance--truth be known, it sends multitudes of us to the john to puke!"

Multitudes? As in YOU? Name two, other than yourself. Oh, wait. Len may well be one of your cohorts, but he is of little consequence.

"Yes, I can see you now grinning like a damn fool, having checked the post at least three times, spell checked it, and probably have shown it to a good buddy so he gloat with you over your dynamic mind and amazing ability to put text to work... the rest of us just get ill... we quickly type our posts at 80 wpm, skip spell check and assume adults who have been to college will read them--it seems we have been wrong all along..."

Yawn. I have little need to show this to any others "so he gloat " about same. Typing at 80 wpm is commendable, if you can indeed to so. Speed is nice, but not necessary here.

"I can see you now grinning like a damn fool"

Yeah, you got me there. I am not only grinning, I am laughing.

Next?

2238. Re: best to avoid racist terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 11:13:10 UTC
From: 75M foghorn <3bingcherry@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2f567$42a2de2d$d1cc7983$8346@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 109521

"uncle arnie" <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all> wrote in message
news:11a4tdjf509g5b2@corp.supernews.com...
> For the uneducated redneck you must be to post such idiocy, to bring it
> closer to home, you should say "I'll call you nigger and we'll have a
> beer ..."

I heard something similar said by a friend at the Legion Hall last night!
(grin)
The beer and liquor was flowing last night you see.. :)

> Since when is racism PC crap? Heard about Bosnia, Rwanda, Sudan,
Kashmir?

Yes.

Those are four countries which should have been left up to their
own devices and not rcvd on dime of Foreign (USA) Aide.
Bosnia is populated by the descendents of migrating gypsies who want to
set up a quasi-Islamic State right on Europe's doorstep. They just may
get the chance now thanks to Bill Clinton's intervention in the former
Yugoslavia in the late 90's. Bottom line is that country and it's neighbors
were much better off under Tito who kept them in order with an iron rod.
When he kicked off you saw Yugoslavia go right back to it's pre - WW-I
borders and the conflicts of the turn of the last Century flared up again.
This area has been a historic breeding ground for hotheads anyway going
back several hundred Years.

As for Rwanda and Sudan, here you have a classic case of religious fervor
mixed with a climate and landscape not unlike the moon (albeit hot and dry)
and a race of people who breed like hedgerow rabbits. Anyone with even a
partial working brain can see this is a powderkeg just waiting to go off.
There's too damm many people in Africa anyway, overpopulation run
amuck with rampant Islam and corrupt politics, so you can be sure that
Mother Nature is going to do her best to cull this herd for sure! She's
doing
it right now via HIV, desertification and climate change. Sit back and
watch
M.N. in action kids! Amazing how she can clear off the land of the human
locusts and yet leave the raw materials intact eh? Sort of like a Neutron
Bomb
in slo-motion....

Finally we have Kashmir! A disputed border region being roe'd about by
Pakistan and India. Two countries who have two greatly opposing religions
and a population that breeds like field mice...and best of all...THEY BOTH
HAVE NUCLEAR WEAPONS!! Now this is a comedy act we really need

to watch very closely folks. I will never forget seeing the video of ignorant and
inbred pakistanies a few years ago, riding atop a fake nuclear missile prop in a victory parade urging their Govt. to launch a real one onto Deli or Bombay.
This was the 'paki' version of "Dr. Strangelove or How I Learned Love The Bomb" with Dr Kahn playing Peter Sellers. Here you have a group of people who would love to see parts of India glow with radioactivity, and yet they forget
that the fallout would rain down on Pakistan afterwards! (talk about nuclear
Darwinism!) The Indians are not much better, they believe in 1000 different gods and are not worried if they get nuked because they will come back as a monkey or a cow or some other mutated form of life. One wants to nuke Krishina for Allah....the other wants to nuke Allah for Krishina....GO FIGURE!

Know what I say folks...? LET THEM KILL EACH OTHER OFF !

There are too dammed many people on this Planet anyway, and the masses of candidates for a mass-culling are, for the most part: stupid, easily led by
religious craptrap, uneducated, and baby-making machines. In short an army of biomass which is incapable of intellectual advancement, which thus must advance itself via sheer numbers by rampant biomass and unrestrained breeding.
A living cancer on the face of the planetscape. Yes, let them kill each other off
via Mother Natures help. She's already giving us a warning that the planet's reserves
of petroleum products have reached their peak (look up 'Peak Oil' on google) and
it's all downhill from here folks. The 3rd extinction has begun and it has been caused
by HUMANS!

In closing , let me remind you of the words of the Georgia Guidestones:

1. Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.
2. Guide reproduction wisely - improving fitness and diversity.
3. Unite humanity with a living new language.
4. Rule passion - faith - tradition - and all things with tempered reason.
5. Protect people and nations with fair laws and just courts.
6. Let all nations rule internally resolving external disputes in a world court.
7. Avoid petty laws and useless officials.
8. Balance personal rights with social duties.
9. Prize truth - beauty - love - seeking harmony with the infinite.
10. Be not a cancer on the earth - Leave room for nature - Leave room for nature.

They say it all !

2239. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on Todd's irrational behaviour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 11:34:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117971282.042872.15120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109522

KC8GXW wrote:
> George Orwell wrote:
>
> > If anyone ever harbored the illusion that Robeson had any
> > honor, they should know that Todd has been kicked off his
> > ISP because of lies that Robeson emailed to them.
> >
> > Just remember, low-life Robeson: What goes around comes around.
> >
> > What a piece of work you are.
> >
>
> And you know this because? Toad bragged that his ISP told him to not
> come on the news groups but he refused to respect the ISP's authority.
> If Steve snitched toad out, then that is wrong, but you just saying it
> doesn't make it true!

I didn't "snitch toad out" for his newsgroup activities other than his threats and profanities which I received via e-mail. Not all of the stupid letters I received were via his blog. The headers tell the tale and THAT'S what got him into trouble.

As for the suggestion that Todd was not responsible for the threatening letters, I look at it this way...Even if he didn't send some of them, he encouraged and incited others via his blog to act irresponsibly.

I asked him repeatedly to stop using my name and callsign on his blog, and he just repsonded with more threats and profanities.

Also, I am obviously NOT the only person who's been busting Todd's chops with his ISP.

He played with fire...He got burnt...Oh well...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2240. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 11:40:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117971649.441706.36260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109523

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Ham radio has certainly changed since I first heard about it
> > back in the 40s. So many uptight folks in it now, so easily
> > bruised, some wanting to bully, terrorize, and FIGHT!

Perhaps, Lennie, if certain mischevious scumbags weren't
>>looking<< to "bruise" people there wouldn't be such a propensity to be as defensive.

Such as the deceitful and misleading barbs YOU disseminate in this
forum.

Guess you now think it's wrong for one to defend one's self?

> These are certainly not the good people that I first met when I became
> a ham. The trouble with amateur radio started with the advent of the
> no-code license. People began entering the service that did not
> worship at the altar of St. Hiram, and did not kneel and kisst the feet
> of Extras.

The problem didn't start with the No Code test...It started almost
20 years before that with the influx of 11 meter operators via the Bash
Book route and the subsequent codification of the "open pools".

Steve, K4YZ

2241. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 12:27:00 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zPGdncPAOtXacj_fRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 109524

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:rzwoe.208$2e7.123@news01.roc.ny...
[snip]
>
> I am
> simply making the argument that due to the vanity call sign program, a
> lot,
> if not most, of the 1X2 and 1X3 calls are vanity calls.

I disagree. Most of the 1x2 and 1x3 calls seem to be "original issue" not vanity calls. I know only a handful of people that have gotten vanity calls and they have had a tough time finding a call that they liked as there just aren't (and haven't been) that many of the calls available.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2242. Re: Indian call centres

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 12:30:03 UTC
From: "helmsman@mindspring.com" <helmsman@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <6rr5a1d6ugf8jkk843pphsk60sut5v7fnj@4ax.com>
Source record: 109525

uncle arnie <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all> wrote:

>Those who are interested, here's a link to a radio program about Indian call
>centres and the social conditions that bring them to India.
>
>http://www.cbc.ca/dispatches/summer2003.html
>
>This is the text, search for India and you'll come to the real audio link:
>
>College graduates often complain how hard it is to find a job these days.
>But imagine living in India, where unemployment among young people can be
>more than 50 percent.
>
>Getting work on the subcontinent is kind of like staying dry in monsoon
>season.
>
>Except in the southern city of Bangalore, where the CBC's Mihira Lakshman
>says the ability to sound like you're from the American heartland, is the
>key to a whole new kind of career.

Depending on what you are calling about the last time I called for
assistance with my Dell was last month the call went to Manilla. The
month before that it was Deli.

2243. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 12:41:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117975310.101875.325760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109526

Leo wrote:
> On 2 Jun 2005 19:45:52 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >From: Leo on Jun 2, 6:40 pm
> >
> >>On 1 Jun 2005 15:39:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>Leo wrote:
> >>>> On 31 May 2005 15:37:45 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>> >Leo wrote:
> >>>> >> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>> >
> >>>> > <etc.>
> >>>> >
> >>>> >>>What part of that is not accurate, Leo? Maybe you are who you
> >>>> >>>say you are, and maybe you aren't. Maybe "Arf!" is who he says
> >>>> >>>he is - or maybe he ain't.
> >>>> >>
> >>>> >>Perhaps!
> >>>> >>
> >>>> >>
> >>>> >> Hmmm - let's see here....I wasn't agreeing with you, so perhaps
> >>>> >> I am not legitimate.
> >>>> >>
> >>>> >>>Not at all. Your lack of verifiable ID means there's room for
> >>>> >>>doubt. Same for "Arf" or any other anonymous poster.
> >>>> >>
> >>>> >>You seem to place a great deal of value on 'verifiability', Jim.
> >>>> >>
> >>>> >>Heh. Just because someone's callbook ID is verifiable doesn't mean
> >>>> >>that much else is. Take you, for example - other than what's in the
> >>>> >>QRZ database, how much do we know about you? Just what you have
> >>>> >>stated in your posts - that's all. Is what you say true ? Maybe -
> >>>> >>maybe not. Unless someone is willing to put in the effort, time and
> >>>> >>expense to research an individual's claims, they can be neither proven
> >>>> >>nor disproven.
> >>>> >
> >>>> > I disagree in part, as far as this newsgroup is concerned:
> >>>> > Certain individuals in here (curiously coincidental on
> >>>> > their attitudes favoring morse code testing!) are allowed
> >>>> > free rein on whatever they CLAIM. Others, challenging that
> >>>> > attitude are rained on...lately insofar as national
> >>>> > patriotism is concerned.
> >>>> >
> >>>> Fair enough.

Not really. Len "rains on" anyone who disagrees with him...
> > A very remote parallel sort-of exists in here, the PCTA
> > bravely "fighting" to keep their royal RULE alive, yet are
> > slowly losing. The much-touted near-Byzantine CLASS
> > structure of U.S. amateur radio has not been decimated, it
> > has been cut in HALF. [Roman Legions were never that
> > severe with their "disciplinary" measures of decimation]
> > Further, to add insult to their "injury," the beloved
> > Title/Rank/Status of "20 WPM Tested" was reduced to a
> > "mere" 5 WPM. [their cold, dead reasoning refuses to
> > let their mental fingers release their code keys...tsk]
> > Their "Empire" is falling and they curse the evil "sloth"
> > in revenge; George Lucas couldn't make a film on this
> > epic due to too many "Annakin" manmiquins abounding.
> > [see "Revenge of the Sloth" who want only the "easy way
> > to get a license...etc, etc, ad nauseum]
>
> Sad, isn't it - Jeez, it's just a hobby!

Does "hobby" equate to "there must be no standards"?
> BTW, have you noticed that since he began posting via Google,
> his
> language has deteriorated significantly (i.e. his posts contain
> the
> word "bulls$%^" quite frequently now - which was quite a
> rarity in the past..

Len has made a habit of posting things about me that are simply
not true. Such as his false claim that I have tried to ban all
but licensed amateurs from posting here, or commenting to FCC.

That claim is simply false. When asked for evidence or example
of where I have done so, Len has nothing to show. Yet he keeps
making that claim, and others.

Leo, what word would be more appropriate than "bullshit" to
describe that claim? What words would be more appropriate than
"jackass behavior" to describe the actions of the person making
such claims?

> > Leo, if you happen to chance upon an AOL screen name of
> > "Juan Mortime" in the future, that will be me. [pronounce
> > it to get the pun - mightier than the sword] No doubt that
> > is, somewhere, already OUTRAGING and INSULTING (!) Spanish
> > speakers for "unpatriotically defacing Latin customs"
> > everywhere. Sigh. I have more names plus another Internet
> > provider. Everywhere one goes we find the anal-retentive
> > with figurative USB connectors jammed up their I/O ports.
>
> Heh....No problem, Juan - won't bother me a bit!
>
> Juwanna Bet

You guys are pikers. Look at just some of the folks who have gone before:

>From PHC:

Sandy Beech
Paige Turner
Sarah Bellum

>From Car Talk:

Heywood Jabuzzoff
Peekip Andropov (chauffer service)
Marge Inovera (research dept)
Erasmus b. Dragon (really overworked staffer)

Even from QST:

Noah Q. Essels (claims he has DXCC but no verification)
Lotta Chazzeez (homebrewer of many small projects)
Harry Uppensine (makes very long CQs)

And many more. Lots more of course, those are just the ones I recall offhand.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2244. Re: Thanks for the Spelling Bee, Steve.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 13:15:40 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117977340.080972.200380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109527

Laughing At Lennie wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1117930164.687422.34730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > A pathetic example of a Oxygen Bandit if I have ever seen one. What are
> > they putting on your grave stone Lennie? May I recommend this one ?
> >
> > W A N A B E E First Class
> >
> >
> > or perhaps;
> >
> > CHAIRBOURN RANGER
> >
> > It's a toss up....which do you prefer?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> I suggest for yours:
>
> You should worry about your own piece of the rock.
>
> (pssstt...Brian, you said, "Why must you use weasle words?" I'm sure you
> meant to say "weasel" instead.)

Thanks Steve.

2245. Nice Try Brain...It wasn't Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 13:26:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1117977992.164186.66640@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109528

bb wrote:
> Laughing At Lennie wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1117930164.687422.34730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > > A pathetic example of a Oxygen Bandit if I have ever seen one. What are
> > > they putting on your grave stone Lennie? May I recommend this one ?
> > >
> > > W A N A B E E First Class
> > >
> > >
> > > or perhaps;
> > >
> > > CHAIRBOURN RANGER
> > >
> > > It's a toss up....which do you prefer?
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > I suggest for yours:
> >
> > You should worry about your own piece of the rock.
> >
> > (pssstt...Brian, you said, "Why must you use weasle words?" I'm sure you
> > meant to say "weasel" instead.)
>
> Thanks Steve.

Sorry Brian.

On my Daughter's Grave, Brian, I am not, nor do I know who,
"Laughing at Lennie" is.

That one we'll have to figure out for ourselves.

Steve, K4YZ

2246. Re: Sky is falling!!! Everyone has alzheimers,unable to save even themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 13:37:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117978645.296500.105070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109529

John Smith wrote:
> ... oh, I forgot, anyone who bothers us with real facts and figures and
> goes out and asks questions and attempts to get a real clue of what is
> going on, and doesn't join up with the good ole boys club here,
> responding with a loud, "AYE!!!" to the partyline, on command--why we
> will call him a troll...

Hi! You nailed 'em.

> ... only danger to you guys is if enough figure out what is going on
> here... the truth--it is out there...

They'll have none of that.

2247. Re: Nice Try Brain...It wasn't Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 13:45:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117979118.683497.120570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109530

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Laughing At Lennie wrote:
> > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1117930164.687422.34730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >
> > > > A pathetic example of a Oxygen Bandit if I have ever seen one. What are
> > > > they putting on your grave stone Lennie? May I recommend this one ?
> > > >
> > > > W A N A B E E First Class
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > or perhaps;
> > > >
> > > > CHAIRBOURN RANGER
> > > >
> > > > It's a toss up....which do you prefer?
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > I suggest for yours:
> > >
> > > You should worry about your own piece of the rock.
> > >
> > > (pssstt...Brian, you said, "Why must you use weasle words?" I'm sure you
> > > meant to say "weasel" instead.)
> >
> > Thanks Steve.
>
> Sorry Brian.
>
> On my Daughter's Grave, Brian, I am not, nor do I know who,
> "Laughing at Lennie" is.
>
> That one we'll have to figure out for ourselves.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Sorry.

2248. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 13:58:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117979896.072282.212110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109531

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > > Ham radio has certainly changed since I first heard about it
> > > > back in the 40s. So many uptight folks in it now, so easily
> > > > bruised, some wanting to bully, terrorize, and FIGHT!
>
> Perhaps, Lennie, if certain mischevious scumbags weren't
> >>looking<< to "bruise" people there wouldn't be such a propensity to be as defensive.
>
> Such as the deceitful and misleading barbs YOU disseminate in this
> forum.
>
> Guess you now think it's wrong for one to defend one's self?

No one except Ghandi thinks that. But you make every posting as if it
were a personal attack to your very being and your way of life. You
made yourself a target, an easy target, by being such an idiot about
everything. Lighten up.

> > These are certainly not the good people that I first met when I became
> > a ham. The trouble with amateur radio started with the advent of the
> > no-code license. People began entering the service that did not
> > worship at the altar of St. Hiram, and did not kneel and kisst the feet
> > of Extras.
>
> The problem didn't start with the No Code test...It started almost
> 20 years before that with the influx of 11 meter operators via the Bash
> Book route and the subsequent codification of the "open pools".

Ah, yes, the "Riff-Raff" theory. Almost 20 years ago I used the ARRL's
"Now You're Talking" and the ARRL's non-Farnsworth Code tapes to enter
the amateur radio service via "open pools" testing.

2249. Re: Indian call centres

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 14:33:16 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <M2Eoe.9$I14.3@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 109532

<helmsman@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:6rr5a1d6ugf8jkk843pphsk60sut5v7fnj@4ax.com...
> uncle arnie <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all> wrote:
>
> >Those who are interested, here's a link to a radio program about Indian
call
> >centres and the social conditions that bring them to India.
> >
> >http://www.cbc.ca/dispatches/summer2003.html
> >
> >This is the text, search for India and you'll come to the real audio
link:
> >
> >College graduates often complain how hard it is to find a job these days.
> >But imagine living in India, where unemployment among young people can be
> >more than 50 percent.
> >
> >Getting work on the subcontinent is kind of like staying dry in monsoon
> >season.
> >
> >Except in the southern city of Bangalore, where the CBC's Mihira Lakshman
> >says the ability to sound like you're from the American heartland, is the
> >key to a whole new kind of career.
>
> Depending on what you are calling about the last time I called for
> assistance with my Dell was last month the call went to Manilla. The
> month before that it was Deli.
>

It will generally depend upon the time of day that you are calling in for
assistance. Companies route their calls to the area of the world that is
"awake" when you are calling.

Mind everyone on ths thread: I keep seeing posts as though it is only
computer help that has call centers in foreign lands. That is incorrect.
There's a huge swing in the United States to outsource any--*any*--telephone
contact to foreign "BPOs" (Business Process Outsourcers). That means any
customer service you can think of, is more than likely being answered
overseas. Why? It's not that call centers here in the United States can't
be personed (being politically correct) 24-hrs a day. It's the bottom line.
It's supposedly cheaper to have the work done overseas. I doubt that it is,
given frustration levels of customers and, more definitely, the long term
effect of taking all that kind of work out of this country, putting people
out of work, and ultimately destroying your own customer base by not being
able to sell product. However, the short-term, money hungry, uncaring CEO

and affiliated BOD who are lining their pockets with their savings don't care.

Kim W5TIT

2250. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 14:58:28 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <oqEoe.10$I14.0@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 109533

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:eouoe.205$2e7.103@news01.roc.ny...
> Hello, Kim
>
> Dang, top post or bottom post, but who cares?
>

Sorry, Jim, almost like the bottom-line driven CEOs and BODs we have these days, I was interested in speed, not accuracy :o

>
> Reality is that they changed the rules and it cut my pension by around $300
> per month! I was lucky, however. A few folks were just shy off 55 (one guy
> was 2 weeks short of 55) and they get no health coverage nor life insurance.
>

In my company, MANY were within 6 mos. of eligible retirement age. Company was asked, "why". Response: "it had to be done sometime, 'it's just business.'" So, employees take note: forget about dedication to job and customer, "it's just business." And, that attitude is quite evident in customer service these days. You would probably believe how many people I know who complain all the time about those "automated" response systems when you call for help these days. Whenever I get someone on the phone, I tell them that it's just miserable. Know what response I get? "That is the way business operates and it is considered a Best Practice standard." Not even a: "I will log your complaint." Know why? Because we, as consumers, accept mediocrity and that becomes the local conversation when we gather with friends. We talk about it, but we do nothing to try to resolve it. Uh, by the way, I'm right in there and, whenever I try to think of how to battle it, I can't come up with a solution because it's so ingrained in business practice that EVERYONE is doing it.

> They pulled the plug a bit late on me. Although not big, I'm looking at
> over $300 per week after taxes. After working a contract job at $13.00 per
> hour (what a whack in pay!) and we all were let go after 3 months, I've
> decided to heck with it. I can live on the $300 to $350 per week. I've
> only a few years before Social Insecurity and I have health insurance. I
> have to make adjustments, but I can pay my taxes.
>

Get this. Our adventure began a little over a year ago. Company "migrated" all customer ops (because that was not their "core business"

[huh????????!!!!!!!!!!!!] to a firm where we are all now "contractors." BUT, previous employer holds out severance package IF employees are terminated within 18 months. We should have all figured out then that we were all going to be terminated within 18 months...LOL Many are gone, the rest are just waiting. Bad business, just can't convince and bigwigs of it because, of course, their pockets are heavily lined with the savings.

> I'm certain that changes will occur when enough folks get hurt. I'm just
> tired of banging my head into a brick wall. I read the same old tired
> threads and the claims that the left-wing groups lied when they reported
> stories. Certainly mistakes have been made, but I cannot help but note
that
> as time goes by and more stories leak out, it would appear that some folks
> will just not let go of the official stance. Whether amateur radio or the
> current administration.
>

Refer to my upper paragraph on that. In another thread, "Indian Call Centers" I think it is, I just posted that companies who are exporting technical and customer service jobs don't realize that it means in the future, they won't have customers to buy products. And, why should our youth look at the career path of technology because it's all being "outsourced." We already have a critically severe shortage of doctors, nurses, and medical specialists (granted, not because of outsourcing but because--it is said--our up and coming youth see the long hours and little money and don't want to do it) coming up in the next ten years. I've already heard many, many children of career technology parents being counseled NOT to enter into the computer/technological because their job function will not be there and/or will eventually lead to being outsourced.

> I did have a laugh. Years ago, I wrote a program which generated ladder
> code for a programmable ladder controller. I could do the work of 8 hours
> of tool engineering time in 15 minutes. A lot of credit was given to
other
> folks. Amazingly, the program "disappeared".
>

Heh heh...the gist in the company I work for is, beginning many years and into recent years, frustrated programmers and data folks--who were confronted with the silo attitude whenever they would request access into a system--began writing their own little "additions" and subroutines to existing processes. There are, literally, boxes that whir and run and no one has a clue to what they are doing. Why? Because the person is long gone and do ya think they'd be interested in helping out? LOL Anyone who thinks their systems are pristinely designed and operated, with "one path" philosophy...are in a la la land.

> I had left and then went back to that company some 7 years later. When
our
> department was getting ready to close, I spoke with one supervisor about
the
> fact that the programming in the controllers was terrible and I could

> increase production about 20% (I proved a 50% increase back in 1982).
When
> he suggested we approach the manager I told him the truth. It wouldn't
save
> his job nor mine. I let it go. I honestly don't care and after the
> requisite two years I will e-mail the code to a competitor. A foreign
> competitor. I generally avoid confrontation and tend to not be nasty, but
> in this case .... :))
>
> Make my day.
>

I hear ya...and feel your pain.

> I have generally found that the well educated are either labeled as
"geeks"
> or "left-wing radicals". The self-righteous folks haven't seen real
flack.
> It is about time that they did.
>

I can nearly guarantee you that they won't care. Think about it. The proverbial CEOs makes millions the first year in a company, and has not one iota of plan to stay there for the long-term. The BODs are the same way. The only place it needs to begin to show that people care is at the customer level. And, once again as in politics, we've been fat, happy, and stupid. And, the problem we now face, in politics and in our workforce, seems insurmountable. I'm sounding terribly gloomy and doomy...but I know at least you will understand what I'm talking about.

> I've seen good old "Dave" talking about "old timers". He's off by a mile,
> but anyone with a ticket should be welcomed and accepted with none of the
> B.S. concerning license classes. There are codeless techs that can run
> rings around me, and I can run rings around most of the extras. I'm not
> talking Morse, but I could there too.
>

Yep. You got it. When a collective is needed...heh heh...it doesn't matter but that there is a collective.

> I can assure you, anyone with a "holier than thou" attitude on one group I
> co-own will be gone in a heartbeat. We all have enough troubles without
> some idiot adding to the garbage.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

Never been one much for patience with "holier than thou's," heh, as you have seen in my posts with this newsgroup in the past. Don't have time for 'em, don't care about 'em, don't care what they THINK they have over and on me. Because, you know what? When it all crashes, I don't think nuttin' of going out in the back yard and planting a garden. Many of them haven't even ever touched dirt--or had to. ;)

Kim W5TIT

2251. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 15:52:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ldFoe.13$iz5.1850400@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109534

Yes, I certainly have seen the opinion you have expressed
here--expressed here before.
However, your very words prove of you telling a lie, if you did not care
about nit picking, character attacking and finding fault with others
which differ from your thought--you would NOT support those who do...
Frankly, that is the whole point, we don't care what the heck you
think... it is you who feel you must control others thoughts--this is
what I am pointing out to you... you still don't get it, do you?

I am just explaining to you how transparent it all is, just in case you
would not want to be so obvious--however, it may indeed be beyond your
capabilities... but yes, it is irksome to have someone so obvious they
can be "read like a book"--while at the same time, you see them
chuckling to themselves like an idiot--thinking they have sure pulled a
fast one on you, and completely unaware you are gazing back at them as
if they were an idiot!

Warmest regards,
John

"Lloyd 2" <nospam@anon> wrote in message
news:d7ub4p$n1o$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Having been in college for more than 300 units, having seen English
> professors making uncounted typos, spelling error, errors in grammar,
> etc... you look like a nit picking idiot... but I think you fail to
> know that, and either unable or unwilling to see how others visualize
> you..."
>
> How quaint. How others, most especially yourself, visualize me is of
> little
> consequence to me. I simply don't care and your thoughts on the
> subject are
> of little importance.
>
> "I'll bet you go over your posts as if you are creating a real
> literary
> gem which will dazzle us all with your brilliance--truth be known, it
> sends multitudes of us to the john to puke!"
>
> Multitudes? As in YOU? Name two, other than yourself. Oh, wait. Len
> may well
> be one of your cohorts, but he is of little consequence.
>
> "Yes, I can see you now grinning like a damn fool, having checked the
> post at least three times, spell checked it, and probably have shown

> it
> to a good buddy so he gloat with you over your dynamic mind and
> amazing
> ability to put text to work... the rest of us just get ill... we
> quickly type our posts at 80 wpm, skip spell check and assume adults
> who
> have been to college will read them--it seems we have been wrong all
> along..."
>
> Yawn. I have little need to show this to any others "so he gloat "
> about
> same. Typing at 80 wpm is commendable, if you can indeed to so. Speed
> is
> nice, but not necessary here.
>
> "I can see you now grinning like a damn fool"
>
> Yeah, you got me there. I am not only grinning, I am laughing.
>
> Next?
>
>
>
>
>
>

2252. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 17:09:14 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117991354.004211.29600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109535

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > 3) The ARS has the image of an "old white guy's hobby" in some
> > > > circles.
> > >
> > > In a *lot* of circles and they're basically right.
> > > This phenomenon is a
> > > result of evolutionary forces at work within
> > > the hobby. There are two
> > > choices here: Go with the obvious flow and
> > > accept where Mother Nature
> > > is leading us and take advantage of it -OR- fight
> > > Mother which is
> > > always a losing battle and try to keep applying the
> > > mores, values and
> > > expectations of the yesteryears when we came into
> > > the hobby50, 30 even
> > > 20 years ago.
> >
> > Actually I we should go back to those "mores, values and
> > expectations of the yesteryears"

Liked that one dinya? Heh.

"Going back" ain't gonna happen but let's not dig this one up for the
umpteenth time.

> - in a way.
>
> Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
> and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
> oriented publication) of the
> so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
> annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
> specifically aimed at "young'uns".

Kids in that timeframe lived in the remnants of the old "children
should be seen and not heard" mindset. Unless some publication was
somehow directly related to school classwork it was written for adults.
Particulary if there was any technical content and the ARRL followed
suite.

> The license requirements
> *weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
> the tests easier for kids to pass.

Of course not, no more so than the state made it easier for kids to get drivers licenses. For the same underlying regulatory reasons.

> The "Beginner And Novice"
> columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
> And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
> to kids!

Nah, never entered our minds. Ham radio was an adult hobby and we accepted it. Period. We were used to having to read at the adult level when it came to technical publications, there were no options, we didn't know the difference. There were beginners publications in some hobby fields but I don't remember any in ham radio and they were all written for adults. In another direction kid hams were a tiny and poverty-struck book and magazine market, there's no money in a market like that so nobody wrote for specifically for us. In yet another direction all the kid hams I knew had adult-level reading skills by the time they were twelve or so and wouldn't have bothered with being spoon-fed kiddie sorts of writings even if they were available.

> > > > If it's a numbers game why not shift gears and
> > > > recruit retirees instead of chasing kids?
> > >
> > > That's been going on for a couple decades now.
> >
> > Don't agree. Point out one example of a formal effort to
> > consciously
> > recruit older folk. Which is like all the widely publicized (and
> > generally failed) programs which have been targeting kids over
> > the years.
>
> See above - I'm thinking the trick is to *not* target *any* age
> group.

Standard Motherhood is always the "safe approach" <snore>.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2253. Re: want to bang my sister?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 17:43:21 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <c95f7d565ac5bbed3f2e6f5284663ee1@userbeam.net>
Source record: 109536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <7F4oe.93$EB3.14642338@news.sisna.com>
"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> Hey, is that the same pinup girl I keep seeing at everyone's
station,
> you know, they one they got posted next to the world map???
>
> John

No it's Lardass' sister Amanda:

3510 DODGE AVE
SIOUX CITY, IA 51106
(712) 276-2674

> "Lloyd Davies" <A...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:d7qj52$219$2@domitilla.aioe.org...
> >I just did!
> >
> > http://old-plump-fat.com/vid-fat-130/tp02.jpg
> >
> >

2254. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 17:56:13 UTC
From: Siegfried Kemper <bounce@parsifal.ath.cx>
Message-ID: <R8898IM638508.5768865741@si93kru1.poster>
Source record: 109537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <j6udnf4K9O9EXj3fRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Christy D wrote:
> > By reposting that FCC letter, you demonstrated your
illogical position
> > to everyone.

Wrong, Lardass,it proved you can't fucking read.

> Two-test Wogie has never had a logical position on anything.

Says the imaginary "pilot's license" boy. Oh, the irony!

2255. Re: want to bang my sister?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 17:57:24 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d7veku$re0$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 109538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

My sister is HAWT!!!!!

http://0realstuds.dk/images/np/scat/sp/1/33_tn.jpg
>

2256. Re: Indian call centres

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 18:17:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117995473.648469.131430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109539

Kim wrote:
> <helmsman@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:6rr5a1d6ugf8jkk843pphsk60sut5v7fnj@4ax.com...
> > uncle arnie <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all> wrote:
>
> Mind everyone on ths thread: I keep seeing posts as
> though it is only
> computer help that has call centers in foreign lands.
> That is incorrect.
> There's a huge swing in the United States to outsource
> any--*any*--telephone
> contact to foreign "BPOs" (Business Process Outsourcers).
> That means any
> customer service you can think of, is more than likely
> being answered
> overseas. Why? It's not that call centers here
> in the United States can't
> be personed (being politically correct) 24-hrs a day.
> It's the bottom line.
> It's supposedly cheaper to have the work done overseas.
> I doubt that it is,
> given frustration levels of customers and, more
> definitely, the long term
> effect of taking all that kind of work
> out of this country, putting people
> out of work, and ultimately destroying your own customer base
> by not being able to sell product.

I agree 100%, Kim. The key part of what you wrote is "short term".
Those in charge cannot seem to understand that they
are driving their companies under in the long term.

> However, the short-term, money hungry, uncaring CEO
> and affiliated BOD who are lining their pockets with their
> savings don't care.

It's even worse in some ways. Many of them are hired with
obscenely lucrative severance packages as part of their
packages. So if they do a "good job", they get big bonuses,
and if they do a bad job and are sacked, they get an
enormous (as in tens of millions) goodbye.

Puts a new twist on "win-win".

Look at the woman (just to show it's an equal-opportunity
game for those at the top) who ran Hewlett Packard into the ground for

a classic example.

The thing that's most classic about it is that the top dogs
claim they need to pay those high salaries and benefits
to get good people. Yet somehow that doesn't translate to the
rank and file.

--

Historic note: Way back in Model T days, Henry Ford was
criticized for paying his workers $5 per day, at a time when
that was really high wages for skilled manufacturing workers.

His reply was something to the effect that he wanted his workers to be
able to afford the product they were making - that it
didn't make sense to be producing something the average working
person could not afford to own.

> Kim W5TIT

73 de Jim, N2EY

2257. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 18:19:36 UTC
From: Lloyd 2 <nospam@anon>
Message-ID: <d7vfmtuqi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109540

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ldFoe.13$iz5.1850400@news.sisna.com...
Yes, I certainly have seen the opinion you have expressed
here--expressed here before.
However, your very words prove of you telling a lie, if you did not care
about nit picking, character attacking and finding fault with others
which differ from your thought--you would NOT support those who do...
Frankly, that is the whole point, we don't care what the heck you
think... it is you who feel you must control others thoughts--this is
what I am pointing out to you... you still don't get it, do you?

>It seems to be you who doesn't get it. (you can drop the "we" stuff).
Let me recall a line from a rather famous movie of many years ago.
"Frankly, my dear (John), I don't give a damn."

2258. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 18:28:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117996091.356846.102690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109541

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > 3) The ARS has the image of an "old white guy's hobby" in some
> > > > > circles.
> > >
> > > In a *lot* of circles and they're basically right.
> > > This phenomenon is a
> > > result of evolutionary forces at work within
> > > the hobby. There are two
> > > choices here: Go with the obvious flow and
> > > accept where Mother Nature
> > > is leading us and take advantage of it -OR- fight
> > > Mother which is
> > > always a losing battle and try to keep applying the
> > > mores, values and
> > > expectations of the yesteryears when we came into
> > > the hobby50, 30 even
> > > 20 years ago.
> >
> > Actually I we should go back to those "mores, values and
> > expectations of the yesteryears"
>
> Liked that one dinya? Heh.
>
> "Going back" ain't gonna happen but let's not dig this one up for the
> umpteenth time.
>
> > - in a way.
> >
> > Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
> > and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
> > oriented publication) of the
> > so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
> > annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
> > specifically aimed at "young'uns".
>
> Kids in that timeframe lived in the remnants of the
> old "children
> should be seen and not heard" mindset. Unless some publication
> was

> somehow directly related to school classwork it was written
> for adults.
> Particulary if there was any technical content and the ARRL
> followed suite.

Bingo - why can't that be the way things are again?

> > The license requirements
> > *weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
> > the tests easier for kids to pass.
>
> Of course not, no more so than the state made it easier
> for kids to get
> drivers licenses. For the same underlying regulatory reasons.

Yet there were plenty of "young'uns". Which proves my point,
thanks.
>
> > The "Beginner And Novice"
> > columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
> > And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
> > to kids!
>
> Nah, never entered our minds.

Not consciously.

> Ham radio was an adult hobby and we
> accepted it. Period.

'zactly. If ya wanted to be part of it you met the standards
for it. Watta concept, huh? Somebody tell NCVEC.

> We were used to having to read at the adult level
> when it came to technical publications, there were no
> options, we
> didn't know the difference. There were beginners
> publications in some
> hobby fields but I don't remember any in ham radio

"How To Become A Radio Amateur"
"Learning The Radiotelegraph Code"
"Understanding Amateur Radio"
"So You Want To Be A Ham"
"ABC's of Hma Radio"

> and they were all
> written for adults.

BINGO!

> In another direction kid hams were a tiny and
> poverty-struck book and magazine market, there's no
> money in a market

> like that so nobody wrote for specifically for us.
> In yet another
> direction all the kid hams I knew had adult-level
> reading skills by the
> time they were twelve or so and wouldn't have bothered
> with being
> spoon-fed kiddie sorts of writings even if they were available.

All those basic factors are the same today.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2259. Re: want to bang my sister?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 18:31:10 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <Glendale@nospam>
Message-ID: <d7vgcjjq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

Yup. That's me, fag lamer Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ.

I live at 610 Glen Haven Avenue in Glendale, West BY GOD Virginia.

I am big, (5 foot four inches) I am tough as nails, and I have a mouth twice as foul as any septic tank you have ever smelled.

I am a badass. I have been booted off more ISP's in two years than most of you have had in a lifetime. I am a lean, unclean, fighting machine.

I can chew nails for breakfast (gets the smegma off my teeth) and sing Layla at lunch.

And as for that smartass who keeps sending me shampoo samples in the mail. I don't care what you do, I am not going to wash my hair until I feel good and ready.

Got it?

2260. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 18:41:25 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <ca832a5e53595b635a4f9054d9b6e70f@paranoici.org>
Source record: 109543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <d7si3e$vp1$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
"Roger Wiseman" Aka Lardass Lloyd Davies <A...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> I enjoy banging sheep!

We know you do,Davies, since you admitted you like dicks and not
women!
Among other things you have confessed to:

Porky Davies admits he is mentally ill in
http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=24241:
"WHo cares crackhead. I have a mental illness"

Lardass" shitbox dies and he has to beg for money to get another
shitbox in
http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=20268:
"My mom and dad may buy me a new car. I can't deliver pizzas
tomoorw because they engine starting smoking. Damn this sucks"

Porky Davies gives us his choice of what he likes to look at all
day in
http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=19416:
"I can look at mens dicks all day"

Lardass Davies confesses he goes around looking at men's dicks in
http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=19427:
"I have seen plenty of dicks before"

2261. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 18:44:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <XJHoe.32$vE2.3451005@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109544

Still haven't gotten the picture...
Let me try one more time, "I could give naught a chit if you give damn
or not, don't bother me with that which is ONLY important to you--get a
clue!"

Warmest regards,
John

"Lloyd 2" <nospam@anon> wrote in message
news:d7vfmtuqi0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ldFoe.13$iz5.1850400@news.sisna.com...
> Yes, I certainly have seen the opinion you have expressed
> here--expressed here before.
> However, your very words prove of you telling a lie, if you did not
> care
> about nit picking, character attacking and finding fault with others
> which differ from your thought--you would NOT support those who do...
> Frankly, that is the whole point, we don't care what the heck you
> think... it is you who feel you must control others thoughts--this is
> what I am pointing out to you... you still don't get it, do you?
>
>>It seems to be you who doesn't get it. (you can drop the "we" stuff).
> Let me recall a line from a rather famous movie of many years ago.
> "Frankly, my dear (John), I don't give a damn."
>
>
>

2262. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 18:46:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cMHoe.33$EG2.3463277@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109545

... yeah, in other words, let's just kick back, have a drink and see if this all pans out--hell, these oldsters just may pull off what they have planned and live forever--then again, we should be prepared if not...

Warmest regards,
John
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1117996091.356846.102690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > 3) The ARS has the image of an "old white guy's hobby" in some
>> > > > circles.
>> > >
>> > > In a *lot* of circles and they're basically right.
>> > > This phenomenon is a
>> > > result of evolutionary forces at work within
>> > > the hobby. There are two
>> > > choices here: Go with the obvious flow and
>> > > accept where Mother Nature
>> > > is leading us and take advantage of it -OR- fight
>> > > Mother which is
>> > > always a losing battle and try to keep applying the
>> > > mores, values and
>> > > expectations of the yesteryears when we came into
>> > > the hobby50, 30 even
>> > > 20 years ago.
>> >
>> > Actually I we should go back to those "mores, values and
>> > expectations of the yesteryears"
>>
>> Liked that one dinya? Heh.
>>
>> "Going back" ain't gonna happen but let's not dig this one up for the
>> umpteenth time.
>>
>> > - in a way.
>> >
>> > Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
>> > and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
>> > oriented publication) of the

>> > so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
>> > annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
>> > specifically aimed at "young'uns".
>>
>> Kids in that timeframe lived in the remnants of the
>> old "children
>> should be seen and not heard" mindset. Unless some publication
>> was
>> somehow directly related to school classwork it was written
>> for adults.
>> Particulary if there was any technical content and the ARRL
>> followed suite.
>
> Bingo - why can't that be the way things are again?
>
>> > The license requirements
>> > *weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
>> > the tests easier for kids to pass.
>>
>> Of course not, no more so than the state made it easier
>> for kids to get
>> drivers licenses. For the same underlying regulatory reasons.
>
> Yet there were plenty of "young'uns". Which proves my point,
> thanks.
>>
>> > The "Beginner And Novice"
>> > columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
>> > And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
>> > to kids!
>>
>> Nah, never entered our minds.
>
> Not consciously.
>
>> Ham radio was an adult hobby and we
>> accepted it. Period.
>
> 'zactly. If ya wanted to be part of it you met the standards
> for it. Watta concept, huh? Somebody tell NCVEC.
>
>> We were used to having to read at the adult level
>> when it came to technical publications, there were no
>> options, we
>> didn't know the difference. There were beginners
>> publications in some
>> hobby fields but I don't remember any in ham radio
>
> "How To Become A Radio Amateur"
> "Learning The Radiotelegraph Code"

> "Understanding Amateur Radio"
> "So You Want To Be A Ham"
> "ABC's of Hma Radio"
>
>> and they were all
>> written for adults.
>
> BINGO!
>
>> In another direction kid hams were a tiny and
>> poverty-struck book and magazine market, there's no
>> money in a market
>> like that so nobody wrote for specifically for us.
>> In yet another
>> direction all the kid hams I knew had adult-level
>> reading skills by the
>> time they were twelve or so and wouldn't have bothered
>> with being
>> spoon-fed kiddie sorts of writings even if they were available.
>
> All those basic factors are the same today.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2263. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 18:47:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117997237.643307.4040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109546

From: "bb" on Sat 4 Jun 2005 17:22

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Sat 4 Jun 2005 05:54
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "bb" on Fri 3 Jun 2005 03:51
>> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: bb on Jun 2, 6:27 pm
>> >> >> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> >> bb wrote:

>> Ham radio has certainly changed since I first heard about it
>> back in the 40s. So many uptight folks in it now, so easily
>> bruised, some wanting to bully, terrorize, and FIGHT! :-)
>
>These are certainly not the good people that I first met when I became
>a ham. The trouble with amateur radio started with the advent of the
>no-code license. People began entering the service that did not
>worship at the altar of St. Hiram, and did not kneel and kisst the feet
>of Extras.

Well, from my point of view, the change started a lot earlier.

The movement to implement a no-code amateur license class
was already underway at least a decade prior to 1990. The
olde-tyme hammes "dismissed" such riff-raff haughtily,
tried to bruise no-coders' knuckles with code keys and
trumpeting like King Kong (complete with chest-beating) or
the disdain of a sneering (but clumsy) Basil Fawlty in a
(funny) English sit-com.

The Ham Lifestylers created themselves around the 60s when
there was left-over money to spend for fancy radio toys and
time to do "radio pioneering" in "DXpeditions" and the
self-styled "radiosport contests. They were the "Greatest"
according to the ham publications, sort of like radio
versions of Cassius Marcellus Clay after his name change.
[look at Clay/Ali now...]

"Radio" in itself can be very fascinating in and of itself.
But, "radio" is really just one form of electronics and
cannot, nor should not, be rooted/shielded/isolated into the
old analog ways nor communications modes of six decades past.

One problem, quite evident in here, is the Lifestylers trying
to force everyone into THEIR way of thinking/doing as if that

were the "voice of the amateur community." There is NO real voice, not even in the mighty national membership organization of hams that "represents" (according to them) only 1 out of 5 U.S. amateur licensees. It is THEIR little clubhouse and they are supremely, arrogantly intolerant of those who do not acknowledge THEM as the "masters." Their feet MUST be kissed and all MUST respect them...they keep telling others that in so many different ways. THEY are "superior."

What is worse for the HOBBY is the attitudes of the Lifestylers is clearly evident to all those outsiders who greatly out-number them. The Lifestylers fear their Way of Life is Threatened by "mere hobbyists" and that fear makes them blind to their own actions and attitudes. They remain resolute and arrogant, elitist in their self-styled "superiority" and cannot recognize THEY are the greatest danger to the hobby.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2264. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 18:50:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117997454.705699.25240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109547

From: Leo <nom...@noway.com> on Sun, 05 Jun 2005 00:01:50 -0400

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>From: Leo on Jun 2, 6:40 pm
>
>>>On 1 Jun 2005 15:39:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>> On 31 May 2005 15:37:45 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>> >Leo wrote:
>>>>> >> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> <etc.>

>>>I suggest that, in a public forum such as this, an individual's
>>>behaviour is a far better indicator of who they are than something as
>>>silly as a callbook listing. Forget claims of education, heroic feats
>>>and braggodocio - just read what the individual writes, and you'll see
>>>the real person shine through. (for example, what were you doing when
>>>you questioned my 'legitimacy' in this thread, Jim - picking yet
>>>another fight with Len? Hmmm - that happens a lot, doesn't it??)
>
>> I can almost read the responses to that before he writes
>> them... :-)
>
>Me too! :)

Heh heh heh. Some of those responses have already shown up...

>>> Oy gevalt! That will raise the Hue and Cry! :-)
>>
>>Please don't say "Hue" - you'll raise bad memories of the Tit
>>Offensive.... :)

Hue said it, Leo...

>>>>Please note that Len insists on calling "Arf!" names and comparing
>>>>him to Nigerian bank scammers, but does not do the same for
>>>>those who agree with him.
>
>> "Nigerian?" Did I say specifically "Nigerian?" :-)
>
>You must have - Jim said you did! :)

Jimmie is nebber Wong.

Gosh, all those NAMES I called Jimmie: James, Jim, Jimmy,
James Miccolis, even just Miccolis. Must be BAD thing!

:-)

>> Bill Cosby went on to become a beloved comedian in American
>> TV. Yet the subject matter [our Revolutionary War] was not
>> a trivial thing when it was fought; England never really
>> forgave the upstart Colonies for that and the War of 1812
>> had to repeat the whole process nearly 4 decades later.
>> England didn't win that war either.
>
>>Funny how some folks get all bent out of shape over something as
>>simple as that. Comedy requires an open mind!

...and a willingness to remain "open" to input.

>Some of our contemporaries would have a coronary if tey were to sit
>through "Team America - World Police". Catchy soundtrack!

I've never seen that but have heard about it.

One curious thing down here is the almost total "unforgivingness"
of some in the USA's "south" 140 years after LOSING during our
Civil War. They are still waving their Confederate battle
standards and claiming "supremacy." Sore losers...seven
generations after the fact. [I live farther south than nearly
all of the "southerners" in here]

>> A very remote parallel sort-of exists in here, the PCTA
>> bravely "fighting" to keep their royal RULE alive, yet are
>> slowly losing. The much-touted near-Byzantine CLASS
>> structure of U.S. amateur radio has not been decimated, it
>> has been cut in HALF. [Roman Legions were never that
>> severe with their "disciplinary" measures of decimation]
>> Further, to add insult to their "injury," the beloved
>> Title/Rank/Status of "20 WPM Tested" was reduced to a
>> "mere" 5 WPM. [their cold, dead reasoning refuses to
>> let their mental fingers release their code keys...tsk]
>> Their "Empire" is falling and they curse the evil "sloth"
>> in revenge; George Lucas couldn't make a film on this
>> epic due to too many "Annakin" manmiquins abounding.
>> [see "Revenge of the Sloth" who want only the "easy way
>> to get a license...etc, etc, ad nauseum]
>
>Sad, isn't it - Jeez, it's just a hobby!

"Radio" is a rather fascinating field of activity. While not
all find it so, it intrigued me so much I made an abrupt
career choice to make that my work in addition to hobbies.
I found out that MOST of my contemporaries NEVER BOTHERED
with a "ham license" before entering electronics.

To see some of the ham devotees in here, that was "wrong."
I was supposed to "get a ham license FIRST" according to
one...that would "show my dedication to the ham community!"
Er, the "ham community" didn't "recruit" me and didn't

identify themselves individually; they loomed as some giant
spectre in the moral aphorisms of the mighty League. "Do it
THIS way or you'll go blind...'can't I do it until I just
need glasses?'" :-)

>Now that AOL has phased out newsgroups, Jim is posting via Google - he
>is free to use any name that he chooses.

I think that "His Royal Highness" is already taken... :-(

>BTW, have you noticed that since he began posting via Google, his
>language has deteriorated significantly (i.e. his posts contain the
>word "bulls$%^" quite frequently now - which was quite a rarity in the
>past..

Interesting. :-)

I rather like the hypocrisy displayed by others...especially
one who is decidedly not Hebrew nor Central Europe ethnic
who uses a four-letter Yiddish nastyword meaning "penis head."

Many years ago Playboy magazine published a funny, funny
version of "Mother Goose" stories with selective use of
octothorpe [#] "censorship black-outs". An example:

Little Miss Muffet ### on a #######,
Eating ### ##### and ####,
Along came a ##### ... ...and so forth... :-)

Heh, talk about some folks having a coronary...:-)

>See my comments in a previous post regarding how true character is
>reflected in one's posts..... :)

Lots of characters in this group grope.

>> Leo, if you happen to chance upon an AOL screen name of
>> "Juan Mortime" in the future, that will be me. [pronounce
>> it to get the pun - mightier than the sword] No doubt that
>> is, somewhere, already OUTRAGING and INSULTING (!) Spanish
>> speakers for "unpatriotically defacing Latin customs"
>> everywhere. Sigh. I have more names plus another Internet
>> provider. Everywhere one goes we find the anal-retentive
>> with figurative USB connectors jammed up their I/O ports.
>
>Heh....No problem, Juan - won't bother me a bit!
>
>Juwanna Bet that you'll hear something about that from your - um - fan
>club about that? :)

WHICH "fan club?" :-)

I've found that I must restrict my actions for environmental
reasons. There's so many balloons of hot air here and there

that, though puncturing the gas bags is great fun, releasing
all that hot air may increase global warming!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2265. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 18:53:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <FSHoe.35$mO2.3484819@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109548

... what have you planned next for us? A morning report on your bowel movements? Perhaps expanding the service into an evening report from there...

John

"Lloyd 2" <nospam@anon> wrote in message
news:d7vfmtuqi0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ldFoe.13$iz5.1850400@news.sisna.com...
> Yes, I certainly have seen the opinion you have expressed
> here--expressed here before.
> However, your very words prove of you telling a lie, if you did not
> care
> about nit picking, character attacking and finding fault with others
> which differ from your thought--you would NOT support those who do...
> Frankly, that is the whole point, we don't care what the heck you
> think... it is you who feel you must control others thoughts--this is
> what I am pointing out to you... you still don't get it, do you?
>
>>It seems to be you who doesn't get it. (you can drop the "we" stuff).
> Let me recall a line from a rather famous movie of many years ago.
> "Frankly, my dear (John), I don't give a damn."
>
>
>

2266. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 18:54:09 UTC
From: Lloyd 2 <nospam@anon>
Message-ID: <d7vhnm$3k0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109549

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1117997237.643307.4040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
From: "bb" on Sat 4 Jun 2005 17:22

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Sat 4 Jun 2005 05:54
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "bb" on Fri 3 Jun 2005 03:51
>> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: bb on Jun 2, 6:27 pm
>> >> >> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> >> bb wrote:

>> Ham radio has certainly changed since I first heard about it
>> back in the 40s. So many uptight folks in it now, so easily
>> bruised, some wanting to bully, terrorize, and FIGHT! :-)
>
>These are certainly not the good people that I first met when I became
>a ham. The trouble with amateur radio started with the advent of the
>no-code license. People began entering the service that did not
>worship at the altar of St. Hiram, and did not kneel and kisst the feet
>of Extras.

Well, from my point of view, the change started a lot earlier.

The movement to implement a no-code amateur license class
was already underway at least a decade prior to 1990. The
olde-tyme hammes "dismissed" such riff-raff haughtily,
tried to bruise no-coders' knuckles with code keys and
trumpeting like King Kong (complete with chest-beating) or
the disdain of a sneering (but clumsy) Basil Fawlty in a
(funny) English sit-com.

The Ham Lifestylers created themselves around the 60s when
there was left-over money to spend for fancy radio toys and
time to do "radio pioneering" in "DXpeditions" and the
self-styled "radiosport contests. They were the "Greatest"
according to the ham publications, sort of like radio
versions of Cassius Marcellus Clay after his name change.
[look at Clay/Ali now...]

"Radio" in itself can be very fascinating in and of itself.
But, "radio" is really just one form of electronics and
cannot, nor should not, be rooted/shielded/isolated into the
old analog ways nor communications modes of six decades past.

One problem, quite evident in here, is the Lifestylers trying to force everyone into THEIR way of thinking/doing as if that were the "voice of the amateur community." There is NO real voice, not even in the mighty national membership organization of hams that "represents" (according to them) only 1 out of 5 U.S. amateur licensees. It is THEIR little clubhouse and they are supremely, arrogantly intolerant of those who do not acknowledge THEM as the "masters." Their feet MUST be kissed and all MUST respect them...they keep telling others that in so many different ways. THEY are "superior."

What is worse for the HOBBY is the attitudes of the Lifestylers is clearly evident to all those outsiders who greatly out-number them. The Lifestylers fear their Way of Life is Threatened by "mere hobbyists" and that fear makes them blind to their own actions and attitudes. They remain resolute and arrogant, elitist in their self-styled "superiority" and cannot recognize THEY are the greatest danger to the hobby.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Len. Question.

What is your callsign?

2267. FA: My Stuff on E-Bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 18:55:23 UTC
From: me <k8cpa@k8cpa.org>
Message-ID: <dki6a19ceiup9p85ov05feqvc5938u25re@4ax.com>
Source record: 109400

http://cgi3.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewUserPage&userid=k8cpa

Paypal welcomed and prefered....

73 de Chuck - K8CPA

2268. What Date, Lardass, you can't get one!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 19:05:01 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <0b5bed39a8900ba4ecb5f3ac9d22e666@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 109401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=10&id=32031
Found this here..... http://www.network54.com/Hide/Forum/243612

Why I do "On the Domestic Front"
by Lloyd Davies - Time-Lord
I enjoy doing my talk show. I enjoy the Radio hobby. My
interests in
Ham Radio are kinda a "escape" from my own personal hell.

I will be 30 years old in less than a month. I look at my life
as a
life that has been a lonely one.

I enjoy the "attention" I get from doing my shows and my posts
calling
myself a time lord. I suffer from depression alot of the time.

Just please try to understand me. That is all.

2269. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 19:06:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1117997096.149964.274860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109402

From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> on 4 Jun 2005 11:13:35 -0700

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > vshah1...@comcast.net wrote:
>> > > > KC8GXW wrote:

>> > > > "Ham" is a label given to certain people with a certain belief system.
>
>> > > What "belief systems", Vipul...?!?!
>
>> > > "Belief systems" is a catch-phrase you usurped from Lennie
>> > > Anderson. Lennie is NOT a good role model to scam lines from.
>
>> > People don't have belief systems?
>
>> Sure they do.
>
>> But your mentor uses the term "belief system" as if it were dirty.
>
>I see no evidence of that.

There are many, many, many forms of belief systems. Few of them
are "dirty." But that isn't what Robeson is trying to convey
here. Robeson simply wants to insult me anywhichway he can.
That's nothing new since he's been trying and trying and trying
to do that for years. [he's become very trying] He fails in all
attempts.

>> He has "belief systems" too, but they don't seem to include
>> honesty, trustworthiness or strength of character.
>
>Some people who claim to have all of the above are creeps.
>
>"Lessee....430."

Brian, the word "creep" is far too much an understatement to
describe Robeson's behavior in here. :-)

>> Gee, Brain...You got the point but didn't even knoiw it...
>
>> There's no "rule"...Yet Vippy seems to think there is.
>
>> Good for you.
>

>I see no evidence that Vipul thinks there's a rule. I only see
>evidence of you thinking there is.

NONE of us can see into Stebie's thinking processes. I doubt
anyone would want to! :-)

It must be a strange, twisted place.

>> > > > They are often older, and male.
>
>> > > So...Shall we implement euthansia and castration if a licensee
>> > > dares to get older or have the wrong chromosomes...?!?!
>
>> > Shall you?
>
>> Care to be number 1? I can help you either way you care to go...
>
>Is that a threat?

Tsk, tsk. Gonad the Librarian flexes his mighty muscle and
pretends to be ruff and tuff. :-)

If only his medical supervisors knew how he used implied PHYSICAL
HARM and threats of mutilation against others. Tsk. That just adds
to a series of hypocritical boastings he's done while claiming to
be a helper/savior(?) and "saving lives."

>> > So amateurs have terminology and -don't- like to use it? Are you
>> > agreeing with Vipul or disagreeing with Vipul???
>
>> I am humiliating Vipul.
>
>You da man!

That poor soul tries humiliation on everyone who disagrees with him.

Somehow he never, ever learned about "the inherent good will of
amateurs" as mentioned by the FCC. Tsk, tsk. :-(

>> HE seems to think that there's some black undertones to Amateurs
>> using subject-specific terminology.
>
>Amateurs are encouraged to use plain language in every emergency
>management manual or training course that I've ever seen. Maybe Vipul
>is on to something. Maybe you're not.

Stebie is only "on" humiliation of those he disagrees with...

>> I have pointed out to him, repeatedly, that there is NO human
>> endeavor that doesn't ahve it's own "lingo".
>
>Sleeping?

Heh heh. Captain Obvious has been napping on the job... :-)

>> > Chicken politics. Squawk, squawk. Like saying "Lessee....430" without
>> > explanation.
>
>> No expalnation is necessary.
>
>More "lingo?" Quit using big words that you don't know what they mean.

Maybe Stebie knows the call sign prefixes for the Expal nation?

He might "ahve" that in his wallet...

>> From what demographic do you get your data?
>
>Why did you drop "Lessee....430" in one of your posting right before
>the Dayton Hamvention? Were you planning on stopping by my home while
>you were travelling in Ohio?

"Steve and the Boys" were looking for "action" and maybe found
some in seven other places...

>>I am basically conservative in my politics. I
>> am a former Paramedic and and presently an ACLS Nurse. I am a licensed
>> aviator.
>
>> Not to mention a 30+ year licensed Amateur.
>
>Paramedic and Nurse is a belief system? Aviation is a belief system?

Civilian Private Pilot...who thinks he is "Pilot In Command"
when sitting right-seat in a two-place single-engine general
aviation aircraft! Yup! That be BELIEF SYSTEM stretched right
out past the breaking point. :-)

Mebbe Stebie got "command pilot wings" to wear on his patch-
adorned flight suit? He be "Pilot In COMMAND," very important!

Tsk. Nursing and Piloting don't directly involve radio
communications...and they certainly don't get into radio
technology of same.

>> > > What was YOUR point?
>
>> > What was your point in saying, "Lessee....430?"
>
>> What was your point in "Somalia", "unlicensed devices", "ARES",
>> etc etc etc?
>
>Why can't you answer a question about you dropping my house number into
>one of your posts prior to the Dayton Hamvention?

Stebie got CAUGHT, can't bring himself to admit to making
nastygram in here...tries misdirection through attempts at
other personal insult. Tsk, tsk.

>I publically acknowledge that Steven J Robeson, 20WPM Extra is not a
>good Amateur.

Brian, I disagree. I say Stebie is Good for nothing...

>> > > Lennie doesn't accept ANY of us...
>
>> > Not true.
>
>> He doesn't. He talks nice to you because of your public expression
>> of "admration" fro him in the face of copious evidence that he's a
>> chronic liar.
>
>You're a chronic liar.

An' he no spell real good, either...

Poor Stebie is only "speaking" for his many personalities.
NOT for all of amateur radio.

>> > > There is no one person, group, organization, entity or "belief
>> > > system" that dictates what makes a "good ham".
>
>> > Not according to Larry, Dick, or Kelly.
>
>> Oh?
>
>> Where?
>
>> I've enver seen one of them state that one and only one person,
>> group organization, entity or belief system is the only true one.
>
>> Quotes, please.
>
>Dick quit being a VE because he didn't """believe""" that the new
>people were worthy of his time. Larry is on record numerous times
>telling everyone who tripped over his postings that he was better than
>everyone else. Kelly, well, he Kelly.

Stebie have both short and long-term memory loss. Tsk, tsk.

Stebie wants to rehash old, old arguments? Not win first time,
hoping to win this time? :-) Tsk, like Jimmie JAMES.

>> > Unfortunately, if only more amateur on here would come forward and
>> > condemn those who exhibit the characteristics of a "bad ham," such as
>> > yourself...
>
>> But what transpires in here is NOT "Amateur Radio".
>
>An amateur is balanced.

Stebie is human coax, very unbalanced.

> You can discuss my on-air operating with K0HB, K8MN and N2EY if
> you care to.

> N0IMD is not in my log, on ANY mode.

>> Bruce is Amateur Radio's spoiled potatoe.
>
>Hi!

Stebie run for vice president? He spell singular potato
wrong!

>I don't care who likes whom. I merely stated that most of the
>trouble-makers are Extra licensed amateurs, and I stand by my
>statement.

Truism. QED.

>> Who are "the", Brain? (I know you meant "they")...???
>
>Those who feel they have to agree with ham beliefs, or at least play
>lip service to it or else feel the scorn of the hard-boiled hams.

More truisms. QED.

> Lennie's never availed himself of it.
>
>Nor have most Americans, even those who are highly skilled in radio
>communications and engineering.

Poor PCTA Extras of the Double Standard, they very outnumbered.

>I just can't understand why you would post my house number prior to the
>Dayton Hamvention unless you planned on stopping by while you were in
>Ohio.
>
>Were you planning on stopping by? Didn't you have something to "teach"
>me?

"Steve and the Boys" got their KKK costumes dirty, had to
find a free laundromat to wash them. They tried washing
in stream but EPA fined them for polluting the water. That's
why "Steve and the Boys" couldn't get to your house in time.

Ho hum. Another "hostile action" for the Kode Klucks' Klan.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2270. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 19:15:11 UTC
From: John Smith <nospam@anon>
Message-ID: <d7viv3$687$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109403

Yawn.

Len can say in 12 kb what others can better say in 1.

You talk a lot, Len. Yet you manage to say so little.

2271. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 19:20:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Zfloe.40$gD3.3999857@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109404

The above fraud, calling himself "John Smith" and sending from the
falsified address of "From: "John Smith" <nospam@anon>"

Is not I...

There is only one John Smith and I am him--all others are only a cheap
imitation...

Warmest regards,
John
"John Smith" <nospam@anon> wrote in message
news:d7viv3$687$0@pita.alt.net...
> Yawn.
>
> Len can say in 12 kb what others can better say in 1.
>
> You talk a lot, Len. Yet you manage to say so little.
>
>

2272. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 19:24:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0kIoe.41$FE3.4026472@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109405

Yes.
Bottom line being--there are more illegal aliens in the state of
California alone--then there are hams in the world...

John
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1117674948.514536.73260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
> by individuals on the stated dates:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329
> Technician - 205,394
> Technician Plus - 128,860
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of May 31, 2005:
>
> Novice - 28,370 (decrease of 20,959)
> Technician - 268,575 (increase of 63,181)
> Technician Plus - 49,098 (decrease of 79,762)
> General - 136,581 (increase of 23,904)
> Advanced - 76,119 (decrease of 23,663)
> Extra - 106,707 (increase of 27,957)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,673 (decrease of 16,581)
>
> Total all classes - 665,450 (decrease of 9,342)
>
> Note that these totals do not include licenses that
> have expired but are in the grace period. They also
> do not include club, military, RACES or other
> station-only licenses.
>
> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new Novice, Technician Plus
> or
> Advanced licenses are no longer issued, and that all existing

> Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2273. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 19:26:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_IIoe.42$cO3.4179066@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109406

... whoops...
I shouldn't have mentioned that--now hams will be filing for "minority
status" and starting to want to marry each other, and given the full
rights given a man and a woman... <frown>

John
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:0kIoe.41$FE3.4026472@news.sisna.com...
> Yes.
> Bottom line being--there are more illegal aliens in the state of
> California alone--then there are hams in the world...
>
> John
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1117674948.514536.73260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
>> by individuals on the stated dates:
>>
>> As of May 14, 2000:
>>
>> Novice - 49,329
>> Technician - 205,394
>> Technician Plus - 128,860
>> General - 112,677
>> Advanced - 99,782
>> Extra - 78,750
>>
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>>
>> Total all classes - 674,792
>>
>> As of May 31, 2005:
>>
>> Novice - 28,370 (decrease of 20,959)
>> Technician - 268,575 (increase of 63,181)
>> Technician Plus - 49,098 (decrease of 79,762)
>> General - 136,581 (increase of 23,904)
>> Advanced - 76,119 (decrease of 23,663)
>> Extra - 106,707 (increase of 27,957)
>>
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,673 (decrease of 16,581)
>>
>> Total all classes - 665,450 (decrease of 9,342)
>>

>> Note that these totals do not include licenses that
>> have expired but are in the grace period. They also
>> do not include club, military, RACES or other
>> station-only licenses.
>>
>> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new Novice, Technician Plus
>> or
>> Advanced licenses are no longer issued, and that all existing
>> Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>

2274. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 20:25:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118003157.326086.259970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109407

>From: "Jim Hampton" on Sun 5 Jun 2005 06:01

>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>news:5Rroe.12980$uR4.11952@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:6tooe.151$oZ3.150@news02.roc.ny...

>Tell ya what, if Lennie comes up on frequency on CW, I'm gonna quit right
>then and there!

Start packing. Pick up last check in Accounting Department.

Fort Monmouth, NJ, a June afternoon in 1952, basic radio skills
for Microwave Radio Relay MOS at the Signal School in a general
purpose school building: Small 6AG7-6L6 MOPA transmitter on
about 7.5 MHz, crystal controlled, CW only with a "straight key."
Measure RF power into "dummy load" (light bulb), then connect
to wire antenna and "load up," see difference in meter readings,
hear keying from instructor's simple five-tube receiver. Hear
instructor cuss out one for "sending nasty words on-air" with
morse key. Sent "CQ" for "keying," nice easy pattern to beat
of characters already memorized before service. Maybe 20 Watts
RF output. Measure frequency with BC-221. Adjust crystal
oscillator for exact frequency and see range of adjustment
possible (not much). Satisfaction on getting very close to
"on frequency." [not on ham band frequency but still legal
for Signal School]

53 years later the boat anchor afficionados are still "peaking
the grid, dipping the plate" on their glow-in-the-dark PAs.
Ho hum. No big change.

Improvement: Modern luggage much, much better. Easy
handling, better packing.

>Let's give it a go.

Good luck on your new whatever. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2275. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 20:29:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118003394.065046.177580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109408

From: "bb" on Sun 5 Jun 2005 06:58

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > > Ham radio has certainly changed since I first heard about it
>> > > back in the 40s. So many uptight folks in it now, so easily
>> > > bruised, some wanting to bully, terrorize, and FIGHT!
>>
>> Perhaps, Lennie, if certain mischevious scumbags weren't
>> >>looking<< to "bruise" people there wouldn't be such a propensity to be as >>defensive.
>>
>> Such as the deceitful and misleading barbs YOU disseminate in this
>> forum.
>>
>> Guess you now think it's wrong for one to defend one's self?
>
>No one except Ghandi thinks that. But you make every posting as if it
>were a personal attack to your very being and your way of life. You
>made yourself a target, an easy target, by being such an idiot about
>everything. Lighten up.

:-)

>> > These are certainly not the good people that I first met when I became
>> > a ham. The trouble with amateur radio started with the advent of the
>> > no-code license. People began entering the service that did not
>> > worship at the altar of St. Hiram, and did not kneel and kisst the feet
>> > of Extras.
>>
>> The problem didn't start with the No Code test...It started almost
>> 20 years before that with the influx of 11 meter operators via the Bash
>> Book route and the subsequent codification of the "open pools".
>
>Ah, yes, the "Riff-Raff" theory. Almost 20 years ago I used the ARRL's
>"Now You're Talking" and the ARRL's non-Farnsworth Code tapes to enter
>the amateur radio service via "open pools" testing.

Ahem, "almost 20 years ago" would be about 1985. Class D CB
was created in 1958...FORTY-SEVEN YEARS AGO. 27 years in
between are unaccounted for in Stebie's statement.

"11 meter 'operators?'" The original "11 meter" CB users
required a license but never required any test. USERS.
Absolutely NO written form of "radio knowledge" was

required of any USER.

"Open pools?" There were "Q&A" books published before 1956 on ALL FCC written tests. BEFORE the Dick Bash publications. That was way back in history when ALL the FCC radio regulations were supplied as three-ring binder, loose-leaf pages.

Today's COMPLETE questions-and-answers pool is generated by the VEC Question Pool Committee. Those answers have three times the number of WRONG answers as there are correct ones. The VEC QPC is composed entirely of already-licensed amateurs. Those hams who bitch and moan about the "open" pools can go and pish all over their ham VEC QPC "brethren" about the questions.

The FCC requires only a MINIMUM of ten times the number of questions for each class' written tests. There is NO LIMIT on the MAXIMUM number of pool questions. The FCC doesn't even require the KIND of questions...that's up to the QPC to determine. Given the electronic distribution of text and image material, there's not much limit on a QP size and that could have 100 times the minimum number of questions. The "riff-raff" aren't going to "easily pass" by "memorizing" ALL those questions and the ONE correct answer out of four.

Various electronic industry groups have stopped keeping accurate tabulations on the number of "CB radios" either imported or in-use. Some time in the past, an EIA (Electronic Industries Association) estimated about five million CBs "in-use." That way outnumbered the number of oh-so-legal radio amateurs licensed at the time. Before the FCC stopped publishing databases on PRIVATE land, sea, and mobile radios NOT on ham bands, NOT on CB, those were an equal number to the total of licensed radio amateurs. There are about 100 million cellular telephone handsets in public use (estimated from Bureau of Census reports for 2003 on cell phone subscriptions) and the June, 2005, issue of the IEEE Spectrum (membership magazine) states that China has 300 million cell phones. Radios. Small ones. Not even counting the FRS and GMRS handsets. NONE of those requiring mighty test-taking of morse code proficiency.

All of those non-ham radios are in use by "riff-raff?" Dick Bash is "responsible" for all the Q&A books published in the 1950s? Yes to all implies the mighty Stebie, "speaker for all hams and the 'ham community'."

"Pbthpthththth" says Bill the Cat. Hear the Opus.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2276. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 20:30:17 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <8rk6a1pugu9v2lah1iksg438ktmnntc78d@4ax.com>
Source record: 109409

On 5 Jun 2005 05:41:50 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>
>Leo wrote:
>> On 2 Jun 2005 19:45:52 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> >From: Leo on Jun 2, 6:40 pm
>> >
>> >>On 1 Jun 2005 15:39:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>Leo wrote:
>> >>>> On 31 May 2005 15:37:45 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>> >Leo wrote:
>> >>>> >> On 31 May 2005 02:06:42 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>snip

>Leo, what word would be more appropriate than "bullshit" to
>describe that claim?

Incorrect? As in "that claim is incorrect...."

>What words would be more appropriate than
>"jackass behavior" to describe the actions of the person making
>such claims?

In error? As in "I believe that he is in error ...."

>snip
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

2277. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 20:33:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <vkJoe.43$aN4.4686873@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109412

Now-a-days, hookup mosfet 1KW amp with 2-50 Mhz coverage to BIG discone
ant, hookup 2-50 freeband transceiver, adjust L-Match as needed....
GO!!!!

John
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1118003157.326086.259970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >From: "Jim Hampton" on Sun 5 Jun 2005 06:01
>
>
>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>news:5Rroe.12980$uR4.11952@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> news:6tooe.151$oZ3.150@news02.roc.ny...
>
>
>>Tell ya what, if Lennie comes up on frequency on CW, I'm gonna quit
>>right
>>then and there!
>
> Start packing. Pick up last check in Accounting Department.
>
> Fort Monmouth, NJ, a June afternoon in 1952, basic radio skills
> for Microwave Radio Relay MOS at the Signal School in a general
> purpose school building: Small 6AG7-6L6 MOPA transmitter on
> about 7.5 MHz, crystal controlled, CW only with a "straight key."
> Measure RF power into "dummy load" (light bulb), then connect
> to wire antenna and "load up," see difference in meter readings,
> hear keying from instructor's simple five-tube receiver. Hear
> instructor cuss out one for "sending nasty words on-air" with
> morse key. Sent "CQ" for "keying," nice easy pattern to beat
> of characters already memorized before service. Maybe 20 Watts
> RF output. Measure frequency with BC-221. Adjust crystal
> oscillator for exact frequency and see range of adjustment
> possible (not much). Satisfaction on getting very close to
> "on frequency." [not on ham band frequency but still legal
> for Signal School]
>
> 53 years later the boat anchor afficionados are still "peaking
> the grid, dipping the plate" on their glow-in-the-dark PAs.
> Ho hum. No big change.
>
> Improvement: Modern luggage much, much better. Easy

> handling, better packing.
>
>>Let's give it a go.
>
> Good luck on your new whatever. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2278. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 20:42:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <OsJoe.229$fl4.189@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109410

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:MIroe.15552$w21.5081@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:headnQuYiuhenj_fRVn-oA@comcast.com...
>
> >
> >> Dan's point of a 1X3 being an indicator of an old timer does not hold
> >> water.
> >
> > Definitely not. Many holders of 1x3 calls got their licenses in the
1990s
> > and many new licenses today are getting 1x3 calls through the vanity
> > system since there are none available for sequential issue. However if
> > one looks only at the sequentially issued calls, the Ws are older than
the
> > Ks which in turn are older than the Ns.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> I think you are trying to be a devils advocate here Dee. You should know
I
> was refering to the time before incentive licensing. If you don't...you
do
> now. So my comments are valid and correct.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Hello, Dan

That you may also have mis-read what I was saying. Before vanity calls (incentive licensing was in the 1960s), you could be certain of an old timer by the callsign. You could not be positive of a newer type call because if you changed radio districts, you were issued a new sequentially assigned call.

This is why Wayne Greene operated as W2NSD/1 for years. I don't know if he owned or licensed real estate in New York to keep the W2NSD call, but finally was able to keep it for his new QTH.

W2NSD is quite some time after a 1X2 call and Wayne goes back a *lot* of years. He got his 50th (or was it more than 50?) year membership pin from

the ARRL back quite some time ago. I subscribed to 73 about 10 years ago, so it may have been in that time frame. Assuming he did not get his license at the age of two or three, plus quite a few years between the 1X2s and W2NSD, I would suspect most of the original 1X1s are long SK. I suspect a fair number of the original 1X3s are also gone. Perhaps not the majority, but a fair number.

I make this statement only by personally knowing some of them and having an idea of their age. The ones I knew are all SK.

Ooops. I do know a 1X2. He was WA2SEY and obtained a 1X2 via the vanity call system. The only one I know is through the vanity call system. Ooops, wait. I've heard a couple on the air locally. All vanity call signs.

Going through posts in one group, I've seen a lot of folks grabbing the 1X3s via the vanity call system.

Due to personal experience, I suspect that a fair number of 1X3s are vanity issue and am convinced that most, if not all, of the 1X2s are vanity issues.

Certainly, there are still a large number of 1X3s still around with a non-vanity issued call. However, I suspect that in the 2nd district, these would have run out sometime around 1959 or 1960. This sets a lower limit on age of around 50. This would be for a child who obtained his or her ticket just before they started with the WA prefixes here. Someone obtaining their ticket then as a teenager should be around 60. You don't have to go too far back through the K2XXX to approach W2XXX and now you're likely looking at someone 80 years old or more. There were fewer amateurs then and callsigns weren't issued at the machine-gun rate they were some decades later.

I mentioned K2BRE. Were he still alive, he would be in his mid to upper 80s. And that is still after the W2XXX calls. You expect me to believe most of the 1X3s are 80 years old and older?

How many hams are around that are 90 years old?

This is why I suspect a fair number of the 1X3s are vanity calls. Of course, other radio districts may not need go back nearly as many years to achieve the 1X3s.

My first post was to point out that the WA and WB prefixes indicate folks that are approaching 60 years old. I doubt any were obtained via vanity calls. So, I suspect these folks have been licensed well over 40 years. I cannot assume that with a 1X2 or 1X3.

Oh, I almost forgot W2OY. He's been SK for many years now, but other lids have jumped right in to fill the gap.

2279. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 20:49:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <jzJoe.46$4K4.4674579@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109413

Jim:

The Wayne Green? The one who was even thrown off the Art Bell show for being such a shill con-artist? Wow, you live-ya learn...

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:OsJoe.229$fI4.189@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:MIroe.15552$w21.5081@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:headnQuYiuhenj_fRVn-oA@comcast.com...
>>
>> >
>> >> Dan's point of a 1X3 being an indicator of an old timer does not
>> >> hold
>> >> water.
>> >
>> > Definitely not. Many holders of 1x3 calls got their licenses in
>> > the
> 1990s
>> > and many new licenses today are getting 1x3 calls through the
>> > vanity
>> > system since there are none available for sequential issue.
>> > However if
>> > one looks only at the sequentially issued calls, the Ws are older
>> > than
> the
>> > Ks which in turn are older than the Ns.
>> >
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>>
>> I think you are trying to be a devils advocate here Dee. You should
>> know
> I
>> was refering to the time before incentive licensing. If you
>> don't...you
> do
>> now. So my comments are valid and correct.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI

>>
>>
>
> Hello, Dan
>
> That you may also have mis-read what I was saying. Before vanity
> calls
> (incentive licensing was in the 1960s), you could be certain of an old
> timer
> by the callsign. You could not be positive of a newer type call
> because if
> you changed radio districts, you were issued a new sequentially
> assigned
> call.
>
> This is why Wayne Greene operated as W2NSD/1 for years. I don't know
> if he
> owned or licensed real estate in New York to keep the W2NSD call, but
> finally was able to keep it for his new QTH.
>
> W2NSD is quite some time after a 1X2 call and Wayne goes back a *lot*
> of
> years. He got his 50th (or was it more than 50?) year membership pin
> from
> the ARRL back quite some time ago. I subscribed to 73 about 10 years
> ago,
> so it may have been in that time frame. Assuming he did not get his
> license
> at the age of two or three, plus quite a few years between the 1X2s
> and
> W2NSD, I would suspect most of the original 1X1s are long SK. I
> suspect a
> fair number of the original 1X3s are also gone. Perhaps not the
> majority,
> but a fair number.
>
> I make this statement only by personally knowing some of them and
> having an
> idea of their age. The ones I knew are all SK.
>
> Ooops. I do know a 1X2. He was WA2SEY and obtained a 1X2 via the
> vanity
> call system. The only one I know is through the vanity call system.
> Ooops,
> wait. I've heard a couple on the air locally. All vanity call signs.
>
> Going through posts in one group, I've seen a lot of folks grabbing
> the 1X3s
> via the vanity call system.
>

> Due to personal experience, I suspect that a fair number of 1X3s are
> vanity
> issue and am convinced that most, if not all, of the 1X2s are vanity
> issues.
>
> Certainly, there are still a large number of 1X3s still around with a
> non-vanity issued call. However, I suspect that in the 2nd district,
> these
> would have run out sometime around 1959 or 1960. This sets a lower
> limit on
> age of around 50. This would be for a child who obtained his or her
> ticket
> just before they started with the WA prefixes here. Someone obtaining
> their
> ticket then as a teenager should be around 60. You don't have to go
> too far
> back through the K2XXX to approach W2XXX and now you're likely looking
> at
> someone 80 years old or more. There were fewer amateurs then and
> callsigns
> weren't issued at the machine-gun rate they were some decades later.
>
> I mentioned K2BRE. Were he still alive, he would be in his mid to
> upper
> 80s. And that is still after the W2XXX calls. You expect me to
> believe
> most of the 1X3s are 80 years old and older?
>
> How many hams are around that are 90 years old?
>
> This is why I suspect a fair number of the 1X3s are vanity calls. Of
> course, other radio districts may not need go back nearly as many
> years to
> achieve the 1X3s.
>
> My first post was to point out that the WA and WB prefixes indicate
> folks
> that are approaching 60 years old. I doubt any were obtained via
> vanity
> calls. So, I suspect these folks have been licensed well over 40
> years. I
> cannot assume that with a 1X2 or 1X3.
>
> Oh, I almost forgot W2OY. He's been SK for many years now, but other
> lids
> have jumped right in to fill the gap.
>
>
>
>

2280. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 21:25:38 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <m5Koe.260$2e7.146@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109411

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:sJmdnZj5NLoryT_fRVn-rg@comcast.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Nwroe.13967$M36.257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> > news:ScKdnVTHcLqSSjzfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...
> >>
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >>> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> >>> news:1d-dnWeqRoMeNALfRVn-3Q@centurytel.net...
> >>>
>
> [snip]
>
> >>
> >> But there are too many no code tech's that get a vanity call now adays.
> >
> > Which totally sucks. The FCC at ONE TIME wanted distintive callsigns
for
> > class of license. Now it is too much work to bother with. What a joke
> > they are.
> >
>
> They are still limited to getting a call that is limited by their license
> class. Technicians were assigned 1x3 calls until the sequentially
available
> 1x3 calls ran out. That some now choose to get a 1x3 vanity only means
that
> they are getting a call that they are entitled to by license class.
>
> >>>
> >>> As a matter of fact the FCC once considered the W/K prefix "prefered
> >>> calls". And at one time the FCC required you to change your call if
you
> >>> permanetly moved to another district. And in deference to the
W/K....if
> >>> you had one they would issue another from the prefered block.
> >>>
> >>> Which is how I got my present call (W4NTI) because I used to have
K8BHH.
> >>> Course that all went to crap with the alphabet soup system of today.
```

> >>
> >> There's an Extra near here that still has his K8*** call, he was a tech
> >> until the early nineties and upgraded to Extra when it was still 20
wpm.
> >
> > So what? having a old K8 means he has a original issue K8 call. You
> > don't seem to comprehend that what was this and what is now ain't no
where
> > near the same. All there was back then was W and K calls, followed by
> > WA, WB, WD, then a re-issue for a while, then the alphabet soup we
have
> > today.
> >
>
> The FCC could have issued N calls back then if they had wanted to. The
> block was assigned to the US.
>
> There's no "alphabet soup" crap today. The call sign eligibility is the
> same as it has been since they initiated the 5 level license class decades
> ago. We have the same call sign eligibility today as then. The problem
is
> that a lot of call signs have been "used" and so they went to the next
> available block. Ws were used first, then Ks, and then Ns and then As.
>
> Today no call district has 1x3s available for sequential issue (except
> perhaps for some of the special territories). In addition 1x2s and 2x1s
are
> no long available for sequential issue. Why? They have all been issued.
> The only available ones are those that have been returned to the pool by
> lack of renewal by the licensee for whatever reason. It will not be long
> now before the last block of Extra class call signs (2x2 beginning with
AA -
> AL) is used up in the sequential system. The only reason it hasn't is
that
> a lot of people are keeping their old call signs instead of getting new
ones
> when they upgrade.
>
> If someone comes into a license session today with no license and passes
the
> code, Tech written and General written all in one sitting, he/she will
> receive a 2x3 call. Yes even a General will receive a 2x3 if it is a new
> issue. To get a call "reflecting his class", means that the new General
has
> to search for vacated calls and find one available and get it as a vanity.
>
> I kept my original call sign when I upgraded to advanced and then extra as
> there were no 1x2s left in my call district. I didn't like the 2x1s or
the
> 2x2s.

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Hello, Dee

I agree; I don't care for the 2X1s nor the 2X2s. That said, I wasn't going to pay money to get the WB2 call back and the N2JH was taken via the vanity system. There was a brief period when extras were allowed to select a call in the N series and I took that after leaving Pennsylvania as WA3RJX.

My point continues to be that you can only make assumptions on the WA and WB prefixes. New calls will likely be a 2X3, but after WA and WB. No one would pay for them and they are likely all licensed over 40 years.

Which came first, WA2SEY or W2AV? Well, WA2SEY took advantage of the vanity system and is now W2AV. So that one is a push, except that WA2SEY has been vacated.

Dontcha just love the alphabet soup? Perhaps we could all get a w, k, n, or a prefix depending upon class licensed followed by the numbers indicating the first license issue date, followed by three letters. Since I doubt enough folks would get their license on the same day in the same radio district to overflow the last 3 letters, it would leave room for vanity by choosing your initials.

I can see it now - de W050262JRH K

LOL.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2281. Re: FA: My Stuff on E-Bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 21:51:58 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <itidnUeRCtJg7j7fRVn-iw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109414

me wrote:
>
>
> Paypal welcomed and prefered....
>
> 73 de Chuck - K8CPA
>
Good move. I hope everything goes better for you in your religious endeavor!

2282. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 22:36:56 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118011015.971368.283100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109415

From: N...@AOL.COM on Jun 1, 9:15 pm

>These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
>by individuals on the stated dates:
>As of May 14, 2000:

<deleted, four-year-old data, grace periods are only 2 years>

>As of May 31, 2005:
>
>Novice - 28,370 (decrease of 20,959)
>Technician - 268,575 (increase of 63,181)
>Technician Plus - 49,098 (decrease of 79,762)
>General - 136,581 (increase of 23,904)
>Advanced - 76,119 (decrease of 23,663)
>Extra - 106,707 (increase of 27,957)
>
>Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,673 (decrease of 16,581)
>
>Total all classes - 665,450 (decrease of 9,342)

>Note that these totals do not include licenses that
>have expired but are in the grace period. They also
>do not include club, military, RACES or other
>station-only licenses

That's simply untrue, N...@aol.com. Military "calls" are
assigned by the MILITARY. FCC has NO legal jurisdiction
over USA military OR government radio.

>Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new Novice, Technician Plus or
>Advanced licenses are no longer issued, and that all existing
>Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician.

An absolutely IMPORTANT clarification to obscure the
fact that no-code-test Technicians are condescendingly
sponged into the MORSE-TESTED totals.

Here's the totals of ALL AMATEUR licenses as given by
www.hamdata.com as of 5 June 2005, with "delta" relative
to those same classes two years ago...and the percentage
of total 2005-date licenses less 9,550 "Club" calls:

Class Licenses Delta Percentage
Technician (no-code-test) 293,613 +19,932 40.64
Technician Plus 56,161 -19,480 7.77
Novice 34,116 -8,331 4.72

General 144,802 +1,855 20.30
Advanced 82,902 -3,322 11.43
Extra 109,325 +3,678 15.13

Total Less "Club" calls 722,452 -5,668* 99.99**

* 2003 all-license totals were 736,616 or which 8,496 were "Club" calls so the Delta for comparison is 728,120.

** Percentage totals do not add up to precisely 100% due to arithmetic round-off to hundredths.

Note: "Club" calls include all the non-individual license grants.

As of the hamdata.com figures for this Sunday, 5 Jun 05, NO-CODE-TEST Technician Class licensees outnumber General Class licensees by an almost exact 2:1 ratio. [General class licensees WERE the largest in old days, no more]

Nota Bene: The total licenses for the no-code-test Technician Class, 293,613, do NOT include the "Tech Plus" total licenses of 56,161. [let's stop this foolish "lumping-together" by rather obvious PCTAs in trying to embelish the sanctity and nobility of morsemanship]

ALL license classes in the Technician (no-code-test), General, and Amateur Extra classes have the SAME grace period. If current Novice or Advanced license holders don't RETEST, they go bye-bye, get defunct, disappear from that great database.

The current percentage of NO-CODE-TEST Technician class licensees now make up slightly over FORTY PERCENT of all classes. It is obviously the most populous of ALL classes and CONTINUES TO GROW. Neglecting that singular LARGE class of radio amateurs is foolish pipe-dreaming or weird personal fantasizing.

We now return you to the regularly scheduled PCTA rationalization party in progress...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2283. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 22:37:53 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <59Loe.16008$w21.12818@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109416

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:rzwoe.208$2e7.123@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:5Rroe.12980$uR4.11952@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:6tooe.151$oZ3.150@news02.roc.ny...
>>
>> >
>> > You are right, when the Ws were exhausted, they ran through the Ks.
> Then
>> > they started with WA and so on. The N prefix became available in the
> very
>> > late 60s or very early 70s. WA and WB predate the N prefix.
>> >
>> I don't know about all area's but I can say this, for the 8th
>> district...circa summer of 1961 they did indeed re-issue K calls. I
>> received KN8BHH, my friend received KN8BBL, another friend received
> KN8DEN,
>> etc. however just a month or so later another group of buds received WN8
>> which turned into WA8 calls. Go figure.
>> >
>>
>> > Still haven't heard from Dan. Perhaps we could sked for a nice chat -
> as
>> > long as he can put up with a "newbie". Better keep it slow, say 30
> words
>> > per minute cw with no automated copy devices. I suppose if he pushes
> me,
>> > I
>> > might consider 40. Not much more, however; I'm not up to that stuff
>> > anymore. Of course, if push came to shove .... I might be willing to
> give
>> > the high-speed stuff a crack, but don't expect much from a former WN
>> > prefix.
>> >
>> > If he *really* wants to push the envelope, I'd be willing to take a
>> > week
>> > and
>> > meet him using American Land-Line Morse. Should be good for a hoot.
>> > No
>> > more than 16, however, as I'd be using a mental look-up table. Not
> enough

>> > call for land-line Morse to make it worthwhile to get good at it. I
> only
>> > used it once in 1968. Of course, I hadn't copied Morse since 1969 when
> I
>> > retook the extra in 1993 .... at 20 words per minute .... ;)
>> >
>> >
>> Haven't heard from me? This is the first time I saw this. Sure I'll
>> sked
>> ya. I'm on 3.575 every evening at 1900CST, that would be 2000Z. On
>> Sundays I am net control (local time).
>>
>> I have not used the railroad code in 40 years, so I'll pass on that one.
>>
>> The only thing that is automatic in my cw is my ability to copy. Should
>> still do well at 50 or so. If not we qrs.
>>
>> Send me a e-mail and follow my SPAM catchers instructions. I don't give
>> a
>> rip who believe me here.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>>
>
> Hello, Dan
>
> Should be fun. I could well loose, who knows? But I'll tell you what, I
> hope both of us learn a bit of respect for each other. I honestly don't
> know how well I'll do much past 40 as it has been quite a few years - but
> I'm willing to give it a try. I will get your addy minus the spam catcher
> and explain further.
>
> Hang on to your shorts, it might be a bumpy ride LOL
>
> Seriously, Dan, I hope we can end this one on a friendly note. I get
> upset
> sometimes with some of the threads. I bear no ill will against newcomers
> nor old timers. I do hope you read what I stated concerning the 1X3 and
> 1X2
> call signs. I was being honest there.
>

Must have missed that.

> In any case, I'll be in touch. Wanna bet that we'll hear from Len on this
> one? A beer? LOL
>
Only if he has a code reader on his computer and sound card interface... hi.
>

> BTW, you are right about the KN prefixes. Those became the KX3 calls. At
> the time, however, New York was the most populus state and the 2 district
> was into the WB2 calls. I've still got my original novice license issued
> in
> 1962 as WN2CJV which would have become a WB2 call. I'm willing to try and
> take a digital photo of it and send it to you if you wish.

No need....I have my very first license in a file. KN8BHH, issued summer of
1961.
In fact this month (June).

There are
> differences between districts. And I still abide by my thoughts that the
> average WA or WB has been licensed longer than the average 1X2 or 1X3 call
> simply because of the FCC and its' vanity call sign program.

Your probably right Jim, now a days having a pre vanity 1X2 or 1X3 means
nothing
because every one assumes you paid for it.

>
> All other stuff aside, when did you use land-line?
Just before I went off to the military in 64. Was just getting into it, and
dropped out for many years. Haven't done it since.

How long have you been
> licensed?
As stated above, got my first ticket in summer of 61, got the general 3
months
later. Went off to the military and after traveling around Asia and Europe.
I operated
from Thailand and not quite legally from Vietnam, and of course out of
Europe in
the 70s. I took my Advanced test in mid 70s while stationed at Ft.
McClellan, got
that in Atlanta. Then went back to Germany and took my Extra there at
Ramstein
Air Base. This was when the FCC was sending teams out to do that. Amazing.

I am not trying to say I'm a real old-timer; I'm not. I am
> simply making the argument that due to the vanity call sign program, a
> lot,
> if not most, of the 1X2 and 1X3 calls are vanity calls.

You were licensed 43 years ago. Thats a old timer. Most of those older are
dead. Boy thats a cheery thought.

I agree about the call sign situation. W4XYZ licensed in 2001? What a
deal.

I also was WA3RJX
> some 6 years *after* being WB2OSP. It is a matter of the call district.
> If

> you move, you loose (at least back in the days). I was N2JH in the early
> 70s, given the time to renew one's license, that was some 10 years and
> more
> after the WN2CJV (or even the WB2OSP) ticket.

As a Novice and new General I worked so many WN, WA,WB2's I thought that
is all there was on 40cw.

I dropped my K8 call in the mid 70s. When I decided I was going to stay
here in
Bama after I got out in 79. So I had to change my callsign due to the move.

>
> Heck, maybe after we get a time and frequency (and the 4 call district
> might
> be tough except for 40 meters), perhaps we can get a few others on the
> frequency.
>
Fine by me.
> I'd almost bet that Hans could wipe us both out ... but we can see .... :)
>
I don't know....I know I have worked him several times on SSB in a contest.
Don't remember if I did in CW or not.

> Tell ya what, if Lennie comes up on frequency on CW, I'm gonna quit right
> then and there!
>
> Let's give it a go.
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
Dan/W4NTI

2284. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 22:45:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <MfLoe.16012$w21.10716@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109417

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:OsJoe.229$fI4.189@news02.roc.ny...
>
> Oh, I almost forgot W2OY. He's been SK for many years now, but other lids
> have jumped right in to fill the gap.

I remember his well. In fact I was insulted by him several times on 75 when
he slapped me around for having a K8 call.....hi.

Didn't a club pick up his call?

Dan/W4NTI

2285. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 22:47:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <VhLoe.16013$w21.13042@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109418

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:bvqdncVGb9RA0T_fRVn-sg@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <snip>
> >Yes it is, and yes I am. So what? The general theory was mainly
> >concerned
> >with freqs used by Generals and HF stuff. At that time in history the
> >Tech
> >test was centered around V/Uhf stuff.
>
> So that would also make most of the generals in the last 15 years also a
> give away license? Seeing as the only difference was 13wpm.
>

No it wouldn't. It takes a large amount of time and effort to achieve 13
wpm.
That certainly does not qualify as a give away.

> <snip>
>
>>>>No, just a no coder. Unless of course you did pass a 5wpm test. Then
>>>>you would be a Technician. Hi hi.
>>>
>>>I took the 5wpm when I did my novice.
>>
>>
>> Congrats....so did I. Then I jumped over the Tech and took my General at
>> 13wpm, then my Advanced then my Extra at 20wpm. Your point is?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
> My point was in answer to your statement!
>

2286. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 22:52:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2nLoe.60$FK7.5563495@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109421

When the data attempts to force the fact that doom is
comming--re-arrange the data and argue like hell!!! Of course, that is
how the doom occurred in the first place!

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1118011015.971368.283100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Jun 1, 9:15 pm
>
>>These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
>>by individuals on the stated dates:
>>As of May 14, 2000:
>
> <deleted, four-year-old data, grace periods are only 2 years>
>
>>As of May 31, 2005:
>>
>>Novice - 28,370 (decrease of 20,959)
>>Technician - 268,575 (increase of 63,181)
>>Technician Plus - 49,098 (decrease of 79,762)
>>General - 136,581 (increase of 23,904)
>>Advanced - 76,119 (decrease of 23,663)
>>Extra - 106,707 (increase of 27,957)
>>
>>Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,673 (decrease of 16,581)
>>
>>Total all classes - 665,450 (decrease of 9,342)
>
>
>>Note that these totals do not include licenses that
>>have expired but are in the grace period. They also
>>do not include club, military, RACES or other
>>station-only licenses
>
> That's simply untrue, N...@aol.com. Military "calls" are
> assigned by the MILITARY. FCC has NO legal jurisdiction
> over USA military OR government radio.
>
>>Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new Novice, Technician Plus
>>or
>>Advanced licenses are no longer issued, and that all existing
>>Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician.
>
> An absolutely IMPORTANT clarification to obscure the

> fact that no-code-test Technicians are condescendingly
> sponged into the MORSE-TESTED totals.
>
> Here's the totals of ALL AMATEUR licenses as given by
> www.hamdata.com as of 5 June 2005, with "delta" relative
> to those same classes two years ago...and the percentage
> of total 2005-date licenses less 9,550 "Club" calls:
>
> Class Licenses Delta Percentage
> Technician (no-code-test) 293,613 +19,932 40.64
> Technician Plus 56,161 -19,480 7.77
> Novice 34,116 -8,331 4.72
> General 144,802 +1,855 20.30
> Advanced 82,902 -3,322 11.43
> Extra 109,325 +3,678 15.13
>
> Total Less "Club" calls 722,452 -5,668* 99.99**
>
> * 2003 all-license totals were 736,616 or which 8,496 were
> "Club" calls so the Delta for comparison is 728,120.
>
> ** Percentage totals do not add up to precisely 100% due
> to arithmetic round-off to hundredths.
>
> Note: "Club" calls include all the non-individual license
> grants.
>
> As of the hamdata.com figures for this Sunday, 5 Jun 05,
> NO-CODE-TEST Technician Class licensees outnumber General
> Class licensees by an almost exact 2:1 ratio. [General
> class licensees WERE the largest in old days, no more]
>
> Nota Bene: The total licenses for the no-code-test
> Technician Class, 293,613, do NOT include the "Tech Plus"
> total licenses of 56,161. [let's stop this foolish
> "lumping-together" by rather obvious PCTAs in trying to
> embelish the sanctity and nobility of morsemanship]
>
> ALL license classes in the Technician (no-code-test),
> General, and Amateur Extra classes have the SAME grace
> period. If current Novice or Advanced license holders
> don't RETEST, they go bye-bye, get defunct, disappear
> from that great database.
>
> The current percentage of NO-CODE-TEST Technician class
> licensees now make up slightly over FORTY PERCENT of all
> classes. It is obviously the most populous of ALL classes
> and CONTINUES TO GROW. Neglecting that singular LARGE
> class of radio amateurs is foolish pipe-dreaming or weird
> personal fantasizing.

>
> We now return you to the regularly scheduled PCTA
> rationalization party in progress...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2287. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 22:56:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <BqLoe.16015$w21.2637@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109419

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> KC8GXW wrote:
>> > But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
>> > Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a > give
>> away tech license! :)
>
> Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
> what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
I said that. And I mean it. The tests today are a joke. Why you ask?
Because the questions and answers are right there in front of the person.
Sure he has to study a lot of questions. But there they are on the test. A
give away.

And lets not even talk about the CW situation.

It's NOT numbers we need, it people that respect the traditions of ham
radio and want to continue them. Its people that love ham radio and don't
want to use to to order a pizza.

Call me old fashioned and out of touch. It won't be the first time.

Dan/W4NTI

2288. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 23:19:15 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <h8mdnZwQYuTvFT7fRVn-jg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109420

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>KC8GXW wrote:
>>
>>>>But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
>>>>Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
>>>>
>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a > give
>>>away tech license! :)
>>
>>Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
>>what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
> I said that. And I mean it. The tests today are a joke. Why you ask?
> Because the questions and answers are right there in front of the person.
> Sure he has to study a lot of questions. But there they are on the test. A
> give away.
>
> And lets not even talk about the CW situation.
>
> It's NOT numbers we need, it people that respect the traditions of ham
> radio and want to continue them. Its people that love ham radio and don't
> want to use to to order a pizza.
>
> Call me old fashioned and out of touch. It won't be the first time.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
I can order pizza? I see what you mean now about me not knowing all the
rules and regs off the top of my head. Look what I've been missing!

2289. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 23:23:46 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109435

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>KC8GXW wrote:
>>
>>>>But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
>>>>Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
>>>>
>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a > give
>>>away tech license! :)
>>
>>Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
>>what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
> I said that. And I mean it. The tests today are a joke. Why you ask?
> Because the questions and answers are right there in front of the person.
> Sure he has to study a lot of questions. But there they are on the test. A
> give away.
>
> And lets not even talk about the CW situation.
>
> It's NOT numbers we need, it people that respect the traditions of ham
> radio and want to continue them. Its people that love ham radio and don't
> want to use to to order a pizza.
>
> Call me old fashioned and out of touch. It won't be the first time.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Is there really any need for much technical knowledge to obtain a ham license anymore? Why a need for technical knowlege when setting up and operating a station today is simply plug-an-play? How many hams constuct any equipment they use on the air any more? The most tech knowledge that might be required is maybe how to build and put up and adjust an antenna. Perhaps the test should focus more on rules, regulations and proper operating procedures. The most technical that hams get today is

knowing how many frequencies they can store in the radios memory.

As you say Dan, with the joke they use for testing today, no technical knowledge is required anyway, just memorize the answers to the questions and off you go. So maybe if the tests were geared more to regs and operating procedures, then even with the memoriziation some of it might soak in and maybe there would less cb type operating on the ham bands.

It is pretty bad when as I heard not long ago on a 2 meter reperter, "I just got my license, can someone tell me what frequencies I can operate?" Cheeese.

Even wogie wussman passed the test, that in itself speaks volumns about how easy the they are.

2290. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-05 23:25:14 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Y-adndehGOCbLD7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109436

John Smith wrote:
> ... absolutely not, that is why ham radio has failed, the old guys keep
> trying to turn it into a darn religion with a bunch of traditions (or is
> that an A.A. meeting?)...
> We need some young active technical people to bring some technology to
> the focus in this hobby and breathe some life back into it...

So, are you a ham?

2291. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 00:25:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118017511.949375.137060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109422

What "doom?" There is no "doom." There is only this subject header that's been going since May Day of 2003. :-)

The only "doom" is in the old Dragnet show theme...you know, the one that goes "doom-de-doom-doom..." :-)

All USA radio amateurs in their grace period are in limbo and don't count for anything. All the no-code-technicians have expired since they never renewed and therefore don't exist.

Excuse me...I was trying to think like the PCTA. Too hard. Gotta get more acetaminophen.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2292. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 00:41:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <jZMoe.70$CT2.7097393@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109432

doom is when there are fewer hams than illegal aliens living in the
state of California... really, I can't keep explaining the OBVIOUS to
you...

John
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1118017511.949375.137060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> What "doom?" There is no "doom." There is only this subject
> header that's been going since May Day of 2003. :-)
>
> The only "doom" is in the old Dragnet show theme...you know,
> the one that goes "doom-de-doom-doom..." :-)
>
> All USA radio amateurs in their grace period are in limbo and
> don't count for anything. All the no-code-technicians have
> expired since they never renewed and therefore don't exist.
>
> Excuse me...I was trying to think like the PCTA. Too hard.
> Gotta get more acetaminophen.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2293. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 00:44:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118018642.463215.249310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109423

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sun 5 Jun 2005 06:58
>
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> > > Ham radio has certainly changed since I first heard about it
> >> > > back in the 40s. So many uptight folks in it now, so easily
> >> > > bruised, some wanting to bully, terrorize, and FIGHT!
> >>
> >> Perhaps, Lennie, if certain mischevious scumbags weren't
> >> >>looking<< to "bruise" people there wouldn't be such a propensity to be as
>>defensive.
> >>
> >> Such as the deceitful and misleading barbs YOU disseminate in this
> >> forum.
> >>
> >> Guess you now think it's wrong for one to defend one's self?
> >>
> >No one except Ghandi thinks that. But you make every posting as if it
> >were a personal attack to your very being and your way of life. You
> >made yourself a target, an easy target, by being such an idiot about
> >everything. Lighten up.
>
> :-)

8(

> >> > These are certainly not the good people that I first met when I became
> >> > a ham. The trouble with amateur radio started with the advent of the
> >> > no-code license. People began entering the service that did not
> >> > worship at the altar of St. Hiram, and did not kneel and kisst the feet
> >> > of Extras.
> >>
> >> The problem didn't start with the No Code test...It started almost
> >> 20 years before that with the influx of 11 meter operators via the Bash
> >> Book route and the subsequent codification of the "open pools".
> >>
> >Ah, yes, the "Riff-Raff" theory. Almost 20 years ago I used the ARRL's
> >"Now You're Talking" and the ARRL's non-Farnsworth Code tapes to enter
> >the amateur radio service via "open pools" testing.
>
> Ahem, "almost 20 years ago" would be about 1985. Class D CB

> was created in 1958...FORTY-SEVEN YEARS AGO. 27 years in
> between are unaccounted for in Stebie's statement.

Steve is referring to 20 years prior to the advent of the No-Code
Technician, which happened sometime in the early 90's, so his reference
period is early 70's. Basically, he's saying that many, most, or all
hams entereing the ARS since the early 70's have Bashed it and are
worthless CBers.

Of course, Cecil put all that nonsense to rest years and years ago, but
some people pesrist with wrong thoughts.

> "11 meter 'operators?'" The original "11 meter" CB users
> required a license but never required any test. USERS.
> Absolutely NO written form of "radio knowledge" was
> required of any USER.
>
> "Open pools?" There were "Q&A" books published before 1956
> on ALL FCC written tests. BEFORE the Dick Bash publications.
> That was way back in history when ALL the FCC radio regulations
> were supplied as three-ring binder, loose-leaf pages.

ARRL, Ameco...

> Today's COMPLETE questions-and-answers pool is generated by
> the VEC Question Pool Committee. Those answers have three
> times the number of WRONG answers as there are correct ones.
> The VEC QPC is composed entirely of already-licensed amateurs.
> Those hams who bitch and moan about the "open" pools can go
> and pish all over their ham VEC QPC "brethren" about the
> questions.
>
> The FCC requires only a MINIMUM of ten times the number of
> questions for each class' written tests. There is NO LIMIT
> on the MAXIMUM number of pool questions. The FCC doesn't
> even require the KIND of questions...that's up to the QPC
> to determine. Given the electronic distribution of text
> and image material, there's not much limit on a QP size
> and that could have 100 times the minimum number of
> questions. The "riff-raff" aren't going to "easily pass"
> by "memorizing" ALL those questions and the ONE correct
> answer out of four.
>
> Various electronic industry groups have stopped keeping
> accurate tabulations on the number of "CB radios" either
> imported or in-use. Some time in the past, an EIA
> (Electronic Industries Association) estimated about five
> million CBs "in-use." That way outnumbered the number of
> oh-so-legal radio amateurs licensed at the time. Before
> the FCC stopped publishing databases on PRIVATE land, sea,
> and mobile radios NOT on ham bands, NOT on CB, those were
> an equal number to the total of licensed radio amateurs.

> There are about 100 million cellular telephone handsets
> in public use (estimated from Bureau of Census reports
> for 2003 on cell phone subscriptions) and the June, 2005,
> issue of the IEEE Spectrum (membership magazine) states
> that China has 300 million cell phones. Radios. Small
> ones. Not even counting the FRS and GMRS handsets. NONE
> of those requiring mighty test-taking of morse code
> proficiency.
>
> All of those non-ham radios are in use by "riff-raff?"
> Dick Bash is "responsible" for all the Q&A books published
> in the 1950s? Yes to all implies the mighty Stebie,
> "speaker for all hams and the 'ham community'."

Bash was a ham. A Mighty Morseman

> "Pbthpthththth" says Bill the Cat. Hear the Opus.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

2294. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 00:46:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <m1Noe.71$uX2.7316553@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109431

... absolutely not, that is why ham radio has failed, the old guys keep trying to turn it into a darn religion with a bunch of traditions (or is that an A.A. meeting?)...
We need some young active technical people to bring some technology to the focus in this hobby and breathe some life back into it...

Warmest regards,
John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message news:BqLoe.16015$w21.2637@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> KC8GXW wrote:
>>> > But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
>>> > Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
>>> >
>>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a >
>>> give away tech license! :)
>>
>> Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
>> what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
> I said that. And I mean it. The tests today are a joke. Why you
> ask? Because the questions and answers are right there in front of the
> person. Sure he has to study a lot of questions. But there they are
> on the test. A give away.
>
> And lets not even talk about the CW situation.
>
> It's NOT numbers we need, it people that respect the traditions of
> ham radio and want to continue them. Its people that love ham radio
> and don't want to use to to order a pizza.
>
> Call me old fashioned and out of touch. It won't be the first time.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

2295. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 00:51:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118019071.081983.193370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109424

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> There is only this subject
> header that's been going since May Day of 2003. :-)

Is that a problem, Len?

I post the number of current, unexpired FCC amateur radio
licenses held by individuals twice a month. This is done
for two reasons:

1) As an item of interest to those interested in amateur radio policy

2) As a record of the trends in those numbers, accessible as long as
this newsgroup is archived.

> All USA radio amateurs in their grace period are in limbo and
> don't count for anything.

That is incorrect, Len.

> All the no-code-technicians have
> expired since they never renewed and therefore don't exist.

That is incorrect also, Len. Some have renewed, some have not.
Currently the number of Technicians is growing and the number of
Technician Pluses is declining. However the number of Technician Pluses
is dropping faster than the number of Technicians is growing.
>
> Excuse me...I was trying to think like the PCTA.

That, too, is incorrect.

I'm pro-code-test and I don't think the way you describe.

> Too hard.

Well, there you have it.

2296. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 00:54:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118019253.222737.104630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109425

Leo wrote:
> On 5 Jun 2005 05:41:50 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Leo, what word would be more appropriate than "bullshit" to
> >describe that claim?
>
> Incorrect? As in "that claim is incorrect...."

I'm with you on that one, Leo.

But instead of "that claim is incorrect," we are treated to LIAR,
and/or Gay Man accusations from Jim's little puppet. Jim >>>IS<<<
Quitefine with it all.

2297. Re: want to bang my sister?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 00:57:23 UTC
From: 75M foghorn <3bingcherry@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37068$42a3b7d3$d1cc7cb4$6696@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 109437

"Roger Wiseman" <AB8MQ@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d7qj52$219$2@domitilla.aioe.org...
> I just did!
>
> http://old-plump-fat.com/vid-fat-130/tp02.jpg

...you know what they say:

"Put a paper bag over their
head, turn 'em upside-down
and their ALL sisters"

2298. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 01:02:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118019766.488406.172000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109426

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
> > and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
> > oriented publication) of the
> > so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
> > annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
> > specifically aimed at "young'uns". The license requirements
> > *weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
> > the tests easier for kids to pass. The "Beginner And Novice"
> > columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
> > And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
> > to kids!
>
> Let us assume that a company makes a video game. It's pretty popular
> and sells a lot.
>
> They would like to sell more.
>
> Should they make their next game really easy? Will millions more
> teenagers buy the game because they can beat the game the first time
> they play it?
>
> Do they want to make it so hard that it is impossible to beat?
>
> BTW, the answer is no on both counts. People who would play video games
> do not buy games that are too easy to beat. Nor too hard.
>
> Comparisons to the ease or difficulty of the ARS licensing process are
> invited.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Now if they were to make a game that you weren't allowed to play until
you could beat it, your analogy would be closer to amateur reality.

bb

2299. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 01:16:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <WvCdnT3LC5GUOT7fRVn-pA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109428

Jim Hampton wrote:
> Hello, Kim
>
> Dang, top post or bottom post, but who cares?
>
> Reality is that they changed the rules and it cut my pension by around $300
> per month! I was lucky, however. A few folks were just shy off 55 (one guy
> was 2 weeks short of 55) and they get no health coverage nor life insurance.
>
> They pulled the plug a bit late on me. Although not big, I'm looking at
> over $300 per week after taxes. After working a contract job at $13.00 per
> hour (what a whack in pay!) and we all were let go after 3 months, I've
> decided to heck with it. I can live on the $300 to $350 per week. I've
> only a few years before Social Insecurity and I have health insurance. I
> have to make adjustments, but I can pay my taxes.
>
> I'm certain that changes will occur when enough folks get hurt. I'm just
> tired of banging my head into a brick wall. I read the same old tired
> threads and the claims that the left-wing groups lied when they reported
> stories. Certainly mistakes have been made, but I cannot help but note that
> as time goes by and more stories leak out, it would appear that some folks
> will just not let go of the official stance. Whether amateur radio or the
> current administration.
>
> I did have a laugh. Years ago, I wrote a program which generated ladder
> code for a programmable ladder controller. I could do the work of 8 hours
> of tool engineering time in 15 minutes. A lot of credit was given to other
> folks. Amazingly, the program "disappeared".
>
> I had left and then went back to that company some 7 years later. When our
> department was getting ready to close, I spoke with one supervisor about the
> fact that the programming in the controllers was terrible and I could
> increase production about 20% (I proved a 50% increase back in 1982). When
> he suggested we approach the manager I told him the truth. It wouldn't save
> his job nor mine. I let it go. I honestly don't care and after the
> requisite two years I will e-mail the code to a competitor. A foreign
> competitor. I generally avoid confrontation and tend to not be nasty, but
> in this case .... :))
>
> Make my day.
>
> I have generally found that the well educated are either labeled as "geeks"
> or "left-wing radicals". The self-righteous folks haven't seen real flack.
> It is about time that they did.

So you noticed that education is a "bad thing" too, eh, Jim?

> I've seen good old "Dave" talking about "old timers". He's off by a mile,
> but anyone with a ticket should be welcomed and accepted with none of the
> B.S. concerning license classes. There are codeless techs that can run
> rings around me, and I can run rings around most of the extras. I'm not
> talking Morse, but I could there too.

Superiority based on either Morse code or the contents of the new or
old tests is shallow superiority indeed.

Thinking that such things impart superiority is even shallower.

> I can assure you, anyone with a "holier than thou" attitude on one group I
> co-own will be gone in a heartbeat. We all have enough troubles without
> some idiot adding to the garbage.

Yeah!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2300. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 01:17:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118020621.515819.274840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109427

John Smith wrote:
> When the data attempts to force the fact that doom is
> comming--re-arrange the data and argue like hell!!!

And make counter-accusations.

Of course, that is
> how the doom occurred in the first place!
>
> John

If only they could ration the rationalization.

2301. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 01:25:37 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NrWdnSvvCNNVOD7fRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 109429

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:OsJoe.229$fI4.189@news02.roc.ny...
>
[snip]
> Certainly, there are still a large number of 1X3s still around with a
> non-vanity issued call. However, I suspect that in the 2nd district,
> these
> would have run out sometime around 1959 or 1960. This sets a lower limit
> on
> age of around 50. This would be for a child who obtained his or her
> ticket
> just before they started with the WA prefixes here. Someone obtaining
> their
> ticket then as a teenager should be around 60. You don't have to go too
> far
> back through the K2XXX to approach W2XXX and now you're likely looking at
> someone 80 years old or more. There were fewer amateurs then and
> callsigns
> weren't issued at the machine-gun rate they were some decades later.
>

You keep ignoring the 1x3s beginning with N. These started being issued in the 1970s and/or 1980s and finished being issued in the 1990s (for all call districts). So the 1x3s beginning with K would not have run out until sometime in that 1970-1980 timeframe.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2302. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 01:30:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <SGNoe.282$2e7.156@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109430

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:MfLoe.16012$w21.10716@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:OsJoe.229$fI4.189@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> > Oh, I almost forgot W2OY. He's been SK for many years now, but other
lids
> > have jumped right in to fill the gap.
>
> I remember his well. In fact I was insulted by him several times on 75
when
> he slapped me around for having a K8 call.....hi.
>
> Didn't a club pick up his call?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Hello, Dan

Yes, it is a club call now. OY jumped on my frequency once on 75 when I was chatting with a station in Ohio. Guess what? The Ohio station was a good cw op and we changed to cw. At first, I tried signing 73 with him at about 20 words per minute. He came back stating cw was fine with him. Inside of 30 seconds we kept asking each other "qrq?" and rapidly cranked up to about 40 words per minute. With the q-multiplier cranked up, I couldn't even hear W2OY. I briefly turned it down to hear him moaning and groaning for us to "take those toys down into the cw band!". LOL. He didn't bither us a bot!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2303. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 01:55:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118022927.686511.159260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109433

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
> > and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
> > oriented publication) of the
> > so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
> > annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
> > specifically aimed at "young'uns". The license requirements
> > *weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
> > the tests easier for kids to pass. The "Beginner And Novice"
> > columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
> > And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
> > to kids!
>
> Let us assume that a company makes a video game. It's pretty
> popular and sells a lot.
>
> They would like to sell more.
>
> Should they make their next game really easy?

Of course not.

> Will millions more
> teenagers buy the game because they can beat the game
> the first time they play it?

If anything, that will cause the game to be unpopular
because it presents no challenge and requires no skill.
>
> Do they want to make it so hard that it is impossible to beat?
>
Probably not.

> BTW, the answer is no on both counts. People who would
> play video games
> do not buy games that are too easy to beat. Nor too hard.
>
> Comparisons to the ease or difficulty of the ARS
> licensing process are invited.

Some points:

1) Amateur radio is not a video game. It's much more complex
than that.

2) Anybody can buy a video game - all you need is cash. And if you're satisfied to play older games, they can often be had for very little money, or even free.

The need for skill comes only when you go to play the game.

3) The tests for an amateur radio license in the USA have varied in the degree and types of knowledge required. (Skills are a type of knowledge). But they have never required a very high level of knowledge to pass. And the licenses have been earned by people of all ages and all walks of life.

4) Reducing the license test requirements has not brought sustained growth to US amateur radio.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2304. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 02:02:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <j9Ooe.80$8b4.8372252@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109434

The only way I could think you could reduce the number of games
sold/played on computers is to make the kids get a license before they
can have a computer. Now the test could be pretty damn complicate, but
if you require them to pass a 50 wpm typing test the numbers will
drop... now consider that they will view having to learn code for a
license as totally preposterous and you are close to getting a clue...

It is the code, not the exam...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118022927.686511.159260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> > Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
>> > and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
>> > oriented publication) of the
>> > so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
>> > annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
>> > specifically aimed at "young'uns". The license requirements
>> > *weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
>> > the tests easier for kids to pass. The "Beginner And Novice"
>> > columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
>> > And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
>> > to kids!
>>
>> Let us assume that a company makes a video game. It's pretty
>> popular and sells a lot.
>>
>> They would like to sell more.
>>
>> Should they make their next game really easy?
>
> Of course not.
>
>> Will millions more
>> teenagers buy the game because they can beat the game
>> the first time they play it?
>
> If anything, that will cause the game to be unpopular
> because it presents no challenge and requires no skill.
>>
>> Do they want to make it so hard that it is impossible to beat?

>>
> Probably not.
>
>> BTW, the answer is no on both counts. People who would
>> play video games
>> do not buy games that are too easy to beat. Nor too hard.
>>
>> Comparisons to the ease or difficulty of the ARS
>> licensing process are invited.
>
> Some points:
>
> 1) Amateur radio is not a video game. It's much more complex
> than that.
>
> 2) Anybody can buy a video game - all you need is cash. And if
> you're satisfied to play older games, they can often be had
> for very little money, or even free.
>
> The need for skill comes only when you go to play the game.
>
> 3) The tests for an amateur radio license in the USA have varied
> in the degree and types of knowledge required. (Skills are a type of
> knowledge). But they have never required a very high level of
> knowledge to pass. And the licenses have been earned by people of
> all ages and all walks of life.
>
> 4) Reducing the license test requirements has not brought sustained
> growth to US amateur radio.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2305. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 02:48:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <NfOdne8sm_AbJD7fRVn-vw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109438

John Smith wrote:
> Mike:
>
> That would really be funny--if it wasn't so pathetic...
> How about a few calculus questions on the test, how about having to
> write short story with grammar, spelling and punctuation correct. How
> about having to give a description of the physics of the electron-hole
> theory, argument at to why the theory should be considered valid, and an
> opposing argument on why it should be considered flawed. How about
> demonstrating how the quadratic formula relates to thermal physics,
> electrical physics--some examples of its' uses in both...
> Gesus, the ham exams are for dummies... get real...
> When the hams talk about how difficult the test EVER was it is a joke,
> the damn test can be passed by any second year college student after a
> day of study... you guys end up describing the size of your own mind
> when you do this--and still after all these years you have not figured
> it out--people laugh--haven't you seen them laughing before, surely you
> caught one or two out of the corner of your eye?
> Wake up... the only reason people don't rub your nose in your own dog
> poop is they are not like you--they have been raised differently--they
> try to be nice no matter what... but there is an end to tolerance of bad
> behavior...

Go back, re-read my post, and then tell me just what I wrote.

Then let me know if I wrote anything along the lines of what you just
tried to slippery-slope my post into.

Some things to point out are the parts where I am suggesting making the
tests quite difficult.

My tolerance has not been exceeded. You may wish to continue the canine
excrement comments at will.

- Mike KB3EIA -

>
> John
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:_PKdnctO8Prz_T_fRVn-ug@adelphia.com...
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
>>>and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-

>>>oriented publication) of the
>>>so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
>>>annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
>>>specifically aimed at "young'uns". The license requirements
>>>*weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
>>>the tests easier for kids to pass. The "Beginner And Novice"
>>>columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
>>>And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
>>>to kids!
>>
>>Let us assume that a company makes a video game. It's pretty popular
>>and sells a lot.
>>
>>They would like to sell more.
>>
>>Should they make their next game really easy? Will millions more
>>teenagers buy the game because they can beat the game the first time
>>they play it?
>>
>>Do they want to make it so hard that it is impossible to beat?
>>
>>BTW, the answer is no on both counts. People who would play video
>>games do not buy games that are too easy to beat. Nor too hard.
>>
>>Comparisons to the ease or difficulty of the ARS licensing process are
>>invited.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>

2306. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 02:51:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <NfOdne4sm_CFJz7fRVn-vw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109439

bb wrote:
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
>>>and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
>>>oriented publication) of the
>>>so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
>>>annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
>>>specifically aimed at "young'uns". The license requirements
>>>*weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
>>>the tests easier for kids to pass. The "Beginner And Novice"
>>>columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
>>>And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
>>>to kids!
>>
>> Let us assume that a company makes a video game. It's pretty popular
>>and sells a lot.
>>
>> They would like to sell more.
>>
>> Should they make their next game really easy? Will millions more
>>teenagers buy the game because they can beat the game the first time
>>they play it?
>>
>> Do they want to make it so hard that it is impossible to beat?
>>
>> BTW, the answer is no on both counts. People who would play video games
>>do not buy games that are too easy to beat. Nor too hard.
>>
>> Comparisons to the ease or difficulty of the ARS licensing process are
>>invited.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Now if they were to make a game that you weren't allowed to play until
> you could beat it, your analogy would be closer to amateur reality.

Beating the game would be a little like passing the test.

I thought I was arguing for a middle of the road difficulty test. "John Smith" seems to think otherwise.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2307. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 02:57:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <muydnfJivIwpJj7fRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109440

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
>>>and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
>>>oriented publication) of the
>>>so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
>>>annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
>>>specifically aimed at "young'uns". The license requirements
>>>*weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
>>>the tests easier for kids to pass. The "Beginner And Novice"
>>>columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
>>>And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
>>>to kids!
>>
>>Let us assume that a company makes a video game. It's pretty
>>popular and sells a lot.
>>
>>They would like to sell more.
>>
>>Should they make their next game really easy?
>
>
> Of course not.
>
>
>>Will millions more
>>teenagers buy the game because they can beat the game
>>the first time they play it?
>
>
> If anything, that will cause the game to be unpopular
> because it presents no challenge and requires no skill.
>
>>Do they want to make it so hard that it is impossible to beat?
>>
>
> Probably not.
>
>
>>BTW, the answer is no on both counts. People who would

>>play video games
>>do not buy games that are too easy to beat. Nor too hard.
>>
>>Comparisons to the ease or difficulty of the ARS
>>licensing process are invited.
>
>
> Some points:
>
> 1) Amateur radio is not a video game. It's much more complex
> than that.

Of course not. The analogy is only going so far as to point out what
teenagers might appreciate in the form of challenge. It isn't an across
the board analogy.

> 2) Anybody can buy a video game - all you need is cash. And if
> you're satisfied to play older games, they can often be had
> for very little money, or even free.
>
> The need for skill comes only when you go to play the game.
>
> 3) The tests for an amateur radio license in the USA have varied
> in the degree and types of knowledge required. (Skills are a type of
> knowledge). But they have never required a very high level of
> knowledge to pass. And the licenses have been earned by people of
> all ages and all walks of life.
They aren't supposed to be at a high level, AFAIAC. They are supposed
to be at an appropriate level. That is a subject to be discussed of
course. But it isn't too difficult to make "test" tests in order to
insure that the tests are about at the correct level.

> 4) Reducing the license test requirements has not brought sustained
> growth to US amateur radio.

No it hasn't. And no amount of reduction will, save for temporary gains.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2308. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 03:14:42 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CcPoe.1916$HM.204@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109441

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> 4) Reducing the license test requirements has not brought sustained
> growth to US amateur radio.
>

Does Amateur Radio need to grow?

If so, why?

Good luck on this one now,

de Hans, K0HB

2309. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 04:30:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ojQoe.91$3z6.10398744@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109443

We agree on one thing, don't lower the standard of the test, Joe Six Pack and all his inbreed relatives already have found there way into ham radio... time to get some intellects...

Warmest regards,
John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:muydnfJivIwpJj7fRVn-iA@adelphia.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
>>>>and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
>>>>oriented publication) of the
>>>>so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
>>>>annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
>>>>specifically aimed at "young'uns". The license requirements
>>>>*weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
>>>>the tests easier for kids to pass. The "Beginner And Novice"
>>>>columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
>>>>And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
>>>>to kids!
>>>
>>>Let us assume that a company makes a video game. It's pretty
>>>popular and sells a lot.
>>>
>>>They would like to sell more.
>>>
>>>Should they make their next game really easy?
>>
>>
>> Of course not.
>>
>>
>>>Will millions more
>>>teenagers buy the game because they can beat the game
>>>the first time they play it?
>>
>>
>> If anything, that will cause the game to be unpopular
>> because it presents no challenge and requires no skill.

>>
>>>Do they want to make it so hard that it is impossible to beat?
>>>
>>
>> Probably not.
>>
>>
>>>BTW, the answer is no on both counts. People who would
>>>play video games
>>>do not buy games that are too easy to beat. Nor too hard.
>>>
>>>Comparisons to the ease or difficulty of the ARS
>>>licensing process are invited.
>>
>>
>> Some points:
>>
>> 1) Amateur radio is not a video game. It's much more complex
>> than that.
>
> Of course not. The analogy is only going so far as to point out what
> teenagers might appreciate in the form of challenge. It isn't an
> across the board analogy.
>
>> 2) Anybody can buy a video game - all you need is cash. And if
>> you're satisfied to play older games, they can often be had
>> for very little money, or even free.
>>
>> The need for skill comes only when you go to play the game.
>>
>> 3) The tests for an amateur radio license in the USA have varied
>> in the degree and types of knowledge required. (Skills are a type of
>> knowledge). But they have never required a very high level of
>> knowledge to pass. And the licenses have been earned by people of
>> all ages and all walks of life.
> They aren't supposed to be at a high level, AFAIAC. They are supposed
> to be at an appropriate level. That is a subject to be discussed of
> course. But it isn't too difficult to make "test" tests in order to
> insure that the tests are about at the correct level.
>
>> 4) Reducing the license test requirements has not brought sustained
>> growth to US amateur radio.
>
> No it hasn't. And no amount of reduction will, save for temporary
> gains.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2310. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 05:36:07 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <d80nc7esg1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 109442

"John Smith" (assemblywizard@gmail.com) writes:
> The only way I could think you could reduce the number of games
> sold/played on computers is to make the kids get a license before they
> can have a computer. Now the test could be pretty damn complicate, but
> if you require them to pass a 50 wpm typing test the numbers will
> drop... now consider that they will view having to learn code for a
> license as totally preposterous and you are close to getting a clue...
>
> It is the code, not the exam...
>
> John
>
THe code is the game, as is the written test.

Pass the code test, and you move up a level. Same with the written
test.

It ultimately is not all that different. I tend to not play computer
games, but every so often I give one that came with the installation
a try. For the first bit, I am horrible, and I can't understand
how anyone could get very high scores. But as I play with the
game, I learn the tricks that work, and suddenly I am doing
well, until I hit some higher plateau.

SOmeone looks at theory, and it looks like a really high cliff. If
they turn back then, they don't get very far. But if they keep at
it, it turns out that the first steps aren't really that bad.

Code's the same. Some of us have pointed out the feeling of
accomplishment when we were young, to have passed the code test.
It wasn't something in our way, it was something to get good
at.

The first time I picked up an electronic hobby magazine, back in 1971,
none of it made sense. If there hadn't been non-technical material,
such as Wayne Green's column in Electronics Illustrated, there wouldn't
ahve been much value in each issue at the beginning. But I kept
at it, and I learned. I thought I had four years to go before
I could get a license, because back then you had to be at lest
fifteen here in Canada to take the test. The rule was changed
the next spring, and that year or so of reading everything I could
get my hands on (three months after that first hobby magazine,
I had a membership in the ARRL so I could get QST) was highly valuable,
because I didn't have to cram to pass the test, I had a certain
level of background to get ready for the test.

I ended up joining the local club's code and theory class. It had started in the fall, but it was February when I learned I would be able to get a license that year. Kid sitting across from me, somewhat older, told me I'd not be successful, coming in so late. But then, what I really needed was the code, and the "network" the ham club supplied. I passed all but the code receiving test in May, the first month I could take the test, and passed the code receiving test in June. I didn't get the results till grade six was over, so I couldn't boast to my classmates.

Michael VE2BVW

2311. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 05:43:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <noRoe.97$jj7.10869883@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109444

Michael:

Frankly, a Jr. College degree (A.A./A.S.) is multitudes more difficult
than passing a ham exam... frankly, the exam is moot when in comparison,
even to the ability to be proficient in beating a moderately video
game... however, here in La La Land who knows...

Warmest regards,
John

"Michael Black" <et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA> wrote in message
news:d80nc7esg1@theodyn.ncf.ca...
>
> "John Smith" (assemblywizard@gmail.com) writes:
>> The only way I could think you could reduce the number of games
>> sold/played on computers is to make the kids get a license before
>> they
>> can have a computer. Now the test could be pretty damn complicate,
>> but
>> if you require them to pass a 50 wpm typing test the numbers will
>> drop... now consider that they will view having to learn code for a
>> license as totally preposterous and you are close to getting a
>> clue...
>>
>> It is the code, not the exam...
>>
>> John
>>
> THe code is the game, as is the written test.
>
> Pass the code test, and you move up a level. Same with the written
> test.
>
> It ultimately is not all that different. I tend to not play computer
> games, but every so often I give one that came with the installation
> a try. For the first bit, I am horrible, and I can't understand
> how anyone could get very high scores. But as I play with the
> game, I learn the tricks that work, and suddenly I am doing
> well, until I hit some higher plateau.
>
> SOmeone looks at theory, and it looks like a really high cliff. If
> they turn back then, they don't get very far. But if they keep at
> it, it turns out that the first steps aren't really that bad.
>
> Code's the same. Some of us have pointed out the feeling of

> accomplishment when we were young, to have passed the code test.
> It wasn't something in our way, it was something to get good
> at.
>
> The first time I picked up an electronic hobby magazine, back in 1971,
> none of it made sense. If there hadn't been non-technical material,
> such as Wayne Green's column in Electronics Illustrated, there
> wouldn't
> ahve been much value in each issue at the beginning. But I kept
> at it, and I learned. I thought I had four years to go before
> I could get a license, because back then you had to be at lest
> fifteen here in Canada to take the test. The rule was changed
> the next spring, and that year or so of reading everything I could
> get my hands on (three months after that first hobby magazine,
> I had a membership in the ARRL so I could get QST) was highly
> valuable,
> because I didn't have to cram to pass the test, I had a certain
> level of background to get ready for the test.
>
> I ended up joining the local club's code and theory class. It had
> started in the fall, but it was February when I learned I would
> be able to get a license that year. Kid sitting across from me,
> somewhat older, told me I'd not be successful, coming in so late.
> But then, what I really needed was the code, and the "network"
> the ham club supplied. I passed all but the code receiving
> test in May, the first month I could take the test, and passed
> the code receiving test in June. I didn't get the results till
> grade six was over, so I couldn't boast to my classmates.
>
> Michael VE2BVW
>

2312. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 05:47:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZrRoe.99$Kn7.10915956@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109445

... moderately difficult video game... even...

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:noRoe.97$jj7.10869883@news.sisna.com...
> Michael:
>
> Frankly, a Jr. College degree (A.A./A.S.) is multitudes more difficult
> than passing a ham exam... frankly, the exam is moot when in
> comparison, even to the ability to be proficient in beating a
> moderately video game... however, here in La La Land who knows...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Michael Black" <et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA> wrote in message
> news:d80nc7esg1@theodyn.ncf.ca...
>>
>> "John Smith" (assemblywizard@gmail.com) writes:
>>> The only way I could think you could reduce the number of games
>>> sold/played on computers is to make the kids get a license before
>>> they
>>> can have a computer. Now the test could be pretty damn complicate,
>>> but
>>> if you require them to pass a 50 wpm typing test the numbers will
>>> drop... now consider that they will view having to learn code for a
>>> license as totally preposterous and you are close to getting a
>>> clue...
>>>
>>> It is the code, not the exam...
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>> THe code is the game, as is the written test.
>>
>> Pass the code test, and you move up a level. Same with the written
>> test.
>>
>> It ultimately is not all that different. I tend to not play computer
>> games, but every so often I give one that came with the installation
>> a try. For the first bit, I am horrible, and I can't understand
>> how anyone could get very high scores. But as I play with the
>> game, I learn the tricks that work, and suddenly I am doing
>> well, until I hit some higher plateau.

>>
>> SOmeone looks at theory, and it looks like a really high cliff. If
>> they turn back then, they don't get very far. But if they keep at
>> it, it turns out that the first steps aren't really that bad.
>>
>> Code's the same. Some of us have pointed out the feeling of
>> accomplishment when we were young, to have passed the code test.
>> It wasn't something in our way, it was something to get good
>> at.
>>
>> The first time I picked up an electronic hobby magazine, back in
>> 1971,
>> none of it made sense. If there hadn't been non-technical material,
>> such as Wayne Green's column in Electronics Illustrated, there
>> wouldn't
>> ahve been much value in each issue at the beginning. But I kept
>> at it, and I learned. I thought I had four years to go before
>> I could get a license, because back then you had to be at lest
>> fifteen here in Canada to take the test. The rule was changed
>> the next spring, and that year or so of reading everything I could
>> get my hands on (three months after that first hobby magazine,
>> I had a membership in the ARRL so I could get QST) was highly
>> valuable,
>> because I didn't have to cram to pass the test, I had a certain
>> level of background to get ready for the test.
>>
>> I ended up joining the local club's code and theory class. It had
>> started in the fall, but it was February when I learned I would
>> be able to get a license that year. Kid sitting across from me,
>> somewhat older, told me I'd not be successful, coming in so late.
>> But then, what I really needed was the code, and the "network"
>> the ham club supplied. I passed all but the code receiving
>> test in May, the first month I could take the test, and passed
>> the code receiving test in June. I didn't get the results till
>> grade six was over, so I couldn't boast to my classmates.
>>
>> Michael VE2BVW
>>
>
>

2313. Re: Look, it's Manufacture Another Lie Brian Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 06:16:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118038604.695850.112550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109446

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Ahem, "almost 20 years ago" would be about 1985. Class D CB
> > was created in 1958...FORTY-SEVEN YEARS AGO. 27 years in
> > between are unaccounted for in Stebie's statement.
>
> Steve is referring to 20 years prior to the advent of the No-Code
> Technician, which happened sometime in the early 90's, so his reference
> period is early 70's. Basically, he's saying that many, most, or all
> hams entereing the ARS since the early 70's have Bashed it and are
> worthless CBers.

No...BRIAN BURKE is calling them "worthless CBers"...

Steve Robeson said nothing of the sort.

What Steve Robeson DID say was that certain downward trends in the
Amateur Service began with the advent of the "Bash Books" and the
subsequent "opening" of the question pools.

> > "Open pools?" There were "Q&A" books published before 1956
> > on ALL FCC written tests. BEFORE the Dick Bash publications.
> > That was way back in history when ALL the FCC radio regulations
> > were supplied as three-ring binder, loose-leaf pages.
>
> ARRL, Ameco...

Nice try.

Yes, both publishers printed books that closely outlined the
exams.

NONE of them were verbatim recreations of the FCC examinations. I
used the Ameco texts while studying for my General and Advanced tests.
Neither had the questions I saw on those exams.

> > All of those non-ham radios are in use by "riff-raff?"
> > Dick Bash is "responsible" for all the Q&A books published
> > in the 1950s? Yes to all implies the mighty Stebie,
> > "speaker for all hams and the 'ham community'."
>
> Bash was a ham. A Mighty Morseman

Bash was a pig. A disgusting profiteer. Unfortunately every
profession has theirs. Bash, along with Fred Maia and Gordon West are
ours.

Steve, K4YZ

2314. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 07:00:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118041208.617756.213160@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109447

bb wrote:
> Leo wrote:
> > On 5 Jun 2005 05:41:50 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > >Leo, what word would be more appropriate than "bullshit" to
> > >describe that claim?
> >
> > Incorrect? As in "that claim is incorrect...."
>
> I'm with you on that one, Leo.
>
> But instead of "that claim is incorrect," we are treated to LIAR,
> and/or Gay Man accusations from Jim's little puppet. Jim >>>IS<<<
> Quitefine with it all.

Nooooooooooo.......

You're treated to "liar" after you've made a claim and then failed
to back it up with at least SOME form of reasonable corroboration.

Saying "My opinion is..." is one thing. That's black and white.

Saying "ARES is overblown and it's members wontt/can't respond" in
the face of almost weekly evidence to the contrary and then not
acknowledging it is just stupid.

Steve, K4YZ

2315. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 07:11:38 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118041898.917111.307330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109448

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >
> > 4) Reducing the license test requirements has not brought sustained
> > growth to US amateur radio.
> >
>
> Does Amateur Radio need to grow?

Answer = NO

> If so, why?
>
> Good luck on this one now,

. . . passed that one. Next?

> de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

2316. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 09:57:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118051826.485420.207440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109449

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > 4) Reducing the license test requirements has not brought
> > sustained growth to US amateur radio.
>
> Does Amateur Radio need to grow?

Not at the price of continuously lowering standards - which
doesn't work anyway.
>
> If so, why?
>
All else being equal, the ARS would be well served to have more hams.
For a whole bunch of reasons ranging from more buyers and
sellers at hamfests to more points to make in contests to more
folks to ragchew with.

But all else is never equal. Simply lowering the license test
requirements hasn't helped raise the numbers.

While there's a lot of debate on the code test issue, even
though all that's left is the basic, entry level 5 wpm test,
little attention is paid to the fact that the *written* testing
was drastically reduced in 2000 for all license classes.
Previously it took 5 written tests totalling 190 questions to
get an Extra, now it takes 3 written tests totalling 120
questions. The biggest reduction took place for the Technician -
the written testing for that license was cut to about half its
former level.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2317. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 10:04:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118052290.717758.169360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109550

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > Ahem, "almost 20 years ago" would be about 1985. Class D CB
> > > was created in 1958...FORTY-SEVEN YEARS AGO. 27 years in
> > > between are unaccounted for in Stebie's statement.
> >
> > Steve is referring to 20 years prior to the advent of the No-Code
> > Technician, which happened sometime in the early 90's, so his reference
> > period is early 70's. Basically, he's saying that many, most, or all
> > hams entereing the ARS since the early 70's have Bashed it and are
> > worthless CBers.
>
> No...BRIAN BURKE is calling them "worthless CBers"...
>
> Steve Robeson said nothing of the sort.

Welp, whatever you said it was wrong. Just plain wrong.

> What Steve Robeson DID say was that certain downward trends in the
> Amateur Service began with the advent of the "Bash Books" and the
> subsequent "opening" of the question pools.

You were the original data point that began the trend.

> > > "Open pools?" There were "Q&A" books published before 1956
> > > on ALL FCC written tests. BEFORE the Dick Bash publications.
> > > That was way back in history when ALL the FCC radio regulations
> > > were supplied as three-ring binder, loose-leaf pages.
> >
> > ARRL, Ameco...
>
> Nice try.
>
> Yes, both publishers printed books that closely outlined the
> exams.

Bingo.

> NONE of them were verbatim recreations of the FCC examinations. I
> used the Ameco texts while studying for my General and Advanced tests.
> Neither had the questions I saw on those exams.

I guess you think that makes you better than the amateurs that used the
questions pools?

> > > All of those non-ham radios are in use by "riff-raff?"
> > > Dick Bash is "responsible" for all the Q&A books published
> > > in the 1950s? Yes to all implies the mighty Stebie,
> > > "speaker for all hams and the 'ham community'."
> >
> > Bash was a ham. A Mighty Morseman
>
> Bash was a pig. A disgusting profiteer.

Hi!

Unfortunately every
> profession has theirs. Bash, along with Fred Maia and Gordon West are
> ours.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

What of the ARRL? They also publish the question pools and sell them.

2318. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 10:20:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118053253.621641.168480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109551

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Look at the old ham mags and other publications (ARRL
> >>>and non-ARRL, doesn't matter as long as it was a ham-
> >>>oriented publication) of the
> >>>so-called golden years of, say, the '50s. Back when we had
> >>>annual growth of about 8% year after year. They *weren't*
> >>>specifically aimed at "young'uns". The license requirements
> >>>*weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
> >>>the tests easier for kids to pass. The "Beginner And Novice"
> >>>columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
> >>>And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
> >>>to kids!
> >>
> >> Let us assume that a company makes a video game. It's pretty popular
> >>and sells a lot.
> >>
> >> They would like to sell more.
> >>
> >> Should they make their next game really easy? Will millions more
> >>teenagers buy the game because they can beat the game the first time
> >>they play it?
> >>
> >> Do they want to make it so hard that it is impossible to beat?
> >>
> >> BTW, the answer is no on both counts. People who would play video games
> >>do not buy games that are too easy to beat. Nor too hard.
> >>
> >> Comparisons to the ease or difficulty of the ARS licensing process are
> >>invited.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Now if they were to make a game that you weren't allowed to play until
> > you could beat it, your analogy would be closer to amateur reality.
>
> Beating the game would be a little like passing the test.

But how many people can beat a game they've never played?

> I thought I was arguing for a middle of the road difficulty test. "John
> Smith" seems to think otherwise.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

So far I've only read what you and "Quitefine" have posted.

2319. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 11:18:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118056726.515524.25270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109552

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > > Ahem, "almost 20 years ago" would be about 1985. Class D CB
> > > > was created in 1958...FORTY-SEVEN YEARS AGO. 27 years in
> > > > between are unaccounted for in Stebie's statement.
> > >
> > > Steve is referring to 20 years prior to the advent of the No-Code
> > > Technician, which happened sometime in the early 90's, so his reference
> > > period is early 70's. Basically, he's saying that many, most, or all
> > > hams entereing the ARS since the early 70's have Bashed it and are
> > > worthless CBers.
> >
> > No...BRIAN BURKE is calling them "worthless CBers"...
> >
> > Steve Robeson said nothing of the sort.
>
> Welp, whatever you said it was wrong. Just plain wrong.

"...whatever you said..." ?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! !

YOU are the person who refered to other radio operators as
"WORTHLESS"...

And I NEVER called ANY operator, CB, Amateur or otherwise
"worthless".

Can't even keep two days worth of exchanges straight, can you,
Burke..?!?! Can't even keep YOUR stories straight!

Once again, for your edification:

"What Steve Robeson DID say was that certain downward trends in the
Amateur Service began with the advent of the "Bash Books" and the
subsequent "opening" of the question pools"

> > What Steve Robeson DID say was that certain downward trends in the
> > Amateur Service began with the advent of the "Bash Books" and the
> > subsequent "opening" of the question pools.
>
> You were the original data point that began the trend.

No...I established a time line based upon another event. I had
nothing to do with it, Brain. I "experienced" it, but was not aprt of
it.

> > > > "Open pools?" There were "Q&A" books published before 1956
> > > > on ALL FCC written tests. BEFORE the Dick Bash publications.
> > > > That was way back in history when ALL the FCC radio regulations
> > > > were supplied as three-ring binder, loose-leaf pages.
> > > >
> > > ARRL, Ameco...
> > >
> > Nice try.
> > >
> > Yes, both publishers printed books that closely outlined the
> > exams.
> >
> Bingo.

What "bingo"...?!?!

They did not then publish the verbatim questions and answers to the
FCC tests. FCC regulations at the time forbade it.

> > NONE of them were verbatim recreations of the FCC examinations. I
> > used the Ameco texts while studying for my General and Advanced tests.
> > Neither had the questions I saw on those exams.
> >
> I guess you think that makes you better than the amateurs that used the
> questions pools?

Nope. Not in the least. But it DOES mean I know more about radio
communications because I actually learned the material.

> > > > All of those non-ham radios are in use by "riff-raff?"
> > > > Dick Bash is "responsible" for all the Q&A books published
> > > > in the 1950s? Yes to all implies the mighty Stebie,
> > > > "speaker for all hams and the 'ham community'."
> > > >
> > > Bash was a ham. A Mighty Morseman
> > >
> > Bash was a pig. A disgusting profiteer.
> >
> Hi!

Shouldn't that have been at the opening of the post?

> Unfortunately every
> > profession has theirs. Bash, along with Fred Maia and Gordon West are
> > ours.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> What of the ARRL? They also publish the question pools and sell them.

I wish you could have been in SoCal the same time I was, Brain...GW flooded SoCal with these "operators" who took his weekend "radio school" and walked out with an Amateur license.

Yes...the ARRL sells them too...Point taken.

Steve, K4YZ

2320. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 11:24:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118057067.333345.52330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109553

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> on 4 Jun 2005 11:13:35 -0700
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > vshah1...@comcast.net wrote:
> >> > > > KC8GXW wrote:
>
> >> > > > "Ham" is a label given to certain people with a certain belief system.
> >
> >> > > What "belief systems", Vipul...?!?!
> >
> >> > > "Belief systems" is a catch-phrase you usurped from Lennie
> >> > > Anderson. Lennie is NOT a good role model to scam lines from.
> >
> >> > People don't have belief systems?
> >
> >> Sure they do.
> >
> >> But your mentor uses the term "belief system" as if it were dirty.
> >
> >I see no evidence of that.
>
> There are many, many, many forms of belief systems. Few of them
> are "dirty." But that isn't what Robeson is trying to convey
> here. Robeson simply wants to insult me anywhichway he can.
> That's nothing new since he's been trying and trying and trying
> to do that for years. [he's become very trying] He fails in all
> attempts.

Trying...and succeeding.

>>I<< can't "insult" anyone who doesn't present the opportunity to
>>BE<< insulted, Lennie.

You lie. You deceive. You attempt to humiliate other's sincerity
and accomplishments for your own demeaning reasons.

You ARE your own worst enemy.

Steve, K4YZ

2321. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 11:26:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118057217.433054.117640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109554

John Smith wrote:
> ... what have you planned next for us? A morning report on your bowel
> movements? Perhaps expanding the service into an evening report from
> there...

I am sure that until Toiddie get's "Wal-Mart Connect" or some other
discount dial-up service in place, Lloyd, Roger et al will keep the
groups adequately soiled.

Steve, K4YZ

2322. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 14:00:38 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <e9eca60c1fd44d29a84400c3e7789c6c@userbeam.net>
Source record: 109555

In article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc>
wrote:
>
> Is there really any need for much technical knowledge to
obtain a ham
> license anymore? Why a need for technical knowlege when
setting up and
> operating a station today is simply plug-an-play? How many
hams constuct
> any equipment they use on the air any more? The most tech
knowledge that
> might be required is maybe how to build and put up and adjust
an
> antenna. Perhaps the test should focus more on rules,
regulations and
> proper operating procedures. The most technical that hams get
today is
> knowing how many frequencies they can store in the radios
memory.

You can't even pass today's extra exam, oblsolete license boy.
You make excuses.

> As you say Dan, with the joke they use for testing today, no
technical
> knowledge is required anyway, just memorize the answers to the
questions
> and off you go. So maybe if the tests were geared more to regs
and
> operating procedures, then even with the memoriziation some of
it might
> soak in and maybe there would less cb type operating on the
ham bands.
>
> It is pretty bad when as I heard not long ago on a 2 meter
reperter, "I
> just got my license, can someone tell me what frequencies I can
> operate?" Cheeese.

And what Butt Cornholer failed to tell you is that HE was the
one who made that statement.

> Even wogie wussman passed the test, that in itself speaks
volumns about

> how easy the they are.

Looks like He passed a spelling test, too, unlike you. If it's so easy, why haven't YOU passed it, obsolete license boy?

"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

2323. Re: want to bang my sister?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 14:02:32 UTC
From: Siegfried Kemper <bounce@parsifal.ath.cx>
Message-ID: <LRP8NKHG38509.4166087963@si93kru1.poster>
Source record: 109556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <d7veku$re0$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
"Lloyd Davies" <A...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> My sister is a HAWG!!!!!

Just like you, Davies!

2324. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 14:10:24 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <56036f97dbefb4716abe2d24bc7fe083@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 109557

In article <vLwoe.268$nI7.33043574@news.sisna.com>
"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> Roger:
>

If you thought that was Roger Wiseman who posted that, then
those hours in "college" haven't done you a fucking bit of good,
and there is somwe swampland in Florida you might beinterested
in buying.
Dumbass!

2325. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 14:17:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <sWYoe.10$Fm.147600@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109558

You are a real dumb ass if you think I care who "really" posted it... I used the "nick" simply to respond back to the "nick" which was used--DUMB ASS...
This is a newsgroup, if you believe any of these names are "real" you are an idiot!

Warmest regards,
John
"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message news:56036f97dbefb4716abe2d24bc7fe083@mixmaster.it...
> In article <vLwoe.268$nI7.33043574@news.sisna.com>
> "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> Roger:
>>
>
> If you thought that was Roger Wiseman who posted that, then
> those hours in "college" haven't done you a fucking bit of good,
> and there is somwe swampland in Florida you might beinterested
> in buying.
> Dumbass!
>

2326. Re: want to bang my sister?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 14:50:09 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <XCHR36US38509.9514930556@reece.net.au>
Source record: 109559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <d7vgcjjq0@pita.alt.net>
Lardass Davies <Glendale@nospam> wrote:
>
> Yup. That's me, fag lamer Lloyd Davies, training wheel
eternal no code tech, N0VFP.
>
> I live at 610 Glen Haven Avenue in Glendale, West BY GOD
Virginia.

No, you live in a HUD public assistance section 8 apartment
complex in Athens, Alabama!

> I am big, (5 foot four inches)

BWHAHAHAHA Lardass the short morbidly obese at 297 pounds fat
fuck. Roger is taller than 5'4", dumbass!

> Got it?

Poor Lardass, we "got" that you are a mentally ill retard.

2327. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 15:11:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118070677.811505.276760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109560

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > "Going back" ain't gonna happen but let's not dig this one up for the
> > umpteenth time.

> >
> > Kids in that timeframe lived in the remnants of the
> > old "children
> > should be seen and not heard" mindset. Unless some publication
> > was
> > somehow directly related to school classwork it was written
> > for adults.
> > Particulary if there was any technical content and the ARRL
> > followed suite.
>
> Bingo - why can't that be the way things are again?

What has changed - besides the general volume of test questions?

> > > The license requirements
> > > *weren't* reduced (as NCVEC and others want to do) to make
> > > the tests easier for kids to pass.
> >
> > Of course not, no more so than the state made it easier
> > for kids to get
> > drivers licenses. For the same underlying regulatory reasons.
>
> Yet there were plenty of "young'uns". Which proves my point,
> thanks.

Without meaning to be obtuse James, what IS your point anyway??

> > > The "Beginner And Novice"
> > > columns weren't aimed at teenagers or any other age group.
> > > And that may be a big part of what made them so attractive
> > > to kids!
> >
> > Nah, never entered our minds.
>
> Not consciously.

You know what '50s kids thought consciously and unconsiously. Damn
you're good . . .

> > Ham radio was an adult hobby and we
> > accepted it. Period.

>
> 'zactly. If ya wanted to be part of it you met the standards
> for it. Watta concept, huh? Somebody tell NCVEC.

There are a few topics and individuals I generally avoid getting involved with in this NG. One of those is the unending stream of circular threads about how tough or untough the writtens are or should be or should not be simply because I happen to have very little interest in the subject.

The NCVEC can make all the noises and proposals it wants but when it's all said and done the FCC still calls the shots. If the writtens satisfy the FCC the writtens are OK with me.

My ho-hum attitude toward the writtens is based on my belief that the writtens have never had any particular effect on whether or not any individual becomes a ham or not kids included. I've never run into an example of somebody not becoming a ham because they couldn't get past the writtens - have you?? Have you ever run into a newbie licensed in recent times you consider unqualified to operate because he/she hadn't been adequately tested?

I 'spose the writtens were a bit more difficult in days of yore because we had to have a better grip on some technical topics than newbies need today to remain in compliance with the regs. A typical example being the questions we had on calculating the thermal drift of the xtals we used for frequency control back then. When was the last time any OF in this NG plugged in his/her favorite FT-243 mounted treasure to get on a freq?? SPARE me!! Hell, ya *can't* operate out-of-band today, the friggin' radios won't let it happen by FCC mandate. So there went one set of calcs we had to know. And on and on and on.

> > We were used to having to read at the adult level
> > when it came to technical publications, there were no
> > options, we
> > didn't know the difference. There were beginners
> > publications in some
> > hobby fields but I don't remember any in ham radio
>
> "How To Become A Radio Amateur"
> "Learning The Radiotelegraph Code"
> "Understanding Amateur Radio"
> "So You Want To Be A Ham"
> "ABC's of Hma Radio"

OK, now I remember the book on learning the code, I had a copy. Don't remember any of the rest. The learning the code book taught me nothing, all it was good for was the listing of the W1AW code practice schedules. All the rest of it was on me, copy, copy, copy until I got it. The only youth-oriented beginners publication on ham radio which led me to actually learning anything was the Boy Scout Radio Merit Badge booklet. Add it to your list.

> > and they were all
> > written for adults.
>
> BINGO!

. . . bingo what . . . ?

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2328. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 19:44:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <nI1pe.314$2e7.146@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109561

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:NrWdnSvvCNNVOD7fRVn-tA@comcast.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:OsJoe.229$fI4.189@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> [snip]
> > Certainly, there are still a large number of 1X3s still around with a
> > non-vanity issued call. However, I suspect that in the 2nd district,
> > these
> > would have run out sometime around 1959 or 1960. This sets a lower
limit
> > on
> > age of around 50. This would be for a child who obtained his or her
> > ticket
> > just before they started with the WA prefixes here. Someone obtaining
> > their
> > ticket then as a teenager should be around 60. You don't have to go too
> > far
> > back through the K2XXX to approach W2XXX and now you're likely looking
at
> > someone 80 years old or more. There were fewer amateurs then and
> > callsigns
> > weren't issued at the machine-gun rate they were some decades later.
> >
>
> You keep ignoring the 1x3s beginning with N. These started being issued
in
> the 1970s and/or 1980s and finished being issued in the 1990s (for all
call
> districts). So the 1x3s beginning with K would not have run out until
> sometime in that 1970-1980 timeframe.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Hello, Dee

I thought I had mentioned that I had obtained N2JH under a vanity for extra class licensees in the very early 70s.

I have been in touch with Dan and I think we both got a surprise. He obtained his ticket only 1 year before I did and didn't get the W4NTI until some years *later*. There was a big difference between call districts as we

had exhaused the 1X3s in the very late 50s in the 2 district (or perhaps early 1960). WA was issued in 60/61 and WBs started in 1962 (at least so I believe as I obtained WN2CJV in May of 1962, which would have become a WB2 upon upgrade).

I consider the N calls to be the first of the "recent" stuff, despite going back 30 to 35 years.

It also turns out that we are both Vietnam vets.

As far as 1X3s, it would depend upon the district; as I mentioned, the NY/NJ area was done with 1X3s sometime in the very late 50s or perhaps 1960. By May, 1962, we were up to WB2CJV. WAs were already exhausted.

I did get to operate K2US at the Coca Cola pavillion during the World's Fair in 1964 LOL. So there are 3 1X2s that I was familiar with that have been re-issued (W2ZX, Russ, and W2OY, Mike are the other 2). All re-issued after the gates started opening.

At least in NY/NY, I suspect the bulk of 1X3s are re-issued under the vanity call sign program.

Hey, come to think of it, I remember Mike and Pam Kortz. They lived perhaps 1/4 mile away from me. I still had a crystal radio and had built a one-tube regenerative receiver. He had let his novice license expire more than one year before. He would have had a 1X3 if he had upgraded, but he dropped radio.

I was in 7th grade; that meant it was 1959. I assume he was licensed in 1957 or so. He would have been very near the end of the 1X3s. He was 3 years older than I. Pam, his sister, was my age.

That sets a minimum age, in my mind, of around 55 years old for an original 1X3 from the NY/NJ area. Any moves, and you loose it. I suspect that original 1X3s, although not rare, are likely not in the majority. Some folks drop out, others are killed. Some move. Original X2XXX calls are not likely in the majority.

X2XX are as common as weapons of mass destruction in Iraq. I knew a couple and they are long sk.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2329. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 19:47:23 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118087243.139654.6750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109562

From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> on Sun,Jun 5 2005 8:44 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Sun 5 Jun 2005 06:58
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> > > Ham radio has certainly changed since I first heard about it
>> >> > > back in the 40s. So many uptight folks in it now, so easily
>> >> > > bruised, some wanting to bully, terrorize, and FIGHT!
>
>> >> Perhaps, Lennie, if certain mischevious scumbags weren't
>> >> >>looking<< to "bruise" people there wouldn't be such a propensity to be as
>>defensive.
>
>> >> Such as the deceitful and misleading barbs YOU disseminate in this
>> >> forum.
>
>> >> Guess you now think it's wrong for one to defend one's self?
>
>> >No one except Ghandi thinks that. But you make every posting as if it
>> >were a personal attack to your very being and your way of life. You
>> >made yourself a target, an easy target, by being such an idiot about
>> >everything. Lighten up.
>
>> :-)
>
>8(

>> >> The problem didn't start with the No Code test...It started almost
>> >> 20 years before that with the influx of 11 meter operators via the Bash
>> >> Book route and the subsequent codification of the "open pools".
>
>> >Ah, yes, the "Riff-Raff" theory. Almost 20 years ago I used the ARRL's
>> >"Now You're Talking" and the ARRL's non-Farnsworth Code tapes to enter
>> >the amateur radio service via "open pools" testing.
>
>> Ahem, "almost 20 years ago" would be about 1985. Class D CB
>> was created in 1958...FORTY-SEVEN YEARS AGO. 27 years in
>> between are unaccounted for in Stebie's statement.
>
>Steve is referring to 20 years prior to the advent of the No-Code
>Technician, which happened sometime in the early 90's, so his reference
>period is early 70's. Basically, he's saying that many, most, or all

>hams entereing the ARS since the early 70's have Bashed it and are
>worthless CBers.

Stebie doesn't have the word-laying savvy to express much except
contempt for those who do not agree with him.

The no-code-test Technician became effective in 1991. Going back
20 years before that would start at 1971. "Eleven meter" CB had
already existed for 13 years by 1971 and the off-shore transceivers
were already well-established in the radio market then. An early
designer-manufacturer of CB radios, General Radiotelephone Co., of
Burbank, CA, has already filed for bankruptcy and closed its
corporation and location on Magnolia Blvd; the half-completed
mast is still visible on the roof of that building today.

>Of course, Cecil put all that nonsense to rest years and years ago, but
>some people pesrist with wrong thoughts.

I know. Many of us tried to show the truth in the non-amateur radio
world. To little avail. Those who continue their myths will have
none of the truth.

>> "11 meter 'operators?'" The original "11 meter" CB users
>> required a license but never required any test. USERS.
>> Absolutely NO written form of "radio knowledge" was
>> required of any USER.
>
>> "Open pools?" There were "Q&A" books published before 1956
>> on ALL FCC written tests. BEFORE the Dick Bash publications.
>> That was way back in history when ALL the FCC radio regulations
>> were supplied as three-ring binder, loose-leaf pages.
>
>ARRL, Ameco...

For amateur radio written exams, yes. There was an earlier
publisher of Q&A books which covered many different topics,
including the FCC Commercial License written exams. I tried
to obtain one of those in late February, 1956, couldn't and
resorted to simply studying the entirety of the available FCC
radio regulations of that time (quite small in comparison to
the five-volume bound set of Title 47 today).

>> All of those non-ham radios are in use by "riff-raff?"
>> Dick Bash is "responsible" for all the Q&A books published
>> in the 1950s? Yes to all implies the mighty Stebie,
>> "speaker for all hams and the 'ham community'."
>
>Bash was a ham. A Mighty Morseman

Irrelevant to those who need Hate Objects. :-)

Just as irrelevant to the fact that Bruce Perens, founder of
the No Code International interest group was a 20 WPM code-

tested Amateur Extra BEFORE he founded that group. :-)

Class C and D Citizens Band Radio Service is close to the half-century mark and most of its detractors (and haters) were either unborn or had not obtained any license when it was created. "Class C" exists, expanded in permissible frequencies, as "Radio Control Radio Service" in Part 95. "Class D" is in the same part and called simply "Citizens Band Radio Service."

Class A and B, on low UHF frequencies was discontinued many years before. It would have been a year-plus older than Class C and D had it continued. NONE of the original four Citizens Band classes required any user to take any written test for a CB license. Such licenses were discontinued by the FCC when the number of CB users reached about a half million or so; exact number is irrelevant with the near-present-day number of CB radios being estimated at 5 MILLION in use.

"Pbthpthhth" says Bill the Cat. Hear the Opus.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2330. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 19:50:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118087442.065775.285870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109563

From: "K□B" on Mon 6 Jun 2005 03:14

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> 4) Reducing the license test requirements has not brought sustained
>> growth to US amateur radio.
>
>
>Does Amateur Radio need to grow?
>
>If so, why?

1. In order to be at the same percentage as OTHER radio users;
the FCC regulates ALL civil radio in the USA and the
population of the USA is (keeping on) increasing. To be
competitive just to retain desired bands against all other
services requires a justification in citizen participants in
amateur radio...which means it must at least keep up with
the increasing population.

2. To retain a sizeable market for both equipment, components,
and publications aimed at radio amateurs. There are many,
many, many markets for electronics items today, much more
so than a half century ago. Manufacturers of equipment,
components, and publications desire a large enough
market to enable profit; shrinking market numbers are
coincident with reduced profit and that be a no-no.

3. Actuarial tables will show that normal life span is going
to have a greater effect on an activity where the
participants are above the median population age...which
increases the probability of earlier attrition of numbers.
[regardless of egregious boasting or frequent, vociferous
denial, radio amateurs will NOT live forever] Note:
amateur radio demographics indicate the participants are
older than the national population median age.

4. Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY. There are many more
types and kinds of activity available to the population
today versus a half century ago and that is competition
for available free time for hobbyists. Greater numbers
of amateur radio participants will increase exposure and
possible interest to the general public, demonstrate to
government agencies for favorable decisions in favor of
amateur radio participants. Note: The ARRL membership
as of the end of 2004 was only 140 thousand while the

Academy of Model Aeronautics, the USA national membership organization of model airplane flyers was 175 thousand at that same time. [source: website statements of both organizations]

5. The no-code-test Technician Class license has only been available for 14 years and (as of Sunday, 5 Jun 05) already has 293,613 licensees out of a total of 722,452 individual licenses in the USA. Had that not been available, the total number of amateur licenses would have SHRUNK by a sizeable number. The exact amount of shrinkage is unknown since it is impossible to accurately predict an alternate future. That shrinkage could, at worst case, reduced the Sunday totals to 428,839 total individual licenses, a drop to 59.36 percent. Currently (as of Sunday, 5 Jun 05) the no-code-test Technician class license represents 40.64 percent of the total individual license grants.

6. The availability of communications resources to the general public has greatly expanded in the last half century. As of the end of 2003 there were 100 MILLION cellular telephone subscriptions in the USA. [USA Census Bureau statement in early 2004] One in five families in the USA has SOME access to the Internet. [Census Bureau, same statement as for cellular telephony] Self-service facsimile machines are common in chain drugstores and office supply stores. Every government agency and nearly all military units of battalion size or equivalent have websites in the USA. Direct-dial-telephone service is available to all telephone subscribers in the USA from small towns to large urban areas; that includes direct dialing to foreign telephone subscribers. The number of "eleven meter" CB transceivers in use in the USA is roughly 5 MILLION (electronic industry estimates several years ago); "CB" has existed for 47 years. FRS and GMRS handheld, average 5-mile range, are available in consumer electronics stores/departments for less than $100 a pair (no license required for FRS radios). Throughout the USA public safety agencies have some form of non-amateur radio communications, as do utility, transportation, highway maintenance industries; the business and government radio market has long been established in the USA and major countries in the world.

As an adjunct to several items in competition for advertising income necessary to sustain some publications, it should be pointed out that the number of printed periodicals in the USA has tripled (almost quadrupled) in the last half century. Add to that the competiiton from the Internet ad markets since the public release of the Internet in 1991 and the advertising space purchasers are spread over a large number of venues.

In USA amateur radio periodicals, Ham Radio, Ham Radio Horizons, 73, and CQ VHF have all been forced to close due to insufficient income from ad sales. [QEX and Communications Quarterly, a attempt at some resumption of Ham Radio magazine content, were joined, but with marginal success on ad space sales] Note: HR, 73, CQ are all "independent" periodical publishers whose operating income is dependent entirely on advertising space sales. Some non-amateur-specific periodicals such as Popular Communications have enjoyed an increase in readership and drawing more ad space purchasers. Marketing follows trends and interests generated through advertising and adjusts product prices accordingly. Advertising, though irritating to some, is a good barometer of the "product weather."

To sustain at least the status quo, U.S. amateur radio license numbers must follow the population increase. To be competitive for both attention and product pricing, as well as for favorable regulations and new products, the license numbers must grow.

Individual radio amateurs have expressed an opinion that growth should NOT happen. Those may be looking at their own activities without regard for the overall national picture or advances in overall communications capabilities. To them everything is "comfortable" as it is. Those same "comfortable as it is" amateurs will begin to attrit in a decade or two and their numbers will drop. That will shrink the number of licensees despite the recent increases due almost entirely to the no-code-test licensees of the last 14 years. Shrinkage in numbers due to old-timers leaving must be offset by more than just that "new" no-code-test license class.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2331. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 21:02:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <nR2pe.43$Bh1.2468901@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109584

Len:

Although we have had our disagreements, here I give you credit--your ducks are beginning to look pretty organized--if not "absolutely" in a row... your post is a credit to the defense of amateur radio...

Warmest regards,
John
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1118087442.065775.285870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
From: "K?B" on Mon 6 Jun 2005 03:14

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> 4) Reducing the license test requirements has not brought sustained
>> growth to US amateur radio.
>
>
>Does Amateur Radio need to grow?
>
>If so, why?

1. In order to be at the same percentage as OTHER radio users; the FCC regulates ALL civil radio in the USA and the population of the USA is (keeping on) increasing. To be competitive just to retain desired bands against all other services requires a justification in citizen participants in amateur radio...which means it must at least keep up with the increasing population.

2. To retain a sizeable market for both equipment, components, and publications aimed at radio amateurs. There are many, many, many markets for electronics items today, much more so than a half century ago. Manufacturers of equipment, components, and publications desire a large enough market to enable profit; shrinking market numbers are coincident with reduced profit and that be a no-no.

3. Actuarial tables will show that normal life span is going to have a greater effect on an activity where the participants are above the median population age...which increases the probability of earlier attrition of numbers. [regardless of egregious boasting or frequent, vociferous denial, radio amateurs will NOT live forever] Note: amateur radio demographics indicate the participants are older than the national population median age.

4. Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY. There are many more types and kinds of activity available to the population today versus a half century ago and that is competition for available free time for hobbyists. Greater numbers of amateur radio participants will increase exposure and possible interest to the general public, demonstrate to government agencies for favorable decisions in favor of amateur radio participants. Note: The ARRL membership as of the end of 2004 was only 140 thousand while the Academy of Model Aeronautics, the USA national membership organization of model airplane flyers was 175 thousand at that same time. [source: website statements of both organizations]

5. The no-code-test Technician Class license has only been available for 14 years and (as of Sunday, 5 Jun 05) already has 293,613 licensees out of a total of 722,452 individual licenses in the USA. Had that not been available, the total number of amateur licenses would have SHRUNK by a sizeable number. The exact amount of shrinkage is unknown since it is impossible to accurately predict an alternate future. That shrinkage could, at worst case, reduced the Sunday totals to 428,839 total individual licenses, a drop to 59.36 percent. Currently (as of Sunday, 5 Jun 05) the no-code-test Technician class license represents 40.64 percent of the total individual license grants.

6. The availability of communications resources to the general public has greatly expanded in the last half century. As of the end of 2003 there were 100 MILLION cellular telephone subscriptions in the USA. [USA Census Bureau statement in early 2004] One in five families in the USA has SOME access to the Internet. [Census Bureau, same statement as for cellular telephony] Self-service facsimile machines are common in chain drugstores and office supply stores. Every government agency and nearly all military units of battalion size or equivalent have websites in the USA. Direct-dial-telephone service is available to all telephone subscribers in the USA from small towns to large urban areas; that includes direct dialing to foreign telephone subscribers. The number of "eleven meter" CB transceivers in use in the USA is roughly 5 MILLION (electronic industry estimates several years ago); "CB" has existed for 47 years. FRS and GMRS handheld, average 5-mile range, are available in consumer electronics stores/departments for less than $100 a pair (no license required for FRS radios). Throughout the USA public safety agencies have some form of non-amateur radio communications, as do utility, transportation, highway maintenance industries; the business and government radio market has long been established in the USA and major countries in the world.

As an adjunct to several items in competition for advertising income necessary to sustain some publications, it should be pointed out that the number of printed periodicals in the USA has tripled (almost quadrupled) in the last half century. Add to that the competiiton from the Internet ad markets since the public release of the Internet in 1991 and the advertising space purchasers are spread over a large number of venues. In USA amateur radio periodicals, Ham Radio, Ham Radio Horizons, 73, and CQ VHF have all been forced to close due to insufficient income from ad sales. [QEX and Communications Quarterly, a attempt at some resumption of Ham Radio magazine content, were joined, but with marginal success on ad space sales] Note: HR, 73, CQ are all "independent" periodical publishers whose operating income is dependent entirely on advertising space sales. Some non-amateur-specific periodicals such as Popular Communications have enjoyed an increase in readership and drawing more ad space purchasers. Marketing follows trends and interests generated through advertising and adjusts product prices accordingly. Advertising, though irritating to some, is a good barometer of the "product weather."

To sustain at least the status quo, U.S. amateur radio license numbers must follow the population increase. To be competitive for both attention and product pricing, as well as for favorable regulations and new products, the license numbers must grow.

Individual radio amateurs have expressed an opinion that growth should NOT happen. Those may be looking at their own activities without regard for the overall national picture or advances in overall communications capabilities. To them everything is "comfortable" as it is. Those same "comfortable as it is" amateurs will begin to attrit in a decade or two and their numbers will drop. That will shrink the number of licensees despite the recent increases due almost entirely to the no-code-test licensees of the last 14 years. Shrinkage in numbers due to old-timers leaving must be offset by more than just that "new" no-code-test license class.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2332. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 21:43:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ks3pe.289$du5.177@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109564

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>KC8GXW wrote:
> >>
> >>>>But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
> >>>>Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
> >>>>
> >>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>>
> >>> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a > give
> >>>away tech license! :)
> >>
> >>Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
> >>what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.
> >>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >
> > I said that. And I mean it. The tests today are a joke. Why you ask?
> > Because the questions and answers are right there in front of the
person.
> > Sure he has to study a lot of questions. But there they are on the
test. A
> > give away.
> >
> > And lets not even talk about the CW situation.
> >
> > It's NOT numbers we need, it people that respect the traditions of ham
> > radio and want to continue them. Its people that love ham radio and
don't
> > want to use to to order a pizza.
> >
> > Call me old fashioned and out of touch. It won't be the first time.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
> Is there really any need for much technical knowledge to obtain a ham
> license anymore? Why a need for technical knowlege when setting up and

> operating a station today is simply plug-an-play? How many hams constuct
> any equipment they use on the air any more? The most tech knowledge that
> might be required is maybe how to build and put up and adjust an
> antenna. Perhaps the test should focus more on rules, regulations and
> proper operating procedures. The most technical that hams get today is
> knowing how many frequencies they can store in the radios memory.
>
> As you say Dan, with the joke they use for testing today, no technical
> knowledge is required anyway, just memorize the answers to the questions
> and off you go. So maybe if the tests were geared more to regs and
> operating procedures, then even with the memoriziation some of it might
> soak in and maybe there would less cb type operating on the ham bands.
>
> It is pretty bad when as I heard not long ago on a 2 meter reperter, "I
> just got my license, can someone tell me what frequencies I can
> operate?" Cheeese.
>
> Even wogie wussman passed the test, that in itself speaks volumns about
> how easy the they are.

Hi, gang

Well, I am at a loss to reply to one post. The gentleman asked about the necessity of needing an rf bridge to measure the impedance of his antenna. He asked if he could simply use an ohm meter.

He is a general. I think. Maybe an extra ... :(

My honest belief is that folks should understand reactance and resistance to obtain a general class license. We aren't talking brain surgery here.

My concern is not over cw as it is really an old mode of transmission; however, that should not excuse folks from learning at least the basics of theory.

I'm still contemplating a further post on a Hammond Organ site. One guy wants to replace an impedance transformer and a tube amp with a solid state amp. He seems concerned with "reflected power". My guess is that he is a newly minted general or extra class licensee. I did reply that I'd suggest only replacing the pre-amp and leave the impedance matching transformer. It will be easier. I just don't know how to explain about emitter followers or igfets (or mosfets, whatever). If he is licensed, it should be a no-brainer at audio frequencies (only to around 6 kHz at that!), but his concern about "reflected power" really leaves me brain-boggled. Of course, he might have several miles of feedline between the transformer and pre-amp, but I sort of doubt that.

As to frequencies, anyone can transmit on any frequency. As to what frequencies the *may* legally operate on, that is another question - and one that individual should know. Or, at least have the reference materials around so he/she doesn't have to ask. It would be like going into an operating room for an operation and hearing the doctor ask "what is a

scalpel?".

Gaaaaaah! Which way to the exit?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2333. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 22:01:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <XI3pe.341$2e7.102@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109565

Hello, John

You've seen technical knowledge amongst the new folks? Glad you've seen some. As to me, I like to rag-chew. Like I did with that professor from the U of R concerning theoretical physics. Of course, he's an old guy too ...

My neighbor called me again last week. Make that week before last.

Seems her computer is on the fritz. The last time she had taken it to a "tech" who simply ran the restore disk. No more partitions - and he didn't even put the antivirus nor firewall back in! It took me two trips and probably 3 hours to fix the bloody thing. Not to mention putting a second partition on the drive and trying to organize it a bit.

She got a "copy" of XP (notice the quotes - take it any way you wish). This was perhaps 4 months ago.

Well, she called (it will be two weeks this coming Thursday) and her compooter is all bogged down. I told her I was busy, but I'd call.

Well, I'd plead Alzheimer's, but obviously I remember telling her that. Maybe I'm too busy. In any case, I think she should take that thing back to the young buck that "fixes" 'em and chargers her for his time (which I did *not*).

Tell ya what, the old coots can and do learn.

Fool me once, shame on you.
Fool me twice, shame on me :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
(first licensed in 1962 and had 1st radiotelephone in 1966 along with a commercial telegraph license - but don't know anything, obviously LOL)

ps - there is no respect for technically oriented folks in this country. This is slowly being reflected by the drop folks opting for engineering degrees. Everyone wants to be a lawyer or engineer.

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:m1Noe.71$uX2.7316553@news.sisna.com...
> ... absolutely not, that is why ham radio has failed, the old guys keep
> trying to turn it into a darn religion with a bunch of traditions (or is
> that an A.A. meeting?)...
> We need some young active technical people to bring some technology to
> the focus in this hobby and breathe some life back into it...
>

> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:BqLoe.16015$w21.2637@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> KC8GXW wrote:
> >>> > But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
> >>> > Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
> >>> >
> >>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>>
> >>> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a >
> >>> give away tech license! :)
> >>
> >> Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
> >> what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> > I said that. And I mean it. The tests today are a joke. Why you
> > ask? Because the questions and answers are right there in front of the
> > person. Sure he has to study a lot of questions. But there they are
> > on the test. A give away.
> >
> > And lets not even talk about the CW situation.
> >
> > It's NOT numbers we need, it people that respect the traditions of
> > ham radio and want to continue them. Its people that love ham radio
> > and don't want to use to to order a pizza.
> >
> > Call me old fashioned and out of touch. It won't be the first time.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
>

2334. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 22:09:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <NQ3pe.57$2J2.3518579@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109566

Jim:

I think you can "know all the answers" to the exam, and yet be a total loss of how to apply it all, and how it all "really works"...
Years ago when I was a teenager, it was at clubs and activities I picked up the "hands on", plus--even in the small town I lived in there were 3 hams! Now-a-days they are few and far between...

And, now with everyone hiding from terrorists, afraid your neighbor is a child-molester, and too busy having to make a buck (wife too these days) the new comers miss a whole lot--in the city I live in, can walk-about all day long and not have one other citizen speak a greeting--if I don't speak first... things have changed, I am afraid it is "adapt-or-die" <frown>

Warmest regards,
John
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:ks3pe.289$du5.177@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com...
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > news:1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >>KC8GXW wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>>But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
>> >>>>Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >>>
>> >>> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a >
>> >>> give
>> >>>away tech license! :)
>> >>
>> >>Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
>> >>what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.
>> >>
>> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >>
>> >
>> > I said that. And I mean it. The tests today are a joke. Why you
>> > ask?

>> > Because the questions and answers are right there in front of the
> person.
>> > Sure he has to study a lot of questions. But there they are on the
> test. A
>> > give away.
>> >
>> > And lets not even talk about the CW situation.
>> >
>> > It's NOT numbers we need, it people that respect the traditions of
>> > ham
>> > radio and want to continue them. Its people that love ham radio
>> > and
> don't
>> > want to use to to order a pizza.
>> >
>> > Call me old fashioned and out of touch. It won't be the first
>> > time.
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Is there really any need for much technical knowledge to obtain a ham
>> license anymore? Why a need for technical knowlege when setting up
>> and
>> operating a station today is simply plug-an-play? How many hams
>> constuct
>> any equipment they use on the air any more? The most tech knowledge
>> that
>> might be required is maybe how to build and put up and adjust an
>> antenna. Perhaps the test should focus more on rules, regulations and
>> proper operating procedures. The most technical that hams get today
>> is
>> knowing how many frequencies they can store in the radios memory.
>>
>> As you say Dan, with the joke they use for testing today, no
>> technical
>> knowledge is required anyway, just memorize the answers to the
>> questions
>> and off you go. So maybe if the tests were geared more to regs and
>> operating procedures, then even with the memoriziation some of it
>> might
>> soak in and maybe there would less cb type operating on the ham
>> bands.
>>
>> It is pretty bad when as I heard not long ago on a 2 meter reperter,
>> "I
>> just got my license, can someone tell me what frequencies I can
>> operate?" Cheeese.
>>

>> Even wogie wussman passed the test, that in itself speaks volumns
>> about
>> how easy the they are.
>
>
> Hi, gang
>
> Well, I am at a loss to reply to one post. The gentleman asked about
> the
> necessity of needing an rf bridge to measure the impedance of his
> antenna.
> He asked if he could simply use an ohm meter.
>
> He is a general. I think. Maybe an extra ... :(
>
> My honest belief is that folks should understand reactance and
> resistance to
> obtain a general class license. We aren't talking brain surgery here.
>
> My concern is not over cw as it is really an old mode of transmission;
> however, that should not excuse folks from learning at least the
> basics of
> theory.
>
> I'm still contemplating a further post on a Hammond Organ site. One
> guy
> wants to replace an impedance transformer and a tube amp with a solid
> state
> amp. He seems concerned with "reflected power". My guess is that he
> is a
> newly minted general or extra class licensee. I did reply that I'd
> suggest
> only replacing the pre-amp and leave the impedance matching
> transformer. It
> will be easier. I just don't know how to explain about emitter
> followers or
> igfets (or mosfets, whatever). If he is licensed, it should be a
> no-brainer
> at audio frequencies (only to around 6 kHz at that!), but his concern
> about
> "reflected power" really leaves me brain-boggled. Of course, he might
> have
> several miles of feedline between the transformer and pre-amp, but I
> sort of
> doubt that.
>
> As to frequencies, anyone can transmit on any frequency. As to what
> frequencies the *may* legally operate on, that is another question -
> and one
> that individual should know. Or, at least have the reference

> materials
> around so he/she doesn't have to ask. It would be like going into an
> operating room for an operation and hearing the doctor ask "what is a
> scalpel?".
>
> Gaaaaaah! Which way to the exit?
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

2335. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 22:20:13 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <x_3pe.344$2e7.308@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mr Germanium" <ck722a0006@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> WOW !!!!
>
> A Freeband REPEATER :)
>
> Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!
>
> Does it operate 24/7 ?
>

Mr. Germanium says it all.

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

ps - why Mr. Germanium says it all will, unfortunately, be over the heads of many in the groups. It will not be over the heads of the technically astute.

2336. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 22:39:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <rg4pe.345$2e7.275@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109568

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:NQ3pe.57$2J2.3518579@news.sisna.com...
> Jim:
>
> I think you can "know all the answers" to the exam, and yet be a total
> loss of how to apply it all, and how it all "really works"...
> Years ago when I was a teenager, it was at clubs and activities I picked
> up the "hands on", plus--even in the small town I lived in there were 3
> hams! Now-a-days they are few and far between...
>
> And, now with everyone hiding from terrorists, afraid your neighbor is a
> child-molester, and too busy having to make a buck (wife too these days)
> the new comers miss a whole lot--in the city I live in, can walk-about
> all day long and not have one other citizen speak a greeting--if I don't
> speak first... things have changed, I am afraid it is "adapt-or-die"
> <frown>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

Likely true, John

Unfortunately, our dear administration has been pointing the finger at a few things (weapons of mass destruction, terrorists, and the gay issue) to avoid the things that should likely concern us most (at least in my mind).

The stupid deficit is beyond comprehension. Not that long ago, we wanted a weaker dollar so we could export more. Now, in the paper, Wall Street responds positively to a *stronger* dollar. Could we be praying that folks don't figure out that the dollar isn't worth the paper it is printed on? What is going on?

We are turning into a nation of "turn in thy neighbor if you think they aren't exactly 'right'". Sounds like Russia, China, or pre-WWII Germany.

Meanwhile, as you have discovered, both parents have to work today. Minimum wage isn't increasing. Income tax for the 6 figure plus folks has been decreasing, but how about your property tax?

I must admit that I am in danger of becoming a curmudgeon. Wages are terrible. I was making less than 1/3 of what I made in 1989 (not counting inflation!) and all of us were let go that were hired the first of February.

I have a small pension; I think I can live on somewhat over $300 per week take-home. It would not be smart to take a $10.00 per hour job as the tax will be "off the top" and I'd be lucky to take home $300 of the $400.

Meanwhile, I have to pay for gas to get to work? BTW, I had taken a $13.00 per hour tech job. Engineers (contract, anyhow) aren't paid much more. Meanwhile, the city has a job for $11.85 as a parking attendant. The tough requirement is that you have to be able to make change without the use of a calculator or computer. Is something wrong with this picture.

So, I've been resting on my backside. I'm waiting for some small signs of intelligence so that I will feel safe that there will be a *big* change in the coming elections.

Then, and only then, will I go back to work.

Meanwhile, the FBI is getting a substation less than a mile away from me. The police have moved back to a block from me. Somehow, however, I don't feel a whole lot safer. Two shootings in the past 4 months within 6 blocks of me.

Dang! Ain't Bush doing a heck of a job? Don'tcha just feel so safe? :))

Meanwhile, I'd just like a few women to molest me. It has been so many years .... :))

It is tough getting old, but it beats the alternative ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

ps - this background may be why you see some old timers getting their panties in a knot. I don't care about cw (although I've enjoyed it), but feel folks should have some *minimal* background for their ticket.

pps - it isn't about applying the knowledge; it is the fact that knowing the answers to certain questions does *not* mean you even understand the material.

2337. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 23:13:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050606191319.860$GD@newsreader.com>
Source record: 109569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> "Mr Germanium" <ck722a0006@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > WOW !!!!
> >
> > A Freeband REPEATER :)
> >
> > Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!
> >
> > Does it operate 24/7 ?
> >
>
> Mr. Germanium says it all.
>
> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
> ps - why Mr. Germanium says it all will, unfortunately, be over the heads
> of many in the groups. It will not be over the heads of the technically
> astute.
>
Hello Jim.

I luv purple geraniums. They is pretty!

2338. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 23:34:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <u45pe.299$tw5.28@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050606191319.860$GD@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > "Mr Germanium" <ck722a0006@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > > WOW !!!!
> > >
> > > A Freeband REPEATER :)
> > >
> > > Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!
> > >
> > > Does it operate 24/7 ?
> > >
> >
> > Mr. Germanium says it all.
> >
> > With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> > ps - why Mr. Germanium says it all will, unfortunately, be over the
heads
> > of many in the groups. It will not be over the heads of the technically
> > astute.
> >
> Hello Jim.
>
> I luv purple geraniums. They is pretty!

Hello, Mopar

I suspect strongly that you are one of the group(s) that didn't have this
one go over your head :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2339. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 23:38:57 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <l85pe.300$rw5.46@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109571

Heck, John, he was pushing the Art Bell show for a while :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
ps - ya got it right!

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:jzJoe.46$4K4.4674579@news.sisna.com...
> Jim:
>
> The Wayne Green? The one who was even thrown off the Art Bell show for
> being such a shill con-artist? Wow, you live-ya learn...
>
> John
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:OsJoe.229$fI4.189@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:MIroe.15552$w21.5081@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >> news:headnQuYiuhenj_fRVn-oA@comcast.com...
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> Dan's point of a 1X3 being an indicator of an old timer does not
> >> >> hold
> >> >> water.
> >> >
> >> > Definitely not. Many holders of 1x3 calls got their licenses in
> >> > the
> > 1990s
> >> > and many new licenses today are getting 1x3 calls through the
> >> > vanity
> >> > system since there are none available for sequential issue.
> >> > However if
> >> > one looks only at the sequentially issued calls, the Ws are older
> >> > than
> > the
> >> > Ks which in turn are older than the Ns.
> >> >
> >> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >>
> >> I think you are trying to be a devils advocate here Dee. You should

> >> know
> > I
> >> was refering to the time before incentive licensing. If you
> >> don't...you
> > do
> >> now. So my comments are valid and correct.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Hello, Dan
> >
> > That you may also have mis-read what I was saying. Before vanity
> > calls
> > (incentive licensing was in the 1960s), you could be certain of an old
> > timer
> > by the callsign. You could not be positive of a newer type call
> > because if
> > you changed radio districts, you were issued a new sequentially
> > assigned
> > call.
> >
> > This is why Wayne Greene operated as W2NSD/1 for years. I don't know
> > if he
> > owned or licensed real estate in New York to keep the W2NSD call, but
> > finally was able to keep it for his new QTH.
> >
> > W2NSD is quite some time after a 1X2 call and Wayne goes back a *lot*
> > of
> > years. He got his 50th (or was it more than 50?) year membership pin
> > from
> > the ARRL back quite some time ago. I subscribed to 73 about 10 years
> > ago,
> > so it may have been in that time frame. Assuming he did not get his
> > license
> > at the age of two or three, plus quite a few years between the 1X2s
> > and
> > W2NSD, I would suspect most of the original 1X1s are long SK. I
> > suspect a
> > fair number of the original 1X3s are also gone. Perhaps not the
> > majority,
> > but a fair number.
> >
> > I make this statement only by personally knowing some of them and
> > having an
> > idea of their age. The ones I knew are all SK.
> >
> > Ooops. I do know a 1X2. He was WA2SEY and obtained a 1X2 via the
> > vanity

> > call system. The only one I know is through the vanity call system.
> > Ooops,
> > wait. I've heard a couple on the air locally. All vanity call signs.
> >
> > Going through posts in one group, I've seen a lot of folks grabbing
> > the 1X3s
> > via the vanity call system.
> >
> > Due to personal experience, I suspect that a fair number of 1X3s are
> > vanity
> > issue and am convinced that most, if not all, of the 1X2s are vanity
> > issues.
> >
> > Certainly, there are still a large number of 1X3s still around with a
> > non-vanity issued call. However, I suspect that in the 2nd district,
> > these
> > would have run out sometime around 1959 or 1960. This sets a lower
> > limit on
> > age of around 50. This would be for a child who obtained his or her
> > ticket
> > just before they started with the WA prefixes here. Someone obtaining
> > their
> > ticket then as a teenager should be around 60. You don't have to go
> > too far
> > back through the K2XXX to approach W2XXX and now you're likely looking
> > at
> > someone 80 years old or more. There were fewer amateurs then and
> > callsigns
> > weren't issued at the machine-gun rate they were some decades later.
> >
> > I mentioned K2BRE. Were he still alive, he would be in his mid to
> > upper
> > 80s. And that is still after the W2XXX calls. You expect me to
> > believe
> > most of the 1X3s are 80 years old and older?
> >
> > How many hams are around that are 90 years old?
> >
> > This is why I suspect a fair number of the 1X3s are vanity calls. Of
> > course, other radio districts may not need go back nearly as many
> > years to
> > achieve the 1X3s.
> >
> > My first post was to point out that the WA and WB prefixes indicate
> > folks
> > that are approaching 60 years old. I doubt any were obtained via
> > vanity
> > calls. So, I suspect these folks have been licensed well over 40
> > years. I
> > cannot assume that with a 1X2 or 1X3.

> >
> > Oh, I almost forgot W2OY. He's been SK for many years now, but other
> > lids
> > have jumped right in to fill the gap.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2340. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 23:41:40 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Ua5pe.301$pw5.183@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109572

John,

Are you an advisor to President Bush?

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

ps - the sky is falling, the sky is falling!

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:2nLoe.60$FK7.5563495@news.sisna.com...
> When the data attempts to force the fact that doom is
> comming--re-arrange the data and argue like hell!!! Of course, that is
> how the doom occurred in the first place!
>
> John
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1118011015.971368.283100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Jun 1, 9:15 pm
> >
> >>These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
> >>by individuals on the stated dates:
> >>As of May 14, 2000:
> >
> > <deleted, four-year-old data, grace periods are only 2 years>
> >
> >>As of May 31, 2005:
> >>
> >>Novice - 28,370 (decrease of 20,959)
> >>Technician - 268,575 (increase of 63,181)
> >>Technician Plus - 49,098 (decrease of 79,762)
> >>General - 136,581 (increase of 23,904)
> >>Advanced - 76,119 (decrease of 23,663)
> >>Extra - 106,707 (increase of 27,957)
> >>
> >>Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,673 (decrease of 16,581)
> >>
> >>Total all classes - 665,450 (decrease of 9,342)
> >
> >
> >>Note that these totals do not include licenses that
> >>have expired but are in the grace period. They also
> >>do not include club, military, RACES or other
> >>station-only licenses

> >
> > That's simply untrue, N...@aol.com. Military "calls" are
> > assigned by the MILITARY. FCC has NO legal jurisdiction
> > over USA military OR government radio.
> >
> >>Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new Novice, Technician Plus
> >>or
> >>Advanced licenses are no longer issued, and that all existing
> >>Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician.
> >
> > An absolutely IMPORTANT clarification to obscure the
> > fact that no-code-test Technicians are condescendingly
> > sponged into the MORSE-TESTED totals.
> >
> > Here's the totals of ALL AMATEUR licenses as given by
> > www.hamdata.com as of 5 June 2005, with "delta" relative
> > to those same classes two years ago...and the percentage
> > of total 2005-date licenses less 9,550 "Club" calls:
> >
> > Class Licenses Delta Percentage
> > Technician (no-code-test) 293,613 +19,932 40.64
> > Technician Plus 56,161 -19,480 7.77
> > Novice 34,116 -8,331 4.72
> > General 144,802 +1,855 20.30
> > Advanced 82,902 -3,322 11.43
> > Extra 109,325 +3,678 15.13
> >
> > Total Less "Club" calls 722,452 -5,668* 99.99**
> >
> > * 2003 all-license totals were 736,616 or which 8,496 were
> > "Club" calls so the Delta for comparison is 728,120.
> >
> > ** Percentage totals do not add up to precisely 100% due
> > to arithmetic round-off to hundredths.
> >
> > Note: "Club" calls include all the non-individual license
> > grants.
> >
> > As of the hamdata.com figures for this Sunday, 5 Jun 05,
> > NO-CODE-TEST Technician Class licensees outnumber General
> > Class licensees by an almost exact 2:1 ratio. [General
> > class licensees WERE the largest in old days, no more]
> >
> > Nota Bene: The total licenses for the no-code-test
> > Technician Class, 293,613, do NOT include the "Tech Plus"
> > total licenses of 56,161. [let's stop this foolish
> > "lumping-together" by rather obvious PCTAs in trying to
> > embelish the sanctity and nobility of morsemanship]
> >
> > ALL license classes in the Technician (no-code-test),

> > General, and Amateur Extra classes have the SAME grace
> > period. If current Novice or Advanced license holders
> > don't RETEST, they go bye-bye, get defunct, disappear
> > from that great database.
> >
> > The current percentage of NO-CODE-TEST Technician class
> > licensees now make up slightly over FORTY PERCENT of all
> > classes. It is obviously the most populous of ALL classes
> > and CONTINUES TO GROW. Neglecting that singular LARGE
> > class of radio amateurs is foolish pipe-dreaming or weird
> > personal fantasizing.
> >
> > We now return you to the regularly scheduled PCTA
> > rationalization party in progress...
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
>
>

2341. Re: Indian call centres

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 23:44:05 UTC
From: "Li,Chanchun" <L>, C@ccp.gov.cn
Message-ID: <11a9nukdd7na051@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 109573

The most hilarious Indian with an accent I have heard was one which preaches
the Bible. Heard on SW recently!
So funny!

"uncle arnie" <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all> wrote in message
news:11a4mkndpmscocf@corp.supernews.com...
> Those who are interested, here's a link to a radio program about Indian
call
> centres and the social conditions that bring them to India.
>
> http://www.cbc.ca/dispatches/summer2003.html
>
> This is the text, search for India and you'll come to the real audio link:
>
> College graduates often complain how hard it is to find a job these days.
> But imagine living in India, where unemployment among young people can be
> more than 50 percent.
>
> Getting work on the subcontinent is kind of like staying dry in monsoon
> season.
>
> Except in the southern city of Bangalore, where the CBC's Mihira Lakshman
> says the ability to sound like you're from the American heartland, is the
> key to a whole new kind of career.

2342. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 23:44:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050606194430.499$54@newsreader.com>
Source record: 109574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050606191319.860$GD@newsreader.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > > "Mr Germanium" <ck722a0006@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > > > WOW !!!!
> > > >
> > > > A Freeband REPEATER : )
> > > >
> > > > Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!
> > > >
> > > > Does it operate 24/7 ?
> > > >
> > >
> > > Mr. Germanium says it all.
> > >
> > > With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA
> > >
> > > ps - why Mr. Germanium says it all will, unfortunately, be over the
> heads
> > > of many in the groups. It will not be over the heads of the
> > > technically astute.
> > >
> > Hello Jim.
> >
> > I luv purple geraniums. They is pretty!
>
> Hello, Mopar
>
> I suspect strongly that you are one of the group(s) that didn't have this
> one go over your head :))
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
Duuno 'nuttin bout dat. I like cabbage as landscaping too. <the musical
fruit>

I'm scarfing some cooked cabbage/noodles/bacon/olive oil whilst' I type
this.. Looks like some keyboard maintenace may be in order after I finish
too. yum! :)
```

2343. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-06 23:46:09 UTC
From: Todd <todd@nospam.moc>
Message-ID: <d82n7r$c3s$2@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 109575

IS EVERYTHING YOU NOT WANT BITCH. I GOT LAYWER NOW.

2344. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 00:00:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Bs5pe.356$VK4.345@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109576

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:SGNoe.282$2e7.156@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:MfLoe.16012$w21.10716@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:OsJoe.229$fI4.189@news02.roc.ny...
>> >
>> > Oh, I almost forgot W2OY. He's been SK for many years now, but other
> lids
>> > have jumped right in to fill the gap.
>>
>> I remember his well. In fact I was insulted by him several times on 75
> when
>> he slapped me around for having a K8 call.....hi.
>>
>> Didn't a club pick up his call?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> Hello, Dan
>
> Yes, it is a club call now. OY jumped on my frequency once on 75 when I
> was
> chatting with a station in Ohio. Guess what? The Ohio station was a good
> cw op and we changed to cw. At first, I tried signing 73 with him at
> about
> 20 words per minute. He came back stating cw was fine with him. Inside
> of
> 30 seconds we kept asking each other "qrq?" and rapidly cranked up to
> about
> 40 words per minute. With the q-multiplier cranked up, I couldn't even
> hear
> W2OY. I briefly turned it down to hear him moaning and groaning for us to
> "take those toys down into the cw band!". LOL. He didn't bither us a
> bot!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>

>
And this after the goof jumped on top of your qso, my oh my a two letter suffix LID... hi.

Dan/W4NTI

2345. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 00:04:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cP-dndAeyc8AeTnfRVn-rA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109577

Steveo wrote:
>
> I'm scarfing some cooked cabbage/noodles/bacon/olive oil whilst' I type
> this.. Looks like some keyboard maintenace may be in order after I finish
> too. yum! :)

Now yer cookin!

- Mike KB3EIA

2346. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 00:12:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ND5pe.363$VK4.108@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109578

```
"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>KC8GXW wrote:
>>>
>>>>>But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
>>>>>Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
>>>>>
>>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>
>>>> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a > give
>>>> away tech license! :)
>>>
>>>Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
>>>what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>
>> I said that. And I mean it. The tests today are a joke. Why you ask?
>> Because the questions and answers are right there in front of the person.
>> Sure he has to study a lot of questions. But there they are on the test.
>> A give away.
>>
>> And lets not even talk about the CW situation.
>>
>> It's NOT numbers we need, it people that respect the traditions of ham
>> radio and want to continue them. Its people that love ham radio and
>> don't want to use to to order a pizza.
>>
>> Call me old fashioned and out of touch. It won't be the first time.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> Is there really any need for much technical knowledge to obtain a ham
> license anymore? Why a need for technical knowlege when setting up and
> operating a station today is simply plug-an-play? How many hams constuct
> any equipment they use on the air any more? The most tech knowledge that
> might be required is maybe how to build and put up and adjust an antenna.
```

> Perhaps the test should focus more on rules, regulations and proper
> operating procedures. The most technical that hams get today is knowing
> how many frequencies they can store in the radios memory.
>

I am not all that concerned about the "technical knowledge" side of the
test.
I think basic technical knowledge is all that is really necessary. Like
being
able to cut a simple dipole, understand the terminology, have a working
knowledge in block diagram format, for what is happening inside that radio.

And I totally agree that the test should be heavy on rules, regs, and PROPER
OPERATING PROCEDURES....like don't talk CB Crap on HF SSB. \
>
> As you say Dan, with the joke they use for testing today, no technical
> knowledge is required anyway, just memorize the answers to the questions
> and off you go. So maybe if the tests were geared more to regs and
> operating procedures, then even with the memoriziation some of it might
> soak in and maybe there would less cb type operating on the ham bands.
>
We agree it lots here, eh?

> It is pretty bad when as I heard not long ago on a 2 meter reperter, "I
> just got my license, can someone tell me what frequencies I can operate?"
> Cheeese.
>

Or what I heard on the 75meter EKTRA band......I wanna cut a new dipole
for 80m phone (First off what is 80 meter phone?)(I always thought it was
75meter phone). Out of the 5 people in the group, one had it right.
Amazing.

> Even wogie wussman passed the test, that in itself speaks volumns about
> how easy the they are.

He should have done real good the second time around...yuk yuk.

Dan/W4NTI

2347. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 00:24:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <%O5pe.370$VK4.189@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109579

We have plenty of hi-tech stuff going on in Amateur Radio. Just not all
that much at HF or on the two meter CB band of FM machines.

Just off the top of my head.....ever hear of AMSAT? You know those
satellite thingy's?

Or how about digital voice on HF? Digital SlowScan? Or for that matter
digital fast scan TV? Then of course the utter glut of new and experimental
digital modes....too many to even talk about here. Then don't forget the
EME (moonbounce) with WSJT program that allows you to do what it took a KW
and 4 / 16 element phased yagis to do just a decade ago, with only 150
watts OR LESS?

So lets not talk about hams not doing anything technical.

Next BS line.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:m1Noe.71$uX2.7316553@news.sisna.com...
> ... absolutely not, that is why ham radio has failed, the old guys keep
> trying to turn it into a darn religion with a bunch of traditions (or is
> that an A.A. meeting?)...
> We need some young active technical people to bring some technology to the
> focus in this hobby and breathe some life back into it...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:BqLoe.16015$w21.2637@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> KC8GXW wrote:
>>>> > But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
>>>> > Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
>>>> >
>>>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>
>>>> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a > give
>>>> away tech license! :)
>>>
>>> Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
>>> what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.

>>>
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>> I said that. And I mean it. The tests today are a joke. Why you ask?
>> Because the questions and answers are right there in front of the person.
>> Sure he has to study a lot of questions. But there they are on the test.
>> A give away.
>>
>> And lets not even talk about the CW situation.
>>
>> It's NOT numbers we need, it people that respect the traditions of ham
>> radio and want to continue them. Its people that love ham radio and
>> don't want to use to to order a pizza.
>>
>> Call me old fashioned and out of touch. It won't be the first time.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
>

2348. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 00:31:02 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <aV5pe.354$2e7.273@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109580

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:cP-dndAeyc8AeTnfRVn-rA@adelphia.com...
> Steveo wrote:
> >
> > I'm scarfing some cooked cabbage/noodles/bacon/olive oil whilst' I type
> > this.. Looks like some keyboard maintenace may be in order after I
finish
> > too. yum! :)
>
> Now yer cookin!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA

Hello, Mike (and Mopar)

He ain't gonna need no keyboard to be playing music after eating cabbage!
:))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2349. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 00:32:57 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ZW5pe.307$Xw5.3@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109581

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1118020621.515819.274840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
> > When the data attempts to force the fact that doom is
> > comming--re-arrange the data and argue like hell!!!
>
> And make counter-accusations.
>
> Of course, that is
> > how the doom occurred in the first place!
> >
> > John
>
> If only they could ration the rationalization.
>

There ain't no rationalization here, this is *religion*, pure and simple ;)

Either yer fer us or agin us!

LOL

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2350. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 00:34:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zY5pe.277$hK3.198@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109582

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> That's simply untrue, N...@aol.com. Military "calls" are
> assigned by the MILITARY. FCC has NO legal jurisdiction
> over USA military OR government radio.
>

You're mistaken.

MilRec Amateur Radio station licenses (and calls) are assigned by the FCC. The military has NO legal jurisdiction over the assignment of those calls. No Morse or written test is required to obtain this station license.

Just a couple of examples of these station licenses are W4ODR and KH6SP. These Military Auspices station licenses number less than 100, so are not a significant percentage off all station licenses and can be ignored in the sort of census that N2EY records here.

Another example of Andersoneque half-vast knowledge of Amateur Radio matters.

The information is available to all citizens, even non-licensed persons, in FCC rules at &#65533;97.5(b)(3).
dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2351. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 00:38:29 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <906pe.308$Hx5.257@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109583

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:WvCdnT3LC5GUOT7fRVn-pA@adelphia.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > Hello, Kim
> >
> > Dang, top post or bottom post, but who cares?
> >
> > Reality is that they changed the rules and it cut my pension by around
$300
> > per month! I was lucky, however. A few folks were just shy off 55 (one
guy
> > was 2 weeks short of 55) and they get no health coverage nor life
insurance.
> >
> > They pulled the plug a bit late on me. Although not big, I'm looking at
> > over $300 per week after taxes. After working a contract job at $13.00
per
> > hour (what a whack in pay!) and we all were let go after 3 months, I've
> > decided to heck with it. I can live on the $300 to $350 per week. I've
> > only a few years before Social Insecurity and I have health insurance.
I
> > have to make adjustments, but I can pay my taxes.
> >
> > I'm certain that changes will occur when enough folks get hurt. I'm
just
> > tired of banging my head into a brick wall. I read the same old tired
> > threads and the claims that the left-wing groups lied when they reported
> > stories. Certainly mistakes have been made, but I cannot help but note
that
> > as time goes by and more stories leak out, it would appear that some
folks
> > will just not let go of the official stance. Whether amateur radio or
the
> > current administration.
> >
> > I did have a laugh. Years ago, I wrote a program which generated ladder
> > code for a programmable ladder controller. I could do the work of 8
hours
> > of tool engineering time in 15 minutes. A lot of credit was given to
other
> > folks. Amazingly, the program "disappeared".
> >
> > I had left and then went back to that company some 7 years later. When
our

> > department was getting ready to close, I spoke with one supervisor about
the
> > fact that the programming in the controllers was terrible and I could
> > increase production about 20% (I proved a 50% increase back in 1982).
When
> > he suggested we approach the manager I told him the truth. It wouldn't
save
> > his job nor mine. I let it go. I honestly don't care and after the
> > requisite two years I will e-mail the code to a competitor. A foreign
> > competitor. I generally avoid confrontation and tend to not be nasty,
but
> > in this case .... :))
> >
> > Make my day.
> >
> > I have generally found that the well educated are either labeled as
"geeks"
> > or "left-wing radicals". The self-righteous folks haven't seen real
flack.
> > It is about time that they did.
>
> So you noticed that education is a "bad thing" too, eh, Jim?
>
> > I've seen good old "Dave" talking about "old timers". He's off by a
mile,
> > but anyone with a ticket should be welcomed and accepted with none of
the
> > B.S. concerning license classes. There are codeless techs that can run
> > rings around me, and I can run rings around most of the extras. I'm not
> > talking Morse, but I could there too.
>
> Superiority based on either Morse code or the contents of the new or
> old tests is shallow superiority indeed.
>
> Thinking that such things impart superiority is even shallower.
>
> > I can assure you, anyone with a "holier than thou" attitude on one group
I
> > co-own will be gone in a heartbeat. We all have enough troubles without
> > some idiot adding to the garbage.
>
> Yeah!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Hello, Mike

True enough. Interestingly, although I had some problems with The Calculus,
I've overcome much of it in the past 3 months simply because I suddenly
found it "interesting".

As to education, I'm surprised at the number of folks with "associates" or "baccalaureate" degrees that are totally lacking in the sciences. They do seem proficient, however, at "cut and paste".

Most interesting.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2352. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 00:55:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Mf6pe.73$qO5.4843612@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109585

Jim:

Hey!!! george (our fearless leader) said that same thing... Art Bell said, "If george said it, it must be true." ... well, maybe something like that... <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:ZW5pe.307$Xw5.3@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1118020621.515819.274840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > When the data attempts to force the fact that doom is
>> > comming--re-arrange the data and argue like hell!!!
>>
>> And make counter-accusations.
>>
>> Of course, that is
>> > how the doom occurred in the first place!
>> >
>> > John
>>
>> If only they could ration the rationalization.
>>
>
> There ain't no rationalization here, this is *religion*, pure and
> simple ;)
>
> Either yer fer us or agin us!
>
> LOL
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

2353. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 00:56:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8h6pe.74$MG5.4816007@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109586

Jim:

I just kiss the ground our five-foot-one-inch-tall fearless leader walks on... (well, something like that...) <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:Ua5pe.301$pw5.183@news02.roc.ny...
> John,
>
> Are you an advisor to President Bush?
>
>
>
> Best regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
> ps - the sky is falling, the sky is falling!
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:2nLoe.60$FK7.5563495@news.sisna.com...
>> When the data attempts to force the fact that doom is
>> comming--re-arrange the data and argue like hell!!! Of course, that
>> is
>> how the doom occurred in the first place!
>>
>> John
>>
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> news:1118011015.971368.283100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Jun 1, 9:15 pm
>> >
>> >>These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
>> >>by individuals on the stated dates:
>> >>As of May 14, 2000:
>> >
>> > <deleted, four-year-old data, grace periods are only 2 years>
>> >
>> >>As of May 31, 2005:
>> >>
>> >>Novice - 28,370 (decrease of 20,959)

>> >>Technician - 268,575 (increase of 63,181)
>> >>Technician Plus - 49,098 (decrease of 79,762)
>> >>General - 136,581 (increase of 23,904)
>> >>Advanced - 76,119 (decrease of 23,663)
>> >>Extra - 106,707 (increase of 27,957)
>> >>
>> >>Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,673 (decrease of 16,581)
>> >>
>> >>Total all classes - 665,450 (decrease of 9,342)
>> >
>> >
>> >>Note that these totals do not include licenses that
>> >>have expired but are in the grace period. They also
>> >>do not include club, military, RACES or other
>> >>station-only licenses
>> >
>> > That's simply untrue, N...@aol.com. Military "calls" are
>> > assigned by the MILITARY. FCC has NO legal jurisdiction
>> > over USA military OR government radio.
>> >
>> >>Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new Novice, Technician
>> >>Plus
>> >>or
>> >>Advanced licenses are no longer issued, and that all existing
>> >>Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician.
>> >
>> > An absolutely IMPORTANT clarification to obscure the
>> > fact that no-code-test Technicians are condescendingly
>> > sponged into the MORSE-TESTED totals.
>> >
>> > Here's the totals of ALL AMATEUR licenses as given by
>> > www.hamdata.com as of 5 June 2005, with "delta" relative
>> > to those same classes two years ago...and the percentage
>> > of total 2005-date licenses less 9,550 "Club" calls:
>> >
>> > Class Licenses Delta Percentage
>> > Technician (no-code-test) 293,613 +19,932 40.64
>> > Technician Plus 56,161 -19,480 7.77
>> > Novice 34,116 -8,331 4.72
>> > General 144,802 +1,855 20.30
>> > Advanced 82,902 -3,322 11.43
>> > Extra 109,325 +3,678 15.13
>> >
>> > Total Less "Club" calls 722,452 -5,668* 99.99**
>> >
>> > * 2003 all-license totals were 736,616 or which 8,496 were
>> > "Club" calls so the Delta for comparison is 728,120.
>> >
>> > ** Percentage totals do not add up to precisely 100% due
>> > to arithmetic round-off to hundredths.

>> >
>> > Note: "Club" calls include all the non-individual license
>> > grants.
>> >
>> > As of the hamdata.com figures for this Sunday, 5 Jun 05,
>> > NO-CODE-TEST Technician Class licensees outnumber General
>> > Class licensees by an almost exact 2:1 ratio. [General
>> > class licensees WERE the largest in old days, no more]
>> >
>> > Nota Bene: The total licenses for the no-code-test
>> > Technician Class, 293,613, do NOT include the "Tech Plus"
>> > total licenses of 56,161. [let's stop this foolish
>> > "lumping-together" by rather obvious PCTAs in trying to
>> > embelish the sanctity and nobility of morsemanship]
>> >
>> > ALL license classes in the Technician (no-code-test),
>> > General, and Amateur Extra classes have the SAME grace
>> > period. If current Novice or Advanced license holders
>> > don't RETEST, they go bye-bye, get defunct, disappear
>> > from that great database.
>> >
>> > The current percentage of NO-CODE-TEST Technician class
>> > licensees now make up slightly over FORTY PERCENT of all
>> > classes. It is obviously the most populous of ALL classes
>> > and CONTINUES TO GROW. Neglecting that singular LARGE
>> > class of radio amateurs is foolish pipe-dreaming or weird
>> > personal fantasizing.
>> >
>> > We now return you to the regularly scheduled PCTA
>> > rationalization party in progress...
>> >
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

2354. Re: Indian call centres

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 00:57:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ri6pe.355$2e7.281@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109587

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:M2Eoe.9$I14.3@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> <helmsman@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:6rr5a1d6ugf8jkk843pphsk60sut5v7fnj@4ax.com...
> > uncle arnie <unclearnie@nowhere.at.all> wrote:
> >
> > >Those who are interested, here's a link to a radio program about Indian
> call
> > >centres and the social conditions that bring them to India.
> > >
> > >http://www.cbc.ca/dispatches/summer2003.html
> > >
> > >This is the text, search for India and you'll come to the real audio
> link:
> > >
> > >College graduates often complain how hard it is to find a job these
days.
> > >But imagine living in India, where unemployment among young people can
be
> > >more than 50 percent.
> > >
> > >Getting work on the subcontinent is kind of like staying dry in monsoon
> > >season.
> > >
> > >Except in the southern city of Bangalore, where the CBC's Mihira
Lakshman
> > >says the ability to sound like you're from the American heartland, is
the
> > >key to a whole new kind of career.
> >
> > Depending on what you are calling about the last time I called for
> > assistance with my Dell was last month the call went to Manilla. The
> > month before that it was Deli.
> >
>
> It will generally depend upon the time of day that you are calling in for
> assistance. Companies route their calls to the area of the world that is
> "awake" when you are calling.
>
> Mind everyone on ths thread: I keep seeing posts as though it is only
> computer help that has call centers in foreign lands. That is incorrect.
> There's a huge swing in the United States to outsource
any--*any*--telephone
> contact to foreign "BPOs" (Business Process Outsourcers). That means any

> customer service you can think of, is more than likely being answered
> overseas. Why? It's not that call centers here in the United States
can't
> be personed (being politically correct) 24-hrs a day. It's the bottom
line.
> It's supposedly cheaper to have the work done overseas. I doubt that it
is,
> given frustration levels of customers and, more definitely, the long term
> effect of taking all that kind of work out of this country, putting people
> out of work, and ultimately destroying your own customer base by not being
> able to sell product. However, the short-term, money hungry, uncaring CEO
> and affiliated BOD who are lining their pockets with their savings don't
> care.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

Hello, Kim

A few years ago, I was with AT&T. It took, perhaps, only a minute or so to be connected with the help desk.

I left for DSL and after two years went back to dial-up. Frontier (the DSL provider) was good with the help desk. I only needed it once when they changed my ISP address.

I needed the help desk once with AT&T. Reasonably fast, but obviously Indian in origin, they kept offering me all of these "extras". Heck, I had a problem with newgroups. Oh, no newsgroups. OK.

Well, I went back to Frontier. I received a new modem, but called the help desk to see if I could use the old one from two years before. They answered immediately. It was a local help desk. Yes, I could.

Well, no DSL signal. I called again and they informed me it would be turned on two days later. Fine. I hung up and started watching television.

Suddenly, there were some "clicks" on the telephones in the house. I became suspicious and turned on the modem. Sure enough, it synchronized with a DSL signal. I turned on the computer and the internet came up with a rush that I wasn't used to. A 3 megabaud pipe between me and the Internet. I was up and running! Oh yes, free newsgroups :)

For this change from "decent" help support from India to "immediate" local response and a change from 56k to 3,000k I had in increase from $15.00 per month to $30.00 per month (ok, $29.95). I can handle that ;)

You betcha. This short sighted sh*t will catch up with various companies.

Meanwhile, I'm waiting for my "agreement" to not work for a competitor to end (24 months). I am thinking seriously of e-mailing a most interesting program to a competitor. It was developed entirely at home on my computer

with my (purchased and legal) software. If they wish, they (the foreign competitor) can mail me a dollar. No American company would pay me for it, so the foreigners can have it; the former employer can deal with it later ;)

In the meantime, by not working, not only don't I have to pay taxes off the top (and worry about paying for gasoline off what I am left), I may be entitled to free care at the V.A. next year! Of course, I'll still have to pay co-payments for prescriptions, but WTH.

Also, I am keeping up the modest payments to maintain my health coverage from my former employer. Life is sweet :))

All I need is 4 more years to Social Insecurity.

As was stated in one of the "Startrek" movies, revenge is a dish best served cold.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2355. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 01:15:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <hz6pe.82$5M6.4982805@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109588

Can you use that lawyer like a suppository type laxative, may help with
the problem of you being full of chit....

Warmest regards,
John
"Todd" <todd@nospam.moc> wrote in message
news:d82n7r$c3s$2@domitilla.aioe.org...
> IS EVERYTHING YOU NOT WANT BITCH. I GOT LAYWER NOW.
>

2356. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 01:17:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118107077.094863.281000@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109589

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K0HB" on Mon 6 Jun 2005 03:14

> ><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
> >> 4) Reducing the license test requirements has not brought sustained
> >> growth to US amateur radio.
> >
> >Does Amateur Radio need to grow?
> >
> >If so, why?
>
> 1. In order to be at the same percentage as OTHER radio users;
> the FCC regulates ALL civil radio in the USA and the
> population of the USA is (keeping on) increasing. To be
> competitive just to retain desired bands against all other
> services requires a justification in citizen participants in
> amateur radio...which means it must at least keep up with
> the increasing population.

The total number of amateur radio licensees as a percentage of the
US population is only one factor in the regulatory process. Other
factors include the number of *active* licensees, the way the
allocated spectrum is used, compliance with FCC rules,
the needs and wants of the ARS vs. other services, new and old
technologies, to name but a few.

> 2. To retain a sizeable market for both equipment, components,
> and publications aimed at radio amateurs. There are many,
> many, many markets for electronics items today, much more
> so than a half century ago.

Agreed. However, the variety of equipment available to radio
amateurs today far exceeds that of the past, and the cost in
constant dollars is far less.

> Manufacturers of equipment,
> components, and publications desire a large enough
> market to enable profit; shrinking market numbers are
> coincident with reduced profit and that be a no-no.

Yet even small companies serving niches in the amateur radio
market have demonstrated they can survive.

> 3. Actuarial tables will show that normal life span is going
> to have a greater effect on an activity where the

> participants are above the median population age...which
> increases the probability of earlier attrition of numbers.

In other words, the older the population, the more of 'em are
going to die off sooner.

> [regardless of egregious boasting or frequent, vociferous
> denial, radio amateurs will NOT live forever]

Who boasted that?

> Note:
> amateur radio demographics indicate the participants are
> older than the national population median age.

It would be interesting to see detailed statistics on that. Can you
provide them, Len?

Two caveats on median age comparisons:

1) There are relatively few radio amateurs younger
than about 10 years of age. So any comparison
to the general population should be adjusted to
compensate for the fact that the median age of the
US population can reasonably be expected to
be lower than that of an activity such as amateur
radio.

2) The source of amateur radio licensee age
statistics can be problematic. The FCC has
changed its policy on birthdate information
as part of the requirements, so some licensees
ages are known and others are unknown, making
the FCC database a problematic source of
licensee age information.
Surveys and polls may or may not be a
representative sample of the amateur radio
population.

A more illuminating statistic, IMHO, would be to compare
the distribution of the ages of amateur radio operators
to the distribution of the ages of the general population.

> 4. Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY.

For many if not most radio amateurs, that is true. But there
is a significant public service element to the amateur radio
service that is not a part of most other "hobby" activities.

> There are many more
> types and kinds of activity available to the population
> today versus a half century ago and that is competition
> for available free time for hobbyists.

There are also more hobbyists and more free time. More retirees
and semi-retirees. People are living longer and staying active longer.

> Greater numbers
> of amateur radio participants will increase exposure and
> possible interest to the general public, demonstrate to
> government agencies for favorable decisions in favor of
> amateur radio participants.

That is true only if the "greater numbers" are active, visible, and
present a positive image to the general public and government
agencies.

> Note: The ARRL membership
> as of the end of 2004 was only 140 thousand while the
> Academy of Model Aeronautics, the USA national membership
> organization of model airplane flyers was 175 thousand
> at that same time. [source: website statements of both
> organizations]

An interesting statistic, but of itself tells little. Additional
information is
needed to understand the full meaning.

For example, what does it cost to be a member of the Academy of
Model Aeronautics? How long is a membership good for? What services
does the Academy offer its membership?

> 5. The no-code-test Technician Class license has only been
> available for 14 years and (as of Sunday, 5 Jun 05) already
> has 293,613 licensees out of a total of 722,452 individual
> licenses in the USA.

The above numbers include expired-but-in-the-grace-period
licenses as well as current (unexpired) licenses. The number of
current licenses is significantly lower.

The term "no-code-test Technician Class" is not entirely accurate.
An unknown number of amateur whose license class is Technician
have passed a code test. These include:

- Former Novices who passed the Technician written test after April
15, 2000

- Former Technician Plus licensees who renewed their licenses after
April
15, 2000

- Technician licensees who passed the code test after April 1, 2000 but
who
have not upgraded to a higher license class.

> Had that not been available, the
> total number of amateur licenses would have SHRUNK by a
> sizeable number.

This statement is an opinion, not a fact. There is no way to know for sure
what would have happened.

However, it should be noted that, in the 9 years and two months from February 14, 1991
to April 15, 2000, the overall growth in the number of FCC issued amateur radio licenses
was less in both total number of licenses and percentage growth, than the growth for an equal period of time before February 14, 1991. (That date is when the Technician class license no longer required a code test).

In addition, there has been a net loss of total FCC amateur radio licenses held by individuals since the restructuring of April 2000. Despite reductions in both code and written testing, and the reduction of license classes open to newcomers, growth has
not occurred.

> The exact amount of shrinkage is
> unknown since it is impossible to accurately predict an
> alternate future.

There is a logical contradiction here.

First Len claimed that a "shrinkage" (loss of total number of licenses) would
have occurred if the Technician had retained its 5 wpm code test. Then, he
admits that it is impossible to accurately predict an alternate future.

Both statements cannot be simultaneously true. One of them must be incorrect.

> That shrinkage could, at worst case,
> reduced the Sunday totals to 428,839 total individual
> licenses, a drop to 59.36 percent.

The preceding statement is incorrect.

The 293,613 Technician class licenses cited above are not all "no-code-test" licenses. Therefore, had the Technician retained its code test, at least some of those 293,613 would still be licensed amateurs. An additional unknown number would have earned licenses regardless of the code test.

> Currently (as of
> Sunday, 5 Jun 05) the no-code-test Technician class
> license represents 40.64 percent of the total individual
> license grants.

The preceding statement does not recognize the fact that not all licenses of the Technician class are "no code test". It is therefore misleading to the point of possibly being incorrect.

As the FCC continues to renew all Technician Plus licenses
as Technician, the number of code-tested Technicians
continues to grow.

> 6. The availability of communications resources to the general
> public has greatly expanded in the last half century.

As it did in the half-century preceding ...

> As
> of the end of 2003 there were 100 MILLION cellular telephone
> subscriptions in the USA. [USA Census Bureau statement in
> early 2004] One in five families in the USA has SOME
> access to the Internet. [Census Bureau, same statement as
> for cellular telephony] Self-service facsimile machines
> are common in chain drugstores and office supply stores.
> Every government agency and nearly all military units of
> battalion size or equivalent have websites in the USA.
> Direct-dial-telephone service is available to all telephone
> subscribers in the USA from small towns to large urban
> areas; that includes direct dialing to foreign telephone
> subscribers.

None of these are radio services that require licensing by the user.
Indeed, most of them are not radio services at all.

The only real significance of these communications alternatives
to amateur radio growth is that they are additional choices for the
person whose primary interest is the message rather than the medium.
For the person who is more interested in "radio for its own sake",
they are not a substitute.

Consider the analogy of water transport. For millenia, watercraft were
propelled by wind, muscles (human or animal) and/or water currents.
Traveling by water meant those motive power sources and no others.

Then the invention of steam and internal-combustion engines created
a whole new set of alternatives. In less than a century, most water
transportation abandoned wind and muscle power entirely, in favor
of fossil-fueled and even nuclear-powered engines.

Yet sailboats, rowboats and canoes still exist. They are most used
by those for whom the journey is more than simply getting from
Point A to Point B.

> The number of "eleven meter" CB transceivers
> in use in the USA is roughly 5 MILLION (electronic industry
> estimates several years ago);

There is no way to know for sure how many of these are actually
in use, because there is no license procedure for that radio
service. The number quoted is a decline from the boom
years of the "cb craze", about 30 years ago. Despite the low cost
of cb equipment, the lack of licensing and rules enforcement, and

the widespread availability, the cb service has been in decline from
its peak for a couple of decades now - while the population considers
to increase.

> "CB" has existed for 47
> years.

Citizens band allocations in the 27 MHz region were created 47 years
ago,
but the service goes back to 1948, when UHF allocations were created by
FCC. The current GMRS and FRS allocations are the direct descendants
of those 1948 allocations.

> FRS and GMRS handheld, average 5-mile range, are
> available in consumer electronics stores/departments for
> less than $100 a pair (no license required for FRS radios).
> Throughout the USA public safety agencies have some form
> of non-amateur radio communications, as do utility,
> transportation, highway maintenance industries; the business
> and government radio market has long been established in
> the USA and major countries in the world.

Yet in emergency situations, the amateur radio service continues to
perform public service.

> As an adjunct to several items in competition for advertising
> income necessary to sustain some publications, it should be
> pointed out that the number of printed periodicals in the
> USA has tripled (almost quadrupled) in the last half century.
> Add to that the competiiton from the Internet ad markets since
> the public release of the Internet in 1991 and the advertising
> space purchasers are spread over a large number of venues.
> In USA amateur radio periodicals, Ham Radio, Ham Radio Horizons,
> 73, and CQ VHF have all been forced to close due to insufficient
> income from ad sales. [QEX and Communications Quarterly, a
> attempt at some resumption of Ham Radio magazine content, were
> joined, but with marginal success on ad space sales] Note:
> HR, 73, CQ are all "independent" periodical publishers whose
> operating income is dependent entirely on advertising space
> sales.

The previous statement is obviously incorrect, since none of the
above mentioned are free publications. It is obvious that "operating
income" includes all income available for the production of a
publication - advertising, subscriptions, etc.

> Some non-amateur-specific periodicals such as Popular
> Communications have enjoyed an increase in readership and
> drawing more ad space purchasers. Marketing follows trends and
> interests generated through advertising and adjusts product
> prices accordingly. Advertising, though irritating to some, is
> a good barometer of the "product weather."

>
> To sustain at least the status quo, U.S. amateur radio license
> numbers must follow the population increase. To be competitive
> for both attention and product pricing, as well as for favorable
> regulations and new products, the license numbers must grow.

This may or may not be true. Simply increasing the number of
licenses may not result in a larger market for equipment or
publications, nor a more-favorable regulatory climate. The 27 MHz
cb example is not what amateur radio should emulate.

> Individual radio amateurs have expressed an opinion that growth
> should NOT happen.

Who would that be, Len? What did they say, exactly?

> Those may be looking at their own activities
> without regard for the overall national picture or advances in
> overall communications capabilities. To them everything is
> "comfortable" as it is.

Perhaps. It is important, however, to evaluate whether proposed
changes will actually bring growth, and also whether there will
be negative effects connected with the proposed changes that
will negate the positive effects of growth.

Greater quantity will not help the ARS if the quality suffers too
much.

> Those same "comfortable as it is"
> amateurs will begin to attrit in a decade or two and their
> numbers will drop.

Who are you referring to, Len?

> That will shrink the number of licensees
> despite the recent increases due almost entirely to the no-code-
> test licensees of the last 14 years.

In the past 5 years, the number of US hams has decreased, not
increased. The total
number of Technicians and Technician Pluses is lower now than 5 years
ago.

> Shrinkage in numbers due
> to old-timers leaving must be offset by more than just that "new"
> no-code-test license class.

What solution do you propose?

2357. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 01:37:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118108279.270125.148020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109590

From: "K4YZ" on Mon 6 Jun 2005 04:24

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> on 4 Jun 2005 11:13:35 -0700

> >>I<< can't "insult" anyone who doesn't present the opportunity to
>>>BE<< insulted, Lennie.
>
> You lie. You deceive. You attempt to humiliate other's sincerity
>and accomplishments for your own demeaning reasons.
>
> You ARE your own worst enemy.

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

2358. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 01:41:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118108501.526326.241020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109591

From: kelly@dvol.com on Mon 6 Jun 2005 08:11

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>> > "Going back" ain't gonna happen but let's not dig this one up for the
>> > umpteenth time.

> "How To Become A Radio Amateur"
> "Learning The Radiotelegraph Code"
> "Understanding Amateur Radio"
> "So You Want To Be A Ham"
> "ABC's of Hma Radio"

What Asian (?) country publishes book #5? Very hard to pronounce...

LenAnderson@ieee.org :-)

2359. Re: Before Len nit picks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 01:43:37 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118108617.585368.259730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109592

From: K4YZ on Jun 6, 3:00 am

>bb wrote:
>> Leo wrote:
>> > On 5 Jun 2005 05:41:50 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> > >Leo, what word would be more appropriate than "bullshit" to
>> > >describe that claim?
>
>> > Incorrect? As in "that claim is incorrect...."
>
>> I'm with you on that one, Leo.
>
>> But instead of "that claim is incorrect," we are treated to LIAR,
>> and/or Gay Man accusations from Jim's little puppet. Jim >>>IS<<<
>> Quitefine with it all.
>
>
> Nooooooooooo.......

Yaaasssssssssssssss.............

> You're treated to "liar" after you've made a claim and then failed
>to back it up with at least SOME form of reasonable corroboration.

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

WHERE and WHEN were Stebie's CLAIMED "seven hostile actions"?

> Saying "My opinion is..." is one thing. That's black and white.

Okay, now we understand...you no longer CLAIM "seven hostile
actions"...that is just YOUR OPINION. OK.

> Saying "ARES is overblown and it's members wontt/can't respond" in
>the face of almost weekly evidence to the contrary and then not
>acknowledging it is just stupid.

"Wontt"? :-)

"Weekly evidence?" There are large-enough emergencies EVERY
WEEK in the USA that require amateur radio communications
assistance? [I don't think so...not even "almost"...]

Is it YOUR OPINION that you were an "Assistant" NCOIC at a
MARS station 24 years ago?

Do you acknowledge that the U.S. military runs MARS or is it
still YOUR OPINION that "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?"

Temper fry.

2360. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 01:48:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <bo-dnaoUj-VhYTnfRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109593

```
Jim Hampton wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:WvCdnT3LC5GUOT7fRVn-pA@adelphia.com...
>
>>Jim Hampton wrote:
>>
>>>Hello, Kim
>>>
>>>Dang, top post or bottom post, but who cares?
>>>
>>>Reality is that they changed the rules and it cut my pension by around
>
> $300
>
>>>per month! I was lucky, however. A few folks were just shy off 55 (one
>
> guy
>
>>>was 2 weeks short of 55) and they get no health coverage nor life
>
> insurance.
>
>>>They pulled the plug a bit late on me. Although not big, I'm looking at
>>>over $300 per week after taxes. After working a contract job at $13.00
>
> per
>
>>>hour (what a whack in pay!) and we all were let go after 3 months, I've
>>>decided to heck with it. I can live on the $300 to $350 per week. I've
>>>only a few years before Social Insecurity and I have health insurance.
>
> I
>
>>>have to make adjustments, but I can pay my taxes.
>>>
>>>I'm certain that changes will occur when enough folks get hurt. I'm
>
> just
>
>>>tired of banging my head into a brick wall. I read the same old tired
>>>threads and the claims that the left-wing groups lied when they reported
>>>stories. Certainly mistakes have been made, but I cannot help but note
>
> that
```

>
>>>as time goes by and more stories leak out, it would appear that some
>
> folks
>
>>>will just not let go of the official stance. Whether amateur radio or
>
> the
>
>>>current administration.
>>>
>>>I did have a laugh. Years ago, I wrote a program which generated ladder
>>>code for a programmable ladder controller. I could do the work of 8
>
> hours
>
>>>of tool engineering time in 15 minutes. A lot of credit was given to
>
> other
>
>>>folks. Amazingly, the program "disappeared".
>>>
>>>I had left and then went back to that company some 7 years later. When
>
> our
>
>>>department was getting ready to close, I spoke with one supervisor about
>
> the
>
>>>fact that the programming in the controllers was terrible and I could
>>>increase production about 20% (I proved a 50% increase back in 1982).
>
> When
>
>>>he suggested we approach the manager I told him the truth. It wouldn't
>
> save
>
>>>his job nor mine. I let it go. I honestly don't care and after the
>>>requisite two years I will e-mail the code to a competitor. A foreign
>>>competitor. I generally avoid confrontation and tend to not be nasty,
>
> but
>
>>>in this case .... :))
>>>
>>>Make my day.
>>>
>>>I have generally found that the well educated are either labeled as

>
> "geeks"
>
>>>or "left-wing radicals". The self-righteous folks haven't seen real
>
> flack.
>
>>>It is about time that they did.
>>
>>So you noticed that education is a "bad thing" too, eh, Jim?
>>
>>
>>>I've seen good old "Dave" talking about "old timers". He's off by a
>
> mile,
>
>>>but anyone with a ticket should be welcomed and accepted with none of
>
> the
>
>>>B.S. concerning license classes. There are codeless techs that can run
>>>rings around me, and I can run rings around most of the extras. I'm not
>>>talking Morse, but I could there too.
>>
>>Superiority based on either Morse code or the contents of the new or
>>old tests is shallow superiority indeed.
>>
>>Thinking that such things impart superiority is even shallower.
>>
>>
>>>I can assure you, anyone with a "holier than thou" attitude on one group
>
> I
>
>>>co-own will be gone in a heartbeat. We all have enough troubles without
>>>some idiot adding to the garbage.
>>
>>Yeah!
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Hello, Mike
>
> True enough. Interestingly, although I had some problems with The Calculus,
> I've overcome much of it in the past 3 months simply because I suddenly
> found it "interesting".
>
> As to education, I'm surprised at the number of folks with "associates" or
> "baccalaureate" degrees that are totally lacking in the sciences. They do

> seem proficient, however, at "cut and paste".

Science is troublesome for "modern" humans. While so many enjoy
technology, they get rapidly uncomfortable with the underlying science.

Don't worry though, we're moving to "Faith Based Science". The pilot
program is going on right now in Kansas.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2361. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 02:22:39 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118110959.490030.309340@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109594

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: kelly@dvol.com on Mon 6 Jun 2005 08:11
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> >> > "Going back" ain't gonna happen but let's not dig this one up for the
> >> > umpteenth time.
> >
> >
> > > "How To Become A Radio Amateur"
> > > "Learning The Radiotelegraph Code"
> > > "Understanding Amateur Radio"
> > > "So You Want To Be A Ham"
> > > "ABC's of Hma Radio"
> >
> What Asian (?) country publishes book #5? Very hard to pronounce...

Was published by the Relayless Hma Radio League of the Principality of
the Third Dynasty Hmong up in the hmountains back in their Indochina
days. They hmoved to hMinneapolis years ago.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org :-)

2362. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 02:43:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118112182.776509.39440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109595

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Jun 1, 9:15 pm
>
> >These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses
> >held by individuals on the stated dates:
> >As of May 14, 2000:
>
> <deleted, four-year-old data, grace periods are only 2 years>

May 14, 2000 was five years ago, Len. And the purpose of posting
those numbers is to have a comparison with today's numbers.

> >As of May 31, 2005:

> >Novice - 28,370 (decrease of 20,959)
> >Technician - 268,575 (increase of 63,181)
> >Technician Plus - 49,098 (decrease of 79,762)
> >General - 136,581 (increase of 23,904)
> >Advanced - 76,119 (decrease of 23,663)
> >Extra - 106,707 (increase of 27,957)

> >Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,673 (decrease of 16,581)

> >Total all classes - 665,450 (decrease of 9,342)

> >Note that these totals do not include licenses that
> >have expired but are in the grace period. They also
> >do not include club, military, RACES or other
> >station-only licenses
>
> That's simply untrue, N...@aol.com.

N2EY is my callsign.

> Military "calls" are
> assigned by the MILITARY.

The totals above "do not include club, military, RACES or other
station-only licenses".

What is untrue about what I wrote?

> FCC has NO legal jurisdiction
> over USA military OR government radio.

See post by K0HB on this subject.
>
> >Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new Novice,

> >Technician Plus or
> >Advanced licenses are no longer issued, and that all existing
> >Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician.
>
> An absolutely IMPORTANT clarification to obscure the
> fact that no-code-test Technicians are condescendingly
> sponged into the MORSE-TESTED totals.

It's important simply because the license class "Technician" includes
both code-tested and noncodetested amateurs.

> Here's the totals of ALL AMATEUR licenses as given by
> www.hamdata.com as of 5 June 2005, with "delta" relative
> to those same classes two years ago

These totals *include* expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses.

> ...and the percentage
> of total 2005-date licenses less 9,550 "Club" calls:
>
> Class Licenses Delta Percentage
> Technician (no-code-test) 293,613 +19,932 40.64
> Technician Plus 56,161 -19,480 7.77

Note that the decline in Technician Plus license numbers almost
exactly matches the increase in Technician license numbers.

> Novice 34,116 -8,331 4.72
> General 144,802 +1,855 20.30
> Advanced 82,902 -3,322 11.43
> Extra 109,325 +3,678 15.13
>
> Total Less "Club" calls 722,452 -5,668* 99.99**
>
> * 2003 all-license totals were 736,616 or which 8,496 were
> "Club" calls so the Delta for comparison is 728,120.
>
> ** Percentage totals do not add up to precisely 100% due
> to arithmetic round-off to hundredths.
>
> Note: "Club" calls include all the non-individual license
> grants.
>
> As of the hamdata.com figures for this Sunday, 5 Jun 05,
> NO-CODE-TEST Technician Class licensees outnumber General
> Class licensees by an almost exact 2:1 ratio. [General
> class licensees WERE the largest in old days, no more]

The license class "Technician" includes both code-tested and
noncodetested amateurs. If the FCC does not change the rules,
there will be no more Technician Plus licenses less than 5
years from now, because they all will have been renewed as

Technicians.
>
> Nota Bene: The total licenses for the no-code-test
> Technician Class, 293,613, do NOT include the "Tech Plus"
> total licenses of 56,161.

But they *do* include all former Technician Plus licenses that
were renewed after April 15, 2000 but not upgraded since then.

And they include all former Novice licenses upgraded to Technician
after April 15, 2000 but not upgraded since then.

It is incorrect to say that Technicians are all "no-code-test" because
some of them are code tested.

> [let's stop this foolish
> "lumping-together" by rather obvious PCTAs in trying to
> embelish the sanctity and nobility of morsemanship]

FCC has been renewing all Technician Pluses as Technicians since
April 15, 2000. They are doing the "lumping".
>
> ALL license classes in the Technician (no-code-test),
> General, and Amateur Extra classes have the SAME grace
> period.

All amateur radio licenses have the same grace period - two years.

> If current Novice or Advanced license holders
> don't RETEST, they go bye-bye, get defunct, disappear
> from that great database.

The previous statement is incorrect.

Current Novice and Advanced licensees can renew and modify their
licenses indefinitely, and retain their operating privileges. There is
no retesting requirement for them to retain their current operating
privileges.

Current Technician Plus licensees can renew and modify their
licenses indefinitely, and retain their operating privileges. There is
no retesting requirement for them to retain their current operating
privileges. However, their licenses will be
renewed as Technician.

> The current percentage of NO-CODE-TEST Technician class
> licensees now make up slightly over FORTY PERCENT of all
> classes.

The license class "Technician" includes both code-tested and
noncodetested amateurs.

It is incorrect to say that Technicians are all "no-code-test" because
some of them are code tested.

> It is obviously the most populous of ALL classes
> and CONTINUES TO GROW.

It grows from three sources:

1) New licensees
2) Upgrades from Novices
3) Renewals of Technician Plus as Technician.

> Neglecting that singular LARGE
> class of radio amateurs is foolish pipe-dreaming or weird
> personal fantasizing.

What "neglect", Len? They are listed along with all others.

> We now return you to the regularly scheduled PCTA
> rationalization party in progress...

No rationalization on my part, Len. The numbers I post are what they
say: current, unexpired licenses held by individuals. I do not include
expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses, nor club or other
non-individual licenses.

2363. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 03:03:11 UTC
From: K<unk>HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <P78pe.376$jX6.132@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109596

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> If current Novice or Advanced license holders
> don't RETEST, they go bye-bye, get defunct, disappear
> from that great database.

That's simply UNTRUE!

Again you display your half-vast ability to understand the FCC rules.

Current Novice and current Advanced license holders can renew at their current class until they no longer take nourishment and assume room temperature. No RETEST required.

Don't you just hate it when facts spoil your ill-researched rants?

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2364. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 03:09:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Qd8pe.382$jX6.242@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109597

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> All USA radio amateurs in their grace period are in limbo and
> don't count for anything.
>

That's absolutely correct, insofar as it refers to the Amateur Radio census.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2365. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 03:35:16 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <UB8pe.625$bv7.209@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 109598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050606194430.499$54@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050606191319.860$GD@newsreader.com...
>> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> > > "Mr Germanium" <ck722a0006@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> > > > WOW !!!!
>> > > >
>> > > > A Freeband REPEATER :)
>> > > >
>> > > > Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!
>> > > >
>> > > > Does it operate 24/7 ?
>> > > >
>> > >
>> > > Mr. Germanium says it all.
>> > >
>> > > With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>> > > Jim AA2QA
>> > >
>> > > ps - why Mr. Germanium says it all will, unfortunately, be over the
>> heads
>> > > of many in the groups. It will not be over the heads of the
>> > > technically astute.
>> > >
>> > Hello Jim.
>> >
>> > I luv purple geraniums. They is pretty!
>>
>> Hello, Mopar
>>
>> I suspect strongly that you are one of the group(s) that didn't have this
>> one go over your head :))
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim
>>
> Duuno 'nuttin bout dat. I like cabbage as landscaping too. <the musical
> fruit>
>
> I'm scarfing some cooked cabbage/noodles/bacon/olive oil whilst' I type

> this.. Looks like some keyboard maintenace may be in order after I finish
> too. yum! :)

LMAO!!!! too funny.

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

2366. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 03:50:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050606235041.333$NU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 109599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > I'm scarfing some cooked cabbage/noodles/bacon/olive oil whilst' I type
> > this.. Looks like some keyboard maintenace may be in order after I
> > finish too. yum! :)
>
> LMAO!!!! too funny.
>
> Landshark
>
Pull my finger. hehe

2367. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 04:39:55 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DK5RAS" <nospam@radio-link.net>
Message-ID: <i89aa19pubcl00fib2ttm0s4somi7vg27n@4ax.com>
Source record: 109600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I luv purple geraniums. They is pretty!

I do not; they stink.

regards - Ralph

--

Want to get in touch? http://www.radio-link.net/whereisralph.txt

2368. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 05:37:05 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <5oape.325$8R5.249@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109601

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Bs5pe.356$VK4.345@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:SGNoe.282$2e7.156@news01.roc.ny...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:MfLoe.16012$w21.10716@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> >> news:OsJoe.229$fI4.189@news02.roc.ny...
> >> >
> >> > Oh, I almost forgot W2OY. He's been SK for many years now, but other
> > lids
> >> > have jumped right in to fill the gap.
> >>
> >> I remember his well. In fact I was insulted by him several times on 75
> > when
> >> he slapped me around for having a K8 call.....hi.
> >>
> >> Didn't a club pick up his call?
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Hello, Dan
> >
> > Yes, it is a club call now. OY jumped on my frequency once on 75 when I
> > was
> > chatting with a station in Ohio. Guess what? The Ohio station was a
good
> > cw op and we changed to cw. At first, I tried signing 73 with him at
> > about
> > 20 words per minute. He came back stating cw was fine with him. Inside
> > of
> > 30 seconds we kept asking each other "qrq?" and rapidly cranked up to
> > about
> > 40 words per minute. With the q-multiplier cranked up, I couldn't even
> > hear
> > W2OY. I briefly turned it down to hear him moaning and groaning for us
to
> > "take those toys down into the cw band!". LOL. He didn't bither us a
> > bot!

> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
> >
> And this after the goof jumped on top of your qso, my oh my a two letter
> suffix LID... hi.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Hello, Dan

Since you have been licensed since 1961, I shouldn't have to point out that there has never been a sanity test for an amateur radio license :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2369. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 05:39:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <4qape.326$zN5.316@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109602

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:ND5pe.363$VK4.108@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com...
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>>KC8GXW wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
> >>>>Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
> >>>>
> >>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>>>
> >>> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a >
give
> >>> away tech license! :)
> >>>
> >>>Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
> >>>what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.
> >>>
> >>>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>>
> >>
> >> I said that. And I mean it. The tests today are a joke. Why you
ask?
> >> Because the questions and answers are right there in front of the
person.
> >> Sure he has to study a lot of questions. But there they are on the
test.
> >> A give away.
> >>
> >> And lets not even talk about the CW situation.
> >>
> >> It's NOT numbers we need, it people that respect the traditions of ham
> >> radio and want to continue them. Its people that love ham radio and
> >> don't want to use to to order a pizza.
> >>
> >> Call me old fashioned and out of touch. It won't be the first time.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >>

> >>
> >
> > Is there really any need for much technical knowledge to obtain a ham
> > license anymore? Why a need for technical knowlege when setting up and
> > operating a station today is simply plug-an-play? How many hams constuct
> > any equipment they use on the air any more? The most tech knowledge that
> > might be required is maybe how to build and put up and adjust an
antenna.
> > Perhaps the test should focus more on rules, regulations and proper
> > operating procedures. The most technical that hams get today is knowing
> > how many frequencies they can store in the radios memory.
> >
>
> I am not all that concerned about the "technical knowledge" side of the
> test.
> I think basic technical knowledge is all that is really necessary. Like
> being
> able to cut a simple dipole, understand the terminology, have a working
> knowledge in block diagram format, for what is happening inside that
radio.
>
> And I totally agree that the test should be heavy on rules, regs, and
PROPER
> OPERATING PROCEDURES....like don't talk CB Crap on HF SSB. \
> >
> > As you say Dan, with the joke they use for testing today, no technical
> > knowledge is required anyway, just memorize the answers to the questions
> > and off you go. So maybe if the tests were geared more to regs and
> > operating procedures, then even with the memoriziation some of it might
> > soak in and maybe there would less cb type operating on the ham bands.
> >
> We agree it lots here, eh?
>
> > It is pretty bad when as I heard not long ago on a 2 meter reperter, "I
> > just got my license, can someone tell me what frequencies I can
operate?"
> > Cheeese.
> >
>
> Or what I heard on the 75meter EKTRA band......I wanna cut a new dipole
> for 80m phone (First off what is 80 meter phone?)(I always thought it was
> 75meter phone). Out of the 5 people in the group, one had it right.
> Amazing.
>
> > Even wogie wussman passed the test, that in itself speaks volumns about
> > how easy the they are.
>
> He should have done real good the second time around...yuk yuk.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

>
>

Hello, again, Dan

Yep, 75 is phone, 80 is CW. At least that was the reference back in the days.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2370. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 05:41:30 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <esape.373$2e7.347@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109603

Hello, Dan

How about 85 watts on 24 GHz?

Naw, nothing technical going on in amateur radio.

Just plug the mic thingy in here and stick the antenna thingy in there.

:))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:%O5pe.370$VK4.189@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> We have plenty of hi-tech stuff going on in Amateur Radio. Just not all
> that much at HF or on the two meter CB band of FM machines.
>
> Just off the top of my head.....ever hear of AMSAT? You know those
> satellite thingy's?
>
> Or how about digital voice on HF? Digital SlowScan? Or for that matter
> digital fast scan TV? Then of course the utter glut of new and
experimental
> digital modes....too many to even talk about here. Then don't forget the
> EME (moonbounce) with WSJT program that allows you to do what it took a KW
> and 4 / 16 element phased yagis to do just a decade ago, with only 150
> watts OR LESS?
>
> So lets not talk about hams not doing anything technical.
>
> Next BS line.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

2371. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 06:56:35 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns966E1DF9F31E5elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 109604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Aristotle <wandering_philosopher@socratic_disciplines.org> wrote in
news:5md4a19d1qknqed0ulu859n6m75s15kat3@4ax.com:

>
>>Most Indians speak very good English, at least in the cities, AFAIK.
>>I've never been to India, but all the Indians I've met elsewhere spoke
>>perfect English, albeit sometimes heavily accented. They have many
>>different languages and use English as a lingua franca to talk to other
>>Indians in their own country, so complete fluency is more the rule than
>>the exception.
>
> I know that most Indians speak good English, and I have no problem
> with their accent. But I had one once who apparently did not
> understand English. It was as if he was reading from a script and was
> expecting a certain answer back. It was VERY frustrating. Perhaps if
> these call centers were a little more discriminating in who they
> hired.
>
>
>
>
>
>

Reading from a script reminds me of encounters in various fast food places
that go something like this:-

Customer: I'd like a burger and fries please

Staff person: Would you like fries with that?

The moral is that you don't have to be ignorant of the language to be
reading from a script

2372. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 07:25:03 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1118129103.272857.114970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

JS,
.
John 'ff' Kerry always claimed that he had many
'private conversations' with Foreign Leaders.
.
John 'ff' Kerry also claimed that these Foreign
Leaders 'personally' supported him.
.
however, the american citizens who voted did not ~ RHF
. . . . .

2373. (OT) : Racist - The most over used Liberal "Hot Button" Word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 08:08:36 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1118131715.979535.324300@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109606

UA,
.
Lets see Asian, Caucasian, Negro are the three commonly accepted
Racial Groupings in most Science Books. The so called "Races" [.]
.
The use of the Term {Slang} Word "paki" for the Nation of Pakistan
* Maybe an expression of Nationalistic Bias and Bigotry.
* Maybe an expression of Religious Bias and Bigotry.
Note - Pakistani Citizens (Nationals) who are usually Muslim 97%
(Sunni 77%, Shi'a 20%), Christian, Hindu, and other 3%.
* Maybe an expression of Ethnic Bias and Bigotry.
Note - Punjabi, Sindhi, Pashtun (Pathan), Baloch, Muhajir
* May be All-of-the-Above.
But it is in-and-of-itself NOT a Racist Term.
Note - The Use of the Term "paki" may clearly show
(indicate) the user's 'personal' Bias and Bigotry.
.
SOURCE : Pakistan - The World Fact Book (CIA)
http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/geos/pk.html
.
But then again this Thread gave you cover to Write (Use) the "N" Word.
Or was this just your way of Monkeying Around ?.
.
racist - the most over used liberal hot button word ~ RHF
. . . . .

2374. Re: Look, it's "Hide Behind Useless Newsgroup FOD" Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 08:49:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118134162.430722.74770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109608

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> on Sun,Jun 5 2005 8:44 pm

> >> Ahem, "almost 20 years ago" would be about 1985. Class D CB
> >> was created in 1958...FORTY-SEVEN YEARS AGO. 27 years in
> >> between are unaccounted for in Stebie's statement.
> > >
> > >Steve is referring to 20 years prior to the advent of the No-Code
> > >Technician, which happened sometime in the early 90's, so his reference
> > >period is early 70's. Basically, he's saying that many, most, or all
> > >hams entereing the ARS since the early 70's have Bashed it and are
> > >worthless CBers.
> >
> > Stebie doesn't have the word-laying savvy to express much except
> > contempt for those who do not agree with him.

Some of the world's greatest "word-layers" were also some of it's
most horrific personalites..."you-know-who" in central Europe in the
late 20's to mid-forties comes to mind.

> The no-code-test Technician became effective in 1991.

Yes. Your point?

> Going back 20 years before that would start at 1971.

Very good, Lennie! They teach you that at night school engineer
class?

> "Eleven meter" CB had
> already existed for 13 years by 1971 and the off-shore transceivers
> were already well-established in the radio market then.

Uh huh...waiting for you to make a point other than mindlessly FOD
the group with irrelevent historical tidbits.

I am wondering how CB has anything to do with >>MY<< comments,
since I never mentioned CB radio...

> An early
> designer-manufacturer of CB radios, General Radiotelephone Co., of
> Burbank, CA, has already filed for bankruptcy and closed its
> corporation and location on Magnolia Blvd; the half-completed
> mast is still visible on the roof of that building today.

Whew...

For a minute there I thought you might surprise me and actaully
make a point...A RELATIVE point, that is...!

> >Of course, Cecil put all that nonsense to rest years and years ago, but
> >some people pesrist with wrong thoughts.
>
> I know. Many of us tried to show the truth in the non-amateur radio
> world. To little avail. Those who continue their myths will have
> none of the truth.

And there are non-Amateurs in this forum who would create and
disseminate their own myths.

Like Lennie Anderson.

> >> "11 meter 'operators?'" The original "11 meter" CB users
> >> required a license but never required any test. USERS.
> >> Absolutely NO written form of "radio knowledge" was
> >> required of any USER.
> >
> >> "Open pools?" There were "Q&A" books published before 1956
> >> on ALL FCC written tests. BEFORE the Dick Bash publications.
> >> That was way back in history when ALL the FCC radio regulations
> >> were supplied as three-ring binder, loose-leaf pages.
> >
> >ARRL, Ameco...
>
> For amateur radio written exams, yes. There was an earlier
> publisher of Q&A books which covered many different topics,
> including the FCC Commercial License written exams. I tried
> to obtain one of those in late February, 1956, couldn't and
> resorted to simply studying the entirety of the available FCC
> radio regulations of that time (quite small in comparison to
> the five-volume bound set of Title 47 today).

Whoa! We're (not) impressed.

BTW...I point out that I studied and prepared for my license the
old fashion way and you make light of it...So...What are we to do with
YOU, Licenseless One?

> >> All of those non-ham radios are in use by "riff-raff?"
> >> Dick Bash is "responsible" for all the Q&A books published
> >> in the 1950s? Yes to all implies the mighty Stebie,
> >> "speaker for all hams and the 'ham community'."
> >
> >Bash was a ham. A Mighty Morseman
>
> Irrelevant to those who need Hate Objects.

Every venue has it's bad apple...Amateur Radio had Bash...RRAP has
Lennie.

> Just as irrelevant to the fact that Bruce Perens, founder of
> the No Code International interest group was a 20 WPM code-
> tested Amateur Extra BEFORE he founded that group.

Good for him.

He had an ideal, prepared it, presented it, and used it
effectively.

In the process, he didn't violate a single American law, nor did
he find it necessary to villify, denigrate or otherwise demean other
Amateur Radio operators in the proces

> Class C and D Citizens Band Radio Service is close to the
> half-century mark and most of its detractors (and haters)
> were either unborn or had not obtained any license when it
> was created. "Class C" exists, expanded in permissible
> frequencies, as "Radio Control Radio Service" in Part 95.
> "Class D" is in the same part and called simply "Citizens
> Band Radio Service."

Again, I am sure there is a point to be made here, however all I
see is more useless

> Class A and B, on low UHF frequencies was discontinued
> many years before. It would have been a year-plus older
> than Class C and D had it continued. NONE of the original
> four Citizens Band classes required any user to take any
> written test for a CB license. Such licenses were
> discontinued by the FCC when the number of CB users
> reached about a half million or so; exact number is
> irrelevant with the near-present-day number of CB radios
> being estimated at 5 MILLION in use.

And we're S T I L L waiting for some point to be made.

WHAT does the history of the Citizen's Radio Service have to do
with changes in the AMATEUR Radio Service due to Bash/NCT?

> "Pbthpththth" says Bill the Cat. Hear the Opus.

Actually, Lennie, it's "Pffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffft"

> LenNeverSayInTenWordsWhatYouCanObfuscateIn1000Anderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

2375. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 08:50:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118134219.969583.119940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109609

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Science is troublesome for "modern" humans.

Well, at least some of them. A very vocal group, though.

> While so many enjoy
> technology, they get rapidly uncomfortable with the underlying
> science.

"Inherit The Wind" all over again.

> Don't worry though, we're moving to "Faith Based Science".

An oxymoron if there ever was one!

> The pilot program is going on right now in Kansas.

The surreal thing about it is that it comes down to trying to understand a complex Book, not science. In fact, it's all about just the first few chapters of that Book.

Yet one wonders if those who are most adamant about said Book have actually read it, because in its first few chapters, it tells two different creation stories that contradict each other.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2376. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 08:56:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118134602.011375.142400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109607

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...(SNIP)

Geeeze, Lennie...

Even on the Anniversary of D-Day, you continue to make a parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns.

Yet you continue to try and convince all of us on what a great, patriotic Veteran you are, sensitive to the plight of your fellow servicemen.

And once again you prove the REST of us right when we call you a self-serving blight on ALL Veterans. A liar for the ages.

What you ARE, is a disgrace to the uniform you wore and a footprint on the graves of men who DID serve their country unselfishly.

Scumbag.

Steve, K4YZ

2377. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 09:22:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118136139.017257.105990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109611

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Jun 1, 9:15 pm

> >Note that these totals do not include licenses that
> >have expired but are in the grace period. They also
> >do not include club, military, RACES or other
> >station-only licenses
>
> That's simply untrue, N...@aol.com. Military "calls" are
> assigned by the MILITARY. FCC has NO legal jurisdiction
> over USA military OR government radio.

Nice try at an end run, Lennie. "Military" club stations ARE
administered by the FCC.

The only callsigns issued by any of the Armed Forces for US
Amateurs are those issued by the Air Force for American's subject to
the US-Japan SOFA who are IN Japan. ie: KA6CM (gee...where have we
heard THAT before...???)

> Nota Bene: The total licenses for the no-code-test
> Technician Class, 293,613, do NOT include the "Tech Plus"
> total licenses of 56,161. [let's stop this foolish
> "lumping-together" by rather obvious PCTAs in trying to
> embelish the sanctity and nobility of morsemanship]

The FCC ONLY issues the "Technician" class of license...Has for
several years now. Also, taking those "Tech Plus" folks out of your
"Technician" column only dilutes YOUR arguments, Lennie.

It's impossible to tell who held "code tested" Technicians and
who doesn't unless you go to the database and search for "previous
class" and find those who DID hold the "Tech Plus" license. Those
folks have been ABSOLUTELY code tested.

However current FCC practice (you remember them, Lennie..?!?! The
UNlicnesed guys and gals who make the rules...!?!?!) is to allow ANY
Tech who has passed Element 1 and holds a CSCE as evidence of same to
exercise "Tech Plus" privileges without further administrative action.

> ALL license classes in the Technician (no-code-test),
> General, and Amateur Extra classes have the SAME grace
> period. If current Novice or Advanced license holders
> don't RETEST, they go bye-bye, get defunct, disappear
> from that great database.

Getting a headache, Lennie?

You should.

That's from the rolling pin smacking your pointed little head for making yet another STUPID and absolutely untrue assertion about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY.

> We now return you to the regularly scheduled PCTA
> rationalization party in progress...

Only after the "Lennie Anderson Self Appreciation and Glorification" show is over...when ever THAT may be...

> LenIreallyDon'tKnowJackAboutAmateurRadioSoIlieAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

2378. Re: Nice Try Brain...It wasn't Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 09:24:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118136246.023649.100030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109610

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Laughing At Lennie wrote:
> > > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1117930164.687422.34730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > A pathetic example of a Oxygen Bandit if I have ever seen one. What are
> > > > > they putting on your grave stone Lennie? May I recommend this one ?
> > > > >
> > > > > W A N A B E E First Class
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > or perhaps;
> > > > >
> > > > > CHAIRBOURN RANGER
> > > > >
> > > > > It's a toss up....which do you prefer?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > > I suggest for yours:
> > > >
> > > > You should worry about your own piece of the rock.
> > > >
> > > > (pssstt...Brian, you said, "Why must you use weasle words?" I'm sure you
> > > > meant to say "weasel" instead.)
> > >
> > > Thanks Steve.
> >
> > Sorry Brian.
> >
> > On my Daughter's Grave, Brian, I am not, nor do I know who,
> > "Laughing at Lennie" is.
> >
> > That one we'll have to figure out for ourselves.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Sorry.

I apologize for the late respose.

Graciously accepted. Thank-you, Brian...

Steve, K4YZ

2379. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 09:48:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118137716.847000.182360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109612

```
K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Ahem, "almost 20 years ago" would be about 1985. Class D CB
> > > > > was created in 1958...FORTY-SEVEN YEARS AGO. 27 years in
> > > > > between are unaccounted for in Stebie's statement.
> > > >
> > > > Steve is referring to 20 years prior to the advent of the No-Code
> > > > Technician, which happened sometime in the early 90's, so his reference
> > > > period is early 70's. Basically, he's saying that many, most, or all
> > > > hams entereing the ARS since the early 70's have Bashed it and are
> > > > worthless CBers.
> > >
> > > No...BRIAN BURKE is calling them "worthless CBers"...
> > >
> > > Steve Robeson said nothing of the sort.
> >
> > Welp, whatever you said it was wrong. Just plain wrong.
>
> "...whatever you said..." ?!?!
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! !
>
> YOU are the person who refered to other radio operators as
> "WORTHLESS"...
>
> And I NEVER called ANY operator, CB, Amateur or otherwise
> "worthless".
>
> Can't even keep two days worth of exchanges straight, can you,
> Burke..?!?! Can't even keep YOUR stories straight!
>
> Once again, for your edification:
>
> "What Steve Robeson DID say was that certain downward trends in the
> Amateur Service began with the advent of the "Bash Books" and the
> subsequent "opening" of the question pools"
>
> > > What Steve Robeson DID say was that certain downward trends in the
```

> > > Amateur Service began with the advent of the "Bash Books" and the
> > > subsequent "opening" of the question pools.
> >
> > You were the original data point that began the trend.
>
> No...I established a time line based upon another event. I had
> nothing to do with it, Brain. I "experienced" it, but was not aprt of
> it.
>
> > > > > "Open pools?" There were "Q&A" books published before 1956
> > > > > on ALL FCC written tests. BEFORE the Dick Bash publications.
> > > > > That was way back in history when ALL the FCC radio regulations
> > > > > were supplied as three-ring binder, loose-leaf pages.
> > > > >
> > > > ARRL, Ameco...
> > > >
> > > Nice try.
> > >
> > > Yes, both publishers printed books that closely outlined the
> > > exams.
> >
> > Bingo.
>
> What "bingo"...?!?!
>
> They did not then publish the verbatim questions and answers to the
> FCC tests. FCC regulations at the time forbade it.
>
> > > NONE of them were verbatim recreations of the FCC examinations. I
> > > used the Ameco texts while studying for my General and Advanced tests.
> > > Neither had the questions I saw on those exams.
> >
> > I guess you think that makes you better than the amateurs that used the
> > questions pools?
>
> Nope. Not in the least. But it DOES mean I know more about radio
> communications because I actually learned the material.
>
> > > > > All of those non-ham radios are in use by "riff-raff?"
> > > > > Dick Bash is "responsible" for all the Q&A books published
> > > > > in the 1950s? Yes to all implies the mighty Stebie,
> > > > > "speaker for all hams and the 'ham community'."
> > > > >
> > > > Bash was a ham. A Mighty Morseman
> > > >
> > > Bash was a pig. A disgusting profiteer.
> >
> > Hi!
>
> Shouldn't that have been at the opening of the post?

>
> > Unfortunately every
> > > profession has theirs. Bash, along with Fred Maia and Gordon West are
> > > ours.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > What of the ARRL? They also publish the question pools and sell them.
>
> I wish you could have been in SoCal the same time I was, Brain...GW
> flooded SoCal with these "operators" who took his weekend "radio
> school" and walked out with an Amateur license.
>
> Yes...the ARRL sells them too...Point taken.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I think the length of your reply would please Len.

2380. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 10:46:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118141185.538973.153290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109613

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> I think the length of your reply would please Len.

I seriously doubt it.

I could quote "War and Peace" verbatim and still come up short on
most LennieRants.

Steve, K4YZ

2381. Lennie Backs Himself Into Corner Again...Will Claim He Meant To Do It....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 10:56:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118141797.871467.187830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109614

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ on Jun 6, 3:00 am
>
> >bb wrote:
> >> Leo wrote:
> >> > On 5 Jun 2005 05:41:50 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> >> > >Leo, what word would be more appropriate than "bullshit" to
> >> > >describe that claim?
> > >
> >> > Incorrect? As in "that claim is incorrect...."
> > >
> >> I'm with you on that one, Leo.
> > >
> >> But instead of "that claim is incorrect," we are treated to LIAR,
> >> and/or Gay Man accusations from Jim's little puppet. Jim >>>IS<<<
> >> Quitefine with it all.
> > >
> > >
> > > Nooooooooooo.......
>
> Yaaasssssssssssssss.................

Nooooooooooooooooooooooo.....................

> > You're treated to "liar" after you've made a claim and then failed
> >to back it up with at least SOME form of reasonable corroboration.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
> WHERE and WHEN were Stebie's CLAIMED "seven hostile actions"?

Some of them were on the front pages...two weren't.

When is when I was still on Active Duty.

The rest is "For Me To Know An You To Find Out"...

> > Saying "My opinion is..." is one thing. That's black and white.
>
> Okay, now we understand...you no longer CLAIM "seven hostile
> actions"...that is just YOUR OPINION.

Nope.

> > Saying "ARES is overblown and it's members wontt/can't respond" in
> >the face of almost weekly evidence to the contrary and then not

> >acknowledging it is just stupid.
>
> "Wontt"?

Don't go there over the typos, Lennie...You're in that same pool,
Your Putziness, so don't pee in it...

> "Weekly evidence?" There are large-enough emergencies EVERY
> WEEK in the USA that require amateur radio communications
> assistance? [I don't think so...not even "almost"...]

Yep.

Weekly.

> Is it YOUR OPINION that you were an "Assistant" NCOIC at a
> MARS station 24 years ago?

No.

It's a matter of RECORD that I was ANCOIC of the Okinawa
Islandwide MARS System in 1981. I was NCOIC of NNN0MOC/MOF during that
same time...

Just like I told you 6 weeks ago.

> Do you acknowledge that the U.S. military runs MARS or is it
> still YOUR OPINION that "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?"

It's always been my postition that MARS is run by DoD.

It's ALSO been my position that my comments from the post you
continue to inaccurately paraphrase from were that the same spirit of
service that permeates Amateur Radio is part and parcel of the MARS
programs as they are almost completely fleshed out >>BY<< licensed,
civilian Amateur Radio operators.

No Amateur Radio = No MARS.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

2382. Re: MY Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 12:51:28 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050607125128.A4A79170BB@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 109616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.laardass.lloyd-davies

In article <d7qj6i$22c$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
"Lloyd Davies" <A...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> SWEET!!!! I"M SO THERE DUDE!!!!

Your little training wheel no code tech license won't let you do
that, Lardass!
You can't get a real ham license.

> "Mr Germanium" <ck722a0...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > WOW !!!!
> >
> > A Freeband REPEATER :)
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Google Home - Terms of Use - Privacy Policy - Jobs, Press, &
Help

2383. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 13:06:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ft6ba1hp1hn10elkf94emlfn9kkc6sf2qd@4ax.com>
Source record: 109617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 06 Jun 2005 22:20:13 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>
>"Mr Germanium" <ck722a0006@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:4f277$42a04739$d1cc452d$4547@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> WOW !!!!
>>
>> A Freeband REPEATER :)
>>
>> Now this looks like a great DX catch for SWL and Ham alike!
>>
>> Does it operate 24/7 ?
>>
>
>Mr. Germanium says it all.
>
>
>With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>Jim AA2QA
>
>ps - why Mr. Germanium says it all will, unfortunately, be over the heads of
>many in the groups. It will not be over the heads of the technically
>astute.
>

Well it certainly does speak for his age and probably experience......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2384. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 13:58:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <nKhpe.135$cQ6.6868121@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109619

Jim:

Sanity test? Be careful what you suggest. If they began checking for
sanity the bands would be empty!!!

Warmest regards,
John
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:5oape.325$8R5.249@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Bs5pe.356$VK4.345@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:SGNoe.282$2e7.156@news01.roc.ny...
>> >
>> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> > news:MfLoe.16012$w21.10716@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> >>
>> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:OsJoe.229$fI4.189@news02.roc.ny...
>> >> >
>> >> > Oh, I almost forgot W2OY. He's been SK for many years now, but
>> >> > other
>> > lids
>> >> > have jumped right in to fill the gap.
>> >>
>> >> I remember his well. In fact I was insulted by him several times
>> >> on 75
>> > when
>> >> he slapped me around for having a K8 call.....hi.
>> >>
>> >> Didn't a club pick up his call?
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> > Hello, Dan
>> >
>> > Yes, it is a club call now. OY jumped on my frequency once on 75
>> > when I
>> > was
>> > chatting with a station in Ohio. Guess what? The Ohio station was
>> > a

> good
>> > cw op and we changed to cw. At first, I tried signing 73 with him
>> > at
>> > about
>> > 20 words per minute. He came back stating cw was fine with him.
>> > Inside
>> > of
>> > 30 seconds we kept asking each other "qrq?" and rapidly cranked up
>> > to
>> > about
>> > 40 words per minute. With the q-multiplier cranked up, I couldn't
>> > even
>> > hear
>> > W2OY. I briefly turned it down to hear him moaning and groaning
>> > for us
> to
>> > "take those toys down into the cw band!". LOL. He didn't bither
>> > us a
>> > bot!
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> And this after the goof jumped on top of your qso, my oh my a two
>> letter
>> suffix LID... hi.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> Hello, Dan
>
> Since you have been licensed since 1961, I shouldn't have to point out
> that
> there has never been a sanity test for an amateur radio license :))
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>

2385. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 13:59:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LKhpe.491$NX4.40@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109620

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> The only callsigns issued by any of the Armed Forces for US
> Amateurs are those issued by the Air Force for American's subject to
> the US-Japan SOFA who are IN Japan.
>

Not true. US Amateurs in Japan are no longer assigned "KA#xx" callsigns. They get their licenses from the Japanese government now (with callsigns in the "foreigners" block "8J#xxx" IIRC).

However, the US Navy does issue callsigns to amateurs at Antarctic Bases (ie, KC4AAA, KC4AAC) and Guantanamo Bay (ie, KG4ML, KG4OX).

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2386. Re: My uncle-daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 14:02:34 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <ef7bd2fa66e6ba98bce24487b29433ca@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 109618

In article <d7s9mt$lc0$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
"Lloyd Davies" <A...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Home

Yes, your drunk of a daddy, Ray Lee Davies had to take an
apartment over a garage, didn't he?

Ray Davies
846 3RD AVE SW UPPR
CEDAR RAPIDS, IA 52404
319-265-5096

2387. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 14:59:02 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <9464c5523d5f94b9bb4b49c24d9f9c73@paranoici.org>
Source record: 109615

In article <d7r0q7$ci8$0@pita.alt.net>
"Alan" <what?@web> wrote:
>
> Nope, Gopher face Tunder was too chickenshit to get out of his
> truck, he sat in it while it idled and called Roger on a cell
> phone. Too chickenshit to come knock on the door. And, he even
> pointed his truck for a quick getaway, poor thing. He really
> should have painted the bondo holding that piece of shit truck
> better,he rust spots showed through. He really could talk tough
> over the internet and even said he'd be back by for a second
> visit, but gopher face has yet to show his rat-boy face.
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> >
> > So when is your next appointment with the mental health
> services?
>
> Never had any to begin with.
> So, when is your next court date about your molesting your own
> grandkids, imaginary pilot's license boy??
>
> --
>
> Where goeth Roger L. Wiseman, so followeth the foul stench of
the sewer.

Where goeth "Alan", there goes the dried stench of the dried cum
he has on his Goodwill-bought clothes from molesting children.

2388. Great introduction to HF techniques and practices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 17:07:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_ukpe.564$NX4.126@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For the newcomer (and not-so-newcomer too):

http://www.alleged.com/radio/Harris/HF-Technology.pdf

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2389. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 17:45:28 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <auidnaTFcO2qQDjfRVn-1A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109622

Todd wrote:

> IS EVERYTHING YOU NOT WANT BITCH. I GOT LAYWER NOW.
>
I hope your lawyer (laywer) can spell better then you!
How is aioe.org as an ISP anyway?

2390. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 18:46:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118169996.416010.108110@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109623

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > The only callsigns issued by any of the Armed Forces for US
> > Amateurs are those issued by the Air Force for American's subject to
> > the US-Japan SOFA who are IN Japan.
> >
>
> Not true. US Amateurs in Japan are no longer assigned "KA#xx" callsigns. They
> get their licenses from the Japanese government now (with callsigns in the
> "foreigners" block "8J#xxx" IIRC).
>
> However, the US Navy does issue callsigns to amateurs at Antarctic Bases (ie,
> KC4AAA, KC4AAC) and Guantanamo Bay (ie, KG4ML, KG4OX).

I forgot about Gitmo and Antarctica...You're completely correct.

Steve, K4YZ

2391. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 19:05:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118171133.720019.172950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109624

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:

> > However, the US Navy does issue callsigns to amateurs at Antarctic Bases (ie,
> > KC4AAA, KC4AAC) and Guantanamo Bay (ie, KG4ML, KG4OX).
>
> I forgot about Gitmo and Antarctica...You're completely correct.

And let's not forget the KC4USA thru USZ series...

Steve, K4YZ

2392. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 19:26:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118172378.239528.203460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109625

From: "K0HB" on Mon 6 Jun 2005 20:09

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> All USA radio amateurs in their grace period are in limbo and
>> don't count for anything.
>
>That's absolutely correct, insofar as it refers to the Amateur Radio census.

Sorry, "I am simply mistaken."

Thus it has been written by the morsemen through which amateur
radio is truly represented in their service to the nation and
any statment, utterance, phrase by those not acquainted with
morse code cannot ever possibly be true.

Remember, Ahab, the white whale may surface any moment! Keep
those harpoons all shiny and sharp! [watch out for Queegquegg,
he is looking for those strawberries...keep your caine handy]

2393. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 19:28:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118172532.657693.264670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109626

From: "John Smith" on Mon 6 Jun 2005 14:02

>Although we have had our disagreements, here I give you credit--your
>ducks are beginning to look pretty organized--if not "absolutely" in a
>row... your post is a credit to the defense of amateur radio...

That's simply untrue, "john smith."

NO ONE may comment, talk, discuss, or otherwise be heard unless
they have a valid amateur radio license. Such it is written by
all those morsemen through which all amateur radio is truly
represented in their service to the nation.

2394. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 19:31:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118172677.957733.277890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109627

From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon 6 Jun 2005 18:17

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K0HB" on Mon 6 Jun 2005 03:14

>The total number of amateur radio licensees as a percentage of the
>US population is only one factor in the regulatory process. Other
>factors include the number of *active* licensees, the way the

That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'

[FCC constantly polls all amateurs to see if they are *active*
and thus only the *active* amateurs count for anything by the
morsemen who are truly representative of amateur radio in their
service to the nation]

>Agreed. However, the variety of equipment available to radio
>amateurs today far exceeds that of the past, and the cost in
>constant dollars is far less.

That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'

[beautiful, state-of-the transceivers can be built for
under $100 by morsemen who are the true representatives
of amateur radio in their service to the nation]

>Yet even small companies serving niches in the amateur radio
>market have demonstrated they can survive.

That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'

[all who dilligently serve the niches never go defunct]

>In other words, the older the population, the more of 'em are
>going to die off sooner.

That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'

[morsemen live forever and are truly representative of
amateur radio in their service to the nation]

>1) There are relatively few radio amateurs younger
> than about 10 years of age. So any comparison
> to the general population should be adjusted to
> compensate for the fact that the median age of the
> US population can reasonably be expected to
> be lower than that of an activity such as amateur
> radio.

That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'

[all true morsemen began when under 14 years of age, live
forever, and are the true representatives of amateur radio
in their service to the nation]

>2) The source of amateur radio licensee age
> statistics can be problematic. The FCC has
> changed its policy on birthdate information
> as part of the requirements, so some licensees
> ages are known and others are unknown, making
> the FCC database a problematic source of
> licensee age information.
> Surveys and polls may or may not be a
> representative sample of the amateur radio
> population.

That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'

['n...@aol.com' has only to post and his words are true, for
he is a morseman and truly representative of amateur radio
in his service to the nation]

>A more illuminating statistic, IMHO, would be to compare
>the distribution of the ages of amateur radio operators
>to the distribution of the ages of the general population.

That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'

[morsemen are ageless and truly represent amateur radio in
their service to the nation]

>> 4. Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY.
>
>For many if not most radio amateurs, that is true. But there
>is a significant public service element to the amateur radio
>service that is not a part of most other "hobby" activities.

That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'

[morsemen serve their country in all amateurdom and are the
only true representatives of amateur radio]

>There are also more hobbyists and more free time. More retirees
>and semi-retirees. People are living longer and staying active longer.

That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'

[morsemen are ageless and truly represent amateur radio in
their service to the nation]

>That is true only if the "greater numbers" are active, visible, and
>present a positive image to the general public and government
>agencies.

[morsemen serve their country in all things amateur, are the
only true representatives]

>An interesting statistic, but of itself tells little. Additional
>information is needed to understand the full meaning.

That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'

[morsemen are the only ones that count for anything]

>For example, what does it cost to be a member of the Academy of
>Model Aeronautics? How long is a membership good for? What services
>does the Academy offer its membership?

[only morsemen are true representatives of anything and
therefore all other activities are meaningless]

>The above numbers include expired-but-in-the-grace-period
>licenses as well as current (unexpired) licenses. The number of
>current licenses is significantly lower.

[only morsemen are significant since they represent amateur
radio in their service to the country]

>The term "no-code-test Technician Class" is not entirely accurate.
>An unknown number of amateur whose license class is Technician
>have passed a code test. These include:

[only morsemen are significant since they represent amateur
radio in their service to the country]

>In addition, there has been a net loss of total FCC amateur radio
>licenses held by individuals since the restructuring of April 2000.
>Despite reductions in both code and written testing, and the reduction
>of license classes open to newcomers, growth has not occurred.

[the only true growth in radio is through morsemenship and thus
morsemen truly represent amateur radio in their service to the
nation]

>The preceding statement does not recognize the fact that not
>all licenses of the Technician class are "no code test". It is
>therefore misleading to the point of possibly being incorrect.

[only morsemen are truly representative of amateur radio in
their service to the nation]

>As the FCC continues to renew all Technician Plus licenses
>as Technician, the number of code-tested Technicians
>continues to grow.

[only morsemen are truly representative of amateur radio in
their service to the nation]

>None of these are radio services that require licensing by the user.
>Indeed, most of them are not radio services at all.

[only amateur morsemen are truly representative of radio]

>The only real significance of these communications alternatives
>to amateur radio growth is that they are additional choices for the
>person whose primary interest is the message rather than the medium.
>For the person who is more interested in "radio for its own sake",
>they are not a substitute.

[only amateur morsemen are truly representative of radio]

>Consider the analogy of water transport. For millenia, watercraft were
>propelled by wind, muscles (human or animal) and/or water currents.
>Traveling by water meant those motive power sources and no others.

[only amateur morsemen are truly representative of radio which,
through amateurism is the national transportation served]

>Then the invention of steam and internal-combustion engines created
>a whole new set of alternatives. In less than a century, most water
>transportation abandoned wind and muscle power entirely, in favor
>of fossil-fueled and even nuclear-powered engines.

[only amateur morsemen are truly representative of radio which,
through amateurism is the national transportation served]

>There is no way to know for sure how many of these are actually
>in use, because there is no license procedure for that radio
>service. The number quoted is a decline from the boom
>years of the "cb craze", about 30 years ago. Despite the low cost
>of cb equipment, the lack of licensing and rules enforcement, and
>the widespread availability, the cb service has been in decline from
>its peak for a couple of decades now - while the population considers
>to increase.

[only amateur morsemen are truly representative of radio which,
through amateurism is the national transportation served]

>Citizens band allocations in the 27 MHz region were created 47 years
>ago, but the service goes back to 1948, when UHF allocations were created by
>FCC. The current GMRS and FRS allocations are the direct descendants
>of those 1948 allocations.

That is simply mistaken, n...@aol.com.

The electromagnetic spectrum does not exist in frequency unless
it has first been pioneered by amateurs, noted by the ARRL,
and remarked upon by K1ZZ in a QST editorial. FCC does not
count, physics do not count, the fact that the FCC did not
exist prior to 1934 does not count.

[the first mode in radio was telegraphy and that, in 1896, is
the bedrock upon which morsemen trace their radiotelegraphy
roots and morsemen are the only true representatives of
amateur radio in their service to the nation]

>Yet in emergency situations, the amateur radio service continues to
>perform public service.

[so it is written by morsemen who are the true representatives
of amateur radio in their service to the nation]

>The previous statement is obviously incorrect, since none of the
>above mentioned are free publications. It is obvious that "operating
>income" includes all income available for the production of a
>publication - advertising, subscriptions, etc.

You are simply mistaken, n...@aol.com

[so it is written by the morsemen who are all accomplished
publishers as well as radio manufacturers and thus truly
representative of amateur radio in their service to the nation]

>This may or may not be true. Simply increasing the number of
>licenses may not result in a larger market for equipment or
>publications, nor a more-favorable regulatory climate. The 27 MHz
>cb example is not what amateur radio should emulate.

[cb is the scum, the vileness of the devil, spawn of satan
and the only true representatives of radio are the amateur
morsemen serving their nation]

>Perhaps. It is important, however, to evaluate whether proposed
>changes will actually bring growth, and also whether there will
>be negative effects connected with the proposed changes that
>will negate the positive effects of growth.

You are simply mistaken, n...@aol.com.

[only morsemen can know for they represent the true being of
radio and the amateur service to their nation]

>In the past 5 years, the number of US hams has decreased, not
>increased. The total
>number of Technicians and Technician Pluses is lower now than 5 years
>ago.

You are simply mistaken, n...@aol.com.

[only morsemen know the truth for they are truly representative
of amateur radio in their service to the nation]

>What solution do you propose?

Morse code. The salvation of humankind, morse code is the only
answer to immortality, truth, justice, and the American Way.

2395. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 19:31:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HCmpe.593$hK3.257@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109628

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1118171133.720019.172950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

K4YZ wrote:
> K&#65533;HB wrote:

> > However, the US Navy does issue callsigns to amateurs at Antarctic Bases
> > (ie,
> > KC4AAA, KC4AAC) and Guantanamo Bay (ie, KG4ML, KG4OX).
>
> I forgot about Gitmo and Antarctica...You're completely correct.

> And let's not forget the KC4USA thru USZ series...

Who "forgot" it? That's part of the Antarctic Bases block administered by USN.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2396. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 19:47:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118173651.350891.95430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109629

From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> on Jun 7, 5:22 am

> Getting a headache, Lennie?
>
> You should.
>
> That's from the rolling pin smacking your pointed little head for
>making yet another STUPID and absolutely untrue assertion about AMATEUR
>RADIO POLICY.

> Only after the "Lennie Anderson Self Appreciation and
>Glorification" show is over...when ever THAT may be...

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

2397. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 20:02:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118174550.641696.186400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109630

From: "K4YZ" on Tues 7 Jun 2005 01:56

> And once again you prove the REST of us right when we call you a
>self-serving blight on ALL Veterans. A liar for the ages.
>
> What you ARE, is a disgrace to the uniform you wore and a footprint
>on the graves of men who DID serve their country unselfishly.
>
> Scumbag.

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

2398. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 20:13:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Udnpe.198$fY2.11947159@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109631

Len:

Naaa, a bunch of toothless old farts wrote that, don't be fooled... these are the same men who confuse a hobby license with a Phd... they take themselves much too seriously and expect other too... now, you can be a dern fool and pay attention to all that, or ignore it all and seek truth...

Warmest regards,
John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1118172532.657693.264670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Mon 6 Jun 2005 14:02
>
>
>>Although we have had our disagreements, here I give you credit--your
>>ducks are beginning to look pretty organized--if not "absolutely" in a
>>row... your post is a credit to the defense of amateur radio...
>
> That's simply untrue, "john smith."
>
> NO ONE may comment, talk, discuss, or otherwise be heard unless
> they have a valid amateur radio license. Such it is written by
> all those morsemen through which all amateur radio is truly
> represented in their service to the nation.
>

2399. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 20:15:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%fnpe.199$xW2.11931774@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109632

... "morsemen" have lost touch of reality... however, this is not surprising, they attempt to pay homage to dead keys and recite the technology of yesteryear as relevant... soon this too will pass...

Warmest regards,
John
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1118172677.957733.277890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon 6 Jun 2005 18:17
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: "K0HB" on Mon 6 Jun 2005 03:14
>
>
>>The total number of amateur radio licensees as a percentage of the
>>US population is only one factor in the regulatory process. Other
>>factors include the number of *active* licensees, the way the
>
> That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'
>
> [FCC constantly polls all amateurs to see if they are *active*
> and thus only the *active* amateurs count for anything by the
> morsemen who are truly representative of amateur radio in their
> service to the nation]
>
>>Agreed. However, the variety of equipment available to radio
>>amateurs today far exceeds that of the past, and the cost in
>>constant dollars is far less.
>
> That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'
>
> [beautiful, state-of-the transceivers can be built for
> under $100 by morsemen who are the true representatives
> of amateur radio in their service to the nation]
>
>>Yet even small companies serving niches in the amateur radio
>>market have demonstrated they can survive.
>
> That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'
>
> [all who dilligently serve the niches never go defunct]
>
>>In other words, the older the population, the more of 'em are
>>going to die off sooner.

>
> That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'
>
> [morsemen live forever and are truly representative of
> amateur radio in their service to the nation]
>
>
>>1) There are relatively few radio amateurs younger
>> than about 10 years of age. So any comparison
>> to the general population should be adjusted to
>> compensate for the fact that the median age of the
>> US population can reasonably be expected to
>> be lower than that of an activity such as amateur
>> radio.
>
> That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'
>
> [all true morsemen began when under 14 years of age, live
> forever, and are the true representatives of amateur radio
> in their service to the nation]
>
>>2) The source of amateur radio licensee age
>> statistics can be problematic. The FCC has
>> changed its policy on birthdate information
>> as part of the requirements, so some licensees
>> ages are known and others are unknown, making
>> the FCC database a problematic source of
>> licensee age information.
>> Surveys and polls may or may not be a
>> representative sample of the amateur radio
>> population.
>
> That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'
>
> ['n...@aol.com' has only to post and his words are true, for
> he is a morseman and truly representative of amateur radio
> in his service to the nation]
>
>>A more illuminating statistic, IMHO, would be to compare
>>the distribution of the ages of amateur radio operators
>>to the distribution of the ages of the general population.
>
> That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'
>
> [morsemen are ageless and truly represent amateur radio in
> their service to the nation]
>
>
>>> 4. Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY.
>>

>>For many if not most radio amateurs, that is true. But there
>>is a significant public service element to the amateur radio
>>service that is not a part of most other "hobby" activities.
>
> That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'
>
> [morsemen serve their country in all amateurdom and are the
> only true representatives of amateur radio]
>
>
>>There are also more hobbyists and more free time. More retirees
>>and semi-retirees. People are living longer and staying active longer.
>
> That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'
>
> [morsemen are ageless and truly represent amateur radio in
> their service to the nation]
>
>
>>That is true only if the "greater numbers" are active, visible, and
>>present a positive image to the general public and government
>>agencies.
>
> [morsemen serve their country in all things amateur, are the
> only true representatives]
>
>
>>An interesting statistic, but of itself tells little. Additional
>>information is needed to understand the full meaning.
>
> That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'
>
> [morsemen are the only ones that count for anything]
>
>
>>For example, what does it cost to be a member of the Academy of
>>Model Aeronautics? How long is a membership good for? What services
>>does the Academy offer its membership?
>
> [only morsemen are true representatives of anything and
> therefore all other activities are meaningless]
>
>
>>The above numbers include expired-but-in-the-grace-period
>>licenses as well as current (unexpired) licenses. The number of
>>current licenses is significantly lower.
>
> [only morsemen are significant since they represent amateur
> radio in their service to the country]
>

>>The term "no-code-test Technician Class" is not entirely accurate.
>>An unknown number of amateur whose license class is Technician
>>have passed a code test. These include:
>
> [only morsemen are significant since they represent amateur
> radio in their service to the country]
>
>
>>In addition, there has been a net loss of total FCC amateur radio
>>licenses held by individuals since the restructuring of April 2000.
>>Despite reductions in both code and written testing, and the reduction
>>of license classes open to newcomers, growth has not occurred.
>
> [the only true growth in radio is through morsemenship and thus
> morsemen truly represent amateur radio in their service to the
> nation]
>
>
>>The preceding statement does not recognize the fact that not
>>all licenses of the Technician class are "no code test". It is
>>therefore misleading to the point of possibly being incorrect.
>
> [only morsemen are truly representative of amateur radio in
> their service to the nation]
>
>
>
>>As the FCC continues to renew all Technician Plus licenses
>>as Technician, the number of code-tested Technicians
>>continues to grow.
>
> [only morsemen are truly representative of amateur radio in
> their service to the nation]
>
>
>>None of these are radio services that require licensing by the user.
>>Indeed, most of them are not radio services at all.
>
> [only amateur morsemen are truly representative of radio]
>
>
>>The only real significance of these communications alternatives
>>to amateur radio growth is that they are additional choices for the
>>person whose primary interest is the message rather than the medium.
>>For the person who is more interested in "radio for its own sake",
>>they are not a substitute.
>
> [only amateur morsemen are truly representative of radio]
>
>

>>Consider the analogy of water transport. For millenia, watercraft were
>>propelled by wind, muscles (human or animal) and/or water currents.
>>Traveling by water meant those motive power sources and no others.
>
> [only amateur morsemen are truly representative of radio which,
> through amateurism is the national transportation served]
>
>
>>Then the invention of steam and internal-combustion engines created
>>a whole new set of alternatives. In less than a century, most water
>>transportation abandoned wind and muscle power entirely, in favor
>>of fossil-fueled and even nuclear-powered engines.
>
> [only amateur morsemen are truly representative of radio which,
> through amateurism is the national transportation served]
>
>
>
>>There is no way to know for sure how many of these are actually
>>in use, because there is no license procedure for that radio
>>service. The number quoted is a decline from the boom
>>years of the "cb craze", about 30 years ago. Despite the low cost
>>of cb equipment, the lack of licensing and rules enforcement, and
>>the widespread availability, the cb service has been in decline from
>>its peak for a couple of decades now - while the population considers
>>to increase.
>
> [only amateur morsemen are truly representative of radio which,
> through amateurism is the national transportation served]
>
>
>
>>Citizens band allocations in the 27 MHz region were created 47 years
>>ago, but the service goes back to 1948, when UHF allocations were
>>created by
>>FCC. The current GMRS and FRS allocations are the direct descendants
>>of those 1948 allocations.
>
> That is simply mistaken, n...@aol.com.
>
> The electromagnetic spectrum does not exist in frequency unless
> it has first been pioneered by amateurs, noted by the ARRL,
> and remarked upon by K1ZZ in a QST editorial. FCC does not
> count, physics do not count, the fact that the FCC did not
> exist prior to 1934 does not count.
>
> [the first mode in radio was telegraphy and that, in 1896, is
> the bedrock upon which morsemen trace their radiotelegraphy
> roots and morsemen are the only true representatives of
> amateur radio in their service to the nation]

>
>
>>Yet in emergency situations, the amateur radio service continues to
>>perform public service.
>
> [so it is written by morsemen who are the true representatives
> of amateur radio in their service to the nation]
>
>
>
>>The previous statement is obviously incorrect, since none of the
>>above mentioned are free publications. It is obvious that "operating
>>income" includes all income available for the production of a
>>publication - advertising, subscriptions, etc.
>
> You are simply mistaken, n...@aol.com
>
> [so it is written by the morsemen who are all accomplished
> publishers as well as radio manufacturers and thus truly
> representative of amateur radio in their service to the nation]
>
>
>
>>This may or may not be true. Simply increasing the number of
>>licenses may not result in a larger market for equipment or
>>publications, nor a more-favorable regulatory climate. The 27 MHz
>>cb example is not what amateur radio should emulate.
>
> [cb is the scum, the vileness of the devil, spawn of satan
> and the only true representatives of radio are the amateur
> morsemen serving their nation]
>
>
>
>>Perhaps. It is important, however, to evaluate whether proposed
>>changes will actually bring growth, and also whether there will
>>be negative effects connected with the proposed changes that
>>will negate the positive effects of growth.
>
> You are simply mistaken, n...@aol.com.
>
> [only morsemen can know for they represent the true being of
> radio and the amateur service to their nation]
>
>
>
>>In the past 5 years, the number of US hams has decreased, not
>>increased. The total
>>number of Technicians and Technician Pluses is lower now than 5 years
>>ago.

>
> You are simply mistaken, n...@aol.com.
>
> [only morsemen know the truth for they are truly representative
> of amateur radio in their service to the nation]
>
>
>>What solution do you propose?
>
> Morse code. The salvation of humankind, morse code is the only
> answer to immortality, truth, justice, and the American Way.
>

2400. Re: Great introduction to HF techniques and practices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 21:04:18 UTC
From: Phil <phil@nospampse.be>
Message-ID: <mZnpe.113561$QF7.6592616@phobos.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 109633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Thanks; I saved a copy; very interesting indeed also for not so newcomers.
Phil

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:_ukpe.564$NX4.126@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> For the newcomer (and not-so-newcomer too):
>
> http://www.alleged.com/radio/Harris/HF-Technology.pdf
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

2401. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 21:21:34 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ydope.749$pa3.23@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109634

What PL tone?
>>
>>
>>"Fuck the FCC! Fuck 'em!"..Al Lewis (Grandpa Munster)
>>
>>
>>
>
>

2402. Re: Repeater back up on 27.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 22:10:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050607181039.845$GI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 109635

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:cP-dndAeyc8AeTnfRVn-rA@adelphia.com...
> > Steveo wrote:
> > >
> > > I'm scarfing some cooked cabbage/noodles/bacon/olive oil whilst' I
> > > type this.. Looks like some keyboard maintenace may be in order after
> > > I
> finish
> > > too. yum! :)
> >
> > Now yer cookin!
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA
>
> Hello, Mike (and Mopar)
>
> He ain't gonna need no keyboard to be playing music after eating cabbage!
> :))
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
It smells almost as good when you're cooking it. One of my buddies stopped
by one time when I was cooking a corn beef and cabbage feast. He walked in
the house and got this look on his face like he was gonna hurl! I think he
asked me what the hell died in here. :)

2403. Wiseman finally kicked off NIM Busters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 22:51:44 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <bye.bye.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 109636
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

Woger has become persona non grata on all web boards operated by the Admin of NIM Busters. The web boards have therefore been put into a mode where a poster must have a previously-registered IP address in order to post.

This, of course, means that those boards are now censored, and that Woger has dragged them down into the censorship quagmire where they can no longer claim to be "free speech" boards.

Woger has been booted yet again, but at some cost to those who booted him. Typical.

2404. Re: Wiseman finally kicked off NIM Busters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 22:54:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7Bppe.221$RJ6.14702731@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109644
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

Well, I feel I should point this out, that never really was the real,
"Roger Wiseman"... Indeed, it was an imposter--his real name: "Roger
Dumbman"

Warmest regards,
John
"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:bye.bye.woger@houston-hunks.com...
> Woger has become persona non grata on all web boards operated by the
> Admin of NIM Busters. The web boards have therefore been put into a
> mode where a poster must have a previously-registered IP address in
> order to post.
>
> This, of course, means that those boards are now censored, and that
> Woger has dragged them down into the censorship quagmire where they
> can no longer claim to be "free speech" boards.
>
> Woger has been booted yet again, but at some cost to those who booted
> him. Typical.

2405. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 23:37:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Hcqpe.417$hn6.270@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109637

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:nKhpe.135$cQ6.6868121@news.sisna.com...
> Jim:
>
> Sanity test? Be careful what you suggest. If they began checking for
> sanity the bands would be empty!!!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

Hello, John

Heaven help us if they had a sanity test for this group! Talk about empty!
:))

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2406. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 23:41:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118187663.479687.112660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109638

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > I think the length of your reply would please Len.
>
> I seriously doubt it.
>
> I could quote "War and Peace" verbatim and still come up short on
> most LennieRants.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You protest too much.

2407. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 23:42:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <yhqpe.750$eM6.21@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109639

```
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:5oape.325$8R5.249@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Bs5pe.356$VK4.345@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:SGNoe.282$2e7.156@news01.roc.ny...
>> >
>> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> > news:MfLoe.16012$w21.10716@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> >>
>> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:OsJoe.229$fI4.189@news02.roc.ny...
>> >> >
>> >> > Oh, I almost forgot W2OY. He's been SK for many years now, but
>> >> > other
>> > lids
>> >> > have jumped right in to fill the gap.
>> >>
>> >> I remember his well. In fact I was insulted by him several times on
>> >> 75
>> > when
>> >> he slapped me around for having a K8 call.....hi.
>> >>
>> >> Didn't a club pick up his call?
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> > Hello, Dan
>> >
>> > Yes, it is a club call now. OY jumped on my frequency once on 75 when
>> > I
>> > was
>> > chatting with a station in Ohio. Guess what? The Ohio station was a
> good
>> > cw op and we changed to cw. At first, I tried signing 73 with him at
>> > about
>> > 20 words per minute. He came back stating cw was fine with him.
>> > Inside
>> > of
>> > 30 seconds we kept asking each other "qrq?" and rapidly cranked up to
```

>> > about
>> > 40 words per minute. With the q-multiplier cranked up, I couldn't even
>> > hear
>> > W2OY. I briefly turned it down to hear him moaning and groaning for us
> to
>> > "take those toys down into the cw band!". LOL. He didn't bither us a
>> > bot!
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> And this after the goof jumped on top of your qso, my oh my a two
>> letter
>> suffix LID... hi.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> Hello, Dan
>
> Since you have been licensed since 1961, I shouldn't have to point out
> that
> there has never been a sanity test for an amateur radio license :))
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
Ur right there Jim...hi....perhaps we need one? Nah.....no one would be
allowed on then.

Ya gotta be a lil bit crazy to like this radio stuff.

Dan/W4NTI

2408. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-07 23:49:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <qoqpe.753$eM6.722@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109640

```
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:4qape.326$zN5.316@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ND5pe.363$VK4.108@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>> news:Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com...
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >>>KC8GXW wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
>> >>>>Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >>>>
>> >>> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a >
> give
>> >>> away tech license! :)
>> >>>
>> >>>Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
>> >>>what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.
>> >>>
>> >>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >> I said that. And I mean it. The tests today are a joke. Why you
> ask?
>> >> Because the questions and answers are right there in front of the
> person.
>> >> Sure he has to study a lot of questions. But there they are on the
> test.
>> >> A give away.
>> >>
>> >> And lets not even talk about the CW situation.
>> >>
>> >> It's NOT numbers we need, it people that respect the traditions of
>> >> ham
>> >> radio and want to continue them. Its people that love ham radio and
>> >> don't want to use to to order a pizza.
>> >>
```

>> >> Call me old fashioned and out of touch. It won't be the first time.
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> > Is there really any need for much technical knowledge to obtain a ham
>> > license anymore? Why a need for technical knowlege when setting up and
>> > operating a station today is simply plug-an-play? How many hams
>> > constuct
>> > any equipment they use on the air any more? The most tech knowledge
>> > that
>> > might be required is maybe how to build and put up and adjust an
> antenna.
>> > Perhaps the test should focus more on rules, regulations and proper
>> > operating procedures. The most technical that hams get today is knowing
>> > how many frequencies they can store in the radios memory.
>> >
>>
>> I am not all that concerned about the "technical knowledge" side of the
>> test.
>> I think basic technical knowledge is all that is really necessary. Like
>> being
>> able to cut a simple dipole, understand the terminology, have a working
>> knowledge in block diagram format, for what is happening inside that
> radio.
>>
>> And I totally agree that the test should be heavy on rules, regs, and
> PROPER
>> OPERATING PROCEDURES....like don't talk CB Crap on HF SSB. \
>> >
>> > As you say Dan, with the joke they use for testing today, no technical
>> > knowledge is required anyway, just memorize the answers to the
>> > questions
>> > and off you go. So maybe if the tests were geared more to regs and
>> > operating procedures, then even with the memoriziation some of it might
>> > soak in and maybe there would less cb type operating on the ham bands.
>> >
>> We agree it lots here, eh?
>>
>> > It is pretty bad when as I heard not long ago on a 2 meter reperter, "I
>> > just got my license, can someone tell me what frequencies I can
> operate?"
>> > Cheeese.
>> >
>>
>> Or what I heard on the 75meter EKTRA band......I wanna cut a new dipole
>> for 80m phone (First off what is 80 meter phone?)(I always thought it was
>> 75meter phone). Out of the 5 people in the group, one had it right.
>> Amazing.

>>
>> > Even wogie wussman passed the test, that in itself speaks volumns about
>> > how easy the they are.
>>
>> He should have done real good the second time around...yuk yuk.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> Hello, again, Dan
>
> Yep, 75 is phone, 80 is CW. At least that was the reference back in the
> days.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>

And for all those that are "confused".....here it is;

300/Fmhz = length in meters.

thus......300/4.0Mhz = 75meters.

300/3.75Mhz= 80meters.

and........300/3.5Mhz = 85.7meters.

see?

Dan/W4NTI

2409. Re: Great introduction to HF techniques and practices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 00:00:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <cyqpe.34$yg4.16@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:_ukpe.564$NX4.126@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> For the newcomer (and not-so-newcomer too):
>
> http://www.alleged.com/radio/Harris/HF-Technology.pdf
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>

Hello, Hans

Thanks for that URL. Great information. Sure has changed from back when,
eh? :)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2410. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 00:02:49 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <y5KdnSmJWKk6qDvfRVn-vg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109642

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> And for all those that are "confused".....here it is;
>
> 300/Fmhz = length in meters.
>
> thus......300/4.0Mhz = 75meters.
>
> 300/3.75Mhz= 80meters.
>
> and........300/3.5Mhz = 85.7meters.
>
> see?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

But you should know what the 300 stands for, not it just being a number!
I'll bet I'm wrong, being a no code cb'er, but the 300 is the speed of
light in meters! to be more percise 299792458 meters per second.

2411. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 00:08:53 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <E9CdnaEWDcyMqjvfRVn-og@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109643

KC8GXW wrote:

>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>
>> And for all those that are "confused".....here it is;
>>
>> 300/Fmhz = length in meters.
>>
>> thus......300/4.0Mhz = 75meters.
>>
>> 300/3.75Mhz= 80meters.
>>
>> and........300/3.5Mhz = 85.7meters.
>>
>> see?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
> But you should know what the 300 stands for, not it just being a number!
> I'll bet I'm wrong, being a no code cb'er, but the 300 is the speed of
> light in meters! to be more percise 299792458 meters per second.

OOps see I told ya, the word is precise, now if only I could do 13 or
more words a minute and go back in time and get a W or K 1x3 call, I
wouldn't have made that mistake! :)

2412. Re: Great introduction to HF techniques and practices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 01:19:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118193572.499997.116430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "K-slant-bar-zero-HB" on Tues 7 Jun 2005 13:59

>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> The only callsigns issued by any of the Armed Forces for US
>> Amateurs are those issued by the Air Force for American's subject to
>> the US-Japan SOFA who are IN Japan.
>
>Not true. US Amateurs in Japan are no longer assigned "KA#xx" callsigns. They
>get their licenses from the Japanese government now (with callsigns in the
>"foreigners" block "8J#xxx" IIRC).

??? How can that POSSIBLY be "true," Super Master Chief?

Do you realize you are correcting a "veteran of seven hostile
actions" who was also the ASSISTANT NCOIC of THE Okinawa
MARS 24 years ago?!? Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>However, the US Navy does issue callsigns to amateurs at Antarctic Bases (ie,
>KC4AAA, KC4AAC) and Guantanamo Bay (ie, KG4ML, KG4OX).

How about that. The Army MARS Hq callsign is NNN0AAA.

Does that appear in that Big Amateur Database at the FCC?

No? Sunnuvagun!

Oh, and thanks for that weblink at Harris Corporation's Tactical
Communications page. It was given out on paper form in 1996.
The second volume was prepared only a few years ago...but then
VHF and up isn't the proper playground for the HF Warriors,
is it? [Amateur Morsemen Warfighters to the Front...]

However, you should have made SOME mention of that informative
textbook "Now You're Talking!" from the ARRL which explains
it all...shouldn't you?

Excuse me while I go look up who used to post some phrase
about "gentlemen should not be disagreeable" or whatever it
was.

bit bit

2413. Re: Great introduction to HF techniques and practices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 01:20:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118193634.238119.213160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> For the newcomer (and not-so-newcomer too):
>
> http://www.alleged.com/radio/Harris/HF-Technology.pdf
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB

Harris Corporation is a military communications contractor.

A.F. Global Weather Central purchased a Harris transmitter to kick-off
the Weather HFRB (rtty, fax) System at Elkhorn, NE (AKA Giant Talk).

Harris Corp. built the Satellite Data Handling System at AFGWC.

Harris Corp. built the A.F. tactical DMSP ground set.

Harris Corp. transceivers were stuffed in racks in the Transportable
Automated Weather Distribution System (TAWDS).

But weathermen don't do comms. Hi!

2414. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 01:20:51 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <UYGdnVYVjpar4jvfRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109716

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
>
> "Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!
>

Get lost wogie, nobody is listening to you, two-test fatboy.

2415. FYI: NOAA Lightning Safety Awareness Week

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 01:25:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118193905.827032.96400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109647

Got this from an NOAA mailing list I am a member of...

Since the summer months are upon us an activites like Field Day,
antenna maintenance, public service activities, etc are more prevelant.
Please be aware of this potential killer and BE SAFE!!

73

Steve Robeson, LPN
K4YZ

BEGIN QUOTE

Lightning Safety Awareness Week
June 19th-25th

The Nation will celebrate its fifth annual National Lightning Safety
Awareness Week, June 19-25, 2005.

Lightning is the number two direct weather killer of people in the U.S.
annually.

The National Weather Service office in Morristown would like to thank
all of the people who are helping to make this year's lightning safety
campaign a reality. Lightning Safety Week is held the last full week of
June each year.

Please visit the multimedia page at
http://www.lightningsafety.noaa.gov/multimedia.htm to see some of the
highlights of last year's campaign, including public service
announcements http://www.lightningsafety.noaa.gov/media/index.htm) with
PGA Tour golfer Rocco Mediate and Vijay Singh, and Soccer Star Siri
Mullinix and video clips with some of the other lightning experts who
took part in the press conference.

Here is the Lightning Awareness Week Schedule for this year.

Day Topic
Monday Lightning Overview
Tuesday The Science of Lightning
Wednesday Lightning Safety Outdoors
Thursday Lightning Safety Indoors
Friday Medical Aspects

We will be sending the following information out each day during the
week to highlight the days message. For more information on lightning
safety, visit the National Weather Service Lightning Safety page at
www.lightningsafety.noaa.gov . Again, thank you for helping to get

this important information out to the public.

Monday - Lightning-The Underrated Killer

Lightning-The Underrated Killer

In the United States, there are an estimated 25 million cloud-to-ground lightning flashes each year. Lightning can be fascinating to watch, but it is also extremely dangerous. During the past 30 years, lightning killed an average of 67 people per year in the United States based on documented cases.

This is more than the average of 65 deaths per year caused by tornadoes and the average of 16 deaths per year caused by hurricanes. However, because lightning usually claims only one or two victims at a time, and because lightning does not cause the mass destruction left in the wake of tornadoes or hurricanes, lightning generally receives much less attention than the more destructive weather-related killers.

While documented lightning injuries in the United States average about 300 per year, undocumented injuries caused by lightning are likely much higher.

Lightning Safety Awareness: Education is Key

Few people really understand the dangers of lightning. Many people don't act promptly to protect their lives, property and the lives of others because they don't understand all the dangers associated with thunderstorms and lightning.

The first step in solving this problem is to educate people so that they become aware of the behavior that puts them at risk of being struck by lightning, and to let them know what they can do to reduce that risk. Coaches and other adults who make decisions affecting the safety of children must understand the dangers of lightning.

Watch for Developing Thunderstorms

Thunderstorms are most likely to develop on warm summer days and go through various stages of growth, development and dissipation. On a sunny day, as the sun heats the air, pockets of warmer air start to rise in the atmosphere.

When this air reaches a certain level in the atmosphere, cumulus clouds start to form. Continued heating can cause these clouds to grow vertically upward in the atmosphere into "towering cumulus" clouds. These towering cumulus may be one of the first indications of a developing thunderstorm.

The Lightning Discharge: Don't Be a Part of It

During a thunderstorm, each flash of cloud-to-ground lightning is a potential killer. The determining factor on whether a particular flash could be deadly depends on whether a person is in the path of the

lightning discharge. In addition to the visible flash that travels through the air, the current associated with the lightning discharge travels along the ground.

Although some victims are struck directly by the main lightning stroke, many victims are struck as the current moves in and along the ground. While virtually all people take some protective actions during the most dangerous part of thunderstorms, many leave themselves vulnerable to being struck by lightning as thunderstorms approach, depart, or are nearby.

An Approaching Thunderstorm: When to Seek Safe Shelter

Lightning can strike as far as 10 miles away from the rain area in a thunderstorm. That's about the distance you can hear thunder. When a storm is 10 miles away, it may even be difficult to tell a storm is coming.

IF YOU CAN HEAR THUNDER, YOU ARE WITHIN STRIKING DISTANCE. SEEK SAFE SHELTER IMMEDIATELY!

The first stroke of lightning is just as deadly as the last. If the sky looks threatening, take shelter before hearing thunder.

The 30-30 Rule

Use the 30-30 rule where visibilty is good and there is nothing obstructing your view of the thunderstorm. When you see lightning, count the time until you hear thunder. If that time is 30 seconds or less, the thunderstorm is within 6 miles of you and is dangerous. Seek shelter immediately.

The threat of lightning continues for much longer period than most people realize. Wait at least 30 minutes after the last clap of thunder before leaving shelter. Don't be fooled by sunshine or blue sky!

If it is cloudy or objects are obscuring your vision, get inside immediately. It is always safer to take precautions than to wait.

Outdoor Activities: Minimize the Risk of Being Struck

Most lightning deaths and injuries in the United States occur during the summer months when the combination of lightning and outdoor summertime activities reaches a peak. During the summer, people take advantage of the warm weather to enjoy a multitude of outdoor recreational activities.

Unfortunately, those outdoor recreational activities can put them at greater risk of being struck by lightning. People involved in activities such as boating, swimming, fishing, bicycling, golfing, jogging, walking, hiking, camping, or working out of doors all need to take the appropriate actions in a timely manner when thunderstorms approach.

Where organized sports activities take place, coaches, umpires, referees, or camp counselors must protect the safety of the participants by stopping the activities sooner, so that the participants and spectators can get to a safe place before the lightning threat becomes significant. To reduce the threat of death or injury, those in charge of organized outdoor activities should develop and follow to a plan to keep participants and spectators safe from lightning.

Indoor Activities: Things to Avoid

Inside homes, people must also avoid activities which put their lives at risk from a possible lightning strike. As with the outdoor activities, these activities should be avoided before, during, and after storms. In particular, people should stay away from windows and doors and avoid contact with anything that conducts electricity. People may also want to take certain actions well before the storm to protect property within their homes, such as electronic equipment.

Helping a Lightning Strike Victim

If a person is struck by lightning, medical care may be needed immediately to save the person's life. Cardiac arrest and irregularities, burns, and nerve damage are common in cases where people are struck by lightning. However, with proper treatment, including CPR if necessary, most victims survive a lightning strike, although the long-term effects on their lives and the lives of family members can be devastating.

Summary

Lightning is a dangerous threat to people in the United States, particularly those outside in the summer. With common sense, we can greatly reduce the number of lightning deaths. When thunderstorms threaten, get to a safe place, stay there longer than you think you need to, stay away from windows and doors and avoid contact with anything that conducts electricity.

Tuesday - Why do some clouds produce lightning and not others?

How Powerful is Lightning?

Each spark of lightning can reach over five miles in length, soar to temperatures of approximately 50,000 degrees Fahrenheit, and contain 100 million electrical volts.

Lightning Is A Random, Chaotic And Dangerous Fact Of Nature

At any given moment, there are 1,800 thunderstorms in progress somewhere on the earth. This amounts to 16 million storms each year! Scientists that study lightning have a better understanding today of the process that produces lightning, but there is still more to learn about the role of solar flares on the upper atmosphere, the earth's electromagnetic field, and ice in storms.

We know the cloud conditions needed to produce lightning, but cannot forecast the location or time of the next stroke of lightning. There are lightning detection systems in the United States and they monitor an average of 25 million strokes of lightning from the cloud to ground every year!

Lightning has been seen in volcanic eruptions, extremely intense forest fires, surface nuclear detonations, heavy snowstorms, and in large hurricanes, however, it is most often seen in thunderstorms.

A thunderstorm forms in air that has three components: moisture, instability and something such as a cold front to cause the air to rise. Continued rising motions within the storm may build the cloud to a height of 35,000 to 60,000 feet (6 to 10 miles) above sea level. Temperatures higher in the atmosphere are colder; ice forms in the higher parts of the cloud.

Ice In The Cloud Is Critical To The Lightning Process

Ice in a cloud seems to be a key element in the development of lightning. Storms that fail to produce quantities of ice may also fail to produce lightning. In a storm, the ice particles vary in size from small ice crystals to larger hailstones, but in the rising and sinking motions within the storm there are a lot of collisions between the particles.

This causes a separation of electrical charges. Positively charged ice crystals rise to the top of the thunderstorm, and negatively charged ice particles and hailstones drop to the middle and lower parts of the storm. Enormous charge differences (electrical differential) develops.

How Lightning Develops Between The Cloud And The Ground

A moving thunderstorm gathers another pool of positively charged particles along the ground that travel with the storm. As the differences in charges continue to increase, positively charged particles rise up taller objects such as trees, houses, and telephone poles.

Have you ever been under a storm and had your hair stand up? Yes, the particles also can move up you! This is one of nature's warning signs that says you are in the wrong place, and you may be a lightning target!

The negatively charged area in the storm will send out a charge toward the ground called a stepped leader. It is invisible to the human eye, and moves in steps in less than a second toward the ground. When it gets close to the ground, it is attracted by all these positively charged objects, and a channel develops. You see the electrical transfer in this channel as lightning. There may be several return strokes of electricity within the established channel that you will see as flickering lightning.

Thunder

The lightning channel heats rapidly to 50,000 degrees. The rapid expansion of heated air causes the thunder. Since light travels faster than sound in the atmosphere, the sound will be heard after the lightning. If you see lightning and hear thunder at the same time, that lightning is in your neighborhood!

Negative Lightning And Positive Lightning

Not all lightning forms in the negatively charged area low in the thunderstorm cloud. Some lightning originates in the cirrus anvil at the top of the thunderstorm. This area carries a large positive charge. Lightning from this area is called positive lightning.

This type is particularly dangerous for several reasons. It frequently strikes away from the rain core, either ahead or behind the thunderstorm. It can strike as far as 5 or 10 miles from the storm, in areas that most people do not consider to be a lightning risk area.

The other problem with positive lightning is it typically has a longer duration, so fires are more easily ignited. Positive lightning usually carries a high peak electrical current, which increases the lightning risk to an individual.

For more on the science of lightning, see the NOAA National Severe Storms Laboratory at http://www.nssl.noaa.gov/edu/ltg/

Wednesday - Lightning Safety Outdoors

Each year, about 400 children and adults in the U.S. are struck by lightning while working outside, at sports events, on the beach, mountain climbing, mowing the lawn or during other outdoor activities.

About 67 people are killed and several hundred more are left to cope with permanent disabilities. Many of these tragedies can be avoided. Finishing the game, getting a tan, or completing a work shift aren't worth death or crippling injury.

· All thunderstorms produce lightning and are dangerous. Lightning kills more people each year than tornadoes.

· Lightning often strikes as far as 10 miles away from any rainfall. Many deaths from lightning occur ahead of the storm because people try and wait to the last minute before seeking shelter.

· You are in danger from lightning if you can hear thunder. If you can hear thunder, lightning is close enough that it could strike your location at any moment.

· Lightning injuries can lead to permanent disabilities or death. On average, 10% of strike victims die; 70% of survivors suffer serious long term effects.

· Look for dark cloud bases and increasing wind. Every flash of lightning is dangerous, even the first. Head to safety before that first flash. If you hear thunder, head to safety!

· Blue Skies and Lightning. Lightning can travel sideways for up to 10 miles. Even when the sky looks blue and clear, be cautious. If you hear thunder, take cover. At least 10% of lightning occurs without visible clouds overhead in the sky.

The Single Most Dangerous Place

Outdoors is the most dangerous place to be during a lightning storm. When lightning is seen or thunder is heard, or when dark clouds are observed, quickly move indoors or into a hard-topped vehicle and remain there until well after the lightning storm ends. Listen to forecasts and warnings through NOAA Weather Radio or your local TV and radio stations. If lightning is forecast, plan an alternate activity or know where you can take cover quickly.

The U.S. lightning season is summer but lightning can strike year round! The Fourth of July is historically one of the most deadly times of the year for lightning. In summer, more people are outside, on the beach, golf course, mountains or ball fields. Outdoor jobs such as construction and agriculture, and outdoor chores such as lawn mowing or house painting are at their peak, putting those involved in danger.

Safety Rules

1. Postpone activities promptly. Don't wait for rain. Many people take shelter from the rain, but most people struck by lightning are not in the rain! Go quickly inside a completely enclosed building, not a carport, open garage or covered patio. If no enclosed building is convenient, get inside a hard-topped all-metal vehicle. A cave is a good option outside but move as far as possible from the cave entrance.

2. Be the lowest point. Lightning hits the tallest object. In the mountains if you are above treeline, you ARE the highest object around. Quickly get below treeline and get into a grove of small trees. Don't be the second tallest object during a lightning storm! Crouch down if you are in an exposed area.

3. Keep an eye on the sky. Look for darkening skies, flashes of lightning, or increasing wind, which may be signs of an approaching thunderstorm.

4. Listen for the sound of thunder. If you can hear thunder, go to a safe shelter immediately.

5. If you see or hear a thunderstorm coming or your hair stands on end, immediately suspend your game or practice and instruct everyone to go inside a sturdy building or car. Sturdy buildings are the safest place to be. Avoid sheds, picnic shelters, baseball dugouts, and bleachers. If no sturdy building is nearby, a hard-top vehicle with windows closed will offer some protection. The steel frame of the vehicle provides some protection if you are not touching metal.

6. Listen to NOAA Weather Radio. Coaches and other leaders should listen for a tone-alert feature during practice sessions and games.

7. If you can't get to a shelter, stay away from trees. If there is no shelter, crouch in the open, keeping twice as far away from a tree as it is tall.

8. Avoid leaning against vehicles. Get off bicycles and motorcycles.

9. Get out of the water. It's a great conductor of electricity. Stay off the beach and out of small boats or canoes. If caught in a boat, crouch down in the center of the boat away from metal hardware. Swimming, wading, snorkling and scuba diving are NOT safe. Lightning can strike the water and travel some distance beneath and away from its point of contact.Don't stand in puddles of water, even if wearing rubber boots.

10. Avoid metal! Drop metal backpacks, stay away from clothes lines, fences, exposed sheds and electrically conductive elevated objects. Don't hold on to metal items such golf clubs, fishing rods, tennis rackets or tools. Large metal objects can conduct lightning. Small metal objects can cause burns.

11. Move away from a group of people. Stay several yards away from other people. Don't share a bleacher bench or huddle in a group.

Lightning Safety on the Water

Before going boating, fishing,diving or enjoying other water sports or going out for business, check the forecast. If severe weather is predicted, stay home. If you must go out, take a radio and monitor forecasts. Return to shore as soon as possible if a storm is predicted. If you caught out in a storm, here's what do to:

· Divers are safer going deep for the duration of the storm or as long as possible. Lightning hits the surface and you are relatively safe if you dive.

· Get a lightning protection system for your boat or ship. See the Univerisity of Florida link below for more information.

· Stay in the center of the cabin if the boat is so designed. If no enclosure is available, stay low. Don't be a "stand-up human" lightning mast!

· Keep arms and legs in the boat. Do not dangle them in the water.

· Stop fishing, water skiing, swimming or other water activities when there is lightning or even when weather conditions look threatening. The first lightning strike can be a mile or more in front of an approaching thunderstorm cloud.

· Disconnect and do not use or touch the major electronic equipment, including the radio, throughout the duration of the storm.

· Lower, remove or tie down the radio antenna and other protruding devices if they are not part of the lightning protection system.

· To the degree possible, avoid making contact with any portion of the boat connected to the lightning protection system. Never be in contact with two components connected to the system at the same time.

Example: The gear levers and spotlight handle are both connected to the system. Should you have a hand on both when lightning strikes, the possibility of electrical current passing through your body from hand to hand is great. The path of the electrical current would be directly through your heart--a very deadly path!

· It would be desirable to have individuals aboard who are competent in cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and first aid. Many individuals struck by lightning or exposed to excessive electrical current can be saved with prompt and proper artificial respiration and/or CPR. There is no danger in touching persons after they have been struck by lightning.

· If a boat has been, or is suspected of having been, struck by lightning, check out the electrical system and the compasses to insure that no damage has occurred.

Here are some links on boating and lightning:

· University of Florida: Boating and Lightning

· Marine Lightning Protection Inc.

· National Ag Safety Database
What to do if someone is struck by lightning:

· Call for help. Call 9-1-1 or your local ambulance service. Get medical attention as quickly as possible.

· Give first aid. If the victim has stopped breathing, begin rescue breathing. If the heart has stopped beating, a trained person should give CPR. If the person has a pulse and is breathing, address any other injuries.

· Check for burns in two places. The injured person has received an electric shock and may be burned. Being struck by lightning can also cause nervous system damage, broken bones, and loss of hearing or eyesight. People struck by lightning carry no electrical charge that can shock other people. You can examine them without risk.

Stay Informed About the Storm

Listen to NOAA Weather Radio or local media for the latest severe thunderstorm WATCHES and WARNINGS. Severe thunderstorms are those storms with winds in excess of 58 mph or hail larger than 3/4 inches in diameter.

When conditions are favorable for severe weather to develop, a severe thunderstorm WATCH is issued.

Weather Service personnel use information from weather radar, satellite, lightning detection, spotters, and other sources to issue

severe thunderstorm WARNINGS for areas where severe weather is imminent. Remember, however, that ALL thunderstorms produce deadly lightning.

Thursday - Safe Shelters & Indoor Safety

What is a Safe Shelter?

A house or other substantial building offers the best protection from lightning. In assessing the safety provided by a particular structure, it is more important to consider what happens if the structure gets struck by lightning, rather than whether the structure will be hit by lightning.

For a shelter to provide protection from lightning, it must contain a mechanism for conducting the electrical current from the point of contact to the ground.

These mechanisms may be on the outside of the structure, may be contained within the walls of the structure, or may be a combination of the two. On the outside, lightning can travel along the outer shell of the building or may follow metal gutters and downspouts to the ground. Inside a structure, lightning can follow conductors such as the electrical wiring, plumbing, and telephone lines to the ground.

Avoid Unsafe Shelters!

Unless specifically designed to be lightning safe, small structures do little, if anything, to protect occupants from lightning. Many small open shelters on athletic fields, golf courses, parks, roadside picnic areas, schoolyards and elsewhere are designed to protect people from rain and sun, but not lightning.

A shelter that does not contain plumbing or wiring throughout, or some other mechanism for grounding from the roof to ground is not safe. Small wooden, vinyl, or metal sheds offer little or no protection from lightning and should be avoided during thunderstorms.

How Lightning Enters a House or Building

There are three main ways lightning enters homes and buildings: (1) a direct strike, (2) through wires or pipes that extend outside the structure, and (3) through the ground. Regardless of the method of entrance, once in a structure, the lightning can travel through the electrical, phone, plumbing, and radio/television reception systems. Lightning can also travel through any metal wires or bars in concrete walls or flooring.

Stay Safe While Inside

Phone use is the leading cause of indoor lightning injuries in the United States. Lightning can travel long distances in both phone and electrical wires, particularly in rural areas.

Stay away from windows and doors as these can provide the path for a direct strike to enter a home.

Do not lie on the concrete floor of a garage as it likely contains a wire mesh.

In general, basements are a safe place to go during thunderstorms. However, there are some things to keep in mind. Avoid contact with concrete walls which may contain metal reinforcing bars. Avoid washers and dryers since they not only have contacts with the plumbing and electrical systems, but also contain an electrical path to the outside through the dryer vent.

Remember Your Pets

You may want to consider the safety of your family pets during thunderstorms. Dog houses are not lightning-safe. Dogs that are chained to trees or chained to wire runners can easily fall victim to a lightning strike.

Protect Your Personal Property

Lightning also causes significant damage to personal property each year. In addition to direct strikes, lightning generates electrical surges that can damage electronic equipment some distance from the actual strike.

Typical surge protectors will NOT protect equipment from alightning strike. To the extent possible, unplug any appliances or electronic equipment from all conductors well before a thunderstorm threatens. This includes not only the electrical system, but also the reception system. If you plan to be away from your home when thunderstorms are possible, be sure to unplug unneeded equipment before you leave.

Summary of Lightning Safety Tips for Inside the Home

1. Avoid contact with corded phones

2. Avoid contact with electrical equipment or cords. If you plan to unplug any electronic equipment, do so well before the storm arrives.

3. Avoid contact with plumbing. Do not wash your hands, do not take a shower, do not wash dishes, and do not do laundry.

4. Stay away from windows and doors, and stay off porches.

5. Do not lie on concrete floors and do not lean against concrete walls.

Friday - Medical Aspects of Lightning

How Big A Problem Is This? Statistics

Lightning has been the second largest storm killer in the U.S. for the last 40 years, exceeded only by floods. A lightning strike can result in a cardiac arrest (heart stopping) at the time of the injury,

although some victims may appear to have a delayed death a few days later if they are resuscitated but have suffered irreversible brain damage.

According to Storm Data, a National Weather Service publication, the U.S. averages 67 reported lightning fatalities per year. Due to under reporting, the figures are more realistically about 100 deaths per year. Only about 10% of people who are struck by lightning are killed, leaving 90% with various degrees of disability.

ODDS OF BECOMING A LIGHTNING VICTIM

U.S. 2000 Census population 280,000,000
Odds of being struck by lightning in a given year (reported deaths + injuries) 1/700,000

Odds of being struck by lightning in a given year (estimated total deaths + injuries) 1/240,000

Odds of being struck in your lifetime (Est. 80 years) 1/3000

Odds you will be affected by someone being struck (Ten people affected for every one struck) 1/300

Who Gets Injured

While about one third of all injuries occur during work, workers compensation companies are often reluctant to acknowledge the injury or pay related medical expenses. About another third of injuries occur during recreational or sports activities. The last third occurs in diverse situation, including injuries to those inside buildings.

How Do Lightning Injuries Affect People?

Lightning tends to be a nervous system injury and may affect the brain, autonomic nervous system and the peripheral nervous system. When the brain is affected, the person often has difficulty with short-term memory, coding new information and accessing old information, multitasking, distractibility, irritability and personality change.

"Patients have difficulty in all areas that require them to analyze more items of information than they can handle simultaneously.

They present (appear) as slow because it takes longer for smaller than normal chunks of information to be processed.

They present as distractible because they do not have the spare capacity to monitor irrelevant stimuli at the same time as they are attending to the relevant stimulus.

They present as forgetful because while they are concentrating on point A, they do not have the processing space to think about point B simultaneously.

They present as inattentive because when the amount of information that they are given exceeds their capacities, they cannot take it all in."

Early on, survivors may complain of intense headaches, ringing in the ears, dizziness, nausea, vomiting and other post-concussion types of symptoms. Survivors may also experience difficulty sleeping, sometimes sleeping excessively at first and then only two or three hours at a time. A few may develop seizure-like activity several weeks to months after the injury.

Personality Changes / Self-Isolation

Many lightning victims may suffer personality changes because of frontal lobe damage and become quite irritable and easy to anger. People who wake up after the injury often do not have the ability to express what is wrong with them, may not recognize much, become embarrassed when they cannot carry on a conversation, work at their previous job, or do the activities that they used to handle.

As a result, many isolate themselves, withdrawing from church, friends, family and other activities. Friends, family and co-workers who see the same external person, may not understand why the survivor is so different. Friends soon stop coming by or asking them to participate in activities. Families who are not committed to each other break up.

Obviously, depression becomes a big problem for people who have changed so much and lost so much. Suicide is something almost all severely injured people have thought about at one time or another.

Occasionally, those who do not have access to medical care or who do not understand what is happening may resort to alcohol and other drugs, particularly those who have previously used these options. Family and friends of the survivor must remain supportive even though it may require an adjustment in their relationship with the survivor. An injury such as this affects the entire family, not just to the person hit.

Fatigue

Survivors often complain of becoming exhausted after only a few hours of work. This may be because tasks they used to do automatically now require intense concentration. Many return to work but find that they cannot do all of the activities required at their job.

Medical Testing

There are two kinds of medical tests:

· Anatomic tests take a simple picture (x-ray) or measurement (blood count)

· Functional tests show how something is working (PET, neuropsychological testing)

Sometimes function can be ascribed to the anatomic tests but often it cannot. The mental changes of a lightning survivor are functional (how the brain works) changes, not anatomic. Anatomic tests such as a CT scan and MRI are usually normal. More functional scans such as PET and SPECT may show changes but are hard to obtain due to their relative infrequency in medical centers.

To use an analogy: if an electric shock were sent through a computer, the outside case would probably look OK (similar to a photo or x-rays of the person), the computer boards on the inside would probably look OK and not be fused nor melted (CT, MRI for the person), but when you boot up the computer it would have difficulty accessing files, making calculations, printing, etc.

This situation is similar in a person with brain injury who has short-term memory problems, difficulty accessing and coding information, difficulty organizing output, etc.

More useful is a functional test of how a person's brain is working: a neurocognitive or neuropsychological testing. These tests are administered by a qualified neuropsychologist familiar with the literature in this area, not by a psychiatrist, and consist of a 6-8 hour battery of pen and paper tests including memory, IQ, organizational ability, and other how the parts of the brain are working kinds of tests. Survivors of lightning and electrical injury usually have a characteristic pattern of deficits.

Delayed Problems

Another common, often delayed, problem for some survivors is pain, also difficult to quantify and manage. The pain may not be from chronic intense headaches but may be in the back (perhaps from compression and disc injury from the intense muscle contractions which may throw a person several yards at the time of the injury), or in an extremity. Some may have nerve entrapment syndromes and a small number may eventually develop Sympathetically Mediated Pain Syndrome.

Sometimes the functional tests ordered are testing the wrong thing. An electromyogram (EMG) measures only the motor fibers, which are seldom affected by lightning injury. Smaller pain carrying nerve fibers are not tested by EMG so that a normal EMG means little when ordered for someone with pain. Likewise, the standard EEG primarily measure surface readings of the brain and misses seizure activity in several deeper regions.

Decreased libido and impotence are often reported.

Help Exists - Lightning Strike and Electric Shock Survivors, International, Support Group

An organization of tremendous help to survivors, families, physicians and other professionals is Lightning Strike and Electric Shock Survivors, International (LSESSI), a support group formed in 1989 by a lightning victim. LSESSI has printed materials, offers tremendous

support, networks survivors with others in their area, and provides an annual meeting where survivors come together for support and lectures from professionals who work with lightning and electrical survivors and their families. LSESSI can be reached at 910-346-4708, info@lightning-strike.org, or see their Website at http://www.lightning-strike.org/, or at P.O. Box 1156, Jacksonville, NC 28541-1156.

Four Factors Necessary for Recovery

The four most important factors in overcoming disability from lightning injury (or from any illness or major injury for that matter) are:

· Supportive family/friends network.

· Becoming your own advocate and learning as much as you can about this disability.

· A physician willing to listen, read, learn and work with the survivor and their family.

· A sense of humor.

Prevention

Far more important than treating survivors is preventing lightning injury. All of the people who helped make possible National Lightning Safety Awareness Week hope it will help you and your family learn how to avoid injury. Prevention is the KEY.
This factsheet courtesy Dr. Mary Ann Cooper- Associate Professor, Departments of Emergency Medicine and Bioengineering University of Illinois at Chicago

2416. Re: Lennie Backs Himself Into Corner Again...Will Claim He Meant To Do It....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 01:28:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118194101.209631.115000@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109648

rom: K4YZ<LastActionHero@usmc.com> on Jun 6, 3:00 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K4YZ on Jun 6, 3:00 am
>> >bb wrote:
>> >> Leo wrote:
>> >> > On 5 Jun 2005 05:41:50 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >
>> >> > >Leo, what word would be more appropriate than "bullshit" to
>> >> > >describe that claim?
>> >
>> >> > Incorrect? As in "that claim is incorrect...."
>> >
>> >> I'm with you on that one, Leo.
>> >
>> >> But instead of "that claim is incorrect," we are treated to LIAR,
>> >> and/or Gay Man accusations from Jim's little puppet. Jim >>>IS<<<
>> >> Quitefine with it all.
>> >
>
> Nooooooooooooooooooooo.....................

Tsk, tsk, tsk. The Last Action Hero tries to turn every
subject thread to HIMSELF (and his multiple personalities).

>> > You're treated to "liar" after you've made a claim and then failed
>> >to back it up with at least SOME form of reasonable corroboration.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>>
>> WHERE and WHEN were Stebie's CLAIMED "seven hostile actions"?
>
> Some of them were on the front pages...two weren't.

Ahhhh, wowee! "Secret ops!" [all very hush-hush]

> When is when I was still on Active Duty.

Which was when, 1974 to 1992?

> The rest is "For Me To Know An You To Find Out"...

Ho ho ho. Mighty warrior making with grade-school taunts.

OKAY...let's got down the line in the Almanac and see what
those "front page" hostile actions were:

1. The Vietanm War...was on-going in 1970 and ended 29 Apr 75 with the evacuation of all Americans from Siagon. And then had a brief restart with the capture of the US merchant ship Mayaguez. The USMC attacked a little island in "response."

2. The American Embassy in Iran was taken over in 1979 and several in a USAF-USA rescue force were killed before they could get started on that on 24 Apr 79. [too early for Stebie to have his "accident" and still serve]

3. A new USMC installation outside of Beirut, Lebanon was hit by a suicide truck bomber, killing many marines on 23 Oct 83. [was that when Stebie had his "accident?"]

3 (a) A South Korean airliner was shot down by USSR fighters on 1 Sep 83. No, not a USMC action; the U.S.Army recorded the air-air and air-ground USSR comms and that was played before the UN General Assembly.

4. The little island of Grenada was invaded by a combined U.S. military force on 25 Oct 83. [maybe THAT was the place that Stebie had his "accident?" too early]

4 (a) The cruise ship Achille Lauro was "shipjacked" by terrorists in 1985 but that didn't involve USMC.

4 (b) An Iraqi missled killed 37 on the USN frigate USS Stark on 17 May 87. All-USN, no USMC.

4 (c) The guided missle cruiser USS Vincennes shoots down an Iranian airliner on 3 Jul 88, killing 290. Nope, just USN "marksmanship" at work, no USMC.

5. U.S. forces invade Panama on 20 Dec 89, and eventually capture Manuel Noriega (courtesy of the U.S. Army). Now that MIGHT have been one of Stebie's hostile acts but too soon for his "accident."

6. Operation Desert Shield begins on 2 Aug 90 and Operation Desert Storm ends 27 Feb 91. Stebie doesn't brag about being in either Kuwait or Iraq or Saudi Arabia. USAF takes due credit for "Shield" and USA does most of "100 hour" ground war in "Storm" (see battle plan "73 Easting" at West Point).

7. UN combined force enters Somalia on 9 Dec 92 but pull out by 28 Feb 93. Stebie said he was NOT in Somalia.

7 (a) Branch Davidians catch fire on 28 Feb 93 but that is not a USMC action.

8. Combined U.S. force enters Haiti on 32 Mar 95 for peace-keeping purposes. [maybe Stebie tripped on something in front of a VA office in TN as a civilian and had his

"accident?"]

>> > Saying "My opinion is..." is one thing. That's black and white.
>>
>> Okay, now we understand...you no longer CLAIM "seven hostile
>> actions"...that is just YOUR OPINION.
>
> Nope.

Okay...it's just your BRAG. There's not enough for "seven" in
that list of "front page" news.

Were you shouldering your boresighted code key in your secret
ops you don't mention? Busy giving the "enemy" hell with your
morsemanship? Or weren't you simply doing REAR-AREA stuff and
polishing your own booties?

>> "Weekly evidence?" There are large-enough emergencies EVERY
>> WEEK in the USA that require amateur radio communications
>> assistance? [I don't think so...not even "almost"...]
>
> Yep.
>
> Weekly.

Tsk. You spelled "weakly" wrong twice.

>> Is it YOUR OPINION that you were an "Assistant" NCOIC at a
>> MARS station 24 years ago?
>
> No.
>
> It's a matter of RECORD that I was ANCOIC of the Okinawa
>Islandwide MARS System in 1981. I was NCOIC of NNN0MOC/MOF during that
>same time...

Tsk, tsk. All we readers get is a BROKEN RECORD in here,
unintelligible sound punctuated by cursing clicks.

Was that also a "secret ops" that you can't talk about?

>> Do you acknowledge that the U.S. military runs MARS or is it
>> still YOUR OPINION that "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?"
>
> It's always been my postition that MARS is run by DoD.

NOOOOOOO. You are ON RECORD - and in the archives of Google -
as having DENIED that. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> It's ALSO been my position that my comments from the post you
>continue to inaccurately paraphrase from were that the same spirit of
>service that permeates Amateur Radio is part and parcel of the MARS
>programs as they are almost completely fleshed out >>BY<< licensed,
>civilian Amateur Radio operators.

"Completely?" Tsk, tsk. No again. MARS was originally begun as a very small-scale (and low budget) ARMY project back in 1925...more for publicity for the Army than any real radio improvement (Motorola did more of that in 1940 than any bunch of amateur volunteers). USA and USAF combined that activity after WW2 and gave it the name of MILITARY AFFILIATE Radio System. USN-USMC were last to join MARS in the sixties. If MARS is "completely fleshed out" by civilian volunteers, why are there USA and USAF MARS MILITARY Headquarter installations on MILITARY posts?

> No Amateur Radio = No MARS.

No Army experiment in 1925 and there never would have been a MARS. Sorry, you lost. MARS is NOT a player in any of the worldwide U.S. military tactical communications networks.

> It really is THAT simple.

The TRUTH is out there, but you keep retreating to your private internal FANTASY. Tsk, tsk.

2417. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 01:28:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118194125.398872.201950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109649

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> on Jun 7, 5:22 am
>
>
> > Getting a headache, Lennie?
> >
> > You should.
> >
> > That's from the rolling pin smacking your pointed little head for
> >making yet another STUPID and absolutely untrue assertion about AMATEUR
> >RADIO POLICY.
>
> > Only after the "Lennie Anderson Self Appreciation and
> >Glorification" show is over...when ever THAT may be...
>
> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...(SNIP)

You want to make good-and-sure that EVERYONE knows what a stain
you are on Veterans everywhere, don't you, Lennie.

Lennie Anderson...ex-Army radio mechaninc, and defiler of the
sacrifices of his "fellow" Veterans.

A scumbag.

Steve, K4YZ

2418. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 01:31:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118194272.771226.112910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109700

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Jun 1, 9:15 pm
>
> > >Note that these totals do not include licenses that
> > >have expired but are in the grace period. They also
> > >do not include club, military, RACES or other
> > >station-only licenses
> >
> > That's simply untrue, N...@aol.com. Military "calls" are
> > assigned by the MILITARY. FCC has NO legal jurisdiction
> > over USA military OR government radio.
>
> Nice try at an end run, Lennie. "Military" club stations ARE
> administered by the FCC.

A "military" club call is not a military station. It's hobby radio.

2419. Re: Lennie Backs Himself Into Corner Again...Will Claim He Meant To Do It....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 01:48:38 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118195318.603865.292830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109701

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> rom: K4YZ<LastActionHero@usmc.com> on Jun 6, 3:00 am

> > It's a matter of RECORD that I was ANCOIC of the Okinawa
> >Islandwide MARS System in 1981. I was NCOIC of NNN0MOC/MOF during that
> >same time...
>
> Tsk, tsk. All we readers get is a BROKEN RECORD in here,
> unintelligible sound punctuated by cursing clicks.
>
> Was that also a "secret ops" that you can't talk about?

Prove it. Show the RECORD.

> >> Do you acknowledge that the U.S. military runs MARS or is it
> >> still YOUR OPINION that "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?"
> >
> > It's always been my postition that MARS is run by DoD.
>
> NOOOOOOO. You are ON RECORD - and in the archives of Google -
> as having DENIED that. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Yep. First he said, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."

Then there was a long period of defending that, followed by an even
longer period of backpedalling. Then he came up with a new plan, the
one below.

All archived.

> > It's ALSO been my position that my comments from the post you
> >continue to inaccurately paraphrase from were that the same spirit of
> >service that permeates Amateur Radio is part and parcel of the MARS
> >programs as they are almost completely fleshed out >>BY<< licensed,
> >civilian Amateur Radio operators.

No paraphrase. It is an >>>EXACT<<< quote. All archived

2420. Re: Lennie Did Back Himself Into Corner Again...Claimed He Meant To Do It....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 02:04:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118196290.659048.145840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109702

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> rom: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> on Jun 6, 3:00 am

> > Some of them were on the front pages...two weren't.
>
> Ahhhh, wowee! "Secret ops!" [all very hush-hush]

I didn't say they were "secret ops", Lennie..You did.

I just said they weren't on the front pages.

Focus, Scumbag...Focus.

> > When is when I was still on Active Duty.
>
> Which was when, 1974 to 1992?
>
> > The rest is "For Me To Know An You To Find Out"...
>
> Ho ho ho. Mighty warrior making with grade-school taunts.

Nope...Just love rubbing your nose in it.

> OKAY...let's got down the line in the Almanac and see what
> those "front page" hostile actions were:
>
> 1. The Vietanm War...was on-going in 1970 and ended 29 Apr 75
> with the evacuation of all Americans from Siagon. And
> then had a brief restart with the capture of the US
> merchant ship Mayaguez. The USMC attacked a little island
> in "response."

Nope.

Big snip of other actions.

I told you, Lennie...It's none of your business.

You want to claim I wasn't there and it's "just a brag"...Have
fun.

You'll be lying to everyone here AND yourself.

Also...get the details of the Mayaguez operation correct,
please...

The USMC provided ground troops on that mission...It was also
supported by the USAF and the US Navy.

The "USMC" attacked nothing...the United States did. The Armed
Forces, including the Marine Corps, ONLY move on the orders of the
CIVILIAN government that was put there by the electorate.

> >> > Saying "My opinion is..." is one thing. That's black and white.
> >>
> >> Okay, now we understand...you no longer CLAIM "seven hostile
> >> actions"...that is just YOUR OPINION.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Okay...it's just your BRAG. There's not enough for "seven" in
> that list of "front page" news.

No brag.

And you missed some events, Lennie.

Short on facts again.

Embarrassing, isn't it, Scumbag? All that knowledge at your
fingertips and still coming up short...?!?!

> Were you shouldering your boresighted code key in your secret
> ops you don't mention? Busy giving the "enemy" hell with your
> morsemanship? Or weren't you simply doing REAR-AREA stuff and
> polishing your own booties?

I didn't say they were "secret ops".

You did.

>>I<< said they weren't on the front page. Not every deployment
of the Armed Forces is a banner news item.

> >> "Weekly evidence?" There are large-enough emergencies EVERY
> >> WEEK in the USA that require amateur radio communications
> >> assistance? [I don't think so...not even "almost"...]
> >
> > Yep.
> >
> > Weekly.
>
> Tsk. You spelled "weakly" wrong twice.

Nope. Got it right. Nice try at diversion,
though...Cute..Timely...

> >> Is it YOUR OPINION that you were an "Assistant" NCOIC at a
> >> MARS station 24 years ago?
> >
> > No.

> >
> > It's a matter of RECORD that I was ANCOIC of the Okinawa
> >Islandwide MARS System in 1981. I was NCOIC of NNN0MOC/MOF during that
> >same time...
>
> Tsk, tsk. All we readers get is a BROKEN RECORD in here,
> unintelligible sound punctuated by cursing clicks.
>
> Was that also a "secret ops" that you can't talk about?

I didn't say "secret ops", Lennie...YOU did.

I said it wasn't front page stuff.

> >> Do you acknowledge that the U.S. military runs MARS or is it
> >> still YOUR OPINION that "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?"
> >
> > It's always been my postition that MARS is run by DoD.
>
> NOOOOOOO. You are ON RECORD - and in the archives of Google -
> as having DENIED that. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

You are lying, Lennie. Again.

> > It's ALSO been my position that my comments from the post you
> >continue to inaccurately paraphrase from were that the same spirit of
> >service that permeates Amateur Radio is part and parcel of the MARS
> >programs as they are almost completely fleshed out >>BY<< licensed,
> >civilian Amateur Radio operators.
>
> "Completely?" Tsk, tsk. No again. MARS was originally begun
> as a very small-scale (and low budget) ARMY project back in
> 1925...more for publicity for the Army than any real radio
> improvement (Motorola did more of that in 1940 than any bunch
> of amateur volunteers). USA and USAF combined that activity
> after WW2 and gave it the name of MILITARY AFFILIATE Radio
> System. USN-USMC were last to join MARS in the sixties.

"Motorola" as a company didn't exist until 1947, Lennie, so how
did THAT happen?

Until 1947 "Motorola" was a "model" of car radio.

(Damn...bet THAT stings.....)

> If MARS is "completely fleshed out" by civilian volunteers, why
> are there USA and USAF MARS MILITARY Headquarter installations
> on MILITARY posts?

Lennie, if you're going to misquote me, it would behoove you to NOT
try and misquote me while simultaneously cut-and-pasting my exact words
from the previous post.

> > No Amateur Radio = No MARS.
>
> No Army experiment in 1925 and there never would have been a
> MARS. Sorry, you lost. MARS is NOT a player in any of the
> worldwide U.S. military tactical communications networks.
>
> > It really is THAT simple.
>
> The TRUTH is out there, but you keep retreating to your private
> internal FANTASY. Tsk, tsk.

The "internal fantasy" here, is yours. That being that you know anything truly meaningful about Amateur Radio or any of it's associated programs.

Steve, K4YZ

2421. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 02:07:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118196472.909963.17840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109703

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > I think the length of your reply would please Len.
> >
> > I seriously doubt it.
> >
> > I could quote "War and Peace" verbatim and still come up short on
> > most LennieRants.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You protest too much.

Protesting is legal, demostrates a strength of character and
dedication to one's values.

Steve, K4YZ

2422. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 02:15:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118196917.869754.125290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109704

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Jun 1, 9:15 pm
> >
> > > > >Note that these totals do not include licenses that
> > > > >have expired but are in the grace period. They also
> > > > >do not include club, military, RACES or other
> > > > >station-only licenses
> > >
> > > > That's simply untrue, N...@aol.com. Military "calls" are
> > > > assigned by the MILITARY. FCC has NO legal jurisdiction
> > > > over USA military OR government radio.
> >
> > Nice try at an end run, Lennie. "Military" club stations ARE
> > administered by the FCC.
>
> A "military" club call is not a military station. It's hobby radio.

I agree...But follow the above cited comments, Brian. LENNIE was
the one trying to suggest otherwise.

>>I<< stated that it's a "club station"...

Steve, K4YZ

2423. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 02:15:43 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <d0lca1le5io3i6l512henln9qdchfa2vdv@4ax.com>
Source record: 109707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 03 Jun 2005 20:23:07 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
wrote:

>Blue Cornchips wrote:
>
>
>>>Vijay Mukhi, a call centre analyst, said websites have sprung up in the
>>>US giving phone numbers of companies which use call centres in India,
>>>and listing Hindi swear words to be used to abuse staff. 'When you move
>>>jobs away from a country, there's going to be a lot of pent-up
>>>frustration which gets let out on Indian workers,' he said.
>
>There might not be so much frustration if they could understand enough
>English to understand your problem. I delt with a help desk in India
>over a credit card issue...never could get it across what my problem was
>no matter how many ways I attempted to expalin it, when I asked to speak
>to a manager, she hung up.
>Maybe these companies will finally get the message that customers are
>getting tired of dealing with people who can't understand enough English
>to be of any help and bring the support centers back to the U.S. Don't
>hold your breath.

The best I ever heard was the guy in the US who couldn't tell
from the accesn whom he was dealing with. The clue came when the help
desk guy told him the problem was because he didn't have sufficient
rupees in his account.

Personally I've had good and bad Indians and good and bad
USians.

If I can't understand either kind, I ask for someone who can
speak and troubleshoot in ubderstandable English.

2424. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 02:16:44 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <m6lca1h451atnvlg5q3glpnvkgjuno4j0s@4ax.com>
Source record: 109705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 04 Jun 2005 03:17:56 GMT, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote:

>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>> Blue Cornchips wrote:
>>
>>
>>>> Vijay Mukhi, a call centre analyst, said websites have sprung up in the
>>>> US giving phone numbers of companies which use call centres in India,
>>>> and listing Hindi swear words to be used to abuse staff. 'When you move
>>>> jobs away from a country, there's going to be a lot of pent-up
>>>> frustration which gets let out on Indian workers,' he said.
>>
>>
>> There might not be so much frustration if they could understand enough
>> English to understand your problem. I delt with a help desk in India
>> over a credit card issue...never could get it across what my problem was
>> no matter how many ways I attempted to expalin it, when I asked to speak
>> to a manager, she hung up.
>> Maybe these companies will finally get the message that customers are
>> getting tired of dealing with people who can't understand enough English
>> to be of any help and bring the support centers back to the U.S. Don't
>> hold your breath.
>
>
>It's worse than you think. The US politicians seem addicted to using overseas
>call centres. You'd think they would keep the jobs at home, being your public
>servants and all...
>

"your" where "you" is some value of "corporation".

>==========
>
>Georgia is one of 32 states using the same two call centers in India and another
>in Mexico for Spanish-speaking callers.
>
>http://www.11alive.com/specials/usworld/india/india_article.aspx?storyid=49513
>==========
>
>
>
>
>mike

2425. Re: Great introduction to HF techniques and practices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 02:19:39 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118197179.047273.69840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> For the newcomer (and not-so-newcomer too):
>
> http://www.alleged.com/radio/Harris/HF-Technology.pdf
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB

Tnx Hans, it's *definitely* a gotta-read.

w3rv

2426. Re: Wiseman finally kicked off NIM Busters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 03:56:12 UTC
From: stagger-pee <velocipederider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ca3b6$42a66c79$d1cc5767$1394@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 109708
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:7Bppe.221$RJ6.14702731@news.sisna.com...
> Well, I feel I should point this out, that never really was the real,
> "Roger Wiseman"... Indeed, it was an imposter--his real name: "Roger
> Dumbman"
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
> "Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
> news:bye.bye.woger@houston-hunks.com...
> > Woger has become persona non grata on all web boards operated by the
> > Admin of NIM Busters. The web boards have therefore been put into a
> > mode where a poster must have a previously-registered IP address in
> > order to post.
> >
> > This, of course, means that those boards are now censored, and that
> > Woger has dragged them down into the censorship quagmire where they
> > can no longer claim to be "free speech" boards.
> >
> > Woger has been booted yet again, but at some cost to those who booted
> > him. Typical.

Same shi+ different weekend, just like the Great Liberty Net. :)

Oh, did ya happen to hear yesterday, one of the Great Lib Net
'regulars' called into Alex Jones' live radio circus show on WWCR!

Folks it was a big time LAUGH RIOT! (I'll give y'all a hint, it was the
kookinschlong from MI who usually checks in later in the evening..
On and on he went with his tired old rant about he British Monarchy
and how all the worlds problems could be solved if the pope would
consecrate russia to some cat-o-lick saint...I think it was "the-virgin
our-lady-of-perpetual-motion" or something like that....)

The goofball would not f-n SHUT UP and Alex Jones *hung up on him*!

Now folks...when a paranoid loon like A.J. of Infowars hangs up on
you, you just know you're ready for a FREAKIN RUBBER ROOM....

I laughed so hard though I was gonna wet my BVD's!
If you missed it, you missed a classic paranoid shortwave radio moment.

"Its foulness was itself a desirable quality: the principle of
Dreckapotheke or "filth-medicine" dictated that the most disgusting

concoctions were the ones that did you the most good..."
-- "Cooking with Mummy", Sarah Bakewell (Today's quote of the day)

2427. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 03:57:10 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118203030.866528.68920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109709

From: "John Smith" on Tues 7 Jun 2005 13:13

>Len:
>
>Naaa, a bunch of toothless old farts wrote that, don't be fooled...
>these are the same men who confuse a hobby license with a Phd... they
>take themselves much too seriously and expect other too... now, you can
>be a dern fool and pay attention to all that, or ignore it all and seek
>truth...

"John Smith," ya gotta know da TERRITORY!

This place be da BLOG of the Mighty Macho Morsemen, serving
the nation through amateur radio!

First of all, only one in here apparently is "toothless," that
of the Cursing Tomb Sentinel who never shows any teeth in his
mighty photo portraits and "action" candids. [he of the
"seven hostile actions"]

Then we have the NO-Serving (except through morsemanship
which is their true representative of U.S. amateur radio)
who make jolly good laffs and pointy fingers at those of
us who have served our country in the military.

There are the apparently brain-damaged who are confused and
curse those of us who know the truth...because we have done
things not described by the official voice of radio, ARRL.

One of the greatest of the apparently brain-damaged was in
firm conviction of the ARRL Handbook as being "a standard
book on every [electronic] engineer's desk." He got very
upset when asked to prove that statement. Being a
"lecturer at the University of Hawaii" (instructor at a
junior college attached the university) he was unfamiliar
with engineering per se but was a champion of morsemanship
and once heard a ship "scream" through CW.

There have been many others, some no longer with us. They
all have variations on the common dreams of wish-fulfillment
and self-importance. They are a SERVICE TO THE NATION for
taking up the hobby of amateur radio, "proving it" by
pointing (heatedly) to the FCC's definition of "Amateur
Radio Service." Unfortunately they overlook the simple fact
that EVERY radio type and kind in Title 47 C.F.R. is called
a Service ("service" in Title 47 is a regulatory term).

They have their "academic certificates" in the form of FCC amateur radio license forms (suitable for framing) and thus are BETTER than PhDs. They are nearly all claiming they, as amateurs, are BETTER than professionals. Of course they are ...in their minds. Some have passed a morse code test at high rate and that is even GREATER than their "academic achievement" license certificates. They are RADIOTELEGRAPHERS! The nobelest achievement in their world. Never mind that actual jobs of radiotelegraphy are akin to chicken having teeth, they have FANGS of expertise and bite all who dare accost them in this newsgroup. They are CHAMPIONS, they are HEROES, they are a bit nuts with self-love, self-promotion, self-righteousness that doesn't stop.

"The truth is out there" is more than a TV show catchphrase. It is reality of the rest of the radio world. Few of these mighty macho morsemen have the courage to find out...they await their revelations in the pages of QST where they are spoon-fed what a few in Newington think is what they ought to know.

So, to coexist in this Morsemen's BLOG, one has to "agree" and kiss their figurative asses, take their unflogging cursing and denigrations. Morsemen RULE RADIO in this little BLOG. Morsemen must be fed a steady emotional diet of gratuitous (and unwarranted) praise for their mighty efforts and skills. THEY know all. Like already.

Get the picture? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2428. Re: Great introduction to HF techniques and practices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 03:59:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118203168.027214.20630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "K-slant-bar-zero-HB" on Tues 7 Jun 2005 17:07

>For the newcomer (and not-so-newcomer too):
>
>http://www.alleged.com/radio/Harris/HF-Technology.pdf

"Alleged?!?" :-)

Anyone can go to www.harris.com, click on "tactical radio"
text-button on the main page and get to the page to select
their TWO tutorials on radio communication. Actually FOUR
since they have the same material in Spanish. :-)

Of course at the Harris site they require a "registry"
before the downloads can begin. One must be veddy
OFFICIAL about it all. :-) No problem for me, I got
both of them...the first volume is what I have on paper,
they second one I haven't seen. Well done material.

Newcomers ought to begin with "Now You're Talking"
shouldn't they? That's veddy official for amateurs.
We can't have any of that MILITARY comms stuff in here,
can we? Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Going directly to the Harris site should be best considering
that ARRL in Newington has Harris transmitters for W1AW.
Ed Hare made a point of that in here over three years ago?
If Newington has authentic Harris transmitters, the Harris
website is therefore OFFICIAL for all hams.

Everbody get all ionized about the mighty HF ionosphere.
Beep, beep.

2429. Re: Lennie Backs Himself Into Corner Again...Will Claim He Meant To Do It....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 04:01:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118203306.941699.105760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109711

From: "bb" on Tues 7 Jun 2005 18:48

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> rom: K4YZ<LastActionHero@usmc.com> on Jun 6, 3:00 am
>
>> > It's a matter of RECORD that I was ANCOIC of the Okinawa
>> >Islandwide MARS System in 1981. I was NCOIC of NNN0MOC/MOF during that
>> >same time...
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. All we readers get is a BROKEN RECORD in here,
>> unintelligible sound punctuated by cursing clicks.
>>
>> Was that also a "secret ops" that you can't talk about?
>
>Prove it. Show the RECORD.

I can't prove it, haven't seen it. :-)

>> >> Do you acknowledge that the U.S. military runs MARS or is it
>> >> still YOUR OPINION that "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?"
>> >
>> > It's always been my postition that MARS is run by DoD.
>>
>> NOOOOOOO. You are ON RECORD - and in the archives of Google -
>
>> as having DENIED that. Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
>Yep. First he said, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."
>
>Then there was a long period of defending that, followed by an even
>longer period of backpedalling. Then he came up with a new plan, the
>one below.
>
>All archived.

All "LIES," Brian. Stebie never wrote any exact words and
denies it all. Someone of the great Anti-Stebie Conspiracy
got into Google and falsified the archives!

>> > It's ALSO been my position that my comments from the post you
>> >continue to inaccurately paraphrase from were that the same spirit of
>> >service that permeates Amateur Radio is part and parcel of the MARS
>> >programs as they are almost completely fleshed out >>BY<< licensed,
>> >civilian Amateur Radio operators.

>
>No paraphrase. It is an >>>EXACT<<< quote. All archived

It's part of the Big Conspiracy against Stebie.

2430. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 04:03:55 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118203435.511281.48130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109712

From: K4YZ on Jun 7, 9:28 pm

> You want to make good-and-sure that EVERYONE knows what a stain
>you are on Veterans everywhere, don't you, Lennie.
>
> Lennie Anderson...ex-Army radio mechaninc, and defiler of the
>sacrifices of his "fellow" Veterans.
>
> A scumbag.

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

2431. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 04:06:08 UTC
From: Korbin Dallas <korbindallas@dodgeit.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.06.08.04.06.02.316947@dodgeit.com>
Source record: 109713

On Mon, 06 Jun 2005 08:11:17 -0700, kelly wrote:

<SNIP>

Me I license about a dozen or so 10 - 15 year old kids a year as new hams.

What do you do to promote the hobby? Perhaps you have not made an effort
to promote the hobby to them, teach and mentor them.

Do something make a contribution, make a difference.

--
Korbin Dallas
The name was changed to protect the guilty.

2432. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 04:08:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118203680.400809.224160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109714

From: "K4YZ" on Tues 7 Jun 2005 01:56

> And once again you prove the REST of us right when we call you a
>self-serving blight on ALL Veterans. A liar for the ages.
>
> What you ARE, is a disgrace to the uniform you wore and a footprint
>on the graves of men who DID serve their country unselfishly.
>
> Scumbag.

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

2433. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 04:18:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ykupe.244$416.19254341@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109715

I was gonna say that!!! (but, you did say it better!)

But glad you clarified, certainly is close to my take on the whole thing--you think you might be the only one who has ever told them the truth--of how it all looks in others eyes? If not, you suppose the problem is that they didn't believe the first guy? <grin>

Warmest regards,
John
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1118203030.866528.68920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Tues 7 Jun 2005 13:13
>
>>Len:
>>
>>Naaa, a bunch of toothless old farts wrote that, don't be fooled...
>>these are the same men who confuse a hobby license with a Phd... they
>>take themselves much too seriously and expect other too... now, you
>>can
>>be a dern fool and pay attention to all that, or ignore it all and
>>seek
>>truth...
>
> "John Smith," ya gotta know da TERRITORY!
>
> This place be da BLOG of the Mighty Macho Morsemen, serving
> the nation through amateur radio!
>
> First of all, only one in here apparently is "toothless," that
> of the Cursing Tomb Sentinel who never shows any teeth in his
> mighty photo portraits and "action" candids. [he of the
> "seven hostile actions"]
>
> Then we have the NO-Serving (except through morsemanship
> which is their true representative of U.S. amateur radio)
> who make jolly good laffs and pointy fingers at those of
> us who have served our country in the military.
>
> There are the apparently brain-damaged who are confused and
> curse those of us who know the truth...because we have done
> things not described by the official voice of radio, ARRL.
>
> One of the greatest of the apparently brain-damaged was in
> firm conviction of the ARRL Handbook as being "a standard
> book on every [electronic] engineer's desk." He got very

> upset when asked to prove that statement. Being a
> "lecturer at the University of Hawaii" (instructor at a
> junior college attached the university) he was unfamiliar
> with engineering per se but was a champion of morsemanship
> and once heard a ship "scream" through CW.
>
> There have been many others, some no longer with us. They
> all have variations on the common dreams of wish-fulfillment
> and self-importance. They are a SERVICE TO THE NATION for
> taking up the hobby of amateur radio, "proving it" by
> pointing (heatedly) to the FCC's definition of "Amateur
> Radio Service." Unfortunately they overlook the simple fact
> that EVERY radio type and kind in Title 47 C.F.R. is called
> a Service ("service" in Title 47 is a regulatory term).
>
> They have their "academic certificates" in the form of FCC
> amateur radio license forms (suitable for framing) and thus
> are BETTER than PhDs. They are nearly all claiming they, as
> amateurs, are BETTER than professionals. Of course they are
> ...in their minds. Some have passed a morse code test at
> high rate and that is even GREATER than their "academic
> achievement" license certificates. They are RADIOTELEGRAPHERS!
> The nobelest achievement in their world. Never mind that
> actual jobs of radiotelegraphy are akin to chicken having teeth,
> they have FANGS of expertise and bite all who dare accost them
> in this newsgroup. They are CHAMPIONS, they are HEROES,
> they are a bit nuts with self-love, self-promotion, self-
> righteousness that doesn't stop.
>
> "The truth is out there" is more than a TV show catchphrase.
> It is reality of the rest of the radio world. Few of these
> mighty macho morsemen have the courage to find out...they
> await their revelations in the pages of QST where they are
> spoon-fed what a few in Newington think is what they ought
> to know.
>
> So, to coexist in this Morsemen's BLOG, one has to "agree"
> and kiss their figurative asses, take their unflogging
> cursing and denigrations. Morsemen RULE RADIO in this
> little BLOG. Morsemen must be fed a steady emotional diet
> of gratuitous (and unwarranted) praise for their mighty
> efforts and skills. THEY know all. Like already.
>
> Get the picture? :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2434. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 08:47:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118220468.534491.248940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109717

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ on Jun 7, 9:28 pm
>
>
>
> > You want to make good-and-sure that EVERYONE knows what a stain
> >you are on Veterans everywhere, don't you, Lennie.
> >
> > Lennie Anderson...ex-Army radio mechaninc, and defiler of the
> >sacrifices of his "fellow" Veterans.
> >
> > A scumbag.
>
>
> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...(SNIP)

You want to make good-and-sure that EVERYONE knows what a stain
you are on Veterans evrywhere, don;t you, Lennie.

Lennie Anderson...ex-Army radio mechanic, and defiler of the
sacrifices of his "fellow" Veterans.

A scumbag.

Steve, K4YZ

2435. Forget the "Young'uns"...Let's Just Be Glad There's No Hams Like Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 09:14:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118222041.175503.47240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109718

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon 6 Jun 2005 18:17
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "K0HB" on Mon 6 Jun 2005 03:14
> >
> >
> >The total number of amateur radio licensees as a percentage of the
> >US population is only one factor in the regulatory process. Other
> >factors include the number of *active* licensees, the way the
> >
> That's simply untrue, 'n...@aol.com'
>
> [FCC constantly polls all amateurs to see if they are *active*
> and thus only the *active* amateurs count for anything by the
> morsemen who are truly representative of amateur radio in their
> service to the nation]

I didn't get any farther than this to see what yet anotehr moronic anti-Amateur Radio rant this was.

Yet another validation of my claim that Leonard H. Anderson is a chronic, pathological liar.

"I am only here to civilly debte the Morse Code TEST issue."...Leonard H. Anderson.

Huge snip of obvious "I could be on HF right now but I'd rather insult people" rants......

> Morse code. The salvation of humankind, morse code is the only
> answer to immortality, truth, justice, and the American Way.

No, but right now, it's the ony way to an HF-authorized Amatreur Radio license in the United States, and is one of the simpilest, most easily employed modes in Amateur Radio communication.

Steve, K4YZ

2436. Re: Great introduction to HF techniques and practices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 10:01:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118221499.405592.179530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K-slant-bar-zero-HB" on Tues 7 Jun 2005 17:07
>
> >For the newcomer (and not-so-newcomer too):
> >
> >http://www.alleged.com/radio/Harris/HF-Technology.pdf
>
> "Alleged?!

Someone presnets a useful, informative bit of reference here,
Lennie, and all you can do is try to make an issue of the URL it came
from...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

> Anyone can go to www.harris.com...(SNIP)

Yes, they can.

So why didn't you?

> Of course at the Harris site they require a "registry"
> before the downloads can begin. One must be veddy
> OFFICIAL about it all. No problem for me, I got
> both of them...the first volume is what I have on paper,
> they second one I haven't seen. Well done material.

Now you're making fun of Harris?

> Newcomers ought to begin with "Now You're Talking"
> shouldn't they? That's veddy official for amateurs.
> We can't have any of that MILITARY comms stuff in here,
> can we? Tsk, tsk, tsk.

A swipe at ARRL where ARRL wasn't even mentioned...

Why am I (not) surprised...?!?!

> Everbody get all ionized about the mighty HF ionosphere.

Last I read, the ionosphere has SOME efect on ALL forms of
electromagnetic radiation, Lennie...nes pax?

> Beep, beep.

Gee...No "LenAnderson@ieee.org" this time...Guess you wanted us to forget that the Mighty Engineer missed some tidbit of technical reference that was of some REAL use...not another one of your retellings of the SINCGARS story you regale us with....

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2437. "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 10:27:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118226458.692609.89580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109720

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> from: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> on Jun 6, 3:00 am

> "Completely?" Tsk, tsk. No again. MARS was originally begun
> as a very small-scale (and low budget) ARMY project back in
> 1925...more for publicity for the Army than any real radio
> improvement (Motorola did more of that in 1940 than any bunch
> of amateur volunteers).

I am wondering how THAT happened, Lennie.

There was NO company named "Motorola" until 1947.

Until then, "Motorola" was just the model name for car radios...No
company...

So...how did "Motorola" do anything for Army communications in
1940...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2438. "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets MARS History And Present Day Mission Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 10:53:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118228028.761612.166120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109721

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> from: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> on Jun 6, 3:00 am

> > It's ALSO been my position that my comments from the post you
> >continue to inaccurately paraphrase from were that the same spirit of
> >service that permeates Amateur Radio is part and parcel of the MARS
> >programs as they are almost completely fleshed out >>BY<< licensed,
> >civilian Amateur Radio operators.
>
> "Completely?" Tsk, tsk. No again.

Lennie...WHY do you insist on obviously misquoting me when you just cut-and-pasted my LAST comments which were NOT what you tried to cite as a quote?

> MARS was originally begun
> as a very small-scale (and low budget) ARMY project back in
> 1925...(SNIP)

That was EIGHTY years ago, Lennie.

I am talking about MARS in the 21st Century...2005.

> (UNSNIP)....more for publicity for the Army than any real radio
> improvement...(SNIP)

It wasn't about "publicity". It was about utilizing the assets of thousands of licensed Amateurs to help a military communications service that was itself then less than 2 decades old at that time.

> (UNSNIP)...(Motorola did more of that in 1940 than any bunch
> of amateur volunteers).

Already slapped you around on this one...but hey, hate to miss another opprotunity to showcase YOUR errors. There was NO company named "Motorola" in 1940.

> USA and USAF combined that activity
> after WW2 and gave it the name of MILITARY AFFILIATE Radio
> System.

No, actually...It was known as the "Military AMATEUR Radio System" after WW2. It wasn't until later that the "Amateur" was changed to "Affiliate".

> USN-USMC were last to join MARS in the sixties. If
> MARS is "completely fleshed out" by civilian volunteers, why

> are there USA and USAF MARS MILITARY Headquarter installations
> on MILITARY posts?

I didn't say "completely".

I said "almost".

And those posts are on military posts for the same reason HQ
CAP-USAF is on a military "post"...The military is in control of the
program, it's "civilian" nature notwithstanding.

However just like CAP, if MARS's civilian volunteers take a hike,
the program is kaput.

> > No Amateur Radio = No MARS.
>
> No Army experiment in 1925 and there never would have been a
> MARS. Sorry, you lost.

How can I "lose" something YOU don't have correct, Lennie...?!?!

I have NEVER, not ONCE, questioned the origins of the MARS program.

You are ONLY correct that had the Army not initiated the program
in 1925, that MARS today would likely not exist today...

However it DOES exist, but as a totally different entity than the
one started in 1925.

The roots of the program are 80 years old. However the leaves
that bloom today >>ARE<< today's products...not those of 80 years ago.

> MARS is NOT a player in any of the
> worldwide U.S. military tactical communications networks.

Who said it was? >>MY<< comments have been about MARS's modern day
role as it pertains to it's Amateur Radio membership, emergency
communications, etc.

It seems to me that you are seriously lacking in a real-world,
modern day understanding of what MARS is TODAY...

You can obviously quote from the manuals OK, but have NO
understanding of what the words mean.

Time to move forward, Lennie...with the rest of us...

Steve, K4YZ

2439. And Incase Lennie Doubted that MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 11:04:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118228665.926303.316670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109722

QUOTE from Chief Army MARS:

MARS has grown in all of the services throughout the world. It relies on civilian and military MARS members to be available in case of emergency or disaster to provide communications support. At such times, MARS needs all of the support it can provide. Amateur Radio, collectively with MARS, has made its mark in American history. Each year provides new evidence of the important role it plays in the service of the nation.

UNQUOTE

Since Lennie only believes that "reality" springs from URL's, he can go to:

http://www.asc.army.mil/mars/history.htm

...to get it from the horse's mouth.

Will Lennie now tell us that Chief MARS was assaulted, dragged off and drugged by ARRL PsiWar ops and forced to make this statement under duress...?!?!

"I Am Laughing At The Superior Intellect"

Steve, K4YZ

2440. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 13:05:44 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <5bydnTE16c48jjrfRVn-sQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109724

John Smith wrote:
> Quit corn-holing young boys, you are worse than a catholic priest with
> his frock up, or a female school teacher at lunchtime in the back seat
> of her car!!!

I will ask again, are you a ham?

2441. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 15:36:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <MfEpe.14$7v3.1496197@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109723

Quit corn-holing young boys, you are worse than a catholic priest with his frock up, or a female school teacher at lunchtime in the back seat of her car!!!

John
"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message news:UYGdnVYVjpar4jvfRVn-vg@gbronline.com...
> Userbeam Remailer wrote:
>>
>> "Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!
>>
>
> Get lost wogie, nobody is listening to you, two-test fatboy.

2442. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 15:55:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118246142.589661.266300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109725

Korbin Dallas wrote:
> On Mon, 06 Jun 2005 08:11:17 -0700, kelly wrote:
>
>
> <SNIP>
>
>
> Me I license about a dozen or so 10 - 15 year old kids a year as new hams.

Outstanding. Where/how do you find all these kids?

> What do you do to promote the hobby? Perhaps you have not made an effort
> to promote the hobby to them, teach and mentor them.

That's true although over the years I have managed to bring a few kids
into the hobby when the opportunity presented itself. But I don't go
out looking for candidate kids. Not everyone is cut out to
recruit/teach kids anything on an ongoing basis and I'm one of those.

> Do something make a contribution, make a difference.

Welp let's see here . . I recently led an effort which raised a bit
over $2,000 for the ARRL Spectrum Defense Fund part of which was my
check for a hundred bucks. Helping preserve the bands for the kids,
etc. Does that count?

> --
> Korbin Dallas
> The name was changed to protect the guilty.

Hmmmm . .

w3rv

2443. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 18:44:14 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200506.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <e7fb531df404749e553d44ad727d3440@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 109726

In article <1118226458.692609.89580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > from: K4YZ <k...@aol.com> on Jun 6, 3:00 am
>
> > "Completely?" Tsk, tsk. No again. MARS was originally
begun
> > as a very small-scale (and low budget) ARMY project back
in
> > 1925...more for publicity for the Army than any real radio
> > improvement (Motorola did more of that in 1940 than any
bunch
> > of amateur volunteers).
>
> I am wondering how THAT happened, Lennie.
>
> There was NO company named "Motorola" until 1947.
>
> Until then, "Motorola" was just the model name for car
radios...No
> company...
>
> So...how did "Motorola" do anything for Army
communications in
> 1940...?!?!

More lies, Nursie? Where's your documentation?

2444. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 19:17:51 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <zvHpe.1077$eM6.561@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109727

>
> There was NO company named "Motorola" until 1947.
>
> Until then, "Motorola" was just the model name for car radios...No
> company...
>
> So...how did "Motorola" do anything for Army communications in
> 1940...?!?!
>
Must have been the Galvin(sp) company, the ancestor of Motorola.

2445. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 19:37:30 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118259450.078138.49800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109728

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> In article <1118226458.692609.89580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > from: K4YZ <k...@aol.com> on Jun 6, 3:00 am
> >
> > > "Completely?" Tsk, tsk. No again. MARS was originally
> begun
> > > as a very small-scale (and low budget) ARMY project back
> in
> > > 1925...more for publicity for the Army than any real radio
> > > improvement (Motorola did more of that in 1940 than any
> bunch
> > > of amateur volunteers).
> >
> > I am wondering how THAT happened, Lennie.
> >
> > There was NO company named "Motorola" until 1947.
> >
> > Until then, "Motorola" was just the model name for car
> radios...No
> > company...
> >
> > So...how did "Motorola" do anything for Army
> communications in
> > 1940...?!?!
>
> More lies, Nursie? Where's your documentation?

Try this: http://www.motorola.com/content/0,,115-110,00.html

DUH??!

2446. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 19:57:58 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <a5Ipe.121$yg4.73@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 109729

```
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:qoqpe.753$eM6.722@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:4qape.326$zN5.316@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:ND5pe.363$VK4.108@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> >> news:Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com...
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>
> >> >>>KC8GXW wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
> >> >>>>Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a >
> > give
> >> >>>> away tech license! :)
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
> >> >>>what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >>>
> >> >>
> >> >> I said that. And I mean it. The tests today are a joke. Why you
> > ask?
> >> >> Because the questions and answers are right there in front of the
> > person.
> >> >> Sure he has to study a lot of questions. But there they are on the
> > test.
> >> >> A give away.
> >> >>
> >> >> And lets not even talk about the CW situation.
> >> >>
> >> >> It's NOT numbers we need, it people that respect the traditions of
> >> >> ham
```

> >> >> radio and want to continue them. Its people that love ham radio and
> >> >> don't want to use to to order a pizza.
> >> >>
> >> >> Call me old fashioned and out of touch. It won't be the first time.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > Is there really any need for much technical knowledge to obtain a ham
> >> > license anymore? Why a need for technical knowlege when setting up
and
> >> > operating a station today is simply plug-an-play? How many hams
> >> > constuct
> >> > any equipment they use on the air any more? The most tech knowledge
> >> > that
> >> > might be required is maybe how to build and put up and adjust an
> > antenna.
> >> > Perhaps the test should focus more on rules, regulations and proper
> >> > operating procedures. The most technical that hams get today is
knowing
> >> > how many frequencies they can store in the radios memory.
> >> >
> >>
> >> I am not all that concerned about the "technical knowledge" side of the
> >> test.
> >> I think basic technical knowledge is all that is really necessary.
Like
> >> being
> >> able to cut a simple dipole, understand the terminology, have a working
> >> knowledge in block diagram format, for what is happening inside that
> > radio.
> >>
> >> And I totally agree that the test should be heavy on rules, regs, and
> > PROPER
> >> OPERATING PROCEDURES....like don't talk CB Crap on HF SSB. \
> >> >
> >> > As you say Dan, with the joke they use for testing today, no
technical
> >> > knowledge is required anyway, just memorize the answers to the
> >> > questions
> >> > and off you go. So maybe if the tests were geared more to regs and
> >> > operating procedures, then even with the memoriziation some of it
might
> >> > soak in and maybe there would less cb type operating on the ham
bands.
> >> >
> >> We agree it lots here, eh?
> >>
> >> > It is pretty bad when as I heard not long ago on a 2 meter reperter,

"I
> >> > just got my license, can someone tell me what frequencies I can
> > operate?"
> >> > Cheeese.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Or what I heard on the 75meter EKTRA band......I wanna cut a new dipole
> >> for 80m phone (First off what is 80 meter phone?)(I always thought it
was
> >> 75meter phone). Out of the 5 people in the group, one had it right.
> >> Amazing.
> >>
> >> > Even wogie wussman passed the test, that in itself speaks volumns
about
> >> > how easy the they are.
> >>
> >> He should have done real good the second time around...yuk yuk.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Hello, again, Dan
> >
> > Yep, 75 is phone, 80 is CW. At least that was the reference back in the
> > days.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> And for all those that are "confused".....here it is;
>
> 300/Fmhz = length in meters.
>
> thus......300/4.0Mhz = 75meters.
>
> 300/3.75Mhz= 80meters.
>
> and........300/3.5Mhz = 85.7meters.
>
> see?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Hello, Dan

The numbers are only approximate and some of the "bands" don't fall where you'd expect them to ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2447. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 20:52:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118263927.962390.287340@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109730

Fritz Wuehler wrote:

> More lies, Nursie? Where's your documentation?

Excuse me...

Are you even a person?

Steve, K4YZ

2448. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 20:55:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118264123.041417.191580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109731

robert casey wrote:
> >
> > There was NO company named "Motorola" until 1947.
> >
> > Until then, "Motorola" was just the model name for car radios...No
> > company...
> >
> > So...how did "Motorola" do anything for Army communications in
> > 1940...?!?!
> >
> Must have been the Galvin(sp) company, the ancestor of Motorola.

Absolutely correct, Mr Casey.

From: http://www.hitechwireless.cc/html/history.html

To wit:

The company was founded by Paul V. Galvin as the Galvin Manufacturing
Corporation, in Chicago, Illinois, in 1928. Its first product was a
"battery eliminator," allowing consumers to operate radios directly
from household current instead of the batteries supplied with early
models. In the 1930s, the company successfully commercialized car
radios under the brand name "Motorola," a word suggesting sound in
motion. During this period, the company also established home radio and
police radio departments; instituted pioneering personnel programs; and
began national advertising. The name of the company was changed to
Motorola, Inc., in 1947.

UNQUOTE

73

Steve, K4YZ

2449. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 21:10:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118265045.240030.74330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109732

From: "John Smith" on Tues 7 Jun 2005 21:18

>I was gonna say that!!! (but, you did say it better!)

Thank you...but it's only a summation of what has gone on in
here for years, said by others as well as I. :-)

>But glad you clarified, certainly is close to my take on the whole
>thing--you think you might be the only one who has ever told them the
>truth--of how it all looks in others eyes? If not, you suppose the
>problem is that they didn't believe the first guy? <grin>

Actually there were dozens in here since 1996 trying to
point out the TRUTH, but the die-hard morsemen would have
none of it, preferring their own wonderful fantasies. Most
simply got tired of wasting their time trying to argue
against those (sometimes irrational) "believers."

One of the redoubtable fanstasizers was Jim Kehler, KH2D,
on Guam. He went so far as to "found" the fake organization
known as "No SSB International" to counter the established
No Code International (NCI) organiztion. NSI had very good
web page design but was hampered by their "leader," Kehler,
possessed of a zealot's blunt axe of words. NSI no longer
exists as any effective group. NCI continues. NCI leaders
helped to bring about the revision of S25 for international
amateur radio standards at WRC-03...along with the IARU...
even though hampered by the resistance of ARRL at the time.
Kehler had some kind of illness and moved to the contiguous
USA a few years ago. He had a website of his own (may still
have) and kept digging and digging at those code-tested he
did not like...in rather not-nice terms such as "brain-dead
old farts." :-)

The international maritime world selected GMDSS as THE
distress-and-safety automatic calling system a few years
ago, abandoning the old, romantic stalwart 500 KHz distress
frequency with its "CW" only capability. The USCG has
stopped monitoring that 500 KHz frequency. Some in here
(notably W0EX in Missouri, probably SK now) tried to tell
all "it wouldn't work!" by repeatedly quoting some retired
mariner (who was oblivious to the fact that the international
maritime folks had already tested the system and found that
it does work!). The FCC has added GMDSS commercial licensing
class categories (a few years ago) in Title 47 C.F.R. and
COLEMs do the testing for those (rather simple) written

license tests.

The ICAO (International Civil Aviation Organization) has abandoned "CW" necessity for long over-water flights and air carrier navigator-radio personnel were "downsized" (laid-off, given their pension monies, waved bye-bye). ICAO switched to voice on HF for such tasks.

Maritimers on the shipping lanes now use SSB voice and various TORs (Teleprinter Over Radio) on HF for long-distance communications. More expeditious for them, less error-prone than "CW." River and harbor communications switched to VHF voice decades ago for water-borne radio communications.

Outside of some long-ago-installed automatic station identifiers using preset ID beepers, the only users of "CW" in the USA are the amateur radiotelegraphers. Members of the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society) do some remarkable rationalization on "why" all MUST test for morsemanship to gain HF privileges: "It is the SECOND-most popular mode;" "it is needed so all can communicate with third-world hams;" "it is a 'traditional' and 'basic' mode;" "they need to know it so that all can communicate during extreme emergencies (perhaps when space aliens invade the earth)." The old, tired, trite "CW gets through when nothing else will" still surfaces even though first coined in the 1930s. Put into terms of reality, the morsemen had to test for it and therefore all newcomers have to do it (the "jump through the hoops" hazing syndrome)...nya, nya. :-)

A sub-group of the mighty macho morsemen are the ex-service-members (military service that is) who demand a militaristic structure of defined rank-status-privilege with the "best" privileges naturally bestowed on those with the highest rank. [they self-define themselves as "deserving" of it] All of the military mighty macho morsemen are anal to a point of near-insanity on strict, unbending legalities with implied capital-offense punishment to be immediately applied to the poor souls who look for change in regulations. They "believe" all the usual political propaganda statements to be (almost) words of God and gleefully pin on their "deserved" medals for engaging in a hobby activity that requires federal regulation (due to the nature of EM physics).

All that fuss and furor over a HOBBY activity! None may say nay to the divine-right of ham rule by the mighty macho morsemen! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2450. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 21:17:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118265437.331975.322380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109733

From: Fritz Wuehler on Jun 8, 2:44 pm

>"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > from: K4YZ <k...@aol.com> on Jun 6, 3:00 am

>> > "Completely?" Tsk, tsk. No again. MARS was originally begun
>> > as a very small-scale (and low budget) ARMY project back in
>> > 1925...more for publicity for the Army than any real radio
>> > improvement (Motorola did more of that in 1940 than any bunch
>> > of amateur volunteers).
>
>> I am wondering how THAT happened, Lennie.
>
>> There was NO company named "Motorola" until 1947.
>
>> Until then, "Motorola" was just the model name for car radios...No
>> company...
>
>> So...how did "Motorola" do anything for Army communications in
>> 1940...?!?!
>
>More lies, Nursie? Where's your documentation?

Fritz, this self-styled "radio history expert" doesn't have much
in the way of documentation for anything.

Galvin Manufacturing Co. was founded in 1928 by brothers Paul
and Joe Galvin, buying out a small company that made "battery
eliminators." In Chicago, IL, long their corporate home.

In 1930 Paul Galvin coined the company logo "Motorola." Galvin
had gotten into making automobile radios. The MOTOROLA logo
had become more common in the pre-WW2 electronics industry than
"Galvin." Not an easy task to do that right when the Great
Depression had begun in the USA.

On an invite from the Army to observe radio use in manuever
exercises, Paul Galvin and a few high staff expressed their
thoughts that they could improve small-unit radio capability.
The Army invited them to try. The result was the SCR-536
"handie-talkie" which was contracted for in 1940. Although
working on HF and using a battery two-thirds the size of the
one-hand radio, it came into widespread military use just
prior to the USA's entry into WW2. Even the Secret Service

used them in protecting FDR in 1940.

Galvin Mfg had already gotten into making vehicular radios for police prior to 1940 and Paul Galvin offered Dan Noble (then working for Link) a position to improve police radios with FM. As the USA was forced into WW2, and the production of the first HT was ramped up, Noble did most of the design of the first "walkie-talkie", the SCR-300/BC-1000 manpack transceiver that operated at VHF, not HF. The first operational units of that were delivered in 1943.

All of the above can be found in Paul Galvin's biography (which I had in hardbound form). Some of it is on the MOTOROLA corporate website.

Galvin Mfg also got into the little-publicized, but massive quartz crystal unit fabrication during WW2, winding up as the central "control point" for the efforts of up to 60 large and small quartz crystal unit fabricators. Quartz crystal unit production had the second highest priority during WW2 in the USA, second only to the Manhattan Project. Total production between 1942 and 1945 was one million units per month. [source: Documentation on the Corning Frequency Control website, mainly a paper by a retired PhD who worked on them and published after WW2 in an engineering journal]

Galvin Manufacturing Co. changed its corporate title to MOTOROLA in 1947. That was just pro forma action since the MOTOROLA logo was now well known in the industry and had been in existance for 17 years. In 1949 MOTOROLA established their Arizona semiconductor division and became one of the largest of the semiconductor makers. The corporate name of MOTOROLA and the later stylized M in a circle are both familiar to anyone involved with radio communications or semiconductors in the electronics industry. MOTOROLA is a familiar name seen by TV watchers or football games and NASCAR races. MOTOROLA is well-known among public safety communicators since the brand has been out in public since 1930.

You have to understand that Gonad the Librarian just doesn't have anything worthwhile in electronics industry experience. He wasn't born when MOTOROLA became a legal corporate identity. All he really wants to do in here is FIGHT with those he doesn't like. He is not good at that since he doesn't have either the knowledge or the experience beyond his amateur radio certificate (suitable for framing). For example, he will not know that ON Semiconductor is a separate corporate structure spun off of Motorola Semiconductor, which itself was established in 1949...but, he does know the year in which Galvin Manufacturing legally changed its name to MOTOROLA, did not move from its Chicago location in the process and only changed a lot of company letterheads and forms. So,

he tries to FIGHT, FIGHT, FIGHT, attempting some "humiliation"
of others that he perceives as his "enemies." :-)

Some wordplay on corporate identities is to be expected since
Stebie da Wundermarine can't really top those of us who have
been IN radio and electronics longer than he has been alive.
His attempts at wordplay using (to him) foreign phrases do
not work well, especially when he misspells the French "nes pas"
as "nes pax" (exchaning a French word with Spanish word for
"peace"). He does not recognize peace. A pun that is not,
as Yoda might say.

He should eat his gefilte fish and latkes in hopes that his
digestion might improve by not shouting PUTZ! at his "enemies"
so much. Kosher he is not. Oy, gevalt.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2451. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 21:35:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ywJpe.63$F36.4456604@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109734

Yes.

Well, you cannot disguise the fact that the over 60 crowd just don't
have the energy or enthusiasm as the teenage to 30 crowd--or the fact
that these younger people are actively engaged in the research,
development and production phases of electronics. Why they may
effectively hang on limiting the hobby--this cannot not go on
indefinitely...

And, while it is true only those with a wet diaper can truly appreciate
change--change is in the wind... the next decade should provide a dip
in number of licenses which has never been seen before... this is only
the beginning...

Warmest regards,
John
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1118265045.240030.74330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Tues 7 Jun 2005 21:18
>
>>I was gonna say that!!! (but, you did say it better!)
>
> Thank you...but it's only a summation of what has gone on in
> here for years, said by others as well as I. :-)
>
>
>>But glad you clarified, certainly is close to my take on the whole
>>thing--you think you might be the only one who has ever told them the
>>truth--of how it all looks in others eyes? If not, you suppose the
>>problem is that they didn't believe the first guy? <grin>
>
> Actually there were dozens in here since 1996 trying to
> point out the TRUTH, but the die-hard morsemen would have
> none of it, preferring their own wonderful fantasies. Most
> simply got tired of wasting their time trying to argue
> against those (sometimes irrational) "believers."
>
> One of the redoubtable fanstasizers was Jim Kehler, KH2D,
> on Guam. He went so far as to "found" the fake organization
> known as "No SSB International" to counter the established
> No Code International (NCI) organiztion. NSI had very good
> web page design but was hampered by their "leader," Kehler,
> possessed of a zealot's blunt axe of words. NSI no longer
> exists as any effective group. NCI continues. NCI leaders
> helped to bring about the revision of S25 for international

> amateur radio standards at WRC-03...along with the IARU...
> even though hampered by the resistance of ARRL at the time.
> Kehler had some kind of illness and moved to the contiguous
> USA a few years ago. He had a website of his own (may still
> have) and kept digging and digging at those code-tested he
> did not like...in rather not-nice terms such as "brain-dead
> old farts." :-)
>
> The international maritime world selected GMDSS as THE
> distress-and-safety automatic calling system a few years
> ago, abandoning the old, romantic stalwart 500 KHz distress
> frequency with its "CW" only capability. The USCG has
> stopped monitoring that 500 KHz frequency. Some in here
> (notably W0EX in Missouri, probably SK now) tried to tell
> all "it wouldn't work!" by repeatedly quoting some retired
> mariner (who was oblivious to the fact that the international
> maritime folks had already tested the system and found that
> it does work!). The FCC has added GMDSS commercial licensing
> class categories (a few years ago) in Title 47 C.F.R. and
> COLEMs do the testing for those (rather simple) written
> license tests.
>
> The ICAO (International Civil Aviation Organization) has
> abandoned "CW" necessity for long over-water flights and
> air carrier navigator-radio personnel were "downsized"
> (laid-off, given their pension monies, waved bye-bye).
> ICAO switched to voice on HF for such tasks.
>
> Maritimers on the shipping lanes now use SSB voice and
> various TORs (Teleprinter Over Radio) on HF for long-distance
> communications. More expeditious for them, less error-prone
> than "CW." River and harbor communications switched to VHF
> voice decades ago for water-borne radio communications.
>
> Outside of some long-ago-installed automatic station
> identifiers using preset ID beepers, the only users of "CW"
> in the USA are the amateur radiotelegraphers. Members of
> the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society) do some remarkable
> rationalization on "why" all MUST test for morsemanship to
> gain HF privileges: "It is the SECOND-most popular mode;"
> "it is needed so all can communicate with third-world hams;"
> "it is a 'traditional' and 'basic' mode;" "they need to know
> it so that all can communicate during extreme emergencies
> (perhaps when space aliens invade the earth)." The old,
> tired, trite "CW gets through when nothing else will" still
> surfaces even though first coined in the 1930s. Put into
> terms of reality, the morsemen had to test for it and
> therefore all newcomers have to do it (the "jump through the
> hoops" hazing syndrome)...nya, nya. :-)
>

> A sub-group of the mighty macho morsemen are the ex-service-
> members (military service that is) who demand a militaristic
> structure of defined rank-status-privilege with the "best"
> privileges naturally bestowed on those with the highest rank.
> [they self-define themselves as "deserving" of it] All of
> the military mighty macho morsemen are anal to a point of
> near-insanity on strict, unbending legalities with implied
> capital-offense punishment to be immediately applied to the
> poor souls who look for change in regulations. They
> "believe" all the usual political propaganda statements to
> be (almost) words of God and gleefully pin on their "deserved"
> medals for engaging in a hobby activity that requires federal
> regulation (due to the nature of EM physics).
>
> All that fuss and furor over a HOBBY activity! None may say
> nay to the divine-right of ham rule by the mighty macho
> morsemen! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2452. Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 21:50:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <YKJpe.67$Nh6.4486192@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109735

You would think the ARRL high priest would not abandon these guys--times must be tough...
http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1

John
--
Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality, right before my eyes--in real time!
Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?

2453. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 21:55:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <aPJpe.68$9p6.4507681@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109736

Len:

A direct quote from Jim Haynie, "The ARRL president asserted that many
Amateur Extra class licensees couldn't pass today's Element 4
examination if they had to..."
Complete article at:
http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1

Warmest regards,
John
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1118265045.240030.74330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Tues 7 Jun 2005 21:18
>
>>I was gonna say that!!! (but, you did say it better!)
>
> Thank you...but it's only a summation of what has gone on in
> here for years, said by others as well as I. :-)
>
>
>>But glad you clarified, certainly is close to my take on the whole
>>thing--you think you might be the only one who has ever told them the
>>truth--of how it all looks in others eyes? If not, you suppose the
>>problem is that they didn't believe the first guy? <grin>
>
> Actually there were dozens in here since 1996 trying to
> point out the TRUTH, but the die-hard morsemen would have
> none of it, preferring their own wonderful fantasies. Most
> simply got tired of wasting their time trying to argue
> against those (sometimes irrational) "believers."
>
> One of the redoubtable fanstasizers was Jim Kehler, KH2D,
> on Guam. He went so far as to "found" the fake organization
> known as "No SSB International" to counter the established
> No Code International (NCI) organiztion. NSI had very good
> web page design but was hampered by their "leader," Kehler,
> possessed of a zealot's blunt axe of words. NSI no longer
> exists as any effective group. NCI continues. NCI leaders
> helped to bring about the revision of S25 for international
> amateur radio standards at WRC-03...along with the IARU...
> even though hampered by the resistance of ARRL at the time.
> Kehler had some kind of illness and moved to the contiguous
> USA a few years ago. He had a website of his own (may still
> have) and kept digging and digging at those code-tested he
> did not like...in rather not-nice terms such as "brain-dead

> old farts." :-)
>
> The international maritime world selected GMDSS as THE
> distress-and-safety automatic calling system a few years
> ago, abandoning the old, romantic stalwart 500 KHz distress
> frequency with its "CW" only capability. The USCG has
> stopped monitoring that 500 KHz frequency. Some in here
> (notably W0EX in Missouri, probably SK now) tried to tell
> all "it wouldn't work!" by repeatedly quoting some retired
> mariner (who was oblivious to the fact that the international
> maritime folks had already tested the system and found that
> it does work!). The FCC has added GMDSS commercial licensing
> class categories (a few years ago) in Title 47 C.F.R. and
> COLEMs do the testing for those (rather simple) written
> license tests.
>
> The ICAO (International Civil Aviation Organization) has
> abandoned "CW" necessity for long over-water flights and
> air carrier navigator-radio personnel were "downsized"
> (laid-off, given their pension monies, waved bye-bye).
> ICAO switched to voice on HF for such tasks.
>
> Maritimers on the shipping lanes now use SSB voice and
> various TORs (Teleprinter Over Radio) on HF for long-distance
> communications. More expeditious for them, less error-prone
> than "CW." River and harbor communications switched to VHF
> voice decades ago for water-borne radio communications.
>
> Outside of some long-ago-installed automatic station
> identifiers using preset ID beepers, the only users of "CW"
> in the USA are the amateur radiotelegraphers. Members of
> the ARS (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society) do some remarkable
> rationalization on "why" all MUST test for morsemanship to
> gain HF privileges: "It is the SECOND-most popular mode;"
> "it is needed so all can communicate with third-world hams;"
> "it is a 'traditional' and 'basic' mode;" "they need to know
> it so that all can communicate during extreme emergencies
> (perhaps when space aliens invade the earth)." The old,
> tired, trite "CW gets through when nothing else will" still
> surfaces even though first coined in the 1930s. Put into
> terms of reality, the morsemen had to test for it and
> therefore all newcomers have to do it (the "jump through the
> hoops" hazing syndrome)...nya, nya. :-)
>
> A sub-group of the mighty macho morsemen are the ex-service-
> members (military service that is) who demand a militaristic
> structure of defined rank-status-privilege with the "best"
> privileges naturally bestowed on those with the highest rank.
> [they self-define themselves as "deserving" of it] All of
> the military mighty macho morsemen are anal to a point of

> near-insanity on strict, unbending legalities with implied
> capital-offense punishment to be immediately applied to the
> poor souls who look for change in regulations. They
> "believe" all the usual political propaganda statements to
> be (almost) words of God and gleefully pin on their "deserved"
> medals for engaging in a hobby activity that requires federal
> regulation (due to the nature of EM physics).
>
> All that fuss and furor over a HOBBY activity! None may say
> nay to the divine-right of ham rule by the mighty macho
> morsemen! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2454. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 21:57:26 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109758

Anonymous AKA Curtians Fatboy Two-test wogie slobbered over his keyboard
wrote:

>
>>I see, in other words you can't copy code.
>
>
> And where do you pull that completely dumbassed statement from?
> Roger can copy 13 wpm code and proved it when he passed his
> General exam.

OK wogie, name the time and frequency for some CW practice. I generally
start out at about 35 wpm.
>
>>from the FCC.
>
>
> No, you only have shown you can copy and paste ARRL press
> releases.
> I have posted the actual letters, they have to be real, since
> you initially posted them to the newsgroups.

You can't even show ARRL press releases or any other proof of your wild
claim that I received a letter from the FCC fatboy two-test. Come on,
post proof of your claim curtains boy. Hard to do when you don't even
know who I am isn't it?
>
>
>>Show proof of this letter you calim
>
>
> "calim"???? My my, is Butt Cornholer a bit upset?
>
>
>>And I ask you again to show proof of how you claim I don't
>
> have a pilot
>
>>certificate.
>
>
> And yet you can't provide proof you do have one.
> Not without exposing who you really are.

>
>
>>So wogie, you deny you were sent a letter about your malicious
>>interference on 20 meters?
>
>
>
>
>>>>>thus improperly presented. You lose.
>>
>>You
>
>
> I win, since Roger's warning letter was sent out because you
> made by fabricated evidence.

You? Who is this 'you' wogie that you claim frabraicted evidence. There
was no need for anyone to frabricate any evidence two-test, everyone
knows you were jamming other stations on 20 meters. That's why you had
to retest to keep your license, curtains boy.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
So again wogie, you deny you got a letter from the FCC about you causing
malicious interference on 20 meters? HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!
>
>
>
>>>And your proof is????????? How do YOU personally know that
>>>nothing was fabricated?

There was no need to fabricate something you were already doing two-test.
>
>
> No, you sent in a doctored tape, don't you remember?
>

Again, who is this 'you' you are blabbering about wogie?

2455. Re: Wiseman finally kicked off NIM Busters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 21:59:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <udydnV1gtb5EDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109759
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-

Fritz Wuehler AKA two-test curtains fagboy wogie, wrote:
> In article <bye.bye.woger@houston-hunks.com>
> Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
>>Woger
>
>
> You forgot to tell them how you whined to the Admin to get your
> public asswhippings "censored", Porky.
> Torvald will still be kicking your fat dumb ass up anbd down nim

What's 'anbd' wogie, can't you spell? Maybe a spell checker would help
you out. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

2456. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 22:17:19 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118269039.785659.49210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109737

From: "K4YZ" <TombSentinel@aol.com> on Jun 8, 5:14 am

> I didn't get any farther than this to see what yet anotehr moronic
>anti-Amateur Radio rant this was.
>
> Yet another validation of my claim that Leonard H. Anderson is a
>chronic, pathological liar.

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

2457. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 22:32:30 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <2mKpe.512$M27.198@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109738

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1118264123.041417.191580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> robert casey wrote:
> > >
> > > There was NO company named "Motorola" until 1947.
> > >
> > > Until then, "Motorola" was just the model name for car radios...No
> > > company...
> > >
> > > So...how did "Motorola" do anything for Army communications in
> > > 1940...?!?!
> > >
> > Must have been the Galvin(sp) company, the ancestor of Motorola.
>
> Absolutely correct, Mr Casey.
>
> From: http://www.hitechwireless.cc/html/history.html
>
> To wit:
>
> The company was founded by Paul V. Galvin as the Galvin Manufacturing
> Corporation, in Chicago, Illinois, in 1928. Its first product was a
> "battery eliminator," allowing consumers to operate radios directly
> from household current instead of the batteries supplied with early
> models. In the 1930s, the company successfully commercialized car
> radios under the brand name "Motorola," a word suggesting sound in
> motion. During this period, the company also established home radio and
> police radio departments; instituted pioneering personnel programs; and
> began national advertising. The name of the company was changed to
> Motorola, Inc., in 1947.
>
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Steve,

Please don't mention 1947.

I just had a birthday last month and I am feeling *very* antiquated LOL.

I have been unable to learn new concepts, such as measuring your antenna impedance with a volt-ohm meter.

I still cannot understand the concept of a class A amplifier being 50% efficient (taught by the U.S. Navy in 1967).

I had a problem even as a youngster when a teacher told me that there was a complex formula for finding resonant frequency, but L times C was close enough. I was 14 at the time and already apparently suffering the beginning of Altzheimer's.

I admit to having some problems with The Calculus but managed some months ago to borrow a book and get back a bit of what I had forgotten. Unbelieveably, The Calculus appears to work, but how can it when my algebra is so poor that I am unable to transform F=L*C into F=1/(6.28*sqr(L*C))?

I can only assume that I have memorized many things in error. I don't generally use calculators like many do to make change (I caught an error one time a kid did use a calculator), so I suspect my basic addition, subraction, multiplication, and division have not disappeared. Obviously, however, my idea of equations must be in error.

Many folks state that pi is equal to 3. Well, that still doesn't work for resonant frequency = L times C.

So, in any case, Steve, welcome to the club. You are likely as brain dead as I.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
ps - try to avoid these kind of threads LOL

2458. Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 22:46:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118270774.194955.10970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109739

K4YZ wrote:
>
> The "internal fantasy" here, is yours. That being that you know
> anything truly meaningful about Amateur Radio or any of it's associated
> programs.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, there sure is lots of protesting and denial in your post, and lots of claims of "not headline news" hostile actions. Len would be pleased. Very pleased.

Can you give us some examples of what you consider hostile actions?

Probably not. OK, I'll give some.

Scenario 7: You are heading to the "actionable area" to train USA personnel at a RADAR site. The hills are painted green and have very large numbers on them. You reach a checkpoint manned my Host Nation Army. They point guns in your face and tell you "No." They apparently have no other vocabularly.

Is that a hostile action?

Scenario 6: Same general area. You are deployed to an -exercise- location. A round of artillery explodes about 200 meters away from your tent.

Is that a hostile action?

Scenario 5: You are involved in on a meals on wheels operation. As you travel through a primary city, you see a vehicle paralleling your convoy, and it has it's 50 cal pointing directly at you.

Is that a hostile action?

Scenario 4: Same location. While "in-garrison" you receive fire from a nearby building which overlooks the compound. The bullets go wide.

Is that a hostile action?

Scenario 3: Same location. It is New Year's Eve, Dec 31, 1992 and you see rockets going back and forth outside your compound. Thirty cal fire kicks up sand in front of you.

Is that a hostile action?

Scenario 2: Same location. Later in January, while moving toward the just opened chow hall, it is hit by a single 30mm round.

Is that a hostile action?

And Secnario 1: Okinawa. The "A" NCOIC of a MARS shop gets short sheeted by his troops because he doesn't know dink about comms.

Is that a hostile action?

2459. Silly Gunny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 22:50:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118271015.777389.233320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109740

K4YZ wrote:
> QUOTE from Chief Army MARS:
>
> MARS has grown in all of the services throughout the world. It relies
> on civilian and military MARS members to be available in case of
> emergency or disaster to provide communications support. At such times,
> MARS needs all of the support it can provide. Amateur Radio,
> collectively with MARS, has made its mark in American history. Each
> year provides new evidence of the important role it plays in the
> service of the nation.
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Since Lennie only believes that "reality" springs from URL's, he
> can go to:
>
> http://www.asc.army.mil/mars/history.htm
>
> ...to get it from the horse's mouth.
>
> Will Lennie now tell us that Chief MARS was assaulted, dragged off
> and drugged by ARRL PsiWar ops and forced to make this statement under
> duress...?!?!
>
> "I Am Laughing At The Superior Intellect"
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Silly Gunny, no one disputes what the Chief of Army MARS has stated.
They only dispute what you claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
Radio." Hi! Hi, hi!!!

2460. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 22:52:40 UTC
From: Anonymous <BigappleRemailer@bigapple.yi.org>
Message-ID: <55RVQ4T838511.7865740741@anonymous>
Source record: 109741

In article <2tSdnRLgJvfEXz3fRVn-gQ@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <m...@that.moc> whined:

> I never claimed you (more lies)

No you just "claim" to have a FCC 1st class commercial license
and a pilot's license, all imaginary licenses. No proof, so they
must be lies.

> Like you know (more lies and backpedals)

Like you know about even trying to pass the Extra exam, let's
face it, you can't.

> Like I sa

You're repeating yourself, you senile old fuck. Go have your son
who has the "power of attorney" over your old useless dumb ass
give you your meds and change your colostomy bag again.

> Never claimed

No, you never claimed to be intelligent, either.

> I see, in other words you can't copy code.

And where do you pull that completely dumbassed statement from?
Roger can copy 13 wpm code and proved it when he passed his
General exam.

> (more imaginary inept delusions)

Poor Butt Cornholer, always a loser.

> > Besides, you send like a fucking lame elephant......
>
> If I can't find my key

Probably not, it's as chap as the rest of your equipment. I've
heard your sending, it sounds like a lame elephant.

>Make up you crack damaged mind curtains boy.

"Make up you crack damaged mind"?????

LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Learn English, dumbfuck.

> I haven't backpeddled about anything,

Spell much, you "backpedaling" fool?

> I have show proof of your letters

"I have show proof"? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

You've "shown" what a fucking illiterate dumb son of a bitch you
are, too!

> from the FCC.

No, you only have shown you can copy and paste ARRL press
releases.
I have posted the actual letters, they have to be real, since
you initially posted them to the newsgroups.

>Show proof of this letter you calim

"calim"???? My my, is Butt Cornholer a bit upset?

> And I ask you again to show proof of how you claim I don't
have a pilot
> certificate.

And yet you can't provide proof you do have one.
Not without exposing who you really are.

> Where is (my bitch jumping through hoops like I always make
him)

Jump,my bitch, jump. At least you spelled "claim" right this
time.

> So show (much whining and sniveling)

Jump bitch, jump!

> Same old (poor bitch boy,jump boy, jump)

Same old backpedals and unsubstantiated bullshit by Nursie
deleted.

> So wogie, you deny you were sent a letter about your malicious
> interference on 20 meters?

> >>>thus improperly presented. You lose.
>
> You

I win, since Roger's warning letter was sent out because you
made by fabricated evidence.

> > And your proof is????????? How do YOU personally know that
> > nothing was fabricated?
>
> So where i

No, you sent in a doctored tape, don't you remember?

> >
> > --------------
> > November 09, 1999
> >
> > CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> >
> > Roger L. Wiseman
> >
> > 610 Glen Haven Avenue
> >
> > Glendale, WV 26038-1302
> >
> > Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO
> >
> >
> > Dear Mr. Wiseman:
> >
> > The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been
> > deliberately
> > and maliciously interfering with the radio operations of
other
> > licensed Amateurs on the 14 MHz Amateur band.
>
> You were caught on tape wogie, too bad.

Above you said Roger was caught red-handed.

The FCC made you retake you test
> to keep your license.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

That's not what the retest letter states, though.

> > I notice too, he could not give any specific times or
> > frequencies this was supposed to have occured, which means
when
> > you faked the tape you forgot to include them, dumbass!
>
> Why would I

Admission you sent in fake tapes, noted.

> >
> >
> > Nope, Gopher face Tunder was too chickenshit to get out of
his
> > truck, he sat in it while it idled and called Roger on a cell
> > phone. Too chickenshit to come knock on the door.
>
> While you (wrong, dumbass Butt cornholer)

While Roger laughed his ass off because gopher face was/is a
chickenshit like you, Nursie.

Now go molest your dead daughter's corpse.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

2461. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 22:58:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118271525.319675.51750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109742

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1118264123.041417.191580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > robert casey wrote:
> > > >
> > > > There was NO company named "Motorola" until 1947.
> > > >
> > > > Until then, "Motorola" was just the model name for car radios...No
> > > > company...
> > > >
> > > > So...how did "Motorola" do anything for Army communications in
> > > > 1940...?!?!
> > > >
> > > Must have been the Galvin(sp) company, the ancestor of Motorola.
> > >
> > Absolutely correct, Mr Casey.
> > >
> > From: http://www.hitechwireless.cc/html/history.html
> > >
> > To wit:
> > >
> > The company was founded by Paul V. Galvin as the Galvin Manufacturing
> > Corporation, in Chicago, Illinois, in 1928. Its first product was a
> > "battery eliminator," allowing consumers to operate radios directly
> > from household current instead of the batteries supplied with early
> > models. In the 1930s, the company successfully commercialized car
> > radios under the brand name "Motorola," a word suggesting sound in
> > motion. During this period, the company also established home radio and
> > police radio departments; instituted pioneering personnel programs; and
> > began national advertising. The name of the company was changed to
> > Motorola, Inc., in 1947.
> >
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
>
> Steve,

> Please don't mention 1947.
>
> I just had a birthday last month and I am feeling *very* antiquated LOL.
>
> I have been unable to learn new concepts, such as measuring your antenna
> impedance with a volt-ohm meter.
>
> I still cannot understand the concept of a class A amplifier being 50%
> efficient (taught by the U.S. Navy in 1967).
>
> I had a problem even as a youngster when a teacher told me that there was a
> complex formula for finding resonant frequency, but L times C was close
> enough. I was 14 at the time and already apparently suffering the beginning
> of Altzheimer's.
>
> I admit to having some problems with The Calculus but managed some months
> ago to borrow a book and get back a bit of what I had forgotten.
> Unbelieveably, The Calculus appears to work, but how can it when my algebra
> is so poor that I am unable to transform F=L*C into F=1/(6.28*sqr(L*C))?
>
> I can only assume that I have memorized many things in error. I don't
> generally use calculators like many do to make change (I caught an error one
> time a kid did use a calculator), so I suspect my basic addition,
> subraction, multiplication, and division have not disappeared. Obviously,
> however, my idea of equations must be in error.
>
> Many folks state that pi is equal to 3. Well, that still doesn't work for
> resonant frequency = L times C.
>
> So, in any case, Steve, welcome to the club. You are likely as brain dead
> as I.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
> ps - try to avoid these kind of threads LOL

At this point, an honorable person would not only admit that they were wrong, but would apologize to the person they were claiming was wrong, ---and--- apologize to that person for starting yet another slam thread.

Let's see what Steve does.

2462. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 23:07:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <aTKpe.1329$pa3.872@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109743

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:y5KdnSmJWKk6qDvfRVn-vg@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>
>> And for all those that are "confused".....here it is;
>>
>> 300/Fmhz = length in meters.
>>
>> thus......300/4.0Mhz = 75meters.
>>
>> 300/3.75Mhz= 80meters.
>>
>> and........300/3.5Mhz = 85.7meters.
>>
>> see?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
> But you should know what the 300 stands for, not it just being a number!
> I'll bet I'm wrong, being a no code cb'er, but the 300 is the speed of
> light in meters! to be more percise 299792458 meters per second.
>

Golly, gee whiz, so you would be happier if it is written as:

299792458 / FMhz ?

Fine by me

Dan/W4NTI

2463. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 23:10:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <AVKpe.1330$pa3.362@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109744

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:E9CdnaEWDcyMqjvfRVn-og@centurytel.net...
>
>
> KC8GXW wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> And for all those that are "confused".....here it is;
>>>
>>> 300/Fmhz = length in meters.
>>>
>>> thus......300/4.0Mhz = 75meters.
>>>
>>> 300/3.75Mhz= 80meters.
>>>
>>> and........300/3.5Mhz = 85.7meters.
>>>
>>> see?
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>> But you should know what the 300 stands for, not it just being a number!
>> I'll bet I'm wrong, being a no code cb'er, but the 300 is the speed of
>> light in meters! to be more percise 299792458 meters per second.
>
> OOps see I told ya, the word is precise, now if only I could do 13 or more
> words a minute and go back in time and get a W or K 1x3 call, I wouldn't
> have made that mistake! :)
>
I try and stay on subject and not correct senders typos. That is a job for
professors like Churchill that thinks he is a Indian.

To comment however.....if you could do 13wpm and had a real W or K callsign
we wouldn't be having this discussion...because you would understand how it
is. However you don't. Your loss.

Dan/W4NTI

2464. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 23:10:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118272243.029428.57940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109745

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1118171133.720019.172950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
>
> > > However, the US Navy does issue callsigns to amateurs at Antarctic Bases
> > > (ie,
> > > KC4AAA, KC4AAC) and Guantanamo Bay (ie, KG4ML, KG4OX).
> >
> > I forgot about Gitmo and Antarctica...You're completely correct.
>
> > And let's not forget the KC4USA thru USZ series...
>
>
> Who "forgot" it? That's part of the Antarctic Bases block administered by USN.
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB

Hi!

2465. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 23:12:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <WXKpe.1333$pa3.479@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109746

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:a5Ipe.121$yg4.73@news01.roc.ny...

>
> Hello, Dan
>
> The numbers are only approximate and some of the "bands" don't fall where
> you'd expect them to ;)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
So is any formula for antenna workings. You can't have a absolute formula
if the results are varied by external elements out of ones control. Such as
heigth above ground, nearby objects, length of grass. IQ of operator.
etc.

Dan/W4NTI

2466. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 23:21:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118272872.753705.121860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109747

John Smith wrote:
> Yes.
>
> Well, you cannot disguise the fact that the over 60 crowd just don't
> have the energy or enthusiasm as the teenage to 30 crowd--or the fact
> that these younger people are actively engaged in the research,
> development and production phases of electronics. Why they may
> effectively hang on limiting the hobby--this cannot not go on
> indefinitely...

John, there is hope. I took a look at the actuarial tables shortly
after joining this newsgripe, and it look like they are working in our
favor. We can help it work by not turning into the very type of
amateur that the tables are currently removing from hamdom.

> And, while it is true only those with a wet diaper can truly appreciate
> change--change is in the wind... the next decade should provide a dip
> in number of licenses which has never been seen before... this is only
> the beginning...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

Progress!

2467. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 23:29:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118273350.150012.258740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109748

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I think the length of your reply would please Len.
> > > >
> > > I seriously doubt it.
> > >
> > > I could quote "War and Peace" verbatim and still come up short on
> > > most LennieRants.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > You protest too much.
>
> Protesting is legal, demostrates a strength of character and
> dedication to one's values.

Say hello to Jane Fonda. Len would be pleased.

2468. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 23:33:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118273612.830139.292740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109749

```
John Smith wrote:
> You would think the ARRL high priest would not abandon these guys--times
> must be tough...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>
> John
> --
> Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality, right
> before my eyes--in real time!
> Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?

Sounds like he's been talking to Len. Refreshing.
```

2469. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets MARS History And Present Day Mission Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 23:39:00 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118273940.861792.196790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109750

K4YZ wrote:

> I didn't say "completely".
>
> I said "almost".

You said "completely" for the longest time until my undeniable
assertions caused you to back down.

2470. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 23:41:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118274092.193500.211790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109751

From: John Smith on Jun 8, 5:50 pm

>You would think the ARRL high priest would not abandon these guys--times
>must be tough...

>http://www.arrl.org/news/stori?es/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1

>John
>--
>Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality, right
>before my eyes--in real time!
>Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?

Tsk. It took the League long enough to see the "duhhhh..." :-)

They've had adequate numbers all along. They've been seeing
only what they want to believe.

As of the end of 2004 the League had only 140 thousand members.
That's about 20 percent of all U.S. amateur licensees. [from
QST advertising webpage]

Haynie has said that a "survey" showed fully 1 in 5 hams
actually got on the air? Oh, my, a remarkable coincidence
in the percentage of League membership. :-)

[we now pause to have all loyal league believers vent their
rage against those who defile 'their' organization...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2471. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-08 23:44:10 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118274250.740363.129060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109752

From: John Smith on Jun 8, 5:35 pm

>Yes.
>
>Well, you cannot disguise the fact that the over 60 crowd just don't
>have the energy or enthusiasm as the teenage to 30 crowd--or the fact
>that these younger people are actively engaged in the research,
>development and production phases of electronics. Why they may
>effectively hang on limiting the hobby--this cannot not go on
>indefinitely...
>
>And, while it is true only those with a wet diaper can truly appreciate
>change--change is in the wind... the next decade should provide a dip
>in number of licenses which has never been seen before... this is only
>the beginning...

The mighty macho morsemen cannot conceive of that. They are
immortal. They RULE. [they've said as much...]

However, "energy and enthusiasm" is a function of both individual
genes and mental outlook. "Enthusiasm" in half-century-old state-
of-the-art techiques and practices should be consigned to niche
nostalgia places, not kept as federal regulations.

Many of the stratification crowd seem to hang onto their
"enthusiasm" of their young days as if it were a lifeline to
some imagined fountain of youth promising that they will
remain younger than springtime by holding to old paradigms.
[Rodgers and Hammerstein could do a great musical opera on
that if Rodgers wasn't a silent (piano) key and Oscar wasn't
a silent pen...but it wouldn't play in Newington] [well,
maybe a version of "Carousel" since these olde-fahrts keep
going around and around and around...]

My own viewpoint is different. By virtue of being born when
I was, my lifetime has seen the comming of the solid-state
era and the definite decay of vacuum tube technology...that
bringing a virtual explosion of different applications, new
and exciting SOCs (Systems On a Chip)...plus a whole new set
of passive and semi-active components and ways to hold them all
together. Technology-wise that is truly WONDERFUL and
MARVELOUS. We all have the capability of high-speed data and
imagery communications internationally, 24/7, no worries about
the condition of the ionosphere...all for less than $2000 in
today's dollars to get a "mainframe" computer on a desk and
a year's subscription to an ISP. Buy-sell-trade, do personal

banking, keep family in touch at all times etc., etc., etc. My personal enthusiasm on the technology just grows and grows from keeping in touch with the new developments and seeing the products (some delivered to my door after electronic ordering). I'm not going to see the end of even if the mortal world sees my end. That's the way of humans being.

Others, the stratification crowd, the staunch defenders of the status quo, demand a HALT to progress, NO CHANGE. Keep all nice and tidy and belonging just the way it was when they were young. Psychological reassurances of their "safety." Denial of the fact that they ARE getting on. Denial of the fact that other, younger people MIGHT be interested in doing this ham radio hobby thing. Oh, some of them whip up some adrenaline and do lip-service to old, trite phrases of "helping youngsters" and all that but the MUST keep THEIR playground in their order. NO changes allowed. Most don't help, don't bother to learn how to help.

Why would a young person of today WANT to study morse code just to communicate on HF? Other than being in a "ham family?" The Internet opened to the public 14 years ago and most of the world is connected to the net. A shrink wrap CB transceiver is available over the counter for less than $100, complete with antenna and microphone. A pair of FRS hand-helds costs only $50 maximum and permits 5 mile two-way talking with isolation via digital mode. A cell phone with a built-in camera costs less than $100 and can communicate anywhere within range of a cell site...to the rest of the telephonic world. One in five Americans have cell phone subscriptions. Need to send documents across country fast? Go to chain drugstores and use their FAX machines. All sorts of quick communications possibilities for all today. COMPETITION OF INTEREST. Competition of quality and dependability. And all that hasn't touched on the OTHER advantages the younger folk have today, things that are entertaining, interesting, mind-holding.

In truth, some young folks LIKE certain old things. That's been true in every generation. The best-ever stagecoaches are built today...in either California or Arizona (depending on your guild location)...for movie and TV use. Horseback riding is for personal pleasure today. It isn't a requirement to survive as it once was. When we want to send a telegram today, it is done by data modes probably through fiber-optic lines, transmission at relatively unlimited speed, securely and without error. No one has to go to the old train office and have some manual telegrapher translate it and send it at 10 to 20 words per minute. That was for times older than a century ago.

Today's ham can purchase a top-of-the-line HF transceiver, fancy antenna and tower, peripheral gizmos up the gazoo, all for less than $5000. They get rock-solid frequency stability and read-out

of same down to 10 Hz increments...Digital Signal Processing, "VFO 'split'" with frequency memories, sharp crystal filters to reduce QRM and QRN to a minimum...even operate it through a PC! None of that was available in a single package a half century ago. But, the olde-fahrts can sit back and dictate all MUST test for the 161-year-old "technology" skill of morse code on that HF. Incredible dichotomy. Incredible hypocrisy.

Actuarial tables will manifest themselves. The mighty macho morsemen WILL have their morse keys pried out of their cold, dead fingers. Your prediction will come to pass. Perhaps much sooner than they expected. RIP.

Bip Bip

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2472. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 00:14:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7SLpe.1151$NX4.76@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109753

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> A direct quote from Jim Haynie, "The ARRL president asserted that many
> Amateur Extra class licensees couldn't pass today's Element 4
> examination if they had to..."
> Complete article at:
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1

Haynie's mistake is in assuming that because he might have trouble
passing it, many others would also have difficulty.

Dave K8MN

2473. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 00:21:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9YLpe.97$NN.5045381@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109755

Well, all the astronauts are no code techs... guess those no-code'ers
are in good and technical company...

Warmest regards,
John
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1118274092.193500.211790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jun 8, 5:50 pm
>
>>You would think the ARRL high priest would not abandon these
>>guys--times
>>must be tough...
>
>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stori?es/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>
>>John
>>--
>>Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality,
>>right
>>before my eyes--in real time!
>>Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>
> Tsk. It took the League long enough to see the "duhhhh..." :-)
>
> They've had adequate numbers all along. They've been seeing
> only what they want to believe.
>
> As of the end of 2004 the League had only 140 thousand members.
> That's about 20 percent of all U.S. amateur licensees. [from
> QST advertising webpage]
>
> Haynie has said that a "survey" showed fully 1 in 5 hams
> actually got on the air? Oh, my, a remarkable coincidence
> in the percentage of League membership. :-)
>
> [we now pause to have all loyal league believers vent their
> rage against those who defile 'their' organization...]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2474. Re: Wiseman finally kicked off NIM Busters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 00:23:06 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200506.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <8b9245122a6d262bf45633e37f6c96c0@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 109754
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-

In article <bye.bye.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
> Woger

You forgot to tell them how you whined to the Admin to get your
public asswhippings "censored", Porky.
Torvald will still be kicking your fat dumb ass up anbd down nim
busters along with some of the regulars who cannot stand you. In
fact Saggytits lee is about a cunt hair's width away from being
banned himself.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

And one has just to go to the forum and see where you think
Roger is posting as "Torvald Johnson."

Roger OWNS you.

BTW, find that Marshall County, WV ARES website yet, depression
boy Davies?

2475. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 00:32:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <77Mpe.98$2t1.5206061@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109756

you give them far too much credit.... they are just self-centered old fools--pissed off the world passes them by... like a dying captain who takes his ship down with him--they have gotten it backwards--nothing is noble in such activities....

Warmest regards,
John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1118274250.740363.129060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jun 8, 5:35 pm
>
>>Yes.
>>
>>Well, you cannot disguise the fact that the over 60 crowd just don't
>>have the energy or enthusiasm as the teenage to 30 crowd--or the fact
>>that these younger people are actively engaged in the research,
>>development and production phases of electronics. Why they may
>>effectively hang on limiting the hobby--this cannot not go on
>>indefinitely...
>>
>>And, while it is true only those with a wet diaper can truly
>>appreciate
>>change--change is in the wind... the next decade should provide a dip
>>in number of licenses which has never been seen before... this is
>>only
>>the beginning...
>
> The mighty macho morsemen cannot conceive of that. They are
> immortal. They RULE. [they've said as much...]
>
> However, "energy and enthusiasm" is a function of both individual
> genes and mental outlook. "Enthusiasm" in half-century-old state-
> of-the-art techiques and practices should be consigned to niche
> nostalgia places, not kept as federal regulations.
>
> Many of the stratification crowd seem to hang onto their
> "enthusiasm" of their young days as if it were a lifeline to
> some imagined fountain of youth promising that they will
> remain younger than springtime by holding to old paradigms.
> [Rodgers and Hammerstein could do a great musical opera on
> that if Rodgers wasn't a silent (piano) key and Oscar wasn't
> a silent pen...but it wouldn't play in Newington] [well,
> maybe a version of "Carousel" since these olde-fahrts keep
> going around and around and around...]

>
> My own viewpoint is different. By virtue of being born when
> I was, my lifetime has seen the comming of the solid-state
> era and the definite decay of vacuum tube technology...that
> bringing a virtual explosion of different applications, new
> and exciting SOCs (Systems On a Chip)...plus a whole new set
> of passive and semi-active components and ways to hold them all
> together. Technology-wise that is truly WONDERFUL and
> MARVELOUS. We all have the capability of high-speed data and
> imagery communications internationally, 24/7, no worries about
> the condition of the ionosphere...all for less than $2000 in
> today's dollars to get a "mainframe" computer on a desk and
> a year's subscription to an ISP. Buy-sell-trade, do personal
> banking, keep family in touch at all times etc., etc., etc.
> My personal enthusiasm on the technology just grows and grows
> from keeping in touch with the new developments and seeing
> the products (some delivered to my door after electronic
> ordering). I'm not going to see the end of even if the
> mortal world sees my end. That's the way of humans being.
>
> Others, the stratification crowd, the staunch defenders of the
> status quo, demand a HALT to progress, NO CHANGE. Keep all
> nice and tidy and belonging just the way it was when they were
> young. Psychological reassurances of their "safety." Denial
> of the fact that they ARE getting on. Denial of the fact that
> other, younger people MIGHT be interested in doing this ham
> radio hobby thing. Oh, some of them whip up some adrenaline
> and do lip-service to old, trite phrases of "helping youngsters"
> and all that but the MUST keep THEIR playground in their order.
> NO changes allowed. Most don't help, don't bother to learn how
> to help.
>
> Why would a young person of today WANT to study morse code just
> to communicate on HF? Other than being in a "ham family?" The
> Internet opened to the public 14 years ago and most of the world
> is connected to the net. A shrink wrap CB transceiver is available
> over the counter for less than $100, complete with antenna and
> microphone. A pair of FRS hand-helds costs only $50 maximum and
> permits 5 mile two-way talking with isolation via digital mode.
> A cell phone with a built-in camera costs less than $100 and can
> communicate anywhere within range of a cell site...to the rest
> of the telephonic world. One in five Americans have cell phone
> subscriptions. Need to send documents across country fast? Go
> to chain drugstores and use their FAX machines. All sorts of
> quick communications possibilities for all today. COMPETITION
> OF INTEREST. Competition of quality and dependability. And all
> that hasn't touched on the OTHER advantages the younger folk have
> today, things that are entertaining, interesting, mind-holding.
>
> In truth, some young folks LIKE certain old things. That's been

> true in every generation. The best-ever stagecoaches are built
> today...in either California or Arizona (depending on your guild
> location)...for movie and TV use. Horseback riding is for personal
> pleasure today. It isn't a requirement to survive as it once was.
> When we want to send a telegram today, it is done by data modes
> probably through fiber-optic lines, transmission at relatively
> unlimited speed, securely and without error. No one has to go to
> the old train office and have some manual telegrapher translate
> it and send it at 10 to 20 words per minute. That was for times
> older than a century ago.
>
> Today's ham can purchase a top-of-the-line HF transceiver, fancy
> antenna and tower, peripheral gizmos up the gazoo, all for less
> than $5000. They get rock-solid frequency stability and read-out
> of same down to 10 Hz increments...Digital Signal Processing,
> "VFO 'split'" with frequency memories, sharp crystal filters to
> reduce QRM and QRN to a minimum...even operate it through a PC!
> None of that was available in a single package a half century ago.
> But, the olde-fahrts can sit back and dictate all MUST test for
> the 161-year-old "technology" skill of morse code on that HF.
> Incredible dichotomy. Incredible hypocrisy.
>
> Actuarial tables will manifest themselves. The mighty macho
> morsemen WILL have their morse keys pried out of their cold,
> dead fingers. Your prediction will come to pass. Perhaps
> much sooner than they expected. RIP.
>
> Bip Bip
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2476. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 00:34:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <m8Mpe.99$Po.4988053@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109757

... no, I think Haynie has it right, read the whole article... code is
no longer of any importance and the ancient amateurs could not even pass
the test given today--READ THE ARTICLE!

John
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:7SLpe.1151$NX4.76@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> A direct quote from Jim Haynie, "The ARRL president asserted that
>> many Amateur Extra class licensees couldn't pass today's Element 4
>> examination if they had to..."
>> Complete article at:
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>
> Haynie's mistake is in assuming that because he might have trouble
> passing it, many others would also have difficulty.
>
> Dave K8MN

2477. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 00:57:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PtMpe.1250$hK3.1144@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109760

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> The mighty macho morsemen cannot conceive of that. They are
> immortal. They RULE. [they've said as much...]

Er...actually, you've said as much.

> However, "energy and enthusiasm" is a function of both individual
> genes and mental outlook. "Enthusiasm" in half-century-old state-
> of-the-art techiques and practices should be consigned to niche
> nostalgia places, not kept as federal regulations.

Most hams I've encountered aren't locked to old technology. They're using the latest equipment available to them. They are not, however, obliged to discard any technology simply because it isn't the newest and latest.

> Many of the stratification crowd seem to hang onto their
> "enthusiasm" of their young days as if it were a lifeline to
> some imagined fountain of youth promising that they will
> remain younger than springtime by holding to old paradigms.

You haven't yet explained what constitutes "the stratification crowd". Most hams I've encountered are enthusiastic about amateur radio. They enjoy it immensely. I don't expect you to understand.

> [Rodgers and Hammerstein could do a great musical opera on
> that if Rodgers wasn't a silent (piano) key and Oscar wasn't
> a silent pen...but it wouldn't play in Newington] [well,
> maybe a version of "Carousel" since these olde-fahrts keep
> going around and around and around...]

You seem to be the oldest fart here. Are you going around and around?

> My own viewpoint is different.

I'll say.

> By virtue of being born when
> I was, my lifetime has seen the comming of the solid-state
> era and the definite decay of vacuum tube technology...

What makes that special? Many of us are in the same boat, old timer.

> ...that
> bringing a virtual explosion of different applications, new
> and exciting SOCs (Systems On a Chip)...plus a whole new set
> of passive and semi-active components and ways to hold them all

> together. Technology-wise that is truly WONDERFUL and
> MARVELOUS.

A very Andersonesque, masterful statement of the obvious...

> We all have the capability of high-speed data and
> imagery communications internationally, 24/7...

No, we don't all have that.

> ...no worries about
> the condition of the ionosphere...

...unless we're trying to use HF radio.

> all for less than $2000 in
> today's dollars to get a "mainframe" computer on a desk and
> a year's subscription to an ISP.

Really? You have a "mainframe" computer on your desk?
The only thing close to a "mainframe" computer that I've used is the
Wang VS and it was a "mini". I'd have had to have had a pretty big desk
for it.

> Buy-sell-trade, do personal
> banking, keep family in touch at all times etc., etc., etc.
> My personal enthusiasm on the technology just grows and grows
> from keeping in touch with the new developments and seeing
> the products (some delivered to my door after electronic
> ordering). I'm not going to see the end of even if the
> mortal world sees my end. That's the way of humans being.

Yep, long bows, crossbows, gunpowder--there's simply no end to it.

> Others, the stratification crowd, the staunch defenders of the
> status quo, demand a HALT to progress, NO CHANGE.

That would seem to be a pretty large fib on your part.

> Keep all
> nice and tidy and belonging just the way it was when they were
> young. Psychological reassurances of their "safety." Denial
> of the fact that they ARE getting on. Denial of the fact that
> other, younger people MIGHT be interested in doing this ham
> radio hobby thing. Oh, some of them whip up some adrenaline
> and do lip-service to old, trite phrases of "helping youngsters"
> and all that but the MUST keep THEIR playground in their order.
> NO changes allowed.

> Most don't help, don't bother to learn how to help.

Then again, you'd have absolutely no way of knowing it that is the case.

> Why would a young person of today WANT to study morse code just
> to communicate on HF?

Uhhhh....to communicate with others who are using the mode or to learn
something different than his peers or maybe, just because it is a
qualification for obtaining an HF amateur radio license.

> Other than being in a "ham family?"

Other than being in a ham family, what?

> The
> Internet opened to the public 14 years ago and most of the world
> is connected to the net.

No, most of the world is assuredly not connect to the internet.

> A shrink wrap CB transceiver is available
> over the counter for less than $100, complete with antenna and
> microphone. A pair of FRS hand-helds costs only $50 maximum and
> permits 5 mile two-way talking with isolation via digital mode.

What's your point, Leonard? Do you own stock in Cobra?

> A cell phone with a built-in camera costs less than $100 and can
> communicate anywhere within range of a cell site...to the rest
> of the telephonic world.

Neat. Even if I don't have one, I have a telephone. With it, I can
also communicate to the rest of the "telephonic world".

> One in five Americans have cell phone
> subscriptions.

One in five American hams belong to the ARRL.

Need to send documents across country fast? Go
> to chain drugstores and use their FAX machines.

Why would I need to do that? I'd just use my desktop "mainframe"
computer and FAX with it.

> All sorts of
> quick communications possibilities for all today.

Yeah?

> COMPETITION OF INTEREST.

COMPETITION OF INTEREST, what?

Competition of quality and dependability.

What about them?

> And all
> that hasn't touched on the OTHER advantages the younger folk have
> today, things that are entertaining, interesting, mind-holding.

Yeah--hip hop, computer porn, drugs, piercing body parts and video
gaming come to mind.

> In truth, some young folks LIKE certain old things.

Then somewhere, there is a young person who must like you.

> Today's ham can purchase a top-of-the-line HF transceiver, fancy
> antenna and tower, peripheral gizmos up the gazoo, all for less
> than $5000.

Where can I get all of that stuff for less than five grand, Leonard?

> They get rock-solid frequency stability and read-out
> of same down to 10 Hz increments...Digital Signal Processing,
> "VFO 'split'" with frequency memories, sharp crystal filters to
> reduce QRM and QRN to a minimum...even operate it through a PC!
> None of that was available in a single package a half century ago.

None of that was available a quarter-century ago.

> But, the olde-fahrts can sit back and dictate all MUST test for
> the 161-year-old "technology" skill of morse code on that HF.

Some of 'em are still using human speech to communicate. That dates
back thousands and thousands of years.

> Incredible dichotomy. Incredible hypocrisy.

Those don't seem to qualify as sentences.

> Actuarial tables will manifest themselves. The mighty macho
> morsemen WILL have their morse keys pried out of their cold,
> dead fingers.

But actuarial tables being what they are, they'd indicate that this will
be one of those things you aren't likely to be around to see. In fact,
you'll likely miss out on amateur radio altogether.

Dave K8MN

2478. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 01:01:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <JxMpe.1198$eM6.821@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109761

Bet the pilots among them know Morse.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:9YLpe.97$NN.5045381@news.sisna.com...
> Well, all the astronauts are no code techs... guess those no-code'ers are
> in good and technical company...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1118274092.193500.211790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: John Smith on Jun 8, 5:50 pm
>>
>>>You would think the ARRL high priest would not abandon these guys--times
>>>must be tough...
>>
>>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stori?es/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>>
>>>John
>>>--
>>>Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality, right
>>>before my eyes--in real time!
>>>Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>>
>> Tsk. It took the League long enough to see the "duhhhh..." :-)
>>
>> They've had adequate numbers all along. They've been seeing
>> only what they want to believe.
>>
>> As of the end of 2004 the League had only 140 thousand members.
>> That's about 20 percent of all U.S. amateur licensees. [from
>> QST advertising webpage]
>>
>> Haynie has said that a "survey" showed fully 1 in 5 hams
>> actually got on the air? Oh, my, a remarkable coincidence
>> in the percentage of League membership. :-)
>>
>> [we now pause to have all loyal league believers vent their
>> rage against those who defile 'their' organization...]
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org

>>
>
>

2479. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 01:03:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0AMpe.105$FC1.5318754@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109762

Let me see if I have all of your "facts" straight:

1) there is no problem
2) old farts are cutting edge techs
3) one look will tell you how "progressive" amateur radio is
4) everything just looks wrong, it is really right
5) code is important
6) ARRL is wrong
7) Haynie is wrong
8) FCC is wrong

... yeah, right!

John
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:PtMpe.1250$hK3.1144@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> The mighty macho morsemen cannot conceive of that. They are
>> immortal. They RULE. [they've said as much...]
>
> Er...actually, you've said as much.
>
>> However, "energy and enthusiasm" is a function of both individual
>> genes and mental outlook. "Enthusiasm" in half-century-old state-
>> of-the-art techiques and practices should be consigned to niche
>> nostalgia places, not kept as federal regulations.
>
> Most hams I've encountered aren't locked to old technology. They're
> using the latest equipment available to them. They are not, however,
> obliged to discard any technology simply because it isn't the newest
> and latest.
>
>> Many of the stratification crowd seem to hang onto their
>> "enthusiasm" of their young days as if it were a lifeline to
>> some imagined fountain of youth promising that they will
>> remain younger than springtime by holding to old paradigms.
>
> You haven't yet explained what constitutes "the stratification crowd".
> Most hams I've encountered are enthusiastic about amateur radio. They
> enjoy it immensely. I don't expect you to understand.
>
>> [Rodgers and Hammerstein could do a great musical opera on
>> that if Rodgers wasn't a silent (piano) key and Oscar wasn't
>> a silent pen...but it wouldn't play in Newington] [well,

>> maybe a version of "Carousel" since these olde-fahrts keep
>> going around and around and around...]
>
> You seem to be the oldest fart here. Are you going around and around?
>
>> My own viewpoint is different.
>
> I'll say.
>
>> By virtue of being born when
>> I was, my lifetime has seen the comming of the solid-state
>> era and the definite decay of vacuum tube technology...
>
> What makes that special? Many of us are in the same boat, old timer.
>
>> ...that
>> bringing a virtual explosion of different applications, new
>> and exciting SOCs (Systems On a Chip)...plus a whole new set
>> of passive and semi-active components and ways to hold them all
>> together. Technology-wise that is truly WONDERFUL and
>> MARVELOUS.
>
> A very Andersonesque, masterful statement of the obvious...
>
>> We all have the capability of high-speed data and
>> imagery communications internationally, 24/7...
>
> No, we don't all have that.
>
>> ...no worries about
>> the condition of the ionosphere...
>
> ...unless we're trying to use HF radio.
>
>> all for less than $2000 in
>> today's dollars to get a "mainframe" computer on a desk and
>> a year's subscription to an ISP.
>
> Really? You have a "mainframe" computer on your desk?
> The only thing close to a "mainframe" computer that I've used is the
> Wang VS and it was a "mini". I'd have had to have had a pretty big
> desk for it.
>
>> Buy-sell-trade, do personal
>> banking, keep family in touch at all times etc., etc., etc.
>> My personal enthusiasm on the technology just grows and grows
>> from keeping in touch with the new developments and seeing
>> the products (some delivered to my door after electronic
>> ordering). I'm not going to see the end of even if the
>> mortal world sees my end. That's the way of humans being.

>
> Yep, long bows, crossbows, gunpowder--there's simply no end to it.
>
>> Others, the stratification crowd, the staunch defenders of the
>> status quo, demand a HALT to progress, NO CHANGE.
>
> That would seem to be a pretty large fib on your part.
>
>> Keep all
>> nice and tidy and belonging just the way it was when they were
>> young. Psychological reassurances of their "safety." Denial
>> of the fact that they ARE getting on. Denial of the fact that
>> other, younger people MIGHT be interested in doing this ham
>> radio hobby thing. Oh, some of them whip up some adrenaline
>> and do lip-service to old, trite phrases of "helping youngsters"
>> and all that but the MUST keep THEIR playground in their order.
>> NO changes allowed.
>
>
>> Most don't help, don't bother to learn how to help.
>
> Then again, you'd have absolutely no way of knowing it that is the
> case.
>
>> Why would a young person of today WANT to study morse code just
>> to communicate on HF?
>
> Uhhhh....to communicate with others who are using the mode or to learn
> something different than his peers or maybe, just because it is a
> qualification for obtaining an HF amateur radio license.
>
>> Other than being in a "ham family?"
>
> Other than being in a ham family, what?
>
>> The
>> Internet opened to the public 14 years ago and most of the world
>> is connected to the net.
>
> No, most of the world is assuredly not connect to the internet.
>
>> A shrink wrap CB transceiver is available
>> over the counter for less than $100, complete with antenna and
>> microphone. A pair of FRS hand-helds costs only $50 maximum and
>> permits 5 mile two-way talking with isolation via digital mode.
>
> What's your point, Leonard? Do you own stock in Cobra?
>
>> A cell phone with a built-in camera costs less than $100 and can
>> communicate anywhere within range of a cell site...to the rest

>> of the telephonic world.
>
> Neat. Even if I don't have one, I have a telephone. With it, I can
> also communicate to the rest of the "telephonic world".
>
>> One in five Americans have cell phone
>> subscriptions.
>
> One in five American hams belong to the ARRL.
>
> Need to send documents across country fast? Go
>> to chain drugstores and use their FAX machines.
>
> Why would I need to do that? I'd just use my desktop "mainframe"
> computer and FAX with it.
>
>> All sorts of
>> quick communications possibilities for all today.
>
> Yeah?
>
>> COMPETITION OF INTEREST.
>
> COMPETITION OF INTEREST, what?
>
> Competition of quality and dependability.
>
> What about them?
>
>> And all
>> that hasn't touched on the OTHER advantages the younger folk have
>> today, things that are entertaining, interesting, mind-holding.
>
> Yeah--hip hop, computer porn, drugs, piercing body parts and video
> gaming come to mind.
>
>
>
>> In truth, some young folks LIKE certain old things.
>
> Then somewhere, there is a young person who must like you.
>
>
>> Today's ham can purchase a top-of-the-line HF transceiver, fancy
>> antenna and tower, peripheral gizmos up the gazoo, all for less
>> than $5000.
>
> Where can I get all of that stuff for less than five grand, Leonard?
>
>> They get rock-solid frequency stability and read-out

>> of same down to 10 Hz increments...Digital Signal Processing,
>> "VFO 'split'" with frequency memories, sharp crystal filters to
>> reduce QRM and QRN to a minimum...even operate it through a PC!
>> None of that was available in a single package a half century ago.
>
> None of that was available a quarter-century ago.
>
>> But, the olde-fahrts can sit back and dictate all MUST test for
>> the 161-year-old "technology" skill of morse code on that HF.
>
> Some of 'em are still using human speech to communicate. That dates
> back thousands and thousands of years.
>
>> Incredible dichotomy. Incredible hypocrisy.
>
> Those don't seem to qualify as sentences.
>
>> Actuarial tables will manifest themselves. The mighty macho
>> morsemen WILL have their morse keys pried out of their cold,
>> dead fingers.
>
> But actuarial tables being what they are, they'd indicate that this
> will be one of those things you aren't likely to be around to see. In
> fact, you'll likely miss out on amateur radio altogether.
>
> Dave K8MN

2480. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 01:07:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <RbSdnf3JKptoIDrfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109781

John Smith wrote:
> Well, all the astronauts are no code techs...

And yet you can't even manage that.

2481. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 01:07:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118279253.043220.36040@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109763

John Smith wrote:

> A direct quote from Jim Haynie, "The ARRL president asserted
> that many
> Amateur Extra class licensees couldn't pass today's Element 4
> examination if they had to..."

Well, some of them couldn't, anyway.

The same is true of some hams in every license class, and
has been true for some hams at least as long as I've been aware of ham
radio.

But I'm not one of those that W5JBP was speaking about.

Since I got my ham license 37-1/2 years ago (October 1967, age
13), I've always been able to pass the tests for the license
I hold. Since 1970 (age 16), that's been the Extra.

Every couple of months I do an online practice test just to
make sure.

2482. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 01:07:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109765

Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants and name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and youthful hams...

The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get the extra license... then you will never see them again--except on phone and modem...

John
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message news:JxMpe.1198$eM6.821@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Bet the pilots among them know Morse.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:9YLpe.97$NN.5045381@news.sisna.com...
>> Well, all the astronauts are no code techs... guess those
>> no-code'ers are in good and technical company...
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> news:1118274092.193500.211790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> From: John Smith on Jun 8, 5:50 pm
>>>
>>>>You would think the ARRL high priest would not abandon these
>>>>guys--times
>>>>must be tough...
>>>
>>>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stori?es/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>>>
>>>>John
>>>>--
>>>>Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality,
>>>>right
>>>>before my eyes--in real time!
>>>>Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>>>
>>> Tsk. It took the League long enough to see the "duhhhh..." :-)
>>>
>>> They've had adequate numbers all along. They've been seeing

>>> only what they want to believe.
>>>
>>> As of the end of 2004 the League had only 140 thousand members.
>>> That's about 20 percent of all U.S. amateur licensees. [from
>>> QST advertising webpage]
>>>
>>> Haynie has said that a "survey" showed fully 1 in 5 hams
>>> actually got on the air? Oh, my, a remarkable coincidence
>>> in the percentage of League membership. :-)
>>>
>>> [we now pause to have all loyal league believers vent their
>>> rage against those who defile 'their' organization...]
>>>
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2483. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets MARS History And Present Day Mission Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 01:07:54 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118279274.603622.303790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109764

From: "bb" on Wed 8 Jun 2005 15:50

>K4YZ wrote:
>> QUOTE from Chief Army MARS:
>>
>> MARS has grown in all of the services throughout the world. It relies
>> on civilian and military MARS members to be available in case of
>> emergency or disaster to provide communications support. At such times,
>> MARS needs all of the support it can provide. Amateur Radio,
>> collectively with MARS, has made its mark in American history. Each
>> year provides new evidence of the important role it plays in the
>> service of the nation.
>>
>> UNQUOTE
>>
>> Since Lennie only believes that "reality" springs from URL's, he
>> can go to:
>>
>> http://www.asc.army.mil/mars/history.htm

Been there, done that. :-)

Been to Fort Huachuca, too, (Hq Army MARS, off to one side of
the Military Intelligence School).

> ...to get it from the horse's mouth.

Stebie got the wrong end of his "horse" again. Tsk, tsk. :-)

He should have made some kind of remarks about the Buffalo
Soldiers. Fort Huachuca is the original home of them.

>Silly Gunny, no one disputes what the Chief of Army MARS has stated.
>They only dispute what you claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
>Radio." Hi! Hi, hi!!!

Poor Stebie, even more brain-dead than Jim Hampton surmised.

All the fuss and furor over MARS was already done when Stebie's
famous statement ["Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio"]
was made. Stebie is trying to misdirect by pointing a finger
at "my error." Poor guy doesn't realize that when he points
his finger, four other fingers are pointing at HIM! :-)

Heh heh heh. Stebie CUTS AND PASTES from the government web
sites! [he didn't used to like that!] However, the "statement

of mission" is just worded in glorious self-illumination typical to ALL unit or political organization statements. Stebie wants to take all of those absolutely LITERALLY like a good little soldier. Such shining lights will reflect off the medals he bought for himself at www.grunt.com or similar. He likes to soak in that light and pretend being the big hero.

MARS is doing an okay job for the military. Keeps civilians occupied through their volunteerism and the military hopes that will reflect good will towards the military. MARS *was* good back in the Vietnam War era for phone patches to folks back home. But...that was THIRTY YEARS AGO! [Vietnam War ended for the USA in 1975] The U.S. Army even made that direct statement on the Army's Center for Military History.

MARS is a part of SHARES (SHAred RESources), a loose organization of 2500 U.S. government HF radio sites. It serves as a good radio liason to other government agencies now. That has been demonstrated in the SHARES/MARS exercises dubbed "Grecian Firebolt" and has been written up in the Army Communicator, a quarterly for Signal personnel (and some contractors). The M in MARS is definitely MILITARY and the A stands for AFFILIATE and not "amateur." Army MARS stations are manned by professional warfighters. Volunteer civilian units can work with those stations but only under Army control, including operations outside the ham bands, not inside them.

Does the U.S. military need ham-assisted phone patches now? Rarely. With the expansion of the DSN and the Internet, troops in Afghanistan and Iraq can communicate with home directly through many of the personal computers fielded in quantity in both places...and found on larger warships...and certainly found on nearly every military post today. In many cases the military makes actual telephones available for direct talking to family and friends back home...through the DSN, through the Internet via VoIP. Such MARS activities are good for troop morale.

MARS was never designed/implemented/devised to be a PART of mainstream military communications. It isn't now. The U.S. military long ago organized their communications through planning and adapting and reformulating networks, systems, equipment to handle all the Command-Control-Communications (the first three Cs of "C4I") they need. That works. It has always worked. Been there, done that...even controlled the transmitter that FEC MARS used (on a third-priority term), one out of three dozen used for the REAL communications.

All of the above I wrote today has been written by me in here before. Apparently Stebie is way too selective in what he looks for on Google searching. :-)

[Stebie is now going to compose a "YOU LIE, YOU LIE!" message]

Screum.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2484. Re: Silly Gunny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 01:10:26 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118279426.671670.86920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109766

From: "bb" on Wed 8 Jun 2005 15:50

>K4YZ wrote:
>> QUOTE from Chief Army MARS:
>>
>> MARS has grown in all of the services throughout the world. It relies
>> on civilian and military MARS members to be available in case of
>> emergency or disaster to provide communications support. At such times,
>> MARS needs all of the support it can provide. Amateur Radio,
>> collectively with MARS, has made its mark in American history. Each
>> year provides new evidence of the important role it plays in the
>> service of the nation.
>>
>> UNQUOTE
>>
>> Since Lennie only believes that "reality" springs from URL's, he
>> can go to:
>>
>> http://www.asc.army.mil/mars/history.htm

Been there, done that. :-)

Been to Fort Huachuca, too, (Hq Army MARS, off to one side of
the Military Intelligence School).

> ...to get it from the horse's mouth.

Stebie got the wrong end of his "horse" again. Tsk, tsk. :-)

He should have made some kind of remarks about the Buffalo
Soldiers. Fort Huachuca is the original home of them.

>Silly Gunny, no one disputes what the Chief of Army MARS has stated.
>They only dispute what you claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
>Radio." Hi! Hi, hi!!!

Poor Stebie, even more brain-dead than Jim Hampton surmised.

All the fuss and furor over MARS was already done when Stebie's
famous statement ["Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio"]
was made. Stebie is trying to misdirect by pointing a finger
at "my error." Poor guy doesn't realize that when he points
his finger, four other fingers are pointing at HIM! :-)

Heh heh heh. Stebie CUTS AND PASTES from the government web
sites! [he didn't used to like that!] However, the "statement
of mission" is just worded in glorious self-illumination typical

to ALL unit or political organization statements. Stebie wants to take all of those absolutely LITERALLY like a good little soldier. Such shining lights will reflect off the medals he bought for himself at www.grunt.com or similar. He likes to soak in that light and pretend being the big hero.

MARS is doing an okay job for the military. Keeps civilians occupied through their volunteerism and the military hopes that will reflect good will towards the military. MARS *was* good back in the Vietnam War era for phone patches to folks back home. But...that was THIRTY YEARS AGO! [Vietnam War ended for the USA in 1975] The U.S. Army even made that direct statement on the Army's Center for Military History.

MARS is a part of SHARES (SHAred RESources), a loose organization of 2500 U.S. government HF radio sites. It serves as a good radio liason to other government agencies now. That has been demonstrated in the SHARES/MARS exercises dubbed "Grecian Firebolt" and has been written up in the Army Communicator, a quarterly for Signal personnel (and some contractors). The M in MARS is definitely MILITARY and the A stands for AFFILIATE and not "amateur." Army MARS stations are manned by professional warfighters. Volunteer civilian units can work with those stations but only under Army control, including operations outside the ham bands, not inside them.

Does the U.S. military need ham-assisted phone patches now? Rarely. With the expansion of the DSN and the Internet, troops in Afghanistan and Iraq can communicate with home directly through many of the personal computers fielded in quantity in both places...and found on larger warships...and certainly found on nearly every military post today. In many cases the military makes actual telephones available for direct talking to family and friends back home...through the DSN, through the Internet via VoIP. Such MARS activities are good for troop morale.

MARS was never designed/implemented/devised to be a PART of mainstream military communications. It isn't now. The U.S. military long ago organized their communications through planning and adapting and reformulating networks, systems, equipment to handle all the Command-Control-Communications (the first three Cs of "C4I") they need. That works. It has always worked. Been there, done that...even controlled the transmitter that FEC MARS used (on a third-priority term), one out of three dozen used for the REAL communications.

All of the above I wrote today has been written by me in here before. Apparently Stebie is way too selective in what he looks for on Google searching. :-)

[Stebie is now going to compose a "YOU LIE, YOU LIE!" message]

Screum.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2485. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 01:28:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118280534.547842.319670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109767

John Smith wrote:
> ... no, I think Haynie has it right, read the whole article... code is
> no longer of any importance

Bull, that's NOT what he said.

> and the ancient amateurs could not even pass
> the test given today--

So? What's the big deal? If I had to be retested I'd simply memorize the question pools like everybody else does these days. The EE-types wouldn't even do that, they's just sit down and pass it. Like Haynie said "passing a ham exam does not make you an engineer." Which is to say that passing the writtens isn't much more than a traditional rite of passage. Always have been for that matter.

READ THE ARTICLE!

I read the article. Now you tune around the bottom ends of the bands for a reality check.

w3rv

2486. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 01:50:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <NfNpe.111$YN2.5765172@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109768

Haynie said:

1) "It's not that Amateur Radio is dumbed down," he said. "People like me have failed to keep up, and if you look in your heart, you're going to say the same thing."

2) "...if you want people to come out of that examination room in here to be able to design circuits--you're in the wrong hobby."

3) "I said, if we come down on the side of code, we're going to make the no-code people mad, and if we come down on the side of the no-code, we're going to make the code people mad..."

4) "...he predicted that the Commission will never reinstate higher code speed requirements as some have requested."

5) "The FCC is not going to go back to 13 and 20 words per minute, and you can take that to the bank," he predicted. "It's not going to happen." The Commission went with the single 5 WPM requirement because it was "sick and tired" of dealing with medical waivers, he said.

Although he has become a pretty smart "politician" and "diplomat"--it is obvious code is given very little if any importance. Now you can argue his words anyway you like--but you can't find one word of his which places ANY importance on it... He HAS said old amateurs have lost sense of reality, that is right there in no. 1) ... argue that anyway you like--into looking like a fool...

John
<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118280534.547842.319670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> ... no, I think Haynie has it right, read the whole article... code
>> is
>> no longer of any importance
>
> Bull, that's NOT what he said.
>
>> and the ancient amateurs could not even pass
>> the test given today--
>
> So? What's the big deal? If I had to be retested I'd simply memorize
> the question pools like everybody else does these days. The EE-types
> wouldn't even do that, they's just sit down and pass it. Like Haynie
> said "passing a ham exam does not make you an engineer." Which is to
> say that passing the writtens isn't much more than a traditional rite

> of passage. Always have been for that matter.
>
> READ THE ARTICLE!
>
> I read the article. Now you tune around the bottom ends of the bands
> for a reality check.
>
> w3rv
>
>

2487. Re: "Back off, Paki, and don't call me again",

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 01:55:37 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <tkNpe.51543$9A2.39025@edtnps89>
Source record: 109769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

kashe@sonic.net wrote:

> "your" where "you" is some value of "corporation".

I'm afraid you're right about that. Corruption is rampant in most, if not all, governments. A good start may be making *all* corporate political contributions illegal...and none of this business of making contributions in your employee's names, either. It's a start.

mike

2488. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 02:12:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GuydnRZmCKvNODrfRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 109770

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com...
> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants and
> name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and youthful hams...
>
> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be speaking
> morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get the extra
> license... then you will never see them again--except on phone and
> modem...
>
> John

Not so. I've worked several licensees in the CW November Sweeps who gave their year of license as 2000 and AFTER. I currently know several people who have passed their 5wpm so they never have to take the test again but continue to work diligently to get up to both conversational and contest speeds.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2489. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 02:13:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tBNpe.118$ri3.6076440@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109771

... as always, there will be exceptions to ANY rule... I think both
Haynie and I would be surprised if this becomes anywhere near a
"remarkable" number...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:GuydnRZmCKvNODrfRVn-hw@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com...
>> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants
>> and name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and
>> youthful hams...
>>
>> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be
>> speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get the
>> extra license... then you will never see them again--except on phone
>> and modem...
>>
>> John
>
> Not so. I've worked several licensees in the CW November Sweeps who
> gave their year of license as 2000 and AFTER. I currently know
> several people who have passed their 5wpm so they never have to take
> the test again but continue to work diligently to get up to both
> conversational and contest speeds.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

2490. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 02:14:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pCNpe.1264$hK3.285@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109772

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> Haynie has said that a "survey" showed fully 1 in 5 hams
> actually got on the air?
>

Dammit Len, your reading comprehension really is badly deteriorated. See a specialist quickly.

What Haynie said was that the survey revealed that "more than one-fifth of new amateur licensees never get on the air."

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

2491. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 02:14:52 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <87idnQ_JlolVUjrfRVn-iw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109795

John Smith wrote:
> Haynie mentioned you in no 1), someone who won't admit they are killing
> the hobby... you aren't anything but a self-centered jerk with a big ego
> and self-opinion,

And you aren't anything but a know-nothing cber who couldn't pass the
exam for a ham license if your miserable life depended upon it.

2492. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 02:16:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QDNpe.119$3X2.5831783@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109773

Yes, that is correct... and probaby only 1/5 of THOSE 4/5 will bother
with the ARRL...

John

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:pCNpe.1264$hK3.285@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>>
>> Haynie has said that a "survey" showed fully 1 in 5 hams
>> actually got on the air?
>>
>
> Dammit Len, your reading comprehension really is badly deteriorated.
> See a specialist quickly.
>
> What Haynie said was that the survey revealed that "more than
> one-fifth of new amateur licensees never get on the air."
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>

2493. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 02:16:27 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <87idnQ7JlomuTTrfRVn-iw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109796

John Smith wrote:
> Probably the least thing I have done in life is to get a ham license...
> except for a few locals on 10 meters here, there is little left for
> me... well, the aussies and brits still have some interesting folks to
> chat with...
>
> John

Then why don't you turn in your license, get out of ham radio and then
there will be one less 'old fart' that you despise so much killing off
ham radio.

2494. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 02:50:13 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <F7Ope.539$VW6.83@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109774

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com...
> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants and
> name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and youthful
> hams...
>
> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be
> speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get the
> extra license... then you will never see them again--except on phone and
> modem...
>
> John

Hello, John

There are more than a few folks that can send and receive cw faster than a lot of folks can type. I am not talking 20 words per minute here, nor am I talking a leisurely 30 words per minute.

Of course, cut and paste doesn't count (and I've had a few college grads tell me that is how they did some of "their" papers LOL. Future CEOs, I'm sure. Enron and the like).

I'd be interested in an SSB contact on 24 GHz via moonbounce. 85 watts. That might prove interesting.

All said and done, there are modes that can do it better (PSK comes to mind) - but most won't and cw is one that can. It doesn't mean it is the best, but ... again ... there are a number of ops that can send and receive cw faster than a lot of folks can type. And if some enjoy it, why worry? It is like arguing that country-western music is terrible. Not all would agree.

May I assume that you cut and paste very quickly? ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2495. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 02:53:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118285624.061178.181560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109775

From: "John Smith" on Wed 8 Jun 2005 17:21

>Well, all the astronauts are no code techs... guess those no-code'ers
>are in good and technical company...

Not "all." :-)

Having a Tech license is part of their JOB. The JOB is
basically PR work, keeping civilians feeling good about
NASA (which needs all the public support it can get).

Astronauts have all the NASA comms they need, don't need
any ham bands via HTs to do their primary mission. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2496. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 02:56:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3eOpe.122$814.6419513@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109794

I don't think there is a ham alive which can beat my keyboard, hooked to
a "electronic key" and sending morse, nor my sound card doubling as a
"code reader" and producing text on screen from cw...
You mean to tell me people actually use "real keys" still--gawd, I
thought all those sk <tongue-in-cheek>

Warmest regards,
John
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:F7Ope.539$VW6.83@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com...
>> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants
>> and
>> name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and youthful
>> hams...
>>
>> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be
>> speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get the
>> extra license... then you will never see them again--except on phone
>> and
>> modem...
>>
>> John
>
>
> Hello, John
>
> There are more than a few folks that can send and receive cw faster
> than a
> lot of folks can type. I am not talking 20 words per minute here, nor
> am I
> talking a leisurely 30 words per minute.
>
> Of course, cut and paste doesn't count (and I've had a few college
> grads
> tell me that is how they did some of "their" papers LOL. Future CEOs,
> I'm
> sure. Enron and the like).
>
> I'd be interested in an SSB contact on 24 GHz via moonbounce. 85
> watts.

> That might prove interesting.
>
> All said and done, there are modes that can do it better (PSK comes to
> mind) - but most won't and cw is one that can. It doesn't mean it is
> the
> best, but ... again ... there are a number of ops that can send and
> receive
> cw faster than a lot of folks can type. And if some enjoy it, why
> worry?
> It is like arguing that country-western music is terrible. Not all
> would
> agree.
>
> May I assume that you cut and paste very quickly? ;)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

2497. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 02:58:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118285916.745284.203210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109776

From: bb on Jun 8, 7:33 pm

>John Smith wrote:
>> You would think the ARRL high priest would not abandon these guys--times
>> must be tough...
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/stori?es/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>
>> John
>> --
>> Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality, right
>> before my eyes--in real time!
>> Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>
>Sounds like he's been talking to Len. Refreshing.

Sorry, the last time Jim Haynie and I "talked" (via e-mail)
was over three years ago. Haynie struck up the convo then,
not me.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2498. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 02:59:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ggOpe.123$P34.6437032@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109778

I meant the astronauts "with" licenses... the ones who put on a good
show chatting with hams for the media...

Warmest regards,
John
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1118285624.061178.181560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Wed 8 Jun 2005 17:21
>
>>Well, all the astronauts are no code techs... guess those no-code'ers
>>are in good and technical company...
>
> Not "all." :-)
>
> Having a Tech license is part of their JOB. The JOB is
> basically PR work, keeping civilians feeling good about
> NASA (which needs all the public support it can get).
>
> Astronauts have all the NASA comms they need, don't need
> any ham bands via HTs to do their primary mission. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2499. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 03:02:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118286120.791531.94930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109777

From: "John Smith" on Wed 8 Jun 2005 18:03

>Let me see if I have all of your "facts" straight:
>
>1) there is no problem
>2) old farts are cutting edge techs
>3) one look will tell you how "progressive" amateur radio is
>4) everything just looks wrong, it is really right
>5) code is important
>6) ARRL is wrong
>7) Haynie is wrong
>8) FCC is wrong
>
>... yeah, right!
>
>John
>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:PtMpe.1250$hK3.1144@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...

John, you forgot one:

9) Leonard is always wrong :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2500. Re: Forget the "Young'uns"...Let's Just Be Glad There's No Hams Like Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 03:17:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118287041.777821.99430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109779

From: "K4YZ" <TombSentinel@aol.com> on Jun 8, 5:14 am

> I didn't get any farther than this to see what yet anotehr moronic
>anti-Amateur Radio rant this was.
>
> Yet another validation of my claim that Leonard H. Anderson is a
>chronic, pathological liar.

...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder as a solitary figure in a patch-adorned flight suit slowly paces out his lonely path of anger, J-38 in one hand, bayonetted USMC soldering iron in the other. Pre-recorded marine marches softly fill the air, interspersed with dits and dahs of a few PCTA morsebirds not yet extinct. The Tomb of the Unknown Solder is a lonely place, deep in the valley of neuroses, anger, and frustration. The single sentinel counts cadennce to himself, muttering "flux you, flux you" between the slow steps. His fists are clenched, eager to do bottle but only sipping a cup of unkindness. It is sad but the sentinel at the Tomb of the Unknown Solder keeps going. He does not know why and that is the tragedy. The sun slowly sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder leaving only the red light of fire in the eyes of the muttering sentinel. Those glow in the dark like LED pilot lights. Hatred lives on in his twilight of despair.

Temper fry.

2501. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 03:19:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118287165.509135.111290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109780

From: John Smith on Jun 8, 10:16 pm

>Yes, that is correct... and probaby only 1/5 of THOSE 4/5 will bother
>with the ARRL...
>
>John

John, Hans just doesn't understand. When he gets like that
he is extremely touchy-grouchy.

Regardless, the League still doesn't have but about 1 out of
every 5 U.S. amateur radio licensees as members. The League
can't seem to "raise" that much. A couple years ago they
got to about 23%, then it fell off.

>"K0HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:pCNpe.1264$hK3.285@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>
>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>
>>> Haynie has said that a "survey" showed fully 1 in 5 hams
>>> actually got on the air?
>
>> Dammit Len, your reading comprehension really is badly deteriorated.
>> See a specialist quickly.

Tsk, tsk, master super chief of all. Didn't you notice a
little QUESTION MARK I put at the end of my sentence?
You quoted it and STILL didn't see it! YOUR problem, not
mine. Read it again and RECOGNIZE the punctuation.

Sigh.

> What Haynie said was that the survey revealed that "more than
> one-fifth of new amateur licensees never get on the air."

Go uncork a fifth and go for stupor-ville, mighty super
master chief. You will feel better...but not in the morning.

Good luck on this one, now...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2502. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 04:05:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aePpe.1235$NX4.296@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109782

John Smith wrote:
> ... no, I think Haynie has it right, read the whole article... code is
> no longer of any importance and the ancient amateurs could not even pass
> the test given today--READ THE ARTICLE!

I read the article. Haynie may speak for his own abilities but not
mine. Morse code is of no consequence to one who doesn't wish to
communicate using CW. I find it quite important and quite enjoyable,
top-posting "John".

Dave K8MN

> John
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:7SLpe.1151$NX4.76@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Len:
>>>
>>>A direct quote from Jim Haynie, "The ARRL president asserted that
>>>many Amateur Extra class licensees couldn't pass today's Element 4
>>>examination if they had to..."
>>>Complete article at:
>>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>>
>>Haynie's mistake is in assuming that because he might have trouble
>>passing it, many others would also have difficulty.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
>

2503. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 04:06:45 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <pfPpe.1252$eM6.888@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109783

> Unbelieveably, The Calculus appears to work, but how can it when my algebra
> is so poor that I am unable to transform F=L*C into F=1/(6.28*sqr(L*C))?
>

I enjoyed calculus so much I took it twice. :-)

Now if you actually learned enough to actually *USE* calculus
to solve something, you're one leg up on me.... :-)

2504. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 04:15:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%nPpe.1542$jX6.259@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109784

John Smith wrote:
> Let me see if I have all of your "facts" straight:
>
> 1) there is no problem
> 2) old farts are cutting edge techs
> 3) one look will tell you how "progressive" amateur radio is
> 4) everything just looks wrong, it is really right
> 5) code is important
> 6) ARRL is wrong
> 7) Haynie is wrong
> 8) FCC is wrong
>
> ... yeah, right!
>
> John

Well, "John", let's take 'em in reverse order in honor of your top posting style:

8. The FCC has been wrong on numerous occasions.

7. Jim Haynie has been wrong more times than the FCC.

6. The ARRL varies between getting it right and getting it wrong.

5. Morse code is important to me.

4. Everything may look wrong to you and Len Anderson; that doesn't mean that it is.

3. I wrote nothing about "one look".

2. When you use the "old farts" term, I peg you as just another twenty-year-old with fifty years of experience.

1. I don't see any huge problems for amateur radio.

Now to a guy like Leonard Anderson, a guy who claims several decades of interest in amateur radio but who has never taken the first step toward actually obtaining an amateur ticket, I'm sure that amateur radio is in an awful pickle.

I'm still reserving judgement on your motivations.

Dave K8MN

> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:PtMpe.1250$hK3.1144@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...

>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>> The mighty macho morsemen cannot conceive of that. They are
>>> immortal. They RULE. [they've said as much...]
>>
>>Er...actually, you've said as much.
>>
>>
>>> However, "energy and enthusiasm" is a function of both individual
>>> genes and mental outlook. "Enthusiasm" in half-century-old state-
>>> of-the-art techiques and practices should be consigned to niche
>>> nostalgia places, not kept as federal regulations.
>>
>>Most hams I've encountered aren't locked to old technology. They're
>>using the latest equipment available to them. They are not, however,
>>obliged to discard any technology simply because it isn't the newest
>>and latest.
>>
>>
>>> Many of the stratification crowd seem to hang onto their
>>> "enthusiasm" of their young days as if it were a lifeline to
>>> some imagined fountain of youth promising that they will
>>> remain younger than springtime by holding to old paradigms.
>>
>>You haven't yet explained what constitutes "the stratification crowd".
>>Most hams I've encountered are enthusiastic about amateur radio. They
>>enjoy it immensely. I don't expect you to understand.
>>
>>
>>> [Rodgers and Hammerstein could do a great musical opera on
>>> that if Rodgers wasn't a silent (piano) key and Oscar wasn't
>>> a silent pen...but it wouldn't play in Newington] [well,
>>> maybe a version of "Carousel" since these olde-fahrts keep
>>> going around and around and around...]
>>
>>You seem to be the oldest fart here. Are you going around and around?
>>
>>
>>> My own viewpoint is different.
>>
>>I'll say.
>>
>>
>>> By virtue of being born when
>>> I was, my lifetime has seen the comming of the solid-state
>>> era and the definite decay of vacuum tube technology...
>>
>>What makes that special? Many of us are in the same boat, old timer.

>>
>>
>>> ...that
>>> bringing a virtual explosion of different applications, new
>>> and exciting SOCs (Systems On a Chip)...plus a whole new set
>>> of passive and semi-active components and ways to hold them all
>>> together. Technology-wise that is truly WONDERFUL and
>>> MARVELOUS.
>>
>>A very Andersonesque, masterful statement of the obvious...
>>
>>
>>> We all have the capability of high-speed data and
>>> imagery communications internationally, 24/7...
>>
>>No, we don't all have that.
>>
>>
>>> ...no worries about
>>> the condition of the ionosphere...
>>
>>...unless we're trying to use HF radio.
>>
>>
>>> all for less than $2000 in
>>> today's dollars to get a "mainframe" computer on a desk and
>>> a year's subscription to an ISP.
>>
>>Really? You have a "mainframe" computer on your desk?
>>The only thing close to a "mainframe" computer that I've used is the
>>Wang VS and it was a "mini". I'd have had to have had a pretty big
>>desk for it.
>>
>>
>>> Buy-sell-trade, do personal
>>> banking, keep family in touch at all times etc., etc., etc.
>>> My personal enthusiasm on the technology just grows and grows
>>> from keeping in touch with the new developments and seeing
>>> the products (some delivered to my door after electronic
>>> ordering). I'm not going to see the end of even if the
>>> mortal world sees my end. That's the way of humans being.
>>
>>Yep, long bows, crossbows, gunpowder--there's simply no end to it.
>>
>>
>>> Others, the stratification crowd, the staunch defenders of the
>>> status quo, demand a HALT to progress, NO CHANGE.
>>
>>That would seem to be a pretty large fib on your part.
>>

>>
>>> Keep all
>>> nice and tidy and belonging just the way it was when they were
>>> young. Psychological reassurances of their "safety." Denial
>>> of the fact that they ARE getting on. Denial of the fact that
>>> other, younger people MIGHT be interested in doing this ham
>>> radio hobby thing. Oh, some of them whip up some adrenaline
>>> and do lip-service to old, trite phrases of "helping youngsters"
>>> and all that but the MUST keep THEIR playground in their order.
>>> NO changes allowed.
>>
>>
>>> Most don't help, don't bother to learn how to help.
>>
>>Then again, you'd have absolutely no way of knowing it that is the
>>case.
>>
>>
>>> Why would a young person of today WANT to study morse code just
>>> to communicate on HF?
>>
>>Uhhhh....to communicate with others who are using the mode or to learn
>>something different than his peers or maybe, just because it is a
>>qualification for obtaining an HF amateur radio license.
>>
>>
>>> Other than being in a "ham family?"
>>
>>Other than being in a ham family, what?
>>
>>
>>> The
>>> Internet opened to the public 14 years ago and most of the world
>>> is connected to the net.
>>
>>No, most of the world is assuredly not connect to the internet.
>>
>>
>>> A shrink wrap CB transceiver is available
>>> over the counter for less than $100, complete with antenna and
>>> microphone. A pair of FRS hand-helds costs only $50 maximum and
>>> permits 5 mile two-way talking with isolation via digital mode.
>>
>>What's your point, Leonard? Do you own stock in Cobra?
>>
>>
>>> A cell phone with a built-in camera costs less than $100 and can
>>> communicate anywhere within range of a cell site...to the rest
>>> of the telephonic world.
>>

>>Neat. Even if I don't have one, I have a telephone. With it, I can
>>also communicate to the rest of the "telephonic world".
>>
>>
>>> One in five Americans have cell phone
>>> subscriptions.
>>
>>One in five American hams belong to the ARRL.
>>
>>Need to send documents across country fast? Go
>>
>>> to chain drugstores and use their FAX machines.
>>
>>Why would I need to do that? I'd just use my desktop "mainframe"
>>computer and FAX with it.
>>
>>
>>> All sorts of
>>> quick communications possibilities for all today.
>>
>>Yeah?
>>
>>
>>> COMPETITION OF INTEREST.
>>
>>COMPETITION OF INTEREST, what?
>>
>>Competition of quality and dependability.
>>
>>What about them?
>>
>>
>>> And all
>>> that hasn't touched on the OTHER advantages the younger folk have
>>> today, things that are entertaining, interesting, mind-holding.
>>
>>Yeah--hip hop, computer porn, drugs, piercing body parts and video
>>gaming come to mind.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> In truth, some young folks LIKE certain old things.
>>
>>Then somewhere, there is a young person who must like you.
>>
>>
>>
>>> Today's ham can purchase a top-of-the-line HF transceiver, fancy
>>> antenna and tower, peripheral gizmos up the gazoo, all for less

>>> than $5000.
>>
>>Where can I get all of that stuff for less than five grand, Leonard?
>>
>>
>>> They get rock-solid frequency stability and read-out
>>> of same down to 10 Hz increments...Digital Signal Processing,
>>> "VFO 'split'" with frequency memories, sharp crystal filters to
>>> reduce QRM and QRN to a minimum...even operate it through a PC!
>>> None of that was available in a single package a half century ago.
>>
>>None of that was available a quarter-century ago.
>>
>>
>>> But, the olde-fahrts can sit back and dictate all MUST test for
>>> the 161-year-old "technology" skill of morse code on that HF.
>>
>>Some of 'em are still using human speech to communicate. That dates
>>back thousands and thousands of years.
>>
>>
>>> Incredible dichotomy. Incredible hypocrisy.
>>
>>Those don't seem to qualify as sentences.
>>
>>
>>> Actuarial tables will manifest themselves. The mighty macho
>>> morsemen WILL have their morse keys pried out of their cold,
>>> dead fingers.
>>
>>But actuarial tables being what they are, they'd indicate that this
>>will be one of those things you aren't likely to be around to see. In
>>fact, you'll likely miss out on amateur radio altogether.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
>

2505. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 04:17:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FpPpe.1543$jX6.1304@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109785

John Smith wrote:
> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants and
> name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and youthful
> hams...

"Vital and youthful hams", "old men", "rants"--I'm writing you off as
just another troll, "John".

Dave K8MN

2506. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 04:20:41 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118290841.402342.151100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109786

John Smith wrote:
> Haynie said:
>
> 1) "It's not that Amateur Radio is dumbed down," he said. "People like
> me have failed to keep up, and if you look in your heart, you're going
> to say the same thing."

He's right on the money. But many hams are *not* like him, yes?

> 2) "...if you want people to come out of that examination room in here
> to be able to design circuits--you're in the wrong hobby."

Of course, that's been obvious to everybody else around here since
somebody first started selling spark rigs out of a catalog. Is it
somehow imperative that hams must be able to design current-tech
circuits or the sky will fall on the hobby? What's your point?

> 3) "I said, if we come down on the side of code, we're going to make
> the no-code people mad, and if we come down on the side of the no-code,
> we're going to make the code people mad..."

Ah, but then he continued ". . so the best thing for us to do is be
evenhanded, and make everybody mad," Haynie said, tongue-in-cheek. "And
I think we're doing a good job of it." "

In other words the League has ducked out of the code teat war this time
and put in the hands of the FCC.

Do you have a problem with that?? I sure don't.

Your "selective snippages" are as a bare minimum a bit of comic relief.

> 4) "...he predicted that the Commission will never reinstate higher code
> speed requirements as some have requested."

OBVIOUSLY . . wtf . . ?!

> 5) "The FCC is not going to go back to 13 and 20 words per minute, and
> you can take that to the bank," he predicted. "It's not going to
> happen." The Commission went with the single 5 WPM requirement because
> it was "sick and tired" of dealing with medical waivers, he said.

This is astounding new info or what??

> Although he has become a pretty smart "politician" and "diplomat"--it is
> obvious code is given very little if any importance. Now you can argue
> his words anyway you like--but you can't find one word of his which
> places ANY importance on it... He HAS said old amateurs have lost sense

> of reality, that is right there in no. 1) ... argue that anyway you
> like--into looking like a fool...

Smitty I'm about 99% in agreement with what Haynie stated, I'm not arguing his words at all, I'm arguing YOUR words. Which are goofy, naive attempts to twist reality around which we all see thru. You're quite terrible at this game you're trying to play John but hang around here awhile and watch how the real pros harpoon reality in this group . . maybe you'll get better at the game.

. . speaking of reality . . in the end when all the dust settles CW is everywhere on the bands today and it's gonna still be on the bands long after you and I have both expired. Get comfortable with it my good man because neither of us can do a bloody thing about it one way or another. THAT's the real reality.

And by the way what's your callsign?

Good night.

> John

w3v

2507. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 04:23:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jvPpe.1548$jX6.1409@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109787

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "John Smith" on Wed 8 Jun 2005 18:03
>
>
>>Let me see if I have all of your "facts" straight:
>>
>>1) there is no problem
>>2) old farts are cutting edge techs
>>3) one look will tell you how "progressive" amateur radio is
>>4) everything just looks wrong, it is really right
>>5) code is important
>>6) ARRL is wrong
>>7) Haynie is wrong
>>8) FCC is wrong
>>
>>... yeah, right!
>>
>>John
>>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>news:PtMpe.1250$hK3.1144@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>
> John, you forgot one:
>
> 9) Leonard is always wrong :-)

Try to be specific and accurate: Leonard H. Anderson is usually wrong. :-)

Dave K8MN

2508. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 04:44:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4PPpe.133$m26.7267384@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109657

You just dismissed the fact that Haynie is seeing a real problem here,
he is beginning to tell hams to stop acting like such immature jerks and
get with the program--you take these words are turn them into him giving
you an "Atta Boy!"
If you are fool enough to believe that you will have to... such denial,
avoidance and BS has stagnated us to the point where all there are, are
a bunch of guys out there too old to be of interest to QSO with--the
young guys know that and you can tell them different till the cows come
home--it will not change one thing...
I am 52 and most are too old for me... long winded rants about
yesteryear and such is a real turn off to this guy... I cringe when I
see the expressions on the young mens face who hear it on my demos of
radio...
You sit there thinking all is well--I can tell you are past anything but
an argument for maintaining status quo...
You really mean to tell me you feel the bands out there are "congested?"
Tell someone without a radio--they just might believe you...
We both hear Haynies words you think he is saying, "Good Job Guys!" I
challenge that all right, I hear him saying, "Get with it old fools!!!"

John

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118290841.402342.151100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Haynie said:
>>
>> 1) "It's not that Amateur Radio is dumbed down," he said. "People
>> like
>> me have failed to keep up, and if you look in your heart, you're
>> going
>> to say the same thing."
>
> He's right on the money. But many hams are *not* like him, yes?
>
>> 2) "...if you want people to come out of that examination room in
>> here
>> to be able to design circuits--you're in the wrong hobby."
>
> Of course, that's been obvious to everybody else around here since
> somebody first started selling spark rigs out of a catalog. Is it
> somehow imperative that hams must be able to design current-tech
> circuits or the sky will fall on the hobby? What's your point?

>
>> 3) "I said, if we come down on the side of code, we're going to make
>> the no-code people mad, and if we come down on the side of the
>> no-code,
>> we're going to make the code people mad..."
>
> Ah, but then he continued ". . so the best thing for us to do is be
> evenhanded, and make everybody mad," Haynie said, tongue-in-cheek.
> "And
> I think we're doing a good job of it." "
>
> In other words the League has ducked out of the code teat war this
> time
> and put in the hands of the FCC.
>
> Do you have a problem with that?? I sure don't.
>
> Your "selective snippages" are as a bare minimum a bit of comic
> relief.
>
>
>> 4) "...he predicted that the Commission will never reinstate higher
>> code
>> speed requirements as some have requested."
>
> OBVIOUSLY . . wtf . . ?!
>
>> 5) "The FCC is not going to go back to 13 and 20 words per minute,
>> and
>> you can take that to the bank," he predicted. "It's not going to
>> happen." The Commission went with the single 5 WPM requirement
>> because
>> it was "sick and tired" of dealing with medical waivers, he said.
>
> This is astounding new info or what??
>
>> Although he has become a pretty smart "politician" and "diplomat"--it
>> is
>> obvious code is given very little if any importance. Now you can
>> argue
>> his words anyway you like--but you can't find one word of his which
>> places ANY importance on it... He HAS said old amateurs have lost
>> sense
>> of reality, that is right there in no. 1) ... argue that anyway you
>> like--into looking like a fool...
>
> Smitty I'm about 99% in agreement with what Haynie stated, I'm not
> arguing his words at all, I'm arguing YOUR words. Which are goofy,
> naive attempts to twist reality around which we all see thru. You're
> quite terrible at this game you're trying to play John but hang around

> here awhile and watch how the real pros harpoon reality in this group
> .
> . maybe you'll get better at the game.
>
> . . speaking of reality . . in the end when all the dust settles CW is
> everywhere on the bands today and it's gonna still be on the bands
> long
> after you and I have both expired. Get comfortable with it my good man
> because neither of us can do a bloody thing about it one way or
> another. THAT's the real reality.
>
> And by the way what's your callsign?
>
> Good night.
>
>> John
>
> w3v
>

2509. Re: Forget the "Young'uns"...Let's Just Be Glad There's No Hams Like Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 04:45:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118292303.718842.51390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109788

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" <TombSentinel@aol.com> on Jun 8, 5:14 am
>
>
> > I didn't get any farther than this to see what yet anotehr moronic
> >anti-Amateur Radio rant this was.
> >
> > Yet another validation of my claim that Leonard H. Anderson is a
> >chronic, pathological liar.
>
>
>
> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...(SNIP)

I'm getting those caffinated beverages ready for MY final salute
at your gravesite, Lennie.

Tell Mrs Lennie to bring her galoshes.

Steve, K4YZ

2510. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 04:50:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rUPpe.135$5b6.7373922@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109789

Haynie mentioned you in no 1), someone who won't admit they are killing
the hobby... you aren't anything but a self-centered jerk with a big ego
and self-opinion, and you are here because this newsgroup is full of
'em, you guys have all busted your arms in an over-zealous state to pat
each other on the back. You look like a group engaged in a
group-masturbation of egos--I can assure you at 52 I find it makes me
ill--I can't even imagine how it must disgust younger men....
Too long you have been coddled with kind words till with even your worst
behavior you expect them...

Warmest regards,
John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:aePpe.1235$NX4.296@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> ... no, I think Haynie has it right, read the whole article... code
>> is no longer of any importance and the ancient amateurs could not
>> even pass the test given today--READ THE ARTICLE!
>
> I read the article. Haynie may speak for his own abilities but not
> mine. Morse code is of no consequence to one who doesn't wish to
> communicate using CW. I find it quite important and quite enjoyable,
> top-posting "John".
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>> John
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:7SLpe.1151$NX4.76@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Len:
>>>>
>>>>A direct quote from Jim Haynie, "The ARRL president asserted that
>>>>many Amateur Extra class licensees couldn't pass today's Element 4
>>>>examination if they had to..."
>>>>Complete article at:
>>>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>>>
>>>Haynie's mistake is in assuming that because he might have trouble
>>>passing it, many others would also have difficulty.
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN

>>
>>

2511. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 05:00:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <92Qpe.138$Bp6.7576712@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109790

Well, I will be there standing beside Haynie!!!

John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:FpPpe.1543$jX6.1304@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants
>> and name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and
>> youthful hams...
>
> "Vital and youthful hams", "old men", "rants"--I'm writing you off as
> just another troll, "John".
>
> Dave K8MN

2512. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 05:03:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <R4Qpe.139$eq6.7607420@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109791

Probably the least thing I have done in life is to get a ham license... except for a few locals on 10 meters here, there is little left for me... well, the aussies and brits still have some interesting folks to chat with...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message news:RbSdnf3JKptoIDrfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Well, all the astronauts are no code techs...
>
> And yet you can't even manage that.

2513. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 05:05:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118293547.494690.94150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109792

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Smith on Jun 8, 5:35 pm
>
> >Yes.
> >
> >Well, you cannot disguise the fact that the over 60 crowd just don't
> >have the energy or enthusiasm as the teenage to 30 crowd--or the fact
> >that these younger people are actively engaged in the research,
> >development and production phases of electronics. Why they may
> >effectively hang on limiting the hobby--this cannot not go on
> >indefinitely...
> >
> >And, while it is true only those with a wet diaper can truly appreciate
> >change--change is in the wind... the next decade should provide a dip
> >in number of licenses which has never been seen before... this is only
> >the beginning...
>
> The mighty macho morsemen cannot conceive of that. They are
> immortal. They RULE. [they've said as much...]
>
> However, "energy and enthusiasm" is a function of both individual
> genes and mental outlook. "Enthusiasm" in half-century-old state-
> of-the-art techiques and practices should be consigned to niche
> nostalgia places, not kept as federal regulations.

Lennie...YOU are the person who ALWAYS reverts to tales of "half
century old state-of-the-art techniques and practices"...Not "us".

> Many of the stratification crowd seem to hang onto their
> "enthusiasm" of their young days as if it were a lifeline to
> some imagined fountain of youth promising that they will
> remain younger than springtime by holding to old paradigms.

Whew...Any windier than that and Goodyear might invite you over to
the flying field next time the blimp gets a little limp...

> My own viewpoint is different. By virtue of being born when
> I was...(SNIP)

Give you no more "perspective" on modern day Amateur Radio than
anyone else who can read about it in a magazine or on-line.

That IS the limits of your experience in Amateur Radio.

> Others, the stratification crowd, the staunch defenders of the
> status quo, demand a HALT to progress, NO CHANGE.

There goes Leoand H Anderson with more of his chronic lying.

Leonard H Anderson IS the only one in this forum who expresses
that ideal. Not a single person in this forum, including myself, has
EVER expressed a "NO CHANGE" position.

> Keep all
> nice and tidy and belonging just the way it was when they were
> young.

...or we could all time-travel ourselves back to post-war Japan to
be rear-area radio mechanics of the 50's...Like Lennie does so often.

> Why would a young person of today WANT to study morse code just
> to communicate on HF?

Most that I've had contact with lately don't want to get on HF on
ANY mode...They are enthralled with EchoLink and the digital modes, all
of which can give them nearly the same worldwide communications
capability taht another $750 in equipment could get them.

(This is yet another of those "practical experiences" that
licensed Amateurs have that Lennie doesn't...)

> A pair of FRS hand-helds costs only $50 maximum and
> permits 5 mile two-way talking with isolation via digital mode.

BWWWWHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

MAYBE if one of them is on Racho Palo Verdes and the other on
Crestline, Lennie....

Everyone else is limited to about a 1/4 to 1/2 mile, despite the
advertising hype to the contrary.

(Even MORE "practical experience" that Lennie lacks....)

> A cell phone with a built-in camera costs less than $100 and can
> communicate anywhere within range of a cell site...to the rest
> of the telephonic world.

Uh-huh...

For $30 to $50 a month...Plus other fees depending on who you
contract with. Skip a payment, and the only "pictures" you'll be
taking are with a disposable Wal-Mart 35mm camera.

> Today's ham can purchase a top-of-the-line HF transceiver, fancy
> antenna and tower, peripheral gizmos up the gazoo, all for less
> than $5000.

Actually for less than that.

> But, the olde-fahrts can sit back and dictate all MUST test for
> the 161-year-old "technology" skill of morse code on that HF.

> Incredible dichotomy. Incredible hypocrisy.

None of us is "dictating" that, Lennie.

Your NON-licensed commissioners in Washington and Gettysburg are
doing that.

There's your "dichotomy", Lennie.

> Actuarial tables will manifest themselves. The mighty macho
> morsemen WILL have their morse keys pried out of their cold,
> dead fingers. Your prediction will come to pass. Perhaps
> much sooner than they expected. RIP.

I've been praying for a stroke on Lanark Street. Maybe sooner
than later?

> LenIdon'tHaveAlickOfPracticalExperienceInHamRadioAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

2514. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 05:07:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <V7Qpe.141$Er6.7630918@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109793

Well, I didn't come here to make any friends, they bother me not... I
don't think we need be standing on pomp and circumstance in these
times...
My skin is about as thick as ones can get, and I darn well know how to
call a spade a spade--still deal with jr college kids two nights a
week... these old guys aren't anything next to them...

Warmest regards,
John
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1118287165.509135.111290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jun 8, 10:16 pm
>
>>Yes, that is correct... and probaby only 1/5 of THOSE 4/5 will bother
>>with the ARRL...
>>
>>John
>
> John, Hans just doesn't understand. When he gets like that
> he is extremely touchy-grouchy.
>
> Regardless, the League still doesn't have but about 1 out of
> every 5 U.S. amateur radio licensees as members. The League
> can't seem to "raise" that much. A couple years ago they
> got to about 23%, then it fell off.
>
>
>>"K0HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>news:pCNpe.1264$hK3.285@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>>
>>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote
>>
>>>> Haynie has said that a "survey" showed fully 1 in 5 hams
>>>> actually got on the air?
>>
>>> Dammit Len, your reading comprehension really is badly deteriorated.
>>> See a specialist quickly.
>
> Tsk, tsk, master super chief of all. Didn't you notice a
> little QUESTION MARK I put at the end of my sentence?
> You quoted it and STILL didn't see it! YOUR problem, not
> mine. Read it again and RECOGNIZE the punctuation.

>
> Sigh.
>
>> What Haynie said was that the survey revealed that "more than
>> one-fifth of new amateur licensees never get on the air."
>
> Go uncork a fifth and go for stupor-ville, mighty super
> master chief. You will feel better...but not in the morning.
>
> Good luck on this one, now...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2515. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 05:20:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tkQpe.142$2E6.7773216@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109797

You are a silly old man, I am indeed licensed... but that should make little difference... that argument is not what I am, but what the hobby is and where it is going, you are a crafty old buzzard always anxious to side track facts into a gutter of personal attacks and rants on someones present status--too me--you just look like a damn street smart punk which knows a few tricks--and dirty underhanded ones at that...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message news:87idnQ_JlolVUjrfRVn-iw@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Haynie mentioned you in no 1), someone who won't admit they are
>> killing the hobby... you aren't anything but a self-centered jerk
>> with a big ego and self-opinion,
>
> And you aren't anything but a know-nothing cber who couldn't pass the
> exam for a ham license if your miserable life depended upon it.

2516. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 05:23:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <InQpe.143$hD6.7771232@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109655

You are just a nasty tempered old man which knows some cheap tricks and sits here attacking peoples character while denying all but which you want to hear...
If you want to argue do it openly and honestly do so without worry, my skin is thick--if all you want to do is make cheap personal attacks on personalities you just consume time....

John
"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message news:87idnQ7JlomuTTrfRVn-iw@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Probably the least thing I have done in life is to get a ham
>> license... except for a few locals on 10 meters here, there is little
>> left for me... well, the aussies and brits still have some
>> interesting folks to chat with...
>>
>> John
>
> Then why don't you turn in your license, get out of ham radio and then
> there will be one less 'old fart' that you despise so much killing off
> ham radio.

2517. And Incase Lennie Doubted that MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 05:24:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118294679.278147.284210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109798

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed 8 Jun 2005 15:50
>
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> QUOTE from Chief Army MARS:
> >>
> >> MARS has grown in all of the services throughout the world. It relies
> >> on civilian and military MARS members to be available in case of
> >> emergency or disaster to provide communications support. At such times,
> >> MARS needs all of the support it can provide. Amateur Radio,
> >> collectively with MARS, has made its mark in American history. Each
> >> year provides new evidence of the important role it plays in the
> >> service of the nation.
> >>
> >> UNQUOTE
> >>
> >> Since Lennie only believes that "reality" springs from URL's, he
> >> can go to:
> >>
> >> http://www.asc.army.mil/mars/history.htm
>
> Been there, done that.

Obviously you didn't pay attention.

> Been to Fort Huachuca, too, (Hq Army MARS, off to one side of
> the Military Intelligence School).

They've been trying to get the smell out ever since, too.

> > ...to get it from the horse's mouth.
>
> Stebie got the wrong end of his "horse" again. Tsk, tsk.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhh.....

You're calling the Chief of MARS as a horses' patoot, Lennie?

> He should have made some kind of remarks about the Buffalo
> Soldiers. Fort Huachuca is the original home of them.

Was that in ChiefMARS comments? I missed it.

> >Silly Gunny, no one disputes what the Chief of Army MARS has stated.
> >They only dispute what you claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur

> >Radio." Hi! Hi, hi!!!
>
> Poor Stebie, even more brain-dead than Jim Hampton surmised.
>
> All the fuss and furor over MARS was already done when Stebie's
> famous statement ["Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio"]
> was made. Stebie is trying to misdirect by pointing a finger
> at "my error." Poor guy doesn't realize that when he points
> his finger, four other fingers are pointing at HIM!

I don't point a finger, Lennie.

And it is "your error"...Proven with the comments of ChiefMARS.

> Heh heh heh. Stebie CUTS AND PASTES from the government web
> sites! [he didn't used to like that!] However, the "statement
> of mission" is just worded in glorious self-illumination typical
> to ALL unit or political organization statements.

NOW I SEE!

When LENNIE can quote from a website that supposedly substantiates
his "position", it's "absolute truth".

When it lands on his head, it's "glorious self-illumination"...

Uh huh...Riiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

Only a couple weeks ago you were citing from the same sources...

No "glorious self-illumination" comments then, eh, Lennie....?!?!

> Stebie wants
> to take all of those absolutely LITERALLY like a good little
> soldier. Such shining lights will reflect off the medals he
> bought for himself at www.grunt.com or similar. He likes to
> soak in that light and pretend being the big hero.

Nope..."Pretend(ing) to be the big hero" is your schtick, Lennie...

Like all those posts where you pretend to have been "somebody" by
having been in a unit that had KIA's a few years earlier...Years that
you weren't even in the service...

> MARS is doing an okay job for the military. Keeps civilians
> occupied through their volunteerism and the military hopes
> that will reflect good will towards the military. MARS *was*
> good back in the Vietnam War era for phone patches to folks
> back home. But...that was THIRTY YEARS AGO! [Vietnam War
> ended for the USA in 1975] The U.S. Army even made that direct
> statement on the Army's Center for Military History.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...even MORE enlightenment...

Again you cite things that were "THIRTY YEARS AGO", but not what's happening "TODAY"...

Of course you ALSO cite what happened at "ADA" in the 50's as if it happened yesterday, but then attempt to chide Amateurs for discussing what happened SIX years ago.

> MARS is a part of SHARES...(SNIP)

Yep.

And that doesn't detract ONE BIT from the TITLE of the thread...Actually, it ADDS to it.

> Does the U.S. military need ham-assisted phone patches now?

Is "ham-assisted phone patches" ALL that the Armed Forces expects from MARS...?!?!

Nope.

And Lennie wouldn't know that, considering his experience level in MARS and/or Amateur Radio.

> MARS was never designed/implemented/devised to be a PART of
> mainstream military communications. It isn't now.

Nope. It wasn't. Who here said it was? I sure didn't.

> All of the above I wrote today has been written by me in here
> before. Apparently Stebie is way too selective in what he
> looks for on Google searching.

Nope.

What I point out is that you denigrate and deny the services and achievements of MARS and Amateur Radio services alike.

ChiefMARS has to answer to higher-ups in the "MILITARY" chain of command.

> [Stebie is now going to compose a "YOU LIE, YOU LIE!" message]

I don't need to yell them out, Lennie. Your mistruths are usually glaring enough to stand out on their own...

> LenStillDoesn'tKnowAthingAboutMARSorAmateurRadioAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2518. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 05:32:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118295160.785211.113570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109799

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Fritz Wuehler on Jun 8, 2:44 pm
>
> >"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > from: K4YZ <k...@aol.com> on Jun 6, 3:00 am
>
>
> >> > "Completely?" Tsk, tsk. No again. MARS was originally begun
> >> > as a very small-scale (and low budget) ARMY project back in
> >> > 1925...more for publicity for the Army than any real radio
> >> > improvement (Motorola did more of that in 1940 than any bunch
> >> > of amateur volunteers).
> >
> >> I am wondering how THAT happened, Lennie.
> >
> >> There was NO company named "Motorola" until 1947.
> >
> >> Until then, "Motorola" was just the model name for car radios...No
> >> company...
> >
> >> So...how did "Motorola" do anything for Army communications in
> >> 1940...?!?!
> >
> >More lies, Nursie? Where's your documentation?
>
> Fritz, this self-styled "radio history expert" doesn't have much
> in the way of documentation for anything.

Sure I do.

> Galvin Manufacturing Co. changed its corporate title to
> MOTOROLA in 1947.

And there's the acknowledgement of it.

> Some wordplay on corporate identities is to be expected since
> Stebie da Wundermarine can't really top those of us who have
> been IN radio and electronics longer than he has been alive.

The "wordplay" was yours, Lennie.

And regardless of when I was born, I can read.

YOU said "Motorola" was doing all these great things for the Army
in "1940".

"Motorola" was the name of a radio...not the name of a
company...in 1940.

Details, Lennie....Facts....

> His attempts at wordplay using (to him) foreign phrases do
> not work well, especially when he misspells the French "nes pas"
> as "nes pax" (exchaning a French word with Spanish word for
> "peace"). He does not recognize peace. A pun that is not,
> as Yoda might say.

Guess that had something to do with the "S" and "X" key being one
over the other, Lennie...Oooops.

Of course we all KNOW that YOU don't make typos!
(yeahrightgigglegiggle)

> He should eat his gefilte fish and latkes in hopes that his
> digestion might improve by not shouting PUTZ! at his "enemies"
> so much. Kosher he is not. Oy, gevalt.

You aren't my enemy, Lennie. You don't have what it takes.

> LenDamnThatStingsAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

2519. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 05:37:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118295459.231724.46900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109650

bb wrote:
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1118264123.041417.191580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > robert casey wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > There was NO company named "Motorola" until 1947.
> > > > >
> > > > > Until then, "Motorola" was just the model name for car radios...No
> > > > > company...
> > > > >
> > > > > So...how did "Motorola" do anything for Army communications in
> > > > > 1940...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > Must have been the Galvin(sp) company, the ancestor of Motorola.
> > >
> > > Absolutely correct, Mr Casey.
> > >
> > > From: http://www.hitechwireless.cc/html/history.html
> > >
> > > To wit:
> > >
> > > The company was founded by Paul V. Galvin as the Galvin Manufacturing
> > > Corporation, in Chicago, Illinois, in 1928. Its first product was a
> > > "battery eliminator," allowing consumers to operate radios directly
> > > from household current instead of the batteries supplied with early
> > > models. In the 1930s, the company successfully commercialized car
> > > radios under the brand name "Motorola," a word suggesting sound in
> > > motion. During this period, the company also established home radio and
> > > police radio departments; instituted pioneering personnel programs; and
> > > began national advertising. The name of the company was changed to
> > > Motorola, Inc., in 1947.
> > >
> > >
> > > UNQUOTE
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> >
> >

> > Steve,
> >
> > Please don't mention 1947.
> >
> > I just had a birthday last month and I am feeling *very* antiquated LOL.
> >
> > I have been unable to learn new concepts, such as measuring your antenna
> > impedance with a volt-ohm meter.
> >
> > I still cannot understand the concept of a class A amplifier being 50%
> > efficient (taught by the U.S. Navy in 1967).
> >
> > I had a problem even as a youngster when a teacher told me that there was a
> > complex formula for finding resonant frequency, but L times C was close
> > enough. I was 14 at the time and already apparently suffering the beginning
> > of Altzheimer's.
> >
> > I admit to having some problems with The Calculus but managed some months
> > ago to borrow a book and get back a bit of what I had forgotten.
> > Unbelieveably, The Calculus appears to work, but how can it when my algebra
> > is so poor that I am unable to transform F=L*C into F=1/(6.28*sqr(L*C))?
> >
> > I can only assume that I have memorized many things in error. I don't
> > generally use calculators like many do to make change (I caught an error one
> > time a kid did use a calculator), so I suspect my basic addition,
> > subraction, multiplication, and division have not disappeared. Obviously,
> > however, my idea of equations must be in error.
> >
> > Many folks state that pi is equal to 3. Well, that still doesn't work for
> > resonant frequency = L times C.
> >
> > So, in any case, Steve, welcome to the club. You are likely as brain dead
> > as I.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> > ps - try to avoid these kind of threads LOL
>
> At this point, an honorable person would not only admit that they were
> wrong, but would apologize to the person they were claiming was wrong,
> ---and--- apologize to that person for starting yet another slam
> thread.
>
> Let's see what Steve does.

Apologize for what, Brain...?!?!

Motorola was NOT a company in 1940. THAT is a fact. References
provided.

Lennie was WRONG.

Let's see what LENNIE does, other than provide yet another verbose spindance to hide behind his error...

OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOPS! TOO LATE! He already did that!

It was no "slam thread".

Lennie got his ego in front of his common sense again...Wasn't paying attention. But he CLAIMS to be a "professional".

Obviously THIS professional got his "facts" wrong. I just showed him his error.

Steve, K4YZ

2520. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets MARS History And Present Day Mission Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 05:40:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118295635.977115.161430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109651

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Fritz Wuehler on Jun 8, 2:44 pm
> >
> > >"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> > from: K4YZ <k...@aol.com> on Jun 6, 3:00 am
> >
> >
> > >> > "Completely?" Tsk, tsk. No again. MARS was originally begun
> > >> > as a very small-scale (and low budget) ARMY project back in
> > >> > 1925...more for publicity for the Army than any real radio
> > >> > improvement (Motorola did more of that in 1940 than any bunch
> > >> > of amateur volunteers).
> > >
> > >> I am wondering how THAT happened, Lennie.
> > >
> > >> There was NO company named "Motorola" until 1947.
> > >
> > >> Until then, "Motorola" was just the model name for car radios...No
> > >> company...
> > >
> > >> So...how did "Motorola" do anything for Army communications in
> > >> 1940...?!?!
> > >
> > >More lies, Nursie? Where's your documentation?
> >
> > Fritz, this self-styled "radio history expert" doesn't have much
> > in the way of documentation for anything.
>
> Sure I do.
>
> > Galvin Manufacturing Co. changed its corporate title to
> > MOTOROLA in 1947.
>
> And there's the acknowledgement of it.
>
> > Some wordplay on corporate identities is to be expected since
> > Stebie da Wundermarine can't really top those of us who have
> > been IN radio and electronics longer than he has been alive.
>
> The "wordplay" was yours, Lennie.

>
> And regardless of when I was born, I can read.
>
> YOU said "Motorola" was doing all these great things for the Army
> in "1940".
>
> "Motorola" was the name of a radio...not the name of a
> company...in 1940.
>
> Details, Lennie....Facts....
>
> > His attempts at wordplay using (to him) foreign phrases do
> > not work well, especially when he misspells the French "nes pas"
> > as "nes pax" (exchaning a French word with Spanish word for
> > "peace"). He does not recognize peace. A pun that is not,
> > as Yoda might say.
>
> Guess that had something to do with the "S" and "X" key being one
> over the other, Lennie...Oooops.
>
> Of course we all KNOW that YOU don't make typos!
> (yeahrightgigglegiggle)
>
> > He should eat his gefilte fish and latkes in hopes that his
> > digestion might improve by not shouting PUTZ! at his "enemies"
> > so much. Kosher he is not. Oy, gevalt.
>
> You aren't my enemy, Lennie. You don't have what it takes.
>
> > LenDamnThatStingsAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2521. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 05:55:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118296503.557270.275470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109652

bb wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1118171133.720019.172950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> >
> > > > However, the US Navy does issue callsigns to amateurs at Antarctic Bases
> > > > (ie,
> > > > KC4AAA, KC4AAC) and Guantanamo Bay (ie, KG4ML, KG4OX).
> > >
> > > I forgot about Gitmo and Antarctica...You're completely correct.
> >
> > > And let's not forget the KC4USA thru USZ series...
> >
> >
> > Who "forgot" it? That's part of the Antarctic Bases block administered by USN.
> >
> > dit dit
> > de Hans, K0HB
>
> Hi!

I missed the humor, Brain.

Is the United States Navy part of the federal government?

And did Hans NOT cite the USA through USN blocks in his post?

Steve, K4YZ

2522. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 05:59:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118296757.376322.248010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109653

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > The "internal fantasy" here, is yours. That being that you know
> > anything truly meaningful about Amateur Radio or any of it's associated
> > programs.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, there sure is lots of protesting and denial in your post, and
> lots of claims of "not headline news" hostile actions. Len would be
> pleased. Very pleased.

What protesting?

> Can you give us some examples of what you consider hostile actions?

Engaged in direct fire with a hostile opponent. Exchanging
gunfire.

REAL gunfire...no BFA's.

> Probably not. OK, I'll give some.

Cute. None of them correct.

> Is that a hostile action?

I am sure that you wouldn't know "hostile action" if it greeted you
in the parking lot and poked you in the nose, Brian...

Steve, K4YZ

2523. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 06:07:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118297243.781473.221410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109654

John Smith wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
> news:87idnQ_JlolVUjrfRVn-iw@gbronline.com...
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> Haynie mentioned you in no 1), someone who won't admit they are
> >> killing the hobby... you aren't anything but a self-centered jerk
> >> with a big ego and self-opinion,
> >
> > And you aren't anything but a know-nothing cber who couldn't pass the
> > exam for a ham license if your miserable life depended upon it.
>
> You are a silly old man, I am indeed licensed...

Not likely.

> but that should make little difference...(SNIP)

It makes a LOT of difference.

It establishes from what point of reference that you make your
assertions. It's called an "informed opinion".

Lennie is an example of a lot of fluff without experience. He
"knows" a lot from what he cuts and pastes...no more...no less...

Just like you so far.

> (UNSNIP...that argument is not what I am, but what the hobby
> is and where it is going...(SNIP)

And you know this?

So far you've offered nothing but hit and run, anonymous quips with
little or no substantiation of your "opinions".

> (UNSNIP)...you are a crafty old buzzard always anxious to
> side track facts into a gutter of personal attacks and rants on someones
> present status...(SNIP)

And so far you've done/said nothing except to make your OWN "gutter
of personal attacks". So what's the difference?

> (UNSNIP)....--too me--you just look like a damn street smart punk
> which knows a few tricks--and dirty underhanded ones at that...

Lessee...You're anonymous...apparently unlicensed...not a bit of
substantiation of ANY assertion...

Yep..."damn street punk" WITHOUT the "smarts"...

Steve, K4YZ

2524. Yet Another Shameful Archive For Brian P Burke's Generations To Find

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 08:04:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118304271.861130.67850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109656

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > I didn't say "completely".
> >
> > I said "almost".
>
> You said "completely" for the longest time until my undeniable
> assertions caused you to back down.

An absolute lie, Brian.

Why does Brian P Burke insist on lying in a public forum?

Steve, K4YZ

2525. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 08:40:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118306411.712011.284070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109658

John Smith wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1118290841.402342.151100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> Haynie said:
> >>
> >> 1) "It's not that Amateur Radio is dumbed down," he said. "People
> >> like
> >> me have failed to keep up, and if you look in your heart, you're
> >> going
> >> to say the same thing."
> >
> > He's right on the money. But many hams are *not* like him, yes?
> >
> >> 2) "...if you want people to come out of that examination room in
> >> here
> >> to be able to design circuits--you're in the wrong hobby."
> >
> > Of course, that's been obvious to everybody else around here since
> > somebody first started selling spark rigs out of a catalog. Is it
> > somehow imperative that hams must be able to design current-tech
> > circuits or the sky will fall on the hobby? What's your point?
> >
> >> 3) "I said, if we come down on the side of code, we're going to make
> >> the no-code people mad, and if we come down on the side of the
> >> no-code,
> >> we're going to make the code people mad..."
> >
> > Ah, but then he continued ". . so the best thing for us to do is be
> > evenhanded, and make everybody mad," Haynie said, tongue-in-cheek.
> > "And
> > I think we're doing a good job of it." "
> >
> > In other words the League has ducked out of the code teat war this
> > time
> > and put in the hands of the FCC.
> >
> > Do you have a problem with that?? I sure don't.
> >
> > Your "selective snippages" are as a bare minimum a bit of comic
> > relief.
> >

> >
> >> 4) "...he predicted that the Commission will never reinstate higher
> >> code
> >> speed requirements as some have requested."
> >
> > OBVIOUSLY . . wtf . . ?!
> >
> >> 5) "The FCC is not going to go back to 13 and 20 words per minute,
> >> and
> >> you can take that to the bank," he predicted. "It's not going to
> >> happen." The Commission went with the single 5 WPM requirement
> >> because
> >> it was "sick and tired" of dealing with medical waivers, he said.
> >
> > This is astounding new info or what??
> >
> >> Although he has become a pretty smart "politician" and "diplomat"--it
> >> is
> >> obvious code is given very little if any importance. Now you can
> >> argue
> >> his words anyway you like--but you can't find one word of his which
> >> places ANY importance on it... He HAS said old amateurs have lost
> >> sense
> >> of reality, that is right there in no. 1) ... argue that anyway you
> >> like--into looking like a fool...
> >
> > Smitty I'm about 99% in agreement with what Haynie stated, I'm not
> > arguing his words at all, I'm arguing YOUR words. Which are goofy,
> > naive attempts to twist reality around which we all see thru. You're
> > quite terrible at this game you're trying to play John but hang around
> > here awhile and watch how the real pros harpoon reality in this group
> > .
> > . maybe you'll get better at the game.
> >
> > . . speaking of reality . . in the end when all the dust settles CW is
> > everywhere on the bands today and it's gonna still be on the bands
> > long
> > after you and I have both expired. Get comfortable with it my good man
> > because neither of us can do a bloody thing about it one way or
> > another. THAT's the real reality.
> >
> > And by the way what's your callsign?
> >
> > Good night.
> >
> >> John
> >
> > w3rv
>
> You just dismissed the fact that Haynie is seeing a real problem here,

> he is beginning to tell hams to stop acting like such immature jerks and
> get with the program--you take these words are turn them into him giving
> you an "Atta Boy!"

I am wondering who he's allegedly talking to, then.

Those problems don't seem to be manifest here.

> If you are fool enough to believe that you will have to... such denial,
> avoidance and BS has stagnated us to the point where all there are, are
> a bunch of guys out there too old to be of interest to QSO with--the
> young guys know that and you can tell them different till the cows come
> home--it will not change one thing...

Thankfully not all of us live our lives by reliving tales of
post-WW2 Japan rear area radio stations.

> I am 52 and most are too old for me...

Then don't talk to them.

Funny...I've never had any of these alleged 'gastric QSO's' like
some here have alleged are the bane of HF phone.

One of the benefits of not getting on HF phone very often, I
guess! Not much challenge in "just talking".

> long winded rants about
> yesteryear and such is a real turn off to this guy...

Then hang out elsewhere.

> I cringe when I
> see the expressions on the young mens face who hear it on my demos of
> radio...

Hear what?

> You sit there thinking all is well--I can tell you are past anything but
> an argument for maintaining status quo...

What status quo?

> You really mean to tell me you feel the bands out there are "congested?"

At times they are. At others, they're not.

> Tell someone without a radio--they just might believe you...
> We both hear Haynies words you think he is saying, "Good Job Guys!" I
> challenge that all right, I hear him saying, "Get with it old fools!!!"

Personally, I say stop top-posting. Really makes it hard to follow
your point.

And by the way, to reiterate another's question, "what's your
callsign?"

Steve, K4YZ

2526. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 10:05:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118311523.166185.243660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109659

John Smith wrote:
> You just dismissed the fact that Haynie is seeing a real
> problem here,
> he is beginning to tell hams to stop acting like such
> immature jerks

Folks like W5JBP have been saying that for a long time.

They're right, of course.

> and get with the program

Which "program", though?

>--you take these words are turn them into him giving
> you an "Atta Boy!"

Not me.

> If you are fool enough to believe that you will have to...
> such denial,
> avoidance and BS has stagnated us to the point where all there > are, are
> a bunch of guys out there too old to be of interest to QSO with-> -the
> young guys know that and you can tell them different till the
> cows come home--it will not change one thing...

There's a lot more than "a bunch of guys too old to be of
interest" - but you have to go where they are.

> I am 52 and most are too old for me... long winded rants about
> yesteryear and such is a real turn off to this guy...

I am 51, been a ham more than 37 years. In all that time, there
have always been the "old buzzards" doing what you describe.
There have also always been folks who are a lot different,
regardless of age.

> I cringe when I
> see the expressions on the young mens face who hear it on my
> demos of radio...

And how do you do these demos? You show them voice operating,
right? 75, 20, 2 meters?

Try expanding the horizons to other modes, like PSK31, Pactor, and
(gasp) Morse Code. Different worlds, different people.

> You sit there thinking all is well--I can tell you are
> past anything but

> an argument for maintaining status quo...
> You really mean to tell me you feel the bands out there
> are "congested?"

Depends what bands, modes and when.

2527. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 10:36:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118313392.372474.3440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109660

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1118264123.041417.191580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > robert casey wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There was NO company named "Motorola" until 1947.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Until then, "Motorola" was just the model name for car radios...No
> > > > > > company...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So...how did "Motorola" do anything for Army communications in
> > > > > > 1940...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Must have been the Galvin(sp) company, the ancestor of Motorola.
> > > > >
> > > > > Absolutely correct, Mr Casey.
> > > > >
> > > > > From: http://www.hitechwireless.cc/html/history.html
> > > > >
> > > > > To wit:
> > > > >
> > > > > The company was founded by Paul V. Galvin as the Galvin Manufacturing
> > > > > Corporation, in Chicago, Illinois, in 1928. Its first product was a
> > > > > "battery eliminator," allowing consumers to operate radios directly
> > > > > from household current instead of the batteries supplied with early
> > > > > models. In the 1930s, the company successfully commercialized car
> > > > > radios under the brand name "Motorola," a word suggesting sound in
> > > > > motion. During this period, the company also established home radio and
> > > > > police radio departments; instituted pioneering personnel programs; and
> > > > > began national advertising. The name of the company was changed to
> > > > > Motorola, Inc., in 1947.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > UNQUOTE
> > > > >
> > > > > 73
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > >

> > >
> > > Steve,
> > >
> > > Please don't mention 1947.
> > >
> > > I just had a birthday last month and I am feeling *very* antiquated LOL.
> > >
> > > I have been unable to learn new concepts, such as measuring your antenna
> > > impedance with a volt-ohm meter.
> > >
> > > I still cannot understand the concept of a class A amplifier being 50%
> > > efficient (taught by the U.S. Navy in 1967).
> > >
> > > I had a problem even as a youngster when a teacher told me that there was a
> > > complex formula for finding resonant frequency, but L times C was close
> > > enough. I was 14 at the time and already apparently suffering the beginning
> > > of Altzheimer's.
> > >
> > > I admit to having some problems with The Calculus but managed some months
> > > ago to borrow a book and get back a bit of what I had forgotten.
> > > Unbelieveably, The Calculus appears to work, but how can it when my algebra
> > > is so poor that I am unable to transform F=L*C into F=1/(6.28*sqr(L*C))?
> > >
> > > I can only assume that I have memorized many things in error. I don't
> > > generally use calculators like many do to make change (I caught an error one
> > > time a kid did use a calculator), so I suspect my basic addition,
> > > subraction, multiplication, and division have not disappeared. Obviously,
> > > however, my idea of equations must be in error.
> > >
> > > Many folks state that pi is equal to 3. Well, that still doesn't work for
> > > resonant frequency = L times C.
> > >
> > > So, in any case, Steve, welcome to the club. You are likely as brain dead
> > > as I.
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA
> > > ps - try to avoid these kind of threads LOL
> >
> > At this point, an honorable person would not only admit that they were
> > wrong, but would apologize to the person they were claiming was wrong,
> > ---and--- apologize to that person for starting yet another slam
> > thread.
> >
> > Let's see what Steve does.
>
> Apologize for what, Brain...?!?!
>
> Motorola was NOT a company in 1940. THAT is a fact. References
> provided.

>
> Lennie was WRONG.

Hi!

> Let's see what LENNIE does, other than provide yet another verbose
> spindance to hide behind his error...
>
> OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOPS! TOO LATE! He already did that!
>
> It was no "slam thread".

They're all slam threads.

> Lennie got his ego in front of his common sense again...Wasn't
> paying attention. But he CLAIMS to be a "professional".
>
> Obviously THIS professional got his "facts" wrong. I just showed
> him his error.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Poor Steve. Hanging by a thread again.

2528. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 10:48:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118314117.178397.65430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109661

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > The "internal fantasy" here, is yours. That being that you know
> > > anything truly meaningful about Amateur Radio or any of it's associated
> > > programs.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, there sure is lots of protesting and denial in your post, and
> > lots of claims of "not headline news" hostile actions. Len would be
> > pleased. Very pleased.
>
> What protesting?
>
> > Can you give us some examples of what you consider hostile actions?
>
> Engaged in direct fire with a hostile opponent. Exchanging
> gunfire.
>
> REAL gunfire...no BFA's.
>
> > Probably not. OK, I'll give some.
>
> Cute. None of them correct.
>
> > Is that a hostile action?
>
> I am sure that you wouldn't know "hostile action" if it greeted you
> in the parking lot and poked you in the nose, Brian...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I'm sure you wouldn't know either. Got any proof?

2529. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 10:55:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118314552.447661.285230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109662

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Haynie said:

> > 1) "It's not that Amateur Radio is dumbed down," he
> > said. "People like
> > me have failed to keep up, and if you look in your heart,
> > you're going
> > to say the same thing."
>
> He's right on the money. But many hams are *not* like him, yes?

Yes.

>
> > 2) "...if you want people to come out of that examination
> > room in here
> > to be able to design circuits--you're in the wrong hobby."

Heck, I went *into* the examination room able to design circuits...
>
> Of course, that's been obvious to everybody else around here
> since
> somebody first started selling spark rigs out of a catalog.

Yep. "He tightened all the loose screws"

> Is it
> somehow imperative that hams must be able to design current-tech
> circuits or the sky will fall on the hobby? What's your point?

> > 3) "I said, if we come down on the side of code, we're going
> > to make
> > the no-code people mad, and if we come down on the side of
> > the no-code,
> > we're going to make the code people mad..."
>
> Ah, but then he continued ". . so the best thing for us to do > is be
> evenhanded, and make everybody mad," Haynie said, tongue-in-
> cheek. "And
> I think we're doing a good job of it." "

> In other words the League has ducked out of the code test war
> this time and put in the hands of the FCC.

They realize a no-win situation when they see it. Also, the ARRL
BoD has a wide range of opinions on the issue, so they came up

with a compromise and went on to other issues.
>
> Do you have a problem with that?? I sure don't.
>
> Your "selective snippages" are as a bare minimum
> a bit of comic relief.

> > 4) "...he predicted that the Commission will never reinstate > > higher code speed requirements as some have requested."
>
> OBVIOUSLY . . wtf . . ?!

He's just letting people know not to expect it. Then again,
if you don't ask you'll never get.
>
> > 5) "The FCC is not going to go back to 13 and 20 words
> > per minute, and
> > you can take that to the bank," he predicted. "It's not
> > going to
> > happen." The Commission went with the single 5 WPM
> > requirement because
> > it was "sick and tired" of dealing with medical waivers,
> > he said.
>
> This is astounding new info or what??

Not at all.

Frankly, I'm simply amazed that FCC didn't just dump Element
1 two years ago when the treaty changed at WRC 2003. All they
needed was a one-paragraph Memorandum Report and Order saying
that they'd dealt with the issue back in 2000, and pending further
changes, everybody who passes any of the written tests
for a US ham license gets code test credit too. Or some such verbiage,
just like they did when they waived the code sending test.

But FCC didn't do that, even after getting a pile of proposals
to do so. In a month or so it will be two years and there isn't
even an NPRM out yet. If they go the entire NPRM cycle, it
could be a year or more before the rules change - *if* they
change.

> > Although he has become a pretty smart "politician"
> > and "diplomat"--it is
> > obvious code is given very little if any importance.

By whom?

The code *test* may be dropped, (actually I think it's very likely) but
Morse Code itself is a very big part of amateur radio in 2005.

> > Now you can argue
> > his words anyway you like--but you can't find one word of

> > his which
> > places ANY importance on it...

On the *test*.

> > He HAS said old amateurs have lost sense
> > of reality, that is right there in no. 1) ... argue that
> > anyway you
> > like--into looking like a fool...
>
> Smitty I'm about 99% in agreement with what Haynie stated, I'm > not
> arguing his words at all, I'm arguing YOUR words. Which are
> goofy,
> naive attempts to twist reality around which we all see thru.
> You're
> quite terrible at this game you're trying to play John but hang > around
> here awhile and watch how the real pros harpoon reality in this > group .
> . maybe you'll get better at the game.

Good advice!
>
> . . speaking of reality . . in the end when all the dust
> settles CW is
> everywhere on the bands today and it's gonna still be on the
> bands long
> after you and I have both expired. Get comfortable with it my
> good man
> because neither of us can do a bloody thing about it one way or
> another. THAT's the real reality.

Tune down the low ends of the HF bands, in the evenings when most
of the younger folks aren't tied up with work, school, kids, etc.
There's a lot more going on than the voice modes on the high ends.
>
> And by the way what's your callsign?
>
> Good night.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2530. Another Shameful Archive For Steven J. Robeson K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 10:58:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118314730.241115.185720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109663

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > I didn't say "completely".
> > >
> > > I said "almost".
> >
> > You said "completely" for the longest time until my undeniable
> > assertions caused you to back down.
>
> An absolute lie, Brian.
>
> Why does Brian P Burke insist on lying in a public forum?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

An absolute truth, Steve. All archived in Google.

2531. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 11:05:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118315111.576010.234820@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109664

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Fritz Wuehler on Jun 8, 2:44 pm

> >"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > from: K4YZ <k...@aol.com> on Jun 6, 3:00 am

> In 1930 Paul Galvin coined the company logo "Motorola."
> Galvin
> had gotten into making automobile radios.

Lots of "olas" in those days. Radiola, Audiola, Victrola.
Only Motorola survives.

Kinda like the "tron" and "istor" words.

> The MOTOROLA logo
> had become more common in the pre-WW2 electronics industry
> than "Galvin."

There was no Motorola company then.

> On an invite from the Army to observe radio use in manuever
> exercises, Paul Galvin and a few high staff expressed their
> thoughts that they could improve small-unit radio capability.
> The Army invited them to try. The result was the SCR-536
> "handie-talkie" which was contracted for in 1940.

I've seen them, better known as the BC-611.

Do any of them have the Motorola logo? Or do they say "Galvin"?

> Noble did most of the design of the first
> "walkie-talkie", the SCR-300/BC-1000 manpack transceiver that
> operated at VHF, not HF. The first operational units of that
> were delivered in 1943.

Do any of them have the Motorola logo? Or do they say "Galvin"?

> Galvin Mfg also got into the little-publicized, but massive
> quartz crystal unit fabrication during WW2, winding up as
> the central "control point" for the efforts of up to 60 large
> and small quartz crystal unit fabricators. Quartz crystal
> unit
> production had the second highest priority during WW2 in the
> USA, second only to the Manhattan Project.

Above radar and proximity fuses?

Do any of those crystals have the Motorola logo? Or do they say
"Galvin"?

> Galvin Manufacturing Co. changed its corporate title to
> MOTOROLA in 1947. That was just pro forma action since the
> MOTOROLA logo was now well known in the industry and had been
> in existance for 17 years.

1947 is seven years after 1940.

> You have to understand that Gonad the Librarian just doesn't
> have anything worthwhile in electronics industry experience.

Ah, here we go, name calling and personal attack by Len. SOP

2532. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 11:13:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118315604.180077.63980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109665

John Smith wrote:

> You just dismissed the fact that Haynie is seeing a real problem here,

Bullshit, he cited several completely separate problems and I already
stated that I more or less agree with him on all counts.

> he is beginning to tell hams to stop acting like such immature jerks and
> get with the program--you take these words are turn them into him giving
> you an "Atta Boy!"

"Atta Boy" for WHAT??

> If you are fool enough to believe that you will have to... such denial,
> avoidance and BS has stagnated us to the point where all there are, are
> a bunch of guys out there too old to be of interest to QSO with--the
> young guys know that and you can tell them different till the cows come
> home--it will not change one thing...

What do you suggest? That the seniors quit ham radio?

> I am 52 and most are too old for me...

. . that's obvious but not for the reasons you think it is . .

> long winded rants about
> yesteryear and such is a real turn off to this guy...

Too damned bad about that, they have the licenses and they're allowed
to chit chat about history if that's their interest. If you don't like
it fine, twist the big knob on the front of your radio and go somewhere
else which is what I do.

> I cringe when I
> see the expressions on the young mens face who hear it on my demos of
> radio...

Yeah yeah, the kids I hung out with on the corner a half century ago
didn't think much of ham radio either.

> You sit there thinking all is well--I can tell you are past anything but
> an argument for maintaining status quo...
> You really mean to tell me you feel the bands out there are "congested?"

Where the hell have I used the term "congestion" anywhere ?!

> Tell someone without a radio--they just might believe you...
> We both hear Haynies words you think he is saying, "Good Job Guys!" I
> challenge that all right, I hear him saying, "Get with it old fools!!!"

You're simply an irrational/incoherent waste of bandwidth and time.
'Bye.

> John

w3rv

2533. Re: Another Shameful Archive For Brian P Burke's Generations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 12:08:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118318883.722275.215570@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109666

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > I didn't say "completely".
> > > >
> > > > I said "almost".
> > >
> > > You said "completely" for the longest time until my undeniable
> > > assertions caused you to back down.
> >
> > An absolute lie, Brian.
> >
> > Why does Brian P Burke insist on lying in a public forum?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> An absolute truth, Steve. All archived in Google.

Nope.

The ONE thing you corrected me on (and I acknowledged, which is a
trait you are wont to do on errors...) was about the licensure of the
director of AFMARS.

Period.

Saying that you weren't wrong doesn't make it so, Brain...

Stop lying.

Steve, K4YZ

2534. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 12:12:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118319130.885168.76430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109667

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Motorola was NOT a company in 1940. THAT is a fact. References
> > provided.
> >
> > Lennie was WRONG.
>
> Hi!

The possibility exists that he was hi when he made the error, yes.
One dose too many of Geritol, no doubt.

> > Let's see what LENNIE does, other than provide yet another verbose
> > spindance to hide behind his error...
> >
> > OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOPS! TOO LATE! He already did that!
> >
> > It was no "slam thread".
>
> They're all slam threads.

No there not.

Not one bit of deception or error, Brian...He was wrong.

> > Lennie got his ego in front of his common sense again...Wasn't
> > paying attention. But he CLAIMS to be a "professional".
> >
> > Obviously THIS professional got his "facts" wrong. I just showed
> > him his error.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Poor Steve. Hanging by a thread again.

The "thread" is around Lennie's throat.

Steve, K4YZ

2535. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 12:27:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118320061.263525.168630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109668

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Fritz Wuehler on Jun 8, 2:44 pm
>
> > >"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> > from: K4YZ <k...@aol.com> on Jun 6, 3:00 am
>
> > In 1930 Paul Galvin coined the company logo "Motorola."
> > Galvin
> > had gotten into making automobile radios.
>
> Lots of "olas" in those days. Radiola, Audiola, Victrola.
> Only Motorola survives.

Lennie was probably involved in "pay" ola. Like to swig
"Coke-Cola".

> Kinda like the "tron" and "istor" words.
>
> > The MOTOROLA logo
> > had become more common in the pre-WW2 electronics industry
> > than "Galvin."
>
> There was no Motorola company then.

Uh-yup.

(Snip to...)

> > You have to understand that Gonad the Librarian just doesn't
> > have anything worthwhile in electronics industry experience.
>
> Ah, here we go, name calling and personal attack by Len. SOP

"Gonad the Librarian"...?!?!

Lennie has got nerve making such anal-ogies considering his
propensity for trying to out-trivia everyone else in this forum on
numerous issues.

As for "worthwhile experience" in the "electronics
industry"...Well, I just didn't need any to pull the carpet out from
under you, Lennie...

Sheeeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

2536. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 12:32:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118320365.774727.201230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109669

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > The "internal fantasy" here, is yours. That being that you know
> > > > anything truly meaningful about Amateur Radio or any of it's associated
> > > > programs.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Steve, there sure is lots of protesting and denial in your post, and
> > > lots of claims of "not headline news" hostile actions. Len would be
> > > pleased. Very pleased.
> >
> > What protesting?
> >
> > > Can you give us some examples of what you consider hostile actions?
> >
> > Engaged in direct fire with a hostile opponent. Exchanging
> > gunfire.
> >
> > REAL gunfire...no BFA's.
> >
> > > Probably not. OK, I'll give some.
> >
> > Cute. None of them correct.
> >
> > > Is that a hostile action?
> >
> > I am sure that you wouldn't know "hostile action" if it greeted you
> > in the parking lot and poked you in the nose, Brian...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I'm sure you wouldn't know either.

Then you'd be wrong. (As if THAT were new...)

> Got any proof?

Shall I demonstrate, or are you willing to accept that what would
transpire would cause you pain and suffering (along with some moderate
bleeding, easily controlled with ice and direct pressure?)

Steve, K4YZ

2537. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 12:37:33 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <oOWdnf963-uXpTXfRVn-1g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109670

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:E9CdnaEWDcyMqjvfRVn-og@centurytel.net...
>
>>
>>KC8GXW wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>And for all those that are "confused".....here it is;
>>>>
>>>>300/Fmhz = length in meters.
>>>>
>>>>thus......300/4.0Mhz = 75meters.
>>>>
>>>> 300/3.75Mhz= 80meters.
>>>>
>>>>and........300/3.5Mhz = 85.7meters.
>>>>
>>>>see?
>>>>
>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>But you should know what the 300 stands for, not it just being a number!
>>>I'll bet I'm wrong, being a no code cb'er, but the 300 is the speed of
>>>light in meters! to be more percise 299792458 meters per second.
>>
>>OOps see I told ya, the word is precise, now if only I could do 13 or more
>>words a minute and go back in time and get a W or K 1x3 call, I wouldn't
>>have made that mistake! :)
>>
>
> I try and stay on subject and not correct senders typos. That is a job for
> professors like Churchill that thinks he is a Indian.
>
> To comment however.....if you could do 13wpm and had a real W or K callsign
> we wouldn't be having this discussion...because you would understand how it
> is. However you don't. Your loss.
>

> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
But I did understand how it works, I don't just know the numbers!

2538. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 12:39:29 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <oOWdnf563-vjpTXfRVn-1g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109671

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:y5KdnSmJWKk6qDvfRVn-vg@centurytel.net...
>
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>
>>>And for all those that are "confused".....here it is;
>>>
>>>300/Fmhz = length in meters.
>>>
>>>thus......300/4.0Mhz = 75meters.
>>>
>>> 300/3.75Mhz= 80meters.
>>>
>>>and........300/3.5Mhz = 85.7meters.
>>>
>>>see?
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>>But you should know what the 300 stands for, not it just being a number!
>>I'll bet I'm wrong, being a no code cb'er, but the 300 is the speed of
>>light in meters! to be more percise 299792458 meters per second.
>>
>
>
> Golly, gee whiz, so you would be happier if it is written as:
>
> 299792458 / FMhz ?
>
> Fine by me
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

I would use 300, I just put the correct number in so you'd have
something to complain about! :)

2539. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 13:00:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118322030.102239.241290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109672

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Tues 7 Jun 2005 01:56
>
> > And once again you prove the REST of us right when we call you a
> >self-serving blight on ALL Veterans. A liar for the ages.
> >
> > What you ARE, is a disgrace to the uniform you wore and a footprint
> >on the graves of men who DID serve their country unselfishly.
> >
> > Scumbag.
>
> ...the sun sets on the Tomb of the Unknown Solder...(SNIP)

Hopefully it will be setting on Lennie "Defile a Veteran" Anderson
soon..

Steve, K4YZ

2540. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 13:02:03 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3P6dnecjXvBaoDXfRVn-uQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 109673

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:y5KdnSmJWKk6qDvfRVn-vg@centurytel.net...
>
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>
>>>And for all those that are "confused".....here it is;
>>>
>>>300/Fmhz = length in meters.
>>>
>>>thus......300/4.0Mhz = 75meters.
>>>
>>> 300/3.75Mhz= 80meters.
>>>
>>>and........300/3.5Mhz = 85.7meters.
>>>
>>>see?
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>>But you should know what the 300 stands for, not it just being a number!
>>I'll bet I'm wrong, being a no code cb'er, but the 300 is the speed of
>>light in meters! to be more percise 299792458 meters per second.
>>
>
>
> Golly, gee whiz, so you would be happier if it is written as:
>
> 299792458 / FMhz ?
>
> Fine by me
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
Maybe I don't understand. What is "299792458 / FMhz" ?

2541. Re: Wiseman finally kicked off NIM Busters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 13:31:20 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <uber.loser.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 109674
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

On Thu, 09 Jun 2005 02:23:06 +0200, Uber Loser <Secwet Woger> wrote:
[meaningless ravings flushed]

Your comments might make sense if I were named "Lloyd," or posted on the-forum.us web boards. Since neither is true, you remain confused as always.

Let's see: You've recently managed to get kicked off Ovis, Stratuswave, Altopia, COTSE, AOL, Googlegroups, and now <cough> NIM Busters - itself a collection of losers. Prior to that, the FCC retested you because of illegal behavior in the ham bands.

If someone among us is to be regarded as the ultimate loser, you have managed to accumulate all of the necessary credentials for that title. Now, even the losers think you're a loser and are kicking you out of their groups.

Congratulations, Uber Loser.

2542. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 13:43:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118324592.496772.299710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109675

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I think the length of your reply would please Len.
> > > > >
> > > > > I seriously doubt it.
> > > > >
> > > > > I could quote "War and Peace" verbatim and still come up short on
> > > > > most LennieRants.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > You protest too much.
> > >
> > > Protesting is legal, demostrates a strength of character and
> > > dedication to one's values.
> >
> > Say hello to Jane Fonda.

Jane Fonda is a traitorous slut.

And that's my warm/fuzzy opinion about her. It get's more
colorful from there.

> Len would be pleased.

Of...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2543. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 13:50:04 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <95ee3469073640c43d2b3e45e70f8884@dizum.com>
Source record: 109676

In article <MfEpe.14$7v3.1496197@news.sisna.com>
"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> Quit corn-holing young boys, you are worse than a catholic
priest with
> his frock up, or a female school teacher at lunchtime in the
back seat
> of her car!!!
>
> John

That's Butt Cornholer, he likes little boys and pretending he
has a "pilot's license."

> "Cmd Butt Cornholer" <m...@that.ten> wrote (nothing
important)...(EVER!)

2544. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 14:10:14 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050609141014.4CF4A170B1@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 109677

In article <UYGdnVYVjpar4jvfRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
> Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> >
> > "Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!
> >
>
> Get los(whines)

Go fuck yourself, you incontinent, senile imaginary "pilot's
license" boy, nobody wants to see your frequent misspellings,
you illiterate son of a bitch.

2545. How things are here...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 14:10:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <V5Ype.170$rC7.9418889@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109678

At 52 I am one of the "young guys here." The "amateur hobby radio'ists" here have managed to chase away most if not all--down to the very last REAL young guy away.

They do this with personal attacks on those of differing opinions. They deny facts and figure. Like a bunch of shyster accountants they bend figures to attempt to argue for the status quo.

Like a bunch of lawyers they attempt to bend the words of Haynie into an "Atta Boy", while in reality he chastises them for ill behavior and damaging the hobby.

There are no young hams here for a darn good reason--few have a skin thick enough to have staying power. And then, you have those who just have better things to do....

These guys who have stagnated the hobby are attempting to maintain the status quo even as the hobby dips to low numbers, cannot achieve even minor new band allocations and are ineffective in even limiting BPL--broadband over powerline--simply because they have managed to put the amateur radio hobby so far on the back burner most have forgotten of its existence... and this they are quite happy with, clear bands so they can rag chew with buddies over rants and medical problems is what they really seek...

If you are in your 30 and under you will have a hard time staying here--unless you like turning your nose brown...

Warmest regards,
John
--
Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality, right before my eyes--in real time!
Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?

2546. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 14:32:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aqYpe.1800$jX6.841@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109679

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> And did Hans NOT cite the USA through USN blocks in his post?

I didn't cite any blocks. I simply cited a few example callsigns.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2547. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 15:20:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <F6Zpe.182$Ra2.9812017@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109680

My real name and call are held to protect the innocent (or guilty as you prefer.)
I have had my station inspected a couple of times, and passed with flying colors I might add, since my fellow amateurs frowned on me chatting with truckers and general "undesirable types" on the Chicken Band...
I am very familiar with hams finding out your call and attempting to use the FCC as their private police... SOME are full of dirty tricks...

Warmest regards,
John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1118306411.712011.284070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1118290841.402342.151100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> Haynie said:
>> >>
>> >> 1) "It's not that Amateur Radio is dumbed down," he said. "People
>> >> like
>> >> me have failed to keep up, and if you look in your heart, you're
>> >> going
>> >> to say the same thing."
>> >
>> > He's right on the money. But many hams are *not* like him, yes?
>> >
>> >> 2) "...if you want people to come out of that examination room in
>> >> here
>> >> to be able to design circuits--you're in the wrong hobby."
>> >
>> > Of course, that's been obvious to everybody else around here since
>> > somebody first started selling spark rigs out of a catalog. Is it
>> > somehow imperative that hams must be able to design current-tech
>> > circuits or the sky will fall on the hobby? What's your point?
>> >
>> >> 3) "I said, if we come down on the side of code, we're going to
>> >> make
>> >> the no-code people mad, and if we come down on the side of the
>> >> no-code,
>> >> we're going to make the code people mad..."
>> >

>> > Ah, but then he continued ". . so the best thing for us to do is
>> > be
>> > evenhanded, and make everybody mad," Haynie said, tongue-in-cheek.
>> > "And
>> > I think we're doing a good job of it." "
>> >
>> > In other words the League has ducked out of the code teat war this
>> > time
>> > and put in the hands of the FCC.
>> >
>> > Do you have a problem with that?? I sure don't.
>> >
>> > Your "selective snippages" are as a bare minimum a bit of comic
>> > relief.
>> >
>> >
>> >> 4) "...he predicted that the Commission will never reinstate
>> >> higher
>> >> code
>> >> speed requirements as some have requested."
>> >
>> > OBVIOUSLY . . wtf . . ?!
>> >
>> >> 5) "The FCC is not going to go back to 13 and 20 words per minute,
>> >> and
>> >> you can take that to the bank," he predicted. "It's not going to
>> >> happen." The Commission went with the single 5 WPM requirement
>> >> because
>> >> it was "sick and tired" of dealing with medical waivers, he said.
>> >
>> > This is astounding new info or what??
>> >
>> >> Although he has become a pretty smart "politician" and
>> >> "diplomat"--it
>> >> is
>> >> obvious code is given very little if any importance. Now you can
>> >> argue
>> >> his words anyway you like--but you can't find one word of his
>> >> which
>> >> places ANY importance on it... He HAS said old amateurs have lost
>> >> sense
>> >> of reality, that is right there in no. 1) ... argue that anyway
>> >> you
>> >> like--into looking like a fool...
>> >
>> > Smitty I'm about 99% in agreement with what Haynie stated, I'm not
>> > arguing his words at all, I'm arguing YOUR words. Which are goofy,
>> > naive attempts to twist reality around which we all see thru.
>> > You're
>> > quite terrible at this game you're trying to play John but hang

>> > around
>> > here awhile and watch how the real pros harpoon reality in this
>> > group
>> > .
>> > . maybe you'll get better at the game.
>> >
>> > . . speaking of reality . . in the end when all the dust settles CW
>> > is
>> > everywhere on the bands today and it's gonna still be on the bands
>> > long
>> > after you and I have both expired. Get comfortable with it my good
>> > man
>> > because neither of us can do a bloody thing about it one way or
>> > another. THAT's the real reality.
>> >
>> > And by the way what's your callsign?
>> >
>> > Good night.
>> >
>> >> John
>> >
>> > w3rv
>>
>> You just dismissed the fact that Haynie is seeing a real problem
>> here,
>> he is beginning to tell hams to stop acting like such immature jerks
>> and
>> get with the program--you take these words are turn them into him
>> giving
>> you an "Atta Boy!"
>
> I am wondering who he's allegedly talking to, then.
>
> Those problems don't seem to be manifest here.
>
>> If you are fool enough to believe that you will have to... such
>> denial,
>> avoidance and BS has stagnated us to the point where all there are,
>> are
>> a bunch of guys out there too old to be of interest to QSO with--the
>> young guys know that and you can tell them different till the cows
>> come
>> home--it will not change one thing...
>
> Thankfully not all of us live our lives by reliving tales of
> post-WW2 Japan rear area radio stations.
>
>> I am 52 and most are too old for me...
>
> Then don't talk to them.

>
> Funny...I've never had any of these alleged 'gastric QSO's' like
> some here have alleged are the bane of HF phone.
>
> One of the benefits of not getting on HF phone very often, I
> guess! Not much challenge in "just talking".
>
>> long winded rants about
>> yesteryear and such is a real turn off to this guy...
>
> Then hang out elsewhere.
>
>> I cringe when I
>> see the expressions on the young mens face who hear it on my demos of
>> radio...
>
> Hear what?
>
>> You sit there thinking all is well--I can tell you are past anything
>> but
>> an argument for maintaining status quo...
>
> What status quo?
>
>> You really mean to tell me you feel the bands out there are
>> "congested?"
>
> At times they are. At others, they're not.
>
>> Tell someone without a radio--they just might believe you...
>> We both hear Haynies words you think he is saying, "Good Job Guys!"
>> I
>> challenge that all right, I hear him saying, "Get with it old
>> fools!!!"
>
> Personally, I say stop top-posting. Really makes it hard to follow
> your point.
>
> And by the way, to reiterate another's question, "what's your
> callsign?"
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2548. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 16:00:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118332801.218139.111380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109681

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
. . . .
>
> > In other words the League has ducked out of the code test war
> > this time and put in the hands of the FCC.
>
> They realize a no-win situation when they see it. Also, the ARRL
> BoD has a wide range of opinions on the issue, so they came up
> with a compromise and went on to other issues.

On one hand they really didn't have any choice, enough was enough. On another hand that's the way things work in democracies.

> Frankly, I'm simply amazed that FCC didn't just dump Element
> 1 two years ago when the treaty changed at WRC 2003. All they
> needed was a one-paragraph Memorandum Report and Order saying
> that they'd dealt with the issue back in 2000, and pending further
> changes, everybody who passes any of the written tests
> for a US ham license gets code test credit too. Or some such verbiage,
> just like they did when they waived the code sending test.

My guess is that the FCC didn't move on the code test when the ITU dropped the requirement because they had a major restructuring in mind back then and decided to bundle any changes in the code test into the overall restructuring package per the Incentive Licensing restructuring. Which is typical regulatory "behavior". Or they had already decided to retain the code test into the future. With 98% of the world's population still subject to code tests and given the small number of countries which have abandoned the code tests it just might be that the decision to retain the code test here was quietly carved in stone 4-5 years ago. Conversly though the waivers problem is still out there . . .

> But FCC didn't do that, even after getting a pile of proposals
> to do so. In a month or so it will be two years and there isn't
> even an NPRM out yet. If they go the entire NPRM cycle, it
> could be a year or more before the rules change - *if* they
> change.

The NPRM is obviously enroute whether it shows up later this year or sometime next year doesn't matter much and I expect it to be a real gooder. Gonna be some nuclear explosions in this NG when it finally does get published. Heh.

> Tune down the low ends of the HF bands, in the evenings when most
> of the younger folks aren't tied up with work, school, kids, etc.
> There's a lot more going on than the voice modes on the high ends.

Even in the phone bands the U.S. geezer nets are usually well up the bands to accomodate the Generals, there's very little of that sort of operating in the Extra/Advanced segments.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2549. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 16:35:35 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d89r4n$176q$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 109682

John Smith wrote:
> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants and
> name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and youthful
> hams...

? I've heard a lot of that on phone, but very little on CW.

> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be
> speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get the
> extra license... then you will never see them again--except on phone and
> modem...

That is true to a fair extent.

My own personal assessment of Haynie's talk is that he is correct to a large extent.

The olde questions were not harder, they were different. Someone posed a couple of likely candidate old time q's to me, and it took me just a few minutes to find the answers.

I think the main problem with the older hams being "better" than folks like me is the ability of many middle-aged and older men to get incredibly worked up about almost nothing, forecasting doom from inconsequential things.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2550. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 16:40:04 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d89rd4$176q$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 109683

John Smith wrote:

> Len:
>
> A direct quote from Jim Haynie, "The ARRL president asserted that many
> Amateur Extra class licensees couldn't pass today's Element 4
> examination if they had to..."

I could! that's the one I passed to become an Extra!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2551. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 16:44:49 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d89rm1$14gm$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 109684

bb wrote:

>
> John Smith wrote:
>
>>Yes.
>>
>>Well, you cannot disguise the fact that the over 60 crowd just don't
>>have the energy or enthusiasm as the teenage to 30 crowd--or the fact
>>that these younger people are actively engaged in the research,
>>development and production phases of electronics. Why they may
>>effectively hang on limiting the hobby--this cannot not go on
>>indefinitely...
>
>
> John, there is hope. I took a look at the actuarial tables shortly
> after joining this newsgripe, and it look like they are working in our
> favor. We can help it work by not turning into the very type of
> amateur that the tables are currently removing from hamdom.
>

I've chatted with a 96 y.o. Ham on PSK31 who certainly doesn't fit that "Evil olde Hamme" description. He'd just gotten a new laptop, converting to XP from Win2k. A fine gentleman, and not afraid of learning and doing new things. It's not all bleak.

Let us hope he continues to beat those tables.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2552. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 17:04:17 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d89sqhnls1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 109685

John Smith wrote:

> Haynie said:
>
> 1) "It's not that Amateur Radio is dumbed down," he said. "People like
> me have failed to keep up, and if you look in your heart, you're going
> to say the same thing."

That happens to a lot of 'em. Odd, that, I think keeping up is a lot of the fun!

> 2) "...if you want people to come out of that examination room in here
> to be able to design circuits--you're in the wrong hobby."

I wonder if that ever was the case in the old days. Somehow I doubt it.

> 3) "I said, if we come down on the side of code, we're going to make
> the no-code people mad, and if we come down on the side of the no-code,
> we're going to make the code people mad..."

So ya should give it a good thinkin' through, and do what you thing is right.

> 4) "...he predicted that the Commission will never reinstate higher code
> speed requirements as some have requested."
>
> 5) "The FCC is not going to go back to 13 and 20 words per minute, and
> you can take that to the bank," he predicted. "It's not going to
> happen." The Commission went with the single 5 WPM requirement because
> it was "sick and tired" of dealing with medical waivers, he said.
>
> Although he has become a pretty smart "politician" and "diplomat"--it is
> obvious code is given very little if any importance. Now you can argue
> his words anyway you like--but you can't find one word of his which
> places ANY importance on it... He HAS said old amateurs have lost sense
> of reality,

Actually, that staement isn't a quote, it is filler from the writer. He may have said some things about older hams, but it is a long way from telling them they have lost sense of reality.

> that is right there in no. 1) ... argue that anyway you
> like--into looking like a fool...

Just sounded close to a quote. That's the problem. While I agree with what Haynie has said, I think you are injecting your own opinion into what he said.

I've been a ham for 6 years now. I came up through the new system. An awful lot of the oddballs on the air appear to have been licensed for quite a while, and I assume they are tested to more than 5wpm. Yeah, someone will probably point out the old waiver system. But I doubt that every kook and jammer got a waiver.

The new guys and gals are not any worse than the old ones.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2553. Saggytits Lee always manages to fuck up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 18:25:48 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1118341547.958235.64550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109686
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Staggytits Lee aka Ultimte loser whined:

> [meaningless ravings flushed]

You have that cocksucking lisp, I see!
Wait, I thought I was kicked off of Google..........

Looks like Saggy tits Lee fucks up as usual!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Ever manage to find the Marshall County WV AREs website yet?
And get a better "pilot's license" than the one you got out of that
crackerjack box.

2554. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 18:29:36 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1118341776.928720.87060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109687

In article <sWYoe.10$Fm.147600@news.sisna.com>
"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> You are a real dumb ass if you think I care who "really" posted it... I
> used the "nick" simply to respond back to the "nick" which was
> used--DUMB ASS...

No, you addressed it as if you were talking to Roger Wiseman, now,
who's the dumbass?
Amswer: you, DUMBASS!

> This is a newsgroup, if you believe any of these names are "real" you
> are an idiot!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

Sort of like your "name", dumbass???? You are a fucking idiot.

> "George Orwell" <nob...@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
> news:56036f97dbefb4716abe2d24bc7fe083@mixmaster.it...
> > In article <vLwoe.268$nI7.33043...@news.sisna.com>
> > "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> Roger:
> >>
> >
> > If you thought that was Roger Wiseman who posted that, then
> > those hours in "college" haven't done you a fucking bit of good,
> > and there is somwe swampland in Florida you might be interested
> > in buying.
> > Dumbass!

2555. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 18:38:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <l00qe.204$P_7.10232920@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109688

Michael:

Good point, never hurts to "boil the blood" a bit though, long as in the end hands can be shook and everyone walks away a bit wiser...

The old hams have valuable info and history, I would never even be such a fool as to debate that--however, this ship needs a younger captain...

Warmest regards,
John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message news:d89r4n$176q$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants
>> and name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and
>> youthful hams...
>
> ? I've heard a lot of that on phone, but very little on CW.
>
>> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be
>> speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get the
>> extra license... then you will never see them again--except on phone
>> and modem...
>
> That is true to a fair extent.
>
>
> My own personal assessment of Haynie's talk is that he is correct to a
> large extent.
>
> The olde questions were not harder, they were different. Someone posed
> a couple of likely candidate old time q's to me, and it took me just
> a few minutes to find the answers.
>
> I think the main problem with the older hams being "better" than folks
> like me is the ability of many middle-aged and older men to get
> incredibly worked up about almost nothing, forecasting doom from
> inconsequential things.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

2556. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 18:56:09 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <UY6dndAyLM__KjXfRVn-jA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109803

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>
> What do you suggest? That the seniors quit ham radio?

Smitty thinks all hams should be like him. Boy, talk about the death of
ham radio, that would do it.

2557. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 18:58:34 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <UY6dndMyLM-eJTXfRVn-jA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109804

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> In article <UYGdnVYVjpar4jvfRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
>>Userbeam Remailer wrote:
>>
>>>"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!
>>>
>>
>>Get los(whines)
>
>
>
> Go fuck yourself, you incontinent, senile imaginary "pilot's
> license" boy, nobody wants to see your frequent misspellings,
> you illiterate son of a bitch.
>

Hi wogie, retaken any ham exams lately?
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2558. Saggytits Lee always manages to fuck up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 19:02:01 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1118341496.493354.19770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109689
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Staggytits Lee aka Ultimte loser whined:

> [meaningless ravings flushed]

You have that cocksucking lisp, I see!
Wait, I though I was kicked off of Google..........

Looks like Saggy tits Lee fucks up as usual!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Ever manage to find the Marshall County WV AREs website yet?

2559. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 19:04:59 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <BICdnVGo2Z4gJDXfRVn-rQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109806

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer two-test fatboy wogie AKA
the 20 meter jammer wrote:
>
> Mheh. You can't even spell Roger over the computer, one would
> shudder to listen to your sending your mangled English in cw
> like an elephant would stomp it out.

Name the time and frequency two-test fatboy. Like that FCC letter you
claim I received which you can't prove, either put up or shut up
curtains boy.

2560. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 19:06:42 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <BICdnVCo2Z6tJzXfRVn-rQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109807

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> And your speed is what "John Smith". What makes you qualified to even
> comment on this? Do you operate on CW? Save you the time. Your a big
> mouth know nothing about the subject. Damn...it may be Lennie.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

He's a cber, couldn't copy code if his life depended upon it.

2561. Re: Wiseman finally kicked off NIM Busters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 19:17:22 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <6adc629a523efbd5eb42b35731a897da@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 109690
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <udydnV1gtb5EDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Child molesting foureyed alcoholic senile fatass Cmd Butt
Cornholer <m...@that.ten> whined:
>
> Fritz Wuehler AKA two-test curtains fagboy wogie, wrote:
> > In article <bye.bye.wo...@houston-hunks.com>
> > Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Woger
> >
> >
> > You forgot to tell them how you whined to the Admin to get
your
> > public asswhippings "censored", Porky.
> > Torvald will still be kicking your fat dumb ass up anbd down
nim
>
> What's <...> wogie? (IKYABWAI)

What's "Wogie", Imaginary "pilot's license" boy?
or:

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't
even spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary
spelling skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc>
wrote:
"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Can't you spell, maybe a spell checker would help you out, but
it's doubtful.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

2562. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 19:31:48 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <1M6dnWR0pepFXTXfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109813

John Smith wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey:
>
> I think they had it right when I entered this discussion--my advice to
> you, "stop corn holing young boys--get a pilots license so you can
> redeem yourself" <grin>

You and wogie seem to be fasinicated by this young boy thing. Wonder
just who is doing the cornholing?

2563. Re: Wiseman finally kicked off NIM Busters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 19:35:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <-dadnewIktxwXDXfRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109815

Stagger Lee wrote:
> On Thu, 09 Jun 2005 02:23:06 +0200, Uber Loser <Secwet Woger> wrote:
> [meaningless ravings flushed]
>
> Your comments might make sense if I were named "Lloyd," or posted on
> the-forum.us web boards. Since neither is true, you remain confused
> as always.
>
> Let's see: You've recently managed to get kicked off Ovis,
> Stratuswave, Altopia, COTSE, AOL, Googlegroups, and now <cough> NIM
> Busters - itself a collection of losers. Prior to that, the FCC
> retested you because of illegal behavior in the ham bands.
>
> If someone among us is to be regarded as the ultimate loser, you have
> managed to accumulate all of the necessary credentials for that title.
> Now, even the losers think you're a loser and are kicking you out of
> their groups.
>
> Congratulations, Uber Loser.

This tells the full story, what a little POS loser wogie really is.

http://bbs.whatpissesyouoff.com/showthread.php?t=26284

2564. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 19:52:59 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <Dr-dncVh3aHwWzXfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109822

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer, two-test fatboy wogie wrote:

>
> CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
>
>
> Roger L. Wiseman
>
>
> 610 Glen Haven Avenue
>
>
> Glendale, WV 26038-1302
>
>
> Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO
>
>
> Dear Mr. Wiseman:
>
>
> The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been
> deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio
> operations of other
> licensed Amateurs on the 14 MHz Amateur band.

It says you have been deliberately and maliciously interfering, not
evidence of or allegedly have been.

>
>
> This interference has occurred at various times in the last
> several months

It says 'interference has occured' wogie, not we think or maybe or
possibly has occured. It occured wogie, and you were caught
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!
Maybe you should just stick to using that *restricted* radio operator
permit. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>
>
> You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> matter.
>
>

> Sincerely,
>
>
> W. Riley Hollingsworth
>
>
> Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service
>
>
> Enforcement Bureau

Be sure and keep Riley's number in your little notebook wogie, you may need to call Riley occasionally.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!1

2565. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 20:04:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109829

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer, two-test wogie blubbered
and wrote:
>
>
> Mheh. You can't even spell Roger over the computer, one would
> shudder to listen to your sending your mangled English in cw
> like an elephant would stomp it out.
> lost, fake tape maker.

Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie. Was second grade too
hard for you?

"aditting"

"abckpedals"

"shreeched"

"stuid"

"heared"

"assuslted"

"experieince"

"abouve"

"Cosast"

"mebership"

"retqards"

"coordinbator"

"Realy"

"Nitoiced"

"Becasue"

"Licence"

"Convienince"

"Myabe"

"occurance"

"srtuggling"

"definatrely"

"delapidated"

"picutre"

"permenatly"

"Arest"

"Sentenceing"

"Narcotices"

"Undre"

"Surgeoun"

"Commuications"

"Ovre"

"Wondreful"

"Derivitave"

"You lose, mod for brians."

"Deisowned"

"Deeppest"

"Dodgeing".

"Staedy"

"Linme"

"Getr"

"Experieince"

"Appropiate"

"Laywer"

"Visitpors" Y

"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling complainant.)

"Irreevant"

"Forwared"

"Glady"

"Neighborhod"

"Mena" Mean?

"Notr"

"Sassifyed" .

"Fantasises" .

"Illarate"

"Reveresed"

"Soem".

"Minisule".

"Champoin".

"Anoinymous"

"Acident"

Just to name a few, need I go on wogie?
>
>
>>>No, you sent in a doctored tape, don't you remember?

You you you...you keep talking about 'you'. Just who is this 'you' you keep babbling about wogie?
You're so stupid you make a bag of hammers look smart. You couldn't line up a one car caravan.

2566. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 20:10:19 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050609201019.E9C0C170DF@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 109691

In article <udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.ten> whined:
>
> Anonymous AKA Curtians Fatboy Two-test wogie slobbered over
his keyboard
> wrote:

"Curtians?" "Two-test" "Wogie" - Butt Cornholer makes good
spells!

Fatboy? Hardly. But I just know you wear size 48 pants, tubby.
Butt Cornholer must need his colostomy bag changed again, he
gets so upset......
making such spelling mistakes like that.
Poor little impotent Butt Cornholer, the obsolete license and
think he has a "pilot's license."

Get to flying those model airplanes, "Chuck Yeager"
BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

> > And where do you pull that completely dumbassed statement
from?
> > Roger can copy 13 wpm code and proved it when he passed his
> > General exam.
>
> OK wogie, name (more impotent posturing)

Mheh. You can't even spell Roger over the computer, one would
shudder to listen to your sending your mangled English in cw
like an elephant would stomp it out.

> >
> >
> > No, you only have shown you can copy and paste ARRL press
> > releases.
> > I have posted the actual letters, they have to be real, since
> > you initially posted them to the newsgroups.
>
> You can't even (more lies and excuses, Butt Cornholer has a
million of 'em)

Poor Butt Cornholer, long on accusations, short on proof!

Could Butt Cornholer the senile old fuck just be full of shit?
Yes!

> >>Show proof of this letter you calim
> >
> >
> > "calim"???? My my, is Butt Cornholer a bit upset?

<guffaw!> No answer for that, huh "imaginary pilot's license"
boy?

> > And yet you can't provide proof you do have one.
> > Not without exposing who you really are.

Chickenshit Butt Cornholer.....

> > I win, since Roger's warning letter was sent out because you
> > made by fabricated evidence.
>
> You? Who is this 'you' wogie that you claim frabraicted
evidence. There
> was no need for anyone to frabricate

"Frabricated"
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

> any evidence two-test, everyone

Everyone knows you must be a high schpool dropout with a G.E.D.,
too.

"Frabricated"
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

> knows you were jamming other stations on 20 meters.

No, it was allegations of jamming, can't you read? Of course
not, all you can do is post ARRL press releases instead of facts.

November 09, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been
deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio
operations of other
licensed Amateurs on the 14 MHz Amateur band.

This interference has occurred at various times in the last
several months and includes

broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service
to be
self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary
to the basis and purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the
service
for legitimate users. This serves as a Warning Notice that such
operation will not be tolerated. Additional incidents of
operation of the
type described above will result in a monetary fine being levied
against you and in revocation proceedings before an
Administrative Law
Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

See?

> That's why you had to retest to keep your license, curtains
boy.

That's not what the retest letter states. And you should know,
since you posted it to the newsgroups before. You lose again.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

> So again wogie, you deny you got a letter from the FCC about
you causing
> malicious interference on 20 meters?
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!

No, Roger got a letter that stated alleged interference. Big
difference. But somebody who is mentally impaired like you can't
perceive it.

> There was (Butt Cornholer's admission he fabricated tapes and
sent them in to the FCC)

Oh, so you did fabricate it. That must be why Roger never ever
received any license suspension/revocation or fine. Nothing. You
lost, fake tape maker.

> > No, you sent in a doctored tape, don't you remember?
> >
>

> Again, who (typical backpedals)

You, you senile old useless asck of shit. Can't you follow along?

Keep those model airplanes flying, imaginary pilot's license
boy. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!

2567. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 20:14:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Jq1qe.1545$hK3.449@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109692

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

>
> I think the main problem with the older hams being "better" than folks like me
> is the ability of many middle-aged and older men to get incredibly worked up
> about almost nothing, forecasting doom from inconsequential things.
>

~~~
Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before.

He is full of murderous resentment of people who
are ignorant without having come by their ignorance
the hard way.
~~~ Bokonon

2568. Of Asteroids and Dinosaurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 20:17:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tt1qe.1546$hK3.1302@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109693

When I first became involved in electronics, slide rules were the one absolutely necessary calculation tool owned by every engineer and technician. They were simple, uncomplicated, easy to use (after some period of rather tedious practice), and delightfully low tech. They were the calculation tool-of-choice for over three centuries.

Then, as the giant asteroid was to dinosaurs, overnight the $9.95 pocket calculator killed the slide rule. Despite it's ubiquity and utter simplicity the mighty slide rule went extinct in less than a decade! Perhaps somewhere, in a backward company in a backward country without sand from which to make silicon chips, a group of stalwart engineers still treasure their Pickett or K+E slipsticks, and still require a practical examination, down to the third significant digit, of an engineers proficiency, and whether they actually could explain the difference between the CIF and DIF scales.

Perhaps some amateur mathematicians still are proficient on slide rules (after all, they haven't been outlawed!). I bet they even hold speed and accuracy contests at a nostalgic "Slippers" convention each spring in Akron, Ohio. Led by the scratchy but firm voices of their oldest club members, Vince Bentupcursor and Larry Elscale, they close each convention by quoting the 1940's fight song of that bastion of wood-assisted math, Cal Tech:

"E-to-the-x du dx, E-to-the-x dx,
Cotan secant tangent sine,
three point one four one five nine.
Square root, cube root, QED
Slipstick, slide rule, Hooray! CT!"

The next SLIPS newsletter duly reports the resolution of the IEEE BoD to gain legislation to include slide-rule competency testing as a requisite to all engineering degrees, except those seeking 2-year Stickless Technician degrees. Regular Technicians will require 5CPM (Calculation Per Minute) exams, BSEE will require 13CPM, and MSEE will require a 20CPM exam.

On another front, when I first became involved in amateur radio, Morse code was the one absolutely necessary communications mode used by every ham. It was simple, uncomplicated, easy to use (after some period of rather tedious practice) and delightfully low tech. It was the amateur communication mode-of-choice for over three generations.

Then, as the giant asteroid was to dinosaurs, overnight.....

73, de Hans, K0HB

2569. Re: Another Shameful Archive For Steven J. Robeson K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 20:20:59 UTC
From: wabbus <wabbusmme@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <8f8bd$42a8a491$d1cc5a9d$6743@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 109694

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1118314730.241115.185720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > I didn't say "completely".
> > > >
> > > > I said "almost".
> > >
> > > You said "completely" for the longest time until my undeniable
> > > assertions caused you to back down.
> >
> > An absolute lie, Brian.
> >
> > Why does Brian P Burke insist on lying in a public forum?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> An absolute truth, Steve. All archived in Google.

Just curious...where would one FIND the above referenced articles
and or postings? Could you provide a URL or Google search term
for the same. (not that ham radio rantings are worth a cup of coffee
and a doughnut mind you, it's just amusing to read the crap that hams
in general post. Almost as much fun as listening to the Mike & Judy
Show on the old 14.313 of days gone by or Big Al on 3.894.5 with
his pontification and dot-matrix printer noise jamming tapes.....(grin)

2570. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 20:29:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118348944.485992.174820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109695

From: "bb" on Thurs 9 Jun 2005 03:36

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > Jim Hampton wrote:
>> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:1118264123.041417.191580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

>> > Let's see what Steve does.
>>
>> Apologize for what, Brain...?!?!
>>
>> Motorola was NOT a company in 1940. THAT is a fact. References
>> provided.
>>
>> Lennie was WRONG.
>
>Hi!

Well, the self-styled mighty warrior, self-proclaimed "expert" on
corporate structures, is (as usual) strutting double-time in
this barnyard crowing at his cackling best about "rightness." :-)

MOTOROLA Corporation existed as such BEFORE the Last Action
Hero was even conceived. [some doubt his conception, saying
he was simply issued out of a USMC supply room long ago]

The MOTOROLA logo was synonymous with "Galvin" before WW2.

Anyone IN the electronics-radio industry KNOWS of MOTOROLA,
both as a corporation and as a brand name, a logo.

>> Let's see what LENNIE does, other than provide yet another verbose
>> spindance to hide behind his error...
>>
>> OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOPS! TOO LATE! He already did that!
>>
>> It was no "slam thread".
>
>They're all slam threads.

Mighty WARRIOR Stebie thinks EVERYONE is out to "get him" and
must retaliate with slam threads. His personalities are
paranoid.

>> Lennie got his ego in front of his common sense again...Wasn't
>> paying attention. But he CLAIMS to be a "professional".

Poor Stebie, the Last Action Hero...claims to know all about "professional" employment in radio-electronics. This self-proclaimed knows-all-about-engineering has all the "experience" of less than a half year as a purchasing agent. Tsk, tsk.

Stebie knows the "facts" about Motorola...because he went to www.motorola.com and cut-and-pasted some corporate history there. He waves that in "triumph" as if that is "vinidcation" of his imaginary dispute about "greatness."

Tsk. I don't "claim" to be a professional. I've just worked in radio-electronics since 1952, getting paid real money for such work. The IRS knows that, the Franchise Tax Board of California knows that, the DoD knows that, the FBI knows that, the IEEE knows that, the ACM knows that, the County of Los Angeles knows that. Stebie, he don't know that.

I've worked for RCA Corporation. RCA no longer exists except as a logo now owned by Thompson CSF. RCA Corporation was ONCE NOT "RCA Corporation." Amazing but true.

I've worked for Hughes Aircraft Corporation. That was once "Hughes Tool Company." Hughes Aircraft NEVER made aircraft (except some missles, the airframes contracted to another). Hughes Aircraft Corporation is no longer, having gone through a number of owners in recent decades. Hughes Aircraft was once belonging to "Hughes Medical" and separate from Hughes Tool Co., that firm that DOES make aircraft. Amazing but true.

I've worked for the Rocketdyne Division of Rockwell International. That was once the Rocketdyne Division of North American Aviation. Boeing Aircraft Company purchased Rocketdyne Division several years ago. The Rocketdyne Division did not exist in WW2. Amazing but true.

I've worked for contractors to JPL (Jet Propulsion Laboratory) that was once a part of California Institute of Technology, a university in Pasadena, CA. "JPL" became a separate legal entity and later became part of NASA. Not amazing but true.

I've worked for Micro-Radionics, Inc., a small Van Nuys, CA, corporation that was once a division of Kearfott Corporation, a maker of servo and synchro motors. "MRI" was later purchased by Systron-Donner and then resold to another.

The corporation CHANGES in the radio-electronics world are an amazing mass of names and players that few outside of the industry can follow in their lineage. MOTOROLA as a corporation has existed since 1947...long before Stebie was a frown on his pediatrician's brow. MOTOROLA as a corporation, as a logo, as a symbol of a major player in radio and semiconductors is LONG KNOWN to all of us who work or have worked in the industry.

Along comes a wounded little batty rooster called Stebie who
has very little REAL industry experience who got his pukey
little amateur feathers all disarranged by COMMON INDUSTRY
KNOWLEDGE known by thousands of others. Little Stebie don'
wanna do nuttin' but FIGHT, FIGHT, FIGHT. He be very amateur
"extra" and "mighty warrior" (of the keyboard, of the mouth)
an' wanna be "victor." Stebie new "victor talking machine."
Play round flat plastic discs with dull needle, skipping
tracks, making clickey sounds.

>> Obviously THIS professional got his "facts" wrong. I just showed
>> him his error.
>
>Poor Steve. Hanging by a thread again.

Stebie is nothing more than a poseur in radio-electronics,
a dink who self-defines his "might" and "expertise" but still
comes up as a dink. Stebie is one sick puppy. Too much HATE,
too much ANGER, no sense.

Stebie want world black and white, what he say is ONLY truth.
Not so. Stebie is black mark on today's radio amateur.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2571. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 20:33:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118349210.292691.192680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109696

From: "John Smith" on Wed 8 Jun 2005 22:07

>Well, I didn't come here to make any friends, they bother me not... I
>don't think we need be standing on pomp and circumstance in these
>times...

Understood and agree with you.

But, to most in here, there IS a "pomp and circumstance"
going on in their imaginations. Mostly stuffed in there by
dilligent and effective brainwashing of the big publishing
house in Newington.

"Amateur radio is a SERVICE" crow some. Their imaginations
conjur up a military service with rigid rules/regulations
which MUST be adhered to at all times. All SHALL march to
the same drum-beat. Everyone MUST think alike. Dumb for
a hobby activity which is supposed to be fun, a recreation.

>My skin is about as thick as ones can get, and I darn well know how to
>call a spade a spade--still deal with jr college kids two nights a
>week... these old guys aren't anything next to them...

Heh heh heh. After 20 1/2 years of doing computer-modem
communications, I've got armor plate for skin as well.
Being a co-sysop on a BBS was an interesting experience,
that of seeing both the private and public text "life' of
some participants. More like a lab class in advanced
clinical psychology. Morbidly fascinating.

The main theme of most "regulars" in here (mental olde-fahrts
all) is SELF-IMPORTANCE. Further, each one is ALWAYS RIGHT.
None may be corrected for that is an outrageous "insult" on
their very being...and that calls for Flame War! FIGHT!
FIGHT! FIGHT! [gotta love it sometimes...:-)]

If they don't have anything specific to FIGHT about, some
will MANUFACTURE things in order to misdirect "blame" from
them to the challenger. See this Stebie-manufactured
"Motorola didn't exist in WW2" thread. Has NOTHING to do
with amateur radio but he deems it "necessary" in order
to "prove" his "enemy" is "wrong." :-)

Never mind that the MOTOROLA logo and brand name identity
was known to the public prior to WW2, the deluded one has
to show the "total wrongness" of one in a previous

statement! Never mind that the existance consisted of some legal statements and a lot of corporate letter heads being changed in Chicago..."Motorola did not exist prior to 1947!" Terrible "wrongness." :-)

The silliness of some of those statements of accusation is marvelous to behold. That human beans get so wrought up in damnation of others is fascinating. Transparent to any sane person reading all this. So is that "No kids, lids, or space cadets" supposedly remarked by an old ham once. :-)

Some of the denizens of this din of inequity are just nuts.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2572. Re: How things are here...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 20:35:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118349317.162240.197720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109697

From: "John Smith" on Thurs 9 Jun 2005 07:10

>At 52 I am one of the "young guys here." The "amateur hobby radio'ists"
>here have managed to chase away most if not all--down to the very last
>REAL young guy away.

John, all of the mighty amateur warriors in here are "young."
They haven't aged a bit since they got their first ham licenses
as teen agers. Neither has their comportment...still frozen in
adolescence. :-)

This newsgroup IS "The Twilight Zone," needing no "signpost up
ahead" to tell where anyone is...only Rod Serling is "SK."

>They do this with personal attacks on those of differing opinions. They
>deny facts and figure. Like a bunch of shyster accountants they bend
>figures to attempt to argue for the status quo.

Status quo kept status quo. Time be frozen in their adolescence.

THEY rule. None may say nay to their mighty (teen-age) words.

THEY formulate new laws of physics, mathematics, statistics,
bend truth like an ultimate elastomer at breaking point, say
that is "truth."

>Like a bunch of lawyers they attempt to bend the words of Haynie into an
>"Atta Boy", while in reality he chastises them for ill behavior and
>damaging the hobby.

Haynie was "democratically elected" by ruling majority of
"representative of all hams." So sayeth the League.

Ergo, by THEIR rules, they defile themselves by talking against
the words of their "elected representative." Hypocritical
criticism by these mighty radiomen.

>There are no young hams here for a darn good reason--few have a skin
>thick enough to have staying power. And then, you have those who just
>have better things to do....

Quite true, but some of these mighty (radio) warriors have
skins so thin it rivals the thickness of a microtome's
finest slice. They experience mighty emotional PAIN and
STRIKE OUT in anguish. So many strikes they have been "out"
for decades.

THEY cannot comprehend the modern world nor reality so they
defile it, denigrate it, damn all who point it out to them.
THEY rule. All shall bend to THEM.

>These guys who have stagnated the hobby are attempting to maintain the
>status quo even as the hobby dips to low numbers, cannot achieve even
>minor new band allocations and are ineffective in even limiting
>BPL--broadband over powerline--simply because they have managed to put
>the amateur radio hobby so far on the back burner most have forgotten of
>its existence... and this they are quite happy with, clear bands so they
>can rag chew with buddies over rants and medical problems is what they
>really seek...

John, THEY RULE. THEY exercise "judgement" on all who do not
heed their god-like edicts. When challenged they retreat into
their edict bunkers and compose songs and sagas of their "those
were the days" when they were (supposedly) young. The League
once had Archie comics. Fitting.

In one (strange) way, it is entertainment...TV re-runs on a
second-rate cable channel playing sit-coms of decades ago.
The Land Where Time Was Lost. Where some of THEM still live
in their heads.

THEY quo about their status like aging banty roosters. The
hens got tired of them some time ago, some leaving for better,
saner "nests." Their barnyard is now emptier and they feel
free to strut and posture...which they do in parades of pomp
and patriotic phrases (like pharisees) over their greatness.
Never mind their limping struts nor the molting feathers and
scratchy, cackling words of admonition...they are ageless,
immortal, secure in their "judgement" that only THEY are
"right."

>If you are in your 30 and under you will have a hard time staying
>here--unless you like turning your nose brown...

THEY cannot understand that and their feathers ruffle in
outrage that they are not believed. THEY "judge" and that
is that. All must to THEIR bidding, heed their guru words
of yesteryear despite the crackling of their cackling like
so much QRM. They are captains of their ship sinking slowly
in the river called denial.

>Warmest regards,
>John

And the same to you, Johm.

Mind the fallen molts and the absolute shit in this barnyard
left by the strutting but limping banty roosters. The FDA
has no ratings for these hams. Squawk 77, Mayday!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person

2573. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 20:37:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118349469.618652.188730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109698

From: "K4YZ" on Wed 8 Jun 2005 22:59

>bb wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> >
>> > The "internal fantasy" here, is yours. That being that you know
>> > anything truly meaningful about Amateur Radio or any of it's associated
>> > programs.
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> Steve, there sure is lots of protesting and denial in your post, and
>> lots of claims of "not headline news" hostile actions. Len would be
>> pleased. Very pleased.
>
> What protesting?

"Protesting" that you are not honored and revered for your
mighty military missions, oh great warrior of the mouth.

:-)

>> Can you give us some examples of what you consider hostile actions?
>
> Engaged in direct fire with a hostile opponent. Exchanging
>gunfire.
>
> REAL gunfire...no BFA's.

Real KEYBOARDING, mighty warrior of the newsgroup.

NO EVIDENCE, no "real gunfire." :-)

>> Probably not. OK, I'll give some.
>
> Cute. None of them correct.

Tsk, tsk, as "correct" as mighty Stebie's mouthing-off. :-)

>> Is that a hostile action?
>
> I am sure that you wouldn't know "hostile action" if it greeted you
>in the parking lot and poked you in the nose, Brian...

Poor Stebie, so full of himself he'd trip over a speed bump
in that parking lot, fa' down and hurt hisself. Waaa-waaaa!

;-)

Gotta love these wanna-be warriors, so full of STORIES of MIGHTY WARRIOR ACTIONS! If any of it were true, the DoD budget would have to be increased by several millions in order to buy all the medals that should rightfully be awarded to these LAST ACTION HEROES! Stebie gets CMH with oak leaf clusters!

Stebie is a FIGHT MANUFACTURER. Better than Don King (except for the lack of hair). FIGHT FIGHT FIGHT !!! Stebie wanna BEAT UP anyone daring to contradict him. MIghty WARrior of newsgroup! Stebie MAKE UP ISSUES, call everybody wrong, snarl, POSTURE, BRAG. No evidence. All mouthy keyboard. Hooray for ham radio, last battlefield. Last battlefield for Mighty Warrior Stebie.

NO evidence seen by anyone anywhere of Mighty Warrior ACTIONS.

All mighty TEXT, words, brag words, threats of bodily harm to unbelievers. Adulterated bullshit. Not good fertilizer for future flowering youth.

That's what his generation of AMATEUR RADIO is all about?

Haynie didn't include that with his speech. Tsk. Should have.

Maybe Stebie challenge Haynie with "parking lot battle" for forgetting the mighty warrior (of no evidence)?

Modern AMATEUR RADIO, home of the MIGHTY WARRIORS OF KEYBOARDS.

Pbthththththth.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2574. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 21:13:23 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118351603.211211.108280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109699

rom: K4YZ <MrWarmth@StreetPunksRus> on Jun 9, 2:07 am

>John Smith wrote:
>> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <m...@this.ten> wrote in message
>> news:87idnQ_JlolVUjrfRVn-iw@gbronline.com...
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> Haynie mentioned you in no 1), someone who won't admit they are
>> >> killing the hobby... you aren't anything but a self-centered jerk
>> >> with a big ego and self-opinion,
>
>> > And you aren't anything but a know-nothing cber who couldn't pass the
>> > exam for a ham license if your miserable life depended upon it.
>
>> You are a silly old man, I am indeed licensed...
>
> Not likely.

Ah, but "Cmd Buzz Corey" is a "real person?"

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> but that should make little difference...(SNIP)
>
> It makes a LOT of difference.

Not really. :-)

> It establishes from what point of reference that you make your
>assertions. It's called an "informed opinion".

Tsk. Stebie is "informed?" Who informed on Stebie?

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> Lennie is an example of a lot of fluff without experience. He
>"knows" a lot from what he cuts and pastes...no more...no less...

Tsk, tsk. "Informed" Stebie make like Big Shot again.

Worse, he spell "shot" with an O instead of an I.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Poor Stebie, unable to read books? Unable to attend school
and learn something? Stebie born with knowledge of ham?
Stebie flunk out of correspondence school? Tsk, tsk.

> So far you've offered nothing but hit and run, anonymous quips with
>little or no substantiation of your "opinions".

Tsk, tsk. "Cmd Buzz Corey" true person-identity? No?

Is okay. Stebie think "Cmd Buzz Corey" okay, "Corey" think
same as Stebie, very "informed."

BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> (UNSNIP)...you are a crafty old buzzard always anxious to
>> side track facts into a gutter of personal attacks and rants on someones
>> present status...(SNIP)
>
> And so far you've done/said nothing except to make your OWN "gutter
>of personal attacks". So what's the difference?

OOOOOOOOO!!!! "Snippy" Stebie come to "defense" of anony-mouse
"Corey"! Big FIGHT begin. Mighty warrior Stebie FIGHT for
"informed truth," justice, and the murine corpse way!

>> (UNSNIP)....--too me--you just look like a damn street smart punk
>> which knows a few tricks--and dirty underhanded ones at that...
>
> Lessee...You're anonymous...apparently unlicensed...not a bit of
>substantiation of ANY assertion...

Oooooo!!!!! More FIGHT by mighty warrior Stebie!!

All gather 'round. Last Action Hero show how to FIGHT!

"Cmd Buzz Corey" get whupped, Stebie da Wundermarine to the
rescue! FIGHT! FIGHT! Fight for right of anony-mouse on
side of Stebie! Hypocrisy united!!

> Yep..."damn street punk" WITHOUT the "smarts"...

Stebie wanna have FIGHT in parking lot? FIGHT over parking
place? FIGHT over speed bumps?

Snarl, Stebie, snarl. You mighty. You mighty Joe Young, big
ape. Pound chest, roar. Demonstrate MIGHT of modern ham
radio operator! FIGHT! FIGHT! FIGHT!!!

Stebie HERO. Pin medal on Stebie. Courage under fire in
self-ignited FLAME WAR started by anony-mouse arsonist!

BWAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Pbthththththth

2575. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 21:26:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <4u2qe.1604$eM6.1056@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109800

Oh really? Then how do we explain the young contesters out there? Or the
many QSOs I have with hams on CW in their 20s and 30s? And I don't operate
at 5wpm. Neither do they...think maybe they picked up some speed, eh?

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com...
> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants and
> name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and youthful hams...
>
> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be speaking
> morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get the extra
> license... then you will never see them again--except on phone and
> modem...
>
> John
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:JxMpe.1198$eM6.821@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> Bet the pilots among them know Morse.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:9YLpe.97$NN.5045381@news.sisna.com...
>>> Well, all the astronauts are no code techs... guess those no-code'ers
>>> are in good and technical company...
>>>
>>> Warmest regards,
>>> John
>>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>> news:1118274092.193500.211790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>> From: John Smith on Jun 8, 5:50 pm
>>>>
>>>>>You would think the ARRL high priest would not abandon these
>>>>>guys--times
>>>>>must be tough...
>>>>
>>>>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stori?es/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>>>>
>>>>>John
>>>>>--
>>>>>Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality,

>>>>>right
>>>>>before my eyes--in real time!
>>>>>Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>>>>
>>>> Tsk. It took the League long enough to see the "duhhhh..." :-)
>>>>
>>>> They've had adequate numbers all along. They've been seeing
>>>> only what they want to believe.
>>>>
>>>> As of the end of 2004 the League had only 140 thousand members.
>>>> That's about 20 percent of all U.S. amateur licensees. [from
>>>> QST advertising webpage]
>>>>
>>>> Haynie has said that a "survey" showed fully 1 in 5 hams
>>>> actually got on the air? Oh, my, a remarkable coincidence
>>>> in the percentage of League membership. :-)
>>>>
>>>> [we now pause to have all loyal league believers vent their
>>>> rage against those who defile 'their' organization...]
>>>>
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2576. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 21:28:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Jv2qe.1605$eM6.1152@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109801

And your speed is what "John Smith". What makes you qualified to even
comment on this? Do you operate on CW? Save you the time. Your a big
mouth know nothing about the subject. Damn...it may be Lennie.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:tBNpe.118$ri3.6076440@news.sisna.com...
> ... as always, there will be exceptions to ANY rule... I think both
> Haynie and I would be surprised if this becomes anywhere near a
> "remarkable" number...
>
> John
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:GuydnRZmCKvNODrfRVn-hw@comcast.com...
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com...
>>> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants and
>>> name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and youthful
>>> hams...
>>>
>>> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be
>>> speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get the
>>> extra license... then you will never see them again--except on phone and
>>> modem...
>>>
>>> John
>>
>> Not so. I've worked several licensees in the CW November Sweeps who gave
>> their year of license as 2000 and AFTER. I currently know several people
>> who have passed their 5wpm so they never have to take the test again but
>> continue to work diligently to get up to both conversational and contest
>> speeds.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
>

2577. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 21:30:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Ax2qe.1606$eM6.610@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109802

A keyboard and a morse reader.....BWAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHH !!!!!

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:3eOpe.122$814.6419513@news.sisna.com...
>I don't think there is a ham alive which can beat my keyboard, hooked to a
>"electronic key" and sending morse, nor my sound card doubling as a "code
>reader" and producing text on screen from cw...
> You mean to tell me people actually use "real keys" still--gawd, I thought
> all those sk <tongue-in-cheek>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:F7Ope.539$VW6.83@news02.roc.ny...
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com...
>>> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants and
>>> name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and youthful
>>> hams...
>>>
>>> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be
>>> speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get the
>>> extra license... then you will never see them again--except on phone and
>>> modem...
>>>
>>> John
>>
>>
>> Hello, John
>>
>> There are more than a few folks that can send and receive cw faster than
>> a
>> lot of folks can type. I am not talking 20 words per minute here, nor am
>> I
>> talking a leisurely 30 words per minute.
>>
>> Of course, cut and paste doesn't count (and I've had a few college grads
>> tell me that is how they did some of "their" papers LOL. Future CEOs,
>> I'm
>> sure. Enron and the like).

>>
>> I'd be interested in an SSB contact on 24 GHz via moonbounce. 85 watts.
>> That might prove interesting.
>>
>> All said and done, there are modes that can do it better (PSK comes to
>> mind) - but most won't and cw is one that can. It doesn't mean it is the
>> best, but ... again ... there are a number of ops that can send and
>> receive
>> cw faster than a lot of folks can type. And if some enjoy it, why worry?
>> It is like arguing that country-western music is terrible. Not all would
>> agree.
>>
>> May I assume that you cut and paste very quickly? ;)
>>
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
>>
>>
>
>

2578. Re: Of Asteroids and Dinosaurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 21:46:36 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11ahe65nib9948@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 109805

The way we sung it was:

Tangent cosine secant sine
3.14159
E to the x, Y to the rho
Beer in the end zone, go team go.

Jim

> convention by quoting the 1940's fight song of that bastion of
> wood-assisted math, Cal Tech:
>
> "E-to-the-x du dx, E-to-the-x dx,
> Cotan secant tangent sine,
> three point one four one five nine.
> Square root, cube root, QED
> Slipstick, slide rule, Hooray! CT!"
>

2579. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 21:59:06 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <OY2qe.227$4_3.10509341@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109808

... seeing how changing from windows 2000 to xp has all the complexity
of changing the bathroom rug from a blue one to a green one... that is
un-helpful...

Regards,
John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d89rm1$14gm$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> bb wrote:
>
>>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Yes.
>>>
>>>Well, you cannot disguise the fact that the over 60 crowd just don't
>>>have the energy or enthusiasm as the teenage to 30 crowd--or the fact
>>>that these younger people are actively engaged in the research,
>>>development and production phases of electronics. Why they may
>>>effectively hang on limiting the hobby--this cannot not go on
>>>indefinitely...
>>
>>
>> John, there is hope. I took a look at the actuarial tables shortly
>> after joining this newsgripe, and it look like they are working in
>> our
>> favor. We can help it work by not turning into the very type of
>> amateur that the tables are currently removing from hamdom.
>>
>
> I've chatted with a 96 y.o. Ham on PSK31 who certainly doesn't fit
> that "Evil olde Hamme" description. He'd just gotten a new laptop,
> converting to XP from Win2k. A fine gentleman, and not afraid of
> learning and doing new things. It's not all bleak.
>
> Let us hope he continues to beat those tables.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

2580. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:05:18 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118354718.865905.94740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109809

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> >
> > What do you suggest? That the seniors quit ham radio?
>
> Smitty thinks all hams should be like him. Boy, talk about the death of
> ham radio, that would do it.

You bet. Somewhere around here today he posted about his CB operations,
had his station inspected TWICE, has "enemies who play dirty tricks on
him" . . exactly the kind of operator profile ham radio needs like it
needs a hole in the head.

2581. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:07:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118354856.534716.119320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109810

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > The "internal fantasy" here, is yours. That being that you know
> > > > > anything truly meaningful about Amateur Radio or any of it's associated
> > > > > programs.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > Steve, there sure is lots of protesting and denial in your post, and
> > > > lots of claims of "not headline news" hostile actions. Len would be
> > > > pleased. Very pleased.
> > > >
> > > What protesting?
> > >
> > > > Can you give us some examples of what you consider hostile actions?
> > >
> > > Engaged in direct fire with a hostile opponent. Exchanging
> > > gunfire.
> > >
> > > REAL gunfire...no BFA's.
> > >
> > > > Probably not. OK, I'll give some.
> > >
> > > Cute. None of them correct.
> > >
> > > > Is that a hostile action?
> > >
> > > I am sure that you wouldn't know "hostile action" if it greeted you
> > > in the parking lot and poked you in the nose, Brian...
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I'm sure you wouldn't know either.
>
> Then you'd be wrong. (As if THAT were new...)
>
> > Got any proof?
>
> Shall I demonstrate, or are you willing to accept that what would
> transpire would cause you pain and suffering (along with some moderate

> bleeding, easily controlled with ice and direct pressure?)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, why would it cause me any pain and suffering? Do you wish to harm me?

I was asking you what a hostile action meant to you. Prior to yesterday, it meant getting sand in your eye.

2582. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:07:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <R43qe.228$SH1.10346651@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109853

N2EY:

Granted, things are EXAGGERATED in my text... it is done to emphasize the point...

We seem at this point of where you, "have to hit them over the head with a 2x4 just to get their attention."

If they are shocked, angry and ready to have a go at me--so much the better...

I am not really a "heartless old bastard", although I play the part well... <grin>

... we need to give these young guys a break... frankly, you guys may have all been different than me with my first novice ticket--however, I know a was a young-dumb-smart-ass... now it is my turn to pay back what those guys who put up with me back then went though--and it would help if we all chipped in here a bit...

Not all hams are evil--in fact, I really have NEVER met a truly evil one--so you won't get me to argue that--but some have their actions, motivations and goals a bit misplaced...

... "old buzzards" may have been a bit over-stated on my part... <grin>

... long as we can all talk and "get real" we can work something out--I am sure...

Warmest regards,
John
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118311523.166185.243660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> You just dismissed the fact that Haynie is seeing a real
>> problem here,
>> he is beginning to tell hams to stop acting like such
>> immature jerks
>
> Folks like W5JBP have been saying that for a long time.
>
> They're right, of course.
>
>> and get with the program
>
> Which "program", though?
>

>>--you take these words are turn them into him giving
>> you an "Atta Boy!"
>
> Not me.
>
>> If you are fool enough to believe that you will have to...
>> such denial,
>> avoidance and BS has stagnated us to the point where all there > are,
>> are
>> a bunch of guys out there too old to be of interest to QSO
>> with-> -the
>> young guys know that and you can tell them different till the
>> cows come home--it will not change one thing...
>
> There's a lot more than "a bunch of guys too old to be of
> interest" - but you have to go where they are.
>
>> I am 52 and most are too old for me... long winded rants about
>> yesteryear and such is a real turn off to this guy...
>
> I am 51, been a ham more than 37 years. In all that time, there
> have always been the "old buzzards" doing what you describe.
> There have also always been folks who are a lot different,
> regardless of age.
>
>> I cringe when I
>> see the expressions on the young mens face who hear it on my
>> demos of radio...
>
> And how do you do these demos? You show them voice operating,
> right? 75, 20, 2 meters?
>
> Try expanding the horizons to other modes, like PSK31, Pactor, and
> (gasp) Morse Code. Different worlds, different people.
>
>> You sit there thinking all is well--I can tell you are
>> past anything but
>> an argument for maintaining status quo...
>> You really mean to tell me you feel the bands out there
>> are "congested?"
>
> Depends what bands, modes and when.
>

2583. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:09:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Y63qe.229$8C4.10556476@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109811

Kelly:

You don't see a problem, you are unaware that a problem exists and/or
are in denial... for you, we will come back again next year and look at
the license figures again--you simply need more proof and a year should
in time should provide it... when we reach a point where you finally
admit there is a problem--we will be able to move forward from there...

Warmest regards,
John

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118315604.180077.63980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> You just dismissed the fact that Haynie is seeing a real problem
>> here,
>
> Bullshit, he cited several completely separate problems and I already
> stated that I more or less agree with him on all counts.
>
>> he is beginning to tell hams to stop acting like such immature jerks
>> and
>> get with the program--you take these words are turn them into him
>> giving
>> you an "Atta Boy!"
>
> "Atta Boy" for WHAT??
>
>> If you are fool enough to believe that you will have to... such
>> denial,
>> avoidance and BS has stagnated us to the point where all there are,
>> are
>> a bunch of guys out there too old to be of interest to QSO with--the
>> young guys know that and you can tell them different till the cows
>> come
>> home--it will not change one thing...
>
> What do you suggest? That the seniors quit ham radio?
>
>> I am 52 and most are too old for me...
>
> . . that's obvious but not for the reasons you think it is . .
>

>> long winded rants about
>> yesteryear and such is a real turn off to this guy...
>
> Too damned bad about that, they have the licenses and they're allowed
> to chit chat about history if that's their interest. If you don't like
> it fine, twist the big knob on the front of your radio and go
> somewhere
> else which is what I do.
>
>> I cringe when I
>> see the expressions on the young mens face who hear it on my demos of
>> radio...
>
> Yeah yeah, the kids I hung out with on the corner a half century ago
> didn't think much of ham radio either.
>
>> You sit there thinking all is well--I can tell you are past anything
>> but
>> an argument for maintaining status quo...
>> You really mean to tell me you feel the bands out there are
>> "congested?"
>
> Where the hell have I used the term "congestion" anywhere ?!
>
>> Tell someone without a radio--they just might believe you...
>> We both hear Haynies words you think he is saying, "Good Job Guys!"
>> I
>> challenge that all right, I hear him saying, "Get with it old
>> fools!!!"
>
> You're simply an irrational/incoherent waste of bandwidth and time.
> 'Bye.
>
>> John
>
> w3rv
>

2584. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:11:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <p83qe.230$Xf3.10440816@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109812

Cmd Buzz Corey:

I think they had it right when I entered this discussion--my advice to you, "stop corn holing young boys--get a pilots license so you can redeem yourself" <grin>

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message news:UY6dndAyLM__KjXfRVn-jA@gbronline.com...
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>>
>> What do you suggest? That the seniors quit ham radio?
>
> Smitty thinks all hams should be like him. Boy, talk about the death
> of ham radio, that would do it.

2585. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:23:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Oj3qe.233$U94.10525848@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109814

K4YZ:

No. We are Americans first, fathers, good members of communities, etc... about a hundred really important things--before we need ever list the fact we are a ham...

I don't need "ham worship" and the guys who are drifting around after it make me puke... that is just how it is with me...

I hang my master and Phd on the wall because those really did take hard work and are truly a demonstration of proving myself a scholar... my ham license hangs at the station because it is required by law!!!

I will give you a thousand reasons I am a worthwhile human being before I ever get to the fact I am a viet vet and ham... those two things I did for my own reasons... not really to serve any one else more than to serve myself...

Even at 52 I come from an age when men were really men--it meant something then to be a man, to protect the innocent, the weak, the women, the children, American pie...

Today, I watch bellyachers lobby for more difficult barriers to be placed in front of some--hell, I fought to make America the land of milk and honey--and it is far different today than I would have it...

I can do one thing, and that is to "hand down" and pull up the ones who will, all too soon, take my place--stand my responsibilities and hold the reins...

One thing I wish to avoid until the day I die is becoming a bitter old man decrying what a waste the youth are in this world--how deserving I am--and how deserving all others--if you have not understood from my words up to and at this point let me spell it out for you--SUCH "MEN" make me sick and afraid it has all been for naught!

Warmest regards,
John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1118297243.781473.221410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
>> news:87idnQ_JlolVUjrfRVn-iw@gbronline.com...
>> > John Smith wrote:

>> >> Haynie mentioned you in no 1), someone who won't admit they are
>> >> killing the hobby... you aren't anything but a self-centered jerk
>> >> with a big ego and self-opinion,
>> >
>> > And you aren't anything but a know-nothing cber who couldn't pass
>> > the
>> > exam for a ham license if your miserable life depended upon it.
>>
>> You are a silly old man, I am indeed licensed...
>
> Not likely.
>
>> but that should make little difference...(SNIP)
>
> It makes a LOT of difference.
>
> It establishes from what point of reference that you make your
> assertions. It's called an "informed opinion".
>
> Lennie is an example of a lot of fluff without experience. He
> "knows" a lot from what he cuts and pastes...no more...no less...
>
> Just like you so far.
>
>> (UNSNIP...that argument is not what I am, but what the hobby
>> is and where it is going...(SNIP)
>
> And you know this?
>
> So far you've offered nothing but hit and run, anonymous quips with
> little or no substantiation of your "opinions".
>
>> (UNSNIP)...you are a crafty old buzzard always anxious to
>> side track facts into a gutter of personal attacks and rants on
>> someones
>> present status...(SNIP)
>
> And so far you've done/said nothing except to make your OWN "gutter
> of personal attacks". So what's the difference?
>
>> (UNSNIP)....--too me--you just look like a damn street smart punk
>> which knows a few tricks--and dirty underhanded ones at that...
>
> Lessee...You're anonymous...apparently unlicensed...not a bit of
> substantiation of ANY assertion...
>
> Yep..."damn street punk" WITHOUT the "smarts"...
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2586. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:26:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118356013.309080.183870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109816

K4YZ wrote:
> John Smith wrote:

> > You just dismissed the fact that Haynie is seeing a real problem here,
> > he is beginning to tell hams to stop acting like such immature jerks and
> > get with the program--

Has he been reading old copies of Wayne Green's editorials in "73
Magazine?"

> > you take these words are turn them into him giving
> > you an "Atta Boy!"
>
> I am wondering who he's allegedly talking to, then.

Allegedly?

He's talking to you. He's talking to all of us.

Didn't you follow the link to the ARRL site?

Go back up the the top, and click on the blue thingy.

> Those problems don't seem to be manifest here.

Hi!

2587. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:28:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <oo3qe.235$KJ4.10580042@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109817

... you know, like a silly old fart, I was too long winded in that last piece... let me have a chance to say it better, to say it right!

NO ONE OWES ME A DAMN THING--IT HAS BEEN MY DAMN PLEASURE TO HAVE BEEN GRANTED THE ABILITY TO SERVE THIS COUNTRY AND MY FELLOW CITIZENS--WITH OUT SUCH I WOULD HAVE BEEN NOTHING...

... and it only hurts when I see gay faggots yelling in the streets for their "rights", and REAL men remaining silent...

Warmest regards,
John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:Oj3qe.233$U94.10525848@news.sisna.com...
> K4YZ:
>
> No. We are Americans first, fathers, good members of communities,
> etc... about a hundred really important things--before we need ever
> list the fact we are a ham...
>
> I don't need "ham worship" and the guys who are drifting around after
> it make me puke... that is just how it is with me...
>
> I hang my master and Phd on the wall because those really did take
> hard work and are truly a demonstration of proving myself a scholar...
> my ham license hangs at the station because it is required by law!!!
>
> I will give you a thousand reasons I am a worthwhile human being
> before I ever get to the fact I am a viet vet and ham... those two
> things I did for my own reasons... not really to serve any one else
> more than to serve myself...
>
> Even at 52 I come from an age when men were really men--it meant
> something then to be a man, to protect the innocent, the weak, the
> women, the children, American pie...
>
> Today, I watch bellyachers lobby for more difficult barriers to be
> placed in front of some--hell, I fought to make America the land of
> milk and honey--and it is far different today than I would have it...
>
> I can do one thing, and that is to "hand down" and pull up the ones
> who will, all too soon, take my place--stand my responsibilities and
> hold the reins...
>

> One thing I wish to avoid until the day I die is becoming a bitter old
> man decrying what a waste the youth are in this world--how deserving I
> am--and how deserving all others--if you have not understood from my
> words up to and at this point let me spell it out for you--SUCH "MEN"
> make me sick and afraid it has all been for naught!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1118297243.781473.221410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
>>> news:87idnQ_JlolVUjrfRVn-iw@gbronline.com...
>>> > John Smith wrote:
>>> >> Haynie mentioned you in no 1), someone who won't admit they are
>>> >> killing the hobby... you aren't anything but a self-centered jerk
>>> >> with a big ego and self-opinion,
>>> >
>>> > And you aren't anything but a know-nothing cber who couldn't pass
>>> > the
>>> > exam for a ham license if your miserable life depended upon it.
>>>
>>> You are a silly old man, I am indeed licensed...
>>
>> Not likely.
>>
>>> but that should make little difference...(SNIP)
>>
>> It makes a LOT of difference.
>>
>> It establishes from what point of reference that you make your
>> assertions. It's called an "informed opinion".
>>
>> Lennie is an example of a lot of fluff without experience. He
>> "knows" a lot from what he cuts and pastes...no more...no less...
>>
>> Just like you so far.
>>
>>> (UNSNIP...that argument is not what I am, but what the hobby
>>> is and where it is going...(SNIP)
>>
>> And you know this?
>>
>> So far you've offered nothing but hit and run, anonymous quips
>> with
>> little or no substantiation of your "opinions".
>>

>>> (UNSNIP)...you are a crafty old buzzard always anxious to
>>> side track facts into a gutter of personal attacks and rants on
>>> someones
>>> present status...(SNIP)
>>
>> And so far you've done/said nothing except to make your OWN
>> "gutter
>> of personal attacks". So what's the difference?
>>
>>> (UNSNIP)....--too me--you just look like a damn street smart punk
>>> which knows a few tricks--and dirty underhanded ones at that...
>>
>> Lessee...You're anonymous...apparently unlicensed...not a bit of
>> substantiation of ANY assertion...
>>
>> Yep..."damn street punk" WITHOUT the "smarts"...
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
>
>

2588. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:33:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118356413.583883.237580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109818

John Smith wrote:
> K4YZ:
>
> No. We are Americans first, fathers, good members of communities, etc...
> about a hundred really important things--before we need ever list the
> fact we are a ham...
>
> I don't need "ham worship" and the guys who are drifting around after it
> make me puke... that is just how it is with me...
>
> I hang my master and Phd on the wall because those really did take hard
> work and are truly a demonstration of proving myself a scholar... my ham
> license hangs at the station because it is required by law!!!
>
> I will give you a thousand reasons I am a worthwhile human being before
> I ever get to the fact I am a viet vet and ham... those two things I
> did for my own reasons... not really to serve any one else more than to
> serve myself...
>
> Even at 52 I come from an age when men were really men--it meant
> something then to be a man, to protect the innocent, the weak, the
> women, the children, American pie...
>
> Today, I watch bellyachers lobby for more difficult barriers to be
> placed in front of some--hell, I fought to make America the land of milk
> and honey--and it is far different today than I would have it...
>
> I can do one thing, and that is to "hand down" and pull up the ones who
> will, all too soon, take my place--stand my responsibilities and hold
> the reins...
>
> One thing I wish to avoid until the day I die is becoming a bitter old
> man decrying what a waste the youth are in this world--how deserving I
> am--and how deserving all others--if you have not understood from my
> words up to and at this point let me spell it out for you--SUCH "MEN"
> make me sick and afraid it has all been for naught!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

Well said, John. Steve is glory seeker, plain and simple. If it
doesn't come with a uniform, a badge, or a rank...

2589. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:36:21 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118356581.741911.296190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109819

John Smith wrote:
> My real name and call are held to protect the innocent (or guilty as you
> prefer.)
> I have had my station inspected a couple of times, and passed with
> flying colors I might add, since my fellow amateurs frowned on me
> chatting with truckers and general "undesirable types" on the Chicken
> Band...
> I am very familiar with hams finding out your call and attempting to use
> the FCC as their private police... SOME are full of dirty tricks...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

Careful, John. K4YZ is "dialing...." Hi, hi!

2590. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:38:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Hx3qe.238$je2.10387600@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109820

I truly hope you are NOT a ham... if so, I personally will try to have
your license revoked and given to a trucker on the chicken band...

John

<lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1118341776.928720.87060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> In article <sWYoe.10$Fm.147600@news.sisna.com>
> "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> You are a real dumb ass if you think I care who "really" posted it...
>> I
>> used the "nick" simply to respond back to the "nick" which was
>> used--DUMB ASS...
>
> No, you addressed it as if you were talking to Roger Wiseman, now,
> who's the dumbass?
> Amswer: you, DUMBASS!
>
>> This is a newsgroup, if you believe any of these names are "real" you
>> are an idiot!
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>
> Sort of like your "name", dumbass???? You are a fucking idiot.
>
>
>> "George Orwell" <nob...@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
>> news:56036f97dbefb4716abe2d24bc7fe083@mixmaster.it...
>> > In article <vLwoe.268$nI7.33043...@news.sisna.com>
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Roger:
>> >>
>> >
>> > If you thought that was Roger Wiseman who posted that, then
>> > those hours in "college" haven't done you a fucking bit of good,
>> > and there is somwe swampland in Florida you might be interested
>> > in buying.
>> > Dumbass!
>

2591. Re: Of Asteroids and Dinosaurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:41:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118356913.728078.303560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109821

KØHB wrote:
> When I first became involved in electronics, slide rules were the one
> absolutely necessary calculation tool owned by every engineer and
> technician. They were simple, uncomplicated, easy to use (after some
> period of rather tedious practice), and delightfully low tech. They
> were the calculation tool-of-choice for over three centuries.
>
> Then, as the giant asteroid was to dinosaurs, overnight the $9.95
> pocket calculator killed the slide rule. Despite it's ubiquity and
> utter simplicity the mighty slide rule went extinct in less than a
> decade! Perhaps somewhere, in a backward company in a backward country
> without sand from which to make silicon chips, a group of stalwart
> engineers still treasure their Pickett or K+E slipsticks, and still
> require a practical examination, down to the third significant digit,
> of an engineers proficiency, and whether they actually could explain
> the difference between the CIF and DIF scales.
>
> Perhaps some amateur mathematicians still are proficient on slide rules
> (after all, they haven't been outlawed!). I bet they even hold speed and
> accuracy contests at a nostalgic "Slippers" convention each spring in
> Akron, Ohio. Led by the scratchy but firm voices of their oldest club
> members, Vince Bentupcursor and Larry Elscale, they close each
> convention by quoting the 1940's fight song of that bastion of
> wood-assisted math, Cal Tech:
>
> "E-to-the-x du dx, E-to-the-x dx,
> Cotan secant tangent sine,
> three point one four one five nine.
> Square root, cube root, QED
> Slipstick, slide rule, Hooray! CT!"
>
> The next SLIPS newsletter duly reports the resolution of the IEEE BoD to
> gain legislation to include slide-rule competency testing as a requisite
> to all engineering degrees, except those seeking 2-year Stickless
> Technician degrees. Regular Technicians will require 5CPM (Calculation
> Per Minute) exams, BSEE will require 13CPM, and MSEE will require a
> 20CPM exam.
>
> On another front, when I first became involved in amateur radio, Morse
> code was the one absolutely necessary communications mode used by every
> ham. It was simple, uncomplicated, easy to use (after some period of
> rather tedious practice) and delightfully low tech. It was the amateur
> communication mode-of-choice for over three generations.
>

> Then, as the giant asteroid was to dinosaurs, overnight.....

Good show Hans, great piece!

(. . even though I disagree with your conclusion. Strenuously.)

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

2592. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:47:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <MF3qe.241$je2.10387600@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109823

I learned code to get my license, never since--I live what I claim is fact...

... if I do code it is though an automatic key and keyboard and cw-to-text reader, all of my own design and construction... being a software engineer I really enjoy hardware projects, experimentation and antenna construction--I never get to get my hands dirty in the "real world."

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message news:Jv2qe.1605$eM6.1152@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> And your speed is what "John Smith". What makes you qualified to even
> comment on this? Do you operate on CW? Save you the time. Your a
> big mouth know nothing about the subject. Damn...it may be Lennie.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:tBNpe.118$ri3.6076440@news.sisna.com...
>> ... as always, there will be exceptions to ANY rule... I think both
>> Haynie and I would be surprised if this becomes anywhere near a
>> "remarkable" number...
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:GuydnRZmCKvNODrfRVn-hw@comcast.com...
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com...
>>>> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their
>>>> rants and name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and
>>>> youthful hams...
>>>>
>>>> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be
>>>> speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get
>>>> the extra license... then you will never see them again--except on
>>>> phone and modem...
>>>>
>>>> John
>>>
>>> Not so. I've worked several licensees in the CW November Sweeps who

>>> gave their year of license as 2000 and AFTER. I currently know
>>> several people who have passed their 5wpm so they never have to take
>>> the test again but continue to work diligently to get up to both
>>> conversational and contest speeds.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2593. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:48:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <GG3qe.242$6u5.10673221@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109824

... I think anyone not agreeing with you is a "chicken bander" and
"troll", now surely you must agree!

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:BICdnVCo2Z6tJzXfRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> And your speed is what "John Smith". What makes you qualified to
>> even comment on this? Do you operate on CW? Save you the time.
>> Your a big mouth know nothing about the subject. Damn...it may be
>> Lennie.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> He's a cber, couldn't copy code if his life depended upon it.

2594. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:49:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <LH3qe.243$aM4.10584105@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109825

```
... yep, and look at key men as I do musicians, they are ok, but don't
wanna be one...

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Ax2qe.1606$eM6.610@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>A keyboard and a morse reader.....BWAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHH !!!!!
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3eOpe.122$814.6419513@news.sisna.com...
>>I don't think there is a ham alive which can beat my keyboard, hooked
>>to a "electronic key" and sending morse, nor my sound card doubling as
>>a "code reader" and producing text on screen from cw...
>> You mean to tell me people actually use "real keys" still--gawd, I
>> thought all those sk <tongue-in-cheek>
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:F7Ope.539$VW6.83@news02.roc.ny...
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com...
>>>> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their
>>>> rants and
>>>> name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and youthful
>>>> hams...
>>>>
>>>> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be
>>>> speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get
>>>> the
>>>> extra license... then you will never see them again--except on
>>>> phone and
>>>> modem...
>>>>
>>>> John
>>>
>>>
>>> Hello, John
>>>
```

>>> There are more than a few folks that can send and receive cw faster
>>> than a
>>> lot of folks can type. I am not talking 20 words per minute here,
>>> nor am I
>>> talking a leisurely 30 words per minute.
>>>
>>> Of course, cut and paste doesn't count (and I've had a few college
>>> grads
>>> tell me that is how they did some of "their" papers LOL. Future
>>> CEOs, I'm
>>> sure. Enron and the like).
>>>
>>> I'd be interested in an SSB contact on 24 GHz via moonbounce. 85
>>> watts.
>>> That might prove interesting.
>>>
>>> All said and done, there are modes that can do it better (PSK comes
>>> to
>>> mind) - but most won't and cw is one that can. It doesn't mean it
>>> is the
>>> best, but ... again ... there are a number of ops that can send and
>>> receive
>>> cw faster than a lot of folks can type. And if some enjoy it, why
>>> worry?
>>> It is like arguing that country-western music is terrible. Not all
>>> would
>>> agree.
>>>
>>> May I assume that you cut and paste very quickly? ;)
>>>
>>>
>>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>>> Jim AA2QA
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2595. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:50:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4J3qe.244$Rx5.10677365@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109826

```
... I think when men are gone from the earth--you will find that written
on tombstone of the human race...

John
```

"K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Jq1qe.1545$hK3.449@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>>
>> I think the main problem with the older hams being "better" than
>> folks like me is the ability of many middle-aged and older men to get
>> incredibly worked up about almost nothing, forecasting doom from
>> inconsequential things.
>>
>
> ~~~
> Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
> learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before.
>
>
> He is full of murderous resentment of people who
> are ignorant without having come by their ignorance
> the hard way.
> ~~~ Bokonon
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2596. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:51:21 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200506.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <5be50f764af2bfc35aa258b5cce37dbe@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 109828

In article <udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.ten> whined:
>
> Anonymous AKA Curtians Fatboy Two-test wogie slobbered over
his keyboard
> wrote:

"Curtians?" "Two-test" "Wogie" - Butt Cornholer makes good
spells!

Fatboy? Hardly. But I just know you wear size 48 pants, tubby.
Butt Cornholer must need his colostomy bag changed again, he
gets so upset......
making such spelling mistakes like that.
Poor little impotent Butt Cornholer, the obsolete license and
think he has a "pilot's license."

Get to flying those model airplanes, "Chuck Yeager"
BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

> > And where do you pull that completely dumbassed statement
from?
> > Roger can copy 13 wpm code and proved it when he passed his
> > General exam.
>
> OK wogie, name (more impotent posturing)

Mheh. You can't even spell Roger over the computer, one would
shudder to listen to your sending your mangled English in cw
like an elephant would stomp it out.

> >
> >
> > No, you only have shown you can copy and paste ARRL press
> > releases.
> > I have posted the actual letters, they have to be real, since
> > you initially posted them to the newsgroups.
>
> You can't even (more lies and excuses, Butt Cornholer has a
million of 'em)

Poor Butt Cornholer, long on accusations, short on proof!

Could Butt Cornholer the senile old fuck just be full of shit?
Yes!

> >>Show proof of this letter you calim
> >
> >
> > "calim"???? My my, is Butt Cornholer a bit upset?

<guffaw!> No answer for that, huh "imaginary pilot's license"
boy?

> > And yet you can't provide proof you do have one.
> > Not without exposing who you really are.

Chickenshit Butt Cornholer.....

> > I win, since Roger's warning letter was sent out because you
> > made by fabricated evidence.
>
> You? Who is this 'you' wogie that you claim frabraicted
evidence. There
> was no need for anyone to frabricate

"Frabricated"
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

> any evidence two-test, everyone

Everyone knows you must be a high schpool dropout with a G.E.D.,
too.

"Frabricated"
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

> knows you were jamming other stations on 20 meters.

No, it was allegations of jamming, can't you read? Of course
not, all you can do is post ARRL press releases instead of facts.

November 09, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been
deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio
operations of other
licensed Amateurs on the 14 MHz Amateur band.

This interference has occurred at various times in the last
several months and includes

broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service
to be
self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary
to the basis and purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the
service
for legitimate users. This serves as a Warning Notice that such
operation will not be tolerated. Additional incidents of
operation of the
type described above will result in a monetary fine being levied
against you and in revocation proceedings before an
Administrative Law
Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

See?

> That's why you had to retest to keep your license, curtains
boy.

That's not what the retest letter states. And you should know,
since you posted it to the newsgroups before. You lose again.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

> So again wogie, you deny you got a letter from the FCC about
you causing
> malicious interference on 20 meters?
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!

No, Roger got a letter that stated alleged interference. Big
difference. But somebody who is mentally impaired like you can't
perceive it.

> There was (Butt Cornholer's admission he fabricated tapes and
sent them in to the FCC)

Oh, so you did fabricate it. That must be why Roger never ever
received any license suspension/revocation or fine. Nothing. You
lost, fake tape maker.

> > No, you sent in a doctored tape, don't you remember?
> >
>

> Again, who (typical backpedals)

You, you senile old useless asck of shit. Can't you follow along?

Keep those model airplanes flying, imaginary pilot's license
boy. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!

2597. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 22:53:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TL3qe.245$P_4.10608696@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109827

```
... let's reset back to reality, there are fewer hams in the world--than
illegal aliens in the state of california (and about 41 million illegals
in the nation)...
a true minority... the number of new keys are simply not worth
mentioning in comparison... hams must be THE smallest minority wanting
special privileges for a damn hobby! ... if you haven't thought of it
like that before--think of it now--not all are fools...

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:4u2qe.1604$eM6.1056@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Oh really? Then how do we explain the young contesters out there? Or
> the many QSOs I have with hams on CW in their 20s and 30s? And I
> don't operate at 5wpm. Neither do they...think maybe they picked up
> some speed, eh?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com...
>> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants
>> and name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and
>> youthful hams...
>>
>> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be
>> speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get the
>> extra license... then you will never see them again--except on phone
>> and modem...
>>
>> John
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:JxMpe.1198$eM6.821@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>> Bet the pilots among them know Morse.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:9YLpe.97$NN.5045381@news.sisna.com...
>>>> Well, all the astronauts are no code techs... guess those
>>>> no-code'ers are in good and technical company...
>>>>
>>>> Warmest regards,
```

>>>> John
>>>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>> news:1118274092.193500.211790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>> From: John Smith on Jun 8, 5:50 pm
>>>>>
>>>>>>You would think the ARRL high priest would not abandon these
>>>>>>guys--times
>>>>>>must be tough...
>>>>>
>>>>>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stori?es/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>>>>>
>>>>>>John
>>>>>>--
>>>>>>Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality,
>>>>>>right
>>>>>>before my eyes--in real time!
>>>>>>Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>>>>>
>>>>> Tsk. It took the League long enough to see the "duhhhh..." :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> They've had adequate numbers all along. They've been seeing
>>>>> only what they want to believe.
>>>>>
>>>>> As of the end of 2004 the League had only 140 thousand members.
>>>>> That's about 20 percent of all U.S. amateur licensees. [from
>>>>> QST advertising webpage]
>>>>>
>>>>> Haynie has said that a "survey" showed fully 1 in 5 hams
>>>>> actually got on the air? Oh, my, a remarkable coincidence
>>>>> in the percentage of League membership. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> [we now pause to have all loyal league believers vent their
>>>>> rage against those who defile 'their' organization...]
>>>>>
>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2598. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 23:12:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118358744.811532.32410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109830

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > > In other words the League has ducked out of the code test war
> > > this time and put in the hands of the FCC.

> > They realize a no-win situation when they see it. Also, the ARRL
> > BoD has a wide range of opinions on the issue, so they came up
> > with a compromise and went on to other issues.

> On one hand they really didn't have any choice, enough was enough. On
> another hand that's the way things work in democracies.

'zactly, there's other issues to deal with.

> > Frankly, I'm simply amazed that FCC didn't just dump Element
> > 1 two years ago when the treaty changed at WRC 2003. All they
> > needed was a one-paragraph Memorandum Report and Order saying
> > that they'd dealt with the issue back in 2000, and pending further
> > changes, everybody who passes any of the written tests
> > for a US ham license gets code test credit too. Or some such verbiage,
> > just like they did when they waived the code sending test.
>
> My guess is that the FCC didn't move on the code test when the ITU
> dropped the requirement because they had a major restructuring in mind
> back then and decided to bundle any changes in the code test into the
> overall restructuring package per the Incentive Licensing
> restructuring.

Maybe, but I doubt it.

They did a big restructuring in the 1998-2000 time frame. Only became
effective April 2000. I don't think they really want a big shakeup of
the rules every couple of years.

> Which is typical regulatory "behavior".

Yes, for some agencies. So you may be right.

> Or they had
> already decided to retain the code test into the future. With 98% of
> the world's population still subject to code tests and given the small
> number of countries which have abandoned the code tests it just might
> be that the decision to retain the code test here was quietly carved in
> stone 4-5 years ago.

Doubtful. The verbiage of the R&O for 98-143 pushed aside every reason
for code tests except one: the treaty. IIRC the phrase said there was
"no regulatory purpose" to code testing except compliance with the
treaty. Which would lead any logical person to think that if the treaty
requirement went away, there'd be no regulatory reason left, and the
FCC would dump Element 1.

But that was 5+ years ago....

> Conversly though the waivers problem is still out
> there . . .

Not really.

Recall that the only reason we got waivers back in 1990 was that
Papa Bush wanted to do the King of Jordan a favor. White House
tells FCC to fix the problem so the nervous guy can chat with
The Suffixless One on 20 ssb. FCC invents medical waivers, cites
treaty for 5 wpm, King and Papa Bush are happy, end of story.

But now the king is long gone. And there aren't any hams who are
heads of state that are asking Shrub for a favor.

Despite what the article sez, waivers weren't any work for the FCC.
The VEs handled the whole deal. Applicant gets a doctor letter,
VEs decide if it's genuine (basically that the signature came from
a real doctor) and do the paperwork.

FCC's only headache about waivers, IMHO, was the complaints from
some hams. FCC fixed their wagon - no more waivers.

> > But FCC didn't do that, even after getting a pile of proposals
> > to do so. In a month or so it will be two years and there isn't
> > even an NPRM out yet. If they go the entire NPRM cycle, it
> > could be a year or more before the rules change - *if* they
> > change.
>
> The NPRM is obviously enroute whether it shows up later this year or
> sometime next year doesn't matter much and I expect it to be a real
> gooder.

Maybe. Obviously no hurry, either. I should revive The Pool.

If past history is any indication, it'll be 2007 before we see new
rules
actually go into effect.

> Gonna be some nuclear explosions in this NG when it finally
> does get published. Heh.

Maybe. All depends what's in it. Suppose - just suppose - FCC
focused entirely on the license classes, subbands and writtens,
and left 5 wpm for HF access, same as now. Imagine the reaction...
>
> > Tune down the low ends of the HF bands, in the evenings when most

> > of the younger folks aren't tied up with work, school, kids, etc.
> > There's a lot more going on than the voice modes on the high ends.
>
> Even in the phone bands the U.S. geezer nets are usually well up the
> bands to accomodate the Generals, there's very little of that sort of
> operating in the Extra/Advanced segments.

That's a fact.

Now if you're in the mood for wild speculation, here's some theories to
toss around:

"It'll Turn Into CB/Freeband!"

One of FCC's longterm headaches is outlaw operation - folks who don't
care what the rules are, they just fire up and get on the air
regardless.
Some are on the cb channels, many have freebanded their way all over
upper
HF.

Started about 40 years ago when 27 MHz cb got out of hand in the
mid-1960s,
really took off in the Smokey-avoiding '70s, and has been in the
background
ever since. Sometimes not so much in the background, either.

Enforcement is a real pain for FCC because they have to establish all
sorts of info besides some DF readings. And when they do nail somebody,
the defense is often simply "I didn't know, I won't do it again".

With licensed violators it's a different game because they ID, you know
a lot about them from various databases, and they've already agreed in
writing to let FCC in for inspection purposes.

So maybe FCC thinks that by reducing license requirements they can get
some of those freeband/cb folks licensed.

"The Revolution That Wasn't"

Over the years the claim has been made again and again that
"technically
knowledgeable" folks were being kept out of ham radio, or kept off HF,
by the license requirements. And how if those requirements changed,
we'd
have a techno-revolution on the ham bands.

A related claim is that we'll get lots more hams if a license is easier
to get.

20+ years ago, such claims might have made some sense, because nobody
knew better. But the lowering of test requirements hasn't brought a
brave new techno-world, nor a lot of new folks.

Remember the guy who used to preach here about "no setasides for legacy modes" and "electronic paintball wars"? He'd tell us of the "elitism" of 1x2 and 2x1 vanity calls, and how "better modes and modulations" were the future.

Comes the restructure, and he goes from Tech Plus to Extra. Gets a 2x1 call, sets up a station (no homebrew, though) and proceeds to chase DX on HF SSB. 70+ countries last I knew, prolly got DXCC in the log by now.

FCC may be tired of all those claims by now, having seen none of them pan out.

"BPL and RFI"

Perhaps FCC sees us hams as a pain the neck. We don't generate revenue, we complain about RFI and line noise and such, and our signals get into all sorts of things through no fault of ours. Put a highpass filter in every TV set? Shielding that would cost a few pennies per unit? Sheesh.

And when a new technology comes along just in time to distract us (as if broadband access will make the economy boom), who raises hue and cry and won't shut up? Hams and their national organization.

So maybe FCC doesn't want lots of new hams on HF. Just that many more complainers!

"What's The Big Deal?"

The code test today is but a shadow of what it used to be. Time was, the only way to pass was to put down a solid minute of correct legible copy. No going back and fixing up afterward. No CSCEs, no second tries the same day, etc. All gone and not coming back.

The VEs are allowed a wide latitude in accomodations.

Farnsworth spacing is standard - because it's usually easier. But if somebody wants "regular" 5 wpm, they can get it from most VEs.

High tone or low? Speaker, 'phones or flashing light? Pen, pencil, typewriter? Just ask. Maybe you'll have to bring some hardware but most VEs I've met will meet you more than half way.

It's even possible to substitute a sending test for the receiving test.

Yet with all this, there are complaints that it's "too hard". (See the "Amateur Radio In the 21st Century" paper about grown people with tears in their eyes 'cause they failed).

FCC may look at all this and just draw the line. Note how, in the 2000 restructuring, they outlawed multiple-choice code tests....

Nobody really knows but a few folks in FCC, and they ain't saying.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2599. Re: Of Asteroids and Dinosaurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 23:20:21 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118359221.862496.215130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109831

Guess it's time to toss this out again....

Maybe the way out of the mess is for a Smith Chart test to replace
the code test.

Understanding the Smith Chart and being able to use one actually
demonstrates several areas of knowledge and skill. Smith Chart tests
could consist of interpreting a solved problem on one chart, (the
"understanding" test) then solving a different problem on a blank
chart (the "solution" test).

At first, most hams and wouldbe hams
would would simply study the Smith Chart and pass the tests.

But then the trouble would start...

Some hams who had not passed the Smith Chart test might say that those
who had passed the test were being "elitist" about their Smith chart
skill and knowledge. The term "chartless Extra" would be considered
an insult by some, a badge of honor by others.

Some would grumble that since they have no desire to design
antenna/transmission line systems, they should not be required
to pass a Smith Chart test. They would point out that other services
do not have Smith chart testing, and so neither should hams.

Defenders of the tests ("Smithys" or "chartists") would claim that the
Smith chart was a "uniquely practical, efficient, and universal" tool
for antenna/transmission line work. They would claim to have been
"smartened up" by the Chart test requirement. Stories would be
recalled about how lives had been saved by hams able to quickly design
matching sections to permit using an antenna on a frequency it was not
designed for, and would predict dire consequences in the event of
widespread disaster.

Those opposed to the test ("Smithless" or "nochartists") would argue
that newer, more accurate, less error prone software systems had left
the Smith chart in the dust. "We don't want to use OLD design
methods" and "The Chart is too slow and error prone" would be their
rallying cries. Other would ask "do you have to show slide-rule
proficiency before using a calculator?"

There would be testimonials by hams who had worked 300 DXCC countries
using QRP and a dipole without any reference to a Smith Chart, and
claims of others who "had rote-memorized the Chart and promptly forgot
it all as soon as the test was over". Some would tell stories of new
Extras who held Chart-burying ceremonies at the base of their antenna

systems (designed without Smith Charts, of course).

Many would claim that young people, used to solving even minor addition problems on computers, had no interest in learning old-fashioned
"buggy whip" graphical methods. Some would say that the emphasis on such a timeworn, old fashioned, crude graphical method of solving problems made ham radio look backward and nonprogressive, and was downright embarrassing. Ph.D's in EE would claim that they had designed entire radio communication systems without use of the Smith Chart, yet were kept out of ham radio because of the test.

The arguments would become more heated and insulting over time. Nochartists would point out that the Chart test was discriminatory. For example, blind people could not fulfill the letter of the law in passing the test. Some would claim to be "chart impaired" and unable to pass
the test due to inability to do geometry. The question of "chart waivers"
would be raised, and much angry invective spewed over "chart fraud" and

"open chart pools". There would be a demand that the use of graphical calculators be allowed in the tests.

Chartists would claim that accomodations such as Braille Smith charts met the intent of the law. Old timers ("quillpenners") would recall a time when all charting was done by hand, in ink, on chart paper costing the
modern equivalent of several dollars a sheet. (They used ink because they
were so confident of doing it right the first time).

The use, or nonuse, of the Chart by military and commercial services would be hotly debated. Some nochartists would claim that the military stopped using the Chart during WW2, while some chartists would claim that the Smith chart plays a crucial role in the modern military.

A popular summer blockbuster movie starring Jodie Foster, Will Smith, Jeff Goldblum and Bill Paxton would have a plot in which alien invaders were detected, then repelled by means of a hastily reactivated surplus
Russian over-the-horizon "woodpecker" radar system. The critical plot element would be the heroine's use of the Smith Chart to match the "woodpecker" transmitter to the Arecibo dish. (How the Russian radar wound up in
Puerto Rico would be left unexplained).

The ARRL, Gordon West, and W5YI would be caught in the middle of the debate. From the first, they and others would have marketed a whole line of Smith chart training aids, including books, videotapes, and software. W1AW would transmit SSTV programs explaining chart use, and MFJ would

market "portable personal chart trainers". Claims of monetary interest in the production of Chart materials would be made and denied. Poorly worded surveys would show a variety of opinions on the issue, but no consensus.

Nochartists would claim that the chartists were just "old f***s" who were supporting the status quo due to "chart chauvinism", and did not understand the realities of the modern age. A few chartists would claim that the nochartists were just "whiners who were too lazy to even

learn how to hold a compass correctly". The need for "high speed chart tests" would be debated hotly, many claiming that no time limit should be
placed on the chart test. "One Chart per week satisfies the law" would be
their claim, while skilled chartists would speak of doing 30, 40, even 50
charts per hour, and being able to "see the solution without even making a mark on the chart".

Eventually the nochartists would organize a group to fight the chart test.

The founders of No Charts International would claim that they had no problem with anyone USING the Chart, just the mandatory test requirement. "It's not the CHART, it's the TEST" would be a common rallying cry.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2600. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 23:27:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zf4qe.1652$NX4.644@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109832

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

> ... I think when men are gone from the earth--you will find that written on
> tombstone of the human race...

No, I think we'll find:

"Bergeron's epitaph for the planet, I remember, which he said should be carved in big letters in a wall of the Grand Canyon for the flying-saucer people to find, was this:

WE COULD HAVE SAVED IT
BUT WE WERE TOO DOGGONE CHEAP

Only he didn't say 'doggone.'"

-- Kurt Vonnegut in "Hocus Pocus"

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Come sit by the fire and warm your bones. Let's enjoy a warm bracing drink and a few tales.

"The wind was picking up, clouds were rolling in, my hands were numb, nose was running, I had to pee, and I was thinking of heading for the dock when..."

2601. Re: Of Asteroids and Dinosaurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 23:34:04 UTC
From: KHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ml4qe.1658$NX4.320@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109833

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> Maybe the way out of the mess is for a Smith Chart test to replace
> the code test.
>

That's a particularly nonsinsical idea, Jim. I'm sure you can do better.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Come sit by the fire and warm your bones. Let's enjoy a warm bracing drink and a few tales.

"The wind was picking up, clouds were rolling in, my hands were numb, nose was running, I had to pee, and I was thinking of heading for the dock when..."

2602. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-09 23:42:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Wt4qe.252$sr6.10977305@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109834

The freebanders I know and communicate with simply freeband to escape
the code, most are young college kids who start experimenting with
wireless lans/wans, start building equip and then just keep branching
out from there--most are more knowledgeable than hams--especially in the
GHz range... they look at the code requirement and say, "why...", then
go back to their local nets of 24 Ghz...

Warmest regards,
John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118358744.811532.32410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>> > > In other words the League has ducked out of the code test war
>> > > this time and put in the hands of the FCC.
>
>> > They realize a no-win situation when they see it. Also, the ARRL
>> > BoD has a wide range of opinions on the issue, so they came up
>> > with a compromise and went on to other issues.
>
>> On one hand they really didn't have any choice, enough was enough. On
>> another hand that's the way things work in democracies.
>
> 'zactly, there's other issues to deal with.
>
>> > Frankly, I'm simply amazed that FCC didn't just dump Element
>> > 1 two years ago when the treaty changed at WRC 2003. All they
>> > needed was a one-paragraph Memorandum Report and Order saying
>> > that they'd dealt with the issue back in 2000, and pending further
>> > changes, everybody who passes any of the written tests
>> > for a US ham license gets code test credit too. Or some such
>> > verbiage,
>> > just like they did when they waived the code sending test.
>>
>> My guess is that the FCC didn't move on the code test when the ITU
>> dropped the requirement because they had a major restructuring in
>> mind
>> back then and decided to bundle any changes in the code test into the
>> overall restructuring package per the Incentive Licensing
>> restructuring.
>
> Maybe, but I doubt it.

>
> They did a big restructuring in the 1998-2000 time frame. Only became
> effective April 2000. I don't think they really want a big shakeup of
> the rules every couple of years.
>
>> Which is typical regulatory "behavior".
>
> Yes, for some agencies. So you may be right.
>
>> Or they had
>> already decided to retain the code test into the future. With 98% of
>> the world's population still subject to code tests and given the
>> small
>> number of countries which have abandoned the code tests it just might
>> be that the decision to retain the code test here was quietly carved
>> in
>> stone 4-5 years ago.
>
> Doubtful. The verbiage of the R&O for 98-143 pushed aside every reason
> for code tests except one: the treaty. IIRC the phrase said there was
> "no regulatory purpose" to code testing except compliance with the
> treaty. Which would lead any logical person to think that if the
> treaty
> requirement went away, there'd be no regulatory reason left, and the
> FCC would dump Element 1.
>
> But that was 5+ years ago....
>
>> Conversly though the waivers problem is still out
>> there . . .
>
> Not really.
>
> Recall that the only reason we got waivers back in 1990 was that
> Papa Bush wanted to do the King of Jordan a favor. White House
> tells FCC to fix the problem so the nervous guy can chat with
> The Suffixless One on 20 ssb. FCC invents medical waivers, cites
> treaty for 5 wpm, King and Papa Bush are happy, end of story.
>
> But now the king is long gone. And there aren't any hams who are
> heads of state that are asking Shrub for a favor.
>
> Despite what the article sez, waivers weren't any work for the FCC.
> The VEs handled the whole deal. Applicant gets a doctor letter,
> VEs decide if it's genuine (basically that the signature came from
> a real doctor) and do the paperwork.
>
> FCC's only headache about waivers, IMHO, was the complaints from
> some hams. FCC fixed their wagon - no more waivers.
>

>> > But FCC didn't do that, even after getting a pile of proposals
>> > to do so. In a month or so it will be two years and there isn't
>> > even an NPRM out yet. If they go the entire NPRM cycle, it
>> > could be a year or more before the rules change - *if* they
>> > change.
>>
>> The NPRM is obviously enroute whether it shows up later this year or
>> sometime next year doesn't matter much and I expect it to be a real
>> gooder.
>
> Maybe. Obviously no hurry, either. I should revive The Pool.
>
> If past history is any indication, it'll be 2007 before we see new
> rules
> actually go into effect.
>
>> Gonna be some nuclear explosions in this NG when it finally
>> does get published. Heh.
>
> Maybe. All depends what's in it. Suppose - just suppose - FCC
> focused entirely on the license classes, subbands and writtens,
> and left 5 wpm for HF access, same as now. Imagine the reaction...
>>
>> > Tune down the low ends of the HF bands, in the evenings when most
>> > of the younger folks aren't tied up with work, school, kids, etc.
>> > There's a lot more going on than the voice modes on the high ends.
>>
>> Even in the phone bands the U.S. geezer nets are usually well up the
>> bands to accomodate the Generals, there's very little of that sort of
>> operating in the Extra/Advanced segments.
>
> That's a fact.
>
> Now if you're in the mood for wild speculation, here's some theories
> to
> toss around:
>
> "It'll Turn Into CB/Freeband!"
>
> One of FCC's longterm headaches is outlaw operation - folks who don't
> care what the rules are, they just fire up and get on the air
> regardless.
> Some are on the cb channels, many have freebanded their way all over
> upper
> HF.
>
> Started about 40 years ago when 27 MHz cb got out of hand in the
> mid-1960s,
> really took off in the Smokey-avoiding '70s, and has been in the
> background

> ever since. Sometimes not so much in the background, either.
>
> Enforcement is a real pain for FCC because they have to establish all
> sorts of info besides some DF readings. And when they do nail
> somebody,
> the defense is often simply "I didn't know, I won't do it again".
>
> With licensed violators it's a different game because they ID, you
> know
> a lot about them from various databases, and they've already agreed in
> writing to let FCC in for inspection purposes.
>
> So maybe FCC thinks that by reducing license requirements they can get
> some of those freeband/cb folks licensed.
>
>
> "The Revolution That Wasn't"
>
> Over the years the claim has been made again and again that
> "technically
> knowledgeable" folks were being kept out of ham radio, or kept off HF,
> by the license requirements. And how if those requirements changed,
> we'd
> have a techno-revolution on the ham bands.
>
> A related claim is that we'll get lots more hams if a license is
> easier
> to get.
>
> 20+ years ago, such claims might have made some sense, because nobody
> knew better. But the lowering of test requirements hasn't brought a
> brave new techno-world, nor a lot of new folks.
>
> Remember the guy who used to preach here about "no setasides for
> legacy modes" and "electronic paintball wars"? He'd tell us of the
> "elitism" of 1x2 and 2x1 vanity calls, and how "better modes and
> modulations" were the future.
>
> Comes the restructure, and he goes from Tech Plus to Extra. Gets a
> 2x1 call, sets up a station (no homebrew, though) and proceeds to
> chase DX on HF SSB. 70+ countries last I knew, prolly got DXCC in
> the log by now.
>
> FCC may be tired of all those claims by now, having seen none of them
> pan out.
>
>
> "BPL and RFI"
>
> Perhaps FCC sees us hams as a pain the neck. We don't generate

> revenue,
> we complain about RFI and line noise and such, and our signals get
> into
> all sorts of things through no fault of ours. Put a highpass filter in
> every TV set? Shielding that would cost a few pennies per unit?
> Sheesh.
>
> And when a new technology comes along just in time to distract us (as
> if broadband access will make the economy boom), who raises hue and
> cry and won't shut up? Hams and their national organization.
>
> So maybe FCC doesn't want lots of new hams on HF. Just that many more
> complainers!
>
>
> "What's The Big Deal?"
>
> The code test today is but a shadow of what it used to be. Time was,
> the only way to pass was to put down a solid minute of correct legible
> copy. No going back and fixing up afterward. No CSCEs, no second tries
> the same day, etc. All gone and not coming back.
>
> The VEs are allowed a wide latitude in accomodations.
>
> Farnsworth spacing is standard - because it's usually easier. But if
> somebody wants "regular" 5 wpm, they can get it from most VEs.
>
> High tone or low? Speaker, 'phones or flashing light? Pen, pencil,
> typewriter? Just ask. Maybe you'll have to bring some hardware but
> most VEs I've met will meet you more than half way.
>
> It's even possible to substitute a sending test for the receiving
> test.
>
> Yet with all this, there are complaints that it's "too hard". (See the
> "Amateur Radio In the 21st Century" paper about grown people with
> tears in their eyes 'cause they failed).
>
> FCC may look at all this and just draw the line. Note how, in the 2000
> restructuring, they outlawed multiple-choice code tests....
>
>
> ---
>
> Nobody really knows but a few folks in FCC, and they ain't saying.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2603. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 00:21:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <edSdncsbx9kIQTXfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 109835

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:3eOpe.122$814.6419513@news.sisna.com...
>I don't think there is a ham alive which can beat my keyboard, hooked to a
>"electronic key" and sending morse, nor my sound card doubling as a "code
>reader" and producing text on screen from cw...
> You mean to tell me people actually use "real keys" still--gawd, I thought
> all those sk <tongue-in-cheek>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

When conditions are poor, even some one as poor at morse code as I am can
beat a "code reader" sound card/computer.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2604. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 00:33:53 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <RfOdnYEap_IyQjXfRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 109836

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118332801.218139.111380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

[snip]
> . . . .
> My guess is that the FCC didn't move on the code test when the ITU
> dropped the requirement because they had a major restructuring in mind
> back then and decided to bundle any changes in the code test into the
> overall restructuring package per the Incentive Licensing
> restructuring. Which is typical regulatory "behavior". Or they had
> already decided to retain the code test into the future. With 98% of
> the world's population still subject to code tests and given the small
> number of countries which have abandoned the code tests it just might
> be that the decision to retain the code test here was quietly carved in
> stone 4-5 years ago. Conversly though the waivers problem is still out
> there . . .
>

No the waivers problem is over as waivers are no longer allowed since the
only code test is 5wpm.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2605. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 00:48:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NtqdnfWVfJWtfjXfRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 109837

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118358744.811532.32410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>

[snip]

> FCC may look at all this and just draw the line. Note how, in the 2000
> restructuring, they outlawed multiple-choice code tests....
>

Wasn't it the council of VECs who made that decision? I believe that Part
97 does not specify how the 5wpm test is to be administered.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2606. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 01:01:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ED5qe.258$KW7.11573405@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109838

... actually, the only thing I have found that I can't allow for is someone's sloppy key (you must decide what range of microseconds is a dit, and what is the dah-- I have been kicking around the idea of a piece of code to "sample" the senders "style" and automatically adjust--but that is for tomorrow--and would be great if the code could automatically duplicate his "sloppy style" and feed it back to him <grin>) but then--sloppy key is no easier to copy with ear then by reader...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:edSdncsbx9klQTXfRVn-ow@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3eOpe.122$814.6419513@news.sisna.com...
>>I don't think there is a ham alive which can beat my keyboard, hooked
>>to a "electronic key" and sending morse, nor my sound card doubling as
>>a "code reader" and producing text on screen from cw...
>> You mean to tell me people actually use "real keys" still--gawd, I
>> thought all those sk <tongue-in-cheek>
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>
> When conditions are poor, even some one as poor at morse code as I am
> can beat a "code reader" sound card/computer.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

2607. Another Shameful Archive For Steven J. Robeson K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 01:10:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118365817.373066.263000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109839

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > I didn't say "completely".
> > > > >
> > > > > I said "almost".
> > > >
> > > > You said "completely" for the longest time until my undeniable
> > > > assertions caused you to back down.
> > >
> > > An absolute lie, Brian.
> > >
> > > Why does Brian P Burke insist on lying in a public forum?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > An absolute truth, Steve. All archived in Google.
>
> Nope.
>
> The ONE thing you corrected me on (and I acknowledged, which is a
> trait you are wont to do on errors...) was about the licensure of the
> director of AFMARS.

Steve, that ONE thing made you wrong, and you finally admitted
wrongness only about that ONE thing. Meanwhile your whole preposterous
assertion remains wrong, and you wrongly defend it to this day.

2608. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 01:16:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118366173.727919.233070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109841

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Motorola was NOT a company in 1940. THAT is a fact. References
> > > provided.
> > >
> > > Lennie was WRONG.
> >
> > Hi!
>
> The possibility exists that he was hi when he made the error, yes.
> One dose too many of Geritol, no doubt.
>
> > > Let's see what LENNIE does, other than provide yet another verbose
> > > spindance to hide behind his error...
> > >
> > > OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOPS! TOO LATE! He already did that!
> > >
> > > It was no "slam thread".
> >
> > They're all slam threads.
>
> No there not.
>
> Not one bit of deception or error, Brian...He was wrong.
>
> > > Lennie got his ego in front of his common sense again...Wasn't
> > > paying attention. But he CLAIMS to be a "professional".
> > >
> > > Obviously THIS professional got his "facts" wrong. I just showed
> > > him his error.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Poor Steve. Hanging by a thread again.
>
> The "thread" is around Lennie's throat.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You're a joke of a man.

2609. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 01:16:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <s-OdncB_g58DdDXfRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 109840

```
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ED5qe.258$KW7.11573405@news.sisna.com...
> ... actually, the only thing I have found that I can't allow for is
> someone's sloppy key (you must decide what range of microseconds is a dit,
> and what is the dah-- I have been kicking around the idea of a piece of
> code to "sample" the senders "style" and automatically adjust--but that is
> for tomorrow--and would be great if the code could automatically duplicate
> his "sloppy style" and feed it back to him <grin>) but then--sloppy key
> is no easier to copy with ear then by reader...
>
> John
>

Depends on how you define easier. The ear can copy code so sloppy that no
computer/soundcard/software would ever decipher it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:edSdncsbx9klQTXfRVn-ow@comcast.com...
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:3eOpe.122$814.6419513@news.sisna.com...
>>>I don't think there is a ham alive which can beat my keyboard, hooked to
>>>a "electronic key" and sending morse, nor my sound card doubling as a
>>>"code reader" and producing text on screen from cw...
>>> You mean to tell me people actually use "real keys" still--gawd, I
>>> thought all those sk <tongue-in-cheek>
>>>
>>> Warmest regards,
>>> John
>>
>> When conditions are poor, even some one as poor at morse code as I am can
>> beat a "code reader" sound card/computer.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
>
```

2610. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 01:20:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HV5qe.1681$hK3.1360@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109842

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> Wasn't it the council of VECs who made that decision? I believe that Part 97
> does not specify how the 5wpm test is to be administered.
>

I think you're correct Dee. The FCC rules are silent on the form of actual examination:

&#65533;97.503 Element standards.

(a) A telegraphy examination must be sufficient to prove that the examinee has the ability to send correctly by hand and to receive correctly by ear texts in the international Morse code at not less than the prescribed speed, using all the letters of the alphabet, numerals 0-9, period, comma, question mark, slant mark and prosigns AR, BT and SK.
Element 1: 5 words per minute.

Interestingly, the NCVEC has chosen to ignore the "ability to send correctly by hand" clause of the rules.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Come sit by the fire and warm your bones. Let's enjoy a warm bracing drink and a few tales. "The wind was picking up, clouds were rolling in, my hands were numb, nose was running, I had to pee, and I was thinking of heading for the dock when..."

2611. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 01:21:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118366465.265092.285480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109843

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > John, you forgot one:
> >
> > 9) Leonard is always wrong :-)
>
> Try to be specific and accurate: Leonard H. Anderson is usually wrong. :-)
>
> Dave K8MN

To be specific and accurate: David Heil works out of band Frenchmen on
6 meters. :-)

2612. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 01:27:30 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118366850.298094.42360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109844

```
K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I think the length of your reply would please Len.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I seriously doubt it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I could quote "War and Peace" verbatim and still come up short on
> > > > > > > most LennieRants.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You protest too much.
> > > > >
> > > > > Protesting is legal, demostrates a strength of character and
> > > > > dedication to one's values.
> > > >
> > > > Say hello to Jane Fonda.
> > >
> > > Jane Fonda is a traitorous slut.
> >
> > And that's my warm/fuzzy opinion about her. It get's more
> > colorful from there.

She exhibits all of the traits that you admire.

> > Len would be pleased.
> >
> > Of...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

You standing with Jane.
```

2613. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 01:33:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <t56qe.266$96.11591741@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109845

I am betting just the opposite, and I bet the code reader can copy at a
speed long after the human reader is all done...

one advantage, in microseconds the code reader can guess at the
likelihood of what character the dot/dash sequence is in logical
relation to forward and reverse characters (whenever there is a slight
doubt)... the human reader would just be sitting there losing
characters...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:s-OdncB_g58DdDXfRVn-sg@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ED5qe.258$KW7.11573405@news.sisna.com...
>> ... actually, the only thing I have found that I can't allow for is
>> someone's sloppy key (you must decide what range of microseconds is a
>> dit, and what is the dah-- I have been kicking around the idea of a
>> piece of code to "sample" the senders "style" and automatically
>> adjust--but that is for tomorrow--and would be great if the code
>> could automatically duplicate his "sloppy style" and feed it back to
>> him <grin>) but then--sloppy key is no easier to copy with ear then
>> by reader...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Depends on how you define easier. The ear can copy code so sloppy
> that no computer/soundcard/software would ever decipher it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:edSdncsbx9klQTXfRVn-ow@comcast.com...
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:3eOpe.122$814.6419513@news.sisna.com...
>>>>I don't think there is a ham alive which can beat my keyboard,
>>>>hooked to a "electronic key" and sending morse, nor my sound card
>>>>doubling as a "code reader" and producing text on screen from cw...
>>>> You mean to tell me people actually use "real keys" still--gawd, I
>>>> thought all those sk <tongue-in-cheek>

>>>>
>>>> Warmest regards,
>>>> John
>>>
>>> When conditions are poor, even some one as poor at morse code as I
>>> am can beat a "code reader" sound card/computer.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2614. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 01:43:31 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118367811.697877.19280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109846

John Smith wrote:
> K4YZ:

> I hang my master and Phd

As if.

> on the wall because those really did take hard
> work and are truly a demonstration of proving myself a scholar... my ham
> license hangs at the station because it is required by law!!!

Bwaaaahaha! ROTFLMAO! . . there is NO requirement for displaying any
ham license anywhere.

What a flaming no-clue magnitude one bullshit artist.

Add this tome to your library "scholar".

http://www.opengroup.com/hubooks/089/0898048044.shtml

2615. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 02:04:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118369094.721976.272540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109847

KØHB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> >
> > Wasn't it the council of VECs who made that decision? I believe that Part 97
> > does not specify how the 5wpm test is to be administered.
> >
>
> I think you're correct Dee. The FCC rules are silent on the form of actual
> examination:
>
> §97.503 Element standards.
>
> (a) A telegraphy examination must be sufficient to prove that the examinee has
> the ability to send correctly by hand and to receive correctly by ear texts in
> the international Morse code at not less than the prescribed speed, using all
> the letters of the alphabet, numerals 0-9, period, comma, question mark, slant
> mark and prosigns AR, BT and SK.
> Element 1: 5 words per minute.
>
> Interestingly, the NCVEC has chosen to ignore the "ability to send correctly by
> hand" clause of the rules.

Back before VE days the FCC examiners often skipped past the sending
test too. It's been a long time and the details are fuzzy in my mind at
this late point but when I went for my Extra the examiner called off
the receiving test about a minute and a half into it and ignored the
sending test. Maybe that was when I went for my General . . ? One or
the other.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Come sit by the fire and warm your bones. Let's enjoy a warm bracing drink and a
> few tales. "The wind was picking up, clouds were rolling in, my hands were numb,
> nose was running, I had to pee, and I was thinking of heading for the dock
> when..."

. . Mom hollered out the window "Don't you even THINK about peeing on
that bush and get back in here!"

w3rv

2616. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 02:46:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <wa7qe.276$P71.11740319@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109850

... well, when one old guy turned me in here, because he thought I was using 10 meter equip to chat on 11 meters at illegal power levels (because I had told him off--refused to join his "club" and he had gotten his buddies to complain with him)... the FCC rep who came to inspect my station (the rep called first to see if I would be around--I thought that nice of him) asked me for a copy of my license and told me I should have it displayed... perhaps he was lying? And, of course, I will go by "your rules."

John

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118367811.697877.19280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> K4YZ:
>
>> I hang my master and Phd
>
> As if.
>
>> on the wall because those really did take hard
>> work and are truly a demonstration of proving myself a scholar... my
>> ham
>> license hangs at the station because it is required by law!!!
>
> Bwaaaahaha! ROTFLMAO! . . there is NO requirement for displaying any
> ham license anywhere.
>
> What a flaming no-clue magnitude one bullshit artist.
>
> Add this tome to your library "scholar".
>
> http://www.opengroup.com/hubooks/089/0898048044.shtml
>

2617. Re: Of Asteroids and Dinosaurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 02:51:42 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11ai0274q28p40a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 109848

You do understand that when you quote a lot of text only to add a couple of lines of comment that a lot of us won't read your comments, don't you?

Jim

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message news:1118356913.728078.303560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> When I first

2618. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 03:02:12 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118372531.977009.99370@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109849

Dee Flint wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1118332801.218139.111380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
. . . .

> > number of countries which have abandoned the code tests it just might
> > be that the decision to retain the code test here was quietly carved in
> > stone 4-5 years ago. Conversly though the waivers problem is still out
> > there . . .
> >
>
> No the waivers problem is over as waivers are no longer allowed since the
> only code test is 5wpm.

Ooops. . I've obviously gotten out of touch with this one and it looks
like I need to get back in touch. My recollection is that when the FCC
didn't competely eliminate code tests for the disabled they claimed
they couldn't do that because the treaty demanded a code test
disabilities or not.

Now that the treaty makes code tests optional the FCC can't use that
"excuse" any longer so it seems to me that we're back to the
possibility of somebody looking for a medical waiver vs. any code test
at all . . ?? . . ?

w3rv

2619. Re: Of Asteroids and Dinosaurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 03:41:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118374879.967947.140110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109851

RST Engineering wrote:
> You do understand that when you quote a lot of text only to add a couple of
> lines of comment that a lot of us won't read your comments, don't you?
>
> Jim

Hi flyguy, it's been awhile.

I'm using Google to get in here and Google usually compacts volumious
original posts in replys unless I break 'em up so all I see in my reply
to Hans is his original post bundled down to -show quaoted text- and
nothing more. So my reply comes up onscreen here simply as:

- - - -

- Show quoted text - (Hans' entire post)

Good show Hans, great piece!

(. . even though I disagree with your conclusion. Strenuously.)

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

- - - -

Which is eminently readable. *Here*. I do get your point and I'll do
more zapping, tnx for the critique.

w3rv

>
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1118356913.728078.303560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> KØHB wrote:
> > When I first

2620. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 03:56:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118375784.762242.209140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109852

John Smith wrote:
> ... well, when one old guy turned me in here, because he thought I was
> using 10 meter equip to chat on 11 meters at illegal power levels
> (because I had told him off--refused to join his "club" and he had
> gotten his buddies to complain with him)... the FCC rep who came to
> inspect my station (the rep called first to see if I would be around--I
> thought that nice of him) asked me for a copy of my license and told me
> I should have it displayed... perhaps he was lying? And, of course, I
> will go by "your rules."

You're the whackiest pup who has shown up around here for YEARS! I
don't make any "rules", the FCC makes the rules. It's called "Part 97"
for ham radio.

. . never mind, you're beyond hopeless . . .

>
> John
>

w3rv

2621. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 04:44:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <xU8qe.290$n23.12232799@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109862

That is a real trait of the group on the bands isn't it--personal
attacks, planting doubt, suspicion, rumor, innuendo...
And then, I suppose you expect those watching such a performance to
"respect" you--you are probably quite angry when they don't--what is
next, threats?

John

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118375784.762242.209140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> ... well, when one old guy turned me in here, because he thought I
>> was
>> using 10 meter equip to chat on 11 meters at illegal power levels
>> (because I had told him off--refused to join his "club" and he had
>> gotten his buddies to complain with him)... the FCC rep who came to
>> inspect my station (the rep called first to see if I would be
>> around--I
>> thought that nice of him) asked me for a copy of my license and told
>> me
>> I should have it displayed... perhaps he was lying? And, of course,
>> I
>> will go by "your rules."
>
> You're the whackiest pup who has shown up around here for YEARS! I
> don't make any "rules", the FCC makes the rules. It's called "Part 97"
> for ham radio.
>
> . . never mind, you're beyond hopeless . . .
>
>>
>> John
>>
>
> w3rv
>

2622. Re: Another Shameful Archive For Brian P Burke's Generations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 04:56:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118379379.321123.21780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109854

```
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > I didn't say "completely".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I said "almost".
> > > > >
> > > > > You said "completely" for the longest time until my undeniable
> > > > > assertions caused you to back down.
> > > > >
> > > > An absolute lie, Brian.
> > > >
> > > > Why does Brian P Burke insist on lying in a public forum?
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > An absolute truth, Steve. All archived in Google.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > The ONE thing you corrected me on (and I acknowledged, which is a
> > trait you are wont to do on errors...) was about the licensure of the
> > director of AFMARS.
>
> Steve, that ONE thing made you wrong, and you finally admitted
> wrongness only about that ONE thing.

Because that WAS the only thing wrong.

> Meanwhile your whole preposterous
> assertion remains wrong, and you wrongly defend it to this day.

What's wrong? Other than your lying, of course..?!?!

Steve, K4YZ
```

2623. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 05:02:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118379720.146627.293360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109856

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I think the length of your reply would please Len.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I seriously doubt it.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I could quote "War and Peace" verbatim and still come up short on
> > > > > > > > most LennieRants.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You protest too much.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Protesting is legal, demostrates a strength of character and
> > > > > > dedication to one's values.
> > > > >
> > > > > Say hello to Jane Fonda.
> > >
> > > Jane Fonda is a traitorous slut.
> > >
> > > And that's my warm/fuzzy opinion about her. It get's more
> > > colorful from there.
> >
> > She exhibits all of the traits that you admire.

Oh no, Brain...Defiling Veterans is Lennie's schtick. Your mentor.
The slimey weasel that parodies the Tomb of the Unknowns and whom YOU
said you "admire".

Mine is causing painful but non-lethal trauma to those who
associate me with a known traitor like Jane Fonda.

Know anyone like that? I have one in mind.

Steve, K4YZ

2624. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 05:02:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118379724.540083.57880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109855

From: "bb" on Thurs 9 Jun 2005 15:26

>K4YZ wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>
>> > You just dismissed the fact that Haynie is seeing a real problem here,
>> > he is beginning to tell hams to stop acting like such immature jerks and
>> > get with the program--
>
>Has he been reading old copies of Wayne Green's editorials in "73
>Magazine?"

I think Jim Haynie has been peeking into THIS newsgroup of late.
He was in here once a few years ago. We corresponded briefly
via private e-mail.

>> > you take these words are turn them into him giving
>> > you an "Atta Boy!"
>>
>> I am wondering who he's allegedly talking to, then.
>
>Allegedly?
>
>He's talking to you. He's talking to all of us.

Stebie is getting so that he doesn't know who to believe
anymore...therefore the "alleged" word. :-)

He would describe Benedict the Sixteenth as "allegedly"
the Pope! :-)

>Didn't you follow the link to the ARRL site?
>
>Go back up the the top, and click on the blue thingy.

Let Stebie figure it out for himself. Good mental
therapy for the congenitally confused. :-)

>> Those problems don't seem to be manifest here.
>
>Hi!

Stebie blind as well as nuts.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2625. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 05:04:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118379871.816478.308100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109857

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Motorola was NOT a company in 1940. THAT is a fact. References
> > > > provided.
> > > >
> > > > Lennie was WRONG.
> > >
> > > Hi!
> > >
> > The possibility exists that he was hi when he made the error, yes.
> > One dose too many of Geritol, no doubt.
> >
> > > > Let's see what LENNIE does, other than provide yet another verbose
> > > > spindance to hide behind his error...
> > > >
> > > > OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOPS! TOO LATE! He already did that!
> > > >
> > > > It was no "slam thread".
> > >
> > > They're all slam threads.
> > >
> > No there not.
> >
> > Not one bit of deception or error, Brian...He was wrong.
> >
> > > > Lennie got his ego in front of his common sense again...Wasn't
> > > > paying attention. But he CLAIMS to be a "professional".
> > > >
> > > > Obviously THIS professional got his "facts" wrong. I just showed
> > > > him his error.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Poor Steve. Hanging by a thread again.
> >
> > The "thread" is around Lennie's throat.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You're a joke of a man.

You shouldn't sit in front of mirrors while on teh computer, Brain.

You're the one with the truthfulness and character issues...Not me.

What are you going to say to your kids when they confront you with this stuff one day?

You can make excuses, but they'll be able to read for themselves.

Steve, K4YZ

2626. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 05:05:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118379922.614225.183860@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109858

From: bb on Jun 9, 6:07 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > bb wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:

>> Shall I demonstrate, or are you willing to accept that what would
>> transpire would cause you pain and suffering (along with some moderate
>> bleeding, easily controlled with ice and direct pressure?)
>
>Steve, why would it cause me any pain and suffering? Do you wish to
>harm me?

Stebie gonna wait after school's out, then beat you up!

Stebie FANTASY.

Gotta love these mighty macho morsemen wanting to commit
bodily harm on all who disagree with them. Infantile
idiots all of them.

>I was asking you what a hostile action meant to you. Prior to
>yesterday, it meant getting sand in your eye.

Stebie suffers from the bleeding disease. Everytime someone
disagrees with Stebie, Stebie gets blood in his eye.

Stebie very ANGRY. Stebie very HATEFUL. Stebie nuts.

Stebie nutcase not good for U.S. amateur radio role model.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2627. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 05:09:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118380152.349993.206000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109859

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The "internal fantasy" here, is yours. That being that you know
> > > > > > anything truly meaningful about Amateur Radio or any of it's associated
> > > > > > programs.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, there sure is lots of protesting and denial in your post, and
> > > > > lots of claims of "not headline news" hostile actions. Len would be
> > > > > pleased. Very pleased.
> > > >
> > > > What protesting?
> > > >
> > > > Can you give us some examples of what you consider hostile actions?
> > > >
> > > > Engaged in direct fire with a hostile opponent. Exchanging
> > > > gunfire.
> > > >
> > > > REAL gunfire...no BFA's.
> > > >
> > > > Probably not. OK, I'll give some.
> > > >
> > > > Cute. None of them correct.
> > > >
> > > > Is that a hostile action?
> > > >
> > > > I am sure that you wouldn't know "hostile action" if it greeted you
> > > > in the parking lot and poked you in the nose, Brian...
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > I'm sure you wouldn't know either.
> >
> > Then you'd be wrong. (As if THAT were new...)
> >
> > > Got any proof?
> >
> > Shall I demonstrate, or are you willing to accept that what would

> > transpire would cause you pain and suffering (along with some moderate
> > bleeding, easily controlled with ice and direct pressure?)
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, why would it cause me any pain and suffering? Do you wish to
> harm me?

Shall I sign you up for a remedial reading course, Brain?

> I was asking you what a hostile action meant to you. Prior to
> yesterday, it meant getting sand in your eye.

No...to YOU it meant getting sand in your eye. Being a
non-combatant your whole career, I am sure that some sand in your eyes
or chapped hands from too much White-Out fluid was "trauma".

Steve, K4YZ

2628. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 05:12:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118380378.472795.12540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109860

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: bb on Jun 9, 6:07 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > bb wrote:
> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>
>
> >> Shall I demonstrate, or are you willing to accept that what would
> >> transpire would cause you pain and suffering (along with some moderate
> >> bleeding, easily controlled with ice and direct pressure?)
> >
> >Steve, why would it cause me any pain and suffering? Do you wish to
> >harm me?
>
> Stebie gonna wait after school's out, then beat you up!

Nope.

> Stebie FANTASY.

>>MY<< fantasy does not involve any participants from THIS forum.

> Gotta love these mighty macho morsemen wanting to commit
> bodily harm on all who disagree with them. Infantile
> idiots all of them.

So it's OK for an anti-Amateur Radio, anti-Veteran like yourself
to slap us around, then NOT ok to reciprocate?

> >I was asking you what a hostile action meant to you. Prior to
> >yesterday, it meant getting sand in your eye.
>
> Stebie suffers from the bleeding disease. Everytime someone
> disagrees with Stebie, Stebie gets blood in his eye.

Nope.

> Stebie very ANGRY. Stebie very HATEFUL. Stebie nuts.

A lie. A lie. Three lies in a row. What a record, Lennie.

> Stebie nutcase not good for U.S. amateur radio role model.
>
> LenIamTheNutcaseIaccuseOthersOfBeingAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2629. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 05:25:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118381105.220877.300050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109861

From: "bb" on Thurs 9 Jun 2005 18:16

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > > Obviously THIS professional got his "facts" wrong. I just showed
>> > > him his error.
>> > >
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> > Poor Steve. Hanging by a thread again.
>>
>> The "thread" is around Lennie's throat.
>
>You're a joke of a man.

We shouldn't joke about the mentally ill such as Stebie.

Nursie wants I should get a stroke. Unlikely.

I could get a terminal case of hiccups after laughing so hard
at Stebie's posturing and THREATS! :-)

Poor sick puppy, he should be put away...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2630. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 05:30:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118381404.340984.134100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109863

From: kelly@dvol.com on Thurs 9 Jun 2005 19:04

>K□B wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>
>. . Mom hollered out the window "Don't you even THINK about peeing on
>that bush and get back in here!"
>
>w3rv

And you heard that last when? At age 36?

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2631. Watch Lennie Spin...Watch Lennie Snivvel...Watch Lennie Hide Behind Non-Germane Drivvel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 05:41:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118382088.605166.166880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109864

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Thurs 9 Jun 2005 03:36
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> >> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> > > news:1118264123.041417.191580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> >> > Let's see what Steve does.
> >>
> >> Apologize for what, Brain...?!?!
> >>
> >> Motorola was NOT a company in 1940. THAT is a fact. References
> >> provided.
> >>
> >> Lennie was WRONG.
> >
> >Hi!
>
> Well, the self-styled mighty warrior, self-proclaimed "expert" on
> corporate structures, is (as usual) strutting double-time in
> this barnyard crowing at his cackling best about "rightness."

You were wrong, Lennie. One of many, many errors you've
promulgated.

And again another lie. Please present the post wherein I claimed
"expert" on corporate structures.

> MOTOROLA Corporation existed as such BEFORE the Last Action
> Hero was even conceived.

Yes...it was. In 1947. Not 1940, as you suggested. You were
wrong.

> The MOTOROLA logo was synonymous with "Galvin" before WW2.

Uh huh.

And that's ALL it was...a logo...A model name for automobile
radios and first generation mobile 2-way radios for business and
government.

But NOT a company.

> Anyone IN the electronics-radio industry KNOWS of MOTOROLA,
> both as a corporation and as a brand name, a logo.

Uh huh.

And anyone who was paying attention (like I was...) knows that
"Motorola", as the name of a corporation, didn't exist until 1947.

> >> Let's see what LENNIE does, other than provide yet another verbose
> >> spindance to hide behind his error...
> >>
> >> OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOPS! TOO LATE! He already did that!
> >>
> >> It was no "slam thread".
> >
> >They're all slam threads.
>
> Mighty WARRIOR Stebie thinks EVERYONE is out to "get him" and
> must retaliate with slam threads. His personalities are
> paranoid.

No plural of personalities here, Lennie.

YOU seem to have some issues though...One of your personalities
demands strict adherence to your rhetoric and expects instantaneous
begging for forgiveness when you "call them" on an error.

Your OTHER personality insists that he is not responsible for his
errors, that having found one was allegedly an act of hatred or anger,
and is somehow flawed.

The fact remains, however, that "Galvin" was the company you were
refering to, regardless of what their name later became.

Details, Lennie...

> >> Lennie got his ego in front of his common sense again...Wasn't
> >> paying attention. But he CLAIMS to be a "professional".
>
> Poor Stebie, the Last Action Hero...claims to know all about
> "professional" employment in radio-electronics. This self-
> proclaimed knows-all-about-engineering has all the "experience"
> of less than a half year as a purchasing agent. Tsk, tsk.

Still waiting for you to ante up the post wherein you alledge that
I made those claims, Lennie.

(DOS Hint: Didn't happen. Leonard H. Anderson is again lying to
hide his own shortcomings)

> Stebie knows the "facts" about Motorola...because he went to
> www.motorola.com and cut-and-pasted some corporate history
> there. He waves that in "triumph" as if that is "vinidcation"

> of his imaginary dispute about "greatness."

It is a fact. And I guess you didn't pay any attentionas to where
THAT came from (wasn't motorola.com)

> Tsk. I don't "claim" to be a professional.

Sure you do. Why are you again lying?

> I've just worked
> in radio-electronics since 1952, getting paid real money for
> such work. The IRS knows that, the Franchise Tax Board of
> California knows that, the DoD knows that, the FBI knows that,
> the IEEE knows that, the ACM knows that, the County of Los
> Angeles knows that. Stebie, he don't know that.

Why should I..?!?!

You screwed up a very simple bit of "electronics industry" trivia
that a "man" of your years service shouldn't have screwed up...

> I've worked for RCA Corporation. RCA no longer exists except
> as a logo now owned by Thompson CSF. RCA Corporation was ONCE
> NOT "RCA Corporation." Amazing but true.

Yep.

But not germane to this thread.

> I've worked for Hughes Aircraft Corporation...(SNIP)

Good for you. But not germane to this thread.

> I've worked for the Rocketdyne Division of Rockwell
> International.

Woooieeeee...Still not germane.

> I've worked for contractors to JPL...(SNIP)

I am sure you did. They're still looking for the brooms you were
assigned.

> I've worked for Micro-Radionics, Inc...(SNIP)

How is that germane to your screw-up about Motorola, Lennie?

> The corporation CHANGES in the radio-electronics world are an
> amazing mass of names and players that few outside of the
> industry can follow in their lineage. MOTOROLA as a corporation
> has existed since 1947...long before Stebie was a frown on his
> pediatrician's brow. MOTOROLA as a corporation, as a logo, as
> a symbol of a major player in radio and semiconductors is
> LONG KNOWN to all of us who work or have worked in the industry.

Well then, There we have it.

Since I wasn't born in 1947, I can't possibly know that Motorola
wasn't a "corporation" in 1940...

Whooooooooooooooooooooops! I >>DO<< ! ! ! !

And YOU screwed it up. Whew!

> Along comes a wounded little batty rooster called Stebie who
> has very little REAL industry experience who got his pukey
> little amateur feathers all disarranged by COMMON INDUSTRY
> KNOWLEDGE known by thousands of others.

Known by thousands of others, BUT SCREWED UP BY LENNIE ANDERSON!

Stings, doesn't it, Your Putziness...?!?!

> Little Stebie don'
> wanna do nuttin' but FIGHT, FIGHT, FIGHT.

It's all YOU want to do, Lennie.

You continue to post in a forum in which you have no vested
interest or practical experience, and post lies, drivvel and deceitful
mistruths.

> Stebie want world black and white, what he say is ONLY truth.

In this case it IS "black and white". There was NO corporation
named "Motorola" in 1940. You were wrong.

> Not so.

Is so.

You screwed up...Now you want to stomp your feet and blame
everyone else EXCEPT yourself for the error.

> Stebie is black mark on today's radio amateur.

Nice try. You're busted...Again...

> LenIamAchronicLiarAndDefilerOfDeceasedVeteransAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

Putz.

2632. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 05:48:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118382492.912458.216650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109865

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: kelly@dvol.com on Thurs 9 Jun 2005 19:04
>
> >K□B wrote:
> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
> > >
> > >
> > >. . Mom hollered out the window "Don't you even THINK about peeing on
> > >that bush and get back in here!"
> > >
> > >w3rv
>
> And you heard that last when? At age 36?

At least he heard it.

We've been telling you that your lies and deceit are unwelcome for
years and you don't seem to hear it.

> LenSelectiveHearingLossAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2633. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 06:03:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118383381.282819.305810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109866

From: "K4YZ" <NursieWorsie@aol.com> on Jun 10, 1:12 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: bb on Jun 9, 6:07 pm
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > bb wrote:
>> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:

>> >> Shall I demonstrate, or are you willing to accept that what would
>> >> transpire would cause you pain and suffering (along with some moderate
>> >> bleeding, easily controlled with ice and direct pressure?)
>
>> >Steve, why would it cause me any pain and suffering? Do you wish to
>> >harm me?
>
>> Stebie gonna wait after school's out, then beat you up!
>
> Nope.

Stebie gonna beat up somebody IN class? Naughty, naughty,
Nursie Worsie. Teacher spank you. Sister Nun of the Above
spank you on knuckles.

>> Stebie FANTASY.
>
> >>MY<< fantasy does not involve any participants from THIS forum.

Poor Nursie Worsie, got sex fantasy? You turn into Gonad the
Librarian in day-dreaming?

>> Gotta love these mighty macho morsemen wanting to commit
>> bodily harm on all who disagree with them. Infantile
>> idiots all of them.
>
> So it's OK for an anti-Amateur Radio, anti-Veteran like yourself
>to slap us around, then NOT ok to reciprocate?

Ooooooo! Nursie Worsie vewwy ANGWY! He get SLAPPED!

Oh, poor Nursie Worsie, can't shit on anyone he want to!

>> >I was asking you what a hostile action meant to you. Prior to
>> >yesterday, it meant getting sand in your eye.
>
>> Stebie suffers from the bleeding disease. Everytime someone

>> disagrees with Stebie, Stebie gets blood in his eye.
>
> Nope.

Stebie got BLOOD in eye now! HATE! Vewwy ANGWY!!! :-)

>> Stebie very ANGRY. Stebie very HATEFUL. Stebie nuts.
>
> A lie. A lie. Three lies in a row. What a record, Lennie.

Stebie go Egypt, take river boat? He on sinking ship in
river denial.

Quick, someone throw mighty macho morseman a hate preserver!

>> Stebie nutcase not good for U.S. amateur radio role model.
>
> Putz.

Shalom. Yu vant latkes wid dat? Oy, oy, such a schlemiel.

Stebie be Yiddish? Have operation, remove excess skin?

Tsk, tsk. Not good for Gonad the Librarian fantasies!

Stebie need blood transfusion from so much blood in eye?
Quick, get type match for "CW-negative"! Stick needle
into own vein, fill up. Plenty other good stuff in
hospital, help yourself to some downers, okay? Be better
for you, lower BP, no get stroke or infarc. Get help from
shrink. You need shrink. Stebie look good in shrink wrap.

Stebie up too late. Not good. Raise BP to dangerous levels.
Stebie identify too much with screen. No comprehension of
others. Stebie awash in fantasies of others. Soon Stebie
vein pop. Pop, pop. Bye, bye Stebie.

We will send flower. Not RIP anything.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2634. Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 06:04:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118383478.570234.209720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109867

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "John Smith" on Thurs 9 Jun 2005 07:10
>
> >At 52 I am one of the "young guys here." The "amateur hobby radio'ists"
> >here have managed to chase away most if not all--down to the very last
> >REAL young guy away.
>
> John, all of the mighty amateur warriors in here are "young."
> They haven't aged a bit since they got their first ham licenses
> as teen agers. Neither has their comportment...still frozen in
> adolescence.

Some of us just refuse to be assinine crumudgeons like Lennie.

Big snip to bottom...I think Lennie and John will be fast friends.

> Squawk 77, Mayday!

There haven't been any 64 code transponders in service since 1970,
Lennie.

Of course you wouldn't know that because "aviator" is yet another
thing you are "not" and have no practical experience in...Well...No
experience since 1950-something, anyway...

Sheesh...This just isn't your week, is it?

> LenWatchMeMakeMoreExcusesToHideBehindAnderson@ieee.org
>
> retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person

Thank-God.

Steve, K4YZ

2635. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 06:09:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118383796.090874.42880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109868

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" <NursieWorsie@aol.com> on Jun 10, 1:12 am

> >> Gotta love these mighty macho morsemen wanting to commit
> >> bodily harm on all who disagree with them. Infantile
> >> idiots all of them.
> >
> > So it's OK for an anti-Amateur Radio, anti-Veteran like yourself
> >to slap us around, then NOT ok to reciprocate?
>
> Ooooooooo! Nursie Worsie vewwy ANGWY! He get SLAPPED!

You didn't answer the question, Lennie. Why?

> Oh, poor Nursie Worsie, can't #### on anyone he want to!

I don't want to.

But I am saving the caffinated drinks for your "honor", Lennie.

> >> Stebie very ANGRY. Stebie very HATEFUL. Stebie nuts.
> >
> > A lie. A lie. Three lies in a row. What a record, Lennie.
>
> Stebie go Egypt, take river boat? He on sinking ship in
> river denial.

Nope.

But you're still a liar.

BTW...You kiss Mrs Lennie with tht mouth?

> Stebie up too late.

Nope. This is the "middle of the day" for me. Ten AM is "late"
for me.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2636. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 06:20:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118384402.666669.93490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109869

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > And did Hans NOT cite the USA through USN blocks in his post?
>
> I didn't cite any blocks. I simply cited a few example callsigns.

...and missed the most obvious...As I did initially.

Steve, K4YZ

2637. Re: Another Shameful Archive For Brian P Burke's Generations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 09:44:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118396674.025626.9520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109870

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I didn't say "completely".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I said "almost".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You said "completely" for the longest time until my undeniable
> > > > > > assertions caused you to back down.
> > > > > >
> > > > > An absolute lie, Brian.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why does Brian P Burke insist on lying in a public forum?
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > An absolute truth, Steve. All archived in Google.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > The ONE thing you corrected me on (and I acknowledged, which is a
> > > trait you are wont to do on errors...) was about the licensure of the
> > > director of AFMARS.
> >
> > Steve, that ONE thing made you wrong, and you finally admitted
> > wrongness only about that ONE thing.
>
> Because that WAS the only thing wrong.

That is merely your opinion unsubstantiated by fact.

> > Meanwhile your whole preposterous
> > assertion remains wrong, and you wrongly defend it to this day.
>
> What's wrong? Other than your lying, of course..?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

The part where you said, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

2638. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 09:50:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118397059.167209.67620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109871

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Motorola was NOT a company in 1940. THAT is a fact. References
> > > > > provided.
> > > > >
> > > > > Lennie was WRONG.
> > > >
> > > > Hi!
> > >
> > > The possibility exists that he was hi when he made the error, yes.
> > > One dose too many of Geritol, no doubt.
> > >
> > > > Let's see what LENNIE does, other than provide yet another verbose
> > > > spindance to hide behind his error...
> > > >
> > > > OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOPS! TOO LATE! He already did that!
> > > >
> > > > It was no "slam thread".
> > > >
> > > > They're all slam threads.
> > >
> > > No there not.
> > >
> > > Not one bit of deception or error, Brian...He was wrong.
> > >
> > > > Lennie got his ego in front of his common sense again...Wasn't
> > > > paying attention. But he CLAIMS to be a "professional".
> > > >
> > > > Obviously THIS professional got his "facts" wrong. I just showed
> > > > him his error.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Poor Steve. Hanging by a thread again.
> > >
> > > The "thread" is around Lennie's throat.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > You're a joke of a man.

>
> You shouldn't sit in front of mirrors while on teh computer,
> Brain.
>
> You're the one with the truthfulness and character issues...Not
> me.
>
> What are you going to say to your kids when they confront you with
> this stuff one day?

When they say, "Daddy, who's this idiot Robeson who's always worried about other people's kids and not his own? Who's always itching for a fight?"

2639. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 10:02:48 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118397768.587637.104960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109872

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:

> > > Shall I demonstrate, or are you willing to accept that what would
> > > transpire would cause you pain and suffering (along with some moderate
> > > bleeding, easily controlled with ice and direct pressure?)
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, why would it cause me any pain and suffering? Do you wish to
> > harm me?
>
> Shall I sign you up for a remedial reading course, Brain?

Shall you answer the question?

> > I was asking you what a hostile action meant to you. Prior to
> > yesterday, it meant getting sand in your eye.
>
> No...to YOU it meant getting sand in your eye.

I've not had sand in my eye.

Being a
> non-combatant your whole career, I am sure that some sand in your eyes
> or chapped hands from too much White-Out fluid was "trauma".
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Were you ever a combatant, I mean when you were in the service?

2640. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 10:08:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118398089.806049.151450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109873

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > Shall I demonstrate, or are you willing to accept that what would
> > > > transpire would cause you pain and suffering (along with some moderate
> > > > bleeding, easily controlled with ice and direct pressure?)
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Steve, why would it cause me any pain and suffering? Do you wish to
> > > harm me?
> >
> > Shall I sign you up for a remedial reading course, Brain?
> >
> Shall you answer the question?

Read the original statement, Brain.

Why do you always waffle on doing what was right the FIRST time?

> > > I was asking you what a hostile action meant to you. Prior to
> > > yesterday, it meant getting sand in your eye.
> >
> > No...to YOU it meant getting sand in your eye.
> >
> I've not had sand in my eye.

But you suggest that was all I "knew" about "hostile action".

So...Like Lennie, you make analogies to things for which you have
NO practical experience.

Why am I not surprised?

> Being a
> > non-combatant your whole career, I am sure that some sand in your eyes
> > or chapped hands from too much White-Out fluid was "trauma".
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> Were you ever a combatant, I mean when you were in the service?

Yep. Said so before. Do you have a comprehension deficit
problem? I can refer you to services in your area that will assist
you.

Steve, K4YZ

2641. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 10:12:00 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118398320.580571.316790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109874

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I think the length of your reply would please Len.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I seriously doubt it.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I could quote "War and Peace" verbatim and still come up short on
> > > > > > > > > most LennieRants.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You protest too much.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Protesting is legal, demostrates a strength of character and
> > > > > > > > dedication to one's values.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Say hello to Jane Fonda.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Jane Fonda is a traitorous slut.
> > > > > >
> > > > > And that's my warm/fuzzy opinion about her. It get's more
> > > > > colorful from there.
> > > >
> > > She exhibits all of the traits that you admire.
> >
> Oh no, Brain...Defiling Veterans is Lennie's schtick. Your mentor.
> The slimey weasel that parodies the Tomb of the Unknowns and whom YOU
> said you "admire".

I don't admire that. Do you admire all things about Jane?

> Mine is causing painful but non-lethal trauma to those who
> associate me with a known traitor like Jane Fonda.

"Mine" what? Fantasy? Reality? What?

> Know anyone like that? I have one in mind.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I'm sure you do. Care to share in public, or do the veiled threats continue?

2642. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 10:13:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118398416.967564.52860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109875

```
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > Motorola was NOT a company in 1940. THAT is a fact. References
> > > > > > provided.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Lennie was WRONG.
> > > > >
> > > > > Hi!
> > > >
> > > > The possibility exists that he was hi when he made the error, yes.
> > > > One dose too many of Geritol, no doubt.
> > > >
> > > > > > Let's see what LENNIE does, other than provide yet another verbose
> > > > > > spindance to hide behind his error...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOPS! TOO LATE! He already did that!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It was no "slam thread".
> > > > >
> > > > > They're all slam threads.
> > > >
> > > > No there not.
> > > >
> > > > Not one bit of deception or error, Brian...He was wrong.
> > > >
> > > > > > Lennie got his ego in front of his common sense again...Wasn't
> > > > > > paying attention. But he CLAIMS to be a "professional".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Obviously THIS professional got his "facts" wrong. I just showed
> > > > > > him his error.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > Poor Steve. Hanging by a thread again.
> > > >
> > > > The "thread" is around Lennie's throat.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
```

> > > You're a joke of a man.
> >
> > You shouldn't sit in front of mirrors while on teh computer,
> > Brain.
> >
> > You're the one with the truthfulness and character issues...Not
> > me.
> >
> > What are you going to say to your kids when they confront you with
> > this stuff one day?
>
> When they say, "Daddy, who's this idiot Robeson who's always worried
> about other people's kids and not his own?

Mine are taken care of, Brain. They are not lied to, about, or
kept in the dark...Like yours...

> Who's always itching for a fight?"

That would be you. Seems you have a penchant for getting yourself
into situations that require lengthy and snivvelling efforts to get
yourself out from under.

If you'd just tell the truth in the first place or learn how to
express an opinion as opposed to stating something as "fact", you'd be
a lot happier.

No doubt they'll wonder "WTF"...But they'll dig...and they'll find
out...

Steve, K4YZ

2643. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 10:19:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118398752.321275.200010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109876

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I think the length of your reply would please Len.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I seriously doubt it.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I could quote "War and Peace" verbatim and still come up short on
> > > > > > > > > > most LennieRants.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You protest too much.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Protesting is legal, demostrates a strength of character and
> > > > > > > > > > dedication to one's values.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Say hello to Jane Fonda.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Jane Fonda is a traitorous slut.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > And that's my warm/fuzzy opinion about her. It get's more
> > > > > > > > > > colorful from there.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > She exhibits all of the traits that you admire.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Oh no, Brain...Defiling Veterans is Lennie's schtick. Your mentor.
> > > > > > > > The slimey weasel that parodies the Tomb of the Unknowns and whom YOU
> > > > > > > > said you "admire".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't admire that.

You don't admire what? YOU stated you admire Lennie.

Were you lying then, or are you lying now?

Almost all of the regulars (and quite a few of the anonymous
posters, too) have spoken out very decisively AGAINST Lennie's vile
parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns...

Except you...

> Do you admire all things about Jane?

Ms Fonda lost all dignity and respect when she sat her butt down
in that weapon's control seat in North Viet Nam.

She chose the path of a traitor as opposed to peaceful protest.

> > Mine is causing painful but non-lethal trauma to those who
> > associate me with a known traitor like Jane Fonda.
>
> "Mine" what? Fantasy? Reality? What?

You really can't be THAT ignorant...Or can you...?!?!

> > Know anyone like that? I have one in mind.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I'm sure you do. Care to share in public, or do the veiled threats
> continue?

No veiled threat, Brain.

Seve, K4YZ

2644. Re: Another Shameful Archive For Brian P Burke's Generations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 10:24:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118399081.517944.125960@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109877

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > Steve, that ONE thing made you wrong, and you finally admitted
> > > wrongness only about that ONE thing.
> >
> > Because that WAS the only thing wrong.
>
> That is merely your opinion unsubstantiated by fact.

Seems that ChiefMARS thinks differently.

I assume that you accept that he probably has a bit more insight
to the matter than you do, Brain?

> > > Meanwhile your whole preposterous
> > > assertion remains wrong, and you wrongly defend it to this day.
> >
> > What's wrong? Other than your lying, of course..?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> The part where you said, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

In the context of the post in which it was made, it's not wrong.

Now...before we go any farther, are you going to answer any of the
questions put to you about Somalia, ARES, etc etc etc...?!?!

Or can we just accept that you have no adequate answers, and that
these were deliberate lies?

Steve, K4YZ

2645. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 11:10:18 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Zc2dnXCqi4df6TTfRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 109878

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:HV5qe.1681$hK3.1360@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>>
>> Wasn't it the council of VECs who made that decision? I believe that
>> Part 97 does not specify how the 5wpm test is to be administered.
>>
>
> I think you're correct Dee. The FCC rules are silent on the form of
> actual examination:
>
> �97.503 Element standards.
>
> (a) A telegraphy examination must be sufficient to prove that the examinee
> has the ability to send correctly by hand and to receive correctly by ear
> texts in the international Morse code at not less than the prescribed
> speed, using all the letters of the alphabet, numerals 0-9, period, comma,
> question mark, slant mark and prosigns AR, BT and SK.
> Element 1: 5 words per minute.
>
> Interestingly, the NCVEC has chosen to ignore the "ability to send
> correctly by hand" clause of the rules.
>

Probably just following the example of the FCC itself. I have read that the
FCC dropped the sending test as it was rare for someone to be unable to send
even though they could receive. The more common scenario is that most
people can send faster than they can receive anyway.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2646. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 11:13:46 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8K-dnTHB2bsP6DTfRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 109879

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:t56qe.266$96.11591741@news.sisna.com...
>I am betting just the opposite, and I bet the code reader can copy at a
>speed long after the human reader is all done...
>

Speed is a different issue than sloppy sending.

> one advantage, in microseconds the code reader can guess at the likelihood
> of what character the dot/dash sequence is in logical relation to forward
> and reverse characters (whenever there is a slight doubt)... the human
> reader would just be sitting there losing characters...

No the human brain does the same type of "fill" once they get past the point
of having to copy every single letter manually. Even if they do have to
copy manually as I do, it's easy to fill in the missing letters most of the
time. Of course you have to train yourself not to dwell on what was missed,
a common beginner problem.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2647. Re: Of Asteroids and Dinosaurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 11:43:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118403782.358639.244310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109880

KØHB wrote:
> When I first became involved in electronics, slide rules were
> the one
> absolutely necessary calculation tool owned by every engineer
> and
> technician. They were simple, uncomplicated, easy to use
> (after some
> period of rather tedious practice), and delightfully low tech.

> Then, as the giant asteroid was to dinosaurs, overnight the
> $9.95
> pocket calculator killed the slide rule. Despite it's ubiquity > and
> utter simplicity the mighty slide rule went extinct in
> less than a decade!

Yup. Saw it happen.

>
> On another front, when I first became involved in
> amateur radio, Morse
> code was the one absolutely necessary communications mode
> used by every ham.

When was that, Hans? Hams have been using 'phone since
the 1920s if not earlier. By the early 1930s there were
a handful of hams on SSB.

There *was* a time when license renewal required a certain
amount of time on the air using Morse. But that was gone
by about 1950 or so.

Before 1991, every US ham had to "use Morse Code" at least
once - to get a license.

> It was simple, uncomplicated, easy to use (after some period of
> rather tedious practice) and delightfully low tech.

Still is!

> It was the amateur
> communication mode-of-choice for over three generations.

Which three?
>
> Then, as the giant asteroid was to dinosaurs,
> overnight.....

Not much happened.

Your analogy falls apart in a couple of places, Hans.

First off, the introduction of calculators did indeed pretty much wipe out the use of slide rules. But the introduction of other modes to ham radio has not wiped out the use of Morse Code.

Second, very few people do calculation as an end in itself. They're almost always doing it to reach a goal - as a means to an end, whether it be how many turns go on the toroid to how many studs are needed in a wall 29' 8" long if they're on 16" centers.

But ham radio is largely about radio for its own sake.

The introduction of outboard motors did not kill off rowboats and sailboats. The invention of the bicycle and roller skates did not eliminate walking and running. Etc.

I became a ham in 1967, back when a lot of hams were giving up their separate HF transmitters and receivers for transceivers. That trend had started almost 10 years earlier and by the time I came along the selection of transceivers was greater than the selection of separates.

Yet none of the amateur HF transceivers on the market back then was very good for Morse Code. Almost all lacked sharp filters, RIT, and AGC OFF. Some didn't even cover the whole band! They were SSB transceivers first and Morse Code capability was tacked on. Even the expensive Collins KWM-2 was pretty awful on Morse Code.

I remember hams back then telling me that the use of Morse Code by hams was obviously on the way out, because the big manufacturers were focused on making SSB rigs. Some of them laughed at my efforts to set up a good Morse Code ham rig on a slim budget, saying there would be nobody left to talk to with that mode soon, and that all the 'modern' hams were buying HF SSB transceivers.

It wasn't until the mid 1970s that amateur HF transceivers with decent Morse Code performance showed up on the market. Most of them were made in Japan, or by an upstart company called Ten Tec. Even then things like a sharp filter were extra-cost options.

Yet the use of Morse Code by hams continued, and does so today, 40 years after I was told the mode was all but dead.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2648. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 13:53:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1Xgqe.1881$NX4.273@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109881

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1118384402.666669.93490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

>> I didn't cite any blocks. I simply cited a few example callsigns.

> ...and missed the most obvious...As I did initially.

There are no calls currently assigned from that block, thus no examples for me
to cite.

Hans, K0HB

2649. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 14:49:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5Mhqe.15$3A7.752780@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109883

... so, we can throw away the scientific calculators and go back to
slide rules--I think not... a code reader is that kind of difference...
of course, I am the type of guy would wouldn't go back to regen
receivers either...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:8K-dnTHB2bsP6DTfRVn-tA@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:t56qe.266$96.11591741@news.sisna.com...
>>I am betting just the opposite, and I bet the code reader can copy at
>>a speed long after the human reader is all done...
>>
>
> Speed is a different issue than sloppy sending.
>
>> one advantage, in microseconds the code reader can guess at the
>> likelihood of what character the dot/dash sequence is in logical
>> relation to forward and reverse characters (whenever there is a
>> slight doubt)... the human reader would just be sitting there losing
>> characters...
>
> No the human brain does the same type of "fill" once they get past the
> point of having to copy every single letter manually. Even if they do
> have to copy manually as I do, it's easy to fill in the missing
> letters most of the time. Of course you have to train yourself not to
> dwell on what was missed, a common beginner problem.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

2650. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 14:54:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <bRhqe.18$tx7.735336@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109882

geesh, alzheimers is already hitting me, having been born in 1949 I am
55--and I accuse women of lying about their age--I am getting old...
birthdays come too quickly, another before this years end, guess I would
secretly like to remain 52... <frown>

I know nothing of flying except how to board a plane (probably don't
even do that correctly...)

... as I have said, has nothing to do with the death of amateur radio or
the dangers it faces...

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1118383478.570234.209720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "John Smith" on Thurs 9 Jun 2005 07:10
>>
>> >At 52 I am one of the "young guys here." The "amateur hobby
>> >radio'ists"
>> >here have managed to chase away most if not all--down to the very
>> >last
>> >REAL young guy away.
>>
>> John, all of the mighty amateur warriors in here are "young."
>> They haven't aged a bit since they got their first ham licenses
>> as teen agers. Neither has their comportment...still frozen in
>> adolescence.
>
> Some of us just refuse to be assinine crumudgeons like Lennie.
>
> Big snip to bottom...I think Lennie and John will be fast friends.
>
>
>> Squawk 77, Mayday!
>
> There haven't been any 64 code transponders in service since 1970,
> Lennie.
>
> Of course you wouldn't know that because "aviator" is yet another
> thing you are "not" and have no practical experience in...Well...No
> experience since 1950-something, anyway...
>
> Sheesh...This just isn't your week, is it?

>
>> LenWatchMeMakeMoreExcusesToHideBehindAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person
>
> Thank-God.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2651. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 15:20:26 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <79ef0d473fa43bb49d1f3600cdfb0ed3@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 109885

In article <Hx3qe.238$je2.10387600@news.sisna.com>
"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> I truly hope you are NOT a ham... if so, I personally will try
to have
> your license revoked and given to a trucker on the chicken
band...
>
> John

You fucking cocksucker, I am a ham, and there isn't a fucking
thing you are going to do about it except take a shit and fall
back into it.

> <lardass_lloy...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1118341776.928720.87060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > In article <sWYoe.10$Fm.147...@news.sisna.com>
> > "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> You are a real dumb ass if you think I care who "really"
posted it...
> >> I
> >> used the "nick" simply to respond back to the "nick" which
was
> >> used--DUMB ASS...
> > >
> > > No, you addressed it as if you were talking to Roger
Wiseman, now,
> > who's the dumbass?
> > Amswer: you, DUMBASS!
> > >
> >> This is a newsgroup, if you believe any of these names are
"real" you
> >> are an idiot!
> >>
> >> Warmest regards,
> >> John
> > >
> > Sort of like your "name", dumbass???? You are a fucking
idiot.
> > >
> > >
> >> "George Orwell" <nob...@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
> >> news:56036f97dbefb4716abe2d24bc7fe083@mixmaster.it...
> >> > In article <vLwoe.268$nI7.33043...@news.sisna.com>

> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Roger:
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > If you thought that was Roger Wiseman who posted that,
then
> >> > those hours in "college" haven't done you a fucking bit
of good,
> >> > and there is somwe swampland in Florida you might be
interested
> >> > in buying.
> >> > Dumbass!
> >>

2652. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 15:21:31 UTC
From: Siegfried Kemper <bounce@parsifal.ath.cx>
Message-ID: <PMY2EDBC38513.4692708333@si93kru1.poster>
Source record: 109884

In article <WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer, English impaired and child molester
<m...@this.ten> whined:
>
> Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer, two-test wogie
blubbered
> and wrote:

"two-test wogie" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Illiterate
Butt Cornholer does it again!
Oh, the irony!

> > Mheh. You can't even spell Roger over the computer, one would
> > shudder to listen to your sending your mangled English in cw
> > like an elephant would stomp it out.
> > lost, fake tape maker.
>
> Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie. Was second
grade too
> hard for you?

"Lets" (Butt Cornholer forgot a comma between ability and
"wogie")BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

"Let's" just say you fucked up as usual, Butt Cornholer,
imaginary "pilot's license" boy.

Was FIRST GRADE too hard for you?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
--
Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability
wogie"

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't
even spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary
spelling skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc>

wrote:
"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

2653. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 16:17:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118420267.307112.74740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109886

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1118332801.218139.111380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> . . . .
>
> > > number of countries which have abandoned the code tests it just might
> > > be that the decision to retain the code test here was quietly carved in
> > > stone 4-5 years ago. Conversly though the waivers problem is still out
> > > there . . .

> > No the waivers problem is over as waivers are no longer allowed since the
> > only code test is 5wpm.
>
> Ooops. . I've obviously gotten out of touch with this one and it looks
> like I need to get back in touch. My recollection is that when the FCC
> didn't competely eliminate code tests for the disabled they claimed
> they couldn't do that because the treaty demanded a code test
> disabilities or not.

That's right.

But remember that the whole waiver thing came about because Papa
Bush wanted to do a king a favor. FCC cited the treaty as the reason
they couldn't just waiver all code tests. Papa Bush was kinda anti-code
because they wanted to get rid of ROs on ships (like oil tankers) and
their salaries.

But said king is now dead. And Shrub thinks BPL is a good idea.

> Now that the treaty makes code tests optional the FCC can't use that
> "excuse" any longer so it seems to me that we're back to the
> possibility of somebody looking for a medical waiver vs. any code test
> at all . . ?? . . ?

Sure - but has anybody really asked for that?

Remember it wasn't US hams who asked for waivers in the first place.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2654. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 17:06:15 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <54d363d450ab4fd0449f2ad03e060dac@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 109887

In article <UY6dndMyLM-eJTXfRVn-jA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@this.ten> wrote:
>
> Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> > In article <UYGdnVYVjpar4jvfRVn...@gbronline.com>
> > Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.ten> wrote:
> >
> >>Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> >>
> >>>"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good
spells!
> >>>
> >>
> >>Get los(whines)
> >
> >
> >
> > Go fuck yourself, you incontinent, senile imaginary "pilot's
> > license" boy, nobody wants to see your frequent misspellings,
> > you illiterate son of a bitch.
> >
>
> Hi (Poor butt Cornholer, he can't even pass what he considers
a simple Extra Class license exam)

Hi, baby raper Butt Cornholer, flew any model airplanes and
thought you had a "pilot's license" as well as lying about
having a commercial license lately, obsolete license boy?

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

2655. RSGB ham radio videos - download

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 17:21:27 UTC
From: me@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <42a8a146.2409430@aioe.cjb.net>
Source record: 109888

From my web site

73 Ian G3ZHI

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - many ham radio links

http://www.ukirlp.co.uk

G4NJI IRLP 5200 Echolink 135909
Rotherham simplex 145.2875mhz

Skype g3zhiian
VoiP 642109

Mobile 0783 338 0578

2656. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 17:51:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Rqkqe.41$7v4.1349684@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109889

George Ohh-Well!

... you forgot ignore you... I can do that too...

John

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
news:79ef0d473fa43bb49d1f3600cdfb0ed3@mixmaster.it...
> In article <Hx3qe.238$je2.10387600@news.sisna.com>
> "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> I truly hope you are NOT a ham... if so, I personally will try
> to have
>> your license revoked and given to a trucker on the chicken
> band...
>>
>> John
>
> You fucking cocksucker, I am a ham, and there isn't a fucking
> thing you are going to do about it except take a shit and fall
> back into it.
>
>
>> <lardass_lloy...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1118341776.928720.87060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > In article <sWYoe.10$Fm.147...@news.sisna.com>
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> You are a real dumb ass if you think I care who "really"
> posted it...
>> >> I
>> >> used the "nick" simply to respond back to the "nick" which
> was
>> >> used--DUMB ASS...
>> >
>> > No, you addressed it as if you were talking to Roger
> Wiseman, now,
>> > who's the dumbass?
>> > Amswer: you, DUMBASS!
>> >
>> >> This is a newsgroup, if you believe any of these names are
> "real" you
>> >> are an idiot!
>> >>
>> >> Warmest regards,

>> >> John
>> >
>> > Sort of like your "name", dumbass???? You are a fucking
> idiot.
>> >
>> >
>> >> "George Orwell" <nob...@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
>> >> news:56036f97dbefb4716abe2d24bc7fe083@mixmaster.it...
>> >> > In article <vLwoe.268$nI7.33043...@news.sisna.com>
>> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Roger:
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > If you thought that was Roger Wiseman who posted that,
> then
>> >> > those hours in "college" haven't done you a fucking bit
> of good,
>> >> > and there is somwe swampland in Florida you might be
> interested
>> >> > in buying.
>> >> > Dumbass!
>> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2657. Re: Of Asteroids and Dinosaurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 18:26:28 UTC
From: Lloyd <star@starslloydregmgr.com>
Message-ID: <d8clr7$76g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109890

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:tt1qe.1546$hK3.1302@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> When I first became involved in electronics, //blah, blah, blah//
//snipped//

Have you told that one down at the Legion Hall yet Hans?
or are they as bored to tears as we are?

73,

Lloyd

2658. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 19:12:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <fqSdnfG5BNWxkDffRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110002

Siegfried Kemper AKA two-test wogie wussman, one of Riley's favorite pen-pals wrote:

Here is some more examples of your spelling adventures, fatboy wogie.

"Basios"...word Woger uses when he gets neeeerrrrvous.
"Behing"...a cross between being and behind?

"Coiward" As in peeking from behind his mommy's curtains.
"Feamle"...I think he meant female.
"Losser"...only loser Roger can do it better.
"Ignroant"...typical, considering the source.
"Sluprs"...only Rogie knows what this means.
"Travelled"...a Rogerism, replete with ignorance.
"Postss"...pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
"Defgenerate"...well, it's Roger's word...
"Faity"...he meant to say Fairy, but you know Roger.

"Ghet" Get a load of Curtain Boy!
"Distribiution"...Roger likes using phonics.
"Biotch"...he meant mod, but his phonics took over again.
"Methjadone"...a West Virginia substitute for Heroin.
"Sumbliminal"...one of Roger's favorites.
"Recepticle"...either of Roger's moist cavaties.
"Cociane"...a West Virginia drug of choice?
"Tiome"...Roger meant to say Time...but his fingers were numb.
"Beraking"...no definition. Could mean 'beraking' the leaves,
"Amny"...Were you in the "Amny" wogie?
"Nlay"...a town in Maylaysia? Vermont?
"Al;ong"...only Wussman knows what this means.
"Becasu"...well, becasu Roger is not the brightest bulb on the tree.
"Seperation". Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate" from his mommie!
"Sisnce" He meant Since, but his pudgy little fingers slipped off the keys.
"gnetically" What?
"provce" (prove?)
"Piuzza" (West Virginia Pizza)
"Schol" (school? Evidently it was "Schol" wogie attended, not school.)
"strill" (still?)
"shoitgun" 12 ga or 20 ga?
"Snoip" (How they spell "snip" in Glen Dale)
"Maturbater". Wiseman does it all the time, he just can't spell it.(leaves a sticky residue on his right hand)
"Coskscuking". It's a Roger thing, as in Lavender Lads.
"Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale, eh?
"Spaeaking". Curtain Boy did this after his second retest.

2659. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 19:30:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <04adnY_1KYCFjzffRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110003

A.Melon AKA the fatboy two-test wogie wrote:

>
> Hi, baby raper Butt Cornholer, flew any model airplanes and
> thought you had a "pilot's license" as well as lying about
> having a commercial license lately, obsolete license boy?
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Still got the pilot's certificate wogie boy. Still have the First Class Phone although it is now expired. So what happened to that Second Class ticket you claimed to have? Seems it morphed into a *Restricted* Radio Operator *Permit*, you know, the one that required no testing, just fill out an FCC form. Wahhhh whooooo, musta been real proud of all the work you put into it to get that one, huh wogie? Worked any DX with that *Permit* lately wogie? Caused any malicious interference lately wogie? Riley would probably like to correspond with you again, maybe ask you retake the ham exam again wogie, so you can be a shinning example of just how easy it is to get a ham license. If wogie can do it, then *anyone* can.
You know what they say, 'third time's a charm'.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

By the way, my Commercial Phone ticket was issued on July 13, 1960, Dallas, Texas...Date of Expiration July 13, 1965 at three o'clock A.M. Eastern Standard Time, signed by the following FCC officials...Marion E. Apple, Issuing Officer and Ben F. Waple (Acting) Secretary.

Now how about some data on that Second Class you claim wogie?

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

2660. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 19:53:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <u8Cdnf9-OoNecjTfRVn-1w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109891

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ND5pe.363$VK4.108@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>>news:Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com...
>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>
>>>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>news:1117934818.571190.92130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>KC8GXW wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>But you still have to pay the VE fee, and have earned that
>>>>>>Tech in the first place. Not a giveaway or a free upgrade.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I was just being sarcastic because I have been told I have a >
>
> give
>
>>>>>>away tech license! :)
>>>>>>
>>>>>Well, whoever told you that was full of beans and didn't know
>>>>>what s/he was talking about. Probably just sour grapes.
>>>>>
>>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I said that. And I mean it. The tests today are a joke. Why you
>
> ask?
>
>>>>Because the questions and answers are right there in front of the
>
> person.
>
>>>>Sure he has to study a lot of questions. But there they are on the
>
> test.

>
>>>>A give away.
>>>>
>>>>And lets not even talk about the CW situation.
>>>>
>>>>It's NOT numbers we need, it people that respect the traditions of ham
>>>>radio and want to continue them. Its people that love ham radio and
>>>>don't want to use to to order a pizza.
>>>>
>>>>Call me old fashioned and out of touch. It won't be the first time.
>>>>
>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>Is there really any need for much technical knowledge to obtain a ham
>>>license anymore? Why a need for technical knowlege when setting up and
>>>operating a station today is simply plug-an-play? How many hams constuct
>>>any equipment they use on the air any more? The most tech knowledge that
>>>might be required is maybe how to build and put up and adjust an
>
> antenna.
>
>>>Perhaps the test should focus more on rules, regulations and proper
>>>operating procedures. The most technical that hams get today is knowing
>>>how many frequencies they can store in the radios memory.
>>>
>>
>>I am not all that concerned about the "technical knowledge" side of the
>>test.
>>I think basic technical knowledge is all that is really necessary. Like
>>being
>>able to cut a simple dipole, understand the terminology, have a working
>>knowledge in block diagram format, for what is happening inside that
>
> radio.
>
>>And I totally agree that the test should be heavy on rules, regs, and
>
> PROPER
>
>>OPERATING PROCEDURES....like don't talk CB Crap on HF SSB. \
>>
>>>As you say Dan, with the joke they use for testing today, no technical
>>>knowledge is required anyway, just memorize the answers to the questions
>>>and off you go. So maybe if the tests were geared more to regs and
>>>operating procedures, then even with the memoriziation some of it might
>>>soak in and maybe there would less cb type operating on the ham bands.
>>>
>>

>>We agree it lots here, eh?
>>
>>
>>>It is pretty bad when as I heard not long ago on a 2 meter reperter, "I
>>>just got my license, can someone tell me what frequencies I can
>
> operate?"
>
>>>Cheeese.
>>>
>>
>>Or what I heard on the 75meter EKTRA band......I wanna cut a new dipole
>>for 80m phone (First off what is 80 meter phone?)(I always thought it was
>>75meter phone). Out of the 5 people in the group, one had it right.
>>Amazing.
>>
>>
>>>Even wogie wussman passed the test, that in itself speaks volumns about
>>>how easy the they are.
>>
>>He should have done real good the second time around...yuk yuk.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
>
> Hello, again, Dan
>
> Yep, 75 is phone, 80 is CW. At least that was the reference back in the
> days.

I've never been able to figure out why people get their chops busted
for referring to "80 meter phone".

Shall we set up a sked on 41 meters, 29 meters, 21 meters, 14 meters, 12
meters, (hey that one is right) or the 10.7 to 10.09 meter bands?

My ARRL frequency chart doesn't show a 75 meter band either.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2661. Re: Of Asteroids and Dinosaurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 20:44:14 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <yYmqe.714$wL.598@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109892

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118403782.358639.244310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
K&#65533;HB wrote:
> When I first became involved in electronics, slide rules were
> the one
> absolutely necessary calculation tool owned by every engineer
> and
> technician. They were simple, uncomplicated, easy to use
> (after some
> period of rather tedious practice), and delightfully low tech.

> Then, as the giant asteroid was to dinosaurs, overnight the
> $9.95
> pocket calculator killed the slide rule. Despite it's ubiquity > and
> utter simplicity the mighty slide rule went extinct in
> less than a decade!

Yup. Saw it happen.

>
> On another front, when I first became involved in
> amateur radio, Morse
> code was the one absolutely necessary communications mode
> used by every ham.

When was that, Hans? Hams have been using 'phone since
the 1920s if not earlier. By the early 1930s there were
a handful of hams on SSB.

There *was* a time when license renewal required a certain
amount of time on the air using Morse. But that was gone
by about 1950 or so.

Before 1991, every US ham had to "use Morse Code" at least
once - to get a license.

> It was simple, uncomplicated, easy to use (after some period of
> rather tedious practice) and delightfully low tech.

Still is!

> It was the amateur
> communication mode-of-choice for over three generations.

Which three?
>
> Then, as the giant asteroid was to dinosaurs,

> overnight.....

Not much happened.

Your analogy falls apart in a couple of places, Hans.

First off, the introduction of calculators did indeed pretty
much wipe out the use of slide rules. But the introduction of
other modes to ham radio has not wiped out the use of Morse
Code.

Second, very few people do calculation as an end in itself.
They're almost always doing it to reach a goal - as a means
to an end, whether it be how many turns go on the toroid to
how many studs are needed in a wall 29' 8" long if they're
on 16" centers.

But ham radio is largely about radio for its own sake.

The introduction of outboard motors did not kill off rowboats
and sailboats. The invention of the bicycle and roller skates
did not eliminate walking and running. Etc.

I became a ham in 1967, back when a lot of hams were giving up
their separate HF transmitters and receivers for transceivers.
That trend had started almost 10 years earlier and by the time
I came along the selection of transceivers was greater than the
selection of separates.

Yet none of the amateur HF transceivers on the market back then was
very good for Morse Code. Almost all lacked sharp filters, RIT, and AGC
OFF. Some didn't even cover the whole band! They
were SSB transceivers first and Morse Code capability was
tacked on. Even the expensive Collins KWM-2 was pretty awful
on Morse Code.

I remember hams back then telling me that the use of Morse Code
by hams was obviously on the way out, because the big manufacturers
were focused on making SSB rigs. Some of them
laughed at my efforts to set up a good Morse Code ham rig on
a slim budget, saying there would be nobody left to talk to
with that mode soon, and that all the 'modern' hams were buying
HF SSB transceivers.

It wasn't until the mid 1970s that amateur HF transceivers with
decent Morse Code performance showed up on the market. Most of
them were made in Japan, or by an upstart company called Ten Tec.
Even then things like a sharp filter were extra-cost options.

Yet the use of Morse Code by hams continued, and does so today,
40 years after I was told the mode was all but dead.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Hello, Jim

There was always the Q-multiplier for us money challenged folks ;)

Heathkit Q-1, was it? I had one and it did a decent job with that lousy Halliscratcher S-20R.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2662. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 20:49:38 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200506.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <09488a4bba9d9bf2e762f8d89a40bccf@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 109893

In article <sWYoe.10$Fm.147600@news.sisna.com>
"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> You are a real dumb ass if you think I care who "really"
posted it... I
> used the "nick" simply to respond back to the "nick" which was
> used--DUMB ASS...

No, you addressed it as if you were talking to Roger Wiseman,
now, who's the dumbass?

> This is a newsgroup, if you believe any of these names are
"real" you
> are an idiot!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

Sort of like your "name", dumbass????

> "George Orwell" <nob...@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
> news:56036f97dbefb4716abe2d24bc7fe083@mixmaster.it...
> > In article <vLwoe.268$nI7.33043...@news.sisna.com>
> > "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> Roger:
> >>
> >
> > If you thought that was Roger Wiseman who posted that, then
> > those hours in "college" haven't done you a fucking bit of
good,
> > and there is somwe swampland in Florida you might be
interested
> > in buying.
> > Dumbass!

2663. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 21:11:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118437862.710936.209560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109894

From: bb on Jun 10, 6:02 am

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > Shall I demonstrate, or are you willing to accept that what would
>> > > transpire would cause you pain and suffering (along with some moderate
>> > > bleeding, easily controlled with ice and direct pressure?)
>
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>
>> > Steve, why would it cause me any pain and suffering? Do you wish to
>> > harm me?
>
>> Shall I sign you up for a remedial reading course, Brain?
>
>Shall you answer the question?

Stebie too busy with music. Stebie play violence. He second
violence, sit next to concertmaster. After rehearsal all
violence players beat each other up. No brass. Toot, toot.

>> > I was asking you what a hostile action meant to you. Prior to
>> > yesterday, it meant getting sand in your eye.
>
>> No...to YOU it meant getting sand in your eye.
>
>> I've not had sand in my eye. Being a
>> non-combatant your whole career, I am sure that some sand in your eyes
>> or chapped hands from too much White-Out fluid was "trauma".
>
>Were you ever a combatant, I mean when you were in the service?

Stebie FIGHT, FIGHT, FIGHT alla time. Gonad the Librarian.
All in newsgroup. Archived. Strong, mighty warrior on-screen.
Stebie try destroy all enemies. Conquer, vanquish, get more
oak leaf clusters for Congressional Medal of Honor from
Stebieland congress. Big parade, fancy uniform, white bunting,
flags fly, all march same drummer, boom-boom. Stebie patriotic,
super support-defend Stebie constitution against all enemies,
foreign and on-line. Boom, boom.

Poor Stebie, he not make Gulden Gloves...he not cut mustard.

Mebbe Stebie talk radio? No, Stebie allatime talk FIGHT, FIGHT,
FIGHT, warrior stuff, fragging tales, cut-n-paste from soldier

of fortune magazine. Stebie be Chesty wanna-be. Salute.
Boom, boom. Pop, pop. Bye, bye, Stebie. No get flower.

2664. Re: Of Asteroids and Dinosaurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 21:17:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118438236.476823.316630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109895

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118403782.358639.244310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> KØHB wrote:
> Hello, Jim

Greetings from the Main Line. Philadelphia Story, Kate Hepburn and all that. No calla lilies, though.

> There was always the Q-multiplier for us money challenged folks ;)

Kinda surreal to see a Q-multiplier tacked onto a KWM-2...

They did work, but were really only decent if the IF was below about 500 kHz. Which wasn't the case with most transceivers.

Oddly enough, IIRC, the notch filter in the esteemed Collins 75A-4 is actually a Q multiplier set permanently in the notch mode.
>
> Heathkit Q-1, was it?

Heathkit QF-1. I had one hooked to my Heathkit AR-2, almost 40 years ago. First nonhomebrew SW receiver. The Southgate Type 1 was better.

About a year ago I was given another QF-1, which became hamfest fodder. They're actually worth a few dollars if they're in good shape.

There's also the Heathkit QM-1 Q-meter, completely different animal, piece of test gear. Very useful gadget for evaluating RF coils and caps. Had one for a couple decades now, found it at the Rochester Hamfest back about 1978 or so, when I lived on Main Street in Palmyra. Not a Boonton but it does the job and weighs a lot less.

> I had one and it did a decent job with that lousy
> Halliscratcher S-20R.

Only Hallis I've owned were the SX-99 (OK rx, but a BC-453 with converter
is much better) and the SX-101 ($35 at SJRA hamfest - about fifty cents a pound). '101 was probably their answer to the "flimsycrafters" complaints.
All gone now, rather build or assemble my own. (One builds homebrew but assembles kits).

Summer's almost here. AWA museum and annex are just down the road from you.
Enjoy.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2665. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 21:18:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wsnqe.2453$jX6.2192@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109896

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> Papa Bush was kinda anti-code..............

Bwhahahahahahahahahahahahaha! Incredible stretch!

73, de Hans, K0HB

2666. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 21:22:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118438555.719566.305120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109897

Mike Coslo wrote:

> I've never been able to figure out why people get their chops busted
> for referring to "80 meter phone".

Because 80 is CW/data and 75 is phone/image.

Look at FCC rules 97.301. They actually list 80 and 75 as different
bands, like 40 and 20. I'm not making this up.
>
> Shall we set up a sked on 41 meters, 29 meters, 21 meters, 14 meters, 12
> meters, (hey that one is right) or the 10.7 to 10.09 meter bands?

41 meters is actually SWBC, even though it's the top of "our" 40 meter
band ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

2667. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 21:35:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <eInqe.2184$pa3.1881@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109898

The human ear, brain, hand combo does that now.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ED5qe.258$KW7.11573405@news.sisna.com...
> ... actually, the only thing I have found that I can't allow for is
> someone's sloppy key (you must decide what range of microseconds is a dit,
> and what is the dah-- I have been kicking around the idea of a piece of
> code to "sample" the senders "style" and automatically adjust--but that is
> for tomorrow--and would be great if the code could automatically duplicate
> his "sloppy style" and feed it back to him <grin>) but then--sloppy key
> is no easier to copy with ear then by reader...
>
> John
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:edSdncsbx9klQTXfRVn-ow@comcast.com...
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:3eOpe.122$814.6419513@news.sisna.com...
>>>I don't think there is a ham alive which can beat my keyboard, hooked to
>>>a "electronic key" and sending morse, nor my sound card doubling as a
>>>"code reader" and producing text on screen from cw...
>>> You mean to tell me people actually use "real keys" still--gawd, I
>>> thought all those sk <tongue-in-cheek>
>>>
>>> Warmest regards,
>>> John
>>
>> When conditions are poor, even some one as poor at morse code as I am can
>> beat a "code reader" sound card/computer.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
>

2668. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 21:39:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <IMnqe.2191$pa3.1460@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109899

I am not talking about illegals, or minorities. At least try to stay on
subject.

Dan/W4NTI
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:TL3qe.245$P_4.10608696@news.sisna.com...
> ... let's reset back to reality, there are fewer hams in the world--than
> illegal aliens in the state of california (and about 41 million illegals
> in the nation)...
> a true minority... the number of new keys are simply not worth mentioning
> in comparison... hams must be THE smallest minority wanting special
> privileges for a damn hobby! ... if you haven't thought of it like that
> before--think of it now--not all are fools...
>
> John
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:4u2qe.1604$eM6.1056@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> Oh really? Then how do we explain the young contesters out there? Or
>> the many QSOs I have with hams on CW in their 20s and 30s? And I don't
>> operate at 5wpm. Neither do they...think maybe they picked up some
>> speed, eh?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com...
>>> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their rants and
>>> name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and youthful
>>> hams...
>>>
>>> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be
>>> speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get the
>>> extra license... then you will never see them again--except on phone and
>>> modem...
>>>
>>> John
>>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>> news:JxMpe.1198$eM6.821@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>> Bet the pilots among them know Morse.
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>

>>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:9YLpe.97$NN.5045381@news.sisna.com...
>>>>> Well, all the astronauts are no code techs... guess those no-code'ers
>>>>> are in good and technical company...
>>>>>
>>>>> Warmest regards,
>>>>> John
>>>>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>> news:1118274092.193500.211790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>> From: John Smith on Jun 8, 5:50 pm
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>You would think the ARRL high priest would not abandon these
>>>>>>>guys--times
>>>>>>>must be tough...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stori?es/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>John
>>>>>>>--
>>>>>>>Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality,
>>>>>>>right
>>>>>>>before my eyes--in real time!
>>>>>>>Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Tsk. It took the League long enough to see the "duhhhh..." :-)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> They've had adequate numbers all along. They've been seeing
>>>>>> only what they want to believe.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> As of the end of 2004 the League had only 140 thousand members.
>>>>>> That's about 20 percent of all U.S. amateur licensees. [from
>>>>>> QST advertising webpage]
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Haynie has said that a "survey" showed fully 1 in 5 hams
>>>>>> actually got on the air? Oh, my, a remarkable coincidence
>>>>>> in the percentage of League membership. :-)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> [we now pause to have all loyal league believers vent their
>>>>>> rage against those who defile 'their' organization...]
>>>>>>
>>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>

>
>

2669. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 21:43:55 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118439835.432495.97630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110000

From: "John Smith" on Fri 10 Jun 2005 07:54

>geesh, alzheimers is already hitting me, having been born in 1949 I am
>55--and I accuse women of lying about their age--I am getting old...
>birthdays come too quickly, another before this years end, guess I would
>secretly like to remain 52... <frown>

Be nice to Stebie. Stebie say all old people DIE real soon.
Stebie immortal, nebber die. Stebie wanna FIGHT FIGHT FIGHT.
Stebie fight death. All Stebie enemies DIE very soon.
Stebie not die. He say so. Archived.

Soon Stebie be Last Man on Earth. He sit alone in cabin,
then get knock on door. [Stebie not read Isaac Asimov]

>I know nothing of flying except how to board a plane (probably don't
>even do that correctly...)

Stebie ultra-superior gunnery sergeant pilot, be "Pilot in
Command" of two-seater single-engine. Veddy important, have
picture taken in flight suit, frowning, not show teeth again.
Stebie air ace, make ace of self in TN CAP ready room,
reading old PIREPs and NOTAMs.

>... as I have said, has nothing to do with the death of amateur radio or
>the dangers it faces...

Newsgroup all about Stebie and FIGHT FIGHT FIGHT enemies.
All enemies DIE. All enemies LIE. Only Stebie say truth.

Hope Stebie see dentist very soon. Get tooth fixed, smile
for first time in life.

>John
>
>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message

2670. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 21:51:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mXnqe.2203$hK3.396@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110001

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>
> I've never been able to figure out why people get their chops busted for
> referring to "80 meter phone".
>

The wavelength of 80 meters translates to a frequency of 3.750 Mhz, which (for right now) just happens to be the precise dividing point between CW/Data and CW/Phone bands. If the phone band-edge drops down to say 3.700, then 80 meters will be a phone frequency.

I wonder if WWV will mind if I stroke up my AL-1200 on 15 meters, 20 meters or 30 meters?

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2671. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 22:30:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Kwoqe.1464$ao2.2498654@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110008

My gawd man, have you been asleep... I heard about that happening before--think it was a chap named "Rip Van Winkle" it happened to...

... Naa, we got rovers on mars and can interface just about anything to anything these days... they invented the computer too... only real limitation is the operators mind... indeed, computers now design components/hardware--just can assemble teams of techs large enough to compete with 'em these days...

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message news:eInqe.2184$pa3.1881@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> The human ear, brain, hand combo does that now.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ED5qe.258$KW7.11573405@news.sisna.com...
>> ... actually, the only thing I have found that I can't allow for is
>> someone's sloppy key (you must decide what range of microseconds is a
>> dit, and what is the dah-- I have been kicking around the idea of a
>> piece of code to "sample" the senders "style" and automatically
>> adjust--but that is for tomorrow--and would be great if the code
>> could automatically duplicate his "sloppy style" and feed it back to
>> him <grin>) but then--sloppy key is no easier to copy with ear then
>> by reader...
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:edSdncsbx9klQTXfRVn-ow@comcast.com...
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:3eOpe.122$814.6419513@news.sisna.com...
>>>>I don't think there is a ham alive which can beat my keyboard,
>>>>hooked to a "electronic key" and sending morse, nor my sound card
>>>>doubling as a "code reader" and producing text on screen from cw...
>>>> You mean to tell me people actually use "real keys" still--gawd, I
>>>> thought all those sk <tongue-in-cheek>
>>>>
>>>> Warmest regards,
>>>> John
>>>

>>> When conditions are poor, even some one as poor at morse code as I
>>> am can beat a "code reader" sound card/computer.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2672. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 22:32:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7yoqe.1474$vR1.2310196@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110009

... you grab at air, and look like a fruitcake when you do... still, it
does have a bit of entertainment value...

John

"Fritz Wuehler" <fritz@spamexpire-200506.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
wrote in message
news:09488a4bba9d9bf2e762f8d89a40bccf@msgid.frell.theremailer.net...
> In article <sWYoe.10$Fm.147600@news.sisna.com>
> "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> You are a real dumb ass if you think I care who "really"
> posted it... I
>> used the "nick" simply to respond back to the "nick" which was
>> used--DUMB ASS...
>
> No, you addressed it as if you were talking to Roger Wiseman,
> now, who's the dumbass?
>
>> This is a newsgroup, if you believe any of these names are
> "real" you
>> are an idiot!
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>
> Sort of like your "name", dumbass????
>
>
>> "George Orwell" <nob...@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
>> news:56036f97dbefb4716abe2d24bc7fe083@mixmaster.it...
>> > In article <vLwoe.268$nI7.33043...@news.sisna.com>
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Roger:
>> >>
>> >
>> > If you thought that was Roger Wiseman who posted that, then
>> > those hours in "college" haven't done you a fucking bit of
> good,
>> > and there is somwe swampland in Florida you might be
> interested
>> > in buying.
>> > Dumbass!
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2673. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 22:33:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <vzoqe.1484$DD2.2564132@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110011

```
... no, you are talking about a minority which has no comparison...
hams... but next closest minority is illegal aliens...

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:IMnqe.2191$pa3.1460@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I am not talking about illegals, or minorities. At least try to stay
>on subject.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:TL3qe.245$P_4.10608696@news.sisna.com...
>> ... let's reset back to reality, there are fewer hams in the
>> world--than illegal aliens in the state of california (and about 41
>> million illegals in the nation)...
>> a true minority... the number of new keys are simply not worth
>> mentioning in comparison... hams must be THE smallest minority
>> wanting special privileges for a damn hobby! ... if you haven't
>> thought of it like that before--think of it now--not all are fools...
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:4u2qe.1604$eM6.1056@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>> Oh really? Then how do we explain the young contesters out there?
>>> Or the many QSOs I have with hams on CW in their 20s and 30s? And I
>>> don't operate at 5wpm. Neither do they...think maybe they picked up
>>> some speed, eh?
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com...
>>>> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their
>>>> rants and name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and
>>>> youthful hams...
>>>>
>>>> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be
>>>> speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get
>>>> the extra license... then you will never see them again--except on
>>>> phone and modem...
>>>>
```

>>>> John
>>>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:JxMpe.1198$eM6.821@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>> Bet the pilots among them know Morse.
>>>>>
>>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>>
>>>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:9YLpe.97$NN.5045381@news.sisna.com...
>>>>>> Well, all the astronauts are no code techs... guess those
>>>>>> no-code'ers are in good and technical company...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Warmest regards,
>>>>>> John
>>>>>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:1118274092.193500.211790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>>> From: John Smith on Jun 8, 5:50 pm
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>You would think the ARRL high priest would not abandon these
>>>>>>>>guys--times
>>>>>>>>must be tough...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stori?es/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>John
>>>>>>>>--
>>>>>>>>Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual
>>>>>>>>reality, right
>>>>>>>>before my eyes--in real time!
>>>>>>>>Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Tsk. It took the League long enough to see the "duhhhh..."
>>>>>>> :-)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> They've had adequate numbers all along. They've been seeing
>>>>>>> only what they want to believe.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> As of the end of 2004 the League had only 140 thousand
>>>>>>> members.
>>>>>>> That's about 20 percent of all U.S. amateur licensees. [from
>>>>>>> QST advertising webpage]
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Haynie has said that a "survey" showed fully 1 in 5 hams
>>>>>>> actually got on the air? Oh, my, a remarkable coincidence
>>>>>>> in the percentage of League membership. :-)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> [we now pause to have all loyal league believers vent their
>>>>>>> rage against those who defile 'their' organization...]
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2674. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 22:35:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dBoqe.1496$_K2.2634906@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110010

```
... I have seen this symptom before--always comes from taking yourself
and your hobby MUCH too seriously... most recommend "get a life" when
they see individuals struck with this illness...

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:IMnqe.2191$pa3.1460@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I am not talking about illegals, or minorities. At least try to stay
>on subject.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:TL3qe.245$P_4.10608696@news.sisna.com...
>> ... let's reset back to reality, there are fewer hams in the
>> world--than illegal aliens in the state of california (and about 41
>> million illegals in the nation)...
>> a true minority... the number of new keys are simply not worth
>> mentioning in comparison... hams must be THE smallest minority
>> wanting special privileges for a damn hobby! ... if you haven't
>> thought of it like that before--think of it now--not all are fools...
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:4u2qe.1604$eM6.1056@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>> Oh really? Then how do we explain the young contesters out there?
>>> Or the many QSOs I have with hams on CW in their 20s and 30s? And I
>>> don't operate at 5wpm. Neither do they...think maybe they picked up
>>> some speed, eh?
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:wDMpe.106$NC1.5327000@news.sisna.com...
>>>> Morse is a ghost language spoken by old men when they do their
>>>> rants and name their illnesses--much to the dismay of the vital and
>>>> youthful hams...
>>>>
>>>> The new 5 WPM test for all classes will guarantee you will NOT be
>>>> speaking morse to any young men--they will pass the 5 WPM to get
>>>> the extra license... then you will never see them again--except on
>>>> phone and modem...
```

>>>>
>>>> John
>>>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:JxMpe.1198$eM6.821@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>> Bet the pilots among them know Morse.
>>>>>
>>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>>
>>>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:9YLpe.97$NN.5045381@news.sisna.com...
>>>>>> Well, all the astronauts are no code techs... guess those
>>>>>> no-code'ers are in good and technical company...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Warmest regards,
>>>>>> John
>>>>>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:1118274092.193500.211790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>>> From: John Smith on Jun 8, 5:50 pm
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>You would think the ARRL high priest would not abandon these
>>>>>>>>guys--times
>>>>>>>>must be tough...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stori?es/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>John
>>>>>>>>--
>>>>>>>>Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual
>>>>>>>>reality, right
>>>>>>>>before my eyes--in real time!
>>>>>>>>Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Tsk. It took the League long enough to see the "duhhhh..."
>>>>>>> :-)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> They've had adequate numbers all along. They've been seeing
>>>>>>> only what they want to believe.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> As of the end of 2004 the League had only 140 thousand
>>>>>>> members.
>>>>>>> That's about 20 percent of all U.S. amateur licensees. [from
>>>>>>> QST advertising webpage]
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Haynie has said that a "survey" showed fully 1 in 5 hams
>>>>>>> actually got on the air? Oh, my, a remarkable coincidence
>>>>>>> in the percentage of League membership. :-)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> [we now pause to have all loyal league believers vent their
>>>>>>> rage against those who defile 'their' organization...]
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

2675. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 22:37:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6Doqe.1509$hO2.2663577@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110012

Bet the freebanders don't know that... else they sure are acting like
they don't...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118438555.719566.305120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> I've never been able to figure out why people get their chops busted
>> for referring to "80 meter phone".
>
> Because 80 is CW/data and 75 is phone/image.
>
> Look at FCC rules 97.301. They actually list 80 and 75 as different
> bands, like 40 and 20. I'm not making this up.
>>
>> Shall we set up a sked on 41 meters, 29 meters, 21 meters, 14 meters,
>> 12
>> meters, (hey that one is right) or the 10.7 to 10.09 meter bands?
>
> 41 meters is actually SWBC, even though it's the top of "our" 40 meter
> band ;-)
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2676. Should we have a poll?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 22:43:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <HIoqe.724$8N.601@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 110004

Hi, gang

How about a guess as to how many days we have to wait for a subject that is actually *on* topic?

I'll guess 60 hours.

Any takers? LOL

Any chance of stopping the flames? Didn't think so ... but ... in any case, any guesses as to how many hours (or days) before another on-topic subject?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2677. Re: Should we have a poll?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 22:53:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TRoqe.2254$hK3.239@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110005

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote

>
> How about a guess as to how many days we have to wait for a subject that is
> actually *on* topic?
>

I guess less than 5 minutes.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2678. SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 22:53:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3Soqe.2255$hK3.1041@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110006

In major contests like SS, CQWWDX, etc., should SO2R be a category seperate from SO?

73, de Hans, K0HB

2679. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 23:01:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118441999.871350.319200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110007

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > Papa Bush was kinda anti-code.....
>
> Bwhahahahahahahahahahahaha!

I am glad you enjoyed that, Hans.

> Incredible stretch!
>
The oil-tanker thing is true, btw.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2680. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 23:36:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118446616.647138.108940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110013

KØHB wrote:
> In major contests like SS, CQWWDX, etc., should SO2R be a
> category seperate from SO?

Good question!

I say yes, *if* the definition of SO2R is being able to operate on two bands almost simultaneously. Two or more frequencies in the same band is a different story.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2681. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-10 23:57:34 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ONpqe.2281$hK3.785@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110014

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> I say yes, *if* the definition of SO2R is being able
> to operate on two bands almost simultaneously.
> Two or more frequencies in the same band
> is a different story.

Most stations engineered for SO2R expect the radios to be on separate bands (self QRM'ing issues if on same band) but why would you consider it a "different story" if both were on the same band?

As background, some consider SO2R an "unfair advantage" in the SO class, while purists claim that SO is SO, regardless of how many radios they can manage, so long as only a single transmitter is active at any given point in time (In other words, you can't CQ on your run frequency when working a Q on your mult radio.)

73, de Hans, K0HB

2682. Re: Should we have a poll?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 00:20:50 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <C7qqe.2439$751.725@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 110015

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:HIoqe.724$8N.601@news02.roc.ny...
> Hi, gang
>
> How about a guess as to how many days we have to wait for a subject that
is
> actually *on* topic?
>
> I'll guess 60 hours.
>
> Any takers? LOL
>
> Any chance of stopping the flames? Didn't think so ... but ... in any
case,
> any guesses as to how many hours (or days) before another on-topic
subject?
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

heh heh...slim pickin't these days, Jim! I have been checking in every now and then about the past year or so...maybe it's even been longer than that since I've been a "regular" on the newsgroup...and I don't think even Roll and I used to be that rabid with each other...

Just keyed up on the radio yesterday--first time in I can't even guess how many years! It was very weird...LOL

Kim W5TIT

2683. The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 01:22:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118452940.891132.70140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110016

Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.

Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
passed:

WA2SI: September 13, 2003
KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
K2UNK: January 1, 2004
K2ASP: March 15, 2004
AA2QA: April 1, 2004
N2EY: April 15, 2004
N3KIP: May 1, 2004
KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
K3LT: September 15, 2004
WK3C: December 30, 2004
N4PGW:May 22, 2005
N8UZE: July 1, 2005
AB2RC: July 1, 2007
KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
W5TIT: June 1, 2008

Anybody want to add a prediction? If so, the "rolling rule" (thanks
Dee, N8UZE) applies:

If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
add a new one.

At this point N3KIP and everyone before him can add a new prediction.
All predictions stay on the list.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2684. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 01:28:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8u2dnb5y6JHEozffRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110017

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>I've never been able to figure out why people get their chops busted
>>for referring to "80 meter phone".
>
>
> Because 80 is CW/data and 75 is phone/image.
>
> Look at FCC rules 97.301. They actually list 80 and 75 as different
> bands, like 40 and 20. I'm not making this up.

I don't doubt you. But it seems a little odd to decide that phone is 75 and CW and data is 80. If you are at the bottom of the band you are at 85 meters. Same difference. (actually more, percentage-wise) So why would hams who insist on the precision of 75 phone and 80 CW not also insist on 85 meter CW?

>>Shall we set up a sked on 41 meters, 29 meters, 21 meters, 14 meters, 12
>>meters, (hey that one is right) or the 10.7 to 10.09 meter bands?
>
>
> 41 meters is actually SWBC, even though it's the top of "our" 40 meter
> band ;-)

I get 42.8 meters at 7.0 MHz, and 41.067 meters at 7.3 MHz. Yeah, were in the mix there....

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2685. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 01:34:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ocrqe.394$yg4.319@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110018

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3Soqe.2255$hK3.1041@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> In major contests like SS, CQWWDX, etc., should SO2R be a category
seperate from
> SO?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

Hello, Hans

Nothing like a Chief to figure a way to beat the odds, eh?

:))

Best regards (and respect, as well)
Jim AA2QA
ps - I'll getcha for this, pal ;)

2686. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 01:37:23 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <nfrqe.735$9P.533@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 110019

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118452940.891132.70140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
>
> Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
> passed:
>
> WA2SI: September 13, 2003
> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> K2UNK: January 1, 2004
> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> N2EY: April 15, 2004
> N3KIP: May 1, 2004
> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> K3LT: September 15, 2004
> WK3C: December 30, 2004
> N4PGW:May 22, 2005
> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> AB2RC: July 1, 2007
> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>
> Anybody want to add a prediction? If so, the "rolling rule" (thanks
> Dee, N8UZE) applies:
>
> If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
> add a new one.
>
> At this point N3KIP and everyone before him can add a new prediction.
> All predictions stay on the list.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Hello, Jim

Well, I lost the original bet. Tell you what, by 2010 there will be no licensing requirements; by 2011, the U.S. of A. will no longer have any ham radio licensees.

Everything will be devoted to either big business, Christian Churches, or the Repooblican party (assuming the brain-dead don't wake up).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2687. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 01:38:48 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118453928.121069.113600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110020

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > I say yes, *if* the definition of SO2R is being able
> > to operate on two bands almost simultaneously.
> > Two or more frequencies in the same band
> > is a different story.
>
> Most stations engineered for SO2R expect the radios to be
> on separate bands
> (self QRM'ing issues if on same band) but why would you
> consider it a "different
> story" if both were on the same band?

Suppose I have a rig with two VFOs. I'm hunt-and-pouncing QSOs on one frequency and listening to the pile on VY1JA on another frequency on the same band. I toss my call at VY1JA at appropriate moments. Is that SO2R or not?

Certainly it's not the same thing as two completely separate rigs on different bands. But it's more than one rig that is on one frequency.

The line has to be drawn somewhere.
>
> As background, some consider SO2R an "unfair advantage"
> in the SO class, while
> purists claim that SO is SO, regardless of how many radios they > can manage, so
> long as only a single transmitter is active at any given point > in time (In other
> words, you can't CQ on your run frequency when working a Q on
> your mult radio.)

The difference (to me, anyway) is that multiband SO2R essentially takes two complete stations capable of simultaneous operation even if they're both not in transmit mode at the same moment.
That's where the line is - for me.

OTOH, it could be argued that as long as there is only one signal actually transmitted at any given time, and only one operator, there's only one "station", regardless of how much hardware is involved.

Now for a topic in the opposite direction: How about an "Iron" category (as in "Iron Chef" or "Ironman", etc.).

One rig at a time, only. No second VFO, receivers or memories. No computer logging. No memory keyers for voice or code. 150 W maximum

power.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2688. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 01:52:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118454735.092814.201240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110021

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:

> >>I've never been able to figure out why people get their chops > >>busted for referring to "80 meter phone".

> > Because 80 is CW/data and 75 is phone/image.

> > Look at FCC rules 97.301. They actually list 80 and 75 as
> > different bands, like 40 and 20. I'm not making this up.
>
> I don't doubt you. But it seems a little odd to decide that
> phone is 75 and CW and data is 80.

Oddly enough, in the "old days" the frequencies were simply listed - no mention of wavelength at all. Using the old designators, 3500 to 4000 kc. was A1, 3500 to 3800 kc. was F1, 3800 to 4000 kc. was A3, etc.

> If you are at the bottom of the band you are at
> 85 meters. Same difference. (actually more, percentage-wise)
> So why
> would hams who insist on the precision of 75 phone and 80 CW
> not also insist on 85 meter CW?

Precision has nothing to do with it. They're just simple shorthand names that go way back.

> >>Shall we set up a sked on 41 meters, 29 meters, 21 meters, 14 > >>meters, 12
> >>meters, (hey that one is right) or the 10.7 to 10.09 meter
> >>bands?
> >
> > 41 meters is actually SWBC, even though it's the top of "our" > > 40 meter band ;-)
>
> I get 42.8 meters at 7.0 MHz, and 41.067 meters at 7.3 MHz. Yeah, were
> in the mix there....

As the SWBC moves away, things get better on 40.

OK, here's one to toss around:

Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in the "60 meter" region.

Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:

1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to 2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..

or

2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.

Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz overall?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2689. Re: Watch Lennie Spin...Watch Lennie Snivvel...Watch Lennie Hide Behind Non-Germane Drivvel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 02:14:21 UTC
From: Roger <"ByeBye Wogie"@anon>
Message-ID: <d8dhct$843$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110022

Why even respond to Lennie? Why encourage him and waste your time?
Leave the septegenarian to his own devices.

Nobody in this group thinks more highly of Lennie than....Lennie.

2690. Re: "Professional Engineer" Lennie Gets His "Professional" History Wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 02:17:17 UTC
From: Roger <"ByeBye Wogie"@anon>
Message-ID: <d8dhid$8dn$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110023

> > > >
> > > > > > Let's see what LENNIE does, other than provide yet another
verbose
> > > > > > spindance to hide behind his error...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOPS! TOO LATE! He already did that!
> > > > > >

If you'd just tell the truth in the first place or learn how to
express an opinion as opposed to stating something as "fact", you'd be
a lot happier.

No doubt they'll wonder "WTF"...But they'll dig...and they'll find
out...

Steve, K4YZ

////

Lennie joined the IBEW waaaaayyy back when he was fresh out of trade school.
That makes him a "professional".

2691. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 02:21:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wUrqe.2330$hK3.679@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110024

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> Suppose I have a rig with two VFOs. I'm hunt-and-pouncing
> QSOs on one frequency and listening to the pile on VY1JA
> on another frequency on the same band. I toss my call at
> VY1JA at appropriate moments. Is that SO2R or not?

No. At best it's SO1.5R.

> The line has to be drawn somewhere.

The line has already be drawn --- SO. The purists maintain that whatever an SO can do to improve his ability to run up a score should be allowed. I'm inclined to agree.

> The difference (to me, anyway) is that multiband SO2R
> essentially takes two complete stations capable of
> simultaneous operation even if they're
> both not in transmit mode at the same moment.
> That's where the line is - for me.

Would you draw additional lines at SO3R, SO4R, SO5R, etc?

> OTOH, it could be argued that as long as there is only one
> signal actually transmitted at any given time, and only one
> operator, there's only one "station", regardless of how
> much hardware is involved.

Seems like a good argument to me!

> Now for a topic in the opposite direction: How about an "Iron"
> category (as in "Iron Chef" or "Ironman", etc.).
>
> One rig at a time, only. No second VFO, receivers or memories.
> No computer logging. No memory keyers for voice or code. 150
> W maximum power.

I wouldn't be in favor of such a category. To me, one of the attractions of radiosport is that it encourages pushing the limits (within good ethics) and thinking outside the box on several levels: innovative station design, battle strategy, skill development, and taking advantage of every available technology. Your "Iron" category seems like putting hobbles on Secretariat in the Preakness. Diana Moon Glompers, the General Handicapper, would love the category! (Think KVG/HB)

73, de Hans, K0HB

2692. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 02:24:40 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118456680.767927.131510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110025

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> The difference (to me, anyway) is that multiband SO2R essentially takes
> two complete stations capable of simultaneous operation even if they're
> both not in transmit mode at the same moment.
> That's where the line is - for me.

That's seldom the case, there are usually two xcvrs networked into the overall system with a single fast-bandswitching amp and usual relay-switched antennas. Or a tribander for the high bands.

> OTOH, it could be argued that as long as there is only one signal
> actually transmitted at any given time, and only one operator, there's
> only one "station", regardless of how much hardware is involved.

One guy in one seat is SO end of.

> Now for a topic in the opposite direction: How about an "Iron" category
> (as in "Iron Chef" or "Ironman", etc.).
>
> One rig at a time, only. No second VFO, receivers or memories. No
> computer logging. No memory keyers for voice or code. 150 W maximum
> power.

That's you're normal mode, you da pro there. Take it into the next CQ WW bash and show 'em how it's done. Maybe you'll impress the contest desk enough with your results that they'll go for your category.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2693. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 02:31:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <82sqe.2336$hK3.1163@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110026

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote

> ps - I'll getcha for this, pal ;)

"Old and devious" trumps "young and enthusiastic" every time!

dit dit <----- Reverse Farnsworth "I"
de Hans, K0HB

2694. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 02:37:54 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118457474.670993.187220@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110027

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > I think the length of your reply would please Len.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > I seriously doubt it.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > I could quote "War and Peace" verbatim and still come up short on
> > > > > > > > > > > > > most LennieRants.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > You protest too much.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Protesting is legal, demostrates a strength of character and
> > > > > > > > > > > > > dedication to one's values.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Say hello to Jane Fonda.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Jane Fonda is a traitorous slut.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > And that's my warm/fuzzy opinion about her. It get's more
> > > > > > > > > > > > > colorful from there.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > She exhibits all of the traits that you admire.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Oh no, Brain...Defiling Veterans is Lennie's schtick. Your mentor.
> > > > > > > > > > > > The slimey weasel that parodies the Tomb of the Unknowns and whom YOU
> > > > > > > > > > > > said you "admire".
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I don't admire that.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > You don't admire what? YOU stated you admire Lennie.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Were you lying then, or are you lying now?

I stated that I admired Len for standing up to the Might Macho Morsemen. I admired him for not backing down as a lesser person would have run away from your constant attacks and those of your cronies. I still admire that aspect of Len.

I do not admire "that" to which you referred. Do you recall the context???

Even though I try to find some good in each person, I do not admire you under any circumstance. You are a whacko.

> Almost all of the regulars (and quite a few of the anonymous
> posters, too) have spoken out very decisively AGAINST Lennie's vile
> parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns...
>
> Except you...

Are you really that ignorant?

> > Do you admire all things about Jane?
>
> Ms Fonda lost all dignity and respect when she sat her butt down
> in that weapon's control seat in North Viet Nam.

Where were you?

> She chose the path of a traitor as opposed to peaceful protest.

You chose your path, and the no-servers chose theirs.

> > > Mine is causing painful but non-lethal trauma to those who
> > > associate me with a known traitor like Jane Fonda.
> >
> > "Mine" what? Fantasy? Reality? What?
>
> You really can't be THAT ignorant...Or can you...?!?!

Everyone is "THAT" ignorant when you start using weasel words. What do they mean?

> > > Know anyone like that? I have one in mind.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I'm sure you do. Care to share in public, or do the veiled threats
> > continue?
>
> No veiled threat, Brain.

Apparently they do continue...

2695. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 02:39:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118457549.476784.145330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110028

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3Soqe.2255$hK3.1041@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > In major contests like SS, CQWWDX, etc., should SO2R be a category
> seperate from
> > SO?
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
>
> Hello, Hans
>
> Nothing like a Chief to figure a way to beat the odds, eh?
>
> :))

Which USN CPO holds the all-time high number of Acey-Duecy wins?

>
> Best regards (and respect, as well)
> Jim AA2QA
> ps - I'll getcha for this, pal ;)

2696. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 02:46:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ufsqe.2555$jX6.1190@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110029

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

> Which USN CPO holds the all-time high number
> of Acey-Duecy wins?

Don Rickles? (aka CPO Steve Sharkey)

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

2697. "Wrong Again Robeson"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 02:47:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118458035.469554.324800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110030

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Steve, that ONE thing made you wrong, and you finally admitted
> > > > wrongness only about that ONE thing.
> > >
> > > Because that WAS the only thing wrong.
> >
> > That is merely your opinion unsubstantiated by fact.
>
> Seems that ChiefMARS thinks differently.

I don't recall the Chief of MARS ever stating that he was running
Amateur Radio. I really, really don't. Must be sometimer's kicking
in.

> I assume that you accept that he probably has a bit more insight
> to the matter than you do, Brain?

I accept that he know that MARS >>>ISN'T<<< Amateur Radio.

> > > > Meanwhile your whole preposterous
> > > > assertion remains wrong, and you wrongly defend it to this day.
> > >
> > > What's wrong? Other than your lying, of course..?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > The part where you said, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>
> In the context of the post in which it was made, it's not wrong.

It is wrong in any context. It is wrong on the face of it. It is
wrong on the reverse of it. It is wrong on the edge of it.

Your assertion is wrong, and you are wrong.

2698. When it Absolutely, Positively has to be Wrong....Get Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 03:05:19 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118459119.742841.118860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110031

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1118171133.720019.172950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > >
> > > > > However, the US Navy does issue callsigns to amateurs at Antarctic Bases
> > > > > (ie,
> > > > > KC4AAA, KC4AAC) and Guantanamo Bay (ie, KG4ML, KG4OX).
> > > >
> > > > I forgot about Gitmo and Antarctica...You're completely correct.
> > >
> > > And let's not forget the KC4USA thru USZ series...
> > >
> > >
> > > Who "forgot" it? That's part of the Antarctic Bases block administered by USN.
> > >
> > > dit dit
> > > de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Hi!
>
> I missed the humor, Brain.

Nothing new there.

> Is the United States Navy part of the federal government?

"Sorry Hans, USN >>>IS<<< FCC."

> And did Hans NOT cite the USA through USN blocks in his post?

Yes, he did not. He cited KC4AAA, KC4AAC, KG4ML, and KG4OX.

> Steve, K4YZ

You got one right.

2699. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 03:37:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118461066.638339.170150@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110032

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > Suppose I have a rig with two VFOs. I'm hunt-and-pouncing
> > QSOs on one frequency and listening to the pile on VY1JA
> > on another frequency on the same band. I toss my call at
> > VY1JA at appropriate moments. Is that SO2R or not?
>
> No. At best it's SO1.5R.

Then we agree!
>
> > The line has to be drawn somewhere.
>
> The line has already be drawn --- SO. The purists maintain
> that whatever an SO
> can do to improve his ability to run up a score should be
> allowed. I'm inclined to agree.

Yet at the same time, there are usually power classes so the QRP' er
isn't up against the big gun. In some contests, packet spotting puts
you in a different class.

So there is a precedent for different categories.
>
> > The difference (to me, anyway) is that multiband SO2R
> > essentially takes two complete stations capable of
> > simultaneous operation even if they're
> > both not in transmit mode at the same moment.
> > That's where the line is - for me.
>
> Would you draw additional lines at SO3R, SO4R, SO5R, etc?

Sure - but does anyone do those?

What about multiple simultaneous transmissions - say, calling CQ on
more than one band at a time?
>
> > OTOH, it could be argued that as long as there is only one
> > signal actually transmitted at any given time, and only one
> > operator, there's only one "station", regardless of how
> > much hardware is involved.
>
> Seems like a good argument to me!
>
> > Now for a topic in the opposite direction: How about an "Iron"

> > category (as in "Iron Chef" or "Ironman", etc.).
> >
> > One rig at a time, only. No second VFO, receivers or memories.
> > No computer logging. No memory keyers for voice or code. 150
> > W maximum power.
>
> I wouldn't be in favor of such a category. To me, one of the
> attractions of
> radiosport is that it encourages pushing the limits
>(within good ethics) and
> thinking outside the box on several levels: innovative station
> design, battle
> strategy, skill development, and taking advantage of every
> available technology.

Yet at the same time, there are power classes, and packet spotting
puts you in a different category.

> Your "Iron" category seems like putting hobbles on Secretariat
> in the Preakness.

Not at all! No one would have to be in that category if they didn't
want to be. It would be optional - an alternative only.

How about this:

Suppose someone builds a true robot station - automated sending and
receiving. Sure, it won't handle QRM well, but when things aren't
jumping in a domestic contest like SS, it could do the job on a slow
band while the op eats, goes QWC, or takes a rest. Or maybe works
another band.

Or maybe not a total robot station, but rather a "new one finder".
Computer-controlled receiver scans up and down each band, looking for
callsigns that are not in the log already. Alerts the op to a new one
automatically. There could be several of them, scanning each band
simultaneously. (Useless early in the
contest, but as time goes on they could be very helpful).

How about putting the entire FCC callsign database in the computer in
such a way that the op is given "pointers"? These "pointers" could be
things like "callsign not in database", section/state/country, etc.
Could give best-guesses from partial callsigns too.

Would those things be OK in SO?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2700. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 04:16:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PAtqe.2392$NX4.1364@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110033

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> Yet at the same time, there are usually power classes
> so the QRP' er isn't up against the big gun.

Makes sense to me. I don't think a 5W station out to be required with a 1500W station. But within those power classes each operator ought to be able be a creative as he desires in how he configures his station.

> In some contests, packet spotting puts you
> in a different class.

Packet spotting is a form of outside assistance, in effect a "Multi-Op" effort. An SO entrant ought not be required to compete with Multi-Ops.

> What about multiple simultaneous transmissions - say, calling
> CQ on more than one band at a time?

No contest (that I know about) allows that in SO category. "Single transmitted signal" is what defines SO.

> Suppose someone builds a true robot station - automated
> sending and receiving.

It would be a novelty, but not competitive. There is in fact a standing "challenge" (side bet) for anyone who can field a robo-contester in one of the popular events, maybe ARRL DX. Forget the details, but it must be able to "participate" in the contest for some minimum time (6 hours?), and submit it's own log untouched by human hands.

> Or maybe not a total robot station, but rather a "new one
> finder". Computer-controlled receiver scans up and down
> each band, looking for callsigns that are not in the log already.
> Alerts the op to a new one automatically. There could be
> several of them, scanning each band simultaneously. (Useless
> early in the contest, but as time goes on they could be very
> helpful).

That's a logical extension of SO2R, and I believe that the station designer who makes it work ought to remain in SO category and not be "punished" for his innovation and design effort.

> How about putting the entire FCC callsign database
> in the computer in such a way that the op is given
> "pointers"? These "pointers" could be things like
> "callsign not in database", section/state/country, etc.
> Could give best-guesses from partial callsigns too.
>

> Would those things be OK in SO?

Similar things already exist. CT and NA both have a feature called "Super Check Partial" which provide "matches" from a database of calls harvested from previous contests. For example, if you enter the partial call "K0H", it will show you several choices of calls like K0HA, K0HB, SK0HL, IK0HBN, all of which contain the sequence "K0H". Because that's information not gathered "off the air during the contest", purists consider it "outside assistance" and feel it should disqualify the entrant from SO and place them in an assisted category.

Purists draw only this line between SO and "multi-op" or "assisted". Every bit of information which goes into an SO log must be gathered off the air during the contest period by a single operator and his equipment without outside assitance such as packet clusters, harvested data-bases, and similar aids.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2701. Why Does Brian P Burke Insist On Manufacturing MORE Mistruths?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 06:58:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118473107.524981.64820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110034

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > Steve, that ONE thing made you wrong, and you finally admitted
> > > > > > > wrongness only about that ONE thing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Because that WAS the only thing wrong.
> > > > >
> > > > That is merely your opinion unsubstantiated by fact.
> > >
> > Seems that ChiefMARS thinks differently.
> >
> I don't recall the Chief of MARS ever stating that he was running
> Amateur Radio. I really, really don't. Must be sometimer's kicking
> in.

Nor did I say he was.

However he DID state that MARS and Amateur Radio WERE a "service to
the nation"...

> > I assume that you accept that he probably has a bit more insight
> > to the matter than you do, Brain?
> >
> I accept that he know that MARS >>>ISN'T<<< Amateur Radio.

You didn't answer the question.

Why?

> > > > > Meanwhile your whole preposterous
> > > > > assertion remains wrong, and you wrongly defend it to this day.
> > > > >
> > > > > What's wrong? Other than your lying, of course..?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > The part where you said, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
> > >
> > > In the context of the post in which it was made, it's not wrong.
> >
> > It is wrong in any context.

No, it's not.

> It is wrong on the face of it.

No, it's not.

> It is wrong on the reverse of it.

No, it's not.

> It is wrong on the edge of it.

No, it's not.

> Your assertion is wrong, and you are wrong.

That YOU disagree does not make it "wrong".

That you attempt to make it something other than what it was IN CONTEXT is deceitful.

Brian P Burke manufactured yet another mistruth.

He is a liar.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

2702. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 07:10:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118473806.453637.187800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110035

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:

> > > > Oh no, Brain...Defiling Veterans is Lennie's schtick. Your mentor.
> > > > The slimey weasel that parodies the Tomb of the Unknowns and whom YOU
> > > > said you "admire".
> > >
> > > I don't admire that.
> >
> > You don't admire what? YOU stated you admire Lennie.
> >
> > Were you lying then, or are you lying now?
>
> I stated that I admired Len for standing up to the Might Macho
> Morsemen. I admired him for not backing down as a lesser person would
> have run away from your constant attacks and those of your cronies. I
> still admire that aspect of Len.
>
> I do not admire "that" to which you referred. Do you recall the
> context???

Of course I do.

> Even though I try to find some good in each person, I do not admire you
> under any circumstance.

Too bad. You have your good moments. I've said so.

> You are a whacko.

And you're a documented chronic liar. So who do you think will
believe you, other than Lennie who is ALSO a documented chronic
liar...?!?!

> > Almost all of the regulars (and quite a few of the anonymous
> > posters, too) have spoken out very decisively AGAINST Lennie's vile
> > parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns...
> >
> > Except you...
>
> Are you really that ignorant?

I am not ignorant at all.

All of "us" have DIRECTLY addressed his vile and demeaning
"parody" of the Tomb of the Unknowns.

You have not.

Why? Coward?

> > > Do you admire all things about Jane?
> >
> > Ms Fonda lost all dignity and respect when she sat her butt down
> > in that weapon's control seat in North Viet Nam.
>
> Where were you?

It doesn't matter WHERE I was. She's a traitor. Documented in
countless testimonies. Not a one of those testimonies has been
challenged, by Fonda or any person or entity on her behalf.

> > She chose the path of a traitor as opposed to peaceful protest.
>
> You chose your path, and the no-servers chose theirs.

The "no-servers" have not broken any laws.

As for the path I chose, yes, I did. As did you. Too bad you
wound up with the reputation of being a chronic liar, eh...?!?!

> > > > Mine is causing painful but non-lethal trauma to those who
> > > > associate me with a known traitor like Jane Fonda.
> > >
> > > "Mine" what? Fantasy? Reality? What?
> >
> > You really can't be THAT ignorant...Or can you...?!?!
>
> Everyone is "THAT" ignorant when you start using weasel words. What do
> they mean?

What weasel words?

I wasn't aware that weasels (other than you and Lennie) were able
to communicate, let alone have a vocabulary.

> > > > Know anyone like that? I have one in mind.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > I'm sure you do. Care to share in public, or do the veiled threats
> > > continue?
> >
> > No veiled threat, Brain.
>
> Apparently they do continue...

What continues?

Steve, K4YZ

2703. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 07:12:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118473960.757071.202640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110036

George Orwell wrote:
> In article <Hx3qe.238$je2.10387600@news.sisna.com>
> "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > I truly hope you are NOT a ham... if so, I personally will try
> to have
> > your license revoked and given to a trucker on the chicken
> band...
> >
> > John
>
> You ####ing ####sucker, I am a ham, and there isn't a ####ing
> thing you are going to do about it except take a #### and fall
> back into it.

Judging by that post, you're not a "ham", but rather a "pig".

Steve, K4YZ

2704. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 07:21:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118474482.833184.295050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110037

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Be nice to Stebie...(SNIP)

No acknowledgement of the error. "Professional Engineer" who
allegedly "knows" Avionics.

> Soon Stebie be Last Man on Earth.

If it were between you and I, yes, I'd be the last man on Earth.

And still don't see your acknowledgement of your error on the IFF
codes.

> Stebie ultra-superior...(SNIP)

Only where you're concerned, Lennie...Of course it doesn't take too
much to BE that way, so it's no accomplishment of note.

Didn't see anything about your error there either, Lennie

> Newsgroup all about Stebie and FIGHT FIGHT FIGHT enemies.

I don't have any enemies here, Lennie...at least as far as I am
concerned. If I do, THEY have a problem.

> All enemies DIE.

Eventually.

> All enemies LIE.

Not all of them. But you're the Geico of Liars, Lennie...Solid
97%, and working on the other 3%...

> Only Stebie say truth.

Nope. But I am one of many. You're not, though.

> Hope Stebie see dentist very soon. Get tooth fixed, smile
> for first time in life.

I will.

When I unload those caffinated drinks.

Steve, K4YZ

2705. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 07:30:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rqwqe.5148$nj2.6601858@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110038

Len:

I think steve's argument goes like this, "If I can find one thing wrong
with any of your text--I can dismiss ALL of it"

--OR--

"Once I can prove a point wrong in any of your posts--anything you have
to say from then on is LESS IMPORTANT than my text"

... Where do they get these guys from? Even though the younger
generation is much more educated than just a few decades ago--dinosaurs
still walking the earth is an amazing sight!!! <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1118439835.432495.97630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Fri 10 Jun 2005 07:54
>
>>geesh, alzheimers is already hitting me, having been born in 1949 I am
>>55--and I accuse women of lying about their age--I am getting old...
>>birthdays come too quickly, another before this years end, guess I
>>would
>>secretly like to remain 52... <frown>
>
> Be nice to Stebie. Stebie say all old people DIE real soon.
> Stebie immortal, nebber die. Stebie wanna FIGHT FIGHT FIGHT.
> Stebie fight death. All Stebie enemies DIE very soon.
> Stebie not die. He say so. Archived.
>
> Soon Stebie be Last Man on Earth. He sit alone in cabin,
> then get knock on door. [Stebie not read Isaac Asimov]
>
>>I know nothing of flying except how to board a plane (probably don't
>>even do that correctly...)
>
> Stebie ultra-superior gunnery sergeant pilot, be "Pilot in
> Command" of two-seater single-engine. Veddy important, have
> picture taken in flight suit, frowning, not show teeth again.
> Stebie air ace, make ace of self in TN CAP ready room,
> reading old PIREPs and NOTAMs.
>
>>... as I have said, has nothing to do with the death of amateur radio
>>or

>>the dangers it faces...
>
> Newsgroup all about Stebie and FIGHT FIGHT FIGHT enemies.
> All enemies DIE. All enemies LIE. Only Stebie say truth.
>
> Hope Stebie see dentist very soon. Get tooth fixed, smile
> for first time in life.
>
>>John
>>
>>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>

2706. Re: "Wrong Again Robeson"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 08:48:34 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <Glendale@nospam>
Message-ID: <d8e8g3rns0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110039

bb wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>>>Steve, that ONE thing made you wrong, and you finally admitted
>>>>>wrongness only about that ONE thing.
>>>>
>>>> Because that WAS the only thing wrong.
>>>
>>>That is merely your opinion unsubstantiated by fact.
>>
>> Seems that ChiefMARS thinks differently.
>
>
> I don't recall the Chief of MARS ever stating that he was running
> Amateur Radio. I really, really don't. Must be sometimer's kicking
> in.
>
>
>> I assume that you accept that he probably has a bit more insight
>>to the matter than you do, Brain?
>
>
> I accept that he know that MARS >>>ISN'T<<< Amateur Radio.
>
>
>>>>>Meanwhile your whole preposterous
>>>>>assertion remains wrong, and you wrongly defend it to this day.
>>>>
>>>> What's wrong? Other than your lying, of course..?!?!
>>>>
>>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>>The part where you said, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>>
>> In the context of the post in which it was made, it's not wrong.
>
>
> It is wrong in any context. It is wrong on the face of it. It is
> wrong on the reverse of it. It is wrong on the edge of it.

>
> Your assertion is wrong, and you are wrong.
>
Ass ertion. Wah Wah Wah.

Goober Boy Lee thinks Lloyd sent this but the lisping faggot is wrong.
Send a letter to me at 430 Longview Street.
No, gay boy, Roger doesnt live in West Virginia these days. Roger got a
promotion and lives in new house in an upper scale condo. Roger almost
has his Master's degree and unlike you pizza boy, roger is a salaried
worker. That leaves you to pick up the sanitary napkins Goober Boy.

BUAHAHAHAHA!

2707. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 09:50:11 UTC
From: Mona <KiloWatt@anon>
Message-ID: <d8ec5q$43q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110040

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:rqwqe.5148$nj2.6601858@news.sisna.com...
Len:

I think steve's argument goes like this, "If I can find one thing wrong
with any of your text--I can dismiss ALL of it"

--OR--

"Once I can prove a point wrong in any of your posts--anything you have
to say from then on is LESS IMPORTANT than my text"

... Where do they get these guys from? Even though the younger
generation is much more educated than just a few decades ago--dinosaurs
still walking the earth is an amazing sight!!! <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

<Aw, gee. The suck-up factor has increased by leaps and bounds. We have a
sixty something retiree bolstering and encouraging a late seventy something
retiree as they back slap one another on a job they think is well done.
What fun. One is awaiting his Social Security check and the other is casting
downward glances to make sure the elastic on his Relys is not leaking. A guy
can ruin chairs that way.

Ya gotta love these doddering old fools. One tries to enhance the other
while at the same time they are stumbling over one another in an effort of
one upmanship.

"Yeah, Len, you are cool. My idol."
"Dittos, John."
"Who should I denigrate next, Len?"
"Oh, take your pick."

"Let me give it some thought, Len."
"OK. Take your time. I have to change my Relys."
"Understood. I have to do the same. By the way...do you use Lite Days or
Nite Days?"

...and the tale of the weak-bladdered duo is to be continued.

2708. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 11:32:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OKydnQHvZoV-VjffRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 110041

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:5Mhqe.15$3A7.752780@news.sisna.com...
> ... so, we can throw away the scientific calculators and go back to slide
> rules--I think not... a code reader is that kind of difference... of
> course, I am the type of guy would wouldn't go back to regen receivers
> either...
>
> John
>

Your comparison falls down. It is more like retaining the ability to do
mathematics with pencil and paper occasionally.

All the code readers I've seen fail except under ideal conditions (i.e.
strong signal, near perfect fist, little static, little fading).

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2709. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 11:45:39 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JoKdnSGOSMN6UzffRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 110042

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118454735.092814.201240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:

[snip]

> ---
>
> OK, here's one to toss around:
>
> Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in
> the "60 meter" region.
>
> Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:
>
> 1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to
> 2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..
>
> or
>
> 2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such
> as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the
> same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.
>
> Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz
> overall?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

I'd vote for the 2nd one. In my opinion, the bands we have now are nicely
space to take advantage of variations in propagation. However, I'd like a
lot more room on both 40 meters and 30 meters. If 30m could be widened,
then a phone section could be added.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2710. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 13:24:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118496241.798224.41760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110043

Mona wrote:

> ...and the tale of the weak-bladdered duo is to be continued.

Your own anonymous status notwithstanding, this is not an unusual situation. Once every 6 months or so an anonymous "LennieFan" pops up, glad hands Lennie for a few days or couple weeks, then disappears as quickly as they arrived.

Lennie's only NON-anonymous fans have been very few. First on his list is Brian Burke, N0IMD. "Brain" is himself an archived chronic liar and has numerous character issues unresolved. He cowers behind "bb" and won't sign his name or callsign to posts alledging "spam mitigation". Bunk.

"Brain" has a laundry list of unanswered, unresolved questions going back over 5 years, yet he tries to hide his inadequacies behind false and misleading spindances against me.

The fact is that he's not only been caught with his britches down more than a fifty cent hooker on payday, but they are dragging behind him, tattered and forever unrepairable and unserviceable. Did it to himself, though...He keeps running headlong into that brick wall then complains about the headache.

There's Vipul Shah, KB1HMW. Actually, Vipul started out as "anonymous", but with a bit of research I "outted" him. What can we say about Vipul? I am sure he's basically a nice guy, but he's one of those immigrants who seems to think the entirety of the United States is going to morph into something HE can understand, rather than him adapting to the enviroment he's in. He carries that through to Amateur Radio, and has suggested on several occassions that Amateur Radio would be much better off if "we" saw things his way instead.

His primary motive for being in Amateur Radio, apparently, is hitting on "preeti fems". Now I've been an Amateur for several decades now, and have, on occassion, met a female Amateur who turned heads smartly, but they are far and very few between, and usually the spouse of some 6'3" linebacker named "Rocky". Anyone who got an Amateur ticket to "meet babes" is going to be sorely disappointed! Lord know's I've looked!

And let's not forget how buddybuddy Lennie and Todd Daugherty, N9OGL were a couple weeks ago...Need I say anything more about Todd? Foul mouthed, functionally illiterate, and too stupid to cover his own tracks when leaving ugly e-mails. But it doesn't surprise me...Lennie likes to punctuate his rants with a burst of profanity from time to

time, so I am sure he was right at home. However Todd holds the crown...Now if he can just find another ISP since his foul, irresponsible mouth got him booted from the "local" service in Taylorville, IL.

Now we suddenly have "John". Allegedly a middle aged Amateur of unknown years experience, unknown level of licensure, unknown organizational affiliations, memberships, etc..."John" may very well be a "real" person (read that as "not a figment of Lennie's imagination") but I doubt it. It would not be above Lennie (or beyond him) to create yet another anonymous "buddy" to help him get through a rough time in the NG. It's happened before. I may be wrong, but that duck sure is quacking pretty loudly.

Steve, K4YZ

2711. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 14:08:43 UTC
From: Lloyd <star@starslloydregmgr.com>
Message-ID: <d8er3p$135$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110044

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1118496241.798224.41760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Mona wrote:
>
>> ...and the tale of the weak-bladdered duo is to be continued.
>
> Your own anonymous status notwithstanding, this is not an unusual
> situation. Once every 6 months or so an anonymous "LennieFan" pops up,
> glad hands Lennie for a few days or couple weeks, then disappears as
> quickly as they arrived.
>
> Lennie's only NON-anonymous fans have been very few. First on his
> list is Brian Burke, N0IMD. "Brain" is himself an archived chronic
> liar and has numerous character issues unresolved. He cowers behind
> "bb" and won't sign his name or callsign to posts alledging "spam
> mitigation". Bunk.
>
> "Brain" has a laundry list of unanswered, unresolved questions
> going back over 5 years, yet he tries to hide his inadequacies behind
> false and misleading spindances against me.
>
> The fact is that he's not only been caught with his britches down
> more than a fifty cent hooker on payday, but they are dragging behind
> him, tattered and forever unrepairable and unserviceable. Did it to
> himself, though...He keeps running headlong into that brick wall then
> complains about the headache.
>
> There's Vipul Shah, KB1HMW. Actually, Vipul started out as
> "anonymous", but with a bit of research I "outted" him. What can we say
> about Vipul? I am sure he's basically a nice guy, but he's one of
> those immigrants who seems to think the entirety of the United States
> is going to morph into something HE can understand, rather than him
> adapting to the enviroment he's in. He carries that through to Amateur
> Radio, and has suggested on several occassions that Amateur Radio would
> be much better off if "we" saw things his way instead.
>
> His primary motive for being in Amateur Radio, apparently, is
> hitting on "preeti fems". Now I've been an Amateur for several decades
> now, and have, on occassion, met a female Amateur who turned heads
> smartly, but they are far and very few between, and usually the spouse
> of some 6'3" linebacker named "Rocky". Anyone who got an Amateur
> ticket to "meet babes" is going to be sorely disappointed! Lord know's

> I've looked!
>
> And let's not forget how buddybuddy Lennie and Todd Daugherty,
> N9OGL were a couple weeks ago...Need I say anything more about Todd?
> Foul mouthed, functionally illiterate, and too stupid to cover his own
> tracks when leaving ugly e-mails. But it doesn't surprise me...Lennie
> likes to punctuate his rants with a burst of profanity from time to
> time, so I am sure he was right at home. However Todd holds the
> crown...Now if he can just find another ISP since his foul,
> irresponsible mouth got him booted from the "local" service in
> Taylorville, IL.
>
> Now we suddenly have "John". Allegedly a middle aged Amateur of
> unknown years experience, unknown level of licensure, unknown
> organizational affiliations, memberships, etc..."John" may very well be
> a "real" person (read that as "not a figment of Lennie's imagination")
> but I doubt it. It would not be above Lennie (or beyond him) to create
> yet another anonymous "buddy" to help him get through a rough time in
> the NG. It's happened before. I may be wrong, but that duck sure is
> quacking pretty loudly.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Quite an enemy's list you have there Robeson, and also no better example why net savvy people keep people like you at arm's length, via an anon posting service.

73,

Lloyd

2712. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 14:13:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118499223.798231.21490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110045

Lloyd wrote:

> Quite an enemy's list you have there Robeson...(SNIP)

I don't have any enemies here. Not from my perspective.

> (UNSNIP)...and also no better
> example why net savvy people keep people like you at arm's
> length, via an anon posting service.

In over 7 years of "membership", name ONE person who has suffered anything more than a bruised ego as a result of anything I've "said" or "done" here, Anonymous Coward.

The answer, of course, is "zero".

People post anonymously because they have something to hide...Usually an over active sense of paranoia. No doubt YOU are sitting there with an aluminum foil brain protector on, hoping that black helo outside your window goes away soon.

Coward.

Steve, K4YZ

2713. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 15:13:44 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <JJ-dnVtch-MuYjffRVn-og@crocker.com>
Source record: 110046

"Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote in message
news:ausla1t7ch4rglah0dp324i0dvlfdjmffr@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 10 Jun 2005 22:53:51 GMT, "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:

> I propose that there be two basic classes of competition:
>
> 1. A Limited Class which clearly spells out maximum hardware, i.e. one
> radio, one antenna per band, no receiving while transmitting, and
> perhaps some others,
>
> -and-
>
> 2. An Unlimited Class which allows anything legal.
>
> Within those two classes there could be subclasses for power level and
> number of operators, but the basic hardware definitions would remain the
> same. This would allow an operator to choose his class and know he is
> competing against others who are equipped similarly.
>
> The present hardware situation reminds me of a boxer who has acquired a
> set of brass knuckles but who still wants to fight those not so
> equipped. That's not right and neither is contesting in its present
> form.
>
> Comments welcome.
>

the rules of contests are up to the individual sponsors. contact the
specific organization that runs the contests if you want to try to convince
them to change their rules. general discussions in open groups do little
besides generating lots of messages that aren't getting to the targets that
can make the changes. you might also want to look at the details of some of
the larger contests, there are some that have things like a 'tribander and
wires' catagory, or the 'limited multiop', or 'band limited single op', and
even the various single band or single mode entries for those who either
can't or don't want to compete with the all band guys... and then of course
most contests have low power and qrp catagories where there is much less of
the so2r type of operation.

2714. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 16:03:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5XDqe.2485$NX4.2093@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110047

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote

> the rules of contests are up to the individual sponsors. contact the specific
> organization that runs the contests if you want to try to convince them to
> change their rules. general discussions in open groups do little besides
> generating lots of messages that aren't getting to the targets that can make
> the changes.

I don't think we expect that this discussion will be acted on by the radiosport sponsors, but can't we have the discussion, just for discussion sake? (You don't need to participate if you'd prefer not to.)

> and then of course most contests have low power and
> qrp catagories where there is much less of the so2r type
> of operation.

Actually the LP layer (100-150W) is where the greatest number of stations specifically engineered for SO2R seems to be. Surprising on the surface, but makes a lot of sense when you consider the issue of self-induced noise.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2715. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 16:24:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZeEqe.2494$NX4.745@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110048

"Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote

> Contesting hardware has evolved to the point that one must spend a small
> fortune to be competitive.....

I don't think money is what makes you competitive. Innovation and thoughtful design of your station, honing your skills, and picking the fights you can win are what make a station competitive.

I'll use my station as a prime example. In the major contests in which I compete I have an excellent record of success with lots of section and division wallpaper and walnut on the wall. Any time I get whupped it's due to better operators, not the cost of the station.

My SO2R setup consists of a 10-year-old Icom run rig and a 17-year-old mult rig. The city-lot antenna farm consists of a single tower with a tribander, a selection of wire antennas, and a couple of verticals. Station control is an 8-year-old 'consumer grade 486', CT by K1EA (freeware), and homebrewed SO2R-relay/wiring system. "Hamfest value" of the entire station is well below the "small fortune" level.

You only need a "small fortune" if you're adverse to a little "sweat equity" and decide to buy it all at 1-800-rigs-r-us.

In fact, if you depend on "rigs-r-us" to win I'd guess your station probably could benefit from some thoughtful design efforts, not more money.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2716. Steve mishandles even small amounts of truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 16:25:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118507127.335152.88430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110049

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > Steve, that ONE thing made you wrong, and you finally admitted
> > > > > > wrongness only about that ONE thing.
> > > > >
> > > > > Because that WAS the only thing wrong.
> > > >
> > > > That is merely your opinion unsubstantiated by fact.
> > >
> > > Seems that ChiefMARS thinks differently.
> >
> > I don't recall the Chief of MARS ever stating that he was running
> > Amateur Radio. I really, really don't. Must be sometimer's kicking
> > in.
>
> Nor did I say he was.

If you say that "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio," and you did.

And you say that he is the Chief of MARS, and you did.

Then you are saying that he >>>IS<<< the Chief of Amateur Radio.

> However he DID state that MARS and Amateur Radio WERE a "service to
> the nation"...

Jimmy the Riveter was supposed to be a "service to the nation." Jimmy
the Riveter is not the Chief of Mars.

> > > I assume that you accept that he probably has a bit more insight
> > > to the matter than you do, Brain?
> >
> > I accept that he know that MARS >>>ISN'T<<< Amateur Radio.
>
> You didn't answer the question.
>
> Why?

I was preoccupied showing how you were wrong. Sorry.

> > > > > Meanwhile your whole preposterous
> > > > > assertion remains wrong, and you wrongly defend it to this day.
> > > > >

> > > > > What's wrong? Other than your lying, of course..?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > The part where you said, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
> > > >
> > > In the context of the post in which it was made, it's not wrong.
> > >
> > It is wrong in any context.
>
> No, it's not.
>
> > It is wrong on the face of it.
>
> No, it's not.
>
> > It is wrong on the reverse of it.
>
> No, it's not.
>
> > It is wrong on the edge of it.
>
> No, it's not.
>
> > Your assertion is wrong, and you are wrong.
>
> That YOU disagree does not make it "wrong".
>
> That you attempt to make it something other than what it was IN
> CONTEXT is deceitful.
>
> Brian P Burke manufactured yet another mistruth.
>
> He is a liar.
>
> It really is THAT simple.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

And so you are wrong again, and lied about it again. You cannot be
trusted with the truth even in small amounts.

2717. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 16:30:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118507409.971331.228190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109900

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
>
> Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
> passed:
>
> WA2SI: September 13, 2003
> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> K2UNK: January 1, 2004
> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> N2EY: April 15, 2004
> N3KIP: May 1, 2004
> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> K3LT: September 15, 2004
> WK3C: December 30, 2004
> N4PGW:May 22, 2005
> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> AB2RC: July 1, 2007
> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>
> Anybody want to add a prediction? If so, the "rolling rule" (thanks
> Dee, N8UZE) applies:
>
> If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
> add a new one.
>
> At this point N3KIP and everyone before him can add a new prediction.
> All predictions stay on the list.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Not interested then. Not interested now. Your strangle hold on the
amateur service may not end until the actuarial tables kick in, but
they will end.

2718. Re: Should we have a poll?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 16:34:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118507692.296522.146620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109901

Jim Hampton wrote:
> Hi, gang
>
> How about a guess as to how many days we have to wait for a subject that is
> actually *on* topic?
>
> I'll guess 60 hours.
>
> Any takers? LOL
>
> Any chance of stopping the flames? Didn't think so ... but ... in any case,
> any guesses as to how many hours (or days) before another on-topic subject?
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

Jimmy the Riveter has "The Poll." That's semi-on topic, and he even
included Kim's callsign.

WRT stopping the flames; Just have Robeson quit lying and everything
will level out. Can you do that?

2719. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 16:50:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KDEqe.5172$6Q2.8143966@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109910

no... the old hams have become as out dated as regen receivers... they
may think no ones notices... we do... it is ok to fool others, but when
you only end up being a fool from your efforts you have failed to stop
listening to others telling you about it... you now go on to tell
everyone else "how it is"--failing to comprehend that everyone has
already stopped listening...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:OKydnQHvZoV-VjffRVn-3g@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:5Mhqe.15$3A7.752780@news.sisna.com...
>> ... so, we can throw away the scientific calculators and go back to
>> slide rules--I think not... a code reader is that kind of
>> difference... of course, I am the type of guy would wouldn't go back
>> to regen receivers either...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Your comparison falls down. It is more like retaining the ability to
> do mathematics with pencil and paper occasionally.
>
> All the code readers I've seen fail except under ideal conditions
> (i.e. strong signal, near perfect fist, little static, little fading).
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>
>

2720. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 16:54:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TGEqe.5173$d73.8174686@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109908

... that must truly have been written by a woman--demonstrates a
complete lack of knowledge, is worried about "interpersonal
relationships" and is of generally no use...

... but possibly could have been written by some silly gay who is
completing a sex change series of operations and consuming hormones...

John

"Mona" <KiloWatt@anon> wrote in message
news:d8ec5q$43q$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:rqwqe.5148$nj2.6601858@news.sisna.com...
> Len:
>
> I think steve's argument goes like this, "If I can find one thing
> wrong
> with any of your text--I can dismiss ALL of it"
>
> --OR--
>
> "Once I can prove a point wrong in any of your posts--anything you
> have
> to say from then on is LESS IMPORTANT than my text"
>
> ... Where do they get these guys from? Even though the younger
> generation is much more educated than just a few decades
> ago--dinosaurs
> still walking the earth is an amazing sight!!! <grin>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> <Aw, gee. The suck-up factor has increased by leaps and bounds. We
> have a
> sixty something retiree bolstering and encouraging a late seventy
> something
> retiree as they back slap one another on a job they think is well
> done.
> What fun. One is awaiting his Social Security check and the other is
> casting
> downward glances to make sure the elastic on his Relys is not leaking.
> A guy
> can ruin chairs that way.

>
> Ya gotta love these doddering old fools. One tries to enhance the
> other
> while at the same time they are stumbling over one another in an
> effort of
> one upmanship.
>
> "Yeah, Len, you are cool. My idol."
> "Dittos, John."
> "Who should I denigrate next, Len?"
> "Oh, take your pick."
>
> "Let me give it some thought, Len."
> "OK. Take your time. I have to change my Relys."
> "Understood. I have to do the same. By the way...do you use Lite Days
> or
> Nite Days?"
>
> ...and the tale of the weak-bladdered duo is to be continued.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2721. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 16:55:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118508932.835467.273910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109902

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> I think steve's argument goes like this, "If I can find one thing wrong
> with any of your text--I can dismiss ALL of it"
>
> --OR--
>
> "Once I can prove a point wrong in any of your posts--anything you have
> to say from then on is LESS IMPORTANT than my text"

A legitimate assessment.

> ... Where do they get these guys from?

I'm guessing that he's the product of an overdominant maternal figure
and all that goes along with it. Mad at the world for having been
raised a sissy, he over compensates by becoming a Marine. That doesn't
work out, so he resigns himself to what he is and becomes a nurse. The
pieces seem to fit, but it's just a guess.

2722. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 16:57:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7KEqe.5174$Ea3.8185961@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109909

... still the problem is denied, still the problem goes on--still the Alzheimer's victims are as in denial, puzzled and generally incompetent at begin a help...

... so the hobby goes on led by ancient old men babbling war cries constructed of ill formed logic...

... meantime, status quo remains level... some here will die never realizing there is a problem--but then--we knew that from the start...

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:YKJpe.67$Nh6.4486192@news.sisna.com...
> You would think the ARRL high priest would not abandon these
> guys--times must be tough...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/05/22/1/?nc=1
>
> John
> --
> Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality,
> right before my eyes--in real time!
> Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>

2723. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 16:58:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118509083.974667.68940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109903

John Smith wrote:
> I don't think there is a ham alive which can beat my keyboard, > hooked to
> a "electronic key" and sending morse, nor my sound card
> doubling as a
> "code reader" and producing text on screen from cw...

I can beat that setup under certain conditions. The
reverse is also true. All depends on the situation.

For example, can that setup function with the operator's
eyes shut?

But that's really not the point. Using a keyboard and code
reader means you're doing a form of RTTY that happens to
use OOK Morse instead of, say, ASCII FSK as the encoding
method. If that's fun for you, enjoy!

But it's not the real issue.

> You mean to tell me people actually use "real keys" still--

Oh yes. I have a choice of four Vibroplexes, and a J-37 straight
key. Wonderful instruments.

> gawd, I thought all those sk <tongue-in-cheek>

I'm younger than you, John.

Some analogies:

- Someone in fair physical condition can beat the fastest marathon
runners in history - if the someone uses a bicycle. That doesn't make
the someone a marathoner.

- Someone else riding a Harley can win the Tour de France easily. That
doesn't entitle them to the yellow shirt.

- There are plenty of boats that can win the America's Cup against all
challengers - but they're not allowed to compete, because those boats
have engines instead of sails.

Get the idea?

2724. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 16:59:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3MEqe.5175$ak2.8107041@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109911

... the writing is on the wall, the figures are good enough for a
mathematician to hold as truth, the president of ARRL and FCC knows
it... still, denial is a powerful thing...

John

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1118507409.971331.228190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
>>
>> Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
>> passed:
>>
>> WA2SI: September 13, 2003
>> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
>> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
>> K2UNK: January 1, 2004
>> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
>> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
>> N2EY: April 15, 2004
>> N3KIP: May 1, 2004
>> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
>> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
>> K3LT: September 15, 2004
>> WK3C: December 30, 2004
>> N4PGW:May 22, 2005
>> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
>> AB2RC: July 1, 2007
>> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
>> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>>
>> Anybody want to add a prediction? If so, the "rolling rule" (thanks
>> Dee, N8UZE) applies:
>>
>> If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you
>> can
>> add a new one.
>>
>> At this point N3KIP and everyone before him can add a new prediction.
>> All predictions stay on the list.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY

>
> Not interested then. Not interested now. Your strangle hold on the
> amateur service may not end until the actuarial tables kick in, but
> they will end.
>

2725. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 17:08:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7UEqe.2534$hK3.1424@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109904

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

> Your strangle hold on the amateur service may not
> end until the actuarial tables kick in, but they will end.

Jim has a "strangle hold" on ham radio? Gee, I didn't know!

Hey, Jim --- loosen up and let me breathe!

did dit <----- Reverse Fransworth
de Hans, K0HB

2726. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 17:11:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118509870.231381.114190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109905

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > Oh no, Brain...Defiling Veterans is Lennie's schtick. Your mentor.
> > > > > The slimey weasel that parodies the Tomb of the Unknowns and whom YOU
> > > > > said you "admire".
> > > > >
> > > > I don't admire that.
> > > >
> > > You don't admire what? YOU stated you admire Lennie.
> > >
> > > Were you lying then, or are you lying now?
> > >
> > I stated that I admired Len for standing up to the Might Macho
> > Morsemen. I admired him for not backing down as a lesser person would
> > have run away from your constant attacks and those of your cronies. I
> > still admire that aspect of Len.
> >
> > I do not admire "that" to which you referred. Do you recall the
> > context???
> >
> Of course I do.

Then why do you use weasel words and play games?

> > Even though I try to find some good in each person, I do not admire you
> > under any circumstance.
> >
> Too bad. You have your good moments. I've said so.
>
> > You are a whacko.
> >
> And you're a documented chronic liar. So who do you think will
> believe you, other than Lennie who is ALSO a documented chronic
> liar...?!?!

I documented a single, three-week lying spree of yours where you told
29 new lies.

> > > Almost all of the regulars (and quite a few of the anonymous
> > > posters, too) have spoken out very decisively AGAINST Lennie's vile
> > > parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns...

> > >
> > > Except you...
> >
> > Are you really that ignorant?
>
> I am not ignorant at all.

Neither are the criminally insane.

> All of "us" have DIRECTLY addressed his vile and demeaning
> "parody" of the Tomb of the Unknowns.
>
> You have not.
>
> Why? Coward?

Why has Jimmy the Riveter continued newgroup friendship with you after
your "slashed tires and terrorized wife" remarks?

> > > > Do you admire all things about Jane?
> > >
> > > Ms Fonda lost all dignity and respect when she sat her butt down
> > > in that weapon's control seat in North Viet Nam.
> >
> > Where were you?
>
> It doesn't matter WHERE I was. She's a traitor.

You may have been a traitor also. Where were you?

Documented in
> countless testimonies. Not a one of those testimonies has been
> challenged, by Fonda or any person or entity on her behalf.

The NRA allowed a traitor to join?

> > > She chose the path of a traitor as opposed to peaceful protest.
> >
> > You chose your path, and the no-servers chose theirs.
>
> The "no-servers" have not broken any laws.

They may have.

> As for the path I chose, yes, I did. As did you. Too bad you
> wound up with the reputation of being a chronic liar, eh...?!?!

Hi! I documented a single, three-week lying spree of yours where you
told 29 new lies.

> > > > > Mine is causing painful but non-lethal trauma to those who
> > > > > associate me with a known traitor like Jane Fonda.
> > > >
> > > > "Mine" what? Fantasy? Reality? What?

> > >
> > > You really can't be THAT ignorant...Or can you...?!?!
> >
> > Everyone is "THAT" ignorant when you start using weasel words. What do
> > they mean?
>
> What weasel words?
>
> I wasn't aware that weasels (other than you and Lennie) were able
> to communicate, let alone have a vocabulary.

Yet you have a vocabulary and communicate. Your awareness needs
updating.

> > > > > Know anyone like that? I have one in mind.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > I'm sure you do. Care to share in public, or do the veiled threats
> > > > continue?
> > >
> > > No veiled threat, Brain.
> >
> > Apparently they do continue...
>
> What continues?

Your weasel words and obtuseness. If you are going to threaten me,
just do so without regard to the consequences. You'll feel better for
a little while.

2727. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 17:26:41 UTC
From: Lloyd <star@starslloydregmgr.com>
Message-ID: <d8f6mtoet0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109906

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1118508932.835467.273910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> I think steve's argument goes like this, "If I can find one thing wrong
>> with any of your text--I can dismiss ALL of it"
>>
>> --OR--
>>
>> "Once I can prove a point wrong in any of your posts--anything you have
>> to say from then on is LESS IMPORTANT than my text"
>
> A legitimate assessment.
>
>> ... Where do they get these guys from?
>
> I'm guessing that he's the product of an overdominant maternal figure
> and all that goes along with it. Mad at the world for having been
> raised a sissy, he over compensates by becoming a Marine. That doesn't
> work out, so he resigns himself to what he is and becomes a nurse. The
> pieces seem to fit, but it's just a guess.
>

ROTFLMAO! and probably right on the money too.

73,

Lloyd

2728. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 17:49:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ruFqe.2612$hK3.91@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109907

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>>Yet at the same time, there are usually power classes
>>so the QRP' er isn't up against the big gun.
>
>
> Makes sense to me. I don't think a 5W station out to be required with a 1500W
> station. But within those power classes each operator ought to be able be a
> creative as he desires in how he configures his station.
>
>
>>In some contests, packet spotting puts you
>>in a different class.
>
>
> Packet spotting is a form of outside assistance, in effect a "Multi-Op" effort.
> An SO entrant ought not be required to compete with Multi-Ops.

I'm aware of stations who use packet spots during contests and who claim
SO status. There's no real way to put that genie back into the bottle.

>>What about multiple simultaneous transmissions - say, calling
>>CQ on more than one band at a time?
>
>
> No contest (that I know about) allows that in SO category. "Single transmitted
> signal" is what defines SO.
>
>
>>Suppose someone builds a true robot station - automated
>>sending and receiving.
>
>
> It would be a novelty, but not competitive. There is in fact a standing
> "challenge" (side bet) for anyone who can field a robo-contester in one of the
> popular events, maybe ARRL DX. Forget the details, but it must be able to
> "participate" in the contest for some minimum time (6 hours?), and submit it's
> own log untouched by human hands.
>
>
>>Or maybe not a total robot station, but rather a "new one
>>finder". Computer-controlled receiver scans up and down
>>each band, looking for callsigns that are not in the log already.

>>Alerts the op to a new one automatically. There could be
>>several of them, scanning each band simultaneously. (Useless
>>early in the contest, but as time goes on they could be very
>>helpful).
>
>
> That's a logical extension of SO2R, and I believe that the station designer who
> makes it work ought to remain in SO category and not be "punished" for his
> innovation and design effort.

I disagree. The robot is, in effect, a second op.

>>How about putting the entire FCC callsign database
>>in the computer in such a way that the op is given
>>"pointers"? These "pointers" could be things like
>>"callsign not in database", section/state/country, etc.
>>Could give best-guesses from partial callsigns too.
>>
>>Would those things be OK in SO?
>
>
> Similar things already exist. CT and NA both have a feature called "Super Check
> Partial" which provide "matches" from a database of calls harvested from
> previous contests. For example, if you enter the partial call "K0H", it will
> show you several choices of calls like K0HA, K0HB, SK0HL, IK0HBN, all of which
> contain the sequence "K0H". Because that's information not gathered "off the air
> during the contest", purists consider it "outside assistance" and feel it should
> disqualify the entrant from SO and place them in an assisted category.

I don't even have the files in CT and I'm with the purists on this one.

> Purists draw only this line between SO and "multi-op" or "assisted". Every bit
> of information which goes into an SO log must be gathered off the air during the
> contest period by a single operator and his equipment without outside assitance
> such as packet clusters, harvested data-bases, and similar aids.

I'm with K3ZO on the SO2R scene: It is a lot of extra work and trouble
and I'm of the opinion that a good SO can usually equal or beat the 2R op.

I don't think automation has necessarily been a good thing for
contesting. Computer logging aside, it has removed a good bit of the
"fun factor" in contesting. I've never even used the keyboard or
computer for sending during a contest and don't do much with a memory
keyer. I'm beginning to think that Father Time and automation have
combined to reduce my interest in going for score in most contests. I
find that my interest in single band efforts is increased, mostly
because it allows me to get some sleep.

Dave K8MN

2729. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 18:11:17 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9672905C91156elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 109912

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:7UEqe.2534$hK3.1424
@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:

```
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
>> Your strangle hold on the amateur service may not end until the
>> actuarial tables kick in, but they will end.
>
> Jim has a "strangle hold" on ham radio? Gee, I didn't know!
>
> Hey, Jim --- loosen up and let me breathe!
>
> did dit <----- Reverse Fransworth
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
```

Not Jim specifically, but all the Pro Code Test Advocates (PCTA). I think it was Goethe who said something about new ideas not being accepted until those who cling to the old ones have died. It has to be said that there is some truth in that.

I think that the FCC will abolish the code test before we get that far, though. They are taking their sweet time, though. My XYL is waiting on this event to go for her General, so that's my main interest.

More countries abolish the code test all the time, although it's slowed to a trickle. I think Spain ditched the code test quite recently. OTOH, I think Italy may be one of the very few holdouts in Europe, but I think it is merely a delay. Canada looks set to do it soon, but has looked that way for a while, LOL! It will happen here too, but will have taken so long that some people will be shocked by it, as they will have pretty much forgotten about it by then.

I don't think I'll add another date. I think it will be this year, though. All right, put my second guess as December 31st, 2005.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

2730. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 18:29:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <E4Gqe.2654$hK3.1435@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109913

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

>
> I'm aware of stations who use packet spots during contests and who claim SO
> status. There's no real way to put that genie back into the bottle.
>

If that so, an examination of their log side-by-side a print out of the cluster log is an easy DQ. Rather than put the cheater (genie)back in the bottle, a quiet note to the contest sponsor with evidence will expose them.

>> That's a logical extension of SO2R, and I believe that the station designer
>> who makes it work ought to remain in SO category and not be "punished" for
>> his innovation and design effort.
>
> I disagree. The robot is, in effect, a second op.

We'll have to disagree.

>
> I'm with K3ZO on the SO2R scene: It is a lot of extra work and trouble and
> I'm of the opinion that a good SO can usually equal or beat the 2R op.
>

SO2R has a huge learning curve (more like a learning "cliff"), and a casual or inexperienced operator whose station isn't optimized for SO2R will flounder. Having said that (and god forbid I disagee with Fred) but a skilled/experienced SO2R op in the chair at a well engineered 2R station will bury an equally skilled/experienced SO.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2731. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 18:58:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <d8fc8c$c8h$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 109914

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote

> Not Jim specifically, but all the Pro Code Test Advocates (PCTA).

Nope, those guys are just expressing opinions. If there's a "choke hold" (and I don't agree there is), then it's the FCC with their hands around the throat of the ARS.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2732. Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 19:09:11 UTC
From: Nick <soccernecklaces@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1118516951.214712.205040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109915

This is a public lynching

http://un.alturl.com

2733. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 19:37:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <84Hqe.5225$f67.9174130@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109926

bb:

Just off the top of my head--SOUNDS GOOD TO ME!!! <grin>

John

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1118508932.835467.273910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> I think steve's argument goes like this, "If I can find one thing
>> wrong
>> with any of your text--I can dismiss ALL of it"
>>
>> --OR--
>>
>> "Once I can prove a point wrong in any of your posts--anything you
>> have
>> to say from then on is LESS IMPORTANT than my text"
>
> A legitimate assessment.
>
>> ... Where do they get these guys from?
>
> I'm guessing that he's the product of an overdominant maternal figure
> and all that goes along with it. Mad at the world for having been
> raised a sissy, he over compensates by becoming a Marine. That
> doesn't
> work out, so he resigns himself to what he is and becomes a nurse.
> The
> pieces seem to fit, but it's just a guess.
>

2734. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 19:40:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <v6Hqe.5226$Am7.9195663@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109925

N2EY:
... said, "I'm younger than you, John."

Hey man, calm down!!! If you start threatening me--I may have to agree
with you!!!! <tongue-in-cheek-grin>

Warmest regards,
John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118509083.974667.68940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> I don't think there is a ham alive which can beat my keyboard, >
>> hooked to
>> a "electronic key" and sending morse, nor my sound card
>> doubling as a
>> "code reader" and producing text on screen from cw...
>
> I can beat that setup under certain conditions. The
> reverse is also true. All depends on the situation.
>
> For example, can that setup function with the operator's
> eyes shut?
>
> But that's really not the point. Using a keyboard and code
> reader means you're doing a form of RTTY that happens to
> use OOK Morse instead of, say, ASCII FSK as the encoding
> method. If that's fun for you, enjoy!
>
> But it's not the real issue.
>
>> You mean to tell me people actually use "real keys" still--
>
> Oh yes. I have a choice of four Vibroplexes, and a J-37 straight
> key. Wonderful instruments.
>
>> gawd, I thought all those sk <tongue-in-cheek>
>
> I'm younger than you, John.
>
> Some analogies:
>
> - Someone in fair physical condition can beat the fastest marathon
> runners in history - if the someone uses a bicycle. That doesn't make

> the someone a marathoner.
>
> - Someone else riding a Harley can win the Tour de France easily. That
> doesn't entitle them to the yellow shirt.
>
> - There are plenty of boats that can win the America's Cup against all
> challengers - but they're not allowed to compete, because those boats
> have engines instead of sails.
>
> Get the idea?
>

2735. Re: CMD Butt Cornholer of little boys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 19:46:42 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <OGFXP6GX38515.1574305556@reece.net.au>
Source record: 109916

In article <fqSdnfG5BNWxkDffRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
CMD Butt Cornholer, English impaired and child molester
<m...@this.ten> whined:
>
> Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer, two-test wogie
blubbered
> and wrote:

"two-test wogie" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Illiterate
Butt Cornholer does it again!
Oh, the irony!
>
> Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie. Was second
grade too
> hard for you?

"Lets" (Butt Cornholer forgot a comma between ability and
"wogie")BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

"Let's" just say you fucked up as usual, Butt Cornholer,
imaginary "pilot's license" boy.

Was FIRST GRADE too hard for you?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
--
Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability
wogie"

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't
even spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary
spelling skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc>
wrote:
"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:

"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

2736. Poor Saggytits Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 19:47:18 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <62MOC7EY38515.1578472222@reece.net.au>
Source record: 109917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fuckhead.stagger.lee,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

Saggytits has to take on the persona of his real father, some
down and out negro.
He is desperately trying to find the real identity of his real
father....but just like the Marshall County WV ARES website,
Saggytits' abusive drunk of a father always eludes him.

2737. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 19:48:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <UdHqe.5229$s97.9178185@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109927

Ohhh, I totally agree... <BIG-STUPID-CLINTON-LIKE-grin>

And, "I didn't have sex with THAT WOMAN!" either...

Warmest regards,
John

"Nick" <soccernecklaces@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1118516951.214712.205040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> This is a public lynching
>
> http://un.alturl.com
>

2738. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 20:01:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118520104.040530.151930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109918

KØHB wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> > Your strangle hold on the amateur service may not
> > end until the actuarial tables kick in, but they will end.
>
> Jim has a "strangle hold" on ham radio? Gee, I didn't know!
>
> Hey, Jim --- loosen up and let me breathe!
>
> did dit <----- Reverse Fransworth
> de Hans, K0HB

All PCTA, including those employed by the FCC.

2739. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 20:03:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118520222.042888.134550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109919

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:7UEqe.2534$hK3.1424
> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
> >
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >
> >> Your strangle hold on the amateur service may not end until the
> >> actuarial tables kick in, but they will end.
> >
> > Jim has a "strangle hold" on ham radio? Gee, I didn't know!
> >
> > Hey, Jim --- loosen up and let me breathe!
> >
> > did dit <----- Reverse Fransworth
> > de Hans, K0HB
> >
>
> Not Jim specifically, but all the Pro Code Test Advocates (PCTA). I think
> it was Goethe who said something about new ideas not being accepted until
> those who cling to the old ones have died. It has to be said that there is
> some truth in that.

didit

2740. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 20:11:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118520674.156916.157510@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109920

John Smith wrote:
> ... the writing is on the wall, the figures are good enough for a
> mathematician to hold as truth, the president of ARRL and FCC knows
> it... still, denial is a powerful thing...
>
> John

I never once considered the actuarial tables as something to look
forward to, but the ARS has changed all that for me. Now the actuarial
tables are to be celebrated. Change will come to the ARS, eventually.

2741. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 20:13:40 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050611201340.9557C17099@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 109921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <87445017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
"Your Great Hero Steve" <hero...@no.spam> wrote:

> This used to really

It used to really makes you pound your flabby fists on your
cheapased Dollar store computer desk, huh?

Now, it makes you post under a different name, Lardass.

Tell us all about your "imaginary pilot's license," too!

2742. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 20:21:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118521306.939715.286410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109922

Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.

Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
passed:

WA2SI: September 13, 2003
KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
K2UNK: January 1, 2004
K2ASP: March 15, 2004
AA2QA: April 1, 2004
N2EY: April 15, 2004
N3KIP: May 1, 2004 (first prediction)
KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
K3LT: September 15, 2004
WK3C: December 30, 2004
N4PGW: May 22, 2005
N8UZE: July 1, 2005
N3KIP: December 31, 2005 (second prediction)
AB2RC: July 1, 2007
KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
W5TIT: June 1, 2008

Anybody want to add a prediction? If so, the "rolling rule"
(thanks Dee, N8UZE) applies:

If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
add a new one.

At this point everyone before N3KIP can add a new prediction.

All predictions stay on the list.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2743. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 20:23:07 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118521387.414140.312700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109923

John Smith wrote:
> ... still the problem is denied, still the problem goes on--still the
> Alzheimer's victims are as in denial, puzzled and generally incompetent
> at begin a help...
>
> ... so the hobby goes on led by ancient old men babbling war cries
> constructed of ill formed logic...
>
> ... meantime, status quo remains level... some here will die never
> realizing there is a problem--but then--we knew that from the start...
>
> John

Lucky for them they'll go to St Hiram's Gate all hero's.

2744. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 20:42:30 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118522550.560959.225070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109924

Dee Flint wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:t56qe.266$96.11591741@news.sisna.com...
> >I am betting just the opposite, and I bet the code reader can copy at a
> >speed long after the human reader is all done...
>
> Speed is a different issue than sloppy sending.

I recall an oldster on here bragging that he could send code so badly that a No-Code Technician with a code reader couldn't copy it. All of the other oldsters on here covered for him by claiming "banana-boat swings" and other excuses for having a "unique" and incorrect fist.

That and the Farnsworth debacle led to the discovery that the FCC has absolutely no working definition of Morse Code, yet the FCC denies people access to hobby radio every day based upon an exam for something which they no longer have a definition for.

2745. Re: Look, it's Dodge Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 20:56:43 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200506.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <c363239cd926fedb1e96393bfd722b24@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 109931

In article
<1118398752.321275.200010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I think the length of your reply would
please Len.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I seriously doubt it.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I could quote "War and Peace" verbatim
and still come up short on
> > > > > > > > > > > > most LennieRants.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You protest too much.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Protesting is legal, demostrates a strength of
character and
> > > > > > > > > > dedication to one's values.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Say hello to Jane Fonda.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Jane Fonda is a traitorous slut.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And that's my warm/fuzzy opinion about her. It
get's more
> > > > > > > colorful from there.
> > > > >
> > > > > She exhibits all of the traits that you admire.
> > >

> > > Oh no, Brain...Defiling Veterans is Lennie's schtick.
Your mentor.
> > > The slimey weasel that parodies the Tomb of the Unknowns
and whom YOU
> > > said you "admire".
> >
> > I don't admire that.
>
> You don't admire what? YOU stated you admire Lennie.

You've stated quite a number of things you can't back up, nursie.

> Were you lying then, or are you lying now?

Speaking of liars, when are YOU going to provide that
documentation, Nursie?

> Almost all of the regulars (and quite a few of the
anonymous
> posters, too) have spoken out very decisively AGAINST Lennie's
vile
> parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns...

Wrong, Nursie, you are just too anal to get the joke (besides
what your fat gut covers so well).

> Except you...

Are you still stalking him, Nursie?

> > Do you admire all things about Jane?
>
> Ms Fonda lost all dignity and respect when she sat her
butt down
> in that weapon's control seat in North Viet Nam.

But Nursie, didn't you buy her fitness videos for your wife?

> She chose the path of a traitor as opposed to peaceful
protest.

And you always choose the path of unsubstantiated bullshit,
Nursie.

> > > Mine is causing painful but non-lethal trauma to those
who
> > > associate me with a known traitor like Jane Fonda.
> >
> > "Mine" what? Fantasy? Reality? What?
>
> You really can't be THAT ignorant...Or can you...?!?!

Oh, the irony, Nursie.

> > > Know anyone like that? I have one in mind.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I'm sure you do. Care to share in public, or do the veiled
threats
> > continue?
>
> No veiled threat, Brain.

So you ADMIT to stalking him, Nursie.

> Seve, K4YZ

Learn to spell your own name, Nursie.

2746. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 20:57:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118523428.731055.312020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109928

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118454735.092814.201240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> [snip]
>
> > ---
> >
> > OK, here's one to toss around:
> >
> > Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in
> > the "60 meter" region.
> >
> > Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:
> >
> > 1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to
> > 2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..
> >
> > or
> >
> > 2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such
> > as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the
> > same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.
> >
> > Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz
> > overall?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> I'd vote for the 2nd one. In my opinion, the bands we have now are nicely
> space to take advantage of variations in propagation. However, I'd like a
> lot more room on both 40 meters and 30 meters. If 30m could be widened,
> then a phone section could be added.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

A PCTA wants more phone privs? FISTS rampage. Hiram groans.

2747. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 21:02:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <1fSdnSnREd_szDbfRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109929

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>>>I've never been able to figure out why people get their chops > >>busted for referring to "80 meter phone".
>
>
>>>Because 80 is CW/data and 75 is phone/image.
>
>
>>>Look at FCC rules 97.301. They actually list 80 and 75 as
>>>different bands, like 40 and 20. I'm not making this up.
>>
>>I don't doubt you. But it seems a little odd to decide that
>>phone is 75 and CW and data is 80.
>
>
> Oddly enough, in the "old days" the frequencies were simply
> listed - no mention of wavelength at all. Using the old
> designators, 3500 to 4000 kc. was A1, 3500 to 3800 kc. was F1,
> 3800 to 4000 kc. was A3, etc.
>
>
>>If you are at the bottom of the band you are at
>>85 meters. Same difference. (actually more, percentage-wise)
>>So why
>>would hams who insist on the precision of 75 phone and 80 CW
>>not also insist on 85 meter CW?
>
>
> Precision has nothing to do with it. They're just simple shorthand
> names that go way back.
>
>
>>>>Shall we set up a sked on 41 meters, 29 meters, 21 meters, 14 > >>meters, 12
>>>>meters, (hey that one is right) or the 10.7 to 10.09 meter
>>>>bands?
>>>
>>>41 meters is actually SWBC, even though it's the top of "our" > > 40 meter band ;-)

>>
>> I get 42.8 meters at 7.0 MHz, and 41.067 meters at 7.3 MHz. Yeah, were
>>in the mix there....
>
>
> As the SWBC moves away, things get better on 40.
>
> ---
>
> OK, here's one to toss around:
>
> Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in
> the "60 meter" region.
>
> Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:
>
> 1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to
> 2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..
>
> or
>
> 2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such
> as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the
> same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.
>
> Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz
> overall?

Probably the latter. Certainly a lot of small bands would be very
interesting. There would be a fair amount of equipment going out of use,
which would be a shame. No doubt modifications could be made, but with
many bands, the old equipment only has so many switch positions! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2748. Everyone reset their clocks to "Steve-Time"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 21:08:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118524139.291023.226500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109930

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Nope. This is the "middle of the day" for me. Ten AM is "late"
> for me.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2749. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 21:22:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118524948.098839.140860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109933

KØHB wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> > Your strangle hold on the amateur service may not
> > end until the actuarial tables kick in, but they will end.
>
> Jim has a "strangle hold" on ham radio?

That claim is incorrect.

> Gee, I didn't know!

Me neither.

> Hey, Jim --- loosen up and let me breathe!

;-)

It seems very odd that I'd be accused of having a stranglehold
in a thread where all I did was post people's opinions about
when FCC would drop Element 1.

If it were up to me, all amateur radio licenses would require
at least a 5 wpm code test. And they'd all have better (not
harder) written tests too.

But it's not up to me.

So I'll continue to give FCC my thoughts, ideas and opinions
on the issues, and my reasons behind them. Maybe FCC will
agree, maybe not. Hardly a "stranglehold". Just democracy
in action.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2750. Glendale Lavender Lads Monthly Meeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 21:24:55 UTC
From: wq <anon@wqanongrporg.com>
Message-ID: <d8fklh$g0g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109932

The Glendale Lavender Lads monthly meeting will be held tonight, at you know who's house. You Know Who just called and said his monthly SSI check came in, so there will be plenty of eats. Also, You Know Who said he finally removed his antennas from blocking the public sidewalk, so there will be no visits from city code enforcement officials today. Best of all, You Know Who had his monthly mental health check up, and the doc said he still has major mental health issues, so lets all prop up You Know Who tonight.

2751. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 21:39:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <obydnbL5e7qZxzbfRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109934

bb wrote:
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>news:1118454735.092814.201240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>[snip]
>>
>>
>>>---
>>>
>>>OK, here's one to toss around:
>>>
>>>Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in
>>>the "60 meter" region.
>>>
>>>Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:
>>>
>>>1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to
>>>2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..
>>>
>>>or
>>>
>>>2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such
>>>as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the
>>>same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.
>>>
>>>Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz
>>>overall?
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>
>>I'd vote for the 2nd one. In my opinion, the bands we have now are nicely
>>space to take advantage of variations in propagation. However, I'd like a
>>lot more room on both 40 meters and 30 meters. If 30m could be widened,
>>then a phone section could be added.

>>
>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
> A PCTA wants more phone privs? FISTS rampage. Hiram groans.
>

It's all black or white Brian? A PCTA or NCTA has only one way to think
and one opinion on how things are?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2752. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 21:42:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TUlqe.5235$sq2.9725073@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109939

... people who play a musical instrument well, I can appreciate and have a liking for... those with a code key in their hand I attempt to avoid...

... thank gawd they can't force us to suffer it... frankly, I don't know of many places where they can practice it in public... other citizens would get angry and ask 'em to leave... it would kinda be like masturbating in public, everyone knows you do it, just don't do it in front of them!!! <tongue-in-cheek>

John

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message news:1118522550.560959.225070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:t56qe.266$96.11591741@news.sisna.com...
>> >I am betting just the opposite, and I bet the code reader can copy
>> >at a
>> >speed long after the human reader is all done...
>>
>> Speed is a different issue than sloppy sending.
>
> I recall an oldster on here bragging that he could send code so badly
> that a No-Code Technician with a code reader couldn't copy it. All of
> the other oldsters on here covered for him by claiming "banana-boat
> swings" and other excuses for having a "unique" and incorrect fist.
>
> That and the Farnsworth debacle led to the discovery that the FCC has
> absolutely no working definition of Morse Code, yet the FCC denies
> people access to hobby radio every day based upon an exam for
> something
> which they no longer have a definition for.
>

2753. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 21:44:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KWIqe.5236$YD2.9834587@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109936

I know God can enjoy a good glass of wine--his son shared it with other men...

... I can imagine God could enjoy a good Cuban cigar....

... if you take your key to heaven, he just might toss ya out on yer bum!!! <grin>

John

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message news:1118521387.414140.312700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> ... still the problem is denied, still the problem goes on--still the
>> Alzheimer's victims are as in denial, puzzled and generally
>> incompetent
>> at begin a help...
>>
>> ... so the hobby goes on led by ancient old men babbling war cries
>> constructed of ill formed logic...
>>
>> ... meantime, status quo remains level... some here will die never
>> realizing there is a problem--but then--we knew that from the
>> start...
>>
>> John
>
> Lucky for them they'll go to St Hiram's Gate all hero's.
>

2754. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 21:45:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rYIqe.5237$eC2.9824404@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109937

Frankly, I wonder of the sanity of the manufacturers of ham equip...

If they are interesting in selling radios, making a profit in the
American tradition, etc... seems they would be taking their dollars and
lobbying congress...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118452940.891132.70140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
>
> Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
> passed:
>
> WA2SI: September 13, 2003
> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> K2UNK: January 1, 2004
> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> N2EY: April 15, 2004
> N3KIP: May 1, 2004
> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> K3LT: September 15, 2004
> WK3C: December 30, 2004
> N4PGW:May 22, 2005
> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> AB2RC: July 1, 2007
> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>
> Anybody want to add a prediction? If so, the "rolling rule" (thanks
> Dee, N8UZE) applies:
>
> If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
> add a new one.
>
> At this point N3KIP and everyone before him can add a new prediction.
> All predictions stay on the list.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2755. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 21:47:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <WZIqe.5238$hL2.9896044@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109938

interesting = interested even...

I give up, the typos just spring forth from my fingers... <frown>

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:rYIqe.5237$eC2.9824404@news.sisna.com...
> Frankly, I wonder of the sanity of the manufacturers of ham equip...
>
> If they are interesting in selling radios, making a profit in the
> American tradition, etc... seems they would be taking their dollars
> and lobbying congress...
>
> John
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118452940.891132.70140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
>>
>> Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
>> passed:
>>
>> WA2SI: September 13, 2003
>> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
>> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
>> K2UNK: January 1, 2004
>> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
>> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
>> N2EY: April 15, 2004
>> N3KIP: May 1, 2004
>> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
>> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
>> K3LT: September 15, 2004
>> WK3C: December 30, 2004
>> N4PGW:May 22, 2005
>> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
>> AB2RC: July 1, 2007
>> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
>> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>>
>> Anybody want to add a prediction? If so, the "rolling rule" (thanks
>> Dee, N8UZE) applies:
>>
>> If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you

>> can
>> add a new one.
>>
>> At this point N3KIP and everyone before him can add a new prediction.
>> All predictions stay on the list.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>

2756. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 22:45:08 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <19e563320a2129ab03f8a7c7f63c933b@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 109935

In article <7yoqe.1474$vR1.2310196@news.sisna.com>
"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> ... you grab

And you grab little boys' balls and pop them in your mouth.

Buy any swampland in Florida lately, dumbass?
Oh, and I see how you are "ignoring me" by posting three times
to the same post.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

2757. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 22:56:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <%_Jqe.788$vz1.582@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109940

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:82sqe.2336$hK3.1163@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote
>
>
> > ps - I'll getcha for this, pal ;)
>
> "Old and devious" trumps "young and enthusiastic" every time!
>
> dit dit <----- Reverse Farnsworth "I"
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

Hello, Hans

Reverse Farnsworth "I"? I thought it was American Morse for "O". ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2758. Ham Radio Music Video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-11 23:19:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118531967.345499.194790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109941

>From OZ1, but in English:

http://hamband.com/html/video.html

You need RealOne player and preferably a broadband connection.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2759. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 00:00:02 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <628536844e6f3e1c22026b8a70a8d878@dizum.com>
Source record: 109942

In article
<1118473960.757071.202640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote more lies he can't back up:
>
>
>
> George Orwell wrote:
> > In article <Hx3qe.238$je2.10387...@news.sisna.com>
> > "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > I truly hope you are NOT a ham... if so, I personally will
try
> > to have
> > > your license revoked and given to a trucker on the chicken
> > band...
> > >
> > > John
> >
> > You fucking cocksucker, I am a ham, and there isn't a fucking
> > thing you are going to do about it except take a shit and
fall
> > back into it.
>
> Judging by that post, you're not a "ham", but rather a
"pig".

Judging by your picture, you look more like a pig since your
triple chins can't fit underneath that girdle you are wearing,
you know, the one thaqt isn't doing a good job hiding your fat
gut, Nursie.

Where's that documentation, Nursie?

2760. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 00:05:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <T_Kqe.2768$hK3.1177@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109943

```
Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1118454735.092814.201240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> [snip]
>>>
>>>
>>>> ---
>>>>
>>>> OK, here's one to toss around:
>>>>
>>>> Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in
>>>> the "60 meter" region.
>>>>
>>>> Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:
>>>>
>>>> 1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to
>>>> 2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..
>>>>
>>>> or
>>>>
>>>> 2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such
>>>> as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the
>>>> same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.
>>>>
>>>> Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz
>>>> overall?
>>>>
>>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>
>>>
>>> I'd vote for the 2nd one. In my opinion, the bands we have now are
```

>>> nicely
>>> space to take advantage of variations in propagation. However, I'd
>>> like a
>>> lot more room on both 40 meters and 30 meters. If 30m could be widened,
>>> then a phone section could be added.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>>
>> A PCTA wants more phone privs? FISTS rampage. Hiram groans.
>>
>
> It's all black or white Brian? A PCTA or NCTA has only one way to
> think and one opinion on how things are?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Brian seems to be of the opinion that anyone who support morse testing
operates nuttin' but CW.

Dave K8MN

2761. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 01:21:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118539270.284261.263490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109944

Bill Turner wrote:
> On Fri, 10 Jun 2005 22:53:51 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
> Let's go beyond the SO1R/SO2R question and look
> at the bigger picture.

OK
>
> I think it's time to revise the basic structure of
> contest competition.
> Contesting hardware has evolved to the point that one must
> spend a small
> fortune to be competitive, and I think that is hurting
> contesting itself.

That depends on what you mean by "competitive" and "a small fortune".

For some hams, "competitive" means winning outright, or at
least making the Top Ten. For others, "competitive" means
winning their section, or maybe division, or maybe getting into
the top ten of same.

And for others it's simply doing better than last year.

In similar fashion, cost is relative. A $5000 station is small
change to some and beyond others' wildest dreams. Same for many
other spending levels.

Just a few years back, having a dedicated computer in the shack
was a major expense. Not any more!

> I believe there are two general groups of contesters:
>
> 1. People who like the head-to-head competition on a
> personal level and
> are not motivated by having large amounts of expensive
> hardware. These
> people focus on operating skill, knowledge and strategy instead > of equipment.
>
> -and-
>
> 2. People who will do anything legal to maximize their score,
> including
> spending huge amounts of money on rigs, antennas and any other > hardware which gives
them an advantage.

I disagree strongly!

I think there are many more basic groups, from the casual types
just putting in a few hours and maybe picking up a new state
or country, to the all-out multi-multis, to the middle-of-the-
pack folks, to the special-interest ones (like the QRP types
with incredible antenna farms).

On top of this is the fact that the superstations require
operating skill, knowledge and strategy just like the 100 W
and dipole folks.

> Both groups have good points and neither is superior to the
> other.

Agreed!

In fact the superstations need the little guys in order to make super
scores. And the little guys need the superstations.

> What
> is wrong with contesting today is both groups are combined into > one when
> it comes to competing, and that is hurting contesting.

Well, there's division by power level, by multiop vs. single, and
packet spotting.
>
> I propose that there be two basic classes of competition:
>
> 1. A Limited Class which clearly spells out maximum hardware,
> i.e. one
> radio, one antenna per band, no receiving while transmitting,
> and perhaps some others,
>
> -and-
>
> 2. An Unlimited Class which allows anything legal.
>
> Within those two classes there could be subclasses for power
> level and
> number of operators, but the basic hardware definitions would
> remain the
> same. This would allow an operator to choose his class and know > he is
> competing against others who are equipped similarly.

The trouble is where the lines are drawn.

What does "one radio" mean? Is a second receiver allowed? How
about if the second receiver is built into the rig?

One antenna per band could work a hardship on even some modest
stations. At my previous location I had an inverted V for 80/40
that could be made to work on 20. Also had a 20 meter vertical
with elevated radials. 100 W homebrew transceiver. Hardly a
superstation but I did pretty well.

On 20 the vertical was usually better, but sometimes the inverted V would do the trick. "One antenna per band" would eliminate that.

> The present hardware situation reminds me of a boxer who has
> acquired a
> set of brass knuckles but who still wants to fight those not so
> equipped. That's not right and neither is contesting in its
> present form.
>
I don't see it that way at all. I think we need an "iron" class for a very different reason.

One thing that makes a contest fun (for me) is the competition. It's radiosport, pure and simple. I think the message that needs to be emphasized more is that you don't need a superstation to have a good time.

I know too many hams with "100W and dipole" stations who think contesting with such a setup isn't practical. And compared to the results of superstations, they're right. But if they could see how they did against similar setups, we might get more of them - which is a good thing all around.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2762. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 02:12:52 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ESMqe.791$Np1.57@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109945

Yep,

And "I am not a crook". (tricky dickey for those who don't or prefer not to remember).

I see they let a guy across the boarder with a bloody chain saw, swords, and lord knows what else. Seems he murdered some folks in Canada. Feel safer? Maybe the guards are only looking for weapons of mass destruction. Of course, he is not gay nor is he of middle Eastern descent, so he must be a good guy. I see a Canadian Senator is most unhappy with the Americans that let the idiot cross the boarder.

I also see they've upping the ante to $40,000 for first time enlistees in certain branches. Almost makes ya want to join, doesn't it? Don't ask; I served in Vietnam. Once around that horn was enough, thanks. Of course, for $40,000 a piece, someone just *might* find the weapons of mass destruction.

Meanwhile, I see they never did find all 80 cattle that came over (allegedly) from Canada when we had that case of mad cow disease. I see we have two new cases. I suspect that everyone is still looking for the weapons of mass destruction.

I noticed a big increase in SUVs around the poor areas near here. Likely due to the big per-child rebate. Certainly helped the auto industries. Last year. Now, folks are probably committed to large balloon payments and won't keep buying SUVs every year. I note that the automakers are in a bit of distress. Maybe we could hire the union guys to look for the weapons of mass destruction.

Smoke and mirrors only work so long as you have an infinite supply of smoke and mirrors.

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:UdHqe.5229$s97.9178185@news.sisna.com...
> Ohhh, I totally agree... <BIG-STUPID-CLINTON-LIKE-grin>
>
> And, "I didn't have sex with THAT WOMAN!" either...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Nick" <soccernecklaces@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1118516951.214712.205040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> > This is a public lynching
> >
> > http://un.alturl.com
> >
>
>

2763. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 02:13:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118542439.845569.97240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109946

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:7UEqe.2534$hK3.1424
> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >
> >> Your strangle hold on the amateur service may not end until > >> the actuarial tables kick in, but they will end.
> >
> > Jim has a "strangle hold" on ham radio? Gee, I didn't know!
> >
> > Hey, Jim --- loosen up and let me breathe!
> >
> > did dit <----- Reverse Fransworth
> > de Hans, K0HB
> >
It occurs to me that the references to "actuarial tables" is
a backhanded way of telling me to drop dead....

> Not Jim specifically, but all the Pro Code Test Advocates
>(PCTA).

It was aimed straight at me.

> I think
> it was Goethe who said something about new ideas not being
> accepted until
> those who cling to the old ones have died.

That claim is incorrect.

> It has to be said that there is some truth in that.

Not much, if any.

Not all new ideas are good ideas, either.

> I think that the FCC will abolish the code test before we get
> that far, though.
> They are taking their sweet time, though.

Yep - and that may or may not be significant.

> My XYL is waiting on this
> event to go for her General, so that's my main interest.

With all due respect - it's been five years plus since the 2000
restructuring made 5 wpm the only code test. Most people can learn

code well enough to pass that test in 4-6 weeks of practicing
about a half-hour a day.
>
> More countries abolish the code test all the time, although
> it's slowed to a trickle.

That says something. Japan, long the poster-country of nocodetest
amateur radio, just announced reduction but not elimination of
their code testing.

It would be interesting to see a list of countries with and without
code tests.

> I think Spain ditched the code test quite recently. OTOH, I
> think Italy may be one of the very few holdouts in Europe, but > I think it
> is merely a delay. Canada looks set to do it soon, but has
> looked that way for a while, LOL!

IIRC, Canada is also beefing up its written standards.

> It will happen here too, but will have taken so long that
> some people will be shocked by it, as they will have pretty
> much forgotten about it by then.
>
> I don't think I'll add another date. I think it will be this
> year, though.
> All right, put my second guess as December 31st, 2005.
>
I think that's way too soon. FCC is obviously going the NPRM
route, and that document isn't even out yet. Even if the NPRM
shows up tomorrow, it will probably have a comment period
lasting months, then FCC will take more months to do the R&O.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2764. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 02:20:41 UTC
From: Nick <soccernecklaces@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1118542841.882467.156190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109947

Right, Michael Jackson is Nixon now huh?

And they call Jackson WEIRD LOL !

http://un.alturl.com

2765. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 02:46:32 UTC
From: nomorespam <"duffyb01\\@fuse(nomorespam).net">
Message-ID: <2d034$42aba209$4275db22$22051@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 109948

Nick wrote:
> This is a public lynching
>
> http://un.alturl.com
>

Yeah, Nixon, O.J.Simpson, Pedo Michael Jackson, Ted Bundy, and many others....they're all innocent. Some are found guilty because they are not rich and famous.

2766. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 03:21:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <tOSdnVKipKe8NzbfRVn-2Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109949

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Turner wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 10 Jun 2005 22:53:51 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>>wrote:
>>Let's go beyond the SO1R/SO2R question and look
>>at the bigger picture.
>
>
> OK
>
>>I think it's time to revise the basic structure of
>>contest competition.
>>Contesting hardware has evolved to the point that one must
>>spend a small
>>fortune to be competitive, and I think that is hurting
>>contesting itself.
>
>
> That depends on what you mean by "competitive" and "a small fortune".

I don't agree with the basic premise, Jim. The operator is a lot more
important than the equipment.

> For some hams, "competitive" means winning outright, or at
> least making the Top Ten. For others, "competitive" means
> winning their section, or maybe division, or maybe getting into
> the top ten of same.
>
> And for others it's simply doing better than last year.

And if they keep it up, they will eventually become the top dogs.

> In similar fashion, cost is relative. A $5000 station is small
> change to some and beyond others' wildest dreams. Same for many
> other spending levels.
>
> Just a few years back, having a dedicated computer in the shack
> was a major expense. Not any more!
>
>
>>I believe there are two general groups of contesters:
>>
>>1. People who like the head-to-head competition on a
>>personal level and

>>are not motivated by having large amounts of expensive
>>hardware. These
>>people focus on operating skill, knowledge and strategy instead > of equipment.
>>
>>-and-
>>
>>2. People who will do anything legal to maximize their score,
>>including
>>spending huge amounts of money on rigs, antennas and any other > hardware which gives them an advantage.
>
>
> I disagree strongly!
>
> I think there are many more basic groups, from the casual types
> just putting in a few hours and maybe picking up a new state
> or country, to the all-out multi-multis, to the middle-of-the-
> pack folks, to the special-interest ones (like the QRP types
> with incredible antenna farms).
>
> On top of this is the fact that the superstations require
> operating skill, knowledge and strategy just like the 100 W
> and dipole folks.
>
>
>>Both groups have good points and neither is superior to the
>>other.
>
>
> Agreed!
>
> In fact the superstations need the little guys in order to make super
> scores. And the little guys need the superstations.
>
>
>>What
>>is wrong with contesting today is both groups are combined into > one when
>>it comes to competing, and that is hurting contesting.
>
>
> Well, there's division by power level, by multiop vs. single, and
> packet spotting.
>
>>I propose that there be two basic classes of competition:
>>
>>1. A Limited Class which clearly spells out maximum hardware,
>>i.e. one
>>radio, one antenna per band, no receiving while transmitting,
>>and perhaps some others,
>>

>>-and-
>>
>>2. An Unlimited Class which allows anything legal.
>>
>>Within those two classes there could be subclasses for power
>>level and
>>number of operators, but the basic hardware definitions would
>>remain the
>>same. This would allow an operator to choose his class and know > he is
>>competing against others who are equipped similarly.
>
>
> The trouble is where the lines are drawn.
>
> What does "one radio" mean? Is a second receiver allowed? How
> about if the second receiver is built into the rig?
>
> One antenna per band could work a hardship on even some modest
> stations. At my previous location I had an inverted V for 80/40
> that could be made to work on 20. Also had a 20 meter vertical
> with elevated radials. 100 W homebrew transceiver. Hardly a
> superstation but I did pretty well.
>
> On 20 the vertical was usually better, but sometimes the inverted
> V would do the trick. "One antenna per band" would eliminate that.

The whole concept is way way way to complicated. Also unenforceable.
Will the contest committee send out Hamcops to ensure compliance?

>
>>The present hardware situation reminds me of a boxer who has
>>acquired a
>>set of brass knuckles but who still wants to fight those not so
>>equipped. That's not right and neither is contesting in its
>>present form.
>>
>
> I don't see it that way at all. I think we need an "iron" class for a
> very different reason.
>
> One thing that makes a contest fun (for me) is the competition.
> It's radiosport, pure and simple. I think the message that needs
> to be emphasized more is that you don't need a superstation to
> have a good time.

No you don't.

> I know too many hams with "100W and dipole" stations who think
> contesting with such a setup isn't practical.

100 watts and a dipole is only about 90 percent of contesters!

> And compared to
> the results of superstations, they're right. But if they could
> see how they did against similar setups, we might get more of
> them - which is a good thing all around.

My experience is that contests have two different aspects. Operator skill and power. This is assuming that the operator has a receiver of adequate performance. If you have to get rid of one parameter, go with operator skill.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2767. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 03:48:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <kY2dne_y7KYRLTbfRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 109950

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:7UEqe.2534$hK3.1424
>>@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>
>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>>Your strangle hold on the amateur service may not end until > >> the actuarial tables kick in, but they will end.
>>>
>>>Jim has a "strangle hold" on ham radio? Gee, I didn't know!
>>>
>>>Hey, Jim --- loosen up and let me breathe!
>>>
>>>did dit <----- Reverse Fransworth
>>>de Hans, K0HB
>>>
>
> It occurs to me that the references to "actuarial tables" is
> a backhanded way of telling me to drop dead....
>
>
>>Not Jim specifically, but all the Pro Code Test Advocates
>>(PCTA).

I am a little surprised that Alun would be so prejudiced as to declare
that all PCTAs think exactly the same.

Makes it a lot easier to demonize than, eh? It isn't just you. I think
exactly the same way as you, and say Larry Roll, and ALL the other
PCTAs, in his estimation.

Bad show!

>
> It was aimed straight at me.
>
>
>>I think
>>it was Goethe who said something about new ideas not being
>>accepted until
>>those who cling to the old ones have died.

>
>
> That claim is incorrect.
>

He did however, say

"There is nothing more frightful than ignorance in action."

>
>>It has to be said that there is some truth in that.
>
>
> Not much, if any.
>
> Not all new ideas are good ideas, either.

>
>>I think that the FCC will abolish the code test before we get
>>that far, though.
>>They are taking their sweet time, though.
>
>
> Yep - and that may or may not be significant.
>
>
>>My XYL is waiting on this
>>event to go for her General, so that's my main interest.
>
>
> With all due respect - it's been five years plus since the 2000
> restructuring made 5 wpm the only code test. Most people can learn
> code well enough to pass that test in 4-6 weeks of practicing
> about a half-hour a day.

5 years lost. So is waiting all this time a matter of high principles,
or is it a lack of real interest?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2768. Re: Great introduction to HF techniques and practices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 04:29:07 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42ABBA06.FD2181C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 109951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:
>
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
> > For the newcomer (and not-so-newcomer too):
> >
> > http://www.alleged.com/radio/Harris/HF-Technology.pdf
> >
> > dit dit
> > de Hans, K0HB
>
> Harris Corporation is a military communications contractor.
>
> A.F. Global Weather Central purchased a Harris transmitter to kick-off
> the Weather HFRB (rtty, fax) System at Elkhorn, NE (AKA Giant Talk).
>
> Harris Corp. built the Satellite Data Handling System at AFGWC.
>
> Harris Corp. built the A.F. tactical DMSP ground set.
>
> Harris Corp. transceivers were stuffed in racks in the Transportable
> Automated Weather Distribution System (TAWDS).
>
> But weathermen don't do comms. Hi!

Not to mention, Harris built the microwave receivers for NOAA, which are
being replaced by Microdyne/L-3com equipment.
--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

2769. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 07:47:44 UTC
From: Mona <KiloWatt@anon>
Message-ID: <d8gpa0vpt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109952

```
">
> Now we suddenly have "John". Allegedly a middle aged Amateur of
> unknown years experience, unknown level of licensure, unknown
> organizational affiliations, memberships, etc..."John" may very well be
> a "real" person (read that as "not a figment of Lennie's imagination")
> but I doubt it. It would not be above Lennie (or beyond him) to create
> yet another anonymous "buddy" to help him get through a rough time in
> the NG. It's happened before. I may be wrong, but that duck sure is
> quacking pretty loudly.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Quite an enemy's list you have there Robeson, and also no better
example why net savvy people keep people like you at arm's
length, via an anon posting service.

73,

Lloyd

.............................

Valid point.

First, there is no Lennie fan here. I look at blowguts such as he with
disdain.

Secondly, posting anonymously via a paid service such as my Altopia account
is not a mark of cowardice.
This misplaced sense of Machismo is just that...misplaced.

Can you afford $12.00 a month? I can, and it is well worth the expenditure.

For example. bb has posted numerous comments over the months and was taken
aback when Robeson posted his Ham call. It was easy enough to see that Brian
lives at 430 Longview Street in Pickerington, Ohio.

Many others who lurk in these newsgroups do the same. Some nefarious
predators, such as AB8MQ, make it a point to delve into the backgrounds of
those with whom they disagree and then post the information, true or false,
on the Web. This individual has been known to go so far as to falsify
reports about fellow Hams, knowing full well that he will be held
unaccountable while hiding behind anon remailers. He has accused fellow Hams
of being child molestors, accused fellow Hams of being convicted criminals,
and worse. Much worse.
```

You have seen it. The bickering and backstabbing can become quite cruel at times as marked by Wiseman's exceedingly calloused and uncalled for comments about Steve's beloved daughter.

So, before any of you Machismo types puff up your chests and boast that you "have the balls" to use your real name and call sign, think again. Keep in mind that there lurks a social misfit close by who will, given a reason, cause you much discomfort whether it be by late night phone calls or a flood of anon magazine subscriptions.

I make no apology for my Altopia account. None is necessary.

Let's see...who am I now?

Lloyd?
Schwartz?
Stagger Lee?
Lloyd 2?
Arf! Arf!?
Lloyd 3?

Maybe Roger can call Chris Caputo again and ask him who I really am.
Oh, wait. Roger already tried that. Chris responded by giving AB8MQ the boot from Altopia.

2770. Re: Poor Saggytits Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 07:53:22 UTC
From: Mona <KiloWatt@anon>
Message-ID: <d8gpkhrj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fuckhead.stagger.lee,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

"Thrasher Remailer" <thrasher@reece.net.au> wrote in message
news:62MOC7EY38515.1578472222@reece.net.au...

Saggytits has to take on the persona of his real father, some
down and out negro.
He is desperately trying to find the real identity of his real
father....but just like the Marshall County WV ARES website,
Saggytits' abusive drunk of a father always eludes him.

"imginary "

That must be a new word that has not yet been included in the latest
dictionaries.
Can anybody tell me the definition of it?

2771. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 08:04:10 UTC
From: Mona <KiloWatt@anon>
Message-ID: <d8gq8q$23p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109954

"Tarapia Tapioco" <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it> wrote in message
news:19e563320a2129ab03f8a7c7f63c933b@firenze.linux.it...
In article <7yoqe.1474$vR1.2310196@news.sisna.com>
"John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> ... you grab

And you grab little boys' balls and pop them in your mouth.

Buy any swampland in Florida lately, dumbass?
Oh, and I see how you are "ignoring me" by posting three times
to the same post.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!
.....

Don't flatter yourself, Wiseman. Nobody cares much about your rants.

Crawl back into the little six by eight bedroom and pound away on your old
486 Dell. Get a good night rest. You know how posting to the newsgroups gets
your blood pressure up to dangerous levels.
We wouldn't want Chief Stenger responding to yet another emergency call to
your house for cardiac problems. You know how that went the last time the
Chief had to call the medics. He was concerned that your Diabetic attack was
going to be your last.

2772. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 12:18:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118578727.667457.108770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109955

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Bill Turner wrote:
> >
> >>On Fri, 10 Jun 2005 22:53:51 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> >>wrote:
> >>Let's go beyond the SO1R/SO2R question and look
> >>at the bigger picture.
> >
> >
> > OK
> >
> >>I think it's time to revise the basic structure of
> >>contest competition.
> >>Contesting hardware has evolved to the point that one must
> >>spend a small
> >>fortune to be competitive, and I think that is hurting
> >>contesting itself.
> >
> >
> > That depends on what you mean by "competitive" and "a small fortune".
>
> I don't agree with the basic premise, Jim. The operator
> is a lot more
> important than the equipment.

To a point, yes. But the guy with 100 W and a dipole at 40 feet isn't
going to win CQWW or even SS no matter how good he is. He's not even
going to make Top Ten.

That doesn't mean he can't do well, just that winning is a different
game.

> > For some hams, "competitive" means winning outright, or at
> > least making the Top Ten. For others, "competitive" means
> > winning their section, or maybe division, or maybe getting
> > into the top ten of same.
> >
> > And for others it's simply doing better than last year.
>
> And if they keep it up, they will eventually become the top
> dogs.

But there comes a point where doing better becomes equipment
limited.

>
> > In similar fashion, cost is relative. A $5000 station is small
> > change to some and beyond others' wildest dreams. Same for
> > many other spending levels.

> > Just a few years back, having a dedicated computer in the
> > shack was a major expense. Not any more!
> >
> >
> >>I believe there are two general groups of contesters:
> >>
> >>1. People who like the head-to-head competition on a
> >>personal level and
> >>are not motivated by having large amounts of expensive
> >>hardware. These
> >>people focus on operating skill, knowledge and strategy instead > of equipment.
> >>
> >>-and-
> >>
> >>2. People who will do anything legal to maximize their score,
> >>including
> >>spending huge amounts of money on rigs, antennas and any other > hardware which gives
them an advantage.
> >
> >
> > I disagree strongly!
> >
> > I think there are many more basic groups, from the casual types
> > just putting in a few hours and maybe picking up a new state
> > or country, to the all-out multi-multis, to the middle-of-the-
> > pack folks, to the special-interest ones (like the QRP types
> > with incredible antenna farms).
> >
> > On top of this is the fact that the superstations require
> > operating skill, knowledge and strategy just like the 100 W
> > and dipole folks.
> >
> >
> >>Both groups have good points and neither is superior to the
> >>other.
> >
> >
> > Agreed!
> >
> > In fact the superstations need the little guys in order to make super
> > scores. And the little guys need the superstations.
> >
> >
> >>What
> >>is wrong with contesting today is both groups are combined into > one when

> >>it comes to competing, and that is hurting contesting.
> >
> >
> > Well, there's division by power level, by multiop vs. single, and
> > packet spotting.
> >
> >>I propose that there be two basic classes of competition:
> >>
> >>1. A Limited Class which clearly spells out maximum hardware,
> >>i.e. one
> >>radio, one antenna per band, no receiving while transmitting,
> >>and perhaps some others,
> >>
> >>-and-
> >>
> >>2. An Unlimited Class which allows anything legal.
> >>
> >>Within those two classes there could be subclasses for power
> >>level and
> >>number of operators, but the basic hardware definitions would
> >>remain the
> >>same. This would allow an operator to choose his class and know > he is
> >>competing against others who are equipped similarly.
> >
> >
> > The trouble is where the lines are drawn.
> >
> > What does "one radio" mean? Is a second receiver allowed? How
> > about if the second receiver is built into the rig?
> >
> > One antenna per band could work a hardship on even some modest
> > stations. At my previous location I had an inverted V for 80/40
> > that could be made to work on 20. Also had a 20 meter vertical
> > with elevated radials. 100 W homebrew transceiver. Hardly a
> > superstation but I did pretty well.
> >
> > On 20 the vertical was usually better, but sometimes the
> > inverted
> > V would do the trick. "One antenna per band" would eliminate
> > that.
>
> The whole concept is way way way to complicated. Also
> unenforceable.
> Will the contest committee send out Hamcops to ensure
> compliance?

Who enforces the present rules? Power level, packet spotting, etc.?

> >>The present hardware situation reminds me of a boxer who has
> >>acquired a
> >>set of brass knuckles but who still wants to fight those not so

> >>equipped. That's not right and neither is contesting in its
> >>present form.
> >>
> >
> > I don't see it that way at all. I think we need an "iron" class for a
> > very different reason.
> >
> > One thing that makes a contest fun (for me) is the competition.
> > It's radiosport, pure and simple. I think the message that needs
> > to be emphasized more is that you don't need a superstation to
> > have a good time.
>
> No you don't.

But it takes more than the average station to win.

>
> > I know too many hams with "100W and dipole" stations who think
> > contesting with such a setup isn't practical.
>
>100 watts and a dipole is only about 90 percent of contesters!

And they don't win.

> > And compared to
> > the results of superstations, they're right. But if they could
> > see how they did against similar setups, we might get more of
> > them - which is a good thing all around.
>
> My experience is that contests have two different aspects.
> Operator
> skill and power. This is assuming that the operator has a > receiver of
> adequate performance. If you have to get rid of one parameter, go with
> operator skill.
>

"Power" is actually signal strength. I'd rather have really good
antennas and QRP than high power and poor antennas.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2773. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 12:51:34 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qdWqe.3006$hK3.224@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109956

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> But it takes more than the average station to win.

Winning isn't about being average.

Average sailors in average boats don't win the Americas Cup. Average golfers with average clubs don't win the Masters. Average drivers in average cars don't win Indy. Average jockeys on average horses don't win the Belmont. Average radiomen with average stations don't win CQWWDX.

Life isn't set up to give plaques to "average joes". Winners are above average. Second place is "First Loser".

73, de Hans, K0HB

2774. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on because he's a foul mouthed punk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 13:21:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118582466.670916.80750@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109957

Nomen Nescio wrote:
> In article
> <1118473960.757071.202640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
> >
> >
> > George Orwell wrote:
> > > In article <Hx3qe.238$je2.10387...@news.sisna.com>

> > Judging by that post, you're not a "ham", but rather a
> "pig".
>
> Judging by your picture, you look more like a pig since your
> triple chins can't fit underneath that girdle you are wearing,
> you know, the one thaqt isn't doing a good job hiding your fat
> gut, Nursie.

Too bad the evidence tells a different story, isn't it?
I wonder...were you BORN a pathological liar, or was it a learned
trait?

> Where's that documentation, Nursie?

Right in front of you, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

2775. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 13:22:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118582526.091089.156760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109958

KØHB wrote:
> "Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote
>
> > Contesting hardware has evolved to the point that one must
> > spend a small fortune to be competitive.....
>
> I don't think money is what makes you competitive.

It's an important factor, though. Always has been. Part of the
game in most contests.

> Innovation and thoughtful
> design of your station, honing your skills, and picking the
> fights you can win are what make a station competitive.

"picking the fights you can win" means "have realisitic expectations".
>
> I'll use my station as a prime example.

This'll be good...

> In the major contests in which I
> compete I have an excellent record of success with lots of
> section and division
> wallpaper and walnut on the wall. Any time I get whupped it's > due to better operators,
not the cost of the station.
>
> My SO2R setup consists of a 10-year-old Icom run rig and a 17-
> year-old mult rig.

And they are?

> The city-lot antenna farm consists of a single tower with a
> tribander,

Which is more than many hams will ever have.

And that description covers a lot of ground. "city-lot" could mean an
acre. "Single tower" could be 70 feet or more.
"Tribander" could be anything from a TA-33 to a Force 12 or whatever.

Big differences under that umbrella.

> a selection of wire antennas, and a couple of verticals.

I'll take a dipole at 90 feet over a TA-33 at 40 feet...

> Station control is an
> 8-year-old 'consumer grade 486', CT by K1EA (freeware), and
> homebrewed
> SO2R-relay/wiring system. "Hamfest value" of the entire
> station is well below the "small fortune" level.

"Fortune" is in the wallet of the spender. For some folks, a $2000
station is a small fortune. For others, a $2000 transceiver
is "not competitive".

What's the replacement value of the tower and everything on it?
Not hamfest value - replacement value.

And then there's the price of the real estate to put it all on....

> You only need a "small fortune" if you're adverse to a
> little "sweat equity" and decide to buy it all at
> 1-800-rigs-r-us.

Agreed! In fact, one of the great levelers is the relatively low
price and high quality of used gear. Say, a good clean TT Omni VI+
with all the filters and mods. Close to $3000 back when it was new -
half that today? Less than half?

The computer that was $2000 less than a decade ago can be rescued from
doorstop status and put to work in the shack. Sure, it won't run
Windoze very well, but Windoze 9x isn't a good choice for contesting
anyway.
>
> In fact, if you depend on "rigs-r-us" to win I'd guess your
> station probably
> could benefit from some thoughtful design efforts, not more
> money.
>
There's also the big unknown of real estate. Take SS - the ham in a
rare section has a built-in advantage over the ham in a nonrare one.
The middle part of the country has an advanatage over the coasts. The
ham who can live on a big "city lot" with no restrictions has an
advantage over the one who has less room.
Etc. But that's all part of the game.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2776. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 13:29:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118582946.715108.178110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109959

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > But it takes more than the average station to win.
>
> Winning isn't about being average.

Agreed!
>
> Average sailors in average boats don't win the Americas Cup.
> Average golfers
> with average clubs don't win the Masters. Average drivers in
> average cars don't
> win Indy. Average jockeys on average horses don't win the
> Belmont. Average
> radiomen with average stations don't win CQWWDX.

But the radiosportsmen take over big parts of the popular HF ham bands
when a contest is on. Which is more than a few weekends a year. There's
no real equivalent to that in the other contests.

And unlike the other contests, getting more radiosport contestants
helps, not hurts, the winners.

> Life isn't set up to give plaques to "average joes". Winners
> are above average.
> Second place is "First Loser".

"Winner" has all sorts of definitions. Winning your section is
one level, winning your division another, etc. Just setting
a personal best score is winning.

Most radiosport contests have several levels of competition.
That's a good thing. My "Iron" class proposal doesn't reduce
or eliminate anyone's win.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2777. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 13:44:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118583853.363705.267810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109960

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >
> > > Your strangle hold on the amateur service may not
> > > end until the actuarial tables kick in, but they will end.
> >
> > Jim has a "strangle hold" on ham radio?
>
> That claim is incorrect.

Probably. And you've probably never hammered a rivet into place,
either.

> > Gee, I didn't know!
>
> Me neither.
>
> > Hey, Jim --- loosen up and let me breathe!
>
> ;-)
>
> It seems very odd that I'd be accused of having a stranglehold
> in a thread where all I did was post people's opinions about
> when FCC would drop Element 1.

I've learned that people's opinions are now assertions of fact. As
assertions of fact, they are either right or wrong, truthful or
intentionally decitful (lies). At the end of the day, you either had a
right opinion, or you lied. You have Robeson to thank for that.

So, did you have a right opinion about the elimination of El. 1? Or
was it the latter?

> If it were up to me, all amateur radio licenses would require
> at least a 5 wpm code test. And they'd all have better (not
> harder) written tests too.

I'm going to recommend 60wpm for the Extra exam, everyone retests, no
waivers.

> But it's not up to me.

It's up to some of your PCTA Cronies employed by the FCC.

> So I'll continue to give FCC my thoughts, ideas and opinions
> on the issues, and my reasons behind them. Maybe FCC will

> agree, maybe not. Hardly a "stranglehold". Just democracy
> in action.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I hope you're prepping for that 60wpm exam. You could start by not
wasting your time here.

2778. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 13:46:22 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118583982.000249.281260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109961

John Smith wrote:
> Frankly, I wonder of the sanity of the manufacturers of ham equip...
>
> If they are interesting in selling radios, making a profit in the
> American tradition, etc... seems they would be taking their dollars and
> lobbying congress...
>
> John

Actually, that was the theme of a thread in here. Evil manufacturers
are selling ham radio down the sewer.

2779. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 13:54:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118584479.670520.325030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109962

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> >><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >>news:1118454735.092814.201240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >>[snip]
> >>
> >>
> >>---
> >>>
> >>>OK, here's one to toss around:
> >>>
> >>>Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in
> >>>the "60 meter" region.
> >>>
> >>>Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:
> >>>
> >>>1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to
> >>>2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..
> >>>
> >>>or
> >>>
> >>>2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such
> >>>as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the
> >>>same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.
> >>>
> >>>Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz
> >>>overall?
> >>>
> >>>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>>
> >>
> >>I'd vote for the 2nd one. In my opinion, the bands we have now are nicely
> >>space to take advantage of variations in propagation. However, I'd like a
> >>lot more room on both 40 meters and 30 meters. If 30m could be widened,

> >>then a phone section could be added.
> >>
> >>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >
> > A PCTA wants more phone privs? FISTS rampage. Hiram groans.
> >
>
> It's all black or white Brian? A PCTA or NCTA has only one way to think
> and one opinion on how things are?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Has the code exam been dropped yet? Might as well be all black or all white because at the end of the day there are still people who support the wall.

2780. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 13:57:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118584634.950721.267100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109963

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >>
> >>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >>> news:1118454735.092814.201240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>>
> >>>> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> [snip]
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> ---
> >>>>
> >>>> OK, here's one to toss around:
> >>>>
> >>>> Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in
> >>>> the "60 meter" region.
> >>>>
> >>>> Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:
> >>>>
> >>>> 1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to
> >>>> 2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..
> >>>>
> >>>> or
> >>>>
> >>>> 2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such
> >>>> as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the
> >>>> same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.
> >>>>
> >>>> Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz
> >>>> overall?
> >>>>
> >>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>>>
> >>>
```

> >>> I'd vote for the 2nd one. In my opinion, the bands we have now are
> >>> nicely
> >>> space to take advantage of variations in propagation. However, I'd
> >>> like a
> >>> lot more room on both 40 meters and 30 meters. If 30m could be widened,
> >>> then a phone section could be added.
> >>>
> >>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> A PCTA wants more phone privs? FISTS rampage. Hiram groans.
> >>
> >
> > It's all black or white Brian? A PCTA or NCTA has only one way to
> > think and one opinion on how things are?
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Brian seems to be of the opinion that anyone who support morse testing
> operates nuttin' but CW.
>
> Dave K8MN

Jim told me that a Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to CW use. Hi!
Some people can only think in terms of CW.

2781. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 14:02:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118584970.149555.225470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109964

John Smith wrote:
> Len:
>
> I think steve's argument goes like this, "If I can find one thing wrong
> with any of your text--I can dismiss ALL of it"
>
> --OR--
>
> "Once I can prove a point wrong in any of your posts--anything you have
> to say from then on is LESS IMPORTANT than my text"

Hardly.

Lennie's been busted for so many errors by numerous other
posters...Not just me. And most of his posts have more than one error
to them...Some posts a lot more than others.

I just happen to be the most prolific.

> ... Where do they get these guys from? Even though the younger
> generation is much more educated than just a few decades ago--dinosaurs
> still walking the earth is an amazing sight!!! <grin>

Don't worry "John"... Lennie's close to his expiration date, then
there'll be one LESS dinosaur, generation of origin notwithstanding.

Steve, K4YZ

2782. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 14:11:46 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns967367C20AD5Celektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 109966

Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
news:kY2dne_y7KYRLTbfRVn-tA@adelphia.com:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>
>>>"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:7UEqe.2534$hK3.1424
>>>@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>>
>>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Your strangle hold on the amateur service may not end until > >> the
>>>>>actuarial tables kick in, but they will end.
>>>>
>>>>Jim has a "strangle hold" on ham radio? Gee, I didn't know!
>>>>
>>>>Hey, Jim --- loosen up and let me breathe!
>>>>
>>>>did dit <----- Reverse Fransworth
>>>>de Hans, K0HB
>>>>
>>
>> It occurs to me that the references to "actuarial tables" is
>> a backhanded way of telling me to drop dead....
>>
>>
>>>Not Jim specifically, but all the Pro Code Test Advocates
>>>(PCTA).
>
> I am a little surprised that Alun would be so prejudiced as to
> declare
> that all PCTAs think exactly the same.
>
> Makes it a lot easier to demonize than, eh? It isn't just you. I
> think
> exactly the same way as you, and say Larry Roll, and ALL the other
> PCTAs, in his estimation.
>
> Bad show!
>
>>

>> It was aimed straight at me.
>>
>>
>>>I think
>>>it was Goethe who said something about new ideas not being
>>>accepted until
>>>those who cling to the old ones have died.
>>
>>
>> That claim is incorrect.
>>
>
> He did however, say
>
> "There is nothing more frightful than ignorance in action."
>
>
>
>>
>>>It has to be said that there is some truth in that.
>>
>>
>> Not much, if any.
>>
>> Not all new ideas are good ideas, either.
>
>
>
>>
>>>I think that the FCC will abolish the code test before we get
>>>that far, though.
>>>They are taking their sweet time, though.
>>
>>
>> Yep - and that may or may not be significant.
>>
>>
>>>My XYL is waiting on this
>>>event to go for her General, so that's my main interest.
>>
>>
>> With all due respect - it's been five years plus since the 2000
>> restructuring made 5 wpm the only code test. Most people can learn
>> code well enough to pass that test in 4-6 weeks of practicing about a
>> half-hour a day.
>
> 5 years lost. So is waiting all this time a matter of high
> principles,
> or is it a lack of real interest?

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

It's not a matter of how they think, but just which side of the fence they are on. Their numbers will decline with attrition, and then it will show up as an apparent shift of opinion.

2783. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 14:14:06 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns967368272DB34elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 109965

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in news:1118583982.000249.281260
@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Frankly, I wonder of the sanity of the manufacturers of ham equip...
>>
>> If they are interesting in selling radios, making a profit in the
>> American tradition, etc... seems they would be taking their dollars and
>> lobbying congress...
>>
>> John
>
> Actually, that was the theme of a thread in here. Evil manufacturers
> are selling ham radio down the sewer.
>
>

And yet, I've never seen any evidence of them lobbying

2784. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 14:19:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118585977.216713.326830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109967

bb wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > It seems very odd that I'd be accused of having a stranglehold
> > in a thread where all I did was post people's opinions about
> > when FCC would drop Element 1.
>
> I've learned that people's opinions are now assertions of fact. As
> assertions of fact, they are either right or wrong, truthful or
> intentionally decitful (lies). At the end of the day, you either had a
> right opinion, or you lied. You have Robeson to thank for that.

The problem, Brain, is that you don't learn when to express your
opinon AS an opinion, and when to express something as an assertion.

You STATED that "...the role of the ARES is overblown..", yet the
very day you did it there were no less than three new items on various
sites about third-party agencies lauding ARES volunteers.

You then also STATED that ARES will not be able to respond
because, allegedly, too many of the members are over extended on
commitments.

I've asked you over and over to provide some substantiation of
those comments, but you simply dodge the questions.

So either way you look at it, you're wrong. You are either so
blissfully ignorant of the enviroment you're in that it manifests as
"stupid", or you're intentionally being deceitful, in which case you're
the liar I have claimed you to be.

In either case, you're the loser.

Oh well.

Steve, K4YZ

2785. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 14:49:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118587760.191860.13520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109968

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Stebie too busy with music...(SNIP)

You really are losing it aren't you, Lennie...?!?!

So sad...so sad...

Steve, K4YZ

2786. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 14:53:08 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118587988.481053.37070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109969

KØHB wrote:
> "Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote
>

> Actually the LP layer (100-150W) is where the greatest number of stations
> specifically engineered for SO2R seems to be.

I wouldn't bet on that, getting a good SO2R station running represents
a lot of work and expense. Adding an amp is a no-brainer in comparison
on both counts. The purpose of a 2R lashup is to have a run rig and a
mult rig instantly available. Since it's difficult to keep those
200-300/hr runs going with a 100W signal all the SO2R ops I know around
here use amps. Analyzing the published scores should answer the
question.

> Surprising on the surface, but
> makes a lot of sense when you consider the issue of self-induced noise.

Ya got me: What's "self-induced noise"?

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

2787. Why Does Brian Burke Do It? Masochism?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 15:18:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118589522.996836.45500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109970

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > > Oh no, Brain...Defiling Veterans is Lennie's schtick. Your mentor.
> > > > > > The slimey weasel that parodies the Tomb of the Unknowns and whom YOU
> > > > > > said you "admire".
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't admire that.
> > > >
> > > > You don't admire what? YOU stated you admire Lennie.
> > > >
> > > > Were you lying then, or are you lying now?
> > >
> > > I stated that I admired Len for standing up to the Might Macho
> > > Morsemen. I admired him for not backing down as a lesser person would
> > > have run away from your constant attacks and those of your cronies. I
> > > still admire that aspect of Len.
> > >
> > > I do not admire "that" to which you referred. Do you recall the
> > > context???
> >
> > Of course I do.
>
> Then why do you use weasel words and play games?

Then why ahve YOU not admonished Lennie for his sick parody?

Why do YOU continue to do YOUR "weasel" routine, Brian...?!?!

> > > Even though I try to find some good in each person, I do not admire you
> > > under any circumstance.
> >
> > Too bad. You have your good moments. I've said so.
> >
> > > You are a whacko.
> >
> > And you're a documented chronic liar. So who do you think will
> > believe you, other than Lennie who is ALSO a documented chronic
> > liar...?!?!
>
> I documented a single, three-week lying spree of yours where you told

> 29 new lies.

No...you accused me of lying.

You were challenged at each and ever accusation to prove it.

You didn't.

We KNOW who the liar is, and it's not me.

> > > > Almost all of the regulars (and quite a few of the anonymous
> > > > posters, too) have spoken out very decisively AGAINST Lennie's vile
> > > > parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns...
> > > >
> > > > Except you...
> > >
> > > Are you really that ignorant?
> >
> > I am not ignorant at all.
>
> Neither are the criminally insane.

You are?

> > All of "us" have DIRECTLY addressed his vile and demeaning
> > "parody" of the Tomb of the Unknowns.
> >
> > You have not.
> >
> > Why? Coward?
>
> Why has Jimmy the Riveter continued newgroup friendship with you after
> your "slashed tires and terrorized wife" remarks?

Because I didn't threaten anyone.

Veiled or otherwise.

Just like your attempt to misrepresent my comments about Amateur
Radio and MARS, you take one line from a post them try to make it
appear as something other than what it was.

That's why I can say "Brian P Burke is a chronic liar" and know
that you can never take me to court over it.

Because I have the evidence to prove my assertions.

> > > > > Do you admire all things about Jane?
> > > >
> > > > Ms Fonda lost all dignity and respect when she sat her butt down
> > > > in that weapon's control seat in North Viet Nam.
> > >
> > > Where were you?
> >

> > It doesn't matter WHERE I was. She's a traitor.
>
> You may have been a traitor also.

Nope.

> Where were you?

I didn't tell you?

> Documented in
> > countless testimonies. Not a one of those testimonies has been
> > challenged, by Fonda or any person or entity on her behalf.
>
> The NRA allowed a traitor to join?

I don't know. I'm not a member of the NRA.

How is this germane?

> > > > She chose the path of a traitor as opposed to peaceful protest.
> > >
> > > You chose your path, and the no-servers chose theirs.
> >
> > The "no-servers" have not broken any laws.
>
> They may have.

Lacking any credible evidence to the contrary, they haven't.

So says the Constitution of the United States.

> > As for the path I chose, yes, I did. As did you. Too bad you
> > wound up with the reputation of being a chronic liar, eh...?!?!
>
> Hi! I documented a single, three-week lying spree of yours where you
> told 29 new lies.

No you didn't.

You ACCUSED me of lying, but refused in each and every instance to
substantiate your claims.

You never did. Not once.

> > I wasn't aware that weasels (other than you and Lennie) were able
> > to communicate, let alone have a vocabulary.
>
> Yet you have a vocabulary and communicate. Your awareness needs
> updating.

I'm not a weasel.

> > > > > > Know anyone like that? I have one in mind.
> > > > > >

> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm sure you do. Care to share in public, or do the veiled threats
> > > > > > continue?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No veiled threat, Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > > Apparently they do continue...
> > >
> > What continues?
>
> Your weasel words and obtuseness. If you are going to threaten me,
> just do so without regard to the consequences. You'll feel better for
> a little while.

What threats?

What consequences?

You accuse me of "threats" vis-a-vis your recent "what is a hostile action" rant. Then when I offer you an example of what I would consider a "hostile action", clearly in response to YOUR question, you make your unfounded accusation.

If you feel threatened, why don't you go right ahead and print off those threads and stop by the local PD to file a complaint. Heck...go ahead and stop byt the FBI since this crosses state lines...

Then when required to respond, I'll bring along YOUR stuff, including your "weasel" wordsmithing, wanton deceit and blatant lying and overt attempts to make false accusations of your own.

Oh...and I'll being along the ENTIRE post that you continue to misquote from vis-a-vis "slashed tires", etc, just so they can get "the big picture" on what your TRUE character is all about.

That ought to set your reputation with law enforcement. It's sure done it here.

Steve, K4YZ

2788. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 15:21:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118589699.656301.205870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109971

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in news:1118583982.000249.281260
> @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > John Smith wrote:
> > >> Frankly, I wonder of the sanity of the manufacturers of ham equip...
> > >>
> > >> If they are interesting in selling radios, making a profit in the
> > >> American tradition, etc... seems they would be taking their dollars and
> > >> lobbying congress...
> > >>
> > >> John
> > >
> > > Actually, that was the theme of a thread in here. Evil manufacturers
> > > are selling ham radio down the sewer.
> > >
> > >
> >
> And yet, I've never seen any evidence of them lobbying

Read the Report and Order for 98-143.

The comments most quoted by FCC in its restructuring decisions
weren't those of ARRL, NCVEC, or even NCI. Not those of any
individual, either.

The comments most quoted by FCC in its restructuring decisions
were those of Kenwood of America.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2789. Re: Glendale Lavender Lads Monthly Meeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 16:12:04 UTC
From: Habib Saggybutt <griffbulbs4@singingloons70.com>
Message-ID: <f3612$42ac5f38$d1cc791f$28917@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 109972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"wq" <anon@wqanongrporg.com> wrote in message
news:d8fklh$g0g$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> The Glendale Lavender Lads monthly meeting will be held
> tonight, at you know who's house. You Know Who just called
> and said his monthly SSI check came in, so there will be plenty
> of eats. Also, You Know Who said he finally removed his antennas
> from blocking the public sidewalk, so there will be no visits from
> city code enforcement officials today. Best of all, You Know Who
> had his monthly mental health check up, and the doc said he still
> has major mental health issues, so lets all prop up You Know Who
> tonight.

"The CO2 suppository is one of our most popular items. Simply moisten
and insert as far up in your rectum as possible with your finger, wait
between 15-30 minutes and you will feel an intense need to use the
bathroom. The gas generated by the suppository forces out material in
your rectum... Our CO2 suppositories combine Sodium Bicarbonate and
Potassium Bitartrate to generate approximately 150cc's of carbon
dioxide (CO2). The release of gas gently distends the rectal ampulla
and forces the matter in your bowels out, leaving you clean and ready
for fun...."

URL ---> http://brownbottle.com/cleanprod.html

2790. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 16:22:52 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118593372.492998.251020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109973

Dave Heil wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >

> I'm aware of stations who use packet spots during contests and who claim
> SO status. There's no real way to put that genie back into the bottle.

Actually the contest sponsers have gotten pretty good at detecting
those bad boys. They've developed software which automatically combs
thru logs and looks for patterns which indicate who did what when spots
show up. Lotta those guys scores have been tossed.

> > That's a logical extension of SO2R, and I believe that the station designer who
> > makes it work ought to remain in SO category and not be "punished" for his
> > innovation and design effort.
>
> I disagree. The robot is, in effect, a second op.

I also disagree because when you get right down to it it's functionally
the same as using the spots. If it worked well enough though it would
probably be better than the spots because it would be "operating" under
the same propagation/reception condx as the rest of the station.

You can waste a lotta time chasing spots even if they are posted by
locals. Sure, the guy three Zip codes over with his 3EL 40M beam @ 150
feet can easily pick off that JT. But I'm sitting here with my weenie
dipole @ 40 feet and I can't hear a peep from the JT. In the meanwhile
I've wasted two minutes on a spots-induced wild goose chase.

> > Similar things already exist. CT and NA both have a feature called "Super Check
> > Partial" which provide "matches" from a database of calls harvested from
> > previous contests. For example, if you enter the partial call "K0H", it will
> > show you several choices of calls like K0HA, K0HB, SK0HL, IK0HBN, all of which
> > contain the sequence "K0H". Because that's information not gathered "off the air
> > during the contest", purists consider it "outside assistance" and feel it should
> > disqualify the entrant from SO and place them in an assisted category.

If I'm not mistaken those files can be used with all the mainstream
loggers these days.

> I don't even have the files in CT and I'm with the purists on this one.
>
> > Purists draw only this line between SO and "multi-op" or "assisted". Every bit
> > of information which goes into an SO log must be gathered off the air during the
> > contest period by a single operator and his equipment without outside assitance
> > such as packet clusters, harvested data-bases, and similar aids.

>
> I'm with K3ZO on the SO2R scene: It is a lot of extra work and trouble

It's certainly a lot of extra work and trouble I wouldn't even think
about getting into. But I'm not "everybody", involves the mindsets of
the specific ops. The hardcore types could care less, to them it's just
one more hill to climb to get even-up with their competitors. In many
cases they actually *enjoy* all the complexity & work. Money be damned.

> and I'm of the opinion that a good SO can usually equal or beat the 2R op.

Published scores trump opinions David.

> I don't think automation has necessarily been a good thing for
> contesting. Computer logging aside, it has removed a good bit of the
> "fun factor" in contesting.

Depends on where the op gets his jollies. One of the local EEs doesn't
do much operating but he's the guru of gurus nationally when it comes
to designing/building wonderous 2R and M-M black boxes and running the
Spider spots network. Different strokes for different folks top to
bottom in contesting.

> I've never even used the keyboard or
> computer for sending during a contest and don't do much with a memory
> keyer. I'm beginning to think that Father Time and automation have
> combined to reduce my interest in going for score in most contests.

You have a *huge* amount of company on this one. My iron-bottom days
are *long* gone. Geez, dunno how many times I did 40-48 hours at some
multi-multi or another back when. Back when I was 30-40 something of
course. These days my critical piece of "automation" is my alarm
clock.<groan>.

> I
> find that my interest in single band efforts is increased, mostly
> because it allows me to get some sleep.

Less hassles all 'round. I have antenna installation restrictions and
electrical noise here but I'm hoping to squeeze a 20M antenna up and go
100W S&P mode simply to keep the my dust & rust level down.

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

2791. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 16:31:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118593894.097638.302710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109974

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> news:kY2dne_y7KYRLTbfRVn-tA@adelphia.com:
>
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >>
> >>>"KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:7UEqe.2534$hK3.1424
> >>>@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >>
> >>
> >>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Your strangle hold on the amateur service may not end until > >> the
> >>>>>actuarial tables kick in, but they will end.
> >>>>
> >>>>Jim has a "strangle hold" on ham radio? Gee, I didn't know!
> >>>>
> >>>>Hey, Jim --- loosen up and let me breathe!
> >>>>
> >>>>did dit <----- Reverse Fransworth
> >>>>de Hans, K0HB
> >>>>
> >>
> >> It occurs to me that the references to "actuarial tables" is
> >> a backhanded way of telling me to drop dead....
> >>
> >>
> >>>Not Jim specifically, but all the Pro Code Test Advocates
> >>>(PCTA).
> >
> > I am a little surprised that Alun would be so prejudiced as to
> > declare
> > that all PCTAs think exactly the same.
> >
> > Makes it a lot easier to demonize than, eh? It isn't just you. I
> > think
> > exactly the same way as you, and say Larry Roll, and ALL the other
> > PCTAs, in his estimation.
> >
> > Bad show!
> >
> >>

> >> It was aimed straight at me.
> >>
> >>
> >>>I think
> >>>it was Goethe who said something about new ideas not being
> >>>accepted until
> >>>those who cling to the old ones have died.
> >>
> >>
> >> That claim is incorrect.
> >>
> >
> > He did however, say
> >
> > "There is nothing more frightful than ignorance in action."
> >
> >
> >
> >>
> >>>It has to be said that there is some truth in that.
> >>
> >>
> >> Not much, if any.
> >>
> >> Not all new ideas are good ideas, either.
> >
> >
> >
> >>
> >>>I think that the FCC will abolish the code test before we get
> >>>that far, though.
> >>>They are taking their sweet time, though.
> >>
> >>
> >> Yep - and that may or may not be significant.
> >>
> >>
> >>>My XYL is waiting on this
> >>>event to go for her General, so that's my main interest.
> >>
> >>
> >> With all due respect - it's been five years plus since the 2000
> >> restructuring made 5 wpm the only code test. Most people can learn
> >> code well enough to pass that test in 4-6 weeks of practicing about a
> >> half-hour a day.
> >
> > 5 years lost. So is waiting all this time a matter of high
> > principles,
> > or is it a lack of real interest?
> >

> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
>
> It's not a matter of how they think, but just which
> side of the fence they are on.

On one particular issue.

> Their numbers will decline with attrition, and then it will
> show up as an apparent shift of opinion.

The presumption is that the newcomers will have a higher percentage of
NCTA folks than currently exists. Maybe
that will happen and maybe it won't.

Back in 1996, the ARRL-sponsored READEX poll on various
codetest issues showed that the most procodetest age group
was the *youngest* hams.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2792. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 16:41:26 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118594486.619262.311610@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109975

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > > It seems very odd that I'd be accused of having a stranglehold
> > > in a thread where all I did was post people's opinions about
> > > when FCC would drop Element 1.
> >
> > I've learned that people's opinions are now assertions of fact. As
> > assertions of fact, they are either right or wrong, truthful or
> > intentionally decitful (lies). At the end of the day, you either had a
> > right opinion, or you lied. You have Robeson to thank for that.
>
> The problem, Brain, is that you don't learn when to express your
> opinon AS an opinion, and when to express something as an assertion.

Let me put it another way:

When a statement reads as a fact, with no qualifiers like "I think" or
"IMHO" or such most people read it that the writer
is stating a fact.

A person can hold whatever opinions they like. That does not
mean all opinions are equally valid. A person's opinions can
be based on true facts and valid logic, or not. Doesn't make
the person a liar, just a poor thinker.
>
> You STATED that "...the role of the ARES is overblown..",
> yet the
> very day you did it there were no less than three new items
> on various
> sites about third-party agencies lauding ARES volunteers.
>
> You then also STATED that ARES will not be able to respond
> because, allegedly, too many of the members are over extended on
> commitments.
>
> I've asked you over and over to provide some substantiation of
> those comments, but you simply dodge the questions.
>
> So either way you look at it, you're wrong. You are either so
> blissfully ignorant of the enviroment you're in that it
> manifests as
> "stupid", or you're intentionally being deceitful,
> in which case you're

> the liar I have claimed you to be.

There's a third possibility, Steve:

That statement could simply be an opinion. The person starting it has chosen not to back up that opinion with facts. Doesn't make them a liar. It does show that they can't back up their opinion with facts, that's all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2793. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 17:35:08 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118597708.685943.292300@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109976

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:

> "Winner" has all sorts of definitions. Winning your section is
> one level, winning your division another, etc. Just setting
> a personal best score is winning.

Winning is beating the Yankee Clippers. Try operating at that "level"
for the ultimate contesting experience.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2794. Re: K1MAN RECEIVES LARGE NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 18:02:12 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <epWdnUfmIfOMAjHfRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 109994

A. Melon wrote:
> "Jimbo" <jim_haynie@myway.com> wrote in message
> news:1118600575.968095.314510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>On June 7, 2005, K1MAN received an NAL from the FCC in the amount of
>>$21,000. K1MAN had the option to pay up, ask for a reduction in the
>>amount of the fine, or to dispute the validity of the NAL. As usual,
>>K1MAN has decided to deny any responsibility for his actions. The FCC
>>will publish the reasons for this NAL at their enforcement bureau
>>website at their earliest opportunity. The address is:
>>
>>http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/
>>
>>Please Reference:
>>File. No. EB-04-BS-111
>>NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
>>FRN 0013164975
>>
>>We believe this NAL represents a strong and justifiable statement
>>regarding Amateur Radio Enforcement. As responsible amateurs, we should
>>not tolerate rules infractions, or the ridiculous and juvenile antics
>>sometimes heard on our amateur frequencies. Hats off to the FCC for
>>taking this long-awaited enforcement action! Hopefully, K1MAN's license
>>will NOT be renewed in 2005.
>>
>>-Jim
>>
>
>
>
>
> How long have you had your abnormal obsession with k1man?
>
>
>
>
How long have you been unable to post a reply only once?

2795. K1MAN RECEIVES LARGE NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 18:22:56 UTC
From: Jimbo <jim_haynie@myway.com>
Message-ID: <1118600575.968095.314510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109977

On June 7, 2005, K1MAN received an NAL from the FCC in the amount of $21,000. K1MAN had the option to pay up, ask for a reduction in the amount of the fine, or to dispute the validity of the NAL. As usual, K1MAN has decided to deny any responsibility for his actions. The FCC will publish the reasons for this NAL at their enforcement bureau website at their earliest opportunity. The address is:

http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/

Please Reference:
File. No. EB-04-BS-111
NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
FRN 0013164975

We believe this NAL represents a strong and justifiable statement regarding Amateur Radio Enforcement. As responsible amateurs, we should not tolerate rules infractions, or the ridiculous and juvenile antics sometimes heard on our amateur frequencies. Hats off to the FCC for taking this long-awaited enforcement action! Hopefully, K1MAN's license will NOT be renewed in 2005.

-Jim

2796. Re: K1MAN RECEIVES LARGE NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 18:31:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dc%qe.32$9_5.1846373@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109978

Jimbo:

Hmmm, will count on seeing him on the "freebands" in the future...

I was wondering where all the freebanders are coming from--your post
helps much in the understanding of their numbers...

John

"Jimbo" <jim_haynie@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1118600575.968095.314510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> On June 7, 2005, K1MAN received an NAL from the FCC in the amount of
> $21,000. K1MAN had the option to pay up, ask for a reduction in the
> amount of the fine, or to dispute the validity of the NAL. As usual,
> K1MAN has decided to deny any responsibility for his actions. The FCC
> will publish the reasons for this NAL at their enforcement bureau
> website at their earliest opportunity. The address is:
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/
>
> Please Reference:
> File. No. EB-04-BS-111
> NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
> FRN 0013164975
>
> We believe this NAL represents a strong and justifiable statement
> regarding Amateur Radio Enforcement. As responsible amateurs, we
> should
> not tolerate rules infractions, or the ridiculous and juvenile antics
> sometimes heard on our amateur frequencies. Hats off to the FCC for
> taking this long-awaited enforcement action! Hopefully, K1MAN's
> license
> will NOT be renewed in 2005.
>
> -Jim
>

2797. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 18:57:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118602665.750566.197710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109979

From: "John Smith" on Sat 11 Jun 2005 00:30

>Len:
>
>I think steve's argument goes like this, "If I can find one thing wrong
>with any of your text--I can dismiss ALL of it"

John, that's the model that N...@aol.com tried to fly in here
several years ago. [I can't write his name in here because
N...@aol.com doesn't like being called names...:-)]

Others have tried this, of course, but that is just the usual
psy-war tactics they attempt (unsuccessfully) in words. :-)

>--OR--
>
>"Once I can prove a point wrong in any of your posts--anything you have
>to say from then on is LESS IMPORTANT than my text"

I would say that's only slightly close. It's a DOMINANCE ploy
they are attempting...that of intimidating and dominating the
newsgroup sufficiently that they emerge APPARENT winners. They
only wind up being little weiners.

>... Where do they get these guys from? Even though the younger
>generation is much more educated than just a few decades ago--dinosaurs
>still walking the earth is an amazing sight!!! <grin>

Tsk. Yes, amazing. Toothless Tyranosaur Rex roaring rage and
hate, standing only five feet high. Strikes terror in the hearts
of mice. Yawn. :->

Stebie da Wundermarine done manufactured some kind of word battle
on MOTOROLA...saying "it didn't exist before 1947." :-) Actually
the brand name was already indistinguishable from Galvin Mfg prior
to WW2 and the 1947 corporate name change wasn't any more than
some legal paperwork filing and a lot of letterhead changing in
Chicago.

Chicago: Always seemed a NON-center for electronics and radio, yet
that is the city and suburbs wherein existed the Bill Halligan works
better known as "Hallicrafters." [long since gone...RIP] Western
Electric's main facilities were in Chicago and next to it was
Graybar, the distribution center. The Siragusa brothers founded
Admiral Corporation there and made TV sets (and radios) for decades
with divisions spread all over the suburbs and nearby small cities.
Zenith Radio began there. Teletype Corporation began there, about

the same time as Bill Halligan started up. Lots more "smaller" firms in that area...but disregarded by the easterners because the "town by the lake" isn't in their center of the universe.

If Gonad the Librarian wishes to follow-up, he can point and shout about MOTOROLA headquarters at SCHAUMBERG, IL, >>not<< "IN" Chicago! He could have an orgasm of messaging (and probably will) yelling all about LIES, LIES, and more LIES!!! :-)

In reality, Hallicrafters is DEFUNCT. So, in essence, are Admiral and Zenith (Chicago went from "A to Z"). Teletype is no more but their corporate trademark is indelibly synonymous with THE tele-printer. Without checking for absolute, poselute "accuracy," the MOTOROLA corporate headquarters may have moved a long distance away...perhaps to make room for Boeing Aircraft (in a weird move from the long-established Hq home in the northwest USA in/near Seattle, WA).

Nursie Worsie is an "expert" on electronics corporations in the USA because he read a lot of QST ads and "worked" for less than a half year as a purchasing agent for a small set-top box maker. It's wonderful that there are SO many amateur "experts" here that check everything, determine if the I is dotted and the T crossed, then berate/admonish the "guilty" on the slightest infraction. Watching them hop up and down in rage is like watching a 2-dimensional puppet with a single yank string pulled to make their flat arms and legs wiggle. ;-)

I'm going to spend the next week at this year's Microwave Symposium down by the seashore. Back to the reality of the REAL radio business and REAL advancement of the state of the radio art. I'll leave the "art pioneering" to the amateur starving artists "manufacturing" their ever-regenerative regenerative detectors. [for less than $100, of course]
Oh, and ponderous pontification on CONTESTING in "radiosport" wherein all the "great ones" are making their bids for laurel wreaths and immortality as gurus of radio. Yawn.

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1118439835.432495.97630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> From: "John Smith" on Fri 10 Jun 2005 07:54

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2798. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 18:59:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118602774.673783.234970@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109980

From: "bb" on Sat 11 Jun 2005 09:55

>John Smith wrote:
>> Len:
>>
>> I think steve's argument goes like this, "If I can find one thing wrong
>> with any of your text--I can dismiss ALL of it"
>>
>> --OR--
>>
>> "Once I can prove a point wrong in any of your posts--anything you have
>> to say from then on is LESS IMPORTANT than my text"
>
>A legitimate assessment.
>
>> ... Where do they get these guys from?
>
>I'm guessing that he's the product of an overdominant maternal figure
>and all that goes along with it. Mad at the world for having been
>raised a sissy, he over compensates by becoming a Marine. That doesn't
>work out, so he resigns himself to what he is and becomes a nurse. The
>pieces seem to fit, but it's just a guess.

Good observation.

Nursie Worsie is going to say it is all a LIE, LIE, LIE!!! :-)

Stebie da Wundermarine wasn't born and raised in the conventional
sense. It was issued from a USMC supply room, outfitted with a
scowl and swagger stick, and pointed towards seven hostile actions
and told to kill, destroy. The rest is history...as read in the
rest room.

Gonad the Librarian will want to speak to your wife again and
lecture your kids. He can't get enough of others' wives.

Dr. Killgore will thumb through a 1987 Physician's Desk Reference
to see what sort of rage-inducers he can filch from the hospital
drug room. [Sharps box keys were yanked off his key ring]

K4CAP will be "Pilot in Command" of a recon flight to Ohio and
check out your street address, scowl hidden behind gen-u-wine
aviator sunglasses as he arms the Cessna's "cannon."

Last Action Hero will berate "Lennie" and threaten charges of
Treason while he chugalugs the caffinated cola so he can piss
all over himself in here again.

Stebie's personalities are all out here, hopping up and down in rage and hate. Same old, same old. Yawn. Modern American amateur extra radio at its finest...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2799. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 19:05:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <bl%qe.36$1b7.1935506@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109985

Len:

Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women, children, small animals and gays)...

They are at a loss when brave men stand their ground and question their faulty logic... reduces 'em to personal attacks and gutter fighting quickly...

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1118602665.750566.197710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> From: "John Smith" on Sat 11 Jun 2005 00:30
>
>>Len:
>>
>>I think steve's argument goes like this, "If I can find one thing
>>wrong
>>with any of your text--I can dismiss ALL of it"
>
> John, that's the model that N...@aol.com tried to fly in here
> several years ago. [I can't write his name in here because
> N...@aol.com doesn't like being called names...:-)]
>
> Others have tried this, of course, but that is just the usual
> psy-war tactics they attempt (unsuccessfully) in words. :-)
>
>>--OR--
>>
>>"Once I can prove a point wrong in any of your posts--anything you
>>have
>>to say from then on is LESS IMPORTANT than my text"
>
> I would say that's only slightly close. It's a DOMINANCE ploy
> they are attempting...that of intimidating and dominating the
> newsgroup sufficiently that they emerge APPARENT winners. They
> only wind up being little weiners.
>
>>... Where do they get these guys from? Even though the younger
>>generation is much more educated than just a few decades
>>ago--dinosaurs
>>still walking the earth is an amazing sight!!! <grin>
>

> Tsk. Yes, amazing. Toothless Tyranosaur Rex roaring rage and
> hate, standing only five feet high. Strikes terror in the hearts
> of mice. Yawn. :->
>
> Stebie da Wundermarine done manufactured some kind of word battle
> on MOTOROLA...saying "it didn't exist before 1947." :-) Actually
> the brand name was already indistinguishable from Galvin Mfg prior
> to WW2 and the 1947 corporate name change wasn't any more than
> some legal paperwork filing and a lot of letterhead changing in
> Chicago.
>
> Chicago: Always seemed a NON-center for electronics and radio, yet
> that is the city and suburbs wherein existed the Bill Halligan works
> better known as "Hallicrafters." [long since gone...RIP] Western
> Electric's main facilities were in Chicago and next to it was
> Graybar, the distribution center. The Siragusa brothers founded
> Admiral Corporation there and made TV sets (and radios) for decades
> with divisions spread all over the suburbs and nearby small cities.
> Zenith Radio began there. Teletype Corporation began there, about
> the same time as Bill Halligan started up. Lots more "smaller"
> firms in that area...but disregarded by the easterners because the
> "town by the lake" isn't in their center of the universe.
>
> If Gonad the Librarian wishes to follow-up, he can point and shout
> about MOTOROLA headquarters at SCHAUMBERG, IL, >>not<< "IN" Chicago!
> He could have an orgasm of messaging (and probably will) yelling all
> about LIES, LIES, and more LIES!!! :-)
>
> In reality, Hallicrafters is DEFUNCT. So, in essence, are Admiral
> and Zenith (Chicago went from "A to Z"). Teletype is no more but
> their corporate trademark is indelibly synonymous with THE tele-
> printer. Without checking for absolute, poselute "accuracy," the
> MOTOROLA corporate headquarters may have moved a long distance
> away...perhaps to make room for Boeing Aircraft (in a weird
> move from the long-established Hq home in the northwest USA
> in/near Seattle, WA).
>
> Nursie Worsie is an "expert" on electronics corporations in the
> USA because he read a lot of QST ads and "worked" for less than
> a half year as a purchasing agent for a small set-top box maker.
> It's wonderful that there are SO many amateur "experts" here
> that check everything, determine if the I is dotted and the T
> crossed, then berate/admonish the "guilty" on the slightest
> infraction. Watching them hop up and down in rage is like
> watching a 2-dimensional puppet with a single yank string
> pulled to make their flat arms and legs wiggle. ;-)
>
> I'm going to spend the next week at this year's Microwave
> Symposium down by the seashore. Back to the reality of the
> REAL radio business and REAL advancement of the state of the

> radio art. I'll leave the "art pioneering" to the amateur
> starving artists "manufacturing" their ever-regenerative
> regenerative detectors. [for less than $100, of course]
> Oh, and ponderous pontification on CONTESTING in "radiosport"
> wherein all the "great ones" are making their bids for
> laurel wreaths and immortality as gurus of radio. Yawn.
>
>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>news:1118439835.432495.97630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> From: "John Smith" on Fri 10 Jun 2005 07:54
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

2800. Re: K1MAN RECEIVES LARGE NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 19:05:48 UTC
From: "A. Melon" <anon@juicyspammelons.com>
Message-ID: <d8i0tbchc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109981

"Jimbo" <jim_haynie@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1118600575.968095.314510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> On June 7, 2005, K1MAN received an NAL from the FCC in the amount of
> $21,000. K1MAN had the option to pay up, ask for a reduction in the
> amount of the fine, or to dispute the validity of the NAL. As usual,
> K1MAN has decided to deny any responsibility for his actions. The FCC
> will publish the reasons for this NAL at their enforcement bureau
> website at their earliest opportunity. The address is:
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/
>
> Please Reference:
> File. No. EB-04-BS-111
> NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
> FRN 0013164975
>
> We believe this NAL represents a strong and justifiable statement
> regarding Amateur Radio Enforcement. As responsible amateurs, we should
> not tolerate rules infractions, or the ridiculous and juvenile antics
> sometimes heard on our amateur frequencies. Hats off to the FCC for
> taking this long-awaited enforcement action! Hopefully, K1MAN's license
> will NOT be renewed in 2005.
>
> -Jim
>

How long have you had your abnormal obsession with k1man?

2801. Re: K1MAN RECEIVES LARGE NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 19:05:48 UTC
From: "A. Melon" <anon@juicyspammelons.com>
Message-ID: <d8i0tpchl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109982

"Jimbo" <jim_haynie@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1118600575.968095.314510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> On June 7, 2005, K1MAN received an NAL from the FCC in the amount of
> $21,000. K1MAN had the option to pay up, ask for a reduction in the
> amount of the fine, or to dispute the validity of the NAL. As usual,
> K1MAN has decided to deny any responsibility for his actions. The FCC
> will publish the reasons for this NAL at their enforcement bureau
> website at their earliest opportunity. The address is:
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/
>
> Please Reference:
> File. No. EB-04-BS-111
> NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
> FRN 0013164975
>
> We believe this NAL represents a strong and justifiable statement
> regarding Amateur Radio Enforcement. As responsible amateurs, we should
> not tolerate rules infractions, or the ridiculous and juvenile antics
> sometimes heard on our amateur frequencies. Hats off to the FCC for
> taking this long-awaited enforcement action! Hopefully, K1MAN's license
> will NOT be renewed in 2005.
>
> -Jim
>

How long have you had your abnormal obsession with k1man?

2802. Re: K1MAN RECEIVES LARGE NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 19:05:48 UTC
From: "A. Melon" <anon@juicyspammelons.com>
Message-ID: <d8i0smcga0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109983

"Jimbo" <jim_haynie@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1118600575.968095.314510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> On June 7, 2005, K1MAN received an NAL from the FCC in the amount of
> $21,000. K1MAN had the option to pay up, ask for a reduction in the
> amount of the fine, or to dispute the validity of the NAL. As usual,
> K1MAN has decided to deny any responsibility for his actions. The FCC
> will publish the reasons for this NAL at their enforcement bureau
> website at their earliest opportunity. The address is:
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/
>
> Please Reference:
> File. No. EB-04-BS-111
> NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
> FRN 0013164975
>
> We believe this NAL represents a strong and justifiable statement
> regarding Amateur Radio Enforcement. As responsible amateurs, we should
> not tolerate rules infractions, or the ridiculous and juvenile antics
> sometimes heard on our amateur frequencies. Hats off to the FCC for
> taking this long-awaited enforcement action! Hopefully, K1MAN's license
> will NOT be renewed in 2005.
>
> -Jim
>

How long have you had your abnormal obsession with k1man?

2803. Re: K1MAN RECEIVES LARGE NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 19:05:48 UTC
From: "A. Melon" <anon@juicyspammelons.com>
Message-ID: <d8i0udchu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109984

"Jimbo" <jim_haynie@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1118600575.968095.314510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> On June 7, 2005, K1MAN received an NAL from the FCC in the amount of
> $21,000. K1MAN had the option to pay up, ask for a reduction in the
> amount of the fine, or to dispute the validity of the NAL. As usual,
> K1MAN has decided to deny any responsibility for his actions. The FCC
> will publish the reasons for this NAL at their enforcement bureau
> website at their earliest opportunity. The address is:
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/
>
> Please Reference:
> File. No. EB-04-BS-111
> NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
> FRN 0013164975
>
> We believe this NAL represents a strong and justifiable statement
> regarding Amateur Radio Enforcement. As responsible amateurs, we should
> not tolerate rules infractions, or the ridiculous and juvenile antics
> sometimes heard on our amateur frequencies. Hats off to the FCC for
> taking this long-awaited enforcement action! Hopefully, K1MAN's license
> will NOT be renewed in 2005.
>
> -Jim
>

How long have you had your abnormal obsession with k1man?

2804. Re: K1MAN RECEIVES LARGE NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 19:13:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <AP%qe.37$3Q5.1819748@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109986

... he said he will only answer if you ask six times... you have
failed... now he may never answer...

John

"A. Melon" <anon@juicyspammelons.com> wrote in message
news:d8i0tbchc0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jimbo" <jim_haynie@myway.com> wrote in message
> news:1118600575.968095.314510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> On June 7, 2005, K1MAN received an NAL from the FCC in the amount of
>> $21,000. K1MAN had the option to pay up, ask for a reduction in the
>> amount of the fine, or to dispute the validity of the NAL. As usual,
>> K1MAN has decided to deny any responsibility for his actions. The FCC
>> will publish the reasons for this NAL at their enforcement bureau
>> website at their earliest opportunity. The address is:
>>
>> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/
>>
>> Please Reference:
>> File. No. EB-04-BS-111
>> NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
>> FRN 0013164975
>>
>> We believe this NAL represents a strong and justifiable statement
>> regarding Amateur Radio Enforcement. As responsible amateurs, we
>> should
>> not tolerate rules infractions, or the ridiculous and juvenile antics
>> sometimes heard on our amateur frequencies. Hats off to the FCC for
>> taking this long-awaited enforcement action! Hopefully, K1MAN's
>> license
>> will NOT be renewed in 2005.
>>
>> -Jim
>>
>
>
>
> How long have you had your abnormal obsession with k1man?
>
>
>
>

2805. Re: K1MAN RECEIVES LARGE NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 19:24:36 UTC
From: Not Roger <AB8MQ@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d8i24j$eq7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 109987

How long have you had your abnormal obsession with k1man?

Not as long as yours with Lloyd.....

2806. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 19:32:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Q40re.4085$pa3.745@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109988

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:tOSdnVKipKe8NzbfRVn-2Q@adelphia.com...

> My experience is that contests have two different aspects. Operator skill
> and power. This is assuming that the operator has a receiver of adequate
> performance. If you have to get rid of one parameter, go with operator
> skill.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I agree partly......

1. Operator skill

2. Receiver

3. Antenna

4. Power

Note; 2 and 3 can be interchanged.

Dan/W4NTI

2807. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 19:42:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109989

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118582946.715108.178110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
K�HB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > But it takes more than the average station to win.
>
> Winning isn't about being average.

Agreed!
>
> Average sailors in average boats don't win the Americas Cup.
> Average golfers
> with average clubs don't win the Masters. Average drivers in
> average cars don't
> win Indy. Average jockeys on average horses don't win the
> Belmont. Average
> radiomen with average stations don't win CQWWDX.

But the radiosportsmen take over big parts of the popular HF ham bands
when a contest is on. Which is more than a few weekends a year. There's
no real equivalent to that in the other contests.

And unlike the other contests, getting more radiosport contestants
helps, not hurts, the winners.

> Life isn't set up to give plaques to "average joes". Winners
> are above average.
> Second place is "First Loser".

"Winner" has all sorts of definitions. Winning your section is
one level, winning your division another, etc. Just setting
a personal best score is winning.

Most radiosport contests have several levels of competition.
That's a good thing. My "Iron" class proposal doesn't reduce
or eliminate anyone's win.

73 de Jim, N2EY

In the for what its worth department;

I, W4NTI have been contesting seriously since about 1973 when I participated
in a major operation from DL5AY. (Army MARS station in Frankfurt). It was
the CQWWDX Phone and we had a blast. We had Americans, Germans, wives,
sons, daughters, company and Battalion commanders there. And great German
sausage and Beer. A fun time was had by all. We also made over 2.5 million

points. What a blast....

That is some of what contesting is to me. Soon I intend to go play with some super stations of the South East Contest Club. These are by invite only.

How does one get a invite? Be a contester, show improved scores and be a good team player. Thats also part of contesting.

For me, my individual station is probably below par for the "average serious contester". I have two radios....a MP and a TS-530s. I have two linears, a old SB-200 and a AL-811H (with 572s in it). Both get about 700 out on a good day.

I have no beams. Just loops, verticals, and dipoles. All on a 200 x 200 piece of property.

With this I manage decent scores and have put many certificates on my wall. Of course no first place wins in CQWWDX. But I have placed first in my section in ARRLDX and various sweepstakes contests, etc.

Why do I contest? To improve my score by improving my station and myself.

Its as simple as that.

Have a good day

PS; I worked a bunch of sporadic skip yesterday on six meters in the June VHF contest.....with 8 watts and a 3 ele yagi at 20'.

It's all a matter of perspective.

Dan/W4NTI

2808. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 19:46:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Ji0re.4093$pa3.2802@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109990

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118597708.685943.292300@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K&#65533;HB wrote:

> "Winner" has all sorts of definitions. Winning your section is
> one level, winning your division another, etc. Just setting
> a personal best score is winning.

Winning is beating the Yankee Clippers. Try operating at that "level"
for the ultimate contesting experience.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

You got that right. Keep an eye on W4AN. The new call for the SECC (South
East Contest Club). NQ4I is another super station to watch for. Also SECC.

Dan/W4NTI

2809. Re: K1MAN RECEIVES LARGE NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 20:03:56 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <My0re.3013$eM6.2236@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 109991

>
> How long have you had your abnormal obsession with k1man?
>

What's your obsession of posting this multiple times?
>
>
>

In any event, looking at that web site of NOV and NAL and
other penalty points, you have to work at it to find hams
in trouble. Seems what they say is true about hams respecting
the rules better than most other services.

Some of the NOVs are for stupid stuff like tower registration
numbers not posted (go to a hardware store, buy some house
numbers, then attach them to the tower, done) and such
that some corporation bean counter tried to skimp on.

Then there are also a lot of illegal CB transceiver violations,
and pirate broadcast stations. Why even bother doing a
pirate radio station when you can stream your programming
on the web? You go from covering a mile or so to the
whole world. And save on NAL fines.

2810. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 20:32:33 UTC
From: Nick <soccernecklaces@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1118608352.976022.10580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109992

Did you borrow your brain from Walmart or something?

Most reasonable people understand that it takes evidence, not desperate extortionists, to warrant prosecution because a trial based on silly liars reflects the ignorance of the DA.

http://un.alturl.com

2811. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 20:41:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <U51re.42$%w1.2335889@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 109999

```
... at the very least, Whacko Jacko should never be within a hundred
feet of any child again, ever, in his lifetime--even his own without
supervision...

John

"Nick" <soccernecklaces@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1118608352.976022.10580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Did you borrow your brain from Walmart or something?
>
> Most reasonable people understand that it takes evidence, not
> desperate
> extortionists, to warrant prosecution because a trial based on silly
> liars reflects the ignorance of the DA.
>
> http://un.alturl.com
>
```

2812. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 20:44:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <u81re.845$xk2.138@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 109993

"Nick" <soccernecklaces@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1118542841.882467.156190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Right, Michael Jackson is Nixon now huh?
>
> And they call Jackson WEIRD LOL !
>
> http://un.alturl.com
>

Hello, Nick

Jackson is not of this planet as near as I can tell :))

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

2813. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 20:48:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118609325.257642.203250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109995

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in news:1118583982.000249.281260
> > @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > John Smith wrote:
> > >> Frankly, I wonder of the sanity of the manufacturers of ham equip...
> > >>
> > >> If they are interesting in selling radios, making a profit in the
> > >> American tradition, etc... seems they would be taking their dollars and
> > >> lobbying congress...
> > >>
> > >> John
> > >
> > > Actually, that was the theme of a thread in here. Evil manufacturers
> > > are selling ham radio down the sewer.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > And yet, I've never seen any evidence of them lobbying
>
> Read the Report and Order for 98-143.
>
> The comments most quoted by FCC in its restructuring decisions
> weren't those of ARRL, NCVEC, or even NCI. Not those of any
> individual, either.
>
> The comments most quoted by FCC in its restructuring decisions
> were those of Kenwood of America.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

See what I mean?

2814. But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 20:51:46 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Jcudnfa0a_PrPTHfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 109996

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>

[snip]

> Why do I contest? To improve my score by improving my station and myself.
>
> Its as simple as that.
>
> Have a good day
>
> PS; I worked a bunch of sporadic skip yesterday on six meters in the June
> VHF contest.....with 8 watts and a 3 ele yagi at 20'.
>
> It's all a matter of perspective.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very strange thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators. On the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass the code (as some would have us believe)?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2815. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 20:53:35 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118609615.715551.231900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109997

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > > It seems very odd that I'd be accused of having a stranglehold
> > > in a thread where all I did was post people's opinions about
> > > when FCC would drop Element 1.
> >
> > I've learned that people's opinions are now assertions of fact. As
> > assertions of fact, they are either right or wrong, truthful or
> > intentionally decitful (lies). At the end of the day, you either had a
> > right opinion, or you lied. You have Robeson to thank for that.
>
> The problem, Brain, is that you don't learn when to express your
> opinon AS an opinion, and when to express something as an assertion.

You obviously didn't either. During your three week lying spree, not
once did you say "In my opinion..." Hi!

> You STATED that "...the role of the ARES is overblown..", yet the
> very day you did it there were no less than three new items on various
> sites about third-party agencies lauding ARES volunteers.

So?

> You then also STATED that ARES will not be able to respond
> because, allegedly, too many of the members are over extended on
> commitments.

Is that what I said? You're welcome to quote it at any time.

> I've asked you over and over to provide some substantiation of
> those comments, but you simply dodge the questions.

You're the original Dodgeful Arter.

What seven hostile actions? Where is your documentation for your
claims of "A" NCOIC of Okinawa MARS?

2816. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 20:56:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118609803.450415.250220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109998

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > > > It seems very odd that I'd be accused of having a stranglehold
> > > > > in a thread where all I did was post people's opinions about
> > > > > when FCC would drop Element 1.
> > > >
> > > > I've learned that people's opinions are now assertions of fact. As
> > > > assertions of fact, they are either right or wrong, truthful or
> > > > intentionally decitful (lies). At the end of the day, you either had a
> > > > right opinion, or you lied. You have Robeson to thank for that.
> > >
> > > The problem, Brain, is that you don't learn when to express your
> > > opinon AS an opinion, and when to express something as an assertion.
> >
> > Let me put it another way:
> >
> > When a statement reads as a fact, with no qualifiers like "I think" or
> > "IMHO" or such most people read it that the writer
> > is stating a fact.

So when you stated that the Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to CW
use, was that an opinion or was that a statement of fact?

> A person can hold whatever opinions they like. That does not
> mean all opinions are equally valid. A person's opinions can
> be based on true facts and valid logic, or not. Doesn't make
> the person a liar, just a poor thinker.

You might want to explain it to Robeson. Be gentle.

> > You STATED that "...the role of the ARES is overblown..",
> > yet the
> > very day you did it there were no less than three new items
> > on various
> > sites about third-party agencies lauding ARES volunteers.
> >
> > You then also STATED that ARES will not be able to respond
> > because, allegedly, too many of the members are over extended on
> > commitments.
> >
> > I've asked you over and over to provide some substantiation of
> > those comments, but you simply dodge the questions.

> >
> > So either way you look at it, you're wrong. You are either so
> > blissfully ignorant of the enviroment you're in that it
> > manifests as
> > "stupid", or you're intentionally being deceitful,
> > in which case you're
> > the liar I have claimed you to be.
>
> There's a third possibility, Steve:
>
> That statement could simply be an opinion. The person starting
> it has chosen not to back up that opinion with facts. Doesn't
> make them a liar. It does show that they can't back up their
> opinion with facts, that's all.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

It only took you a year to explain that one to Steve. Good going Jim.

2817. Re: Why Does Brian Burke Do It? Masochism?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 21:18:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118611129.906703.254610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110050

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > Oh no, Brain...Defiling Veterans is Lennie's schtick. Your mentor.
> > > > > > > > The slimey weasel that parodies the Tomb of the Unknowns and whom YOU
> > > > > > > > said you "admire".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't admire that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You don't admire what? YOU stated you admire Lennie.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Were you lying then, or are you lying now?
> > > > >
> > > > > I stated that I admired Len for standing up to the Might Macho
> > > > > Morsemen. I admired him for not backing down as a lesser person would
> > > > > have run away from your constant attacks and those of your cronies. I
> > > > > still admire that aspect of Len.
> > > >
> > > > I do not admire "that" to which you referred. Do you recall the
> > > > context???
> > >
> > > Of course I do.
> >
> > Then why do you use weasel words and play games?
>
> Then why ahve YOU not admonished Lennie for his sick parody?

Haven't you done so?

> > > > Even though I try to find some good in each person, I do not admire you
> > > > under any circumstance.
> > >
> > > Too bad. You have your good moments. I've said so.
> > >
> > > > You are a whacko.
> > >
> > > And you're a documented chronic liar. So who do you think will
> > > believe you, other than Lennie who is ALSO a documented chronic
> > > liar...?!?!
> >

> > I documented a single, three-week lying spree of yours where you told
> > 29 new lies.
>
> No...you accused me of lying.
>
> You were challenged at each and ever accusation to prove it.
>
> You didn't.
>
> We KNOW who the liar is, and it's not me.

But it is you. It's as plain as the nose on your face.

> > > > > Almost all of the regulars (and quite a few of the anonymous
> > > > > posters, too) have spoken out very decisively AGAINST Lennie's vile
> > > > > parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns...
> > > > >
> > > > > Except you...
> > > >
> > > > Are you really that ignorant?
> > >
> > > I am not ignorant at all.
> >
> > Neither are the criminally insane.
>
> You are?

You are what?

> > > All of "us" have DIRECTLY addressed his vile and demeaning
> > > "parody" of the Tomb of the Unknowns.
> > >
> > > You have not.
> > >
> > > Why? Coward?
> >
> > Why has Jimmy the Riveter continued newgroup friendship with you after
> > your "slashed tires and terrorized wife" remarks?
>
> Because I didn't threaten anyone.
>
> Veiled or otherwise.

Hi!

> Just like your attempt to misrepresent my comments about Amateur
> Radio and MARS, you take one line from a post them try to make it
> appear as something other than what it was.

It is what it is.

> That's why I can say "Brian P Burke is a chronic liar" and know
> that you can never take me to court over it.

>
> Because I have the evidence to prove my assertions.

Hi! I would never take you to court for having a stupid opinion.

> > > > > > Do you admire all things about Jane?
> > > > >
> > > > > > Ms Fonda lost all dignity and respect when she sat her butt down
> > > > > > in that weapon's control seat in North Viet Nam.
> > > > >
> > > > > Where were you?
> > > >
> > > > It doesn't matter WHERE I was. She's a traitor.
> > >
> > You may have been a traitor also.
>
> Nope.
>
> > Where were you?
>
> I didn't tell you?

Nope.

> > Documented in
> > > countless testimonies. Not a one of those testimonies has been
> > > challenged, by Fonda or any person or entity on her behalf.
> > >
> > The NRA allowed a traitor to join?
>
> I don't know. I'm not a member of the NRA.
>
> How is this germane?
>
> > > > > > She chose the path of a traitor as opposed to peaceful protest.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You chose your path, and the no-servers chose theirs.
> > > > >
> > > > > The "no-servers" have not broken any laws.
> > >
> > They may have.
>
> Lacking any credible evidence to the contrary, they haven't.
>
> So says the Constitution of the United States.

Have you broken any laws?

> > > As for the path I chose, yes, I did. As did you. Too bad you
> > > wound up with the reputation of being a chronic liar, eh...?!?!
> > >
> > Hi! I documented a single, three-week lying spree of yours where you

> > told 29 new lies.
>
> No you didn't.

But I did. It's all archived.

> You ACCUSED me of lying, but refused in each and every instance to
> substantiate your claims.

You substantiated my claims with each new lie.

> You never did. Not once.

You did.

> > > I wasn't aware that weasels (other than you and Lennie) were able
> > > to communicate, let alone have a vocabulary.
> >
> > Yet you have a vocabulary and communicate. Your awareness needs
> > updating.
>
> I'm not a weasel.

I say you are.

> > > > > > > Know anyone like that? I have one in mind.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'm sure you do. Care to share in public, or do the veiled threats
> > > > > > > continue?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > No veiled threat, Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > Apparently they do continue...
> > >
> > What continues?
> >
> > Your weasel words and obtuseness. If you are going to threaten me,
> > just do so without regard to the consequences. You'll feel better for
> > a little while.
>
> What threats?
>
> What consequences?

Hi! Are you on estrogen therapy now? Or just get out of an ice bath?

> You accuse me of "threats" vis-a-vis your recent "what is a
> hostile action" rant. Then when I offer you an example of what I would
> consider a "hostile action", clearly in response to YOUR question, you
> make your unfounded accusation.

Because I was never in any of your claimed hostile actions, but you
want to harm me as an example of one of them.

Try to get your own hostile actions right the next time and there won't
be any confusion.

> If you feel threatened, why don't you go right ahead and print off
> those threads and stop by the local PD to file a complaint. Heck...go
> ahead and stop byt the FBI since this crosses state lines...
>
> Then when required to respond, I'll bring along YOUR stuff,
> including your "weasel" wordsmithing, wanton deceit and blatant lying
> and overt attempts to make false accusations of your own.
>
> Oh...and I'll being along the ENTIRE post that you continue to
> misquote from vis-a-vis "slashed tires", etc, just so they can get "the
> big picture" on what your TRUE character is all about.

> That ought to set your reputation with law enforcement. It's sure
> done it here.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steven J. Robeson, I have no reputation with law enforcement. But you
bear watching.

2818. Re: Great introduction to HF techniques and practices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 21:22:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118611372.187850.24270@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > For the newcomer (and not-so-newcomer too):
> > >
> > > http://www.alleged.com/radio/Harris/HF-Technology.pdf
> > >
> > > dit dit
> > > de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Harris Corporation is a military communications contractor.
> >
> > A.F. Global Weather Central purchased a Harris transmitter to kick-off
> > the Weather HFRB (rtty, fax) System at Elkhorn, NE (AKA Giant Talk).
> >
> > Harris Corp. built the Satellite Data Handling System at AFGWC.
> >
> > Harris Corp. built the A.F. tactical DMSP ground set.
> >
> > Harris Corp. transceivers were stuffed in racks in the Transportable
> > Automated Weather Distribution System (TAWDS).
> >
> > But weathermen don't do comms. Hi!
>
> Not to mention, Harris built the microwave receivers for NOAA, which are
> being replaced by Microdyne/L-3com equipment.
> --
> Former professional electron wrangler.
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida

Are you in Melbourne?
```

2819. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 21:27:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118611650.898581.73870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110053

John Smith wrote:
> I know God can enjoy a good glass of wine--his son shared it with other
> men...

Catholics know that, but many of the newer Christian faiths tend to
deny that.

> ... I can imagine God could enjoy a good Cuban cigar....

Never considered it.

> ... if you take your key to heaven, he just might toss ya out on yer
> bum!!! <grin>
>
> John

Not even a Vibroplex or a Brown Bros.?

2820. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 21:29:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118611768.955395.88390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110052

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> > Winning is beating the Yankee Clippers. Try operating at that "level"
> > for the ultimate contesting experience.
>
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> w3rv
>
> You got that right. Keep an eye on W4AN. The new call for the SECC (South
> East Contest Club). NQ4I is another super station to watch for. Also SECC.

Uh-oh! This is news to me. A real three-way brawl amongst the
Unlimiteds would inject some new fire into the game for certain. But
you better be able to pull up around 250 Meg aggregate to get into it.

> Dan/W4NTI

2821. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 21:38:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <SX1re.43$y52.2421886@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110064

bb:

Pray tell, you mean the "newer christians" can't read--so remain
ignorant to the passages which speak of wine and caution of consuming
too much? But, do encourage one to partake in a moderate fashion?

Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the same way
gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an abomination?

Yanno, we used to have mental heath facilities where there were able to
help these people...

Warmest regards,
John

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1118611650.898581.73870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> I know God can enjoy a good glass of wine--his son shared it with
>> other
>> men...
>
> Catholics know that, but many of the newer Christian faiths tend to
> deny that.
>
>> ... I can imagine God could enjoy a good Cuban cigar....
>
> Never considered it.
>
>> ... if you take your key to heaven, he just might toss ya out on yer
>> bum!!! <grin>
>>
>> John
>
> Not even a Vibroplex or a Brown Bros.?
>

2822. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 21:40:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110054

Dee Flint wrote:

> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very strange
> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators. On
> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass the
> code (as some would have us believe)?

It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

w3rv

2823. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 21:41:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118612503.312266.192940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110055

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Thurs 9 Jun 2005 15:26
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> John Smith wrote:
> > >
> >> > You just dismissed the fact that Haynie is seeing a real problem here,
> >> > he is beginning to tell hams to stop acting like such immature jerks and
> >> > get with the program--
> > >
> > >Has he been reading old copies of Wayne Green's editorials in "73
> > >Magazine?"
> >
> > I think Jim Haynie has been peeking into THIS newsgroup of late.
> > He was in here once a few years ago. We corresponded briefly
> > via private e-mail.

I hope he didn't overdose on W0EX and K4YZ. They'd cause anyone to
swear off of ham radio forever.

> >> > you take these words are turn them into him giving
> >> > you an "Atta Boy!"
> >>
> >> I am wondering who he's allegedly talking to, then.
> > >
> > >Allegedly?
> > >
> > >He's talking to you. He's talking to all of us.
> >
> > Stebie is getting so that he doesn't know who to believe
> > anymore...therefore the "alleged" word. :-)
> >
> > He would describe Benedict the Sixteenth as "allegedly"
> > the Pope! :-)

Paranoia does that.

> >Didn't you follow the link to the ARRL site?
> > >
> > >Go back up the the top, and click on the blue thingy.
> >
> > Let Stebie figure it out for himself. Good mental
> > therapy for the congenitally confused. :-)

He'll never get there all by himself.

> >> Those problems don't seem to be manifest here.
> >
> >Hi!
>
> Stebie blind as well as nuts.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I think he identifies with the hero in "The Who" song, Pinball Wizard.
Despite the movie "Tommy" the song never got popular with Steve until
the other guy, Sir John, re-recorded it.

2824. Robeson mishandles even small amounts of truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 21:47:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118612865.271461.176480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110056

K4YZ wrote:

> We've been telling you that your lies and deceit are unwelcome for
> years and you don't seem to hear it.

But yours are welcomed and enjoyed by all.

2825. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 21:51:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118613094.940247.259180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110057

John Smith wrote:
> That is a real trait of the group on the bands isn't it--personal
> attacks, planting doubt, suspicion, rumor, innuendo...
> And then, I suppose you expect those watching such a performance to
> "respect" you--you are probably quite angry when they don't--what is
> next, threats?
>
> John

Don't give anymore of them that idea.

2826. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 21:57:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118613474.763744.291920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110058

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> too busy "advancing the state of
> the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.

Oddly enough, much of the advancement in ham rigs has
come about because of contesting.

For example, one of the first uses of computers in ham
radio was their use in logkeeping. I think one of the
university stations gets credit for that, using the
U's mainframe to dupe and print out their SS logs
circa 1968.

The improvements in receiver sections to have better
dynamic range, phase noise, and filtering is, IMHO,
largely driven by the contest/DX folks. And primarily
the big guns, who would plunk down big bucks for a
top-of-the-line rig if it gave them an advantage.

Then, of course, the technology that first appeared in
the top-of-the-line rigs worked its way into less expensive
ones. So we all benefit.

(makes me wonder if Hans has his IC-7800 yet...)

73 de Jim, N2EY

2827. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 22:00:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118613617.140010.310490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110059

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
>
> > "Winner" has all sorts of definitions. Winning your section is
> > one level, winning your division another, etc. Just setting
> > a personal best score is winning.
>
> Winning is beating the Yankee Clippers. Try operating at
> that "level" for the ultimate contesting experience.
>
Olympians of radiosport, that's all.

I've run marathons and done contesting. Did pretty well in both,
but not like those guys - or the ones who run 26.22 miles at
under 5 minutes per mile.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2828. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 22:10:19 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <fL-dnRMXnpxCLzHfRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 110060

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1118584479.670520.325030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >
>> >><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >>news:1118454735.092814.201240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>[snip]
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>---
>> >>>
>> >>>OK, here's one to toss around:
>> >>>
>> >>>Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in
>> >>>the "60 meter" region.
>> >>>
>> >>>Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:
>> >>>
>> >>>1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to
>> >>>2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..
>> >>>
>> >>>or
>> >>>
>> >>>2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such
>> >>>as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the
>> >>>same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.
>> >>>
>> >>>Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz
>> >>>overall?
>> >>>
>> >>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >>>
```

>> >>
>> >>I'd vote for the 2nd one. In my opinion, the bands we have now are
>> >>nicely
>> >>space to take advantage of variations in propagation. However, I'd
>> >>like a
>> >>lot more room on both 40 meters and 30 meters. If 30m could be
>> >>widened,
>> >>then a phone section could be added.
>> >>
>> >>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> >
>> > A PCTA wants more phone privs? FISTS rampage. Hiram groans.
>> >
>>
>> It's all black or white Brian? A PCTA or NCTA has only one way to think
>> and one opinion on how things are?
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Has the code exam been dropped yet? Might as well be all black or all
> white because at the end of the day there are still people who support
> the wall.
>

There is no wall but what people create in their own minds.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2829. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 22:11:05 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118614265.764690.252670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110061

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote
> >
> > > Contesting hardware has evolved to the point that one must
> > > spend a small fortune to be competitive.....
> >
> > I don't think money is what makes you competitive.

Depends on what's competitive, the op, the station or both. A competitive op doesn't have spend a dime on a station. Which is where some of the hotshot multi-op guys come from. One of ours lives in Manhatten for instance, zero chance for having a home station no matter how much money he might be willing to spend.

> It's an important factor, though. Always has been. Part of the
> game in most contests.

I agree with that. One can take a $200 station or even a $1,000 station into the contests and have some fun with it but it won't be a competitive station by a long shot *if* the frame of reference is a decent score in the DX contests. A decent score in SS at the section level with a budget station is another story altogether.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2830. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 22:30:59 UTC
From: K HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DI2re.3241$hK3.976@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110062

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

> I also disagree because when you get right down
> to it it's functionally the same as using the spots.

No, it's not functionally equivalent. If you use cluster spots, then you are using information the some OTHER RADIOMAN at a DIFFERENT STATION provided. If you have your own robo-hound searching FROM YOUR STATION then you acquired the information of the air without assistance from outside sources.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2831. Re: Great introduction to HF techniques and practices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 22:49:59 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42ACBBF2.9D794BD3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 110063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
bb wrote:
>
> Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > Kï¿½HB wrote:
> > > > For the newcomer (and not-so-newcomer too):
> > > >
> > > > http://www.alleged.com/radio/Harris/HF-Technology.pdf
> > > >
> > > > dit dit
> > > > de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > > Harris Corporation is a military communications contractor.
> > >
> > > A.F. Global Weather Central purchased a Harris transmitter to kick-off
> > > the Weather HFRB (rtty, fax) System at Elkhorn, NE (AKA Giant Talk).
> > >
> > > Harris Corp. built the Satellite Data Handling System at AFGWC.
> > >
> > > Harris Corp. built the A.F. tactical DMSP ground set.
> > >
> > > Harris Corp. transceivers were stuffed in racks in the Transportable
> > > Automated Weather Distribution System (TAWDS).
> > >
> > > But weathermen don't do comms. Hi!
> >
> > Not to mention, Harris built the microwave receivers for NOAA, which are
> > being replaced by Microdyne/L-3com equipment.
> > --
> > Former professional electron wrangler.
> >
> > Michael A. Terrell
> > Central Florida
>
> Are you in Melbourne?

No, I'm near Ocala, where Microdyne used to be.
--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida
```

2832. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 23:09:47 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <Nt2dnWyBrtivdTHfRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110070

John Smith wrote:

> Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the same way
> gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an abomination?
>
> Yanno, we used to have mental heath facilities where there were able to
> help these people...

But they, whoever 'they' are, have decided that such deviancy is 'OK' now.

2833. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-12 23:36:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <oG3re.57$tF4.3155044@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110065

bb:

LOL! <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1118613094.940247.259180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> That is a real trait of the group on the bands isn't it--personal
>> attacks, planting doubt, suspicion, rumor, innuendo...
>> And then, I suppose you expect those watching such a performance to
>> "respect" you--you are probably quite angry when they don't--what is
>> next, threats?
>>
>> John
>
> Don't give anymore of them that idea.
>

2834. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 00:13:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1d4re.3308$NX4.1199@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110066

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> And they are?

IC775 IC765

> I'll take a dipole at 90 feet over a TA-33 at 40 feet...

For what application? A dipole at 30-40 feet is a primo antenna for SS,
probably better than either you mentioned. ("Pick the fight you can win.")

> What's the replacement value of the tower and everything on it?
> Not hamfest value - replacement value.

"Replacement value" is what I'd have to spend to replace it. Usually that's
equal to "hamfest value".

> And then there's the price of the real estate to put it all on.

Everybody needs to live somewhere. The prices of my homes are not a factor
which contributes or detracts from my contest scores.

> Take SS - the ham in a rare section has a built-in advantage
> over the ham in a nonrare one.

I hear real estate is very reasonably priced in VY0-land.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2835. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 01:09:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118624976.274814.120960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110067

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > Contesting hardware has evolved to the point that one must
> > > > spend a small fortune to be competitive.....
> > >
> > > I don't think money is what makes you competitive.
>
> Depends on what's competitive, the op, the station or both. A
> competitive op doesn't have spend a dime on a station. Which is > where
> some of the hotshot multi-op guys come from. One of ours lives > in
> Manhatten for instance, zero chance for having a home station
> no matter how much money he might be willing to spend.

Have skills will travel.
>
> > It's an important factor, though. Always has been. Part of the
> > game in most contests.
>
> I agree with that. One can take a $200 station or even a $1,000 > station
> into the contests and have some fun with it but it won't be a
> competitive station by a long shot *if* the frame of reference > is a
> decent score in the DX contests. A decent score in SS at the
> section
> level with a budget station is another story altogether.

"Pick the fights you can win", as a wise man once wrote.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2836. The Great Liberty Net Presents: !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 01:23:37 UTC
From: blueberry stains <heybooah@yahooblos.com>
Message-ID: <e33fb$42ace17d$d1cc4102$3560@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 110068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Now for your entertainment pleasure, The Great
Liberty Net presents..........

+++ The Cybernetic Parrot Sausage +++

http://www.zprod.org/zLab/sausageMovFrame.html

(I'm not kidding folks...this is FOR REAL!)

....well....the sausage part is for real anyway

2837. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 01:28:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <kMqdnbNfbOTafDHfRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110069

John Smith wrote:
> bb:
>
> Pray tell, you mean the "newer christians" can't read--so remain
> ignorant to the passages which speak of wine and caution of consuming
> too much? But, do encourage one to partake in a moderate fashion?
>
> Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the same way
> gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an abomination?

The "New Christians" also tore out the Sermon on the Mount pages.

> Yanno, we used to have mental heath facilities where there were able to
> help these people...

What was once insane is now required.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2838. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 02:48:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <HbudnU_H24RpbjHfRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110071

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Bill Turner wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Fri, 10 Jun 2005 22:53:51 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>Let's go beyond the SO1R/SO2R question and look
>>>>at the bigger picture.
>>>
>>>
>>>OK
>>>
>>>
>>>>I think it's time to revise the basic structure of
>>>>contest competition.
>>>>Contesting hardware has evolved to the point that one must
>>>>spend a small
>>>>fortune to be competitive, and I think that is hurting
>>>>contesting itself.
>>>
>>>
>>>That depends on what you mean by "competitive" and "a small fortune".
>>
>> I don't agree with the basic premise, Jim. The operator
>>is a lot more
>>important than the equipment.
>
>
> To a point, yes. But the guy with 100 W and a dipole at 40 feet isn't
> going to win CQWW or even SS no matter how good he is. He's not even
> going to make Top Ten.
>
> That doesn't mean he can't do well, just that winning is a different
> game.

Who do ya want - a impatient knob twiddler with a FTDX 9000 and an
antenna farm of (insert your favorite antenna here), or a good capable
contester with say a dipole and an IC-746.

>
>>>For some hams, "competitive" means winning outright, or at
>>>least making the Top Ten. For others, "competitive" means
>>>winning their section, or maybe division, or maybe getting
>>>into the top ten of same.
>>>
>>>And for others it's simply doing better than last year.
>>
>>And if they keep it up, they will eventually become the top
>>dogs.
>
>
> But there comes a point where doing better becomes equipment
> limited.

All other things being equal.

Way too many people seem to think that you plunk down the money, and
you are an instant contester.

>>>In similar fashion, cost is relative. A $5000 station is small
>>>change to some and beyond others' wildest dreams. Same for
>>>many other spending levels.
>
>
>>>Just a few years back, having a dedicated computer in the
>>>shack was a major expense. Not any more!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>I believe there are two general groups of contesters:
>>>>
>>>>1. People who like the head-to-head competition on a
>>>>personal level and
>>>>are not motivated by having large amounts of expensive
>>>>hardware. These
>>>>people focus on operating skill, knowledge and strategy instead > of equipment.
>>>>
>>>>-and-
>>>>
>>>>2. People who will do anything legal to maximize their score,
>>>>including
>>>>spending huge amounts of money on rigs, antennas and any other > hardware which gives
them an advantage.
>>>
>>>
>>>I disagree strongly!
>>>
>>>I think there are many more basic groups, from the casual types
>>>just putting in a few hours and maybe picking up a new state
>>>or country, to the all-out multi-multis, to the middle-of-the-
>>>pack folks, to the special-interest ones (like the QRP types

>>>with incredible antenna farms).
>>>
>>>On top of this is the fact that the superstations require
>>>operating skill, knowledge and strategy just like the 100 W
>>>and dipole folks.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Both groups have good points and neither is superior to the
>>>>other.
>>>
>>>
>>>Agreed!
>>>
>>>In fact the superstations need the little guys in order to make super
>>>scores. And the little guys need the superstations.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>What
>>>>is wrong with contesting today is both groups are combined into > one when
>>>>it comes to competing, and that is hurting contesting.
>>>
>>>
>>>Well, there's division by power level, by multiop vs. single, and
>>>packet spotting.
>>>
>>>
>>>>I propose that there be two basic classes of competition:
>>>>
>>>>1. A Limited Class which clearly spells out maximum hardware,
>>>>i.e. one
>>>>radio, one antenna per band, no receiving while transmitting,
>>>>and perhaps some others,
>>>>
>>>>-and-
>>>>
>>>>2. An Unlimited Class which allows anything legal.
>>>>
>>>>Within those two classes there could be subclasses for power
>>>>level and
>>>>number of operators, but the basic hardware definitions would
>>>>remain the
>>>>same. This would allow an operator to choose his class and know > he is
>>>>competing against others who are equipped similarly.
>>>
>>>
>>>The trouble is where the lines are drawn.
>>>
>>>What does "one radio" mean? Is a second receiver allowed? How

>>>about if the second receiver is built into the rig?
>>>
>>>One antenna per band could work a hardship on even some modest
>>>stations. At my previous location I had an inverted V for 80/40
>>>that could be made to work on 20. Also had a 20 meter vertical
>>>with elevated radials. 100 W homebrew transceiver. Hardly a
>>>superstation but I did pretty well.
>>>
>>>On 20 the vertical was usually better, but sometimes the
>>>inverted
>>>V would do the trick. "One antenna per band" would eliminate
>>>that.
>>
>>The whole concept is way way way to complicated. Also
>>unenforceable.
>>Will the contest committee send out Hamcops to ensure
>>compliance?
>
>
> Who enforces the present rules? Power level, packet spotting, etc.?

Good question. The answer is it is a gentleman's agreement, and you trust the person to abide by the rules. So you make the rules as rock-bottom simple as possible. Rules like no receiving while transmitting, are simply not enforceable. Ideas such as monoband antennas are going to knock a lot of people right out of the contest - unless of course they decide to cheat, as is the one receiver idea.

Finally, the idea of separating the contesters by limited and unlimited classes is incredibly counterproductive. So these small number of superoperaters are just going to work among themselves and then sign off, I suppose.

Whenever I hear rules change suggestions, I ask how this is going to affect the person suggesting the changes. Funny how it is always to give this person a big advantage, even when they claim they are just trying to level the playing field.

This is just a punitive plan toward the big stations.

One time I listened to one of the high-powered, high scoring stations noting how *they* should get extra points for listening to all those low power stations with the weak signals!

>
>>>>The present hardware situation reminds me of a boxer who has
>>>>acquired a
>>>>set of brass knuckles but who still wants to fight those not so
>>>>equipped. That's not right and neither is contesting in its
>>>>present form.
>>>>
>>>

>>>I don't see it that way at all. I think we need an "iron" class for a
>>>very different reason.
>>>
>>>One thing that makes a contest fun (for me) is the competition.
>>>It's radiosport, pure and simple. I think the message that needs
>>>to be emphasized more is that you don't need a superstation to
>>>have a good time.
>>
>> No you don't.
>
>
> But it takes more than the average station to win.

Define average? I've got an IC-745 and used a ladder line fed dipole
(96 feet long @50 feet high) to work the NEQP from Pennsylvania. Used a
MFJ-949E tuner on it.

Total outlay was around 350 dollars, and this has got to be a below
average station setup if there ever was one, especially by these
"contest standards".

But I put in a big booming signal to New England, and was definitely
limited by my own skills, (still working on 'em) and not my below
average station setup. Got a certificate one year.

>>>I know too many hams with "100W and dipole" stations who think
>>>contesting with such a setup isn't practical.
>>
>>100 watts and a dipole is only about 90 percent of contesters!
>
> And they don't win.

Sometimes. Depends on the class and their skills.

People have a tendency to operate with the stations that they have,
save for portable operations. Sounds like a "Well Duh!" statement, but
it is what most of us have to offer.

>>>And compared to
>>>the results of superstations, they're right. But if they could
>>>see how they did against similar setups, we might get more of
>>>them - which is a good thing all around.
>>
>>My experience is that contests have two different aspects.
>>Operator
>>skill and power. This is assuming that the operator has a > receiver of
>>adequate performance. If you have to get rid of one parameter, go with
>>operator skill.
>>
>
>
> "Power" is actually signal strength. I'd rather have really good

> antennas and QRP than high power and poor antennas.

How about high power AND good antennas? 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2839. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 02:52:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Lx6re.73$np7.4225139@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110073

Mike:

I think "they" attempt to convince us that we are the minority--however, I think only a small percentage of the population has somehow gained political and authority (police, etc.) control--how this has been done is nothing short of amazing...

And, while I think those who would attack or injure these people are as sick, or even sicker than the ones doing this...

A simple remark in public, such as, "I think gays suffer a form of mental illness which a kind society would seek to offer help with.", will provoke quite a large number of people into wishing they could kill you!!!

I stand in awe at the forces able to produce this phenomenon...

Warmest regards,
John
"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:kMqdnbNfbOTafDHfRVn-iA@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> bb:
>>
>> Pray tell, you mean the "newer christians" can't read--so remain
>> ignorant to the passages which speak of wine and caution of consuming
>> too much? But, do encourage one to partake in a moderate fashion?
>>
>> Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the same way
>> gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an abomination?
>
> The "New Christians" also tore out the Sermon on the Mount pages.
>
>> Yanno, we used to have mental heath facilities where there were able
>> to help these people...
>
> What was once insane is now required.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

2840. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 03:29:06 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118633345.977608.42660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110072

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> > I also disagree because when you get right down
> > to it it's functionally the same as using the spots.
>
> No, it's not functionally equivalent. If you use cluster spots, then you are
> using information the some OTHER RADIOMAN at a DIFFERENT STATION provided. If
> you have your own robo-hound searching FROM YOUR STATION then you acquired the
> information of the air without assistance from outside sources.

If you work a mult you didn't find with your own ears you're using
assistance.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

2841. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 03:47:34 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ql7re.3513$NX4.2574@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110074

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

> If you work a mult you didn't find with your own
> ears you're using assistance.

Truely I could argue that any aid in your station is "assistance" --- but not OUTSIDE assistance. Dupe sheets and electric pencil sharpeners are "assistance" at some stations!

If you can harness technology to gain some advantage in the radiosport game, so long as that technology is in YOUR station and under YOUR control, then more power to you. Only if that assistance originates at ANOTHER station as the result of efforts of other radiomen (ie., cluster spots), then your log should no longer qualify as an SO entry.

That's my story and I'm sticking to it!

73, de Hans, K0HB

2842. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 06:19:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118643592.715331.59690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110075

From: John Smith on Jun 12, 3:05 pm

>Len:
>
>Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
>heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
>children, small animals and gays)...
>
>They are at a loss when brave men stand their ground and question their
>faulty logic... reduces 'em to personal attacks and gutter fighting
>quickly...

Actually, not too much "reduction." When I first logged into
this din of inequity, the morsemen were already in full cry,
arrogant in their supposition that the code test would never go
away. El Jefe of them all (some nearly 8 years ago) was KH2D
and not hesitant to damn all "no-coders" in no uncertain terms.
N...@aol.com joined in after I did and attempted those same
long, long postings wherein he was wont to yank out (almost)
individual words and argue their use (exaggeration, but you get
the picture). N...@aol.com (who shall remain nameless) still
does that schtick.

Several others of the very-pro-code-advocacy came and went,
including a few ex-navy types who bragged over their wonderous
ability with morse (and who got trained at government expense).

Perhaps the worst blow of all to these stalwart Defenders of the
Archaic was FCC 99-412, the Report & Order that did the actual
regulation "restructuring" (for 1999, there have been other years)
as a sort of "Holiday Present" for all. ONE code test rate at
5 WPM, any class license having below 30 MHz privileges.

From about then on, one by one, the personal attacks and "gutter
fighting" became worse. The PCTA (Pro-Code Test Advocates) just
couldn't contain themselves. They popped their tops and vented.
More anony-mousies joined in, worse than the old PCTA, and the
personal attacks got worse and worse. The condition today may be
at a zenith, the Believers (of the code faith) trying to hold
back tomorrow.

A side problem are those few who think tangentially to the grope.
Those who think that THEY are the center of the newsgrop world.
Everything - in their apparent perception - revolves around THEM
and they cannot take anything that isn't gratuitous praise of
their heroic opinions. [we all know who they are...:-)]

Absolutely fascinating to those interested in psychology aspects
of human beans...along with sociopathic and aberrant behavior.
Entertaining in one way. :-)

Long Beach Convention Center and the MTT Microwave Symposium calls.
Bye. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2843. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 10:32:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118658765.627275.212910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110076

John Smith wrote:
> bb:
>
> Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the
> same way
> gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an
> abomination?

Which pages of the Bible do we follow, John?

Consider the first chapters of Genesis, where two different creation stories are told. Both of them cannot be literally true.

Or read the story of Lot and his family's escape from Sodm and Gomorrah. Not just the pillar of salt thing but his actions towards his daughters and their actions towards him.

Abominations? Plenty of them in the Bible - like eating pork, or any scavengers (that means lobster too), or even rabbits.

Who decides which abominations "modern Christians" have to avoid?

2844. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 12:08:59 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d8jt0r$17u8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110077

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
>>bb:
>>
>>Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the
>>same way
>>gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an
>>abomination?
>
>
> Which pages of the Bible do we follow, John?
>
> Consider the first chapters of Genesis, where two different
> creation stories are told. Both of them cannot be literally
> true.

Weird, eh? The OT has more contradictory stuff in it than just about anything I've ever read.

> Or read the story of Lot and his family's escape from Sodm and
> Gomorrah. Not just the pillar of salt thing but his actions
> towards his daughters and their actions towards him.

Kreepy and Kinky is all I can say on the matter. Yuck!

> Abominations? Plenty of them in the Bible - like eating pork,
> or any scavengers (that means lobster too), or even rabbits.
>
> Who decides which abominations "modern Christians" have to avoid?

I believe that the New Testament would be a good starting place. A lot less of the thou shalts and shalt nots.

Except for the Sermon on the Mount, which is a number of direct commands, most of which are routinely ignored by those who consider themselves the most righteous!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2845. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 12:42:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118666562.821619.73780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110078

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> > If you work a mult you didn't find with your own
> > ears you're using assistance.
>
> Truely I could argue that any aid in your station is "assistance" --- but not
> OUTSIDE assistance. Dupe sheets and electric pencil sharpeners are "assistance"
> at some stations!
>
> If you can harness technology to gain some advantage in the radiosport game, so
> long as that technology is in YOUR station and under YOUR control, then more
> power to you. Only if that assistance originates at ANOTHER station as the
> result of efforts of other radiomen (ie., cluster spots), then your log should
> no longer qualify as an SO entry.
>
> That's my story and I'm sticking to it!

OK, OK, OK! SHEEEESH . . #@%x#$ . . . !

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2846. Re: K1MAN RECEIVES LARGE NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 12:53:03 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <fd5cc78846035169e89ea156e9f778b5@paranoici.org>
Source record: 110079

In article <d8i24j$eq7$0@pita.alt.net>
"Not Roger" <AB8MQ@Glendale> wrote:
>
>
>
> How long have you had your abnormal obsession with k1man?
>
>
> Not as long as yours with Lloyd.....

Or yours with Roger, Lloyd...................

2847. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on fucking lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 13:46:02 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <a71baf0c1a5198930dfddd4ffacbb096@paranoici.org>
Source record: 110083

In article <d8gq8q$23p$0@pita.alt.net>
"Mona" <KiloWatt@anon> wrote:
>
>
> "Tarapia Tapioco" <comesefo...@ntani.firenze.linux.it> wrote
in message
> news:19e563320a2129ab03f8a7c7f63c933b@firenze.linux.it...
> In article <7yoqe.1474$vR1.2310...@news.sisna.com>
> "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > ... you grab
>
> And you grab little boys' balls and pop them in your mouth.
>
> Buy any swampland in Florida lately, dumbass?
> Oh, and I see how you are "ignoring me" by posting three times
> to the same post.
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!
> ....
>
> Don't flatter yourself, Wiseman.

Guess again, dumbass dipshit Davies.

> Nobody cares much about your rants.

That why you jump to respond to them, bitch?

>
> Crawl back into the little six by eight bedroom

"Six by Eight?" Hardly. But then you live in a 200 square foot
two-room HUD public assistance apartmetn, don't you?

> and pound away on your old 486 Dell.

Not even close, but you always do get it wrong.

> Get a good night rest. You know how posting to the newsgroups
gets
> your blood pressure up to dangerous levels.

Nothing wrong with my blood pressure, dumbass, but by your
response, I've seemed to get yours up, fatass!

> We wouldn't want Chief Stenger responding to yet another
emergency call to

> your house for cardiac problems.

He's never been to my house, dumbass. You always do get it wrong, Davies. I doubt he's even been to Roger's house.

> You know how that went the last time the Chief had to call the medics.

He's never "called the medics" on me or Roger, dumbass. Always wrong, that's you!

> He was concerned that your Diabetic attack was going to be your last.

How could that be, since I'm not diabetic, shit for brains? Neither is Roger, just so you know. Looks like you fucked up again, pizza boy.

2848. Re: The Pool new - new K2UNK guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 13:54:39 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <zegre.3594$VK4.2497@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110080

OK, here's my current thinking....

July 1, 2006

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118452940.891132.70140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
>
> Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
> passed:
>
> WA2SI: September 13, 2003
> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> K2UNK: January 1, 2004
> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> N2EY: April 15, 2004
> N3KIP: May 1, 2004
> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> K3LT: September 15, 2004
> WK3C: December 30, 2004
> N4PGW:May 22, 2005
> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> AB2RC: July 1, 2007
> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>
> Anybody want to add a prediction? If so, the "rolling rule" (thanks
> Dee, N8UZE) applies:
>
> If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
> add a new one.
>
> At this point N3KIP and everyone before him can add a new prediction.
> All predictions stay on the list.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2849. Why Does Brian P Burke (N0IMD, "bb"") Insist On Reproving Himself A Liar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 14:06:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118671561.385734.53230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110081

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > > It seems very odd that I'd be accused of having a stranglehold
> > > > in a thread where all I did was post people's opinions about
> > > > when FCC would drop Element 1.
> > > >
> > > I've learned that people's opinions are now assertions of fact. As
> > > assertions of fact, they are either right or wrong, truthful or
> > > intentionally decitful (lies). At the end of the day, you either had a
> > > right opinion, or you lied. You have Robeson to thank for that.
> > >
> > The problem, Brain, is that you don't learn when to express your
> > opinon AS an opinion, and when to express something as an assertion.
> >
> You obviously didn't either. During your three week lying spree, not
> once did you say "In my opinion..."

What lying spree?

No lies were stated.

YOU are welcome to post my comments, along with YOUR
substantiation of your claim of mistruth

> > You STATED that "...the role of the ARES is overblown..", yet the
> > very day you did it there were no less than three new items on various
> > sites about third-party agencies lauding ARES volunteers.
> >
> So?

"So" indeed.

It was one of your many unsubstantiated assertions.

Evidence that your statement was obviously flawed was provided.

> > You then also STATED that ARES will not be able to respond
> > because, allegedly, too many of the members are over extended on
> > commitments.
> >
> Is that what I said? You're welcome to quote it at any time.

Already did that.

You refuse to be the man you claim to be and fix it.

The refusal keeps you in the group "chronic unrepentant liar"

> > I've asked you over and over to provide some substantiation of
> > those comments, but you simply dodge the questions.
>
> You're the original Dodgeful Arter.

And you're a chronic liar.

> What seven hostile actions?

I didn't tell you?

> Where is your documentation for your claims of "A" NCOIC of Okinawa MARS?

You keep asking for "documentation of "A" NCOIC.

What's an "A" NCOIC...?!?!

You mean ANCOIC...?!?!

It's on Okinawa waiting for you to write a letter to the source I
ceted and verify it.

Failure to do so manifests you as a coward.

Denying that I provided you adequate information to verify my
claims continues to render you a chronic liar.

Do you lie like this to your wife? Your kids? Your extended
family?

Do you not know how to tell the truth, Brain?

Steve, K4YZ

2850. Re: Glendale Lavender Lads Monthly Meeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 14:10:01 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <c6613243fc6ef41dbd21edb3e6044feb@dizum.com>
Source record: 110082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <d8fklh$g0g$0@pita.alt.net>
"wq" <a...@wqanongrporg.com> wrote:
>
>
> The Glendale Lavender Lads

How is it you know so much about them, faggot?
Oh, that's right, you don't like pussy, you said so yourself,
Davies.

> monthly meeting will be held tonight,

And Lardass Davies will whine about Roger Wiseman endlessly
under his two dozen aliases.

> and said his monthly SSI check came in

He doesn't get SSI, shit for brains Davies.

> Also, You Know Who said he finally removed his antennas
> from blocking the public sidewalk, so there will be no visits
from
> city code enforcement officials today.

He never got a visit to begin with. Tell us, eternal no code
training wheel ham, how can an Alpha Delta DX-CC antenna block a
public sidewalk when it's installed in an inverted vee
configuration and is 45 feet up in the air in the middle and the
length of the entire antenna is 82 feet long. One leg of it
would be 41 feet, dumbass. Hardly enough to "block" a sidewalk
further away than 41 feet.
We know you wouldn't know, since you can't put up anything but
that cheapassed "tiny tenna" in your filthy HUD section 8 ghetto
apartment.

> Best of all(Poor Lardass, he spent a year in the Iowa State
mental institution in Independance after trying to commit
suicide)

Best of all, you made yourself look like a jackass again.

2851. Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 14:41:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LWgre.3736$jX6.1668@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110084

by Bob 'Dex' Armstrong

In the old gravel-gut boat service, your only link with the civilized world was via the radio shack. A cubby hole on Requin aft of the scope wells in the control room... It was the home of the spark shufflers.

If you were in tight with a radioman, you could get ball scores. Sewer pipe sailors lost touch with the teams they followed... A hazard common to submarine sailors and people who take a moon walk and miss the ride home. Actually, we lost touch with just about everything. In the war movies when they come across some guy who claims to be an American, they ask him questions only an American could answer. If they had picked me up and asked me anything but (A) The names of Roy's and Gene's horses (B) Who won World War II and (C) Blaze Starr's bust size, I would have been one 'up the creek' sonuvabitch. Hell, we didn't know Jack Kennedy was the president until we snorkeled a day later.

Only a complete idiot would make a bet with a radioman. Chances were, the radioman had the final score before you tossed your wampum on the mess table.

I remember one great night brought to us by the spark pushers in the radio shack.

We had finished whatever nonsense they sent us out to do and were making turns for home. The Old Man opened the showers... Guys were bumming razor blades and rooting around in side lockers for something that would pass for a towel. Next thing you know, the foo-foo juice came out. Now there's a myth that all smoke boat sailors eventually bought into, sooner or later... Aqua Velva was never meant to disguise poor personal hygene. No matter how much of the stuff you poured on a dungaree shirt you had been inside of for two weeks, you were still one disgustingly foul smelling sonuvabitch. You could spray French perfume on an engineman with a fire hose and buzzards would still circle around the bastard when he went topside. But I digress...

A group of us were sitting around in the crew's mess drinking coffee and ragging guys heading fore and aft. A radioman came in and told us we were in for one helluva good laugh. He monkeyed around with the RBO and patched it into something in the radio shack.

For those of you who never had the pleasure of riding diesel boats or other seagoing steel-hulled garbage scows, I must explain something here.

You could make phone calls from a ship at sea. Here is how it worked. The radioman would raise someone ashore called a 'marine operator'. Then the radioman would give the marine operator the name and phone number of whoever the bluejacket aboard ship wanted to call. The marine operator would then place a collect call and when the party answered and accepted the charges, the marine operator would form a radio link with the ship and 'Bill the Bluejacket' could

talk to his sweetie.

From sweetie to the marine operator was private and confidential... From the marine operator to Barnicle Bill, it was up for grabs... Great evening entertainment.

"Poopsie, is that you?"

"Yes ducky doo, it's me."

"You miss me, peach blossom?"

"Oh yes... YES, darling!"

"Miss me a lot?"

"Oh, I miss you soooo much I can't wait to hold you and..."

"Okay darling... Are you going to meet the ship?"

"No sweetheart, I parked the car in the pier head lot... Keys are under the mat."

"Why aren't you meeting the boat, sweetheart?"

"Oh, it was supposed to be a suprise... If you must know, the kids are spending the night with the Webbers. I bought a new nightie and I figured we'd break it in tonight."

The animals would cheer,
"LET'S HEAR IT FOR MAMMA AND HER NEW NIGHTIE!!"

And so it went. Bluejackets phoning in after six months in the Med... Great entertainment.

"Darlin' can't wait... Just you and me and a can of Crisco!"

We heard it all... It was great... Laugh after laugh. A very memorable evening... Best and cheapest fun we ever had on Requin.

There were times... Moments that we took for granted and that passed with little notice. It's funny how they come back late in life when you have the time to reshuffle your memories... The collected moments that constitute your life.

Radiomen linked us with the world. Another thing we just took for granted and that was so damned important looking back. Never thanked them... Should have.

Great guys, all of them.

2852. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 14:43:36 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <BEAILLR238516.6969444444@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 110086

In article <04adnY_1KYCFjzffRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Morbidly obese Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <m...@that.moc>
whined:
>
> A.Melon wrote:
>
> >
> > Hi, baby raper Butt Cornholer, flew any model airplanes and
> > thought you had a "pilot's license" as well as lying about
> > having a commercial license lately, obsolete license boy?
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>
> Still got (more lies and bullshit)

Still got more bullshit, I see, Butt Cornholer the imaginary
pilot's license and obsolete license boy.

Now he adds an imaginary "First Class commercial phone ticket"
to his other imaginary licenses.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

2853. Re: Why Does Brian P Burke (N0IMD, "bb"") Insist On Reproving Himself A Liar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 14:47:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <80hre.3738$jX6.62@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110088

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> It was one of your many unsubstantiated assertions.
>

Rrap is like the bible .... it's all unsubstantiated .... just take it "on faith".

2854. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 14:48:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118674116.121136.252600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110087

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Smith on Jun 12, 3:05 pm
>
> >Len:
> >
> >Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
> >heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> >children, small animals and gays)...
> >
> >They are at a loss when brave men stand their ground and question their
> >faulty logic... reduces 'em to personal attacks and gutter fighting
> >quickly...
>
> Actually, not too much "reduction." When I first logged into
> this din of inequity...(SNIP)

...it wasn't a "din of inequity". It was a forum for the
discussion of Amateur Radio policy issues, and was used for that
purpose.

Google refers.

The "fighting" and other allegations by Lennie are direct
descendants of his voracious rants and blathering accusations.

> Several others of the very-pro-code-advocacy came and went,
> including a few ex-navy types who bragged over their wonderous
> ability with morse (and who got trained at government expense).

Skills you can't seem to really effectively diminish, your best
rants and snide comments not withstanding.

> Perhaps the worst blow of all to these stalwart Defenders of the
> Archaic was FCC 99-412, the Report & Order that did the actual
> regulation "restructuring" (for 1999, there have been other years)
> as a sort of "Holiday Present" for all. ONE code test rate at
> 5 WPM, any class license having below 30 MHz privileges.

Oh yes...

We remember...

That's the R&O from which YOU stated you'd obtain an "Extra
Lite"...

It's been quite a few years...Still nothing.

> From about then on, one by one, the personal attacks and "gutter
> fighting" became worse....(SNIP)

What attacks? What "gutter fighting"...?!?!

YOU are the only one who continually brings up licensing and
practice from past years and then calls "us" "archaic".

"We" moved on. You're still several chapters behind, Lennie.

"We" try to discuss BPL, spectrum protection and other
issues...YOU continue to try an keep the arguments Lennicentric.

> A side problem are those few who think tangentially to the grope.
> Those who think that THEY are the center of the newsgrop world.

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> Everything - in their apparent perception - revolves around THEM
> and they cannot take anything that isn't gratuitous praise of
> their heroic opinions. [we all know who they are...:-)]

Yes, Lennie..."we" know who YOU are.....!

> Absolutely fascinating to those interested in psychology aspects
> of human beans...(SNIP)

You were talking about "gutter fighting"...?!?!?

> (UNSNIP)...along with sociopathic and aberrant behavior. Entertaining > in one way.

No..your're not. It's pitiful to see a guy with your alleged
experience act the way you do...Assuming your knowledge and experience
are legitimate, you COULD be a benefit to Amateur Radio...

Instead you're a lying, deceiving and untrustworthy newsgroup
troll.

> Long Beach Convention Center and the MTT Microwave Symposium calls.
> Bye.

Picking up some extra hours on the broom again, Lennie? Bravo. A
guy your age needs to keep active.

> LenBoyDidn'tImakeAfoolOutOfMySelfAgainAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

2855. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 14:48:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118674118.248584.252760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110085

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Smith on Jun 12, 3:05 pm
>
> >Len:
> >
> >Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
> >heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> >children, small animals and gays)...
> >
> >They are at a loss when brave men stand their ground and question their
> >faulty logic... reduces 'em to personal attacks and gutter fighting
> >quickly...
>
> Actually, not too much "reduction." When I first logged into
> this din of inequity...(SNIP)

...it wasn't a "din of inequity". It was a forum for the
discussion of Amateur Radio policy issues, and was used for that
purpose.

Google refers.

The "fighting" and other allegations by Lennie are direct
descendants of his voracious rants and blathering accusations.

> Several others of the very-pro-code-advocacy came and went,
> including a few ex-navy types who bragged over their wonderous
> ability with morse (and who got trained at government expense).

Skills you can't seem to really effectively diminish, your best
rants and snide comments not withstanding.

> Perhaps the worst blow of all to these stalwart Defenders of the
> Archaic was FCC 99-412, the Report & Order that did the actual
> regulation "restructuring" (for 1999, there have been other years)
> as a sort of "Holiday Present" for all. ONE code test rate at
> 5 WPM, any class license having below 30 MHz privileges.

Oh yes...

We remember...

That's the R&O from which YOU stated you'd obtain an "Extra
Lite"...

It's been quite a few years...Still nothing.

> From about then on, one by one, the personal attacks and "gutter
> fighting" became worse....(SNIP)

What attacks? What "gutter fighting"...?!?!

YOU are the only one who continually brings up licensing and
practice from past years and then calls "us" "archaic".

"We" moved on. You're still several chapters behind, Lennie.

"We" try to discuss BPL, spectrum protection and other
issues...YOU continue to try an keep the arguments Lennicentric.

> A side problem are those few who think tangentially to the grope.
> Those who think that THEY are the center of the newsgrop world.

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> Everything - in their apparent perception - revolves around THEM
> and they cannot take anything that isn't gratuitous praise of
> their heroic opinions. [we all know who they are...:-)]

Yes, Lennie..."we" know who YOU are.....!

> Absolutely fascinating to those interested in psychology aspects
> of human beans...(SNIP)

You were talking about "gutter fighting"...?!?!?

> (UNSNIP)...along with sociopathic and aberrant behavior. Entertaining > in one way.

No..your're not. It's pitiful to see a guy with your alleged
experience act the way you do...Assuming your knowledge and experience
are legitimate, you COULD be a benefit to Amateur Radio...

Instead you're a lying, deceiving and untrustworthy newsgroup
troll.

> Long Beach Convention Center and the MTT Microwave Symposium calls.
> Bye.

Picking up some extra hours on the broom again, Lennie? Bravo. A
guy your age needs to keep active.

> LenBoyDidn'tImakeAfoolOutOfMySelfAgainAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

2856. Re: Wiseman finally kicked off NIM Busters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 15:04:11 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <a3e0ac63f1d5ce89be70088fa72ccb5c@paranoici.org>
Source record: 110089

In article <-dadnewIktxwXDXfRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@this.ten> wrote:

> This tells (whines)

Translation from Butt Cornholerese: "Sniff sniff"
WHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHHHHH Mean old Roger or his friends
really got the best of me!
Whaaaaaaaaaaaaaahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh!!!!"

--
Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't
even spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary
spelling skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc>
wrote:
"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up as usual
in spelling and punctuation in <WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-
ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie"; "Lets take a look at your spelling ability
wogie"

2857. Re: Why Does Brian Burke Do It? Masochism? Why Does He Ask Questions Then Not Want To Hear The Answers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 15:12:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118675540.652891.194390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110090

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > Then why do you use weasel words and play games?
> >
> > Then why have YOU not admonished Lennie for his sick parody?
>
> Haven't you done so?

The question was not about me, Brain.

The question was about YOU.

>>I<< asked >>YOU<< why >>YOU<< hadn't taken the time to add your
comments about Lennie's sick parody.

> > > > And you're a documented chronic liar. So who do you think will
> > > > believe you, other than Lennie who is ALSO a documented chronic
> > > > liar...?!?!
> > >
> > > I documented a single, three-week lying spree of yours where you told
> > > 29 new lies.
> >
> > No...you accused me of lying.
> >
> > You were challenged at each and ever accusation to prove it.
> >
> > You didn't.
> >
> > We KNOW who the liar is, and it's not me.
>
> But it is you. It's as plain as the nose on your face.

My statements stand.

You've been challenged to make good on your accusations of lying.

Are you going to make good on it, or is this yet ANOTHER example
of how you dodge and snivvle your way out of a tight spot?

> > > > All of "us" have DIRECTLY addressed his vile and demeaning
> > > > "parody" of the Tomb of the Unknowns.
> > > >
> > > > You have not.
> > > >
> > > > Why? Coward?

> > >
> > > Why has Jimmy the Riveter continued newgroup friendship with you after
> > > your "slashed tires and terrorized wife" remarks?
> >
> > Because I didn't threaten anyone.
> >
> > Veiled or otherwise.
>
> Hi!

Hello to you too, but that shudda been right up front.

> > Just like your attempt to misrepresent my comments about Amateur
> > Radio and MARS, you take one line from a post them try to make it
> > appear as something other than what it was.
>
> It is what it is.

What it "is" is an out-of-context quote meant to misrepresent what
was REALLY said. In other words, an act of deception on your part.

> > That's why I can say "Brian P Burke is a chronic liar" and know
> > that you can never take me to court over it.
> >
> > Because I have the evidence to prove my assertions.
>
> Hi! I would never take you to court for having a stupid opinion.

It's not an opinion, stupid or otherwise.

It's an assertion.

"Brian P Burke is a chronic liar".

> > > > > > > Do you admire all things about Jane?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Ms Fonda lost all dignity and respect when she sat her butt down
> > > > > > > in that weapon's control seat in North Viet Nam.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Where were you?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It doesn't matter WHERE I was. She's a traitor.
> > > > >
> > > > > You may have been a traitor also.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > > Where were you?
> > >
> > > I didn't tell you?
> >
> > Nope.

Then I guess it wasn't any of your business, now was it...?!?!

> > > Documented in
> > > > countless testimonies. Not a one of those testimonies has been
> > > > challenged, by Fonda or any person or entity on her behalf.
> > >
> > > The NRA allowed a traitor to join?
> >
> > I don't know. I'm not a member of the NRA.
> >
> > How is this germane?

You skipped this question, Brain.

You suggested that the NRA allowed a traitor to join.

I asked how it's germane. And who's the alleged traitor? Hanoi
Jane? If so, darned glad the NRA doesn't have any of my money in it's
coffers.

> > > > > > She chose the path of a traitor as opposed to peaceful protest.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You chose your path, and the no-servers chose theirs.
> > > > >
> > > > > The "no-servers" have not broken any laws.
> > > >
> > > > They may have.
> > >
> > > Lacking any credible evidence to the contrary, they haven't.
> >
> > So says the Constitution of the United States.
>
> Have you broken any laws?

On the one's where it says "55MPH"

No one, not even a worm like you, can discolot my service either
in the Marine Corps, or any other agency or organization I belong to
now or since...

> > > > As for the path I chose, yes, I did. As did you. Too bad you
> > > > wound up with the reputation of being a chronic liar, eh...?!?!
> > >
> > > Hi! I documented a single, three-week lying spree of yours where you
> > > told 29 new lies.
> >
> > No you didn't.
>
> But I did. It's all archived.

No, you didn't.

You CLAIMED lies.

On each and ever CLAIM, I demanded that you substantiate yourclaim.

You never did.

Not once.

> > You ACCUSED me of lying, but refused in each and every instance to
> > substantiate your claims.
>
> You substantiated my claims with each new lie.
>
> > You never did. Not once.
>
> You did.

Then YOU are promulgating a lie right now, Brain.

Stop it. Your family is going to be veeeeeeeeeeeeeeery
disappointed in you.

> > > > I wasn't aware that weasels (other than you and Lennie) were able
> > > > to communicate, let alone have a vocabulary.
> > >
> > > Yet you have a vocabulary and communicate. Your awareness needs
> > > updating.
> >
> > I'm not a weasel.
>
> I say you are.

Then you're lying.

Again.

> > > Your weasel words and obtuseness. If you are going to threaten me,
> > > just do so without regard to the consequences. You'll feel better for
> > > a little while.
> >
> > What threats?
> >
> > What consequences?
>
> Hi! Are you on estrogen therapy now? Or just get out of an ice bath?

Nope.

What threats?

What consequences?

> > You accuse me of "threats" vis-a-vis your recent "what is a
> > hostile action" rant. Then when I offer you an example of what I would
> > consider a "hostile action", clearly in response to YOUR question, you
> > make your unfounded accusation.
>
> Because I was never in any of your claimed hostile actions, but you
> want to harm me as an example of one of them.

Nope.

You asked what I consider a "hostile action"...I simply said you
wouldn't know one if it hit you in the nose.

> Try to get your own hostile actions right the next time and there won't
> be any confusion.

There's no confusion on MY part.

> > If you feel threatened, why don't you go right ahead and print off
> > those threads and stop by the local PD to file a complaint. Heck...go
> > ahead and stop byt the FBI since this crosses state lines...
> >
> > Then when required to respond, I'll bring along YOUR stuff,
> > including your "weasel" wordsmithing, wanton deceit and blatant lying
> > and overt attempts to make false accusations of your own.
> >
> > Oh...and I'll being along the ENTIRE post that you continue to
> > misquote from vis-a-vis "slashed tires", etc, just so they can get "the
> > big picture" on what your TRUE character is all about.
>
> > That ought to set your reputation with law enforcement. It's sure
> > done it here.
>
> Steven J. Robeson, I have no reputation with law enforcement.

Go to "the authorities" with your lame claims as you have
previously suggested you might, and when I am done with you, you WILL
have a reputation with law enforcement.

> But you bear watching.

For what?

Rubbing your nose in your newsgroup droppings here...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

2858. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 15:37:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <NKhre.15$UO.477312@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110091

Then your argument is that it is totally logical to claim to follow a
"religion" (such as the ARRL) but yet you are fully in your rights to
remove such pages as are un-suitable to you--but still should be viewed
as logical as you begin picking and choosing exactly what is pertinent
and what is not and following those things in the first mentioned
category only?

Hmmmm, interesting, just too bad we can't do that with the penal code...
or FCC rules and regulations for that matter... it would look more
"logical" to me then...

Warmest regards,
John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118658765.627275.212910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> bb:
>>
>> Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the
>> same way
>> gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an
>> abomination?
>
> Which pages of the Bible do we follow, John?
>
> Consider the first chapters of Genesis, where two different
> creation stories are told. Both of them cannot be literally
> true.
>
> Or read the story of Lot and his family's escape from Sodm and
> Gomorrah. Not just the pillar of salt thing but his actions
> towards his daughters and their actions towards him.
>
> Abominations? Plenty of them in the Bible - like eating pork,
> or any scavengers (that means lobster too), or even rabbits.
>
> Who decides which abominations "modern Christians" have to avoid?
>

2859. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 15:48:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <DVhre.17$Ye1.189540@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110093

Michael:

It is weird, just to think it is the only book (well, there are a couple of more, most mention a "God") which stands between a lot more crime and immense degradation of society and not...

... as even I can see if there is no creator to answer to--then I am free to murder anyone possessing great wealth and property and take it for myself...

... of course I still wouldn't do that--but just because I want to "be a nice guy." And, having studied human nature, I am afraid how long that alone could stop me... perhaps till there was ten million dollars on the table and I thought I could get away with it? <evil-grin>

I think drug dealers, extortionists, bank robbers, serial killers, rapists, child molesters, etc. may have already figured this out though... too bad really... looking back, the world did seem a quite a bit safer when they were still living in "ignorance"...

Warmest regards,
John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message news:d8jt0r$17u8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>bb:
>>>
>>>Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the
>>>same way
>>>gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an
>>>abomination?
>>
>>
>> Which pages of the Bible do we follow, John?
>>
>> Consider the first chapters of Genesis, where two different
>> creation stories are told. Both of them cannot be literally
>> true.
>
> Weird, eh? The OT has more contradictory stuff in it than just about

> anything I've ever read.
>
>
>
>> Or read the story of Lot and his family's escape from Sodm and
>> Gomorrah. Not just the pillar of salt thing but his actions
>> towards his daughters and their actions towards him.
>
> Kreepy and Kinky is all I can say on the matter. Yuck!
>
>
>> Abominations? Plenty of them in the Bible - like eating pork,
>> or any scavengers (that means lobster too), or even rabbits.
>>
>> Who decides which abominations "modern Christians" have to avoid?
>
> I believe that the New Testament would be a good starting place. A lot
> less of the thou shalts and shalt nots.
>
> Except for the Sermon on the Mount, which is a number of direct
> commands, most of which are routinely ignored by those who consider
> themselves the most righteous!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

2860. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 15:51:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <WXhre.18$cq5.411708@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110094

K4YZ wrote:
"It was a forum for the discussion of Amateur Radio policy issues,.."

It still is--and why they are failing and need changed...

Warmest regards,
John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1118674116.121136.252600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: John Smith on Jun 12, 3:05 pm
>>
>> >Len:
>> >
>> >Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint
>> >of
>> >heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
>> >children, small animals and gays)...
>> >
>> >They are at a loss when brave men stand their ground and question
>> >their
>> >faulty logic... reduces 'em to personal attacks and gutter fighting
>> >quickly...
>>
>> Actually, not too much "reduction." When I first logged into
>> this din of inequity...(SNIP)
>
> ...it wasn't a "din of inequity". It was a forum for the
> discussion of Amateur Radio policy issues, and was used for that
> purpose.
>
> Google refers.
>
> The "fighting" and other allegations by Lennie are direct
> descendants of his voracious rants and blathering accusations.
>
>> Several others of the very-pro-code-advocacy came and went,
>> including a few ex-navy types who bragged over their wonderous
>> ability with morse (and who got trained at government expense).
>
> Skills you can't seem to really effectively diminish, your best
> rants and snide comments not withstanding.

>
>> Perhaps the worst blow of all to these stalwart Defenders of the
>> Archaic was FCC 99-412, the Report & Order that did the actual
>> regulation "restructuring" (for 1999, there have been other years)
>> as a sort of "Holiday Present" for all. ONE code test rate at
>> 5 WPM, any class license having below 30 MHz privileges.
>
> Oh yes...
>
> We remember...
>
> That's the R&O from which YOU stated you'd obtain an "Extra
> Lite"...
>
> It's been quite a few years...Still nothing.
>
>> From about then on, one by one, the personal attacks and "gutter
>> fighting" became worse....(SNIP)
>
> What attacks? What "gutter fighting"...?!?!
>
> YOU are the only one who continually brings up licensing and
> practice from past years and then calls "us" "archaic".
>
> "We" moved on. You're still several chapters behind, Lennie.
>
> "We" try to discuss BPL, spectrum protection and other
> issues...YOU continue to try an keep the arguments Lennicentric.
>
>> A side problem are those few who think tangentially to the grope.
>> Those who think that THEY are the center of the newsgrop world.
>
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
>> Everything - in their apparent perception - revolves around THEM
>> and they cannot take anything that isn't gratuitous praise of
>> their heroic opinions. [we all know who they are...:-)]
>
> Yes, Lennie..."we" know who YOU are.....!
>
>> Absolutely fascinating to those interested in psychology aspects
>> of human beans...(SNIP)
>
> You were talking about "gutter fighting"...?!?!?
>
>> (UNSNIP)...along with sociopathic and aberrant behavior.
>> Entertaining > in one way.

>
> No..your're not. It's pitiful to see a guy with your alleged
> experience act the way you do...Assuming your knowledge and experience
> are legitimate, you COULD be a benefit to Amateur Radio...
>
> Instead you're a lying, deceiving and untrustworthy newsgroup
> troll.
>
>> Long Beach Convention Center and the MTT Microwave Symposium
>> calls.
>> Bye.
>
> Picking up some extra hours on the broom again, Lennie? Bravo. A
> guy your age needs to keep active.
>
>> LenBoyDidn'tImakeAfoolOutOfMySelfAgainAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2861. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 15:52:44 UTC
From: Poor Hans <poorhans@hansbabbleson.com>
Message-ID: <d8k9un$34o$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110092

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:LWgre.3736$jX6.1668@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> by Bob 'Dex' Armstrong
>
> In the old gravel-gut boat service, ////drivel flushed/////

Poor Hans. The guys down at the Legion Hall have forbidden Hans
from telling any more of his borrrrrrrrring sea tales.

2862. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 16:44:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118681087.028593.112930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110095

John Smith wrote:
> Then your argument is that it is totally logical to claim to follow a
> "religion" (such as the ARRL)

ARRL is not a religion. That claim is incorrect.

> but yet you are fully in your rights to
> remove such pages as are un-suitable to you--but still should be viewed
> as logical as you begin picking and choosing exactly what is pertinent
> and what is not and following those things in the first mentioned
> category only?

Has nothing to do with me.

The claim was made about certain things being labeled abominations
by the Bible.

I point out that there are all sorts of things labeled abominations
by that same Bible, yet people who call themselves Christians
regularly do precisely those things (like eating pork and other
foods labeled unclean).

IOW, many Christians pick and choose which "Old Testament" abominations
to avoid, but then criticize others for not avoiding the same ones.

We also have Christians who claim the Bible to be literally true, and
insist that science agree with their literal interpretation. Yet they
don't seem to have read the very Book they want taken literally, for
it is full of contradictions *if taken literally*.

> Hmmmm, interesting, just too bad we can't do that with the penal code...
> or FCC rules and regulations for that matter... it would look more
> "logical" to me then...

Those rules are created by humans, and can be changed by humans.

--

Personally I prefer the Book of Bokonon.

--

N2EY@aol.com wrote in message
> news:1118658765.627275.212910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> bb:
> >>

> >> Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the
> >> same way
> >> gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an
> >> abomination?
> >
> > Which pages of the Bible do we follow, John?
> >
> > Consider the first chapters of Genesis, where two different
> > creation stories are told. Both of them cannot be literally
> > true.
> >
> > Or read the story of Lot and his family's escape from Sodm and
> > Gomorrah. Not just the pillar of salt thing but his actions
> > towards his daughters and their actions towards him.
> >
> > Abominations? Plenty of them in the Bible - like eating pork,
> > or any scavengers (that means lobster too), or even rabbits.
> >
> > Who decides which abominations "modern Christians" have to avoid?
> >

2863. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 17:12:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <L7jre.25$ig4.1368187@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110099

N2EY wrote:
"ARRL is not a religion. That claim is incorrect."

... really, don't they mention "traditions" and manners of ethical and
moral behaviors an amateur should conduct themselves by?

If so, they have many of the traits of a religion... and many "ARRL
zealots" look to be just as dangerous as some "religious zealots"...
has scarred off a bunch of licenses from joining...

Warmest regards,
John
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118681087.028593.112930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Then your argument is that it is totally logical to claim to follow a
>> "religion" (such as the ARRL)
>
> ARRL is not a religion. That claim is incorrect.
>
>> but yet you are fully in your rights to
>> remove such pages as are un-suitable to you--but still should be
>> viewed
>> as logical as you begin picking and choosing exactly what is
>> pertinent
>> and what is not and following those things in the first mentioned
>> category only?
>
> Has nothing to do with me.
>
> The claim was made about certain things being labeled abominations
> by the Bible.
>
> I point out that there are all sorts of things labeled abominations
> by that same Bible, yet people who call themselves Christians
> regularly do precisely those things (like eating pork and other
> foods labeled unclean).
>
> IOW, many Christians pick and choose which "Old Testament"
> abominations
> to avoid, but then criticize others for not avoiding the same ones.
>
> We also have Christians who claim the Bible to be literally true, and
> insist that science agree with their literal interpretation. Yet they

> don't seem to have read the very Book they want taken literally, for
> it is full of contradictions *if taken literally*.
>
>> Hmmmm, interesting, just too bad we can't do that with the penal
>> code...
>> or FCC rules and regulations for that matter... it would look more
>> "logical" to me then...
>
> Those rules are created by humans, and can be changed by humans.
>
> --
>
> Personally I prefer the Book of Bokonon.
>
> --
>
> N2EY@aol.com wrote in message
>> news:1118658765.627275.212910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> bb:
>> >>
>> >> Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the
>> >> same way
>> >> gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an
>> >> abomination?
>> >
>> > Which pages of the Bible do we follow, John?
>> >
>> > Consider the first chapters of Genesis, where two different
>> > creation stories are told. Both of them cannot be literally
>> > true.
>> >
>> > Or read the story of Lot and his family's escape from Sodm and
>> > Gomorrah. Not just the pillar of salt thing but his actions
>> > towards his daughters and their actions towards him.
>> >
>> > Abominations? Plenty of them in the Bible - like eating pork,
>> > or any scavengers (that means lobster too), or even rabbits.
>> >
>> > Who decides which abominations "modern Christians" have to avoid?
>> >
>

2864. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 18:47:54 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uxkre.621$yg4.311@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110096

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:LWgre.3736$jX6.1668@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> by Bob 'Dex' Armstrong
>
>
>
> In the old gravel-gut boat service, your only link with the civilized
world was
> via the radio shack. A cubby hole on Requin aft of the scope wells in the
> control room... It was the home of the spark shufflers.
>
> If you were in tight with a radioman, you could get ball scores. Sewer
pipe
> sailors lost touch with the teams they followed... A hazard common to
submarine
> sailors and people who take a moon walk and miss the ride home. Actually,
we
> lost touch with just about everything. In the war movies when they come
across
> some guy who claims to be an American, they ask him questions only an
American
> could answer. If they had picked me up and asked me anything but (A) The
names
> of Roy's and Gene's horses (B) Who won World War II and (C) Blaze Starr's
bust
> size, I would have been one 'up the creek' sonuvabitch. Hell, we didn't
know
> Jack Kennedy was the president until we snorkeled a day later.
>
> Only a complete idiot would make a bet with a radioman. Chances were, the
> radioman had the final score before you tossed your wampum on the mess
table.
>
> I remember one great night brought to us by the spark pushers in the radio
> shack.
>
> We had finished whatever nonsense they sent us out to do and were making
turns
> for home. The Old Man opened the showers... Guys were bumming razor blades
and
> rooting around in side lockers for something that would pass for a towel.
Next
> thing you know, the foo-foo juice came out. Now there's a myth that all

smoke
> boat sailors eventually bought into, sooner or later... Aqua Velva was
never
> meant to disguise poor personal hygene. No matter how much of the stuff
you
> poured on a dungaree shirt you had been inside of for two weeks, you were
still
> one disgustingly foul smelling sonuvabitch. You could spray French perfume
on an
> engineman with a fire hose and buzzards would still circle around the
bastard
> when he went topside. But I digress...
>
> A group of us were sitting around in the crew's mess drinking coffee and
ragging
> guys heading fore and aft. A radioman came in and told us we were in for
one
> helluva good laugh. He monkeyed around with the RBO and patched it into
> something in the radio shack.
>
> For those of you who never had the pleasure of riding diesel boats or
other
> seagoing steel-hulled garbage scows, I must explain something here.
>
> You could make phone calls from a ship at sea. Here is how it worked. The
> radioman would raise someone ashore called a 'marine operator'. Then the
> radioman would give the marine operator the name and phone number of
whoever the
> bluejacket aboard ship wanted to call. The marine operator would then
place a
> collect call and when the party answered and accepted the charges, the
marine
> operator would form a radio link with the ship and 'Bill the Bluejacket'
could
> talk to his sweetie.
>
> From sweetie to the marine operator was private and confidential... From
the
> marine operator to Barnicle Bill, it was up for grabs... Great evening
> entertainment.
>
> "Poopsie, is that you?"
>
> "Yes ducky doo, it's me."
>
> "You miss me, peach blossom?"
>
> "Oh yes... YES, darling!"
>

> "Miss me a lot?"
>
> "Oh, I miss you soooo much I can't wait to hold you and..."
>
> "Okay darling... Are you going to meet the ship?"
>
> "No sweetheart, I parked the car in the pier head lot... Keys are under
the
> mat."
>
> "Why aren't you meeting the boat, sweetheart?"
>
> "Oh, it was supposed to be a suprise... If you must know, the kids are
spending
> the night with the Webbers. I bought a new nightie and I figured we'd
break it
> in tonight."
>
> The animals would cheer,
> "LET'S HEAR IT FOR MAMMA AND HER NEW NIGHTIE!!"
>
> And so it went. Bluejackets phoning in after six months in the Med...
Great
> entertainment.
>
> "Darlin' can't wait... Just you and me and a can of Crisco!"
>
> We heard it all... It was great... Laugh after laugh. A very memorable
> evening... Best and cheapest fun we ever had on Requin.
>
> There were times... Moments that we took for granted and that passed with
little
> notice. It's funny how they come back late in life when you have the time
to
> reshuffle your memories... The collected moments that constitute your
life.
>
> Radiomen linked us with the world. Another thing we just took for granted
and
> that was so damned important looking back. Never thanked them... Should
have.
>
> Great guys, all of them.
>
>
Hello, Hans

You should have seen the RM1s on Guam when they wanted to find out results as to who made chief.

Grab the orderwire to Hono. Ask for a patch to San Diego. Ask for a patch to Washington ....

Had the results in 15 minutes LOL :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2865. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 20:49:54 UTC
From: Tom <TW@twebprocutionsnet.org>
Message-ID: <d8krbp$d6j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110097

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uxkre.621$yg4.311@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:LWgre.3736$jX6.1668@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> by Bob 'Dex' Armstrong
>>
>>
>>
>> In the old gravel-gut boat service, your only link with the civilized
> world was
>> via the radio shack. A cubby hole on Requin aft of the scope wells in the
>> control room... It was the home of the spark shufflers.
>>
>> If you were in tight with a radioman, you could get ball scores. Sewer
> pipe
>> sailors lost touch with the teams they followed... A hazard common to
> submarine
>> sailors and people who take a moon walk and miss the ride home. Actually,
> we
>> lost touch with just about everything. In the war movies when they come
> across
>> some guy who claims to be an American, they ask him questions only an
> American
>> could answer. If they had picked me up and asked me anything but (A) The
> names
>> of Roy's and Gene's horses (B) Who won World War II and (C) Blaze Starr's
> bust
>> size, I would have been one 'up the creek' sonuvabitch. Hell, we didn't
> know
>> Jack Kennedy was the president until we snorkeled a day later.
>>
>> Only a complete idiot would make a bet with a radioman. Chances were, the
>> radioman had the final score before you tossed your wampum on the mess
> table.
>>
>> I remember one great night brought to us by the spark pushers in the
>> radio
>> shack.
>>
>> We had finished whatever nonsense they sent us out to do and were making
> turns
>> for home. The Old Man opened the showers... Guys were bumming razor

>> blades
> and
>> rooting around in side lockers for something that would pass for a towel.
> Next
>> thing you know, the foo-foo juice came out. Now there's a myth that all
> smoke
>> boat sailors eventually bought into, sooner or later... Aqua Velva was
> never
>> meant to disguise poor personal hygene. No matter how much of the stuff
> you
>> poured on a dungaree shirt you had been inside of for two weeks, you were
> still
>> one disgustingly foul smelling sonuvabitch. You could spray French
>> perfume
> on an
>> engineman with a fire hose and buzzards would still circle around the
> bastard
>> when he went topside. But I digress...
>>
>> A group of us were sitting around in the crew's mess drinking coffee and
> ragging
>> guys heading fore and aft. A radioman came in and told us we were in for
> one
>> helluva good laugh. He monkeyed around with the RBO and patched it into
>> something in the radio shack.
>>
>> For those of you who never had the pleasure of riding diesel boats or
> other
>> seagoing steel-hulled garbage scows, I must explain something here.
>>
>> You could make phone calls from a ship at sea. Here is how it worked. The
>> radioman would raise someone ashore called a 'marine operator'. Then the
>> radioman would give the marine operator the name and phone number of
> whoever the
>> bluejacket aboard ship wanted to call. The marine operator would then
> place a
>> collect call and when the party answered and accepted the charges, the
> marine
>> operator would form a radio link with the ship and 'Bill the Bluejacket'
> could
>> talk to his sweetie.
>>
>> From sweetie to the marine operator was private and confidential... From
> the
>> marine operator to Barnicle Bill, it was up for grabs... Great evening
>> entertainment.
>>
>> "Poopsie, is that you?"
>>

>> "Yes ducky doo, it's me."
>>
>> "You miss me, peach blossom?"
>>
>> "Oh yes... YES, darling!"
>>
>> "Miss me a lot?"
>>
>> "Oh, I miss you soooo much I can't wait to hold you and..."
>>
>> "Okay darling... Are you going to meet the ship?"
>>
>> "No sweetheart, I parked the car in the pier head lot... Keys are under
> the
>> mat."
>>
>> "Why aren't you meeting the boat, sweetheart?"
>>
>> "Oh, it was supposed to be a suprise... If you must know, the kids are
> spending
>> the night with the Webbers. I bought a new nightie and I figured we'd
> break it
>> in tonight."
>>
>> The animals would cheer,
>> "LET'S HEAR IT FOR MAMMA AND HER NEW NIGHTIE!!"
>>
>> And so it went. Bluejackets phoning in after six months in the Med...
> Great
>> entertainment.
>>
>> "Darlin' can't wait... Just you and me and a can of Crisco!"
>>
>> We heard it all... It was great... Laugh after laugh. A very memorable
>> evening... Best and cheapest fun we ever had on Requin.
>>
>> There were times... Moments that we took for granted and that passed with
> little
>> notice. It's funny how they come back late in life when you have the time
> to
>> reshuffle your memories... The collected moments that constitute your
> life.
>>
>> Radiomen linked us with the world. Another thing we just took for granted
> and
>> that was so damned important looking back. Never thanked them... Should
> have.
>>
>> Great guys, all of them.

>>
>>
> Hello, Hans
>
> You should have seen the RM1s on Guam when they wanted to find out results
> as to who made chief.
>
> Grab the orderwire to Hono. Ask for a patch to San Diego. Ask for a
> patch
> to Washington ....
>
> Had the results in 15 minutes LOL :))
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

I knew two guys who were court martialed for doing exactly
what you say.

Tom

2866. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 21:22:53 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <NOmre.3723$VK4.3192@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110098

Nick wrote:

Well, the jury found him not guilty. And as I was
not a witness to any of the alleged crimes, I'll have
to go with the jury's decision.

2867. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 22:23:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <vHnre.59$vY4.3288140@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110100

Whacko Jacko walks! ... and, I almost feel I could hear anger in the jurys' decisions on all ten counts--anger directed towards the prosecution--will be interesting to hear some of the jurors take on all of this... still, I am highly suspicious of him climbing into bed with young children!!!

Warmest regards,
John

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:NOmre.3723$VK4.3192@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Nick wrote:
>
> Well, the jury found him not guilty. And as I was
> not a witness to any of the alleged crimes, I'll have
> to go with the jury's decision.

2868. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 22:27:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KLnre.61$o_4.3292270@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110101

... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc. ancestors used to
use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I wonder if we
could bring those back to?

... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy tempo on one
of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
<evil-grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uxkre.621$yg4.311@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:LWgre.3736$jX6.1668@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> by Bob 'Dex' Armstrong
>>
>>
>>
>> In the old gravel-gut boat service, your only link with the civilized
> world was
>> via the radio shack. A cubby hole on Requin aft of the scope wells in
>> the
>> control room... It was the home of the spark shufflers.
>>
>> If you were in tight with a radioman, you could get ball scores.
>> Sewer
> pipe
>> sailors lost touch with the teams they followed... A hazard common to
> submarine
>> sailors and people who take a moon walk and miss the ride home.
>> Actually,
> we
>> lost touch with just about everything. In the war movies when they
>> come
> across
>> some guy who claims to be an American, they ask him questions only an
> American
>> could answer. If they had picked me up and asked me anything but (A)
>> The
> names
>> of Roy's and Gene's horses (B) Who won World War II and (C) Blaze

>> Starr's
> bust
>> size, I would have been one 'up the creek' sonuvabitch. Hell, we
>> didn't
> know
>> Jack Kennedy was the president until we snorkeled a day later.
>>
>> Only a complete idiot would make a bet with a radioman. Chances were,
>> the
>> radioman had the final score before you tossed your wampum on the
>> mess
> table.
>>
>> I remember one great night brought to us by the spark pushers in the
>> radio
>> shack.
>>
>> We had finished whatever nonsense they sent us out to do and were
>> making
> turns
>> for home. The Old Man opened the showers... Guys were bumming razor
>> blades
> and
>> rooting around in side lockers for something that would pass for a
>> towel.
> Next
>> thing you know, the foo-foo juice came out. Now there's a myth that
>> all
> smoke
>> boat sailors eventually bought into, sooner or later... Aqua Velva
>> was
> never
>> meant to disguise poor personal hygene. No matter how much of the
>> stuff
> you
>> poured on a dungaree shirt you had been inside of for two weeks, you
>> were
> still
>> one disgustingly foul smelling sonuvabitch. You could spray French
>> perfume
> on an
>> engineman with a fire hose and buzzards would still circle around the
> bastard
>> when he went topside. But I digress...
>>
>> A group of us were sitting around in the crew's mess drinking coffee
>> and
> ragging
>> guys heading fore and aft. A radioman came in and told us we were in

>> for
> one
>> helluva good laugh. He monkeyed around with the RBO and patched it
>> into
>> something in the radio shack.
>>
>> For those of you who never had the pleasure of riding diesel boats or
> other
>> seagoing steel-hulled garbage scows, I must explain something here.
>>
>> You could make phone calls from a ship at sea. Here is how it worked.
>> The
>> radioman would raise someone ashore called a 'marine operator'. Then
>> the
>> radioman would give the marine operator the name and phone number of
> whoever the
>> bluejacket aboard ship wanted to call. The marine operator would then
> place a
>> collect call and when the party answered and accepted the charges,
>> the
> marine
>> operator would form a radio link with the ship and 'Bill the
>> Bluejacket'
> could
>> talk to his sweetie.
>>
>> From sweetie to the marine operator was private and confidential...
>> From
> the
>> marine operator to Barnicle Bill, it was up for grabs... Great
>> evening
>> entertainment.
>>
>> "Poopsie, is that you?"
>>
>> "Yes ducky doo, it's me."
>>
>> "You miss me, peach blossom?"
>>
>> "Oh yes... YES, darling!"
>>
>> "Miss me a lot?"
>>
>> "Oh, I miss you soooo much I can't wait to hold you and..."
>>
>> "Okay darling... Are you going to meet the ship?"
>>
>> "No sweetheart, I parked the car in the pier head lot... Keys are
>> under

> the
>> mat."
>>
>> "Why aren't you meeting the boat, sweetheart?"
>>
>> "Oh, it was supposed to be a suprise... If you must know, the kids
>> are
> spending
>> the night with the Webbers. I bought a new nightie and I figured we'd
> break it
>> in tonight."
>>
>> The animals would cheer,
>> "LET'S HEAR IT FOR MAMMA AND HER NEW NIGHTIE!!"
>>
>> And so it went. Bluejackets phoning in after six months in the Med...
> Great
>> entertainment.
>>
>> "Darlin' can't wait... Just you and me and a can of Crisco!"
>>
>> We heard it all... It was great... Laugh after laugh. A very
>> memorable
>> evening... Best and cheapest fun we ever had on Requin.
>>
>> There were times... Moments that we took for granted and that passed
>> with
> little
>> notice. It's funny how they come back late in life when you have the
>> time
> to
>> reshuffle your memories... The collected moments that constitute your
> life.
>>
>> Radiomen linked us with the world. Another thing we just took for
>> granted
> and
>> that was so damned important looking back. Never thanked them...
>> Should
> have.
>>
>> Great guys, all of them.
>>
>>
> Hello, Hans
>
> You should have seen the RM1s on Guam when they wanted to find out
> results
> as to who made chief.

>
> Grab the orderwire to Hono. Ask for a patch to San Diego. Ask for a
> patch
> to Washington ....
>
> Had the results in 15 minutes LOL :))
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

2869. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 22:39:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118702360.461259.33920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110102

KØHB wrote:
> by Bob 'Dex' Armstrong
>

>
> And so it went. Bluejackets phoning in after six months in the Med... Great
> entertainment.
>
> "Darlin' can't wait... Just you and me and a can of Crisco!"

. . . a can of CRISCO??!

No wonder the Navy keeps these guys underwater . .

w3rv

2870. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 22:49:40 UTC
From: Bob <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <d8l2h2$u3p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110103

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:vHnre.59$vY4.3288140@news.sisna.com...
Whacko Jacko walks! ... and, I almost feel I could hear anger in the
jurys' decisions on all ten counts--anger directed towards the
prosecution--will be interesting to hear some of the jurors take on all
of this... still, I am highly suspicious of him climbing into bed with
young children!!!

.....boys! Young boys!

There were never any girls invoved.

Yup. He's as innocent as OJ!!!

2871. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:04:33 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <IaqdnWJeV9RepTPfRVn-oQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110123

John Smith wrote:
> Whacko Jacko walks! ... and, I almost feel I could hear anger in the
> jurys' decisions on all ten counts--anger directed towards the
> prosecution--will be interesting to hear some of the jurors take on all
> of this... still, I am highly suspicious of him climbing into bed with
> young children!!!

>
Did you see the lady juror who said she thought jackson was, "just a
normal person"? Only in California would it be considered
'normal' for a 40+ year old man to share his bed with young boys.
jackson is almost as looney as wogie wussman.

2872. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:17:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <euore.4656$pa3.2590@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110104

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:Jcudnfa0a_PrPTHfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>
> [snip]
>
>> Why do I contest? To improve my score by improving my station and
>> myself.
>>
>> Its as simple as that.
>>
>> Have a good day
>>
>> PS; I worked a bunch of sporadic skip yesterday on six meters in the
>> June VHF contest.....with 8 watts and a 3 ele yagi at 20'.
>>
>> It's all a matter of perspective.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> strange thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
> operators. On the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So
> where are all the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they
> "can't" pass the code (as some would have us believe)?
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
Hey Dee,

Good question actually. Perhaps they are part of the vast majority of hams
that are inactive.

More likely they are all "stuck" on FM and have not a clue what else is
going on with V/Uhf. What a shame.

Dan/W4NTI

2873. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:19:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Evore.4659$pa3.4363@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110105

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> strange
>> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators.
>> On
>> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
>> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
>> the
>> code (as some would have us believe)?
>
> It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
> the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> w3rv
>

BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being technical in
nature way back in the early 60s.

And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.

Dan/W4NTI

2874. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:21:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <wxore.4662$pa3.1640@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110106

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118613474.763744.291920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>> too busy "advancing the state of
>> the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
>
> Oddly enough, much of the advancement in ham rigs has
> come about because of contesting.
>
> For example, one of the first uses of computers in ham
> radio was their use in logkeeping. I think one of the
> university stations gets credit for that, using the
> U's mainframe to dupe and print out their SS logs
> circa 1968.
>
> The improvements in receiver sections to have better
> dynamic range, phase noise, and filtering is, IMHO,
> largely driven by the contest/DX folks. And primarily
> the big guns, who would plunk down big bucks for a
> top-of-the-line rig if it gave them an advantage.
>
> Then, of course, the technology that first appeared in
> the top-of-the-line rigs worked its way into less expensive
> ones. So we all benefit.
>
> (makes me wonder if Hans has his IC-7800 yet...)
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Stop picking on Hans, I hear his...well at least his call, real regular in
the contests. <g>

Dan/W4NTI

2875. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:33:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <cJore.3507$eM6.2460@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110107

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:LWgre.3736$jX6.1668@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...

>
> Great guys, all of them.
>
>
>
Excellent Hans,

In the Army those in the know knew you had to know;

A. Supply Sgt.
B. Chief Cook.
C. Commo Sgt.

Not necessarily in that order.

Dan/W4NTI

2876. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:36:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <%Lore.3508$eM6.2896@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110108

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uxkre.621$yg4.311@news01.roc.ny...

>>
>>
> Hello, Hans
>
> You should have seen the RM1s on Guam when they wanted to find out results
> as to who made chief.
>
> Grab the orderwire to Hono. Ask for a patch to San Diego. Ask for a
> patch
> to Washington ....
>
> Had the results in 15 minutes LOL :))
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
I was so far out in the boonies on one or more TDY I had to use my KWM2-A HF
transciever for a Shortwave receiver to keep the "troops" entertained.

(sure liked those big crystal packs).. hi

Dan/W4NTI

2877. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:37:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <SMore.3509$eM6.2891@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110109

"Tom" <TW@twebprocutionsnet.org> wrote in message
news:d8krbp$d6j$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uxkre.621$yg4.311@news01.roc.ny...
>>
>> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:LWgre.3736$jX6.1668@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> by Bob 'Dex' Armstrong
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> In the old gravel-gut boat service, your only link with the civilized
>> world was
>>> via the radio shack. A cubby hole on Requin aft of the scope wells in
>>> the
>>> control room... It was the home of the spark shufflers.
>>>
>>> If you were in tight with a radioman, you could get ball scores. Sewer
>> pipe
>>> sailors lost touch with the teams they followed... A hazard common to
>> submarine
>>> sailors and people who take a moon walk and miss the ride home.
>>> Actually,
>> we
>>> lost touch with just about everything. In the war movies when they come
>> across
>>> some guy who claims to be an American, they ask him questions only an
>> American
>>> could answer. If they had picked me up and asked me anything but (A) The
>> names
>>> of Roy's and Gene's horses (B) Who won World War II and (C) Blaze
>>> Starr's
>> bust
>>> size, I would have been one 'up the creek' sonuvabitch. Hell, we didn't
>> know
>>> Jack Kennedy was the president until we snorkeled a day later.
>>>
>>> Only a complete idiot would make a bet with a radioman. Chances were,
>>> the
>>> radioman had the final score before you tossed your wampum on the mess
>> table.
>>>

>>> I remember one great night brought to us by the spark pushers in the
>>> radio
>>> shack.
>>>
>>> We had finished whatever nonsense they sent us out to do and were making
>> turns
>>> for home. The Old Man opened the showers... Guys were bumming razor
>>> blades
>> and
>>> rooting around in side lockers for something that would pass for a
>>> towel.
>> Next
>>> thing you know, the foo-foo juice came out. Now there's a myth that all
>> smoke
>>> boat sailors eventually bought into, sooner or later... Aqua Velva was
>> never
>>> meant to disguise poor personal hygene. No matter how much of the stuff
>> you
>>> poured on a dungaree shirt you had been inside of for two weeks, you
>>> were
>> still
>>> one disgustingly foul smelling sonuvabitch. You could spray French
>>> perfume
>> on an
>>> engineman with a fire hose and buzzards would still circle around the
>> bastard
>>> when he went topside. But I digress...
>>>
>>> A group of us were sitting around in the crew's mess drinking coffee and
>> ragging
>>> guys heading fore and aft. A radioman came in and told us we were in for
>> one
>>> helluva good laugh. He monkeyed around with the RBO and patched it into
>>> something in the radio shack.
>>>
>>> For those of you who never had the pleasure of riding diesel boats or
>> other
>>> seagoing steel-hulled garbage scows, I must explain something here.
>>>
>>> You could make phone calls from a ship at sea. Here is how it worked.
>>> The
>>> radioman would raise someone ashore called a 'marine operator'. Then the
>>> radioman would give the marine operator the name and phone number of
>> whoever the
>>> bluejacket aboard ship wanted to call. The marine operator would then
>> place a
>>> collect call and when the party answered and accepted the charges, the
>> marine
>>> operator would form a radio link with the ship and 'Bill the Bluejacket'

>> could
>>> talk to his sweetie.
>>>
>>> From sweetie to the marine operator was private and confidential... From
>> the
>>> marine operator to Barnicle Bill, it was up for grabs... Great evening
>>> entertainment.
>>>
>>> "Poopsie, is that you?"
>>>
>>> "Yes ducky doo, it's me."
>>>
>>> "You miss me, peach blossom?"
>>>
>>> "Oh yes... YES, darling!"
>>>
>>> "Miss me a lot?"
>>>
>>> "Oh, I miss you soooo much I can't wait to hold you and..."
>>>
>>> "Okay darling... Are you going to meet the ship?"
>>>
>>> "No sweetheart, I parked the car in the pier head lot... Keys are under
>> the
>>> mat."
>>>
>>> "Why aren't you meeting the boat, sweetheart?"
>>>
>>> "Oh, it was supposed to be a suprise... If you must know, the kids are
>> spending
>>> the night with the Webbers. I bought a new nightie and I figured we'd
>> break it
>>> in tonight."
>>>
>>> The animals would cheer,
>>> "LET'S HEAR IT FOR MAMMA AND HER NEW NIGHTIE!!"
>>>
>>> And so it went. Bluejackets phoning in after six months in the Med...
>> Great
>>> entertainment.
>>>
>>> "Darlin' can't wait... Just you and me and a can of Crisco!"
>>>
>>> We heard it all... It was great... Laugh after laugh. A very memorable
>>> evening... Best and cheapest fun we ever had on Requin.
>>>
>>> There were times... Moments that we took for granted and that passed
>>> with
>> little

>>> notice. It's funny how they come back late in life when you have the
>>> time
>> to
>>> reshuffle your memories... The collected moments that constitute your
>> life.
>>>
>>> Radiomen linked us with the world. Another thing we just took for
>>> granted
>> and
>>> that was so damned important looking back. Never thanked them... Should
>> have.
>>>
>>> Great guys, all of them.
>>>
>>>
>> Hello, Hans
>>
>> You should have seen the RM1s on Guam when they wanted to find out
>> results
>> as to who made chief.
>>
>> Grab the orderwire to Hono. Ask for a patch to San Diego. Ask for a
>> patch
>> to Washington ....
>>
>> Had the results in 15 minutes LOL :))
>>
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
>
>
> I knew two guys who were court martialed for doing exactly
> what you say.
>
> Tom
>
>
Thats when you need to know the Company Clerk.... hi.

Dan/W4NTI

2878. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:38:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118705909.710159.321570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110110

KØHB wrote:
> by Bob 'Dex' Armstrong

(great story snipped)

> Great guys, all of them.

Thanks, Hans. Keep 'em coming!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2879. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:39:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <JOore.3510$eM6.3275@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110111

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118611768.955395.88390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> > Winning is beating the Yankee Clippers. Try operating at that "level"
>> > for the ultimate contesting experience.
>>
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> w3rv
>>
>> You got that right. Keep an eye on W4AN. The new call for the SECC
>> (South
>> East Contest Club). NQ4I is another super station to watch for. Also
>> SECC.
>
> Uh-oh! This is news to me. A real three-way brawl amongst the
> Unlimiteds would inject some new fire into the game for certain. But
> you better be able to pull up around 250 Meg aggregate to get into it.
>
>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Oh I know.... I know....keep a watch for the SECC. Were on the way.

Dan/W4NTI

2880. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:43:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <USore.3512$eM6.3000@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110112

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118624976.274814.120960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > > "Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > Contesting hardware has evolved to the point that one must
> > > > spend a small fortune to be competitive.....
> > >
> > > I don't think money is what makes you competitive.
> >
> > Depends on what's competitive, the op, the station or both. A
> > competitive op doesn't have spend a dime on a station. Which is > where
> > some of the hotshot multi-op guys come from. One of ours lives > in
> > Manhatten for instance, zero chance for having a home station
> > no matter how much money he might be willing to spend.

Have skills will travel.
>
> > It's an important factor, though. Always has been. Part of the
> > game in most contests.
>
> I agree with that. One can take a $200 station or even a $1,000 > station
> into the contests and have some fun with it but it won't be a
> competitive station by a long shot *if* the frame of reference > is a
> decent score in the DX contests. A decent score in SS at the
> section
> level with a budget station is another story altogether.

"Pick the fights you can win", as a wise man once wrote.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Which is why Sweeps is such a major contest. Lots of small stations in all
sorts of locations. It is a blast.

In the last 7 years I have 5 clean sweep cups. Both for phone and CW.
Thats the challange for me.

Dan/W4NTI

2881. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:46:07 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3Vore.3513$eM6.2868@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110113

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118666562.821619.73780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

K�HB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> > If you work a mult you didn't find with your own
> > ears you're using assistance.
>
> Truely I could argue that any aid in your station is "assistance" --- but
> not
> OUTSIDE assistance. Dupe sheets and electric pencil sharpeners are
> "assistance"
> at some stations!
>
> If you can harness technology to gain some advantage in the radiosport
> game, so
> long as that technology is in YOUR station and under YOUR control, then
> more
> power to you. Only if that assistance originates at ANOTHER station as
> the
> result of efforts of other radiomen (ie., cluster spots), then your log
> should
> no longer qualify as an SO entry.
>
> That's my story and I'm sticking to it!

OK, OK, OK! SHEEEESH . . #@%x#$ . . . !

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

I don't know about all you big guns but I need all the help I can get.

But I still do it the old fashioned way. I hunt and find.

Dan/W4NTI

2882. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:47:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118706439.992596.111690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110114

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY wrote:
> "ARRL is not a religion. That claim is incorrect."
>
> ... really, don't they mention "traditions" and manners of
> ethical and
> moral behaviors an amateur should conduct themselves by?

There's more to a religion than that.

If you consider any organization that mentions traditions and
ethical/moral behaviors that people should conduct themselves
by, then you consider all of the following to be "religions":

- Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts and similar organizations
- US Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Coast Guard
- AMA, ANA, and similar organizations
- IEEE and similar organizations
- No-Code International and similar organizations

And many others.
>
> If so, they have many of the traits of a religion...

So do all of the organizations listed above.

> and <many "ARRL
> zealots" look to be just as dangerous as some "religious
> zealots"...

That claim is incorrect.

> has scarred off a bunch of licenses from joining...

IMHO, if someone is sacred off by the current license
requirements, they really aren't interested.

N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118681087.028593.112930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> Then your argument is that it is totally logical to claim to follow a
> >> "religion" (such as the ARRL)
> >
> > ARRL is not a religion. That claim is incorrect.
> >
> >> but yet you are fully in your rights to

> >> remove such pages as are un-suitable to you--but still should be
> >> viewed
> >> as logical as you begin picking and choosing exactly what is
> >> pertinent
> >> and what is not and following those things in the first mentioned
> >> category only?
> >
> > Has nothing to do with me.
> >
> > The claim was made about certain things being labeled abominations
> > by the Bible.
> >
> > I point out that there are all sorts of things labeled abominations
> > by that same Bible, yet people who call themselves Christians
> > regularly do precisely those things (like eating pork and other
> > foods labeled unclean).
> >
> > IOW, many Christians pick and choose which "Old Testament"
> > abominations
> > to avoid, but then criticize others for not avoiding the same ones.
> >
> > We also have Christians who claim the Bible to be literally true, and
> > insist that science agree with their literal interpretation. Yet they
> > don't seem to have read the very Book they want taken literally, for
> > it is full of contradictions *if taken literally*.
> >
> >> Hmmmm, interesting, just too bad we can't do that with the penal
> >> code...
> >> or FCC rules and regulations for that matter... it would look more
> >> "logical" to me then...
> >
> > Those rules are created by humans, and can be changed by humans.
> >
> > --
> >
> > Personally I prefer the Book of Bokonon.
> >
> > --
> >
> > N2EY@aol.com wrote in message
> >> news:1118658765.627275.212910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > John Smith wrote:
> >> >> bb:
> >> >>
> >> >> Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the
> >> >> same way
> >> >> gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an
> >> >> abomination?
> >> >
> >> > Which pages of the Bible do we follow, John?

> >> >
> >> > Consider the first chapters of Genesis, where two different
> >> > creation stories are told. Both of them cannot be literally
> >> > true.
> >> >
> >> > Or read the story of Lot and his family's escape from Sodm and
> >> > Gomorrah. Not just the pillar of salt thing but his actions
> >> > towards his daughters and their actions towards him.
> >> >
> >> > Abominations? Plenty of them in the Bible - like eating pork,
> >> > or any scavengers (that means lobster too), or even rabbits.
> >> >
> >> > Who decides which abominations "modern Christians" have to avoid?
> >> >
> >

2883. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:48:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <zXore.3516$eM6.6@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110115

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1d4re.3308$NX4.1199@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...

>
> I hear real estate is very reasonably priced in VY0-land.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

But Hans......won't the SB-220 melt the igloo?

Dan/W4NTI

2884. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:50:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118706616.060297.237070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110116

Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.

Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
passed:

WA2SI: September 13, 2003
KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
K2UNK: January 1, 2004 (first prediction)
K2ASP: March 15, 2004
AA2QA: April 1, 2004
N2EY: April 15, 2004
N3KIP: May 1, 2004 (first prediction)
KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
K3LT: September 15, 2004
WK3C: December 30, 2004
N4PGW: May 22, 2005
N8UZE: July 1, 2005
N3KIP: December 31, 2005 (second prediction)
K2UNK: July 1, 2006 (second prediction)
AB2RC: July 1, 2007
KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
W5TIT: June 1, 2008

Anybody want to add a prediction? If so, the "rolling rule"
(thanks Dee, N8UZE) applies:

If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
add a new one.

At this point everyone before N3KIP can add a new prediction.

All predictions stay on the list.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2885. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-13 23:55:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118706947.788651.102940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110117

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118613474.763744.291920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Oddly enough, much of the advancement in ham rigs has
> > come about because of contesting.
> >
> > For example, one of the first uses of computers in ham
> > radio was their use in logkeeping. I think one of the
> > university stations gets credit for that, using the
> > U's mainframe to dupe and print out their SS logs
> > circa 1968.
> >
> > The improvements in receiver sections to have better
> > dynamic range, phase noise, and filtering is, IMHO,
> > largely driven by the contest/DX folks. And primarily
> > the big guns, who would plunk down big bucks for a
> > top-of-the-line rig if it gave them an advantage.
> >
> > Then, of course, the technology that first appeared in
> > the top-of-the-line rigs worked its way into less expensive
> > ones. So we all benefit.
> >
> > (makes me wonder if Hans has his IC-7800 yet...)
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Stop picking on Hans,

Who's picking on Hans? If there's anybody who can get the
most out of an IC-7800, and deserves to be using one, it's
K0HB. I'm just wondering if he's got one yet.

> I hear his...well at least his call, real regular in
> the contests. <g>

I've worked you and he in SS many, many times. Thanks for
the points and AL, btw.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2886. Is Tom Sneddon going to pay the bill for tryin to extort Jackson?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 00:00:29 UTC
From: Nick <soccernecklaces@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1118707229.191166.298140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110118

Taxpayers should not be responsible for the failed effort to lynch
Jackson:

http://un.alturl.com

2887. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 00:06:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pcpre.73$G_4.3306560@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110119

N2Ey:

I stand corrected, ARRL is NOT a valid religion...

Nope, more of a cult actually--"Cult of the ARRL." <grin>

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118706439.992596.111690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY wrote:
>> "ARRL is not a religion. That claim is incorrect."
>>
>> ... really, don't they mention "traditions" and manners of
>> ethical and
>> moral behaviors an amateur should conduct themselves by?
>
> There's more to a religion than that.
>
> If you consider any organization that mentions traditions and
> ethical/moral behaviors that people should conduct themselves
> by, then you consider all of the following to be "religions":
>
> - Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts and similar organizations
> - US Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Coast Guard
> - AMA, ANA, and similar organizations
> - IEEE and similar organizations
> - No-Code International and similar organizations
>
>
> And many others.
>>
>> If so, they have many of the traits of a religion...
>
> So do all of the organizations listed above.
>
>> and <many "ARRL
>> zealots" look to be just as dangerous as some "religious
>> zealots"...
>
> That claim is incorrect.
>
>> has scarred off a bunch of licenses from joining...

>
> IMHO, if someone is sacred off by the current license
> requirements, they really aren't interested.
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1118681087.028593.112930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> Then your argument is that it is totally logical to claim to
>> >> follow a
>> >> "religion" (such as the ARRL)
>> >
>> > ARRL is not a religion. That claim is incorrect.
>> >
>> >> but yet you are fully in your rights to
>> >> remove such pages as are un-suitable to you--but still should be
>> >> viewed
>> >> as logical as you begin picking and choosing exactly what is
>> >> pertinent
>> >> and what is not and following those things in the first mentioned
>> >> category only?
>> >
>> > Has nothing to do with me.
>> >
>> > The claim was made about certain things being labeled abominations
>> > by the Bible.
>> >
>> > I point out that there are all sorts of things labeled abominations
>> > by that same Bible, yet people who call themselves Christians
>> > regularly do precisely those things (like eating pork and other
>> > foods labeled unclean).
>> >
>> > IOW, many Christians pick and choose which "Old Testament"
>> > abominations
>> > to avoid, but then criticize others for not avoiding the same ones.
>> >
>> > We also have Christians who claim the Bible to be literally true,
>> > and
>> > insist that science agree with their literal interpretation. Yet
>> > they
>> > don't seem to have read the very Book they want taken literally,
>> > for
>> > it is full of contradictions *if taken literally*.
>> >
>> >> Hmmmm, interesting, just too bad we can't do that with the penal
>> >> code...
>> >> or FCC rules and regulations for that matter... it would look more
>> >> "logical" to me then...
>> >
>> > Those rules are created by humans, and can be changed by humans.
>> >

>> > --
>> >
>> > Personally I prefer the Book of Bokonon.
>> >
>> > --
>> >
>> > N2EY@aol.com wrote in message
>> >> news:1118658765.627275.212910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> >> bb:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the
>> >> >> same way
>> >> >> gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an
>> >> >> abomination?
>> >> >
>> >> > Which pages of the Bible do we follow, John?
>> >> >
>> >> > Consider the first chapters of Genesis, where two different
>> >> > creation stories are told. Both of them cannot be literally
>> >> > true.
>> >> >
>> >> > Or read the story of Lot and his family's escape from Sodm and
>> >> > Gomorrah. Not just the pillar of salt thing but his actions
>> >> > towards his daughters and their actions towards him.
>> >> >
>> >> > Abominations? Plenty of them in the Bible - like eating pork,
>> >> > or any scavengers (that means lobster too), or even rabbits.
>> >> >
>> >> > Who decides which abominations "modern Christians" have to
>> >> > avoid?
>> >> >
>> >
>

2888. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 00:41:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118709693.091616.217660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110120

John Smith wrote:
> N2Ey:
>
> I stand corrected, ARRL is NOT a valid religion...
>
> Nope, more of a cult actually--"Cult of the ARRL." <grin>

Then the following are all cults, too:

- Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts and similar organizations
- US Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Coast Guard
- AMA, ANA, and similar organizations
- IEEE and similar organizations
- No-Code International and similar organizations

And many others.

2889. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 00:46:43 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TNpre.76$FC4.3102834@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110121

I think most of those groups progressive and open to change--this alone would make classification as a cult difficult...

However, the ARRL with un-moving devotion to its "principles" and the staunch "unwillingness" to change is what makes it more appropriate to such classification... rather bizarre really--when at its core is technology--and a technology which is RAPIDLY changing and adapting to new discoveries, methods, devices, etc...

"new" and "remarkable" become "old" and "common" in only a matter of months in this field...

Warmest regards,
John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118709693.091616.217660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2Ey:
>>
>> I stand corrected, ARRL is NOT a valid religion...
>>
>> Nope, more of a cult actually--"Cult of the ARRL." <grin>
>
> Then the following are all cults, too:
>
> - Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts and similar organizations
> - US Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Coast Guard
> - AMA, ANA, and similar organizations
> - IEEE and similar organizations
> - No-Code International and similar organizations
>
> And many others.
>

2890. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on because he's a foul mouthed punk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 01:10:23 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <TZGHDBN938517.3822106481@reece.net.au>
Source record: 110122

In article <1118582466.670916.80750@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > In article
> > <1118473960.757071.202...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
> > >
> > >
> > > George Orwell wrote:
> > > > In article <Hx3qe.238$je2.10387...@news.sisna.com>
>
> > > > Judging by that post, you're not a "ham", but rather a
> > "pig".
> >
> > Judging by your picture, you look more like a pig since your
> > triple chins can't fit underneath that girdle you are
wearing,
> > you know, the one that isn't doing a good job hiding your fat
> > gut, Nursie.
>
> Too bad the evidence tells a different story, isn't it?

No, Boss Hogg, you should really get a better girdle.
Where's that documentation, Nursie? Oh, that's right, you never
post it.

> I wonder...were you BORN a pathological liar, or was it a
learned
> trait?

I wonder, did you inherit those triple chins from your obese
mommy or your fatassed daddy?
That cheezy moustache doesn't help covering up your receding
hairline, either, Nursie.

> > Where's that documentation, Nursie?
>
> Right in front of you, Brain.

No, as usual, you don't provide it, Nursie.
Nursie, do you lie on the job, (emptying those filled bedpans)

like you do on here?

2891. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 02:39:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9rrre.91$EA2.4308001@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110124

Unfortunately, hollywood gets in the news. The there is San Franciso
(the gay bay), those guys give all california a bad name... they are
harder to get rid of than roaches!

Warmest regards,
John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:IaqdnWJeV9RepTPfRVn-oQ@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Whacko Jacko walks! ... and, I almost feel I could hear anger in the
>> jurys' decisions on all ten counts--anger directed towards the
>> prosecution--will be interesting to hear some of the jurors take on
>> all of this... still, I am highly suspicious of him climbing into
>> bed with young children!!!
>
>>
> Did you see the lady juror who said she thought jackson was, "just a
> normal person"? Only in California would it be considered
> 'normal' for a 40+ year old man to share his bed with young boys.
> jackson is almost as looney as wogie wussman.

2892. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 03:12:50 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <SWrre.4712$pa3.887@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110125

I am highly suspicious of him climbing into bed with
> young children!!!

If MJ has any smarts he'll quit doing that. Okay
if he wants to have kids over at his amusement park for
rides, but not the bedroom.

2893. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 03:22:44 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ii1t1w4.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 110128

On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 03:12:50 GMT, robert casey wrote:

> I am highly suspicious of him climbing into bed with
>> young children!!!
>
>If MJ has any smarts he'll quit doing that. Okay
>if he wants to have kids over at his amusement park for
>rides, but not the bedroom.

All that verdict meant was that the jury found the complainant sleazier than the defendant. Happens every day when one puts one's fate in the hands of 12 people who have convinced the judge that they know neither party nor anything about the event nor about the law and that they haven't been paying attention to what is going on in the world around them.

In the original English "jury of one's peers" if one did not know the defendant one could not be a juror in that case.

"If you're innocent, request a bench (judge) trial. If you're guilty, request a jury trial" (Criminal Procedure 101)

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2894. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 03:22:51 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <f4sre.3905$VK4.1727@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110126

>
> From sweetie to the marine operator was private and confidential... From the
> marine operator to Barnicle Bill, it was up for grabs... Great evening
> entertainment.
>
> "Poopsie, is that you?"
>
> "Yes ducky doo, it's me."

I'd add: "and everyone aboard the sub. Not much privacy
aboard a crowded sub; they all can hear this". Thus warning
her not to give too much detail on private matters..."
>
People on a sub would have to know, at least after the
first call to someone's sweetie was placed....

2895. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 03:23:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <A4sre.98$YI3.4655237@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110127

... no child should ever be within a mile of MJ... and he certainly
should NEVER be alone with one, not even his own (well, if that is
possible--that the ARE his)...

John

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:SWrre.4712$pa3.887@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> I am highly suspicious of him climbing into bed with
>> young children!!!
>
> If MJ has any smarts he'll quit doing that. Okay
> if he wants to have kids over at his amusement park for
> rides, but not the bedroom.

2896. Arnie Macy...are you around?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 03:26:04 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <g7sre.660$kX4.446@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 110129

Arnie:

Are you still around on this newsgroup?

2897. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 03:26:51 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <%7sre.3907$VK4.533@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110130

John Smith wrote:

> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc. ancestors used to
> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I wonder if we
> could bring those back to?
>
> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy tempo on one
> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
> <evil-grin>
>

Imagine the drum DX contests those people in the
jungle used to have. :-) Though everyone had
only one air "channel" to share. Must have been
some bad QRM at times....

2898. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 03:27:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <09sre.99$ur3.4532298@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110131

... my personal advice would be (having a cousin who is a criminal trial lawyer) would be:

"If you are innocent as the whitest snow--if God himself finds you to be in his grace--DON'T TRUST THE SYSTEM--if you must go to trial--GET A JURY!!!

John

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.ii1t1w4.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 03:12:50 GMT, robert casey wrote:
>
>> I am highly suspicious of him climbing into bed with
>>> young children!!!
>>
>>If MJ has any smarts he'll quit doing that. Okay
>>if he wants to have kids over at his amusement park for
>>rides, but not the bedroom.
>
> All that verdict meant was that the jury found the complainant
> sleazier than the defendant. Happens every day when one puts one's
> fate in the hands of 12 people who have convinced the judge that
> they know neither party nor anything about the event nor about the
> law and that they haven't been paying attention to what is going on
> in the world around them.
>
> In the original English "jury of one's peers" if one did not know
> the defendant one could not be a juror in that case.
>
> "If you're innocent, request a bench (judge) trial. If you're
> guilty, request a jury trial" (Criminal Procedure 101)
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2899. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 03:30:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pbsre.100$VL3.4698179@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110132

Robert:

You paint a good visual picture--good humor... <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:%7sre.3907$VK4.533@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
>> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc. ancestors used
>> to use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I wonder if
>> we could bring those back to?
>>
>> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy tempo on
>> one of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
>> <evil-grin>
>>
>
> Imagine the drum DX contests those people in the
> jungle used to have. :-) Though everyone had
> only one air "channel" to share. Must have been
> some bad QRM at times....

2900. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 05:34:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <u%tre.699$yg4.231@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110133

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:KLnre.61$o_4.3292270@news.sisna.com...
> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc. ancestors used to
> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I wonder if we
> could bring those back to?
>
> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy tempo on one
> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
> <evil-grin>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

Perhaps, John

But consider that some of us can send and receive cw faster than most folks
can type.

I know you may be good at "cut and paste", but that doesn't necessarily cut
it LOL.

Sure, voice appears faster, but when you get names and addresses that are
hard to pronounce ....

Not saying that CW is the best, but some folks better come up with something
superior to AM and FM. There are a number of modes, but most folks want to
"talk". That won't cut it for 85 watt moonbounce on 24 GHz.

:)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
ps - when I talk send and receive cw faster than some folks type, I'm not
talking a nice, leisurely chat at 30 or 35 words per minute ...

2901. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 06:23:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <sJure.113$%m6.6469774@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110134

It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...

It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when they would never use it...

Always when one is being forced to do something they do not wish to, they should question everything in sight... just as you began when you thought someone was going to force you to quit...

... let's at least keep my comment about the drums straight...

Warmest regards,
John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:u%tre.699$yg4.231@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:KLnre.61$o_4.3292270@news.sisna.com...
>> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
>> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc. ancestors used
>> to
>> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I wonder if we
>> could bring those back to?
>>
>> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy tempo on
>> one
>> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
>> <evil-grin>
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>
>
> Perhaps, John
>
>
> But consider that some of us can send and receive cw faster than most
> folks
> can type.
>
> I know you may be good at "cut and paste", but that doesn't
> necessarily cut
> it LOL.
>
> Sure, voice appears faster, but when you get names and addresses that

> are
> hard to pronounce ....
>
> Not saying that CW is the best, but some folks better come up with
> something
> superior to AM and FM. There are a number of modes, but most folks
> want to
> "talk". That won't cut it for 85 watt moonbounce on 24 GHz.
>
> :)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
> ps - when I talk send and receive cw faster than some folks type, I'm
> not
> talking a nice, leisurely chat at 30 or 35 words per minute ...
>
>
>

2902. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 09:21:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118740914.316041.313390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110135

John Smith wrote:
> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...
>
> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when they would
> never use it...
>
> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not wish to,
> they should question everything in sight... just as you began when you
> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
>
> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums straight...

By the very nature of "testing" we are "forced" to do something we
generally don't want to do.

When I took my General, I had no intent on doing any RTTY operation
yet had to know RTTY theory and practice.

Good news is that now you don't HAVE to "learn" anything...Just
memorize those quesitons and answers...Whish is what gives me a good
laugh with the more arrogant NCT types (read that Lennie...)

They are "OK" with open pools and memorized tests, but they hate
memorized sounds...what's up with that? Sheesh...the FCC even delete
the requirement to demonstrate SENDING the Code.

There's no more work to memorizing the Code than there is to
memorizing the written questions...Unless you have a VALID medical
decicit.

Steve, K4YZ

2903. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 09:36:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118741786.624456.154620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110136

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Whacko Jacko walks! ... and, I almost feel I could hear anger in the
> > jurys' decisions on all ten counts--anger directed towards the
> > prosecution--will be interesting to hear some of the jurors take on all
> > of this... still, I am highly suspicious of him climbing into bed with
> > young children!!!
>
> >
> Did you see the lady juror who said she thought jackson was, "just a
> normal person"?

Ain't that the truth..?!?!

A "normal person" who has $500M in assets and looks likes the
Scarecrow on Wizard of Oz...Only this time they didn't get the fire out
after the Wicked Witch set him ablaze.

I loved his music...It's hard to accept that someone who was once
that talented could screw up their life on so grand a magnitutde.

> Only in California would it be considered
> 'normal' for a 40+ year old man to share his bed with young boys.

Wellllllllllllllllllll...He certainly ain't the first. And had he
been "Joe Schmoe Jackson", working at Wal-Mart, there would have been
no charges (since there was no incentive to sue) or he'd be on the road
to San Quentin right now.

> jackson is almost as looney as wogie wussman.

Almost?

Steve, K4YZ

2904. Re: K1MAN RECEIVES LARGE NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 11:20:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118748045.313088.124400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110137

robert casey wrote:

> In any event, looking at that web site of NOV and NAL and
> other penalty points, you have to work at it to find hams
> in trouble. Seems what they say is true about hams respecting
> the rules better than most other services.

Indeed...You have to go back to January 2005 to find an Amateur,
and then it's that Gerritsen fool...The next one before that was that
religious idiot, KC0OAB.

Wadda pair.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2905. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 12:48:47 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200506.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <136d761852c6fd6896a47a79ae394531@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 110138

In article
<1118740914.316041.313390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
> > It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was
it...
> >
> > It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw
when they would
> > never use it...
> >
> > Always when one is being forced to do something they do not
wish to,
> > they should question everything in sight... just as you
began when you
> > thought someone was going to force you to quit...
> >
> > ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums
straight...
>
> By the very nature of "testing" we are "forced" to do
something we
> generally don't want to do.

Awww, poor delicate Nursie, who knew he was such a complete
pussy! Why, everybody!!!!!

> When I took my General, I had no intent on doing any RTTY
operation
> yet had to know RTTY theory and practice.

That's our Nursie, only wants to do what he has to to "just get
by."

> Good news is that now you don't HAVE to "learn"
anything...Just
> memorize those quesitons and answers...

Wrong as usual, Nursie. Where's the documentation on that?

> Whish is what gives me a good
> laugh with the more arrogant NCT types (read that Lennie...)

Your illiteracy gives us all a good laugh, Nursie. "Whish"
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

> They are "OK" with open pools and memorized tests, but
they hate
> memorized sounds...what's up with that? Sheesh...the FCC even
delete
> the requirement to demonstrate SENDING the Code.

You can't just memorize the written questions, stupid, stupid
Nursie.

> There's no more work to memorizing the Code than there is
to

Doing your job at "all those area hospitals", eh Nursie? More
lies, Nursie?

> memorizing the written questions...Unless you have a VALID
medical
> decicit.
^^^^^^^^^^

Apparently, YOU must have quite a mental "deficit", Nursie, or
you are one retarded fat boy.

2906. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 15:17:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <iKOdnWjZsNufnTLfRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110139

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Wellllllllllllllllllll...He certainly ain't the first. And had he
> been "Joe Schmoe Jackson", working at Wal-Mart, there would have been
> no charges (since there was no incentive to sue) or he'd be on the road
> to San Quentin right now.
>

As Leno said, "if Jackson were black, he would have been found guilty" :)
>
>>jackson is almost as looney as wogie wussman.
>
>
> Almost?

Almost.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2907. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 16:08:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118765284.373376.119010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110140

John Smith wrote:
> I think most of those groups progressive and open to change--this alone
> would make classification as a cult difficult...

Try selling the NRA on the idea that the Second Amendment should be
repealed.

Try selling NCI on the idea that *some* code testing is OK.

> However, the ARRL with un-moving devotion to its "principles" and the
> staunch "unwillingness" to change is what makes it more appropriate to
> such classification...

The ARRL is as "open to change" (if not more so) as any of the
organizations named.

Is devotion to principles a bad thing? Or are principles, traditions,
and
standards to be tossed aside merely because they're old?

> rather bizarre really--when at its core is
> technology--and a technology which is RAPIDLY changing and adapting to
> new discoveries, methods, devices, etc...

Such as?

The ARRL has been pushing for a revision of the rules to classify
signals by bandwidth rather than content, and to free up old
technical limitations. What other group has put forth such a
proposal?

While I don't agree with all the proposed revisions, the general
concept is a valid one. Why should an FSK signal of 900 Hz bandwidth be
permitted on a frequency because it's RTTY, but an FSK signal of
500 Hz bandwidth be prohibited from the same frequency because it's
digitized voice?

US amateur radio is and has long been wide open for new discoveries,
methods, devices, etc.. Particularly on VHF/UHF, where there's lots of
bandwidth. You cannot blame the license requirements for lack of
innovation, because the requirements for full VHF/UHF privileges have
included no code test and only a minimal written test for 14+ years.

The real "cult" or "religion" to watch for is the mindset that all
change is good, new is better than old, ending is better than
mending, and similar marketing buzzphrases.

That mindset is geared to three goals:

- selling more product, regardless of whether it's really better
- attracting investment capital
- destroying the existing structure without an adequate replacement

The boom-dot-bust mess of 2000 proves the game doesn't last forever.
>
> "new" and "remarkable" become "old" and "common" in only a matter of
> months in this field...
>
Which field?

Radio broadcasting in the USA uses AM (developed more than a century
ago)
and FM stereo multiplex (developed a half century ago). Satellite radio
may
cut into their market but it's a long way from replacing standard
broadcasting.

TV broadcasting is only now beginning the widespread change to HDTV,
after a half-century of NTSC.

In any technology, there is usually rapid progress when the technology
is
new, then as the technology matures, the changes become more
evolutionary
than revolutionary.

Suppose FCC just dumps Element 1 tomorrow. Will we see a techno-
revolution in ham radio? Not likely - it didn't happen after the Tech
lost its code test.

> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118709693.091616.217660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> N2Ey:
> >>
> >> I stand corrected, ARRL is NOT a valid religion...
> >>
> >> Nope, more of a cult actually--"Cult of the ARRL." <grin>
> >
> > Then the following are all cults, too:
> >
> > - Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts and similar organizations
> > - US Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Coast Guard
> > - AMA, ANA, and similar organizations
> > - IEEE and similar organizations
> > - No-Code International and similar organizations
> >
> > And many others.
> >

2908. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 16:32:03 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118766723.066374.252520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110141

John Smith wrote:
> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...

A few anticode folks have stated they want Morse Code *use* by hams to
end, not just the test. They are a small minority, but they do exist.

> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when they would
> never use it...

Who is "forced" to learn Morse Code?

It's a requirement if someone wants an FCC-issued amateur license with
HF privileges, that's all.

> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not wish to,
> they should question everything in sight... just as you began when you
> thought someone was going to force you to quit...

The argument you present boils down to this: If someone doesn't want to
use Morse Code in ham radio, they shouldn't be required to learn it
just
to pass a test (even a simple, basic test) to get a ham radio license.
Those who choose to use it can learn it on their own.

Is that about right?

The problem is that the same argument can be made against almost
everything
in the written tests. For example, if someone doesn't intend to use
certain
bands, why are they forced to learn the band edges of every band their
license allows? If someone doesn't intend to use more than a few watts
of
transmitted power, why must they learn all that RF exposure stuff?
Indeed,
if someone doesn't intend to homebrew, why are they *forced* to learn
all
that theory stuff?

Sure, the written tests look easy to someone with a background in
radio,
electronics, computers or other related fields. But to someone from an
unrelated field, they're not easy.

Suppose you met a retired gentleman who had been a radioman in the
military 50+ years ago. He'd always wanted to be a ham but never had
the time or resources. Now he finds that ham radio still exists, and

he wants in.

The gent can still do code well, and remembers the basics of theory
as it was 50+ years ago. He gets an HF receiver and listens to the
lovely Morse Code signals on the low ends of the HF bands.

But in order to join the folks on 7010 or 3520, he needs an Extra.
And the written test is full of stuff he's never seen before, and
that he will never use.

Why must he learn all that stuff he will never use just to pass the
tests?

Sure, the stuff is easy for *you*, but not for *him*.
>
> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums straight...

Let's see...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:u%tre.699$yg4.231@news01.roc.ny...
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:KLnre.61$o_4.3292270@news.sisna.com...
> >> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
> >> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc. ancestors used
> >> to
> >> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I wonder if we
> >> could bring those back to?

Invalid analogy.

Drums for communication aren't in wide use.

Morse Code for communication is in wide use in ham radio. Doesn't
need to be brought back because it's right here.

> >> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy tempo on
> >> one
> >> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
> >> <evil-grin>
> >>
> >> Warmest regards,
> >> John
> >
> >
> > Perhaps, John
> >
> >
> > But consider that some of us can send and receive cw faster than most

> > folks can type.

Yup.

> > I know you may be good at "cut and paste", but that doesn't
> > necessarily cut
> > it LOL.
> >
> > Sure, voice appears faster, but when you get names and addresses that
> > are
> > hard to pronounce ....

Bingo.

For any message that needs to be written down, the speed limitation is
usually the writing speed of the receiving op. The fact that someone
can theoretically talks 150 wpm doesn't mean anything if the person
on the receiving end can only write legibly at 15 wpm.

Text modes are great if you have the hardware for them and if you
are in a situation where you can look at a screen to read them.
> >
> > Not saying that CW is the best, but some folks better come up with
> > something
> > superior to AM and FM. There are a number of modes, but most folks
> > want to
> > "talk". That won't cut it for 85 watt moonbounce on 24 GHz.
> >
> > :)
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> > ps - when I talk send and receive cw faster than some folks type, I'm
> > not
> > talking a nice, leisurely chat at 30 or 35 words per minute ...
> >
Yup.

Good Morse ops can chat at speeds approaching those of voice ops
because
they use abbreviations and eliminate redundancies.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2909. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 16:56:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118768187.435616.77160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110142

John Smith wrote:
> Michael:
>
> It is weird, just to think it is the only book (well, there are a couple
> of more, most mention a "God") which stands between a lot more crime and
> immense degradation of society and not...
>
> ... as even I can see if there is no creator to answer to--then I am
> free to murder anyone possessing great wealth and property and take it
> for myself...

>
> ... of course I still wouldn't do that--but just because I want to "be a
> nice guy." And, having studied human nature, I am afraid how long that
> alone could stop me... perhaps till there was ten million dollars on the
> table and I thought I could get away with it? <evil-grin>

It's called "civilization", John. Also "mutual benefit".

You don't need a Book to figure out that stealing is wrong, all you
need
is common sense. Theft does not create anything - only productive work
does.

A farmer does not need robbing bandits in order to live. But the
bandits
need the farmer - otherwise there's nothing for them to steal.

A society composed solely of farmers and other producers can exist. A
society composed solely of thieves cannot exist, because there would
be nothing to steal, and they'd all starve.

> I think drug dealers, extortionists, bank robbers, serial killers,
> rapists, child molesters, etc. may have already figured this out
> though...

Nothing new about those kinds of folks - they have existed throughout
history. The smart ones figure out how to do their thing without what
they are doing becoming too apparent.

> too bad really... looking back, the world did seem a quite a
> bit safer when they were still living in "ignorance"...

When was that?

When people who didn't believe a certain way about a certain Book
were tortured and killed for their beliefs?

When wars were fought over interpretations of stories in a Book?

When "believers" argued that it was morally acceptable for some
people to literally own other people as property?

When more than half the population was rendered legally inferior
because of gender?

(lots more examples...)

IMHO, more wars, destruction, death and mayhem have been created by
organized religious/ideological zealotry than any atheistic thief or
tyrant could imagine.

There's a limit to what a bank robber will do to rob a bank, because
if the bank robber is killed or caught, his actions are obviously
pointless because he doesn't get the reward (money).

But there's no limit to what some religious zealots will do, because
even if they are killed or caught, they think they will get the
post-death reward, and there's no way to know if that's true or not.

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d8jt0r$17u8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> >
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> John Smith wrote:
> >>
> >>>bb:
> >>>
> >>>Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the
> >>>same way
> >>>gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an
> >>>abomination?
> >>
> >>
> >> Which pages of the Bible do we follow, John?
> >>
> >> Consider the first chapters of Genesis, where two different
> >> creation stories are told. Both of them cannot be literally
> >> true.
> >
> > Weird, eh? The OT has more contradictory stuff in it than just about
> > anything I've ever read.

Only if you take it to be *literally* true.

Take Genesis, for example. The two creation stories contradict
each other. I think that's intentional - it tells us *not* to
take the stories literally. They're about ideas, rather than

history.

The idea that the descendants of Adam and Eve should be punished
for a crime they didn't commit doesn't make any sense if you
view it as a legal thing, like locking up someone today because
her Great Great Granddad robbed a bank. But if you look at it
from the standpoint that something people do today (like pollution)
will impact many future generations, it's a clear warning to think
beyond the moment.

> >> Or read the story of Lot and his family's escape from Sodm and
> >> Gomorrah. Not just the pillar of salt thing but his actions
> >> towards his daughters and their actions towards him.
> >
> > Kreepy and Kinky is all I can say on the matter. Yuck!

Yep.

> >> Abominations? Plenty of them in the Bible - like eating pork,
> >> or any scavengers (that means lobster too), or even rabbits.
> >>
> >> Who decides which abominations "modern Christians" have to avoid?
> >
> > I believe that the New Testament would be a good starting place. A lot
> > less of the thou shalts and shalt nots.

Yet the business about homosexuality being an abomination is straight
out
of the OT.

> > Except for the Sermon on the Mount, which is a number of direct
> > commands, most of which are routinely ignored by those who consider
> > themselves the most righteous!

What commands? The Sermon doesn't say "Thou shalt" or "Thou shalt not",
does it? Rather He explains how things work - the rewards for those
who follow His example.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2910. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 17:09:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118768971.479051.310740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110143

From: "Dee Flint" on Sun 12 Jun 2005 18:10

>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1118584479.670520.325030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>> Has the code exam been dropped yet? Might as well be all black or all
>> white because at the end of the day there are still people who support
>> the wall.
>
>There is no wall but what people create in their own minds.

There is no wall the deaf cannot hear through.

There is no wall that the blind cannot see through.

There is no wall that the paraplegic cannot walk through.

There is no wall that bars the physical being from doing
anything they dream of...

...in their DREAMS.

2911. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 17:12:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118769164.508958.10800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110144

From: Mike Coslo on Sun 12 Jun 2005 21:28

>John Smith wrote:
>> bb:
>>
>> Pray tell, you mean the "newer christians" can't read--so remain
>> ignorant to the passages which speak of wine and caution of consuming
>> too much? But, do encourage one to partake in a moderate fashion?
>>
>> Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the same way
>> gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an abomination?
>
> The "New Christians" also tore out the Sermon on the Mount pages.

There is ONLY the Sermon on the Antenna Mount.

The Reverend has extolled that. Code is holy, code is righteous,
thou shalt have a code test for all privileges below 30 MHz.

Yea, verily, thou shalt beep lest ye lose the holy spirit of
ham.

>> Yanno, we used to have mental heath facilities where there were able to
>> help these people...
>
> What was once insane is now required.

The first amateur license test did not require code testing.
Then the insanity began. It is only partly cured today.

Consider yourself a partly-cured ham.

2912. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 17:20:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <jlEre.145$jF1.12785774@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110147

... absolutely not... I work with young men who take great pride in
their ability to pass difficult tests with ease... who are thankful for
their gifted IQ's...

... but who see no reason to learn code... indeed, the courses which
they take, and their level of education make any amateur exam look
trivial...

Warmest regards,
John
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1118740914.316041.313390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...
>>
>> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when they
>> would
>> never use it...
>>
>> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not wish to,
>> they should question everything in sight... just as you began when
>> you
>> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
>>
>> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums straight...
>
> By the very nature of "testing" we are "forced" to do something we
> generally don't want to do.
>
> When I took my General, I had no intent on doing any RTTY operation
> yet had to know RTTY theory and practice.
>
> Good news is that now you don't HAVE to "learn" anything...Just
> memorize those quesitons and answers...Whish is what gives me a good
> laugh with the more arrogant NCT types (read that Lennie...)
>
> They are "OK" with open pools and memorized tests, but they hate
> memorized sounds...what's up with that? Sheesh...the FCC even delete
> the requirement to demonstrate SENDING the Code.
>
> There's no more work to memorizing the Code than there is to
> memorizing the written questions...Unless you have a VALID medical
> decicit.

>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2913. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 17:22:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <snEre.146$5R7.12507228@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110146

... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
educations...

... the cw part makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...

Warmest regards,
John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118766723.066374.252520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...
>
> A few anticode folks have stated they want Morse Code *use* by hams to
> end, not just the test. They are a small minority, but they do exist.
>
>> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when they
>> would
>> never use it...
>
> Who is "forced" to learn Morse Code?
>
> It's a requirement if someone wants an FCC-issued amateur license with
> HF privileges, that's all.
>
>> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not wish to,
>> they should question everything in sight... just as you began when
>> you
>> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
>
> The argument you present boils down to this: If someone doesn't want
> to
> use Morse Code in ham radio, they shouldn't be required to learn it
> just
> to pass a test (even a simple, basic test) to get a ham radio license.
> Those who choose to use it can learn it on their own.
>
> Is that about right?
>
> The problem is that the same argument can be made against almost
> everything
> in the written tests. For example, if someone doesn't intend to use
> certain
> bands, why are they forced to learn the band edges of every band their

> license allows? If someone doesn't intend to use more than a few watts
> of
> transmitted power, why must they learn all that RF exposure stuff?
> Indeed,
> if someone doesn't intend to homebrew, why are they *forced* to learn
> all
> that theory stuff?
>
> Sure, the written tests look easy to someone with a background in
> radio,
> electronics, computers or other related fields. But to someone from an
> unrelated field, they're not easy.
>
> Suppose you met a retired gentleman who had been a radioman in the
> military 50+ years ago. He'd always wanted to be a ham but never had
> the time or resources. Now he finds that ham radio still exists, and
> he wants in.
>
> The gent can still do code well, and remembers the basics of theory
> as it was 50+ years ago. He gets an HF receiver and listens to the
> lovely Morse Code signals on the low ends of the HF bands.
>
> But in order to join the folks on 7010 or 3520, he needs an Extra.
> And the written test is full of stuff he's never seen before, and
> that he will never use.
>
> Why must he learn all that stuff he will never use just to pass the
> tests?
>
> Sure, the stuff is easy for *you*, but not for *him*.
>>
>> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums straight...
>
> Let's see...
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:u%tre.699$yg4.231@news01.roc.ny...
>> >
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:KLnre.61$o_4.3292270@news.sisna.com...
>> >> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
>> >> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc. ancestors
>> >> used
>> >> to
>> >> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I wonder if
>> >> we
>> >> could bring those back to?

>
> Invalid analogy.
>
> Drums for communication aren't in wide use.
>
> Morse Code for communication is in wide use in ham radio. Doesn't
> need to be brought back because it's right here.
>
>> >> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy tempo
>> >> on
>> >> one
>> >> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
>> >> <evil-grin>
>> >>
>> >> Warmest regards,
>> >> John
>> >
>> >
>> > Perhaps, John
>> >
>> >
>> > But consider that some of us can send and receive cw faster than
>> > most
>> > folks can type.
>
> Yup.
>
>> > I know you may be good at "cut and paste", but that doesn't
>> > necessarily cut
>> > it LOL.
>> >
>> > Sure, voice appears faster, but when you get names and addresses
>> > that
>> > are
>> > hard to pronounce ....
>
> Bingo.
>
> For any message that needs to be written down, the speed limitation is
> usually the writing speed of the receiving op. The fact that someone
> can theoretically talks 150 wpm doesn't mean anything if the person
> on the receiving end can only write legibly at 15 wpm.
>
> Text modes are great if you have the hardware for them and if you
> are in a situation where you can look at a screen to read them.
>> >
>> > Not saying that CW is the best, but some folks better come up with
>> > something
>> > superior to AM and FM. There are a number of modes, but most folks
>> > want to

>> > "talk". That won't cut it for 85 watt moonbounce on 24 GHz.
>> >
>> > :)
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>> > ps - when I talk send and receive cw faster than some folks type,
>> > I'm
>> > not
>> > talking a nice, leisurely chat at 30 or 35 words per minute ...
>> >
> Yup.
>
> Good Morse ops can chat at speeds approaching those of voice ops
> because
> they use abbreviations and eliminate redundancies.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2914. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 17:40:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <yEEre.149$Bq2.12850314@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110145

N2Ey:

Oh my gawd. Just that simple huh?

Well, my gawd man, go explain that to the thieves, rapists, child molesters, and murders--we need that cure now!

Perhaps you can set up a clinic where you can demonstrate how well your theories will work...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:1118768187.435616.77160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Michael:
>>
>> It is weird, just to think it is the only book (well, there are a
>> couple
>> of more, most mention a "God") which stands between a lot more crime
>> and
>> immense degradation of society and not...
>>
>> ... as even I can see if there is no creator to answer to--then I am
>> free to murder anyone possessing great wealth and property and take
>> it
>> for myself...
>
>>
>> ... of course I still wouldn't do that--but just because I want to
>> "be a
>> nice guy." And, having studied human nature, I am afraid how long
>> that
>> alone could stop me... perhaps till there was ten million dollars on
>> the
>> table and I thought I could get away with it? <evil-grin>
>
> It's called "civilization", John. Also "mutual benefit".
>
> You don't need a Book to figure out that stealing is wrong, all you
> need
> is common sense. Theft does not create anything - only productive work
> does.
>

> A farmer does not need robbing bandits in order to live. But the
> bandits
> need the farmer - otherwise there's nothing for them to steal.
>
> A society composed solely of farmers and other producers can exist. A
> society composed solely of thieves cannot exist, because there would
> be nothing to steal, and they'd all starve.
>
>> I think drug dealers, extortionists, bank robbers, serial killers,
>> rapists, child molesters, etc. may have already figured this out
>> though...
>
> Nothing new about those kinds of folks - they have existed throughout
> history. The smart ones figure out how to do their thing without what
> they are doing becoming too apparent.
>
>> too bad really... looking back, the world did seem a quite a
>> bit safer when they were still living in "ignorance"...
>
> When was that?
>
> When people who didn't believe a certain way about a certain Book
> were tortured and killed for their beliefs?
>
> When wars were fought over interpretations of stories in a Book?
>
> When "believers" argued that it was morally acceptable for some
> people to literally own other people as property?
>
> When more than half the population was rendered legally inferior
> because of gender?
>
> (lots more examples...)
>
> IMHO, more wars, destruction, death and mayhem have been created by
> organized religious/ideological zealotry than any atheistic thief or
> tyrant could imagine.
>
> There's a limit to what a bank robber will do to rob a bank, because
> if the bank robber is killed or caught, his actions are obviously
> pointless because he doesn't get the reward (money).
>
> But there's no limit to what some religious zealots will do, because
> even if they are killed or caught, they think they will get the
> post-death reward, and there's no way to know if that's true or not.
>
>
>
>
>

>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:d8jt0r$17u8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> >
>> >
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> John Smith wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>bb:
>> >>>
>> >>>Or, have they torn those pages out of the bible, much in the
>> >>>same way
>> >>>gays have torn out the pages calling homosexuality an
>> >>>abomination?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Which pages of the Bible do we follow, John?
>> >>
>> >> Consider the first chapters of Genesis, where two different
>> >> creation stories are told. Both of them cannot be literally
>> >> true.
>> >
>> > Weird, eh? The OT has more contradictory stuff in it than just
>> > about
>> > anything I've ever read.
>
> Only if you take it to be *literally* true.
>
> Take Genesis, for example. The two creation stories contradict
> each other. I think that's intentional - it tells us *not* to
> take the stories literally. They're about ideas, rather than
> history.
>
> The idea that the descendants of Adam and Eve should be punished
> for a crime they didn't commit doesn't make any sense if you
> view it as a legal thing, like locking up someone today because
> her Great Great Granddad robbed a bank. But if you look at it
> from the standpoint that something people do today (like pollution)
> will impact many future generations, it's a clear warning to think
> beyond the moment.
>
>> >> Or read the story of Lot and his family's escape from Sodm and
>> >> Gomorrah. Not just the pillar of salt thing but his actions
>> >> towards his daughters and their actions towards him.
>> >
>> > Kreepy and Kinky is all I can say on the matter. Yuck!
>
> Yep.
>
>> >> Abominations? Plenty of them in the Bible - like eating pork,
>> >> or any scavengers (that means lobster too), or even rabbits.

>> >>
>> >> Who decides which abominations "modern Christians" have to avoid?
>> >
>> > I believe that the New Testament would be a good starting place. A
>> > lot
>> > less of the thou shalts and shalt nots.
>
> Yet the business about homosexuality being an abomination is straight
> out
> of the OT.
>
>> > Except for the Sermon on the Mount, which is a number of direct
>> > commands, most of which are routinely ignored by those who consider
>> > themselves the most righteous!
>
> What commands? The Sermon doesn't say "Thou shalt" or "Thou shalt
> not",
> does it? Rather He explains how things work - the rewards for those
> who follow His example.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2915. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 18:41:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KxFre.161$eD4.12972131@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110148

N2EY:

Well, if you are going to use cw, a code test should be administered, if not, none need be given... I certainly do not use cw... and no young guys I have helped into the hobby are going to be using it... in the future cw will go silent... that is inevitable...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:1118765284.373376.119010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> I think most of those groups progressive and open to change--this
>> alone
>> would make classification as a cult difficult...
>
> Try selling the NRA on the idea that the Second Amendment should be
> repealed.
>
> Try selling NCI on the idea that *some* code testing is OK.
>
>> However, the ARRL with un-moving devotion to its "principles" and the
>> staunch "unwillingness" to change is what makes it more appropriate
>> to
>> such classification...
>
> The ARRL is as "open to change" (if not more so) as any of the
> organizations named.
>
> Is devotion to principles a bad thing? Or are principles, traditions,
> and
> standards to be tossed aside merely because they're old?
>
>> rather bizarre really--when at its core is
>> technology--and a technology which is RAPIDLY changing and adapting
>> to
>> new discoveries, methods, devices, etc...
>
> Such as?
>
> The ARRL has been pushing for a revision of the rules to classify
> signals by bandwidth rather than content, and to free up old
> technical limitations. What other group has put forth such a
> proposal?

>
> While I don't agree with all the proposed revisions, the general
> concept is a valid one. Why should an FSK signal of 900 Hz bandwidth
> be
> permitted on a frequency because it's RTTY, but an FSK signal of
> 500 Hz bandwidth be prohibited from the same frequency because it's
> digitized voice?
>
> US amateur radio is and has long been wide open for new discoveries,
> methods, devices, etc.. Particularly on VHF/UHF, where there's lots of
> bandwidth. You cannot blame the license requirements for lack of
> innovation, because the requirements for full VHF/UHF privileges have
> included no code test and only a minimal written test for 14+ years.
>
> The real "cult" or "religion" to watch for is the mindset that all
> change is good, new is better than old, ending is better than
> mending, and similar marketing buzzphrases.
>
> That mindset is geared to three goals:
>
> - selling more product, regardless of whether it's really better
> - attracting investment capital
> - destroying the existing structure without an adequate replacement
>
> The boom-dot-bust mess of 2000 proves the game doesn't last forever.
>>
>> "new" and "remarkable" become "old" and "common" in only a matter of
>> months in this field...
>>
> Which field?
>
> Radio broadcasting in the USA uses AM (developed more than a century
> ago)
> and FM stereo multiplex (developed a half century ago). Satellite
> radio
> may
> cut into their market but it's a long way from replacing standard
> broadcasting.
>
> TV broadcasting is only now beginning the widespread change to HDTV,
> after a half-century of NTSC.
>
> In any technology, there is usually rapid progress when the technology
> is
> new, then as the technology matures, the changes become more
> evolutionary
> than revolutionary.
>
> Suppose FCC just dumps Element 1 tomorrow. Will we see a techno-
> revolution in ham radio? Not likely - it didn't happen after the Tech

> lost its code test.
>
>
>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1118709693.091616.217660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> N2Ey:
>> >>
>> >> I stand corrected, ARRL is NOT a valid religion...
>> >>
>> >> Nope, more of a cult actually--"Cult of the ARRL." <grin>
>> >
>> > Then the following are all cults, too:
>> >
>> > - Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts and similar organizations
>> > - US Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Coast Guard
>> > - AMA, ANA, and similar organizations
>> > - IEEE and similar organizations
>> > - No-Code International and similar organizations
>> >
>> > And many others.
>> >
>

2916. Re: 5'4" tall Woger is popular in Wheeling gay bars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 19:18:42 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050614191842.8BE8817064@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 110149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <8744017833.24858F8789@tarrnews.net>
"Your Great Hero Steve" <hero...@no.spam> wrote:
>
> On Sat, 11 Jun 2005 22:13:40 +0200 (CEST), Uber Loser <Secwet
Woger> wrote:
> > In article <87445017833.2458F8...@tarrnews.net>
> > "Your Great Hero Steve" <hero...@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> > > This used to really
> >
> > It used to really makes you pound your flabby fists on your
>
> "It used to really makes ...?" Very odd syntax, that. Must
be a
> colloquialism from Glendale.

Looks like a typo, since the "s" key is adjacent to the "e" key.
Maybe it isn't quite visible on your cum-encrusted keyboard,
baby raper.

> Ever since Schwartz got your DL info

Since D/L license is not available online to the public, how can
that be, dumbass?
Looks like Lardass is lying to you again.

> and people found out that you are
> 5'4" tall, you've been trying to change the subject.

Not. Since I'm taller than 5'4" you must have me confused with
your gay lover, Lloyd Davies.

> Tell us: Is your head flat and a good place to set down a beer?

Your bald headed before 21 butt buddy Lardass has the flat head.
His malformed skull looks like an Evinrude outboard motor boat
cover.

> You know what the Lavender Lads have to say about that.

No, you seem to be more knowledgeable about them. But then you
are a queer.
That is the reason Lardass Davies is a 31 year old virgin, after
all.

2917. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 19:18:42 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <m4Gre.4012$eM6.3266@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110150

robert casey wrote:
> I am highly suspicious of him climbing into bed with
>
>> young children!!!
>
>
> If MJ has any smarts he'll quit doing that. Okay
> if he wants to have kids over at his amusement park for
> rides, but not the bedroom.

Seems that he's doing just that, no more sleepovers:
<http://channels.netscape.com/ns/news/story.jsp?floc=FF-APO-1403&idq=/ff/story/0001/20050614/1407810112.htm&sc=1403>

I have no idea if he molested anyone or not, don't know
the guy and was never there.

BTW, he looked better when he was black....

2918. Re: K1MAN RECEIVES LARGE NAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 19:20:49 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <l6Gre.4013$eM6.3248@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110152

>
> Indeed...You have to go back to January 2005 to find an Amateur,
> and then it's that Gerritsen fool...The next one before that was that
> religious idiot, KC0OAB.
>
> Wadda pair.
>
Out of 600 thousand, that ain't bad....

2919. Re: Poor Saggytits Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 19:21:58 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <9OXD0XVL38518.1402546296@reece.net.au>
Source record: 110151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fuckhead.stagger.lee,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <d8gpkhrj0@pita.alt.net>
"Mona" <KiloWatt@anon> wrote:

> "imginary "
>
> That must be a new word that has not yet been included in the
latest
> dictionaries.
> Can anybody tell me the definition of it?

Looks like a typo, dumbassed cunt!

Is Mona just one of your "imaginary" friends, Lardass, or are
you embracing the fact you are a woman?

2920. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 21:11:13 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <b1e71f2cc5020f614c6f7d615ef20a2f@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 110153

In article <IaqdnWJeV9RepTPfRVn-oQ@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys The Missouri Pedophile
<m...@that.ten> whines:
>
> John Smith wrote:
> > Whacko Jacko walks! ... and, I almost feel I could hear
anger in the
> > jurys' decisions on all ten counts--anger directed towards
the
> > prosecution--will be interesting to hear some of the jurors
take on all
> > of this... still, I am highly suspicious of him climbing
into bed with
> > young children!!!
>
> >
> Did you see the lady juror who said she thought jackson was,
"just a
> normal person"? Only in California would it be considered
> 'normal' for a 40+ year old man to share his bed with young
boys.

Yet, you sleep with young boys all the time, you sick son of a
bitch.

> jackson is almost as looney as wogie wussman.
^^

Imaginary "pilot's license" boy makes good spell!

2921. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 21:15:39 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <atOdnRsiAcIPxDLfRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110160

George Orwell AKA two-test wogie staggered to the keyboard and blubbered again and wrote:

> Yet, you sleep with young boys all the time, you sick son of a
> bitch.
>
>
>>jackson is almost as looney as wogie wussman.
>
> ^^
>
> Imaginary "pilot's license" boy makes good spell!
>

Poor two-test wogie, can't get the idea of sleeping with young boys off his crack shattered mind. Those that continue to accuse others of something in a feeble attempt to take the attention away from themselves are usually the ones doing it themselves. Heard from the WV Child Protection Agency lately wogie?

2922. Re: Poor Saggytits Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 21:21:26 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <9aednXPna9qRxjLfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fuckhead.stagger.lee,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

Thrasher Remailer wrote:
> In article <d8gpkhrj0@pita.alt.net>
> "Mona" <KiloWatt@anon> wrote:
>
>
>>"imginary "
>>
>>That must be a new word that has not yet been included in the
>
> latest
>
>>dictionaries.
>>Can anybody tell me the definition of it?
>
>
> Looks like a typo, dumbassed cunt!
>
> Is Mona just one of your "imaginary" friends, Lardass, or are
> you embracing the fact you are a woman?
>
>

I guess all of the following are just wogie's typos.

" Stgop" Roger's version of Stop.
|
| "Fahter's" He meant Father's Day.
|
| "fserting"..would that be festering?
|
| "poists". Roger meant "posts".
|
| "snadwich" As in peanut butter and jelly?
|
| "regualr" Glen Dale rendition of "regular".
|
| "aftrer" After? Aftrer what?
|
| "soory". Sorry Roger mods up again.
|
| "didnb't" He didn't?
|
| "whoile". He ate the whoile thing?
|

| "Woamn" He's hooked on West Virginia phonics, all right.
|
| "prrof" And he kin prove it, too.
|
| "beforfe" Was that before he lurned to spell?
|
| "yoiuy" Roger's version of "y'all".
|
| "illerate" Only illiterate Roger can spell like this.
|
| "haeart" Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!
|
| "valule" Roger has no values. No wonder he can't spell it.
|
| "proch" Doesn't everyone have one on their house?
|
| "inmstitution" Where Roger's mom put him in June 1999.
|
| "timne" It's Howdy Doody time?
|
| "whgo" What? Who dat?
|
| "gnetically" Only Roger knows what this one means.
|
| "crfy" Like, cry, Roger, cry.
|
| "stutrtering" Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.
|
| "Whjere" Where is Curtain Boy?
|
| "Webiste" That's Website to the rest of us.
|
| "Youire" Your? You're? Who knows.
|
| "fiored" As in fired?
|
| "Parety" Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.
|
| "Bouynce" Bounce?
|
| "Varification" Verifiable mental case.
|
| "Probelms" Poor Rogie.has many problems of the mental sort.
|
| "Partricipate" Oh, DUH!!!!
|
| "Cryed" Gee, Wogie..cry me a river.you dolt!
|
| "Stoick" What a dork. His old 386 has no spell check program.
|

| "Partiall" More WV Phonics, I see.
|
| "Obsucred" Either obscured or Rogie has "sucre" on his mind.
|
| "Infinate" Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.
|
| "Sustinance" As in Sustenance?
|
| "Juvinile". This is the way Roger likes his boys.
|
| "Piut" Put it where, Rogie?
|
| "Toyus". "Toyus R Us?
|
| "Diminuative". Roger's height.

Nobody likes to play with stinky fatboy wussman.

2923. Re: 5'4" tall Woger is popular in Wheeling gay bars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 21:23:37 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <9aednXLna9o9xjLfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer AKA two-test wogie wussman wrote:

>
> Looks like a typo, since the "s" key is adjacent to the "e" key.
> Maybe it isn't quite visible on your cum-encrusted keyboard,
> baby raper.

More of poor two-test wogie's 'typos'. Yea, sure.

"imput" - Mongolloyd's brain has no "input"! Mongolloyd one stupid fat faggot.
"Hundred's" - Mongolloyd couldn't count to one much less "hundreds"
"dopesnt" - Mongolloyd "doesn't" have a command of the English language!
"Wait till" - Mongolloyd we will "wait until" you compose a coherent sentence, but it will be a long wait.
"We wn't" - Lardass Davies, you should mod off with your stupidity so "we won't" see it ever again.
"Woger is now awoke" - Mongolloyd is never "awake."
"is too stupid to under awoke" - oh the irony, Mongolloyd!
"I mods my pants" - Mongoolloyd sure does and eats it, too!
"treasing" - Mongolloyd likes "teasing" mod mods with his syphilitic tongue.
"It's being TOS even" -Mongolloyd the only thing you TOS is your tiny mod!
"peoples" - Mongolloyd always steals other "people's" lines!
"I really wish Steve wasn't suck a mod and would come back to this board" - Mongolloyd makes good moded up sentence!
Mongolloyd made a Freudian slip when he used "suck" instead of "such".
"I think it is long since past time when you closed this modty board!" -Mongolloyd smoke bad weed!
"wraped" - Mongolloyd has frequently "wrapped" his syphilitic tongue around a mod's mod!
"hig" Who knows what the Athens mod means?????
"teir" - Mongolloyd is Belle Plaine's "Forrest Gump," As to his retarded peers, he's "their" Camp-We-Can-Do's star pupil.

2924. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 21:32:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <22Ire.4078$eM6.3223@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110154

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118706947.788651.102940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1118613474.763744.291920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Oddly enough, much of the advancement in ham rigs has
>> > come about because of contesting.
>> >
>> > For example, one of the first uses of computers in ham
>> > radio was their use in logkeeping. I think one of the
>> > university stations gets credit for that, using the
>> > U's mainframe to dupe and print out their SS logs
>> > circa 1968.
>> >
>> > The improvements in receiver sections to have better
>> > dynamic range, phase noise, and filtering is, IMHO,
>> > largely driven by the contest/DX folks. And primarily
>> > the big guns, who would plunk down big bucks for a
>> > top-of-the-line rig if it gave them an advantage.
>> >
>> > Then, of course, the technology that first appeared in
>> > the top-of-the-line rigs worked its way into less expensive
>> > ones. So we all benefit.
>> >
>> > (makes me wonder if Hans has his IC-7800 yet...)
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Stop picking on Hans,
>
> Who's picking on Hans? If there's anybody who can get the
> most out of an IC-7800, and deserves to be using one, it's
> K0HB. I'm just wondering if he's got one yet.
>
>> I hear his...well at least his call, real regular in
>> the contests. <g>
>
> I've worked you and he in SS many, many times. Thanks for
> the points and AL, btw.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
My pleasure....same back to you.

Dan/W4NTI

2925. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 21:35:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <N4Ire.4080$eM6.1106@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110155

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:%7sre.3907$VK4.533@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
>> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc. ancestors used to
>> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I wonder if we could
>> bring those back to?
>>
>> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy tempo on one
>> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
>> <evil-grin>
>>
>
> Imagine the drum DX contests those people in the
> jungle used to have. :-) Though everyone had
> only one air "channel" to share. Must have been
> some bad QRM at times....

Similar to "spark" and coher detectors, eh?

Dan/W4NTI

2926. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 21:39:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118785142.906955.91980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110156

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun 12 Jun 2005 18:10

> >"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >news:1118584479.670520.325030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >> Has the code exam been dropped yet? Might as well be all black or all
> >> white because at the end of the day there are still people who support
> >> the wall.
> >
> >There is no wall but what people create in their own minds.
>
> There is no wall the deaf cannot hear through.

Deaf people have passed the code test.

> There is no wall that the blind cannot see through.

Blind people have passed the code test.

> There is no wall that the paraplegic cannot walk through.

Paraplegic people have passed the code test.

> There is no wall that bars the physical being from doing
> anything they dream of...

> ...in their DREAMS.

All purposeful action starts with an idea - a dream, as it were.
First the idea, then the actions to make the idea become
a reality.

The person who will not allow him/herself to believe they can do
something is already defeated.

The current Morse Code test in the USA requires the correct
recognition of 25 consecutive Morse Code symbols, or correct
answers to 7 out of 10 fill-in-the-blank questions based on
5 minutes of Morse Code text. The entire text used for the
test consists of no more than 125 Morse Code symbols
transmitted in no less than 5 minutes.

This test has been compared to learning to recognize 41 words
of a foreign language, which is a fair analogy.

It seems incredible that such a simple test of such a basic
radio communication skill would be the cause of so much
controversy and acrimony from those opposed to it.

2927. K1MAN The crap has hit the fan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 21:43:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <rcIre.4085$eM6.302@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110157

Condensed version:

This $21,000 fine is for the apparent transmission of willful and repeated interference;
willful and repeated communications in which he had a pecuniary interest;
willful and repeated failure to file requested information pursuant to an Enforcement Bureau directive; willful broadcasting; and willful failure to exercise control of his station.

Full Text:

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of
File No. EB-04-BS-111
Glenn A. Baxter NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
RR 1 Box 776 FRN 0013164975
Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918

Licensee of Amateur Radio
Station K1MAN

NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE

Released: June 7, 2005

By the District Director, Boston Office, Northeastern Region,
Enforcement Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. In this Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture (``NAL''), pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the ``Act''), we find Glenn A. Baxter, licensee of Amateur Radio Station K1MAN, apparently liable for a monetary forfeiture in the amount of twenty-one thousand dollars ($21,000). We conclude that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly interfered with ongoing communications of other stations in violation of Section 97.101(d) of the Commission's Rules (the ``Rules'')2. We further conclude that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly transmitted communications in which he had a pecuniary interest in violation of Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules. We find that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly failed to file requested information pursuant to an Enforcement Bureau (``Bureau'') directive. We further find that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully engaged in broadcasting in violation of 97.113(b) of the Rules3 and

apparently willfully failed to exercise control of his station in violation of Section 97.105(a) of the Rules.4

II. BACKGROUND

2. Mr. Baxter has a license to operate an amateur radio station, call sign K1MAN. Mr. Baxter also is executive director of the American Amateur Radio Association (``AARA''), which has a website at www.K1MAN.com. Mr. Baxter uses his amateur station to advertise his website, which offers items for sale, including an annual newsletter published by ``Glenn Baxter, K1MAN'' for forty-five (45) dollars per year. The website also provides a schedule of K1MAN radio transmissions.

3. In response to numerous complaints of deliberate interference caused by transmissions from Mr. Baxter's Amateur station K1MAN to ongoing radio communications of other stations, including stations participating in the Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio Net, the Bureau issued a Warning Notice to Mr. Baxter on September 15, 2004. The Bureau requested information from Mr. Baxter with regard to the method of station control and what action, if any, was being taken in response to the complaints of interference.5 The Warning Notice also reminded Mr. Baxter that the Bureau previously warned him in a letter dated April 14, 2004 that enforcement action would be taken if he failed to correct the deliberate interference being caused by his station.6 The April 14, 2004 letter also cautioned Mr. Baxter that if he continued to use the station for pecuniary interest by advertising his website he could be subject to further enforcement action.

4. By letter dated October 14, 2004, Mr. Baxter responded to the September 15, 2004 Warning Notice stating ``[n]o corrective actions are necessary at K1MAN'' and ``[n]o changes are needed with regard to station control which is in full compliance with all FCC rules.'' Mr. Baxter's letter further stated that ``K1MAN is in full compliance with all FCC rules, state laws, and federal laws. I encourage you to take `enforcement actions' and look forward to seeing you in court (s).''7 Mr. Baxter's response did not provide the required information requested regarding station control.

5. The Bureau issued a second Warning Notice to Mr. Baxter on October 29, 2004.8 The Warning Notice explained that Mr. Baxter's response to the September 15, 2004, Warning Notice was insufficient and explained Mr. Baxter's obligations as a licensee to furnish the information requested by the Bureau. The Bureau provided Mr. Baxter an additional twenty days to provide the specific information requested. The Warning

Notice also indicated that the Bureau had received two additional complaints of deliberate interference caused by Mr. Baxter's station. The Bureau requested information from Mr. Baxter regarding the identity of the control operator and method of station control for station K1MAN on the dates and times specified in the recently-received interference complaints.

6. Mr. Baxter responded by letter dated November 2, 2004, stating that ``[m]y letter to you dated 14 October 2004 in response to your letter to me dated 15 September 2004 provided all the information required by FCC rules and by federal law.''9 Mr. Baxter did not provide any information regarding the identity of the control operator or the method of station control.

7. On November 25, 2004, Commission personnel monitored Mr. Baxter's Amateur station on 14.275 MHz between 9:21 a.m. and 2:12 p.m. EST. During that time, Mr. Baxter's station transmitted numerous on-the-air references to his web page at www.K1MAN.com. On November 27, 2004, Mr. Baxter's Amateur station K1MAN began transmitting on top of ongoing communications at 5:54 p.m. EST on 3.890 MHz, disrupting the communications by the other licensees.

8. On November 30, 2004, agents from the FCC's Boston Office conducted an inspection of Mr. Baxter's Amateur station K1MAN. The method of station control appeared to be a telephone line connected to an interface board, which was connected to the transmitter. Mr. Baxter claimed that he monitored the station from a mobile receiver when not at the transmitter and that he could control the transmitter through a land-line or cellular phone. During the inspection, Mr. Baxter demonstrated that he could control the transmitter.

9. On December 1, 2004, on the frequencies 3.975 MHz and 14.275 MHz, Mr. Baxter's station K1MAN transmitted a pre-recorded program lasting nearly seventy minutes, which consisted of an interview by Mr. Baxter with Mr. Jeff Owens. During the broadcast, Mr. Baxter explained that Baxter Associates was a firm that engaged in ``management consulting, executive search and executive career management.'' The program consisted of a lengthy broadcast of the telephone interview with Mr. Owens. Mr. Baxter explained the fees involved, how Mr. Owens could invest in franchises of Baxter Associates, and how Mr. Baxter planned to market the franchises of Baxter Associates. Nothing in the program related to Amateur radio and no station call sign was given until the conclusion of the seventy-minute program.

10. On December 8, 2004, FCC agents found that Baxter's station K1MAN commenced transmitting at 7:10 p.m. EST on top of existing radio communications on 3.890 MHz. On December 19, 2004, from 5:44 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. EST, on frequency 3.975 MHz, Mr. Baxter's Amateur station K1MAN broadcast transmissions of an apparently defective pre-recorded audio tape, which resulted in the repeated transmission of a nine-word phrase, and segments thereof, without any intervention of a control operator and without the identification of the station's call sign. Mr. Baxter's Amateur station went off the air abruptly at 6:30 p.m. EST in mid-sentence.

11. On March 30, 2005, monitoring personnel observed station K1MAN advertising the www.K1MAN.com web site at approximately 7:19, 7:28, 7:33 and 8:05 P.M. EST on 3.890 MHz. On March 31, 2005, at 7:28 P.M. EST, monitoring personnel observed transmissions from station K1MAN begin on top of existing communications on 3.890 MHz.

III. DISCUSSION

12. Section 503(b) of the Act provides that any person who willfully or repeatedly fails to comply substantially with the terms and conditions of any license, or willfully or repeatedly fails to comply with any of the provisions of the Act or of any rule, regulation or order issued by the Commission there under, shall be liable for a forfeiture penalty. The term "willful" as used in Section 503(b) of the Act has been interpreted to mean simply that the acts or omissions are committed knowingly.10 The term ``repeated'' means the commission or omission of such act more than once or for more than one day.11

13. Section 97.101(d) of the Rules states that ``[n]o amateur operator shall willfully or maliciously interfere with or cause interference to any radio communication or signal.''12 On November 27, 2004, December 8, 2004, and March 31, 2005, Baxter's Amateur station K1MAN commenced transmitting on top of existing communications on 3.890 MHz in apparent willful and repeated violation of 97.101(d) of the Commission's rules.

14. Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules prohibits an Amateur station from transmitting any communications in which the station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary interest. On November 25, 2004 and March 30, 2005, Mr. Baxter's station repeatedly transmitted references to his website, which offers various products for sale, including a monthly newsletter published by Glenn Baxter and offered for sale for forty-five dollars per year. In addition, on December 1, 2004, Station K1MAN transmitted a seventy-minute interview with a person who was considering whether to retain Baxter Associates, an employment-search firm owned by

Mr. Baxter. During the transmission, Mr. Baxter discussed fees, investments, and franchising opportunities. We find that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly violated Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules on each of these occasions by transmitting communications regarding matters in which he has a pecuniary interest.

15. Section 308(b) of the Act provides that the Commission ``during the term of any (such) licenses, may require from ...a licensee further written statements of fact to enable it to determine whether such original application should be granted or denied or such license revoked . . . .''13 Although Mr. Baxter replied in part to the Bureau's demand for information in the Warning Notices dated September 15, 2004 and October 29, 2004, Mr. Baxter failed to provide information regarding how the station is controlled and the identity of the control operator. Mr. Baxter's statements that ``[n]o corrective actions are necessary'' and ``[n]o changes are needed with regard to station control'' are insufficient. We therefore conclude that Glenn A. Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly failed to comply with a Bureau directive to file information regarding control of Station K1MAN.

16. Section 97.113(b) of the Rules prohibits, with limited exceptions not applicable here, an Amateur station from engaging in any form of broadcasting or transmitting one-way transmissions. Section 97.3(a)(10) of the Rules defines broadcasting as ``transmissions intended for reception by the general public.'' 14 We find that the pre-recorded seventy-minute interview with a person interested in retaining Baxter Associates, during which there was no station identification, constitutes a ``broadcast'' and an impermissible one-way transmission. Therefore, Mr. Baxter apparently willfully violated Section 97.113(b) of the Rules.

17. Section 97.105(a) of the Rules provides that the control operator must ensure the proper operation of the station.15 On December 19, 2004, station K1MAN repeated the same pre-recorded phrase, and segments thereof, for 45 minutes on 3.975 MHz after which the transmissions ended abruptly in mid-sentence without the station identification required by Section 97.119(a) of the Rules.16 The continuous transmissions of the same pre-recorded phrase and segments thereof, and the abrupt ending of those transmissions in mid-sentence without identification, suggests that Mr. Baxter did not exercise control of his station. We conclude that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully violated Section 97.105(a) of the Rules.

18. Pursuant to The Commission's Forfeiture Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Rules to Incorporate the Forfeiture Guidelines ("Forfeiture Policy Statement"), and Section 1.80 of the Rules, the base forfeiture amount is $7,000 for willful or malicious interference, $3,000 for failure to file required information, and $3,000 for violation of transmitter control. 17 There are no base forfeiture amounts for violations of the rules prohibiting broadcasting or pecuniary interest in Part 97 of the Commission's rules. We conclude, however, that violations of the Part 97 rules prohibiting broadcasting and the transmission of any communication in which the operator has a pecuniary interest are similar to violations of the Commission's requirements pertaining to broadcasting of lotteries and contests, which carry a base forfeiture amount of $4,000 for each such violation.18 In assessing the monetary forfeiture amount, we must also take into account the statutory factors set forth in Section 503(b)(2)(D) of the Act, which include the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violations, and with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, and history of prior offenses, ability to pay, and other such matters as justice may require.19 Applying the Forfeiture Policy Statement, Section 1.80, and the statutory factors, a $21,000 forfeiture is warranted.

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

19. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended,20 and Section 1.80 of the Commission's Rules,21 Glenn A. Baxter, is hereby NOTIFIED of this APPARENT LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE in the amount of twenty-one thousand dollars ($21,000) for willfully and repeatedly failing to furnish information requested by the Bureau, willful and repeated violation of Section 97.101(d) of the Rules, willful violation of Section 97.105(a) of the Rules, willful and repeated violation of Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules, and willful violation of Section 97.113(b) of the Rules.

20. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 1.80 of the Commission's Rules, within thirty (30) days of the release of this NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY, Mr. Baxter SHALL PAY the full amount of the proposed forfeiture or SHALL FILE a written statement seeking reduction or cancellation of the proposed forfeiture.

21. Payment of the forfeiture must be made by check or similar instrument, payable to the order of the Federal Communications Commission. The payment must include the NAL/Acct. No. and FRN No. referenced above. Payment by check or money order may be mailed to Federal

Communications Commission, P.O. Box 358340, Pittsburgh, PA 15251-8340. Payment by overnight mail may be sent to Mellon Bank /LB 358340, 500 Ross Street, Room 1540670, Pittsburgh, PA 15251. Payment by wire transfer may be made to ABA Number 043000261, receiving bank Mellon Bank, and account number 911-6106.

22. The response, if any, must be mailed to Federal Communications Commission, Enforcement Bureau, Northeast Region, Boston Office, 1 Batterymarch Park, Quincy, MA 02169-7448 within thirty (30) days from the release date of this Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture and must include the NAL/Acct. No. referenced in the caption.

23. The Commission will not consider reducing or canceling a forfeiture in response to a claim of inability to pay unless the petitioner submits: (1) federal tax returns for the most recent three-year period; (2) financial statements prepared according to generally accepted accounting practices ("GAAP"); or (3) some other reliable and objective documentation that accurately reflects the petitioner's current financial status. Any claim of inability to pay must specifically identify the basis for the claim by reference to the financial documentation submitted.

24. Requests for payment of the full amount of this Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture under an installment plan should be sent to: Chief, Revenue and Receivables Operations Group, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.22

25. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this NAL shall be sent by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, and regular mail, to Glenn A. Baxter, at his address of record.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION

Dennis V. Loria
District Director
Boston Office
Northeastern Region
Enforcement Bureau

---

147 U.S.C. §503(b).
247 C.F.R.§ 97.101(d).
347 C.F.R. §§ 97.113(a)(3), §97.113(b).
447 C.F.R. §97.105(a).
5Letter from W. Riley Hollingsworth, Special Counsel, Enforcement Bureau, September 15, 2004.
6The April 14, 2004 letter was a follow-up to letters dated

January 29, 2002 and March 4, 2003. In the January 29, 2002 letter, the Bureau detailed numerous complaints that were received alleging rule violations by Mr. Baxter's station. The letter advised Mr. Baxter about the Commission's rules regarding interference, station control, broadcasting, and pecuniary interest. The March 4, 2003 letter advised Mr. Baxter that the Bureau continued to receive complaints about his station's operation, indicating that he had not corrected the problems outlined in the January 29, 2002 letter.

7Letter from Glenn A. Baxter, October 14, 2004.

8Letter from W. Riley Hollingsworth, Special Counsel, Enforcement Bureau, October 29, 2004.

9Letter from Glenn A. Baxter, November 2, 2004.

10Section 312(f)(1) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 312(f)(1), which applies to violations for which forfeitures are assessed under Section 503(b) of the Act, provides that "[t]he term 'willful', when used with reference to the commission or omission of any act, means the conscious and deliberate commission or omission of such act, irrespective of any intent to violate any provision of this Act or any rule or regulation of the Commission authorized by this Act...." See Southern California Broadcasting Co., 6 FCC Rcd 4387 (1991).

11Section 312(f)(2) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 312(f)(2), which also applies to violations for which forfeitures are assessed under Section 503(b) of the Act, provides that "[t]he term 'repeated', when used with reference to the commission or omission of any act, means the commission or omission of such act more than once or, if such commission or omission is continuous, for more than one day."

12Section 97.101(b) provides that each station licensee must cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and in making the most effective use of the amateur service frequencies. The rule further provides that no frequency will be assigned for the exclusive use of any station. See 47 C.F.R. § 97.101(b). Because amateur radio station licensees share frequencies, the prohibition against willful or malicious interference is essential to the viability of amateur radio.

1347 U.S.C. § 308(b).

1447 C.F.R. §97.3(a)(10).

1547 C.F.R. § 97.105. Section 97.7 of the Rules requires each Amateur station to have a control operator when transmitting. 47 C.F.R. § 97.7. Pursuant to Sections 97.103(a) and 97.103(b), the station licensee is responsible for the proper operation of the station in accordance with the FCC rules, and the FCC will presume that the station licensee is also the control operator, unless documentation to the contrary is in the station records. Mr. Baxter is the licensee of Station K1MAN and there is no evidence in the Commission's records that Mr. Baxter has designated another control operator.

1647 C.F.R. ¬97.119.
1712 FCC Rcd 17087 (1997), recon. denied, 15 FCC Rcd 303 (1999);
47 C.F.R. ¬1.80.
18Id.
1947 U.S.C. ¬ 503(b)(2)(D).
2047 U.S.C. ¬ 503(b).
2147 C.F.R. ¬ 1.80.
2247 C.F.R. ¬ 1.1914.

2928. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 21:49:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118785781.119633.267970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110158

John Smith wrote:
> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
> educations...

What about women with real educations?

Would you consider someone with a BSEE from the University of
Pennsylvania and an MSEE from Drexel University to have
"a real education"?
>
> ... the cw part

Is an amateur test. And is a trivial problem to people with
real educations..

> makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...

Then why require someone with no interest in VHF-UHF to learn
those techniques in order to operate on HF? Why require
knowedge of FSK, PSK and other data modes to operate voice?
Why require knowledge of transistors and ICs to operate
vacuum-tube equipment?

IOW, why require anyone to learn anything about a subject they
are not interested in, just to get a license to do the things
they *are* interested in?

--

Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".

In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
it so much.

--

> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118766723.066374.252520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> > John Smith wrote:
> >> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...
> >
> > A few anticode folks have stated they want Morse Code *use* by hams to
> > end, not just the test. They are a small minority, but they do exist.
> >
> >> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when they
> >> would
> >> never use it...
> >
> > Who is "forced" to learn Morse Code?
> >
> > It's a requirement if someone wants an FCC-issued amateur license with
> > HF privileges, that's all.
> >
> >> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not wish to,
> >> they should question everything in sight... just as you began when
> >> you
> >> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
> >
> > The argument you present boils down to this: If someone doesn't want
> > to
> > use Morse Code in ham radio, they shouldn't be required to learn it
> > just
> > to pass a test (even a simple, basic test) to get a ham radio license.
> > Those who choose to use it can learn it on their own.
> >
> > Is that about right?
> >
> > The problem is that the same argument can be made against almost
> > everything
> > in the written tests. For example, if someone doesn't intend to use
> > certain
> > bands, why are they forced to learn the band edges of every band their
> > license allows? If someone doesn't intend to use more than a few watts
> > of
> > transmitted power, why must they learn all that RF exposure stuff?
> > Indeed,
> > if someone doesn't intend to homebrew, why are they *forced* to learn
> > all
> > that theory stuff?
> >
> > Sure, the written tests look easy to someone with a background in
> > radio,
> > electronics, computers or other related fields. But to someone from an
> > unrelated field, they're not easy.
> >
> > Suppose you met a retired gentleman who had been a radioman in the
> > military 50+ years ago. He'd always wanted to be a ham but never had
> > the time or resources. Now he finds that ham radio still exists, and

> > he wants in.
> >
> > The gent can still do code well, and remembers the basics of theory
> > as it was 50+ years ago. He gets an HF receiver and listens to the
> > lovely Morse Code signals on the low ends of the HF bands.
> >
> > But in order to join the folks on 7010 or 3520, he needs an Extra.
> > And the written test is full of stuff he's never seen before, and
> > that he will never use.
> >
> > Why must he learn all that stuff he will never use just to pass the
> > tests?
> >
> > Sure, the stuff is easy for *you*, but not for *him*.
> >>
> >> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums straight...
> >
> > Let's see...
> >>
> >> Warmest regards,
> >> John
> >>
> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> >> news:u%tre.699$yg4.231@news01.roc.ny...
> >> >
> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:KLnre.61$o_4.3292270@news.sisna.com...
> >> >> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
> >> >> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc. ancestors
> >> >> used
> >> >> to
> >> >> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I wonder if
> >> >> we
> >> >> could bring those back to?
> >> >
> >> > Invalid analogy.
> >> >
> >> > Drums for communication aren't in wide use.
> >> >
> >> > Morse Code for communication is in wide use in ham radio. Doesn't
> >> > need to be brought back because it's right here.
> >> >
> >> >> >> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy tempo
> >> >> >> on
> >> >> >> one
> >> >> >> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
> >> >> >> <evil-grin>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Warmest regards,
> >> >> >> John

> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Perhaps, John
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > But consider that some of us can send and receive cw faster than
> >> > most
> >> > folks can type.
> >
> > Yup.
> >
> >> > I know you may be good at "cut and paste", but that doesn't
> >> > necessarily cut
> >> > it LOL.
> >> >
> >> > Sure, voice appears faster, but when you get names and addresses
> >> > that
> >> > are
> >> > hard to pronounce ....
> >
> > Bingo.
> >
> > For any message that needs to be written down, the speed limitation is
> > usually the writing speed of the receiving op. The fact that someone
> > can theoretically talks 150 wpm doesn't mean anything if the person
> > on the receiving end can only write legibly at 15 wpm.
> >
> > Text modes are great if you have the hardware for them and if you
> > are in a situation where you can look at a screen to read them.
> >> >
> >> > Not saying that CW is the best, but some folks better come up with
> >> > something
> >> > superior to AM and FM. There are a number of modes, but most folks
> >> > want to
> >> > "talk". That won't cut it for 85 watt moonbounce on 24 GHz.
> >> >
> >> > :)
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> >> > Jim AA2QA
> >> > ps - when I talk send and receive cw faster than some folks type,
> >> > I'm
> >> > not
> >> > talking a nice, leisurely chat at 30 or 35 words per minute ...
> >> >
> > Yup.
> >
> > Good Morse ops can chat at speeds approaching those of voice ops
> > because

> > they use abbreviations and eliminate redundancies.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

2929. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 21:56:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118786169.563988.276960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110159

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> Well, if you are going to use cw, a code test should be administered, if
> not, none need be given...

Apply that same argument to ssb...and AM...and FSK...and VHF/UHF.

Apply it to the VE rules, band edges, and almost any other regulations.

If your argument is valid for the use of code, then it's valid for the
use of almost everything else in amateur radio.

> I certainly do not use cw...

So? I certainly do not use much of what I had to learn to get
my license. But I learned it just the same. Was that wrong?

> and no young
> guys I have helped into the hobby are going to be using it...

What if they do? What if they discover, on their own, how much
fun it is, despite your efforts otherwise?

> in the future cw will go silent... that is inevitable...

In the future all modes will go silent.

It seems to me, John, that you do not answer questions nor
engage in dialogue, but simply repeat the same basic
mantras. Some could call that "cult-like behaviour".

Is there a reason for your top-posting and non-answers?

Just curious

>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118765284.373376.119010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> I think most of those groups progressive and open to change--this
> >> alone
> >> would make classification as a cult difficult...
> >
> > Try selling the NRA on the idea that the Second Amendment should be
> > repealed.
> >

> > Try selling NCI on the idea that *some* code testing is OK.
> >
> >> However, the ARRL with un-moving devotion to its "principles" and the
> >> staunch "unwillingness" to change is what makes it more appropriate
> >> to
> >> such classification...
> >
> > The ARRL is as "open to change" (if not more so) as any of the
> > organizations named.
> >
> > Is devotion to principles a bad thing? Or are principles, traditions,
> > and
> > standards to be tossed aside merely because they're old?
> >
> >> rather bizarre really--when at its core is
> >> technology--and a technology which is RAPIDLY changing and adapting
> >> to
> >> new discoveries, methods, devices, etc...
> >
> > Such as?
> >
> > The ARRL has been pushing for a revision of the rules to classify
> > signals by bandwidth rather than content, and to free up old
> > technical limitations. What other group has put forth such a
> > proposal?
> >
> > While I don't agree with all the proposed revisions, the general
> > concept is a valid one. Why should an FSK signal of 900 Hz bandwidth
> > be
> > permitted on a frequency because it's RTTY, but an FSK signal of
> > 500 Hz bandwidth be prohibited from the same frequency because it's
> > digitized voice?
> >
> > US amateur radio is and has long been wide open for new discoveries,
> > methods, devices, etc.. Particularly on VHF/UHF, where there's lots of
> > bandwidth. You cannot blame the license requirements for lack of
> > innovation, because the requirements for full VHF/UHF privileges have
> > included no code test and only a minimal written test for 14+ years.
> >
> > The real "cult" or "religion" to watch for is the mindset that all
> > change is good, new is better than old, ending is better than
> > mending, and similar marketing buzzphrases.
> >
> > That mindset is geared to three goals:
> >
> > - selling more product, regardless of whether it's really better
> > - attracting investment capital
> > - destroying the existing structure without an adequate replacement
> >
> > The boom-dot-bust mess of 2000 proves the game doesn't last forever.

> >>
> >> "new" and "remarkable" become "old" and "common" in only a matter of
> >> months in this field...
> >>
> > Which field?
> >
> > Radio broadcasting in the USA uses AM (developed more than a century
> > ago)
> > and FM stereo multiplex (developed a half century ago). Satellite
> > radio
> > may
> > cut into their market but it's a long way from replacing standard
> > broadcasting.
> >
> > TV broadcasting is only now beginning the widespread change to HDTV,
> > after a half-century of NTSC.
> >
> > In any technology, there is usually rapid progress when the technology
> > is
> > new, then as the technology matures, the changes become more
> > evolutionary
> > than revolutionary.
> >
> > Suppose FCC just dumps Element 1 tomorrow. Will we see a techno-
> > revolution in ham radio? Not likely - it didn't happen after the Tech
> > lost its code test.
> >
> >
> >
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1118709693.091616.217660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > John Smith wrote:
> >> >> N2Ey:
> >> >>
> >> >> I stand corrected, ARRL is NOT a valid religion...
> >> >>
> >> >> Nope, more of a cult actually--"Cult of the ARRL." <grin>
> >> >
> >> > Then the following are all cults, too:
> >> >
> >> > - Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts and similar organizations
> >> > - US Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Coast Guard
> >> > - AMA, ANA, and similar organizations
> >> > - IEEE and similar organizations
> >> > - No-Code International and similar organizations
> >> >
> >> > And many others.
> >> >
> >

2930. "Typos", Wogie???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-14 23:11:41 UTC
From: Lloyd 2 <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <d8no6b$6h7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110163
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This is a small compilation of words that Not Wogie seemes to have difficulty with. These are actual errors made by AB8MQ. There was no need to exaggerate.

There are too many misspelled words for Wogie to blame them all on typographical errors.

>.....
.....

"imginary" Hey, Rog. Another typo?

"asck" Sack or ask?

"Ultimte" Hooked on Phonics?

"greade" First or Second?

"attentiopn" Hooked on phonics, are we?

"copuple" Damn. Lloyd moved my keys around again.

"licvense" What Riley makes you retest for.

"havfe" Half? Halve? Have? Who knows.

"Baout" Bout? About?

"unsubstantiateed" It gets better.

"pompousity" Oops! Darn that keyboard, anyway!

"suergery" That is Devry for ya.

"aditting" Darn those typos!

"abckpedals" His greasy hair got in his eyes again

"shreeched" Wasn't he the guy in a sit com? Screech?

"stuid" Pot calling the kettle black?

"heared" That's West Virginia for heard.

"assuslted" Rog just makes em' up as he goes along.

"experieince" Oh, DUH!

"abouve" Is this a French word?

"Cosast" Some place by a body of water?

"mebership", Just a typo, right?

"retqards" Regards or Retards? Who can tell?

"coordinbator" Huh?

"Realy" Really?

"Nitoiced" Keyboard error or stupidity?

Becasue

Licence

Convienince

Myabe

"occurance" Say what?

"srtuggling"

"definatrely"

"delapidated" What a dufus.

"picutre"

"permenatly" Ebonics again?

"Arest" You need a rest, Wogie.

"Sentenceing" No comment.

"Narcotices" What?

"Undre" Is this a city in Germany?

"Surgeoun" And this would be?

"Commuications" Who is laughing at who?

"Ovre" Ovre and out!

"Wondreful" It's a "wondreful" day in the neighborhood?

"Derivitave" Still no spell-checker, eh?

"You lose, shit for brians." Woger showing who real loser is.

"Deisowned" Deis what?

"Deeppest" Roger strikes again.

"Dodgeing". Is he talking about a car?

"Staedy" Steady?

"Linme" Transmission line?

"Getr" Nobody knows.

"Experieince" Wogie is good, ain't he?

"Appropiate" What kind of opiate?

"Laywer" Lay what?

"Visitpors" You never have any, ergo you don't know how to spell it.

"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling complainant.)

"Irreevant" Good going, Wogie.

"Forwared" Forward HO!

"Glady" Glad Bags?

"Neighborhod" It's a wonderful day in Wogie's neighborhood.

"Mena" Mean? What you mean, Wogie?

"Notr" Not?

"Sassifyed" ALA Rolling Stones.No Sassifaction..

"Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman.

"Illarate" West BY GOD Virginny!

"Reveresed" Must be one of those off-brand keyboards.

"Soem".as in Some? What a loser.

"VIRIN".What Wogie was before he met the Lavender Lads.

"Minisule".he mussa meant miniscule. Like his IQ.

"Champoin".Whassup, Wiseman? No dictionaries in the mental ward?

"Anoinymous"...a cross between anonymous and annoying?

"Acident" Roger's mom got preggers by accident.

"Alreaedy" Alright, already!

"Amouints" Roger amounts to nothing.

"Aposterphe" This guy is a hoot, ain't he?

"Basios"...word Woger uses when he gets neeeerrrrvous.
"Behing"...a cross between being and behind?

"Coiward" As in peeking from behind his mommy's curtains.
"Feamle"...I think he meant female.
"Losser"...only loser Roger can do it better.
"Ignroant"...typical, considering the source.
"Sluprs"...only Rogie knows what this means.

"Travelled"...a Rogerism, replete with ignorance.
"Postss"...pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
"Defgenerate"...well, it's Roger's word...
"Faity"...he meant to say Fairy, but you know Roger.

"Ghet" Get a load of Curtain Boy!
"Distribiution"...Roger likes using phonics.
"Biotch"...he meant Bitch, but his phonics took over again.
"Methjadone"...a West Virginia substitute for Heroin.
"Sumbliminal"...one of Roger's favorites.
"Recepticle"...either of Roger's moist cavaties.
"Cociane"...a West Virginia drug of choice?
"Tiome"...Roger meant to say Time...but his fingers were numb.
"Beraking"...no definition. Could mean 'beraking' the leaves,
"Amny"...he meant 'any',
"Nlay"...a town in Maylaysia? Vermont?
"Al;ong"...only Wussman knows what this means.
"Becasu"...well, becasu Roger is not the brightest bulb on the tree.
"Seperation". Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate" from his mommie!
"Sisnce" He meant Since, but his pudgy little fingers slipped off the keys.
"gnetically" What?
"provce" (prove?)
"Piuzza" (West Virginia Pizza)
"Schol" (school?)
"strill" (still?)
"shoitgun" 12 ga or 20 ga?
"Snoip" (How they spell "snip" in Glen Dale)
"Maturbater". Wiseman does it all the time, he just can't spell it.(leaves a sticky residue on his right hand)
"Coskscuking". It's a Roger thing, as in Lavender Lads.
"Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale, eh?
"Spaeaking". Curtain Boy did this after his second retest.

" Stgop" Roger's version of Stop.

"Fahter's" He meant Father's Day.

"fserting"..would that be festering?

"poists". Roger meant "posts".

"snadwich" As in peanut butter and jelly?

"regualr" Glen Dale rendition of "regular".

"aftrer" After? Aftrer what?

"soory". Sorry Roger fucks up again.

"didnb't" He didn't?

"whoile". He ate the whoile thing?

"Woamn" He's hooked on West Virginia phonics, all right.

"prrof" And he kin prove it, too.

"beforfe" Was that before he lurned to spell?

"yoiuy" Roger's version of "y'all".

"illerate" Only illiterate Roger can spell like this.

"haeart" Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!

"valule" Roger has no values. No wonder he can't spell it.

"proch" Doesn't everyone have one on their house?

"inmstitution" Where Roger's mom put him in June 1999.

"timne" It's Howdy Doody time?

"whgo" What? Who dat?

"gnetically" Only Roger knows what this one means.

"crfy" Like, cry, Roger, cry.

"stutrtering" Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.

"Whjere" Where is Curtain Boy?

"Webiste" That's Website to the rest of us.

"Youire" Your? You're? Who knows.

"fiored" As in fired?

"Parety" Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.

"Bouynce" Bounce?

"Varification" Verifiable mental case.

"Probelms" Poor Rogie.has many problems of the mental sort.

"Partricipate" Oh, DUH!!!!

"Cryed" Gee, Wogie..cry me a river.you dolt!

"Stoick" What a dork. His old 386 has no spell check program.

"Partiall" More WV Phonics, I see.

"Obsucred" Either obscured or Rogie has "sucre" on his mind.

"Infinate" Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.

"Sustinance" As in Sustenance?

"Juvinile". This is the way Roger likes his boys.

"Piut" Put it where, Rogie?

"Toyus". "Toyus R Us?

"Diminuative". Roger's height.

"Definitely" Definitely

"Percriptions" Say what?

"Credibiliy" More Glen Dale intellect.

"Thsoe" Yet another Glen Dale gaffe.

2931. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 00:15:08 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <f0f3ecfd7939a6dfb669306f27a7355d@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 110164

In article
<1118741786.624456.154620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > Whacko Jacko walks! ... and, I almost feel I could hear
anger in the
> > > jurys' decisions on all ten counts--anger directed towards
the
> > > prosecution--will be interesting to hear some of the
jurors take on all
> > > of this... still, I am highly suspicious of him climbing
into bed with
> > > young children!!!
> >
> > >
> > Did you see the lady juror who said she thought jackson was,
"just a
> > normal person"?
>
> Ain't that the truth..?!?!
>
> A "normal person" who has $500M in assets and looks likes
the
> Scarecrow on Wizard of Oz...Only this time they didn't get the
fire out
> after the Wicked Witch set him ablaze.
>
> I loved his music...It's hard to accept that someone who
was once
> that talented could screw up their life on so grand a
magnitutde.
>
> > Only in California would it be considered
> > 'normal' for a 40+ year old man to share his bed with young
boys.
>
> Wellllllllllllllllllll...He certainly ain't the first.
And had he
> been "Joe Schmoe Jackson", working at Wal-Mart, there would
have been

> no charges (since there was no incentive to sue) or he'd be on
the road
> to San Quentin right now.

You should be too, for what you used to do to your dead daughter.

> > jackson is almost as looney as wogie wussman.
>
> Almost?

Where's your documentation, Nursie?

> Steve, K4YZ

Atleast you learned to spell your name correctly on this post.

2932. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 00:15:43 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QqKre.203$nC4.14725234@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110176

N2EY:

I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting a ham license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code to chat with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier and reliably over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc... that is REAL. THAT is dropping the numbers of hams and putting bands in danger of being lost... that is holding our numbers at such low levels the FCC begins to find us more a bother than anything else...

Now we are just debating if and how we are going to save amateur radio from the men who would require a code requirement onto the hobbies death...

You are right, I repeat the mantra like a prayer...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118786169.563988.276960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> Well, if you are going to use cw, a code test should be administered,
>> if
>> not, none need be given...
>
> Apply that same argument to ssb...and AM...and FSK...and VHF/UHF.
>
> Apply it to the VE rules, band edges, and almost any other
> regulations.
>
> If your argument is valid for the use of code, then it's valid for the
> use of almost everything else in amateur radio.
>
>> I certainly do not use cw...
>
> So? I certainly do not use much of what I had to learn to get
> my license. But I learned it just the same. Was that wrong?
>
>> and no young
>> guys I have helped into the hobby are going to be using it...
>

> What if they do? What if they discover, on their own, how much
> fun it is, despite your efforts otherwise?
>
>> in the future cw will go silent... that is inevitable...
>
> In the future all modes will go silent.
>
> It seems to me, John, that you do not answer questions nor
> engage in dialogue, but simply repeat the same basic
> mantras. Some could call that "cult-like behaviour".
>
> Is there a reason for your top-posting and non-answers?
>
> Just curious
>
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1118765284.373376.119010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> I think most of those groups progressive and open to change--this
>> >> alone
>> >> would make classification as a cult difficult...
>> >
>> > Try selling the NRA on the idea that the Second Amendment should be
>> > repealed.
>> >
>> > Try selling NCI on the idea that *some* code testing is OK.
>> >
>> >> However, the ARRL with un-moving devotion to its "principles" and
>> >> the
>> >> staunch "unwillingness" to change is what makes it more
>> >> appropriate
>> >> to
>> >> such classification...
>> >
>> > The ARRL is as "open to change" (if not more so) as any of the
>> > organizations named.
>> >
>> > Is devotion to principles a bad thing? Or are principles,
>> > traditions,
>> > and
>> > standards to be tossed aside merely because they're old?
>> >
>> >> rather bizarre really--when at its core is
>> >> technology--and a technology which is RAPIDLY changing and
>> >> adapting
>> >> to
>> >> new discoveries, methods, devices, etc...
>> >
>> > Such as?

>> >
>> > The ARRL has been pushing for a revision of the rules to classify
>> > signals by bandwidth rather than content, and to free up old
>> > technical limitations. What other group has put forth such a
>> > proposal?
>> >
>> > While I don't agree with all the proposed revisions, the general
>> > concept is a valid one. Why should an FSK signal of 900 Hz
>> > bandwidth
>> > be
>> > permitted on a frequency because it's RTTY, but an FSK signal of
>> > 500 Hz bandwidth be prohibited from the same frequency because it's
>> > digitized voice?
>> >
>> > US amateur radio is and has long been wide open for new
>> > discoveries,
>> > methods, devices, etc.. Particularly on VHF/UHF, where there's lots
>> > of
>> > bandwidth. You cannot blame the license requirements for lack of
>> > innovation, because the requirements for full VHF/UHF privileges
>> > have
>> > included no code test and only a minimal written test for 14+
>> > years.
>> >
>> > The real "cult" or "religion" to watch for is the mindset that all
>> > change is good, new is better than old, ending is better than
>> > mending, and similar marketing buzzphrases.
>> >
>> > That mindset is geared to three goals:
>> >
>> > - selling more product, regardless of whether it's really better
>> > - attracting investment capital
>> > - destroying the existing structure without an adequate replacement
>> >
>> > The boom-dot-bust mess of 2000 proves the game doesn't last
>> > forever.
>> >>
>> >> "new" and "remarkable" become "old" and "common" in only a matter
>> >> of
>> >> months in this field...
>> >>
>> > Which field?
>> >
>> > Radio broadcasting in the USA uses AM (developed more than a
>> > century
>> > ago)
>> > and FM stereo multiplex (developed a half century ago). Satellite
>> > radio
>> > may
>> > cut into their market but it's a long way from replacing standard

>> > broadcasting.
>> >
>> > TV broadcasting is only now beginning the widespread change to
>> > HDTV,
>> > after a half-century of NTSC.
>> >
>> > In any technology, there is usually rapid progress when the
>> > technology
>> > is
>> > new, then as the technology matures, the changes become more
>> > evolutionary
>> > than revolutionary.
>> >
>> > Suppose FCC just dumps Element 1 tomorrow. Will we see a techno-
>> > revolution in ham radio? Not likely - it didn't happen after the
>> > Tech
>> > lost its code test.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1118709693.091616.217660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> >> N2Ey:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I stand corrected, ARRL is NOT a valid religion...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Nope, more of a cult actually--"Cult of the ARRL." <grin>
>> >> >
>> >> > Then the following are all cults, too:
>> >> >
>> >> > - Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts and similar organizations
>> >> > - US Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Coast Guard
>> >> > - AMA, ANA, and similar organizations
>> >> > - IEEE and similar organizations
>> >> > - No-Code International and similar organizations
>> >> >
>> >> > And many others.
>> >> >
>> >
>

2933. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 00:21:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <OvKre.204$%_4.14901370@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110174

... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all have declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they even see a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am crazy and ask, "You are kidding, right?"

Then they grab their net-to-phone and/or keyboard and being chatting with canadians, so americans, mexicans, asians, aussies, brits, etc...

... and at this point it is hard for me to pose a logical argument--women are just smarter than men... you can't fool them...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:1118785781.119633.267970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
>> educations...
>
> What about women with real educations?
>
> Would you consider someone with a BSEE from the University of
> Pennsylvania and an MSEE from Drexel University to have
> "a real education"?
>>
>> ... the cw part
>
> Is an amateur test. And is a trivial problem to people with
> real educations..
>
>> makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
>> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
>
> Then why require someone with no interest in VHF-UHF to learn
> those techniques in order to operate on HF? Why require
> knowedge of FSK, PSK and other data modes to operate voice?
> Why require knowledge of transistors and ICs to operate
> vacuum-tube equipment?
>
> IOW, why require anyone to learn anything about a subject they
> are not interested in, just to get a license to do the things
> they *are* interested in?
>
> --
>

> Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
> is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
> isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
> a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>
> In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
> as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
> do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
> ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
> it so much.
>
> --
>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1118766723.066374.252520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...
>> >
>> > A few anticode folks have stated they want Morse Code *use* by hams
>> > to
>> > end, not just the test. They are a small minority, but they do
>> > exist.
>> >
>> >> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when they
>> >> would
>> >> never use it...
>> >
>> > Who is "forced" to learn Morse Code?
>> >
>> > It's a requirement if someone wants an FCC-issued amateur license
>> > with
>> > HF privileges, that's all.
>> >
>> >> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not wish
>> >> to,
>> >> they should question everything in sight... just as you began
>> >> when
>> >> you
>> >> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
>> >
>> > The argument you present boils down to this: If someone doesn't
>> > want
>> > to
>> > use Morse Code in ham radio, they shouldn't be required to learn it
>> > just
>> > to pass a test (even a simple, basic test) to get a ham radio
>> > license.

>> > Those who choose to use it can learn it on their own.
>> >
>> > Is that about right?
>> >
>> > The problem is that the same argument can be made against almost
>> > everything
>> > in the written tests. For example, if someone doesn't intend to use
>> > certain
>> > bands, why are they forced to learn the band edges of every band
>> > their
>> > license allows? If someone doesn't intend to use more than a few
>> > watts
>> > of
>> > transmitted power, why must they learn all that RF exposure stuff?
>> > Indeed,
>> > if someone doesn't intend to homebrew, why are they *forced* to
>> > learn
>> > all
>> > that theory stuff?
>> >
>> > Sure, the written tests look easy to someone with a background in
>> > radio,
>> > electronics, computers or other related fields. But to someone from
>> > an
>> > unrelated field, they're not easy.
>> >
>> > Suppose you met a retired gentleman who had been a radioman in the
>> > military 50+ years ago. He'd always wanted to be a ham but never
>> > had
>> > the time or resources. Now he finds that ham radio still exists,
>> > and
>> > he wants in.
>> >
>> > The gent can still do code well, and remembers the basics of theory
>> > as it was 50+ years ago. He gets an HF receiver and listens to the
>> > lovely Morse Code signals on the low ends of the HF bands.
>> >
>> > But in order to join the folks on 7010 or 3520, he needs an Extra.
>> > And the written test is full of stuff he's never seen before, and
>> > that he will never use.
>> >
>> > Why must he learn all that stuff he will never use just to pass the
>> > tests?
>> >
>> > Sure, the stuff is easy for *you*, but not for *him*.
>> >>
>> >> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums straight...
>> >
>> > Let's see...
>> >>

>> >> Warmest regards,
>> >> John
>> >>
>> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:u%tre.699$yg4.231@news01.roc.ny...
>> >> >
>> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> > news:KLnre.61$o_4.3292270@news.sisna.com...
>> >> >> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
>> >> >> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc. ancestors
>> >> >> used
>> >> >> to
>> >> >> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I wonder
>> >> >> if
>> >> >> we
>> >> >> could bring those back to?
>> >> >
>> >> > Invalid analogy.
>> >> >
>> >> > Drums for communication aren't in wide use.
>> >> >
>> >> > Morse Code for communication is in wide use in ham radio. Doesn't
>> >> > need to be brought back because it's right here.
>> >> >
>> >> >> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy
>> >> >> tempo
>> >> >> on
>> >> >> one
>> >> >> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
>> >> >> <evil-grin>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Warmest regards,
>> >> >> John
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > Perhaps, John
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > But consider that some of us can send and receive cw faster than
>> >> > most
>> >> > folks can type.
>> >> >
>> >> > Yup.
>> >> >
>> >> > I know you may be good at "cut and paste", but that doesn't
>> >> > necessarily cut
>> >> > it LOL.
>> >> >
>> >> > Sure, voice appears faster, but when you get names and addresses
>> >> > that

>> >> > are
>> >> > hard to pronounce ....
>> >
>> > Bingo.
>> >
>> > For any message that needs to be written down, the speed limitation
>> > is
>> > usually the writing speed of the receiving op. The fact that
>> > someone
>> > can theoretically talks 150 wpm doesn't mean anything if the person
>> > on the receiving end can only write legibly at 15 wpm.
>> >
>> > Text modes are great if you have the hardware for them and if you
>> > are in a situation where you can look at a screen to read them.
>> >> >
>> >> > Not saying that CW is the best, but some folks better come up
>> >> > with
>> >> > something
>> >> > superior to AM and FM. There are a number of modes, but most
>> >> > folks
>> >> > want to
>> >> > "talk". That won't cut it for 85 watt moonbounce on 24 GHz.
>> >> >
>> >> > :)
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> >> > Jim AA2QA
>> >> > ps - when I talk send and receive cw faster than some folks
>> >> > type,
>> >> > I'm
>> >> > not
>> >> > talking a nice, leisurely chat at 30 or 35 words per minute ...
>> >> >
>> > Yup.
>> >
>> > Good Morse ops can chat at speeds approaching those of voice ops
>> > because
>> > they use abbreviations and eliminate redundancies.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>

2934. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 00:37:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118795859.432012.114330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110165

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 14 Jun 2005 09:08

>John Smith wrote:
>> I think most of those groups progressive and open to change--this alone
>> would make classification as a cult difficult...
>
>Try selling the NRA on the idea that the Second Amendment should be
>repealed.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. THIS newsgroup is NOT about owning personal
firearms. This newsgroup seems devoted to Michael Jackson. :-)

>Try selling NCI on the idea that *some* code testing is OK.

No Code International was found for the express purpose of
ELIMINATING the morse code test. Why should they "change" and
do like you wish them to do?

>> However, the ARRL with un-moving devotion to its "principles" and the
>> staunch "unwillingness" to change is what makes it more appropriate to
>> such classification...
>
>The ARRL is as "open to change" (if not more so) as any of the
>organizations named.

Total and complete bullshit, N...@aol.com. The ARRL is about as
hidebound to the status quo as is possible for the olde-tyme
league leaders to be and still be human. That is abundantly
clear in their publications, periodicals, and whatnot output in
at least the last half century!

The league WILL support the law as it is written. It must. That
is PC. Beyond that, the league sits on their collective olde-tyme
duffs, trying to keep the status at the quo of the core membership.
the Believers.

>The ARRL has been pushing for a revision of the rules to classify
>signals by bandwidth rather than content, and to free up old
>technical limitations. What other group has put forth such a
>proposal?

What "other group" is there in the USA posing as "representative
of 'all' amateurs?" [ain't none]

What has the mighty league DONE in all its "pushing" since 1979?
It couldn't defeat Access BPL. It couldn't get a whole band at

60 m. It couldn't stop the IARU (and NCI) led REVISION of S25
at WRC-03. It couldn't stop the FCC restructuring ELIMONATION
of 13 and 20 WPM morse code test rates. It couldn't stop the
FCC in cutting the number of new amateur radio license classes
in HALF. CHANGE is happening but the league has become impotent
and represents only the olde-tyme, settled-in-their-ways core
membership. For a quarter of a century the ARRL had done
little but brag about how good they are, yet still hasn't
increased their membership percentage of all licensed U.S. radio
amateurs.

>US amateur radio is and has long been wide open for new discoveries,
>methods, devices, etc.. Particularly on VHF/UHF, where there's lots of
>bandwidth. You cannot blame the license requirements for lack of
>innovation, because the requirements for full VHF/UHF privileges have
>included no code test and only a minimal written test for 14+ years.

Then WHERE is N...@aol.com's "leading the way" examples in
amateur radio above 30 MHz? WHERE are his state-of-the-art
innovations...beyond his "admired by neighbors" vacuum tube
HF transmitter designed and built in the 1990s?

Remember that N...@aol.com is this double-degreed, ivy-covered
"radio engineer" of "much experience" in communications. [?]

>The real "cult" or "religion" to watch for is the mindset that all
>change is good, new is better than old, ending is better than
>mending, and similar marketing buzzphrases.

Poor Believer. All radio amateurs desiring below-30-MHz
privileges "MUST" test for morsemanship...because it is the
FIRST mode in radio and all MUST keep the tradition and other
assorted maxims. Coupled (tightly) with the mighty League as
a "potent representative" of "all hams," all that non-believers
have clear visibility to the CULT FOLLOWING of the MORSE SECT.

>Suppose FCC just dumps Element 1 tomorrow. Will we see a techno-
>revolution in ham radio? Not likely - it didn't happen after the Tech
>lost its code test.

WHERE is N...@aol.com demonstrating ANYTHING in this "techno-
revolution?" A vacuum tube HF transceiver "designed" and built
in the 1990s? :-)

Poor Believer. 2 out of 5 U.S. radio amateurs are Technician
Class licensees and they don't worship morsemanship! It must be
a virtual hell for the arrogant and elite morsemen who don't get
the respect and admiration they insist they deserve...tsk, tsk.

2935. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 00:40:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118796012.702922.129320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110166

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 14 Jun 2005 09:32

>John Smith wrote:
>> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...

>Good Morse ops can chat at speeds approaching those of voice ops
>because they use abbreviations and eliminate redundancies.

Oh, my, THAT old brag.

Tsk, tsk, N...@aol.com, listen in on any large FAA-tower airport
and, especially, the voice communications out of an FAA Center.

No morse used there, but plenty of abbreviations with elimination
of redundancies. Word rates are above 150 WPM equivalent and
often approach 250 WPM equivalent. No "test" required to learn
the abbreviations in TRACON talk.

Tsk, if morse code was so "efficient" and "speedy," it would have
been prime use in the REST OF THE RADIO WORLD. It isn't. Other
than the ham hobbyists ("on the lower ends of the 'bands' <HF>")
and some long-established automatic ID keyers, the REST OF THE
RADIO WORLD has given up on morse code.

To attempt countering the above, you MUST trot out the hoary old
maxim that "this is amateur radio!" as if the hobby is somehow
exulted and revered BECAUSE of morse code testing...and you will
state that "because there are still morse users in amateur radio,"
"newcomers 'must' learn/test for that skill to talk to them." :-)

[such always seems to happen as a "reason" for being...:-)]

That "reply" (yet to come but as certain as there is a tomorrow)
might be augmented by some kind of "need" to "be able to talk to
those in foreign lands who do not speak English." One of the
truly specious and bereft of logic statements ever made in here!

Go ahead and "chat" on anything via morse code...use its unique
ability to express subtleties of opinion, the timbre and tone of
the sound, and all the other body language clues available
through morse. :-) Other than IDs, location, "the rig here is"
and WX, there isn't much more to the "chat," is there?

Please continue to praise the military morse ops, N...@aol.com,
especially those of the USN. The USN is NOT a branch of the
military you were in. Indeed, you weren't in ANY branch of the
military. You "served in other ways."

2936. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 00:41:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118796117.727118.138960@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110167

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 14 Jun 2005 14:39

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun 12 Jun 2005 18:10
>
>> >"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >news:1118584479.670520.325030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >> Has the code exam been dropped yet? Might as well be all black or all
>> >> white because at the end of the day there are still people who support
>> >> the wall.
>
>All purposeful action starts with an idea - a dream, as it were.
>First the idea, then the actions to make the idea become
>a reality.

Sort of like showing one's REAL patriotism by volunteering for
milirary service?

The FCC is NOT a regulator of "dreams." All it does is mitigate
interference in the civil side of the EM spectrum by U.S.
citizens.

The FCC is NOT any "moral arbiter" of anything but its own
regulations and rulings in regards to U.S. law.

>The person who will not allow him/herself to believe they can do
>something is already defeated.

N...@aol.com tries to sound like a Reverend on morse code,
preaching from some ivy-covered pulpit. [pulpit fiction
but without a Travolta] Is this a prelude to (roll drums)

...A Sermon On The Antenna Mount?

Can N...@aol.com become an artist-illustrator, traveling from
place to place, painting portraits? Sam Morse did that. Sam
and his financial backer Al Vail invented morse code. ART
with minor success at it in any media REQUIRES a built-in
aptitutde for that (or an excellent PR/gallery person to sell
"great art").

I started out WORKING as an artist-illustrator because I did
have the built-in talent/aptitude for that. Not only that, my
completed works were BETTER in any media than Sam Morse's.

That reply has very little to do with "moral imperatives" or
the FCC or with N...@aol.com other than totally refute the
specious (and irrelevant) supposition that a government agency
is a moral/motivational arbiter of what some do as a HOBBY.

>---
>
>The current Morse Code test in the USA requires the correct
>recognition of 25 consecutive Morse Code symbols, or correct
>answers to 7 out of 10 fill-in-the-blank questions based on
>5 minutes of Morse Code text. The entire text used for the
>test consists of no more than 125 Morse Code symbols
>transmitted in no less than 5 minutes.

Duhhhhh...

>This test has been compared to learning to recognize 41 words
>of a foreign language, which is a fair analogy.

Ridiculous, specious "comparison."

Morse code is simply the arhythmic monotonic tone patterns to
represent the letters, numbers, and some punctuation in the
ENGLISH LANGUAGE. It was never intended to be anything else.

I already KNOW, have used, all without ANY license or "test,"
MORE than 41 words in each of three foreign languages. Your
"comparison" is preposterous.

>It seems incredible that such a simple test of such a basic
>radio communication skill would be the cause of so much
>controversy and acrimony from those opposed to it.

Oh, my, incredulosity puzzles N...@aol.com, possibly because of
his incredible stubborn attitude of maintaining the OLD STANDARDS
forever and ever...and his unmitigated gall and arrogance by
insisting that some long-ago morse test passing SHALL be passed
by newcomers. Forever.

The FCC uses "licensing" as a means of EM spectrum mitigation,
NOT to "control the moral/ethical behavior of hobbyist hams."

The FCC is NOT an academic institution whose "tests" are any
sort of equivalent to academic skill/knowledge "qualification."

That Test Element 1 remains IN the amateur radio regulations is
due primarily to the incredible acrimony of those olde-tyme
hammes who cannot bear to lose the one link to their personal
"fame" that set them "up above their fellows" (as hobbyists).

Now, N...@aol.com, study hard, devote yourself to the Morse art
of painting, but you won't be in anything but small-bore in
the caliber of Morse art. APTITUDE lack cannot be overcome by
DREAMS.

2937. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 00:56:50 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <q1Lre.7925$th7.3835@fe11.lga>
Source record: 110168

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118785781.119633.267970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
> is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
> isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
> a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>
> In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
> as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
> do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
> ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
> it so much.

It was never really about the actual test, but rather the effort required to
successfully prepare for the test.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

Snagged a TA2 and a 4X5 last night... IOW, the effort was well worth it.

2938. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 01:07:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118797635.292597.291340@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110169

Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1118584479.670520.325030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >
> >> >><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> >>news:1118454735.092814.201240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>
> >> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>[snip]
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>>---
> >> >>>
> >> >>>OK, here's one to toss around:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in
> >> >>>the "60 meter" region.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to
> >> >>>2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..
> >> >>>
> >> >>>or
> >> >>>
> >> >>>2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such
> >> >>>as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the
> >> >>>same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz
> >> >>>overall?
> >> >>>
> >> >>>73 de Jim, N2EY

> >> >>>
> >> >>
> >> >>I'd vote for the 2nd one. In my opinion, the bands we have now are
> >> >>nicely
> >> >>space to take advantage of variations in propagation. However, I'd
> >> >>like a
> >> >>lot more room on both 40 meters and 30 meters. If 30m could be
> >> >>widened,
> >> >>then a phone section could be added.
> >> >>
> >> >>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > A PCTA wants more phone privs? FISTS rampage. Hiram groans.
> >> >
> >>
> >> It's all black or white Brian? A PCTA or NCTA has only one way to think
> >> and one opinion on how things are?
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > Has the code exam been dropped yet? Might as well be all black or all
> > white because at the end of the day there are still people who support
> > the wall.
> >
>
> There is no wall but what people create in their own minds.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2939. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 01:10:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118797827.906063.310420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110170

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> The current Morse Code test in the USA requires the correct
> recognition of 25 consecutive Morse Code symbols, or correct
> answers to 7 out of 10 fill-in-the-blank questions based on
> 5 minutes of Morse Code text.

Please cite the USA definition of Morse Code. Thank you.

2940. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 01:16:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118798168.595674.86580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110171

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Probably the latter. Certainly a lot of small bands would be very
> interesting. There would be a fair amount of equipment going out of use,
> which would be a shame. No doubt modifications could be made, but with
> many bands, the old equipment only has so many switch positions! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Wow! For want of a band switch, you would let go of better (24hr)
coverage?

Maybe Jimmy the Riveter wouldn't mind stopping by with a chassis punch
and wire up a new switch for you.

2941. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 01:18:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118798332.729931.186820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110172

John Smith wrote:
> ... people who play a musical instrument well, I can appreciate and have
> a liking for... those with a code key in their hand I attempt to
> avoid...
>
> ... thank gawd they can't force us to suffer it... frankly, I don't
> know of many places where they can practice it in public... other
> citizens would get angry and ask 'em to leave... it would kinda be like
> masturbating in public, everyone knows you do it, just don't do it in
> front of them!!! <tongue-in-cheek>
>
> John

A public nuisance. Hi! ;^)

2942. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 01:30:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <AwLre.215$ph6.15368229@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110175

Len:

Did I miss something here, if you know morse it gives you the ability to
speak and read french?

Hell, I know morse and still can't speak to the french, unless they
morse in english!!! Same with the Germans, Dutch, Spanish, etc....

ROFLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1118796012.702922.129320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 14 Jun 2005 09:32
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...
>
>
>>Good Morse ops can chat at speeds approaching those of voice ops
>>because they use abbreviations and eliminate redundancies.
>
> Oh, my, THAT old brag.
>
> Tsk, tsk, N...@aol.com, listen in on any large FAA-tower airport
> and, especially, the voice communications out of an FAA Center.
>
> No morse used there, but plenty of abbreviations with elimination
> of redundancies. Word rates are above 150 WPM equivalent and
> often approach 250 WPM equivalent. No "test" required to learn
> the abbreviations in TRACON talk.
>
> Tsk, if morse code was so "efficient" and "speedy," it would have
> been prime use in the REST OF THE RADIO WORLD. It isn't. Other
> than the ham hobbyists ("on the lower ends of the 'bands' <HF>")
> and some long-established automatic ID keyers, the REST OF THE
> RADIO WORLD has given up on morse code.
>
> To attempt countering the above, you MUST trot out the hoary old
> maxim that "this is amateur radio!" as if the hobby is somehow
> exulted and revered BECAUSE of morse code testing...and you will
> state that "because there are still morse users in amateur radio,"
> "newcomers 'must' learn/test for that skill to talk to them." :-)
>
> [such always seems to happen as a "reason" for being...:-)]

>
> That "reply" (yet to come but as certain as there is a tomorrow)
> might be augmented by some kind of "need" to "be able to talk to
> those in foreign lands who do not speak English." One of the
> truly specious and bereft of logic statements ever made in here!
>
> Go ahead and "chat" on anything via morse code...use its unique
> ability to express subtleties of opinion, the timbre and tone of
> the sound, and all the other body language clues available
> through morse. :-) Other than IDs, location, "the rig here is"
> and WX, there isn't much more to the "chat," is there?
>
> Please continue to praise the military morse ops, N...@aol.com,
> especially those of the USN. The USN is NOT a branch of the
> military you were in. Indeed, you weren't in ANY branch of the
> military. You "served in other ways."
>

2943. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 01:34:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118799289.690870.197730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110173

John Smith wrote:

> Len:
>
> Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
> heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> children, small animals and gays)...

And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.

> They are at a loss when brave men stand their ground and question their
> faulty logic... reduces 'em to personal attacks and gutter fighting
> quickly...

You've just described Steven J. Robeson.

2944. Steven J. Robeson, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 01:45:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118799925.807283.20850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110177

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Then why do you use weasel words and play games?
> > >
> > > Then why have YOU not admonished Lennie for his sick parody?
> >
> > Haven't you done so?
>
> The question was not about me, Brain.
>
> The question was about YOU.

I thought it was about you using weasel words and playing games.

> >>I<< asked >>YOU<< why >>YOU<< hadn't taken the time to add your
> comments about Lennie's sick parody.

Didn't you address the issue?

2945. Re: Great introduction to HF techniques and practices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 01:48:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118800121.648002.81790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > For the newcomer (and not-so-newcomer too):
> > > > >
> > > > > http://www.alleged.com/radio/Harris/HF-Technology.pdf
> > > > >
> > > > > dit dit
> > > > > de Hans, K0HB
> > > >
> > > > Harris Corporation is a military communications contractor.
> > > >
> > > > A.F. Global Weather Central purchased a Harris transmitter to kick-off
> > > > the Weather HFRB (rtty, fax) System at Elkhorn, NE (AKA Giant Talk).
> > > >
> > > > Harris Corp. built the Satellite Data Handling System at AFGWC.
> > > >
> > > > Harris Corp. built the A.F. tactical DMSP ground set.
> > > >
> > > > Harris Corp. transceivers were stuffed in racks in the Transportable
> > > > Automated Weather Distribution System (TAWDS).
> > > >
> > > > But weathermen don't do comms. Hi!
> > >
> > > Not to mention, Harris built the microwave receivers for NOAA, which are
> > > being replaced by Microdyne/L-3com equipment.
> > > --
> > > Former professional electron wrangler.
> > >
> > > Michael A. Terrell
> > > Central Florida
> >
> > Are you in Melbourne?
>
> No, I'm near Ocala, where Microdyne used to be.

Lucky duck.
```

2946. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 02:05:24 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <GJydnXGbBJ9qADLfRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110180

John Smith wrote:
> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...
>
> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when they would
> never use it...

So I guess you should never have to answer questions on the test about RTTY, Packet, Amtor, SSTV, ect., ect., if you think you will never use those modes. Or you shouldn't have to answer questions on theory such as ohms law, if you never plan to build anything.
So how do you know that you will never want to operate those modes? Do you want to take another test if you someday decide to operate another mode? Should we have hams building and putting equipment on the air who don't even know ohms law?
The fact is, all that is available to any licensed ham by virtue of their license, so they should have some knowledge in these areas should they ever want to persue them.
>
> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not wish to,
> they should question everything in sight... just as you began when you
> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
>

No one is forceing you to do any of them, if fact, no one forced you to get a license.

2947. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 02:11:12 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <GJydnXCbBJ_nAjLfRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110182

John Smith wrote:
> that is holding our numbers at such low levels the FCC
> begins to find us more a bother than anything else...

And you have something to back up that statement that the FCC finds ham
radio a bother.

2948. Re: K1MAN The crap has hit the fan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 02:39:56 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <pvydndxlYPxgCTLfRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110179

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Condensed version:
>
> This $21,000 fine is for the apparent transmission of willful and repeated
> interference;
> willful and repeated communications in which he had a pecuniary interest;
> willful and repeated failure to file requested information pursuant to an
> Enforcement Bureau directive; willful broadcasting; and willful failure to
> exercise control of his station.

1. Awesome!

2. Shessh! It's about time!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2949. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 03:23:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118805831.887063.134640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110181

From: John Smith on Jun 14, 9:30 pm

>Len:
>
>Did I miss something here, if you know morse it gives you the ability >to speak and read french?

Any "good" morseman KNOWS EVERYTHING! :-)

That's the ONLY skill they need...and some have said so. :-)

>Hell, I know morse and still can't speak to the french, unless they
>morse in english!!! Same with the Germans, Dutch, Spanish, etc....

Way back two centuries ago, Sam (Morse) and Al (Vail) revised
Morse's original code (all numbers) into something representing
ENGLISH letters, numbers, and some punctuation marks. That was
roughly in the 1840s. After radio was demonstrated in 1896
(in Italy and Russia) as a communications medium, the good
(and always CORRECT) morsemen claimed it was an "international
language." :-)

"CW gets through when anything else will..." - B. Burke

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2950. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 03:36:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <wqudnYA8v72iPzLfRVn-uw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110183

bb wrote:
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> Probably the latter. Certainly a lot of small bands would be very
>>interesting. There would be a fair amount of equipment going out of use,
>>which would be a shame. No doubt modifications could be made, but with
>>many bands, the old equipment only has so many switch positions! 8^)
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Wow! For want of a band switch, you would let go of better (24hr)
> coverage?
>
> Maybe Jimmy the Riveter wouldn't mind stopping by with a chassis punch
> and wire up a new switch for you.

It would be nice if all you had to do was put in a switch with more positions on it, but those darn "innards" would have to be modified too. Old equipment would probably have only a few bands it would operate on, and the olde analog dials would add to the problems. The old stuff would probably just have to be written off as a loss. Newer equipment would be more amenable to modification, and displays would more likely continue to work. Of course, someone has to do the mods, or the new equipment gets consigned to the recycle bin too. Some of the newer equipment would possibly not be convertible

Okay, so lets say we just ditched all the analog equipment, and most all of the digital equipment up to date of change.

Now lets talk about antennas. It isn't likely that we will have single antennas at any station, save for the resurrection of the old general purpose dipole fed with ladder line, run through a tuner. That's one that olde tyme hammes will recognize! I suppose the Steppir antennas could work if you have enough coin. The method proposed by Jim will not accommodate the tricks we use now to provide an acceptable match as the major HF bands will not be harmonically related.

All in all, no thanks.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2951. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 04:06:29 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ii3pqt5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 110186

On 14 Jun 2005 09:32:03 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>A few anticode folks have stated they want Morse Code *use* by hams to
>end, not just the test. They are a small minority, but they do exist.

Sounds like the same "reasoning" as when my late mother was in the
nursing home and would complain that the aides were talking about
her. When I asked her what they were saying about her, she replied
"I don't know - I don't speak <minority foreign language>".

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2952. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 04:09:07 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ii3pv77.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 110185

On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 10:20:13 -0700, John Smith wrote:

>.... absolutely not... I work with young men who take great pride in
>their ability to pass difficult tests with ease... who are thankful for
>their gifted IQ's...
>
>.... but who see no reason to learn code... indeed, the courses which
>they take, and their level of education make any amateur exam look
>trivial...

Do they think that their sweat doesn't stink????

Wait 'till they hit the course or assignment that throws them back
into the mortal realm. We've all hit that point at one time or
another....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2953. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 04:16:32 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ii3q7k8.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 110184

On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:

>... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all have
>declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they even see
>a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am crazy
>and ask, "You are kidding, right?"

Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver State
(CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired PhD
in a specialized field of the biological sciences.

So much for generalizations.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2954. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 05:16:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QQOre.233$CB1.17970275@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110187

... logic would be any sane mans guide... we are the smallest minority on the planet, we don't generate any real revenue for the gov't or benefit of society, our fellow citizens are paying for the enforcement of the rules and regulations so we can "hobby around"--kinda like "radio welfare."

I don't think much more of an argument would need to be posed to make a lot start asking questions on why all this is being done so some old men can pass gas and rag chew!

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message news:GJydnXCbBJ_nAjLfRVn-pA@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> that is holding our numbers at such low levels the FCC begins to find
>> us more a bother than anything else...
>
>
> And you have something to back up that statement that the FCC finds
> ham radio a bother.

2955. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 05:18:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <jSOre.234$EQ1.18096236@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110190

... the young person of today has a much great education than his/her
counterpart of even twenty years ago...

John

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ii3pv77.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 10:20:13 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>>.... absolutely not... I work with young men who take great pride in
>>their ability to pass difficult tests with ease... who are thankful
>>for
>>their gifted IQ's...
>>
>>.... but who see no reason to learn code... indeed, the courses which
>>they take, and their level of education make any amateur exam look
>>trivial...
>
> Do they think that their sweat doesn't stink????
>
> Wait 'till they hit the course or assignment that throws them back
> into the mortal realm. We've all hit that point at one time or
> another....
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2956. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 05:19:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KTOre.235$gM1.18059288@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110189

well my gawd, we already have one then--we sure as hell don't need
another! ROFLOL

... they are rarer then hens teeth...

John

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ii3q7k8.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>>... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all have
>>declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they even
>>see
>>a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am crazy
>>and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>
> Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver State
> (CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired PhD
> in a specialized field of the biological sciences.
>
> So much for generalizations.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2957. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 05:22:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <AWOre.236$LV1.18120729@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110188

... trust me, those cw'ers are going to grow very lonely--these new guys have no use for code... they can scream and rant and rave and argue a ridiculous point--just tune up grab your key and start finding out how many out there are under 50... it ain't many!

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1118796012.702922.129320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 14 Jun 2005 09:32
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...
>
>
>>Good Morse ops can chat at speeds approaching those of voice ops
>>because they use abbreviations and eliminate redundancies.
>
> Oh, my, THAT old brag.
>
> Tsk, tsk, N...@aol.com, listen in on any large FAA-tower airport
> and, especially, the voice communications out of an FAA Center.
>
> No morse used there, but plenty of abbreviations with elimination
> of redundancies. Word rates are above 150 WPM equivalent and
> often approach 250 WPM equivalent. No "test" required to learn
> the abbreviations in TRACON talk.
>
> Tsk, if morse code was so "efficient" and "speedy," it would have
> been prime use in the REST OF THE RADIO WORLD. It isn't. Other
> than the ham hobbyists ("on the lower ends of the 'bands' <HF>")
> and some long-established automatic ID keyers, the REST OF THE
> RADIO WORLD has given up on morse code.
>
> To attempt countering the above, you MUST trot out the hoary old
> maxim that "this is amateur radio!" as if the hobby is somehow
> exulted and revered BECAUSE of morse code testing...and you will
> state that "because there are still morse users in amateur radio,"
> "newcomers 'must' learn/test for that skill to talk to them." :-)
>
> [such always seems to happen as a "reason" for being...:-)]
>
> That "reply" (yet to come but as certain as there is a tomorrow)
> might be augmented by some kind of "need" to "be able to talk to
> those in foreign lands who do not speak English." One of the

> truly specious and bereft of logic statements ever made in here!
>
> Go ahead and "chat" on anything via morse code...use its unique
> ability to express subtleties of opinion, the timbre and tone of
> the sound, and all the other body language clues available
> through morse. :-) Other than IDs, location, "the rig here is"
> and WX, there isn't much more to the "chat," is there?
>
> Please continue to praise the military morse ops, N...@aol.com,
> especially those of the USN. The USN is NOT a branch of the
> military you were in. Indeed, you weren't in ANY branch of the
> military. You "served in other ways."
>

2958. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 08:27:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118824057.762820.165040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110191

John Smith wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1118740914.316041.313390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...
> >>
> >> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when they
> >> would
> >> never use it...
> >>
> >> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not wish to,
> >> they should question everything in sight... just as you began when
> >> you
> >> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
> >>
> >> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums straight...
> >
> > By the very nature of "testing" we are "forced" to do something we
> > generally don't want to do.
> >
> > When I took my General, I had no intent on doing any RTTY operation
> > yet had to know RTTY theory and practice.
> >
> > Good news is that now you don't HAVE to "learn" anything...Just
> > memorize those quesitons and answers...Whish is what gives me a good
> > laugh with the more arrogant NCT types (read that Lennie...)
> >
> > They are "OK" with open pools and memorized tests, but they hate
> > memorized sounds...what's up with that? Sheesh...the FCC even delete
> > the requirement to demonstrate SENDING the Code.
> >
> > There's no more work to memorizing the Code than there is to
> > memorizing the written questions...Unless you have a VALID medical
> > decicit.
>
> ... absolutely not... I work with young men who take great pride in
> their ability to pass difficult tests with ease... who are thankful for
> their gifted IQ's...
>
> ... but who see no reason to learn code...

I do too...

They're called "lazy".

> indeed, the courses which
> they take, and their level of education make any amateur exam look
> trivial...

"They" may, but since we have no milestones against which to know
those levels, we'll never know for sure.

In short: "I trust men wearing ski masks."

Steve, K4YZ

2959. So What's It Going To Be, Brian...?!?! Cajones or No Cajones...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 08:34:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118824449.890922.13060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110192

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > Then why do you use weasel words and play games?
> > > > >
> > > > > Then why have YOU not admonished Lennie for his sick parody?
> > > >
> > > Haven't you done so?
> > >
> > The question was not about me, Brain.
> > >
> > The question was about YOU.

> I thought it was about you using weasel words and playing games.

Nope...It's you, baby..all you...

> > >>I<< asked >>YOU<< why >>YOU<< hadn't taken the time to add your
> > comments about Lennie's sick parody.
> >
> Didn't you address the issue?

It's not about me.

It's about why you make insinuations about "double standards" and
then enact one of your own on an issue that one would pesume to be of
some personal interest to you...in as much as you espouse concern over
Veteran's issues.

We've not heard a clear and definitive statement from you to
Lennie on his vile parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns.

No cajones to take a stand? Or do you "admire" Lennie for this
too...???

Steve, K4YZ

2960. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 08:34:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <MKRre.242$FG3.18547866@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110195

... yep, too lazy to learn to send messages by primordial tribal drum
too ...

... too lazy to learn how to play a jew's harp too ...

... too lazy to take up knitting and crocheting too ...

... yep, damn lazy bunch they are! ...

ROFLOL!!!!

John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1118824057.762820.165040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1118740914.316041.313390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...
>> >>
>> >> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when they
>> >> would
>> >> never use it...
>> >>
>> >> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not wish
>> >> to,
>> >> they should question everything in sight... just as you began
>> >> when
>> >> you
>> >> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
>> >>
>> >> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums straight...
>> >
>> > By the very nature of "testing" we are "forced" to do something
>> > we
>> > generally don't want to do.
>> >
>> > When I took my General, I had no intent on doing any RTTY
>> > operation
>> > yet had to know RTTY theory and practice.
>> >

>> > Good news is that now you don't HAVE to "learn" anything...Just
>> > memorize those quesitons and answers...Whish is what gives me a
>> > good
>> > laugh with the more arrogant NCT types (read that Lennie...)
>> >
>> > They are "OK" with open pools and memorized tests, but they hate
>> > memorized sounds...what's up with that? Sheesh...the FCC even
>> > delete
>> > the requirement to demonstrate SENDING the Code.
>> >
>> > There's no more work to memorizing the Code than there is to
>> > memorizing the written questions...Unless you have a VALID medical
>> > decicit.
>>
>> ... absolutely not... I work with young men who take great pride in
>> their ability to pass difficult tests with ease... who are thankful
>> for
>> their gifted IQ's...
>>
>> ... but who see no reason to learn code...
>
> I do too...
>
> They're called "lazy".
>
>> indeed, the courses which
>> they take, and their level of education make any amateur exam look
>> trivial...
>
> "They" may, but since we have no milestones against which to know
> those levels, we'll never know for sure.
>
> In short: "I trust men wearing ski masks."
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2961. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 08:55:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118825753.167056.266820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110193

bb wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:

> > It's all black or white Brian? A PCTA or NCTA has only one way to think
> > and one opinion on how things are?
>
> Has the code exam been dropped yet? Might as well be all black or all
> white because at the end of the day there are still people who support
> the wall.

So what are you in HERE complaining for, Brian...?!?!

It's those unlicensed commissioners that Lennie's always
interjecting into his rants who are in control of that...

And what do YOU care...In your master's opinion, anyone who's
already been tested on something and for which a regulation change
would not affect should not have any say in the matter...

Are you again disagreeing with your admired master but unwilling
to just stand up and say it?

Steve, K4YZ

2962. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 08:58:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118825882.152064.151390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110194

bb wrote:

> Maybe Jimmy the Riveter wouldn't mind stopping by with a chassis punch
> and wire up a new switch for you.

More lessons learned from Lennie?

Jim Miccolis has spoken to you in nothing but civil terms.

Yet here you are addressing him in diminutives.

What's up with that?

Steve, K4YZ

2963. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 10:04:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118829856.137855.250520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110196

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >> Probably the latter. Certainly a lot of small bands would be very
> >>interesting. There would be a fair amount of equipment going out of use,
> >>which would be a shame. No doubt modifications could be made, but with
> >>many bands, the old equipment only has so many switch positions! 8^)
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Wow! For want of a band switch, you would let go of better (24hr)
> > coverage?
> >
> > Maybe Jimmy the Riveter wouldn't mind stopping by with a chassis punch
> > and wire up a new switch for you.
>
> It would be nice if all you had to do was put in a switch with more
> positions on it, but those darn "innards" would have to be modified too.
> Old equipment would probably have only a few bands it would operate on,
> and the olde analog dials would add to the problems. The old stuff would
> probably just have to be written off as a loss.

Heaven forbid that a ham would modernize his station.

Here's an idea. Just keep on using them as they are, on the bands they
are on.

Newer equipment would be
> more amenable to modification, and displays would more likely continue
> to work. Of course, someone has to do the mods, or the new equipment
> gets consigned to the recycle bin too. Some of the newer equipment would
> possibly not be convertible

People who have MARS licenses do it every day. I wonder if Steve ever
held a position of "authority" in MARS?

> Okay, so lets say we just ditched all the analog equipment, and most
> all of the digital equipment up to date of change.

Knock yourself out.

> Now lets talk about antennas. It isn't likely that we will have single
> antennas at any station, save for the resurrection of the old general

> purpose dipole fed with ladder line, run through a tuner. That's one
> that olde tyme hammes will recognize! I suppose the Steppir antennas
> could work if you have enough coin. The method proposed by Jim will not
> accommodate the tricks we use now to provide an acceptable match as the
> major HF bands will not be harmonically related.

Trap dipoles don't have to be on bands that are harmonically related.

> All in all, no thanks.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Congrats. You've just made "Full" member.

2964. Steven J. Robeson, again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 10:09:19 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118830159.803455.245050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110197

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > Then why do you use weasel words and play games?
> > > > >
> > > > > Then why have YOU not admonished Lennie for his sick parody?
> > > >
> > > > Haven't you done so?
> > >
> > > The question was not about me, Brain.
> > >
> > > The question was about YOU.
>
> > I thought it was about you using weasel words and playing games.
>
> Nope...It's you, baby..all you...
>
> > > >>I<< asked >>YOU<< why >>YOU<< hadn't taken the time to add your
> > > comments about Lennie's sick parody.
> >
> > Didn't you address the issue?
>
> It's not about me.
>
> It's about why you make insinuations about "double standards" and
> then enact one of your own on an issue that one would pesume to be of
> some personal interest to you...in as much as you espouse concern over
> Veteran's issues.
>
> We've not heard a clear and definitive statement from you to
> Lennie on his vile parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns.
>
> No cajones to take a stand? Or do you "admire" Lennie for this
> too...???
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Had you read my previous comments, you wouldn't be making such absurd
statements. Or maybe you would anyway.

2965. Brian Fizzled...No Guts...Nothing Unexpected...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 10:38:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118831895.484774.209130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110198

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > We've not heard a clear and definitive statement from you to
> > Lennie on his vile parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns.
> >
> > No cajones to take a stand? Or do you "admire" Lennie for this
> > too...???
>
> Had you read my previous comments, you wouldn't be making such absurd
> statements. Or maybe you would anyway.

Still no CLEAR, definitive statement by you.

Lot's of "...well, didn't you tell him?.."

And YOU ahve the temerity to call ME "dodge".

Thanks for the proof of your cowardice, Brain...Nothing I didn't
expect.

Steve, K4YZ

2966. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 10:42:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118832175.713028.304440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110199

bb wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:

> > It would be nice if all you had to do was put in a switch with more
> > positions on it, but those darn "innards" would have to be modified too.
> > Old equipment would probably have only a few bands it would operate on,
> > and the olde analog dials would add to the problems. The old stuff would
> > probably just have to be written off as a loss.
>
> Heaven forbid that a ham would modernize his station.

True.

Tell us about yours, Brian.

> Here's an idea. Just keep on using them as they are, on the bands they
> are on.

Whoa....poignant.

> Newer equipment would be
> > more amenable to modification, and displays would more likely continue
> > to work. Of course, someone has to do the mods, or the new equipment
> > gets consigned to the recycle bin too. Some of the newer equipment would
> > possibly not be convertible
>
> People who have MARS licenses do it every day. I wonder if Steve ever
> held a position of "authority" in MARS?

Just write the letter and find out, Brian.

Ooops...I forgot...You're a coward...Never mind.

> > Okay, so lets say we just ditched all the analog equipment, and most
> > all of the digital equipment up to date of change.
>
> Knock yourself out.

As opposed to YOU walking around that way?

> > Now lets talk about antennas. It isn't likely that we will have single
> > antennas at any station, save for the resurrection of the old general
> > purpose dipole fed with ladder line, run through a tuner. That's one
> > that olde tyme hammes will recognize! I suppose the Steppir antennas
> > could work if you have enough coin. The method proposed by Jim will not
> > accommodate the tricks we use now to provide an acceptable match as the
> > major HF bands will not be harmonically related.
>

> Trap dipoles don't have to be on bands that are harmonically related.

Not if the passband you want to operate on falls within the other
desired band. In most cases you still need a tuner for bands other
than the ones the antenna is cut for.

> > All in all, no thanks.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Congrats. You've just made "Full" member.

As opposed to you who is just full of it...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2967. Re: K1MAN The crap has hit the fan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 10:45:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118832319.821645.132150@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110200

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > Condensed version:
> >
> > This $21,000 fine is for the apparent transmission of willful and repeated
> > interference;
> > willful and repeated communications in which he had a pecuniary interest;
> > willful and repeated failure to file requested information pursuant to an
> > Enforcement Bureau directive; willful broadcasting; and willful failure to
> > exercise control of his station.
>
>
> 1. Awesome!

Fer suuure!

> 2. Shessh! It's about time!

You got THAT right.

Too bad Toiddie's not here to see this...Maybe I'll print off a
copy and mail it to him...That's if he hasn't got the Taylorville Post
Master as PO'd at him as he has everyone else.

Steve, K4YZ

2968. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 11:01:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118833293.386282.70600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110201

John Smith wrote:
> ... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio...
> all have
> declined doing anything towards getting a license...
> once they even see
> a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as
> if I am crazy
> and ask, "You are kidding, right?"

With all due respect, perhaps your skill at getting them
interested needs some improvement....

Given your attitude towards Morse Code, you'd be as
effective as the chairman of the National Beef Council
trying to get people to be vegetarians..
>
> Then they grab their net-to-phone and/or keyboard and being
> chatting
> with canadians, so americans, mexicans, asians, aussies, brits, > etc...

Which takes no radio and no license. So it's not about Morse Code,
but about different interests.
>
> ... and at this point it is hard for me to pose a logical
> argument--

;-)

> women are just smarter than men... you can't fool them...
>
Not about fooling, but about what people are interested in.
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118785781.119633.267970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
> >> educations...
> >
> > What about women with real educations?
> >
> > Would you consider someone with a BSEE from the University of
> > Pennsylvania and an MSEE from Drexel University to have
> > "a real education"?
> >>
> >> ... the cw part
> >

> > Is an amateur test. And is a trivial problem to people with
> > real educations..
> >
> >> makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
> >> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
> >
> > Then why require someone with no interest in VHF-UHF to learn
> > those techniques in order to operate on HF? Why require
> > knowedge of FSK, PSK and other data modes to operate voice?
> > Why require knowledge of transistors and ICs to operate
> > vacuum-tube equipment?
> >
> > IOW, why require anyone to learn anything about a subject they
> > are not interested in, just to get a license to do the things
> > they *are* interested in?
> >
> > --
> >
> > Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
> > is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
> > isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
> > a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
> >
> > In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
> > as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
> > do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
> > ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
> > it so much.
> >
> > --
> >
> >> Warmest regards,
> >> John
> >>
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1118766723.066374.252520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > John Smith wrote:
> >> >> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...
> >> >
> >> > A few anticode folks have stated they want Morse Code *use* by hams
> >> > to
> >> > end, not just the test. They are a small minority, but they do
> >> > exist.
> >> >
> >> >> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when they
> >> >> would
> >> >> never use it...
> >> >
> >> > Who is "forced" to learn Morse Code?
> >> >

> >> > It's a requirement if someone wants an FCC-issued amateur license
> >> > with
> >> > HF privileges, that's all.
> >> >
> >> >> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not wish
> >> >> to,
> >> >> they should question everything in sight... just as you began
> >> >> when
> >> >> you
> >> >> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
> >> >
> >> > The argument you present boils down to this: If someone doesn't
> >> > want
> >> > to
> >> > use Morse Code in ham radio, they shouldn't be required to learn it
> >> > just
> >> > to pass a test (even a simple, basic test) to get a ham radio
> >> > license.
> >> > Those who choose to use it can learn it on their own.
> >> >
> >> > Is that about right?
> >> >
> >> > The problem is that the same argument can be made against almost
> >> > everything
> >> > in the written tests. For example, if someone doesn't intend to use
> >> > certain
> >> > bands, why are they forced to learn the band edges of every band
> >> > their
> >> > license allows? If someone doesn't intend to use more than a few
> >> > watts
> >> > of
> >> > transmitted power, why must they learn all that RF exposure stuff?
> >> > Indeed,
> >> > if someone doesn't intend to homebrew, why are they *forced* to
> >> > learn
> >> > all
> >> > that theory stuff?
> >> >
> >> > Sure, the written tests look easy to someone with a background in
> >> > radio,
> >> > electronics, computers or other related fields. But to someone from
> >> > an
> >> > unrelated field, they're not easy.
> >> >
> >> > Suppose you met a retired gentleman who had been a radioman in the
> >> > military 50+ years ago. He'd always wanted to be a ham but never
> >> > had
> >> > the time or resources. Now he finds that ham radio still exists,
> >> > and
> >> > he wants in.

> >> >
> >> > The gent can still do code well, and remembers the basics of theory
> >> > as it was 50+ years ago. He gets an HF receiver and listens to the
> >> > lovely Morse Code signals on the low ends of the HF bands.
> >> >
> >> > But in order to join the folks on 7010 or 3520, he needs an Extra.
> >> > And the written test is full of stuff he's never seen before, and
> >> > that he will never use.
> >> >
> >> > Why must he learn all that stuff he will never use just to pass the
> >> > tests?
> >> >
> >> > Sure, the stuff is easy for *you*, but not for *him*.
> >> >>
> >> >> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums straight...
> >> >
> >> > Let's see...
> >> >>
> >> >> Warmest regards,
> >> >> John
> >> >>
> >> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:u%tre.699$yg4.231@news01.roc.ny...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> > news:KLnre.61$o_4.3292270@news.sisna.com...
> >> >> >> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
> >> >> >> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc. ancestors
> >> >> >> used
> >> >> >> to
> >> >> >> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I wonder
> >> >> >> if
> >> >> >> we
> >> >> >> could bring those back to?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Invalid analogy.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Drums for communication aren't in wide use.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Morse Code for communication is in wide use in ham radio. Doesn't
> >> >> > need to be brought back because it's right here.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy
> >> >> >> tempo
> >> >> >> on
> >> >> >> one
> >> >> >> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
> >> >> >> <evil-grin>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Warmest regards,

> >> >> >> John
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Perhaps, John
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > But consider that some of us can send and receive cw faster than
> >> >> > most
> >> >> > folks can type.
> >> >
> >> > Yup.
> >> >
> >> >> > I know you may be good at "cut and paste", but that doesn't
> >> >> > necessarily cut
> >> >> > it LOL.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Sure, voice appears faster, but when you get names and addresses
> >> >> > that
> >> >> > are
> >> >> > hard to pronounce ....
> >> >
> >> > Bingo.
> >> >
> >> > For any message that needs to be written down, the speed limitation
> >> > is
> >> > usually the writing speed of the receiving op. The fact that
> >> > someone
> >> > can theoretically talks 150 wpm doesn't mean anything if the person
> >> > on the receiving end can only write legibly at 15 wpm.
> >> >
> >> > Text modes are great if you have the hardware for them and if you
> >> > are in a situation where you can look at a screen to read them.
> >> >>
> >> >> > Not saying that CW is the best, but some folks better come up
> >> >> > with
> >> >> > something
> >> >> > superior to AM and FM. There are a number of modes, but most
> >> >> > folks
> >> >> > want to
> >> >> > "talk". That won't cut it for 85 watt moonbounce on 24 GHz.
> >> >>
> >> >> > :)
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> >> >> > Jim AA2QA
> >> >> > ps - when I talk send and receive cw faster than some folks
> >> >> > type,
> >> >> > I'm
> >> >> > not

> >> >> > talking a nice, leisurely chat at 30 or 35 words per minute ...
> >> >> >
> >> > Yup.
> >> >
> >> > Good Morse ops can chat at speeds approaching those of voice ops
> >> > because
> >> > they use abbreviations and eliminate redundancies.
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >

2969. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 11:13:21 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0oednXv9MZT9kC3fRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110202

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:QqKre.203$nC4.14725234@news.sisna.com...
> N2EY:
>
> I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting a ham
> license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code
> license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code to chat
> with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier and reliably
> over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc... that is REAL. THAT
> is dropping the numbers of hams and putting bands in danger of being
> lost... that is holding our numbers at such low levels the FCC begins to
> find us more a bother than anything else...
>

This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all time high.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2970. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 11:15:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118834151.187494.18230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110203

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
> >... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all have
> >declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they even see
> >a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am crazy
> >and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>
> Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver State
> (CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired PhD
> in a specialized field of the biological sciences.

. . . then there was the legendary traffic handler Mae Burke W3CUL who
was a neighborhood housewife . .

> So much for generalizations.

Gotta love it.

> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

2971. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 12:24:41 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <d6Vre.973$kX4.174@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 110204

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:0oednXv9MZT9kC3fRVn-jg@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:QqKre.203$nC4.14725234@news.sisna.com...
>> N2EY:
>>
>> I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting a ham
>> license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code
>> license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code to chat
>> with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier and
>> reliably over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc... that is
>> REAL. THAT is dropping the numbers of hams and putting bands in danger
>> of being lost... that is holding our numbers at such low levels the FCC
>> begins to find us more a bother than anything else...
>>
>
> This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all time high.
>

It's just another one of those guys who thinks that Ham Radio is only relevant in as much as it resembles the Internet. - In other words, the guy has no clue about the hobby but wants to run it down because it is not the Internet.

I use this attitude as a handy intelligence test for amateurs... If they cannot differentiate between Amateur Radio and the Internet, or if they cannot see why there should and would be differences between the two - then I know that they are brain-damaged (or even worse) - associated with TAPR or the ARRL's HSMM group.

In any case the attitude indicates a clueless state in relation to the amateur radio hobby.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php

2972. "Typos", TimeLARD???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 13:03:52 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1118840631.965470.313040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110205
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd 2 wrote:
> This is a small <IKYABWAI>

Sort of like your never used penis, huh, 31 year old virgin?
BWHAHAHAHAHA!!!

> There are too many misspelled words for Lloydie to blame them all on
> typographical errors.

"breaddead" - LLoyd hasn't got anything upstairs in the oven
"defenseive" - Lardass is "defensive" of his ignorance
"counter-offenseive" - When LARDASS LLoyds attack
"nights" - Athens ASSHOLE was referring to last "night's" masturbation
session
"I forget to set the playlist in Winamp to repeat!" - Mongolloyd also
"forgets" the use of past tense, maybe he forgot!
"Techincal" - Lloyd just hates those "technical" things
"Dalkes" - Lloyd's granddad remembers when movies became "talkies" too
bad LARDASS learned to Dalk <sic>
"That it!" - When Mongolloyds get real mad, they talk like Tor Johnson
"Woger" - LARDASS closely identifies with Elmer Fudd with his lack of
hair and all
"decide on weather or not" - It's always "stormy weather" with Lloyd
deciding "whether" or not to use correct English
"can not" - Mongolloyd is wondering how he "cannot" seem to get ahead
in life
"is'nt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he is mad?
"PAINFULL" - How LARDASS' bathroom scale feels when he steps on it in
the morning, real "painful"
"I wish for Roger Wisemand" - Lloyd liked thosed thingd ford Rogerd
"alot" - Davies dreams he can communicate in English a lot
"thier" - Lavender Lloyd sure does lisp after a night behind the
dumpster
"dont" - Lloyd says "dont", the rest of the world says "don't"
"Isreal" - Is FATASS Lloyd's retardation for real, or can he blame
Israel?
"juist jelous" "extermenate" - A three for one post Athens Asshole
axiom
"footting" - What LARDASS doesn't have, an equal "footing" anywhere
"Cant" - Emmanuel?
"th" - When Lloyd gets nervous, he stutte, er stutters
"enfighting" - Lloyd's two brain cells are infighting for control of
his stupidity

"YOU STUPID JEW!" - Wasn't Jesus Jewish, so called Christian LLoyd?
"Tonite" - There's nothing in Lloyd's brain "tonight" or any night
"segmant" - What Lloyd's communications are, only sentence "segments"
"I just listening" - Too bad he doesn't listen to his poor English
"buffon" - The Athens, Alabama "buffoon" strikes again!
"museium" - Where LARDASS LLoyd keeps his "muse"
"nastey" - How everybody describes LARDASS' body stench, especially in the ghetto
"loose" - Lloyd's description of his spinchter muscles...
"wont" - Lloyd refuses to learn English, it's Lloyd's "wont"
"awhile" - It will be "a while" before Davies gets a clue
"freinds" - Lloyd's conglomeration of "friends" and "fiends"
"thier" - "There" just isn't any hope for LARDASS Lloyd "ill-educated" Davies
"backstabers" - Lloyd's version of the OJays' hit
"becuase" - Home of the "Deliverance Extras" spelling by LARDASS Lloyd
"obselete" - What LARDASS thinking is to him, others see it as 'obsolete'.....
"belive" - What fools like LARDASS Lloyd "believes"
"thier" - Ill-educated Xtian LARDASS Lloyd shows off his language skills!
"marshalls" - Mongolloyd spell "marshals" real good!
Wisemand - LARDASSd fucksd upd againd
"compay" - Mongolloyd never gets any female "compay," while others do get "company"
" But when we are winning," DUMBFUCK, YOU have NEVER WON anything in your miserable life!
"and do the nasty!" You don't really know what that means, do you, 30 year old VIRGIN?
"It's does" - Mongolloyd use good English
"momnet" - LARDASS Lloyd Davies misses his dead "momnet" for a "moment"
"seriuosly" - Lavender Lad President Mongolloyd wants to be taken "seriously" instead of "seriuosly"
"annouced" - Mongolloyd "announces" his stupidity again
"tonite" - Davies never lets his lack of education stop him from announcing to the world he's a fucking imbecile!
"nite" - When LARDASS Lloyd molests those kids he makes them say "nite, nite" at "night"
"weldare" - Calling Dr. Weldare, LARDASS needs his head out of his FATASS....put it on his welfare bill
"so fuck of" - When Mongolloyds who have man tits talk tough......
"fukcing funney" - Alabama deliverance extra Davies speak
"pictrue" - "Picture" yourself as a Davies Mongolloyd
"isseus" - Davies has "issues" too many to list here
"resortjng" - Is Lloyd from Finland?
"attsxks" - When LARDASS Davies "attacks" he uses illiteracy
"basturds"- What mental illness patient LARDASS Lloyd calls his nurses
"shkt" - Mongolloyd Davies' "Skittles", he likes that "Hershey rainbow"
"law suit" - LARDASS never leaves his HUD apartment with out his law suit

"opinionn" - When LARDASSn postsn whiln drunkn
"intrenet harrasssmint" - Tries to make LARDASS Lloyd's rotting teeth feel fresh
"sneeky" - The teachers always "sneaked" Lloyd through the next grade
"that' wasnet" - LLoyd was out that year of school that they went over English grammar
idiout! - "Lloyid" <sic> strikes out again!
"speling" - Mongolloyd Davies the "spelling" bee champ of the retards!
"reas isseus instaed" - Davies talking out of that cheap beer again
"atttacking" - LARDASS Lloyd stutters when he gets upset.....ttttt.....
"challegne" - When Mongolloyds drink and post
"metnal" - Mongolloyd just doesn't have the "mental" ability to debate......
"debste" - What Lloyd's mommy did to him in the bathtub
"cahllenge" - Mongolloyd speak for "call" and "challenge"
"basturds" - LLoyd ,Amanda's illegitimate "bastard" sure does have a scat fetish
"morsd" - Whend Daviesd drinkd andd postd
"wont" - It is Mongolloyd's "wont" to be stupid, "won't " you let him?
"youd" - Oops, LARDASS did it again!
"poinion" - Must be an Athens Alabama "Deliverance" thing
"Woger Wiseman"- LARDASS Lloyd's got that cocksucker's lisp
"isnt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he slobbers?
"worfh" - Davies' cocksucking lisp has returned
"waset of spaceda" - When Lloyd's only friend Colt 45 malt liquor kicks in
"Oppulant" - The only thing "opulent" in LARDASS is that he is rich in ignorance
"obly" - LARDASS lisp from cocksucking shows when he tries to say his favorite fag artist "Moby"
"wood" - LARDASS LLOYD'S head "would" be made of "wood"
"dserve" - LARDASS lives in that ghetto where they say he sure does "dserve" those pizzas
"frum" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES wonders where his real father is "from"
"DISCUST" - Mongolloyd Davies' command of the English language is "disgusting"
"You worthless coward are scared of debating me." - Mongolloyd make good sentence
"jellous" - Most of LARDASS Lloyd's cellulite body is "jealous" of skinny, in shape people
"It just didn't wok out" - When LARDASS tried to stir fry those nutsacks for pizza topping, Pappa John's terminated him saying he didn't "work out".
"entact" - LARDASS' single brain cell isn't "intact" either.
"empahis" - Notice how LARDASS Lloyd's "emphasis" is always on his fucking stupidity.
"Fuck all fo you" - Christian LARDASS Lloyd showing that Jesus spirit.....
"bllod" - How LARDASS Lloyd spells "blood"
"did'nt" - LARDASS "didn't" do very well in school

"PLEASE by a clue" - LARDASS Lloyd's parents couldn't even "buy" him an education
"extrodinare!" - LARDASS is a moron "Extraordinaire"
"unsubsribe" - Mongolloyd Davies "subscribes" to the theory that ignorance is bliss...he must be in heaven
"Must've struck a cord" - LARDASS confuses his favorite sex fetish of tying his penis with a light cord and masturbating to the word "chord".
"dumass" - Dumbass Davies trips and falls in shit again.
"Them two bigtime losers!" - Mongolloyd mentally ill Davies makes good sentence!
"straming" - Lardass usually has to wash his sheets from the "stream" of piss when he wets the bed or not given his filthy HUD apartment
"surpassinf" - LARDASS is always "surpassing" his previous levels of stupidity.
"pleanty" - <guffaw!> "Plenty" of stupidity coming from LARDASS Lloyd.
"Cause i'll have law lock you up and throw away the key!" - Mongolloyd talk tough!
"pome" - When LARDASS WADSWORTHLESS Stubbyfellow writes a "poem"
"Once she here's my show" - Most people hears a show, LARDASS "here's" them!
"forgotton" - LARDASS has "forgotten" what he was taught in special Ed
"I want your seriousness" - LARDASS does his take on "I want your sex"
"I did'nt ask for your fucking grammer checking!" - LARDASS Lloyd revels in his ignorance!
"agrument" - When the voices in LARDASS Lloyd's mentally ill head collide they have an "argument"
"craetive" - Mongolloyd Davies isn't very "creative" with his stupidity, however.
"You could have posted it, for we know!" - LARDASS make good sentence!
"bottem" - Mongolloyd likes those little boys' "bottoms" from hanging with Steve Holsten
"James Wickstrom talked about tonite that out threre, thier Sherriff's department" - Mongolloyd
shows off those Pulitzer prize writing skills.
"bring yer cents" - Mongolloyd show how he flunked out of school because he had no "sense"
"You peeks out" - LARDASS Lloyd and stupidity a symbiotic relationship.
"Be thier" - LARDASS Lloyd make good sentence!
"If you pussys have balls you confront me!!! Deep down you are scared of me. You our jellous
because I am the great almighty Time Lord!!!!
The Time Lord always wins in the end." - Does it really need to be said about Mongolloyd Davies?
"yerself", "hisself" - LARDASS picked up his language skills or lack thereof from the
niggers his mommy Amanda serviced.
"I lost TEN POUNDS on my diet simce first of the year!" - Too bad LARDASS didn't gain any language skills
"The best Amatuer Radio talk show ever!" - Chosen 5 to 1 over literacy by other ill-educated morons and Mongolloyd

"All of my Daleks have been dispached!" - Too bad some extra brain
neurons haven't, Mongolloyd.
"satillite" - When LARDASS met the space between his ears
"Tuseday" - LARDASS' usual day to wear his pink tutu
"I am 210 punds now!" - LARDASS lies and fucks up again!
"jelous" - LARDASS Lloyd is "jealous" of those who can spell, read, and
write.
"peoples" - LARDASS Lloyd the "people's" choice of the Biggest
L-O-S-E-R!
"curtians" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES uses those "curtains" in his filthy
apartment as a masturbation rag
"depsised" - Mongolloyd Davies, who the entire real ham community has
"despised" for his lack of ability
to pass a simple 5 wpm code test or General theory
"sicker" - When Lloyd Davies has a mouth full of cum he can't say
"sticker"
"liceneses" - Mongolloyd Davies has one of those exclusive "licenses"
on stupidity
"isseus" - LARDASS has "issues" with people of intelligence.
"conquor" - Mentally ill LARDASS Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his obesity
and mental illness
"crakheads" - Retard Davies make good sentence!
"servent" - Roger Wiseman's willing "servant" makes another gaffe.
"lesibens" - When Benjamins take the wild side...the "lesbians" don't
care, though!
"Los Angles" - Mongolloyd has the wrong "angle" about "Los Angeles"
"busses" - Do they take the motored "buses" or do they take the
"thier" - You say "their," Lardass says "thier".... to-may-to,
to-mah-to.....
"eductional" - LARDASS Lloyd's writing is "educational" on how severe
illiteracy is in his family.
"She sounded like she really whappy to here from me." - Mongolloyd get
rejected again!
"This is morning I weigh at 207 pounds. This should prove to you, that
I can succeed if I put my
mind to it. I know some your stupid critics can't see that, but I'm not
here to please you." -
- LARDASS makes the "huh?" factor rise.
"This looks much more like sexy Lloyd" - Porky Pig lookalike stubby
tubby Davies dreams
he looks like a skinny, tall Billy Zane
"I figured you the thought of me owning..." - Lardass dreams the
impossible dream of thought
"I took you a long hour and a several minutes..." - Too bad LARDASS
Lloyd didn't take and pass English
"I get a laugh over you!" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"did'nt" - "Didn't" Lardass ever pass any school subject?
"Proves to show" - Lavender Lloyd proves he is an imbecile
"It' paid off" - Alcoholic LARDASS Lloyd's bar and utility bills never
are though.

"I wear if with" - Davies wears his stupidity well
"You need to get al ife and a job." Mongolloyd retardo Davies needs to get English lessons and
not dream of an "Al ife" with "Al Queda"
"I've never fucking pretending attorneys!" - LARDASSclown Davies never had to pretend to be stupid, either!
"...what your life must me like..." - Mongolloyd's life of pizza delivery and trashcan robots is really something
to want, too, isn't it?
"manor" - Lardassclown Davies' "manners" are like those of a hog....
"Christien" - Poor LARDASS Davies, he's shows why ignorance is bliss in the eyes of the Lord.
"reely" - Mongolloyd Davies is "really" stupid, isn't he?
"please don't imposter me more" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"distastful" - A description of LARDASSCLOWN DAVIES' eating habits.
"commnet" - Most people make "comments," ill-educated Lloyd Davies makes "commnets".
"None of you refuse to tell me who you are." - Huh, Mongolloyd?
"Millinium" - Even after a "millennium" LARDASS couldn't be smart!
"afriad" - LARDASSclown Davies isn't too smart, I'm "afraid"
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd Davies sure has a "powerful" command of the English language.
"conquor" - Lardass Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his idiocy.
"I always new that your stupidity would trip you up, Mr. Wiseman."
- I just "knew" Llardass Lloyd couldn't pose as "Christy
Davies" without fucking it up and outing himself.
"I have given it some though and decided to come back" - Mongolloyd has never given anything any "thought." (from another "I'm leaving" speech/lie)
"usaul" - As "usual" Lardass lloyd Davies fucks up!
"Secwet Woger " Llardass Llavender Llad Lloyd's been sucking so many cocks he's got a lisp!
"douters" - Even though he has his "doubters", it's
"doubtful" Llardass Lloyd has an I.Q. over 70.
"bypolar" - Mongolloyd Davies is a "bipolar bisexual".
"desperite" - Lardass Lloyd is so "desperate" to win at something, anything.
"situtation" - Most people get in "situations, " but not our total fuck-up Lloyd.
"aholt" - Lardass Lloyd usually gets "a hold" of himself on a Saturday night, since he has no date!
"mysteriuos" - Mongolloyd Davies, "mysterious" survivor of a coat hanger abortion attempt.
"I've did" - Most people say " I did"
"Woger" - When cocksucking, lisping fags try to say " Roger."
"for sometime" - Lardass has been a mentally ill retard "for some time" now.
"mommie's" - When Mongolloyds say "Mommy's" as in "Mommy's house."
"drop out" - Lloyd was a High school and college " dropout."
"backpedalling" - "Backpedaling" is what Lardass does a lot of.

"Maybe two years back" - Mongolloyd speak.
"outted" - Lardass Lloyd's illteracy at work.
"I've riddled Woger for years as Arf! Arf!" - Mongolloyd is Arf!Arf!, and his stupidity is no riddle.
"self esteme" - One thng is for sure, Lardass has it..."low self esteem," that is.
"short comings" - Lardass has many, many "shortcomings."
"yahoo" - Lardass always goes into the "Yahoo!" gay chat rooms.
"The truth hurts you see" - So does reading your mangling of the English language, Lardass.
"His stupidity can not be disguised for long." - Lardass' stupidity "cannot" be disquised at all.
"entireity" - Lardass is the embodiment of stupidity in its' "entirety."
"bothe" - Lardass is "both" stupid and retarded.
"got hime" - Lardass has got "him" a case of the dumbass!
"evelope" - Mongolloyd pushes the stupidity "envelope" to the max.
"chiicken" - Lardass Lloyd should eat more "chicken" instead of leftover pizzas then he wouldn't be obese.
"dont" - "Don't" ever improve your English skills, Lardass!
"likign" - Huh? More Mongolloyd Ebonics.
"you ignorant spawn of satin" - Lardass ironically confesses his love of wearing lace!
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd's brain cell isn't "powerful" enough to light a christmas light.
"heresay" - Mongolloyd is a fucking idot, and that's no "hearsay."
"glas" - Lardass Lloyd never uses a "glass" to drink his sticky protein.
"freind" - Dicksucking Davies hasn't got a "friend" in the world.
"yu" - Lardass, "you" really should've gotten a better education!
"Got Brother Stair tuned in here to listen to while sleeping tonite!" - Mongolloyd fucks up again!
"I am not a retrard" - Oh, yes, you are, Lardass!
"Got you in another lie!" - We've "caught you" in another fuckup, Dumbass Davies!
"I am not mentally challenged! I have some pontential." - Mongolloyd is in denial about his imbecility and has the "potential" to become an even bigger jackass!
"did'nt" - Mongolloyd "didn't ever pass Spelling.
"soon all your asses are belong to sherrif" - Mongolloyd fantazises about having sex with his local "sheriff"...
"shure" - We are "sure" you are a fucking moron, Llardass Lloyd!!
"proxie servers" "conecting" - Mongolloyd used "proxy servers" when he "connected" to spam Josh's board.
"enfrocement" - Mongolloyd also fucked up when he spoofed caller ID's and spammed boards, and now law "enforcement" is watching him.
"apoligize" - Mongolloyd's parents should "apologize" for ever siring such a mentally ill retard.
"meagre" - Mongolloyd's "meager" brain can't comprehend English.
"goten" - If only Mongolloyd would've "gotten" an education!

"Prepositioned" - Mongolloyd always gets "propositioned" by nouns and pronouns!
"temting" - Mongolloyd is as "tempting" as a root canal.
"accept" - Mongolloyd use the wrong word in the wrong place again!
"normaly" - "Normally" Lardass would be passed out from Two bud lites by now
"red head" - Little Red is shoving his big ole black dickhead in Lardass' fat piehole.
"Aflack" - Mongolloyd fucks up yet again..www.aflac.com
"Dickionary" - Mongolloyd is almost as bad as his queer lover Holsten in obsessing about dicks.
"Pnig" - Mongolloyd can "ping" an anvil off of his thick malformed skull
"pratice" - Lardass has lots of "practice" fucking up.
"dont" - Dicksucking Davies is too stupid to use an apostrophe, isn't he?
Mongolloyd thinks "Lent" and "lint" are pronounced the same.
"ancinet" - Mongolloyd will be past "ancient" before he gets any pussy.
"harrasment" - Lardass just loves when his fat asshole gets "harassment" from the drunk black winos!
"persecute" - Mongolloyd "Christy Davies" Attorney-at-Law makes quite the lapsis calami in his "prosecution".
"fulest" - Little Lloydie is "fullest" when he's done sucking down wino nutsack goo!
"i'll" "wether" - Mongolloyd, "I'll" just bet you can't decide "whether" or not to clean your shitty underpants or keep wearing them.
"authroities" - Mongolloyd's familiar with the "authorities" since his brother has been carted away to jail more times than he can count.
"Perhaps I can Hal on my show too!" - Perhaps you should consider remedial English, FATASS!
"cannonized" - Mongolloyd thinks somebody will have to be shot out of a cannon to be "canonized"
"This board is alot better than all them N54 Boards!" - Mongolloyd is sure overwhelmed, "then", by "all those" words!
"I dont reconnise you opinions" - Mongolloyd "doesn't recognize" his stupidity, either.
" I donlt care" - Obviously, Lardass, obviously.
"Thats" "benifit" - "That's" one of Lardass' many fuckups. He doesn't have the "benefit" of having a dictionary.
"I already notice Woger seeing my everywhere" - Drunken fat bastard Lardass never notices when he fucks up.
"Look, crackhead, I am NOT degenerates!" - Mongolloyd isn't very literate, either.
"URASE" - Mongolloyd's empty skull never had to be "ERASED" it never contained anything to begin with.
"I reading the board tonite" - Mongolloyd can only read comic books.
"REMIDER" - Lardass never has to leave a "reminder" of his many fuck ups.
"jsut" - Mongolloyd is "just" a mentally ill retard.
"Im" - "I'm" sure Lardass isn't getting any pussy.

"theere" - Mongolloyd isn't all "there".
"Activatiuons" - Lardass Mongolloyd always has his stupidity
"activations" ready to show themselves.
"steganographic" - Mongolloyd takes his "stenographic" duties as a
pizza order taker seriously.
Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp!
"dogy" - Mongolloyd likes it "doggy" style. Receiving, it, that is.
"Tonigjht" - Mongolloyd will be on here "tonight" because he can never
get a woman.
"1987 rqadio shack reciever" - Mongolloyd the cheapass makes good
spell!
"neber" - Mongolloyd will "NEVER" get laid!
"yourslelf" - Go fuck "yourself", Mongolloyd!
"You must really hate be because" - Lardassed Mongolloyd really hates
the English language, too!
"Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp again!
"Roger Wiseman better know as the" - Mongolloyd, better "known" as the
eternal virgin and mentally ill retard.
"Secwet" - Mongolloyd is queer and proud.
"turd in the punch bowel." - Mongolloyd knows about bowels, not
"bowls". Mongolloyd eats on the floor and in bowel cavities.
"he was refused by the Air Force in 1978" - Mongolloyd, how does one
get "refused" by any military? Especially when he was honorably
discharged a year earlier?
"was sent for a 90 observation period " Dumbfucking fuckhead, what is a
90 observation period???? BWHAHAHAHAHA
"shoudl" - Mongolloyd "should" really shut the fuck up.
"Here is" - Here "are" some more examples why Lardass is a fucking
imbecile.
"Woger" - Lardass is here and QUEER!
"Goddman that Lloyd is sexy!" - But that Mongolloyd is so sexy he is
still a VIRGIN. BWHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
"laugheds" - Mongolloyd was always "laughed" at.
"I have off this newsgroup for over 2 years!" - Mongolloyd should "off"
himself, instead of "being off".
"I have my own internet Talk show, what can be said about your useless
self." - Mongolloyd has no listeners or anything useful to say. He's so
"useless,"
"that's what can be said" about him.
"You are very useless on here, jon" - While Mongolloyd Davies is
useless on and offline.
"Even used up toilet paper has a use, you sure dont!" - Most people
flush "used up toilet paper, " Mongolloyd eats used up toilet paper,
"don't" he?
"I'll shot to kill" - Lardass, you couldn't handle a cap gun.
"Re,omder" - Lardass always leaves us a "reminder" that he is as smart
as a rock.

"resuce" - Mongolloyd can't "rescue" himself from his own stupidity!

"maocked" - Mongolloyd fucks up again, no wonder he is "mocked" for his stupidity!!!

"tipe" - If Mongolloyd the Lardass would pull his malformed head out of his ass, he could "type" correctly.

"pregant" - With his looks, Lardass Davies never has to worry about getting a girl "pregnant."
"when thet saw" - And when "they" saw Lardass' whimpering, they knew he was a big fucking PUSSY.
"Mayeb" - "Maybe" somebody will feel sorry for you and give you a charity fuck, but it's doubtful, Mongolloyd!
"tmorrow" - Mongolloyd will never get a woman, "tomorrow" or any other day.
"Palastine" - Mongolloyd couldn't find "Palestine" on a fucking map or anyplace else.
"Woger the notsowiseman" - Mongolloyd the eternal idiot fucks up and shows off his cocksucking lisp again.
"I hope kills himself" - Mongolloyd wishes he had killed himself.
"agruement." - Mongolloyd is a fucking imbecile, no "argument."
"You're posts" - BWHAHAHAHAHA.."your" fucking stupidity stands out as well, you fucking idiotic fat fuck.
"Globin Woger" - Mongolloyd the 5'6 280 pound garden gnome makes good spell and IKYABWAI.
"Go aheah" - Mongolloyd never can get "ahead" of his stupidity.
"ssomething" - Mongolloyd "ssure" (his spelling) did fuck up again!
"pictures show email them" - Lardass "should" know better then to "show" his stupidity.
"mor" - Mongolloyd gets "more" stupid by the minute.
"celbrating" - Mongolloyd is "celebrating" his stupidity.
"palgues" - Lardass Davies is a "plague" that needs exterminated.
"have the nerver" - Lardassed Mongolloyd "has the nerve" to criticize others.
"Golen" - Mongolloyd's fuckups are "golden" ammunition against the dumb fat fuck.
"posts my closet" - Lardass "by" default fucks up again.
"Froce" - Mongolloyd tires to spell "force", what his drunk daddy did with his dick on Mongolloyd's asshole.
"AUTHROIXATION" - Mongolloyd Davies never needs "auhorization" to be idiotic.
"morrse" - Lardass can't pass 5 wpm "Morse" code.
"netwerk" - Mongolloyd is part of the idiot "network."
"characture" - Mongolloyd is a "character"..a villiage idiot.
"Learn to spelll" - Lardass fucks up again!
"describes to the T" - Mongolloyd is an IDIOT, that "describes him to a T."
"a peace of me" - We take a "piece" out of Lardass' ample ass on a daily basis.
"bus fair" - Lardass can't pay his own bills on time , much less anybody's "bus fare."
"waht" - "What" do you know, Lardass fucks up again!
"to pay for you" - Lardass you can't "pay for your" own expenses.

"HELLUVA ALOT" - Lardass' English skills are not "a Hell of a Lot" of help to him.
"mentionm" - Lardass never wants us to "mention" or point out his numerous fuck ups.
"your are" - Mongolloyd, "you are" one of the stupidest assholes on the internet.
"alone may to much " - Lardass's sentence construction "alone MAY be too much" to his tiny brain to handle!

You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

"Woger make false bad spell" - Lardass "makes" and ASS of himself, again.

""Mongolloyd". Isn't even word," - BWHAHAHAHA Mongolloyd is English-impaired.
"mentaly illed" - Mongolloyd knows all about being "mentally ill."

"Woger" "femine" - Mongolloyd shows his "feminine" side when he lusts for "Roger's" cock.
"Wheer" - Mongolloyd always wonders "where" his brain is.
"scooterr" - Mongolloyd never could have a "scooter" his fatass was too heavy for one, even if they had semi-truck shocks and springs.
"stal" - Mongolloyd's "brain" is permanently on "stall".
"aymore" - Mongolloyd never tries, he just whines "anymore".
"talkjing" - Lardass never has any women "talking" to him.
"cant" - Mongolloyd "can't" use correct punctuation.
"im" - Mongolloyd, "I'm" sure you will die a virgin, you dumbass!
"i" - Lardass, "I" know you are a fat whining cunt.
"may as wel" - Mongolloyd "may as well" kill himself.
"lefty alone" - Lardass masturbates with which hand, "his "left alone."
"NIM Busters has run its coarse" - Of "course," Mongolloyd fucks up his sentence!
"Wlardass" - "WMongolloyd" "wfucks" "wup" "Wagain"....

"Wheating" - Lardass has "cheated" on everyhting he's every tried to do, since he's so incompetent and inferior, and he still fucks up.

"Wabbi Woger" - Mongolloyd thinks any dick is a good dick to suck.
"Woger" - Mongolloyd's been sucking dicks again
"others" - Mongolloyd can't fathom "others'" hatred for his useless fat ass!

"You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
"imput" - Mongolloyd's brain has no "input"! Mongolloyd one stupid fat faggot.
"Hundred's" - Mongolloyd couldn't count to one much less "hundreds"
"dopesnt" - Mongolloyd "doesn't" have a command of the English

language!
"Wait till" - Mongolloyd we will "wait until" you compose a coherent sentence, but it will be a long wait.
"We wn't" - Lardass Davies, you should fuck off with your stupidity so "we won't" see it ever again.
"Woger is now awoke" - Mongolloyd is never "awake."
"is too stupid to under awoke" - oh the irony, Mongolloyd!
"I shits my pants" - Mongoolloyd sure does and eats it, too!
"treasing" - Mongolloyd likes "teasing" nigger assholes with his syphilitic tongue.
"It's being TOS even" -Mongolloyd the only thing you TOS is your tiny dick!
"peoples" - Mongolloyd always steals other "people's" lines!
"I really wish Steve wasn't suck a pussy and would come back to this board" - Mongolloyd makes good fucked up sentence! Mongolloyd made a Freudian slip when he used "suck" instead of "such".
"I think it is long since past time when you closed this shitty board!" -Mongolloyd smoke bad weed!
"wraped" - Mongolloyd has frequently "wrapped" his syphilitic tongue around a nigger's asshole!
"hig" Who knows what the Athens Asshole means?????
"teir" - Mongolloyd is Belle Plaine's "Forrest Gump," As to his retarded peers, he's "their" Camp-We-Can-Do's star pupil.
"tribes" - Mongolloyd's "tribe's" name is Retardos!
"abou" - "About" this time, Lardass the alcoholic fucks up!
"till" - "Until" Mongolloyd learns English, he'll always be ridiculed.
"din't" - Mongolloyd "didn't" ever finish high school!
"stuipd" - Lardass Davies is really "stupid," isn't he?
"are we know" - Mongolloyd has a hard time posting in English. Being more stupid, "are we now," Lardass?
"I just you like a brother" - Mongolloyd would take it up the ass from you just like he did from his brother, too.
"I've been to two Hamfest" - Mongolloyd's never been to two English classes, though!
"Wogie" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucker's lisp.
"his paint size" - Mongolloyd wears size 50 "pants," does he also wear "paint" because he is a walking billboard for obesity?
"HAMFEST'S" - Mongolloyd has never shown at any "hamfests"! He's too big of a coward!
"Woger" - Lardass likes to think of "Roger" when he sucks nigger dicks!
"West Vagina" - Yes, "Virginia," Mongolloyd hasn't ever seen any vagina, west or east, north or south.
"reconize" - Mongolloyd, your fuckups are easy to "recognize"
"thopught" - Mongolloyd never has any "thoughts", except to show off his lisp again!
"Who hear likes" - Mongolloyd nobody likes you, "here" or anywhere!
"Wogie" - Lardass Lloydie's lisp exposes him again!
"really beated" - Mongolloyd really "beats" off, since he can't get a woman.
"Woger" - Mognolloyd makes like faggot.

"Curtain's" - Mongolloyd always fucks up when he hides behind his "curtains" of sockpuppets.
"cocksuckers" - Lardass always fucks up and punctuates incorrectly, must be his "cocksucker's" lisp.
"spinctor" - Mongolloyd has the "spinchter" muscle the size of a #10 washtub, since his drunk daddy, his felon older brother and his mommy's dildoe has stretched it out.
"reconized" - Lardass Davies stupidity is easily "recognized".
"porpuse" - Mongolloyd's stupidity is on "purpose"!
"worlds" - Mongolloyd is the "world's" worst speller!
"comfortbale" - Mongolloyd confessed he is "comfortable" looking at dicks all day long.
"For the recod" - For the "RECORD," Mongolloyd is retarded!
"all these yours" - "All these years" of posting, and Lardass has yet to post in English.
"I have no worked for 22 days straight" - Too bad you don't concentrate on your English for the same legnth of time, Porky!
"Roger'" - "Roger's" what's always on your little mind, Porky!
"Shittpacker" - Mongolloyd has a "shitpacker" for a father.
"revoaked" Mongolloyd your life will soon be "revoked."
"Upeset?" BWHAHAHAHAHA..Mongolloyd you get "upset" so easily, BITCH!
"knumskull" - Mongolloyd, I could fucking care less since I'm not Catholic, you fucking "Numbskull"
"BEVERY FUCKNH AWARE" - Mongolloyd should "BE VERY FUCKING AWARE" of his surroundings and who is watching him!
"orafice" - Mongolloyd has had a big greasy dick stuck in every "ORFICE" in his obese body.
"YOUR STILL" Mongolloyd, "you're still" a fucking imbecile!
"Funn" - Mongolloyd's face sure is "FUNNY" looking.
"Your whole life has past you by." - Lardass, your life has already "passed" you by the minute you were shit out of your whore mommy.
"Eldery" - If you were a bit more "ELDERY," Porky ,you would be a better speller.
"Jelous" - Nobody, I repeat nobody is "JEALOUS" of a retard like Mongolloyd Davies!

"He 4F for the Air Force" - Wrong, Mongolloyd, you are 4F for LIFE!
"plagarize" - Mongolloyd, you couldn't even "plagiarize" from "See Jack Run" correctly.
"Is like our her Steve and Lloyd" - Mongolloyd fucks up again! Mongolloyd calls himself and Holstan a "her."

"to much" - Mongolloyd tries to communicate in English but it's just "too much" for his tiny brain to handle.
"beutiful" - Mongolloyd is as "beautiful" as a WART HOG!
"Trough" - Mongolloyd can't get that everybody sees that he is fucking stupid "THROUGH" his malformed skull.
"would all upset" - Try posting in English, DUMBFUCK Davies!
"backpedd;e" - Mongolloyd "backpedals" when he gets upset and misspells words!
"Good are you ever pathetic" - Mongolloyd, Goddamn, are YOU ever

pathetic when you fuck up like that.
"Make ne" - Mongolloyd is trying to say "make me" like a little girl, but naturally, he fucks it up! HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!
"I'm not into, unlike you" - Mongolloyd isn't into looking smart, either.
"Rare end" - Lardass, you "rear end" is so big, when you back up, you beep.
"hygienne" - Mongolloyd hasn't got any "hygiene" habits.
"suprise" - "SURPRISE", Mongolloyd fucks up again!
"poved" - Mongolloyd "proved" he is a fucking idiot once again!
"Momma" "marbels"- Your Crackwhore "Mama" knows you don't have all your "marbles", Porky!
"secoondly" - Mongolloyd is trying to count the times he has given blowjobs "secondly"
"atten" - Lardass you didn't "attend" school, either!
"backwerds" -Mongolloyd is always ass-"backwards!"
"Were" - "We're" sure you are a fucking moron, Mongolloyd!
"he's laugh and go on" - Lardass we laugh at your fat dumbass, and go on with our fucking lives, but you don't have a life!
"tradion" - Mongolloyd's "tradition" is to fuck up!
"Mitzah" - Porky the Jew didn't have anything to cut off at the Bar "Mitzvah!"
"mines for his baby batter" - Mongolloyd shouldn't drink , take drugs and post!
"Ralley" - Mongolloyd should really try to "rally" his few remaining brain cells!
"Vagina" - Something Lardass Davies has only sees when his whore mommy shit him out.
"Your welcome" - Mongolloyd, "you're" one stupid fucking retard.
"Llouyds" - "Lloyd's" such a fucking DUMBASS, he can't even spell his own name correctly!
"stagnanat?" - Do you mean "stagnant" like what is between your fucking Dumbo ears, Mongolloyd?
"accomadations" - Do you mean "accommodations" which are quite unlike your flithy HUD apartment in the Athens, AL ghetto?
"oppurtunity" - Mongolloyd never misses an "opportunity" to be stupid.
"Stubbenville" - Mongolloyd couldn't find WV on the map much less "Stubenville, Ohio."
"copy & past" - Mongolloyd spams with his "copy & paste" of every fucking idiotic thing he sees!
"appretince" - Mongolloyd makes good spell for a quarter-wit "apprentice".
"glorius" - Mongolloyd make "glorious" FUCK UP!
"weekened" - Mongolloyd's "weekend" consists of getting drunk and whining about another man! That is, between delivering pizzas.
"Woger mkae" - "Roger make"s Mongolloyd look like a fool, time and time again!
"noddle" - Lardass, your little "noodle" of a dick is like a fucking shard of thin spaghetti!

"usae" - Mongolloyd never "use"s any fucking sense or correct spelling.
"I ambored" - Mongolloyd, you are an idiot as well!

Mongolloyd make good spells!

2973. Re: K1MAN The crap has hit the fan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 13:05:29 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1118840729.493397.191870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110206

K4YZ wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > Condensed version:
> > >
> > > This $21,000 fine is for the apparent transmission of willful and repeated
> > > interference;
> > > willful and repeated communications in which he had a pecuniary interest;
> > > willful and repeated failure to file requested information pursuant to an
> > > Enforcement Bureau directive; willful broadcasting; and willful failure to
> > > exercise control of his station.
> >
> >
> > 1. Awesome!
>
> Fer suuure!
>
> > 2. Shessh! It's about time!
>
> You got THAT right.
>
> Too bad Toiddie's not here to see this...Maybe I'll print off a
> copy and mail it to him...That's if he hasn't got the Taylorville Post
> Master as PO'd at him as he has everyone else.
>

More stalking, Nursie?

2974. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 13:07:54 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1118840874.227370.128540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110207

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> > > It would be nice if all you had to do was put in a switch with more
> > > positions on it, but those darn "innards" would have to be modified too.
> > > Old equipment would probably have only a few bands it would operate on,
> > > and the olde analog dials would add to the problems. The old stuff would
> > > probably just have to be written off as a loss.
> >
> > Heaven forbid that a ham would modernize his station.
>
> True.
>
> Tell us about yours, Brian.
>
> > Here's an idea. Just keep on using them as they are, on the bands they
> > are on.
>
> Whoa....poignant.
>
> > Newer equipment would be
> > > more amenable to modification, and displays would more likely continue
> > > to work. Of course, someone has to do the mods, or the new equipment
> > > gets consigned to the recycle bin too. Some of the newer equipment would
> > > possibly not be convertible
> >
> > People who have MARS licenses do it every day. I wonder if Steve ever
> > held a position of "authority" in MARS?
>
> Just write the letter and find out, Brian.
>
> Ooops...I forgot...You're a coward...Never mind.
>
> > > Okay, so lets say we just ditched all the analog equipment, and most
> > > all of the digital equipment up to date of change.
> >
> > Knock yourself out.
>
> As opposed to YOU walking around that way?
>
> > > Now lets talk about antennas. It isn't likely that we will have single
> > > antennas at any station, save for the resurrection of the old general
> > > purpose dipole fed with ladder line, run through a tuner. That's one

> > > that olde tyme hammes will recognize! I suppose the Steppir antennas
> > > could work if you have enough coin. The method proposed by Jim will not
> > > accommodate the tricks we use now to provide an acceptable match as the
> > > major HF bands will not be harmonically related.
> >
> > Trap dipoles don't have to be on bands that are harmonically related.
>
> Not if the passband you want to operate on falls within the other
> desired band. In most cases you still need a tuner for bands other
> than the ones the antenna is cut for.
>
> > > All in all, no thanks.
> > >
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > Congrats. You've just made "Full" member.
>
> As opposed to you who is just full of it...?!?!
^^^

Oh, the irony, no-documentation Nursie.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

2975. Re: Nursie whines after his lies are exposed...No Guts...Nothing Unexpected...Nothing new!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 13:12:23 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1118841143.517338.40640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110208

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > We've not heard a clear and definitive statement from you to
> > > Lennie on his vile parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns.
> > >
> > > No cajones to take a stand? Or do you "admire" Lennie for this
> > > too...???
> >
> > Had you read my previous comments, you wouldn't be making such absurd
> > statements. Or maybe you would anyway.
>
> Still no CLEAR, definitive statement by you.
>
> Lot's of "...well, didn't you tell him?.."
>
> And YOU ahve the temerity to call ME "dodge".

And you "have" the gall to criticize anybody else, dodging Nursie?

>
> Thanks for the proof of your cowardice, Brain...Nothing I didn't
> expect.

Thanks for the proof you are a fucking illiterate fat fuck, Nursie, but
maybe your girdle is really too tight for you and causes you to
misspell "have."

2976. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 13:37:43 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <HaWre.863$yg4.541@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110209

John,

You could make the same assertion about a driver's license. Memorize some rules and take a road test.

Do you support eliminating motor vehicle tests? Perhaps only for college educated folks?

Might it make sense to require folks to know where the band edges are, or would you think it doesn't matter.

If you travel to the U.K., do you think it might be smart to understand that they drive on the *left* side of the road rather than the right? Even if you are a pedestrian?

I suspect you'd be upset if someone started transmitting on your Direct Tv frequencies and killed your reception. There are rules and folks wishing licenses are supposed to demonstrate some knowledge of those rules. These rules do not require the calculus, yet even a college grad has to demonstrate some knowledge of them.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:snEre.146$5R7.12507228@news.sisna.com...
> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
> educations...
>
> ... the cw part makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

2977. Re: K1MAN The crap has hit the fan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 13:47:24 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d8pbhb$17nm$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110210

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>>Condensed version:
>>>
>>>This $21,000 fine is for the apparent transmission of willful and repeated
>>>interference;
>>>willful and repeated communications in which he had a pecuniary interest;
>>>willful and repeated failure to file requested information pursuant to an
>>>Enforcement Bureau directive; willful broadcasting; and willful failure to
>>>exercise control of his station.
>>
>>
>>1. Awesome!
>
>
> Fer suuure!
>
>
>>2. Shessh! It's about time!
>
>
> You got THAT right.
>
> Too bad Toiddie's not here to see this...Maybe I'll print off a
> copy and mail it to him...That's if he hasn't got the Taylorville Post
> Master as PO'd at him as he has everyone else.

Perhaps Todd could fill the void left by MAN's imminent departure?

Although from his postings, I'm not really sure he could actually get a
signal out.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2978. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 13:50:48 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d8pbno$14ie$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110211

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
> With all due respect, perhaps your skill at getting them
> interested needs some improvement....
>
> Given your attitude towards Morse Code, you'd be as
> effective as the chairman of the National Beef Council
> trying to get people to be vegetarians..

Wouldn't that be better the other way around, Jim? A vegetarian who hates meat trying to get people to come to say a pig roast? ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2979. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 13:57:15 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d8pc3r$1a06$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110212

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>
> Phil Kane wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>
>>>... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all have
>>>declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they even see
>>>a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am crazy
>>>and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>>
>> Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver State
>> (CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired PhD
>> in a specialized field of the biological sciences.
>
>
> . . . then there was the legendary traffic handler Mae Burke W3CUL who
> was a neighborhood housewife . .

I can't imagine any person becoming a Ham because they simply want to
"chat with someone around the world".

In the first place most of my DX contacts are pretty terse, and don't
fulfill any "chatting needs". Not that I have chatting needs!

There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority, they
are probably no more of a minority than women's representation in other
technical fields. This would mean that any problem is shared with those
other technical fields, and not a Ham radio specific problem.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2980. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 14:46:53 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <408b9bf920607ccf13fbbaf333fc89e8@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 110213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <9aednXLna9o9xjLfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
> More of (Poor Butt Cornholer, posts Mongolloyd Davies' fuck
ups!)

More of imaginary pilot boy's fuck ups (Nice to see you posted
Lardass Davies' aka Mongolloyd's fuck ups, though, guffaw!):

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't
even spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary
spelling skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc>
wrote:
"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability
wogie"

2981. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 14:53:31 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d8pfdb$1a4g$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110214

bb wrote:

>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> Probably the latter. Certainly a lot of small bands would be very
>>>>interesting. There would be a fair amount of equipment going out of use,
>>>>which would be a shame. No doubt modifications could be made, but with
>>>>many bands, the old equipment only has so many switch positions! 8^)
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>Wow! For want of a band switch, you would let go of better (24hr)
>>>coverage?
>>>
>>>Maybe Jimmy the Riveter wouldn't mind stopping by with a chassis punch
>>>and wire up a new switch for you.
>>
>> It would be nice if all you had to do was put in a switch with more
>>positions on it, but those darn "innards" would have to be modified too.
>>Old equipment would probably have only a few bands it would operate on,
>>and the olde analog dials would add to the problems. The old stuff would
>>probably just have to be written off as a loss.
>
>
> Heaven forbid that a ham would modernize his station.

> Here's an idea. Just keep on using them as they are, on the bands they
> are on.

> Newer equipment would be
>
>>more amenable to modification, and displays would more likely continue
>>to work. Of course, someone has to do the mods, or the new equipment
>>gets consigned to the recycle bin too. Some of the newer equipment would
>>possibly not be convertible
>
>
> People who have MARS licenses do it every day. I wonder if Steve ever

> held a position of "authority" in MARS?
>
>
>> Okay, so lets say we just ditched all the analog equipment, and most
>>all of the digital equipment up to date of change.
>
>
> Knock yourself out.
>
>
>> Now lets talk about antennas. It isn't likely that we will have single
>>antennas at any station, save for the resurrection of the old general
>>purpose dipole fed with ladder line, run through a tuner. That's one
>>that olde tyme hammes will recognize! I suppose the Steppir antennas
>>could work if you have enough coin. The method proposed by Jim will not
>>accommodate the tricks we use now to provide an acceptable match as the
>>major HF bands will not be harmonically related.
>
>
> Trap dipoles don't have to be on bands that are harmonically related.

Perhaps we should go back to what Jim posted with his original question:

Jim's quote
*> Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in
*> the "60 meter" region.
*>
*> Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:
*>
*> 1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to
*> 2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..
*>
*> or
*>
*> 2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such
*> as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the
*> same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.
*>
*> Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz
*> overall?

End Jim's quote.

Under Jim's scenario, we would be adding several bands.

That trap dipole would be interesting indeed! Likely mostly traps.
Maybe I'll try to design one. Jim will have to give me the specific
frequencies that will be added in his scenario.

And trsp dipoles are not a very universal answer. In my situation I
would have to make a loaded trapped dipole for 80 meters and to cover
other bands. Now *that* would be a hoot! And quite heavy.

>
>> All in all, no thanks.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Congrats. You've just made "Full" member.

Full member of what? I've given my opinion, and it is based on some technical and practical reasons.

Your reason is that my opinion makes me a full member of something because I gave an answer based on those reasons.

If you want to say I'm wrong, then fine. I don't care about being proven wrong. Show me *why* my opinion is wrong. If I can't refute it, I'll admit it and I'll learn something from you.

But otherwise you're just castigating me for the sake of doing it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2982. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 15:01:54 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <675d0ffaac9e070b9413410324649630@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 110215

In article <atOdnRsiAcIPxDLfRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Registered sex offender Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys
<m...@that.ten> wrote:

> Poor two-test wogie, can't get the idea of sleeping with young
boys off

"two-test wogie" ... Butt Cornholer makes good sentence!

Poor Butt Cornholer, he was caught cornholing his
grandkids..........again, and has to register his address
anytime he moves.

> his crack shattered mind.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..Butt Cornholer makes good sentence.

> Those that continue to accuse others of
> something in a feeble attempt to take the attention away from
themselves
> are usually the ones doing it themselves.

Like what you are doing, kiddie diddler Butt Cornholer?

> Heard from the WV Child
> Protection Agency lately wogie?

No, why would he? His kids are grown, dumbfuck?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

Fly any model airplanes lately and think you have a real pilot's
license lately, kiddie diddler?

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2983. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 15:04:51 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d8pg2ilmg1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110216

K4YZ wrote:

bb wrote:

Mike Coslo wrote:

<snippage>

>>> Now lets talk about antennas. It isn't likely that we will have single
>>>antennas at any station, save for the resurrection of the old general
>>>purpose dipole fed with ladder line, run through a tuner. That's one
>>>that olde tyme hammes will recognize! I suppose the Steppir antennas
>>>could work if you have enough coin. The method proposed by Jim will not
>>>accommodate the tricks we use now to provide an acceptable match as the
>>>major HF bands will not be harmonically related.
>>
>>Trap dipoles don't have to be on bands that are harmonically related.
>
>
> Not if the passband you want to operate on falls within the other
> desired band. In most cases you still need a tuner for bands other
> than the ones the antenna is cut for.

You noticed that too?

I'm waiting for the specific frequencies Jim is thinking about, so I
can design a multiband antenna for this idea.

I suspect I'll not be too successful.

Maybe some others might have more success. Hopefully they will share
their design with me.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2984. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 15:12:03 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <YL6dnQJ3Q7q6zS3fRVn-1w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110218

John Smith wrote:
> ... logic would be any sane mans guide... we are the smallest minority
> on the planet, we don't generate any real revenue for the gov't or
> benefit of society, our fellow citizens are paying for the enforcement
> of the rules and regulations so we can "hobby around"--kinda like "radio
> welfare."
>
> I don't think much more of an argument would need to be posed to make a
> lot start asking questions on why all this is being done so some old men
> can pass gas and rag chew!

Just as I thought, you have absolutely nothing to indicate that the FCC
finds ham radio a bother.

2985. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 15:16:14 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <YL6dnT13Q7rezC3fRVn-1w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110220

Dee Flint wrote:

>>I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting a ham
>>license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code
>>license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code to chat
>>with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier and reliably
>>over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc... that is REAL. THAT
>>is dropping the numbers of hams and putting bands in danger of being
>>lost... that is holding our numbers at such low levels the FCC begins to
>>find us more a bother than anything else...
>>
>
>
> This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all time high.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Dee, this John Smith, whoever he is, for some reason has a hard on
against ham radio. I begin to doubt he is even licensed. Probably just
some cber who is ticked because he can't master the code and pass the
test so all he can do is beat his gums about how usless ham radio is.

2986. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 15:20:15 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <YL6dnTx3Q7rlzy3fRVn-1w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

Tapico Pudding AKA two-test wogie the Glendale idiot blathered and wrote:
> In article <9aednXLna9o9xjLfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
>>More of (Poor Butt Cornholer, posts Mongolloyd Davies' fuck
>
> ups!)

Poor two-test stinky fatboy wogie, had to retake the ham test because
Riley made him because of his malicious interference on 20 meters.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2987. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 15:23:39 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110226

Tapioca Pudding AKA stinky fatbgoy two-test wogie blubbered and wrote:

>
> Poor Butt Cornholer, he was caught cornholing his
> grandkids...........again, and has to register his address
> anytime he moves.

Oh, what address would that be wogie, since you seem to know so much how
about posting it? Say, still claim you have that 2nd class ticket which
morphed into a *restricted* radio operators *permit*?
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
So when are you going to post proof of this letter you claim I received
from the FCC fatboy?
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2988. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 16:00:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ggYre.13$1%7.702524@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110217

Kelly:

Well, you certainly know enough that you wish to have your great
knowledge put forth--let's have a go at it...

What percentage of amateurs are women, it is 1% or 2% I have forgotten?

ROFLOL

John

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118834151.187494.18230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
>> On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>
>> >... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all have
>> >declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they even
>> >see
>> >a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am
>> >crazy
>> >and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>>
>> Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver State
>> (CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired PhD
>> in a specialized field of the biological sciences.
>
> . . . then there was the legendary traffic handler Mae Burke W3CUL who
> was a neighborhood housewife . .
>
>> So much for generalizations.
>
> Gotta love it.
>
>> --
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> w3rv
>

2989. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 16:02:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <BiYre.14$cj7.643176@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110219

... oh, I love that argument!!! Let me see if I have it correctly,
either:

1) Women are too stupid for the technical fields.

2) We are no worse than any other technical field about baring women.

ROLL!!!!!

John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d8pc3r$1a06$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>>
>> Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all have
>>>>declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they even
>>>>see
>>>>a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am
>>>>crazy
>>>>and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>>>
>>> Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver State
>>> (CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired PhD
>>> in a specialized field of the biological sciences.
>>
>>
>> . . . then there was the legendary traffic handler Mae Burke W3CUL
>> who
>> was a neighborhood housewife . .
>
>
> I can't imagine any person becoming a Ham because they simply want to
> "chat with someone around the world".
>
> In the first place most of my DX contacts are pretty terse, and don't
> fulfill any "chatting needs". Not that I have chatting needs!
>

> There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority, they
> are probably no more of a minority than women's representation in
> other technical fields. This would mean that any problem is shared
> with those other technical fields, and not a Ham radio specific
> problem.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>

2990. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 16:04:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <glYre.15$gp.772120@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110221

N2EY:

I am afraid that task of inducting enough women is beyond me--no one has
ever succeeded...

Just what percentage of amateurs are women? Do you even know?

I bet you damn well know they are rarer then space aliens sightings!!!

ROFLOL!!!

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118833293.386282.70600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> ... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio...
>> all have
>> declined doing anything towards getting a license...
>> once they even see
>> a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as
>> if I am crazy
>> and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>
> With all due respect, perhaps your skill at getting them
> interested needs some improvement....
>
> Given your attitude towards Morse Code, you'd be as
> effective as the chairman of the National Beef Council
> trying to get people to be vegetarians..
>>
>> Then they grab their net-to-phone and/or keyboard and being
>> chatting
>> with canadians, so americans, mexicans, asians, aussies, brits, >
>> etc...
>
> Which takes no radio and no license. So it's not about Morse Code,
> but about different interests.
>>
>> ... and at this point it is hard for me to pose a logical
>> argument--
>
> ;-)
>
>> women are just smarter than men... you can't fool them...
>>

> Not about fooling, but about what people are interested in.
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1118785781.119633.267970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
>> >> educations...
>> >
>> > What about women with real educations?
>> >
>> > Would you consider someone with a BSEE from the University of
>> > Pennsylvania and an MSEE from Drexel University to have
>> > "a real education"?
>> >>
>> >> ... the cw part
>> >
>> > Is an amateur test. And is a trivial problem to people with
>> > real educations..
>> >
>> >> makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
>> >> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
>> >
>> > Then why require someone with no interest in VHF-UHF to learn
>> > those techniques in order to operate on HF? Why require
>> > knowedge of FSK, PSK and other data modes to operate voice?
>> > Why require knowledge of transistors and ICs to operate
>> > vacuum-tube equipment?
>> >
>> > IOW, why require anyone to learn anything about a subject they
>> > are not interested in, just to get a license to do the things
>> > they *are* interested in?
>> >
>> > --
>> >
>> > Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
>> > is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
>> > isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
>> > a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>> >
>> > In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
>> > as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
>> > do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
>> > ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
>> > it so much.
>> >
>> > --
>> >
>> >> Warmest regards,
>> >> John
>> >>

>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1118766723.066374.252520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> >> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was
>> >> >> it...
>> >> >
>> >> > A few anticode folks have stated they want Morse Code *use* by
>> >> > hams
>> >> > to
>> >> > end, not just the test. They are a small minority, but they do
>> >> > exist.
>> >> >
>> >> >> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when
>> >> >> they
>> >> >> would
>> >> >> never use it...
>> >> >
>> >> > Who is "forced" to learn Morse Code?
>> >> >
>> >> > It's a requirement if someone wants an FCC-issued amateur
>> >> > license
>> >> > with
>> >> > HF privileges, that's all.
>> >> >
>> >> >> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not
>> >> >> wish
>> >> >> to,
>> >> >> they should question everything in sight... just as you began
>> >> >> when
>> >> >> you
>> >> >> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
>> >> >
>> >> > The argument you present boils down to this: If someone doesn't
>> >> > want
>> >> > to
>> >> > use Morse Code in ham radio, they shouldn't be required to learn
>> >> > it
>> >> > just
>> >> > to pass a test (even a simple, basic test) to get a ham radio
>> >> > license.
>> >> > Those who choose to use it can learn it on their own.
>> >> >
>> >> > Is that about right?
>> >> >
>> >> > The problem is that the same argument can be made against almost
>> >> > everything
>> >> > in the written tests. For example, if someone doesn't intend to
>> >> > use
>> >> > certain
>> >> > bands, why are they forced to learn the band edges of every band

>> >> > their
>> >> > license allows? If someone doesn't intend to use more than a few
>> >> > watts
>> >> > of
>> >> > transmitted power, why must they learn all that RF exposure
>> >> > stuff?
>> >> > Indeed,
>> >> > if someone doesn't intend to homebrew, why are they *forced* to
>> >> > learn
>> >> > all
>> >> > that theory stuff?
>> >> >
>> >> > Sure, the written tests look easy to someone with a background
>> >> > in
>> >> > radio,
>> >> > electronics, computers or other related fields. But to someone
>> >> > from
>> >> > an
>> >> > unrelated field, they're not easy.
>> >> >
>> >> > Suppose you met a retired gentleman who had been a radioman in
>> >> > the
>> >> > military 50+ years ago. He'd always wanted to be a ham but never
>> >> > had
>> >> > the time or resources. Now he finds that ham radio still exists,
>> >> > and
>> >> > he wants in.
>> >> >
>> >> > The gent can still do code well, and remembers the basics of
>> >> > theory
>> >> > as it was 50+ years ago. He gets an HF receiver and listens to
>> >> > the
>> >> > lovely Morse Code signals on the low ends of the HF bands.
>> >> >
>> >> > But in order to join the folks on 7010 or 3520, he needs an
>> >> > Extra.
>> >> > And the written test is full of stuff he's never seen before,
>> >> > and
>> >> > that he will never use.
>> >> >
>> >> > Why must he learn all that stuff he will never use just to pass
>> >> > the
>> >> > tests?
>> >> >
>> >> > Sure, the stuff is easy for *you*, but not for *him*.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums straight...
>> >> >
>> >> > Let's see...
>> >> >>

>> >> >> Warmest regards,
>> >> >> John
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:u%tre.699$yg4.231@news01.roc.ny...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> > news:KLnre.61$o_4.3292270@news.sisna.com...
>> >> >> >> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
>> >> >> >> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc.
>> >> >> >> ancestors
>> >> >> >> used
>> >> >> >> to
>> >> >> >> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I
>> >> >> >> wonder
>> >> >> >> if
>> >> >> >> we
>> >> >> >> could bring those back to?
>> >> >
>> >> > Invalid analogy.
>> >> >
>> >> > Drums for communication aren't in wide use.
>> >> >
>> >> > Morse Code for communication is in wide use in ham radio.
>> >> > Doesn't
>> >> > need to be brought back because it's right here.
>> >> >
>> >> >> >> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy
>> >> >> >> tempo
>> >> >> >> on
>> >> >> >> one
>> >> >> >> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
>> >> >> >> <evil-grin>
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Warmest regards,
>> >> >> >> John
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Perhaps, John
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > But consider that some of us can send and receive cw faster
>> >> >> > than
>> >> >> > most
>> >> >> > folks can type.
>> >> >
>> >> > Yup.
>> >> >
>> >> >> > I know you may be good at "cut and paste", but that doesn't
>> >> >> > necessarily cut

>> >> >> > it LOL.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Sure, voice appears faster, but when you get names and
>> >> >> > addresses
>> >> >> > that
>> >> >> > are
>> >> >> > hard to pronounce ....
>> >> >
>> >> > Bingo.
>> >> >
>> >> > For any message that needs to be written down, the speed
>> >> > limitation
>> >> > is
>> >> > usually the writing speed of the receiving op. The fact that
>> >> > someone
>> >> > can theoretically talks 150 wpm doesn't mean anything if the
>> >> > person
>> >> > on the receiving end can only write legibly at 15 wpm.
>> >> >
>> >> > Text modes are great if you have the hardware for them and if
>> >> > you
>> >> > are in a situation where you can look at a screen to read them.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Not saying that CW is the best, but some folks better come up
>> >> >> > with
>> >> >> > something
>> >> >> > superior to AM and FM. There are a number of modes, but most
>> >> >> > folks
>> >> >> > want to
>> >> >> > "talk". That won't cut it for 85 watt moonbounce on 24 GHz.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > :)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> >> >> > Jim AA2QA
>> >> >> > ps - when I talk send and receive cw faster than some folks
>> >> >> > type,
>> >> >> > I'm
>> >> >> > not
>> >> >> > talking a nice, leisurely chat at 30 or 35 words per minute
>> >> >> > ...
>> >> >> >
>> >> > Yup.
>> >> >
>> >> > Good Morse ops can chat at speeds approaching those of voice ops
>> >> > because
>> >> > they use abbreviations and eliminate redundancies.
>> >> >
>> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY

>> >> >
>> >
>

2991. BROADCASTDB - Live Radio and TV database : Just Added:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 16:07:16 UTC
From: streaming@broadcastdb.com
Message-ID: <1118851636.953805.50970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110222
Cross-posted to: fj.rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.music.france

BROADCASTDB - Live Radio and TV database : Just Added:

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

More than 7000 live radios and 750 live televisions.

New this week :

Listen:

Chimpsy Radio - Comedy/music. Original comedy/music featuring local
music from Orlando, FL - Florida/USA
footballstreams - Live Football on your PC - UK
Saint Tropez Web Radio - The Web Radio Of St Tropez - Various Music -
Saint Tropez/France
Paradox Room - Alternative - Different music. 21:00 gmt
Sunday/Tuesday/Thursday - South Wales, UK
- Saint Tropez/France
Radio Gibraltar - Also 92.6 and 100.5. Local Radio - Gibraltar

Watch: The latest micro-series from FTV: Shoot Me!

TV:

Faikham TV - Bangkok / Thailand
Prachinburi Internet TV - Bangkok / Thailand
HighSpeed - Multiple TV channels - Bangkok / Thailand
News1 - News - Bangkok / Thailand
Nation Channel - News - Bangkok / Thailand
Nation Biz 152K - News - Bangkok / Thailand
BTV 5 392K - News - Bangkok / Thailand
BTV 9 109K - News - Bangkok / Thailand
BTV 11 392K - Music, News - Bangkok / Thailand
BTV ITV 392K - News - Bangkok / Thailand
Kimeng 328K - Finance News - Bangkok / Thailand
T Channel 141K - Music videos - Bangkok / Thailand
UBCi News 197K - News - Bangkok / Thailand
UBCi Sports 197K - Sports - Bangkok / Thailand
Thailand's Next top model - Next top model channel - Bangkok /
Thailand
Thailand's Next top model - Next top model archive videos channel -
Bangkok / Thailand
UBCi Chic 197K - Fashion channel - Bangkok / Thailand
MTK 100K - Regional Program from Tarnow. - Poland
TOYA TV 166K - Local TV news channel.
TV Biznes - News and Business TV from Poland - Poland

TV Fly - Internet TV station with pop and hip hop video clips - Poland
Christ Embassy Church - Just watch this station and you will enjoy
it...

http://www.broadcastdb.com - The world's broadcast database

Online guide of radio and TV worldwide - "BROADCASTDB"

Radios, TV, News, radios from all over the world !
A Database of all Live stations on Internet.

2992. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 16:07:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7nYre.16$gz7.670784@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110224

I think first you would have to get a noticeable number of women into ham radio--then argue if they are being treated fairly--at this point they have been effectively banned!!!

John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message news:d8pbno$14ie$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>
>> With all due respect, perhaps your skill at getting them
>> interested needs some improvement....
>>
>> Given your attitude towards Morse Code, you'd be as
>> effective as the chairman of the National Beef Council
>> trying to get people to be vegetarians..
>
> Wouldn't that be better the other way around, Jim? A vegetarian who
> hates meat trying to get people to come to say a pig roast? ;^)
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

2993. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 16:10:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3qYre.17$v17.610373@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110225

I just support removing code because no new hams are using it in any meaningful numbers.

The new state of the art hams are interested in hooking a modem up and interfacing the radio to the computer...

Hook up a code key and they loose interest immediately...

Now, a bunch of old guys who are computer illiterate have no choice than to try to amuse themselves with a damn key...

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:HaWre.863$yg4.541@news01.roc.ny...
> John,
>
> You could make the same assertion about a driver's license. Memorize
> some
> rules and take a road test.
>
> Do you support eliminating motor vehicle tests? Perhaps only for
> college
> educated folks?
>
> Might it make sense to require folks to know where the band edges are,
> or
> would you think it doesn't matter.
>
> If you travel to the U.K., do you think it might be smart to
> understand that
> they drive on the *left* side of the road rather than the right? Even
> if
> you are a pedestrian?
>
> I suspect you'd be upset if someone started transmitting on your
> Direct Tv
> frequencies and killed your reception. There are rules and folks
> wishing
> licenses are supposed to demonstrate some knowledge of those rules.
> These
> rules do not require the calculus, yet even a college grad has to
> demonstrate some knowledge of them.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:snEre.146$5R7.12507228@news.sisna.com...
>> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
>> educations...
>>
>> ... the cw part makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
>> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>
>

2994. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 16:13:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <WsYre.18$Oh.722036@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110227

Dee:

... all time high!

You attempt the argument that amateur radio has always been a ridiculous
cost for nothing--well, perhaps you are correct... time to increase our
numbers to a meaningful number...

ROFLOL

John
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:0oednXv9MZT9kC3fRVn-jg@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:QqKre.203$nC4.14725234@news.sisna.com...
>> N2EY:
>>
>> I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting a ham
>> license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code
>> license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code to
>> chat with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier
>> and reliably over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc...
>> that is REAL. THAT is dropping the numbers of hams and putting bands
>> in danger of being lost... that is holding our numbers at such low
>> levels the FCC begins to find us more a bother than anything else...
>>
>
> This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all time
> high.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

2995. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 16:15:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <yuYre.19$Tn.758813@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110228

Charles:

You are correct. And ham radio has really become irrelevant...

The millions or billions even who are on the internet give it meaning,
purpose and a self-sustaining argument for its existence...

... all the things which ham radio DOES NOT have...

John

"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:d6Vre.973$kX4.174@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:0oednXv9MZT9kC3fRVn-jg@comcast.com...
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:QqKre.203$nC4.14725234@news.sisna.com...
>>> N2EY:
>>>
>>> I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting a
>>> ham license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code
>>> license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code to
>>> chat with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier
>>> and reliably over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc...
>>> that is REAL. THAT is dropping the numbers of hams and putting
>>> bands in danger of being lost... that is holding our numbers at
>>> such low levels the FCC begins to find us more a bother than
>>> anything else...
>>>
>>
>> This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all time
>> high.
>>
>
> It's just another one of those guys who thinks that Ham Radio is only
> relevant in as much as it resembles the Internet. - In other words,
> the guy has no clue about the hobby but wants to run it down because
> it is not the Internet.
>
> I use this attitude as a handy intelligence test for amateurs... If
> they cannot differentiate between Amateur Radio and the Internet, or
> if they cannot see why there should and would be differences between

> the two - then I know that they are brain-damaged (or even worse) -
> associated with TAPR or the ARRL's HSMM group.
>
> In any case the attitude indicates a clueless state in relation to the
> amateur radio hobby.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
> Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
> Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
> Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
> Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php
>

2996. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 16:18:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4xYre.20$_w7.668708@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110229

Buzzard Cornholing Corey:

No hard on at ham radio. Just unprogressive, self-centered,
self-serving, un-educated hams who have managed to stagnate and chase
off any new and interesting minds and expect us to listen to the old
farts ranting and passing gas...

... really, people should realize when their age has made them
irrelevant and leave gracefully!!!

ROFLOL

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:YL6dnT13Q7rezC3fRVn-1w@gbronline.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>>I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting a ham
>>>license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code
>>>license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code to
>>>chat with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier
>>>and reliably over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc...
>>>that is REAL. THAT is dropping the numbers of hams and putting bands
>>>in danger of being lost... that is holding our numbers at such low
>>>levels the FCC begins to find us more a bother than anything else...
>>>
>>
>>
>> This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all time
>> high.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Dee, this John Smith, whoever he is, for some reason has a hard on
> against ham radio. I begin to doubt he is even licensed. Probably just
> some cber who is ticked because he can't master the code and pass the
> test so all he can do is beat his gums about how usless ham radio is.

2997. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 16:31:39 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118853099.947320.46590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110230

John Smith wrote:
> Kelly:
>
> Well, you certainly know enough that you wish to have your great
> knowledge put forth--let's have a go at it...
>
> What percentage of amateurs are women, it is 1% or 2% I have forgotten?

You're such a total crock, you have no idea what the stats are.

> ROFLOL
>
> John
>
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1118834151.187494.18230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Phil Kane wrote:
> >> On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:
> >>
> >> >... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all have
> >> >declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they even
> >> >see
> >> >a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am
> >> >crazy
> >> >and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
> >>
> >> Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver State
> >> (CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired PhD
> >> in a specialized field of the biological sciences.
> >
> > . . . then there was the legendary traffic handler Mae Burke W3CUL who
> > was a neighborhood housewife . .
> >
> >> So much for generalizations.
> >
> > Gotta love it.
> >
> >> --
> >> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> > w3rv
> >

2998. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 16:37:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <HPYre.22$Y_7.700544@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110231

Kelly:

Now I really worry about you--you pose an argument which really starts to define you as a fool!

Are you claiming I can't tune through all the ham bands in relatively short order and be stuck numb with the fact that there are ALMOST NO WOMEN to be heard?

Gesus man, you need some type of medication to even stay relevant!

John

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message news:1118853099.947320.46590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Kelly:
>>
>> Well, you certainly know enough that you wish to have your great
>> knowledge put forth--let's have a go at it...
>>
>> What percentage of amateurs are women, it is 1% or 2% I have
>> forgotten?
>
> You're such a total crock, you have no idea what the stats are.
>
>
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> John
>>
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1118834151.187494.18230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Phil Kane wrote:
>> >> On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all
>> >> >have
>> >> >declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they
>> >> >even
>> >> >see
>> >> >a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am
>> >> >crazy
>> >> >and ask, "You are kidding, right?"

>> >>
>> >> Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver
>> >> State
>> >> (CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired
>> >> PhD
>> >> in a specialized field of the biological sciences.
>> >
>> > . . . then there was the legendary traffic handler Mae Burke W3CUL
>> > who
>> > was a neighborhood housewife . .
>> >
>> >> So much for generalizations.
>> >
>> > Gotta love it.
>> >
>> >> --
>> >> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>> >
>> > w3rv
>> >
>

2999. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 18:06:46 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <Zu2dndeHB76a5i3fRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110232

John Smith wrote:
> Buzzard Cornholing Corey:

I see you lower yourself down on the same level as two-test wogie.
Typical of a cber.

3000. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 18:13:13 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <3qqdnSNQceVe4S3fRVn-hw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110234

John Smith wrote:
> ... actually, I just can tell a brain dead human when I see one...
>
> John
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
> news:Zu2dndeHB76a5i3fRVn-qQ@gbronline.com...
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Buzzard Cornholing Corey:
>>
>>I see you lower yourself down on the same level as two-test wogie.
>>Typical of a cber.
>
>
>
You shouldn't look in the mirror so much.

3001. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 19:03:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_X_re.45$_64.1076289@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110233

... actually, I just can tell a brain dead human when I see one...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:Zu2dndeHB76a5i3fRVn-qQ@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Buzzard Cornholing Corey:
>
> I see you lower yourself down on the same level as two-test wogie.
> Typical of a cber.

3002. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 19:57:55 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118865475.834929.216940@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110235

Michael Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> > . . . then there was the legendary traffic handler Mae Burke W3CUL who
> > was a neighborhood housewife . .
>
> I can't imagine any person becoming a Ham because they simply want to
> "chat with someone around the world".

Whew, that brings up a tale Michael . . I know at least one ham who has done just that for decades.

About the time WW2 ended I got into building crystal sets then I moved into cobbling together simple tube-type receivers based on articles in Pop Science and Pop Mechanics. The DXing bug had already bitten based on my fascination with finding far off stations in the high end of the AM BC band with the BC radios my folks had. The die was cast: I was into radio big time but I was basically clueless about ham radio until I eventually started SWLing and found scads of hams yakking on 75 & 40 AM.

I was also a Cub Scout around that point in time. One night there was a civil defense drill which I discovered would include ham radio communications and the Cub pack. That opportunity really rang my chimes and I wormed my way into becoming a messenger boy for one of the mobiles.

The ham and I motored around town passing traffic back and forth amongst the hams and the simulated "disaster sites", etc. That was my first exposure to hands-on ham radio. The ham was Gene Reynolds W3EAN who went out of his way to answer my unending stream of questions that night. I probably drove him nuts but I think he enjoyed it. There was no turning back after that night, I was gonna become a ham.

A number of years later I ran into Gene again amongst the DXing and contesting crowd around here. In addition to being a DXer Gene was also a fundamentalist "radio missionary" who used his station to "take the word" particularly to Russians by "chatting with someone around the world" as you put it. Thousands of times! Check his bio in QRZ.com, I think you'll enjoy it.

> In the first place most of my DX contacts are pretty terse, and don't
> fulfill any "chatting needs". Not that I have chatting needs!

It's also considered impolite to tie up dx stations with chats unless they initiate them.

> There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority, they
> are probably no more of a minority than women's representation in other
> technical fields. This would mean that any problem is shared with those
> other technical fields, and not a Ham radio specific problem.

Exactly. Let's hope this "Smith" clown has the same short attention
span others of his ilk have demonstrated in the past and wanders off
the list. He's even posting his mindless drivel in the Mech Eng and
dot.antennas NGs for gawd's sake. .

> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

(PS) Yo Micollis: Duty beckons. One of Gene's OLD and I mean *old*
dxing buddies is Nate W3CNP, the guy you sat with when he ran a few Qs
on 20CW at the last of our Clayton Park FD "experiences". Check his
particulars in QRZ.com. I ran into him a few weeks ago at K3PAQ's
memorial service, he's fine. Nate's ticket is floating in the grace
period. I got EAN set up to renew, now it's *your* turn, launch Nate on
his way. We need all the far-end geezers we can get properly licensed
to keep the average age up in the hobby.

3003. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 20:52:06 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Wx0se.4846$eM6.4017@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110236

```
>
> Do they think that their sweat doesn't stink????
>

They poop ice cream.... :-)
```

3004. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 20:57:09 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <RMqdndTX74j2Oi3fRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110248

George Orwell AKA stinky fatboy 2test wogie wrote:

>
> Poor Cmd Butt Cornholer, has to register every time he moves
> since he is a convicted sex offender.
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

Ok wogie, put up or shut up, where is the proof of your above statement?

There is proof of your letters from Riley and your malicious
interference on 20 meters, and proof you had to retest to keep your license.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Where is your proof of this letter you claim I received from the FCC fat
boy? There is proof of your letters, so put up or shut up stinky fatboy.

Poor two-test, had to retest to keep his license.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

3005. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 20:59:14 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <CE0se.5803$pa3.3759@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110237

>>their gifted IQ's...
>>
>>... but who see no reason to learn code...
>
>
> I do too...
>
> They're called "lazy".
>
>

I'd say that they weighed the task of learning code and decided
it wasn't worth their time. It's not like grammar or high school
where you have no choice but to learn the stuff some curriculum
committee decided you had to learn. So far I never had need for
Spanish or knowing about King Louie the 7th of France since
high school 33 years ago. As to code, it's "required" if you
want an HF capable ham license. Some people will decide not
to bother and go do something else.

3006. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 21:07:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <Oaydnd2cK6yGNy3fRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110250

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer, the cyperpunk stinky
fatboy 2test wogie wrote:
> In article <utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
> baby raper Cmd Butt Corey <m...@this.ten> wrote:
>
>>Tapioca Pudding AKA stinky fatbgoy two-test wogie blubbered
>
> and wrote:
>
> "fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

More of wogie's blah, blah, blah lies deleted.

Poor 2test stinky wogie, had to retest because he violated FCC regulations.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2test wussman, laughing stock of Internet. Read it here and have a good
laugh.

http://bbs.whatpissesyouoff.com/showthread.php?t=26284

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Hey wogie, I would like to come by and see those antennas you have up.
You know the ones that give negative SWR, where you get out more power
than you put in. I would like to see just what kind of special antennas
you use to jam on 20 meters that have good bandwidth so when you play
music for jamming you get the full frequency range of the audio.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

3007. Re: Poor Saggytits Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 21:08:32 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050615210832.24A15170C1@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 110238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fuckhead.stagger.lee,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <9aednXPna9qRxjLfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
kiddie diddler Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
> I guess (guessing is all you can do, like how you got from
your Conditional class license to your obsolete Advanced.)

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't
even spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn...@gbronl&#65533;ine.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary
spelling skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn...@gbronl&#65533;ine.com>:
"you got a wellfare....."

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn...@gbronl&#65533;ine.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc>
wrote:
"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn...@gbronl&#65533;ine.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn...@gbronl&#65533;ine.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability
wogie"

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!

3008. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 21:11:41 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <hQ0se.4806$VK4.4210@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110240

>
> Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
> is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
> isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
> a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".

That makes it a real PITA to people who are good at book
learnin' and not so hot at motor skills.
>
> In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
> as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
> do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
> ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
> it so much.
>

That makes ham radio that much harder to "sell" to the
PhDs and such people. Code is something that can be
outperformed by various signaling and signal processing
methods (JPL doesn't use Morse code to communicate with
their deep space probes). Sure, Morse code requires a
bare minimum of technology, but today technology is
cheap and reliable. Not like 50 years ago with vacuum
tubes. No other radio service uses Morse code for
anything beyond some automatic IDers. So why require it
anymore.

3009. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 21:14:14 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050615211414.D4EF317070@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 110242

In article <utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
baby raper Cmd Butt Corey <m...@this.ten> wrote:
>
> Tapioca Pudding AKA stinky fatbgoy two-test wogie blubbered
and wrote:

"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

> >
> > Poor Butt Cornholer, he was caught cornholing his
> > grandkids...........again, and has to register his address
> > anytime he moves.
>
> Oh, what (whining and excuses from the kiddie diddler Butt
Cornholer)

Poor Butt Cornholer of his own kids and grandkids, he sure can't
prove he ever had a "1st Class Commercial license" any more than
he can prove he has a "pilot's license."
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

Still flying those model airplanes and think you have a "pilot's
license?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA.

Roger's 2nd class commercial ticket was valid from 1976-1981.
Since he let it expire his grant date of November, 1981 (valid
to the present) on his Restricted Radiotelephone is right there
on the FCC database. (You lose, in other words.) If you'd had a
real 1st class radiotelephone it would've been grandfathered in
the database as a GROL, like so many others were. But no, you
didn't have one to begin with, imaginary "pilot's license" boy.
You couldn't pass a "simple" (in your words)Extra exam today,
and neither could you pass a commercial FCC license exam in
years past. Caught you in yet anopther lie, kiddie diddler Buzz
Cornholer.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

--
Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he
gets all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

3010. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 21:21:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118870488.792235.262560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110243

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> I am afraid that task of inducting enough women is beyond me--no one has
> ever succeeded...

The fact that something is beyond *you* doesn't mean it's beyond
others.
>
> Just what percentage of amateurs are women? Do you even know?

I know it's at least 5% of US hams. Probably more like 8%. Which is
many
times the 1-2% you cited.
>
> I bet you damn well know they are rarer then space aliens sightings!!!

Not where I live. Not on the air, either - if you check out modes other
than voice.

Right here in rrap, we have Kim, W5TIT, and Dee, N8UZE.

W3RV mentioned W3CUL, Mae, perhaps *the* premier amateur radio traffic
handler of her time. I did not know her, but I did know Lou, W3WRE,
quite well in the 1970s. She had been a commercial operator as well as
amateur, knew both the landline and radio codes, and was a topnotch
operator who had many nonradio interests. The fact that she was a half
century my elder did not prevent us from becoming friends. I learned
a heck of lot from Lou, not all of it radio, either.

I've also had the pleasure of working the youngest person ever to
earn the Amateur Extra license. She got that license at age 8, while
in the third grade, a few years ago. Good CW operator - she's a regular
in the contests, and turns in very respectable scores.

>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118833293.386282.70600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> ... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio...
> >> all have
> >> declined doing anything towards getting a license...
> >> once they even see
> >> a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as
> >> if I am crazy
> >> and ask, "You are kidding, right?"

> >
> > With all due respect, perhaps your skill at getting them
> > interested needs some improvement....
> >
> > Given your attitude towards Morse Code, you'd be as
> > effective as the chairman of the National Beef Council
> > trying to get people to be vegetarians..
> >>
> >> Then they grab their net-to-phone and/or keyboard and being
> >> chatting
> >> with canadians, so americans, mexicans, asians, aussies, brits, >
> >> etc...
> >
> > Which takes no radio and no license. So it's not about Morse Code,
> > but about different interests.
> >>
> >> ... and at this point it is hard for me to pose a logical
> >> argument--
> >
> > ;-)
> >
> >> women are just smarter than men... you can't fool them...
> >>
> > Not about fooling, but about what people are interested in.
> >>
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1118785781.119633.267970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> > John Smith wrote:
> >> >> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
> >> >> educations...
> >> >
> >> > What about women with real educations?
> >> >
> >> > Would you consider someone with a BSEE from the University of
> >> > Pennsylvania and an MSEE from Drexel University to have
> >> > "a real education"?
> >> >>
> >> >> ... the cw part
> >> >
> >> > Is an amateur test. And is a trivial problem to people with
> >> > real educations..
> >> >
> >> >> makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
> >> >> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
> >> >
> >> > Then why require someone with no interest in VHF-UHF to learn
> >> > those techniques in order to operate on HF? Why require
> >> > knowedge of FSK, PSK and other data modes to operate voice?
> >> > Why require knowledge of transistors and ICs to operate
> >> > vacuum-tube equipment?

> >> >
> >> > IOW, why require anyone to learn anything about a subject they
> >> > are not interested in, just to get a license to do the things
> >> > they *are* interested in?
> >> >
> >> > --
> >> >
> >> > Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
> >> > is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
> >> > isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
> >> > a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
> >> >
> >> > In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
> >> > as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
> >> > do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
> >> > ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
> >> > it so much.
> >> >
> >> > --
> >> >
> >> >> Warmest regards,
> >> >> John
> >> >>
> >> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1118766723.066374.252520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > John Smith wrote:
> >> >> >> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was
> >> >> >> it...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > A few anticode folks have stated they want Morse Code *use* by
> >> >> > hams
> >> >> > to
> >> >> > end, not just the test. They are a small minority, but they do
> >> >> > exist.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when
> >> >> >> they
> >> >> >> would
> >> >> >> never use it...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Who is "forced" to learn Morse Code?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > It's a requirement if someone wants an FCC-issued amateur
> >> >> > license
> >> >> > with
> >> >> > HF privileges, that's all.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not
> >> >> >> wish
> >> >> >> to,

> >> >> >> they should question everything in sight... just as you began
> >> >> >> when
> >> >> >> you
> >> >> >> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > The argument you present boils down to this: If someone doesn't
> >> >> > want
> >> >> > to
> >> >> > use Morse Code in ham radio, they shouldn't be required to learn
> >> >> > it
> >> >> > just
> >> >> > to pass a test (even a simple, basic test) to get a ham radio
> >> >> > license.
> >> >> > Those who choose to use it can learn it on their own.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Is that about right?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > The problem is that the same argument can be made against almost
> >> >> > everything
> >> >> > in the written tests. For example, if someone doesn't intend to
> >> >> > use
> >> >> > certain
> >> >> > bands, why are they forced to learn the band edges of every band
> >> >> > their
> >> >> > license allows? If someone doesn't intend to use more than a few
> >> >> > watts
> >> >> > of
> >> >> > transmitted power, why must they learn all that RF exposure
> >> >> > stuff?
> >> >> > Indeed,
> >> >> > if someone doesn't intend to homebrew, why are they *forced* to
> >> >> > learn
> >> >> > all
> >> >> > that theory stuff?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Sure, the written tests look easy to someone with a background
> >> >> > in
> >> >> > radio,
> >> >> > electronics, computers or other related fields. But to someone
> >> >> > from
> >> >> > an
> >> >> > unrelated field, they're not easy.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Suppose you met a retired gentleman who had been a radioman in
> >> >> > the
> >> >> > military 50+ years ago. He'd always wanted to be a ham but never
> >> >> > had
> >> >> > the time or resources. Now he finds that ham radio still exists,
> >> >> > and
> >> >> > he wants in.

> >> >> >
> >> >> > The gent can still do code well, and remembers the basics of
> >> >> > theory
> >> >> > as it was 50+ years ago. He gets an HF receiver and listens to
> >> >> > the
> >> >> > lovely Morse Code signals on the low ends of the HF bands.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > But in order to join the folks on 7010 or 3520, he needs an
> >> >> > Extra.
> >> >> > And the written test is full of stuff he's never seen before,
> >> >> > and
> >> >> > that he will never use.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Why must he learn all that stuff he will never use just to pass
> >> >> > the
> >> >> > tests?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Sure, the stuff is easy for *you*, but not for *him*.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums straight...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Let's see...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Warmest regards,
> >> >> >> John
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> >> >> >> news:u%tre.699$yg4.231@news01.roc.ny...
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >> > news:KLnre.61$o_4.3292270@news.sisna.com...
> >> >> >> >> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
> >> >> >> >> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc.
> >> >> >> >> ancestors
> >> >> >> >> used
> >> >> >> >> to
> >> >> >> >> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I
> >> >> >> >> wonder
> >> >> >> >> if
> >> >> >> >> we
> >> >> >> >> could bring those back to?
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Invalid analogy.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Drums for communication aren't in wide use.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Morse Code for communication is in wide use in ham radio.
> >> >> >> > Doesn't
> >> >> >> > need to be brought back because it's right here.
> >> >> >> >

> >> >> >> >> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy
> >> >> >> >> tempo
> >> >> >> >> on
> >> >> >> >> one
> >> >> >> >> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
> >> >> >> >> <evil-grin>
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Warmest regards,
> >> >> >> >> John
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Perhaps, John
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > But consider that some of us can send and receive cw faster
> >> >> >> > than
> >> >> >> > most
> >> >> >> > folks can type.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Yup.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I know you may be good at "cut and paste", but that doesn't
> >> >> > necessarily cut
> >> >> > it LOL.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Sure, voice appears faster, but when you get names and
> >> >> > addresses
> >> >> > that
> >> >> > are
> >> >> > hard to pronounce ....
> >> >
> >> > Bingo.
> >> >
> >> > For any message that needs to be written down, the speed
> >> > limitation
> >> > is
> >> > usually the writing speed of the receiving op. The fact that
> >> > someone
> >> > can theoretically talks 150 wpm doesn't mean anything if the
> >> > person
> >> > on the receiving end can only write legibly at 15 wpm.
> >> >
> >> > Text modes are great if you have the hardware for them and if
> >> > you
> >> > are in a situation where you can look at a screen to read them.
> >> >>
> >> >> > Not saying that CW is the best, but some folks better come up
> >> >> > with
> >> >> > something
> >> >> > superior to AM and FM. There are a number of modes, but most

> >> >> >> > folks
> >> >> >> > want to
> >> >> >> > "talk". That won't cut it for 85 watt moonbounce on 24 GHz.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > :)
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> >> >> >> > Jim AA2QA
> >> >> >> > ps - when I talk send and receive cw faster than some folks
> >> >> >> > type,
> >> >> >> > I'm
> >> >> >> > not
> >> >> >> > talking a nice, leisurely chat at 30 or 35 words per minute
> >> >> >> > ...
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> > Yup.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Good Morse ops can chat at speeds approaching those of voice ops
> >> >> > because
> >> >> > they use abbreviations and eliminate redundancies.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >> >
> >> >
> > >

3011. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 21:25:15 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <68bf7a4f737300bab0d8bfd0b5d3070f@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 110241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <YL6dnTx3Q7rIzy3fRVn-1w@gbronline.com>
REGISTERED SEX OFFENDER Cmd Butt Cornholer
<manjuiceiswallow@this.ten> wrote:
>
> Tapico Pudding wrote:
> > In article <9aednXLna9o9xjLfRVn...@gbronline.com>
> > kiddie diddler Cmd Butt Cornholer <mantitties@that.ten>
wrote:
> >
> >>More of (Poor Butt Cornholer, posts Mongolloyd Davies' fuck
> >
> > ups!)
>
> Poor t(whines and excuses)

Poor Cmd Butt Cornholer, has to register every time he moves
since he is a convicted sex offender.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

More of imaginary pilot boy's fuck ups (Nice to see you posted
Lardass Davies' aka Mongolloyd's fuck ups, though, guffaw!):

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't
even spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary
spelling skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc>
wrote:
"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability
wogie"

3012. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 21:26:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118870816.806793.98590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110239

John Smith wrote:
> I just support removing code because no new hams are using it in any
> meaningful numbers.

I've seen plenty of new hams use Morse Code on the air. And plenty who use other modes. What information do you have to show that "no new hams are using it in any meaningful numbers."

> The new state of the art hams are interested in hooking a modem up and
> interfacing the radio to the computer...

Some are - some aren't.

> Hook up a code key and they loose interest immediately...

Depends on how you present it. And the word is "lose"....

> Now, a bunch of old guys who are computer illiterate have no choice than
> to try to amuse themselves with a damn key...

Well, that leaves me out, because I'm neither old nor computer illiterate.

> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:HaWre.863$yg4.541@news01.roc.ny...
> > John,
> >
> > You could make the same assertion about a driver's license. Memorize
> > some
> > rules and take a road test.
> >
> > Do you support eliminating motor vehicle tests? Perhaps only for
> > college
> > educated folks?
> >
> > Might it make sense to require folks to know where the band edges are,
> > or
> > would you think it doesn't matter.
> >
> > If you travel to the U.K., do you think it might be smart to
> > understand that
> > they drive on the *left* side of the road rather than the right? Even
> > if
> > you are a pedestrian?
> >
> > I suspect you'd be upset if someone started transmitting on your
> > Direct Tv

> > frequencies and killed your reception. There are rules and folks
> > wishing
> > licenses are supposed to demonstrate some knowledge of those rules.
> > These
> > rules do not require the calculus, yet even a college grad has to
> > demonstrate some knowledge of them.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:snEre.146$5R7.12507228@news.sisna.com...
> >> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
> >> educations...
> >>
> >> ... the cw part makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
> >> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
> >>
> >> Warmest regards,
> >> John
> >
> >

3013. Re: K1MAN The crap has hit the fan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 21:28:08 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <I31se.4813$VK4.1527@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110244

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Condensed version:
>
> This $21,000 fine is for the apparent transmission of willful and repeated
> interference;
> willful and repeated communications in which he had a pecuniary interest;
> willful and repeated failure to file requested information pursuant to an
> Enforcement Bureau directive; willful broadcasting; and willful failure to
> exercise control of his station.
>
> Full Text:
>
> Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554
>
>
>
> In the Matter of
> File No. EB-04-BS-111
> Glenn A. Baxter NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
> RR 1 Box 776 FRN 0013164975
> Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918
>
> Licensee of Amateur Radio
> Station K1MAN
>
>

It's real. See
http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-259301A1.html

I wouldn't have thought that mentioning your web site that
happens to have business matter in it on the air if you
didn't mention about the business on the air to be a
violation. But the other stuff is clearly violations.

3014. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 21:47:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118872069.929179.50700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110245

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Mike Coslo on May 29, 9:57 pm
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > >>>Many people lament that there is not enough interest
> > >>>in Ham radio by young people.
> > >
> > >> Agreed - but how much would be enough?
> > >
> > > Dunno. I personally agree somewhat with Brian K's assertion that the
> > >absolute number of Hams could indeed drop without serious problems.
> > >
> > > On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good mix of ages.
> >
> > Younger hobbyists have to be there to "take orders" from the
> > older ones? :-)

You'd like it if we younger folks took orders from you, but we won't.
Deal with it, Len.
>
> >>>There are often many reasons given for this deficiency,
> >>>and somewhat less "fixes".
> > >
> > >>>One of the reasons that is given very often is that
> > >>>Amateur radio is in some sort of competition with the
> > >>>Internet.
> > >
> > >> Every activity is in competition with every other.
> >
> > Among teen-agers? How can you possibly say that? :-)
> >
> > > Surely. Comparisons between the two show that Amateur radio is a tad
> > >bit more involved than buying a computer, doing a dialup and surfing the
> > >net. Will a person who's idea of a hobby is clicking a mouse button find
> > >Amateur radio a tad intimidating?
> >
> > Tsk, tsk. Ham speak with forked tongue on inpugning "no
> > intellect required." A sort of Deus Ex Mousina attitude.
> >
> > Mike, you were never into computers and BBSs before the
> > Macintosh mouse debuted, were you? Lots and lots of ALL
> > ages were BBSing, having a great time without the GUI, well
> > before Windows, when not on-line they were doing programming,

> writing games, "unprotecting" protected disks, experimenting
> with the first modem advancements, etc. Budding authors were
> practicing writing and established writers were generating
> manuscripts with relative ease. Accounting people had
> discovered the first spreadsheets and increased the efficiency
> of their inventory, financial records, establishing both
> schedules and prices of products they were making. ALL
> BEFORE the advent of either the computer Mouse or GUI.

Actually, both the mouse and GUI came from Xerox's Palo Alto Research
Center,
about 1971. That center produced the first "personal computer" in its
modern form (a computer for each user rather than a timeshared
mainframe,
networking between users' computers, the GUI and mouse, filesharing,
etc.)
Xerox management did not realize what they had right in front of them,
and
the ideas were produced and marketed by others.

Some of us were using computers back in the punchcard and paper-tape
days.
>
> To get an HF transceiver in their vehicles, both young and
> old could buy a set of transceiver, antenna, microphone for
> under $200 from Sears, K-Mart, Wall-Mart, etc. in the
> morning and have it installed and working in the afternoon.

And it had a few channels, one mode and 5 watts of power at most.

> Of course that was "CB" and therefore "lowly" and, to some,
> "criminal." :-) [all before the GUI and mouse]

The way many cb radios were used was in clear violation of the
Communications
Act and FCC regulations.

> I have to admit that putting up one's ham station DOES
> take some smarts.

Yes, it does.

> One copies an antenna design out of the
> ARRL Antenna Handbook, getting somewhat close to the
> dimensions.

Why "somewhat close"?

> One can copy a whole transceiver design out
> of the ARRL Handbook, then rescue themselves by scrapping
> the unworking project with a ready-built transceiver bought
> at HRO (reviews of performance presented by the "ARRL Lab"
> and published in QST).

Just because your projects don't work doesn't mean others will fail.

> They can even buy coax cable
> assemblies when they are unable to put on PL-259s right,
> even buy whole antenna kits. I'd say that was "plug and
> play" on the same order as PCs, but before Microsith
> came out with that marketing/sales phrase. :-)

How many amateur radio HF receivers, transmitters or transceivers have
you built, Len?

>
> >> A computer has many uses, from being a glorified typewriter to a
> >> serious research/calculation device to gaming to producing all sorts of
> >> multimedia stuff.
> >
> > Don't forget surfing porn. A great way to build character! 8^)
>
> Tsk, Mike. You never saw "dirty pictures" elsewhere (not over a
> computer) when you were "building (your own) character?" :-)
>
> >> Most decent white-collar jobs today require computer skills. Many
> >> blue-collar jobs also require them.
>
> True enough. There aren't a lot of businesses or
> corporations that need "ham radio skills" (even discounting
> morse code). Back before the GUI and computer mousies,
> push-to-talk two-way radios were common in business large
> and small, with public safety organizations, in the military,
> and in government. All easy to use...and NOT on amateur
> bands nor needing morse code skills.
>
>
> > Not missing a point. To me, computers are like underwear - pretty much
> >gotta have it.
>
> I've not seen any "HANES" computer bramds in stores...
>
>
> >> Some people live in places where putting up an antenna - *any* antenna
> >> - is banned by CC&Rs. A family isn't likely to move so that Junior can
> >> put up a G5RV.
>
> Unless either of you have some NEW info courtesy of the U.S. Census
> Bureau, you will find the MOST residences in the USA do NOT have
> such restrictions.

How many?

What sort of antennas do *you* have, Len?

> > Heh! i had a thought -maybe we could get some of the rebellious types
> >to go stealth! 8^)

>
> You haven't heard of MOBILE or even HAND-HELD transceivers?!?
>
>
> > Actually, I think you missed my point! My point is that if a person is
> >making a choice of hobbies to get into, the concept of choosing between
> >Amateur radio and using a cell phone just isn't in the mix. I see trendy
> >teens with cell phones glued to their heads every day. I can only assume
> >that they spend hours each day on them. I can guarantee that that kid
> >has never considered amateur radio as a hobby.
>
> How do you present this "guarantee?" In writing? From "long
> experience" in observation? [remember there are a few of us
> who've been around longer and seen MORE teeners - even been one
> once - have MANY DIFFERENT observations of others over the past
> half century]

How many children have you parented, Len?

> > I doubt they consider
> >their cells as a hobby either. So it is pretty hard to think of that as
> >competition.
>
> Competition for teeners' TIME. They have the same 24 hours a
> day as adults and infants, the same need to sleep, eat, and do
> other things (such as attend school).
>
> >> How many TV shows and movies ever depict engineering or
> >> technical folks at all, compared to other fields like health
> >> care or law enforcement?
>
> TV shows and Movies are for ENTERTAINMENT of enough
> customers that will pay the Producers of same...and artists.
> Entertainment shows go for the Emotions of the audience.
>
> > One show made a start toward a good positive presentation of engineers
> >and techies. It was Star Trek. The original series had a very kind
> >treatment of Scottie, the engineer.
>
> ...who ran the works of a SPACESHIP (circa 1967) as
> thunk up by MOVIE people, the Producers, the Writers,
> the scenery and prop designers. NOT "techie" insofar as
> our then-present society was. EMOTION stuff, NOT
> education.

> >> I graduated high school in 1972 - the golden age of space
> >> and technology, right?
> >
> > Well, pretty close to the end of it....
>
> NOT EVEN CLOSE. Having been IN the "space business" since

> 1964 and working for the manufacturer of the Space Shuttle
> Main Engine (as well as the Apollo first-stage engine), you
> both missed the Space Shuttle program and well over a
> hundred STS missions.

I didn't miss any of them, Len.

> You've MISSED the unmanned vehicle
> missions and negated the tremendous data gathered by the
> Mars rovers and the trips to the outer edges of our solar
> system.

Nope. Didn't miss them at all. I remember all the way back to
the Mercury flights with chimps ("A monkey's gonna make the first
flight!")
Shepard, Grissom, Glenn, the Gemini program, the tragedy of the Apollo
1
fire, the Mariner, Viking, Pioneer and Voyager programs, etc.

Now Cassini sends incredible pictures and data from Saturn. No sirens
found on Titan, though.

> You two have completely ignored when the "personal
> computer" made its debuts beginning in the mid-1970s, and
> suddenly skyrocketing after 1980.

Not at all, Len. I was right there.

> You've missed the first
> computer networking of BBSs that began in the late 1970s
> or have recognized the Internet phenomenon happening after
> it went public in 1991. Perhaps you've both become too
> blase' about computers and the Internet?

This NG is about amateur radio, Len. Something you are not a part of.
>
> >My basic thesis is that we as a society are moving toward the
> >celebration of the ordinary, the mundane. We have lost our edge. And
> >that can only last for so long.
>
> Tsk, your own middle-age angst is mumbling. :-)
>
> I've heard the SAME sort of complaint by others about their
> generations' folly for about six decades. :-)

So you're old, Len. Big deal. You grunt and grumble, rant and rave more
than anyone here.

> > If we can celebrate those who *DO* things instead of simply consume
> >things, we might reverse that trend.
>
> That has been going on in nearly all technological endeavors
> for as long as I can remember. The DO-ers are celebrated.

Yep - like the hams who pioneered HF radio in 1923...

> A survey of the IEEE Milestone history program in electronics
> demonstrates that, a program that is shared with other
> technological associations. The birth of the first "hard
> drive" has been Milestoned recently...IBM's RAMAC and the
> Model 350 disk storage system (1956) out of a small IBM
> lab in Silicon Valley.
>
> But, to be celebrated, you MUST do something that others
> consider more remarkable than average. Your own personal
> accomplishment is NOT enough. One isn't a DO-er just by
> making something and showing it on the Internet to a wide
> ranging viewing audience, then proclaiming its "greatness."
> Neither is one a DO-er by explaining what they "will" do
> and expecting plaudits BEFORE they've ever done anything.

You mean like the person who posts their military and work experience
over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
again, in a failed attempt to win the
praise and admiration of others, even though it has nothing to do with
amateur radio?

Or the person who says "I'm going for Extra right out of the box", but
as of
5 years, 5 months and 27 days hasn't done so?

Or the person who talks endlessly about their supposed technical
knowledge
prowess but cannot show a single home-made amateur-radio-related
project or practical article?

3015. Re: K1MAN The crap has hit the fan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:01:53 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <lz1se.4826$VK4.3641@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110247

I sure hope the Toad knows about this.

Dan/W4NTI

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:pvydndxlYPxgCTLfRVn-2w@adelphia.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> Condensed version:
>>
>> This $21,000 fine is for the apparent transmission of willful and
>> repeated
>> interference;
>> willful and repeated communications in which he had a pecuniary interest;
>> willful and repeated failure to file requested information pursuant to an
>> Enforcement Bureau directive; willful broadcasting; and willful failure
>> to
>> exercise control of his station.
>
>
> 1. Awesome!
>
> 2. Shessh! It's about time!
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3016. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:02:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118872930.444019.75710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110246

```
Charles Brabham wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:0oednXv9MZT9kC3fRVn-jg@comcast.com...
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:QqKre.203$nC4.14725234@news.sisna.com...
> >> N2EY:
> >>
> >> I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting a ham
> >> license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code
> >> license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code to chat
> >> with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier and
> >> reliably over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc... that is
> >> REAL. THAT is dropping the numbers of hams and putting bands in danger
> >> of being lost... that is holding our numbers at such low levels the FCC
> >> begins to find us more a bother than anything else...
> >>
> >
> > This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all time high.

Yes - both in total numbers of US hams, and the ratio of hams to the
total US population.

In recent years the totals have begun to go down, and the percentage of
the
population as well. Reducing the license test requirements in 2000 has
not brought growth, yet some folks insist that more and more reductions
in test requirements are the only solution.
>
> It's just another one of those guys who thinks that Ham Radio is only
> relevant in as much as it resembles the Internet. - In other words, the guy
> has no clue about the hobby but wants to run it down because it is not the
> Internet.

BINGO!

Yet it is exactly the fact that amateur radio *is not* the internet
that is
the draw!
>
> I use this attitude as a handy intelligence test for amateurs... If they
> cannot differentiate between Amateur Radio and the Internet, or if they
> cannot see why there should and would be differences between the two - then
> I know that they are brain-damaged ( or even worse ) - associated with TAPR
```

> or the ARRL's HSMM group.
>
> In any case the attitude indicates a clueless state in relation to the
> amateur radio hobby.

Makes sense to me!

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
> Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
> Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
> Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
> Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php

3017. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:11:04 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1l1se.95$Yx7.2241577@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110272

Robert:

Yes, well, here in calif--you must have noticed the "freebanders." At least every major city has a club of them... I used to be on a e-mailing list of theirs and kept up with their activities--they now have went underground and clamped up--just hear 'em on the bands now...

Warmest regards,
John

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:CE0se.5803$pa3.3759@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>>their gifted IQ's...
>>>
>>>... but who see no reason to learn code...
>>
>>
>> I do too...
>>
>> They're called "lazy".
>>
>>
>
> I'd say that they weighed the task of learning code and decided
> it wasn't worth their time. It's not like grammar or high school
> where you have no choice but to learn the stuff some curriculum
> committee decided you had to learn. So far I never had need for
> Spanish or knowing about King Louie the 7th of France since
> high school 33 years ago. As to code, it's "required" if you
> want an HF capable ham license. Some people will decide not
> to bother and go do something else.

3018. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:13:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <XK1se.96$nj7.2190465@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110275

I'd say those figures are certainly pressing the envelope... either way,
you don't see 'em on the bands in those numbers... your 1-in-20 seems
more like a 1-in-a-hundred-or-better to me!

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118870488.792235.262560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> I am afraid that task of inducting enough women is beyond me--no one
>> has
>> ever succeeded...
>
> The fact that something is beyond *you* doesn't mean it's beyond
> others.
>>
>> Just what percentage of amateurs are women? Do you even know?
>
> I know it's at least 5% of US hams. Probably more like 8%. Which is
> many
> times the 1-2% you cited.
>>
>> I bet you damn well know they are rarer then space aliens
>> sightings!!!
>
> Not where I live. Not on the air, either - if you check out modes
> other
> than voice.
>
> Right here in rrap, we have Kim, W5TIT, and Dee, N8UZE.
>
> W3RV mentioned W3CUL, Mae, perhaps *the* premier amateur radio traffic
> handler of her time. I did not know her, but I did know Lou, W3WRE,
> quite well in the 1970s. She had been a commercial operator as well as
> amateur, knew both the landline and radio codes, and was a topnotch
> operator who had many nonradio interests. The fact that she was a half
> century my elder did not prevent us from becoming friends. I learned
> a heck of lot from Lou, not all of it radio, either.
>
> I've also had the pleasure of working the youngest person ever to
> earn the Amateur Extra license. She got that license at age 8, while
> in the third grade, a few years ago. Good CW operator - she's a
> regular

> in the contests, and turns in very respectable scores.
>
>
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1118833293.386282.70600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> ... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio...
>> >> all have
>> >> declined doing anything towards getting a license...
>> >> once they even see
>> >> a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as
>> >> if I am crazy
>> >> and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>> >
>> > With all due respect, perhaps your skill at getting them
>> > interested needs some improvement....
>> >
>> > Given your attitude towards Morse Code, you'd be as
>> > effective as the chairman of the National Beef Council
>> > trying to get people to be vegetarians..
>> >>
>> >> Then they grab their net-to-phone and/or keyboard and being
>> >> chatting
>> >> with canadians, so americans, mexicans, asians, aussies, brits, >
>> >> etc...
>> >
>> > Which takes no radio and no license. So it's not about Morse Code,
>> > but about different interests.
>> >>
>> >> ... and at this point it is hard for me to pose a logical
>> >> argument--
>> >
>> > ;-)
>> >
>> >> women are just smarter than men... you can't fool them...
>> >>
>> > Not about fooling, but about what people are interested in.
>> >>
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1118785781.119633.267970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> >> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
>> >> >> educations...
>> >> >
>> >> > What about women with real educations?
>> >> >
>> >> > Would you consider someone with a BSEE from the University of
>> >> > Pennsylvania and an MSEE from Drexel University to have
>> >> > "a real education"?

>> >> >>
>> >> >> ... the cw part
>> >> >
>> >> > Is an amateur test. And is a trivial problem to people with
>> >> > real educations..
>> >> >
>> >> >> makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
>> >> >> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
>> >> >
>> >> > Then why require someone with no interest in VHF-UHF to learn
>> >> > those techniques in order to operate on HF? Why require
>> >> > knowedge of FSK, PSK and other data modes to operate voice?
>> >> > Why require knowledge of transistors and ICs to operate
>> >> > vacuum-tube equipment?
>> >> >
>> >> > IOW, why require anyone to learn anything about a subject they
>> >> > are not interested in, just to get a license to do the things
>> >> > they *are* interested in?
>> >> >
>> >> > --
>> >> >
>> >> > Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
>> >> > is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
>> >> > isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
>> >> > a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>> >> >
>> >> > In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same
>> >> > place
>> >> > as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
>> >> > do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
>> >> > ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
>> >> > it so much.
>> >> >
>> >> > --
>> >> >
>> >> >> Warmest regards,
>> >> >> John
>> >> >>
>> >> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:1118766723.066374.252520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> >> >> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was
>> >> >> >> it...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > A few anticode folks have stated they want Morse Code *use*
>> >> >> > by
>> >> >> > hams
>> >> >> > to
>> >> >> > end, not just the test. They are a small minority, but they
>> >> >> > do

>> >> >> > exist.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw
>> >> >> >> when
>> >> >> >> they
>> >> >> >> would
>> >> >> >> never use it...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Who is "forced" to learn Morse Code?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > It's a requirement if someone wants an FCC-issued amateur
>> >> >> > license
>> >> >> > with
>> >> >> > HF privileges, that's all.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not
>> >> >> >> wish
>> >> >> >> to,
>> >> >> >> they should question everything in sight... just as you
>> >> >> >> began
>> >> >> >> when
>> >> >> >> you
>> >> >> >> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > The argument you present boils down to this: If someone
>> >> >> > doesn't
>> >> >> > want
>> >> >> > to
>> >> >> > use Morse Code in ham radio, they shouldn't be required to
>> >> >> > learn
>> >> >> > it
>> >> >> > just
>> >> >> > to pass a test (even a simple, basic test) to get a ham radio
>> >> >> > license.
>> >> >> > Those who choose to use it can learn it on their own.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Is that about right?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > The problem is that the same argument can be made against
>> >> >> > almost
>> >> >> > everything
>> >> >> > in the written tests. For example, if someone doesn't intend
>> >> >> > to
>> >> >> > use
>> >> >> > certain
>> >> >> > bands, why are they forced to learn the band edges of every
>> >> >> > band
>> >> >> > their
>> >> >> > license allows? If someone doesn't intend to use more than a
>> >> >> > few

>> >> >> > watts
>> >> >> > of
>> >> >> > transmitted power, why must they learn all that RF exposure
>> >> >> > stuff?
>> >> >> > Indeed,
>> >> >> > if someone doesn't intend to homebrew, why are they *forced*
>> >> >> > to
>> >> >> > learn
>> >> >> > all
>> >> >> > that theory stuff?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Sure, the written tests look easy to someone with a
>> >> >> > background
>> >> >> > in
>> >> >> > radio,
>> >> >> > electronics, computers or other related fields. But to
>> >> >> > someone
>> >> >> > from
>> >> >> > an
>> >> >> > unrelated field, they're not easy.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Suppose you met a retired gentleman who had been a radioman
>> >> >> > in
>> >> >> > the
>> >> >> > military 50+ years ago. He'd always wanted to be a ham but
>> >> >> > never
>> >> >> > had
>> >> >> > the time or resources. Now he finds that ham radio still
>> >> >> > exists,
>> >> >> > and
>> >> >> > he wants in.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > The gent can still do code well, and remembers the basics of
>> >> >> > theory
>> >> >> > as it was 50+ years ago. He gets an HF receiver and listens
>> >> >> > to
>> >> >> > the
>> >> >> > lovely Morse Code signals on the low ends of the HF bands.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > But in order to join the folks on 7010 or 3520, he needs an
>> >> >> > Extra.
>> >> >> > And the written test is full of stuff he's never seen before,
>> >> >> > and
>> >> >> > that he will never use.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Why must he learn all that stuff he will never use just to
>> >> >> > pass
>> >> >> > the
>> >> >> > tests?
>> >> >> >

>> >> >> > Sure, the stuff is easy for *you*, but not for *him*.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums
>> >> >> >> straight...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Let's see...
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Warmest regards,
>> >> >> >> John
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> >> >> >> news:u%tre.699$yg4.231@news01.roc.ny...
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> >> > news:KLnre.61$o_4.3292270@news.sisna.com...
>> >> >> >> >> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
>> >> >> >> >> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc.
>> >> >> >> >> ancestors
>> >> >> >> >> used
>> >> >> >> >> to
>> >> >> >> >> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I
>> >> >> >> >> wonder
>> >> >> >> >> if
>> >> >> >> >> we
>> >> >> >> >> could bring those back to?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Invalid analogy.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Drums for communication aren't in wide use.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Morse Code for communication is in wide use in ham radio.
>> >> >> > Doesn't
>> >> >> > need to be brought back because it's right here.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a
>> >> >> >> fancy
>> >> >> >> tempo
>> >> >> >> on
>> >> >> >> one
>> >> >> >> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
>> >> >> >> <evil-grin>
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Warmest regards,
>> >> >> >> John
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Perhaps, John
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > But consider that some of us can send and receive cw

>> >> >> >> > faster
>> >> >> >> > than
>> >> >> >> > most
>> >> >> >> > folks can type.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Yup.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > I know you may be good at "cut and paste", but that
>> >> >> >> > doesn't
>> >> >> >> > necessarily cut
>> >> >> >> > it LOL.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > Sure, voice appears faster, but when you get names and
>> >> >> >> > addresses
>> >> >> >> > that
>> >> >> >> > are
>> >> >> >> > hard to pronounce ....
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Bingo.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > For any message that needs to be written down, the speed
>> >> >> > limitation
>> >> >> > is
>> >> >> > usually the writing speed of the receiving op. The fact that
>> >> >> > someone
>> >> >> > can theoretically talks 150 wpm doesn't mean anything if the
>> >> >> > person
>> >> >> > on the receiving end can only write legibly at 15 wpm.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Text modes are great if you have the hardware for them and if
>> >> >> > you
>> >> >> > are in a situation where you can look at a screen to read
>> >> >> > them.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > Not saying that CW is the best, but some folks better come
>> >> >> >> > up
>> >> >> >> > with
>> >> >> >> > something
>> >> >> >> > superior to AM and FM. There are a number of modes, but
>> >> >> >> > most
>> >> >> >> > folks
>> >> >> >> > want to
>> >> >> >> > "talk". That won't cut it for 85 watt moonbounce on 24
>> >> >> >> > GHz.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > :)
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> >> >> >> > Jim AA2QA

>> >> >> >> > ps - when I talk send and receive cw faster than some
>> >> >> >> > folks
>> >> >> >> > type,
>> >> >> >> > I'm
>> >> >> >> > not
>> >> >> >> > talking a nice, leisurely chat at 30 or 35 words per
>> >> >> >> > minute
>> >> >> >> > ...
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Yup.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Good Morse ops can chat at speeds approaching those of voice
>> >> >> > ops
>> >> >> > because
>> >> >> > they use abbreviations and eliminate redundancies.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >> >> >
>> >> >
>> >
>

3019. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:15:31 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JridndCvoccGNS3fRVn-1w@comcast.com>
Source record: 110249

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:q1Lre.7925$th7.3835@fe11.lga...
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118785781.119633.267970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
>> is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
>> isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
>> a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>>
>> In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
>> as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
>> do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
>> ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
>> it so much.
>
> It was never really about the actual test, but rather the effort required
> to successfully prepare for the test.
>
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782
>
> Snagged a TA2 and a 4X5 last night... IOW, the effort was well worth it.

Yup, I've got several that I've never come across or even seen listed on
voice.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3020. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:15:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <wM1se.97$jP.2284613@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110266

Oh, my automatic ID'er which "water marks" my communications is the ONLY valid use of morse...

John

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:hQ0se.4806$VK4.4210@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>
>> Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
>> is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
>> isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
>> a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>
> That makes it a real PITA to people who are good at book
> learnin' and not so hot at motor skills.
>>
>> In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
>> as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
>> do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
>> ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
>> it so much.
>>
>
> That makes ham radio that much harder to "sell" to the
> PhDs and such people. Code is something that can be
> outperformed by various signaling and signal processing
> methods (JPL doesn't use Morse code to communicate with
> their deep space probes). Sure, Morse code requires a
> bare minimum of technology, but today technology is
> cheap and reliable. Not like 50 years ago with vacuum
> tubes. No other radio service uses Morse code for
> anything beyond some automatic IDers. So why require it
> anymore.

3021. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:17:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5O1se.98$R47.2118800@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110269

N2EY:

You should be ashamed of yourself--you damn well know young cw'ers are rarer than female breasts on a boar! Most are no-code licenses!

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:1118870816.806793.98590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> I just support removing code because no new hams are using it in any
>> meaningful numbers.
>
> I've seen plenty of new hams use Morse Code on the air. And plenty who
> use other modes. What information do you have to show that "no new
> hams
> are using it in any meaningful numbers."
>
>> The new state of the art hams are interested in hooking a modem up
>> and
>> interfacing the radio to the computer...
>
> Some are - some aren't.
>
>> Hook up a code key and they loose interest immediately...
>
> Depends on how you present it. And the word is "lose"....
>
>> Now, a bunch of old guys who are computer illiterate have no choice
>> than
>> to try to amuse themselves with a damn key...
>
> Well, that leaves me out, because I'm neither old nor computer
> illiterate.
>
>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:HaWre.863$yg4.541@news01.roc.ny...
>> > John,
>> >
>> > You could make the same assertion about a driver's license.
>> > Memorize
>> > some
>> > rules and take a road test.
>> >

>> > Do you support eliminating motor vehicle tests? Perhaps only for
>> > college
>> > educated folks?
>> >
>> > Might it make sense to require folks to know where the band edges
>> > are,
>> > or
>> > would you think it doesn't matter.
>> >
>> > If you travel to the U.K., do you think it might be smart to
>> > understand that
>> > they drive on the *left* side of the road rather than the right?
>> > Even
>> > if
>> > you are a pedestrian?
>> >
>> > I suspect you'd be upset if someone started transmitting on your
>> > Direct Tv
>> > frequencies and killed your reception. There are rules and folks
>> > wishing
>> > licenses are supposed to demonstrate some knowledge of those rules.
>> > These
>> > rules do not require the calculus, yet even a college grad has to
>> > demonstrate some knowledge of them.
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>> >
>> >
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:snEre.146$5R7.12507228@news.sisna.com...
>> >> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
>> >> educations...
>> >>
>> >> ... the cw part makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
>> >> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
>> >>
>> >> Warmest regards,
>> >> John
>> >
>> >
>

3022. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:20:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <xQ1se.99$xm7.2198581@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110276

N2EY:

One point you got right, ham radio HAS TO compete with a superior form
of communication--the internet!

And, the only way it can is drop the code...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118872930.444019.75710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Charles Brabham wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:0oednXv9MZT9kC3fRVn-jg@comcast.com...
>> >
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:QqKre.203$nC4.14725234@news.sisna.com...
>> >> N2EY:
>> >>
>> >> I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting a
>> >> ham
>> >> license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code
>> >> license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code to
>> >> chat
>> >> with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier and
>> >> reliably over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc...
>> >> that is
>> >> REAL. THAT is dropping the numbers of hams and putting bands in
>> >> danger
>> >> of being lost... that is holding our numbers at such low levels
>> >> the FCC
>> >> begins to find us more a bother than anything else...
>> >>
>> >
>> > This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all time
>> > high.
>
> Yes - both in total numbers of US hams, and the ratio of hams to the
> total US population.
>
> In recent years the totals have begun to go down, and the percentage
> of
> the
> population as well. Reducing the license test requirements in 2000 has

> not brought growth, yet some folks insist that more and more
> reductions
> in test requirements are the only solution.
>>
>> It's just another one of those guys who thinks that Ham Radio is only
>> relevant in as much as it resembles the Internet. - In other words,
>> the guy
>> has no clue about the hobby but wants to run it down because it is
>> not the
>> Internet.
>
> BINGO!
>
> Yet it is exactly the fact that amateur radio *is not* the internet
> that is
> the draw!
>>
>> I use this attitude as a handy intelligence test for amateurs... If
>> they
>> cannot differentiate between Amateur Radio and the Internet, or if
>> they
>> cannot see why there should and would be differences between the
>> two - then
>> I know that they are brain-damaged (or even worse) - associated
>> with TAPR
>> or the ARRL's HSMM group.
>>
>> In any case the attitude indicates a clueless state in relation to
>> the
>> amateur radio hobby.
>
> Makes sense to me!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>>
>> Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
>> Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
>> Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
>> Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php
>

3023. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:20:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_Q1se.100$6T.2289693@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110273

Commander Buzz Off:

...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:3qqdnSNQceVe4S3fRVn-hw@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> ... actually, I just can tell a brain dead human when I see one...
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
>> news:Zu2dndeHB76a5i3fRVn-qQ@gbronline.com...
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Buzzard Cornholing Corey:
>>>
>>>I see you lower yourself down on the same level as two-test wogie.
>>>Typical of a cber.
>>
>>
>>
> You shouldn't look in the mirror so much.

3024. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:22:23 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4_2dnYi3QbCrNy3fRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 110251

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:AwLre.215$ph6.15368229@news.sisna.com...
> Len:
>
> Did I miss something here, if you know morse it gives you the ability to
> speak and read french?
>

No but there is a standardized set of Q signals and other widely adopted abbreviations that permit one to carry on a very basic albeit limited conversation even though neither of you knows the other one's language.

As in many activities, there is a "standardized vocabulary" if you will. For example, music generally uses Italian terms (although the French & Germans tend to resist) that. I do not speak Italian but if the conductor says "rubato" or "accelerando", I know what he wants us to do. He might not speak a word of English and I might not speak a word of his native language but we can communicate in a limited fashion with the standardized music vocabulary. Similarly, ballet terminology is in French. Because of that, the student and teacher can communicate regarding ballet movements even if neither speaks French and neither speaks the others language.

> Hell, I know morse and still can't speak to the french, unless they morse
> in english!!! Same with the Germans, Dutch, Spanish, etc....
>

See above.

> ROFLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> John

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3025. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:22:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9T1se.102$Yx7.2241577@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110277

"Younger?" "YOUNGER?"

... are you 18?

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118872069.929179.50700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Mike Coslo on May 29, 9:57 pm
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >
>> >>>Many people lament that there is not enough interest
>> >>>in Ham radio by young people.
>> >
>> >> Agreed - but how much would be enough?
>> >
>> > Dunno. I personally agree somewhat with Brian K's assertion
>> > that the
>> >absolute number of Hams could indeed drop without serious problems.
>> >
>> > On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good mix
>> > of ages.
>>
>> Younger hobbyists have to be there to "take orders" from the
>> older ones? :-)
>
> You'd like it if we younger folks took orders from you, but we won't.
> Deal with it, Len.
>>
>> >>>There are often many reasons given for this deficiency,
>> >>>and somewhat less "fixes".
>> >
>> >>>One of the reasons that is given very often is that
>> >>>Amateur radio is in some sort of competition with the
>> >>>Internet.
>> >
>> >> Every activity is in competition with every other.
>>
>> Among teen-agers? How can you possibly say that? :-)
>>
>> > Surely. Comparisons between the two show that Amateur radio
>> > is a tad
>> >bit more involved than buying a computer, doing a dialup and surfing

>> >the
>> >net. Will a person who's idea of a hobby is clicking a mouse button
>> >find
>> >Amateur radio a tad intimidating?
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. Ham speak with forked tongue on inpugning "no
>> intellect required." A sort of Deus Ex Mousina attitude.
>>
>> Mike, you were never into computers and BBSs before the
>> Macintosh mouse debuted, were you? Lots and lots of ALL
>> ages were BBSing, having a great time without the GUI, well
>> before Windows, when not on-line they were doing programming,
>> writing games, "unprotecting" protected disks, experimenting
>> with the first modem advancements, etc. Budding authors were
>> practicing writing and established writers were generating
>> manuscripts with relative ease. Accounting people had
>> discovered the first spreadsheets and increased the efficiency
>> of their inventory, financial records, establishing both
>> schedules and prices of products they were making. ALL
>> BEFORE the advent of either the computer Mouse or GUI.
>
> Actually, both the mouse and GUI came from Xerox's Palo Alto Research
> Center,
> about 1971. That center produced the first "personal computer" in its
> modern form (a computer for each user rather than a timeshared
> mainframe,
> networking between users' computers, the GUI and mouse, filesharing,
> etc.)
> Xerox management did not realize what they had right in front of them,
> and
> the ideas were produced and marketed by others.
>
> Some of us were using computers back in the punchcard and paper-tape
> days.
>>
>> To get an HF transceiver in their vehicles, both young and
>> old could buy a set of transceiver, antenna, microphone for
>> under $200 from Sears, K-Mart, Wall-Mart, etc. in the
>> morning and have it installed and working in the afternoon.
>
> And it had a few channels, one mode and 5 watts of power at most.
>
>> Of course that was "CB" and therefore "lowly" and, to some,
>> "criminal." :-) [all before the GUI and mouse]
>
> The way many cb radios were used was in clear violation of the
> Communications
> Act and FCC regulations.
>
>> I have to admit that putting up one's ham station DOES

>> take some smarts.
>
> Yes, it does.
>
>
>> One copies an antenna design out of the
>> ARRL Antenna Handbook, getting somewhat close to the
>> dimensions.
>
> Why "somewhat close"?
>
>
>> One can copy a whole transceiver design out
>> of the ARRL Handbook, then rescue themselves by scrapping
>> the unworking project with a ready-built transceiver bought
>> at HRO (reviews of performance presented by the "ARRL Lab"
>> and published in QST).
>
> Just because your projects don't work doesn't mean others will fail.
>
>> They can even buy coax cable
>> assemblies when they are unable to put on PL-259s right,
>> even buy whole antenna kits. I'd say that was "plug and
>> play" on the same order as PCs, but before Microsith
>> came out with that marketing/sales phrase. :-)
>
> How many amateur radio HF receivers, transmitters or transceivers have
> *you* built, Len?
>
>>
>> >> A computer has many uses, from being a glorified typewriter to a
>> >> serious research/calculation device to gaming to producing all
>> >> sorts of
>> >> multimedia stuff.
>> >
>> > Don't forget surfing porn. A great way to build character!
>> > 8^)
>>
>> Tsk, Mike. You never saw "dirty pictures" elsewhere (not over a
>> computer) when you were "building (your own) character?" :-)
>>
>> >> Most decent white-collar jobs today require computer skills. Many
>> >> blue-collar jobs also require them.
>>
>> True enough. There aren't a lot of businesses or
>> corporations that need "ham radio skills" (even discounting
>> morse code). Back before the GUI and computer mousies,
>> push-to-talk two-way radios were common in business large
>> and small, with public safety organizations, in the military,
>> and in government. All easy to use...and NOT on amateur

>> bands nor needing morse code skills.
>>
>>
>> > Not missing a point. To me, computers are like underwear -
>> > pretty much
>> >gotta have it.
>>
>> I've not seen any "HANES" computer bramds in stores...
>>
>>
>> >> Some people live in places where putting up an antenna - *any*
>> >> antenna
>> >> - is banned by CC&Rs. A family isn't likely to move so that Junior
>> >> can
>> >> put up a G5RV.
>>
>> Unless either of you have some NEW info courtesy of the U.S.
>> Census
>> Bureau, you will find the MOST residences in the USA do NOT have
>> such restrictions.
>
> How many?
>
> What sort of antennas do *you* have, Len?
>
>> > Heh! i had a thought -maybe we could get some of the
>> > rebellious types
>> >to go stealth! 8^)
>>
>> You haven't heard of MOBILE or even HAND-HELD transceivers?!?
>>
>>
>> > Actually, I think you missed my point! My point is that if a
>> > person is
>> >making a choice of hobbies to get into, the concept of choosing
>> >between
>> >Amateur radio and using a cell phone just isn't in the mix. I see
>> >trendy
>> >teens with cell phones glued to their heads every day. I can only
>> >assume
>> >that they spend hours each day on them. I can guarantee that that
>> >kid
>> >has never considered amateur radio as a hobby.
>>
>> How do you present this "guarantee?" In writing? From "long
>> experience" in observation? [remember there are a few of us
>> who've been around longer and seen MORE teeners - even been one
>> once - have MANY DIFFERENT observations of others over the past
>> half century]
>

> How many children have you parented, Len?
>
>> > I doubt they consider
>> >their cells as a hobby either. So it is pretty hard to think of that
>> >as
>> >competition.
>>
>> Competition for teeners' TIME. They have the same 24 hours a
>> day as adults and infants, the same need to sleep, eat, and do
>> other things (such as attend school).
>>
>> >> How many TV shows and movies ever depict engineering or
>> >> technical folks at all, compared to other fields like health
>> >> care or law enforcement?
>>
>> TV shows and Movies are for ENTERTAINMENT of enough
>> customers that will pay the Producers of same...and artists.
>> Entertainment shows go for the Emotions of the audience.
>>
>> > One show made a start toward a good positive presentation of
>> > engineers
>> >and techies. It was Star Trek. The original series had a very kind
>> >treatment of Scottie, the engineer.
>>
>> ...who ran the works of a SPACESHIP (circa 1967) as
>> thunk up by MOVIE people, the Producers, the Writers,
>> the scenery and prop designers. NOT "techie" insofar as
>> our then-present society was. EMOTION stuff, NOT
>> education.
>
>> >> I graduated high school in 1972 - the golden age of space
>> >> and technology, right?
>> >
>> > Well, pretty close to the end of it....
>>
>> NOT EVEN CLOSE. Having been IN the "space business" since
>> 1964 and working for the manufacturer of the Space Shuttle
>> Main Engine (as well as the Apollo first-stage engine), you
>> both missed the Space Shuttle program and well over a
>> hundred STS missions.
>
> I didn't miss any of them, Len.
>
>> You've MISSED the unmanned vehicle
>> missions and negated the tremendous data gathered by the
>> Mars rovers and the trips to the outer edges of our solar
>> system.
>
> Nope. Didn't miss them at all. I remember all the way back to
> the Mercury flights with chimps ("A monkey's gonna make the first

> flight!")
> Shepard, Grissom, Glenn, the Gemini program, the tragedy of the Apollo
> 1
> fire, the Mariner, Viking, Pioneer and Voyager programs, etc.
>
> Now Cassini sends incredible pictures and data from Saturn. No sirens
> found on Titan, though.
>
>> You two have completely ignored when the "personal
>> computer" made its debuts beginning in the mid-1970s, and
>> suddenly skyrocketing after 1980.
>
> Not at all, Len. I was right there.
>
>> You've missed the first
>> computer networking of BBSs that began in the late 1970s
>> or have recognized the Internet phenomenon happening after
>> it went public in 1991. Perhaps you've both become too
>> blase' about computers and the Internet?
>
> This NG is about amateur radio, Len. Something you are not a part of.
>>
>> >My basic thesis is that we as a society are moving toward the
>> >celebration of the ordinary, the mundane. We have lost our edge. And
>> >that can only last for so long.
>>
>> Tsk, your own middle-age angst is mumbling. :-)
>>
>> I've heard the SAME sort of complaint by others about their
>> generations' folly for about six decades. :-)
>
> So you're old, Len. Big deal. You grunt and grumble, rant and rave
> more
> than anyone here.
>
>> > If we can celebrate those who *DO* things instead of simply
>> > consume
>> >things, we might reverse that trend.
>>
>> That has been going on in nearly all technological endeavors
>> for as long as I can remember. The DO-ers are celebrated.
>
> Yep - like the hams who pioneered HF radio in 1923...
>
>> A survey of the IEEE Milestone history program in electronics
>> demonstrates that, a program that is shared with other
>> technological associations. The birth of the first "hard
>> drive" has been Milestoned recently...IBM's RAMAC and the
>> Model 350 disk storage system (1956) out of a small IBM
>> lab in Silicon Valley.

>>
>> But, to be celebrated, you MUST do something that others
>> consider more remarkable than average. Your own personal
>> accomplishment is NOT enough. One isn't a DO-er just by
>> making something and showing it on the Internet to a wide
>> ranging viewing audience, then proclaiming its "greatness."
>> Neither is one a DO-er by explaining what they "will" do
>> and expecting plaudits BEFORE they've ever done anything.
>
> You mean like the person who posts their military and work experience
> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
> and
> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
> and
> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
> and
> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
> again, in a failed attempt to win the
> praise and admiration of others, even though it has nothing to do with
> amateur radio?
>
> Or the person who says "I'm going for Extra right out of the box", but
> as of
> 5 years, 5 months and 27 days hasn't done so?
>
> Or the person who talks endlessly about their supposed technical
> knowledge
> prowess but cannot show a single home-made amateur-radio-related
> project or practical article?
>

3026. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:23:37 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <t5Gdnd_QJ7UcNy3fRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110252

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:wqudnYA8v72iPzLfRVn-uw@adelphia.com...
> bb wrote:
>>
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>> Probably the latter. Certainly a lot of small bands would be very
>>>interesting. There would be a fair amount of equipment going out of use,
>>>which would be a shame. No doubt modifications could be made, but with
>>>many bands, the old equipment only has so many switch positions! 8^)
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>> Wow! For want of a band switch, you would let go of better (24hr)
>> coverage?
>>
>> Maybe Jimmy the Riveter wouldn't mind stopping by with a chassis punch
>> and wire up a new switch for you.
>
> It would be nice if all you had to do was put in a switch with more
> positions on it, but those darn "innards" would have to be modified too.
> Old equipment would probably have only a few bands it would operate on,
> and the olde analog dials would add to the problems. The old stuff would
> probably just have to be written off as a loss. Newer equipment would be
> more amenable to modification, and displays would more likely continue to
> work. Of course, someone has to do the mods, or the new equipment gets
> consigned to the recycle bin too. Some of the newer equipment would
> possibly not be convertible
>
> Okay, so lets say we just ditched all the analog equipment, and most all
> of the digital equipment up to date of change.
>
> Now lets talk about antennas. It isn't likely that we will have single
> antennas at any station, save for the resurrection of the old general
> purpose dipole fed with ladder line, run through a tuner. That's one that
> olde tyme hammes will recognize! I suppose the Steppir antennas could work
> if you have enough coin. The method proposed by Jim will not accommodate
> the tricks we use now to provide an acceptable match as the major HF
> bands will not be harmonically related.
>
> All in all, no thanks.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

>

Build transverters. Lots and lots of transverters. Then equipment of any age could be made to work.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3027. Extra, extra--older hams dying to make room for younger amateurs!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:23:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6U1se.103$Lq7.2225265@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110267

A fact of life...

John
--
Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality, right
before my eyes--in real time!
Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?

3028. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:24:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <28-dnRTA-dVQNy3fRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 110253

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ii3pv77.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 10:20:13 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>>.... absolutely not... I work with young men who take great pride in
>>their ability to pass difficult tests with ease... who are thankful for
>>their gifted IQ's...
>>
>>.... but who see no reason to learn code... indeed, the courses which
>>they take, and their level of education make any amateur exam look
>>trivial...
>
> Do they think that their sweat doesn't stink????
>
> Wait 'till they hit the course or assignment that throws them back
> into the mortal realm. We've all hit that point at one time or
> another....
>

And it's a real shock the first time it happens.

> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3029. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:27:10 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QoadnT1VYNDLNi3fRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 110254

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ii3q7k8.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>>... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all have
>>declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they even see
>>a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am crazy
>>and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>
> Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver State
> (CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired PhD
> in a specialized field of the biological sciences.
>
> So much for generalizations.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

Definitely avoid generalizations. I originally got interested in ham radio because my husband of the time asked me to be involved with him. Code was part of the class and test. I didn't particularly have any feelings against it or for it. However now I enjoy it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3030. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:34:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Yv2dnaKNYK2ZMC3fRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 110255

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d8pc3r$1a06$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>>
>> Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all have
>>>>declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they even see
>>>>a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am crazy
>>>>and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>>>
>>> Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver State
>>> (CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired PhD
>>> in a specialized field of the biological sciences.
>>
>>
>> . . . then there was the legendary traffic handler Mae Burke W3CUL who
>> was a neighborhood housewife . .
>
>
> I can't imagine any person becoming a Ham because they simply want to
> "chat with someone around the world".
>
> In the first place most of my DX contacts are pretty terse, and don't
> fulfill any "chatting needs". Not that I have chatting needs!
>
> There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority, they are
> probably no more of a minority than women's representation in other
> technical fields. This would mean that any problem is shared with those
> other technical fields, and not a Ham radio specific problem.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Actually I know more women in ham radio than women in engineering.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3031. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:39:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Ff-dnfm19uqDMy3fRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 110256

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:BiYre.14$cj7.643176@news.sisna.com...
> ... oh, I love that argument!!! Let me see if I have it correctly,
> either:
>
> 1) Women are too stupid for the technical fields.

How you managed to twist Mike's words to come up with this interpretation is amazing. He neither said nor implied anything of the sort.

>
> 2) We are no worse than any other technical field about baring women.
>

He said nothing about barring women from technical fields. Again how you managed to come up with this inverted interpretation is one of the mysteries of the world. Women choose not to go into technical fields for their own reasons. That includes hobby activities like ham radio.

> ROLL!!!!!
>
> John
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d8pc3r$1a06$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Phil Kane wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all have
>>>>declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they even see
>>>>a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am crazy
>>>>and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>>>>
>>>> Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver State
>>>> (CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired PhD
>>>> in a specialized field of the biological sciences.

>>>
>>>
>>> . . . then there was the legendary traffic handler Mae Burke W3CUL who
>>> was a neighborhood housewife . .
>>
>>
>> I can't imagine any person becoming a Ham because they simply want to
>> "chat with someone around the world".
>>
>> In the first place most of my DX contacts are pretty terse, and don't
>> fulfill any "chatting needs". Not that I have chatting needs!
>>
>> There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority, they are
>> probably no more of a minority than women's representation in other
>> technical fields. This would mean that any problem is shared with those
>> other technical fields, and not a Ham radio specific problem.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>>
>
>

3032. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:40:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <IvidnYTac8rGMy3fRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 110257

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:7nYre.16$gz7.670784@news.sisna.com...
>I think first you would have to get a noticeable number of women into ham
>radio--then argue if they are being treated fairly--at this point they have
>been effectively banned!!!
>
> John
>

Not hardly.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d8pbno$14ie$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>> With all due respect, perhaps your skill at getting them
>>> interested needs some improvement....
>>>
>>> Given your attitude towards Morse Code, you'd be as
>>> effective as the chairman of the National Beef Council
>>> trying to get people to be vegetarians..
>>
>> Wouldn't that be better the other way around, Jim? A vegetarian who hates
>> meat trying to get people to come to say a pig roast? ;^)
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>

3033. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:41:12 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ktqdnZoc9NU9My3fRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110258

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:glYre.15$gp.772120@news.sisna.com...
> N2EY:
>
> I am afraid that task of inducting enough women is beyond me--no one has
> ever succeeded...
>
> Just what percentage of amateurs are women? Do you even know?
>
> I bet you damn well know they are rarer then space aliens sightings!!!
>
> ROFLOL!!!
>
> John
>

I'd bet the Young Ladies Radio League could come up with a pretty good
estimate. Also the Buckeye Bells may be able to do the same.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118833293.386282.70600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> ... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio...
>>> all have
>>> declined doing anything towards getting a license...
>>> once they even see
>>> a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as
>>> if I am crazy
>>> and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>>
>> With all due respect, perhaps your skill at getting them
>> interested needs some improvement....
>>
>> Given your attitude towards Morse Code, you'd be as
>> effective as the chairman of the National Beef Council
>> trying to get people to be vegetarians..
>>>
>>> Then they grab their net-to-phone and/or keyboard and being
>>> chatting
>>> with canadians, so americans, mexicans, asians, aussies, brits, > etc...
>>
>> Which takes no radio and no license. So it's not about Morse Code,
>> but about different interests.

>>>
>>> ... and at this point it is hard for me to pose a logical
>>> argument--
>>
>> ;-)
>>
>>> women are just smarter than men... you can't fool them...
>>>
>> Not about fooling, but about what people are interested in.
>>>
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1118785781.119633.267970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>> > John Smith wrote:
>>> >> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
>>> >> educations...
>>> >
>>> > What about women with real educations?
>>> >
>>> > Would you consider someone with a BSEE from the University of
>>> > Pennsylvania and an MSEE from Drexel University to have
>>> > "a real education"?
>>> >>
>>> >> ... the cw part
>>> >
>>> > Is an amateur test. And is a trivial problem to people with
>>> > real educations..
>>> >
>>> >> makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
>>> >> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
>>> >
>>> > Then why require someone with no interest in VHF-UHF to learn
>>> > those techniques in order to operate on HF? Why require
>>> > knowedge of FSK, PSK and other data modes to operate voice?
>>> > Why require knowledge of transistors and ICs to operate
>>> > vacuum-tube equipment?
>>> >
>>> > IOW, why require anyone to learn anything about a subject they
>>> > are not interested in, just to get a license to do the things
>>> > they *are* interested in?
>>> >
>>> > --
>>> >
>>> > Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
>>> > is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
>>> > isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
>>> > a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>>> >
>>> > In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
>>> > as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
>>> > do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its

>>> > ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
>>> > it so much.
>>> >
>>> > --
>>> >
>>> >> Warmest regards,
>>> >> John
>>> >>
>>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> >> news:1118766723.066374.252520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> >> > John Smith wrote:
>>> >> >> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was it...
>>> >> >
>>> >> > A few anticode folks have stated they want Morse Code *use* by hams
>>> >> > to
>>> >> > end, not just the test. They are a small minority, but they do
>>> >> > exist.
>>> >> >
>>> >> >> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when they
>>> >> >> would
>>> >> >> never use it...
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Who is "forced" to learn Morse Code?
>>> >> >
>>> >> > It's a requirement if someone wants an FCC-issued amateur license
>>> >> > with
>>> >> > HF privileges, that's all.
>>> >> >
>>> >> >> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not wish
>>> >> >> to,
>>> >> >> they should question everything in sight... just as you began
>>> >> >> when
>>> >> >> you
>>> >> >> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
>>> >> >
>>> >> > The argument you present boils down to this: If someone doesn't
>>> >> > want
>>> >> > to
>>> >> > use Morse Code in ham radio, they shouldn't be required to learn it
>>> >> > just
>>> >> > to pass a test (even a simple, basic test) to get a ham radio
>>> >> > license.
>>> >> > Those who choose to use it can learn it on their own.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Is that about right?
>>> >> >
>>> >> > The problem is that the same argument can be made against almost
>>> >> > everything
>>> >> > in the written tests. For example, if someone doesn't intend to use
>>> >> > certain

>>> >> > bands, why are they forced to learn the band edges of every band
>>> >> > their
>>> >> > license allows? If someone doesn't intend to use more than a few
>>> >> > watts
>>> >> > of
>>> >> > transmitted power, why must they learn all that RF exposure stuff?
>>> >> > Indeed,
>>> >> > if someone doesn't intend to homebrew, why are they *forced* to
>>> >> > learn
>>> >> > all
>>> >> > that theory stuff?
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Sure, the written tests look easy to someone with a background in
>>> >> > radio,
>>> >> > electronics, computers or other related fields. But to someone from
>>> >> > an
>>> >> > unrelated field, they're not easy.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Suppose you met a retired gentleman who had been a radioman in the
>>> >> > military 50+ years ago. He'd always wanted to be a ham but never
>>> >> > had
>>> >> > the time or resources. Now he finds that ham radio still exists,
>>> >> > and
>>> >> > he wants in.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > The gent can still do code well, and remembers the basics of theory
>>> >> > as it was 50+ years ago. He gets an HF receiver and listens to the
>>> >> > lovely Morse Code signals on the low ends of the HF bands.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > But in order to join the folks on 7010 or 3520, he needs an Extra.
>>> >> > And the written test is full of stuff he's never seen before, and
>>> >> > that he will never use.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Why must he learn all that stuff he will never use just to pass the
>>> >> > tests?
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Sure, the stuff is easy for *you*, but not for *him*.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums straight...
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Let's see...
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Warmest regards,
>>> >> >> John
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> >> >> news:u%tre.699$yg4.231@news01.roc.ny...
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> >> > news:KLnre.61$o_4.3292270@news.sisna.com...

>>> >> >> >> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
>>> >> >> >> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc. ancestors
>>> >> >> >> used
>>> >> >> >> to
>>> >> >> >> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I wonder
>>> >> >> >> if
>>> >> >> >> we
>>> >> >> >> could bring those back to?
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Invalid analogy.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Drums for communication aren't in wide use.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Morse Code for communication is in wide use in ham radio. Doesn't
>>> >> > need to be brought back because it's right here.
>>> >> >
>>> >> >> >> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy
>>> >> >> >> tempo
>>> >> >> >> on
>>> >> >> >> one
>>> >> >> >> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
>>> >> >> >> <evil-grin>
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> Warmest regards,
>>> >> >> >> John
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> > Perhaps, John
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > But consider that some of us can send and receive cw faster than
>>> >> >> > most
>>> >> >> > folks can type.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Yup.
>>> >> >
>>> >> >> > I know you may be good at "cut and paste", but that doesn't
>>> >> >> > necessarily cut
>>> >> >> > it LOL.
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > Sure, voice appears faster, but when you get names and addresses
>>> >> >> > that
>>> >> >> > are
>>> >> >> > hard to pronounce ....
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Bingo.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > For any message that needs to be written down, the speed limitation
>>> >> > is
>>> >> > usually the writing speed of the receiving op. The fact that

>>> >> > someone
>>> >> > can theoretically talks 150 wpm doesn't mean anything if the person
>>> >> > on the receiving end can only write legibly at 15 wpm.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Text modes are great if you have the hardware for them and if you
>>> >> > are in a situation where you can look at a screen to read them.
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > Not saying that CW is the best, but some folks better come up
>>> >> >> > with
>>> >> >> > something
>>> >> >> > superior to AM and FM. There are a number of modes, but most
>>> >> >> > folks
>>> >> >> > want to
>>> >> >> > "talk". That won't cut it for 85 watt moonbounce on 24 GHz.
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > :)
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>>> >> >> > Jim AA2QA
>>> >> >> > ps - when I talk send and receive cw faster than some folks
>>> >> >> > type,
>>> >> >> > I'm
>>> >> >> > not
>>> >> >> > talking a nice, leisurely chat at 30 or 35 words per minute ...
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> > Yup.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Good Morse ops can chat at speeds approaching those of voice ops
>>> >> > because
>>> >> > they use abbreviations and eliminate redundancies.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>> >> >
>>> >
>>
>
>

3034. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:42:24 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <EOSdnY6ANK91My3fRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 110259

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:WsYre.18$Oh.722036@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> ... all time high!
>
> You attempt the argument that amateur radio has always been a ridiculous
> cost for nothing--well, perhaps you are correct... time to increase our
> numbers to a meaningful number...
>
> ROFLOL
>
> John

This statement makes no sense whatever.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:0oednXv9MZT9kC3fRVn-jg@comcast.com...
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:QqKre.203$nC4.14725234@news.sisna.com...
>>> N2EY:
>>>
>>> I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting a ham
>>> license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code
>>> license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code to chat
>>> with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier and
>>> reliably over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc... that is
>>> REAL. THAT is dropping the numbers of hams and putting bands in danger
>>> of being lost... that is holding our numbers at such low levels the FCC
>>> begins to find us more a bother than anything else...
>>>
>>
>> This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all time high.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
>

3035. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:45:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ec2se.104$AH7.2250820@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110270

Dee:

Michael's own words:
"There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority, ..."

What does that mean--they are being held out by the old farts? The
women too want no-code?

Just what is the reason he was claiming?

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:Ff-dnfm19uqDMy3fRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:BiYre.14$cj7.643176@news.sisna.com...
>> ... oh, I love that argument!!! Let me see if I have it correctly,
>> either:
>>
>> 1) Women are too stupid for the technical fields.
>
> How you managed to twist Mike's words to come up with this
> interpretation is amazing. He neither said nor implied anything of
> the sort.
>
>>
>> 2) We are no worse than any other technical field about baring women.
>>
>
> He said nothing about barring women from technical fields. Again how
> you managed to come up with this inverted interpretation is one of the
> mysteries of the world. Women choose not to go into technical fields
> for their own reasons. That includes hobby activities like ham radio.
>
>> ROLL!!!!!
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:d8pc3r$1a06$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>
>>>

>>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Phil Kane wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all
>>>>>>have
>>>>>>declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they
>>>>>>even see
>>>>>>a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am
>>>>>>crazy
>>>>>>and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>>>>>
>>>>> Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver State
>>>>> (CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired
>>>>> PhD
>>>>> in a specialized field of the biological sciences.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> . . . then there was the legendary traffic handler Mae Burke W3CUL
>>>> who
>>>> was a neighborhood housewife . .
>>>
>>>
>>> I can't imagine any person becoming a Ham because they simply want
>>> to "chat with someone around the world".
>>>
>>> In the first place most of my DX contacts are pretty terse, and
>>> don't fulfill any "chatting needs". Not that I have chatting needs!
>>>
>>> There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority,
>>> they are probably no more of a minority than women's representation
>>> in other technical fields. This would mean that any problem is
>>> shared with those other technical fields, and not a Ham radio
>>> specific problem.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3036. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:45:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1-qdnSYpAIA8Mi3fRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 110260

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:HPYre.22$Y_7.700544@news.sisna.com...
> Kelly:
>
> Now I really worry about you--you pose an argument which really starts to
> define you as a fool!
>
> Are you claiming I can't tune through all the ham bands in relatively
> short order and be stuck numb with the fact that there are ALMOST NO WOMEN
> to be heard?
>
> Gesus man, you need some type of medication to even stay relevant!
>
> John
>

Many of us do not call CQ but will answer them. Many others stay on CW
where you cannot tell if we are women unless we tell you or you look us up
and ASSUME based on our names that we are women.

I work several other women in every voice contest in which I participate and
they are NOT the same ones each time.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3037. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:48:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6f2se.105$XN.2283593@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110278

Dee:

That is another interesting statistic--most women in radio are the wives of hams--there is certainly some interesting reasons behind that, I am sure... I think it directly relates to "Good-Old Boy's Club" but them accepting the wife, daughter, relative of a member of the club...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:QoadnT1VYNDLNi3fRVn-ig@comcast.com...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.ii3q7k8.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>> On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all have
>>>declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they even
>>>see
>>>a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am crazy
>>>and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>>
>> Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver State
>> (CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired PhD
>> in a specialized field of the biological sciences.
>>
>> So much for generalizations.
>>
>> --
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>
>>
>
> Definitely avoid generalizations. I originally got interested in ham
> radio because my husband of the time asked me to be involved with him.
> Code was part of the class and test. I didn't particularly have any
> feelings against it or for it. However now I enjoy it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3038. Re: Poor Saggytits Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:51:02 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.wiseman.net.kook@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 110261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

On Wed, 15 Jun 2005 23:08:32 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger <Uberloser@cypherpunks.to> wrote:
:
: Newsgroups: ... alt.fuckhead.stagger.lee,...,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies
: Organization: mail2news@dizum.com

Indeed, Woger, you've achieved a much higher count of newsgroups
dedicated to your name than anyone else. According to newzbot.com,
the following groups can be found on a number of Usenet servers:

* alt.asshole.roger-wiseman
* alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman
* alt.fag-lamer.roger-wiseman.chucklehead
* alt.fan.fatassed.woger.wiseman-dicksucker
* alt.idiot.roger-wiseman
* alt.pedophile.roger-wiseman
* alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.gaymen.ab8mq
* alt.fan.AB8MQ

Newzbot claims that only one free server in the world carries the
lloyd-davies newsgroup, and that the newsgroup contains no messages.

It looks like you win. Aren't you proud of yourself?

====================
"KC8JBO is an idiot in my opinion." -- Stephen K. Gielda, owner of
COTSE, in message <MPG.158a2f2795d3db95989ba5@news.supernews.com>

"Now, now, after I feeling sorry for you after reading your 'what it's
like behind the scenes at COTSE' you insult one of your users.
Not very prudent. I guess it shows what you're really all about. You
claim to protect freedom of speech." -- Roger Wiseman's response to
Gielda's statement

====================
"And that fat hog Pam shouldn't caterwaul with her hunchbacked fatass
mommy with the Xtian band anymore, she caused more stray dogs to come
around that run-down shack that Ovis is housed in. Another Xtian
hypocrite, that radio station is a known haven for child abuse in the
mound town. She is a fucking idiot." -- ab8mq in message
<akd8bpkce8@pita.alt.net>

====================
"Guess again shit-for-brains. Maybe I got back on Stratuswave through
somebody else signing up and just using their account on purpose, just
to piss you fuckheads and Stratuswave off. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!" --
AB8MQ in message-id <737588ee.0405211543.6e6d2ebf@posting.google.com>

==========
"Wrong again, FATASS, I told Pammy to go fuck herself after she called here, all upset. I guyess it was because she was behind giving the black system admin she has a blowjob in the server room and giving one to her dog." -- AB8MQ in message-id <737589ee.0405220528.371d3f66@posting.google.com>

==========
"Roger hasn't recieved 'repeat visits with the chief of police'. You ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass." -- Secwet Woger in message-id <b14c37cf833370abc4122a241ccf54c4@melontraffickers.com>

==========
In message <460958efdfed53d39cf6e878fe497940@userbeam.net>, Secwet Woger bragged about his Extra Class examination fame with the claim: "If poor chronic alcoholic and drug abuser Gopher face wouldn't drink so much because he is womanless, he could spell 'retested', 'dumb' and 'Rogie' and could actually pass an Extra license exam."

3039. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:52:04 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Duqdne5mu96xLC3fRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 110262

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:hQ0se.4806$VK4.4210@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>
>> Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
>> is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
>> isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
>> a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>
> That makes it a real PITA to people who are good at book
> learnin' and not so hot at motor skills.

Conversely the written is a real PITA to people who are good at physical skills but not at book learning. We've got a few around here who breezed through the 5, 13, and 20 wpm code test but had to take each of the writtens multiple times and they had studied hard each time. They were not allowed to get out of the written or plead diminished capacity or anything else. They had to do it.

>>
>> In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
>> as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
>> do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
>> ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
>> it so much.
>>
>
> That makes ham radio that much harder to "sell" to the
> PhDs and such people. Code is something that can be
> outperformed by various signaling and signal processing
> methods (JPL doesn't use Morse code to communicate with
> their deep space probes).

Well those PHDs had to learn the simplest of basics in their chosen fields when they started their journeys. There is "obsolete" information in every field that is often required learning as part of a basic understanding of the field.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3040. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:54:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ik2se.107$Bh7.2172028@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110274

Dee:

Now you are the official spokesmen for ALL these women, I'd rather hear
that directly from all the other girls here...

<John-listens-to-the-echoes-from-this-silent-and-empty-room-and-Dee-speaking-for-ALL-the-other-females>

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1-qdnSYpAIA8Mi3fRVn-2Q@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:HPYre.22$Y_7.700544@news.sisna.com...
>> Kelly:
>>
>> Now I really worry about you--you pose an argument which really
>> starts to define you as a fool!
>>
>> Are you claiming I can't tune through all the ham bands in relatively
>> short order and be stuck numb with the fact that there are ALMOST NO
>> WOMEN to be heard?
>>
>> Gesus man, you need some type of medication to even stay relevant!
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Many of us do not call CQ but will answer them. Many others stay on
> CW where you cannot tell if we are women unless we tell you or you
> look us up and ASSUME based on our names that we are women.
>
> I work several other women in every voice contest in which I
> participate and they are NOT the same ones each time.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3041. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:55:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Yl2se.108$Ff1.2301066@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110280

Dee:

Well, you have me there, those TEN girls may be of that mind! ROFLOL...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:ktqdnZoc9NU9My3fRVn-pg@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:glYre.15$gp.772120@news.sisna.com...
>> N2EY:
>>
>> I am afraid that task of inducting enough women is beyond me--no one
>> has ever succeeded...
>>
>> Just what percentage of amateurs are women? Do you even know?
>>
>> I bet you damn well know they are rarer then space aliens
>> sightings!!!
>>
>> ROFLOL!!!
>>
>> John
>>
>
> I'd bet the Young Ladies Radio League could come up with a pretty good
> estimate. Also the Buckeye Bells may be able to do the same.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1118833293.386282.70600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> John Smith wrote:
>>>> ... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio...
>>>> all have
>>>> declined doing anything towards getting a license...
>>>> once they even see
>>>> a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as
>>>> if I am crazy
>>>> and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>>>
>>> With all due respect, perhaps your skill at getting them
>>> interested needs some improvement....
>>>

>>> Given your attitude towards Morse Code, you'd be as
>>> effective as the chairman of the National Beef Council
>>> trying to get people to be vegetarians..
>>>>
>>>> Then they grab their net-to-phone and/or keyboard and being
>>>> chatting
>>>> with canadians, so americans, mexicans, asians, aussies, brits, >
>>>> etc...
>>>
>>> Which takes no radio and no license. So it's not about Morse Code,
>>> but about different interests.
>>>>
>>>> ... and at this point it is hard for me to pose a logical
>>>> argument--
>>>
>>> ;-)
>>>
>>>> women are just smarter than men... you can't fool them...
>>>>
>>> Not about fooling, but about what people are interested in.
>>>>
>>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>> news:1118785781.119633.267970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>> > John Smith wrote:
>>>> >> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
>>>> >> educations...
>>>> >
>>>> > What about women with real educations?
>>>> >
>>>> > Would you consider someone with a BSEE from the University of
>>>> > Pennsylvania and an MSEE from Drexel University to have
>>>> > "a real education"?
>>>> >>
>>>> >> ... the cw part
>>>> >
>>>> > Is an amateur test. And is a trivial problem to people with
>>>> > real educations..
>>>> >
>>>> >> makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
>>>> >> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
>>>> >
>>>> > Then why require someone with no interest in VHF-UHF to learn
>>>> > those techniques in order to operate on HF? Why require
>>>> > knowedge of FSK, PSK and other data modes to operate voice?
>>>> > Why require knowledge of transistors and ICs to operate
>>>> > vacuum-tube equipment?
>>>> >
>>>> > IOW, why require anyone to learn anything about a subject they
>>>> > are not interested in, just to get a license to do the things
>>>> > they *are* interested in?

>>>> >
>>>> > --
>>>> >
>>>> > Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
>>>> > is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
>>>> > isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
>>>> > a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>>>> >
>>>> > In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
>>>> > as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
>>>> > do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
>>>> > ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
>>>> > it so much.
>>>> >
>>>> > --
>>>> >
>>>> >> Warmest regards,
>>>> >> John
>>>> >>
>>>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>> >> news:1118766723.066374.252520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>> >> > John Smith wrote:
>>>> >> >> It was never about anyone stopping you from sending cw was
>>>> >> >> it...
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > A few anticode folks have stated they want Morse Code *use* by
>>>> >> > hams
>>>> >> > to
>>>> >> > end, not just the test. They are a small minority, but they do
>>>> >> > exist.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >> It is about stopping you from forcing others to learn cw when
>>>> >> >> they
>>>> >> >> would
>>>> >> >> never use it...
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > Who is "forced" to learn Morse Code?
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > It's a requirement if someone wants an FCC-issued amateur
>>>> >> > license
>>>> >> > with
>>>> >> > HF privileges, that's all.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >> Always when one is being forced to do something they do not
>>>> >> >> wish
>>>> >> >> to,
>>>> >> >> they should question everything in sight... just as you
>>>> >> >> began
>>>> >> >> when
>>>> >> >> you

>>>> >> >> thought someone was going to force you to quit...
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > The argument you present boils down to this: If someone
>>>> >> > doesn't
>>>> >> > want
>>>> >> > to
>>>> >> > use Morse Code in ham radio, they shouldn't be required to
>>>> >> > learn it
>>>> >> > just
>>>> >> > to pass a test (even a simple, basic test) to get a ham radio
>>>> >> > license.
>>>> >> > Those who choose to use it can learn it on their own.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > Is that about right?
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > The problem is that the same argument can be made against
>>>> >> > almost
>>>> >> > everything
>>>> >> > in the written tests. For example, if someone doesn't intend
>>>> >> > to use
>>>> >> > certain
>>>> >> > bands, why are they forced to learn the band edges of every
>>>> >> > band
>>>> >> > their
>>>> >> > license allows? If someone doesn't intend to use more than a
>>>> >> > few
>>>> >> > watts
>>>> >> > of
>>>> >> > transmitted power, why must they learn all that RF exposure
>>>> >> > stuff?
>>>> >> > Indeed,
>>>> >> > if someone doesn't intend to homebrew, why are they *forced*
>>>> >> > to
>>>> >> > learn
>>>> >> > all
>>>> >> > that theory stuff?
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > Sure, the written tests look easy to someone with a background
>>>> >> > in
>>>> >> > radio,
>>>> >> > electronics, computers or other related fields. But to someone
>>>> >> > from
>>>> >> > an
>>>> >> > unrelated field, they're not easy.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > Suppose you met a retired gentleman who had been a radioman in
>>>> >> > the
>>>> >> > military 50+ years ago. He'd always wanted to be a ham but
>>>> >> > never
>>>> >> > had

>>>> >> > the time or resources. Now he finds that ham radio still
>>>> >> > exists,
>>>> >> > and
>>>> >> > he wants in.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > The gent can still do code well, and remembers the basics of
>>>> >> > theory
>>>> >> > as it was 50+ years ago. He gets an HF receiver and listens to
>>>> >> > the
>>>> >> > lovely Morse Code signals on the low ends of the HF bands.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > But in order to join the folks on 7010 or 3520, he needs an
>>>> >> > Extra.
>>>> >> > And the written test is full of stuff he's never seen before,
>>>> >> > and
>>>> >> > that he will never use.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > Why must he learn all that stuff he will never use just to
>>>> >> > pass the
>>>> >> > tests?
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > Sure, the stuff is easy for *you*, but not for *him*.
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> ... let's at least keep my comment about the drums
>>>> >> >> straight...
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > Let's see...
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> Warmest regards,
>>>> >> >> John
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>> >> >> news:u%tre.699$yg4.231@news01.roc.ny...
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> >> >> > news:KLnre.61$o_4.3292270@news.sisna.com...
>>>> >> >> >> ... that almost makes me miss the ancient drums my
>>>> >> >> >> great-great-great-great-great-great-great-great-etc.
>>>> >> >> >> ancestors
>>>> >> >> >> used
>>>> >> >> >> to
>>>> >> >> >> use to communicate with in the primordial jungles... I
>>>> >> >> >> wonder
>>>> >> >> >> if
>>>> >> >> >> we
>>>> >> >> >> could bring those back to?
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > Invalid analogy.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > Drums for communication aren't in wide use.

>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > Morse Code for communication is in wide use in ham radio.
>>>> >> > Doesn't
>>>> >> > need to be brought back because it's right here.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >> >> ... perhaps require the new licensees to beat out a fancy
>>>> >> >> >> tempo
>>>> >> >> >> on
>>>> >> >> >> one
>>>> >> >> >> of those turkeys before we gave 'em a license!
>>>> >> >> >> <evil-grin>
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >> Warmest regards,
>>>> >> >> >> John
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> > Perhaps, John
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> > But consider that some of us can send and receive cw faster
>>>> >> >> > than
>>>> >> >> > most
>>>> >> >> > folks can type.
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> > Yup.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >> > I know you may be good at "cut and paste", but that doesn't
>>>> >> >> > necessarily cut
>>>> >> >> > it LOL.
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> > Sure, voice appears faster, but when you get names and
>>>> >> >> > addresses
>>>> >> >> > that
>>>> >> >> > are
>>>> >> >> > hard to pronounce ....
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> > Bingo.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > For any message that needs to be written down, the speed
>>>> >> > limitation
>>>> >> > is
>>>> >> > usually the writing speed of the receiving op. The fact that
>>>> >> > someone
>>>> >> > can theoretically talks 150 wpm doesn't mean anything if the
>>>> >> > person
>>>> >> > on the receiving end can only write legibly at 15 wpm.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > Text modes are great if you have the hardware for them and if
>>>> >> > you
>>>> >> > are in a situation where you can look at a screen to read

>>>> >> > them.
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> > Not saying that CW is the best, but some folks better come
>>>> >> >> > up
>>>> >> >> > with
>>>> >> >> > something
>>>> >> >> > superior to AM and FM. There are a number of modes, but
>>>> >> >> > most
>>>> >> >> > folks
>>>> >> >> > want to
>>>> >> >> > "talk". That won't cut it for 85 watt moonbounce on 24
>>>> >> >> > GHz.
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> > :)
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> >> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>>>> >> >> > Jim AA2QA
>>>> >> >> > ps - when I talk send and receive cw faster than some folks
>>>> >> >> > type,
>>>> >> >> > I'm
>>>> >> >> > not
>>>> >> >> > talking a nice, leisurely chat at 30 or 35 words per minute
>>>> >> >> > ...
>>>> >> >> >
>>>> >> > Yup.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > Good Morse ops can chat at speeds approaching those of voice
>>>> >> > ops
>>>> >> > because
>>>> >> > they use abbreviations and eliminate redundancies.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>> >> >
>>>> >
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3042. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:57:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rn2se.109$vs7.2231320@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110268

Dee:

NO, only those who want to hide from facts won't "understand" the come
back...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:EOSdnY6ANK91My3fRVn-qw@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:WsYre.18$Oh.722036@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> ... all time high!
>>
>> You attempt the argument that amateur radio has always been a
>> ridiculous cost for nothing--well, perhaps you are correct... time to
>> increase our numbers to a meaningful number...
>>
>> ROFLOL
>>
>> John
>
>
> This statement makes no sense whatever.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:0oednXv9MZT9kC3fRVn-jg@comcast.com...
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:QqKre.203$nC4.14725234@news.sisna.com...
>>>> N2EY:
>>>>
>>>> I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting a
>>>> ham license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code
>>>> license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code to
>>>> chat with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier
>>>> and reliably over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc...
>>>> that is REAL. THAT is dropping the numbers of hams and putting
>>>> bands in danger of being lost... that is holding our numbers at

>>>> such low levels the FCC begins to find us more a bother than
>>>> anything else...
>>>>
>>>
>>> This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all time
>>> high.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3043. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:57:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8NudnVQMZLnwLy3fRVn-1w@comcast.com>
Source record: 110263

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118870816.806793.98590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> I just support removing code because no new hams are using it in any
>> meaningful numbers.
>
> I've seen plenty of new hams use Morse Code on the air. And plenty who
> use other modes. What information do you have to show that "no new hams
> are using it in any meaningful numbers."
>
>> The new state of the art hams are interested in hooking a modem up and
>> interfacing the radio to the computer...
>
> Some are - some aren't.
>
>> Hook up a code key and they loose interest immediately...
>
> Depends on how you present it. And the word is "lose"....
>
>> Now, a bunch of old guys who are computer illiterate have no choice than
>> to try to amuse themselves with a damn key...
>
> Well, that leaves me out, because I'm neither old nor computer
> illiterate.
>
>

He does not realize that it was this "bunch of old guys who are computer illiterate" who developed several of the digital modes and were some of the earliest amateurs to interface computers and radios.

At work it is not the "bunch of old guys who are computer illiterate" who cannot find their way around a computer but instead the new kids fresh out of school. It's the kids who cannot seem to figure out how to use the advanced features of the spreadsheets, wordprocessors, etc until someone leads them by the hand through it. The "bunch of old guys who are computer illiterate" are the ones who explore the software and become proficient in its many features.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3044. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 22:59:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Lp2se.110$fW.2292769@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110271

Dee:
"Physical skills?" You use this in reference to banging a key... you
josh right, the weakest woman in the world finds that no real task...

It is more akin to being able to whittle wood, throw a baseball or play
a musical instrument...

... well, not even that, it is in a class all itself and deserves a
burial into history...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:Duqdne5mu96xLC3fRVn-tQ@comcast.com...
>
> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:hQ0se.4806$VK4.4210@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>
>>> Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
>>> is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
>>> isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
>>> a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>>
>> That makes it a real PITA to people who are good at book
>> learnin' and not so hot at motor skills.
>
> Conversely the written is a real PITA to people who are good at
> physical skills but not at book learning. We've got a few around here
> who breezed through the 5, 13, and 20 wpm code test but had to take
> each of the writtens multiple times and they had studied hard each
> time. They were not allowed to get out of the written or plead
> diminished capacity or anything else. They had to do it.
>
>>>
>>> In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
>>> as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
>>> do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
>>> ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
>>> it so much.
>>>
>>
>> That makes ham radio that much harder to "sell" to the
>> PhDs and such people. Code is something that can be
>> outperformed by various signaling and signal processing

>> methods (JPL doesn't use Morse code to communicate with
>> their deep space probes).
>
> Well those PHDs had to learn the simplest of basics in their chosen
> fields when they started their journeys. There is "obsolete"
> information in every field that is often required learning as part of
> a basic understanding of the field.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3045. Re: K1MAN The crap has hit the fan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:00:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <LO2dnYWM3PCkLi3fRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110264

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:I31se.4813$VK4.1527@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> Condensed version:
>>
>> This $21,000 fine is for the apparent transmission of willful and
>> repeated
>> interference;
>> willful and repeated communications in which he had a pecuniary interest;
>> willful and repeated failure to file requested information pursuant to an
>> Enforcement Bureau directive; willful broadcasting; and willful failure
>> to
>> exercise control of his station.
>>
>> Full Text:
>>
>> Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554
>>
>>
>>
>> In the Matter of
>> File No. EB-04-BS-111
>> Glenn A. Baxter NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
>> RR 1 Box 776 FRN 0013164975
>> Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918
>>
>> Licensee of Amateur Radio
>> Station K1MAN
>>
>>
>
> It's real. See
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-259301A1.html
>
> I wouldn't have thought that mentioning your web site that
> happens to have business matter in it on the air if you
> didn't mention about the business on the air to be a
> violation. But the other stuff is clearly violations.

It's how you mention it. Basically he specifically mentioned going to his
web site to buy things on which he made a profit. If he had simply said
"see the full text of the article on" say a recent FCC ruling that affected
ham radio, it would not have been a problem even if he had an ad right next

to the article.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3046. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:04:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9tWdnYHkFsi6KS3fRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110265

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:jSOre.234$EQ1.18096236@news.sisna.com...
> ... the young person of today has a much great education than his/her
> counterpart of even twenty years ago...
>
> John
>

I don't know about that. Around here there are more and more dropping out,
even student's whose parents have college degrees.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.ii3pv77.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>> On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 10:20:13 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>.... absolutely not... I work with young men who take great pride in
>>>their ability to pass difficult tests with ease... who are thankful for
>>>their gifted IQ's...
>>>
>>>.... but who see no reason to learn code... indeed, the courses which
>>>they take, and their level of education make any amateur exam look
>>>trivial...
>>
>> Do they think that their sweat doesn't stink????
>>
>> Wait 'till they hit the course or assignment that throws them back
>> into the mortal realm. We've all hit that point at one time or
>> another....
>>
>> --
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>
>>
>
>

3047. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:05:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ou2se.111$482.2373768@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110279

Dee:

Certainly everyone over 50 needs to step back and let the younger men have a go--we are stagnated right now--I won't argue that point--it is MUCH too obvious...

What "modes" are you using? I am using a 56k modem my son modified to modulate a transmitter... any other person able to interface an old 56k modem to their computer can get the data... now a nice 10mbs per second mode would be nice, where is some cheap equipment?

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:8NudnVQMZLnwLy3fRVn-1w@comcast.com...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118870816.806793.98590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> I just support removing code because no new hams are using it in any
>>> meaningful numbers.
>>
>> I've seen plenty of new hams use Morse Code on the air. And plenty
>> who
>> use other modes. What information do you have to show that "no new
>> hams
>> are using it in any meaningful numbers."
>>
>>> The new state of the art hams are interested in hooking a modem up
>>> and
>>> interfacing the radio to the computer...
>>
>> Some are - some aren't.
>>
>>> Hook up a code key and they loose interest immediately...
>>
>> Depends on how you present it. And the word is "lose"....
>>
>>> Now, a bunch of old guys who are computer illiterate have no choice
>>> than
>>> to try to amuse themselves with a damn key...
>>
>> Well, that leaves me out, because I'm neither old nor computer
>> illiterate.
>>

>>
>
> He does not realize that it was this "bunch of old guys who are
> computer illiterate" who developed several of the digital modes and
> were some of the earliest amateurs to interface computers and radios.
>
> At work it is not the "bunch of old guys who are computer illiterate"
> who cannot find their way around a computer but instead the new kids
> fresh out of school. It's the kids who cannot seem to figure out how
> to use the advanced features of the spreadsheets, wordprocessors, etc
> until someone leads them by the hand through it. The "bunch of old
> guys who are computer illiterate" are the ones who explore the
> software and become proficient in its many features.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3048. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:15:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Me6dnazJ16c7Ky3fRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110281

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>>>. . . then there was the legendary traffic handler Mae Burke W3CUL who
>>>was a neighborhood housewife . .
>>
>> I can't imagine any person becoming a Ham because they simply want to
>>"chat with someone around the world".
>
>
> Whew, that brings up a tale Michael . . I know at least one ham who has
> done just that for decades.
>
> About the time WW2 ended I got into building crystal sets then I moved
> into cobbling together simple tube-type receivers based on articles in
> Pop Science and Pop Mechanics. The DXing bug had already bitten based
> on my fascination with finding far off stations in the high end of the
> AM BC band with the BC radios my folks had. The die was cast: I was
> into radio big time but I was basically clueless about ham radio until
> I eventually started SWLing and found scads of hams yakking on 75 & 40
> AM.
>
> I was also a Cub Scout around that point in time. One night there was a
> civil defense drill which I discovered would include ham radio
> communications and the Cub pack. That opportunity really rang my chimes
> and I wormed my way into becoming a messenger boy for one of the
> mobiles.
>
> The ham and I motored around town passing traffic back and forth
> amongst the hams and the simulated "disaster sites", etc. That was my
> first exposure to hands-on ham radio. The ham was Gene Reynolds W3EAN
> who went out of his way to answer my unending stream of questions that
> night. I probably drove him nuts but I think he enjoyed it. There was
> no turning back after that night, I was gonna become a ham.

I enjoyed the story, Brian. But I gotta break in here. What you have described is the real reason that people become hams. You were bitten by the bug, and it sounds like no one was going to stop you from becoming one.

I too was hooked early in life, although it took a long time to finally get my ticket. I'm just P****d that I didn't get it years earlier.

The idea of "recruiting" people into the ARS is likely never going to work - at least as far as snagging people that are thinking about a hobby, but don't know what to pick up.

If you wanna be a Ham - you *know* it.

> A number of years later I ran into Gene again amongst the DXing and
> contesting crowd around here. In addition to being a DXer Gene was also
> a fundamentalist "radio missionary" who used his station to "take the
> word" particularly to Russians by "chatting with someone around the
> world" as you put it. Thousands of times! Check his bio in QRZ.com, I
> think you'll enjoy it.

Just did, and it was very enjoyable. I hope I run in to him on the air sometime.

>
>> In the first place most of my DX contacts are pretty terse, and don't
>>fulfill any "chatting needs". Not that I have chatting needs!
>
>
> It's also considered impolite to tie up dx stations with chats unless
> they initiate them.
>
>
>> There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority, they
>>are probably no more of a minority than women's representation in other
>>technical fields. This would mean that any problem is shared with those
>>other technical fields, and not a Ham radio specific problem.
>
>
> Exactly. Let's hope this "Smith" clown has the same short attention
> span others of his ilk have demonstrated in the past and wanders off
> the list. He's even posting his mindless drivel in the Mech Eng and
> dot.antennas NGs for gawd's sake. .

I noticed that.

>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> w3rv
>
> (PS) Yo Micollis: Duty beckons. One of Gene's OLD and I mean *old*
> dxing buddies is Nate W3CNP, the guy you sat with when he ran a few Qs
> on 20CW at the last of our Clayton Park FD "experiences". Check his
> particulars in QRZ.com. I ran into him a few weeks ago at K3PAQ's
> memorial service, he's fine. Nate's ticket is floating in the grace
> period. I got EAN set up to renew, now it's *your* turn, launch Nate on
> his way. We need all the far-end geezers we can get properly licensed
> to keep the average age up in the hobby.

A local oldster was inquiring as to when his license expired, because he couldn't find his F.C.C. Wallpaper. We help him figure it out. We need to keep the geezers on the air. I love talking to them. I hope someone is looking out for me when I'm 91!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3049. Re: "Typos", TimeLARD???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:19:14 UTC
From: Lloyd 2 <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <d8qd0e$rg0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110282
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1118840631.965470.313040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Lloyd 2 wrote:
> This is a small <IKYABWAI>

Sort of like your never used penis, huh, 31 year old virgin?
BWHAHAHAHAHA!!!

>33K of stalking Lloyd Davies snipped<

Can you not grasp how pitiful you sound, Roger?

Do you hear yourself?

Do you comprehend how imbalanced you are proving yourself to be?

You are one enormously wretched individual.

Get help, Roger.

Run, don't walk to your nearest MHA.

--
"There you have it: Just start talking about fags, asses, or
cocksucking, and Woger comes stumbling in stepping on his crank, with
both cap guns blazing." -- John Kimball

3050. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:23:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <OoqdnWASUtz3JS3fRVn-qw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110283

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d8pc3r$1a06$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>
>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Phil Kane wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 17:21:00 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>... all the women I have ever tried to interest in radio... all have
>>>>>declined doing anything towards getting a license... once they even see
>>>>>a key and a code practice oscillator they look at me as if I am crazy
>>>>>and ask, "You are kidding, right?"
>>>>
>>>> Tell that to our friend Claire who is the NCS of the Beaver State
>>>> (CW) Traffic Net - high-speed CW. And she's no dummy - retired PhD
>>>> in a specialized field of the biological sciences.
>>>
>>>
>>>>. . . then there was the legendary traffic handler Mae Burke W3CUL who
>>>was a neighborhood housewife . .
>>
>>
>>I can't imagine any person becoming a Ham because they simply want to
>>"chat with someone around the world".
>>
>>In the first place most of my DX contacts are pretty terse, and don't
>>fulfill any "chatting needs". Not that I have chatting needs!
>>
>>There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority, they are
>>probably no more of a minority than women's representation in other
>>technical fields. This would mean that any problem is shared with those
>>other technical fields, and not a Ham radio specific problem.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>

> Actually I know more women in ham radio than women in engineering.

Me too, now that you mention it. During the PAQSO party, I have worked quite a few women, including the twins from Erie County, PA. (sorry, I don't recall the callsigns at the moment. They even work in tandem. After working the first, she will ask you to standby to work the other one. It's confusing the first time, but now I look forward to at as two quick QSO's! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3051. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:24:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dMKdnTZGE5hBJS3fRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 110284

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ec2se.104$AH7.2250820@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> Michael's own words:
> "There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority, ..."
>
> What does that mean--they are being held out by the old farts? The women
> too want no-code?

He is not claiming anything of the sort. Once again you see what isn't
there.

>
> Just what is the reason he was claiming?
>

He is not claiming any reason but simply stating that the same factors that
cause women not to choose technical careers also cause them not to choose
technical hobbies. He has made no statement about what those factors may
be.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3052. Re: Extra, extra--older hams dying to make room for younger amateurs!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:27:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <wP2se.906$yg4.426@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110285

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:6U1se.103$Lq7.2225265@news.sisna.com...
> A fact of life...
>
> John
> --
> Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality, right
> before my eyes--in real time!
> Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>

Not true, John

I plan on being around for quite some time as I have not yet p*ssed on
enough graves ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3053. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:29:22 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1oqdnUceRrN2JC3fRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 110286

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:6f2se.105$XN.2283593@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> That is another interesting statistic--most women in radio are the wives
> of hams--there is certainly some interesting reasons behind that, I am
> sure... I think it directly relates to "Good-Old Boy's Club" but them
> accepting the wife, daughter, relative of a member of the club...
>
> John
>

Most hams (male or female) got into ham radio because they were the relatives and/or friends of hams. New hams get into the hobby by contact with existing hams for the most part. My brother became a ham because I was one.

I've not seen any "Good-Old Boy's Club" attitude at all. When I joined the local club after moving to this area, I was single and none of the members had ever met or talked to my ex. They accepted me immediately as a fellow ham.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3054. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:30:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <uS2se.115$bp6.1848380@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110300

Dee:

That maybe and it may NOT be... I go with the later, you are correct...

... and, you guess is NO better than mine--if you call it anything other
than a guess or "sticking up for him"--I'll call you a liar...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:dMKdnTZGE5hBJS3fRVn-iA@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ec2se.104$AH7.2250820@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> Michael's own words:
>> "There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority,
>> ..."
>>
>> What does that mean--they are being held out by the old farts? The
>> women too want no-code?
>
> He is not claiming anything of the sort. Once again you see what
> isn't there.
>
>>
>> Just what is the reason he was claiming?
>>
>
> He is not claiming any reason but simply stating that the same factors
> that cause women not to choose technical careers also cause them not
> to choose technical hobbies. He has made no statement about what
> those factors may be.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3055. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:31:05 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <gIKdnWYbOKHMJy3fRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 110287

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ik2se.107$Bh7.2172028@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> Now you are the official spokesmen for ALL these women, I'd rather hear
> that directly from all the other girls here...
>
> <John-listens-to-the-echoes-from-this-silent-and-empty-room-and-Dee-speaking-for-ALL-the
-other-females>
>
> John
>

Once again you draw conclusions unwarranted by the comment, a comment based
not only on personal experience but articles that I have read by some of the
leaders of the women's organizations.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3056. Re: Extra, extra--older hams dying to make room for younger amateurs!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:32:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <uU2se.116$AX2.2383997@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110288

Jim:

Well, it is not like I will never be there myself, truth is--death
stalks me at a speed which is frightening... not being a coward--I will
not give him easy prey... <evil grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:wP2se.906$yg4.426@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:6U1se.103$Lq7.2225265@news.sisna.com...
>> A fact of life...
>>
>> John
>> --
>> Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality,
>> right
>> before my eyes--in real time!
>> Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>>
>
> Not true, John
>
> I plan on being around for quite some time as I have not yet p*ssed on
> enough graves ;)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>

3057. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:33:12 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <mvKdnfS2Ps5NJy3fRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110289

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Lp2se.110$fW.2292769@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
> "Physical skills?" You use this in reference to banging a key... you josh
> right, the weakest woman in the world finds that no real task...
>
> It is more akin to being able to whittle wood, throw a baseball or play a
> musical instrument...
>
> ... well, not even that, it is in a class all itself and deserves a burial
> into history...
>
> John
>

Last time I tried it, playing a musical instrument was a physical skill as
well as throwing a basketball. You seem to confuse "skill" with "strength."

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3058. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:39:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ypidnT-PwOutIS3fRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110290

Dee Flint wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:BiYre.14$cj7.643176@news.sisna.com...
>
>>... oh, I love that argument!!! Let me see if I have it correctly,
>>either:
>>
>>1) Women are too stupid for the technical fields.
>
>
> How you managed to twist Mike's words to come up with this interpretation is
> amazing. He neither said nor implied anything of the sort.
>
>
>>2) We are no worse than any other technical field about baring women.
>>
>
>
> He said nothing about barring women from technical fields. Again how you
> managed to come up with this inverted interpretation is one of the mysteries
> of the world. Women choose not to go into technical fields for their own
> reasons. That includes hobby activities like ham radio.

Thank you. I work with a number of female engineers, and they seem to
have no problem working with me. My opinion on the issue is based on
conversations with them. Especially one who bristles at being called a
"female" engineer. She says "Just call me an engineer, if you don't mind!"

- Mike KB3EIA -

3059. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:40:23 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <yoqdnQK-fZwdIS3fRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 110291

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Ou2se.111$482.2373768@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> Certainly everyone over 50 needs to step back and let the younger men have
> a go--we are stagnated right now--I won't argue that point--it is MUCH too
> obvious...
>

What is obvious is that the younger people are inexperienced. You fail to realize that we need people of ALL ages involved and each contributes to the benefit of amateur radio (or any other activity for that matter).

And since there is no limit on the number of hams, there is nothing stopping the younger men from getting in and developing what they wish within the technical limitations of the medium (i.e. HF cannot support ultrawide modes without causing detrimental effects on the number of people who can use the bands simultaneously).

> What "modes" are you using? I am using a 56k modem my son modified to
> modulate a transmitter... any other person able to interface an old 56k
> modem to their computer can get the data... now a nice 10mbs per second
> mode would be nice, where is some cheap equipment?
>

You do realize that a 56k modem is no use on HF. There isn't enough bandwidth and the FCC rightly limits the speed of the digital modes. As to what I've worked, I used to do RTTY, Amtor, packet, and some others. All were deadly boring. I've put the equipment away to free up desk space.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3060. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:48:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zMOdnUggt9HLIy3fRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110292

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Me6dnazJ16c7Ky3fRVn-1g@adelphia.com...

[snip]
>
> The idea of "recruiting" people into the ARS is likely never going to
> work - at least as far as snagging people that are thinking about a hobby,
> but don't know what to pick up.
>
> If you wanna be a Ham - you *know* it.
>

I must disagree to some extent with this, Mike. There are people who would love being hams if only they knew about it and knew what it was like. I never knew I wanted to be a ham until after I became one. My ex dragged me to a ham class as something we could do together and I have to admit that it did not sound interesting at the time. I found that it was something I liked and now I would fight tooth and nail to keep my license.

If we are going to "recruit," we need to find those who would like it but haven't had the opportunity to know about it or to know enough about it.

[snip]

> A local oldster was inquiring as to when his license expired, because he
> couldn't find his F.C.C. Wallpaper. We help him figure it out. We need to
> keep the geezers on the air. I love talking to them. I hope someone is
> looking out for me when I'm 91!
>

I hope so too. I had the great pleasure and honor of speaking with W5BQU (Big, Quick, and Ugly) a year or two before he passed away. He was over 100 at the time and still in pretty good control of his faculties and still with a zest for life, enjoying those things he still could do.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3061. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:51:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%93se.117$6C6.1991783@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110301

Dee:

Now you coax me into going down to the level of, in you own words, "a comment based not only on personal experience but articles that I have read by some of the
leaders of the women's organizations."

... so that now I argue against your interpretations of materials and a "special interest group"... what next, "Lesbian hams?"

The women are NOT there on the bands--you claim they are "hiding", well great--they still ain't there in any REAL sense!

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:gIKdnWYbOKHMJy3fRVn-sQ@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ik2se.107$Bh7.2172028@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> Now you are the official spokesmen for ALL these women, I'd rather
>> hear that directly from all the other girls here...
>>
>> <John-listens-to-the-echoes-from-this-silent-and-empty-room-and-Dee-speaking-for-ALL-the-other-females>
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Once again you draw conclusions unwarranted by the comment, a comment
> based not only on personal experience but articles that I have read by
> some of the leaders of the women's organizations.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

3062. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-15 23:59:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9i3se.119$xN1.2359348@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110298

Dee:

My sons have used my equipment--they have no interest--there are just too few here of interest to them--they ride the internet...

... me, I have a vested interest, I try to get as many kids into the hobby as I can--I am in a good position and have access to many of their minds--no women are interested in learning code... not a one has stuck through to become a ham, I won't gauge the whole world on these statistics--it is just fact--and code by far is the worst turn off...

... I am NOT very successful at getting many young men in the math/engineering/technical fields interested even--now, I will stand slurs on my ability to motivate them, perhaps I am not well suited, I really don't see this in my other works with them, but I will accept the possibility... however, then when you look at the numbers entering amateur radio you realize I must not be the only one with such flaws...

... and, if you get past all that--look at the mind set of the individuals I argue with here--you think there is any chance in hell young people are going to tolerate such limited views and individuals "religious devotion" to cw? I don't think, I have asked them, the answer is, "NO!"

Warmest regards,
John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1oqdnUceRrN2JC3fRVn-2Q@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:6f2se.105$XN.2283593@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> That is another interesting statistic--most women in radio are the
>> wives of hams--there is certainly some interesting reasons behind
>> that, I am sure... I think it directly relates to "Good-Old Boy's
>> Club" but them accepting the wife, daughter, relative of a member of
>> the club...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Most hams (male or female) got into ham radio because they were the
> relatives and/or friends of hams. New hams get into the hobby by
> contact with existing hams for the most part. My brother became a ham

> because I was one.
>
> I've not seen any "Good-Old Boy's Club" attitude at all. When I
> joined the local club after moving to this area, I was single and none
> of the members had ever met or talked to my ex. They accepted me
> immediately as a fellow ham.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3063. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 00:01:18 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118878796.341486.245980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110293

Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> > There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority, they are
> > probably no more of a minority than women's representation in other
> > technical fields. This would mean that any problem is shared with those
> > other technical fields, and not a Ham radio specific problem.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
>
> Actually I know more women in ham radio than women in engineering.

In all my 43 years in engineering I've met a grand total of four woman
engineers, two MEs, one EE and a Chem E. Our contesting club alone has
three female members, an old girlfriend is a ham and I met W3CUL. Out
of Lord only knows how many engineers and hams I've met over the years.

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

w3rv

3064. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 00:04:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3m3se.120$c83.2389024@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110296

Dee:

Yes, I do confuse "physical" and "strength", the both inspire manual tasks as opposed to mental tasks to me... cw is like the tying I do here, neither requiring thought, strength or a particular skill... it just looks like rote (brain dead) acquired learning to me...

I learned to type so I could communicate with the computer BETTER and FASTER and LEARN more... cw does not offer me that worthwhile reward to make me give it any such respect, devotion, or "religious worship" at all...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:mvKdnfS2Ps5NJy3fRVn-pg@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Lp2se.110$fW.2292769@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>> "Physical skills?" You use this in reference to banging a key... you
>> josh right, the weakest woman in the world finds that no real task...
>>
>> It is more akin to being able to whittle wood, throw a baseball or
>> play a musical instrument...
>>
>> ... well, not even that, it is in a class all itself and deserves a
>> burial into history...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Last time I tried it, playing a musical instrument was a physical
> skill as well as throwing a basketball. You seem to confuse "skill"
> with "strength."
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3065. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 00:07:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ro3se.121$c83.2389024@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110299

Dee:

Wide band FM is much more than enough band width--it can be done with
some success on narrow band...

... even the ancient terminology "mode" is disgusting to a real
engineer--hams need to get with it and realize these are "protocols"...
ham radio is beginning to look like a bunch of would be "Egyptian
priests" attempting control though ignorance generated by specialized
terminology...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:yoqdnQK-fZwdIS3fRVn-jw@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Ou2se.111$482.2373768@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> Certainly everyone over 50 needs to step back and let the younger men
>> have a go--we are stagnated right now--I won't argue that point--it
>> is MUCH too obvious...
>>
>
> What is obvious is that the younger people are inexperienced. You
> fail to realize that we need people of ALL ages involved and each
> contributes to the benefit of amateur radio (or any other activity for
> that matter).
>
> And since there is no limit on the number of hams, there is nothing
> stopping the younger men from getting in and developing what they wish
> within the technical limitations of the medium (i.e. HF cannot support
> ultrawide modes without causing detrimental effects on the number of
> people who can use the bands simultaneously).
>
>> What "modes" are you using? I am using a 56k modem my son modified
>> to modulate a transmitter... any other person able to interface an
>> old 56k modem to their computer can get the data... now a nice 10mbs
>> per second mode would be nice, where is some cheap equipment?
>>
>
> You do realize that a 56k modem is no use on HF. There isn't enough
> bandwidth and the FCC rightly limits the speed of the digital modes.
> As to what I've worked, I used to do RTTY, Amtor, packet, and some

> others. All were deadly boring. I've put the equipment away to free
> up desk space.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

3066. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 00:18:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118881098.924425.219570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110294

Michael Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> >
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>bb wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Probably the latter. Certainly a lot of small bands would be very
> >>>>interesting. There would be a fair amount of equipment going out of use,
> >>>>which would be a shame. No doubt modifications could be made, but with
> >>>>many bands, the old equipment only has so many switch positions! 8^)
> >>>>
> >>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Wow! For want of a band switch, you would let go of better (24hr)
> >>>coverage?
> >>>
> >>>Maybe Jimmy the Riveter wouldn't mind stopping by with a chassis punch
> >>>and wire up a new switch for you.
> >>
> >> It would be nice if all you had to do was put in a switch with more
> >>positions on it, but those darn "innards" would have to be modified too.
> >>Old equipment would probably have only a few bands it would operate on,
> >>and the olde analog dials would add to the problems. The old stuff would
> >>probably just have to be written off as a loss.
> >
> >
> > Heaven forbid that a ham would modernize his station.
>
> > Here's an idea. Just keep on using them as they are, on the bands they
> > are on.
>
> > Newer equipment would be
> >
> >>more amenable to modification, and displays would more likely continue
> >>to work. Of course, someone has to do the mods, or the new equipment
> >>gets consigned to the recycle bin too. Some of the newer equipment would
> >>possibly not be convertible
> >

> >
> > People who have MARS licenses do it every day. I wonder if Steve ever
> > held a position of "authority" in MARS?
> >
> >
> >> Okay, so lets say we just ditched all the analog equipment, and most
> >>all of the digital equipment up to date of change.
> >
> >
> > Knock yourself out.
> >
> >
> >> Now lets talk about antennas. It isn't likely that we will have single
> >>antennas at any station, save for the resurrection of the old general
> >>purpose dipole fed with ladder line, run through a tuner. That's one
> >>that olde tyme hammes will recognize! I suppose the Steppir antennas
> >>could work if you have enough coin. The method proposed by Jim will not
> >>accommodate the tricks we use now to provide an acceptable match as the
> >>major HF bands will not be harmonically related.
> >
> >
> > Trap dipoles don't have to be on bands that are harmonically related.
>
>
> Perhaps we should go back to what Jim posted with his original question:
>
>
> Jim's quote
> *> Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in
> *> the "60 meter" region.
> *>
> *> Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:
> *>
> *> 1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to
> *> 2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..
> *>
> *> or
> *>
> *> 2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such
> *> as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the
> *> same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.
> *>
> *> Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz
> *> overall?
>
> End Jim's quote.
>
>
> Under Jim's scenario, we would be adding several bands.
>

> That trap dipole would be interesting indeed! Likely mostly traps.
> Maybe I'll try to design one. Jim will have to give me the specific
> frequencies that will be added in his scenario.
>
>
> And trsp dipoles are not a very universal answer. In my situation I
> would have to make a loaded trapped dipole for 80 meters and to cover
> other bands. Now *that* would be a hoot! And quite heavy.

So there is no problem so large nor complex that it cannot be run away
from?

> >
> >> All in all, no thanks.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > >
> > > Congrats. You've just made "Full" member.
> >
> Full member of what? I've given my opinion, and it is based on some
> technical and practical reasons.
>
> Your reason is that my opinion makes me a full member of something
> because I gave an answer based on those reasons.

Sorry that Jim's idea presented too many problems to be overcome.
Relax, it's not likely to happen. You're off the hook for needing a
light weight multi-multi-band antenna.

> If you want to say I'm wrong, then fine. I don't care about being
> proven wrong. Show me *why* my opinion is wrong. If I can't refute it,
> I'll admit it and I'll learn something from you.

Emergency communications requires propagation. The more band segments
that you have, no matter that they are narrower, the more likely that
you will be able to communicate. Passing on more bands for want of a
band switch or a light weight antenna doesn't appear, at least to me,
to be in the best interest of amateur radio.

> But otherwise you're just castigating me for the sake of doing it.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Status Quo Forever!

3067. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 00:25:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <IG3se.123$fW.2292769@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110297

Dee:

I really can't believe some are so devoted to arguing as this while amateur radio declines...

First, I DO believe there is a problem with the numbers of licenses...

Second, there have been things tried, and there are just echos here of RE-TRYING failed ideas here--they didn't work then, they won't work now!

Third, I don't think anyone here is into any real want to do anything better--most are locked in a state of firm and heavy denial and the numbers must drop much more before this will improve...

Fourth, I DO believe time and Jim Haynie will provide real fixes--eventually...

Fifth, my opinion is that almost everyone here, to the last man/woman, is going to let things go to hell for their own self-interests--and that to me is as disgusting as someone masturbating in public--NO ONE ELSE ENJOYS IT!

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:zMOdnUggt9HLIy3fRVn-gg@comcast.com...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:Me6dnazJ16c7Ky3fRVn-1g@adelphia.com...
>
> [snip]
>>
>> The idea of "recruiting" people into the ARS is likely never going to
>> work - at least as far as snagging people that are thinking about a
>> hobby, but don't know what to pick up.
>>
>> If you wanna be a Ham - you *know* it.
>>
>
> I must disagree to some extent with this, Mike. There are people who
> would love being hams if only they knew about it and knew what it was
> like. I never knew I wanted to be a ham until after I became one. My
> ex dragged me to a ham class as something we could do together and I
> have to admit that it did not sound interesting at the time. I found
> that it was something I liked and now I would fight tooth and nail to
> keep my license.
>

> If we are going to "recruit," we need to find those who would like it
> but haven't had the opportunity to know about it or to know enough
> about it.
>
> [snip]
>
>> A local oldster was inquiring as to when his license expired, because
>> he couldn't find his F.C.C. Wallpaper. We help him figure it out. We
>> need to keep the geezers on the air. I love talking to them. I hope
>> someone is looking out for me when I'm 91!
>>
>
> I hope so too. I had the great pleasure and honor of speaking with
> W5BQU (Big, Quick, and Ugly) a year or two before he passed away. He
> was over 100 at the time and still in pretty good control of his
> faculties and still with a zest for life, enjoying those things he
> still could do.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3068. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 00:26:07 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118881567.419963.173820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110295

Dee Flint wrote:

> >
> > There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority, they are
> > probably no more of a minority than women's representation in other
> > technical fields. This would mean that any problem is shared with those
> > other technical fields, and not a Ham radio specific problem.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
>
> Actually I know more women in ham radio than women in engineering.

. . . and I might add FWIW that with the exception of W3CUL who had
been a professional CW op none of the woman hams I've met personally
were technologists of any flavor. One is a lifetime housewife, one is a
public school elemantary teacher and the other is a grants manager for
a philanthropic trust fund.

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

w3rv

3069. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 00:48:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <v-idnaSuq8XPfS3fRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110304

Dee Flint wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ec2se.104$AH7.2250820@news.sisna.com...
>
>>Dee:
>>
>>Michael's own words:
>>"There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority, ..."
>>
>>What does that mean--they are being held out by the old farts? The women
>>too want no-code?
>
>
> He is not claiming anything of the sort. Once again you see what isn't
> there.
>
>
>>Just what is the reason he was claiming?
>>
>
>
> He is not claiming any reason but simply stating that the same factors that
> cause women not to choose technical careers also cause them not to choose
> technical hobbies. He has made no statement about what those factors may
> be.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
Wonder how many organizations that are mostly joined by women does
Johnny Smith belong to? Hey Johnnyboy, why don't you join the Red Hat
Society? You might just look good in a purple dress and red hat.

3070. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 00:53:06 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <v-idnaeuq8XyfC3fRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110305

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> cw is like the tying I do
> here, neither requiring thought, strength or a particular skill.

So you should have no trouble copying 30-40 wpm then.

3071. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 01:03:51 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <RpqdnQaH_tXMeS3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110306

John Smith wrote:
> "special interest group"... what next, "Lesbian hams?"
>
> The women are NOT there on the bands--you claim they are "hiding", well
> great--they still ain't there in any REAL sense!

So what is this hang-up you have about women, why do you think the bands should be populated with lots of women hams? As a whole, women aren't attracted to a technical hobby like ham radio. Ever go out to the model airplane radio control field? See lots of women there flying toy airplanes? How many women get their pilots license as compared to men? Ever go to a quilting meeting? How many men did you see there? Ever go to an antique radio swap meet? You will find a lot more men collecting and fixing old radios than women. Do you collect dolls, tea sets, china dishes? No? Lots of women do.

When I taught Novice classis some years ago, there were always several women in the class and they ejoyed learning the code as much as anyone.

There are things that interest men as a hobby and things that interest women as a hobby, and most often they are different things.
If all you can see is doom and gloom for ham radio, I suggest you go back to the 'freeband' where you seem to think radio life is great.

3072. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 01:25:21 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118885121.599220.243470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110302

John Smith wrote:
> I'd say those figures are certainly pressing the envelope... either way,
> you don't see 'em on the bands in those numbers... your 1-in-20 seems
> more like a 1-in-a-hundred-or-better to me!

"Seems like" is not knowledge of the facts and you obviously don't know
the difference. Which is a well-known trait amongst mindless trolls.

> John
>

3073. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 01:36:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <zl4se.129$W74.2411564@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110303

Kelly:

Ahhh, that explains it, being the "women magnet" you are, they are all busy chatting in secret to you--and that is why I never catch them--well, except for a few of the aussie girls...

John

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message news:1118885121.599220.243470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> I'd say those figures are certainly pressing the envelope... either
>> way,
>> you don't see 'em on the bands in those numbers... your 1-in-20
>> seems
>> more like a 1-in-a-hundred-or-better to me!
>
> "Seems like" is not knowledge of the facts and you obviously don't
> know
> the difference. Which is a well-known trait amongst mindless trolls.
>
>> John
>>
>

3074. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 02:19:56 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <5-ydnZzftKL4ai3fRVn-sQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110309

John Smith wrote:
> Buzzard Bozo:

What thoughtful responses.

3075. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 02:43:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118889817.645658.4750@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110307

Michael Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>bb wrote:
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:

> >>Now lets talk about antennas. It isn't likely that we will
> >>have single
> >>antennas at any station, save for the resurrection of the old
> >>general
> >>purpose dipole fed with ladder line, run through a tuner.

Or a random wire fed against ground.

> >>That's one
> >>that olde tyme hammes will recognize! I suppose the Steppir
> >>antennas
> >>could work if you have enough coin. The method proposed by
> >>Jim will not
> >>accommodate the tricks we use now to provide an acceptable
> >>match as the
> >>major HF bands will not be harmonically related.

???

There are solutions to both the rig and antenna problems. But
they aren't as easy as some would have us believe. More important,
unless a considerable number of hams equip themselves to use the
new bands, they aren't much help.

And does propagation varies that much between most of the bands we have
now? I don't think so.

It was argued that the difference in propagation between 80 and 40
could be great enough that access to a band near 60 was needed.
And we almost got a full band there, except that NTIA reversed its
support after 9/11.

But the jump from 80 to 40 is a doubling of frequency. From 40 to 20 is
a doubling also, but we have 30 meters in between. Would a band at,
say, 8.5 MHz be that much different from 7 and 10 MHz?
Or would we be better off with more worldwide-exclusive-amateur-kHz on
40 and 30?

> > Trap dipoles don't have to be on bands that are harmonically > > related.

I've built and used trap dipoles. The more bands you add, the more
complex the adjustment procedure becomes.

Does anyone else here have experience building trap dipoles from
scratch?

> Perhaps we should go back to what Jim posted with his original question:
>
>
> Jim's quote
> *> Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in
> *> the "60 meter" region.
> *>
> *> Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:
> *>
> *> 1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to
> *> 2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..
> *>
> *> or
> *>
> *> 2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such
> *> as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the
> *> same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.
> *>
> *> Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz
> *> overall?
>
> End Jim's quote.
>
>
> Under Jim's scenario, we would be adding several bands.

Under one of my scenarios, anyway.

> That trap dipole would be interesting indeed! Likely mostly traps.
> Maybe I'll try to design one. Jim will have to give me the specific
> frequencies that will be added in his scenario.

Let's say the following additions/changes were made:

A new band 50 kHz wide at 2.7 MHz
A new band 50 kHz wide at 8.6 MHz
A new band 50 kHz wide at 11.9 MHz
A new band 50 kHz wide at 16.0 MHz
A new band 50 kHz wide at 19.6 MHz
A new band 50 kHz wide at 23.2 MHz
A new band 50 kHz wide at 26.4 MHz

or

5.1 to 5.2 MHz instead of 5 channels
7.0 to 7.3 worldwide exclusive amatuer
10.1 to 10.2 worldwide exclusive amateur
18.05 to 18.2 worldwide exclusive amateur

> And trsp dipoles are not a very universal answer. In my
> situation I
> would have to make a loaded trapped dipole for 80 meters and to cover
> other bands. Now *that* would be a hoot! And quite heavy.
>
Some folks can't even get a random wire up and radiating HF RF....

73 de Jim, N2EY

3076. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 02:58:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118890722.770733.116700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110308

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> I really can't believe some are so devoted to arguing
> as this while amateur radio declines...

You're arguing more than anyone here at the moment, John.

> First, I DO believe there is a problem with the numbers
> of licenses...

OK, fine. How much are the numbers down, percentagewise, from
the peak? How about the ratio of hams to the overall population?

Show us some solid numbers.
>
> Second, there have been things tried,
> and there are just echos here of
> RE-TRYING failed ideas here--they didn't work then,
> they won't work now!

I agree!

In 1987, 1990, 1991, and 2000, the test requirements for an FCC-issued
amateur license were successively reduced. The greatest
reduction came in 2000, when the code tests were reduced from
three speeds to one, and the written tests reduced from 5 tests
totalling 190 questions to three tests totalling 120 questions.

And yet the numbers are now declining.
>
> Third, I don't think anyone here is into any real want to do
> anything
> better--most are locked in a state of firm and heavy denial and > the
> numbers must drop much more before this will improve...

I'm for doing something better. But what I think of as better, you
dismiss.

> Fourth, I DO believe time and Jim Haynie will provide real
> fixes--eventually...

The FCC makes the rules...
>
> Fifth, my opinion is that almost everyone here, to the last
> man/woman,
> is going to let things go to hell for their own

> self-interests--

That includes you, John.

Which of your own self-interests are you willing to sacrifice?

> and that
> to me is as disgusting as someone masturbating in public--NO
> ONE ELSE ENJOYS IT!

Somehow I don't think you're the ideal person to present amateur radio
to young people...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:zMOdnUggt9HLIy3fRVn-gg@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:Me6dnazJ16c7Ky3fRVn-1g@adelphia.com...
> >
> > [snip]
> >>
> >> The idea of "recruiting" people into the ARS is likely never going to
> >> work - at least as far as snagging people that are thinking about a
> >> hobby, but don't know what to pick up.
> >>
> >> If you wanna be a Ham - you *know* it.
> >>
> >
> > I must disagree to some extent with this, Mike. There are people who
> > would love being hams if only they knew about it and knew what it was
> > like. I never knew I wanted to be a ham until after I became one. My
> > ex dragged me to a ham class as something we could do together and I
> > have to admit that it did not sound interesting at the time. I found
> > that it was something I liked and now I would fight tooth and nail to
> > keep my license.

So what you really needed was exposure - publicity - examples - demos.

Were you attracted to amateur radio because it was like the internet,
or because it was something very different?
>
> > If we are going to "recruit," we need to find those who would like it
> > but haven't had the opportunity to know about it or to know enough
> > about it.

I say you toss it out there for everyone.

> > [snip]
> >
> >> A local oldster was inquiring as to when his license expired, because
> >> he couldn't find his F.C.C. Wallpaper. We help him figure it out. We
> >> need to keep the geezers on the air. I love talking to them. I hope
> >> someone is looking out for me when I'm 91!

> >>
> >
> > I hope so too. I had the great pleasure and honor of speaking with
> > W5BQU (Big, Quick, and Ugly) a year or two before he passed away. He
> > was over 100 at the time and still in pretty good control of his
> > faculties and still with a zest for life, enjoying those things he
> > still could do.
> >
I've been a ham for 38 years now and I hope I'm not even halfway done
yet.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3077. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 03:29:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%m6se.143$1E7.3751054@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110311

Buzzard Boy:

...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:v-idnaSuq8XPfS3fRVn-pA@gbronline.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:ec2se.104$AH7.2250820@news.sisna.com...
>>
>>>Dee:
>>>
>>>Michael's own words:
>>>"There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority,
>>>..."
>>>
>>>What does that mean--they are being held out by the old farts? The
>>>women too want no-code?
>>
>>
>> He is not claiming anything of the sort. Once again you see what
>> isn't there.
>>
>>
>>>Just what is the reason he was claiming?
>>>
>>
>>
>> He is not claiming any reason but simply stating that the same
>> factors that cause women not to choose technical careers also cause
>> them not to choose technical hobbies. He has made no statement about
>> what those factors may be.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> Wonder how many organizations that are mostly joined by women does
> Johnny Smith belong to? Hey Johnnyboy, why don't you join the Red Hat
> Society? You might just look good in a purple dress and red hat.

3078. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 03:32:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fp6se.144$XD7.3747936@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110313

Buzzard Bozo:

...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:RpqdnQaH_tXMeS3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> "special interest group"... what next, "Lesbian hams?"
>>
>> The women are NOT there on the bands--you claim they are "hiding",
>> well great--they still ain't there in any REAL sense!
>
> So what is this hang-up you have about women, why do you think the
> bands should be populated with lots of women hams? As a whole, women
> aren't attracted to a technical hobby like ham radio. Ever go out to
> the model airplane radio control field? See lots of women there flying
> toy airplanes? How many women get their pilots license as compared to
> men?
> Ever go to a quilting meeting? How many men did you see there?
> Ever go to an antique radio swap meet? You will find a lot more men
> collecting and fixing old radios than women. Do you collect dolls, tea
> sets, china dishes? No? Lots of women do.
>
> When I taught Novice classis some years ago, there were always several
> women in the class and they ejoyed learning the code as much as
> anyone.
>
> There are things that interest men as a hobby and things that interest
> women as a hobby, and most often they are different things.
> If all you can see is doom and gloom for ham radio, I suggest you go
> back to the 'freeband' where you seem to think radio life is great.

3079. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 03:32:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ep6se.145$HK7.3797048@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110312

Buzzard Bugger:

...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:v-idnaeuq8XyfC3fRVn-pA@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dee:
>>
>> cw is like the tying I do here, neither requiring thought, strength
>> or a particular skill.
>
> So you should have no trouble copying 30-40 wpm then.

3080. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 03:55:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <VK6se.148$iN7.3856444@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110310

Buzzard Bummer:

...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:5-ydnZzftKL4ai3fRVn-sQ@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Buzzard Bozo:
>
> What thoughtful responses.

3081. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 07:21:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118906497.662774.57750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110314

John Smith wrote:
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:HaWre.863$yg4.541@news01.roc.ny...
> > John,
> >
> > You could make the same assertion about a driver's license. Memorize
> > some
> > rules and take a road test.
> >
> > Do you support eliminating motor vehicle tests? Perhaps only for
> > college
> > educated folks?
> >
> > Might it make sense to require folks to know where the band edges are,
> > or
> > would you think it doesn't matter.
> >
> > If you travel to the U.K., do you think it might be smart to
> > understand that
> > they drive on the *left* side of the road rather than the right? Even
> > if
> > you are a pedestrian?
> >
> > I suspect you'd be upset if someone started transmitting on your
> > Direct Tv
> > frequencies and killed your reception. There are rules and folks
> > wishing
> > licenses are supposed to demonstrate some knowledge of those rules.
> > These
> > rules do not require the calculus, yet even a college grad has to
> > demonstrate some knowledge of them.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:snEre.146$5R7.12507228@news.sisna.com...
> >> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
> >> educations...
> >>
> >> ... the cw part makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
> >> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
>

> I just support removing code because no new hams are using it in any
> meaningful numbers.

"Meaningful numbers"...

That suggests that you ahve some definitive research
numbers...Some scientifically controlled poll.

Please cite the poll...Or was this just your "opinion" based upon
no one wanting to talk to you?

> The new state of the art hams are interested in hooking a modem up and
> interfacing the radio to the computer...

Great...that's what some are interested in...

> Hook up a code key and they loose interest immediately...

No...

You meant YOU lose interest immediately.

> Now, a bunch of old guys who are computer illiterate have no choice than
> to try to amuse themselves with a damn key...

Yep...there it is.

Steve, K4YZ

3082. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 07:27:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118906822.767006.268400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110315

bb wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
>
> > Len:
> >
> > Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
> > heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> > children, small animals and gays)...
>
> And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.

Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!

You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?

I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
weren't lost..knew right where they were.

> > They are at a loss when brave men stand their ground and question their
> > faulty logic... reduces 'em to personal attacks and gutter fighting
> > quickly...
>
> You've just described Steven J. Robeson.

And yet another lie...from a "guy" who finds it easy to lie.

Brian P Burke, N0IMD, "billybeeper@juno.com".

Putz Jr.

Steve, K4YZ

3083. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 10:17:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118917045.191252.97720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110318

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> One point you got right, ham radio HAS TO compete with a
> superior form of communication--the internet!

For some uses the internet is better than ham radio. For other
uses ham radio is better. The competition is for time only.

> And, the only way it can is drop the code...

Do you mean hams should stop using Morse Code, or that there
should not be a Morse Code *test*?

In either case, how will that help ham radio compete for
time?

> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118872930.444019.75710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Charles Brabham wrote:
> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >> news:0oednXv9MZT9kC3fRVn-jg@comcast.com...
> >> >
> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:QqKre.203$nC4.14725234@news.sisna.com...
> >> >> N2EY:
> >> >>
> >> >> I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting a
> >> >> ham
> >> >> license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code
> >> >> license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code to
> >> >> chat
> >> >> with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier and
> >> >> reliably over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc...
> >> >> that is
> >> >> REAL. THAT is dropping the numbers of hams and putting bands in
> >> >> danger
> >> >> of being lost... that is holding our numbers at such low levels
> >> >> the FCC
> >> >> begins to find us more a bother than anything else...
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all time
> >> > high.

> >
> > Yes - both in total numbers of US hams, and the ratio of hams to the
> > total US population.
> >
> > In recent years the totals have begun to go down, and the percentage
> > of
> > the
> > population as well. Reducing the license test requirements in 2000 has
> > not brought growth, yet some folks insist that more and more
> > reductions
> > in test requirements are the only solution.
> >>
> >> It's just another one of those guys who thinks that Ham Radio is only
> >> relevant in as much as it resembles the Internet. - In other words,
> >> the guy
> >> has no clue about the hobby but wants to run it down because it is
> >> not the
> >> Internet.
> >
> > BINGO!
> >
> > Yet it is exactly the fact that amateur radio *is not* the internet
> > that is
> > the draw!
> >>
> >> I use this attitude as a handy intelligence test for amateurs... If
> >> they
> >> cannot differentiate between Amateur Radio and the Internet, or if
> >> they
> >> cannot see why there should and would be differences between the
> >> two - then
> >> I know that they are brain-damaged (or even worse) - associated
> >> with TAPR
> >> or the ARRL's HSMM group.
> >>
> >> In any case the attitude indicates a clueless state in relation to
> >> the
> >> amateur radio hobby.
> >
> > Makes sense to me!
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> >>
> >> Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
> >> Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
> >> Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
> >> Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php
> >

3084. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 10:30:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118917815.281704.292840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110317

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> You should be ashamed of yourself-

Why?

>-you damn well know young cw'ers are
> rarer than...

How would you know, John?

You've told us you don't use Morse Code. So how would you
know how many young hams there are using the mode?

You've made fun of the mode and those who use it. A young ham
who uses and likes Morse Code would probably just avoid you,
rather than get involved in a confrontation with you.

> Most are no-code licenses!

How do you know?

Here's a clue:

- Age information in the FCC database is incomplete. The birthdate
of some but not all licensees are in there. The times when age
information was collected are such that the ages of young hams may be
underrepresented in the database.

- Not all Technicians are "nocodetest". The FCC has been renewing all
Technician and Technician Plus licenses as Technician for more than 5
years, and in less than 5 more years there will be no more Technician
Pluses at all, because they will all have either expired or been
renewed as Technicians. In that same time period,
Novices who pass Element 2 get Technician licenses, not Technician
Pluses. And any Technician who passes Element 1 is still shown as
Technician on the database.

- You haven't defined "young" - does it mean hams under age 20? 30? 40?
Does it mean hams licensed less than a year? 5 years? 10 years?

Perhaps you have simply concluded that the code test is the
boogeyman responsible for all problems in the amateur radio service,
and that when it's gone, all will be well.

-

> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118870816.806793.98590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> I just support removing code because no new hams are using it in any
> >> meaningful numbers.
> >
> > I've seen plenty of new hams use Morse Code on the air. And plenty who
> > use other modes. What information do you have to show that "no new
> > hams
> > are using it in any meaningful numbers."
> >
> >> The new state of the art hams are interested in hooking a modem up
> >> and
> >> interfacing the radio to the computer...
> >
> > Some are - some aren't.
> >
> >> Hook up a code key and they loose interest immediately...
> >
> > Depends on how you present it. And the word is "lose"....
> >
> >> Now, a bunch of old guys who are computer illiterate have no choice
> >> than
> >> to try to amuse themselves with a damn key...
> >
> > Well, that leaves me out, because I'm neither old nor computer
> > illiterate.
> >
> >
> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> >> news:HaWre.863$yg4.541@news01.roc.ny...
> >> > John,
> >> >
> >> > You could make the same assertion about a driver's license.
> >> > Memorize
> >> > some
> >> > rules and take a road test.
> >> >
> >> > Do you support eliminating motor vehicle tests? Perhaps only for
> >> > college
> >> > educated folks?
> >> >
> >> > Might it make sense to require folks to know where the band edges
> >> > are,
> >> > or
> >> > would you think it doesn't matter.
> >> >
> >> > If you travel to the U.K., do you think it might be smart to
> >> > understand that
> >> > they drive on the *left* side of the road rather than the right?
> >> > Even

> >> > if
> >> > you are a pedestrian?
> >> >
> >> > I suspect you'd be upset if someone started transmitting on your
> >> > Direct Tv
> >> > frequencies and killed your reception. There are rules and folks
> >> > wishing
> >> > licenses are supposed to demonstrate some knowledge of those rules.
> >> > These
> >> > rules do not require the calculus, yet even a college grad has to
> >> > demonstrate some knowledge of them.
> >> >
> >> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> >> > Jim AA2QA
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:snEre.146$5R7.12507228@news.sisna.com...
> >> >> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
> >> >> educations...
> >> >>
> >> >> ... the cw part makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
> >> >> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
> >> >>
> >> >> Warmest regards,
> >> >> John
> >> >
> >> >
> > >

3085. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 11:09:22 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <C5dse.2249$ks4.1139@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 110316

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:xQ1se.99$xm7.2198581@news.sisna.com...
> N2EY:
>
> One point you got right, ham radio HAS TO compete with a superior form of
> communication--the internet!

You got that wrong, right off the bat. Ham Radio and the non-ham stuff both have thier good and bad points. That is to say; Both are superior to the other - and inferior to the other at the same time. It is most accurate to just say that they are different.

>
> And, the only way it can is drop the code...

How about hopping on one leg with a paper sack over our heads, squawking like a chicken? - It would have about the same amount of positive effect.

You seem to be really loaded up with ignorant and anti-ham catch-phrases, easy to parrot I suppose. Did you pick up the ignorant attitudes at:

a. TAPR
b. ARRL HSMM group
c. WL2K
d. ARESCOM

... Or is there some other source of utter cluelessness that you have associated yourself with?

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php

3086. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 11:37:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118921837.800969.310840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110319

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 14 Jun 2005 14:39
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun 12 Jun 2005 18:10
> >>
> >> >"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:1118584479.670520.325030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >> Has the code exam been dropped yet? Might as well be all black or all
> >> >> white because at the end of the day there are still people who support
> >> >> the wall.
> >>
> >> >All purposeful action starts with an idea - a dream, as it were.
> >> >First the idea, then the actions to make the idea become
> >> >a reality.
>
> Sort of like showing one's REAL patriotism by volunteering for
> milirary service?

Milirary service is not a requirement nor a qualfication for an
FCC-issued amateur radio license.

Military service is not a requirement nor a qualfication for an
FCC-issued amateur radio license.

> The FCC is NOT a regulator of "dreams." All it does is
> mitigate
> interference in the civil side of the EM spectrum by U.S.
> citizens.

There's much more to the regulation of radio than interference
mitigation.

> The FCC is NOT any "moral arbiter" of anything but its own
> regulations and rulings in regards to U.S. law.

That claim is incorrect. The FCC determines "moral", "character"
and "content" standards in all the radio services it regulates.
>
> >The person who will not allow him/herself to believe they can > >do something is already
defeated.
>
> N...@aol.com tries to sound like a Reverend on morse code,
> preaching from some ivy-covered pulpit. [pulpit fiction
> but without a Travolta] Is this a prelude to (roll drums)

>
> ...A Sermon On The Antenna Mount?
>
> Can N...@aol.com become an artist-illustrator, traveling from
> place to place, painting portraits?

Artistic ability is not a requirement nor a qualfication for an
FCC-issued amateur radio license.

> Sam Morse did that. Sam
> and his financial backer Al Vail invented morse code. ART
> with minor success at it in any media REQUIRES a built-in
> aptitutde for that (or an excellent PR/gallery person to sell
> "great art").

Artistic ability is not a requirement nor a qualfication for an
FCC-issued amateur radio license.

The level of skill in Morse Code required to pass Element 1
is about equal to the artistic skill required to do simple
pencil drawings, not "great art".

> I started out WORKING as an artist-illustrator because I did
> have the built-in talent/aptitude for that.

But you did not succeed at it, did you? You left that career at
an early stage.

Could *you* become an artist-illustrator, traveling from place to
place, painting portraits?

> Not only that, my
> completed works were BETTER in any media than Sam Morse's.

Yet he made a living at it, and you could not. Besides, you are
hardly an independent judge of whose work is "better"...

> That reply has very little to do with "moral imperatives" or
> the FCC or with N...@aol.com other than totally refute the
> specious (and irrelevant) supposition that a government
> agency
> is a moral/motivational arbiter of what some do as a HOBBY.

You're presuming your conclusion.

> >---
> >
> >The current Morse Code test in the USA requires the correct
> >recognition of 25 consecutive Morse Code symbols, or correct
> >answers to 7 out of 10 fill-in-the-blank questions based on
> >5 minutes of Morse Code text. The entire text used for the
> >test consists of no more than 125 Morse Code symbols
> >transmitted in no less than 5 minutes.
>

> Duhhhhh...

Is that your professional response?
>
> >This test has been compared to learning to recognize 41 words
> >of a foreign language, which is a fair analogy.
>
> Ridiculous, specious "comparison."

Not at all. If anything, learning to recognize 41 words of a foreign language requires more learning (depends on the language).
>
> Morse code is simply the arhythmic monotonic tone patterns to
> represent the letters, numbers, and some punctuation in the
> ENGLISH LANGUAGE.

And many other languages - there are code characters for letters and punctuation not used in English. But to pass Element 1, the code characters used are the 26 letters A to Z, the ten numerals 0 through 9, and five punctuation symbols. No umlauts, breves, accent marks, etc.

> It was never intended to be anything else.

That claim is incorrect. Morse Code characters for letters and punctuation not used in English exist. They're just not part of the Element 1 test.
>
> I already KNOW, have used, all without ANY license or "test,"
> MORE than 41 words in each of three foreign languages.

So it should be easy for you to learn Morse Code - if you want to. You don't want to.

> Your "comparison" is preposterous.

Not at all! There's no real difference between learning that "benjo" means "bathroom" and learning that a sound similar to "dahdididit" means the letter "B".
>
> >It seems incredible that such a simple test of such a basic
> >radio communication skill would be the cause of so much
> >controversy and acrimony from those opposed to it.
>
> Oh, my, incredulosity puzzles N...@aol.com, possibly because of
> his incredible stubborn attitude of maintaining the OLD
> STANDARDS
> forever and ever...and his unmitigated gall and arrogance by
> insisting that some long-ago morse test passing SHALL be
> passed by newcomers. Forever.

Let's see:

"incredible stubborn attitude"
"OLD STANDARDS"
"unmitigated gall and arrogance"

Yep - acrimony on your part, Len. Not mine.
>
> The FCC uses "licensing" as a means of EM spectrum
> mitigation,
> NOT to "control the moral/ethical behavior of hobbyist hams."

That claim is incorrect. There's much more to radio regulation than "EM spectrum mitigation" - whatever that phrase is supposed to mean.

For example, a few amateurs convicted of felonies have lost their amateur radio licenses even though they were never accused or convicted of any violations of FCC rules for the amateur radio service.

The content of amateur radio transmissions is regulated by FCC rules. For example, amateurs cannot transmit music except in certain specific circumstances.
>
> The FCC is NOT an academic institution whose "tests" are any
> sort of equivalent to academic
> skill/knowledge "qualification."
>
> That Test Element 1 remains IN the amateur radio regulations > is
> due primarily to the incredible acrimony of those olde-tyme
> hammes who cannot bear to lose the one link to their personal
> "fame" that set them "up above their fellows" (as hobbyists).

That claim is incorrect.

Element 1 remains a requirement because FCC has not yet decided to remove it. Previous to July, 2003, the provisions of ITU-R treaty section S25.5 required some form of Morse Code testing, and FCC cited that treaty requirement as its basis for Element 1.

Although that treaty requirement was removed almost 2 years ago, FCC has not changed the rules - yet. "Incredible acrimony" does not cause FCC to change its rules, nor to keep them as they are.
>
> Now, N...@aol.com, study hard, devote yourself to the Morse > art
> of painting, but you won't be in anything but small-bore in
> the caliber of Morse art.

How do you know what my painting abilities are, Len? They could be much better than yours...

> APTITUDE lack cannot be overcome by DREAMS.

Aptitude alone accomplishes nothing. Those who succeed are often not those of the greatest aptitude or talent, but those who simply tried, and exerted the necessary effort.

I think you are really bothered by the "Great Equalizer" effect of learning the Morse Code, Len.

Perhaps you were/are one of those folks for whom most things you try come easily, with little effort. The kind of student who can read the book once and get an A on the test - in some subjects, anyway. Big fish in a small pond.

Some people who have that sort of aptitude also become good at avoiding things they don't learn easily. You seem to be that way, Len.

It seems that when you are confronted by something that you don't learn easily, you get angry, frustrated, and abusive. You don't want to accept that others may be better at something than you are, so you attack the something as meaningless or useless. And you attack those who are good at the something you find difficult, rather than exerting a bit more effort.

3087. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 13:37:50 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <1fd90e651dadf7816ff7415b28b48947@paranoici.org>
Source record: 110321

```
In article <3qqdnSNQceVe4S3fRVn-hw@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <m...@this.ten> wrote:
>
> John Smith wrote:
> > ... actually, I just can tell a brain dead human when I see
one...
> >
> > John
> >
> > "Cmd Buzz Corey" <m...@this.ten> wrote in message
> > news:Zu2dndeHB76a5i3fRVn-qQ@gbronline.com...
> >
> >>John Smith wrote:
> >>
> >>>Buzzard Cornholing Corey:
> >>
> >>I see you lower yourself down on the same level as two-test
wogie.
> >>Typical of a cber.
> >
> >
> >
> You shouldn't look in the mirror so much.

You shouldn't IKYABWAI like Pee Wee Herman (your idol) so much,
imaginary "pilot's license" boy.
```

3088. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 14:08:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118930931.794856.175140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110320

Mike Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:

> > . . . The ham was Gene Reynolds W3EAN
> > who went out of his way to answer my unending stream of questions that
> > night. I probably drove him nuts but I think he enjoyed it. There was
> > no turning back after that night, I was gonna become a ham.
>
> I enjoyed the story, Brian.

I've enjoyed the whole trip Michael.

> But I gotta break in here. What you have
> described is the real reason that people become hams. You were bitten by
> the bug, and it sounds like no one was going to stop you from becoming one.

Yessir that's about right certainly in my case.

> I too was hooked early in life, although it took a long time to finally
> get my ticket. I'm just P****d that I didn't get it years earlier.

Sorry about the previous rant but once in awhile somebody around here
bumps my babble button and there I go again . . You bumped the bloomin'
button again Coslo. Rant Mode = ON

I didn't exactly leap toward the FCC office to take the test either,
far from it. One problem being that I had a number of other interests
too like photography, Boy Scouts, model railroading and GIRLS. They all
absobred my time and what little money I could scrounge via paper
routes and such.

While my folks cheerfully funded Scouting they did not fund any of my
other hot buttons. Probably because they knew I'd drive them broke if
they did. They did encourage my pursuit of ham radio though, I guess
they thought it had educatinal value and it kept me off the streets and
outta trouble. The latter didn't work very well though.

I never had an Elmer, I had no idea how to connect with a ham club when
I was 10-12 so I scrounged books and magazines about ham radio and
tuned the bands with my junk radios. When I finally got to high school
I found a bunch of hams and and "the rest is history". Took me about
five years to go from my encounter with W3EAN to passing the Novice
test and getting on the air with it.

Which was in a much different regime than we have today. The Novice
license was a stick and carrot ticket with the emphasis on the stick.

We had 365 days from the date the license was issued to upgrade to a 13WPM General or get booted out of ham radio. Of the dozens of local Novices I knew I don't recall of any who failed to upgrade or bitched about the code tests.

I think I'm very typical of the kids who got into the hobby back then and there were great heaps of us. The adults who took up ham radio back then were a different story, they had the money and they had control of their lives which us kids did not have. Net result today is that us kids from back then are obviously the grouchy old farts of today and almost universally have disdain to one degree or another for the current state of affairs in the giveaway requirements for licensing.

It's not that we're mentally frozen in time at all, that's 100% BS. It's because we've been there and done it all and we know what works and what does not given the fact that except for the current licensing nonsense ham radio hasn't changed nearly as much as many would try to have us believe. Fuhgeddit, we see right thru it.

Im convinced that events in the future will prove us right. Today we have a "bloat the numbers at any cost" game which is doomed to backfire eventually. The big question is how badly it will backfire and how much damage will have been be done before it happens. The history of this country over last couple decades is chock full of eamples of backing away from failed giveaways. It's only a matter of time until ham radio gets it's turn.

Whew: Got that one out of my system too. Thanks Mike.

> The idea of "recruiting" people into the ARS is likely never going to
> work - at least as far as snagging people that are thinking about a
> hobby, but don't know what to pick up.

I agree right down the line. You can't "recruit" anybody into a hobby unless some kernel of interest already exists in the mind of the "target" and even then it's a dicey proposition in most cases. It's like trying to herd cats, doesn't work. The best we can do is toss out PR to raise the awareness of ham radio and let the chips fall where they might. The League is in the right direction in this respect.

> If you wanna be a Ham - you *know* it.

Yupper but how one gets there varies hugely to the point where all 670,000 of us have probably taken 300,000 different routes. Compare the way Dee got into the hobby vs. my route. How different can they get?!

> A local oldster was inquiring as to when his license expired, because
> he couldn't find his F.C.C. Wallpaper. We help him figure it out. We
> need to keep the geezers on the air. I love talking to them. I hope
> someone is looking out for me when I'm 91!

They're all treasures we have a responsibilty to protect. Often from themselves. Heh.

> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

3089. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 14:52:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118933552.866404.144600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110322

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:BiYre.14$cj7.643176@news.sisna.com...
> >
> >>... oh, I love that argument!!! Let me see if I have it correctly,
> >>either:
> >>
> >>1) Women are too stupid for the technical fields.

Uh-Huh. You trump all of 'em in that game.

> > How you managed to twist Mike's words to come up with this interpretation is
> > amazing. He neither said nor implied anything of the sort.

> >>2) We are no worse than any other technical field about baring women.
> >>
> >
> >
> > He said nothing about barring women from technical fields. Again how you
> > managed to come up with this inverted interpretation is one of the mysteries
> > of the world. Women choose not to go into technical fields for their own
> > reasons. That includes hobby activities like ham radio.

He's another Burke Dee, a male ditz/troll, he isn't worth the effort,
ignore the goofball.

> Thank you. I work with a number of female engineers, and they seem to
> have no problem working with me. My opinion on the issue is based on
> conversations with them.

I smell an oddity here. Dee is an engineer who apparently works in
academia. You also work in academia and know some number of woman
engineers who are also in academia. I've been out here in the
commercial side for decades and per previous have had very few
encounters with woman engineers. Is it possible that the woman
engineers I don't see out here are operating in academia instead??
Would not surprise me a bit if that's the case.

> Especially one who bristles at being called a
> "female" engineer. She says "Just call me an engineer, if you don't mind!"

Oh crap . . been there, done that . . my middle daughter was an
over-the-edge NOW street warrior in her college days back when the
battle over abortions rights was in full bloom. I can't tell you how
much I enjoyed watching her in action on the six PM news. TWICE.

Of course she had "problems" with this male chauvinist pig. Finally got down to me suggesting that instead of differentiating by the man/woman thing we differtiate by using "X-Chromosone people" and "Y-Chromosone people" instead. Only got me about ten seconds of peace before she recovered and got all over me again.

<sigh>

> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

3090. Re: Of course Michael Jackson is innocent, what a stupid question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 15:00:54 UTC
From: CMD Butt Cornholer rapes kids <mantittiesbuzzy@this.ten>
Message-ID: <2S8JTGI238519.7089583333@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 110323

In article <Oaydnd2cK6yGNy3fRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
baby raper Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <m...@this.ten>
whined:
>
> Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer, wrote:
> > In article <utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn...@gbronline.com>
> > baby raper Cmd Butt Corey <m...@this.ten> wrote:
> >
> >>Tapioca Pudding AKA stinky fatbgoy two-test wogie blubbered
> >
> > and wrote:
> >
> > "fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!
>
> More of (Cmd Butt Cornholers limp responses deleted.)

Funny how the retest letter doesn't say so.

Poor Butt Cornholer of his own kids and grandkids, he sure can't
prove he ever had a "1st Class Commercial license" any more than
he can prove he has a "pilot's license."
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA HAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

Still flying those model airplanes and think you have a "pilot's
license?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA.

Roger's 2nd class commercial ticket was valid from 1976-1981.
Since he let it expire his grant date of November, 1981 (valid
to the present) on his Restricted Radiotelephone is right there
on the FCC database. (You lose, in other words.) If you'd had a
real 1st class radiotelephone it would've been grandfathered in
the database as a GROL, like so many others were. But no, you
didn't have one to begin with, imaginary "pilot's license" boy.
You couldn't pass a "simple" (in your words)Extra exam today,
and neither could you pass a commercial FCC license exam in
years past. Caught you in yet another lie, kiddie diddler Buzz
Cornholer.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

> Hey wogie, I would like to come by and see those antennas you
have up.

But we all know you are too much of a chickenshit coward to do
so.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!

--
Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he
gets all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn...@gbronl&#65533;ine.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't
even spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary
spelling skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc>
wrote:
"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability
wogie"

3091. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 16:14:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <kzhse.11$mh.150676@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110324

Kelly:

Yep. Personal attacks, don't discuss what is not in your personal
self-interests. Call those with differing ideas a troll, deny a problem
exists, etc, etc, etc...

Gee, where have I seen this behavior before...

John

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118933552.866404.144600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:BiYre.14$cj7.643176@news.sisna.com...
>> >
>> >>... oh, I love that argument!!! Let me see if I have it correctly,
>> >>either:
>> >>
>> >>1) Women are too stupid for the technical fields.
>>
> Uh-Huh. You trump all of 'em in that game.
>
>> > How you managed to twist Mike's words to come up with this
>> > interpretation is
>> > amazing. He neither said nor implied anything of the sort.
>>
>> >>2) We are no worse than any other technical field about baring
>> >>women.
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> > He said nothing about barring women from technical fields. Again
>> > how you
>> > managed to come up with this inverted interpretation is one of the
>> > mysteries
>> > of the world. Women choose not to go into technical fields for
>> > their own
>> > reasons. That includes hobby activities like ham radio.
>
> He's another Burke Dee, a male ditz/troll, he isn't worth the effort,
> ignore the goofball.
>

>
>> Thank you. I work with a number of female engineers, and they seem to
>> have no problem working with me. My opinion on the issue is based on
>> conversations with them.
>
> I smell an oddity here. Dee is an engineer who apparently works in
> academia. You also work in academia and know some number of woman
> engineers who are also in academia. I've been out here in the
> commercial side for decades and per previous have had very few
> encounters with woman engineers. Is it possible that the woman
> engineers I don't see out here are operating in academia instead??
> Would not surprise me a bit if that's the case.
>
>> Especially one who bristles at being called a
>> "female" engineer. She says "Just call me an engineer, if you don't
>> mind!"
>
> Oh crap . . been there, done that . . my middle daughter was an
> over-the-edge NOW street warrior in her college days back when the
> battle over abortions rights was in full bloom. I can't tell you how
> much I enjoyed watching her in action on the six PM news. TWICE.
>
> Of course she had "problems" with this male chauvinist pig. Finally
> got
> down to me suggesting that instead of differentiating by the man/woman
> thing we differtiate by using "X-Chromosone people" and "Y-Chromosone
> people" instead. Only got me about ten seconds of peace before she
> recovered and got all over me again.
>
> <sigh>
>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> w3rv
>

3092. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 16:23:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <mIhse.12$XI1.242794@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110332

Kelly:

Yep. I think you are unaware that some of us out here have our licenses, got our radios fired up, tune the bands--and it is nothing but the same old, same old...

We do see all the rag chews, boring rants, same operators, same gripes, same rants, same little groups, same ideas, same conversations as yesterday--day, after day, after day...

I am sure a lot of 'em are sitting there waiting for us poor ignorant ops to "get with it" and "come to the realization" of just how vital and interesting this all is and SHOULD BE to us...

Well I am one which does not and cannot appreciate it... if the fault lies with me and my interests and views--so be it...

If I am wrong and all these young guys just can't wait to get a license and startup a QSO so they hear these old guys fart and rant--well, that is just a short coming of mine--and, those young dynamic guys who are running the world right now and providing new ideas, designs and methods are probably on the way here right now to find the old farts.... I'll just sit here and wait for 'em, I need a change... maybe I can chat with one or two of 'em--if they can quit their hero worship of you guys long enough... <grin>

John

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118930931.794856.175140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
>> > . . . The ham was Gene Reynolds W3EAN
>> > who went out of his way to answer my unending stream of questions
>> > that
>> > night. I probably drove him nuts but I think he enjoyed it. There
>> > was
>> > no turning back after that night, I was gonna become a ham.
>>
>> I enjoyed the story, Brian.
>
> I've enjoyed the whole trip Michael.

>
>> But I gotta break in here. What you have
>> described is the real reason that people become hams. You were bitten
>> by
>> the bug, and it sounds like no one was going to stop you from
>> becoming one.
>
> Yessir that's about right certainly in my case.
>
>> I too was hooked early in life, although it took a long time to
>> finally
>> get my ticket. I'm just P****d that I didn't get it years earlier.
>
> Sorry about the previous rant but once in awhile somebody around here
> bumps my babble button and there I go again . . You bumped the
> bloomin'
> button again Coslo. Rant Mode = ON
>
> I didn't exactly leap toward the FCC office to take the test either,
> far from it. One problem being that I had a number of other interests
> too like photography, Boy Scouts, model railroading and GIRLS. They
> all
> absobred my time and what little money I could scrounge via paper
> routes and such.
>
> While my folks cheerfully funded Scouting they did not fund any of my
> other hot buttons. Probably because they knew I'd drive them broke if
> they did. They did encourage my pursuit of ham radio though, I guess
> they thought it had educatinal value and it kept me off the streets
> and
> outta trouble. The latter didn't work very well though.
>
> I never had an Elmer, I had no idea how to connect with a ham club
> when
> I was 10-12 so I scrounged books and magazines about ham radio and
> tuned the bands with my junk radios. When I finally got to high school
> I found a bunch of hams and and "the rest is history". Took me about
> five years to go from my encounter with W3EAN to passing the Novice
> test and getting on the air with it.
>
> Which was in a much different regime than we have today. The Novice
> license was a stick and carrot ticket with the emphasis on the stick.
> We had 365 days from the date the license was issued to upgrade to a
> 13WPM General or get booted out of ham radio. Of the dozens of local
> Novices I knew I don't recall of any who failed to upgrade or bitched
> about the code tests.
>
> I think I'm very typical of the kids who got into the hobby back then
> and there were great heaps of us. The adults who took up ham radio
> back

> then were a different story, they had the money and they had control
> of
> their lives which us kids did not have. Net result today is that us
> kids from back then are obviously the grouchy old farts of today and
> almost universally have disdain to one degree or another for the
> current state of affairs in the giveaway requirements for licensing.
>
> It's not that we're mentally frozen in time at all, that's 100% BS.
> It's because we've been there and done it all and we know what works
> and what does not given the fact that except for the current licensing
> nonsense ham radio hasn't changed nearly as much as many would try to
> have us believe. Fuhgeddit, we see right thru it.
>
> Im convinced that events in the future will prove us right. Today we
> have a "bloat the numbers at any cost" game which is doomed to
> backfire
> eventually. The big question is how badly it will backfire and how
> much
> damage will have been be done before it happens. The history of this
> country over last couple decades is chock full of eamples of backing
> away from failed giveaways. It's only a matter of time until ham radio
> gets it's turn.
>
> Whew: Got that one out of my system too. Thanks Mike.
>
>> The idea of "recruiting" people into the ARS is likely never going to
>> work - at least as far as snagging people that are thinking about a
>> hobby, but don't know what to pick up.
>
> I agree right down the line. You can't "recruit" anybody into a hobby
> unless some kernel of interest already exists in the mind of the
> "target" and even then it's a dicey proposition in most cases. It's
> like trying to herd cats, doesn't work. The best we can do is toss out
> PR to raise the awareness of ham radio and let the chips fall where
> they might. The League is in the right direction in this respect.
>
>> If you wanna be a Ham - you *know* it.
>
> Yupper but how one gets there varies hugely to the point where all
> 670,000 of us have probably taken 300,000 different routes. Compare
> the
> way Dee got into the hobby vs. my route. How different can they get?!
>
>
>> A local oldster was inquiring as to when his license expired, because
>> he couldn't find his F.C.C. Wallpaper. We help him figure it out. We
>> need to keep the geezers on the air. I love talking to them. I hope
>> someone is looking out for me when I'm 91!
>
> They're all treasures we have a responsibilty to protect. Often from

> themselves. Heh.
>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> w3rv
>

3093. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 16:24:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <AJhse.13$d81.184408@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110325

N2EY:

Oh forget I mentioned anything--lets just chat with the younger guys
under 35--they are more interesting anyway...

Hey, when are they going to get here?

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118917815.281704.292840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> You should be ashamed of yourself-
>
> Why?
>
>>-you damn well know young cw'ers are
>> rarer than...
>
> How would you know, John?
>
> You've told us you don't use Morse Code. So how would you
> know how many young hams there are using the mode?
>
> You've made fun of the mode and those who use it. A young ham
> who uses and likes Morse Code would probably just avoid you,
> rather than get involved in a confrontation with you.
>
>> Most are no-code licenses!
>
> How do you know?
>
> Here's a clue:
>
> - Age information in the FCC database is incomplete. The birthdate
> of some but not all licensees are in there. The times when age
> information was collected are such that the ages of young hams may be
> underrepresented in the database.
>
> - Not all Technicians are "nocodetest". The FCC has been renewing all
> Technician and Technician Plus licenses as Technician for more than 5
> years, and in less than 5 more years there will be no more Technician
> Pluses at all, because they will all have either expired or been

> renewed as Technicians. In that same time period,
> Novices who pass Element 2 get Technician licenses, not Technician
> Pluses. And any Technician who passes Element 1 is still shown as
> Technician on the database.
>
> - You haven't defined "young" - does it mean hams under age 20? 30?
> 40?
> Does it mean hams licensed less than a year? 5 years? 10 years?
>
> Perhaps you have simply concluded that the code test is the
> boogeyman responsible for all problems in the amateur radio service,
> and that when it's gone, all will be well.
>
>
> -
>
>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1118870816.806793.98590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> I just support removing code because no new hams are using it in
>> >> any
>> >> meaningful numbers.
>> >
>> > I've seen plenty of new hams use Morse Code on the air. And plenty
>> > who
>> > use other modes. What information do you have to show that "no new
>> > hams
>> > are using it in any meaningful numbers."
>> >
>> >> The new state of the art hams are interested in hooking a modem up
>> >> and
>> >> interfacing the radio to the computer...
>> >
>> > Some are - some aren't.
>> >
>> >> Hook up a code key and they loose interest immediately...
>> >
>> > Depends on how you present it. And the word is "lose"....
>> >
>> >> Now, a bunch of old guys who are computer illiterate have no
>> >> choice
>> >> than
>> >> to try to amuse themselves with a damn key...
>> >
>> > Well, that leaves me out, because I'm neither old nor computer
>> > illiterate.
>> >
>> >
>> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message

>> >> news:HaWre.863$yg4.541@news01.roc.ny...
>> >> > John,
>> >> >
>> >> > You could make the same assertion about a driver's license.
>> >> > Memorize
>> >> > some
>> >> > rules and take a road test.
>> >> >
>> >> > Do you support eliminating motor vehicle tests? Perhaps only
>> >> > for
>> >> > college
>> >> > educated folks?
>> >> >
>> >> > Might it make sense to require folks to know where the band
>> >> > edges
>> >> > are,
>> >> > or
>> >> > would you think it doesn't matter.
>> >> >
>> >> > If you travel to the U.K., do you think it might be smart to
>> >> > understand that
>> >> > they drive on the *left* side of the road rather than the right?
>> >> > Even
>> >> > if
>> >> > you are a pedestrian?
>> >> >
>> >> > I suspect you'd be upset if someone started transmitting on your
>> >> > Direct Tv
>> >> > frequencies and killed your reception. There are rules and
>> >> > folks
>> >> > wishing
>> >> > licenses are supposed to demonstrate some knowledge of those
>> >> > rules.
>> >> > These
>> >> > rules do not require the calculus, yet even a college grad has
>> >> > to
>> >> > demonstrate some knowledge of them.
>> >> >
>> >> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> >> > Jim AA2QA
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> > news:snEre.146$5R7.12507228@news.sisna.com...
>> >> >> ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
>> >> >> educations...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> ... the cw part makes as much sense as learning to play a
>> >> >> "jew's
>> >> >> harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...

>> >> >>
>> >> >> Warmest regards,
>> >> >> John
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >
>

3094. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 16:29:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ENhse.14$qg.113776@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110331

N2EY:

I mean you have convinced me you are hopeless... you don't see a problem... you are fine with the numbers... I don't think you give a damn if we ever get enough young hams in here to make it interesting... frankly I just don't think you give a damn about anything but status quo... you have convinced me you are stone set in your ways and think everything is fine... go for it... we will see as time passes... the bands are boring and that is the way you like 'em--have at it... I got the internet to chat on while I wait...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118917045.191252.97720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> One point you got right, ham radio HAS TO compete with a
>> superior form of communication--the internet!
>
> For some uses the internet is better than ham radio. For other
> uses ham radio is better. The competition is for time only.
>
>> And, the only way it can is drop the code...
>
> Do you mean hams should stop using Morse Code, or that there
> should not be a Morse Code *test*?
>
> In either case, how will that help ham radio compete for
> time?
>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1118872930.444019.75710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Charles Brabham wrote:
>> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:0oednXv9MZT9kC3fRVn-jg@comcast.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> > news:QqKre.203$nC4.14725234@news.sisna.com...
>> >> >> N2EY:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting
>> >> >> a

>> >> >> ham
>> >> >> license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code
>> >> >> license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code
>> >> >> to
>> >> >> chat
>> >> >> with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier
>> >> >> and
>> >> >> reliably over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc...
>> >> >> that is
>> >> >> REAL. THAT is dropping the numbers of hams and putting bands
>> >> >> in
>> >> >> danger
>> >> >> of being lost... that is holding our numbers at such low
>> >> >> levels
>> >> >> the FCC
>> >> >> begins to find us more a bother than anything else...
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all
>> >> > time
>> >> > high.
>> >
>> > Yes - both in total numbers of US hams, and the ratio of hams to
>> > the
>> > total US population.
>> >
>> > In recent years the totals have begun to go down, and the
>> > percentage
>> > of
>> > the
>> > population as well. Reducing the license test requirements in 2000
>> > has
>> > not brought growth, yet some folks insist that more and more
>> > reductions
>> > in test requirements are the only solution.
>> >>
>> >> It's just another one of those guys who thinks that Ham Radio is
>> >> only
>> >> relevant in as much as it resembles the Internet. - In other
>> >> words,
>> >> the guy
>> >> has no clue about the hobby but wants to run it down because it is
>> >> not the
>> >> Internet.
>> >
>> > BINGO!
>> >
>> > Yet it is exactly the fact that amateur radio *is not* the internet
>> > that is
>> > the draw!

>> >>
>> >> I use this attitude as a handy intelligence test for amateurs...
>> >> If
>> >> they
>> >> cannot differentiate between Amateur Radio and the Internet, or if
>> >> they
>> >> cannot see why there should and would be differences between the
>> >> two - then
>> >> I know that they are brain-damaged (or even worse) - associated
>> >> with TAPR
>> >> or the ARRL's HSMM group.
>> >>
>> >> In any case the attitude indicates a clueless state in relation to
>> >> the
>> >> amateur radio hobby.
>> >
>> > Makes sense to me!
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >>
>> >> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>> >>
>> >> Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
>> >> Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
>> >> Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
>> >> Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php
>> >
>

3095. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 16:30:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <HOhse.15$AA1.251974@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110326

Charles:

That is an excellent "theory", too bad all anyone has to do is open
their eyes and look around to immediately prove it false...

John

"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:C5dse.2249$ks4.1139@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:xQ1se.99$xm7.2198581@news.sisna.com...
>> N2EY:
>>
>> One point you got right, ham radio HAS TO compete with a superior
>> form of communication--the internet!
>
> You got that wrong, right off the bat. Ham Radio and the non-ham stuff
> both have thier good and bad points. That is to say; Both are superior
> to the other - and inferior to the other at the same time. It is most
> accurate to just say that they are different.
>
>>
>> And, the only way it can is drop the code...
>
> How about hopping on one leg with a paper sack over our heads,
> squawking like a chicken? - It would have about the same amount of
> positive effect.
>
> You seem to be really loaded up with ignorant and anti-ham
> catch-phrases, easy to parrot I suppose. Did you pick up the ignorant
> attitudes at:
>
> a. TAPR
> b. ARRL HSMM group
> c. WL2K
> d. ARESCOM
>
> ... Or is there some other source of utter cluelessness that you have
> associated yourself with?
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
> Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org

> Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
> Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
> Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php
>
>
>

3096. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 16:35:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118939713.262833.284050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110327

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:BiYre.14$cj7.643176@news.sisna.com...
> > >
> > >>... oh, I love that argument!!! Let me see if I have it correctly,
> > >>either:
> > >>
> > >>1) Women are too stupid for the technical fields.

That claim is incorrect.

But it reveals something about its writer.

Some people still believe the idea that intelligence can be
meaningfully measured/expressed as a single numeric quantity.
As if IQ scores told all.

The fact of the matter is that there are a number of different
types of intelligence - at least seven different, distinct
kinds have been identified. A person can be a genius in one
intelligence area and barely functional in another.

The phrase "are too stupid for the technical fields" reveals
that its author still believes the single-quantity concept.

>
> Uh-Huh. You trump all of 'em in that game.

> > > How you managed to twist Mike's words to come up with this interpretation is
> > > amazing. He neither said nor implied anything of the sort.

Exactly.

> > >>2) We are no worse than any other technical field about baring women.

Spelling doesn't seem to be a strong suit, though..

> > > He said nothing about barring women from technical fields. Again how you
> > > managed to come up with this inverted interpretation is one of the mysteries
> > > of the world. Women choose not to go into technical fields for their own
> > > reasons. That includes hobby activities like ham radio.
>
> He's another Burke Dee, a male ditz/troll, he isn't worth the effort,
> ignore the goofball.

> > Thank you. I work with a number of female engineers, and they seem to
> > have no problem working with me. My opinion on the issue is based on
> > conversations with them.
>
> I smell an oddity here. Dee is an engineer who apparently works in
> academia. You also work in academia and know some number of woman
> engineers who are also in academia. I've been out here in the
> commercial side for decades and per previous have had very few
> encounters with woman engineers. Is it possible that the woman
> engineers I don't see out here are operating in academia instead??
> Would not surprise me a bit if that's the case.

Here's what I've observed:

1) Most technical fields have been predominatly male for a whole bunch
of reasons. That's changing but it takes a long time, because you don't
become a senior engineer overnight.

2) "Technical field" covers a lot of ground. Medical technology - is
that
technical or medical?

3) The factors involving career choice are many and varied. Just one
example:

Back in the
1970s, when I was in high school, a lot of girls I knew who would have
excelled in the technical fields were essentially dequalified by the
schools they went to. The boys' high schools offered lots of math and
science courses at all levels, while the girls' high schools did not,
focusing more on languages, social studies, and related fields. The
division was subtle but effective - very few girls from those schools
went into technical fields in college, while lots of boys did.

> > Especially one who bristles at being called a
> > "female" engineer. She says "Just call me an engineer, if you don't mind!"

Works for me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3097. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 16:36:13 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118939773.277767.279620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110328

John Smith wrote:
> Kelly:
>
> Yep. Personal attacks, don't discuss what is not in your personal
> self-interests. Call those with differing ideas a troll, deny a problem
> exists, etc, etc, etc...
>
> Gee, where have I seen this behavior before...
>
> John

(yawn)

Zzzzzz . . .

3098. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 16:52:53 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d8sap5$159s$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110329

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>From: Mike Coslo on May 29, 9:57 pm
>>
>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>Many people lament that there is not enough interest
>>>>in Ham radio by young people.
>>>
>>>>Agreed - but how much would be enough?
>>>
>>> Dunno. I personally agree somewhat with Brian K's assertion that the
>>>absolute number of Hams could indeed drop without serious problems.
>>>
>>> On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good mix of ages.
>>
>> Younger hobbyists have to be there to "take orders" from the
>> older ones? :-)
>
>
> You'd like it if we younger folks took orders from you, but we won't.
> Deal with it, Len.
>
>>>>There are often many reasons given for this deficiency,
>>>>and somewhat less "fixes".
>>>
>>>>One of the reasons that is given very often is that
>>>>Amateur radio is in some sort of competition with the
>>>>Internet.
>>>
>>>>Every activity is in competition with every other.
>>
>> Among teen-agers? How can you possibly say that? :-)
>>
>>
>>> Surely. Comparisons between the two show that Amateur radio is a tad
>>>bit more involved than buying a computer, doing a dialup and surfing the
>>>net. Will a person who's idea of a hobby is clicking a mouse button find
>>>Amateur radio a tad intimidating?
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. Ham speak with forked tongue on inpugning "no

>> intellect required." A sort of Deus Ex Mousina attitude.
>>
>> Mike, you were never into computers and BBSs before the
>> Macintosh mouse debuted, were you? Lots and lots of ALL
>> ages were BBSing, having a great time without the GUI, well
>> before Windows, when not on-line they were doing programming,
>> writing games, "unprotecting" protected disks, experimenting
>> with the first modem advancements, etc. Budding authors were
>> practicing writing and established writers were generating
>> manuscripts with relative ease. Accounting people had
>> discovered the first spreadsheets and increased the efficiency
>> of their inventory, financial records, establishing both
>> schedules and prices of products they were making. ALL
>> BEFORE the advent of either the computer Mouse or GUI.
>
>
> Actually, both the mouse and GUI came from Xerox's Palo Alto Research
> Center,
> about 1971. That center produced the first "personal computer" in its
> modern form (a computer for each user rather than a timeshared
> mainframe,
> networking between users' computers, the GUI and mouse, filesharing,
> etc.)
> Xerox management did not realize what they had right in front of them,
> and
> the ideas were produced and marketed by others.
>
> Some of us were using computers back in the punchcard and paper-tape
> days.

Yeah, like me! Ancient IBM mainframes that took up an entire room in
the late '70s. First personal size computer was the venerable Trash-80
with a tape drive around 1981. First computer hooked to a modem was a
C-64 a few years later. Got a number of the Commodore Amigas. Had a
A500, an A2000,A3000, and an A4000. Got the Macs starting with a II-CX,
then to a 7100, 7500, G3, G4, and now a G5. PC's from the 286, 386 PS2,
Several laptops, and some HP Pavilions at home.

I've been around computers a while, despite diatribes to the contrary .

>> To get an HF transceiver in their vehicles, both young and
>> old could buy a set of transceiver, antenna, microphone for
>> under $200 from Sears, K-Mart, Wall-Mart, etc. in the
>> morning and have it installed and working in the afternoon.
>
>
> And it had a few channels, one mode and 5 watts of power at most.
>
>
>> Of course that was "CB" and therefore "lowly" and, to some,
>> "criminal." :-) [all before the GUI and mouse]

>
>
> The way many cb radios were used was in clear violation of the
> Communications
> Act and FCC regulations.
>
>
>> I have to admit that putting up one's ham station DOES
>> take some smarts.
>
>
> Yes, it does.
>
>
>
>> One copies an antenna design out of the
>> ARRL Antenna Handbook, getting somewhat close to the
>> dimensions.
>
>
> Why "somewhat close"?

My experience has been that it must be a small "somewhat" indeed!

Is there some rule that "real electronikers" can't use reference material?

Real technicians don't look up pinouts either! ;^)

>
>
>> One can copy a whole transceiver design out
>> of the ARRL Handbook, then rescue themselves by scrapping
>> the unworking project with a ready-built transceiver bought
>> at HRO (reviews of performance presented by the "ARRL Lab"
>> and published in QST).
>
>
> Just because your projects don't work doesn't mean others will fail.
>
>
>> They can even buy coax cable
>> assemblies when they are unable to put on PL-259s right,
>> even buy whole antenna kits. I'd say that was "plug and
>> play" on the same order as PCs, but before Microsith
>> came out with that marketing/sales phrase. :-)
>
>
> How many amateur radio HF receivers, transmitters or transceivers have
> *you* built, Len?
>
>

>>>>A computer has many uses, from being a glorified typewriter to a
>>>>serious research/calculation device to gaming to producing all sorts of
>>>>multimedia stuff.
>>>
>>> Don't forget surfing porn. A great way to build character! 8^)
>>
>> Tsk, Mike. You never saw "dirty pictures" elsewhere (not over a
>> computer) when you were "building (your own) character?" :-)
>>
>>
>>>>Most decent white-collar jobs today require computer skills. Many
>>>>blue-collar jobs also require them.
>>
>> True enough. There aren't a lot of businesses or
>> corporations that need "ham radio skills" (even discounting
>> morse code). Back before the GUI and computer mousies,
>> push-to-talk two-way radios were common in business large
>> and small, with public safety organizations, in the military,
>> and in government. All easy to use...and NOT on amateur
>> bands nor needing morse code skills.
>>
>>
>>
>>> Not missing a point. To me, computers are like underwear - pretty much
>>>gotta have it.
>>
>> I've not seen any "HANES" computer bramds in stores...
>>
>>
>>
>>>>Some people live in places where putting up an antenna - *any* antenna
>>>>- is banned by CC&Rs. A family isn't likely to move so that Junior can
>>>>put up a G5RV.
>>
>> Unless either of you have some NEW info courtesy of the U.S. Census
>> Bureau, you will find the MOST residences in the USA do NOT have
>> such restrictions.
>
>
> How many?
>
> What sort of antennas do *you* have, Len?
>
>
>>> Heh! i had a thought -maybe we could get some of the rebellious types
>>>to go stealth! 8^)
>>
>> You haven't heard of MOBILE or even HAND-HELD transceivers?!?
>>
>>

>>
>>> Actually, I think you missed my point! My point is that if a person is
>>>making a choice of hobbies to get into, the concept of choosing between
>>>Amateur radio and using a cell phone just isn't in the mix. I see trendy
>>>teens with cell phones glued to their heads every day. I can only assume
>>>that they spend hours each day on them. I can guarantee that that kid
>>>has never considered amateur radio as a hobby.
>>
>> How do you present this "guarantee?" In writing? From "long
>> experience" in observation? [remember there are a few of us
>> who've been around longer and seen MORE teeners - even been one
>> once - have MANY DIFFERENT observations of others over the past
>> half century]
>
>
> How many children have you parented, Len?
>
>
>>>I doubt they consider
>>>their cells as a hobby either. So it is pretty hard to think of that as
>>>competition.
>>
>> Competition for teeners' TIME. They have the same 24 hours a
>> day as adults and infants, the same need to sleep, eat, and do
>> other things (such as attend school).
>>
>>
>>>>How many TV shows and movies ever depict engineering or
>>>>technical folks at all, compared to other fields like health
>>>>care or law enforcement?
>>
>> TV shows and Movies are for ENTERTAINMENT of enough
>> customers that will pay the Producers of same...and artists.
>> Entertainment shows go for the Emotions of the audience.
>>
>>
>>> One show made a start toward a good positive presentation of engineers
>>>and techies. It was Star Trek. The original series had a very kind
>>>treatment of Scottie, the engineer.
>>
>> ...who ran the works of a SPACESHIP (circa 1967) as
>> thunk up by MOVIE people, the Producers, the Writers,
>> the scenery and prop designers. NOT "techie" insofar as
>> our then-present society was. EMOTION stuff, NOT
>> education.
>
>
>>>>I graduated high school in 1972 - the golden age of space
>>>>and technology, right?
>>>

>>> Well, pretty close to the end of it....
>>
>> NOT EVEN CLOSE. Having been IN the "space business" since
>> 1964 and working for the manufacturer of the Space Shuttle
>> Main Engine (as well as the Apollo first-stage engine), you
>> both missed the Space Shuttle program and well over a
>> hundred STS missions.
>
>
> I didn't miss any of them, Len.
>
>
>> You've MISSED the unmanned vehicle
>> missions and negated the tremendous data gathered by the
>> Mars rovers and the trips to the outer edges of our solar
>> system.
>
>
> Nope. Didn't miss them at all. I remember all the way back to
> the Mercury flights with chimps ("A monkey's gonna make the first
> flight!")
> Shepard, Grissom, Glenn, the Gemini program, the tragedy of the Apollo
> 1
> fire, the Mariner, Viking, Pioneer and Voyager programs, etc.
>
> Now Cassini sends incredible pictures and data from Saturn. No sirens
> found on Titan, though.
>
>
>> You two have completely ignored when the "personal
>> computer" made its debuts beginning in the mid-1970s, and
>> suddenly skyrocketing after 1980.
>
>
> Not at all, Len. I was right there.
>
>
>> You've missed the first
>> computer networking of BBSs that began in the late 1970s
>> or have recognized the Internet phenomenon happening after
>> it went public in 1991. Perhaps you've both become too
>> blase' about computers and the Internet?
>
>
> This NG is about amateur radio, Len. Something you are not a part of.
>
>>>My basic thesis is that we as a society are moving toward the
>>>celebration of the ordinary, the mundane. We have lost our edge. And
>>>that can only last for so long.
>>

>> Tsk, your own middle-age angst is mumbling. :-)
>>
>> I've heard the SAME sort of complaint by others about their
>> generations' folly for about six decades. :-)
>
>
> So you're old, Len. Big deal. You grunt and grumble, rant and rave more
> than anyone here.
>
>
>>> If we can celebrate those who *DO* things instead of simply consume
>>>things, we might reverse that trend.
>>
>> That has been going on in nearly all technological endeavors
>> for as long as I can remember. The DO-ers are celebrated.
>
>
> Yep - like the hams who pioneered HF radio in 1923...
>
>
>> A survey of the IEEE Milestone history program in electronics
>> demonstrates that, a program that is shared with other
>> technological associations. The birth of the first "hard
>> drive" has been Milestoned recently...IBM's RAMAC and the
>> Model 350 disk storage system (1956) out of a small IBM
>> lab in Silicon Valley.
>>
>> But, to be celebrated, you MUST do something that others
>> consider more remarkable than average. Your own personal
>> accomplishment is NOT enough. One isn't a DO-er just by
>> making something and showing it on the Internet to a wide
>> ranging viewing audience, then proclaiming its "greatness."
>> Neither is one a DO-er by explaining what they "will" do
>> and expecting plaudits BEFORE they've ever done anything.
>
>
> You mean like the person who posts their military and work experience
> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
> again,

oops, you missed one, Jim!

in a failed attempt to win the
> praise and admiration of others, even though it has nothing to do with
> amateur radio?
>
> Or the person who says "I'm going for Extra right out of the box", but
> as of

> 5 years, 5 months and 27 days hasn't done so?
>
> Or the person who talks endlessly about their supposed technical
> knowledge
> prowess but cannot show a single home-made amateur-radio-related
> project or practical article?
>

- Mike KB3EIA -

3099. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 17:30:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TGise.20$Q82.282727@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110330

N2EY:

Apparently you don't understand IQ scores...

IQ is measured by you ability to extrapolate off common knowledge and use the products of such to solve new solutions which the "test'ee" is unfamiliar with... it is the ability of the mind to adapt to new situations, new conditions, new ideas and come up with new solutions...

It is also pattern recognition...

It is NOT a "religious beliefs" in existing knowledge, it is NOT upholding traditions and methods for historical reasons...

One thing it is NOT is wrote learning... a chimpanzee can do that...

Warmest regards,
John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118939713.262833.284050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> > > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:BiYre.14$cj7.643176@news.sisna.com...
>> > >
>> > >>... oh, I love that argument!!! Let me see if I have it
>> > >>correctly,
>> > >>either:
>> > >>
>> > >>1) Women are too stupid for the technical fields.
>
> That claim is incorrect.
>
> But it reveals something about its writer.
>
> Some people still believe the idea that intelligence can be
> meaningfully measured/expressed as a single numeric quantity.
> As if IQ scores told all.
>
> The fact of the matter is that there are a number of different
> types of intelligence - at least seven different, distinct
> kinds have been identified. A person can be a genius in one
> intelligence area and barely functional in another.
>

> The phrase "are too stupid for the technical fields" reveals
> that its author still believes the single-quantity concept.
>
>>
>> Uh-Huh. You trump all of 'em in that game.
>
>> > > How you managed to twist Mike's words to come up with this
>> > > interpretation is
>> > > amazing. He neither said nor implied anything of the sort.
>
> Exactly.
>
>> > >>2) We are no worse than any other technical field about baring
>> > >>women.
>
> Spelling doesn't seem to be a strong suit, though..
>
>> > > He said nothing about barring women from technical fields. Again
>> > > how you
>> > > managed to come up with this inverted interpretation is one of
>> > > the mysteries
>> > > of the world. Women choose not to go into technical fields for
>> > > their own
>> > > reasons. That includes hobby activities like ham radio.
>>
>> He's another Burke Dee, a male ditz/troll, he isn't worth the effort,
>> ignore the goofball.
>
>> > Thank you. I work with a number of female engineers, and they seem
>> > to
>> > have no problem working with me. My opinion on the issue is based
>> > on
>> > conversations with them.
>>
>> I smell an oddity here. Dee is an engineer who apparently works in
>> academia. You also work in academia and know some number of woman
>> engineers who are also in academia. I've been out here in the
>> commercial side for decades and per previous have had very few
>> encounters with woman engineers. Is it possible that the woman
>> engineers I don't see out here are operating in academia instead??
>> Would not surprise me a bit if that's the case.
>
> Here's what I've observed:
>
> 1) Most technical fields have been predominatly male for a whole bunch
> of reasons. That's changing but it takes a long time, because you
> don't
> become a senior engineer overnight.
>
> 2) "Technical field" covers a lot of ground. Medical technology - is

> that
> technical or medical?
>
> 3) The factors involving career choice are many and varied. Just one
> example:
>
> Back in the
> 1970s, when I was in high school, a lot of girls I knew who would have
> excelled in the technical fields were essentially dequalified by the
> schools they went to. The boys' high schools offered lots of math and
> science courses at all levels, while the girls' high schools did not,
> focusing more on languages, social studies, and related fields. The
> division was subtle but effective - very few girls from those schools
> went into technical fields in college, while lots of boys did.
>
>> > Especially one who bristles at being called a
>> > "female" engineer. She says "Just call me an engineer, if you don't
>> > mind!"
>
> Works for me.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

3100. Re: Great introduction to HF techniques and practices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 18:56:44 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42B1CB5D.19872005@earthlink.net>
Source record: 110333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:
>
> Lucky duck.

I worked for Microdyne for four years as a production tech and as an engineering tech. My last project was their RCB-2000 and DR-2000 series receivers. The RCB was a dual receiver with a combiner, while the DR was just a single receiver in the same case. Both had the same features and user interfaces. they ran on embedded NT and had 10/100 MHz Ethernet interfaces for complete remote control, or to store different configurations.

I'm still near Ocala, but I'm now 100% disabled and can only spend a few hours at a time in my shop here at home. Ocala is a nice area, but three of the hurricanes did a lot of damage here last year. The "Florida Greenbelt" runs across the back and one side of my property. It was so heavily wooded that you couldn't see any light between the trees. Now, there are more gaps than trees. Big pines were snapping about half way up and hitting the ground. They moaned and groaned for hours in the high winds, till they started snapping.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

3101. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 19:03:09 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ii6px99.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 110336

On 15 Jun 2005 17:01:18 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>In all my 43 years in engineering I've met a grand total of four woman
>engineers, two MEs, one EE and a Chem E.

In my 50 years in engineering I've =dated= more women engineers than
you seem to have met, was engaged to one (nuclear engineer) and
married another (EE). In my wife's office alone there are more than
4 =PEs= on her floor, including the chief of the structural engineering
section (imagine that, a lady tower engineer). Had my wife gone
through the paperwork as she talked about twenty years ago she, too,
would have been a PE.

>Our contesting club alone has
>three female members, an old girlfriend is a ham and I met W3CUL. Out
>of Lord only knows how many engineers and hams I've met over the years.

In our club, the largest radio club in the state if not in the
Pacific Northwest, about 1/3 of the hams are women, and of them,
about half are active on the air in some fashion or other.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

3102. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 19:09:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ii6q8ca.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 110334

On Wed, 15 Jun 2005 18:24:54 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>> Wait 'till they hit the course or assignment that throws them back
>> into the mortal realm. We've all hit that point at one time or
>> another....
>>
>
>And it's a real shock the first time it happens.

Can you say grad school "Advanced Atomic Physics" ? <ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3103. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 19:28:42 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ii6r3ub.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 110337

On Wed, 15 Jun 2005 21:03:51 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

>How many women get their pilots license as compared to men?

I don't know. I'll have to ask our friend Jane who owns and flys
her own air taxi service....

>Ever go to a quilting meeting? How many men did you see there?

Quilting, I have no idea. I do know that our friend Paul is one of
the better local crochet artists - he does specialty work such as
Jewish skullcaps with intricate designs and teaches same at local
congregations.

>Ever go to an antique radio swap meet? You will find a lot more men
>collecting and fixing old radios than women.

One of the more prominent collectors and restorers of WW-II-era
military radios is a woman - who is also the communications chief
for one of the major California cities.

>Do you collect dolls, tea sets, china dishes? No? Lots of women do.

As do most of the dealers in that field, who are overwhelmingly male.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3104. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 19:31:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ii6r8md.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 110335

On Wed, 15 Jun 2005 21:11:41 GMT, robert casey wrote:

>> Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
>> is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
>> isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
>> a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>
>That makes it a real PITA to people who are good at book
>learnin' and not so hot at motor skills.

Those are the same people who get As in Chemistry but Ds in Chem
Lab.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3105. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 20:49:12 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <cBlse.5701$VK4.1915@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110338

>
> - Not all Technicians are "nocodetest". The FCC has been renewing all
> Technician and Technician Plus licenses as Technician for more than 5
> years, and in less than 5 more years there will be no more Technician
> Pluses at all, because they will all have either expired or been
> renewed as Technicians. In that same time period,
> Novices who pass Element 2 get Technician licenses, not Technician
> Pluses. And any Technician who passes Element 1 is still shown as
> Technician on the database.
>

I used to be an "old" tech plus. Partly to avoid the above
ambiguity I upgraded. Could have just got a paperwork
only upgrade to general, but decided to go for extra.

3106. Re: 5'4" tall Lardass says "IKYABWAI" and the crowd roars with laughter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 20:50:03 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <2053ab45dc8aac3c12492c3f0bb064b3@dizum.com>
Source record: 110339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <87229017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Pedophile Steve Holsten of Kennett,Missouri aka "Your Great
Queero Steve" <hero...@no.spam> wrote:
>
> On Thu, 16 Jun 2005 15:37:50 +0200 (CEST), &#65533;berwinner wrote:

BWHAHAHAHAHA..... Pedo makes good spell! "Uber" which should be
"&#65533;ber" (or even Ueber)....stick to just mangling English instead
of also mangling German, Arschl&#65533;ch!

"Secwet", "Woger" - Dumbass makes good spell!

Und, Lech mich am Arsch!

> > You shouldn't IKYABWAI like Pee Wee Herman (your idol) so
much,
>
> I love it when

You love it when you can assrape your mulatto newborn bastard
child Michael, too, Pedo.

Enjoy that birch.net connection while you still have it, Pedo.

3107. Re: Poor Saggytits Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 21:03:43 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <b87e3e0f4be2a8f8ed13d1ae4c353c0e@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 110340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-

In article <woger.wiseman.net.kook@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
> On Wed, 15 Jun 2005 23:08:32 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger
<Uberlo...@cypherpunks.to> wrote:

Nice to see you still have that cocksucking lisp, secret Nazi.

> : Newsgroups: ...
alt.fuckhead.stagger.lee,...,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies
> : Organization: mail2n...@dizum.com
>

You are quite the fucking imbecile, Saggytits.

> Indeed

Thanks for agreeing with the general consensus of the internet
about you.

You ever find that Marshall County, WV ARES website yet? Or do
you still think the one for Marshall County, Alabama is the
right one? That's what you tried to pass off as the local ARES
that Roger was in. But you fucked up, like you did over the
Layla thing or the "International Radio license issued by the
UN" fuckup. Get called an idiot by Steve Gielda lately?

Dave Heil, aspiring actor, yet English impaired said
In article <425179BB.84722748@earthlink.net>:
"I didn't ask if you cold read."

"FUCKUP Lee" <StaggerLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and
all around imbecile fucks up
as usual when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama
and Marshall County, West Virginia are the same place in message
<afvsc2$6a...@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywa&#65533;rncanwarn/
doodle brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a
place to
send email."

3108. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 21:11:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118956277.604948.134580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110341

John Smith wrote:
> Kelly:
>
> Yep. I think you are unaware that some of us out here have our licenses,
> got our radios fired up, tune the bands--and it is nothing but the same
> old, same old...
>
> We do see all the rag chews, boring rants, same operators, same gripes,
> same rants, same little groups, same ideas, same conversations as
> yesterday--day, after day, after day...
>
> I am sure a lot of 'em are sitting there waiting for us poor ignorant
> ops to "get with it" and "come to the realization" of just how vital and
> interesting this all is and SHOULD BE to us...
>
> Well I am one which does not and cannot appreciate it... if the fault
> lies with me and my interests and views--so be it...
>
> If I am wrong and all these young guys just can't wait to get a license
> and startup a QSO so they hear these old guys fart and rant--well, that
> is just a short coming of mine--and, those young dynamic guys who are
> running the world right now and providing new ideas, designs and methods
> are probably on the way here right now to find the old farts.... I'll
> just sit here and wait for 'em, I need a change... maybe I can chat
> with one or two of 'em--if they can quit their hero worship of you guys
> long enough... <grin>

Dayum "John", YEAH, absolutely, boycott RRAP, refuse to post again
until the thirtysometings roll in!

All in favor say aye . . ?

>
> John
>
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1118930931.794856.175140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >
> >> > . . . The ham was Gene Reynolds W3EAN
> >> > who went out of his way to answer my unending stream of questions

> >> > that
> >> > night. I probably drove him nuts but I think he enjoyed it. There
> >> > was
> >> > no turning back after that night, I was gonna become a ham.
> >>
> >> I enjoyed the story, Brian.
> >
> > I've enjoyed the whole trip Michael.
> >
> >> But I gotta break in here. What you have
> >> described is the real reason that people become hams. You were bitten
> >> by
> >> the bug, and it sounds like no one was going to stop you from
> >> becoming one.
> >
> > Yessir that's about right certainly in my case.
> >
> >> I too was hooked early in life, although it took a long time to
> >> finally
> >> get my ticket. I'm just P****d that I didn't get it years earlier.
> >
> > Sorry about the previous rant but once in awhile somebody around here
> > bumps my babble button and there I go again . . You bumped the
> > bloomin'
> > button again Coslo. Rant Mode = ON
> >
> > I didn't exactly leap toward the FCC office to take the test either,
> > far from it. One problem being that I had a number of other interests
> > too like photography, Boy Scouts, model railroading and GIRLS. They
> > all
> > absobred my time and what little money I could scrounge via paper
> > routes and such.
> >
> > While my folks cheerfully funded Scouting they did not fund any of my
> > other hot buttons. Probably because they knew I'd drive them broke if
> > they did. They did encourage my pursuit of ham radio though, I guess
> > they thought it had educatinal value and it kept me off the streets
> > and
> > outta trouble. The latter didn't work very well though.
> >
> > I never had an Elmer, I had no idea how to connect with a ham club
> > when
> > I was 10-12 so I scrounged books and magazines about ham radio and
> > tuned the bands with my junk radios. When I finally got to high school
> > I found a bunch of hams and and "the rest is history". Took me about
> > five years to go from my encounter with W3EAN to passing the Novice
> > test and getting on the air with it.
> >
> > Which was in a much different regime than we have today. The Novice
> > license was a stick and carrot ticket with the emphasis on the stick.

> > We had 365 days from the date the license was issued to upgrade to a
> > 13WPM General or get booted out of ham radio. Of the dozens of local
> > Novices I knew I don't recall of any who failed to upgrade or bitched
> > about the code tests.
> >
> > I think I'm very typical of the kids who got into the hobby back then
> > and there were great heaps of us. The adults who took up ham radio
> > back
> > then were a different story, they had the money and they had control
> > of
> > their lives which us kids did not have. Net result today is that us
> > kids from back then are obviously the grouchy old farts of today and
> > almost universally have disdain to one degree or another for the
> > current state of affairs in the giveaway requirements for licensing.
> >
> > It's not that we're mentally frozen in time at all, that's 100% BS.
> > It's because we've been there and done it all and we know what works
> > and what does not given the fact that except for the current licensing
> > nonsense ham radio hasn't changed nearly as much as many would try to
> > have us believe. Fuhgeddit, we see right thru it.
> >
> > Im convinced that events in the future will prove us right. Today we
> > have a "bloat the numbers at any cost" game which is doomed to
> > backfire
> > eventually. The big question is how badly it will backfire and how
> > much
> > damage will have been be done before it happens. The history of this
> > country over last couple decades is chock full of eamples of backing
> > away from failed giveaways. It's only a matter of time until ham radio
> > gets it's turn.
> >
> > Whew: Got that one out of my system too. Thanks Mike.
> >
> >> The idea of "recruiting" people into the ARS is likely never going to
> >> work - at least as far as snagging people that are thinking about a
> >> hobby, but don't know what to pick up.
> >
> > I agree right down the line. You can't "recruit" anybody into a hobby
> > unless some kernel of interest already exists in the mind of the
> > "target" and even then it's a dicey proposition in most cases. It's
> > like trying to herd cats, doesn't work. The best we can do is toss out
> > PR to raise the awareness of ham radio and let the chips fall where
> > they might. The League is in the right direction in this respect.
> >
> >> If you wanna be a Ham - you *know* it.
> >
> > Yupper but how one gets there varies hugely to the point where all
> > 670,000 of us have probably taken 300,000 different routes. Compare
> > the
> > way Dee got into the hobby vs. my route. How different can they get?!

> >
> >
> >> A local oldster was inquiring as to when his license expired, because
> >> he couldn't find his F.C.C. Wallpaper. We help him figure it out. We
> >> need to keep the geezers on the air. I love talking to them. I hope
> >> someone is looking out for me when I'm 91!
> >
> > They're all treasures we have a responsibilty to protect. Often from
> > themselves. Heh.
> >
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > w3rv
> >

3109. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 21:18:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <L0mse.36$0M7.890987@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110461

Phil:

My gawd, the bands are crawling with them, there must be heavy congestion somewhere with 'em all trying to communicate... I think my radio has stopped working--can't find a one--heck, perhaps some chauvinist engineer designed this piece of junk and it would pass female voices though the audio stages--SOMETHING IS WRONG!!!

John

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.ii6px99.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On 15 Jun 2005 17:01:18 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>>In all my 43 years in engineering I've met a grand total of four woman
>>engineers, two MEs, one EE and a Chem E.
>
> In my 50 years in engineering I've =dated= more women engineers than
> you seem to have met, was engaged to one (nuclear engineer) and
> married another (EE). In my wife's office alone there are more than
> 4 =PEs= on her floor, including the chief of the structural
> engineering
> section (imagine that, a lady tower engineer). Had my wife gone
> through the paperwork as she talked about twenty years ago she, too,
> would have been a PE.
>
>>Our contesting club alone has
>>three female members, an old girlfriend is a ham and I met W3CUL. Out
>>of Lord only knows how many engineers and hams I've met over the
>>years.
>
> In our club, the largest radio club in the state if not in the
> Pacific Northwest, about 1/3 of the hams are women, and of them,
> about half are active on the air in some fashion or other.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
> Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon
>
>

3110. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 21:19:40 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118956780.453703.114670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110342

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Wed, 15 Jun 2005 21:11:41 GMT, robert casey wrote:
>
> >> Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
> >> is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
> >> isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
> >> a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
> > >
> > >That makes it a real PITA to people who are good at book
> > >learnin' and not so hot at motor skills.
> >
> > Those are the same people who get As in Chemistry but Ds in Chem
> > Lab.....

Then we have the Tau Beta Pi MEs drilling holes . .

> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

3111. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 21:23:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118956986.212175.67850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110343

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> Apparently you don't understand IQ scores...

I understand them quite well, thank you. Including what the tests try
to measure, and what they cannot measure.
>
> IQ is measured by you

your

> ability to extrapolate off

extrapolate from

> common knowledge and
> use the products of such to solve new solutions which the "test'ee"

testee

> is unfamiliar with... it is the ability of the mind to adapt to new
> situations, new conditions, new ideas and come up with new solutions...
>
> It is also pattern recognition...

That's right. And within the confines of the testing areas and methods,
the results are fairly accurate. But IQ is not the entire picture.

My point is that intelligence goes far beyond what is measured by IQ
scores. Intelligence is a vector with many variables, not a scalar.
>
> It is NOT a "religious beliefs"

belief

> in existing knowledge, it is NOT
> upholding traditions and methods for historical reasons...

It is also not changing things merely for the sake of change. It is
not a blind acceptance of "newer is better" or "ending is better
than mending". It is not a wholesale rejection of past experience
and wisdom simply because of age.
>
> One thing it is NOT is wrote

rote

> learning...

Yes, it is. The ability to learn and recall facts is part of
intelligence.
Not the whole thing, obviously, but an important part.

Then there's the role of skills, which are often undervalued but which
are a vital part of intelligence as well.

> a chimpanzee can do that...

Some insects can do pattern recognition.

--

I sense a certain level of IQ chauvinism in your reply. Looks like
you consider only certain kinds of mental processes to be worthwhile,
and the others don't count for much with you.

That's a very shortsighted view of things, John.

--

I find it interesting that you do not reply to direct questions,
and that you insist on top-posting in a newsgroup where
everyone else inserts their comments into the post they are
responding to.

Is there a reason for those behaviors?
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118939713.262833.284050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> > > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > > news:BiYre.14$cj7.643176@news.sisna.com...
> >> > >
> >> > >>... oh, I love that argument!!! Let me see if I have it
> >> > >>correctly,
> >> > >>either:
> >> > >>
> >> > >>1) Women are too stupid for the technical fields.
> >
> > That claim is incorrect.
> >
> > But it reveals something about its writer.
> >
> > Some people still believe the idea that intelligence can be
> > meaningfully measured/expressed as a single numeric quantity.
> > As if IQ scores told all.
> >
> > The fact of the matter is that there are a number of different
> > types of intelligence - at least seven different, distinct
> > kinds have been identified. A person can be a genius in one
> > intelligence area and barely functional in another.

> >
> > The phrase "are too stupid for the technical fields" reveals
> > that its author still believes the single-quantity concept.
> >
> >>
> >> Uh-Huh. You trump all of 'em in that game.
> >
> >> > > How you managed to twist Mike's words to come up with this
> >> > > interpretation is
> >> > > amazing. He neither said nor implied anything of the sort.
> >
> > Exactly.
> >
> >> > >>2) We are no worse than any other technical field about baring
> >> > >>women.
> >
> > Spelling doesn't seem to be a strong suit, though..
> >
> >> > > He said nothing about barring women from technical fields. Again
> >> > > how you
> >> > > managed to come up with this inverted interpretation is one of
> >> > > the mysteries
> >> > > of the world. Women choose not to go into technical fields for
> >> > > their own
> >> > > reasons. That includes hobby activities like ham radio.
> >>
> >> He's another Burke Dee, a male ditz/troll, he isn't worth the effort,
> >> ignore the goofball.
> >
> >> > Thank you. I work with a number of female engineers, and they seem
> >> > to
> >> > have no problem working with me. My opinion on the issue is based
> >> > on
> >> > conversations with them.
> >>
> >> I smell an oddity here. Dee is an engineer who apparently works in
> >> academia. You also work in academia and know some number of woman
> >> engineers who are also in academia. I've been out here in the
> >> commercial side for decades and per previous have had very few
> >> encounters with woman engineers. Is it possible that the woman
> >> engineers I don't see out here are operating in academia instead??
> >> Would not surprise me a bit if that's the case.
> >
> > Here's what I've observed:
> >
> > 1) Most technical fields have been predominatly male for a whole bunch
> > of reasons. That's changing but it takes a long time, because you
> > don't
> > become a senior engineer overnight.
> >

> > 2) "Technical field" covers a lot of ground. Medical technology - is
> > that
> > technical or medical?
> >
> > 3) The factors involving career choice are many and varied. Just one
> > example:
> >
> > Back in the
> > 1970s, when I was in high school, a lot of girls I knew who would have
> > excelled in the technical fields were essentially dequalified by the
> > schools they went to. The boys' high schools offered lots of math and
> > science courses at all levels, while the girls' high schools did not,
> > focusing more on languages, social studies, and related fields. The
> > division was subtle but effective - very few girls from those schools
> > went into technical fields in college, while lots of boys did.
> >
> >> > Especially one who bristles at being called a
> >> > "female" engineer. She says "Just call me an engineer, if you don't
> >> > mind!"
> >
> > Works for me.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

3112. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 21:30:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%bmse.38$_L.966807@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110345

N2EY:

I have noticed one thing, people who "DON'T have what it takes" always "try to make it something else."

Either you have it or you don't, and industry will find out, one way or another...

... one more thing, people who don't have what it takes are always more than willing to fall into an argument of just what it takes--the rest which have what it takes usually have completed the job by then...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:1118956986.212175.67850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> Apparently you don't understand IQ scores...
>
> I understand them quite well, thank you. Including what the tests try
> to measure, and what they cannot measure.
>>
>> IQ is measured by you
>
> *your*
>
>> ability to extrapolate off
>
> *extrapolate from*
>
>> common knowledge and
>> use the products of such to solve new solutions which the "test'ee"
>
> *testee*
>
>> is unfamiliar with... it is the ability of the mind to adapt to new
>> situations, new conditions, new ideas and come up with new
>> solutions...
>>
>> It is also pattern recognition...
>
> That's right. And within the confines of the testing areas and

> methods,
> the results are fairly accurate. But IQ is not the entire picture.
>
> My point is that intelligence goes far beyond what is measured by IQ
> scores. Intelligence is a vector with many variables, not a scalar.
>>
>> It is NOT a "religious beliefs"
>
> *belief*
>
>> in existing knowledge, it is NOT
>> upholding traditions and methods for historical reasons...
>
> It is also not changing things merely for the sake of change. It is
> not a blind acceptance of "newer is better" or "ending is better
> than mending". It is not a wholesale rejection of past experience
> and wisdom simply because of age.
>>
>> One thing it is NOT is wrote
>
> *rote*
>
>> learning...
>
> Yes, it is. The ability to learn and recall facts is part of
> intelligence.
> Not the whole thing, obviously, but an important part.
>
> Then there's the role of skills, which are often undervalued but which
> are a vital part of intelligence as well.
>
>> a chimpanzee can do that...
>
> Some insects can do pattern recognition.
>
> --
>
> I sense a certain level of IQ chauvinism in your reply. Looks like
> you consider only certain kinds of mental processes to be worthwhile,
> and the others don't count for much with you.
>
> That's a very shortsighted view of things, John.
>
> --
>
> I find it interesting that you do not reply to direct questions,
> and that you insist on top-posting in a newsgroup where
> everyone else inserts their comments into the post they are
> responding to.
>

> Is there a reason for those behaviors?
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1118939713.262833.284050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> >> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> > > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> > > news:BiYre.14$cj7.643176@news.sisna.com...
>> >> > >
>> >> > >>... oh, I love that argument!!! Let me see if I have it
>> >> > >>correctly,
>> >> > >>either:
>> >> > >>
>> >> > >>1) Women are too stupid for the technical fields.
>> >
>> > That claim is incorrect.
>> >
>> > But it reveals something about its writer.
>> >
>> > Some people still believe the idea that intelligence can be
>> > meaningfully measured/expressed as a single numeric quantity.
>> > As if IQ scores told all.
>> >
>> > The fact of the matter is that there are a number of different
>> > types of intelligence - at least seven different, distinct
>> > kinds have been identified. A person can be a genius in one
>> > intelligence area and barely functional in another.
>> >
>> > The phrase "are too stupid for the technical fields" reveals
>> > that its author still believes the single-quantity concept.
>> >
>>
>> >> Uh-Huh. You trump all of 'em in that game.
>> >
>> >> > > How you managed to twist Mike's words to come up with this
>> >> > > interpretation is
>> >> > > amazing. He neither said nor implied anything of the sort.
>> >
>> > Exactly.
>> >
>> >> > >>2) We are no worse than any other technical field about baring
>> >> > >>women.
>> >
>> > Spelling doesn't seem to be a strong suit, though..
>> >
>> >> > > He said nothing about barring women from technical fields.
>> >> > > Again
>> >> > > how you
>> >> > > managed to come up with this inverted interpretation is one of

>> >> > > the mysteries
>> >> > > of the world. Women choose not to go into technical fields
>> >> > > for
>> >> > > their own
>> >> > > reasons. That includes hobby activities like ham radio.
>> >>
>> >> He's another Burke Dee, a male ditz/troll, he isn't worth the
>> >> effort,
>> >> ignore the goofball.
>> >
>> >> > Thank you. I work with a number of female engineers, and they
>> >> > seem
>> >> > to
>> >> > have no problem working with me. My opinion on the issue is
>> >> > based
>> >> > on
>> >> > conversations with them.
>> >>
>> >> I smell an oddity here. Dee is an engineer who apparently works in
>> >> academia. You also work in academia and know some number of woman
>> >> engineers who are also in academia. I've been out here in the
>> >> commercial side for decades and per previous have had very few
>> >> encounters with woman engineers. Is it possible that the woman
>> >> engineers I don't see out here are operating in academia instead??
>> >> Would not surprise me a bit if that's the case.
>> >
>> > Here's what I've observed:
>> >
>> > 1) Most technical fields have been predominatly male for a whole
>> > bunch
>> > of reasons. That's changing but it takes a long time, because you
>> > don't
>> > become a senior engineer overnight.
>> >
>> > 2) "Technical field" covers a lot of ground. Medical technology -
>> > is
>> > that
>> > technical or medical?
>> >
>> > 3) The factors involving career choice are many and varied. Just
>> > one
>> > example:
>> >
>> > Back in the
>> > 1970s, when I was in high school, a lot of girls I knew who would
>> > have
>> > excelled in the technical fields were essentially dequalified by
>> > the
>> > schools they went to. The boys' high schools offered lots of math
>> > and

>> > science courses at all levels, while the girls' high schools did
>> > not,
>> > focusing more on languages, social studies, and related fields. The
>> > division was subtle but effective - very few girls from those
>> > schools
>> > went into technical fields in college, while lots of boys did.
>> >
>> >> > Especially one who bristles at being called a
>> >> > "female" engineer. She says "Just call me an engineer, if you
>> >> > don't
>> >> > mind!"
>> >
>> > Works for me.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>

3113. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 21:30:48 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118957448.062694.238450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110344

These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
by individuals on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of June 15, 2005:

Novice - 28,271 (decrease of 21,058)
Technician - 269,048 (increase of 63,654)
Technician Plus - 48,743 (decrease of 80,117)
General - 136,560 (increase of 23,883)
Advanced - 76,000 (decrease of 23,782)
Extra - 106,793 (increase of 28,043)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 317,891 (decrease of 16,363)

Total all classes - 665,415 (decrease of 9,377)

Note that these totals do not include licenses that
have expired but are in the grace period. They also
do not include club, military, RACES or other
station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new Novice, Technician Plus or
Advanced licenses are no longer issued, and that all existing
Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3114. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 21:47:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118958476.031586.15160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110346

John Smith wrote:
> N2EY:
>
> I mean you have convinced me you are hopeless...

Actually I'm *hopeful*, John. I simply don't agree with you
about some things that you take for granted as facts.

You really don't seem to be open to change...

> you don't see a problem... you are fine with the numbers...

Those claims are incorrect. I do see a problem with declining
numbers of US hams. I just don't blindly accept your one-step
solution, that's all.

> I don't think you give a
> damn if we ever get enough young hams in here to make it interesting...

That claim is incorrect in at least two ways.

First off, I would like to see more hams - of all ages, and from all
walks of life. But not at the cost of trashing the amateur radio
service in the blind pursuit of numbers.

Second, I think hams of all ages can be "interesting". I don't have an
age bias against young or old, male or female.

> frankly I just don't think you give a damn about anything but status
> quo...

That claim is incorrect also.

> you have convinced me you are stone set in your ways and think
> everything is fine... go for it... we will see as time passes...

We have seen that reducing the license test requirements in 2000
led to a short-term peak in the number of US hams, followed by a
decline
to levels lower than before the restructuring.

But we're only talking a few percent.

> the bands are boring

To you. Not to everyone. Perhaps you could tell us how to
make them more interesting?

>and that is the way you like 'em--

That claim is incorrect.

I have interesting QSOs every time I'm on the ham bands. Of course
I'm rarely using 'phone - I'm usually on Morse Code (CW). Last night 40
meters was full of Morse Code signals from 7000 to 7060 or so. Some
interesting conversations. I fired up my Elecraft K2 at the 5 watt
level
and had a nice chat with a ham in Indiana.

> have at it... I got
> the internet to chat on while I wait...

To each his own.

>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118917045.191252.97720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> N2EY:
> >>
> >> One point you got right, ham radio HAS TO compete with a
> >> superior form of communication--the internet!
> >
> > For some uses the internet is better than ham radio. For other
> > uses ham radio is better. The competition is for time only.
> >
> >> And, the only way it can is drop the code...
> >
> > Do you mean hams should stop using Morse Code, or that there
> > should not be a Morse Code *test*?
> >
> > In either case, how will that help ham radio compete for
> > time?
> >
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1118872930.444019.75710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Charles Brabham wrote:
> >> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:0oednXv9MZT9kC3fRVn-jg@comcast.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> > news:QqKre.203$nC4.14725234@news.sisna.com...
> >> >> >> N2EY:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I have asked the young men why they have no interest in getting
> >> >> >> a
> >> >> >> ham
> >> >> >> license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no code
> >> >> >> license--and the fact they are not interested in learning code
> >> >> >> to
> >> >> >> chat

> >> >> >> with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much easier
> >> >> >> and
> >> >> >> reliably over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat, etc...
> >> >> >> that is
> >> >> >> REAL. THAT is dropping the numbers of hams and putting bands
> >> >> >> in
> >> >> >> danger
> >> >> >> of being lost... that is holding our numbers at such low
> >> >> >> levels
> >> >> >> the FCC
> >> >> >> begins to find us more a bother than anything else...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> > This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all
> >> >> > time
> >> >> > high.
> >> >
> >> > Yes - both in total numbers of US hams, and the ratio of hams to
> >> > the
> >> > total US population.
> >> >
> >> > In recent years the totals have begun to go down, and the
> >> > percentage
> >> > of
> >> > the
> >> > population as well. Reducing the license test requirements in 2000
> >> > has
> >> > not brought growth, yet some folks insist that more and more
> >> > reductions
> >> > in test requirements are the only solution.
> >> >>
> >> >> It's just another one of those guys who thinks that Ham Radio is
> >> >> only
> >> >> relevant in as much as it resembles the Internet. - In other
> >> >> words,
> >> >> the guy
> >> >> has no clue about the hobby but wants to run it down because it is
> >> >> not the
> >> >> Internet.
> >> >
> >> > BINGO!
> >> >
> >> > Yet it is exactly the fact that amateur radio *is not* the internet
> >> > that is
> >> > the draw!
> >> >>
> >> >> I use this attitude as a handy intelligence test for amateurs...
> >> >> If
> >> >> they
> >> >> cannot differentiate between Amateur Radio and the Internet, or if

> >> >> they
> >> >> cannot see why there should and would be differences between the
> >> >> two - then
> >> >> I know that they are brain-damaged (or even worse) - associated
> >> >> with TAPR
> >> >> or the ARRL's HSMM group.
> >> >>
> >> >> In any case the attitude indicates a clueless state in relation to
> >> >> the
> >> >> amateur radio hobby.
> >> >
> >> > Makes sense to me!
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >>
> >> >> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> >> >>
> >> >> Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
> >> >> Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
> >> >> Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
> >> >> Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php
> >> >
> >

3115. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 21:54:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118958878.970751.285300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110347

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Phil Kane wrote:
> > On Wed, 15 Jun 2005 21:11:41 GMT, robert casey wrote:

Actually, I wrote the following paragraph:
> >
> > >> Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
> > >> is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
> > >> isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
> > >> a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
> > >
> > >That makes it a real PITA to people who are good at book
> > >learnin' and not so hot at motor skills.
> >
> > Those are the same people who get As in Chemistry but Ds in Chem
> > Lab.....

Yup.
>
> Then we have the Tau Beta Pi MEs drilling holes . .

My frat was I Phelta Thi....

73 de Jim, N2EY

3116. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 22:08:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <lLmse.42$k31.996413@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110348

N2EY:

That is ok, I'll just hang around looking for new and exciting
discoveries and ideas to start being discussed.

I know it is going to start any minute now... we have pretty well beaten
to death old the old stuff which has been beat for decades... that can't
go on forever...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118958476.031586.15160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> N2EY:
>>
>> I mean you have convinced me you are hopeless...
>
> Actually I'm *hopeful*, John. I simply don't agree with you
> about some things that you take for granted as facts.
>
> You really don't seem to be open to change...
>
>> you don't see a problem... you are fine with the numbers...
>
> Those claims are incorrect. I do see a problem with declining
> numbers of US hams. I just don't blindly accept your one-step
> solution, that's all.
>
>> I don't think you give a
>> damn if we ever get enough young hams in here to make it
>> interesting...
>
> That claim is incorrect in at least two ways.
>
> First off, I would like to see more hams - of all ages, and from all
> walks of life. But not at the cost of trashing the amateur radio
> service in the blind pursuit of numbers.
>
> Second, I think hams of all ages can be "interesting". I don't have an
> age bias against young or old, male or female.
>

>> frankly I just don't think you give a damn about anything but status
>> quo...
>
> That claim is incorrect also.
>
>> you have convinced me you are stone set in your ways and think
>> everything is fine... go for it... we will see as time passes...
>
> We have seen that reducing the license test requirements in 2000
> led to a short-term peak in the number of US hams, followed by a
> decline
> to levels lower than before the restructuring.
>
> But we're only talking a few percent.
>
>> the bands are boring
>
> To you. Not to everyone. Perhaps you could tell us how to
> make them more interesting?
>
>>and that is the way you like 'em--
>
> That claim is incorrect.
>
> I have interesting QSOs every time I'm on the ham bands. Of course
> I'm rarely using 'phone - I'm usually on Morse Code (CW). Last night
> 40
> meters was full of Morse Code signals from 7000 to 7060 or so. Some
> interesting conversations. I fired up my Elecraft K2 at the 5 watt
> level
> and had a nice chat with a ham in Indiana.
>
>> have at it... I got
>> the internet to chat on while I wait...
>
> To each his own.
>
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1118917045.191252.97720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> N2EY:
>> >>
>> >> One point you got right, ham radio HAS TO compete with a
>> >> superior form of communication--the internet!
>> >
>> > For some uses the internet is better than ham radio. For other
>> > uses ham radio is better. The competition is for time only.
>> >
>> >> And, the only way it can is drop the code...

>> >
>> > Do you mean hams should stop using Morse Code, or that there
>> > should not be a Morse Code *test*?
>> >
>> > In either case, how will that help ham radio compete for
>> > time?
>> >
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1118872930.444019.75710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Charles Brabham wrote:
>> >> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:0oednXv9MZT9kC3fRVn-jg@comcast.com...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> > news:QqKre.203$nC4.14725234@news.sisna.com...
>> >> >> >> N2EY:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> I have asked the young men why they have no interest in
>> >> >> >> getting
>> >> >> >> a
>> >> >> >> ham
>> >> >> >> license, it is because of the limitations placed on the no
>> >> >> >> code
>> >> >> >> license--and the fact they are not interested in learning
>> >> >> >> code
>> >> >> >> to
>> >> >> >> chat
>> >> >> >> with someone DX--a task which can be accomplished much
>> >> >> >> easier
>> >> >> >> and
>> >> >> >> reliably over the net with instant messaging, IRC chat,
>> >> >> >> etc...
>> >> >> >> that is
>> >> >> >> REAL. THAT is dropping the numbers of hams and putting
>> >> >> >> bands
>> >> >> >> in
>> >> >> >> danger
>> >> >> >> of being lost... that is holding our numbers at such low
>> >> >> >> levels
>> >> >> >> the FCC
>> >> >> >> begins to find us more a bother than anything else...
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > This does NOT make sense when our numbers are near their all
>> >> >> > time
>> >> >> > high.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Yes - both in total numbers of US hams, and the ratio of hams to
>> >> >> the
>> >> >> total US population.

>> >> >
>> >> > In recent years the totals have begun to go down, and the
>> >> > percentage
>> >> > of
>> >> > the
>> >> > population as well. Reducing the license test requirements in
>> >> > 2000
>> >> > has
>> >> > not brought growth, yet some folks insist that more and more
>> >> > reductions
>> >> > in test requirements are the only solution.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> It's just another one of those guys who thinks that Ham Radio
>> >> >> is
>> >> >> only
>> >> >> relevant in as much as it resembles the Internet. - In other
>> >> >> words,
>> >> >> the guy
>> >> >> has no clue about the hobby but wants to run it down because it
>> >> >> is
>> >> >> not the
>> >> >> Internet.
>> >> >
>> >> > BINGO!
>> >> >
>> >> > Yet it is exactly the fact that amateur radio *is not* the
>> >> > internet
>> >> > that is
>> >> > the draw!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I use this attitude as a handy intelligence test for
>> >> >> amateurs...
>> >> >> If
>> >> >> they
>> >> >> cannot differentiate between Amateur Radio and the Internet, or
>> >> >> if
>> >> >> they
>> >> >> cannot see why there should and would be differences between
>> >> >> the
>> >> >> two - then
>> >> >> I know that they are brain-damaged (or even worse) -
>> >> >> associated
>> >> >> with TAPR
>> >> >> or the ARRL's HSMM group.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> In any case the attitude indicates a clueless state in relation
>> >> >> to
>> >> >> the
>> >> >> amateur radio hobby.
>> >> >

>> >> > Makes sense to me!
>> >> >
>> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
>> >> >> Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
>> >> >> Webmaster: HamPoll.Com http://www.hampoll.com
>> >> >> Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php
>> >> >
>> >
>

3117. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 22:10:24 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <ff4ec8402c0a3bb6f76455a996930f81@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 110349

In article <RMqdndTX74j2Oi3fRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer kiddie diddler <m...@this.ten> wrote:
>
> George Orwell wrote:
>
> >
> > Poor Cmd Butt Cornholer, has to register every time he moves
> > since he is a convicted sex offender.
> >
> >
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!
>
> Ok I did it.

We know you did, kiddie raper Corey.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!

There is no proof Roger had to retest because of interference.
And those ARRL news releases you like to cite as "proof" isn't
proof, dumbfucking kiddie diddler Corey.

But the letter is proof that the FCC can make somebody retest if
they initially tested before a VE.
Good thing for you that you took your Conditional and obsolete
Advanced before an FCC examiner, you wouldn't be in ham radio
today if you had to retest.
From his "letter" as posted by you on numerous occasions to
rec.radio.amateur.misc:

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission’s
Rules, 47 C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the
authority to re-administer any examination element previously
administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE’s). The Commission
may either administer the examination itself, or under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
designated by the Commission.

Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class
Amateur Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the
supervision of an American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner
Coordinator. This examination must be completed on or before
September 11, 2000. The required code speed that you must
demonstrate is 5 words per minute.

3118. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 22:18:43 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jsWdnebZz9N1ZyzfRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 110450

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118890722.770733.116700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dee:
>>

[snip]

>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:zMOdnUggt9HLIy3fRVn-gg@comcast.com...
>> >
>> > "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> > news:Me6dnazJ16c7Ky3fRVn-1g@adelphia.com...
>> >

[snip]

>
> So what you really needed was exposure - publicity - examples - demos.
>
> Were you attracted to amateur radio because it was like the internet,
> or because it was something very different?

Yes I needed to know what it was all about before developing an interest in radio for it's own sake. The Novice/Tech class I took along with my husband at the time filled that role.

Once I had some basic knowledge about ham radio, I was attracted by the fact that it was NOT like the internet. What attracted me was that I could talk around the world with NO INFRASTRUCTURE. That communications was totally dependent on me and my skills and my knowledge of propagation once I had the basic radio and antenna. Here I, just an average citizen, could put a radio signal around the world and even beyond if I wanted to pursue it.

If it had been like the internet, I'd probably have lost interest.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3119. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 22:23:27 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ApednbNITPiZYSzfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 110452

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118921837.800969.310840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 14 Jun 2005 14:39
>>
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun 12 Jun 2005 18:10
>> >>
>> >> >"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:1118584479.670520.325030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >

[snip]

>
> The level of skill in Morse Code required to pass Element 1
> is about equal to the artistic skill required to do simple
> pencil drawings, not "great art".
>

Actually about equal to drawing the stick figures that children create.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3120. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 22:23:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%Zmse.46$503.1085567@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110451

Dee:

Down load Mirc (it is free) if you have a windows machine (if apple there are other programs)and learn to IRC... you will find you have been missing a lot..

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:jsWdnebZz9N1ZyzfRVn-og@comcast.com...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118890722.770733.116700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> Dee:
>>>
>
> [snip]
>
>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>> news:zMOdnUggt9HLIy3fRVn-gg@comcast.com...
>>> >
>>> > "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>> > news:Me6dnazJ16c7Ky3fRVn-1g@adelphia.com...
>>> >
>
> [snip]
>
>>
>> So what you really needed was exposure - publicity - examples -
>> demos.
>>
>> Were you attracted to amateur radio because it was like the internet,
>> or because it was something very different?
>
> Yes I needed to know what it was all about before developing an
> interest in radio for it's own sake. The Novice/Tech class I took
> along with my husband at the time filled that role.
>
> Once I had some basic knowledge about ham radio, I was attracted by
> the fact that it was NOT like the internet. What attracted me was
> that I could talk around the world with NO INFRASTRUCTURE. That
> communications was totally dependent on me and my skills and my
> knowledge of propagation once I had the basic radio and antenna. Here
> I, just an average citizen, could put a radio signal around the world

> and even beyond if I wanted to pursue it.
>
> If it had been like the internet, I'd probably have lost interest.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3121. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 22:27:07 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118960827.783258.256240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110453

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > >>bb wrote:
> > >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> > >>Now lets talk about antennas. It isn't likely that we will
> > >>have single
> > >>antennas at any station, save for the resurrection of the old
> > >>general
> > >>purpose dipole fed with ladder line, run through a tuner.
>
> Or a random wire fed against ground.

Now there's a heavier than air antenna.

> > >>That's one
> > >>that olde tyme hammes will recognize! I suppose the Steppir
> > >>antennas
> > >>could work if you have enough coin. The method proposed by
> > >>Jim will not
> > >>accommodate the tricks we use now to provide an acceptable
> > >>match as the
> > >>major HF bands will not be harmonically related.
>
> ???
>
> There are solutions to both the rig and antenna problems. But
> they aren't as easy as some would have us believe. More important,
> unless a considerable number of hams equip themselves to use the
> new bands, they aren't much help.

The American Red Cross and County EMA agencies have funded equipment
upgrades in the past. Do you think they'll stop if we get more bands?

> And does propagation varies that much between most of the bands we have
> now? I don't think so.

Then what was your purpose in putting up such a strawman?

And all agencies that rely upon HF communications would be pleased as
punch to have bands that are separated by 5 megs?

> It was argued that the difference in propagation between 80 and 40
> could be great enough that access to a band near 60 was needed.

> And we almost got a full band there, except that NTIA reversed its
> support after 9/11.
>
> But the jump from 80 to 40 is a doubling of frequency. From 40 to 20 is
> a doubling also, but we have 30 meters in between. Would a band at,
> say, 8.5 MHz be that much different from 7 and 10 MHz?
> Or would we be better off with more worldwide-exclusive-amateur-kHz on
> 40 and 30?

Lots of questions.

Before you start in on your "what if's," what is your intended purpose?

Purpose should direct repsonse.

> > > Trap dipoles don't have to be on bands that are harmonically > > related.
>
> I've built and used trap dipoles. The more bands you add, the more
> complex the adjustment procedure becomes.
>
> Does anyone else here have experience building trap dipoles from
> scratch?

Cushcraft handles it nicely by having a trapped antenna for the
20/15/10 meter bands, and another for the 17/12 meter bands. I think
each antenna has an optional trap for 40 and 30M.

The random wire antenna you suggested is doable, and the low dipole is
a good NVIS performer.

> > Perhaps we should go back to what Jim posted with his original question:
> >
> >
> > Jim's quote
> > *> Right now we have 9 HF/MF bands, plus some spot frequencies in
> > *> the "60 meter" region.
> > *>
> > *> Suppose that at some point we hams had the choice of either:
> > *>
> > *> 1) New, very narrow bands elsewhere in the HF/MF spectrum (say, 2.5 to
> > *> 2.6 MHz, 6.0 to 6.1 MHz, etc..
> > *>
> > *> or
> > *>
> > *> 2) Widening of existing bands and/or change to worldwide amateur. Such
> > *> as 7.0-7.4 becomes worldwide exclusive amateur, 10.1 to 10.2 does the
> > *> same, 14.0 to 14.4 (which the band used to be), etc.
> > *>
> > *> Which would be preferable, if we wound up with the same number of kHz
> > *> overall?
> >
> > End Jim's quote.

> >
> >
> > Under Jim's scenario, we would be adding several bands.
>
> Under one of my scenarios, anyway.
>
> > That trap dipole would be interesting indeed! Likely mostly traps.
> > Maybe I'll try to design one. Jim will have to give me the specific
> > frequencies that will be added in his scenario.
>
> Let's say the following additions/changes were made:
>
> A new band 50 kHz wide at 2.7 MHz
> A new band 50 kHz wide at 8.6 MHz
> A new band 50 kHz wide at 11.9 MHz
> A new band 50 kHz wide at 16.0 MHz
> A new band 50 kHz wide at 19.6 MHz
> A new band 50 kHz wide at 23.2 MHz
> A new band 50 kHz wide at 26.4 MHz
>
> or
>
> 5.1 to 5.2 MHz instead of 5 channels
> 7.0 to 7.3 worldwide exclusive amatuer
> 10.1 to 10.2 worldwide exclusive amateur
> 18.05 to 18.2 worldwide exclusive amateur

Fine. Why do we need any of those bands? State your purpose and we'll
consider suitability.

> > And trsp dipoles are not a very universal answer. In my
> > situation I
> > would have to make a loaded trapped dipole for 80 meters and to cover
> > other bands. Now *that* would be a hoot! And quite heavy.
> >
> Some folks can't even get a random wire up and radiating HF RF....

Usually radiates via the mic/key cable.

3122. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 22:27:51 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wvCdnebOQMWRYCzfRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 110454

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118930931.794856.175140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

[snip]
>
> Im convinced that events in the future will prove us right. Today we
> have a "bloat the numbers at any cost" game which is doomed to backfire
> eventually. The big question is how badly it will backfire and how much
> damage will have been be done before it happens. The history of this
> country over last couple decades is chock full of eamples of backing
> away from failed giveaways. It's only a matter of time until ham radio
> gets it's turn.
>

The "bloat the numbers at any cost" will backfire for the simple reason that
too many with no real interest will get in and then drop out. Then we will
see once again a decline in numbers that will panic people just as the
relatively small drop off from the peak is panicing people now.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3123. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 22:31:43 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3pOdnaE34NJoYCzfRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 110455

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118933552.866404.144600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:BiYre.14$cj7.643176@news.sisna.com...
>> >
>> >>... oh, I love that argument!!! Let me see if I have it correctly,
>> >>either:
>> >>
>> >>1) Women are too stupid for the technical fields.
>>
> Uh-Huh. You trump all of 'em in that game.
>
>> > How you managed to twist Mike's words to come up with this
>> > interpretation is
>> > amazing. He neither said nor implied anything of the sort.
>>
>> >>2) We are no worse than any other technical field about baring women.
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> > He said nothing about barring women from technical fields. Again how
>> > you
>> > managed to come up with this inverted interpretation is one of the
>> > mysteries
>> > of the world. Women choose not to go into technical fields for their
>> > own
>> > reasons. That includes hobby activities like ham radio.
>>
> He's another Burke Dee, a male ditz/troll, he isn't worth the effort,
> ignore the goofball.
>
>
>> Thank you. I work with a number of female engineers, and they seem to
>> have no problem working with me. My opinion on the issue is based on
>> conversations with them.
>
> I smell an oddity here. Dee is an engineer who apparently works in
> academia. You also work in academia and know some number of woman
> engineers who are also in academia. I've been out here in the
> commercial side for decades and per previous have had very few
> encounters with woman engineers. Is it possible that the woman

> engineers I don't see out here are operating in academia instead??
> Would not surprise me a bit if that's the case.
>

I work out in the trenches of the automotive industry and stated that I did NOT see very many female engineers but that I see more female hams than female engineers.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3124. Re: Nursie whines after his lies are exposed...No Guts...Nothing Unexpected...Nothing new!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 22:41:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1118961664.348845.66720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110456

lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > We've not heard a clear and definitive statement from you to
> > > > Lennie on his vile parody of the Tomb of the Unknowns.
> > > >
> > > > No cajones to take a stand? Or do you "admire" Lennie for this
> > > > too...???
> > >
> > > Had you read my previous comments, you wouldn't be making such absurd
> > > statements. Or maybe you would anyway.
> >
> > Still no CLEAR, definitive statement by you.
> >
> > Lot's of "...well, didn't you tell him?.."
> >
> > And YOU ahve the temerity to call ME "dodge".
>
> And you "have" the gall to criticize anybody else, dodging Nursie?
>
> >
> > Thanks for the proof of your cowardice, Brain...Nothing I didn't
> > expect.
>
>
> Thanks for the proof you are a fucking illiterate fat fuck, Nursie, but
> maybe your girdle is really too tight for you and causes you to
> misspell "have."

Civil discourse with Steven J. Robeson K4YZ is not possible if you have
an opposing opinion. His opinion is right. Your opinion is wrong.
Amen.

3125. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 22:47:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118962079.195003.274280@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110457

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > Michael Coslo wrote:

> > > . . . The ham was Gene Reynolds W3EAN
> > > who went out of his way to answer my unending stream of questions that
> > > night. I probably drove him nuts but I think he enjoyed it. There was
> > > no turning back after that night, I was gonna become a ham.
> > >
> > I enjoyed the story, Brian.
> >
> I've enjoyed the whole trip Michael.

Me too!
>
> > But I gotta break in here. What you have
> > described is the real reason that people become hams. You were bitten by
> > the bug, and it sounds like no one was going to stop you from becoming one.
> >
> Yessir that's about right certainly in my case.

I think that's true in most cases for hams who stick around.
>
> > I too was hooked early in life, although it took a long time to finally
> > get my ticket. I'm just P****d that I didn't get it years earlier.
> >
> Sorry about the previous rant but once in awhile somebody around here
> bumps my babble button and there I go again . . You bumped the bloomin'
> button again Coslo. Rant Mode = ON
>
> I didn't exactly leap toward the FCC office to take the test either,
> far from it. One problem being that I had a number of other interests
> too like photography, Boy Scouts, model railroading and GIRLS. They all
> absobred my time and what little money I could scrounge via paper
> routes and such.

I think that a key time to get kids interested is in middle school and
even elementary school.

> While my folks cheerfully funded Scouting they did not fund any of my
> other hot buttons. Probably because they knew I'd drive them broke if
> they did. They did encourage my pursuit of ham radio though, I guess
> they thought it had educatinal value and it kept me off the streets and
> outta trouble. The latter didn't work very well though.

My folks didn't fund anything in the way of "optional interests" - if
we kids were interested, we could figure out how to fund the activity,
and/or do it
on the cheap. Which some of us proceeded to turn into an art form.

> I never had an Elmer, I had no idea how to connect with a ham club when
> I was 10-12 so I scrounged books and magazines about ham radio and
> tuned the bands with my junk radios. When I finally got to high school
> I found a bunch of hams and and "the rest is history". Took me about
> five years to go from my encounter with W3EAN to passing the Novice
> test and getting on the air with it.

Similar story at my end, except my Elmers were books. I was 90% of the
way to
a Novice when I finally got up the gumption to approach a local ham,
who I located by his antennas.

Now there's a bit of publicity that is being lost in ham radio today.
Time
was, everybody knew where the hams in the neighborhood lived, because
you
couldn't mistake the antennas for anything else.

> Which was in a much different regime than we have today. The Novice
> license was a stick and carrot ticket with the emphasis on the stick.
> We had 365 days from the date the license was issued to upgrade to a
> 13WPM General or get booted out of ham radio.

Or you could get a Technician ticket - but that had no HF and the
written
was the same as General.

> Of the dozens of local
> Novices I knew I don't recall of any who failed to upgrade or bitched
> about the code tests.

Me neither. In my time the Novice was 2 years but the same one-shot
no renewal no second chance ticket.

Biggest cause of dropouts in those days was lack of gear.

> I think I'm very typical of the kids who got into the hobby back then
> and there were great heaps of us. The adults who took up ham radio back
> then were a different story, they had the money and they had control of
> their lives which us kids did not have. Net result today is that us
> kids from back then are obviously the grouchy old farts of today and
> almost universally have disdain to one degree or another for the
> current state of affairs in the giveaway requirements for licensing.

The newcomers didn't make the rules, so I see no point in being ticked
off at *them*.
>
> It's not that we're mentally frozen in time at all, that's 100% BS.

Yep.

> It's because we've been there and done it all and we know what works
> and what does not given the fact that except for the current licensing
> nonsense ham radio hasn't changed nearly as much as many would try to
> have us believe. Fuhgeddit, we see right thru it.

Heck, take a look at the "Rotten Radio" stories by T.O.M. With a few
changes for the techological differences the same stories apply today.

> Im convinced that events in the future will prove us right. Today we
> have a "bloat the numbers at any cost" game which is doomed to backfire
> eventually. The big question is how badly it will backfire and how much
> damage will have been be done before it happens. The history of this
> country over last couple decades is chock full of eamples of backing
> away from failed giveaways. It's only a matter of time until ham radio
> gets it's turn.

We're seeing it already. The restructuring of 2000 reduced both the
code
and written test requirements. Net result was a short-term peak in
numbers followed by a drop to below where we were in 2000 or even 1997.

Maybe FCC sees that - they could have dropped Element 1 back in 2003,
or any
time since, but they haven't seen fit to do so.

> Whew: Got that one out of my system too. Thanks Mike.
>
> > The idea of "recruiting" people into the ARS is likely never going to
> > work - at least as far as snagging people that are thinking about a
> > hobby, but don't know what to pick up.
>
> I agree right down the line. You can't "recruit" anybody into a hobby
> unless some kernel of interest already exists in the mind of the
> "target" and even then it's a dicey proposition in most cases. It's
> like trying to herd cats, doesn't work. The best we can do is toss out
> PR to raise the awareness of ham radio and let the chips fall where
> they might. The League is in the right direction in this respect.

Yup. It has always been that way, because it's a specialized
attraction.
>
> > If you wanna be a Ham - you *know* it.

Only if you know what ham radio is. That's the problem in a nutshell.

> Yupper but how one gets there varies hugely to the point where all
> 670,000 of us have probably taken 300,000 different routes. Compare the
> way Dee got into the hobby vs. my route. How different can they get?!

Bingo.

> > A local oldster was inquiring as to when his license expired, because
> > he couldn't find his F.C.C. Wallpaper. We help him figure it out. We
> > need to keep the geezers on the air. I love talking to them. I hope

> > someone is looking out for me when I'm 91!
>
> They're all treasures we have a responsibilty to protect. Often from
> themselves. Heh.
>
Yep.

Is 'CNP online or should I use regular mail?

73 de Jim, N2EY
> w3rv

3126. Re: K1MAN The crap has hit the fan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 22:56:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Fsnse.6390$pa3.1753@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110458

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:LO2dnYWM3PCkLi3fRVn-jg@comcast.com...
>
> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:I31se.4813$VK4.1527@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>> Condensed version:
>>>
>>> This $21,000 fine is for the apparent transmission of willful and
>>> repeated
>>> interference;
>>> willful and repeated communications in which he had a pecuniary
>>> interest;
>>> willful and repeated failure to file requested information pursuant to
>>> an
>>> Enforcement Bureau directive; willful broadcasting; and willful failure
>>> to
>>> exercise control of his station.
>>>
>>> Full Text:
>>>
>>> Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> In the Matter of
>>> File No. EB-04-BS-111
>>> Glenn A. Baxter NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
>>> RR 1 Box 776 FRN 0013164975
>>> Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918
>>>
>>> Licensee of Amateur Radio
>>> Station K1MAN
>>>
>>>
>>
>> It's real. See
>> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-259301A1.html
>>
>> I wouldn't have thought that mentioning your web site that
>> happens to have business matter in it on the air if you
>> didn't mention about the business on the air to be a
>> violation. But the other stuff is clearly violations.
```

>
> It's how you mention it. Basically he specifically mentioned going to his
> web site to buy things on which he made a profit. If he had simply said
> "see the full text of the article on" say a recent FCC ruling that
> affected ham radio, it would not have been a problem even if he had an ad
> right next to the article.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
The blapster (MAN) has been trying for decades to drag the FCC into Federal
Court. He probably did all of that with that goal in mind.

I don't and never have thought Glen to be stupid. Just crazy.

Dan/W4NTI

3127. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-16 23:53:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <lvSdnTXjDO9BjS_fRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110459

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:BiYre.14$cj7.643176@news.sisna.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>... oh, I love that argument!!! Let me see if I have it correctly,
>>>>either:
>>>>
>>>>1) Women are too stupid for the technical fields.
>
>
> Uh-Huh. You trump all of 'em in that game.
>
>
>>>How you managed to twist Mike's words to come up with this interpretation is
>>>amazing. He neither said nor implied anything of the sort.
>
>
>>>>2) We are no worse than any other technical field about baring women.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>He said nothing about barring women from technical fields. Again how you
>>>managed to come up with this inverted interpretation is one of the mysteries
>>>of the world. Women choose not to go into technical fields for their own
>>>reasons. That includes hobby activities like ham radio.
>
>
> He's another Burke Dee, a male ditz/troll, he isn't worth the effort,
> ignore the goofball.
>
>
>
>> Thank you. I work with a number of female engineers, and they seem to
>>have no problem working with me. My opinion on the issue is based on
>>conversations with them.
>
>
> I smell an oddity here. Dee is an engineer who apparently works in
> academia. You also work in academia and know some number of woman

> engineers who are also in academia. I've been out here in the
> commercial side for decades and per previous have had very few
> encounters with woman engineers. Is it possible that the woman
> engineers I don't see out here are operating in academia instead??
> Would not surprise me a bit if that's the case.

I work with 5 or so regularly.

I think you are probably correct regarding their increased presence in
academia, compared to industry.

My thoughts on why that is so are based on two ideas. First is that the
academic world is sensitive to gender issues, due to groups that bust on
them if they are not. This leads to the second reason. That is that
until there are a lot more women graduating in the engineering fields,
the academic world will scap them up pretty quickly.

Some have noted that women tend to think differently than men, and may
not want to go into the engineering fields as a result of that
difference. (note that this is as a trend- not as the circumstances
regarding any one woman) I am not sure if the differences are
instinctual, or culture based. Time will tell. And it will probably be
quite a long time.

>
>> Especially one who bristles at being called a
>>"female" engineer. She says "Just call me an engineer, if you don't mind!"

>
> Oh crap . . been there, done that . . my middle daughter was an
> over-the-edge NOW street warrior in her college days back when the
> battle over abortions rights was in full bloom. I can't tell you how
> much I enjoyed watching her in action on the six PM news. TWICE.
>
> Of course she had "problems" with this male chauvinist pig. Finally got
> down to me suggesting that instead of differentiating by the man/woman
> thing we differtiate by using "X-Chromosone people" and "Y-Chromosone
> people" instead. Only got me about ten seconds of peace before she
> recovered and got all over me again.

Yoiks!

In fairness to the particular engineer, she is not particularly
obnoxious. Mostly just wants to get her work done.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3128. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 00:07:16 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <GYudnaJi47mpiS_fRVn-oA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110460

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 15 Jun 2005 17:01:18 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>
>>In all my 43 years in engineering I've met a grand total of four woman
>>engineers, two MEs, one EE and a Chem E.
>
>
> In my 50 years in engineering I've =dated= more women engineers than
> you seem to have met, was engaged to one (nuclear engineer) and
> married another (EE). In my wife's office alone there are more than
> 4 =PEs= on her floor, including the chief of the structural engineering
> section (imagine that, a lady tower engineer). Had my wife gone
> through the paperwork as she talked about twenty years ago she, too,
> would have been a PE.
>
>
>>Our contesting club alone has
>>three female members, an old girlfriend is a ham and I met W3CUL. Out
>>of Lord only knows how many engineers and hams I've met over the years.
>
>
> In our club, the largest radio club in the state if not in the
> Pacific Northwest, about 1/3 of the hams are women, and of them,
> about half are active on the air in some fashion or other.

That IS a significant number, Phil.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3129. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 00:37:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <MXose.51$IB6.1824869@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110462

Dee:

You miss a very important reality.

If the youngsters are not introduced to amateur radio--then most of those interested in RF uses will go the Ghz freqs of lans/wans and setup various neighborhood or city/town/county computer communication networks, and when they look back at amateur radio and the code requirement--they will laugh and become freebanders!!!

Much better to get 'em started right up front--although the oldsters here act like radio is a big thing, the kids don't think so--they have been chatting the world for a big percentage of their lifetimes on the internet... they don't have to wait for conditions to improve, they don't have to buy expensive equipment or set up large antennas or run linears--they chat 24/7 with total and complete reliability...

they don't have to find someone with a license to chat with, anyone able to obtain a computer they can and do chat with... there are NO BARRIERS, compare that to amateur radio...

... then there is the data throughput capabilities of the net which the narrow rf spectrum amateur radio provides will never allow for...

The lack of them here proves there is some REAL problem, and that is what I have been saying--AMATEUR RADIO WILL HAVE TO CHANGE AND COME OUT OF THE PAST AND JOIN THE NEW TECHNOLOGIES WITH DYNAMIC MINDS TO MEET THESE CHALLENGES OR DIE...

... one or the other is inevitable, surely a blind person can see that... I would think you might be free of the DINOSAUR SIZED EGOS of the males here and able to grasp this immediately...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:wvCdnebOQMWRYCzfRVn-iw@comcast.com...
>
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1118930931.794856.175140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> [snip]
>>
>> Im convinced that events in the future will prove us right. Today we
>> have a "bloat the numbers at any cost" game which is doomed to
>> backfire
>> eventually. The big question is how badly it will backfire and how

>> much
>> damage will have been be done before it happens. The history of this
>> country over last couple decades is chock full of eamples of backing
>> away from failed giveaways. It's only a matter of time until ham
>> radio
>> gets it's turn.
>>
>
> The "bloat the numbers at any cost" will backfire for the simple
> reason that too many with no real interest will get in and then drop
> out. Then we will see once again a decline in numbers that will panic
> people just as the relatively small drop off from the peak is panicing
> people now.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3130. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 00:41:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <WN6dnU9Ib6zNgS_fRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110463

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118890722.770733.116700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Dee:
>>>
>
>
> [snip]
>
>
>>>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>news:zMOdnUggt9HLIy3fRVn-gg@comcast.com...
>>>
>>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:Me6dnazJ16c7Ky3fRVn-1g@adelphia.com...
>>>>
>
>
> [snip]
>
>
>>So what you really needed was exposure - publicity - examples - demos.
>>
>>Were you attracted to amateur radio because it was like the internet,
>>or because it was something very different?
>
>
> Yes I needed to know what it was all about before developing an interest in
> radio for it's own sake. The Novice/Tech class I took along with my husband
> at the time filled that role.

I have to note that in an earlier post where you disagreed with me
about a person "knowing" they wanted to be a ham, after reading this, I
have to agree that the things that I most enjoy now are nothing like
what I thought I wanted to do when first becoming a ham.

Which of course is a powerful argument for keeping the Morse code test.

> Once I had some basic knowledge about ham radio, I was attracted by the fact
> that it was NOT like the internet. What attracted me was that I could talk
> around the world with NO INFRASTRUCTURE. That communications was totally
> dependent on me and my skills and my knowledge of propagation once I had the

> basic radio and antenna. Here I, just an average citizen, could put a radio
> signal around the world and even beyond if I wanted to pursue it.
>
> If it had been like the internet, I'd probably have lost interest.

Although I use the Internet a lot (probably waay too much) I love Ham
radio precisely because it ISN'T the Internet.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3131. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 00:44:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <WN6dnU5Ib6xMgS_fRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110464

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> Down load Mirc (it is free) if you have a windows machine (if apple
> there are other programs)and learn to IRC... you will find you have been
> missing a lot..

DIfferent strokes for different folks, John. Internet Relay Chat is for
some of us just about the *least* interesting facet of the Internet.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3132. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 00:45:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <WN6dnUIlb6ypgC_fRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110465

Dee Flint wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1118930931.794856.175140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> [snip]
>
>>Im convinced that events in the future will prove us right. Today we
>>have a "bloat the numbers at any cost" game which is doomed to backfire
>>eventually. The big question is how badly it will backfire and how much
>>damage will have been be done before it happens. The history of this
>>country over last couple decades is chock full of eamples of backing
>>away from failed giveaways. It's only a matter of time until ham radio
>>gets it's turn.
>>
>
>
> The "bloat the numbers at any cost" will backfire for the simple reason that
> too many with no real interest will get in and then drop out.

Easy one Dee, cuz I think that is just what happened recently!

> Then we will
> see once again a decline in numbers that will panic people just as the
> relatively small drop off from the peak is panicing people now.

The ability of middle aged and older men to become incredibly agitated
and angry about trivial things.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3133. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 00:46:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <P3pse.52$8G6.1849462@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110466

Dee:

If you download mirc, study it and how to use it, hook to the dalnet server and pick a room like #australia - #40+friends #30-60friends - #California - #Sweden - etc - etc -etc...

... you will get lost for hours chatting, you can instantly send and receive pictures and documents with other room members, the chat happens in real time--you can go voice-to-net with another free application and chat to the other countries for free in speech... after you have seen and experienced all this--tell me amateur radio doesn't have to "GET REAL!" I doubt if these old buggers here could even get Mirc installed on their machines, learn to use it and find out what they are missing--these old boy are just too plum SLOW!!!
<grin>

download mirc for free here ==>> http://www.mirc.com

Warmest regards,
John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:MXose.51$lB6.1824869@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> You miss a very important reality.
>
> If the youngsters are not introduced to amateur radio--then most of
> those interested in RF uses will go the Ghz freqs of lans/wans and
> setup various neighborhood or city/town/county computer communication
> networks, and when they look back at amateur radio and the code
> requirement--they will laugh and become freebanders!!!
>
> Much better to get 'em started right up front--although the oldsters
> here act like radio is a big thing, the kids don't think so--they have
> been chatting the world for a big percentage of their lifetimes on the
> internet... they don't have to wait for conditions to improve, they
> don't have to buy expensive equipment or set up large antennas or run
> linears--they chat 24/7 with total and complete reliability...
>
> they don't have to find someone with a license to chat with, anyone
> able to obtain a computer they can and do chat with... there are NO
> BARRIERS, compare that to amateur radio...
>
> ... then there is the data throughput capabilities of the net which
> the narrow rf spectrum amateur radio provides will never allow for...

>
> The lack of them here proves there is some REAL problem, and that is
> what I have been saying--AMATEUR RADIO WILL HAVE TO CHANGE AND COME
> OUT OF THE PAST AND JOIN THE NEW TECHNOLOGIES WITH DYNAMIC MINDS TO
> MEET THESE CHALLENGES OR DIE...
>
> ... one or the other is inevitable, surely a blind person can see
> that... I would think you might be free of the DINOSAUR SIZED EGOS of
> the males here and able to grasp this immediately...
>
> John
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:wvCdnebOQMWRYCzfRVn-iw@comcast.com...
>>
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1118930931.794856.175140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> [snip]
>>>
>>> Im convinced that events in the future will prove us right. Today we
>>> have a "bloat the numbers at any cost" game which is doomed to
>>> backfire
>>> eventually. The big question is how badly it will backfire and how
>>> much
>>> damage will have been be done before it happens. The history of this
>>> country over last couple decades is chock full of eamples of backing
>>> away from failed giveaways. It's only a matter of time until ham
>>> radio
>>> gets it's turn.
>>>
>>
>> The "bloat the numbers at any cost" will backfire for the simple
>> reason that too many with no real interest will get in and then drop
>> out. Then we will see once again a decline in numbers that will
>> panic people just as the relatively small drop off from the peak is
>> panicing people now.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
>

3134. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 00:53:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sfqdndRSA56vgi_fRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110467

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:%Zmse.46$503.1085567@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> Down load Mirc (it is free) if you have a windows machine (if apple there
> are other programs)and learn to IRC... you will find you have been missing
> a lot..
>
> John
>

Tried it and didn't like it. The magic of ham radio is that you don't need
an infrastructure such as the internet.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3135. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 00:55:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xKGdnbOvVdAAgi_fRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110468

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:P3pse.52$8G6.1849462@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> If you download mirc, study it and how to use it, hook to the dalnet
> server and pick a room like #australia - #40+friends #30-60friends -
> #California - #Sweden - etc - etc -etc...
>
> ... you will get lost for hours chatting, you can instantly send and
> receive pictures and documents with other room members, the chat happens
> in real time--you can go voice-to-net with another free application and
> chat to the other countries for free in speech... after you have seen and
> experienced all this--tell me amateur radio doesn't have to "GET REAL!" I
> doubt if these old buggers here could even get Mirc installed on their
> machines, learn to use it and find out what they are missing--these old
> boy are just too plum SLOW!!!
> <grin>
>
> download mirc for free here ==>> http://www.mirc.com
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

Not interested in internet chat. Tried it and it's dull. Too much
infrastructure and not enough independence to make it a fun hobby.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3136. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 00:59:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <NoydnaRy9pMUvS_fRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110469

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1118921837.800969.310840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 14 Jun 2005 14:39
>>>
>>>
>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>From: "Dee Flint" on Sun 12 Jun 2005 18:10
>>>>
>>>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:1118584479.670520.325030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>
>
>
> [snip]
>
>
>>The level of skill in Morse Code required to pass Element 1
>>is about equal to the artistic skill required to do simple
>>pencil drawings, not "great art".
>>
>
>
> Actually about equal to drawing the stick figures that children create.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Funny, I can make proficient art, but I had a lot more trouble learning
Morse! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3137. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 01:01:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Khpse.55$_37.2029638@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110470

Dee:

Well, at least you prove my point, the world moves on--some stay... but as it has always been-it will wait for no man or woman... new mindsets will now have to move us up-to-date, which has been my argument all along... it will be a pleasure to see this happen in my lifetime...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:xKGdnbOvVdAAgi_fRVn-qg@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:P3pse.52$8G6.1849462@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> If you download mirc, study it and how to use it, hook to the dalnet
>> server and pick a room like #australia - #40+friends #30-60friends -
>> #California - #Sweden - etc - etc -etc...
>>
>> ... you will get lost for hours chatting, you can instantly send and
>> receive pictures and documents with other room members, the chat
>> happens in real time--you can go voice-to-net with another free
>> application and chat to the other countries for free in speech...
>> after you have seen and experienced all this--tell me amateur radio
>> doesn't have to "GET REAL!" I doubt if these old buggers here could
>> even get Mirc installed on their machines, learn to use it and find
>> out what they are missing--these old boy are just too plum SLOW!!!
>> <grin>
>>
>> download mirc for free here ==>> http://www.mirc.com
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>
> Not interested in internet chat. Tried it and it's dull. Too much
> infrastructure and not enough independence to make it a fun hobby.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3138. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 01:07:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <nnpse.56$V87.2069639@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110471

Mike:

Yanno, back in the horse and buggy days--when the horse was king--same thing--some waited with their horses for other to come to their senses and get rid of their autos... it never happened...

I think this is very much the same where those so religiously and historically tied to the past and what has always been will remain blind to the fact that change is inevitable...

I know the question is not "if it will happen?" but rather, "how long is this going to take?"

I feel like I am back at Kitty Hawk saying, "Now it is only a matter of time, men WILL FLY THE EARTH AS EAGLES!" <grin>

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:WN6dnUlIb6ypgC_fRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1118930931.794856.175140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>>>Im convinced that events in the future will prove us right. Today we
>>>have a "bloat the numbers at any cost" game which is doomed to
>>>backfire
>>>eventually. The big question is how badly it will backfire and how
>>>much
>>>damage will have been be done before it happens. The history of this
>>>country over last couple decades is chock full of eamples of backing
>>>away from failed giveaways. It's only a matter of time until ham
>>>radio
>>>gets it's turn.
>>>
>>
>>
>> The "bloat the numbers at any cost" will backfire for the simple
>> reason that too many with no real interest will get in and then drop
>> out.
>
> Easy one Dee, cuz I think that is just what happened recently!
>
>
>> Then we will see once again a decline in numbers that will panic
>> people just as the relatively small drop off from the peak is

>> panicing people now.
>
> The ability of middle aged and older men to become incredibly agitated
> and angry about trivial things.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3139. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 01:09:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Eppse.57$Ab7.2119771@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110472

Dee:

Like the "magic of traveling is the horse?"

I think not, but I see the small group here is all centered about
this... not surprising really... but way out of mainstream...

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:sfqdndRSA56vgi_fRVn-vg@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:%Zmse.46$503.1085567@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> Down load Mirc (it is free) if you have a windows machine (if apple
>> there are other programs)and learn to IRC... you will find you have
>> been missing a lot..
>>
>> John
>>
>
> Tried it and didn't like it. The magic of ham radio is that you don't
> need an infrastructure such as the internet.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3140. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 01:16:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1118970982.386642.171130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110473

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1118890722.770733.116700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>John Smith wrote:
>>>Dee:
>
> > [snip]

> >>>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:zMOdnUggt9HLIy3fRVn-gg@comcast.com...
> >>>
> >>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >>>>news:Me6dnazJ16c7Ky3fRVn-1g@adelphia.com...
> >>>>
> >
> >
> > [snip]
> >
> >
> >>So what you really needed was exposure - publicity - examples - demos.
> >>
> >>Were you attracted to amateur radio because it was like the internet,
> >>or because it was something very different?
>
> > Yes I needed to know what it was all about before developing > > an interest in
> > radio for it's own sake. The Novice/Tech class I took along > > with my husband at the
time filled that role.

"Radio for its own sake" - that's the key to amateur radio. If
someone is turned on by that, they may want to be a ham. If not,
it's highly doubtful.

>I have to note that in an earlier post where you disagreed
>with me
>about a person "knowing" they wanted to be a ham,
>after reading this, I
>have to agree that the things that I most enjoy now
>are nothing like
>what I thought I wanted to do when first becoming a ham.
>
>Which of course is a powerful argument for
>keeping the Morse code test.

Exactly.

When I first got started with a shortwave receiver (homemade),
I was attracted to ham radio by the folks on 75 meter AM. I
wanted to join them, so I set about getting a license.

In those days, that meant at least a General Class license,
so I set about learning the code and theory, and setting up
a station. Got the Novice at age 13 in 1967 and went on the
air with Morse Code.

Now originally the plan was to use Morse Code on the air until
I could get the General. But a funny thing happened on the way -
I discovered how much fun Morse Code was, and how much could be
done with very simple equipment using Morse Code. And it became my
favorite mode.
>
> > Once I had some basic knowledge about ham radio, I was
> > attracted by the fact
> > that it was NOT like the internet. What attracted me
> > was that I could talk
> > around the world with NO INFRASTRUCTURE. That
> > communications was totally
> > dependent on me and my skills and my knowledge of
> > propagation once I had the
> > basic radio and antenna. Here I, just an average citizen,
> > could put a radio
> > signal around the world and even beyond if I wanted to
> > pursue it.

That's the hook for me, too. Plus doing it with equipment I
designed and built myself.
> >
> > If it had been like the internet, I'd probably have lost
> > interest.
>
>Although I use the Internet a lot (probably waay too much) I
> love Ham
> radio precisely because it ISN'T the Internet.
>
Same here.

The big difference is that the 'net is a means to an end. Ham radio is
an end in itself.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3141. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 01:27:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2Gpse.59$Nk7.2178093@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110474

N2EY:

When there was some mystery to radio, perhaps, no longer... most even listen to shortwave on the internet...

Check out radio australia, BBC, etc. webpages... they even make note of it... and you can get their broadcasts though your computer with audio quality which is remarkable...

No, we are just watching the end of an era, like the horse and buggy... no one stuck in the past can ever see the future coming, and they all end up dreaming of halting progress... even from their rest homes...

If you stay active with the youngsters and an important part of your community activities it is much easier to accept change and have the skills to adapt...

... staying current is a real chore, popping into a college now and grabbing a course to stay current is beyond what some can will or want...

Many are just too old to be able to incorporate the computer into their lives, the current generation can't live without them--but then, neither can I and I consider myself an old fart...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1118970982.386642.171130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > news:1118890722.770733.116700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>John Smith wrote:
> >>>Dee:
>>
>> > [snip]
>
>> >>>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> >>>news:zMOdnUggt9HLIy3fRVn-gg@comcast.com...
>> >>>
>> >>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> >>>>news:Me6dnazJ16c7Ky3fRVn-1g@adelphia.com...
>> >>>>
>> >
>> >
>> > [snip]

>> >
>> >
>> >>So what you really needed was exposure - publicity - examples -
>> >>demos.
>> >>
>> >>Were you attracted to amateur radio because it was like the
>> >>internet,
>> >>or because it was something very different?
> >
>> > Yes I needed to know what it was all about before developing > > an
>> > interest in
>> > radio for it's own sake. The Novice/Tech class I took along > >
>> > with my husband at the time filled that role.
>
> "Radio for its own sake" - that's the key to amateur radio. If
> someone is turned on by that, they may want to be a ham. If not,
> it's highly doubtful.
>
>>I have to note that in an earlier post where you disagreed
>>with me
>>about a person "knowing" they wanted to be a ham,
>>after reading this, I
>>have to agree that the things that I most enjoy now
>>are nothing like
>>what I thought I wanted to do when first becoming a ham.
>>
>>Which of course is a powerful argument for
>>keeping the Morse code test.
>
> Exactly.
>
> When I first got started with a shortwave receiver (homemade),
> I was attracted to ham radio by the folks on 75 meter AM. I
> wanted to join them, so I set about getting a license.
>
> In those days, that meant at least a General Class license,
> so I set about learning the code and theory, and setting up
> a station. Got the Novice at age 13 in 1967 and went on the
> air with Morse Code.
>
> Now originally the plan was to use Morse Code on the air until
> I could get the General. But a funny thing happened on the way -
> I discovered how much fun Morse Code was, and how much could be
> done with very simple equipment using Morse Code. And it became my
> favorite mode.
>>
>> > Once I had some basic knowledge about ham radio, I was
>> > attracted by the fact
>> > that it was NOT like the internet. What attracted me
>> > was that I could talk

>> > around the world with NO INFRASTRUCTURE. That
>> > communications was totally
>> > dependent on me and my skills and my knowledge of
>> > propagation once I had the
>> > basic radio and antenna. Here I, just an average citizen,
>> > could put a radio
>> > signal around the world and even beyond if I wanted to
>> > pursue it.
>
> That's the hook for me, too. Plus doing it with equipment I
> designed and built myself.
>> >
>> > If it had been like the internet, I'd probably have lost
>> > interest.
>>
>>Although I use the Internet a lot (probably waay too much) I
>> love Ham
>> radio precisely because it ISN'T the Internet.
>>
> Same here.
>
> The big difference is that the 'net is a means to an end. Ham radio is
> an end in itself.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

3142. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 01:48:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <oNSdnYViyrfyqi_fRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110475

Top Posting John Smith wrote:
> Kelly:
>
> Yep. I think you are unaware that some of us out here have our licenses,
> got our radios fired up, tune the bands--and it is nothing but the same
> old, same old...
>
> We do see all the rag chews, boring rants, same operators, same gripes,
> same rants, same little groups, same ideas, same conversations as
> yesterday--day, after day, after day...

So what do you want them to talk about? I thought hams could converse
about whatever pleases them. I don't see anything in the FCC regulations
that says hams can only talk about this and can't talk about that. Do you?
>
> I am sure a lot of 'em are sitting there waiting for us poor ignorant
> ops to "get with it" and "come to the realization" of just how vital and
> interesting this all is and SHOULD BE to us...

If you aren't interested no one is holding a gun to your head to make
you listen. Have enough sense to either change to another frequency/band
or turn the damn radio off and find something else to do.

>
> Well I am one which does not and cannot appreciate it... if the fault
> lies with me and my interests and views--so be it...

Thank goodness not everyone has to share your views or interests.

>
> If I am wrong and all these young guys just can't wait to get a license
> and startup a QSO so they hear these old guys fart and rant--well, that
> is just a short coming of mine--and, those young dynamic guys who are
> running the world right now and providing new ideas, designs and methods
> are probably on the way here right now to find the old farts.... I'll
> just sit here and wait for 'em, I need a change... maybe I can chat
> with one or two of 'em--if they can quit their hero worship of you guys
> long enough... <grin>
>
> John

Sure sounds like ham radio isn't for you. Maybe you should go back to cb
and the 'freeband'.

3143. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 01:54:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <oNSdnYRiyrdypS_fRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110476

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
> Last night 40
> meters was full of Morse Code signals from 7000 to 7060 or so.

I listened to the 40 meter cw band last night and also found it full of Morse signals, but johnnyboy says that can't be so as cw is dying out, no one is interested in using Morse code anymore, so we must obviously have something wrong with our receivers. If you find the problem let me know so I can fix mine too.

3144. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 01:58:00 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110477

Tapioca Pudding AKA stinkey fatboy two-test wogie wrote:

> Good thing for you that you took your Conditional and obsolete
> Advanced before an FCC examiner,

Hey stupid, you didn't take a Conditional before an FCC examiner. Once again you show your complete ignorance and stupidity. You stink so bad wogie, that it smells every time you post.

Poor wogie had to retest to keep his ticket. It was all brought about because you caused malicious interference on 20 meters wogie, no matter how hard you attempt to deny it. Poor two-test wogie.

3145. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 03:53:31 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118980411.680025.112010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110478

Dee Flint wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
. . .

> > encounters with woman engineers. Is it possible that the woman
> > engineers I don't see out here are operating in academia instead??
> > Would not surprise me a bit if that's the case.
> >
>
> I work out in the trenches of the automotive industry

Got it, misimpression on my part, I think you've mentioned "your
students" in the past and I assumed the rest.

> and stated that I did
> NOT see very many female engineers but that I see more female hams than
> female engineers.

I did get this part of it right.

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

w3rv

3146. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 05:37:40 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118986660.855293.196050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110479

From: "Phil Kane" on Thurs 16 Jun 2005 12:28

>On Wed, 15 Jun 2005 21:03:51 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>How many women get their pilots license as compared to men?
>
> I don't know. I'll have to ask our friend Jane who owns and flys
> her own air taxi service....

The "Grace L. Ferguson Storm Door and Airline Company?" :-)

Find any local Ninety-Nines chapter and ask around there.
Good for another lop-sided opinion.

>>Ever go to an antique radio swap meet? You will find a lot more men
>>collecting and fixing old radios than women.
>
> One of the more prominent collectors and restorers of WW-II-era
> military radios is a woman - who is also the communications chief
> for one of the major California cities.

MINOR city, Phil. Tsk, tsk.

If you like butch lesbians, she's the gal for you...

She isn't old enough (by photos) to be born until at
least 1965 or later...

>>Do you collect dolls, tea sets, china dishes? No? Lots of women do.
>
> As do most of the dealers in that field, who are overwhelmingly male.

Tsk, tsk, you need to watch more HGTV, Phil. Especially
the "Carol Duvall Show." :-)

Or walk into any Michael's chain outlet any hour of the day.

Bye.

3147. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 05:41:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1118986867.281286.247290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110480

From: Michael Coslo on Thurs 16 Jun 2005 12:52

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: Mike Coslo on May 29, 9:57 pm
>>>
>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>>Many people lament that there is not enough interest
>>>>>in Ham radio by young people.
>>>
>>>>Agreed - but how much would be enough?
>>>
>>> Dunno. I personally agree somewhat with Brian K's assertion that the
>>>absolute number of Hams could indeed drop without serious problems.
>>>
>>> On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good mix of ages.
>>
>> Younger hobbyists have to be there to "take orders" from the
>> older ones? :-)
>
> You'd like it if we younger folks took orders from you, but we won't.
> Deal with it, Len.

Tsk. Jimmie sounds all upset. Nobody is telling him any "orders."

Not to worry. Those who've never served in the military won't
get bothered about taking orders.

However, "radio manufacturers" very much need to take orders. As
many as they can handle! :-)

Jimmie said he was a "radio manufacturer." Better give him an
order right now, keep him solvent. [or was that "soylent...?"]

>> Some of us were using computers back in the punchcard and paper-tape
>> days.
>
> Yeah, like me! Ancient IBM mainframes that took up an entire room in
>the late '70s. First personal size computer was the venerable Trash-80
>with a tape drive around 1981. First computer hooked to a modem was a
>C-64 a few years later. Got a number of the Commodore Amigas. Had a
>A500, an A2000,A3000, and an A4000. Got the Macs starting with a II-CX,

>then to a 7100, 7500, G3, G4, and now a G5. PC's from the 286, 386 PS2,
>Several laptops, and some HP Pavilions at home.

Woweee! Keep that consumer market afloat with buy, buy, buy...

Ever BUILD your own PC, Michael? Like etch the PC, drill them
holes, solder them pins, PROGRAM the monitor ROM? No? Tsk.

Remember: You can't say "first personal" in here. That sort of
talk is CB trash and CBers are supposed to be the evil scourges
of radio, satan's henchmen on earth...

> I've been around computers a while, despite diatribes to the contrary .

Tsk, tsk. When I was young we carved our own ICs out of wood....

:-)

>>> To get an HF transceiver in their vehicles, both young and
>>> old could buy a set of transceiver, antenna, microphone for
>>> under $200 from Sears, K-Mart, Wall-Mart, etc. in the
>>> morning and have it installed and working in the afternoon.
>>
>> And it had a few channels, one mode and 5 watts of power at most.

23 or 40 channels is "few?" In an urban area of 10 million+,
yes, too few. :-)

But, "5 watts of power" can WORK THE WORLD!!! :-)

Only 5 Watts of RF output? Nay, see the General Radiotelephone
CB manufactured by the thousands here in beautiful uptown
Burbank. 35 Watts out with the "modification" clearly spelled
out in the instruction manual! :-)

>> The way many cb radios were used was in clear violation of the
>> Communications Act and FCC regulations.

Riiiiight you are Mr. Noblestoneofall...all CBers are dastard
souless lawbreakers and should all be taken out and shot.

All the righteous, noble, "federally authorized" hams deserve
a lifetime of respect and admiration for being scrupulously
honest with everything!

> My experience has been that it must be a small "somewhat" indeed!
>
> Is there some rule that "real electronikers" can't use reference material?

With ham radio among the mighty macho morsemen, ALL you need is
morsemanship. That's enough.

"Morse gets through when everything else will..." B. Burke, 2004

> Real technicians don't look up pinouts either! ;^)

Oh? You "KNOW" every single tube, transistor, IC pinout by heart?

If you do, you're ten kinds of dumshit braggart, Michael.

> What sort of antennas do *you* have, Len?

Two. But the number of covenants against them are only one and
that one was issued by the FAA. :-)

>> How many children have you parented, Len?

Ah! The Master Pediatrician accuses! :-)

Last time I looked, NO ONE in ANY discipline of electronics was
required to be a parent in order to work in that field! :-)

Would you accept an MD's signed test paper on sperm count? :-)

HOW MANY CHILDREN AS JIMMIE MICCOLIS "PARENTED?"

So far, we've not heard any answer in public...and are not likely
to. Jimmie wishes to be dominatrix here?

> This NG is about amateur radio, Len. Something you are not a part of.

This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY, Jimmie, NOT pediatrics
or How To Raise Kids. So far, we have to assume YOU ARE NOT A
PART OF THAT.

> So you're old, Len. Big deal. You grunt and grumble, rant and rave more
> than anyone here.

No, I don't. :-)

>>>> If we can celebrate those who *DO* things instead of simply consume
>>>>things, we might reverse that trend.
>>>
>>> That has been going on in nearly all technological endeavors
>>> for as long as I can remember. The DO-ers are celebrated.
>>
>> Yep - like the hams who pioneered HF radio in 1923...

Woweee! Like they got TOSSED OUT of MF and had to go where
wavelengths were shorter than 200 meters...by ACT OF LAW!!!!

Some "pioneering!!!" The first of the "homeless" in radio and
now you say they were "pioneers?!?" Geez, talk about
rationalizations!!!

>> You mean like the person who posts their military and work experience
>> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
>> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
>> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
>> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
>> again,
>
> oops, you missed one, Jim!

Awwwwwwww! You poor guys, never worked the Big Leagues of radio?

Well, somebody in here used to say (in a bad paraphrase), "It ain't
braggin' if ya done it!"

I did it. I ain't braggin. :-)

>> in a failed attempt to win the
>> praise and admiration of others, even though it has nothing to do with
>> amateur radio?

Tsk, tsk. That's a perfect description of Jimmie Miccolis!!! :-)

>> Or the person who says "I'm going for Extra right out of the box", but as of
>> 5 years, 5 months and 27 days hasn't done so?

Tsk, tsk. No Holy Vows were taken in the writing of that. :-)

I can't be "ex-communicated." I'm still communicating... :-)

>> Or the person who talks endlessly about their supposed technical knowledge
>> prowess but cannot show a single home-made amateur-radio-related project
>> or practical article?

Woweeee!!! "Radio manufacturer" Jimmie shows ONE picture of a tube
rig "designed" and built in the 1990s? :-)

Fabulous...that's even better than the 30-year-old crib from
Superior
Electric on autotransformers "published" in the Electric Radio
webzine!

Wheeee...lots of real swell PRACTICAL things to do...nothing at all
like that damn useless "theory" that mighty macho morsemen hate so
much.

"Extra! Extra! Read all about it! Hams pioneer radio in 1923!"

Run right out and buy a copy for a dime from the newsboy! :-)

3148. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 06:15:16 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1118988916.668621.291220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110481

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 15 Jun 2005 17:01:18 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> >In all my 43 years in engineering I've met a grand total of four woman
> >engineers, two MEs, one EE and a Chem E.
>
> In my 50 years in engineering I've =dated= more women engineers than
> you seem to have met, was engaged to one (nuclear engineer) and
> married another (EE). In my wife's office alone there are more than
> 4 =PEs= on her floor, including the chief of the structural engineering
> section (imagine that, a lady tower engineer). Had my wife gone
> through the paperwork as she talked about twenty years ago she, too,
> would have been a PE.
>
> >Our contesting club alone has
> >three female members, an old girlfriend is a ham and I met W3CUL. Out
> >of Lord only knows how many engineers and hams I've met over the years.
>
> In our club, the largest radio club in the state if not in the
> Pacific Northwest, about 1/3 of the hams are women, and of them,
> about half are active on the air in some fashion or other.

This topic is getting interesting, I'd like to take it a bit further.
I'm at a complete loss to understand why there's such an obvious
disparity in the numbers of woman hams & engineers in this part of the
country vs. in your part of the country.

With respect to the socioeconomicpolitical mindsets Oregon is well
known for marching to it's own occasionally quirky liberal drummer
while PA is a typical old-form mid-Atlantic centrist sort of place. I
'spose there are some of the usual left coast / right coast differences
which sort of favor left coast women and might explain part of it. But
good grief, we're not talking Albania and Sweden here.

I've mulled the matter off and on for a few hours and it occurs to me
that maybe, just maybe our exposures to women engineers in particular
have been quite different. As in where you've churned your coin vs.
where I've gotten mine over the years. I've only spent a total of ten
years working for large entities, six as a Navy employee back when
woman engineers simply didn't exist for all practical purposes, then
much later I did four with the DuPont central engineering center in the
mid-1980s. Three of the four woman engineers I've met and cited were
DuPont employees, the fourth was a short-time part timer I ran into on
a specific small-biz project whose real job was with some large firm or
another.

Except for the six I did with the Navy, a gig I loved and was enormous fun I've spent most of the rest of my career in smokestack small-medium size busineses. I have allergic reactions to huge employers for a number of reasons and generally avoid them. I despise corporate beige with a purple passion

If I have it right you spent most of your career with the FCC, another huge entity. Is it possible that women in engineering tend to gravitate in large numbers to major entities where fair employment practices are actually practiced and you've gotten involved with more of them than I've ever managed to meet?

> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
> Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

w3rv

Out here in the smokestacks of Delaware County PA

3149. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 06:49:06 UTC
From: "Charles J. Wentworth Sr." <Extra@nospamallowed>
Message-ID: <d8trntpen0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110482

"Extra! Extra! Read all about it! Hams pioneer radio in 1923!"

Run right out and buy a copy for a dime from the newsboy! :-)

/

Septuagenarian Lennie, like his Relys, runneth over yet again.

3150. Brian P Burke Utters More Public Mistruths...Nothing Unexpected...Nothing new!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 07:52:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118994736.735341.237740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110483

bb wrote:

> Civil discourse with Steven J. Robeson K4YZ is not possible if you have
> an opposing opinion.

Untrue. Others here have said as much. You are, yet again,
uttering an untruthful statement.

> His opinion is right. Your opinion is wrong.

So far, Brain, you've not provided an ounce of substantiation for
any of your "opinions", and when your "assertions" have been proven
wrong, you just DODGE acknowledging your error.

Brian P Burke is a known chronic liar. Beware.

Steve, K4YZ

3151. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 08:13:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1118996020.781852.69370@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110484

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Michael Coslo on Thurs 16 Jun 2005 12:52
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > You'd like it if we younger folks took orders from you, but we won't.
> > Deal with it, Len.
>
> Tsk. Jimmie sounds all upset. Nobody is telling him any "orders."

And once again, for the billionth time, Lennie Anderson uses
diminutives where no diminutive was used against him.

Leonard H Anderson is a known chronic liar.

> Not to worry. Those who've never served in the military won't
> get bothered about taking orders.

Why? Do you think you have some manifest destiny to give them?

> Remember: You can't say "first personal" in here. That sort of
> talk is CB trash and CBers are supposed to be the evil scourges
> of radio, satan's henchmen on earth...

Nope.

Not anymore.

We have Lennie Anderson for that.

> But, "5 watts of power" can WORK THE WORLD!!!

Yes it can. Been there. Done that.

But you weren't/didn't.

> >> The way many cb radios were used was in clear violation of the
> >> Communications Act and FCC regulations.
>
> Riiiiight you are Mr. Noblestoneofall...all CBers are dastard
> souless lawbreakers and should all be taken out and shot.

Now you're accusing Jim of lying? Or breaking federal law?

> > What sort of antennas do *you* have, Len?
>
> Two. But the number of covenants against them are only one and
> that one was issued by the FAA.

Unless it was a TV dish and a small discone, I say you're a liar.

> >> How many children have you parented, Len?
>
> Ah! The Master Pediatrician accuses!

Again, no answer. Usual spindance.

Loves to petition then FCC to enact an age limit for kids to
licensees, but has no practical experience in raising kids.

Usual "Do As I Say Not Do As I Do" rhetoric.

> > This NG is about amateur radio, Len. Something you are not a part of.
>
> This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY, Jimmie, NOT pediatrics
> or How To Raise Kids. So far, we have to assume YOU ARE NOT A
> PART OF THAT.
>
>
> > So you're old, Len. Big deal. You grunt and grumble, rant and rave more
> > than anyone here.
>
> No, I don't. :-)
>
>
>
> >>>> If we can celebrate those who *DO* things instead of simply consume
> >>>>things, we might reverse that trend.
> >>>
> >>> That has been going on in nearly all technological endeavors
> >>> for as long as I can remember. The DO-ers are celebrated.
> >>
> >> Yep - like the hams who pioneered HF radio in 1923...
>
> Woweee! Like they got TOSSED OUT of MF and had to go where
> wavelengths were shorter than 200 meters...by ACT OF LAW!!!!
>
> Some "pioneering!!!" The first of the "homeless" in radio and
> now you say they were "pioneers?!?" Geez, talk about
> rationalizations!!!
>
>
> >> You mean like the person who posts their military and work experience
> >> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
> >> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
> >> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
> >> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
> >> again,
> >
> > oops, you missed one, Jim!
>
> Awwwwwwww! You poor guys, never worked the Big Leagues of radio?

As if YOU did...

Other than one tour of duty at an HF Army station in the 50's,
you've not cited the callsign of a single "big league" station.

Not one.

> Well, somebody in here used to say (in a bad paraphrase), "It ain't
> braggin' if ya done it!"

So then you're bragging. We knew that.

> I did it. I ain't braggin.

No you didn't. Yes you are.

> >> Or the person who says "I'm going for Extra right out of the box", but as of
> >> 5 years, 5 months and 27 days hasn't done so?
>
> Tsk, tsk. No Holy Vows were taken in the writing of that.

Don't worry, Lennie.

All though you'll never admit it, WE have come to know that your
word, written or otherwise, is untrustworthy.

You've proven it over and over.

> I can't be "ex-communicated." I'm still communicating...

On a cellphone or CB, maybe.

> "Extra! Extra! Read all about it! Hams pioneer radio in 1923!"
>
> Run right out and buy a copy for a dime from the newsboy!

All of "us" do things with real radios in 2005 every day.

You don't.

Sucks to be you, Lennie.

And you've never answered my question: Why do you continue to lie
in open, public forum when you know that your every word is being
archived?

Steve, K4YZ

3152. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 11:47:43 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119008863.573528.91450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110485

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Michael Coslo on Thurs 16 Jun 2005 12:52
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>From: Mike Coslo on May 29, 9:57 pm
> >>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>Many people lament that there is not enough interest
> >>>>>in Ham radio by young people.
> >>>>Agreed - but how much would be enough?
> >>>Dunno. I personally agree somewhat with Brian K's assertion that the
> >>>absolute number of Hams could indeed drop without serious problems.
> >>>
> >>>On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good mix of ages.
> >>
> >>Younger hobbyists have to be there to "take orders" from the
> >> older ones? :-)
> >
> >You'd like it if we younger folks took orders from you,
> >but we won't.
> > Deal with it, Len.
>
> Tsk.

TS, Len. You're not in charge. Deal with it.

>Jimmie sounds all upset.

Not me. You're the one SHOUTING and getting all worked up.

> Nobody is telling him any "orders."

You'd like it if we younger folks took orders from you,
but we won't. Deal with it, Len.
>
> >> Some of us were using computers back in the punchcard and
> >> paper-tape
> >> days.
> >
> > Yeah, like me! Ancient IBM mainframes that took up an entire room in
> >the late '70s. First personal size computer was the venerable Trash-80
> >with a tape drive around 1981. First computer hooked to a modem was a
> >C-64 a few years later. Got a number of the Commodore Amigas. Had a
> >A500, an A2000,A3000, and an A4000. Got the Macs starting with a II-CX,
> >then to a 7100, 7500, G3, G4, and now a G5. PC's from the 286, 386 PS2,
> >Several laptops, and some HP Pavilions at home.

>
> Woweee! Keep that consumer market afloat with buy, buy, buy...

I remember the 110 baud acoustic modems to connect to the '370
system at Uni-Coll.
>
> Ever BUILD your own PC, Michael? Like etch the PC, drill
> them
> holes, solder them pins, PROGRAM the monitor ROM? No? Tsk.

Ever DESIGN and BUILD an HF amateur radio transceiver, Len? Not from
someone else's plans, but from your own design?

Didn't think so.
>
> Remember: You can't say "first personal" in here.

What's wrong with "name"?
>
> >>> To get an HF transceiver in their vehicles, both young and
> >>> old could buy a set of transceiver, antenna, microphone for
> >>> under $200 from Sears, K-Mart, Wall-Mart, etc. in the
> >>> morning and have it installed and working in the afternoon.
> >>
> >> And it had a few channels, one mode and 5 watts of power at most.
>
> 23 or 40 channels is "few?"

Compared to the frequencies any radio amateur can use, it's
very few.

> In an urban area of 10 million+,
> yes, too few. :-)

Too many, given how they were and are used...

> But, "5 watts of power" can WORK THE WORLD!!! :-)

On the right frequencies, with the right mode and a skilled
operator. You wouldn't know about any of that, Len.

> Only 5 Watts of RF output?

No. Input.

> Nay, see the General Radiotelephone
> CB manufactured by the thousands here in beautiful uptown
> Burbank.

Did you work there, Len?

> 35 Watts out with the "modification" clearly spelled
> out in the instruction manual! :-)

Seems like you endorse that sort of thing. Says volumes about
your character, Len.

> >> The way many cb radios were used was in clear violation of
> >> the Communications Act and FCC regulations.
>
> Riiiiight you are Mr. Noblestoneofall...

Who?

> all CBers are dastard
> souless lawbreakers and should all be taken out and shot.

No, they should just follow the rules.

Using radio to avoid compliance with the law (such as speed
limits) is a violation of the Communications Act.

Failure to properly identify is a violation of FCC rules.

Modification of equipment to unauthorized levels of power
is a violation of FCC rules.

> All the righteous, noble, "federally authorized" hams deserve
> a lifetime of respect and admiration for being scrupulously
> honest with everything!

Would you rather that hams followed Part 97 the way cb users follow
Part 95?
>
> > Real technicians don't look up pinouts either! ;^)
>
> Oh? You "KNOW" every single tube, transistor, IC pinout by heart?
>
> If you do, you're ten kinds of dumshit braggart, Michael.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk, Len.
>
> > What sort of antennas do *you* have, Len?
>
> Two. But the number of covenants against them are only one and
> that one was issued by the FAA. :-)
>
> >> How many children have you parented, Len?
>
> Ah! The Master Pediatrician accuses! :-)

How many, Len?

> Last time I looked, NO ONE in ANY discipline of electronics > was
> required to be a parent in order to work in that field! :-)

But *you* have asked FCC to exclude everyone under the age
of 14 years from any class of amateur radio license. Without
any example of problems caused by the licensing of young

people as radio amateurs.

So it's relevant to ask what experience you have as a parent.
It's clear you have none, and are trying to divert attention
from that fact.
>
> Would you accept an MD's signed test paper on sperm count? :-)

There's much more to parenting than biology, Len. But you
wouldn't know that.
>
> HOW MANY CHILDREN AS JIMMIE MICCOLIS "PARENTED?"

"You cannot answer a question with another question" - Len Anderson

It's not about me, Len. I'm not the one asked FCC to exclude everyone
under the age of 14 years from any class of amateur radio license,
without *any* example of problems caused by the licensing of young
people as radio amateurs. *You* are.

> So far, we've not heard any answer in public...

Not from you.

> and are not likely to.

It's not about me. I'm not the one asked FCC to exclude everyone under
the age of 14 years from any class of amateur radio license, without
any example of problems caused by the licensing of young people as
radio amateurs. *You* are.

Besides, what level of parenting would you respect? From
your behavior here, it's clear it wouldn't matter how
many children I'd parented or what they accomplished. You'd
find a way to make fun of them. It's what you do - we've
seen it plenty of times.

> > This NG is about amateur radio, Len. Something you are not a > > part of.
>
> This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY, Jimmie, NOT
> pediatrics or How To Raise Kids.

You have asked FCC to exclude everyone under the age of 14 years from
any class of amateur radio license, without *any* example of problems
caused by the licensing of young people as radio amateurs. Yet you have
no apparent experience as a parent. Tsk.

> > So you're old, Len. Big deal. You grunt and grumble,
> > rant and rave more than anyone here.
>
> No, I don't. :-)

Maybe John Smith does more these past few days...

Maybe you and he are one and the same.

>
> >>>> If we can celebrate those who *DO* things instead of simply consume
> >>>>things, we might reverse that trend.
> >>>
> >>> That has been going on in nearly all technological endeavors
> >>> for as long as I can remember. The DO-ers are celebrated.
> >>
> >> Yep - like the hams who pioneered HF radio in 1923...
>
> Woweee! Like they got TOSSED OUT of MF and had to go where
> wavelengths were shorter than 200 meters...by ACT OF LAW!!!!

The "professional experts" thought those frequencies were
useless - that's why amateurs got them.
>
> >> You mean like the person who posts their military and work experience
> >> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
> >> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
> >> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
> >> over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
> >> again,
> >
> > oops, you missed one, Jim!

You're right, Mike.

You mean like the person who posts their military and work experience
over and over and over and over and over and
over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
and over and over and over and over and over and
over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
again,

> >> in a failed attempt to win the
> >> praise and admiration of others, even though it has nothing > >> to do with
> >> amateur radio?
>
> Tsk, tsk. That's a perfect description of

Len Anderson!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> >> Or the person who says "I'm going for Extra right out of the > >> box", but as of
> >> 5 years, 5 months and 27 days hasn't done so?
>
> Tsk, tsk. No Holy Vows were taken in the writing of that. :-)

You haven't done it.

> >> Or the person who talks endlessly about their supposed technical knowledge
> >> prowess but cannot show a single home-made amateur-radio-related project
> >> or practical article?
>
> Woweeee!!! "Radio manufacturer" Jimmie shows ONE picture of a tube
> rig "designed" and built in the 1990s? :-)

More than what you've done.

> Fabulous...that's even better than the 30-year-old crib from
> Superior
> Electric on autotransformers "published" in the Electric Radio
> webzine!

Who did that? Not me.

3153. Re: K1MAN The crap has hit the fan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 12:55:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119012908.577661.230560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110486

Michael Coslo wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Too bad Toiddie's not here to see this...Maybe I'll print off a
> > copy and mail it to him...That's if he hasn't got the Taylorville Post
> > Master as PO'd at him as he has everyone else.
>
> Perhaps Todd could fill the void left by MAN's imminent departure?
>
> Although from his postings, I'm not really sure he could actually get a
> signal out.

He was bragging about his 100 watts once...100 watts on 20 meter
phone is like trying to recite Robert Frost at a Guns-and-Roses
concert, even if you do have a yagi to help out.

He just didn't get it...Even when the news was delivered by
Certified Mail...

73

Steve, K4YZ

3154. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 13:21:23 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d8uioj$1a5q$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110487

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 15 Jun 2005 21:11:41 GMT, robert casey wrote:
>
>
> Actually, I wrote the following paragraph:
>
>>>>>Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
>>>>>is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
>>>>>isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
>>>>>a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>>>>
>>>>That makes it a real PITA to people who are good at book
>>>>learnin' and not so hot at motor skills.
>>>
>>> Those are the same people who get As in Chemistry but Ds in Chem
>>> Lab.....
>
>
> Yup.
>
>>Then we have the Tau Beta Pi MEs drilling holes . .
>
>
> My frat was I Phelta Thi....

I belonged to Signa Phi Nothing......

- Mike KB3EIA -

3155. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 13:28:54 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d8uj6mvge1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110488

John Smith wrote:

> Mike:
>
> Yanno, back in the horse and buggy days--when the horse was king--same
> thing--some waited with their horses for other to come to their senses
> and get rid of their autos... it never happened...
>
> I think this is very much the same where those so religiously and
> historically tied to the past and what has always been will remain blind
> to the fact that change is inevitable...
>
> I know the question is not "if it will happen?" but rather, "how long is
> this going to take?"
>
> I feel like I am back at Kitty Hawk saying, "Now it is only a matter of
> time, men WILL FLY THE EARTH AS EAGLES!" <grin>

Remember though, that there is no rule that disallows enjoyment of heritage technology *and* more recent technology. (I hesitate to call things like the internet, and digital modes as cutting edge - they are not)

I spend a lot of my hobby time doing psk31, using antenna design programs, and I am starting to fool around with tube equipment as a lark too. It's all good, man! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3156. Re: Brian P Burke Utters More Public Mistruths...Nothing Unexpected...Nothing new!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 13:30:02 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <a74f851850b9b0b707b459a0cfb6838c@dizum.com>
Source record: 110489

In article
<1118994736.735341.237740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> bb wrote:
>
> > Civil discourse with Steven J. Robeson K4YZ is not possible
if you have
> > an opposing opinion.
>
> Untrue. Others here have said as much. You are, yet
again,
> uttering an untruthful statement.

Who's "Others", Nursie, you are a weell-known liar and asshole.

> > His opinion is right. Your opinion is wrong.

Agreed. His tiny litle pea brain limits him. Too bad it isn't as
big as that gut he has to hide with a girdle.

> So far, Brain, you've not provided an ounce of
substantiation for
> any of your "opinions", and when your "assertions" have been
proven
> wrong, you just DODGE acknowledging your error.

And you have yet to prove anything you say, Nursie.

> Brian P Burke is a known chronic liar. Beware.

That's rich coming from a well-known liar like you, Nursie.
Where's the documentation on that, Nursie?

3157. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 13:32:46 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <S1KBD7VU38520.8977546296@reece.net.au>
Source record: 110490

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper and registered pedophile Cmd Butt Cornholer of
little boys <m...@this.ten> wrote:
>
> Tapioca Pudding AKA stinkey fatboy two-test wogie wrote:

"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie" ... Butt Cornholer the illiterate
Missouri pedophile makes good spells!

>
> > Good thing for you that you took your Conditional and
obsolete
> > Advanced before an FCC examiner,
>
> Hey stupid, you didn't

Hey dumbfuck, I sure did make you dance though, bitch boy. You
lived so far out you did have a Conditional license before you
took your obsolete Advanced before an FCC examiner.

Keep flying those model airplanes and think you have a real
"pilot's license", pedophile.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

--
In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

3158. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 13:51:52 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d8ukhoens1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110491

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: Michael Coslo on Thurs 16 Jun 2005 12:52
>
>
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>From: Mike Coslo on May 29, 9:57 pm
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>Many people lament that there is not enough interest
>>>>>>in Ham radio by young people.
>>>>
>>>>>Agreed - but how much would be enough?
>>>>
>>>> Dunno. I personally agree somewhat with Brian K's assertion that the
>>>>absolute number of Hams could indeed drop without serious problems.
>>>>
>>>> On the other hand, I believe that we should have a good mix of ages.
>>>
>>> Younger hobbyists have to be there to "take orders" from the
>>> older ones? :-)
>>
>>You'd like it if we younger folks took orders from you, but we won't.
>>Deal with it, Len.
>
>
> Tsk. Jimmie sounds all upset. Nobody is telling him any "orders."
>
> Not to worry. Those who've never served in the military won't
> get bothered about taking orders.
>
> However, "radio manufacturers" very much need to take orders. As
> many as they can handle! :-)
>

> Jimmie said he was a "radio manufacturer." Better give him an
> order right now, keep him solvent. [or was that "soylent...?"]
>
>
>
>>>Some of us were using computers back in the punchcard and paper-tape
>>>days.
>>
>> Yeah, like me! Ancient IBM mainframes that took up an entire room in
>>the late '70s. First personal size computer was the venerable Trash-80
>>with a tape drive around 1981. First computer hooked to a modem was a
>>C-64 a few years later. Got a number of the Commodore Amigas. Had a
>>A500, an A2000,A3000, and an A4000. Got the Macs starting with a II-CX,
>>then to a 7100, 7500, G3, G4, and now a G5. PC's from the 286, 386 PS2,
>>Several laptops, and some HP Pavilions at home.
>
>
> Woweee! Keep that consumer market afloat with buy, buy, buy...
>
> Ever BUILD your own PC, Michael? Like etch the PC, drill them
> holes, solder them pins, PROGRAM the monitor ROM? No? Tsk.

Yes! Not as a PC, but I have designed boards, taken the artwork through
the photo stages, sensitized and exposed the boards, etched them and put
'em together. Professionally. I've programmed ROM's also, though not often.

> Remember: You can't say "first personal" in here. That sort of
> talk is CB trash and CBers are supposed to be the evil scourges
> of radio, satan's henchmen on earth...

Dunnno about the CB trash angle, but I'll say "My name is..... I
wonder, is there a "first impersonal?" 8^)

>
>> I've been around computers a while, despite diatribes to the contrary .
>
>
> Tsk, tsk. When I was young we carved our own ICs out of wood....

hehe.
>
>
>>>> To get an HF transceiver in their vehicles, both young and
>>>> old could buy a set of transceiver, antenna, microphone for
>>>> under $200 from Sears, K-Mart, Wall-Mart, etc. in the
>>>> morning and have it installed and working in the afternoon.
>>>
>>>And it had a few channels, one mode and 5 watts of power at most.
>
>
> 23 or 40 channels is "few?" In an urban area of 10 million+,
> yes, too few. :-)

>
> But, "5 watts of power" can WORK THE WORLD!!! :-)

Sometimes! I recall a PSK31 exchange with a fellow from Australia.
That's just about as far as you can go without coming back.

>
> Only 5 Watts of RF output? Nay, see the General Radiotelephone
> CB manufactured by the thousands here in beautiful uptown
> Burbank. 35 Watts out with the "modification" clearly spelled
> out in the instruction manual! :-)
>
>
>
>>>The way many cb radios were used was in clear violation of the
>>>Communications Act and FCC regulations.
>
>
> Riiiiight you are Mr. Noblestoneofall...all CBers are dastard
> souless lawbreakers and should all be taken out and shot.

You talkin to *me*?

> All the righteous, noble, "federally authorized" hams deserve
> a lifetime of respect and admiration for being scrupulously
> honest with everything!
>
>
>
>> My experience has been that it must be a small "somewhat" indeed!
>>
>> Is there some rule that "real electronikers" can't use reference material?
>
>
> With ham radio among the mighty macho morsemen, ALL you need is
> morsemanship. That's enough.
>
> "Morse gets through when everything else will..." B. Burke, 2004
>
>
>
>> Real technicians don't look up pinouts either! ;^)
>
>
> Oh? You "KNOW" every single tube, transistor, IC pinout by heart?
>
> If you do, you're ten kinds of dumshit braggart, Michael.

You have chided me in the past for not *getting* a sarcasm in a post.

Consider yourself chided.

>
>>What sort of antennas do *you* have, Len?
>
>
> Two. But the number of covenants against them are only one and
> that one was issued by the FAA. :-)
>
>
>
>
>>>How many children have you parented, Len?
>
>
> Ah! The Master Pediatrician accuses! :-)
>
> Last time I looked, NO ONE in ANY discipline of electronics was
> required to be a parent in order to work in that field! :-)
>
> Would you accept an MD's signed test paper on sperm count? :-)
>
> HOW MANY CHILDREN AS JIMMIE MICCOLIS "PARENTED?"
>
> So far, we've not heard any answer in public...and are not likely
> to. Jimmie wishes to be dominatrix here?
>
>
>
>
>>This NG is about amateur radio, Len. Something you are not a part of.
>
>
> This newsgroup is about AMATEUR RADIO POLICY, Jimmie, NOT pediatrics
> or How To Raise Kids. So far, we have to assume YOU ARE NOT A
> PART OF THAT.
>
>
>
>>So you're old, Len. Big deal. You grunt and grumble, rant and rave more
>>than anyone here.
>
>
> No, I don't. :-)
>
>
>
>
>>>>> If we can celebrate those who *DO* things instead of simply consume
>>>>>things, we might reverse that trend.
>>>>
>>>> That has been going on in nearly all technological endeavors
>>>> for as long as I can remember. The DO-ers are celebrated.

>>>
>>>Yep - like the hams who pioneered HF radio in 1923...
>
>
> Woweee! Like they got TOSSED OUT of MF and had to go where
> wavelengths were shorter than 200 meters...by ACT OF LAW!!!!
>
> Some "pioneering!!!" The first of the "homeless" in radio and
> now you say they were "pioneers?!?" Geez, talk about
> rationalizations!!!

Necessity is the mother of invention - and innovation.

>
>
>>>You mean like the person who posts their military and work experience
>>>over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
>>>over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
>>>over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and
>>>over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over and over
>>>again,
>>
>> oops, you missed one, Jim!
>
>
> Awwwwwwww! You poor guys, never worked the Big Leagues of radio?

Never particularly wanted to! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3159. Re: "Typos", TimeLARD???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 14:43:10 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <449a645ef4cecb75f3803016d5f01793@paranoici.org>
Source record: 110492
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d8qd0e$rg0$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd 2" <anon@anon> whined:
>
>
> <lardass_lloy...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1118840631.965470.313040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Lloyd 2 wrote:
> > This is a small <IKYABWAI>
>
> Sort of like your never used penis, huh, 31 year old virgin?
> BWHAHAHAHAHA!!!
>
> >33K of (Lardass always snips and waddles away)
>
>
> Can you (more IKYABWAI and pathetic whining, thanks for
confirming Roger's victory over you!)

Poor Lardass, whine 31 year old VIRGIN, whine!!!!!!!!!!

Hey Lardass, tell us why you were committed to the mental
nuthouse in Independance, Iowa for a year after you tried to
commit suicide! You really shouldn't have bungled it up, since
you have absolutely nothing to live for, depression boy.

Heard back from Papa John's lately?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

3160. Re: 5'4" tall Lardass says "IKYABWAI" and the crowd roars with laughter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 14:45:34 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <e6455733789a8e7e95bd493f844c1e15@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 110493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <87229017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Pedophile Steve Holsten of Kennett,Missouri aka "Your Great
Queero Steve" <hero...@no.spam> wrote:
>
> On Thu, 16 Jun 2005 15:37:50 +0200 (CEST), ﷽berwinner wrote:

BWHAHAHAHAHA..... Pedo makes good spell! "Uber" which should be
"﷽ber" (or even Ueber)....stick to just mangling English instead
of also mangling German, Arschl﷽ch!

"Secwet", "Woger" - Dumbass makes good spell!

Und, Lech mich am Arsch!

> > You shouldn't IKYABWAI like Pee Wee Herman (your idol) so
much,
>
> I love it when

You love it when you can assrape your mulatto newborn bastard
child Michael, too, Pedo.

Enjoy that birch.net connection while you still have it, Pedo.
aka "Who's Your daddy"

3161. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 14:54:59 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <qOydndZq98UAci_fRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110495

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>
> Ever DESIGN and BUILD an HF amateur radio transceiver, Len? Not from
> someone else's plans, but from your own design?
>
> Didn't think so.

Lennieboy couldn't even build one from someone eles's plans.

>
>
>> All the righteous, noble, "federally authorized" hams deserve
>> a lifetime of respect and admiration for being scrupulously
>> honest with everything!
>
>
> Would you rather that hams followed Part 97 the way cb users follow
> Part 95?
>

Seems Lennieboy scoffs at those who go by the rules, that speaks volumns about him I would say. Seems he is jealous since hams are 'federally authorized' to use the ham bands and he can't become a member of the fraternity.

> It's not about me, Len. I'm not the one asked FCC to exclude everyone
> under the age of 14 years from any class of amateur radio license,
> without *any* example of problems caused by the licensing of young
> people as radio amateurs. *You* are.

Just about any 14 year old or younger would make a better ham than Lennieboy. Many already have, but poor Lennieboy can't make the grade. That is why he doesn't want 14 year olds getting a license, it makes him look bad.

3162. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 15:05:43 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110496

Thrasher Remailer AKA two-test stinky fatboy wogie wussman wrote:
> In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>Tapioca Pudding AKA stinkey fatboy two-test wogie wrote:
>
>
> "stinkey" "two-test" "wogie" ... Butt Cornholer the illiterate
> Missouri pedophile makes good spells!

Missouri? Poor stupid, ignorant two-test wogie, doesn't even know which state I live in. Never lived in Missouri two-test, you lose again, but then what's new?

>
> Hey dumbfuck, I sure did make you dance though, bitch boy.

Yea, I really dance you idiot. You were so dumb you thought a Conditional was taken before an FCC examiner, now that you have been corrected you dance yourself. What a moron!!!

> You
> lived so far out you did have a Conditional license before you
> took your obsolete Advanced before an FCC examiner.

Only had to live 250 airline miles from the nearest FCC office to qualify to take the Conditional. Lots of places were 'so far out'.

Poor two-test stinky fatboy wogie, had to retest to keep his ham license. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHA!!!!!!

I heard you ex-wife said you wet the bed, especially when you were drunk, which must be all the time. Is that true wogie?

I still want to know about these special antennas you have that give negative SWR wogie. I sure would like to have and antenna that puts out more power than you put into it. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

Bet you did a good job of malicious interference on 20 meters with that antenna. Good enough that you got caught and had to retest to keep your license.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

3163. Mexican-American Radio Relay League

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 15:30:29 UTC
From: Julio Mendez <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <p0Cse.975810$w62.969871@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 110494

I beg of you my brothers and sisters to join the MARRL and support the 'The National Council of La Raza (NCLR)'. We shall overcome.

http://www.nclr.org/

3164. Re: Mexican-American Radio Relay League

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 16:33:21 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1119026001.230953.124890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110497

Julio Mendez wrote:
> I beg of you my brothers and sisters to join the MARRL and support the 'The
> National Council of La Raza (NCLR)'. We shall overcome.
>
> http://www.nclr.org/

Bueno y Buen suerte!

w3rv

3165. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 17:19:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dCDse.25$D%2.801953@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110498

Michael:

Exactly... nothing is a "whole world onto itself."

There are just different points of views and interests/goals. How one discusses the subjects eventually draws a picture of the authors minds--some have only self-serving interests and goals in mind and are not interested in anything else--some are willing to look at the bigger picture, plan for the future and try to encompass everyone in the future plans and goals...

Hey, it is a free country...

John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message news:d8uj6mvge1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> Mike:
>>
>> Yanno, back in the horse and buggy days--when the horse was
>> king--same thing--some waited with their horses for other to come to
>> their senses and get rid of their autos... it never happened...
>>
>> I think this is very much the same where those so religiously and
>> historically tied to the past and what has always been will remain
>> blind to the fact that change is inevitable...
>>
>> I know the question is not "if it will happen?" but rather, "how long
>> is this going to take?"
>>
>> I feel like I am back at Kitty Hawk saying, "Now it is only a matter
>> of time, men WILL FLY THE EARTH AS EAGLES!" <grin>
>
>
> Remember though, that there is no rule that disallows enjoyment of
> heritage technology *and* more recent technology. (I hesitate to call
> things like the internet, and digital modes as cutting edge - they are
> not)
>
> I spend a lot of my hobby time doing psk31, using antenna design
> programs, and I am starting to fool around with tube equipment as a
> lark too. It's all good, man! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

>

3166. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 19:32:45 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <xzFse.6836$VK4.4747@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110499

>>
>>That makes it a real PITA to people who are good at book
>>learnin' and not so hot at motor skills.
>
>
> Those are the same people who get As in Chemistry but Ds in Chem
> Lab.....
>

Most colleges make Chem class 3 credits but Chem Lab 1 credit,
and some others just lump the two together for 4 credits.
and the grades are usually weighed so labs rate for about 25%
of the final grade.

Similar things happen with Calculus class, I learned how
to solve exam problems but never did figure out how to
actually USE calculus to solve a real world problem.

In undergraduate college, if it doesn't show on the tests,
there's no point in learning it (unless you find something
interesting on its own).

3167. Re: K1MAN The crap has hit the fan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 19:35:46 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <mCFse.6838$VK4.2600@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110400

>
>
> Perhaps Todd could fill the void left by MAN's imminent departure?
>
> Although from his postings, I'm not really sure he could actually
> get a signal out.
>
>

Maybe he's decided that the ham bands are not the right forum
for doing stuff similar to K1MAN's....

3168. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 21:41:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1119044463.647562.70280@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110401

Mike Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >

> > . . . Is it possible that the woman
> > engineers I don't see out here are operating in academia instead??
> > Would not surprise me a bit if that's the case.
>
> I work with 5 or so regularly.
>
> I think you are probably correct regarding their increased presence in
> academia, compared to industry.
>
> My thoughts on why that is so are based on two ideas. First is that the
> academic world is sensitive to gender issues, due to groups that bust on
> them if they are not.

The Harvard guy . . . . Yee-haw! SPANK!

> This leads to the second reason. That is that
> until there are a lot more women graduating in the engineering fields,
> the academic world will scap them up pretty quickly.

No particular comment here, I've been completely out of touch with the
innards of academia for years.

> Some have noted that women tend to think differently than men, and may
> not want to go into the engineering fields as a result of that
> difference. (note that this is as a trend- not as the circumstances
> regarding any one woman) I am not sure if the differences are
> instinctual, or culture based. Time will tell. And it will probably be
> quite a long time.

Certainly women think differently than men and it's good thing they do
in several respects. As far as the timing of the emergence of large
numbers of woman engineers goes. Hell, I know scads of women
contemporaries of mine whose parents never gave them a chance to go
into higher education "because the woman's place is in the home
barefoot, pregnant and in the kitchen". Never mind support their
daughters desires to become engineers. Society has come a long way
since those days but it's gonna take a few more generations before this
thinking actually prevails.

> > Of course she had "problems" with this male chauvinist pig.
Finally got
> > down to me suggesting that instead of differentiating by the man/woman

> > thing we differtiate by using "X-Chromosone people" and "Y-Chromosone
> > people" instead. Only got me about ten seconds of peace before she
> > recovered and got all over me again.
>
> Yoiks!

Yeah yoicks . . she drove me batty, you would not believe it. 15 years later she glomed two degrees before she turned 21, has four kids, a big home in a cushy neighborhood and votes Republican . . . I recently told her 13-year-old son about his Mom riding with Warlocks when she was 14. I dunno how I survived but I have so now it's payback time for Pop-pop. Her two sisters weren't cakewalks either.

> In fairness to the particular engineer, she is not particularly
> obnoxious. Mostly just wants to get her work done.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

3169. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 21:46:13 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <tmg6b15afq8gs66ulpt40tmlncqj7iu3m0@4ax.com>
Source record: 110402

On 17 Jun 2005 04:47:43 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Michael Coslo on Thurs 16 Jun 2005 12:52
>> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>From: Mike Coslo on May 29, 9:57 pm
>> >>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>snip
>
>> > So you're old, Len. Big deal. You grunt and grumble,
>> > rant and rave more than anyone here.
>>
>> No, I don't. :-)
>
>Maybe John Smith does more these past few days...
>
>Maybe you and he are one and the same.

Oh oh. The "Everybody might be somebody else" thing again.....

We're down to two degrees of seperation! :)

>snip

("73 Jim, N2EY" sig missing)

73, Leo

3170. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 21:56:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <T6mdnZGDScSG2i7fRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 110403

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1118980411.680025.112010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> . . .
>
>> > encounters with woman engineers. Is it possible that the woman
>> > engineers I don't see out here are operating in academia instead??
>> > Would not surprise me a bit if that's the case.
>> >
>>
>> I work out in the trenches of the automotive industry
>
> Got it, misimpression on my part, I think you've mentioned "your
> students" in the past and I assumed the rest.
>

Ham radio students.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3171. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 22:36:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1119047783.879996.28290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110404

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > Which was in a much different regime than we have today. The Novice
> > license was a stick and carrot ticket with the emphasis on the stick.
> > We had 365 days from the date the license was issued to upgrade to a
> > 13WPM General or get booted out of ham radio.
>
> Or you could get a Technician ticket - but that had no HF and the
> written
> was the same as General.

The Tech ticket was next to useless in the mid-'50s because VHF/UHF ham
radio didn't exist for all practical purposes. There were a few far-end
experimenters on the air and a few hams using some commercial gear on
6M and some using WW2 surplus gear on 2M like the SCR-522 TX. Novices
had acess to 2M phone but Techs were restricted to freqs above 220Mhz.
It was a really scewball situation. The result was that probably 98% of
the Techs were Novices who took the test for the General and passed the
writtens but flunked the 13WPM code test.

> > Of the dozens of local
> > Novices I knew I don't recall of any who failed to upgrade or bitched
> > about the code tests.
>
> Me neither. In my time the Novice was 2 years but the same one-shot
> no renewal no second chance ticket.
>
> Biggest cause of dropouts in those days was lack of gear.

I didn't see much of that. The norm then was to have a station already
up and running before one went for the test. The 365 day window of
opportunity was tough and wasting time by not being ready to roll when
the ticket arrived was not a good idea.

> > Im convinced that events in the future will prove us right. Today we
> > have a "bloat the numbers at any cost" game which is doomed to backfire
> > eventually. The big question is how badly it will backfire and how much
> > damage will have been be done before it happens. The history of this
> > country over last couple decades is chock full of eamples of backing
> > away from failed giveaways. It's only a matter of time until ham radio
> > gets it's turn.
>
> We're seeing it already. The restructuring of 2000 reduced both the
> code
> *and written* test requirements. Net result was a short-term peak in

> numbers followed by a drop to below where we were in 2000 or even 1997.
>
> Maybe FCC sees that - they could have dropped Element 1 back in 2003,
> or any
> time since, but they haven't seen fit to do so.

The longer they sit on the upcoming NPRM . . . !

> > > A local oldster was inquiring as to when his license expired, because
> > > he couldn't find his F.C.C. Wallpaper. We help him figure it out. We
> > > need to keep the geezers on the air. I love talking to them. I hope
> > > someone is looking out for me when I'm 91!
> >
> > They're all treasures we have a responsibilty to protect. Often from
> > themselves. Heh.
> >
> Yep.
>
> Is 'CNP online or should I use regular mail?

QRZ.com doesn't show an e-mail address for him. On the other hand that
info could be 'way out of date. I wouldn't mess with snail mail, he's a
local, I'd look up his phone number and call him then take it from
there. All he should need is the hard-copy renewal package which you
can order for him via e-mail or phone.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > w3rv

w3rv

3172. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 22:45:52 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1119048352.615161.258070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110405

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > >
>

>
> Certainly women think differently than men and it's good thing they do
> in several respects. As far as the timing of the emergence of large
> numbers of woman engineers goes. Hell, I know scads of women
> contemporaries of mine whose parents never gave them a chance to go
> into higher education "because the woman's place is in the home
> barefoot, pregnant and in the kitchen". Never mind support their
> daughters desires to become engineers. Society has come a long way
> since those days but it's gonna take a few more generations before this
> thinking actually prevails.

Arrrgh! Change "prevails" to "dies out"!

3173. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 23:14:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <xPIse.6958$VK4.6696@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110406

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
news:oNSdnYRiyrdypS_fRVn-gA@gbronline.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>
>> Last night 40
>> meters was full of Morse Code signals from 7000 to 7060 or so.
>
> I listened to the 40 meter cw band last night and also found it full of
> Morse signals, but johnnyboy says that can't be so as cw is dying out, no
> one is interested in using Morse code anymore, so we must obviously have
> something wrong with our receivers. If you find the problem let me know so
> I can fix mine too.

Every night of the week forty is full of CW signals. I guess my MP needs
work too, eh?

Dan/W4NTI

3174. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 23:45:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sgJse.5840$jX6.2877@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110407

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "John Smith" on Sat 11 Jun 2005 00:30

> John, that's the model that N...@aol.com tried to fly in here
> several years ago. [I can't write his name in here because
> N...@aol.com doesn't like being called names...:-)]

It would seem that you can't bring yourself to use his name without some
insulting adjective or without using the diminuitive form of it.

> Others have tried this, of course, but that is just the usual
> psy-war tactics they attempt (unsuccessfully) in words. :-)

> I would say that's only slightly close. It's a DOMINANCE ploy
> they are attempting...that of intimidating and dominating the
> newsgroup sufficiently that they emerge APPARENT winners.

Why, Leonard, you've used those very tactics here for years and years.
Have they made you an "APPARENT winner"?

>
> Chicago: Always seemed a NON-center for electronics and radio, yet
> that is the city and suburbs wherein existed the Bill Halligan works
> better known as "Hallicrafters." [long since gone...RIP] Western
> Electric's main facilities were in Chicago and next to it was
> Graybar, the distribution center. The Siragusa brothers founded
> Admiral Corporation there and made TV sets (and radios) for decades
> with divisions spread all over the suburbs and nearby small cities.
> Zenith Radio began there. Teletype Corporation began there, about
> the same time as Bill Halligan started up. Lots more "smaller"
> firms in that area...but disregarded by the easterners because the
> "town by the lake" isn't in their center of the universe.

You last sentence is completely ridiculous. It has no basis in fact.

> Nursie Worsie is an "expert" on electronics corporations in the
> USA because he read a lot of QST ads and "worked" for less than
> a half year as a purchasing agent for a small set-top box maker.
> It's wonderful that there are SO many amateur "experts" here
> that check everything, determine if the I is dotted and the T
> crossed, then berate/admonish the "guilty" on the slightest
> infraction. Watching them hop up and down in rage is like
> watching a 2-dimensional puppet with a single yank string
> pulled to make their flat arms and legs wiggle. ;-)

Well, for a guy who calls himself a "PROFESSIONAL writer", you certainly
do make quite a number of spelling errors, grammatical errors and

factual mistakes. So does "John Smith".

Dave K8MN

3175. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 23:47:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7iJse.5842$jX6.1230@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110408

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>
> [snip]
>
>
>>Why do I contest? To improve my score by improving my station and myself.
>>
>>Its as simple as that.
>>
>>Have a good day
>>
>>PS; I worked a bunch of sporadic skip yesterday on six meters in the June
>>VHF contest.....with 8 watts and a 3 ele yagi at 20'.
>>
>>It's all a matter of perspective.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
>
> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very strange
> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators. On
> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass the
> code (as some would have us believe)?

Oh, they're still trapped on VHF, Dee. Most of 'em are so trapped that
they know only one mode--FM and do all of their operating via repeaters.

Dave K8MN

3176. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-17 23:59:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CtJse.6029$NX4.5010@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110409

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: John Smith on Jun 12, 3:05 pm
>
>
>>Len:
>>
>>Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
>>heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
>>children, small animals and gays)...
>>
>>They are at a loss when brave men stand their ground and question their
>>faulty logic... reduces 'em to personal attacks and gutter fighting
>>quickly...
>
>
> Actually, not too much "reduction." When I first logged into
> this din of inequity, the morsemen were already in full cry,
> arrogant in their supposition that the code test would never go
> away. El Jefe of them all (some nearly 8 years ago) was KH2D
> and not hesitant to damn all "no-coders" in no uncertain terms.
> N...@aol.com joined in after I did and attempted those same
> long, long postings wherein he was wont to yank out (almost)
> individual words and argue their use (exaggeration, but you get
> the picture). N...@aol.com (who shall remain nameless) still
> does that schtick.

You're being much too modest, Leonard. Jim's posts didn't equal yours
for wordiness or frequency.

> Several others of the very-pro-code-advocacy came and went,
> including a few ex-navy types who bragged over their wonderous
> ability with morse (and who got trained at government expense).

A number of 'em were already hams and already copying high speed CW when
they entered the military, old salt.

> Perhaps the worst blow of all to these stalwart Defenders of the
> Archaic was FCC 99-412, the Report & Order that did the actual
> regulation "restructuring" (for 1999, there have been other years)
> as a sort of "Holiday Present" for all. ONE code test rate at
> 5 WPM, any class license having below 30 MHz privileges.

Actually, Len, that would seem to have been the worst blow to your
"Extra right out of the box" boast. That 5 wpm test stopped you dead in
your tracks.

> From about then on, one by one, the personal attacks and "gutter
> fighting" became worse. The PCTA (Pro-Code Test Advocates) just
> couldn't contain themselves. They popped their tops and vented.
> More anony-mousies joined in, worse than the old PCTA, and the
> personal attacks got worse and worse. The condition today may be
> at a zenith, the Believers (of the code faith) trying to hold
> back tomorrow.

Your tomorrow in amateur radio hasn't yet arrived, has it? Are you prepping for the SONR (Single Op, No radio) category?

> A side problem are those few who think tangentially to the grope.
> Those who think that THEY are the center of the newsgrop world.
> Everything - in their apparent perception - revolves around THEM
> and they cannot take anything that isn't gratuitous praise of
> their heroic opinions. [we all know who they are...:-)]

We surely do. One's given name is Leonard. We've heard his military and commercial radio stories, unrelated to amateur radio, countless times. See what happens when you think tangentially? Not only do you come to believe that you are the center of the "newsgrop world", you come to believe that you have something to do with amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

3177. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 00:07:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YAJse.6030$NX4.3932@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110410

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Please continue to praise the military morse ops, N...@aol.com,
> especially those of the USN. The USN is NOT a branch of the
> military you were in. Indeed, you weren't in ANY branch of the
> military. You "served in other ways."

The Amateur Radio Service is not something in which you are a
participant. Perhaps you served in other ways.

Dave K8MN

3178. Re: What makes a real ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 00:14:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gHJse.5852$jX6.369@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110411

```
LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 14 Jun 2005 14:39
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: "Dee Flint" on Sun 12 Jun 2005 18:10
>>
>>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:1118584479.670520.325030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Has the code exam been dropped yet? Might as well be all black or all
>>>>>white because at the end of the day there are still people who support
>>>>>the wall.
>>
>>All purposeful action starts with an idea - a dream, as it were.
>>First the idea, then the actions to make the idea become
>>a reality.
>
>
> Sort of like showing one's REAL patriotism by volunteering for
> milirary service?

Let's see if we have your thinking down, Leonard. Someone who doesn't
volunteer for military service, especially in time of peace, isn't a
patriot? Is that what you're saying?

>>The person who will not allow him/herself to believe they can do
>>something is already defeated.
>
>
> N...@aol.com tries to sound like a Reverend on morse code,
> preaching from some ivy-covered pulpit. [pulpit fiction
> but without a Travolta] Is this a prelude to (roll drums)
>
> ...A Sermon On The Antenna Mount?
>
> Can N...@aol.com become an artist-illustrator, traveling from
> place to place, painting portraits? Sam Morse did that. Sam
> and his financial backer Al Vail invented morse code. ART
> with minor success at it in any media REQUIRES a built-in
> aptitutde for that (or an excellent PR/gallery person to sell
> "great art").
```

Aptitutde, dutde?

> I started out WORKING as an artist-illustrator because I did
> have the built-in talent/aptitude for that. Not only that, my
> completed works were BETTER in any media than Sam Morse's.

If you DO say so yourself...

> That Test Element 1 remains IN the amateur radio regulations is
> due primarily to the incredible acrimony of those olde-tyme
> hammes who cannot bear to lose the one link to their personal
> "fame" that set them "up above their fellows" (as hobbyists).

You have incredible acrimony over the issue of morse testing, yet the
test remains. Go figure.

Dave K8MN

3179. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 00:17:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HKJse.5855$jX6.425@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110412

John Smith wrote:
> ... the young person of today has a much great education than his/her
> counterpart of even twenty years ago...

Yeah, some of 'em can't even make change without an electronic cash register. Many of them read below the high school level. They have little in the way of cultural literacy. Most are woefully inadequate in geography. They're all sharp.

Dave K8MN

3180. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 00:22:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JZWdnaD6etn29C7fRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 110413

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:xPIse.6958$VK4.6696@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@this.ten> wrote in message
> news:oNSdnYRiyrdypS_fRVn-gA@gbronline.com...
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Last night 40
>>> meters was full of Morse Code signals from 7000 to 7060 or so.
>>
>> I listened to the 40 meter cw band last night and also found it full of
>> Morse signals, but johnnyboy says that can't be so as cw is dying out, no
>> one is interested in using Morse code anymore, so we must obviously have
>> something wrong with our receivers. If you find the problem let me know
>> so I can fix mine too.
>
> Every night of the week forty is full of CW signals. I guess my MP needs
> work too, eh?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Even my nearly new TS-2000 must need work in that case. I even worked St.
Paul Island a few days ago on 40m CW.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3181. More on Steven J. Robeson K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 00:26:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119054387.789039.24690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110414

Nomen Nescio wrote:
> In article
> <1118994736.735341.237740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > Civil discourse with Steven J. Robeson K4YZ is not possible
> if you have
> > > an opposing opinion.
> >
> > Untrue. Others here have said as much. You are, yet
> again,
> > uttering an untruthful statement.
>
> Who's "Others", Nursie, you are a weell-known liar and asshole.
>
>
> > > His opinion is right. Your opinion is wrong.
>
> Agreed. His tiny litle pea brain limits him. Too bad it isn't as
> big as that gut he has to hide with a girdle.
>
> > So far, Brain, you've not provided an ounce of
> substantiation for
> > any of your "opinions", and when your "assertions" have been
> proven
> > wrong, you just DODGE acknowledging your error.
>
> And you have yet to prove anything you say, Nursie.
>
>
> > Brian P Burke is a known chronic liar. Beware.
>
> That's rich coming from a well-known liar like you, Nursie.
> Where's the documentation on that, Nursie?

The Dodgeful Arter keeps making my point.

3182. Re: Why Does Brian P Burke (N0IMD, "bb"") Insist On Reproving Himself A Liar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 00:36:30 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119054990.052531.254880@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110415

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > It was one of your many unsubstantiated assertions.
> >
>
> Rrap is like the bible .... it's all unsubstantiated .... just take it "on
> faith".

Steve takes nothing on faith. I don't even want to think what he would
call those who penned the Bible.

3183. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 00:40:23 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <X3Kse.1074$yg4.669@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110416

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:7iJse.5842$jX6.1230@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > [snip]
> >
> >
> >>Why do I contest? To improve my score by improving my station and
myself.
> >>
> >>Its as simple as that.
> >>
> >>Have a good day
> >>
> >>PS; I worked a bunch of sporadic skip yesterday on six meters in the
June
> >>VHF contest.....with 8 watts and a 3 ele yagi at 20'.
> >>
> >>It's all a matter of perspective.
> >>
> >>Dan/W4NTI
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
strange
> > thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators.
On
> > the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
all
> > the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
the
> > code (as some would have us believe)?
>
> Oh, they're still trapped on VHF, Dee. Most of 'em are so trapped that
> they know only one mode--FM and do all of their operating via repeaters.
>
> Dave K8MN

Hello, Dave

Yep, I'm only on 10 and 440. On 10 meters, I use SSB (one FM contact) as the thing has no provision for CW. On 440 I stay on FM. One guy was really talking up the need for CW so I did, with the repeater owner's permission, change to MCW. 40 words per. No problem. :)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3184. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 00:47:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119055636.345555.209610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110417

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > Ever DESIGN and BUILD an HF amateur radio transceiver, Len?
> > Not from
> > someone else's plans, but from your own design?
> >
> > Didn't think so.
>
> Lennieboy couldn't even build one from someone eles's plans.

That claim is not necessarily correct. Maybe Len could, maybe he
couldn't. All we know for sure is that he hasn't. (If he had,
we'd never hear the end of it).

> >> All the righteous, noble, "federally authorized"
> >> hams deserve
> >> a lifetime of respect and admiration for being scrupulously
> >> honest with everything!

> > Would you rather that hams followed Part 97 the way cb users > > follow Part 95?

> Seems Lennieboy scoffs at those who go by the rules, that
> speaks volumns about him I would say.

He does seem to have trouble with certain radio regulations.
For example, there was a long series of diatribes from Len
about how ITU-R wasn't really a "treaty". Len also claimed
that all hams could legally operate with licenses that were expired but
in the grace period. Wrong, of course. He's also
claimed that Novices and Advanceds will have to retest to
retain their privileges - also wrong, their licenses can be
renewed and modified indefinitely; FCC just isn't issuing new
licenses of those classes.

Len will go on and on about how awful ham radio, and many hams,
are - accorcding to him. But you will never see a single
negative word from him about cb radio. Not one. You'd think
cb was an absolute paragon of rules compliance and a model
of an orderly radio service, if Len was your source of info.

> Seems he is jealous since hams are 'federally
> authorized' to use the ham bands

Sure seems that way!

> and he can't become a member of the fraternity.

Why not? He can get a license just like anyone else. All
it takes is passing the required tests. Heck, the
Technician has not required a code test for 14+ years, and
5 years ago its written test requirements were cut almost
in half. And it gives all amateur radio operating privileges
above 50 MHz.

> > It's not about me, Len. I'm not the one asked FCC to exclude > > everyone
> > under the age of 14 years from any class of amateur radio
> > license,
> > without *any* example of problems caused by the licensing of > > young people as radio amateurs. *You* are.
>
> Just about any 14 year old or younger would make a better ham
> than
> Lennieboy. Many already have, but poor Lennieboy can't make the > grade.
> That is why he doesn't want 14 year olds getting a license, it > makes him look bad.

Maybe. It sure does seem to burn Len's toast that some of us were
hams before age 14.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3185. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 01:05:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119056745.781915.104200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110418

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Top Posting John Smith wrote:

You know, Buzz, I wonder why he top posts when everyone
else here in rrap does the interactive thing.

> > Kelly:

> > Yep. I think you are unaware that some of us out here have
> > our licenses,
> > got our radios fired up, tune the bands--and it is nothing
> > but the same old, same old...

Obviously only tunes the 'phone subbands...

> > We do see all the rag chews, boring rants, same operators,
> > same gripes,
> > same rants, same little groups, same ideas, same
> > conversations as
> > yesterday--day, after day, after day...
>
> So what do you want them to talk about? I thought hams could
> converse
> about whatever pleases them. I don't see anything in the FCC
> regulations
> that says hams can only talk about this and can't talk about
> that. Do you?

Actually there are a few limitations. Hams are not
allowed to use amateur radio to discuss:

- Anything of "pecuniary interest", meaning something that
would result in a monetary profit for those in the discussion.
(K1MAN got into hot water
with that recently - he kept mentioning his website and
stuff that could be bought there.)

- Anything that could aid in the violation of local, state, or
federal laws. (Discussing ways to jumper your electric meter
in order to reduce your bill, for example, is prohibited.)

- Anything that meets FCC's legal definition of obscenity.

I don't recall any other subjects that hams aren't allowed to
discuss on the ham bands.

> > I am sure a lot of 'em are sitting there waiting for us
> > poor ignorant

> > ops to "get with it" and "come to the realization" of
> > just how vital and
> > interesting this all is and SHOULD BE to us..
>
> If you aren't interested no one is holding a gun
> to your head to make
> you listen. Have enough sense to either change to another
> frequency/band
> or turn the damn radio off and find something else to do.

I think you're missing an important point, Buzz.

John is complaining about the content of what he hears on
the ham bands (actually the 'phone subbands) but doesn't tell
us what *he* would find interesting to talk about. Nor does
he seem to be setting an example.
>
> > Well I am one which does not and cannot appreciate it...
> > if the fault
> > lies with me and my interests and views--so be it...
>
> Thank goodness not everyone has to share your views or
> interests.

> > If I am wrong and all these young guys just can't wait
> > to get a license
> > and startup a QSO so they hear these old guys fart
> > and rant--well, that
> > is just a short coming of mine--and, those young dynamic guys > > who are
> > running the world right now and providing new ideas, designs > > and methods
> > are probably on the way here right now to find the old
> > farts.... I'll
> > just sit here and wait for 'em, I need a change... maybe I > > < can chat
> > with one or two of 'em--if they can quit their hero worship
> > of you guys
> > long enough... <grin>
> >
> > John
>
> Sure sounds like ham radio isn't for you. Maybe you should go
> back to cb and the 'freeband'.

Sounds like ageism to me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3186. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 01:38:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119058738.968024.301600@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110419

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 14 Jun 2005 09:08
>
> >John Smith wrote:
> >> I think most of those groups progressive and open to change--this alone
> >> would make classification as a cult difficult...
> >>
> >Try selling the NRA on the idea that the Second Amendment
> >should be
> >repealed.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. THIS newsgroup is NOT about owning personal
> firearms.

Then why did you mention "a few ounces of pressure", Len?

> >Try selling NCI on the idea that *some* code testing is OK.
>
> No Code International was found for the express purpose of
> ELIMINATING the morse code test. Why should they "change"
> and do like you wish them to do?

Because it would show that they are "progressive" and
"open to change", rather than stuck in the past. We are
told that "change is inevitable" so why should NCI
fight change the way they do? Why should they insist on
un-moving devotion to their "principles" and the staunch
"unwillingness" to change on the point of code
testing?

> >> However, the ARRL with un-moving devotion to
> >> its "principles" and the
> >> staunch "unwillingness" to change is what makes it more
> >> appropriate to such classification...
> >>
> >The ARRL is as "open to change" (if not more so) as any of the
> >organizations named.
>
> Total and complete bullshit,

No, it isn't, Len.

> N...@aol.com.

Why can't you just call me "Jim" or "N2EY", Len?

> The ARRL is about as
> hidebound to the status quo as is possible for the olde-tyme
> league leaders to be and still be human.

How so?

In 1998, *before* FCC released an NPRM, ARRL formally proposed
reducing the
number of amateur radio license classes in the USA from 6 to 4,
proposed reducing the code testing requirements for General and
Extra class licenses, proposed free upgrades for two license classes,
and proposed better written testing.

ARRL also proposed that Technician class amateurs be allowed to
use some HF bands *without having passed a Morse Code test*.

ARRL has recently formally proposed another round of changes,
including elimination of the code test for all but Extra class
licenses.

Hardly "hidebound to the status quo"!

Your claim is incorrect.

> That is abundantly
> clear in their publications, periodicals, and whatnot output > in at least the last half
century!

How?

Way back in the late 1940s and early 1950s, ARRL was fully
behind amateurs getting on SSB. There were numerous articles
in QST promoting the mode, SSB construction projects in various
ARRL publications, and even a column in QST "On The Air with
Single Sideband". Some hams even complained to the editor that
ARRL was "forcing SSB down our throats".

Hardly "hidebound to the status quo"

Plenty of other examples all through the past half century.
VHF, UHF, SSTV, repeaters, satellites, AMTOR, packet, EME,
and much more are the subject of both the general ARRL
publications and specialized books.

The June 2005 issue of QST has a two page article on EME
with JT65. You probably don't even know what JT65 is, Len.

>The league WILL support the law as it is written.

What would you have them do - break the law?

> It must. That
> is PC. Beyond that, the league sits on their
> collective olde-tyme
> duffs, trying to keep the status at the quo of the core
> membership.

> the Believers.

Those claims are completely incorrect.

> >The ARRL has been pushing for a revision of the rules to
> >classify
> >signals by bandwidth rather than content, and to free up old
> >technical limitations. What other group has put forth such a
> >proposal?
>
> What "other group" is there in the USA posing
> as "representative
> of 'all' amateurs?" [ain't none]

"You cannot answer a question with another question" - Len Anderson

> What has the mighty league DONE in all its "pushing" since > 1979?
> It couldn't defeat Access BPL.

So? Neither could you. Nor any of the many others, amateurs and
professionals, who tried.

You probably wouldn't even know what BPL was if not for ARRL's
publicity efforts.

> It couldn't get a whole band at 60 m.

There would be no amateur access to 60 meters at all without
ARRL. And the only reason a band was not authorized was that
NTIA drastically changed their policy after September 11, 2001.

> It couldn't stop the IARU (and NCI) led REVISION of S25
> at WRC-03.

ARRL did not try to! Back in early 2001, the ARRL BoD changed
policy, and decided not to oppose changing S25.5. That was
more than two years before WRC-2003.

> It couldn't stop the FCC restructuring ELIMONATION
> of 13 and 20 WPM morse code test rates.

"ELIMONATION"?

Actually, ARRL proposed the removal of the 20 wpm code
test and the reduction of the General class code test
to 5 wpm. *Before* FCC released that NPRM!

> It couldn't stop the
> FCC in cutting the number of new amateur radio license
> classes in HALF.

Actually, ARRL proposed the reduction of license
classes from 6 to 4 *before* FCC released that NPRM!

> CHANGE is happening but the league has become impotent
> and represents only the olde-tyme, settled-in-their-ways core
> membership.

That claim is simply incorrect.

> For a quarter of a century the ARRL had done
> little but brag about how good they are, yet still hasn't
> increased their membership percentage of all licensed U.S.
> radio amateurs.

That claim is incorrect.

> >US amateur radio is and has long been wide open for
> >new discoveries,
> >methods, devices, etc.. Particularly on VHF/UHF, where
> >there's lots of
> >bandwidth. You cannot blame the license requirements
> >for lack of
> >innovation, because the requirements for full VHF/UHF
> >privileges have
> >included no code test and only a minimal written test
> >for 14+ years.

> >The real "cult" or "religion" to watch for is the mindset that > >all
> >change is good, new is better than old, ending is better than
> >mending, and similar marketing buzzphrases.
>
> Poor Believer. All radio amateurs desiring below-30-MHz
> privileges "MUST" test for morsemanship...because it is the
> FIRST mode in radio and all MUST keep the tradition and other
> assorted maxims. Coupled (tightly) with the mighty League as
> a "potent representative" of "all hams," all that non-
> believers
> have clear visibility to the CULT FOLLOWING of the MORSE
> SECT.

> >Suppose FCC just dumps Element 1 tomorrow. Will we see a
> >techno-
> >revolution in ham radio? Not likely - it didn't happen after
> >the Tech
> >lost its code test.
>
> WHERE is N...@aol.com demonstrating ANYTHING in this "techno-
> revolution?"

It's not up to me, Len. We've been told many times that dropping
the code test would bring in a lot of "technical people" who
would "revolutionize amateur radio". Hasn't happened.

> Poor Believer. 2 out of 5 U.S. radio amateurs are Technician
> Class licensees and they don't worship morsemanship!

So what?

> It must be
> a virtual hell for the arrogant and elite morsemen who don't
> get
> the respect and admiration they insist they deserve...tsk, > tsk.

As opposed to the "virtual hell" of certain "arrogant and elite"
non-amateurs who don't get the respect and admiration they demand...

Do you know what JT65 is, Len?

3187. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 02:07:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Wo2dnZkbFbx0HC7fRVn-2Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110420

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> Please continue to praise the military morse ops, N...@aol.com,
>> especially those of the USN. The USN is NOT a branch of the
>> military you were in. Indeed, you weren't in ANY branch of the
>> military. You "served in other ways."
>
>
> The Amateur Radio Service is not something in which you are a
> participant. Perhaps you served in other ways.

game, set, match!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3188. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 03:22:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119064922.213938.166760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110421

From: Michael Coslo on Jun 17, 9:51 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Michael Coslo on Thurs 16 Jun 2005 12:52
>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>From: Mike Coslo on May 29, 9:57 pm
>>>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>>>>>>Many people lament that there is not enough interest
>>>>>>>in Ham radio by young people.

Awwww...ya gotta be kidding! :-)

You mean all those young people today aren't wanting to study
morsemanship and beep to other lands? Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Wow! "Not" having one's OWN RADIO STATION! They don't know
what they are missing...[koff, koff, choke, BWAHAH...]

>>> Yeah, like me! Ancient IBM mainframes that took up an entire room in
>>>the late '70s. First personal size computer was the venerable Trash-80
>>>with a tape drive around 1981. First computer hooked to a modem was a
>>>C-64 a few years later. Got a number of the Commodore Amigas. Had a
>>>A500, an A2000,A3000, and an A4000. Got the Macs starting with a II-CX,
>>>then to a 7100, 7500, G3, G4, and now a G5. PC's from the 286, 386 PS2,
>>>Several laptops, and some HP Pavilions at home.

[tsk, you still didn't see where you wrote "first personal?" :-)]

>> Woweee! Keep that consumer market afloat with buy, buy, buy...
>
>> Ever BUILD your own PC, Michael? Like etch the PC, drill them
>> holes, solder them pins, PROGRAM the monitor ROM? No? Tsk.
>
> Yes! Not as a PC, but I have designed boards, taken the artwork through
>the photo stages, sensitized and exposed the boards, etched them and put
>'em together. Professionally. I've programmed ROM's also, though not often.

Sorry, that won't do under the newsgroup rules. The ONLY way to
prove that is to have your own web page with pictures, hopefully
including "admiring neighbors" gushing over those might doings...

>>> I've been around computers a while, despite diatribes to the contrary .
>

>> Tsk, tsk. When I was young we carved our own ICs out of wood....
>
> hehe.

BREAKTHROUGH! A PCTA with some sense of humor! :-)

>>>>> To get an HF transceiver in their vehicles, both young and
>>>>> old could buy a set of transceiver, antenna, microphone for
>>>>> under $200 from Sears, K-Mart, Wall-Mart, etc. in the
>>>>> morning and have it installed and working in the afternoon.
>
>>>>And it had a few channels, one mode and 5 watts of power at most.
>
>> 23 or 40 channels is "few?" In an urban area of 10 million+,
>> yes, too few. :-)
>
>> But, "5 watts of power" can WORK THE WORLD!!! :-)
>
> Sometimes! I recall a PSK31 exchange with a fellow from Australia.
>That's just about as far as you can go without coming back.

Not quite. A few Watts to the Sea of Tranquility...over a 250
thousand mile path would do... :-)

>> Riiiiight you are Mr. Noblestoneofall...all CBers are dastard
>> souless lawbreakers and should all be taken out and shot.
>
> You talkin to *me*?

No, was talkin' to Robert DeNiro. Taxi anyone? Where Jodie?

Actually, it's hard to tell PCTAs apart nowadays. Their mantras
are practically in harmony..."preserve the status quo as much as
possible"..."obey the LAW and TAKE that REQUIRED code test!!" (as
if the law cannot ever be changed)..."I didn't like CW until I HAD
to learn it to get a license and then found it 'fun'!" (as if all
would find it 'fun' if they tried to do so)."

>>> Real technicians don't look up pinouts either! ;^)
>
>> Oh? You "KNOW" every single tube, transistor, IC pinout by heart?
>
>> If you do, you're ten kinds of dumshit braggart, Michael.
>
> You have chided me in the past for not *getting* a sarcasm in a post.
>
> Consider yourself chided.

No huhu. As I said, all PCTAs sound alike nowadays and so few have
either a sense of today's reality or of humor.

Then again, there was one in here last year who was bragging
all over the place about ballooning to "the edge of space"

and seemed to want all kinds of 'congratulations' for that
achievement before ever doing a thing about it.

I digress. Sorry. :-)

>>>>Yep - like the hams who pioneered HF radio in 1923...
>
>> Woweee! Like they got TOSSED OUT of MF and had to go where
>> wavelengths were shorter than 200 meters...by ACT OF LAW!!!!
>
>> Some "pioneering!!!" The first of the "homeless" in radio and
>> now you say they were "pioneers?!?" Geez, talk about
>> rationalizations!!!
>
>Necessity is the mother of invention - and innovation.

What "invention?" Two experimenters tried out radio for the
first time in 1896. That's 27 years prior, over a generation.

"Innovation?" What was to "innovate" with spark transmitters
that had already been designed many different ways?

>> Awwwwwwww! You poor guys, never worked the Big Leagues of radio?
>
> Never particularly wanted to! ;^)

You should have tried it even if you hadn't wanted to...you might
have found it to be FUN! :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk. That was called "serving one's country" in the
military. Three dozen high-power HF transmitters to be in charge
of each shift. OPERATING them. ["dipping the plate, peaking the
grid" during QSYs, just like the boat-anchor folks do today, 52
years later!]

Jimmie no like that. Jimmie say that OLD. Tsk. Jimmie never do
dat.

Kellie no like that. Kellie say dat just "meter reading." Kellie
never do dat.

Stevie weavie, da worsie nursie no like that. He say ALL LIES!
Stebie never do dat.

Buzzie Wuzzie, from da TV fiction outer-space shows, no like dat.
Buzzie never do dat.

All PCTA mad about dat. Poor PCTA. Too much beeping.

NCTA change law. Bye-bye beeping test.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3189. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 03:23:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119065029.120442.68620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110422

From: Leo on Jun 17, 5:46 pm

>On 17 Jun 2005 04:47:43 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Michael Coslo on Thurs 16 Jun 2005 12:52
>>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >>>From: Mike Coslo on May 29, 9:57 pm
>>> >>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:

>> > So you're old, Len. Big deal. You grunt and grumble,
>> > rant and rave more than anyone here.
>
>> No, I don't. :-)
>
>>Maybe John Smith does more these past few days...
>
>>Maybe you and he are one and the same.
>
>Oh oh. The "Everybody might be somebody else" thing again.....

Jimmie is into his paranoid phase again. Tsk, tsk.

Too much exposure to beeping is what causes it.

>We're down to two degrees of seperation! :)

Nah. Jimmie is off on a tangent again, trying to figure the angles.

He is only fitting hisself for a hypoten-oose. :-)

>>snip
>
>("73 Jim, N2EY" sig missing)

OH! HEAVENS ABOVE! NO "SIG!!!" TERRIBLE! :-)

>73, Leo

We wonder how many kids Jimmie has "parented?" He no say.

Jimmie not member IEEE yet claims to be "in electronics."

Jimmie not vewwy professional. Maybe he NOT in electronics?

LenAndeson@ieee.org

3190. Re: 5'4" tall Lardass says "IKYABWAI" and the crowd roars with laughter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 05:49:14 UTC
From: Shondra <NoSpam@noemail>
Message-ID: <d90cjl$i9p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110423
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Tarapia Tapioco" <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it> wrote in message
news:e6455733789a8e7e95bd493f844c1e15@firenze.linux.it...
In article <87229017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Pedophile Steve Holsten of Kennett,Missouri aka "Your Great
Queero Steve" <hero...@no.spam> wrote:
>
> On Thu, 16 Jun 2005 15:37:50 +0200 (CEST), &#65533;berwinner wrote:

BWHAHAHAHAHA..... <snip>

It is quite common for people, like Roger, with dementia to forget about, or lose interest in, bathing and changing their clothes. Although this can be perplexing and upsetting for a career, it doesn&#65533;t effect Roger since he has no career. Working out ways to cope with Roger without getting into an argument or a vile confrontation is impossible.

Washing and dressing are intimate, private activities. Many people like Roger have never undressed in front of others and may be embarrassed or humiliated by their miniscule manhood. Roger is particularly embarrassed because he is incontinent, and flatly refuses to bathe or change his clothes to try to disguise the problem.

It is not unusual for these types to pull down the blinds or to hide behind curtains so as to create a feeling of privacy.

(Hiding behind other forms of anonymity is more the norm than the exception.)

3191. Re: "Typos", TimeLARD???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 08:24:50 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d90lnd$3hc$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110424
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Roger said:

"Hey Lardass, tell us why you were committed to the mental
nuthouse in Independance, Iowa for a year after you tried to
commit suicide! You really shouldn't have bungled it up, since
you have absolutely nothing to live for, depression boy."
??????????????

Poor Roger. He speaks from experience. Roger knows all too well the
humiliation of being admitted to a mental health institution.
The issue of suicide is all too familiar to Roger, but as with everything
else he has tried, he failed at that, too.

And speaking of nothing to live for, Roger is the Poster Boy Supreme.

Look at you, Roger. You spend an uncountable number of hours each day
stalking Lloyd. Your delusions have gotten so bad that you literally see and
hear Lloyd everywhere.
Can you, Roger, even go to the local store without imagining that Lloyd is
behind every display? Hiding behind the Campbell soup cans? Do you look over
your shoulder as you work in the yard, expecting to see Lloyd behind every
tree or bush? If your lawn mower doesn't start, do you accuse Lloyd of
putting a dirty spark plug in it? When your TV remote goes bad, do you
accuse Lloyd of replacing good batteries with bad? And God forbid the milk
in your refrigerator should go sour. You know how sneaky Lloyd is...he lives
for the opportunity to sneak into your kitchen and replace good milk with
sour. He's pretty good at picking locks in the middle of the night, but you
already know that.

You really should look into getting some help for your delusions. If you
don't, that little unbalanced Merry-Go-Round in your head is not going to
stop but will only spin faster and faster and faster until one day Chief
Stenger finds you hanging lifelessly from a closet rod. Not that this would
be a loss, but it would be an inconvenience for Stenger in that he'd have to
do an hour's worth of paperwork. You are not worth but fifteen minutes, if
that.

3192. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 10:30:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119090616.151018.15020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110425

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> We wonder how many kids Jimmie has "parented?" He no say.

You don't say how many *you* have parented, Len. Yet you would
prohibit anyone under the age of 14 from getting an amateur
radio license of any kind.

Why?
>
> Jimmie not member IEEE yet claims to be "in electronics."

Where have I claimed to be "in electronics", Len? Can only
IEEE members honestly make that claim, and everyone else is not
"in electronics"?

> Jimmie not vewwy professional.

You're not "professional" at all, Len. Do you tell folks
at the IEEE to "shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel"?

Still waiting for you to confront Mr. Powell - the one you called
"Mikey". Oh wait, he's not part of FCC anymore.

3193. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 11:17:48 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119093468.878586.18060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110426

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Phil Kane wrote:
> > On 15 Jun 2005 17:01:18 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> > >In all my 43 years in engineering I've met a grand total of four woman
> > >engineers, two MEs, one EE and a Chem E.
> >
> > In my 50 years in engineering I've =dated= more women engineers than
> > you seem to have met, was engaged to one (nuclear engineer) and
> > married another (EE). In my wife's office alone there are more than
> > 4 =PEs= on her floor, including the chief of the structural engineering
> > section (imagine that, a lady tower engineer). Had my wife gone
> > through the paperwork as she talked about twenty years ago she, too,
> > would have been a PE.
> >
> > >Our contesting club alone has
> > >three female members, an old girlfriend is a ham and I met W3CUL. Out
> > >of Lord only knows how many engineers and hams I've met over the years.
> >
> > In our club, the largest radio club in the state if not in the
> > Pacific Northwest, about 1/3 of the hams are women, and of them,
> > about half are active on the air in some fashion or other.
>
> This topic is getting interesting, I'd like to take it a bit further.
> I'm at a complete loss to understand why there's such an obvious
> disparity in the numbers of woman hams & engineers in this part of the
> country vs. in your part of the country.

Me too!

> With respect to the socioeconomicpolitical mindsets Oregon is well
> known for marching to it's own occasionally quirky liberal drummer
> while PA is a typical old-form mid-Atlantic centrist sort of place. I
> 'spose there are some of the usual left coast / right coast differences
> which sort of favor left coast women and might explain part of it. But
> good grief, we're not talking Albania and Sweden here.

But there are other differences. Population density in BosWash is
much higher than in the Pacific Northwest. Things like telephones
and TV were here sooner, particularly in terms of "most people have
them". And most of this area has been "settled" by non-Native Americans
for 300+ years.

> I've mulled the matter off and on for a few hours and it occurs > to me
> that maybe, just maybe our exposures to women engineers in

> particular
> have been quite different. As in where you've churned your coin > vs.
> where I've gotten mine over the years. I've only spent a total > of ten
> years working for large entities, six as a Navy employee back
> when
> woman engineers simply didn't exist for all practical purposes, > then
> much later I did four with the DuPont central engineering
> center in the
> mid-1980s. Three of the four woman engineers I've met and cited > were
> DuPont employees, the fourth was a short-time part timer I ran > into on
> a specific small-biz project whose real job was with some large > firm or
> another.

Another factor is which engineering disciplines and sub-disciplines you
encounter. There may be a lot more female
ChemEs than MechEs. Etc.

My class of 33 at Penn (1976, Moore School of Electrical Engineering)
graduated 3 women - all specializing in computers. I don't think Towne
School graduated any female engineers that year.

Of course that's ancient history compared to today's ratios, but
it shows a starting point almost 30 years ago.

> Except for the six I did with the Navy, a gig I loved and was enormous
> fun I've spent most of the rest of my career in smokestack small-medium
> size busineses. I have allergic reactions to huge employers for a
> number of reasons and generally avoid them. I despise
> corporate beige with a purple passion
>
> If I have it right you spent most of your career with the FCC, > another
> huge entity. Is it possible that women in engineering tend to
> gravitate
> in large numbers to major entities where fair employment
> practices are
> actually practiced and you've gotten involved with more of them > than I've ever managed
to meet?

Perhaps not so much "gravitate" as in "are forced by circumstances"?

All of which is and has been changing. But it takes a long
time for such trends to make their way through the workforce.

> > --
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> > From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
> > Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon
>
> w3rv
>
> Out here in the smokestacks of Delaware County PA

Ditto if you can say Radnor has smokestacks.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3194. Re: Another Lennie Screw-Up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 11:34:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119094486.697747.102700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110427

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> >They are at a loss when brave men

Who would that be, Len?

Men who aren't "brave" enough to give their real names and
callsigns?

> >stand their ground and question their faulty logic...

Oh - you mean folks like me, who point out your mistakes
and faulty logic. OK then.

> >reduces 'em to personal attacks and gutter fighting
> >quickly...

Yep - like the way you cannot address someone who
disagrees with you by their name or callsign without
trying to turn it into an insult.

Or like the way you tell others to "shut the hell up,
you little USMC feldwebel" (you words, not mine, Len)

Or like the "few ounces of pressure" comments...
>
> Actually, not too much "reduction." When I first logged into
> this din of inequity, the morsemen were already in full cry,
> arrogant in their supposition that the code test would never
> go
> away. El Jefe of them all (some nearly 8 years ago) was KH2D
> and not hesitant to damn all "no-coders" in no uncertain
> terms.

I see. So becauce of what *he* said, *you* feel justified in
acting the way you do against *all* who disagree with you on
that issue.

> N...@aol.com

Just can't bring yourself to call me "Jim" or "N2EY"..

> joined in after I did and attempted those same
> long, long postings wherein he was wont to yank out (almost)
> individual words and argue their use (exaggeration, but you > get
> the picture). N...@aol.com (who shall remain nameless) still
> does that schtick.

IOW, I point out some of your mistakes and faulty logic, and you
go ballistic over strong opposition from brave men who won't be
intimidated by your words.

> Several others of the very-pro-code-advocacy came and went,
> including a few ex-navy types who bragged over their
> wonderous
> ability with morse (and who got trained at government
> expense).

Len, *you* were trained in electronics "at government expense".
Actually *taxpayer* expense.

Several others of the very-anti-code-advocacy came and went,
including a few types who bragged about their wonderous
inability with morse.

> Perhaps the worst blow of all to these stalwart Defenders of > the
> Archaic was FCC 99-412, the Report & Order that did the
> actual
> regulation "restructuring" (for 1999, there have been other
> years)
> as a sort of "Holiday Present" for all. ONE code test rate > at
> 5 WPM, any class license having below 30 MHz privileges.
>
> From about then on, one by one, the personal attacks
> and "gutter
> fighting" became worse.

> The PCTA (Pro-Code Test Advocates) just
> couldn't contain themselves. They popped their tops and
> vented.

You pop your top more than anyone here, Len.

> More anony-mousies joined in,

You mean like "Leo" and "John Smith"?

> worse than the old PCTA, and > the
> personal attacks got worse and worse.

By whom, Len?

> The condition today may be
> at a zenith, the Believers (of the code faith) trying to hold
> back tomorrow.

How about January 19, 2000, when you told us you were going for
Extra "right out of the box", Len?

How about your attempt to keep young people under age 14 (the hams
of tomorrow) from getting any class of amateur radio license?

> A side problem are those few who think tangentially to the
> grope.

"Grope"?

> Those who think that THEY are the center of the newsgrop
> world.
> Everything - in their apparent perception - revolves around > THEM
> and they cannot take anything that isn't gratuitous praise of
> their heroic opinions. [we all know who they are...:-)]

Yep - one of the most verbose and grandiose ones isn't even a ham!

3195. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 11:56:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119095780.017645.197480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110428

Dave Heil wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > [snip]
> >
> >
> >>Why do I contest? To improve my score by improving my station and myself.
> >>
> >>Its as simple as that.
> >>
> >>Have a good day
> >>
> >>PS; I worked a bunch of sporadic skip yesterday on six meters in the June
> >>VHF contest.....with 8 watts and a 3 ele yagi at 20'.
> >>
> >>It's all a matter of perspective.
> >>
> >>Dan/W4NTI
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very strange
> > thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators. On
> > the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
> > the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass the
> > code (as some would have us believe)?
>
> Oh, they're still trapped on VHF, Dee. Most of 'em are so trapped that
> they know only one mode--FM and do all of their operating via repeaters.
>
> Dave K8MN

What a wunnerful attitude you have toward Techs, Oh Mighty DXer. And
people have to ask who chased away the Techs. I guess the only time
you would embrace a Tech is if he were calling you out of band on 6M.
Hi!

3196. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 12:04:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119096293.228606.140040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110429

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:Jcudnfa0a_PrPTHfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
> >
> > I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> > strange thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
> > operators. On the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So
> > where are all the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they
> > "can't" pass the code (as some would have us believe)?
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> Hey Dee,
>
> Good question actually. Perhaps they are part of the vast majority of hams
> that are inactive.
>
> More likely they are all "stuck" on FM and have not a clue what else is
> going on with V/Uhf. What a shame.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Ah, yes. The Shameful, Clueless Techs. With attitudes like yours,
it's no wonder they don't hang around.

3197. Another Lennie Screw-Up..Actually An Old One He Keeps Regurgitating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 12:08:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119096520.181038.311000@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110430

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Nursie Worsie is an "expert" on electronics corporations in the
> USA because he read a lot of QST ads and "worked" for less than
> a half year as a purchasing agent for a small set-top box maker.

Lennie, Lennie, Lennie...

Why do you keep trying to regurgitate this stuff when it's not
true?

I didn't work in Duluth for "less than half a year" and I didn't
work for "...a small set-top box maker..."

This is why I call you a liar, Lennie...

...because you don't tell the truth!

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

3198. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 12:10:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <G6-dnYGN26etkinfRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 110431

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1119093468.878586.18060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> Phil Kane wrote:
>> > On 15 Jun 2005 17:01:18 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> >
>

[sni]

> My class of 33 at Penn (1976, Moore School of Electrical Engineering)
> graduated 3 women - all specializing in computers. I don't think Towne
> School graduated any female engineers that year.
>
> Of course that's ancient history compared to today's ratios, but
> it shows a starting point almost 30 years ago.
>

I will add some anecdotal comments to that. At my school we graduated
approx 200 engineers and about 10% were women.

[snip]
>> If I have it right you spent most of your career with the FCC, > another
>> huge entity. Is it possible that women in engineering tend to
>> gravitate
>> in large numbers to major entities where fair employment
>> practices are
>> actually practiced and you've gotten involved with more of them > than
>> I've ever managed to meet?
>
> Perhaps not so much "gravitate" as in "are forced by circumstances"?
>
> All of which is and has been changing. But it takes a long
> time for such trends to make their way through the workforce.

Keep in mind that the majority of engineering jobs are at major entities in
major cities. Thus they will be more apt to be statistically
representative. In small companies and/or rural areas the numbers are going
to be skewed. I've worked at several companies where I was the only female
engineer out of a staff of from 5 to 10 engineers.

I've been in engineering for 30 years. I've seen virtually no
discrimination in this field as this country remains chronically short of
engineers. Oh there are spots in the country where it is difficult to find
a job and sometimes the economy slumps but that does not mean engineers are
not needed but that the companies make do with a short handed staff (been

there done that).

Instead I believe that women are more prone than men to select jobs more on the perceived desireability of the job location. They are more prone to select the office jobs rather than the plant jobs, thus placing themselves at the headquarters and technical offices rather than the factories out in the boonies and so on. Also there is a difference in what defines a desireable location. A higher percentage of the men will look at a facility in a rural location and say "now I can go fishing more often." There's probably a whole raft of reasons having nothing to do with discrimination that contribute to the disparity.

3199. Re: Why Does Brian P Burke (N0IMD, "bb"") Insist On Reproving Himself A Liar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 12:12:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119096762.314134.322190@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110432

bb wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > >
> > > It was one of your many unsubstantiated assertions.
> > >
> >
> > Rrap is like the bible .... it's all unsubstantiated .... just take it "on
> > faith".
>
> Steve takes nothing on faith.

Not from you and Lennie. You're proven liars. Why would I take
anything on "faith" from a proven liar, Brain?

What have YOU done to disprove my allegations of your dishonesty?

> I don't even want to think what he would call those who penned the Bible.

I call them "men". Why? What do YOU call them?

Steve, K4YZ

3200. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 12:13:38 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110433

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very strange
> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators. On
> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass the
> code (as some would have us believe)?
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Dee, I'm going to guess (i.e., don't ask me for facts, figures, substantive studies, reports from the FCC/ARRL, etc) that of the Techs that have stayed in amateur radio, most have done so for the emergency communications aspect of the service. As such, they would typically stay on FM.

The ones who could learn Morse Code and have had to time to do so have moved up. Those who didn't have the time or couldn't learn it have moved on.

It's nice to see Miss Manners finally noticing something amiss in the ARS.

3201. Brian P Burke Continues His Dishonesty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 12:17:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119097022.076101.211060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110434

bb wrote:

> The Dodgeful Arter keeps making my point.

The only point made here, Brain, is that you're a liar.

You continue to prove that. It's in your realm to DISprove it,
but all you do is try to wordsmith your way out of it.

Sorry. Google archives refer.

No matter what name you hide behind.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

3202. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 12:17:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119097056.635088.325150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110435

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
> > I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very strange
> > thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators. On
> > the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
> > the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass the
> > code (as some would have us believe)?
>
> It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
> the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
>
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> w3rv

It's so refreshing to see Extras being Extras again; having their usual
disdain for the No Code Techs. And some people have to ask who chased
away the Techs.

3203. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 12:22:58 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119097378.928060.270970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110436

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >> strange
> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators.
> >> On
> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
> >> the
> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
> >
> > It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
> > the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
> >
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > w3rv
> >
>
> BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being technical in
> nature way back in the early 60s.

Dan, I've never seen you advocating "Truth in Licensing." Have you
said as much to the FCC in any of your NPRM comments?

> And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

If most of the VHF/UHF Contestors are Extra's as Dee says, why isn't CW
used most often?

3204. Grown men are running in terror from Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 13:14:09 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8701784433.245448F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 110437
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Over on NIM Busters, people are so spooked by Woger that they keep running to new boards at new locations. The admin has even become so paranoid that he thinks almost everyone is Woger. He has accused at least two people of being Woger who are regular lurkers (they read but mostly don't post) and driven them away.

It's hilarious! Woger thinks everyone is Lloyd, and the admin thinks everyone is Woger.

Does that mean Lloyd owns Woger who owns the admin, and therefore Lloyd owns everyone?

Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! Time Lords always win in the end.

3205. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 15:45:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9kXse.6246$NX4.1171@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110438

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>news:ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>>
>>>[snip]
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Why do I contest? To improve my score by improving my station and myself.
>>>>
>>>>Its as simple as that.
>>>>
>>>>Have a good day
>>>>
>>>>PS; I worked a bunch of sporadic skip yesterday on six meters in the June
>>>>VHF contest.....with 8 watts and a 3 ele yagi at 20'.
>>>>
>>>>It's all a matter of perspective.
>>>>
>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very strange
>>>thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators. On
>>>the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
>>>the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass the
>>>code (as some would have us believe)?
>>
>>Oh, they're still trapped on VHF, Dee. Most of 'em are so trapped that
>>they know only one mode--FM and do all of their operating via repeaters.

> What a wunnerful attitude you have toward Techs, Oh Mighty DXer. And
> people have to ask who chased away the Techs. I guess the only time
> you would embrace a Tech is if he were calling you out of band on 6M.
> Hi!

I don't embrace radio amateurs at all, "bb". A firm handshake is good enough for me.

My attitude toward many of the current crop of new techs is one bathed upon five years observation, since returning to the U.S. I haven't chased them anywhere. In fact, it has been just the opposite. I've tried to encourage them to use simplex on FM. I've tried to encourage them to try 6 meters along with 432 and 144 MHz SSB and CW. I can't be faulted that they don't act after being encouraged. If these folks are, in fact "trapped" in a world of 2m only FM repeater operation, they have fashioned the trap themselves by not being more curious about the rest of VHF/UHF amateur radio. There are avenues open to them but they aren't participating.

Dave K8MN

3206. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 15:46:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7IXse.6251$NX4.4306@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110439

bb wrote:
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:Jcudnfa0a_PrPTHfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
>>
>>>I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>>>strange thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>>>operators. On the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So
>>>where are all the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they
>>>"can't" pass the code (as some would have us believe)?
>>>
>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>
>>Hey Dee,
>>
>>Good question actually. Perhaps they are part of the vast majority of hams
>>that are inactive.
>>
>>More likely they are all "stuck" on FM and have not a clue what else is
>>going on with V/Uhf. What a shame.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> Ah, yes. The Shameful, Clueless Techs. With attitudes like yours,
> it's no wonder they don't hang around.

They'd have to come around in order to hang around.

Dave K8MN

3207. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 15:50:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JoXse.6264$NX4.1207@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110440

bb wrote:
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>>>>strange
>>>>thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators.
>>>>On
>>>>the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
>>>>the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
>>>>the
>>>>code (as some would have us believe)?
>>>
>>>It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
>>>the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>>w3rv
>>>
>>
>>BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being technical in
>>nature way back in the early 60s.
>
>
> Dan, I've never seen you advocating "Truth in Licensing." Have you
> said as much to the FCC in any of your NPRM comments?
>
>
>>And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> If most of the VHF/UHF Contestors are Extra's as Dee says, why isn't CW
> used most often?

It is used, "bb", but ops are attempting to maximize score by working everyone, even those not using CW. I switch modes quite often during the course of a VHF/UHF contest. During heavy aurora, CW works much better than SSB. I'd have thought you'd have already known it.

Dave K8MN

3208. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 16:22:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <I_ednathmrDd1ynfRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110442

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> strange
>> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators.
>> On
>> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
>> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
>> the
>> code (as some would have us believe)?
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Dee, I'm going to guess (i.e., don't ask me for facts, figures,
> substantive studies, reports from the FCC/ARRL, etc) that of the Techs
> that have stayed in amateur radio, most have done so for the emergency
> communications aspect of the service. As such, they would typically
> stay on FM.
>
> The ones who could learn Morse Code and have had to time to do so have
> moved up. Those who didn't have the time or couldn't learn it have
> moved on.
>

There are none who cannot learn the code. However there are those for whom
other activities can and should have priority on their time. However that
is no excuse for eliminating it as a test element since the same argument
can be applied to the theory.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3209. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 16:24:07 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CvSdnW66W6Mt1ynfRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 110441

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119097378.928060.270970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >
>> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> >> strange
>> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>> >> operators.
>> >> On
>> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
>> >> all
>> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
>> >> the
>> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
>> >
>> > It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
>> > the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
>> >
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > w3rv
>> >
>>
>> BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being technical
>> in
>> nature way back in the early 60s.
>
> Dan, I've never seen you advocating "Truth in Licensing." Have you
> said as much to the FCC in any of your NPRM comments?
>
>> And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> If most of the VHF/UHF Contestors are Extra's as Dee says, why isn't CW
> used most often?
>

How do you know it wasn't/isn't? I worked a fair number of stations on CW in that contest.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3210. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 16:27:05 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <etGdndX9e4j_1infRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 110443

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:9kXse.6246$NX4.1171@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> bb wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>[snip]
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Why do I contest? To improve my score by improving my station and
>>>>>myself.
>>>>>
>>>>>Its as simple as that.
>>>>>
>>>>>Have a good day
>>>>>
>>>>>PS; I worked a bunch of sporadic skip yesterday on six meters in the
>>>>>June
>>>>>VHF contest.....with 8 watts and a 3 ele yagi at 20'.
>>>>>
>>>>>It's all a matter of perspective.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>>>>strange
>>>>thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators.
>>>>On
>>>>the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
>>>>all
>>>>the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
>>>>the
>>>>code (as some would have us believe)?
>>>
```

>>>Oh, they're still trapped on VHF, Dee. Most of 'em are so trapped that
>>>they know only one mode--FM and do all of their operating via repeaters.
>
>> What a wunnerful attitude you have toward Techs, Oh Mighty DXer. And
>> people have to ask who chased away the Techs. I guess the only time
>> you would embrace a Tech is if he were calling you out of band on 6M.
>> Hi!
>
> I don't embrace radio amateurs at all, "bb". A firm handshake is good
> enough for me.
>
> My attitude toward many of the current crop of new techs is one bathed
> upon five years observation, since returning to the U.S. I haven't chased
> them anywhere. In fact, it has been just the opposite. I've tried to
> encourage them to use simplex on FM. I've tried to encourage them to try
> 6 meters along with 432 and 144 MHz SSB and CW. I can't be faulted that
> they don't act after being encouraged. If these folks are, in fact
> "trapped" in a world of 2m only FM repeater operation, they have fashioned
> the trap themselves by not being more curious about the rest of VHF/UHF
> amateur radio. There are avenues open to them but they aren't
> participating.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

I agree with you Dave, I and the OM (who is our local club's VHF/UHF SSB guru) have worked hard at trying to get Techs involved with something other than repeater FM. While we have had some modest success, it's only a small percentage of the Techs who have jumped into these waters.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3211. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 16:32:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ssOdnRzPIYU10SnfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 110444

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:JoXse.6264$NX4.1207@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> bb wrote:
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>>>>>strange
>>>>>thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>>>>>operators.
>>>>>On
>>>>>the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
>>>>>all
>>>>>the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
>>>>>the
>>>>>code (as some would have us believe)?
>>>>
>>>>It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
>>>>the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>>
>>>>w3rv
>>>>
>>>
>>>BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being technical
>>>in
>>>nature way back in the early 60s.
>>
>>
>> Dan, I've never seen you advocating "Truth in Licensing." Have you
>> said as much to the FCC in any of your NPRM comments?
>>
>>
>>>And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI

>>
>>
>> If most of the VHF/UHF Contestors are Extra's as Dee says, why isn't CW
>> used most often?
>
> It is used, "bb", but ops are attempting to maximize score by working
> everyone, even those not using CW. I switch modes quite often during the
> course of a VHF/UHF contest. During heavy aurora, CW works much better
> than SSB. I'd have thought you'd have already known it.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

In one case the other station wasn't hearing me through the pile up (SSB) so I switched to CW and threw in the call sign. He immediately called for me (in voice) so I switched back to voice to do the contact. Worked pretty slick.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3212. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 17:41:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119116507.382386.324670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110445

From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>> Please continue to praise the military morse ops, N...@aol.com,
>>> especially those of the USN. The USN is NOT a branch of the
>>> military you were in. Indeed, you weren't in ANY branch of the
>>> military. You "served in other ways."
>>
>> The Amateur Radio Service is not something in which you are a
>> participant. Perhaps you served in other ways.
>
>game, set, match!

Amateur radio newsgrouping IS a GAME to Kolonel Klunk.

The only thing SET is Klunk's way of thinking (inflexible).

There's no MATCH except the lighted one always carried
by Klunk to start another Flame War in here.

Other than that, "Game, Set, Match" are the titles of a
later trilogy by novelist Len Deighton based on a
fictional British intelligence service. [not Deighton's
best work]

I'll just put the PCTAs of the newsgroup in the category
of "no one can join amateur radio unless they are already
IN it..." oxymoronic "brotherhood" of self-righteous
radio poseurs "serving their country" through a HOBBY.

Go out and corner the market on helium for your "reach to
the fringes of outer space," Michael. That's better than
the megacubic feet of Hot Air vented by this "patriot"
who behaves like a miscast stereotype of a Kommandant in
a half-hour TV sitcom about POWs during WW2.

Seig Heil!!! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3213. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 18:51:37 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <94r8b151r5k17mbo979u9t9muhef6s132j@4ax.com>
Source record: 110446

On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>snip

> Seig Heil!!! :-)

Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr. Godwin's rule.

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

3214. Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 20:38:01 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <QC%se.740$ik5.236@fe12.lga>
Source record: 110447

Well, my 7 yr. old lass made five QSO's today during Kid's Day, two of them were CW contacts. So QSL cards go out today for the "young'un" who managed to practice enough over the past few weeks to pound out my call, her name, favorite color, age, QTH and wrap it up with a not-too-shabby 73. (I helped w/her copy tho.)

http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/kd-rules.html

I couldn't have asked for a better Father's Day gift.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

3215. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 23:17:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <iPudnfSkw80GNinfRVn-3A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110448

John Smith wrote:
> Dee:
>
> Michael's own words:
> "There certainly are women in Ham radio, and although a minority, ..."
>
> What does that mean--they are being held out by the old farts? The
> women too want no-code?
>
> Just what is the reason he was claiming?

"He" wasn't claiming anything at that point beyond that women are a relative minority in Amateur radio.

If you want to join in a reasoned discussion about the paucity of women in the technical fields, and some of the reasons why, we can do that. But putting words in my mouth isn't a good way to get a reasoned discussion going.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3216. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 23:33:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <jaadnWBXCLuiMinfRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110449

Leo wrote:
> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>>From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>>
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>snip
>
>
>> Seig Heil!!! :-)
>
>
> Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr. Godwin's rule.

Yeah, those jerks always invoke Godwin when someone is compared to the Nazi's. 8^)

I mean like, he was just sayin'!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3217. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 23:37:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119137848.842686.147300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110350

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> >><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >>news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>>Dee Flint wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >>>>strange
> >>>>thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators.
> >>>>On
> >>>>the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
> >>>>the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
> >>>>the
> >>>>code (as some would have us believe)?
> >>>
> >>>It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
> >>>the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>>
> >>>w3rv
> >>>
> >>
> >>BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being technical in
> >>nature way back in the early 60s.
> >
> >
> > Dan, I've never seen you advocating "Truth in Licensing." Have you
> > said as much to the FCC in any of your NPRM comments?
> >
> >
> >>And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.
> >>
> >>Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> > If most of the VHF/UHF Contestors are Extra's as Dee says, why isn't CW
> > used most often?

>
> It is used, "bb", but ops are attempting to maximize score by working
> everyone, even those not using CW. I switch modes quite often during
> the course of a VHF/UHF contest. During heavy aurora, CW works much
> better than SSB. I'd have thought you'd have already known it.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dee made it sound like Extras were working Extras on SSB. I guess it
wasn't at all like Dee claimed.

3218. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 23:44:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119138250.307111.255720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110351

Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119097378.928060.270970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >> >> strange
> >> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
> >> >> operators.
> >> >> On
> >> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
> >> >> all
> >> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
> >> >> the
> >> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
> >> >
> >> > It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
> >> > the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
> >> >
> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> > w3rv
> >> >
> >>
> >> BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being technical
> >> in
> >> nature way back in the early 60s.
> >
> > Dan, I've never seen you advocating "Truth in Licensing." Have you
> > said as much to the FCC in any of your NPRM comments?
> >
> >> And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > If most of the VHF/UHF Contestors are Extra's as Dee says, why isn't CW
> > used most often?
> >

>
> How do you know it wasn't/isn't? I worked a fair number of stations on CW
> in that contest.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Because Dan said, "And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode."

Was Dan wrong?

You know, you and David Heil K8MN ought to let Dan answer the questions
that I asked of Dan instead of jumping in here and getting it wrong.

Best of Luck.

3219. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 23:58:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119139081.497960.148380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110354

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>Dee Flint wrote:
> >>
> >>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>[snip]
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Why do I contest? To improve my score by improving my station and myself.
> >>>>
> >>>>Its as simple as that.
> >>>>
> >>>>Have a good day
> >>>>
> >>>>PS; I worked a bunch of sporadic skip yesterday on six meters in the June
> >>>>VHF contest.....with 8 watts and a 3 ele yagi at 20'.
> >>>>
> >>>>It's all a matter of perspective.
> >>>>
> >>>>Dan/W4NTI
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very strange
> >>>thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators. On
> >>>the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
> >>>the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass the
> >>>code (as some would have us believe)?
> >>
> >>Oh, they're still trapped on VHF, Dee. Most of 'em are so trapped that
> >>they know only one mode--FM and do all of their operating via repeaters.
> >
> > What a wunnerful attitude you have toward Techs, Oh Mighty DXer. And
> > people have to ask who chased away the Techs. I guess the only time
> > you would embrace a Tech is if he were calling you out of band on 6M.
> > Hi!
```

>
> I don't embrace radio amateurs at all, "bb". A firm handshake is good
> enough for me.

That's not what the French do. They kiss you on both cheeks,
especially for handing out contacts and QSL cards for out of band
contacts.

> My attitude toward many of the current crop of new techs is one bathed
> upon five years observation, since returning to the U.S. I haven't
> chased them anywhere. In fact, it has been just the opposite. I've
> tried to encourage them to use simplex on FM. I've tried to encourage
> them to try 6 meters along with 432 and 144 MHz SSB and CW. I can't be
> faulted that they don't act after being encouraged. If these folks are,
> in fact "trapped" in a world of 2m only FM repeater operation, they have
> fashioned the trap themselves by not being more curious about the rest
> of VHF/UHF amateur radio. There are avenues open to them but they
> aren't participating.
>
> Dave K8MN

A penny for your thoughts.

Maybe they are on FM yet not trapped at all. Maybe if you let Dee and
Dan finish their thoughts on why they think the Techs are trapped we
would have something worth discussing.

My opinion (that means it's my opinion and I don't have to spend weeks
at the Library of Congress to back up anything that I might say or
forever be known as a liar and/or a gay man) is that those who joined
for emergency communications purposes are perfectly happy with FM, and
do not consider themselves as "trapped." All the "encouragement" in
the world doesn't change their perspective. They're getting what they
want out of amateur radio. What they don't need is to be denigrated by
the Extras that think they need to do more to be a "real" ham.

3220. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-18 23:59:53 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Zz2te.7021$eM6.2655@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110352

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:9kXse.6246$NX4.1171@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...

> My attitude toward many of the current crop of new techs is one bathed
> upon five years observation, since returning to the U.S. I haven't chased
> them anywhere. In fact, it has been just the opposite. I've tried to
> encourage them to use simplex on FM. I've tried to encourage them to try
> 6 meters along with 432 and 144 MHz SSB and CW. I can't be faulted that
> they don't act after being encouraged. If these folks are, in fact
> "trapped" in a world of 2m only FM repeater operation, they have fashioned
> the trap themselves by not being more curious about the rest of VHF/UHF
> amateur radio. There are avenues open to them but they aren't
> participating.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

Man does that say it all in a well articulated paragraph. Valid points out
there
in tech land.

The Technician ticket originally was envisioned to enable those that had a
technical
slant that needed a place to play on the "air". It has degenerated into a
defacto
"entry level" to present day Ham Radio. What a bummer.

I bet a lot of those out there don't know that the original Tech written was
exactly
the same as the General. The ONLY difference was the General had a 13 WPM
cw test. Send and Receive. And the Tech had a 5wpm......and was available
by
mail.

Dan/W4NTI

3221. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:01:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119139278.899305.298730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110353

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:9kXse.6246$NX4.1171@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > bb wrote:
> >>
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dee Flint wrote:
> >>>
> >>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>[snip]
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Why do I contest? To improve my score by improving my station and
> >>>>myself.
> >>>>
> >>>>Its as simple as that.
> >>>>
> >>>>Have a good day
> >>>>
> >>>>PS; I worked a bunch of sporadic skip yesterday on six meters in the
> >>>>June
> >>>>VHF contest.....with 8 watts and a 3 ele yagi at 20'.
> >>>>
> >>>>It's all a matter of perspective.
> >>>>
> >>>>Dan/W4NTI
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >>>strange
> >>>thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators.
> >>>On
> >>>the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
> >>>all
> >>>the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
> >>>the
> >>>code (as some would have us believe)?

> >>>
> >>>Oh, they're still trapped on VHF, Dee. Most of 'em are so trapped that
> >>>they know only one mode--FM and do all of their operating via repeaters.
> >
> >> What a wunnerful attitude you have toward Techs, Oh Mighty DXer. And
> >> people have to ask who chased away the Techs. I guess the only time
> >> you would embrace a Tech is if he were calling you out of band on 6M.
> >> Hi!
> >
> > I don't embrace radio amateurs at all, "bb". A firm handshake is good
> > enough for me.
> >
> > My attitude toward many of the current crop of new techs is one bathed
> > upon five years observation, since returning to the U.S. I haven't chased
> > them anywhere. In fact, it has been just the opposite. I've tried to
> > encourage them to use simplex on FM. I've tried to encourage them to try
> > 6 meters along with 432 and 144 MHz SSB and CW. I can't be faulted that
> > they don't act after being encouraged. If these folks are, in fact
> > "trapped" in a world of 2m only FM repeater operation, they have fashioned
> > the trap themselves by not being more curious about the rest of VHF/UHF
> > amateur radio. There are avenues open to them but they aren't
> > participating.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> I agree with you Dave,

Of course you do.

> I and the OM (who is our local club's VHF/UHF SSB
> guru) have worked hard at trying to get Techs involved with something other
> than repeater FM.

Why?

> While we have had some modest success, it's only a small
> percentage of the Techs who have jumped into these waters.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Have you asked them why they are reluctant to jump into those waters?

Since they've not jumped in, does that change your attitude about them?

3222. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:06:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119139609.417782.307000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110356

Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >>
> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >> strange
> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators.
> >> On
> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
> >> the
> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Dee, I'm going to guess (i.e., don't ask me for facts, figures,
> > substantive studies, reports from the FCC/ARRL, etc) that of the Techs
> > that have stayed in amateur radio, most have done so for the emergency
> > communications aspect of the service. As such, they would typically
> > stay on FM.
> >
> > The ones who could learn Morse Code and have had to time to do so have
> > moved up. Those who didn't have the time or couldn't learn it have
> > moved on.
> >
>
> There are none who cannot learn the code.

Really? Why do you say that?

> However there are those for whom
> other activities can and should have priority on their time. However that
> is no excuse for eliminating it as a test element since the same argument
> can be applied to the theory.

You hold a very interesting point of view. You say that since everyone
can learn the code, that it must be retained as a test element?

I say that it should be removed as a test element because it no longer
serves a regulatory purpose.

3223. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:07:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <hH2te.7732$pa3.1277@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110355

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119096293.228606.140040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:Jcudnfa0a_PrPTHfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
>> >
>> > I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> > strange thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>> > operators. On the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators.
>> > So
>> > where are all the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they
>> > "can't" pass the code (as some would have us believe)?
>> >
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> Hey Dee,
>>
>> Good question actually. Perhaps they are part of the vast majority of
>> hams
>> that are inactive.
>>
>> More likely they are all "stuck" on FM and have not a clue what else is
>> going on with V/Uhf. What a shame.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Ah, yes. The Shameful, Clueless Techs. With attitudes like yours,
> it's no wonder they don't hang around.
>

I don't recall anyone on here, other than you "bb", using the word Shameful
or
Clueless regarding the Technician licensees. All I see is folks stating
they don't
understand why the Techs don't take full advantage of their full license
privileges.

You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in general,
assuming
you have a license that is.

Dan/W4NTI

3224. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:11:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <NK2te.7738$pa3.2620@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110358

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> strange
>> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators.
>> On
>> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
>> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
>> the
>> code (as some would have us believe)?
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Dee, I'm going to guess (i.e., don't ask me for facts, figures,
> substantive studies, reports from the FCC/ARRL, etc) that of the Techs
> that have stayed in amateur radio, most have done so for the emergency
> communications aspect of the service. As such, they would typically
> stay on FM.
>
> The ones who could learn Morse Code and have had to time to do so have
> moved up. Those who didn't have the time or couldn't learn it have
> moved on.
>
> It's nice to see Miss Manners finally noticing something amiss in the
> ARS.
>

"bb" is just full of all sorts of assumptions, ain't he boys and girls?

Using his logic then all us "higher" class operators need to get out of
EMCOM, eh?

I'll be sure to tell the Extra class Emergency Net Control operator next
time I see her.

Dan/W4NTI

3225. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:12:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <OL2te.7741$pa3.1283@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110357

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119097056.635088.325150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> > I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> > strange
>> > thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>> > operators. On
>> > the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
>> > all
>> > the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
>> > the
>> > code (as some would have us believe)?
>>
>> It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
>> the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
>>
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>> w3rv
>
> It's so refreshing to see Extras being Extras again; having their usual
> disdain for the No Code Techs. And some people have to ask who chased
> away the Techs.
>

Does the name "DIPSHIT" mean anything to you "bb" ? Just curious.

Dan/W4NTI

3226. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:15:21 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119140121.321644.55200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110360

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:9kXse.6246$NX4.1171@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> > My attitude toward many of the current crop of new techs is one bathed
> > upon five years observation, since returning to the U.S. I haven't chased
> > them anywhere. In fact, it has been just the opposite. I've tried to
> > encourage them to use simplex on FM. I've tried to encourage them to try
> > 6 meters along with 432 and 144 MHz SSB and CW. I can't be faulted that
> > they don't act after being encouraged. If these folks are, in fact
> > "trapped" in a world of 2m only FM repeater operation, they have fashioned
> > the trap themselves by not being more curious about the rest of VHF/UHF
> > amateur radio. There are avenues open to them but they aren't
> > participating.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> Man does that say it all in a well articulated paragraph. Valid points out
> there
> in tech land.

Indeed it does. David has articulated, and Dan has agreed that a Tech
really isn't worth much as an amateur if they don't do the things that
Dave, Dan and Dee want them to be doing. I'm sure that your attitudes
spill over into your communication with those unworthy Techs.

> The Technician ticket originally was envisioned to enable those that had a
> technical
> slant that needed a place to play on the "air". It has degenerated into a
> defacto
> "entry level" to present day Ham Radio. What a bummer.

Degenerated? Dan, I'd like to introduce you to the FCC sometime. It
was the FCC that turned the Technician license into your disdained
entry level to present day "Ham Radio."

> I bet a lot of those out there don't know that the original Tech written was
> exactly
> the same as the General. The ONLY difference was the General had a 13 WPM
> cw test. Send and Receive. And the Tech had a 5wpm......and was available
> by
> mail.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Thanks for the insight into the "Mind of Dan."

3227. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:16:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <4P2te.7748$pa3.7046@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110359

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119097378.928060.270970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >
>> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> >> strange
>> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>> >> operators.
>> >> On
>> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
>> >> all
>> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
>> >> the
>> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
>> >
>> > It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
>> > the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
>> >
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > w3rv
>> >
>>
>> BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being technical
>> in
>> nature way back in the early 60s.
>
> Dan, I've never seen you advocating "Truth in Licensing." Have you
> said as much to the FCC in any of your NPRM comments?
>
>> And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> If most of the VHF/UHF Contestors are Extra's as Dee says, why isn't CW
> used most often?
>
```

No I have not commented on anything with the FCC other than BPL and sending in reports about
K1MAN.

I figured out a long time ago the FCC was basically a lap dog of the ARRL when it comes to
dumbing down of the license structure. And my pitiful input wasn't going to have any affect
at all.

So "bb".....does that blow your mind, or what?

Dan/W4NTI

3228. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:17:29 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119140249.328665.67370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110361

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >>
> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >> strange
> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician operators.
> >> On
> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are all
> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
> >> the
> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Dee, I'm going to guess (i.e., don't ask me for facts, figures,
> > substantive studies, reports from the FCC/ARRL, etc) that of the Techs
> > that have stayed in amateur radio, most have done so for the emergency
> > communications aspect of the service. As such, they would typically
> > stay on FM.
> >
> > The ones who could learn Morse Code and have had to time to do so have
> > moved up. Those who didn't have the time or couldn't learn it have
> > moved on.
> >
> > It's nice to see Miss Manners finally noticing something amiss in the
> > ARS.
> >
>
> "bb" is just full of all sorts of assumptions, ain't he boys and girls?
>
> Using his logic then all us "higher" class operators need to get out of
> EMCOM, eh?
>
> I'll be sure to tell the Extra class Emergency Net Control operator next
> time I see her.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, you're welcome to tell her anything you want. She's probably
already aware that you usually get it wrong anyway.

3229. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:18:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <OR2te.7753$pa3.7328@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110362

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:JoXse.6264$NX4.1207@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> bb wrote:
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>>>>>strange
>>>>>thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>>>>>operators.
>>>>>On
>>>>>the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
>>>>>all
>>>>>the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
>>>>>the
>>>>>code (as some would have us believe)?
>>>>
>>>>It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
>>>>the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>>
>>>>w3rv
>>>>
>>>
>>>BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being technical
>>>in
>>>nature way back in the early 60s.
>>
>>
>> Dan, I've never seen you advocating "Truth in Licensing." Have you
>> said as much to the FCC in any of your NPRM comments?
>>
>>
>>>And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI

>>
>>
>> If most of the VHF/UHF Contestors are Extra's as Dee says, why isn't CW
>> used most often?
>
> It is used, "bb", but ops are attempting to maximize score by working
> everyone, even those not using CW. I switch modes quite often during the
> course of a VHF/UHF contest. During heavy aurora, CW works much better
> than SSB. I'd have thought you'd have already known it.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
Correct.....in fact I see CW activity INCREASING on VHF. At least 6
meters, which is all I have running, right now.

Years ago it was nearly impossible to get a CW contact on VHF. In fact a
lot of rigs, homebrew and/or commercial didn't even have a key jack in them.

I like seeing CW on VHF. I do like everyone else does, if their trying to
maximize QSO's, contest or otherwise. Both modes.

Dan/W4NTI

3230. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:19:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119140368.090937.237570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110363

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119097378.928060.270970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >> >> strange
> >> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
> >> >> operators.
> >> >> On
> >> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
> >> >> all
> >> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
> >> >> the
> >> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
> >> >
> >> > It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
> >> > the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
> >> >
> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> > w3rv
> >> >
> >>
> >> BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being technical
> >> in
> >> nature way back in the early 60s.
> >
> > Dan, I've never seen you advocating "Truth in Licensing." Have you
> > said as much to the FCC in any of your NPRM comments?
> >
> >> And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > If most of the VHF/UHF Contestors are Extra's as Dee says, why isn't CW
> > used most often?
> >

>
> No I have not commented on anything with the FCC other than BPL and sending
> in reports about
> K1MAN.
>
> I figured out a long time ago the FCC was basically a lap dog of the ARRL
> when it comes to
> dumbing down of the license structure. And my pitiful input wasn't going to
> have any affect
> at all.
>
> So "bb".....does that blow your mind, or what?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

No. I can understand your attitude about the FCC.

3231. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:22:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <NU2te.7758$pa3.1085@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110364

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119138250.307111.255720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1119097378.928060.270970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> >> >> strange
>> >> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>> >> >> operators.
>> >> >> On
>> >> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where
>> >> >> are
>> >> >> all
>> >> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't"
>> >> >> pass
>> >> >> the
>> >> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
>> >> >
>> >> > It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state
>> >> > of
>> >> > the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
>> >> >
>> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >> >
>> >> > w3rv
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being
>> >> technical
>> >> in
>> >> nature way back in the early 60s.
>> >
>> > Dan, I've never seen you advocating "Truth in Licensing." Have you
>> > said as much to the FCC in any of your NPRM comments?
```

>> >
>> >> And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> > If most of the VHF/UHF Contestors are Extra's as Dee says, why isn't CW
>> > used most often?
>> >
>>
>> How do you know it wasn't/isn't? I worked a fair number of stations on
>> CW
>> in that contest.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Because Dan said, "And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode."
>
> Was Dan wrong?
>
> You know, you and David Heil K8MN ought to let Dan answer the questions
> that I asked of Dan instead of jumping in here and getting it wrong.
>
> Best of Luck.
>

Not a problem Dee. "bb'' is just showing his ignorance.

Dan/W4NTI

3232. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:23:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119140591.369417.254460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110365

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119097056.635088.325150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >>
> >> > I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >> > strange
> >> > thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
> >> > operators. On
> >> > the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
> >> > all
> >> > the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
> >> > the
> >> > code (as some would have us believe)?
> >>
> >> It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
> >> the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
> >>
> >> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>
> >> w3rv
> >
> > It's so refreshing to see Extras being Extras again; having their usual
> > disdain for the No Code Techs. And some people have to ask who chased
> > away the Techs.
> >
>
> Does the name "DIPSHIT" mean anything to you "bb" ? Just curious.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Yes, it does, but Steve Robeson K4YZ isn't part of this thread.

3233. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:26:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119140763.646046.276490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110366

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119138250.307111.255720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1119097378.928060.270970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >> >> >> strange
> >> >> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
> >> >> >> operators.
> >> >> >> On
> >> >> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where
> >> >> >> are
> >> >> >> all
> >> >> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't"
> >> >> >> pass
> >> >> >> the
> >> >> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state
> >> >> > of
> >> >> > the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >> >
> >> >> > w3rv
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being
> >> >> technical
> >> >> in
> >> >> nature way back in the early 60s.
> >> >
> >> > Dan, I've never seen you advocating "Truth in Licensing." Have you

> >> > said as much to the FCC in any of your NPRM comments?
> >> >
> >> >> And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >> >
> >> > If most of the VHF/UHF Contestors are Extra's as Dee says, why isn't CW
> >> > used most often?
> >> >
> >>
> >> How do you know it wasn't/isn't? I worked a fair number of stations on
> >> CW
> >> in that contest.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Because Dan said, "And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode."
> >
> > Was Dan wrong?
> >
> > You know, you and David Heil K8MN ought to let Dan answer the questions
> > that I asked of Dan instead of jumping in here and getting it wrong.
> >
> > Best of Luck.
>
> Not a problem Dee. "bb'' is just showing his ignorance.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, is or isn't "MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode?"

Thanks.

3234. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:29:05 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ydKdnVZifrfPISnfRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 110367

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:QC%se.740$ik5.236@fe12.lga...
> Well, my 7 yr. old lass made five QSO's today during Kid's Day, two of
> them were CW contacts. So QSL cards go out today for the "young'un" who
> managed to practice enough over the past few weeks to pound out my call,
> her name, favorite color, age, QTH and wrap it up with a not-too-shabby
> 73. (I helped w/her copy tho.)
>
> http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/kd-rules.html
>
> I couldn't have asked for a better Father's Day gift.
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782
>

Absolutely marvelous. That is a wonderful thing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3235. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:30:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TeudnXj4HdM3ISnfRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110368

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119137848.842686.147300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >
>> >><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> >>news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >>>Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> >>>>strange
>> >>>>thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>> >>>>operators.
>> >>>>On
>> >>>>the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
>> >>>>all
>> >>>>the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't"
>> >>>>pass
>> >>>>the
>> >>>>code (as some would have us believe)?
>> >>>
>> >>>It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
>> >>>the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >>>
>> >>>w3rv
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being technical
>> >>in
>> >>nature way back in the early 60s.
>> >
>> >
>> > Dan, I've never seen you advocating "Truth in Licensing." Have you
>> > said as much to the FCC in any of your NPRM comments?
>> >

>> >
>> >>And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.
>> >>
>> >>Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> >
>> > If most of the VHF/UHF Contestors are Extra's as Dee says, why isn't CW
>> > used most often?
>>
>> It is used, "bb", but ops are attempting to maximize score by working
>> everyone, even those not using CW. I switch modes quite often during
>> the course of a VHF/UHF contest. During heavy aurora, CW works much
>> better than SSB. I'd have thought you'd have already known it.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> Dee made it sound like Extras were working Extras on SSB. I guess it
> wasn't at all like Dee claimed.
>

You read way too much into the original post. I didn't mention mode.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3236. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:30:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119141036.640458.143900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110369

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119096293.228606.140040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >> news:Jcudnfa0a_PrPTHfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
> >> >
> >> > I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >> > strange thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
> >> > operators. On the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators.
> >> > So
> >> > where are all the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they
> >> > "can't" pass the code (as some would have us believe)?
> >> >
> >> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> Hey Dee,
> >>
> >> Good question actually. Perhaps they are part of the vast majority of
> >> hams
> >> that are inactive.
> >>
> >> More likely they are all "stuck" on FM and have not a clue what else is
> >> going on with V/Uhf. What a shame.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Ah, yes. The Shameful, Clueless Techs. With attitudes like yours,
> > it's no wonder they don't hang around.
> >
>
> I don't recall anyone on here, other than you "bb", using the word Shameful
> or
> Clueless regarding the Technician licensees.

I do. You used the phrases, "not a clue" and "what a shame" to
describe Techs who chose to be "stuck" on FM.

All I see is folks stating
> they don't
> understand why the Techs don't take full advantage of their full license
> privileges.

You go beyond that. You imply that there's something wrong with them.

> You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in general,
> assuming
> you have a license that is.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

You are the disgrace, Dan. Your bad attitude about Techs says it all.

Let me guess... You're an Extra, right?

3237. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:30:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119141057.096937.305600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110370

Leo wrote:
> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
> >
> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >snip
>
> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
>
> Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr. Godwin's
> rule.

"attempt"? Hardly!

Len used the following phrases in reference to K8MN:

From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07

"Kolonel Klunk"

"Klunk's way of thinking"

"the lighted one always carried by Klunk

"That's better than the megacubic feet of Hot Air vented by this
"patriot" who behaves like a miscast stereotype of a Kommandant in a
half-hour TV sitcom about POWs during WW2."

"Seig Heil!!! :-)"

If that isn't a clear Godwin example, nothing is.

Or do you think the one smiley makes all of Len's
verbiage somehow acceptable behavior?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3238. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:34:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119141263.633853.155490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110372

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119137848.842686.147300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >
> >> >><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> >> >>news:1118612434.946373.174630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>
> >> >>>Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >> >>>>strange
> >> >>>>thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
> >> >>>>operators.
> >> >>>>On
> >> >>>>the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
> >> >>>>all
> >> >>>>the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't"
> >> >>>>pass
> >> >>>>the
> >> >>>>code (as some would have us believe)?
> >> >>>
> >> >>>It's Techs being Techs again, they're too busy "advancing the state of
> >> >>>the art" to be bothered with learning the code and contesting.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >>>
> >> >>>w3rv
> >> >>>
> >> >>
> >> >>BWAHHHHHHHHH....we both know the "Tech" license stopped being technical
> >> >>in
> >> >>nature way back in the early 60s.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Dan, I've never seen you advocating "Truth in Licensing." Have you
> >> > said as much to the FCC in any of your NPRM comments?
```

> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>And MOST VHF/UHF contesting is done in SSB mode.
> >> >>
> >> >>Dan/W4NTI
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > If most of the VHF/UHF Contestors are Extra's as Dee says, why isn't CW
> >> > used most often?
> >>
> >> It is used, "bb", but ops are attempting to maximize score by working
> >> everyone, even those not using CW. I switch modes quite often during
> >> the course of a VHF/UHF contest. During heavy aurora, CW works much
> >> better than SSB. I'd have thought you'd have already known it.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dee made it sound like Extras were working Extras on SSB. I guess it
> > wasn't at all like Dee claimed.
> >
>
> You read way too much into the original post. I didn't mention mode.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

You're correct. Dan claimed the mode most often used was SSB, not you.

So, in the interest of accuracy, can you give a breakout by mode and by
class?

3239. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:35:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <tNudnfN5A-1TICnfRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110371

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119139081.497960.148380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> >
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >
>> >>Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> >>>news:ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>[snip]
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>Why do I contest? To improve my score by improving my station and
>> >>>myself.
>> >>>
>> >>>Its as simple as that.
>> >>>
>> >>>Have a good day
>> >>>
>> >>>PS; I worked a bunch of sporadic skip yesterday on six meters in the
>> >>>June
>> >>>VHF contest.....with 8 watts and a 3 ele yagi at 20'.
>> >>>
>> >>>It's all a matter of perspective.
>> >>>
>> >>>Dan/W4NTI
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> >>>strange
>> >>>thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>> >>>operators. On
>> >>>the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
>> >>>all
>> >>>the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass

>> >>>the
>> >>>code (as some would have us believe)?
>> >>
>> >>Oh, they're still trapped on VHF, Dee. Most of 'em are so trapped that
>> >>they know only one mode--FM and do all of their operating via
>> >>repeaters.
>>
>> > What a wunnerful attitude you have toward Techs, Oh Mighty DXer. And
>> > people have to ask who chased away the Techs. I guess the only time
>> > you would embrace a Tech is if he were calling you out of band on 6M.
>> > Hi!
>>
>> I don't embrace radio amateurs at all, "bb". A firm handshake is good
>> enough for me.
>
> That's not what the French do. They kiss you on both cheeks,
> especially for handing out contacts and QSL cards for out of band
> contacts.
>
>> My attitude toward many of the current crop of new techs is one bathed
>> upon five years observation, since returning to the U.S. I haven't
>> chased them anywhere. In fact, it has been just the opposite. I've
>> tried to encourage them to use simplex on FM. I've tried to encourage
>> them to try 6 meters along with 432 and 144 MHz SSB and CW. I can't be
>> faulted that they don't act after being encouraged. If these folks are,
>> in fact "trapped" in a world of 2m only FM repeater operation, they have
>> fashioned the trap themselves by not being more curious about the rest
>> of VHF/UHF amateur radio. There are avenues open to them but they
>> aren't participating.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> A penny for your thoughts.
>
> Maybe they are on FM yet not trapped at all. Maybe if you let Dee and
> Dan finish their thoughts on why they think the Techs are trapped we
> would have something worth discussing.
>

I have never said that they were trapped. It happens to be a belief
expressed by some of the anti-code test people that they are trapped above
30mhz. I've always contended that any such trap is one of their own
perception.

> My opinion (that means it's my opinion and I don't have to spend weeks
> at the Library of Congress to back up anything that I might say or
> forever be known as a liar and/or a gay man) is that those who joined
> for emergency communications purposes are perfectly happy with FM, and
> do not consider themselves as "trapped." All the "encouragement" in
> the world doesn't change their perspective. They're getting what they
> want out of amateur radio. What they don't need is to be denigrated by

> the Extras that think they need to do more to be a "real" ham.
>

I do not denigrate any one based on their license class. For those who are getting all they want out of VHF FM, I am happy for them. But I have no patience for those who want additional privileges without passing whatever is the license requirement of the day. I know a couple of Techs who have been waiting to get a General until the code test is removed but they have been waiting for ten years. Wasting 10 years is foolish.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3240. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:38:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <S6udnecu65gXIynfRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 110374

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119139278.899305.298730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:9kXse.6246$NX4.1171@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> > bb wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> >>>>news:ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...

[snip]

>> I and the OM (who is our local club's VHF/UHF SSB
>> guru) have worked hard at trying to get Techs involved with something
>> other
>> than repeater FM.
>
> Why?
>

Because they have expressed dissatisfaction with repeater FM.

>> While we have had some modest success, it's only a small
>> percentage of the Techs who have jumped into these waters.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Have you asked them why they are reluctant to jump into those waters?
>

They are unwilling to commit to the equipment until they have their General,
not realizing how much fun they can have in the meantime.

> Since they've not jumped in, does that change your attitude about them?
>

Nope, we just keep trying to find ways to let them know that there is much
more available to them than they realize.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3241. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:39:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <F93te.176$JO4.12239973@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110373

Today millions, if not close to a billion, or more, children accessed the internet. They accessed data in a range too large to describe--they instant messaged and chatted with children on every continent of the globe... literally without barriers...

... the amount of knowledge entering young minds is staggering to the consciousness ... and this is progress at its' finest... radio pales in what it has done to offer such opportunities and advantages and feels it has no obligations or responsibilities to move in such productive directions--or, at least the "high priests" in control at this time do...

Warmest regards,
John

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message news:QC%se.740$ik5.236@fe12.lga...
> Well, my 7 yr. old lass made five QSO's today during Kid's Day, two of
> them were CW contacts. So QSL cards go out today for the "young'un"
> who managed to practice enough over the past few weeks to pound out my
> call, her name, favorite color, age, QTH and wrap it up with a
> not-too-shabby 73. (I helped w/her copy tho.)
>
> http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/kd-rules.html
>
> I couldn't have asked for a better Father's Day gift.
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782
>

3242. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:40:44 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KNidncK_a_uRlinfRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110375

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119139609.417782.307000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>
>> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> >> strange
>> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>> >> operators.
>> >> On
>> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
>> >> all
>> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
>> >> the
>> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
>> >>
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Dee, I'm going to guess (i.e., don't ask me for facts, figures,
>> > substantive studies, reports from the FCC/ARRL, etc) that of the Techs
>> > that have stayed in amateur radio, most have done so for the emergency
>> > communications aspect of the service. As such, they would typically
>> > stay on FM.
>> >
>> > The ones who could learn Morse Code and have had to time to do so have
>> > moved up. Those who didn't have the time or couldn't learn it have
>> > moved on.
>> >
>>
>> There are none who cannot learn the code.
>
> Really? Why do you say that?
>

Because they have already learned many things far tougher than the code.

>> However there are those for whom
>> other activities can and should have priority on their time. However
>> that

>> is no excuse for eliminating it as a test element since the same argument
>> can be applied to the theory.
>
> You hold a very interesting point of view. You say that since everyone
> can learn the code, that it must be retained as a test element?
>

No it should be retained because it is one of the basics of amateur radio
along with things like Ohm's law, propagation, etc.

> I say that it should be removed as a test element because it no longer
> serves a regulatory purpose.
>

That's a matter of opinion.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3243. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:51:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119142310.942237.217650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110376

Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119139278.899305.298730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> news:9kXse.6246$NX4.1171@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >> > bb wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> >> >>>>news:ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> [snip]
>
> >> I and the OM (who is our local club's VHF/UHF SSB
> >> guru) have worked hard at trying to get Techs involved with something
> >> other
> >> than repeater FM.
> >>
> > Why?
> >
>
> Because they have expressed dissatisfaction with repeater FM.

Who hasn't?

> >> While we have had some modest success, it's only a small
> >> percentage of the Techs who have jumped into these waters.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Have you asked them why they are reluctant to jump into those waters?
>
> They are unwilling to commit to the equipment until they have their General,
> not realizing how much fun they can have in the meantime.

I was told, when Novice Enhancement came along, not to pick up a
microphone because I would never get good at the Morse Code and
advance.

> > Since they've not jumped in, does that change your attitude about them?
> >
>
> Nope, we just keep trying to find ways to let them know that there is much
> more available to them than they realize.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Fair enough. Don't overdo it though, they might think you are "pushy."

3244. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 00:58:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119142685.875273.136900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110377

Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119139609.417782.307000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >> >> strange
> >> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
> >> >> operators.
> >> >> On
> >> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
> >> >> all
> >> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
> >> >> the
> >> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
> >> >>
> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> > Dee, I'm going to guess (i.e., don't ask me for facts, figures,
> >> > substantive studies, reports from the FCC/ARRL, etc) that of the Techs
> >> > that have stayed in amateur radio, most have done so for the emergency
> >> > communications aspect of the service. As such, they would typically
> >> > stay on FM.
> >> >
> >> > The ones who could learn Morse Code and have had to time to do so have
> >> > moved up. Those who didn't have the time or couldn't learn it have
> >> > moved on.
> >> >
> >>
> >> There are none who cannot learn the code.
> >
> > Really? Why do you say that?
> >
> Because they have already learned many things far tougher than the code.

What kind of things?

> >> However there are those for whom
> >> other activities can and should have priority on their time. However
> >> that
> >> is no excuse for eliminating it as a test element since the same argument
> >> can be applied to the theory.
> >
> > You hold a very interesting point of view. You say that since everyone
> > can learn the code, that it must be retained as a test element?
>
> No it should be retained because it is one of the basics of amateur radio
> along with things like Ohm's law, propagation, etc.

No other operating mode/skill has a practical pass/fail exam. That
makes it arbitrary.

> > I say that it should be removed as a test element because it no longer
> > serves a regulatory purpose.
>
> That's a matter of opinion.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Please state the regulatory purpose that a Morse Code exam and CW use
provides.

3245. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 01:29:42 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <YaSdndBXad_MSCnfRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110378

John Smith wrote:
> Today millions, if not close to a billion, or more, children accessed
> the internet. They accessed data in a range too large to describe--they
> instant messaged and chatted with children on every continent of the
> globe... literally without barriers...
>
> ... the amount of knowledge entering young minds is staggering to the
> consciousness ... and this is progress at its' finest... radio pales
> in what it has done to offer such opportunities and advantages and feels
> it has no obligations or responsibilities to move in such productive
> directions--or, at least the "high priests" in control at this time
> do...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:QC%se.740$ik5.236@fe12.lga...
>
>>Well, my 7 yr. old lass made five QSO's today during Kid's Day, two of
>>them were CW contacts. So QSL cards go out today for the "young'un"
>>who managed to practice enough over the past few weeks to pound out my
>>call, her name, favorite color, age, QTH and wrap it up with a
>>not-too-shabby 73. (I helped w/her copy tho.)
>>
>>http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/kd-rules.html
>>
>>I couldn't have asked for a better Father's Day gift.
>>
>>--
>>Vy 73 de Bert
>>WA2SI
>>FISTS #9384/CC #1736
>>QRP ARCI #11782
>>
>
>
>

Wonder why we aren't supprised that top-posting johnnyboy would find a
way to attempt to discredit a ham with his 7 year old enjoying radio.
Johnnyboy, with the way you seem to hate ham radio and those who
participate, why don't you just turn in your license and go back to the
'freeband'?

3246. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 02:18:34 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <_B4te.1408$ac7.128@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 110379

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Zz2te.7021$eM6.2655@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:9kXse.6246$NX4.1171@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> > My attitude toward many of the current crop of new techs is one bathed
> > upon five years observation, since returning to the U.S. I haven't
chased
> > them anywhere. In fact, it has been just the opposite. I've tried to
> > encourage them to use simplex on FM. I've tried to encourage them to
try
> > 6 meters along with 432 and 144 MHz SSB and CW. I can't be faulted that
> > they don't act after being encouraged. If these folks are, in fact
> > "trapped" in a world of 2m only FM repeater operation, they have
fashioned
> > the trap themselves by not being more curious about the rest of VHF/UHF
> > amateur radio. There are avenues open to them but they aren't
> > participating.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> Man does that say it all in a well articulated paragraph. Valid points
out
> there
> in tech land.
>
> The Technician ticket originally was envisioned to enable those that had a
> technical
> slant that needed a place to play on the "air". It has degenerated into
a
> defacto
> "entry level" to present day Ham Radio. What a bummer.
>
> I bet a lot of those out there don't know that the original Tech written
was
> exactly
> the same as the General. The ONLY difference was the General had a 13 WPM
> cw test. Send and Receive. And the Tech had a 5wpm......and was
available
> by
> mail.
>

> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Hello, Dan

Been there, didn't want that T-shirt. The danger back when was that if you couldn't pass the 13 WPM, you had two choices: one was work on your code while the other was to take the tech.

Some folks back then wanted the General but settled for a tech license when they couldn't pass muster at 13. Unfortunately, if you got on the air (back when, 2 meters was the novice voice band to try and get more activity on 2 meters!) it was possible to *never* work on the code and you were stuck as a tech. Most likely 6 meters was the band of choice as the best front ends might have had a 4.5 dB noise figure on 440 MHz. Even 2 meters wasn't all that busy; Heathkit sixers and twoers were the rigs of the day. I really wan't familiar with any territory above 30 MHz back then.

The other choice was to work on your code. The novice license was issued for one year and was not renewable. I took this choice and it took me a while to get my code speed up. When I did take the test and pass 13, I was good for about 18 (which helps when you're nervous and travel 60 miles to take the test administered by the FCC, not a VEC).

Of course, there were those that were only interested in VHF and above. Moonbounce was just starting to happen and dx records at 1.2 GHz and above were likely measured in miles. Not many. A very low noise pre-amp on 440 MHz probably had a 4.5 dB noise figure. Once you headed into microwaves, you simply had a diode mixer front end and not only noise, but signal loss as well. Not at all like today. Most vacuum tubes had interelectrode capacitances and delays in getting electrons across the void that prohibited most from operation much above 500 MHz. There were lighthouse tubes, travelling wave tubes, and others (I had a couple of gizmos that looked like Sputnik. I never knew what they were intended for LOL).

Funny thing is that if you do get to a reasonable level of code proficiency (as required by the General class and above back when) there was yet another danger: you just might enjoy it :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3247. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 03:15:57 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <pvGdnQIuH-z7finfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 110380

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:F93te.176$JO4.12239973@news.sisna.com...
> Today millions, if not close to a billion, or more, children accessed
> the internet. They accessed data in a range too large to describe--they
> instant messaged and chatted with children on every continent of the
> globe... literally without barriers...

They can't do both ?????? ie computers and radio .....

> ... the amount of knowledge entering young minds is staggering to the
> consciousness ... and this is progress at its' finest..

Maybe but a better answer would come from someone 50 years from now ...
perspective you know .....

. radio pales
> in what it has done to offer such opportunities and advantages and feels
> it has no obligations or responsibilities to move in such productive
> directions--

John perhaps you could fill us in on what you have done to furthur your
concept of this service to younger people .. I hope you have had some young
ones over the house to see your station ....or given a talk to the Scouts
...or been involved with the local club for a no-code course.

or, at least the "high priests" in control at this time
> do...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

OK John you have finally convinced me ...I am going outside with the bolt
cutters and take down my antenna ...I will put all 5 rigs on E-Bay tomorrow
... while I am at it all my radio tools and parts will go also ....same goes
with all my radio books I have collected throughout the years as they are
now obsolete .... the keys will be melted down into paperweights ..... the
3k plus that went into a new radio room will be turned into a storage area
...... all radio software will be deleted ....Now at last my mind is
free to get on the internet to read spam, delete porno and listen to your
mindless pontifications on this "new protocol".

73 oh wait no ...... see you on this group ......

KI3R oh wait .... I need to get a new internet handle .... and
burn my vestments .... but wait I am not in control .....

3248. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 04:29:24 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <QdKdnZsHMNzzYinfRVn-ug@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110382

John Smith top poster and all around cb 'freebander' wrote:
> Commandeer Buzzard:
>
> Still in training to be a "master of the personal attack", huh? Puzzled
> why it inspires disgust rather that respect?

If you want respect, say or do something that deserves respect.

3249. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 04:46:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <UM6te.186$_K1.13594697@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110381

Commandeer Buzzard:

Still in training to be a "master of the personal attack", huh? Puzzled why it inspires disgust rather that respect?

My post is a statement of fact--no attack, it would only feel like that to someone who had some personal interests in mind...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message news:YaSdndBXad_MSCnfRVn-uA@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Today millions, if not close to a billion, or more, children accessed
>> the internet. They accessed data in a range too large to
>> describe--they instant messaged and chatted with children on every
>> continent of the globe... literally without barriers...
>>
>> ... the amount of knowledge entering young minds is staggering to the
>> consciousness ... and this is progress at its' finest... radio
>> pales in what it has done to offer such opportunities and advantages
>> and feels it has no obligations or responsibilities to move in such
>> productive directions--or, at least the "high priests" in control at
>> this time do...
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
>> news:QC%se.740$ik5.236@fe12.lga...
>>
>>>Well, my 7 yr. old lass made five QSO's today during Kid's Day, two
>>>of them were CW contacts. So QSL cards go out today for the
>>>"young'un" who managed to practice enough over the past few weeks to
>>>pound out my call, her name, favorite color, age, QTH and wrap it up
>>>with a not-too-shabby 73. (I helped w/her copy tho.)
>>>
>>>http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/kd-rules.html
>>>
>>>I couldn't have asked for a better Father's Day gift.
>>>
>>>--
>>>Vy 73 de Bert
>>>WA2SI
>>>FISTS #9384/CC #1736

>>>QRP ARCI #11782
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Wonder why we aren't supprised that top-posting johnnyboy would find a
> way to attempt to discredit a ham with his 7 year old enjoying radio.
> Johnnyboy, with the way you seem to hate ham radio and those who
> participate, why don't you just turn in your license and go back to
> the 'freeband'?

3250. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 05:43:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119159821.803052.192900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110383

From: Leo on Jun 18, 2:51 pm

>On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
:-)
>Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr. Godwin's rule.

Jimmie allus "invokes" Godwin...or parenting. So predictable.

Jimmie do parenting schtick again, no say how many he parent.

Big mystery. Tune in tomorrow for another chapter in the
slope opera, "As The Stomach Churns." Get ready for rumble.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3251. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 05:47:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119160055.499615.26090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110384

From: "bb" on Sat 18 Jun 2005 17:01

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > bb wrote:
>> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message

>> I agree with you Dave,
>
>Of course you do.

The Elite always seem to do that... :-)

>> I and the OM (who is our local club's VHF/UHF SSB
>> guru) have worked hard at trying to get Techs involved with something other
>> than repeater FM.
>
>Why?

They like feeling superior...

>> While we have had some modest success, it's only a small
>> percentage of the Techs who have jumped into these waters.
>
>Have you asked them why they are reluctant to jump into those waters?

The Elite don't "ask." They COMMAND. :-)

>Since they've not jumped in, does that change your attitude about them?

They are allowed to continue existance. [ho hum]

As of 17 Jun 05, there were 722,134 U.S. amateur radio licenses
exclusive of Club Calls. Of those, 293,897 were Technician Class
making them 40.70 percent of all licensees. There were 55,816
Technican Plus licensees, 7.73 percent of the total.

Combined, Technician and Technician Plus licensees number
349,713 or 48.43 percent of the total number of licensees.

The Elite (the PCTA Extras, that is) will rationalize that
"few of those Techs are active" in an attempt to rationalize
that "they don't count." :-) Unfortunately for the Elite,
they will soon be the MAJORITY among all U.S. licensees.

Dee and the others will "change their attitude" only in a quasi acknowledgement that they MIGHT exist...but wouldn't want their daughters marrying any of them (or equivalent).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3252. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 05:50:23 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119160223.931263.54780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110385

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 00:07

>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>
>You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in general,
>assuming you have a license that is.

Godwin invoked. [:-)]

Attitude-wise, Dan = Stebie.

ALL shall march to the same drummer, in ranks, with one voice
counting cadence as taught in the Church of St. Hiram. NONE
shall speak against the Elite, the PCTA Extras.

ONE "service," all wearing the same "uniform." Totalitarian.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3253. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 05:54:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119160451.777470.252950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110386

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat 18 Jun 2005 03:30

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> We wonder how many kids Jimmie has "parented?" He no say.
>
>You don't say how many *you* have parented, Len.

Ah, but I DID!

It's just a simple question, Jimmie: HOW MANY CHILDREN HAS
JIMMIE MICCOLIS PARENTED?

We'll just put YOU down as ZERO. Tsk, tsk.

>Where have I claimed to be "in electronics", Len?

In this newsgroup.

Tsk, tsk, Jimmie no say where he work or for whom.

Jimmie not work at all?

>You're not "professional" at all, Len.

Tsk, tsk. I work for money. Dat called "professional." :-)

> Do you tell folks
> at the IEEE to "shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel"?

Tsk, tsk, Jimmie, getting all mixed up in quotes.

Kolonel Klunk was called a feldwebel.

Jimmie know what means by "feldwebel?" Jimmie ignorant slut.
"Feld" is field in English. "Webel" is a very old military
term for "underofficer" or, in English, NCO.

Jimmie write in here dat "feldwebel" is a "corporal." Not
so. Jimmie WRONG. Jimmie not ever admit he WRONG.

>Still waiting for you to confront Mr. Powell - the one you called
>"Mikey". Oh wait, he's not part of FCC anymore.

Mikey Powell GONE, Jimmie. Got confronted, go bye-bye.

Mikey in "undisclosed location?" Hiding where all WMDs are
stored?

Ask Donnie Rumsfeld where Mikey Powell, Jimmie. Ask nice.
Not ask nice and shrub will INVADE YOU!

How many children Jimmie Miccolis parent?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3254. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 06:39:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Iq8te.187$Pq3.13996122@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110387

commandeer buzz off:

It pains me to even acknowledge you--but I pity you and your juvenile attempts at gaining attention... even to the point of provoking negative acknowledgements...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message news:QdKdnZsHMNzzYinfRVn-ug@gbronline.com...
> John Smith top poster and all around cb 'freebander' wrote:
>> Commandeer Buzzard:
>>
>> Still in training to be a "master of the personal attack", huh?
>> Puzzled why it inspires disgust rather that respect?
>
> If you want respect, say or do something that deserves respect.

3255. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 10:02:45 UTC
From: Randy <NoSpam@noaddress>
Message-ID: <d93fr0$88a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110388

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message

Poor Len. He is of the opinion that he has something worthwhile to add to this group. He must be lonely.

The fact of the matter is that aside from my comment to him, all others are ignoring him.

Len, you at least deserve credit for trying, but your caustic barbs are going ignored. Your wordy attempts at humor are, well, just that. Attempts.

And I highly dislike answering your posts Len, because by responding to you, you feel that any response, good or bad, lends credence to your inane drivel.

I will do what others have done, Len. I will killfile your posts henceforth. Your babble is no longer entertaining and has indeed become rather tedious to read. You cannot say in three sentences that which you prefer to say in several paragraphs.

Your posts have become boring, Len. Boring.

Better luck next time, Len.

3256. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 10:24:57 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <o9-dnf2U4tVi2ijfRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 110389

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119142685.875273.136900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1119139609.417782.307000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> >> >> strange
>> >> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>> >> >> operators.
>> >> >> On
>> >> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where
>> >> >> are
>> >> >> all
>> >> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't"
>> >> >> pass
>> >> >> the
>> >> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >> >
>> >> > Dee, I'm going to guess (i.e., don't ask me for facts, figures,
>> >> > substantive studies, reports from the FCC/ARRL, etc) that of the
>> >> > Techs
>> >> > that have stayed in amateur radio, most have done so for the
>> >> > emergency
>> >> > communications aspect of the service. As such, they would typically
>> >> > stay on FM.
>> >> >
>> >> > The ones who could learn Morse Code and have had to time to do so
>> >> > have
>> >> > moved up. Those who didn't have the time or couldn't learn it have
>> >> > moved on.
>> >> >
```

>> >>
>> >> There are none who cannot learn the code.
>> >
>> > Really? Why do you say that?
>>
>> Because they have already learned many things far tougher than the code.
>
> What kind of things?
>

Not all items apply to all people but here are a few: Walking, talking,
reading, writing, riding a bicycle, skating, mathematics, any sport at even
the the most rudimentary level, playing any musical instrument at even the
most rudimentary level, computer literacy, typing, college courses. The
list could go on, but just about everything mental or physical a person has
learned is harder than code.

>> >> However there are those for whom
>> >> other activities can and should have priority on their time. However
>> >> that
>> >> is no excuse for eliminating it as a test element since the same
>> >> argument
>> >> can be applied to the theory.
>> >
>> > You hold a very interesting point of view. You say that since everyone
>> > can learn the code, that it must be retained as a test element?
>>
>> No it should be retained because it is one of the basics of amateur radio
>> along with things like Ohm's law, propagation, etc.
>
> No other operating mode/skill has a practical pass/fail exam. That
> makes it arbitrary.
>

All the tests are arbitrary as well as is the material chosen for coverage.

>> > I say that it should be removed as a test element because it no longer
>> > serves a regulatory purpose.
>>
>> That's a matter of opinion.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Please state the regulatory purpose that a Morse Code exam and CW use
> provides.
>

The regulator purpose of the exam is that it shows the candidate knows that
particular basic of ham radio.

No one has ever claimed that CW use or the use of any other mode (SSB,
non-code digital) provides a regulatory purpose. However throwing that

comment into the discussion illustrates that you wish to get rid of not only the test but the use of CW. So I will not continue to participate in this thread.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3257. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 10:29:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <G-mdnWIwhYyc1CjfRVn-2w@comcast.com>
Source record: 110390

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:o9-dnf2U4tVi2ijfRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message

< No one has ever claimed that CW use or the use of any other mode (SSB,
> non-code digital) provides a regulatory purpose. However throwing that
> comment into the discussion illustrates that you wish to get rid of not
> only the test but the use of CW. So I will not continue to participate in
> this thread.
>

Correction:

"So I will not continue replying to your comments in this thread"

Some people here will interpret my previous comment to mean I'll not reply
to any people in this thread and that may or may not be the case depending
on how interesting their comments are.

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3258. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 11:36:03 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <ejlab110vrqtfdmqs5qj3t4cp8u567o73s@4ax.com>
Source record: 110391

On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>> >
>> >>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >snip
>>
>> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
>>
>> Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr. Godwin's
>> rule.
>
>"attempt"? Hardly!
>snip

Attempt, definitely. Because, as has been demonstrated many times
before, the discusion will continue regardless of whether Godwin's
impotent rule has been 'invoked' or not.

But it just had to be done, didn't it?

It's what you do! Point out the obvious, that is.

>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

3259. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 11:42:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119181352.435775.159330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110392

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> As of 17 Jun 05, there were 722,134 U.S. amateur radio
> licenses
> exclusive of Club Calls.

But inclusive of at approximately 56,672 that were expired but in the
grace period. Total unexpired licenses held by individuals
stands at 665,462.

> Of those, 293,897 were Technician Class
> making them 40.70 percent of all licensees.

Of those, 269,204 are current and approximately 24,693 are expired but
in the grace period.

> There were 55,816
> Technican Plus licensees, 7.73 percent of the total.

48,713 current and 7,103 expired but in the grace period.
>
> Combined, Technician and Technician Plus licensees number
> 349,713 or 48.43 percent of the total number of licensees.

Of whom 317,917 are current and 31,796 in the grace period.
>
> The Elite (the PCTA Extras, that is) will rationalize that
> "few of those Techs are active" in an attempt to rationalize
> that "they don't count." :-)

That claim is incorrect.

> Unfortunately for the Elite,
> they will soon be the MAJORITY among all U.S. licensees.

How do you know, Len? What's the trend?

The number of Technicians (including Pluses) with current licenses
peaked back in March of 2000, at 338,334. It has
since dropped by more than 20,000.

Meanwhile, the number of current Extra class licenses has
climbed from 75,985 in March of 2000 to 106,806 today.

You are invited to show how the trend in Technician/Tech Plus license
numbers predicts any sort of majority in the future.
Since all Technician Pluses are renewed as Technician since April 2000,
it's logical to combine them when looking for a trend.

3260. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 11:43:38 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <m5mab115765k6bic9qndb8cuk5c4uhrptb@4ax.com>
Source record: 110393

On Sat, 18 Jun 2005 19:33:20 -0400, Mike Coslo
<mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>>From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>snip
>>
>>
>>> Seig Heil!!! :-)
>>
>>
>> Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr. Godwin's rule.
>
> Yeah, those jerks always invoke Godwin when someone is compared to the
>Nazi's. 8^)

That's right - every time! Does absolutely no good, but it just has
to be done, I suppose.....

I think the comparison in question was to the bumbling TV 'Nazis' on
"Hogan's Heroes", not the 'real' ones - but hey, Godwin must be
appeased... And as usual, the OC was right there as predicted! :)

> - Mike KB3EIA -

73, Leo

3261. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 11:48:01 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119181681.753622.37960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110394

Leo wrote:
> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
> >> >
> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >snip
> >>
> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
> >>
> >> Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr. Godwin's
> >> rule.
> >>
> >"attempt"? Hardly!
> >snip
>
> Attempt, definitely. Because, as has been demonstrated many times
> before, the discusion will continue regardless of whether Godwin's
> impotent rule has been 'invoked' or not.

The version of Godwin's rule that I use says that the person
who uses stoops to calling their opponent "Hitler", "Nazis"
or references to them, has lost the argument. That the discussion
continues is irrelevant. Len has lost the argument.

> But it just had to be done, didn't it?

No, it didn't. But I did it anyway. Is there a problem with
that? Do you think Len's slurs are acceptable behavior?

3262. Re: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 11:56:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119182177.187529.93190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110395

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat 18 Jun 2005 03:30
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> We wonder how many kids Jimmie has "parented?" He no say.
> >
> >You don't say how many *you* have parented, Len.
>
> Ah, but I DID!

Post a link to the posting where you gave that information, Len.

> >Where have I claimed to be "in electronics", Len?
>
> In this newsgroup.

Post a link to the posting where I worte that, Len.
>
> Tsk, tsk, Jimmie no say where he work or for whom.

In case you haven't noticed, Len, I don't give out that
sort of information on Usenet.

> > Do you tell folks
> > at the IEEE to "shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel"?
>
> Tsk, tsk, Jimmie, getting all mixed up in quotes.

Nope. You used that phrase.

> Kolonel Klunk

Who is "Kolonel Klunk"?

> was called a feldwebel.
>
> Jimmie know what means by "feldwebel?"

It means "corporal" in German.

> Jimmie ignorant slut.

Very "professional", Len.

> "Feld" is field in English. "Webel" is a very old military
> term for "underofficer" or, in English, NCO.
>

> Jimmie write in here dat "feldwebel" is a "corporal."

In German, that's the word.

Randy's got the right idea.

3263. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 12:38:09 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <a5oab196sng6oqpq4aqi7f0apthn4cmtti@4ax.com>
Source record: 110396

On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>
>Leo wrote:
>> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>> >> >
>> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >snip
>> >>
>> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
>> >>
>> >> Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr. Godwin's
>> >> rule.
>> >>
>> >"attempt"? Hardly!
>> >snip
>>
>> Attempt, definitely. Because, as has been demonstrated many times
>> before, the discusion will continue regardless of whether Godwin's
>> impotent rule has been 'invoked' or not.
>
>The version of Godwin's rule that I use says that the person
>who uses stoops to calling their opponent "Hitler", "Nazis"
>or references to them, has lost the argument. That the discussion
>continues is irrelevant. Len has lost the argument.

I see. Thanks for clearing that up, Jim - for a minute there, I was
afraid that you hadn't accomplished anything useful there!

>
>> But it just had to be done, didn't it?
>
>No, it didn't. But I did it anyway.

Of course you did. You had to!

>Is there a problem with >that? Do you think Len's slurs
>are acceptable behavior?

There are several folks here whose 'slurs' and language are much worse than this example (a reference to the bumbling and comical 'Nazis' on "Hogan's Heroes") - always has been, always will be. It's not my job to run around and point that out all day every day.

It's not my job to point that out to each and every participant on this group Jim - is it yours? Why?

(73 de Jim etc. sig missing again)

73, Leo

3264. Happy Father's Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 13:14:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119186847.261156.60110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110397

A Happy Father's Day to one and all...

73

Steve, K4YZ

3265. Re: To hell with this dumb group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 14:03:51 UTC
From: Real CBer <realcber@anywhere.usa>
Message-ID: <42b57ab7$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 110398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame.jews,alt.politics.white-power

We're not wasting our time here ... We are enjoying our time here!

YOU chose to post your reply , therefore it is YOU that is not enjoying your time here!

804 - 301 - 4681 "Gator"

You can be my crocodile hunter, big boy!

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1114318300.334031.204770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> CB outlaw wrote:
> > I'm goin' back to shootin' skip on the ol CB!You idiots can waste all
> > the time you want to on here, I'm gonna go back to DX'n on the
> freeband
> > where we all belong. 10-4 GOOD BUDDY!
>
> I want you inside my man-pus!
>

3266. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 14:06:02 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrndbaumk.k93.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 110399

In article <1119139081.497960.148380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>, bb wrote:
> Maybe they are on FM yet not trapped at all. Maybe if you let Dee and
> Dan finish their thoughts on why they think the Techs are trapped we
> would have something worth discussing.

My experience, which is now 8 years out of date, is that the techs did not
own the kind of station that you need to operate ssb or cw in a contest.
We would go to a friends house who had a wider range of bands (6m,2m,220,440
and 1.2gHz) than we had at home and work the contest from their using his
call sign.

It was quite fun, he had a barbecue in the summer and pizza in the fall.

One guy (a tech) would show up with a commander 2m amp at 1pm, spend
an hour getting ready and would not leave it except for "nature breaks"
and grabbing some food for the entire contest unless someone asked to
work 2m.

Geoff.

--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
IL Voice: (077)-424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
VoN Skype: mendelsonfamily. Looking for work as a CTO or consultant in
handheld gaming, large systems development, handheld device construction, etc.
See U.S. patent applications 20050108591, 20050107165.

3267. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 14:19:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119190761.984140.307660@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110500

Leo wrote:
> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >>snip
> >> >>
> >> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr.
> >> >> Godwin's rule.

> >> >"attempt"? Hardly!
> >> >snip

> >> Attempt, definitely. Because, as has been demonstrated many > >> times
> >> before, the discusion will continue regardless of whether
> >> Godwin's impotent rule has been 'invoked' or not.

> >The version of Godwin's rule that I use says that the person
> >who uses stoops to calling their opponent "Hitler", "Nazis"
> >or references to them, has lost the argument. That the
> >discussion
> >continues is irrelevant. Len has lost the argument.
>
> I see. Thanks for clearing that up, Jim - for a minute there, > I was
> afraid that you hadn't accomplished anything useful there!

So it wasn't an "attempt" but a success.
>
> >> But it just had to be done, didn't it?

> >No, it didn't. But I did it anyway.
>
> Of course you did. You had to!

Nope. I chose to.

> >Is there a problem with >that? Do you think Len's slurs
> >are acceptable behavior?

>
> There are several folks here whose 'slurs' and language are
> much worse
> than this example

Yes, Len has done worse....

> (a reference to the bumbling and
> comical 'Nazis' on "Hogan's Heroes")

The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1

> - always has been, always will be.

That claim is incorrect. Usenet is not eternal.

> It's not my job
> to run around and point that out all day every day.

You have avoided the question.

Do you think Len's slurs are acceptable behavior?

> It's not my job to point that out to each and every participant > on this group Jim - is it yours? Why?

Your argument seems to be that since Len will probably exhibit
his typical immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun
Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior anyway, there's no
point in pointing out when he is, indeed, exhibiting his typical
immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting
jackass behavior. Is that about right?

Perhaps you have a valid point, since if what Len seeks is
attention, pointing out his typical immature ethnic-slur
Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior
gives him that attention.

>
> (73 de Jim etc. sig missing again)
>
Not missing - omitted.

The original meaning of "73" is "a friendly greeting
between operators". In the context of amateur radio,
this means between amateur radio operators.

It would be inappropriate to use the greeting to
someone who is not an amateur radio operator.

> 73, Leo

3268. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 15:02:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <o8ednWjTLI-MFCjfRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110501

Leo wrote:
> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>
>>Leo wrote:
>>
>>>On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>snip
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Seig Heil!!! :-)
>>>>>
>>>>>Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr. Godwin's
>>>>>rule.
>>>>>>
>>>>>"attempt"? Hardly!
>>>>>snip
>>>>>>
>>>Attempt, definitely. Because, as has been demonstrated many times
>>>before, the discusion will continue regardless of whether Godwin's
>>>impotent rule has been 'invoked' or not.
>>
>>The version of Godwin's rule that I use says that the person
>>who uses stoops to calling their opponent "Hitler", "Nazis"
>>or references to them, has lost the argument. That the discussion
>>continues is irrelevant. Len has lost the argument.
>
>
> I see. Thanks for clearing that up, Jim - for a minute there, I was
> afraid that you hadn't accomplished anything useful there!

>
>
>>>But it just had to be done, didn't it?
>>
>>No, it didn't. But I did it anyway.
>
>
> Of course you did. You had to!
>
>
>>Is there a problem with >that? Do you think Len's slurs
>>are acceptable behavior?
>
>
> There are several folks here whose 'slurs' and language are much worse
> than this example (a reference to the bumbling and comical 'Nazis' on
> "Hogan's Heroes") - always has been, always will be. It's not my job
> to run around and point that out all day every day.
>
> It's not my job to point that out to each and every participant on
> this group Jim - is it yours? Why?

You are correct there Leo. Jim is perfectly capable of not responding
to anything Len posts. We all are.

And of course, Len knows quite well that all he has to do is put in a
reference to the Nazi's, and it will get a response. Sets the hook quite
regularly, he does! Irresistible bait apparently.

Stalemate. Looks like everyone is getting exactly what they want.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3269. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 15:08:54 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <k81bb1t4qvnd3o7gkb72fctq9k8nebal5f@4ax.com>
Source record: 110502

On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >snip
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr.
>> >> >> Godwin's rule.
>
>> >> >"attempt"? Hardly!
>> >> >snip
>
>> >> Attempt, definitely. Because, as has been demonstrated many > >> times
>> >> before, the discusion will continue regardless of whether
>> >> Godwin's impotent rule has been 'invoked' or not.
>
>> >The version of Godwin's rule that I use says that the person
>> >who uses stoops to calling their opponent "Hitler", "Nazis"
>> >or references to them, has lost the argument. That the
>> >discussion
>> >continues is irrelevant. Len has lost the argument.
>>
>> I see. Thanks for clearing that up, Jim - for a minute there, > I was
>> afraid that you hadn't accomplished anything useful there!
>
>So it wasn't an "attempt" but a success.

Was it? Not really - the discussion will continue.

>>
>> >> But it just had to be done, didn't it?
>
>> >No, it didn't. But I did it anyway.
>>

>> Of course you did. You had to!
>
>Nope. I chose to.

The choice, Sir, was not yours to make - you simply could not resist
doing so.

>
>> >Is there a problem with >that? Do you think Len's slurs
>> >are acceptable behavior?
>>
>> There are several folks here whose 'slurs' and language are
>> much worse
>> than this example
>
>Yes, Len has done worse....

Is that what I said? Don't think so!

>
>> (a reference to the bumbling and
>> comical 'Nazis' on "Hogan's Heroes")
>
>The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1

Godwin invoked.

>
>> - always has been, always will be.
>
>That claim is incorrect. Usenet is not eternal.
>
>> It's not my job
>> to run around and point that out all day every day.
>
>You have avoided the question.
>
>Do you think Len's slurs are acceptable behavior?

Not my job to judge that, Jim. That's apparently your role.

>
>> It's not my job to point that out to each and every participant > on this group Jim - is
it yours? Why?
>
>Your argument seems to be that since Len will probably exhibit
>his typical immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun
>Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior anyway, there's no
>point in pointing out when he is, indeed, exhibiting his typical
>immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting
>jackass behavior. Is that about right?

Nope. You have avoided the question.

>
>Perhaps you have a valid point, since if what Len seeks is
>attention, pointing out his typical immature ethnic-slur
>Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior
>gives him that attention.
>
>>
>> (73 de Jim etc. sig missing again)
>>
>Not missing - omitted.

In a fit of pique? As an insult? Forgot, maybe!

Lid-like behaviour, wouldn't you think?

>
>The original meaning of "73" is "a friendly greeting
>between operators". In the context of amateur radio,
>this means between amateur radio operators.

In the words of Hans - thank you, Captain Obvious!

>
>It would be inappropriate to use the greeting to
>someone who is not an amateur radio operator.

Which I am. And have stated many times before.

Poor memory? Google 'er up.....

>
>
>> 73, Leo

(73 de Jim omitted apparently intentionally - boo hoo :))

73, Leo.

3270. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 15:10:32 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050619151032.F0F201705C@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 110504

In article <oNSdnYRiyrdypS_fRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys<m...@this.ten> wrote:
>
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >
> > Last night 40
> > meters was full of Morse Code signals from 7000 to 7060 or
so.
>
> I listened to the 40 meter cw band last night and also found
it full of
> Morse signals, but johnnyboy says that can't be so as cw is
dying out,
> no one is interested in using Morse code anymore, so we must
obviously
> have something wrong with our receivers. If you find the
problem let me
> know so I can fix mine too.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..the self-deluded "cw expert" just
"listened," eh? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!! You didn't want to
show off those "sending skills" or I should say lack of them,
huh, imaginary pilot's and obsolete license boy????
Guess you didn't want that pounding like as if an elephant was
transmitting the code to get over the real operators, right?
BTW, nobody would want to work on your cheapassed Ten-Tech
equipment, dumbass!

3271. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 15:10:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119193839.421987.302720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110503

Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119139081.497960.148380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> >> >>>news:ee0re.3228$VK4.1257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>[snip]
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Why do I contest? To improve my score by improving my station and
> >> >>>myself.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Its as simple as that.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Have a good day
> >> >>>
> >> >>>PS; I worked a bunch of sporadic skip yesterday on six meters in the
> >> >>>June
> >> >>>VHF contest.....with 8 watts and a 3 ele yagi at 20'.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>It's all a matter of perspective.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Dan/W4NTI
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >> >>>strange
> >> >>>thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
> >> >>>operators. On
> >> >>>the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
> >> >>>all

> >> >>>the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
> >> >>>the
> >> >>>code (as some would have us believe)?
> >> >>
> >> >>Oh, they're still trapped on VHF, Dee. Most of 'em are so trapped that
> >> >>they know only one mode--FM and do all of their operating via
> >> >>repeaters.
> >> >>
> >> > What a wunnerful attitude you have toward Techs, Oh Mighty DXer. And
> >> > people have to ask who chased away the Techs. I guess the only time
> >> > you would embrace a Tech is if he were calling you out of band on 6M.
> >> > Hi!
> >> >>
> >> I don't embrace radio amateurs at all, "bb". A firm handshake is good
> >> enough for me.
> >>
> > That's not what the French do. They kiss you on both cheeks,
> > especially for handing out contacts and QSL cards for out of band
> > contacts.
> >
> >> My attitude toward many of the current crop of new techs is one bathed
> >> upon five years observation, since returning to the U.S. I haven't
> >> chased them anywhere. In fact, it has been just the opposite. I've
> >> tried to encourage them to use simplex on FM. I've tried to encourage
> >> them to try 6 meters along with 432 and 144 MHz SSB and CW. I can't be
> >> faulted that they don't act after being encouraged. If these folks are,
> >> in fact "trapped" in a world of 2m only FM repeater operation, they have
> >> fashioned the trap themselves by not being more curious about the rest
> >> of VHF/UHF amateur radio. There are avenues open to them but they
> >> aren't participating.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> > A penny for your thoughts.
> >
> > Maybe they are on FM yet not trapped at all. Maybe if you let Dee and
> > Dan finish their thoughts on why they think the Techs are trapped we
> > would have something worth discussing.
> >
>
> I have never said that they were trapped. It happens to be a belief
> expressed by some of the anti-code test people that they are trapped above
> 30mhz. I've always contended that any such trap is one of their own
> perception.

That explains why you've put quotes around the word "trapped" in your
description of those Techs.

> > My opinion (that means it's my opinion and I don't have to spend weeks
> > at the Library of Congress to back up anything that I might say or
> > forever be known as a liar and/or a gay man) is that those who joined

> > for emergency communications purposes are perfectly happy with FM, and
> > do not consider themselves as "trapped." All the "encouragement" in
> > the world doesn't change their perspective. They're getting what they
> > want out of amateur radio. What they don't need is to be denigrated by
> > the Extras that think they need to do more to be a "real" ham.
> >
>
> I do not denigrate any one based on their license class. For those who are
> getting all they want out of VHF FM, I am happy for them. But I have no
> patience for those who want additional privileges without passing whatever
> is the license requirement of the day. I know a couple of Techs who have
> been waiting to get a General until the code test is removed but they have
> been waiting for ten years. Wasting 10 years is foolish.

Lack of patience and describing them as foolish does indicate your
feelings for them. Waiting is worth it to them or they wouldn't do it.
Is it so hard for you to consider that they really, really don't want
to learn THE code?

3272. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 15:13:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <uMCdnbN5z_YIFijfRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110505

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Your argument seems to be that since Len will probably exhibit
> his typical immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun
> Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior anyway, there's no
> point in pointing out when he is, indeed, exhibiting his typical
> immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting
> jackass behavior. Is that about right?
>
> Perhaps you have a valid point, since if what Len seeks is
> attention, pointing out his typical immature ethnic-slur
> Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior
> gives him that attention.

Bingo!

We cannot control Len's behavior here.

We can however, control our own.

I don't know about your mail reader, but mine has a couple levels of filtering. I can filter by name, or the easy way is to simply hit "k" at the subject line. I never see that subject again.

That being said, I have mixed feelings on the filtering. I use it to get rid of the lunatic fringe topics, but little else.

I don't filter people like Len though, as I look forward to his postings. They are entertaining. So if the people who find his postings offensive quit getting into p*****g contests with him by filtering him out or just ignoring him, I'll have lost that bit of fun.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3273. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 15:20:57 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119194457.496023.276300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110506

Geoffrey S. Mendelson wrote:
> In article <1119139081.497960.148380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>, bb wrote:
> > Maybe they are on FM yet not trapped at all. Maybe if you let Dee and
> > Dan finish their thoughts on why they think the Techs are trapped we
> > would have something worth discussing.
>
> My experience, which is now 8 years out of date, is that the techs did not
> own the kind of station that you need to operate ssb or cw in a contest.
> We would go to a friends house who had a wider range of bands (6m,2m,220,440
> and 1.2gHz) than we had at home and work the contest from their using his
> call sign.
>
> It was quite fun, he had a barbecue in the summer and pizza in the fall.
>
> One guy (a tech) would show up with a commander 2m amp at 1pm, spend
> an hour getting ready and would not leave it except for "nature breaks"
> and grabbing some food for the entire contest unless someone asked to
> work 2m.
>
> Geoff.

In the USA, the FM equipment has hit rock bottom in the last few years.
Almost any amateur of any income level can purchase new FM equipment.
Multi-mode rigs of course are much more expensive. Many, but not most
of the Techs that I knew had at least 2M ssb.

3274. Re: 5'4" tall Lardass says "IKYABWAI" and the crowd roars with laughter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 15:22:14 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <7764c9f11f27dfd7cf46ba4d04bf9b69@paranoici.org>
Source record: 110507
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d90cjl$i9p$0@pita.alt.net>
"Shondra" <NoSpam@noemail> wrote:

> It is quite (IKYABWAI by Lardass Davies, posting as a female,
getting in touch with the fact he is all woman deep down inside)

Hi Lardass Davies, heard from Papa John's management lately?

And is "Who's your Daddy, bitch" still on birch.net?

3275. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 15:26:37 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <kr2bb1922gk0pm7v3dmhfk1iitfkcruf8u@4ax.com>
Source record: 110508

On Sun, 19 Jun 2005 11:02:42 -0400, Mike Coslo
<mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>snip
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Seig Heil!!! :-)
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr. Godwin's
>>>>>>>>rule.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"attempt"? Hardly!
>>>>>>>snip
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Attempt, definitely. Because, as has been demonstrated many times
>>>>>>before, the discusion will continue regardless of whether Godwin's
>>>>>>impotent rule has been 'invoked' or not.
>>>>>
>>>>>The version of Godwin's rule that I use says that the person
>>>>>who uses stoops to calling their opponent "Hitler", "Nazis"
>>>>>or references to them, has lost the argument. That the discussion
>>>>>continues is irrelevant. Len has lost the argument.
>>>>

>>
>> I see. Thanks for clearing that up, Jim - for a minute there, I was
>> afraid that you hadn't accomplished anything useful there!
>>
>>
>>>>But it just had to be done, didn't it?
>>>
>>>No, it didn't. But I did it anyway.
>>
>>
>> Of course you did. You had to!
>>
>>
>>>Is there a problem with >that? Do you think Len's slurs
>>>are acceptable behavior?
>>
>>
>> There are several folks here whose 'slurs' and language are much worse
>> than this example (a reference to the bumbling and comical 'Nazis' on
>> "Hogan's Heroes") - always has been, always will be. It's not my job
>> to run around and point that out all day every day.
>>
>> It's not my job to point that out to each and every participant on
>> this group Jim - is it yours? Why?
>
> You are correct there Leo. Jim is perfectly capable of not responding
>to anything Len posts. We all are.

I'm not sure that I'd agree, Mike. Jim seems to feel compelled to
respond to anything and everything regarding Len. From early morning
to late at night, 7 days a week, he wages his futile war on the
newsgroup.

Doesn't look like a choice - more like an obcession.

>
> And of course, Len knows quite well that all he has to do is put in a
>reference to the Nazi's, and it will get a response. Sets the hook quite
>regularly, he does! Irresistible bait apparently.

Right you are, Mike. One of the most important things I learned from
being a parent - if you let the kids know where the buttons are, they
can't push 'em!

>
> Stalemate. Looks like everyone is getting exactly what they want.

Or as Mick Jagger says - you can't always get what you want - you get
what you need!

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

73, Leo

3276. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 15:35:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119195352.477170.189690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110509

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119142685.875273.136900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1119139609.417782.307000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >> >> >> strange
> >> >> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
> >> >> >> operators.
> >> >> >> On
> >> >> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where
> >> >> >> are
> >> >> >> all
> >> >> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't"
> >> >> >> pass
> >> >> >> the
> >> >> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Dee, I'm going to guess (i.e., don't ask me for facts, figures,
> >> >> > substantive studies, reports from the FCC/ARRL, etc) that of the
> >> >> > Techs
> >> >> > that have stayed in amateur radio, most have done so for the
> >> >> > emergency
> >> >> > communications aspect of the service. As such, they would typically
> >> >> > stay on FM.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > The ones who could learn Morse Code and have had to time to do so
> >> >> > have
> >> >> > moved up. Those who didn't have the time or couldn't learn it have
> >> >> > moved on.
```

> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> There are none who cannot learn the code.
> >> >
> >> > Really? Why do you say that?
> >>
> >> Because they have already learned many things far tougher than the code.
> >
> > What kind of things?
> >
>
> Not all items apply to all people but here are a few: Walking, talking,
> reading, writing, riding a bicycle, skating, mathematics, any sport at even
> the the most rudimentary level, playing any musical instrument at even the
> most rudimentary level, computer literacy, typing, college courses. The
> list could go on, but just about everything mental or physical a person has
> learned is harder than code.

Can you play the string bass?

There's an FCC sticker on teh back of my stereo. What if the FCC were
to make it a requirement that you have to pass a string bass exam in
order to play music on your stereo?

> >> >> However there are those for whom
> >> >> other activities can and should have priority on their time. However
> >> >> that
> >> >> is no excuse for eliminating it as a test element since the same
> >> >> argument
> >> >> can be applied to the theory.
> >> >
> >> > You hold a very interesting point of view. You say that since everyone
> >> > can learn the code, that it must be retained as a test element?
> >>
> >> No it should be retained because it is one of the basics of amateur radio
> >> along with things like Ohm's law, propagation, etc.
> >
> > No other operating mode/skill has a practical pass/fail exam. That
> > makes it arbitrary.
> >
>
> All the tests are arbitrary as well as is the material chosen for coverage.

They are?

> >> > I say that it should be removed as a test element because it no longer
> >> > serves a regulatory purpose.
> >>
> >> That's a matter of opinion.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >

> > Please state the regulatory purpose that a Morse Code exam and CW use
> > provides.
> >
>
> The regulator purpose of the exam is that it shows the candidate knows that
> particular basic of ham radio.

But why no other practical operating exam for other modes?

> No one has ever claimed that CW use or the use of any other mode (SSB,
> non-code digital) provides a regulatory purpose.

No mode is >mandated<, yet we have a practical operating pass/fail exam
for one mode. That is arbitrary.

However throwing that
> comment into the discussion illustrates that you wish to get rid of not only
> the test but the use of CW.

Dee, not at all. I wish only the arbitrary Morse/Farnsworth Exams to
be retired.

But recognize that the FCC mandates the use no particular mode. You
can use CW all you want or not us it at all. So why is there a
practical operating exam for this one mode but not all other modes?

And why is CW use allowed by No-Code Technicians on VHF, but not HF?

Why did Jim Miccolis N2EY say about Hans restructuring proposal, that a
CW exam would be a barrier to CW use?

> So I will not continue to participate in this thread.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

I understand how uneasy it must make you to have to face the truth of
arbitrary licensing requirements and still advocate a Morse/Farnsworth
Exam.

If you wish to discontinue healthy, legitimate discourse with respect
to amateur policy, I understand. It is not for the faint of heart.

Best of Luck, Brian

3277. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 15:44:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119195851.587447.132210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110510

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:o9-dnf2U4tVi2ijfRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
> >
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>
> < No one has ever claimed that CW use or the use of any other mode (SSB,
> > non-code digital) provides a regulatory purpose. However throwing that
> > comment into the discussion illustrates that you wish to get rid of not
> > only the test but the use of CW. So I will not continue to participate in
> > this thread.
>
> Correction:
>
> "So I will not continue replying to your comments in this thread"
>
> Some people here will interpret my previous comment to mean I'll not reply
> to any people in this thread and that may or may not be the case depending
> on how interesting their comments are.
>
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

I'm sorry that legitimate, civil discourse on amateur radio policy has
you upset. The past couple of days exchanges has been more policy
discussion that this group has seen in years. It's too bad that you
want to abstain just when I thought we were getting somewhere. Your
core beliefs about amateur radio must have been shaken, so I wish you a
speedy recovery.

3278. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 15:50:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119196215.868917.121240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110511

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 00:07
>
>
> >"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >
> >You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in general,
> >assuming you have a license that is.
>
> Godwin invoked. [:-)]
>
> Attitude-wise, Dan = Stebie.
>
>
>
> ALL shall march to the same drummer, in ranks, with one voice
> counting cadence as taught in the Church of St. Hiram. NONE
> shall speak against the Elite, the PCTA Extras.
>
> ONE "service," all wearing the same "uniform." Totalitarian.
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Len, it looks like Dan and Dee have bailed out on civil, legitimate discourse on amateur radio policy. Dave has made himself pretty scarce as well.

I see there's a Jim Miccolis N2EY posting below. I haven't gotten to it yet, as I'm saving the best for last. Hope he doesn't disappoint as he has ever since he made the comment, "A Morse Exam would be a barrier to CW use."

bb

3279. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 15:58:30 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119196710.918646.37670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110512

Jim Hampton wrote:

> Funny thing is that if you do get to a reasonable level of code proficiency
> (as required by the General class and above back when) there was yet another
> danger: you just might enjoy it :))

Now thats a real danger! Hams having fun. ;^)

3280. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 16:00:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119196836.941888.80020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110513

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 00:07
> >
> >
> > >"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > >You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in general,
> > >assuming you have a license that is.
> >
> > Godwin invoked. [:-)]
> >
> > Attitude-wise, Dan = Stebie.
> >
> >
> >
> > ALL shall march to the same drummer, in ranks, with one voice
> > counting cadence as taught in the Church of St. Hiram. NONE
> > shall speak against the Elite, the PCTA Extras.
> >
> > ONE "service," all wearing the same "uniform." Totalitarian.
> >
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Len, it looks like Dan and Dee have bailed out on civil, legitimate
> discourse on amateur radio policy. Dave has made himself pretty scarce
> as well.
>
> I see there's a Jim Miccolis N2EY posting below. I haven't gotten to
> it yet, as I'm saving the best for last. Hope he doesn't disappoint as
> he has ever since he made the comment, "A Morse Exam would be a barrier
> to CW use."
>
> bb

Welp, Jim continues to disappoint. He's made some vague denials as
usual, nothing substantive.

bb

3281. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 16:07:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119197279.060622.50530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110514

Leo wrote:
> On Sun, 19 Jun 2005 11:02:42 -0400, Mike Coslo

> > You are correct there Leo. Jim is perfectly capable of not responding
> >to anything Len posts. We all are.
>
> I'm not sure that I'd agree, Mike. Jim seems to feel compelled to
> respond to anything and everything regarding Len. From early morning
> to late at night, 7 days a week, he wages his futile war on the
> newsgroup.
>
> Doesn't look like a choice - more like an obcession.

Jim manages to respond to my comments only after someone else has.
That way he doesn't have to respond directly. WRT Len, that's a
completely different matter.

It still cracks me up that Jim said of Han's restructuring proposal,
that a "Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to CW use!" Hi!

> >
> > And of course, Len knows quite well that all he has to do is put in a
> >reference to the Nazi's, and it will get a response. Sets the hook quite
> >regularly, he does! Irresistible bait apparently.
>
> Right you are, Mike. One of the most important things I learned from
> being a parent - if you let the kids know where the buttons are, they
> can't push 'em!
> >
> > Stalemate. Looks like everyone is getting exactly what they want.
>
> Or as Mick Jagger says - you can't always get what you want - you get
> what you need!

All this looks more like a Megadeath mosh pit. ;^)

3282. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 16:08:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1119197327.986752.277930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110515

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1119093468.878586.18060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >> Phil Kane wrote:
> >> > On 15 Jun 2005 17:01:18 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >> >
> > >
> >
> > [sni]
> >
> > > My class of 33 at Penn (1976, Moore School of Electrical Engineering)
> > > graduated 3 women - all specializing in computers. I don't think Towne
> > > School graduated any female engineers that year.
> > >
> > > Of course that's ancient history compared to today's ratios, but
> > > it shows a starting point almost 30 years ago.
> > >
> >
> > I will add some anecdotal comments to that. At my school we graduated
> > approx 200 engineers and about 10% were women.
> >
> > [snip]
> > >> If I have it right you spent most of your career with the FCC, > another
> > >> huge entity. Is it possible that women in engineering tend to
> > >> gravitate
> > >> in large numbers to major entities where fair employment
> > >> practices are
> > >> actually practiced and you've gotten involved with more of them > than
> > >> I've ever managed to meet?
> > >
> > > Perhaps not so much "gravitate" as in "are forced by circumstances"?
> > >
> > > All of which is and has been changing. But it takes a long
> > > time for such trends to make their way through the workforce.
> >
> > Keep in mind that the majority of engineering jobs are at major entities in
> > major cities. Thus they will be more apt to be statistically
> > representative. In small companies and/or rural areas the numbers are going
> > to be skewed.

Phila. and it's surrounding five-county region is a huge and
technology-diverse Gotham City with hundreds of small
engineering-based employers and the numbers are *really* skewed - in

the direction of the very small number of woman engineers I run into
where I work.

> I've worked at several companies where I was the only female
> engineer out of a staff of from 5 to 10 engineers.

Can't imagine any such thing around here . . As an example go back to
when I popped out of Drexel which in 1963 was the biggest private
undergrad engineering school on the planet. Probably still is. There
were 87 ME grads, 89 EE grads and significant numbers of civil,
chemical and metallurgical engineers plus the physics and chemistry
majors. Maybe 400 all told. There was ONE, uno, singular woman in the
whole bunch and she was chem major. I haven't seen any huge shift since
then either, one female engineer out of ten in a small organization
where I've been are still true oddities.

> I've been in engineering for 30 years. I've seen virtually no
> discrimination in this field as this country remains chronically short of
> engineers. Oh there are spots in the country where it is difficult to find
> a job and sometimes the economy slumps but that does not mean engineers are
> not needed but that the companies make do with a short handed staff (been
> there done that).

. . . Tell me . . ! I think you've brought up an important side issue.
My experience strongly indicates that many in the general public
consider engineering a lousy biz to get into as far as employment
stability is concerened. They're right, and it's gotten much worse
over the years. I'd have a hard time today recommending engineering to
a kid male or female considering career options.

> Instead I believe that women are more prone than men to select jobs more on
> the perceived desireability of the job location. They are more prone to
> select the office jobs rather than the plant jobs, thus placing themselves
> at the headquarters and technical offices rather than the factories out in
> the boonies and so on.

I think you just hit the nail on the head Dee, the skew is in my court.
I work in areas women simply don't get into. Schlepping around
neighborhood machine shops for a living like I have is obviously not
"woman's work" for any number of valid reasons which go 'way beyond the
fact that they happen to be engineers. So of course woman engineers are
scarce the way I see the engineering biz.

> Also there is a difference in what defines a
> desireable location. A higher percentage of the men will look at a facility
> in a rural location and say "now I can go fishing more often."

I'd like to be there when some lady engineer/ham lusts for a nice quiet
antenna location out in the boonies and is married to some city boy . .
.

> There's
> probably a whole raft of reasons having nothing to do with discrimination

> that contribute to the disparity.

Indeed: The code has been cracked.

w3rv

3283. Re: "Typos", TimeLARD???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 16:38:33 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <7TVP7CU838523.0267708333@reece.net.au>
Source record: 110516
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d90lnd$3hc$0@pita.alt.net>
"ArfAss" <DoggieDicksucker@Liberalz.com> whined:
>
> Roger said:
>
> "Hey Lardass, tell us why you were committed to the mental
> nuthouse in Independance, Iowa for a year after you tried to
> commit suicide! You really shouldn't have bungled it up, since
> you have absolutely nothing to live for, depression boy."
> ?????????????
>
> Poor (Lardass was committed for attempted suicide)

Poor Lardass. How does it feel to be so fucking stupid the best
job you can ever get is delivering pizzas?
Roger doesn't speak from experience, dumbass.
Look at you, sniveling coward, you hide behind two dozen names
because you are a little fat chickenshit.

> You really should look into getting some help for your
delusions.

You really should get a fucking clue.
Have you been whining to the Chief lately, you fucking pussy?
He couldn't find his fat ass. Sort of like you.

3284. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 16:40:05 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <2423ff82da083b9ef3e5ce7e0d264f85@dizum.com>
Source record: 110524

In article <ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy and kiddie raper Cmd Butt
Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@gbronline.com> wrote:

> Mis

GBronline, your isp is in Missouri, dumbfuck. Nice to see you
admit being a pedophile.

> Yea, I really dance

Thanks for admitting you 1) had a conditional license and that
you dance, bitch boy.
What a moron!!! You can't even spell "yeah".....

> Only had to

You only had to take that obsolete Advanced about 5 times before
you could pass it, huh? And you can't even pass a "simple" (in
your own words) Extra Class. Poor imaginary pilot's license boy.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHA!!!!!!

> I heard you ex-wife

You think "Regina Wiseman" is Roger's ex-wife?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA You are as fucking stupid as Lardass
Lloyd then. He can't even get Roger's ex wife's name right.
"Regina" is just another one of his bad guesses, like when he
said "Pam Wiseman" was Roger's ex wife. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA.....
Get a refund on your Junior Dickless Tracy detective kit, loser!
Or should we call you CMD Inspector Clueless???

> I still want

You still want to molest your grandkids, ?????

Funny how the retest letter from the FCC gives no indication
that Roger was retested because of interference.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
You lose as usual.

Keep flying those model airplanes and think you have a real
"pilot's license" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

--
Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high
school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:

"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

3285. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 16:50:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119199858.884185.148680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110517

Leo wrote:
> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >>snip
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr.
> >> >> >> Godwin's rule.
> >> >
> >> >> >"attempt"? Hardly!
> >> >> >snip
> >> >
> >> >> Attempt, definitely. Because, as has been demonstrated many > >> times
> >> >> before, the discusion will continue regardless of whether
> >> >> Godwin's impotent rule has been 'invoked' or not.
> >> >
> >> >The version of Godwin's rule that I use says that the person
> >> >who uses stoops to calling their opponent "Hitler", "Nazis"
> >> >or references to them, has lost the argument. That the
> >> >discussion
> >> >continues is irrelevant. Len has lost the argument.
> >>
> >> I see. Thanks for clearing that up, Jim - for a minute there, > I was
> >> afraid that you hadn't accomplished anything useful there!
> >
> >So it wasn't an "attempt" but a success.
>
> Was it? Not really - the discussion will continue.

Irrelevant - Len has lost the argument.
>
> >> But it just had to be done, didn't it?

> >
> >> >No, it didn't. But I did it anyway.
> >>
> >> Of course you did. You had to!
> >
> >Nope. I chose to.
>
> The choice, Sir, was not yours to make - you simply could not
> resist doing so.

I chose to respond. Other times I choose not to. Len posts
far more than I respond.

> >> >Is there a problem with >that? Do you think Len's slurs
> >> >are acceptable behavior?
> >>
> >> There are several folks here whose 'slurs' and language are
> >> much worse
> >> than this example
> >
> >Yes, Len has done worse....
>
> Is that what I said? Don't think so!

It's a valid interpretation.

> >> (a reference to the bumbling and
> >> comical 'Nazis' on "Hogan's Heroes")
> >
> >The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1
>
> Godwin invoked.

For what? I did not use Hitler/Nazi references to anyone
involved in the discussion. I simply stated the fact
that ol' Adolf was a feldwebel in the German Army in WW1.

> >> - always has been, always will be.
> >
> >That claim is incorrect. Usenet is not eternal.
> >
> >> It's not my job
> >> to run around and point that out all day every day.
> >
> >You have avoided the question.
> >
> >Do you think Len's slurs are acceptable behavior?
>
> Not my job to judge that, Jim. That's apparently your role.

In other words, you won't answer the question.
>

> >> It's not my job to point that out to each and every participant > on this group Jim - is it yours? Why?
> >
> >Your argument seems to be that since Len will probably exhibit
> >his typical immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun
> >Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior anyway, there's no
> >point in pointing out when he is, indeed, exhibiting his typical
> >immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting
> >jackass behavior. Is that about right?
>
> Nope. You have avoided the question.

See how that works?
>
> >Perhaps you have a valid point, since if what Len seeks is
> >attention, pointing out his typical immature ethnic-slur
> >Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior
> >gives him that attention.
> >
> >>
> >> (73 de Jim etc. sig missing again)
> >>
> >Not missing - omitted.
>
> In a fit of pique? As an insult? Forgot, maybe!

None of the above.

> Lid-like behaviour, wouldn't you think?

Not at all.

> >The original meaning of "73" is "a friendly greeting
> >between operators". In the context of amateur radio,
> >this means between amateur radio operators.
>
> In the words of Hans - thank you, Captain Obvious!

Most people don't know the original meaning.

> >It would be inappropriate to use the greeting to
> >someone who is not an amateur radio operator.

> Which I am. And have stated many times before.

And your callsign is?

You can state almost anything here, but as long as you
remain an "anony-mousie", there's room for doubt.

> Poor memory? Google 'er up.....

I know what you claimed. But there's no independent
evidence.

3286. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 17:34:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119202471.673794.77130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110518

From: "bb" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 08:50

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 00:07
>>
>>
>> >"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >
>> >You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in general,
>> >assuming you have a license that is.
>>
>> Godwin invoked. [:-)]
>>
>> Attitude-wise, Dan = Stebie.
>>
>> ALL shall march to the same drummer, in ranks, with one voice
>> counting cadence as taught in the Church of St. Hiram. NONE
>> shall speak against the Elite, the PCTA Extras.
>>
>> ONE "service," all wearing the same "uniform." Totalitarian.
>>
>
>Len, it looks like Dan and Dee have bailed out on civil, legitimate
>discourse on amateur radio policy. Dave has made himself pretty scarce
>as well.

Maybe there's been some band openings to France on 6m?

Dan and Dave and the remaining living PCTAs haven't much changed
since '98 in here. They command a consensus of common thought;
i.e., CONCEDE to THEIR consensus, none others.

>I see there's a Jim Miccolis N2EY posting below. I haven't gotten to
>it yet, as I'm saving the best for last. Hope he doesn't disappoint as
>he has ever since he made the comment, "A Morse Exam would be a barrier
>to CW use."

Oh, oh...dat put Sister Nun of the Above into ruler-spank mode
again. She demand posting quote of where, when she make dat.
Dis be court of law! Bang da gavel, heah come de judge, heah
come de judge...

Jimmie can't bear to acknowledge that the current percentage of
Technician and Technican Plus class licensees are now at 48.4
percent of all individual (non-club) licensees in the USA.
Jimmie has to rationalize that with all sorts of rather irrelevant

"excuses" common to the Elitist PCTA viewpoint of all those commonfolk not embracing morsemanship with loving open arms.

ARS (aka Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society) is in full flower in here, their self-appointed self-righteous "representatives" damning all who do not respect their mighty elitist rule. The ARS only has "fun" when all licensees do as the Elites do, that is, doing narrowband morse beeping.

The LAW is the LAW and NO LAWS CAN EVER BE CHANGED according to these "guardians of amateur paradise." Code test MUST remain. PCTA say so. No arguments against. Period. [sigh...]

Happy Father's Day to you, Brian.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3287. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 17:36:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119202609.351084.143000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110519

From: Leo on Sun 19 Jun 2005 11:08

>On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>Leo wrote:
>>> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >Leo wrote:
>>> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> >Leo wrote:
>>> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> >>> From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> >>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr.
>> >> >> Godwin's rule.
>
>> >> >"attempt"? Hardly!
>> >> >snip
>
>> >> Attempt, definitely. Because, as has been demonstrated many times
>> >> before, the discusion will continue regardless of whether
>> >> Godwin's impotent rule has been 'invoked' or not.
>
>>> >The version of Godwin's rule that I use says that the person
>>> >who uses stoops to calling their opponent "Hitler", "Nazis"
>>> >or references to them, has lost the argument. That the
>>> >discussion continues is irrelevant. Len has lost the argument.
>>>
>>> I see. Thanks for clearing that up, Jim - for a minute there, > I was
>>> afraid that you hadn't accomplished anything useful there!
>>
>>So it wasn't an "attempt" but a success.
>
>Was it? Not really - the discussion will continue.

Heh heh heh. The "Seig Heil" is, etymologically speaking, a
SALUTE...as in the speaker meaning "we salute you." :-)

What is interesting is the reverse psychology to The Big Lie
technique of the late 1930s' national socialist partei changed
that to be some kind of sole honorific to Adolf Hitler.

The major cause of the reverse psychology was a rather good
(technically speaking) totally-slanted "documentary" done by

a German gal with the given name of "Leni." :-) :-)

>>> >> But it just had to be done, didn't it?
>>
>>> >No, it didn't. But I did it anyway.
>>>
>>> Of course you did. You had to!
>>
>>Nope. I chose to.
>
>The choice, Sir, was not yours to make - you simply could not resist
>doing so.

I mentioned a trilogy by novelist Len Deighton the other day.
Here's another trilogy by the same author, same characters, etc:
"Hook, Line, Sinker." :-)

I may have to market my bait. Sounds like its a money-maker!
It appears to be irresistable!

>>> >Is there a problem with >that? Do you think Len's slurs
>>> >are acceptable behavior?
>>>
>>> There are several folks here whose 'slurs' and language are
>>> much worse
>>> than this example
>>
>>Yes, Len has done worse....
>
>Is that what I said? Don't think so!

Had you two been turned around on that, Jimmie would DEMAND you
SHOW THE QUOTE!!!! :-)

Jimmie thinks he be barrister in the Queen's Bench. His wig is
on crooked...

>>
>>> (a reference to the bumbling and
>>> comical 'Nazis' on "Hogan's Heroes")
>>
>>The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1
>
>Godwin invoked.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie not know that the title of "fuhrer" (with
an emphasis on the second syllable, not the first) is an old, old
European military title of French origin. It means a grade that
is usually in between the underofficer (NCO) and "commissioned"
officer...vaguely like the USA warrant officer...and means simply
"leader."

It's use got remarkably altered from origin to later times, much
the same as the word "ham" went from its original "bad, sloppy,
non-professional" radio operation to glorious noble champion of
all radio, the amateur.

>>>
>>> - always has been, always will be.
>>
>>That claim is incorrect. Usenet is not eternal.

[only Jimmie eternal...]

>>> It's not my job
>>> to run around and point that out all day every day.
>>
>>You have avoided the question.
>>
>>Do you think Len's slurs are acceptable behavior?
>
>Not my job to judge that, Jim. That's apparently your role.

I hear he gets paid quite a bit in that job.

>>Your argument seems to be that since Len will probably exhibit
>>his typical immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun
>>Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior anyway, there's no
>>point in pointing out when he is, indeed, exhibiting his typical
>>immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting
>>jackass behavior. Is that about right?
>
>Nope. You have avoided the question.

Jimmie do dat a lot. Mucho so when he totally pissed off. :-)

>>Perhaps you have a valid point, since if what Len seeks is
>>attention, pointing out his typical immature ethnic-slur
>>Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior
>>gives him that attention.

[K4YZ use "PUTZ," "coward," "dishonor" etc and dat be okay]

[anyting use by PCTA okay...anyting by NCTA be "immature
ethnic-slur, Godwin-invoking, jackass behavior" if not
praise PCTA and morsemanship......:-)]

>>> (73 de Jim etc. sig missing again)
>>>
>>Not missing - omitted.
>
>In a fit of pique? As an insult? Forgot, maybe!
>
>Lid-like behaviour, wouldn't you think?

Jimmie need to "put a lid on it..." :-)

>>The original meaning of "73" is "a friendly greeting
>>between operators". In the context of amateur radio,
>>this means between amateur radio operators.
>
>In the words of Hans - thank you, Captain Obvious!

Jimmie try to be cross between Miss Manners and Sister
Nun of the Above. Jimmie get as far as "cross."

Tsk. Origin of "73" (as well as "88") was among COMMERCIAL
telegraphers of the 1800s. Just a shorthand by them to cut
time in standard ending salutations common to written comms
of that era.

>>
>>It would be inappropriate to use the greeting to
>>someone who is not an amateur radio operator.
>
>Which I am. And have stated many times before.
>
>Poor memory? Google 'er up.....

Tsk, tsk. Jimmie, representative of the "amateur community,"
dons his barrister wig and robes and proclaims "appropriate"
and "inappropriate" behaviour (especially with his "you") by
"all hams." Looks impressive but is dumshit behavior by
self-proclaimed, self-righteous ruler-spank by Sister Nun of
the Above.

>>> 73, Leo
>
>(73 de Jim omitted apparently intentionally - boo hoo :))
>
>73, Leo.

Heeheeheeheeheeheeheehee.........

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3288. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 17:40:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9sudndCIS7eXMyjfRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 110520

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119195352.477170.189690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1119142685.875273.136900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1119139609.417782.307000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a
>> >> >> very
>> >> >> strange
>> >> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>> >> >> operators.
>> >> >> On
>> >> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So
>> >> >> where
>> >> >> are
>> >> >> all
>> >> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they
>> >> >> "can't"
>> >> >> pass
>> >> >> the
>> >> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >> >>
>> >> > Dee, I'm going to guess (i.e., don't ask me for facts, figures,
>> >> > substantive studies, reports from the FCC/ARRL, etc) that of the
>> >> > Techs
>> >> > that have stayed in amateur radio, most have done so for the
>> >> > emergency
```

>> >> >> > communications aspect of the service. As such, they would
>> >> >> > typically
>> >> >> > stay on FM.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > The ones who could learn Morse Code and have had to time to do so
>> >> >> > have
>> >> >> > moved up. Those who didn't have the time or couldn't learn it
>> >> >> > have
>> >> >> > moved on.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> There are none who cannot learn the code.
>> >> >
>> >> > Really? Why do you say that?
>> >>
>> >> Because they have already learned many things far tougher than the
>> >> code.
>> >
>> > What kind of things?
>> >
>>
>> Not all items apply to all people but here are a few: Walking, talking,
>> reading, writing, riding a bicycle, skating, mathematics, any sport at
>> even
>> the the most rudimentary level, playing any musical instrument at even
>> the
>> most rudimentary level, computer literacy, typing, college courses. The
>> list could go on, but just about everything mental or physical a person
>> has
>> learned is harder than code.
>
> Can you play the string bass?
>
> There's an FCC sticker on teh back of my stereo. What if the FCC were
> to make it a requirement that you have to pass a string bass exam in
> order to play music on your stereo?
>
>> >> >> However there are those for whom
>> >> >> other activities can and should have priority on their time.
>> >> >> However
>> >> >> that
>> >> >> is no excuse for eliminating it as a test element since the same
>> >> >> argument
>> >> >> can be applied to the theory.
>> >> >
>> >> > You hold a very interesting point of view. You say that since
>> >> > everyone
>> >> > can learn the code, that it must be retained as a test element?
>> >>
>> >> No it should be retained because it is one of the basics of amateur

>> >> radio
>> >> along with things like Ohm's law, propagation, etc.
>> >
>> > No other operating mode/skill has a practical pass/fail exam. That
>> > makes it arbitrary.
>> >
>>
>> All the tests are arbitrary as well as is the material chosen for
>> coverage.
>
> They are?
>
>> >> > I say that it should be removed as a test element because it no
>> >> > longer
>> >> > serves a regulatory purpose.
>> >>
>> >> That's a matter of opinion.
>> >>
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Please state the regulatory purpose that a Morse Code exam and CW use
>> > provides.
>> >
>>
>> The regulator purpose of the exam is that it shows the candidate knows
>> that
>> particular basic of ham radio.
>
> But why no other practical operating exam for other modes?
>
>> No one has ever claimed that CW use or the use of any other mode (SSB,
>> non-code digital) provides a regulatory purpose.
>
> No mode is >mandated<, yet we have a practical operating pass/fail exam
> for one mode. That is arbitrary.
>
> However throwing that
>> comment into the discussion illustrates that you wish to get rid of not
>> only
>> the test but the use of CW.
>
> Dee, not at all. I wish only the arbitrary Morse/Farnsworth Exams to
> be retired.
>
> But recognize that the FCC mandates the use no particular mode. You
> can use CW all you want or not us it at all. So why is there a
> practical operating exam for this one mode but not all other modes?
>
> And why is CW use allowed by No-Code Technicians on VHF, but not HF?
>

> Why did Jim Miccolis N2EY say about Hans restructuring proposal, that a
> CW exam would be a barrier to CW use?
>
>> So I will not continue to participate in this thread.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> I understand how uneasy it must make you to have to face the truth of
> arbitrary licensing requirements and still advocate a Morse/Farnsworth
> Exam.
>

Ok, one more round but that's it. All the licensing requirements are arbitrary. Every single one of them. There are several radio services for which no testing is required. So if some services do not need testing, then it is arbitrary for those that do. However the goals and purposes of amateur radio make it desireable to test candidates for these licenses.

> If you wish to discontinue healthy, legitimate discourse with respect
> to amateur policy, I understand. It is not for the faint of heart.
>
> Best of Luck, Brian
>

The problem with the Morse discussion is that every possible conceivable argument on either side has been aired dozens, if not hundreds, of times. It is not healthy to continue discussing this policy issue. No new data comes to light. No new rational has come up. There's no point in rehashing the same issues. Sooner or later the FCC will rule and we'll all have to live with the consequences good or bad.

If the result is as the NCTA state that it will be, i.e. a big wave of new hams plus a big wave of hams upgrading and getting on HF, just watch the DX stations, especially the rare ones, hide down on CW even more than they are now. If you exclude Japan, the US has more amateur radio operators than the rest of the world combined. If the bands get as busy as the NCTAs imply they will from this rush of new and upgrading hams, a lot of us will be drifting even more to CW just to find some room.

On the other hand, if the PCTAs are correct, i.e. the impact will be insignificant just as other changes of the recent past have been, then there is NO reason to change the requirements. Changes that have little to no noticeable impact aren't worth the bother of implementing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3289. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 17:50:04 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <p8-dnTlUyYDLLSjfRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 110521

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1119197327.986752.277930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:

[snip]

>> Also there is a difference in what defines a
>> desireable location. A higher percentage of the men will look at a
>> facility
>> in a rural location and say "now I can go fishing more often."
>
> I'd like to be there when some lady engineer/ham lusts for a nice quiet
> antenna location out in the boonies and is married to some city boy . .
>

Well I'm a lady engineer/ham who lusts for a nice, quiet antenna farm in the country and am married to a city boy. However, he's also a ham and happens to like the quietness of the rural areas. But the work is in the cities. Oh there's some engineering jobs in rural areas and I used to take those. But then when the company cuts back, you are automatically slated to move when you find a new position as it sure isn't going to be in that rural area where you worked at the only firm using engineers. Moving every 5 years or so got old fast.

>
>> There's
>> probably a whole raft of reasons having nothing to do with discrimination
>> that contribute to the disparity.
>
> Indeed: The code has been cracked.
>
> w3rv

That is my opinion too. There's another factor that crops up. Women do not like to just arbitrarily change jobs in search of higher pay or a promotion. They prefer stability. So they seek out and stay with those firms that seem to fit that bill. There's been some sort of study that I read somewhere on that. The end result is that they rise up the wage scale and promotion scale more slowly. The men who get ahead rapidly are usually those who make judicious job changes every 5 years or so in the early years of their careers.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3290. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 17:52:58 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zsmdndcwxOmeLCjfRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 110522

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119195851.587447.132210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
> I'm sorry that legitimate, civil discourse on amateur radio policy has
> you upset. The past couple of days exchanges has been more policy
> discussion that this group has seen in years. It's too bad that you
> want to abstain just when I thought we were getting somewhere. Your
> core beliefs about amateur radio must have been shaken, so I wish you a
> speedy recovery.
>

Doesn't bother me in the least. Nor are my beliefs shaken. It is simply a waste of your time and my time for either of us to continue further when it is quite obvious that each of us is firm in our point of view.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3291. Re: "Typos", TimeLARD???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 18:44:25 UTC
From: Time Lard <NotRoger@NotGlendale>
Message-ID: <d94ed2$5a8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110523
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

AB8MQ spewed:
"You really should get a fucking clue.
Have you been whining to the Chief lately, you fucking pussy?
He couldn't find his fat ass. Sort of like you."

Roger should beg a ride to Wal-Mart this afternoon and purchase a new keyboard. Apparently the keys on his are not where they are supposed to be, as evidenced by his latest "typos".

�hyurt�

�chcienshit� You figure this one out.

�imginary� Hey, Rog. Another typo?

�asck� Sack or ask?

�Ultimte� Hooked on Phonics?

�greade� First or Second?

�attentiopn" Hooked on phonics, are we?

�copuple� Damn. Lloyd moved my keys around again.

�licvense� What Riley makes you retest for.

�havfe� Half? Halve? Have? Who knows.

�Baout� Bout? About?

�unsubstantiateed" It gets better�

�pompousity� Oops! Darn that keyboard, anyway!

3292. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 19:00:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119207616.402983.102550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110525

From: Dee Flint on Jun 19, 1:40 pm

>"bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>>> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>>> >> >> > Dee Flint wrote:

>> I understand how uneasy it must make you to have to face the truth of
>> arbitrary licensing requirements and still advocate a Morse/Farnsworth
>> Exam.
>
>Ok, one more round but that's it. All the licensing requirements are
>arbitrary. Every single one of them.

HERESY! Wash your mouth out with soap! How dare you say that?!?

Test Element One is the LAW! That LAW! can't be changed!

> There are several radio services for which no testing is required.

Irrelevant. OTHER radio services are NOT amateur radio!

> So if some services do not need testing, then
>it is arbitrary for those that do. However the goals and purposes of
>amateur radio make it desireable to test candidates for these licenses.

EVERYBODY has to test for morsemanship for below 30 MHz.

FINAL. The Elite PCTA have spoken. No arguments against.

>> If you wish to discontinue healthy, legitimate discourse with respect
>> to amateur policy, I understand. It is not for the faint of heart.
>
>> Best of Luck, Brian
>
>The problem with the Morse discussion is that every possible conceivable
>argument on either side has been aired dozens, if not hundreds, of times.

Elite PCTA have spoken. No arguments against them.

>It is not healthy to continue discussing this policy issue.

True. Two Elite PCTA have died since 1999.

> No new data comes to light.

NO new data PERMITTED in here.

> No new rational has come up.

WRONG. Rationales and rationalizations of ancient reasons abound.

Elite PCTA have spoken. No arguments against them.

> There's no point in rehashing the same issues.

Elite PCTA have spoken. No arguments against them.

>If the result is as the NCTA state that it will be, i.e. a big wave of new
>hams plus a big wave of hams upgrading and getting on HF, just watch the DX
>stations, especially the rare ones, hide down on CW even more than they are
>now.

Who say "big wave on HF coming if no code test?" Who? WHO?

Show reference, show work.

> If you exclude Japan, the US has more amateur radio operators than the
>rest of the world combined. If the bands get as busy as the NCTAs imply
>they will from this rush of new and upgrading hams, a lot of us will be
>drifting even more to CW just to find some room.

Ham bands get crowded? Hams ask for more bandwidth. Simple.

Ask ARRL to lobby for more bandspace. ARRL "representative of 'all'
hams."

Not too swift. ARRL try to get new band at 60 meters, get only
five channels. League not very good now. Why not?

PCTA Elite at "inside" of league, should be able to effect what
they want. FCC no give. Why that?

>On the other hand, if the PCTAs are correct, i.e. the impact will be
>insignificant just as other changes of the recent past have been, then there
>is NO reason to change the requirements.

Old = Good. Change = bad.

> Changes that have little to no
>noticeable impact aren't worth the bother of implementing.

"I'm all right, Jack!" [old Brit saying]

"We've got ours, nyah, nyah, nyah" [old childhood taunt]

All must show DEDICATION and COMMITMENT to AMATEUR COMMUNITY
by learning and testing for morse code! [PCTA mantra]

Meanwhile, since 1991, that no-code-test (horrid!) Technician
class license got OVER a HUNDRED THOUSAND *NEW* radio amateurs
BEFORE restructuring. Many, many more new than all the other
old classes combined and the only ones which contributed to

holding the average total licensee numbers not quite constant.

Right now, the two Technician class licensees make up 48.4%
of ALL invidual (non-club) licensees and it keeps rising and
rising and rising. Why that? The Novice class, the supposed
"entry level" license NEVER grew like the no-code-test Tech
numbers. No way. You don't suppose the (dedicated, committed)
code test could have anything to do with it, do you? Of course
not. The dedicated, committed amateurs would "always" struggle
and work to learn code, the best of all possible modes, right?

Ho hum. The "arguments" continue with the PCTA Extras NOT
listening. They will not accept arguments against their beloved
code test. They are manly macho morsemen, dedicated and committed
to their "service." None shall naysay them, no way.

Screum say I (in a most non-gender-specific way, of course).

Yours most sincerely and with all best wishes,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3293. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 19:02:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119207765.427068.75750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110526

From: Mike Coslo on Jun 19, 11:13 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:

> Bingo!
>
> We cannot control Len's behavior here.

Isn't that so...so..."horrid" (as Dee once put it)? :-)

> We can however, control our own.

NOT ACCORDING TO JIMMIE. He say before he cannot control K4YZ!

Jimmie be judge of ALL!

> I don't know about your mail reader, but mine has a couple levels of
>filtering. I can filter by name, or the easy way is to simply hit "k" at
>the subject line. I never see that subject again.

K

> That being said, I have mixed feelings on the filtering. I use it to
>get rid of the lunatic fringe topics, but little else.

NCTA be "lunatic fringe?" K

Working station ON the moon is partly "lunatic" thing...

Coslo reach "edge of space" yet?

> I don't filter people like Len though, as I look forward to his
>postings. They are entertaining.

"There's no business like show business, like no business I know..."

[Los Angeles be entertainment capital of the world, big business]

> So if the people who find his postings
>offensive quit getting into p*****g contests with him by filtering him
>out or just ignoring him, I'll have lost that bit of fun.

The self-righteous shall always be with us, God bless their
pointy lil heads.

Above all, let's all do our best to ENTERTAIN MIKEY! All in here
must honor the PCTA Extras. They are the Elite amateurs. Hail!

Ave Imperator!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3294. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 19:04:23 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119207863.299136.85330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110527

From: Mike Coslo on Jun 19, 11:02 am

>Leo wrote:
>> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:

>> It's not my job to point that out to each and every participant on
>> this group Jim - is it yours? Why?
>
> You are correct there Leo. Jim is perfectly capable of not responding
>to anything Len posts. We all are.

You can't resist! :-)

> And of course, Len knows quite well that all he has to do is put in a
>reference to the Nazi's, and it will get a response. Sets the hook quite
>regularly, he does! Irresistible bait apparently.

I'm beginning to draft a business plan on that, might go COMMERCIAL!

> Stalemate. Looks like everyone is getting exactly what they want.

My wife and I took delivery of a new car Friday. WE GOT EXACTLY
WHAT WE WANTED. Got a 10 percent discount beyond the trade-in
value. Paid cash, too. :-)

See? It's absurdly simply to toss in just a line, no bait, and
I gonna get all kinds of bites. Most will be undersized but I
just toss 'em back in... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3295. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 19:29:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119209345.866696.313580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110528

From: Leo on Jun 19, 11:26 am

>On Sun, 19 Jun 2005 11:02:42 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
>>Leo wrote:
>>> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote: >
>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>>>On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07

>> You are correct there Leo. Jim is perfectly capable of not responding
>>to anything Len posts. We all are.
>
>I'm not sure that I'd agree, Mike. Jim seems to feel compelled to
>respond to anything and everything regarding Len. From early morning
>to late at night, 7 days a week, he wages his futile war on the
>newsgroup.
>
>Doesn't look like a choice - more like an obcession.

The same way with that warm, wonderful Extra, K4YZ... :-)

>> And of course, Len knows quite well that all he has to do is put in a
>>reference to the Nazi's, and it will get a response. Sets the hook quite
>>regularly, he does! Irresistible bait apparently.
>
>Right you are, Mike. One of the most important things I learned from
>being a parent - if you let the kids know where the buttons are, they
>can't push 'em!

Real hams don't have buttons...just code keys and rotary knobs
and switches, lots and lots of them... :-)

Jimmie and Stebie and Davie all try to manufacture as many
"buttons" as they can. Tsk, tsk, they find that those "buttons"
don't connect to any of my circuits, don't do anything. :-)

But, they hang in there, wearing out their pudgy lil fingers,
pushing, pushing, pushing. Parallel-connected triodes in
"push-push" connection, running unneutralized and breaking into
oscillation at the least disturbance! Tsk, tsk.

>> Stalemate. Looks like everyone is getting exactly what they want.
>
>Or as Mick Jagger says - you can't always get what you want - you get
>what you need!

A Rolling Stone gathers no Carrie Moss.

[Megalips Mick was too busy with others...]

>73, Leo

Most sincere good wishes on this Father's Day (in here it looks
more like 'boxing day' but without any Brit connotations),

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3296. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 20:24:46 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110531

top posting Johnnyboy Smith wrote:
> commandeer buzz off:
>
> It pains me to even acknowledge you

That's your choice, maybe you shouldn't try things that pain you, like ham radio.

--but I pity you and your juvenile
> attempts at gaining attention... even to the point of provoking negative
> acknowledgements...

Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun with his 7 year old operating ham radio at kids day, and all you can come up with is how millions of children access the Internet, how ham radio pales to the Internet without barriers as if to say his child must be stupid to even think of ham radio which would require learning something to obtain a license.

Talk about negative, all you can do is run down ham radio, you jump at any opportunity to slam ham radio and how it poses barriers to anyone who might be interested. Just go back to you cb johnnyboy, there aren't any barriers there past knowing how to turn a cb radio on, which just might be a 'barrier' to you.

3297. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 20:32:34 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <iO2dnbXqioytfCjfRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110532

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer, two-test stinkyboy pudgie wogie blubbered again and wrote:

>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..the self-deluded "cw expert" just
> "listened," eh? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!! You didn't want to
> show off those "sending skills" or I should say lack of them,
>

Name the time and frequency fatboy, remember I start out at about 30/wpm but I can get on the straight key and come down to about 2/wpm for you (however I doubt you can even copy that fast). Put up or shut up fatboy.

3298. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 20:41:30 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <NbSdnRVAf9DbfijfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110533

Nomen Nescio AKA fatboy two-test curtains boy wogie in his drunken
stupor wrote:

>
> GBronline, your isp is in Missouri, dumbfuck.

I suppose you think they have POPs only in Missouri, you really are
stupid enough to think that.

>
> You only had to take that obsolete Advanced about 5 times before
> you could pass it, huh? And you can't even pass a "simple" (in
> your own words) Extra Class. Poor imaginary pilot's license boy.
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHA!!!!!!
>
>
>
>>I heard you ex-wife
>
>
> You think "Regina Wiseman" is Roger's ex-wife
Who said anything about this 'Regina', fatboy. I just heard your ex-wife
said you wet the bed. I notice you didn't deny it.

>
> Funny how the retest letter from the FCC gives no indication
> that Roger was retested because of interference.
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
> You lose as usual.

Funny how you had to retest to keep your license after you were caught
causing malicious interference on 20 meters, you lose as usual.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

Still waiting for details on this antenna that gives negative SWR you
use to all that malicious interference.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

3299. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 20:45:30 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <gdebb1lccbq0ukj8p7fafbd5absdqpmice@4ax.com>
Source record: 110529

On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>
>Leo wrote:
>> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >>snip
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr.
>> >> >> >> Godwin's rule.
>> >> >
>> >> >> >"attempt"? Hardly!
>> >> >> >snip
>> >> >
>> >> >> Attempt, definitely. Because, as has been demonstrated many > >> times
>> >> >> before, the discusion will continue regardless of whether
>> >> >> Godwin's impotent rule has been 'invoked' or not.
>> >> >
>> >> >The version of Godwin's rule that I use says that the person
>> >> >who uses stoops to calling their opponent "Hitler", "Nazis"
>> >> >or references to them, has lost the argument. That the
>> >> >discussion
>> >> >continues is irrelevant. Len has lost the argument.
>> >>
>> >> I see. Thanks for clearing that up, Jim - for a minute there, > I was
>> >> afraid that you hadn't accomplished anything useful there!
>> >
>> >So it wasn't an "attempt" but a success.
>>
>> Was it? Not really - the discussion will continue.

>
>Irrelevant - Len has lost the argument.

Oh. OK then. That matters a lot.
Guess that makes you 'right', then. Len was 'wrong', and you were
'right'. Feel better now?

>>
>> >> >> But it just had to be done, didn't it?
>> >
>> >> >No, it didn't. But I did it anyway.
>> >>
>> >> Of course you did. You had to!
>> >
>> >Nope. I chose to.
>>
>> The choice, Sir, was not yours to make - you simply could not
>> resist doing so.
>
>I chose to respond. Other times I choose not to. Len posts
>far more than I respond.

I suggest that you responded because you had to respond. You couldn't
help yourself!

>
>> >> >Is there a problem with >that? Do you think Len's slurs
>> >> >are acceptable behavior?
>> >>
>> >> There are several folks here whose 'slurs' and language are
>> >> much worse
>> >> than this example
>> >
>> >Yes, Len has done worse....
>>
>> Is that what I said? Don't think so!
>
>It's a valid interpretation.

Not at all - you are in error.

>
>> >> (a reference to the bumbling and
>> >> comical 'Nazis' on "Hogan's Heroes")
>> >
>> >The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1
>>
>> Godwin invoked.
>
>For what? I did not use Hitler/Nazi references to anyone
>involved in the discussion. I simply stated the fact
>that ol' Adolf was a feldwebel in the German Army in WW1.

I see.

You just felt it necessary to blurt that out, for no reason at all?

Heh heh.

>
>> >> - always has been, always will be.
>> >
>> >That claim is incorrect. Usenet is not eternal.
>> >
>> >> It's not my job
>> >> to run around and point that out all day every day.
>> >
>> >You have avoided the question.
>> >
>> >Do you think Len's slurs are acceptable behavior?
>>
>> Not my job to judge that, Jim. That's apparently your role.
>
>In other words, you won't answer the question.

That is correct - I have no opinion on the subject. In short, I have
no answer to your (rhetorical) question.

Seek elsewhere.

>>
>> >> It's not my job to point that out to each and every participant > on this group Jim -
is it yours? Why?
>> >
>> >Your argument seems to be that since Len will probably exhibit
>> >his typical immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun
>> >Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior anyway, there's no
>> >point in pointing out when he is, indeed, exhibiting his typical
>> >immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting
>> >jackass behavior. Is that about right?
>>
>> Nope. You have avoided the question.
>
>See how that works?

Apparently, you do. You have a long history of avoiding any question
that you don't like - or didn't ask.

>>
>> >Perhaps you have a valid point, since if what Len seeks is
>> >attention, pointing out his typical immature ethnic-slur
>> >Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior
>> >gives him that attention.
>> >
>> >>
>> >> (73 de Jim etc. sig missing again)
>> >>

>> >Not missing - omitted.
>>
>> In a fit of pique? As an insult? Forgot, maybe!
>
>None of the above.

Not true.

>
>> Lid-like behaviour, wouldn't you think?
>
>Not at all.
>
>> >The original meaning of "73" is "a friendly greeting
>> >between operators". In the context of amateur radio,
>> >this means between amateur radio operators.
>>
>> In the words of Hans - thank you, Captain Obvious!
>
>Most people don't know the original meaning.

In an Amateur Radio newsgroup? Heh heh. OK, Jim - whatever you say.

>
>> >It would be inappropriate to use the greeting to
>> >someone who is not an amateur radio operator.
>
>> Which I am. And have stated many times before.
>
>And your callsign is?

Not going to be used in this newsgroup. For reasons explained
earlier.

Starts with VE3, though - issued in 2002.

>
>You can state almost anything here, but as long as you
>remain an "anony-mousie", there's room for doubt.

LOL! Anony-mousie? That's a Len term.

You may not like the guy much, but you seem to be learning from him!

Good for you.

>
>> Poor memory? Google 'er up.....
>
>I know what you claimed. But there's no independent
>evidence.

You claim to be an educated guy, Jim, there isn't much evidence of
that either!

But don't worry - I believe you! :)

(73 de Jim etc...sig left out again for apparently no known reason -
boo hoo again)

73, Leo

3300. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 20:51:19 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <ejmbb1lepltqu9bp69tjcll9q041cduo3s@4ax.com>
Source record: 110530

On 19 Jun 2005 12:29:05 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: Leo on Jun 19, 11:26 am
>
>>On Sun, 19 Jun 2005 11:02:42 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote: >
>>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>>On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>>>>On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>
>
>>> You are correct there Leo. Jim is perfectly capable of not responding
>>>to anything Len posts. We all are.
>>
>>I'm not sure that I'd agree, Mike. Jim seems to feel compelled to
>>respond to anything and everything regarding Len. From early morning
>>to late at night, 7 days a week, he wages his futile war on the
>>newsgroup.
>>
>>Doesn't look like a choice - more like an obcession.
>
> The same way with that warm, wonderful Extra, K4YZ... :-)
>
>>> And of course, Len knows quite well that all he has to do is put in a
>>>reference to the Nazi's, and it will get a response. Sets the hook quite
>>>regularly, he does! Irresistible bait apparently.
>>
>>Right you are, Mike. One of the most important things I learned from
>>being a parent - if you let the kids know where the buttons are, they
>>can't push 'em!
>
> Real hams don't have buttons...just code keys and rotary knobs
> and switches, lots and lots of them... :-)
>
> Jimmie and Stebie and Davie all try to manufacture as many
> "buttons" as they can. Tsk, tsk, they find that those "buttons"
> don't connect to any of my circuits, don't do anything. :-)
>
> But, they hang in there, wearing out their pudgy lil fingers,
> pushing, pushing, pushing. Parallel-connected triodes in
> "push-push" connection, running unneutralized and breaking into

> oscillation at the least disturbance! Tsk, tsk.
>
>
>>> Stalemate. Looks like everyone is getting exactly what they want.
>>
>>Or as Mick Jagger says - you can't always get what you want - you get
>>what you need!
>
> A Rolling Stone gathers no Carrie Moss.
>
> [Megalips Mick was too busy with others...]
>
>
>>73, Leo
>
> Most sincere good wishes on this Father's Day (in here it looks
> more like 'boxing day' but without any Brit connotations),

Thanks much, Len - you too!

And don't forget, every day is Boxing Day in here......
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

3301. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 22:25:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119219905.265877.181990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110534

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 08:50
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 00:07
> >>
> >>
> >> >"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >
> >> >You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in general,
> >> >assuming you have a license that is.
> >>
> >> Godwin invoked. [:-)]
> >>
> >> Attitude-wise, Dan = Stebie.
> >>
> >> ALL shall march to the same drummer, in ranks, with one voice
> >> counting cadence as taught in the Church of St. Hiram. NONE
> >> shall speak against the Elite, the PCTA Extras.
> >>
> >> ONE "service," all wearing the same "uniform." Totalitarian.
> >>
> >>
> >Len, it looks like Dan and Dee have bailed out on civil, legitimate
> >discourse on amateur radio policy. Dave has made himself pretty scarce
> >as well.
>
> Maybe there's been some band openings to France on 6m?

Unfortunately, the opening was 200 KHz above their operating privs.
Hi!

> Dan and Dave and the remaining living PCTAs haven't much changed
> since '98 in here. They command a consensus of common thought;
> i.e., CONCEDE to THEIR consensus, none others.

You can have any opinion you like as long as it's theirs.

> >I see there's a Jim Miccolis N2EY posting below. I haven't gotten to
> >it yet, as I'm saving the best for last. Hope he doesn't disappoint as
> >he has ever since he made the comment, "A Morse Exam would be a barrier
> >to CW use."
>
> Oh, oh...dat put Sister Nun of the Above into ruler-spank mode

> again. She demand posting quote of where, when she make dat.
> Dis be court of law! Bang da gavel, heah come de judge, heah
> come de judge...

I think, after she stated that ALL exams are arbitrary, that she decided to duck and run. Apparently, none of the PCTA heard her remark, which included the Morse/Farnsworth exam. Right now she's zagging across the lawn in Newington, looking for sanctuary.

> Jimmie can't bear to acknowledge that the current percentage of
> Technician and Technican Plus class licensees are now at 48.4
> percent of all individual (non-club) licensees in the USA.
> Jimmie has to rationalize that with all sorts of rather irrelevant
> "excuses" common to the Elitist PCTA viewpoint of all those
> commonfolk not embracing morsemanship with loving open arms.

Jim is somewhat frail. He's much better off not thinking about it.

> ARS (aka Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society) is in full flower in
> here, their self-appointed self-righteous "representatives"
> damning all who do not respect their mighty elitist rule. The
> ARS only has "fun" when all licensees do as the Elites do, that
> is, doing narrowband morse beeping.

And one keeps asking me where the Techs have gone. Hi!

> The LAW is the LAW and NO LAWS CAN EVER BE CHANGED according to
> these "guardians of amateur paradise." Code test MUST remain.
> PCTA say so. No arguments against. Period. [sigh...]

There must still be some of them working for the FCC.

> Happy Father's Day to you, Brian.

And to you, Len.

3302. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 22:30:38 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <immte.8321$VK4.5162@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110535

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119142310.942237.217650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> I was told, when Novice Enhancement came along, not to pick up a
> microphone because I would never get good at the Morse Code and
> advance.
>

That was good advice. I did the same thing. I had my Novice for 3 months, skipped the Technician, and got the General. I could have got on 2m AM as a Novice. I had a Gonset II on load from Civil Defense. I flat refused to do so until I got the General. Glad I did.

Dan/W4NTI

3303. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 22:36:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <7smte.8323$VK4.88@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110536

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119140121.321644.55200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:9kXse.6246$NX4.1171@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> > My attitude toward many of the current crop of new techs is one bathed
>> > upon five years observation, since returning to the U.S. I haven't
>> > chased
>> > them anywhere. In fact, it has been just the opposite. I've tried to
>> > encourage them to use simplex on FM. I've tried to encourage them to
>> > try
>> > 6 meters along with 432 and 144 MHz SSB and CW. I can't be faulted
>> > that
>> > they don't act after being encouraged. If these folks are, in fact
>> > "trapped" in a world of 2m only FM repeater operation, they have
>> > fashioned
>> > the trap themselves by not being more curious about the rest of VHF/UHF
>> > amateur radio. There are avenues open to them but they aren't
>> > participating.
>> >
>> > Dave K8MN
>> >
>>
>> Man does that say it all in a well articulated paragraph. Valid points
>> out
>> there
>> in tech land.
>
> Indeed it does. David has articulated, and Dan has agreed that a Tech
> really isn't worth much as an amateur if they don't do the things that
> Dave, Dan and Dee want them to be doing. I'm sure that your attitudes
> spill over into your communication with those unworthy Techs.
>

Not only a Dipshit, but a dumbass as well, eh N0IMD? Just keep making up
what you want.

The FCC wants the Technician to UPGRADE. So do we......whats wrong with
that?

Dipshit/Dumbass

>> The Technician ticket originally was envisioned to enable those that had
>> a
>> technical
>> slant that needed a place to play on the "air". It has degenerated
>> into a
>> defacto
>> "entry level" to present day Ham Radio. What a bummer.
>
> Degenerated? Dan, I'd like to introduce you to the FCC sometime. It
> was the FCC that turned the Technician license into your disdained
> entry level to present day "Ham Radio."
>
Sure was.....and the FCC has degenerated into a home for Lawyers sucking on
the Federal tit. Got a problem with that? Truth is truth. The FCC
makes the
rules...yep they do. And they haven't a clue. Yep thats true too. Argue
that one.

>> I bet a lot of those out there don't know that the original Tech written
>> was
>> exactly
>> the same as the General. The ONLY difference was the General had a 13
>> WPM
>> cw test. Send and Receive. And the Tech had a 5wpm......and was
>> available
>> by
>> mail.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Thanks for the insight into the "Mind of Dan."
>

Just tellin the truth and you cain't stand it.

Dan/W4NTI

3304. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 22:43:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119221026.488701.289650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110537

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119195352.477170.189690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1119142685.875273.136900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1119139609.417782.307000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a
> >> >> >> very
> >> >> >> strange
> >> >> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
> >> >> >> operators.
> >> >> >> On
> >> >> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So
> >> >> >> where
> >> >> >> are
> >> >> >> all
> >> >> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they
> >> >> >> "can't"
> >> >> >> pass
> >> >> >> the
> >> >> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Dee, I'm going to guess (i.e., don't ask me for facts, figures,
> >> >> > substantive studies, reports from the FCC/ARRL, etc) that of the
> >> >> > Techs
> >> >> > that have stayed in amateur radio, most have done so for the
```

> >> >> >> > emergency
> >> >> >> > communications aspect of the service. As such, they would
> >> >> >> > typically
> >> >> >> > stay on FM.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > The ones who could learn Morse Code and have had to time to do so
> >> >> >> > have
> >> >> >> > moved up. Those who didn't have the time or couldn't learn it
> >> >> >> > have
> >> >> >> > moved on.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> There are none who cannot learn the code.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Really? Why do you say that?
> >> >>
> >> >> Because they have already learned many things far tougher than the
> >> >> code.
> >> >
> >> > What kind of things?
> >> >
> >>
> >> Not all items apply to all people but here are a few: Walking, talking,
> >> reading, writing, riding a bicycle, skating, mathematics, any sport at
> >> even
> >> the the most rudimentary level, playing any musical instrument at even
> >> the
> >> most rudimentary level, computer literacy, typing, college courses. The
> >> list could go on, but just about everything mental or physical a person
> >> has
> >> learned is harder than code.
> >
> > Can you play the string bass?
> >
> > There's an FCC sticker on teh back of my stereo. What if the FCC were
> > to make it a requirement that you have to pass a string bass exam in
> > order to play music on your stereo?
> >
> >> >> >> However there are those for whom
> >> >> >> other activities can and should have priority on their time.
> >> >> >> However
> >> >> >> that
> >> >> >> is no excuse for eliminating it as a test element since the same
> >> >> >> argument
> >> >> >> can be applied to the theory.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You hold a very interesting point of view. You say that since
> >> >> > everyone
> >> >> > can learn the code, that it must be retained as a test element?
> >> >>

> >> >> No it should be retained because it is one of the basics of amateur
> >> >> radio
> >> >> along with things like Ohm's law, propagation, etc.
> >> >
> >> > No other operating mode/skill has a practical pass/fail exam. That
> >> > makes it arbitrary.
> >> >
> >>
> >> All the tests are arbitrary as well as is the material chosen for
> >> coverage.
> >
> > They are?
> >
> >> >> > I say that it should be removed as a test element because it no
> >> >> > longer
> >> >> > serves a regulatory purpose.
> >> >>
> >> >> That's a matter of opinion.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> > Please state the regulatory purpose that a Morse Code exam and CW use
> >> > provides.
> >> >
> >>
> >> The regulator purpose of the exam is that it shows the candidate knows
> >> that
> >> particular basic of ham radio.
> >
> > But why no other practical operating exam for other modes?
> >
> >> No one has ever claimed that CW use or the use of any other mode (SSB,
> >> non-code digital) provides a regulatory purpose.
> >
> > No mode is >mandated<, yet we have a practical operating pass/fail exam
> > for one mode. That is arbitrary.
> >
> > However throwing that
> >> comment into the discussion illustrates that you wish to get rid of not
> >> only
> >> the test but the use of CW.
> >
> > Dee, not at all. I wish only the arbitrary Morse/Farnsworth Exams to
> > be retired.
> >
> > But recognize that the FCC mandates the use no particular mode. You
> > can use CW all you want or not us it at all. So why is there a
> > practical operating exam for this one mode but not all other modes?
> >
> > And why is CW use allowed by No-Code Technicians on VHF, but not HF?

> >
> > Why did Jim Miccolis N2EY say about Hans restructuring proposal, that a
> > CW exam would be a barrier to CW use?
> >
> >> So I will not continue to participate in this thread.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > I understand how uneasy it must make you to have to face the truth of
> > arbitrary licensing requirements and still advocate a Morse/Farnsworth
> > Exam.
> >
>
> Ok, one more round but that's it. All the licensing requirements are
> arbitrary. Every single one of them. There are several radio services for
> which no testing is required. So if some services do not need testing, then
> it is arbitrary for those that do. However the goals and purposes of
> amateur radio make it desireable to test candidates for these licenses.

Has Jim approved these thoughts of yours?

> > If you wish to discontinue healthy, legitimate discourse with respect
> > to amateur policy, I understand. It is not for the faint of heart.
> >
> > Best of Luck, Brian
> >
>
> The problem with the Morse discussion is that every possible conceivable
> argument on either side has been aired dozens, if not hundreds, of times.
> It is not healthy to continue discussing this policy issue. No new data
> comes to light. No new rational has come up. There's no point in rehashing
> the same issues. Sooner or later the FCC will rule and we'll all have to
> live with the consequences good or bad.
>
> If the result is as the NCTA state that it will be, i.e. a big wave of new
> hams plus a big wave of hams upgrading and getting on HF, just watch the DX
> stations, especially the rare ones, hide down on CW even more than they are
> now. If you exclude Japan, the US has more amateur radio operators than the
> rest of the world combined. If the bands get as busy as the NCTAs imply
> they will from this rush of new and upgrading hams, a lot of us will be
> drifting even more to CW just to find some room.

I'm not stating that there will be hordes of unwashed nor waves of
intelligent technogeniuses. But if the code exam remains, I don't
think there's much hope of anything positive happening.

> On the other hand, if the PCTAs are correct, i.e. the impact will be
> insignificant just as other changes of the recent past have been, then there
> is NO reason to change the requirements. Changes that have little to no
> noticeable impact aren't worth the bother of implementing.
>

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Of course, I disagree. I think it is worthwhile to have regulations and a licensing structure which makes sense, regardless of negligible impacts.

3305. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 22:46:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <IAmte.8328$VK4.574@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110538

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:_B4te.1408$ac7.128@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Zz2te.7021$eM6.2655@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:9kXse.6246$NX4.1171@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> > My attitude toward many of the current crop of new techs is one bathed
>> > upon five years observation, since returning to the U.S. I haven't
> chased
>> > them anywhere. In fact, it has been just the opposite. I've tried to
>> > encourage them to use simplex on FM. I've tried to encourage them to
> try
>> > 6 meters along with 432 and 144 MHz SSB and CW. I can't be faulted
>> > that
>> > they don't act after being encouraged. If these folks are, in fact
>> > "trapped" in a world of 2m only FM repeater operation, they have
> fashioned
>> > the trap themselves by not being more curious about the rest of VHF/UHF
>> > amateur radio. There are avenues open to them but they aren't
>> > participating.
>> >
>> > Dave K8MN
>> >
>>
>> Man does that say it all in a well articulated paragraph. Valid points
> out
>> there
>> in tech land.
>>
>> The Technician ticket originally was envisioned to enable those that had
>> a
>> technical
>> slant that needed a place to play on the "air". It has degenerated
>> into
> a
>> defacto
>> "entry level" to present day Ham Radio. What a bummer.
>>
>> I bet a lot of those out there don't know that the original Tech written
> was
>> exactly

>> the same as the General. The ONLY difference was the General had a 13
>> WPM
>> cw test. Send and Receive. And the Tech had a 5wpm......and was
> available
>> by
>> mail.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> Hello, Dan
>
> Been there, didn't want that T-shirt. The danger back when was that if
> you
> couldn't pass the 13 WPM, you had two choices: one was work on your code
> while the other was to take the tech.
>
> Some folks back then wanted the General but settled for a tech license
> when
> they couldn't pass muster at 13. Unfortunately, if you got on the air
> (back
> when, 2 meters was the novice voice band to try and get more activity on 2
> meters!) it was possible to *never* work on the code and you were stuck as
> a
> tech. Most likely 6 meters was the band of choice as the best front ends
> might have had a 4.5 dB noise figure on 440 MHz. Even 2 meters wasn't all
> that busy; Heathkit sixers and twoers were the rigs of the day. I really
> wan't familiar with any territory above 30 MHz back then.
>
> The other choice was to work on your code. The novice license was issued
> for one year and was not renewable. I took this choice and it took me a
> while to get my code speed up. When I did take the test and pass 13, I
> was
> good for about 18 (which helps when you're nervous and travel 60 miles to
> take the test administered by the FCC, not a VEC).
>
> Of course, there were those that were only interested in VHF and above.
> Moonbounce was just starting to happen and dx records at 1.2 GHz and above
> were likely measured in miles. Not many. A very low noise pre-amp on 440
> MHz probably had a 4.5 dB noise figure. Once you headed into microwaves,
> you simply had a diode mixer front end and not only noise, but signal loss
> as well. Not at all like today. Most vacuum tubes had interelectrode
> capacitances and delays in getting electrons across the void that
> prohibited
> most from operation much above 500 MHz. There were lighthouse tubes,
> travelling wave tubes, and others (I had a couple of gizmos that looked
> like
> Sputnik. I never knew what they were intended for LOL).
>

> Funny thing is that if you do get to a reasonable level of code
> proficiency
> (as required by the General class and above back when) there was yet
> another
> danger: you just might enjoy it :))
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>
Yep indeed....it was "up or out". Novice good for 1 year, non renewable
and can't get it again. Talk about incentive licensing.

A very good friend mine, Ray, K8DEN recently passed away. I am so happy
he finally was able to get on HF. Thats right as a 5wpm General. He was
like you said, just could NOT learn the code. Whether physical or mental I
don't know.

Yes indeed VHF back then was tough. But it was fun. I had it both ways. I
was on CW on HF and phone on 2m AM. Loved them both.

It is much easier for the beginning ham now than it was for us Jim. Perhaps
it is too easy and that is the problem.

Dan/W4NTI

3306. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 22:49:54 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119221394.692939.295650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110539

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> The FCC wants the Technician to UPGRADE. So do we......whats wrong with
> that?
>
> Dipshit/Dumbass

Perhaps the FCC should sent out invitations to upgrade if they want so
desperately for it to happen.

3307. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 22:52:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <nGmte.8329$VK4.684@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110541

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119141036.640458.143900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1119096293.228606.140040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:Jcudnfa0a_PrPTHfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> >> > strange thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were
>> >> > Technician
>> >> > operators. On the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class
>> >> > operators.
>> >> > So
>> >> > where are all the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because
>> >> > they
>> >> > "can't" pass the code (as some would have us believe)?
>> >> >
>> >> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >> >
>> >> Hey Dee,
>> >>
>> >> Good question actually. Perhaps they are part of the vast majority of
>> >> hams
>> >> that are inactive.
>> >>
>> >> More likely they are all "stuck" on FM and have not a clue what else
>> >> is
>> >> going on with V/Uhf. What a shame.
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> > Ah, yes. The Shameful, Clueless Techs. With attitudes like yours,
>> > it's no wonder they don't hang around.
>> >
>>
>> I don't recall anyone on here, other than you "bb", using the word
>> Shameful
>> or
```

>> Clueless regarding the Technician licensees.
>
> I do. You used the phrases, "not a clue" and "what a shame" to
> describe Techs who chose to be "stuck" on FM.
>
> All I see is folks stating
>> they don't
>> understand why the Techs don't take full advantage of their full license
>> privileges.
>
> You go beyond that. You imply that there's something wrong with them.
>
>> You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in
>> general,
>> assuming
>> you have a license that is.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> You are the disgrace, Dan. Your bad attitude about Techs says it all.
>
> Let me guess... You're an Extra, right?
>

Not a clue as to what else is out there.
What a shame the dont.

That is what I meant and you know it.

Yep I am an extra, so what? I've been one since I passed in in front of
the FCC in 1977. Again so what?

I spend most of my time talking with, as you think, lower class amateurs.
Most of my SSB on HF is in the General sub bands. Most of my CW is the
same. ALL of my VHF is in the Tech sub bands, and I operate there a LOT.

Don't come off with this crap that I have a problem with the Tech ticket.
Screw you N0IMD.

Dan/W4NTI

3308. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 22:53:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <RHmte.8330$VK4.1472@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110540

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1119160223.931263.54780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 00:07
>
>
>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>
>>You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in
>>general,
>>assuming you have a license that is.
>
> Godwin invoked. [:-)]
>
> Attitude-wise, Dan = Stebie.
>
>
>
> ALL shall march to the same drummer, in ranks, with one voice
> counting cadence as taught in the Church of St. Hiram. NONE
> shall speak against the Elite, the PCTA Extras.
>
> ONE "service," all wearing the same "uniform." Totalitarian.
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
Hey Lennie....did that beating you took with the lye soap leave any
permanent scars?

I've figured this guy out folks. He was a barracks lawyer that got caught
up in several barracks parties. A total loser.

Dan/W4NTI

3309. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 22:56:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119221762.524110.187160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110542

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> I know what you claimed. But there's no independent
> evidence.

So, we have Jim asking for independent evidence. Hi!

Robeson makes claims as well.

3310. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 23:00:53 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <FOmte.8340$VK4.3489@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110543

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:G-mdnWIwhYyc1CjfRVn-2w@comcast.com...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:o9-dnf2U4tVi2ijfRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>>
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>
>
> < No one has ever claimed that CW use or the use of any other mode (SSB,
>> non-code digital) provides a regulatory purpose. However throwing that
>> comment into the discussion illustrates that you wish to get rid of not
>> only the test but the use of CW. So I will not continue to participate
>> in this thread.
>>
>
> Correction:
>
> "So I will not continue replying to your comments in this thread"
>
> Some people here will interpret my previous comment to mean I'll not reply
> to any people in this thread and that may or may not be the case depending
> on how interesting their comments are.
>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
>

After he got cozy with Lennie the lizard I decided he is here to
agitate....oh...didn't someone say that already?

Dan/W4NTI

3311. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 23:03:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119222194.860149.276520@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110544

Leo wrote:
> On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >You can state almost anything here, but as long as you
> >remain an "anony-mousie", there's room for doubt.
>
> LOL! Anony-mousie? That's a Len term.

Jim has begun the free-fall from grace.

3312. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 23:05:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119222320.245089.62470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110545

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> See? It's absurdly simply to toss in just a line, no bait, and
> I gonna get all kinds of bites. Most will be undersized but I
> just toss 'em back in... :-)

And hope they grow up.

3313. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-19 23:10:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <uXmte.8344$VK4.5401@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110546

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119140249.328665.67370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>
>> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
>> >> strange
>> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
>> >> operators.
>> >> On
>> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
>> >> all
>> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
>> >> the
>> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
>> >>
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Dee, I'm going to guess (i.e., don't ask me for facts, figures,
>> > substantive studies, reports from the FCC/ARRL, etc) that of the Techs
>> > that have stayed in amateur radio, most have done so for the emergency
>> > communications aspect of the service. As such, they would typically
>> > stay on FM.
>> >
>> > The ones who could learn Morse Code and have had to time to do so have
>> > moved up. Those who didn't have the time or couldn't learn it have
>> > moved on.
>> >
>> > It's nice to see Miss Manners finally noticing something amiss in the
>> > ARS.
>> >
>>
>> "bb" is just full of all sorts of assumptions, ain't he boys and girls?
>>
>> Using his logic then all us "higher" class operators need to get out of
>> EMCOM, eh?
>>
>> I'll be sure to tell the Extra class Emergency Net Control operator next
```

>> time I see her.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan, you're welcome to tell her anything you want. She's probably
> already aware that you usually get it wrong anyway.
>
Do you have trouble chewing gum and walking Bryan?

Dan/W4NTI

3314. Re: Poor Saggytits Lee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 00:24:14 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <e58880d154b6b3c8556fec9f710f204d@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 110547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-daives,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.fuckhead.stagger.lee

In article <woger.wiseman.net.kook@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

>(Much whining deleted)

Poor Saggytits, like that alt.fuckhead.stagger.lee group, bitch
boy?

3315. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 00:40:03 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <b9b0677a4284a5567777b87cbbbd4914@dizum.com>
Source record: 110548

In article <iO2dnbXqioytfCjfRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com>
wrote:
>
> Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer, two-test
stinkyboy pudgie
> wogie blubbered again and wrote:

Butt Cornholer makes good sentences and spells! "Two-test"
"wogie"
"Stinkyboy?" You8 must be thinking of yourself, colostomy wearer.
Does your kids and grandkids who live near you come over and
change your diaper for you?

Roger is "pudgy" BWHAHAHAHAHAHA...wrong again, dumbass!

> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..the self-deluded "cw expert" just
> > "listened," eh? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!! You didn't want to
> > show off those "sending skills" or I should say lack of them,
> >
>
> Name the (blathering and plain out and out lying about his non-
existent cw skills, sort of like his nonexistent pilot's and 1st
class radiotelephone license)

Sure you do, just like you have a "Pilot's license" and a 1st
class Radiotelephone license!

Maybe you are so fucking brain dead that you actually think
somebody believes your lies.

Keep flying those model airplanes and think you have a real
pilot's license, Butt Cornholer.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

3316. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 01:03:58 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110651

Nomen Nescio AKA fatboy two-test wogie slobberd over the keyboard and wrote:

> "Stinkyboy?" You8 must be thinking of yourself, colostomy wearer.

Two-test wogie make good spell. What's 'you8'? Drunk at the keyboard
again wogie?

>>Name the (blathering and plain out and out lying about his non-
>
> existent cw skills, sort of like his nonexistent pilot's and 1st
> class radiotelephone license)

Name the time and frequency fatboy, I'll call cq AB8ManyQueers, either
put up or shut up. You won't because you can't copy cw.
Loser two-test wogie, Riley made him retest to keep his license.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

3317. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 01:32:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <namdnY0fnIYSgSvfRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110549

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Mike Coslo on Jun 19, 11:13 am
>
>
>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>> Bingo!
>>
>> We cannot control Len's behavior here.
>
>
> Isn't that so...so..."horrid" (as Dee once put it)? :-)
>

Not at all! I enjoy most of your posts.

>
>> We can however, control our own.
>
>
> NOT ACCORDING TO JIMMIE. He say before he cannot control K4YZ!
>
> Jimmie be judge of ALL!
>
>
>
>> I don't know about your mail reader, but mine has a couple levels of
>>filtering. I can filter by name, or the easy way is to simply hit "k" at
>>the subject line. I never see that subject again.
>
>
> K

Not in the text body, but the subject line.. 8^)

>
>> That being said, I have mixed feelings on the filtering. I use it to
>>get rid of the lunatic fringe topics, but little else.
>
>
> NCTA be "lunatic fringe?" K

Nope.

> Working station ON the moon is partly "lunatic" thing...
>
> Coslo reach "edge of space" yet?

The project is moving along. We've had a major change in the payload
and recovery strategy.

To specifically answer your question, not yet.

>
>
>> I don't filter people like Len though, as I look forward to his
>>postings. They are entertaining.
>
>
> "There's no business like show business, like no business I know..."
>
> [Los Angeles be entertainment capital of the world, big business]
>
>
>
>>So if the people who find his postings
>>offensive quit getting into p*****g contests with him by filtering him
>>out or just ignoring him, I'll have lost that bit of fun.
>
>
> The self-righteous shall always be with us, God bless their
> pointy lil heads.
>
> Above all, let's all do our best to ENTERTAIN MIKEY!

Thank you, I appreciate that. Yer doin' okay.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3318. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 01:41:14 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Hv6dnbSIa6sYtCvfRVn-pg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110654

Tarapia Tapioco wrote:

>
>
> Well, dumbass, you got it from that website on blogeasy.com.

You lose again, as usual wogie, but now that you mention that blog site
I will go see what is has to say. Didn't even know about it until now,
so thanks, there will probably some really funny reading there about you.

> Word for word. You are nothing but a lousy plagiarist.

Didn't use anything word or word wogie, just read on a newsgroup where
someone said you ex-wife claimed you wet the bed. I bet she was right.

> You still
> fucked up though, since you can't find Roger's ex-wife to begin
> with.

Why would I want to find your ex-wife wogie? I doubt she would ever
admit to knowing you anyway.

> You and Lardass Davies have made about 3 different guesses
> and they've all been wrong. So any thing you say about him
> regarding his ex-wife and wetting the bed has no credibility.
> Just like you.

Yet wogie has yet to deny it.

>
> Funny how the retest letter didn't specify that, plagiarizing
> Butt Cornholer. In fact the only reason given was:
>
> "Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission’s
> Rules, 47 C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the
> authority to re-administer any examination element previously
> administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE’s). The Commission
> may either administer the examination itself, or under the
> supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
> designated by the Commission."
>
> Face it, dumbass, you lost,

Face it wogie, you lost when Riley made you retest because of your
jamming actions on the air. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

Funny how Riley made you retest to keep your license after you were
caught jamming on 20 meters. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

>
>
> Still waiting on proof you have a pilot's and 1st class
> radiotelephone commercial license.
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

Still waiting for you to name a time and frequency for a little cw
practice, two-test. Of course you won't because we all know you can't
copy cw. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
>

Still think I live in Missouri just because that is where my ISP is
located wogie? You think everyone who uses AOL lives in VA wogie? You
think everyone who uses Earthlink lives in Atlanta wogie?
Poor wogie, dumber than a bowl of dirt.

3319. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 01:48:19 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <7056ca00e507c0be4067e739be4f879b@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 110650

In article <NbSdnRVAf9DbfijfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Raper of his own grandkids Cmd Butt Cornholer
<radiobu...@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
> Nomen Nescio AKA fatboy two-test curtains boy wogie in his
drunken
> stupor wrote:

Butt Cornholer shows off why he could only get a G.E.D and
plagiarizes, too!
"Two-test" "wogie" "drunken stupor"

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!! Poor Butt Cornholer, spinning at
Roger's beck and call.

> >
> > GBronline, your isp is in Missouri, dumbfuck.
>
> I suppose (Much sniveling by Butt Cornbholer)

I suppose you'll continue to whine about it, bitch.

> >
> > You only had to take that obsolete Advanced about 5 times
before
> > you could pass it, huh? And you can't even pass a "simple"
(in
> > your own words) Extra Class. Poor imaginary pilot's license
boy.
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHA!!!!!!
> >
> >
> >
> >>I heard you ex-wife
> >
> >
> > You think "Regina Wiseman" is Roger's ex-wife

> Who said anything about this 'Regina', fatboy. I just heard
your ex-wife
> said you wet the bed. I notice you didn't deny it.

Well, dumbass, you got it from that website on blogeasy.com.
Word for word. You are nothing but a lousy plagiarist. You still
fucked up though, since you can't find Roger's ex-wife to begin
with. You and Lardass Davies have made about 3 different guesses

and they've all been wrong. So any thing you say about him
regarding his ex-wife and wetting the bed has no credibility.
Just like you.

> >
> > Funny how the retest letter from the FCC gives no indication
> > that Roger was retested because of interference.
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
> > You lose as usual.
>
> Funny how you had to retest to keep your license after you
were caught
> causing malicious interference on 20 meters, you lose as usual.

Funny how the retest letter didn't specify that, plagiarizing
Butt Cornholer. In fact the only reason given was:

"Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission’s
Rules, 47 C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the
authority to re-administer any examination element previously
administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE’s). The Commission
may either administer the examination itself, or under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
designated by the Commission."

Face it, dumbass, you lost, that's the only reason (well,
besides your Altzheimer's) you keep whining about something that
was 5 years ago and besides, Roger passed the test and
upgraded... you can't even do that.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

> Still waiting

Still waiting on proof you have a pilot's and 1st class
radiotelephone commercial license.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

3320. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 01:58:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119232703.989152.158550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110652

Leo wrote:
> On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >>snip
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr.
> >> >> >> >> >> Godwin's rule.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >"attempt"? Hardly!
> >> >> >> >snip
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Attempt, definitely. Because, as has been demonstrated many > >> times
> >> >> >> before, the discusion will continue regardless of whether
> >> >> >> Godwin's impotent rule has been 'invoked' or not.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>The version of Godwin's rule that I use says that the person
> >> >> >>who uses stoops to calling their opponent "Hitler", "Nazis"
> >> >> >>or references to them, has lost the argument. That the
> >> >> >>discussion
> >> >> >>continues is irrelevant. Len has lost the argument.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> I see. Thanks for clearing that up, Jim - for a minute there, > I was
> >> >> afraid that you hadn't accomplished anything useful there!
> >> >
> >> >So it wasn't an "attempt" but a success.
> >> >
> >> Was it? Not really - the discussion will continue.
> >
> >Irrelevant - Len has lost the argument.
>

> Oh. OK then. That matters a lot.

Glad you agree!

> Guess that makes you 'right', then.

Yes, it does.

> Len was 'wrong', and you were
> 'right'.

Yep.

> Feel better now?

Sure. How about you?

> >> >> >> But it just had to be done, didn't it?

> >> >> >No, it didn't. But I did it anyway.

> >> >> Of course you did. You had to!

> >> >Nope. I chose to.

> >> The choice, Sir, was not yours to make - you simply could not
> >> resist doing so.

> >I chose to respond. Other times I choose not to. Len posts
> >far more than I respond.

> I suggest that you responded because you had to respond.

That claim is incorrect. I chose to respond.

> You couldn't help yourself!

What's to help?

Do you believe in free will, Leo?
>
> >> >> >Is there a problem with >that? Do you think Len's slurs
> >> >> >are acceptable behavior?
> >> >>
> >> >> There are several folks here whose 'slurs' and language are
> >> >> much worse
> >> >> than this example
> >> >
> >> >Yes, Len has done worse....
> >>
> >> Is that what I said? Don't think so!
> >
> >It's a valid interpretation.
>
> Not at all - you are in error.

That claim is incorrect...

> >> >> (a reference to the bumbling and
> >> >> comical 'Nazis' on "Hogan's Heroes")

> >> >The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1

> >> Godwin invoked.

> >For what? I did not use Hitler/Nazi references to anyone
> >involved in the discussion. I simply stated the fact
> >that ol' Adolf was a feldwebel in the German Army in WW1.

> I see.

> You just felt it necessary to blurt that out, for no reason at > all?

Nope. With good reason.

> Heh heh.
>
Yep.

> >> >> - always has been, always will be.
> >> >
> >> >That claim is incorrect. Usenet is not eternal.
> >> >
> >> >> It's not my job
> >> >> to run around and point that out all day every day.
> >> >
> >> >You have avoided the question.
> >> >
> >> >Do you think Len's slurs are acceptable behavior?
> >>
> >> Not my job to judge that, Jim. That's apparently your role.

And apparently your role has become "defender of the Len".
>
> >In other words, you won't answer the question.
>
> That is correct - I have no opinion on the subject.

That's a contradiction. You just answered the question.

"I have no opinion on the subject" is a simple, direct answer.

Thanks!

> In short, I have
> no answer to your (rhetorical) question.

Yes, you do! Your answer is that you have no
opinion one way or the other.

> Seek elsewhere.

Why? You answered the question. Thanks again.
>
> >> >> It's not my job to point that out to each and every participant > on this group Jim
- is it yours? Why?

> >> >Your argument seems to be that since Len will probably exhibit
> >> >his typical immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun
> >> >Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior anyway, there's no
> >> >point in pointing out when he is, indeed, exhibiting his typical
> >> >immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting
> >> >jackass behavior. Is that about right?
> >>
> >> Nope. You have avoided the question.
> >
> >See how that works?
>
> Apparently, you do. You have a long history of avoiding any > > question
> that you don't like - or didn't ask.

Why should I answer the questions of others, when they don't
answer mine?

> >> >Perhaps you have a valid point, since if what Len seeks is
> >> >attention, pointing out his typical immature ethnic-slur
> >> >Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior
> >> >gives him that attention.
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> (73 de Jim etc. sig missing again)
> >> >>
> >> >Not missing - omitted.
> >>
> >> In a fit of pique? As an insult? Forgot, maybe!
> >
> >None of the above.
>
> Not true.

That claim is incorrect.

> >> Lid-like behaviour, wouldn't you think?
> >
> >Not at all.
> >
> >> >The original meaning of "73" is "a friendly greeting
> >> >between operators". In the context of amateur radio,
> >> >this means between amateur radio operators.
> >>
> >> In the words of Hans - thank you, Captain Obvious!
> >
> >Most people don't know the original meaning.
>
> In an Amateur Radio newsgroup? Heh heh. OK, Jim - whatever

> you say.

Did *you* know the original meaning?

> >> >It would be inappropriate to use the greeting to
> >> >someone who is not an amateur radio operator.

> >> Which I am. And have stated many times before.
> >
> >And your callsign is?
>
> Not going to be used in this newsgroup. For reasons explained
> earlier.

Then there's room for doubt. Perhaps you are an amateur radio
operator, perhaps not.

> Starts with VE3, though - issued in 2002.

Maybe...

> >You can state almost anything here, but as long as you
> >remain an "anony-mousie", there's room for doubt.
>
> LOL! Anony-mousie? That's a Len term.

Yep.

> You may not like the guy much, but you seem to be learning from > him!

I've learned some things from Len. For example, I used to think
that he might be capable of a reasonable discussion on amateur
radio policy issues, even with those who disagree with him. I learned I
was wrong about that...

> Good for you.
>
> >
> >> Poor memory? Google 'er up.....
> >
> >I know what you claimed. But there's no independent
> >evidence.
>
> You claim to be an educated guy, Jim, there isn't much evidence
> of that either!

Zing! Was that written in a fit of pique? As an insult?
>
> But don't worry - I believe you! :)

Thanks!

73 de Jim, N2EY (I'll believe you're really a VE3)

3321. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 02:11:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119233516.636430.59740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110653

Leo wrote:
> On Sun, 19 Jun 2005 11:02:42 -0400, Mike Coslo
> <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>
> >>>Leo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Leo wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>snip
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> Seig Heil!!! :-)
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr. Godwin's
> >>>>>>>>>rule.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>"attempt"? Hardly!
> >>>>>>>>>snip
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Attempt, definitely. Because, as has been demonstrated many times
> >>>>>>>>before, the discusion will continue regardless of whether Godwin's
> >>>>>>>>impotent rule has been 'invoked' or not.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>The version of Godwin's rule that I use says that the person
> >>>>>>>who uses stoops to calling their opponent "Hitler", "Nazis"
> >>>>>>>or references to them, has lost the argument. That the discussion
> >>>>>>>continues is irrelevant. Len has lost the argument.

> >>
> >>
> >> I see. Thanks for clearing that up, Jim - for a minute there, I was
> >> afraid that you hadn't accomplished anything useful there!
> >>
> >>
> >>>>But it just had to be done, didn't it?
> >>>
> >>>No, it didn't. But I did it anyway.
> >>
> >>
> >> Of course you did. You had to!
> >>
> >>
> >>>Is there a problem with >that? Do you think Len's slurs
> >>>are acceptable behavior?
> >>
> >>
> >> There are several folks here whose 'slurs' and language are much worse
> >> than this example (a reference to the bumbling and comical 'Nazis' on
> >> "Hogan's Heroes") - always has been, always will be. It's not my job
> >> to run around and point that out all day every day.
> >>
> >> It's not my job to point that out to each and every participant on
> >> this group Jim - is it yours? Why?
> >
> > You are correct there Leo. Jim is perfectly capable of not responding
> >to anything Len posts. We all are.
>
> I'm not sure that I'd agree, Mike. Jim seems to feel compelled to
> respond to anything and everything regarding Len. From early morning
> to late at night, 7 days a week, he wages his futile war on the
> newsgroup.

That's easily disproved, Leo. Just look at how many posts Len
makes that I don't respond to. Then look at how many I make that
he responds to. Also look at the tone and content of the responses.

Just a suggerstion.
>
> Doesn't look like a choice - more like an obcession.

By whom? Not me...

> > And of course, Len knows quite well that all he has to do is put in a
> >reference to the Nazi's, and it will get a response. Sets the hook quite
> >regularly, he does! Irresistible bait apparently.
>
> Right you are, Mike. One of the most important things I learned from
> being a parent - if you let the kids know where the buttons are, they
> can't push 'em!

??

If you let kids know where the buttons are, they'll push 'em
constantly.

Speaking of "buttons", it's clear that Len has the most "buttons" of
all. All anyone has to do is hit his big button (disagree with
him on the code-test issue) and then Len will respond in a very
predictable, negative, attacking, insulting way to almost anything the
person posts. If someone who has hit Len's Big Button dares to point
out a mistake (even a minor one)
in one of Len's posts (such as the in-service date of a particular
Soviet aircraft, or the legality of US hams operating with
expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses...well, the results
are all there in Google. Not pretty, either.

For example, some time back I posted info about a new War Museum in
Canada. (I don't recall the exact correct name of the museum right
now). I pointed out that some of the windows spell out a message in
Morse Code.

Len, of course, went ballistic in one of his typical tirades. You'd
think I'd said something truly awful by pointing out the windows. I
don't know why he reacts that way - it's just what he does.

But don't ask Len what JT65 is...

73 de Jim, N2EY

3322. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 02:51:48 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119235908.555603.210300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110655

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119140249.328665.67370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> I and the OM also worked some of the VHF contest and noticed a very
> >> >> strange
> >> >> thing. Only 10% of the contacts that we made were Technician
> >> >> operators.
> >> >> On
> >> >> the other hand, 80% of them were Extra class operators. So where are
> >> >> all
> >> >> the Techs who are "trapped" in VHF and above because they "can't" pass
> >> >> the
> >> >> code (as some would have us believe)?
> >> >>
> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> > Dee, I'm going to guess (i.e., don't ask me for facts, figures,
> >> > substantive studies, reports from the FCC/ARRL, etc) that of the Techs
> >> > that have stayed in amateur radio, most have done so for the emergency
> >> > communications aspect of the service. As such, they would typically
> >> > stay on FM.
> >> >
> >> > The ones who could learn Morse Code and have had to time to do so have
> >> > moved up. Those who didn't have the time or couldn't learn it have
> >> > moved on.
> >> >
> >> > It's nice to see Miss Manners finally noticing something amiss in the
> >> > ARS.
> >> >
> >>
> >> "bb" is just full of all sorts of assumptions, ain't he boys and girls?
> >>
> >> Using his logic then all us "higher" class operators need to get out of
> >> EMCOM, eh?
> >>

> >> I'll be sure to tell the Extra class Emergency Net Control operator next
> >> time I see her.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Dan, you're welcome to tell her anything you want. She's probably
> > already aware that you usually get it wrong anyway.
> >
> Do you have trouble chewing gum and walking Bryan?

I don't chew gum. I don't smoke, either. Did you have a point?

3323. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 03:06:21 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <t59cb1p90cq2tsabk01bckfr94vnsahne4@4ax.com>
Source record: 110656

On 19 Jun 2005 18:58:24 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >>snip
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Next up, Jim will once again attempt to invoke Mr.
>> >> >> >> >> >> Godwin's rule.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >"attempt"? Hardly!
>> >> >> >> >snip
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> Attempt, definitely. Because, as has been demonstrated many > >> times
>> >> >> >> before, the discusion will continue regardless of whether
>> >> >> >> Godwin's impotent rule has been 'invoked' or not.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >The version of Godwin's rule that I use says that the person
>> >> >> >who uses stoops to calling their opponent "Hitler", "Nazis"
>> >> >> >or references to them, has lost the argument. That the
>> >> >> >discussion
>> >> >> >continues is irrelevant. Len has lost the argument.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I see. Thanks for clearing that up, Jim - for a minute there, > I was
>> >> >> afraid that you hadn't accomplished anything useful there!
>> >> >
>> >> >So it wasn't an "attempt" but a success.
>> >>
>> >> Was it? Not really - the discussion will continue.
>> >

>> >Irrelevant - Len has lost the argument.
>>
>> Oh. OK then. That matters a lot.
>
>Glad you agree!
>
>> Guess that makes you 'right', then.
>
>Yes, it does.

That's important!

>
>> Len was 'wrong', and you were
>> 'right'.
>
>Yep.

That's important!

>
>> Feel better now?
>
>Sure. How about you?

Just fine, thanks! Glad you're feeling better!

>
>> >> >> >> But it just had to be done, didn't it?
>
>> >> >> >No, it didn't. But I did it anyway.
>
>> >> >> Of course you did. You had to!
>
>> >> >Nope. I chose to.
>
>> >> The choice, Sir, was not yours to make - you simply could not
>> >> resist doing so.
>
>> >I chose to respond. Other times I choose not to. Len posts
>> >far more than I respond.
>
>> I suggest that you responded because you had to respond.
>
>That claim is incorrect. I chose to respond.

...so you seem to believe.

>
>> You couldn't help yourself!
>
>What's to help?

Yourself. Said so right there in that sentence! :)

>
>Do you believe in free will, Leo?

I do indeed. Seen any lately?

>>
>> >> >> >Is there a problem with >that? Do you think Len's slurs
>> >> >> >are acceptable behavior?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> There are several folks here whose 'slurs' and language are
>> >> >> much worse
>> >> >> than this example
>> >> >
>> >> >Yes, Len has done worse....
>> >>
>> >> Is that what I said? Don't think so!
>> >
>> >It's a valid interpretation.
>>
>> Not at all - you are in error.
>
>That claim is incorrect...

Hey, it was my statement - I get to be the judge of that!

That claim is incorrect (still). :)

>
>> >> >> (a reference to the bumbling and
>> >> >> comical 'Nazis' on "Hogan's Heroes")
>
>> >> >The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1
>
>> >> Godwin invoked.
>
>> >For what? I did not use Hitler/Nazi references to anyone
>> >involved in the discussion. I simply stated the fact
>> >that ol' Adolf was a feldwebel in the German Army in WW1.
>
>> I see.
>
>> You just felt it necessary to blurt that out, for no reason at > all?
>
>Nope. With good reason.

I'm sure that we'd all love to hear your good reason for resurrecting
the work history of the long departed Fuhrer back there, Jim - please
share!

You of course realize that there is a school of thought that
invocation of Godwin's Law can be interpreted to include any such
reference to that - um - Teutonic regime of the 1930's and 1940's?

Especially the Big Guy himself?

Oh - I forgot - you said you use another interpretation of that
rule.......

>
>> Heh heh.
>>
>Yep.
>
>> >> >> - always has been, always will be.
>> >> >
>> >> >That claim is incorrect. Usenet is not eternal.
>> >> >
>> >> >> It's not my job
>> >> >> to run around and point that out all day every day.
>> >> >
>> >> >You have avoided the question.
>> >> >
>> >> >Do you think Len's slurs are acceptable behavior?
>> >>
>> >> Not my job to judge that, Jim. That's apparently your role.
>
>And apparently your role has become "defender of the Len".

How so? I have neither defended nor attacked Len. I simply refuse to
join you in your obcessive crusade against him.

Heh. "Those who ain't with me are agin' me....!" - what movie was
that from again???

>>
>> >In other words, you won't answer the question.
>>
>> That is correct - I have no opinion on the subject.
>
>That's a contradiction. You just answered the question.
>
>"I have no opinion on the subject" is a simple, direct answer.
>
>Thanks!

Actually, it is neither an answer nor a refusal to answer. It is
nothing at all.

But, as long as you're happy with that - you're welcome - for nothing!

>
>> In short, I have
>> no answer to your (rhetorical) question.
>
>Yes, you do! Your answer is that you have no
>opinion one way or the other.

Heh heh.

>
>> Seek elsewhere.
>
>Why? You answered the question. Thanks again.

Heh.

>>
>> >> >> It's not my job to point that out to each and every participant > on this group
Jim - is it yours? Why?
>
>> >> >Your argument seems to be that since Len will probably exhibit
>> >> >his typical immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun
>> >> >Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior anyway, there's no
>> >> >point in pointing out when he is, indeed, exhibiting his typical
>> >> >immature ethnic-slur Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting
>> >> >jackass behavior. Is that about right?
>> >>
>> >> Nope. You have avoided the question.
>> >
>> >See how that works?
>>
>> Apparently, you do. You have a long history of avoiding any > > question
>> that you don't like - or didn't ask.
>
>Why should I answer the questions of others, when they don't
>answer mine?

Well, that's a bit childish, but it is Fathers' Day, so I'll help you
out a bit here.

Because you should! Why should you let the behaviour of others
negatively influence yours?

If Johnny jumped in the mud, would you jump in the mud?

Jeez. Kids these days!

>
>> >> >Perhaps you have a valid point, since if what Len seeks is
>> >> >attention, pointing out his typical immature ethnic-slur
>> >> >Godwin-violating bad-pun Unknown-Soldier-insulting jackass behavior
>> >> >gives him that attention.
>> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> (73 de Jim etc. sig missing again)
>> >> >>
>> >> >Not missing - omitted.
>> >>
>> >> In a fit of pique? As an insult? Forgot, maybe!
>> >
>> >None of the above.

>>
>> Not true.
>
>That claim is incorrect.

I don't think so!

>
>> >> Lid-like behaviour, wouldn't you think?
>> >
>> >Not at all.
>> >
>> >> >The original meaning of "73" is "a friendly greeting
>> >> >between operators". In the context of amateur radio,
>> >> >this means between amateur radio operators.
>> >>
>> >> In the words of Hans - thank you, Captain Obvious!
>> >
>> >Most people don't know the original meaning.
>>
>> In an Amateur Radio newsgroup? Heh heh. OK, Jim - whatever
>> you say.
>
>Did *you* know the original meaning?

I did indeed - it's not exactly a secret.....didn't I quote you
something from the "92 code" a while back?

>
>> >> >It would be inappropriate to use the greeting to
>> >> >someone who is not an amateur radio operator.
>
>> >> Which I am. And have stated many times before.
>> >
>> >And your callsign is?
>>
>> Not going to be used in this newsgroup. For reasons explained
>> earlier.
>
>Then there's room for doubt. Perhaps you are an amateur radio
>operator, perhaps not.
>
>> Starts with VE3, though - issued in 2002.
>
>Maybe...

There you go again - not believing! :)

>
>> >You can state almost anything here, but as long as you
>> >remain an "anony-mousie", there's room for doubt.
>>

>> LOL! Anony-mousie? That's a Len term.
>
>Yep.
>
>> You may not like the guy much, but you seem to be learning from > him!
>
>I've learned some things from Len. For example, I used to think
>that he might be capable of a reasonable discussion on amateur
>radio policy issues, even with those who disagree with him. I learned I
>was wrong about that...

You appear to have learned a few more tricks than that!

Woof!

>
>> Good for you.
>>
>> >
>> >> Poor memory? Google 'er up.....
>> >
>> >I know what you claimed. But there's no independent
>> >evidence.
>>
>> You claim to be an educated guy, Jim, there isn't much evidence
>> of that either!
>
>Zing! Was that written in a fit of pique? As an insult?

Of course not! Simply an illustration that, in the absence of
conclusive and irrefutable proof, one has no other means to ascertain
whether another individual is misrepresenting themselves other than
the evidence that they present in their posts over a period of time.

So far, we haven't seen much of anything posted that would support
your claims of post-grad education - no thesis references, no detailed
insight which would require that level of training, no written
expressions of advanced theoretical knowledge. A few moderately
complex calculations, perhaps - some correct, at least one not by a
long shot.

In short - your word is all we have.

One can choose to doubt anything at all, Jim. You can. I can.
Anyone can.

But to choose to doubt someone simply because they no longer appear to
agree with you or support your views - doesn't seem particularly
brainy, now does it?

You see where reasonable doubt might creep in - right?

>>
>> But don't worry - I believe you! :)
>
>Thanks!

No problem!
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY (I'll believe you're really a VE3)

Thanks!

73, Leo

3324. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 04:42:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <XOrte.6932$jX6.3659@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110657

Leo wrote:

> How so? I have neither defended nor attacked Len. I simply refuse to
> join you in your obcessive crusade against him.

The word is "obsessive". Jim's treatment of Len isn't.

Dave K8MN

3325. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 05:00:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119243607.428324.249240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110659

From: "bb" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 15:2

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 08:50
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 00:07
>> >> >"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> >
>> >> >You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in

general,
>> >> >assuming you have a license that is.
>> >>
>> >> Godwin invoked. [:-)]
>> >>
>> >> Attitude-wise, Dan = Stebie.

It's a NEW "Godwin" observation in here. Whenever someone goes
against the league-published grain of the olde-tyme hammes, they
are immediately labeled "slamming ham radio" or, in Danterms,
"agitator and disgrace." :-)

Them olde-fahrt morsemen gotta have...:

> >> ALL shall march to the same drummer, in ranks, with one voice
> >> counting cadence as taught in the Church of St. Hiram. NONE
> >> shall speak against the Elite, the PCTA Extras.
> >>
> >> ONE "service," all wearing the same "uniform." Totalitarian.

March, march, beep, beep...

>> Dan and Dave and the remaining living PCTAs haven't much changed
>> since '98 in here. They command a consensus of common thought;
>> i.e., CONCEDE to THEIR consensus, none others.
>
>You can have any opinion you like as long as it's theirs.

Henry Ford invoked! ["you can have any car color you want
as long as it is black"]

Same thing in this "newsgroup" I guess. :-)

>> >I see there's a Jim Miccolis N2EY posting below. I haven't gotten to
>> >it yet, as I'm saving the best for last. Hope he doesn't disappoint as
>> >he has ever since he made the comment, "A Morse Exam would be a barrier
>> >to CW use."

>>
>> Oh, oh...dat put Sister Nun of the Above into ruler-spank mode
>> again. She demand posting quote of where, when she make dat.
>> Dis be court of law! Bang da gavel, heah come de judge, heah
>> come de judge...
>
>I think, after she stated that ALL exams are arbitrary, that she
>decided to duck and run. Apparently, none of the PCTA heard her
>remark, which included the Morse/Farnsworth exam. Right now she's
>zagging across the lawn in Newington, looking for sanctuary.

Heh, I think I'd rather watch a DVD of "Logan's Run." :-)

>> Jimmie can't bear to acknowledge that the current percentage of
>> Technician and Technican Plus class licensees are now at 48.4
>> percent of all individual (non-club) licensees in the USA.
>> Jimmie has to rationalize that with all sorts of rather irrelevant
>> "excuses" common to the Elitist PCTA viewpoint of all those
>> commonfolk not embracing morsemanship with loving open arms.
>
>Jim is somewhat frail. He's much better off not thinking about it.

The beginning of the end...

Tsk, since Jimmie became "tollmaster" in here, he ought to get
up a Pool on when the two Tech class license totals reach 50%!

Should be less than a year from now the way I see it. :-)

"Jes tellin' da truth an' they cain't stand it!" [Danism]

>> ARS (aka Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society) is in full flower in
>> here, their self-appointed self-righteous "representatives"
>> damning all who do not respect their mighty elitist rule. The
>> ARS only has "fun" when all licensees do as the Elites do, that
>> is, doing narrowband morse beeping.
>
>And one keeps asking me where the Techs have gone. Hi!

They haven't gone to the "lower part of the bands" (on HF).

Olde-fahrt morsemen ought to be very happy. :-)

They aren't. Still so ANGRY!

>> The LAW is the LAW and NO LAWS CAN EVER BE CHANGED according to
>> these "guardians of amateur paradise." Code test MUST remain.
>> PCTA say so. No arguments against. Period. [sigh...]
>
>There must still be some of them working for the FCC.

Must be. Or FCC cares so little about amateur radio that they
aren't doing anything about those 18 Petitions. No sign of
reaching a decision on which or what.

>> Happy Father's Day to you, Brian.
>
>And to you, Len.

Thank you. Maybe next year it might be (for me) "great-grand"
instead of just "grand." :-)

Watch for responses to THAT little gem! :-) Davie gonna
chime in with "all those years you said you were 'interested' in
radio you never got a ham license!" Tsk, tsk, Too bad I got a
commercial ticket and then went into the electronics industry,
huh? :-) Sister Nun of the Above gonna try some ruler-spank
on that, too, lotsa stuff about "how many children I parented."
Sister NOT say how many he have parented...will ignore and
try misdirection to avoid answering.

Lotsa fun wid dese PCTA Extra folk allatime "sitting in
judgement" and hollering "order in da court!" :-)

"Book 'em, Danno!"

beep, beep

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3326. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 05:02:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119243771.733912.107440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110658

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:36

>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1119140121.321644.55200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>
>> Indeed it does. David has articulated, and Dan has agreed that a Tech
>> really isn't worth much as an amateur if they don't do the things that
>> Dave, Dan and Dee want them to be doing. I'm sure that your attitudes
>> spill over into your communication with those unworthy Techs.

>Not only a Dipshit, but a dumbass as well, eh N0IMD? Just keep making up
>what you want.
>
>The FCC wants the Technician to UPGRADE. So do we......whats wrong with
>that?
>
>Dipshit/Dumbass

Plenty WRONG, "dipshit dumbass Dan." FCC did NOT "want anyone" to
do anything but obey the law.

Here's a clue, you southern-fried Einstein: FCC is NOT in ANY
position to endorse or motivate any radio amateur. They aren't
charterd by Congress to do so.

"Wanting anyone to upgrade" is a subject for clubs and private
organizations. Mostly that means the ARRL. Here's another clue:
The ARRL does NOT regulate U.S. amateur radio...regardless of
what they infer.

Sunnuvagun! [thank you Hans for originating that into the
mainstream of American colloquialisms over a
century or so back... :-)]

>> Thanks for the insight into the "Mind of Dan."
>
>Just tellin the truth and you cain't stand it.

Tsk, dipshit dumbass Dan, the southern-fried Einstein (wannabe).

Good luck with that now...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3327. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 05:06:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119243992.332831.19340@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110660

From: Leo on Sun 19 Jun 2005 16:51

>On 19 Jun 2005 12:29:05 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>From: Leo on Jun 19, 11:26 am
>>>On Sun, 19 Jun 2005 11:02:42 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote: >
>>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>>On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>>>>On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07

>> Most sincere good wishes on this Father's Day (in here it looks
>> more like 'boxing day' but without any Brit connotations),
>
>Thanks much, Len - you too!
>
>And don't forget, every day is Boxing Day in here......

Ain't that the truth! :-)

Again I'm reminded of a science fiction movie..."Logan's Run"
when Logan and Jessica were on the run looking for Sanctuary
and encounterd "Box" in the freezer... :-)

"Box" froze everything. Seafood, runners, etc. Like the
archaic rules about even older regulations. Gotta keep it all
FROZEN in the freezer. Nobody gets away. No thawing of
anything. All cold. Beep, beep, brrrr, brrrr.

Logan and Jessica got away. "Box" got disassembled. Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3328. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 05:54:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119246872.138153.98560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110661

From: Mike Coslo on Jun 19, 9:32 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Mike Coslo on Jun 19, 11:13 am
>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:

>>> Bingo!
>
>>> We cannot control Len's behavior here.
>
> Isn't that so...so..."horrid" (as Dee once put it)? :-)
>
> Not at all! I enjoy most of your posts.

Tsk, tsk, Michael. The "horrid-ness" wasn't about a particular
person, it was about NOT HAVING CONTROL OVER WHO POSTS!!! :-)

The PCTA desperately want to hang onto "control" of content in
here, negating any of that heretic talk of (shudder) removing
the code test! PCTA want everything in here just like ARRL
approves, like this is just "Newington South." Everybody be
nice-nice to morsemen, etc.

>>> We can however, control our own.

See? CONTROL! :-)

>>> I don't know about your mail reader, but mine has a couple levels of
>>>filtering. I can filter by name, or the easy way is to simply hit "k" at
>>>the subject line. I never see that subject again.
>
>> K
>
>Not in the text body, but the subject line.. 8^)

'K

>> Working station ON the moon is partly "lunatic" thing...
>
>> Coslo reach "edge of space" yet?
>
> The project is moving along. We've had a major change in the payload
>and recovery strategy.

Consult with Bert Rutan's Scaled Composites yet? They make it to
"edge of space," win Big Money. No hot air, all hot shots in
aero things.

>> Above all, let's all do our best to ENTERTAIN MIKEY!
>
>Thank you, I appreciate that. Yer doin' okay.

I don't work for scale, Mikey. Wait til you get BILL! :-(

Contact AFTRA for details.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3329. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 05:57:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119247026.843061.56810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110662

From: bb on Jun 19, 7:05 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> See? It's absurdly simply to toss in just a line, no bait, and
>> I gonna get all kinds of bites. Most will be undersized but I
>> just toss 'em back in... :-)
>
>And hope they grow up.

There's not that much hope in the world, Brian! :-(

Happy back-to-work-on-Monday, everyone...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3330. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 08:05:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119254745.413296.192610@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110663

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> "Box" froze everything. Seafood, runners, etc. Like the
> archaic rules about even older regulations.

Ironic then, Lennie, that YOU are the ONLY one that suggests that
anything stay exactly the same, isn't it?

You SAY you promote change, but haven't got a single thing to
suggest that's CURRENT...Other than your age limit thingie, that is...

Sheeeeeeeeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

3331. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 10:09:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119262186.087066.113210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110664

Leo wrote:
> On 19 Jun 2005 18:58:24 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
> >> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> >> >> >> >> >> >> Seig Heil!!! :-)

> >> >Irrelevant - Len has lost the argument.

> >> Oh. OK then. That matters a lot.

> >Glad you agree!

> >> Guess that makes you 'right', then.

> >Yes, it does.

> That's important!

> >> Len was 'wrong', and you were
> >> 'right'.
> >
> >Yep.
>
> That's important!

> >> Feel better now?
> >
> >Sure. How about you?

> Just fine, thanks! Glad you're feeling better!

I was pretty good before. How about you?

> >> >> >The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1
> >
> >> >> Godwin invoked.
> >
> >> >For what? I did not use Hitler/Nazi references to anyone
> >> >involved in the discussion. I simply stated the fact
> >> >that ol' Adolf was a feldwebel in the German Army in WW1.
> >
> >> I see.
> >
> >> You just felt it necessary to blurt that out, for no reason at > all?
> >
> >Nope. With good reason.
>
> I'm sure that we'd all love to hear your good reason for
> resurrecting
> the work history of the long departed Fuhrer back there, Jim - > please share!

It shows that the word "feldwebel", when it was used in connection with
a specific person, has Godwin connections.

> You of course realize that there is a school of thought that
> invocation of Godwin's Law can be interpreted to include any
> such
> reference to that - um - Teutonic regime of the 1930's and
> 1940's? Especially the Big Guy himself?

Oh sure - but the classic interpretation is that Godwin only
applies when someone refers to another in such terms. Which
I have not done.

That school of thought reminds me of the episode of "Blackadder III" in
which two characters are superstitious about the name of
a particular play by Shakespeare - supposedly, saying the name brings
bad luck. They refer to it as "the Scottish play", and if
someone says the actual name, they have to do an elaborate ritual to
excise the evil spirits.

Of course Blackadder says the name of the play for them at every
opportunity.
>
> Oh - I forgot - you said you use another interpretation of that
> rule.......

The correct one..

> >> >> Not my job to judge that, Jim. That's apparently your role.
> >
> >And apparently your role has become "defender of the Len".
>
> How so? I have neither defended nor attacked Len.

Len can do no wrong by you.

> I simply refuse to
> join you in your obcessive crusade against him.

You can't join what doesn't exist.

> Heh. "Those who ain't with me are agin' me....!"
> - what movie was that from again???

Not a movie - a good description of Len's newsgroup behaviour,
though!
> >>
> >> >In other words, you won't answer the question.
> >>
> >> That is correct - I have no opinion on the subject.
> >
> >That's a contradiction. You just answered the question.
> >
> >"I have no opinion on the subject" is a simple, direct answer.
> >
> >Thanks!
>
> Actually, it is neither an answer nor a refusal to answer. It > is nothing at all.

No, it's a valid answer. Look at the way opinion polls are
usually structured - they often have a six-choice scale, to be applied
to each statement:

Strongly agree
Agree
Neither agree nor disagree (no opinion)
Disagree
Strongly disagree
No answer

Often the last is implied - if the respondent doesn't choose any of the
first five choices, the sixth is applied.

> >> In short, I have
> >> no answer to your (rhetorical) question.
> >
> >Yes, you do! Your answer is that you have no
> >opinion one way or the other.
>
> Heh heh.

Which is a valid answer.
> >
> >Why should I answer the questions of others, when they don't
> >answer mine?
>
> Well, that's a bit childish, but it is Fathers' Day, so I'll
> help you out a bit here.
>
> Because you should!

Why?

> Why should you let the behaviour of others
> negatively influence yours?

It's a question of fairness and equality. Also experience with
what is done with the information provided.

> If Johnny jumped in the mud, would you jump in the mud?

Not a valid analogy. Try this one:

A neighbor is always asking to borrow your tools, but won't lend
you any of his. If you get a tool back, it's dirty, broken or both.
Meanwhile he keeps his tools in perfect condition.

Should you keep lending him your tools?

> >> >> In a fit of pique? As an insult? Forgot, maybe!
> >> >
> >> >None of the above.
> >>
> >> Not true.
> >
> >That claim is incorrect.
>
> I don't think so!

If you know the answer, why ask the question?
>
> >
> >> >> Lid-like behaviour, wouldn't you think?
> >> >
> >> >Not at all.
> >> >
> >> >> >The original meaning of "73" is "a friendly greeting
> >> >> >between operators". In the context of amateur radio,
> >> >> >this means between amateur radio operators.
> >> >>
> >> >> In the words of Hans - thank you, Captain Obvious!
> >> >
> >> >Most people don't know the original meaning.
> >>
> >> In an Amateur Radio newsgroup? Heh heh. OK, Jim - whatever
> >> you say.
> >
> >Did *you* know the original meaning?
>
> I did indeed - it's not exactly a secret.....didn't I quote you
> something from the "92 code" a while back?

You probably got the quote from me!
>
> >> >> >It would be inappropriate to use the greeting to

> >> >> >someone who is not an amateur radio operator.
> >
> >> >> Which I am. And have stated many times before.
> >> >
> >> >And your callsign is?
> >>
> >> Not going to be used in this newsgroup. For reasons explained
> >> earlier.
> >
> >Then there's room for doubt. Perhaps you are an amateur radio
> >operator, perhaps not.
> >
> >> Starts with VE3, though - issued in 2002.
> >
> >Maybe...
>
> There you go again - not believing! :)

Perhaps I should tap my shoes together and say "there's no place like
Ontario"...

> >> >
> >> >> Poor memory? Google 'er up.....
> >> >
> >> >I know what you claimed. But there's no independent
> >> >evidence.
> >>
> >> You claim to be an educated guy, Jim, there isn't much evidence
> >> of that either!
> >
> >Zing! Was that written in a fit of pique? As an insult?
>
> Of course not!

Heh heh.

> Simply an illustration that, in the absence of
> conclusive and irrefutable proof, one has no other means to ascertain
> whether another individual is misrepresenting themselves other than
> the evidence that they present in their posts over a period of time.
>
> So far, we haven't seen much of anything posted that would support
> your claims of post-grad education - no thesis references, no detailed
> insight which would require that level of training, no written
> expressions of advanced theoretical knowledge.

All of which could be ghostwritten or cut-and-pasted from another
source. So they wouldn't be proof anyway.

> A few moderately
> complex calculations, perhaps - some correct, at least one not > by a long shot.

> In short - your word is all we have.

That applies to you as well. I can include "u" in certain words -
doesn't make me Canadian...

> One can choose to doubt anything at all, Jim. You can. I can.
> Anyone can.

We call it "reasonable doubt"...

> But to choose to doubt someone simply because they no longer
> appear to
> agree with you or support your views - doesn't seem particularly
> brainy, now does it?

Nope - but that's not what I'm doing.

73 de Jim, N2EY (I'll believe you're really a VE3)

3332. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 13:37:37 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <3a721a13d8ec99d505e8a269e15bf0ce@paranoici.org>
Source record: 110665

In article <SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
> Nomen Nescio AKA fatboy two-test wogie slobberd over the
keyboard and wrote:

"two-test" "wogie" "slobberd" Butt Cornhoiler the chronic
alcoholic must be posting drunk or just showing off his
illiteracy again!

> > "Stinkyboy?" You8 must be thinking of yourself, colostomy
wearer.
>
> Two-test wogie make good spell. What's 'you8'?

Wipe your grandkids' cum off of your cheapassed keyboard the "8"
key is adjacent to the "u" key, stupid, it looks like a simple
typo, unlike your fucked up spelling of "slobbered" above.

> Drunk at the keyboard
> again wogie?

Butt Cornholer makes good spell ...What's "Two-test" "Wogie"
"slobberd?"
Is your colostomy bag full again? I notice you didn't deny
having to wear one you senile useless old fuck.

> >>Name the (blathering and plain out and out lying about his
non-
> >
> > existent cw skills, sort of like his nonexistent pilot's and
1st
> > class radiotelephone license)
>
> Name the (illierate alcoholic Buzz Cornholer blathers more
lies)

Poor Butt Cornholer, he can't even do 5 wpm, he sure can't pass
the Extra 20 wpm or he would have gotten his Extra Class when it
was 20 wpm if you can do 30 wpm as you "claim". But, like
everything else you claim it is always unsubstantiated.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

Keep flying those model airplanes and dream you actually have a
real "pilot's license" obsolete license BOY........

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in <SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-
rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

3333. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 14:27:13 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d96jo0$16dk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110666

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
<Snippage>

> If you let kids know where the buttons are, they'll push 'em
> constantly.
>
> Speaking of "buttons", it's clear that Len has the most "buttons" of
> all. All anyone has to do is hit his big button (disagree with
> him on the code-test issue) and then Len will respond in a very
> predictable, negative, attacking, insulting way to almost anything the
> person posts. If someone who has hit Len's Big Button dares to point
> out a mistake (even a minor one)

Therein lies the heart of the issue. There are some in here who respond all out of proportion to others missives. We have them on both sides of the Morse/no Morse issue.

Jim, you are obviously not one of them.

At least for me, when someone gets too abusive, I just don't bother to reply. Does that mean they "win"? Only as much as anyone wins one of these protracted whizzin' contests in here.

It's all entertainment. 8^)

- Mike -

3334. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 14:32:24 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d96k1nohs1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110667

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: Mike Coslo on Jun 19, 9:32 pm
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: Mike Coslo on Jun 19, 11:13 am
>>>
>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>
>>>> Bingo!
>>
>>>> We cannot control Len's behavior here.
>>
>> Isn't that so...so..."horrid" (as Dee once put it)? :-)
>>
>> Not at all! I enjoy most of your posts.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, Michael. The "horrid-ness" wasn't about a particular
> person, it was about NOT HAVING CONTROL OVER WHO POSTS!!! :-)
>
> The PCTA desperately want to hang onto "control" of content in
> here, negating any of that heretic talk of (shudder) removing
> the code test! PCTA want everything in here just like ARRL
> approves, like this is just "Newington South." Everybody be
> nice-nice to morsemen, etc.
>
>
>
>>>> We can however, control our own.
>
>
> See? CONTROL! :-)
>

Self control = bad?

>
>>>> I don't know about your mail reader, but mine has a couple levels of
>>>>filtering. I can filter by name, or the easy way is to simply hit "k" at
>>>>the subject line. I never see that subject again.

>>
>>> K
>>
>>Not in the text body, but the subject line.. 8^)
>
>
> 'K
>
>
>
>>> Working station ON the moon is partly "lunatic" thing...
>>
>>> Coslo reach "edge of space" yet?
>>
>> The project is moving along. We've had a major change in the payload
>>and recovery strategy.
>
>
> Consult with Bert Rutan's Scaled Composites yet? They make it to
> "edge of space," win Big Money.

Different experiments. Somehow I suspect I'm a bit too much of a
dilettante' for them! 8^)

> No hot air, all hot shots in aero things.

Nice cool helium!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3335. Re: Happy Father's Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 14:41:42 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050620144142.49E3F1710D@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 110668

In article <1119186847.261156.60110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> A Happy Father's Day to one and all...

Your dead daughter can finally see what a liar you are, Nursie.
Where's all that documentation?

3336. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 15:27:49 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <S4adnaTcBuffdivfRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110669

Anonymous AKA fatboy two-test wogie, Riley's favorite whipping boy wrote:
> In article <SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> keyboard the "8"
> key is adjacent to the "u" key, stupid, it looks like a simple
> typo, unlike your fucked up spelling of "slobbered" above.

If you weren't on that crack all the time you could hit the 'u' key
instead of the '8'.
>
> Is your colostomy bag full again? I notice you didn't deny
> having to wear one you senile useless old fuck.

I don't wear one wogie, but if it did it would be full of you.
Notice you never denied wetting the bed. Poor two-test, wets the bed and
had to retest to keep his license.
>
> Poor Butt Cornholer, he can't even do 5 wpm,
'
Put up or shut up two-test, name the time and frequency. We'll see if
you can even copy 2 wpm.

> he sure can't pass
> the Extra 20 wpm or he would have gotten his Extra Class when it
> was 20 wpm if you can do 30 wpm as you "claim".

Really? I have no need for an extra class, I can work all he stations I
want with the Advanced. The only way you were able to make the Extra is
because it only requires the 5wpm test now, but you can't even copy 2wpm
now. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

Name the time and frequency two-test hide-behind-the-curtains fatboy and
we will see if you can copy any cw.

3337. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 16:14:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119284067.451769.160380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110670

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> <Snippage>

> > If you let kids know where the buttons are, they'll push 'em
> > constantly.

> > Speaking of "buttons", it's clear that Len has the most "buttons" of
> > all. All anyone has to do is hit his big button (disagree with
> > him on the code-test issue) and then Len will respond in a very
> > predictable, negative, attacking, insulting way to almost anything the
> > person posts. If someone who has hit Len's Big Button dares to point
> > out a mistake (even a minor one)

> Therein lies the heart of the issue. There are some in here who respond
> all out of proportion to others missives. We have them on both sides of
> the Morse/no Morse issue.

Yep.

> Jim, you are obviously not one of them.

I hope not!

But it can get a bit odd to point out (in a nice way) that someone has
clearly
misunderstood something in Part 97, or has a couple of relevant facts
wrong,
and then be set upon as if I had desecrated a national monument.

Or to be blamed for what someone else has posted. (KH2D, a PCTA Extra
named
Jim, has obviously offended Len, so he takes it out on me, a PCTA Extra
named
Jim...)

Or to be quoted as writing things I did not write. (N0IMD's misquotes
of what I
wrote about the code test and its incentives for code use).

> At least for me, when someone gets too abusive, I just don't bother to
> reply.

Yep - in some cases I simply stop reading what they write.

> Does that mean they "win"? Only as much as anyone wins one of
> these protracted whizzin' contests in here.

The question comes down to whether or not one should allow an error of
fact
(not opinion) to go uncorrected, or to allow a misquote to go
unanswered, or
to engage in a multiround series of posts correcting the error of fact
or
the misquote.

>
> It's all entertainment. 8^)

ARE YOU BEING ENTERTAINED?!?! (from "Gladiator")

73 de Jim, N2EY

3338. Re: Grown men are running in terror from Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 18:55:16 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <87033917833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 110671
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 19 Jun 2005 20:03:05 -0700, NOVFP Imposter wrote:
> References: <8701784433.245448F8789@tarrnews.net>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.197.141.46
(24-197-141-046.dhcp.spbg.sc.charter.com)

Now whom do we know that lives near Spartanburg, South Carolina?

> hoo is posteing as me i am the ti'me lorde and leve me out of thes

Sigh. Everyone wants to be a Time Lord.

3339. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 19:01:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119294077.954487.263130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110672

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:46

>"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>news:_B4te.1408$ac7.128@news02.roc.ny...

>> Some folks back then wanted the General but settled for a tech license when
>> they couldn't pass muster at 13. Unfortunately, if you got on the air (back
>> when, 2 meters was the novice voice band to try and get more activity on 2
>> meters!) it was possible to *never* work on the code and you were stuck as a
>> tech. Most likely 6 meters was the band of choice as the best front ends
>> might have had a 4.5 dB noise figure on 440 MHz. Even 2 meters wasn't all
>> that busy; Heathkit sixers and twoers were the rigs of the day. I really
>> wan't familiar with any territory above 30 MHz back then.

When is "back then?" And how can an LOS path be "busy" in a
non-urban area? Picture sitting around waiting for ducting or
temperature inversion to reach out beyond 30 to 40 miles...

>> The other choice was to work on your code. The novice license was issued
>> for one year and was not renewable. I took this choice and it took me a
>> while to get my code speed up. When I did take the test and pass 13, I was
>> good for about 18 (which helps when you're nervous and travel 60 miles to
>> take the test administered by the FCC, not a VEC).

So? I traveled 90 miles by train to the Chicago FCC office for
my First 'Phone test. No snow and I kept my shoes on all the way.

>> Of course, there were those that were only interested in VHF and above.

The Army's Project Diana proved the feasibility of moonbounce
right after WW2. 70 to 90 MHz and 250 MHz VHF radio relay was
already operational at that time and capable of working 24/7.
Aircraft radios were already up in VHF via the SCR-522 and the
last versions of the ARC-5 sets. Many, many VHF radios for
vehicular mobile were working away, first for the military during
WW2 and a few police departments before WW2...FM of course. TV
broadcast had already begun right after WW2 on VHF and production
was beginning to ramp up for the virtual explosion in set making
for ordinary consumers. AT&T was planning the microwave links
that would span the country and making the prototypes in the lab.
FM broadcast had already started on low VHF and was reassigned
at double the frequency after WW2. Consumers could get "AM/FM"
table model radios off the shelf. Raytheon near Santa Barbara,
CA, was testing something new called a "Radarange" that would
shortly afterwards evolve into a microwave oven...and would be
sold entire (big mistake financially) to Amana. All that taking

place in the latter half of the 1940s.

USA amateur radio was all about "working DX on HF with CW" at
the same time...real "radio pioneering." Read all about it in
library copies of QST.

>> Moonbounce was just starting to happen and dx records at 1.2 GHz and above
>> were likely measured in miles. Not many. A very low noise pre-amp on 440
>> MHz probably had a 4.5 dB noise figure. Once you headed into microwaves,
>> you simply had a diode mixer front end and not only noise, but signal loss
>> as well. Not at all like today. Most vacuum tubes had interelectrode
>> capacitances and delays in getting electrons across the void that prohibited
>> most from operation much above 500 MHz. There were lighthouse tubes,
>> travelling wave tubes, and others (I had a couple of gizmos that looked like
>> Sputnik. I never knew what they were intended for LOL).

Vacuum tube transit time was an already known phenomenon prior
to WW2. A magnetron was a vacuum diode...it's path from cathode
to plate(s) was MUCH longer than a wavelength at 10 GHz yet it
worked quite well at high-KW power levels. TWTs were a post-WW2
innovation and were already flying in the McDonnell "Quail" decoy
missles in 1958. GE and others were already using inverted
lighthouse 2C39s at 1.8 GHz in 1953 for multichannel radio relay.

>> Funny thing is that if you do get to a reasonable level of code proficiency
>> (as required by the General class and above back when) there was yet another
>> danger: you just might enjoy it :))

The olde-fahrts in ham radio had already decreed that amateurs
had to begin as they did in the 20s and 30s...working to make
sure the rules would make all newcomers do AS THEY HAD TO DO.
"Excellence" in ham radio of the 40s and 50s was radiotelegraphy
on HF...as it is a half century later. [olde-fahrts breed other
olde-fahrts in some strange twist on perverse Darwinism]

Communications carriers were ALREADY ESTABLISHED on HF prior to
WW2, including SSB (but at a wider format of 12 KHz BW for SSB).
The Solar cycle was known as was the ionosphere (courtesy of the
academics, not the amateurs) and all that remained post-WW2 was
to study more intimate details of the ionospheric layers...which
was mapped by commercially-made ionospheric sounders.

Returning veterans were busy at the Legion Halls, telling stories
of radio derring-do "during the WAR" with CW saving the day, etc.
The more alcohol intake, the greater their telegraphic "heroism"
became. Pretty soon they were all "sending intel from behind
enemy lines with CW" until tossed out of the Hall at closing.
That dipshitness kept on with some all the way to 1991, including
one in here whose "son did that in the first Gulf War." BS.

>Yep indeed....it was "up or out". Novice good for 1 year, non renewable
>and can't get it again. Talk about incentive licensing.

INCENTIVE! WORK HARD! SHOW DEDICATION AND COMMITTMENT TO THE
AMATEUR COMMUNITY!!!

For WHAT? A HOBBY? A recreation? A passtime?

Prior to 1956 ANYONE could go to an FCC Field Office and take
a First Class exam for a COMMERCIAL license for WORK. None of
that hoop-jumping of union-enforced "skill levels" and "going
through the ranks" bullshit. For money-paying WORK.

No, the HOBBY rules HAD to be like some union hall or guild or
craftsmanlike apprentice-journeyman-master with CW being the
ultimate "skill." A bunch of olde-fahrt-inspired "rules" of
PRETENSE AT EXPERTISE AS IF THEY WERE "AT WORK." Hobbyists,
making like they were pros. Make-believe.

>A very good friend mine, Ray, K8DEN recently passed away. I am so happy
>he finally was able to get on HF. Thats right as a 5wpm General. He was
>like you said, just could NOT learn the code. Whether physical or mental I
>don't know.

Sorry, that's NOT GOOD ENOUGH. In order to DEDICATION AND
COMMITTMENT TO THE AMATEUR COMMUNITY AND THE "SERVICE" one
MUST show morsemanship of high rates. According to all these
"radio experts" in hamdom, ANYONE CAN LEARN THE CODE!

WORK HARD FOR A HOBBY! Make CW your prime committment in life!

Radiotelegraphy is EVERYTHING in amateur radio!!!

>...then was tough. But it was fun. I had it both ways.

[we aren't interested in your early sex life...]

>It is much easier for the beginning ham now than it was for us Jim. Perhaps
>it is too easy and that is the problem.

AWWWWWWW..... Poor babies...you WORKED SO HARD, ALL THE EFFORT,
SWEAT, STRAIN, STRESS and now nobody respects your mighty
accomplishments of overcoming pre-WW2 standards and practices in
a HOBBY RADIO!!!

I can wear out my ticket punch on all your TS cards, southern-
fried Einstein, but I'll toss 'em right back in your florid face.

Fifty-two years ago I and two other newbies got one afternoon's
"schooling" on QSYing a 1 KW HF transmitter. Do this, do that,
all without having done it before. See MSgt Ouye for manuals on
"how it works." One HF transmitter out of three dozen ranging up
to 15 KW at that time (bigger ones to come). 24/7 operation for
a headquarters command, the Korean War still going. We all
learned that and more, did our thing. No stories, no phony
braggart herioism. This was WORK. Wanna be better? OK, just
grab a TM and study. Nobody gets neat certificates suitable for
framing, no "high scores" in "contests," no "personal callsigns"

to make out like we were "big shots." We just kept the messages going through. 24/7 on HF.

OH! how "tough" you AMATEURS had it! How you must have suffered! Nobody worked as hard for a HOBBY as you mighty morsemen did!

Have you considered Grief Counseling, mighty troubled warrior of the AMATEUR airwaves?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3340. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 19:04:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119294242.357103.216080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110673

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:53

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1119160223.931263.54780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 00:07
>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>>
>>>You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in general,
>>>assuming you have a license that is.
>>
>> Godwin invoked. [:-)]
>>
>> Attitude-wise, Dan = Stebie.
>>
>> ALL shall march to the same drummer, in ranks, with one voice
>> counting cadence as taught in the Church of St. Hiram. NONE
>> shall speak against the Elite, the PCTA Extras.
>>
>> ONE "service," all wearing the same "uniform." Totalitarian.
>
>Hey Lennie....did that beating you took with the lye soap leave any
>permanent scars?

No "beating," no "lye soap," no "scars," you southern-fried
dumbass dipshit.

I never ever suffered something that YOU must have gone through.

>I've figured this guy out folks. He was a barracks lawyer that got caught
>up in several barracks parties. A total loser.

"Loser?" In what? I was in Signal, not Judge Advocate Corps.
A long time ago. Radio communications for a major area
command. Began that as an E-2 no-striper, ended it as an E-5
with three up and one down after three years in last assignment.
Half a century ago. Never had to learn/use radiotelegraphy then
or since in a career in electronics design...nor in electronics
AND radio as a hobby activity.

What am I a "loser" IN, southern-fried dumbass dipshit Dan?
I've got an education via formal schooling and self-study, money
in the bank, house(s) long-ago all paid off, a brand-new car in
the garage since Friday (all paid off fully this Monday) and am
happily married to my high school sweetheart.

Oh, yeah...I'm a "loser" because I don't "respect" and "honor" your amateur radio ethos and mythology about morsemanship? I'm a "loser" because I won't sit back and take your FILTHY comments when you act all "tuff" in words? It's absurdly easy to call others all sorts of filthy names like "dipshit," "dumbass," "putz" and other things. Maybe that's it...I'll have to get all "manly" and start calling others names?

I'm a "loser" because I was interested in ALL radio and did NOT get a ham license first as I "was supposed to?" Must be. I "cheated" and got a COMMERCIAL license FIRST and then went to WORK in the aerospace industry. Yeah, a "loser" because I don't worship at the Church of St. Hiram and tell tales down at the Hall about radio heroism in southern Asia, a war that ENDED THIRTY YEARS AGO.

Yeah, I'm a "loser" because I don't kiss your extra ass and give you TLC when you make all your sob stories about "how tough YOU had it" long ago...? Is that it?

Oh yeah, I'm a "loser" because I just want the government to eliminate the code test for a HOBBY radio license...and watch all those PCTA extra "winners" sit here and call the NCTAs lots of filthy names and make up stories about what I "did."

Dipshit Dan, ordinarily I'd tell you to just "go to hell." I don't need to. You've made it there on your own. Enjoy.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3341. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 19:11:23 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119294683.823534.86620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110674

bb Jun 19, 10:51 pm show options

>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1119140249.328665.67370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>> >> > It's nice to see Miss Manners finally noticing something amiss n the
>> >> > ARS.
>
>> >> "bb" is just full of all sorts of assumptions, ain't he boys and girls?
>
>> >> Using his logic then all us "higher" class operators need to get out of
>> >> EMCOM, eh?
>
>> >> I'll be sure to tell the Extra class Emergency Net Control operator next
>> >> time I see her.
>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>
>> > Dan, you're welcome to tell her anything you want. She's probably
>> > already aware that you usually get it wrong anyway.
>
>> Do you have trouble chewing gum and walking Bryan?
>
>I don't chew gum. I don't smoke, either. Did you have a point?

Probably on his head...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3342. Re: Happy Father's Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 21:38:03 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1119303483.070990.314010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110675

K4YZ wrote:
> A Happy Father's Day to one and all...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Same to you, Steve... and all the rest of the proud dads on the group.

Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI

3343. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 23:02:32 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <psdeb19lkelevj7mti5l443c0bldjt1o4v@4ax.com>
Source record: 110676

On 20 Jun 2005 03:09:46 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 19 Jun 2005 18:58:24 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>> >> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
>
>> >> >>Irrelevant - Len has lost the argument.
>
>> >> Oh. OK then. That matters a lot.
>
>> >Glad you agree!
>
>> >> Guess that makes you 'right', then.
>
>> >Yes, it does.
>
>> That's important!
>
>> >> Len was 'wrong', and you were
>> >> 'right'.
>> >
>> >Yep.
>>
>> That's important!
>
>> >> Feel better now?
>> >

>> >Sure. How about you?
>
>> Just fine, thanks! Glad you're feeling better!
>
>I was pretty good before. How about you?
>
>> >> >> >The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1
>> >
>> >> >> Godwin invoked.
>> >
>> >> >For what? I did not use Hitler/Nazi references to anyone
>> >> >involved in the discussion. I simply stated the fact
>> >> >that ol' Adolf was a feldwebel in the German Army in WW1.
>> >
>> >> I see.
>> >
>> >> You just felt it necessary to blurt that out, for no reason at > all?
>> >
>> >Nope. With good reason.
>>
>> I'm sure that we'd all love to hear your good reason for
>> resurrecting
>> the work history of the long departed Fuhrer back there, Jim - > please share!
>
>It shows that the word "feldwebel", when it was used in connection with
>a specific person, has Godwin connections.

Oh. I see.

I was wondering, because - well, there are a couple of errors with
your statement .

Let's have a look:

1. "The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1".

Well, no. According to several historical references, our friend
Adolf never achieved a rank higher than the equivalent of Lance
Corporal by the end of WW I. Several translation facilities available
on the Web (see below) translate "Feldwebel" to "Sergeant". This was
a rank senior to his.

2. "It shows that the word "Feldwebel", when it was used in connection
with a specific person, has Godwin connections."

Well, no. Even if Mr. Hitler had indeed held that rank in the German
(Bavarian, actually) Army during WW I, that was before the creation of
the Nazi party in 1920. Bu that time, he had left that rank and
entered politics.

All you would have proven was his rank in the Army during WW I - just
like thousands of other soldiers - none of whom attained the level of
notoriety that Adolf did.

Not exactly Godwin invokable stuff at all!

A few references for you:

FELDWEBEL
http://odge.info/german-english/Feldwebel+%7Bm%7D.html
http://www.silentwall.com/LuftwaffePortraits9.html
http://babelfish.altavista.com/

ADOLF
http://www.remember.org/guide/Facts.root.hitler.html
http://www.historyplace.com/worldwar2/riseofhitler/warone.htm

NAZI PARTY
http://mars.acnet.wnec.edu/~grempel/courses/germany/lectures/25naziorigins.html

And, if one of your electives at good ol' Dreidel U was 20th Century
World History, you should give them a call and see if you can still
get your money back!

>
>> You of course realize that there is a school of thought that
>> invocation of Godwin's Law can be interpreted to include any
>> such
>> reference to that - um - Teutonic regime of the 1930's and
>> 1940's? Especially the Big Guy himself?
>
>Oh sure - but the classic interpretation is that Godwin only
>applies when someone refers to another in such terms. Which
>I have not done.

I see...we'll deal with that next!

>
>That school of thought reminds me of the episode of "Blackadder III" in
>which two characters are superstitious about the name of
>a particular play by Shakespeare - supposedly, saying the name brings
>bad luck. They refer to it as "the Scottish play", and if
>someone says the actual name, they have to do an elaborate ritual to
>excise the evil spirits.
>
>Of course Blackadder says the name of the play for them at every
>opportunity.
>>
>> Oh - I forgot - you said you use another interpretation of that
>> rule.......
>
>The correct one..

Well, no. Part of it - but not all. The intent of Godwin's Law was
to provide an upper limit for the length of a Usenet thread - he
theorized that, eventually, someone would make reference to the Nazis,
and that would be that. End of thread.

I pointed out earlier that you really hadn't achieved anything useful
by invoking Godwin, as the arguement would continue - your
response,was that you had "won the arguement" because Len had referred
to the Nazis.

Mr. Godwin would disagree - if the thread continues, then the
invocation of his law has failed.

You can't use the 'correct' version if you don't use it all! :)

>
>> >> >> Not my job to judge that, Jim. That's apparently your role.
>> >
>> >And apparently your role has become "defender of the Len".
>>
>> How so? I have neither defended nor attacked Len.
>
>Len can do no wrong by you.

Well, no. That just ain't so Google back a couple of years ago, and
you'll see that Len and I haven't always been at peace..... :)

Len has done no wrong to me - giving me no reason to do any wrong to
him.

Now, if I was to get on the keyboard and tell him that his
professional knowledge and experience isn't worth anything, or that
acquiring a ham license is better than sex, or that a Ham without
Morse is like a day without sunshine - or worse - rag on him every
chance that I get that he is 'wrong' about something.......well, then
Len and I might have a problem getting along here.

Wonder why that would be? Heh heh heh.

>
>> I simply refuse to
>> join you in your obcessive crusade against him.
>
>You can't join what doesn't exist.

Oh, it's there, all right. You read some of the stuff you write?

Jeez, I'll bet you dream about the guy!

>
>> Heh. "Those who ain't with me are agin' me....!"
>> - what movie was that from again???
>
>Not a movie - a good description of Len's newsgroup behaviour,
>though!

A pretty accurate description of your behaviour too, sadly enough.

>> >>
>> >> >In other words, you won't answer the question.

>> >>
>> >> That is correct - I have no opinion on the subject.
>> >
>> >That's a contradiction. You just answered the question.
>> >
>> >"I have no opinion on the subject" is a simple, direct answer.
>> >
>> >Thanks!
>>
>> Actually, it is neither an answer nor a refusal to answer. It > is nothing at all.
>
>No, it's a valid answer. Look at the way opinion polls are
>usually structured - they often have a six-choice scale, to be applied
>to each statement:
>
>Strongly agree
>Agree
>Neither agree nor disagree (no opinion)
>Disagree
>Strongly disagree
>No answer
>
>Often the last is implied - if the respondent doesn't choose any of the
>first five choices, the sixth is applied.

Oh - I see - it was an opinion poll and not a question. Sorry then -
I thought is was a question! :)

If thaat's true, though - "no answer" is a valid answer - it's right
there on your list. But, you said that I had to have an answer, and
that 'no answer' was not an answer. Waaaah!

I'll pick that one then. No answer.

>
>> >> In short, I have
>> >> no answer to your (rhetorical) question.
>> >
>> >Yes, you do! Your answer is that you have no
>> >opinion one way or the other.
>>
>> Heh heh.
>
>Which is a valid answer.

Heh heh is never a valid answer! :) Heh heh.

>> >
>> >Why should I answer the questions of others, when they don't
>> >answer mine?
>>
>> Well, that's a bit childish, but it is Fathers' Day, so I'll

>> help you out a bit here.
>>
>> Because you should!
>
>Why?

Because I said so! Now go outside and play!

>
>> Why should you let the behaviour of others
>> negatively influence yours?
>
>It's a question of fairness and equality. Also experience with
>what is done with the information provided.
>
>> If Johnny jumped in the mud, would you jump in the mud?
>
>Not a valid analogy. Try this one:
>
>A neighbor is always asking to borrow your tools, but won't lend
>you any of his. If you get a tool back, it's dirty, broken or both.
>Meanwhile he keeps his tools in perfect condition.
>
>Should you keep lending him your tools?

Actually, my analogy was right on the money. exactly two variables
(jump / not jump) just like your option regarding the question (answer
/ don't answer). Yours has a few more variables.

In your example, the correct answer (following your logic) is: Borrow
the neighbour's tools, break them and get them dirty, and give some of
them back. After all, like you said, "It's a question of fairness and
equality. Also experience with what is done with the information
provided."

>
>> >> >> In a fit of pique? As an insult? Forgot, maybe!
>> >> >
>> >> >None of the above.
>> >>
>> >> Not true.
>> >
>> >That claim is incorrect.
>>
>> I don't think so!
>
>If you know the answer, why ask the question?

....now that's one question that you really should have an answer to,
Jim - that's something you do quite frequently?

Or was that another rhetorical question?

>>
>> >
>> >> >> Lid-like behaviour, wouldn't you think?
>> >> >
>> >> >Not at all.

Well, impolite at least....nah, I'll stick with lid-like.

>> >> >
>> >> >> >The original meaning of "73" is "a friendly greeting
>> >> >> >between operators". In the context of amateur radio,
>> >> >> >this means between amateur radio operators.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> In the words of Hans - thank you, Captain Obvious!
>> >> >
>> >> >Most people don't know the original meaning.
>> >>
>> >> In an Amateur Radio newsgroup? Heh heh. OK, Jim - whatever
>> >> you say.
>> >
>> >Did *you* know the original meaning?
>>
>> I did indeed - it's not exactly a secret.....didn't I quote you
>> something from the "92 code" a while back?
>
>You probably got the quote from me!

Well, no. I got it on the Net - from this site:

http://scard.buffnet.net/pages/tele/wurules1866/92code.html

As I recall, it was late last year, when you first began questioning
whether I was really me :)

I sent you ""134, Leo" instead of 73 - a literal Internet-era
translation of which would be "Who is at the key(board)?

> >
>> >> >> >It would be inappropriate to use the greeting to
>> >> >> >someone who is not an amateur radio operator.
>> >
>> >> >> Which I am. And have stated many times before.
>> >> >
>> >> >And your callsign is?
>> >>
>> >> Not going to be used in this newsgroup. For reasons explained
>> >> earlier.
>> >
>> >Then there's room for doubt. Perhaps you are an amateur radio
>> >operator, perhaps not.
>> >
>> >> Starts with VE3, though - issued in 2002.
>> >

>> >Maybe...
>>
>> There you go again - not believing! :)
>
>Perhaps I should tap my shoes together and say "there's no place like
>Ontario"...

Well, if you think it would help.....if you want a VE3 or VA3
licence, you'll need to come here for sure - but I'd try and find a
more efficient method of transportation. That one only worked once -
in 1939 :)

(thinking to self: say, was that an attempt to insult me? nah,
couldn't have been!) LOL!

>
>> >> >
>> >> >> Poor memory? Google 'er up.....
>> >> >
>> >> >I know what you claimed. But there's no independent
>> >> >evidence.
>> >>
>> >> You claim to be an educated guy, Jim, there isn't much evidence
>> >> of that either!
>> >
>> >Zing! Was that written in a fit of pique? As an insult?
>>
>> Of course not!
>
>Heh heh.
>
>> Simply an illustration that, in the absence of
>> conclusive and irrefutable proof, one has no other means to ascertain
>> whether another individual is misrepresenting themselves other than
>> the evidence that they present in their posts over a period of time.
>>
>> So far, we haven't seen much of anything posted that would support
>> your claims of post-grad education - no thesis references, no detailed
>> insight which would require that level of training, no written
>> expressions of advanced theoretical knowledge.
>
>All of which could be ghostwritten or cut-and-pasted from another
>source. So they wouldn't be proof anyway.

...Patent application, published article - nah, you're right - you
can't trust anybody these days....!

>
>> A few moderately
>> complex calculations, perhaps - some correct, at least one not > by a long shot.
>
>> In short - your word is all we have.

>
>That applies to you as well. I can include "u" in certain words -
>doesn't make me Canadian...

True. Were you as adept at the Internet as you are with your radio,
you could trace the message header to my ISP up here - wouldn't prove
my nationality, but it would certainly nail down the geographical
origin of the posts!

>
>> One can choose to doubt anything at all, Jim. You can. I can.
>> Anyone can.
>
>We call it "reasonable doubt"...

Reasonable is judgemental - we just call it "doubt". :)

>
>> But to choose to doubt someone simply because they no longer
>> appear to
>> agree with you or support your views - doesn't seem particularly
>> brainy, now does it?
>
>Nope - but that's not what I'm doing.

Not correct. Again.

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY (I'll believe you're really a VE3)

73, Leo (nothing condescending in my sig! heh heh)

3344. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 23:22:18 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <6jjeb1lae1r983sd45s54jlujorgd8q75s@4ax.com>
Source record: 110677

On Mon, 20 Jun 2005 04:42:31 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>
>> How so? I have neither defended nor attacked Len. I simply refuse to
>> join you in your obcessive crusade against him.
>
>The word is "obsessive". Jim's treatment of Len isn't.

Thanks, Dave. You are correct - my spelling of the word "obsessive"
was incorrect. Appreciate the help!

With regard to your second point, though - 'obsessive' wouldn't refer
to Jim's 'treatment' of Len - it is in the relentless pursuit of
proving the individual wrong that we would find the true definition of
the word.

Thanks anyway, though!

>
>Dave K8MN

73, Leo

3345. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 23:39:43 UTC
From: Louis Tyler <Nospam@anon>
Message-ID: <d97k2o$9mg$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110678

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1119294242.357103.216080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:53

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1119160223.931263.54780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 00:07
>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>>
>>>You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in
general,
>>>assuming you have a license that is.
>>
>> Godwin invoked. [:-)]
>>
>> Attitude-wise, Dan = Stebie.
>>
>> ALL shall march to the same drummer, in ranks, with one voice
>> counting cadence as taught in the Church of St. Hiram. NONE
>> shall speak against the Elite, the PCTA Extras.
>>
>> ONE "service," all wearing the same "uniform." Totalitarian.
>
>Hey Lennie....did that beating you took with the lye soap leave any
>permanent scars?

No "beating," no "lye soap," no "scars," you southern-fried
dumbass dipshit.

I never ever suffered something that YOU must have gone through.

>I've figured this guy out folks. He was a barracks lawyer that got caught
>up in several barracks parties. A total loser.

"Loser?" In what? I was in Signal, not Judge Advocate Corps.
A long time ago. Radio communications for a major area
command. Began that as an E-2 no-striper, ended it as an E-5
with three up and one down after three years in last assignment.
Half a century ago.

<Lennie's angry tirade snipped...>

My, my, Lennie. You are obviously, as evidenced by your tantrum, much more
capable of handing out insults than you are at receiving them.
What ever is the matter, Lennie? Did big, mean and nasty old Southern Fried
Dan, as you put it, hit a soft spot on Poor Lennie's overly inflated

cranium?
Three up and one down? An E-5?? In whose Army?

My, my. That big, nasty old Dan sure got you riled!

WAY TO GO, DAN!!!!

3346. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 23:40:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119310823.723466.320160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110679

Dee Flint wrote:
> All the licensing
> requirements are arbitrary. Every single one of them.

I would use the phrase "only based on FCC's judgement and experience"
rather than "arbitrary", but that's a minor point. Otherwise agree
100%. Not only that, but many if not most of the
rules are only based on FCC's judgement and experience too.

For example, the maximum power an amateur station may use in
the USA is 1500 W peak output. Why 1500 W - why not 1000 W,
or 2000 W, or something else? Why not any power level that an
amateur can put on the air and still meet RF exposure and spurious
emission rules?

> Tthere are several radio services for
> which no testing is required. So if some services do not need > testing, then it is
arbitrary for those that do. However the
> goals and purposes of
> amateur radio make it desireable to test candidates for these
> licenses.

And those goals and purposes are based on FCC's judgement and
experience as well. FCC could, if they wanted, simply define
amateur radio as "hobby radio", but they haven't done so.

> > If you wish to discontinue healthy, legitimate discourse with > > respect
> > to amateur policy, I understand. It is not for the faint of > > heart.
> >
> > Best of Luck, Brian

> The problem with the Morse discussion is that every possible
> conceivable
> argument on either side has been aired dozens, if not hundreds, > of times.
> It is not healthy to continue discussing this policy issue. No > new data
> comes to light. No new rational has come up. There's no point > > in rehashing the same
issues.

I disagree!

There's always the possibility that some new idea, argument, or
information will result from a discussion. Even the passage of time
gives new insights.

For example, the 2000 restructuring that reduced both code and
written testing did not result in sustained growth of the number
of US hams. We saw a small rise for a few years, but since April 2003

or so the numbers have been in a slow decline. This data clearly
indicates that the license test requirements aren't the
limiting factor to longterm growth.

> Sooner or later the FCC will rule and we'll all have to
> live with the consequences good or bad.

Yep. But until they do, we can refine and develop our
arguments on both sides.

As for it being unhealthy to discuss, I'd say that as long as
the discussion remains at a civil level, without misquotes and
personal attacks, it's healthy.
>
> If the result is as the NCTA state that it will be, i.e. a big > wave of new
> hams plus a big wave of hams upgrading and getting on HF, just > watch the DX
> stations, especially the rare ones, hide down on CW even more
> than they are now.

That's one big reason we have subbands-by-mode.

> If you exclude Japan, the US has more amateur radio operators
> than the
> rest of the world combined. If the bands get as busy as the
> NCTAs imply
> they will from this rush of new and upgrading hams, a lot of us > will be drifting even
more to CW just to find some room.

Or the data modes.
>
> On the other hand, if the PCTAs are correct, i.e. the impact
> will be
> insignificant just as other changes of the recent past have
> been, then there
> is NO reason to change the requirements. Changes that have
> little to no
> noticeable impact aren't worth the bother of implementing.

That's true. But there are other factors:

- Reducing the license requirements still further may have negative
effects.

- If there's no real effect, the solution obviously lies elsewhere. But
some may not want to accept that fact.

- Once the requirements are reduced, it may be near-impossible
to get them raised back up.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3347. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 23:40:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <StIte.8117$eM6.6909@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110680

Yep......he had his ass beat in a barracks party, more than one I am sure.

Lennie the loser.

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1119294242.357103.216080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:53
>
>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>news:1119160223.931263.54780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 00:07
>>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>>>
>>>>You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in
>>>>general,
>>>>assuming you have a license that is.
>>>
>>> Godwin invoked. [:-)]
>>>
>>> Attitude-wise, Dan = Stebie.
>>>
>>> ALL shall march to the same drummer, in ranks, with one voice
>>> counting cadence as taught in the Church of St. Hiram. NONE
>>> shall speak against the Elite, the PCTA Extras.
>>>
>>> ONE "service," all wearing the same "uniform." Totalitarian.
>>
>>Hey Lennie....did that beating you took with the lye soap leave any
>>permanent scars?
>
> No "beating," no "lye soap," no "scars," you southern-fried
> dumbass dipshit.
>
> I never ever suffered something that YOU must have gone through.
>
>>I've figured this guy out folks. He was a barracks lawyer that got caught
>>up in several barracks parties. A total loser.
>
> "Loser?" In what? I was in Signal, not Judge Advocate Corps.
> A long time ago. Radio communications for a major area
> command. Began that as an E-2 no-striper, ended it as an E-5
> with three up and one down after three years in last assignment.
> Half a century ago. Never had to learn/use radiotelegraphy then

> or since in a career in electronics design...nor in electronics
> AND radio as a hobby activity.
>
> What am I a "loser" IN, southern-fried dumbass dipshit Dan?
> I've got an education via formal schooling and self-study, money
> in the bank, house(s) long-ago all paid off, a brand-new car in
> the garage since Friday (all paid off fully this Monday) and am
> happily married to my high school sweetheart.
>
> Oh, yeah...I'm a "loser" because I don't "respect" and "honor"
> your amateur radio ethos and mythology about morsemanship? I'm a
> "loser" because I won't sit back and take your FILTHY comments
> when you act all "tuff" in words? It's absurdly easy to call
> others all sorts of filthy names like "dipshit," "dumbass,"
> "putz" and other things. Maybe that's it...I'll have to get
> all "manly" and start calling others names?
>
> I'm a "loser" because I was interested in ALL radio and did NOT
> get a ham license first as I "was supposed to?" Must be. I
> "cheated" and got a COMMERCIAL license FIRST and then went to
> WORK in the aerospace industry. Yeah, a "loser" because I don't
> worship at the Church of St. Hiram and tell tales down at the
> Hall about radio heroism in southern Asia, a war that ENDED
> THIRTY YEARS AGO.
>
> Yeah, I'm a "loser" because I don't kiss your extra ass and
> give you TLC when you make all your sob stories about "how
> tough YOU had it" long ago...? Is that it?
>
> Oh yeah, I'm a "loser" because I just want the government to
> eliminate the code test for a HOBBY radio license...and watch
> all those PCTA extra "winners" sit here and call the NCTAs lots
> of filthy names and make up stories about what I "did."
>
> Dipshit Dan, ordinarily I'd tell you to just "go to hell."
> I don't need to. You've made it there on your own. Enjoy.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

3348. RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-20 23:57:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7KIte.73$Ue1.10098838@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110681

http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2004/04/01/1/

John

3349. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 01:03:54 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <_HJte.1262$yg4.50@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110682

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:7KIte.73$Ue1.10098838@news.sisna.com...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2004/04/01/1/
>
> John
>
>

Hello, John

I passed my amateur extra, 1st phone, and 2nd telegraph in 1966. After letting all my tickets expire, I simply re-tested and passed with no study my amateur extra (20 wpm) in 1993 as well as my GROL (which is considerably simpler than the old 1st phone).

I have worked with a lot of surface mount technology, computers, programming languages, and still know pi to 100 places.

What amazes me is that folks think that if you have an education that is 15 years old or more or possess a license issued more than 15 years ago, then you can't possibly be up-to-date.

Sounds like you've got earlyheimers disease to me :))

With all due regard from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3350. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 01:21:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <JYJte.86$473.12158027@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110683

Jim:

I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like me. Remember when your were 21? And how back then 50 year old people looked like they had one foot in the grave? How 60 year old people looked as if they were preserved in formaldehyde? And, were just about as interesting to chat with as window drapes?

Now that you are old--suddenly you got blind?

I am 55 and people even 65 are about as interesting as rocks--there is that kind of difference which occurred in the 60's and has been occurring ever since...

Get real--the only reason some old geezer type will have a younger woman on his arm is for the money....

You can extrapolate on that to figure out how it applies here at amateur radio...

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:_HJte.1262$yg4.50@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:7KIte.73$Ue1.10098838@news.sisna.com...
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2004/04/01/1/
>>
>> John
>>
>>
>
> Hello, John
>
> I passed my amateur extra, 1st phone, and 2nd telegraph in 1966.
> After
> letting all my tickets expire, I simply re-tested and passed with no
> study
> my amateur extra (20 wpm) in 1993 as well as my GROL (which is
> considerably
> simpler than the old 1st phone).
>
> I have worked with a lot of surface mount technology, computers,
> programming
> languages, and still know pi to 100 places.

>
> What amazes me is that folks think that if you have an education that
> is 15
> years old or more or possess a license issued more than 15 years ago,
> then
> you can't possibly be up-to-date.
>
> Sounds like you've got earlyheimers disease to me :))
>
>
> With all due regard from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>

3351. A few realize there is a problem...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 01:57:06 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <VtKte.87$hW3.12533917@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110684

http://www.eham.net/articles/9587

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:JYJte.86$473.12158027@news.sisna.com...
> Jim:
>
> I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like
> me. Remember when your were 21? And how back then 50 year old people
> looked like they had one foot in the grave? How 60 year old people
> looked as if they were preserved in formaldehyde? And, were just
> about as interesting to chat with as window drapes?
>
> Now that you are old--suddenly you got blind?
>
> I am 55 and people even 65 are about as interesting as rocks--there is
> that kind of difference which occurred in the 60's and has been
> occurring ever since...
>
> Get real--the only reason some old geezer type will have a younger
> woman on his arm is for the money....
>
> You can extrapolate on that to figure out how it applies here at
> amateur radio...
>
> John
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:_HJte.1262$yg4.50@news01.roc.ny...
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:7KIte.73$Ue1.10098838@news.sisna.com...
>>> http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2004/04/01/1/
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Hello, John
>>
>> I passed my amateur extra, 1st phone, and 2nd telegraph in 1966.
>> After
>> letting all my tickets expire, I simply re-tested and passed with no
>> study

>> my amateur extra (20 wpm) in 1993 as well as my GROL (which is
>> considerably
>> simpler than the old 1st phone).
>>
>> I have worked with a lot of surface mount technology, computers,
>> programming
>> languages, and still know pi to 100 places.
>>
>> What amazes me is that folks think that if you have an education that
>> is 15
>> years old or more or possess a license issued more than 15 years ago,
>> then
>> you can't possibly be up-to-date.
>>
>> Sounds like you've got earlyheimers disease to me :))
>>
>>
>> With all due regard from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

3352. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 02:16:38 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <etGdnUhp_bTKHirfRVn-uQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110685

John Smith wrote:
> Jim:
>
> I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like me.
> Remember when your were 21? And how back then 50 year old people looked
> like they had one foot in the grave? How 60 year old people looked as
> if they were preserved in formaldehyde? And, were just about as
> interesting to chat with as window drapes?

>
> Now that you are old--suddenly you got blind?
>
> I am 55 and people even 65 are about as interesting as rocks--

If your views weren't so narrow, you might find what those 60 somethings
have to say is interesting. I suppose that when you get to be 60+ you
will of course be absolutely enchanting.

3353. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 03:41:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <b0Mte.90$Rn5.13700251@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110690

I suppose everyone has something to say...

We are experiencing a time in our history where people are living a lot longer than they ever used to--and in better health.

This is causing a problem in how to get them moved aside so younger and more capable minds can grab the reins. However, those grizzled old hands hands have a death-grip while the buggy heads for the cliff...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message news:etGdnUhp_bTKHirfRVn-uQ@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Jim:
>>
>> I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like
>> me. Remember when your were 21? And how back then 50 year old people
>> looked like they had one foot in the grave? How 60 year old people
>> looked as if they were preserved in formaldehyde? And, were just
>> about as interesting to chat with as window drapes?
>
>>
>> Now that you are old--suddenly you got blind?
>>
>> I am 55 and people even 65 are about as interesting as rocks--
>
> If your views weren't so narrow, you might find what those 60
> somethings have to say is interesting. I suppose that when you get to
> be 60+ you will of course be absolutely enchanting.

3354. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 03:52:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <W9Mte.6860$hK3.2565@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110686

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

> I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like me.

My impression is that hams are getting younger all the time. Couple years ago
most hams were older than I, now seems most are younger than I am.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3355. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on Todd's irrational behaviour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 04:00:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1119326429.716671.129790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110687

K4YZ wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
> > George Orwell wrote:
> >
> > > If anyone ever harbored the illusion that Robeson had any
> > > honor, they should know that Todd has been kicked off his
> > > ISP because of lies that Robeson emailed to them.
> > >
> > > Just remember, low-life Robeson: What goes around comes around.
> > >
> > > What a piece of work you are.
> > >
> >
> > And you know this because? Toad bragged that his ISP told him to not
> > come on the news groups but he refused to respect the ISP's authority.
> > If Steve snitched toad out, then that is wrong, but you just saying it
> > doesn't make it true!
>
> I didn't "snitch toad out" for his newsgroup activities other than
> his threats and profanities which I received via e-mail. Not all of
> the stupid letters I received were via his blog. The headers tell the
> tale and THAT'S what got him into trouble.
>

First off steve, those letters WERE going through my blog and some one
was posting my email on it. the headers even state it. As I stated
before those were fake.

> As for the suggestion that Todd was not responsible for the
> threatening letters, I look at it this way...Even if he didn't send
> some of them, he encouraged and incited others via his blog to act
> irresponsibly.
>
I don't control the people who vist my site, and my view is that ehat
you did was wrong, if you didn't like what I said on here then you
should of complained to googles, because as you know that's who I am
using. what you and your little buddies on here did was restrict my
free speech. Everyone has the right to free speech, but you don't have
the right to be heard, right? however the federal courts had ruled in
the past that it's only is true provided that it doesn't completely
restrict the person conducting the speech. There were three alteratives
that you could of done, the first simply don't look at my post. I know
many people on here that don't look at my comments and simply put you

could of done the same. Second is complain to googles because like I said you know that is the service I am using. and finally complain to the ISP. only after the two has been used up should you and your friends done the complaining on the Internet. My blog is my thought hence, the address n9oglvoice. if you don't like what I have to say there quit coming since I was kicked off you and commander cornhole has visited my site repeatly. As you've stated this is an open forum, and you are not the moderator nor due you own this newsgroup. AS for the email's, emails can be faked, of course a newbie like yourself wouldn't understand it. the first thing that tip me off they were fake was the fact that in the IP there was a question mark, and secondly although it is a "consolidated communication telephone" IP address it was not mine, but, again someone who has no real skill in figuring that out would believe it to be real.

> I asked him repeatedly to stop using my name and callsign on his
> blog, and he just repsonded with more threats and profanities.
>

I have NEVER used you callsign on my blog, anyone can sign in as whatever they want. Nor have I threaten you, as for profanities on here or on my blog like I said above you could of simply ignored it....if you want clean talk I know some religious sites that would love to have you.....you see your forgetting this is the internet, I know sites where they say things ten times worse then what I've said.....if you want a clean communication go back to radio....radio and the internet as I've stated before are two different forms of communication and different sets of rules.

> Also, I am obviously NOT the only person who's been busting Todd's
> chops with his ISP.
>
and it will be funny when they have to answer to the FCC since they are not only an internet service provider but they are also the phone company who controls the broadband in this town.

> He played with fire...He got burnt...Oh well...
>
there is an old saying steve, what goes around comes around.....your day will come.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3356. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 04:10:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <VqMte.100$P%5.14399652@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110689

So...

Maybe soon you will be the oldest ham alive?

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:W9Mte.6860$hK3.2565@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>> I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like
>> me.
>
> My impression is that hams are getting younger all the time. Couple
> years ago most hams were older than I, now seems most are younger than
> I am.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3357. Re: K1MAN The crap has hit the fan.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 04:28:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JHMte.6864$hK3.4031@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110688

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> He just didn't get it...Even when the news was delivered by
> Certified Mail...
>

Is it 3:00PM yet?

3358. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 09:49:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119347340.524147.110310@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110691

Leo wrote:
> On 20 Jun 2005 03:09:46 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 19 Jun 2005 18:58:24 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
> >> >
> >> >> >> >Irrelevant - Len has lost the argument.
> >> >
> >> >> >> Oh. OK then. That matters a lot.
> >> >
> >> >> >Glad you agree!
> >> >
> >> >> >> Guess that makes you 'right', then.
> >> >
> >> >> >Yes, it does.
> >> >
> >> >> That's important!
> >> >
> >> >> >> Len was 'wrong', and you were
> >> >> >> 'right'.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Yep.
> >> >>
> >> >> That's important!
> >> >
> >> >> >> Feel better now?

> >> >
> >> >Sure. How about you?
> >
> >> Just fine, thanks! Glad you're feeling better!
> >
> >I was pretty good before. How about you?
> >
> >> >> >> >The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1
> >> >
> >> >> >> Godwin invoked.
> >> >
> >> >> >For what? I did not use Hitler/Nazi references to anyone
> >> >> >involved in the discussion. I simply stated the fact
> >> >> >that ol' Adolf was a feldwebel in the German Army in WW1.
> >> >
> >> >> I see.
> >> >
> >> >> You just felt it necessary to blurt that out, for no reason at > all?
> >> >
> >> >Nope. With good reason.
> >>
> >> I'm sure that we'd all love to hear your good reason for
> >> resurrecting
> >> the work history of the long departed Fuhrer back there, Jim - > please share!
> >
> >It shows that the word "feldwebel", when it was used in connection with
> >a specific person, has Godwin connections.
>
> Oh. I see.
>
> I was wondering, because - well, there are a couple of errors with
> your statement .
>
> Let's have a look:
>
> 1. "The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1".
>
> Well, no. According to several historical references, our friend
> Adolf never achieved a rank higher than the equivalent of Lance
> Corporal by the end of WW I. Several translation facilities available
> on the Web (see below) translate "Feldwebel" to "Sergeant". This was
> a rank senior to his.

Other references refer to him as "feldwebel" as in "feldwebel
schikelgruber. However, it appears that, in fact, he never actually
held that rank.

So it comes down to whether the original writer of the sentence "shut
the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel" knew those facts or not.
>
> 2. "It shows that the word "Feldwebel", when it was used in connection

> with a specific person, has Godwin connections."
>
> Well, no. Even if Mr. Hitler had indeed held that rank in the German
> (Bavarian, actually) Army during WW I, that was before the creation of
> the Nazi party in 1920. Bu that time, he had left that rank and
> entered politics.

Not at all. Some people are still addressed by their rank long after
their
military service is done, such as "Captain" Peacock and "General"
Sarnoff.

>
> All you would have proven was his rank in the Army during WW I - just
> like thousands of other soldiers - none of whom attained the level of
> notoriety that Adolf did.
>
> Not exactly Godwin invokable stuff at all!
>
> A few references for you:
>
> FELDWEBEL
> http://odge.info/german-english/Feldwebel+%7Bm%7D.html
> http://www.silentwall.com/LuftwaffePortraits9.html
> http://babelfish.altavista.com/
>
> ADOLF
> http://www.remember.org/guide/Facts.root.hitler.html
> http://www.historyplace.com/worldwar2/riseofhitler/warone.htm
>
> NAZI PARTY
> http://mars.acnet.wnec.edu/~grempel/courses/germany/lectures/25naziorigins.html
>
> And, if one of your electives at good ol' Dreidel U was 20th Century
> World History, you should give them a call and see if you can still
> get your money back!
>

Dreidel U? Where's that?

I didn't take any 20th Century World History courses.
> >
> >> You of course realize that there is a school of thought that
> >> invocation of Godwin's Law can be interpreted to include any
> >> such
> >> reference to that - um - Teutonic regime of the 1930's and
> >> 1940's? Especially the Big Guy himself?
> >
> >Oh sure - but the classic interpretation is that Godwin only
> >applies when someone refers to another in such terms. Which
> >I have not done.

>
> I see...we'll deal with that next!
>
> >
> >That school of thought reminds me of the episode of "Blackadder III" in
> >which two characters are superstitious about the name of
> >a particular play by Shakespeare - supposedly, saying the name brings
> >bad luck. They refer to it as "the Scottish play", and if
> >someone says the actual name, they have to do an elaborate ritual to
> >excise the evil spirits.
> >
> >Of course Blackadder says the name of the play for them at every
> >opportunity.
> >>
> >> Oh - I forgot - you said you use another interpretation of that
> >> rule.......
> >
> >The correct one..
>
> Well, no.

Well, yes.

> Part of it - but not all. The intent of Godwin's Law was
> to provide an upper limit for the length of a Usenet thread - he
> theorized that, eventually, someone would make reference to the Nazis,
> and that would be that. End of thread.

That may have been the original intent, but it doesn't usually work
that
way.

>
> I pointed out earlier that you really hadn't achieved anything useful
> by invoking Godwin, as the arguement would continue - your
> response,was that you had "won the arguement" because Len had referred
> to the Nazis.
>
And I did.

> Mr. Godwin would disagree - if the thread continues, then the
> invocation of his law has failed.

Do you know Mr. Godwin?

> You can't use the 'correct' version if you don't use it all! :)
>
> >
> >> >> >> Not my job to judge that, Jim. That's apparently your role.
> >> >
> >> >And apparently your role has become "defender of the Len".
> >>

> >> How so? I have neither defended nor attacked Len.
> >
> >Len can do no wrong by you.
>
> Well, no. That just ain't so Google back a couple of years ago, and
> you'll see that Len and I haven't always been at peace..... :)
>
> Len has done no wrong to me

Not "to" you - "by" you. Different thing entirely.

>- giving me no reason to do any wrong to
> him.
>
> Now, if I was to get on the keyboard and tell him that his
> professional knowledge and experience isn't worth anything, or that
> acquiring a ham license is better than sex, or that a Ham without
> Morse is like a day without sunshine - or worse - rag on him every
> chance that I get that he is 'wrong' about something.......well, then
> Len and I might have a problem getting along here.

You don't need to do all that. I haven't done any of it.

All you have to do is disagree with him about the Morse Code test,
defend
that opinion, and then point out an incosistency or two in his
postings.
>
> Wonder why that would be? Heh heh heh.

> >> I simply refuse to
> >> join you in your obcessive crusade against him.
> >
> >You can't join what doesn't exist.
>
> Oh, it's there, all right. You read some of the stuff you write?

I read all of it. Do you read the responses I get from Len?

> Jeez, I'll bet you dream about the guy!

Nope.

> >> Heh. "Those who ain't with me are agin' me....!"
> >> - what movie was that from again???
> >
> >Not a movie - a good description of Len's newsgroup behaviour,
> >though!
>
> A pretty accurate description of your behaviour too, sadly enough.

That claim is incorrect. Unlike Len, I have many civil, uninsulting
discussions here with those who disagree with me on a variety of

issues,
including the Morse Code test. Google up any exchange between N2EY and
K2UNK,
for example.

> >> >> >In other words, you won't answer the question.
> >> >>
> >> >> That is correct - I have no opinion on the subject.
> >> >
> >> >That's a contradiction. You just answered the question.
> >> >
> >> >"I have no opinion on the subject" is a simple, direct answer.
> >> >
> >> >Thanks!
> >>
> >> Actually, it is neither an answer nor a refusal to answer. It > is nothing at all.
> >
> >No, it's a valid answer. Look at the way opinion polls are
> >usually structured - they often have a six-choice scale, to be applied
> >to each statement:
> >
> >Strongly agree
> >Agree
> >Neither agree nor disagree (no opinion)
> >Disagree
> >Strongly disagree
> >No answer
> >
> >Often the last is implied - if the respondent doesn't choose any of the
> >first five choices, the sixth is applied.
>
> Oh - I see - it was an opinion poll and not a question. Sorry then -
> I thought is was a question! :)

It's a question.
>
> If thaat's true, though - "no answer" is a valid answer - it's right
> there on your list. But, you said that I had to have an answer, and
> that 'no answer' was not an answer. Waaaah!
>
> I'll pick that one then. No answer.
>
> >
> >> >> In short, I have
> >> >> no answer to your (rhetorical) question.
> >> >
> >> >Yes, you do! Your answer is that you have no
> >> >opinion one way or the other.
> >>
> >> Heh heh.
> >

> >Which is a valid answer.
>
> Heh heh is never a valid answer! :) Heh heh.
>
> >> >
> >> >Why should I answer the questions of others, when they don't
> >> >answer mine?
> >>
> >> Well, that's a bit childish, but it is Fathers' Day, so I'll
> >> help you out a bit here.
> >>
> >> Because you should!
> >
> >Why?
>
> Because I said so! Now go outside and play!

Hehheh

> >> Why should you let the behaviour of others
> >> negatively influence yours?
> >
> >It's a question of fairness and equality. Also experience with
> >what is done with the information provided.
> >
> >> If Johnny jumped in the mud, would you jump in the mud?
> >
> >Not a valid analogy. Try this one:
> >
> >A neighbor is always asking to borrow your tools, but won't lend
> >you any of his. If you get a tool back, it's dirty, broken or both.
> >Meanwhile he keeps his tools in perfect condition.
> >
> >Should you keep lending him your tools?
>
> Actually, my analogy was right on the money. exactly two variables
> (jump / not jump) just like your option regarding the question (answer
> / don't answer). Yours has a few more variables.

The number of variables is irrelevant in this case.

> In your example, the correct answer (following your logic) is: Borrow
> the neighbour's tools, break them and get them dirty, and give some of
> them back.

Nope.

The question was whether to keep lending him your tools (yes/no).

Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
of his, so your solution is not feasible.

You didn't fully understand the analogy.

> After all, like you said, "It's a question of fairness and
> equality. Also experience with what is done with the information
> provided."
Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
of his, so your solution is not feasible.

>
> >
> >> >> >> In a fit of pique? As an insult? Forgot, maybe!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >None of the above.
> >> >>
> >> >> Not true.
> >> >
> >> >That claim is incorrect.
> >>
> >> I don't think so!
> >
> >If you know the answer, why ask the question?
>
> ....now that's one question that you really should have an answer to,
> Jim - that's something you do quite frequently?
>
> Or was that another rhetorical question?
>
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> >> Lid-like behaviour, wouldn't you think?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Not at all.
>
> Well, impolite at least....nah, I'll stick with lid-like.
>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >The original meaning of "73" is "a friendly greeting
> >> >> >> >between operators". In the context of amateur radio,
> >> >> >> >this means between amateur radio operators.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> In the words of Hans - thank you, Captain Obvious!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Most people don't know the original meaning.
> >> >>
> >> >> In an Amateur Radio newsgroup? Heh heh. OK, Jim - whatever
> >> >> you say.
> >> >
> >> >Did *you* know the original meaning?
> >>
> >> I did indeed - it's not exactly a secret.....didn't I quote you
> >> something from the "92 code" a while back?
> >

> >You probably got the quote from me!
>
> Well, no. I got it on the Net - from this site:
>
> http://scard.buffnet.net/pages/tele/wurules1866/92code.html
>
> As I recall, it was late last year, when you first began questioning
> whether I was really me :)
>
> I sent you ""134, Leo" instead of 73 - a literal Internet-era
> translation of which would be "Who is at the key(board)?
>
> > >
> >> >> >> >It would be inappropriate to use the greeting to
> >> >> >> >someone who is not an amateur radio operator.
> >> >
> >> >> >> Which I am. And have stated many times before.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >And your callsign is?
> >> >>
> >> >> Not going to be used in this newsgroup. For reasons explained
> >> >> earlier.
> >> >
> >> >Then there's room for doubt. Perhaps you are an amateur radio
> >> >operator, perhaps not.
> >> >
> >> >> Starts with VE3, though - issued in 2002.
> >> >
> >> >Maybe...
> >>
> >> There you go again - not believing! :)
> >
> >Perhaps I should tap my shoes together and say "there's no place like
> >Ontario"...
>
> Well, if you think it would help.....if you want a VE3 or VA3
> licence, you'll need to come here for sure - but I'd try and find a
> more efficient method of transportation. That one only worked once -
> in 1939 :)
>
> (thinking to self: say, was that an attempt to insult me? nah,
> couldn't have been!) LOL!
>
> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Poor memory? Google 'er up.....
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I know what you claimed. But there's no independent
> >> >> >evidence.
> >> >>

> >> >> You claim to be an educated guy, Jim, there isn't much evidence
> >> >> of that either!
> >> >
> >> >Zing! Was that written in a fit of pique? As an insult?
> >>
> >> Of course not!
> >
> >Heh heh.
> >
> >> Simply an illustration that, in the absence of
> >> conclusive and irrefutable proof, one has no other means to ascertain
> >> whether another individual is misrepresenting themselves other than
> >> the evidence that they present in their posts over a period of time.
> >>
> >> So far, we haven't seen much of anything posted that would support
> >> your claims of post-grad education - no thesis references, no detailed
> >> insight which would require that level of training, no written
> >> expressions of advanced theoretical knowledge.
> >
> >All of which could be ghostwritten or cut-and-pasted from another
> >source. So they wouldn't be proof anyway.
>
> ...Patent application, published article - nah, you're right - you
> can't trust anybody these days....!

>
> >
> >> A few moderately
> >> complex calculations, perhaps - some correct, at least one not > by a long shot.
> >
> >> In short - your word is all we have.
> >
> >That applies to you as well. I can include "u" in certain words -
> >doesn't make me Canadian...
>
> True. Were you as adept at the Internet as you are with your radio,
> you could trace the message header to my ISP up here - wouldn't prove
> my nationality, but it would certainly nail down the geographical
> origin of the posts!

Which proves nothing, since they could be remailed from that location.
Easy to do.

>
> >
> >> One can choose to doubt anything at all, Jim. You can. I can.
> >> Anyone can.
> >
> >We call it "reasonable doubt"...
>
> Reasonable is judgemental - we just call it "doubt". :)

>
> >
> >> But to choose to doubt someone simply because they no longer
> >> appear to
> >> agree with you or support your views - doesn't seem particularly
> >> brainy, now does it?
> >
> >Nope - but that's not what I'm doing.
>
> Not correct. Again.

Your claim is incorrect.
>
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY (I'll believe you're really a VE3)
>
> 73, Leo (nothing condescending in my sig! heh heh)

73 de Jim, N2EY (I'll believe you're really a VE3)

3359. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 10:15:51 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119348951.756300.99010@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110692

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:46
>
>
> >"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> >news:_B4te.1408$ac7.128@news02.roc.ny...
>
> >> Some folks back then wanted the General but settled for a tech license when
> >> they couldn't pass muster at 13. Unfortunately, if you got on the air (back
> >> when, 2 meters was the novice voice band to try and get more activity on 2
> >> meters!) it was possible to *never* work on the code and you were stuck as a
> >> tech. Most likely 6 meters was the band of choice as the best front ends
> >> might have had a 4.5 dB noise figure on 440 MHz. Even 2 meters wasn't all
> >> that busy; Heathkit sixers and twoers were the rigs of the day. I really
> >> wan't familiar with any territory above 30 MHz back then.
>
> When is "back then?" And how can an LOS path be "busy" in a
> non-urban area? Picture sitting around waiting for ducting or
> temperature inversion to reach out beyond 30 to 40 miles...

The twoers and sixers were rock-bound. Anyway, he said that he wasn't
familiar with VHF.

> >> The other choice was to work on your code. The novice license was issued
> >> for one year and was not renewable. I took this choice and it took me a
> >> while to get my code speed up. When I did take the test and pass 13, I was
> >> good for about 18 (which helps when you're nervous and travel 60 miles to
> >> take the test administered by the FCC, not a VEC).
>
> So? I traveled 90 miles by train to the Chicago FCC office for
> my First 'Phone test. No snow and I kept my shoes on all the way.

Aha! Gotcha!

You forgot to mention the changes in elevation over changes in
distance!!!

3360. Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on Todd's irrational behaviour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 10:16:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119348964.691868.115100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110693

QUOTE:

First off steve, those letters WERE going through my blog and some one was posting my email on it. the headers even state it. As I stated before those were fake.

UNQUOTE

And like >>I<< told >>YOU<< those "letters" were allowed to happen because YOU wouldn't exercise some control over your blog. "Freedom of speech", you kept harping.

Whelp, Todd, there are RESPONSIBILITIES that come with our freedoms, and making sure that others don't take advantage of them is one of them.

QUOTE

I don't control the people who vist my site, and my view is that ehat you did was wrong, if you didn't like what I said on here then you should of complained to googles, because as you know that's who I am using.

UNQUOTE

Oh, but I >>DID<< talk to Google, Todd...THEIR response was that it was YOUR resposibility as "site owner" to do smething about it...Just like I told you it was.

QUOTE

what you and your little buddies on here did was restrict my free speech.

UNQUOTE

No...what I did was exercise MY rights to file a complaint.

EVERY TIME you posted a new post calling me a MF or AH or other such profane adjective, I had the legal RIGHT to file a complaint, and that's exactly what I did.

You got to have your "say", and it was all obscene and offensive. I had MY say and it was "I'm not going to take this".

What happened "happened" because I was right and had "my ducks in a row".

QUOTE

Everyone has the right to free speech, but you don't have
the right to be heard, right?

UNQUOTE

You have every right to be heard...You do NOT have the right to
publically insult, demean or otherwise overtly offend people after they
tell you that they are offended by your behaviour.

QUOTE

however the federal courts had ruled in
the past that it's only is true provided that it doesn't completely
restrict the person conducting the speech. There were three alteratives

that you could of done, the first simply don't look at my post.

UNQUOTE

Not looking at your post in a public forum is like trying to avoid the
smell of a dead skunk, Todd...It's right there in front of you.

QUOTE

Second is complain to googles because like I
said you know that is the service I am using.

UNQUOTE

Did it. They said you were responsibile.

QUOTE

and finally complain to
the ISP. only after the two has been used up should you and your
friends done the complaining on the Internet.

UNQUOTE

Did that too. I told you I would. You persisted in your behaviour.
Your ISP even told you to stop but you persisted. You were told "six
ways to Sunday" that something would happen but you ignored me.

Bad idea.

QUOTE

My blog is my thought
hence, the address n9oglvoice. if you don't like what I have to say
there quit coming since I was kicked off you and commander cornhole has

visited my site repeatly.

UNQUOTE

Your last post was May 27th, Todd...I didn't post anything there until your comments day before yesterday.

QUOTE

As you've stated this is an open forum, and
you are not the moderator nor due you own this newsgroup. AS for the email's, emails can be faked, of course a newbie like yourself wouldn't

understand it.

UNQUOTE

Sure they can be faked. And they were being "faked" via YOUR site. I asked you to control it, you said no. The results were "predictable".

And I'm not a "newbie", Todd...That's why I was able to push the right buttons and get the desired results.

QUOTE

the first thing that tip me off they were fake was the
fact that in the IP there was a question mark, and secondly although it

is a "consolidated communication telephone" IP address it was not mine,

but, again someone who has no real skill in figuring that out would believe it to be real.

UNQUOTE

Then here's the step YOU should have taken since you seem to be in a mood to tell folks the steps to take:

(1) You should have IMMEDIATELY gone to your blog and compared IP's and canned the author.

(2) You should have IMMEDIATELY called YOUR ISP and notified them

(3) You should have IMMEDIATELY posted, both on your blog and RRAP, those IP's along with yours to show the true origins of the letters.

Instead you let it happen...To me, letting something deceitful happen when you have the means to stop it is as if YOU were the originator.

QUOTE:

> I asked him repeatedly to stop using my name and callsign on his
> blog, and he just repsonded with more threats and profanities.

I have NEVER used you callsign on my blog, anyone can sign in as whatever they want. Nor have I threaten you, as for profanities on here

or on my blog like I said above you could of simply ignored it....if you want clean talk I know some religious sites that would love to have

you.....

UNQUOTE

First of all, you've already removed a bunch of posts when you
re-worked your site. Yes, You did use my callsign...Repeatedly.

And secondly, one does not have to use profantities in every third word
to effectlively express themselves.

See what all the profanity got YOU?

I didn't use a bit of it.

So how do you explain THAT...?!?!

QUOTE

you see your forgetting this is the internet, I know sites
where they say things ten times worse then what I've said.....if you
want a clean communication go back to radio....radio and the internet
as I've stated before are two different forms of communication and
different sets of rules.

UNQUOTE

Well, Todd...I don't go to those "other sites" for those very
reasons...I don't care to be associated with people like that.

Secondly, had YOU not introduced all of that foulness to RRAP, I
wouldn't have been complaining about THAT...I wouldn't have known about
this "blog" had YOU not brought it to the attention of RRAP, either.

QUOTE:

> Also, I am obviously NOT the only person who's been busting Todd's
> chops with his ISP.

and it will be funny when they have to answer to the FCC since they are

not only an internet service provider but they are also the phone
company who controls the broadband in this town.

UNQUOTE

BBWWWHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! !

Todd, I spent an hour on the phone with an aide in the office of a
certain Midwestern Congressional representitive, and it was
enlightening.

I gave them YOUR blog, your e-mail address, and the links to RRAP.

They're on to you!

QUOTE

> He played with fire...He got burnt...Oh well...

there is an old saying steve, what goes around comes around.....your day will come.

UNQUOTE

Yes, it will, Todd, just as will your's...but mine won't come at the hands of a foulmouthed impotent toad who thinks adulthood is about screaming profanities and threatening "I'LL SUE! I'LL SUE!" at every opporutnity.

QUOTE

THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

UNQUOTE

There are no "shows" on Amateur Radio, Todd...that's broadcasting.

Steve, K4YZ

3361. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 10:33:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119350023.990004.58660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110694

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > All the licensing
> > requirements are arbitrary. Every single one of them.
>
> I would use the phrase "only based on FCC's judgement and experience"
> rather than "arbitrary", but that's a minor point. Otherwise agree
> 100%. Not only that, but many if not most of the
> rules are only based on FCC's judgement and experience too.

Well there you have it.

> For example, the maximum power an amateur station may use in
> the USA is 1500 W peak output. Why 1500 W - why not 1000 W,
> or 2000 W, or something else? Why not any power level that an
> amateur can put on the air and still meet RF exposure and spurious
> emission rules?

Why are you telling us this, and not the FCC?

> > Tthere are several radio services for
> > which no testing is required. So if some services do not need > testing, then it is arbitrary for those that do. However the
> > goals and purposes of
> > amateur radio make it desireable to test candidates for these
> > licenses.
>
> And those goals and purposes are based on FCC's judgement and
> experience as well. FCC could, if they wanted, simply define
> amateur radio as "hobby radio", but they haven't done so.
>
> > > If you wish to discontinue healthy, legitimate discourse with > > respect
> > > to amateur policy, I understand. It is not for the faint of > > heart.
> > >
> > > Best of Luck, Brian
>
> > The problem with the Morse discussion is that every possible
> > conceivable
> > argument on either side has been aired dozens, if not hundreds, > of times.
> > It is not healthy to continue discussing this policy issue. No > new data
> > comes to light. No new rational has come up. There's no point > > in rehashing the same issues.
>
> I disagree!
>

> There's always the possibility that some new idea, argument, or
> information will result from a discussion. Even the passage of time
> gives new insights.

Ther's not if you continually avoid such discussions.

> For example, the 2000 restructuring that reduced both code and
> written testing did not result in sustained growth of the number
> of US hams. We saw a small rise for a few years, but since April 2003
> or so the numbers have been in a slow decline. This data clearly
> indicates that the license test requirements aren't the
> limiting factor to longterm growth.

Were the sunspots in decline during this period?

> > Sooner or later the FCC will rule and we'll all have to
> > live with the consequences good or bad.
>
> Yep. But until they do, we can refine and develop our
> arguments on both sides.

Showing disdain for Technicians who cannot or choose not to learn The
Code certainly tells a lot.

> As for it being unhealthy to discuss, I'd say that as long as
> the discussion remains at a civil level, without misquotes and
> personal attacks, it's healthy.

Don't worry, be healthy.

> > If the result is as the NCTA state that it will be, i.e. a big > wave of new
> > hams plus a big wave of hams upgrading and getting on HF, just > watch the DX
> > stations, especially the rare ones, hide down on CW even more
> > than they are now.
>
> That's one big reason we have subbands-by-mode.

Wow, I never realized that. We have subbands-by-mode so that DX can
hide from us.

Jim is right. Civil discourse leads to new insights on arbitrarines
and prejudices.

> > If you exclude Japan, the US has more amateur radio operators
> > than the
> > rest of the world combined. If the bands get as busy as the
> > NCTAs imply
> > they will from this rush of new and upgrading hams, a lot of us > will be drifting even
more to CW just to find some room.
>
> Or the data modes.

No CW skill required for that.

> > On the other hand, if the PCTAs are correct, i.e. the impact
> > will be
> > insignificant just as other changes of the recent past have
> > been, then there
> > is NO reason to change the requirements. Changes that have
> > little to no
> > noticeable impact aren't worth the bother of implementing.
>
> That's true. But there are other factors:
>
> - Reducing the license requirements still further may have negative
> effects.

As it already has, right?

> - If there's no real effect, the solution obviously lies elsewhere. But
> some may not want to accept that fact.

There may be a number of problems which must be addressed by a number
of solutions.

> - Once the requirements are reduced, it may be near-impossible
> to get them raised back up.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Arbitrariness should be easy enough to increase. That's what makes it
arbitrary.

3362. A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 10:43:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119350639.223880.89810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110695

Well, Todd...You've only posted one item on RRAP since May and
already it's begun.

However, here's the benefit of the doubt: Here's a copy of the
e-mail I got along with headers. I am e-mailing it to you also.

Now you can take it to your ISP and let THEM deal with it.

Steve, K4YZ

QUOTE:

Return-Path: <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Received: from rly-xb02.mx.aol.com (rly-xb02.mail.aol.com
[172.20.64.48]) by air-xb02.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILINXB21-8f42b7edf9376; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 06:38:51 -0400
Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
rly-xb02.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILRELAYINXB23-8f42b7edf9376; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 06:37:45 -0400
Received: from bla74.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id CBC5C1FD57F
for <k4yz@aol.com>; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 03:38:25 -0700 (PDT)
Message-ID: <1958968.1119350265337.JavaMail.root@bla74.prod.google.com>
From: Todd <toddaug@consolidated.net>
To: k4yz@aol.com
Subject: Molin: Stressed.
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2005 03:38:25 -0700 (PDT)
X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:406544803:11327976
X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0

Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:

YOU ***ING BITCH. THIS TIME YOU DON'T KNOW MY ISP AND SO YOU CAN'T
BITCH TO ANYBODY BUT GOOGLES. WHAT GOES A ROUND COMES A ROUND.
REMAMBER THAT.

Blog: Molin
Post: Stressed.
Link: http://molin.blogspot.com/2005/06/stressed.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/

UNQUOTE

3363. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 12:30:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119357029.733448.168190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110696

bb wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > For example, the maximum power an amateur station may use in
> > the USA is 1500 W peak output. Why 1500 W - why not 1000 W,
> > or 2000 W, or something else? Why not any power level that an
> > amateur can put on the air and still meet RF exposure and spurious
> > emission rules?
>
> Why are you telling us this, and not the FCC?

He wasn't "telling" anything...

They are interrogatives...Questions...Preceeded with "Why" and
eneded with a question mark.

> > There's always the possibility that some new idea, argument, or
> > information will result from a discussion. Even the passage of time
> > gives new insights.
>
> Ther's not if you continually avoid such discussions.

So far, only you and Lennie are avoiding anything...

> > For example, the 2000 restructuring that reduced both code and
> > written testing did not result in sustained growth of the number
> > of US hams. We saw a small rise for a few years, but since April 2003
> > or so the numbers have been in a slow decline. This data clearly
> > indicates that the license test requirements aren't the
> > limiting factor to longterm growth.
>
> Were the sunspots in decline during this period?

Does it matter?

I don't remember the FCC making a point of sunspot numbers in
licensing requirements other than discussing propagation
characteristics.

> > > Sooner or later the FCC will rule and we'll all have to
> > > live with the consequences good or bad.
> >
> > Yep. But until they do, we can refine and develop our
> > arguments on both sides.
>
> Showing disdain for Technicians who cannot or choose not to learn The
> Code certainly tells a lot.

How did you get "disdain" from that...?!?!

> > As for it being unhealthy to discuss, I'd say that as long as
> > the discussion remains at a civil level, without misquotes and
> > personal attacks, it's healthy.
>
> Don't worry, be healthy.

Good advice, Brian.

Are you going to take it, or are you about to take us on yet
another "...'they' chased all the Techs away.." story..?!?!

> > > If the result is as the NCTA state that it will be, i.e. a big > wave of new
> > > hams plus a big wave of hams upgrading and getting on HF, just > watch the DX
> > > stations, especially the rare ones, hide down on CW even more
> > > than they are now.
> >
> > That's one big reason we have subbands-by-mode.
>
> Wow, I never realized that. We have subbands-by-mode so that DX can
> hide from us.

You, as usual, missed the point, Brian.

Many of the "dx" operators do NOT have the means by which to
obtain megabucks multimode/digital Amateur facilities.

> Jim is right. Civil discourse leads to new insights on arbitrarines
> and prejudices.

Where did he say that, Brian...?!?!

A quote, please, or is this yet another "I said it but I really
didn't say it" dance?

> > > If you exclude Japan, the US has more amateur radio operators
> > > than the
> > > rest of the world combined. If the bands get as busy as the
> > > NCTAs imply
> > > they will from this rush of new and upgrading hams, a lot of us > will be drifting
even more to CW just to find some room.
> >
> > Or the data modes.
>
> No CW skill required for that.
>
> > > On the other hand, if the PCTAs are correct, i.e. the impact
> > > will be
> > > insignificant just as other changes of the recent past have
> > > been, then there
> > > is NO reason to change the requirements. Changes that have
> > > little to no
> > > noticeable impact aren't worth the bother of implementing.

> >
> > That's true. But there are other factors:
> >
> > - Reducing the license requirements still further may have negative
> > effects.
>
> As it already has, right?

The "requirements" (ie required knowledge) for an Amateur license
are as steep as they've ever been...But when there's no real incentive
to LEARN the material, of what use is it...?!?!

> > - If there's no real effect, the solution obviously lies elsewhere. But
> > some may not want to accept that fact.
>
> There may be a number of problems which must be addressed by a number
> of solutions.
>
> > - Once the requirements are reduced, it may be near-impossible
> > to get them raised back up.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Arbitrariness should be easy enough to increase. That's what makes it
> arbitrary.

In some other universe that made sense...But here...well, it just
doesn't work.

Steve, K4YZ

3364. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 12:46:44 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d9927kvhi1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110697

bb wrote:
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:46
>>
>>
>>
>>>"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>news:_B4te.1408$ac7.128@news02.roc.ny...
>>
>>>>Some folks back then wanted the General but settled for a tech license when
>>>>they couldn't pass muster at 13. Unfortunately, if you got on the air (back
>>>>when, 2 meters was the novice voice band to try and get more activity on 2
>>>>meters!) it was possible to *never* work on the code and you were stuck as a
>>>>tech. Most likely 6 meters was the band of choice as the best front ends
>>>>might have had a 4.5 dB noise figure on 440 MHz. Even 2 meters wasn't all
>>>>that busy; Heathkit sixers and twoers were the rigs of the day. I really
>>>>wan't familiar with any territory above 30 MHz back then.
>>
>> When is "back then?" And how can an LOS path be "busy" in a
>> non-urban area? Picture sitting around waiting for ducting or
>> temperature inversion to reach out beyond 30 to 40 miles...
>
>
> The twoers and sixers were rock-bound. Anyway, he said that he wasn't
> familiar with VHF.
>
>
>>>>The other choice was to work on your code. The novice license was issued
>>>>for one year and was not renewable. I took this choice and it took me a
>>>>while to get my code speed up. When I did take the test and pass 13, I was
>>>>good for about 18 (which helps when you're nervous and travel 60 miles to
>>>>take the test administered by the FCC, not a VEC).
>>
>> So? I traveled 90 miles by train to the Chicago FCC office for
>> my First 'Phone test. No snow and I kept my shoes on all the way.
>
>
> Aha! Gotcha!
>
> You forgot to mention the changes in elevation over changes in
> distance!!!

I like train rides!

- Mikey -

Extra lite extraordinaire

3365. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 12:58:34 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d992tqrha1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110698

John Smith wrote:

> I suppose everyone has something to say...
>
> We are experiencing a time in our history where people are living a lot
> longer than they ever used to--and in better health.
>
> This is causing a problem in how to get them moved aside so younger and
> more capable minds can grab the reins. However, those grizzled old
> hands hands have a death-grip while the buggy heads for the cliff...
>

John, you are showing signs of being an ageist.

A person's ability to do a job is not specifically related to their age. That is an old paradigm.

In fact, in the technical fields, there is a dramatic lack of young Americans.

So if the geezers have that "death grip" on the reins removed, there likely won't be anyone to take it up.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3366. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 13:09:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119359370.481874.8280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110699

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:53

> >Hey Lennie....did that beating you took with the lye soap leave any
> >permanent scars?
>
> No "beating," no "lye soap," no "scars," you southern-fried
> dumb#### dip####.

Whoa! We got profanity, abuse AND bigotry all in one sentence!

Way to go Lennie!

> I never ever suffered something that YOU must have gone through.

Oh yes you did, Lennie...

Somewhere in your past was a butt-whupping of SOME sort that has
made you the...uh..."man" you are today...

> >I've figured this guy out folks. He was a barracks lawyer that got caught
> >up in several barracks parties. A total loser.
>
> "Loser?" In what? I was in Signal, not Judge Advocate Corps.

But you don't mind taking up the role of judge, jury and
executioner when it comes to Amateur Radio issues, Lennie...And you're
not even a licensee.

> A long time ago. Radio communications for a major area
> command. Began that as an E-2 no-striper...(SNIP)

No...you began it as an E-1 no striper in boot camp, just like the
rest of us did.

> (UNSNIP)...ended it as an E-5
> with three up and one down after three years in last assignment.
> Half a century ago.

Yep.

Half a century ago.

THAT is where all your knowledge base comes from...Half a century
ago...

> (UNSNIP)...Never had to learn/use radiotelegraphy then
> or since in a career in electronics design...nor in electronics
> AND radio as a hobby activity.

But nit AMATEUR Radio.

> What am I a "loser" IN, southern-fried dumbass dipshit Dan?

Bigotry. Maturity. Vocabulary.

> I've got an education via formal schooling...(SNIP)

Here we go with the 14 years of night school routine again...

> (UNSNIP)...and self-study, money
> in the bank, house(s) long-ago all paid off, a brand-new car in
> the garage since Friday (all paid off fully this Monday) and am
> happily married to my high school sweetheart.

And not a bit of it contibuted to your knowledge base of Amateur
Radio or Amateur Radio policy or practice.

> Oh, yeah...I'm a "loser" because I don't "respect" and "honor"
> your amateur radio ethos and mythology about morsemanship?

No...You're a loser because you're a non-hacking outsider who, not
understading what you see, tries to destroy what you see.

And you're a foulmouthed bigot.

> I'm a "loser" because I won't sit back and take your FILTHY comments
> when you act all "tuff" in words? It's absurdly easy to call
> others all sorts of filthy names like "dipshit," "dumbass,"
> "putz" and other things. Maybe that's it...I'll have to get
> all "manly" and start calling others names?

You couldn't get "manly" if you started getting IV testosterone,
Lennie.

> I'm a "loser" because I was interested in ALL radio and did NOT
> get a ham license first as I "was supposed to?" Must be.

Nope.

You're a loser because you think you know everything there is to
know about "radio", and that what you "know" applies to Amateur Radio.
Other than the physics or radio wave propagation, what you "know" is
squat where it pertains to Amateur Radio.

> I "cheated" and got a COMMERCIAL license FIRST and then went to
> WORK in the aerospace industry. Yeah, a "loser" because I don't
> worship at the Church of St. Hiram and tell tales down at the
> Hall about radio heroism in southern Asia, a war that ENDED
> THIRTY YEARS AGO.

But you go on to tell us tales of a war you were NEVER in that was
FIFTY years ago...What's up with that?

> Yeah, I'm a "loser" because I don't kiss your extra ### and
> give you TLC when you make all your sob stories about "how
> tough YOU had it" long ago...? Is that it?

Ah, Lennie! You keep proving "us" right over and over with your
wit and poignancy!

> Oh yeah, I'm a "loser" because I just want the government to
> eliminate the code test for a HOBBY radio license...(SNIP)

What "hobby" radio license, Lennie?

There is NO FCC radio service that exists for "HOBBY" licensure.

You tried that before.

Guess that fifty years of "experience" in "professional"
communications didn't teach you anything.

> (UNSNIP)...and watch
> all those PCTA extra "winners" sit here and call the NCTAs lots
> of filthy names and make up stories about what I "did."

Seems to me that you're the one doing most of the name calling,
Lennie.

> Dip##### Dan, ordinarily I'd tell you to just "go to hell."
> I don't need to. You've made it there on your own. Enjoy.

Seems to me that Lennie Anderson is the one in hell...With many,
many ugly demons that need excising.

> LenIjustProvedI'mAnIdiotAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

3367. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 15:13:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Z8Wte.130$ex4.17349753@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110750

Michael:

So, your logic goes something like this, "That problem exists in other places too--we have nothing to worry about."

I find that similar to, "Well, the neighbors paper wasn't delivered--same as ours. And, their toilet is plugged up--just like ours, nothing to worry about."

And, that is EXACTLY a very-good example of why I say younger minds need to be called to play here--with more energy and willing to face up to truths and take on these problems.

John

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message news:d992tqrha1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> I suppose everyone has something to say...
>>
>> We are experiencing a time in our history where people are living a
>> lot longer than they ever used to--and in better health.
>>
>> This is causing a problem in how to get them moved aside so younger
>> and more capable minds can grab the reins. However, those grizzled
>> old hands hands have a death-grip while the buggy heads for the
>> cliff...
>>
>
> John, you are showing signs of being an ageist.
>
> A person's ability to do a job is not specifically related to their
> age. That is an old paradigm.
>
> In fact, in the technical fields, there is a dramatic lack of young
> Americans.
>
> So if the geezers have that "death grip" on the reins removed, there
> likely won't be anyone to take it up.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

3368. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 16:13:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119370421.697515.258780@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110751

Leo wrote:

> With regard to your second point, though - 'obsessive' wouldn't refer
> to Jim's 'treatment' of Len - it is in the relentless pursuit of
> proving the individual wrong that we would find the true definition of
> the word.

I disagree!

I am simply persistent. I offer strong opposition to some of
the errors of fact and reasoning presented here by Len and others.

Len gets all upset by that, and attacks the messenger (me).

Is it unacceptable behaviour for me to be persistent about getting some
things right (such as whether or not Novices and Advanceds can
renew and modify their licenses without retesting)?

I can't control someone else's posting of information and reasoning
that is in error. But I can refute it with facts and clear logic,
and resources permit I do just that. Hardly obsessive, IMHO.

You were quite persistent in coming up with proof that I was
mistaken about the use of the word "feldwebel". It's clear that
the person to whom that rank was attributed never held it. Was
that "obsessive" on your part? I don't think so, just persistence
in getting something right.

73 (to all hams) de Jim, N2EY

3369. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 16:30:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zgXte.7437$NX4.5875@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110752

John Smith wrote:

> So, your logic goes something like this, "That problem exists in other
> places too--we have nothing to worry about."
>
> I find that similar to, "Well, the neighbors paper wasn't
> delivered--same as ours. And, their toilet is plugged up--just like
> ours, nothing to worry about."
>
> And, that is EXACTLY a very-good example of why I say younger minds need
> to be called to play here--with more energy and willing to face up to
> truths and take on these problems.

Good plan. At least your newspaper might be delivered and your toilet
might work.

Dave K8MN

3370. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 16:34:30 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <wtWdnWty7d7-0SXfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110762

John Smith wrote:

>
> I am 55 and people even 65 are about as interesting as rocks--

To a narrow minded simpleton like you, that's probably true.

3371. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 16:36:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KmXte.6999$hK3.5728@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110753

John Smith wrote:
> I suppose everyone has something to say...

...and our problem is to determine whether it is worth listening.

> We are experiencing a time in our history where people are living a lot
> longer than they ever used to--and in better health.

> This is causing a problem in how to get them moved aside so younger and
> more capable minds can grab the reins.

"Younger" doesn't necessarily mean an improvement. Then you need to
define "more capable". You need to explain why younger and older can't
co-exist.

> However, those grizzled old
> hands hands have a death-grip while the buggy heads for the cliff...

Your agenda is given away when you use terms like "grizzled old" (maybe
you meant "grizzled, old") and "death-grip".

Finally, you'll have to establish as fact that there is a buggy and that
it is heading for a cliff.

Dave K8MN

3372. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 16:41:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <SqXte.7002$hK3.3254@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110754

John Smith wrote:
> Jim:
>
> I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like me.

That's a big leap.

> Remember when your were 21?

Yes

> And how back then 50 year old people looked
> like they had one foot in the grave?

No

> How 60 year old people looked as
> if they were preserved in formaldehyde? And, were just about as
> interesting to chat with as window drapes?

No

> Now that you are old--suddenly you got blind?

I'm not quite "that" old and wasn't blind then or now.

> I am 55 and people even 65 are about as interesting as rocks--there is
> that kind of difference which occurred in the 60's and has been
> occurring ever since...

So you've continued the same flawed views of others that you had as a
twenty-one-year-old.

> Get real--the only reason some old geezer type will have a younger woman
> on his arm is for the money....

You don't seem to have offered any proof of your statement.

> You can extrapolate on that to figure out how it applies here at amateur
> radio...

It doesn't look like a fit, given the gaping holes in your logic. You
continue to play the troll.

Dave K8MN

3373. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 16:43:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0tXte.7003$hK3.5901@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110755

Leo wrote:
> On Mon, 20 Jun 2005 04:42:31 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Leo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>How so? I have neither defended nor attacked Len. I simply refuse to
>>>join you in your obcessive crusade against him.
>>
>>The word is "obsessive". Jim's treatment of Len isn't.
>
>
> Thanks, Dave. You are correct - my spelling of the word "obsessive"
> was incorrect. Appreciate the help!

You're welcome. I spotted it the first time you used it but didn't
comment on it then.

> With regard to your second point, though - 'obsessive' wouldn't refer
> to Jim's 'treatment' of Len - it is in the relentless pursuit of
> proving the individual wrong that we would find the true definition of
> the word.

I don't see evidence of any *pursuit* of Len by Jim, much less
"relentless pursuit".

Dave K8MN

3374. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 16:45:16 UTC
From: Maritime Wheeeeee <okracokemaildrop@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dab5c$42b84419$d1cc49c7$1644@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 110756

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:7KIte.73$Ue1.10098838@news.sisna.com...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2004/04/01/1/
>
> John

Hey John!

Did you notice the * DATE * of the above referenced article........?

"April 01 2004 "

Get a clue doofus!

...amazing eh folks? They quote an April 01 article
from 2004 - THAT'S LAST YEAR! "alzheimers victims..." (?)

Better go look in da mirror bunkie! ;)

3375. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 16:50:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119372619.703618.115470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110757

John Smith wrote:
> Jim:
>
> I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like me.

Not a valid assumption, John.

> Remember when your were 21?

Yep. I remember all the way back to when I was 3 years old.

> And how back then 50 year old people looked
> like they had one foot in the grave? How 60 year old people looked as
> if they were preserved in formaldehyde? And, were just about as
> interesting to chat with as window drapes?

I don't remember older people that way at all, from when I was 21. Or
any other age.

Sure, when I was 21, someone who was 51 looked "old". That's natural -
someone who is 21 looks very young to me now.

But they did not look like they "had one foot in the grave", nor "were
preserved in formaldehyde". Just older.

A few were uninteresting old grumps. Others were *very* interesting to
chat with, talk to, learn from, spend time with.

When I was attending the University of Pennsylvania in the early-mid
1970s, there was one professor who was absolutely adored by all of us
EE students. He was Professor Cornelius Weygandt, who taught a number
of courses like Electrodynamics and Power Systems. He was at least 70
at the time and looked ancient to us.

But he had an energy that, for lack of a better word, was pure
electricity. He'd walk into class with a half-sheet of paper on which
was written a complex problem. He'd draw the problem on the board and
then proceed to show us how to solve it. No notes, no books, no
calculators, no slide rule, just his own knowledge. His explanations
were clear and precise, making complex concepts clear and
understandable to all. We would toss variations on the problems at him,
and he'd solve the variations too, with no preparation at all.

One time in Power Systems he gave us a homework problem from the book.
Looked simple but the answer made no sense no matter how we solved it.
The book had a misprint, and the numbers given could not exist in
reality. Of course the *real* lesson was to check your data. When some
students protested, he asked "Do you mean you trust everything that's

written in books without question? Next thing you'll tell me is that
you trust everything that comes out of a computer!"

Lesson learned.

Sometimes (outside of class) we could get him to tell stories of when
he was our age (he'd graduated from the same school in 1921) or his
work on the Differential Analyzer. Great stuff.

He's just one example - I have many more.
>
> Now that you are old--suddenly you got blind?

No. But there are none so blind as those who will not see.

> I am 55 and people even 65 are about as interesting as rocks

to *you*, maybe. Not to me.

>--there is
> that kind of difference which occurred in the 60's and has been
> occurring ever since...

Consider that the problem may be *your* perception and interaction with
them. I know plenty of interesting people in their '60s, '70s, '80s,
even some in their '90s. Plus plenty of interesting younger people.
Their different perspectives are illuminating - all of them.

And if people are living longer, and staying healthy longer, then
there's no reason for them to step aside just because they're old. Ever
hear the phrase "60 is the new 40" or some such?

> Get real--the only reason some old geezer type will have a younger woman
> on his arm is for the money....

There's a lot more reasons than money. Sometimes a younger woman wants
a daddy figure. Sometimes she wants someone who's more experienced,
mature and stable. And yes, sometimes it's the money.

There are also men who prefer older women, for similar reasons.

I suggest you rent the film "Harold and Maude". (no, it's not
about Ashton Kutcher and Demi Moore).

> You can extrapolate on that to figure out how it applies here at amateur
> radio...

>From what I see, all ages have something to contribute - if they want
to.
And if those of other ages are willing to listen.

Take a look at the comments to the various restructuring proposals -
both the 18 current ones and the 1998 one that led to the changes of
2000. There
were fewer than 2500 comments in 1998. I'm not sure of the number of

comments to the 18 current proposals (they're all long past their comment periods) but in total there are only a few thousand. And support for continued Morse Code testing is in the majority!

All those people who supposedly don't accept the Morse Code test - yet they cannot even bother to comment to FCC.

Say - if you really believe older folks should step aside, maybe *you* should step aside for a younger guy like me!

> Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:_HJte.1262$yg4.50@news01.roc.ny...
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:7KIte.73$Ue1.10098838@news.sisna.com...
> >> http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2004/04/01/1/
> >>
> >> John
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Hello, John
> >
> > I passed my amateur extra, 1st phone, and 2nd telegraph in 1966.
> > After
> > letting all my tickets expire, I simply re-tested and passed with no
> > study
> > my amateur extra (20 wpm) in 1993 as well as my GROL (which is
> > considerably
> > simpler than the old 1st phone).
> >
> > I have worked with a lot of surface mount technology, computers,
> > programming
> > languages, and still know pi to 100 places.
> >
> > What amazes me is that folks think that if you have an education that
> > is 15
> > years old or more or possess a license issued more than 15 years ago,
> > then
> > you can't possibly be up-to-date.
> >
> > Sounds like you've got earlyheimers disease to me :))
> >
I passed the Extra in 1970 - at age 16. Graduated with BSEE in 1976 and MSEE in 1992.

But my education isn't 15 years old, because it's an ongoing thing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3376. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 16:59:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9IXte.139$Bp7.17594506@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110758

Dave:

Ohhh, younger is much better, means you will still have hams left when the old ones are SK (i.e., not still moving about above ground.)

... also, it will bring a breath of fresh air to the hobby--anyone on the bands know we suffer an overload of people older than 40 and need some new blood...

John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:KmXte.6999$hK3.5728@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> I suppose everyone has something to say...
>
> ...and our problem is to determine whether it is worth listening.
>
>> We are experiencing a time in our history where people are living a
>> lot longer than they ever used to--and in better health.
>
>> This is causing a problem in how to get them moved aside so younger
>> and more capable minds can grab the reins.
>
> "Younger" doesn't necessarily mean an improvement. Then you need to
> define "more capable". You need to explain why younger and older
> can't co-exist.
>
>> However, those grizzled old hands hands have a death-grip while the
>> buggy heads for the cliff...
>
> Your agenda is given away when you use terms like "grizzled old"
> (maybe you meant "grizzled, old") and "death-grip".
>
> Finally, you'll have to establish as fact that there is a buggy and
> that it is heading for a cliff.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

3377. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 17:05:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <lOXte.140$W67.17567843@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110759

Dave:

It is quite obvious, anyone who does not agree with you is a
troll--well, in you interpretation of the word...

I stand behind those words you have fault with. I think they are right
on and the truth. I know some think that simply denying them for a
sufficient length of time will cause them to go away...

... all we have to do now is watch declining numbers, take tally on the
oldsters about and lack of youngsters and the truth is quite obvious.

Really, no argument is needed. What the future looks like has already
been stated. Now the proof which is hidden in the pudding only needs to
be given a sufficient length of time to come out.

As long as we are watching and able to argue for changes to improve and
save the hobby, I have faith in the younger people coming along--they
will eventually make the right decisions when they come into power...

John

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:SqXte.7002$hK3.3254@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Jim:
>>
>> I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like
>> me.
>
> That's a big leap.
>
>> Remember when your were 21?
>
> Yes
>
>> And how back then 50 year old people looked like they had one foot in
>> the grave?
>
> No
>
>> How 60 year old people looked as if they were preserved in
>> formaldehyde? And, were just about as interesting to chat with as
>> window drapes?
>
> No

>
>> Now that you are old--suddenly you got blind?
>
> I'm not quite "that" old and wasn't blind then or now.
>
>> I am 55 and people even 65 are about as interesting as rocks--there
>> is that kind of difference which occurred in the 60's and has been
>> occurring ever since...
>
> So you've continued the same flawed views of others that you had as a
> twenty-one-year-old.
>
>> Get real--the only reason some old geezer type will have a younger
>> woman on his arm is for the money....
>
> You don't seem to have offered any proof of your statement.
>
>> You can extrapolate on that to figure out how it applies here at
>> amateur radio...
>
> It doesn't look like a fit, given the gaping holes in your logic. You
> continue to play the troll.
>
> Dave K8MN

3378. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 17:13:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <LVXte.141$ov4.17348700@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110760

N2EY:

Well, it is quite obvious it is NOT as it was when I was younger--you are correct--back then there were real men/women who knew how to interact with youngsters and improve the hobby--and give a welcome and "hand-up" to the newbie's.

Now, today, you see an example of the problem right here. The older generation has not learned how to gain the caliber, stature and wisdom of those who gave them a hand up. This new bunch is a "good ole boys" club with a closed door policy. They are a self-serving bunch of self-centered "small men/women." Long as they can rag chew and rant their personal issues, likes, wants, etc they will go to their doom--it is just up to thinking minds to make sure they don't carry the hobby to doom with them...

They lack sorely when gauged against the those of yesteryear... hopefully those who will now take the reins will learn from these lessons and be able to correct this blight which has descended on this once great hobby...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1119372619.703618.115470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Jim:
>>
>> I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like
>> me.
>
> Not a valid assumption, John.
>
>> Remember when your were 21?
>
> Yep. I remember all the way back to when I was 3 years old.
>
>> And how back then 50 year old people looked
>> like they had one foot in the grave? How 60 year old people looked
>> as
>> if they were preserved in formaldehyde? And, were just about as
>> interesting to chat with as window drapes?
>
> I don't remember older people that way at all, from when I was 21. Or
> any other age.
>

> Sure, when I was 21, someone who was 51 looked "old". That's natural -
> someone who is 21 looks very young to me now.
>
> But they did not look like they "had one foot in the grave", nor "were
> preserved in formaldehyde". Just older.
>
> A few were uninteresting old grumps. Others were *very* interesting to
> chat with, talk to, learn from, spend time with.
>
> When I was attending the University of Pennsylvania in the early-mid
> 1970s, there was one professor who was absolutely adored by all of us
> EE students. He was Professor Cornelius Weygandt, who taught a number
> of courses like Electrodynamics and Power Systems. He was at least 70
> at the time and looked ancient to us.
>
> But he had an energy that, for lack of a better word, was pure
> electricity. He'd walk into class with a half-sheet of paper on which
> was written a complex problem. He'd draw the problem on the board and
> then proceed to show us how to solve it. No notes, no books, no
> calculators, no slide rule, just his own knowledge. His explanations
> were clear and precise, making complex concepts clear and
> understandable to all. We would toss variations on the problems at
> him,
> and he'd solve the variations too, with no preparation at all.
>
> One time in Power Systems he gave us a homework problem from the book.
> Looked simple but the answer made no sense no matter how we solved it.
> The book had a misprint, and the numbers given could not exist in
> reality. Of course the *real* lesson was to check your data. When some
> students protested, he asked "Do you mean you trust everything that's
> written in books without question? Next thing you'll tell me is that
> you trust everything that comes out of a computer!"
>
> Lesson learned.
>
> Sometimes (outside of class) we could get him to tell stories of when
> he was our age (he'd graduated from the same school in 1921) or his
> work on the Differential Analyzer. Great stuff.
>
> He's just one example - I have many more.
>>
>> Now that you are old--suddenly you got blind?
>
> No. But there are none so blind as those who will not see.
>
>> I am 55 and people even 65 are about as interesting as rocks
>
> to *you*, maybe. Not to me.
>
>>--there is

>> that kind of difference which occurred in the 60's and has been
>> occurring ever since...
>
> Consider that the problem may be *your* perception and interaction
> with
> them. I know plenty of interesting people in their '60s, '70s, '80s,
> even some in their '90s. Plus plenty of interesting younger people.
> Their different perspectives are illuminating - all of them.
>
> And if people are living longer, and staying healthy longer, then
> there's no reason for them to step aside just because they're old.
> Ever
> hear the phrase "60 is the new 40" or some such?
>
>> Get real--the only reason some old geezer type will have a younger
>> woman
>> on his arm is for the money....
>
> There's a lot more reasons than money. Sometimes a younger woman wants
> a daddy figure. Sometimes she wants someone who's more experienced,
> mature and stable. And yes, sometimes it's the money.
>
> There are also men who prefer older women, for similar reasons.
>
> I suggest you rent the film "Harold and Maude". (no, it's not
> about Ashton Kutcher and Demi Moore).
>
>> You can extrapolate on that to figure out how it applies here at
>> amateur
>> radio...
>
>>From what I see, all ages have something to contribute - if they want
> to.
> And if those of other ages are willing to listen.
>
> Take a look at the comments to the various restructuring proposals -
> both the 18 current ones and the 1998 one that led to the changes of
> 2000. There
> were fewer than 2500 comments in 1998. I'm not sure of the number of
> comments to the 18 current proposals (they're all long past their
> comment periods) but in total there are only a few thousand. And
> support for continued Morse Code testing is in the majority!
>
> All those people who supposedly don't accept the Morse Code test - yet
> they cannot even bother to comment to FCC.
>
>
> Say - if you really believe older folks should step aside, maybe *you*
> should step aside for a younger guy like me!
>

>> Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:_HJte.1262$yg4.50@news01.roc.ny...
>> >
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:7KIte.73$Ue1.10098838@news.sisna.com...
>> >> http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2004/04/01/1/
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> > Hello, John
>> >
>> > I passed my amateur extra, 1st phone, and 2nd telegraph in 1966.
>> > After
>> > letting all my tickets expire, I simply re-tested and passed with
>> > no
>> > study
>> > my amateur extra (20 wpm) in 1993 as well as my GROL (which is
>> > considerably
>> > simpler than the old 1st phone).
>> >
>> > I have worked with a lot of surface mount technology, computers,
>> > programming
>> > languages, and still know pi to 100 places.
>> >
>> > What amazes me is that folks think that if you have an education
>> > that
>> > is 15
>> > years old or more or possess a license issued more than 15 years
>> > ago,
>> > then
>> > you can't possibly be up-to-date.
>> >
>> > Sounds like you've got earlyheimers disease to me :))
>> >
> I passed the Extra in 1970 - at age 16. Graduated with BSEE in 1976
> and
> MSEE in 1992.
>
> But my education isn't 15 years old, because it's an ongoing thing.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

3379. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 17:15:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8XXte.142$Bb5.17434668@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110761

Hey Doofus:

The same problems exist which existed a year ago--NO PROGRESS! Get a clue...

That is as accurate today as the day it was written--that IS my point--some here are stuck in the twilight zone--no improvements...

John

"Maritime Wheeeeee" <okracokemaildrop@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:dab5c$42b84419$d1cc49c7$1644@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:7KIte.73$Ue1.10098838@news.sisna.com...
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2004/04/01/1/
>>
>> John
>
> Hey John!
>
> Did you notice the * DATE * of the above referenced article.....?
>
> "April 01 2004 "
>
> Get a clue doofus!
>
> ...amazing eh folks? They quote an April 01 article
> from 2004 - THAT'S LAST YEAR! "alzheimers victims..." (?)
>
> Better go look in da mirror bunkie! ;)
>

3380. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 19:44:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nMmdnVbmG7ma8yXfRVn-1Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110763

John Smith wrote:
> Michael:
>
> So, your logic goes something like this, "That problem exists in other
> places too--we have nothing to worry about."

Quotes even!

I don't recall saying "we have nothing to worry about"

We do, and ham radio is waay down on the list of problems that occur due to the lack of US citizens going for technical careers. And it isn't going to be fixed by Ham radio.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3381. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 20:01:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <an_te.169$Gp5.18233468@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110765

it is proper to quote anything which has been said...

I would think it is obvious it is what I am saying that I am quoting...

I am asking a question, and giving what I hear as the interpretation of his thinking...

... you guys just don't get it do you? My whole point is that all you are about is personal attacks and not about any real discussion--to which you supply another personal attack as if that doesn't prove my point.... get a clue.... you constantly demonstrate that you are self-serving and into attacking any person who presents any part of the problem--since you benefit from the existing problem...

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:nMmdnVbmG7ma8yXfRVn-1Q@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Michael:
>>
>> So, your logic goes something like this, "That problem exists in
>> other places too--we have nothing to worry about."
>
> Quotes even!
>
> I don't recall saying "we have nothing to worry about"
>
> We do, and ham radio is waay down on the list of problems that occur
> due to the lack of US citizens going for technical careers. And it
> isn't going to be fixed by Ham radio.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3382. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 20:02:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <orWdnRbBeZ7K7yXfRVn-3g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110764

John Smith wrote:
> Jim:
>
> I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like me.
> Remember when your were 21? And how back then 50 year old people looked
> like they had one foot in the grave? How 60 year old people looked as
> if they were preserved in formaldehyde? And, were just about as
> interesting to chat with as window drapes?

Aha! John, you have an age thing going on here. When I was a young 'un I did indeed notice that my elders looked different than me. They looked bigger than me too. But other than that, it was no big deal. And they definitely were not uninteresting. My Grandfather got me started in electronics, giving me old car radios, power supplies, and showing me how to fix them. Great memories, that. Not a trace of the dreary picture you paint of older people.

A bad path to go on, John. Lord willing and the crick don't rise, you will be ancient some day. I hear despite the drawbacks, it sure beats the alternative.

> Now that you are old--suddenly you got blind?
>
> I am 55 and people even 65 are about as interesting as rocks--there is
> that kind of difference which occurred in the 60's and has been
> occurring ever since.

You must be either pre-judging these older folk, or hanging out with the wrong crowd! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3383. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 20:49:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <fp6dne49eoLp4CXfRVn-1Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110766

John Smith wrote:
> it is proper to quote anything which has been said...

That was nothing I ever said. Is quoting things I never said proper?

> I would think it is obvious it is what I am saying that I am quoting...

That isn't how quotes work. I don't quote myself, save when I look up
something I wrote or said earlier.

> I am asking a question, and giving what I hear as the interpretation of
> his thinking...

No need for interpretation needed, as far as I can see.

> ... you guys just don't get it do you? My whole point is that all you
> are about is personal attacks and not about any real discussion--to
> which you supply another personal attack as if that doesn't prove my
> point.... get a clue.... you constantly demonstrate that you are
> self-serving and into attacking any person who presents any part of the
> problem--since you benefit from the existing problem...

What personal attack, John Smith?

If you interpret my responses as a personal attack, then what on earth
are *your* missives?

But if that is how ya are, okay. I can quite botherin' ya.

- Mike KB3EIA -

> John
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:nMmdnVbmG7ma8yXfRVn-1Q@adelphia.com...
>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>>Michael:
>>>
>>>So, your logic goes something like this, "That problem exists in
>>>other places too--we have nothing to worry about."
>>
>>Quotes even!
>>
>>I don't recall saying "we have nothing to worry about"
>>
>>We do, and ham radio is waay down on the list of problems that occur

>>due to the lack of US citizens going for technical careers. And it
>>isn't going to be fixed by Ham radio.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>

3384. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 21:08:00 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Qk%te.1363$yg4.1109@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110767

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d992tqrha1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>
> > I suppose everyone has something to say...
> >
> > We are experiencing a time in our history where people are living a lot
> > longer than they ever used to--and in better health.
> >
> > This is causing a problem in how to get them moved aside so younger and
> > more capable minds can grab the reins. However, those grizzled old
> > hands hands have a death-grip while the buggy heads for the cliff...
> >
>
> John, you are showing signs of being an ageist.
>
> A person's ability to do a job is not specifically related to their
> age. That is an old paradigm.
>
> In fact, in the technical fields, there is a dramatic lack of young
> Americans.
>
> So if the geezers have that "death grip" on the reins removed, there
> likely won't be anyone to take it up.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

Hello, Mike

There is a dearth of young folks in technical fields as the religious right has no (perceived) need for them.

Our engineering is now done in India and manufacturing in China. I've had two small appliances now that failed in 6 months or less. The "warrantee" is you send them the bad unit and they give you a replacement - and half price. So, they simply sold another unit.

Meanwhile, I note we are quite a bit down the line in life expectancy. We have a much higher incidence of infant death than many others.

I'm tired of hearing how great we are. We are leaving a rather poor legacy to the young folks.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3385. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 21:15:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9s%te.7631$jX6.7281@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110769

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:53
>
>
>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>news:1119160223.931263.54780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 00:07
>>>
>>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>>>
>>>>You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in general,
>>>>assuming you have a license that is.
>>>
>>> Godwin invoked. [:-)]
>>>
>>> Attitude-wise, Dan = Stebie.
>>>
>>> ALL shall march to the same drummer, in ranks, with one voice
>>> counting cadence as taught in the Church of St. Hiram. NONE
>>> shall speak against the Elite, the PCTA Extras.
>>>
>>> ONE "service," all wearing the same "uniform." Totalitarian.
>>
>>Hey Lennie....did that beating you took with the lye soap leave any
>>permanent scars?
>
>
> No "beating," no "lye soap," no "scars," you southern-fried
> dumbass dipshit.

> I never ever suffered something that YOU must have gone through.

If you ever acted as you do here, I'd think you'd have gone through
something similar.

>>I've figured this guy out folks. He was a barracks lawyer that got caught
>>up in several barracks parties. A total loser.
>
>
> "Loser?" In what? I was in Signal, not Judge Advocate Corps.
> A long time ago. Radio communications for a major area
> command. Began that as an E-2 no-striper, ended it as an E-5
> with three up and one down after three years in last assignment.
> Half a century ago. Never had to learn/use radiotelegraphy then

> or since in a career in electronics design...nor in electronics
> AND radio as a hobby activity.
>
> What am I a "loser" IN...

That'd be "In what am I a loser?", Leonard. Let's start with use of the English language, Mister PROFESSIONAL writer.

> ...southern-fried dumbass dipshit Dan?

You seem to be losing it at about this point, Len.

> I've got an education via formal schooling and self-study, money
> in the bank, house(s) long-ago all paid off, a brand-new car in
> the garage since Friday (all paid off fully this Monday) and am
> happily married to my high school sweetheart.

That's all very nice and I'm sure that we're all very happy for you. Nobody else here has ever gotten an education, purchased a home or car or has been happily married. Yessir, you've really trumped us.

> Oh, yeah...I'm a "loser" because I don't "respect" and "honor"
> your amateur radio ethos and mythology about morsemanship?

It really matters not whether you respect and honor anything in amateur radio, Leonard. You aren't involved in amateur radio at all. If you choose to vent yourself as you do in this newsgroup, you become fair game. Deal with it.

> I'm a
> "loser" because I won't sit back and take your FILTHY comments
> when you act all "tuff" in words?

FILTHY comments?

It's absurdly easy to call
> others all sorts of filthy names like "dipshit," "dumbass,"
> "putz" and other things. Maybe that's it...I'll have to get
> all "manly" and start calling others names?

"I don't work for scale, Mikey. Wait til you get BILL!"

"league-published grain of the olde-tyme hammes..."

"March, march, beep, beep..."

"Tsk, since Jimmie became "tollmaster" in here..."

"Olde-fahrt morsemen ought to be very happy."

"Davie gonna chime in with..."

"Jimmie and Stebie and Davie all try to manufacture..."

"Jimmie be judge of ALL"

"Coslo reach "edge of space" yet?"

"Above all, let's all do our best to ENTERTAIN MIKEY!"

"Screum say I (in a most non-gender-specific way, of course)."

"Jimmie thinks he be barrister in the Queen's Bench. His wig is on crooked."

"Jimmie try to be cross between Miss Manners and Sister Nun of the Above."

"ARS (aka Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society) is in full flower in here..."

--recent quotes from Leonard H. Anderson

You're going to start calling others names, Len? Now, there's a frightening prospect!

> I'm a "loser" because I was interested in ALL radio and did NOT
> get a ham license first as I "was supposed to?" Must be.

Interested in ALL radio? You declared a decades-long interest in *amateur radio* and you have yet to act upon that interest, much less live up to your "Extra right out of the box" boast. I'd say that qualifies you as a loser.

> I "cheated" and got a COMMERCIAL license FIRST and then went to
> WORK in the aerospace industry.

Again, that's all very nice but it has naught to do with amateur radio. I know a guy who went to dental school and who has his very own dental practice. He's been a ham for nearly fifty years.

I know another fellow who attended law school and who makes a handsome living through the practice of law. He's been a ham radio op for about fifty-five years.

> Yeah, a "loser" because I don't
> worship at the Church of St. Hiram...

I'm not familiar with that place of worship, Leonard. Where is it?

> ...and tell tales down at the
> Hall about radio heroism in southern Asia, a war that ENDED
> THIRTY YEARS AGO.

No, you must not be eligible for hall membership. You've regaled us countless times with tales of a war which took place over fifty years ago, while you were snug, far, far to the rear--in Japan.

> Yeah, I'm a "loser" because I don't kiss your extra ass...

Don't feel too badly, Len. You may kiss my Extra ass any time you'd like.

> ...and
> give you TLC when you make all your sob stories about "how
> tough YOU had it" long ago...? Is that it?

No, I don't think that's it. There are a number of areas where you'd be considered a loser but it wouldn't be this one.

> Oh yeah, I'm a "loser" because I just want the government to
> eliminate the code test for a HOBBY radio license...

Which hobby license would that be? Would it be one in which you have some stake?

> and watch
> all those PCTA extra "winners" sit here and call the NCTAs lots
> of filthy names and make up stories about what I "did."

Filthy names?

Nobody makes up more storied about what you did than you do. It has been continuous, non-stop self-aggrandizement at its best.

> Dipshit Dan, ordinarily I'd tell you to just "go to hell."
> I don't need to. You've made it there on your own. Enjoy.

That's right. Dan has arrived at r.r.a.p. -- the hell of usenet, self-declared home of that little devil, Leonard H. Anderson. He's mad as hell and he's not going to take it anymore.

Dave K8MN

3386. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 21:16:03 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ns%te.1627$m72.1366@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 110768

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:JYJte.86$473.12158027@news.sisna.com...
> Jim:
>
> I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like me.
> Remember when your were 21? And how back then 50 year old people looked
> like they had one foot in the grave? How 60 year old people looked as
> if they were preserved in formaldehyde? And, were just about as
> interesting to chat with as window drapes?
>
> Now that you are old--suddenly you got blind?
>
> I am 55 and people even 65 are about as interesting as rocks--there is
> that kind of difference which occurred in the 60's and has been
> occurring ever since...
>
> Get real--the only reason some old geezer type will have a younger woman
> on his arm is for the money....
>
> You can extrapolate on that to figure out how it applies here at amateur
> radio...
>
> John

Hello, John

Even worse is an old guy hanging out with kids. Did you see where that guy is suspected of many 10s of thousands of cases of abuse?

Nah, gimme a few acres in the Northwest with a lake or stream nearby and a fishing pole.

I fear that this country has expanded beyond its' capability of supporting its' own population (or, rather, will shortly). We consume 1/4 of the world's oil output whilst the administration continues tax breaks for SUVs over 3 tons. To heck with the cost of gas; cut welfare, Medicare, Medicaid, education, social security, the VA ....

Just make sure the very wealthy continue to pay *less* percentage than folks making 80 to 100 grand per year.

I'd give it a few more years before many morons will understand what has been happening. Then there will be a revolution at the polls.

Unfortunately, if another party wins by too high a margin, they may also feel they can play loose and free (as the Republicans currently are doing).

What we need are some moderates. Very hard to find.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3387. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 21:30:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <TF%te.1365$yg4.529@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110770

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:LVXte.141$ov4.17348700@news.sisna.com...
> N2EY:
>
> Well, it is quite obvious it is NOT as it was when I was younger--you
> are correct--back then there were real men/women who knew how to
> interact with youngsters and improve the hobby--and give a welcome and
> "hand-up" to the newbie's.
>
> Now, today, you see an example of the problem right here. The older
> generation has not learned how to gain the caliber, stature and wisdom
> of those who gave them a hand up. This new bunch is a "good ole boys"
> club with a closed door policy. They are a self-serving bunch of
> self-centered "small men/women." Long as they can rag chew and rant
> their personal issues, likes, wants, etc they will go to their doom--it
> is just up to thinking minds to make sure they don't carry the hobby to
> doom with them...
>
> They lack sorely when gauged against the those of yesteryear...
> hopefully those who will now take the reins will learn from these
> lessons and be able to correct this blight which has descended on this
> once great hobby...
>
> John

Hello, John

Certainly there are a few curmudgeons, but the hams I know well have been always willing to help youngsters.

I think the biggest problem we've had is this code/no code argument that never seems to die.

Myself, I wouldn't mind a retest for everyone rather than a free pass every 10 years. That might be an interesting "equalizer".

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3388. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 21:40:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <uP%te.275$ku7.18502747@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110771

Mike:

Let me break this to you gently, what you have to say is just not important in the whole scheme of things--a year from now we will see what we all feel as whole--after debate and argument...

I didn't come here for you to teach me english--rant on if you must--just know it is ignored...

No, there is little need for interpretation of what you say, it is obvious:
1) there is no problem
2) even if a problem exists--no reason to worry
3) we need not do anything
4) I (Mike, meaning YOU) am happy, everyone else shut up and go away
5) status quo is all which matters
6) etc, etc, etc

I don't make personal attacks--however, if the truth offends someone, so be it...

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:fp6dne49eoLp4CXfRVn-1Q@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> it is proper to quote anything which has been said...
>
> That was nothing I ever said. Is quoting things I never said proper?
>
>> I would think it is obvious it is what I am saying that I am
>> quoting...
>
> That isn't how quotes work. I don't quote myself, save when I look up
> something I wrote or said earlier.
>
>> I am asking a question, and giving what I hear as the interpretation
>> of his thinking...
>
> No need for interpretation needed, as far as I can see.
>
>> ... you guys just don't get it do you? My whole point is that all
>> you are about is personal attacks and not about any real
>> discussion--to which you supply another personal attack as if that
>> doesn't prove my point.... get a clue.... you constantly
>> demonstrate that you are self-serving and into attacking any person

>> who presents any part of the problem--since you benefit from the
>> existing problem...
>
>
> What personal attack, John Smith?
>
> If you interpret my responses as a personal attack, then what on earth
> are *your* missives?
>
> But if that is how ya are, okay. I can quite botherin' ya.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
>> John
>>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:nMmdnVbmG7ma8yXfRVn-1Q@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Michael:
>>>>
>>>>So, your logic goes something like this, "That problem exists in
>>>>other places too--we have nothing to worry about."
>>>
>>>Quotes even!
>>>
>>>I don't recall saying "we have nothing to worry about"
>>>
>>>We do, and ham radio is waay down on the list of problems that occur
>>>due to the lack of US citizens going for technical careers. And it
>>>isn't going to be fixed by Ham radio.
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>

3389. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 21:45:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <yT%te.279$YW7.18660479@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110772

Mike:

You just don't get it, do you... you can hand off the reins in a dignified method which signifies an intelligent person--or, you can just grow old and have them yanked from your cold dead fingers--either way you dream a fools dream if you don't think the world renews itself with coming generations...

... all which is changes, either way--is how you are viewed...

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:orWdnRbBeZ7K7yXfRVn-3g@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Jim:
>>
>> I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like
>> me. Remember when your were 21? And how back then 50 year old people
>> looked like they had one foot in the grave? How 60 year old people
>> looked as if they were preserved in formaldehyde? And, were just
>> about as interesting to chat with as window drapes?
>
> Aha! John, you have an age thing going on here. When I was a young 'un
> I did indeed notice that my elders looked different than me. They
> looked bigger than me too. But other than that, it was no big deal.
> And they definitely were not uninteresting. My Grandfather got me
> started in electronics, giving me old car radios, power supplies, and
> showing me how to fix them. Great memories, that. Not a trace of the
> dreary picture you paint of older people.
>
> A bad path to go on, John. Lord willing and the crick don't rise, you
> will be ancient some day. I hear despite the drawbacks, it sure beats
> the alternative.
>
>
>> Now that you are old--suddenly you got blind?
>>
>> I am 55 and people even 65 are about as interesting as rocks--there
>> is that kind of difference which occurred in the 60's and has been
>> occurring ever since.
>
> You must be either pre-judging these older folk, or hanging out with
> the wrong crowd! ;^)
>

> - Mike KB3EIA -

3390. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 21:47:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <3W%te.282$nX7.18680934@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110773

Jim:

Well for gawds sake... I would trust NO ONE without supervision around children--not even MOTHERS or CPS WORKERS!!!...

... we have quite a problem with the women school marms here "boffing" the young lads in their cars at lunch time on school grounds too--no reason to stop educating children--but an EXCELLENT reason to imprison these perverts!!!

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:ns%te.1627$m72.1366@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:JYJte.86$473.12158027@news.sisna.com...
>> Jim:
>>
>> I just took it for granted that everyone experienced life a lot like
>> me.
>> Remember when your were 21? And how back then 50 year old people
>> looked
>> like they had one foot in the grave? How 60 year old people looked
>> as
>> if they were preserved in formaldehyde? And, were just about as
>> interesting to chat with as window drapes?
>>
>> Now that you are old--suddenly you got blind?
>>
>> I am 55 and people even 65 are about as interesting as rocks--there
>> is
>> that kind of difference which occurred in the 60's and has been
>> occurring ever since...
>>
>> Get real--the only reason some old geezer type will have a younger
>> woman
>> on his arm is for the money....
>>
>> You can extrapolate on that to figure out how it applies here at
>> amateur
>> radio...
>>
>> John
>

>
> Hello, John
>
> Even worse is an old guy hanging out with kids. Did you see where
> that guy
> is suspected of many 10s of thousands of cases of abuse?
>
> Nah, gimme a few acres in the Northwest with a lake or stream nearby
> and a
> fishing pole.
>
> I fear that this country has expanded beyond its' capability of
> supporting
> its' own population (or, rather, will shortly). We consume 1/4 of the
> world's oil output whilst the administration continues tax breaks for
> SUVs
> over 3 tons. To heck with the cost of gas; cut welfare, Medicare,
> Medicaid,
> education, social security, the VA ....
>
> Just make sure the very wealthy continue to pay *less* percentage than
> folks
> making 80 to 100 grand per year.
>
> I'd give it a few more years before many morons will understand what
> has
> been happening. Then there will be a revolution at the polls.
>
> Unfortunately, if another party wins by too high a margin, they may
> also
> feel they can play loose and free (as the Republicans currently are
> doing).
>
> What we need are some moderates. Very hard to find.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

3391. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 21:51:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <wZ%te.286$LS7.18611361@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110774

Mike:

I say to you, "This is a proper quote."
I have said in the past, "This is a proper quote."
I will say in the future, "This is a proper quote."

If you would ask me about the weather, I would say, "It is hot."

I think, "You are a self-centered person who cannot see further than you
ancient nose."

I believe your philosophy is, "To hell with the younger people... all
they are good for is to morse with me and keep my old butt company."

I say the world if for the living and we all will soon be dead, I think
I hear you saying, "I am all which matters."

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:fp6dne49eoLp4CXfRVn-1Q@adelphia.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> it is proper to quote anything which has been said...
>
> That was nothing I ever said. Is quoting things I never said proper?
>
>> I would think it is obvious it is what I am saying that I am
>> quoting...
>
> That isn't how quotes work. I don't quote myself, save when I look up
> something I wrote or said earlier.
>
>> I am asking a question, and giving what I hear as the interpretation
>> of his thinking...
>
> No need for interpretation needed, as far as I can see.
>
>> ... you guys just don't get it do you? My whole point is that all
>> you are about is personal attacks and not about any real
>> discussion--to which you supply another personal attack as if that
>> doesn't prove my point.... get a clue.... you constantly
>> demonstrate that you are self-serving and into attacking any person
>> who presents any part of the problem--since you benefit from the
>> existing problem...
>
>

> What personal attack, John Smith?
>
> If you interpret my responses as a personal attack, then what on earth
> are *your* missives?
>
> But if that is how ya are, okay. I can quite botherin' ya.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
>> John
>>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:nMmdnVbmG7ma8yXfRVn-1Q@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>
>>>>Michael:
>>>>
>>>>So, your logic goes something like this, "That problem exists in
>>>>other places too--we have nothing to worry about."
>>>
>>>Quotes even!
>>>
>>>I don't recall saying "we have nothing to worry about"
>>>
>>>We do, and ham radio is waay down on the list of problems that occur
>>>due to the lack of US citizens going for technical careers. And it
>>>isn't going to be fixed by Ham radio.
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>

3392. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 22:13:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2i0ue.7060$hK3.3382@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110775

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

>....so younger and more capable minds ....

Gee, and I always thought that experience was "the best teacher". Silly me! Turns out younger is more capable. By that tortured logic, we ought to be issuing call signs on birth certificates so we can capture the REALLY capable minds!

73, de Hans, K0HB

3393. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 22:21:49 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <482hb15d3j85q8roajlr44u9ko5fftfg0qc@4ax.com>
Source record: 110776

On 21 Jun 2005 02:49:00 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 20 Jun 2005 03:09:46 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 19 Jun 2005 18:58:24 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
>> >>
>> >> >> >Irrelevant - Len has lost the argument.
>> >>
>> >> >> Oh. OK then. That matters a lot.
>> >>
>> >> >Glad you agree!
>> >>
>> >> >> Guess that makes you 'right', then.
>> >>
>> >> >Yes, it does.
>> >>
>> >> That's important!
>> >>
>> >> >> Len was 'wrong', and you were
>> >> >> 'right'.
>> >> >
>> >> >Yep.
>> >>
>> >> That's important!

>> >
>> >> >> Feel better now?
>> >> >
>> >> >Sure. How about you?
>> >
>> >> Just fine, thanks! Glad you're feeling better!
>> >
>> >I was pretty good before. How about you?
>> >
>> >> >> >> >The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1
>> >> >
>> >> >> >> Godwin invoked.
>> >> >
>> >> >> >For what? I did not use Hitler/Nazi references to anyone
>> >> >> >involved in the discussion. I simply stated the fact
>> >> >> >that ol' Adolf was a feldwebel in the German Army in WW1.
>> >> >
>> >> >> I see.
>> >> >
>> >> >> You just felt it necessary to blurt that out, for no reason at > all?
>> >> >
>> >> >Nope. With good reason.
>> >>
>> >> I'm sure that we'd all love to hear your good reason for
>> >> resurrecting
>> >> the work history of the long departed Fuhrer back there, Jim - > please share!
>> >
>> >It shows that the word "feldwebel", when it was used in connection with
>> >a specific person, has Godwin connections.
>>
>> Oh. I see.
>>
>> I was wondering, because - well, there are a couple of errors with
>> your statement .
>>
>> Let's have a look:
>>
>> 1. "The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1".
>>
>> Well, no. According to several historical references, our friend
>> Adolf never achieved a rank higher than the equivalent of Lance
>> Corporal by the end of WW I. Several translation facilities available
>> on the Web (see below) translate "Feldwebel" to "Sergeant". This was
>> a rank senior to his.
>
>Other references refer to him as "feldwebel" as in "feldwebel
>schikelgruber. However, it appears that, in fact, he never actually
>held that rank.

Well, no. That would be a reference to another person entirely.
Although "Schicklgruber" was Adolf's mother's maiden name, it was
never given to him. He went by his father's now-famous last name for
his entire time on this Earth.

Same references should yield this information.

>
>So it comes down to whether the original writer of the sentence "shut
>the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel" knew those facts or not.

That was not a part of our discussion - as such, it is irrelevant in
this context.

>>
>> 2. "It shows that the word "Feldwebel", when it was used in connection
>> with a specific person, has Godwin connections."
>>
>> Well, no. Even if Mr. Hitler had indeed held that rank in the German
>> (Bavarian, actually) Army during WW I, that was before the creation of
>> the Nazi party in 1920. Bu that time, he had left that rank and
>> entered politics.
>
>Not at all. Some people are still addressed by their rank long after
>their
>military service is done, such as "Captain" Peacock and "General"
>Sarnoff.

Well, no.

Although that is certainly true in many instances, I am unaware of any
historical references which refer to Mr. Hitler continuing to use the
prefix "Corporal" (in German, of course) at any time following his
departure from the Army. I would suggest that is indeed quite
unkilely as it is a very low rank - and I would expect that anyone
addressing the man in that fashion would have had some serious
explaining to do.....

>
>>
>> All you would have proven was his rank in the Army during WW I - just
>> like thousands of other soldiers - none of whom attained the level of
>> notoriety that Adolf did.
>>
>> Not exactly Godwin invokable stuff at all!
>>
>> A few references for you:
>>
>> FELDWEBEL
>> http://odge.info/german-english/Feldwebel+%7Bm%7D.html
>> http://www.silentwall.com/LuftwaffePortraits9.html
>> http://babelfish.altavista.com/
>>

>> ADOLF
>> http://www.remember.org/guide/Facts.root.hitler.html
>> http://www.historyplace.com/worldwar2/riseofhitler/warone.htm
>>
>> NAZI PARTY
>> http://mars.acnet.wnec.edu/~grempel/courses/germany/lectures/25naziorigins.html
>>
>> And, if one of your electives at good ol' Dreidel U was 20th Century
>> World History, you should give them a call and see if you can still
>> get your money back!
>>
>
>Dreidel U? Where's that?

I of course assumed that you attended one of the 'top' colleges.....
:)

(apologies for the abuse of Hebrew here...!)

>
>I didn't take any 20th Century World History courses.

Heh...I'm pretty sure that we are all aware of that now, Jim!

>> >
>> >> You of course realize that there is a school of thought that
>> >> invocation of Godwin's Law can be interpreted to include any
>> >> such
>> >> reference to that - um - Teutonic regime of the 1930's and
>> >> 1940's? Especially the Big Guy himself?
>> >
>> >Oh sure - but the classic interpretation is that Godwin only
>> >applies when someone refers to another in such terms. Which
>> >I have not done.
>>
>> I see...we'll deal with that next!
>>
>> >
>> >That school of thought reminds me of the episode of "Blackadder III" in
>> >which two characters are superstitious about the name of
>> >a particular play by Shakespeare - supposedly, saying the name brings
>> >bad luck. They refer to it as "the Scottish play", and if
>> >someone says the actual name, they have to do an elaborate ritual to
>> >excise the evil spirits.
>> >
>> >Of course Blackadder says the name of the play for them at every
>> >opportunity.
>> >>
>> >> Oh - I forgot - you said you use another interpretation of that
>> >> rule.......
>> >
>> >The correct one..

>>
>> Well, no.
>
>Well, yes.

Well, no - you are not following all of it - just the part that suits
your purpose.

>
>> Part of it - but not all. The intent of Godwin's Law was
>> to provide an upper limit for the length of a Usenet thread - he
>> theorized that, eventually, someone would make reference to the Nazis,
>> and that would be that. End of thread.
>
>That may have been the original intent, but it doesn't usually work
>that
>way.

Disagree - unless someone repealed or amended it, the law is still the
Law....

>
>>
>> I pointed out earlier that you really hadn't achieved anything useful
>> by invoking Godwin, as the arguement would continue - your
>> response,was that you had "won the arguement" because Len had referred
>> to the Nazis.
>>
>And I did.

Not according to Godwin's law.....Google it up, please....

>
>> Mr. Godwin would disagree - if the thread continues, then the
>> invocation of his law has failed.
>
>Do you know Mr. Godwin?

Rhetorical question, not relevant. Ignored.

>
>> You can't use the 'correct' version if you don't use it all! :)
>>
>> >
>> >> >> >> Not my job to judge that, Jim. That's apparently your role.
>> >> >
>> >> >And apparently your role has become "defender of the Len".
>> >>
>> >> How so? I have neither defended nor attacked Len.
>> >
>> >Len can do no wrong by you.
>>
>> Well, no. That just ain't so Google back a couple of years ago, and

>> you'll see that Len and I haven't always been at peace..... :)
>>
>> Len has done no wrong to me
>
>Not "to" you - "by" you. Different thing entirely.

Not at all. I personally deal with those who do wrong 'to' me. Doing wrong 'by' me is subjective - not my problem unless it impacts me directly.

In society, we have police who deal with issues where people do wrong 'by' others. in here, apparently, we have you!

>
>>- giving me no reason to do any wrong to
>> him.
>>
>> Now, if I was to get on the keyboard and tell him that his
>> professional knowledge and experience isn't worth anything, or that
>> acquiring a ham license is better than sex, or that a Ham without
>> Morse is like a day without sunshine - or worse - rag on him every
>> chance that I get that he is 'wrong' about something.......well, then
>> Len and I might have a problem getting along here.
>
>You don't need to do all that. I haven't done any of it.

I suggest that you may want to rethink that statement - you have been telling Len (and others) that they have been "wrong", Incorrect, "in error", etc. for at least the last eight years, with almost weekly frequency (at minimum).

A few Google examples:

Subject: Keep the quality, lose the spectrum Jul 17 1998
"Len, you are just plain wrong here. You just don't understand the issue."

Subject: Who Is What? Feb 9 2001
"No, Len, it is not correct. Let's look at what you wrote:"

Subject: ARS License Numbers Mar 4 2003
"So you are incorrect again, Len. Mistaken. Just plain wrong."

Subject: Wrong Again, Len! (Communicator Power) Mar 18 2004
(Hmmm - that was your thread - quote not required for that one at all!

You don't think that eight years of "you're wrong, you're wrong..." wouldn't be deemed by a reasonable man to be a bit excessive?

LOL!

>
>All you have to do is disagree with him about the Morse Code test,
>defend

>that opinion, and then point out an incosistency or two in his
>postings.

An inconsistency or two? For eight years?
Do you actually believe that, after all of this time, that you are
going to change anything by whining on?

Jeez, you'd make somebody a great ex-wife.... :)

>>
>> Wonder why that would be? Heh heh heh.
>
>> >> I simply refuse to
>> >> join you in your obcessive crusade against him.
>> >
>> >You can't join what doesn't exist.
>>
>> Oh, it's there, all right. You read some of the stuff you write?
>
>I read all of it. Do you read the responses I get from Len?

I certainly do. Do you think that will ever change?
Or do you enjoy being used for entertainment - laughed at, not with?

>
>> Jeez, I'll bet you dream about the guy!
>
>Nope.

Yup. In Technicolour.

>
>> >> Heh. "Those who ain't with me are agin' me....!"
>> >> - what movie was that from again???
>> >
>> >Not a movie - a good description of Len's newsgroup behaviour,
>> >though!
>>
>> A pretty accurate description of your behaviour too, sadly enough.
>
>That claim is incorrect. Unlike Len, I have many civil, uninsulting
>discussions here with those who disagree with me on a variety of
>issues,
>including the Morse Code test. Google up any exchange between N2EY and
>K2UNK,
>for example.

Agreed. So why bother ragging on for eight solid years about issues
that the folks you are arguing with will never agree?

Jeez. Even Ghandi would have taken up golf by now. :)

>
>> >> >> >In other words, you won't answer the question.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> That is correct - I have no opinion on the subject.
>> >> >
>> >> >That's a contradiction. You just answered the question.
>> >> >
>> >> >"I have no opinion on the subject" is a simple, direct answer.
>> >> >
>> >> >Thanks!
>> >>
>> >> Actually, it is neither an answer nor a refusal to answer. It > is nothing at all.
>> >
>> >No, it's a valid answer. Look at the way opinion polls are
>> >usually structured - they often have a six-choice scale, to be applied
>> >to each statement:
>> >
>> >Strongly agree
>> >Agree
>> >Neither agree nor disagree (no opinion)
>> >Disagree
>> >Strongly disagree
>> >No answer
>> >
>> >Often the last is implied - if the respondent doesn't choose any of the
>> >first five choices, the sixth is applied.
>>
>> Oh - I see - it was an opinion poll and not a question. Sorry then -
>> I thought is was a question! :)
>
>It's a question.

X Strongly Disagree

>>
>> If thaat's true, though - "no answer" is a valid answer - it's right
>> there on your list. But, you said that I had to have an answer, and
>> that 'no answer' was not an answer. Waaaah!
>>
>> I'll pick that one then. No answer.
>>
>> >
>> >> >> In short, I have
>> >> >> no answer to your (rhetorical) question.
>> >> >
>> >> >Yes, you do! Your answer is that you have no
>> >> >opinion one way or the other.
>> >>
>> >> Heh heh.
>> >
>> >Which is a valid answer.
>>

>> Heh heh is never a valid answer! :) Heh heh.
>>
>> >> >
>> >> >Why should I answer the questions of others, when they don't
>> >> >answer mine?
>> >>
>> >> Well, that's a bit childish, but it is Fathers' Day, so I'll
>> >> help you out a bit here.
>> >>
>> >> Because you should!
>> >>
>> >Why?
>>
>> Because I said so! Now go outside and play!
>
>Hehheh
> >
>> >> Why should you let the behaviour of others
>> >> negatively influence yours?
>> >
>> >It's a question of fairness and equality. Also experience with
>> >what is done with the information provided.
>> >
>> >> If Johnny jumped in the mud, would you jump in the mud?
>> >
>> >Not a valid analogy. Try this one:
>> >
>> >A neighbor is always asking to borrow your tools, but won't lend
>> >you any of his. If you get a tool back, it's dirty, broken or both.
>> >Meanwhile he keeps his tools in perfect condition.
>> >
>> >Should you keep lending him your tools?
>>
>> Actually, my analogy was right on the money. exactly two variables
>> (jump / not jump) just like your option regarding the question (answer
>> / don't answer). Yours has a few more variables.
>
>The number of variables is irrelevant in this case.

Not true.

>
>> In your example, the correct answer (following your logic) is: Borrow
>> the neighbour's tools, break them and get them dirty, and give some of
>> them back.
>
>Nope.
>
>The question was whether to keep lending him your tools (yes/no).
>
>Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any

>of his, so your solution is not feasible.
>
>You didn't fully understand the analogy.

Who didn't understand what, Jim? You avoided my question, threw in
your own to obfuscate the issue, and blamed it on my understanding?

Not gonna happen, Bud!

>
>
>> After all, like you said, "It's a question of fairness and
>> equality. Also experience with what is done with the information
>> provided."
>Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
>of his, so your solution is not feasible.

You're absolutely correct.

You should steal the tools instead.

>
>>
>> >
>> >> >> >> In a fit of pique? As an insult? Forgot, maybe!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >None of the above.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Not true.
>> >> >
>> >> >That claim is incorrect.
>> >>
>> >> I don't think so!
>> >
>> >If you know the answer, why ask the question?
>>
>> ....now that's one question that you really should have an answer to,
>> Jim - that's something you do quite frequently?
>>
>> Or was that another rhetorical question?
>>
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> >> Lid-like behaviour, wouldn't you think?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Not at all.
>>
>> Well, impolite at least....nah, I'll stick with lid-like.
>>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >The original meaning of "73" is "a friendly greeting
>> >> >> >> >between operators". In the context of amateur radio,

>> >> >> >> >this means between amateur radio operators.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> In the words of Hans - thank you, Captain Obvious!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Most people don't know the original meaning.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> In an Amateur Radio newsgroup? Heh heh. OK, Jim - whatever
>> >> >> you say.
>> >> >
>> >> >Did *you* know the original meaning?
>> >>
>> >> I did indeed - it's not exactly a secret.....didn't I quote you
>> >> something from the "92 code" a while back?
>> >
>> >You probably got the quote from me!
>>
>> Well, no. I got it on the Net - from this site:
>>
>> http://scard.buffnet.net/pages/tele/wurules1866/92code.html
>>
>> As I recall, it was late last year, when you first began questioning
>> whether I was really me :)
>>
>> I sent you ""134, Leo" instead of 73 - a literal Internet-era
>> translation of which would be "Who is at the key(board)?
>>
>> > >
>> >> >> >> >It would be inappropriate to use the greeting to
>> >> >> >> >someone who is not an amateur radio operator.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> Which I am. And have stated many times before.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >And your callsign is?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Not going to be used in this newsgroup. For reasons explained
>> >> >> earlier.
>> >> >
>> >> >Then there's room for doubt. Perhaps you are an amateur radio
>> >> >operator, perhaps not.
>> >> >
>> >> >> Starts with VE3, though - issued in 2002.
>> >> >
>> >> >Maybe...
>> >>
>> >> There you go again - not believing! :)
>> >
>> >Perhaps I should tap my shoes together and say "there's no place like
>> >Ontario"...
>>
>> Well, if you think it would help.....if you want a VE3 or VA3

>> licence, you'll need to come here for sure - but I'd try and find a
>> more efficient method of transportation. That one only worked once -
>> in 1939 :)
>>
>> (thinking to self: say, was that an attempt to insult me? nah,
>> couldn't have been!) LOL!
>>
>> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> Poor memory? Google 'er up.....
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >I know what you claimed. But there's no independent
>> >> >> >evidence.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You claim to be an educated guy, Jim, there isn't much evidence
>> >> >> of that either!
>> >> >
>> >> >Zing! Was that written in a fit of pique? As an insult?
>> >>
>> >> Of course not!
>> >
>> >Heh heh.
>> >
>> >> Simply an illustration that, in the absence of
>> >> conclusive and irrefutable proof, one has no other means to ascertain
>> >> whether another individual is misrepresenting themselves other than
>> >> the evidence that they present in their posts over a period of time.
>> >>
>> >> So far, we haven't seen much of anything posted that would support
>> >> your claims of post-grad education - no thesis references, no detailed
>> >> insight which would require that level of training, no written
>> >> expressions of advanced theoretical knowledge.
>> >
>> >All of which could be ghostwritten or cut-and-pasted from another
>> >source. So they wouldn't be proof anyway.
>>
>> ...Patent application, published article - nah, you're right - you
>> can't trust anybody these days....!
>
>
>
>>
>> >
>> >> A few moderately
>> >> complex calculations, perhaps - some correct, at least one not > by a long shot.
>> >
>> >> In short - your word is all we have.
>> >
>> >That applies to you as well. I can include "u" in certain words -
>> >doesn't make me Canadian...

>>
>> True. Were you as adept at the Internet as you are with your radio,
>> you could trace the message header to my ISP up here - wouldn't prove
>> my nationality, but it would certainly nail down the geographical
>> origin of the posts!
>
>Which proves nothing, since they could be remailed from that location.
>Easy to do.

Oh yeah. Forgot.

Let's see...Rebranding of published articles...fake
references...newsgroup postings spirited across the ether to foreign
countries...clandestine Amateur Radio credentials......

Um, wouldn't that be an awful lot of effort just to fool you?

ROTFLMAO!

>
>>
>> >
>> >> One can choose to doubt anything at all, Jim. You can. I can.
>> >> Anyone can.
>> >
>> >We call it "reasonable doubt"...
>>
>> Reasonable is judgemental - we just call it "doubt". :)
>>
>> >
>> >> But to choose to doubt someone simply because they no longer
>> >> appear to
>> >> agree with you or support your views - doesn't seem particularly
>> >> brainy, now does it?
>> >
>> >Nope - but that's not what I'm doing.
>>
>> Not correct. Again.
>
>Your claim is incorrect.

Really? Most of the things that you posted in this thread are - to
use your word - incorrect.

Sunnavagun! (Sorry again, Hans - stole that too!)

>>
>> >
>> >73 de Jim, N2EY (I'll believe you're really a VE3)
>>
>> 73, Leo (nothing condescending in my sig! heh heh)
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY (I'll believe you're really a VE3)

73, Leo (trying hard to believe you're educated - but I promised I would so I will!)

3394. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 22:23:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <_r0ue.9625$VK4.2010@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110777

"Louis Tyler" <Nospam@anon> wrote in message
news:d97k2o$9mg$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1119294242.357103.216080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:53
>
>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>news:1119160223.931263.54780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 00:07
>>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>>>
>>>>You sir, are nothing but a agitator and a disgrace to ham radio in
> general,
>>>>assuming you have a license that is.
>>>
>>> Godwin invoked. [:-)]
>>>
>>> Attitude-wise, Dan = Stebie.
>>>
>>> ALL shall march to the same drummer, in ranks, with one voice
>>> counting cadence as taught in the Church of St. Hiram. NONE
>>> shall speak against the Elite, the PCTA Extras.
>>>
>>> ONE "service," all wearing the same "uniform." Totalitarian.
>>
>>Hey Lennie....did that beating you took with the lye soap leave any
>>permanent scars?
>
> No "beating," no "lye soap," no "scars," you southern-fried
> dumbass dipshit.
>
> I never ever suffered something that YOU must have gone through.
>
>>I've figured this guy out folks. He was a barracks lawyer that got caught
>>up in several barracks parties. A total loser.
>
> "Loser?" In what? I was in Signal, not Judge Advocate Corps.
> A long time ago. Radio communications for a major area
> command. Began that as an E-2 no-striper, ended it as an E-5
> with three up and one down after three years in last assignment.
> Half a century ago.
>
> <Lennie's angry tirade snipped...>

>
> My, my, Lennie. You are obviously, as evidenced by your tantrum, much more
> capable of handing out insults than you are at receiving them.
> What ever is the matter, Lennie? Did big, mean and nasty old Southern
> Fried
> Dan, as you put it, hit a soft spot on Poor Lennie's overly inflated
> cranium?
> Three up and one down? An E-5?? In whose Army?
>
> My, my. That big, nasty old Dan sure got you riled!
>
> WAY TO GO, DAN!!!!
>
>

Thank you, thank you. It is obvious that I was not the ONLY one to make
note of that extra Chevron. Oh Lennie.....Chevron is a stripe.

Dan/W4NTI

3395. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 22:34:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <uB0ue.307$pJ.18795642@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110779

KXHB:

Yes, that is always the trouble with those getting their "15 minutes of fame"--they NEVER leave gracefully... <frown>

... time for new ideas and motivated minds with energy to move up front...

... all walkers, wheelchairs and crutches to the back, next to those clutching bottles of laxatives, blood pressure medication, heart burn medication, viagra, etc, etc... if you forget your name--don't worry--a name tag has been placed on your chest to remind you...

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:2i0ue.7060$hK3.3382@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>>....so younger and more capable minds ....
>
> Gee, and I always thought that experience was "the best teacher".
> Silly me! Turns out younger is more capable. By that tortured logic,
> we ought to be issuing call signs on birth certificates so we can
> capture the REALLY capable minds!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

3396. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 22:35:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <cD0ue.9631$VK4.5368@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110778

Love it.

Dan/W4NTI

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1119350639.223880.89810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Well, Todd...You've only posted one item on RRAP since May and
> already it's begun.
>
> However, here's the benefit of the doubt: Here's a copy of the
> e-mail I got along with headers. I am e-mailing it to you also.
>
> Now you can take it to your ISP and let THEM deal with it.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> QUOTE:
>
> Return-Path: <toddaug@consolidated.net>
> Received: from rly-xb02.mx.aol.com (rly-xb02.mail.aol.com
> [172.20.64.48]) by air-xb02.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
> MAILINXB21-8f42b7edf9376; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 06:38:51 -0400
> Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
> rly-xb02.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
> MAILRELAYINXB23-8f42b7edf9376; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 06:37:45 -0400
> Received: from bla74.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
> by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id CBC5C1FD57F
> for <k4yz@aol.com>; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 03:38:25 -0700 (PDT)
> Message-ID: <1958968.1119350265337.JavaMail.root@bla74.prod.google.com>
> From: Todd <toddaug@consolidated.net>
> To: k4yz@aol.com
> Subject: Molin: Stressed.
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2005 03:38:25 -0700 (PDT)
> X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
> X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:406544803:11327976
> X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
>
> Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:
>
> YOU ***ING BITCH. THIS TIME YOU DON'T KNOW MY ISP AND SO YOU CAN'T
> BITCH TO ANYBODY BUT GOOGLES. WHAT GOES A ROUND COMES A ROUND.
> REMAMBER THAT.

>
> Blog: Molin
> Post: Stressed.
> Link: http://molin.blogspot.com/2005/06/stressed.html
>
> --
> Powered by Blogger
> http://www.blogger.com/
>
> UNQUOTE
>

3397. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 22:54:14 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <9o4hb1tfcd4mq01artj2qr4tlh4rpsbt2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 110780

On 21 Jun 2005 09:13:41 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>
>> With regard to your second point, though - 'obsessive' wouldn't refer
>> to Jim's 'treatment' of Len - it is in the relentless pursuit of
>> proving the individual wrong that we would find the true definition of
>> the word.
>
>I disagree!

There you go - you've finally got it! You've been disagreeing to no avail for eight years here, on and on and on - that's the problem!

Just 11 more steps to go!

>
>I am simply persistent.

Or persistently simple. Time will tell! :)

>I offer strong opposition to some of
>the errors of fact and reasoning presented here by Len and others.
>

Oh, that's nice. How's that working out for you? Changed anybody's mind lately?

>Len gets all upset by that, and attacks the messenger (me).

You don't really believe that, do you? You're not the entertainer - you're the entertainment! He pokes and prods, and you sing and dance - been that way for 8 long years now.

>
>Is it unacceptable behaviour for me to be persistent about getting some
>things right (such as whether or not Novices and Advanceds can
>renew and modify their licenses without retesting)?

I submit that those who need to know the correct answer to those types of questions probably already do - if they are actually interested in the answer, then they would listen. The rest might just be pushing your buttons.....for fun.....y'think?

Let me guess - you opted out of Psych 101 at good ol' Dreidel U too, didn't you? :)

>
>I can't control someone else's posting of information and reasoning
>that is in error. But I can refute it with facts and clear logic,
>and resources permit I do just that. Hardly obsessive, IMHO.

You can only control your own postings. You may also refute and argue points. That is not obsesssive.

To do so fruitlessly for eight years, on a nearly weekly basis, is very likely just - weeeelll - a tad obsessive.....! LOL!

>
>You were quite persistent in coming up with proof that I was
>mistaken about the use of the word "feldwebel". It's clear that
>the person to whom that rank was attributed never held it. Was
>that "obsessive" on your part? I don't think so, just persistence
>in getting something right.

In a way, yes.

I asked you several times if being correct was important to you.

You replied that it was.

I asked if being correct was very important to you.

You replied that it was.

I confirmed this again, and you agreed that it was very important to you.

So, I spent about 20 minutes on Google and provided you with the correct info and a few references for you to read.

That's what you said that you wanted - and that's what I provided.

Obsessive? No. Persistent? Not really - it wasn't a lot of work - and I knew the correct answers before Googling the references - so I wouldn't say persistent exactly.

However - If I kept doing it every week or so for eight years, with no success, over and over again - yup, that might be a problem - I'd be wondering if some parts fell off the ol' brainpan on a curve a ways back there or something.....

>
>
>73 (to all hams) de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo (is it just me, or is there a diss aimed at me again in ol' Jim's sig above? heh heh)

3398. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 23:08:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119395321.308840.112900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110781

From: "Dipshit Dumbass Dan/W4NTI" on Jun 20, 7:40 pm

>Yep......he had his ass beat in a barracks party, more than one I am sure.

Never happened.

However, because of your insistence on that "subject" we can
guess that it happened to YOU at least once.

Is that how you got your military "disability?"

Enjoy the hell you've made for yourself...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3399. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 23:11:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119395518.096532.115130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110782

From: "bb" on Tues 21 Jun 2005 03:15

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:46
>> >"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>
>> >> Some folks back then wanted the General but settled for a tech license when
>> >> they couldn't pass muster at 13. Unfortunately, if you got on the air back
>> >> when, 2 meters was the novice voice band to try and get more activity on 2
>> >> meters!) it was possible to *never* work on the code and you were stuck as a
>> >> tech. Most likely 6 meters was the band of choice as the best front ends
>> >> might have had a 4.5 dB noise figure on 440 MHz. Even 2 meters wasn't all
>> >> that busy; Heathkit sixers and twoers were the rigs of the day. I really
>> >> wan't familiar with any territory above 30 MHz back then.
>>
>> When is "back then?" And how can an LOS path be "busy" in a
>> non-urban area? Picture sitting around waiting for ducting or
>> temperature inversion to reach out beyond 30 to 40 miles...
>
>The twoers and sixers were rock-bound. Anyway, he said that he wasn't
>familiar with VHF.

"Familiarity with VHF" wasn't needed. ALL that counted was getting
the morse code speed UP...that yielded absolute knowledge of all
theory thus guaranteeing rank-status-privilege.

I designed and built an external VFO for a few Sixers. Worked fine.
One of the recipients was showing an olde-fahrt extra how it
operated and olde-fahrt said "Nice, did you build it?" "No," said
my friend and, pointing to me, "He did." "THAT'S ILLEGAL!" shouted
the olde-fahrt. Heh heh heh...the same anal attitudes existed four
decades ago as they do now.

>> >> The other choice was to work on your code. The novice license was issued
>> >> for one year and was not renewable. I took this choice and it took me a
>> >> while to get my code speed up. When I did take the test and pass 13, I was
>> >> good for about 18 (which helps when you're nervous and travel 60 miles to
>> >> take the test administered by the FCC, not a VEC).
>>
>> So? I traveled 90 miles by train to the Chicago FCC office for
>> my First 'Phone test. No snow and I kept my shoes on all the way.
>
>Aha! Gotcha!
>
>You forgot to mention the changes in elevation over changes in

>distance!!!

Heh heh heh. In northern Illinois the elevation changes amount to +/- a yard. Not even close to bragging rights... :-)

However, to kill time waiting for the return train, I saw a matinee of "Oklahoma." Sat in the balcony, undisturbed. That was good for a change of a couple stories in height! :-)

Too bad it was a sunny day in Chicago. No snow to brag to anyone ("uphill both ways through"). Passed no problem, even with the interruption of a fire drill in the Federal Building halfway through the test.

[a lot of the anony-mousies won't know what we're talking about on this subject...they weren't here to see some of the astounding bragging going on by the late Dick Carroll and others in here]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3400. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 23:15:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119395716.936269.230100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110783

From: "bb" on Tues 21 Jun 2005 03:33

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>> > All the licensing
>> > requirements are arbitrary. Every single one of them.
>>
>> I would use the phrase "only based on FCC's judgement and experience"
>> rather than "arbitrary", but that's a minor point. Otherwise agree
>> 100%. Not only that, but many if not most of the
>> rules are only based on FCC's judgement and experience too.
>
>Well there you have it.

Right. The FCC has all the "judgement and EXPERIENCE" even
though not a single staffer or Commissioner is required to
have an amateur radio license!

But here's an interesting point. If the FCC rules in favor
of something about morse code, Jimmie will say it was due to
the league or other amateur's comments...no credit is given
to the FCC. If the FCC rules against morse code in any form,
then it is the FCC's "fault" and they are to "blame." :-)

>> For example, the maximum power an amateur station may use in
>> the USA is 1500 W peak output. Why 1500 W - why not 1000 W,
>> or 2000 W, or something else? Why not any power level that an
>> amateur can put on the air and still meet RF exposure and spurious
>> emission rules?
>
>Why are you telling us this, and not the FCC?

Jimmie >>IS<< amateur radio! He is the Lawgiver... :-)

>> > Tthere are several radio services for
>> > which no testing is required. So if some services do not need
>> > testing, then it is arbitrary for those that do. However the
>> > goals and purposes of
>> > amateur radio make it desireable to test candidates for these
>> > licenses.
>>
>> And those goals and purposes are based on FCC's judgement and
>> experience as well. FCC could, if they wanted, simply define
>> amateur radio as "hobby radio", but they haven't done so.

There we have it. Amateur radio is a HOBBY activity...but it
isn't a hobby activity. :-)

There's no such thing as "ham" radio in Part 97 but hams like
to use that term almost to a man.

Gotta love some of this "reasoning." :-)

>> There's always the possibility that some new idea, argument, or
>> information will result from a discussion. Even the passage of time
>> gives new insights.
>
>Ther's not if you continually avoid such discussions.

Avoidance and misdirection are a standard ploy of the
PCTA extras. They seem to figure that stalling for time
will be to their advantage. Like WRC-03 and the rewrite
of S25 endorsed and promulgated by the IARU and opposed
to by the ARRL. With more stalling of time and some
adroit spin by the league the league will say that they
no longer oppose that international change. :-)

>> For example, the 2000 restructuring that reduced both code and
>> written testing did not result in sustained growth of the number
>> of US hams. We saw a small rise for a few years, but since April 2003
>> or so the numbers have been in a slow decline. This data clearly
>> indicates that the license test requirements aren't the
>> limiting factor to longterm growth.
>
>Were the sunspots in decline during this period?

Jimmie seems to suffer "sunspots" of thinking. He gets
his neurons ionized by all of us free radicals...! :-)

The NUMBERS are unmistakable. Without the creation of the
no-code-test Technician class in 1991, the total number of
amateur radio licenses would have continued to DECLINE,
"restructuring" or not. The number of Technician class
licenses have kept on growing until no-code-test Techs are
now 40+% of all U.S. amateur licensees!

If there is a "slight decline" in ham numbers (there is),
but the no-code-test Tech is markedly INCREASING (it is),
then, arithmetically, the total of other classes are
DECLINING (they are).

Jimmie will probably, as he has in the past, go into some
creative rationalizing of DENIAL on that, say "I'm wrong!"
and the usual spin (trying to disguise the denial). :-)
[that's very predictable] I'm not a part of either growth
or decline, just observing the numbers as they change...and
he will say I am "wrong," "mistaken," or other equivalent
phrases. Jimmie will look around at his immediate "ham
neighborhood" and see little change...he gets his ham

magazines regularly, hears the beep-beeping every time he
turns on his ham receiver...and sees "no change," his little
ham world is still intact, no problem.

Regretably for the hobby, the ARRL is only RECENTLY starting
to realize all those NUMBERS! They aren't attracting the
(now) 40% of all licensees as they once expected they would.
ARRL membership has declined.

Olde-fahrt morsemen hams don't much seem to give a shit.
[Hans Brakob seems a rare exception] They strut around,
posturing and preening, babbling about "good old days"
and emptying their mental chamber pots on the "lesser
classes."

>> > Sooner or later the FCC will rule and we'll all have to
>> > live with the consequences good or bad.
>>
>> Yep. But until they do, we can refine and develop our
>> arguments on both sides.
>
>Showing disdain for Technicians who cannot or choose not to learn The
>Code certainly tells a lot.

It tells a very BAD tale. But, they >>ARE<< the self-righteous,
self-promoted "superiors" who seem to feel they "control" it
all and have some "qualifications" for disdaining those
"lesser" folk. But, they cannot be convinced they are
hurting the hobby. They RULE it in their minds.

>> As for it being unhealthy to discuss, I'd say that as long as
>> the discussion remains at a civil level, without misquotes and
>> personal attacks, it's healthy.
>
>Don't worry, be healthy.

Jimmie gonna HAVE to concede that he is NOT the voice of
amateur radio. In here. He be only ONE individual licensee.

>> > If the result is as the NCTA state that it will be, i.e. a big > wave of new
>> > hams plus a big wave of hams upgrading and getting on HF, just > watch the DX
>> > stations, especially the rare ones, hide down on CW even more
>> > than they are now.
>>
>> That's one big reason we have subbands-by-mode.
>
>Wow, I never realized that. We have subbands-by-mode so that DX can
>hide from us.
>
>Jim is right. Civil discourse leads to new insights on arbitrarines
>and prejudices.

Well, adding new fresh blood to the hobby will just upset the
hell out of the Elite RF Territory of these extra homies.
Their "work DX with CW on HF" sandbox is going to be invaded?

>> > If you exclude Japan, the US has more amateur radio operators
>> > than the
>> > rest of the world combined. If the bands get as busy as the
>> > NCTAs imply
>> > they will from this rush of new and upgrading hams, a lot of us
>> > will be drifting even more to CW just to find some room.
>>
>> Or the data modes.
>
>No CW skill required for that.

Operating ANYWHERE below 30 MHz REQUIRES morse code testing!

That's the LAW!!!

>> > On the other hand, if the PCTAs are correct, i.e. the impact
>> > will be
>> > insignificant just as other changes of the recent past have
>> > been, then there
>> > is NO reason to change the requirements. Changes that have
>> > little to no
>> > noticeable impact aren't worth the bother of implementing.
>>
>> That's true. But there are other factors:
>>
>> - Reducing the license requirements still further may have negative
>> effects.
>
>As it already has, right?

The HF sandbox is in DANGER, Will Robinson!

>> - If there's no real effect, the solution obviously lies elsewhere. But
>> some may not want to accept that fact.
>
>There may be a number of problems which must be addressed by a number
>of solutions.

Damn, Brian, you're getting GOOD at this Truthspeak! :-)

Careful, you are beginning to phrase just like them PCTA extras!

>> - Once the requirements are reduced, it may be near-impossible
>> to get them raised back up.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Arbitrariness should be easy enough to increase. That's what makes it
>arbitrary.

FCC needs to hire an Arbitrator? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3401. Re: "Typos", TimeLARD???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 23:25:46 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1119396346.642813.17420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110784
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d94ed2$5a8$0@pita.alt.net>
"Time Lard" <NotRoger@NotGlendale> wrote:
>
>
>
> AB8MQ spewed:

BWHAHAHAHA..you see Roger everwhere don't you, bitch?

> Roger should beg a ride

You should get to the doctor and beg that he pulls your malformed head
out of your ass. He doens't have to beg for a ride, since he has a
better "ride" than that used crappy Ford Contour you drive. Or are you
driving that rustbucket Geo these days?
And let's not forget your "typos" or are you wiping your grandkid's cum
all over your cheapassed keyboard again after you assfuck him?

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"

Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

3402. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 23:43:41 UTC
From: W2DNE <info@ultra-dne.com>
Message-ID: <d9a8npghd1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 110785

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:ns%te.1627$m72.1366@news02.roc.ny...

> Even worse is an old guy hanging out with kids.

Neatest "old guy" I know (pushing 80-something now) is a WW-II vet who spends all his summer taking kids (dependents of deployed CT military) on day trips fishing on his dime. He feels useful, the kids line up for weeks to get on his "list", the deployed warriors feel someone "cares", and everyone wins. It's called "putting something back". This guy deserves a medal, but unfortunately if he's identified publicly some cinic like you would do your best to rain on his good deed.

DNE

3403. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-21 23:48:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <DH1ue.1643$Lg2.1356@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 110786

"W2DNE" <info@ultra-dne.com> wrote in message
news:d9a8npghd1@domitilla.aioe.org...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:ns%te.1627$m72.1366@news02.roc.ny...
>
> > Even worse is an old guy hanging out with kids.
>
> Neatest "old guy" I know (pushing 80-something now) is a WW-II vet who
spends
> all his summer taking kids (dependents of deployed CT military) on day
trips
> fishing on his dime. He feels useful, the kids line up for weeks to get
on his
> "list", the deployed warriors feel someone "cares", and everyone wins.
It's
> called "putting something back". This guy deserves a medal, but
unfortunately
> if he's identified publicly some cinic like you would do your best to rain
on
> his good deed.
>
> DNE
>

Point well made. I was really concerned with some that cause many problems
for a lot of folks.

My elmer, back in 1961, was Russ, W2ZS. He was in his mid 60s then and
passed away in the mid 70s. Heck of a great guy.

Certainly there are good folks, young and old.

Some of the things that have happened of late will likely keep some of the
older folks from trying to get involved, as well as keeping some of the
youngsters away in fear as well.

I'm not always that careless with my comments; I have had my rabies shots
:))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3404. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 00:00:04 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <b3556c904ab2871f059dfc3fa6bb89dc@dizum.com>
Source record: 110788

In article <Hv6dnbSIa6sYtCvfRVn-pg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Well, dumbass, you got it from that website on blogeasy.com.
>
> You

You don't lie very well, like you don't do cw very well.

> > Word for word. You are nothing but a lousy plagiarist.
>
> Didn't (Stuttering Butt Cornholer won't get on voice either)

Poor Butt Conrtholer, he just lies and lies and lies, never
backs up anything he says...Are you related to Nursie Robeson?

>
> > You still
> > fucked up though, since you can't find Roger's ex-wife to
begin
> > with.
>
> Why wo (Oh, so you like admitting you make unsubstantiated
claims, like your "pilot's license")

More excuses for your fuck up, Butt Cornholer? Like you make
excuses that you can't get your Extra Class. You couldn't do 20
wpm even if you make the claim you can do 30 wpm.

>
> > You and Lardass Davies have made about 3 different guesses
> > and they've all been wrong. So any thing you say about him
> > regarding his ex-wife and wetting the bed has no credibility.
> > Just like you.
>
> Yet w (more excuses)

What part of "that isn't part of Roger's ex wife and anything
you say has no credibility dont' you understand. Goddamn are you
one stupid old fuck.

>
> >

> > Funny how the retest letter didn't specify that, plagiarizing
> > Butt Cornholer. In fact the only reason given was:
> >
> > "Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission’s
> > Rules, 47 C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the
> > authority to re-administer any examination element previously
> > administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE’s). The
Commission
> > may either administer the examination itself, or under the
> > supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
> > designated by the Commission."
> >
> > Face it, dumbass, you lost,
>
> Face it (blathering)

Face it, you can't read. The above quote that states the FCC can
retest anybody under the VE program is quite plain and easy for
most people to read and understand.
You lose, as usual, speaking of losing, how's that losing battle
with your receding hairline going?
Get that Roagaine, yet?

>
> Funny (so's your gopher like face, Butt Cornholer)

Funny how the retest letter doesn't say interference is the
reason. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

> >
> > Still waiting on proof you have a pilot's and 1st class
> > radiotelephone commercial license.
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
>
> Still (making excuses, I see)

Still waiting for your proof that you actually have a brain.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

> Still (making excuses, I see)

Still waiting for your proof that you have a pilot's license,
and that one out of the cracker jack box doesn't count.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
--
Butt Cornholer makes good spell in <SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-
rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

3405. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 00:02:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119398524.682860.84670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110787

From: Leo on Jun 21, 6:54 pm

>On 21 Jun 2005 09:13:41 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>Leo wrote:

>>> With regard to your second point, though - 'obsessive' wouldn't refer
>>> to Jim's 'treatment' of Len - it is in the relentless pursuit of
>>> proving the individual wrong that we would find the true definition of
>>> the word.
>
>>I disagree!
>
>There you go - you've finally got it! You've been disagreeing to no
>avail for eight years here, on and on and on - that's the problem!
>
>Just 11 more steps to go!

Antabuse might be quicker...? :-)

>>I am simply persistent.
>
>Or persistently simple. Time will tell! :)

Obsessively persistent? :-)

>>I offer strong opposition to some of
>>the errors of fact and reasoning presented here by Len and others.
>
>Oh, that's nice. How's that working out for you? Changed anybody's
>mind lately?

:-) [with all that "parenting" he implies he's had you'd
think he changed SOMETHING at one time...]

>>Len gets all upset by that, and attacks the messenger (me).
>
>You don't really believe that, do you? You're not the entertainer -
>you're the entertainment! He pokes and prods, and you sing and dance
>- been that way for 8 long years now.

"Poke, poke, prod, prod, oh what a fun it is," sang Seltzer.

>>Is it unacceptable behaviour for me to be persistent about getting some
>>things right (such as whether or not Novices and Advanceds can
>>renew and modify their licenses without retesting)?
>
>I submit that those who need to know the correct answer to those types

>of questions probably already do - if they are actually interested in
>the answer, then they would listen. The rest might just be pushing
>your buttons.....for fun.....y'think?

Nahhhhhh..... :-)

>Let me guess - you opted out of Psych 101 at good ol' Dreidel U too,
>didn't you? :)

?

>>I can't control someone else's posting of information and reasoning
>>that is in error. But I can refute it with facts and clear logic,
>>and resources permit I do just that. Hardly obsessive, IMHO.
>
>You can only control your own postings. You may also refute and argue
>points. That is not obsesssive.
>
>To do so fruitlessly for eight years, on a nearly weekly basis, is
>very likely just - weeeelll - a tad obsessive.....! LOL!

Nahhhhhh..... :-)

>>You were quite persistent in coming up with proof that I was
>>mistaken about the use of the word "feldwebel". It's clear that
>>the person to whom that rank was attributed never held it. Was
>>that "obsessive" on your part? I don't think so, just persistence
>>in getting something right.
>
>In a way, yes.
>
>I asked you several times if being correct was important to you.
>
>You replied that it was.
>
>I asked if being correct was very important to you.
>
>You replied that it was.
>
>I confirmed this again, and you agreed that it was very important to
>you.
>
>So, I spent about 20 minutes on Google and provided you with the
>correct info and a few references for you to read.
>
>That's what you said that you wanted - and that's what I provided.
>
>Obsessive? No. Persistent? Not really - it wasn't a lot of work -
>and I knew the correct answers before Googling the references - so I
>wouldn't say persistent exactly.
>
>However - If I kept doing it every week or so for eight years, with no

>success, over and over again - yup, that might be a problem - I'd be
>wondering if some parts fell off the ol' brainpan on a curve a ways
>back there or something.....

I suspect it could be summed-up simply: "They are always right!"

[that kind of says it all...maybe...]

Or: "Leggo my ego!" [and I'm not 'waffling' around :-)]

Twenty years doing this kind of computer-modem communications
and it is endlessly fascinating to watch the egos jumping up
and down in high agitation! Everyone always "right" and
everyone else always "wrong!"

>>73 (to all hams) de Jim, N2EY
>
>73, Leo (is it just me, or is there a diss aimed at me again in ol'
>Jim's sig above? heh heh)

Heh heh heh heh heh.....

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3406. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 00:03:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0b2dneHC6opONyXfRVn-uw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110789

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d992tqrha1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>
>>John Smith wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I suppose everyone has something to say...
>>>
>>>We are experiencing a time in our history where people are living a lot
>>>longer than they ever used to--and in better health.
>>>
>>>This is causing a problem in how to get them moved aside so younger and
>>>more capable minds can grab the reins. However, those grizzled old
>>>hands hands have a death-grip while the buggy heads for the cliff...
>>>
>>
>>John, you are showing signs of being an ageist.
>>
>>A person's ability to do a job is not specifically related to their
>>age. That is an old paradigm.
>>
>>In fact, in the technical fields, there is a dramatic lack of young
>>Americans.
>>
>>So if the geezers have that "death grip" on the reins removed, there
>>likely won't be anyone to take it up.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>
>
> Hello, Mike
>
> There is a dearth of young folks in technical fields as the religious right
> has no (perceived) need for them.

Interesting topic drift, but yes you are correct. It is of great
interest that the righteous religious have a love/hate relationship with
technology. While they are very happy to use the technology that exists
to subdue the "enemy", they are most uncomfortable with any new
technology. The problem is that the mindset of those who would pursue

new technology is in general anathema to them.

> Our engineering is now done in India and manufacturing in China. I've had
> two small appliances now that failed in 6 months or less. The "warrantee"
> is you send them the bad unit and they give you a replacement - and half
> price. So, they simply sold another unit.

Sweet, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3407. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 00:44:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <L5udnR45FLw0KSXfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 110790

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:uP%te.275$ku7.18502747@news.sisna.com...
> Mike:
>
> Let me break this to you gently, what you have to say is just not
> important in the whole scheme of things--a year from now we will see what
> we all feel as whole--after debate and argument...
>
> I didn't come here for you to teach me english--rant on if you must--just
> know it is ignored...
>
> No, there is little need for interpretation of what you say, it is
> obvious:
> 1) there is no problem
> 2) even if a problem exists--no reason to worry
> 3) we need not do anything
> 4) I (Mike, meaning YOU) am happy, everyone else shut up and go away
> 5) status quo is all which matters
> 6) etc, etc, etc
>
> I don't make personal attacks--however, if the truth offends someone, so
> be it...
>
> John
>

However looking in the wrong places for solutions won't solve the problem
either.

You want to repeat solutions that haven't worked.

You ignore the concept that the average age of our entire population is
rising.

You ignore the idea that radio will only appeal to certain types of people.

You ignore the fact that many people simply don't know about ham radio.

You ignore the fact that all hobby activities are having trouble getting new
members because now everyone is split over more activities than ever before
and that it's not unique to ham radio.

You think one "magic bullet" (eliminating the code test) will fix the
problem.

Changing the testing requirements are highly unlikely to cause a significant
impact because they do not address the main issues.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3408. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 00:49:44 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8LGdncwWtLhMKCXfRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 110791

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:uB0ue.307$pJ.18795642@news.sisna.com...
> KXHB:
>
> Yes, that is always the trouble with those getting their "15 minutes of
> fame"--they NEVER leave gracefully... <frown>
>
> ... time for new ideas and motivated minds with energy to move up front...
>
> ... all walkers, wheelchairs and crutches to the back, next to those
> clutching bottles of laxatives, blood pressure medication, heart burn
> medication, viagra, etc, etc... if you forget your name--don't worry--a
> name tag has been placed on your chest to remind you...
>
> John
>

If I had a buck for all the mistakes the fellows with "... time for new ideas and motivated minds with energy" made and that I had to straighten out, I'd be rich. Every activity needs a BLEND OF OLD, NEW, and IN-BETWEEN to get the best results.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:2i0ue.7060$hK3.3382@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>>
>>>....so younger and more capable minds ....
>>
>> Gee, and I always thought that experience was "the best teacher". Silly
>> me! Turns out younger is more capable. By that tortured logic, we ought
>> to be issuing call signs on birth certificates so we can capture the
>> REALLY capable minds!
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

3409. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 00:52:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <vNGdnWWUqsXSKyXfRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110792

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>
>
>>....so younger and more capable minds ....
>
>
> Gee, and I always thought that experience was "the best teacher". Silly me!
> Turns out younger is more capable. By that tortured logic, we ought to be
> issuing call signs on birth certificates so we can capture the REALLY capable
> minds!

Didn't' you know, Hans - there are a brand new set of laws of physics
now - and the big thing is that they can only be understood by those
under 25.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

3410. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 01:04:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <95Odnd4wWoKAJCXfRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110793

Dee Flint wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:uP%te.275$ku7.18502747@news.sisna.com...
>
>>Mike:
>>
>>Let me break this to you gently, what you have to say is just not
>>important in the whole scheme of things--a year from now we will see what
>>we all feel as whole--after debate and argument...
>>
>>I didn't come here for you to teach me english--rant on if you must--just
>>know it is ignored...
>>
>>No, there is little need for interpretation of what you say, it is
>>obvious:
>>1) there is no problem
>>2) even if a problem exists--no reason to worry
>>3) we need not do anything
>>4) I (Mike, meaning YOU) am happy, everyone else shut up and go away
>>5) status quo is all which matters
>>6) etc, etc, etc
>>
>>I don't make personal attacks--however, if the truth offends someone, so
>>be it...
>>
>>John
>>
>

Dee steps into the ring and spars for a couple seconds.........

> However looking in the wrong places for solutions won't solve the problem
> either.
>
> You want to repeat solutions that haven't worked.

She lands a quick right.

> You ignore the concept that the average age of our entire population is
> rising.

Quick feint...

> You ignore the idea that radio will only appeal to certain types of people.

A few hits to the midsection....

> You ignore the fact that many people simply don't know about ham radio.

another feint..

> You ignore the fact that all hobby activities are having trouble getting new
> members because now everyone is split over more activities than ever before
> and that it's not unique to ham radio.

Followed by a quick hit to the head.

> You think one "magic bullet" (eliminating the code test) will fix the
> problem.

Stunning the opponent, who falls to the canvas.....

> Changing the testing requirements are highly unlikely to cause a significant
> impact because they do not address the main issues.

And at last, a scene reminding me of the famous shot of Muhammad Ali
standing over Jim Frasier....

And since we are making sports comparisons,

Game, Set, Match, Dee.

- Mike KB3EIA -

(who is sitting here tonight, listening to my newly resurrected
Heathkit HW-22 tube rig, while using my other radio to work psk-31, and
typing a few notes via the internet....)

It's all good......

- Mike KB3EIA -

3411. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 01:42:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rm3ue.315$764.19474469@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110795

Yep, more attacks, no solutions offered... but then, that is quite
natural for here... now back to:

1) analyze the problem to death.
2) claim there is nothing wrong
3) claim even if there is something wrong, nothing will work to fix it.
4) pretend no one notices what is really going on
5) go back to the few old guys on the net and rant with them.
6) pretend that we will live forever
7) argue one new license a year is enough
8) don't ask the people who are NOT hams why they don't get a license
9) if they tell us why--deny that it is a valid reason
10) carry on as if it is still yesterday.
11) etc, etc, etc...

... yawn...

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:95Odnd4wWoKAJCXfRVn-qg@adelphia.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:uP%te.275$ku7.18502747@news.sisna.com...
>>
>>>Mike:
>>>
>>>Let me break this to you gently, what you have to say is just not
>>>important in the whole scheme of things--a year from now we will see
>>>what we all feel as whole--after debate and argument...
>>>
>>>I didn't come here for you to teach me english--rant on if you
>>>must--just know it is ignored...
>>>
>>>No, there is little need for interpretation of what you say, it is
>>>obvious:
>>>1) there is no problem
>>>2) even if a problem exists--no reason to worry
>>>3) we need not do anything
>>>4) I (Mike, meaning YOU) am happy, everyone else shut up and go away
>>>5) status quo is all which matters
>>>6) etc, etc, etc
>>>
>>>I don't make personal attacks--however, if the truth offends someone,
>>>so be it...

>>>
>>>John
>>>
>>
>
> Dee steps into the ring and spars for a couple seconds.........
>
>> However looking in the wrong places for solutions won't solve the
>> problem either.
>>
>> You want to repeat solutions that haven't worked.
>
> She lands a quick right.
>
>
>> You ignore the concept that the average age of our entire population
>> is rising.
>
> Quick feint...
>
>> You ignore the idea that radio will only appeal to certain types of
>> people.
>
> A few hits to the midsection....
>
>> You ignore the fact that many people simply don't know about ham
>> radio.
>
> another feint..
>
>> You ignore the fact that all hobby activities are having trouble
>> getting new members because now everyone is split over more
>> activities than ever before and that it's not unique to ham radio.
>
> Followed by a quick hit to the head.
>
>> You think one "magic bullet" (eliminating the code test) will fix the
>> problem.
>
> Stunning the opponent, who falls to the canvas.....
>
>> Changing the testing requirements are highly unlikely to cause a
>> significant impact because they do not address the main issues.
>
> And at last, a scene reminding me of the famous shot of Muhammad Ali
> standing over Jim Frasier....
>
> And since we are making sports comparisons,
>
> Game, Set, Match, Dee.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> (who is sitting here tonight, listening to my newly resurrected
> Heathkit HW-22 tube rig, while using my other radio to work psk-31,
> and typing a few notes via the internet....)
>
> It's all good......
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3412. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 01:45:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <xo3ue.316$FR4.19671151@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110797

... hmm, too bad we will all die soon... guess it will be the end of the world then, huh? Hey, that sounds like what my parents said!!!, and they lied!!!...

... you don't suspect people will think you are taking yourself much, much too seriously do you? And, the would will get along just fine without you?

John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:8LGdncwWtLhMKCXfRVn-rA@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:uB0ue.307$pJ.18795642@news.sisna.com...
>> KXHB:
>>
>> Yes, that is always the trouble with those getting their "15 minutes
>> of fame"--they NEVER leave gracefully... <frown>
>>
>> ... time for new ideas and motivated minds with energy to move up
>> front...
>>
>> ... all walkers, wheelchairs and crutches to the back, next to those
>> clutching bottles of laxatives, blood pressure medication, heart burn
>> medication, viagra, etc, etc... if you forget your name--don't
>> worry--a name tag has been placed on your chest to remind you...
>>
>> John
>>
>
> If I had a buck for all the mistakes the fellows with "... time for
> new ideas and motivated minds with energy" made and that I had to
> straighten out, I'd be rich. Every activity needs a BLEND OF OLD,
> NEW, and IN-BETWEEN to get the best results.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:2i0ue.7060$hK3.3382@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>>>
>>>>....so younger and more capable minds ....

>>>
>>> Gee, and I always thought that experience was "the best teacher".
>>> Silly me! Turns out younger is more capable. By that tortured
>>> logic, we ought to be issuing call signs on birth certificates so we
>>> can capture the REALLY capable minds!
>>>
>>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3413. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 01:46:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Tp3ue.317$pr4.19565628@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110796

Mike:

Geesh... old geezers taking themselves far too seriously... now where have I see that before?

You guys are real legends--legends in your own minds!!!

John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:vNGdnWWUqsXSKyXfRVn-oQ@adelphia.com...
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>....so younger and more capable minds ....
>>
>>
>> Gee, and I always thought that experience was "the best teacher".
>> Silly me! Turns out younger is more capable. By that tortured logic,
>> we ought to be issuing call signs on birth certificates so we can
>> capture the REALLY capable minds!
>
> Didn't' you know, Hans - there are a brand new set of laws of physics
> now - and the big thing is that they can only be understood by those
> under 25.....
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3414. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 01:47:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Hq3ue.318$QH4.19647610@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110794

... now that is certainly better than nothing--and a heck of a lot more
than most do--I suspect...

John

"W2DNE" <info@ultra-dne.com> wrote in message
news:d9a8npghd1@domitilla.aioe.org...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:ns%te.1627$m72.1366@news02.roc.ny...
>
>> Even worse is an old guy hanging out with kids.
>
> Neatest "old guy" I know (pushing 80-something now) is a WW-II vet who
> spends
> all his summer taking kids (dependents of deployed CT military) on day
> trips
> fishing on his dime. He feels useful, the kids line up for weeks to
> get on his
> "list", the deployed warriors feel someone "cares", and everyone wins.
> It's
> called "putting something back". This guy deserves a medal, but
> unfortunately
> if he's identified publicly some cinic like you would do your best to
> rain on
> his good deed.
>
> DNE
>
>
>
>
>

3415. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 02:08:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <EK3ue.319$gb5.19768396@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110798

Len:

Don't know about you, but this bunch is driving me to drink... let's go
have a beer! <evil-grin>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1119398524.682860.84670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: Leo on Jun 21, 6:54 pm
>
>>On 21 Jun 2005 09:13:41 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>Leo wrote:
>
>>>> With regard to your second point, though - 'obsessive' wouldn't
>>>> refer
>>>> to Jim's 'treatment' of Len - it is in the relentless pursuit of
>>>> proving the individual wrong that we would find the true definition
>>>> of
>>>> the word.
>>
>>>I disagree!
>>
>>There you go - you've finally got it! You've been disagreeing to no
>>avail for eight years here, on and on and on - that's the problem!
>>
>>Just 11 more steps to go!
>
> Antabuse might be quicker...? :-)
>
>
>>>I am simply persistent.
>>
>>Or persistently simple. Time will tell! :)
>
> Obsessively persistent? :-)
>
>
>>>I offer strong opposition to some of
>>>the errors of fact and reasoning presented here by Len and others.
>>
>>Oh, that's nice. How's that working out for you? Changed anybody's
>>mind lately?
>
> :-) [with all that "parenting" he implies he's had you'd

> think he changed SOMETHING at one time...]
>
>>>Len gets all upset by that, and attacks the messenger (me).
>>
>>You don't really believe that, do you? You're not the entertainer -
>>you're the entertainment! He pokes and prods, and you sing and dance
>>- been that way for 8 long years now.
>
> "Poke, poke, prod, prod, oh what a fun it is," sang Seltzer.
>
>
>>>Is it unacceptable behaviour for me to be persistent about getting
>>>some
>>>things right (such as whether or not Novices and Advanceds can
>>>renew and modify their licenses without retesting)?
>>
>>I submit that those who need to know the correct answer to those types
>>of questions probably already do - if they are actually interested in
>>the answer, then they would listen. The rest might just be pushing
>>your buttons.....for fun.....y'think?
>
> Nahhhhhh..... :-)
>
>>Let me guess - you opted out of Psych 101 at good ol' Dreidel U too,
>>didn't you? :)
>
> ?
>
>>>I can't control someone else's posting of information and reasoning
>>>that is in error. But I can refute it with facts and clear logic,
>>>and resources permit I do just that. Hardly obsessive, IMHO.
>>
>>You can only control your own postings. You may also refute and argue
>>points. That is not obsesssive.
>>
>>To do so fruitlessly for eight years, on a nearly weekly basis, is
>>very likely just - weeeelll - a tad obsessive.....! LOL!
>
> Nahhhhhh..... :-)
>
>>>You were quite persistent in coming up with proof that I was
>>>mistaken about the use of the word "feldwebel". It's clear that
>>>the person to whom that rank was attributed never held it. Was
>>>that "obsessive" on your part? I don't think so, just persistence
>>>in getting something right.
>>
>>In a way, yes.
>>
>>I asked you several times if being correct was important to you.
>>

>>You replied that it was.
>>
>>I asked if being correct was very important to you.
>>
>>You replied that it was.
>>
>>I confirmed this again, and you agreed that it was very important to
>>you.
>>
>>So, I spent about 20 minutes on Google and provided you with the
>>correct info and a few references for you to read.
>>
>>That's what you said that you wanted - and that's what I provided.
>>
>>Obsessive? No. Persistent? Not really - it wasn't a lot of work -
>>and I knew the correct answers before Googling the references - so I
>>wouldn't say persistent exactly.
>>
>>However - If I kept doing it every week or so for eight years, with no
>>success, over and over again - yup, that might be a problem - I'd be
>>wondering if some parts fell off the ol' brainpan on a curve a ways
>>back there or something.....
>
> I suspect it could be summed-up simply: "They are always right!"
>
> [that kind of says it all...maybe...]
>
> Or: "Leggo my ego!" [and I'm not 'waffling' around :-)]
>
> Twenty years doing this kind of computer-modem communications
> and it is endlessly fascinating to watch the egos jumping up
> and down in high agitation! Everyone always "right" and
> everyone else always "wrong!"
>
>
>
>>>73 (to all hams) de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>73, Leo (is it just me, or is there a diss aimed at me again in ol'
>>Jim's sig above? heh heh)
>
> Heh heh heh heh heh.....
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

3416. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 02:44:34 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <H8GdnYO1QPoeTSXfRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110799

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:rm3ue.315$764.19474469@news.sisna.com...
> Yep, more attacks, no solutions offered... but then, that is quite
> natural for here... now back to:
>
> 1) analyze the problem to death.
> 2) claim there is nothing wrong
> 3) claim even if there is something wrong, nothing will work to fix it.
> 4) pretend no one notices what is really going on
> 5) go back to the few old guys on the net and rant with them.
> 6) pretend that we will live forever
> 7) argue one new license a year is enough
> 8) don't ask the people who are NOT hams why they don't get a license
> 9) if they tell us why--deny that it is a valid reason
> 10) carry on as if it is still yesterday.
> 11) etc, etc, etc...
>
> ... yawn...
>
> John

First of all John I see little humor in this discussion bringing into the mix those poor souls with Alzheimer's syndrome ..... It is like the idiot who posts here with references to colostomy bags ..... I sincerely hope that either of you never have to go through that in your lives.

I am still awaiting John for specifics on what you have done to foster an appreciation in younger people for the service.
General bitching about the way things are won't cut it ...that my friend is too easy and is the tact of a pontificating twit. Yesterday was a day I will always remember at our club meeting. Matt, a teen with a LD passed his no code. He studied his backside off for the test ....took it 3 times before. I have more respect for that young fellow than incessant moaners I see on this list and in other places.

KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.

3417. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 02:46:52 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <A-CdnU4z95pxRiXfRVn-hQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110553

John Smith wrote:

> ... you guys just don't get it do you? My whole point is that all you
> are about is personal attacks and not about any real discussion--to
> which you supply another personal attack as if that doesn't prove my
> point.... get a clue.... you constantly demonstrate that you are
> self-serving and into attacking any person who presents any part of the
> problem--since you benefit from the existing problem...

Looks like you are the one that just dosen't get it johnnyboy. The older generation isn't just a bunch of usless people taking up space here on this earth as you seem to think. It seems you are the self-serving one, you don't want to be bothered by anyone older than you, you seem to think you have all the answers. Got news for you johnnyboy, you don't, many of that older generation you seem to despise quite often know more than you ever will.

3418. Re: "Typos", TimeLARD???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 02:55:19 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <ErKdnXYvoop6QCXfRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110554
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA two-test fatboy wogie wrote:

>
>
> He doens't

Two-test wogie screws up again, but then that is nothing new. What is
"doens't"? Did you mean "doesn't" as in "does not" wogie?
BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

3419. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 03:00:29 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <PsCdnUBZ8JGDQiXfRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110555

Nomen Nescio wrote:

>
> More excuses for your fuck up, Butt Cornholer? Like you make
> excuses that you can't get your Extra Class. You couldn't do 20
> wpm even if you make the claim you can do 30 wpm.

One more time wogie, I put the challenge before you, name the time and frequency for a cw contact, or are you too chicken to take me up? Yeah, guess that's it. Chicken little coward hide-behind-the-curtains two-test wogie. Always runs when he is challenged to back up his blubbering.

>
>>>Funny how the retest letter didn't specify that, plagiarizing

Funny how you had to retest to keep your license after you were caught jamming on 20 meters. BAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

3420. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 03:03:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Yx4ue.323$886.20186236@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110550

Garigue:

True, I would get very little or no enjoyment out of poking fun at
people with REAL disabilities--not disabilities someone imposes upon
oneself...

... so, kinda of obvious I suspect some may be able to rise above the
low levels of self-serving motivations they have sunk too... and these
are the ones I poke fun at--ya suppose? <grin>

... however, my optimism has not been well placed..

John

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:H8GdnYO1QPoeTSXfRVn-gg@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:rm3ue.315$764.19474469@news.sisna.com...
>> Yep, more attacks, no solutions offered... but then, that is quite
>> natural for here... now back to:
>>
>> 1) analyze the problem to death.
>> 2) claim there is nothing wrong
>> 3) claim even if there is something wrong, nothing will work to fix
>> it.
>> 4) pretend no one notices what is really going on
>> 5) go back to the few old guys on the net and rant with them.
>> 6) pretend that we will live forever
>> 7) argue one new license a year is enough
>> 8) don't ask the people who are NOT hams why they don't get a license
>> 9) if they tell us why--deny that it is a valid reason
>> 10) carry on as if it is still yesterday.
>> 11) etc, etc, etc...
>>
>> ... yawn...
>>
>> John
>
>
> First of all John I see little humor in this discussion bringing into
> the
> mix those poor souls with Alzheimer's syndrome ..... It is like the
> idiot
> who posts here with references to colostomy bags ..... I sincerely
> hope

> that either of you never have to go through that in your lives.
>
> I am still awaiting John for specifics on what you have done to
> foster an
> appreciation in younger people for the service.
> General bitching about the way things are won't cut it ...that my
> friend is
> too easy and is the tact of a pontificating twit. Yesterday was a day
> I
> will always remember at our club meeting. Matt, a teen with a LD
> passed his
> no code. He studied his backside off for the test ....took it 3 times
> before. I have more respect for that young fellow than incessant
> moaners I
> see on this list and in other places.
>
> KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
>
>

3421. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 03:04:44 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <g3lhb1lqna3520v02pu2ikk7llhffgab09@4ax.com>
Source record: 110551

On Tue, 21 Jun 2005 16:43:40 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On Mon, 20 Jun 2005 04:42:31 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>How so? I have neither defended nor attacked Len. I simply refuse to
>>>>join you in your obcessive crusade against him.
>>>
>>>The word is "obsessive". Jim's treatment of Len isn't.
>>
>>
>> Thanks, Dave. You are correct - my spelling of the word "obsessive"
>> was incorrect. Appreciate the help!
>
>You're welcome. I spotted it the first time you used it but didn't
>comment on it then.

Yeah, I should have caught it myself - didn't seem right when I wrote
it, but too lazy to look it up, I guess!

>
>
>> With regard to your second point, though - 'obsessive' wouldn't refer
>> to Jim's 'treatment' of Len - it is in the relentless pursuit of
>> proving the individual wrong that we would find the true definition of
>> the word.
>
>I don't see evidence of any *pursuit* of Len by Jim, much less
>"relentless pursuit".
>

Not sure I can agree with you on that point, Dave. It's been going on
for years on a pretty frequent basis - see my reply to Jim elsewhere
in this thread....

>Dave K8MN

73, Leo

3422. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 03:06:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1119409577.995344.135550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110552

funny the only IP address on there is Internet Assigned Numbers
Authority, Googles, and Internet Assigned Numbers Authority hmmm so it
seems some one used a anonymous mailer, used my email address, and if
this was sent today it is fake since I'm no longer with consolidated.
So it is a fake coming from a liar. Anyone can fake a email address,
apparently you don't have the brains to understand that and
consolidated communication were stupid enough to believe your lying
ass. Just like those tapes of my program are fake....then again were
talking about that information coming from a liar

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz
Saturday@4.00 p.m. CST

3423. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 03:07:11 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <ytSdnW3yctgyfSXfRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110557

garigue wrote:
>
>
> First of all John I see little humor in this discussion bringing into the
> mix those poor souls with Alzheimer's syndrome ..... It is like the idiot
> who posts here with references to colostomy bags ......

That's wogie wussman for sure, he has a real fixation for colostomy
bags. Don't ask, you don't want to know why.
>
> I am still awaiting John for specifics on what you have done to foster an
> appreciation in younger people for the service.

Nothing, all he can do is complain about the older generation.

> General bitching about the way things are won't cut it ...that my friend is
> too easy and is the tact of a pontificating twit.

I think you nailed johnnyboy.

> Yesterday was a day I
> will always remember at our club meeting. Matt, a teen with a LD passed his
> no code. He studied his backside off for the test ....took it 3 times
> before. I have more respect for that young fellow than incessant moaners I
> see on this list and in other places.
>
> KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.

Hooray for Matt!

3424. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 03:51:34 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <vclhb1hgtkb27lhlvf36lkaljj8usvb8v7@4ax.com>
Source record: 110556

On 21 Jun 2005 17:02:04 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: Leo on Jun 21, 6:54 pm
>
>>On 21 Jun 2005 09:13:41 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>Leo wrote:
>
>>>> With regard to your second point, though - 'obsessive' wouldn't refer
>>>> to Jim's 'treatment' of Len - it is in the relentless pursuit of
>>>> proving the individual wrong that we would find the true definition of
>>>> the word.
>>
>>>I disagree!
>>
>>There you go - you've finally got it! You've been disagreeing to no
>>avail for eight years here, on and on and on - that's the problem!
>>
>>Just 11 more steps to go!
>
> Antabuse might be quicker...? :-)

Hmmm - I wonder if there's a Usenet version of that! :)

>
>
>>>I am simply persistent.
>>
>>Or persistently simple. Time will tell! :)
>
> Obsessively persistent? :-)

That works too!

>
>
>>>I offer strong opposition to some of
>>>the errors of fact and reasoning presented here by Len and others.
>>
>>Oh, that's nice. How's that working out for you? Changed anybody's
>>mind lately?
>
> :-) [with all that "parenting" he implies he's had you'd
> think he changed SOMETHING at one time...]
>
>>>Len gets all upset by that, and attacks the messenger (me).
>>

>>You don't really believe that, do you? You're not the entertainer -
>>you're the entertainment! He pokes and prods, and you sing and dance
>>- been that way for 8 long years now.
>
> "Poke, poke, prod, prod, oh what a fun it is," sang Seltzer.

Heh - I remember those commercials - good rewrite!

>
>
>>>Is it unacceptable behaviour for me to be persistent about getting some
>>>things right (such as whether or not Novices and Advanceds can
>>>renew and modify their licenses without retesting)?
>>
>>I submit that those who need to know the correct answer to those types
>>of questions probably already do - if they are actually interested in
>>the answer, then they would listen. The rest might just be pushing
>>your buttons.....for fun.....y'think?
>
> Nahhhhhh..... :-)

Nahhhh - you're right. I didn't think so either! :)

>
>>Let me guess - you opted out of Psych 101 at good ol' Dreidel U too,
>>didn't you? :)
>
> ?

It's from another reply in this thread - made more sense back there, I
reckon!

>
>>>I can't control someone else's posting of information and reasoning
>>>that is in error. But I can refute it with facts and clear logic,
>>>and resources permit I do just that. Hardly obsessive, IMHO.
>>
>>You can only control your own postings. You may also refute and argue
>>points. That is not obsesssive.
>>
>>To do so fruitlessly for eight years, on a nearly weekly basis, is
>>very likely just - weeeelll - a tad obsessive.....! LOL!
>
> Nahhhhhh..... :-)

Not even a teensy bit? :)

>
>>>You were quite persistent in coming up with proof that I was
>>>mistaken about the use of the word "feldwebel". It's clear that
>>>the person to whom that rank was attributed never held it. Was
>>>that "obsessive" on your part? I don't think so, just persistence
>>>in getting something right.

>>
>>In a way, yes.
>>
>>I asked you several times if being correct was important to you.
>>
>>You replied that it was.
>>
>>I asked if being correct was very important to you.
>>
>>You replied that it was.
>>
>>I confirmed this again, and you agreed that it was very important to
>>you.
>>
>>So, I spent about 20 minutes on Google and provided you with the
>>correct info and a few references for you to read.
>>
>>That's what you said that you wanted - and that's what I provided.
>>
>>Obsessive? No. Persistent? Not really - it wasn't a lot of work -
>>and I knew the correct answers before Googling the references - so I
>>wouldn't say persistent exactly.
>>
>>However - If I kept doing it every week or so for eight years, with no
>>success, over and over again - yup, that might be a problem - I'd be
>>wondering if some parts fell off the ol' brainpan on a curve a ways
>>back there or something.....
>
> I suspect it could be summed-up simply: "They are always right!"
>
> [that kind of says it all...maybe...]

Something pretty compelling drives this sort of behaviour - that's
probably the seed, all right!

>
> Or: "Leggo my ego!" [and I'm not 'waffling' around :-)]

Ouch! :)

>
> Twenty years doing this kind of computer-modem communications
> and it is endlessly fascinating to watch the egos jumping up
> and down in high agitation! Everyone always "right" and
> everyone else always "wrong!"

That pretty much sums up my experience over the last twenty years
online as well - unlike in real life arguements - things are very
black-or-white in the newsgroups.....with little compromise. Didn't
seem quite as bad on the BBS systems, though - Usenet really seems to
bring out the big egos. YMMV, of course!

I find it continually amazing what people will argue over - or how passionate they'll get over the most simple and unimportant issue. I'm sure that there's a group somewhere on Usenet where death threats are exchanged over whether rotary dial phones are superior to TouchTone phones... :)

Can you imagine if real-life arguements played out like some of the ones in this little alternate reality? Somebody would end up doing some time for assault...or worse...

But here, in Fantasyland (not the one near you - this one...heh heh), everyone feels safe and secure, so the inhibitions drop. Look at the folks wrassling with some tool in another thread here for the last few days, for example - if that 'discussion' took place in a bar, he'd probably be waking up in Emergency somewhere early tomorrow morning. But, because it's here, and that possibility doesn't exist, it's no holds barred. Even the most bookish guy is Tyson when he's on here.....ding ding!

Funny thing is - people are trying to convince the guy that he's wrong, or heartless, or insensitive, or cruel - duh, it's obviously intentional trolling, but somehow, that got missed by several otherwise savvy folks..... Guess some peoples' radar doesn't work when the face-to-face interpersonal aspect is isolated from the conversation.

I will probably never fully understand the psychology of this.....

But, I suppose, as Barnum said..."There's a sucker born every minute."

Hey - maybe that's the psychology of this! :) :) :)

>
>
>>>73 (to all hams) de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>73, Leo (is it just me, or is there a diss aimed at me again in ol'
>>Jim's sig above? heh heh)
>
> Heh heh heh heh heh.....

He's doing it - I know he is..... and he knows that I know he's doing it too :)

Oh well - that's enough bashing at this for now....have a great evening, Len!

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

PS - before the Usenet police come to correct me on that Barnum quote (it's controversial whether he actually said it or not...), here's a reference:

http://www.worldofquotes.com/author/P.-T.-Barnum/1/

3425. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 04:11:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2y5ue.328$2d7.20443227@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110558

Buzzard:

I think everyone is just waiting for the oldsters who can't accept
change to die... and that is what will be occurring from here on out...
grab a seat the future unfolds before us... it waits for no man...

... all we are talking about here is being part of the solution, or part
of the problem--I can see the lines are well divided and in little
danger of changing--the grim reaper will now make the final decision...

John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:A-CdnU4z95pxRiXfRVn-hQ@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>
>> ... you guys just don't get it do you? My whole point is that all
>> you are about is personal attacks and not about any real
>> discussion--to which you supply another personal attack as if that
>> doesn't prove my point.... get a clue.... you constantly
>> demonstrate that you are self-serving and into attacking any person
>> who presents any part of the problem--since you benefit from the
>> existing problem...
>
> Looks like you are the one that just dosen't get it johnnyboy. The
> older generation isn't just a bunch of usless people taking up space
> here on this earth as you seem to think. It seems you are the
> self-serving one, you don't want to be bothered by anyone older than
> you, you seem to think you have all the answers. Got news for you
> johnnyboy, you don't, many of that older generation you seem to
> despise quite often know more than you ever will.

3426. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 05:25:37 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119417937.531988.10690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110559

From: Leo on Jun 21, 11:51 pm

>On 21 Jun 2005 17:02:04 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>From: Leo on Jun 21, 6:54 pm
>>>On 21 Jun 2005 09:13:41 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>Leo wrote:

>>>There you go - you've finally got it! You've been disagreeing to no
>>>avail for eight years here, on and on and on - that's the problem!
>
>>>Just 11 more steps to go!
>
>> Antabuse might be quicker...? :-)
>
>Hmmm - I wonder if there's a Usenet version of that! :)

Hardly, ARPA's original USENET was the start of it all!

I saw it begin, clickety-clacking on a Model 33 wasting lots
of paper (that a corporation bought). Pages of sound and fury
signifying nothing much. Incurable mental disease infecting
all terminals!

>>>>I am simply persistent.
>
>>>Or persistently simple. Time will tell! :)
>
>> Obsessively persistent? :-)
>
>That works too!

OK.

>> "Poke, poke, prod, prod, oh what a fun it is," sang Seltzer.
>
>Heh - I remember those commercials - good rewrite!

Alka-Seltzer would cure one headache, then induce millions to
have more with that commercial! It should still be in the
collection of famous commercials over at the Television Academy
on Lankershim Blvd in North Hollywood. Haven't been there for
a while...

>>>I submit that those who need to know the correct answer to those types
>>>of questions probably already do - if they are actually interested in
>>>the answer, then they would listen. The rest might just be pushing
>>>your buttons.....for fun.....y'think?

>
>> Nahhhhhh..... :-)
>
>Nahhhh - you're right. I didn't think so either! :)

<nodding in agreement> Nudge, nudge, wink, wink...

>>>Let me guess - you opted out of Psych 101 at good ol' Dreidel U too,
>>>didn't you? :)
>
>> ?
>
>It's from another reply in this thread - made more sense back there, I
>reckon!

Got it! :-) Didn't see the previous post until this download
arrived in my mail box from Google.

[Oy veh, I wasn't wearing my yarmulke...]

>>>To do so fruitlessly for eight years, on a nearly weekly basis, is
>>>very likely just - weeeelll - a tad obsessive.....! LOL!
>
>> Nahhhhhh..... :-)
>
>Not even a teensy bit? :)

Not for a boneheadedly stubborn true morse Believer fedayin!

I liken it to an excrutiatingly slow "suicide bomber" act.

Only thing is, only the "bomber" will destruct. It's like that
Opel (?) TV ad that was circulating around the net, the one
demonstrating the "great German engineering!" Funny!

>>>However - If I kept doing it every week or so for eight years, with no
>>>success, over and over again - yup, that might be a problem - I'd be
>>>wondering if some parts fell off the ol' brainpan on a curve a ways
>>>back there or something.....
>
>
>> I suspect it could be summed-up simply: "They are always right!"
>
>> [that kind of says it all...maybe...]
>
>Something pretty compelling drives this sort of behaviour - that's
>probably the seed, all right!

"R U Siriusly" speaking (I'm a fan of Brewster Rockit, Space Guy),
it's the time-space insulation of the simplex communications via
computer-modem medium. Nearly everyone beginning that sort of
comms takes every word on the screen at face value...at first.

Some figure out that they can actually say ANYTHING they want
in a message (especially with no moderator there to censor them)
and they go bananas. They can say anything without fear of
reprisal. It's amazing the false courage these mighty message
warriors display! :-)

>> Or: "Leggo my ego!" [and I'm not 'waffling' around :-)]
>
>Ouch! :)

The pun is mightier than the sword? :-)

"When puns are outlawed, only outlaws will have puns!"

etc.

>> Twenty years doing this kind of computer-modem communications
>> and it is endlessly fascinating to watch the egos jumping up
>> and down in high agitation! Everyone always "right" and
>> everyone else always "wrong!"
>
>That pretty much sums up my experience over the last twenty years
>online as well - unlike in real life arguements - things are very
>black-or-white in the newsgroups.....with little compromise. Didn't
>seem quite as bad on the BBS systems, though - Usenet really seems to
>bring out the big egos. YMMV, of course!

No moderator present. All can drop their social conventions and
BE "all that they can (imagine) be." War heroes, sex kittens,
"doctors" (with PhDs from a correspondence "college"), all kinds
of better-than-everyone-else! :-)

As a co-sysop on a "social" BBS, actually a couple of them,
there was a chance to meet the real person in-person and find
out some were really what they said they were while others
were totally unlike their screen personna or just total flake
faux pas-sers. Lots of stories on that subject in this ultimate
geographical collection of really-real hams (in entertainment
industry). :-)

>I find it continually amazing what people will argue over - or how
>passionate they'll get over the most simple and unimportant issue.
>I'm sure that there's a group somewhere on Usenet where death threats
>are exchanged over whether rotary dial phones are superior to
>TouchTone phones... :)
>
>Can you imagine if real-life arguements played out like some of the
>ones in this little alternate reality? Somebody would end up doing
>some time for assault...or worse...

Actually I was once quite close to a real-life argument going
on live. Was along one side of a mini-mall in Burbank, CA,
that had the local HRO outlet (now moved to the other side).
Two hams (apparently) were into the finger-in-the-chest sort

of fight foreplay when I passed close to them with some
finished dry-cleaning (neatly wrapped). Not wanting to go
through the dry-clean cycle again, I avoided the heating-up
situation. A third (ham?) guy came out and spoke to both
and I drove off to home without seeing any fracas. Something
about "sub-bands" and individual license classes seemed to be
the main thesis of the testosterone-adrenaline-pumping exchange.

Once, on a social BBS, a group of "avenger" males decided to
"change the mind" of a womanizing schmuck. They did and said
schmuck later departed for other sites of conquest. Younger
type males, one a reservist (military) helo driver. Heard from
him what went down. Not pretty.

>But here, in Fantasyland (not the one near you - this one...heh heh),
>everyone feels safe and secure, so the inhibitions drop. Look at the
>folks wrassling with some tool in another thread here for the last few
>days, for example - if that 'discussion' took place in a bar, he'd
>probably be waking up in Emergency somewhere early tomorrow morning.
>But, because it's here, and that possibility doesn't exist, it's no
>holds barred. Even the most bookish guy is Tyson when he's on
>here.....ding ding!

It's like a real macho tuffy sauntering into a bar and
announcing "he'll lick any man in the joint!" All the
patrons smile in his direction. Doesn't realize he walked
into a gay bar...

>Funny thing is - people are trying to convince the guy that he's
>wrong, or heartless, or insensitive, or cruel - duh, it's obviously
>intentional trolling, but somehow, that got missed by several
>otherwise savvy folks..... Guess some peoples' radar doesn't work
>when the face-to-face interpersonal aspect is isolated from the
>conversation.

I liken it to morse code mode communications. Monotonic
arrhythmic tone bursts representing words and phrases. NO
tone of voice clues, no real emotion, no body language clue,
just the beeping. The beeper could be male, female, or
some unknown species...no way to tell for sure. Some hams
say they "make lifelong friends this way." OK for them, but
that's a bit like buying a used car sight unseen.

>I will probably never fully understand the psychology of this.....

Ah, but the field observation can be totally fascinating! :-)

>But, I suppose, as Barnum said..."There's a sucker born every minute."

Er, you weren't IN that bar I mentioned, were you?

>He's doing it - I know he is..... and he knows that I know he's doing
>it too :)

Tsk, tsk. :-)

>Oh well - that's enough bashing at this for now....have a great
>evening, Len!

Thank you and you too. Re-runs on the tube now that summer ist
a cumin' in, and HBO hasn't got anything tonight that we
haven't seen. :-(

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3427. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 05:27:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119418047.150757.182060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110560

From: John Smith on Jun 21, 10:08 pm

>Len:
>
>Don't know about you, but this bunch is driving me to drink... let's go
>have a beer! <evil-grin>
>
>John
>
><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>
>news:1119398524.682860.84670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Thanks for the invite, John, but I already have a date with
a gal from East McKeesport to go out to the junkyard and
watch the cars rust...gonna be an exciting evening! :-)

Well, it's either than or watch my hard disk defrag.

Either one is more exciting than this "discussion" about
late leaders of a national socialist partei or the
Pennsylvanians are always right, no matter what!

Epoxy is curing in the workshop. Maybe I'll go in there and
watch it set up instead...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3428. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 06:20:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2r7ue.351$8p1.21117033@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110561

Len:

That girl you are taking out, does she have a sister with most of her teeth still?

If so, I got a bottle of vodka and some orange juice--we could make it four-some!!!

Gawd, been awhile since I have seen cars rust up close!

Any still have some backseats in 'em? <grin>

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message news:1119418047.150757.182060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: John Smith on Jun 21, 10:08 pm
>
>>Len:
>>
>>Don't know about you, but this bunch is driving me to drink... let's
>>go
>>have a beer! <evil-grin>
>>
>>John
>>
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>
>>news:1119398524.682860.84670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Thanks for the invite, John, but I already have a date with
> a gal from East McKeesport to go out to the junkyard and
> watch the cars rust...gonna be an exciting evening! :-)
>
> Well, it's either than or watch my hard disk defrag.
>
> Either one is more exciting than this "discussion" about
> late leaders of a national socialist partei or the
> Pennsylvanians are always right, no matter what!
>
> Epoxy is curing in the workshop. Maybe I'll go in there and
> watch it set up instead...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

3429. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 08:19:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119428351.654377.309690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110562

N9OGL wrote:

> apparently you don't have the brains to understand that and
> consolidated communication were stupid enough to believe your lying
> ###.

I didn't lie, Todd.

I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
them.

However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
than adequate justification to pull your service.

You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.

It really is THAT simple.

> Just like those tapes of my program are fake....then again were
> talking about that information coming from a liar

Redirect your accusations of tapes, Todd. I don't ahve a horse in
that race.

> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
> Saturday@4.00 p.m. CST

There are no "shows" on Amateur Radio.

"Shows" are broadcasting.

If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation,
you're breaking the law.

Steve, K4YZ

3430. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 08:31:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119429088.379072.124280@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110563

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> [a lot of the anony-mousies won't know what we're talking
> about on this subject...

Why should they be any different than you, Lennie...?!?!

> they weren't here to see some of the
> astounding bragging going on by the late Dick Carroll and
> others in here]

It ain't bragging if ya done it.

He was a code tested 20WPM Extra...

You...?!?!? Well, you're not even an NCT...Can't hack a test that gradeschoolers pass with regularity.

Some "radio electronics professional".

Steve, K4YZ

3431. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 09:34:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119432851.670107.198990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110572

ah Stevie Boy nice to see you haven't changed a bit.

well in point of fact that statement is lie, but I am not shocked.
Still taking anything not confroming to your particular POV as proof of
illigality or falsehood. I noticed the Nursie refference made it up to
RN yet last I recall you were an LPN or was it PN the lowest rungs on
the nursing ladder

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > apparently you don't have the brains to understand that and
> > consolidated communication were stupid enough to believe your lying
> > ###.
>
> I didn't lie, Todd.
>
> I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> them.

Hmmm easy enough to call that a lie. In sending anything anywhere you
do in some generate it, but then that would be simply doing, what you
are so good

>
> However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
> grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
> than adequate justification to pull your service.
>
> You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
>
> It really is THAT simple.

and you who used to claim (and may still for all I know) to christain
turned him in, surely not your kindest of acts, and a violation of the
turn the other cheek maxim too

>
> > Just like those tapes of my program are fake....then again were
> > talking about that information coming from a liar
>
> Redirect your accusations of tapes, Todd. I don't ahve a horse in
> that race.

Nice spelling there from someone I do certainly remember as a member of
the spelling Nazi's

>
> > Todd N9OGL
> > THE N9OGL SHOW
> > 14.321.00 MHz
> > Saturday@4.00 p.m. CST
>
> There are no "shows" on Amateur Radio.
>
> "Shows" are broadcasting.

not always but then one must not use words in different manner than you aprove of. sounds he mean he holds court on the freq, and time, but you realy did know that

>
> If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation,
> you're breaking the law.

and anything you say is right

>From an old friend (of ham radio not of your's Stevie)

been busybuilding a new home and such so i have missed you, and the rest
>
> Steve, K4YZ

at least you have droped the 73's from your posted vitriol

3432. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 09:48:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119433724.861491.170720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110575

sorryJim but failing to back up an opinion doesn't mean they can't, may mean they simply choose not to bother

3433. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 10:58:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119437935.835222.18600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110569

Leo wrote:
> On 21 Jun 2005 02:49:00 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 20 Jun 2005 03:09:46 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 18:58:24 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> > >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >Irrelevant - Len has lost the argument.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> Oh. OK then. That matters a lot.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Glad you agree!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Guess that makes you 'right', then.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Yes, it does.
> >> >> >
> >> >> That's important!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Len was 'wrong', and you were
> >> >> >> 'right'.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Yep.
> >> >>

> >> >> That's important!
> >> >
> >> >> >> Feel better now?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Sure. How about you?
> >> >
> >> >> Just fine, thanks! Glad you're feeling better!
> >> >
> >> >I was pretty good before. How about you?
> >> >
> >> >> >> >The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> Godwin invoked.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >For what? I did not use Hitler/Nazi references to anyone
> >> >> >> >involved in the discussion. I simply stated the fact
> >> >> >> >that ol' Adolf was a feldwebel in the German Army in WW1.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> I see.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> You just felt it necessary to blurt that out, for no reason at > all?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Nope. With good reason.
> >> >>
> >> >> I'm sure that we'd all love to hear your good reason for
> >> >> resurrecting
> >> >> the work history of the long departed Fuhrer back there, Jim - > please share!
> >> >
> >> >It shows that the word "feldwebel", when it was used in connection with
> >> >a specific person, has Godwin connections.
> >>
> >> Oh. I see.
> >>
> >> I was wondering, because - well, there are a couple of errors with
> >> your statement .
> >>
> >> Let's have a look:
> >>
> >> 1. "The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1".
> >>
> >> Well, no. According to several historical references, our friend
> >> Adolf never achieved a rank higher than the equivalent of Lance
> >> Corporal by the end of WW I. Several translation facilities available
> >> on the Web (see below) translate "Feldwebel" to "Sergeant". This was
> >> a rank senior to his.
> >
> >Other references refer to him as "feldwebel" as in "feldwebel
> >schikelgruber. However, it appears that, in fact, he never actually
> >held that rank.
>

> Well, no. That would be a reference to another person entirely.
> Although "Schicklgruber" was Adolf's mother's maiden name, it was
> never given to him. He went by his father's now-famous last name for
> his entire time on this Earth.
>
> Same references should yield this information.
>
> >
> >So it comes down to whether the original writer of the sentence "shut
> >the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel" knew those facts or not.
>
> That was not a part of our discussion - as such, it is irrelevant in
> this context.
>
> >>
> >> 2. "It shows that the word "Feldwebel", when it was used in connection
> >> with a specific person, has Godwin connections."
> >>
> >> Well, no. Even if Mr. Hitler had indeed held that rank in the German
> >> (Bavarian, actually) Army during WW I, that was before the creation of
> >> the Nazi party in 1920. Bu that time, he had left that rank and
> >> entered politics.
> >
> >Not at all. Some people are still addressed by their rank long after
> >their
> >military service is done, such as "Captain" Peacock and "General"
> >Sarnoff.
>
> Well, no.
>
> Although that is certainly true in many instances, I am unaware of any
> historical references which refer to Mr. Hitler continuing to use the
> prefix "Corporal" (in German, of course) at any time following his
> departure from the Army. I would suggest that is indeed quite
> unkilely as it is a very low rank - and I would expect that anyone
> addressing the man in that fashion would have had some serious
> explaining to do.....
>
> >
> >>
> >> All you would have proven was his rank in the Army during WW I - just
> >> like thousands of other soldiers - none of whom attained the level of
> >> notoriety that Adolf did.
> >>
> >> Not exactly Godwin invokable stuff at all!
> >>
> >> A few references for you:
> >>
> >> FELDWEBEL
> >> http://odge.info/german-english/Feldwebel+%7Bm%7D.html

> >> http://www.silentwall.com/LuftwaffePortraits9.html
> >> http://babelfish.altavista.com/
> >>
> >> ADOLF
> >> http://www.remember.org/guide/Facts.root.hitler.html
> >> http://www.historyplace.com/worldwar2/riseofhitler/warone.htm
> >>
> >> NAZI PARTY
> >> http://mars.acnet.wnec.edu/~grempel/courses/germany/lectures/25naziorigins.html
> >>
> >> And, if one of your electives at good ol' Dreidel U was 20th Century
> >> World History, you should give them a call and see if you can still
> >> get your money back!
> >>
> >
> >Dreidel U? Where's that?
>
> I of course assumed that you attended one of the 'top' colleges.....
> :)
>
> (apologies for the abuse of Hebrew here...!)

Looks like an anti-Semitic zing at one of my alma maters, Leo.

> >I didn't take any 20th Century World History courses.
>
> Heh...I'm pretty sure that we are all aware of that now, Jim!
>
> >> >
> >> >> You of course realize that there is a school of thought that
> >> >> invocation of Godwin's Law can be interpreted to include any
> >> >> such
> >> >> reference to that - um - Teutonic regime of the 1930's and
> >> >> 1940's? Especially the Big Guy himself?
> >> >
> >> >Oh sure - but the classic interpretation is that Godwin only
> >> >applies when someone refers to another in such terms. Which
> >> >I have not done.
> >>
> >> I see...we'll deal with that next!
> >>
> >> >
> >> >That school of thought reminds me of the episode of "Blackadder III" in
> >> >which two characters are superstitious about the name of
> >> >a particular play by Shakespeare - supposedly, saying the name brings
> >> >bad luck. They refer to it as "the Scottish play", and if
> >> >someone says the actual name, they have to do an elaborate ritual to
> >> >excise the evil spirits.
> >> >
> >> >Of course Blackadder says the name of the play for them at every
> >> >opportunity.

> >> >>
> >> >> Oh - I forgot - you said you use another interpretation of that
> >> >> rule.......
> >> >
> >> >The correct one..
> >>
> >> Well, no.
> >
> >Well, yes.
>
> Well, no - you are not following all of it - just the part that suits
> your purpose.

Well, no.

Has an invocation of Godwing *ever* ended a thread on rrap?
>
> >
> >> Part of it - but not all. The intent of Godwin's Law was
> >> to provide an upper limit for the length of a Usenet thread - he
> >> theorized that, eventually, someone would make reference to the Nazis,
> >> and that would be that. End of thread.
> >
> >That may have been the original intent, but it doesn't usually work
> >that
> >way.
>
> Disagree - unless someone repealed or amended it, the law is still the
> Law....

Not enforced here, however.
>>
> >> I pointed out earlier that you really hadn't achieved anything useful
> >> by invoking Godwin, as the arguement would continue - your
> >> response,was that you had "won the arguement" because Len had referred
> >> to the Nazis.
> >>
> >And I did.
>
> Not according to Godwin's law.....Google it up, please....

Why?

> >> Mr. Godwin would disagree - if the thread continues, then the
> >> invocation of his law has failed.
> >
> >Do you know Mr. Godwin?
>
> Rhetorical question, not relevant. Ignored.
>
> >
> >> You can't use the 'correct' version if you don't use it all! :)

> >>
> >> >
> >> >> >> >> Not my job to judge that, Jim. That's apparently your role.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >And apparently your role has become "defender of the Len".
> >> >>
> >> >> How so? I have neither defended nor attacked Len.
> >> >
> >> >Len can do no wrong by you.
> >>
> >> Well, no. That just ain't so Google back a couple of years ago, and
> >> you'll see that Len and I haven't always been at peace..... :)
> >>
> >> Len has done no wrong to me
> >
> >Not "to" you - "by" you. Different thing entirely.
>
> Not at all. I personally deal with those who do wrong 'to' me. Doing
> wrong 'by' me is subjective - not my problem unless it impacts me
> directly.
>
> In society, we have police who deal with issues where people do wrong
> 'by' others. in here, apparently, we have you!

Well, no.

According to your behaviour here, nothing Len does warrants a negative
reaction from you. In fact, your interactions with him and on his
behalf
show you approve of his behaviour here. That's what "he can do no wrong
by you" means.

That you disagreed with him in the past is incidental.
>
> >
> >>- giving me no reason to do any wrong to
> >> him.
> >>
> >> Now, if I was to get on the keyboard and tell him that his
> >> professional knowledge and experience isn't worth anything, or that
> >> acquiring a ham license is better than sex, or that a Ham without
> >> Morse is like a day without sunshine - or worse - rag on him every
> >> chance that I get that he is 'wrong' about something.......well, then
> >> Len and I might have a problem getting along here.
> >
> >You don't need to do all that. I haven't done any of it.
>
> I suggest that you may want to rethink that statement - you have been
> telling Len (and others) that they have been "wrong", Incorrect, "in
> error", etc. for at least the last eight years, with almost weekly
> frequency (at minimum).

Well, no.

I first showed up on rrap in late 1997, less than 8 years ago.

There have been periods of much longer than a week when I was gone from rrap.

As for:

"telling him that his professional knowledge and experience isn't worth anything" - I haven't done that. I have said that his professional knowledge and experience don't qualify him for an amateur license, and that is a fact.

"acquiring a ham license is better than sex" - You won't hear that from me.

"a Ham without Morse is like a day without sunshine" - Not me again. I have said that a ham who doesn't have any Morse skills is not fully qualified as a radio amateur, and that is a fact.

"- or worse - rag on him every chance that I get that he is 'wrong' about something" - Not me! I have pointed out *some* of Len's mistakes, when he
has been in error - wrong - about something. Is that not allowed?

> >> ....well, then
> >> Len and I might have a problem getting along here.

The references to "Dreidel U." are very like Len's reactions when someone
catches him in an error and points it out.
>
> A few Google examples:
>
> Subject: Keep the quality, lose the spectrum Jul 17 1998
> "Len, you are just plain wrong here. You just don't understand the
> issue."

What *was* the issue? Was Len wrong about it?
>
> Subject: Who Is What? Feb 9 2001
> "No, Len, it is not correct. Let's look at what you wrote:"

What *did* Len write? Was he correct or not?
>
> Subject: ARS License Numbers Mar 4 2003
> "So you are incorrect again, Len. Mistaken. Just plain wrong."

Was Len correct that time? Or was he mistaken - just plain wrong?

> Subject: Wrong Again, Len! (Communicator Power) Mar 18 2004
> (Hmmm - that was your thread - quote not required for that one at all!

Well - was he right or wrong about "Communicator Power"?

> You don't think that eight years of "you're wrong, you're wrong..."
> wouldn't be deemed by a reasonable man to be a bit excessive?

Well, no. Not if the person really *is* wrong - mistaken - in error
about the subjects discussed.

Is there a time limit beyond which I cannot tell Len he's mistaken
about something?

> LOL!

> >
> >All you have to do is disagree with him about the Morse Code test,
> >defend
> >that opinion, and then point out an incosistency or two in his
> >postings.
>
> An inconsistency or two? For eight years?

I'm saying that if someone disagrees with Len about Morse Code testing
and then points out some incosistencies in Len's postings, Len will
go off in his typical fashion. Even if the errors are pointed out in
a courteous way, Len will go into attack mode.

> Do you actually believe that, after all of this time, that you are
> going to change anything by whining on?

"Whining on"?

btw, Len's been posting to rrap longer than I have, posts more and at
greater length than almost anyone else in rrap, and makes more mistakes
here than
I do as well. But I guess that's OK with you.

> Jeez, you'd make somebody a great ex-wife.... :)

Well, no.

> >> Wonder why that would be? Heh heh heh.
> >
> >> >> I simply refuse to
> >> >> join you in your obcessive crusade against him.
> >> >
> >> >You can't join what doesn't exist.
> >>
> >> Oh, it's there, all right. You read some of the stuff you write?
> >
> >I read all of it. Do you read the responses I get from Len?
>
> I certainly do. Do you think that will ever change?

Probably not. So what?

> Or do you enjoy being used for entertainment - laughed at, not with?

"ARE YOU BEING ENTERTAINED?!"

> >> Jeez, I'll bet you dream about the guy!
> >
> >Nope.
>
> Yup. In Technicolour.

Well, no.
>
> >> >> Heh. "Those who ain't with me are agin' me....!"
> >> >> - what movie was that from again???
> >> >
> >> >Not a movie - a good description of Len's newsgroup behaviour,
> >> >though!
> >>
> >> A pretty accurate description of your behaviour too, sadly enough.
> >
> >That claim is incorrect. Unlike Len, I have many civil, uninsulting
> >discussions here with those who disagree with me on a variety of
> >issues,
> >including the Morse Code test. Google up any exchange between N2EY and
> >K2UNK,
> >for example.
>
> Agreed.

So there *is* a difference!

> So why bother ragging on for eight solid years about issues
> that the folks you are arguing with will never agree?

Ask Len the same question. He's been at it longer.

> Jeez. Even Ghandi would have taken up golf by now. :)

> >> >> >> >In other words, you won't answer the question.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> That is correct - I have no opinion on the subject.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >That's a contradiction. You just answered the question.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >"I have no opinion on the subject" is a simple, direct answer.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Thanks!
> >> >>
> >> >> Actually, it is neither an answer nor a refusal to answer. It > is nothing at all.
> >> >
> >> >No, it's a valid answer. Look at the way opinion polls are
> >> >usually structured - they often have a six-choice scale, to be applied
> >> >to each statement:
> >> >
> >> >Strongly agree
> >> >Agree
> >> >Neither agree nor disagree (no opinion)

> >> >Disagree
> >> >Strongly disagree
> >> >No answer
> >> >
> >> >Often the last is implied - if the respondent doesn't choose any of the
> >> >first five choices, the sixth is applied.
> >>
> >> Oh - I see - it was an opinion poll and not a question. Sorry then -
> >> I thought is was a question! :)
> >
> >It's a question.
>
> X Strongly Disagree

"Is this the right room for an argument?"
>
> >>
> >> If thaat's true, though - "no answer" is a valid answer - it's right
> >> there on your list. But, you said that I had to have an answer, and
> >> that 'no answer' was not an answer. Waaaah!
> >>
> >> I'll pick that one then. No answer.
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> >> In short, I have
> >> >> >> no answer to your (rhetorical) question.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Yes, you do! Your answer is that you have no
> >> >> >opinion one way or the other.
> >> >>
> >> >> Heh heh.
> >> >
> >> >Which is a valid answer.
> >>
> >> Heh heh is never a valid answer! :) Heh heh.
> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Why should I answer the questions of others, when they don't
> >> >> >answer mine?
> >> >>
> >> >> Well, that's a bit childish, but it is Fathers' Day, so I'll
> >> >> help you out a bit here.
> >> >>
> >> >> Because you should!
> >> >
> >> >Why?
> >>
> >> Because I said so! Now go outside and play!
> >
> >Hehheh

> > >
> >> >> Why should you let the behaviour of others
> >> >> negatively influence yours?
> >> >
> >> >It's a question of fairness and equality. Also experience with
> >> >what is done with the information provided.
> >> >
> >> >> If Johnny jumped in the mud, would you jump in the mud?
> >> >
> >> >Not a valid analogy. Try this one:
> >> >
> >> >A neighbor is always asking to borrow your tools, but won't lend
> >> >you any of his. If you get a tool back, it's dirty, broken or both.
> >> >Meanwhile he keeps his tools in perfect condition.
> >> >
> >> >Should you keep lending him your tools?
> >>
> >> Actually, my analogy was right on the money. exactly two variables
> >> (jump / not jump) just like your option regarding the question (answer
> >> / don't answer). Yours has a few more variables.
> >
> >The number of variables is irrelevant in this case.
>
> Not true.

True.
> >
> >> In your example, the correct answer (following your logic) is: Borrow
> >> the neighbour's tools, break them and get them dirty, and give some of
> >> them back.
> >
> >Nope.
> >
> >The question was whether to keep lending him your tools (yes/no).
> >
> >Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
> >of his, so your solution is not feasible.
> >
> >You didn't fully understand the analogy.
>
> Who didn't understand what, Jim?

You didn't understand the analogy I made.

> You avoided my question, threw in
> your own to obfuscate the issue, and blamed it on my understanding?
>
> Not gonna happen, Bud!

The neighbour who borrows your tools and treats them badly but won't
lend you any of his is just like the person who asks you questions but

won't answer your questions. Why should you continue to lend the
neighbour tools - or answer someone's questions - when they behave
that way?

>
> >> After all, like you said, "It's a question of fairness and
> >> equality. Also experience with what is done with the information
> >> provided."
> >Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
> >of his, so your solution is not feasible.
>
> You're absolutely correct.
>
> You should steal the tools instead.

Well, no.

> >> >> >> >> In a fit of pique? As an insult? Forgot, maybe!
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >None of the above.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Not true.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >That claim is incorrect.
> >> >>
> >> >> I don't think so!
> >> >
> >> >If you know the answer, why ask the question?
> >>
> >> ....now that's one question that you really should have an answer to,
> >> Jim - that's something you do quite frequently?
> >>
> >> Or was that another rhetorical question?
> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Lid-like behaviour, wouldn't you think?
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Not at all.
> >>
> >> Well, impolite at least....nah, I'll stick with lid-like.
> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >The original meaning of "73" is "a friendly greeting
> >> >> >> >> >between operators". In the context of amateur radio,
> >> >> >> >> >this means between amateur radio operators.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> In the words of Hans - thank you, Captain Obvious!
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Most people don't know the original meaning.
> >> >> >>

> >> >> >> In an Amateur Radio newsgroup? Heh heh. OK, Jim - whatever
> >> >> >> you say.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Did *you* know the original meaning?
> >> >>
> >> >> I did indeed - it's not exactly a secret.....didn't I quote you
> >> >> something from the "92 code" a while back?
> >> >
> >> >You probably got the quote from me!
> >>
> >> Well, no. I got it on the Net - from this site:
> >>
> >> http://scard.buffnet.net/pages/tele/wurules1866/92code.html
> >>
> >> As I recall, it was late last year, when you first began questioning
> >> whether I was really me :)
> >>
> >> I sent you ""134, Leo" instead of 73 - a literal Internet-era
> >> translation of which would be "Who is at the key(board)?
> >>
> >> > >
> >> >> >> >It would be inappropriate to use the greeting to
> >> >> >> >someone who is not an amateur radio operator.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> Which I am. And have stated many times before.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >And your callsign is?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Not going to be used in this newsgroup. For reasons explained
> >> >> >> earlier.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Then there's room for doubt. Perhaps you are an amateur radio
> >> >> >operator, perhaps not.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Starts with VE3, though - issued in 2002.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Maybe...
> >> >>
> >> >> There you go again - not believing! :)
> >> >
> >> >Perhaps I should tap my shoes together and say "there's no place like
> >> >Ontario"...
> >>
> >> Well, if you think it would help.....if you want a VE3 or VA3
> >> licence, you'll need to come here for sure - but I'd try and find a
> >> more efficient method of transportation. That one only worked once -
> >> in 1939 :)
> >>
> >> (thinking to self: say, was that an attempt to insult me? nah,
> >> couldn't have been!) LOL!

> >>
> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> Poor memory? Google 'er up.....
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >I know what you claimed. But there's no independent
> >> >> >> >evidence.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> You claim to be an educated guy, Jim, there isn't much evidence
> >> >> >> >> of that either!
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Zing! Was that written in a fit of pique? As an insult?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Of course not!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Heh heh.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Simply an illustration that, in the absence of
> >> >> >> conclusive and irrefutable proof, one has no other means to ascertain
> >> >> >> whether another individual is misrepresenting themselves other than
> >> >> >> the evidence that they present in their posts over a period of time.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> So far, we haven't seen much of anything posted that would support
> >> >> >> your claims of post-grad education - no thesis references, no detailed
> >> >> >> insight which would require that level of training, no written
> >> >> >> expressions of advanced theoretical knowledge.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >All of which could be ghostwritten or cut-and-pasted from another
> >> >> >source. So they wouldn't be proof anyway.
> >> >>
> >> >> ...Patent application, published article - nah, you're right - you
> >> >> can't trust anybody these days....!

You misunderstand.

It would be a simple matter for someone to write postings in one
location and have them posted to usenet from somewhere else. That your
postings to rrap originate where they do is not proof of where or who
their author is.

That's all.

> >> >> A few moderately
> >> >> complex calculations, perhaps - some correct, at least one not > by a long shot.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> In short - your word is all we have.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >That applies to you as well. I can include "u" in certain words -
> >> >> >doesn't make me Canadian...
> >> >>
> >> >> True. Were you as adept at the Internet as you are with your radio,

> >> you could trace the message header to my ISP up here - wouldn't prove
> >> my nationality, but it would certainly nail down the geographical
> >> origin of the posts!
> >
> >Which proves nothing, since they could be remailed from that location.
> >Easy to do.
>
> Oh yeah. Forgot.
>
> Let's see...Rebranding of published articles...fake
> references...newsgroup postings spirited across the ether to foreign
> countries...clandestine Amateur Radio credentials......
>
> Um, wouldn't that be an awful lot of effort just to fool you?
>
> ROTFLMAO!

See above:It would be a simple matter for someone to write postings in
one location and have them posted to usenet from somewhere else. That
your postings to rrap originate where they do is not proof of where or
who their author is.

That's all.

>
> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> One can choose to doubt anything at all, Jim. You can. I can.
> >> >> Anyone can.
> >> >
> >> >We call it "reasonable doubt"...
> >>
> >> Reasonable is judgemental - we just call it "doubt". :)
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> But to choose to doubt someone simply because they no longer
> >> >> appear to
> >> >> agree with you or support your views - doesn't seem particularly
> >> >> brainy, now does it?
> >> >
> >> >Nope - but that's not what I'm doing.
> >>
> >> Not correct. Again.
> >
> >Your claim is incorrect.
>
> Really? Most of the things that you posted in this thread are - to
> use your word - incorrect.
>
> Sunnavagun! (Sorry again, Hans - stole that too!)

>
> >>
> >> >
> >> >73 de Jim, N2EY (I'll believe you're really a VE3)
> >>
> >> 73, Leo (nothing condescending in my sig! heh heh)
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY (I'll believe you're really a VE3)
>
> 73, Leo (trying hard to believe you're educated - but I promised I
> would so I will!)

It appears that this whole exchange about obsession is really just a disguised way of telling me to shut up and let Len post his mistakes and attacks without challenge. That's really what you want me to do.

Maybe you have a point. Perhaps I should simply step back and let you, Len, Brian/N0IMD, and "John Smith" rant on without comment.

Maybe I will.

3434. Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 11:34:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119440084.755496.85680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110573

K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED050616 -

WHERE IS THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STORY?

You read what the FCC says about K1MAN. You read about what other
hams say about K1MAN. Where do you read about what K1MAN says?
ARRL? No! QST? No! CQ? No! World Radio! No! K1TP? No!
QRZ? No! What is wrong with this picture, you ignorant hypocrites?

I'd say "nothing". What obligation do those other entities have
to promulgate your BS and sad story?

You got yourself into this corner all by yourself.

The ignorant one is the idiot facing his third NAL just before his
license is due to be renewed!

No wonder the Arabs hate our guts so much.

For whatever reason persons of Arabic nationalities may dislike
the United States, I'm willing to be that NONE of them have ANYthing to
do with Amateur Radio.

No wonder Amateur Radio is going down the tubes, led by ARRL.

It's not going down ANY tubes led by the ARRL.

It's going "down the tubes" coutesy of lawbreaking,
hate-mongering, selfish punks like you, N9OGL, AB8MQ, etc etc etc...

I love both America and Amateur Radio, however.

No you don't.

If you did, you wouldn't break the laws of the first and
practicies of the second, then try to rub everyone's nose in it.

> K1MAN will be on for many many years to come...(SNIP)

I forecast no longer than your next renewl date plus the period of
time your application will be under review/prosecution.

>...(UNSNIP) and the true scum of our precious hobby and
service will be thoroughly exposed in the upcoming court proceedings.

"We" already know where the scum is. He's a selfish, self-centered
egotistical idiot living in Maine, with an ugly step-cousin in
Illinois.

K1MAN has been on the air with IARN information bulletins since 1987,
and the FCC is as powerless to stop them as K1MAN is powerless to stamp
out amateur radio ignorance.

Like I said....Your next renewal plus the period of time your case
is being litigated...Then...QRT.

Fear not fellow Radio Amateurs. You have the constitutional right to be
stupid!

That's really the way to generate sympathy and support for your
cause.

Only in America!

Only in America would a law-breaker like you be tolerated for so
long. Your "day" is past due. It's time to get the garbage off the
front step.

Join the American Amateur Radio Association today!

Why? It's not going to be around much longer either.

See www.K1MAN.com

Finally ponied-up the bucks for another Yahoo domain, I see.

K1MAN SAYS:

FCC ISSUES A $21, 000 NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY AGAINST K1MAN

In a "NAL" released 7 June 2005, the FCC has opened a pandora's box,
according to Glenn Baxter...(SNIP)

What a self-glorifying putz.

Bet he's got family in Southern California.

Manager of IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, and
AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association. Mr. Baxter was
recognized by the State of Maine legislature on March 13, 1991 and
named as a Vermont Academy Distinguished Alumnus on October 1, 1993 for
international amateur radio communications work with his IARN.

Jim Jones and Ted Bundy won civic service awards too.

Mr. Baxter says that this whole charade is being driven by petty ham
jealousy and the blatent incompetence of ARRL in failing over the years
to come even close to the work of IARN in emergency
communications work.

BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

Yeah...that's why the fed's are pouring hundreds-of-thousands of bucks into ARRL-sponsored and operated Emergency Communications programs!

Baxter welcomes these court actions since he has been trying to "face off" with the Commission for over 15 years. "Many heads are finally going to roll," acording to Baxter.

Nope.

Just one.

K1MAN's response is printed below together with the full text of the NAL.

In the matter of
Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
Registered Professional Engineer

.....and UNregistered Court Jester...

Licensee of Amateur Radio Station K1MAN

File. No. EB-04-BS-111
NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
FRN 0013164975

Reply dated 12 June 2005

Respondent Glenn A. Baxter, K1MAN, denies any apparent liability for forfeiture in the amount of $21,000 or any other violations of FCC rules, state law, or federal law...(SNIP TO...)

Respondent hereby requests the Commission to supply all documentation regarding the alleged apparent liability and criminal violations for respondent to use in preparation for the again demanded trial like hearing before the full Commission and for the other court actions.

It's apparent that Glennda is going to be representing hisself.
Had a real attorney been requeting this information, there wouldn't have been any grandstanding or "opening remarks" in his request.

Respondent will be calling witnesses, introducing affivavits, and further demonstrating many ACTUAL criminal violations of Sections 333 and 501 of the 1934 Communications Act by numerous persons complained about by your respondent and others in Felony Complaint Affidavits filed with the U.S. Attorney including the two FCC officials mentioned above.

Oh no! Glennda is going to waive his "felony complaint affidavits" in front of a REAL judge ! !

BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Maybe this is where Glennda leads the court to believe he's off
his rocker in an attempt to avoid prosecution of the complaints!

Whatta schmuck!

Steve, K4YZ

3435. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 14:21:37 UTC
From: Stagger Lee sucks Donkey Dicks <Slee@houston-hunksof>
Message-ID: <7a359b971f921dd2b57b242a4ecc20c6@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 110570

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com>
wrote:
>
> top posting Johnnyboy Smith wrote:
> > commandeer buzz off:
> >
> > It pains me to even acknowledge you
>
> That's your choice, maybe you shouldn't try things that pain
you, like
> ham radio.

Maybe you should try to get that in your words "simple" Extra
class examination instead of making excuses. Funny how Roger
could pass it and you can't.

> --but I pity you and your juvenile
> > attempts at gaining attention... even to the point of
provoking negative
> > acknowledgements...
>
> Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun
^^
Butt Cornholer makes good sentence!

> ham radio at kids day, and all you can come up with is how
millions of
> children access the Internet, how ham radio pales to the
Internet
> without barriers as if to say his child must be stupid to even
think of
> ham radio which would require learning something to obtain a
license.

Sort of like how you never had the right stuff to pass the Extra
exam or get a real pilot's license.

> Talk about negative, all you can do is run down ham radio, you
jump at
> any opportunity to slam ham radio and how it poses barriers to
anyone
> who might be interested. Just go back to you cb johnnyboy,
there aren't
> any barriers there past knowing how to turn a cb radio on,
which just

> might be a 'barrier' to you.

Oh, the irony.

3436. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 14:30:25 UTC
From: Calvin McRathbayern <cmcrathbayern@cmrrllcpc.org>
Message-ID: <d9bsj2$82s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110574

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1119440084.755496.85680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED050616 -
>
> WHERE IS THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STORY?
>
> You read what the FCC says about K1MAN. You read about what other
> hams say about K1MAN. Where do you read about what K1MAN says?
> ARRL? No! QST? No! CQ? No! World Radio! No! K1TP? No!
> QRZ? No! What is wrong with this picture, you ignorant hypocrites?
>
> I'd say "nothing". What obligation do those other entities have
> to promulgate your BS and sad story?
>
> You got yourself into this corner all by yourself.
>
> The ignorant one is the idiot facing his third NAL just before his
> license is due to be renewed!
>
> No wonder the Arabs hate our guts so much.
>
> For whatever reason persons of Arabic nationalities may dislike
> the United States, I'm willing to be that NONE of them have ANYthing to
> do with Amateur Radio.
>
> No wonder Amateur Radio is going down the tubes, led by ARRL.
>
> It's not going down ANY tubes led by the ARRL.
>
> It's going "down the tubes" coutesy of lawbreaking,
> hate-mongering, selfish punks like you, N9OGL, AB8MQ, etc etc etc...
>
> I love both America and Amateur Radio, however.
>
> No you don't.
>
> If you did, you wouldn't break the laws of the first and
> practicies of the second, then try to rub everyone's nose in it.
>
>> K1MAN will be on for many many years to come...(SNIP)
>
> I forecast no longer than your next renewl date plus the period of
> time your application will be under review/prosecution.

>
>>...(UNSNIP) and the true scum of our precious hobby and
> service will be thoroughly exposed in the upcoming court proceedings.
>
> "We" already know where the scum is. He's a selfish, self-centered
> egotistical idiot living in Maine, with an ugly step-cousin in
> Illinois.
>
> K1MAN has been on the air with IARN information bulletins since 1987,
> and the FCC is as powerless to stop them as K1MAN is powerless to stamp
> out amateur radio ignorance.
>
> Like I said....Your next renewal plus the period of time your case
> is being litigated...Then...QRT.
>
> Fear not fellow Radio Amateurs. You have the constitutional right to be
> stupid!
>
> That's really the way to generate sympathy and support for your
> cause.
>
> Only in America!
>
> Only in America would a law-breaker like you be tolerated for so
> long. Your "day" is past due. It's time to get the garbage off the
> front step.
>
> Join the American Amateur Radio Association today!
>
> Why? It's not going to be around much longer either.
>
> See www.K1MAN.com
>
> Finally ponied-up the bucks for another Yahoo domain, I see.
>
> K1MAN SAYS:
>
> FCC ISSUES A $21, 000 NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY AGAINST K1MAN
>
> In a "NAL" released 7 June 2005, the FCC has opened a pandora's box,
> according to Glenn Baxter...(SNIP)
>
> What a self-glorifying putz.
>
> Bet he's got family in Southern California.
>
> Manager of IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, and
> AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association. Mr. Baxter was
> recognized by the State of Maine legislature on March 13, 1991 and
> named as a Vermont Academy Distinguished Alumnus on October 1, 1993 for

> international amateur radio communications work with his IARN.
>
> Jim Jones and Ted Bundy won civic service awards too.
>
> Mr. Baxter says that this whole charade is being driven by petty ham
> jealousy and the blatent incompetence of ARRL in failing over the years
> to come even close to the work of IARN in emergency
> communications work.
>
> BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Yeah...that's why the fed's are pouring hundreds-of-thousands of
> bucks into ARRL-sponsored and operated Emergency Communications
> programs!
>
> Baxter welcomes these court actions since he has been trying to "face
> off" with the Commission for over 15 years. "Many heads are finally
> going to roll," acording to Baxter.
>
> Nope.
>
> Just one.
>
> K1MAN's response is printed below together with the full text of the
> NAL.
>
> In the matter of
> Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
> Registered Professional Engineer
>
> .....and UNregistered Court Jester...
>
> Licensee of Amateur Radio Station K1MAN
>
> File. No. EB-04-BS-111
> NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
> FRN 0013164975
>
> Reply dated 12 June 2005
>
> Respondent Glenn A. Baxter, K1MAN, denies any apparent liability for
> forfeiture in the amount of $21,000 or any other violations of FCC
> rules, state law, or federal law...(SNIP TO...)
>
> Respondent hereby requests the Commission to supply all documentation
> regarding the alleged apparent liability and criminal violations for
> respondent to use in preparation for the again demanded trial like

> hearing before the full Commission and for the other court actions.
>
> It's apparent that Glennda is going to be representing hisself.
> Had a real attorney been requeting this information, there wouldn't
> have been any grandstanding or "opening remarks" in his request.
>
> Respondent will be calling witnesses, introducing affivavits, and
> further demonstrating many ACTUAL criminal violations of Sections 333
> and 501 of the 1934 Communications Act by numerous persons complained
> about by your respondent and others in Felony Complaint Affidavits
> filed with the U.S. Attorney including the two FCC officials mentioned
> above.
>
> Oh no! Glennda is going to waive his "felony complaint
> affidavits" in front of a REAL judge ! !
>
> BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Maybe this is where Glennda leads the court to believe he's off
> his rocker in an attempt to avoid prosecution of the complaints!
>
> Whatta schmuck!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

As most people do, you fail to comprehend the major
thrust of Mr Baxter's legal standing.

73 Respectfully,

Calvin (call witheld)

3437. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 15:40:14 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <roqdnfdtYbyzDCTfRVn-sg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110571

Stagger Lee sucks Donkey Dicks AKA two-test fatboy wogie wrote:

>
> Maybe you should try to get that in your words "simple" Extra
> class examination instead of making excuses. Funny how Roger
> could pass it and you can't.

BOO WOGIE!! Now go jump behind the curtains fatboy.

3438. What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 17:01:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119459597.610837.109670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110576

I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304

Anybody else?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3439. Re: cmsg cancel <- So long, Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 17:04:29 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <so.long.and.thanks.for.all.the.fish@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 110568
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Looks like Google has nuked Woger's "lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com" account and is now issuing cancels on recent messages from there.

Odd isn't it? Woger *never* loses accounts; *never*.

<chuckle>

==========
"Guess again shit-for-brains. Maybe I got back on Stratuswave through somebody else signing up and just using their account on purpose, just to piss you fuckheads and Stratuswave off. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!" -- AB8MQ in message-id <737588ee.0405211543.6e6d2ebf@posting.google.com>

==========
"Wrong again, FATASS, I told Pammy to go fuck herself after she called here, all upset. I guyess it was because she was behind giving the black system admin she has a blowjob in the server room and giving one to her dog." -- AB8MQ in message-id <737589ee.0405220528.371d3f66@posting.google.com>

==========
"Roger hasn't recieved 'repeat visits with the chief of police'. You ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass." -- Secwet Woger in message-id <b14c37cf833370abc4122a241ccf54c4@melontraffickers.com>

==========
In message <460958efdfed53d39cf6e878fe497940@userbeam.net>, Secwet Woger bragged about his Extra Class examination fame with the claim: "If poor chronic alcoholic and drug abuser Gopher face wouldn't drink so much because he is womanless, he could spell 'retested', 'dumb' and 'Rogie' and could actually pass an Extra license exam."

3440. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 17:35:35 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Y46dnRaL7OvzPCTfRVn-vQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 110564

my station is available for anyone who wants to use commercial power and big antennas... only 7 or 8 radios unless you bring your own. full computer network for logging. currently set up for so2r, but easily changed to m/m setup. qrp to qro. no setup, no bugs, good food, soft beds, no takedown.

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1119459597.610837.109670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
>
> Anybody else?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

3441. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 18:09:16 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <056d4add77d0b86ac2504d55461b56c5@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 110565

In article <S4adnaTcBuffdivfRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Child raper Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <m...@that.moc>,
Roger's favorite whipping boy whined:
>
> Anonymous AKA fatboy two-test wogie, Riley's favorite whipping
boy wrote:

"two-test" "wogie" - Butt Cornholer mnakes good spells!

> If you weren't

If you'd quit making excuses for your spelling fuckups, people
wouldn't laugh at your fat dumb ass.

> > Is your colostomy bag full again? I notice you didn't deny
> > having to wear one you senile useless old fuck.
>
> I don't wear one wogie,

Oh, so it is true you just shit yourself and leave your
offspring dripping down your poxy palsied bow legs.

> Notice (IKYABWAI)

I notice you are so stupid as to think any "interview" with
Roger's "ex-wife" is true, when you haven'\t been able to even
guess her name corectly. Poor Butt Cornholer, no credibility
about his pi;lot's license, cw skills, 1 st class radiotelephone
license or anything.

> >
> > Poor Butt Cornholer, he can't even do 5 wpm,
> '
> Put up (much crying by Butt Cornholer)

Poor Butt Cornholer, just another one of his unsubstantiated
claims and outright lies exposed, just like the fact his kids
have power of attorney over his senile dumb ass.

> > he sure can't pass
> > the Extra 20 wpm or he would have gotten his Extra Class
when it
> > was 20 wpm if you can do 30 wpm as you "claim".
>
> Really? I have no need for an extra class, (sniveling excuses
flushed,like Butt Cornholer's license will soon be flushed)

Excuses, excuses. And pathetic ones at that. If you were so good at CW, then you would've passed the 20 wpm code requirement years ago. Instead, you make excuses for just one of your many shortcomings.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

> Name (poor Butt Cornholer, always a loser, always.)

Poor Butt Cornholer, he couldn't even pass the 20 wpm code test when it was a requirement. He couldn't pass the 5 wpm requirtement today, either.

3442. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 18:33:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119465180.920946.17630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110566

From: "garigue" on Tues 21 Jun 2005 22:44

>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:rm3ue.315$764.19474469@news.sisna.com...
>> Yep, more attacks, no solutions offered... but then, that is quite
>> natural for here... now back to:
>>
>> 1) analyze the problem to death.
>> 2) claim there is nothing wrong
>> 3) claim even if there is something wrong, nothing will work to fix it.
>> 4) pretend no one notices what is really going on
>> 5) go back to the few old guys on the net and rant with them.
>> 6) pretend that we will live forever
>> 7) argue one new license a year is enough
>> 8) don't ask the people who are NOT hams why they don't get a license
>> 9) if they tell us why--deny that it is a valid reason
>> 10) carry on as if it is still yesterday.
>> 11) etc, etc, etc...
>>
>> ... yawn...
>>
>> John
>
>First of all John I see little humor in this discussion bringing into the
>mix those poor souls with Alzheimer's syndrome ..... It is like the idiot
>who posts here with references to colostomy bags ..... I sincerely hope
>that either of you never have to go through that in your lives.

Wow. A LECTURE!

Tom, try to calm down and look at the big picture. Growing
dementia ("Alzheimer's") in older people and is a terrible
thing to observe up-close and personal. I'm quite familiar with
that, having watched my own mother go through that, little bit
by little bit every day, the last four years of her life in a
nursing home. I visited her every day and could see others in
the same hospital succumb slowly...and share the inner turmoil
of their family and friends watching their loved ones. The
same with those other patients having various physical damage
from injury or illness. Three hundred beds in that one
single-floor facility, seen every day.

Go ahead, make my day, try to lecture ME on "taking all that so
very, very seriously" about handicaps and illnesses...

>I am still awaiting John for specifics on what you have done to foster an
>appreciation in younger people for the service.

Not a requirement of amateur radio licensing, Tom.

>General bitching about the way things are won't cut it ...that my friend is
>too easy and is the tact of a pontificating twit.

...and you are as guilty as anything of doing the SAME
"pontificating" about Alzheimer's suffering.

It's no more, no less of a pontification than all the high and
mighty amateur extras in here praising the glory and majesty
and "necessity" of morse code testing or rank-status-privilege
"due them" in a HOBBY activity involving radio.

> Yesterday was a day I
>will always remember at our club meeting. Matt, a teen with a LD passed his
>no code. He studied his backside off for the test ....took it 3 times
>before. I have more respect for that young fellow than incessant moaners I
>see on this list and in other places.

Now that's just a wonderful thing...out of the blue comes a nice
MORAL LECTURE about a younger person "overcoming all obstacles"
"despite physical handicaps" "working hard, hard, hard to pass
a test." Reads like second-rate Reader's Digest material.

I've never met that young person, Tom, just like YOU have never
met hundreds of other sufferers of various things...which I don't
mention in here because they don't apply to THE SUBJECTS AT HAND
either.

At stake is some federal regulations regarding amateur radio, a
HOBBY activity, a recreational pursuit done for personal
enjoyment. "Overcoming obstacles" is NOT a part of the overall
picture. Morality lectures to others don't help a bit...except
to cure the lecturer's mild rage at others not taking things
so damn "seriously" as the lecturer does.

Oh, wow, "overcoming the obstacle of learning morse code!"
Terrific emotionally-loaded over-limit baggage. The real question
ought to be the TEST itself and why it "must" be passed just to
enjoy a radio hobby below 30 MHz. But, that isn't a thing for you
or anyone else who has already passed that TEST, is it? The real
purpose is to keep on with the myth where "hard, hard WORK" is
such a "moral necessity" and perhaps - in a screwball perverse
way - somehow closer to Godliness?

I've known, seen, been around all sorts of folks with handicaps,
just as I've already legally and successfully operated on HF in
five other radio services other than amateur radio. Any TEST
for a LICENSE is just a regulatory tool of the federal government.
That license is NOT an academic achievement, is NOT soem magic
talisman that one "Must" posssess in order to operate any radio

transmitter below 30 MHz. Morsemanship isn't a "qualification"
to operate below 30 MHz...I was long-ago perfectly qualified to
operate a radio transmitter below 30 MHz without morse code smarts
and did. That morse TEST is an artificiality of old-time emotion
that has long-since passed its usefulness. The TEST itself, and
the license, is just a regulatory tool...for a radio HOBBY.

Those who want to preach a ham radio LIFESTYLE will be outraged
at the above. TS. I got a new punch and am set for lots of
cards. I'm a bit sick and tired of these emotionally-loaded
MORALITY plays by the self-righteous unfolding in this stage.
A lot of readers are feeling the same way. Put on a bad
performance and you get bad reviews. TS. That's show biz...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3443. 27.620 FM Repeater heard ! (new rare 'DX catch')

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 18:40:19 UTC
From: SWL DX News <73varney@nosp.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <a6b57$42b9b094$d1cc4e62$10819@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 110567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Exercpted from The KY4KY News : http://ky4ky.com/fyi.htm

(6/19/05) Floating Freeband (FM) Repeater On * 27.620 *

If you hear what sounds like a repeater outputting in the spectrum
between the 11 meter class D citizens band and the 10 meter
ham band, it's not an illusion. Rather it appears to be the creation
of some enterprising Freebanders who are operating this
latest, unlicensed repeater.

According to several reports received by Newsline, and others
posted to various Internet websites, the system in question
outputs on 27.620 MHz FM. It also seems to be located
not far from Pennsylvania's eastern most border.

But there is the interesting part. While it's not yet been
verified, the word on the street is that the input kind of floats
around the low end of the 10 meter ham band. There is some
speculation that the operators of this repeater monitor
the input frequency and move it when ham radio operators
running legal high power Morse come on the air on top of it.
At least there have been reports of strange announcements
which may be information to users as to where the input will next be found.

One Internet post describes this machine as one of a new
breed of Freeband roving repeater of the type first noticed
in the St. Louis area about two years ago. That is, those
who run these systems are physically moving the frequencies
and the geographic location of the machine to avoid detection
by ham radio T-Hunt squads and the FCC.

This is just the latest report in a string of 11 meter repeaters
that go way back to the early 1970's when
Freebanders - then called H-F'ers -- began tying together old
crystal controlled 11 meter AM transceivers as repeaters.
Most had outputs on channel 1 or 2 and inputs on CB Channel 40
or above. None worked very well and most were soon abandoned.

Note: We heard the "27.620 Machine" just before noon today in SE MO.
No word yet of they have a "QSL card address", although if they do this
\would be a RARE CATCH to confirm.

3444. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 18:48:24 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110582

Tapioca Pudding AKA two-test fatboy wogie, in another drunken stupor wrote:

> I notice you are so stupid as to think any "interview" with
> Roger's "ex-wife" is true, when you haven'\t been able to even
> guess her name corectly.

I have never even attempted to guess her name, 2test.

Wogie make good spell. What's 'haven'\t' BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

> Poor Butt Cornholer, no credibility
> about his pi;lot's license, cw skills, 1 st class radiotelephone
> license or anything.

You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a 'pi;lot's' license, whatever
that is. I do have a pilot's license though. Poor 2test, not only had to
retest to keep his ham license, but can't type or spell either.
BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
How is the jamming on 20 meters going lately 2test?

>
> Excuses, excuses. And pathetic ones at that. If you were so good
> at CW, then you would've passed the 20 wpm code requirement
> years ago. Instead, you make excuses for just one of your many
> shortcomings.
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!
>
>
>>Name (poor Butt Cornholer, always a loser, always.)
>
>
> Poor Butt Cornholer, he couldn't even pass the 20 wpm code test
> when it was a requirement. He couldn't pass the 5 wpm
> requirtement today, either.
>

But you won't take me up on a cw contact will you 2test? Just dance and
dance all around but to chicken to actually do it. Wonder why, is it
because you can't even copy 2 wpm? Now go hide behind the curtains
fatboy. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!
And you still haven't denied that you wet the bed. Poor 2test.

3445. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 19:02:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119465294.761093.322340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110583

From: "John Smith" on Tues 21 Jun 2005 23:20

>Len:
>
>That girl you are taking out, does she have a sister with most of her
>teeth still?
>
>If so, I got a bottle of vodka and some orange juice--we could make it
>four-some!!!
>
>Gawd, been awhile since I have seen cars rust up close!
>
>Any still have some backseats in 'em? <grin>

Heh heh heh...I'm just waiting around to see who takes my
message and yours seriously. :-)

Some WILL and they will want to word-fight at the drop of
a keystroke! :-)

A few others will become quietly enraged and demand SERIOUSNESS
in responses...which are those wonderful irregulars in here
who take themselves wayyyyy too seriously. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3446. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 19:28:29 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d9ce4t$17sg$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110579

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304

I'll be control opping W3GA Gota station from State College during the day. Mostly 20 and 40 meters phone and PSK31, which is a big hit with the new folks. In the evening, I'll be shifting over to W3YA. 40 and 80 meter phone. GOTA is 100 watts, and the other stations are QRO.

Since there will be a lot of RF flying around, we'll probably put up a recieving dipole at the allowable perimeter.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3447. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 19:32:49 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <B1jue.9683$pa3.4817@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110581

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
>

I'll be a boring 1 100w transmitter on commercial power. in NNJ

3448. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 19:48:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%fjue.7877$NX4.465@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110578

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
>
> Anybody else?

I and three grandsons will be chasing Wiley Walleye on Lake Vermilion near BWCA
in norther Minnesota.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3449. Saggytits must've whined to Google <- Poor Saggytits, always the unAmerican

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 20:11:57 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119471117.238189.126020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110577
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <so.long.and.thanks.for.all.the.fish@houston-hunks.com>
Saggytits Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
> Looks like Google has

Looks like Saggytits Lee the kiddie rapist has been whining again
because he is so unamerican he doesn't like free speech.

--
Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

3450. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 20:13:39 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <TDjue.1457$yg4.824@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110580

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:%fjue.7877$NX4.465@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
> >
> > Anybody else?
>
> I and three grandsons will be chasing Wiley Walleye on Lake Vermilion near
BWCA
> in norther Minnesota.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

Hello, Hans

You and the grandkids enjoy. Field Day has another connotation for us Navy guys. Fishing sounds better LOL

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3451. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 21:09:13 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <8skue.1243$2Z3.414@fe10.lga>
Source record: 110584

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1119459597.610837.109670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
>
> Anybody else?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I'll be running the K1 on battery pwr at a local beach in Long Island. BCNU
on 20.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

3452. Re: Saggytits must've whined to Google <- Poor Saggytits, always the unAmerican

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 21:27:55 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com>
Message-ID: <d9ckue$ts9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110585
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yup, Roger lost another in a looooong list of lost accounts.
And, Stagger Lee once again got Wittle Woger's goat.
ROTFLMAO!

3453. Re: 27.620 FM Repeater heard ! (new rare 'DX catch')

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 21:31:41 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com>
Message-ID: <d9cl5hufe0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

There are Freeband repeaters operating in Charleston, SC,
Atlanta, Ga., Little Rock, AR, and several other cities.

"SWL DX News" <73varney@nosp.ucdavis.edu> wrote in message
news:a6b57$42b9b094$d1cc4e62$10819@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> Exercpted from The KY4KY News : http://ky4ky.com/fyi.htm
>
> (6/19/05) Floating Freeband (FM) Repeater On * 27.620 *
>
> If you hear what sounds like a repeater outputting in the spectrum
> between the 11 meter class D citizens band and the 10 meter
> ham band, it's not an illusion. Rather it appears to be the creation
> of some enterprising Freebanders who are operating this
> latest, unlicensed repeater.
>
> According to several reports received by Newsline, and others
> posted to various Internet websites, the system in question
> outputs on 27.620 MHz FM. It also seems to be located
> not far from Pennsylvania's eastern most border.
>
> But there is the interesting part. While it's not yet been
> verified, the word on the street is that the input kind of floats
> around the low end of the 10 meter ham band. There is some
> speculation that the operators of this repeater monitor
> the input frequency and move it when ham radio operators
> running legal high power Morse come on the air on top of it.
> At least there have been reports of strange announcements
> which may be information to users as to where the input will next be
> found.
>
> One Internet post describes this machine as one of a new
> breed of Freeband roving repeater of the type first noticed
> in the St. Louis area about two years ago. That is, those
> who run these systems are physically moving the frequencies
> and the geographic location of the machine to avoid detection
> by ham radio T-Hunt squads and the FCC.
>
> This is just the latest report in a string of 11 meter repeaters
> that go way back to the early 1970's when
> Freebanders - then called H-F'ers -- began tying together old
> crystal controlled 11 meter AM transceivers as repeaters.
> Most had outputs on channel 1 or 2 and inputs on CB Channel 40
> or above. None worked very well and most were soon abandoned.

>
> -----------
>
> Note: We heard the "27.620 Machine" just before noon today in SE MO.
> No word yet of they have a "QSL card address", although if they do this
> \would be a RARE CATCH to confirm.
>

3454. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 21:58:57 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <O8Gdnd4-YtRvdCTfRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110589

Tapioca Pudding AKA fatso 2test wogie wrote:

>
>
> One more time if you were as good at cw as you claim, you'd have
> passed the 20 wpm code test and got the Extra Class license.

How you figure that wogie? There are lots of hams who can do 20+ but
don't hold an Extra. You are too chicken to take me up aren't you? Poor
2test chicken wogie, brags about his welfare extra lite ticket, but
won't get on the air for a cw qso. Why? Because he can't copy 2 wpm.
What a putz!
>
>
>>>>>Funny how the retest letter didn't specify that,
>

> Funny how you think that November, 1999 (when Roger got the
> warning letter because of faked evidence you sent in)

Sure sure wogie, we all know it was fake, you betcha (wink wink).

> and the
> retest letter issued July, 2000)(which gives no specific reason
> for a retest) which was issued months and months later, were for
> the same thing.

Funny how Riley made you retest *after* you were caught jamming other
stations on 20 meters. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

3455. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 22:36:39 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <fc8228676ed5f884c6f251d073fd8aa5@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 110587

In article <PsCdnUBZ8JGDQiXfRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper and fake pilot's licensee , no cw skills and
imaginary 1st Class radiotelephone boy Cmd Butt Cornholer of
little boys <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
>
> >
> > More excuses for your fuck up, Butt Cornholer? Like you make
> > excuses that you can't get your Extra Class. You couldn't do
20
> > wpm even if you make the claim you can do 30 wpm.
>
> One more time

One more time if you were as good at cw as you claim, you'd have
passed the 20 wpm code test and got the Extra Class license.

>
> >
> >>>Funny how the retest letter didn't specify that,
plagiarizing
>
> Funny how

Funny how you think that November, 1999 (when Roger got the
warning letter because of faked evidence you sent in) and the
retest letter issued July, 2000)(which gives no specific reason
for a retest) which was issued months and months later, were for
the same thing. Does your ossified brain cell even still
fucntion? But then, you think because you fly model airplanes,
that you have a real pilot's license, too.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

3456. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 22:42:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119480172.797009.180670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110588

Michael Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >>From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:46
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:_B4te.1408$ac7.128@news02.roc.ny...
> >>
> >>>>Some folks back then wanted the General but settled for a tech license when
> >>>>they couldn't pass muster at 13. Unfortunately, if you got on the air (back
> >>>>when, 2 meters was the novice voice band to try and get more activity on 2
> >>>>meters!) it was possible to *never* work on the code and you were stuck as a
> >>>>tech. Most likely 6 meters was the band of choice as the best front ends
> >>>>might have had a 4.5 dB noise figure on 440 MHz. Even 2 meters wasn't all
> >>>>that busy; Heathkit sixers and twoers were the rigs of the day. I really
> >>>>wan't familiar with any territory above 30 MHz back then.
> >>
> >> When is "back then?" And how can an LOS path be "busy" in a
> >> non-urban area? Picture sitting around waiting for ducting or
> >> temperature inversion to reach out beyond 30 to 40 miles...
> >
> >
> > The twoers and sixers were rock-bound. Anyway, he said that he wasn't
> > familiar with VHF.
> >
> >
> >>>>The other choice was to work on your code. The novice license was issued
> >>>>for one year and was not renewable. I took this choice and it took me a
> >>>>while to get my code speed up. When I did take the test and pass 13, I was
> >>>>good for about 18 (which helps when you're nervous and travel 60 miles to
> >>>>take the test administered by the FCC, not a VEC).
> >>
> >> So? I traveled 90 miles by train to the Chicago FCC office for
> >> my First 'Phone test. No snow and I kept my shoes on all the way.
> >
> >
> > Aha! Gotcha!
> >
> > You forgot to mention the changes in elevation over changes in
> > distance!!!

>
> I like train rides!
>
> - Mikey -
>
> Extra lite extraordinaire

Toot toot!

3457. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 22:48:54 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119480534.439217.245350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110590

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Tues 21 Jun 2005 03:15
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:46
> >> >"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> >>
> >> >> Some folks back then wanted the General but settled for a tech license when
> >> >> they couldn't pass muster at 13. Unfortunately, if you got on the air back
> >> >> when, 2 meters was the novice voice band to try and get more activity on 2
> >> >> meters!) it was possible to *never* work on the code and you were stuck as a
> >> >> tech. Most likely 6 meters was the band of choice as the best front ends
> >> >> might have had a 4.5 dB noise figure on 440 MHz. Even 2 meters wasn't all
> >> >> that busy; Heathkit sixers and twoers were the rigs of the day. I really
> >> >> wan't familiar with any territory above 30 MHz back then.
> >>
> >> When is "back then?" And how can an LOS path be "busy" in a
> >> non-urban area? Picture sitting around waiting for ducting or
> >> temperature inversion to reach out beyond 30 to 40 miles...
> > >
> >The twoers and sixers were rock-bound. Anyway, he said that he wasn't
> >familiar with VHF.
> >
> "Familiarity with VHF" wasn't needed. ALL that counted was getting
> the morse code speed UP...that yielded absolute knowledge of all
> theory thus guaranteeing rank-status-privilege.

We're trying to change that, all in vain. Only the actuarial tables
bring about change in the ARS.

> I designed and built an external VFO for a few Sixers. Worked fine.
> One of the recipients was showing an olde-fahrt extra how it
> operated and olde-fahrt said "Nice, did you build it?" "No," said
> my friend and, pointing to me, "He did." "THAT'S ILLEGAL!" shouted
> the olde-fahrt. Heh heh heh...the same anal attitudes existed four
> decades ago as they do now.

In RRAP, we have the uniformed, and the uninformed!

> >> >> The other choice was to work on your code. The novice license was issued
> >> >> for one year and was not renewable. I took this choice and it took me a
> >> >> while to get my code speed up. When I did take the test and pass 13, I was
> >> >> good for about 18 (which helps when you're nervous and travel 60 miles to
> >> >> take the test administered by the FCC, not a VEC).

> >>
> >> So? I traveled 90 miles by train to the Chicago FCC office for
> >> my First 'Phone test. No snow and I kept my shoes on all the way.
> >
> >Aha! Gotcha!
> >
> >You forgot to mention the changes in elevation over changes in
> >distance!!!
>
> Heh heh heh. In northern Illinois the elevation changes amount
> to +/- a yard. Not even close to bragging rights... :-)

Darnit!!! We're supposed to hear about how it was uphill both ways
juss like the real hammes claim.

> However, to kill time waiting for the return train, I saw a
> matinee of "Oklahoma." Sat in the balcony, undisturbed. That
> was good for a change of a couple stories in height! :-)

Did you try a "balcony" antenna while there?

> Too bad it was a sunny day in Chicago. No snow to brag to
> anyone ("uphill both ways through"). Passed no problem,
> even with the interruption of a fire drill in the Federal
> Building halfway through the test.

No real hamme could have survived that.

> [a lot of the anony-mousies won't know what we're talking
> about on this subject...they weren't here to see some of the
> astounding bragging going on by the late Dick Carroll and
> others in here]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Dick was not unique unto himself. There are plenty of Dick imposters
in the ARS.

3458. PCTA Double Standard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 22:53:06 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119480786.305446.319210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110591

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:53
>
> > >Hey Lennie....did that beating you took with the lye soap leave any
> > >permanent scars?
> >
> > No "beating," no "lye soap," no "scars," you southern-fried
> > dumb### dip####.
>
> Whoa! We got profanity, abuse AND bigotry all in one sentence!
>
> Way to go Lennie!

Yet PCTA Larry Roll/K3LT's ubiquitous use of "persons with a southern accent" was perfectly acceptable.

And Dan's initial use of profanity in an otherwise civil debate on amateur radio policy issues is not a problem.

Oh, the PCTA double-standard! When will it end? Where will it end?

3459. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 22:56:35 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <D8Wdnf7vMK5FcSTfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 110592

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1119459597.610837.109670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
>
> Anybody else?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Participating with the Hazel Park Amateur Radio Club.

This year I thought to myself how can I get amateur radio into the awareness of non-amateurs. So Monday evening, I sent an email to the staff where I work explaining the message sending activity on Field Day and encouraged anyone interested in sending greetings to friends or family via amateur radio to let me know. Four people have actually contacted me about this so I am really pleased.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3460. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 22:57:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119481064.322655.179330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110593

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119142310.942237.217650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > I was told, when Novice Enhancement came along, not to pick up a
> > microphone because I would never get good at the Morse Code and
> > advance.
> >
>
> That was good advice. I did the same thing. I had my Novice for 3 months,
> skipped the Technician, and got the General. I could have got on 2m AM as
> a Novice. I had a Gonset II on load from Civil Defense. I flat refused to
> do so until I got the General. Glad I did.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Perhaps it was good advise in your case.

I tested for General. The Morse Code sped by at an incredible rate,
and I did not pass. In retrospect, this must have been the diff
between Morse Code and the advent of the ARRL VEC use of the Farnsworth
Code.

Anyway, the consolation prize was the Technician license. The Novice
enhancement came later, so was not a player.

3461. Re: 27.620 FM Repeater heard ! (new rare 'DX catch')

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 23:02:18 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com>
Message-ID: <d9cqfd$c8a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Charleston and Little Rock freeband repeaters are linked
to business band repeaters and a seldom used ham repeater in one
case. There is a set up in Nashville where a repeater is cross
linked to repeaters in three other radio services.

"Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com> wrote in message
news:d9cl5hufe0@pita.alt.net...
> There are Freeband repeaters operating in Charleston, SC,
> Atlanta, Ga., Little Rock, AR, and several other cities.
>
>
>
> "SWL DX News" <73varney@nosp.ucdavis.edu> wrote in message
> news:a6b57$42b9b094$d1cc4e62$10819@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> Exercpted from The KY4KY News : http://ky4ky.com/fyi.htm
>>
>> (6/19/05) Floating Freeband (FM) Repeater On * 27.620 *
>>
>> If you hear what sounds like a repeater outputting in the spectrum
>> between the 11 meter class D citizens band and the 10 meter
>> ham band, it's not an illusion. Rather it appears to be the creation
>> of some enterprising Freebanders who are operating this
>> latest, unlicensed repeater.
>>
>> According to several reports received by Newsline, and others
>> posted to various Internet websites, the system in question
>> outputs on 27.620 MHz FM. It also seems to be located
>> not far from Pennsylvania's eastern most border.
>>
>> But there is the interesting part. While it's not yet been
>> verified, the word on the street is that the input kind of floats
>> around the low end of the 10 meter ham band. There is some
>> speculation that the operators of this repeater monitor
>> the input frequency and move it when ham radio operators
>> running legal high power Morse come on the air on top of it.
>> At least there have been reports of strange announcements
>> which may be information to users as to where the input will next be
>> found.
>>
>> One Internet post describes this machine as one of a new
>> breed of Freeband roving repeater of the type first noticed
>> in the St. Louis area about two years ago. That is, those
>> who run these systems are physically moving the frequencies

>> and the geographic location of the machine to avoid detection
>> by ham radio T-Hunt squads and the FCC.
>>
>> This is just the latest report in a string of 11 meter repeaters
>> that go way back to the early 1970's when
>> Freebanders - then called H-F'ers -- began tying together old
>> crystal controlled 11 meter AM transceivers as repeaters.
>> Most had outputs on channel 1 or 2 and inputs on CB Channel 40
>> or above. None worked very well and most were soon abandoned.
>>
>> -----------
>>
>> Note: We heard the "27.620 Machine" just before noon today in SE MO.
>> No word yet of they have a "QSL card address", although if they do this
>> \would be a RARE CATCH to confirm.
>>
>
>

3462. Re: PCTA Double Standard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 23:25:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Grmue.9815$pa3.144@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110595

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119480786.305446.319210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:53
>>
>> > >Hey Lennie....did that beating you took with the lye soap leave any
>> > >permanent scars?
>> >
>> > No "beating," no "lye soap," no "scars," you southern-fried
>> > dumb### dip####.
>>
>> Whoa! We got profanity, abuse AND bigotry all in one sentence!
>>
>> Way to go Lennie!
>
> Yet PCTA Larry Roll/K3LT's ubiquitous use of "persons with a southern
> accent" was perfectly acceptable.
>
> And Dan's initial use of profanity in an otherwise civil debate on
> amateur radio policy issues is not a problem.
>
> Oh, the PCTA double-standard! When will it end? Where will it end?
>

Dipshit and Dumbass is a commonly used term in the US Military. I don't
consider it profane, if you do.....tuff.

Dan/W4NTI

3463. K4YZ: Unintelligent Hatchetman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 23:29:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119482954.357420.116910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110596

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > > For example, the maximum power an amateur station may use in
> > > the USA is 1500 W peak output. Why 1500 W - why not 1000 W,
> > > or 2000 W, or something else? Why not any power level that an
> > > amateur can put on the air and still meet RF exposure and spurious
> > > emission rules?
> >
> > Why are you telling us this, and not the FCC?
>
> He wasn't "telling" anything...

I see it differently.

> They are interrogatives...Questions...Preceeded with "Why" and
> eneded with a question mark.

So why are you nuts?

> > > There's always the possibility that some new idea, argument, or
> > > information will result from a discussion. Even the passage of time
> > > gives new insights.
> >
> > Ther's not if you continually avoid such discussions.
>
> So far, only you and Lennie are avoiding anything...

We're avoiding your incessant explanations of your claims of seven (7)
hostile actions.

Hi! (inside joke)

> > > For example, the 2000 restructuring that reduced both code and
> > > written testing did not result in sustained growth of the number
> > > of US hams. We saw a small rise for a few years, but since April 2003
> > > or so the numbers have been in a slow decline. This data clearly
> > > indicates that the license test requirements aren't the
> > > limiting factor to longterm growth.
> >
> > Were the sunspots in decline during this period?
>
> Does it matter?

Why wouldn't it?

> I don't remember the FCC making a point of sunspot numbers in
> licensing requirements other than discussing propagation
> characteristics.

Hint: they're making a point of it.

> > > > Sooner or later the FCC will rule and we'll all have to
> > > > live with the consequences good or bad.
> > >
> > > Yep. But until they do, we can refine and develop our
> > > arguments on both sides.
> >
> > Showing disdain for Technicians who cannot or choose not to learn The
> > Code certainly tells a lot.
>
> How did you get "disdain" from that...?!?!

Other posts. You find them. Best of Luck.

> > > As for it being unhealthy to discuss, I'd say that as long as
> > > the discussion remains at a civil level, without misquotes and
> > > personal attacks, it's healthy.
> >
> > Don't worry, be healthy.
>
> Good advice, Brian.

Good advice for you, too. That's why I gave it.

> Are you going to take it, or are you about to take us on yet
> another "...'they' chased all the Techs away.." story..?!?!

The attitudes of Dee, Dan, and Dave certainly give insight into such
stories.

> > > > If the result is as the NCTA state that it will be, i.e. a big > wave of new
> > > > hams plus a big wave of hams upgrading and getting on HF, just > watch the DX
> > > > stations, especially the rare ones, hide down on CW even more
> > > > than they are now.
> > >
> > > That's one big reason we have subbands-by-mode.
> >
> > Wow, I never realized that. We have subbands-by-mode so that DX can
> > hide from us.
>
> You, as usual, missed the point, Brian.

That was precisely the point that N2EY made. What part of it didn't
you understand?

> Many of the "dx" operators do NOT have the means by which to
> obtain megabucks multimode/digital Amateur facilities.

How can they "hide down on CW >>>EVEN MORE THAN THEY ARE NOW<<<"
possible if they don't now have other modes available???

Idiot!

And I don't recall David Heil K8MN as RARE DX hiding "down on CW in
downtown Dar El Salaam" to avoid calls on SSB from out-of-band
Frenchmen on 6 Meters!!! He went for it!!!

> > Jim is right. Civil discourse leads to new insights on arbitrarines
> > and prejudices.
>
> Where did he say that, Brian...?!?!
>
> A quote, please, or is this yet another "I said it but I really
> didn't say it" dance?

"Jim said it but really didn't say it"

> > > > If you exclude Japan, the US has more amateur radio operators
> > > > than the
> > > > rest of the world combined. If the bands get as busy as the
> > > > NCTAs imply
> > > > they will from this rush of new and upgrading hams, a lot of us > will be drifting
even more to CW just to find some room.
> > >
> > > Or the data modes.
> > >
> > No CW skill required for that.

No CW skills required for that. And why would we "exclude" Japan?

> > > > On the other hand, if the PCTAs are correct, i.e. the impact
> > > > will be
> > > > insignificant just as other changes of the recent past have
> > > > been, then there
> > > > is NO reason to change the requirements. Changes that have
> > > > little to no
> > > > noticeable impact aren't worth the bother of implementing.
> > >
> > > That's true. But there are other factors:
> > >
> > > - Reducing the license requirements still further may have negative
> > > effects.
> > >
> > As it already has, right?
>
> The "requirements" (ie required knowledge) for an Amateur license
> are as steep as they've ever been...But when there's no real incentive
> to LEARN the material, of what use is it...?!?!

So make it even steeper. Make them bleed.

> > > - If there's no real effect, the solution obviously lies elsewhere. But
> > > some may not want to accept that fact.
> > >
> > There may be a number of problems which must be addressed by a number

> > of solutions.
> >
> > > - Once the requirements are reduced, it may be near-impossible
> > > to get them raised back up.
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Arbitrariness should be easy enough to increase. That's what makes it
> > arbitrary.
>
> In some other universe that made sense...But here...well, it just
> doesn't work.

Obviously, you don't understand the concept of arbitrariness.

N2EY has it down to an Art!

And you have it down to a dumb shrug and a question mark!

Let's face it square on. You're just N2EY's unwitting, unintelligent
little hatchet man.

> Steve, K4YZ

3464. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 23:33:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Yymue.9817$pa3.7260@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110597

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1119395321.308840.112900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Dipshit Dumbass Dan/W4NTI" on Jun 20, 7:40 pm
>
>>Yep......he had his ass beat in a barracks party, more than one I am sure.
>
> Never happened.
>
> However, because of your insistence on that "subject" we can
> guess that it happened to YOU at least once.
>
> Is that how you got your military "disability?"
>
> Enjoy the hell you've made for yourself...
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

I thought you weren't interested in what my disability was. Oh I remember
you made an attempt to belittle it. That is expected from you.

So now you are showing your true colors, eh Loser Len? I eventually get
my "enemies" to show their true colors. You have the record BTW. Takes
most of the goofs a lot longer to lower themselves to this level.

Unlike you Loser Len I have real combat time. You on the other hand are
caught in your lies. Shall we continue to elaborate or will you just simply
crawl back under your wanabee chairbourn ranger chair?

Dan/W4NTI

3465. Re: Saggytits must've whined to Google <- Poor Saggytits, always the unAmerican

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-22 23:43:28 UTC
From: Club Meds <NoShit@noRoger>
Message-ID: <d9ct1nhqq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110598
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com> wrote in message
news:d9ckue$ts9$0@pita.alt.net...
Yup, Roger lost another in a looooong list of lost accounts.
And, Stagger Lee once again got Wittle Woger's goat.
ROTFLMAO!

Listen to Wogie whine and mewl. What a switch. It is usually

Wogie who goes whining to the ISPs of others when someone

says something HE doesn't like. Looks like when the shoe is

on the other foot, Roger cries like a little girl.

Freedom of Speech, Wogie? You never cared about that

before, did you Curtains Boy? Stop being such a sanctimonious

little bitch.

BTW...TOSed any more Nim boards lately, Curtains?

3466. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 00:03:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119484984.748933.275360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110599

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> bb Jun 19, 10:51 pm show options
>
> >Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1119140249.328665.67370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> >> > It's nice to see Miss Manners finally noticing something amiss n the
> >> >> > ARS.
> >>
> >> >> "bb" is just full of all sorts of assumptions, ain't he boys and girls?
> >>
> >> >> Using his logic then all us "higher" class operators need to get out of
> >> >> EMCOM, eh?
> >>
> >> >> I'll be sure to tell the Extra class Emergency Net Control operator next
> >> >> time I see her.
> >>
> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >>
> >> > Dan, you're welcome to tell her anything you want. She's probably
> >> > already aware that you usually get it wrong anyway.
> >>
> >> Do you have trouble chewing gum and walking Bryan?
> >>
> >>I don't chew gum. I don't smoke, either. Did you have a point?
>
> Probably on his head...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He should have it biopsied. Save him an early date with the actuarial
tables.

3467. Re: Saggytits must've whined to Google <- Poor Saggytits, always the unAmerican

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 00:09:53 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119485393.515443.159100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110600
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d9ct1nhqq0@pita.alt.net>
"Club Meds" came down from his Valium and heroin high
<NoShit@noRoger>and whined:

> Listen to (IKABWAI)

Listen to Lardass whine about Roger, and hide behind two dozen names
after he got his ass handed to him for years. Poor Lardass, he TOSed
the N.I.M. boards (along with Blevins and Holsten) and tried to blame
Roger for it.
But most people could see right through Lardass' whining. They don't
like Lardass Davies over there any more then they like Roger.
Hear anything from Papa John's management lately, loser?

> BT (IKYABWAI #2)

BTW, get that first piece of pussy yet, 31 year old VIRGIN?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

3468. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 00:19:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119485992.570200.147670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110601

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>>Bill Turner wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>On Fri, 10 Jun 2005 22:53:51 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> >>>>wrote:
> >>>>Let's go beyond the SO1R/SO2R question and look
> >>>>at the bigger picture.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>OK
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>I think it's time to revise the basic structure of
> >>>>contest competition.
> >>>>Contesting hardware has evolved to the point that one must
> >>>>spend a small
> >>>>fortune to be competitive, and I think that is hurting
> >>>>contesting itself.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>That depends on what you mean by "competitive" and "a small fortune".
> >>
> >> I don't agree with the basic premise, Jim. The operator
> >>is a lot more
> >>important than the equipment.
> >
> >
> > To a point, yes. But the guy with 100 W and a dipole at 40 feet isn't
> > going to win CQWW or even SS no matter how good he is. He's not even
> > going to make Top Ten.
> >
> > That doesn't mean he can't do well, just that winning is a different
> > game.
>
> Who do ya want - a impatient knob twiddler with a FTDX 9000 and an
> antenna farm of (insert your favorite antenna here), or a good capable
> contester with say a dipole and an IC-746.

What we want is a seperate transmiter and receiver. Make it a Collins.

> >>>For some hams, "competitive" means winning outright, or at
> >>>least making the Top Ten. For others, "competitive" means
> >>>winning their section, or maybe division, or maybe getting
> >>>into the top ten of same.
> >>>
> >>>And for others it's simply doing better than last year.
> >>
> >>And if they keep it up, they will eventually become the top
> >>dogs.
> >
> >
> > But there comes a point where doing better becomes equipment
> > limited.
>
> All other things being equal.
>
> Way too many people seem to think that you plunk down the money, and
> you are an instant contester.

Way too many people? I didn't think contestors were that numerous.

> >>>In similar fashion, cost is relative. A $5000 station is small
> >>>change to some and beyond others' wildest dreams. Same for
> >>>many other spending levels.
> >
> >
> >>>Just a few years back, having a dedicated computer in the
> >>>shack was a major expense. Not any more!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>I believe there are two general groups of contesters:
> >>>>
> >>>>1. People who like the head-to-head competition on a
> >>>>personal level and
> >>>>are not motivated by having large amounts of expensive
> >>>>hardware. These
> >>>>people focus on operating skill, knowledge and strategy instead > of equipment.
> >>>>
> >>>>-and-
> >>>>
> >>>>2. People who will do anything legal to maximize their score,
> >>>>including
> >>>>spending huge amounts of money on rigs, antennas and any other > hardware which gives
them an advantage.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I disagree strongly!
> >>>
> >>>I think there are many more basic groups, from the casual types

> >>>just putting in a few hours and maybe picking up a new state
> >>>or country, to the all-out multi-multis, to the middle-of-the-
> >>>pack folks, to the special-interest ones (like the QRP types
> >>>with incredible antenna farms).
> >>>
> >>>On top of this is the fact that the superstations require
> >>>operating skill, knowledge and strategy just like the 100 W
> >>>and dipole folks.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Both groups have good points and neither is superior to the
> >>>>other.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Agreed!
> >>>
> >>>In fact the superstations need the little guys in order to make super
> >>>scores. And the little guys need the superstations.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>What
> >>>>is wrong with contesting today is both groups are combined into > one when
> >>>>it comes to competing, and that is hurting contesting.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Well, there's division by power level, by multiop vs. single, and
> >>>packet spotting.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>I propose that there be two basic classes of competition:
> >>>>
> >>>>1. A Limited Class which clearly spells out maximum hardware,
> >>>>i.e. one
> >>>>radio, one antenna per band, no receiving while transmitting,
> >>>>and perhaps some others,
> >>>>
> >>>>-and-
> >>>>
> >>>>2. An Unlimited Class which allows anything legal.
> >>>>
> >>>>Within those two classes there could be subclasses for power
> >>>>level and
> >>>>number of operators, but the basic hardware definitions would
> >>>>remain the
> >>>>same. This would allow an operator to choose his class and know > he is
> >>>>competing against others who are equipped similarly.
> >>>
> >>>

> >>>The trouble is where the lines are drawn.
> >>>
> >>>What does "one radio" mean? Is a second receiver allowed? How
> >>>about if the second receiver is built into the rig?
> >>>
> >>>One antenna per band could work a hardship on even some modest
> >>>stations. At my previous location I had an inverted V for 80/40
> >>>that could be made to work on 20. Also had a 20 meter vertical
> >>>with elevated radials. 100 W homebrew transceiver. Hardly a
> >>>superstation but I did pretty well.
> >>>
> >>>On 20 the vertical was usually better, but sometimes the
> >>>inverted
> >>>V would do the trick. "One antenna per band" would eliminate
> >>>that.
> >>
> >>The whole concept is way way way to complicated. Also
> >>unenforceable.
> >>Will the contest committee send out Hamcops to ensure
> >>compliance?
> >
> >
> > Who enforces the present rules? Power level, packet spotting, etc.?
>
> Good question. The answer is it is a gentleman's agreement, and you
> trust the person to abide by the rules. So you make the rules as
> rock-bottom simple as possible. Rules like no receiving while
> transmitting, are simply not enforceable. Ideas such as monoband
> antennas are going to knock a lot of people right out of the contest -
> unless of course they decide to cheat, as is the one receiver idea.

But, but, but...

If the ARRL DX desk don't approve it ain't ham radio. They approved
the Frenchmen's excursion outside of France's 6M band!!!

> Finally, the idea of separating the contesters by limited and unlimited
> classes is incredibly counterproductive. So these small number of
> superoperaters are just going to work among themselves and then sign
> off, I suppose.

It is common knowledge that many small contestors are ther to snag DX
and nothing else.

> Whenever I hear rules change suggestions, I ask how this is going to
> affect the person suggesting the changes. Funny how it is always to give
> this person a big advantage, even when they claim they are just trying
> to level the playing field.

At the end of the day, the big $$$ stations win. Everyone else
supplies contacts.

When wasn't it so?

> This is just a punitive plan toward the big stations.

So you think the big $$$ stations won't go for it?

> One time I listened to one of the high-powered, high scoring stations
> noting how *they* should get extra points for listening to all those low
> power stations with the weak signals!

Yep. Recall the many, many, many times you've had to say to the qrp
station, "Say again all after xxx."

> >>>>The present hardware situation reminds me of a boxer who has
> >>>>acquired a
> >>>>set of brass knuckles but who still wants to fight those not so
> >>>>equipped. That's not right and neither is contesting in its
> >>>>present form.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>I don't see it that way at all. I think we need an "iron" class for a
> >>>very different reason.
> >>>
> >>>One thing that makes a contest fun (for me) is the competition.
> >>>It's radiosport, pure and simple. I think the message that needs
> >>>to be emphasized more is that you don't need a superstation to
> >>>have a good time.
> >>
> >> No you don't.
> >
> > But it takes more than the average station to win.
>
> Define average? I've got an IC-745 and used a ladder line fed dipole
> (96 feet long @50 feet high) to work the NEQP from Pennsylvania. Used a
> MFJ-949E tuner on it.

Didja win?

> Total outlay was around 350 dollars, and this has got to be a below
> average station setup if there ever was one, especially by these
> "contest standards".

Far, far, far below. Revisit the notion when you've got that 746 and a
tri-bander at 60'.

That is the bottom of "average."

> But I put in a big booming signal to New England, and was definitely
> limited by my own skills, (still working on 'em) and not my below
> average station setup. Got a certificate one year.

Ahem. Scan it and post it in place of Steve's photo. Please.
Somebody...

> >>>I know too many hams with "100W and dipole" stations who think
> >>>contesting with such a setup isn't practical.
> >>
> >>100 watts and a dipole is only about 90 percent of contesters!
> >
> > And they don't win.
>
> Sometimes. Depends on the class and their skills.

What? What kind of contesting are you thinking about?

> People have a tendency to operate with the stations that they have,
> save for portable operations. Sounds like a "Well Duh!" statement, but
> it is what most of us have to offer.

People have a tendency to improve their stations....

> >>>And compared to
> >>>the results of superstations, they're right. But if they could
> >>>see how they did against similar setups, we might get more of
> >>>them - which is a good thing all around.
> >>
> >>My experience is that contests have two different aspects.
> >>Operator
> >>skill and power. This is assuming that the operator has a > receiver of
> >>adequate performance. If you have to get rid of one parameter, go with
> >>operator skill.
> >
> > "Power" is actually signal strength. I'd rather have really good
> > antennas and QRP than high power and poor antennas.
>
> How about high power AND good antennas? 8^)

Now you're talking contesting.

3469. Re: PCTA Double Standard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 00:20:42 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NeudnSPYHvUSnSffRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 110602

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Grmue.9815$pa3.144@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119480786.305446.319210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> > From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:53
>>>
>>> > >Hey Lennie....did that beating you took with the lye soap leave any
>>> > >permanent scars?
>>> >
>>> > No "beating," no "lye soap," no "scars," you southern-fried
>>> > dumb### dip####.
>>>
>>> Whoa! We got profanity, abuse AND bigotry all in one sentence!
>>>
>>> Way to go Lennie!
>>
>> Yet PCTA Larry Roll/K3LT's ubiquitous use of "persons with a southern
>> accent" was perfectly acceptable.
>>
>> And Dan's initial use of profanity in an otherwise civil debate on
>> amateur radio policy issues is not a problem.
>>
>> Oh, the PCTA double-standard! When will it end? Where will it end?
>>
>
> Dipshit and Dumbass is a commonly used term in the US Military. I don't
> consider it profane, if you do.....tuff.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Besides they are only vulgar not profane.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3470. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 00:35:44 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Atnue.10272$VK4.37@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110603

I plan to be with Splitrock ARC in NNJ.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1119459597.610837.109670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
>
> Anybody else?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

3471. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 00:41:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Aynue.9844$pa3.8614@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110604

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119481064.322655.179330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1119142310.942237.217650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> and I did not pass. In retrospect, this must have been the diff
> between Morse Code and the advent of the ARRL VEC use of the Farnsworth
> Code.

I'm not sure I understand that. As I remember the FCC universally used
those paper tape machines. And the method, although not called Farnsworth
that I can recall, was the same. I.E. Code sent at a fast rate with
spacing larger. This is the Farnsworth method.

Of course I may be wrong. But explain what you mean.

Dan/W4NTI

3472. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 00:41:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Bynue.9845$pa3.1152@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110605

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1119409577.995344.135550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> funny the only IP address on there is Internet Assigned Numbers
> Authority, Googles, and Internet Assigned Numbers Authority hmmm so it
> seems some one used a anonymous mailer, used my email address, and if
> this was sent today it is fake since I'm no longer with consolidated.
> So it is a fake coming from a liar. Anyone can fake a email address,
> apparently you don't have the brains to understand that and
> consolidated communication were stupid enough to believe your lying
> ass. Just like those tapes of my program are fake....then again were
> talking about that information coming from a liar
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
> Saturday@4.00 p.m. CST
>

As the grizzled Police Officer, with over two decades of service, pulled out
his service revolver to confront the glazed eyed youth standing over the
women's blood soaked clothing. He heard the anguished cries of "it wasn't
me officer, really it wasn't, someone else did it".

In a word Toad BULLCRAP

Dan/W4NTI

3473. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 00:41:52 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <kznue.1491$yg4.358@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110606

"Calvin McRathbayern" <cmcrathbayern@cmrrllcpc.org> wrote in message
news:d9bsj2$82s$0@pita.alt.net...
>
<big snip>
>
> As most people do, you fail to comprehend the major
> thrust of Mr Baxter's legal standing.
>
> 73 Respectfully,
>
> Calvin (call witheld)
>
>

Hello, Cal

Frankly, the K1MAN thing seems so tiny in the scheme of things. Folks are leaving this area in droves (unemployment 6% and not all are counted. I'm not, but I'm looking for work. Something about receiving a pension ....). Gasoline is expected to hit $3.00 per gallon next year. I have two friends hurting for jobs. One, who has her BA degree, developed back problems that prevented her from sitting for extended periods. She left the Rochester Institute of Technology and is now running a cash register behind a pharmacy at TOPS supermarket. I suspect for $8.00 to $8.50 per hour.

Another has her BA degree. She lost her job at Kodak a few years ago (I lost mine in 2004) and has had a lot of trouble finding decent, permanent employment. We are all in our mid to late 50s. Of course, the answer is to raise the Social Security age.

Roadwork is being held back due to the high cost of asphalt.

My former employer has had a former executive charged in a scheme involving kickbacks to get the assessment of the property reduced. Hmmmm ... to 1/3 of what it was? The former (Republican) town assessor of Greece (a suburb of Rochester) has been charged as well as an independent assessor. Meanwhile, the former employer insists the assessments are fair and valid. The kickbacks involved many millions of dollars.

Anyone found the infamous weapons of mass destruction? Meanwhile, Bush fiddles while Rome burns.

K1MAN? Not even on my radar screen. Heck, give him a 50,000 watt clear channel AM broadcast station for all I care.

I'm not condoning K1MAN, nor arguing against him; I am simply saying that there are much more serious things going on in this country and around the world than the K1MAN question.

I do, however, appreciate the fact that if one person (or group) can do it, he feels he can do it. That, however, still precludes advertising. Beyond that, I'll not get into it further.

For honest news, however, I'd suggest the BBC (or an Australian or Canadian site).

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3474. Re: cmsg cancel <- Google account #2 gone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 00:45:35 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <the.bugblatter.beast.strikes@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 110607
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It appears that Woger's "Stagger Lee ..." account has been nuked by Google.

How tragic. :)

==========
In message <acg2jt$b6q$3@pita.alt.net>, AB8MQ issues a West Virginia, hillbilly insult when he says: "Faggot Lee is gnetically [sic] related to his sister."

==========
"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id <5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d00573c@mail.futureworlds.it>

==========
In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."

3475. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 00:55:30 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <5LqdnZ8f0OnNjiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110620

Tapioca Pudding AKA chicken curtains fatboy wogie wrote:

<Wogie's crack brain blubbering deleted>

Poor 2test wogie, had to retake the ham test to keep his license.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

3476. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 00:56:51 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119488211.409709.308180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110608

K4YZ wrote:
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED050616 -
>
> WHERE IS THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STORY?
>
> You read what the FCC says about K1MAN. You read about what other
> hams say about K1MAN. Where do you read about what K1MAN says?
> ARRL? No! QST? No! CQ? No! World Radio! No! K1TP? No!
> QRZ? No! What is wrong with this picture, you ignorant hypocrites?
>
> I'd say "nothing". What obligation do those other entities have
> to promulgate your BS and sad story?
>
> You got yourself into this corner all by yourself.
>
> The ignorant one is the idiot facing his third NAL just before his
> license is due to be renewed!
>
> No wonder the Arabs hate our guts so much.
>
> For whatever reason persons of Arabic nationalities may dislike
> the United States, I'm willing to be that NONE of them have ANYthing to
> do with Amateur Radio.
>
> No wonder Amateur Radio is going down the tubes, led by ARRL.
>
> It's not going down ANY tubes led by the ARRL.
>
> It's going "down the tubes" coutesy of lawbreaking,
> hate-mongering, selfish punks like you, N9OGL, AB8MQ, etc etc etc...
>
> I love both America and Amateur Radio, however.
>
> No you don't.
>
> If you did, you wouldn't break the laws of the first and
> practicies of the second, then try to rub everyone's nose in it.
>
> > K1MAN will be on for many many years to come...(SNIP)
>
> I forecast no longer than your next renewl date plus the period of
> time your application will be under review/prosecution.
>

> >...(UNSNIP) and the true scum of our precious hobby and
> service will be thoroughly exposed in the upcoming court proceedings.
>
> "We" already know where the scum is. He's a selfish, self-centered
> egotistical idiot living in Maine, with an ugly step-cousin in
> Illinois.
>
> K1MAN has been on the air with IARN information bulletins since 1987,
> and the FCC is as powerless to stop them as K1MAN is powerless to stamp
> out amateur radio ignorance.
>
> Like I said....Your next renewal plus the period of time your case
> is being litigated...Then...QRT.
>
> Fear not fellow Radio Amateurs. You have the constitutional right to be
> stupid!
>
> That's really the way to generate sympathy and support for your
> cause.
>
> Only in America!
>
> Only in America would a law-breaker like you be tolerated for so
> long. Your "day" is past due. It's time to get the garbage off the
> front step.
>
> Join the American Amateur Radio Association today!
>
> Why? It's not going to be around much longer either.
>
> See www.K1MAN.com
>
> Finally ponied-up the bucks for another Yahoo domain, I see.
>
> K1MAN SAYS:
>
> FCC ISSUES A $21, 000 NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY AGAINST K1MAN
>
> In a "NAL" released 7 June 2005, the FCC has opened a pandora's box,
> according to Glenn Baxter...(SNIP)
>
> What a self-glorifying putz.

A penis?

> Bet he's got family in Southern California.

Steve's just gots to get to da "familie."

Sounds kind of creepy to me.

> Manager of IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, and
> AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association. Mr. Baxter was
> recognized by the State of Maine legislature on March 13, 1991 and
> named as a Vermont Academy Distinguished Alumnus on October 1, 1993 for
> international amateur radio communications work with his IARN.
>
> Jim Jones and Ted Bundy won civic service awards too.

"Jim" served in "other ways."

> Mr. Baxter says that this whole charade is being driven by petty ham
> jealousy and the blatent incompetence of ARRL in failing over the years
> to come even close to the work of IARN in emergency
> communications work.

Petty ham jealousy??? K4YZ jealousy? That's about as petty as it
gets.

> BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! !

A maniacal laugh? You be da judge!!!

K4YZ claims he's got no maniacal laugh.

> Yeah...that's why the fed's are pouring hundreds-of-thousands of
> bucks into ARRL-sponsored and operated Emergency Communications
> programs!
>
> Baxter welcomes these court actions since he has been trying to "face
> off" with the Commission for over 15 years. "Many heads are finally
> going to roll," acording to Baxter.
>
> Nope.
>
> Just one.
>
> K1MAN's response is printed below together with the full text of the
> NAL.
>
> In the matter of
> Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
> Registered Professional Engineer
>
> .....and UNregistered Court Jester...
>
> Licensee of Amateur Radio Station K1MAN
>
> File. No. EB-04-BS-111
> NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
> FRN 0013164975

>
> Reply dated 12 June 2005
>
> Respondent Glenn A. Baxter, K1MAN, denies any apparent liability for
> forfeiture in the amount of $21,000 or any other violations of FCC
> rules, state law, or federal law...(SNIP TO...)
>
> Respondent hereby requests the Commission to supply all documentation
> regarding the alleged apparent liability and criminal violations for
> respondent to use in preparation for the again demanded trial like
> hearing before the full Commission and for the other court actions.
>
> It's apparent that Glennda is going to be representing hisself.
> Had a real attorney been requeting this information, there wouldn't
> have been any grandstanding or "opening remarks" in his request.
>
> Respondent will be calling witnesses, introducing affivavits, and
> further demonstrating many ACTUAL criminal violations of Sections 333
> and 501 of the 1934 Communications Act by numerous persons complained
> about by your respondent and others in Felony Complaint Affidavits
> filed with the U.S. Attorney including the two FCC officials mentioned
> above.
>
> Oh no! Glennda is going to waive his "felony complaint
> affidavits" in front of a REAL judge ! !
>
> BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

The maniacal laugh that K4YZ denies. Red Handed??? Hi, hi!

> Maybe this is where Glennda leads the court to believe he's off
> his rocker in an attempt to avoid prosecution of the complaints!
>
> Whatta schmuck!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Schmuck? Is that anything like a "Putz?"

3477. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 00:58:03 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110621

Poor 2test wogie slobbered over his keyboard and attempted to write.

> Poor wogie, he hides behind his own ratty,
> sperm drenched (from where he masturbates watching the
> elementary school kids walk by his trailer) curtains.
>
>

Is that you peaking out from behind the curtains fatboy?

3478. Re: 27.620 FM Repeater heard ! (new rare 'DX catch')

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 00:59:35 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119488375.282017.202610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd wrote:
> The Charleston and Little Rock freeband repeaters are linked
> to business band repeaters and a seldom used ham repeater in one
> case. There is a set up in Nashville where a repeater is cross
> linked to repeaters in three other radio services.
>
>
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com> wrote in message
> news:d9cl5hufe0@pita.alt.net...
> > There are Freeband repeaters operating in Charleston, SC,
> > Atlanta, Ga., Little Rock, AR, and several other cities.
> >
> >
> >
> > "SWL DX News" <73varney@nosp.ucdavis.edu> wrote in message
> > news:a6b57$42b9b094$d1cc4e62$10819@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >> Exercpted from The KY4KY News : http://ky4ky.com/fyi.htm
> >>
> >> (6/19/05) Floating Freeband (FM) Repeater On * 27.620 *
> >>
> >> If you hear what sounds like a repeater outputting in the spectrum
> >> between the 11 meter class D citizens band and the 10 meter
> >> ham band, it's not an illusion. Rather it appears to be the creation
> >> of some enterprising Freebanders who are operating this
> >> latest, unlicensed repeater.
> >>
> >> According to several reports received by Newsline, and others
> >> posted to various Internet websites, the system in question
> >> outputs on 27.620 MHz FM. It also seems to be located
> >> not far from Pennsylvania's eastern most border.
> >>
> >> But there is the interesting part. While it's not yet been
> >> verified, the word on the street is that the input kind of floats
> >> around the low end of the 10 meter ham band. There is some
> >> speculation that the operators of this repeater monitor
> >> the input frequency and move it when ham radio operators
> >> running legal high power Morse come on the air on top of it.
> >> At least there have been reports of strange announcements
> >> which may be information to users as to where the input will next be
> >> found.
> >>
> >> One Internet post describes this machine as one of a new
> >> breed of Freeband roving repeater of the type first noticed

> >> in the St. Louis area about two years ago. That is, those
> >> who run these systems are physically moving the frequencies
> >> and the geographic location of the machine to avoid detection
> >> by ham radio T-Hunt squads and the FCC.
> >>
> >> This is just the latest report in a string of 11 meter repeaters
> >> that go way back to the early 1970's when
> >> Freebanders - then called H-F'ers -- began tying together old
> >> crystal controlled 11 meter AM transceivers as repeaters.
> >> Most had outputs on channel 1 or 2 and inputs on CB Channel 40
> >> or above. None worked very well and most were soon abandoned.
> >>
> >> -----------
> >>
> >> Note: We heard the "27.620 Machine" just before noon today in SE MO.
> >> No word yet of they have a "QSL card address", although if they do this
> >> \would be a RARE CATCH to confirm.

Just goes to show what CB queers will do to hook up for gay play.

3479. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 01:00:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119488426.470323.122490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110610

Calvin McRathbayern wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1119440084.755496.85680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED050616 -
> >
> > WHERE IS THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STORY?
> >
> > You read what the FCC says about K1MAN. You read about what other
> > hams say about K1MAN. Where do you read about what K1MAN says?
> > ARRL? No! QST? No! CQ? No! World Radio! No! K1TP? No!
> > QRZ? No! What is wrong with this picture, you ignorant hypocrites?
> >
> > I'd say "nothing". What obligation do those other entities have
> > to promulgate your BS and sad story?
> >
> > You got yourself into this corner all by yourself.
> >
> > The ignorant one is the idiot facing his third NAL just before his
> > license is due to be renewed!
> >
> > No wonder the Arabs hate our guts so much.
> >
> > For whatever reason persons of Arabic nationalities may dislike
> > the United States, I'm willing to be that NONE of them have ANYthing to
> > do with Amateur Radio.
> >
> > No wonder Amateur Radio is going down the tubes, led by ARRL.
> >
> > It's not going down ANY tubes led by the ARRL.
> >
> > It's going "down the tubes" coutesy of lawbreaking,
> > hate-mongering, selfish punks like you, N9OGL, AB8MQ, etc etc etc...
> >
> > I love both America and Amateur Radio, however.
> >
> > No you don't.
> >
> > If you did, you wouldn't break the laws of the first and
> > practicies of the second, then try to rub everyone's nose in it.
> >
> >> K1MAN will be on for many many years to come...(SNIP)
> >
> > I forecast no longer than your next renewl date plus the period of

> > time your application will be under review/prosecution.
> >
> >>...(UNSNIP) and the true scum of our precious hobby and
> > service will be thoroughly exposed in the upcoming court proceedings.
> >
> > "We" already know where the scum is. He's a selfish, self-centered
> > egotistical idiot living in Maine, with an ugly step-cousin in
> > Illinois.
> >
> > K1MAN has been on the air with IARN information bulletins since 1987,
> > and the FCC is as powerless to stop them as K1MAN is powerless to stamp
> > out amateur radio ignorance.
> >
> > Like I said....Your next renewal plus the period of time your case
> > is being litigated...Then...QRT.
> >
> > Fear not fellow Radio Amateurs. You have the constitutional right to be
> > stupid!
> >
> > That's really the way to generate sympathy and support for your
> > cause.
> >
> > Only in America!
> >
> > Only in America would a law-breaker like you be tolerated for so
> > long. Your "day" is past due. It's time to get the garbage off the
> > front step.
> >
> > Join the American Amateur Radio Association today!
> >
> > Why? It's not going to be around much longer either.
> >
> > See www.K1MAN.com
> >
> > Finally ponied-up the bucks for another Yahoo domain, I see.
> >
> > K1MAN SAYS:
> >
> > FCC ISSUES A $21, 000 NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY AGAINST K1MAN
> >
> > In a "NAL" released 7 June 2005, the FCC has opened a pandora's box,
> > according to Glenn Baxter...(SNIP)
> >
> > What a self-glorifying putz.
> >
> > Bet he's got family in Southern California.
> >
> > Manager of IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, and
> > AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association. Mr. Baxter was
> > recognized by the State of Maine legislature on March 13, 1991 and

> > named as a Vermont Academy Distinguished Alumnus on October 1, 1993 for
> > international amateur radio communications work with his IARN.
> >
> > Jim Jones and Ted Bundy won civic service awards too.
> >
> > Mr. Baxter says that this whole charade is being driven by petty ham
> > jealousy and the blatent incompetence of ARRL in failing over the years
> > to come even close to the work of IARN in emergency
> > communications work.
> >
> > BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > Yeah...that's why the fed's are pouring hundreds-of-thousands of
> > bucks into ARRL-sponsored and operated Emergency Communications
> > programs!
> >
> > Baxter welcomes these court actions since he has been trying to "face
> > off" with the Commission for over 15 years. "Many heads are finally
> > going to roll," acording to Baxter.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Just one.
> >
> > K1MAN's response is printed below together with the full text of the
> > NAL.
> >
> > In the matter of
> > Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
> > Registered Professional Engineer
> >
> > .....and UNregistered Court Jester...
> >
> > Licensee of Amateur Radio Station K1MAN
> >
> > File. No. EB-04-BS-111
> > NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
> > FRN 0013164975
> >
> > Reply dated 12 June 2005
> >
> > Respondent Glenn A. Baxter, K1MAN, denies any apparent liability for
> > forfeiture in the amount of $21,000 or any other violations of FCC
> > rules, state law, or federal law...(SNIP TO...)
> >
> > Respondent hereby requests the Commission to supply all documentation
> > regarding the alleged apparent liability and criminal violations for

> > respondent to use in preparation for the again demanded trial like
> > hearing before the full Commission and for the other court actions.
> >
> > It's apparent that Glennda is going to be representing hisself.
> > Had a real attorney been requeting this information, there wouldn't
> > have been any grandstanding or "opening remarks" in his request.
> >
> > Respondent will be calling witnesses, introducing affivavits, and
> > further demonstrating many ACTUAL criminal violations of Sections 333
> > and 501 of the 1934 Communications Act by numerous persons complained
> > about by your respondent and others in Felony Complaint Affidavits
> > filed with the U.S. Attorney including the two FCC officials mentioned
> > above.
> >
> > Oh no! Glennda is going to waive his "felony complaint
> > affidavits" in front of a REAL judge ! !
> >
> > BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Not really a maniacal laugh. Hi!

> > Maybe this is where Glennda leads the court to believe he's off
> > his rocker in an attempt to avoid prosecution of the complaints!
> >
> > Whatta schmuck!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> As most people do, you fail to comprehend the major
> thrust of Mr Baxter's legal standing.
>
> 73 Respectfully,
>
> Calvin (call witheld)

Call witheld??? Not allowed!!! K4YZ gonna -deal- with you! He got
powers.

bb

3480. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 01:01:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5Snue.8130$jX6.7990@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110611

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

>
> Who do ya want - a impatient knob twiddler with a FTDX 9000 and an antenna
> farm of (insert your favorite antenna here), or a good capable contester with
> say a dipole and an IC-746.
>

Your example is specious, Mike. Generally the contester who builds a competition grade station has also invested in building the skills and techniques to take advantage of the capabilities they have sought in their station design.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3481. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 01:03:24 UTC
From: Buzz Corey raped his own grandkids <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <9PI3HA0R38526.1273611111@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 110612

In article <roqdnfdtYbyzDCTfRVn-sg@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
> Stagger Lee sucks Donkey Dicks AKA two-test fatboy wogie wrote:

"two-test" "fatboy" "wogie" Buzz Cornholer makes good spells!
Roger doesn't even weigh 190 dumbass, unlike your over 250 pound
flabby body.
The fatass is you, baldy Butt Cornholer.

Thanks for identifying yourself as Saggytits, too.
Now we know you are looking for your nigger daddy, who walked
out on your whore mommy.

> >
> > Maybe you should try to get that in your words "simple" Extra
> > class examination instead of making excuses. Funny how Roger
> > could pass it and you can't.
>
>
> BOO WO (Poor chickenshit Butt Cornholer, he alwyas avoids the
question of why he couldn't pass the 20 wpm cw test, doesn't he?)

BWHAHAHAHAHA..Are you regressing into your childhood, you
incontent old useless fuck? Your childhood, well, it wasn't very
good of a childhood, was it, with your alcoholic parents pimping
you to pay bills.
Poor chickenshit Butt Cornholer, he hides behind his own ratty,
sperm drenched (from where he masturbates watching the
elementary school kids walk by his trailer) curtains.

3482. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 01:10:40 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <ed8618bc9d4157b088a61ff9d3986f2e@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 110613

In article <F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
> Tapioca Pudding AKA two-test fatboy wogie, in another drunken
stupor wrote:

"Two test" "wogie" - Butt Cornholer shows off why he flunked out
of school, again!

> > I notice you are so stupid as to think any "interview" with
> > Roger's "ex-wife" is true, when you haven't been able to even
> > guess her name corectly.

So you reguarly assfuck your grandkids, Butt Cornholer?

> I have

We know you have, you child molesting son of a bitch. You still
haven't been able to guess Roger's ex-wife's name, you just
parrot what you put up on a website....which has no credibility,
since Regina (the "source" of the accusations) isn't Roger's ex-
wife. ANd your argument that he "wets the bed" falls apart much
like your leaky diaper that you have to wear.

> Wogie

Butt Cornholer make good spell. What's "Wogie"
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

> > Poor Butt Cornholer, no credibility
> > about his pilot's license, cw skills, 1 st class
radiotelephone
> > license or anything.
>
> You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license,

"2test" "wogie" - Butt Cornholer continues to make good spells!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Thanks for admitting you don't really have a pilot's license,
too, but you never post any evidence that you do have one. Much
like your other licenses.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

>
> >

> > Excuses, excuses. And pathetic ones at that. If you were so
good
> > at CW, then you would've passed the 20 wpm code requirement
> > years ago. Instead, you make excuses for just one of your
many
> > shortcomings.
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!
> >
> >
> >>Name (poor Butt Cornholer, always a loser, always.)
> >
> >
> > Poor Butt Cornholer, he couldn't even pass the 20 wpm code
test
> > when it was a requirement. He couldn't pass the 5 wpm
> > requirement today, either.
> >
>
> But (Much stammering and backpedaling on Butt Cornholer's part)

Quit stammering and making more lame excuses. If you were so
proficient in cw as you calim, you would've passed the 20 wpm cw
requirement a long time ago. You send like a lame elephant, if
you can indeed send at all.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!
And you still haven't denied that you molest your own grandkids.
Poor Butt Cornholer of Kansas City.

--
Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a
pilot's license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

3483. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 01:12:24 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119489144.880400.68220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110614

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "Calvin McRathbayern" <cmcrathbayern@cmrrllcpc.org> wrote in message
> news:d9bsj2$82s$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> <big snip>
> >
> > As most people do, you fail to comprehend the major
> > thrust of Mr Baxter's legal standing.
> >
> > 73 Respectfully,
> >
> > Calvin (call witheld)
>
> Hello, Cal
>
> Frankly, the K1MAN thing seems so tiny in the scheme of things. Folks are
> leaving this area in droves (unemployment 6% and not all are counted. I'm
> not, but I'm looking for work. Something about receiving a pension ....).
> Gasoline is expected to hit $3.00 per gallon next year. I have two friends
> hurting for jobs. One, who has her BA degree, developed back problems that
> prevented her from sitting for extended periods. She left the Rochester
> Institute of Technology and is now running a cash register behind a pharmacy
> at TOPS supermarket. I suspect for $8.00 to $8.50 per hour.

Handi-Hams?

> Another has her BA degree. She lost her job at Kodak a few years ago (I
> lost mine in 2004) and has had a lot of trouble finding decent, permanent
> employment. We are all in our mid to late 50s. Of course, the answer is to
> raise the Social Security age.

Tired of being nice to all the non-resident student/work VISA aliens
yet?

> Roadwork is being held back due to the high cost of asphalt.

Asphalt?

> My former employer has had a former executive charged in a scheme involving
> kickbacks to get the assessment of the property reduced. Hmmmm ... to 1/3
> of what it was? The former (Republican) town assessor of Greece (a suburb
> of Rochester) has been charged as well as an independent assessor.
> Meanwhile, the former employer insists the assessments are fair and valid.
> The kickbacks involved many millions of dollars.

The Chinese contributions to the Clinton/Gore campaign? C'mon Jim,
waketf up!

> Anyone found the infamous weapons of mass destruction? Meanwhile, Bush
> fiddles while Rome burns.

Hint: go to Detroit and work in the defense industry.

> K1MAN? Not even on my radar screen. Heck, give him a 50,000 watt clear
> channel AM broadcast station for all I care.

I've never listened to him. Ever. But why should I listen to Katy
Kurik and her runaway bride story?

> I'm not condoning K1MAN, nor arguing against him; I am simply saying that
> there are much more serious things going on in this country and around the
> world than the K1MAN question.

You think? What about that "Len" guy? He's really distracting
everyone from the real issues. Otta be shot (with rochester
ektachrome).

> I do, however, appreciate the fact that if one person (or group) can do it,
> he feels he can do it. That, however, still precludes advertising. Beyond
> that, I'll not get into it further.

Frankfurther?

> For honest news, however, I'd suggest the BBC (or an Australian or Canadian
> site).

Hi!!! Hi, hi!!! Whenever I hear a British Accent, I hear bias!!!

> Best regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

W8-HAM, Rustbelt, Ohio.

3484. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 01:19:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119489560.172506.312010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110615

Dave, your offer is generous. QTH?

bb

Dave wrote:
> my station is available for anyone who wants to use commercial power and big
> antennas... only 7 or 8 radios unless you bring your own. full computer
> network for logging. currently set up for so2r, but easily changed to m/m
> setup. qrp to qro. no setup, no bugs, good food, soft beds, no takedown.
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1119459597.610837.109670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
> >
> > Anybody else?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

3485. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 01:21:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119489687.441829.69840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110616

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
> >
> > Anybody else?
>
> I and three grandsons will be chasing Wiley Walleye on Lake Vermilion near BWCA
> in norther Minnesota.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Where's Wiley Coyote gonna be?

3486. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 01:23:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119489789.643262.202830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110617

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
>
> I'll be control opping W3GA Gota station from State College during the
> day. Mostly 20 and 40 meters phone and PSK31, which is a big hit with
> the new folks. In the evening, I'll be shifting over to W3YA. 40 and 80
> meter phone. GOTA is 100 watts, and the other stations are QRO.
>
> Since there will be a lot of RF flying around, we'll probably put up a
> recieving dipole at the allowable perimeter.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, just don't forget that CW gets thru when everything else will.

3487. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 01:26:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119490003.877910.126870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110618

Bert Craig wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1119459597.610837.109670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
> >
> > Anybody else?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I'll be running the K1 on battery pwr at a local beach in Long Island. BCNU
> on 20.

Sorry Bert, but 20M just smacks of Extra Elitism to me. I'll be
elsewhere.

bb

3488. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 01:41:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <6roue.1498$yg4.1324@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110619

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119489144.880400.68220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > "Calvin McRathbayern" <cmcrathbayern@cmrrllcpc.org> wrote in message
> > news:d9bsj2$82s$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > <big snip>
> > >
> > > As most people do, you fail to comprehend the major
> > > thrust of Mr Baxter's legal standing.
> > >
> > > 73 Respectfully,
> > >
> > > Calvin (call witheld)
> >
> > Hello, Cal
> >
> > Frankly, the K1MAN thing seems so tiny in the scheme of things. Folks
are
> > leaving this area in droves (unemployment 6% and not all are counted.
I'm
> > not, but I'm looking for work. Something about receiving a pension
....).
> > Gasoline is expected to hit $3.00 per gallon next year. I have two
friends
> > hurting for jobs. One, who has her BA degree, developed back problems
that
> > prevented her from sitting for extended periods. She left the Rochester
> > Institute of Technology and is now running a cash register behind a
pharmacy
> > at TOPS supermarket. I suspect for $8.00 to $8.50 per hour.
>
> Handi-Hams?
>
> > Another has her BA degree. She lost her job at Kodak a few years ago (I
> > lost mine in 2004) and has had a lot of trouble finding decent,
permanent
> > employment. We are all in our mid to late 50s. Of course, the answer
is to
> > raise the Social Security age.
>
```

> Tired of being nice to all the non-resident student/work VISA aliens
> yet?
>
> > Roadwork is being held back due to the high cost of asphalt.
>
> Asphalt?
>
> > My former employer has had a former executive charged in a scheme
involving
> > kickbacks to get the assessment of the property reduced. Hmmmm ... to
1/3
> > of what it was? The former (Republican) town assessor of Greece (a
suburb
> > of Rochester) has been charged as well as an independent assessor.
> > Meanwhile, the former employer insists the assessments are fair and
valid.
> > The kickbacks involved many millions of dollars.
>
> The Chinese contributions to the Clinton/Gore campaign? C'mon Jim,
> waketf up!
>
> > Anyone found the infamous weapons of mass destruction? Meanwhile, Bush
> > fiddles while Rome burns.
>
> Hint: go to Detroit and work in the defense industry.
>
> > K1MAN? Not even on my radar screen. Heck, give him a 50,000 watt clear
> > channel AM broadcast station for all I care.
>
> I've never listened to him. Ever. But why should I listen to Katy
> Kurik and her runaway bride story?
>
> > I'm not condoning K1MAN, nor arguing against him; I am simply saying
that
> > there are much more serious things going on in this country and around
the
> > world than the K1MAN question.
>
> You think? What about that "Len" guy? He's really distracting
> everyone from the real issues. Otta be shot (with rochester
> ektachrome).
>
> > I do, however, appreciate the fact that if one person (or group) can do
it,
> > he feels he can do it. That, however, still precludes advertising.
Beyond
> > that, I'll not get into it further.
>
> Frankfurther?
>

> > For honest news, however, I'd suggest the BBC (or an Australian or
Canadian
> > site).
>
> Hi!!! Hi, hi!!! Whenever I hear a British Accent, I hear bias!!!
>
> > Best regards from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
>
> W8-HAM, Rustbelt, Ohio.
>

Watchyerself, laddie. If it'd do yer heart a wee bit a good, me grandfather was Scottish (U.K. citizen). And I'm entitled to a work visa there ... ;)

Remember, they're our friends, pal. One of *very* few countries left that we can count as friends LOL

BTW, their tax structure is lower than ours (if you make less than 40,000 pounds sterling per year (a bit under 80k USD). Don't forget that Social Insecurity. Of course, it is higher than ours for folks earning more. Not a whole lot, but enough to balance budgets.

Also, as an aside, do you have any idea where the U.S. stands in infant death rate? Or life expectancy? It ain't in the top 10, trust me. Life expectency? It ain't in the top 20 or 30 either. Must be folks dropping dead looking for the weapons of mass destruction!

BWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Oh, I forgot. Asphalt. Expensive due to the cost of oil. Remember the tax breaks for the over 6,000 pound SUVs that got extended? More money for the oil companies.

I know this is difficult, but do look up the change in population of the U.S. and the whole world from, say, 1900 or so. I understand that some folks think this can go on forever. If you need me to, I'll get the figures and tell you when humanity weighs more than the whole earth (what is it, 16 quadrillion tons?). Then explain how we will farm the sea to support it.

<<snort>>

You detect bias if you don't hear it from Cowboy Bob ... I mean George Bush and the spin gang. That spin is faster than a 52X CD rom (or dremal).

73 from a G3 wannabe LOL

Jim AA2QA

3489. Re: 27.620 FM Repeater heard ! (new rare 'DX catch')

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 01:47:51 UTC
From: The Last Day Profit of Refried Beans <frequentdribbler@penisenvy.com>
Message-ID: <37757$42ba14cd$d1cc56eb$7774@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 110622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com> wrote in message
news:d9cqfd$c8a$0@pita.alt.net...
> The Charleston and Little Rock freeband repeaters are linked
> to business band repeaters and a seldom used ham repeater in one
> case. There is a set up in Nashville where a repeater is cross
> linked to repeaters in three other radio services.

OK.....

What SPECIFIC FREQUENCIES do they operate on then ??

Please provide your list of the same or a URL with info on the same.

Thanks!

>
>
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com> wrote in message
> news:d9cl5hufe0@pita.alt.net...
> > There are Freeband repeaters operating in Charleston, SC,
> > Atlanta, Ga., Little Rock, AR, and several other cities.

Frequencies of operation for the above please.....?

3490. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 02:01:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119489898.297373.241640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110623

robert casey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
> >
>
> I'll be a boring 1 100w transmitter on commercial power. in NNJ

SSB???

You Go!

3491. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 02:38:04 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <pMWdndj8kZrhvSffRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 110624

> >First of all John I see little humor in this discussion bringing into the
> >mix those poor souls with Alzheimer's syndrome ..... It is like the idiot
> >who posts here with references to colostomy bags ..... I sincerely hope
> >that either of you never have to go through that in your lives.
>
> Wow. A LECTURE!

No lecture the Mr. Len just that I can't see injecting this in to the mix
.....

> Tom, try to calm down and look at the big picture. Growing
> dementia ("Alzheimer's") in older people and is a terrible
> thing to observe up-close and personal. I'm quite familiar with
> that, having watched my own mother go through that, little bit
> by little bit every day, the last four years of her life in a
> nursing home. I visited her every day and could see others in
> the same hospital succumb slowly...and share the inner turmoil
> of their family and friends watching their loved ones.

Then I am sure you would agree that we do not need to name call and use
those problems as a source of a cheap shot....

The
> same with those other patients having various physical damage
> from injury or illness. Three hundred beds in that one
> single-floor facility, seen every day.

Am I lecturing you Leonard ????..don't think so ... I just don't like to
see peoples misfortunes utilized for cheap shots for whatever reasons ....
I really think that we on this group are ALL above that.

> Go ahead, make my day, try to lecture ME on "taking all that so
> very, very seriously" about handicaps and illnesses...

Again where did you pull that from Len .... did I say you took those shots
as mentioned above ???? Don't put words in my mouth there Len ..... the
post was not addressed to LA

>
> >I am still awaiting John for specifics on what you have done to foster
an
> >appreciation in younger people for the service.

> Not a requirement of amateur radio licensing, Tom.

Quite right Len but as a "hobby" don't we have a bit....just a bit of
responsiblity to help others .....I have seen your postings on other groups

where you have done the same .....and not to be patronizing but to your credit.

> >General bitching about the way things are won't cut it ...that my friend is
> >too easy and is the tact of a pontificating twit.
>
> ...and you are as guilty as anything of doing the SAME
> "pontificating" about Alzheimer's suffering.

Just as you brought your poor mom into the mix ???????

Nope general bitching is just that .....give me some positives .....

> It's no more, no less of a pontification than all the high and
> mighty amateur extras in here praising the glory and majesty
> and "necessity" of morse code testing or rank-status-privilege
> "due them" in a HOBBY activity involving radio.

Now Len you don't know me that well do you ..... I do not consider myself the morse elite ......I have suggested things in the past regarding the place the mode, excuse me protocol, could be better served not only for the amature service but for CW itself ....

> > Yesterday was a day I
> >will always remember at our club meeting. Matt, a teen with a LD passed his
> >no code. He studied his backside off for the test ....took it 3 times
> >before. I have more respect for that young fellow than incessant moaners I
> >see on this list and in other places.
>

> Now that's just a wonderful thing...out of the blue comes a nice
> MORAL LECTURE about a younger person "overcoming all obstacles"
> "despite physical handicaps" "working hard, hard, hard to pass
> a test." Reads like second-rate Reader's Digest material.

No Len it reads like a person despite some real problems showing some inititive and passing a test honestly. Your second rate Reader's Digest comment is a cheap shot. And may I add CW had nothing to do with this test as Matt got his No-code tech .....

>
> I've never met that young person, Tom, just like YOU have never
> met hundreds of other sufferers of various things...which I don't
> mention in here because they don't apply to THE SUBJECTS AT HAND
> either.

Oh no look out here comes the off topic lecture ..... The above comments were taken from and about the discussions on this group. Please point out to me where my comment have not been ...THE SUBJECT AT HAND .....

>
> At stake is some federal regulations regarding amateur radio, a
> HOBBY activity, a recreational pursuit done for personal
> enjoyment. "Overcoming obstacles" is NOT a part of the overall
> picture.

Oh yes it is Leonard ...look at the Handiham program and what is done there ..... those people aren't overcoming obstacles ???? I have worked many people on the air who have multiple problems where this "hobby" has been a source of enjoyment over the years.

Morality lectures to others don't help a bit...except
> to cure the lecturer's mild rage at others not taking things
> so damn "seriously" as the lecturer does.

No rage here Leonard ....just discussion .....and as far as taking things seriously on this group .....the overwhelming number of Leonard posts over the years sure bears whose rage is in this arena.

>
> Oh, wow, "overcoming the obstacle of learning morse code!"

Where in the heck have I mentioned anythng about overcoming the obstacles of CW in this latest round of comments????? Again trying to put words in my mouth Len ....please don't do that ...it really is good engineering practice ......

> Terrific emotionally-loaded over-limit baggage. The real question
> ought to be the TEST itself and why it "must" be passed just to
> enjoy a radio hobby below 30 MHz.

Have any of my posts with in the last several years reflected the CW or die mentality ?????

But, that isn't a thing for you
> or anyone else who has already passed that TEST, is it? The real
> purpose is to keep on with the myth where "hard, hard WORK" is
> such a "moral necessity" and perhaps - in a screwball perverse
> way - somehow closer to Godliness?

Here we go again with the church of CW ......frankly I am tired of this tact ...it belongs with CW always gets through and the old buddywhip-standard transmission saw ....

>
> I've known, seen, been around all sorts of folks with handicaps,
> just as I've already legally and successfully operated on HF in
> five other radio services other than amateur radio. Any TEST
> for a LICENSE is just a regulatory tool of the federal government.

Maybe not for you and your position in the radio kingdom but for many it is an achievement and I for one will not belittle those who worked for and achieved a ticket honestly ...no matter what class it is ....

> That license is NOT an academic achievement, is NOT soem magic
> talisman that one "Must" posssess in order to operate any radio
> transmitter below 30 MHz. Morsemanship isn't a "qualification"
> to operate below 30 MHz...I was long-ago perfectly qualified to
> operate a radio transmitter below 30 MHz without morse code smarts
> and did. That morse TEST is an artificiality of old-time emotion
> that has long-since passed its usefulness. The TEST itself, and
> the license, is just a regulatory tool...for a radio HOBBY.

Well Len I will go along with most of that except that the govinmint says it is a service ....na I don't want to get in to that argument .....better things to do ....

>
> Those who want to preach a ham radio LIFESTYLE will be outraged
> at the above. TS. I got a new punch and am set for lots of
> cards. I'm a bit sick and tired of these emotionally-loaded
> MORALITY plays by the self-righteous unfolding in this stage.
> A lot of readers are feeling the same way. Put on a bad
> performance and you get bad reviews. TS. That's show biz...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I am not outraged Len ... bad reviews ....could care less ......my lifestyle does not revolve around hamradio ..... nor does it revolve around incessant posting about worn out arguments over the last number of years as apparently yours has .....

Take care Leonard ....beep beep ......

3492. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 03:02:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gDpue.8059$NX4.4319@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110625

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>
>>Who do ya want - a impatient knob twiddler with a FTDX 9000 and an antenna
>>farm of (insert your favorite antenna here), or a good capable contester with
>>say a dipole and an IC-746.
>>
>
>
> Your example is specious, Mike. Generally the contester who builds a
> competition grade station has also invested in building the skills and
> techniques to take advantage of the capabilities they have sought in their
> station design.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Generally but not always, Hans. Here's an example: Joe Moneybags has
always admired the photos which Hans Brakob takes. He notes that Hans
always uses a top of the line Nikon. Joe sells his Canon and buys the
expensive Nikon. His photos are still not up to the Brakob standard.
Joe fails to realize that the skill of the photographer is more
important than the price or model of the camera. You have to have seen
something similar a dozen times in DXing or contesting.

Dave K8MN

3493. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 03:09:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3OidnQ7ZjpxNuiffRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110626

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>
>>Who do ya want - a impatient knob twiddler with a FTDX 9000 and an antenna
>>farm of (insert your favorite antenna here), or a good capable contester with
>>say a dipole and an IC-746.
>>
>
>
> Your example is specious, Mike. Generally the contester who builds a
> competition grade station has also invested in building the skills and
> techniques to take advantage of the capabilities they have sought in their
> station design.

Do you think so Hans? I have examples of just that, and it has been my personal experience. Your statement is true as far as it goes, but I've seen what happens when the newbies have access to the competition grade setups, as sometimes happens during events such as Field day

So many of the newbies I have worked with come in with the expectations of sitting down, throwing the tuning knob around, and calling, then waiting for the pileup. They get frustrated with the work that you have to put into the more modest setups.

Many times we are told that the station "isn't working". And of course it is. An experienced and patient operator can start making QSO's immediately. One of the newbies decided that he wanted to use the QRO station and referred to the GOTA as a "toy station".

Those QRO stations are powerful competition for lower powered ones. It is not terribly difficult to twiddle the knob, start calling CQ, and getting return calls. Problem is, it doesn't tend to make you a good contester.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3494. e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 03:25:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <PdKdndNPeewttiffRVn-ow@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110627

Dave Heil wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>>
>>
>>> Who do ya want - a impatient knob twiddler with a FTDX 9000 and an
>>> antenna farm of (insert your favorite antenna here), or a good
>>> capable contester with say a dipole and an IC-746.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Your example is specious, Mike. Generally the contester who builds a
>> competition grade station has also invested in building the skills and
>> techniques to take advantage of the capabilities they have sought in
>> their station design.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> Generally but not always, Hans. Here's an example: Joe Moneybags has
> always admired the photos which Hans Brakob takes. He notes that Hans
> always uses a top of the line Nikon. Joe sells his Canon and buys the
> expensive Nikon. His photos are still not up to the Brakob standard.
> Joe fails to realize that the skill of the photographer is more
> important than the price or model of the camera. You have to have seen
> something similar a dozen times in DXing or contesting.

And that is my basic point. If people want to claim it is specious,
then I guess they mean that the equipment is much more important than
the operators skills.

All you have to do is pump money into the contest station, and assuming
you pump the most money into it, you will win.

Sounds ridiculous to me. Perhaps my with my setup, I should just give
up. I don't stand a chance of even doing well, much less winning, eh?

Or perhaps a person can hone their skills using a modest setup, then
move on to a hot station and start doing very well.

I wonder how many Ops have started at the top?

I think I'll buy an Indy car. If I buy the mostest expensivist one,
I'll surely win all the races, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3495. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 03:45:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <bfque.1515$yg4.173@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110628

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:uB0ue.307$pJ.18795642@news.sisna.com...
> KXHB:
>
> Yes, that is always the trouble with those getting their "15 minutes of
> fame"--they NEVER leave gracefully... <frown>
>
> ... time for new ideas and motivated minds with energy to move up
> front...
>
> ... all walkers, wheelchairs and crutches to the back, next to those
> clutching bottles of laxatives, blood pressure medication, heart burn
> medication, viagra, etc, etc... if you forget your name--don't worry--a
> name tag has been placed on your chest to remind you...
>
> John
>

Hello, John

My you bounce around a lot :)

Given my druthers, I'd pick someone who has shown the capability to do a job other than someone with a gift of gab. We had a couple of those back in the 80s. One they caught up with - he was running up long distance phone bills to the company and was a real gem. The other could turn out twice the pile of paper of the experienced folks. I left in 1989. I sent an e-mail 2 years later asking if they had figured out why they had lost half of their purchasing information. LOL! They had the guys in the 3 piece suits from IBM running around trying to figure out what happened. I knew. They guy professed to be a genius and he pushed twice the paper of the older guys. His code was terrible. When he hit end of file on either co-ordinated file, he closed everything. Which left records in one of the buffers. The company got exactly what it deserved. I reworked one program. What cost us $150.00 per run ended up costing $1.45. It just took a little more code (which is why he pushed out more paper, but it cost them dearly). The cpu budget was over $700,000 per year in that area. They could have cut their cost from 60% to 90%, depending upon how tight they wanted to write the code. This was not my estimate; rather it was the estimates from 3 folks that reviewed my submissions with highlighter over the sections that were bad.

As far as hams go, I'd choose a guy who could figure out how to load up a stupid wire fence to re-establish communications with Guam from Saipan. I know as I was on the other end when Hans did exactly that. I had to go to

work and other guys picked up with no questions asked. Then, Captain Delaney came to the shack and secure those guys from all further duty and they passed traffic to ComNavMar directly. No problem. Military folks who could follow orders and hams than knew what to do (the regular Navy folks couldn't figure out what to do with a signal that was overloading their receivers).

I'm willing to help someone who wants to measure their antenna "resistance" with an ohm meter, but if they insist, well, go at it with a DVM :))

Heck, I've had my 5 or 10 minutes of fame. Pulled a kid out of a river years back who was going under. No big deal. I heard Hans (sparkplug1) and answered him, starting the communications between Saipan and Guam. No big deal. I showed my former employer how to do 8 hours of tool engineering work in 15 minutes (yes, I wrote a program to generate the ladders).

My question is this: who would you trust? Someone with several instances of "15 minutes of fame" or someone who talks a good story but has nothing to show for it?

I'm not suggesting shutting out young folks, that would be stupid. I am suggesting not shutting out older folks simply because they are getting old. That is also very stupid.

The fact that someone can copy cw at 30 or 40 words per minute does *not* mean they don't know anything else. Trust me on that.

Admittedly, we slow down a bit as we age. I can't easily do much over 60 or 65 words per minute typing. I used to feel a 100 word per minute teletype fighting me as I did bursts in excess of 90 words per minute. I could keep a 60 word per minute machine going continuously so that the other station assumed I was using a tape.

Who cares? How many folks can type over 60 words per minute? Cut and paste does *not* count. I can do that also LOL.

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3496. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 03:59:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <psque.1517$yg4.146@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110629

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:vNGdnWWUqsXSKyXfRVn-oQ@adelphia.com...
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote
> >
> >
> >>....so younger and more capable minds ....
> >
> >
> > Gee, and I always thought that experience was "the best teacher". Silly
me!
> > Turns out younger is more capable. By that tortured logic, we ought to
be
> > issuing call signs on birth certificates so we can capture the REALLY
capable
> > minds!
>
> Didn't' you know, Hans - there are a brand new set of laws of physics
> now - and the big thing is that they can only be understood by those
> under 25.....
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Hello, Mike

I'm not sure it is necessarily the young folks. I keep hearing that pi is exactly equal to three.

Dang, I'm getting old. I thought it was approximately 3.14159265358979323846264338327950288419716939937510.

Of course, I may have well made a type. I know another 50 places or so, but if I didn't make a typo in the first 50, I sure as heck will in the next 50 LOL.

Funny how they love their cell phones and satellite television. I keep hearing that the theory of gravity is only a theory, but, if you try, you will find that you can't achieve a stable orbit with anything but the established "theory". Of course, even Einstein was mistaken for a janitor and also dismissed by folks after WWII about the dangers of atomic fission and fusion. He was, after all, old and decrepit.

BWAAAAHAHAHAHA!

Have they found the weapons of mass destruction yet?

I hear they know where Bin Laden is. So ... ????

Nada. Zilch. Zero. It is claimed that they don't want to offend certain nations. Yet, I hear that the U.K. is left-leaning. You know, I am getting sick to my stomach of the "spin" some folks are putting on things.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3497. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 04:56:57 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1119502617.518362.31410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110630

bb wrote:
> Bert Craig wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1119459597.610837.109670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
> > >
> > > Anybody else?
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > I'll be running the K1 on battery pwr at a local beach in Long Island. BCNU
> > on 20.
>
> Sorry Bert, but 20M just smacks of Extra Elitism to me. I'll be
> elsewhere.

. . . Mogadushu again . . ?

>
> bb

3498. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 05:10:27 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7vrue.11288$eM6.5291@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110631

>
>
> I and three grandsons will be chasing Wiley Walleye on Lake Vermilion near BWCA
> in norther Minnesota.
>

You could go rowboat maritime mobile while fishing....

<><

3499. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 05:15:57 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <hArue.11290$eM6.7024@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110632

>>
>>I'll be a boring 1 100w transmitter on commercial power. in NNJ
>
>
> SSB???
>

On a TS440SAT into either a vertical or a dipole vaguely
resonant on 40M. SSB. I will not be a competitive force
on the bands... :-)

3500. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 05:29:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119504591.303843.169600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110633

From: "bb" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 15:48

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Tues 21 Jun 2005 03:15
>>
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:46
>> >> >"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message

>> >The twoers and sixers were rock-bound. Anyway, he said that he wasn't
>> >familiar with VHF.
>>
>> "Familiarity with VHF" wasn't needed. ALL that counted was getting
>> the morse code speed UP...that yielded absolute knowledge of all
>> theory thus guaranteeing rank-status-privilege.
>
>We're trying to change that, all in vain. Only the actuarial tables
>bring about change in the ARS.

Well, that's how it goes... :-)

>> I designed and built an external VFO for a few Sixers. Worked fine.
>> One of the recipients was showing an olde-fahrt extra how it
>> operated and olde-fahrt said "Nice, did you build it?" "No," said
>> my friend and, pointing to me, "He did." "THAT'S ILLEGAL!" shouted
>> the olde-fahrt. Heh heh heh...the same anal attitudes existed four
>> decades ago as they do now.
>
>In RRAP, we have the uniformed, and the uninformed!

The "uniformed and the uninformed!" I LIKE that phrase. Apt. :-)

>> >You forgot to mention the changes in elevation over changes in
>> >distance!!!
>>
>> Heh heh heh. In northern Illinois the elevation changes amount
>> to +/- a yard. Not even close to bragging rights... :-)
>
>Darnit!!! We're supposed to hear about how it was uphill both ways
>juss like the real hammes claim.

That was for a COMMERCIAL license, Brian. No braggin' rights
allowed in here on that. :-)

>> However, to kill time waiting for the return train, I saw a
>> matinee of "Oklahoma." Sat in the balcony, undisturbed. That
>> was good for a change of a couple stories in height! :-)

>
>Did you try a "balcony" antenna while there?

Nobody to communicate with. Was a slow day for matinees, I guess.

>> Too bad it was a sunny day in Chicago. No snow to brag to
>> anyone ("uphill both ways through"). Passed no problem,
>> even with the interruption of a fire drill in the Federal
>> Building halfway through the test.
>
>No real hamme could have survived that.

You're probably right.

>> [a lot of the anony-mousies won't know what we're talking
>> about on this subject...they weren't here to see some of the
>> astounding bragging going on by the late Dick Carroll and
>> others in here]
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Dick was not unique unto himself. There are plenty of Dick imposters
>in the ARS.

You mean the beepers are all just dildoes?!? Good grief!

Maybe Dr. Ruth Westheimer needs to be informed of this!?!

Brrrr...what a group!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3501. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 05:31:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119504692.232119.46900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110634

From: "bb" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 17:03

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> bb Jun 19, 10:51 pm show options
>> >Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1119140249.328665.67370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> >> > It's nice to see Miss Manners finally noticing something amiss n the
>> >> >> > ARS.
>> >>
>> >> >> "bb" is just full of all sorts of assumptions, ain't he boys and girls?
>> >>
>> >> >> Using his logic then all us "higher" class operators need to get out of
>> >> >> EMCOM, eh?
>> >>
>> >> >> I'll be sure to tell the Extra class Emergency Net Control operator next
>> >> >> time I see her.
>> >>
>> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >>
>> >> > Dan, you're welcome to tell her anything you want. She's probably
>> >> > already aware that you usually get it wrong anyway.
>> >>
>> >> Do you have trouble chewing gum and walking Bryan?
>> >>
>> >I don't chew gum. I don't smoke, either. Did you have a point?
>>
>> Probably on his head...
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>He should have it biopsied. Save him an early date with the actuarial
>tables.

Sounds like a plan! Ol' Danny is getting worse. Now he thinks
I am claiming "combat action." Maybe I'll claim SEVEN of them
just to keep him happy? It works for another. :-)

The Vietnam War ended 30 years ago. I guess this post-traumatic
stress stuff really gets to some...and lasts a long time...

More and more I'm thinking the PCTA extras are going bonkers
from too much beeping.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3502. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 07:00:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119510001.661839.192590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110635

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 17:03
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> bb Jun 19, 10:51 pm show options
> >> >Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1119140249.328665.67370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> >> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >> >> > It's nice to see Miss Manners finally noticing something amiss n the
> >> >> >> > ARS.
> >> >
> >> >> >> "bb" is just full of all sorts of assumptions, ain't he boys and girls?
> >> >
> >> >> >> Using his logic then all us "higher" class operators need to get out of
> >> >> >> EMCOM, eh?
> >> >
> >> >> >> I'll be sure to tell the Extra class Emergency Net Control operator next
> >> >> >> time I see her.
> >> >
> >> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >> >
> >> >> > Dan, you're welcome to tell her anything you want. She's probably
> >> >> > already aware that you usually get it wrong anyway.
> >> >
> >> >> Do you have trouble chewing gum and walking Bryan?
> >> >
> >> >I don't chew gum. I don't smoke, either. Did you have a point?
> >>
> >> Probably on his head...
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >He should have it biopsied. Save him an early date with the actuarial
> >tables.
>
> Sounds like a plan! Ol' Danny is getting worse. Now he thinks
> I am claiming "combat action." Maybe I'll claim SEVEN of them
> just to keep him happy? It works for another.

But you HAVE claimed combat action, Lennie!

You repeatedly try to impress us with how you "served" with guys who were KIA, and you were quite eloquent trying to tell yet another person what it was REALLY like being under "incomming artillery fire"... ! ! ! ! !

> The Vietnam War ended 30 years ago. I guess this post-traumatic
> stress stuff really gets to some...and lasts a long time...

It must...You've been suffering PTSD from Korean War service even though you weren't anywhere near the fight.

> More and more I'm thinking the PCTA extras are going bonkers
> from too much beeping.

No....It only seems that way as you fall deeper into your OWN psychosis, Lennie...Comes from too much ranting and raving in newsgroups that you don't belong in.

Steve, K4YZ

3503. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 07:03:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119510236.522137.143990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110636

Calvin McRathbayern wrote:

> As most people do, you fail to comprehend the major
> thrust of Mr Baxter's legal standing.

"Mr. Baxter" has no standing here.

He's broken the law...repeatedly.

The weight of the federal government is about to (finally) land
square on his head.

With any luck, the next "thrust" "Mr Baxter" will experience will
be courtesy of his new roomate, Bubba.

Steve, K4YZ

3504. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 07:32:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119511928.022355.136180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110637

an_old_friend wrote:
> ah Stevie Boy nice to see you haven't changed a bit.
>
> well in point of fact that statement is lie, but I am not shocked.
> Still taking anything not confroming to your particular POV as proof of
> illigality or falsehood. I noticed the Nursie refference made it up to
> RN yet last I recall you were an LPN or was it PN the lowest rungs on
> the nursing ladder

Gee...the style says Markie the Disgraced Pagan Liar, but too many
multi-syllable words spelled (or pretty darn close) correctly.

And who said I am an RN? I didn't.

> > I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> > them.
>
> Hmmm easy enough to call that a lie. In sending anything anywhere you
> do in some generate it, but then that would be simply doing, what you
> are so good

You do not "in some generate it" by repeating something, Markie.
You may retransmit it, copy it, report it, etc, but you do not
"generate" it. Only the original author did that.

> > However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
> > grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
> > than adequate justification to pull your service.
> >
> > You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
> >
> > It really is THAT simple.
>
> and you who used to claim (and may still for all I know) to christain
> turned him in, surely not your kindest of acts, and a violation of the
> turn the other cheek maxim too

What does being a Christian or conforming to Christian principles
have to do with reporting a person breaking the law, Markie?

Is it your psotition that anyone calling 9-1-1 to report a crime
in progress is un-Christian?

Are the viewers of "America's Most Wanted" un-Christian?

> > > Just like those tapes of my program are fake....then again were
> > > talking about that information coming from a liar

> >
> > Redirect your accusations of tapes, Todd. I don't ahve a horse in
> > that race.
>
> Nice spelling there from someone I do certainly remember as a member of
> the spelling Nazi's

Compared to the absolute rape of the English language as
perpetrated by you, Markie, occassional transposed letters ae
nothing...at least they are the CORRECT letters...You all-too-often
don't even get THAT right!

> > "Shows" are broadcasting.
>
> not always but then one must not use words in different manner than you
> aprove of.

It's not what >>I<< approve of, Markie.

It's what the FCC approves of.

> sounds he mean he holds court on the freq, and time, but you
> realy did know that

Know what?

That he's illegally using Amateur Radio allocations to
broadcast...?!?! Yeah, I know that...HE is the one with perception
problems.

> > If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation,
> > you're breaking the law.
>
> and anything you say is right

> >From an old friend (of ham radio not of your's Stevie)
>
> been busybuilding a new home and such so i have missed you, and the
> rest

Run out of Illiopolis, did they..?!?!

I (don't really) wonder why...You're such a GREAT guy to have
around!

And what did Illinois do to deserve such a wretched reputation as
to be the birthplace of Lennie, Toiddie >>AND<< you...?!?!?

> at least you have droped the 73's from your posted vitriol

You have gotten SLIGHTLY better at writing, although your
sentences are still disjointed, improperly punctuated and leave the
reader wondering if you fell asleep in the middle of a sentence when
you stopped writing.

And BTW...you're NOT any "old friend" of Amateur Radio...I rate
you only about 2/10ths of a point above Lennie Anderson, but still well
below Brain Burke, who is trying desperately hard to get down there,
although he slips occassionally and leaves me with hope for his soul.

I have no such delusions about you.

Steve, K4YZ

3505. Re: 27.620 FM Repeater heard ! (new rare 'DX catch')

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 09:07:38 UTC
From: jonz <overhere@overthere.com>
Message-ID: <42ba7c62@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 110638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1119488375.282017.202610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Lloyd wrote:
> > The Charleston and Little Rock freeband repeaters are linked
> > to business band repeaters and a seldom used ham repeater in one
> > case. There is a set up in Nashville where a repeater is cross
> > linked to repeaters in three other radio services.
> >
> >
> > "Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzahoradiosltd.com> wrote in message
> > news:d9cl5hufe0@pita.alt.net...
> > > There are Freeband repeaters operating in Charleston, SC,
> > > Atlanta, Ga., Little Rock, AR, and several other cities.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "SWL DX News" <73varney@nosp.ucdavis.edu> wrote in message
> > > news:a6b57$42b9b094$d1cc4e62$10819@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > >> Exercpted from The KY4KY News : http://ky4ky.com/fyi.htm
> > >>
> > >> (6/19/05) Floating Freeband (FM) Repeater On * 27.620 *
> > >>
> > >> If you hear what sounds like a repeater outputting in the spectrum
> > >> between the 11 meter class D citizens band and the 10 meter
> > >> ham band, it's not an illusion. Rather it appears to be the creation
> > >> of some enterprising Freebanders who are operating this
> > >> latest, unlicensed repeater.
> > >>
> > >> According to several reports received by Newsline, and others
> > >> posted to various Internet websites, the system in question
> > >> outputs on 27.620 MHz FM. It also seems to be located
> > >> not far from Pennsylvania's eastern most border.
> > >>
> > >> But there is the interesting part. While it's not yet been
> > >> verified, the word on the street is that the input kind of floats
> > >> around the low end of the 10 meter ham band. There is some
> > >> speculation that the operators of this repeater monitor
> > >> the input frequency and move it when ham radio operators
> > >> running legal high power Morse come on the air on top of it.
> > >> At least there have been reports of strange announcements

> > >> which may be information to users as to where the input will next be
> > >> found.
> > >>
> > >> One Internet post describes this machine as one of a new
> > >> breed of Freeband roving repeater of the type first noticed
> > >> in the St. Louis area about two years ago. That is, those
> > >> who run these systems are physically moving the frequencies
> > >> and the geographic location of the machine to avoid detection
> > >> by ham radio T-Hunt squads and the FCC.
> > >>
> > >> This is just the latest report in a string of 11 meter repeaters
> > >> that go way back to the early 1970's when
> > >> Freebanders - then called H-F'ers -- began tying together old
> > >> crystal controlled 11 meter AM transceivers as repeaters.
> > >> Most had outputs on channel 1 or 2 and inputs on CB Channel 40
> > >> or above. None worked very well and most were soon abandoned.
> > >>
> > >> -----------
> > >>
> > >> Note: We heard the "27.620 Machine" just before noon today in SE MO.
> > >> No word yet of they have a "QSL card address", although if they do
this
> > >> \would be a RARE CATCH to confirm.
>
> Just goes to show what CB queers will do to hook up for gay play.
>
just go away you fuckin oxygen thief.......jonz

3506. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 09:42:26 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119519746.573879.205000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110639

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:vNGdnWWUqsXSKyXfRVn-oQ@adelphia.com...
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote

> > >>....so younger and more capable minds ....

As if!

> > > Gee, and I always thought that experience was "the
> > > best teacher". Silly me!
> > > Turns out younger is more capable. By that tortured
> > > logic, we ought to be
> > > issuing call signs on birth certificates so we
> > > can capture the REALLY capable minds!

Those who ignore the past are doomed to repeat it..

> > Didn't' you know, Hans - there are a brand new set
> > of laws of physics
> > now - and the big thing is that they can only
> > be understood by those under 25.....
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -

> Hello, Mike
>
> I'm not sure it is necessarily the young folks.
> I keep hearing that pi is exactly equal to three.
>
> Dang, I'm getting old. I thought it was approximately
> 3.14159265358979323846264338327950288419716939937510.
>
> Of course, I may have well made a type. I know another 50
> places or so, but
> if I didn't make a typo in the first 50, I sure as heck will in > the next 50
> LOL.
>
> Funny how they love their cell phones and satellite
> television. I keep
> hearing that the theory of gravity is only a theory, but, if
> you try, you
> will find that you can't achieve a stable orbit with anything
> but the
> established "theory". Of course, even Einstein was mistaken

> for a janitor
> and also dismissed by folks after WWII about the dangers of
> atomic fission
> and fusion. He was, after all, old and decrepit.
>
> BWAAAAHAHAHAHA!
>
> Have they found the weapons of mass destruction yet?
>
> I hear they know where Bin Laden is. So ... ????
>
> Nada. Zilch. Zero. It is claimed that they don't want
> to offend certain
> nations. Yet, I hear that the U.K. is left-leaning.
> You know, I am getting
> sick to my stomach of the "spin" some folks are
> putting on things.
>
Me too, Jim and Mike...

Seems that it's not technology they object to as much as science. Like any science that says the earth is more than about 6000 years old. Or science that shows we share an enormous amount of DNA with other primates. Or any science that says maybe we shouldn't have so many babies, because the earth can only support a limited number of people. Global climate change? Hole in the ozone layer? Just theory, from "liberal academics"...

Nothing new - look what happened to folks like Galileo who said the earth wasn't the center of the universe. Next thing ya know they'll take "Inherit The Wind" off the shelves...

73 de Jim, N2EY

3507. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 09:46:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119520015.255431.207090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110640

Dave Heil wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
> >
> >
> >>Who do ya want - a impatient knob twiddler with a FTDX 9000 and an antenna
> >>farm of (insert your favorite antenna here), or a good capable contester with
> >>say a dipole and an IC-746.
> >>
> >
> >
> > Your example is specious, Mike. Generally the contester who builds a
> > competition grade station has also invested in building the skills and
> > techniques to take advantage of the capabilities they have sought in their
> > station design.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Generally but not always, Hans. Here's an example: Joe Moneybags has
> always admired the photos which Hans Brakob takes. He notes that Hans
> always uses a top of the line Nikon. Joe sells his Canon and buys the
> expensive Nikon. His photos are still not up to the Brakob standard.
> Joe fails to realize that the skill of the photographer is more
> important than the price or model of the camera. You have to have seen
> something similar a dozen times in DXing or contesting.
>
> Dave K8MN

In music. Some guy see's Joe Walsh playing a guitar and buys one just
like it...

3508. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 09:54:46 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <dk1lb155e4au91a1gda2r33hnapljej81s@4ax.com>
Source record: 110641

On 22 Jun 2005 03:58:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>
>Leo wrote:
>> On 21 Jun 2005 02:49:00 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 20 Jun 2005 03:09:46 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 18:58:24 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> > > >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Irrelevant - Len has lost the argument.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Oh. OK then. That matters a lot.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Glad you agree!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Guess that makes you 'right', then.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Yes, it does.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> That's important!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Len was 'wrong', and you were

>> >> >> >> 'right'.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Yep.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> That's important!
>> >> >
>> >> >> >> Feel better now?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Sure. How about you?
>> >> >
>> >> >> Just fine, thanks! Glad you're feeling better!
>> >> >
>> >> >I was pretty good before. How about you?
>> >> >
>> >> >> >> >The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> Godwin invoked.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >For what? I did not use Hitler/Nazi references to anyone
>> >> >> >> >involved in the discussion. I simply stated the fact
>> >> >> >> >that ol' Adolf was a feldwebel in the German Army in WW1.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> I see.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> You just felt it necessary to blurt that out, for no reason at > all?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Nope. With good reason.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I'm sure that we'd all love to hear your good reason for
>> >> >> resurrecting
>> >> >> the work history of the long departed Fuhrer back there, Jim - > please share!
>> >> >
>> >> >It shows that the word "feldwebel", when it was used in connection with
>> >> >a specific person, has Godwin connections.
>> >>
>> >> Oh. I see.
>> >>
>> >> I was wondering, because - well, there are a couple of errors with
>> >> your statement .
>> >>
>> >> Let's have a look:
>> >>
>> >> 1. "The Fuhrer was a feldwebel in WW1".
>> >>
>> >> Well, no. According to several historical references, our friend
>> >> Adolf never achieved a rank higher than the equivalent of Lance
>> >> Corporal by the end of WW I. Several translation facilities available
>> >> on the Web (see below) translate "Feldwebel" to "Sergeant". This was
>> >> a rank senior to his.
>> >

>> >Other references refer to him as "feldwebel" as in "feldwebel
>> >schikelgruber. However, it appears that, in fact, he never actually
>> >held that rank.
>>
>> Well, no. That would be a reference to another person entirely.
>> Although "Schicklgruber" was Adolf's mother's maiden name, it was
>> never given to him. He went by his father's now-famous last name for
>> his entire time on this Earth.
>>
>> Same references should yield this information.
>>
>> >
>> >So it comes down to whether the original writer of the sentence "shut
>> >the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel" knew those facts or not.
>>
>> That was not a part of our discussion - as such, it is irrelevant in
>> this context.
>>
>> >>
>> >> 2. "It shows that the word "Feldwebel", when it was used in connection
>> >> with a specific person, has Godwin connections."
>> >>
>> >> Well, no. Even if Mr. Hitler had indeed held that rank in the German
>> >> (Bavarian, actually) Army during WW I, that was before the creation of
>> >> the Nazi party in 1920. Bu that time, he had left that rank and
>> >> entered politics.
>> >
>> >Not at all. Some people are still addressed by their rank long after
>> >their
>> >military service is done, such as "Captain" Peacock and "General"
>> >Sarnoff.
>>
>> Well, no.
>>
>> Although that is certainly true in many instances, I am unaware of any
>> historical references which refer to Mr. Hitler continuing to use the
>> prefix "Corporal" (in German, of course) at any time following his
>> departure from the Army. I would suggest that is indeed quite
>> unkilely as it is a very low rank - and I would expect that anyone
>> addressing the man in that fashion would have had some serious
>> explaining to do.....
>>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> All you would have proven was his rank in the Army during WW I - just
>> >> like thousands of other soldiers - none of whom attained the level of
>> >> notoriety that Adolf did.
>> >>
>> >> Not exactly Godwin invokable stuff at all!
>> >>

>> >> A few references for you:
>> >>
>> >> FELDWEBEL
>> >> http://odge.info/german-english/Feldwebel+%7Bm%7D.html
>> >> http://www.silentwall.com/LuftwaffePortraits9.html
>> >> http://babelfish.altavista.com/
>> >>
>> >> ADOLF
>> >> http://www.remember.org/guide/Facts.root.hitler.html
>> >> http://www.historyplace.com/worldwar2/riseofhitler/warone.htm
>> >>
>> >> NAZI PARTY
>> >> http://mars.acnet.wnec.edu/~grempel/courses/germany/lectures/25naziorigins.html
>> >>
>> >> And, if one of your electives at good ol' Dreidel U was 20th Century
>> >> World History, you should give them a call and see if you can still
>> >> get your money back!
>> >>
>> >
>> >Dreidel U? Where's that?
>>
>> I of course assumed that you attended one of the 'top' colleges.....
>> :)
>>
>> (apologies for the abuse of Hebrew here...!)
>
>Looks like an anti-Semitic zing at one of my alma maters, Leo.

Nope - it was a joke. A 'Top" college - Dreidel (a child's toy top) -
get it? Anti-semetic - no. It rhymes (well, sort of) with Drexel -
your good ol' alma mater.

Heh heh.

It was a zing, though - you are right about that. Not sure what they
teach there, but research skills and accuracy don't appear to be
weighted very heavily...unless you picked up those bad habits after
you left.....

Good catch, though!

>
>> >I didn't take any 20th Century World History courses.
>>
>> Heh...I'm pretty sure that we are all aware of that now, Jim!
>>
>> >> >
>> >> >> You of course realize that there is a school of thought that
>> >> >> invocation of Godwin's Law can be interpreted to include any
>> >> >> such
>> >> >> reference to that - um - Teutonic regime of the 1930's and
>> >> >> 1940's? Especially the Big Guy himself?

>> >> >
>> >> >Oh sure - but the classic interpretation is that Godwin only
>> >> >applies when someone refers to another in such terms. Which
>> >> >I have not done.
>> >>
>> >> I see...we'll deal with that next!
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >That school of thought reminds me of the episode of "Blackadder III" in
>> >> >which two characters are superstitious about the name of
>> >> >a particular play by Shakespeare - supposedly, saying the name brings
>> >> >bad luck. They refer to it as "the Scottish play", and if
>> >> >someone says the actual name, they have to do an elaborate ritual to
>> >> >excise the evil spirits.
>> >> >
>> >> >Of course Blackadder says the name of the play for them at every
>> >> >opportunity.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Oh - I forgot - you said you use another interpretation of that
>> >> >> rule.......
>> >> >
>> >> >The correct one..
>> >>
>> >> Well, no.
>> >
>> >Well, yes.
>>
>> Well, no - you are not following all of it - just the part that suits
>> your purpose.
>
>Well, no.

Oh, yeah!

>
>Has an invocation of Godwing *ever* ended a thread on rrap?

No - it has failed every time. Completely and utterly useless, I'd
say.

>>
>> >
>> >> Part of it - but not all. The intent of Godwin's Law was
>> >> to provide an upper limit for the length of a Usenet thread - he
>> >> theorized that, eventually, someone would make reference to the Nazis,
>> >> and that would be that. End of thread.
>> >
>> >That may have been the original intent, but it doesn't usually work
>> >that
>> >way.
>>

>> Disagree - unless someone repealed or amended it, the law is still the
>> Law....
>
>Not enforced here, however.

Not enforceable outside a moderated group, Jim - perhaps you would be
more comfortable in one of those?

Heh heh.

> >>
>> >> I pointed out earlier that you really hadn't achieved anything useful
>> >> by invoking Godwin, as the arguement would continue - your
>> >> response,was that you had "won the arguement" because Len had referred
>> >> to the Nazis.
>> >>
>> >And I did.
>>
>> Not according to Godwin's law.....Google it up, please....
>
>Why?

Because you have not completed your research on the topic. You fail
to have grasped it's essence.

>
>> >> Mr. Godwin would disagree - if the thread continues, then the
>> >> invocation of his law has failed.
>> >
>> >Do you know Mr. Godwin?
>>
>> Rhetorical question, not relevant. Ignored.
>>
>> >
>> >> You can't use the 'correct' version if you don't use it all! :)
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> Not my job to judge that, Jim. That's apparently your role.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >And apparently your role has become "defender of the Len".
>> >> >>
>> >> >> How so? I have neither defended nor attacked Len.
>> >> >
>> >> >Len can do no wrong by you.
>> >>
>> >> Well, no. That just ain't so Google back a couple of years ago, and
>> >> you'll see that Len and I haven't always been at peace..... :)
>> >>
>> >> Len has done no wrong to me
>> >
>> >Not "to" you - "by" you. Different thing entirely.
>>

>> Not at all. I personally deal with those who do wrong 'to' me. Doing
>> wrong 'by' me is subjective - not my problem unless it impacts me
>> directly.
>>
>> In society, we have police who deal with issues where people do wrong
>> 'by' others. in here, apparently, we have you!
>
>Well, no.

Oh, yeah! for seven and a half years now! (corrected per your
timeline).

>
>According to your behaviour here, nothing Len does warrants a negative
>reaction from you. In fact, your interactions with him and on his
>behalf
>show you approve of his behaviour here. That's what "he can do no wrong
> by you" means.
>
>That you disagreed with him in the past is incidental.

By your logic, then, I should ccontinue to disagree with him even
though he has provided me no direct reason to do so?

...wouldn't that be a bit psychotic, eh? LOL!

>>
>> >
>> >>- giving me no reason to do any wrong to
>> >> him.
>> >>
>> >> Now, if I was to get on the keyboard and tell him that his
>> >> professional knowledge and experience isn't worth anything, or that
>> >> acquiring a ham license is better than sex, or that a Ham without
>> >> Morse is like a day without sunshine - or worse - rag on him every
>> >> chance that I get that he is 'wrong' about something.......well, then
>> >> Len and I might have a problem getting along here.
>> >
>> >You don't need to do all that. I haven't done any of it.
>>
>> I suggest that you may want to rethink that statement - you have been
>> telling Len (and others) that they have been "wrong", Incorrect, "in
>> error", etc. for at least the last eight years, with almost weekly
>> frequency (at minimum).
>
>Well, no.

Oh, yeah!

>
>I first showed up on rrap in late 1997, less than 8 years ago.

Sorry - you're correct - make that seven and a half years then. LOL!

>
>There have been periods of much longer than a week when I was gone from
>rrap.

Yup - we all take vacations. On average, though, I'd estimate a
frequency of (conservatively) once per week. That means three times
this week, and none over the next two, et. etc. equals an average
frequency of approximately weekly. Actually, I have probably given
you the advantage here - it may be even more.....

They taught averages at Dreidel, didn't they?

>
>As for:
>
>"telling him that his professional knowledge and experience isn't worth
>anything" - I haven't done that. I have said that his professional
> knowledge and experience don't qualify him for an amateur license, and
>that is a fact.
>
>"acquiring a ham license is better than sex" - You won't hear that from
>me.

It wasn't a literal, Jim - interpret!

>
>"a Ham without Morse is like a day without sunshine" - Not me again. I
>have said that a ham who doesn't have any Morse skills is not fully
>qualified as a radio amateur, and that is a fact.

It wasn't a literal, Jim - come on, guy - use that education!

>
>"- or worse - rag on him every chance that I get that he is 'wrong'
>about something" - Not me! I have pointed out *some* of Len's mistakes,
>when he
>has been in error - wrong - about something. Is that not allowed?
>
>> >> ....well, then
>> >> Len and I might have a problem getting along here.
>
>The references to "Dreidel U." are very like Len's reactions when
>someone
>catches him in an error and points it out.

Once again - a reference back to Len. Does your whole world revolve
around this guy? Why?

>>
>> A few Google examples:
>>
>> Subject: Keep the quality, lose the spectrum Jul 17 1998
>> "Len, you are just plain wrong here. You just don't understand the
>> issue."

>
>What *was* the issue? Was Len wrong about it?

Not the issue, Jim. The frequency over time is. Please try to
comprehend.

>>
>> Subject: Who Is What? Feb 9 2001
>> "No, Len, it is not correct. Let's look at what you wrote:"
>
>What *did* Len write? Was he correct or not?

Not the issue, Jim. The frequency over time is. Please try to
comprehend.

>>
>> Subject: ARS License Numbers Mar 4 2003
>> "So you are incorrect again, Len. Mistaken. Just plain wrong."
>
>Was Len correct that time? Or was he mistaken - just plain wrong?

Not the issue, Jim. The frequency over time is. Please try to
comprehend.

>
>> Subject: Wrong Again, Len! (Communicator Power) Mar 18 2004
>> (Hmmm - that was your thread - quote not required for that one at all!
>
>Well - was he right or wrong about "Communicator Power"?

Not the issue, Jim. The frequency over time is. Please try to
comprehend.

In each example, do you believe that your opponent could care less
whether he was wrong?

Might there be an ulterior motive? Heh heh heh.

>
>> You don't think that eight years of "you're wrong, you're wrong..."
>> wouldn't be deemed by a reasonable man to be a bit excessive?
>
>Well, no. Not if the person really *is* wrong - mistaken - in error
>about the subjects discussed.

Therein lies the nature of the affliction. Nobody, least of all Len,
cares that you think that he is 'wrong''. It's being done to torment
you and get you to respond, for the other person's pleasure.

The rest of the folks here will watch - much like rubberneckers
looking at an accident on the highway.

You have become just that - a tragedy on the 'Information Highway'.
(oh, how I loathe that expression....!).

You're being used, Jim. Sad you cannot see it.

Real sad.

>
>Is there a time limit beyond which I cannot tell Len he's mistaken
>about something?

Sure -to infinity and beyond, if your are OC enough to go the
distance! Heh heh heh.

>
>> LOL!
>
>> >
>> >All you have to do is disagree with him about the Morse Code test,
>> >defend
>> >that opinion, and then point out an incosistency or two in his
>> >postings.
>>
>> An inconsistency or two? For eight years?
>
>I'm saying that if someone disagrees with Len about Morse Code testing
>and then points out some incosistencies in Len's postings, Len will
>go off in his typical fashion. Even if the errors are pointed out in
>a courteous way, Len will go into attack mode.

To turn your crank, and get you dancing and hopping again - nothing
more.

>
>> Do you actually believe that, after all of this time, that you are
>> going to change anything by whining on?
>
>"Whining on"?

Whining on. Correct. Did I not spell that right or something?

>
>btw, Len's been posting to rrap longer than I have, posts more and at
>greater length than almost anyone else in rrap, and makes more mistakes
>here than
>I do as well. But I guess that's OK with you.

I could personally care less. I am not obsessed.

>
>> Jeez, you'd make somebody a great ex-wife.... :)
>
>Well, no.

Hell, yes! wrong, wrong, wrong, wrong -- on and on ad infinitum.
like a demented parrot.

>
>> >> Wonder why that would be? Heh heh heh.
>> >
>> >> >> I simply refuse to
>> >> >> join you in your obcessive crusade against him.
>> >> >
>> >> >You can't join what doesn't exist.
>> >>
>> >> Oh, it's there, all right. You read some of the stuff you write?
>> >
>> >I read all of it. Do you read the responses I get from Len?
>>
>> I certainly do. Do you think that will ever change?
>
>Probably not. So what?

So why contimue? If you will achieve nothing, why go on? Are you
incapable of controlling yourself?

Find The Strength!

>
>> Or do you enjoy being used for entertainment - laughed at, not with?
>
>"ARE YOU BEING ENTERTAINED?!"

um - if you are still going ahead with this quote from the movie
"Gladiator" - well, no.

The correct quote is "Are you not entertained"

Source: http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0172495/quotes

If you are asking, however, if i am entertained by your antics - the
answer is no. And yes. I like to watch a good accident as much as
the next guy - it's human nature!

Heh heh heh.

>
>> >> Jeez, I'll bet you dream about the guy!
>> >
>> >Nope.
>>
>> Yup. In Technicolour.
>
>Well, no.

Panavision then? With DTS sound.

The sad truth is - you are obsessed with the guy. Period.

We see it - you should try to do so as well, for you own sake....

> >
>> >> >> Heh. "Those who ain't with me are agin' me....!"
>> >> >> - what movie was that from again???
>> >> >
>> >> >Not a movie - a good description of Len's newsgroup behaviour,
>> >> >though!
>> >>
>> >> A pretty accurate description of your behaviour too, sadly enough.
>> >
>> >That claim is incorrect. Unlike Len, I have many civil, uninsulting
>> >discussions here with those who disagree with me on a variety of
>> >issues,
>> >including the Morse Code test. Google up any exchange between N2EY and
>> >K2UNK,
>> >for example.
>>
>> Agreed.
>
>So there *is* a difference!

Sure is - take away the obsessive behaviour, and you are a pretty nice
guy! Add it in, however - and.....well, no.

>
>> So why bother ragging on for eight solid years about issues
>> that the folks you are arguing with will never agree?
>
>Ask Len the same question. He's been at it longer.

There's the old obsesssion again - everything is Len-centric to you.

All roads lead to Len!

LOL!

>
>> Jeez. Even Ghandi would have taken up golf by now. :)
>
>> >> >> >> >In other words, you won't answer the question.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> That is correct - I have no opinion on the subject.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >That's a contradiction. You just answered the question.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >"I have no opinion on the subject" is a simple, direct answer.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Thanks!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Actually, it is neither an answer nor a refusal to answer. It > is nothing at all.
>> >> >
>> >> >No, it's a valid answer. Look at the way opinion polls are
>> >> >usually structured - they often have a six-choice scale, to be applied
>> >> >to each statement:

>> >> >
>> >> >Strongly agree
>> >> >Agree
>> >> >Neither agree nor disagree (no opinion)
>> >> >Disagree
>> >> >Strongly disagree
>> >> >No answer
>> >> >
>> >> >Often the last is implied - if the respondent doesn't choose any of the
>> >> >first five choices, the sixth is applied.
>> >>
>> >> Oh - I see - it was an opinion poll and not a question. Sorry then -
>> >> I thought is was a question! :)
>> >
>> >It's a question.
>>
>> X Strongly Disagree
>
>
>"Is this the right room for an argument?"

Not at all - I was marking my selection from your list directly above
with an "X".

Your comment was a bit apocryphal, though.....

>>
>> >>
>> >> If thaat's true, though - "no answer" is a valid answer - it's right
>> >> there on your list. But, you said that I had to have an answer, and
>> >> that 'no answer' was not an answer. Waaaah!
>> >>
>> >> I'll pick that one then. No answer.
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> >> In short, I have
>> >> >> >> no answer to your (rhetorical) question.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Yes, you do! Your answer is that you have no
>> >> >> >opinion one way or the other.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Heh heh.
>> >> >
>> >> >Which is a valid answer.
>> >>
>> >> Heh heh is never a valid answer! :) Heh heh.
>> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Why should I answer the questions of others, when they don't
>> >> >> >answer mine?
>> >> >>

>> >> >> Well, that's a bit childish, but it is Fathers' Day, so I'll
>> >> >> help you out a bit here.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Because you should!
>> >> >
>> >> >Why?
>> >>
>> >> Because I said so! Now go outside and play!
>> >
>> >Hehheh
>> > >
>> >> >> Why should you let the behaviour of others
>> >> >> negatively influence yours?
>> >> >
>> >> >It's a question of fairness and equality. Also experience with
>> >> >what is done with the information provided.
>> >> >
>> >> >> If Johnny jumped in the mud, would you jump in the mud?
>> >> >
>> >> >Not a valid analogy. Try this one:
>> >> >
>> >> >A neighbor is always asking to borrow your tools, but won't lend
>> >> >you any of his. If you get a tool back, it's dirty, broken or both.
>> >> >Meanwhile he keeps his tools in perfect condition.
>> >> >
>> >> >Should you keep lending him your tools?
>> >>
>> >> Actually, my analogy was right on the money. exactly two variables
>> >> (jump / not jump) just like your option regarding the question (answer
>> >> / don't answer). Yours has a few more variables.
>> >
>> >The number of variables is irrelevant in this case.
>>
>> Not true.
>
>True.

Not at all - the situation had two - I provided two. To add more is
simply obfuscation - which tou do well. If you were Hindu, I would
suspect that you were a squid in a previous life....! Heh heh heh.

>> >
>> >> In your example, the correct answer (following your logic) is: Borrow
>> >> the neighbour's tools, break them and get them dirty, and give some of
>> >> them back.
>> >
>> >Nope.
>> >
>> >The question was whether to keep lending him your tools (yes/no).
>> >
>> >Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any

>> >of his, so your solution is not feasible.
>> >
>> >You didn't fully understand the analogy.
>>
>> Who didn't understand what, Jim?
>
>You didn't understand the analogy I made.

I understood it's purpose - to cloud the issue. That's all I ned to
understand.

>
>> You avoided my question, threw in
>> your own to obfuscate the issue, and blamed it on my understanding?
>>
>> Not gonna happen, Bud!
>
>The neighbour who borrows your tools and treats them badly but won't
>lend you any of his is just like the person who asks you questions but
>won't answer your questions. Why should you continue to lend the
>neighbour tools - or answer someone's questions - when they behave
>that way?

Rhetorical question - ignored.

>
> >
>> >> After all, like you said, "It's a question of fairness and
>> >> equality. Also experience with what is done with the information
>> >> provided."
>> >Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
>> >of his, so your solution is not feasible.
>>
>> You're absolutely correct.
>>
>> You should steal the tools instead.
>
>Well, no.

Makes as much sense as any.... Heh heh heh.

>
>> >> >> >> In a fit of pique? As an insult? Forgot, maybe!
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >None of the above.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Not true.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >That claim is incorrect.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I don't think so!
>> >> >

>> >> >If you know the answer, why ask the question?
>> >>
>> >> ....now that's one question that you really should have an answer to,
>> >> Jim - that's something you do quite frequently?
>> >>
>> >> Or was that another rhetorical question?
>> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> Lid-like behaviour, wouldn't you think?
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >Not at all.
>> >>
>> >> Well, impolite at least....nah, I'll stick with lid-like.
>> >>
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >The original meaning of "73" is "a friendly greeting
>> >> >> >> >> >between operators". In the context of amateur radio,
>> >> >> >> >> >this means between amateur radio operators.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> In the words of Hans - thank you, Captain Obvious!
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >Most people don't know the original meaning.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> In an Amateur Radio newsgroup? Heh heh. OK, Jim - whatever
>> >> >> >> you say.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Did *you* know the original meaning?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I did indeed - it's not exactly a secret.....didn't I quote you
>> >> >> something from the "92 code" a while back?
>> >> >
>> >> >You probably got the quote from me!
>> >>
>> >> Well, no. I got it on the Net - from this site:
>> >>
>> >> http://scard.buffnet.net/pages/tele/wurules1866/92code.html
>> >>
>> >> As I recall, it was late last year, when you first began questioning
>> >> whether I was really me :)
>> >>
>> >> I sent you ""134, Leo" instead of 73 - a literal Internet-era
>> >> translation of which would be "Who is at the key(board)?
>> >>
>> >> > >
>> >> >> >> >> >It would be inappropriate to use the greeting to
>> >> >> >> >> >someone who is not an amateur radio operator.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> Which I am. And have stated many times before.
>> >> >> >> >

>> >> >> >> >And your callsign is?
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Not going to be used in this newsgroup. For reasons explained
>> >> >> >> earlier.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Then there's room for doubt. Perhaps you are an amateur radio
>> >> >> >operator, perhaps not.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> Starts with VE3, though - issued in 2002.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Maybe...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> There you go again - not believing! :)
>> >> >
>> >> >Perhaps I should tap my shoes together and say "there's no place like
>> >> >Ontario"...
>> >>
>> >> Well, if you think it would help.....if you want a VE3 or VA3
>> >> licence, you'll need to come here for sure - but I'd try and find a
>> >> more efficient method of transportation. That one only worked once -
>> >> in 1939 :)
>> >>
>> >> (thinking to self: say, was that an attempt to insult me? nah,
>> >> couldn't have been!) LOL!
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> Poor memory? Google 'er up.....
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >I know what you claimed. But there's no independent
>> >> >> >evidence.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You claim to be an educated guy, Jim, there isn't much evidence
>> >> >> of that either!
>> >> >
>> >> >Zing! Was that written in a fit of pique? As an insult?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Of course not!
>> >> >
>> >> >Heh heh.
>> >> >
>> >> >> Simply an illustration that, in the absence of
>> >> >> conclusive and irrefutable proof, one has no other means to ascertain
>> >> >> whether another individual is misrepresenting themselves other than
>> >> >> the evidence that they present in their posts over a period of time.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> So far, we haven't seen much of anything posted that would support
>> >> >> your claims of post-grad education - no thesis references, no detailed
>> >> >> insight which would require that level of training, no written
>> >> >> expressions of advanced theoretical knowledge.

>> >> >
>> >> >All of which could be ghostwritten or cut-and-pasted from another
>> >> >source. So they wouldn't be proof anyway.
>> >>
>> >> ...Patent application, published article - nah, you're right - you
>> >> can't trust anybody these days....!
>
>You misunderstand.

Misunderstand what? You as much as said that you can't trust anybodt
- and provided several valid arguements to support that supposition.
I merely stated it in conclusion.

You are, again, -um-, in error, Sir.

>
>It would be a simple matter for someone to write postings in one
>location and have them posted to usenet from somewhere else. That your
>postings to rrap originate where they do is not proof of where or who
>their author is.
>
>That's all.

Well, no. Most commercial ISPs block rebroadcast of newsgroup
messages from sources not directly connected to their NNTP servers
(i.e. their subscribers direct links from their homes to the ISP) -
this is done to prevent their networks being used illegally for
transmission of massive volumes of SPAM.

If you post to the groups through Google, this does not apply - that
is not an ISP.

I sincerely hope that your comprehension of the radio arts is
significantly superior to your knowlege of the mechanics of the
Internet.

>
>> >> >> A few moderately
>> >> >> complex calculations, perhaps - some correct, at least one not > by a long shot.
>> >> >
>> >> >> In short - your word is all we have.
>> >> >
>> >> >That applies to you as well. I can include "u" in certain words -
>> >> >doesn't make me Canadian...
>> >>
>> >> True. Were you as adept at the Internet as you are with your radio,
>> >> you could trace the message header to my ISP up here - wouldn't prove
>> >> my nationality, but it would certainly nail down the geographical
>> >> origin of the posts!
>> >
>> >Which proves nothing, since they could be remailed from that location.
>> >Easy to do.

>>
>> Oh yeah. Forgot.
>>
>> Let's see...Rebranding of published articles...fake
>> references...newsgroup postings spirited across the ether to foreign
>> countries...clandestine Amateur Radio credentials......
>>
>> Um, wouldn't that be an awful lot of effort just to fool you?
>>
>> ROTFLMAO!
>
>See above:It would be a simple matter for someone to write postings in
>one location and have them posted to usenet from somewhere else. That
>your postings to rrap originate where they do is not proof of where or
>who their author is.
>
>That's all.

Not necessarily - please see above.

>
>
>>
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> One can choose to doubt anything at all, Jim. You can. I can.
>> >> >> Anyone can.
>> >> >
>> >> >We call it "reasonable doubt"...
>> >>
>> >> Reasonable is judgemental - we just call it "doubt". :)
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> But to choose to doubt someone simply because they no longer
>> >> >> appear to
>> >> >> agree with you or support your views - doesn't seem particularly
>> >> >> brainy, now does it?
>> >> >
>> >> >Nope - but that's not what I'm doing.
>> >>
>> >> Not correct. Again.
>> >
>> >Your claim is incorrect.
>>
>> Really? Most of the things that you posted in this thread are - to
>> use your word - incorrect.
>>
>> Sunnavagun! (Sorry again, Hans - stole that too!)
>>
>> >>

>> >> >
>> >> >73 de Jim, N2EY (I'll believe you're really a VE3)
>> >>
>> >> 73, Leo (nothing condescending in my sig! heh heh)
>> >
>> >73 de Jim, N2EY (I'll believe you're really a VE3)
>>
>> 73, Leo (trying hard to believe you're educated - but I promised I
>> would so I will!)
>
>It appears that this whole exchange about obsession is really just a
>disguised way of telling me to shut up and let Len post his mistakes
>and attacks without challenge. That's really what you want me to do.

Not at all. It is indended to illustrate to you that your valiant
battle is in vain - your opponent is not interested in the least in
what you say. His only goal is to control you - which is pretty easy
to do. You have all of your hot buttons proudly and prominently
displayed - and every bloody one elicits a predictable response when
pressed: your ire, passion and rage.

In short - you, Sir, are being hijacked against your will.

>
>Maybe you have a point. Perhaps I should simply step back and let you,
>Len, Brian/N0IMD, and "John Smith" rant on without comment.

I, kind Sir, do not rant. I communicate.

And educate.

And research before I post.

>
>Maybe I will.

God grant you the strength. I, however, am not optimistic that you
can break free.

Think about it - if you spent all of the time that you have wasted
here being a playtoy for others on more productive activities, what
could you have accomplished?

That would have been a lot to extra hours on the radio (DXCC, all
modes, all bands perhaps?) - or a second degree at night school (we're
talking a lot of hours, as you well know) - built yourself a brand new
car entirely out of spare parts, or many other useful things. Here,
over the last nine years, in all of your attempts to 'correct' those
who 'play' with you, you have accomplished: Nothing. Same arguements
- no progress. Zilch.

You got an F.

The song remains the same as it did nine long years ago.

What a colossal waste of talent! Yours, that is.

And, of course, as I have also illustrated quite clearly in this thread - well, with your level of accuracy and depth of research, you ain't really the guy who should be running around telling everyone else that they are wrong. When your emotions gain control over your intellect - you will lose.

Every time.

Without question.

If you stick to areas where you are a subject matter expert, try to educate only those who are truly interested in learning ffrom you, and avoid those who will prey upon your obsessive personality - and you'll be better off.

Or don't. Either way, we'll all watch! Screeeeeeeeeeech...BAM!

Your call.

73, Leo (hmmm - 73 de N2...etc sig missing yet again - wonder what's the deal this time?)

3509. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 09:56:48 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119520608.381811.76380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110642

Mike Coslo wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

> >>Who do ya want - a impatient knob twiddler with a FTDX 9000
> >>and an antenna
> >>farm of (insert your favorite antenna here), or a good
> >>capable contester with
> >>say a dipole and an IC-746.
>
> > Your example is specious, Mike. Generally the contester who > > builds a
> > competition grade station has also invested in building the
> > skills and
> > techniques to take advantage of the capabilities they have
> > sought in their station design.
>
> Do you think so Hans? I have examples of just that, and it
> has been my
> personal experience. Your statement is true as far as it
> goes, but I've
> seen what happens when the newbies have access to the
> competition grade
> setups, as sometimes happens during events such as Field day

The difference is that they didn't build that station themselves.

> So many of the newbies I have worked with come in with the
> expectations
> of sitting down, throwing the tuning knob around, and calling,
> then
> waiting for the pileup. They get frustrated with the work that
> you have to put into the more modest setups.

I think one of the main purposes of FD is that sort of
education. Let folks try out new (to them) rigs, new antennas,
operating techniques, etc., and see what *really* works. And
let the newbies see how it's done.

> Many times we are told that the station "isn't working".
> And of course it is.

Cockpit trouble. Short-circuit between the headphones.

> An experienced and patient operator can start making QSO's
> immediately. One of the newbies decided that he wanted to use
> the QRO

> station and referred to the GOTA as a "toy station".

"younger and more capable minds"....

I've had similar experiences on FD. Some folks think they're
doing well to make QSOs on SSB at a certain rate with a beam and 100 W
transceiver, with an op and a logger. Exhausted after an hour or two,
they wander over to the CW tent and find me working
them at 2, 3 or more times their rate, with a dipole, "old"
transceiver, and no logger.

The reactions when presented with a manual transmatch were
priceless, too.

> Those QRO stations are powerful competition for lower powered
> ones. It
> is not terribly difficult to twiddle the knob, start calling
> CQ, and
> getting return calls. Problem is, it doesn't tend to make you a > good contester.
>
That's why it might be a good idea - next year - to run all ~100 W
setups.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3510. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 11:28:24 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1119526104.287632.157530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110643

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
>
> Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
> passed:
>
> WA2SI: September 13, 2003
> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> K2UNK: January 1, 2004
> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> N2EY: April 15, 2004
> N3KIP: May 1, 2004
> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> K3LT: September 15, 2004
> WK3C: December 30, 2004
> N4PGW:May 22, 2005
> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> AB2RC: July 1, 2007
> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>
> Anybody want to add a prediction? If so, the "rolling rule" (thanks
> Dee, N8UZE) applies:
>
> If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
> add a new one.
>
> At this point N3KIP and everyone before him can add a new prediction.
> All predictions stay on the list.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I will go on the record with the prediction that Element 1 will NOT go away completely as first thought. It will be dropped for Tech "+" and General privies, but retained for the Extra. I think enough folks have written to the FCC, as well as their elected officials, (Some of whom have a say in the FCC's budget.) and expressed their wishes that we not follow suit with the nations that have completely dropped the code from their licensing process. Hats off to Japan as well in this respect.

Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI

3511. Re: 2610852105431874

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 11:36:49 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <4eadnfSzb6tEAyffRVn-pA@crocker.com>
Source record: 110644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

9 8 3 4 2 1 4 2 3 6 4 8 9 2 4 8 3 2 1 2 5 5 4 1 1 0 1 3 4 5 6 3 2
checkmate!

"0000" <98394@25543943.794> wrote in message news:98394@25543943.794...
>0 5 9 8 8 5 0 9 4 5 1 5 5 7 0 8 8 8 6 3 9 2 0 3 9 6 4 6 1 0 3 1 5 2
> 9 4 8 0 3 2 5 5 7 1 2 7 0 0 6 6 3 5 9 4 8 8 0 3 5 1 3 8 3 8 0 2 3 8
> 2 6 1 8 2 8 9 4 5 9 5 2 6 9 7 5 3 6 3 3 9 9 5 2 7 8 1 8 1 4 7 4 1 8
> 2 3 6 2 8 2 1 3 4 7 3 2 3 6 9 7 0 9 6 5 2 3 4 4 1 5 9 8 0 1 6 3 5 3
> 5 3 6 7 7 0 4 0 3 8 7 3 5 7 3 1 3 5 4 7 0 6 3 9 4 4 0 1 7 5 5 2 9 1
> 9 6 2 4 7 6 2 4 9 7 1 2 8 5 8 3 3 9 9 7 8 4 1 9 6 8 5 1 1 4 3 1 1 5
> 5 8 1 8 3 1 6 4 4 5 0 3 8 3 2 8 0 1 2 2 0 9 1 5 0 2 0 4 3 2 9 9 0 1
> 8 3 2 4 8 7 0 9 0 8 3 3 7 4 4 9 6 4 9 7 0 0 0 1 4 4 3 4 4 4 5 2 8 8
> 7 7 5 7 6 6 6 9 9 3 3 3 3 0 8 2 8 8 2 8 9 7 2 3 1 6 7 6 9 5 5 6 2 0
> 4 9 7 0 9 6 4 3 0 7 3 8 0 1 7 3 0 7 0 2 0 1 9 8 7 8 3 2 5 6 3 9 6 1
> 0 5 7 4 8 8 1 2 6 2 4 4 5 4 1 5 7 2 6 6 0 4 5 4 7 0 1 1 0 7 2 0 3 0
> 4 2 8 6 4 5 8 8 9 3 2 1 8 9 3 5 6 3 0 2 8 7 3 9 9 3 6 1 4 0 2 8 2 0
> 5 7 6 3 5 6 7 8 7 5 8 0 1 5 4 1 7 2 9 0 1 8 4 8 0 8 8 2 6 1 3 1 8 9
> 5 4 5 2 2 3 8 1 3 0 6 7 1 4 0 1 4 1 9 8 0 0 7 9 2 3 1 6 5 9 6 1 4 2
> 3 7 5 2 8 8 2 5 6 3 9 7 5 3 9 5 2 9 5 9 9 8 3 0 4 9 0 0 0 4 2 4 1 8
> 6 0 7 8 5 3 2 4 1 7 8 0 3 1 0 8 1 9 7 4 0 1 4 0 2 5 5 5 9 6 3 6 7 0
> 4 2 4 7 7 6 5 6 6 8 7 6 7 8 6 4 3 6 6 8 7 9 3 2 5 3 9 8 9 6 9 4 8 4
> 1 6 0 7 2 7 6 9 4 3 8 0 8 2 7 5 0 4 4 4 6 9 7 5 8 6 2 8 1 1 3 3 7 4
> 0 9 1 6 9 5 0 8 6 9 1 3 4 2 7 8 6 4 8 4 0 6 0 2 4 1 3 8 4 0 2 5 0 3
> 2 0 9 3 8 5 2 9 8 7 1 6 6 8 0 4 2 0 1 3 2 6 5 6 4 0 6 6 5 7 0 8 7 9

3512. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 11:37:51 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <y92dnXqtYLCLAiffRVn-oA@crocker.com>
Source record: 110645

peru mass... see http://www.k1ttt.net/ i forget that i don't have a proper signature in this thing for news groups.

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message news:1119489560.172506.312010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Dave, your offer is generous. QTH?
>
> bb
>
> Dave wrote:
>> my station is available for anyone who wants to use commercial power and
>> big
>> antennas... only 7 or 8 radios unless you bring your own. full computer
>> network for logging. currently set up for so2r, but easily changed to m/m
>> setup. qrp to qro. no setup, no bugs, good food, soft beds, no
>> takedown.
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1119459597.610837.109670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2 #2304
>> >
>> > Anybody else?
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>

3513. WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 12:01:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119528112.138439.59150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110646

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "an_old_friend" >konstans@hotmail.com< - Find messages by this author
Date: 22 Jun 2005 02:48:44 -0700
Local: Wed,Jun 22 2005 5:48 am
Subject: Re: The Pool
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

sorryJim but failing to back up an opinion doesn't mean they can't, may mean they simply choose not to bother

Yessireesir! Markie's back!

The President of the IIII....Illiterate Illinformed Idiot from Illinois.

Not THAT idiot...the ORIGINAL!

Failing to "back up an opinion", Markie, means that either the author was wrong, didn't have the facts, shot their mouth off just to be heard or doesn't have the faith of conviction in his/her own beliefs to stand behind it.

MY OPINION is that you need to move back to Illinois and marry Todd Daugherty, N9OGL. Maybe Lennie would move back (he's originally from IL) and officiate for you? You two are cut from the same cloth...Hmmmmmmmm...maybe a bit TOO close...Forget what I said...He's probably in your bloodline and that would be incest.

On the otherhand...you might LIKE that kind of thing....Lord knows...you've certainly expressed more bizarre interests than incest before.

Steve, K4YZ

3514. It appears Saggytits Lee has he head up his ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 12:26:54 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119529614.778997.230100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110647
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Saggytits Lee (ShitLee@houston_hunksofshit.com)whined:
> It appears

It appears that you see Roger everywhere, you owned BITCH.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

3515. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 12:29:17 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119529757.685753.264010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110648

Are you going to be "maid of dishonor" at the gay wedding, Nursie?????
Better get an extra heavy duty girdle so you won't split the seams of
your dress.

3516. Re: It appears Saggytits Lee has his head up his ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 12:33:05 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119529985.012148.16590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110649
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Stagger Lee, Childraper wrote:
> Saggytits Lee (ShitLee@houston_hunksofshit.com)whined:
> > It appears
>
> It appears that you see Roger everywhere, you owned BITCH.
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

My typo corrected.

3517. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 13:03:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119531788.373056.256060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110700

Bert Craig wrote:

> I will go on the record with the prediction that Element 1 will NOT go
> away completely as first thought. It will be dropped for Tech "+" and
> General privies, but retained for the Extra.

I am willing to bet that you've got the right combination, at
least for now, Bert.

I see a two class license structure on the next "restructuring"
round. That's not to say I like it or support it, but I bet that's
where we end up.

> I think enough folks have
> written to the FCC, as well as their elected officials, (Some of whom
> have a say in the FCC's budget.) and expressed their wishes that we not
> follow suit with the nations that have completely dropped the code from
> their licensing process.

A-yup.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3518. Re: Haynie admits to problem, alzheimers victims respond with, "What problem?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 13:30:04 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <e7cdbd93f07b59c64f67247fc812d92b@dizum.com>
Source record: 110701

In article <5LqdnZ8f0OnNjiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <m...@that.ten> wrote:

>
> Tapioca Pudding AKA chicken curtains fatboy wogie wrote:

"fatboy" "wogie" - Butt Cornholer shows off why he never
graduated from high school!

> <Butt Cornholer's crack brain blubbering deleted>

>Poor (IKYABWAI)

Poor Butt Cornholer, so incompetent at CW he couldn't pass the
20 wpm requirement when it was in effect. Poor Butt Cornholer,
just another unsubstantiated claims (that he can do over 30 wpm
CW) in his long list of unsubstantiated claims.

(space for Butt Cornholer's whiny excuses as to why he couldn't
ever pass it)

"2test" "wogie" Butt Cornholer makes good spells.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!
!!!!!!!

--
Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a
pilot's license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he
"peeks" out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman
in <5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

3519. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 14:41:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6Szue.8262$NX4.2024@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110702

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> MY OPINION is that you need to move back to Illinois and marry
> Todd Daugherty, N9OGL. Maybe Lennie would move back (he's originally
> from IL) and officiate for you? You two are cut from the same
> cloth...Hmmmmmmmm...maybe a bit TOO close...Forget what I said...He's
> probably in your bloodline and that would be incest.
>

Isn't this just soooooo Steve-like!?!? Rather than deal with an issue, he performs a seque into contemplation of the possible sexual orientation of others. Projecting again, Steve?!?!

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

3520. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 14:43:26 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d9ehqd$1898$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110703

bb wrote:

>
> Mike Coslo wrote:

<snippage>
>>
>> Way too many people seem to think that you plunk down the money, and
>>you are an instant contester.
>
>
> Way too many people? I didn't think contestors were that numerous.

We have many hundreds every QSO party. And since much less than half
submit logs, the total participation is much higher. Some people are
just in the thing for fun.

And no, I don't know why they don't.

>
>>>>>In similar fashion, cost is relative. A $5000 station is small
>>>>>change to some and beyond others' wildest dreams. Same for
>>>>>many other spending levels.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Just a few years back, having a dedicated computer in the
>>>>>shack was a major expense. Not any more!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I believe there are two general groups of contesters:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>1. People who like the head-to-head competition on a
>>>>>>personal level and
>>>>>>are not motivated by having large amounts of expensive
>>>>>>hardware. These
>>>>>>people focus on operating skill, knowledge and strategy instead > of equipment.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>-and-
>>>>>>
>>>>>>2. People who will do anything legal to maximize their score,
>>>>>>including
>>>>>>spending huge amounts of money on rigs, antennas and any other > hardware which gives
them an advantage.
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>I disagree strongly!
>>>>>
>>>>>I think there are many more basic groups, from the casual types
>>>>>just putting in a few hours and maybe picking up a new state
>>>>>or country, to the all-out multi-multis, to the middle-of-the-
>>>>>pack folks, to the special-interest ones (like the QRP types
>>>>>with incredible antenna farms).
>>>>>
>>>>>On top of this is the fact that the superstations require
>>>>>operating skill, knowledge and strategy just like the 100 W
>>>>>and dipole folks.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Both groups have good points and neither is superior to the
>>>>>>other.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Agreed!
>>>>>
>>>>>In fact the superstations need the little guys in order to make super
>>>>>scores. And the little guys need the superstations.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>What
>>>>>>is wrong with contesting today is both groups are combined into > one when
>>>>>>it comes to competing, and that is hurting contesting.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Well, there's division by power level, by multiop vs. single, and
>>>>>packet spotting.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I propose that there be two basic classes of competition:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>1. A Limited Class which clearly spells out maximum hardware,
>>>>>>i.e. one
>>>>>>radio, one antenna per band, no receiving while transmitting,
>>>>>>and perhaps some others,
>>>>>>
>>>>>>-and-
>>>>>>
>>>>>>2. An Unlimited Class which allows anything legal.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Within those two classes there could be subclasses for power

>>>>>>level and
>>>>>>number of operators, but the basic hardware definitions would
>>>>>>remain the
>>>>>>same. This would allow an operator to choose his class and know > he is
>>>>>>competing against others who are equipped similarly.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>The trouble is where the lines are drawn.
>>>>>
>>>>>What does "one radio" mean? Is a second receiver allowed? How
>>>>>about if the second receiver is built into the rig?
>>>>>
>>>>>One antenna per band could work a hardship on even some modest
>>>>>stations. At my previous location I had an inverted V for 80/40
>>>>>that could be made to work on 20. Also had a 20 meter vertical
>>>>>with elevated radials. 100 W homebrew transceiver. Hardly a
>>>>>superstation but I did pretty well.
>>>>>
>>>>>On 20 the vertical was usually better, but sometimes the
>>>>>inverted
>>>>>V would do the trick. "One antenna per band" would eliminate
>>>>>that.
>>>>
>>>>The whole concept is way way way to complicated. Also
>>>>unenforceable.
>>>>Will the contest committee send out Hamcops to ensure
>>>>compliance?
>>>
>>>
>>>Who enforces the present rules? Power level, packet spotting, etc.?
>>
>> Good question. The answer is it is a gentleman's agreement, and you
>>trust the person to abide by the rules. So you make the rules as
>>rock-bottom simple as possible. Rules like no receiving while
>>transmitting, are simply not enforceable. Ideas such as monoband
>>antennas are going to knock a lot of people right out of the contest -
>>unless of course they decide to cheat, as is the one receiver idea.
>
>
> But, but, but...
>
> If the ARRL DX desk don't approve it ain't ham radio. They approved
> the Frenchmen's excursion outside of France's 6M band!!!

Cmon Brian. I don't have an idae of how that is germane to this topic.
I mean all topics drift and such, but this seemed to be a good one
without your feud with Dave about that subject.

>
>> Finally, the idea of separating the contesters by limited and unlimited
>>classes is incredibly counterproductive. So these small number of

>>superoperaters are just going to work among themselves and then sign
>>off, I suppose.
>
>
> It is common knowledge that many small contestors are ther to snag DX
> and nothing else.
>
>
>> Whenever I hear rules change suggestions, I ask how this is going to
>>affect the person suggesting the changes. Funny how it is always to give
>>this person a big advantage, even when they claim they are just trying
>>to level the playing field.
>
>
> At the end of the day, the big $$$ stations win. Everyone else
> supplies contacts.
>
> When wasn't it so?
>
>
>> This is just a punitive plan toward the big stations.
>
>
> So you think the big $$$ stations won't go for it?
>
>
>> One time I listened to one of the high-powered, high scoring stations
>>noting how *they* should get extra points for listening to all those low
>>power stations with the weak signals!
>
>
> Yep. Recall the many, many, many times you've had to say to the qrp
> station, "Say again all after xxx."
>
>
>>>>>>The present hardware situation reminds me of a boxer who has
>>>>>>acquired a
>>>>>>set of brass knuckles but who still wants to fight those not so
>>>>>>equipped. That's not right and neither is contesting in its
>>>>>>present form.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I don't see it that way at all. I think we need an "iron" class for a
>>>>>very different reason.
>>>>>
>>>>>One thing that makes a contest fun (for me) is the competition.
>>>>>It's radiosport, pure and simple. I think the message that needs
>>>>>to be emphasized more is that you don't need a superstation to
>>>>>have a good time.
>>>>

>>>> No you don't.
>>>
>>>But it takes more than the average station to win.
>>
>> Define average? I've got an IC-745 and used a ladder line fed dipole
>>(96 feet long @50 feet high) to work the NEQP from Pennsylvania. Used a
>>MFJ-949E tuner on it.
>
>
> Didja win?

I didn't take top, but I finished high enough to get some wallpaper
(3rd in my class to be specific)

>
>>Total outlay was around 350 dollars, and this has got to be a below
>>average station setup if there ever was one, especially by these
>>"contest standards".
>
>
> Far, far, far below. Revisit the notion when you've got that 746 and a
> tri-bander at 60'.
>
> That is the bottom of "average."

I'm kinda drooling over the new 756 pro III at the moment....

>
>> But I put in a big booming signal to New England, and was definitely
>>limited by my own skills, (still working on 'em) and not my below
>>average station setup. Got a certificate one year.
>
>
> Ahem. Scan it and post it in place of Steve's photo. Please.
> Somebody...
>
>
>>>>>I know too many hams with "100W and dipole" stations who think
>>>>>contesting with such a setup isn't practical.
>>>>
>>>>100 watts and a dipole is only about 90 percent of contesters!
>>>
>>>And they don't win.
>>
>> Sometimes. Depends on the class and their skills.
>
>
> What? What kind of contesting are you thinking about?

I prefer the State QSO parties. We have a number of classes,

High power, medium power, QRP. We have a CW class with QRP and
medium/high power. We have classes for rover and mobile.

Now that I am the chairman of ours, we'll be adding digital modes.

We encourage the development of operating skills by giving multipliers
for the more difficult modes, such as mobile and QRP. This encourages
people to work those more difficult operations.

>
>> People have a tendency to operate with the stations that they have,
>>save for portable operations. Sounds like a "Well Duh!" statement, but
>>it is what most of us have to offer.
>
>
> People have a tendency to improve their stations....

It has been my experience from being on the air, and among those I know
personally that most hams have a fairly modest setup. 100 watts, maybe a
g5rv, and a competent but not spectacular rig. Obviously that isn't
everyone, but it seems do describe teh great majority.

Of course, mayber the really big stations won't descend to talk to me! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3521. Re: It appears Saggytits Lee has he head up his ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 14:48:00 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.apologizes.to@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 110704
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 23 Jun 2005 05:33:05 -0700, Secwet Woger <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote:
:
: My typo corrected.

Your apology accepted.

==========
In message <a297sp$1np$0@dosa.alt.net> Roger Wiseman auto-lamed:

"BTW, fuckwit Lee, it's 'alt.radio.scanner.flame_test,' not
'alt.radio.scanner.flame-fest,' DUMBASS."

and then lied about it in message <a29deo$go7$0@dosa.alt.net>, where he
wrote:

"Get the SPERM out of your eyes, It says 'flame_fest,' fuckhead.
And quit post-editing, you degenerate."

3522. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 14:59:06 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d9einq$12cs$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110705

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>
>>>>Who do ya want - a impatient knob twiddler with a FTDX 9000
>>>>and an antenna
>>>>farm of (insert your favorite antenna here), or a good
>>>>capable contester with
>>>>say a dipole and an IC-746.
>>
>>>Your example is specious, Mike. Generally the contester who > > builds a
>>>competition grade station has also invested in building the
>>>skills and
>>>techniques to take advantage of the capabilities they have
>>>sought in their station design.
>>
>>Do you think so Hans? I have examples of just that, and it
>>has been my
>>personal experience. Your statement is true as far as it
>>goes, but I've
>>seen what happens when the newbies have access to the
>>competition grade
>>setups, as sometimes happens during events such as Field day
>
>
> The difference is that they didn't build that station themselves.

And that experience is *exactly* why I am convinced that the operator
is so much more important than the setup.

I'm willing to admit I am incorrect, but not unless someone can come up
with an alternate explanation that hold water.

The unskilled operators don't do very well even with an excellent
setup, and the skilled operators do well with a more mundane setup that
the new or less experienced have a lot of trouble with.

Specious, or wrong, I'd love to hear the alternatives.

>
>>So many of the newbies I have worked with come in with the

>>expectations
>>of sitting down, throwing the tuning knob around, and calling,
>>then
>>waiting for the pileup. They get frustrated with the work that
>>you have to put into the more modest setups.
>
>
> I think one of the main purposes of FD is that sort of
> education. Let folks try out new (to them) rigs, new antennas,
> operating techniques, etc., and see what *really* works. And
> let the newbies see how it's done.

Yup. It worked for me, and I've seen it work for others. We've gottem a
couple new hams (or gotten inactive ones back) every FD I've been at.

>
>>Many times we are told that the station "isn't working".
>>And of course it is.
>
>
> Cockpit trouble. Short-circuit between the headphones.
>
>
>>An experienced and patient operator can start making QSO's
>>immediately. One of the newbies decided that he wanted to use
>>the QRO
>>station and referred to the GOTA as a "toy station".
>
>
> "younger and more capable minds"....
>
> I've had similar experiences on FD. Some folks think they're
> doing well to make QSOs on SSB at a certain rate with a beam and 100 W
> transceiver, with an op and a logger. Exhausted after an hour or two,
> they wander over to the CW tent and find me working
> them at 2, 3 or more times their rate, with a dipole, "old"
> transceiver, and no logger.
>
> The reactions when presented with a manual transmatch were
> priceless, too.
>
>
>>Those QRO stations are powerful competition for lower powered
>>ones. It
>>is not terribly difficult to twiddle the knob, start calling
>>CQ, and
>>getting return calls. Problem is, it doesn't tend to make you a > good contester.
>>
>
> That's why it might be a good idea - next year - to run all ~100 W
> setups.

We did last year, and I liked it. Made almost as many points too.

- mike KB3EIA -

3523. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 15:18:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tpAue.7946$hK3.1411@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110706

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

>
> The unskilled operators don't do very well even with an excellent setup, and
> the skilled operators do well with a more mundane setup that the new or less
> experienced have a lot of trouble with.
>

This assertion is different from your original question which implied that 100W/dipole stations are manned by better operators than "knob twiddlers" found at better equipped stations. My assertion is that competition-grade STATIONS ("competition grade" does not mean "most expensive") are built and operated by competition-grade radiomen. Witness K1TTT, KC1XX, W3LPL, W0AIH, N0AT, K0KX, K3LR, W7RM, etc., etc.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

3524. Re: 5'2" tall Butt Cornholer diddles his grandkids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 16:24:01 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <1b915a1557211c5d17ff5040f848d79c@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 110707

In article <O8Gdnd4-YtRvdCTfRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.ten> wrote:
>
> Tapioca Pudding AKA fatso 2test wogie wrote:

"2test" "wogie" - Butt Cornholer shows off why he flunked out of
school, again!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

<chuckle> You think Roger is a fatso?
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA...we know you'd be too chickenshit to
find out.

> > One more time if you were as good at cw as you claim, you'd
have
> > passed the 20 wpm code test and got the Extra Class license.
>
> How you <more excuses for his inability to even do 20 wpm cw
(or 5 wpm) for that matter.....

Poor Butt Cornholer, he couldn't ever pass the 20 wpm
requirement when it was in effect, which proves his claims of
doing 30+ wpm are unsubstantiated, like everything else he
claims.

I knew you'd just make up another lame excuse for your oh so
many shortcomings.

Keep thinking everybody is Roger, too, dumbass.

> >
> >
> >>>>>Funny how the retest letter didn't specify that,
> >
>
> > Funny how you think that November, 1999 (when Roger got the
> > warning letter because of faked evidence you sent in)
>
> Sure (Butt Cornholer's admission he falsified evidence to the
FCC)

So you did send in false information. Tsk tsk, a Federal crime
like that, but commiting crimes is easy for a habitual
lawbreaker like you, kiddie diddler, Butt Cornholer.

>
> > and the

> > retest letter issued July, 2000)(which gives no specific
reason
> > for a retest) which was issued months and months later, were
for
> > the same thing.
>
> Funny h (Butt Cornholer grasps desperately at straws)

Funny how you still have no proof to back that statement up
(that Riley made Roger retest because of "interference") , and
the retest letter says otherwise.

--------Butt Cornholer just hates when the actual letter is
posted, it proves he is a liar------
You posted it under this nick.

Date: Thu, 8 Mar 2001 15:50:43 -0600
From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remai...@anon.xg.nu>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address
above.
It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
remailer administrator at <a...@anon.xg.nu>.
Subject: Where'd you retest Wiseman?
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
Message-ID: <692fe6db1f2598e67c54dd5d5cb3ca10@anon.xg.nu>
Mail-To-News-Contact: a...@dizum.com
Organization: mail2n...@dizum.com
Lines: 19

SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission’s
Rules, 47 C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the
authority to re-administer any examination element previously
administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE’s). The Commission
may either administer the examination itself, or under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
designated by the Commission.

Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class
Amateur Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the
supervision of an American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner
Coordinator. This examination must be completed on or before
September 11, 2000. The required code speed that you must
demonstrate is 5 words per minute.

Poor Butt Cornholer, the actual retest letter proves he is a
liar, again and again.

BTW, When Roger initially got his General in 1999, he passed a
13 wpm cw exam. Like what was required for your obsolete
Advanced.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

3525. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 16:38:44 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <WOCdnXCYPaEBeCffRVn-ow@crocker.com>
Source record: 110708

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:tpAue.7946$hK3.1411@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>>
>> The unskilled operators don't do very well even with an excellent setup,
>> and the skilled operators do well with a more mundane setup that the new
>> or less experienced have a lot of trouble with.
>>
>
> This assertion is different from your original question which implied that
> 100W/dipole stations are manned by better operators than "knob twiddlers"
> found at better equipped stations. My assertion is that competition-grade
> STATIONS ("competition grade" does not mean "most expensive") are built
> and operated by competition-grade radiomen. Witness K1TTT, KC1XX, W3LPL,
> W0AIH, N0AT, K0KX, K3LR, W7RM, etc., etc.
>
> dit dit
> de Hans, K0HB

```
wow, first in the list! now you are going to make my head swell.
```

3526. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 16:55:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gQBue.8040$hK3.2406@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110709

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote

>
> wow, first in the list! now you are going to make my head swell.
>

If you want to stay in that position, just don't snuggle up too close to my run
QRG. <BSEG>

73, de Hans, K0HB

3527. Re: It appears Saggytits Lee has his head up his ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 18:26:29 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119551189.710323.161810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110710
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Saggytits Lee <SLee@houston-hunksofshit.com> whined:

> On 23 Jun 2005 05:33:05 -0700, Stagger_lee_childraper <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
wrote:

Wrong guess, as usual, dumbass. Keep seeing Roger, your owner,
everywhere, stupid.

> : My typo corrected.
>
> My apology to you is accepted?

No, you child raping son of a bitch, it isn't. You'll have to snivel
some more than you usually do. Still think you have a pilot's license
because you fly model airplanes?

Still trying to pass off the Marshall County, Alabama ARES website for
the one for Marhsall County, West Virginia, you unAmerican, but total
screw-up?

Subject: Re: Get on the Marshall County ARES webpage......
Date: 3 Jul 2002 22:03:46 GMT
From: SLee@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee)
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
MsgId: <afvsc2$6aa$1@pita.alt.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman
References: <hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3sje22s@4ax.com>
<afvhaj$2r4$1@pita.alt.net>

Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> whined:

On Wed, 3 Jul 2002 14:18:35 -0400, AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
: "Bob" <:@mchsi.com> wrote in message
: news:hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3sje22s@4ax.com...
: > and send them some of Rogers filth. I'm sure they would love it.
:
: Dumbfuck, the Marshall County ARES doesn't have a webpage, try again,

Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a place
to send email.

--
alt.fuckhead.stagger.lee
Hey Saggytits your mommy still at:
2333 Regal Rd.

Plano, TX
(972) 964-2889

3528. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 18:28:48 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d9ev10sqe1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110711

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> You mean the beepers are all just dildoes?!? Good grief!
>
> Maybe Dr. Ruth Westheimer needs to be informed of this!?!
>

Well, there HAS to be worse jobs than that! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3529. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 18:47:08 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d9f03c$196e$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 110712

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>The unskilled operators don't do very well even with an excellent setup, and
>>the skilled operators do well with a more mundane setup that the new or less
>>experienced have a lot of trouble with.
>>
>
>
> This assertion is different from your original question which implied that
> 100W/dipole stations are manned by better operators than "knob twiddlers" found
> at better equipped stations.

It was a question, Hans. Not an implication. It isn't an either or
proposition either. I'd be purdy dum to think that those who have more
mundane setups have better ops than those at the better stations.

And I would still want to have that good op with a 100 watt station
than the not so good op at the "contest station".

Obviously the best setup is the good op at the good station.

> My assertion is that competition-grade STATIONS
> ("competition grade" does not mean "most expensive") are built and operated by
> competition-grade radiomen. Witness K1TTT, KC1XX, W3LPL, W0AIH, N0AT, K0KX,
> K3LR, W7RM, etc., etc.

Of course. But this whole tangent of the thread was based on my
assertion that between the good operator and the good equipment, your
best to side with the good operator.

Kinda like buying that huge Craftsman (or Snap-On or whatever) tool set
and cabinet doesn't make you a master mechanic. However, many master
mechanics have that same tool set.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3530. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 19:13:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dRDue.8402$jX6.3240@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110713

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
> But this whole tangent of the thread was based on my assertion that between
> the good operator and the good equipment, your best to side with the good
> operator.
>

Presuming all else is nominally equal, that's true. But "all else" is seldom
"equal". Which is why a world-class op like K0SR with his black-hole city-lot
QTH is never invited to WRTC, passed over in favor of regional-class ops from
more propagationally favored locales.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3531. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 20:00:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119556846.270925.298700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110714

From: "bb" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 15:48

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Tues 21 Jun 2005 03:15
>>
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun 19 Jun 2005 22:46
>> >> >"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message

>> >The twoers and sixers were rock-bound. Anyway, he said that he wasn't
>> >familiar with VHF.
>>
>> "Familiarity with VHF" wasn't needed. ALL that counted was getting
>> the morse code speed UP...that yielded absolute knowledge of all
>> theory thus guaranteeing rank-status-privilege.
>
>We're trying to change that, all in vain. Only the actuarial tables
>bring about change in the ARS.

Well, that's how it goes... :-)

>> I designed and built an external VFO for a few Sixers. Worked fine.
>> One of the recipients was showing an olde-fahrt extra how it
>> operated and olde-fahrt said "Nice, did you build it?" "No," said
>> my friend and, pointing to me, "He did." "THAT'S ILLEGAL!" shouted
>> the olde-fahrt. Heh heh heh...the same anal attitudes existed four
>> decades ago as they do now.
>
>In RRAP, we have the uniformed, and the uninformed!

The "uniformed and the uninformed!" I LIKE that phrase. Apt. :-)

>> >You forgot to mention the changes in elevation over changes in
>> >distance!!!
>>
>> Heh heh heh. In northern Illinois the elevation changes amount
>> to +/- a yard. Not even close to bragging rights... :-)
>
>Darnit!!! We're supposed to hear about how it was uphill both ways
>juss like the real hammes claim.

That was for a COMMERCIAL license, Brian. No braggin' rights
allowed in here on that. :-)

>> However, to kill time waiting for the return train, I saw a
>> matinee of "Oklahoma." Sat in the balcony, undisturbed. That
>> was good for a change of a couple stories in height! :-)

>
>Did you try a "balcony" antenna while there?

Nobody to communicate with. Was a slow day for matinees, I guess.

>> Too bad it was a sunny day in Chicago. No snow to brag to
>> anyone ("uphill both ways through"). Passed no problem,
>> even with the interruption of a fire drill in the Federal
>> Building halfway through the test.
>
>No real hamme could have survived that.

You're probably right.

>> [a lot of the anony-mousies won't know what we're talking
>> about on this subject...they weren't here to see some of the
>> astounding bragging going on by the late Dick Carroll and
>> others in here]
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Dick was not unique unto himself. There are plenty of Dick imposters
>in the ARS.

You mean the beepers are all just dildoes?!? Good grief!

Maybe Dr. Ruth Westheimer needs to be informed of this!?!

Brrrr...what a group!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3532. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 20:04:26 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119557066.019977.179620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110715

From: "bb" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 17:03

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> bb Jun 19, 10:51 pm show options
>> >Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1119140249.328665.67370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> >> > It's nice to see Miss Manners finally noticing something amiss n the
>> >> >> > ARS.
>> >>
>> >> >> "bb" is just full of all sorts of assumptions, ain't he boys and girls?
>> >>
>> >> >> Using his logic then all us "higher" class operators need to get out of
>> >> >> EMCOM, eh?
>> >>
>> >> >> I'll be sure to tell the Extra class Emergency Net Control operator next
>> >> >> time I see her.
>> >>
>> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >>
>> >> > Dan, you're welcome to tell her anything you want. She's probably
>> >> > already aware that you usually get it wrong anyway.
>> >>
>> >> Do you have trouble chewing gum and walking Bryan?
>> >>
>> >I don't chew gum. I don't smoke, either. Did you have a point?
>>
>> Probably on his head...
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>He should have it biopsied. Save him an early date with the actuarial
>tables.

Sounds like a plan! Ol' Danny is getting worse. Now he thinks
I am claiming "combat action." Maybe I'll claim SEVEN of them
just to keep him happy? It works for another. :-)

The Vietnam War ended 30 years ago. I guess this post-traumatic
stress stuff really gets to some...and lasts a long time...

More and more I'm thinking the PCTA extras are going bonkers
from too much beeping.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3533. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 20:06:55 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119557215.341423.196540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110716

From: "garigue" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 22:38

>> >First of all John I see little humor in this discussion bringing into the
>> >mix those poor souls with Alzheimer's syndrome ..... It is like the idiot
>> >who posts here with references to colostomy bags ..... I sincerely hope
>> >that either of you never have to go through that in your lives.
>>
>> Wow. A LECTURE!
>
>No lecture the Mr. Len just that I can't see injecting this in to the mix

<snip>

Tom, you had a LECTURE going whether you meant it or not.

That happens every once in a while when someone feels like they
have to proctor morality or preach "good works."

In truth, I posted a STRONG response...but that's because
I've seen a half century's worth of such LECTURES all about
HAVING to "help the young ones" or all about some deaf, blind,
dumb quadriplegic person "finally passing their ham test." As
if the ham test is some Holy Grail to seek, the ultimate test of
one's life?

Amateur radio is a HOBBY. De facto if not de jure. [that means
"in fact" even though it is not explicitly defined in some law
or regulation as such] NO ONE "owes anyone" for something they
do when no money has changed hands. If someone feels obligated
to another, fine, that's a personal thing to that someone. But,
that is NOT in any rules or regulations, and its "requirement"
is just inside some folks' heads...besides the Sumner editorial
pages in QST.

If you or anyone else has TRUE enthusiasm for some hobby, you
can PROJECT that to others and share the enthusiasm. If you
know more than they do, you can - if you are still enthusiastic
and want to share - help them out by increasing their knowledge.
Your enthusiasm for something will help you impart such new
knowledge to them. Even after over a half century of being
involved in radio-electronics, I'm still fascinated by it and
am still enthusiastic about learning more...but that does NOT
mean that I "MUST" "teach others" or any of that false "good
works" stuff for THEM. That's not any sort of "moral obligation"
that I "MUST" do in any hobby. Nor for anyone else with their
hobby. Hobbies are personal activities done for personal

enjoyment and recreation purposes.

As to the "service" word in Title 47, C.F.R., if you ask the FCC they will tell you it is "a regulatory term denoting the type and kind of radio activity being regulated." It does NOT imply some grandiose "national service" at all. Ah, but "the FCC says so" say many, not understanding what the FCC is saying...and then they get all confused when I point out other services such as the Citizens Band Radio SERVICE, the Radio Control Radio SERVICE, the Family Radio SERVICE and so forth. It's in Title 47 in nearly every Part.

Now, some folks seem to be tuckered out by all the lifting of THEIR emotional baggage, at the use of "certain words" and "certain phrases." In here lots seem to be carrying very OLD baggage, complete with those stickers from olden times as if they were there (even though they couldn't have) plastered all over that "baggage." Sorry, the boat don't go there no more. Time travel to "happier times" hasn't been invented yet. FCC rules and regulations apply to time NOW and there's lots more citizens of the U. S. of A. who MIGHT be interested in a radio license and have much more modern "baggage" without all those old-time travel stickers on it. Old-timers do NOT "own" the hobby despite what they think and shout and demand.

Some folks get a big kick out of being "re-enactors" of every-thing from midieval life to the American Civil War. Fine. If that's what makes them happy, I say "have fun" and go for it. But, trying to ENFORCE such "re-enactment" by keeping hard and fast rules in LAW to freeze an activity in old, outmoded standards and practices goes beyond the reasonable. LAW applies to ALL, not just a bunch of old-timers trying to re-enact a time when most of them did not exist. The future cannot be eliminated by mere legislation holding it static.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3534. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 20:09:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119557342.955306.75380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110717

From: "Jim Hampton" on Thurs 23 Jun 2005 03:45

>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:uB0ue.307$pJ.18795642@news.sisna.com...
>> KXHB:
>>
>> Yes, that is always the trouble with those getting their "15 minutes of
>> fame"--they NEVER leave gracefully... <frown>
>>
>> ... time for new ideas and motivated minds with energy to move up
>> front...
>>
>> ... all walkers, wheelchairs and crutches to the back, next to those
>> clutching bottles of laxatives, blood pressure medication, heart burn
>> medication, viagra, etc, etc... if you forget your name--don't worry--a
>> name tag has been placed on your chest to remind you...
>>
>> John
>>
>
>Hello, John
>
>My you bounce around a lot :)
>
>Given my druthers, I'd pick someone who has shown the capability to do a job
>other than someone with a gift of gab.

A "job?" :-)

Is amateur radio a "job?"

> We had a couple of those back in the
>80s. One they caught up with - he was running up long distance phone bills
>to the company and was a real gem. The other could turn out twice the pile
>of paper of the experienced folks. I left in 1989. I sent an e-mail 2
>years later asking if they had figured out why they had lost half of their
>purchasing information. LOL! They had the guys in the 3 piece suits from
>IBM running around trying to figure out what happened. I knew. They guy
>professed to be a genius and he pushed twice the paper of the older guys.
>His code was terrible. When he hit end of file on either co-ordinated file,
>he closed everything. Which left records in one of the buffers. The
>company got exactly what it deserved. I reworked one program. What cost us
>$150.00 per run ended up costing $1.45. It just took a little more code
>(which is why he pushed out more paper, but it cost them dearly). The cpu
>budget was over $700,000 per year in that area. They could have cut their

>cost from 60% to 90%, depending upon how tight they wanted to write the
>code. This was not my estimate; rather it was the estimates from 3 folks
>that reviewed my submissions with highlighter over the sections that were
>bad.

Okay, send me your TS card, I'll punch it. [got a new punch]

>As far as hams go, I'd choose a guy who could figure out how to load up a
>stupid wire fence to re-establish communications with Guam from Saipan. I
>know as I was on the other end when Hans did exactly that. I had to go to
>work and other guys picked up with no questions asked. Then, Captain
>Delaney came to the shack and secure those guys from all further duty and
>they passed traffic to ComNavMar directly. No problem. Military folks who
>could follow orders and hams than knew what to do (the regular Navy folks
>couldn't figure out what to do with a signal that was overloading their
>receivers).

Oh, boy! Heah come de "Guam-Saipan" hams-save-the-day story
again! :-)

>I'm willing to help someone who wants to measure their antenna "resistance"
>with an ohm meter, but if they insist, well, go at it with a DVM :))

>Heck, I've had my 5 or 10 minutes of fame. Pulled a kid out of a river
>years back who was going under. No big deal. I heard Hans (sparkplug1) and
>answered him, starting the communications between Saipan and Guam. No big
>deal. I showed my former employer how to do 8 hours of tool engineering
>work in 15 minutes (yes, I wrote a program to generate the ladders).

Your certificate is in the mail, credited with 45 minutes for
three (3) Warhols. [mailed in a plain, brown envelope]

>My question is this: who would you trust? Someone with several instances of
>"15 minutes of fame" or someone who talks a good story but has nothing to
>show for it?

Everyone MUST have a personal webpage with their homebuilt kluge
displayed on it. [praise endorsements from neighbors optional]

>I'm not suggesting shutting out young folks, that would be stupid. I am
>suggesting not shutting out older folks simply because they are getting old.
>That is also very stupid.

Don't olde-fahrts RULE in here?

>The fact that someone can copy cw at 30 or 40 words per minute does *not*
>mean they don't know anything else. Trust me on that.

Really? :-)

>Admittedly, we slow down a bit as we age. I can't easily do much over 60 or
>65 words per minute typing. I used to feel a 100 word per minute teletype
>fighting me as I did bursts in excess of 90 words per minute. I could keep
>a 60 word per minute machine going continuously so that the other station

>assumed I was using a tape.

Been there, done that. Did you want a Certificate for THAT, too?

[Teletype Corporation is DEFUNCT]

>Who cares? How many folks can type over 60 words per minute? Cut and paste
>does *not* count. I can do that also LOL.

Is there a "typing test" in amateur radio license examinations?

Last I heard, there was just this morse code test for manual
skill demonstrations.

You should write the VEC QPC and insist they include a question
on How To Wire A Fence To Restore Guam-Saipan Communications For
MARS! Maybe Hans could help you with that letter? [a thought]

Oh, by the way, computer source code is NOT the "code" that is
supposed to be talked about in here. Has nothing to do with
ham radio, I'm told. [just passing along an advisory]

Wanna compare ACM membership numbers? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3535. Re: It appears Saggytits Lee has he head up his ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 20:40:28 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.apologizes.profusely@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 110718
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 23 Jun 2005 11:26:29 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
:
: My typo corrected.

Your apology accepted.

(You don't need to keep repeating it.)

3536. Re: It appears Saggytits Lee has he head up his ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 20:59:42 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.apologizes.profusly@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 110719
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 23 Jun 2005 11:26:29 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
:
: My typo corrected.

Your apology accepted.

(You don't need to keep repeating it.)

3537. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 21:29:35 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Q66dnXi-4tQztCbfRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 110720

> Tom, you had a LECTURE going whether you meant it or not.
>
> That happens every once in a while when someone feels like they
> have to proctor morality or preach "good works."

No not preaching good works Len ...just in some small way trying to make some people think about what they say and using cheap shots with unfortunate situations. A number of years ago I called a radio station and complained about the jocks using a lady and her breast cancer as a "joke". The fellow I talked to brushed me off as if it was an OK thing to do ..... sort of reflective of our society... unfortunately.

> In truth, I posted a STRONG response..

No problem Len ...

.but that's because
> I've seen a half century's worth of such LECTURES all about
> HAVING to "help the young ones" or all about some deaf, blind,
> dumb quadriplegic person "finally passing their ham test."

No Len just an example of courage ..... and my comment was in response to moaning vs something positive ...

As
> if the ham test is some Holy Grail to seek, the ultimate test of
> one's life?

If only my salvation were dependent on that Len ..... lets see the big radio club in the sky .... I'd have to think about that before I would step through the gaits ....sort of like the 72 virgins or so that are promised ...could anyone go through eternity with 72 women on their case .... sounds like the other place ...

> Amateur radio is a HOBBY. De facto if not de jure. [that means
> "in fact" even though it is not explicitly defined in some law
> or regulation as such]

Some say yes and some no ...to be honest Len I let that quit bothering me years ago ...it is what it is ... and besides thanks for the translation as I haven't been in a Latin text since 70 or so ....

NO ONE "owes anyone" for something they
> do when no money has changed hands. If someone feels obligated
> to another, fine, that's a personal thing to that someone. But,
> that is NOT in any rules or regulations, and its "requirement"
> is just inside some folks' heads...besides the Sumner editorial

> pages in QST.

I see the personal things to someone all the time ...that makes this veil of tears a little more bearable for all ...

> > If you or anyone else has TRUE enthusiasm for some hobby, you
> can PROJECT that to others and share the enthusiasm. If you
> know more than they do, you can - if you are still enthusiastic
> and want to share - help them out by increasing their knowledge.
> Your enthusiasm for something will help you impart such new
> knowledge to them. Even after over a half century of being
> involved in radio-electronics, I'm still fascinated by it and
> am still enthusiastic about learning more...

Good point Len ....

but that does NOT
> mean that I "MUST" "teach others" or any of that false "good
> works" stuff for THEM.

I'll let that up to someone else to determine if it is false or not ....

That's not any sort of "moral obligation"
> that I "MUST" do in any hobby. Nor for anyone else with their
> hobby. Hobbies are personal activities done for personal
> enjoyment and recreation purposes.

I think Len, in all fairness, that this is a point that you are missing by not being directly involved with this "hobby". The very essence when all is stripped away is that you need someone to communicate with lest we would all be shortwave listeners .... ergo help the other fellow or at best don't interfere with his enjoyment.

> As to the "service" word in Title 47, C.F.R., if you ask the FCC
> they will tell you it is "a regulatory term denoting the type and
> kind of radio activity being regulated." It does NOT imply some
> grandiose "national service" at all. Ah, but "the FCC says so"
> say many, not understanding what the FCC is saying...and then
> they get all confused when I point out other services such as
> the Citizens Band Radio SERVICE, the Radio Control Radio SERVICE,
> the Family Radio SERVICE and so forth. It's in Title 47 in
> nearly every Part.

Again no problem with description as mentioned before ...

> Now, some folks seem to be tuckered out by all the lifting of
> THEIR emotional baggage, at the use of "certain words" and
> "certain phrases." In here lots seem to be carrying very OLD
> baggage, complete with those stickers from olden times as if
> they were there (even though they couldn't have) plastered all
> over that "baggage." Sorry, the boat don't go there no more.
> Time travel to "happier times" hasn't been invented yet.

Twern't no such place ever ....

FCC
> rules and regulations apply to time NOW and there's lots more
> citizens of the U. S. of A. who MIGHT be interested in a radio
> license and have much more modern "baggage" without all those
> old-time travel stickers on it.

I would have to agree especially with the MIGHT part ....

Old-timers do NOT "own" the
> hobby despite what they think and shout and demand.

Na Len ....not an owner just a renter on the spectrum of life ....

> Some folks get a big kick out of being "re-enactors" of every-
> thing from midieval life to the American Civil War. Fine. If
> that's what makes them happy, I say "have fun" and go for it.
> But, trying to ENFORCE such "re-enactment" by keeping hard and
> fast rules in LAW to freeze an activity in old, outmoded
> standards and practices goes beyond the reasonable.

Resonable ...I guess that is dependent on whose ox is being tested for what
.... for now it is regs that exist ....as I have said before I will not rent
my clothes and pour ash on my head when 5 WPM goes .... there are a lot
better things to do ...

LAW applies
> to ALL, not just a bunch of old-timers trying to re-enact a
> time when most of them did not exist. The future cannot be
> eliminated by mere legislation holding it static.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Take care Len .... Tom KI3R

In the world of toil and sin .....
your head grows bare but not your chin ...

Burma Shave

3538. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 21:36:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119562614.059500.127410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110721

Leo wrote:
> On 22 Jun 2005 03:58:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 21 Jun 2005 02:49:00 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 20 Jun 2005 03:09:46 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 18:58:24 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> > >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Irrelevant - Len has lost the argument.

> >> >Dreidel U? Where's that?
> >> I of course assumed that you attended one of the 'top' colleges.....
> >> :)
> >>
> >> (apologies for the abuse of Hebrew here...!)
> >
> >Looks like an anti-Semitic zing at one of my alma maters, Leo.
>
> Nope - it was a joke.

Well, no. It was actually a failed attempt at a joke. If
you have to tell the audience that something is a joke,
it isn't one.

> A 'Top" college - Dreidel (a child's toy top) -
> get it?

Is that the best you can do?

> Anti-semetic - no.

Anti-Semitic. More accurately, anti-semantic.

> It rhymes (well, sort of)

Not really

> with Drexel - your good ol' alma mater.

One of them.

> Heh heh.
>
> It was a zing, though - you are right about that.

Yeah, yeah, make cutesy nicknames in an attempt to rile others...where
have I seen that before?

> >> >> >That school of thought reminds me of the episode of "Blackadder III" in
> >> >> >which two characters are superstitious about the name of
> >> >> >a particular play by Shakespeare - supposedly, saying the name brings
> >> >> >bad luck. They refer to it as "the Scottish play", and if
> >> >> >someone says the actual name, they have to do an elaborate ritual to
> >> >> >excise the evil spirits.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Of course Blackadder says the name of the play for them at every
> >> >> >opportunity.

MACBETH!

> > >>
> >> >> I pointed out earlier that you really hadn't achieved anything useful
> >> >> by invoking Godwin, as the arguement would continue - your
> >> >> response,was that you had "won the arguement" because Len had referred
> >> >> to the Nazis.
> >> >>
> >> >And I did.
> >>
> >> Not according to Godwin's law.....Google it up, please....
> >
> >Why?
>
> Because you have not completed your research on the topic.

No I haven't.

> You fail to have grasped it's essence.

Well, no. I just don't agree with your interpretation, that's all.

BTW, "it's" is a contraction for "it is", not the possessive form of
"it".
Didn't they teach you that at *your* alma mater, Leo?

> >> In society, we have police who deal with issues where people do wrong
> >> 'by' others. in here, apparently, we have you!
> >
> >Well, no.

>
> Oh, yeah! for seven and a half years now! (corrected per your
> timeline).

Why is that a problem?

> >According to your behaviour here, nothing Len does warrants a negative
> >reaction from you. In fact, your interactions with him and on his
> >behalf
> >show you approve of his behaviour here. That's what "he can do no wrong
> > by you" means.
> >
> >That you disagreed with him in the past is incidental.
>
> By your logic, then, I should ccontinue to disagree with him even
> though he has provided me no direct reason to do so?

It's your choice.

> >> >>- giving me no reason to do any wrong to
> >> >> him.
> >> >>
> >> >> Now, if I was to get on the keyboard and tell him that his
> >> >> professional knowledge and experience isn't worth anything, or that
> >> >> acquiring a ham license is better than sex, or that a Ham without
> >> >> Morse is like a day without sunshine - or worse - rag on him every
> >> >> chance that I get that he is 'wrong' about something.......well, then
> >> >> Len and I might have a problem getting along here.
> >> >
> >> >You don't need to do all that. I haven't done any of it.
> >>
> >> I suggest that you may want to rethink that statement - you have been
> >> telling Len (and others) that they have been "wrong", Incorrect, "in
> >> error", etc. for at least the last eight years, with almost weekly
> >> frequency (at minimum).
> >
> >Well, no.
>
> Oh, yeah!

Oh no.

> >I first showed up on rrap in late 1997, less than 8 years ago.
>
> Sorry - you're correct - make that seven and a half years then. LOL!

> >There have been periods of much longer than a week when I was gone from
> >rrap.
>
> Yup - we all take vacations.

Your research is incomplete, then.

> On average, though, I'd estimate a
> frequency of (conservatively) once per week. That means three times
> this week, and none over the next two, et. etc. equals an average
> frequency of approximately weekly. Actually, I have probably given
> you the advantage here - it may be even more.....

So? Is there a limit?

> >As for:
> >
> >"telling him that his professional knowledge and experience isn't worth
> >anything" - I haven't done that. I have said that his professional
> > knowledge and experience don't qualify him for an amateur license, and
> >that is a fact.
> >
> >"acquiring a ham license is better than sex" - You won't hear that from
> >me.
>
> It wasn't a literal, Jim - interpret!

Why not say what you mean?
>
> >"a Ham without Morse is like a day without sunshine" - Not me again. I
> >have said that a ham who doesn't have any Morse skills is not fully
> >qualified as a radio amateur, and that is a fact.
>
> It wasn't a literal, Jim - come on, guy - use that education!

Why not say what you mean?

> >"- or worse - rag on him every chance that I get that he is 'wrong'
> >about something" - Not me! I have pointed out *some* of Len's mistakes,
> >when he
> >has been in error - wrong - about something. Is that not allowed?
> >
> >> >> ....well, then
> >> >> Len and I might have a problem getting along here.
> >
> >The references to "Dreidel U." are very like Len's reactions when
> >someone catches him in an error and points it out.
>
> Once again - a reference back to Len.

Because you act like him sometimes.

> Does your whole world revolve
> around this guy?

Not at all. I spend a few minutes here and there writing a post, and
you
interpret that as an obsession?

> >> A few Google examples:
> >>

> >> Subject: Keep the quality, lose the spectrum Jul 17 1998
> >> "Len, you are just plain wrong here. You just don't understand the
> >> issue."
> >
> >What *was* the issue? Was Len wrong about it?
>
> Not the issue, Jim.

Yes, it is. You're avoiding the fact that Len was, in fact, wrong then.

> The frequency over time is.

"Frequency over time"? Frequency is events per unit time. Didn't they
teach that at your alma mater?

> Please try to comprehend.

I comprehend more than you realize, Leo.

> >> Subject: Who Is What? Feb 9 2001
> >> "No, Len, it is not correct. Let's look at what you wrote:"
> >
> >What *did* Len write? Was he correct or not?
>
> Not the issue, Jim.

Yes, it is. You're avoiding the fact that Len was, in fact, wrong then.

> The frequency over time is. Please try to
> comprehend.
>
> >>
> >> Subject: ARS License Numbers Mar 4 2003
> >> "So you are incorrect again, Len. Mistaken. Just plain wrong."
> >
> >Was Len correct that time? Or was he mistaken - just plain wrong?
>
> Not the issue, Jim.

Yes, it is. You're avoiding the fact that Len was, in fact, wrong then.

> The frequency over time is. Please try to
> comprehend.
>
> >
> >> Subject: Wrong Again, Len! (Communicator Power) Mar 18 2004
> >> (Hmmm - that was your thread - quote not required for that one at all!
> >
> >Well - was he right or wrong about "Communicator Power"?
>
> Not the issue, Jim.

Yes, it is. You're avoiding the fact that Len was, in fact, wrong then.
>

> In each example, do you believe that your opponent could care less
> whether he was wrong?

Doesn't matter one way or the other. The fact is that in all of the
above cases, and many more, Len posted information that wasn't true.

You avoid that fact for some reason.

> Might there be an ulterior motive?

Of course. I realized that long ago. In fact I mentioned it here
back on Feb 10, 2003. Your research is very incomplete, Leo.

>
> >> You don't think that eight years of "you're wrong, you're wrong..."
> >> wouldn't be deemed by a reasonable man to be a bit excessive?
> > >
> >Well, no. Not if the person really *is* wrong - mistaken - in error
> >about the subjects discussed.
>
> Therein lies the nature of the affliction.

Yes, Len is afflicted by the inability to admit a mistake
when it is pointed out by someone he considers an inferior, like me...

> Nobody, least of all Len,
> cares that you think that he is 'wrong''.

Why not be honest, Leo?

You don't care. Maybe Len doesn't care. You don't speak for
everybody.

> It's being done to torment
> you and get you to respond, for the other person's pleasure.

Well DUH!

"His hobby is wasting time. Your time"

Guess who wrote that?

The fact is that Len spends much more time and effort posting here than
I. Always has. Gets all upset and outraged over any opposition. Now you
may say it's all an act, but so what?

> The rest of the folks here will watch - much like rubberneckers
> looking at an accident on the highway.

Do you speak for everyone who reads rrap? Or just for you?

> You have become just that - a tragedy on the 'Information Highway'.
> (oh, how I loathe that expression....!).

Sounds like another disguised way of you telling me to shut up.

Why not be honest and just say it?

> You're being used, Jim. Sad you cannot see it.
>
> Real sad.

It may appear that way to you. The truth is very different.

> >Is there a time limit beyond which I cannot tell Len he's mistaken
> >about something?
>
> Sure -to infinity and beyond, if your are OC enough to go the
> distance! Heh heh heh.

> >> >All you have to do is disagree with him about the Morse Code test,
> >> >defend
> >> >that opinion, and then point out an incosistency or two in his
> >> >postings.
> >>
> >> An inconsistency or two? For eight years?
> >
> >I'm saying that if someone disagrees with Len about Morse Code testing
> >and then points out some incosistencies in Len's postings, Len will
> >go off in his typical fashion. Even if the errors are pointed out in
> >a courteous way, Len will go into attack mode.
>
> To turn your crank, and get you dancing and hopping again - nothing
> more.

Look at his postings compared to mine. It's not me who is dancing and
hopping.

> >> Do you actually believe that, after all of this time, that you are
> >> going to change anything by whining on?
> >
> >"Whining on"?
>
> Whining on. Correct. Did I not spell that right or something?

You mistake my persistent strong opposition with "whining". Another
attempt to get me worked up. Doesn't work. Leo.

> >btw, Len's been posting to rrap longer than I have, posts more and at
> >greater length than almost anyone else in rrap, and makes more mistakes
> >here than
> >I do as well. But I guess that's OK with you.
>
> I could personally care less. I am not obsessed.

Yes, you are.

> >> Jeez, you'd make somebody a great ex-wife.... :)
> >
> >Well, no.

>
> Hell, yes! wrong, wrong, wrong, wrong -- on and on ad infinitum.
> like a demented parrot.

Norwegian Blue. Beautiful plumage.
>
> >> >> Wonder why that would be? Heh heh heh.
> >> >
> >> >> >> I simply refuse to
> >> >> >> join you in your obcessive crusade against him.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >You can't join what doesn't exist.
> >> >>
> >> >> Oh, it's there, all right. You read some of the stuff you write?
> >> >
> >> >I read all of it. Do you read the responses I get from Len?
> >>
> >> I certainly do. Do you think that will ever change?
> >
> >Probably not. So what?
>
> So why contimue? If you will achieve nothing, why go on?

Who says I'm achieving nothing? Who are you to judge, Leo?

> Are you incapable of controlling yourself?

I've got plenty of self-control.
>
> Find The Strength!
> >
> >> Or do you enjoy being used for entertainment - laughed at, not with?
> >
> >"ARE YOU BEING ENTERTAINED?!"
>
> um - if you are still going ahead with this quote from the movie
> "Gladiator" - well, no.
>
> The correct quote is "Are you not entertained"

I know.
>
> Source: http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0172495/quotes

Now who is obsessive, Leo? You had to go look that up and correct me -
just
couldn't help yourself, could you?

> If you are asking, however, if i am entertained by your antics - the
> answer is no. And yes. I like to watch a good accident as much as
> the next guy - it's human nature!
>

> Heh heh heh.

Then I am achieving something.

> >> >> Jeez, I'll bet you dream about the guy!
> >> >
> >> >Nope.
> >>
> >> Yup. In Technicolour.
> >
> >Well, no.
>
> Panavision then? With DTS sound.

Nope. Not once.
>
> The sad truth is - you are obsessed with the guy. Period.

The real truth is that if anyone is obsessed, it's Len. And/or Brian,
N0IMD. Not me.
>
> We see it - you should try to do so as well, for you own sake....

Who is "we"? Are you the Pope? Lots of Pope Leos, btw..

Unlike Len, I have many civil, uninsulting
> >> >discussions here with those who disagree with me on a variety of
> >> >issues,
> >> >including the Morse Code test. Google up any exchange between N2EY and
> >> >K2UNK,
> >> >for example.
> >>
> >> Agreed.
> >
> >So there *is* a difference!
>
> Sure is - take away the obsessive behaviour, and you are a pretty nice
> guy! Add it in, however - and.....well, no.

Well, there you have it.

> >> So why bother ragging on for eight solid years about issues
> >> that the folks you are arguing with will never agree?
> >
> >Ask Len the same question. He's been at it longer.
>
> There's the old obsesssion again - everything is Len-centric to you.

Nope.

> >> >> In your example, the correct answer (following your logic) is: Borrow
> >> >> the neighbour's tools, break them and get them dirty, and give some of
> >> >> them back.

> >> >
> >> >Nope.
> >> >
> >> >The question was whether to keep lending him your tools (yes/no).
> >> >
> >> >Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
> >> >of his, so your solution is not feasible.
> >> >
> >> >You didn't fully understand the analogy.
> >>
> >> Who didn't understand what, Jim?
> >
> >You didn't understand the analogy I made.
>
> I understood it's purpose - to cloud the issue. That's all I ned to
> understand.

Well, no.

One of the limitations of online communication is that it's difficult
or
impossible to tell when someone is pretending to be dense and when
someone
really *is* dense....
>
> >
> >> You avoided my question, threw in
> >> your own to obfuscate the issue, and blamed it on my understanding?
> >>
> >> Not gonna happen, Bud!
> >
> >The neighbour who borrows your tools and treats them badly but won't
> >lend you any of his is just like the person who asks you questions but
> >won't answer your questions. Why should you continue to lend the
> >neighbour tools - or answer someone's questions - when they behave
> >that way?
>
> Rhetorical question - ignored.

One of the limitations...
> > >
> >> >> After all, like you said, "It's a question of fairness and
> >> >> equality. Also experience with what is done with the information
> >> >> provided."
> >> >Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
> >> >of his, so your solution is not feasible.
> >>
> >> You're absolutely correct.
> >>
> >> You should steal the tools instead.
> >

> >Well, no.
>
> Makes as much sense as any.... Heh heh heh.

No, it doesn't.

> >> >> >
> >> >> >All of which could be ghostwritten or cut-and-pasted from another
> >> >> >source. So they wouldn't be proof anyway.
> >> >>
> >> >> ...Patent application, published article - nah, you're right - you
> >> >> can't trust anybody these days....!
> >
> >You misunderstand.
>
> Misunderstand what? You as much as said that you can't trust anybodt
> - and provided several valid arguements to support that supposition.
> I merely stated it in conclusion.
>
> You are, again, -um-, in error, Sir.
>
> >
> >It would be a simple matter for someone to write postings in one
> >location and have them posted to usenet from somewhere else. That your
> >postings to rrap originate where they do is not proof of where or who
> >their author is.
> >
> >That's all.
>
> Well, no.

Well, yes.

> Most commercial ISPs block rebroadcast of newsgroup
> messages from sources not directly connected to their NNTP servers
> (i.e. their subscribers direct links from their homes to the ISP) -
> this is done to prevent their networks being used illegally for
> transmission of massive volumes of SPAM.
>
> If you post to the groups through Google, this does not apply - that
> is not an ISP.
>
> I sincerely hope that your comprehension of the radio arts is
> significantly superior to your knowlege of the mechanics of the
> Internet.

Think outside the box, Leo.

Someone in Location A writes a newsgroup post. Sends the post as a
textfile to
someone else in Location B. Someone else then posts it to Usenet. Looks
like
it came from Location B but it didn't.

> >> >> >> A few moderately
> >> >> >> complex calculations, perhaps - some correct, at least one not > by a long shot.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> In short - your word is all we have.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >That applies to you as well. I can include "u" in certain words -
> >> >> >doesn't make me Canadian...
> >> >>
> >> >> True. Were you as adept at the Internet as you are with your radio,
> >> >> you could trace the message header to my ISP up here - wouldn't prove
> >> >> my nationality, but it would certainly nail down the geographical
> >> >> origin of the posts!
> >> >
> >> >Which proves nothing, since they could be remailed from that location.
> >> >Easy to do.
> >>
> >> Oh yeah. Forgot.
> >>
> >> Let's see...Rebranding of published articles...fake
> >> references...newsgroup postings spirited across the ether to foreign
> >> countries...clandestine Amateur Radio credentials......
> >>
> >> Um, wouldn't that be an awful lot of effort just to fool you?
> >>
> >> ROTFLMAO!
> >
> >See above:It would be a simple matter for someone to write postings in
> >one location and have them posted to usenet from somewhere else. That
> >your postings to rrap originate where they do is not proof of where or
> >who their author is.
> >
> >That's all.
>
> Not necessarily - please see above.

Well, no.

One of the limitations...
>> >
> >It appears that this whole exchange about obsession is really just a
> >disguised way of telling me to shut up and let Len post his mistakes
> >and attacks without challenge. That's really what you want me to do.
>
> Not at all.

Yes, it is. Do you not want me to shut up?

> It is indended to illustrate to you that your valiant
> battle is in vain - your opponent is not interested in the least in
> what you say.

Of course he's interested - otherwise he wouldn't respond!

> His only goal is to control you - which is pretty easy
> to do. You have all of your hot buttons proudly and prominently
> displayed - and every bloody one elicits a predictable response when
> pressed: your ire, passion and rage.

Well, no.

You have me confused with Len.

> In short - you, Sir, are being hijacked against your will.

Heck no.
>
> >Maybe you have a point. Perhaps I should simply step back and let you,
> >Len, Brian/N0IMD, and "John Smith" rant on without comment.
>
> I, kind Sir, do not rant. I communicate.

You've ranted on here at great length, Leo. Much longer than me.

> And educate.

> And research before I post.

Feb 10, 2003.

> >Maybe I will.
>
> God grant you the strength. I, however, am not optimistic that you
> can break free.

> Think about it - if you spent all of the time that you have wasted
> here being a playtoy for others on more productive activities, what
> could you have accomplished?
>
> That would have been a lot to extra hours on the radio (DXCC, all
> modes, all bands perhaps?)

Not really - not that much time to write these posts, you see.

> - or a second degree at night school (we're
> talking a lot of hours, as you well know) - built yourself a brand new
> car entirely out of spare parts, or many other useful things. Here,
> over the last nine years, in all of your attempts to 'correct' those
> who 'play' with you, you have accomplished: Nothing. Same arguements
> - no progress. Zilch.

You forget the entertainment value. Nice try with the nine years
mistake, though.

> You got an F.

You're not the teacher, Leo. Deal with it.
>
> The song remains the same as it did nine long years ago.
>

> What a colossal waste of talent! Yours, that is.
>
> And, of course, as I have also illustrated quite clearly in this
> thread - well, with your level of accuracy and depth of research, you
> ain't really the guy who should be running around telling everyone
> else that they are wrong.

I see. Personal attack rather than looking at the facts. Was Len right
in
the cases you cited above? Or was I? Look them up and see. Do *your*
research....

> When your emotions gain control over your
> intellect - you will lose.
>
> Every time.
>
> Without question.

That's why my posts are calm and reasoned.

> If you stick to areas where you are a subject matter expert, try to
> educate only those who are truly interested in learning ffrom you, and
> avoid those who will prey upon your obsessive personality - and you'll
> be better off.

IOW, you want me to shut up. Why not be honest and say it straight out?
>
> Or don't. Either way, we'll all watch! Screeeeeeeeeeech...BAM!
>
> Your call.

My call is N2EY. What's yours?

You really underestimate me, Leo. If that's your real name.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3539. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 22:16:13 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119564973.827949.64460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110722

From: Leo on Thurs 23 Jun 2005 05:54

>On 22 Jun 2005 03:58:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>Leo wrote:
>>> On 21 Jun 2005 02:49:00 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

< etc >

>>> >Dreidel U? Where's that?
>>>
>>> I of course assumed that you attended one of the 'top' colleges.....
>>> :)
>>>
>>> (apologies for the abuse of Hebrew here...!)
>>
>>Looks like an anti-Semitic zing at one of my alma maters, Leo.
>
>Nope - it was a joke. A 'Top" college - Dreidel (a child's toy top) -
>get it? Anti-semetic - no. It rhymes (well, sort of) with Drexel -
>your good ol' alma mater.
>
>Heh heh.

I think you're going to be accused of putting "spin" on it...

Don't diddle his driedel or Dremel his Drexel.

>>Has an invocation of Godwing *ever* ended a thread on rrap?
>
>No - it has failed every time. Completely and utterly useless, I'd
>say.

Every so often "Godwing's" law must be featured from the pulpit.

Phoenix/Icarus...to take "wing."

>>> In society, we have police who deal with issues where people do wrong
>>> 'by' others. in here, apparently, we have you!
>>
>>Well, no.
>
>Oh, yeah! for seven and a half years now! (corrected per your
>timeline).

You should have seen the AOL ham radio group way back...:-)

>>I first showed up on rrap in late 1997, less than 8 years ago.
>

>Sorry - you're correct - make that seven and a half years then. LOL!

[not counting the AOL ham radio group, of course...]

>>"acquiring a ham license is better than sex" - You won't hear that from
>>me.
>
>It wasn't a literal, Jim - interpret!

Literal...literary...literati...litorati. All fused together in
some minds. That, of course, leads to mental lithiasis. :-)

As Obi Wan said later, "may the aphorisms be with him..."

>>"a Ham without Morse is like a day without sunshine" - Not me again. I
>>have said that a ham who doesn't have any Morse skills is not fully
>>qualified as a radio amateur, and that is a fact.
>
>It wasn't a literal, Jim - come on, guy - use that education!

Tsk, he should be working on the "Four Morsemen of the
Apocalypse" phrase...

>>The references to "Dreidel U." are very like Len's reactions when someone
>>catches him in an error and points it out.
>
>Once again - a reference back to Len. Does your whole world revolve
>around this guy? Why?

He honors me? :-) Nahhhhh...

Outside of having a nosh now and then in Kantor's in Hollywood,
I'm not daily involved with any Yiddish phrases and never grew
up with such ethnic toys. [excellent pastrami there] Contact
diminished remarkably about 41 years ago...BTASE (But That's
Another Story Entirely).

>>Well, no. Not if the person really *is* wrong - mistaken - in error
>>about the subjects discussed.
>
>Therein lies the nature of the affliction. Nobody, least of all Len,
>cares that you think that he is 'wrong''. It's being done to torment
>you and get you to respond, for the other person's pleasure.

SOP of "Tormentors International," a conspiracy group.

>The rest of the folks here will watch - much like rubberneckers
>looking at an accident on the highway.

"Oooooo...lookit all dat roadkill!!" Hahahahahha...etc.

>You have become just that - a tragedy on the 'Information Highway'.
>(oh, how I loathe that expression....!).
>
>You're being used, Jim. Sad you cannot see it.

Would I buy a used opinion from this man? No. :-)

>>I'm saying that if someone disagrees with Len about Morse Code testing
>>and then points out some incosistencies in Len's postings, Len will
>>go off in his typical fashion. Even if the errors are pointed out in
>>a courteous way, Len will go into attack mode.
>
>To turn your crank, and get you dancing and hopping again - nothing
>more.

Actually, considerably more. I consider myself a "WMD" (Weapon
of Morse Destruction). Low-yield, of course, not bearing the
imprimateur of that "federally-authorized" callsign. As such,
ANY pro-morse position gets boresighted and locked-on for a
full magazine and semi/full auto mode as needed. Tsk.

Progress is very slow, but there IS progress. The U.S. ham
regs dropped to 5 WPM for all classes a while back...before
S25 got rewritten at WRC-03.

>>> Or do you enjoy being used for entertainment - laughed at, not with?
>>
>"ARE YOU BEING ENTERTAINED?!"
>
>um - if you are still going ahead with this quote from the movie
>"Gladiator" - well, no.
>
>The correct quote is "Are you not entertained"
>
>Source: http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0172495/quotes

Citius, fortius, altius, followed by "obsessius."

I rather like the soft-drink commercial using "Spartacus"
clips on TV instead. :-)

>If you are asking, however, if i am entertained by your antics - the
>answer is no. And yes. I like to watch a good accident as much as
>the next guy - it's human nature!
>
>Heh heh heh.

Oooooo...lookit all dat roadkill!

>>> >> Jeez, I'll bet you dream about the guy!
>>> >
>>> >Nope.
>>>
>>> Yup. In Technicolour.
>>
>>Well, no.
>
>Panavision then? With DTS sound.

Wide-screen, anamorphic lens, HDTV compatible format...but the
sound is narrowband beeping quality.

>>Ask Len the same question. He's been at it longer.
>
>There's the old obsesssion again - everything is Len-centric to you.
>
>All roads lead to Len!

"When in Rome, do as the Romans do." SPQR.

"Citius, morseus, hameous."

Ave, imperator! :-)

>>You didn't understand the analogy I made.
>
>I understood it's purpose - to cloud the issue. That's all I ned to
>understand.

Tsk. Unlike Lamont Cranston, "Shadow Jim" didn't stay long
enough in the Far East to learn ALL the lessons...tsk, tsk.

>>It appears that this whole exchange about obsession is really just a
>>disguised way of telling me to shut up and let Len post his mistakes
>>and attacks without challenge. That's really what you want me to do.
>
>Not at all. It is indended to illustrate to you that your valiant
>battle is in vain - your opponent is not interested in the least in
>what you say. His only goal is to control you - which is pretty easy
>to do. You have all of your hot buttons proudly and prominently
>displayed - and every bloody one elicits a predictable response when
>pressed: your ire, passion and rage.
>
>In short - you, Sir, are being hijacked against your will.

The FBI has a warrant out on me and I'm currently #8 on the
USPS offices all over the USA. I am posting this from an
"undisclosed location" near Cheney's place...

Ain't easy being a hijacker! [no morsemanship required, tho...]

>>Maybe you have a point. Perhaps I should simply step back and let you,
>>Len, Brian/N0IMD, and "John Smith" rant on without comment.
>
>I, kind Sir, do not rant. I communicate.
>
>And educate.
>
>And research before I post.

"Throughout the land there shall be but ONE voice and that of
the prophet Morse, hollowed be his name." - the league

[or was that in the Vulgate? I forget...]

>>Maybe I will.
>
>God grant you the strength. I, however, am not optimistic that you
>can break free.

He be typing from a TERMINAL. Name sayeth all. No hope.

>Or don't. Either way, we'll all watch! Screeeeeeeeeeech...BAM!

Okay, let's get out the flares and warning triangles and the
Highway Patrol notified. 10-4, 10-4 Broderick.
Phlegm at eleven.

"Pass me the CHPs, dear, there's another breaking news on the tube."

"What, AGAIN?"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3540. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 22:20:48 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119565248.724903.217890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110723

K4YZ wrote:
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "an_old_friend" >konstans@hotmail.com< - Find messages by this
> author
> Date: 22 Jun 2005 02:48:44 -0700
> Local: Wed,Jun 22 2005 5:48 am
> Subject: Re: The Pool
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse
>
> sorryJim but failing to back up an opinion doesn't mean they can't, may
> mean they simply choose not to bother
>
> Yessireesir! Markie's back!
>
> The President of the IIII....Illiterate Illinformed Idiot from
> Illinois.
>
> Not THAT idiot...the ORIGINAL!
>
> Failing to "back up an opinion", Markie, means that either the
> author was wrong, didn't have the facts, shot their mouth off just to
> be heard or doesn't have the faith of conviction in his/her own beliefs
> to stand behind it.
>
> MY OPINION is that you need to move back to Illinois and marry
> Todd Daugherty, N9OGL. Maybe Lennie would move back (he's originally
> from IL) and officiate for you? You two are cut from the same
> cloth...Hmmmmmmmm...maybe a bit TOO close...Forget what I said...He's
> probably in your bloodline and that would be incest.
>
> On the otherhand...you might LIKE that kind of thing....Lord
> knows...you've certainly expressed more bizarre interests than incest
> before.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, why do you do such things?

You're an idiot.

3541. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 22:26:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119565577.024603.290090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110724

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "bb" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 17:03
> >
> >
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> bb Jun 19, 10:51 pm show options
> > >> >Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
> > >> >> news:1119140249.328665.67370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >> >> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >> >> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
> > >> >> >> news:1119096818.549109.327500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >> >
> > >> >> >> > It's nice to see Miss Manners finally noticing something amiss n the
> > >> >> >> > ARS.
> > >> >
> > >> >> >> "bb" is just full of all sorts of assumptions, ain't he boys and girls?
> > >> >
> > >> >> >> Using his logic then all us "higher" class operators need to get out of
> > >> >> >> EMCOM, eh?
> > >> >
> > >> >> >> I'll be sure to tell the Extra class Emergency Net Control operator next
> > >> >> >> time I see her.
> > >> >
> > >> >> >> Dan/W4NTI
> > >> >
> > >> >> > Dan, you're welcome to tell her anything you want. She's probably
> > >> >> > already aware that you usually get it wrong anyway.
> > >> >
> > >> >> Do you have trouble chewing gum and walking Bryan?
> > >> >
> > >> >I don't chew gum. I don't smoke, either. Did you have a point?
> > >>
> > >> Probably on his head...
> > >>
> > >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > >
> > >He should have it biopsied. Save him an early date with the actuarial
> > >tables.
> >
> > Sounds like a plan! Ol' Danny is getting worse. Now he thinks
> > I am claiming "combat action." Maybe I'll claim SEVEN of them
> > just to keep him happy? It works for another.

>
> But you HAVE claimed combat action, Lennie!

I know that Steve has made such assertions.

Nothing to back it up with, though.

3542. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 22:34:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119566089.438025.325000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110725

K4YZ wrote:
> Bert Craig wrote:
>
> > I will go on the record with the prediction that Element 1 will NOT go
> > away completely as first thought. It will be dropped for Tech "+" and
> > General privies, but retained for the Extra.
>
> I am willing to bet that you've got the right combination, at
> least for now, Bert.
>
> I see a two class license structure on the next "restructuring"
> round. That's not to say I like it or support it, but I bet that's
> where we end up.

Ayup. Sounds reasonbable to me.

3543. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 22:37:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119566238.067354.243180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110726

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > MY OPINION is that you need to move back to Illinois and marry
> > Todd Daugherty, N9OGL. Maybe Lennie would move back (he's originally
> > from IL) and officiate for you? You two are cut from the same
> > cloth...Hmmmmmmmm...maybe a bit TOO close...Forget what I said...He's
> > probably in your bloodline and that would be incest.
> >
>
> Isn't this just soooooo Steve-like!?!? Rather than deal with an issue, he
> performs a seque into contemplation of the possible sexual orientation of
> others. Projecting again, Steve?!?!

Nope.

Just having some fun with others who insist on being funny.

Kinda like you do from time to time.

Have a nice day.

Steve, K4YZ

3544. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 22:39:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119566364.520458.252500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110727

bb wrote:

> Steve, why do you do such things?

Because people like you, Lennie, Todd and Markie provide more than
adequate opportunities to have fun.

> You're an idiot.

Coming FROM an idiot, I guess you'd know, eh...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3545. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 23:15:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <0oHue.11636$eM6.1025@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110729

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:5Snue.8130$jX6.7990@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>
>>
>> Who do ya want - a impatient knob twiddler with a FTDX 9000 and an
>> antenna farm of (insert your favorite antenna here), or a good capable
>> contester with say a dipole and an IC-746.
>>
>
> Your example is specious, Mike. Generally the contester who builds a
> competition grade station has also invested in building the skills and
> techniques to take advantage of the capabilities they have sought in their
> station design.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
It takes two things to communicate, an operator at both ends.

Dan/W4NTI

3546. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 23:15:16 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <v9bmb1l6sl5tecgknda3ck7nh9g2ma1nq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 110728

On 23 Jun 2005 14:36:54 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 22 Jun 2005 03:58:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 21 Jun 2005 02:49:00 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 20 Jun 2005 03:09:46 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 18:58:24 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> > >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Irrelevant - Len has lost the argument.
>
>> >> >Dreidel U? Where's that?
>> >> I of course assumed that you attended one of the 'top' colleges.....
>> >> :)
>> >>
>> >> (apologies for the abuse of Hebrew here...!)
>> >
>> >Looks like an anti-Semitic zing at one of my alma maters, Leo.
>>
>> Nope - it was a joke.
>
>Well, no. It was actually a failed attempt at a joke. If
>you have to tell the audience that something is a joke,
>it isn't one.

I didn't have to tell the audience, Jim - just you!

>
>> A 'Top" college - Dreidel (a child's toy top) -
>> get it?

>
>Is that the best you can do?

Heh heh heh....
>
>> Anti-semetic - no.
>
>Anti-Semitic. More accurately, anti-semantic.

There you go. Good description.

>
>> It rhymes (well, sort of)
>
>Not really

Close enough for humour!

>
>> with Drexel - your good ol' alma mater.
>
>One of them.
>
>> Heh heh.
>>
>> It was a zing, though - you are right about that.
>
>Yeah, yeah, make cutesy nicknames in an attempt to rile others...where
>have I seen that before?

Let me guess - it's still all about Len, isn't it!

tsk.

>
>> >> >> >That school of thought reminds me of the episode of "Blackadder III" in
>> >> >> >which two characters are superstitious about the name of
>> >> >> >a particular play by Shakespeare - supposedly, saying the name brings
>> >> >> >bad luck. They refer to it as "the Scottish play", and if
>> >> >> >someone says the actual name, they have to do an elaborate ritual to
>> >> >> >excise the evil spirits.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Of course Blackadder says the name of the play for them at every
>> >> >> >opportunity.
>
>MACBETH!
>
>> > >>
>> >> >> I pointed out earlier that you really hadn't achieved anything useful
>> >> >> by invoking Godwin, as the arguement would continue - your
>> >> >> response,was that you had "won the arguement" because Len had referred
>> >> >> to the Nazis.
>> >> >>

>> >> >And I did.
>> >>
>> >> Not according to Godwin's law.....Google it up, please....
>> >
>> >Why?
>>
>> Because you have not completed your research on the topic.
>
>No I haven't.
>
>> You fail to have grasped it's essence.
>
>Well, no. I just don't agree with your interpretation, that's all.

Ain't up to you to interpret, Jim. It's the way it was written.

It's a law. Not a guideline.

>
>BTW, "it's" is a contraction for "it is", not the possessive form of
>"it".

Heh heh heh. A typo - nice catch!

>Didn't they teach you that at *your* alma mater, Leo?

Nope - basic grammar is taught in public school. Just like in the
U.S. system.

You should know that! That's basic stuff, Jim.

>
>> >> In society, we have police who deal with issues where people do wrong
>> >> 'by' others. in here, apparently, we have you!
>> >
>> >Well, no.
>>
>> Oh, yeah! for seven and a half years now! (corrected per your
>> timeline).
>
>Why is that a problem?

Duh!

>
>> >According to your behaviour here, nothing Len does warrants a negative
>> >reaction from you. In fact, your interactions with him and on his
>> >behalf
>> >show you approve of his behaviour here. That's what "he can do no wrong
>> > by you" means.
>> >
>> >That you disagreed with him in the past is incidental.
>>

>> By your logic, then, I should ccontinue to disagree with him even
>> though he has provided me no direct reason to do so?
>
>It's your choice.

A quite logical choice indeed.

Unfortunately, it doesn't seem that it is one available to you....

>
>> >> >>- giving me no reason to do any wrong to
>> >> >> him.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Now, if I was to get on the keyboard and tell him that his
>> >> >> professional knowledge and experience isn't worth anything, or that
>> >> >> acquiring a ham license is better than sex, or that a Ham without
>> >> >> Morse is like a day without sunshine - or worse - rag on him every
>> >> >> chance that I get that he is 'wrong' about something.......well, then
>> >> >> Len and I might have a problem getting along here.
>> >> >
>> >> >You don't need to do all that. I haven't done any of it.
>> >>
>> >> I suggest that you may want to rethink that statement - you have been
>> >> telling Len (and others) that they have been "wrong", Incorrect, "in
>> >> error", etc. for at least the last eight years, with almost weekly
>> >> frequency (at minimum).
>> >
>> >Well, no.
>>
>> Oh, yeah!
>
>Oh no.

Heh. Oh yeah!

>
>> >I first showed up on rrap in late 1997, less than 8 years ago.
>>
>> Sorry - you're correct - make that seven and a half years then. LOL!
>
>> >There have been periods of much longer than a week when I was gone from
>> >rrap.
>>
>> Yup - we all take vacations.
>
>Your research is incomplete, then.

We don't all take vacations, then?

>
>> On average, though, I'd estimate a
>> frequency of (conservatively) once per week. That means three times

>> this week, and none over the next two, et. etc. equals an average
>> frequency of approximately weekly. Actually, I have probably given
>> you the advantage here - it may be even more.....
>
>So? Is there a limit?

Of course not! You could go on indefinitely.....as Einstein once
said, only intelligence is finite :)

>
>> >As for:
>> >
>> >"telling him that his professional knowledge and experience isn't worth
>> >anything" - I haven't done that. I have said that his professional
>> > knowledge and experience don't qualify him for an amateur license, and
>> >that is a fact.
>> >
>> >"acquiring a ham license is better than sex" - You won't hear that from
>> >me.
>>
>> It wasn't a literal, Jim - interpret!
>
>Why not say what you mean?

Heh. Are you saying that you had difficulty figuring out what I
meant?

>>
>> >"a Ham without Morse is like a day without sunshine" - Not me again. I
>> >have said that a ham who doesn't have any Morse skills is not fully
>> >qualified as a radio amateur, and that is a fact.
>>
>> It wasn't a literal, Jim - come on, guy - use that education!
>
>Why not say what you mean?

Heh. Not a 'read between the lines' type of guy, huh?

>
>> >"- or worse - rag on him every chance that I get that he is 'wrong'
>> >about something" - Not me! I have pointed out *some* of Len's mistakes,
>> >when he
>> >has been in error - wrong - about something. Is that not allowed?
>> >
>> >> >> ....well, then
>> >> >> Len and I might have a problem getting along here.
>> >
>> >The references to "Dreidel U." are very like Len's reactions when
>> >someone catches him in an error and points it out.
>>
>> Once again - a reference back to Len.
>

>Because you act like him sometimes.

Heh heh. You too, on occasion.

Look at the little digs that you have tried to get me with in this
thread.

Without success, of course.

>
>> Does your whole world revolve
>> around this guy?
>
>Not at all. I spend a few minutes here and there writing a post, and
>you
>interpret that as an obsession?

For seven and a half (plus) years? As frequently as you do?

Nah...of course not. That's just normal everyday behaviour..... :)

>
>> >> A few Google examples:
>> >>
>> >> Subject: Keep the quality, lose the spectrum Jul 17 1998
>> >> "Len, you are just plain wrong here. You just don't understand the
>> >> issue."
>> >
>> >What *was* the issue? Was Len wrong about it?
>>
>> Not the issue, Jim.
>
>Yes, it is. You're avoiding the fact that Len was, in fact, wrong then.

I'm sure that Len knows that he was wrong, Jim. Maybe he was just
trying to get you going? Heh heh heh....

>
>> The frequency over time is.
>
>"Frequency over time"? Frequency is events per unit time. Didn't they
>teach that at your alma mater?

Um - that's what I said, Jim - didn't think you needed it in such
technical language.

It wasn't a division problem....

>
>> Please try to comprehend.
>
>I comprehend more than you realize, Leo.

Sorry - not much evidence to support that claim so far, Jim.

>
>> >> Subject: Who Is What? Feb 9 2001
>> >> "No, Len, it is not correct. Let's look at what you wrote:"
>> >
>> >What *did* Len write? Was he correct or not?
>>
>> Not the issue, Jim.
>
>Yes, it is. You're avoiding the fact that Len was, in fact, wrong then.

Who cares? That is not the issue.

>
>> The frequency over time is. Please try to
>> comprehend.
>>
>> >>
>> >> Subject: ARS License Numbers Mar 4 2003
>> >> "So you are incorrect again, Len. Mistaken. Just plain wrong."
>> >
>> >Was Len correct that time? Or was he mistaken - just plain wrong?
>>
>> Not the issue, Jim.
>
>Yes, it is. You're avoiding the fact that Len was, in fact, wrong then.

Who cares? That is not the issue.

>
>> The frequency over time is. Please try to
>> comprehend.
>>
>> >
>> >> Subject: Wrong Again, Len! (Communicator Power) Mar 18 2004
>> >> (Hmmm - that was your thread - quote not required for that one at all!
>> >
>> >Well - was he right or wrong about "Communicator Power"?
>>
>> Not the issue, Jim.
>
>Yes, it is. You're avoiding the fact that Len was, in fact, wrong then.

Who cares? That is not the issue.

>>
>> In each example, do you believe that your opponent could care less
>> whether he was wrong?
>
>Doesn't matter one way or the other. The fact is that in all of the
>above cases, and many more, Len posted information that wasn't true.
>
>You avoid that fact for some reason.

Who cares? That is not the issue.

>
>> Might there be an ulterior motive?
>
>Of course. I realized that long ago. In fact I mentioned it here
>back on Feb 10, 2003. Your research is very incomplete, Leo.

That is indeed an interesting point.

Unfortunately - well, no again.

Your statement was something like "his hobby is wasting time - your
time."

I really don't think that 'wasting time' is what it's all about, Jim.
Do you?

It's about control. Specifically, controlling you. And making you
squirm and dance.

Unless you are saying that you actually understand that you are being
abused intentionally by others. And have done so since 2003. And if
so....you continue to post the same "wrong, wrong, wrong" garbage here
- knowing full well that you will continue to be abused for the
pleasure of others.

Does that seem like particularly sane bahaviour to you?

Are you a fool? Or a masochist? Or both?

>
>>
>> >> You don't think that eight years of "you're wrong, you're wrong..."
>> >> wouldn't be deemed by a reasonable man to be a bit excessive?
>> >
>> >Well, no. Not if the person really *is* wrong - mistaken - in error
>> >about the subjects discussed.
>>
>> Therein lies the nature of the affliction.
>
>Yes, Len is afflicted by the inability to admit a mistake
>when it is pointed out by someone he considers an inferior, like me...

If by "inferior" you mean "controlled by someone in a superior
position" (i.e. boss, tormentor, etc.) - then he is correct.

You jump on command. Every time.

You can't help yourself.

>
>> Nobody, least of all Len,
>> cares that you think that he is 'wrong''.
>
>Why not be honest, Leo?

>
>*You* don't care. Maybe Len doesn't care. You don't speak for
>everybody.

Of course I don't speak for everybody. Nor do you, although you seem
to envision yourself as on some sort of a crusade to defend the
'truth'. In an impossible situation. Cast in a role as a playtoy.

I see.

>
>> It's being done to torment
>> you and get you to respond, for the other person's pleasure.
>
>Well DUH!
>
>"His hobby is wasting time. Your time"

Well, no.

Wasting time, and being abused, are two different things.

Aren't they?

>
>Guess who wrote that?
>
>The fact is that Len spends much more time and effort posting here than
>I. Always has. Gets all upset and outraged over any opposition. Now you
>may say it's all an act, but so what?
>
>> The rest of the folks here will watch - much like rubberneckers
>> looking at an accident on the highway.
>
>Do you speak for everyone who reads rrap? Or just for you?

Rhetorical question - ignored.

>
>> You have become just that - a tragedy on the 'Information Highway'.
>> (oh, how I loathe that expression....!).
>
>Sounds like another disguised way of you telling me to shut up.
>
>Why not be honest and just say it?

Wake up, maybe - I don't recall inferring that you should shut up!

>
>> You're being used, Jim. Sad you cannot see it.
>>
>> Real sad.
>
>It may appear that way to you. The truth is very different.

Apparently not. No evidence exists to support that claim.

>
>> >Is there a time limit beyond which I cannot tell Len he's mistaken
>> >about something?
>>
>> Sure -to infinity and beyond, if your are OC enough to go the
>> distance! Heh heh heh.
>
>> >> >All you have to do is disagree with him about the Morse Code test,
>> >> >defend
>> >> >that opinion, and then point out an incosistency or two in his
>> >> >postings.
>> >>
>> >> An inconsistency or two? For eight years?
>> >
>> >I'm saying that if someone disagrees with Len about Morse Code testing
>> >and then points out some incosistencies in Len's postings, Len will
>> >go off in his typical fashion. Even if the errors are pointed out in
>> >a courteous way, Len will go into attack mode.
>>
>> To turn your crank, and get you dancing and hopping again - nothing
>> more.
>
>Look at his postings compared to mine. It's not me who is dancing and
>hopping.

Heh heh heh. Y'think?

>
>> >> Do you actually believe that, after all of this time, that you are
>> >> going to change anything by whining on?
>> >
>> >"Whining on"?
>>
>> Whining on. Correct. Did I not spell that right or something?
>
>You mistake my persistent strong opposition with "whining". Another
>attempt to get me worked up. Doesn't work. Leo.

Not at all - just a statement. You're whining.

On and on and on. Even when you know that you cannot win.

That's normal behaviour, alright!

>
>> >btw, Len's been posting to rrap longer than I have, posts more and at
>> >greater length than almost anyone else in rrap, and makes more mistakes
>> >here than
>> >I do as well. But I guess that's OK with you.
>>
>> I could personally care less. I am not obsessed.

>
>Yes, you are.

Heh heh heh. That's funny, Jim!

>
>> >> Jeez, you'd make somebody a great ex-wife.... :)
>> >
>> >Well, no.
>>
>> Hell, yes! wrong, wrong, wrong, wrong -- on and on ad infinitum.
>> like a demented parrot.
>
>Norwegian Blue. Beautiful plumage.

This is a text-only newsgroup, Jim. We don't see the plumage - all we
hear is the noise! Squawk squawk squawk.....for almost 8 years now!

> >
>> >> >> Wonder why that would be? Heh heh heh.
>> >> >
>> >> >> >> I simply refuse to
>> >> >> >> join you in your obcessive crusade against him.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >You can't join what doesn't exist.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Oh, it's there, all right. You read some of the stuff you write?
>> >> >
>> >> >I read all of it. Do you read the responses I get from Len?
>> >>
>> >> I certainly do. Do you think that will ever change?
>> >
>> >Probably not. So what?
>>
>> So why contimue? If you will achieve nothing, why go on?
>
>Who says I'm achieving nothing? Who are you to judge, Leo?

You have achieved nothing. You tormentor is still here - and you are
still being controlled.

Heh. Nice victory!

>
>> Are you incapable of controlling yourself?
>
>I've got plenty of self-control.

LOL!

>>
>> Find The Strength!
>> >

>> >> Or do you enjoy being used for entertainment - laughed at, not with?
>> >
>> >"ARE YOU BEING ENTERTAINED?!"
>>
>> um - if you are still going ahead with this quote from the movie
>> "Gladiator" - well, no.
>>
>> The correct quote is "Are you not entertained"
>
>I know.

Then why did you get it wrong twice in this thread?

>>
>> Source: http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0172495/quotes
>
>Now who is obsessive, Leo? You had to go look that up and correct me -
>just
>couldn't help yourself, could you?

Ask me again in 8 years! Heh.

>
>> If you are asking, however, if i am entertained by your antics - the
>> answer is no. And yes. I like to watch a good accident as much as
>> the next guy - it's human nature!
>>
>> Heh heh heh.
>
>Then I am achieving something.

You most certainly are - you are performing a valuable role for some
folks on the group.

As Shakespeare wrote in his play "As You Like It":

"Always the dullness of the fool is the whetstone of the wits."

Hear that stropping noise, Jim? That's somebody sharpening up on
you.....

>
>> >> >> Jeez, I'll bet you dream about the guy!
>> >> >
>> >> >Nope.
>> >>
>> >> Yup. In Technicolour.
>> >
>> >Well, no.
>>
>> Panavision then? With DTS sound.
>
>Nope. Not once.

So it only consumes your waking hours, then?

Perhaps there is hope.

>>
>> The sad truth is - you are obsessed with the guy. Period.
>
>The real truth is that if anyone is obsessed, it's Len. And/or Brian,
>N0IMD. Not me.
>>
>> We see it - you should try to do so as well, for you own sake....
>
>Who is "we"? Are you the Pope? Lots of Pope Leos, btw..

Heh heh - "there are none so blind as those who will not see....."

>
>
> Unlike Len, I have many civil, uninsulting
>> >> >discussions here with those who disagree with me on a variety of
>> >> >issues,
>> >> >including the Morse Code test. Google up any exchange between N2EY and
>> >> >K2UNK,
>> >> >for example.
>> >>
>> >> Agreed.
>> >
>> >So there *is* a difference!
>>
>> Sure is - take away the obsessive behaviour, and you are a pretty nice
>> guy! Add it in, however - and.....well, no.
>
>Well, there you have it.

I most assuredly do.

>
>> >> So why bother ragging on for eight solid years about issues
>> >> that the folks you are arguing with will never agree?
>> >
>> >Ask Len the same question. He's been at it longer.
>>
>> There's the old obsesssion again - everything is Len-centric to you.
>
>Nope.

Heh. "To thine own self be true...."

>
>> >> >> In your example, the correct answer (following your logic) is: Borrow
>> >> >> the neighbour's tools, break them and get them dirty, and give some of
>> >> >> them back.
>> >> >
>> >> >Nope.

>> >> >
>> >> >The question was whether to keep lending him your tools (yes/no).
>> >> >
>> >> >Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
>> >> >of his, so your solution is not feasible.
>> >> >
>> >> >You didn't fully understand the analogy.
>> >>
>> >> Who didn't understand what, Jim?
>> >
>> >You didn't understand the analogy I made.
>>
>> I understood it's purpose - to cloud the issue. That's all I ned to
>> understand.
>
>Well, no.

Well, obviously - yes.

And you just missed another typo....

>
>One of the limitations of online communication is that it's difficult
>or
>impossible to tell when someone is pretending to be dense and when
>someone
>really *is* dense....

I fully agree!

>>
>> >
>> >> You avoided my question, threw in
>> >> your own to obfuscate the issue, and blamed it on my understanding?
>> >>
>> >> Not gonna happen, Bud!
>> >
>> >The neighbour who borrows your tools and treats them badly but won't
>> >lend you any of his is just like the person who asks you questions but
>> >won't answer your questions. Why should you continue to lend the
>> >neighbour tools - or answer someone's questions - when they behave
>> >that way?
>>
>> Rhetorical question - ignored.
>
>One of the limitations...
>> > >
>> >> >> After all, like you said, "It's a question of fairness and
>> >> >> equality. Also experience with what is done with the information
>> >> >> provided."
>> >> >Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
>> >> >of his, so your solution is not feasible.

>> >>
>> >> You're absolutely correct.
>> >>
>> >> You should steal the tools instead.
>> >
>> >Well, no.
>>
>> Makes as much sense as any.... Heh heh heh.
>
>No, it doesn't.

Heh.

>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >All of which could be ghostwritten or cut-and-pasted from another
>> >> >> >source. So they wouldn't be proof anyway.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> ...Patent application, published article - nah, you're right - you
>> >> >> can't trust anybody these days....!
>> >
>> >You misunderstand.
>>
>> Misunderstand what? You as much as said that you can't trust anybodt
>> - and provided several valid arguements to support that supposition.
>> I merely stated it in conclusion.
>>
>> You are, again, -um-, in error, Sir.
>>
>> >
>> >It would be a simple matter for someone to write postings in one
>> >location and have them posted to usenet from somewhere else. That your
>> >postings to rrap originate where they do is not proof of where or who
>> >their author is.
>> >
>> >That's all.
>>
>> Well, no.
>
>Well, yes.
>
>> Most commercial ISPs block rebroadcast of newsgroup
>> messages from sources not directly connected to their NNTP servers
>> (i.e. their subscribers direct links from their homes to the ISP) -
>> this is done to prevent their networks being used illegally for
>> transmission of massive volumes of SPAM.
>>
>> If you post to the groups through Google, this does not apply - that
>> is not an ISP.
>>
>> I sincerely hope that your comprehension of the radio arts is
>> significantly superior to your knowlege of the mechanics of the

>> Internet.
>
>Think outside the box, Leo.
>
>Someone in Location A writes a newsgroup post. Sends the post as a
>textfile to
>someone else in Location B. Someone else then posts it to Usenet. Looks
>like
>it came from Location B but it didn't.

That box you're thinking outside might be a bit too big, Jim.

Please explain why someone would go to all of that trouble simply to
fool you.

Unless thay are as OC as you...

>
>> >> >> >> A few moderately
>> >> >> >> complex calculations, perhaps - some correct, at least one not > by a long
shot.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> In short - your word is all we have.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >That applies to you as well. I can include "u" in certain words -
>> >> >> >doesn't make me Canadian...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> True. Were you as adept at the Internet as you are with your radio,
>> >> >> you could trace the message header to my ISP up here - wouldn't prove
>> >> >> my nationality, but it would certainly nail down the geographical
>> >> >> origin of the posts!
>> >> >
>> >> >Which proves nothing, since they could be remailed from that location.
>> >> >Easy to do.
>> >>
>> >> Oh yeah. Forgot.
>> >>
>> >> Let's see...Rebranding of published articles...fake
>> >> references...newsgroup postings spirited across the ether to foreign
>> >> countries...clandestine Amateur Radio credentials......
>> >>
>> >> Um, wouldn't that be an awful lot of effort just to fool you?
>> >>
>> >> ROTFLMAO!
>> >
>> >See above:It would be a simple matter for someone to write postings in
>> >one location and have them posted to usenet from somewhere else. That
>> >your postings to rrap originate where they do is not proof of where or
>> >who their author is.
>> >
>> >That's all.

>>
>> Not necessarily - please see above.
>
>Well, no.

Not a simple matter at all - lots of work involved!

>
>One of the limitations...
>>> >
>> >It appears that this whole exchange about obsession is really just a
>> >disguised way of telling me to shut up and let Len post his mistakes
>> >and attacks without challenge. That's really what you want me to do.
>>
>> Not at all.
>
>Yes, it is. Do you not want me to shut up?

Not at all. Please continue!

>
>> It is indended to illustrate to you that your valiant
>> battle is in vain - your opponent is not interested in the least in
>> what you say.
>
>Of course he's interested - otherwise he wouldn't respond!

Heh.

>
>> His only goal is to control you - which is pretty easy
>> to do. You have all of your hot buttons proudly and prominently
>> displayed - and every bloody one elicits a predictable response when
>> pressed: your ire, passion and rage.
>
>Well, no.

Say what? You don't see it?

Heh heh.

>
>You have me confused with Len.

You have you obsessed with Len. You have confused yourself.

>
>> In short - you, Sir, are being hijacked against your will.
>
>Heck no.

You mean you are being hijacked willingly?

A bit psychotic, no?

>>
>> >Maybe you have a point. Perhaps I should simply step back and let you,
>> >Len, Brian/N0IMD, and "John Smith" rant on without comment.
>>
>> I, kind Sir, do not rant. I communicate.
>
>You've ranted on here at great length, Leo. Much longer than me.

LOL! That's funny, Jim!

>
>> And educate.
>
>> And research before I post.
>
>Feb 10, 2003.

Well, no. Different issue in that post - as stated above!

>
>> >Maybe I will.
>>
>> God grant you the strength. I, however, am not optimistic that you
>> can break free.
>
>> Think about it - if you spent all of the time that you have wasted
>> here being a playtoy for others on more productive activities, what
>> could you have accomplished?
>>
>> That would have been a lot to extra hours on the radio (DXCC, all
>> modes, all bands perhaps?)
>
>Not really - not that much time to write these posts, you see.

Heh heh heh.....not much time, eh?

Any idea how many posts you write in a day? A week? a year?

Each may be small, but they add up....! :)

>
>> - or a second degree at night school (we're
>> talking a lot of hours, as you well know) - built yourself a brand new
>> car entirely out of spare parts, or many other useful things. Here,
>> over the last nine years, in all of your attempts to 'correct' those
>> who 'play' with you, you have accomplished: Nothing. Same arguements
>> - no progress. Zilch.
>
>You forget the entertainment value.

Absolutely - if you are willing to submit to being used for
entertainment, then of course - that has value. To others - and
perhaps to you too in some odd way.....painful to watch, though!

>Nice try with the nine years mistake, though.

Things have been like this on the group for the last nine yearrs - the
arguements do pre-date you, Jim.

You weren't the first.....just the next..... :)

>
>> You got an F.
>
>You're not the teacher, Leo. Deal with it.

Lucky you!

>>
>> The song remains the same as it did nine long years ago.
>>
>> What a colossal waste of talent! Yours, that is.
>>
>> And, of course, as I have also illustrated quite clearly in this
>> thread - well, with your level of accuracy and depth of research, you
>> ain't really the guy who should be running around telling everyone
>> else that they are wrong.
>
>I see. Personal attack rather than looking at the facts. Was Len right
>in
>the cases you cited above? Or was I? Look them up and see. Do *your*
>research....

Who cares who was right? That's not the issue, Jim.

>
>> When your emotions gain control over your
>> intellect - you will lose.
>>
>> Every time.
>>
>> Without question.
>
>That's why my posts are calm and reasoned.

Um - calm and reasoned? You must be a riot at parties, Jim.

"No Fred, you're wrong....Betty, that's just incorrect....Hey, Sam,
that's not how you do that!...Say, Charlie......"

Heh heh.

>
>> If you stick to areas where you are a subject matter expert, try to
>> educate only those who are truly interested in learning ffrom you, and
>> avoid those who will prey upon your obsessive personality - and you'll
>> be better off.
>
>IOW, you want me to shut up. Why not be honest and say it straight out?

No, Jim....please continue!

>>
>> Or don't. Either way, we'll all watch! Screeeeeeeeeeech...BAM!
>>
>> Your call.
>
>My call is N2EY. What's yours?

Unlisted. :)

>
>You really underestimate me, Leo. If that's your real name.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Actually, Jim, I have probably overestimated you. Thought you were smarter than that - and hoped that you might see the reality of what is being done to you.

But, Hey - have fun at the newsgroup beatings! Hope you get out of them what you need! Go get 'im, Jim! He's wrong!!!!!

73, Leo (or am I? Hmmm........(paranoia setting in....aaaaaah!) :)

3547. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 23:17:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119568637.217350.8580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110730

Michael Coslo wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

> >>The unskilled operators don't do very well even with an excellent setup, and
> >>the skilled operators do well with a more mundane setup that the new or less
> >>experienced have a lot of trouble with.

> > This assertion is different from your original question which implied that
> > 100W/dipole stations are manned by better operators than "knob twiddlers" found
> > at better equipped stations.
>
> It was a question, Hans. Not an implication. It isn't an either or
> proposition either. I'd be purdy dum to think that those who have more
> mundane setups have better ops than those at the better stations.
>
> And I would still want to have that good op with a 100 watt station
> than the not so good op at the "contest station".
>
> Obviously the best setup is the good op at the good station.
>

> > My assertion is that competition-grade STATIONS
> > ("competition grade" does not mean "most expensive") are built and operated by
> > competition-grade radiomen. Witness K1TTT, KC1XX, W3LPL, W0AIH, N0AT, K0KX,
> > K3LR, W7RM, etc., etc.
>
> Of course. But this whole tangent of the thread was based on my
> assertion that between the good operator and the good equipment, your
> best to side with the good operator.
>
> Kinda like buying that huge Craftsman (or Snap-On or whatever) tool set
> and cabinet doesn't make you a master mechanic. However, many master
> mechanics have that same tool set.
>
I look at it another way...

The basic evaluation factor in contesting is "what are the limitations"
- IOW, what limited/limits your score?

Put up the classic "100W midrange HF transceiver and G5RV at 40 feet"
station. Paper logs.

If you sit an unskilled op in front of it during a major contest, the
score will be mostly limited by the operator's skill, not the setup.
Put a skilled op in the same seat, and the score will be mostly limited

by the setup.

The key to improvements is to identify what the limiting factors are, and how to deal with them. That's where SO2R came from in the first place. The top ops found that their scores were being limited by the choice between running contacts and grabbing multipliers. SO2R permits doing both at almost the same time. For an op capable of using such a setup well, it removes a limitation. To an op who is not so skilled, it won't make much difference.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3548. Re: e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 23:19:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <FrHue.11639$eM6.9277@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110731

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:PdKdndNPeewttiffRVn-ow@adelphia.com...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>>
>>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>> Who do ya want - a impatient knob twiddler with a FTDX 9000 and an
>>>> antenna farm of (insert your favorite antenna here), or a good capable
>>>> contester with say a dipole and an IC-746.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Your example is specious, Mike. Generally the contester who builds a
>>> competition grade station has also invested in building the skills and
>>> techniques to take advantage of the capabilities they have sought in
>>> their station design.
>>>
>>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>>
>> Generally but not always, Hans. Here's an example: Joe Moneybags has
>> always admired the photos which Hans Brakob takes. He notes that Hans
>> always uses a top of the line Nikon. Joe sells his Canon and buys the
>> expensive Nikon. His photos are still not up to the Brakob standard. Joe
>> fails to realize that the skill of the photographer is more important
>> than the price or model of the camera. You have to have seen something
>> similar a dozen times in DXing or contesting.
>
> And that is my basic point. If people want to claim it is specious, then I
> guess they mean that the equipment is much more important than the
> operators skills.
>
> All you have to do is pump money into the contest station, and assuming
> you pump the most money into it, you will win.
>
> Sounds ridiculous to me. Perhaps my with my setup, I should just give up.
> I don't stand a chance of even doing well, much less winning, eh?
>
> Or perhaps a person can hone their skills using a modest setup, then move
> on to a hot station and start doing very well.
>

> I wonder how many Ops have started at the top?
>
> I think I'll buy an Indy car. If I buy the mostest expensivist one, I'll
> surely win all the races, eh?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Your on the right track Mike. Work on your skills. Best way to do that is to operate at a, shall we say, less than competitive setup. Makes you appreciate the improvements as they come.

That is why the loss of the Novice license is such a disaster to Amateur Radio.

We used to have to dig em out of the noise, hand on rx and follow them up/down the band. Not much for filters, just your ears and brain. But that's another subject.

Dan/W4NTI

3549. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 23:24:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <NwHue.11642$eM6.1857@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110732

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1119520608.381811.76380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

I've had similar experiences on FD. Some folks think they're
doing well to make QSOs on SSB at a certain rate with a beam and 100 W
transceiver, with an op and a logger. Exhausted after an hour or two,
they wander over to the CW tent and find me working
them at 2, 3 or more times their rate, with a dipole, "old"
transceiver, and no logger.

The reactions when presented with a manual transmatch were
priceless, too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Yeah it is. I was at a FD a few years back and I fired up the "bug". You
should have seen their looks of amazement. Had em standing in line to ask
me questions afterwards.

Dan/W4nti

3550. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 23:29:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119569363.505377.132800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110733

Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.

Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already passed:

WA2SI: September 13, 2003 (first prediction)
KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
K2UNK: January 1, 2004 (first prediction)
K2ASP: March 15, 2004
AA2QA: April 1, 2004
N2EY: April 15, 2004
N3KIP: May 1, 2004 (first prediction)
KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
K3LT: September 15, 2004
WK3C: December 30, 2004
N4PGW: May 22, 2005
N8UZE: July 1, 2005
N3KIP: December 31, 2005 (second prediction)
K2UNK: July 1, 2006 (second prediction)
AB2RC: July 1, 2007
KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
W5TIT: June 1, 2008
WA2SI: Complete elimination not within the foreseeable future (second prediction)

Anybody want to add a prediction? If so, the "rolling rule" (thanks Dee, N8UZE) applies:

If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can add a new one.

At this point everyone before and including N4PGW can add a new prediction.

All predictions stay on the list.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3551. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 23:30:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <xCHue.11644$eM6.8636@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110734

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:gQBue.8040$hK3.2406@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote
>
>>
>> wow, first in the list! now you are going to make my head swell.
>>
>
> If you want to stay in that position, just don't snuggle up too close to
> my run QRG. <BSEG>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
Yeah....ole Hans likes the "slip and slide" technique. If he hears anyone getting too close for some reason his VFO tracks that way. Must be running a Kenwood.

Just kidding Hans.

Dan/W4NTI

3552. Re: It appears Saggytits Lee has his head up his ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-23 23:37:51 UTC
From: Club Meds <NoShit@noRoger>
Message-ID: <d9fh35$b2j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110735
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:1119551189.710323.161810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
Saggytits Lee <SLee@houston-hunksofshit.com> whined:

> On 23 Jun 2005 05:33:05 -0700, Stagger_lee_childraper
<stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote:

Wrong guess, as usual, dumbass. Keep seeing Roger, your owner,
everywhere, stupid.

> : My typo corrected.
>
> My apology to you is accepted?

No, you child raping son of a <Wiseman's filth snipped>

Listen to yourself, Wogie. Have you any idea of how immature you sound? It
is no wonder Dave Heil described you as a social misfit.

3553. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 00:08:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ifqdnZclLrWd0ibfRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 110736

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d9einq$12cs$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>

[snip]

>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>K&#65533;HB wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
>>
>> That's why it might be a good idea - next year - to run all ~100 W
>> setups.
>
> We did last year, and I liked it. Made almost as many points too.
>
> - mike KB3EIA -
>

Our club always runs all stations at 100W.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3554. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 00:34:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Aylue.8442$NX4.7474@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110737

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> Our club always runs all stations at 100W.
>

Power-mongers!

3555. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 00:36:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119573395.208439.111700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110738

another example that steve needs help, for his mental problems

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz
Saturday @ 4.00 pm CST

3556. Gearing up for Field Day & Promoting Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 00:44:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <RrednqQ05NgbyibfRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 110739

This year I decided to try something a little different. Early this week, I sent an email to the people in my office briefly describing Field Day and how one of the things we do is send messages. I then told them that they could help us with our message sending practice by giving me messages to send to friends and family. Well I am very pleased to report that I have 13 messages to send from non-hams to other non-hams. At least a few people will be exposed to amateur radio to a small degree.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3557. Re: Gearing up for Field Day & Promoting Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 00:46:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UJIue.8188$hK3.7979@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110740

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> At least a few people will be exposed to amateur radio to a small degree.

Good job!

73, de Hans, K0HB

3558. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 02:01:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119578483.146482.231120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110741

garigue wrote:
>
> In the world of toil and sin .....
> your head grows bare but not your chin ...
>
> Burma Shave

Ben met Anna
Made a hit
Neglected beard
Ben-Anna split

He used a match
To check gas tank
That's why they call him,
Skinless Frank

Said Juliet
To Romeo
If you won't shave
Go homeo..

Speed was high
Curve was sharp
White robe, halo
Wings and harp

Trains don't wander
All over the map
'Cause no one sits
On the engineer's lap

He had the ring
He had the flat
She felt his chin
And that was that

Stop at crossings
If you'd be spared
Trains don't whistle
Because they're scared

73 de Jim, N2EY

3559. Re: Gearing up for Field Day & Promoting Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 02:08:34 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <d9fpv2$4im$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 110742

"Dee Flint" (deeflint01@comcast.net) writes:
> This year I decided to try something a little different. Early this week, I
> sent an email to the people in my office briefly describing Field Day and
> how one of the things we do is send messages. I then told them that they
> could help us with our message sending practice by giving me messages to
> send to friends and family. Well I am very pleased to report that I have 13
> messages to send from non-hams to other non-hams. At least a few people
> will be exposed to amateur radio to a small degree.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
For a few years, one of the local university clubs would operate from
downtown. They'd setup outside the downtown building the club is
normally at, and just use a long piece of coax to the antennas on
the roof if I remember properly.

Perhaps not as much fun as setting up in the country, but it had the
advantage that any passerby could see what was going on, rather than
forcing people to go out of their way to see what was going on.

The former reaches new people, the latter only reaches those who
already know.

Michael VE2BVW

3560. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 03:12:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mSKue.8530$jX6.8490@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110743

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> I have said that a ham who doesn't have any
> Morse skills is not fully qualified as a radio
> amateur, and that is a fact.

No, it's not a fact; it's just your opinion.

In my opinion (and in the opinion of FCC) here are tens of thousands of fully qualified radio amateurs who have not demonstrated any Morse skills.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3561. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 03:28:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119583681.072612.194030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110744

> I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
>them.

Steve, those letter your generated through the blog...On the blog there
is a letter where people can send a copy of the post to a friend and
add a comment, someone was getting on there and sending the mail to you
with my email address, I have proved it through a experiment and the
header text proves it, It just that some dumbass at consolidated would
listen to some crybaby from Tennessee instead of a paying customer,
that's OK I'm make sure no one in this town and surround area
subscribes to their internet service. that letter you post on here was
sent via a different blog...but a moron crybaby like yourself would
understand that.
However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
than adequate justification to pull your service.

>You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
>It really is THAT simple.

No Stebie, what you don't understand is that I am not forcing you to
read my messages on this newsgroup, hell there are messages on here I
don't read, but your STUPID that you can't "grasp" the concept that you
don't have toi read them.

>You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
> It really is THAT simple.

No I lost my service because those Dumbasses at the phone company would
rather listen to a moron from tennessee then a customer paying $36 a
month for a service...but hey they ought to thank you for screwing them
out of that monthly cash flow...and I'll push it here that NO ONE will
go to their service...because they would rather listen to some asshole
then a customer.

> There are no "shows" on Amateur Radio.
>"Shows" are broadcasting.
> If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation, you're breaking the law.

Yet you not yet proved it, show me the law. A broadcast is a
transmission directed to the general public either direct or relayed.
an Information bulletin is "A message directed only to amateur
operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
amateur service."

The Subject matter I run is on radio, electronics, computers, and radio
law....so Crybaby Steve, you go cry to all the f**king represenatives

and the FCC you want my programs are legal until you or your little bitches at the FCC prove to me otherwise. that's the other difference between me and K1MAN....SO go CRY to the FCC you F**king asshole.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 Mhz.
Saturday's @ 4.00 PM CST

3562. k4yz blows another mental gasket it seems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 03:28:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119583704.398233.65260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110745

K4YZ wrote:
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "an_old_friend" >konstans@hotmail.com< - Find messages by this
> author
> Date: 22 Jun 2005 02:48:44 -0700
> Local: Wed,Jun 22 2005 5:48 am
> Subject: Re: The Pool
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse
>
> sorryJim but failing to back up an opinion doesn't mean they can't, may
> mean they simply choose not to bother

verbage edited

> Failing to "back up an opinion", Markie, means that either the
> author was wrong, didn't have the facts, shot their mouth off just to
> be heard or doesn't have the faith of conviction in his/her own beliefs
> to stand behind it.

you are simply wrong a common enough problem for you as I recall.
Indeed there are other reason

an example I think Ham Radio would better off if Morse encoded CW were
in fact banned. I have reasons to belive that but i know they will not
convince you or Jim, or any Procoder. so why waste the bandwidth my
failure does not mean I don't have them
>
> MY OPINION is that you need to move back to Illinois and marry
> Todd Daugherty, N9OGL. Maybe Lennie would move back (he's originally
> from IL) and officiate for you? You two are cut from the same
> cloth...Hmmmmmmmm...maybe a bit TOO close...Forget what I said...He's
> probably in your bloodline and that would be incest.

you can can hold any opinion you like but you are likely nuts thinking
I would marry someone i have never met

Besides it would be bigamy i guess you missed my mentioning my marrage
BTW I don't intend to answer wether I married a man or a women simply
because it is none of your busness

BTW it is also nuts of you to suggest I prefrom an act impossible in
the of Illinois

more verbage edited

> Steve, K4YZ

3563. Re: It appears Saggytits Lee has he head up his ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 03:41:46 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119584506.075058.305370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110746
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No wonder a TimeLORD always wins in the end. Mutant Ninja Cocksucker
Woger is a moron.

3564. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 03:52:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119585148.748030.153930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110747

an_old_friend wrote:
> ah Stevie Boy nice to see you haven't changed a bit.

> well in point of fact that statement is lie, but I am not shocked.
> Still taking anything not confroming to your particular POV as proof of
> illigality or falsehood. I noticed the Nursie refference made it up to
> RN yet last I recall you were an LPN or was it PN the lowest rungs on
> the nursing ladder

nothing about my admiting to lieing

> Gee...the style says Markie the Disgraced Pagan Liar, but too many
>multi-syllable words spelled (or pretty darn close) correctly.

> And who said I am an RN? I didn't.

Noone I thought you were said were studing for RN, and trying to
upgrade but I guess only ham need to upgradefrom the lowest levels of a
feild

> > I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> > them.

> Hmmm easy enough to call that a lie. In sending anything anywhere you
> do in some generate it, but then that would be simply doing, what you
> are so good

> You do not "in some generate it" by repeating something, Markie.
>You may retransmit it, copy it, report it, etc, but you do not
?"generate" it. Only the original author did that.

simply a lie you generated the emails that isfact but forgive me you
are not to confused with mere facts

> > However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
> > grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
> > than adequate justification to pull your service.

> > You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.

> > It really is THAT simple.

> and you who used to claim (and may still for all I know) to christain
> turned him in, surely not your kindest of acts, and a violation of the
> turn the other cheek maxim too

> What does being a Christian or conforming to Christian principles
>have to do with reporting a person breaking the law, Markie?

You accused him of breaking the TOS not a law no crime was comitted at least not according to the facts you have presented there may have been a civil tort comttied by Todd

> Is it your psotition that anyone calling 9-1-1 to report a crime
>in progress is un-Christian?

GIGO

> Are the viewers of "America's Most Wanted" un-Christian?

GIGO

> > > Just like those tapes of my program are fake....then again were
> > > talking about that information coming from a liar

> > Redirect your accusations of tapes, Todd. I don't ahve a horse in
> > that race.

> Nice spelling there from someone I do certainly remember as a member of
> the spelling Nazi's

> Compared to the absolute rape of the English language as
>perpetrated by you, Markie, occassional transposed letters ae
>nothing...at least they are the CORRECT letters...You all-too-often
>don't even get THAT right!

As is normal you don't bother to address the issue the issue that you are the spelling NAZI not I I am simply pointing YOUR Hipocrocy

> > "Shows" are broadcasting.

> not always but then one must not use words in different manner than you
> aprove of.

> It's not what >>I<< approve of, Markie.

> It's what the FCC approves

WRONG the is the internet the FCC lacks any authority to determine how we use langauge any suggestion to contary is plain idoitcy

You simply believe YOU are apointed by someone (yourself? or is it the FCC? or God prehaps ? or some rice crispies?) to decide wether someone is guilty of some wrong doing

of.
> sounds he mean he holds court on the freq, and time, but you
> realy did know that

> Know what?

> That he's illegally using Amateur Radio allocations to
>broadcast...?!?! Yeah, I know that...HE is the one with perception
>problems.

Really prove it? All that he daone to my knowledge is use language in a manner that does suggest he might be doing something wrong, nothing else

> > If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation,
> > you're breaking the law.

> and anything you say is right
> >From an old friend (of ham radio not of your's Stevie)

> been busybuilding a new home and such so i have missed you, and the
> rest

> Run out of Illiopolis, did they..?!?!

Just decidedto move I do that every few years getting longer and longer as I get older but i do move every now and then

> I (don't really) wonder why...You're such a GREAT guy to have
>around!

> And what did Illinois do to deserve such a wretched reputation as
>to be the birthplace of Lennie, Toiddie >>AND<< you...?!?!?

Born in Illinois? gee that would news to me and esp to my mother. I can also assure that Illinois suffers in way from my living liveing there awhile I payed enough property taxes
> at least you have droped the 73's from your posted vitriol

> You have gotten SLIGHTLY better at writing, although your
>sentences are still disjointed, improperly punctuated and leave the
>reader wondering if you fell asleep in the middle of a sentence when
>you stopped writing.

Your Point? sorry I missed it unless you are still to be enpowered to decide how people may write

> And BTW...you're NOT any "old friend" of Amateur Radio...I rate
>you only about 2/10ths of a point above Lennie Anderson, but still well
>below Brain Burke, who is trying desperately hard to get down there,
>although he slips occassionally and leaves me with hope for his soul.

I do thank you being ill thought of by you is certianly an endosement of my moral character

> I have no such delusions about you.

I am sure you have many delusion about me, I don't want to know they all are

Steve, K4YZ

3565. Re: The Pool new - new K2UNK guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 03:55:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119585326.221087.36840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110748

Bill where do come up with that date
(july 1 06) in case google edits all down

Just curious

3566. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 04:56:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119589007.433531.22230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110749

From: Leo on Thurs 23 Jun 2005 19:15

>On 23 Jun 2005 14:36:54 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>>Leo wrote:
>>> On 22 Jun 2005 03:58:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

<plus 8 more back-and-forths>

<gigantic snip>

>>You really underestimate me, Leo. If that's your real name.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Actually, Jim, I have probably overestimated you. Thought you were
>smarter than that - and hoped that you might see the reality of what
>is being done to you.
>
>But, Hey - have fun at the newsgroup beatings! Hope you get out of
>them what you need! Go get 'im, Jim! He's wrong!!!!!
>
>73, Leo (or am I? Hmmm........(paranoia setting in....aaaaaah!) :)

Shhhh. Don't tell him we are really all AI constructs living
in his computer!

ooops, here come da bells....WRRRONNNNNNNNG....WRRRONNNNNNG...
WRRRONNNNNNNGGG...WRRRONNNNNNGGGGG... [has a ring to it...]

The "beat" goes on. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

WRRRONNNNNGGGGGGGGGnnnnggnnnnggggnnnnggggg... [forgot that one]

3567. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 04:58:30 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1119589110.112016.216400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110800

K4YZ wrote:
> Bert Craig wrote:
>
> > I will go on the record with the prediction that Element 1 will NOT go
> > away completely as first thought. It will be dropped for Tech "+" and
> > General privies, but retained for the Extra.
>
> I am willing to bet that you've got the right combination, at
> least for now, Bert.
>
> I see a two class license structure on the next "restructuring"
> round. That's not to say I like it or support it, but I bet that's
> where we end up.

I'd vote for all of it myself. Problem is though that crystal-balling
what the FCC actually comes up with is like playing Russian roulette
with three rounds in the cylinder. Maybe four rounds . . . Like Shultz
would say "I doan bet on NOTTING!". In this game. Which is why I
haven't. And won't.

> > I think enough folks have
> > written to the FCC, as well as their elected officials, (Some of whom
> > have a say in the FCC's budget.) and expressed their wishes that we not
> > follow suit with the nations that have completely dropped the code from
> > their licensing process.
>
> A-yup.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

3568. Re: It appears Saggytits Lee has his head up his ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 05:01:06 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119589266.610701.59980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110801
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Secret Saggytits Lee wonderbra model
<Slee@houston-hunksoshit.com)whined:

> On 23 Jun 2005 11:26:29 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:

"Secwet" "Woger" - Saggytits Lee makes good spell!

> : My typo corrected.
>
> My apology to you will be accepted, woger.

Dream on, you cocksucking son of a bitch. You see Roger everywhere, and
like Lardass Lloyd Davies, Roger owns you, bitch.

You are rather pathetic, Saggytits.

> (You don't need to keep repeating it.)

I know Saggytits, your pathetic attempts always stand out, because you
are so inferior in every way.

Get kicked off of birch.net yet? I bet you are using them as your phone
company and your isp.

3569. Re: It appears Saggytits Lee has he head up his ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 05:02:51 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119589371.189099.274450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110802
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Poor Saggytits, he was so upset he answered twice! Too bad he lets his pet out, hope it drinks that anti-freeze that was set out for it on the driveway.

3570. Re: It appears Saggytits Lee has his head up his ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 05:06:05 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119589565.671802.209740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110803
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Club Tits wrote:

>Wiseman's filth snipped>
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..Dumbass sees Roger everywhere!
Poor Cmd Butt Cornholer, who used to post as "JJ", he is so
owned...still on birch.net, or have they shitcanned your account yet?

> Lis (IKYABWAI)

Listen to yourself, it's no wonder you are butt-buddies with High-el,
he's an asshole just like you are.

3571. Re: It appears Saggytits Lee has he head up his ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 05:10:47 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119589847.357944.259900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110804
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who's Your Daddy, BITCH? wrote:
> No wonder

No wonder you are such a fucking moron. You think Lardass Davies is a
"timelord." Too bad you are just a pedophile, eh, birch net bitch?

dialup102-241.birch.net (216.212.102.241)

216.212.0.0 - 216.212.191.255
Birch Telecom, Inc.
2020 Baltimore Avenue
Kansas City, MO
US

SWIP Report Submissions
SWIPReports@birch.com
+1-866-844-4100

3572. Re: "Typos", TimeLARD???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 05:15:51 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119590151.592273.327540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110805
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ErKdnXYvoop6QCXfRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <melikelittleboys@that.ten> fucks up
again:
>
> lardass_lloy...@yahoo.com AKA two-test fatboy wogie wrote:

"two-test" "wogie" - Butt Cornholer likes to show off his G.E.D.
spelling skills.

Too bad he's so fucking clueless, he thinks everybody is Roger.

> Two-test wogie - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again, but what else can be expected out of
somebody who is so poor at everything, even cw. If he was so proficient
in cw as he claims, he'd have passed the 20 wpm Extra Class code test
while it was still in effect, but he has to settle for that obsolete
Advanced.
--
Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

3573. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 05:19:17 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119590356.971983.177500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110806

In article <5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <meloveslittleboys@that.ten> wrote:
>
> Poor 2test wogie slobbered over his keyboard and attempted to write.

"2test" "wogie" - Butt Cornholer shows off why he flunked out of school
again!

> > Poor Butt Cornholer, he hides behind his own ratty,
> > sperm drenched (from where he masturbates watching the
> > elementary school kids walk by his trailer) curtains.
> >
> >
>
> Is that you peaking out

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA...Butt Cornholer makes good spell. Is Roger Average
peaking or RMS peaking? You probably don't know the difference.

Poor Butt Cornholer, his stupidity is always "peeking" from his
curtains of hiding from everybody like the scared little pussy he is in
real life.

--
Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
<5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

3574. Re: 2610852105431874

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 05:36:54 UTC
From: TheLoneBigFish@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1119591414.081491.243930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

spy numbers?

3575. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 06:52:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119595971.210624.235750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110808

N9OGL wrote:
> another example that steve needs help, for his mental problems

This from a guy who thinks he's a junior broadcaster.

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

Steve, K4YZ

3576. Re: k4yz blows another mental gasket it seems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 07:09:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119596990.440566.211950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110809

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: "an_old_friend" >konstans@hotmail.com< - Find messages by this
> > author
> > Date: 22 Jun 2005 02:48:44 -0700
> > Local: Wed,Jun 22 2005 5:48 am
> > Subject: Re: The Pool
> > Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> > original | Report Abuse
> >
> > sorryJim but failing to back up an opinion doesn't mean they can't, may
> > mean they simply choose not to bother
>
> verbage edited

In other words, you refuse to answer.

> > Failing to "back up an opinion", Markie, means that either the
> > author was wrong, didn't have the facts, shot their mouth off just to
> > be heard or doesn't have the faith of conviction in his/her own beliefs
> > to stand behind it.
>
> you are simply wrong a common enough problem for you as I recall.
> Indeed there are other reason

Indeed WHAT "other reason..." ?!?!

> an example I think Ham Radio would better off if Morse encoded CW were
> in fact banned. I have reasons to belive that but i know they will not
> convince you or Jim, or any Procoder. so why waste the bandwidth my
> failure does not mean I don't have them

You'd "ban" the simpliest, easiest to emit mode simply because
you're too lazy to do it...

That's the epitome of selfish!

> > MY OPINION is that you need to move back to Illinois and marry
> > Todd Daugherty, N9OGL. Maybe Lennie would move back (he's originally
> > from IL) and officiate for you? You two are cut from the same
> > cloth...Hmmmmmmmm...maybe a bit TOO close...Forget what I said...He's
> > probably in your bloodline and that would be incest.
>
> you can can hold any opinion you like but you are likely nuts thinking
> I would marry someone i have never met

Considering some of the TRULY nutsy things you've said and done
before, Markie, NOTHING involving you strikes me as "nuts".

> Besides it would be bigamy i guess you missed my mentioning my marrage

No...You "mentioned" you were building a house.

> BTW I don't intend to answer wether I married a man or a women simply
> because it is none of your busness

As if anyone here would care...?!?!

BBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! !

> BTW it is also nuts of you to suggest I prefrom an act impossible in
> the of Illinois

The only things I consider as "impossible" are (1) Lennie ever
doing what he said he would and (2) you ever gaining proficiency in the
English language above the second grade level.

Steve, K4YZ

3577. Todd Blames Everyone Except Person Truly Responsible For His Predicament

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 07:36:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119598562.384288.325000@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110810

N9OGL wrote:
> > I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> >them.
>
> Steve, those letter your generated through the blog...On the blog there
> is a letter where people can send a copy of the post to a friend and
> add a comment...(SNIP)

Periods, Todd...Use periods.

> (UNSNIP)...someone was getting on there and sending the mail to you
> with my email address, I have proved it through a experiment and the
> header text proves it, It just that some dumb## at consolidated would
> listen to some crybaby from Tennessee instead of a paying customer...(SNIP)

And for the billionth time, Todd...

Those letters themselves wouldn't have mattered.

There was enough LEGITIMATE stuff to "can" you.

I reported it. Consolidated agreed.

And IF YOU HAD LISTENED TO ME in the first place, no one would have
been able to take advantage of the blog like that.

> (UNSNIP)...that's OK I'm make sure no one in this town and surround area
> subscribes to their internet service...(SNIP)

Yeah..uh huh...Right...

Chances are that if people find out WHY you were canned, they'll
be MORE likely to join Consolidated just becasue they know that
Consolidated is concerned with keeping trash off the Internet, even if
it is just one piece at a time.

> (UNSNIP)...that letter you post on here was
> sent via a different blog...but a moron crybaby like yourself would
> understand that.

I understand it just fine.

What YOU continue to ignore is that those letters weren't the only
reason you got canned.

>> However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
>> grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more

>> than adequate justification to pull your service.
>>
>> >You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
>>It really is THAT simple.
>
> No Stebie, what you don't understand is that I am not forcing you to
> read my messages on this newsgroup, hell there are messages on here I
> don't read, but your STUPID that you can't "grasp" the concept that you
> don't have toi read them.

No, you're not.

But then this is a PUBLIC forum and a certain amout of decorum is
expected (or should be) "MF", "AH", "CS" and other such adjectives
are NOT language you typically hear walking down the street or walking
through Wal-Mart, and I don't think it's appropriate here.

Then when you DIRECTED it at me, well, that cinched it.

> >You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
> > It really is THAT simple.
>
> No I lost my service because those Dumb###es at the phone company would
> rather listen to a moron from tennessee then a customer paying $36 a
> month for a service...(SNIP)

Seems to me the "moron" was the customer who thought that
$36/month was a license to be obnoxious, mistruthful and idiotic with.

> (UNSNIP)...but hey they ought to thank you for screwing them
> out of that monthly cash flow...and I'll push it here that NO ONE will
> go to their service...because they would rather listen to some @@@hole
> then a customer.

In this case the @@@hole WAS the customer.

So far, you've blamed...

me for being a crybaby...

Consolidated for actually enforcing their own regulations and
threats...

Buzz Corey for, well, who knows why...

The ONLY person you've NOT blamed is the person responsible for
your predicament...

YOU!

> > There are no "shows" on Amateur Radio.
> >"Shows" are broadcasting.
> > If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation, you're breaking the law.
>
> Yet you not yet proved it, show me the law. A broadcast is a

> transmission directed to the general public either direct or relayed.

There a re no "shows" on Amateur Radio.

A "show" is a program prepared for broadcast for the general
public.

> an Information bulletin is "A message directed only to amateur
> operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
> amateur service."

Nice quote.

> The Subject matter I run is on radio, electronics, computers, and radio
> law....so Crybaby Steve, you go cry to all the f**king represenatives
> and the FCC you want my programs are legal until you or your little
> #####es at the FCC prove to me otherwise. that's the other difference
> between me and K1MAN....SO go CRY to the FCC you F**king ###hole.

If you'd "x" out the "bitches" and the "###hole", you would really
impessed me...

One step at a time, though, I guess.

One last question, Todd...

Do you think you're any more likely to get your broadband service
back by continuing to use profanity and call the people with their
fingers on the service keys names in a public forum...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3578. Re: k4yz blows another mental gasket it seems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 07:44:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119599068.419935.263090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110811

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: "an_old_friend" >konst...@hotmail.com< - Find messages by this
> > author
> > Date: 22 Jun 2005 02:48:44 -0700
> > Local: Wed,Jun 22 2005 5:48 am
> > Subject: Re: The Pool
> > Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> > original | Report Abuse

> > sorryJim but failing to back up an opinion doesn't mean they can't, may
> > mean they simply choose not to bother

> verbage edited

) In other words, you refuse to answer.

No I was just cuting out some of your drivel but then I adknowledge
when I make cuts and don't disport the context of a persons remark,
unlike yourself

> > Failing to "back up an opinion", Markie, means that either the
> > author was wrong, didn't have the facts, shot their mouth off just to
> > be heard or doesn't have the faith of conviction in his/her own beliefs
> > to stand behind it.

> you are simply wrong a common enough problem for you as I recall.
> Indeed there are other reason

) Indeed WHAT "

as I go to say but you missed the point try reading it if you can

other reason..." ?!?!
> an example I think Ham Radio would better off if Morse encoded CW were
> in fact banned. I have reasons to belive that but i know they will not
> convince you or Jim, or any Procoder. so why waste the bandwidth my
> failure does not mean I don't have them

) You'd "ban" the simpliest, easiest to emit mode simply because
)you're too lazy to do it...

Lier I said and have said many times I think wewould better off banning
the mode, never said I would do if I had the power

) That's the epitome of selfish!

No you are the epitome of paranoid

> > MY OPINION is that you need to move back to Illinois and marry
> > Todd Daugherty, N9OGL. Maybe Lennie would move back (he's originally
> > from IL) and officiate for you? You two are cut from the same
> > cloth...Hmmmmmmmm...maybe a bit TOO close...Forget what I said...He's
> > probably in your bloodline and that would be incest.

> you can can hold any opinion you like but you are likely nuts thinking
> I would marry someone i have never met

) Considering some of the TRULY nutsy things you've said and done
)before, Markie, NOTHING involving you strikes me as "nuts".

I guess then you think I am quite sane or are truely fucked up in the
head, most likely the later

> Besides it would be bigamy i guess you missed my mentioning my marrage

No...You "mentioned" you were building a house.

Lier i mentioned it long ago in your stalkng phase Stevie boy

> BTW I don't intend to answer wether I married a man or a women simply
> because it is none of your busness

) As if anyone here would care...?!?!

You obvisly care a great deal about what I do with my genital and who I
do that with

)BBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAH)AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH-
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

! ! ! ! ! ! !

> BTW it is also nuts of you to suggest I prefrom an act impossible in
> the of Illinois

) The only things I consider as "impossible" are (1) Lennie ever
)doing what he said he would and (2) you ever gaining proficiency in
the
)English language above the second grade level.

In not adknowleding the realities of the law you prove yourself a
Sociiopath meeting the textbook meaning it is impossible for 2 guy to
marry in Illinois simple fact

Further I am certian you are liing in saying those are the only things
that are impossible that or plain mentaly ill. Or both of course. Which
is it?

)Steve, K4YZ

3579. Re: Todd Blames Everyone Except Person Truly Responsible For His Predicament

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 07:56:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119599774.734382.14700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110812

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > > I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> > >them.
> >
> > Steve, those letter your generated through the blog...On the blog there
> > is a letter where people can send a copy of the post to a friend and
> > add a comment...(SNIP)
>
> Periods, Todd...Use periods.

More Gramar NAZI again Steve?
>
> > (UNSNIP)...someone was getting on there and sending the mail to you
> > with my email address, I have proved it through a experiment and the
> > header text proves it, It just that some dumb## at consolidated would
> > listen to some crybaby from Tennessee instead of a paying customer...(SNIP)
>
> And for the billionth time, Todd...

A lie clearly Steve
>
> Those letters themselves wouldn't have mattered.

How do you know? Possible but how do you know?
>
> There was enough LEGITIMATE stuff to "can" you.
>
> I reported it. Consolidated agreed.
>
> And IF YOU HAD LISTENED TO ME in the first place, no one would have
> been able to take advantage of the blog like that.

Realy? can you prove that? I doubt it

>
> > (UNSNIP)...that's OK I'm make sure no one in this town and surround area
> > subscribes to their internet service...(SNIP)
>
> Yeah..uh huh...Right...
>
> Chances are that if people find out WHY you were canned, they'll
> be MORE likely to join Consolidated just becasue they know that
> Consolidated is concerned with keeping trash off the Internet, even if

> it is just one piece at a time.
>
> > (UNSNIP)...that letter you post on here was
> > sent via a different blog...but a moron crybaby like yourself would
> > understand that.
>
> I understand it just fine.
>
> What YOU continue to ignore is that those letters weren't the only
> reason you got canned.
>
> >> However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
> >> grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
> >> than adequate justification to pull your service.
> >>
> >> >You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
> >>It really is THAT simple.
> >
> > No Stebie, what you don't understand is that I am not forcing you to
> > read my messages on this newsgroup, hell there are messages on here I
> > don't read, but your STUPID that you can't "grasp" the concept that you
> > don't have toi read them.
>
> No, you're not.
>
> But then this is a PUBLIC forum and a certain amout of decorum is
> expected (or should be) "MF", "AH", "CS" and other such adjectives
> are NOT language you typically hear walking down the street or walking
> through Wal-Mart, and I don't think it's appropriate here.

Thinking not realy your strong suit Stevie but nice try, and BTW on the
language well your knowledge of such is obviously limited, but then you
never felt you had to grant other decorum that you were free to be
insulting and condeseding to anyone you wished

>
> Then when you DIRECTED it at me, well, that cinched it.

proving if pove was needed that you are a thin skined moron
>
> > >You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
> > > It really is THAT simple.
> >
> > No I lost my service because those Dumb###es at the phone company would
> > rather listen to a moron from tennessee then a customer paying $36 a
> > month for a service...(SNIP)
>
> Seems to me the "moron" was the customer who thought that
> $36/month was a license to be obnoxious, mistruthful and idiotic with.
>
> > (UNSNIP)...but hey they ought to thank you for screwing them

> > out of that monthly cash flow...and I'll push it here that NO ONE will
> > go to their service...because they would rather listen to some @@@hole
> > then a customer.
>
> In this case the @@@hole WAS the customer.
>
> So far, you've blamed...
>
> me for being a crybaby...
>
> Consolidated for actually enforcing their own regulations and
> threats...
>
> Buzz Corey for, well, who knows why...
>
> The ONLY person you've NOT blamed is the person responsible for
> your predicament...
>
> YOU!
>
> > > There are no "shows" on Amateur Radio.
> > >"Shows" are broadcasting.
> > > If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation, you're breaking the law.
> >
> > Yet you not yet proved it, show me the law. A broadcast is a
> > transmission directed to the general public either direct or relayed.
>
> There a re no "shows" on Amateur Radio.
>
> A "show" is a program prepared for broadcast for the general
> public.
>
> > an Information bulletin is "A message directed only to amateur
> > operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
> > amateur service."
>
> Nice quote.
>
> > The Subject matter I run is on radio, electronics, computers, and radio
> > law....so Crybaby Steve, you go cry to all the f**king represenatives
> > and the FCC you want my programs are legal until you or your little
> > ######es at the FCC prove to me otherwise. that's the other difference
> > between me and K1MAN....SO go CRY to the FCC you F**king ###hole.
>
> If you'd "x" out the "bitches" and the "###hole", you would really
> impessed me...

Can't prove but knwoing you I am certian you are lieing Nothing that he
said would impress you you have ade that clear

>
> One step at a time, though, I guess.
>
> One last question, Todd...
>
> Do you think you're any more likely to get your broadband service
> back by continuing to use profanity and call the people with their
> fingers on the service keys names in a public forum...?!?!

Do you think he trying to get his braodband back from these folks?

>
> Steve, K4YZ

3580. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 08:00:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119600006.202234.139120@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110813

ammussingly enough I expect for once Stevie that you are right on on
the result

K4YZ wrote:
> Bert Craig wrote:
>
> > I will go on the record with the prediction that Element 1 will NOT go
> > away completely as first thought. It will be dropped for Tech "+" and
> > General privies, but retained for the Extra.
>
> I am willing to bet that you've got the right combination, at
> least for now, Bert.
>
> I see a two class license structure on the next "restructuring"
> round. That's not to say I like it or support it, but I bet that's
> where we end up.
>
> > I think enough folks have
> > written to the FCC, as well as their elected officials, (Some of whom
> > have a say in the FCC's budget.) and expressed their wishes that we not
> > follow suit with the nations that have completely dropped the code from
> > their licensing process.

OTH I suspect it more some of the last influence of the ARRL at the FCC
that will carry the day
>
> A-yup.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3581. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 09:48:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119606515.794243.16760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110815

an_old_friend wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > ah Stevie Boy nice to see you haven't changed a bit.
>
> > well in point of fact that statement is lie, but I am not shocked.
> > Still taking anything not confroming to your particular POV as proof of
> > illigality or falsehood. I noticed the Nursie refference made it up to
> > RN yet last I recall you were an LPN or was it PN the lowest rungs on
> > the nursing ladder
>
> nothing about my admiting to lieing

Eight years, Markie, and you still can't get it right.

It's "LYING".

> > Gee...the style says Markie the Disgraced Pagan Liar, but too many
> >multi-syllable words spelled (or pretty darn close) correctly.
>
> > And who said I am an RN? I didn't.
>
> Noone I thought you were said were studing for RN...(SNIP)

That's pretty close, Markie. There are quite a few RN's who were
gald for the service.

> (UNSNIP)...and trying to
> upgrade but I guess only ham need to upgradefrom the lowest levels of a
> feild

Huh? What?

> > > I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> > > them.
>
> > Hmmm easy enough to call that a lie. In sending anything anywhere you
> > do in some generate it, but then that would be simply doing, what you
> > are so good

I take it that a lack of response means "No Comment"...

> > You do not "in some generate it" by repeating something, Markie.
> >You may retransmit it, copy it, report it, etc, but you do not
> ?"generate" it. Only the original author did that.
>
> simply a lie you generated the emails that isfact but forgive me you
> are not to confused with mere facts

No, facts do not confuse me.

Yes, your illiterate drivvel does.

I did not generate (author) the e mails in question. Even Todd
acknowledges that.

> > > However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
> > > grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
> > > than adequate justification to pull your service.
>
> > > You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
>
> > > It really is THAT simple.
>
>
> > and you who used to claim (and may still for all I know) to christain
> > turned him in, surely not your kindest of acts, and a violation of the
> > turn the other cheek maxim too

And remember "Give Unto Ceasar That Which Is Ceasers, And Give
Unto God That Which Is Gods"

> > What does being a Christian or conforming to Christian principles
> >have to do with reporting a person breaking the law, Markie?
>
> You accused him of breaking the TOS not a law no crime was comitted at
> least not according to the facts you have presented there may have been
> a civil tort comttied by Todd

But I allege he DID commit a crime, Markie.

He facilitated the promulgation of those letters by providing the
resources by which they could be generated and he incited the behaviour
that resulted in it.

Besides...Why write "TOS" rules if you're not going to expect
people to abide by them or provide protection for others with them?

> > Is it your psotition that anyone calling 9-1-1 to report a crime
> >in progress is un-Christian?
>
> GIGO

You didn't answer my question, Markie. It only requires a "yes"
or "no"...Is it YOUR position that people who call 9-1-1 to report a
crime in progress are un-Christian?

> > Are the viewers of "America's Most Wanted" un-Christian?
>
> GIGO

You again refuse to answer a yes/no question.

Is it your position that people who view "America's Most Wanted"
are un-Christian?

> > > > Just like those tapes of my program are fake....then again were
> > > > talking about that information coming from a liar
>
> > > Redirect your accusations of tapes, Todd. I don't ahve a horse in
> > > that race.
>
> > Nice spelling there from someone I do certainly remember as a member of
> > the spelling Nazi's
>
> > Compared to the absolute rape of the English language as
> >perpetrated by you, Markie, occassional transposed letters ae
> >nothing...at least they are the CORRECT letters...You all-too-often
> >don't even get THAT right!
>
> As is normal you don't bother to address the issue the issue that you
> are the spelling NAZI not I I am simply pointing YOUR Hipocrocy

I'm not a "spelling NAZI", Markie.

I simply am aghast that a person can graduate high school in the
United States with the absolutely horrific English skills you have.

And what is a "Hipocrocy"...?!?!

> > > "Shows" are broadcasting.
>
> > not always but then one must not use words in different manner than you
> > aprove of.
>
> > It's not what >>I<< approve of, Markie.
>
> > It's what the FCC approves
>
> WRONG the is the internet the FCC lacks any authority to determine how
> we use langauge any suggestion to contary is plain idoitcy

Markie, you REALLY owe it to yourself to be sure that you KNOW
what you're talking about before you comment on it.

We are not discussing Internet content. We're discussing Todd
illegal broadcasting on the 20 meter band.

> You simply believe YOU are apointed by someone (yourself? or is it the
> FCC? or God prehaps ? or some rice crispies?) to decide wether someone
> is guilty of some wrong doing

I didn't say he's "guilty" of anything, Markie. It's for a judge
or jury to decide. However Todd's starting to rack-up points towards a
"K1MAN Look-Alike" award.

> of.
> > sounds he mean he holds court on the freq, and time, but you
> > realy did know that
>
>
> > Know what?
>
> > That he's illegally using Amateur Radio allocations to
> >broadcast...?!?! Yeah, I know that...HE is the one with perception
> >problems.
>
> Really prove it? All that he daone to my knowledge is use language in a
> manner that does suggest he might be doing something wrong, nothing
> else

See what happens when you jump into the middle of an on-going rant
without first taking the time to see who's ranting about what, Markie?

Todd's already admitted to illegal broadcasting on TV and FM
frequencies, and has admitted to operating an aircraft contrary to
Federal Aviation rules and regulations.

Now he's come to RRAP, obstensibly to get approval for or to
advertise the presence of his "shows".

The problem is that NOone cares about his broadcasts. He's simply
using them as a sham for his self-promoting diatribes. Nothing more.

> > > If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation,
> > > you're breaking the law.
>
> > and anything you say is right
> > >From an old friend (of ham radio not of your's Stevie)
>
> > been busybuilding a new home and such so i have missed you, and the
> > rest
>
> > Run out of Illiopolis, did they..?!?!
>
> Just decidedto move I do that every few years getting longer and longer
> as I get older but i do move every now and then

Unfortunatley not far enough away vis-a-vis RRAP.

> > I (don't really) wonder why...You're such a GREAT guy to have
> >around!
>
> > And what did Illinois do to deserve such a wretched reputation as
> >to be the birthplace of Lennie, Toiddie >>AND<< you...?!?!?
>
> Born in Illinois? gee that would news to me and esp to my mother. I can
> also assure that Illinois suffers in way from my living liveing there
> awhile I payed enough property taxes

You're right. I am sure they suffered plenty.

> > at least you have droped the 73's from your posted vitriol
>
> > You have gotten SLIGHTLY better at writing, although your
> >sentences are still disjointed, improperly punctuated and leave the
> >reader wondering if you fell asleep in the middle of a sentence when
> >you stopped writing.
>
> Your Point? sorry I missed it unless you are still to be enpowered to
> decide how people may write

I am not "eMpowered" to do anything but observe and comment.

Your "writing" is a travesty. It's a violet rape of the English
language, to say the least. Todd's a close second.

> > And BTW...you're NOT any "old friend" of Amateur Radio...I rate
> >you only about 2/10ths of a point above Lennie Anderson, but still well
> >below Brain Burke, who is trying desperately hard to get down there,
> >although he slips occassionally and leaves me with hope for his soul.
>
> I do thank you being ill thought of by you is certianly an endosement
> of my moral character

Only you would write a sentence which actually acknowledges and
agrees with my assessment of your "moral character", Markie.

> > I have no such delusions about you.
>
> I am sure you have many delusion about me, I don't want to know they
> all are

I still have no delusions about you, Markie, unless you include my
hopes that you'll seek help for your personal issues and/or buy a
"Hooked On Phonics" program.

Steve, K4YZ

3582. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 09:51:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119606687.838247.84710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110816

an_old_friend wrote:
> ammussingly enough I expect for once Stevie that you are right on on
> the result

Top posting, Markie?

Your written gulash is ahrd enough to follow, but now you top post
too?

> OTH...(SNIP)

You meant "OTOH", perhaps? OTH in radio terms is "Over The
Horizon".

> I suspect it more some of the last influence of the ARRL at the FCC
> that will carry the day

What? Huh?

Steve, K4YZ

3583. Re: Gearing up for Field Day & Promoting Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 10:39:08 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1119609548.177467.114770@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110817

Great idea, nice work Dee.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3584. Re: cmsg cancel <- So long, Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 11:32:37 UTC
From: free@america.com
Message-ID: <42c0ee92.95412345@news.copper.net>
Source record: 110814
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

>Looks like Google has nuked Woger's "lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com" account and
>is now issuing cancels on recent messages from there.
>
>Odd isn't it? Woger *never* loses accounts; *never*.
>
><chuckle>

Woger's big head is almost worth skiing on.

Reminds me of some locals here lately.

LittleBird
>
>
>
>
>====================
>"Guess again shit-for-brains. Maybe I got back on Stratuswave through
>somebody else signing up and just using their account on purpose, just
>to piss you fuckheads and Stratuswave off. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!" --
>AB8MQ in message-id <737588ee.0405211543.6e6d2ebf@posting.google.com>
>
>====================
>"Wrong again, FATASS, I told Pammy to go fuck herself after she called
>here, all upset. I guyess it was because she was behind giving the
>black system admin she has a blowjob in the server room and giving one
>to her dog." -- AB8MQ in message-id
><737589ee.0405220528.371d3f66@posting.google.com>
>
>====================
>"Roger hasn't recieved 'repeat visits with the chief of police'. You
>ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass." -- Secwet
>Woger in message-id <b14c37cf833370abc4122a241ccf54c4@melontraffickers.com>
>
>====================
>In message <460958efdfed53d39cf6e878fe497940@userbeam.net>, Secwet
>Woger bragged about his Extra Class examination fame with the claim:
>"If poor chronic alcoholic and drug abuser Gopher face wouldn't drink
>so much because he is womanless, he could spell 'retested', 'dumb' and
>'Rogie' and could actually pass an Extra license exam."

(Use of lethal force authorized for Gwinnett PD as means of restraint.)

3585. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 11:37:06 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1119613026.649095.203670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110818

Elitism, oh gosh no... just a choice. I chose what privies I wanted and set about earning them. Same option is open to anyone, nothing elite about that.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3586. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 11:44:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119613463.220353.284120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110819

Bert Craig wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1119459597.610837.109670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I will be running N2EY/2 in NNJ. 1B-1 Battery, QRP with K2
> > #2304
> >
> > Anybody else?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I'll be running the K1 on battery pwr at a local beach in Long
> Island. BCNU on 20.

Hope so! But NNJ to NLI may be too close for 20 meters. Will see
how the trap dipole at 70 feet works, though.
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782

73 de Jim, N2EY
FISTS #4360

3587. A Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 11:47:38 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119613658.692752.248480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110820

June 16, 2005

"I send greetings to those celebrating the annual Field Day for Amateur Radio, hosted by the American Radio Relay League.

"Across our country, radio plays a vital role in relaying important information to the public and emergency service personnel in times of need.

By providing emergency communications at the Federal, State, and local level, licensed amateur radio operators help first responders and law enforcement officials save lives and make our country safer. Your efforts help ensure the right assistance gets to the right people at the right time.

"I appreciate all ham operators who give their time and energy to help make our citizens more secure. Your good work reflects the spirit of America and contributes to a culture of responsibility and citizenship that strengthens our Nation.

"Laura and I send our best wishes."

(signed)

George Bush

3588. Re: Gearing up for Field Day & Promoting Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 13:10:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119618635.620765.175500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110821

Dee Flint wrote:
> This year I decided to try something a little different. Early this week, I
> sent an email to the people in my office briefly describing Field Day and
> how one of the things we do is send messages. I then told them that they
> could help us with our message sending practice by giving me messages to
> send to friends and family. Well I am very pleased to report that I have 13
> messages to send from non-hams to other non-hams. At least a few people
> will be exposed to amateur radio to a small degree.

Whoa! Way to go...that's not only thinking "outside the box", but
gift wrapping it with a pretty bow!

Next time, same request, but get them to bring the message to the
FD site!

73/88

Steve, K4YZ

3589. Re: k4yz blows another mental gasket it seems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 13:46:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119620769.687689.119040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110822

an_old_friend wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > From: "an_old_friend" >konst...@hotmail.com< - Find messages by this
> > > author
> > > Date: 22 Jun 2005 02:48:44 -0700
> > > Local: Wed,Jun 22 2005 5:48 am
> > > Subject: Re: The Pool
> > > Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> > > original | Report Abuse
> >
> > > sorryJim but failing to back up an opinion doesn't mean they can't, may
> > > mean they simply choose not to bother
> >
> >
> > verbage edited
>) In other words, you refuse to answer.
>
> No I was just cuting out some of your drivel but then I adknowledge
> when I make cuts and don't disport the context of a persons remark,
> unlike yourself

There was no "drivvel" to cut.

> > > Failing to "back up an opinion", Markie, means that either the
> > > author was wrong, didn't have the facts, shot their mouth off just to
> > > be heard or doesn't have the faith of conviction in his/her own beliefs
> > > to stand behind it.
> >
> > you are simply wrong a common enough problem for you as I recall.
> > Indeed there are other reason

I was not wrong.

There are no reasons other than those cited.

>) Indeed WHAT "
>
> as I go to say but you missed the point try reading it if you can

Reading YOUR drivvle is the problem, Markie. You're functiaonlly
illiterate and hide behind as many multisyllable words as you can,
whether you used them correctly or not.

> other reason..." ?!?!
> > an example I think Ham Radio would better off if Morse encoded CW were
> > in fact banned. I have reasons to belive that but i know they will not
> > convince you or Jim, or any Procoder. so why waste the bandwidth my
> > failure does not mean I don't have them
>
>) You'd "ban" the simpliest, easiest to emit mode simply because
>)you're too lazy to do it...
>
> Lier

Markie...you and I went throught he drill with you and "lier"
before...Your change of residence does nothing to change that.

> I said and have said many times I think wewould better off banning
> the mode, never said I would do if I had the power

Sure you have.

On occassions too numerous to count.

>) That's the epitome of selfish!
>
> No you are the epitome of paranoid

I'm not paranoid at all. But you are still selfish. Your
suggestions, ideas and other "recommendations" for the Amateur Radio
service hinge on nothing more than your inadequacies in it yourself.

That's not justification for ANY change in the Amateur Radio
Service.

> > > MY OPINION is that you need to move back to Illinois and marry
> > > Todd Daugherty, N9OGL. Maybe Lennie would move back (he's originally
> > > from IL) and officiate for you? You two are cut from the same
> > > cloth...Hmmmmmmmm...maybe a bit TOO close...Forget what I said...He's
> > > probably in your bloodline and that would be incest.
>
> > you can can hold any opinion you like but you are likely nuts thinking
> > I would marry someone i have never met

>) Considering some of the TRULY nutsy things you've said and done
>)before, Markie, NOTHING involving you strikes me as "nuts".
>
> I guess then you think I am quite sane or are truely ####ed up in the
> head, most likely the later

No, I consider you to be functionally imparied. Your thought
processes are irrational and scattered. You are unable to organize
your thought in a constructive manner.

In short, your're a quite confused and otherwise situationally
inappropriate pesonality.

> > Besides it would be bigamy i guess you missed my mentioning my marrage

> No...You "mentioned" you were building a house.
>
> Lier i mentioned it long ago in your stalkng phase Stevie boy

Here we go with the "lier" thing again, Markie, and it's going to wind up with the same result.

> > BTW I don't intend to answer wether I married a man or a women simply
> > because it is none of your busness
>
>
>) As if anyone here would care...?!?!
>
> You obvisly care a great deal about what I do with my genital and who I
> do that with

No.

YOU insist on letting us know what your with it is.
>
>)BBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAH)AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> > BTW it is also nuts of you to suggest I prefrom an act impossible in
> > the of Illinois
>
>) The only things I consider as "impossible" are (1) Lennie ever
>)doing what he said he would and (2) you ever gaining proficiency in
> the
>)English language above the second grade level.
>
> In not adknowleding the realities of the law you prove yourself a
> Sociiopath meeting the textbook meaning it is impossible for 2 guy to
> marry in Illinois simple fact

First of all, it's "sociopath".

Secondly, I didn't say anything about being married "legally"...Gays have been getting "married" for years, except it's called a "civil union". I am sure the two of you could work out the details.

> Further I am certian you are liing in saying those are the only things
> that are impossible that or plain mentaly ill. Or both of course. Which
> is it?

First of all, I said what things >>I<< consider (as in an opinion) to be impossible. Persoanlly, I believe in transwarp space drive, telemolecular transportation, and that Michael Jackson's skin condition really is vitilago (OK...two out of three...) None of those things presently exisit, however they shall some day,

I also KNOW that Mark Morgan, formerly of Illiopolis, IL and late of Chassell, MI, is a pathological liar who disgraces the honor of Veterans living and deceased, and for whom strength of character and honesty are nothng but words in a dictionary.

Does Mr Markie know what a liar you are?

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

3590. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 14:19:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <rM6dnf5l18eqvCHfRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110823

Stagger Lee, Childraper AKA 2test fatboy wogie wrote:
> In article <5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>Poor 2test wogie slobbered over his keyboard and attempted to write.
>
>
> "2test" "wogie" - Butt Cornholer shows off why he flunked out of school
> again!

Hey 2test, I heard you got a job cleaning out porta-potties. At least
after cleaning out a few you smell better than when you started.

3591. Re: 5.050 (k1man??)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 15:06:37 UTC
From: Ivan the Terrible <wigwanger500ford@nosp.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <923f9$42bc217f$d1cc4168$31941@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 110824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
news:OCTue.10637$pa3.8461@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> I should have explained. What I was hearing sounded like a skipping cd
> repeating over and over for about 5 minutes. I guess that's what it
could
> have been. The radio host must have been asleep...

Perhaps it was K1MAN buying airtime on WWRB to vent his redundant
IARN bull-shit, and in the process his cheap chinese Radio Shack
CD player got stuck in a loop or the digital lamp timer started re-cycling
like he used to do on 75 Meters..?

Now wouldn't that be JUST HILARIOUS if it turned out 2B as so..?
"Profit RG Stair" and K1MAN's IARN both broadcasting on WWRB???

Baaaaaaaaaaaaa Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Jeeze Louise! ...where's R.H. when ya' need em! (...grin)

>
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>
news:telamon_spamshield-D56097.22094023062005@newssvr14-ext.news.prodigy.com
> ...
> > In article <2ZKue.11743$eM6.7114@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> > "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Ok what the hell is this?
> > > 3:16 utc
> >
> > 5,050 WWRB MCCAYSVILLE 2200-0500 english wNA McCaysville 115 to 150Kw
> >
> > Program (0300-0330 UTC): Way of Truth
> >
> > <http://www.wwrb.org/>
> >
> > --
> > Telamon
> > Ventura, California
>
>

3592. Re: Gearing up for Field Day & Promoting Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 15:24:36 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <IM6dndnbsd9fuCHfRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 110825

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1119618635.620765.175500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> This year I decided to try something a little different. Early this
>> week, I
>> sent an email to the people in my office briefly describing Field Day and
>> how one of the things we do is send messages. I then told them that they
>> could help us with our message sending practice by giving me messages to
>> send to friends and family. Well I am very pleased to report that I have
>> 13
>> messages to send from non-hams to other non-hams. At least a few people
>> will be exposed to amateur radio to a small degree.
>
> Whoa! Way to go...that's not only thinking "outside the box", but
> gift wrapping it with a pretty bow!
>
> Next time, same request, but get them to bring the message to the
> FD site!
>
> 73/88
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Actually I was rather surprised (and pleased) at the response. I've always believed that one issue has been getting an awareness of ham radio to the public. Newspaper articles are fine but they often get skipped over by busy people. So this idea came to mind.

Basically I presented it as an unusual and fun way to send greetings to people that would help the club I belong to both get points in the contest and practice in message handling for emergency preparedness.

I did offer to give anyone interested in visiting, seeing us in action, or even participating a map to the closest Field Day operation to their locations but didn't get any takers on that. But maybe next year. Who knows.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3593. Re: k4yz blows another mental gasket it seems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 15:50:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119628218.795674.55350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110826

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > > From: "an_old_friend" >konst...@hotmail.com< - Find messages by this
> > > > author
> > > > Date: 22 Jun 2005 02:48:44 -0700
> > > > Local: Wed,Jun 22 2005 5:48 am
> > > > Subject: Re: The Pool
> > > > Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> > > > original | Report Abuse
> > >
> > > > sorryJim but failing to back up an opinion doesn't mean they can't, may
> > > > mean they simply choose not to bother
> > >
> > >
> > > verbage edited
> >) In other words, you refuse to answer.
> >
> > No I was just cuting out some of your drivel but then I adknowledge
> > when I make cuts and don't disport the context of a persons remark,
> > unlike yourself
>
> There was no "drivvel" to cut.

Yes there was and I bother to note my Cuts as a rule, you don't making
most of your posting lies of omission

>
> > > > Failing to "back up an opinion", Markie, means that either the
> > > > author was wrong, didn't have the facts, shot their mouth off just to
> > > > be heard or doesn't have the faith of conviction in his/her own beliefs
> > > > to stand behind it.
> > >
> > > you are simply wrong a common enough problem for you as I recall.
> > > Indeed there are other reason
>
> I was not wrong.

Yes you were and are
>
> There are no reasons other than those cited.
>
> >) Indeed WHAT "

> >
> > as I go to say but you missed the point try reading it if you can
>
> Reading YOUR drivvle is the problem, Markie. You're functiaonlly
> illiterate and hide behind as many multisyllable words as you can,
> whether you used them correctly or not.

If so, so what. That you can't read?

>
> > other reason..." ?!?!
> > > an example I think Ham Radio would better off if Morse encoded CW were
> > > in fact banned. I have reasons to belive that but i know they will not
> > > convince you or Jim, or any Procoder. so why waste the bandwidth my
> > > failure does not mean I don't have them
> >
> >) You'd "ban" the simpliest, easiest to emit mode simply because
> >)you're too lazy to do it...
> >
> > Lier
>
> Markie...you and I went throught he drill with you and "lier"
> before...Your change of residence does nothing to change that.

HE DRILL? you reaaly are sex obcessed.

But you got one right my moving has nothing to do with you propensity
for lies flashoods and distortion

>
> > I said and have said many times I think wewould better off banning
> > the mode, never said I would do if I had the power
>
> Sure you have.

More lies
>
> On occassions too numerous to count.

Not even once

>
> >) That's the epitome of selfish!
> >
> > No you are the epitome of paranoid
>
> I'm not paranoid at all. But you are still selfish. Your
> suggestions, ideas and other "recommendations" for the Amateur Radio
> service hinge on nothing more than your inadequacies in it yourself.

You see any discusion of a posssible change that could be proposed as
an attack where none was made. I have said that there is merit in
Banning morse, as well as AM phone for that matter when I have gone at

greater length support your right (and everyone else's for that
matter) to engage in an activity I consider unproductive even harmful
to the ARS

If my opinion relate in some than I can't deal with morse, maybe you
are even right, doesn't change the fact it is my opinion

>
> That's not justification for ANY change in the Amateur Radio
> Service.

GIGO

>
> > > > MY OPINION is that you need to move back to Illinois and marry
> > > > Todd Daugherty, N9OGL. Maybe Lennie would move back (he's originally
> > > > from IL) and officiate for you? You two are cut from the same
> > > > cloth...Hmmmmmmmm...maybe a bit TOO close...Forget what I said...He's
> > > > probably in your bloodline and that would be incest.
> > >
> > > you can can hold any opinion you like but you are likely nuts thinking
> > > I would marry someone i have never met
> >
> >) Considering some of the TRULY nutsy things you've said and done
> >)before, Markie, NOTHING involving you strikes me as "nuts".
> >
> > I guess then you think I am quite sane or are truely ####ed up in the
> > head, most likely the later
> >
> No, I consider you to be functionally imparied. Your thought
> processes are irrational and scattered. You are unable to organize
> your thought in a constructive manner.

Back to practicing medicine without a license Nursie?
>
> In short, your're a quite confused and otherwise situationally
> inappropriate pesonality.
>
> > > Besides it would be bigamy i guess you missed my mentioning my marrage
> >
> > No...You "mentioned" you were building a house.
> >
> > Lier i mentioned it long ago in your stalkng phase Stevie boy
> >
> Here we go with the "lier" thing again, Markie, and it's going to
> wind up with the same result.

Indeedyou are getting a few more things right
>
> > > BTW I don't intend to answer wether I married a man or a women simply
> > > because it is none of your busness
> >

> >
> >) As if anyone here would care...?!?!
> >
> > You obvisly care a great deal about what I do with my genital and who I
> > do that with
>
> No.

Lier
>
> YOU insist on letting us know what your with it is.

Lieing stalker, I show up againafter years and YOU go a dig stuff out
that is ireelavant to Ham radio, and try to make a big deal out of it

> >
> >)BBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAH)AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >
> > ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > > BTW it is also nuts of you to suggest I prefrom an act impossible in
> > > the of Illinois
> >
> >) The only things I consider as "impossible" are (1) Lennie ever
> >)doing what he said he would and (2) you ever gaining proficiency in
> > the
> >)English language above the second grade level.
> >
> > In not adknowleding the realities of the law you prove yourself a
> > Sociiopath meeting the textbook meaning it is impossible for 2 guy to
> > marry in Illinois simple fact
>
> First of all, it's "sociopath".

More speling Nazi? Obviosly you understood well enough
>
> Secondly, I didn't say anything about being married
> "legally"...Gays have been getting "married" for years, except it's
> called a "civil union". I am sure the two of you could work out the
> details.

I supose we could IF either of us were interested but what busness is
it yours?

>
> > Further I am certian you are liing in saying those are the only things
> > that are impossible that or plain mentaly ill. Or both of course. Which
> > is it?
>
> First of all, I said what things >>I<< consider (as in an opinion)
> to be impossible. Persoanlly, I believe in transwarp space drive,

> telemolecular transportation, and that Michael Jackson's skin condition
> really is vitilago (OK...two out of three...) None of those things
> presently exisit, however they shall some day,

So the statement that you don't beleive any else in the world (or would you prefer universe?) is impoosible is plaily a lie. Indeed you have satted something along the line of it is impossible that I have been in the service

I never said anything about your opinion on tranwarps or transmat , and I'll stay well away from anything to do with poorMJ

You are a stalker a Bigot and a disgraceto the uniform you cliam to have worn

A disgrace to medicine

a Lair distroter of context

Hypocrite

Sex Obcessed, (and perhaps sex repressed too)

and likely represed Homosexual

>
> Does Mr Markie know what a liar you are?

Auf anglish bitte?
>
> Putz.

Lunatic
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3594. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 15:53:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119628383.105982.133940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110827

Usenet Nazi

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > ammussingly enough I expect for once Stevie that you are right on on
> > the result
>
> Top posting, Markie?
>
> Your written gulash is ahrd enough to follow, but now you top post
> too?
>
> > OTH...(SNIP)
>
> You meant "OTOH", perhaps? OTH in radio terms is "Over The
> Horizon".

again you understand
>
> > I suspect it more some of the last influence of the ARRL at the FCC
> > that will carry the day
>
> What? Huh?

Gee I can't even largely agree with you and have be civil or not hack
my posts apart
>
> Steve, K4YZ

As I said before at least you have drop the Flaso polite 73's from your
posts

3595. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 16:15:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119629704.541874.201140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110828

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > ah Stevie Boy nice to see you haven't changed a bit.
> >
> > > well in point of fact that statement is lie, but I am not shocked.
> > > Still taking anything not confroming to your particular POV as proof of
> > > illigality or falsehood. I noticed the Nursie refference made it up to
> > > RN yet last I recall you were an LPN or was it PN the lowest rungs on
> > > the nursing ladder
> >
> > nothing about my admiting to lieing
>
> Eight years, Markie, and you still can't get it right.
>
> It's "LYING".
>
> > > Gee...the style says Markie the Disgraced Pagan Liar, but too many
> > >multi-syllable words spelled (or pretty darn close) correctly.
> >
> > > And who said I am an RN? I didn't.
> >
> > Noone I thought you were said were studing for RN...(SNIP)
>
> That's pretty close, Markie. There are quite a few RN's who were
> gald for the service.
>
> > (UNSNIP)...and trying to
> > upgrade but I guess only ham need to upgradefrom the lowest levels of a
> > feild
>
> Huh? What?
>
> > > > I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> > > > them.
> >
> > > Hmmm easy enough to call that a lie. In sending anything anywhere you
> > > do in some generate it, but then that would be simply doing, what you
> > > are so good
>
> I take it that a lack of response means "No Comment"...
>
> > > You do not "in some generate it" by repeating something, Markie.
> > >You may retransmit it, copy it, report it, etc, but you do not

> > ?"generate" it. Only the original author did that.
> >
> > simply a lie you generated the emails that isfact but forgive me you
> > are not to confused with mere facts
>
> No, facts do not confuse me.
>
> Yes, your illiterate drivvel does.
>
> I did not generate (author) the e mails in question. Even Todd
> acknowledges that.
>
> > > > However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
> > > > grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
> > > > than adequate justification to pull your service.
> > >
> > > > You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
> > >
> > > > It really is THAT simple.
> > >
> > >
> > > and you who used to claim (and may still for all I know) to christain
> > > turned him in, surely not your kindest of acts, and a violation of the
> > > turn the other cheek maxim too
>
> And remember "Give Unto Ceasar That Which Is Ceasers, And Give
> Unto God That Which Is Gods"
>
> > > What does being a Christian or conforming to Christian principles
> > >have to do with reporting a person breaking the law, Markie?
> > >
> > You accused him of breaking the TOS not a law no crime was comitted at
> > least not according to the facts you have presented there may have been
> > a civil tort comttied by Todd
>
> But I allege he DID commit a crime, Markie.
>
> He facilitated the promulgation of those letters by providing the
> resources by which they could be generated and he incited the behaviour
> that resulted in it.
>
> Besides...Why write "TOS" rules if you're not going to expect
> people to abide by them or provide protection for others with them?
>
> > > Is it your psotition that anyone calling 9-1-1 to report a crime
> > >in progress is un-Christian?
> > >
> > GIGO
>
> You didn't answer my question, Markie. It only requires a "yes"

> or "no"...Is it YOUR position that people who call 9-1-1 to report a
> crime in progress are un-Christian?
>
> > > Are the viewers of "America's Most Wanted" un-Christian?
> >
> > GIGO
>
> You again refuse to answer a yes/no question.
>
> Is it your position that people who view "America's Most Wanted"
> are un-Christian?
>
> > > > > Just like those tapes of my program are fake....then again were
> > > > > talking about that information coming from a liar
> >
> > > > > Redirect your accusations of tapes, Todd. I don't ahve a horse in
> > > > > that race.
> >
> > > > Nice spelling there from someone I do certainly remember as a member of
> > > > the spelling Nazi's
> >
> > > > Compared to the absolute rape of the English language as
> > > >perpetrated by you, Markie, occassional transposed letters ae
> > > >nothing...at least they are the CORRECT letters...You all-too-often
> > > >don't even get THAT right!
> >
> > > As is normal you don't bother to address the issue the issue that you
> > > are the spelling NAZI not I I am simply pointing YOUR Hipocrocy
>
> I'm not a "spelling NAZI", Markie.
>
> I simply am aghast that a person can graduate high school in the
> United States with the absolutely horrific English skills you have.
>
> And what is a "Hipocrocy"...?!?!
>
> > > > "Shows" are broadcasting.
> >
> > > not always but then one must not use words in different manner than you
> > > aprove of.
> >
> > > It's not what >>I<< approve of, Markie.
> >
> > > It's what the FCC approves
> >
> > WRONG the is the internet the FCC lacks any authority to determine how
> > we use langauge any suggestion to contary is plain idoitcy
>
> Markie, you REALLY owe it to yourself to be sure that you KNOW
> what you're talking about before you comment on it.

>
> We are not discussing Internet content. We're discussing Todd
> illegal broadcasting on the 20 meter band.
>
> > You simply believe YOU are apointed by someone (yourself? or is it the
> > FCC? or God prehaps ? or some rice crispies?) to decide wether someone
> > is guilty of some wrong doing
>
> I didn't say he's "guilty" of anything, Markie. It's for a judge
> or jury to decide. However Todd's starting to rack-up points towards a
> "K1MAN Look-Alike" award.
>
> > of.
> > > sounds he mean he holds court on the freq, and time, but you
> > > realy did know that
> >
> >
> > > Know what?
> >
> > > That he's illegally using Amateur Radio allocations to
> > >broadcast...?!?! Yeah, I know that...HE is the one with perception
> > >problems.
> >
> > Really prove it? All that he daone to my knowledge is use language in a
> > manner that does suggest he might be doing something wrong, nothing
> > else
>
> See what happens when you jump into the middle of an on-going rant
> without first taking the time to see who's ranting about what, Markie?
>
> Todd's already admitted to illegal broadcasting on TV and FM
> frequencies, and has admitted to operating an aircraft contrary to
> Federal Aviation rules and regulations.
>
> Now he's come to RRAP, obstensibly to get approval for or to
> advertise the presence of his "shows".
>
> The problem is that NOone cares about his broadcasts. He's simply
> using them as a sham for his self-promoting diatribes. Nothing more.
>
> > > > If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation,
> > > > you're breaking the law.
> > >
> > > and anything you say is right
> > > >From an old friend (of ham radio not of your's Stevie)
> > >
> > > been busybuilding a new home and such so i have missed you, and the
> > > rest
> > >
> > > Run out of Illiopolis, did they..?!?!

> >
> > Just decidedto move I do that every few years getting longer and longer
> > as I get older but i do move every now and then
>
> Unfortunatley not far enough away vis-a-vis RRAP.
>
> > > I (don't really) wonder why...You're such a GREAT guy to have
> > >around!
> >
> > > And what did Illinois do to deserve such a wretched reputation as
> > >to be the birthplace of Lennie, Toiddie >>AND<< you...?!?!?
> >
> > Born in Illinois? gee that would news to me and esp to my mother. I can
> > also assure that Illinois suffers in way from my living liveing there
> > awhile I payed enough property taxes
>
> You're right. I am sure they suffered plenty.
>
> > > at least you have droped the 73's from your posted vitriol
> >
> > > You have gotten SLIGHTLY better at writing, although your
> > >sentences are still disjointed, improperly punctuated and leave the
> > >reader wondering if you fell asleep in the middle of a sentence when
> > >you stopped writing.
> >
> > Your Point? sorry I missed it unless you are still to be enpowered to
> > decide how people may write
>
> I am not "eMpowered" to do anything but observe and comment.
>
> Your "writing" is a travesty. It's a violet rape of the English
> language, to say the least. Todd's a close second.
>
> > > And BTW...you're NOT any "old friend" of Amateur Radio...I rate
> > >you only about 2/10ths of a point above Lennie Anderson, but still well
> > >below Brain Burke, who is trying desperately hard to get down there,
> > >although he slips occassionally and leaves me with hope for his soul.
> >
> > I do thank you being ill thought of by you is certianly an endosement
> > of my moral character
>
> Only you would write a sentence which actually acknowledges and
> agrees with my assessment of your "moral character", Markie.
>
> > > I have no such delusions about you.
> >
> > I am sure you have many delusion about me, I don't want to know they
> > all are
>
> I still have no delusions about you, Markie, unless you include my

> hopes that you'll seek help for your personal issues and/or buy a
> "Hooked On Phonics" program.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3596. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 16:37:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119631066.604784.185860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110829

K4YZ wrote:
Changed my mind about this section

> > I am sure you have many delusion about me, I don't want to know they
> > all are
>
> I still have no delusions about you, Markie, unless you include my
> hopes that you'll seek help for your personal issues and/or buy a
> "Hooked On Phonics" program.

You have many delusions, the biggest of which is that you know much of
anything about me

You have more issues than I, not the least of which is a streak of Joe
McCarthy
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3597. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 16:47:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119631669.149066.210040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110830

K4YZ wrote:
> Calvin McRathbayern wrote:
>
> > As most people do, you fail to comprehend the major
> > thrust of Mr Baxter's legal standing.
>
> "Mr. Baxter" has no standing here.
>
> He's broken the law...repeatedly.
>
> The weight of the federal government is about to (finally) land
> square on his head.
>
> With any luck, the next "thrust" "Mr Baxter" will experience will
> be courtesy of his new roomate, Bubba.

Pretty even for you. To endorse the rape of anyone proves your lack of
moral character and shows you to be a pretty and discusting peice of
filth
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3598. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 17:00:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119632419.339785.38470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110831

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Calvin McRathbayern wrote:
> >
> > > As most people do, you fail to comprehend the major
> > > thrust of Mr Baxter's legal standing.
> >
> > "Mr. Baxter" has no standing here.
> >
> > He's broken the law...repeatedly.
> >
> > The weight of the federal government is about to (finally) land
> > square on his head.
> >
> > With any luck, the next "thrust" "Mr Baxter" will experience will
> > be courtesy of his new roomate, Bubba.
>
> Pretty even for you. To endorse the rape of anyone...(SNIP)

Who said anything about rape, you ignorant illiterate idiot?
Thee's not a single word in that post about rape.

> ((NSNIP)...proves your lack of
> moral character and shows you to be a pretty and discusting peice of
> filth

You're the filth, Markie.

You've still never apologize for imitating a commissioned officer
of the Armed Forces.

Nor have you ever retracted a single one of the other mistruths
and deceitful dodges that you perpetrated under any one of your
numerous other nomme-de-guerre's.

3599. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 17:01:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119630889.907733.319820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110832

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > ah Stevie Boy nice to see you haven't changed a bit.
> >
> > > well in point of fact that statement is lie, but I am not shocked.
> > > Still taking anything not confroming to your particular POV as proof of
> > > illigality or falsehood. I noticed the Nursie refference made it up to
> > > RN yet last I recall you were an LPN or was it PN the lowest rungs on
> > > the nursing ladder
> >
> > nothing about my admiting to lieing
>
> Eight years, Markie, and you still can't get it right.
>
> It's "LYING".
>
> > > Gee...the style says Markie the Disgraced Pagan Liar, but too many
> > >multi-syllable words spelled (or pretty darn close) correctly.

BTW what is worng or relavant to my being a Pagan (or
> >
> > > And who said I am an RN? I didn't.
> >
> > Noone I thought you were said were studing for RN...(SNIP)
>
> That's pretty close, Markie. There are quite a few RN's who were
> gald for the service.

HUH?
>
> > (UNSNIP)...and trying to
> > upgrade but I guess only ham need to upgradefrom the lowest levels of a
> > feild
>
> Huh? What?

LPN is lowest least qualisfied form of nursing license, and you haven't
bothered to upgrade. What too lazy?
>
> > > > I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> > > > them.
> >
> > > Hmmm easy enough to call that a lie. In sending anything anywhere you
> > > do in some generate it, but then that would be simply doing, what you

> > > are so good
>
> I take it that a lack of response means "No Comment"...

one of yourless distoring mistakes
>
> > > You do not "in some generate it" by repeating something, Markie.
> > >You may retransmit it, copy it, report it, etc, but you do not
> > ?"generate" it. Only the original author did that.
> >
> > simply a lie you generated the emails that isfact but forgive me you
> > are not to confused with mere facts
>
> No, facts do not confuse me.
>
> Yes, your illiterate drivvel does.
>
> I did not generate (author) the e mails in question. Even Todd
> acknowledges that.

You generated it. wether you authored it or any part of it I not an
issue I am comenting on. Although given your hisotry it is possible
that you even wrote the letters you objected to and sent them to
yourself though the Blogosphere, but I wasn't comenting on that either
>
> > > > However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
> > > > grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
> > > > than adequate justification to pull your service.
> >
> > > > You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
> >
> > > > It really is THAT simple.
> >
> >
> > > and you who used to claim (and may still for all I know) to christain
> > > turned him in, surely not your kindest of acts, and a violation of the
> > > turn the other cheek maxim too
>
> And remember "Give Unto Ceasar That Which Is Ceasers, And Give
> Unto God That Which Is Gods"

Yes and your point?
>
> > > What does being a Christian or conforming to Christian principles
> > >have to do with reporting a person breaking the law, Markie?
> >
> > You accused him of breaking the TOS not a law no crime was comitted at
> > least not according to the facts you have presented there may have been
> > a civil tort comttied by Todd
>
> But I allege he DID commit a crime, Markie.

What crime

>
> He facilitated the promulgation of those letters by providing the
> resources by which they could be generated and he incited the behaviour
> that resulted in it.

and this is criminal how?
>
> Besides...Why write "TOS" rules if you're not going to expect
> people to abide by them or provide protection for others with them?

Good question one worhty of puting to many providers but not on oypic
>
> > > Is it your psotition that anyone calling 9-1-1 to report a crime
> > >in progress is un-Christian?
> >
> > GIGO
>
> You didn't answer my question, Markie. It only requires a "yes"
> or "no"...Is it YOUR position that people who call 9-1-1 to report a
> crime in progress are un-Christian?

You Noticed?

I responded to you. I did not answer you. I avoid answering rethorical
drivel as a general rule

>
> > > Are the viewers of "America's Most Wanted" un-Christian?
> >
> > GIGO
>
> You again refuse to answer a yes/no question.

Yep as is my right

>
> Is it your position that people who view "America's Most Wanted"
> are un-Christian?

GIGO

It my position OTOH that people that watch it are very likely fairly
sick people either before hand to watch it or after (as a result of)
watching it very much
>
> > > > > Just like those tapes of my program are fake....then again were
> > > > > talking about that information coming from a liar
> >
> > > > > Redirect your accusations of tapes, Todd. I don't ahve a horse in
> > > > > that race.
> >
> > > Nice spelling there from someone I do certainly remember as a member of

> > > the spelling Nazi's
> >
> > > Compared to the absolute rape of the English language as
> > >perpetrated by you, Markie, occassional transposed letters ae
> > >nothing...at least they are the CORRECT letters...You all-too-often
> > >don't even get THAT right!
> >
> > As is normal you don't bother to address the issue the issue that you
> > are the spelling NAZI not I I am simply pointing YOUR Hipocrocy
>
> I'm not a "spelling NAZI", Markie.

Yes you are

>
> I simply am aghast that a person can graduate high school in the
> United States with the absolutely horrific English skills you have.

seond gade now HS means you were lieng when. oh why bother..
>
> And what is a "Hipocrocy"...?!?!
>
> > > > "Shows" are broadcasting.
> >
> > > not always but then one must not use words in different manner than you
> > > aprove of.
> >
> > > It's not what >>I<< approve of, Markie.
> >
> > > It's what the FCC approves
> >
> > WRONG the is the internet the FCC lacks any authority to determine how
> > we use langauge any suggestion to contary is plain idoitcy
>
> Markie, you REALLY owe it to yourself to be sure that you KNOW
> what you're talking about before you comment on it.
>
> We are not discussing Internet content. We're discussing Todd
> illegal broadcasting on the 20 meter band.

No we are in a discusion of the use of langauge.

It is legal to a call tranmision a broadcast if you like. you can also
call it a Beamer or wangdoodle if you like. I prefer to use the word
broadcast myself. does not make the tranmission under discusion illegal
if it is called a show or a broadcast. the content of the transmision
does or doesn't do that
>
> > You simply believe YOU are apointed by someone (yourself? or is it the
> > FCC? or God prehaps ? or some rice crispies?) to decide wether someone
> > is guilty of some wrong doing
>

> I didn't say he's "guilty" of anything, Markie. It's for a judge
> or jury to decide. However Todd's starting to rack-up points towards a
> "K1MAN Look-Alike" award.
>
> > of.
> > > sounds he mean he holds court on the freq, and time, but you
> > > realy did know that
> > >
> > >
> > > Know what?
> > >
> > > That he's illegally using Amateur Radio allocations to
> > >broadcast...?!?! Yeah, I know that...HE is the one with perception
> > >problems.
> > >
> > Really prove it? All that he daone to my knowledge is use language in a
> > manner that does suggest he might be doing something wrong, nothing
> > else
>
> See what happens when you jump into the middle of an on-going rant
> without first taking the time to see who's ranting about what, Markie?
>
> Todd's already admitted to illegal broadcasting on TV and FM
> frequencies, and has admitted to operating an aircraft contrary to
> Federal Aviation rules and regulations.

Several points

One I doubt he admitted to anythign illegal etc by your disorting his
words though your warped mind

Two planes are an FAA matter not FCC

Three he can to have comitted an illgal here on usenet, that is not a
crime. the only way he can comite a crime by cliaiming to have done
that I think of would be to cliam he took a shot at the president. That
would be a a crime

>
> Now he's come to RRAP, obstensibly to get approval for or to
> advertise the presence of his "shows".

When did you jion PsiCorps?

>
> The problem is that NOone cares about his broadcasts. He's simply
> using them as a sham for his self-promoting diatribes. Nothing more.

Lier you obviously care a great deal

>
> > > > If you're promoting a "show" on an Amateur Radio allocation,
> > > > you're breaking the law.

> >
> > > and anything you say is right
> > > >From an old friend (of ham radio not of your's Stevie)
> >
> > > been busybuilding a new home and such so i have missed you, and the
> > > rest
> >
> > > Run out of Illiopolis, did they..?!?!
> >
> > Just decidedto move I do that every few years getting longer and longer
> > as I get older but i do move every now and then
>
> Unfortunatley not far enough away vis-a-vis RRAP.

from your point of view youare rigt for a change. be a man even just a
Human and deal with it

>
> > > I (don't really) wonder why...You're such a GREAT guy to have
> > >around!
> >
> > > And what did Illinois do to deserve such a wretched reputation as
> > >to be the birthplace of Lennie, Toiddie >>AND<< you...?!?!?
> >
> > Born in Illinois? gee that would news to me and esp to my mother. I can
> > also assure that Illinois suffers in way from my living liveing there
> > awhile I payed enough property taxes
>
> You're right. I am sure they suffered plenty.
>
> > > at least you have droped the 73's from your posted vitriol
> >
> > > You have gotten SLIGHTLY better at writing, although your
> > >sentences are still disjointed, improperly punctuated and leave the
> > >reader wondering if you fell asleep in the middle of a sentence when
> > >you stopped writing.
> >
> > Your Point? sorry I missed it unless you are still to be enpowered to
> > decide how people may write
>
> I am not "eMpowered" to do anything but observe and comment.
>
> Your "writing" is a travesty. It's a violet rape of the English
> language, to say the least. Todd's a close second.

Violet rape? what is that?
hacking out the rest

3600. Re: A Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 17:02:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119631199.603042.123550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110833

nice to see the President (or in all honesty his staff) take the time
to generate this

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> June 16, 2005
>
> "I send greetings to those celebrating the annual
> Field Day for Amateur Radio, hosted by the American
> Radio Relay League.
>
> "Across our country, radio plays a vital role in
> relaying important information to the public and
> emergency service personnel in times of need.
>
> By providing emergency communications at the Federal,
> State, and local level, licensed amateur radio
> operators help first responders and law enforcement
> officials save lives and make our country safer.
> Your efforts help ensure the right assistance
> gets to the right people at the right time.
>
> "I appreciate all ham operators who give their time
> and energy to help make our citizens more secure.
> Your good work reflects the spirit of America and
> contributes to a culture of responsibility and
> citizenship that strengthens our Nation.
>
> "Laura and I send our best wishes."
>
> (signed)
>
> George Bush

3601. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 17:04:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119632660.723029.103020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110834

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> Changed my mind about this section
>
> > > I am sure you have many delusion about me, I don't want to know they
> > > all are
> >
> > I still have no delusions about you, Markie, unless you include my
> > hopes that you'll seek help for your personal issues and/or buy a
> > "Hooked On Phonics" program.
>
> You have many delusions, the biggest of which is that you know much of
> anything about me

No delusion at all.

You're a functionally illiterate, arrogant chronic liar who has
some pretty twisted social role concepts taht you perceive as "normal".

> You have more issues than I, not the least of which is a streak of Joe
> McCarthy

The LEAST of which has anything to do with Joe McCarthy.

You're a liar (documented) You're deceitful (documented) and you
have some pretty twisited ideas about morality yourself (also
documented).

Steve, K4YZ

3602. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 17:50:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119635451.602470.98180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110835

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > Noone I thought you were said were studing for RN...(SNIP)
> > >
> > That's pretty close, Markie. There are quite a few RN's who were
> > gald for the service.
> >
> HUH?

"HUH" indeed. Shudda read your own mispelling and the
play-on-words I did with it, Markie.

> > > (UNSNIP)...and trying to
> > > upgrade but I guess only ham need to upgradefrom the lowest levels of a
> > > feild
> > >
> > Huh? What?
> >
> LPN is lowest least qualisfied form of nursing license, and you haven't
> bothered to upgrade. What too lazy?

"Qualsified"...???

As for upgrading, you'll remember I was caring for a terminally
ill child for years, including the financial obligations that go with
that.

As for "upgrading", I am one of only 12 ALS-PN's in Southeatestern
Tennessee...That's "Advanced Life Support" for you unwashed.

There's only four things I am prohibited from doing as an LPN
unless there's an MD immediately present: (1) Conscious Sedation, (2)
Initiate blood transfusions, (3) Administer certain antithrobolytics,
and (4) act as charge nurse.

We very rarely do conscious sedation in the ER, so it's not a
factor.

We very rarely hang blood in the ER...However even those
limitation on LPN's are waived if there's an MD in in direct attendence
and supervising the transfusion. And guess what...?!?! The ER is
manned 24/7 by a physician. I hang blood weekly.

We often give throbolytics in the ER for CVA and MI's. Same
caveat for thrombolytics as blood products. I hang throbo's all the
time.

And lastly, the extra seventy five cents and hour the charge
nurses get for being charge nurses and all the hassle that goes along
with it isn't worth it.

Nonetheless I am an enrolled RN student.

I'll be an RN before Lennie's a licensee or you learn how to
spell.

Nice try, though.

> > > > > I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> > > > > them.
> > > >
> > > > Hmmm easy enough to call that a lie. In sending anything anywhere you
> > > > do in some generate it, but then that would be simply doing, what you
> > > > are so good
> > >
> > I take it that a lack of response means "No Comment"...
>
> one of yourless distoring mistakes

Huh...?!?!

E N G L I S H, Markie! This forum is SUPPOSED to be in E N G L I
S H ! ! ! !

> > I did not generate (author) the e mails in question. Even Todd
> > acknowledges that.
>
> You generated it. wether you authored it or any part of it I not an
> issue I am comenting on. Although given your hisotry it is possible
> that you even wrote the letters you objected to and sent them to
> yourself though the Blogosphere, but I wasn't comenting on that either

Regardless of how YOU try to redefine it, Markie, I didn't
generate the e-mails in question. Even Todd acknowledges that.

Since you weren't even in the forum at that time, you look pretty
foolish trying to redefine the parameters of an issue you weren't even
party to!

> > > > > However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
> > > > > grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
> > > > > than adequate justification to pull your service.
> > >
> > > > > You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
> > >
> > > > > It really is THAT simple.
> > >
> > >
> > > > and you who used to claim (and may still for all I know) to christain
> > > > turned him in, surely not your kindest of acts, and a violation of the
> > > > turn the other cheek maxim too

> >
> > And remember "Give Unto Ceasar That Which Is Ceasers, And Give
> > Unto God That Which Is Gods"
>
> Yes and your point?

The point is that there's nothing "un-Christian" about reporting
someone who breaks the law or violates posted rules.

> > > > What does being a Christian or conforming to Christian principles
> > > >have to do with reporting a person breaking the law, Markie?
> > >
> > > You accused him of breaking the TOS not a law no crime was comitted at
> > > least not according to the facts you have presented there may have been
> > > a civil tort comttied by Todd
> >
> > But I allege he DID commit a crime, Markie.
>
> What crime

He operated a broadcast trasmitter without a license.

He operated a pirate TV transmitter without a license.

He's presently operating an illegally modified ultralight.

There's more, but those are sufficient.

Spend some of your time on Hotmail doing some researchon your own,
Markie.

> > He facilitated the promulgation of those letters by providing the
> > resources by which they could be generated and he incited the behaviour
> > that resulted in it.
>
> and this is criminal how?

It's criminal to facilitate a criminal act, Markie. Even in
Illinois and Michigan.

> > Besides...Why write "TOS" rules if you're not going to expect
> > people to abide by them or provide protection for others with them?
>
> Good question one worhty of puting to many providers but not on oypic

What in the blue blazes was that?

E N G L I S H, you blithering idiot.

> > > > Is it your psotition that anyone calling 9-1-1 to report a crime
> > > >in progress is un-Christian?
> > >
> > > GIGO
> >

> > You didn't answer my question, Markie. It only requires a "yes"
> > or "no"...Is it YOUR position that people who call 9-1-1 to report a
> > crime in progress are un-Christian?
>
> You Noticed?
>
> I responded to you. I did not answer you. I avoid answering rethorical
> drivel as a general rule

It's not rhetorical.

You suggest that it's un-Christian to report violations of rules
or laws. I want to know if you consider those other examples as
un-Christian too.

D o you have valid answer, or was this just Markie imressing
himself?

> > > > Are the viewers of "America's Most Wanted" un-Christian?
> > >
> > > GIGO
> >
> > You again refuse to answer a yes/no question.
>
> Yep as is my right

And it's my right to call you a spineless coward. Seems it's
about right.

> > Is it your position that people who view "America's Most Wanted"
> > are un-Christian?
>
> GIGO
>
> It my position OTOH that people that watch it are very likely fairly
> sick people either before hand to watch it or after (as a result of)
> watching it very much

So...You consider any citizen participation in law enforcement as
un-Christian, and no doubt un-American.

Per America's Most Wanted's statistics, over 800 violent offenders
have been apprehended due to citizen pariticpation.

I again ask you, is it un-Christian for citizens to participate in
maintaining their own security, peace and well being...???

> > I'm not a "spelling NAZI", Markie.
>
> Yes you are

No, I'm not.

I do, however, take great pleasure in making fun of you.

You do, afterall, provide a plethora of opportunites.

> > I simply am aghast that a person can graduate high school in the
> > United States with the absolutely horrific English skills you have.
>
> seond gade now HS means you were lieng when. oh why bother..

WHAT THE HECK WAS THAT...?!?!

"seond gade now HS means you were lieng when. oh why bother.."

Markie, there's not ONE coherent thing there, other than "oh why bother"...and that may be the ONLY thing you've EVER said that makes ANY sense.

THAT is why people can make such fun of you!

And by the way...you insist that you are building a house now. Is that with the money you've saved all these years by not installing a spellchecker?

> > > WRONG the is the internet the FCC lacks any authority to determine how
> > > we use langauge any suggestion to contary is plain idoitcy
> >
> > Markie, you REALLY owe it to yourself to be sure that you KNOW
> > what you're talking about before you comment on it.
> >
> > We are not discussing Internet content. We're discussing Todd
> > illegal broadcasting on the 20 meter band.
>
> No we are in a discusion of the use of langauge.

No...YOU are in a discussion about use (abuse, actually) of language.

> It is legal to a call tranmision a broadcast if you like. you can also
> call it a Beamer or wangdoodle if you like. I prefer to use the word
> broadcast myself. does not make the tranmission under discusion illegal
> if it is called a show or a broadcast. the content of the transmision
> does or doesn't do that

In the aforementioned "language", Markie, it has long ago been deemed imperitive to have certain words or phrases that, when used in certain context, have certain meanings. In some colloquial circles it's called a "frame of reference"

For the purpose of FCC applicaitons, hence applying to licensed Amateur Radio operators such as you and Todd, "broadcast" means one-way transmisions.

> > See what happens when you jump into the middle of an on-going rant
> > without first taking the time to see who's ranting about what, Markie?
> >
> > Todd's already admitted to illegal broadcasting on TV and FM
> > frequencies, and has admitted to operating an aircraft contrary to

> > Federal Aviation rules and regulations.
>
> Several points
>
> One I doubt he admitted to anythign illegal etc by your disorting his
> words though your warped mind

Again you demonstrate your ABSOLUTE IGNORANCE, Markie.

Todd has dozens of posts goign back over a decade bragging about
his pirate radio/TV activities.

> Two planes are an FAA matter not FCC

No kidding, Sherlock? That's what I SAID. Allow me to repeat:
"...and has admitted to operating an aircraft contrary to Federal
Aviation rules and regulations..."

> Three he can to have comitted an illgal here on usenet, that is not a
> crime.

Illegal is illegal, Markie.

> the only way he can comite a crime by cliaiming to have done
> that I think of would be to cliam he took a shot at the president. That
> would be a a crime

What? What was that in E N G L I S H Markie...???

> > Now he's come to RRAP, obstensibly to get approval for or to
> > advertise the presence of his "shows".
>
> When did you jion PsiCorps?

What's a "jion"...?!?! How do you "jion" something...?!?!

PsiCorps? Another of your drafted but never released from Active
Duty assignments, Colonel...?!?!

> > The problem is that NOone cares about his broadcasts. He's simply
> > using them as a sham for his self-promoting diatribes. Nothing more.
>
> Lier you obviously care a great deal

What did I warn you about that, Markie?

Living in a new jurisdiction doesn't change a thing.

> > > Just decidedto move I do that every few years getting longer and longer
> > > as I get older but i do move every now and then
> >
> > Unfortunatley not far enough away vis-a-vis RRAP.
>
> from your point of view youare rigt for a change. be a man even just a
> Human and deal with it

Oh, I can deal with you, Markie. It's easy to do.

> > Your "writing" is a travesty. It's a violent rape of the English
> > language, to say the least. Todd's a close second.
>
> Violent rape? what is that?

It's what you do to the English language, Markie.

> hacking out the rest

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

3603. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 17:57:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119635851.779226.43330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110836

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > ammussingly enough I expect for once Stevie that you are right on on
> > > the result
> >
> > Top posting, Markie?
> >
> > Your written gulash is ahrd enough to follow, but now you top post
> > too?
> >
> > > OTH...(SNIP)
> >
> > You meant "OTOH", perhaps? OTH in radio terms is "Over The
> > Horizon".
>
> again you understand

No, I didn't...hence the request to clarify your intent.

You've not done that yet.

> > > I suspect it more some of the last influence of the ARRL at the FCC
> > > that will carry the day
> >
> > What? Huh?
>
> Gee I can't even largely agree with you and have be civil or not hack
> my posts apart

How can I tell you're agreeing with me or disagreeing when your
replies are a rape of the English language, Markie?

And if you were agreeing with me, why "Stevie"..?!?!

On those few occassions where it appeared as though you might act
reasonable, I refer to you as Mark, address you by your callsign and
add a "73" to the post.

You seem to not do that.

> As I said before at least you have drop the Flaso polite 73's from your
> posts

"Flaso"...?!?! Sounds like an Italian or Baltic name. Who's
Flaso?

I had dropped "73" from you a looooooooooooooooooooong time ago.
Not so with others who are civil and mature in their responses.

Steve, K4YZ

3604. Re: Todd Blames Everyone Except Person Truly Responsible For His Predicament

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 18:06:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119636391.863129.57100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110837

>And for the billionth time, Todd...
>Those letters themselves wouldn't have mattered.
>There was enough LEGITIMATE stuff to "can" you.
>I reported it. Consolidated agreed.

First off dickhead, and for the billionth time as you would say, this isn't YOUR NEWSGROUP!!!! you don't own it, nor are you the moderator, You steve are nothing more then an sadist ham cop who sits on the amateur newsgroups on the internet and watch what people post and then turn around and report it, why??? because you get your nuts off on it. It really sad when a phone company won't listen to a paying customer, but will listen to a mental ill person like yourself.

>Yeah..uh huh...Right...
>Chances are that if people find out WHY you were canned, they'll
>be MORE likely to join Consolidated just becasue they know that
>Consolidated is concerned with keeping trash off the Internet, even if
>it is just one piece at a time.

I know steve you probably live a shelter life, but out here in the "real world" people Do talk like that...here's an Idea don't go to New York City, you'll probably hear stuff that make my post look calm. but it really doubtful that people would go rushing to consolidated, they charge too much ($300-350 for startup fee) and ($36 to $40 a month) and when people hear that consolidated listen to a non-paying customer, who is a sadist, and NOT a paying customer, well...

>What YOU continue to ignore is that those letters weren't the only
>reason you got canned.

and you continue to not to understand that what YOU did was wrong, when there were alternatives, but instead you have proven to ever one on here what I had been saying that your an asshole!!

>No, you're not.
>But then this is a PUBLIC forum and a certain amout of decorum is
>expected (or should be) "MF", "AH", "CS" and other such adjectives
>are NOT language you typically hear walking down the street or walking
>through Wal-Mart, and I don't think it's appropriate here.
>Then when you DIRECTED it at me, well, that cinched it

again you don't have to read my post and let me say you are a hypocite, you have the balls to call me gay when I am not, like I said steve, don't go to New York City, they use those "Adjectives" all the time, I know I've been there a few times. in the "real world" people use those

words and a form of expression, look at rap music....it's not my fault
you live a shelter life.

>Seems to me the "moron" was the customer who thought that
>$36/month was a license to be obnoxious, mistruthful and idiotic with.

No dickhead for $36 a month they should at lease here my side but they
wouldn't hear anything of it.

In this case the @@@hole WAS the customer.

>So far, you've blamed...
>me for being a crybaby...
>Consolidated for actually enforcing their own regulations and
>threats...
>Buzz Corey for, well, who knows why...
>The ONLY person you've NOT blamed is the person responsible for
>your predicament...
>YOU!

and just like you Cornwhore has poroven that he too is a asshole who
had alternatives to reading my post, but just like you he is a sadist
who get's his nut off by acting like a netcop

>There a re no "shows" on Amateur Radio.
>A "show" is a program prepared for broadcast for the general
>public.

first off it depends on who it is directed to, if it's directed just to
amateur's then it legal, my shows like I said is on radio, electronic,
computers and radio regulations, and until you or little buddies at the
FCC say otherwise that it's illegal, by showing me a rule that states
it.

>If you'd "x" out the "bitches" and the "###hole", you would really
>impessed me...
>One step at a time, though, I guess.

I'm sorry steve....I forgot you don't live in the real world....

>Do you think you're any more likely to get your broadband service
>back by continuing to use profanity and call the people with their
>fingers on the service keys names in a public forum...?!?!

oh callign someone gay when they are not, isn't insulting....you
bastard

I'm going to waste my time writing my name or my call simply because
your not worth it.

3605. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 18:13:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119636792.164221.182070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110838

>But I allege he DID commit a crime, Markie.
>He facilitated the promulgation of those letters by providing the
>resources by which they could be generated and he incited the behaviour
>that resulted in it.

There's nothing that I can do to stop those letters, the last one that
you posted on here was sent from a different blog.

>Todd's already admitted to illegal broadcasting on TV and FM
>frequencies, and has admitted to operating an aircraft contrary to
>Federal Aviation rules and regulations.

I did run a unlicensed LPTV station....a long time ago, as for FM I
never ran a FM station, but refresh my memory... as for my aircraft,
most ultralight pilots I know run more then just five gallons of
gas...that was one of the problems the sports license was suppose to
fix.

3606. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 18:20:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119637235.166183.136610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110839

>There's more, but those are sufficient

Really, what other lies are you spreading steve??? and BTW I ran a low power TV transmitter after wasting my time and money applying six times for a license, with waivers which the FCC wouldn't even consider even though the federal court have told them repeatively "you must consider waivers" like I said steve you don't know the whole story nor, were you there..

Todd

3607. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 18:34:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119638085.849740.268240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110840

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > Changed my mind about this section
> >
> > > > I am sure you have many delusion about me, I don't want to know they
> > > > all are
> > >
> > > I still have no delusions about you, Markie, unless you include my
> > > hopes that you'll seek help for your personal issues and/or buy a
> > > "Hooked On Phonics" program.
> >
> > You have many delusions, the biggest of which is that you know much of
> > anything about me
>
> No delusion at all.
>
> You're a functionally illiterate, arrogant chronic liar who has
> some pretty twisted social role concepts taht you perceive as "normal".

more delusions
>
> > You have more issues than I, not the least of which is a streak of Joe
> > McCarthy
>
> The LEAST of which has anything to do with Joe McCarthy.

You are much like that dispicable person.
>
> You're a liar (documented) You're deceitful (documented) and you
> have some pretty twisited ideas about morality yourself (also
> documented).

Decitfull perhaps I do and always misdriect folks trying to stalk as
you have been doing for years

>
> Steve, K4YZ

3608. K1MAN Demands The Press Explain Why Its Not Reporting His Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:01:40 UTC
From: channel391@juno.com
Message-ID: <1119639700.031315.165540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K1MAN is justly asking why the ham press has not presented his side of
the story. Please read his editorial response below:

K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED050616 -

WHERE IS THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STORY?

You read what the FCC says about K1MAN. You read about what other
hams say about K1MAN. Where do you read about what K1MAN says?
ARRL? No! QST? No! CQ? No! World Radio! No! K1TP? No!
QRZ? No! What is wrong with this picture, you ignorant hypocrites?
No wonder the Arabs hate our guts so much. No wonder Amateur Radio
is going down the tubes, led by ARRL.

I love both America and Amateur Radio, however. K1MAN will be on for
many many years to come, and the true scum of our precious hobby and
service will be thoroughly exposed in the upcoming court proceedings.
K1MAN has been on the air with IARN information bulletins since 1987,
and the FCC is as powerless to stop them as K1MAN is powerless to
stamp out amateur radio ignorance. Fear not fellow Radio Amateurs.
You have the constitutional right to be stupid! Only in America!

Join the American Amateur Radio Association today! See www.K1MAN.com

Ivan the Terrible wrote:
> "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
> news:OCTue.10637$pa3.8461@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > I should have explained. What I was hearing sounded like a skipping cd
> > repeating over and over for about 5 minutes. I guess that's what it
> could
> > have been. The radio host must have been asleep...
>
> Perhaps it was K1MAN buying airtime on WWRB to vent his redundant
> IARN bull-shit, and in the process his cheap chinese Radio Shack
> CD player got stuck in a loop or the digital lamp timer started re-cycling
> like he used to do on 75 Meters..?
>
> Now wouldn't that be JUST HILARIOUS if it turned out 2B as so..?
> "Profit RG Stair" and K1MAN's IARN both broadcasting on WWRB???
>
> Baaaaaaaaaaaaaa Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> Jeeze Louise! ...where's R.H. when ya' need em! (...grin)
>
> >
> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message

> >
> news:telamon_spamshield-D56097.22094023062005@newssvr14-ext.news.prodigy.com
> > ...
> > > In article <2ZKue.11743$eM6.7114@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> > > "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > Ok what the hell is this?
> > > > 3:16 utc
> > >
> > > 5,050 WWRB MCCAYSVILLE 2200-0500 english wNA McCaysville 115 to 150Kw
> > >
> > > Program (0300-0330 UTC): Way of Truth
> > >
> > > <http://www.wwrb.org/>
> > >
> > > --
> > > Telamon
> > > Ventura, California
> >
> >

3609. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:02:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119639758.064314.169830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110843

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > Noone I thought you were said were studing for RN...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > > That's pretty close, Markie. There are quite a few RN's who were
> > > > gald for the service.
> > >
> > > HUH?
>
> "HUH" indeed. Shudda read your own mispelling and the
> play-on-words I did with it, Markie.
>
> > > > > (UNSNIP)...and trying to
> > > > > upgrade but I guess only ham need to upgradefrom the lowest levels of a
> > > > > feild
> > > >
> > > > Huh? What?
> > >
> > > LPN is lowest least qualisfied form of nursing license, and you haven't
> > > bothered to upgrade. What too lazy?

are you too lazy to upgrade? your words below sure sound like it.
>
> "Qualsified"...???
>
> As for upgrading, you'll remember I was caring for a terminally
> ill child for years, including the financial obligations that go with
> that.

Yes I recall, Your point?
>
> As for "upgrading", I am one of only 12 ALS-PN's in Southeatestern
> Tennessee...That's "Advanced Life Support" for you unwashed.

But still not an RN
>
> There's only four things I am prohibited from doing as an LPN
> unless there's an MD immediately present: (1) Conscious Sedation, (2)
> Initiate blood transfusions, (3) Administer certain antithrobolytics,
> and (4) act as charge nurse.

and your point?
>

> We very rarely do conscious sedation in the ER, so it's not a
> factor.

Thought you said you don't work in an ER anymore
>
> We very rarely hang blood in the ER...However even those
> limitation on LPN's are waived if there's an MD in in direct attendence
> and supervising the transfusion. And guess what...?!?! The ER is
> manned 24/7 by a physician. I hang blood weekly.
>
> We often give throbolytics in the ER for CVA and MI's. Same
> caveat for thrombolytics as blood products. I hang throbo's all the
> time.

blah blah
>
> And lastly, the extra seventy five cents and hour the charge
> nurses get for being charge nurses and all the hassle that goes along
> with it isn't worth it.

But you inist no ham is worthy unless he/she upgrades and the the cost
in time and/or effort is not a valid reason
>
> Nonetheless I am an enrolled RN student.

Still you have been doing this for years? 7 or 8 as I Understand it. My
mother manged it in 3 years part time with 2 kids (and I was certainly
a chaleneg to raise)
>
> I'll be an RN before Lennie's a licensee or you learn how to
> spell.

perhaps but you will have taken longer than I have ever heard of
>
> Nice try, though.

Yep looks like lazy

>
> > > > > > I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> > > > > > them.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Hmmm easy enough to call that a lie. In sending anything anywhere you
> > > > > > do in some generate it, but then that would be simply doing, what you
> > > > > > are so good
> > > >
> > > I take it that a lack of response means "No Comment"...
> >
> > one of yourless distoring mistakes
>
> Huh...?!?!
>

> E N G L I S H, Markie! This forum is SUPPOSED to be in E N G L I
> S H ! ! ! !

It is Spelling Nazi

>
> > > I did not generate (author) the e mails in question. Even Todd
> > > acknowledges that.
> >
> > You generated it. wether you authored it or any part of it I not an
> > issue I am comenting on. Although given your hisotry it is possible
> > that you even wrote the letters you objected to and sent them to
> > yourself though the Blogosphere, but I wasn't comenting on that either
>
> Regardless of how YOU try to redefine it, Markie, I didn't
> generate the e-mails in question. Even Todd acknowledges that.

Does he? haven't not seen, but then you keep redining te words you are
using
>
> Since you weren't even in the forum at that time, you look pretty
> foolish trying to redefine the parameters of an issue you weren't even
> party to!

You know this How? You Know I wasn't lurking

I simply see things differently than you, something that isn't hard

>
> > > > > > However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
> > > > > > grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
> > > > > > than adequate justification to pull your service.
> > > > >
> > > > > > You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
> > > > >
> > > > > > It really is THAT simple.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > and you who used to claim (and may still for all I know) to christain
> > > > > > turned him in, surely not your kindest of acts, and a violation of the
> > > > > > turn the other cheek maxim too
> > >
> > > And remember "Give Unto Ceasar That Which Is Ceasers, And Give
> > > Unto God That Which Is Gods"
> >
> > Yes and your point?
>
> The point is that there's nothing "un-Christian" about reporting
> someone who breaks the law or violates posted rules.

No law has been aleeged to have been broken and it is unchristian not
to turn the other cheek

>
> > > > > What does being a Christian or conforming to Christian principles
> > > > > >have to do with reporting a person breaking the law, Markie?
> > > > >
> > > > > You accused him of breaking the TOS not a law no crime was comitted at
> > > > > least not according to the facts you have presented there may have been
> > > > > a civil tort comttied by Todd
> > > >
> > > > But I allege he DID commit a crime, Markie.
> > >
> > What crime
> >
> He operated a broadcast trasmitter without a license.
>
> He operated a pirate TV transmitter without a license.
>
> He's presently operating an illegally modified ultralight.
>
> There's more, but those are sufficient.
>
> Spend some of your time on Hotmail doing some researchon your own,
> Markie.

On Hotmail? you mean Google right
>
> > > He facilitated the promulgation of those letters by providing the
> > > resources by which they could be generated and he incited the behaviour
> > > that resulted in it.
> > >
> > and this is criminal how?
> >
> It's criminal to facilitate a criminal act, Markie. Even in
> Illinois and Michigan.

the Aol is guilty of aiding in your stalking and threats of violence?
it is not a crime to insult you

>
> > > Besides...Why write "TOS" rules if you're not going to expect
> > > people to abide by them or provide protection for others with them?
> > >
> > Good question one worhty of puting to many providers but not on oypic
> >
> What in the blue blazes was that?

can't even take it when I agree with you on part of an issue.
>
> E N G L I S H, you blithering idiot.

TYPO the word was topic

>
> > > > > Is it your psotition that anyone calling 9-1-1 to report a crime

> > > > >in progress is un-Christian?
> > > >
> > > > GIGO
> > >
> > > You didn't answer my question, Markie. It only requires a "yes"
> > > or "no"...Is it YOUR position that people who call 9-1-1 to report a
> > > crime in progress are un-Christian?
> >
> > You Noticed?
> >
> > I responded to you. I did not answer you. I avoid answering rethorical
> > drivel as a general rule
>
> It's not rhetorical.

It was and remains rhetorical,

You going to answer the one "have you stoped beating your wife yet?"

or the one, "was your daughters Illnes the result of your abusing her?"
>
> You suggest that it's un-Christian to report violations of rules
> or laws. I want to know if you consider those other examples as
> un-Christian too.

You want many things. Learn to live with dissapointment is my best
advice
>
> D o you have valid answer, or was this just Markie imressing
> himself?

No I don't since their can no valid answer to an invalid question

>
> > > > > Are the viewers of "America's Most Wanted" un-Christian?
> > > > >
> > > > GIGO
> > >
> > > You again refuse to answer a yes/no question.
> >
> > Yep as is my right
>
> And it's my right to call you a spineless coward. Seems it's
> about right.

so much for your claims about decorum

>
> > > Is it your position that people who view "America's Most Wanted"
> > > are un-Christian?
> >
> > GIGO
> >

> > It my position OTOH that people that watch it are very likely fairly
> > sick people either before hand to watch it or after (as a result of)
> > watching it very much
>
> So...You consider any citizen participation in law enforcement as
> un-Christian, and no doubt un-American.

That is another of your infamous falsehoods and distortions. What I
said was watching the stream of dreck that program and Law and order
CSI etc is either sign of mental illness or likely to cause mental
illness
>
> Per America's Most Wanted's statistics, over 800 violent offenders
> have been apprehended due to citizen pariticpation.

So what?

GIGO
when you take something distort it into somthing atrue facts becomes
simply garbage

>
> I again ask you, is it un-Christian for citizens to participate in
> maintaining their own security, peace and well being...???

have you stop beating your wife yet?

>
> > > I'm not a "spelling NAZI", Markie.
> >
> > Yes you are
>
> No, I'm not.

you are always have been and going out on a limb always will be

>
> I do, however, take great pleasure in making fun of you.

Then of course you are a sadistic and by your coments on the subject
sick and twisted
>
> You do, afterall, provide a plethora of opportunites.
>
> > > I simply am aghast that a person can graduate high school in the
> > > United States with the absolutely horrific English skills you have.
> >
> > seond gade now HS means you were lieng when. oh why bother..
>
> WHAT THE HECK WAS THAT...?!?!
>
> "seond gade now HS means you were lieng when. oh why bother.."
>

> Markie, there's not ONE coherent thing there, other than "oh why
> bother"...and that may be the ONLY thing you've EVER said that makes
> ANY sense.

That is another lie Even you yourself complimented me on a letter to
CQ on the remark in the VHF collum on code testing. Therefore your
statement is lie

>
> THAT is why people can make such fun of you!
>
> And by the way...you insist that you are building a house now. Is
> that with the money you've saved all these years by not installing a
> spellchecker?

GIGO
>
> > > > WRONG the is the internet the FCC lacks any authority to determine how
> > > > we use langauge any suggestion to contary is plain idoitcy
> > >
> > > Markie, you REALLY owe it to yourself to be sure that you KNOW
> > > what you're talking about before you comment on it.
> > >
> > > We are not discussing Internet content. We're discussing Todd
> > > illegal broadcasting on the 20 meter band.
> >
> > No we are in a discusion of the use of langauge.
>
> No...YOU are in a discussion about use (abuse, actually) of
> language.

if true then you you are ling in claiming we are engaged in a discusion
of ..20 m
since I am part of we we are not discussing 20 m

>
> > It is legal to a call tranmision a broadcast if you like. you can also
> > call it a Beamer or wangdoodle if you like. I prefer to use the word
> > broadcast myself. does not make the tranmission under discusion illegal
> > if it is called a show or a broadcast. the content of the transmision
> > does or doesn't do that
>
> In the aforementioned "language", Markie, it has long ago been
> deemed imperitive to have certain words or phrases that, when used in
> certain context, have certain meanings. In some colloquial circles
> it's called a "frame of reference"

Been deemed imperitve by whom? then GIGO

>
> For the purpose of FCC applicaitons, hence applying to licensed
> Amateur Radio operators such as you and Todd, "broadcast" means one-way

> transmisions.

Says whom? and by what authority

>
> > > See what happens when you jump into the middle of an on-going rant
> > > without first taking the time to see who's ranting about what, Markie?
> > >
> > > Todd's already admitted to illegal broadcasting on TV and FM
> > > frequencies, and has admitted to operating an aircraft contrary to
> > > Federal Aviation rules and regulations.
> >
> > Several points
> >
> > One I doubt he admitted to anythign illegal etc by your disorting his
> > words though your warped mind
>
> Again you demonstrate your ABSOLUTE IGNORANCE, Markie.
>
> Todd has dozens of posts goign back over a decade bragging about
> his pirate radio/TV activities.
>
> > Two planes are an FAA matter not FCC
>
> No kidding, Sherlock? That's what I SAID. Allow me to repeat:
> "...and has admitted to operating an aircraft contrary to Federal
> Aviation rules and regulations..."

Which means it has nothting to radio of the FCC

>
> > Three he can to have comitted an illgal here on usenet, that is not a
> > crime.
>
> Illegal is illegal, Markie.

Only if a crime is being comitted

>
> > the only way he can comite a crime by cliaiming to have done
> > that I think of would be to cliam he took a shot at the president. That
> > would be a a crime
>
> What? What was that in E N G L I S H Markie...???
>
> > > Now he's come to RRAP, obstensibly to get approval for or to
> > > advertise the presence of his "shows".
> >
> > When did you jion PsiCorps?
>
> What's a "jion"...?!?! How do you "jion" something...?!?!
>

> PsiCorps? Another of your drafted but never released from Active
> Duty assignments, Colonel...?!?!

No but your reply proves your idoitcy
>
> > > The problem is that NOone cares about his broadcasts. He's simply
> > > using them as a sham for his self-promoting diatribes. Nothing more.
> >
> > Lier you obviously care a great deal
>
> What did I warn you about that, Markie?

Warn me? You threatening me again

>
> Living in a new jurisdiction doesn't change a thing.

More threats?

>
> > > > Just decidedto move I do that every few years getting longer and longer
> > > > as I get older but i do move every now and then
> > >
> > > Unfortunatley not far enough away vis-a-vis RRAP.
> >
> > from your point of view youare rigt for a change. be a man even just a
> > Human and deal with it
>
> Oh, I can deal with you, Markie. It's easy to do.

No you can't

>
> > > Your "writing" is a travesty. It's a violent rape of the English
> > > language, to say the least. Todd's a close second.
> >
> > Violent rape? what is that?
>
> It's what you do to the English language, Markie.

Ok then it sound like a fine and dandy thing to me

>
> > hacking out the rest
>
> Putz.

Sex obeseced prevert
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3610. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:03:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119639833.184977.64430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110842

N9OGL wrote:
> >There's more, but those are sufficient
>
> Really, what other lies are you spreading steve??? and BTW I ran a low
> power TV transmitter after wasting my time and money applying six times
> for a license, with waivers which the FCC wouldn't even consider even
> though the federal court have told them repeatively "you must consider
> waivers" like I said steve you don't know the whole story nor, were you
> there..
>
> Todd

Practicing Civil disobeinece then?

3611. Re: Gearing up for Field Day & Promoting Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:08:21 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <FSYue.10803$pa3.3938@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110844

Dee Flint wrote:
> This year I decided to try something a little different. Early this week, I
> sent an email to the people in my office briefly describing Field Day and
> how one of the things we do is send messages.

Way back when in the mid 70s our ham radio club would
do this for Valentine's day. Invite students who had
girlfriends off campus to have us send messages to them.
Was a way for the ham club to get some exposure and a
way to score some points with the student activities
committee (who doled out the money to the various
clubs of the college). This was before the Internet
being commonly available.

3612. Re: It appear Saggytits Lee has he head up he ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:10:42 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <pway.woger.pway@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 110845
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 23 Jun 2005 22:01:06 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
[nothing important]

I told you that your apology has been accepted.

Now pray that I don't decide to reject it.

==========
In message <a19ubh$e7u$0@pita.alt.net>, the regulars voting for the alt.flame awards elected Roger Wiseman as: Most Boring Writer, Worst Flamer, Fuckhead of the Year, Most Tenuous Spankclaim, Best Punching Bag, Most Unwelcome Comeback, and gave him the Golden Peewee Award for most Blatant IKYABWAI.

3613. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:11:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119640268.055646.307390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110846

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > ammussingly enough I expect for once Stevie that you are right on on
> > > > the result
> > >
> > > Top posting, Markie?
> > >
> > > Your written gulash is ahrd enough to follow, but now you top post
> > > too?
> > >
> > > > OTH...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > You meant "OTOH", perhaps? OTH in radio terms is "Over The
> > > Horizon".
> >
> > again you understand
>
> No, I didn't...hence the request to clarify your intent.

It was quite clear till you hack out the context and you can go back
yourself and do it lazy bumm

>
> You've not done that yet.

Nope and I am NOT carring your freight.

>
> > > > I suspect it more some of the last influence of the ARRL at the FCC
> > > > that will carry the day
> > >
> > > What? Huh?
> >
> > Gee I can't even largely agree with you and have be civil or not hack
> > my posts apart
>
> How can I tell you're agreeing with me or disagreeing when your
> replies are a rape of the English language, Markie?

Then you should if you are a christian turn the other cheek.

>
> And if you were agreeing with me, why "Stevie"..?!?!

becuase I felt like it. You never use my name politely
>
> On those few occassions where it appeared as though you might act
> reasonable, I refer to you as Mark, address you by your callsign and
> add a "73" to the post.

I am gald you stoped the false coutesy
>
> You seem to not do that.

No I don't. What was your first clue?

I'll go one a bit here

Why on earth would I use a morse deserved term when I dislike Morse?

>
> > As I said before at least you have drop the Flaso polite 73's from your
> > posts
>
> "Flaso"...?!?! Sounds like an Italian or Baltic name. Who's
> Flaso?
>
> I had dropped "73" from you a looooooooooooooooooooong time ago.
> Not so with others who are civil and mature in their responses.

and I am thanking you for it

>
> Steve, K4YZ

3614. K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:13:05 UTC
From: channel391@juno.com
Message-ID: <1119640385.333248.143850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

K1MAN SAYS:

FCC ISSUES A $21, 000 NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY AGAINST K1MAN

In a "NAL" released 7 June 2005, the FCC has opened a pandora's box, according to Glenn Baxter, Manager of IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, and AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association.

Mr. Baxter was recognized by the State of Maine legislature on March 13, 1991 and named as a Vermont Academy Distinguished Alumnus on October 1, 1993 for international amateur radio communications work with his IARN.

Mr. Baxter says that this whole charade is being driven by petty ham jealousy and the blatent incompetence of ARRL in failing over the years to come even close to the work of IARN in emergency communications work.

Mr. Baxter welcomes these court actions since he has been trying to "face off" with the Commission for over 15 years. "Many heads are finally going to roll," acording to Baxter. K1MAN's response is printed below together with the full text of the NAL.

In the matter of
Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
Registered Professional Engineer

3615. Re: Why Does Brian P Burke (N0IMD, "bb"") Insist On Reproving Himself A Liar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:13:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119640411.384867.140350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110848

I like that ty Hans

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > It was one of your many unsubstantiated assertions.
> >
>
> Rrap is like the bible .... it's all unsubstantiated .... just take it "on
> faith".

3616. Re: e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:23:12 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JeOdnbwhipgSwCHfRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 110849

> That is why the loss of the Novice license is such a disaster to Amateur
> Radio.

All in the name of pushing a mike button there Dan ....pure and simple ....

> We used to have to dig em out of the noise, hand on rx and follow them
> up/down the band. Not much for filters, just your ears and brain. But
> that's another subject.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Boy Dan that is right ..... did that with the old HA-230 ..... like I said before ....it drifted more than my uncle coming out of the Legion on a Saturday night .....

Take care Dan . et al see you on the air for FD ...W3CSL Monessen ARC ....

3617. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:25:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119641117.127622.284250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110850

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> Being a
> > non-combatant your whole career, I am sure that some sand in your eyes
> > or chapped hands from too much White-Out fluid was "trauma".
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Were you ever a combatant, I mean when you were in the service?

Forgive me but him what you will I don't think it is proper to question
wether Stevie was a combatant, he was there at Valley Forgery saving
Ole GW (washington of course) with morse and heis still very much a
combatant

3618. Re: cmsg cancel <- So long, Woger<-showing off that cocksucking lisp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:26:10 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050624192610.ED54E171C6@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 110851
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <42c0ee92.95412345@news.copper.net>
fucknuts@america.com (LittleBird) wrote:
>
> Woger's big head is almost worth skiing on.

And you'd like to run your long tongue up and down his
head...his dick head, that is, huh, faggot?

3619. Re: RED ALERT! to all alzheimers victims...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:29:33 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ApOdnfey2u6UwiHfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 110852

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1119578483.146482.231120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> garigue wrote:
> >
> > In the world of toil and sin .....
> > your head grows bare but not your chin ...
> >
> > Burma Shave
>
> Ben met Anna
> Made a hit
> Neglected beard
> Ben-Anna split
>
>
> He used a match
> To check gas tank
> That's why they call him,
> Skinless Frank
>
>
> Said Juliet
> To Romeo
> If you won't shave
> Go homeo..
>
>
> Speed was high
> Curve was sharp
> White robe, halo
> Wings and harp
>
>
> Trains don't wander
> All over the map
> 'Cause no one sits
> On the engineer's lap
>
>
> He had the ring
> He had the flat
> She felt his chin

> And that was that
>
>
> Stop at crossings
> If you'd be spared
> Trains don't whistle
> Because they're scared
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I knew it ...I knew it ....that would bring some out of the wood work
..... Good ones Jim ...now Len can add this to his off topic list .....
They bring back a lot of good memories from the back seat of my dad's 50
Merc .... as a kid that is .....

73 Jim Tom Popovic KI3R Belle Vernon Pa

3620. Re: K1MAN Demands The Press Explain Why Its Not Reporting His Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:35:49 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <XaqdnZ4Xa7Wz-SHfRVn-jQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 110854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

well, lets see. if k1man via channel391 is all concerned about not getting his side of the story out in all those magazines it must mean that he doesn't have enough of an outlet of his own to think he is reaching anyone. where are all his loyal followers? where are all the members of iarn coming out to support him? where is the world wide network of iarn writing to all their powerful friends building support for his case? and where is he? i haven't heard one of his broadcasts in quite a while, its kind of hard to pretend he is a viable source of information these days without the broadcast... and without the print media... and without the friends...

<channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119639700.031315.165540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN is justly asking why the ham press has not presented his side of
> the story. Please read his editorial response below:
>
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED050616 -
>
> WHERE IS THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STORY?
>
> You read what the FCC says about K1MAN. You read about what other
> hams say about K1MAN. Where do you read about what K1MAN says?
> ARRL? No! QST? No! CQ? No! World Radio! No! K1TP? No!
> QRZ? No! What is wrong with this picture, you ignorant hypocrites?
> No wonder the Arabs hate our guts so much. No wonder Amateur Radio
> is going down the tubes, led by ARRL.
>
> I love both America and Amateur Radio, however. K1MAN will be on for
> many many years to come, and the true scum of our precious hobby and
> service will be thoroughly exposed in the upcoming court proceedings.
> K1MAN has been on the air with IARN information bulletins since 1987,
> and the FCC is as powerless to stop them as K1MAN is powerless to
> stamp out amateur radio ignorance. Fear not fellow Radio Amateurs.
> You have the constitutional right to be stupid! Only in America!
>
> Join the American Amateur Radio Association today! See www.K1MAN.com
>
> Ivan the Terrible wrote:
>> "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
>> news:OCTue.10637$pa3.8461@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> > I should have explained. What I was hearing sounded like a skipping cd
>> > repeating over and over for about 5 minutes. I guess that's what it
>> could
>> > have been. The radio host must have been asleep...

>>
>> Perhaps it was K1MAN buying airtime on WWRB to vent his redundant
>> IARN bull-shit, and in the process his cheap chinese Radio Shack
>> CD player got stuck in a loop or the digital lamp timer started
>> re-cycling
>> like he used to do on 75 Meters..?
>>
>> Now wouldn't that be JUST HILARIOUS if it turned out 2B as so..?
>> "Profit RG Stair" and K1MAN's IARN both broadcasting on WWRB???
>>
>> Baaaaaaaaaaaaaa Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> Jeeze Louise! ...where's R.H. when ya' need em! (...grin)
>>
>> >
>> > "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> >
>> news:telamon_spamshield-D56097.22094023062005@newssvr14-ext.news.prodigy.com
>> > ...
>> > > In article <2ZKue.11743$eM6.7114@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
>> > > "Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > Ok what the hell is this?
>> > > > 3:16 utc
>> > >
>> > > > 5,050 WWRB MCCAYSVILLE 2200-0500 english wNA McCaysville 115 to
>> > > > 150Kw
>> > >
>> > > > Program (0300-0330 UTC): Way of Truth
>> > >
>> > > > <http://www.wwrb.org/>
>> > >
>> > > --
>> > > Telamon
>> > > Ventura, California
>> >
>> >
>>
>

3621. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:44:30 UTC
From: Herb <herb@yupyoufoool.com>
Message-ID: <d9hnmj$a1q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

The commission is a very "leaky" organization, and my source
tells me a certain manager is, putting it mildly, furious that the
K1MAN NAL was issued. Look for one or more very quiet
early retirements and/or resignations.

<channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119640385.333248.143850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN SAYS:
>
> FCC ISSUES A $21, 000 NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY AGAINST K1MAN
>
> In a "NAL" released 7 June 2005, the FCC has opened a pandora's box,
> according to Glenn Baxter, Manager of IARN, the International Amateur
> Radio Network, and AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association.
>
> Mr. Baxter was recognized by the State of Maine legislature on March
> 13, 1991 and named as a Vermont Academy Distinguished Alumnus on
> October 1, 1993 for international amateur radio communications work
> with his IARN.
>
> Mr. Baxter says that this whole charade is being driven by petty ham
> jealousy and the blatent incompetence of ARRL in failing over the years
> to come even close to the work of IARN in emergency communications
> work.
>
> Mr. Baxter welcomes these court actions since he has been trying to
> "face off" with the Commission for over 15 years. "Many heads are
> finally going to roll," acording to Baxter. K1MAN's response is
> printed below together with the full text of the NAL.
>
> In the matter of
> Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
> Registered Professional Engineer
>

3622. Re: 2610852105431874

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 19:57:32 UTC
From: Ernie <Nobody@home.com>
Message-ID: <c51dd$42bc6573$8b370400$6342@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 110855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nahhhh....PIN number to his only credit card

Dont forget your PIN!
<TheLoneBigFish@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119591414.081491.243930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> spy numbers?
>

3623. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 20:39:01 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050624203901.A9D84171A2@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 110856

In article <rM6dnf5I18eqvCHfRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <m...@gbronline.com> whined:

> Hey 2test,

"2test" ..... Butt Cornholer keeps showing off his lack of
spelling skills and makes good spell.

> I heard you got a job cleaning out porta potties.

Hey, Butt Cornholer, find another source for your meal handouts.
Don't expect others to supply them for you, welfare leech.

Oh, and wrong guess, as usual, dumbass Butt Cornholer.

3624. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 20:49:21 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <lt2dnZwJ0qQ_4SHfRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110859

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer AKA 2test (you know, the
ham that Riley made retest to keep his license) wrote:
> In article <rM6dnf5I18eqvCHfRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Butt Corey correctly said:
>
>
>>Hey 2test,
>
>
> "2test" ..... Butt Cornholer keeps showing off his lack of
> spelling skills and makes good spell.
>
>
>
>>I heard you got a job cleaning out porta potties.
>
>
> Hey, Butt Cornholer, find another source for your meal handouts.
> Don't expect others to supply them for you, welfare leech.
>
> Oh, and wrong guess, as usual, dumbass Butt Cornholer.
>

And I understand you get to carry home all you want.

3625. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 20:49:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119646180.767557.124890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110857

an_old_friend wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >There's more, but those are sufficient
> > >
> > Really, what other lies are you spreading steve??? and BTW I ran a low
> > power TV transmitter after wasting my time and money applying six times
> > for a license, with waivers which the FCC wouldn't even consider even
> > though the federal court have told them repeatively "you must consider
> > waivers" like I said steve you don't know the whole story nor, were you
> > there..
> > >
> > Todd
> >
> Practicing Civil disobeinece then?

Sort of, I don't see why a person has to continously file applications,
a fee, and waivers if the FCC isn't even going to look at it. I applied
six times for a tv license for a community that dosen't have a local tv
service, each time I sent all that stuff in a week later I would get
all of it back. Then the FCC would go to court against pirate operators
and say "Oh, all this person has to do is apply for license and ask for
a waiver" We I did all that and it got me nowhere so I figured why
apply, after applying six times it pointless, so I ran a "pirate" TV
station

Todd N9OGL

3626. Re: e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 20:57:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <EOSdnVRmEc5l4yHfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110861

garigue wrote:
>>That is why the loss of the Novice license is such a disaster to Amateur
>>Radio.
>
>
> All in the name of pushing a mike button there Dan ....pure and simple ....
>
>
>
>
>
>>We used to have to dig em out of the noise, hand on rx and follow them
>>up/down the band. Not much for filters, just your ears and brain. But
>>that's another subject.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> Boy Dan that is right ..... did that with the old HA-230 ..... like I said
> before ....it drifted more than my uncle coming out of the Legion on a
> Saturday night .....
>
> Take care Dan . et al see you on the air for FD ...W3CSL Monessen ARC
> ....
>
>

And Novices were required to be crystal controlled, which meant that a Novice might own 1 or 2 crystals, or maybe a rich kid would own 3 crystals. So you first checked to see if the frequency of your crystal was in use, if not then call CQ, three by three, CQ three times, your call three times, and that repeated three times. Then you scanned the band because chances are some other novice answering you would not have the same crystal frequency you did. As Dan says, one learned to dig em' out, and the drifty receivers made it even more challenging.

3627. Re: K1MAN Demands The Press Explain Why Its Not Reporting His Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 21:01:00 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <EOSdnVdmEc7B4iHfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

channel391@juno.com wrote:
> K1MAN is justly asking why the ham press has not presented his side of
> the story. Please read his editorial response below:
>
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED050616 -
>
> WHERE IS THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STORY?
>
> You read what the FCC says about K1MAN. You read about what other
> hams say about K1MAN. Where do you read about what K1MAN says?

Who cares what the idiot MAN has to say, his actions speak very loudly
about him.

3628. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 21:21:26 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <vttob1ltlrkr246uidlaen7h961v8uuah6@4ax.com>
Source record: 110858

On 23 Jun 2005 21:56:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: Leo on Thurs 23 Jun 2005 19:15
>
>>On 23 Jun 2005 14:36:54 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>> On 22 Jun 2005 03:58:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> <plus 8 more back-and-forths>
>
> <gigantic snip>
>
>>>You really underestimate me, Leo. If that's your real name.
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>Actually, Jim, I have probably overestimated you. Thought you were
>>smarter than that - and hoped that you might see the reality of what
>>is being done to you.
>>
>>But, Hey - have fun at the newsgroup beatings! Hope you get out of
>>them what you need! Go get 'im, Jim! He's wrong!!!!!
>>
>>73, Leo (or am I? Hmmm........(paranoia setting in....aaaaaah!) :)
>
> Shhhh. Don't tell him we are really all AI constructs living
> in his computer!

Dang! I think he's beginning to believe that there are! Heh heh.

I might not even be me anymore! :) :) :)

>
> ooops, here come da bells....WRRRONNNNNNNNG....WRRRONNNNNNG...
> WRRRONNNNNNGGG...WRRRONNNNNGGGGG... [has a ring to it...]

Hmmm - that reminds me of the sound my old outboard makes when it's
just above idle ... add a few 'blurbles' in there, and that's it! :)

>
> The "beat" goes on. :-)

Hey - thanks for 'Cher'-ing, Len! :) :) :)

>
>

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> WRRRONNNNNGGGGGGGGGnnnnggggnnnnggggnnnnggggg... [forgot that one]

BlurblewroooooonnnnnnnggggggggblurbleblurbleblurblewrrrrrrrrrroooooonnnnnnnnnnggggggPFFFFFFFFF
FFFFFTTTTTTT.......

Pull.....sputter

Pull.....sputter cough

Pull.....sputter

73, Leo

3629. Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 21:40:37 UTC
From: policy-ham <hamop_62@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119649237.556139.108330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Do any of you know about this on line petition to the fcc
to stop them from renewing the ham license of K1MAN? I found
it on eham.net.

L.L.

Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN:
Brian (K3VR) on June 15, 2005

By now, you've probably heard that K1MAN has received a large NAL
(fine) from the FCC, for Broadcasting, Wilful Interference, Lack of
Station Control, Failure to File Required Information, and Pecuniary
Interest.

Even if K1MAN were to pay this NAL, there is no guarantee that his
obnoxious behavior will change. If he were to lose his license, and yet
still continue to transmit, at least the Government could seize his
equipment.

The fact is, K1MAN has received lots of letters from the FCC over the
years and nothing to date has stopped his trashing of Amateur Radio.
For this reason, I believe he should permanently lose his license to
transmit over amateur radio.

As it happens, K1MAN's license is due to expire on October 17th. The
FCC already has a procedure in place where we, and the rest of the
listening public, can make our voices heard regarding K1MAN's license
renewal.

I propose we use the FCC's standard procedures to file what's known as
a "Formal Petition to Deny Renewal." Basically, the petition would be
signed by all of us who believe K1MAN's license should NOT be renewed.

As it happens, there is a website being written right now to accomplish
this task. The "Petition to Deny License Renewal to K1MAN" is in the
process of being written by Keith Lamonica (W7DXX).

Based on K1MAN's on-air behavior, we will ask that the FCC not renew
K1MAN's license this fall. I've already begun collecting comments.

All you need to do is indicate in writing, that you believe K1MAN's
amateur radio licenses should NOT be renewed.

Referencing his NAL letter, send your comments to:

Brian Crow
2550 Mosside Blvd

Suite 212
Monroeville, PA
15146

Include your comment in favor of the petition to deny license renewal to K1MAN, along with your name, callsign, address, signature, and a daytime phone number for verification.

Your comments will be submitted to the FCC along with the petition. Even a postcard with the required information will do it. If you have a lot to say, send me a letter.

Please join me in supporting this Formal Petition to Deny License Renewal to K1MAN. We need YOUR comments!

The website and petition, now in progress of being completed, is located at:

http://www.no2k1man.com/

Thanks for your support!

3630. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 22:12:59 UTC
From: Herb <herb@yupyoufoool.com>
Message-ID: <d9i0au$sc5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119649237.556139.108330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Do any of you know about this on line petition to the fcc
> to stop them from renewing the ham license of K1MAN? I found
> it on eham.net.
>

Yeah, it is just more whining and crying from you
cry baby hams. Grow up and get a life.

3631. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 22:15:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <aC%ue.29$9x6.3967033@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

... and somewhere in the world another freebander is born...

John

"policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119649237.556139.108330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Do any of you know about this on line petition to the fcc
> to stop them from renewing the ham license of K1MAN? I found
> it on eham.net.
>
> L.L.
>
> Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN:
> Brian (K3VR) on June 15, 2005
>
> By now, you've probably heard that K1MAN has received a large NAL
> (fine) from the FCC, for Broadcasting, Wilful Interference, Lack of
> Station Control, Failure to File Required Information, and Pecuniary
> Interest.
>
> Even if K1MAN were to pay this NAL, there is no guarantee that his
> obnoxious behavior will change. If he were to lose his license, and
> yet
> still continue to transmit, at least the Government could seize his
> equipment.
>
> The fact is, K1MAN has received lots of letters from the FCC over the
> years and nothing to date has stopped his trashing of Amateur Radio.
> For this reason, I believe he should permanently lose his license to
> transmit over amateur radio.
>
> As it happens, K1MAN's license is due to expire on October 17th. The
> FCC already has a procedure in place where we, and the rest of the
> listening public, can make our voices heard regarding K1MAN's license
> renewal.
>
> I propose we use the FCC's standard procedures to file what's known as
> a "Formal Petition to Deny Renewal." Basically, the petition would be
> signed by all of us who believe K1MAN's license should NOT be renewed.
>
> As it happens, there is a website being written right now to
> accomplish
> this task. The "Petition to Deny License Renewal to K1MAN" is in the
> process of being written by Keith Lamonica (W7DXX).

>
> Based on K1MAN's on-air behavior, we will ask that the FCC not renew
> K1MAN's license this fall. I've already begun collecting comments.
>
> All you need to do is indicate in writing, that you believe K1MAN's
> amateur radio licenses should NOT be renewed.
>
> Referencing his NAL letter, send your comments to:
>
> Brian Crow
> 2550 Mosside Blvd
> Suite 212
> Monroeville, PA
> 15146
>
>
> Include your comment in favor of the petition to deny license renewal
> to K1MAN, along with your name, callsign, address, signature, and a
> daytime phone number for verification.
>
> Your comments will be submitted to the FCC along with the petition.
> Even a postcard with the required information will do it. If you have
> a
> lot to say, send me a letter.
>
> Please join me in supporting this Formal Petition to Deny License
> Renewal to K1MAN. We need YOUR comments!
>
> The website and petition, now in progress of being completed, is
> located at:
>
> http://www.no2k1man.com/
>
> Thanks for your support!
>

3632. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 22:19:25 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <NF%ue.11376$VK4.8046@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?

"policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119649237.556139.108330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Do any of you know about this on line petition to the fcc
> to stop them from renewing the ham license of K1MAN? I found
> it on eham.net.
>
> L.L.
>
> Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN:
> Brian (K3VR) on June 15, 2005
>
> By now, you've probably heard that K1MAN has received a large NAL
> (fine) from the FCC, for Broadcasting, Wilful Interference, Lack of
> Station Control, Failure to File Required Information, and Pecuniary
> Interest.
>
> Even if K1MAN were to pay this NAL, there is no guarantee that his
> obnoxious behavior will change. If he were to lose his license, and yet
> still continue to transmit, at least the Government could seize his
> equipment.
>
> The fact is, K1MAN has received lots of letters from the FCC over the
> years and nothing to date has stopped his trashing of Amateur Radio.
> For this reason, I believe he should permanently lose his license to
> transmit over amateur radio.
>
> As it happens, K1MAN's license is due to expire on October 17th. The
> FCC already has a procedure in place where we, and the rest of the
> listening public, can make our voices heard regarding K1MAN's license
> renewal.
>
> I propose we use the FCC's standard procedures to file what's known as
> a "Formal Petition to Deny Renewal." Basically, the petition would be
> signed by all of us who believe K1MAN's license should NOT be renewed.
>
> As it happens, there is a website being written right now to accomplish
> this task. The "Petition to Deny License Renewal to K1MAN" is in the
> process of being written by Keith Lamonica (W7DXX).
>
> Based on K1MAN's on-air behavior, we will ask that the FCC not renew
> K1MAN's license this fall. I've already begun collecting comments.

> All you need to do is indicate in writing, that you believe K1MAN's
> amateur radio licenses should NOT be renewed.
>
> Referencing his NAL letter, send your comments to:
>
> Brian Crow
> 2550 Mosside Blvd
> Suite 212
> Monroeville, PA
> 15146
>
>
> Include your comment in favor of the petition to deny license renewal
> to K1MAN, along with your name, callsign, address, signature, and a
> daytime phone number for verification.
>
> Your comments will be submitted to the FCC along with the petition.
> Even a postcard with the required information will do it. If you have a
> lot to say, send me a letter.
>
> Please join me in supporting this Formal Petition to Deny License
> Renewal to K1MAN. We need YOUR comments!
>
> The website and petition, now in progress of being completed, is
> located at:
>
> http://www.no2k1man.com/
>
> Thanks for your support!
>

3633. Re: e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 23:09:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <_o0ve.12443$eM6.2245@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110865

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:EOSdnVRmEc5I4yHfRVn-jg@gbronline.com...
> garigue wrote:
>>>That is why the loss of the Novice license is such a disaster to Amateur
>>>Radio.
>>
>>
>> All in the name of pushing a mike button there Dan ....pure and simple
>> ....
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>We used to have to dig em out of the noise, hand on rx and follow them
>>>up/down the band. Not much for filters, just your ears and brain. But
>>>that's another subject.
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>> Boy Dan that is right ..... did that with the old HA-230 ..... like I
>> said
>> before ....it drifted more than my uncle coming out of the Legion on a
>> Saturday night .....
>>
>> Take care Dan . et al see you on the air for FD ...W3CSL Monessen ARC
>> ....
>>
>>
>
> And Novices were required to be crystal controlled, which meant that a
> Novice might own 1 or 2 crystals, or maybe a rich kid would own 3
> crystals. So you first checked to see if the frequency of your crystal was
> in use, if not then call CQ, three by three, CQ three times, your call
> three times, and that repeated three times. Then you scanned the band
> because chances are some other novice answering you would not have the
> same crystal frequency you did. As Dan says, one learned to dig em' out,
> and the drifty receivers made it even more challenging.

Oh yes indeed......remember how you could change the frequency of those
FT-243 rocks? Carefully take them apart and apply a thin layer of pencil
lead. Don't remember....did that raise or lower the freq? Another
technique was to drilll a hole in the face of the rock. Put a metal screw

in it and adjust the tension on the quartz via the spring that was inside.

Ah the good ole days.

Yeah right.

Dan/W4NTI

3634. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 23:33:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119656007.955919.160280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110866

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 15:48
> >
> >In RRAP, we have the uniformed, and the uninformed!
>
> The "uniformed and the uninformed!" I LIKE that phrase. Apt. :-)

A single person comes to mind.

BTW, Dee and Dan both just informed me that "dipshit" wasn't profanity,
so I think the phrase, "Gunny Dipshit" will be met with overwhelming
approval, unless K8MN corrects me and says it should be "Dipshit Gunny."

3635. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-24 23:46:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119656786.344246.158020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110867

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Steve, why do you do such things?
>
> Because people like you, Lennie, Todd and Markie provide more than
> adequate opportunities to have fun.

Len nor I have anything to do with your grudge with Mark, and if you consider your posting a form of "fun" then you truly do have mental problems.

> > You're an idiot.
>
> Coming FROM an idiot, I guess you'd know, eh...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Your posting this, and all the things like it, isn't that far from those dispicable posts made about your daughter.

You can apologize to Mark and be a better man.

3636. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 00:05:47 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <vd1ve.1759$5w3.1266@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 110868

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119640268.055646.307390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > ammussingly enough I expect for once Stevie that you are right on
on
> > > > > the result
> > > >
> > > > Top posting, Markie?
> > > >
> > > > Your written gulash is ahrd enough to follow, but now you top
post
> > > > too?
> > > >
> > > > > OTH...(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > > You meant "OTOH", perhaps? OTH in radio terms is "Over The
> > > > Horizon".
> > >
> > > again you understand
> >
> > No, I didn't...hence the request to clarify your intent.
>
> It was quite clear till you hack out the context and you can go back
> yourself and do it lazy bumm
>
> >
> > You've not done that yet.
>
> Nope and I am NOT carring your freight.
>
> >
> > > > > I suspect it more some of the last influence of the ARRL at the
FCC
> > > > > that will carry the day
> > > >
> > > > What? Huh?
> > >
> > > Gee I can't even largely agree with you and have be civil or not hack
> > > my posts apart

> >
> > How can I tell you're agreeing with me or disagreeing when your
> > replies are a rape of the English language, Markie?
>
> Then you should if you are a christian turn the other cheek.
>
> >
> > And if you were agreeing with me, why "Stevie"..?!?!
>
> becuase I felt like it. You never use my name politely
> >
> > On those few occassions where it appeared as though you might act
> > reasonable, I refer to you as Mark, address you by your callsign and
> > add a "73" to the post.
>
> I am gald you stoped the false coutesy
> >
> > You seem to not do that.
>
> No I don't. What was your first clue?
>
> I'll go one a bit here
>
> Why on earth would I use a morse deserved term when I dislike Morse?
>
> >
> > > As I said before at least you have drop the Flaso polite 73's from
your
> > > posts
> >
> > "Flaso"...?!?! Sounds like an Italian or Baltic name. Who's
> > Flaso?
> >
> > I had dropped "73" from you a looooooooooooooooooooong time ago.
> > Not so with others who are civil and mature in their responses.
>
> and I am thanking you for it
>
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>

Do you guys just HAVE to foul every damned thread with this crap?

Kim W5TIT

3637. Re: e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 00:08:49 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <W4KdnQcopIbgPSHfRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 110869

> Oh yes indeed......remember how you could change the frequency of those
> FT-243 rocks? Carefully take them apart and apply a thin layer of pencil
> lead. Don't remember....did that raise or lower the freq? Another
> technique was to drilll a hole in the face of the rock. Put a metal screw
> in it and adjust the tension on the quartz via the spring that was inside.
>
> Ah the good ole days.
>
> Yeah right.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Remember Meshna surplus had I think 4 Xtals for a buck at 7.150 which, by using some window glass and some toothpaste, one could knock off a few microns and shift the freq up ....only problem is that only 2 of the 4 worked after the grind ..... and that one I think was out of band ....

Definitely a second on the yeah right .....

73 Tom KI3R

3638. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 00:12:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119658366.650382.177760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110870

Kim wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1119640268.055646.307390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > ammussingly enough I expect for once Stevie that you are right on
> on
> > > > > > the result
> > > > > >
> > > > > Top posting, Markie?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Your written gulash is ahrd enough to follow, but now you top
> post
> > > > > too?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > OTH...(SNIP)
> > > > > >
> > > > > You meant "OTOH", perhaps? OTH in radio terms is "Over The
> > > > > Horizon".
> > > > >
> > > > again you understand
> > > >
> > > No, I didn't...hence the request to clarify your intent.
> > >
> > It was quite clear till you hack out the context and you can go back
> > yourself and do it lazy bumm
> >
> > >
> > > You've not done that yet.
> > >
> > Nope and I am NOT carring your freight.
> >
> > >
> > > > > > I suspect it more some of the last influence of the ARRL at the
> FCC
> > > > > > that will carry the day
> > > > > >
> > > > > What? Huh?
> > > > >
> > > > Gee I can't even largely agree with you and have be civil or not hack

> > > > my posts apart
> > >
> > > How can I tell you're agreeing with me or disagreeing when your
> > > replies are a rape of the English language, Markie?
> >
> > Then you should if you are a christian turn the other cheek.
> >
> > >
> > > And if you were agreeing with me, why "Stevie"..?!?!
> >
> > becuase I felt like it. You never use my name politely
> > >
> > > On those few occassions where it appeared as though you might act
> > > reasonable, I refer to you as Mark, address you by your callsign and
> > > add a "73" to the post.
> >
> > I am gald you stoped the false coutesy
> > >
> > > You seem to not do that.
> >
> > No I don't. What was your first clue?
> >
> > I'll go one a bit here
> >
> > Why on earth would I use a morse deserved term when I dislike Morse?
> >
> > >
> > > > As I said before at least you have drop the Flaso polite 73's from
> your
> > > > posts
> > >
> > > "Flaso"...?!?! Sounds like an Italian or Baltic name. Who's
> > > Flaso?
> > >
> > > I had dropped "73" from you a looooooooooooooooooooong time ago.
> > > Not so with others who are civil and mature in their responses.
> >
> > and I am thanking you for it
> >
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> Do you guys just HAVE to foul every damned thread with this crap?
>
> Kim W5TIT

"With K4YZe, All Things Are Impossible."

3639. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 00:12:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Fs2dnZ_5-s8LPCHfRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 110871

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119656007.955919.160280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 15:48
>> >
>> >In RRAP, we have the uniformed, and the uninformed!
>>
>> The "uniformed and the uninformed!" I LIKE that phrase. Apt. :-)
>
> A single person comes to mind.
>
> BTW, Dee and Dan both just informed me that "dipshit" wasn't profanity,
> so I think the phrase, "Gunny Dipshit" will be met with overwhelming
> approval, unless K8MN corrects me and says it should be "Dipshit Gunny."
>

However it is vulgar and vulgarity is also unacceptable.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3640. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 00:12:57 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119658376.948078.132530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110872

Kim wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1119640268.055646.307390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > ammussingly enough I expect for once Stevie that you are right on
> on
> > > > > > the result
> > > > > >
> > > > > Top posting, Markie?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Your written gulash is ahrd enough to follow, but now you top
> post
> > > > > too?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > OTH...(SNIP)
> > > > > >
> > > > > You meant "OTOH", perhaps? OTH in radio terms is "Over The
> > > > > Horizon".
> > > > >
> > > > again you understand
> > > >
> > > No, I didn't...hence the request to clarify your intent.
> > >
> > It was quite clear till you hack out the context and you can go back
> > yourself and do it lazy bumm
> >
> > >
> > > You've not done that yet.
> > >
> > Nope and I am NOT carring your freight.
> >
> > >
> > > > > > I suspect it more some of the last influence of the ARRL at the
> FCC
> > > > > > that will carry the day
> > > > > >
> > > > > What? Huh?
> > > > >
> > > > Gee I can't even largely agree with you and have be civil or not hack

> > > > my posts apart
> > >
> > > How can I tell you're agreeing with me or disagreeing when your
> > > replies are a rape of the English language, Markie?
> >
> > Then you should if you are a christian turn the other cheek.
> >
> > >
> > > And if you were agreeing with me, why "Stevie"..?!?!
> >
> > becuase I felt like it. You never use my name politely
> > >
> > > On those few occassions where it appeared as though you might act
> > > reasonable, I refer to you as Mark, address you by your callsign and
> > > add a "73" to the post.
> >
> > I am gald you stoped the false coutesy
> > >
> > > You seem to not do that.
> >
> > No I don't. What was your first clue?
> >
> > I'll go one a bit here
> >
> > Why on earth would I use a morse deserved term when I dislike Morse?
> >
> > >
> > > > As I said before at least you have drop the Flaso polite 73's from
> your
> > > > posts
> > >
> > > "Flaso"...?!?! Sounds like an Italian or Baltic name. Who's
> > > Flaso?
> > >
> > > I had dropped "73" from you a looooooooooooooooooooong time ago.
> > > Not so with others who are civil and mature in their responses.
> >
> > and I am thanking you for it
> >
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> Do you guys just HAVE to foul every damned thread with this crap?
>
> Kim W5TIT

"With K4YZ, All Things Are Impossible."

3641. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 00:53:26 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <H8adnQk-nOhLKCHfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110875

Kim wrote:
>>
>
> Do you guys just HAVE to foul every damned thread with this crap?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
From one with TIT in her call.

3642. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 01:04:40 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <l42ve.98$9g.22@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 110874

I don't know about the "petition", but think it is something for the masses (hams) to steer clear of. The FCC will or will not do something and I'm not sure the FCC would appreciate this sort of "feedback". We have already been a bit of a pain in the backside to the FCC and should probably keep involved in "policy" and not individual situations.

I do think it might be nice not to copy in shortwave groups, cb groups, and heaven knows how many other groups you posted to. This is not in the best interest of many of those newsgroups.

Just my two pennies' worth ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119649237.556139.108330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Do any of you know about this on line petition to the fcc
> to stop them from renewing the ham license of K1MAN? I found
> it on eham.net.
>
> L.L.
>
> Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN:
> Brian (K3VR) on June 15, 2005
>

<<snip>>

> Thanks for your support!
>

3643. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 01:33:00 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <3oWdnb4-H5KGIiHfRVn-jA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110878

```
Club Meds wrote:
> Poor Butt Cornholer, his stupidity is always
>
>
> Good old Wogie. Six posts in 35 minutes.
> Who owns who, Curtains?
>
>

I own 2test wogie and he knows it.
```

3644. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 02:11:38 UTC
From: Club Meds <NoShit@noRoger>
Message-ID: <d9ieffset0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110876

Poor Butt Cornholer, his stupidity is always

Good old Wogie. Six posts in 35 minutes.
Who owns who, Curtains?

3645. Re: cmsg cancel <- So long, Woger<-showing off that cocksucking lisp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 02:14:17 UTC
From: Club Meds <NoShit@noRoger>
Message-ID: <d9ieke$sr5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110877
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer" <nobody@cypherpunks.to> wrote
in message news:20050624192610.ED54E171C6@mail.cypherpunks.to...
In article <42c0ee92.95412345@news.copper.net>
fucknuts@america.com (LittleBird) wrote:
>
> Woger's big head is almost worth skiing on.

And you'd like to run your long tongue up and down his
head...his dick head, that is, huh, faggot?

.....

If it weren't for that foreskin, one would never be able to determine where
Roger's neck ends and his chin begins.

3646. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 02:19:55 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <42BCBF4B.1B11393E@yapoo.com>
Source record: 110879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Jim Hackett wrote:
>
> So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?

I guess you think Alaska is AL...

3647. Re: e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 02:25:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Rs2dnaZvK74ZXSHfRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110880

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> Oh yes indeed......remember how you could change the frequency of those
> FT-243 rocks? Carefully take them apart and apply a thin layer of pencil
> lead. Don't remember....did that raise or lower the freq? Another
> technique was to drilll a hole in the face of the rock. Put a metal screw
> in it and adjust the tension on the quartz via the spring that was inside.
>
> Ah the good ole days.
>
> Yeah right.

But I'll bet you had a blast, didn't ya?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3648. Re: e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 02:53:37 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1119668017.196382.83040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110881

garigue wrote:
> > Oh yes indeed......remember how you could change the frequency of those
> > FT-243 rocks? Carefully take them apart and apply a thin layer of pencil
> > lead. Don't remember....did that raise or lower the freq?

All "suspended" masses have natural frequencies and harmonics thereof.
Add mass and the freq goes down. Remove mass and the freq goes up.

> > Another
> > technique was to drilll a hole in the face of the rock. Put a metal screw
> > in it and adjust the tension on the quartz via the spring that was inside.
> >
> > Ah the good ole days.
> >
> > Yeah right.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> Remember Meshna surplus had I think 4 Xtals for a buck at 7.150 which, by
> using some window glass and some toothpaste, one could knock off a few
> microns and shift the freq up

In these parts us N-Band crawlers lapped our xtal freqs up with Bon-Ami
and lowered 'em by rubbing 'em with sodder. Had pretty good success
rates both ways.

> ....only problem is that only 2 of the 4
> worked after the grind ..... and that one I think was out of band ....
>
> Definitely a second on the yeah right .....

Yeah, but it was an awful lotta fun.

>
> 73 Tom KI3R

w3rv

3649. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 03:34:45 UTC
From: Bob <bob@nowhere.nil>
Message-ID: <Qg4ve.356$p5.109@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 110882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Jim Hackett wrote:
> So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?
>
>

ME is Meshetuchatts of course...
:)

3650. Re: K1MAN Demands The Press Explain Why Its Not Reporting His Side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 03:35:30 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <42bcd104$0$18640$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 110883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119639700.031315.165540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN is justly asking why the ham press has not presented his side of
> the story. Please read his editorial response below:
>
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED050616 -
>
> WHERE IS THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STORY?
>
> You read what the FCC says about K1MAN. You read about what other
> hams say about K1MAN. Where do you read about what K1MAN says?
> ARRL? No! QST? No! CQ? No! World Radio! No! K1TP? No!
> QRZ? No! What is wrong with this picture, you ignorant hypocrites?
> No wonder the Arabs hate our guts so much. No wonder Amateur Radio
> is going down the tubes, led by ARRL.

Blah blah blah.

I read the above piece of drivel on QRZ.COM, so where is the problem?
Answer - he flew into this raging tirade BEFORE it was published, not after
it was refused.

Brad.

3651. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 05:17:14 UTC
From: Not Roger Too <SocialMisfit@notRoger>
Message-ID: <d9ipbflho0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Bob" <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote in message
news:Qg4ve.356$p5.109@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
Jim Hackett wrote:
> So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?
>
>

ME is Meshetuchatts of course...
:)

Baxter lives in Massatoosets, or is it Massatoochits? Or in Massa Two Tits?
Any way you cut it, however you spell it, Glenn and his Blabfests are
hopefully going to be a thing of the past.
It will be interesting to see if Baxter will go down with both guns blazing
as he cohosts with arrogant Richard Whiten, ex WB2OTK and fellow jammer by
his side.
That $21,000 fine is sure to put a dent in his coffers, even for a
"professional engineer" of his self-proclaimed high standing.
Hey, Glenn. Ever entertain the idea of initiating a legal defense fund? I'll
donate two cents.

3652. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 05:20:48 UTC
From: Not Roger Too <SocialMisfit@notRoger>
Message-ID: <d9ipi5lvn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110885

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:H8adnQk-nOhLKCHfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com...
Kim wrote:
>>
>
> Do you guys just HAVE to foul every damned thread with this crap?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
From one with TIT in her call.

The only one "fouling" things here is AB8MQ, a social misfit and openly
insane individual.
Pray for him and urge him to seek help.

3653. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 05:21:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050625012110.107$hy_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 110886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Who gives a fuck?

3654. BroadcastDB - Listen to live radios - Watch live TV: Just added:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 05:48:46 UTC
From: streaming@broadcastdb.com
Message-ID: <1119678526.578740.53860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110887
Cross-posted to: fj.rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.music.france

BroadcastDB - Listen to live radios - Watch live TV: Just added:

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

Station of the Week:

Onda 8 Radio 88.8 FM - Dance, News, CHR - Malaga, Andalucia / Spain

New stations:

Webradio Tuga - Portuguese music - London/UK/ Portugal
Q 102 FM - Adult Contemporary - Dublin / Ireland
Clasica 100.1 FM - Classical - Rosario, Prov. Santa Fe / Argentina
Compacto 87.9 FM - Dance, Hits, Pop - . Santa Fe / Argentina
Femenina 96.7 FM - Romantic, latin, soccer from Chile, news - Region
VIII Bio Bio / Chile
Sintonia 88 FM - Hits, CHR - Fuerteventura Las Palmas, Canary islands /
Spain
Südtirol1 103.7 FM - Rock, pop , news , local - Trentino Alto Adige /
Italy
Los 40 FM 91.3 - Top 40 CHR - Panama City / Panama
Marbella Stereo 104.3 FM - Top 40 CHR, Pop - Panama City / Panama
Canal1 Power 92.1 FM - Top 40 CHR, Pop - Panama City / Panama
Radio 10 FM - Top 40 CHR, Pop - Panama City / Panama
AutoRadio 90.3 FM - Adult Contemporary - Pern / Russia
Borneo 107.2 FM (128K) - CHR, Top 50 - Voronezh / Russia
Classic Petersburg 88.9 FM - Opera, Classical - St. Pertersberg /
Russia
Maximum 103.7 FM - Adult Contemporary - Moscou / Russia
FM 88 - Classic Hits - Buenos Aires / Argentina
Filadelfia 97.1 FM - Variety, Gospel, Evangelic - Buenos Aires /
Argentina
Masiva 100.1 FM - Pop - Jose C Paz, Gran Buenos Aires / Argentina
Norte 91.9 FM - Adult Contemporary - Buenos Aires / Argentina
Rio 89.7 FM - CHR, Pop - Buenos Aires / Argentina
Webradio Una - Webradio, Pop Alternative - Buenos Aires / Argentina
Espiral 106.4 FM - Hard Dance, Progresive, House - Valencia / Spain
Futura 90.8 FM - Dance, Pop - Valencia / Spain

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

- Search our database of live radios and TV's
- Save a list of your favorite stations
- Rate the stations - let others know who has the best music
- Add stations, help us build the biggest stream database on the web

Listen live radios by format

Talk, news, sports, variety, religious, classical, jazz, latin,
brasilian music, easy listening, ambient, lounge, alternative, college,
CHR, Top 40,
Dance, Urban, Rock, classic rock, adult contemporary, classics, oldies,
country.

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

---

http://www.broadcastdb.com
Radios, TV, live streams on the web!
A database of all Live broadcast stations on the Internet

3655. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 06:56:40 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam>
Message-ID: <d9iv5r$25q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Who gives a fuck?

You do.

3656. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 09:33:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119691986.437134.61620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110889

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > ammussingly enough I expect for once Stevie that you are right on on
> > > > > the result
> > > > >
> > > > Top posting, Markie?
> > > > >
> > > > Your written gulash is ahrd enough to follow, but now you top post
> > > > too?
> > > > >
> > > > > OTH...(SNIP)
> > > > >
> > > > You meant "OTOH", perhaps? OTH in radio terms is "Over The
> > > > Horizon".
> > > >
> > > again you understand
> > >
> > No, I didn't...hence the request to clarify your intent.
> >
> It was quite clear till you hack out the context and you can go back
> yourself and do it lazy bumm

I always get a laugh from people like you who HIDE behind the
American's with Disabilities Act calling others "lazy".

> > You've not done that yet.
> >
> Nope and I am NOT carring your freight.

I doubt you have a car of any signifigance to carry any freight
in, Markie.

> > > > > I suspect it more some of the last influence of the ARRL at the FCC
> > > > > that will carry the day
> > > > >
> > > > What? Huh?
> > > >
> > > Gee I can't even largely agree with you and have be civil or not hack
> > > my posts apart
> > >
> > How can I tell you're agreeing with me or disagreeing when your
> > replies are a rape of the English language, Markie?

>
> Then you should if you are a christian turn the other cheek

You keep trying to impose arbitrary religious content here,
Markie. Why?

> > And if you were agreeing with me, why "Stevie"..?!?!
>
> becuase I felt like it. You never use my name politely

I used to.

Then you started following Lennie's lead with "Stevie" and calling
me a "lier" when the facts are clear that YOU are the one who's wrong.

Stop lying and start calling me "Steve" and I will reciprocate by
calling you "Mark".

> > On those few occassions where it appeared as though you might act
> > reasonable, I refer to you as Mark, address you by your callsign and
> > add a "73" to the post.
>
> I am gald you stoped the false coutesy

What? Huh? Stoped? What's a "false coutesy"...???

You meant "false courtesy"...?!?! If I said it, it wasn't false.

> > You seem to not do that.
>
> No I don't. What was your first clue?

Anything you write south of "KONSTANS". Pretty much a sure bet
that there's falshoods or other misrepresentations to follow from there
on down...

> I'll go one a bit here
>
> Why on earth would I use a morse deserved term when I dislike Morse?

What in the world is a "morse deserved term"...?!?!

> > > As I said before at least you have drop the Flaso polite 73's from your
> > > posts
> >
> > "Flaso"...?!?! Sounds like an Italian or Baltic name. Who's
> > Flaso?
> >
> > I had dropped "73" from you a looooooooooooooooooooong time ago.
> > Not so with others who are civil and mature in their responses.
>
> and I am thanking you for it

Only you, Morgan!....Only you...

BBBWWWWHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

3657. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 11:13:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119697985.716826.304430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110890

```
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > Steve, why do you do such things?
> >
> > Because people like you, Lennie, Todd and Markie provide more than
> > adequate opportunities to have fun.
>
> Len nor I have anything to do with your grudge with Mark, and if you
> consider your posting a form of "fun" then you truly do have mental
> problems.

Brain, you've NOT been paying attention...A G A I N ! ! !

You said "Why do (I) do such thingS?" (emphasis mine on the plural)

I answered your question directly and honestly.

You, Mark and Lennie all provide more than adequate opportunites to
have fun. You lie more than a cheap Persian rug, get caught up in your
own tales, and ultimately wind up cussing and throwning tantrums.

> > > You're an idiot.
> >
> > Coming FROM an idiot, I guess you'd know, eh...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Your posting this, and all the things like it, isn't that far from
> those dispicable posts made about your daughter.

It's a LOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOONG way from desecrating the
memory of ANY deceased person, Brain.

Taelor never had the opportunity to fend for herself.

You, Mark and Lennie...well...

> You can apologize to Mark and be a better man.

Apologize for what, Brain?

You're always about "apologizing", yet there's years of YOUR
mistruths, deceit and outright fabrications out there that you've shown
absolutely NO interest in making amends for...
```

SO where do YOU get off suggesting others apologize for ANYthing?

Steve, K4YZ

3658. Re: k4yz blows another mental gasket it seems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 11:28:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119698881.199030.314070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110891

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

Bunch of trash snipped.

> > > In not adknowleding the realities of the law you prove yourself a
> > > Sociiopath meeting the textbook meaning it is impossible for 2 guy to
> > > marry in Illinois simple fact
> >
> > First of all, it's "sociopath".
>
> More speling Nazi? Obviosly you understood well enough

Not really...it takes a while to wade through your verbal garbage,
Mark.

I expect that trying to "read" a Kindergartner's "painting", but
not from an adult.

You should be six kinds of ashamed...especially when the technology
is there to cover your six for you.

> > Secondly, I didn't say anything about being married
> > "legally"...Gays have been getting "married" for years, except it's
> > called a "civil union". I am sure the two of you could work out the
> > details.
>
> I supose we could IF either of us were interested but what busness is
> it yours?

I just think you two would make a cute couple.

> > > Further I am certian you are liing in saying those are the only things
> > > that are impossible that or plain mentaly ill. Or both of course. Which
> > > is it?
> >
> > First of all, I said what things >>I<< consider (as in an opinion)
> > to be impossible. Persoanlly, I believe in transwarp space drive,
> > telemolecular transportation, and that Michael Jackson's skin condition
> > really is vitilago (OK...two out of three...) None of those things
> > presently exisit, however they shall some day,
>
> So the statement that you don't beleive any else in the world (or would
> you prefer universe?) is impoosible is plaily a lie. Indeed you have
> satted something along the line of it is impossible that I have been in
> the service

It is impossible that you've been in the service.

You've even acknowledged that you lied about it.

There's nothing left for me to do but laugh at you for it.

> I never said anything about your opinion on tranwarps or transmat , and
> I'll stay well away from anything to do with poorMJ

You missed the point again. And why can't you get the subjects
right even when they are right in front of you to copy from, Mark??

> You are a stalker a Bigot and a disgraceto the uniform you cliam to
> have worn

You're a liar, a liar and a liar.

(1) If I was a "stalker", you'd never know it.

(2) If I was a bigot, you'd be hanging from a tree.

(3) If I was a disgrace to the uniform of ANY organization I've
ever been in, then I'd not have the restraint and professionalism to
keep from doing items 1 and 2

> A disgrace to medicine

Gee..that's strange...I'm one of only 12 LPN's within 100 miles of
here who can do the things I do...

> a Lair distroter of context

Huh? A what?

> Hypocrite

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...That's cute. You first lie about being
a Field Grade Army officer, then lie about being a Company Grade Army
officer, then lie about haivng ever served...Then you have the temerity
to call others who DID serve "a disgrace" even though you didn't know
them when they served...But you have the cajones to call THEM
"hypocites".............?!?!?!?!

> Sex Obcessed, (and perhaps sex repressed too)

You mean "obscessed"..?!?! Hardly.

> and likely represed Homosexual

Nope. Nice try at diversion, Mark!

> > Does Mr Markie know what a liar you are?
>
> Auf anglish bitte?

Geeze, Mark, you even abuse the German language.

> > Putz.
>
> Lunatic

Your mama.

Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

3659. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 12:05:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050625080550.585$Hg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 110892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Who gives a fuck?
>
> You do.
>
Hi, greasy haired fuck.

3660. Re: Who gives a fuck about some greasy haired x-posting ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 12:08:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050625080801.290$ul@newsreader.com>
Source record: 110893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Who gives a fuck?
>
> You do.
>
ROGER WISEMAN'S GREYHOUND MEN'S ROOM BAND

Lead vocal: Dr. Winston O'Boogie

It was twenty years ago today,
Roger Wiseman forced the boys to be gay
He's been going in and outa their rears
And he's made them into ravin' queers.
So watch out or I'll force on you
The act we've hated all these years,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.

We're Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band,
Disciples of the Bucktoothed Choad,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band,
Sit back and watch us shoot a load.
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound, Roger Wiseman's Greyhound,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.
It's wonderful to be here,
But Wiseman's full of crap,
The dirty goddamn pederast:
That fucker gave us syphilis,
That fucker gave us clap.

I don't really want to stop the show,
But I thought you had all better know,
That Wiseman's going to play a song,
On a stickpin that he stuck through his schlong.
So let me introduce to you
The one and only Bucktoothed Choad
And Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.

3661. Re: It appear Saggytits Lee has his head up he ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 12:14:53 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119701693.271481.41040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110894
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunksofshit.com)whined from birch.net
nothing important:
>
> I told you that my apology should have been accepted.
>
> Now pray that I don't decide to cry and whine about it.

Poor Saggytits the texass twat, always a whining loser!
Go fuck yourself, Saggytits.

3662. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 12:20:42 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119702042.119649.97240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110895

Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys wrote:
> Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer AKA 2test (you know, the
> ham that Riley made retest to keep his license) wrote:

"2test" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell!

BWHAHAHA "Riley made retest to keep his license"

Funny how Roger's retest letter doesn't say he was made to retest other
than the fact the FCC can make ANY VE-tested ham retest.

> > In article <rM6dnf5I18eqvCHfRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
> > Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys incorrectly said:
> >
> >
> >>Hey 2test,
> >
> >
> > "2test" ..... Butt Cornholer keeps showing off his lack of
> > spelling skills and makes good spell.
> >
> >
> >
> >>I heard you got a job cleaning out porta potties.
> >
> >
> > Hey, Butt Cornholer, find another source for your meal handouts.
> > Don't expect others to supply them for you, welfare leech.
> >
> > Oh, and wrong guess, as usual, dumbass Butt Cornholer.
> >
>
> And I understand you get to carry home all you want.

BWHAHAHAHAHA Butt Cornholer admits he eats shit! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!

3663. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 12:23:11 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119702191.055445.4310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110896

Club Aids whined:

> Good old

Good old Club Aids, My lapdog who jumps at every turn like Butt
Cornholer. Nice to see you are so bereft of alternate activities you
keep track of everybody's posts, loser.

3664. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 12:26:14 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119702374.741558.193710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110897

Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys admits:

> I am owned by 2test wogie and he knows it.

We know you are, bitch. Hear back from gbronline yet, you owned bitch?

"2test" "Wogie".... Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

3665. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 12:43:47 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119703427.128971.108870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110898

```
K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > Steve, why do you do such things?
> > >
> > > Because people like you, Lennie, Todd and Markie provide more than
> > > adequate opportunities to have fun.
> >
> > Len nor I have anything to do with your grudge with Mark, and if you
> > consider your posting a form of "fun" then you truly do have mental
> > problems.
>
> Brain, you've NOT been paying attention...A G A I N ! ! !
>
> You said "Why do (I) do such thingS?" (emphasis mine on the plural)
>
> I answered your question directly and honestly.
>
> You, Mark and Lennie all provide more than adequate opportunites to
> have fun. You lie more than a cheap Persian rug, get caught up in your
> own tales, and ultimately wind up cussing and throwning tantrums.

Then your grasp on reality continues to slip.

> > > > You're an idiot.
> > >
> > > Coming FROM an idiot, I guess you'd know, eh...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Your posting this, and all the things like it, isn't that far from
> > those dispicable posts made about your daughter.
>
> It's a LOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOONG way from desecrating the
> memory of ANY deceased person, Brain.
>
> Taelor never had the opportunity to fend for herself.
>
> You, Mark and Lennie...well...
>
> > You can apologize to Mark and be a better man.
```

>
> Apologize for what, Brain?
>
> You're always about "apologizing", yet there's years of YOUR
> mistruths, deceit and outright fabrications out there that you've shown
> absolutely NO interest in making amends for...
>
> SO where do YOU get off suggesting others apologize for ANYthing?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Suit yourself and continue to be the Steve that we all know. Never a change for the better, always a turn for the worse.

You are to be pittied.

3666. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 12:51:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119703885.306284.272430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110950

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Calvin McRathbayern wrote:
> > >
> > > > As most people do, you fail to comprehend the major
> > > > thrust of Mr Baxter's legal standing.
> > >
> > > "Mr. Baxter" has no standing here.
> > >
> > > He's broken the law...repeatedly.
> > >
> > > The weight of the federal government is about to (finally) land
> > > square on his head.
> > >
> > > With any luck, the next "thrust" "Mr Baxter" will experience will
> > > be courtesy of his new roomate, Bubba.
> >
> > Pretty even for you. To endorse the rape of anyone...(SNIP)
>
> Who said anything about rape, you ignorant illiterate idiot?
> Thee's not a single word in that post about rape.

Typical Robeson Dodge. Just like "she must be selling ""SOMETHING""
and "bricks, tires, terror" etc, etc, etc.

Robeson is not to be trusted.

> > ((NSNIP)...proves your lack of
> > moral character and shows you to be a pretty and discusting peice of
> > filth
>
> You're the filth, Markie.
>
> You've still never apologize for imitating a commissioned officer
> of the Armed Forces.

Bob Hope imitated commissioned officers his whole career. Are you
still waiting for his apology?

3667. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 12:52:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119703962.356144.182820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110899

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > You, Mark and Lennie all provide more than adequate opportunites to
> > have fun. You lie more than a cheap Persian rug, get caught up in your
> > own tales, and ultimately wind up cussing and throwning tantrums.
>
> Then your grasp on reality continues to slip.

It's not my "reality" that's problematic, Brain.

It's yours.

Where's that "unlicensed devices" data? "Overblown" ARES
statistics?

Etc, etc, etc.

> > You're always about "apologizing", yet there's years of YOUR
> > mistruths, deceit and outright fabrications out there that you've shown
> > absolutely NO interest in making amends for...
> >
> > SO where do YOU get off suggesting others apologize for ANYthing?
>
> Suit yourself and continue to be the Steve that we all know. Never a
> change for the better, always a turn for the worse.

There's always a change.

I just dont' change where tolerating liars and deceivers comes in.

(That would be you, Lennie and Mark, byt the way.....)

> You are to be pittied.

By who?

You...?!?!

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

Steve, K4YZ

3668. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 12:57:30 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119704250.701759.243020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110951

Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119656007.955919.160280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "bb" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 15:48
> >> >
> >> >In RRAP, we have the uniformed, and the uninformed!
> >>
> >> The "uniformed and the uninformed!" I LIKE that phrase. Apt. :-)
> >
> > A single person comes to mind.
> >
> > BTW, Dee and Dan both just informed me that "dipshit" wasn't profanity,
> > so I think the phrase, "Gunny Dipshit" will be met with overwhelming
> > approval, unless K8MN corrects me and says it should be "Dipshit Gunny."
> >
>
> However it is vulgar and vulgarity is also unacceptable.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Great! NOW you tell me it's unacceptable!!!

;^)

Are the things that Steve says to people acceptable?

3669. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 12:58:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119704332.003989.97990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110952

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Who said anything about rape, you ignorant illiterate idiot?
> > Thee's not a single word in that post about rape.
>
> Typical Robeson Dodge. Just like "she must be selling ""SOMETHING""
> and "bricks, tires, terror" etc, etc, etc.

You continue to make accusations yet you continue to NOT
substantiate them, Brain.

> Robeson is not to be trusted.

You cut that short.

"Robeson is not to be trusted to stop demanding that Brain et al
stop lying and deceiving, etc..."

> > > ((NSNIP)...proves your lack of
> > > moral character and shows you to be a pretty and discusting peice of
> > > filth
> >
> > You're the filth, Markie.
> >
> > You've still never apologize for imitating a commissioned officer
> > of the Armed Forces.
>
> Bob Hope imitated commissioned officers his whole career. Are you
> still waiting for his apology?

Nope. Because Bob Hope never did it to be deceitful and evasive.

Markie did. Markie knowingly misrepresented himself as a
Commissioned Officer of the Armed Forces for personal gain or intent.

That's a felony.

Steve,K4YZ

3670. Re: cmsg cancel <- So long, Woger<-showing off that cocksucking lisp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 13:00:03 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <e3f4b969bef16b710ff3c24fcfe01b0f@dizum.com>
Source record: 110953
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d9ieke$sr5$0@pita.alt.net>
"Club Aids" <NoShit@noRoger> wrote:
>
>
> "Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer"
<nob...@cypherpunks.to> wrote
> in message
news:20050624192610.ED54E171C6@mail.cypherpunks.to...

> And you'd like to run your long tongue up and down his
> head...his dick head, that is, huh, faggot?
>
> ....
>
> If it weren't for that foreskin,

Your tongue wouldn't have a place to rest, huh, Club Aids the
FAGGOT?

3671. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 13:00:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119704452.861676.43320@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110954

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1119520608.381811.76380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> I've had similar experiences on FD. Some folks think they're
> doing well to make QSOs on SSB at a certain rate with a beam and 100 W
> transceiver, with an op and a logger. Exhausted after an hour or two,
> they wander over to the CW tent and find me working
> them at 2, 3 or more times their rate, with a dipole, "old"
> transceiver, and no logger.
>
> The reactions when presented with a manual transmatch were
> priceless, too.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Yeah it is. I was at a FD a few years back and I fired up the "bug". You
> should have seen their looks of amazement. Had em standing in line to ask
> me questions afterwards.
>
> Dan/W4nti

The older you'se guys gets, the better you'se was...

3672. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 13:30:40 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <40dve.11784$VK4.6844@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Ahh thanks. One of those funny little states over on the other side of the yard...

"Bob" <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote in message news:Qg4ve.356$p5.109@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> Jim Hackett wrote:
> > So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?
> >
> >
>
> ME is Meshetuchatts of course...
> :)

3673. Re: Todd Blames Everyone Except Person Truly Responsible For His Predicament

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 13:39:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119706746.777172.209260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110956

N9OGL wrote:
> >And for the billionth time, Todd...
> >Those letters themselves wouldn't have mattered.
> >There was enough LEGITIMATE stuff to "can" you.
> >I reported it. Consolidated agreed.
>
> First off ####head...(SNIP)

Just an easy and familiar habit to drop back into, isn't it, Todd?

> (UNSNIP)...and for the billionth time as you would say...(SNIP)

Such as when...?!?!

> this isn't YOUR NEWSGROUP!!!!

No kidding, eh?

Where did I say it was?

> you don't own it, nor are you the moderator,

Was that a sentence? Was there a point?

> You steve are nothing more then an sadist ham cop who sits on the
> amateur newsgroups on the internet and watch what people post and then
> turn around and report it, why???

No, Todd....

I "reported" specific things you did and said TO ME.

> because you get your nuts off on it.

No, I don't.

> It really sad when a phone company won't listen to a paying customer,
> but will listen to a mental ill person like yourself.

Still not accepting responsibility for your own behaviour,
Todd...?!?!

You'll NEVER get past this until you realize that YOUR mouth and
YOUR behaviour.

Consolidated saw exactly the same stuff you and I did.

They acted on it.

They told you to stay away.

You didn't do it.

YOU lost YOUR broadband....Not me...

> >Yeah..uh huh...Right...
> >Chances are that if people find out WHY you were canned, they'll
> >be MORE likely to join Consolidated just becasue they know that
> >Consolidated is concerned with keeping trash off the Internet, even if
> >it is just one piece at a time.
>
> I know steve you probably live a shelter life, but out here in the
> "real world" people Do talk like that...(SNIP)

No, Todd, people do NOT, as a matter of routine life, talk "like
that".

Yes...they do it in porno's, some "action" movies, and rap music
videos.

Educated, responsible adults do NOT talk like that in public.

> here's an Idea don't go to New
> York City, you'll probably hear stuff that make my post look calm.

I've been to New York City. And Miami. And Los Angeles. And
Dallas, Atlanta, Nashville, etc etc etc.

People don't carry on like that as a matter of "real life"
conversations in public.

> but
> it really doubtful that people would go rushing to consolidated, they
> charge too much ($300-350 for startup fee) and ($36 to $40 a month) and
> when people hear that consolidated listen to a non-paying customer, who
> is a sadist, and NOT a paying customer, well...

Problem is that your scenario is wrong.

I am not a sadist.

But you ARE a liar, a cheat, a lawbreaker and deadbeat.

Let's get into a side by side debate over Consolidated's practices
and see who carries the day, eh, Todd...?!?!

> >What YOU continue to ignore is that those letters weren't the only
> >reason you got canned.
>
> and you continue to not to understand that what YOU did was wrong, when
> there were alternatives, but instead you have proven to ever one on
> here what I had been saying that your an ###hole!!

And YOU keep ignoring the fact that I DID those things, including
trying to get you to stop the offensive language and do something to

control your blog posters.

You refused.

Nothing I did was wrong, illegal or immoral.

That YOU don't LIKE it is another story.

> >No, you're not.
> >But then this is a PUBLIC forum and a certain amout of decorum is
> >expected (or should be) "MF", "AH", "CS" and other such adjectives
> >are NOT language you typically hear walking down the street or walking
> >through Wal-Mart, and I don't think it's appropriate here.
> >Then when you DIRECTED it at me, well, that cinched it
>
> again you don't have to read my post and let me say you are a hypocite,
> you have the balls to call me gay when I am not...(SNIP)

Then prove it.

> like I said steve,
> don't go to New York City, they use those "Adjectives" all the time...(SNIP)

Not in public. Not in schools, museums, theaters or other public
venues.

And people who make it a point of being offensive get hauled away.

> I know I've been there a few times. in the "real world" people use those
> words and a form of expression, look at rap music....it's not my fault
> you live a shelter life.

I don't live a sheltered life. (Coming from an almost-40 year old
deadbeat sponging off of mom and dad, that was cute, Todd!)

I don't listen to rap music for that very reason.

> >Seems to me the "moron" was the customer who thought that
> >$36/month was a license to be obnoxious, mistruthful and idiotic with.
>
> No #####head for $36 a month they should at lease here my side but they
> wouldn't hear anything of it.

You had your chance.

You blew it.

> >In this case the @@@hole WAS the customer.
>
> >So far, you've blamed...
> >me for being a crybaby...
> >Consolidated for actually enforcing their own regulations and
> >threats...
> >Buzz Corey for, well, who knows why...
> >The ONLY person you've NOT blamed is the person responsible for

> >your predicament...
> >YOU!
>
> and just like you Corn###### has poroven that he too is a ###hole who
> had alternatives to reading my post, but just like you he is a sadist
> who get's his nut off by acting like a netcop

He did nothing wrong, illegal or immoral.

> >There a re no "shows" on Amateur Radio.
> >A "show" is a program prepared for broadcast for the general
> >public.
>
> first off it depends on who it is directed to...(SNIP)

No, it doesn't.

> ...if it's directed just to
> amateur's then it legal, my shows like I said is on radio, electronic,
> computers and radio regulations, and until you or little buddies at the
> FCC say otherwise that it's illegal, by showing me a rule that states
> it.

If you're producing a "show", it's neither an "information
bulletin", nor legal within the FCC's parameters.

> >If you'd "x" out the "bitches" and the "###hole", you would really
> >impessed me...
> >One step at a time, though, I guess.
>
> I'm sorry steve....I forgot you don't live in the real world....

Sure I do. I just live in a more responsible, professional world
where mature adults don't have to resort to sandlot profanity to try
and "one-upmanship" someone.

> >Do you think you're any more likely to get your broadband service
> >back by continuing to use profanity and call the people with their
> >fingers on the service keys names in a public forum...?!?!
>
> oh callign someone gay when they are not, isn't insulting....you
> bastard

My mom and dad were married BEFORE I was born, Todd...

> I'm going to waste my time writing my name or my call simply because
> your not worth it.

Your callsign is becomming a laughing stock, Todd. People are
looking out for you now. Too late to try and hide it now.

Steve, K4YZ

3674. Acting like idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 13:50:07 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <jidve.1861$5w3.222@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 110958

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:H8adnQk-nOhLKCHfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com...
> Kim wrote:
> >>
> >
> > Do you guys just HAVE to foul every damned thread with this crap?
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
> From one with TIT in her call.
>

Your mind running wild with you, not mine. And, I may have the TIT in my call, but I'm not the one acting like an ass.

Kim W5TIT

3675. Seek Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 13:50:48 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <Yidve.1862$5w3.276@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 110957

"Not Roger Too" <SocialMisfit@notRoger> wrote in message
news:d9ipi5lvn0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:H8adnQk-nOhLKCHfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com...
> Kim wrote:
> >>
> > >
> > > Do you guys just HAVE to foul every damned thread with this crap?
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > >
> From one with TIT in her call.
>
> The only one "fouling" things here is AB8MQ, a social misfit and openly
> insane individual.
> Pray for him and urge him to seek help.
>
>

Is that good enough?

3676. Steve, please don't entertain them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 13:51:18 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <qjdve.1863$5w3.431@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 110959

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1119691986.437134.61620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > ammussingly enough I expect for once Stevie that you are right
on on
> > > > > > the result
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Top posting, Markie?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Your written gulash is ahrd enough to follow, but now you top
post
> > > > > > too?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > OTH...(SNIP)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You meant "OTOH", perhaps? OTH in radio terms is "Over The
> > > > > > Horizon".
> > > > >
> > > > > again you understand
> > > >
> > > No, I didn't...hence the request to clarify your intent.
> > >
> > It was quite clear till you hack out the context and you can go back
> > yourself and do it lazy bumm
>
> I always get a laugh from people like you who HIDE behind the
> American's with Disabilities Act calling others "lazy".
>
> > > You've not done that yet.
> > >
> > Nope and I am NOT carring your freight.
>
> I doubt you have a car of any signifigance to carry any freight
> in, Markie.
>
> > > > > > I suspect it more some of the last influence of the ARRL at the
FCC
> > > > > > that will carry the day

> > > > >
> > > > > What? Huh?
> > > > >
> > > > Gee I can't even largely agree with you and have be civil or not
hack
> > > > my posts apart
> > > >
> > > How can I tell you're agreeing with me or disagreeing when your
> > > replies are a rape of the English language, Markie?
> > >
> > Then you should if you are a christian turn the other cheek
>
> You keep trying to impose arbitrary religious content here,
> Markie. Why?
>
> > > And if you were agreeing with me, why "Stevie"..?!?!
> > >
> > becuase I felt like it. You never use my name politely
>
> I used to.
>
> Then you started following Lennie's lead with "Stevie" and calling
> me a "lier" when the facts are clear that YOU are the one who's wrong.
>
> Stop lying and start calling me "Steve" and I will reciprocate by
> calling you "Mark".
>
> > > On those few occassions where it appeared as though you might act
> > > reasonable, I refer to you as Mark, address you by your callsign and
> > > add a "73" to the post.
> > >
> > I am gald you stoped the false coutesy
>
> What? Huh? Stoped? What's a "false coutesy"...???
>
> You meant "false courtesy"...?!?! If I said it, it wasn't false.
>
> > > You seem to not do that.
> > >
> > No I don't. What was your first clue?
>
> Anything you write south of "KONSTANS". Pretty much a sure bet
> that there's falshoods or other misrepresentations to follow from there
> on down...
>
> > I'll go one a bit here
> > >
> > Why on earth would I use a morse deserved term when I dislike Morse?
>
> What in the world is a "morse deserved term"...?!?!

>
> > > > As I said before at least you have drop the Flaso polite 73's from
your
> > > > posts
> > >
> > > "Flaso"...?!?! Sounds like an Italian or Baltic name. Who's
> > > Flaso?
> > >
> > > I had dropped "73" from you a looooooooooooooooooooong time ago.
> > > Not so with others who are civil and mature in their responses.
> >
> > and I am thanking you for it
>
> Only you, Morgan!....Only you...
>
>
BBBWWWWHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

3677. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 14:06:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119704998.955476.258870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110960

an_old_friend wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Being a
> > > non-combatant your whole career, I am sure that some sand in your eyes
> > > or chapped hands from too much White-Out fluid was "trauma".
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Were you ever a combatant, I mean when you were in the service?
>
> Forgive me but him what you will I don't think it is proper to question
> wether Stevie was a combatant, he was there at Valley Forgery saving
> Ole GW (washington of course) with morse and heis still very much a
> combatant

Steve wasn't anywhere. Says he's got seven hostile actions, but if he
starts talking, his claims will be debunked, stolen valor style.

3678. Markie Getting Back Into His Usual Illiterate Stride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 14:40:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119710441.921617.249650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110961

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > Noone I thought you were said were studing for RN...(SNIP)
> > > > > >
> > > > > That's pretty close, Markie. There are quite a few RN's who were
> > > > > gald for the service.
> > > >
> > > HUH?
> > >
> > "HUH" indeed. Shudda read your own mispelling and the
> > play-on-words I did with it, Markie.
> >
> > > > > > > (UNSNIP)...and trying to
> > > > > > upgrade but I guess only ham need to upgradefrom the lowest levels of a
> > > > > > feild
> > > > >
> > > > Huh? What?
> > > >
> > > LPN is lowest least qualisfied form of nursing license, and you haven't
> > > bothered to upgrade. What too lazy?
> >
> are you too lazy to upgrade? your words below sure sound like it.

Then you weren't reading very well, were you?

> > "Qualsified"...???
> >
> > As for upgrading, you'll remember I was caring for a terminally
> > ill child for years, including the financial obligations that go with
> > that.
> >
> Yes I recall, Your point?

Uh huh. Know how much we were left in debt when she died?

> > As for "upgrading", I am one of only 12 ALS-PN's in Southeatestern
> > Tennessee...That's "Advanced Life Support" for you unwashed.
> >
> But still not an RN

Nope.

But RN's come to me when they need something done right and done
"now".

> > There's only four things I am prohibited from doing as an LPN
> > unless there's an MD immediately present: (1) Conscious Sedation, (2)
> > Initiate blood transfusions, (3) Administer certain antithrobolytics,
> > and (4) act as charge nurse.
>
> and your point?

The point is I am in no hurry, Markie. No need to be.

> > We very rarely do conscious sedation in the ER, so it's not a
> > factor.
>
> Thought you said you don't work in an ER anymore

Who told you that...?!?!

> > We very rarely hang blood in the ER...However even those
> > limitation on LPN's are waived if there's an MD in in direct attendence
> > and supervising the transfusion. And guess what...?!?! The ER is
> > manned 24/7 by a physician. I hang blood weekly.
> >
> > We often give thrombolytics in the ER for CVA and MI's. Same
> > caveat for thrombolytics as blood products. I hang thrombo's all the
> > time.
>
> blah blah

Wassamatta Markie? Facts boring you?

> > And lastly, the extra seventy five cents and hour the charge
> > nurses get for being charge nurses and all the hassle that goes along
> > with it isn't worth it.
>
> But you inist no ham is worthy unless he/she upgrades and the the cost
> in time and/or effort is not a valid reason

That is an absolute, baldfaced lie, Markie!

I have NEVER ONCE "insist(ed)" that ANY person is any more worthy
or less worthy based on Amateur Radio class.

I DARE YOU to find a quote, Markie!

What I HAVE said is that people who whine about "I can't do it"
are JUST THAT...whiners.

> > Nonetheless I am an enrolled RN student.
>
> Still you have been doing this for years? 7 or 8 as I Understand it. My
> mother manged it in 3 years part time with 2 kids (and I was certainly
> a chaleneg to raise)

Nope. Nursing IS a continual learning career pathway, but I only
recently signed the contract to go back to school.

Your pal Lennie is the one with the near-two-decade night school
history.

> > I'll be an RN before Lennie's a licensee or you learn how to
> > spell.
>
> perhaps but you will have taken longer than I have ever heard of

Heard what?

> > Nice try, though.
>
> Yep looks like lazy

Yes, you are. Glad you took that first step, Markie. Maybe there
is hope for you yet.

> > > > > > > I forwarded those letters to Consolidated. I didn't generate
> > > > > > > them.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Hmmm easy enough to call that a lie. In sending anything anywhere you
> > > > > > > do in some generate it, but then that would be simply doing, what you
> > > > > > > are so good
> > > > >
> > > > I take it that a lack of response means "No Comment"...
> > >
> > > one of yourless distoring mistakes
> >
> > Huh...?!?!
> >
> > E N G L I S H, Markie! This forum is SUPPOSED to be in E N G L I
> > S H ! ! ! !
>
> It is Spelling Nazi

It's got little to do with spelling, Markie..

Heck, if you'd just get the phonetics right and make some more
coherent sentences, you might be a bit more effective. (Like THAT will
happen.....)

> > > > I did not generate (author) the e mails in question. Even Todd
> > > > acknowledges that.
> > >
> > > You generated it. wether you authored it or any part of it I not an
> > > issue I am comenting on. Although given your hisotry it is possible
> > > that you even wrote the letters you objected to and sent them to
> > > yourself though the Blogosphere, but I wasn't comenting on that either
> >
> > Regardless of how YOU try to redefine it, Markie, I didn't
> > generate the e-mails in question. Even Todd acknowledges that.

>
> Does he? haven't not seen, but then you keep redining te words you are
> using

I keep WHAT to words I use...?!?!

Redining?

> > Since you weren't even in the forum at that time, you look pretty
> > foolish trying to redefine the parameters of an issue you weren't even
> > party to!
>
> You know this How? You Know I wasn't lurking

Well then, Markie, if you are now admitting you were lurking and
STILL don't know what's going on, that makes you look even MORE stupid
than a post-ago.

As if THAT were possible.....

> I simply see things differently than you, something that isn't hard

Congratulations. A coherent sentence.

Yes, we see things differently.

And the BIG difference is that I can more effectively express my
thoughts on those differences because I am NOT functionally illiterate.

> > > > > > > However those letters aside, there's one thing YOU seem to not
> > > > > > > grasp...And that is that even WITHOUT those e-mails, there was more
> > > > > > > than adequate justification to pull your service.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > You were warned. You ignored it. You lost your service.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > It really is THAT simple.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > and you who used to claim (and may still for all I know) to christain
> > > > > > > turned him in, surely not your kindest of acts, and a violation of the
> > > > > > > turn the other cheek maxim too
> > > > >
> > > > > And remember "Give Unto Ceasar That Which Is Ceasers, And Give
> > > > > Unto God That Which Is Gods"
> > > >
> > > > Yes and your point?
> > >
> > > The point is that there's nothing "un-Christian" about reporting
> > someone who breaks the law or violates posted rules.
>
> No law has been aleeged to have been broken and it is unchristian not
> to turn the other cheek

How would you know, Markie?

Did YOU get the letters too?

And do you know what you get when you turn the other cheek?

> > > > > > What does being a Christian or conforming to Christian principles
> > > > > >have to do with reporting a person breaking the law, Markie?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You accused him of breaking the TOS not a law no crime was comitted at
> > > > > > least not according to the facts you have presented there may have been
> > > > > > a civil tort comttied by Todd
> > > > >
> > > > > But I allege he DID commit a crime, Markie.
> > > >
> > > What crime
> > >
> > He operated a broadcast trasmitter without a license.
> >
> > He operated a pirate TV transmitter without a license.
> >
> > He's presently operating an illegally modified ultralight.
> >
> > There's more, but those are sufficient.
> >
> > Spend some of your time on Hotmail doing some researchon your own,
> > Markie.
>
> On Hotmail? you mean Google right

No.

Your e-mail domain (according to what you're posting with here...)
says Hotmail.

Perhaps I should have said "MSN" instead since they are the
sponsors of Hotmail. Is that better?

> > > > He facilitated the promulgation of those letters by providing the
> > > > resources by which they could be generated and he incited the behaviour
> > > > that resulted in it.
> > >
> > > and this is criminal how?
> >
> > It's criminal to facilitate a criminal act, Markie. Even in
> > Illinois and Michigan.
>
> the Aol is guilty of aiding in your stalking and threats of violence?
> it is not a crime to insult you

There's not been any stalking.

There's not been any threats.

There HAVE been you and Brian Burke quoting out of context, but if
there WAS a VALID threat, AOL could have dropped me.

No Valid Threat = No TOS violation.

> > > > Besides...Why write "TOS" rules if you're not going to expect
> > > > people to abide by them or provide protection for others with them?
> > > >
> > > Good question one worhty of puting to many providers but not on oypic
> > >
> > What in the blue blazes was that?
> >
> can't even take it when I agree with you on part of an issue.

How can I tell you're "agreeing", Mark...?!?!

What's an "oypic"...

> > E N G L I S H, you blithering idiot.
> >
> TYPO the word was topic

But 50% or MORE of your posts are typos put into disjointed,
rambling sentences.

> > > > > > Is it your psotition that anyone calling 9-1-1 to report a crime
> > > > > >in progress is un-Christian?
> > > > > >
> > > > > GIGO
> > > > >
> > > > You didn't answer my question, Markie. It only requires a "yes"
> > > > or "no"...Is it YOUR position that people who call 9-1-1 to report a
> > > > crime in progress are un-Christian?
> > > >
> > > You Noticed?
> > >
> > > I responded to you. I did not answer you. I avoid answering rethorical
> > > drivel as a general rule
> > >
> > It's not rhetorical.
> >
> It was and remains rhetorical,
>
> You going to answer the one "have you stoped beating your wife yet?"

I never started, Markie. Striking women who aren't gloved up in a
boxing ring is the epitome of vile.

> or the one, "was your daughters Illnes the result of your abusing her?"

Nope. It was the result of her mother being exposed to a
cropduster early in the first trimester of the pregnancy.

Why do you ask?

> > You suggest that it's un-Christian to report violations of rules
> > or laws. I want to know if you consider those other examples as
> > un-Christian too.

>
> You want many things. Learn to live with dissapointment is my best
> advice

Oh, I do.

I keep hoping-against-hope that you'll get a spellchecker and take
a remedial English Composition course.

> > D o you have valid answer, or was this just Markie imressing
> > himself?
>
> No I don't since their can no valid answer to an invalid question

There IS a valid answer.

You either do or do not consider it to be "un-Christian" to report
crime.

Which is it?

> > > > > > Are the viewers of "America's Most Wanted" un-Christian?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > GIGO
> > > > >
> > > > > You again refuse to answer a yes/no question.
> > > >
> > > > Yep as is my right
> > >
> > > And it's my right to call you a spineless coward. Seems it's
> > > about right.
>
> so much for your claims about decorum

Nope. Just making a point you can seem to understand.

> > > > > Is it your position that people who view "America's Most Wanted"
> > > > > are un-Christian?
> > > >
> > > > GIGO
> > > >
> > > > It my position OTOH that people that watch it are very likely fairly
> > > > sick people either before hand to watch it or after (as a result of)
> > > > watching it very much
> > >
> > > So...You consider any citizen participation in law enforcement as
> > > un-Christian, and no doubt un-American.
>
> That is another of your infamous falsehoods and distortions.

No, it's not.

In three replies YOU have stated that it's un-Christian to do those
things.

Nothing false in what I said, and nothing distorted about it.

It was YOUR suggestion.

> What I
> said was watching the stream of dreck that program and Law and order
> CSI etc is either sign of mental illness or likely to cause mental
> illness

No one, least of all me, said ANYTHING about fictionalized TV
shows.

I asked you about the FACTUAL show "America's Most Wanted".

Nothing else.

> > Per America's Most Wanted's statistics, over 800 violent offenders
> > have been apprehended due to citizen pariticpation.
>
> So what?

So what indeed, Illiterate One.

Those are 800 people who will NOT have the likelyhood of involving
YOU in one of thier crimes.

> GIGO

AMW is garbage?

There's a lot of folks out there who are very glad for it.

> when you take something distort it into somthing atrue facts becomes
> simply garbage

What's distorted?

What garbage?

You claim reporting illegal or inappropriate activity is
"un-Christian".

I've given you several examples of what YOU would call
"un-Christian" and asked you if those thigs would BE "un-Christian".

You refuse to answer.

Why?

> > I again ask you, is it un-Christian for citizens to participate in
> > maintaining their own security, peace and well being...???
>
> have you stop beating your wife yet?

Why do you ignore a valid question with such a childish diversion?

> > > > I'm not a "spelling NAZI", Markie.
> > >

> > > Yes you are
> >
> > No, I'm not.
>
> you are always have been and going out on a limb always will be

What?

> > I do, however, take great pleasure in making fun of you.
>
> Then of course you are a sadistic and by your coments on the subject
> sick and twisted

Hardly.

This post/reply is just typical.

Fully half of your stuff is typo'd.

You don't write complete sentences with even margianlly correct
punctuation.

I've asked you several very direct questions to determine what YOU
consider "Christian" or "un-Christian", and your only "reply" is "GIGO"
or the sandlot "Have you stopped beating your wife yet" stuff.

You make it reeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeal hard for anyone to NOT
understand why I find you such an idiot.

> > You do, afterall, provide a plethora of opportunites.
> >
> > > > I simply am aghast that a person can graduate high school in the
> > > > United States with the absolutely horrific English skills you have.
> > >
> > > seond gade now HS means you were lieng when. oh why bother..
> >
> > WHAT THE HECK WAS THAT...?!?!
> >
> > "seond gade now HS means you were lieng when. oh why bother.."
> >
> > Markie, there's not ONE coherent thing there, other than "oh why
> > bother"...and that may be the ONLY thing you've EVER said that makes
> > ANY sense.
>
> That is another lie Even you yourself complimented me on a letter to
> CQ on the remark in the VHF collum on code testing. Therefore your
> statement is lie

What lie?

That "sentence" was nothing more than "Scrabble" tiles tossed
across the table, Mark!

"seond gade no HS means you were lieng when"....?!?!

Does that REALLY mean something, or were you just slamming the
keyboard around a bit?

> > THAT is why people can make such fun of you!
> >
> > And by the way...you insist that you are building a house now. Is
> > that with the money you've saved all these years by not installing a
> > spellchecker?
>
> GIGO

No...

With a spellchecker it's GIOC: Garbage In, Options Considered

The problem is you won't use one.

You're like one idiot that comes to the ER all the time with clap
and syphllis...He keeps going to cheap hookers and winds up with
predictable results...He doesn't use HIS protection...

YOUR protection would be a spellchecker...

But then I guess it would be useless to you anyway, since it's
doubtful that you'd understand your error or be able to fix it if you
did.

> > > > > WRONG the is the internet the FCC lacks any authority to determine how
> > > > > we use langauge any suggestion to contary is plain idoitcy
> > > >
> > > > Markie, you REALLY owe it to yourself to be sure that you KNOW
> > > > what you're talking about before you comment on it.
> > > >
> > > > We are not discussing Internet content. We're discussing Todd
> > > > illegal broadcasting on the 20 meter band.
> > >
> > > No we are in a discusion of the use of langauge.
> >
> > No...YOU are in a discussion about use (abuse, actually) of
> > language.
>
> if true then you you are ling in claiming we are engaged in a discusion
> of ..20 m
> since I am part of we we are not discussing 20 m

No...YOU'RE not talking about 20 meters because you jumped into
this exchange without nowing what was going on...

You picked up on a couple of words and made a "reply" based upon a
completely non-contextual word or phrase.

> > > It is legal to a call tranmision a broadcast if you like. you can also
> > > call it a Beamer or wangdoodle if you like. I prefer to use the word
> > > broadcast myself. does not make the tranmission under discusion illegal
> > > if it is called a show or a broadcast. the content of the transmision

> > > does or doesn't do that
> >
> > In the aforementioned "language", Markie, it has long ago been
> > deemed imperitive to have certain words or phrases that, when used in
> > certain context, have certain meanings. In some colloquial circles
> > it's called a "frame of reference"
>
> Been deemed imperitve by whom? then GIGO

Civilization, Markie.

That excludes you, apparently.

> > For the purpose of FCC applicaitons, hence applying to licensed
> > Amateur Radio operators such as you and Todd, "broadcast" means one-way
> > transmisions.
>
> Says whom? and by what authority

The FCC.

The Constitution of the Unted States of America.

> > > > See what happens when you jump into the middle of an on-going rant
> > > > without first taking the time to see who's ranting about what, Markie?
> > > >
> > > > Todd's already admitted to illegal broadcasting on TV and FM
> > > > frequencies, and has admitted to operating an aircraft contrary to
> > > > Federal Aviation rules and regulations.
> > >
> > > Several points
> > >
> > > One I doubt he admitted to anythign illegal etc by your disorting his
> > > words though your warped mind
> >
> > Again you demonstrate your ABSOLUTE IGNORANCE, Markie.
> >
> > Todd has dozens of posts goign back over a decade bragging about
> > his pirate radio/TV activities.
> >
> > > Two planes are an FAA matter not FCC
> >
> > No kidding, Sherlock? That's what I SAID. Allow me to repeat:
> > "...and has admitted to operating an aircraft contrary to Federal
> > Aviation rules and regulations..."
>
> Which means it has nothting to radio of the FCC

What it DOES mean is that you weren't paying attention.

Again.

> > > Three he can to have comitted an illgal here on usenet, that is not a
> > > crime.
> >
> > Illegal is illegal, Markie.
>
> Only if a crime is being comitted

Gee. Figger that out all by yourself, Mark?

> > > the only way he can comite a crime by cliaiming to have done
> > > that I think of would be to cliam he took a shot at the president. That
> > > would be a a crime
> >
> > What? What was that in E N G L I S H Markie...???
> >
> > > > Now he's come to RRAP, obstensibly to get approval for or to
> > > > advertise the presence of his "shows".
> > >
> > > When did you jion PsiCorps?
> >
> > What's a "jion"...?!?! How do you "jion" something...?!?!
> >
> > PsiCorps? Another of your drafted but never released from Active
> > Duty assignments, Colonel...?!?!
>
> No but your reply proves your idoitcy

"idoitcy"

You TRY and call me an idiot and can't even spell it...?!?!

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

WHAT A SCHMUCK ! ! ! !

> > > > The problem is that NOone cares about his broadcasts. He's simply
> > > > using them as a sham for his self-promoting diatribes. Nothing more.
> > >
> > > Lier you obviously care a great deal
> >
> > What did I warn you about that, Markie?
>
> Warn me? You threatening me again

About your accusastion of lying where no lying exists...?!?!

You bet! Shall I re-file the LAST letter with the DA in the
county you reside in now? Go ahead and get him good-and-acquainted
with you...?!?!

> > Living in a new jurisdiction doesn't change a thing.
>
> More threats?

Only legal ones. Same as above.

> > > > > Just decidedto move I do that every few years getting longer and longer
> > > > > as I get older but i do move every now and then
> > > > >
> > > > > Unfortunatley not far enough away vis-a-vis RRAP.
> > > >
> > > > from your point of view youare rigt for a change. be a man even just a
> > > > Human and deal with it
> > >
> > > Oh, I can deal with you, Markie. It's easy to do.
> >
> > No you can't

Yes, I can...

> > > > > Your "writing" is a travesty. It's a violent rape of the English
> > > > > language, to say the least. Todd's a close second.
> > > > >
> > > > > Violent rape? what is that?
> > > >
> > > > It's what you do to the English language, Markie.
> >
> > Ok then it sound like a fine and dandy thing to me

I am sure it does. You just admitted you like violent rape.

Why am I not surprised?

> > > hacking out the rest
> > >
> > > Putz.
> >
> > Sex obeseced prevert

I don't know your mother. (and it's NOT "obeseced", Markie)

Steve, K4YZ

3679. Re: It appear Saggytits Lee has his head up he ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 15:16:44 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <shorty.woger.speaks@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 110962
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 25 Jun 2005 05:14:53 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote from Google:
:
: Poor Saggytits the texass twat, always a whining loser!

Learn to use a properly constructed subject line, Woger.

["Shorty type good subject line." -- Anonymous Tor]

====================
"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't
mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id
<5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d00573c@mail.futureworlds.it>

3680. Re: 2610852105431874

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 16:21:54 UTC
From: Boozer <LiChangchun@ccp.gov.cn>
Message-ID: <11br1682muotgf5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 110963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<TheLoneBigFish@gmail.com> wrote
> spy numbers?
>

Nah, he just likes the attention of the NSA.

3681. Re: cmsg cancel <- So long, Woger<-showing off that cocksucking lisp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 19:35:45 UTC
From: Not Roger Too <SocialMisfit@notRoger>
Message-ID: <d9kbl6$d5n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110964
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Unoriginal and juvenile, Roger.

"Your tongue wouldn't have a place to rest, huh, Club Aids the
FAGGOT?"
Roger Wiseman, ab8mq@arrl.net

3682. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 21:53:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119736388.604638.112190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110965

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > You, Mark and Lennie all provide more than adequate opportunites to
> > > have fun. You lie more than a cheap Persian rug, get caught up in your
> > > own tales, and ultimately wind up cussing and throwning tantrums.
> >
> > Then your grasp on reality continues to slip.
>
> It's not my "reality" that's problematic, Brain.
>
> It's yours.
>
> Where's that "unlicensed devices" data? "Overblown" ARES
> statistics?
>
> Etc, etc, etc.
>
> > > You're always about "apologizing", yet there's years of YOUR
> > > mistruths, deceit and outright fabrications out there that you've shown
> > > absolutely NO interest in making amends for...
> > >
> > > SO where do YOU get off suggesting others apologize for ANYthing?
> >
> > Suit yourself and continue to be the Steve that we all know. Never a
> > change for the better, always a turn for the worse.
>
> There's always a change.
>
> I just dont' change where tolerating liars and deceivers comes in.
>
> (That would be you, Lennie and Mark, byt the way.....)
>
> > You are to be pittied.
>
> By who?
>
> You...?!?!
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Anyone who starts a new thread titled:

"WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!"

is to be pittied.

Whatever gave you the idea that Tod and Mark might wed?

Why do you continue with your gay inuendos?

Why don't you seek help?

3683. K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-25 22:29:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119738549.767548.163900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110966

K1MAN PT2
FROM http://n9oglvice.blogspot.com

[13. Section 97.101(d) of the Rules states that ``[n]o amateur operator shall willfully or maliciously interfere with or cause interference to any radio communication or signal.''12 On November 27, 2004, December 8, 2004, and March 31, 2005, Baxter's Amateur station K1MAN commenced transmitting on top of existing communications on 3.890 MHz in apparent willful and repeated violation of 97.101(d) of the Commission's rules.]

OH, and the ARRL W1AW don't?? I don't see amateurs bitch and cry about W1AW, nor, do I see the FCC sending warning letters to them. Hey, interfernce is interfernce, and it doesn't matter who's causing it the rules should apply to all.

[14. Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules prohibits an Amateur station from transmitting any communications in which the station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary interest. On November 25, 2004 and March 30, 2005, Mr. Baxter's station repeatedly transmitted references to his website, which offers various products for sale, including a monthly newsletter published by Glenn Baxter and offered for sale for forty-five dollars per year. In addition, on December 1, 2004, Station K1MAN transmitted a seventy-minute interview with a person who was considering whether to retain Baxter Associates, an employment-search firm owned by Mr. Baxter. During the transmission, Mr. Baxter discussed fees, investments, and franchising opportunities. We find that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly violated Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules on each of these occasions by transmitting communications regarding matters in which he has a pecuniary interest.]

Oh, the ARRL doesn't do that??? the rule states that pecuniary interest applies to direct and indirect. K1MAN is doing direct, while the ARRL doesn't indirect.

[16. Section 97.113(b) of the Rules prohibits, with limited exceptions not applicable here, an Amateur station from engaging in any form of broadcasting or transmitting one-way transmissions. Section 97.3(a)(10) of the Rules defines broadcasting as ``transmissions intended for reception by the general public.'' 14 We find that the pre-recorded seventy-minute interview with a person interested in

retaining Baxter Associates, during which there was no
station identification, constitutes a ``broadcast'' and an
impermissible one-way transmission. Therefore, Mr. Baxter
apparently willfully violated Section 97.113(b) of the
Rules.]

and the question I have for the FCC is where does this and how does it apply to section 326 of the communication act. after all isn't it up to the station to deiced what is of intrest to amateur radio??

finally I would like to tell all my fans that this sick joke of a NAL does not effect my station or the N9OGL SHOW

TODD N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

3684. Post anonymously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 00:37:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <aNmve.46$Rj1.11104383@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I am sure that everyone here has noticed there is much too much attention paid to where posts originate from. And, there are childish personalities which look up where you are posting from--some with malicious intent...

This URL will provide you with a means to be anonymous:
http://www.antifirewall.com/news.htm

This should allow everyone to put a stop to this bad behavior.

John

3685. Re: Post anonymously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 01:02:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119747738.926814.292740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
> I am sure that everyone here has noticed there is much too much
> attention paid to where posts originate from. And, there are childish
> personalities which look up where you are posting from--some with
> malicious intent...
>
> This URL will provide you with a means to be anonymous:
> http://www.antifirewall.com/news.htm
>
> This should allow everyone to put a stop to this bad behavior.
>
> John

Yeh, I really don't like Robeson posting snippets of my address or
talking about my family.

3686. Re: Post anonymously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 01:09:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_enve.47$dt1.11443224@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb:

Well, antifirewall has a trial period...

But, it should help you become familiar with the method to be able to
set this up using other methods which are free...

John

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119747738.926814.292740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> I am sure that everyone here has noticed there is much too much
>> attention paid to where posts originate from. And, there are
>> childish
>> personalities which look up where you are posting from--some with
>> malicious intent...
>>
>> This URL will provide you with a means to be anonymous:
>> http://www.antifirewall.com/news.htm
>>
>> This should allow everyone to put a stop to this bad behavior.
>>
>> John
>
> Yeh, I really don't like Robeson posting snippets of my address or
> talking about my family.
>

3687. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 01:19:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119748781.883468.51560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110970

From: "bb" on Fri 24 Jun 2005 16:33

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 15:48
>> >
>> >In RRAP, we have the uniformed, and the uninformed!
>>
>> The "uniformed and the uninformed!" I LIKE that phrase. Apt. :-)
>
>A single person comes to mind.

I wonder who that would be...?

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>BTW, Dee and Dan both just informed me that "dipshit" wasn't profanity,
>so I think the phrase, "Gunny Dipshit" will be met with overwhelming
>approval, unless K8MN corrects me and says it should be "Dipshit Gunny."

I'm sure the SS schoolmaster will say something...:-)

If you act real nice, he will explain all his knowledge of
Hunnish. Especially on the proper English spelling of "Attila!"

He must be fluent in many languages. Especially "f."
[that makes him an "effluent."]

bit, bit

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3688. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 01:21:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119748892.335686.123670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110971

>From: Leo on Fri 24 Jun 2005 14:21

>On 23 Jun 2005 21:56:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>From: Leo on Thurs 23 Jun 2005 19:15
>>>On 23 Jun 2005 14:36:54 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>> On 22 Jun 2005 03:58:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> <plus 8 more back-and-forths>
>>
>> <gigantic snip>
>>
>>>>You really underestimate me, Leo. If that's your real name.
>>>>
>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>>Actually, Jim, I have probably overestimated you. Thought you were
>>>smarter than that - and hoped that you might see the reality of what
>>>is being done to you.
>>>
>>>But, Hey - have fun at the newsgroup beatings! Hope you get out of
>>>them what you need! Go get 'im, Jim! He's wrong!!!!!
>>>
>>>73, Leo (or am I? Hmmm........(paranoia setting in....aaaaaah!) :)
>>
>> Shhhh. Don't tell him we are really all AI constructs living
>> in his computer!
>
>Dang! I think he's beginning to believe that there are! Heh heh.
>
>I might not even be me anymore! :) :) :)

Well, I ain't you... :-)

FWITW, I'm waiting for a response from Steven Spielberg on the
sequel to "AI." Maybe he will include us AIs in the newsgroup?

Joel Haley Osment (or whatever his name) can be the 13 year old
kid going for his ham radio license and then encountering all
us mean old AI robots who don't give him no respect.

>> ooops, here come da bells....WRRRONNNNNNNNG....WRRRONNNNNNG...
>> WRRRONNNNNNGGG...WRRRONNNNNGGGGG... [has a ring to it...]
>
>Hmmm - that reminds me of the sound my old outboard makes when it's
>just above idle ... add a few 'blurbles' in there, and that's it! :)

>
>> The "beat" goes on. :-)
>
>Hey - thanks for 'Cher'-ing, Len! :) :) :)

"I got you, babe..." :-)

bit, bit

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3689. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 01:37:47 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <w5SdnUbiYK5HhiPfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110973

Stagger Lee, Childraper AKA 2test fatboy wogie wrote:
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer AKA 2test (you know, the
>>ham that Riley made retest to keep his license) wrote:
>
>
> "2test" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell!
>
> BWHAHAHA "Riley made retest to keep his license"
>
> Funny how Roger's retest letter doesn't say he was made to retest other
> than the fact the FCC can make ANY VE-tested ham retest.

Doesn't matter what the letter doesn't say 2test wogie, we all know
Riley made you restest because of your malicious jamming on 20 meters.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

3690. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 01:40:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <w5SdnUHiYK79gSPfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110974

```
Stagger Lee, Childraper wrote:
> . Hear back from gbronline yet, you owned bitch?
>
>

Sure haven't 2test fatboy.
```

3691. Re: Acting like idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 01:41:03 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <w5SdnUDiYK4DgSPfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 110975

Kim wrote:

> Your mind running wild with you, not mine. And, I may have the TIT in my
> call, but I'm not the one acting like an ass.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
I would say getting a call like that makes you pretty much one.

3692. Re: Post anonymously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 02:59:39 UTC
From: Holly <HotDamn@nospam>
Message-ID: <d9l5lf$4sg$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119747738.926814.292740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

John Smith wrote:
> I am sure that everyone here has noticed there is much too much
> attention paid to where posts originate from. And, there are childish
> personalities which look up where you are posting from--some with
> malicious intent...
>
> This URL will provide you with a means to be anonymous:
> http://www.antifirewall.com/news.htm
>
> This should allow everyone to put a stop to this bad behavior.
>
> John

Yeh, I really don't like Robeson posting snippets of my address or
talking about my family.

//

Of course it never dawned on you to simply ignore him, did it?
Besides, we all know you live on Longview Street.

3693. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 03:14:17 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <e9adnZouzv0XgCPfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 110976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Jim Hackett wrote:
> Ahh thanks. One of those funny little states over on the other side
> of the yard...
>
>
>
> "Bob" <bob@nowhere.nil> wrote in message
> news:Qg4ve.356$p5.109@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>> Jim Hackett wrote:
>>> So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?
>>>
>>>
>>
>> ME is Meshetuchatts of course...
>> :)

For those who can spell, ME is the abbreviation for Maine. Massachusetts is
MA .
--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

3694. Is Interpol helping track down Woger posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 03:45:49 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.he.be.punked@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 110977
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A number of anonymous remailers have been bugged by local police, and their computers have been compromised. Several of them are frequently used by Secwet Woger, so it would now appear that his deniability has been blown out of the water.

One example: The Paranoia Anonymous Remailer. Here's part of what their web page says:

==(begin quote)==
Paranoia remailer, nymph nym server and paranion mixminion node have been SHUT DOWN

14-6-2004: We suspect that all content of hard-disks of the server where the paranoia remailer and the nymph nym server run has been aquired by local police without any notification to the server admins, with the collaboration of the ISP provider, so all the keys has to be considered compromised.

We have been noticed only today (21-6-2005) :-(
==(end quote)==

To add insult to injury, it appears that the Italian police have been monitoring all posts going through that remailer for over a year. And that's just one remailer. See http://www.autistici.org/ai/crackdown/.

There are several others which have been compromised, and it appears that using those machines wasn't very safe after all.

Woger has been punked.

3695. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 04:32:00 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <4dqve.12113$VK4.2277@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
LOL
"Brian Denley" wrote in message
news:e9adnZouzv0XgCPfRVn-2A@comcast.com...
> Jim Hackett wrote:
> > Ahh thanks. One of those funny little states over on the other side
> > of the yard...
> >
> >
> >
> > "Bob" wrote in message
> > news:Qg4ve.356$p5.109@nnrp.ca.mci.com!nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> >> Jim Hackett wrote:
> >>> So who IS Glen Baxter, and what state is ME?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >> ME is Meshetuchatts of course...
> >> :)
>
> For those who can spell, ME is the abbreviation for Maine. Massachusetts
is
> MA .
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html
>
>
```

3696. Re: Is Interpol helping track down Woger posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 04:50:50 UTC
From: Holly <HotDamn@nospam>
Message-ID: <d9lc5uofn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:woger.he.be.punked@houston-hunks.com...
A number of anonymous remailers have been bugged by local police, and
their computers have been compromised. Several of them are frequently
used by Secwet Woger, so it would now appear that his deniability has
been blown out of the water.

One example: The Paranoia Anonymous Remailer. Here's part of what
their web page says:

==(begin quote)==
Paranoia remailer, nymph nym server and paranion mixminion node have
been SHUT DOWN

14-6-2004: We suspect that all content of hard-disks of the server
where the paranoia remailer and the nymph nym server run has been
aquired by local police without any notification to the server admins,
with the collaboration of the ISP provider, so all the keys has to be
considered compromised.

We have been noticed only today (21-6-2005) :-(
==(end quote)==

To add insult to injury, it appears that the Italian police have been
monitoring all posts going through that remailer for over a year. And
that's just one remailer. See http://www.autistici.org/ai/crackdown/.

There are several others which have been compromised, and it appears
that using those machines wasn't very safe after all.

Woger has been punked.

Congenital liar Wogie will deny, deny and deny. (In the third person, of
course) He will claim that Lloyd used the "two or more anonymous remailers"
and forged the messages so as to make it appear that Rogie sent them.
Besides, what will the Italian Police, or even Interpol, do ? Recommend that
Wogie Wabbit seek mental help?
We all know that Wogie is but a half step away from a straight jacket.

3697. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 06:07:55 UTC
From: Not Roger <NotLloyd@spamme>
Message-ID: <d9lgmi$53m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110980

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:w5SdnUHiYK79gSPfRVn-og@gbronline.com...
Stagger Lee, Childraper wrote:
> . Hear back from gbronline yet, you owned bitch?
>
>

Sure haven't 2test fatboy.

Why? Did cwybaby Wogie play netkop again?
What happened to fweedom of speech, Wogie?

3698. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 10:01:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119780078.458903.260420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110981

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > You are to be pittied.
> >
> > By who?
> >
> > You...?!?!
> >
> > Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Anyone who starts a new thread titled:
>
> "WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to
> WED..?!?!"
>
> is to be pittied.

And I asked...BY WHO? Or more correctly, by WHOM?

> Whatever gave you the idea that Tod and Mark might wed?

Nothing. It was a joke. But they are so much alike and share
similar persuations, that it's not beyond the realm of the possible
these days.

> Why do you continue with your gay inuendos?

Uhhhhhhhhhhh...With Mark and Todd there's no innuendo. They are.

> Why don't you seek help?

For what?

Steve, K4YZ

3699. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 10:53:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119783203.276372.253060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110982

N9OGL wrote:

Quotes of FCC R&R's snipped.

> and the question I have for the FCC is where does this and how does it
> apply to section 326 of the communication act.

Then why don't you direct your question TO the FCC, rather than
spinning your wheels in here?

Scared of the answer you'll get?

> after all isn't it up to
> the station to deiced what is of intrest to amateur radio??

I think the FAA is responsible for getting deiced.

But you again continue to insist that just because YOU think it's
pertinent, the FCC might not have other ideas.

> finally I would like to tell all my fans that this sick joke of a NAL
> does not effect my station or the N9OGL SHOW.

Only you would think it's a "sick joke".

The FCC doesn't issue "sick jokes".

And I look forward with glee to the day we see YOUR name on one of
these...Better hurry up and get that college degree, Todd! You'll be
needing the extra income!

Steve, K4YZ

3700. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 13:01:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119790798.913783.5140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110983

bb wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > Being a
> > > > non-combatant your whole career, I am sure that some sand in your eyes
> > > > or chapped hands from too much White-Out fluid was "trauma".
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Were you ever a combatant, I mean when you were in the service?
> > >
> > Forgive me but him what you will I don't think it is proper to question
> > wether Stevie was a combatant, he was there at Valley Forgery saving
> > Ole GW (washington of course) with morse and heis still very much a
> > combatant

The "forgery" was a coward in Illiopolis, IL, pretending to be a
commissioned officer...and the lies just got bigger and more colorful
from there.

You were a liar then and you've done nothing to demonstrate that
you've changed that behaviour.

> Steve wasn't anywhere.

Sure I was. More than you.

> Says he's got seven hostile actions, but if he
> starts talking, his claims will be debunked, stolen valor style.

Yes, Brain, you ARE a prolific thief.

You steal people's trust and confidence with your own tales and
mistruths, then try and coneal the deed with outlandish accusations and
deceit.

Watta piece of work...

Steve, K4YZ

3701. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 13:02:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119790953.806960.278110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110984

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Fri 24 Jun 2005 16:33
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "bb" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 15:48
> >> >
> >> >In RRAP, we have the uniformed, and the uninformed!
> >>
> >> The "uniformed and the uninformed!" I LIKE that phrase. Apt. :-)
> >>
> >A single person comes to mind.
>
> I wonder who that would be...?
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> >BTW, Dee and Dan both just informed me that "dipshit" wasn't profanity,
> >so I think the phrase, "Gunny Dipshit" will be met with overwhelming
> >approval, unless K8MN corrects me and says it should be "Dipshit Gunny."
>
> I'm sure the SS schoolmaster will say something...:-)
>
> If you act real nice, he will explain all his knowledge of
> Hunnish. Especially on the proper English spelling of "Attila!"
>
> He must be fluent in many languages. Especially "f."
> [that makes him an "effluent."]
>
> bit, bit
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Whatta bunch of misfits. No wonder we're attracted to amateur radio.

3702. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 13:07:51 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119791271.321413.61850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110985

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Being a
> > > > > non-combatant your whole career, I am sure that some sand in your eyes
> > > > > or chapped hands from too much White-Out fluid was "trauma".
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Were you ever a combatant, I mean when you were in the service?
> > >
> > > Forgive me but him what you will I don't think it is proper to question
> > > wether Stevie was a combatant, he was there at Valley Forgery saving
> > > Ole GW (washington of course) with morse and heis still very much a
> > > combatant
>
> The "forgery" was a coward in Illiopolis, IL, pretending to be a
> commissioned officer...and the lies just got bigger and more colorful
> from there.
>
> You were a liar then and you've done nothing to demonstrate that
> you've changed that behaviour.
>
> > Steve wasn't anywhere.
>
> Sure I was. More than you.
>
> > Says he's got seven hostile actions, but if he
> > starts talking, his claims will be debunked, stolen valor style.
>
> Yes, Brain, you ARE a prolific thief.
>
> You steal people's trust and confidence with your own tales and
> mistruths, then try and coneal the deed with outlandish accusations and
> deceit.
>
> Watta piece of work...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Like I said, Robeson dare not speak of his claims to seven hostile actions.

3703. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 13:17:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119791822.049213.255040@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110986

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > You are to be pittied.
> > >
> > > By who?
> > >
> > > You...?!?!
> > >
> > > Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Anyone who starts a new thread titled:
> >
> > "WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to
> > WED..?!?!"
> >
> > is to be pittied.
>
> And I asked...BY WHO? Or more correctly, by WHOM?

By people who pity you.

> > Whatever gave you the idea that Tod and Mark might wed?
>
> Nothing. It was a joke. But they are so much alike and share
> similar persuations, that it's not beyond the realm of the possible
> these days.

A joke? It looks like another Robeson lie to me.

> > Why do you continue with your gay inuendos?
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhh...With Mark and Todd there's no innuendo. They are.

What business is it of yours?

> > Why don't you seek help?
>
> For what?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

For everything that ails you. Nurse, heal thyself.

3704. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 16:15:44 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <RwAve.11598$xm1.967@fe06.lga>
Source record: 110987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hackett" <h-a-c-k-m-a-n@pcpeople.com> wrote in message
news:4dqve.12113$VK4.2277@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> LOL

It do be funny around here Jim. LOL

B.H.

3705. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 17:57:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119808628.517451.157070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110988

From: bb on Jun 26, 9:02 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Fri 24 Jun 2005 16:33
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "bb" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 15:48

>> >> >In RRAP, we have the uniformed, and the uninformed!
>
>> >> The "uniformed and the uninformed!" I LIKE that phrase. Apt. :-)
>
>> >A single person comes to mind.
>
>> I wonder who that would be...?
>
>> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH?AHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>Whatta bunch of misfits. No wonder we're attracted to amateur radio.

Brian, I'm interested in ALL radio, not just the amateur stuff.

I didn't join the "church" to Believe solely what some
new englanders were preaching about many years ago (and
still doing today). Neither did I NEED some "callsign" to
show some "dedication" or "committment" to the "community."

Apparently the actuarial tables ARE starting to manifest
themselves, as witness some numbers at www.hamdata.com of
26 June 2005:

In the last 12 months, there have been 16,125 new licenses
granted in U.S. amateur radio. In that same time period,
19,332 have dropped out ("no longer licensed"). That's
roughly a 0.4 percent DECLINE per year (-3,207 licensees in
one year) and agrees with the previous year's DECLINE of
6,969 licensees as of the same date two years ago.

The percentage of total Technician and Technician Plus
individual licensees is 48.43 percent of ALL individual
licenses (less the "club" calls). Technician class
individual license percentage is 40.72 percent of ALL
individual licensees.

Technicians are restricted to amateur bands above 30 MHz.
For this national Field Day CONTEST, they won't be making any
big scores via VHF and above. Field Day is primarily the
same old HF CONTEST it always was. HF, with all the "skip"

and ionospheric help on distance it always had. Nothing has really changed with Field Day in a half century. That includes the hypocrisy of stating that Field Day is "primarily about emergency communications" (by the league) when the blatant truth has it all concerned with CONTESTING. Old paradigm. Old hypocrisy. Perpetuated delusion.

Field Day is a CONTEST. Always was. Why not SAY SO up front? Nothing wrong with fun, a weekend in the park, etc.

It's another example of the delusional fantasies perpetuated by the olde-fahrts...which lots more newcomers are NOT going along with...any more than the fantasy that radiotelegraphy "is a 'basic skill' that all 'must' learn." Nonsense.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3706. Re: But where are all the Techs? (was Re: SO2R Policy?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 18:09:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <SbCve.20$fE2.572527@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110989

Len:

Well spoken, accurate and "right on."

Now the delusional get their turns...

John

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1119808628.517451.157070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: bb on Jun 26, 9:02 am
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: "bb" on Fri 24 Jun 2005 16:33
>>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> From: "bb" on Wed 22 Jun 2005 15:48
>
>>> >> >In RRAP, we have the uniformed, and the uninformed!
>>
>>> >> The "uniformed and the uninformed!" I LIKE that phrase. Apt.
>>> >> :-)
>>
>>> >A single person comes to mind.
>>
>>> I wonder who that would be...?
>>
>>> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH?AHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>>Whatta bunch of misfits. No wonder we're attracted to amateur radio.
>
> Brian, I'm interested in ALL radio, not just the amateur stuff.
>
> I didn't join the "church" to Believe solely what some
> new englanders were preaching about many years ago (and
> still doing today). Neither did I NEED some "callsign" to
> show some "dedication" or "committment" to the "community."
>
> Apparently the actuarial tables ARE starting to manifest
> themselves, as witness some numbers at www.hamdata.com of
> 26 June 2005:
>
> In the last 12 months, there have been 16,125 new licenses
> granted in U.S. amateur radio. In that same time period,
> 19,332 have dropped out ("no longer licensed"). That's

> roughly a 0.4 percent DECLINE per year (-3,207 licensees in
> one year) and agrees with the previous year's DECLINE of
> 6,969 licensees as of the same date two years ago.
>
> The percentage of total Technician and Technician Plus
> individual licensees is 48.43 percent of ALL individual
> licenses (less the "club" calls). Technician class
> individual license percentage is 40.72 percent of ALL
> individual licensees.
>
> Technicians are restricted to amateur bands above 30 MHz.
> For this national Field Day CONTEST, they won't be making any
> big scores via VHF and above. Field Day is primarily the
> same old HF CONTEST it always was. HF, with all the "skip"
> and ionospheric help on distance it always had. Nothing has
> really changed with Field Day in a half century. That includes
> the hypocrisy of stating that Field Day is "primarily about
> emergency communications" (by the league) when the blatant
> truth has it all concerned with CONTESTING. Old paradigm.
> Old hypocrisy. Perpetuated delusion.
>
> Field Day is a CONTEST. Always was. Why not SAY SO up
> front? Nothing wrong with fun, a weekend in the park, etc.
>
> It's another example of the delusional fantasies perpetuated
> by the olde-fahrts...which lots more newcomers are NOT going
> along with...any more than the fantasy that radiotelegraphy
> "is a 'basic skill' that all 'must' learn." Nonsense.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

3707. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 18:19:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119809971.831610.195960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110990

Leo wrote:
> On 23 Jun 2005 14:36:54 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 22 Jun 2005 03:58:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 21 Jun 2005 02:49:00 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> On 20 Jun 2005 03:09:46 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 18:58:24 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> > >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> > Seig Heil!!! :-)

> A quite logical choice indeed.

> Unfortunately, it doesn't seem that it is one
> available to you....

What would you suggest?

> >> It wasn't a literal, Jim - interpret!
> >
> >Why not say what you mean?
>
> Heh. Are you saying that you had difficulty figuring out what > I meant?

Why not say what you mean, Leo?

> >> >"- or worse - rag on him every chance that I get that he is 'wrong'
> >> >about something" - Not me! I have pointed out *some* of Len's mistakes,
> >> >when he
> >> >has been in error - wrong - about something. Is that not allowed?

> >> Does your whole world revolve
> >> around this guy?
> >
> >Not at all. I spend a few minutes here and there writing a post, and
> >you
> >interpret that as an obsession?
>
> For seven and a half (plus) years? As frequently as you do?
>
> Nah...of course not. That's just normal everyday behaviour..... :)

What do you suggest?
>
> >
> >> >> A few Google examples:
> >> >>
> >> >> Subject: Keep the quality, lose the spectrum Jul 17 1998
> >> >> "Len, you are just plain wrong here. You just don't understand the
> >> >> issue."
> >> >
> >> >What *was* the issue? Was Len wrong about it?
> >>
> >> Not the issue, Jim.
> >
> >Yes, it is. You're avoiding the fact that Len was, in fact, wrong then.
>
> I'm sure that Len knows that he was wrong, Jim. Maybe he was just
> trying to get you going? Heh heh heh....
>
> >
> >> The frequency over time is.
> >
> >"Frequency over time"? Frequency is events per unit time. Didn't they
> >teach that at your alma mater?
>
> Um - that's what I said, Jim - didn't think you needed it
> in such technical language.
>
> It wasn't a division problem....
>
> >
> >> Please try to comprehend.
> >
> >I comprehend more than you realize, Leo.
>
> Sorry - not much evidence to support that claim so far, Jim.

Not to you, perhaps...
>
> >> >> Subject: Who Is What? Feb 9 2001
> >> >> "No, Len, it is not correct. Let's look at what you wrote:"
> >> >

> >> >What *did* Len write? Was he correct or not?
> >>
> >> Not the issue, Jim.
> >
> >Yes, it is. You're avoiding the fact that Len was, in fact, wrong then.
>
> Who cares? That is not the issue.

Yes, it is.

> >> Might there be an ulterior motive?
> >
> >Of course. I realized that long ago. In fact I mentioned it here
> >back on Feb 10, 2003. Your research is very incomplete, Leo.
>
> That is indeed an interesting point.
>
> Unfortunately - well, no again.
>
> Your statement was something like "his hobby is wasting time - > your time."

And that sums it up quite well.
>
> I really don't think that 'wasting time' is what it's all
> about, Jim.
> Do you?

That's exactly what it's about.
>
> It's about control. Specifically, controlling you. And
> making you squirm and dance.

Except I do neither.

> Unless you are saying that you actually understand that you are > being abused intentionally by others.

Hmmmm...so you consider the way Len treats me to be abuse.

Now we're making progress.

What do you suggest?

> And have done so since 2003. And if
> so....you continue to post the same "wrong, wrong, wrong"
> garbage

Why is what I wrote "garbage", Leo? Len posts things which are provably untrue in a public debate forum. I point out those things and you call my doing so "garbage" - why?

> here
> - knowing full well that you will continue to be abused for the
> pleasure of others.

What do you suggest I do instead?

> Does that seem like particularly sane bahaviour to you?
>
> Are you a fool? Or a masochist? Or both?
>
What do you suggest I do instead?
> >>
> >> >> You don't think that eight years of "you're wrong, you're wrong..."
> >> >> wouldn't be deemed by a reasonable man to be a bit excessive?
> >> >
> >> >Well, no. Not if the person really *is* wrong - mistaken - in error
> >> >about the subjects discussed.
> >>
> >> Therein lies the nature of the affliction.
> >
> >Yes, Len is afflicted by the inability to admit a mistake
> >when it is pointed out by someone he considers an inferior, like me...
>
> If by "inferior" you mean "controlled by someone in a superior
> position" (i.e. boss, tormentor, etc.) - then he is correct.
>
> You jump on command. Every time.
>
> You can't help yourself.

Then what do you suggest I do?

> >> Nobody, least of all Len,
> >> cares that you think that he is 'wrong''.
> >
> >Why not be honest, Leo?
> >
> >*You* don't care. Maybe Len doesn't care. You don't speak for
> >everybody.
>
> Of course I don't speak for everybody.

But you used the phrase "Nobody....cares that you think that he is
'wrong'".

If even one other person cares, your statement is false.

And unlike the soft sciences, the errors I point out are
provable.

> Nor do you, although you seem
> to envision yourself as on some sort of a crusade to defend the
> 'truth'.

Do you think truth si subjective or objective?

> In an impossible situation. Cast in a role as a playtoy.
>

> I see.

What do you suggest I do instead?

> >> It's being done to torment
> >> you and get you to respond, for the other person's pleasure.
> >
> >Well DUH!
> >
> >"His hobby is wasting time. Your time"
>
> Well, no.

Well, yes.

> Wasting time, and being abused, are two different things.
>
> Aren't they?

So you call what Len does here "abuse".

> >Guess who wrote that?
> >
> >The fact is that Len spends much more time and effort posting here than
> >I. Always has. Gets all upset and outraged over any opposition. Now you
> >may say it's all an act, but so what?
> >
> >> The rest of the folks here will watch - much like rubberneckers
> >> looking at an accident on the highway.
> >
> >Do you speak for everyone who reads rrap? Or just for you?
>
> Rhetorical question - ignored.

Not rhetorical at all. I think you just call it that to avoid
answering.

What do you suggest I do?

> >> You have become just that - a tragedy on the 'Information Highway'.
> >> (oh, how I loathe that expression....!).
> >
> >Sounds like another disguised way of you telling me to shut up.
> >
> >Why not be honest and just say it?
>
> Wake up, maybe - I don't recall inferring that you should shut > up!

> >> You're being used, Jim. Sad you cannot see it.
> >>
> >> Real sad.

Let's say, for sake of discussion, that you're right about what Len is
doing and why he's doing it. What do you suggest I do about it?

> >It may appear that way to you. The truth is very different.
>
> Apparently not. No evidence exists to support that claim.

There's plenty of evidence, but you refuse to see it.

> >> >Is there a time limit beyond which I cannot tell Len he's mistaken
> >> >about something?
> >>
> >> Sure -to infinity and beyond, if your are OC enough to go the
> >> distance! Heh heh heh.
> >
> >> >> >All you have to do is disagree with him about the Morse Code test,
> >> >> >defend
> >> >> >that opinion, and then point out an incosistency or two in his
> >> >> >postings.
> >> >>
> >> >> An inconsistency or two? For eight years?
> >> >
> >> >I'm saying that if someone disagrees with Len about Morse Code testing
> >> >and then points out some incosistencies in Len's postings, Len will
> >> >go off in his typical fashion. Even if the errors are pointed out in
> >> >a courteous way, Len will go into attack mode.
> >>
> >> To turn your crank, and get you dancing and hopping again - nothing
> >> more.
> >
> >Look at his postings compared to mine. It's not me who is dancing and
> >hopping.
>
> Heh heh heh. Y'think?
>
> >
> >> >> Do you actually believe that, after all of this time, that you are
> >> >> going to change anything by whining on?
> >> >
> >> >"Whining on"?
> >>
> >> Whining on. Correct. Did I not spell that right or something?
> >
> >You mistake my persistent strong opposition with "whining". Another
> >attempt to get me worked up. Doesn't work. Leo.
>
> Not at all - just a statement. You're whining.
>
> On and on and on. Even when you know that you cannot win.

What would you suggest?

> That's normal behaviour, alright!
>
> >

> >> >btw, Len's been posting to rrap longer than I have, posts more and at
> >> >greater length than almost anyone else in rrap, and makes more mistakes
> >> >here than
> >> >I do as well. But I guess that's OK with you.
> >>
> >> I could personally care less. I am not obsessed.
> >
> >Yes, you are.
>
> Heh heh heh. That's funny, Jim!
>
> >
> >> >> Jeez, you'd make somebody a great ex-wife.... :)
> >> >
> >> >Well, no.
> >>
> >> Hell, yes! wrong, wrong, wrong, wrong -- on and on ad infinitum.
> >> like a demented parrot.
> >
> >Norwegian Blue. Beautiful plumage.
>
> This is a text-only newsgroup, Jim. We don't see the plumage - all we
> hear is the noise! Squawk squawk squawk.....for almost 8 years now!
>
> > >
> >> >> >> Wonder why that would be? Heh heh heh.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> I simply refuse to
> >> >> >> >> join you in your obcessive crusade against him.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >You can't join what doesn't exist.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Oh, it's there, all right. You read some of the stuff you write?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I read all of it. Do you read the responses I get from Len?
> >> >>
> >> >> I certainly do. Do you think that will ever change?
> >> >
> >> >Probably not. So what?
> >>
> >> So why contimue? If you will achieve nothing, why go on?
> >
> >Who says I'm achieving nothing? Who are you to judge, Leo?
>
> You have achieved nothing. You tormentor is still here - and you are
> still being controlled.
>
> Heh. Nice victory!
>
> >

> >> Are you incapable of controlling yourself?
> >
> >I've got plenty of self-control.
>
> LOL!
>
> >>
> >> Find The Strength!
> >> >
> >> >> Or do you enjoy being used for entertainment - laughed at, not with?
> >> >
> >> >"ARE YOU BEING ENTERTAINED?!"
> >>
> >> um - if you are still going ahead with this quote from the movie
> >> "Gladiator" - well, no.
> >>
> >> The correct quote is "Are you not entertained"
> >
> >I know.
>
> Then why did you get it wrong twice in this thread?
>
> >>
> >> Source: http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0172495/quotes
> >
> >Now who is obsessive, Leo? You had to go look that up and correct me -
> >just
> >couldn't help yourself, could you?
>
> Ask me again in 8 years! Heh.
>
> >
> >> If you are asking, however, if i am entertained by your antics - the
> >> answer is no. And yes. I like to watch a good accident as much as
> >> the next guy - it's human nature!
> >>
> >> Heh heh heh.
> >
> >Then I am achieving something.
>
> You most certainly are - you are performing a valuable role for some
> folks on the group.
>
> As Shakespeare wrote in his play "As You Like It":
>
> "Always the dullness of the fool is the whetstone of the wits."
>
> Hear that stropping noise, Jim? That's somebody sharpening up on
> you.....

What do you suggest I do, Leo?

>
> >>
> >> The sad truth is - you are obsessed with the guy. Period.
> >
> >The real truth is that if anyone is obsessed, it's Len. And/or Brian,
> >N0IMD. Not me.
> >>
> >> We see it - you should try to do so as well, for you own sake....
> >
> >Who is "we"? Are you the Pope? Lots of Pope Leos, btw..
>
> Heh heh - "there are none so blind as those who will not see....."
>
> >
> >
> > Unlike Len, I have many civil, uninsulting
> >> >> >discussions here with those who disagree with me on a variety of
> >> >> >issues,
> >> >> >including the Morse Code test. Google up any exchange between N2EY and
> >> >> >K2UNK,
> >> >> >for example.
> >> >>
> >> >> Agreed.
> >> >
> >> >So there *is* a difference!
> >>
> >> Sure is - take away the obsessive behaviour, and you are a pretty nice
> >> guy! Add it in, however - and.....well, no.
> >
> >Well, there you have it.
>
> I most assuredly do.
>
> >
> >> >> So why bother ragging on for eight solid years about issues
> >> >> that the folks you are arguing with will never agree?
> >> >
> >> >Ask Len the same question. He's been at it longer.
> >>
> >> There's the old obsesssion again - everything is Len-centric to you.
> >
> >Nope.
>
> Heh. "To thine own self be true...."
>
> >
> >> >> >> In your example, the correct answer (following your logic) is: Borrow
> >> >> >> the neighbour's tools, break them and get them dirty, and give some of
> >> >> >> them back.

> >> >> >
> >> >> >Nope.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >The question was whether to keep lending him your tools (yes/no).
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
> >> >> >of his, so your solution is not feasible.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >You didn't fully understand the analogy.
> >> >>
> >> >> Who didn't understand what, Jim?
> >> >
> >> >You didn't understand the analogy I made.
> >>
> >> I understood it's purpose - to cloud the issue. That's all I ned to
> >> understand.
> >
> >Well, no.
>
> Well, obviously - yes.
>
> And you just missed another typo....

Not my job to correctg *every* mistake...

> >
> >One of the limitations of online communication is that it's difficult
> >or
> >impossible to tell when someone is pretending to be dense and when
> >someone
> >really *is* dense....
>
> I fully agree!
>
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> You avoided my question, threw in
> >> >> your own to obfuscate the issue, and blamed it on my understanding?
> >> >>
> >> >> Not gonna happen, Bud!
> >> >
> >> >The neighbour who borrows your tools and treats them badly but won't
> >> >lend you any of his is just like the person who asks you questions but
> >> >won't answer your questions. Why should you continue to lend the
> >> >neighbour tools - or answer someone's questions - when they behave
> >> >that way?
> >>
> >> Rhetorical question - ignored.
> >
> >One of the limitations...
> >> > >

> >> >> >> After all, like you said, "It's a question of fairness and
> >> >> >> equality. Also experience with what is done with the information
> >> >> >> provided."
> >> >> >Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
> >> >> >of his, so your solution is not feasible.
> >> >>
> >> >> You're absolutely correct.
> >> >>
> >> >> You should steal the tools instead.
> >> >
> >> >Well, no.
> >>
> >> Makes as much sense as any.... Heh heh heh.
> >
> >No, it doesn't.
>
> Heh.
>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >All of which could be ghostwritten or cut-and-pasted from another
> >> >> >> >source. So they wouldn't be proof anyway.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> ...Patent application, published article - nah, you're right - you
> >> >> >> can't trust anybody these days....!
> >> >
> >> >You misunderstand.
> >>
> >> Misunderstand what? You as much as said that you can't trust anybodt
> >> - and provided several valid arguements to support that supposition.
> >> I merely stated it in conclusion.
> >>
> >> You are, again, -um-, in error, Sir.
> >>
> >> >
> >> >It would be a simple matter for someone to write postings in one
> >> >location and have them posted to usenet from somewhere else. That your
> >> >postings to rrap originate where they do is not proof of where or who
> >> >their author is.
> >> >
> >> >That's all.
> >>
> >> Well, no.
> >
> >Well, yes.
> >
> >> Most commercial ISPs block rebroadcast of newsgroup
> >> messages from sources not directly connected to their NNTP servers
> >> (i.e. their subscribers direct links from their homes to the ISP) -
> >> this is done to prevent their networks being used illegally for
> >> transmission of massive volumes of SPAM.

> >>
> >> If you post to the groups through Google, this does not apply - that
> >> is not an ISP.
> >>
> >> I sincerely hope that your comprehension of the radio arts is
> >> significantly superior to your knowlege of the mechanics of the
> >> Internet.
> >
> >Think outside the box, Leo.
> >
> >Someone in Location A writes a newsgroup post. Sends the post as a
> >textfile to
> >someone else in Location B. Someone else then posts it to Usenet. Looks
> >like
> >it came from Location B but it didn't.
>
> That box you're thinking outside might be a bit too big, Jim.
>
> Please explain why someone would go to all of that trouble simply to
> fool you.
>
> Unless thay are as OC as you...

I'm simply pointing out that if someone wants to disguise the point of
origin of an email or NG posting, it's very easy to do. Doesn't take
lots of skills or knowledge, just access to a remote computer.
>
> >
> >> >> >> A few moderately
> >> >> >> complex calculations, perhaps - some correct, at least one not > by a long
shot.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> In short - your word is all we have.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >That applies to you as well. I can include "u" in certain words -
> >> >> >> >doesn't make me Canadian...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> True. Were you as adept at the Internet as you are with your radio,
> >> >> >> you could trace the message header to my ISP up here - wouldn't prove
> >> >> >> my nationality, but it would certainly nail down the geographical
> >> >> >> origin of the posts!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Which proves nothing, since they could be remailed from that location.
> >> >> >Easy to do.
> >> >>
> >> >> Oh yeah. Forgot.
> >> >>
> >> >> Let's see...Rebranding of published articles...fake
> >> >> references...newsgroup postings spirited across the ether to foreign
> >> >> countries...clandestine Amateur Radio credentials......

> >> >>
> >> >> Um, wouldn't that be an awful lot of effort just to fool you?
> >> >>
> >> >> ROTFLMAO!
> >> >
> >> >See above:It would be a simple matter for someone to write postings in
> >> >one location and have them posted to usenet from somewhere else. That
> >> >your postings to rrap originate where they do is not proof of where or
> >> >who their author is.
> >> >
> >> >That's all.
> >>
> >> Not necessarily - please see above.
> >
> >Well, no.
>
> Not a simple matter at all - lots of work involved!

If a little cut and paste is "a lot of work" to you....

>
> >One of the limitations...
> >>> >
> >> >It appears that this whole exchange about obsession is really just a
> >> >disguised way of telling me to shut up and let Len post his mistakes
> >> >and attacks without challenge. That's really what you want me to do.
> >>
> >> Not at all.
> >
> >Yes, it is. Do you not want me to shut up?
>
> Not at all. Please continue!

What do you suggest?

>
> >
> >> It is indended to illustrate to you that your valiant
> >> battle is in vain - your opponent is not interested in the least in
> >> what you say.
> >
> >Of course he's interested - otherwise he wouldn't respond!
>
> Heh.

Exactly.

>
> >> His only goal is to control you - which is pretty easy
> >> to do. You have all of your hot buttons proudly and prominently
> >> displayed - and every bloody one elicits a predictable response when
> >> pressed: your ire, passion and rage.
> >

> >Well, no.
>
> Say what? You don't see it?
>
> Heh heh.

What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?

>
> >
> >You have me confused with Len.
>
> You have you obsessed with Len. You have confused yourself.
>
> >
> >> In short - you, Sir, are being hijacked against your will.
> >
> >Heck no.
>
> You mean you are being hijacked willingly?
>
> A bit psychotic, no?
>
> >>
> >> >Maybe you have a point. Perhaps I should simply step back and let you,
> >> >Len, Brian/N0IMD, and "John Smith" rant on without comment.
> >>
> >> I, kind Sir, do not rant. I communicate.
> >
> >You've ranted on here at great length, Leo. Much longer than me.
>
> LOL! That's funny, Jim!
>
> >
> >> And educate.
> >
> >> And research before I post.
> >
> >Feb 10, 2003.
>
> Well, no. Different issue in that post - as stated above!
>
> >
> >> >Maybe I will.
> >>
> >> God grant you the strength. I, however, am not optimistic that you
> >> can break free.
> >
> >> Think about it - if you spent all of the time that you have wasted
> >> here being a playtoy for others on more productive activities, what
> >> could you have accomplished?

> >>
> >> That would have been a lot to extra hours on the radio (DXCC, all
> >> modes, all bands perhaps?)
> >
> >Not really - not that much time to write these posts, you see.
>
> Heh heh heh.....not much time, eh?
>
> Any idea how many posts you write in a day? A week? a year?
>
> Each may be small, but they add up....! :)

And your suggestion is?
>
> >> - or a second degree at night school (we're
> >> talking a lot of hours, as you well know) - built yourself a brand new
> >> car entirely out of spare parts, or many other useful things. Here,
> >> over the last nine years, in all of your attempts to 'correct' those
> >> who 'play' with you, you have accomplished: Nothing. Same arguements
> >> - no progress. Zilch.
> >
> >You forget the entertainment value.
>
> Absolutely - if you are willing to submit to being used for
> entertainment, then of course - that has value. To others - and
> perhaps to you too in some odd way.....painful to watch, though!
>
> >Nice try with the nine years mistake, though.
>
> Things have been like this on the group for the last nine yearrs - the
> arguements do pre-date you, Jim.
>
> You weren't the first.....just the next..... :)
>
> >
> >> You got an F.
> >
> >You're not the teacher, Leo. Deal with it.
>
> Lucky you!
>
> >>
> >> The song remains the same as it did nine long years ago.
> >>
> >> What a colossal waste of talent! Yours, that is.
> >>
> >> And, of course, as I have also illustrated quite clearly in this
> >> thread - well, with your level of accuracy and depth of research, you
> >> ain't really the guy who should be running around telling everyone
> >> else that they are wrong.

> >
> >I see. Personal attack rather than looking at the facts. Was Len right
> >in
> >the cases you cited above? Or was I? Look them up and see. Do *your*
> >research....
>
> Who cares who was right? That's not the issue, Jim.

Yes, it is. Too bad you can't see that.

> >> When your emotions gain control over your
> >> intellect - you will lose.
> >>
> >> Every time.
> >>
> >> Without question.
> >
> >That's why my posts are calm and reasoned.
>
> Um - calm and reasoned? You must be a riot at parties, Jim.
>
> "No Fred, you're wrong....Betty, that's just incorrect....Hey, Sam,
> that's not how you do that!...Say, Charlie......"
>
> Heh heh.

> >> If you stick to areas where you are a subject matter expert, try to
> >> educate only those who are truly interested in learning ffrom you, and
> >> avoid those who will prey upon your obsessive personality - and you'll
> >> be better off.
> >
> >IOW, you want me to shut up. Why not be honest and say it straight out?
>
> No, Jim....please continue!
>
> >>
> >> Or don't. Either way, we'll all watch! Screeeeeeeeeeech...BAM!
> >>
> >> Your call.
> >
> >My call is N2EY. What's yours?
>
> Unlisted. :)
>
> >
> >You really underestimate me, Leo. If that's your real name.
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Actually, Jim, I have probably overestimated you. Thought you were
> smarter than that - and hoped that you might see the reality of what

> is being done to you.

What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?

3708. Re: Post anonymously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 19:58:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119815925.888732.307890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Holly wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119747738.926814.292740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
> > I am sure that everyone here has noticed there is much too much
> > attention paid to where posts originate from. And, there are childish
> > personalities which look up where you are posting from--some with
> > malicious intent...
> >
> > This URL will provide you with a means to be anonymous:
> > http://www.antifirewall.com/news.htm
> >
> > This should allow everyone to put a stop to this bad behavior.
> >
> > John
>
> Yeh, I really don't like Robeson posting snippets of my address or
> talking about my family.
>
>
> //
>
> Of course it never dawned on you to simply ignore him, did it?
> Besides, we all know you live on Longview Street.

Thanks Jim. Quitefine advice.

3709. Re: Post anonymously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 20:04:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rTDve.37$qy6.1098804@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

An even better way to setup anonymity is with mail2news and a remailer,
it takes a bit more knowledge and work but is worth it in the long run.
A google should supply one with all necessary details and tools.

John

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119815925.888732.307890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Holly wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1119747738.926814.292740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > I am sure that everyone here has noticed there is much too much
>> > attention paid to where posts originate from. And, there are
>> > childish
>> > personalities which look up where you are posting from--some with
>> > malicious intent...
>> >
>> > This URL will provide you with a means to be anonymous:
>> > http://www.antifirewall.com/news.htm
>> >
>> > This should allow everyone to put a stop to this bad behavior.
>> >
>> > John
>>
>> Yeh, I really don't like Robeson posting snippets of my address or
>> talking about my family.
>>
>>
>> //
>>
>> Of course it never dawned on you to simply ignore him, did it?
>> Besides, we all know you live on Longview Street.
>
> Thanks Jim. Quitefine advice.
>

3710. Re: Petition to Deny Renewal to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 20:34:25 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <o84ub11mit602f4dj0mm72mua5n5c83ukp@4ax.com>
Source record: 110993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 24 Jun 2005 14:40:37 -0700, "policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Do any of you know about this on line petition to the fcc
>to stop them from renewing the ham license of K1MAN? I found
>it on eham.net.

Sounds like he is an asshole. Does he have some sort of agenda or purpose for
these broadcasts and these stiff-arms of the FCC?

Vinnie S.

3711. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 20:35:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119818157.855285.135410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110994

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > You are to be pittied.
> > >
> > > By who?
> > >
> > > You...?!?!
> > >
> > > Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Anyone who starts a new thread titled:
> >
> > "WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to
> > WED..?!?!"
> >
> > is to be pittied.
>
> And I asked...BY WHO? Or more correctly, by WHOM?
>
> > Whatever gave you the idea that Tod and Mark might wed?
>
> Nothing. It was a joke. But they are so much alike and share
> similar persuations, that it's not beyond the realm of the possible
> these days.
>
> > Why do you continue with your gay inuendos?
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhh...With Mark and Todd there's no innuendo. They are.
>

NO I'M NOT YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE!!!!!

> > Why don't you seek help?
>
> For what?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3712. Re how exactly what is http://66.139.239.116:8080/hyl-ander_secrt/cyberstalkerbackdoor.html ?? once again the criminal john gagon of 11919 QUAIL CREEK DR HOUSTON TX 77070-2313 has to resort to hiding his stalking by hidden code in thread http://groups-beta.google.com/-group/alt.support.autism/msg/1cbee1d3bb2abbb2?dmode=source&hl=en

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 20:53:30 UTC
From: Phineas Snott <halvhofe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119819210.405887.219320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110995
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Lord Alfred Henry wrote:
> Crossposting troll. Can't you see it's shit like your crossposting and
> constant spamming of puns and constant harassments
> and driving people up walls that gets you into so much shit? Now you've
> just made a bunch more groups mad ad A.S.A.
> I'm sure people here and the many lawyers they know won't appreciate
> that!
>
> It's very hard to believe you have Morris in league with you at this
> point. You are insane!

how do you mean?

why are you so threatened?

I mean you have been stalking me and my family.

You did make a personal website to track the spyware you went to the
trouble of putting on my computer.

You did try to blackmail me off of alt.support.autism

You did commit id theft.

I'm not the one makeing them mad.

You are.

You and your stalking activities...

3713. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 20:57:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119819462.569412.257800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110996

>Then why don't you direct your question TO the FCC, rather than
>spinning your wheels in here?

The problem is that many amateur radio operators don't realize that there are rules that are outside Part 97 that effects amateur radio. Section 326 is one of them.

Sec. 326. Censorship

Nothing in this chapter shall be understood or construed to give the
Commission the power of censorship over the radio communications or signals transmitted by any radio station, and no regulation or condition
shall be promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall interfere with the right of free speech by means of radio communication.

(June 19, 1934, ch. 652, title III, Sec. 326, 48 Stat. 1091; June 25, 1948, ch. 645, Sec. 21, 62 Stat. 862.)

Amendments

1948--Act June 25, 1948, repealed last sentence relating to use of indecent language. See section 1464 of Title 18, Crimes and Criminal Procedure.

>But you again continue to insist that just because YOU think it's
>pertinent, the FCC might not have other ideas.

The FCC just cannot change the rules without a public notice, no matter if you like it or not it has always been up to the control operator to decied what is transmitted over his station, no some moron recieving station. if you think that then you are thinking of broadcast stations.

> And I look forward with glee to the day we see YOUR name on one of
>these...Better hurry up and get that college degree, Todd! You'll be
>needing the extra income!

doubtful, my station is legal, I don't interfere, I don't have any pencuniary Interest, and my programming deals with amateur radio issues (radio, electronic, rules and regulations, and computers).

3714. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 21:50:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119822643.707085.100980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110997

N9OGL wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >There's more, but those are sufficient
> > >
> > > Really, what other lies are you spreading steve??? and BTW I ran a low
> > > power TV transmitter after wasting my time and money applying six times
> > > for a license, with waivers which the FCC wouldn't even consider even
> > > though the federal court have told them repeatively "you must consider
> > > waivers" like I said steve you don't know the whole story nor, were you
> > > there..
> > >
> > > Todd
> >
> > Practicing Civil disobeinece then?
>
> Sort of, I don't see why a person has to continously file applications,
> a fee, and waivers if the FCC isn't even going to look at it. I applied
> six times for a tv license for a community that dosen't have a local tv
> service, each time I sent all that stuff in a week later I would get
> all of it back. Then the FCC would go to court against pirate operators
> and say "Oh, all this person has to do is apply for license and ask for
> a waiver" We I did all that and it got me nowhere so I figured why
> apply, after applying six times it pointless, so I ran a "pirate" TV
> station

Ok thanks for clearing that up for me.

I certainly was not going to take Setvies wordonwhat happened
>
> Todd N9OGL

3715. Re: k4yz blows another mental gasket it seems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 22:00:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119823231.486523.308400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110998

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> Bunch of trash snipped.
>
> > > > In not adknowleding the realities of the law you prove yourself a
> > > > Sociiopath meeting the textbook meaning it is impossible for 2 guy to
> > > > marry in Illinois simple fact
> > >
> > > First of all, it's "sociopath".
> >
> > More speling Nazi? Obviosly you understood well enough
>
> Not really...it takes a while to wade through your verbal garbage,
> Mark.

Which is it? make up your mind?
>
> I expect that trying to "read" a Kindergartner's "painting", but
> not from an adult.
>
> You should be six kinds of ashamed...especially when the technology
> is there to cover your six for you.

Why? Becuase you say so?
>
> > > Secondly, I didn't say anything about being married
> > > "legally"...Gays have been getting "married" for years, except it's
> > > called a "civil union". I am sure the two of you could work out the
> > > details.
> >
> > I supose we could IF either of us were interested but what busness is
> > it yours?
>
> I just think you two would make a cute couple.

Has to be another lie Steve. You have never seen ME so you can't think
I and anybody would be cute etc.
>
> > > > Further I am certian you are liing in saying those are the only things
> > > > that are impossible that or plain mentaly ill. Or both of course. Which
> > > > is it?
> > >
> > > First of all, I said what things >>I<< consider (as in an opinion)

> > > to be impossible. Persoanlly, I believe in transwarp space drive,
> > > telemolecular transportation, and that Michael Jackson's skin condition
> > > really is vitilago (OK...two out of three...) None of those things
> > > presently exisit, however they shall some day,
> >
> > So the statement that you don't beleive any else in the world (or would
> > you prefer universe?) is impoosible is plaily a lie. Indeed you have
> > satted something along the line of it is impossible that I have been in
> > the service
>
> It is impossible that you've been in the service.
>
> You've even acknowledged that you lied about it.

No I haven't you can't eekp your liies straight
>
> There's nothing left for me to do but laugh at you for it.

Porving of course you don't even follow your own moral codes, so Why
should I follow them

>
> > I never said anything about your opinion on tranwarps or transmat , and
> > I'll stay well away from anything to do with poorMJ
>
> You missed the point again. And why can't you get the subjects
> right even when they are right in front of you to copy from, Mark??

I got exactly your pointand I got em all right I just choose to use
different words for the same things, as is my right
>
> > You are a stalker a Bigot and a disgraceto the uniform you cliam to
> > have worn
>
> You're a liar, a liar and a liar.
>
> (1) If I was a "stalker", you'd never know it.

You are a stalker you have been stalking me on and off for years
>
> (2) If I was a bigot, you'd be hanging from a tree.

More threats
>
> (3) If I was a disgrace to the uniform of ANY organization I've
> ever been in, then I'd not have the restraint and professionalism to
> keep from doing items 1 and 2
>
> > A disgrace to medicine
>
> Gee..that's strange...I'm one of only 12 LPN's within 100 miles of
> here who can do the things I do...

So? How many RN's can do what you can do and more, that you may be
better traned than many of the powest and least cappable Nurses proves
nothing
>
> > a Lair distroter of context
>
> Huh? A what?

You lie you distor the meaning yof post by takeing them out of context
>
> > Hypocrite
>
> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...That's cute. You first lie about being
> a Field Grade Army officer, then lie about being a Company Grade Army
> officer, then lie about haivng ever served...Then you have the temerity
> to call others who DID serve "a disgrace" even though you didn't know
> them when they served...But you have the cajones to call THEM
> "hypocites".............?!?!?!?!

Indeed gee make up your Am I a coward or not you flipflop on the issues
more than John Kerry did and that is saying somthing
>
> > Sex Obcessed, (and perhaps sex repressed too)
>
> You mean "obscessed"..?!?! Hardly.

You are or why else do you insit and focusing on what people are doing
with the genitals

>
> > and likely represed Homosexual
>
> Nope. Nice try at diversion, Mark!

Merely the truth
>
> > > Does Mr Markie know what a liar you are?
> >
> > Auf anglish bitte?
>
> Geeze, Mark, you even abuse the German language.

I am equal opertunity
>
> > > Putz.
> >
> > Lunatic
>
> Your mama.

You low life can't even confine your attacks to me
>
> Steve, K4YZ

> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ

3716. Re: Todd Blames Everyone Except Person Truly Responsible For His Predicament

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 22:14:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119824056.652212.257030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110999

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >And for the billionth time, Todd...
> > >Those letters themselves wouldn't have mattered.
> > >There was enough LEGITIMATE stuff to "can" you.
> > >I reported it. Consolidated agreed.
> >
> > First off ####head...(SNIP)
>
> Just an easy and familiar habit to drop back into, isn't it, Todd?
>
> > (UNSNIP)...and for the billionth time as you would say...(SNIP)
>
> Such as when...?!?!
>
> > this isn't YOUR NEWSGROUP!!!!
>
> No kidding, eh?
>
> Where did I say it was?
>
> > you don't own it, nor are you the moderator,
>
> Was that a sentence? Was there a point?
>
> > You steve are nothing more then an sadist ham cop who sits on the
> > amateur newsgroups on the internet and watch what people post and then
> > turn around and report it, why???
>
> No, Todd....
>
> I "reported" specific things you did and said TO ME.

You assumed that something posted by someone you claim is dishoest is
evidence?
>
> > because you get your nuts off on it.
>
> No, I don't.

Yes You do Stevie boy you have admited to it
>
> > It really sad when a phone company won't listen to a paying customer,

> > but will listen to a mental ill person like yourself.
>
> Still not accepting responsibility for your own behaviour,
> Todd...?!?!
>
> You'll NEVER get past this until you realize that YOUR mouth and
> YOUR behaviour.
>
> Consolidated saw exactly the same stuff you and I did.
>
> They acted on it.
>
> They told you to stay away.
>
> You didn't do it.
>
> YOU lost YOUR broadband....Not me...
>
> > >Yeah..uh huh...Right...
> > >Chances are that if people find out WHY you were canned, they'll
> > >be MORE likely to join Consolidated just becasue they know that
> > >Consolidated is concerned with keeping trash off the Internet, even if
> > >it is just one piece at a time.
> >
> > I know steve you probably live a shelter life, but out here in the
> > "real world" people Do talk like that...(SNIP)
>
> No, Todd, people do NOT, as a matter of routine life, talk "like
> that".
>
> Yes...they do it in porno's, some "action" movies, and rap music
> videos.
>
> Educated, responsible adults do NOT talk like that in public.

Gee Steve you been on Mars (the planet not the radio service) The VP of
the US told a senator to Fuck off (or was it he just said FO) on the
floor of the Senate

>
> > here's an Idea don't go to New
> > York City, you'll probably hear stuff that make my post look calm.
>
> I've been to New York City. And Miami. And Los Angeles. And
> Dallas, Atlanta, Nashville, etc etc etc.
>
> People don't carry on like that as a matter of "real life"
> conversations in public.

Morse Code made you deaf?
>

> > but
> > it really doubtful that people would go rushing to consolidated, they
> > charge too much ($300-350 for startup fee) and ($36 to $40 a month) and
> > when people hear that consolidated listen to a non-paying customer, who
> > is a sadist, and NOT a paying customer, well...
>
> Problem is that your scenario is wrong.
>
> I am not a sadist.

You certainly are
>
> But you ARE a liar, a cheat, a lawbreaker and deadbeat.

And you are trsuing the word of a man you are calling a lair as
eveidence of his alleged crimes?
>
> Let's get into a side by side debate over Consolidated's practices
> and see who carries the day, eh, Todd...?!?!
>
> > >What YOU continue to ignore is that those letters weren't the only
> > >reason you got canned.
> >
> > and you continue to not to understand that what YOU did was wrong, when
> > there were alternatives, but instead you have proven to ever one on
> > here what I had been saying that your an ###hole!!
>
> And YOU keep ignoring the fact that I DID those things, including
> trying to get you to stop the offensive language and do something to
> control your blog posters.

Control freak!! and BTW thin skined too and able to dish it but not
take it
>
> You refused.

As was his RIGHT
>
> Nothing I did was wrong, illegal or immoral.

You could get an augement that YOU steve engaged in the crime of
Conspirisy to defraud and to deny the Civil right of other people.

People do have a RIGHT to disagare with you, even to offend you
>
> That YOU don't LIKE it is another story.

Indeed you do have that point right
>
> > >No, you're not.
> > >But then this is a PUBLIC forum and a certain amout of decorum is
> > >expected (or should be) "MF", "AH", "CS" and other such adjectives

> > >are NOT language you typically hear walking down the street or walking
> > >through Wal-Mart, and I don't think it's appropriate here.
> > >Then when you DIRECTED it at me, well, that cinched it
> >
> > again you don't have to read my post and let me say you are a hypocite,
> > you have the balls to call me gay when I am not...(SNIP)
>
> Then prove it.
>
> > like I said steve,
> > don't go to New York City, they use those "Adjectives" all the time...(SNIP)
>
> Not in public. Not in schools, museums, theaters or other public
> venues.

Morse code made you deaf?
>
> And people who make it a point of being offensive get hauled away.

Where are you living? Mars, the Planet, not M.A.R.S,
>
> > I know I've been there a few times. in the "real world" people use those
> > words and a form of expression, look at rap music....it's not my fault
> > you live a shelter life.
>
> I don't live a sheltered life. (Coming from an almost-40 year old
> deadbeat sponging off of mom and dad, that was cute, Todd!)

You surely do
>
> I don't listen to rap music for that very reason.

Your free choice, one the few thing you and I have in comon
>
> > >Seems to me the "moron" was the customer who thought that
> > >$36/month was a license to be obnoxious, mistruthful and idiotic with.
> >
> > No ####head for $36 a month they should at lease here my side but they
> > wouldn't hear anything of it.
>
> You had your chance.
>
> You blew it.
>
> > >In this case the @@@hole WAS the customer.
> >
> > >So far, you've blamed...
> > >me for being a crybaby...
> > >Consolidated for actually enforcing their own regulations and
> > >threats...
> > >Buzz Corey for, well, who knows why...

> > >The ONLY person you've NOT blamed is the person responsible for
> > >your predicament...
> > >YOU!
> >
> > and just like you Corn###### has poroven that he too is a ###hole who
> > had alternatives to reading my post, but just like you he is a sadist
> > who get's his nut off by acting like a netcop
>
> He did nothing wrong, illegal or immoral.

It is imoral for you be a sadistic Steve, since YOUR moral code says
sadisim is wrong

>
> > >There a re no "shows" on Amateur Radio.
> > >A "show" is a program prepared for broadcast for the general
> > >public.
> >
> > first off it depends on who it is directed to...(SNIP)
>
> No, it doesn't.

yes it does read the rules

>
> > ...if it's directed just to
> > amateur's then it legal, my shows like I said is on radio, electronic,
> > computers and radio regulations, and until you or little buddies at the
> > FCC say otherwise that it's illegal, by showing me a rule that states
> > it.
>
> If you're producing a "show", it's neither an "information
> bulletin", nor legal within the FCC's parameters.

no He is calling it a show not producing one
>
> > >If you'd "x" out the "bitches" and the "###hole", you would really
> > >impessed me...
> > >One step at a time, though, I guess.
> >
> > I'm sorry steve....I forgot you don't live in the real world....
>
> Sure I do. I just live in a more responsible, professional world
> where mature adults don't have to resort to sandlot profanity to try
> and "one-upmanship" someone.

LOL you the Gay basher, you need to get up into the sand lot
>
> > >Do you think you're any more likely to get your broadband service
> > >back by continuing to use profanity and call the people with their
> > >fingers on the service keys names in a public forum...?!?!
> >

> > oh callign someone gay when they are not, isn't insulting....you
> > bastard
>
> My mom and dad were married BEFORE I was born, Todd...
>
> > I'm going to waste my time writing my name or my call simply because
> > your not worth it.
>
> Your callsign is becomming a laughing stock, Todd. People are
> looking out for you now. Too late to try and hide it now.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3717. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 22:18:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119824326.075055.268890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111000

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > You are to be pittied.
> > >
> > > By who?
> > >
> > > You...?!?!
> > >
> > > Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Anyone who starts a new thread titled:
> >
> > "WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to
> > WED..?!?!"
> >
> > is to be pittied.
>
> And I asked...BY WHO? Or more correctly, by WHOM?
>
> > Whatever gave you the idea that Tod and Mark might wed?
>
> Nothing. It was a joke. But they are so much alike and share
> similar persuations, that it's not beyond the realm of the possible
> these days.

Where is your eveidence?
>
> > Why do you continue with your gay inuendos?
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhh...With Mark and Todd there's no innuendo. They are.

Nope. as I a said before I am not gay (not that there would anything
wrong with it if i were but happens to be untrue) I am bisexaul. I
don't know or care If Todd if gay Str8, Bi or Trans or any other
varriant. I am unlikely to meet to meet Todd, but none of that has any
to to do with Radio
>
> > Why don't you seek help?
>

> For what?

You many of maniform mental problems, not the least of is your
Homophobia
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3718. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 22:25:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119824714.023386.203270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111001

K4YZ Jun 25, 5:33 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 25 Jun 2005 02:33:06 -0700
Local: Sat,Jun 25 2005 5:33 am
Subject: Re: The Pool
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > ammussingly enough I expect for once Stevie that you are right on on
> > > > > the result

> > > > Top posting, Markie?

> > > > Your written gulash is ahrd enough to follow, but now you top post
> > > > too?

> > > > > OTH...(SNIP)

> > > > You meant "OTOH", perhaps? OTH in radio terms is "Over The
> > > > Horizon".

> > > again you understand

> > No, I didn't...hence the request to clarify your intent.

> It was quite clear till you hack out the context and you can go back
> yourself and do it lazy bumm

) I always get a laugh from people like you who HIDE behind the
)American's with Disabilities Act calling others "lazy".

Hide Behind?

you are lazy Stevie

> Nope and I am NOT carring your freight.

) I doubt you have a car of any signifigance to carry any freight
)in, Markie.

Got it half right Stevie. These days I don't own a car. I my pickup and an SUV no cars.

As to signifance the depends, but it would take a lot to care your fright Stevie

> Then you should if you are a christian turn the other cheek

) You keep trying to impose arbitrary religious content here,)Markie. Why?

Becuase you insit and makeing MY religion an issue. Turn about is always fair play

3719. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 22:38:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <d7Gve.12633$VK4.11975@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111002

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1119738549.767548.163900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN PT2
> FROM http://n9oglvice.blogspot.com
>
> [13. Section 97.101(d) of the Rules states that ``[n]o
> amateur operator shall willfully or maliciously interfere
> with or cause interference to any radio communication or
> signal.''12 On November 27, 2004, December 8, 2004, and
> March 31, 2005, Baxter's Amateur station K1MAN commenced
> transmitting on top of existing communications on 3.890 MHz
> in apparent willful and repeated violation of 97.101(d) of
> the Commission's rules.]
>
> OH, and the ARRL W1AW don't?? I don't see amateurs bitch and cry about
> W1AW, nor, do I see the FCC sending warning letters to them. Hey,
> interfernce is interfernce, and it doesn't matter who's causing it the
> rules should apply to all.
>

The difference is Baxter does it in a intentional manner. He has said on
his 24/7 broadcasts that he does not bother to listen on his intended
frequency of operation. Because we all should "know" he is coming on there.

The W1AW information bulletins are well published, as per FCC rules,
Baxter has a few paragraphs on an obscure web page.

W1AW is a real organization. K1MAN is a one man pony show.

etc.

> [14. Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules prohibits an Amateur
> station from transmitting any communications in which the
> station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary
> interest. On November 25, 2004 and March 30, 2005, Mr.
> Baxter's station repeatedly transmitted references to his
> website, which offers various products for sale, including a
> monthly newsletter published by Glenn Baxter and offered for
> sale for forty-five dollars per year. In addition, on
> December 1, 2004, Station K1MAN transmitted a seventy-minute
> interview with a person who was considering whether to
> retain Baxter Associates, an employment-search firm owned by
> Mr. Baxter. During the transmission, Mr. Baxter discussed
> fees, investments, and franchising opportunities. We find
> that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly violated
> Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules on each of these occasions

> by transmitting communications regarding matters in which he
> has a pecuniary interest.]
>
>
> Oh, the ARRL doesn't do that??? the rule states that pecuniary interest
> applies to direct and indirect. K1MAN is doing direct, while the ARRL
> doesn't indirect.
>

No the ARRL does NOT SOLICITE on its bulletins. K1MAN does. End of
subject.

> [16. Section 97.113(b) of the Rules prohibits, with limited
> exceptions not applicable here, an Amateur station from
> engaging in any form of broadcasting or transmitting one-way
> transmissions. Section 97.3(a)(10) of the Rules defines
> broadcasting as ``transmissions intended for reception by
> the general public.'' 14 We find that the pre-recorded
> seventy-minute interview with a person interested in
> retaining Baxter Associates, during which there was no
> station identification, constitutes a ``broadcast'' and an
> impermissible one-way transmission. Therefore, Mr. Baxter
> apparently willfully violated Section 97.113(b) of the
> Rules.]
>
> and the question I have for the FCC is where does this and how does it
> apply to section 326 of the communication act. after all isn't it up to
> the station to deiced what is of intrest to amateur radio??
>

Ohhhhhh.....Baxter is the one that determines what I want to hear? That is
really funny Toad.

> finally I would like to tell all my fans that this sick joke of a NAL
> does not effect my station or the N9OGL SHOW
>
> TODD N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
>

sick joke? I don't consider a 21000 NAL a sick joke. If you do.....you
have a mental problem.

Dan/W4NTI

3720. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 22:40:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <D9Gve.12634$VK4.4003@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111003

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1119819462.569412.257800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

>
> doubtful, my station is legal, I don't interfere, I don't have any
> pencuniary Interest, and my programming deals with amateur radio issues
> (radio, electronic, rules and regulations, and computers).
>

Toad is the worlds first "stealth ham radio broadcaster"

Dan/W4NTI

3721. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 22:44:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119825862.700499.269740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111004

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Who said anything about rape, you ignorant illiterate idiot?
> > > Thee's not a single word in that post about rape.
> >
> > Typical Robeson Dodge. Just like "she must be selling ""SOMETHING""
> > and "bricks, tires, terror" etc, etc, etc.
>
> You continue to make accusations yet you continue to NOT
> substantiate them, Brain.
>
> > Robeson is not to be trusted.
>
> You cut that short.

No he said what he choose to say, as is his right
>
> "Robeson is not to be trusted to stop demanding that Brain et al
> stop lying and deceiving, etc..."
>
> > > > ((NSNIP)...proves your lack of
> > > > moral character and shows you to be a pretty and discusting peice of
> > > > filth
> > >
> > > You're the filth, Markie.
> > >
> > > You've still never apologize for imitating a commissioned officer
> > > of the Armed Forces.
> >
> > Bob Hope imitated commissioned officers his whole career. Are you
> > still waiting for his apology?
>
> Nope. Because Bob Hope never did it to be deceitful and evasive.
>
> Markie did. Markie knowingly misrepresented himself as a
> Commissioned Officer of the Armed Forces for personal gain or intent.

Nope you go off the rails at "..for personal gain.." I, even you may
say or claim anything if it well serve to enhance his personal safety
or that of other. I or even you may even use deadly force. The comment
diverted you you even (if you are to be belived stopped to call the

Pentagon)til I could return home and be better able to defend my family. You keep forgeting that YOU said "One shoot One kill even in Illiopolis" Indeed at the moment you made that threat had you carried it out the man you would have killed would have been my elderly father or the temporay caregiver I hired while I was away on busness
>
> That's a felony.

Indeed your threats are the felony, but Law enforcement has grown to lazy to do the job of dealing with trash like you.

Youa re clearly a stalker likely a relitively harmless one, but you stalk various people including myself, indeed given the interstate nature of your crime a federal offence, but perhaps you take comfort in the knowledge that you are not a bigg enough fish to be worthfrying for your crimes (metaphoricaly speacking of course)
>
> Steve,K4YZ

3722. Re: e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 22:45:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <peGve.12635$VK4.10495@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111005

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Rs2dnaZvK74ZXSHfRVn-og@adelphia.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>> Oh yes indeed......remember how you could change the frequency of those
>> FT-243 rocks? Carefully take them apart and apply a thin layer of pencil
>> lead. Don't remember....did that raise or lower the freq? Another
>> technique was to drilll a hole in the face of the rock. Put a metal
>> screw in it and adjust the tension on the quartz via the spring that was
>> inside.
>>
>> Ah the good ole days.
>>
>> Yeah right.
>
> But I'll bet you had a blast, didn't ya?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Yeah I did.

Dan/W4NTI

3723. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 22:46:20 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <0fGve.12636$VK4.5898@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111006

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119704452.861676.43320@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1119520608.381811.76380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> I've had similar experiences on FD. Some folks think they're
>> doing well to make QSOs on SSB at a certain rate with a beam and 100 W
>> transceiver, with an op and a logger. Exhausted after an hour or two,
>> they wander over to the CW tent and find me working
>> them at 2, 3 or more times their rate, with a dipole, "old"
>> transceiver, and no logger.
>>
>> The reactions when presented with a manual transmatch were
>> priceless, too.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Yeah it is. I was at a FD a few years back and I fired up the "bug".
>> You
>> should have seen their looks of amazement. Had em standing in line to
>> ask
>> me questions afterwards.
>>
>> Dan/W4nti
>
> The older you'se guys gets, the better you'se was...
>

Thats right...and don't you forget it.

Dan/W4NTI

3724. how did folks do on field day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 22:56:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119826619.411039.158820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111007

personaly I set out to set the local club record for 6 m contacts. A task I thought would be easy since no one could rememer any 6m contacts on FD in the histroy of the club. While I did suceed in my mission and therefore set a new and dualting goal for next year (5 is the new goal) I am still chasing the satic out of my ears, and I thanks the goods for the time when the clubs generator ran out of gas, and the noise droped to something bareable, breifly

3725. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 23:01:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119826869.538529.202890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111009

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Being a
> > > > > non-combatant your whole career, I am sure that some sand in your eyes
> > > > > or chapped hands from too much White-Out fluid was "trauma".
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Were you ever a combatant, I mean when you were in the service?
> > >
> > > Forgive me but him what you will I don't think it is proper to question
> > > wether Stevie was a combatant, he was there at Valley Forgery saving
> > > Ole GW (washington of course) with morse and heis still very much a
> > > combatant
>
> The "forgery" was a coward in Illiopolis, IL, pretending to be a
> commissioned officer...and the lies just got bigger and more colorful
> from there.

Coward in one post then you adkonweldge my balls (paraphrase) in and
another is this your confused mind or your sexaul obbcession with male
gentails at work
>
> You were a liar then and you've done nothing to demonstrate that
> you've changed that behaviour.
>
> > Steve wasn't anywhere.
>
> Sure I was. More than you.
>
> > Says he's got seven hostile actions, but if he
> > starts talking, his claims will be debunked, stolen valor style.
>
> Yes, Brain, you ARE a prolific thief.

I don't know about Brain and Theft, but I do know that YOU Stevie boy
are the desendant of thiefs
>
> You steal people's trust and confidence with your own tales and
> mistruths, then try and coneal the deed with outlandish accusations and
> deceit.

Self describetion Stevie boy
>
> Watta piece of work...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3726. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 23:01:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119826889.824076.276320@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111008

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1119738549.767548.163900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > K1MAN PT2
> > FROM http://n9oglvice.blogspot.com
> >
> > [13. Section 97.101(d) of the Rules states that ``[n]o
> > amateur operator shall willfully or maliciously interfere
> > with or cause interference to any radio communication or
> > signal.''12 On November 27, 2004, December 8, 2004, and
> > March 31, 2005, Baxter's Amateur station K1MAN commenced
> > transmitting on top of existing communications on 3.890 MHz
> > in apparent willful and repeated violation of 97.101(d) of
> > the Commission's rules.]
> >
> > OH, and the ARRL W1AW don't?? I don't see amateurs bitch and cry about
> > W1AW, nor, do I see the FCC sending warning letters to them. Hey,
> > interfernce is interfernce, and it doesn't matter who's causing it the
> > rules should apply to all.
> >
>
>
> The difference is Baxter does it in a intentional manner. He has said on
> his 24/7 broadcasts that he does not bother to listen on his intended
> frequency of operation. Because we all should "know" he is coming on there.
>

but neither does W1AW, I've heard amateurs complaining about W1AW
transmitting ontop of them. It really shouldn't matter if they
transmitting 24/7 or for 15 min, interfernce is interfernce on any
level.

> The W1AW information bulletins are well published, as per FCC rules,
> Baxter has a few paragraphs on an obscure web page.
>

First off Information bulletins do not have to be published, only if
they are on a certain amount of time which is 48 hr a week for the
purpose of compesation. As for baxter's website I will admit that a
five year old could do a better job making a website, then what he did.

> W1AW is a real organization. K1MAN is a one man pony show.

A information bulletin is NOT limited to clubs, anyone can run an
Information Bulletin
>

> etc.
>
> > [14. Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules prohibits an Amateur
> > station from transmitting any communications in which the
> > station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary
> > interest. On November 25, 2004 and March 30, 2005, Mr.
> > Baxter's station repeatedly transmitted references to his
> > website, which offers various products for sale, including a
> > monthly newsletter published by Glenn Baxter and offered for
> > sale for forty-five dollars per year. In addition, on
> > December 1, 2004, Station K1MAN transmitted a seventy-minute
> > interview with a person who was considering whether to
> > retain Baxter Associates, an employment-search firm owned by
> > Mr. Baxter. During the transmission, Mr. Baxter discussed
> > fees, investments, and franchising opportunities. We find
> > that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly violated
> > Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules on each of these occasions
> > by transmitting communications regarding matters in which he
> > has a pecuniary interest.]
> >
> >
> > Oh, the ARRL doesn't do that??? the rule states that pecuniary interest
> > applies to direct and indirect. K1MAN is doing direct, while the ARRL
> > doesn't indirect.
> >
>
> No the ARRL does NOT SOLICITE on its bulletins. K1MAN does. End of
> subject.
>
No but the rules state a station can't make money direct or indirect.
K1MAN does do it DIRECT, while the ARRL is doing it INDIRECT. but the
rules does state a station can't make money direct or indirect.

>
> > [16. Section 97.113(b) of the Rules prohibits, with limited
> > exceptions not applicable here, an Amateur station from
> > engaging in any form of broadcasting or transmitting one-way
> > transmissions. Section 97.3(a)(10) of the Rules defines
> > broadcasting as ``transmissions intended for reception by
> > the general public.'' 14 We find that the pre-recorded
> > seventy-minute interview with a person interested in
> > retaining Baxter Associates, during which there was no
> > station identification, constitutes a ``broadcast'' and an
> > impermissible one-way transmission. Therefore, Mr. Baxter
> > apparently willfully violated Section 97.113(b) of the
> > Rules.]
> >
> > and the question I have for the FCC is where does this and how does it
> > apply to section 326 of the communication act. after all isn't it up to
> > the station to deiced what is of intrest to amateur radio??

> >
>
> Ohhhhhh.....Baxter is the one that determines what I want to hear? That is
> really funny Toad.

Under the FCC rules it states it is up to station transmitting the
bulletin, the FCC even states that on their website. If you don't want
to hear him then that's what a VFO is for.
>
>
> > finally I would like to tell all my fans that this sick joke of a NAL
> > does not effect my station or the N9OGL SHOW
> >
> > TODD N9OGL
> > THE N9OGL SHOW
> > 14.321.00 MHz
> >
>
> sick joke? I don't consider a 21000 NAL a sick joke. If you do.....you
> have a mental problem.
>
It is a sick Joke in the sense that one of the Issues in the NAL was
overturned once already by the Commission in DC back in 2004. (it dealt
with the content of his station)as for the other stuff I don't think
really think it's sick but it does raise some questions. You have to
remeber this was a district office that sent the NAL, and it's not a
FINAL ORDER, there is a Looooooong process before the final ruling is
set, including a hearing before an Administrative Law Jugde (ALJ) and
if he don't like that ruling he can go to the US Court of Appeal and
then just maybe, if they want to hear it the Supreme Court When the FCC
goes around controling the content of the station that is transmitting
it then it is a violation of Section 326 of the Comunication Act of
1934 as Amended.

Todd N9OGL

> Dan/W4NTI

3727. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 23:09:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119827393.028525.281020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111010

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> Quotes of FCC R&R's snipped.
>
> > and the question I have for the FCC is where does this and how does it
> > apply to section 326 of the communication act.
>
> Then why don't you direct your question TO the FCC, rather than
> spinning your wheels in here?

I think I can answer that one, becuase he choose to. At least it has
something to do with ham radio unlike your efforts to make make
something of my religion
>
> Scared of the answer you'll get?
>
> > after all isn't it up to
> > the station to deiced what is of intrest to amateur radio??
>
> I think the FAA is responsible for getting deiced.

Then you are truley losing it, The FAA is never concerned with the
icing status of a Ham station nor any issue in Ham radio etc prehaps
towers and rstation near airports
>
> But you again continue to insist that just because YOU think it's
> pertinent, the FCC might not have other ideas.

He is not insiting that at all Stevie Blunder Indeed he is insiting the
opposite
>
> > finally I would like to tell all my fans that this sick joke of a NAL
> > does not effect my station or the N9OGL SHOW.
>
> Only you would think it's a "sick joke".
>
> The FCC doesn't issue "sick jokes".
>
> And I look forward with glee to the day we see YOUR name on one of
> these...Better hurry up and get that college degree, Todd! You'll be
> needing the extra income!

Sadist, and self hating at that
>

> Steve, K4YZ

3728. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 23:11:46 UTC
From: Todd N9OGL <N9OGL@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1119827541.481b45575bc3215f6af9a01014ea18fc@teranews>
Source record: 111011

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119824326.075055.268890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Nope. as I a said before I am not gay (not that there would anything
> wrong with it if i were but happens to be untrue) I am bisexaul.
>
Fancy name for faggot!

3729. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 23:19:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119827947.613406.323120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111012

Todd N9OGL wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1119824326.075055.268890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Nope. as I a said before I am not gay (not that there would anything
> > wrong with it if i were but happens to be untrue) I am bisexaul.
> >
> Fancy name for faggot!

If you like I do think your reply proves we aren't getting married

3730. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 23:42:36 UTC
From: policy-ham <hamop_62@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119829356.723169.230050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111013

N9OGL wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1119738549.767548.163900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > K1MAN PT2
> > > FROM http://n9oglvice.blogspot.com
> > >
> > > [13. Section 97.101(d) of the Rules states that ``[n]o
> > > amateur operator shall willfully or maliciously interfere
> > > with or cause interference to any radio communication or
> > > signal.''12 On November 27, 2004, December 8, 2004, and
> > > March 31, 2005, Baxter's Amateur station K1MAN commenced
> > > transmitting on top of existing communications on 3.890 MHz
> > > in apparent willful and repeated violation of 97.101(d) of
> > > the Commission's rules.]
> > >
> > > OH, and the ARRL W1AW don't?? I don't see amateurs bitch and cry about
> > > W1AW, nor, do I see the FCC sending warning letters to them. Hey,
> > > interfernce is interfernce, and it doesn't matter who's causing it the
> > > rules should apply to all.
> > >
> >
> >
> > The difference is Baxter does it in a intentional manner. He has said on
> > his 24/7 broadcasts that he does not bother to listen on his intended
> > frequency of operation. Because we all should "know" he is coming on there.
> >
>
> but neither does W1AW, I've heard amateurs complaining about W1AW
> transmitting ontop of them. It really shouldn't matter if they
> transmitting 24/7 or for 15 min, interfernce is interfernce on any
> level.
>
> > The W1AW information bulletins are well published, as per FCC rules,
> > Baxter has a few paragraphs on an obscure web page.
> >
>
> First off Information bulletins do not have to be published, only if
> they are on a certain amount of time which is 48 hr a week for the
> purpose of compesation. As for baxter's website I will admit that a
> five year old could do a better job making a website, then what he did.
>
> > W1AW is a real organization. K1MAN is a one man pony show.
>

> A information bulletin is NOT limited to clubs, anyone can run an
> Information Bulletin
> >
> > etc.
> >
> > > [14. Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules prohibits an Amateur
> > > station from transmitting any communications in which the
> > > station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary
> > > interest. On November 25, 2004 and March 30, 2005, Mr.
> > > Baxter's station repeatedly transmitted references to his
> > > website, which offers various products for sale, including a
> > > monthly newsletter published by Glenn Baxter and offered for
> > > sale for forty-five dollars per year. In addition, on
> > > December 1, 2004, Station K1MAN transmitted a seventy-minute
> > > interview with a person who was considering whether to
> > > retain Baxter Associates, an employment-search firm owned by
> > > Mr. Baxter. During the transmission, Mr. Baxter discussed
> > > fees, investments, and franchising opportunities. We find
> > > that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly violated
> > > Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules on each of these occasions
> > > by transmitting communications regarding matters in which he
> > > has a pecuniary interest.]
> > >
> > >
> > > Oh, the ARRL doesn't do that??? the rule states that pecuniary interest
> > > applies to direct and indirect. K1MAN is doing direct, while the ARRL
> > > doesn't indirect.
> > >
> >
> > No the ARRL does NOT SOLICITE on its bulletins. K1MAN does. End of
> > subject.
> >
> No but the rules state a station can't make money direct or indirect.
> K1MAN does do it DIRECT, while the ARRL is doing it INDIRECT. but the
> rules does state a station can't make money direct or indirect.
>
> >
> > > [16. Section 97.113(b) of the Rules prohibits, with limited
> > > exceptions not applicable here, an Amateur station from
> > > engaging in any form of broadcasting or transmitting one-way
> > > transmissions. Section 97.3(a)(10) of the Rules defines
> > > broadcasting as ``transmissions intended for reception by
> > > the general public.'' 14 We find that the pre-recorded
> > > seventy-minute interview with a person interested in
> > > retaining Baxter Associates, during which there was no
> > > station identification, constitutes a ``broadcast'' and an
> > > impermissible one-way transmission. Therefore, Mr. Baxter
> > > apparently willfully violated Section 97.113(b) of the
> > > Rules.]
> > >

> > > and the question I have for the FCC is where does this and how does it
> > > apply to section 326 of the communication act. after all isn't it up to
> > > the station to deiced what is of intrest to amateur radio??
> > >
> >
> > Ohhhhhh.....Baxter is the one that determines what I want to hear? That is
> > really funny Toad.
>
> Under the FCC rules it states it is up to station transmitting the
> bulletin, the FCC even states that on their website. If you don't want
> to hear him then that's what a VFO is for.
> >
> >
> > > finally I would like to tell all my fans that this sick joke of a NAL
> > > does not effect my station or the N9OGL SHOW
> > >
> > > TODD N9OGL
> > > THE N9OGL SHOW
> > > 14.321.00 MHz
> > >
> >
> > sick joke? I don't consider a 21000 NAL a sick joke. If you do.....you
> > have a mental problem.
> >
> It is a sick Joke in the sense that one of the Issues in the NAL was
> overturned once already by the Commission in DC back in 2004. (it dealt
> with the content of his station)as for the other stuff I don't think
> really think it's sick but it does raise some questions. You have to
> remeber this was a district office that sent the NAL, and it's not a
> FINAL ORDER, there is a Looooooong process before the final ruling is
> set, including a hearing before an Administrative Law Jugde (ALJ) and
> if he don't like that ruling he can go to the US Court of Appeal and
> then just maybe, if they want to hear it the Supreme Court When the FCC
> goes around controling the content of the station that is transmitting
> it then it is a violation of Section 326 of the Comunication Act of
> 1934 as Amended.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
> > Dan/W4NTI

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAM, (or as his parter and buddy Chris Murdoch, WA1HOD, calld him - K1MAN-kind) has a long long history with the FCC. For those of you just joining the debate, here is some information that might help explain why so many hams are eager to sign the Petition to Deny License Renewal to K1MAN at www.no2k1man.com

K1MAN, by his own actions, has made himself a very public figure. As a public figure, he's been widely discussed by his fellow hams here, on QRZ, and elsewhere on the internet, on amateur radio, in court rooms, discussed by his employers, his neighbors, discussed by the FCC, at

CBS, and by the Department of Justice.

In reviewing the history of K1MAN and his qualifications to remain a Commission licensee, we can go back many years, to May of 1986, when he sued the advertising manager of QST and another employee of ARRL for conspiring to damage his business reputation.

QST had received a complaint that showed that Baxter's Collins Repair and Alignment Service had significantly overcharged a customer beyond an amount they had previously agreed upon.

The advertising manager at the time wrote Baxter on QST letterhead, saying, "When your service charges escalate from $75 to $225 and then to $275 we become very much concerned about the manner in which you are treating our members. You will recall that I discussed with you ... the highly suspect illegal spending of deposits which members were sending to you... unless you can convince me that your operation exists in the best interests of our members, we shall be unable to carry further advertising from you."

This exchange and the subsequent lawsuit by Baxter (dismissed) may help to explain why Baxter has attacked the ARRL and its staff with such zeal over the years. He says he wants to make IARN an "Alternative to ARRL" but, discerning readers may recognize another agenda.

Some old timers may recall Baxter's lawsuit against the FCC to maintain the old AM power output of 1,000 watts. That lawsuit was dismissed because Baxter failed to exhaust administrative remedies through the FCC before bringing suit. As the old adage goes, it appears there really is a drawback to acting as your own attorney.

You may also recall Baxter's 10 million dollar lawsuit against the FCC? He claimed he was defamed by the alleged suggestion by Riley Hollingsworth that he was shut down by the FCC. Baxter reported at the time that he had merely taken a break to teach high school. This case was also dismissed because Baxter failed to exhaust his administrative remedies before bringing suit. Baxter has threatened to sue Riley personally, as well as other hams, including this writer. A threat that has yet to materialize.

On January 23, 2003, Walter Cronkite's attorney wrote to Baxter asking him to stop using Walter's voice ID over K1MAN's bulletin. "Mr. Cronkite previously requested that you refrain from any further use of the audiotape, or from any suggestion that he endorses your station, Association, or other operations." He (Ronald S. Konecky) went on to call Baxter's actions "a violation of Mr. Cronkite's rights, totally improper, and a cause of serious damage to his name and reputation."

Baxter's actions, have not endeared him to CBS, The Maine Department of Justice, Walter Cronkite, the FCC, or the countless hams who have complained about Baxter's relentless interference to their ongoing communications.

When Baxter began to send out his self-styled notices of Felony Affidavit Complaints to those he perceived as interfering with his bulletin service, it really hit the fan.

On January 5th, 1995, Jay McCloskey, the U.S. Attorney at the Maine Department of Justice wrote to Baxter, telling him, "It is against the law to write and mail this type of threatening communication, especially when it has no basis in fact. If you continue sending this type of letter, you may be subject to Federal criminal charges for misrepresentation and for using the mails to make threats. You may also jeopardize your amateur radio license; the FCC can use evidence of this type of conduct to demonstrate that you no longer meet the character requirements for a license."

Baxter responded to this letter on January 9, 1995 by writing to the Assistant US Attorney, stating, "Your blatant and malicious abuse of the power vested in you by the citizens of the United States is despicable, unethical, criminal and unprofessional." Baxter went on to demand a retraction, which, of course, he never received.

Baxter has hardly endeared himself politically to the members of the Maine Department of Justice, but the lack of political goodwill doesn't stop there. On January 23, 1995, FCC Counsel John Greenspan wrote to Baxter regarding an insulting letter he wrote to the Assistant US Attorney, "Your reply suggests to me that you may very well lack the character qualifications to be an FCC licensee. You certainly lack the maturity, but that is, unfortunately, not grounds for revocation. If it is ultimately determined that you have made improper threats, that determination could result in a hearing to examine your fitness to remain an FCC license and/or criminal prosecution."

John Greenspan went on to add, "Although you have not used lawyers in the past, I suggest that you consult with an attorney knowledgeable in FCC procedures about your alleged conduct. To provide some incentive for you to do this, let me say that if you wish to speak with me for any reason, it must be through an attorney. I will not accept any calls or letters from you personally."

Not exactly a love letter is it?

Over the past 5 years Baxter has been contacted by the FCC on numerous occasions and his station has been inspected by the FCC. Baxter says he has received FOUR (4) NAL's to date. The FCC has writtent to him about allegations of broadcasting, deliberate interference, failure to identify, poor signal quality, erratic starting and stopping times, recording conversations without permission, and the list goes on...

Even Baxter's neighbors are not immune from his tendency toward trying to use the courts to settle what some might consider silly disputes that would be better handled by a personal phone call. More often than not, Baxter has lost in the courts due to procedural defects in his lawsuits. He is not, contrary to a popular myth, fabulously wealthy, or a lawyer.

According to staff at the IRS, his IARN charity did not even make enough money to require that he file taxes last year. An underfunded organization like IARN that engages in irresponsible activities like calling for jump teams to visit disaster areas who don't even request assistance, does not seem to me, to be an alternative to the ARRL, except perhaps in the mind of Mr. Baxter.

On May 4, 1983, Baxter sued a neighbor of his, Camp Runoia, a camp for little girls, because they stacked cordwood on the side of the road. The case was dismissed. The camp had removed the wood prior to the case being heard.

Baxter's other neighbors have apparently also had reason for concern regarding his allegedly threatening behavior. According to reports gleaned from court records in December of 2003, Baxter was dismissed from his job (fired) as station engineer for FM 95.3 in Augusta, Maine. When he applied for unemployment compensation, the attorney for the station advised his clients not to attend the unemployment hearing.

"Because Mr. Baxter has demonstrated offensive behavior similar to that which prompted his termination, I have advised the Bouchards not to attend Monday's hearing. I indicated to you in our conversation that the Bouchards' fear for their safety as a result of Mr. Baxter's threatening behavior. Mr. Bouchard terminated Mr. Baxter from employment when Mr. Baxter repeatedly acted in a threatening manner to his supervisor and to other employess at the job site.Mr. Baxter demonstrated his inability to act responsibly and to exert self-contol at the last hearing."

The attorney (Robert J. Stolt of Lipman, Katz & McKee) goes on to say, "Payments of benefits to Mr. Baxter is a lesser evil than someone being harmed by him."

Baxter sued regarding these comments, but the court held that the comments made by the attorney were completely privileged in nature and were therefore protected by law.

Ironically, Baxter's objections have ensured that they will reside in the annals of the Maine courts in perpetuity, for anyone with the desire to read them.

For all of these reasons, and the reasons in the present NAL, I will sign the petition to deny license renewal to K1MAN. I don't believe K1MAN is capable of engaging in good Amatuer practice, as we are all compelled by law to do.

Although I hold no malice toward Mr. Baxter personally, and I believe him when he says he suffers from mental illness, I believe that Mr. Baxter has already amply proven that he does not deserve to remain a Commision licensee.

K1MAN has pending enforcement issues. K1MAN has already been warned by the FCC that he may be referred to the ALJ due to ongoing enforcement issues.

K1MAN's renewal anniversary is on October 17th of this year. Here is an excerpt from an FCC letter to K1MAN dated January 29, 2002.

"The rules of the Amateur Service are straightforward and easy to understand. To the extent that you do not comply with Commission rules regarding the Amateur Radio Service, then to that extent enforcement action will be taken against your licenses. That enforcement action may include revocation of your station license, suspension of your operator license, a modification proceeding to restrict your operating privileges, or monetary forfeiture.

It is also important for you to understand that if these matters are not resolved, your operator/primary station licenses will not be routinely renewed; but instead will be designated for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge. In such a proceeding, you would have the burden of proof to show that your licenses should be renewed."

It's time for Baxter and all of his associates to stand before a Judge.

3731. Re: Acting like idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 23:49:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <mZOdnSYDuquFoiLfRVn-3g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111014

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Kim wrote:
>
>> Your mind running wild with you, not mine. And, I may have the TIT in my
>> call, but I'm not the one acting like an ass.
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>>
>>
> I would say getting a call like that makes you pretty much one.

Okay, I've been waiting for this one.

Kim takes a lot of crap in here for her callsign.

At Dayton this past year, I noticed a group of hams from Texas, who referred to themselves as the K5TIT group. They are some kind of APRS group IIRC. They have a logo which is a rather obvious breast shaped hilltop.

The self-righteous need to complain to the FCC about this group.

I'm not planning on it, finding it much ado about nothing, but time to put the money where the mouth is guys. ;^)

- Mike

3732. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 23:54:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119830059.668076.100760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111015

I really suggest dickhead that you pretend to be some one else, and not me if you know what's go for you, I not really in a good fucking mood.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz
Saturday's @ 4.00 PM CST

3733. Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 23:56:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tgHve.55$MA2.2304076@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111018

I think anyone over-looking the bigger picture has to suspect that HF will, rather quickly, be taken from amateurs. The abundance of techs is being created to drop the percentage of hams using HF. At some point I suspect a "move" will be made on these all important HF bands and they will be removed from amateur service.

I suspect that techs account for about 50% of activity on the bands now--when that reaches 66%, and certainly 75%, I think HF will be pulled...

Here is Hollingsworth on his "vision(s)", some may interpret it differently:
http://www.wr6wr.com/newSite/articles/features/olderfeatures/longbeach.html

John

3734. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-26 23:56:39 UTC
From: policy-ham <hamop_62@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119830199.669962.286810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

(This is from eham.net and qrz.com)
Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAM, (or as his parter and buddy Chris Murdoch, WA1HOD, calls him - K1MAN-kind) has a long long history with the FCC. For those of you just joining the debate, here is some information that might help explain why so many hams are eager to sign the Petition to Deny License Renewal to K1MAN at www.no2k1man.com

K1MAN, by his own actions, has made himself a very public figure. As a public figure, he's been widely discussed by his fellow hams here, on QRZ, and elsewhere on the internet, on amateur radio, in court rooms, discussed by his employers, his neighbors, discussed by the FCC, at CBS, and by the Department of Justice.

In reviewing the history of K1MAN and his qualifications to remain a Commission licensee, we can go back many years, to May of 1986, when he sued the advertising manager of QST and another employee of ARRL for conspiring to damage his business reputation.

QST had received a complaint that showed that Baxter's Collins Repair and Alignment Service had significantly overcharged a customer beyond an amount they had previously agreed upon.

The advertising manager at the time wrote Baxter on QST letterhead, saying, "When your service charges escalate from $75 to $225 and then to $275 we become very much concerned about the manner in which you are treating our members. You will recall that I discussed with you ... the highly suspect illegal spending of deposits which members were sending to you... unless you can convince me that your operation exists in the best interests of our members, we shall be unable to carry further advertising from you."

This exchange and the subsequent lawsuit by Baxter (dismissed) may help to explain why Baxter has attacked the ARRL and its staff with such zeal over the years. He says he wants to make IARN an "Alternative to ARRL" but, discerning readers may recognize another agenda.

Some old timers may recall Baxter's lawsuit against the FCC to maintain the old AM power output of 1,000 watts. That lawsuit was dismissed because Baxter failed to exhaust administrative remedies through the FCC before bringing suit. As the old adage goes, it appears there really is a drawback to acting as your own attorney.

You may also recall Baxter's 10 million dollar lawsuit against the FCC? He claimed he was defamed by the alleged suggestion by Riley Hollingsworth that he was shut down by the FCC. Baxter reported at the

time that he had merely taken a break to teach high school. This case was also dismissed because Baxter failed to exhaust his administrative remedies before bringing suit. Baxter has threatened to sue Riley personally, as well as other hams, including this writer. A threat that has yet to materialize.

On January 23, 2003, Walter Cronkite's attorney wrote to Baxter asking him to stop using Walter's voice ID over K1MAN's bulletin. "Mr. Cronkite previously requested that you refrain from any further use of the audiotape, or from any suggestion that he endorses your station, Association, or other operations." He (Ronald S. Konecky) went on to call Baxter's actions "a violation of Mr. Cronkite's rights, totally improper, and a cause of serious damage to his name and reputation."

Baxter's actions, have not endeared him to CBS, The Maine Department of Justice, Walter Cronkite, the FCC, or the countless hams who have complained about Baxter's relentless interference to their ongoing communications.

When Baxter began to send out his self-styled notices of Felony Affidavit Complaints to those he perceived as interfering with his bulletin service, it really hit the fan.

On January 5th, 1995, Jay McCloskey, the U.S. Attorney at the Maine Department of Justice wrote to Baxter, telling him, "It is against the law to write and mail this type of threatening communication, especially when it has no basis in fact. If you continue sending this type of letter, you may be subject to Federal criminal charges for misrepresentation and for using the mails to make threats. You may also jeopardize your amateur radio license; the FCC can use evidence of this type of conduct to demonstrate that you no longer meet the character requirements for a license."

Baxter responded to this letter on January 9, 1995 by writing to the Assistant US Attorney, stating, "Your blatant and malicious abuse of the power vested in you by the citizens of the United States is despicable, unethical, criminal and unprofessional." Baxter went on to demand a retraction, which, of course, he never received.

Baxter has hardly endeared himself politically to the members of the Maine Department of Justice, but the lack of political goodwill doesn't stop there. On January 23, 1995, FCC Counsel John Greenspan wrote to Baxter regarding an insulting letter he wrote to the Assistant US Attorney, "Your reply suggests to me that you may very well lack the character qualifications to be an FCC licensee. You certainly lack the maturity, but that is, unfortunately, not grounds for revocation. If it is ultimately determined that you have made improper threats, that determination could result in a hearing to examine your fitness to remain an FCC license and/or criminal prosecution."

John Greenspan went on to add, "Although you have not used lawyers in the past, I suggest that you consult with an attorney knowledgeable in

FCC procedures about your alleged conduct. To provide some incentive for you to do this, let me say that if you wish to speak with me for any reason, it must be through an attorney. I will not accept any calls or letters from you personally."

Not exactly a love letter is it?

Over the past 5 years Baxter has been contacted by the FCC on numerous occasions and his station has been inspected by the FCC. Baxter says he has received FOUR (4) NAL's to date. The FCC has writtent to him about allegations of broadcasting, deliberate interference, failure to identify, poor signal quality, erratic starting and stopping times, recording conversations without permission, and the list goes on...

Even Baxter's neighbors are not immune from his tendency toward trying to use the courts to settle what some might consider silly disputes that would be better handled by a personal phone call. More often than not, Baxter has lost in the courts due to procedural defects in his lawsuits. He is not, contrary to a popular myth, fabulously wealthy, or a lawyer.

According to staff at the IRS, his IARN charity did not even make enough money to require that he file taxes last year. An underfunded organization like IARN that engages in irresponsible activities like calling for jump teams to visit disaster areas who don't even request assistance, does not seem to me, to be an alternative to the ARRL, except perhaps in the mind of Mr. Baxter.

On May 4, 1983, Baxter sued a neighbor of his, Camp Runoia, a camp for little girls, because they stacked cordwood on the side of the road. The case was dismissed. The camp had removed the wood prior to the case being heard.

Baxter's other neighbors have apparently also had reason for concern regarding his allegedly threatening behavior. According to reports gleaned from court records in December of 2003, Baxter was dismissed from his job (fired) as station engineer for FM 95.3 in Augusta, Maine. When he applied for unemployment compensation, the attorney for the station advised his clients not to attend the unemployment hearing.

"Because Mr. Baxter has demonstrated offensive behavior similar to that which prompted his termination, I have advised the Bouchards not to attend Monday's hearing. I indicated to you in our conversation that the Bouchards' fear for their safety as a result of Mr. Baxter's threatening behavior. Mr. Bouchard terminated Mr. Baxter from employment when Mr. Baxter repeatedly acted in a threatening manner to his supervisor and to other employess at the job site.Mr. Baxter demonstrated his inability to act responsibly and to exert self-contol at the last hearing."

The attorney (Robert J. Stolt of Lipman, Katz & McKee) goes on to say, "Payments of benefits to Mr. Baxter is a lesser evil than someone being harmed by him."

Baxter sued regarding these comments, but the court held that the comments made by the attorney were completely privileged in nature and were therefore protected by law.

Ironically, Baxter's objections have ensured that they will reside in the annals of the Maine courts in perpetuity, for anyone with the desire to read them.

For all of these reasons, and the reasons in the present NAL, I will sign the petition to deny license renewal to K1MAN. I don't believe K1MAN is capable of engaging in good Amatuer practice, as we are all compelled by law to do.

Although I hold no malice toward Mr. Baxter personally, and I believe him when he says he suffers from mental illness, I believe that Mr. Baxter has already amply proven that he does not deserve to remain a Commision licensee.

K1MAN has pending enforcement issues. K1MAN has already been warned by the FCC that he may be referred to the ALJ due to ongoing enforcement issues.

K1MAN's renewal anniversary is on October 17th of this year. Here is an excerpt from an FCC letter to K1MAN dated January 29, 2002.

"The rules of the Amateur Service are straightforward and easy to understand. To the extent that you do not comply with Commission rules regarding the Amateur Radio Service, then to that extent enforcement action will be taken against your licenses. That enforcement action may include revocation of your station license, suspension of your operator license, a modification proceeding to restrict your operating privileges, or monetary forfeiture.

It is also important for you to understand that if these matters are not resolved, your operator/primary station licenses will not be routinely renewed; but instead will be designated for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge. In such a proceeding, you would have the burden of proof to show that your licenses should be renewed."

It's time for Baxter and all of his associates to stand before a Judge.

3735. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 00:06:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119830812.757384.92620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111017

First of f I didn't send that post, I have notfied google and that persons Usenet provider and second as I told that asshole steve, I am not in way gay, I am very happy with my GIRLFRIEND.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz.
Saturday's @ 4.00 pm CST

3736. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 00:30:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119832234.744076.314300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111019

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1119704452.861676.43320@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> >> Yeah it is. I was at a FD a few years back and I fired up the "bug".
> >> You
> >> should have seen their looks of amazement. Had em standing in line to
> >> ask
> >> me questions afterwards.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4nti
> >
> > The older you'se guys gets, the better you'se was...
>
> Thats right...and don't you forget it.

Remembering is not a problem for me, it is you that is approaching the
forgetful years.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3737. could you be clearer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 00:30:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119832238.040951.64630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111020

Sorry which post?
I have never said you are gay.

You deny the one under name without the word Faggot in it?

I was wondering even as I replied to it
or ther other post beofre this one

I would not be certain Stevie was behind (although he may well be) but
there have been cases of people pretending to be stevie to make the
interaction More entertaining to to them

I am curently happy with my own sexual partners (yes I used the pural)

N9OGL wrote:
> First of f I didn't send that post, I have notfied google and that
> persons Usenet provider and second as I told that asshole steve, I am
> not in way gay, I am very happy with my GIRLFRIEND.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz.
> Saturday's @ 4.00 pm CST

3738. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 00:43:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119833014.497516.313410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111021

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > Who said anything about rape, you ignorant illiterate idiot?
> > > > Thee's not a single word in that post about rape.
> > >
> > > Typical Robeson Dodge. Just like "she must be selling ""SOMETHING""
> > > and "bricks, tires, terror" etc, etc, etc.
> >
> > You continue to make accusations yet you continue to NOT
> > substantiate them, Brain.
> >
> > > Robeson is not to be trusted.
> >
> > You cut that short.
>
> No he said what he choose to say, as is his right

Robeson is not to be trusted. Again.

> > "Robeson is not to be trusted to stop demanding that Brain et al
> > stop lying and deceiving, etc..."
> >
> > > > > ((NSNIP)...proves your lack of
> > > > > moral character and shows you to be a pretty and discusting peice of
> > > > > filth
> > > >
> > > > You're the filth, Markie.
> > > >
> > > > You've still never apologize for imitating a commissioned officer
> > > > of the Armed Forces.
> > >
> > > Bob Hope imitated commissioned officers his whole career. Are you
> > > still waiting for his apology?
> >
> > Nope. Because Bob Hope never did it to be deceitful and evasive.

You're still evasive about you claims to seven hostile actions.

> > Markie did. Markie knowingly misrepresented himself as a
> > Commissioned Officer of the Armed Forces for personal gain or intent.
>

> Nope you go off the rails at "..for personal gain.." I, even you may
> say or claim anything if it well serve to enhance his personal safety
> or that of other. I or even you may even use deadly force. The comment
> diverted you you even (if you are to be belived stopped to call the
> Pentagon)til I could return home and be better able to defend my
> family. You keep forgeting that YOU said "One shoot One kill even in
> Illiopolis" Indeed at the moment you made that threat had you carried
> it out the man you would have killed would have been my elderly father
> or the temporay caregiver I hired while I was away on busness

I'd like to dig that post out of Google.

> > That's a felony.
>
> Indeed your threats are the felony, but Law enforcement has grown to
> lazy to do the job of dealing with trash like you.

Depends on who you are. Steve claims that with one phone call...

> Youa re clearly a stalker likely a relitively harmless one, but you
> stalk various people including myself, indeed given the interstate
> nature of your crime a federal offence, but perhaps you take comfort in
> the knowledge that you are not a bigg enough fish to be worthfrying for
> your crimes (metaphoricaly speacking of course)

I don't think Steve is harmless. Be careful.

3739. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 00:51:40 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <02iub1t4des4dcr5b9rd6e9g8r71kc5v20@4ax.com>
Source record: 111022

On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>
>Leo wrote:
>> On 23 Jun 2005 14:36:54 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 22 Jun 2005 03:58:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 21 Jun 2005 02:49:00 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 20 Jun 2005 03:09:46 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 18:58:24 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 09:50:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 07:19:22 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> > > >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 19 Jun 2005 04:48:01 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 17:30:57 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On 18 Jun 2005 10:41:47 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo on Fri 17 Jun 2005 22:07
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> > Seig Heil!!! :-)
>
>> A quite logical choice indeed.
>
>> Unfortunately, it doesn't seem that it is one
>> available to you....
>
>What would you suggest?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>
>> >> It wasn't a literal, Jim - interpret!
>> >
>> >Why not say what you mean?
>>
>> Heh. Are you saying that you had difficulty figuring out what > I meant?

>
>Why not say what you mean, Leo?
>
>> >> >"- or worse - rag on him every chance that I get that he is 'wrong'
>> >> >about something" - Not me! I have pointed out *some* of Len's mistakes,
>> >> >when he
>> >> >has been in error - wrong - about something. Is that not allowed?
>
>> >> Does your whole world revolve
>> >> around this guy?
>> >
>> >Not at all. I spend a few minutes here and there writing a post, and
>> >you
>> >interpret that as an obsession?
>>
>> For seven and a half (plus) years? As frequently as you do?
>>
>> Nah...of course not. That's just normal everyday behaviour..... :)
>
>What do you suggest?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>>
>> >
>> >> >> A few Google examples:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Subject: Keep the quality, lose the spectrum Jul 17 1998
>> >> >> "Len, you are just plain wrong here. You just don't understand the
>> >> >> issue."
>> >> >
>> >> >What *was* the issue? Was Len wrong about it?
>> >>
>> >> Not the issue, Jim.
>> >
>> >Yes, it is. You're avoiding the fact that Len was, in fact, wrong then.
>>
>> I'm sure that Len knows that he was wrong, Jim. Maybe he was just
>> trying to get you going? Heh heh heh....
>>
>> >
>> >> The frequency over time is.
>> >
>> >"Frequency over time"? Frequency is events per unit time. Didn't they
>> >teach that at your alma mater?
>>
>> Um - that's what I said, Jim - didn't think you needed it
>> in such technical language.
>>
>> It wasn't a division problem....
>>

>> >
>> >> Please try to comprehend.
>> >
>> >I comprehend more than you realize, Leo.
>>
>> Sorry - not much evidence to support that claim so far, Jim.
>
>Not to you, perhaps...

Heh heh heh.

> >
>> >> >> Subject: Who Is What? Feb 9 2001
>> >> >> "No, Len, it is not correct. Let's look at what you wrote:"
>> >> >
>> >> >What *did* Len write? Was he correct or not?
>> >>
>> >> Not the issue, Jim.
>> >
>> >Yes, it is. You're avoiding the fact that Len was, in fact, wrong then.
>>
>> Who cares? That is not the issue.
>
>Yes, it is.

Well, no. Again.

>
>
>> >> Might there be an ulterior motive?
>> >
>> >Of course. I realized that long ago. In fact I mentioned it here
>> >back on Feb 10, 2003. Your research is very incomplete, Leo.
>>
>> That is indeed an interesting point.
>>
>> Unfortunately - well, no again.
>>
>> Your statement was something like "his hobby is wasting time - > your time."
>
>And that sums it up quite well.

There is a big difference between 'wasting time' and what is happening
here!

Duh.

>>
>> I really don't think that 'wasting time' is what it's all
>> about, Jim.
>> Do you?
>

>That's exactly what it's about.

Well, no.

>>
>> It's about control. Specifically, controlling you. And
>> making you squirm and dance.
>
>Except I do neither.

ROTFLMAO! You, Sir, would put Gene Kelly to shame, "Singin' In The
Acid Rain" of this group!

Heh heh heh,

>
>> Unless you are saying that you actually understand that you are > being abused
intentionally by others.
>
>Hmmmm...so you consider the way Len treats me to be abuse.

Duh!

>
>Now we're making progress.

Well - not we, exactly - but you are! Good for you!

>
>What do you suggest?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>
>> And have done so since 2003. And if
>> so....you continue to post the same "wrong, wrong, wrong"
>> garbage
>
>Why is what I wrote "garbage", Leo? Len posts things which are
>provably untrue in a public debate forum. I point out those
>things and you call my doing so "garbage" - why?

Because, what you post in response if no consequence. Who cares - the
object of the exercise was to *get* you to post in the first place!

Duh again.....

>
>> here
>> - knowing full well that you will continue to be abused for the
>> pleasure of others.
>
>What do you suggest I do instead?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>
>> Does that seem like particularly sane bahaviour to you?
>>
>> Are you a fool? Or a masochist? Or both?
>>
>What do you suggest I do instead?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>> >>
>> >> >> You don't think that eight years of "you're wrong, you're wrong..."
>> >> >> wouldn't be deemed by a reasonable man to be a bit excessive?
>> >> >
>> >> >Well, no. Not if the person really *is* wrong - mistaken - in error
>> >> >about the subjects discussed.
>> >>
>> >> Therein lies the nature of the affliction.
>> >
>> >Yes, Len is afflicted by the inability to admit a mistake
>> >when it is pointed out by someone he considers an inferior, like me...
>>
>> If by "inferior" you mean "controlled by someone in a superior
>> position" (i.e. boss, tormentor, etc.) - then he is correct.
>>
>> You jump on command. Every time.
>>
>> You can't help yourself.
>
>Then what do you suggest I do?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>
>> >> Nobody, least of all Len,
>> >> cares that you think that he is 'wrong''.
>> >
>> >Why not be honest, Leo?
>> >
>> >*You* don't care. Maybe Len doesn't care. You don't speak for
>> >everybody.
>>
>> Of course I don't speak for everybody.
>
>But you used the phrase "Nobody....cares that you think that he is
>'wrong'".
>
>If even one other person cares, your statement is false.

Heh - here's that old "gotta be correct" obsession again.......

>
>And unlike the soft sciences, the errors I point out are
>provable.

Of course they are - but who cares?

>
>> Nor do you, although you seem
>> to envision yourself as on some sort of a crusade to defend the
>> 'truth'.
>
>Do you think truth si subjective or objective?
>
>> In an impossible situation. Cast in a role as a playtoy.
>>
>> I see.
>
>What do you suggest I do instead?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>
>> >> It's being done to torment
>> >> you and get you to respond, for the other person's pleasure.
>> >
>> >Well DUH!
>> >
>> >"His hobby is wasting time. Your time"
>>
>> Well, no.
>
>Well, yes.

Not wasting time, Jim. That ain't the hobby here.

Perhaps you are? Heh heh heh...

>
>> Wasting time, and being abused, are two different things.
>>
>> Aren't they?
>
>So you call what Len does here "abuse".
>
>> >Guess who wrote that?
>> >
>> >The fact is that Len spends much more time and effort posting here than
>> >I. Always has. Gets all upset and outraged over any opposition. Now you
>> >may say it's all an act, but so what?
>> >
>> >> The rest of the folks here will watch - much like rubberneckers
>> >> looking at an accident on the highway.
>> >
>> >Do you speak for everyone who reads rrap? Or just for you?
>>
>> Rhetorical question - ignored.
>

>Not rhetorical at all. I think you just call it that to avoid
>answering.

Not at all - "rhetorical" sounds so much more polite than
"stupid"....heh

>
>What do you suggest I do?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>
>> >> You have become just that - a tragedy on the 'Information Highway'.
>> >> (oh, how I loathe that expression....!).
>> >
>> >Sounds like another disguised way of you telling me to shut up.
>> >
>> >Why not be honest and just say it?
>>
>> Wake up, maybe - I don't recall inferring that you should shut > up!
>
>> >> You're being used, Jim. Sad you cannot see it.
>> >>
>> >> Real sad.
>
>Let's say, for sake of discussion, that you're right about what Len is
>doing and why he's doing it. What do you suggest I do about it?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>
>> >It may appear that way to you. The truth is very different.
>>
>> Apparently not. No evidence exists to support that claim.
>
>There's plenty of evidence, but you refuse to see it.
>
>> >> >Is there a time limit beyond which I cannot tell Len he's mistaken
>> >> >about something?
>> >>
>> >> Sure -to infinity and beyond, if your are OC enough to go the
>> >> distance! Heh heh heh.
>> >
>> >> >> >All you have to do is disagree with him about the Morse Code test,
>> >> >> >defend
>> >> >> >that opinion, and then point out an incosistency or two in his
>> >> >> >postings.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> An inconsistency or two? For eight years?
>> >> >
>> >> >I'm saying that if someone disagrees with Len about Morse Code testing
>> >> >and then points out some incosistencies in Len's postings, Len will

>> >> >go off in his typical fashion. Even if the errors are pointed out in
>> >> >a courteous way, Len will go into attack mode.
>> >>
>> >> To turn your crank, and get you dancing and hopping again - nothing
>> >> more.
>> >
>> >Look at his postings compared to mine. It's not me who is dancing and
>> >hopping.
>>
>> Heh heh heh. Y'think?
>>
>> >
>> >> >> Do you actually believe that, after all of this time, that you are
>> >> >> going to change anything by whining on?
>> >> >
>> >> >"Whining on"?
>> >>
>> >> Whining on. Correct. Did I not spell that right or something?
>> >
>> >You mistake my persistent strong opposition with "whining". Another
>> >attempt to get me worked up. Doesn't work. Leo.
>>
>> Not at all - just a statement. You're whining.
>>
>> On and on and on. Even when you know that you cannot win.
>
>What would you suggest?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>
>> That's normal behaviour, alright!
>>
>> >
>> >> >btw, Len's been posting to rrap longer than I have, posts more and at
>> >> >greater length than almost anyone else in rrap, and makes more mistakes
>> >> >here than
>> >> >I do as well. But I guess that's OK with you.
>> >>
>> >> I could personally care less. I am not obsessed.
>> >
>> >Yes, you are.
>>
>> Heh heh heh. That's funny, Jim!
>>
>> >
>> >> >> Jeez, you'd make somebody a great ex-wife.... :)
>> >> >
>> >> >Well, no.
>> >>
>> >> Hell, yes! wrong, wrong, wrong, wrong -- on and on ad infinitum.

>> >> like a demented parrot.
>> >
>> >Norwegian Blue. Beautiful plumage.
>>
>> This is a text-only newsgroup, Jim. We don't see the plumage - all we
>> hear is the noise! Squawk squawk squawk.....for almost 8 years now!
>>
>> > >
>> >> >> >> Wonder why that would be? Heh heh heh.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> I simply refuse to
>> >> >> >> >> join you in your obcessive crusade against him.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >You can't join what doesn't exist.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Oh, it's there, all right. You read some of the stuff you write?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >I read all of it. Do you read the responses I get from Len?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I certainly do. Do you think that will ever change?
>> >> >
>> >> >Probably not. So what?
>> >>
>> >> So why contimue? If you will achieve nothing, why go on?
>> >
>> >Who says I'm achieving nothing? Who are you to judge, Leo?
>>
>> You have achieved nothing. You tormentor is still here - and you are
>> still being controlled.
>>
>> Heh. Nice victory!
>>
>> >
>> >> Are you incapable of controlling yourself?
>> >
>> >I've got plenty of self-control.
>>
>> LOL!
>>
>> >>
>> >> Find The Strength!
>> >> >
>> >> >> Or do you enjoy being used for entertainment - laughed at, not with?
>> >> >
>> >> >"ARE YOU BEING ENTERTAINED?!"
>> >>
>> >> um - if you are still going ahead with this quote from the movie
>> >> "Gladiator" - well, no.
>> >>
>> >> The correct quote is "Are you not entertained"

>> >
>> >I know.
>>
>> Then why did you get it wrong twice in this thread?
>>
>> >>
>> >> Source: http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0172495/quotes
>> >
>> >Now who is obsessive, Leo? You had to go look that up and correct me -
>> >just
>> >couldn't help yourself, could you?
>>
>> Ask me again in 8 years! Heh.
>>
>> >
>> >> If you are asking, however, if i am entertained by your antics - the
>> >> answer is no. And yes. I like to watch a good accident as much as
>> >> the next guy - it's human nature!
>> >>
>> >> Heh heh heh.
>> >
>> >Then I am achieving something.
>>
>> You most certainly are - you are performing a valuable role for some
>> folks on the group.
>>
>> As Shakespeare wrote in his play "As You Like It":
>>
>> "Always the dullness of the fool is the whetstone of the wits."
>>
>> Hear that stropping noise, Jim? That's somebody sharpening up on
>> you.....
>
>What do you suggest I do, Leo?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>
>>
>> >>
>> >> The sad truth is - you are obsessed with the guy. Period.
>> >
>> >The real truth is that if anyone is obsessed, it's Len. And/or Brian,
>> >N0IMD. Not me.
>> >>
>> >> We see it - you should try to do so as well, for you own sake....
>> >
>> >Who is "we"? Are you the Pope? Lots of Pope Leos, btw..
>>
>> Heh heh - "there are none so blind as those who will not see....."
>>

>> >
>> >
>> > Unlike Len, I have many civil, uninsulting
>> >> >> >discussions here with those who disagree with me on a variety of
>> >> >> >issues,
>> >> >> >including the Morse Code test. Google up any exchange between N2EY and
>> >> >> >K2UNK,
>> >> >> >for example.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Agreed.
>> >> >
>> >> >So there *is* a difference!
>> >>
>> >> Sure is - take away the obsessive behaviour, and you are a pretty nice
>> >> guy! Add it in, however - and.....well, no.
>> >
>> >Well, there you have it.
>>
>> I most assuredly do.
>>
>> >
>> >> >> So why bother ragging on for eight solid years about issues
>> >> >> that the folks you are arguing with will never agree?
>> >> >
>> >> >Ask Len the same question. He's been at it longer.
>> >>
>> >> There's the old obsesssion again - everything is Len-centric to you.
>> >
>> >Nope.
>>
>> Heh. "To thine own self be true...."
>>
>> >
>> >> >> >> In your example, the correct answer (following your logic) is: Borrow
>> >> >> >> the neighbour's tools, break them and get them dirty, and give some of
>> >> >> >> them back.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Nope.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >The question was whether to keep lending him your tools (yes/no).
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
>> >> >> >of his, so your solution is not feasible.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >You didn't fully understand the analogy.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Who didn't understand what, Jim?
>> >> >
>> >> >You didn't understand the analogy I made.
>> >>

>> >> I understood it's purpose - to cloud the issue. That's all I ned to
>> >> understand.
>> >
>> >Well, no.
>>
>> Well, obviously - yes.
>>
>> And you just missed another typo....
>
>Not my job to correctg *every* mistake...

Good thing, too, judging by that sentence....! LOL!

>
>> >
>> >One of the limitations of online communication is that it's difficult
>> >or
>> >impossible to tell when someone is pretending to be dense and when
>> >someone
>> >really *is* dense....
>>
>> I fully agree!
>>
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> You avoided my question, threw in
>> >> >> your own to obfuscate the issue, and blamed it on my understanding?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Not gonna happen, Bud!
>> >> >
>> >> >The neighbour who borrows your tools and treats them badly but won't
>> >> >lend you any of his is just like the person who asks you questions but
>> >> >won't answer your questions. Why should you continue to lend the
>> >> >neighbour tools - or answer someone's questions - when they behave
>> >> >that way?
>> >>
>> >> Rhetorical question - ignored.
>> >
>> >One of the limitations...
>> >> > >
>> >> >> After all, like you said, "It's a question of fairness and
>> >> >> equality. Also experience with what is done with the information
>> >> >> provided."
>> >> >>Note that it was already stated that the neighbour won't lend you any
>> >> >>of his, so your solution is not feasible.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You're absolutely correct.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You should steal the tools instead.
>> >> >
>> >> >Well, no.

>> >>
>> >> Makes as much sense as any.... Heh heh heh.
>> >
>> >No, it doesn't.
>>
>> Heh.
>>
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >All of which could be ghostwritten or cut-and-pasted from another
>> >> >> >> >source. So they wouldn't be proof anyway.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> ...Patent application, published article - nah, you're right - you
>> >> >> >> can't trust anybody these days....!
>> >> >
>> >> >You misunderstand.
>> >>
>> >> Misunderstand what? You as much as said that you can't trust anybodt
>> >> - and provided several valid arguements to support that supposition.
>> >> I merely stated it in conclusion.
>> >>
>> >> You are, again, -um-, in error, Sir.
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >It would be a simple matter for someone to write postings in one
>> >> >location and have them posted to usenet from somewhere else. That your
>> >> >postings to rrap originate where they do is not proof of where or who
>> >> >their author is.
>> >> >
>> >> >That's all.
>> >>
>> >> Well, no.
>> >
>> >Well, yes.
>> >
>> >> Most commercial ISPs block rebroadcast of newsgroup
>> >> messages from sources not directly connected to their NNTP servers
>> >> (i.e. their subscribers direct links from their homes to the ISP) -
>> >> this is done to prevent their networks being used illegally for
>> >> transmission of massive volumes of SPAM.
>> >>
>> >> If you post to the groups through Google, this does not apply - that
>> >> is not an ISP.
>> >>
>> >> I sincerely hope that your comprehension of the radio arts is
>> >> significantly superior to your knowlege of the mechanics of the
>> >> Internet.
>> >
>> >Think outside the box, Leo.
>> >
>> >Someone in Location A writes a newsgroup post. Sends the post as a

>> >textfile to
>> >someone else in Location B. Someone else then posts it to Usenet. Looks
>> >like
>> >it came from Location B but it didn't.
>>
>> That box you're thinking outside might be a bit too big, Jim.
>>
>> Please explain why someone would go to all of that trouble simply to
>> fool you.
>>
>> Unless thay are as OC as you...
>
>I'm simply pointing out that if someone wants to disguise the point of
>origin of an email or NG posting, it's very easy to do. Doesn't take
>lots of skills or knowledge, just access to a remote computer.
>>
>> >
>> >> >> >> >> A few moderately
>> >> >> >> >> complex calculations, perhaps - some correct, at least one not > by a long
shot.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> In short - your word is all we have.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >That applies to you as well. I can include "u" in certain words -
>> >> >> >> >doesn't make me Canadian...
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> True. Were you as adept at the Internet as you are with your radio,
>> >> >> >> you could trace the message header to my ISP up here - wouldn't prove
>> >> >> >> my nationality, but it would certainly nail down the geographical
>> >> >> >> origin of the posts!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Which proves nothing, since they could be remailed from that location.
>> >> >> >Easy to do.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Oh yeah. Forgot.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Let's see...Rebranding of published articles...fake
>> >> >> references...newsgroup postings spirited across the ether to foreign
>> >> >> countries...clandestine Amateur Radio credentials......
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Um, wouldn't that be an awful lot of effort just to fool you?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> ROTFLMAO!
>> >> >
>> >> >See above:It would be a simple matter for someone to write postings in
>> >> >one location and have them posted to usenet from somewhere else. That
>> >> >your postings to rrap originate where they do is not proof of where or
>> >> >who their author is.
>> >> >
>> >> >That's all.

>> >>
>> >> Not necessarily - please see above.
>> >
>> >Well, no.
>>
>> Not a simple matter at all - lots of work involved!
>
>If a little cut and paste is "a lot of work" to you....

...and a "remote computer"...heh

>>
>> >One of the limitations...
>> >>> >
>> >> >It appears that this whole exchange about obsession is really just a
>> >> >disguised way of telling me to shut up and let Len post his mistakes
>> >> >and attacks without challenge. That's really what you want me to do.
>> >>
>> >> Not at all.
>> >
>> >Yes, it is. Do you not want me to shut up?
>>
>> Not at all. Please continue!
>
>What do you suggest?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>>
>> >
>> >> It is indended to illustrate to you that your valiant
>> >> battle is in vain - your opponent is not interested in the least in
>> >> what you say.
>> >
>> >Of course he's interested - otherwise he wouldn't respond!
>>
>> Heh.
>
>Exactly.

Agreed - that is indeed a laughable point!

>
> >
>> >> His only goal is to control you - which is pretty easy
>> >> to do. You have all of your hot buttons proudly and prominently
>> >> displayed - and every bloody one elicits a predictable response when
>> >> pressed: your ire, passion and rage.
>> >
>> >Well, no.
>>
>> Say what? You don't see it?

>>
>> Heh heh.
>
>What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>
>>
>> >
>> >You have me confused with Len.
>>
>> You have you obsessed with Len. You have confused yourself.
>>
>> >
>> >> In short - you, Sir, are being hijacked against your will.
>> >
>> >Heck no.
>>
>> You mean you are being hijacked willingly?
>>
>> A bit psychotic, no?
>>
>> >>
>> >> >Maybe you have a point. Perhaps I should simply step back and let you,
>> >> >Len, Brian/N0IMD, and "John Smith" rant on without comment.
>> >>
>> >> I, kind Sir, do not rant. I communicate.
>> >
>> >You've ranted on here at great length, Leo. Much longer than me.
>>
>> LOL! That's funny, Jim!
>>
>> >
>> >> And educate.
>> >
>> >> And research before I post.
>> >
>> >Feb 10, 2003.
>>
>> Well, no. Different issue in that post - as stated above!
>>
>> >
>> >> >Maybe I will.
>> >>
>> >> God grant you the strength. I, however, am not optimistic that you
>> >> can break free.
>> >
>> >> Think about it - if you spent all of the time that you have wasted
>> >> here being a playtoy for others on more productive activities, what
>> >> could you have accomplished?

>> >>
>> >> That would have been a lot to extra hours on the radio (DXCC, all
>> >> modes, all bands perhaps?)
>> >
>> >Not really - not that much time to write these posts, you see.
>>
>> Heh heh heh.....not much time, eh?
>>
>> Any idea how many posts you write in a day? A week? a year?
>>
>> Each may be small, but they add up....! :)
>
>And your suggestion is?

Isn't that rather obvious?

>>
>> >> - or a second degree at night school (we're
>> >> talking a lot of hours, as you well know) - built yourself a brand new
>> >> car entirely out of spare parts, or many other useful things. Here,
>> >> over the last nine years, in all of your attempts to 'correct' those
>> >> who 'play' with you, you have accomplished: Nothing. Same arguements
>> >> - no progress. Zilch.
>> >
>> >You forget the entertainment value.
>>
>> Absolutely - if you are willing to submit to being used for
>> entertainment, then of course - that has value. To others - and
>> perhaps to you too in some odd way.....painful to watch, though!
>>
>> >Nice try with the nine years mistake, though.
>>
>> Things have been like this on the group for the last nine yearrs - the
>> arguements do pre-date you, Jim.
>>
>> You weren't the first.....just the next..... :)
>>
>> >
>> >> You got an F.
>> >
>> >You're not the teacher, Leo. Deal with it.
>>
>> Lucky you!
>>
>> >>
>> >> The song remains the same as it did nine long years ago.
>> >>
>> >> What a colossal waste of talent! Yours, that is.
>> >>
>> >> And, of course, as I have also illustrated quite clearly in this
>> >> thread - well, with your level of accuracy and depth of research, you

>> >> ain't really the guy who should be running around telling everyone
>> >> else that they are wrong.
>> >
>> >I see. Personal attack rather than looking at the facts. Was Len right
>> >in
>> >the cases you cited above? Or was I? Look them up and see. Do *your*
>> >research....
>>
>> Who cares who was right? That's not the issue, Jim.
>
>Yes, it is. Too bad you can't see that.

Really? That ain't true, Jim.... heh heh

>
>> >> When your emotions gain control over your
>> >> intellect - you will lose.
>> >>
>> >> Every time.
>> >>
>> >> Without question.
>> >
>> >That's why my posts are calm and reasoned.
>>
>> Um - calm and reasoned? You must be a riot at parties, Jim.
>>
>> "No Fred, you're wrong....Betty, that's just incorrect....Hey, Sam,
>> that's not how you do that!...Say, Charlie......"
>>
>> Heh heh.
>
>> >> If you stick to areas where you are a subject matter expert, try to
>> >> educate only those who are truly interested in learning ffrom you, and
>> >> avoid those who will prey upon your obsessive personality - and you'll
>> >> be better off.
>> >
>> >IOW, you want me to shut up. Why not be honest and say it straight out?
>>
>> No, Jim....please continue!
>>
>> >>
>> >> Or don't. Either way, we'll all watch! Screeeeeeeeeeech...BAM!
>> >>
>> >> Your call.
>> >
>> >My call is N2EY. What's yours?
>>
>> Unlisted. :)
>>
>> >
>> >You really underestimate me, Leo. If that's your real name.

>> >
>> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Actually, Jim, I have probably overestimated you. Thought you were
>> smarter than that - and hoped that you might see the reality of what
>> is being done to you.
>
>What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?

Isn't that rather obvious?

Y'know, for a smart feller like yourself, you just don't get it. So...

Don't do anything different, Jim. Just keep up the good fight - and
let those who wish to get their kicks watching you behave so
predictably....and foolishly....you don't seem to mind serving as the
entertainment, so hey - why not?

Looks like you get exactly what you want here - you are owned!

73, Leo

3740. Re: how did folks do on field day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 00:58:34 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <1bIve.7197$UG3.6743@fe11.lga>
Source record: 111023

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119826619.411039.158820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> personaly I set out to set the local club record for 6 m contacts. A
> task I thought would be easy since no one could rememer any 6m contacts
> on FD in the histroy of the club. While I did suceed in my mission and
> therefore set a new and dualting goal for next year (5 is the new goal)
> I am still chasing the satic out of my ears, and I thanks the goods for
> the time when the clubs generator ran out of gas, and the noise droped
> to something bareable, breifly

Well, I did not set out to really keep a tally, but I had loads of fun. This is the first time I've ever operated CW mobile. (But only while parked.) I installed a trunk-lip mount and used a 20m hamstick. The K1's auto-tuner did the rest. I made some quick contacts during lunch and then drove to the beach after work for a couple of hours operating seaside. (Actually "sound"-side, hi) Made lots of nice contacts but prefer longer, dare I say normal, QSO's. Not much DX, understandably, but still managed Belgium and Finland w/5 Watts.

Funny observation, when operating surrounded by water, (Our local beach is on a lazy-L shaped peninsula.) the SWR appeared higher but sig reports and received signals were noticeably better.

Hope everybody got what they were looking for out of their Field Day experience. Take care es...

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI 1C NLI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

3741. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 01:02:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119834146.002044.95400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111024

bb wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
cut
> > Nope you go off the rails at "..for personal gain.." I, even you may
> > say or claim anything if it well serve to enhance his personal safety
> > or that of other. I or even you may even use deadly force. The comment
> > diverted you you even (if you are to be belived stopped to call the
> > Pentagon)til I could return home and be better able to defend my
> > family. You keep forgeting that YOU said "One shoot One kill even in
> > Illiopolis" Indeed at the moment you made that threat had you carried
> > it out the man you would have killed would have been my elderly father
> > or the temporay caregiver I hired while I was away on busness
>
> I'd like to dig that post out of Google.

He made severl of them also made the threat repeatly in email to me
>
> > > That's a felony.
> >
> > Indeed your threats are the felony, but Law enforcement has grown to
> > lazy to do the job of dealing with trash like you.
>
> Depends on who you are. Steve claims that with one phone call...

Stevie Claims a lot of shit
>
> > Youa re clearly a stalker likely a relitively harmless one, but you
> > stalk various people including myself, indeed given the interstate
> > nature of your crime a federal offence, but perhaps you take comfort in
> > the knowledge that you are not a bigg enough fish to be worthfrying for
> > your crimes (metaphoricaly speacking of course)
>
> I don't think Steve is harmless. Be careful.

I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.

Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead

Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
on the Internet about my bio or location etc

3742. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 01:08:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119834499.952185.188810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111025

Leo wrote:
> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >What would you suggest?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?

> >What do you suggest?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >
> >What do you suggest?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?
>
> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?
>
> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >
> >Then what do you suggest I do?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >
> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >
> >What do you suggest I do?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >What would you suggest?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >What do you suggest I do, Leo?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?

> >What do you suggest?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?

> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?

> >And your suggestion is?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >
> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>
> Isn't that rather obvious?
>
In a word: No.

It's not rather obvious, Leo.

What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
answering a question with another question, or a zinger.

What's your suggestion?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3743. Re: could you be clearer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 01:09:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119834540.927208.190430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111026

an_old_friend wrote:
> Sorry which post?
> I have never said you are gay.
>
> You deny the one under name without the word Faggot in it?
>
> I was wondering even as I replied to it
> or ther other post beofre this one
>
> I would not be certain Stevie was behind (although he may well be) but
> there have been cases of people pretending to be stevie to make the
> interaction More entertaining to to them
>
> I am curently happy with my own sexual partners (yes I used the pural)
>

No, someone on here pretending to be me and it pisses me off because that's what got me thrown off the internet in the first place, someone pertending to be me. it was either steve or one of his little buddies trying once again to get people pissed off at me and have kick off again.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

3744. Re: could you be clearer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 01:12:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119834769.560258.309930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111027

it is an odd form of flatery that there are people that care enough to
try such shit.

An Honnor I can do without, and I presume you can too but it is part
and parcel but what can you do

3745. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 01:43:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119836586.631912.262200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111028

I doubt it HF is all but useless to the FCC they want peiecs of VHF etc
John Smith wrote:
> I think anyone over-looking the bigger picture has to suspect that HF
> will, rather quickly, be taken from amateurs. The abundance of techs is
> being created to drop the percentage of hams using HF. At some point I
> suspect a "move" will be made on these all important HF bands and they
> will be removed from amateur service.
>
> I suspect that techs account for about 50% of activity on the bands
> now--when that reaches 66%, and certainly 75%, I think HF will be
> pulled...
>
> Here is Hollingsworth on his "vision(s)", some may interpret it
> differently:
> http://www.wr6wr.com/newSite/articles/features/olderfeatures/longbeach.html
>
> John

3746. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 02:03:12 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@caflloydsnet.org>
Message-ID: <d9nmid$lk4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119830199.669962.286810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> (This is from eham.net and qrz.com)
///////psycho-babble snipped here////////

Pure bullshit! Grow up and get a life!
and stop being obsessed with another's ham's life.

3747. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 02:07:39 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@caflloydsnet.org>
Message-ID: <d9nmqomal0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111030

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:tgHve.55$MA2.2304076@news.sisna.com...
>
> Here is Hollingsworth on his "vision(s)", some may interpret it
> differently:
>
> John
>

The only "vision" Hollingsworth has is a lavish tax payer
finance retirement.

3748. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 02:36:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <RCJve.68$AJ5.2565249@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111031

Lloyd:

I don't doubt that everything you do is as simple as it looks, I don't think you are devious, cunning or stealthy--however, don't be fool enough to think everyone else the same--you will make one grave error...

Yanno, my life is a conspiracy, and it is my family, my friends and me against the world.

In my job, we have trade secrets and act in the companies best interests--we don't disclose these interests or our long range plans--however, you can bet that we do NOT feel obligated to look out for others best interests--that is their responsibility...

... perhaps you are just one simple guy--but you make a grave error if you think that applies to all others... now, go back to sleep--don't worry, be happy--others will take care of things for you...

John

"Lloyd" <lloyd@caflloydsnet.org> wrote in message
news:d9nmqomal0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:tgHve.55$MA2.2304076@news.sisna.com...
>>
>> Here is Hollingsworth on his "vision(s)", some may interpret it
>> differently:
>>
>> John
>>
>
>
> The only "vision" Hollingsworth has is a lavish tax payer
> finance retirement.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3749. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 03:04:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <q1Kve.70$Eh4.2383993@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111033

old friend:

Well, I am a bit suspicious if my gov't is not worried about people here
firing up a rig and sending encoded text and documents to other
countries.

And, it has been my experience that if you are willing to give up rights
there will be no end to those taking them away.

However, I'd love to see that VHF rig you fire up to chat with the
Antarctica or the aussies on a reg basis with the sun spot cycle...
well, there is always moon bounce and the internet...

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119836586.631912.262200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> I doubt it HF is all but useless to the FCC they want peiecs of VHF
> etc
> John Smith wrote:
>> I think anyone over-looking the bigger picture has to suspect that HF
>> will, rather quickly, be taken from amateurs. The abundance of techs
>> is
>> being created to drop the percentage of hams using HF. At some point
>> I
>> suspect a "move" will be made on these all important HF bands and
>> they
>> will be removed from amateur service.
>>
>> I suspect that techs account for about 50% of activity on the bands
>> now--when that reaches 66%, and certainly 75%, I think HF will be
>> pulled...
>>
>> Here is Hollingsworth on his "vision(s)", some may interpret it
>> differently:
>> http://www.wr6wr.com/newSite/articles/features/olderfeatures/longbeach.html
>>
>> John
>

3750. Re: What are folks doing for Field Day?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 03:36:20 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <UuKve.12751$VK4.38@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111032

> On a TS440SAT into either a vertical or a dipole vaguely
> resonant on 40M. SSB. I will not be a competitive force
> on the bands... :-)

That was a fact, I was drowning in a sea of QRM... :-)

3751. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 04:40:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119847221.690818.158530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111034

Taking HF away from the ARS is not going to stop that or even affect it

it s howver VHF and UHF that comercail interest are interested in they
don't seem to want hf with its interesting varriations
John Smith wrote:
> old friend:
>
> Well, I am a bit suspicious if my gov't is not worried about people here
> firing up a rig and sending encoded text and documents to other
> countries.
>
> And, it has been my experience that if you are willing to give up rights
> there will be no end to those taking them away.

Which has wht to do with the topic
>
> However, I'd love to see that VHF rig you fire up to chat with the
> Antarctica or the aussies on a reg basis with the sun spot cycle...
> well, there is always moon bounce and the internet...
>
> John
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1119836586.631912.262200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > I doubt it HF is all but useless to the FCC they want peiecs of VHF
> > etc
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> I think anyone over-looking the bigger picture has to suspect that HF
> >> will, rather quickly, be taken from amateurs. The abundance of techs
> >> is
> >> being created to drop the percentage of hams using HF. At some point
> >> I
> >> suspect a "move" will be made on these all important HF bands and
> >> they
> >> will be removed from amateur service.
> >>
> >> I suspect that techs account for about 50% of activity on the bands
> >> now--when that reaches 66%, and certainly 75%, I think HF will be
> >> pulled...
> >>
> >> Here is Hollingsworth on his "vision(s)", some may interpret it
> >> differently:
> >> http://www.wr6wr.com/newSite/articles/features/olderfeatures/longbeach.html
> >>

> >> John
> >

3752. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 04:45:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <EvLve.91$wZ6.2686094@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111035

Our opinions differ, we shall now watch the future for the real
answer...

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119847221.690818.158530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Taking HF away from the ARS is not going to stop that or even affect
> it
>
> it s howver VHF and UHF that comercail interest are interested in they
> don't seem to want hf with its interesting varriations
> John Smith wrote:
>> old friend:
>>
>> Well, I am a bit suspicious if my gov't is not worried about people
>> here
>> firing up a rig and sending encoded text and documents to other
>> countries.
>>
>> And, it has been my experience that if you are willing to give up
>> rights
>> there will be no end to those taking them away.
>
> Which has wht to do with the topic
>>
>> However, I'd love to see that VHF rig you fire up to chat with the
>> Antarctica or the aussies on a reg basis with the sun spot cycle...
>> well, there is always moon bounce and the internet...
>>
>> John
>>
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1119836586.631912.262200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > I doubt it HF is all but useless to the FCC they want peiecs of VHF
>> > etc
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> I think anyone over-looking the bigger picture has to suspect that
>> >> HF
>> >> will, rather quickly, be taken from amateurs. The abundance of
>> >> techs
>> >> is
>> >> being created to drop the percentage of hams using HF. At some

>> >> point
>> >> I
>> >> suspect a "move" will be made on these all important HF bands and
>> >> they
>> >> will be removed from amateur service.
>> >>
>> >> I suspect that techs account for about 50% of activity on the
>> >> bands
>> >> now--when that reaches 66%, and certainly 75%, I think HF will be
>> >> pulled...
>> >>
>> >> Here is Hollingsworth on his "vision(s)", some may interpret it
>> >> differently:
>> >> http://www.wr6wr.com/newSite/articles/features/olderfeatures/longbeach.html
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >
>

3753. Re: how did folks do on field day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 08:56:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119862592.399031.65120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111036

Bert Craig wrote:

> Hope everybody got what they were looking for out of their
> Field Day experience. Take care es...
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI 1C NLI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782

Sorry we didn't hook up, Bert, but it was a great FD nonetheless.

Set up on a farm in NNJ. Class 1B-1 Battery. with K2 and 80/40 dipole at about 70 feet, alongside a creek. Needed the creek - it was HOT! But being in the valley didn't help propagation.

Made about 170 CW contacts, all on 80 and 40 meters. With the bonuses, should be good for just over 2000 points.

Low-key this year, lots of other things going on, had fun and already planning next year. My first FD was 1968 - don't think I've mised one since.

73 de Jim, N2EY
FISTS #4360

3754. Re: It appear Saggytits Lee has he head up he ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 09:44:35 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119865475.903375.29110@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111037
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Poor Woger, he just doesn't get it does he?

You'd think after all the rejection i.e. his birth mother,
AOL, Stratuswave, MSN, etc. he'd get the point.

3755. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 10:19:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119867557.589077.242020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111038

Although several years ago, Riley's comments are worth a look:

"This Amateur Radio service is serious business."

Not once in the speech is the word "hobby" used.

"...I know that when a natural disaster hits, they're [cell towers] the first ones to go down. And the few that are remaining are jammed and you can't get access. The people who are going to be taking care of the real communications are sitting right here in this room. It's the Amateur Radio service. And in the first few days, or the first few hours of these multi-jurisdictional incidents, it's the amateurs who keep things going."

"There was a big newspaper chain that had a reporter with headphones on listening to the hurricane emergency net. That made us nervous. The last thing we wanted was some bad publicity for the Amateur Radio service anywhere."

"Our people that go to these ITU meetings will tell us that it's often a personal embarassment to them when these countries play back tapes of what they hear on 75 and 20 Meters in the American amateur bands."

On the American 'phone subbands. Not CW/data subbands...

"It puts them in a very difficult position when they have to defend examples of conduct that other countries hear."

Should be "'nuff said".....

73 de Jim, N2EY

3756. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 11:19:29 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <m6nvb15r7hbk46u5f61m44d85gs4bg99ue@4ax.com>
Source record: 111039

On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >What would you suggest?
>>
>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>
>> >What do you suggest?
>>
>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >
>> >What do you suggest?
>>
>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>
>> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>>
>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>
>> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>>
>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >
>> >Then what do you suggest I do?
>>
>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >
>> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>>
>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >
>> >What do you suggest I do?
>>
>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >What would you suggest?
>>
>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >What do you suggest I do, Leo?
>>
>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>
>> >What do you suggest?
>>

>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>
>> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>>
>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>
>> >And your suggestion is?
>>
>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >
>> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>>
>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>
>In a word: No.
>
>It's not rather obvious, Leo.

Sorry to hear that, Jim. How simplified do you need things to be?

>
>What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
>answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
>
>What's your suggestion?

The way I see it, you have two options:

1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.

Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of someone's strings....

Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when you know you are in the right.

Owned or free.

The path you choose will be entirely up to you.

Choose wisely!

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

3757. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 11:25:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119871524.994047.145130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111040

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Nothing. It was a joke. But they are so much alike and share
> > similar persuations, that it's not beyond the realm of the possible
> > these days.
>
> Where is your eveidence?

Evidence that it was a joke?

You're kidding, right?

> > > Why do you continue with your gay inuendos?
> >
> > Uhhhhhhhhhhh...With Mark and Todd there's no innuendo. They are.
>
> Nope. as I a said before I am not gay (not that there would anything
> wrong with it if i were but happens to be untrue) I am bisexaul.

Mark...I can't imagine EITHER gender wanting to be intimate with a
"man" of your...uh...physique.

> I don't know or care If Todd if gay Str8, Bi or Trans or any other
> varriant.

That's OK...Todd hasn't figured it out yet either...But to many of
us it's glaringly apparent.

> I am unlikely to meet to meet Todd, but none of that has any
> to to do with Radio

Very little of what you have to do here has anything to do with
radio either...so what...???
> >
> > > Why don't you seek help?
> >
> > For what?
>
> You many of maniform mental problems, not the least of is your
> Homophobia

What is a "maniform mental problem"...?!?!

And I can assure you I am not homophobic.

Steve, K4YZ

3758. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 11:28:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119871682.750533.301910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111041

N9OGL wrote:
> I really suggest ####head that you pretend to be some one else, and not
> me if you know what's go for you, I not really in a good ####ing mood.

Too bad you won't cite who you're allegedly responding to, Toiddie.

No one directed anything to you, and Mark's comments were directed
to me.

And just WHO in this newsgroup do you think cares WHAT kind of
mood you're in...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3759. Re: could you be clearer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 11:35:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119872102.404647.57870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111042

N9OGL wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Sorry which post?
> > I have never said you are gay.
> >
> > You deny the one under name without the word Faggot in it?

That was his name, Mark, but to his credit, it wasn't him...this
time.

> > I was wondering even as I replied to it
> > or ther other post beofre this one
> >
> > I would not be certain Stevie was behind (although he may well be) but
> > there have been cases of people pretending to be stevie to make the
> > interaction More entertaining to to them
> >
> > I am curently happy with my own sexual partners (yes I used the pural)

Sponge Bob and Mr Bill...?!?!

> No, someone on here pretending to be me and it pisses me off...(SNIP)

And AGAIN you zip right past accepting ANY responsibility for YOUR
behaviour...

It was NOT "just" those letters that got you canned, Todd.

Those forgeries were unfortunate, but YOU provided the forum,
opportunity and encouragement for that kind of behaviour!

> because
> that's what got me thrown off the internet in the first place, someone
> pertending to be me.

No, no, NO!

It was YOU being YOU that got you thrown off, Todd!

And it's painfully apparent that you haven't learned a whole lot
form the experience, either!

> it was either steve or one of his little buddies
> trying once again to get people pissed off at me and have kick off
> again.

If you get kicked off again, it will be YOUR fault.

And it wasn't me, nor was it anyone I know.

Steve, K4YZ

3760. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 11:45:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119872714.852621.284110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111043

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > Who said anything about rape, you ignorant illiterate idiot?
> > > > > Thee's not a single word in that post about rape.
> > >
> > > Typical Robeson Dodge. Just like "she must be selling ""SOMETHING""
> > > and "bricks, tires, terror" etc, etc, etc.
> >
> > You continue to make accusations yet you continue to NOT
> > substantiate them, Brain.
> >
> > > Robeson is not to be trusted.
> >
> > You cut that short.
>
> No he said what he choose to say, as is his right

It was his right, but he still cut it short.

> > "Robeson is not to be trusted to stop demanding that Brain et al
> > stop lying and deceiving, etc..."

See...That was better...

> > Markie did. Markie knowingly misrepresented himself as a
> > Commissioned Officer of the Armed Forces for personal gain or intent.
>
> Nope you go off the rails at "..for personal gain.."

Nope.

You misrepresented yourself exactly as I described it.

> I, even you may
> say or claim anything if it well serve to enhance his personal safety
> or that of other.

Huh?

> I or even you may even use deadly force. The comment
> diverted you you even (if you are to be belived stopped to call the
> Pentagon)til I could return home and be better able to defend my
> family.

More jibber-jabber. WHAT are you talking about, Markie?

> You keep forgeting that YOU said "One shoot One kill even in
> Illiopolis"

Yep...A F T E R you said "..is that your best shot..."

I wonder who came first at out-of-context quoting...You or Brian
Burke...?!?!!

> Indeed at the moment you made that threat had you carried
> it out the man you would have killed would have been my elderly father
> or the temporay caregiver I hired while I was away on busness

BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

What threats?

You keep saying a threat was made.

What you're doing is lying.

> > That's a felony.
>
> Indeed your threats are the felony, but Law enforcement has grown to
> lazy to do the job of dealing with trash like you.

The trash is in Michigan, Markie...recently transplanted from
Illinois.

> Youa re clearly a stalker likely a relitively harmless one, but you
> stalk various people including myself, indeed given the interstate
> nature of your crime a federal offence, but perhaps you take comfort in
> the knowledge that you are not a bigg enough fish to be worthfrying for
> your crimes (metaphoricaly speacking of course)

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

I told you years ago, and I will tell you again just to remind
you...

If you think ANYthing here was a "threat", go ahead and copy this
letter, take it along with references to this newsgroup, and stop by
your local FBI office, Markie.

After the Agent's pick themselves up off the floor laughing at
your "evidence", they'll most likely summons you for filing a false
complaint.

Go ahead...make my millenium!

Steve, K4YZ
> >

> > Steve,K4YZ

3761. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 11:47:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119872836.626160.243060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111044

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Being a
> > > > > non-combatant your whole career, I am sure that some sand in your eyes
> > > > > or chapped hands from too much White-Out fluid was "trauma".
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Were you ever a combatant, I mean when you were in the service?
> > > >
> > > > Forgive me but him what you will I don't think it is proper to question
> > > > wether Stevie was a combatant, he was there at Valley Forgery saving
> > > > Ole GW (washington of course) with morse and heis still very much a
> > > > combatant
> > >
> > > The "forgery" was a coward in Illiopolis, IL, pretending to be a
> > > commissioned officer...and the lies just got bigger and more colorful
> > > from there.
> > >
> > > You were a liar then and you've done nothing to demonstrate that
> > > you've changed that behaviour.
> > >
> > > > Steve wasn't anywhere.
> > >
> > > Sure I was. More than you.
> > >
> > > > Says he's got seven hostile actions, but if he
> > > > starts talking, his claims will be debunked, stolen valor style.
> > >
> > > Yes, Brain, you ARE a prolific thief.
> > >
> > > You steal people's trust and confidence with your own tales and
> > > mistruths, then try and conceal the deed with outlandish accusations and
> > > deceit.
> > >
> > > Watta piece of work...
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
>

> Like I said, Robeson dare not speak of his claims to seven hostile
> actions.

And I congratulate YOU on NOT denying you're a thief.

Like >>I<< said...

Steve, K4YZ

3762. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 13:11:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119877896.791866.298670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111045

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > Quotes of FCC R&R's snipped.
> >
> > > and the question I have for the FCC is where does this and how does it
> > > apply to section 326 of the communication act.
> >
> > Then why don't you direct your question TO the FCC, rather than
> > spinning your wheels in here?
>
> I think I can answer that one, becuase he choose to.

Then his effort was wasted.

> At least it has
> something to do with ham radio...(SNIP)

No...it has to do with broadcasting. Todd is broadcasting.

> unlike your efforts to make make something of my religion

No...it's up to YOU to "make something" of your "religion, Mark...

Personally, there's nothing there to make of, in my opinion.

> > Scared of the answer you'll get?
> >
> > > after all isn't it up to
> > > the station to deiced what is of intrest to amateur radio??
> >
> > I think the FAA is responsible for getting deiced.
>
> Then you are truley losing it, The FAA is never concerned with the
> icing status of a Ham station nor any issue in Ham radio etc prehaps
> towers and rstation near airports

I'm not "losing" anything.

YOU are losing your situational awareness...unable to tell the
difference between sincere commentary and jerking Toiddie's string for
his illiteracy.

> > But you again continue to insist that just because YOU think it's
> > pertinent, the FCC might not have other ideas.
>

> He is not insiting that at all Stevie Blunder Indeed he is insiting the
> opposite

Funny...you call ME "Blunder", yet you "blunder" your way through
a sentence without making any sense.

> > > finally I would like to tell all my fans that this sick joke of a NAL
> > > does not effect my station or the N9OGL SHOW.
> >
> > Only you would think it's a "sick joke".
> >
> > The FCC doesn't issue "sick jokes".
> >
> > And I look forward with glee to the day we see YOUR name on one of
> > these...Better hurry up and get that college degree, Todd! You'll be
> > needing the extra income!
>
> Sadist, and self hating at that

"Sadist"...?!?! "Self hating"...?!?!?

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

3763. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 13:19:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119878363.802995.271640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111046

an_old_friend wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> cut
> > > Nope you go off the rails at "..for personal gain.." I, even you may
> > > say or claim anything if it well serve to enhance his personal safety
> > > or that of other. I or even you may even use deadly force. The comment
> > > diverted you you even (if you are to be belived stopped to call the
> > > Pentagon)til I could return home and be better able to defend my
> > > family. You keep forgeting that YOU said "One shoot One kill even in
> > > Illiopolis" Indeed at the moment you made that threat had you carried
> > > it out the man you would have killed would have been my elderly father
> > > or the temporay caregiver I hired while I was away on busness
> >
> > I'd like to dig that post out of Google.
>
> He made severl of them also made the threat repeatly in email to me

Well, Brain, dig away, and Mark, you're lying again.

You two are a hoot!

> > > > That's a felony.
> > >
> > > Indeed your threats are the felony, but Law enforcement has grown to
> > > lazy to do the job of dealing with trash like you.
> >
> > Depends on who you are. Steve claims that with one phone call...
>
> Stevie Claims a lot of #####

I don't claim any at all.

> > > Youa re clearly a stalker likely a relitively harmless one, but you
> > > stalk various people including myself, indeed given the interstate
> > > nature of your crime a federal offence, but perhaps you take comfort in
> > > the knowledge that you are not a bigg enough fish to be worthfrying for
> > > your crimes (metaphoricaly speacking of course)
> >
> > I don't think Steve is harmless. Be careful.

>
> I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.

What crap?

You mean like YOUR lying about being an Officer of the Armed
Forces?

Or having been drafted even though the draft ended before you were
9 years old?

Or about being a "chemical corps" engineer, not allowed to
"resign" your commission because your MOS was so critical...?

> Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
> managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead

First of all, Mark, you flatter yourself with the idea that ANYone,
let alone me, would "stalk" you.

You're such a pitiful idiot that anyone who REALLY did any harm to
you would be looked upon like someone who just kicked a three legged
dog.

Secondly, to anyone who REALLY wants to know where you are, you're
no more than one, maybe two phone calls away from knowing.

> Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> on the Internet about my bio or location etc

You're not honest about ANYthing, Mark! Why should you even
SUGGEST that you are...?!?!

You're a documented and archived liar. Period.

Steve, K4YZ

3764. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 13:25:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119878756.172455.319210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111047

an_old_friend wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > >There's more, but those are sufficient
> > > > >
> > > > Really, what other lies are you spreading steve??? and BTW I ran a low
> > > > power TV transmitter after wasting my time and money applying six times
> > > > for a license, with waivers which the FCC wouldn't even consider even
> > > > though the federal court have told them repeatively "you must consider
> > > > waivers" like I said steve you don't know the whole story nor, were you
> > > > there..
> > > >
> > > > Todd
> > >
> > > Practicing Civil disobeinece then?
> >
> > Sort of, I don't see why a person has to continously file applications,
> > a fee, and waivers if the FCC isn't even going to look at it. I applied
> > six times for a tv license for a community that dosen't have a local tv
> > service, each time I sent all that stuff in a week later I would get
> > all of it back. Then the FCC would go to court against pirate operators
> > and say "Oh, all this person has to do is apply for license and ask for
> > a waiver" We I did all that and it got me nowhere so I figured why
> > apply, after applying six times it pointless, so I ran a "pirate" TV
> > station
>
> Ok thanks for clearing that up for me.
>
> I certainly was not going to take Setvies wordonwhat happened

Of course you wouldn't. You don't deal well with the truth.

Todd Daugherty is a radio scofflaw. He's a wanna-be junior
broadcaster. He didn't get an FCC broadcast license because the FCC
wasn't about to let a foul-mouthed, illiterate idiot have a license,
especially when he couldn't get the simple act of getting the paperwork
right.

Sheeeeeeeeeeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

3765. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 13:36:12 UTC
From: Lloyd <grsb@grsblloydsnospam.com>
Message-ID: <d9ov5n$lk1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111048

If you are going to mouth off, stop top posting, and use the
correct headers.

73,

Lloyd

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:RCJve.68$AJ5.2565249@news.sisna.com...
> Lloyd:
>
> I don't doubt that everything you do is as simple as it looks, I don't
> think you are devious, cunning or stealthy--however, don't be fool enough
> to think everyone else the same--you will make one grave error...
>
> Yanno, my life is a conspiracy, and it is my family, my friends and me
> against the world.
>
> In my job, we have trade secrets and act in the companies best
> interests--we don't disclose these interests or our long range
> plans--however, you can bet that we do NOT feel obligated to look out for
> others best interests--that is their responsibility...
>
> ... perhaps you are just one simple guy--but you make a grave error if you
> think that applies to all others... now, go back to sleep--don't worry,
> be happy--others will take care of things for you...
>
> John
>
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@caflloydsnet.org> wrote in message
> news:d9nmqomal0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:tgHve.55$MA2.2304076@news.sisna.com...
>>>
>>> Here is Hollingsworth on his "vision(s)", some may interpret it
>>> differently:
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>
>>
>> The only "vision" Hollingsworth has is a lavish tax payer
>> finance retirement.
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

3766. Re: The Pool new - new K2UNK guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 13:43:32 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8oTve.12355$pa3.10937@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111049

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119585326.221087.36840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Bill where do come up with that date
> (july 1 06) in case google edits all down
>
> Just curious

Just a SWAG based on several things:
1. Prospect (unknown) of an NPRM from the FCC before the end of
this year (2005) which then...
2. requires a set of comment phases...followed ultimately by
3. an actual rules change with an effective date usually several
months from the published date.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3767. Re: Todd Blames Everyone Except Person Truly Responsible For His Predicament

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 14:08:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119881288.799917.197100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110900

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >And for the billionth time, Todd...
> > > >Those letters themselves wouldn't have mattered.
> > > >There was enough LEGITIMATE stuff to "can" you.
> > > >I reported it. Consolidated agreed.
> > >
> > > First off ####head...(SNIP)
> >
> > Just an easy and familiar habit to drop back into, isn't it, Todd?
> >
> > > (UNSNIP)...and for the billionth time as you would say...(SNIP)
> >
> > Such as when...?!?!
> >
> > > this isn't YOUR NEWSGROUP!!!!
> >
> > No kidding, eh?
> >
> > Where did I say it was?
> >
> > > you don't own it, nor are you the moderator,
> >
> > Was that a sentence? Was there a point?
> >
> > > You steve are nothing more then an sadist ham cop who sits on the
> > > amateur newsgroups on the internet and watch what people post and then
> > > turn around and report it, why???
> >
> > No, Todd....
> >
> > I "reported" specific things you did and said TO ME.
>
> You assumed that something posted by someone you claim is dishoest is
> evidence?

Anything that is "done" whether it be by an honest person or not,
is "evidence" of something.

> > > because you get your nuts off on it.
> >
> > No, I don't.

>
> Yes You do Stevie boy you have admited to it

No, I haven't.

> > > It really sad when a phone company won't listen to a paying customer,
> > > but will listen to a mental ill person like yourself.
> >
> > Still not accepting responsibility for your own behaviour,
> > Todd...?!?!
> >
> > You'll NEVER get past this until you realize that YOUR mouth and
> > YOUR behaviour.
> >
> > Consolidated saw exactly the same stuff you and I did.
> >
> > They acted on it.
> >
> > They told you to stay away.
> >
> > You didn't do it.
> >
> > YOU lost YOUR broadband....Not me...
> >
> > > >Yeah..uh huh...Right...
> > > >Chances are that if people find out WHY you were canned, they'll
> > > >be MORE likely to join Consolidated just becasue they know that
> > > >Consolidated is concerned with keeping trash off the Internet, even if
> > > >it is just one piece at a time.
> > >
> > > I know steve you probably live a shelter life, but out here in the
> > > "real world" people Do talk like that...(SNIP)
> >
> > No, Todd, people do NOT, as a matter of routine life, talk "like
> > that".
> >
> > Yes...they do it in porno's, some "action" movies, and rap music
> > videos.
> >
> > Educated, responsible adults do NOT talk like that in public.
>
> Gee Steve you been on Mars (the planet not the radio service) The VP of
> the US told a senator to Fuck off (or was it he just said FO) on the
> floor of the Senate

That was a comment muttered, not meant to be heard in pulic.

But it still did not make it right.

> > > here's an Idea don't go to New
> > > York City, you'll probably hear stuff that make my post look calm.
> >

> > I've been to New York City. And Miami. And Los Angeles. And
> > Dallas, Atlanta, Nashville, etc etc etc.
> >
> > People don't carry on like that as a matter of "real life"
> > conversations in public.
>
> Morse Code made you deaf?

Nope. It made me an Extra Class licensee, along with the other
requisite written exams.

Where did you get THAT...?!?!

> > > but
> > > it really doubtful that people would go rushing to consolidated, they
> > > charge too much ($300-350 for startup fee) and ($36 to $40 a month) and
> > > when people hear that consolidated listen to a non-paying customer, who
> > > is a sadist, and NOT a paying customer, well...
> >
> > Problem is that your scenario is wrong.
> >
> > I am not a sadist.
>
> You certainly are

You're lying again, Mark.

Why do you lie in public so easily?

> > But you ARE a liar, a cheat, a lawbreaker and deadbeat.
>
> And you are trsuing the word of a man you are calling a lair as
> eveidence of his alleged crimes?

Three things, Mark...

First of all, it's "trust"...

Secondly, persons documented as liars can manage to overcome their
handicap and tell the truth...Even you have screwed up once in a while
and gotten something right, even if by mistake...

Thirdly, there's corroborating evidence of his lying, cheating and
deadbeat status...

> > Let's get into a side by side debate over Consolidated's practices
> > and see who carries the day, eh, Todd...?!?!
> >
> > > >What YOU continue to ignore is that those letters weren't the only
> > > >reason you got canned.
> > >
> > > and you continue to not to understand that what YOU did was wrong, when
> > > there were alternatives, but instead you have proven to ever one on
> > > here what I had been saying that your an ###hole!!

> >
> > And YOU keep ignoring the fact that I DID those things, including
> > trying to get you to stop the offensive language and do something to
> > control your blog posters.
>
> Control freak!!

Nope.

> and BTW thin skined too and able to dish it but not take it

Show me a valid post of mine where I use the kind of language that
you or Todd use, Mark...

Just one....

> > You refused.
>
> As was his RIGHT

Sure was.

And he suffered the consequences of it.

We all have all sorts of rights, MArk...Just because we do doesn't
mean that everything we "do" as a result of those "rights" means things
will go in our favor.

You're quite right that Todd had the "right" to be foul-mouthed
and insulting.

But I also had (and still have) the right to report behaviour that
I find offensive and ask that something be done about it.

> > Nothing I did was wrong, illegal or immoral.
>
> You could get an augement that YOU steve engaged in the crime of
> Conspirisy to defraud and to deny the Civil right of other people.

No...YOU would argue that. And you'd be wrong.

No one was "defraud(ed)" of anything.

Todd acted his fool. I protested.

He told me to get !@#$ed, etc etc etc.

His ISP's TOS prohibits such conduct.

I presented Todd's behaviour to Todd's ISP. They agreed.

He was warned. He ignored it.

Oh well.

> People do have a RIGHT to disagare with you, even to offend you

And WHY do you seem to insist that the ONLY people who have any
rights are the "offenders", Mark...???

I have rights to.

Todd exercised his, I exercised mine.

> > That YOU don't LIKE it is another story.
>
> Indeed you do have that point right

Of course I do.

> > > >No, you're not.
> > > >But then this is a PUBLIC forum and a certain amout of decorum is
> > > >expected (or should be) "MF", "AH", "CS" and other such adjectives
> > > >are NOT language you typically hear walking down the street or walking
> > > >through Wal-Mart, and I don't think it's appropriate here.
> > > >Then when you DIRECTED it at me, well, that cinched it
> > >
> > > again you don't have to read my post and let me say you are a hypocite,
> > > you have the balls to call me gay when I am not...(SNIP)
> >
> > Then prove it.
> >
> > > like I said steve,
> > > don't go to New York City, they use those "Adjectives" all the time...(SNIP)
> >
> > Not in public. Not in schools, museums, theaters or other public
> > venues.
>
> Morse code made you deaf?

Where did you get such an idiotic idea as that?

> > And people who make it a point of being offensive get hauled away.
>
> Where are you living? Mars, the Planet, not M.A.R.S,

No matter where I live or HAVE lived, expecting and hoping for a
more civil and more dignified world is MY right.

Overcoming foul mouth idiots like Todd Daugherty is the challenge.

> > > and just like you Corn##### has poroven that he too is a ###hole who
> > > had alternatives to reading my post, but just like you he is a sadist
> > > who get's his nut off by acting like a netcop
> >
> > He did nothing wrong, illegal or immoral.
>
> It is imoral for you be a sadistic Steve, since YOUR moral code says
> sadisim is wrong

I am not sadistic because common decency directs it.

You keep suggesting that you know somethig of my "moral code"...

You know NOTHING of any code, moral, Morse or otherwise...

> > > >There a re no "shows" on Amateur Radio.
> > > >A "show" is a program prepared for broadcast for the general
> > > >public.
> > >
> > > first off it depends on who it is directed to...(SNIP)
> >
> > No, it doesn't.
>
> yes it does read the rules

I've read the rules. I've also read FCC opinion and case law on
the subject. Once the FCC finally nails K1MAN, then the full legal
precedent will be set to keep other K1MAN's off the air...or at least
grant the FCC a bigger hammer to nail them with...

> > > ...if it's directed just to
> > > amateur's then it legal, my shows like I said is on radio, electronic,
> > > computers and radio regulations, and until you or little buddies at the
> > > FCC say otherwise that it's illegal, by showing me a rule that states
> > > it.
> >
> > If you're producing a "show", it's neither an "information
> > bulletin", nor legal within the FCC's parameters.
>
> no He is calling it a show not producing one

He's producing a show.

He publishes a "program", pubishes a "schedule" and publically
calls it a "show".

It meets the challenge of the definition.

> > > >If you'd "x" out the "bitches" and the "###hole", you would really
> > > >impessed me...
> > > >One step at a time, though, I guess.
> > >
> > > I'm sorry steve....I forgot you don't live in the real world....
> >
> > Sure I do. I just live in a more responsible, professional world
> > where mature adults don't have to resort to sandlot profanity to try
> > and "one-upmanship" someone.
>
> LOL you the Gay basher, you need to get up into the sand lot

I am not gay-bashing anyone.

Indeed, I believe that the sooner Todd accepts, acknowledges and
embraces his sexuality, the happier and less troubled he'll be.

It doesn't take a Masters in sociology to see that Todd has
issues, and that they manifest around his confidence and perceptions of
inadequacy. He overcompensates for them with his "show".

Steve, K4YZ

3768. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 15:18:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119885518.851174.66360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110901

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > >
> > > Quotes of FCC R&R's snipped.
> > >
> > > > and the question I have for the FCC is where does this and how does it
> > > > apply to section 326 of the communication act.
> > >
> > > Then why don't you direct your question TO the FCC, rather than
> > > spinning your wheels in here?
> >
> > I think I can answer that one, becuase he choose to.
>
> Then his effort was wasted.

Prehaps it was prehaps it wasn't, but that is HIS choice
>
> > At least it has
> > something to do with ham radio...(SNIP)
>
> No...it has to do with broadcasting. Todd is broadcasting.
It Does concern Ham radio unlike a lot of your trash

>
> > unlike your efforts to make make something of my religion
>
> No...it's up to YOU to "make something" of your "religion, Mark...

Really losing man losing it bad
>
> Personally, there's nothing there to make of, in my opinion.

Then why did YOU start a thread trying to make an issue of it?
>
> > > Scared of the answer you'll get?
> > >
> > > > after all isn't it up to
> > > > the station to deiced what is of intrest to amateur radio??
> > >
> > > I think the FAA is responsible for getting deiced.
> >
> > Then you are truley losing it, The FAA is never concerned with the
> > icing status of a Ham station nor any issue in Ham radio etc prehaps

> > towers and rstation near airports
>
> I'm not "losing" anything.

Prehaps you are right you may never have had in the place
>
> YOU are losing your situational awareness...unable to tell the
> difference between sincere commentary and jerking Toiddie's string for
> his illiteracy.

BTW you need to look up Illieterate etc, since you don't use the word
correct. For example I am n NOT illeterate, I am Dysgrapgic

>
> > > But you again continue to insist that just because YOU think it's
> > > pertinent, the FCC might not have other ideas.
> >
> > He is not insiting that at all Stevie Blunder Indeed he is insiting the
> > opposite
>
> Funny...you call ME "Blunder", yet you "blunder" your way through
> a sentence without making any sense.

If the pair of sentences does not make sense to you then you are
illerate
>
> > > > finally I would like to tell all my fans that this sick joke of a NAL
> > > > does not effect my station or the N9OGL SHOW.
> > >
> > > Only you would think it's a "sick joke".
> > >
> > > The FCC doesn't issue "sick jokes".
> > >
> > > And I look forward with glee to the day we see YOUR name on one of
> > > these...Better hurry up and get that college degree, Todd! You'll be
> > > needing the extra income!
> >
> > Sadist, and self hating at that
>
> "Sadist"...?!?! "Self hating"...?!?!?

Indeed you are since you are Sadistic, but can't admit it
>
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3769. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 15:43:43 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <P8Vve.6907$ro.4565@fed1read02>
Source record: 110902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Lloyd wrote:

>
> "policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1119830199.669962.286810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> (This is from eham.net and qrz.com)
> ///////psycho-babble snipped here////////
>
>
> Pure bullshit! Grow up and get a life!
> and stop being obsessed with another's ham's life.

gee, sounds like another one looking to get probed by the system for
unprofessional behavior on (and off) the air......

I hate to say this Lloyd, but K1MAN earned whatever actions is being taken
against him. in fact, he demanded this action by his very threats.

in any case, I think I will sign that petition myself, just because Mr.
baxter's actions draw negative attention to the service as a whole.
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

3770. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 15:50:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <QeVve.264$9g.15@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 110903

The HF bands are, many times, international in scope. This story is not about the FCC, it is about international agreements.

What is said in the story is true; many 3rd world countries would love 80 meters as it would provide cheap communications (they don't have to pay to build all the infrastructure of a telephone system to cover their country). If the world community decides that 80/75 meters is to no longer be an amateur allocations, the FCC will have nothing to say about it.

If you look at cell phones, you might get an idea of the extent of the problem. In developed countries, cell phones have become big business. In the U.S., every teenager "needs" one. It takes a lot of cell phone towers to provide service, not to mention ever increasing needs of frequencies.

I believe that when I was first licensed (in 1962) amateurs could use any frequency above 30 GHz. There was little gear that could function at all at that frequency and dx records could be measured in yards or a few miles. Nowadays, there are some amateur bands intermingled with other segments going up to 300 GHz, at which point amateurs can use anything above 300 GHz. 300 GHz in far infra-red light!

Somehow, communications devices are going to have to become more efficient at using available frequencies (amateurs included). Even assuming they do (and they have become more band-width friendly), there will be pressure on all users to use it (effectively) or loose it.

As to the FCC, they can easily reassign users at VHF and above as it doesn't carry world-wide. Those segments are also in jeopardy by big business. Note that the Supreme Court ruled that local governments can exercise their right to take property (with compensation to the owners) and sell it to someone else.

Big business and the Republicans rule.

Next time be careful of who you vote for.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119836586.631912.262200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> I doubt it HF is all but useless to the FCC they want peiecs of VHF etc
> John Smith wrote:
> > I think anyone over-looking the bigger picture has to suspect that HF
> > will, rather quickly, be taken from amateurs. The abundance of techs is
> > being created to drop the percentage of hams using HF. At some point I

> > suspect a "move" will be made on these all important HF bands and they
> > will be removed from amateur service.
> >
> > I suspect that techs account for about 50% of activity on the bands
> > now--when that reaches 66%, and certainly 75%, I think HF will be
> > pulled...
> >
> > Here is Hollingsworth on his "vision(s)", some may interpret it
> > differently:
> >
http://www.wr6wr.com/newSite/articles/features/olderfeatures/longbeach.html
> >
> > John
>

3771. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 16:06:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119888383.254035.33560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110904

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Nothing. It was a joke. But they are so much alike and share
> > > similar persuations, that it's not beyond the realm of the possible
> > > these days.
> >
> > Where is your eveidence?
>
> Evidence that it was a joke?
>
> You're kidding, right?

nope

a sick joke if it was. would you like me to assume everything you say
is sick joke?

>
> > > > Why do you continue with your gay inuendos?
> > >
> > > Uhhhhhhhhhhh...With Mark and Todd there's no innuendo. They are.
> >
> > Nope. as I a said before I am not gay (not that there would anything
> > wrong with it if i were but happens to be untrue) I am bisexaul.
>
> Mark...I can't imagine EITHER gender wanting to be intimate with a
> "man" of your...uh...physique.

I am not repsonible for your lack of imagination
>
> > I don't know or care If Todd if gay Str8, Bi or Trans or any other
> > varriant.
>
> That's OK...Todd hasn't figured it out yet either...But to many of
> us it's glaringly apparent.

wether he or hasn't why is it any of your busness and what does it have
to do Hamradio
>
> > I am unlikely to meet to meet Todd, but none of that has any
> > to to do with Radio
>

> Very little of what you have to do here has anything to do with
> radio either...so what...???

I do spend more time on non ham issues than I would like, but you are
mostly to blame for that

> > >
> > > > Why don't you seek help?
> > >
> > > For what?
> >
> > You many of maniform mental problems, not the least of is your
> > Homophobia
>
> What is a "maniform mental problem"...?!?!
>
> And I can assure you I am not homophobic.

yes you are, and I am sure you can assure assure you are not doesn't
make it true
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3772. Re: The Pool new - new K2UNK guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 16:07:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119888442.456730.215440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110905

Thanks for the answer was having hopeing maybe you knew something as
oppsoed to guessing

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1119585326.221087.36840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill where do come up with that date
> > (july 1 06) in case google edits all down
> >
> > Just curious
>
> Just a SWAG based on several things:
> 1. Prospect (unknown) of an NPRM from the FCC before the end of
> this year (2005) which then...
> 2. requires a set of comment phases...followed ultimately by
> 3. an actual rules change with an effective date usually several
> months from the published date.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

3773. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 16:09:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1xVve.1$Pq.191580@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110907

Lloyd:

Quit expecting everyone to format your text the way you would like it--if you like it a particular way--format it that way. Get a new generation news reader! Usenet is NOT what it used to be... if you only have a 300 baud modem, upgrade!

Next thing you will want is your welfare check delivered with your morning paper!

John

"Lloyd" <grsb@grsblloydsnospam.com> wrote in message news:d9ov5n$lk1$0@pita.alt.net...
> If you are going to mouth off, stop top posting, and use the
> correct headers.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:RCJve.68$AJ5.2565249@news.sisna.com...
>> Lloyd:
>>
>> I don't doubt that everything you do is as simple as it looks, I
>> don't think you are devious, cunning or stealthy--however, don't be
>> fool enough to think everyone else the same--you will make one grave
>> error...
>>
>> Yanno, my life is a conspiracy, and it is my family, my friends and
>> me against the world.
>>
>> In my job, we have trade secrets and act in the companies best
>> interests--we don't disclose these interests or our long range
>> plans--however, you can bet that we do NOT feel obligated to look out
>> for others best interests--that is their responsibility...
>>
>> ... perhaps you are just one simple guy--but you make a grave error
>> if you think that applies to all others... now, go back to
>> sleep--don't worry, be happy--others will take care of things for
>> you...
>>
>> John

>>
>> "Lloyd" <lloyd@caflloydsnet.org> wrote in message
>> news:d9nmqomal0@pita.alt.net...
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:tgHve.55$MA2.2304076@news.sisna.com...
>>>>
>>>> Here is Hollingsworth on his "vision(s)", some may interpret it
>>>> differently:
>>>>
>>>> John
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> The only "vision" Hollingsworth has is a lavish tax payer
>>> finance retirement.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

3774. Re: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 16:10:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119888620.094597.49110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110906

) Of course you wouldn't. You don't deal well with the truth.

I deal fine with it you are not aquianted with the concept

) Todd Daugherty is a radio scofflaw. He's a wanna-be junior
)broadcaster. He didn't get an FCC broadcast license because the FCC
)wasn't about to let a foul-mouthed, illiterate idiot have a license,
)especially when he couldn't get the simple act of getting the
paperwork
)right.

Maybe the above statements are corect Stevie, I have my doubts but
maybe, none that means he is in violation the FCC rules w.r.t. to the
"show"

Sheeeeeeeeeeesh.

3775. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 16:17:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119889040.870728.102390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110908

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Who said anything about rape, you ignorant illiterate idiot?
> > > > > Thee's not a single word in that post about rape.
> > > >
> > > > Typical Robeson Dodge. Just like "she must be selling ""SOMETHING""
> > > > and "bricks, tires, terror" etc, etc, etc.
> > >
> > > You continue to make accusations yet you continue to NOT
> > > substantiate them, Brain.
> > >
> > > > Robeson is not to be trusted.
> > >
> > > You cut that short.
> >
> > No he said what he choose to say, as is his right
>
> It was his right, but he still cut it short.
>
> > > "Robeson is not to be trusted to stop demanding that Brain et al
> > > stop lying and deceiving, etc..."
>
> See...That was better...
>
> > > Markie did. Markie knowingly misrepresented himself as a
> > > Commissioned Officer of the Armed Forces for personal gain or intent.
> >
> > Nope you go off the rails at "..for personal gain.."
>
> Nope.

yep
>
> You misrepresented yourself exactly as I described it.

nope you left out most of it

>
> > I, even you may
> > say or claim anything if it well serve to enhance his personal safety

> > or that of other.
>
> Huh?

yep I can lie in self defense except of course in the course of federal
investagation
>
> > I or even you may even use deadly force. The comment
> > diverted you you even (if you are to be belived stopped to call the
> > Pentagon)til I could return home and be better able to defend my
> > family.
>
> More jibber-jabber. WHAT are you talking about, Markie?

the right of self defense Stevie

>
> > You keep forgeting that YOU said "One shoot One kill even in
> > Illiopolis"
>
> Yep...A F T E R you said "..is that your best shot..."

admitng to to it at last

>
> I wonder who came first at out-of-context quoting...You or Brian
> Burke...?!?!!

gigo
>
> > Indeed at the moment you made that threat had you carried
> > it out the man you would have killed would have been my elderly father
> > or the temporay caregiver I hired while I was away on busness
>
> BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> What threats?

the threat you admited to a few lines up
>
> You keep saying a threat was made.

and you agree you made it

you later back then denied making it and then denied it was serious
,but you made it

>
> What you're doing is lying.

nope
>

> > > That's a felony.
> >
> > Indeed your threats are the felony, but Law enforcement has grown to
> > lazy to do the job of dealing with trash like you.
>
> The trash is in Michigan, Markie...recently transplanted from
> Illinois.

happens to be another lie at least most folks would not think 3 years
was all that recent, plus in calling people trash you show offyou lack
of manners

>
> > Youa re clearly a stalker likely a relitively harmless one, but you
> > stalk various people including myself, indeed given the interstate
> > nature of your crime a federal offence, but perhaps you take comfort in
> > the knowledge that you are not a bigg enough fish to be worthfrying for
> > your crimes (metaphoricaly speacking of course)
>
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> I told you years ago, and I will tell you again just to remind
> you...
>
> If you think ANYthing here was a "threat", go ahead and copy this
> letter, take it along with references to this newsgroup, and stop by
> your local FBI office, Markie.

have done

>
> After the Agent's pick themselves up off the floor laughing at
> your "evidence", they'll most likely summons you for filing a false
> complaint.

Nope they agreed it was a threat, and that it was a crime, and indeed
complitmneted me on not responding with violence to your threat thought
my ruse was quite clever

>
> Go ahead...make my millenium!

BTw You cliamied to call the pentagon to get them to do something about
my claims what was the reply
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Steve,K4YZ

3776. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 16:59:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119891544.463663.234290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110909

Leo wrote:
> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >What would you suggest?
> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >>
> >> >What do you suggest?
> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >
> >> >What do you suggest?
> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >>
> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >>
> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >
> >> >Then what do you suggest I do?
> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >
> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >
> >> >What do you suggest I do?
> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >What would you suggest?
> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >What do you suggest I do, Leo?
> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >>
> >> >What do you suggest?

> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >
> >> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >
> >> >And your suggestion is?
> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >
> >> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
> >>
> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >>
> >In a word: No.
> >
> >It's not rather obvious, Leo.
>
> Sorry to hear that, Jim. How simplified do you need things to be?

It's not about simplification, Leo. It's about clarity.

Why can't you just clearly and plainly state what you
suggest that I do?

> >What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
> >answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
> >
> >What's your suggestion?
>
> The way I see it, you have two options:
>
> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.

OK so far.

> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
> someone's strings....

That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you suggest *I*
take.
>
> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
> you know you are in the right.

So what do you suggest *I* do?

> Owned or free.

That's your spin.
>
> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.

So there *is* a choice!

> Choose wisely!

Wise by whose standards?

How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical terms?
What actions do you suggest?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3777. Re: Markie Getting Back Into His Usual Get it right Dysgraphic Stevie learn ENGLISH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 17:03:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119891822.426836.110280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110910

cutting the stuff I have replied and accidently sent on ahead

> What?
>
> > > I do, however, take great pleasure in making fun of you.
> >
> > Then of course you are a sadistic and by your coments on the subject
> > sick and twisted
>
> Hardly.

exactly you admit to taking pleasure therefore you are a sadist
>
> This post/reply is just typical.
>
> Fully half of your stuff is typo'd.
>
> You don't write complete sentences with even margianlly correct
> punctuation.

never denied it
>
> I've asked you several very direct questions to determine what YOU
> consider "Christian" or "un-Christian", and your only "reply" is "GIGO"
> or the sandlot "Have you stopped beating your wife yet" stuff.

becuase the question are unrelated to the issues at hand are are as
sand lot as Have you stopped beeating your wife yet
>
> You make it reeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeal hard for anyone to NOT
> understand why I find you such an idiot.

your point?
>
> > > You do, afterall, provide a plethora of opportunites.
> > >
> > > > > > I simply am aghast that a person can graduate high school in the
> > > > > > United States with the absolutely horrific English skills you have.
> > > >
> > > > > seond gade now HS means you were lieng when. oh why bother..
> > >
> > > WHAT THE HECK WAS THAT...?!?!
> > >
> > > "seond gade now HS means you were lieng when. oh why bother.."

> > >
> > > Markie, there's not ONE coherent thing there, other than "oh why
> > > bother"...and that may be the ONLY thing you've EVER said that makes
> > > ANY sense.
> >
> > That is another lie Even you yourself complimented me on a letter to
> > CQ on the remark in the VHF collum on code testing. Therefore your
> > statement is lie
>
> What lie?

that the only thing i whave ever said that makes sense was oh why
bother
>
> That "sentence" was nothing more than "Scrabble" tiles tossed
> across the table, Mark!

then you are illerate it certianly does better than that.Or are you
lieing when you say it makes so little sense?
>
> "seond gade no HS means you were lieng when"....?!?!
>
> Does that REALLY mean something, or were you just slamming the
> keyboard around a bit?

yes it does

>
> > > THAT is why people can make such fun of you!
> > >
> > > And by the way...you insist that you are building a house now. Is
> > > that with the money you've saved all these years by not installing a
> > > spellchecker?
> >
> > GIGO
>
> No...
>
> With a spellchecker it's GIOC: Garbage In, Options Considered

No it doesn't

Your coment was GI and GO your coment had no relavance
>
> The problem is you won't use one.

With you it would not help

to Comicate with you would require that you were willing to think
outside your mental blinders
>
> You're like one idiot that comes to the ER all the time with clap
> and syphllis...He keeps going to cheap hookers and winds up with

> predictable results...He doesn't use HIS protection...

GIGO and sandlot and vile such fine examples of you (lack) of adherence
to what you claim is right and moral
>
> YOUR protection would be a spellchecker...
>
> But then I guess it would be useless to you anyway, since it's
> doubtful that you'd understand your error or be able to fix it if you
> did.

Blah blah blah

More sandlot garbage
>
> > > > > > WRONG the is the internet the FCC lacks any authority to determine how
> > > > > > we use langauge any suggestion to contary is plain idoitcy
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Markie, you REALLY owe it to yourself to be sure that you KNOW
> > > > > > what you're talking about before you comment on it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > We are not discussing Internet content. We're discussing Todd
> > > > > > illegal broadcasting on the 20 meter band.
> > > > >
> > > > > No we are in a discusion of the use of langauge.
> > > >
> > > No...YOU are in a discussion about use (abuse, actually) of
> > > language.
> >
> > if true then you you are ling in claiming we are engaged in a discusion
> > of ..20 m
> > since I am part of we we are not discussing 20 m
>
> No...YOU'RE not talking about 20 meters because you jumped into
> this exchange without nowing what was going on...

one without "nowing" well I am certinaly in the now if that is what you
mean

I am talking about 20 m and the rules, there is plenty I don't knwo in
the world but i do know that
>
> You picked up on a couple of words and made a "reply" based upon a
> completely non-contextual word or phrase.

I picked up FAR more than "a couple of words"

Further if I had made a context error why is it that you have that
right and I don't
>
> > > > It is legal to a call tranmision a broadcast if you like. you can also
> > > > call it a Beamer or wangdoodle if you like. I prefer to use the word

> > > > broadcast myself. does not make the tranmission under discusion illegal
> > > > if it is called a show or a broadcast. the content of the transmision
> > > > does or doesn't do that
> > >
> > > In the aforementioned "language", Markie, it has long ago been
> > > deemed imperitive to have certain words or phrases that, when used in
> > > certain context, have certain meanings. In some colloquial circles
> > > it's called a "frame of reference"
> >
> > Been deemed imperitve by whom? then GIGO
>
> Civilization, Markie.

according to whom

and there is "judge not lest ye be judged" who appoint you abirtor
>
> That excludes you, apparently.

nope

>
> > > For the purpose of FCC applicaitons, hence applying to licensed
> > > Amateur Radio operators such as you and Todd, "broadcast" means one-way
> > > transmisions.
> >
> > Says whom? and by what authority
>
> The FCC.

more conext distortion The FCC has not ruled that calling a transmision
a braodcast on the interent is against the rules
>
> The Constitution of the Unted States of America.

Way off base
>
> > > > > See what happens when you jump into the middle of an on-going rant
> > > > > without first taking the time to see who's ranting about what, Markie?
> > > > >
> > > > > Todd's already admitted to illegal broadcasting on TV and FM
> > > > > frequencies, and has admitted to operating an aircraft contrary to
> > > > > Federal Aviation rules and regulations.
> > > >
> > > > Several points
> > > >
> > > > One I doubt he admitted to anythign illegal etc by your disorting his
> > > > words though your warped mind
> > >
> > > Again you demonstrate your ABSOLUTE IGNORANCE, Markie.
> > >
> > > Todd has dozens of posts goign back over a decade bragging about

> > > his pirate radio/TV activities.
> > >
> > > > Two planes are an FAA matter not FCC
> > >
> > > No kidding, Sherlock? That's what I SAID. Allow me to repeat:
> > > "...and has admitted to operating an aircraft contrary to Federal
> > > Aviation rules and regulations..."
> >
> > Which means it has nothting to radio of the FCC
>
> What it DOES mean is that you weren't paying attention.

no it doesn't

again whya does todd aleged acts with a plane have to do with radio in
any form. answer the question or not as you like

but stop making up stories
>
> Again.

that is a lie

>
> > > > Three he can to have comitted an illgal here on usenet, that is not a
> > > > crime.
> > >
> > > Illegal is illegal, Markie.
> >
> > Only if a crime is being comitted
>
> Gee. Figger that out all by yourself, Mark?

I know but you don't seem to

>
> > > > the only way he can comite a crime by cliaiming to have done
> > > > that I think of would be to cliam he took a shot at the president. That
> > > > would be a a crime
> > >
> > > What? What was that in E N G L I S H Markie...???
> > >
> > > > > Now he's come to RRAP, obstensibly to get approval for or to
> > > > > advertise the presence of his "shows".
> > > >
> > > > When did you jion PsiCorps?
> > >
> > > What's a "jion"...?!?! How do you "jion" something...?!?!
> > >
> > > PsiCorps? Another of your drafted but never released from Active
> > > Duty assignments, Colonel...?!?!
> >

> > No but your reply proves your idoitcy
>
> "idoitcy"
>
> You TRY and call me an idiot and can't even spell it...?!?!
>

yea but you understand it so unlessyoud like to admit to being a
spelling cop suck it be a man and move on
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> WHAT A SCHMUCK ! ! ! !

you asked for foul langauge expample in another post well here you have
provide it

>
> > > > > The problem is that NOone cares about his broadcasts. He's simply
> > > > > using them as a sham for his self-promoting diatribes. Nothing more.
> > > >
> > > > Lier you obviously care a great deal
> > >
> > > What did I warn you about that, Markie?
> >
> > Warn me? You threatening me again
>
> About your accusastion of lying where no lying exists...?!?!

GIGO

youhave lieng therefore no situation exisits of a false accusation of
lying

>
> You bet! Shall I re-file the LAST letter with the DA in the
> county you reside in now? Go ahead and get him good-and-acquainted
> with you...?!?!

If you wish to make a false report go ahead I can't stop you. I can't
even stop you fromcrying on here about the results.

But please despense with the threats, men act, not threaten and
posture, you act like my roosters puffing thir feathers
>
> > > Living in a new jurisdiction doesn't change a thing.
> >
> > More threats?
>
> Only legal ones. Same as above.

then you admit to making a threats good, now act or shut up please you
are boring me and I suspect everyone else
>
> > > > > > Just decidedto move I do that every few years getting longer and longer
> > > > > > as I get older but i do move every now and then
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Unfortunatley not far enough away vis-a-vis RRAP.
> > > > >
> > > > > from your point of view youare rigt for a change. be a man even just a
> > > > > Human and deal with it
> > > >
> > > > Oh, I can deal with you, Markie. It's easy to do.
> > >
> > No you can't
>
> Yes, I can...

the show it
>
> > > > > Your "writing" is a travesty. It's a violent rape of the English
> > > > > language, to say the least. Todd's a close second.
> > > > >
> > > > > Violent rape? what is that?
> > > >
> > > It's what you do to the English language, Markie.
> > >
> > Ok then it sound like a fine and dandy thing to me
>
> I am sure it does. You just admitted you like violent rape.

nope I have admitted to what you have distorted the words to mean, I
have indulged the insane

>
> Why am I not surprised?
>
> > > > hacking out the rest
> > > >
> > > Putz.
> > >
> > Sex obeseced prevert
>
> I don't know your mother. (and it's NOT "obeseced", Markie)

Then why did claim to

still sex obcessed

>
> Steve, K4YZ

3778. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 17:07:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119892041.667767.127050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110911

Jim Hampton wrote:
> The HF bands are, many times, international in scope. This story is not
> about the FCC, it is about international agreements.
>
> What is said in the story is true; many 3rd world countries would love 80
> meters as it would provide cheap communications (they don't have to pay to
> build all the infrastructure of a telephone system to cover their country).
> If the world community decides that 80/75 meters is to no longer be an
> amateur allocations, the FCC will have nothing to say about it.

If the world decides and we decide to go along with it and...
>
> If you look at cell phones, you might get an idea of the extent of the
> problem. In developed countries, cell phones have become big business. In
> the U.S., every teenager "needs" one. It takes a lot of cell phone towers
> to provide service, not to mention ever increasing needs of frequencies.
>
> I believe that when I was first licensed (in 1962) amateurs could use any
> frequency above 30 GHz. There was little gear that could function at all at
> that frequency and dx records could be measured in yards or a few miles.
> Nowadays, there are some amateur bands intermingled with other segments
> going up to 300 GHz, at which point amateurs can use anything above 300 GHz.
> 300 GHz in far infra-red light!
>
> Somehow, communications devices are going to have to become more efficient
> at using available frequencies (amateurs included). Even assuming they do
> (and they have become more band-width friendly), there will be pressure on
> all users to use it (effectively) or loose it.

agreed which is agood resaon to stopp using Morse code and realy use HF
>
> As to the FCC, they can easily reassign users at VHF and above as it doesn't
> carry world-wide. Those segments are also in jeopardy by big business.
> Note that the Supreme Court ruled that local governments can exercise their
> right to take property (with compensation to the owners) and sell it to
> someone else.
>
> Big business and the Republicans rule.
>
> Next time be careful of who you vote for.
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

>
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1119836586.631912.262200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > I doubt it HF is all but useless to the FCC they want peiecs of VHF etc
> > John Smith wrote:
> > > I think anyone over-looking the bigger picture has to suspect that HF
> > > will, rather quickly, be taken from amateurs. The abundance of techs is
> > > being created to drop the percentage of hams using HF. At some point I
> > > suspect a "move" will be made on these all important HF bands and they
> > > will be removed from amateur service.
> > >
> > > I suspect that techs account for about 50% of activity on the bands
> > > now--when that reaches 66%, and certainly 75%, I think HF will be
> > > pulled...
> > >
> > > Here is Hollingsworth on his "vision(s)", some may interpret it
> > > differently:
> > >
> http://www.wr6wr.com/newSite/articles/features/olderfeatures/longbeach.html
> > >
> > > John
> >

3779. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 17:09:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119892182.737203.254100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110913

you arrogant ass

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > I really suggest ####head that you pretend to be some one else, and not
> > me if you know what's go for you, I not really in a good ####ing mood.
>
> Too bad you won't cite who you're allegedly responding to, Toiddie.
>
> No one directed anything to you, and Mark's comments were directed
> to me.

No they were derected generly
>
> And just WHO in this newsgroup do you think cares WHAT kind of
> mood you're in...?!?!

There maybe you have something but then even a broken clock is right
twice a day
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3780. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 17:14:02 UTC
From: Lloyd <melloyd@melloydyoudork.com>
Message-ID: <d9pbu4qkv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110912

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1xVve.1$Pq.191580@news.sisna.com...
> Lloyd:
>
> Quit expecting everyone to format your text the way you would like it--if
> you like it a particular way--format it that way. Get a new generation
> news reader! Usenet is NOT what it used to be... if you only have a 300
> baud modem, upgrade!
>
> Next thing you will want is your welfare check delivered with your morning
> paper!
>
> John
>

To paraphrase Stagger Lee, I accept your
humble apolgy and hope you have learned something.

73,

Lloyd

3781. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 17:32:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <LKWve.6$Mo.183454@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110914

Jim:

Yes, you touch on globalization and "one world order" and/or "new order" (hey, wasn't that a phrase invented by Adolph Hitler's klick?)

Seems like a little more thought on "sovereign nation" and just exactly what that is might be to our best interest.

Also, seems with each passing day "they" are anxious to give us reasons why we should lose respect for gov't and authority and, the effects of this are rather frightening--it even touches my neighborhood--a place which was once safe and secure.

I can hardly see how this is not having an effect on this great hobby--amateur radio is not an isolated island.

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:QeVve.264$9g.15@news02.roc.ny...
> The HF bands are, many times, international in scope. This story is
> not
> about the FCC, it is about international agreements.
>
> What is said in the story is true; many 3rd world countries would love
> 80
> meters as it would provide cheap communications (they don't have to
> pay to
> build all the infrastructure of a telephone system to cover their
> country).
> If the world community decides that 80/75 meters is to no longer be an
> amateur allocations, the FCC will have nothing to say about it.
>
> If you look at cell phones, you might get an idea of the extent of the
> problem. In developed countries, cell phones have become big
> business. In
> the U.S., every teenager "needs" one. It takes a lot of cell phone
> towers
> to provide service, not to mention ever increasing needs of
> frequencies.
>
> I believe that when I was first licensed (in 1962) amateurs could use
> any
> frequency above 30 GHz. There was little gear that could function at
> all at
> that frequency and dx records could be measured in yards or a few

> miles.
> Nowadays, there are some amateur bands intermingled with other
> segments
> going up to 300 GHz, at which point amateurs can use anything above
> 300 GHz.
> 300 GHz in far infra-red light!
>
> Somehow, communications devices are going to have to become more
> efficient
> at using available frequencies (amateurs included). Even assuming
> they do
> (and they have become more band-width friendly), there will be
> pressure on
> all users to use it (effectively) or loose it.
>
> As to the FCC, they can easily reassign users at VHF and above as it
> doesn't
> carry world-wide. Those segments are also in jeopardy by big
> business.
> Note that the Supreme Court ruled that local governments can exercise
> their
> right to take property (with compensation to the owners) and sell it
> to
> someone else.
>
> Big business and the Republicans rule.
>
> Next time be careful of who you vote for.
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1119836586.631912.262200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> I doubt it HF is all but useless to the FCC they want peiecs of VHF
>> etc
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > I think anyone over-looking the bigger picture has to suspect that
>> > HF
>> > will, rather quickly, be taken from amateurs. The abundance of
>> > techs is
>> > being created to drop the percentage of hams using HF. At some
>> > point I
>> > suspect a "move" will be made on these all important HF bands and
>> > they
>> > will be removed from amateur service.

>> >
>> > I suspect that techs account for about 50% of activity on the bands
>> > now--when that reaches 66%, and certainly 75%, I think HF will be
>> > pulled...
>> >
>> > Here is Hollingsworth on his "vision(s)", some may interpret it
>> > differently:
>> >
> http://www.wr6wr.com/newSite/articles/features/olderfeatures/longbeach.html
>> >
>> > John
>>
>
>

3782. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 17:35:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ENWve.7$MP2.306287@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110915

Lloyd:

Come back when the effects of those drugs have worn off... if this
condition of your is organic in nature, seek help from a qualified
professional.

Here is wishing for your speedy recovery...

John

"Lloyd" <melloyd@melloydyoudork.com> wrote in message
news:d9pbu4qkv0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1xVve.1$Pq.191580@news.sisna.com...
>> Lloyd:
>>
>> Quit expecting everyone to format your text the way you would like
>> it--if you like it a particular way--format it that way. Get a new
>> generation news reader! Usenet is NOT what it used to be... if you
>> only have a 300 baud modem, upgrade!
>>
>> Next thing you will want is your welfare check delivered with your
>> morning paper!
>>
>> John
>>
>
>
> To paraphrase Stagger Lee, I accept your
> humble apolgy and hope you have learned something.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>

3783. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 18:21:05 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1119896465.111699.114740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110916

John Smith wrote:
> Lloyd:
>
> Come back when the effects of those drugs have worn off... if this
> condition of your is organic in nature, seek help from a qualified
> professional.
>
> Here is wishing for your speedy recovery...
>
> John

No, little girl Lloydie will always be a 6 year old little girl deep down inside.
He also spent a year in an Iowa metnal ward after he tried to kill himself (after stealing money from his mommy's purse and slapping her around). Too bad he didn't succeed.

3784. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 18:22:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119896523.143585.111630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

policy-ham wrote:
> (This is from eham.net and qrz.com)
cut para

> K1MAN, by his own actions, has made himself a very public figure. As a
> public figure, he's been widely discussed by his fellow hams here, on
> QRZ, and elsewhere on the internet, on amateur radio, in court rooms,
> discussed by his employers, his neighbors, discussed by the FCC, at
> CBS, and by the Department of Justice.

So what? John Kerry and GW Bush are public figures each throughly hated
in certian circles (although rarely the same circles)

>
> In reviewing the history of K1MAN and his qualifications to remain a
> Commission licensee, we can go back many years, to May of 1986, when he
> sued the advertising manager of QST and another employee of ARRL for
> conspiring to damage his business reputation.

Ok so?
>
> QST had received a complaint that showed that Baxter's Collins Repair
> and Alignment Service had significantly overcharged a customer beyond
> an amount they had previously agreed upon.
>
> The advertising manager at the time wrote Baxter on QST letterhead,
> saying, "When your service charges escalate from $75 to $225 and then
> to $275 we become very much concerned about the manner in which you are
> treating our members. You will recall that I discussed with you ... the
> highly suspect illegal spending of deposits which members were sending
> to you... unless you can convince me that your operation exists in the
> best interests of our members, we shall be unable to carry further
> advertising from you."
>
> This exchange and the subsequent lawsuit by Baxter (dismissed) may help
> to explain why Baxter has attacked the ARRL and its staff with such
> zeal over the years. He says he wants to make IARN an "Alternative to
> ARRL" but, discerning readers may recognize another agenda.

Ok so he wants an alterenitve to the ARRL? I would like one myself

>
> Some old timers may recall Baxter's lawsuit against the FCC to maintain
> the old AM power output of 1,000 watts. That lawsuit was dismissed
> because Baxter failed to exhaust administrative remedies through the

> FCC before bringing suit. As the old adage goes, it appears there
> really is a drawback to acting as your own attorney.

realy? sounds it saved himself some money
>
> You may also recall Baxter's 10 million dollar lawsuit against the FCC?
> He claimed he was defamed by the alleged suggestion by Riley
> Hollingsworth that he was shut down by the FCC. Baxter reported at the
> time that he had merely taken a break to teach high school. This case
> was also dismissed because Baxter failed to exhaust his administrative
> remedies before bringing suit. Baxter has threatened to sue Riley
> personally, as well as other hams, including this writer. A threat that
> has yet to materialize.
>

Lots of threats are made parts of living these days. Indeed can one
even live much of a life without someone threatening you. It does not
seem so.

> On January 23, 2003, Walter Cronkite's attorney wrote to Baxter asking
> him to stop using Walter's voice ID over K1MAN's bulletin. "Mr.
> Cronkite previously requested that you refrain from any further use of
> the audiotape, or from any suggestion that he endorses your station,
> Association, or other operations." He (Ronald S. Konecky) went on to
> call Baxter's actions "a violation of Mr. Cronkite's rights, totally
> improper, and a cause of serious damage to his name and reputation."

Gald to know ham radio still has such High standing in the world
>
> Baxter's actions, have not endeared him to CBS, The Maine Department of
> Justice, Walter Cronkite, the FCC, or the countless hams who have
> complained about Baxter's relentless interference to their ongoing
> communications.

ok does sound like folks don't like him
>
> When Baxter began to send out his self-styled notices of Felony
> Affidavit Complaints to those he perceived as interfering with his
> bulletin service, it really hit the fan.
>
> On January 5th, 1995, Jay McCloskey, the U.S. Attorney at the Maine
> Department of Justice wrote to Baxter, telling him, "It is against the
> law to write and mail this type of threatening communication,
> especially when it has no basis in fact. If you continue sending this
> type of letter, you may be subject to Federal criminal charges for
> misrepresentation and for using the mails to make threats. You may also
> jeopardize your amateur radio license; the FCC can use evidence of this
> type of conduct to demonstrate that you no longer meet the character
> requirements for a license."

the quote is inconsistant it says it is illegeal and then goes on to
say it may be ilegal may jeopardize etc.

>
> Baxter responded to this letter on January 9, 1995 by writing to the
> Assistant US Attorney, stating, "Your blatant and malicious abuse of
> the power vested in you by the citizens of the United States is
> despicable, unethical, criminal and unprofessional." Baxter went on to
> demand a retraction, which, of course, he never received.

Ok not so I agree beyond calling it unprofessional but that is matter
of opinion

>
> Baxter has hardly endeared himself politically to the members of the
> Maine Department of Justice, but the lack of political goodwill doesn't
> stop there. On January 23, 1995, FCC Counsel John Greenspan wrote to
> Baxter regarding an insulting letter he wrote to the Assistant US
> Attorney, "Your reply suggests to me that you may very well lack the
> character qualifications to be an FCC licensee. You certainly lack the
> maturity, but that is, unfortunately, not grounds for revocation. If it
> is ultimately determined that you have made improper threats, that
> determination could result in a hearing to examine your fitness to
> remain an FCC license and/or criminal prosecution."

"If it is determined" then FCC is not saying it was improper (impling
it a better choice for a Lawyer than the letter of McCloskey
>
> John Greenspan went on to add, "Although you have not used lawyers in
> the past, I suggest that you consult with an attorney knowledgeable in
> FCC procedures about your alleged conduct. To provide some incentive
> for you to do this, let me say that if you wish to speak with me for
> any reason, it must be through an attorney. I will not accept any calls
> or letters from you personally."

Given that the FCC Consel has started this contact, I question wether
or not the FCC Consel was in afct violating either the law or the
cannon of legal ethics. People have the right to be there own consel. I
may question Baxter Wisdom in representing himself but not his right

>
> Not exactly a love letter is it?

No your point
>
> Over the past 5 years Baxter has been contacted by the FCC on numerous
> occasions and his station has been inspected by the FCC. Baxter says he
> has received FOUR (4) NAL's to date. The FCC has writtent to him about
> allegations of broadcasting, deliberate interference, failure to
> identify, poor signal quality, erratic starting and stopping times,
> recording conversations without permission, and the list goes on...

and this emans the due procees of law is grinding its way through
>
> Even Baxter's neighbors are not immune from his tendency toward trying
> to use the courts to settle what some might consider silly disputes

> that would be better handled by a personal phone call. More often than
> not, Baxter has lost in the courts due to procedural defects in his
> lawsuits. He is not, contrary to a popular myth, fabulously wealthy, or
> a lawyer.

so?
>
> According to staff at the IRS, his IARN charity did not even make
> enough money to require that he file taxes last year. An underfunded
> organization like IARN that engages in irresponsible activities like
> calling for jump teams to visit disaster areas who don't even request
> assistance, does not seem to me, to be an alternative to the ARRL,
> except perhaps in the mind of Mr. Baxter.

this is at worst statement of principles and plans certainly within his
rights
>
> On May 4, 1983, Baxter sued a neighbor of his, Camp Runoia, a camp for
> little girls, because they stacked cordwood on the side of the road.
> The case was dismissed. The camp had removed the wood prior to the case
> being heard.

Ok your point. he like many americans engages in litagation
>
> Baxter's other neighbors have apparently also had reason for concern
> regarding his allegedly threatening behavior. According to reports
> gleaned from court records in December of 2003, Baxter was dismissed
> from his job (fired) as station engineer for FM 95.3 in Augusta, Maine.
> When he applied for unemployment compensation, the attorney for the
> station advised his clients not to attend the unemployment hearing.
>
> "Because Mr. Baxter has demonstrated offensive behavior similar to that
> which prompted his termination, I have advised the Bouchards not to
> attend Monday's hearing. I indicated to you in our conversation that
> the Bouchards' fear for their safety as a result of Mr. Baxter's
> threatening behavior. Mr. Bouchard terminated Mr. Baxter from
> employment when Mr. Baxter repeatedly acted in a threatening manner to
> his supervisor and to other employess at the job site.Mr. Baxter
> demonstrated his inability to act responsibly and to exert self-contol
> at the last hearing."
>
> The attorney (Robert J. Stolt of Lipman, Katz & McKee) goes on to say,
> "Payments of benefits to Mr. Baxter is a lesser evil than someone being
> harmed by him."
>
> Baxter sued regarding these comments, but the court held that the
> comments made by the attorney were completely privileged in nature and
> were therefore protected by law.

I likely would have sued regardin them myself OTOH I do enough about
the law to undersatnd the result but a better action might have been to

complain to Bar assoication

However I am glad to hear he tried the action of the Attorney were
clearly borish and sometimes it is neccasary to act go down in flames
on principle

>
> Ironically, Baxter's objections have ensured that they will reside in
> the annals of the Maine courts in perpetuity, for anyone with the
> desire to read them.
>
> For all of these reasons, and the reasons in the present NAL, I will
> sign the petition to deny license renewal to K1MAN. I don't believe
> K1MAN is capable of engaging in good Amatuer practice, as we are all
> compelled by law to do.
>
> Although I hold no malice toward Mr. Baxter personally, and I believe
> him when he says he suffers from mental illness, I believe that Mr.
> Baxter has already amply proven that he does not deserve to remain a
> Commision licensee.
>
> K1MAN has pending enforcement issues. K1MAN has already been warned by
> the FCC that he may be referred to the ALJ due to ongoing enforcement
> issues.

he may be refered, meaning it seem he isn't even indicted yet

>
> K1MAN's renewal anniversary is on October 17th of this year. Here is an
> excerpt from an FCC letter to K1MAN dated January 29, 2002.
>
> "The rules of the Amateur Service are straightforward and easy to
> understand. To the extent that you do not comply with Commission rules
> regarding the Amateur Radio Service, then to that extent enforcement
> action will be taken against your licenses. That enforcement action may
> include revocation of your station license, suspension of your operator
> license, a modification proceeding to restrict your operating
> privileges, or monetary forfeiture.

BTW this letter would require that the FCC Conel accept a letter from
Baxter if he chooses to write it

>
> It is also important for you to understand that if these matters are
> not resolved, your operator/primary station licenses will not be
> routinely renewed; but instead will be designated for hearing before an
> Administrative Law Judge. In such a proceeding, you would have the
> burden of proof to show that your licenses should be renewed."
>
> It's time for Baxter and all of his associates to stand before a Judge.

there prehaps you are right, sometimes things are best taken to court

3785. Re: It appear Saggytits Lee has his head up he ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 18:29:15 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1119896955.158050.148590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110918
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Secret Saggytits Lee whined:
> On 25 Jun 2005 05:14:53 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote from Google:
> :
> : Poor Saggytits the texass twat, always a whining loser!
>
> (much whining) ......Woger

Learn to control your lisp from sucking those little boy dicks,
Saggytits. I notice though, that you are so stupid you can't figure out
that I was making fun of Texass, er Texas. But you are the Texass twat.
Hear back from birch.net yet?

3786. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 18:30:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <JBXve.20$fH4.442538@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

old friend:

You wrote, "So what? John Kerry and GW Bush are public figures each throughly hated in certian circles (although rarely the same circles)"

Frankly, I'd say it was a "staged fight", with both owned by the same "promoters."

John

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1119896523.143585.111630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> policy-ham wrote:
>> (This is from eham.net and qrz.com)
> cut para
>
>> K1MAN, by his own actions, has made himself a very public figure. As
>> a
>> public figure, he's been widely discussed by his fellow hams here, on
>> QRZ, and elsewhere on the internet, on amateur radio, in court rooms,
>> discussed by his employers, his neighbors, discussed by the FCC, at
>> CBS, and by the Department of Justice.
>
> So what? John Kerry and GW Bush are public figures each throughly
> hated
> in certian circles (although rarely the same circles)
>
>>
>> In reviewing the history of K1MAN and his qualifications to remain a
>> Commission licensee, we can go back many years, to May of 1986, when
>> he
>> sued the advertising manager of QST and another employee of ARRL for
>> conspiring to damage his business reputation.
>
> Ok so?
>>
>> QST had received a complaint that showed that Baxter's Collins Repair
>> and Alignment Service had significantly overcharged a customer beyond
>> an amount they had previously agreed upon.
>>
>> The advertising manager at the time wrote Baxter on QST letterhead,
>> saying, "When your service charges escalate from $75 to $225 and then

>> to $275 we become very much concerned about the manner in which you
>> are
>> treating our members. You will recall that I discussed with you ...
>> the
>> highly suspect illegal spending of deposits which members were
>> sending
>> to you... unless you can convince me that your operation exists in
>> the
>> best interests of our members, we shall be unable to carry further
>> advertising from you."
>>
>> This exchange and the subsequent lawsuit by Baxter (dismissed) may
>> help
>> to explain why Baxter has attacked the ARRL and its staff with such
>> zeal over the years. He says he wants to make IARN an "Alternative to
>> ARRL" but, discerning readers may recognize another agenda.
>
> Ok so he wants an alterenitve to the ARRL? I would like one myself
>
>>
>> Some old timers may recall Baxter's lawsuit against the FCC to
>> maintain
>> the old AM power output of 1,000 watts. That lawsuit was dismissed
>> because Baxter failed to exhaust administrative remedies through the
>> FCC before bringing suit. As the old adage goes, it appears there
>> really is a drawback to acting as your own attorney.
>
> realy? sounds it saved himself some money
>>
>> You may also recall Baxter's 10 million dollar lawsuit against the
>> FCC?
>> He claimed he was defamed by the alleged suggestion by Riley
>> Hollingsworth that he was shut down by the FCC. Baxter reported at
>> the
>> time that he had merely taken a break to teach high school. This case
>> was also dismissed because Baxter failed to exhaust his
>> administrative
>> remedies before bringing suit. Baxter has threatened to sue Riley
>> personally, as well as other hams, including this writer. A threat
>> that
>> has yet to materialize.
>>
>
> Lots of threats are made parts of living these days. Indeed can one
> even live much of a life without someone threatening you. It does not
> seem so.
>
>> On January 23, 2003, Walter Cronkite's attorney wrote to Baxter
>> asking
>> him to stop using Walter's voice ID over K1MAN's bulletin. "Mr.

>> Cronkite previously requested that you refrain from any further use
>> of
>> the audiotape, or from any suggestion that he endorses your station,
>> Association, or other operations." He (Ronald S. Konecky) went on to
>> call Baxter's actions "a violation of Mr. Cronkite's rights, totally
>> improper, and a cause of serious damage to his name and reputation."
>
> Gald to know ham radio still has such High standing in the world
>>
>> Baxter's actions, have not endeared him to CBS, The Maine Department
>> of
>> Justice, Walter Cronkite, the FCC, or the countless hams who have
>> complained about Baxter's relentless interference to their ongoing
>> communications.
>
> ok does sound like folks don't like him
>>
>> When Baxter began to send out his self-styled notices of Felony
>> Affidavit Complaints to those he perceived as interfering with his
>> bulletin service, it really hit the fan.
>>
>> On January 5th, 1995, Jay McCloskey, the U.S. Attorney at the Maine
>> Department of Justice wrote to Baxter, telling him, "It is against
>> the
>> law to write and mail this type of threatening communication,
>> especially when it has no basis in fact. If you continue sending this
>> type of letter, you may be subject to Federal criminal charges for
>> misrepresentation and for using the mails to make threats. You may
>> also
>> jeopardize your amateur radio license; the FCC can use evidence of
>> this
>> type of conduct to demonstrate that you no longer meet the character
>> requirements for a license."
>
> the quote is inconsistant it says it is illegeal and then goes on to
> say it may be ilegal may jeopardize etc.
>
>>
>> Baxter responded to this letter on January 9, 1995 by writing to the
>> Assistant US Attorney, stating, "Your blatant and malicious abuse of
>> the power vested in you by the citizens of the United States is
>> despicable, unethical, criminal and unprofessional." Baxter went on
>> to
>> demand a retraction, which, of course, he never received.
>
> Ok not so I agree beyond calling it unprofessional but that is matter
> of opinion
>
>>
>> Baxter has hardly endeared himself politically to the members of the

>> Maine Department of Justice, but the lack of political goodwill
>> doesn't
>> stop there. On January 23, 1995, FCC Counsel John Greenspan wrote to
>> Baxter regarding an insulting letter he wrote to the Assistant US
>> Attorney, "Your reply suggests to me that you may very well lack the
>> character qualifications to be an FCC licensee. You certainly lack
>> the
>> maturity, but that is, unfortunately, not grounds for revocation. If
>> it
>> is ultimately determined that you have made improper threats, that
>> determination could result in a hearing to examine your fitness to
>> remain an FCC license and/or criminal prosecution."
>
> "If it is determined" then FCC is not saying it was improper (impling
> it a better choice for a Lawyer than the letter of McCloskey
>>
>> John Greenspan went on to add, "Although you have not used lawyers in
>> the past, I suggest that you consult with an attorney knowledgeable
>> in
>> FCC procedures about your alleged conduct. To provide some incentive
>> for you to do this, let me say that if you wish to speak with me for
>> any reason, it must be through an attorney. I will not accept any
>> calls
>> or letters from you personally."
>
> Given that the FCC Consel has started this contact, I question wether
> or not the FCC Consel was in afct violating either the law or the
> cannon of legal ethics. People have the right to be there own consel.
> I
> may question Baxter Wisdom in representing himself but not his right
>
>>
>> Not exactly a love letter is it?
>
> No your point
>>
>> Over the past 5 years Baxter has been contacted by the FCC on
>> numerous
>> occasions and his station has been inspected by the FCC. Baxter says
>> he
>> has received FOUR (4) NAL's to date. The FCC has writtent to him
>> about
>> allegations of broadcasting, deliberate interference, failure to
>> identify, poor signal quality, erratic starting and stopping times,
>> recording conversations without permission, and the list goes on...
>
> and this emans the due procees of law is grinding its way through
>>
>> Even Baxter's neighbors are not immune from his tendency toward
>> trying

>> to use the courts to settle what some might consider silly disputes
>> that would be better handled by a personal phone call. More often
>> than
>> not, Baxter has lost in the courts due to procedural defects in his
>> lawsuits. He is not, contrary to a popular myth, fabulously wealthy,
>> or
>> a lawyer.
>
> so?
>>
>> According to staff at the IRS, his IARN charity did not even make
>> enough money to require that he file taxes last year. An underfunded
>> organization like IARN that engages in irresponsible activities like
>> calling for jump teams to visit disaster areas who don't even request
>> assistance, does not seem to me, to be an alternative to the ARRL,
>> except perhaps in the mind of Mr. Baxter.
>
> this is at worst statement of principles and plans certainly within
> his
> rights
>>
>> On May 4, 1983, Baxter sued a neighbor of his, Camp Runoia, a camp
>> for
>> little girls, because they stacked cordwood on the side of the road.
>> The case was dismissed. The camp had removed the wood prior to the
>> case
>> being heard.
>
> Ok your point. he like many americans engages in litagation
>>
>> Baxter's other neighbors have apparently also had reason for concern
>> regarding his allegedly threatening behavior. According to reports
>> gleaned from court records in December of 2003, Baxter was dismissed
>> from his job (fired) as station engineer for FM 95.3 in Augusta,
>> Maine.
>> When he applied for unemployment compensation, the attorney for the
>> station advised his clients not to attend the unemployment hearing.
>>
>> "Because Mr. Baxter has demonstrated offensive behavior similar to
>> that
>> which prompted his termination, I have advised the Bouchards not to
>> attend Monday's hearing. I indicated to you in our conversation that
>> the Bouchards' fear for their safety as a result of Mr. Baxter's
>> threatening behavior. Mr. Bouchard terminated Mr. Baxter from
>> employment when Mr. Baxter repeatedly acted in a threatening manner
>> to
>> his supervisor and to other employess at the job site.Mr. Baxter
>> demonstrated his inability to act responsibly and to exert
>> self-contol
>> at the last hearing."

>>
>> The attorney (Robert J. Stolt of Lipman, Katz & McKee) goes on to
>> say,
>> "Payments of benefits to Mr. Baxter is a lesser evil than someone
>> being
>> harmed by him."
>>
>> Baxter sued regarding these comments, but the court held that the
>> comments made by the attorney were completely privileged in nature
>> and
>> were therefore protected by law.
>
> I likely would have sued regardin them myself OTOH I do enough about
> the law to undersatnd the result but a better action might have been
> to
> complain to Bar assoication
>
> However I am glad to hear he tried the action of the Attorney were
> clearly borish and sometimes it is neccasary to act go down in flames
> on principle
>
>>
>> Ironically, Baxter's objections have ensured that they will reside in
>> the annals of the Maine courts in perpetuity, for anyone with the
>> desire to read them.
>>
>> For all of these reasons, and the reasons in the present NAL, I will
>> sign the petition to deny license renewal to K1MAN. I don't believe
>> K1MAN is capable of engaging in good Amatuer practice, as we are all
>> compelled by law to do.
>>
>> Although I hold no malice toward Mr. Baxter personally, and I believe
>> him when he says he suffers from mental illness, I believe that Mr.
>> Baxter has already amply proven that he does not deserve to remain a
>> Commision licensee.
>>
>> K1MAN has pending enforcement issues. K1MAN has already been warned
>> by
>> the FCC that he may be referred to the ALJ due to ongoing enforcement
>> issues.
>
> he may be refered, meaning it seem he isn't even indicted yet
>
>>
>> K1MAN's renewal anniversary is on October 17th of this year. Here is
>> an
>> excerpt from an FCC letter to K1MAN dated January 29, 2002.
>>
>> "The rules of the Amateur Service are straightforward and easy to
>> understand. To the extent that you do not comply with Commission

>> rules
>> regarding the Amateur Radio Service, then to that extent enforcement
>> action will be taken against your licenses. That enforcement action
>> may
>> include revocation of your station license, suspension of your
>> operator
>> license, a modification proceeding to restrict your operating
>> privileges, or monetary forfeiture.
>
> BTW this letter would require that the FCC Conel accept a letter from
> Baxter if he chooses to write it
>
>>
>> It is also important for you to understand that if these matters are
>> not resolved, your operator/primary station licenses will not be
>> routinely renewed; but instead will be designated for hearing before
>> an
>> Administrative Law Judge. In such a proceeding, you would have the
>> burden of proof to show that your licenses should be renewed."
>>
>> It's time for Baxter and all of his associates to stand before a
>> Judge.
>
> there prehaps you are right, sometimes things are best taken to court
>

3787. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 18:31:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119897073.332740.149830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110920

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Leo wrote:
> > On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > >Leo wrote:
> > >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> >What would you suggest?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >
> > >> >What do you suggest?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >> >
> > >> >What do you suggest?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >>
> > >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >>
> > >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >> >
> > >> >Then what do you suggest I do?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >> >
> > >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >> >
> > >> >What do you suggest I do?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >> >What would you suggest?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >> >What do you suggest I do, Leo?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >

> > >> >What do you suggest?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >
> > >> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >
> > >> >And your suggestion is?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >> >
> > >> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
> > >>
> > >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> > >>
> > >In a word: No.
> > >
> > >It's not rather obvious, Leo.

abreak to try and get google to frmat as I choose here goes
> >
> > Sorry to hear that, Jim. How simplified do you need things to be?
>
> It's not about simplification, Leo. It's about clarity.
>
> Why can't you just clearly and plainly state what you
> suggest that I do?

Jim he can he just chooses not to He also doesn't have to

>
> > >What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
> > >answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
> > >
> > >What's your suggestion?
> >
> > The way I see it, you have two options:
> >
> > 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
> > 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>
> OK so far.
>
> > Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
> > in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
> > someone's strings....
>
> That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you suggest *I*
> take.

No He leaves you with the free choice adknownledges and respcts you
Rights to decide for yourself what to do

> >
> > Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
> > intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
> > considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
> > you know you are in the right.
>
> So what do you suggest *I* do?

Clearly he and I for that matter suggest that you take a close look at
your options

>
> > Owned or free.
>
> That's your spin.
> >
> > The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>
> So there *is* a choice!

certainly he has gone and on about the fact you have a choice,

>
> > Choose wisely!
>
> Wise by whose standards?

Any you choose to follow

>
> How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical terms?
> What actions do you suggest?

I should think he has made it clear that he does not intend to tell you
how to think or how to act. and the He (and I) claim the right same
right ourselves

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

3788. Re: It appear Saggytits Lee has his head up he ass!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 18:36:43 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1119897403.555158.7160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110921
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who's Your Daddy, Birch.net's latest TOS recipient? whined:
> Poor (IKYABWAI)

Poor Lardass pedo, you never get any.

> You'd think

Too bad you never do. Hear back from birch.net yet?
Did the Sarasota newspaper get in touch with Michael Herbert Blevins
again yet. After all, he went to a neo-Nazi rally in Virginia and for a
very short time, has a daycare in his house on Linden Drive in
Sarasota, FL. That is, until this latest info gets to the daycare
licensing authorities in Florida. Not to mention how the N.I.M. buster
sites are a clearinghouse for terrorism in the U.S. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>his birth mother,

And yet you can't prove he was adopted.
Poor Who's your daddy, never backs up what he claims.

> AOL, Stratuswave, MSN, etc. he'd get the point.

The only point is on top of your malformed head, pedo.

Roger wasn't on AOL or MSN. Looks like you need a refund on your Junior
Dickless Tracy detective kit, Fuck up.

3789. Re: Is Interpol helping track down Woger posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 18:40:30 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1119897630.550671.245690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110923
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Saggytits Lee wrote out of his obvious ignorant fat ass:
> A number of anonymous remailers have been bugged by local police, and
> their computers have been compromised. (Inane bullshit and complete ignorance of how remailers actually work flushed)

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..Your fucking stupidity knows no bounds, does it, Saggytits?

3790. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 18:41:38 UTC
From: Lloyd <melloyd@melloydyoudork.com>
Message-ID: <d9ph2b$88k$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110922

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:ENWve.7$MP2.306287@news.sisna.com...
> Lloyd:
>
> Come back when the effects of those drugs have worn off... if this
> condition of your is organic in nature, seek help from a qualified
> professional.
>
> Here is wishing for your speedy recovery...
>
> John
>

An additional humble apology from you is not necessary.
I accepted your first apology. Now it appears you may
not have learned anything. Sad.

73,

Lloyd

3791. Re: Is Interpol helping track down Woger posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 18:43:09 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1119897789.034520.141900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110925
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lardass posing as his real self a woman he named Holly (not unlike when Lardass poses as "Christy Davies") whined:

> Conj (Much whining and licking of Saggytits' fat ass)

Stupid Lardass Davies poses as his true self, a woman and whines and fucks up as usual. Poor Lardass, how does it feel to bealways wrong, Porky?

3792. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 18:44:03 UTC
From: Lloyd <melloyd@melloydyoudork.com>
Message-ID: <d9ph6s$8id$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110924

<lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1119896465.111699.114740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> Lloyd:
>>
>> Come back when the effects of those drugs have worn off... if this
>> condition of your is organic in nature, seek help from a qualified
>> professional.
>>
>> Here is wishing for your speedy recovery...
>>
>> John
>
>
> No, little girl Lloydie will always be a 6 year old little girl deep
> down inside.
> He also spent a year in an Iowa metnal ward after he tried to kill
> himself (after stealing money from his mommy's purse and slapping her
> around). Too bad he didn't succeed.
>

Oh my John, I had no idea that Woger was your fwiend.
All bets are now off. I am shocked that you keep such
company. It says much about you.

73,

Lloyd

3793. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 18:46:29 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1119897989.563894.200800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110926

Lloyd swallowed some of his african american HUD apartment complex
neighbor's cum and whined:

> <lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1119896465.111699.114740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > John Smith wrote:
> >> Lloyd:
> >>
> >> Come back when the effects of those drugs have worn off... if this
> >> condition of your is organic in nature, seek help from a qualified
> >> professional.
> >>
> >> Here is wishing for your speedy recovery...
> >>
> >> John
> >
> >
> > No, little girl Lloydie will always be a 6 year old little girl deep
> > down inside.
> > He also spent a year in an Iowa metnal ward after he tried to kill
> > himself (after stealing money from his mommy's purse and slapping her
> > around). Too bad he didn't succeed.
> >
>
>
> Oh my John, I had no idea that Woger was your fwiend.

Hey, Lardass get help for your lisp from cocksucking, you 31 year old
virgin (because you are a FAGGOT).

3794. Re: Kid's Day (Was: Why not more young'uns in Ham radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 18:50:41 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1119898241.601055.282620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110927

Not Roger wrote:
> "Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:w5SdnUHiYK79gSPfRVn-og@gbronline.com...
> Stagger Lee, Childraper wrote:
> > . Hear back from gbronline yet, you owned bitch?
> >
> >
>
> Sure haven't 2test fatboy.

Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Oh, you will, bitch boy, you will.

>
> Why (lisping Lardass, 31 year old virgin and eternal pizza delivery BOY because he can't get any better of a job whines flushed)

Poor Lardass, still has a lisp from sucking all hispanic neighbors in
his HUD apartment complex off.

3795. Re: cmsg cancel <- So long, Woger<-showing off that cocksucking lisp, Lardass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 18:52:51 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1119898371.259719.67380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110928
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not Roger Too wrote:
> Unoriginal and juvenile, Roger.

Wrong guess, dumbfuck. It sure did make you whine huh, cuntflap?

> "Your tongue wouldn't have a place to rest, huh, Club Aids the
> FAGGOT?"
> Ro

LLoyd Davies

lloyd_vfp@msn.com
n0vfp@bellsouth.net

3796. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 19:05:58 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <q6Yve.12969$VK4.3948@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110929

Lloyd wrote:
> If you are going to mouth off, stop top posting, and use the
> correct headers.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:RCJve.68$AJ5.2565249@news.sisna.com...

Just like you top-posted? ....

3797. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 19:41:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <BEYve.31$I37.783405@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 110930

Lloyd:

Mixing LSD with Psilocybin and Mescaline is dangerous--I must caution
against such abuse...

John

"Lloyd" <melloyd@melloydyoudork.com> wrote in message
news:d9ph2b$88k$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ENWve.7$MP2.306287@news.sisna.com...
>> Lloyd:
>>
>> Come back when the effects of those drugs have worn off... if this
>> condition of your is organic in nature, seek help from a qualified
>> professional.
>>
>> Here is wishing for your speedy recovery...
>>
>> John
>>
>
>
> An additional humble apology from you is not necessary.
> I accepted your first apology. Now it appears you may
> not have learned anything. Sad.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>
>

3798. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 20:53:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119905604.371557.237820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110931

nice to see that you own up to being a desndant of thieves there
yourself

3799. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 20:57:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <SKZve.340$pg.187@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 110932

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119892041.667767.127050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > The HF bands are, many times, international in scope. This story is not
> > about the FCC, it is about international agreements.
> >
> > What is said in the story is true; many 3rd world countries would love
80
> > meters as it would provide cheap communications (they don't have to pay
to
> > build all the infrastructure of a telephone system to cover their
country).
> > If the world community decides that 80/75 meters is to no longer be an
> > amateur allocations, the FCC will have nothing to say about it.
>
> If the world decides and we decide to go along with it and...
> >
> > If you look at cell phones, you might get an idea of the extent of the
> > problem. In developed countries, cell phones have become big business.
In
> > the U.S., every teenager "needs" one. It takes a lot of cell phone
towers
> > to provide service, not to mention ever increasing needs of frequencies.
> >
> > I believe that when I was first licensed (in 1962) amateurs could use
any
> > frequency above 30 GHz. There was little gear that could function at
all at
> > that frequency and dx records could be measured in yards or a few miles.
> > Nowadays, there are some amateur bands intermingled with other segments
> > going up to 300 GHz, at which point amateurs can use anything above 300
GHz.
> > 300 GHz in far infra-red light!
> >
> > Somehow, communications devices are going to have to become more
efficient
> > at using available frequencies (amateurs included). Even assuming they
do
> > (and they have become more band-width friendly), there will be pressure
on
> > all users to use it (effectively) or loose it.
>

> agreed which is agood resaon to stopp using Morse code and realy use HF
> >
> > As to the FCC, they can easily reassign users at VHF and above as it
doesn't
> > carry world-wide. Those segments are also in jeopardy by big business.
> > Note that the Supreme Court ruled that local governments can exercise
their
> > right to take property (with compensation to the owners) and sell it to
> > someone else.
> >
> > Big business and the Republicans rule.
> >
> > Next time be careful of who you vote for.
> >
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1119836586.631912.262200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > I doubt it HF is all but useless to the FCC they want peiecs of VHF
etc
> > > John Smith wrote:
> > > > I think anyone over-looking the bigger picture has to suspect that
HF
> > > > will, rather quickly, be taken from amateurs. The abundance of
techs is
> > > > being created to drop the percentage of hams using HF. At some
point I
> > > > suspect a "move" will be made on these all important HF bands and
they
> > > > will be removed from amateur service.
> > > >
> > > > I suspect that techs account for about 50% of activity on the bands
> > > > now--when that reaches 66%, and certainly 75%, I think HF will be
> > > > pulled...
> > > >
> > > > Here is Hollingsworth on his "vision(s)", some may interpret it
> > > > differently:
> > > >
> > >
http://www.wr6wr.com/newSite/articles/features/olderfeatures/longbeach.html
> > > >
> > > > John
> > >
>

Other than PSK-31, name me a mode that takes less bandwidth than Morse code.

The silence is deafening.

Morse is very spectrum efficient; it only uses perhaps 100 Hertz (200 with harsher keying to accommodate high speeds). SSB occupies up to 3,000 Hz, enough room to accommodate 30 Morse QSOs. AM occupies more than twice the space of SSB. FM occupies even more (which is why it is restricted to the upper portion of 10 meters and VHF and above).

Your point about the world and "if the FCC decides to go along with it" has no bearing. The FCC will have *nothing* to say about world-wide allocations on HF and below. The United States is but one voice of many. Majority rule s. The FCC can hand out authorizations based upon the framework of the world agreements, but they can't step outside of that framework. Should the world take away the 75/80 meter amateur allocation, the FCC could *not* allocate those frequencies to American hams.

Of course, if you are a die-hard Republican, you either won't or can't understand that concept. The spectrum below 30 MHz is decided between many countries.

Unless, of course, those countries are hiding all those weapons of mass destruction :))) In that case, maybe we could get involved in a 10 or 20 year war. Good for business, I guess.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3800. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 21:04:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <qRZve.288$9g.82@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 110933

Hello, John

You are correct - HF (and MF) amateur radio is not isolated. Signals cross international boundaries. As to "sovereign nation, it sounds good and is, except that what we are discussing crosses international boundaries. The international agreements will have to happen - or would you prefer that Radio Moscow rear it's head on a directional array running 5,000,000 watts in the middle of our AM broadcast band?

VHF and above does not often stray far (although the stuff from 30 MHz to perhaps a bit above 6 meters can and does at times, especially during the peak of the sunspot cycle); therefore the FCC is very free to rearrange things that don't affect satellite transmission/reception.

Heck, when you said "one world order", I thought you were going to mention our friend, GW

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:LKWve.6$Mo.183454@news.sisna.com...
> Jim:
>
> Yes, you touch on globalization and "one world order" and/or "new order"
> (hey, wasn't that a phrase invented by Adolph Hitler's klick?)
>
> Seems like a little more thought on "sovereign nation" and just exactly
> what that is might be to our best interest.
>
> Also, seems with each passing day "they" are anxious to give us reasons
> why we should lose respect for gov't and authority and, the effects of
> this are rather frightening--it even touches my neighborhood--a place
> which was once safe and secure.
>
> I can hardly see how this is not having an effect on this great
> hobby--amateur radio is not an isolated island.
>
> John

3801. point of info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 21:20:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119907215.392064.156520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110934

What are the dates on the current valid tech question pool. my partner has been looking at a license. Is the pool about to change etc?

3802. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 21:35:22 UTC
From: Lloyd <pizza@lloyddistman.net>
Message-ID: <d9pr87$u5d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110935

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:BEYve.31$I37.783405@news.sisna.com...
> Lloyd:
>
> Mixing LSD with Psilocybin and Mescaline is dangerous--I must caution
> against such abuse...
>
> John

John,

You apologized twice, when only once was necessary. Now you
are groveling. AND, worst of all, Secwet Woger is your fwiend.
I cannot help you any further, perhaps someone else here can.

73,

Lloyd

3803. Re: Saggytits Lee ahows again he doesn't know how remailers work.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 21:58:09 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119909489.922622.316740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110936
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.flame,alt.alien.vampire.flonk.flonk.flonk

Hey Woger, what the fuck is "ahows"? Learn to speak English you bed
wetting
mommy beater.

3804. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 22:07:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119910069.867949.89100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110937

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Although several years ago, Riley's comments are worth a look:
>
> "This Amateur Radio service is serious business."
>
> Not once in the speech is the word "hobby" used.

Must be why this newsgroup is on "rec" along with all the other
hobbies.

> "...I know that when a natural disaster hits, they're [cell towers]
> the first ones to go down. And the few that are remaining are jammed
> and you can't get access. The people who are going to be taking care
> of the real communications are sitting right here in this room. It's
> the Amateur Radio service. And in the first few days, or the first few
> hours of these multi-jurisdictional incidents, it's the amateurs who
> keep things going."

Perhaps.

> "There was a big newspaper chain that had a reporter with headphones on
> listening to the hurricane emergency net. That made us nervous. The
> last thing we wanted was some bad publicity for the Amateur Radio
> service anywhere."

Can't newspaper reporters read Morse Code?

> "Our people that go to these ITU meetings will tell us that it's
> often a personal embarassment to them when these countries play back
> tapes of what they hear on 75 and 20 Meters in the American amateur
> bands."

Shirley they're not embarassed by what comes across headline news about
their country. It's only when some old fhart on 75/20M gets riled and
makes a fool of himself that causes national embarassment in America.

> On the American 'phone subbands. Not CW/data subbands...

The Coders should take over the phone bands. Revolution!

> "It puts them in a very difficult position when they have to defend
> examples of conduct that other countries hear."

What's wrong with them???

They shouldn't defend it. They should condemn it.

> Should be "'nuff said".....
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Should be, but never is....

3805. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 22:11:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119910307.706893.5390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110938

Jim Hampton wrote:
> The HF bands are, many times, international in scope. This story is not
> about the FCC, it is about international agreements.

It's about both, really.
>
> What is said in the story is true; many 3rd world countries would love 80
> meters as it would provide cheap communications (they don't have to pay to
> build all the infrastructure of a telephone system to cover their country).
> If the world community decides that 80/75 meters is to no longer be an
> amateur allocations, the FCC will have nothing to say about it.

The US delegation to the radio conferences looks to FCC for input,
though. (Not just FCC, of course.) There's a big difference between
what happens if FCC says that 75 is such a mess it isn't worth
defending at the conferences versus the reverse.

I think the main point of all this is that it's really up to *us hams*
to
show that we're worth our allocations. That's one reason on-air
behavior really matters!

There's also a lesson in human nature in there, too. When Rudy Giuliani
was elected mayor of NYC, one of his priorities was enforcement of
"minor" laws,
like going after turnstile-jumpers, graffiti and trash/rubbish
violations. Some people asked if tax money wouldn't be better spent
going after drug dealers and murderers. RG's theory was that if you
allow the "little stuff" to get slack, the big stuff gets slack too. In
any event, NYC's crime, large and small, went down.

Same principle applies on the ham bands. But FCC can't do it all -
there's the question of what we hams consider acceptable behavior. FCC
enforcement is complaint-driven - just ask K1MAN. A key factor in his
case is that many,
many hams complained about his violations over a long period of time.

> If you look at cell phones, you might get an idea of the extent of the
> problem. In developed countries, cell phones have become big business. In
> the U.S., every teenager "needs" one. It takes a lot of cell phone towers
> to provide service, not to mention ever increasing needs of frequencies.

Right - but those will be VHF/UHF, not HF.

> I believe that when I was first licensed (in 1962) amateurs could use any
> frequency above 30 GHz. There was little gear that could function at all at
> that frequency and dx records could be measured in yards or a few miles.

> Nowadays, there are some amateur bands intermingled with other segments
> going up to 300 GHz, at which point amateurs can use anything above 300 GHz.
> 300 GHz in far infra-red light!

Sure - but isn't that the way it's always been?

Back in 1912, hams had access to "200 meters and down" (note - that did
not mean any ham could use any frequency above 1500 kHz! It meant that
individual hams could apply for, and receive, licenses to use specific
frequencies above 1500. So could anyone else, but the professionals and
experts of the time thought those frequencies were useless for
long-distance communications.

When HF was carved into bands, US hams had lots of room. Before 1929,
40 meters was 7000 to 8000 kHz and 20 meters was 14,000 to 16,000 kHz -
exact harmonics of 80!

> Somehow, communications devices are going to have to become more efficient
> at using available frequencies (amateurs included). Even assuming they do
> (and they have become more band-width friendly), there will be pressure on
> all users to use it (effectively) or loose it.

I agree up to a point.

Most of the communications revolution has nothing to do with radio.
It's all about fiber optics. Heck, I bet that the vast majority of
telecommunications today (in terms of bits/mile) does *not* go by
radio, but by copper or glass. Cell phones, Wi-Fi, etc. are simply a
way of getting the last mile without a wire or fiber. Broadcasting as
we knew it will probably morph into something aimed mostly at mobile
users.

Heck, the real value of HF (as perceived by regulators) is demonstrated
by BPL.

> As to the FCC, they can easily reassign users at VHF and above as it doesn't
> carry world-wide.

Some of our bands are not protected by treaty, others are. 144-146 is
worldwide exclusive amateur, FCC can't touch it without a treaty
change. 146-148 could be reallocated whenever FCC feels like it.

> Those segments are also in jeopardy by big business.
> Note that the Supreme Court ruled that local governments can exercise their
> right to take property (with compensation to the owners) and sell it to
> someone else.

They took eminent domain to a new high - or low.

Think what it means! Once it was the case that your house could be
taken to build a public works project (road, school, bridge) on the
theory that the public good demanded it. Now the Supremes are saying
that "the public good" includes a *private industry* developer who
wants to put up McMansions.

>
> Big business and the Republicans rule.

"What's good for General Bullmoose...."

> Next time be careful of who you vote for.

I've always been careful that way...

But the Dems have a way of nominating candidates that too many people won't vote for. They're well on the way to doing it again with Hillary. She's the best friend the 'pubs ever had!

Friend of mine once said that if you gave the DNC the job of organizing a firing squad, they'd put the squad in a circle around the condemned person.

> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

73 de Jim, N2EY

3806. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 22:22:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119910938.806857.23920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110939

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Being a
> > > > > > non-combatant your whole career, I am sure that some sand in your eyes
> > > > > > or chapped hands from too much White-Out fluid was "trauma".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Were you ever a combatant, I mean when you were in the service?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Forgive me but him what you will I don't think it is proper to question
> > > > > wether Stevie was a combatant, he was there at Valley Forgery saving
> > > > > Ole GW (washington of course) with morse and heis still very much a
> > > > > combatant
> > > >
> > > The "forgery" was a coward in Illiopolis, IL, pretending to be a
> > > commissioned officer...and the lies just got bigger and more colorful
> > > from there.
> > >
> > > You were a liar then and you've done nothing to demonstrate that
> > > you've changed that behaviour.
> > >
> > > > Steve wasn't anywhere.
> > >
> > > Sure I was. More than you.
> > >
> > > > Says he's got seven hostile actions, but if he
> > > > starts talking, his claims will be debunked, stolen valor style.
> > >
> > > Yes, Brain, you ARE a prolific thief.
> > >
> > > You steal people's trust and confidence with your own tales and
> > > mistruths, then try and conceal the deed with outlandish accusations and
> > > deceit.
> > >
> > > Watta piece of work...
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ

> >
> > Like I said, Robeson dare not speak of his claims to seven hostile
> > actions.
>
> And I congratulate YOU on NOT denying you're a thief.
>
> Like >>I<< said...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Your lies require no response. Why would you think they do?

What >is< begging a response is your claims of alleged hostile actions,
but I suspect those would be lies as well.

Robeson is not to be trusted.

3807. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 22:31:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119911504.990278.39060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110940

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Being a
> > > > > non-combatant your whole career, I am sure that some sand in your eyes
> > > > > or chapped hands from too much White-Out fluid was "trauma".
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Were you ever a combatant, I mean when you were in the service?
> > > >
> > > Forgive me but him what you will I don't think it is proper to question
> > > wether Stevie was a combatant, he was there at Valley Forgery saving
> > > Ole GW (washington of course) with morse and heis still very much a
> > > combatant
> >
> > The "forgery" was a coward in Illiopolis, IL, pretending to be a
> > commissioned officer...and the lies just got bigger and more colorful
> > from there.
>
> Coward in one post then you adkonweldge my balls (paraphrase) in and
> another is this your confused mind or your sexaul obbcession with male
> gentails at work

Yep. Steve has a long, long history of commenting on male genitalia,
male sexuality, and male perversion. Google confirms.

3808. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 22:37:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119911863.568857.90360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110941

Leo wrote:
> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> >What's your suggestion?
>
> The way I see it, you have two options:
>
> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>
> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
> someone's strings....
>
> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
> you know you are in the right.
>
> Owned or free.
>
> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>
> Choose wisely!
>
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> 73, Leo

Grasshopper, snatch this pebble from my hand.

3809. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 22:41:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119912083.002734.150690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110942

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Leo wrote:
> > On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > >What's your suggestion?
> >
> > The way I see it, you have two options:
> >
> > 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
> > 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>
> OK so far.
>
> > Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
> > in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
> > someone's strings....
>
> That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you suggest *I*
> take.
> >
> > Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
> > intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
> > considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
> > you know you are in the right.
>
> So what do you suggest *I* do?
>
> > Owned or free.
>
> That's your spin.
> >
> > The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>
> So there *is* a choice!
>
> > Choose wisely!
>
> Wise by whose standards?
>
> How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical terms?
> What actions do you suggest?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

And so Grasshopper hesitates. Asks many questions. Must be told what he is to do, rather than just do what he is told.

The pebble is withdrawn and Grasshopper is none the richer and none the wiser.

3810. K4YZ >>>NOT<<< Homophobic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 22:57:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119913073.879078.65630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110943

K4YZ wrote:

> And I can assure you I am not homophobic.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Good one Steve. Hi!

3811. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 23:02:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119913351.037066.198230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110944

From: Leo on Mon 27 Jun 2005 07:19

>On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>Leo wrote:
>>> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

<The following cantu-respondu is repeated 14 times, more
or less the same>

>>> >What would you suggest?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?

>>In a word: No.
>>
>>It's not rather obvious, Leo.
>
>Sorry to hear that, Jim. How simplified do you need things to be?

Based on years of trying to play the Olde Guru of Amateur
Radio in here, he simply wants things HIS WAY. He was that
way on an AOL amateur radio group, too.

>>What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
>>answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
>>
>>What's your suggestion?
>
>The way I see it, you have two options:
>
>1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>
>Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
>in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
>someone's strings....

He will do it again (and did) following. Predictable. :-)

>Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
>intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
>considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
>you know you are in the right.
>
>Owned or free.
>
>The path you choose will be entirely up to you.

>
>Choose wisely!

He hasn't, but then HE MUST BE *RIGHT* in whatever he sayeth.

All who speak contrary to His wisdom are WRRRONNNNGGGG.

The bells have been ringing in here with the above for years.

Watch the replies to his "Riley" encyclical. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3812. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 23:04:37 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119913477.917289.82430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 110945

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon 27 Jun 2005 03:19

>Although several years ago, Riley's comments are worth a look:
>
>"This Amateur Radio service is serious business."

From Riley Hollingsworth's position as Special Counsel to the
FCC Spectral Enforcement office of the Enforcement Bureau,
obeyance of federal law IS "serious business."

>Not once in the speech is the word "hobby" used.

?

Hollingsworth is NOT in the FCC "Key People," "Division Chiefs,"
or "Regional Director" listings at the FCC of their Enforcement
Bureau. One has to go deeper into the Spectral office of the
Enforcement Bureau where Hollingsworth is listed as second from
bottom on that office's "key people" listing...as one of two who
are "Special Counsel."

Hollingsworth is NOT over at the Wireless Telecommunications
Bureau, the one that is immediately responsible for amateur radio
at the FCC.

It is true that nowhere in Title 47 C.F.R. are the words "hobby"
or "ham radio" given. On the other hand, Part 97 rather
specifically defines amateur radio as an activity done for a
NON-pecuniary reason. That doesn't even mention "money" though
it is obvious that it means that amateur radio CANNOT accept
money for doing any communications service for others.

Amateur radio in the USA is forbidden by law to engage in
broadcasting. Amateur radio in the USA is forbidden by law to
be a public communications common carrier...that is, specifically
as a provider of radio communications services. Amateur radio
regulations even state that amateur communications themselves
are to be of a trivial nature and amateurs themselves are
supposed to avail themselves of commercial communications
services for non-trivial communications.

Amateur radio in the USA is NOT a "national service." All
throughout Title 47 C.F.R. the word "service" is a regulatory
term denoting a type and kind of radio activity being regulated.

Is the Citizens Band Radio SERVICE a "national service?" No.
Is the Amateur Radio Service a "national service?" No.

There's a difference between words used "de jure" (by law as stated) and "de facto" (what it really is in practice). Amateur radio is a HOBBY...a recreational pursuit done for personal pleasure, federally regulated only because of the physical nature of radio wave propagation and possible interference with other radio users. DE FACTO a HOBBY.

>"...I know that when a natural disaster hits, they're [cell towers]
>the first ones to go down. And the few that are remaining are jammed
>and you can't get access."

Hollingsworth is "playing to his audience" (to use a show business phrase).

Physically, the cellular telephone services, an adjunct to the wired telephone infrastructure, does NOT "go down" either "first" or last. TELEPHONE communications is "jammed" only by too many panic-stricken subscribers trying to use it simultaneously at the onset of some emergency. The TELEPHONE infrastructure would not have survived as a communications service provider if "all" subscribers were free to use it simultaneously.

>" The people who are going to be taking care
>of the real communications are sitting right here in this room. It's
>the Amateur Radio service. And in the first few days, or the first few
>hours of these multi-jurisdictional incidents, it's the amateurs who
>keep things going."

In light of recent REAL EMERGENCIES, REAL HISTORY has shown that the commercial services HAVE CONTINUED TO WORK despite SOME of their facilities being "downed." Facilities are NOT RESTRICTED to JUST telephones, wired and/or cellular. There are, in this nation, literally, hundreds of thousands of OTHER radios which can, and have, been used for two-way communications. That is NOT counting CB or the approximately 100 million cellular telephone radio handsets.

Eleven and a half years ago, during the Northridge Earthquake in Los Angeles, NO amateur radio "emergency communications" were working in the first hours of the earthquake-induced TOTAL AC POWER OUTAGE. Public safety and utility radio services were on the air and working despite the total electrical mains supply being OFF. It took at least six hours for the Los Angeles area power to BEGIN to be restored to subscribers on that necessary BLACK START. Public safety and utility radios continued to function, police and fire and paramedics rolled on calls, hospitals were there with their own electric power and serving patients. TEN MILLION residents were affected by that quake and total power outage.

Hollingsworth is a special counsel to the Enforcement Bureau's Spectral enforcers. He should KNOW BETTER than to perpetuate such myths as he stated. But, Hollingsworth does NOT

specifically speak FOR amateur radio. He is little more than
a "radio cop in a suit."

>"There was a big newspaper chain that had a reporter with headphones on
>listening to the hurricane emergency net. That made us nervous. The
>last thing we wanted was some bad publicity for the Amateur Radio
>service anywhere."
>
>"Our people that go to these ITU meetings will tell us that it's
>often a personal embarassment to them when these countries play back
>tapes of what they hear on 75 and 20 Meters in the American amateur
>bands."
>
>On the American 'phone subbands. Not CW/data subbands...

Oh, my, another MYTH. Simon Pure morseman "does not swear with
morse code!" He is "safe to be around the children?"

[how many children did you say you "parented?"]

>"It puts them in a very difficult position when they have to defend
>examples of conduct that other countries hear."

All those "75 and 20 Meters" radiotelephone users were
CODE TESTED (or had the equivalent of same).

The CODE TEST does NOT prevent verbal abuse via radio.

>Should be "'nuff said".....

Here's another: David Sumner's editorial pieces in QST are done
by David Sumner. James Miccolis has not been invited to become
any "guest commentator" or "moral guardian" of U.S. amateur radio.
But, he has set himself out as the same sort of "moral guardian"
and "giver of ethos" as if he was "respresentative" of over
700 thousand U.S. amateur radio licensees.

Even then he perpetuates the past with parodic perfidy.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3813. Field day article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 23:06:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0-qdndEmDrLgG13fRVn-pA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 110946

http://www.centredaily.com/mld/dailytimes/11993917.htm

They had a pretty nice article. There was one error in that I was explaining how the digital station worked, not actually using it. It's a small point - but I want to mention it because it was the GOTA station, and I was the control op.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3814. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 23:23:24 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <hj21c1t5t8argos7vu2cidvs3tl7dagrfa@4ax.com>
Source record: 110947

On Mon, 27 Jun 2005 08:43:43 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>I hate to say this Lloyd, but K1MAN earned whatever actions is being taken
>against him. in fact, he demanded this action by his very threats.
>
>in any case, I think I will sign that petition myself, just because Mr.
>baxter's actions draw negative attention to the service as a whole.
******

In that FCC's NAL has concluded that Mr Baxter operations are in
violation of statutes duly authorized by the FCC to enforce, they have
seemed to fallen short of asking for the revocation of his license.
From the NAL, I see the FCC is only a seeking of monetary remedies and
not a revocation of his license.

As much as I see, and I do not condone his actions, the actions of
some have taken on the role as a lynch mob. I myself will abide by
what ever the FCC determines. But I find this petition thing a bit to
scary. WHo next? So someone operates by the rules but not as someone
or some group and then they are next for a petition campaign? Just
because you and other deem his actions is a negative attention?

james

3815. Re: Field day article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 23:25:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <pV%ve.12668$pa3.7362@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 110948

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:0-qdndEmDrLgG13fRVn-pA@adelphia.com...
> http://www.centredaily.com/mld/dailytimes/11993917.htm
>
> They had a pretty nice article. There was one error in that I was
> explaining how the digital station worked, not actually using it. It's a
> small point - but I want to mention it because it was the GOTA station,
> and I was the control op.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Good show Mike. My local club, The Calhoun County Emergency Radio Club had a nice field day in a park, lots of publicity. Down the road the Calhoun County Amateur Radio Association had their own FD and had good publicity and public officials come by. My group managed 454 qso's 3A AL.

I came home and passed out a couple hundred qso's on CW myself 1D AL.

Dan/W4NTI

3816. MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 23:39:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119915558.666876.36060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111100

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > From: "bb" on Wed 8 Jun 2005 15:50
> >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> QUOTE from Chief Army MARS:
> > >>
> > >> MARS has grown in all of the services throughout the world. It relies
> > >> on civilian and military MARS members to be available in case of
> > >> emergency or disaster to provide communications support. At such times,
> > >> MARS needs all of the support it can provide. Amateur Radio,
> > >> collectively with MARS, has made its mark in American history. Each
> > >> year provides new evidence of the important role it plays in the
> > >> service of the nation.
> > >>
> > >> UNQUOTE
> > >>
> > >> Since Lennie only believes that "reality" springs from URL's, he
> > >> can go to:
> > >>
> > >> http://www.asc.army.mil/mars/history.htm
> > >
> > > Been there, done that.
> >
> > Obviously you didn't pay attention.

Yet you were the one who could not be bothered with MARS information
after that fateful day when you claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<<
Amateur Radio!"

Hi, hi!

And you wonder why no one believes you when you claimed to be "A" NCOIC
of MARS/Okinawa.

> > Been to Fort Huachuca, too, (Hq Army MARS, off to one side of
> > the Military Intelligence School).
> >
> > They've been trying to get the smell out ever since, too.

Hi! Awesome! Great comeback! Good one! Attaboy! You go, Steve!

> > > ...to get it from the horse's mouth.
> > >

> > Stebie got the wrong end of his "horse" again. Tsk, tsk.
>
> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhh.....
>
> You're calling the Chief of MARS as a horses' patoot, Lennie?

You didn't bother to read the rest of the site?

> > He should have made some kind of remarks about the Buffalo
> > Soldiers. Fort Huachuca is the original home of them.
>
> Was that in ChiefMARS comments? I missed it.

Buffalo Soldiers no use Signal Company?

> > >Silly Gunny, no one disputes what the Chief of Army MARS has stated.
> > >They only dispute what you claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
> > >Radio." Hi! Hi, hi!!!
> >
> > Poor Stebie, even more brain-dead than Jim Hampton surmised.

Jim is a very polite fellow.

> > All the fuss and furor over MARS was already done when Stebie's
> > famous statement ["Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio"]
> > was made. Stebie is trying to misdirect by pointing a finger
> > at "my error." Poor guy doesn't realize that when he points
> > his finger, four other fingers are pointing at HIM!
>
> I don't point a finger, Lennie.

Battlefield injury?

> And it is "your error"...Proven with the comments of ChiefMARS.

Silly Gunny, no one disputes what the Chief of Army MARS has stated.
They only dispute what you claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
Radio." Hi! Hi, hi!!!

> > Heh heh heh. Stebie CUTS AND PASTES from the government web
> > sites! [he didn't used to like that!] However, the "statement
> > of mission" is just worded in glorious self-illumination typical
> > to ALL unit or political organization statements.
>
> NOW I SEE!
>
> When LENNIE can quote from a website that supposedly substantiates
> his "position", it's "absolute truth".
>
> When it lands on his head, it's "glorious self-illumination"...
>
> Uh huh...Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>

> Only a couple weeks ago you were citing from the same sources...

He just said that you protested. Did you not protest?

(It's OK. Say anything you want. We've got the truth meter calibrated
to "Robeson" so the coil won't smoke and the needle won't get bent.)

> No "glorious self-illumination" comments then, eh, Lennie....?!?!

The Chief's comments didn't do quite what you wanted them to do.

Too bad for Steve.

> > Stebie wants
> > to take all of those absolutely LITERALLY like a good little
> > soldier. Such shining lights will reflect off the medals he
> > bought for himself at www.grunt.com or similar. He likes to
> > soak in that light and pretend being the big hero.
>
> Nope..."Pretend(ing) to be the big hero" is your schtick, Lennie...

Hi! Len doesn't have his chest puffed out on QRZ.

> Like all those posts where you pretend to have been "somebody" by
> having been in a unit that had KIA's a few years earlier...Years that
> you weren't even in the service...

Of course, there were people who didn't serve at all in here telling us
what is important.

> > MARS is doing an okay job for the military. Keeps civilians
> > occupied through their volunteerism and the military hopes
> > that will reflect good will towards the military. MARS *was*
> > good back in the Vietnam War era for phone patches to folks
> > back home. But...that was THIRTY YEARS AGO! [Vietnam War
> > ended for the USA in 1975] The U.S. Army even made that direct
> > statement on the Army's Center for Military History.
>
> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...even MORE enlightenment...
>
> Again you cite things that were "THIRTY YEARS AGO", but not what's
> happening "TODAY"...

And today, "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi! How things have
changed.

> Of course you ALSO cite what happened at "ADA" in the 50's as if it
> happened yesterday, but then attempt to chide Amateurs for discussing
> what happened SIX years ago.

All Jim's postings about amateur radio in WWII cracks me up, too.

Obvious.

> > MARS is a part of SHARES...(SNIP)
>
> Yep.
>
> And that doesn't detract ONE BIT from the TITLE of the
> thread...Actually, it ADDS to it.

Actually, I shortened it.

> > Does the U.S. military need ham-assisted phone patches now?
>
> Is "ham-assisted phone patches" ALL that the Armed Forces expects
> from MARS...?!?!
>
> Nope.
>
> And Lennie wouldn't know that, considering his experience level in
> MARS and/or Amateur Radio.

Be specific (if you can).

> > MARS was never designed/implemented/devised to be a PART of
> > mainstream military communications. It isn't now.
>
> Nope. It wasn't. Who here said it was? I sure didn't.

Right. You just make stoopid assertions, such as "Sorry Hans, MARS
>>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" because you don't know dink about MARS, your claims to having been
"A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa notwithstanding.

> > All of the above I wrote today has been written by me in here
> > before. Apparently Stebie is way too selective in what he
> > looks for on Google searching.
>
> Nope.
>
> What I point out is that you denigrate and deny the services and
> achievements of MARS and Amateur Radio services alike.

You denigrate other amateurs. You insult real MARS members with your
stoopid assertions.

> ChiefMARS has to answer to higher-ups in the "MILITARY" chain of
> command.

Duh.

> > [Stebie is now going to compose a "YOU LIE, YOU LIE!" message]
>
> I don't need to yell them out, Lennie. Your mistruths are usually
> glaring enough to stand out on their own...

Your are obvious. Obviously wrong about a lot of things.

> > LenStillDoesn'tKnowAthingAboutMARSorAmateurRadioAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

SteveStillDoesn'tKnowDinkAboutMARSorAmateurRadioK4YZ@aol.com

3817. Re: cmsg cancel <- So long, Woger<-showing off that cocksucking lisp, Lardass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 23:40:10 UTC
From: Billy Bob <WmRobert@spammers>
Message-ID: <d9q2nc$gc6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 110949
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Wrong guess, dumbfuck. It sure did make you whine huh, cuntflap?

> "Your tongue wouldn't have a place to rest, huh, Club Aids the
> FAGGOT?"
> Ro

Roger. A sharp tongue does NOT indicate a keen mind.

You are babbling again. Get some help before those veins in your forehead
burst and you bleed to death.

3818. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 23:41:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <H80we.14049$eM6.5338@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111101

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1119826889.824076.276320@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1119738549.767548.163900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > K1MAN PT2
>> > FROM http://n9oglvice.blogspot.com
>> >
>> > [13. Section 97.101(d) of the Rules states that ``[n]o
>> > amateur operator shall willfully or maliciously interfere
>> > with or cause interference to any radio communication or
>> > signal.''12 On November 27, 2004, December 8, 2004, and
>> > March 31, 2005, Baxter's Amateur station K1MAN commenced
>> > transmitting on top of existing communications on 3.890 MHz
>> > in apparent willful and repeated violation of 97.101(d) of
>> > the Commission's rules.]
>> >
>> > OH, and the ARRL W1AW don't?? I don't see amateurs bitch and cry about
>> > W1AW, nor, do I see the FCC sending warning letters to them. Hey,
>> > interfernce is interfernce, and it doesn't matter who's causing it the
>> > rules should apply to all.
>> >
>>
>>
>> The difference is Baxter does it in a intentional manner. He has said on
>> his 24/7 broadcasts that he does not bother to listen on his intended
>> frequency of operation. Because we all should "know" he is coming on
>> there.
>>
>
> but neither does W1AW, I've heard amateurs complaining about W1AW
> transmitting ontop of them. It really shouldn't matter if they
> transmitting 24/7 or for 15 min, interfernce is interfernce on any
> level.
>

Then file a complaint. You know why folks don't file against W1AW? Because
the provide a service. Not a bully pulpit like your soon to be shot down
hero.

>> The W1AW information bulletins are well published, as per FCC rules,
>> Baxter has a few paragraphs on an obscure web page.
>>

>
> First off Information bulletins do not have to be published, only if
> they are on a certain amount of time which is 48 hr a week for the
> purpose of compesation. As for baxter's website I will admit that a
> five year old could do a better job making a website, then what he did.
>

Then why does Blapster make such a big deal about his "published schedule"
and uses that as his PRIMARY reason to claim he is being interfered with ?
Sorry, can't have it both ways. Face it...Blapster is a sham. He should
be standing in a circus tent hawking hair growth cream.

>> W1AW is a real organization. K1MAN is a one man pony show.
>
> A information bulletin is NOT limited to clubs, anyone can run an
> Information Bulletin
>>
>> etc.
>>

That is correct. Maybe one day Baxter will actually transmit a
"information bulletin". What you think?

>> > [14. Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules prohibits an Amateur
>> > station from transmitting any communications in which the
>> > station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary
>> > interest. On November 25, 2004 and March 30, 2005, Mr.
>> > Baxter's station repeatedly transmitted references to his
>> > website, which offers various products for sale, including a
>> > monthly newsletter published by Glenn Baxter and offered for
>> > sale for forty-five dollars per year. In addition, on
>> > December 1, 2004, Station K1MAN transmitted a seventy-minute
>> > interview with a person who was considering whether to
>> > retain Baxter Associates, an employment-search firm owned by
>> > Mr. Baxter. During the transmission, Mr. Baxter discussed
>> > fees, investments, and franchising opportunities. We find
>> > that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly violated
>> > Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules on each of these occasions
>> > by transmitting communications regarding matters in which he
>> > has a pecuniary interest.]
>> >
>> >
>> > Oh, the ARRL doesn't do that??? the rule states that pecuniary interest
>> > applies to direct and indirect. K1MAN is doing direct, while the ARRL
>> > doesn't indirect.
>> >
>>
>> No the ARRL does NOT SOLICITE on its bulletins. K1MAN does. End of
>> subject.
>>
> No but the rules state a station can't make money direct or indirect.

> K1MAN does do it DIRECT, while the ARRL is doing it INDIRECT. but the
> rules does state a station can't make money direct or indirect.
>

Reference and show me how the ARRL is soliciting on the air.

Get ready to suck it up Toad.

>>
>> > [16. Section 97.113(b) of the Rules prohibits, with limited
>> > exceptions not applicable here, an Amateur station from
>> > engaging in any form of broadcasting or transmitting one-way
>> > transmissions. Section 97.3(a)(10) of the Rules defines
>> > broadcasting as ``transmissions intended for reception by
>> > the general public.'' 14 We find that the pre-recorded
>> > seventy-minute interview with a person interested in
>> > retaining Baxter Associates, during which there was no
>> > station identification, constitutes a ``broadcast'' and an
>> > impermissible one-way transmission. Therefore, Mr. Baxter
>> > apparently willfully violated Section 97.113(b) of the
>> > Rules.]
>> >
>> > and the question I have for the FCC is where does this and how does it
>> > apply to section 326 of the communication act. after all isn't it up to
>> > the station to deiced what is of intrest to amateur radio??
>> >
>>
>> Ohhhhhh.....Baxter is the one that determines what I want to hear? That
>> is
>> really funny Toad.
>
> Under the FCC rules it states it is up to station transmitting the
> bulletin, the FCC even states that on their website. If you don't want
> to hear him then that's what a VFO is for.
>>

Not when that SOB sits down on top of me and those I am in QSO with. All
that idiot would have had to do was use this little phrase "Is this freq in
use". And follow that up with "I am intending to use 14.275 to send my
bulletin, would you guys mind standing by for it". You know what Toad?
To a man we would all agreed to move. But oh no....not the mighty MAN. He
comes on and DEMANDS we clear HIS frequency. And yes I am ONE OF THOSE
that sent in the multitude of reports, and proud of it.

Why isn't he on 14.275 any longer ?????? I'll tell you why....he got his
arse whipped like a mangy dog thats why.

>>
>> > finally I would like to tell all my fans that this sick joke of a NAL
>> > does not effect my station or the N9OGL SHOW
>> >
>> > TODD N9OGL

>> > THE N9OGL SHOW
>> > 14.321.00 MHz
>> >
>>
>> sick joke? I don't consider a 21000 NAL a sick joke. If you do.....you
>> have a mental problem.
>>
> It is a sick Joke in the sense that one of the Issues in the NAL was
> overturned once already by the Commission in DC back in 2004. (it dealt
> with the content of his station)as for the other stuff I don't think
> really think it's sick but it does raise some questions. You have to
> remeber this was a district office that sent the NAL, and it's not a
> FINAL ORDER, there is a Looooooong process before the final ruling is
> set, including a hearing before an Administrative Law Jugde (ALJ) and
> if he don't like that ruling he can go to the US Court of Appeal and
> then just maybe, if they want to hear it the Supreme Court When the FCC
> goes around controling the content of the station that is transmitting
> it then it is a violation of Section 326 of the Comunication Act of
> 1934 as Amended.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

It was from the district office the wanabee boy broadcaster stated. Where do you think the order to do so came from nitwit? Right....FCC headquarters.

Wake up me boy. When the FCC comes down on you like this.....you are history. This isn't anything new, its been in the works since the mid 80s. It just took a bunch of dedicated hams that were willing to stand and fight to get the ball rolling. And your talking to one of them right now.

I suggest you forget your little broadcasting career, or get ready for a history repeat. Understand? And no Toad...its not a threat....its a promise. Ham radio is sick of you children trying to mess up our play pen.

Dan/W4NTI

3819. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 23:45:22 UTC
From: Lloyd <pizza@lloyddistman.net>
Message-ID: <d9q2ruglm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111102

Mean ole K1MAN is playing in my part of the
sandbox BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
Why wont mr Riley get him away from me?
BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

The shrill whining & crying of cry baby hams goes on
and on.

If there was ever a hobby of immature selfish whining
cry babies, then ham radio is it. Grown men who
continually whine and cry about one ham radio operator
in Maine.

BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

3820. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 23:50:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Gh0we.70$a32.1188982@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111106

Jim:

Well, go take of your french buddies, maybe you will want to appease the
muslims too...

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:qRZve.288$9g.82@news02.roc.ny...
> Hello, John
>
> You are correct - HF (and MF) amateur radio is not isolated. Signals
> cross
> international boundaries. As to "sovereign nation, it sounds good and
> is,
> except that what we are discussing crosses international boundaries.
> The
> international agreements will have to happen - or would you prefer
> that
> Radio Moscow rear it's head on a directional array running 5,000,000
> watts
> in the middle of our AM broadcast band?
>
> VHF and above does not often stray far (although the stuff from 30 MHz
> to
> perhaps a bit above 6 meters can and does at times, especially during
> the
> peak of the sunspot cycle); therefore the FCC is very free to
> rearrange
> things that don't affect satellite transmission/reception.
>
> Heck, when you said "one world order", I thought you were going to
> mention
> our friend, GW
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:LKWve.6$Mo.183454@news.sisna.com...
>> Jim:
>>

>> Yes, you touch on globalization and "one world order" and/or "new
>> order"
>> (hey, wasn't that a phrase invented by Adolph Hitler's klick?)
>>
>> Seems like a little more thought on "sovereign nation" and just
>> exactly
>> what that is might be to our best interest.
>>
>> Also, seems with each passing day "they" are anxious to give us
>> reasons
>> why we should lose respect for gov't and authority and, the effects
>> of
>> this are rather frightening--it even touches my neighborhood--a place
>> which was once safe and secure.
>>
>> I can hardly see how this is not having an effect on this great
>> hobby--amateur radio is not an isolated island.
>>
>> John
>
>

3821. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 23:53:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dk0we.71$Nu2.1265795@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111107

N2Ey:

Yep, the little stuff really matters... while you chase mice the
elephants trample the village--gee, I think I seen that movie before...

John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1119910307.706893.5390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>> The HF bands are, many times, international in scope. This story is
>> not
>> about the FCC, it is about international agreements.
>
> It's about both, really.
>>
>> What is said in the story is true; many 3rd world countries would
>> love 80
>> meters as it would provide cheap communications (they don't have to
>> pay to
>> build all the infrastructure of a telephone system to cover their
>> country).
>> If the world community decides that 80/75 meters is to no longer be
>> an
>> amateur allocations, the FCC will have nothing to say about it.
>
> The US delegation to the radio conferences looks to FCC for input,
> though. (Not just FCC, of course.) There's a big difference between
> what happens if FCC says that 75 is such a mess it isn't worth
> defending at the conferences versus the reverse.
>
> I think the main point of all this is that it's really up to *us hams*
> to
> show that we're worth our allocations. That's one reason on-air
> behavior really matters!
>
> There's also a lesson in human nature in there, too. When Rudy
> Giuliani
> was elected mayor of NYC, one of his priorities was enforcement of
> "minor" laws,
> like going after turnstile-jumpers, graffiti and trash/rubbish
> violations. Some people asked if tax money wouldn't be better spent
> going after drug dealers and murderers. RG's theory was that if you
> allow the "little stuff" to get slack, the big stuff gets slack too.
> In

> any event, NYC's crime, large and small, went down.
>
> Same principle applies on the ham bands. But FCC can't do it all -
> there's the question of what we hams consider acceptable behavior. FCC
> enforcement is complaint-driven - just ask K1MAN. A key factor in his
> case is that many,
> many hams complained about his violations over a long period of time.
>
>> If you look at cell phones, you might get an idea of the extent of
>> the
>> problem. In developed countries, cell phones have become big
>> business. In
>> the U.S., every teenager "needs" one. It takes a lot of cell phone
>> towers
>> to provide service, not to mention ever increasing needs of
>> frequencies.
>
> Right - but those will be VHF/UHF, not HF.
>
>> I believe that when I was first licensed (in 1962) amateurs could use
>> any
>> frequency above 30 GHz. There was little gear that could function at
>> all at
>> that frequency and dx records could be measured in yards or a few
>> miles.
>> Nowadays, there are some amateur bands intermingled with other
>> segments
>> going up to 300 GHz, at which point amateurs can use anything above
>> 300 GHz.
>> 300 GHz in far infra-red light!
>
> Sure - but isn't that the way it's always been?
>
> Back in 1912, hams had access to "200 meters and down" (note - that
> did
> not mean any ham could use any frequency above 1500 kHz! It meant that
> individual hams could apply for, and receive, licenses to use specific
> frequencies above 1500. So could anyone else, but the professionals
> and
> experts of the time thought those frequencies were useless for
> long-distance communications.
>
> When HF was carved into bands, US hams had lots of room. Before 1929,
> 40 meters was 7000 to 8000 kHz and 20 meters was 14,000 to 16,000
> kHz -
> exact harmonics of 80!
>
>> Somehow, communications devices are going to have to become more
>> efficient
>> at using available frequencies (amateurs included). Even assuming

>> they do
>> (and they have become more band-width friendly), there will be
>> pressure on
>> all users to use it (effectively) or loose it.
>
> I agree up to a point.
>
> Most of the communications revolution has nothing to do with radio.
> It's all about fiber optics. Heck, I bet that the vast majority of
> telecommunications today (in terms of bits/mile) does *not* go by
> radio, but by copper or glass. Cell phones, Wi-Fi, etc. are simply a
> way of getting the last mile without a wire or fiber. Broadcasting as
> we knew it will probably morph into something aimed mostly at mobile
> users.
>
> Heck, the real value of HF (as perceived by regulators) is
> demonstrated
> by BPL.
>
>> As to the FCC, they can easily reassign users at VHF and above as it
>> doesn't
>> carry world-wide.
>
> *Some* of our bands are not protected by treaty, others are. 144-146
> is
> worldwide exclusive amateur, FCC can't touch it without a treaty
> change. 146-148 could be reallocated whenever FCC feels like it.
>
>> Those segments are also in jeopardy by big business.
>> Note that the Supreme Court ruled that local governments can exercise
>> their
>> right to take property (with compensation to the owners) and sell it
>> to
>> someone else.
>
> They took eminent domain to a new high - or low.
>
> Think what it means! Once it was the case that your house could be
> taken to build a public works project (road, school, bridge) on the
> theory that the public good demanded it. Now the Supremes are saying
> that "the public good" includes a *private industry* developer who
> wants to put up McMansions.
>>
>> Big business and the Republicans rule.
>
> "What's good for General Bullmoose...."
>
>> Next time be careful of who you vote for.
>
> I've always been careful that way...

>
> But the Dems have a way of nominating candidates that too many people
> won't vote for. They're well on the way to doing it again with
> Hillary.
> She's the best friend the 'pubs ever had!
>
> Friend of mine once said that if you gave the DNC the job of
> organizing
> a firing squad, they'd put the squad in a circle around the condemned
> person.
>
>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

3822. Re: Field day article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-27 23:59:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <U9KdnUFK5KxDD13fRVn-1Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111103

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:0-qdndEmDrLgG13fRVn-pA@adelphia.com...
>
>>http://www.centredaily.com/mld/dailytimes/11993917.htm
>>
>>They had a pretty nice article. There was one error in that I was
>>explaining how the digital station worked, not actually using it. It's a
>>small point - but I want to mention it because it was the GOTA station,
>>and I was the control op.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Good show Mike. My local club, The Calhoun County Emergency Radio Club
> had a nice field day in a park, lots of publicity.

We've been working hard to get the word out, and it seems to be working. One thing I've discovered is that you can connect with the folks with the computer, because that symbolizes something to them, (and heck, I just plain like the soundcard modes) then you move them to voice, and finally take them on the tour of the CW station. Once they understand the other modes, the simplicity and elegance of CW isn't lost on them.

> Down the road the
> Calhoun County Amateur Radio Association had their own FD and had good
> publicity and public officials come by. My group managed 454 qso's 3A AL.

Didn't get ours complied yet. Our phone station had a problem around 1:30 a.m. Sunday morning. The G and H keys stopped working. Fortunately we didn't lose any data, but we have to double check everything.

> I came home and passed out a couple hundred qso's on CW myself 1D AL.

A good chance we logged ya.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3823. Re: SO2R Policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 00:00:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <cq0we.8$aY6.0@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111104

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119832234.744076.314300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1119704452.861676.43320@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> >> Yeah it is. I was at a FD a few years back and I fired up the
>> >> "bug".
>> >> You
>> >> should have seen their looks of amazement. Had em standing in line to
>> >> ask
>> >> me questions afterwards.
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4nti
>> >
>> > The older you'se guys gets, the better you'se was...
>>
>> Thats right...and don't you forget it.
>
> Remembering is not a problem for me, it is you that is approaching the
> forgetful years.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Approaching is the key word here.

Dan/W4NTI

3824. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 00:11:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nISdnePhwuklCF3fRVn-1A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111105

james wrote:
> On Mon, 27 Jun 2005 08:43:43 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>
>
>>I hate to say this Lloyd, but K1MAN earned whatever actions is being taken
>>against him. in fact, he demanded this action by his very threats.
>>
>>in any case, I think I will sign that petition myself, just because Mr.
>>baxter's actions draw negative attention to the service as a whole.
>
> ******
>
> In that FCC's NAL has concluded that Mr Baxter operations are in
> violation of statutes duly authorized by the FCC to enforce, they have
> seemed to fallen short of asking for the revocation of his license.
> From the NAL, I see the FCC is only a seeking of monetary remedies and
> not a revocation of his license.

It is probably just easier that they decline to renew his license in
October.

> As much as I see, and I do not condone his actions, the actions of
> some have taken on the role as a lynch mob. I myself will abide by
> what ever the FCC determines.

Many people are just not terribly patient, and the F.C.C. has
methodically in their case against him.

> But I find this petition thing a bit to
> scary.

It is at least unnecessary and a possible impediment.

Remember that there is a lot of pent-up frustration in this case.

> WHo next? So someone operates by the rules but not as someone
> or some group and then they are next for a petition campaign? Just
> because you and other deem his actions is a negative attention?

Not at all likely. Hams put up with some pretty impressive shenanigans.
But it must be remember that he was sending out those felony affidavits,
and at the same time, opening up and transmitting over whoever happened
to be operating on "HIS" frequency.

Problem is it wasn't his frequency.

There seems to be a long, long history here.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3825. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 01:13:38 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119921218.599263.88110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111108

From: bb on Jun 27, 7:39 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: "bb" on Wed 8 Jun 2005 15:50
>
>> > >K4YZ wrote:
>> > >> QUOTE from Chief Army MARS:

>> Obviously you didn't pay attention.
>
>Yet you were the one who could not be bothered with MARS information
>after that fateful day when you claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<<
>Amateur Radio!"
>
>Hi, hi!

[a day which will live in infamy? :-)]

>And you wonder why no one believes you when you claimed to be "A" NCOIC
>of MARS/Okinawa.

Now, now, Brian, the ASSISTANT NCOIC is a very, very IMPORTANT
job, a Vital Service to the Nation...for being a part of the
morale boosting normally done by others in Special Services.

ASSISTANTS get to make the coffee for all those late-night
"communicating" sessions.

>> > Been to Fort Huachuca, too, (Hq Army MARS, off to one side of
>> > the Military Intelligence School).
>
>> They've been trying to get the smell out ever since, too.
>
>Hi! Awesome! Great comeback! Good one! Attaboy! You go, Steve!

Tsk. Seen better "commentary" on middle school restrooms.

>> > > ...to get it from the horse's mouth.
>
>> > Stebie got the wrong end of his "horse" again. Tsk, tsk.
>
>> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhh.....
>
>> You're calling the Chief of MARS as a horses' patoot, Lennie?
>

>You didn't bother to read the rest of the site?

Stebie ain't been around horsies. Tsk, tsk.

>> > He should have made some kind of remarks about the Buffalo
>> > Soldiers. Fort Huachuca is the original home of them.
>
>> Was that in ChiefMARS comments? I missed it.
>
>Buffalo Soldiers no use Signal Company?

The troop's buglers blew morse signals on their bugles...?

Actually, there was a "horsie-talkie" radio set, the SCR-511.
Went into production in late 1942. TM 11-245. (I have a copy)
Unfortunately, the Army had disbanded horse cavalry before
production started! :-)

Nice design idea. Operator wore a "chest unit," a flat pack
containing dry battery and a combo microphone-speaker with
old-style telephone curved horn. Right hand held the handle
at the base of the whip with a push-to-talk switch on handle,
radio box below that, and at the bottom a pole that would fit
the guidon socket on the right stirrup of a military saddle.
Left hand was free to hold reins. It went obsolete as soon as
it was delivered...

>> > >Silly Gunny, no one disputes what the Chief of Army MARS has stated.
>> > >They only dispute what you claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
>> > >Radio." Hi! Hi, hi!!!
>
>> > Poor Stebie, even more brain-dead than Jim Hampton surmised.
>
>Jim is a very polite fellow.

True. He saved Saipan once and told that story many times. :-)

>> > All the fuss and furor over MARS was already done when Stebie's
>> > famous statement ["Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio"]
>> > was made. Stebie is trying to misdirect by pointing a finger
>> > at "my error." Poor guy doesn't realize that when he points
>> > his finger, four other fingers are pointing at HIM!
>
>> I don't point a finger, Lennie.
>
>Battlefield injury?

His "finger" was wounded in one of those "seven hostile actions."

>> And it is "your error"...Proven with the comments of ChiefMARS.
>
>Silly Gunny, no one disputes what the Chief of Army MARS has stated.
>They only dispute what you claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur

>Radio." Hi! Hi, hi!!!

Stebie and "Chief of Army MARS" are like this: [hold two fingers
up, very far apart...]

>> > Heh heh heh. Stebie CUTS AND PASTES from the government web
>> > sites! [he didn't used to like that!] However, the "statement
>> > of mission" is just worded in glorious self-illumination typical
>> > to ALL unit or political organization statements.
>
>> NOW I SEE!
>
>> When LENNIE can quote from a website that supposedly substantiates
>> his "position", it's "absolute truth".
>
>> When it lands on his head, it's "glorious self-illumination"...
>
>> Uh huh...Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
>> Only a couple weeks ago you were citing from the same sources...
>
>He just said that you protested. Did you not protest?
>
>(It's OK. Say anything you want. We've got the truth meter calibrated
>to "Robeson" so the coil won't smoke and the needle won't get bent.)

It's a wonder he doesn't set fire to himself through all that
patriotic bunting he puts on himself...even though his eyes are
burning red with the hot embers of HATE. Flammable volatile
situation.

>> No "glorious self-illumination" comments then, eh, Lennie....?!?!
>
>The Chief's comments didn't do quite what you wanted them to do.
>
>Too bad for Steve.

Stebie needs to turn in his day pass to the Halls of Montezuma.
Navy MARS doesn't run under Army MARS...but both run at the
discretion and direction of Department of Defense.

>> > Stebie wants
>> > to take all of those absolutely LITERALLY like a good little
>> > soldier. Such shining lights will reflect off the medals he
>> > bought for himself at www.grunt.com or similar. He likes to
>> > soak in that light and pretend being the big hero.
>
>> Nope..."Pretend(ing) to be the big hero" is your schtick, Lennie...
>
>Hi! Len doesn't have his chest puffed out on QRZ.

If I did, I'd be smiling. Still got my teeth firmly attached.
And I brush every day, have regular checkups at my Russian-
born dentist's office in Burbank (Dr. Leonov). :-)

If Stebie got his teeth worked, maybe he'd smile and show them
in one of his many photos-with-uniforms.

>> Like all those posts where you pretend to have been "somebody" by
>> having been in a unit that had KIA's a few years earlier...Years that
>> you weren't even in the service...
>
>Of course, there were people who didn't serve at all in here telling us
>what is important.

Tsk. Snarly Stebie doesn't believe in honoring past members
of his unit. Thinks mentioning such is "self-glorification."
Of course, Stebie's sense of "honor" is "different" than other
folks. He probably goes to VA cemetaries every Memorial Day
and spits on those planting the American flags at gravesites.

>> > MARS is doing an okay job for the military. Keeps civilians
>> > occupied through their volunteerism and the military hopes
>> > that will reflect good will towards the military. MARS *was*
>> > good back in the Vietnam War era for phone patches to folks
>> > back home. But...that was THIRTY YEARS AGO! [Vietnam War
>> > ended for the USA in 1975] The U.S. Army even made that direct
>> > statement on the Army's Center for Military History.
>
>> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...even MORE enlightenment...
>
>> Again you cite things that were "THIRTY YEARS AGO", but not what's
>> happening "TODAY"...
>
>And today, "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi! How things have
>changed.

"Today" one can read (via the ARRL) what hams did for MARS of
thirty years ago AS IF it were "today." :-)

The Vietnam War ENDED (for the USA) THIRTY YEARS AGO.

TODAY the military has the DSN to connect into the Internet, world
wide. Where ever a base is even semi-permanently located, they
have DSN nodes to it. When not in use by military traffic it is
free for personal use.

At least two national corporations offer "phone cards" low cost
for Gifts to Servicemen. Pre-paid, those can be used by service
personnel (here or overseas) on any telephone service, private
or military.

>> Of course you ALSO cite what happened at "ADA" in the 50's as if it
>> happened yesterday, but then attempt to chide Amateurs for discussing
>> what happened SIX years ago.

>
>All Jim's postings about amateur radio in WWII cracks me up, too.
>
>Obvious.

Jimmie posts about ham radio in World War TWO? I thought they
were posts about and prior to World War ONE!

Poor Stebie. Best he ever got for military HF comms was as
ASSISTANT NCOIC of a small MARS station. He never worked in
the Big Time of HF military comms.

>> > MARS is a part of SHARES...(SNIP)
>
>> Yep.
>
>> And that doesn't detract ONE BIT from the TITLE of the
>> thread...Actually, it ADDS to it.
>
>Actually, I shortened it.

Stebie wanted to be a part of Grecian Firebolt but he couldn't
get his match lit...or speak Greek.

>>> > Does the U.S. military need ham-assisted phone patches now?
>>
>>> Is "ham-assisted phone patches" ALL that the Armed Forces expects
>> from MARS...?!?!
>
>> Nope.
>
>> And Lennie wouldn't know that, considering his experience level in
>> MARS and/or Amateur Radio.
>
>Be specific (if you can).

Stebie not know of the AN/FRC-93, a standard radio at MARS
stations of 30 years ago. Tsk, tsk.

Stebie not know of standard small-unit radio AN/PRC-119 that
went operational in U.S. military in 1989...when Stebie was
supposedly still in USMC.

>> > MARS was never designed/implemented/devised to be a PART of
>> > mainstream military communications. It isn't now.
>
>> Nope. It wasn't. Who here said it was? I sure didn't.
>
>Right. You just make stoopid assertions, such as "Sorry Hans, MARS
>>>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" because you don't know dink about MARS, your claims to >having
been "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa notwithstanding.

Stebie is very, very busy trying to light his match to blaze
hisself in the glory and majesty (his idea) of MARS-manship.
[ASSISTANTS get like that some times...]

>> > All of the above I wrote today has been written by me in here
>> > before. Apparently Stebie is way too selective in what he
>> > looks for on Google searching.
>
>> Nope.
>
>> What I point out is that you denigrate and deny the services and
>> achievements of MARS and Amateur Radio services alike.
>
>You denigrate other amateurs. You insult real MARS members with your
>stoopid assertions.

Stebie is too full of HATE in here of others that don't care
to kiss his royal (marine-issue) ass. :-)

>> ChiefMARS has to answer to higher-ups in the "MILITARY" chain of
>> command.
>
>Duh.

Poor Stebie, took him months to acknowledge the latest Directive
from the Department of Defense actually EXISTS! :-)

>> > [Stebie is now going to compose a "YOU LIE, YOU LIE!" message]
>
>> I don't need to yell them out, Lennie. Your mistruths are usually
>> glaring enough to stand out on their own...
>
>Your are obvious. Obviously wrong about a lot of things.

Stebie NEVER WRRRRONNNNNGGGG. He call all others nastynames
if they say he WRRRRRONNNNNGGGGG.

All the PCTA extras be big "bell ringers" in here. :-)

Maybe they hope to graduate from "Ding Dong School?" :-)

>> > LenStillDoesn'tKnowAthingAboutMARSorAmateurRadioAnder...@ieee.org
>
>> Putz.
>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>SteveStillDoesn'tKnowDinkAboutMARSorAmateurRadioK...@aol.com

Poor Stebie. Still resentful that I've been a professional and
having begun HF radio communications before he was born. Such
HATE he has. Tsk, tsk.

Now he is HATING the military and MARS by not understanding what
they really did as Special Services radio for the troops...30

years ago. Well, he's got all that patriotic bunting of glory
and speechifying he wraps hisself in...his new "uniform." Too
bad he can't show his teeth in those Very Serious Uniform Poses.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

[IEEE is a professional association...I am a Life Member]

3826. Re: could you be clearer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 01:51:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119923467.754789.233980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111109

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Sorry which post?
> > > I have never said you are gay.
> > >
> > > You deny the one under name without the word Faggot in it?
>
> That was his name, Mark, but to his credit, it wasn't him...this
> time.

assuming you this how Stevie

>
> > > I was wondering even as I replied to it
> > > or ther other post beofre this one
> > >
> > > I would not be certain Stevie was behind (although he may well be) but
> > > there have been cases of people pretending to be stevie to make the
> > > interaction More entertaining to to them
> > >
> > > I am curently happy with my own sexual partners (yes I used the pural)
>
> Sponge Bob and Mr Bill...?!?!

None of your affair Stevie

>
> > No, someone on here pretending to be me and it pisses me off...(SNIP)
>
> And AGAIN you zip right past accepting ANY responsibility for YOUR
> behaviour...

If true it is a trait you are also quite famier with Steve
>
> It was NOT "just" those letters that got you canned, Todd.
>
> Those forgeries were unfortunate, but YOU provided the forum,
> opportunity and encouragement for that kind of behaviour!

Just which notes are you admiting were forgeries Stevie boy
>
> > because
> > that's what got me thrown off the internet in the first place, someone
> > pertending to be me.
>

> No, no, NO!
>
> It was YOU being YOU that got you thrown off, Todd!

Not it seems without your help Steve

>
> And it's painfully apparent that you haven't learned a whole lot
> form the experience, either!

Pianfully to whom? you? Good going Todd

>
> > it was either steve or one of his little buddies
> > trying once again to get people pissed off at me and have kick off
> > again.
>
> If you get kicked off again, it will be YOUR fault.

Why is that Stevie

>
> And it wasn't me, nor was it anyone I know.

making that as a declaritive sentence has to be a lie

Case 1 the best BTW you realy don't know who did it and thus you can't
say it was or was not anyone you know.

Case 2 You do know which means you know the prep and are lying in
claiming you don't the perp

>
> Steve, K4YZ

3827. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 01:52:48 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <1g81c1hb4qfgbrkbus419ml0tcvu42kvoi@4ax.com>
Source record: 111110

On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >What would you suggest?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >
>> >> >What do you suggest?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >> >
>> >> >What do you suggest?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >>
>> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >>
>> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >> >
>> >> >Then what do you suggest I do?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >> >
>> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >> >
>> >> >What do you suggest I do?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >> >What would you suggest?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >> >What do you suggest I do, Leo?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?

>> >
>> >> >What do you suggest?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >
>> >> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >
>> >> >And your suggestion is?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >> >
>> >> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>> >>
>> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
>> >>
>> >In a word: No.
>> >
>> >It's not rather obvious, Leo.
>>
>> Sorry to hear that, Jim. How simplified do you need things to be?
>
>It's not about simplification, Leo. It's about clarity.
>
>Why can't you just clearly and plainly state what you
>suggest that I do?

I have - many, many times.

You must decide.

>
>> >What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
>> >answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
>> >
>> >What's your suggestion?
>>
>> The way I see it, you have two options:
>>
>> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>
>OK so far.

Glad to hear it!

So far, so good.

>
>> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
>> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of

>> someone's strings....
>
>That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you suggest *I*
>take.

That is your current reality.

>>
>> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
>> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
>> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
>> you know you are in the right.

This is your potential future reality.

>
>So what do you suggest *I* do?

Decide!

>
>> Owned or free.
>
>That's your spin.

That's your opinion.

Owned - or free.

You must decide.

>>
>> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>
>So there *is* a choice!

There is always a choice.

Yours to make if your are able to do so,

You must decide!

>
>> Choose wisely!
>
>Wise by whose standards?

We'll all see the answer to that one soon enough - when you decide!

>
>How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical terms?

Hmmm - you read the two options, right? :)

>What actions do you suggest?

Decide! Only you can make this decision - you're on your own now.

Good luck!

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

3828. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 01:52:48 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <2p91c1d4voeu9t3jhsgktngn4550t18le9@4ax.com>
Source record: 111112

On 27 Jun 2005 15:37:43 -0700, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:

>
>
>Leo wrote:
>> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> >What's your suggestion?
>>
>> The way I see it, you have two options:
>>
>> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>>
>> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
>> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
>> someone's strings....
>>
>> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
>> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
>> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
>> you know you are in the right.
>>
>> Owned or free.
>>
>> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>>
>> Choose wisely!
>>
>> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> 73, Leo
>
>Grasshopper, snatch this pebble from my hand.

Ah - the journey of 1,000 miles begins with but a single step.

He must decide.

73, Leo

3829. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 01:52:48 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <e991c197u2f3melfa6tl6s8fddblijq445@4ax.com>
Source record: 111113

On 27 Jun 2005 16:02:31 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: Leo on Mon 27 Jun 2005 07:19
>
>>On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> <The following cantu-respondu is repeated 14 times, more
> or less the same>

Thank God for copy-and-paste - I'd never have made it! :)

>
>>>> >What would you suggest?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>
>>>In a word: No.
>>>
>>>It's not rather obvious, Leo.
>>
>>Sorry to hear that, Jim. How simplified do you need things to be?
>
> Based on years of trying to play the Olde Guru of Amateur
> Radio in here, he simply wants things HIS WAY. He was that
> way on an AOL amateur radio group, too.

BTW - are there archives of those discussions on the Net anywhere?

Might make interesting reading..... :)

>
>
>>>What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
>>>answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
>>>
>>>What's your suggestion?
>>
>>The way I see it, you have two options:
>>
>>1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>>2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>>
>>Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
>>in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of

>>someone's strings....
>
> He will do it again (and did) following. Predictable. :-)

We'll soon see - he might surprise us!

>
>>Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
>>intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
>>considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
>>you know you are in the right.
>>
>>Owned or free.
>>
>>The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>>
>>Choose wisely!
>
> He hasn't, but then HE MUST BE *RIGHT* in whatever he sayeth.

He might! We'll all know soon enough......

>
> All who speak contrary to His wisdom are WRRRONNNNGGGG.
>
> The bells have been ringing in here with the above for years.
>
> Watch the replies to his "Riley" encyclical. :-)

Heh. I'm watching 'er grow day by day.........

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

3830. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 01:52:49 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <hr91c1tilqu9sla1m3k1s6fjoa8b0d907f@4ax.com>
Source record: 111111

On 27 Jun 2005 15:41:23 -0700, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:

>
>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Leo wrote:
>> > On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> > >What's your suggestion?
>> >
>> > The way I see it, you have two options:
>> >
>> > 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>> > 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>>
>> OK so far.
>>
>> > Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
>> > in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
>> > someone's strings....
>>
>> That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you suggest *I*
>> take.
>> >
>> > Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
>> > intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
>> > considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
>> > you know you are in the right.
>>
>> So what do you suggest *I* do?
>>
>> > Owned or free.
>>
>> That's your spin.
>> >
>> > The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>>
>> So there *is* a choice!
>>
>> > Choose wisely!
>>
>> Wise by whose standards?
>>
>> How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical terms?

>> What actions do you suggest?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>And so Grasshopper hesitates. Asks many questions. Must be told what
>he is to do, rather than just do what he is told.
>
>The pebble is withdrawn and Grasshopper is none the richer and none the
>wiser.

Ah - it is true, he has missed the pebble. Again. (sigh)

But take heart - perhaps there is a greater treasure to be attained.

Perhaps, by missing the little pebble he is but one step closer to the
final destination.

He must decide.

73, Leo :)

3831. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 02:05:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119924349.057865.198260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111114

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > ... the cw part
>
> Is an amateur test. And is a trivial problem to people with
> real educations..

Sorry you are plain wrong in many cases
>
> > makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
> > harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
>
> Then why require someone with no interest in VHF-UHF to learn
> those techniques in order to operate on HF? Why require
> knowedge of FSK, PSK and other data modes to operate voice?
> Why require knowledge of transistors and ICs to operate
> vacuum-tube equipment?

We don't require knowledge of given mode meraly a scatering of them.
you could choose to know nothing about psk and get your license. but
not Morse encoded CW

>
> IOW, why require anyone to learn anything about a subject they
> are not interested in, just to get a license to do the things
> they *are* interested in?

we don't a plain falsehood
>
> --
>
> Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
> is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
> isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
> a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>
> In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place
> as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
> do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
> ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
> it so much.

It isn't an equalizer it is a discriminating factor based on the abilty
of the brain to process certain data types

Few people start at the same place, the feild is simply not level, level playing field is what the US is about, can't always manage it but in theory we try

People can and do skip over a question here and threre Indeed when I took my tests for tech I skiped over learning the band edges of the novice bands I took a guess when the time came

>

3832. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 02:08:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119924530.478204.49220@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111115

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > ... the amateur tests are a trivial problem to men with real
> > educations...
>
> What about women with real educations?
>
> Would you consider someone with a BSEE from the University of
> Pennsylvania and an MSEE from Drexel University to have
> "a real education"?
> >
> > ... the cw part
>
> Is an amateur test. And is a trivial problem to people with
> real educations..

Simply not neccasarly so Jim

>
> > makes as much sense as learning to play a "jew's
> > harp"--a lot of sense if you wish to, none if you don't...
>
> Then why require someone with no interest in VHF-UHF to learn
> those techniques in order to operate on HF? Why require
> knowedge of FSK, PSK and other data modes to operate voice?
> Why require knowledge of transistors and ICs to operate
> vacuum-tube equipment?

Why? We don't require it you don't have to get right fully 25 percent
of the stuff, and you can pick and choose what not to know

>
> IOW, why require anyone to learn anything about a subject they
> are not interested in, just to get a license to do the things
> they *are* interested in?

We don't you presentation is inaccurate
>
> --
>
> Perhaps what bothers some people the most about the code test
> is that it isn't something most people already know. And it
> isn't something that can be learned by reading a book, watching
> a video, etc. It's a skill, not "book learning".
>
> In learning the code, a Ph.D in EE has to start at the same place

> as a grade-schooler. And the grade schooler may learn faster and
> do better! Perhaps it is this characteristic of the test - its
> ability to act as a Great Equalizer - that causes some to resent
> it so much.

Not true, surely you understand that not everyone has the difficulty in
learning Morse Code.

That creates a bias based on brain fucntion and anything but a level
feild

>
>

3833. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 02:11:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Wl2we.74$uN5.1810575@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111117

... when lost in "La La Land" just follow the yellow brick road...

John

"Lloyd" <pizza@lloyddistman.net> wrote in message
news:d9pr87$u5d$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:BEYve.31$I37.783405@news.sisna.com...
>> Lloyd:
>>
>> Mixing LSD with Psilocybin and Mescaline is dangerous--I must caution
>> against such abuse...
>>
>> John
>
>
> John,
>
> You apologized twice, when only once was necessary. Now you
> are groveling. AND, worst of all, Secwet Woger is your fwiend.
> I cannot help you any further, perhaps someone else here can.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>
>
>

3834. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 02:12:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119924737.611725.267720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111116

```
Leo wrote:
> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >What would you suggest?
> >> >>
> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >
> >> >> >What do you suggest?
> >> >>
> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >What do you suggest?
> >> >>
> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >>
> >> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
> >> >>
> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >>
> >> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
> >> >>
> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Then what do you suggest I do?
> >> >>
> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
> >> >>
> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >What do you suggest I do?
> >> >>
> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >> >What would you suggest?
> >> >>
> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >> >What do you suggest I do, Leo?
> >> >>
```

> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >
> >> >> >What do you suggest?
> >> >>
> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >
> >> >> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
> >> >>
> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >
> >> >> >And your suggestion is?
> >> >>
> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
> >> >>
> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> >> >>
> >> >In a word: No.
> >> >
> >> >It's not rather obvious, Leo.
> >>
> >> Sorry to hear that, Jim. How simplified do you need things to be?
> >
> >It's not about simplification, Leo. It's about clarity.
> >
> >Why can't you just clearly and plainly state what you
> >suggest that I do?
>
> I have - many, many times.

You just keep writing that I must decide. But
you do not state concrete actions that the
decision will produce.

> You must decide.

Why?

> >> >What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
> >> >answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
> >> >
> >> >What's your suggestion?
> >>
> >> The way I see it, you have two options:
> >>
> >> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
> >> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
> >
> >OK so far.
>

> Glad to hear it!
>
> So far, so good.

> >> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who > >> goad you
> >> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end > >> of someone's
strings....
> >
> >That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you
> >suggest *I* take.
>
> That is your current reality.

That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)
>
> >>
> >> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1

For you, maybe.

> >> - it requires the
> >> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait,
> >> and
> >> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond,
> >> even when you know you are in the right.

You're begging the question, Leo.

> This is your potential future reality.

> >So what do you suggest *I* do?
>
> Decide!

Actions, Leo.
>
> >> Owned or free.
> >
> >That's your spin.
>
> That's your opinion.

Fact.

> Owned - or free.
>
> You must decide.

Why?

> >> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
> >
> >So there *is* a choice!
>

> There is always a choice.

Odd to hear from someone who told me I could not stop
myself.

> Yours to make if your are able to do so,

Ah - now there's a condition!

> You must decide!

Why?

> >> Choose wisely!
> >
> >Wise by whose standards?
>
> We'll all see the answer to that one soon enough - when you
> decide!

How will you know what is decided?
>
> >
> >How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical > >terms?
>
> Hmmm - you read the two options, right? :)

Just can't make yourself spell them out, I see.

> >What actions do you suggest?
>
> Decide! Only you can make this decision - you're on your own
> now.

You have not offered the requested information. Seems to me that
when you speak of "abuse" and "control" and "goading", you're
really talking about yourself, not Len.

Or perhaps you and he are one and the same.

> Good luck!

Be seeing you.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3835. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 02:35:54 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119926154.584098.229210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111118

Leo wrote:
> On 27 Jun 2005 15:37:43 -0700, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >> >What's your suggestion?
> >>
> >> The way I see it, you have two options:
> >>
> >> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
> >> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
> >>
> >> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
> >> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
> >> someone's strings....
> >>
> >> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
> >> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
> >> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
> >> you know you are in the right.
> >>
> >> Owned or free.
> >>
> >> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
> >>
> >> Choose wisely!
> >>
> >> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> 73, Leo
> >
> >Grasshopper, snatch this pebble from my hand.
>
> Ah - the journey of 1,000 miles begins with but a single step.
>
> He must decide.
>
> 73, Leo

Nevermind the journey. It's probably time to hear again about the
exploits of amateur radio during WWII.

3836. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 02:38:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <RK2we.18$j21.16@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 111119

Hello, Len

LOL, no I didn't save Saipan. I simply made initial contact with Hans who *was* on Saipan loading a chain link fence, I believe, as the Coast Guard tower had been blown over. At that point, I was due on watch and 3 other guys took over at KG6AAY. They were the ones secured from all other duty. I went to my regular assigned job in crypto.

It was the other guys who maintained communications with Rota and a number of them got citations from Captain Delaney (our commanding officer).

The only reason that the Navy radiomen didn't make contact is that they didn't realize that Han's signal was so strong that it was overriding the local oscillator, thus rendering the SSB signal unreadable. Had they pulled the antenna and stuck a coat hanger in the antenna jack in the radio, they'd have had good reception.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1119921218.599263.88110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
From: bb on Jun 27, 7:39 pm

> True. He saved Saipan once and told that story many times. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

[IEEE is a professional association...I am a Life Member]

3837. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 02:47:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <_S2we.305$9g.49@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 111120

John,

You must realize that I *love* the United States. It is because of that love that I am very afraid of GW.

The attack on Afghanistan was fully justified, especially since they wanted to protect Bin Laden.

The attack on Iraq seems more than a bit muddied. Now that one or two generals mentioned what was needed and that things were not going as expected, I'd expect heads to roll (their heads).

I have great faith and trust in our military. GW was not listening to his military advisors as to what was needed in the first place, and I shan't mention the missing weapons.

Many members of the military who have been in the military for a couple of decades have experienced war. The high ranking officers likely have lead in some of those ... um ... skirmishes? I suspect they have a far better idea as to what it takes than someone who apparently found a way to avoid military service almost entirely (except for, perhaps, a week). High ranking military officials have input that is sorely needed in the White House; unfortunately, they were ignored.

I have no desire to appease either the French or the Muslims. I do wish, however, that I could go back to my grandfather's native Scotland if only to visit.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Gh0we.70$a32.1188982@news.sisna.com...
> Jim:
>
> Well, go take of your french buddies, maybe you will want to appease the
> muslims too...
>
> John
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:qRZve.288$9g.82@news02.roc.ny...
> > Hello, John
> >
> > You are correct - HF (and MF) amateur radio is not isolated. Signals
> > cross
> > international boundaries. As to "sovereign nation, it sounds good and

> > is,
> > except that what we are discussing crosses international boundaries.
> > The
> > international agreements will have to happen - or would you prefer
> > that
> > Radio Moscow rear it's head on a directional array running 5,000,000
> > watts
> > in the middle of our AM broadcast band?
> >
> > VHF and above does not often stray far (although the stuff from 30 MHz
> > to
> > perhaps a bit above 6 meters can and does at times, especially during
> > the
> > peak of the sunspot cycle); therefore the FCC is very free to
> > rearrange
> > things that don't affect satellite transmission/reception.
> >
> > Heck, when you said "one world order", I thought you were going to
> > mention
> > our friend, GW
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
> >
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:LKWve.6$Mo.183454@news.sisna.com...
> >> Jim:
> >>
> >> Yes, you touch on globalization and "one world order" and/or "new
> >> order"
> >> (hey, wasn't that a phrase invented by Adolph Hitler's klick?)
> >>
> >> Seems like a little more thought on "sovereign nation" and just
> >> exactly
> >> what that is might be to our best interest.
> >>
> >> Also, seems with each passing day "they" are anxious to give us
> >> reasons
> >> why we should lose respect for gov't and authority and, the effects
> >> of
> >> this are rather frightening--it even touches my neighborhood--a place
> >> which was once safe and secure.
> >>
> >> I can hardly see how this is not having an effect on this great
> >> hobby--amateur radio is not an isolated island.
> >>
> >> John

> >
> >
>

3838. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 03:05:33 UTC
From: Sparks <cq@sparkscqcqcq.net>
Message-ID: <d9qejidmd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111121

Oh my, yet another version of the "Hans Is A Radio Hero" story.
Down at the Legion, they have at least five or six different
versions of the story, with the best versions being told after several
shot 'n beers are consumed by the grand story teller.
Yawwwwwwwwwwwwwwn, why do old men never tire of telling
borrrrrrrrrrrrrring embellished war stories?

73,

Sparks

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:RK2we.18$j21.16@news01.roc.ny...
> Hello, Len
>
> LOL, no I didn't save Saipan. I simply made initial contact with Hans who
> *was* on Saipan loading a chain link fence, I believe, as the Coast Guard
> tower had been blown over. At that point, I was due on watch and 3 other
> guys took over at KG6AAY. They were the ones secured from all other duty.
> I went to my regular assigned job in crypto.
>
> It was the other guys who maintained communications with Rota and a number
> of them got citations from Captain Delaney (our commanding officer).
>
> The only reason that the Navy radiomen didn't make contact is that they
> didn't realize that Han's signal was so strong that it was overriding the
> local oscillator, thus rendering the SSB signal unreadable. Had they
> pulled
> the antenna and stuck a coat hanger in the antenna jack in the radio,
> they'd
> have had good reception.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1119921218.599263.88110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: bb on Jun 27, 7:39 pm
>
>> True. He saved Saipan once and told that story many times. :-)

>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> [IEEE is a professional association...I am a Life Member]
>
>

3839. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 03:15:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119928545.661536.123810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111122

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1119826889.824076.276320@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1119738549.767548.163900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > K1MAN PT2
> >> > FROM http://n9oglvice.blogspot.com
> >> >
> >> > [13. Section 97.101(d) of the Rules states that ``[n]o
> >> > amateur operator shall willfully or maliciously interfere
> >> > with or cause interference to any radio communication or
> >> > signal.''12 On November 27, 2004, December 8, 2004, and
> >> > March 31, 2005, Baxter's Amateur station K1MAN commenced
> >> > transmitting on top of existing communications on 3.890 MHz
> >> > in apparent willful and repeated violation of 97.101(d) of
> >> > the Commission's rules.]
> >> >
> >> > OH, and the ARRL W1AW don't?? I don't see amateurs bitch and cry about
> >> > W1AW, nor, do I see the FCC sending warning letters to them. Hey,
> >> > interfernce is interfernce, and it doesn't matter who's causing it the
> >> > rules should apply to all.
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> The difference is Baxter does it in a intentional manner. He has said on
> >> his 24/7 broadcasts that he does not bother to listen on his intended
> >> frequency of operation. Because we all should "know" he is coming on
> >> there.
> >>
> > >
> > > but neither does W1AW, I've heard amateurs complaining about W1AW
> > > transmitting ontop of them. It really shouldn't matter if they
> > > transmitting 24/7 or for 15 min, interfernce is interfernce on any
> > > level.
> > >
> >
> > Then file a complaint. You know why folks don't file against W1AW? Because
> > the provide a service. Not a bully pulpit like your soon to be shot down
> > hero.
> >

It don't matter if they [W1AW] is providing a service, interfernce is
interfernce.

> >> The W1AW information bulletins are well published, as per FCC rules,
> >> Baxter has a few paragraphs on an obscure web page.
> >>
> >
> > First off Information bulletins do not have to be published, only if
> > they are on a certain amount of time which is 48 hr a week for the
> > purpose of compesation. As for baxter's website I will admit that a
> > five year old could do a better job making a website, then what he did.
> >
>
> Then why does Blapster make such a big deal about his "published schedule"
> and uses that as his PRIMARY reason to claim he is being interfered with ?
> Sorry, can't have it both ways. Face it...Blapster is a sham. He should
> be standing in a circus tent hawking hair growth cream.
>

the FCC has even told K1MAN that his published schedule didn't mean
squat, and that his published schedule was no excuse for interfernce.

> >> W1AW is a real organization. K1MAN is a one man pony show.
> >
> > A information bulletin is NOT limited to clubs, anyone can run an
> > Information Bulletin
> >>
> >> etc.
> >>
>
> That is correct. Maybe one day Baxter will actually transmit a
> "information bulletin". What you think?

the main problem that I see is that amateur believe an information
bulletin cannot be opinionated, yet there is no rule on it. Many
amateur believe that those who run information bulletins should only
run "newscast" however, if the FCC wanted it to be only newscast then
they would specify that it should be only a newscast, the FCC has the
ability and power to specify what an information bulletin is. The FCC
has the power to limit what an information bulletin is, provided that
it is the least restrictive mean necessary to promote govenment
intrest, the problem is they don't.
>
> >> > [14. Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules prohibits an Amateur
> >> > station from transmitting any communications in which the
> >> > station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary
> >> > interest. On November 25, 2004 and March 30, 2005, Mr.
> >> > Baxter's station repeatedly transmitted references to his
> >> > website, which offers various products for sale, including a
> >> > monthly newsletter published by Glenn Baxter and offered for
> >> > sale for forty-five dollars per year. In addition, on
> >> > December 1, 2004, Station K1MAN transmitted a seventy-minute

> >> > interview with a person who was considering whether to
> >> > retain Baxter Associates, an employment-search firm owned by
> >> > Mr. Baxter. During the transmission, Mr. Baxter discussed
> >> > fees, investments, and franchising opportunities. We find
> >> > that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly violated
> >> > Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules on each of these occasions
> >> > by transmitting communications regarding matters in which he
> >> > has a pecuniary interest.]
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Oh, the ARRL doesn't do that??? the rule states that pecuniary interest
> >> > applies to direct and indirect. K1MAN is doing direct, while the ARRL
> >> > doesn't indirect.
> >> >
> >>
> >> No the ARRL does NOT SOLICITE on its bulletins. K1MAN does. End of
> >> subject.
> >>

They don't, the word is DIRECT AND INDIRECT. K1MAN does in his bulletin
[DIRECT] while the ARRL has a website that has stuff forsale and offer
a credit card [INDIRECT] and although they don't promote their website
in their news bulletins they still run a site that offers goods
[INDIRECT]

> > No but the rules state a station can't make money direct or indirect.
> > K1MAN does do it DIRECT, while the ARRL is doing it INDIRECT. but the
> > rules does state a station can't make money direct or indirect.
> >
>
> Reference and show me how the ARRL is soliciting on the air.
>
They don't but the do own a site that offers goods which is [indirect]

> Get ready to suck it up Toad.
>
> >>
> >> > [16. Section 97.113(b) of the Rules prohibits, with limited
> >> > exceptions not applicable here, an Amateur station from
> >> > engaging in any form of broadcasting or transmitting one-way
> >> > transmissions. Section 97.3(a)(10) of the Rules defines
> >> > broadcasting as ``transmissions intended for reception by
> >> > the general public.'' 14 We find that the pre-recorded
> >> > seventy-minute interview with a person interested in
> >> > retaining Baxter Associates, during which there was no
> >> > station identification, constitutes a ``broadcast'' and an
> >> > impermissible one-way transmission. Therefore, Mr. Baxter
> >> > apparently willfully violated Section 97.113(b) of the
> >> > Rules.]
> >> >
> >> > and the question I have for the FCC is where does this and how does it

> >> > apply to section 326 of the communication act. after all isn't it up to
> >> > the station to deiced what is of intrest to amateur radio??
> >> >
> >>
> >> Ohhhhhh.....Baxter is the one that determines what I want to hear? That
> >> is
> >> really funny Toad.
> >
> > Under the FCC rules it states it is up to station transmitting the
> > bulletin, the FCC even states that on their website. If you don't want
> > to hear him then that's what a VFO is for.
> >>
>
> Not when that SOB sits down on top of me and those I am in QSO with. All
> that idiot would have had to do was use this little phrase "Is this freq in
> use". And follow that up with "I am intending to use 14.275 to send my
> bulletin, would you guys mind standing by for it". You know what Toad?
> To a man we would all agreed to move. But oh no....not the mighty MAN. He
> comes on and DEMANDS we clear HIS frequency. And yes I am ONE OF THOSE
> that sent in the multitude of reports, and proud of it.
>
True, I pick a frequency that is not in use and ask if the frequency is
in uses, and if I don't hear anyone I start my bulletin.

> Why isn't he on 14.275 any longer ?????? I'll tell you why....he got his
> arse whipped like a mangy dog thats why.
>
>
> >>
> >> > finally I would like to tell all my fans that this sick joke of a NAL
> >> > does not effect my station or the N9OGL SHOW
> >> >
> >> > TODD N9OGL
> >> > THE N9OGL SHOW
> >> > 14.321.00 MHz
> >> >
> >>
> >> sick joke? I don't consider a 21000 NAL a sick joke. If you do.....you
> >> have a mental problem.
> >>
> > It is a sick Joke in the sense that one of the Issues in the NAL was
> > overturned once already by the Commission in DC back in 2004. (it dealt
> > with the content of his station)as for the other stuff I don't think
> > really think it's sick but it does raise some questions. You have to
> > remeber this was a district office that sent the NAL, and it's not a
> > FINAL ORDER, there is a Looooooong process before the final ruling is
> > set, including a hearing before an Administrative Law Jugde (ALJ) and
> > if he don't like that ruling he can go to the US Court of Appeal and
> > then just maybe, if they want to hear it the Supreme Court When the FCC
> > goes around controling the content of the station that is transmitting

> > it then it is a violation of Section 326 of the Comunication Act of
> > 1934 as Amended.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> It was from the district office the wanabee boy broadcaster stated. Where
> do you think the order to do so came from nitwit? Right....FCC
> headquarters.
>
No, according to the NAL at the bottom it was from the district office
in boston, I read the NAL from the FCC's site. I really suggest on how
the FCC is set up before commenting on something like this. (see below)

from http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-259301A1.html

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Dennis V. Loria
District Director
Boston Office
Northeastern Region
Enforcement Bureau

> Wake up me boy. When the FCC comes down on you like this.....you are
> history. This isn't anything new, its been in the works since the mid 80s.
> It just took a bunch of dedicated hams that were willing to stand and fight
> to get the ball rolling. And your talking to one of them right now.
>
> I suggest you forget your little broadcasting career, or get ready for a
> history repeat. Understand? And no Toad...its not a threat....its a
> promise. Ham radio is sick of you children trying to mess up our play pen.
>
No thanks DAN, My problem is since your little BITCHWHORES at the FCC
don't want to consider my application or waiver, for a broadcast
license, my opinion is you and themn can go fuck yourself.

Todd N9OGL
> Dan/W4NTI

3840. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 03:31:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119929503.001208.214900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111124

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Stebie is very, very busy trying to light his match to blaze
> hisself in the glory and majesty (his idea) of MARS-manship.
> [ASSISTANTS get like that some times...]

I can't accept his claims to have been in MARS in any capacity. The
ignorance he has displayed wrt MARS is too vast.

3841. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 03:32:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <hx3we.80$Yd6.2060329@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111123

Jim:

Yes, the bush is a bit of a worry...

Rummy said we will be in Iraq another 12 years--I expect they will have just finished stealing their oil by then...

John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:_S2we.305$9g.49@news02.roc.ny...
> John,
>
> You must realize that I *love* the United States. It is because of
> that
> love that I am very afraid of GW.
>
> The attack on Afghanistan was fully justified, especially since they
> wanted
> to protect Bin Laden.
>
> The attack on Iraq seems more than a bit muddied. Now that one or two
> generals mentioned what was needed and that things were not going as
> expected, I'd expect heads to roll (their heads).
>
> I have great faith and trust in our military. GW was not listening to
> his
> military advisors as to what was needed in the first place, and I
> shan't
> mention the missing weapons.
>
> Many members of the military who have been in the military for a
> couple of
> decades have experienced war. The high ranking officers likely have
> lead in
> some of those ... um ... skirmishes? I suspect they have a far better
> idea
> as to what it takes than someone who apparently found a way to avoid
> military service almost entirely (except for, perhaps, a week). High
> ranking military officials have input that is sorely needed in the
> White
> House; unfortunately, they were ignored.
>
> I have no desire to appease either the French or the Muslims. I do
> wish,

> however, that I could go back to my grandfather's native Scotland if
> only to
> visit.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Gh0we.70$a32.1188982@news.sisna.com...
>> Jim:
>>
>> Well, go take of your french buddies, maybe you will want to appease
>> the
>> muslims too...
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:qRZve.288$9g.82@news02.roc.ny...
>> > Hello, John
>> >
>> > You are correct - HF (and MF) amateur radio is not isolated.
>> > Signals
>> > cross
>> > international boundaries. As to "sovereign nation, it sounds good
>> > and
>> > is,
>> > except that what we are discussing crosses international
>> > boundaries.
>> > The
>> > international agreements will have to happen - or would you prefer
>> > that
>> > Radio Moscow rear it's head on a directional array running
>> > 5,000,000
>> > watts
>> > in the middle of our AM broadcast band?
>> >
>> > VHF and above does not often stray far (although the stuff from 30
>> > MHz
>> > to
>> > perhaps a bit above 6 meters can and does at times, especially
>> > during
>> > the
>> > peak of the sunspot cycle); therefore the FCC is very free to
>> > rearrange
>> > things that don't affect satellite transmission/reception.

>> >
>> > Heck, when you said "one world order", I thought you were going to
>> > mention
>> > our friend, GW
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:LKWve.6$Mo.183454@news.sisna.com...
>> >> Jim:
>> >>
>> >> Yes, you touch on globalization and "one world order" and/or "new
>> >> order"
>> >> (hey, wasn't that a phrase invented by Adolph Hitler's klick?)
>> >>
>> >> Seems like a little more thought on "sovereign nation" and just
>> >> exactly
>> >> what that is might be to our best interest.
>> >>
>> >> Also, seems with each passing day "they" are anxious to give us
>> >> reasons
>> >> why we should lose respect for gov't and authority and, the
>> >> effects
>> >> of
>> >> this are rather frightening--it even touches my neighborhood--a
>> >> place
>> >> which was once safe and secure.
>> >>
>> >> I can hardly see how this is not having an effect on this great
>> >> hobby--amateur radio is not an isolated island.
>> >>
>> >> John
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

3842. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 05:12:03 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119935523.657680.84260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111125

From: Leo on Jun 27, 9:52 pm

>On 27 Jun 2005 16:02:31 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>From: Leo on Mon 27 Jun 2005 07:19
>>>On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:

>> <The following cantu-respondu is repeated 14 times, more
>> or less the same>
>
>Thank God for copy-and-paste - I'd never have made it! :)

Tsk, his "answers" were little better than cut-and-paste. :-(

>>>>> >What would you suggest?
>
>>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>
>>>>In a word: No.
>
>>>>It's not rather obvious, Leo.
>
>>>Sorry to hear that, Jim. How simplified do you need things to be?
>
>> Based on years of trying to play the Olde Guru of Amateur
>> Radio in here, he simply wants things HIS WAY. He was that
>> way on an AOL amateur radio group, too.
>
>BTW - are there archives of those discussions on the Net anywhere?
>
>Might make interesting reading..... :)

I seriously doubt it would be "interesting." It was just
"ARRL south" parrot phrases. AOL did not have a large
ham radio group. If it was archived, it would be on AOL
(which I can access) but it was the same old stuff as in
here.

>>>Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
>>>in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
>>>someone's strings....
>
>> He will do it again (and did) following. Predictable. :-)
>
>We'll soon see - he might surprise us!

I wouldn't put any serious money on that...

>>>Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
>>>intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
>>>considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
>>>you know you are in the right.
>
>>>Owned or free.
>
>>>The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>
>>>Choose wisely!
>
>> He hasn't, but then HE MUST BE *RIGHT* in whatever he sayeth.
>
>He might! We'll all know soon enough......

Usual tactic is to jump off into a NEW preaching subject, this
week it is "Riley Hollingsworth Speaks!"

Looks rather like a Sermon on the Antenna Mount first draft...
along with a segue into U.S. ham radio a la 1912. :-(

>> All who speak contrary to His wisdom are WRRRONNNNGGGG.
>
>> The bells have been ringing in here with the above for years.
>
>> Watch the replies to his "Riley" encyclical. :-)
>
>Heh. I'm watching 'er grow day by day.........

Ding Dong School is in session. Sheesh.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3843. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 05:13:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119935632.298496.14770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111126

From: N...@AOL.COM on Jun 27, 6:11 pm

>Jim Hampton wrote:
>> The HF bands are, many times, international in scope. This story is not
>> about the FCC, it is about international agreements.
>
>It's about both, really.

There we have it from an "insider."

>The US delegation to the radio conferences looks to FCC for input,
>though. (Not just FCC, of course.) There's a big difference between
>what happens if FCC says that 75 is such a mess it isn't worth
>defending at the conferences versus the reverse.

WRRRRONNNNNGGGGGGG.

The U.S. delegation to the WRCs >>>IS<<< composed of members from
the FCC, the NTIA, and Department of State. All three. You can
read that on the FCC website or read the Report of the Chairperson
(OF the FCC) on WRC-03.

>There's also a lesson in human nature in there, too. When Rudy Giuliani
>was elected mayor of NYC, one of his priorities was enforcement of

The POLITICAL newsgroups are on the 2nd floor. Go there and
find some Noo Yawk buddies.

>> If you look at cell phones, you might get an idea of the extent of the
>> problem. In developed countries, cell phones have become big business. In
>> the U.S., every teenager "needs" one. It takes a lot of cell phone towers
>> to provide service, not to mention ever increasing needs of frequencies.
>
>Right - but those will be VHF/UHF, not HF.

Low end of L-Band, in the microwaves.

>Back in 1912, hams had access to "200 meters and down" (note - that did
>not mean any ham could use any frequency above 1500 kHz! It meant that
>individual hams could apply for, and receive, licenses to use specific
>frequencies above 1500. So could anyone else, but the professionals and
>experts of the time thought those frequencies were useless for
>long-distance communications.

1912 was NINETY THREE YEARS AGO, senior. The "audion" was only
six years old and "electronics professionals" were still trying
to figure out how to make them.

>When HF was carved into bands, US hams had lots of room. Before 1929,
>40 meters was 7000 to 8000 kHz and 20 meters was 14,000 to 16,000 kHz -
>exact harmonics of 80!

Got any more CUT AND PASTE from "200 Meters and Down?"

Anybody in here born BEFORE 1929, senior?

>Most of the communications revolution has nothing to do with radio.
>It's all about fiber optics.

Really?!? "Nothing to do with radio" yet it is a main subject
of the following trade magazines: "Microwaves and RF," "RF
Design." Not to mention the big Microwave Symposium recently
in Long Beach, CA.

The 100 MILLION plus 2-way RADIO handsets (called "cell phones")
don't have any "fiber" attached to them.

GPS doesn't work by "fiber."

Those hundreds of thousands of public safety, utility, transport
companies, businesses of all sizes, in VHF-UHF aren't communicating
via "fiber."

WLANS are RADIO since they were done to get AWAY from "fiber."

RFID tag interrogators are working in RADIO frequencies in stores
all over the USA and possessions. No "fiber."

Bluetooth devices are short-range two-way RADIOS without "fiber"
and most of those work with fiber-less cell phones.

YOU need more mental fiber in your knowledge diet.

>> Big business and the Republicans rule.
>
>"What's good for General Bullmoose...."
>
>> Next time be careful of who you vote for.
>
>I've always been careful that way...
>
>But the Dems have a way of nominating candidates that too many people
>won't vote for. They're well on the way to doing it again with Hillary.
>She's the best friend the 'pubs ever had!
>
>Friend of mine once said that if you gave the DNC the job of organizing
>a firing squad, they'd put the squad in a circle around the condemned
>person.

The POLITICAL newsgroups are up on the other floor. This one
is about AMATEUR RADIO. Go join your "friend" there, okay?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3844. MUHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 05:34:34 UTC
From: WdntULik2no <someone@someisp.org>
Message-ID: <5nmhfgh70r6sa2q.270620052234@kirk>
Source record: 111127

MUHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

3845. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 10:36:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119954966.475032.130190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111128

bb wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Although several years ago, Riley's comments are worth a look:
> >
> > "This Amateur Radio service is serious business."
> >
> > Not once in the speech is the word "hobby" used.
>
> Must be why this newsgroup is on "rec" along with all the other
> hobbies.

Guess it's because someone OTHER than an FCC operative had to make
that call?

Steve, K4YZ

3846. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 11:05:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119956717.049658.294810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111129

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon 27 Jun 2005 03:19

> Hollingsworth is NOT in the FCC "Key People," "Division Chiefs,"
> or "Regional Director" listings at the FCC of their Enforcement
> Bureau. One has to go deeper into the Spectral office of the
> Enforcement Bureau where Hollingsworth is listed as second from
> bottom on that office's "key people" listing...as one of two who
> are "Special Counsel."

And this has what to do with his impact on Amateur Radio?

At least he's ON a "list, Lennie...

You're not even an "also ran..."

> It is true that nowhere in Title 47 C.F.R. are the words "hobby"
> or "ham radio" given. On the other hand, Part 97 rather
> specifically defines amateur radio as an activity done for a
> NON-pecuniary reason. That doesn't even mention "money" though
> it is obvious that it means that amateur radio CANNOT accept
> money for doing any communications service for others.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.............THAT'S it!

No Money = Doesn't Count.

Allllllllllllllll riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiighty then!

> Amateur radio in the USA is forbidden by law to engage in
> broadcasting. Amateur radio in the USA is forbidden by law to
> be a public communications common carrier...that is, specifically
> as a provider of radio communications services. Amateur radio
> regulations even state that amateur communications themselves
> are to be of a trivial nature and amateurs themselves are
> supposed to avail themselves of commercial communications
> services for non-trivial communications.

However the FCC >>ALSO<< says that Amateur Radio is a pool of
radio operators for EMERGENCY communications, and both history and
current events bears out that Amateur Radio is/was/and shall continue
for the foreseeable future to be the resource of choice for numerous
disaster relief providers and agencies, public and private.

> Amateur radio in the USA is NOT a "national service."

Sure it is.

> All throughout Title 47 C.F.R. the word "service" is a regulatory
> term denoting a type and kind of radio activity being regulated.

Keep repeating that to yourself over and over, Lennie.

> Is the Citizens Band Radio SERVICE a "national service?" No.

Sure it is.

> Is the Amateur Radio Service a "national service?" No.

Sure it is.

> There's a difference between words used "de jure" (by law as
> stated) and "de facto" (what it really is in practice).

Neither of THOSE terms show up in Part 97 either!

> Amateur radio is a HOBBY...a recreational pursuit done for personal
> pleasure, federally regulated only because of the physical nature
> of radio wave propagation and possible interference with other
> radio users. DE FACTO a HOBBY.

Wrong.

Amateur Radio is a radio service that may be USED as a hobby, and
virtually is.

However there are a great many things in this Nation of ours that
it's citizens do for their own personal gratification (ie: hobby) that
I seriously doubt anyone would consider a "hobby"...EMS, or Emergency
Medical Services, for example. Depending on whose numbers you like
better, anywhere from 55% to 75% of EMS is provided by volunteers.

Ditto fire suppression...(yes, even in Southern California,
Lennie...)

By YOUR rationalization, Lennie, we can call EMS and fire
suppression "hobbies".

How assinine would THAT be...?!?!

> >"...I know that when a natural disaster hits, they're [cell towers]
> >the first ones to go down. And the few that are remaining are jammed
> >and you can't get access."
>
> Hollingsworth is "playing to his audience" (to use a show business
> phrase).

No.

It's documented fact.

> Physically, the cellular telephone services, an adjunct to the
> wired telephone infrastructure, does NOT "go down" either "first"
> or last.

No...In Southern Florida this most recent past hurricaine season,
many of the towers WENT DOWN...Not meaning "for maintenance" or due to
"overload"...They were toppled.

> TELEPHONE communications is "jammed" only by too many
> panic-stricken subscribers trying to use it simultaneously at the
> onset of some emergency.

That too. But inoperative is inoperative.

> >" The people who are going to be taking care
> >of the real communications are sitting right here in this room. It's
> >the Amateur Radio service. And in the first few days, or the first few
> >hours of these multi-jurisdictional incidents, it's the amateurs who
> >keep things going."
>
> In light of recent REAL EMERGENCIES, REAL HISTORY has shown
> that the commercial services HAVE CONTINUED TO WORK despite
> SOME of their facilities being "downed."

And some have not...In some cases for WEEKS.

In those cases, Amateur Radio was asked to and did fill those
gaps.

> Facilities are NOT
> RESTRICTED to JUST telephones, wired and/or cellular. There
> are, in this nation, literally, hundreds of thousands of OTHER
> radios which can, and have, been used for two-way communications.

"We" know that...because "we" are asked to man those other
facilities...Hospitals, fire stations, Red Cross offices.

> That is NOT counting CB or the approximately 100 million
> cellular telephone radio handsets.

CB is useless as anything other than an ancilliary function, and
cellphones have too many of their own inadequacies to "go the
distance".

Proven.

> Eleven and a half years ago...(SNIP)

Lennie, yuo keep citing ONE instance where there was no MAJOR
implementation of Amateur Radio as an interim communications resource.

One example in over 90 years of history does NOT a trend make.

> Hollingsworth is a special counsel to the Enforcement Bureau's
> Spectral enforcers. He should KNOW BETTER than to perpetuate
> such myths as he stated. But, Hollingsworth does NOT
> specifically speak FOR amateur radio. He is little more than
> a "radio cop in a suit."

He did not lie.

He did NOT "perpetuate such myths"...

You are welcome to try and refute his comments, Lennie, but
there's tons of historical (and yes CURRENT historical) evidence to
support eacn and every word.

> >"There was a big newspaper chain that had a reporter with headphones on
> >listening to the hurricane emergency net. That made us nervous. The
> >last thing we wanted was some bad publicity for the Amateur Radio
> >service anywhere."
> >
> >"Our people that go to these ITU meetings will tell us that it's
> >often a personal embarassment to them when these countries play back
> >tapes of what they hear on 75 and 20 Meters in the American amateur
> >bands."
> >
> >On the American 'phone subbands. Not CW/data subbands...
>
> Oh, my, another MYTH. Simon Pure morseman "does not swear with
> morse code!" He is "safe to be around the children?"
>
> [how many children did you say you "parented?"]

What myth?

Unfortunatley there ARE some very ill-mouthed persons just as Jim
described.

And in over 30+ years as an Amateur, mos tof which was HF-CW, I
have never "heard" a profanity...

I can't say that of phone operations.

If you had any practical experience, Lennie, you'd know.

Heck, if you even tuneded that antiquated R-70 down band a bit
you'd know better...

> >"It puts them in a very difficult position when they have to defend
> >examples of conduct that other countries hear."
>
> All those "75 and 20 Meters" radiotelephone users were
> CODE TESTED (or had the equivalent of same).
>
> The CODE TEST does NOT prevent verbal abuse via radio.

WHO said it did?

However it DOES appear as though code USE at least minimizes if
not prevents "verbal" abuse...Whether it's just a better class of
people or the "effort" one has to put into it makes it less attractive
to potential abusers.

> >Should be "'nuff said".....
>

> Here's another: David Sumner's editorial pieces in QST are done
> by David Sumner. James Miccolis has not been invited to become
> any "guest commentator" or "moral guardian" of U.S. amateur radio.
> But, he has set himself out as the same sort of "moral guardian"
> and "giver of ethos" as if he was "respresentative" of over
> 700 thousand U.S. amateur radio licensees.
>
> Even then he perpetuates the past with parodic perfidy.

Can we add that certain ex-radio technician and his Army days to
that?

Seems he has HIS weaknesses about wanting to be the "moral
guardian" of a radio service that he haas NO licensure or vested
interest in...

> LenIloveToShowBothOfMyFacesAtOnceAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

3847. Re: point of info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 13:47:32 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Uxcwe.12969$pa3.8302@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111130

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119907215.392064.156520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> What are the dates on the current valid tech question pool. my partner
> has been looking at a license. Is the pool about to change etc?

The tech pool is good for at least a year or possibly longer. No changes
are being made to any license class pool this year at all. The only
thing that might change things is a rulemaking change by the
FCC but even that would take upwards of a year before
becoming effective.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3848. Re: MUHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 13:51:38 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d9rkla$450$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 111131

WdntULik2no wrote:
> MUHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

And a cheery MUHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA to you sir!

- mike KB3EIA -

3849. Re: Post anonymously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 14:38:37 UTC
From: Todd N9OGL <N9OGL@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1119969561.a72b90bfc90c3e6e374884ca5f5dcd3b@teranews>
Source record: 111132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You're putting me in another bad mood.
>
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:aNmve.46$Rj1.11104383@news.sisna.com...
>I am sure that everyone here has noticed there is much too much attention
>paid to where posts originate from. And, there are childish personalities
>which look up where you are posting from--some with malicious intent...
>
> This URL will provide you with a means to be anonymous:
> http://www.antifirewall.com/news.htm
>
> This should allow everyone to put a stop to this bad behavior.
>
> John
>

3850. Re: Re how exactly what is http://66.139.239.116:8080/hyl��ander_secrt/cyberstalkerbackd�o�or.html ?? once again the criminal john gagon of 11919 QUAIL CREEK DR HOUSTON TX 77070-2313 has to resort to hiding his stalking by hidden code in thread http://grou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 14:42:24 UTC
From: Todd N9OGL <N9OGL@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1119969812.654b5516d6feba27acd06a969e3295c4@teranews>
Source record: 111133
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Why does everyone hate me?
>
"Phineas Snott" <halvhofe@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1119819210.405887.219320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Lord Alfred Henry wrote:
>> Crossposting troll. Can't you see it's shit like your crossposting and
>> constant spamming of puns and constant harassments
>> and driving people up walls that gets you into so much shit? Now you've
>> just made a bunch more groups mad ad A.S.A.
>> I'm sure people here and the many lawyers they know won't appreciate
>> that!
>>
>> It's very hard to believe you have Morris in league with you at this
>> point. You are insane!
>
> how do you mean?
>
> why are you so threatened?
>
> I mean you have been stalking me and my family.
>
> You did make a personal website to track the spyware you went to the
> trouble of putting on my computer.
>
> You did try to blackmail me off of alt.support.autism
>
> You did commit id theft.
>
> I'm not the one makeing them mad.
>
> You are.
>
> You and your stalking activities...
>

3851. My new home page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 14:48:43 UTC
From: Todd N9OGL <N9OGL@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1119970191.b749df0cd649323e2f674ccaf84a2a6c@teranews>
Source record: 111134

http://www.ilgra.com/

YEEEEEHAAAAA!!!

3852. Secwet Woger 'ahows' again he doesn't know how remailers work.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 15:57:39 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <ahows.about.athat.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 111135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

On Mon, 27 Jun 2005 23:11:02 +0200, Secwet Woger wrote:

: By thinking somebody can be traced to somebody else who uses two
: or three remailers to send these messages.
:
: If the message headers are stripped away and encrypted along
: with the message before they get to the final remailer (the one
: on the post itself), and each of the sending remailers adds
: their headers how can it even be traced back, stupid? Goddamn,
: your fucking ignorance has no boundries.
:

If the police have forced the ISP to give them full access to the remailers' hard drives, then all bets are off. The remailers' private keys are at risk (and are undoubtedly compromised), as are all of their log files. The Paranoia Remailer people pointed all of that out, Woger. You should pay attention to what they wrote.

In short, the police have full access to all headers and to the full body of each post. Couple that with the fact that the police have been eavesdropping on several remailers for a time period in excess of a year, and your cover has definitely been blown. If you should have selected a tapped remailer as your first remailer, the police know everything they need to know about you in order to backtrack the posts to your computer.

And, Your Foolshness, you seem to be totally ignorant of the fact that more than one remailer is tapped. It's all been done as a part of the international fight against terror.

Have fun sweating, Woger.

3853. Brian P Burke Continues To Utter False Statements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 16:27:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119976071.084853.7340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111137

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Stebie is very, very busy trying to light his match to blaze
> > hisself in the glory and majesty (his idea) of MARS-manship.
> > [ASSISTANTS get like that some times...]
>
> I can't accept his claims to have been in MARS in any capacity. The
> ignorance he has displayed wrt MARS is too vast.

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

Coming from a documented chronic liar and a pathetic old man who
has NO practical experience in Amateur Radio >>OR<< MARS, this is
reeeeeeeeeeeeeeal impressive (not)....

Losers both.

Steve, K4YZ

3854. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 16:28:32 UTC
From: Todd N9OGL <N9OGL@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1119976165.c1519d5761bfe58af73234f3e788b381@teranews>
Source record: 111136

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1119595971.210624.235750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> another example that steve needs help, for his mental problems
>
> This from a guy who thinks he's a junior broadcaster.
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
I need help for my mental problems!

3855. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 16:52:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119977556.191206.131290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111138

an_old_friend wrote:
> you arrogant ass
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > I really suggest #####head that you pretend to be some one else, and not
> > > me if you know what's go for you, I not really in a good #####ing mood.
> >
> > Too bad you won't cite who you're allegedly responding to, Toiddie.
> >
> > No one directed anything to you, and Mark's comments were directed
> > to me.
>
> No they were derected generly

No, they weren't, Mark.

> > And just WHO in this newsgroup do you think cares WHAT kind of
> > mood you're in...?!?!
>
> There maybe you have something but then even a broken clock is right
> twice a day

OH MY FREAKIN GOD! Mark, I am I M P R E S S E D!

(Who typed that for you?)

Steve, K4YZ

3856. Re: could you be clearer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 17:03:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119978216.810953.155100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111139

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Sorry which post?
> > > > I have never said you are gay.
> > > >
> > > > You deny the one under name without the word Faggot in it?
> > >
> > That was his name, Mark, but to his credit, it wasn't him...this
> > time.
>
> assuming you this how Stevie

What?

Did you mean "How did you know this?"

Easy. I can read.

> > > > I am curently happy with my own sexual partners (yes I used the pural)
> > >
> > Sponge Bob and Mr Bill...?!?!
>
> None of your affair Stevie

You're right...I prefr my "affairs" woth women.

"Girlie men" don't qualify.

> > > No, someone on here pretending to be me and it pisses me off...(SNIP)
> > >
> > And AGAIN you zip right past accepting ANY responsibility for YOUR
> > behaviour...
>
> If true it is a trait you are also quite famier with Steve

"famier"...?!?!

What?

> > It was NOT "just" those letters that got you canned, Todd.
> >
> > Those forgeries were unfortunate, but YOU provided the forum,
> > opportunity and encouragement for that kind of behaviour!
>
> Just which notes are you admiting were forgeries Stevie boy

I am not "admitting" anything, Mark.

And as you said, it's "none of your affair".

If you're really THAT interested, Mark, Just back up and go over
the last 6 weeks worth of RRAP drivvel.

> > > because
> > > that's what got me thrown off the internet in the first place, someone
> > > pertending to be me.
> >
> > No, no, NO!
> >
> > It was YOU being YOU that got you thrown off, Todd!
>
> Not it seems without your help Steve

Rights, Mark...Rights...

You can't whine about getting yours then demanding that others
can't have theirs.

Same with Toiddie. He likes to throw "IT'S MY RIGHT" around as if
saying so shields him from responsibility.

T'aint so.

> > And it's painfully apparent that you haven't learned a whole lot
> > form the experience, either!
>
> Pianfully to whom? you? Good going Todd

Todd's the one with the learning deficit, Mark.

If you're going to jump into a thread and muck around, at least
please have the attributes and "stories" straight.

> > > it was either steve or one of his little buddies
> > > trying once again to get people pissed off at me and have kick off
> > > again.
> >
> > If you get kicked off again, it will be YOUR fault.
>
> Why is that Stevie

Are you really that stupid, Mark, or is it a seasonal thing?

> > And it wasn't me, nor was it anyone I know.
>
> making that as a declaritive sentence has to be a lie

Nope.

> Case 1 the best BTW you realy don't know who did it and thus you can't
> say it was or was not anyone you know.

Sure I can say it.

Because no one on MY friends list was responsible.

There's a considerable "back channel" flow of communications going
on, sometimes moreso than others.

No one >>I<< know is responsible.

> Case 2 You do know which means you know the prep and are lying in
> claiming you don't the perp

Nope.

It is as I said.

Deal with it.

Steve, K4YZ

3857. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 17:25:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119979521.020058.322550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111140

N9OGL wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> > Then file a complaint. You know why folks don't file against W1AW? Because
> > the provide a service. Not a bully pulpit like your soon to be shot down
> > hero.
>
> It don't matter if they [W1AW] is providing a service, interfernce is
> interfernce.

So take Dan's suggestion and file a complaint. Of course you'd
ahve to actually be talking to someone on the frequency W1AW operates
on, and I can't see you carrying on a coherent QSO with another human
being for that long.

> > That is correct. Maybe one day Baxter will actually transmit a
> > "information bulletin". What you think?
>
> the main problem that I see is that amateur believe an information
> bulletin cannot be opinionated, yet there is no rule on it.

"Opinionated" is editorializing...NOT reporting.

An "information bulletin" passes INFORMATION that is pertinent to
the operation of the radio station...Not subjective opinion.

> Many
> amateur believe that those who run information bulletins should only
> run "newscast" however, if the FCC wanted it to be only newscast then
> they would specify that it should be only a newscast, the FCC has the
> ability and power to specify what an information bulletin is.

Then how come you're ranting and raving in support of GB? The
FCC's doing EXACTLY what you suggest, Todd...

> The FCC
> has the power to limit what an information bulletin is, provided that
> it is the least restrictive mean necessary to promote govenment
> intrest, the problem is they don't.

Huh?

> > >> No the ARRL does NOT SOLICITE on its bulletins. K1MAN does. End of
> > >> subject.
> > >>
>
> They don't, the word is DIRECT AND INDIRECT. K1MAN does in his bulletin

> [DIRECT] while the ARRL has a website that has stuff forsale and offer
> a credit card [INDIRECT] and although they don't promote their website
> in their news bulletins they still run a site that offers goods
> [INDIRECT]

There's no "direct" or "indirect" to it. Glennie overtly solicits
sales on his broadcasts.

Now he's busted.

> > > No but the rules state a station can't make money direct or indirect.
> > > K1MAN does do it DIRECT, while the ARRL is doing it INDIRECT. but the
> > > rules does state a station can't make money direct or indirect.
> > >
> > >
> > Reference and show me how the ARRL is soliciting on the air.
> >
> They don't but the do own a site that offers goods which is [indirect]

That's like saying that since you're using Yahoo, and you can
search for sexually explicit content on Yahoo, YOU are running a porno
site, Todd.

> > Not when that SOB sits down on top of me and those I am in QSO with. All
> > that idiot would have had to do was use this little phrase "Is this freq in
> > use". And follow that up with "I am intending to use 14.275 to send my
> > bulletin, would you guys mind standing by for it". You know what Toad?
> > To a man we would all agreed to move. But oh no....not the mighty MAN. He
> > comes on and DEMANDS we clear HIS frequency. And yes I am ONE OF THOSE
> > that sent in the multitude of reports, and proud of it.
> >
> True, I pick a frequency that is not in use and ask if the frequency is
> in uses, and if I don't hear anyone I start my bulletin.

You're not sending a bulletin. It's a show.

So says you.

> > It was from the district office the wanabee boy broadcaster stated. Where
> > do you think the order to do so came from nitwit? Right....FCC
> > headquarters.
> >
> No, according to the NAL at the bottom it was from the district office
> in boston, I read the NAL from the FCC's site. I really suggest on how
> the FCC is set up before commenting on something like this. (see below)
>
> from http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-259301A1.html
>
>
> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>
>
> Dennis V. Loria

> District Director
> Boston Office
> Northeastern Region
> Enforcement Bureau

But do you think for a minute, Todd, that Mr Loria would issue a document demanding that a citizen part with $21K without consulting with Washington first?

And please note that the TOP line says "FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION"...Not "The Boston Branch of the FCC"...

> > Wake up me boy. When the FCC comes down on you like this.....you are
> > history. This isn't anything new, its been in the works since the mid 80s.
> > It just took a bunch of dedicated hams that were willing to stand and fight
> > to get the ball rolling. And your talking to one of them right now.
> >
> > I suggest you forget your little broadcasting career, or get ready for a
> > history repeat. Understand? And no Toad...its not a threat....its a
> > promise. Ham radio is sick of you children trying to mess up our play pen.
> >
> No thanks DAN, My problem is since your little ########### at the FCC
> don't want to consider my application or waiver, for a broadcast
> license, my opinion is you and themn can go ##### yourself.

You wouldn't need a "waiver" if you'd just do it right the first time.

Quit your whining and hire a REPUTABLE communications attorney to help you. You're obviously grossly overwhelmed when it comes to administrative matters. Let him do it for you.

The application will be a lot more impressive without the crayons and "Beefaroni" stains above the signature of non-existant corporations.

Steve, K4YZ

3858. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 17:27:40 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <gMfwe.8909$ro.6967@fed1read02>
Source record: 111141

james wrote:

> On Mon, 27 Jun 2005 08:43:43 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>
>>I hate to say this Lloyd, but K1MAN earned whatever actions is being taken
>>against him. in fact, he demanded this action by his very threats.
>>
>>in any case, I think I will sign that petition myself, just because Mr.
>>baxter's actions draw negative attention to the service as a whole.
> ******
>
> In that FCC's NAL has concluded that Mr Baxter operations are in
> violation of statutes duly authorized by the FCC to enforce, they have
> seemed to fallen short of asking for the revocation of his license.
> From the NAL, I see the FCC is only a seeking of monetary remedies and
> not a revocation of his license.

as I pointed out elsewhere, its not a revocation (though the issuance of an NAL is one of the many steps toward this end), its is a petition to deny renewal based on his past actions and his failure to shape up (poor character).

There is one thing I don't think a lot of folks in here and on ham radio in general realize: that license is a privilege, not a right.

Mr baxter is treating it like an entitlement (which it is not). since he has failed to conform to the rules, he must therefore be slapped by those rules. its that simple.

>
> As much as I see, and I do not condone his actions, the actions of
> some have taken on the role as a lynch mob. I myself will abide by
> what ever the FCC determines. But I find this petition thing a bit to
> scary. WHo next? So someone operates by the rules but not as someone
> or some group and then they are next for a petition campaign? Just
> because you and other deem his actions is a negative attention?

how does a petition compare to a lynch mob? this is an orderly attempt to force compliance with the rules (or failing that, to have his license set aside by denial of renewal)

Mr. Baxter has been behaving in much the same manner (albeit with some twists) as that other pain in the neck on the west coast: Gerritsen. Admittedly, Mr. Baxter hasn't quite gone this far, but given human nature and behavior, it would have happened sooner, if not later.

in any case, my opinion is that the petition is a good starting point. it is operating within the system (instead of what you imply by a lynch mob).

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

3859. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 17:33:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119979987.333330.270700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111142

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > The "forgery" was a coward in Illiopolis, IL, pretending to be a
> > commissioned officer...and the lies just got bigger and more colorful
> > from there.
>
> Coward in one post...(SNIP)

No...you're one in all of them.

> (UNSNIP)...then you adkonweldge my balls (paraphrase)...(SNIP)

What is "adkonweldge"...?!?!

And a paraphrase is not an accurate quote.

> (UNSNIP)...in and
> another is this your confused mind or your sexaul obbcession with male
> gentails at work

I think you meant "obsession" and "genitalia".

In either case, you are, again, wrong.

> > You were a liar then and you've done nothing to demonstrate that
> > you've changed that behaviour.
> >
> > > Steve wasn't anywhere.
> >
> > Sure I was. More than you.
> >
> > > Says he's got seven hostile actions, but if he
> > > starts talking, his claims will be debunked, stolen valor style.
> >
> > Yes, Brain, you ARE a prolific thief.
>
> I don't know about Brain and Theft, but I do know that YOU Stevie boy
> are the desendant of thiefs

"...thieves", Markie, and no, I'm not.

Suggest you research "Thomas Robeson" of South Carolina, circa
1700's.

> > You steal people's trust and confidence with your own tales and
> > mistruths, then try and coneal the deed with outlandish accusations and
> > deceit.

>
> Self describetion Stevie boy

Yes, Markie, that's your self description of yourself. Very good.

Tomorrow we'll work on "s p e l l i n g"

Steve, K4YZ

3860. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 17:37:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1119980253.074358.106120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111143

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > Like I said, Robeson dare not speak of his claims to seven hostile
> > > actions.
> >
> > And I congratulate YOU on NOT denying you're a thief.
> >
> > Like >>I<< said...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Your lies require no response. Why would you think they do?

What lies?

You keep insisting that I've uttered lies here, yet when asked to
substantiate them, you simply avoid the question and move on to even
more obscure accusations.

> What >is< begging a response is your claims of alleged hostile actions,
> but I suspect those would be lies as well.
>
> Robeson is not to be trusted.

You were given some of that information once upon a time.

You promised that it wouldn't be discussed on the NG.

Then you turned right around and did it anyway.

Brain P Burke, N0IMD, "billybeeper" is a documented chronic liar.

Again.

Steve, K4YZ

3861. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 17:48:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119980929.319056.49140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111144

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon 27 Jun 2005 19:12

>Leo wrote:
>> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> >> >> >What would you suggest?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
not to those who cannot see
>> >> >
>> >> >> >What do you suggest?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
billboard displays too small
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >What do you suggest?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
we try for Mt. Rushmore size
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
maybe do some crop circles
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
two-page ads in QST?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Then what do you suggest I do?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
new reading glasses might help
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
we send obvious in morse?
>> >> >> >

>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
yawn
>> >> >> >What would you suggest?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz

>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do, Leo?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
...
>> >> >
>> >> >> >What do you suggest?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
still at it?
>> >> >
>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
yup, drain is still clogged
>> >> >
>> >> >> >And your suggestion is?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
call Roto-Rooter!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
use Bangalore Torpedo?
>> >> >>
>> >> >In a word: No.
>> >> >
>> >> >It's not rather obvious, Leo.
excavate, dump in lye, cover...
>> >>
>> >> Sorry to hear that, Jim. How simplified do you need things to be?
>> >
>> >It's not about simplification, Leo. It's about clarity.
>> >
>> >Why can't you just clearly and plainly state what you
>> >suggest that I do?
>>
>> I have - many, many times.
>
>You just keep writing that I must decide. But
>you do not state concrete actions that the

>decision will produce.

Give up eating those crackers, polly. You get repetitious.

Makes your cage all crumby.

>> You must decide.
>
>Why?

Tsk, tsk, all you're doing in here is "busy work" writing many
words (over and over again) without doing any deciding. :-)

Decide, decide, that is not the question. - Ham-let

>> >> >What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
>> >> >answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
>> >> >
>> >> >What's your suggestion?
>> >>
>> >> The way I see it, you have two options:
>> >>
>> >> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>> >> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>> >
>> >OK so far.
>>
>> Glad to hear it!
>>
>> So far, so good.
>
>> >> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who
>> >> goad you
>> >> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end
>> >> of someone's strings....
>> >
>> >That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you
>> >suggest *I* take.
>>
>> That is your current reality.
>
>That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)

Tsk. Only Jimmie speak "truth," "facts" from QTH on Mount
Olympus...while he make babble, babble like motel proprietor
in "Psycho."

He parent too many children...

>>
>> >>
>> >> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1
>

>For you, maybe.

Tsk, be a MAN and admit defeat. You've LOST these rounds a
few go-arounds ago.

>> >> - it requires the
>> >> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait,
>> >> and
>> >> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond,
>> >> even when you know you are in the right.
>
>You're begging the question, Leo.

Tsk, tsk. YOU were begging for solutions before, now you can't
implement any? Not MANLY! NO decision!

>> This is your potential future reality.
>
>> >So what do you suggest *I* do?
>>
>> Decide!
>
>Actions, Leo.

Decide for YOURSELF. If you cannot, quit. Simple.

>>
>> >> Owned or free.
>> >
>> >That's your spin.
>>
>> That's your opinion.
>
>Fact.

No, just your opinion.

>> Owned - or free.
>>
>> You must decide.
>
>Why?

Grasshopper doesn't understand the world does not revolve
around him. Tsk.

>> >> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>> >
>> >So there *is* a choice!
>>
>> There is always a choice.
>
>Odd to hear from someone who told me I could not stop

>myself.

You can't. You feel obsessed, compelled to continue deep
denial of anything wrong.

>> Yours to make if your are able to do so,
>
>Ah - now there's a condition!

You have a "condition," and that is true. :-)

>> You must decide!
>
>Why?

Only YOU can stop yourself before it is too late.

>> >> Choose wisely!
>> >
>> >Wise by whose standards?
>>
>> We'll all see the answer to that one soon enough - when you
>> decide!
>
>How will you know what is decided?

"Wise we are," said Yoda, "Know we will when comes the time."

>> >How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical
>> >terms?
>>
>> Hmmm - you read the two options, right? :)
>
>Just can't make yourself spell them out, I see.

They were all spelled out. In English. English English.

>> >What actions do you suggest?
>>
>> Decide! Only you can make this decision - you're on your own
>> now.
>
>You have not offered the requested information. Seems to me that
>when you speak of "abuse" and "control" and "goading", you're
>really talking about yourself, not Len.
>
>Or perhaps you and he are one and the same.

We are all AI constructs living in your computer, out to do you
harm in public.

All we are the same.

>> Good luck!
>
>Be seeing you.

"Worry not," said Yoda, "'Decisions for Dummies' mailed it will
be to you, plain brown wrapper in."

May the Farce be with you, grasshopper.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3862. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 17:50:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119981028.103584.223430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111145

From: Leo on Mon 27 Jun 2005 21:52

>On 27 Jun 2005 15:37:43 -0700, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
>>Leo wrote:
>>> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>> >What's your suggestion?
>>>
>>> The way I see it, you have two options:
>>>
>>> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>>> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>>>
>>> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
>>> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
>>> someone's strings....
>>>
>>> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
>>> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
>>> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
>>> you know you are in the right.
>>>
>>> Owned or free.
>>>
>>> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>>>
>>> Choose wisely!
>>>
>
>>Grasshopper, snatch this pebble from my hand.
>
>Ah - the journey of 1,000 miles begins with but a single step.
>
>He must decide.

Why? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3863. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 18:23:19 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1119982998.983670.172410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111146

From: K4YZ <madashellandInotgonnatakeitnomore@aol.com> on Jun 28, 7:05 am

>> Is the Citizens Band Radio SERVICE a "national service?" No.
>
> Sure it is.
>
>> Is the Amateur Radio Service a "national service?" No.
>
> Sure it is.

Waaaa, waaaa, liddle Stebie stamps his feet and yells "is too! is too!" :-)

> "We" know that...because "we" are asked to man those other
>facilities...Hospitals, fire stations, Red Cross offices.

Heroes to a man, Medal of Honor winners, Nobel Laureates and forever in the hearts of their countrymen.....yawwwwn.

"The patriotic bunting is up, the bunting is waving...the bunting is down...you have twenty seconds..."

> One example in over 90 years of history does NOT a trend make.

Jimmie Grasshopper always says that.

> Unfortunatley there ARE some very ill-mouthed persons just as Jim
>described.
>
> And in over 30+ years as an Amateur, mos tof which was HF-CW, I
>have never "heard" a profanity...

"Dyslexics of the world, UNTIE!" :-)

"Mos Tof?" Is that a sister planet to "Mos Eisely" in "Star Wars?"

"Unfortunatley" poor Stebie is mad as hell again and can't take his spelling again...

> Heck, if you even tuneded that antiquated R-70 down band a bit
>you'd know better...

Samantha needs to cast a "spell" on Stebie...

Should be easy, everyone else wrinkling their nose when Stebie writes...

> However it DOES appear as though code USE at least minimizes if
>not prevents "verbal" abuse...Whether it's just a better class of
>people or the "effort" one has to put into it makes it less attractive
>to potential abusers.

Morse code is safe for CHILDREN?

Yes. All morsemen can behave as children safely...in here.

And some do! :-)

A jolly Foxtrot Uniform to you, too, hero of "seven hostile
actions!"

Temper fry.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3864. Re: cmsg cancel <- So long, Woger<-showing off that cocksucking lisp, Lardass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 18:47:25 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119984445.241070.247720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111147
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Silly Boob wrote:
> Wrong guess, dumbfuck. It sure did make you whine huh, cuntflap?
>
> > "Your tongue wouldn't have a place to rest, huh, Club Aids the
> > FAGGOT?"
> > Ro
>
> Roger.

Dumbass. Keep guessing.

>A sharp tongue does NOT indicate a keen mind.

And you continually show you have neither.

> You

You have your head up your ass again. You think everybody is Roger
Wiseman.
Now get mental help for the fact you are so owned by him you see him in
your dreams as well.

3865. Re: Saggytits Lee shows again he doesn't know how remailers work and has his head up his fatass.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 18:51:12 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119984672.878939.154220@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

Saggytits Lee wrote:
> On Mon, 27 Jun 2005 23:11:02 +0200, Secwet Woger wrote:
>
> : By thinking somebody can be traced to somebody else who uses two
> : or three remailers to send these messages.
> :
> : If the message headers are stripped away and encrypted along
> : with the message before they get to the final remailer (the one
> : on the post itself), and each of the sending remailers adds
> : their headers how can it even be traced back, stupid? Goddamn,
> : your fucking ignorance has no boundries.
> :
>
> If the

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA.... Saggytits, your stupidity knows no boundries.
You'd better start sweating about the kiddie porn on *your* hard drive.

Have fun having it searched real soon. Better get birch.net to cut you
a deal about their logs on you, loser.

3866. Re: Saggytits Lee ahows again he doesn't know how remailers work.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 18:55:20 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119984920.800100.166390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111149
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.flame,alt.alien.vampire.flonk.flonk.flonk

Who's Your Daddy, Birch.nets's latest TOSed spankard whined:

"Woger" ... What the fuck is "Woger"? Are you still sucking those
nigger dicks just like your fat hog of a wife and mommy do?

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
<5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

3867. Poor Saggytits, Nobody has used the Paranoia remailer as a 1st in the chain remailer, you lose again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 19:01:38 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119985298.851584.137580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111150

Watch as Saggytits Lee will blather his stupidity and say otherwise.

But Saggytits is long on sheer bullshit and never backs up what he posts.

Just like when he said there was an "International Radio License", the "Marshall County, AL ARES website" is the one for "Marshall County, WV ARES", or that Eric Clapton wrote "Layla" not about Patty Harrison Clapton, but about a Secret nazi ham(that looks like Lurch)'s hideous wife.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

3868. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 19:03:47 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119985427.781679.140470@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111151

Toad N9OGL wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1119595971.210624.235750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> another example that steve needs help, for his mental problems
> >
> > This from a guy who thinks he's a junior broadcaster.
> >
> > Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> I need help for my mental problems!

Die of your aids, Toadie.

3869. Re: Post anonymously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 19:06:18 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1119985578.753032.81860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Todd N9OGL wrote:
> You're putting me in another bad mood.

Awww.... is four-eyed Toadie having one of his "fag fits?"

> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:aNmve.46$Rj1.11104383@news.sisna.com...
> >I am sure that everyone here has noticed there is much too much attention
> >paid to where posts originate from. And, there are childish personalities
> >which look up where you are posting from--some with malicious intent...
> >
> > This URL will provide you with a means to be anonymous:
> > http://www.antifirewall.com/news.htm
> >
> > This should allow everyone to put a stop to this bad behavior.
> >
> > John
> >

3870. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 19:13:58 UTC
From: Sparks <cq@sparkscqcqcq.net>
Message-ID: <d9s7b2$5d1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111153

"Christy D" <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
news:3cd1b6e.9910752@alvillage.net...
> On Mon, 27 Jun 2005 08:43:43 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
> wrote:
>> Lloyd wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > Pure bullshit! Grow up and get a life!
>> > and stop being obsessed with another's ham's life.
>>
>> gee, sounds like another one looking to get probed by the system for
>> unprofessional behavior on (and off) the air......
>>
>> I hate to say this Lloyd, but K1MAN earned whatever actions is being
>> taken
>> against him. in fact, he demanded this action by his very threats.
>
> I hate to say this, Eric, but trying to revoke anyone's license by
> this means is an invitation to anarchy. You have quite a few enemies,
> don't you, Eric?
>
> Would you feel that they were justified in petitioning the FCC not to
> renew your license? Is there anyone else whose license "we" should
> petition the FCC not to renew? Pretty soon we can all be gathering
> signatures in an attempt to silence our perceived on-the-air enemies.
>
> This petition thing is a piss poor idea, invented by those who would
> unwittingly harm amateur radio itself.

A hand full of immature whiney cry-baby hams whine and start a
petition to get mean ole mr K1MAN off the air, and they expect
everyone to get on their bandwagon.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH

3871. Re: Post anonymously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 19:28:48 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <crosseyed.wiseman@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 111154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 28 Jun 2005 12:06:18 -0700, Secwet Woger, Numbskull:
:
:
: Todd N9OGL wrote:
: > You're putting me in another bad mood.
:
: Awww.... is four-eyed Toadie having one of his "fag fits?"
:

Woger's eyes must be crossed again. Anyone who can read headers
knows that the N9OGL post is a forgery.

==========
"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't
mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id
<5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d00573c@mail.futureworlds.it>

3872. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 19:32:02 UTC
From: "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
Message-ID: <SAhwe.16064$B_3.3312@fe05.lga>
Source record: 111155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Christy D wrote:
> On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 09:52:58 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>
>>Christy D wrote:
>>
>>
>>>This petition thing is a piss poor idea, invented by those who would
>>>unwittingly harm amateur radio itself.
>>
>>and you base this opinion on what facts?
>
>
> And you miss the point that my criticism IS NOT ABOUT Baxter; it is
> about the very bad notion that vigilanteism should be used by radio
> amateurs to expunge those with whom they disagree.
>
> There is already a system in place, but it isn't giving them the
> results they want, so they seek to find ways to take things into their
> own hands.
>
> That is the path to anarchy. That is the path chosen by fools.

I think the fact Baxter routinely violates the rules might have
something to do with this petition.

3873. Re: could you be clearer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 19:49:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119988154.201592.39290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111156

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Sorry which post?
> > > > > I have never said you are gay.
> > > > >
> > > > > You deny the one under name without the word Faggot in it?
> > >
> > > That was his name, Mark, but to his credit, it wasn't him...this
> > > time.
> >
> > assuming you this how Stevie
>
> What?
>
> Did you mean "How did you know this?"
>
> Easy. I can read.
>
> > > > > I am curently happy with my own sexual partners (yes I used the pural)
> > >
> > > Sponge Bob and Mr Bill...?!?!
> >
> > None of your affair Stevie
>
> You're right...I prefr my "affairs" woth women.

speling errors Oh Mighty Steve
>
> "Girlie men" don't qualify.

Why are you so interested in what I do with MY genitals Stevie
>
> > > > No, someone on here pretending to be me and it pisses me off...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > And AGAIN you zip right past accepting ANY responsibility for YOUR
> > > behaviour...
> >
> > If true it is a trait you are also quite famier with Steve
>
> "famier"...?!?!
>
> What?

>
> > > It was NOT "just" those letters that got you canned, Todd.
> > >
> > > Those forgeries were unfortunate, but YOU provided the forum,
> > > opportunity and encouragement for that kind of behaviour!
> >
> > Just which notes are you admiting were forgeries Stevie boy
>
> I am not "admitting" anything, Mark.

You ceratinly are admitting to be forgeries
>
> And as you said, it's "none of your affair".

Bullshit Stevie, The forging of a post addressed to me is most
exspecaily my affair

>
> If you're really THAT interested, Mark, Just back up and go over
> the last 6 weeks worth of RRAP drivvel.

I have gone over it for several month reviewing it since roughly April
>
> > > > because
> > > > that's what got me thrown off the internet in the first place, someone
> > > > pertending to be me.
> > >
> > > No, no, NO!
> > >
> > > It was YOU being YOU that got you thrown off, Todd!
> >
> > Not it seems without your help Steve
>
> Rights, Mark...Rights...

agreed
>
> You can't whine about getting yours then demanding that others
> can't have theirs.

And I don't demand that you can't have yours, merely that you (nor
anyone else) may use them without accepting responiblity for the
results of such usage

Your statement that I in any way deny your rights is another lie on
your part.

>
> Same with Toiddie. He likes to throw "IT'S MY RIGHT" around as if
> saying so shields him from responsibility.

You MAY have a point. It isn't clear to me, having not enough data to
guide me through the invective, but in your case. I can see what you

are doing. You are abusing your rights by taking actions and dening
your responsible for doing

Indeed your statement is your doing what you accuse Todd of doing
>
> T'aint so.

Never said it was

>
> > > And it's painfully apparent that you haven't learned a whole lot
> > > form the experience, either!
> >
> > Pianfully to whom? you? Good going Todd
>
> Todd's the one with the learning deficit, Mark.

Practicing Medicine without a License again Nurse, that is for an MD
not a mere LPN

>
> If you're going to jump into a thread and muck around, at least
> please have the attributes and "stories" straight.

I do have em straighter than you at least

>
> > > > it was either steve or one of his little buddies
> > > > trying once again to get people pissed off at me and have kick off
> > > > again.
> > >
> > > If you get kicked off again, it will be YOUR fault.
> >
> > Why is that Stevie
>
> Are you really that stupid, Mark, or is it a seasonal thing?

No I merely lack the Vison of the future you claim to have have.

>
> > > And it wasn't me, nor was it anyone I know.
> >
> > making that as a declaritive sentence has to be a lie
>
> Nope.

YEP

>
> > Case 1 the best BTW you realy don't know who did it and thus you can't
> > say it was or was not anyone you know.
>
> Sure I can say it.
>

> Because no one on MY friends list was responsible.

But On your freinds list is not what you said before, you said no one you knew, changing your story. yet again you lie and deceive and can't keep your story straight

>
> There's a considerable "back channel" flow of communications going
> on, sometimes moreso than others.

If you know who did then you were leing when you said you did not.QED

>
> No one >>I<< know is responsible.

Lier clearly you have admitted to such, or at best an admirer of the spliting of hairs like Bill Clinton

>
> > Case 2 You do know which means you know the prep and are lying in
> > claiming you don't the perp
>
> Nope.
>
> It is as I said.
>
> Deal with it.

I can and will.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

3874. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 19:52:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119988324.832592.155970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111157

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > you arrogant ass
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > I really suggest #####head that you pretend to be some one else, and not
> > > > me if you know what's go for you, I not really in a good #####ing mood.
> > >
> > > Too bad you won't cite who you're allegedly responding to, Toiddie.
> > >
> > > No one directed anything to you, and Mark's comments were directed
> > > to me.
> >
> > No they were derected generly
>
> No, they weren't, Mark.

Now you can read minds? I know t whom they were directed
>
> > > And just WHO in this newsgroup do you think cares WHAT kind of
> > > mood you're in...?!?!
> >
> > There maybe you have something but then even a broken clock is right
> > twice a day
>
> OH MY FREAKIN GOD! Mark, I am I M P R E S S E D!
>
> (Who typed that for you?)

More of your crap
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3875. Re: Post anonymously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 19:54:20 UTC
From: Todd N9OGL <N9OGL@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1119988513.2bb85110b05c9f75af8c8bfa47ed61f8@teranews>
Source record: 111158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:1119985578.753032.81860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Todd N9OGL wrote:
>> You're putting me in another bad mood.
>
> Awww.... is four-eyed Toadie having one of his "fag fits?"
>
>
Wogie Wiseman introduced me to the lifestlyle thanks wogie.
>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:aNmve.46$Rj1.11104383@news.sisna.com...
>> >I am sure that everyone here has noticed there is much too much
>> >attention
>> >paid to where posts originate from. And, there are childish
>> >personalities
>> >which look up where you are posting from--some with malicious intent...
>> >
>> > This URL will provide you with a means to be anonymous:
>> > http://www.antifirewall.com/news.htm
>> >
>> > This should allow everyone to put a stop to this bad behavior.
>> >
>> > John
>> >
>

3876. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 20:02:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119988947.331822.143170@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111159

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > > Then file a complaint. You know why folks don't file against W1AW? Because
> > > the provide a service. Not a bully pulpit like your soon to be shot down
> > > hero.
> >
> > It don't matter if they [W1AW] is providing a service, interfernce is
> > interfernce.
>
> So take Dan's suggestion and file a complaint. Of course you'd
> ahve to actually be talking to someone on the frequency W1AW operates

Amussing you seem to be losing your ability to spel old boy

> on, and I can't see you carrying on a coherent QSO with another human
> being for that long.
>
> > > That is correct. Maybe one day Baxter will actually transmit a
> > > "information bulletin". What you think?
> >
> > the main problem that I see is that amateur believe an information
> > bulletin cannot be opinionated, yet there is no rule on it.
>
> "Opinionated" is editorializing...NOT reporting.

BULLSHIT all reporting has an opinion component, only a fool woudl
claim otherwise. Forgive me I forgot who I was talking about Stevie

>
> An "information bulletin" passes INFORMATION that is pertinent to
> the operation of the radio station...Not subjective opinion.

Nothing can be totaly objective everything is subjective

>
> > Many
> > amateur believe that those who run information bulletins should only
> > run "newscast" however, if the FCC wanted it to be only newscast then
> > they would specify that it should be only a newscast, the FCC has the
> > ability and power to specify what an information bulletin is.
>
> Then how come you're ranting and raving in support of GB? The
> FCC's doing EXACTLY what you suggest, Todd...

Are they? what they are doing is anything but clear, aside from the
fact that the whole thing results from a LONG history of interaction
between the ARRL The FCC and Baxter. It is also clear the we here in
RRAP lack a number of facts

>
> > The FCC
> > has the power to limit what an information bulletin is, provided that
> > it is the least restrictive mean necessary to promote govenment
> > intrest, the problem is they don't.
>
> Huh?

No they have left various rules a vague state. It s a common state of
affairs in ALL govt regulation. It is done as I have heard, is tollow
the 'crats to act as they please (or if you prefer free to act as they
think best, it amounts to the same thing)
>
> > > >> No the ARRL does NOT SOLICITE on its bulletins. K1MAN does. End of
> > > >> subject.
> > > >>
> >
> > They don't, the word is DIRECT AND INDIRECT. K1MAN does in his bulletin
> > [DIRECT] while the ARRL has a website that has stuff forsale and offer
> > a credit card [INDIRECT] and although they don't promote their website
> > in their news bulletins they still run a site that offers goods
> > [INDIRECT]
>
> There's no "direct" or "indirect" to it. Glennie overtly solicits
> sales on his broadcasts.
>
> Now he's busted.
>
> > > > No but the rules state a station can't make money direct or indirect.
> > > > K1MAN does do it DIRECT, while the ARRL is doing it INDIRECT. but the
> > > > rules does state a station can't make money direct or indirect.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Reference and show me how the ARRL is soliciting on the air.
> > >
> > They don't but the do own a site that offers goods which is [indirect]
>
> That's like saying that since you're using Yahoo, and you can
> search for sexually explicit content on Yahoo, YOU are running a porno
> site, Todd.

Not realy but no point in explaing to you you have made up your mind

>
> > > Not when that SOB sits down on top of me and those I am in QSO with. All
> > > that idiot would have had to do was use this little phrase "Is this freq in
> > > use". And follow that up with "I am intending to use 14.275 to send my

> > > bulletin, would you guys mind standing by for it". You know what Toad?
> > > To a man we would all agreed to move. But oh no....not the mighty MAN. He
> > > comes on and DEMANDS we clear HIS frequency. And yes I am ONE OF THOSE
> > > that sent in the multitude of reports, and proud of it.
> > >
> > True, I pick a frequency that is not in use and ask if the frequency is
> > in uses, and if I don't hear anyone I start my bulletin.
>
> You're not sending a bulletin. It's a show.

But what is the content, in veiw of the FCC rules
>
> So says you.
>
> > > It was from the district office the wanabee boy broadcaster stated. Where
> > > do you think the order to do so came from nitwit? Right....FCC
> > > headquarters.
> > >
> > No, according to the NAL at the bottom it was from the district office
> > in boston, I read the NAL from the FCC's site. I really suggest on how
> > the FCC is set up before commenting on something like this. (see below)
> >
> > from http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-259301A1.html
> >
> >
> > FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> >
> >
> > Dennis V. Loria
> > District Director
> > Boston Office
> > Northeastern Region
> > Enforcement Bureau
>
> But do you think for a minute, Todd, that Mr Loria would issue a
> document demanding that a citizen part with $21K without consulting
> with Washington first?
>
> And please note that the TOP line says "FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
> COMMISSION"...Not "The Boston Branch of the FCC"...
>
> > > Wake up me boy. When the FCC comes down on you like this.....you are
> > > history. This isn't anything new, its been in the works since the mid 80s.
> > > It just took a bunch of dedicated hams that were willing to stand and fight
> > > to get the ball rolling. And your talking to one of them right now.
> > >
> > > I suggest you forget your little broadcasting career, or get ready for a
> > > history repeat. Understand? And no Toad...its not a threat....its a
> > > promise. Ham radio is sick of you children trying to mess up our play pen.
> > >

> > No thanks DAN, My problem is since your little ########## at the FCC
> > don't want to consider my application or waiver, for a broadcast
> > license, my opinion is you and themn can go #### yourself.
>
> You wouldn't need a "waiver" if you'd just do it right the first
> time.
>
> Quit your whining and hire a REPUTABLE communications attorney to
> help you. You're obviously grossly overwhelmed when it comes to
> administrative matters. Let him do it for you.

Oh yes one must always worship at the Holy Bar of Law

Amazing now and here you encourage laziness?

>
> The application will be a lot more impressive without the crayons
> and "Beefaroni" stains above the signature of non-existant
> corporations.

More gratoitous vitriol

>
> Steve, K4YZ

3877. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 20:06:33 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d9sak9vhk1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 111160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Newsgroups trimmed to amateur radio groups....

Christy D wrote:

> On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 09:52:58 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>
>>Christy D wrote:
>>
>>
>>>This petition thing is a piss poor idea, invented by those who would
>>>unwittingly harm amateur radio itself.
>>
>>and you base this opinion on what facts?
>
>
> And you miss the point that my criticism IS NOT ABOUT Baxter; it is
> about the very bad notion that vigilanteism should be used by radio
> amateurs to expunge those with whom they disagree.

Is petitioning vigilantism? This seems like a very civil method of a
group letting their opinions be known.

There is a reason that many hams across the nation do not like Mr.
Baxter. Perhaps they have been interfered with by his broadcasts.
perhaps they have been served one of his "felony affidavits". Perhaps
they believe that he is in violation of Amateur Radio regulations, and
has been so for a very long time.

> There is already a system in place, but it isn't giving them the
> results they want, so they seek to find ways to take things into their
> own hands.

How is this "taking into their own hands? The petition has no legal
binding for the FCC. All this is doing is giving the message that a lot
of people do not think Mr. Baxter is deserving of having his license
renewed. It is their opinion, and they may express that opinion because
it is protected by the Constitution.

And it is an opinion that can reasonably be reached by reasonable people.

> That is the path to anarchy. That is the path chosen by fools.

Freedom of speech is the path to anarchy? Oy.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3878. Re: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to WED..?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 20:07:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119989260.693034.291150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111161

another foregery How flattering to Todd and myself to be so important
that folks need to forge post derected at one of us from the other in a
thread started by Stevie to attack us both and yet Steve does not knwo
who did but knows he does not know.

Prehaps I have it, Stevie may suffer from multible personality
disorder, and he is doing it but doesn't know the other self

Todd N9OGL wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1119595971.210624.235750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> another example that steve needs help, for his mental problems
> >
> > This from a guy who thinks he's a junior broadcaster.
> >
> > Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> I need help for my mental problems!

3879. Stevie Confess to Conspriicy to forge posts on Usenet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 20:11:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119989475.763675.140900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111162

> There's a considerable "back channel" flow of communications going
> on, sometimes moreso than others.

Meaning you know and are willing conspriator in forgery, as well as,
conspriring to engage in hate crimes

Good thing for you that the Usenet is though of as being of little
import
>
> Deal with it.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Dealing with it

3880. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 20:15:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119989720.956408.270120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111163

> > You must decide!
>
> Why?

You decide everytime you post, you can't avoid it

>
> > >> Choose wisely!
> > >
> > >Wise by whose standards?
> >
> > We'll all see the answer to that one soon enough - when you
> > decide!
>
> How will you know what is decided?

He may not, nor is it required that he does,

> >
> > >
> > >How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical > >terms?
> >
> > Hmmm - you read the two options, right? :)
>
> Just can't make yourself spell them out, I see.

He has

>
> > >What actions do you suggest?
> >
> > Decide! Only you can make this decision - you're on your own
> > now.
>
> You have not offered the requested information. Seems to me that
> when you speak of "abuse" and "control" and "goading", you're
> really talking about yourself, not Len.

He is not required to indeed he lacks the information you require only
you have it within yourself

>
> Or perhaps you and he are one and the same.
>
> > Good luck!
>
> Be seeing you.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

3881. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 20:19:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119989992.823183.274900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111164

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > The "forgery" was a coward in Illiopolis, IL, pretending to be a
> > > commissioned officer...and the lies just got bigger and more colorful
> > > from there.
> >
> > Coward in one post...(SNIP)
>
> No...you're one in all of them.

impossible and you you should know that but you don't clearly

>
> > (UNSNIP)...then you adkonweldge my balls (paraphrase)...(SNIP)
>
> What is "adkonweldge"...?!?!
>
> And a paraphrase is not an accurate quote.

Never said it was
>
> > (UNSNIP)...in and
> > another is this your confused mind or your sexaul obbcession with male
> > gentails at work
>
> I think you meant "obsession" and "genitalia".
>
> In either case, you are, again, wrong.

No I am right you are obsessed with my genital Todd's and many other
poster on here

>
> > > You were a liar then and you've done nothing to demonstrate that
> > > you've changed that behaviour.
> > >
> > > > Steve wasn't anywhere.
> > >
> > > Sure I was. More than you.
> > >
> > > > Says he's got seven hostile actions, but if he
> > > > starts talking, his claims will be debunked, stolen valor style.
> > >
> > > Yes, Brain, you ARE a prolific thief.

> >
> > I don't know about Brain and Theft, but I do know that YOU Stevie boy
> > are the desendant of thiefs
>
> "...thieves", Markie, and no, I'm not.

Rober son the son of Rober the son of theives it is in your very name
Stevie Rober SON

>
> Suggest you research "Thomas Robeson" of South Carolina, circa
> 1700's.

why?

>
> > > You steal people's trust and confidence with your own tales and
> > > mistruths, then try and coneal the deed with outlandish accusations and
> > > deceit.
> >
> > Self describetion Stevie boy
>
> Yes, Markie, that's your self description of yourself. Very good.

nope another lie on your part

>
> Tomorrow we'll work on "s p e l l i n g"

Work on your own it has been erratic lately

>
> Steve, K4YZ

3882. Re: Poor Saggytits, Nobody has used the Paranoia remailer as a 1st in the chain remailer, you lose again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 20:22:42 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 111165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

On 28 Jun 2005 12:01:38 -0700, Secwet Woger, Knucklehead wrote:
: Watch as Saggytits Lee will blather his stupidity and say otherwise.
:
: But Saggytits is long on sheer bullshit and never backs up what he
: posts.
:

All you had to do was to follow the URL I listed
(http://www.autistici.org/it/remailer_splash.html) to discover that
the claim of compromised remailers is correct.

But that would involve following directions and reading something, and
I know that's pretty much beyond your capabilities.

You could also drop on by some of the privacy groups on Usenet and
read what they have to say about the whole situation. For example,
did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated
by the CIA?

Hey: At last you can have the CIA people talking to the FBI people
you harassed over at their Pittsburgh FBI office! It will give them
all a chance to laugh at you again.

==========
"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't
mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id
<5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d00573c@mail.futureworlds.it>

3883. Re: My new home page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 20:25:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119990335.522498.318880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111166

more forgery? My compliments on your obvious importantance anyong
targeted for such abuse Must have a real importance in the world

BTW if the foregery were traced the poster would likely be in voluation
of the law for the comition of hate crimes

Todd N9OGL wrote:
> http://www.ilgra.com/
>
> YEEEEEHAAAAA!!!

3884. Re: Post anonymously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 20:27:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119990472.488111.229510@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

my word the forheries it seems

Todd N9OGL wrote:
> "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:1119985578.753032.81860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Todd N9OGL wrote:
> >> You're putting me in another bad mood.
> >
> > Awww.... is four-eyed Toadie having one of his "fag fits?"
> >
> >
> Wogie Wiseman introduced me to the lifestlyle thanks wogie.
> >
> >> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:aNmve.46$Rj1.11104383@news.sisna.com...
> >> >I am sure that everyone here has noticed there is much too much
> >> >attention
> >> >paid to where posts originate from. And, there are childish
> >> >personalities
> >> >which look up where you are posting from--some with malicious intent...
> >> >
> >> > This URL will provide you with a means to be anonymous:
> >> > http://www.antifirewall.com/news.htm
> >> >
> >> > This should allow everyone to put a stop to this bad behavior.
> >> >
> >> > John
> >> >
> >

3885. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 20:38:08 UTC
From: Net <net@whoanetscntro.com>
Message-ID: <d9sc93$e90$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d9sak9vhk1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
> How is this "taking into their own hands? The petition has no legal
> binding for the FCC. All this is doing is giving the message that a lot of
> people do not think Mr. Baxter is deserving of having his license renewed.
> It is their opinion, and they may express that opinion because it is
> protected by the Constitution.
>
> And it is an opinion that can reasonably be reached by reasonable people.
>
>
> Freedom of speech is the path to anarchy? Oy.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Opinions are like Assholes. Everybody has one, and yours
is hanging out in the open, when it comes to K1MAN. You
had better pull up your pants, before you become the
laughing stock, if you aren't already. You had better read
up on the Holegergenski case and petitions, before you make
yourself look even stupider than you are now. And when
were you given permission to trim newsgroups? You should
spend more of your time studying to upgrade from that
no-code tech license you have held for years.

Net

3886. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 20:55:13 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d9sdfg$oj4$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 111169

Net wrote:

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d9sak9vhk1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>How is this "taking into their own hands? The petition has no legal
>>binding for the FCC. All this is doing is giving the message that a lot of
>>people do not think Mr. Baxter is deserving of having his license renewed.
>>It is their opinion, and they may express that opinion because it is
>>protected by the Constitution.
>>
>>And it is an opinion that can reasonably be reached by reasonable people.
>>
>>
>>Freedom of speech is the path to anarchy? Oy.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
>
> Opinions are like Assholes. Everybody has one, and yours
> is hanging out in the open, when it comes to K1MAN. You
> had better pull up your pants, before you become the
> laughing stock, if you aren't already. You had better read
> up on the Holegergenski case and petitions, before you make
> yourself look even stupider than you are now. And when
> were you given permission to trim newsgroups? You should
> spend more of your time studying to upgrade from that
> no-code tech license you have held for years.

More newsgroups trimmed.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3887. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 21:26:56 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Agjwe.387$9g.304@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 111170

"Sparks" <cq@sparkscqcqcq.net> wrote in message
news:d9qejidmd0@pita.alt.net...
> Oh my, yet another version of the "Hans Is A Radio Hero" story.
> Down at the Legion, they have at least five or six different
> versions of the story, with the best versions being told after several
> shot 'n beers are consumed by the grand story teller.
> Yawwwwwwwwwwwwwwn, why do old men never tire of telling
> borrrrrrrrrrrrrrring embellished war stories?
>
> 73,
>
> Sparks
>
>

Hardly embellished; Hans has his citation.

What, might I gently ask, have you done for this country? One doesn't
progress beyond E-7 by being a bullsh*t artist, you know .... :))

Perhaps you'd care to share your expertise in communications.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3888. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 21:40:01 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Rsjwe.92$j21.7@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 111171

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1119910307.706893.5390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > The HF bands are, many times, international in scope. This story is not
> > about the FCC, it is about international agreements.
>
> It's about both, really.
> >
> > What is said in the story is true; many 3rd world countries would love
80
> > meters as it would provide cheap communications (they don't have to pay
to
> > build all the infrastructure of a telephone system to cover their
country).
> > If the world community decides that 80/75 meters is to no longer be an
> > amateur allocations, the FCC will have nothing to say about it.
>
> The US delegation to the radio conferences looks to FCC for input,
> though. (Not just FCC, of course.) There's a big difference between
> what happens if FCC says that 75 is such a mess it isn't worth
> defending at the conferences versus the reverse.
>
> I think the main point of all this is that it's really up to *us hams*
> to
> show that we're worth our allocations. That's one reason on-air
> behavior really matters!
>
> There's also a lesson in human nature in there, too. When Rudy Giuliani
> was elected mayor of NYC, one of his priorities was enforcement of
> "minor" laws,
> like going after turnstile-jumpers, graffiti and trash/rubbish
> violations. Some people asked if tax money wouldn't be better spent
> going after drug dealers and murderers. RG's theory was that if you
> allow the "little stuff" to get slack, the big stuff gets slack too. In
> any event, NYC's crime, large and small, went down.
>
> Same principle applies on the ham bands. But FCC can't do it all -
> there's the question of what we hams consider acceptable behavior. FCC
> enforcement is complaint-driven - just ask K1MAN. A key factor in his
> case is that many,
> many hams complained about his violations over a long period of time.
>
> > If you look at cell phones, you might get an idea of the extent of the
> > problem. In developed countries, cell phones have become big business.

In
> > the U.S., every teenager "needs" one. It takes a lot of cell phone
towers
> > to provide service, not to mention ever increasing needs of frequencies.
>
> Right - but those will be VHF/UHF, not HF.
>
> > I believe that when I was first licensed (in 1962) amateurs could use
any
> > frequency above 30 GHz. There was little gear that could function at
all at
> > that frequency and dx records could be measured in yards or a few miles.
> > Nowadays, there are some amateur bands intermingled with other segments
> > going up to 300 GHz, at which point amateurs can use anything above 300
GHz.
> > 300 GHz in far infra-red light!
>
> Sure - but isn't that the way it's always been?
>
> Back in 1912, hams had access to "200 meters and down" (note - that did
> not mean any ham could use any frequency above 1500 kHz! It meant that
> individual hams could apply for, and receive, licenses to use specific
> frequencies above 1500. So could anyone else, but the professionals and
> experts of the time thought those frequencies were useless for
> long-distance communications.
>
> When HF was carved into bands, US hams had lots of room. Before 1929,
> 40 meters was 7000 to 8000 kHz and 20 meters was 14,000 to 16,000 kHz -
> exact harmonics of 80!
>
> > Somehow, communications devices are going to have to become more
efficient
> > at using available frequencies (amateurs included). Even assuming they
do
> > (and they have become more band-width friendly), there will be pressure
on
> > all users to use it (effectively) or loose it.
>
> I agree up to a point.
>
> Most of the communications revolution has nothing to do with radio.
> It's all about fiber optics. Heck, I bet that the vast majority of
> telecommunications today (in terms of bits/mile) does *not* go by
> radio, but by copper or glass. Cell phones, Wi-Fi, etc. are simply a
> way of getting the last mile without a wire or fiber. Broadcasting as
> we knew it will probably morph into something aimed mostly at mobile
> users.
>
> Heck, the real value of HF (as perceived by regulators) is demonstrated
> by BPL.

>
> > As to the FCC, they can easily reassign users at VHF and above as it
doesn't
> > carry world-wide.
>
> *Some* of our bands are not protected by treaty, others are. 144-146 is
> worldwide exclusive amateur, FCC can't touch it without a treaty
> change. 146-148 could be reallocated whenever FCC feels like it.
>
> > Those segments are also in jeopardy by big business.
> > Note that the Supreme Court ruled that local governments can exercise
their
> > right to take property (with compensation to the owners) and sell it to
> > someone else.
>
> They took eminent domain to a new high - or low.
>
> Think what it means! Once it was the case that your house could be
> taken to build a public works project (road, school, bridge) on the
> theory that the public good demanded it. Now the Supremes are saying
> that "the public good" includes a *private industry* developer who
> wants to put up McMansions.
> >
> > Big business and the Republicans rule.
>
> "What's good for General Bullmoose...."
>
> > Next time be careful of who you vote for.
>
> I've always been careful that way...
>
> But the Dems have a way of nominating candidates that too many people
> won't vote for. They're well on the way to doing it again with Hillary.
> She's the best friend the 'pubs ever had!
>
> Friend of mine once said that if you gave the DNC the job of organizing
> a firing squad, they'd put the squad in a circle around the condemned
> person.
>
>
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Hello, Jim

LOL, I got a chuckle from your post. You are right; I was generalizing. Of course, the FCC has input, but international agreements we have to follow (I hope).

I'm glad you have enjoy 'lil Abner in the past. I always loved that strip. What's good for ..... LOL

Fortunately, in this country we are free to disagree, rather than being placed in a tree grinder or whatever ;)

As to the Democrats, I hate to admit it but you just may have hit the nail on the head. Point well taken :)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3889. Re: point of info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 21:40:01 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Rsjwe.91$j21.71@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 111172

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119907215.392064.156520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> What are the dates on the current valid tech question pool. my partner
> has been looking at a license. Is the pool about to change etc?
>

If one knows theory and regulations and is not simply trying to memorize Q&As, then there should be no problem. I let my tickets expire around 1981 and simply retook the exams in 1993 without any study. This included 20 word per minute CW (last copied in 1969). I regained my extra class license (I believe I was down there nearly 3 hours) as well as my GROL (no 1st phone anymore, unfortunately).

Just make sure your partner knows the basic rules of operating, the different emission types, and the band limits and your partner should be fine. One doesn't need to score 100% (nor even 80%, come to think of it). Nothing very difficult on the tech exam.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3890. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 21:44:04 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Ewjwe.393$9g.310@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 111173

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1119935632.298496.14770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Jun 27, 6:11 pm
>
> >Jim Hampton wrote:
> >> The HF bands are, many times, international in scope. This story is
not
> >> about the FCC, it is about international agreements.
> >>
> >It's about both, really.
>
> There we have it from an "insider."
>
>
> >The US delegation to the radio conferences looks to FCC for input,
> >though. (Not just FCC, of course.) There's a big difference between
> >what happens if FCC says that 75 is such a mess it isn't worth
> >defending at the conferences versus the reverse.
>
> WRRRRONNNNNGGGGGGG.
>
> The U.S. delegation to the WRCs >>>IS<<< composed of members from
> the FCC, the NTIA, and Department of State. All three. You can
> read that on the FCC website or read the Report of the Chairperson
> (OF the FCC) on WRC-03.
>
>
> >There's also a lesson in human nature in there, too. When Rudy Giuliani
> >was elected mayor of NYC, one of his priorities was enforcement of
>
> The POLITICAL newsgroups are on the 2nd floor. Go there and
> find some Noo Yawk buddies.
>
>
> >> If you look at cell phones, you might get an idea of the extent of the
> >> problem. In developed countries, cell phones have become big business.
In
> >> the U.S., every teenager "needs" one. It takes a lot of cell phone
towers
> >> to provide service, not to mention ever increasing needs of
frequencies.
> >>
> >Right - but those will be VHF/UHF, not HF.
>

> Low end of L-Band, in the microwaves.
>
>
> >Back in 1912, hams had access to "200 meters and down" (note - that did
> >not mean any ham could use any frequency above 1500 kHz! It meant that
> >individual hams could apply for, and receive, licenses to use specific
> >frequencies above 1500. So could anyone else, but the professionals and
> >experts of the time thought those frequencies were useless for
> >long-distance communications.
>
> 1912 was NINETY THREE YEARS AGO, senior. The "audion" was only
> six years old and "electronics professionals" were still trying
> to figure out how to make them.
>
> >When HF was carved into bands, US hams had lots of room. Before 1929,
> >40 meters was 7000 to 8000 kHz and 20 meters was 14,000 to 16,000 kHz -
> >exact harmonics of 80!
>
> Got any more CUT AND PASTE from "200 Meters and Down?"
>
> Anybody in here born BEFORE 1929, senior?
>
>
> >Most of the communications revolution has nothing to do with radio.
> >It's all about fiber optics.
>
> Really?!? "Nothing to do with radio" yet it is a main subject
> of the following trade magazines: "Microwaves and RF," "RF
> Design." Not to mention the big Microwave Symposium recently
> in Long Beach, CA.
>
> The 100 MILLION plus 2-way RADIO handsets (called "cell phones")
> don't have any "fiber" attached to them.
>
> GPS doesn't work by "fiber."
>
> Those hundreds of thousands of public safety, utility, transport
> companies, businesses of all sizes, in VHF-UHF aren't communicating
> via "fiber."
>
> WLANS are RADIO since they were done to get AWAY from "fiber."
>
> RFID tag interrogators are working in RADIO frequencies in stores
> all over the USA and possessions. No "fiber."
>
> Bluetooth devices are short-range two-way RADIOS without "fiber"
> and most of those work with fiber-less cell phones.
>
> YOU need more mental fiber in your knowledge diet.
>

>
>
> >> Big business and the Republicans rule.
> >
> >"What's good for General Bullmoose...."
> >
> >> Next time be careful of who you vote for.
> >
> >I've always been careful that way...
> >
> >But the Dems have a way of nominating candidates that too many people
> >won't vote for. They're well on the way to doing it again with Hillary.
> >She's the best friend the 'pubs ever had!
> >
> >Friend of mine once said that if you gave the DNC the job of organizing
> >a firing squad, they'd put the squad in a circle around the condemned
> >person.
>
> The POLITICAL newsgroups are up on the other floor. This one
> is about AMATEUR RADIO. Go join your "friend" there, okay?
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Hello, Len

Amateur radio policy is *devoid* of politics? ROTFLMAO.

The Repooblican party, big business, and frequency allocations have quite a bit of politics involved, my friend.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3891. Re: Field day article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 22:12:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <UWjwe.13226$pa3.7849@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111174

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
> A good chance we logged ya.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Could be....I'll check. The good thing was we had a LOT of folks watching
as we hit the C W mode. That really did impress those that don't.
Licensed or not.. hi.

Dan/W4NTI

3892. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 22:15:12 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <jri3c1dqjiucqsvpeo5406018spiocpt3p@4ax.com>
Source record: 111175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

On 28 Jun 2005 19:17:53 GMT, "Christy D" <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net>
wrote:

>On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 09:52:58 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>> Christy D wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > This petition thing is a piss poor idea, invented by those who would
>> > unwittingly harm amateur radio itself.
>>
>> and you base this opinion on what facts?
>
>And you miss the point that my criticism IS NOT ABOUT Baxter; it is
>about the very bad notion that vigilanteism should be used by radio
>amateurs to expunge those with whom they disagree.

What a crock. According to the FCC letters, this guy is a walking violation. If
someone plays by the rules, no petition will deny them renewal.

>There is already a system in place, but it isn't giving them the
>results they want, so they seek to find ways to take things into their
>own hands.

>That is the path to anarchy. That is the path chosen by fools.

Holy shit. It's a freemdom of choice. Don't sign it.

Anarchy? That is what is going on in Iraq. This ain't no fucking anarchy.

Vinnie S.

3893. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 22:16:12 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <uvi3c15ecaat6f5h4j5h54oheio9u36tko@4ax.com>
Source record: 111176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 16:38:08 -0400, "Net" <net@whoanetscntro.com> wrote:

>
>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:d9sak9vhk1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>> How is this "taking into their own hands? The petition has no legal
>> binding for the FCC. All this is doing is giving the message that a lot of
>> people do not think Mr. Baxter is deserving of having his license renewed.
>> It is their opinion, and they may express that opinion because it is
>> protected by the Constitution.
>>
>> And it is an opinion that can reasonably be reached by reasonable people.
>>
>>
>> Freedom of speech is the path to anarchy? Oy.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
>Opinions are like Assholes. Everybody has one,

I forgot about that one. But that is a great line, and perfectly described.
Sorry I snipped the rest.

Vinnie S.

3894. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 22:18:08 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119997088.725118.149470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111177

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1119910307.706893.5390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > *Some* of our bands are not protected by treaty, others are. 144-146 is
> > worldwide exclusive amateur, FCC can't touch it without a treaty
> > change. 146-148 could be reallocated whenever FCC feels like it.
> >
> > > Those segments are also in jeopardy by big business.
> > > Note that the Supreme Court ruled that local governments can exercise
> their
> > > right to take property (with compensation to the owners) and sell it to
> > > someone else.
> >
> > They took eminent domain to a new high - or low.
> >
> > Think what it means! Once it was the case that your house could be
> > taken to build a public works project (road, school, bridge) on the
> > theory that the public good demanded it. Now the Supremes are saying
> > that "the public good" includes a *private industry* developer who
> > wants to put up McMansions.

I saw a headline that some private developer wants to use the new
ruling
to get some private property eminent-domained so he can build on it.
The
land currently belongs to one of the Supremes (and I don't mean the
singers)

see: www.fark.com

(very good site, btw)
> > >
> > > Big business and the Republicans rule.
> >
> > "What's good for General Bullmoose...."
> >
> > > Next time be careful of who you vote for.
> >
> > I've always been careful that way...
> >
> > But the Dems have a way of nominating candidates that too many people
> > won't vote for. They're well on the way to doing it again with Hillary.
> > She's the best friend the 'pubs ever had!

Of course I could be wrong. When she carpetbagged to New York State I
was
sure there was no way she could get elected.

But ya gotta ask - is HRC the best the Dems can do for 2008? Sheesh!

(then again, look at what the 'pubs have offered us....)

> > Friend of mine once said that if you gave the DNC the job of organizing
> > a firing squad, they'd put the squad in a circle around the condemned
> > person.

> Hello, Jim
>
> LOL, I got a chuckle from your post. You are right; I was generalizing. Of
> course, the FCC has input, but international agreements we have to follow (I
> hope).

At least so far as frequency allocations are concerned.

> I'm glad you have enjoy 'lil Abner in the past.

One wonders if it could be done today. Moonbeam McSwine, Stupefyin'
Jones, and my personal favorite, Appassionata Von Climax.

I heard the Broadway soundtrack album as a kid many, many times.

> I always loved that strip.
> What's good for ..... LOL

Art imitates life.

Panfried shmoos, anyone?

Then there was Pogo....

> Fortunately, in this country we are free to disagree, rather than being
> placed in a tree grinder or whatever ;)

At least for now, anyway.

> As to the Democrats, I hate to admit it but you just may have hit the nail
> on the head. Point well taken :)

The sad part of it for me is that Bill Clinton was perhaps the biggest
factor in all of it. Normally an incumbent VP should have won in a
walk, but Bill's misbehavior in office forced Algore to lose all
coattails. Which set the stage for Shrub to win.

> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

I still miss Palmyra

73 de Jim, N2EY

3895. Re: Poor Saggytits, Nobody has used the Paranoia remailer as a 1st in the chain remailer, you lose again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 22:27:34 UTC
From: Billy Bob <WmRobert@spammers>
Message-ID: <d9sir8$2a5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111178

"Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:1119985298.851584.137580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
Watch as Saggytits Lee will blather his stupidity and say otherwise.

But Saggytits is long on sheer bullshit and never backs up what he
posts.

Just like when he said there was an "International Radio License", the
"Marshall County, AL ARES website" is the one for "Marshall County, WV
ARES", or that Eric Clapton wrote "Layla" not about Patty Harrison
Clapton, but about a Secret nazi ham(that looks like Lurch)'s hideous
wife.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

--
Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't mean
everybody else does, imbecile."
Roger Lee Wiseman

3896. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 22:30:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <7ckwe.13235$pa3.5282@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111179

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1119928545.661536.123810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1119826889.824076.276320@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> >> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1119738549.767548.163900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > K1MAN PT2
>> >> > FROM http://n9oglvice.blogspot.com
>> >> >
>> >> > [13. Section 97.101(d) of the Rules states that ``[n]o
>> >> > amateur operator shall willfully or maliciously interfere
>> >> > with or cause interference to any radio communication or
>> >> > signal.''12 On November 27, 2004, December 8, 2004, and
>> >> > March 31, 2005, Baxter's Amateur station K1MAN commenced
>> >> > transmitting on top of existing communications on 3.890 MHz
>> >> > in apparent willful and repeated violation of 97.101(d) of
>> >> > the Commission's rules.]
>> >> >
>> >> > OH, and the ARRL W1AW don't?? I don't see amateurs bitch and cry
>> >> > about
>> >> > W1AW, nor, do I see the FCC sending warning letters to them. Hey,
>> >> > interfernce is interfernce, and it doesn't matter who's causing it
>> >> > the
>> >> > rules should apply to all.
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> The difference is Baxter does it in a intentional manner. He has said
>> >> on
>> >> his 24/7 broadcasts that he does not bother to listen on his intended
>> >> frequency of operation. Because we all should "know" he is coming on
>> >> there.
>> >>
>> >
>> > but neither does W1AW, I've heard amateurs complaining about W1AW
>> > transmitting ontop of them. It really shouldn't matter if they
>> > transmitting 24/7 or for 15 min, interfernce is interfernce on any
>> > level.

>> >
>>
>> Then file a complaint. You know why folks don't file against W1AW?
>> Because
>> the provide a service. Not a bully pulpit like your soon to be shot down
>> hero.
>>
>
> It don't matter if they [W1AW] is providing a service, interfernce is
> interfernce.
>

Like I said dipwad....file a complaint then.

>
>> >> The W1AW information bulletins are well published, as per FCC rules,
>> >> Baxter has a few paragraphs on an obscure web page.
>> >>
>> >
>> > First off Information bulletins do not have to be published, only if
>> > they are on a certain amount of time which is 48 hr a week for the
>> > purpose of compesation. As for baxter's website I will admit that a
>> > five year old could do a better job making a website, then what he did.
>> >
>>
>> Then why does Blapster make such a big deal about his "published
>> schedule"
>> and uses that as his PRIMARY reason to claim he is being interfered with
>> ?
>> Sorry, can't have it both ways. Face it...Blapster is a sham. He
>> should
>> be standing in a circus tent hawking hair growth cream.
>>
>
> the FCC has even told K1MAN that his published schedule didn't mean
> squat, and that his published schedule was no excuse for interfernce.
>

Oh NO !!!!! You just shot YOUR and MANs MAJOR excuse for being a boy
broadcaster
being all right and above board. Hardy har har.

>
>> >> W1AW is a real organization. K1MAN is a one man pony show.
>> >
>> > A information bulletin is NOT limited to clubs, anyone can run an
>> > Information Bulletin
>> >>
>> >> etc.
>> >>
>>

>> That is correct. Maybe one day Baxter will actually transmit a
>> "information bulletin". What you think?
>
> the main problem that I see is that amateur believe an information
> bulletin cannot be opinionated, yet there is no rule on it. Many
> amateur believe that those who run information bulletins should only
> run "newscast" however, if the FCC wanted it to be only newscast then
> they would specify that it should be only a newscast, the FCC has the
> ability and power to specify what an information bulletin is. The FCC
> has the power to limit what an information bulletin is, provided that
> it is the least restrictive mean necessary to promote govenment
> intrest, the problem is they don't.
>

It is NOT the "opinionated" part that is a problem Toad....Its his pure and
simple
attitude. If he would not be such a pain in everyones butt and act like a
normal
human being he would not have all these problems. But its too late now, he
has
so many folks ill at him, he ain't got a prayer of pulling out of the power
dive he is
in.

A bulletin is a short, to the point transmission of interest to the Amateur
community.
Not a 45 minute talk show of off the wall opinions from the Blapster, phone
calls
that he taped and is replaying (illegally I might add....where is the beep?)
And especially
not 18 year old re-runs of Wayne Green and talks from the Dayton Hamfest of
1986.

Lets not even mention his calling Riley Hollingsworth names and slandering
his fellow
Amateurs. Blapster is a total and complete piece of crap. And all this he
has brought
on his self.

>> >> > [14. Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules prohibits an Amateur
>> >> > station from transmitting any communications in which the
>> >> > station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary
>> >> > interest. On November 25, 2004 and March 30, 2005, Mr.
>> >> > Baxter's station repeatedly transmitted references to his
>> >> > website, which offers various products for sale, including a
>> >> > monthly newsletter published by Glenn Baxter and offered for
>> >> > sale for forty-five dollars per year. In addition, on
>> >> > December 1, 2004, Station K1MAN transmitted a seventy-minute
>> >> > interview with a person who was considering whether to
>> >> > retain Baxter Associates, an employment-search firm owned by
>> >> > Mr. Baxter. During the transmission, Mr. Baxter discussed

>> >> > fees, investments, and franchising opportunities. We find
>> >> > that Mr. Baxter apparently willfully and repeatedly violated
>> >> > Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Rules on each of these occasions
>> >> > by transmitting communications regarding matters in which he
>> >> > has a pecuniary interest.]
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > Oh, the ARRL doesn't do that??? the rule states that pecuniary
>> >> > interest
>> >> > applies to direct and indirect. K1MAN is doing direct, while the
>> >> > ARRL
>> >> > doesn't indirect.
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> No the ARRL does NOT SOLICITE on its bulletins. K1MAN does. End of
>> >> subject.
>> >>
>
> They don't, the word is DIRECT AND INDIRECT. K1MAN does in his bulletin
> [DIRECT] while the ARRL has a website that has stuff forsale and offer
> a credit card [INDIRECT] and although they don't promote their website
> in their news bulletins they still run a site that offers goods
> [INDIRECT]
>
>> > No but the rules state a station can't make money direct or indirect.
>> > K1MAN does do it DIRECT, while the ARRL is doing it INDIRECT. but the
>> > rules does state a station can't make money direct or indirect.
>> >
>>
>> Reference and show me how the ARRL is soliciting on the air.
>>
> They don't but the do own a site that offers goods which is [indirect]
>

Wait a minute Toad, get your comments (BS) cooridinated. You say the ARRL
solicits
on its bulletins, now you say they don't. Again Toad you can't have it
both ways. The FACTS are the ARRL DOES NOT SOLICITE ANYTHING ON ITS
BULLETINS. THE ARRL ACTUALLY SENDS BULLETINS NOT SOLICITATIONS FOR CREDIT
CARDS< ETC>> THAT YOUR BUDDY DOES. MAN has had it. Face it.

>> Get ready to suck it up Toad.
>>
>> >>
>> >> > [16. Section 97.113(b) of the Rules prohibits, with limited
>> >> > exceptions not applicable here, an Amateur station from
>> >> > engaging in any form of broadcasting or transmitting one-way
>> >> > transmissions. Section 97.3(a)(10) of the Rules defines
>> >> > broadcasting as ``transmissions intended for reception by
>> >> > the general public.'' 14 We find that the pre-recorded
>> >> > seventy-minute interview with a person interested in

>> >> > retaining Baxter Associates, during which there was no
>> >> > station identification, constitutes a ``broadcast'' and an
>> >> > impermissible one-way transmission. Therefore, Mr. Baxter
>> >> > apparently willfully violated Section 97.113(b) of the
>> >> > Rules.]
>> >> >
>> >> > and the question I have for the FCC is where does this and how does
>> >> > it
>> >> > apply to section 326 of the communication act. after all isn't it up
>> >> > to
>> >> > the station to deiced what is of intrest to amateur radio??
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Ohhhhhh.....Baxter is the one that determines what I want to hear?
>> >> That
>> >> is
>> >> really funny Toad.
>> >
>> > Under the FCC rules it states it is up to station transmitting the
>> > bulletin, the FCC even states that on their website. If you don't want
>> > to hear him then that's what a VFO is for.
>> >>
>>
>> Not when that SOB sits down on top of me and those I am in QSO with. All
>> that idiot would have had to do was use this little phrase "Is this freq
>> in
>> use". And follow that up with "I am intending to use 14.275 to send my
>> bulletin, would you guys mind standing by for it". You know what Toad?
>> To a man we would all agreed to move. But oh no....not the mighty MAN.
>> He
>> comes on and DEMANDS we clear HIS frequency. And yes I am ONE OF THOSE
>> that sent in the multitude of reports, and proud of it.
>>
> True, I pick a frequency that is not in use and ask if the frequency is
> in uses, and if I don't hear anyone I start my bulletin.
>

I know I ridiculed you about that. I was just pulling your chain. But you
show me here that you know of what I speak. Good for you Todd.

>> Why isn't he on 14.275 any longer ?????? I'll tell you why....he got his
>> arse whipped like a mangy dog thats why.
>>
>>
>> >>
>> >> > finally I would like to tell all my fans that this sick joke of a
>> >> > NAL
>> >> > does not effect my station or the N9OGL SHOW
>> >> >
>> >> > TODD N9OGL
>> >> > THE N9OGL SHOW

>> >> > 14.321.00 MHz
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> sick joke? I don't consider a 21000 NAL a sick joke. If you
>> >> do.....you
>> >> have a mental problem.
>> >>
>> > It is a sick Joke in the sense that one of the Issues in the NAL was
>> > overturned once already by the Commission in DC back in 2004. (it dealt
>> > with the content of his station)as for the other stuff I don't think
>> > really think it's sick but it does raise some questions. You have to
>> > remeber this was a district office that sent the NAL, and it's not a
>> > FINAL ORDER, there is a Looooooong process before the final ruling is
>> > set, including a hearing before an Administrative Law Jugde (ALJ) and
>> > if he don't like that ruling he can go to the US Court of Appeal and
>> > then just maybe, if they want to hear it the Supreme Court When the FCC
>> > goes around controling the content of the station that is transmitting
>> > it then it is a violation of Section 326 of the Comunication Act of
>> > 1934 as Amended.
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL
>> >
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>>
>> It was from the district office the wanabee boy broadcaster stated.
>> Where
>> do you think the order to do so came from nitwit? Right....FCC
>> headquarters.
>>
> No, according to the NAL at the bottom it was from the district office
> in boston, I read the NAL from the FCC's site. I really suggest on how
> the FCC is set up before commenting on something like this. (see below)
>
> from http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-259301A1.html
>
>
> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>
>
> Dennis V. Loria
> District Director
> Boston Office
> Northeastern Region
> Enforcement Bureau
>
>
>
>> Wake up me boy. When the FCC comes down on you like this.....you are
>> history. This isn't anything new, its been in the works since the mid

>> 80s.
>> It just took a bunch of dedicated hams that were willing to stand and
>> fight
>> to get the ball rolling. And your talking to one of them right now.
>>
>> I suggest you forget your little broadcasting career, or get ready for a
>> history repeat. Understand? And no Toad...its not a threat....its a
>> promise. Ham radio is sick of you children trying to mess up our play
>> pen.
>>
> No thanks DAN, My problem is since your little BITCHWHORES at the FCC
> don't want to consider my application or waiver, for a broadcast
> license, my opinion is you and themn can go fuck yourself.
>
>
>
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Poor Toad. When he don't get his way he starts with the cussing and carrying on. Isnt this how you lost your LAST ISP TOAD? I got a jolt for you me boy. I have no friends at the FCC. I am just right and they and you know it.

You don't deserve a ham license let alone one for a broadcast station. We have enough kooks on SW now. Just go bootleg, put it in a mobile for a while, then a ship, then a surf board. Or just go to streaming on the internet. Wake up and smell the roses Toad.

If you want to broadcast, then broadcast. But ham radio is NOT the place to do it. I will guarantee you if I ever hear you doing it, and not crossing your "t" and dotting your "i", I will be all over you like ....well you know the rest of it. Your talking to one with a LOT of experience taking out the idiots. And guess what? Your on the list.

Don't believe me? Ask David Tolassi ex KC1ZQ what happens when you cross me on ham radio.

Be warned.

Dan/W4NTI

Dan/W4NTI

3897. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 22:34:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Afkwe.13236$pa3.12308@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111180

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>
> The application will be a lot more impressive without the crayons
> and "Beefaroni" stains above the signature of non-existant
> corporations.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

ROFLMAO Thats a good one Steve.

Dan/W4NTI

3898. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 22:38:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1119998317.663419.163320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111181

Michael Coslo wrote:

> Christy D wrote:
> > On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 09:52:58 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
> >>Christy D wrote:
> >>>This petition thing is a piss poor idea, invented by those who would
> >>>unwittingly harm amateur radio itself.

On the contrary - it's an excellent idea.

> >>and you base this opinion on what facts?

> > And you miss the point that my criticism IS NOT ABOUT Baxter; it is
> > about the very bad notion that vigilanteism should be used by radio
> > amateurs to expunge those with whom they disagree.
>
> Is petitioning vigilantism? This seems like a very civil method of a
> group letting their opinions be known.

FCC enforcement is clearly complaint-driven. FCC doesn't put a lot of
resources into scanning the ham bands looking for violations. They
respond to complaints
and reports instead, focusing their limited resources.

> There is a reason that many hams across the nation do not like Mr.
> Baxter. Perhaps they have been interfered with by his broadcasts.
> perhaps they have been served one of his "felony affidavits". Perhaps
> they believe that he is in violation of Amateur Radio regulations, and
> has been so for a very long time.

Or perhaps they realize that his violations set a very bad precedent if
they
are left alone.

Take the control operator issue. FCC says there were times when they
could not
determine how K1MAN was controlled. Clear violation of Part 97 if true
- a station like K1MAN must have a control operator available.

Or the pecuniary interest issue. Another clear violation.

> > There is already a system in place, but it isn't giving them the
> > results they want, so they seek to find ways to take things into their
> > own hands.

The system that is in place depends on complaints to a very large
extent.

Besides, one of the basic rights of Americans is the right to petition
their government.

Now if someone were suggesting any sort of direct illegal action
against Mr. Baxter (vigilanteism), they *would be* taking the law into
their own hands, which is not the right way to deal with the situation
at all.

> How is this "taking into their own hands? The petition has no legal
> binding for the FCC. All this is doing is giving the message that a lot
> of people do not think Mr. Baxter is deserving of having his license
> renewed. It is their opinion, and they may express that opinion because
> it is protected by the Constitution.

Exactly. In fact, it's not just their right - it's their *duty*!
>
> And it is an opinion that can reasonably be reached by reasonable people.

> > That is the path to anarchy. That is the path chosen by fools.
>
> Freedom of speech is the path to anarchy? Oy.
>
Ya gotta wonder...

73 de Jim, N2EY

3899. Re: Need a meaningful definition of "hostile action"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 22:42:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1119998553.218196.296940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111182

K4YZ wrote:

> What is "adkonweldge"...?!?!

acknowledge.

> I think you meant "obsession" and "genitalia".

He did.

> "...thieves", Markie, and no, I'm not.

He says you are.

> Suggest you research "Thomas Robeson" of South Carolina, circa
> 1700's.

Suggest you quit dragging his name through the mud.

> Tomorrow we'll work on "s p e l l i n g"
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Today Robeson makes fun of dyslexics. Tomorrow he'll make fun of amputees.

By the weekend he'll be making fun of downs children.

3900. Re: Post anonymously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 22:47:12 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunksoshit.com>
Message-ID: <ff6f6a230317a673d506a6bb1f4a6f13@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 111185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "John Smith" <assemblywiz...@gmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Post anonymously
Lines: 12
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
format=flowed;
charset="iso-8859-1";
reply-type=original
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2180
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2180
Message-ID: <aNmve.46$Rj1.11104383@news.sisna.com>
Date: Sat, 25 Jun 2005 17:37:26 -0700
NNTP-Posting-Host: 66.81.210.240
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@ikano.com
X-Trace: news.sisna.com 1119746246 66.81.210.240 (Sat, 25 Jun
2005 18:37:26 MDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 25 Jun 2005 18:37:26 MDT
Organization: Internet News Service
X-Received-Date: Sat, 25 Jun 2005 18:37:26 MDT (news.sisna.com)

I am sure that everyone here has noticed there is much too much
attention paid to where posts originate from. And, there are
childish
personalities which look up where you are posting from--some with
malicious intent...

This URL will provide you with a means to be anonymous:
http://www.antifirewall.com/news.htm

This should allow everyone to put a stop to this bad behavior.

John

You mean like how you use:

o1-dialup-66-81-210-240.rev.o1.com (66.81.210.240)

66.81.0.0 - 66.81.255.255
O1.com
1515 K St.

Suite 100
Sacramento, CA
US

NetEng, Option One
neteng@o1.com
+1-916-554-2121

66.81.112.0 - 66.81.223.255
O1 Dialup Services
1515 K Street
Suite 100
Sacramento, CA
US

NetEng, Option One
neteng@o1.com
+1-916-554-2121

3901. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 22:51:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Rvkwe.13243$pa3.11702@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111183

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:nISdnePhwuklCF3fRVn-1A@adelphia.com...
> james wrote:
>> On Mon, 27 Jun 2005 08:43:43 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I hate to say this Lloyd, but K1MAN earned whatever actions is being
>>>taken
>>>against him. in fact, he demanded this action by his very threats.
>>>
>>>in any case, I think I will sign that petition myself, just because Mr.
>>>baxter's actions draw negative attention to the service as a whole.
>>
>> ******
>>
>> In that FCC's NAL has concluded that Mr Baxter operations are in
>> violation of statutes duly authorized by the FCC to enforce, they have
>> seemed to fallen short of asking for the revocation of his license.
>> From the NAL, I see the FCC is only a seeking of monetary remedies and
>> not a revocation of his license.
>
> It is probably just easier that they decline to renew his license in
> October.
>
>> As much as I see, and I do not condone his actions, the actions of
>> some have taken on the role as a lynch mob. I myself will abide by
>> what ever the FCC determines.
>
> Many people are just not terribly patient, and the F.C.C. has methodically
> in their case against him.
>
>
>> But I find this petition thing a bit to
>> scary.
>
> It is at least unnecessary and a possible impediment.
>
> Remember that there is a lot of pent-up frustration in this case.
>
>> WHo next? So someone operates by the rules but not as someone
>> or some group and then they are next for a petition campaign? Just
>> because you and other deem his actions is a negative attention?
>

> Not at all likely. Hams put up with some pretty impressive shenanigans.
> But it must be remember that he was sending out those felony affidavits,
> and at the same time, opening up and transmitting over whoever happened to
> be operating on "HIS" frequency.
>
> Problem is it wasn't his frequency.
>
> There seems to be a long, long history here.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Listen up guys and gals......since the NAL has been issued.....21K......and since he can't pay it.....and since he must renew in October......and since the fine is not paid.....then the FCC WILL NOT ACT ON HIS RENEWAL APPLICATION. AND THEY WILL NOT ALLOW HIM TO CONTINUE TO OPERATE BEYOND LICENSE EXPATRIATION DATE.

Things have change since Herby.

Dan/W4NTI

3902. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 22:53:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1119999231.579838.121380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111184

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "Sparks" <cq@sparkscqcqcq.net> wrote in message
> news:d9qejidmd0@pita.alt.net...
> > Oh my, yet another version of the "Hans Is A Radio Hero" story.
> > Down at the Legion, they have at least five or six different
> > versions of the story, with the best versions being told after several
> > shot 'n beers are consumed by the grand story teller.
> > Yawwwwwwwwwwwwwwn, why do old men never tire of telling
> > borrrrrrrrrrrrrrring embellished war stories?
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Sparks
> >
> >
>
> Hardly embellished; Hans has his citation.
>
> What, might I gently ask, have you done for this country? One doesn't
> progress beyond E-7 by being a bullsh*t artist, you know .... :))

Really Most miliatry promotion, indeed most promotions in Government
and private industry is some form of politics.

Bullshit well spun get some people very far indeed Clinton for example.
>
> Perhaps you'd care to share your expertise in communications.
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

3903. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 23:06:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120000019.648000.319350@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111186

an_old_friend wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> cut
> > > Nope you go off the rails at "..for personal gain.." I, even you may
> > > say or claim anything if it well serve to enhance his personal safety
> > > or that of other. I or even you may even use deadly force. The comment
> > > diverted you you even (if you are to be belived stopped to call the
> > > Pentagon)til I could return home and be better able to defend my
> > > family. You keep forgeting that YOU said "One shoot One kill even in
> > > Illiopolis" Indeed at the moment you made that threat had you carried
> > > it out the man you would have killed would have been my elderly father
> > > or the temporay caregiver I hired while I was away on busness
> >
> > I'd like to dig that post out of Google.
>
> He made severl of them also made the threat repeatly in email to me

But he tries to make out that he's really a nice guy and only has your
family's best interest at heart.

He may be our next democrat candidate for president.

> > > > That's a felony.
> > >
> > > Indeed your threats are the felony, but Law enforcement has grown to
> > > lazy to do the job of dealing with trash like you.
> >
> > Depends on who you are. Steve claims that with one phone call...
>
> Stevie Claims a lot of shit

Agreed. He backs up none of it with documentation.

> > > Youa re clearly a stalker likely a relitively harmless one, but you
> > > stalk various people including myself, indeed given the interstate
> > > nature of your crime a federal offence, but perhaps you take comfort in
> > > the knowledge that you are not a bigg enough fish to be worthfrying for
> > > your crimes (metaphoricaly speacking of course)
> >

> > I don't think Steve is harmless. Be careful.
>
> I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.

The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...

Hi!

But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed tires, and terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only "other people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven snow.

> Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
> managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead

I think he's a creep. Leave no crumbs if you can help it.

> Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> on the Internet about my bio or location etc

Vermin! Hi!

And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.

3904. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 23:12:53 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <stupid.woger.loses.again@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 111187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 21:50:02 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger <at a CIA server> wrote:

: of what is going on in Aruba. Looks like Saggytits Lee,
: terminally information impaired, has fucked up again. He'd
: better hope they don't find out that Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-
: hunks-com) has links to Islamic terrorists. That is why he's so
: worried about remailers and such, Ol' Saggytits doesn't want to
: be found out.

To quote Woger: Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Woger has the wrong Aruba. Apparently, Woger thinks that the Italians
are in charge of the Caribbean island, when in fact the Dutch are.

The "Aruba server farm" to which the Paranoia Remailer people refer is
located in Italy. It is advertised at the following URL:

http://webfarm.aruba.it/

and the Paranoia Anonymous Remailer operators were stupid enough to
co-locate their servers at that site. Woger was even more stupid and
thought that they were located on the island of Aruba.

The tapping of the Aruba servers has nothing whatsoever to do with what
has happened on the Caribbean island by the same name, but old short guy
Woger is too ignorant to know that. As usual, he loses.

Sigged.

==========
"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't
mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id
<5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d00573c@mail.futureworlds.it>

3905. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 23:23:01 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <pZkwe.14461$eM6.235@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111188

>
> Amateur radio in the USA is forbidden by law to engage in
> broadcasting. Amateur radio in the USA is forbidden by law to
> be a public communications common carrier...that is, specifically
> as a provider of radio communications services. Amateur radio
> regulations even state that amateur communications themselves
> are to be of a trivial nature and amateurs themselves are
> supposed to avail themselves of commercial communications
> services for non-trivial communications.

This rule exists more to protect our bands from being taken over
by commercial interests. Can you imagine getting sued for
QRM?
>

>
> Physically, the cellular telephone services, an adjunct to the
> wired telephone infrastructure, does NOT "go down" either "first"
> or last. TELEPHONE communications is "jammed" only by too many
> panic-stricken subscribers trying to use it simultaneously at the
> onset of some emergency. The TELEPHONE infrastructure would not
> have survived as a communications service provider if "all"
> subscribers were free to use it simultaneously.

It takes a regional disaster to make that happen, like
earthquakes. Stuff like car accidents is easily taken care
of with cell phones. But a regional disaster may take
out the phone system physically or it gets overloaded.
And many times hams handle the lower priority "health and
welfare" traffic to free up the police and fire comms
for the more important stuff.
>
>
>>" The people who are going to be taking care
>>of the real communications are sitting right here in this room. It's
>>the Amateur Radio service. And in the first few days, or the first few
>>hours of these multi-jurisdictional incidents, it's the amateurs who
>>keep things going."
>
>
> In light of recent REAL EMERGENCIES, REAL HISTORY has shown
> that the commercial services HAVE CONTINUED TO WORK despite
> SOME of their facilities being "downed." Facilities are NOT
> RESTRICTED to JUST telephones, wired and/or cellular. There
> are, in this nation, literally, hundreds of thousands of OTHER

> radios which can, and have, been used for two-way communications.
> That is NOT counting CB or the approximately 100 million
> cellular telephone radio handsets.

CBs are sometimes useful, but cell phones without the phone
system are useless. They can only talk to a tower, not to
other cell phones. (Not sure of those "walkie talkie" feature
some cell providers supply, but that might require the cell
tower to function as a repeater).
>

>
>
>>"It puts them in a very difficult position when they have to defend
>>examples of conduct that other countries hear."
>
THose third world dictators don't like our ability to
complain about our government.... :-)

3906. "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 23:25:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120001116.722248.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111189

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > John Smith wrote:
> >
> > > Len:
> > >
> > > Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
> > > heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> > > children, small animals and gays)...
> >
> > And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
>
> Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
>
> You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
> untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
>
> I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
> weren't lost..knew right where they were.

Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.

> > > They are at a loss when brave men stand their ground and question their
> > > faulty logic... reduces 'em to personal attacks and gutter fighting
> > > quickly...
> >
> > You've just described Steven J. Robeson.
>
> And yet another lie...from a "guy" who finds it easy to lie.

How can a highly accurate assessment of your behavio[u]r be a lie?

> Putz Jr.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

And there's the "Robber's Son" trademark male genitalia fixation, again.

3907. Re: Field day article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 23:28:23 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <r2lwe.14462$eM6.11775@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111190

Mike Coslo wrote:

> They had a pretty nice article.

The local rag had an article on our local club as well.
The paper had a picture of the guy who was the ARRL
section rep at a table with the transceivers and linears.
There was some grumbling on the local repeater about
that.... But there's always these small pissing contests
in any area....

3908. Re: My new home page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 23:32:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120001567.980904.194450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111191

an_old_friend wrote:
> more forgery? My compliments on your obvious importantance anyong
> targeted for such abuse Must have a real importance in the world
>
> BTW if the foregery were traced the poster would likely be in voluation
> of the law for the comition of hate crimes
>
> Todd N9OGL wrote:
> > http://www.ilgra.com/
> >
> > YEEEEEHAAAAA!!!

Yeah it's a fake.

His header

From: "Todd N9OGL" <N...@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: My new home page
Lines: 5
Organization: blog spank
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2180
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2180
X-RFC2646: Format=Flowed; Original
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 28 Jun 2005 09:49:29 EST
Message-ID: <1119970191.b749df0cd649323e2f674ccaf84a2a6c@teranews>
X-Abuse-Report: http://www.usenetabuse.com
X-Abuse-Notes: Abuse reports must be submited via the usenetabuse.com
portal listed above.
X-Abuse-Notes2: Reports sent via any other method will not be
processed.
X-Abuse-Notes3: Any other abuse reporting headers in this article are
fraudulent.
X-Orginal-Message-ID: <Zrdwe.68016$VU.51...@manticore.nntpserver.com>
Date: Tue, 28 Jun 2005 10:48:43 -0400

http://www.ilgra.com/

YEEEEEHAAAAA!!!

My header

From: "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: K1MAN
Date: 25 Jun 2005 15:29:09 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 64
Message-ID: <1119738549.767548.163900@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.156.121.130
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119738555 10786 127.0.0.1 (25 Jun 2005
22:29:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 25 Jun 2005 22:29:15 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.156.121.130;
posting-account=MFiwyQwAAADbKVtDPSGIX7t9ZX1DHG4j

Todd N9OGL

3909. Re: MUHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 23:37:49 UTC
From: WdntULik2no <someone@someisp.org>
Message-ID: <cukn8gqi4ihitjv.280620051638@kirk>
Source record: 111197

thank you sir

signed
WdntULik2no

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d9rkla$450$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> WdntULik2no wrote:
>> MUHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
> And a cheery MUHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA to you sir!
>
> - mike KB3EIA -
>
>

3910. Re: Poor Saggytits, Nobody has used the Paranoia remailer as a 1st in the chain remailer, you lose again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 23:42:57 UTC
From: Steveo <STEVEO@gaysRus.org>
Message-ID: <ajny647yvc5kmfr.280620051643@kirk>
Source record: 111199

u r a id git

"Billy Bob" <WmRobert@spammers> wrote in message
news:d9sir8$2a5$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com> wrote
> in
> message
> news:1119985298.851584.137580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Watch as Saggytits Lee will blather his stupidity and say otherwise.
>
> But Saggytits is long on sheer bullshit and never backs up what he
> posts.
>
> Just like when he said there was an "International Radio License",
> the
> "Marshall County, AL ARES website" is the one for "Marshall County,
> WV
> ARES", or that Eric Clapton wrote "Layla" not about Patty Harrison
> Clapton, but about a Secret nazi ham(that looks like Lurch)'s
> hideous
> wife.
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>
>
>
> --
> Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't
> mean
> everybody else does, imbecile."
> Roger Lee Wiseman
>
>
>

3911. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-28 23:47:42 UTC
From: Net <net@whoanetscntro.com>
Message-ID: <d9snce$b18$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111192

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Rvkwe.13243$pa3.11702@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:nISdnePhwuklCF3fRVn-1A@adelphia.com...
>> james wrote:
>>> On Mon, 27 Jun 2005 08:43:43 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>>> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>I hate to say this Lloyd, but K1MAN earned whatever actions is being
>>>>taken
>>>>against him. in fact, he demanded this action by his very threats.
>>>>
>>>>in any case, I think I will sign that petition myself, just because Mr.
>>>>baxter's actions draw negative attention to the service as a whole.
>>>
>>> ******
>>>
>>> In that FCC's NAL has concluded that Mr Baxter operations are in
>>> violation of statutes duly authorized by the FCC to enforce, they have
>>> seemed to fallen short of asking for the revocation of his license.
>>> From the NAL, I see the FCC is only a seeking of monetary remedies and
>>> not a revocation of his license.
>>
>> It is probably just easier that they decline to renew his license in
>> October.
>>
>>> As much as I see, and I do not condone his actions, the actions of
>>> some have taken on the role as a lynch mob. I myself will abide by
>>> what ever the FCC determines.
>>
>> Many people are just not terribly patient, and the F.C.C. has
>> methodically in their case against him.
>>
>>
>>> But I find this petition thing a bit to
>>> scary.
>>
>> It is at least unnecessary and a possible impediment.
>>
>> Remember that there is a lot of pent-up frustration in this case.
>>
>>> WHo next? So someone operates by the rules but not as someone

>>> or some group and then they are next for a petition campaign? Just
>>> because you and other deem his actions is a negative attention?
>>
>> Not at all likely. Hams put up with some pretty impressive shenanigans.
>> But it must be remember that he was sending out those felony affidavits,
>> and at the same time, opening up and transmitting over whoever happened
>> to be operating on "HIS" frequency.
>>
>> Problem is it wasn't his frequency.
>>
>> There seems to be a long, long history here.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Listen up guys and gals......since the NAL has been
> issued.....21K......and since he can't pay it.....and since he must renew
> in October......and since the fine is not paid.....then the FCC WILL NOT
> ACT ON HIS RENEWAL APPLICATION. AND THEY WILL NOT ALLOW HIM TO CONTINUE
> TO OPERATE BEYOND LICENSE EXPATRIATION DATE.
>
> Things have change since Herby.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Come on Dan, you should know better. He definitely *DOES*
have the money to pay and then some. NOT paying the NAL is
and always has been part of his and his attorney's strategy.
Remain objective Dan. Don't start believeing all the B.S. put
out by the sociopathic k1man haters in this forum. Paying his
NAL is an outright admission of guilt. You know the process
Dan. You tracked every detail of the kv4fz soap opera.

nEt

3912. Re: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 00:08:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120003734.780315.180520@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111193

> > cut

> > > > Nope you go off the rails at "..for personal gain.." I, even you may
> > > > say or claim anything if it well serve to enhance his personal safety
> > > > or that of other. I or even you may even use deadly force. The comment
> > > > diverted you you even (if you are to be belived stopped to call the
> > > > Pentagon)til I could return home and be better able to defend my
> > > > family. You keep forgeting that YOU said "One shoot One kill even in
> > > > Illiopolis" Indeed at the moment you made that threat had you carried
> > > > it out the man you would have killed would have been my elderly father
> > > > or the temporay caregiver I hired while I was away on busness
> > >
> > > I'd like to dig that post out of Google.
> >
> > He made severl of them also made the threat repeatly in email to me
>
> But he tries to make out that he's really a nice guy and only has your
> family's best interest at heart.

he is a sick SoB
>
> He may be our next democrat candidate for president.

Thank god even the Dems are not that Kamikaze
>
> > > > > That's a felony.
> > > >
> > > > Indeed your threats are the felony, but Law enforcement has grown to
> > > > lazy to do the job of dealing with trash like you.
> > >
> > > Depends on who you are. Steve claims that with one phone call...
> >
> > Stevie Claims a lot of shit
>
> Agreed. He backs up none of it with documentation.
>
> > > > > Youa re clearly a stalker likely a relitively harmless one, but you
> > > > > stalk various people including myself, indeed given the interstate
> > > > > nature of your crime a federal offence, but perhaps you take comfort in
> > > > > the knowledge that you are not a bigg enough fish to be worthfrying for
> > > > > your crimes (metaphoricaly speacking of course)
> > > >
> > > > I don't think Steve is harmless. Be careful.
> > >

> > I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> > Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.
>
> The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...

Not a Puppet master by any means a puppeteer, maybe
>
> Hi!
>
> But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed tires, and
> terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only "other
> people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven snow.

Missed that one

But you cheat Steve of his due He is pure as the driven over snow deny
him what you but grant him his due

>
> > Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
> > managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> > people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead
>
> I think he's a creep. Leave no crumbs if you can help it.

I have left crumbs that lead to blind alleys, but places where his
efforts will be detected.

Don't insult creeps, please don't bring down that merely disrepuable
group

>
> > Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> > on the Internet about my bio or location etc
>
> Vermin! Hi!
>
> And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.

Been looking for the right moment for that one found when he was claim
someone was a thief

A Cheap shot what is is fun and is valid on the face of it

3913. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 00:16:02 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <6Llwe.101$j21.1@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 111194

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1119999231.579838.121380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > "Sparks" <cq@sparkscqcqcq.net> wrote in message
> > news:d9qeji$dmd$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > Oh my, yet another version of the "Hans Is A Radio Hero" story.
> > > Down at the Legion, they have at least five or six different
> > > versions of the story, with the best versions being told after several
> > > shot 'n beers are consumed by the grand story teller.
> > > Yawwwwwwwwwwwwwwn, why do old men never tire of telling
> > > borrrrrrrrrrrrrring embellished war stories?
> > >
> > > 73,
> > >
> > > Sparks
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Hardly embellished; Hans has his citation.
> >
> > What, might I gently ask, have you done for this country? One doesn't
> > progress beyond E-7 by being a bullsh*t artist, you know .... :))
>
>
> Really Most miliatry promotion, indeed most promotions in Government
> and private industry is some form of politics.
>
> Bullshit well spun get some people very far indeed Clinton for example.
> >
> > Perhaps you'd care to share your expertise in communications.
> >
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
>

That may figure into it, but I was there and Hans did provide the
communications. Anyone can spin any way they wish, but it won't affect me.
I know. I was there.
```

Bullshit well spun .... well, I can still QLF faster than a lot of folks can copy .... :))

Not that this is terribly important. I always enjoy hearing the folks with the naysaying. It cost my former employer fairly big time. Sigh ... you'd think that they would have understood when I did a project 25 years ago .... well, I did the thing again and when the plant was going to close, I simply kept it to myself. No big deal as I developed it on my time on my computer on my legal software at home :))

The problem is that if this situation is repeated many tens of thousands of times, it could be a possible problem. It may well be; I don't concern myself with that. I worry about folks that worry about others. To the selfish, I have no obligation.

You do remind me, however, that I should finish the thing and offer it to a Japanese outfit for $1.00 since everyone at the former employer wanted to steal everything from me. Two $25.00 Wegman's certificates for the code I wrote to generate printer legends? And they put it on the Mexican lines? And not on the American lines? Code I largely wrote at home?

I did have to laugh, however. One time I showed them how to shave over 1/2 second off cycle times (and improved that by another .7 second with mechanical adjustments - no increase in mechanical speed) and they went and tried to apply it to several other machines. They spent tens of thousands - only to get little or no improvement. I could have told them that, but I guess the young and anxious can win the arguements.

However, age and treachery will win in the end :)))

Fasten your seatbelts, it's going to be a bumpy ride LOL.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3914. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 00:19:03 UTC
From: Steveo <STEVEO@gaysRus.org>
Message-ID: <ke2htmnapxxtt8r.280620051719@kirk>
Source record: 111208

men are from mars, women from venus, amateurs from hell

signed
WdntULik2no

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1119915558.666876.36060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> > From: "bb" on Wed 8 Jun 2005 15:50
>> >
>> > >K4YZ wrote:
>> > >> QUOTE from Chief Army MARS:
>> > >>
>> > >> MARS has grown in all of the services throughout the world. It
>> > >> relies
>> > >> on civilian and military MARS members to be available in case
>> > >> of
>> > >> emergency or disaster to provide communications support. At
>> > >> such times,
>> > >> MARS needs all of the support it can provide. Amateur Radio,
>> > >> collectively with MARS, has made its mark in American history.
>> > >> Each
>> > >> year provides new evidence of the important role it plays in
>> > >> the
>> > >> service of the nation.
>> > >>
>> > >> UNQUOTE
>> > >>
>> > >> Since Lennie only believes that "reality" springs from
>> > >> URL's, he
>> > >> can go to:
>> > >>
>> > >> http://www.asc.army.mil/mars/history.htm
>> >
>> > Been there, done that.
>>
>> Obviously you didn't pay attention.
>
> Yet you were the one who could not be bothered with MARS information

> after that fateful day when you claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<<
> Amateur Radio!"
>
> Hi, hi!
>
> And you wonder why no one believes you when you claimed to be "A"
> NCOIC
> of MARS/Okinawa.
>
>> > Been to Fort Huachuca, too, (Hq Army MARS, off to one side of
>> > the Military Intelligence School).
>>
>> They've been trying to get the smell out ever since, too.
>
> Hi! Awesome! Great comeback! Good one! Attaboy! You go, Steve!
>
>> > > ...to get it from the horse's mouth.
>> >
>> > Stebie got the wrong end of his "horse" again. Tsk, tsk.
>>
>> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhh.....
>>
>> You're calling the Chief of MARS as a horses' patoot, Lennie?
>
> You didn't bother to read the rest of the site?
>
>> > He should have made some kind of remarks about the Buffalo
>> > Soldiers. Fort Huachuca is the original home of them.
>>
>> Was that in ChiefMARS comments? I missed it.
>
> Buffalo Soldiers no use Signal Company?
>
>> > >Silly Gunny, no one disputes what the Chief of Army MARS has
>> > >stated.
>> > >They only dispute what you claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<<
>> > >Amateur
>> > >Radio." Hi! Hi, hi!!!
>> >
>> > Poor Stebie, even more brain-dead than Jim Hampton surmised.
>
> Jim is a very polite fellow.
>
>> > All the fuss and furor over MARS was already done when
>> > Stebie's
>> > famous statement ["Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio"]
>> > was made. Stebie is trying to misdirect by pointing a finger
>> > at "my error." Poor guy doesn't realize that when he points
>> > his finger, four other fingers are pointing at HIM!
>>

>> I don't point a finger, Lennie.
>
> Battlefield injury?
>
>> And it is "your error"...Proven with the comments of
>> ChiefMARS.
>
> Silly Gunny, no one disputes what the Chief of Army MARS has stated.
> They only dispute what you claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<<
> Amateur
> Radio." Hi! Hi, hi!!!
>
>> > Heh heh heh. Stebie CUTS AND PASTES from the government web
>> > sites! [he didn't used to like that!] However, the
>> > "statement
>> > of mission" is just worded in glorious self-illumination
>> > typical
>> > to ALL unit or political organization statements.
>>
>> NOW I SEE!
>>
>> When LENNIE can quote from a website that supposedly
>> substantiates
>> his "position", it's "absolute truth".
>>
>> When it lands on his head, it's "glorious self-illumination"...
>>
>> Uh huh...Riiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>>
>> Only a couple weeks ago you were citing from the same
>> sources...
>
> He just said that you protested. Did you not protest?
>
> (It's OK. Say anything you want. We've got the truth meter
> calibrated
> to "Robeson" so the coil won't smoke and the needle won't get bent.)
>
>> No "glorious self-illumination" comments then, eh,
>> Lennie....?!?!
>
> The Chief's comments didn't do quite what you wanted them to do.
>
> Too bad for Steve.
>
>> > Stebie wants
>> > to take all of those absolutely LITERALLY like a good little
>> > soldier. Such shining lights will reflect off the medals he
>> > bought for himself at www.grunt.com or similar. He likes to
>> > soak in that light and pretend being the big hero.

>>
>> Nope..."Pretend(ing) to be the big hero" is your schtick,
>> Lennie...
>
> Hi! Len doesn't have his chest puffed out on QRZ.
>
>> Like all those posts where you pretend to have been "somebody"
>> by
>> having been in a unit that had KIA's a few years earlier...Years
>> that
>> you weren't even in the service...
>
> Of course, there were people who didn't serve at all in here telling
> us
> what is important.
>
>> > MARS is doing an okay job for the military. Keeps civilians
>> > occupied through their volunteerism and the military hopes
>> > that will reflect good will towards the military. MARS *was*
>> > good back in the Vietnam War era for phone patches to folks
>> > back home. But...that was THIRTY YEARS AGO! [Vietnam War
>> > ended for the USA in 1975] The U.S. Army even made that
>> > direct
>> > statement on the Army's Center for Military History.
>>
>> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...even MORE enlightenment...
>>
>> Again you cite things that were "THIRTY YEARS AGO", but not
>> what's
>> happening "TODAY"...
>
> And today, "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi! How things have
> changed.
>
>> Of course you ALSO cite what happened at "ADA" in the 50's as
>> if it
>> happened yesterday, but then attempt to chide Amateurs for
>> discussing
>> what happened SIX years ago.
>
> All Jim's postings about amateur radio in WWII cracks me up, too.
>
> Obvious.
>
>> > MARS is a part of SHARES...(SNIP)
>>
>> Yep.
>>
>> And that doesn't detract ONE BIT from the TITLE of the
>> thread...Actually, it ADDS to it.

>
> Actually, I shortened it.
>
>> > Does the U.S. military need ham-assisted phone patches now?
>>
>> Is "ham-assisted phone patches" ALL that the Armed Forces
>> expects
>> from MARS...?!?!
>>
>> Nope.
>>
>> And Lennie wouldn't know that, considering his experience
>> level in
>> MARS and/or Amateur Radio.
>
> Be specific (if you can).
>
>> > MARS was never designed/implemented/devised to be a PART of
>> > mainstream military communications. It isn't now.
>>
>> Nope. It wasn't. Who here said it was? I sure didn't.
>
> Right. You just make stoopid assertions, such as "Sorry Hans, MARS
>>>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" because you don't know dink about MARS, your
>>>>claims to having been "A" NCOIC MARS/Okinawa notwithstanding.
>
>> > All of the above I wrote today has been written by me in here
>> > before. Apparently Stebie is way too selective in what he
>> > looks for on Google searching.
>>
>> Nope.
>>
>> What I point out is that you denigrate and deny the services
>> and
>> achievements of MARS and Amateur Radio services alike.
>
> You denigrate other amateurs. You insult real MARS members with
> your
> stoopid assertions.
>
>> ChiefMARS has to answer to higher-ups in the "MILITARY" chain
>> of
>> command.
>
> Duh.
>
>> > [Stebie is now going to compose a "YOU LIE, YOU LIE!" message]
>>
>> I don't need to yell them out, Lennie. Your mistruths are
>> usually

>> glaring enough to stand out on their own...
>
> Your are obvious. Obviously wrong about a lot of things.
>
>> >
>> > LenStillDoesn'tKnowAthingAboutMARSorAmateurRadioAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> Putz.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
> SteveStillDoesn'tKnowDinkAboutMARSorAmateurRadioK4YZ@aol.com
>
>

3915. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 00:23:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zsKdnTq4iLKSd1zfRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111195

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>Christy D wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 09:52:58 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Christy D wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>This petition thing is a piss poor idea, invented by those who would
>>>>>unwittingly harm amateur radio itself.
>
>
> On the contrary - it's an excellent idea.
>
>
>>>>and you base this opinion on what facts?
>
>
>>>And you miss the point that my criticism IS NOT ABOUT Baxter; it is
>>>about the very bad notion that vigilanteism should be used by radio
>>>amateurs to expunge those with whom they disagree.
>>
>> Is petitioning vigilantism? This seems like a very civil method of a
>>group letting their opinions be known.
>
>
> FCC enforcement is clearly complaint-driven. FCC doesn't put a lot of
> resources into scanning the ham bands looking for violations. They
> respond to complaints
> and reports instead, focusing their limited resources.

True enough. I wonder which will have more weight tho'. The years of
building their case against him, or the petition?

>
>> There is a reason that many hams across the nation do not like Mr.
>>Baxter. Perhaps they have been interfered with by his broadcasts.
>>perhaps they have been served one of his "felony affidavits". Perhaps
>>they believe that he is in violation of Amateur Radio regulations, and
>>has been so for a very long time.
>
>
> Or perhaps they realize that his violations set a very bad precedent if

> they are left alone.

Yeah,, like I said... ;^)

>
> Take the control operator issue. FCC says there were times when they
> could not determine how K1MAN was controlled. Clear violation of Part 97
> if true
> - a station like K1MAN must have a control operator available.

All of 'em do - at least when they are transmitting.

> Or the pecuniary interest issue. Another clear violation.
>
>
>>>There is already a system in place, but it isn't giving them the
>>>results they want, so they seek to find ways to take things into their
>>>own hands.
>
> The system that is in place depends on complaints to a very large
> extent.

And it never acts as quickly as we may like. In most cases, I am
patient, but it *did* take a long time to act against him. But I suppose
they know what they are doing.

> Besides, one of the basic rights of Americans is the right to petition
> their government.
>
> Now if someone were suggesting any sort of direct illegal action
> against Mr. Baxter (vigilanteism), they *would be* taking the law into
> their own hands, which is not the right way to deal with the situation
> at all.
>
>
>> How is this "taking into their own hands? The petition has no legal
>>binding for the FCC. All this is doing is giving the message that a lot
>>of people do not think Mr. Baxter is deserving of having his license
>>renewed. It is their opinion, and they may express that opinion because
>>it is protected by the Constitution.
>
>
> Exactly. In fact, it's not just their right - it's their *duty*!

Our duty to end his doody.

>> And it is an opinion that can reasonably be reached by reasonable people.
>
>
>>>That is the path to anarchy. That is the path chosen by fools.
>>
>> Freedom of speech is the path to anarchy? Oy.
>>

>
> Ya gotta wonder...

Really. Almost as bad as trimming newsgroup headers without permission! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3916. Re: Field day article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 00:45:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <QeWdneBwF-yuclzfRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111196

robert casey wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>> They had a pretty nice article.
>
>
> The local rag had an article on our local club as well.

We've had a good relationship with the local press. Always make a press release (often two - one several weeks before the event, and one the week before) And we always greet them warmly and give them a good tour. If we make it a pleasant experience, they are more likely to come back. I know that sounds strange when talking about reporters - but not all are investigative reporters. Those doing local and human interest are usually looking for something good to write.

> The paper had a picture of the guy who was the ARRL
> section rep at a table with the transceivers and linears.
> There was some grumbling on the local repeater about
> that.... But there's always these small pissing contests
> in any area....

Hehe. I can just imagine. But that is how news stories work out. We don't have much control over the situation. Who knows what makes them choose the pictures they print...

- Mike KB3EIA -

3917. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 00:46:53 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <STEVEO@gaysRus.org>
Message-ID: <d51o4tjh63zcdhi.280620051747@kirk>
Source record: 111209

this is k1man's chance at fame and fortune

i wonder if he will be available for interview by larry king, jay leno
and the rest

i think it would be great to hire him as a dj on a pirate radio
station, perhaps even england's pirate network would like him for
guest appearances

freebanders should book him to speak at their meetings

he is doomed to being recorded a new robinhood in history, we have
watched a star born

"Christy D" <daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
news:3cd1b6e.9910752@alvillage.net...
> On Mon, 27 Jun 2005 08:43:43 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>> Lloyd wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > Pure bullshit! Grow up and get a life!
>> > and stop being obsessed with another's ham's life.
>>
>> gee, sounds like another one looking to get probed by the system
>> for
>> unprofessional behavior on (and off) the air......
>>
>> I hate to say this Lloyd, but K1MAN earned whatever actions is
>> being taken
>> against him. in fact, he demanded this action by his very threats.
>
> I hate to say this, Eric, but trying to revoke anyone's license by
> this means is an invitation to anarchy. You have quite a few
> enemies,
> don't you, Eric?
>
> Would you feel that they were justified in petitioning the FCC not
> to
> renew your license? Is there anyone else whose license "we" should
> petition the FCC not to renew? Pretty soon we can all be gathering
> signatures in an attempt to silence our perceived on-the-air
> enemies.
>
> This petition thing is a piss poor idea, invented by those who would
> unwittingly harm amateur radio itself.

>

3918. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 01:26:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120008371.792452.251270@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111198

>Oh NO !!!!! You just shot YOUR and MANs MAJOR excuse for being a boy
>broadcaster being all right and above board. Hardy har har.

The fact is dan is that it's true, read some past warning letters, The
publishing of a schedule doesn't not give you an excuse to transmit
on-top of someone. I guess dan I can read it a little better because I
don't let my emotions get involved.

>A bulletin is a short, to the point transmission of interest to the Amateur
>community. Not a 45 minute talk show of off the wall opinions from the Blapster, phone
>calls that he taped and is replaying (illegally I might add....where is the beep?)
>And especially not 18 year old re-runs of Wayne Green and talks from the Dayton Hamfest of
>1986. Lets not even mention his calling Riley Hollingsworth names and slandering
>his fellow Amateurs. Blapster is a total and complete piece of crap. And all this he
>has brought on his self.

Not true, A bulletin does not have to be short, the FCC has stated that
repeatedly that a bulletin doesn't have to be short. As a matter of
fact The FCC rules state after a certain amount of time a station can
be compensated (see Below)

97.113 Prohibited transmissions.

d) The control operator of a club station may accept compensation for
the periods of time when the station is transmitting telegraphy
practice or information bulletins, provided that the station transmits
such telegraphy practice and bulletins for at least 40 hours per week;
schedules operations on at least six amateur service MF and HF bands
using reasonable measures to maximize coverage; where the schedule of
normal operating times and frequencies is published at least 30 days in
advance of the actual transmissions; and where the control operator
does not accept any direct or indirect compensation for any other
service as a control operator.

So a club station running an information bulletin 40 hours a week
(that's 8 hours a day) can be compensated. So between that and he FCC
repeatly stating there is no time limit on information bulletins i
would say that Information bulletins aren't short. As for content the
content has to be amateur related and really the FCC can't really
control the content of the station transmitting it (see below)

Sec. 326. Censorship

Nothing in this chapter shall be understood or construed to give
the Commission the power of censorship over the radio communications or

signals transmitted by any radio station, and no regulation or condition shall be promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall interfere
with the right of free speech by means of radio communication.

(June 19, 1934, ch. 652, title III, Sec. 326, 48 Stat. 1091; June 25, 1948, ch. 645, Sec. 21, 62 Stat. 862.)

Amendments

1948--Act June 25, 1948, repealed last sentence relating to use of indecent language. See section 1464 of Title 18, Crimes and Criminal Procedure.

Effective Date of 1948 Amendment

Amendment by act June 25, 1948, effective as of Sept. 1, 1948, see section 20 of that act.

>Wait a minute Toad, get your comments (BS) cooridinated. You say the ARRL
>solicits on its bulletins, now you say they don't. Again Toad you can't have it
>both ways. The FACTS are the ARRL DOES NOT SOLICITE ANYTHING ON ITS
>BULLETINS. THE ARRL ACTUALLY SENDS BULLETINS NOT SOLICITATIONS FOR CREDIT
>CARDS< ETC>> THAT YOUR BUDDY DOES. MAN has had it. Face it.

I never said they didn't my main pea was that the rules state a station can not make money direct or indirect, except for the rule That I meantioned above, the point is to be allowed to get compensation as a club station, what consitutes as a club station members, having a club callsign? There is a lot of questions that need to be answered. the rule of thumb has always been a club had to be a certain amount of people, which I believe has to b more then three. The next thing is, Is the FCC telling that club station how they are to be compensated? and if so where in the FCC rules does it state the procdures of an amateur station to be compensated.

>Poor Toad. When he don't get his way he starts with the cussing and
>carrying on. Isnt this how you lost your LAST ISP TOAD? I got a jolt for
>you me boy. I have no friends at the FCC. I am just right and they and
>you know it.

A person who uses their emotions in a debate always believe they are right.

>You don't deserve a ham license let alone one for a broadcast station. We
>have enough kooks on SW now. Just go bootleg, put it in a mobile for a
>while, then a ship, then a surf board. Or just go to streaming on the
>internet. Wake up and smell the roses Toad.

Thank good you don't work for the FCC or no one would get a license. Coming from someone who has been in trouble with the FCC before I'll take tht as a compliment. As for streaming, First there are too many people steaming this causes a hugh diversity, to big of a diversity. secondly until Internet 2 comes out to the commercial services, right now the government and universities have it, and everyones on it

internet radio and television will be second rate. Finally until
Internet radio and Television is considered a broadcast some of the
content owners wouldn't even mess with you. I've tried, I tried to
start a Internet TV station and asked content owners permission to use
their content and their view is unless your a BROADCASTER they won't
mess with you. This is what slowing internet TV down.

>If you want to broadcast, then broadcast. But ham radio is NOT the place
>to do it. I will guarantee you if I ever hear you doing it, and not
>crossing your "t" and dotting your "i", I will be all over you like ....well
>you know the rest of it. Your talking to one with a LOT of experience
>taking out the idiots. And guess what? Your on the list.

GO FOR IT DANNY!!!! I'm on 14.321.00 every Saturday and I'm in process
of putting an amp in line, so GO FOR IT!!!!

>Don't believe me? Ask David Tolassi ex KC1ZQ what happens when you cross
>me on ham radio.

>Be warned.

>Dan/W4NTI

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz
Saturday's @ 4.00pm CST

3919. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 01:49:00 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <W4qdnf7Xpr2-Y1zfRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 111200

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1120001116.722248.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > John Smith wrote:
>> >
>> > > Len:
>> > >
>> > > Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
>> > > heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
>> > > children, small animals and gays)...
>> >
>> > And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
>>
>> Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
>>
>> You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
>> untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
>>
>> I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
>> weren't lost..knew right where they were.
>
> Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
> All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
> as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.
>

Interesting how you can derive prejudice from a simple question.

I honestly wanted to know why, in a VHF/UHF contest, I wasn't contacting more Techs since they are "trapped above 30MHz by the code test" as some would have us believe. When Technicians are nearly half of all licensed hams, why were they only about 5% of my contacts? Extra's are only about 16% of the total and yet they were about 90% of the contacts.

Those who maintain that the Techs are "trapped" are creating a self-fulfilling prophecy by convincing the Techs that they are not capable of passing code. In the long term, that is an approach that harms amateur radio. Even if the code test is someday eliminated, it is wrong to gain support for it by convincing people that they are not capable of passing it. This can and will prevent people from attempting to pursue learning this aspect of ham radio.

Every ham at every level should be encouraged to believe in their ABILITY to master any and all of the elements even if the element is not a required one. Whether they choose to pursue it is a different issue but we should encourage them to believe in themselves and not tear down their self esteem. Yet we have some people maintaining that the code should be dropped because some people "can't learn it and are trapped above 30MHz." By maintaining this attitude they are the ones putting down the Techs and showing their prejudices. They would trample on other's self esteem to obtain their objectives.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3920. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 02:04:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120010675.191983.278890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111201

>So take Dan's suggestion and file a complaint. Of course you'd
>ahve to actually be talking to someone on the frequency W1AW operates
>on, and I can't see you carrying on a coherent QSO with another human
>being for that long.

A lot better then I can type.

> "Opinionated" is editorializing...NOT reporting.
> An "information bulletin" passes INFORMATION that is pertinent to
>the operation of the radio station...Not subjective opinion.

Stebie, if the FCC wanted Information bulletins to be just a newscast
then they have the power to put it in the rules, but it's not in the
rules. The FCC has the power to specify what is allowed and what isn't,
they've always had that power.

> Then how come you're ranting and raving in support of GB? The
>FCC's doing EXACTLY what you suggest, Todd...

Not really, Information bulletins has always been a grey area, and
perhaps K1MAN can help eliminate some of these "grey areas"

>Huh?

The FCC has the power to clairfy a rule provided it is the least
restricted mean necessary to substantiate govenment interest the
problem is that when it comes to content control the FCC tends to tread
lightly, because they are limited/prohibited to control the content of
any station (see Below)

Sec. 326. Censorship

Nothing in this chapter shall be understood or construed to give
the
Commission the power of censorship over the radio communications or
signals transmitted by any radio station, and no regulation or
condition
shall be promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall interfere
with the right of free speech by means of radio communication.

(June 19, 1934, ch. 652, title III, Sec. 326, 48 Stat. 1091; June 25,
1948, ch. 645, Sec. 21, 62 Stat. 862.)

Amendments

1948--Act June 25, 1948, repealed last sentence relating to use of
indecent language. See section 1464 of Title 18, Crimes and Criminal
Procedure.

Effective Date of 1948 Amendment

Amendment by act June 25, 1948, effective as of Sept. 1, 1948, see section 20 of that act.

So Stebie the FCC better watch were they step.

>There's no "direct" or "indirect" to it. Glennie overtly solicits
>sales on his broadcasts.

>Now he's busted.

Yeah there is, I'm not going to comment on it except to read my reply to W4NTI in this thread, I'm not going to rewrite it all here.

> But do you think for a minute, Todd, that Mr Loria would issue a
>document demanding that a citizen part with $21K without consulting
>with Washington first?
> And please note that the TOP line says "FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
>COMMISSION"...Not "The Boston Branch of the FCC"...

The FCC District office of the enforcement does have that power, I think my point was there is a LOOOOONG pocess to go and a NAL i s not a final ORDER. Final Orders can be appealed to the US Court of Appeal.

>You wouldn't need a "waiver" if you'd just do it right the first
>time.
Which show steve you nothing of the application and waivering process. Anyone may file a waiver of the rules if good cause is shown at anytime, and yes this also applies to amateur radio.

>Quit your whining and hire a REPUTABLE communications attorney to
>help you. You're obviously grossly overwhelmed when it comes to
>administrative matters. Let him do it for you.

Steve. despite what you and your asshole buddy Phil think on here, an broadcast application is very easy to fill out the problem is according to the FCC I didn't file during a filing window, unfortunately Waiver don't have filing windows as stated above they can be filed at anytime. A person (like ME) can also waiver the filing window.

>The application will be a lot more impressive without the crayons
>and "Beefaroni" stains above the signature of non-existant
>corporations.

It's club ASSHOLE!!

Todd N9OGL

3921. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 02:07:33 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <Ennwe.10269$ro.3317@fed1read02>
Source record: 111202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Christy D wrote:

> On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 09:52:58 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
> wrote:
>> Christy D wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > This petition thing is a piss poor idea, invented by those who would
>> > unwittingly harm amateur radio itself.
>>
>> and you base this opinion on what facts?
>
> And you miss the point that my criticism IS NOT ABOUT Baxter; it is
> about the very bad notion that vigilanteism should be used by radio
> amateurs to expunge those with whom they disagree.

ok then, explain the "felony afidavits" that k1man sent out. you want to
talk about maliciousness and vigilantism, there you go.

The problem is, you attempted to divert the point from the thread.

>
> There is already a system in place, but it isn't giving them the
> results they want, so they seek to find ways to take things into their
> own hands.

huh?
ever hear of bureaucratic inertia?
besides, the petition is just an alternate means to the same end (and its
valid under fcc procedures).

>
> That is the path to anarchy. That is the path chosen by fools.

step in line....

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

3922. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 02:10:48 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <Hqnwe.10271$ro.4827@fed1read02>
Source record: 111203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Net wrote:

>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d9sak9vhk1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>> How is this "taking into their own hands? The petition has no legal
>> binding for the FCC. All this is doing is giving the message that a lot
>> of people do not think Mr. Baxter is deserving of having his license
>> renewed. It is their opinion, and they may express that opinion because
>> it is protected by the Constitution.
>>
>> And it is an opinion that can reasonably be reached by reasonable people.
>>
>>
>> Freedom of speech is the path to anarchy? Oy.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> Opinions are like Assholes. Everybody has one, and yours
> is hanging out in the open, when it comes to K1MAN. You
> had better pull up your pants, before you become the
> laughing stock, if you aren't already. You had better read
> up on the Holegergenski case and petitions, before you make
> yourself look even stupider than you are now. And when
> were you given permission to trim newsgroups? You should
> spend more of your time studying to upgrade from that
> no-code tech license you have held for years.

me thinks you think this is a popularity contest (boy are you going to find
out the hard way).

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

3923. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 02:14:51 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <vunwe.10273$ro.1581@fed1read02>
Source record: 111204

Sparks wrote:

>
>
> A hand full of immature whiney cry-baby hams whine and start a
> petition to get mean ole mr K1MAN off the air, and they expect
> everyone to get on their bandwagon.
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH

want a little cheese to go with that whine?

besides, I don't see you offering up any better solutions (must be part of
the problem, eh?).
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

3924. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 02:24:45 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <qg14c157jmcs5dv8fq1in5a63482bvp5f9@4ax.com>
Source record: 111205

On 28 Jun 2005 10:48:49 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon 27 Jun 2005 19:12
>
>>Leo wrote:
>>> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >Leo wrote:
>>> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> >Leo wrote:
>>> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>> >> >> >What would you suggest?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> not to those who cannot see
>>> >> >
>>> >> >> >What do you suggest?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> billboard displays too small
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >What do you suggest?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> we try for Mt. Rushmore size
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> maybe do some crop circles
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> two-page ads in QST?
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >Then what do you suggest I do?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> new reading glasses might help
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?

> we send obvious in morse?
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> yawn
>>> >> >> >What would you suggest?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
>
>>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do, Leo?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> ...
>>> >> >
>>> >> >> >What do you suggest?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> still at it?
>>> >> >
>>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> yup, drain is still clogged
>>> >> >
>>> >> >> >And your suggestion is?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> call Roto-Rooter!
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Isn't that rather obvious?
> use Bangalore Torpedo?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >In a word: No.
>>> >> >
>>> >> >It's not rather obvious, Leo.
> excavate, dump in lye, cover...
>>> >>
>>> >> Sorry to hear that, Jim. How simplified do you need things to be?
>>> >
>>> >It's not about simplification, Leo. It's about clarity.
>>> >
>>> >Why can't you just clearly and plainly state what you
>>> >suggest that I do?
>>>
>>> I have - many, many times.
>>

>>You just keep writing that I must decide. But
>>you do not state concrete actions that the
>>decision will produce.
>
> Give up eating those crackers, polly. You get repetitious.
>
> Makes your cage all crumby.
>
>>> You must decide.
>>
>>Why?
>
> Tsk, tsk, all you're doing in here is "busy work" writing many
> words (over and over again) without doing any deciding. :-)
>
> Decide, decide, that is not the question. - Ham-let
>
>
>>> >> >What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
>>> >> >answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
>>> >> >
>>> >> >What's your suggestion?
>>> >>
>>> >> The way I see it, you have two options:
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>>> >> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>>> >
>>> >OK so far.
>>>
>>> Glad to hear it!
>>>
>>> So far, so good.
>>
>>> >> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who
>>> >> goad you
>>> >> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end
>>> >> of someone's strings....
>>> >
>>> >That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you
>>> >suggest *I* take.
>>>
>>> That is your current reality.
>>
>>That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)
>
> Tsk. Only Jimmie speak "truth," "facts" from QTH on Mount
> Olympus...while he make babble, babble like motel proprietor
> in "Psycho."
>

> He parent too many children...
>
>>>
>>> >>
>>> >> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1
>>
>>For you, maybe.
>
> Tsk, be a MAN and admit defeat. You've LOST these rounds a
> few go-arounds ago.
>
>>> >> - it requires the
>>> >> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait,
>>> >> and
>>> >> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond,
>>> >> even when you know you are in the right.
>>
>>You're begging the question, Leo.
>
> Tsk, tsk. YOU were begging for solutions before, now you can't
> implement any? Not MANLY! NO decision!
>
>>> This is your potential future reality.
>>
>>> >So what do you suggest *I* do?
>>>
>>> Decide!
>>
>>Actions, Leo.
>
> Decide for YOURSELF. If you cannot, quit. Simple.
>
>>>
>>> >> Owned or free.
>>> >
>>> >That's your spin.
>>>
>>> That's your opinion.
>>
>>Fact.
>
> No, just your opinion.
>
>>> Owned - or free.
>>>
>>> You must decide.
>>
>>Why?
>
> Grasshopper doesn't understand the world does not revolve

> around him. Tsk.
>
>>> >> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>>> >
>>> >So there *is* a choice!
>>>
>>> There is always a choice.
>>
>>Odd to hear from someone who told me I could not stop
>>myself.
>
> You can't. You feel obsessed, compelled to continue deep
> denial of anything wrong.
>
>>> Yours to make if your are able to do so,
>>
>>Ah - now there's a condition!
>
> You have a "condition," and that is true. :-)
>
>>> You must decide!
>>
>>Why?
>
> Only YOU can stop yourself before it is too late.
>
>>> >> Choose wisely!
>>> >
>>> >Wise by whose standards?
>>>
>>> We'll all see the answer to that one soon enough - when you
>>> decide!
>>
>>How will you know what is decided?
>
> "Wise we are," said Yoda, "Know we will when comes the time."
>
>>> >How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical
>>> >terms?
>>>
>>> Hmmm - you read the two options, right? :)
>>
>>Just can't make yourself spell them out, I see.
>
> They were all spelled out. In English. English English.
>
>
>>> >What actions do you suggest?
>>>
>>> Decide! Only you can make this decision - you're on your own

>>> now.
>>
>>You have not offered the requested information. Seems to me that
>>when you speak of "abuse" and "control" and "goading", you're
>>really talking about yourself, not Len.
>>
>>Or perhaps you and he are one and the same.
>
> We are all AI constructs living in your computer, out to do you
> harm in public.
>
> All we are the same.
>
>>> Good luck!
>>
>>Be seeing you.
>
> "Worry not," said Yoda, "'Decisions for Dummies' mailed it will
> be to you, plain brown wrapper in."
>
> May the Farce be with you, grasshopper.

He must decide.

Owned - or free!

>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

3925. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 02:30:32 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <vj14c11k3fafkgnieu3j5c5al8t1hh6coq@4ax.com>
Source record: 111206

On 28 Jun 2005 10:50:28 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: Leo on Mon 27 Jun 2005 21:52
>
>>On 27 Jun 2005 15:37:43 -0700, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>> >What's your suggestion?
>>>>
>>>> The way I see it, you have two options:
>>>>
>>>> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>>>> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>>>>
>>>> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
>>>> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
>>>> someone's strings....
>>>>
>>>> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
>>>> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
>>>> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
>>>> you know you are in the right.
>>>>
>>>> Owned or free.
>>>>
>>>> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>>>>
>>>> Choose wisely!
>>>>
>>
>>>Grasshopper, snatch this pebble from my hand.
>>
>>Ah - the journey of 1,000 miles begins with but a single step.
>>
>>He must decide.
>
> Why? :-)

Et tu, Brute? :)

He must decide. Owned - or free.

In the words of the immortal Caine (in the spirit of the many Kung Fu
references of late...)

"If a man sees a wrong and does nothing, how can he still call himself a man?"

;o)

>
>
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

3926. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 02:34:10 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <qu14c11vpknbvle6k6seqhf6egteh1l71e@4ax.com>
Source record: 111207

On 27 Jun 2005 22:12:03 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: Leo on Jun 27, 9:52 pm
>
>>On 27 Jun 2005 16:02:31 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>From: Leo on Mon 27 Jun 2005 07:19
>>>>On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>>> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>> <The following cantu-respondu is repeated 14 times, more
>>> or less the same>
>>
>>Thank God for copy-and-paste - I'd never have made it! :)
>
> Tsk, his "answers" were little better than cut-and-paste. :-(

True - much dogma and little substance.

This may change!

>
>
>>>>>> >What would you suggest?
>>
>>>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>
>>>>>In a word: No.
>>
>>>>>It's not rather obvious, Leo.
>>
>>>>Sorry to hear that, Jim. How simplified do you need things to be?
>>
>>> Based on years of trying to play the Olde Guru of Amateur
>>> Radio in here, he simply wants things HIS WAY. He was that
>>> way on an AOL amateur radio group, too.
>>
>>BTW - are there archives of those discussions on the Net anywhere?
>>
>>Might make interesting reading..... :)
>
> I seriously doubt it would be "interesting." It was just
> "ARRL south" parrot phrases. AOL did not have a large
> ham radio group. If it was archived, it would be on AOL

> (which I can access) but it was the same old stuff as in
> here.

God forbid.....

>
>
>
>>>>Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
>>>>in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
>>>>someone's strings....
>>
>>> He will do it again (and did) following. Predictable. :-)
>>
>>We'll soon see - he might surprise us!
>
> I wouldn't put any serious money on that...

Heh...

>
>
>>>>Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
>>>>intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
>>>>considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
>>>>you know you are in the right.
>>
>>>>Owned or free.
>>
>>>>The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>>
>>>>Choose wisely!
>>
>>> He hasn't, but then HE MUST BE *RIGHT* in whatever he sayeth.
>>
>>He might! We'll all know soon enough......
>
> Usual tactic is to jump off into a NEW preaching subject, this
> week it is "Riley Hollingsworth Speaks!"
>
> Looks rather like a Sermon on the Antenna Mount first draft...
> along with a segue into U.S. ham radio a la 1912. :-(

True enough.

But this may change. He will decide.....soon.

>
>
>>> All who speak contrary to His wisdom are WRRRONNNNGGGG.
>>
>>> The bells have been ringing in here with the above for years.

>>
>>> Watch the replies to his "Riley" encyclical. :-)
>>
>>Heh. I'm watching 'er grow day by day.........
>
> Ding Dong School is in session. Sheesh.

Heh heh heh...

>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

3927. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 02:56:24 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <nt24c1h2dgri4k0klas0lie9eorci5f8ut@4ax.com>
Source record: 111210

On 27 Jun 2005 19:12:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>snip

>> >Why can't you just clearly and plainly state what you
>> >suggest that I do?
>>
>> I have - many, many times.
>
>You just keep writing that I must decide. But
>you do not state concrete actions that the
>decision will produce.

Option 1 or Option 2.

You must decide!

>
>> You must decide.
>
>Why?

You must.

>
>> >> >What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
>> >> >answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
>> >> >
>> >> >What's your suggestion?
>> >>
>> >> The way I see it, you have two options:
>> >>
>> >> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>> >> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>> >
>> >OK so far.
>>
>> Glad to hear it!

>>
>> So far, so good.
>
>> >> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who > >> goad you
>> >> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end > >> of someone's
strings....
>> >
>> >That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you
>> >suggest *I* take.
>>
>> That is your current reality.
>
>That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)

Not at all.

>>
>> >>
>> >> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1
>
>For you, maybe.

Not at all.

>
>> >> - it requires the
>> >> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait,
>> >> and
>> >> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond,
>> >> even when you know you are in the right.
>
>You're begging the question, Leo.

I am begging the answer.

Decide!

>
>> This is your potential future reality.
>
>> >So what do you suggest *I* do?
>>
>> Decide!
>
>Actions, Leo.

Um - decision is an action.

Decide!

>>
>> >> Owned or free.
>> >

>> >That's your spin.
>>
>> That's your opinion.
>
>Fact.

Owned or free - Decide!

>
>> Owned - or free.
>>
>> You must decide.
>
>Why?

You must.

>
>> >> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>> >
>> >So there *is* a choice!
>>
>> There is always a choice.
>
>Odd to hear from someone who told me I could not stop
>myself.

Hopefully I was incorrect.

Decide!

>
>> Yours to make if your are able to do so,
>
>Ah - now there's a condition!

Which only you can overcome.

Decide!

>
>> You must decide!
>
>Why?

You must.

>
>> >> Choose wisely!
>> >
>> >Wise by whose standards?
>>
>> We'll all see the answer to that one soon enough - when you
>> decide!

>
>How will you know what is decided?

That is the simplest task of all.

Should you choose Option 2, it will be immediately apparent to all.

Should you continue down the current path, then you have chosen to
remail with Option 1. Equally apparent to all.

You must decide!

>>
>> >
>> >How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical > >terms?
>>
>> Hmmm - you read the two options, right? :)
>
>Just can't make yourself spell them out, I see.

The options have been spelled out quite clearly above.

Option 1. Status Quo. Owned.

And Option 2. Change. Free.

>
>> >What actions do you suggest?
>>
>> Decide! Only you can make this decision - you're on your own
>> now.
>
>You have not offered the requested information. Seems to me that
>when you speak of "abuse" and "control" and "goading", you're
>really talking about yourself, not Len.

Decide!

>
>Or perhaps you and he are one and the same.

Not at all!

>
>> Good luck!
>
>Be seeing you.

Um - shouldn't that be BCNU? :)

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

44, Leo

3928. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 02:58:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <OsydnewQcozxk1_fRVn-3A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111211

Leo wrote:

> In the words of the immortal Caine (in the spirit of the many Kung Fu
> references of late...)
>
> "If a man sees a wrong and does nothing, how can he still call himself
> a man?"

How much wood could a wood chuck chuck, if a woodchuck could chuck wood?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3929. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 03:38:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120016287.269749.214880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111212

Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1120001116.722248.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > John Smith wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > Len:
> >> > >
> >> > > Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
> >> > > heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> >> > > children, small animals and gays)...
> >> >
> >> > And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
> >>
> >> Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
> >>
> >> You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
> >> untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
> >>
> >> I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
> >> weren't lost..knew right where they were.
> >
> > Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
> > All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
> > as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.
> >
>
> Interesting how you can derive prejudice from a simple question.

But looikg ahead I ask why you seem to expect that Tech are interested
in the same things (contesting) as you are.
>
> I honestly wanted to know why, in a VHF/UHF contest, I wasn't contacting
> more Techs since they are "trapped above 30MHz by the code test" as some
> would have us believe. When Technicians are nearly half of all licensed
> hams, why were they only about 5% of my contacts? Extra's are only about
> 16% of the total and yet they were about 90% of the contacts.

That the numbers will be lobesided is obvious Tech as a class less
experncedoperators and likely to have less time in etc. therefore they
are likely to have stations less well equiped.

I will now add some coment of MY thoughts and experences

Frankly contest opperating has little appleal to me, I do Field Day
fairly often which kida exhausts my level of interest in contesting

Further I rarely hear of VHFor UHF contest till about a week after they
happen
>
> Those who maintain that the Techs are "trapped" are creating a
> self-fulfilling prophecy by convincing the Techs that they are not capable

Now where is this from? Not capple? well I am not (5 years of trying
for a Novice license in the 70's basicaly convinced me, maybe if I
beged for enough accomdation I could manage a receieve only test by why
should I?)

But No one is arguing that Tech can't learn code, some do and some will
even after the code test is history, It is a burden that isn't waranted
by the value of Morse Code

> of passing code. In the long term, that is an approach that harms amateur
> radio. Even if the code test is someday eliminated, it is wrong to gain
> support for it by convincing people that they are not capable of passing it.

Red herring

> This can and will prevent people from attempting to pursue learning this
> aspect of ham radio.

Personally I hope so as I believe it is a mode that should be laid to
rest
>
> Every ham at every level should be encouraged to believe in their ABILITY to

Why? and what is your point, since noone but you is saying that techs
can't

> master any and all of the elements even if the element is not a required
> one. Whether they choose to pursue it is a different issue but we should
> encourage them to believe in themselves and not tear down their self esteem.
> Yet we have some people maintaining that the code should be dropped because
> some people "can't learn it and are trapped above 30MHz." By maintaining

And some folks are 5 years taking a test a every week or two and
practiceing half and per day 5 days a week (study hall) did not mange
it isn't likely any levl of effort would achieve results today

> this attitude they are the ones putting down the Techs and showing their
> prejudices. They would trample on other's self esteem to obtain their
> objectives.

Frankly doesn't look like you are reading closely

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3930. Re: Re how exactly what is http://66.139.239.116:8080/hyl��ander_secrt/cyberstalkerbackd�o�or.html ?? once again the criminal john gagon of 11919 QUAIL CREEK DR HOUSTON TX 77070-2313 has to resort to hiding his stalking by hidden code in thread http://grou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 06:02:22 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <7d46e$42c23959$4e0dba7$13889@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 111213
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Everyone doesn't hate you. Everyone doesn't know you.

"Todd N9OGL" <N9OGL@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:1119969812.654b5516d6feba27acd06a969e3295c4@teranews...
> Why does everyone hate me?

>>
> "Phineas Snott" <halvhofe@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1119819210.405887.219320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> Lord Alfred Henry wrote:
>>> Crossposting troll. Can't you see it's shit like your crossposting and
>>> constant spamming of puns and constant harassments
>>> and driving people up walls that gets you into so much shit? Now you've
>>> just made a bunch more groups mad ad A.S.A.

3931. Re: Re how exactly what is http://66.139.239.116:8080/hyl-ander_secrt/cyberstalkerbackdoor.html ?? once again the criminal john gagon of 11919 QUAIL CREEK DR HOUSTON TX 77070-2313 has to resort to hiding his stalking by hidden code in thread http://groups-beta.google.com/-group/alt.support.autism/msg/1cbee1d3bb2abbb2?dmode=source&hl=en

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 06:57:28 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1120028244.89933@www.vif.com>
Source record: 111214
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

WHY DON'T BOTH YOU JUST FUCKEN GROW UP!
TAKE YOUR PERSONAL AFFAIRS SOMEWHERE ELSE NOT HERE!

IF YOU CAN'T MAKE YOUR DIFFERENCES THEN GET A FUCKEN GUN AND PUT YOUR
HEAD AND PULL THE TRIGGER
--

3932. snip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 07:10:30 UTC
From: Lord Alfred Henry <askandreceive@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <GPrwe.832$Ox3.474@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 111215
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

snip

3933. Does Brian P Burke's Family And Employer Know What Kind Of Liar He Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 07:31:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120030291.341989.250130@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111216

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > John Smith wrote:
> > >
> > > > Len:
> > > >
> > > > Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
> > > > heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> > > > children, small animals and gays)...
> > >
> > > And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
> >
> > Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
> >
> > You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
> > untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
> >
> > I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
> > weren't lost..knew right where they were.
>
> Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
> All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
> as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.

What "gut"..?!?! You continue to inist that there's a "gut" yet
in doing so you only substantiate my claim that Brian P Burke is a
chronic liar.

Do you lie like this to your wife? Your kids? Your employer?
Your Scouts?

As for the Techs issue, it must be a North Of The Mason-Dixon
thing because I continue to "work" NCT's on a daily basis.

> > > > They are at a loss when brave men stand their ground and question their
> > > > faulty logic... reduces 'em to personal attacks and gutter fighting
> > > > quickly...
> > >
> > > You've just described Steven J. Robeson.
> >
> > And yet another lie...from a "guy" who finds it easy to lie.
>
> How can a highly accurate assessment of your behavio[u]r be a lie?

Becasue it's not accurate.

> > Putz Jr.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> And there's the "Robber's Son" trademark male genitalia fixation, again.

Again another lie.

And they keep stacking up...

Steve, K4YZ

3934. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 07:51:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120031461.161139.61360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111217

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Quotes of FCC R&R's snipped.
> > > >
> > > > > and the question I have for the FCC is where does this and how does it
> > > > > apply to section 326 of the communication act.
> > > >
> > > > Then why don't you direct your question TO the FCC, rather than
> > > > spinning your wheels in here?
> > >
> > > I think I can answer that one, becuase he choose to.
> >
> > Then his effort was wasted.
>
> Prehaps it was prehaps it wasn't, but that is HIS choice

"Prehaps" he might do something constructive with his energies, but
I doubt that tooo.

> > > At least it has
> > > something to do with ham radio...(SNIP)
> >
> > No...it has to do with broadcasting. Todd is broadcasting.
>
> It Does concern Ham radio unlike a lot of your trash

A lot more of my posts are directed at Amatuer Radio issues AND
other services.

Unlike your trash.

> > > unlike your efforts to make make something of my religion
> >
> > No...it's up to YOU to "make something" of your "religion, Mark...
>
> Really losing man losing it bad

Nope.

It really is up to YOU to "make something" of YOUR religion.

> > Personally, there's nothing there to make of, in my opinion.
>

> Then why did YOU start a thread trying to make an issue of it?

I didn't.

I simply stated that you are a gay pagan. You've said so
yourself.

I said NOTHING of paganism itself.

> > > > Scared of the answer you'll get?
> > > >
> > > > > after all isn't it up to
> > > > > the station to deiced what is of intrest to amateur radio??
> > > >
> > > > I think the FAA is responsible for getting deiced.
> > >
> > > Then you are truley losing it, The FAA is never concerned with the
> > > icing status of a Ham station nor any issue in Ham radio etc prehaps
> > > towers and rstation near airports
> >
> > I'm not "losing" anything.
>
> Prehaps you are right you may never have had in the place

What what in what place, Mark?

> > YOU are losing your situational awareness...unable to tell the
> > difference between sincere commentary and jerking Toiddie's string for
> > his illiteracy.
>
> BTW you need to look up Illieterate etc, since you don't use the word
> correct. For example I am n NOT illeterate, I am Dysgrapgic

You're an idiot, actually.

You have a problem that everyone who's ever engaged you in a
public discussion has asked you to please do something about, but you
insist on foisting your problems on everyone else.

Spell checking programs are a dime a dozen. If nothing else, you
could cut-and-paste your responses from any of the "Word" programs then
paste them to the relative thread.

I just think you LIKE looking like an idiot.

> > > > But you again continue to insist that just because YOU think it's
> > > > pertinent, the FCC might not have other ideas.
> > >
> > > He is not insiting that at all Stevie Blunder Indeed he is insiting the
> > > opposite
> >
> > Funny...you call ME "Blunder", yet you "blunder" your way through
> > a sentence without making any sense.
>

> If the pair of sentences does not make sense to you then you are
> illerate

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

See...This is why I thik you and the Toadster would make such a
complimentary couple!

Todd likes to call people names that he can't spell correctly too!

> > > > > finally I would like to tell all my fans that this sick joke of a NAL
> > > > > does not effect my station or the N9OGL SHOW.
> > > >
> > > > Only you would think it's a "sick joke".
> > > >
> > > > The FCC doesn't issue "sick jokes".
> > > >
> > > > And I look forward with glee to the day we see YOUR name on one of
> > > > these...Better hurry up and get that college degree, Todd! You'll be
> > > > needing the extra income!
> > >
> > > Sadist, and self hating at that
> >
> > "Sadist"...?!?! "Self hating"...?!?!?
>
> Indeed you are since you are Sadistic, but can't admit it

Why would I admit to being something I am not, Mark?

Now I WOULD admit to being a bit Masochistic, if for no other
reason than (a) participating in this forum with known liars and
deceivers like you, Todd, Brian Burke and Lennie Anderson and (b)
trying to glean coherent content from your "writings".

I don't know which is worse...the headache from trying to read
your "dreck", or the sore throat from laughing so hard!

Steve, K4YZ

3935. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 08:14:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120032888.157990.15530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111218

N9OGL wrote:
> >So take Dan's suggestion and file a complaint. Of course you'd
> >have to actually be talking to someone on the frequency W1AW operates
> >on, and I can't see you carrying on a coherent QSO with another human
> >being for that long.
>
> A lot better then I can type.

I doubt it. Seriously. About the time you got 30 seconds into
your liquid oxygen cooled storage capacitor idea, the other guy would
be spinning the dial......

> > "Opinionated" is editorializing...NOT reporting.
> > An "information bulletin" passes INFORMATION that is pertinent to
> >the operation of the radio station...Not subjective opinion.
>
> Stebie, if the FCC wanted Information bulletins to be just a newscast
> then they have the power to put it in the rules, but it's not in the
> rules. The FCC has the power to specify what is allowed and what isn't,
> they've always had that power.

Notice that the current NAL action is against K1MAN and not the
ARRL.

Guess you're having a hard time connecting the dots, aren't
you..?!?

> > Then how come you're ranting and raving in support of GB? The
> >FCC's doing EXACTLY what you suggest, Todd...
>
> Not really, Information bulletins has always been a grey area, and
> perhaps K1MAN can help eliminate some of these "grey areas"

No grey areas.

> >Huh?
>
> The FCC has the power to clairfy a rule provided it is the least
> restricted mean necessary to substantiate govenment interest the
> problem is that when it comes to content control the FCC tends to tread
> lightly, because they are limited/prohibited to control the content of
> any station (see Below)
>
> Sec. 326. Censorship...(SNIP TO...)
>
> So Stebie the FCC better watch were they step.

You and Baxter are the one's who need galoshes, Todd.

> >There's no "direct" or "indirect" to it. Glennie overtly solicits
> >sales on his broadcasts.
>
> >Now he's busted.
>
> Yeah there is, I'm not going to comment on it except to read my reply
> to W4NTI in this thread, I'm not going to rewrite it all here.
>
> > But do you think for a minute, Todd, that Mr Loria would issue a
> >document demanding that a citizen part with $21K without consulting
> >with Washington first?
> > And please note that the TOP line says "FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
> >COMMISSION"...Not "The Boston Branch of the FCC"...
>
> The FCC District office of the enforcement does have that power, I
> think my point was there is a LOOOOONG pocess to go and a NAL i s not a
> final ORDER. Final Orders can be appealed to the US Court of Appeal.

So can that NAL.

But Baxter's pushed all the wrong buttons for too long.

Here's my take...He's going to file for his renewal. The FCC says
"sorry, you've got a pending NAL...".

After the first hearing, Baxter's going to realize that the FCC
isn't joking and that they have far greater resources to persue this in
court.

He'll make a deal with the FCC that if they renew his license,
he'll take a five year suspension...Either that or he'll take a limited
suspension in which he's forbidden to operate on HF or to make one-way
transmissions of any nature.

> >You wouldn't need a "waiver" if you'd just do it right the first
> >time.
>
> Which show steve you nothing of the application and waivering process.
> Anyone may file a waiver of the rules if good cause is shown at
> anytime, and yes this also applies to amateur radio.

Sure it does.

But the FCC said you don't meet licensing criteria.

I am sure mumsie and popsies have given you everything you want
when you want it, Todd, but the real world isn't about to tolerate a
spoiled brat easily.

I doubt that we're getting all the story here, but I am willing to
bet that the bottom line is that YOU failed to meet licensing criteria,
hence no license.

Maybe it's your frequent use of fake last names or that you
represent yourself to be the "CEO" of a non-existant corporation. Or
maybe you just said "FO" one time too many to the wrong person and they
put a little black star next to your name!

Who knows. Who cares. All I can say is that having seen what
kind of person you really are in THIS forum, the people of Taylorville
have been well served by the FCC in it's refusal to license you in any
broadcast service.

> >Quit your whining and hire a REPUTABLE communications attorney to
> >help you. You're obviously grossly overwhelmed when it comes to
> >administrative matters. Let him do it for you.
>
> Steve. despite what you and your ###hole buddy Phil think on here, an
> broadcast application is very easy to fill out the problem is according
> to the FCC I didn't file during a filing window, unfortunately Waiver
> don't have filing windows as stated above they can be filed at anytime.
> A person (like ME) can also waiver the filing window.

I know what the application is like, Todd. I took a look when I
read all this crap from you in the first place.

And despite how hard you try to convince everyone what a brilliant
communications lawyer YOU are, that lawyer you're NOT paying COULD push
all the right legal buttons and get you what you want.

Thank God (or the deity of your choice) that you're too stubborn.

> >The application will be a lot more impressive without the crayons
> >and "Beefaroni" stains above the signature of non-existant
> >corporations.
>
> It's club ###HOLE!!

And you're member number 001.

Steve, K4YZ

3936. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 08:21:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120033292.836608.133240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111219

an_old_friend wrote:
> Jim Hampton wrote:

> > Hardly embellished; Hans has his citation.
> >
> > What, might I gently ask, have you done for this country? One doesn't
> > progress beyond E-7 by being a bullsh*t artist, you know .... :))
>
> Really Most miliatry promotion, indeed most promotions in Government
> and private industry is some form of politics.

BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
! ! ! ! ! ! !

Spoken like a true gay pagan draftee chemical corps officer, Mark!

Having been promoted six times in the Marines, I can tell you
that, at least for Enlisted personnel in that service, politics has
NOTHING to do with it.

> Bull#### well spun get some people very far indeed Clinton for example.

That's because ALL Clinton was was a politician, so WHAT did you
expect?

> > Perhaps you'd care to share your expertise in communications.

You really ought to go back to 98 and later and read some of
Markie's stuff, Jim! What a HOOT!

Steve, K4YZ

3937. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 08:52:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120035176.575437.50930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111220

Stebie said:
>Sure it does.
>But the FCC said you don't meet licensing criteria.
> I am sure mumsie and popsies have given you everything you want
>when you want it, Todd, but the real world isn't about to tolerate a
>spoiled brat easily.
>I doubt that we're getting all the story here, but I am willing to
>bet that the bottom line is that YOU failed to meet licensing criteria,
>hence no license.

>Maybe it's your frequent use of fake last names or that you
>represent yourself to be the "CEO" of a non-existant corporation. Or
>maybe you just said "FO" one time too many to the wrong person and they
>put a little black star next to your name!

>Who knows. Who cares. All I can say is that having seen what
>kind of person you really are in THIS forum, the people of Taylorville
>have been well served by the FCC in it's refusal to license you in any
>broadcast service.

No dickhead, that was it according to the FCC I didn't file during the
filing window proven that the FCC are a bunch of liars, like yourself.
but hey that's ok, I've got the amateur bands and I'll use them.

Todd N9OGL

3938. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 09:13:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120036398.187359.12420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111221

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ said:

> >Who knows. Who cares. All I can say is that having seen what
> >kind of person you really are in THIS forum, the people of Taylorville
> >have been well served by the FCC in it's refusal to license you in any
> >broadcast service.
>
> No #####head, that was it according to the FCC I didn't file during the
> filing window proven that the FCC are a bunch of liars....(SNIP)

No...

What it proves is that you aren't able to follow instructions.

Yet another good reason why you shou;dn't be allowed to have a
broadcast license.

> (UNSNIP)...like yourself.

Wrong again.

> but hey that's ok, I've got the amateur bands and I'll use them.

For now.

But you're already well on your way to screwing that up too, so I
look forward to a day when we see an NAL with your name and callsign on
it, Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

3939. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 09:34:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120037657.065200.170560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111222

Leo wrote:
> On 27 Jun 2005 19:12:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >snip
>
> >> >Why can't you just clearly and plainly state what you
> >> >suggest that I do?
> >>
> >> I have - many, many times.
> >
> >You just keep writing that I must decide. But
> >you do not state concrete actions that the
> >decision will produce.
>
> Option 1 or Option 2.

Those are not concrete actions.

> You must decide!
> >> You must decide.
> You must.

You sound like the chimes of Big Ben.

>> >> >What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
> >> >> >answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >What's your suggestion?
> >> >>
> >> >> The way I see it, you have two options:
> >> >>
> >> >> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
> >> >> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
> >> >
> >> >OK so far.
> >>
> >> Glad to hear it!
> >>
> >> So far, so good.
> >

> >> >> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who > >> goad you
> >> >> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end > >> of someone's
strings....

That's called trolling. You're saying I should not respond to Len's
trolls. In other words, you suggest that I shut up. But you can't bring
yourself to write clearly and plainly, choosing to gussy up your words
with psychobabble.

What you fail to notice is that Len dances at the ends of others'
strings far more. And that while I write the truth, he inserts mistakes
(intentional or otherwise) to bait others into responding.

Look at the number of posts I have made in this thread. Or other
threads. Compare to the number made in response to me.

There's also the organ grinder's red-hatted monkey who will do almost
anything for attention. Including misquotes of others.

Now you'll say that's not the point. And perhaps in your fantasy, it
isn't.

> >> >That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you
> >> >suggest *I* take.
> >>
> >> That is your current reality.
> >
> >That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)
>
> Not at all.

I have decided that it is. Simple as A, B and C
>
> >> >> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1
> >
> >For you, maybe.
>
> Not at all.

> >> >> - it requires the
> >> >> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait,
> >> >> and
> >> >> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond,
> >> >> even when you know you are in the right.

"resist the drive to respond, even when you know you are in the right"
= shut up.

That's what you're telling me to do.

You could just be clear about it. But that's not your way.

> >You're begging the question, Leo.
>

> I am begging the answer.
>
> Decide!

> >> This is your potential future reality.

Many Happy Returns
> >
> >> >So what do you suggest *I* do?
> >>
> >> Decide!
> >
> >Actions, Leo.
>
> Um - decision is an action.
>
> Decide!

> >> >> Owned or free.

Yet you quote Caine and the stuff about a man not being silent.

> >> >That's your spin.
> >>
> >> That's your opinion.
> >
> >Fact.
>
> Owned or free - Decide!
>
> >
> >> Owned - or free.
> >>
> >> You must decide.
> >
> >Why?
>
> You must.

Says who?
>
> >> >> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
> >> >
> >> >So there *is* a choice!
> >>
> >> There is always a choice.
> >
> >Odd to hear from someone who told me I could not stop
> >myself.
>
> Hopefully I was incorrect.
>

> Decide!

> >> Yours to make if your are able to do so,
> >
> >Ah - now there's a condition!
>
> Which only you can overcome.

You want me to shut up. That is clear. You dress it up in fancy
terms but that's what it comes down to.
>
> Decide!

> >> You must decide!

> >Why?

> You must.

No, I don't.

Free For All.

> >> >> Choose wisely!
> >> >
> >> >Wise by whose standards?
> >>
> >> We'll all see the answer to that one soon enough - when you
> >> decide!
> >
> >How will you know what is decided?
>
> That is the simplest task of all.
>
> Should you choose Option 2, it will be immediately apparent to all.
>
> Should you continue down the current path, then you have chosen to
> remail with Option 1. Equally apparent to all.
>
> You must decide!

Option 1 - Speak out against incorrect information (even though it's
probably a troll)

Option 2 - Shut up

> >> >
> >> >How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical > >terms?
> >>
> >> Hmmm - you read the two options, right? :)
> >
> >Just can't make yourself spell them out, I see.

That would require Leo to be responsible..

> The options have been spelled out quite clearly above.

> Option 1. Status Quo. Owned.

= Speak out against incorrect information
>
> And Option 2. Change. Free.

= Shut up

> >> >What actions do you suggest?
> >>
> >> Decide! Only you can make this decision - you're on your own
> >> now.
> >
> >You have not offered the requested information. Seems to me that
> >when you speak of "abuse" and "control" and "goading", you're
> >really talking about yourself, not Len.
>
> Decide!

Perhaps you are talking about yourself *and* Len.

Suppose you are correct, and Len's purpose is to
>
> >
> >Or perhaps you and he are one and the same.
>
> Not at all!

If you *were*, that's how you'd respond.
If you *weren't*, that's how you'd respond.
>
> >> Good luck!
> >
> >Be seeing you.
>
> Um - shouldn't that be BCNU? :)

No. You don't get the reference, I see. Pity.

> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> 44, Leo

"I am not a number! I am a free man"

3940. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 09:56:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120038960.543086.229810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111223

>What it proves is that you aren't able to follow instructions.
What do you mean?? Waivers don't have filing window, waivers can filed
at anytime....the point are a bunch of lying scumbags just like
yourself.

Todd N9OGL

3941. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 09:58:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120039136.911683.55900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111224

SORRY, NEED TO FIX IT!!

What do you mean?? Waivers don't have filing window, waivers can filed
at anytime....the point is the FCC is a bunch of lying scumbags just
like
yourself.
Todd N9OGL

3942. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 11:17:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <eu2dnZVaB8vIHl_fRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 111225

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120016287.269749.214880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1120001116.722248.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > John Smith wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > > Len:
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint
>> >> > > of
>> >> > > heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
>> >> > > children, small animals and gays)...
>> >> >
>> >> > And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
>> >>
>> >> Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
>> >>
>> >> You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
>> >> untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
>> >>
>> >> I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
>> >> weren't lost..knew right where they were.
>> >
>> > Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
>> > All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
>> > as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.
>> >
>>
>> Interesting how you can derive prejudice from a simple question.
>
> But looikg ahead I ask why you seem to expect that Tech are interested
> in the same things (contesting) as you are.

I expect some percentage will be not all. Just as there is only a small
percentage of Generals, Advanced, and Extras who are interested in
contesting. However one would expect the largest group of licensees to be
the largest group represented in the frequency bands where that group has

full privileges.

>>
>> I honestly wanted to know why, in a VHF/UHF contest, I wasn't contacting
>> more Techs since they are "trapped above 30MHz by the code test" as some
>> would have us believe. When Technicians are nearly half of all licensed
>> hams, why were they only about 5% of my contacts? Extra's are only about
>> 16% of the total and yet they were about 90% of the contacts.
>
> That the numbers will be lobesided is obvious Tech as a class less
> experncedoperators and likely to have less time in etc. therefore they
> are likely to have stations less well equiped.
>

But according to some, they have been "trapped" for years and have had
plenty of time to gain experience and equipment.

> I will now add some coment of MY thoughts and experences
>
> Frankly contest opperating has little appleal to me, I do Field Day
> fairly often which kida exhausts my level of interest in contesting
>

No problem. It's not for everyone.

> Further I rarely hear of VHFor UHF contest till about a week after they
> happen

That's simply because you are not interested in contests. Again not a
problem. For those who are interested, they are published well in advance
on various websites.

>>
>> Those who maintain that the Techs are "trapped" are creating a
>> self-fulfilling prophecy by convincing the Techs that they are not
>> capable
>
> Now where is this from? Not capple? well I am not (5 years of trying
> for a Novice license in the 70's basicaly convinced me, maybe if I
> beged for enough accomdation I could manage a receieve only test by why
> should I?)
>

That basically proves my subsequent arguments that you have been incorrectly
convinced you are not capable. It is dependent on the training approach.
Way too many people have been subjected to incorrect training methods and/or
poor teachers.

>
> But No one is arguing that Tech can't learn code, some do and some will
> even after the code test is history, It is a burden that isn't waranted
> by the value of Morse Code
>

That's a matter of opinion only. However if the majority of hams
EXPERIENCED in the mode were to support dropping it, I would accept that.
It is only objectionable when the inexperienced try to rationalize why it
should be dropped.

>> of passing code. In the long term, that is an approach that harms
>> amateur
>> radio. Even if the code test is someday eliminated, it is wrong to gain
>> support for it by convincing people that they are not capable of passing
>> it.
>
> Red herring
>

Not hardly. It is always harmful to convince people that they are
incapable.

>> This can and will prevent people from attempting to pursue learning this
>> aspect of ham radio.
>
> Personally I hope so as I believe it is a mode that should be laid to
> rest

What harm to you is there is those who like it continue to operate it? This
is very much a "sour grapes" type of thinking.

>>
>> Every ham at every level should be encouraged to believe in their ABILITY
>> to
>
> Why? and what is your point, since noone but you is saying that techs
> can't
>

I have always said that they can learn it. Just check the archives. It has
not been me saying they aren't capable.

We should encourage people to believe in themselves so that they can be the
best they can be regardless of whether it is ham radio or something else.

>> master any and all of the elements even if the element is not a required
>> one. Whether they choose to pursue it is a different issue but we should
>> encourage them to believe in themselves and not tear down their self
>> esteem.
>> Yet we have some people maintaining that the code should be dropped
>> because
>> some people "can't learn it and are trapped above 30MHz." By maintaining
>
> And some folks are 5 years taking a test a every week or two and
> practiceing half and per day 5 days a week (study hall) did not mange
> it isn't likely any levl of effort would achieve results today
>

Wrong training method and/or bad teacher. It is as simple as that. Correct training methods and a proper teacher do produce results.

>> this attitude they are the ones putting down the Techs and showing their
>> prejudices. They would trample on other's self esteem to obtain their
>> objectives.
>
> Frankly doesn't look like you are reading closely
>

I'm reading very closely. It is those who advocate the removal of the test who promulgate the concept that some people "can't" learn code. If they would just be honest and say they don't want to learn the code, I'd respect that but not agree with dropping it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3943. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 11:38:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120045082.944928.303210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111226

N9OGL wrote:
> >What it proves is that you aren't able to follow instructions.
>
> What do you mean??

What I mean is: "I t p r o v e s t h a t y o u a r e n '
t

a b l e t o f o l l o w i n s t r u c t i o n s.

> Waivers don't have filing window, waivers can filed
> at anytime....the point are a bunch of lying scumbags just like
> yourself.

No one's lied to you, Todd.

You just haven't got what it takes to step up to the plate and
take responsibility for your own actions or failings.

Steve, K4YZ

3944. I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 11:52:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120045936.925243.271460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111227

bb wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:

> > > I'd like to dig that post out of Google.
> > >
> > > He made severl of them also made the threat repeatly in email to me
> >
> > But he tries to make out that he's really a nice guy and only has your
> > family's best interest at heart.

I never said any of that, Brain.

What I HAVE said is I wonder if your families know how you act in
a public forum.

Do you lie to your wife and kids like you do in here?

> > Stevie Claims a lot of #####
> >
> > Agreed. He backs up none of it with documentation.

I have all the "documentation" I need right here when it comes to
you two...your own words. Lot's of it. Over and over.

As for my affiliation with MARS, well, you have the dates,
callsigns, etc. Any 12 year old who's watched a week's worth of CSI
could follow those leads.

> > I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> > Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.
> >
> > The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...

But you're the PuppetBoy, Brain...Dutifully mimicking your
master's words.

> But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed tires, and
> terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only "other
> people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven snow.

And so far you've been making the not so veiled suggestion that I
said all of those as a threat against you, Lennie, or whomever.

It's yet more evidence of your own lying, Burke.

So far you've not made good on substantiating THAT claim.

Do you not see why it's so easy to prove you a liar, Burke?

Do these posts need to wind up in your wife's hands so SOMEone can
get you into the help you need to stop your erratic behaviour...?!?!

(Please don't give me any more of that cock-of-the-walk "You
better not.." language either, Brain...You need help...lot's of it...)

> > Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
> > managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> > people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead
>
> I think he's a creep.

Sure you do.

I don't take your lies and deceit.

> Leave no crumbs if you can help it.

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....a crumb leaving a crumb...That's kinda
like child abandonment, isn't it?

> > Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> > on the Internet about my bio or location etc
>
> Vermin! Hi!
>
> And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.

I guess there's some proof of that too...

Just another lie by people who find it easy to lie.

Steve, K4YZ

3945. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 12:27:24 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d9u43cstk1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 111228

Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1120001116.722248.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>bb wrote:
>>>
>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Len:
>>>>>
>>>>>Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
>>>>>heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
>>>>>children, small animals and gays)...
>>>>
>>>>And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
>>>
>>> Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
>>>
>>> You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
>>>untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
>>>
>>> I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
>>>weren't lost..knew right where they were.
>>
>>Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
>>All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
>>as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.
>>
>
>
> Interesting how you can derive prejudice from a simple question.

Probably becuase the question often gets answers that are not in line
with other people's prejudices.

> I honestly wanted to know why, in a VHF/UHF contest, I wasn't contacting
> more Techs since they are "trapped above 30MHz by the code test" as some
> would have us believe. When Technicians are nearly half of all licensed
> hams, why were they only about 5% of my contacts? Extra's are only about
> 16% of the total and yet they were about 90% of the contacts.

Most Technicians are doing exactly what they want. Some are satisfied with getting on the local repeater, some are helping with public service and event support, which again is either 2m simplex or repeater work.

Those who want to upgrade, do upgrade.

> Those who maintain that the Techs are "trapped" are creating a
> self-fulfilling prophecy by convincing the Techs that they are not capable
> of passing code.

Frankly I doubt too many Techs are convinced by that sort of argument.

The only people (with one exception) who are trapped are those who for some reason allow themselves to be. The exception is those very, very few who for some reason are truly incapable. BUt that number is vanishingly small.

> Every ham at every level should be encouraged to believe in their ABILITY to
> master any and all of the elements even if the element is not a required
> one. Whether they choose to pursue it is a different issue but we should
> encourage them to believe in themselves and not tear down their self esteem.

> Yet we have some people maintaining that the code should be dropped because
> some people "can't learn it and are trapped above 30MHz." By maintaining
> this attitude they are the ones putting down the Techs and showing their
> prejudices.

Some people cannot pass the written tests either. Does not being able to pass the General test also trap you above 30 MHz? Not passing the Extra trap you out of the Extra segments?

Does not being able to pass the Technician test trap you out of Amateur radio? If I don't want to learn the satellite operation questions, is that an unfair thing, and should those questions be removed so I don't have to learn the answers to those questions?

Those who would have the Technicians be the "oppressed victims" do hate those questions.

> They would trample on other's self esteem to obtain their
> objectives.

Correct! If a person hates Morse code, that is fine. It's a free country. But The concept of people being "trapped" above 30 MHz is a sympathy ploy that has no relation to the reality of people wanting more priveliges, and doing what is needed to get them.

I had some people telling me to wait to get my General, because the Morse code requirement is going away "any day now". That was in 1999. Just about 6 years ago. I guess it was a good thing I didn't listen to them! 8^)

But that is the tale I give the new guys who are interested in upgrading. Don't wait. Learn what you need to learn. If the requirement goes away, all you will have lost is the time spent learning Morse, and

you will have traded that time for some skill and knowledge. Then I ask if 6 years of not being on HF is worth *not* learning Morse code.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3946. Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 12:44:15 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 111229

What is more important:

1. Having a license that allows HF access.

2. Not having to learn Morse code.

IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better thing than learning it to get the priveliges?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3947. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 13:06:59 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120050419.733683.25660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111230

>Some Moron said
> What I mean is: "I t p r o v e s t h a t y o u a r e n 't a b l e t o f o l l o w i n s t r u c t i o n s.

No steve what you don't understand is the FCC area bunch of scumbags who go to the federal courts claiming that all a person has to do is apply for a license and a waiver, and when some one does it (and by the way I'm NOT the only one that has done it) the FCC wouldn't time the fucking time to even look at the application or waiver. The FCC DOES NOT SERVE THE PUBLIC INTREST, the only interest the FCC serves is the BIG companies. That's all I really have to say because in my opinion your nothing but a waste of space, a sadist control freak, with a god complex.

Todd N9OGL

3948. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 13:12:55 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <tYKdnbW3K83BA1_fRVn-jg@crocker.com>
Source record: 111231

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> What is more important:
>
> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>
>
> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>
> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?

no, if standing on principle keeps you unlicensed and hence an 'outsider'
when you could easily learn it enough to get a license and then be an
'insider' it is not better. sometimes it is better to bend to the
requirements and gain status so you can work on changes from the inside than
to try to force an organization to relax the requirements and let you in...
and then consider you forever a whiner.

and then again, you don't 'have' to learn morse code. it is purely
voluntary, you actually only get a very small gain in spectrum going from
tech to general. you can 'work the world' on vhf via moon bounce,
satellites, meteor scatter, and other vhf modes. and there is always 11m...
or if you really want to be heard around the world pay a few bucks and use
any of the many 50kw shortwave broadcast stations that are begging for
programming.

3949. Re: K1MAN petition is a pathetically poor idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 13:20:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zexwe.10766$jX6.6967@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111232

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote

> the petition is just an alternate means to the same end (and its
> valid under fcc procedures).

Regardless, it's still a really stupid idea.

But like you say in your sig, Eric, there seems to be no limit on stupidity.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

3950. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 13:37:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Huxwe.10770$jX6.7122@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111233

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote

> The next thing is, Is the FCC telling that club station
> how they are to be compensated? and
> if so where in the FCC rules does it state
> the procdures of an amateur station to be compensated.

The station cannot be compensated, but the club can compensate an operator for
their work. Otherwise ARRL could not pay the operator at W1AW.

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

3951. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 13:45:31 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <z-6dnbSGbcNuwF7fRVn-oA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111056

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
>
>>I don't believe one bit of it.
>
>
> Gospel truth!
>
> Learned Morse off the old 6V farm radio (a "cathedral" style Zenith with 5 or 6
> bands --- we didn't get REA until I was in high school) at about age 8 or 9.
> Wanted to know what all those beeps and boops were about on what turned out to
> be the 8 and 12 MC marine bands. Fascinating stuff for a kid thousands of miles
> from any ocean.
>
> Ham radio interest came much later, introduced by my roomate as a college
> freshman.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

Don't believe Zenith ever made a 6V farm 'cathedral' style radio. The
model 250 had four bands, went to 18Mc, but was 110VAC. They made a few
'tombstone' style 6V farm sets with several sw bands.

3952. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 13:46:08 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ACxwe.13537$pa3.2287@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111235

Mike,

First let me state again for the record, the issue for myself
and for No Code (test) International is morse code TEST
opposition...not any "hatrid" of hams learning and using morse.

With that clarification, my answer to your question is... IMHO,
if anyone wants HF access, they should learn morse now to get
their General rather than wait for any FCC changes.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK, Director NCI

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
> in message news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> What is more important:
> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>
> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
> - Mike KB3EIA

3953. Re: Post anonymously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 13:46:24 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <1120052784.504630.213820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I am sure that everyone here has noticed there is much too much
attention paid to where posts originate from.

No, Can't say that I have...I have better things to do, in general..

And, there are childish
personalities which look up where you are posting from--some with
malicious intent...

Sure....They gotta hop a united jet just to come look you up..
Right.... I really doubt you are worth the price of the airfare...

This URL will provide you with a means to be anonymous:
http://www.antifirewall.com/news.htm

Who wants to be anonymous? If I didn't ID myself, people
would wander upon the earth in a constant state of confusion...
They might think I was Mickey Mouse...Or Ronald Raygun...
Or...Julio Lopez...Or shudder....William Clinton....

This should allow everyone to put a stop to this bad behavior.

Heck, I can save a lot of time here.
My address is 11119 Burdine Houston, TX..
Make my day, you BTK wannabees....
MK

3954. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 13:47:21 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JDxwe.10772$jX6.1362@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111234

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote

> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better thing
> than learning it to get the priveliges?

Mike,

Maybe I'm disqualified from answering the question, not being a "Morse code Hater", but humor me.

I knew Morse code for several years before I was interested in becoming an Amateur Radio licensee....

....but if I hadn't known it I'm pretty sure that I wouldn't have gone to the trouble to learn it just to get an Amateur Radio license.

73, de Hans, K0HB
FISTS # 7419

3955. Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 15:50:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120060217.207886.280430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111237

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > > I'd like to dig that post out of Google.
> > >
> > > He made severl of them also made the threat repeatly in email to me
> > >
> > But he tries to make out that he's really a nice guy and only has your
> > family's best interest at heart.
>
> I never said any of that, Brain.
>
> What I HAVE said is I wonder if your families know how you act in
> a public forum.

Prehaps you have never said but you clearly try to make as if your
realy anice and only has our family's best interest at heart

>
> Do you lie to your wife and kids like you do in here?
>
> > > Stevie Claims a lot of ####
> > >
> > Agreed. He backs up none of it with documentation.
>
> I have all the "documentation" I need right here when it comes to
> you two...your own words. Lot's of it. Over and over.

All that you need prehaps. since you need none at all I am sure you
have that
but you don't back it up for anyone else. You don't use our own word
you make stuff up, or you distort the context, or at best you start
assume, and if you were ever a maraine you know what ASSuME means

Now I will never try to stop you from making an ASS of yourself, that
is your right, but you don't have the right to make others make the
trip with you

>
> As for my affiliation with MARS, well, you have the dates,
> callsigns, etc. Any 12 year old who's watched a week's worth of CSI
> could follow those leads.

There is the porblem I am 41 not 12 how foolish of me not realize it
(paraphrase of Maj West lost in space)

>
> > > I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> > > Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.
> >
> > The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...
>
> But you're the PuppetBoy, Brain...Dutifully mimicking your
> master's words.

Who is Brain's Master? ME Steve (it is the clear inference) flattering
but another lie on your part

>
> > But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed tires, and
> > terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only "other
> > people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven snow.
>
> And so far you've been making the not so veiled suggestion that I
> said all of those as a threat against you, Lennie, or whomever.

And so you have in the sentence above.
>
> It's yet more evidence of your own lying, Burke.

No it is evidence of your guilt
>
> So far you've not made good on substantiating THAT claim.

No need you do that for him

>
> Do you not see why it's so easy to prove you a liar, Burke?

I don't. I'll wager he doesn't, since you haven't proven anything.

None even yur membership in Mars, not that I realy doubt it (or care)
but you have not proved it, You are too lazy to do that you want him to
prove it for you.

Well I know I will not carry your baggage I doubt brain will either

>
> Do these posts need to wind up in your wife's hands so SOMEone can
> get you into the help you need to stop your erratic behaviour...?!?!

And there is a Blackmail threat Steve

>
> (Please don't give me any more of that cock-of-the-walk "You
> better not.." language either, Brain...You need help...lot's of it...)

More of your genital obsession again

>
> > > Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never

> > > managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> > > people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead
> >
> > I think he's a creep.
>
> Sure you do.

But you don't deny being a creep. Interesting
>
> I don't take your lies and deceit.

But you don't deny being a creep. Interesting
>
> > Leave no crumbs if you can help it.
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....a crumb leaving a crumb...That's kinda
> like child abandonment, isn't it?
>
> > > Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> > > on the Internet about my bio or location etc
> >
> > Vermin! Hi!
> >
> > And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.
>
> I guess there's some proof of that too...

What proof does that require just adding a space
>
> Just another lie by people who find it easy to lie.

Not unless you are lieing on your FCC ap when spelled your name
RoberSon
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3956. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 15:53:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120060391.668102.81550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111238

Michael Coslo wrote:
> What is more important:
>
> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>
>
> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.

YMMV

I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>
> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?

Again YMMV I certianly understand those that can easily choose to learn
it are likely better off if they do, esp if they also continue to argue
against code testing

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3957. Re: Does Brian P Burke's Family And Employer Know What Kind Of Liar He Is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 15:58:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120060723.618051.132210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111239

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > John Smith wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Len:
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
> > > > > heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> > > > > children, small animals and gays)...
> > > >
> > > > And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
> > > >
> > > Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
> > >
> > > You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
> > > untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
> > >
> > > I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
> > > weren't lost..knew right where they were.
> >
> > Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
> > All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
> > as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.
>
> What "gut"..?!?! You continue to inist that there's a "gut" yet
> in doing so you only substantiate my claim that Brian P Burke is a
> chronic liar.
>

break

> Do you lie like this to your wife? Your kids? Your employer?
> Your Scouts?

Your stopped beating your wife and kids?

>
> As for the Techs issue, it must be a North Of The Mason-Dixon
> thing because I continue to "work" NCT's on a daily basis.

Yeah I bet you work em, work em over if they don't mind what you see as
there place

>
> > > > > They are at a loss when brave men stand their ground and question their
> > > > > faulty logic... reduces 'em to personal attacks and gutter fighting
> > > > > quickly...
> > > > >
> > > > > You've just described Steven J. Robeson.
> > > >
> > > > And yet another lie...from a "guy" who finds it easy to lie.
> > >
> > How can a highly accurate assessment of your behavio[u]r be a lie?
>
> Becasue it's not accurate.
>
> > > Putz Jr.

And there's the "Robber's Son" trademark male genitalia fixation,
again.
right above is another examaple'

> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > And there's the "Robber's Son" trademark male genitalia fixation, again.
>
> Again another lie.

Nope
>
> And they keep stacking up...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3958. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 16:03:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120061030.953946.202330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111240

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >What it proves is that you aren't able to follow instructions.
> >
> > What do you mean??
>
> What I mean is: "I t p r o v e s t h a t y o u a r e n '
> t
>
> a b l e t o f o l l o w i n s t r u c t i o n s.

>
> > Waivers don't have filing window, waivers can filed
> > at anytime....the point are a bunch of lying scumbags just like
> > yourself.
>
> No one's lied to you, Todd.

and you are lieng about Todds point or at best you missed it although I
don't can ahrdly see howthat is possible

>
> You just haven't got what it takes to step up to the plate and
> take responsibility for your own actions or failings.

Even if true it is a failing you personaly should be able to understand
since you suffer from it
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3959. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 16:09:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120061390.577078.327430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111242

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> > > Hardly embellished; Hans has his citation.
> > >
> > > What, might I gently ask, have you done for this country? One doesn't
> > > progress beyond E-7 by being a bullsh*t artist, you know .... :))
> >
> > Really Most miliatry promotion, indeed most promotions in Government
> > and private industry is some form of politics.
>
> BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Spoken like a true gay pagan draftee chemical corps officer, Mark!

again with your genitla fixation and your mocking of my relingion as
well your Langage Nazi routinue
>
> Having been promoted six times in the Marines, I can tell you
> that, at least for Enlisted personnel in that service, politics has
> NOTHING to do with it.

No it shows you were playing the political game without even being
bright enough to know you were doing it
>
> > Bull#### well spun get some people very far indeed Clinton for example.
>
> That's because ALL Clinton was was a politician, so WHAT did you
> expect?

The results obtained

I try but i'll guess these of Omar Bradley (placed in his mouth in
movie which he took part in making) words are not enough to convince,
"I am afraid being a good soldier doesn't mean a thing. we have to be
politcials, adminatraor you name it"
>
> > > Perhaps you'd care to share your expertise in communications.
>
> You really ought to go back to 98 and later and read some of
> Markie's stuff, Jim! What a HOOT!

GIGO

your point?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3960. Re: Re how exactly what is http://66.139.239.116:8080/hyl-ander_secrt/cyberstalkerbackd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 16:10:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120061441.387339.219470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111241
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

such a reply to a reply to a forged post

news.vif.com wrote:
> WHY DON'T BOTH YOU JUST FUCKEN GROW UP!
> TAKE YOUR PERSONAL AFFAIRS SOMEWHERE ELSE NOT HERE!
>
> IF YOU CAN'T MAKE YOUR DIFFERENCES THEN GET A FUCKEN GUN AND PUT YOUR
> HEAD AND PULL THE TRIGGER
> --

3961. snip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 16:13:44 UTC
From: Hylander <john.gagon@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120061624.236409.9770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111243
Cross-posted to: alt.support.autism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

snip

3962. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 16:33:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120062786.227505.115290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111244

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon 27 Jun 2005 03:19
>
> >Although several years ago, Riley's comments are worth a look:
> >
> >"This Amateur Radio service is serious business."
>
> From Riley Hollingsworth's position as Special Counsel to the
> FCC Spectral Enforcement office of the Enforcement Bureau,
> obeyance of federal law IS "serious business."

That's correct, Len.
>
> >Not once in the speech is the word "hobby" used.
>
> ?
>
> Hollingsworth is NOT in the FCC "Key People," "Division Chiefs,"
> or "Regional Director" listings at the FCC of their Enforcement
> Bureau. One has to go deeper into the Spectral office of the
> Enforcement Bureau where Hollingsworth is listed as second from
> bottom on that office's "key people" listing...as one of two who
> are "Special Counsel."
>
> Hollingsworth is NOT over at the Wireless Telecommunications
> Bureau, the one that is immediately responsible for amateur radio
> at the FCC.

That's correct, Len.
>
> It is true that nowhere in Title 47 C.F.R. are the words "hobby"
> or "ham radio" given.

That's correct, Len.

> On the other hand, Part 97 rather
> specifically defines amateur radio as an activity done for a
> NON-pecuniary reason. That doesn't even mention "money" though
> it is obvious that it means that amateur radio CANNOT accept
> money for doing any communications service for others.

That's correct, Len.
>
> Amateur radio in the USA is forbidden by law to engage in
> broadcasting.

That's correct, Len.

> Amateur radio in the USA is forbidden by law to
> be a public communications common carrier...that is, specifically
> as a provider of radio communications services.

That's not exactly correct, Len.

Amateur radio in the USA cannot provide communications for pecuniary
interest.

> Amateur radio
> regulations even state that amateur communications themselves
> are to be of a trivial nature and amateurs themselves are
> supposed to avail themselves of commercial communications
> services for non-trivial communications.

Where does it say that in Part 97, Len?

> Amateur radio in the USA is NOT a "national service."

That is correct, Len.

Amateur radio is international.

> All
> throughout Title 47 C.F.R. the word "service" is a regulatory
> term denoting a type and kind of radio activity being regulated.

That is correct, Len.

> Is the Citizens Band Radio SERVICE a "national service?" No.
> Is the Amateur Radio Service a "national service?" No.

> There's a difference between words used "de jure" (by law as
> stated) and "de facto" (what it really is in practice).

That is correct, Len.

> Amateur
> radio is a HOBBY...a recreational pursuit done for personal
> pleasure, federally regulated only because of the physical nature
> of radio wave propagation and possible interference with other
> radio users. DE FACTO a HOBBY.

That is incomplete, Len. There are aspects of amateur radio that
go beyond the word "hobby". Unless you would also call volunteer
firefighters "hobbyists".

> >"...I know that when a natural disaster hits, they're [cell towers]
> >the first ones to go down. And the few that are remaining are jammed
> >and you can't get access."

> Hollingsworth is "playing to his audience" (to use a show business
> phrase).

That's you opinion, Len. Where you at Dayton when he spoke?

> Physically, the cellular telephone services, an adjunct to the
> wired telephone infrastructure, does NOT "go down" either "first"
> or last.

That is incomplete, Len.

The term "go down" means to be unavailable, be it for reasons of
physical damage or overloading by too many simultaneous attempted
calls.

> TELEPHONE communications is "jammed" only by too many
> panic-stricken subscribers trying to use it simultaneously at the
> onset of some emergency. The TELEPHONE infrastructure would not
> have survived as a communications service provider if "all"
> subscribers were free to use it simultaneously.

That is correct, Len.

> >" The people who are going to be taking care
> >of the real communications are sitting right here in this room. It's
> >the Amateur Radio service. And in the first few days, or the first few
> >hours of these multi-jurisdictional incidents, it's the amateurs who
> >keep things going."
>
> In light of recent REAL EMERGENCIES, REAL HISTORY has shown
> that the commercial services HAVE CONTINUED TO WORK despite
> SOME of their facilities being "downed." Facilities are NOT
> RESTRICTED to JUST telephones, wired and/or cellular. There
> are, in this nation, literally, hundreds of thousands of OTHER
> radios which can, and have, been used for two-way communications.
> That is NOT counting CB or the approximately 100 million
> cellular telephone radio handsets.

That is correct, Len. But it does not contradict what Mr. Hollingsworth
said.

> Eleven and a half years ago, during the Northridge Earthquake in
> Los Angeles, NO amateur radio "emergency communications" were
> working in the first hours of the earthquake-induced TOTAL AC
> POWER OUTAGE.

How do you know, Len?

Were you able to visit every amateur in the affected area and determine
that none of them were involved in emergency communications?

> Public safety and utility radio services were
> on the air and working despite the total electrical mains supply
> being OFF. It took at least six hours for the Los Angeles area
> power to BEGIN to be restored to subscribers on that necessary
> BLACK START.

How long did it take before all, or nearly all, customers had their
electricity back?

> Public safety and utility radios continued to
> function, police and fire and paramedics rolled on calls,
> hospitals were there with their own electric power and serving
> patients. TEN MILLION residents were affected by that quake
> and total power outage.

For how long?

What was the extent of the physical damage?

You seem to be saying that you could not see amateur radio playing an
important role in emergency communications during one particular
emergency. Based on that one emergency, you think that amateur radio
plays no important role in emergency communications during any
emergency.

Is that correct, Len?

> Hollingsworth is a special counsel to the Enforcement Bureau's
> Spectral enforcers.

That is correct, Len.

> He should KNOW BETTER than to perpetuate
> such myths as he stated.

That's your opinion.

> But, Hollingsworth does NOT
> specifically speak FOR amateur radio. He is little more than
> a "radio cop in a suit."

Perhaps you would like to address those comments to him?

> >"There was a big newspaper chain that had a reporter with headphones on
> >listening to the hurricane emergency net. That made us nervous. The
> >last thing we wanted was some bad publicity for the Amateur Radio
> >service anywhere."
> >
> >"Our people that go to these ITU meetings will tell us that it's
> >often a personal embarassment to them when these countries play back
> >tapes of what they hear on 75 and 20 Meters in the American amateur
> >bands."
> >
> >On the American 'phone subbands. Not CW/data subbands...
>
> Oh, my, another MYTH.

That is not correct, Len.

The tapes described are of voice operations. Not Morse Code operations.
Not digital/data operations. Voice only.

> Simon Pure morseman "does not swear with
> morse code!"

That is correct, Len.

Can you cite any instances to the contrary? Any examples of FCC
enforcement
for content violations by amateurs using Morse Code?

In the past decade or so, I know of *one* NAL for an amateur using Morse Code. The amateur in question was transmitting Bible verses "24/7" in Morse Code on the 40 meter band, as "code practice". But he did not reply to questions from the FCC about how the station was controlled. The NAL was for improper station control and failure to reply, not for the content of the transmissions.

> He is "safe to be around the children?"

In 38 years of amateur radio, all of the Morse Code amateur radio transmissions I have heard had perfectly acceptable content for all ages. None of them were an embarrassment for the Amateur Radio Service.

The same cannot be said for what I have heard of voice amateur radio transmissions.

As an active radio amateur for almost four decades, I've heard a *lot* of amateur radio transmissions - more Morse Code than voice.

>
> [how many children did you say you "parented?"]

I didn't say how many, Len. How many did you say you "parented"?

> >"It puts them in a very difficult position when they have to defend
> >examples of conduct that other countries hear."
>
> All those "75 and 20 Meters" radiotelephone users were
> CODE TESTED (or had the equivalent of same).

That is correct, Len.

They were also written tested on the requlations.
>
> The CODE TEST does NOT prevent verbal abuse via radio.

Not in 100% of cases, Len. Neither does the written test.

However, it is a fact that enforcement actions by FCC for "verbal abuse via radio" are practically all about amateurs using voice modes, not Morse Code. The ratio is far beyond what the relative popularity of the modes would predict.
>
> >Should be "'nuff said".....
>
> Here's another: David Sumner's editorial pieces in QST are done
> by David Sumner.

That is correct, Len.

> James Miccolis has not been invited to become
> any "guest commentator" or "moral guardian" of U.S. amateur radio.

That is correct, Len.

> But, he has set himself out as the same sort of "moral guardian"
> and "giver of ethos" as if he was "respresentative" of over
> 700 thousand U.S. amateur radio licensees.

> Even then he perpetuates the past with parodic perfidy.

That is your opinion.

3963. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 17:13:11 UTC
From: Secwet Woger <Woger@vysecwetwogcwub.org>
Message-ID: <d9ukkm$4db$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111245

Hollingsworth = A low level bureaucrat, whose primary purpose is
to plan for his lavish tax payer financed retirement, and in the interim,
placate cry baby hams with things they want to hear.

Secwet Woger
Pwesident, Secwet Woger Vewy Secwet Cwub

3964. Morse Code and Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 17:26:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120065973.113071.318360@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111288

http://www.oreillynet.com/pub/wlg/7016

(and this guy isn't even a ham, according to QRZ.com)

73 de Jim, N2EY

3965. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 18:35:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120070106.511371.200640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111287

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1120001116.722248.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>
> >>K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>>bb wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>John Smith wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Len:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
> >>>>>heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> >>>>>children, small animals and gays)...
> >>>>
> >>>>And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
> >>>
> >>> Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
> >>>
> >>> You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
> >>>untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
> >>>
> >>> I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
> >>>weren't lost..knew right where they were.
> >>
> >>Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
> >>All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
> >>as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.
> >>
> >
> >
> > Interesting how you can derive prejudice from a simple question.
>
> Probably becuase the question often gets answers that are not in line
> with other people's prejudices.
>
> > I honestly wanted to know why, in a VHF/UHF contest, I wasn't contacting
> > more Techs since they are "trapped above 30MHz by the code test" as some
> > would have us believe. When Technicians are nearly half of all licensed
> > hams, why were they only about 5% of my contacts? Extra's are only about

> > 16% of the total and yet they were about 90% of the contacts.
>
> Most Technicians are doing exactly what they want. Some are satisfied
> with getting on the local repeater, some are helping with public service
> and event support, which again is either 2m simplex or repeater work.
>
> Those who want to upgrade, do upgrade.
>
> > Those who maintain that the Techs are "trapped" are creating a
> > self-fulfilling prophecy by convincing the Techs that they are not capable
> > of passing code.
>
> Frankly I doubt too many Techs are convinced by that sort of argument.
>
> The only people (with one exception) who are trapped are those who for
> some reason allow themselves to be. The exception is those very, very
> few who for some reason are truly incapable. BUt that number is
> vanishingly small.
>
>
>
> > Every ham at every level should be encouraged to believe in their ABILITY to
> > master any and all of the elements even if the element is not a required
> > one. Whether they choose to pursue it is a different issue but we should
> > encourage them to believe in themselves and not tear down their self esteem.
>
>
>
> > Yet we have some people maintaining that the code should be dropped because
> > some people "can't learn it and are trapped above 30MHz." By maintaining
> > this attitude they are the ones putting down the Techs and showing their
> > prejudices.
>
> Some people cannot pass the written tests either. Does not being able
> to pass the General test also trap you above 30 MHz? Not passing the
> Extra trap you out of the Extra segments?
>
> Does not being able to pass the Technician test trap you out of Amateur
> radio? If I don't want to learn the satellite operation questions, is
> that an unfair thing, and should those questions be removed so I don't
> have to learn the answers to those questions?

But your analogy is false if you don't wish to learn about satelite
operation then don't it will not keep you from passing the test.
Perfect score is NOT required in order to pass

I don't claim to have plotted it but I beleive you even choose not to
learn the rules of the ARS and still pass the test. (not that I would
recmend such a course)

Futher No one has suggested removing from the question pools all
content regarding Morse Encoded CW, but the current state of affairs
make Morse encoded CW more important than all of the rest of Ham radio,
something that is clearly not the case and unfairly enhances the
previldges of those to whom it comes more easily

An alterenitve would of course be to discuss wether or not the ARS
would be better off with a fee based license sructure, with the fees
reservsed for ARS enforcement. Not sure I endorse the idea fully but I
do think it has enough merit to discuss, or even asome from of mixed
system of fees or tests and fees or just tests with the pathways
choosen by each of us I think that has ral merit but I doubt the FCC
would ever go for it,

But it does seem forbidden to even discuss something like that

>
> Those who would have the Technicians be the "oppressed victims" do hate
> those questions.
>
> > They would trample on other's self esteem to obtain their
> > objectives.
>
> Correct! If a person hates Morse code, that is fine. It's a free
> country. But The concept of people being "trapped" above 30 MHz is a
> sympathy ploy that has no relation to the reality of people wanting more
> priveliges, and doing what is needed to get them.

false again. it is not a matter of sympathy but of law, the
constitution, rights, all those little thing that get in the of petty
(or grand) tyrants

>
> I had some people telling me to wait to get my General, because the
> Morse code requirement is going away "any day now". That was in 1999.
> Just about 6 years ago. I guess it was a good thing I didn't listen to
> them! 8^)
>
> But that is the tale I give the new guys who are interested in
> upgrading. Don't wait. Learn what you need to learn. If the requirement
> goes away, all you will have lost is the time spent learning Morse, and
> you will have traded that time for some skill and knowledge. Then I ask
> if 6 years of not being on HF is worth *not* learning Morse code.

Certainly is I spent 5 years on it that was a waste and in those it
kept you off HF and VHF and.... I traded my time for nothing

Indeed the Tale I tell is decide if you want HF, and then give morse
code a try, and if you try good luck with it, but please don't let
yourself get down about it.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3966. Re: Morse Code and Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 18:43:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mZBwe.10864$jX6.9317@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111285

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> http://www.oreillynet.com/pub/wlg/7016

Gee, Jim, d'ya suppose we oughta petition FCC to require Morse tests to qualify
for a cell phone number? Ya never know when it might come in handy, huh?

dit dit
de Hans, K0HB

3967. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 18:57:01 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <1aCwe.61273$j51.60333@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 111286

In article <tYKdnbW3K83BA1_fRVn-jg@crocker.com>,
Dave <noone@nowhere.com> wrote:

| "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
| news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
| > What is more important:
...
| and then again, you don't 'have' to learn morse code. it is purely
| voluntary, you actually only get a very small gain in spectrum going from
| tech to general.

Certainly the total bandwidth gained is relatively small (compared to
what techs already have), but the bands you do gain access to are
quite special.

| you can 'work the world' on vhf via moon bounce, satellites, meteor
| scatter, and other vhf modes.

Perhaps, but HF is certainly an easier way of `working the world', and
probably more reliable. And there's probably a lot more people to
talk to.

| and there is always 11m...

You are aware that FCC regulations prohibit talking to somebody on a
CB that's over 250 miles away, right? (Of course, I'm talking about
the US here -- I don't know much about the laws elsewhere.)

Personally, I had a hard time learning morse code, and learned just
enough to barely pass the test. But I did pass, and now I can use the
HF bands. I'd like to learn morse code better -- not so much because
I want to use it, but just because it would be nice to understand CW
when I hear it (like from repeaters IDing themselves) at full speed.
But I've got a lot of things I'd like to learn, so maybe someday.
Maybe not.

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com, AD5RH
"$30 for the One True Ring. $10 each additional ring!" -- JRR "Bob" Tolkien

3968. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 20:30:19 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120077019.487688.112240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111246

Michael Coslo wrote:
> What is more important:
>
> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>
>
> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>
> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?

Waiting for the code test to go away to get HF privs kinda reminds me
of my old uncle who until the day he passed away ten or so years ago
was still waiting for his Pennsylvania Railroad stock go back up and
he'd make a wad.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

3969. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 20:34:55 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120077295.462279.39900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111247

From: "Jim Hampton" on Tues 28 Jun 2005 21:44

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1119935632.298496.14770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Jun 27, 6:11 pm
>>
>> >Jim Hampton wrote:
>> >> The HF bands are, many times, international in scope. This story is not
>> >> about the FCC, it is about international agreements.
>> >
>> >It's about both, really.
>>
>> There we have it from an "insider."
>>
>> >The US delegation to the radio conferences looks to FCC for input,
>> >though. (Not just FCC, of course.) There's a big difference between
>> >what happens if FCC says that 75 is such a mess it isn't worth
>> >defending at the conferences versus the reverse.
>>
>> WRRRRONNNNNGGGGGGG.
>>
>> The U.S. delegation to the WRCs >>>IS<<< composed of members from
>> the FCC, the NTIA, and Department of State. All three. You can
>> read that on the FCC website or read the Report of the Chairperson
>> (OF the FCC) on WRC-03.

Jim Hampton, you didn't see the obvious ERROR committed by
another...or the correction issued on it?

The "U.S. delegation" to the World Radio Conferences IS the FCC
and it IS the NTIA and it IS the Department of State. All three.
Not just the WRCs but the North and South Americas' conferences.

The radio frequency recommendations reached on international
agreement cover 9 KHz on up to 300 GHz. The HF band is a drop in
the bucket compared to all that spectrum.

>> >There's also a lesson in human nature in there, too. When Rudy Giuliani
>> >was elected mayor of NYC, one of his priorities was enforcement of
>>
>> The POLITICAL newsgroups are on the 2nd floor. Go there and
>> find some Noo Yawk buddies.

Noo Yawk Sitty ain't the center of the universe.

Its politics don't concern amateur radio one bit.

>> >But the Dems have a way of nominating candidates that too many people
>> >won't vote for. They're well on the way to doing it again with Hillary.
>> >She's the best friend the 'pubs ever had!
>> >
>> >Friend of mine once said that if you gave the DNC the job of organizing
>> >a firing squad, they'd put the squad in a circle around the condemned
>> >person.

Ain't that cute...sneak in some PERSONAL POLITICAL idea into
an amateur radio policy group. Fat lot of good that does.

Does Hillary Clinton have a HAM LICENSE? No.

Is the DNC (Democratic National Party) concerned with amateur radio?
No. Neither is the GOP, the Republicans.

>Hello, Len
>
>Amateur radio policy is *devoid* of politics? ROTFLMAO.

Do NOT put words in my posts that I didn't write.

Did I say that amateur radio policy is "devoid" of anything
(except some ignorant, biased nonsense of some of its
participants)?

AMERICAN NATIONAL POLITICAL matters do NOT belong in here.

>The Repooblican party, big business, and frequency allocations have quite a
>bit of politics involved, my friend.

Neither the Republicans nor Democrats matter to/from amateur radio.

"Big business" fairly well GAVE UP on using the HF spectrum except
for some RFID purposes at 13 MHz. "Shortwave broadcasting" is NOT
in the category of "big business."

FREQUENCY ALLOCATIONS on an international scale DO NOT INVOLVE
AMERICAN POLITICAL PARTY actions or policies.

Try to learn to differentiate between political parties in the
USA and on the INTERNATIONAL SCENE where HF use is decided...
along with MF, LF, VLF, ELF, VHF, UHf, and microwave bands.

Let me know when Rudy Guiliani and Hillary Clinton get ham
licenses, okay? Not to mention ANY of the FCC Commissioners
(who are all appointees by whoever is in power in the USA).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3970. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 20:37:48 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120077468.888547.155070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111248

From: Leo on Tues 28 Jun 2005 22:30

>On 28 Jun 2005 10:50:28 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>From: Leo on Mon 27 Jun 2005 21:52
>>>On 27 Jun 2005 15:37:43 -0700, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>>>>Grasshopper, snatch this pebble from my hand.
>>>
>>>Ah - the journey of 1,000 miles begins with but a single step.
>>>
>>>He must decide.
>>
>> Why? :-)
>
>Et tu, Brute? :)

No, just et one. I'm on a diet to avoid sharp foods...

>He must decide. Owned - or free.

His is not to question why, his is to obey the league or die...

>In the words of the immortal Caine (in the spirit of the many Kung Fu
>references of late...)
>
>"If a man sees a wrong and does nothing, how can he still call himself
>a man?"

Only if the show's sponsor is Viagra. :-)

David Carradine lives in the horse country of Lake View Terrace
somewhat close to my neighborhood. I'll ask him if I happen to
see him in the barbershop getting his hair lengthened. :-)

Actually, speaking of show biz, I think Jimmie is an LAPD Reservist
equivalent, busy bee being a semi-pro Political Reservist out to
maintain the status quo at all costs. It sure seems that way to me.
His automobile probably has the ARRL diamond on it with the phrase
"To protect and serve" printed under it.

Anyone who doesn't defend the Standards and Practices HE bought
into over three decades ago is a "bell ringer": WRRRONNNNGGGGG.

WRRRRRONNNNNGGGGGG......WRRRONNNNNGGGGG......WRRRONNNNGGGGGgggggg.

"The hills are alive with the sound of wrrronnnggging..."

England has Big Ben. This newsgroup has big jim. Every blessed
day...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3971. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 22:02:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120082543.560128.140700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111249

KØHB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
> >
> > IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better thing
> > than learning it to get the priveliges?
> >
>
> Mike,
>
> Maybe I'm disqualified from answering the question, not being a "Morse code
> Hater", but humor me.
>
> I knew Morse code for several years before I was interested in becoming an
> Amateur Radio licensee....
>
> ....but if I hadn't known it I'm pretty sure that I wouldn't have gone to the
> trouble to learn it just to get an Amateur Radio license.

Knock it off Chief ya bloddy TROLL, I don't believe one bit of it.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> FISTS # 7419

w3rv

3972. Rookie of the Year!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 22:10:30 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <q%Ewe.14948$eM6.14462@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111250

A new leader has emerged from out of nowhere. Welcome Assembly Wizard to the top spot of rrap.

Top Ten Posters RRAP June 2005

[238] John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> 11.9%
[193] K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> 9.6%
[183] bb <billybeeper@juno.com> 9.1%
[131] LenAnderson <LenAnderson@ieee.org> 6.5%
[125] N2EY <N2EY@AOL.COM> 6.2%
[89] Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> 4.4%
[75] Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net> 3.7%
[70] an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> 3.5%
[62] Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> 3.1%
[61] K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> 3.0%

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

3973. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 22:18:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <17Fwe.1039$8f7.761@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111251

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

> I don't believe one bit of it.

Gospel truth!

Learned Morse off the old 6V farm radio (a "cathedral" style Zenith with 5 or 6 bands --- we didn't get REA until I was in high school) at about age 8 or 9. Wanted to know what all those beeps and boops were about on what turned out to be the 8 and 12 MC marine bands. Fascinating stuff for a kid thousands of miles from any ocean.

Ham radio interest came much later, introduced by my roomate as a college freshman.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3974. Connect the dots, Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 22:28:43 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <dot.clown.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 111252
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ah, the sig file. In that file I have the following quote:

"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id <5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d00573c@mail.futureworlds.it>

Notice its point of origin: futureworlds. And futureworlds is also in Italy at a server farm run by http://www.panservice.it (and it's not in Aruba either, Woger). Can you say "Italian police" Woger? Can you connect the dots to the *other* compromised Italian remailers?

You may pretend to have not chosen the Paranoia remailer, but your own message IDs show that you did use Futureworlds. I'll bet that you're in the Interpol data base by now.

And that means your ID info could be just a phone call away for Chief Stenger.

Enjoy.

3975. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 22:32:37 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <g556c110d17lv8pfmbm019p85rufj9e878@4ax.com>
Source record: 111253

On 29 Jun 2005 02:34:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 27 Jun 2005 19:12:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >snip
>>
>> >> >Why can't you just clearly and plainly state what you
>> >> >suggest that I do?
>> >>
>> >> I have - many, many times.
>> >
>> >You just keep writing that I must decide. But
>> >you do not state concrete actions that the
>> >decision will produce.
>>
>> Option 1 or Option 2.
>
>Those are not concrete actions.

To, Sir, they are quite obviously not.

They are choices!

Decision is a concrete action.

In this case, your decision.

Your action.

>
>> You must decide!
>> >> You must decide.
>> You must.
>
>You sound like the chimes of Big Ben.

When text is displayed upon a monitor, or uopn a page, does it make a
sound?

Most assuredly not.

Tinnitus, perhaps? :)

>
> >> >> >What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
>> >> >> >answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >What's your suggestion?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> The way I see it, you have two options:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>> >> >> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>> >> >
>> >> >OK so far.
>> >>
>> >> Glad to hear it!
>> >>
>> >> So far, so good.
>> >
>> >> >> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who > >> goad you
>> >> >> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end > >> of someone's
strings....
>
>That's called trolling. You're saying I should not respond to Len's
>trolls. In other words, you suggest that I shut up. But you can't bring
>yourself to write clearly and plainly, choosing to gussy up your words
>with psychobabble.
>
>What you fail to notice is that Len dances at the ends of others'
>strings far more. And that while I write the truth, he inserts mistakes
>(intentional or otherwise) to bait others into responding.
>
>Look at the number of posts I have made in this thread. Or other
>threads. Compare to the number made in response to me.
>
>There's also the organ grinder's red-hatted monkey who will do almost
>anything for attention. Including misquotes of others.
>
>Now you'll say that's not the point. And perhaps in your fantasy, it
>isn't.

Please try to stay focussed.

Everything is not about Len.

Does he possess you so completely that your world revolves around him?

Break free.

Decide.

>
>> >> >That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you

>> >> >suggest *I* take.
>> >>
>> >> That is your current reality.
>> >
>> >That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)
>>
>> Not at all.
>
>I have decided that it is. Simple as A, B and C

Not at all.

Think harder.

Use your education.

And focus.

There are only two choices available to you.

A and B. Option 1 - or Option 2.

There is no C.

>>
>> >> >> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1
>> >
>> >For you, maybe.
>>
>> Not at all.
>
>> >> >> - it requires the
>> >> >> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait,
>> >> >> and
>> >> >> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond,
>> >> >> even when you know you are in the right.
>
>"resist the drive to respond, even when you know you are in the right"
>= shut up.

That is quite an oversimplification, Jim.

Resisting the temptation to be suckered in to responding to a post
designed with the intent of making you jump would require the
discipline of refusing to respond, and the wisdom to recognize the
need..

Do you have that discipline, Jim? The wisdom?

Can you resist the temptation to respond? Do you possess the inner
strength?

Not so far.

Not in the past - but perhaps in future. Perhaps today!

Decide - Owned or Free.

Polish - or Avoid.

>
>That's what you're telling me to do.
>
>You could just be clear about it. But that's not your way.

It cannot be simplified any further, Jim.

Owned - or Free.

Decide.

>
>> >You're begging the question, Leo.
>>
>> I am begging the answer.
>>
>> Decide!
>
>> >> This is your potential future reality.
>
>Many Happy Returns

Focus, Jim.

Option 1. Or Option 2.

Continue - or Change.

>> >
>> >> >So what do you suggest *I* do?
>> >>
>> >> Decide!
>> >
>> >Actions, Leo.
>>
>> Um - decision is an action.
>>
>> Decide!
>
>> >> >> Owned or free.
>
>Yet you quote Caine and the stuff about a man not being silent.

(Forgetting for a moment that Caine was a make-believe person in a TV
show rife with pseudo-wise Eastern philosophical sayings...)

That quote did not apply to your situation.

It referenced 'wrong' in more of a human sense - i.e. someone doing
'wrong' to others. Intervening - i.e. 'correcting the wrong', was to
stop the actions of the perpetrator of said wrong, thereby protecting

the perpetrator (bu getting suckered every time) and the victim (of the resultant bashing). Caine could not help you - he would be too confused.

Your current situation requires a different metaphor:

"A man walking along discovers a turd in the middle of his path. What should he do?

If he is wise, he would step around it and go along his way. But, if he should pick it up and begin polishing it, in the expectation that it would somehow gain value as a result of his effort, he would be quite foolish indeed."

Polish - or Avoid.

Option 1 - or Option 2.

You must decide!

>
>> >> >That's your spin.
>> >>
>> >> That's your opinion.
>> >
>> >Fact.
>>
>> Owned or free - Decide!
>>
>> >
>> >> Owned - or free.
>> >>
>> >> You must decide.
>> >
>> >Why?
>>
>> You must.
>
>Says who?

There is no option.

If you continue on the current path, then you have chosen Option 1.

Things continue as they are.

You remain owned.

If you elect to change, then you have chosen Option 2.

You become free.

You must decide!

>>
>> >> >> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>> >> >
>> >> >So there *is* a choice!
>> >>
>> >> There is always a choice.
>> >
>> >Odd to hear from someone who told me I could not stop
>> >myself.
>>
>> Hopefully I was incorrect.
>>
>> Decide!
>
>> >> Yours to make if your are able to do so,
>> >
>> >Ah - now there's a condition!
>>
>> Which only you can overcome.
>
>You want me to shut up. That is clear. You dress it up in fancy
>terms but that's what it comes down to.

Do you possess the discipline which you will require in order to avoid
responding to each and every taunt and tease posted to annoy you?

Do you possess the wisdom to see things as they are, and recognize a
need for change?

I see little evidence so far - but there is hope.

Look within. Think.

And Decide!

>>
>> Decide!
>
>> >> You must decide!
>
>> >Why?
>
>> You must.
>
>No, I don't.

There is no choice. Continue - or Change.

You must decide!

>
>Free For All.
>
>> >> >> Choose wisely!

>> >> >
>> >> >Wise by whose standards?
>> >>
>> >> We'll all see the answer to that one soon enough - when you
>> >> decide!
>> >
>> >How will you know what is decided?
>>
>> That is the simplest task of all.
>>
>> Should you choose Option 2, it will be immediately apparent to all.
>>
>> Should you continue down the current path, then you have chosen to
>> remail with Option 1. Equally apparent to all.
>>
>> You must decide!
>
>Option 1 - Speak out against incorrect information (even though it's
>probably a troll)

Correct.

>
>Option 2 - Shut up

Oversimplification, as discussed above.

>
>> >> >
>> >> >How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical > >terms?
>> >>
>> >> Hmmm - you read the two options, right? :)
>> >
>> >Just can't make yourself spell them out, I see.
>
>That would require Leo to be responsible..

That would relieve you of your requirement to think.

>
>> The options have been spelled out quite clearly above.
>
>> Option 1. Status Quo. Owned.
>
> = Speak out against incorrect information

Correct.

>>
>> And Option 2. Change. Free.
>
> = Shut up

Oversimplification, as discussed above.

>
>> >> >What actions do you suggest?
>> >>
>> >> Decide! Only you can make this decision - you're on your own
>> >> now.
>> >
>> >You have not offered the requested information. Seems to me that
>> >when you speak of "abuse" and "control" and "goading", you're
>> >really talking about yourself, not Len.
>>
>> Decide!
>
>Perhaps you are talking about yourself *and* Len.

Focus. Everything is not about Len.

>
>Suppose you are correct, and Len's purpose is to

Focus. Everything is not about Len.

And try to start what you finish. :)

>>
>> >
>> >Or perhaps you and he are one and the same.
>>
>> Not at all!
>
>If you *were*, that's how you'd respond.
>If you *weren't*, that's how you'd respond.

Hmmm - now that's a Masters - level analysis of the issue.

Thanks for narrowing this one down for us, Jim!

>>
>> >> Good luck!
>> >
>> >Be seeing you.
>>
>> Um - shouldn't that be BCNU? :)
>
>No. You don't get the reference, I see. Pity.

I ignored it. This is a serious matter.

Not TV.

And hold on to that pity - you may well be in need of it.

When you decide.

>
>> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> 44, Leo
>
>"I am not a number! I am a free man"

Well, no.

At the moment, you are at a crossroads.

To be free, you must decide.

Status quo - or change.

44, Leo

3976. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 22:34:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120084456.964198.50790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111254

From: k...@dvol.com on Jun 29, 4:30 pm

>Michael Coslo wrote:
>> What is more important:
>
>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>
>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>
>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>
>Waiting for the code test to go away to get HF privs kinda reminds me
>of my old uncle who until the day he passed away ten or so years ago
>was still waiting for his Pennsylvania Railroad stock go back up and
>he'd make a wad.

Poor unc...must have listened to his nephew too much. :-)

Tsk, I was ON HF 52 years ago and was still ON HF a year ago
without ever having to know/test for morse code. Legal.
Just not in the MF-HF amateur bands, not even on CB. :-)

"Not in the HF-MF amateur bands" is MOST of the HF-MF spectrum.

Tsk. All you morseodists think that morsemanship is a "love-hate"
condition? Like black versus white? If one doesn't DO it, one
"hates it?" Not so.

You morseodists ought to listen to yourselves sometime. You
prattle on and on and on and on and on about the glory and
majesty of morsemanship and how it is the epitome of all amateur
skills and "all should know this basic thing" as if it were true.
AS IF.

Ain't true, senior. Just rationalizing bullshit or some vestige
of brainwashing soap scum left on your collective psyches by
older olde-fahrts who probably got their "ham" licenses back
when "ham" was a not-nice word from the radio pros. Tsk, tsk.

Would you say that tired old cliche' about "learning morse will
show your dedication and committment to the amateur community?"
I hope not, because that is a tired old cowpatty phrase left
over from before WW2. Who is this "amateur community" that hams
"must" show something to? A bunch of self-glorified, self-promoted
raddio kopps? What's their mailing address? Is it someplace in
Newington?

Now, if morsemanship were SO good in radio, I would have expected at least one OTHER radio service to retain it as their prime communications mode. NONE did. How about that?

If morsemanship were SO good for radio, I'd have expected to see hundreds of thousands of hobbyists flocking to code classes and beeping up a storm. Maybe picketing someplace in favor of morse? Hasn't been so. Without Test Element 1 for the below-30-MHz privilege license...and perhaps some olde-fahrts from long-ago military radio...there hasn't been any groundswell of Getting Morsemanship. How about that?

So, because all you PCTA extras try to make out like radio experts BECAUSE of passing a 20 WPM test, you are wanting Love and Affection and Respect for being sooooo mighty? Tsk. You are looking for "love"
in all the wrong places. Poor babies, unloved and you think all who don't love morsemanship is "hate?" You guys are as whacked out as the Tennessee Talibanian.

Remember, "Morse code gets through when everything else will." - Burke

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3977. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 22:36:50 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <od86c1h4sr1remso804ocb1jgl3guelh3i@4ax.com>
Source record: 111255

On 29 Jun 2005 09:33:06 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon 27 Jun 2005 03:19

>snip
>That's not exactly correct, Len.
>snip

Shall we assume that the decision has been made?

Option 1?

73, Leo

3978. Re: Poor Saggytits, Nobody has used the Paranoia remailer as a 1st in the chain remailer, you lose again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 22:57:09 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120085829.911679.183370@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris

Saggytits Lee, (SLeehouston-hunksoshit.com)the child molester and
registered sex offender whined:

> On 28 Jun 2005 12:01:38 -0700, Secwet Woger, Knucklehead wrote:

"Secwet Woger" - Saggytits makes good spell, again! BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!
Poor Saggytits, never has anything to back up what he posts.

> : Watch as Saggytits Lee will blather his stupidity and say otherwise.
> :
> : But Saggytits is long on sheer bullshit and never backs up what he
> : posts.
> :
>
> All you (whiny excuses)

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ...How ironic, from somebody who pathetically tried to
pass off the Marshall County, ALABAMA ARES website as the website for
the Ares organization in Marshall County, West Virginia.

All you had to do was go there and see that ist wasn't the same
organization, yet you dodged and danced for weeks afterwards.
In fact, bitch, you still do whine about that fuck up of yours
whenefver it's mentioned.

>
> You could

You could slice open your flabby legs and tie a raw steak around your
neck and go for a swim off of the shark-infested waters of Florida,
too. You sure don't have any family that would miss you.

> did you know, Woger,

Saggytits makes good spell. Or have you been fellating your black
neighbors again? Still trying to find your daddy, is that why you take
the name of a ficticious negro? Or could it be you are just yellow?

> that some of the anonymous remailers are operated
> by the CIA?

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..Saggytits Lee fucks up again.
Mind If I archive that one? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Oh, hell, what do I care
what a stupid son of a bitch like you

> (Poor Saggytits, always long on no facts)

Poor Saggytits, get that much needed Rogaine for your receding hairline yet?

And what chat with FBI would that be?

(Space for Saggytits' backpedal)

3979. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 22:57:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <oHFwe.14976$eM6.8847@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111257

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1120008371.792452.251270@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

>
> Thank good you don't work for the FCC or no one would get a license.
> Coming from someone who has been in trouble with the FCC before I'll
> take tht as a compliment.

What trouble is that Toad? Specify.

>>taking out the idiots. And guess what? Your on the list.
>
> GO FOR IT DANNY!!!! I'm on 14.321.00 every Saturday and I'm in process
> of putting an amp in line, so GO FOR IT!!!!
>

All I ever hear is Spanish Splatter from 322. Good choice Toad.

Dan/W4NTI

3980. Re: Poor Saggytits, Nobody has used the Paranoia remailer as a 1st in the chain remailer, you lose again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 22:58:59 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120085939.203909.303620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111258

```
Billy Boob wrote:
> Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't mean
> everybody else does not know who they work, imbecile."

Poor post editing job, too, Billy Boob.

> Roger Lee Wiseman
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Billy Boob fucks up as usual.
```

3981. Re: K1MAN/IARN/AARA Prepare For War With FCC and ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:00:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <9KFwe.14979$eM6.14277@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111259

```
"Net" <net@whoanetscntro.com> wrote in message
news:d9snce$b18$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Rvkwe.13243$pa3.11702@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:nISdnePhwuklCF3fRVn-1A@adelphia.com...
>>> james wrote:
>>>> On Mon, 27 Jun 2005 08:43:43 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
>>>> <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I hate to say this Lloyd, but K1MAN earned whatever actions is being
>>>>>taken
>>>>>against him. in fact, he demanded this action by his very threats.
>>>>>
>>>>>in any case, I think I will sign that petition myself, just because Mr.
>>>>>baxter's actions draw negative attention to the service as a whole.
>>>>
>>>> ******
>>>>
>>>> In that FCC's NAL has concluded that Mr Baxter operations are in
>>>> violation of statutes duly authorized by the FCC to enforce, they have
>>>> seemed to fallen short of asking for the revocation of his license.
>>>> From the NAL, I see the FCC is only a seeking of monetary remedies and
>>>> not a revocation of his license.
>>>
>>> It is probably just easier that they decline to renew his license in
>>> October.
>>>
>>>> As much as I see, and I do not condone his actions, the actions of
>>>> some have taken on the role as a lynch mob. I myself will abide by
>>>> what ever the FCC determines.
>>>
>>> Many people are just not terribly patient, and the F.C.C. has
>>> methodically in their case against him.
>>>
>>>
>>>> But I find this petition thing a bit to
>>>> scary.
>>>
>>> It is at least unnecessary and a possible impediment.
>>>
```

>>> Remember that there is a lot of pent-up frustration in this case.
>>>
>>>> WHo next? So someone operates by the rules but not as someone
>>>> or some group and then they are next for a petition campaign? Just
>>>> because you and other deem his actions is a negative attention?
>>>
>>> Not at all likely. Hams put up with some pretty impressive shenanigans.
>>> But it must be remember that he was sending out those felony affidavits,
>>> and at the same time, opening up and transmitting over whoever happened
>>> to be operating on "HIS" frequency.
>>>
>>> Problem is it wasn't his frequency.
>>>
>>> There seems to be a long, long history here.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> Listen up guys and gals......since the NAL has been
>> issued.....21K......and since he can't pay it.....and since he must renew
>> in October......and since the fine is not paid.....then the FCC WILL NOT
>> ACT ON HIS RENEWAL APPLICATION. AND THEY WILL NOT ALLOW HIM TO CONTINUE
>> TO OPERATE BEYOND LICENSE EXPATRIATION DATE.
>>
>> Things have change since Herby.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
>
> Come on Dan, you should know better. He definitely *DOES*
> have the money to pay and then some. NOT paying the NAL is
> and always has been part of his and his attorney's strategy.
> Remain objective Dan. Don't start believeing all the B.S. put
> out by the sociopathic k1man haters in this forum. Paying his
> NAL is an outright admission of guilt. You know the process
> Dan. You tracked every detail of the kv4fz soap opera.
>
>
> nEt
>
>
>

If MAN is so rich, why does he need to work? If his lawyers are so hot
how come every single court case he has filed was with himself representing
himself?

MAN is like a Christmas Goose. Half baked and full of ....

Dan/W4NTI

3982. Re: Connect the dots, Woger<Saggytits wants us to write on his cancerous bald cranium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:02:56 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120086176.535289.250300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111260
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Saggytits Lee wrote:
> Ah, (whines flushed)

Ah, another in the very long line of Saggytits' fuckups.

And additionally, he wants us to "connect the dots" which he can never do. He never gets it right. The only dots Saggytits can connect are the many cancerous, open sores on his bald head.

Still think the CIA runs anonymous remailers, you dumb son of a bitch. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

3983. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:08:31 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120086511.281940.149400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Saggytits Lee wrote:
> On Tue, 28 Jun 2005 21:50:02 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger <at a CIA server> wrote:
>
> : of what is going on in Aruba. Looks like Saggytits Lee,
> : terminally information impaired, has fucked up again. He'd
> : better hope they don't find out that Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-
> : hunks-com) has links to Islamic terrorists. That is why he's so
> : worried about remailers and such, Ol' Saggytits doesn't want to
> : be found out.
>
> To quote Woger: Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

"Woger" Saggytits always thinks of a cocksucking lisp when he drools
about his master, Roger.
Nice of you to confirm you are connected to Islamic terrorists, too,
Saggytits.

>(Saggytits gets it wroing again, much like he did with everything else he posts.)

Poor Saggytits, still think the Marshall County, Alabama ARES website
is the one for the ARES group in Marshall County, WV?

>The tapping

The tapping on Saggytits' empty skull will resonate for hours
afterward, it is so empty.

> Sigged.

Nice to see you value his words so. Think the CIA is still running
"anonymous remailers?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

3984. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:10:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120086655.889404.274050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111262

Leo wrote:
> On 29 Jun 2005 02:34:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 27 Jun 2005 19:12:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >snip
> >>
> >> >> >Why can't you just clearly and plainly state what you
> >> >> >suggest that I do?
> >> >>
> >> >> I have - many, many times.
> >> >
> >> >You just keep writing that I must decide. But
> >> >you do not state concrete actions that the
> >> >decision will produce.
> >>
> >> Option 1 or Option 2.
> >
> >Those are not concrete actions.
>
> To, Sir, they are quite obviously not.
>
> They are choices!
>
> Decision is a concrete action.
>
> In this case, your decision.
>
> Your action.

> >> You must decide!
> >> >> You must decide.
> >> You must.

> >You sound like the chimes of Big Ben.
>
> When text is displayed upon a monitor, or uopn a page, does it > make a sound?
>
> Most assuredly not.
>

> Tinnitus, perhaps? :)
>
You missed the reference completely, then.

> > >> >> >What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
> >> >> >> >answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >What's your suggestion?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> The way I see it, you have two options:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
> >> >> >> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >OK so far.
> >> >>
> >> >> Glad to hear it!
> >> >>
> >> >> So far, so good.
> >> >>
> >> >> >> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who > >> goad you
> >> >> >> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end > >> of someone's
strings....
> >
> >That's called trolling. You're saying I should not respond to Len's
> >trolls. In other words, you suggest that I shut up. But you can't bring
> >yourself to write clearly and plainly, choosing to gussy up your words
> >with psychobabble.
> >
> >What you fail to notice is that Len dances at the ends of others'
> >strings far more. And that while I write the truth, he inserts mistakes
> >(intentional or otherwise) to bait others into responding.
> >
> >Look at the number of posts I have made in this thread. Or other
> >threads. Compare to the number made in response to me.
> >
> >There's also the organ grinder's red-hatted monkey who will do almost
> >anything for attention. Including misquotes of others.
> >
> >Now you'll say that's not the point. And perhaps in your fantasy, it
> >isn't.
>
> Please try to stay focussed.

The word is spelled "focused".
>
> Everything is not about Len.

That is correct!
>
> Does he possess you so completely that your world revolves

> around him?

No one "possesses" me, Leo.

> Break free.
>
> Decide.

Why?

> >> >> >That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you
> >> >> >suggest *I* take.
> >> >>
> >> >> That is your current reality.
> >> >
> >> >That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)
> >>
> >> Not at all.
> >
> >I have decided that it is. Simple as A, B and C
>
> Not at all.

> Think harder.
>
> Use your education.
>
> And focus.
>
> There are only two choices available to you.

Says who?

> A and B. Option 1 - or Option 2.
>
> There is no C.

There's always a C.
>
> >> >> >> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1
> >> >
> >> >For you, maybe.
> >>
> >> Not at all.
> >
> >> >> >> - it requires the
> >> >> >> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait,
> >> >> >> and
> >> >> >> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond,
> >> >> >> even when you know you are in the right.
> >
> >"resist the drive to respond, even when you know you are in the right"
> >= shut up.

>
> That is quite an oversimplification, Jim.

How, Leo?

If I respond to Len in any way, you say I have taken Option 1.
Therefore the only way to take Option 2 is for me to shut up.

All your blathering psychobabble comes down to your trying to tell me
to shut up without using those words.

> Resisting the temptation to be suckered in to responding to a
> post
> designed with the intent of making you jump would require the
> discipline of refusing to respond, and the wisdom to recognize > the need..

Which is what I do, most of the time. Just not all of the time. To
satisfy your criteria for Option 2, I'd have to pretty much stop
posting here.

In fact, it seems to me that perhaps *you* are posting here with the
intent of making me "jump"....
>
> Do you have that discipline, Jim? The wisdom?

Sure. Do you?

> Can you resist the temptation to respond? Do you possess the
> inner strength?
>
> Not so far.
>
> Not in the past - but perhaps in future. Perhaps today!
>
> Decide - Owned or Free.
>
> Polish - or Avoid.
>
I'm not Polish.
> >
> >That's what you're telling me to do.
> >
> >You could just be clear about it. But that's not your way.
>
> It cannot be simplified any further, Jim.

Sure it can: the two words "shut up"

> Owned - or Free.

Speak out - or Shut Up.

That's what it means.
>

> Decide.
>
> >
> >> >You're begging the question, Leo.
> >>
> >> I am begging the answer.
> >>
> >> Decide!
> >
> >> >> This is your potential future reality.
> >
> >Many Happy Returns
>
> Focus, Jim.

You really should get out more, Leo.

> Option 1. Or Option 2.
>
> Continue - or Change.
>
> >> >
> >> >> >So what do you suggest *I* do?
> >> >>
> >> >> Decide!
> >> >
> >> >Actions, Leo.
> >>
> >> Um - decision is an action.
> >>
> >> Decide!
> >
> >> >> >> Owned or free.
> >
> >Yet you quote Caine and the stuff about a man not being silent.
>
> (Forgetting for a moment that Caine was a make-believe person
> in a TV
> show rife with pseudo-wise Eastern philosophical sayings...)
>
> That quote did not apply to your situation.

Why not?

> It referenced 'wrong' in more of a human sense - i.e. someone
> doing
> 'wrong' to others.

Intentional misstatement does wrong to others.

> Intervening - i.e. 'correcting the wrong', was to
> stop the actions of the perpetrator of said wrong, thereby protecting

> the perpetrator (bu getting suckered every time) and the victim (of
> the resultant bashing). Caine could not help you - he would be too
> confused.

What was Caine's callsign?

> Your current situation requires a different metaphor:
>
> "A man walking along discovers a turd in the middle of his
> path. What should he do?
>
> If he is wise, he would step around it and go along his way. But, if
> he should pick it up and begin polishing it, in the expectation that
> it would somehow gain value as a result of his effort, he would be
> quite foolish indeed."

So you're saying that the posts of Len and some others here are turds.

I think you have a point there!
>
> Polish - or Avoid.
>
> Option 1 - or Option 2.
>
> You must decide!
>
> >
> >> >> >That's your spin.
> >> >>
> >> >> That's your opinion.
> >> >
> >> >Fact.
> >>
> >> Owned or free - Decide!
> >>
> >> >
> >> >> Owned - or free.
> >> >>
> >> >> You must decide.
> >> >
> >> >Why?
> >>
> >> You must.
> >>
> >>Says who?
>
> There is no option.

There's always an option.
>
> If you continue on the current path, then you have chosen
> Option 1.

>
> Things continue as they are.
>
> You remain owned.
>
> If you elect to change, then you have chosen Option 2.

> You become free.
>
> You must decide!

Speak - or shut up.

That's the choice you give me.

> >> >> >> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>
> >> >> >So there *is* a choice!
> >> >>
> >> >> There is always a choice.
> >> >
> >> >Odd to hear from someone who told me I could not stop
> >> >myself.
> >>
> >> Hopefully I was incorrect.
> >>
> >> Decide!
> >
> >> >> Yours to make if your are able to do so,
> >> >
> >> >Ah - now there's a condition!
> >>
> >> Which only you can overcome.
> >
> >You want me to shut up. That is clear. You dress it up in fancy
> >terms but that's what it comes down to.
>
> Do you possess the discipline which you will require in order
> to avoid
> responding to each and every taunt and tease posted to annoy
> you?

I already have demonstrated that, Leo. Do you see me responding to
"each and every taunt and tease"?

Yes or no?

> Do you possess the wisdom to see things as they are, and
> recognize a need for change?

You mean to shut up?
>
> I see little evidence so far - but there is hope.

>
> Look within. Think.
>
> And Decide!

You sure are fixated on that stuff, Leo.

--

Psychotics build castles in the air
Neurotics live in them
Shrinks collect the rent

--
>> Decide!
> >
> >> >> You must decide!
> >
> >> >Why?
> >
> >> You must.
> >
> >No, I don't.
>
> There is no choice. Continue - or Change.
>
> You must decide!

I've decided you want to be the landlord.

> >Free For All.
> >
> >> >> >> Choose wisely!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Wise by whose standards?
> >> >>
> >> >> We'll all see the answer to that one soon enough - when you
> >> >> decide!
> >> >
> >> >How will you know what is decided?
> >>
> >> That is the simplest task of all.
> >>
> >> Should you choose Option 2, it will be immediately apparent to all.

Translation: shut up!
> >>
> >> Should you continue down the current path, then you have chosen to
> >> remail with Option 1. Equally apparent to all.
> >>
> >> You must decide!
> >

> >Option 1 - Speak out against incorrect information (even though it's
> >probably a troll)
>
> Correct.
>
> >
> >Option 2 - Shut up
>
> Oversimplification, as discussed above.

How is it an oversimplification? How else would Option 2 be chosen?
What other evidence would convince you that I chose Option 2?

> >> >> >How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical > >terms?
> >> >>
> >> >> Hmmm - you read the two options, right? :)
> >> >
> >> >Just can't make yourself spell them out, I see.
> >
> >That would require Leo to be responsible..
>
> That would relieve you of your requirement to think.

I'm simply asking for a definite criteria. You won't provide it,
because you know that Option 2 = shut up

Is there a way I could choose Option 2 and not shut up? If so, the two
are not the same. If not, the two are essentially the same thing.

> >> The options have been spelled out quite clearly above.
> >
> >> Option 1. Status Quo. Owned.
> >
> > = Speak out against incorrect information
>
> Correct.

So far so good.
>
> >>
> >> And Option 2. Change. Free.
> >
> > = Shut up
>
> Oversimplification, as discussed above.

What other alternative exists, Leo? The opposite of

"Speak out against incorrect information"

is

"Do not speak out against incorrect information"

> >> >> >What actions do you suggest?
> >> >>
> >> >> Decide! Only you can make this decision - you're on your own
> >> >> now.
> >> >
> >> >You have not offered the requested information. Seems to me that
> >> >when you speak of "abuse" and "control" and "goading", you're
> >> >really talking about yourself, not Len.
> >>
> >> Decide!
> >
> >Perhaps you are talking about yourself *and* Len.
>
> Focus. Everything is not about Len.

Of course not. This part is about your unwillingness to be honest.

> >Suppose you are correct, and Len's purpose is to
>
> Focus. Everything is not about Len.
>
> And try to start what you finish. :)
>
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Or perhaps you and he are one and the same.
> >>
> >> Not at all!
> >
> >If you *were*, that's how you'd respond.
> >If you *weren't*, that's how you'd respond.
>
> Hmmm - now that's a Masters - level analysis of the issue.
>
> Thanks for narrowing this one down for us, Jim!

You soft-science types fall apart when concrete reality comes along
;-)

>
> >>
> >> >> Good luck!
> >> >
> >> >Be seeing you.
> >>
> >> Um - shouldn't that be BCNU? :)
> >
> >No. You don't get the reference, I see. Pity.
>
> I ignored it. This is a serious matter.

To whom?

> Not TV.

> And hold on to that pity - you may well be in need of it.
>
> When you decide.
>
> >
> >> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> 44, Leo
> >
> >"I am not a number! I am a free man"
>
> Well, no.
>
> At the moment, you are at a crossroads.
>
> To be free, you must decide.
>
> Status quo - or change.

Speak out - or shut up.

> 44, Leo

Who is #1?

3985. Re: Morse Code and Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:11:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <%UFwe.14986$eM6.3782@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111263

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1120065973.113071.318360@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.oreillynet.com/pub/wlg/7016
>
> (and this guy isn't even a ham, according to QRZ.com)
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
Great idea. Maybe we can attach the railroad sounder to the cell phone and
get those klicks nice and loud.

Actually it is not that bad of an idea. But the anti coders out there will
nix it.

Dan/W4NTI

3986. Re: Poor Saggytits, Nobody has used the Paranoia remailer as a 1st in the chain remailer, you lose again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:12:36 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120086756.682571.20300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Steveo wrote:
> u r a id git

Not half as fucking stupid as the imbecile who said:

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass again in <secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

3987. Re: Poor Saggytits, Nobody has used the Paranoia remailer as a 1st in the chain remailer, you lose again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:14:32 UTC
From: "Stagger Lee, Childraper" <stagger_lee_childraper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120086872.259298.181870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111265

Typo corrected. Now dance Saggyttits, dance for me bitch!

Stagger Lee, Childraper wrote:
> Billy Boob wrote:
> > Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't mean
> > everybody else does not know how they work, imbecile."
>
> Poor post editing job, too, Billy Boob.
>
> > Roger Lee Wiseman
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Billy Boob fucks up as usual.

--
Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass again in:
<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

3988. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:17:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <f_Fwe.4$zi6.702556@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111278

Learn the code-get the license-forget cw and lobby to ditch the damn
ancient waste of time...

Join NCI No-Code International.
Write your congressman and complain public funds are supporting only a
handful of code using radio hobbyists!

Complain, complain, complain...

John

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1120077019.487688.112240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> What is more important:
>>
>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>
>>
>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>
>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
>> better
>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>
> Waiting for the code test to go away to get HF privs kinda reminds
> me
> of my old uncle who until the day he passed away ten or so years ago
> was still waiting for his Pennsylvania Railroad stock go back up and
> he'd make a wad.
>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> w3rv
>

3989. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:20:55 UTC
From: Steveo <girlyman@girlymen.gays.org>
Message-ID: <74fbpjcj4jfsm00.290620051621@kirk>
Source record: 111270

the only reason guys hung out around the radio shacks on those boats
was to get a bj from the gay radio operator

"Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:m6nvb15r7hbk46u5f61m44d85gs4bg99ue@4ax.com...
> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>Leo wrote:
>>> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >What would you suggest?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>
>>> >What do you suggest?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>> >
>>> >What do you suggest?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>
>>> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>
>>> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>> >
>>> >Then what do you suggest I do?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>> >
>>> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>> >
>>> >What do you suggest I do?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>> >What would you suggest?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>> >What do you suggest I do, Leo?

>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>
>>> >What do you suggest?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>
>>> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>
>>> >And your suggestion is?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>> >
>>> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>>>
>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>
>>In a word: No.
>>
>>It's not rather obvious, Leo.
>
> Sorry to hear that, Jim. How simplified do you need things to be?
>
>>
>>What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
>>answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
>>
>>What's your suggestion?
>
> The way I see it, you have two options:
>
> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>
> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad
> you
> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
> someone's strings....
>
> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even
> when
> you know you are in the right.
>
> Owned or free.
>
> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.

>
> Choose wisely!
>
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> 73, Leo
>
>
>
>
>
>

3990. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:26:36 UTC
From: Matthew Emme <maemme@charter.net>
Message-ID: <BEE8985C.35EB%maemme@charter.net>
Source record: 111266

On 6/29/05 3:30 PM, in article
1120077019.487688.112240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com, "kelly@dvol.com"
<kelly@dvol.com> wrote:

>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> What is more important:
>>
>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>
>>
>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>
>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>
> Waiting for the code test to go away to get HF privs kinda reminds me
> of my old uncle who until the day he passed away ten or so years ago
> was still waiting for his Pennsylvania Railroad stock go back up and
> he'd make a wad.
>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> w3rv
>

Oh come on people. 5 WPM is just not that hard to do. To keep on waiting
for it to go away and let this keep you from getting into the HF end of the
ham bands is just kind of silly IMO. Life is full of little inconveniences
and you should not let that get in the way of a something that you would
have a good time doing. Little kids can pick this up in a few months if
they want to.

Some of the most fun I have had on the ham bands has been using CW, and I am
not that good at it and I came to it kicking and screaming. It just took a
month or so of a little work.

OK, I will get of the soap box.

ME

KB0DER

3991. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:29:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <u9Gwe.14993$eM6.14713@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111268

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:JDxwe.10772$jX6.1362@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>>
>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>
>
> Mike,
>
> Maybe I'm disqualified from answering the question, not being a "Morse
> code Hater", but humor me.
>
> I knew Morse code for several years before I was interested in becoming an
> Amateur Radio licensee....
>
> ....but if I hadn't known it I'm pretty sure that I wouldn't have gone to
> the trouble to learn it just to get an Amateur Radio license.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> FISTS # 7419
>
>

Gee.....now I know what MY problem is.....I heard of ham radio. Found out the requirements to get a license. Studied and passed the requirements.

Thanks for the insight Hans.

Dan/W4NTI

3992. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:29:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <w9Gwe.14994$eM6.4121@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111267

Translation of Lennies latest rant;

I really wanted a ham ticket. But I wasn't coordinated enough to walk and
chew gum at the same time. That's why I was talking on HF without a "ham"
license.

I dispatched taxi cabs on the old HF links used before FM was discovered.
Had to do something to supplement my meager Army pay you know.

My inability to walk and chew caused me to be unable to learn Morse Code.
So I hate anyone that could/can.

Please feel sorry for me.

Lennie the LOSER

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1120084456.964198.50790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> From: k...@dvol.com on Jun 29, 4:30 pm
>
>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>> What is more important:
>>
>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>
>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>
>>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>
>>Waiting for the code test to go away to get HF privs kinda reminds me
>>of my old uncle who until the day he passed away ten or so years ago
>>was still waiting for his Pennsylvania Railroad stock go back up and
>>he'd make a wad.
>
> Poor unc...must have listened to his nephew too much. :-)
>
> Tsk, I was ON HF 52 years ago and was still ON HF a year ago
> without ever having to know/test for morse code. Legal.
> Just not in the MF-HF amateur bands, not even on CB. :-)
>
> "Not in the HF-MF amateur bands" is MOST of the HF-MF spectrum.
>
> Tsk. All you morseodists think that morsemanship is a "love-hate"
> condition? Like black versus white? If one doesn't DO it, one
> "hates it?" Not so.

>
> You morseodists ought to listen to yourselves sometime. You
> prattle on and on and on and on and on about the glory and
> majesty of morsemanship and how it is the epitome of all amateur
> skills and "all should know this basic thing" as if it were true.
> AS IF.
>
> Ain't true, senior. Just rationalizing bullshit or some vestige
> of brainwashing soap scum left on your collective psyches by
> older olde-fahrts who probably got their "ham" licenses back
> when "ham" was a not-nice word from the radio pros. Tsk, tsk.
>
> Would you say that tired old cliche' about "learning morse will
> show your dedication and committment to the amateur community?"
> I hope not, because that is a tired old cowpatty phrase left
> over from before WW2. Who is this "amateur community" that hams
> "must" show something to? A bunch of self-glorified, self-promoted
> raddio kopps? What's their mailing address? Is it someplace in
> Newington?
>
> Now, if morsemanship were SO good in radio, I would have expected
> at least one OTHER radio service to retain it as their prime
> communications mode. NONE did. How about that?
>
> If morsemanship were SO good for radio, I'd have expected to see
> hundreds of thousands of hobbyists flocking to code classes and
> beeping up a storm. Maybe picketing someplace in favor of morse?
> Hasn't been so. Without Test Element 1 for the below-30-MHz
> privilege license...and perhaps some olde-fahrts from long-ago
> military radio...there hasn't been any groundswell of Getting
> Morsemanship. How about that?
>
> So, because all you PCTA extras try to make out like radio experts
> BECAUSE of passing a 20 WPM test, you are wanting Love and Affection
> and Respect for being sooooo mighty? Tsk. You are looking for
> "love"
> in all the wrong places. Poor babies, unloved and you think all who
> don't love morsemanship is "hate?" You guys are as whacked out as
> the Tennessee Talibanian.
>
> Remember, "Morse code gets through when everything else will." -
> Burke
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

3993. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:31:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <pbGwe.14996$eM6.4827@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111269

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:17Fwe.1039$8f7.761@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
>> I don't believe one bit of it.
>
> Gospel truth!
>
> Learned Morse off the old 6V farm radio (a "cathedral" style Zenith with 5
> or 6 bands --- we didn't get REA until I was in high school) at about age
> 8 or 9. Wanted to know what all those beeps and boops were about on what
> turned out to be the 8 and 12 MC marine bands. Fascinating stuff for a
> kid thousands of miles from any ocean.
>
> Ham radio interest came much later, introduced by my roomate as a college
> freshman.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

OH OH.......I did the same thing. But with my uncles Zenith when we went to his house for Italian chow.

Dan/W4NTI

3994. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:51:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120089094.234139.303470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111271

From: Leo on Jun 29, 6:32 pm

>On 29 Jun 2005 02:34:17 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>Leo wrote:
>>> On 27 Jun 2005 19:12:17 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >Leo wrote:
>>> >> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> >Leo wrote:
>>> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>>> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >snip

>>> Option 1 or Option 2.
>
>>Those are not concrete actions.
>
>To, Sir, they are quite obviously not.
>
>They are choices!
>
>Decision is a concrete action.
>
>In this case, your decision.
>
>Your action.

Tsk, Jimmie will not do the "action." He will stay on his subject
and his "correctness" until the coroner pries the keyboard away
from his cold, dead fingers...

>>You sound like the chimes of Big Ben.

WRRRONNNNGGGGG....
WRRRONNNNGGGGG....
WRRRONNNNGGGGG....

Jimmie Noserve does his best to sound like the Beeb's SW BC
signature sound...

>When text is displayed upon a monitor, or uopn a page, does it make a
>sound?
>
>Most assuredly not.

If a tree falls on a florist, will he make himself a bouquet? :-)

>>That's called trolling. You're saying I should not respond to Len's
>>trolls. In other words, you suggest that I shut up. But you can't bring
>>yourself to write clearly and plainly, choosing to gussy up your words
>>with psychobabble.
>
>>What you fail to notice is that Len dances at the ends of others'
>>strings far more. And that while I write the truth, he inserts mistakes
>>(intentional or otherwise) to bait others into responding.
>
>>Look at the number of posts I have made in this thread. Or other
>>threads. Compare to the number made in response to me.
>
>>There's also the organ grinder's red-hatted monkey who will do almost
>>anything for attention. Including misquotes of others.
>
>>Now you'll say that's not the point. And perhaps in your fantasy, it
>>isn't.
>
>Please try to stay focussed.
>
>Everything is not about Len.

[oh, heck and darn! :-)]

>Does he possess you so completely that your world revolves around him?
>
>Break free.
>
>Decide.

Long ago I removed the geas and erased the pentacle, breaking the
spell.

Tsk. I must have been using indelible chalk...

Heap powerful magic stuff I gots... :-)

>>I have decided that it is. Simple as A, B and C
>
>Not at all.
>
>Think harder.
>
>Use your education.
>
>And focus.
>
>There are only two choices available to you.
>
>A and B. Option 1 - or Option 2.
>
>There is no C.

I give him a D-minus...

>>"resist the drive to respond, even when you know you are in the right"
>>= shut up.
>
>That is quite an oversimplification, Jim.
>
>Resisting the temptation to be suckered in to responding to a post
>designed with the intent of making you jump would require the
>discipline of refusing to respond, and the wisdom to recognize the
>need..
>
>Do you have that discipline, Jim? The wisdom?
>
>Can you resist the temptation to respond? Do you possess the inner
>strength?
>
>Not so far.
>
>Not in the past - but perhaps in future. Perhaps today!
>
>Decide - Owned or Free.
>
>Polish - or Avoid.

RPN or Clear?

>>Yet you quote Caine and the stuff about a man not being silent.
>
>(Forgetting for a moment that Caine was a make-believe person in a TV
>show rife with pseudo-wise Eastern philosophical sayings...)
>
>That quote did not apply to your situation.
>
>It referenced 'wrong' in more of a human sense - i.e. someone doing
>'wrong' to others. Intervening - i.e. 'correcting the wrong', was to
>stop the actions of the perpetrator of said wrong, thereby protecting
>the perpetrator (bu getting suckered every time) and the victim (of
>the resultant bashing). Caine could not help you - he would be too
>confused.
>
>Your current situation requires a different metaphor:
>
>"A man walking along discovers a turd in the middle of his path. What
>should he do?
>
>If he is wise, he would step around it and go along his way. But, if
>he should pick it up and begin polishing it, in the expectation that
>it would somehow gain value as a result of his effort, he would be
>quite foolish indeed."
>
>Polish - or Avoid.

[ahhh...the Light of Wisdom of the East dawns upon the grasshopper]

>>> >> You must decide.
>
>>> >Why?
>
>>> You must.
>
>>Says who?
>
>There is no option.
>
>If you continue on the current path, then you have chosen Option 1.
>
>Things continue as they are.
>
>
>You remain owned.

[the upkeep on that "ownership" is terrible...I'm going to Ebay]

>If you elect to change, then you have chosen Option 2.
>
>You become free.
>
>You must decide!

[I should have gotten a prenup before coming in here...]

>>You want me to shut up. That is clear. You dress it up in fancy
>>terms but that's what it comes down to.
>
>Do you possess the discipline which you will require in order to avoid
>responding to each and every taunt and tease posted to annoy you?
>
>Do you possess the wisdom to see things as they are, and recognize a
>need for change?
>
>I see little evidence so far - but there is hope.
>
>Look within. Think.
>
>And Decide!

"He polished up the bell so care-full-ee, that now he is an
extra in the ham coterie..."

[with apologies to Mssrs Gilbert and Sullivan]

>>Option 1 - Speak out against incorrect information (even though it's
>>probably a troll)
>
>Correct.
>

>>Option 2 - Shut up
>
>Oversimplification, as discussed above.

Big Jim allus RIGHT. Me allus WRRRONNNNGGGGG (or a "troll")

Bells are still "wringing..."

WRRRONNNNGGGG...WRRRONNNGGGG...WRRRONNNGGGG...WRRRONNNGGGG...

>>That would require Leo to be responsible..
>
>That would relieve you of your requirement to think.

"The League is his shepherd, he shall not have to think...}

>>Perhaps you are talking about yourself *and* Len.
>
>Focus. Everything is not about Len.
>
>>Suppose you are correct, and Len's purpose is to
>
>Focus. Everything is not about Len.
>
>And try to start what you finish. :)

A problem with a wide-angle lens is that everything seems in
the field of view...but proper focussing requires concentration
on the center of the image. Some just don't have the single-
lens REFLEX to do it... [clik......clique]

>>"I am not a number! I am a free man"
>
>Well, no.
>
>At the moment, you are at a crossroads.
>
>To be free, you must decide.
>
>Status quo - or change.

Easy route is status quo. No need to fire up brainworks. Every
day the beginning of every other day of the past...except:

"All things are as they were then, except Jim was THERE..."

[apologies to CBS and their old radio show "You Are There"]

I need a better agent. I was getting used to being at the
"center focus" of so much agitated hate. Maybe I need more
more exposure? [I was using Eastman Super-XX at ASA 400]

buy, buy,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3995. Stagger Lee has Roger dancing like a maionette

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:53:11 UTC
From: Billy Bob <WmRobert@spammers>
Message-ID: <d9vc7n$l2u$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111272

Stagger Lee posts one message.
Roger posts eight in response.

Hmmm.....

Who is dancing here?

3996. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:53:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120089226.020718.107360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111273

From: k...@dvol.com on Jun 29, 6:02 pm

>K□B wrote:
>> "Michael Coslo" <m...@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>> > IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better thing
>> > than learning it to get the priveliges?
>
>> Mike,
>
>> Maybe I'm disqualified from answering the question, not being a "Morse code
>> Hater", but humor me.
>
>> I knew Morse code for several years before I was interested in becoming an
>> Amateur Radio licensee....
>
>> ....but if I hadn't known it I'm pretty sure that I wouldn't have gone to the
>> trouble to learn it just to get an Amateur Radio license.
>
>Knock it off Chief ya bloddy TROLL, I don't believe one bit of it.
>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> FISTS # 7419
>
>w3rv

Knock it off yourself, PA Tuff Guy.

As to "TROLL," the Coslonaut started this thread with the emotional loading of either Love or Hate attached to morsemanship. That's an "ignition troll" designed to inflame a polarized subject.

As to "believe-ability" Kellie, MANY of your tales of the souse pacific in here leave a great deal of DOUBT as to their veracity.

Oh, and watch your comportment in here. Telling someone to "knock it
off" is the same as telling them to "shut up." Jimmie Noserve no like
that and will mount the pulpit and go into another Sermon on the Antenna Mount against all who tell others to "shut up."

"Morse code gets through when everything else will." - B. Burke

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3997. Re: point of info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-29 23:55:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120089318.147076.270990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111274

From: Jim Hampton on Jun 28, 5:40 pm

>"an_old_friend" <konst...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1119907215.392064.156520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> What are the dates on the current valid tech question pool. my partner
>> has been looking at a license. Is the pool about to change etc?
>
>If one knows theory and regulations and is not simply trying to memorize
>Q&As, then there should be no problem. I let my tickets expire around 1981
>and simply retook the exams in 1993 without any study. This included 20
>word per minute CW (last copied in 1969). I regained my extra class license
>(I believe I was down there nearly 3 hours) as well as my GROL (no 1st phone
>anymore, unfortunately).
>
>Just make sure your partner knows the basic rules of operating, the
>different emission types, and the band limits and your partner should be
>fine. One doesn't need to score 100% (nor even 80%, come to think of it).
>Nothing very difficult on the tech exam.

True, nothing difficult. Even NINE YEAR OLDS have passed the
Amateur Extra test...

bit, bit

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3998. Re: Stagger Lee has Roger dancing like a marionette...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 00:01:00 UTC
From: Billy Bob <WmRobert@spammers>
Message-ID: <d9vcmd$ni2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111275

Like a marionette...a puppet with strings attached to its joints.

"Billy Bob" <WmRobert@spammers> wrote in message
news:d9vc7n$l2u$0@pita.alt.net...
Stagger Lee posts one message.
Roger posts eight in response.

Hmmm.....

Who is dancing here?

3999. Write George W.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 00:02:30 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4lhenmzma27himx.290620051702@kirk>
Source record: 111277

I think we should write George W. and have him order a special day to honor all amateurs.

Michael

4000. realize this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 00:05:51 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <0xrm2dmes44ztg3.290620051705@kirk>
Source record: 111276

-there is nothing new to be discovered

-we amateurs are great

-our shit does not stink

-we know everything

-books written by the ARRL should have the same status as the Koran, anyone caught flushing one down a toilet should be electrocuted with a 160 meter carrier

-the fewer hams the better, we don't want our bands cluttered

Michael

4001. Dance, Roger, dance.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 00:22:07 UTC
From: Roger's Lord And Master <"Prince Lloyd"@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d9vdu0$t40$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111279

See Roger dance? Hear him babble?
8 recent posts, same old same old....

4002. Internet testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 00:27:21 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <J%Gwe.11194$hK3.10276@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111280

June 29, 2005

FCC Enacts Internet/Usenet Morse Code Requirement

A.P. INDEXES:
TOP STORIES | NEWS | SPORTS | BUSINESS | TECHNOLOGY | ENTERTAINMENT

Filed at 10:26 a.m. EST

By The Associated Press

WASHINGTON (AP)

The FCC, under pressure to clean up Internet, especially after the Communications Decency Act provisions regarding Internet content regulation were stricken as violating the U.S. Constitution, has decided instead to require a Morse code requirement for Internet users. Citing the success of the Amateur Radio Service and the general belief that its requirement for operators to pass a Morse code proficiency exam and other technical requirements, has kept the A.R.S. "clean", the FCC will enact a 5 word-per-minute requirement for all Internet users. They are leaving open the issue of whether there should be a "codeless" class of Internet user and are soliciting comments on this proposal. Codeless class users could read but not write to newsgroups.

Persons wishing to develop a web site having only links to other web sites having links to other web sites, and so forth, must pass a 13 word-per-minute test and demonstrate proficiency in HTML, the Internet authoring language.

Persons wishing to develop web sites that have actual content, as compared to just links to other web sites, must pass a 20 word-per-minute Morse proficiency test, demonstrate proficiency in HTML and the Java programming language, and show that they have mastery of at least one human language, such as English.

The FCC, which lacks budgetary authority to implement the testing program, has stated that it intends to create Volunteer Examiner programs for Internet applicants.

--30--

4003. Re: Internet testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 00:33:24 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <t4q1xgea27ik4kc.290620051733@kirk>
Source record: 111281

xkhb

you have a great future either as a pope-politician or radio amateur

Mike

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:J%Gwe.11194$hK3.10276@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> June 29, 2005
>
> FCC Enacts Internet/Usenet Morse Code Requirement
>
>
> A.P. INDEXES:
> TOP STORIES | NEWS | SPORTS | BUSINESS | TECHNOLOGY | ENTERTAINMENT
>
>
> Filed at 10:26 a.m. EST
>
>
> By The Associated Press
>
>
> WASHINGTON (AP)
>
> The FCC, under pressure to clean up Internet, especially after the
> Communications Decency Act provisions regarding Internet content
> regulation were stricken as violating the U.S. Constitution, has
> decided instead to require a Morse code requirement for Internet
> users. Citing the success of the Amateur Radio Service and the
> general
> belief that its requirement for operators to pass a Morse code
> proficiency exam and other technical requirements, has kept the
> A.R.S.
> "clean", the FCC will enact a 5 word-per-minute requirement for all
> Internet users. They are leaving open the issue of whether there
> should be a "codeless" class of Internet user and are soliciting
> comments on this proposal. Codeless class users could read but not
> write to newsgroups.
>
>
> Persons wishing to develop a web site having only links to other web
> sites having links to other web sites, and so forth, must pass a 13
> word-per-minute test and demonstrate proficiency in HTML, the
> Internet

> authoring language.
>
>
> Persons wishing to develop web sites that have actual content, as
> compared to just links to other web sites, must pass a 20
> word-per-minute Morse proficiency test, demonstrate proficiency in
> HTML and the Java programming language, and show that they have
> mastery of at least one human language, such as English.
>
>
> The FCC, which lacks budgetary authority to implement the testing
> program, has stated that it intends to create Volunteer Examiner
> programs for Internet applicants.
>
>
> --30--
>
>
>
>
>
>

4004. Re: Morse Code and Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 00:38:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <gos55s63uwv6884.290620051738@kirk>
Source record: 111282

hams should not be trusted with cell phones

a crayon and paper would be best

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:%UFwe.14986$eM6.3782@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1120065973.113071.318360@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> http://www.oreillynet.com/pub/wlg/7016
>>
>> (and this guy isn't even a ham, according to QRZ.com)
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
> Great idea. Maybe we can attach the railroad sounder to the cell
> phone and get those klicks nice and loud.
>
> Actually it is not that bad of an idea. But the anti coders out
> there will nix it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>

4005. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 00:45:33 UTC
From: John Smith <pocketstimulation@handson.nut>
Message-ID: <1120092376.047ebd23af916feff76f341a78a01472@teranews>
Source record: 111283

What is wrong with that so long as I practice safe sex and spit out the man
gravy?
>
"Steveo" <girlyman@girlymen.gays.org> wrote in message
news:74fbpjcj4jfsm00.290620051621@kirk...
> the only reason guys hung out around the radio shacks on those boats was
> to get a bj from the gay radio operator
>
> "Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
> news:m6nvb15r7hbk46u5f61m44d85gs4bg99ue@4ax.com...
>> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> >What would you suggest?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>
>>>> >What do you suggest?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>> >
>>>> >What do you suggest?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>>
>>>> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>>
>>>> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>> >
>>>> >Then what do you suggest I do?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>> >
>>>> >What do you suggest I do instead?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>> >
>>>> >What do you suggest I do?
>>>>

>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>> >What would you suggest?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>> >What do you suggest I do, Leo?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>
>>>> >What do you suggest?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>
>>>> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>
>>>> >And your suggestion is?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>> >
>>>> >What do you suggest I do differently, Leo?
>>>>
>>>> Isn't that rather obvious?
>>>>
>>>In a word: No.
>>>
>>>It's not rather obvious, Leo.
>>
>> Sorry to hear that, Jim. How simplified do you need things to be?
>>
>>>
>>>What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
>>>answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
>>>
>>>What's your suggestion?
>>
>> The way I see it, you have two options:
>>
>> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>>
>> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who goad you
>> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end of
>> someone's strings....
>>
>> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1 - it requires the
>> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait, and
>> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond, even when
>> you know you are in the right.
>>

>> Owned or free.
>>
>> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
>>
>> Choose wisely!
>>
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> 73, Leo
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4006. Re: Internet testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 00:50:10 UTC
From: John Smith <pocketstimulation@handson.nut>
Message-ID: <1120092644.9b8932b7c84d4d6ff09efde38966dc87@teranews>
Source record: 111284

I failed the aids test.
>
"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:J%Gwe.11194$hK3.10276@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> June 29, 2005
>
> FCC Enacts Internet/Usenet Morse Code Requirement
>
>
> A.P. INDEXES:
> TOP STORIES | NEWS | SPORTS | BUSINESS | TECHNOLOGY | ENTERTAINMENT
>
>
> Filed at 10:26 a.m. EST
>
>
> By The Associated Press
>
>
> WASHINGTON (AP)
>
> The FCC, under pressure to clean up Internet, especially after the
> Communications Decency Act provisions regarding Internet content
> regulation were stricken as violating the U.S. Constitution, has
> decided instead to require a Morse code requirement for Internet
> users. Citing the success of the Amateur Radio Service and the general
> belief that its requirement for operators to pass a Morse code
> proficiency exam and other technical requirements, has kept the A.R.S.
> "clean", the FCC will enact a 5 word-per-minute requirement for all
> Internet users. They are leaving open the issue of whether there
> should be a "codeless" class of Internet user and are soliciting
> comments on this proposal. Codeless class users could read but not
> write to newsgroups.
>
>
> Persons wishing to develop a web site having only links to other web
> sites having links to other web sites, and so forth, must pass a 13
> word-per-minute test and demonstrate proficiency in HTML, the Internet
> authoring language.
>
>
> Persons wishing to develop web sites that have actual content, as
> compared to just links to other web sites, must pass a 20

> word-per-minute Morse proficiency test, demonstrate proficiency in
> HTML and the Java programming language, and show that they have
> mastery of at least one human language, such as English.
>
>
> The FCC, which lacks budgetary authority to implement the testing
> program, has stated that it intends to create Volunteer Examiner
> programs for Internet applicants.
>
>
> --30--
>
>
>
>
>

4007. Re: Internet testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 00:54:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <GpHwe.8$GI1.1121432@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111050

Interesting.

John

"John Smith" <pocketstimulation@handson.nut> wrote in message
news:1120092644.9b8932b7c84d4d6ff09efde38966dc87@teranews...
>I failed the aids test.
>>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:J%Gwe.11194$hK3.10276@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> June 29, 2005
>>
>> FCC Enacts Internet/Usenet Morse Code Requirement
>>
>>
>> A.P. INDEXES:
>> TOP STORIES | NEWS | SPORTS | BUSINESS | TECHNOLOGY | ENTERTAINMENT
>>
>>
>> Filed at 10:26 a.m. EST
>>
>>
>> By The Associated Press
>>
>>
>> WASHINGTON (AP)
>>
>> The FCC, under pressure to clean up Internet, especially after the
>> Communications Decency Act provisions regarding Internet content
>> regulation were stricken as violating the U.S. Constitution, has
>> decided instead to require a Morse code requirement for Internet
>> users. Citing the success of the Amateur Radio Service and the
>> general
>> belief that its requirement for operators to pass a Morse code
>> proficiency exam and other technical requirements, has kept the
>> A.R.S.
>> "clean", the FCC will enact a 5 word-per-minute requirement for all
>> Internet users. They are leaving open the issue of whether there
>> should be a "codeless" class of Internet user and are soliciting
>> comments on this proposal. Codeless class users could read but not
>> write to newsgroups.
>>
>>
>> Persons wishing to develop a web site having only links to other
>> web

>> sites having links to other web sites, and so forth, must pass a 13
>> word-per-minute test and demonstrate proficiency in HTML, the
>> Internet
>> authoring language.
>>
>>
>> Persons wishing to develop web sites that have actual content, as
>> compared to just links to other web sites, must pass a 20
>> word-per-minute Morse proficiency test, demonstrate proficiency in
>> HTML and the Java programming language, and show that they have
>> mastery of at least one human language, such as English.
>>
>>
>> The FCC, which lacks budgetary authority to implement the testing
>> program, has stated that it intends to create Volunteer Examiner
>> programs for Internet applicants.
>>
>>
>> --30--
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

4008. Re: Internet testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 00:59:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <vtHwe.9$gm1.971913@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 111051

Maybe a test for the internet would not be so bad...

At least a person should be able to email, instant message, irc chat,
be proficient at google searches and know how to limit searches to
exact sites, etc...

... from what I see the computer illiterates out there cannot even use
email without the children/grand-children assisting them...

John

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:J%Gwe.11194$hK3.10276@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> June 29, 2005
>
> FCC Enacts Internet/Usenet Morse Code Requirement
>
>
> A.P. INDEXES:
> TOP STORIES | NEWS | SPORTS | BUSINESS | TECHNOLOGY | ENTERTAINMENT
>
>
> Filed at 10:26 a.m. EST
>
>
> By The Associated Press
>
>
> WASHINGTON (AP)
>
> The FCC, under pressure to clean up Internet, especially after the
> Communications Decency Act provisions regarding Internet content
> regulation were stricken as violating the U.S. Constitution, has
> decided instead to require a Morse code requirement for Internet
> users. Citing the success of the Amateur Radio Service and the
> general
> belief that its requirement for operators to pass a Morse code
> proficiency exam and other technical requirements, has kept the
> A.R.S.
> "clean", the FCC will enact a 5 word-per-minute requirement for all
> Internet users. They are leaving open the issue of whether there
> should be a "codeless" class of Internet user and are soliciting
> comments on this proposal. Codeless class users could read but not
> write to newsgroups.
>

>
> Persons wishing to develop a web site having only links to other web
> sites having links to other web sites, and so forth, must pass a 13
> word-per-minute test and demonstrate proficiency in HTML, the
> Internet
> authoring language.
>
>
> Persons wishing to develop web sites that have actual content, as
> compared to just links to other web sites, must pass a 20
> word-per-minute Morse proficiency test, demonstrate proficiency in
> HTML and the Java programming language, and show that they have
> mastery of at least one human language, such as English.
>
>
> The FCC, which lacks budgetary authority to implement the testing
> program, has stated that it intends to create Volunteer Examiner
> programs for Internet applicants.
>
>
> --30--
>
>
>
>
>

4009. Re: Internet testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 01:22:38 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <yPHwe.501$9g.452@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 111290

ROTFLMAO

Great one, Hans!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

4010. Re: Internet testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 01:38:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <demdnb9esv600F7fRVn-ug@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111289

Michael Coslo wrote:
> xkhb
>
> you have a great future either as a pope-politician or radio amateur
>
> Mike
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:J%Gwe.11194$hK3.10276@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>June 29, 2005
>>
>>FCC Enacts Internet/Usenet Morse Code Requirement

Well howaboudit! the first forged post under my name!

- Mike KB3EIA -

4011. Re: Morse Code and Cellphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 01:41:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <demdnb5esv540F7fRVn-ug@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111291

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hams should not be trusted with cell phones
>
> a crayon and paper would be best

Gee Jim, someone has learned how to forge name it appears! That'll show us!

- Mike KB3EIA -

4012. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 01:49:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <k6WdnWx_PK8L0l7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111292

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Mike,
>
> First let me state again for the record, the issue for myself
> and for No Code (test) International is morse code TEST
> opposition...not any "hatrid" of hams learning and using morse.
>
> With that clarification, my answer to your question is... IMHO,
> if anyone wants HF access, they should learn morse now to get
> their General rather than wait for any FCC changes.

Okay Bill, that is an answer that makes good sense to me.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4013. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 01:51:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <k6WdnW9_PK-4zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111293

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better thing
>>than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>
>
>
> Mike,
>
> Maybe I'm disqualified from answering the question, not being a "Morse code
> Hater", but humor me.

It's okay - All are welcome here....

> I knew Morse code for several years before I was interested in becoming an
> Amateur Radio licensee....
>
> ....but if I hadn't known it I'm pretty sure that I wouldn't have gone to the
> trouble to learn it just to get an Amateur Radio license.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> FISTS # 7419

Interesting, Hans! Thanks for the honest response. You would have taken
up another hobby then, I suppose.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4014. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 01:53:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <k6WdnW5_PK8_zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111294

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>What is more important:
>>
>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>
>>
>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>
>
> YMMV
>
> I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn

Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus, and getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go by ("flying behind the plane")

- Mike KB3EIA -

4015. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 01:54:49 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <r4g6c11uu6tivv1118cg461jq0hvnk7qet@4ax.com>
Source record: 111295

On 29 Jun 2005 16:10:55 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 29 Jun 2005 02:34:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >Leo wrote:
>> >> On 27 Jun 2005 19:12:17 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >snip
>> >>
>> >> >> >Why can't you just clearly and plainly state what you
>> >> >> >suggest that I do?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I have - many, many times.
>> >> >
>> >> >You just keep writing that I must decide. But
>> >> >you do not state concrete actions that the
>> >> >decision will produce.
>> >>
>> >> Option 1 or Option 2.
>> >
>> >Those are not concrete actions.
>>
>> To, Sir, they are quite obviously not.
>>
>> They are choices!
>>
>> Decision is a concrete action.
>>
>> In this case, your decision.
>>
>> Your action.
>
>> >> You must decide!
>> >> >> You must decide.
>> >> You must.
>
>> >You sound like the chimes of Big Ben.
>>
>> When text is displayed upon a monitor, or uopn a page, does it > make a sound?

>>
>> Most assuredly not.
>>
>> Tinnitus, perhaps? :)
>>
>You missed the reference completely, then.

You cannot answer a question with a question.

Focus.

>
>> > >> >> >What *do* you suggest? Just speak plainly rather than
>> >> >> >> >answering a question with another question, or a zinger.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >What's your suggestion?
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> The way I see it, you have two options:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> 1. Continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>> >> >> >> 2. Do not continue to be suckered in to foolish arguments.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >OK so far.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Glad to hear it!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> So far, so good.
>> >> >
>> >> >> >> Option 1 is expensive - you become a possession of those who > >> goad you
>> >> >> >> in to responding. A puppet, as it were, dancing at the end > >> of someone's
strings....
>> >
>> >That's called trolling. You're saying I should not respond to Len's
>> >trolls. In other words, you suggest that I shut up. But you can't bring
>> >yourself to write clearly and plainly, choosing to gussy up your words
>> >with psychobabble.
>> >
>> >What you fail to notice is that Len dances at the ends of others'
>> >strings far more. And that while I write the truth, he inserts mistakes
>> >(intentional or otherwise) to bait others into responding.
>> >
>> >Look at the number of posts I have made in this thread. Or other
>> >threads. Compare to the number made in response to me.
>> >
>> >There's also the organ grinder's red-hatted monkey who will do almost
>> >anything for attention. Including misquotes of others.
>> >
>> >Now you'll say that's not the point. And perhaps in your fantasy, it
>> >isn't.
>>
>> Please try to stay focussed.

>
>The word is spelled "focused".

...but again, the obsessive-compulsive need to be 'always correct'
rears it's ugly head.

Focus.

>>
>> Everything is not about Len.
>
>That is correct!

There is hope!

>>
>> Does he possess you so completely that your world revolves
>> around him?
>
>No one "possesses" me, Leo.

Heh heh heh......you have been a puppet for a long time.

Decide!

>
>> Break free.
>>
>> Decide.
>
>Why?

You must.

>
>> >> >> >That's your spin on it - does not address what actions you
>> >> >> >suggest *I* take.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> That is your current reality.
>> >> >
>> >> >That's your soft-science psychobabble spin, then. ;-)
>> >>
>> >> Not at all.
>> >
>> >I have decided that it is. Simple as A, B and C
>>
>> Not at all.
>
>> Think harder.
>>
>> Use your education.
>>
>> And focus.

>>
>> There are only two choices available to you.
>
>Says who?

There are two.

>
>> A and B. Option 1 - or Option 2.
>>
>> There is no C.
>
>There's always a C.

Not in a two variable equation.

Such as this.

Owned. Or Free.

Wimp. Or Strong.

Dit. Or Dah. (mandatory radio content hi hi)

>>
>> >> >> >> Option 2 is much more difficult than Option 1
>> >> >
>> >> >For you, maybe.
>> >>
>> >> Not at all.
>> >
>> >> >> >> - it requires the
>> >> >> >> intelligence to recognize a legitimate argument from bait,
>> >> >> >> and
>> >> >> >> considerable inner strength to resist the drive to respond,
>> >> >> >> even when you know you are in the right.
>> >
>> >"resist the drive to respond, even when you know you are in the right"
>> >= shut up.
>>
>> That is quite an oversimplification, Jim.
>
>How, Leo?

This has been explained enough times already.

Do your homework!

>
>If I respond to Len in any way, you say I have taken Option 1.
>Therefore the only way to take Option 2 is for me to shut up.

Not true.

>
>All your blathering psychobabble comes down to your trying to tell me
>to shut up without using those words.

Not true.

Are you hoping that someone will tell you to shut up?

Why?

>
>> Resisting the temptation to be suckered in to responding to a
>> post
>> designed with the intent of making you jump would require the
>> discipline of refusing to respond, and the wisdom to recognize > the need..
>
>Which is what I do, most of the time. Just not all of the time. To
>satisfy your criteria for Option 2, I'd have to pretty much stop
>posting here.

Are you suggesting that you cannot post without responding to trolls?

If your statement is true - why do you stay?

Are you nothing more than bait?

Are your posts simply strings of rants assembled at the pleasure of
others?

You cannot post anything at all of substance without joining in your
own torment?

What drives this sort of behaviour?

>
>In fact, it seems to me that perhaps *you* are posting here with the
>intent of making me "jump"....
>>
>> Do you have that discipline, Jim? The wisdom?
>
>Sure. Do you?

Prove it, Jim - show us all.

There is no evidence available to support your claim to date.

Demonstration, please.

Show us what you've got!

>
>> Can you resist the temptation to respond? Do you possess the
>> inner strength?
>>
>> Not so far.
>>

>> Not in the past - but perhaps in future. Perhaps today!
>>
>> Decide - Owned or Free.
>>
>> Polish - or Avoid.
>>
>I'm not Polish.

You are polishing. Turds.

>> >
>> >That's what you're telling me to do.
>> >
>> >You could just be clear about it. But that's not your way.
>>
>> It cannot be simplified any further, Jim.
>
>Sure it can: the two words "shut up"

Do you feel that this is your only logical option, then?

Is there no other way?

>
>> Owned - or Free.
>
>Speak out - or Shut Up.
>
>That's what it means.

Be trolled - or not.

That's what it means.

>>
>> Decide.
>>
>> >
>> >> >You're begging the question, Leo.
>> >>
>> >> I am begging the answer.
>> >>
>> >> Decide!
>> >
>> >> >> This is your potential future reality.
>> >
>> >Many Happy Returns
>>
>> Focus, Jim.
>
>You really should get out more, Leo.

Focus.

>
>> Option 1. Or Option 2.
>>
>> Continue - or Change.
>>
>> >> >
>> >> >> >So what do you suggest *I* do?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Decide!
>> >> >
>> >> >Actions, Leo.
>> >>
>> >> Um - decision is an action.
>> >>
>> >> Decide!
>> >
>> >> >> >> Owned or free.
>> >
>> >Yet you quote Caine and the stuff about a man not being silent.
>>
>> (Forgetting for a moment that Caine was a make-believe person
>> in a TV
>> show rife with pseudo-wise Eastern philosophical sayings...)
>>
>> That quote did not apply to your situation.
>
>Why not?

You can read, correct? Such as the next paragraph, which contains the
answer:
>
>> It referenced 'wrong' in more of a human sense - i.e. someone
>> doing
>> 'wrong' to others.
>
>Intentional misstatement does wrong to others.

Intentional misstatement is a device to get you arguing. The wrong
done to you in these cases is done by you. The fact that it is
'intentional' indicates this - the facts are immaterial - the medium
itself is the message.

You are your own enemy in these battles.

>
>> Intervening - i.e. 'correcting the wrong', was to
>> stop the actions of the perpetrator of said wrong, thereby protecting
>> the perpetrator (bu getting suckered every time) and the victim (of
>> the resultant bashing). Caine could not help you - he would be too
>> confused.
>
>What was Caine's callsign?

Oh oh. The need to be right can be a painful master, Jim.

The series "Kung Fu" was set in the American Southwest in the 1870s.

Before radio was invented.

Wow! That's one five star education yer packin there, podner......yee haw!

Some day....you might want to try to learn how to use Google too - so you can do your homework before you post!

Instead of having to have others clean up after you. Frequently.

http://www.kungfu-guide.com/overview.html

>
>> Your current situation requires a different metaphor:
>>
>> "A man walking along discovers a turd in the middle of his
>> path. What should he do?
>>
>> If he is wise, he would step around it and go along his way. But, if
>> he should pick it up and begin polishing it, in the expectation that
>> it would somehow gain value as a result of his effort, he would be
>> quite foolish indeed."
>
>
>So you're saying that the posts of Len and some others here are turds.
>
>I think you have a point there!

Hope springs anew!

That's why the polishing isn't going too well sometimes.

Then again - some of your posts here are -well- just a tad stinky as well.

Like the German history lesson that you tried to give me earlier in this thread.

That was a turd.

I chose not to polish it.

One cannot polish a turd.

>>
>> Polish - or Avoid.
>>
>> Option 1 - or Option 2.
>>
>> You must decide!
>>
>> >

>> >> >> >That's your spin.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> That's your opinion.
>> >> >
>> >> >Fact.
>> >>
>> >> Owned or free - Decide!
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >> Owned - or free.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You must decide.
>> >> >
>> >> >Why?
>> >>
>> >> You must.
>> >
>> >Says who?
>>
>> There is no option.
>
>There's always an option.

And you have only two.

Option 1.

and Option 2.

SOS - or change.

>>
>> If you continue on the current path, then you have chosen
>> Option 1.
>>
>> Things continue as they are.
>>
>> You remain owned.
>>
>> If you elect to change, then you have chosen Option 2.
>
>> You become free.
>>
>> You must decide!
>
>Speak - or shut up.

Do you feel that this is the only way that you could handle the
situation?

Do you believe that you could do it?

>
>That's the choice you give me.

That's the choice you gave you.

>
>> >> >> >> The path you choose will be entirely up to you.
> >
>> >> >> >So there *is* a choice!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> There is always a choice.
>> >> >
>> >> >Odd to hear from someone who told me I could not stop
>> >> >myself.
>> >>
>> >> Hopefully I was incorrect.
>> >>
>> >> Decide!
>> >
>> >> >> Yours to make if your are able to do so,
>> >> >
>> >> >Ah - now there's a condition!
>> >>
>> >> Which only you can overcome.
>> >
>> >You want me to shut up. That is clear. You dress it up in fancy
>> >terms but that's what it comes down to.
>>
>> Do you possess the discipline which you will require in order
>> to avoid
>> responding to each and every taunt and tease posted to annoy
>> you?
>
>I already have demonstrated that, Leo. Do you see me responding to
>"each and every taunt and tease"?

Yup.

You haven't missed a turd yet.

>
>Yes or no?

Yup.

>
>> Do you possess the wisdom to see things as they are, and
>> recognize a need for change?
>
>You mean to shut up?

Do you equate that with wisdom?

Would that make you appear more wise?

"It is better to sit in silence and appear ignorant, than to open your
mouth and remove all doubt."

Mark Twain, I believe.

Is this the philosophy that you are leaning towards?

>>
>> I see little evidence so far - but there is hope.
>>
>> Look within. Think.
>>
>> And Decide!
>
>You sure are fixated on that stuff, Leo.

Thinking is good for you. So is introsspection. And decision.

Try it and see!

>
>--
>
>Psychotics build castles in the air
>Neurotics live in them
>Shrinks collect the rent
-
> >> Decide!
> >
> >> >> You must decide!
> >
> >> >Why?
> >
> >> You must.
> >
> >No, I don't.
>
> There is no choice. Continue - or Change.
>
>> You must decide!

>I've decided you want to be the landlord.

Great! You are capable of decision!

Try to apply that to the choice before you.

Fool. Or Savant.

Decide.

> >Free For All.
> >
> >> >> >> Choose wisely!
> >> >> >

> >> >> >Wise by whose standards?
> >> >>
> >> >> We'll all see the answer to that one soon enough - when you
> >> >> decide!
> >> >
> >> >How will you know what is decided?
> >>
> >> That is the simplest task of all.
> >>
> >> Should you choose Option 2, it will be immediately apparent to all.

>Translation: shut up!

You got to know when to hold 'em, know when to fold 'em......

> >>
> >> Should you continue down the current path, then you have chosen to
> >> remail with Option 1. Equally apparent to all.
> >>
> >> You must decide!
> >
> >Option 1 - Speak out against incorrect information (even though it's
> >probably a troll)
>
> Correct.
>
> >
> >Option 2 - Shut up
>
>> Oversimplification, as discussed above.

>How is it an oversimplification? How else would Option 2 be chosen?
>What other evidence would convince you that I chose Option 2?

Simple - we wouldn't have Jim saying "You're Wrong" over and over and
over again in multiple threads. Just a few times. In legitimate
discussions.

Would you be able to tell? Or has it been too long?

> >> >> >How would such a choice be demonstrated in concrete, practical > >terms?
> >> >>
> >> >> Hmmm - you read the two options, right? :)
> >> >
> >> >Just can't make yourself spell them out, I see.
> >
>> >That would require Leo to be responsible..
>
>> That would relieve you of your requirement to think.

>I'm simply asking for a definite criteria. You won't provide it,
>because you know that Option 2 = shut up

>Is there a way I could choose Option 2 and not shut up? If so, the two
>are not the same. If not, the two are essentially the same thing.

You'll need to think just a bit harder, Jim......you aren't quite
there yet! Use that brain!

>> >> The options have been spelled out quite clearly above.
> >
>> >> Option 1. Status Quo. Owned.
> >
>> > = Speak out against incorrect information
>
>> Correct.

>So far so good.

This is where you are at right now.

So far, not good.

>
> >>
>> >> And Option 2. Change. Free.
> >
>> > = Shut up
>
>> Oversimplification, as discussed above.

>What other alternative exists, Leo? The opposite of

>"Speak out against incorrect information"

>is

>"Do not speak out against incorrect information"

You feel that you must respond to every challenge in a thread? You
are incapable of making your point and then ignoring the inevitable
rehashing over and over again? (the trolling part?)

Didn't you say that you had the discipline and the wisdom a while back
in this thread?

Where is it, then? Perhaps you have it, but cannot apply it?

Where'd you put them? In a drawer somewhere with your socks?

Go get 'em - and let's see 'em in action!

> >> >> >What actions do you suggest?
> >> >>
> >> >> Decide! Only you can make this decision - you're on your own
> >> >> now.
> >> >
> >> >You have not offered the requested information. Seems to me that
> >> >when you speak of "abuse" and "control" and "goading", you're

> >> >really talking about yourself, not Len.
> >>
>> >> Decide!
> >
>> >Perhaps you are talking about yourself *and* Len.
>
>> Focus. Everything is not about Len.

>Of course not. This part is about your unwillingness to be honest.

Are you hoping that someone will tell you to shut up?

Why?

Do you need that for some reason?

Would it fulfil a need?

Do you need to fight?

>> >Suppose you are correct, and Len's purpose is to
>
>> Focus. Everything is not about Len.
>
>> And try to start what you finish. :)
>
> >>
> >> >
>> >> >Or perhaps you and he are one and the same.
> >>
> >> Not at all!
> >
>> >If you *were*, that's how you'd respond.
>> >If you *weren't*, that's how you'd respond.
>
>> Hmmm - now that's a Masters - level analysis of the issue.
>
>> Thanks for narrowing this one down for us, Jim!

>You soft-science types fall apart when concrete reality comes along

Yup - that was some real handy analysis there, Jim. You reduced the
equation right down to the least common denominator, and proved beyond
the shadow of a doubt that I may be...or may not be.

Nice work! :)

>
> >>
>> >> >> Good luck!
> >> >
>> >> >Be seeing you.
> >>
>> >> Um - shouldn't that be BCNU? :)

That means the same thing....it's telegraph stuff! :)

> >
>> >No. You don't get the reference, I see. Pity.
>
>> I ignored it. This is a serious matter.

>To whom?

You. It's your future!

>> Not TV.

>> And hold on to that pity - you may well be in need of it.
>
>> When you decide.
>
> >
> >> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> 44, Leo
> >
>> >"I am not a number! I am a free man"
>
>> Well, no.
>
>> At the moment, you are at a crossroads.
>
>> To be free, you must decide.
>
>> Status quo - or change.

>Speak out - or shut up.

Natter - or discuss.

Rag on - or ragchew.

Sucker - or Smart.

Owned. Or Free.

You Decide.

>> 44, Leo

>Who is #1?

You sure don't know your "92 Code" too well, either...maybe you could
brush up on that after...

...you Decide.

44, Leo

4016. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 02:01:11 UTC
From: ham radio truth <laughbox77@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <26dfb$42c352af$d1cc492a$21898@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 111297

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> What is more important:
>
> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>
> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>
> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

YES to CW or NO to CW makes no difference whatsoever Mike.
Not at this stage of the game. Ham radio is a dying hobby, period.

The average age of the USA ham operator is a staggering 64 Years.
There are FIVE TIMES more hams dying off per month than there
are new hams comming into the hobby and license renewals combined.

80% of young people 2-day have text messaging cellphones.
Also there's AOL Instant Messenger or similar Chatroom software
plus Apple IPOD Podcasting and similar technology. (just wait till
the wireless IPOD hits around October 2005 just in time for xmas!)

What young person, apart from the occasional geek, would want to
invest time and money in archaic, obsolete, analog technology based
ham radio in 2005? Oh yes there will be a few, but for the most part
today's young people wouldn't know ham radio from CB and could
not care less either.

Tune across HF any evening and tell me how many young people
you hear on SSB. Most of the guys I hear on 75 Meters are long
retired and most callsigns I recall from just 10 Years ago are either
in the local nursing home or 6 feet under the earth.

Read the handwriting boys. At this rate Ham Radio will be dead
by 2030.

4017. Re: realize this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 02:01:53 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <bqWdnSxBSscMz17fRVn-rA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111296

Michael Coslo wrote:
> -there is nothing new to be discovered
>
> -we amateurs are great
>
> -our shit does not stink
>
> -we know everything
>
> -books written by the ARRL should have the same status as the Koran,
> anyone caught flushing one down a toilet should be electrocuted with a
> 160 meter carrier
>
> -the fewer hams the better, we don't want our bands cluttered

Snort!

- Mike KB3EIA -

4018. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 02:07:07 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jydrrl3q7ldnc5k.290620051907@kirk>
Source record: 111298

exactly

but only a couple of guys here even have a clue

that is a problem

"ham radio truth" <laughbox77@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:26dfb$42c352af$d1cc492a$21898@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> What is more important:
>>
>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>
>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>
>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
>> better
>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> YES to CW or NO to CW makes no difference whatsoever Mike.
> Not at this stage of the game. Ham radio is a dying hobby, period.
>
> The average age of the USA ham operator is a staggering 64 Years.
> There are FIVE TIMES more hams dying off per month than there
> are new hams comming into the hobby and license renewals combined.
>
> 80% of young people 2-day have text messaging cellphones.
> Also there's AOL Instant Messenger or similar Chatroom software
> plus Apple IPOD Podcasting and similar technology. (just wait till
> the wireless IPOD hits around October 2005 just in time for xmas!)
>
> What young person, apart from the occasional geek, would want to
> invest time and money in archaic, obsolete, analog technology based
> ham radio in 2005? Oh yes there will be a few, but for the most
> part
> today's young people wouldn't know ham radio from CB and could
> not care less either.
>
> Tune across HF any evening and tell me how many young people
> you hear on SSB. Most of the guys I hear on 75 Meters are long
> retired and most callsigns I recall from just 10 Years ago are

> either
> in the local nursing home or 6 feet under the earth.
>
> Read the handwriting boys. At this rate Ham Radio will be dead
> by 2030.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4019. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 02:11:35 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <qek6c1dllim04746noo1a2q2tbohm3gsdo@4ax.com>
Source record: 111299

On 29 Jun 2005 16:51:34 -0700, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: Leo on Jun 29, 6:32 pm
>
>>On 29 Jun 2005 02:34:17 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>> On 27 Jun 2005 19:12:17 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> >Leo wrote:
>>>> >> On 27 Jun 2005 09:59:04 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> >> >Leo wrote:
>>>> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 18:08:19 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> >> >> >Leo wrote:
>>>> >> >> >> On 26 Jun 2005 11:19:31 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> >snip
>
>
>>>> Option 1 or Option 2.
>>
>>>Those are not concrete actions.
>>
>>To, Sir, they are quite obviously not.
>>
>>They are choices!
>>
>>Decision is a concrete action.
>>
>>In this case, your decision.
>>
>>Your action.
>
> Tsk, Jimmie will not do the "action." He will stay on his subject
> and his "correctness" until the coroner pries the keyboard away
> from his cold, dead fingers...

So far - right you are!

But - There's Still Hope. :)

>
>
>>>You sound like the chimes of Big Ben.
>
> WRRRONNNNGGGGG....
> WRRRONNNNGGGGG....
> WRRRONNNNGGGGG....

>
> Jimmie Noserve does his best to sound like the Beeb's SW BC
> signature sound...
>
>
>>When text is displayed upon a monitor, or uopn a page, does it make a
>>sound?
>>
>>Most assuredly not.
>
> If a tree falls on a florist, will he make himself a bouquet? :-)

Hmmm - lemme think about that one a spell.....

>
>
>>>That's called trolling. You're saying I should not respond to Len's
>>>trolls. In other words, you suggest that I shut up. But you can't bring
>>>yourself to write clearly and plainly, choosing to gussy up your words
>>>with psychobabble.
>>
>>>What you fail to notice is that Len dances at the ends of others'
>>>strings far more. And that while I write the truth, he inserts mistakes
>>>(intentional or otherwise) to bait others into responding.
>>
>>>Look at the number of posts I have made in this thread. Or other
>>>threads. Compare to the number made in response to me.
>>
>>>There's also the organ grinder's red-hatted monkey who will do almost
>>>anything for attention. Including misquotes of others.
>>
>>>Now you'll say that's not the point. And perhaps in your fantasy, it
>>>isn't.
>>
>>Please try to stay focussed.
>>
>>Everything is not about Len.
>
> [oh, heck and darn! :-)]

I know....but there has to be room for other things in the guy's life
too!

Like radio - and TV, and research, and history....

Hmmm ....

>
>>Does he possess you so completely that your world revolves around him?
>>
>>Break free.
>>

>>Decide.
>
> Long ago I removed the geas and erased the pentacle, breaking the
> spell.

There's the problem, right there. You erased the pentacle?

Thanks a lot! :)

>
> Tsk. I must have been using indelible chalk...

Irascible chalk, perhaps! :)

>
> Heap powerful magic stuff I gots... :-)
>
>
>>>I have decided that it is. Simple as A, B and C
>>
>>Not at all.
>>
>>Think harder.
>>
>>Use your education.
>>
>>And focus.
>>
>>There are only two choices available to you.
>>
>>A and B. Option 1 - or Option 2.
>>
>>There is no C.
>
> I give him a D-minus...

Better than the F I gave him a while back.....

>
>
>>>"resist the drive to respond, even when you know you are in the right"
>>>= shut up.
>>
>>That is quite an oversimplification, Jim.
>>
>>Resisting the temptation to be suckered in to responding to a post
>>designed with the intent of making you jump would require the
>>discipline of refusing to respond, and the wisdom to recognize the
>>need..
>>
>>Do you have that discipline, Jim? The wisdom?
>>

>>Can you resist the temptation to respond? Do you possess the inner
>>strength?
>>
>>Not so far.
>>
>>Not in the past - but perhaps in future. Perhaps today!
>>
>>Decide - Owned or Free.
>>
>>Polish - or Avoid.
>
> RPN or Clear?

HP - or TI?

>
>
>
>>>Yet you quote Caine and the stuff about a man not being silent.
>>
>>(Forgetting for a moment that Caine was a make-believe person in a TV
>>show rife with pseudo-wise Eastern philosophical sayings...)
>>
>>That quote did not apply to your situation.
>>
>>It referenced 'wrong' in more of a human sense - i.e. someone doing
>>'wrong' to others. Intervening - i.e. 'correcting the wrong', was to
>>stop the actions of the perpetrator of said wrong, thereby protecting
>>the perpetrator (bu getting suckered every time) and the victim (of
>>the resultant bashing). Caine could not help you - he would be too
>>confused.
>>
>>Your current situation requires a different metaphor:
>>
>>"A man walking along discovers a turd in the middle of his path. What
>>should he do?
>>
>>If he is wise, he would step around it and go along his way. But, if
>>he should pick it up and begin polishing it, in the expectation that
>>it would somehow gain value as a result of his effort, he would be
>>quite foolish indeed."
>>
>>Polish - or Avoid.
>
> [ahhh...the Light of Wisdom of the East dawns upon the grasshopper]

Yes - our intrepid soul may now see the light at the end of the
tunnel.

Then again - it might be a train coming.....

We'll see soon! :)

>
>
>>>> >> You must decide.
>>
>>>> >Why?
>>
>>>> You must.
>>
>>>Says who?
>>
>>There is no option.
>>
>>If you continue on the current path, then you have chosen Option 1.
>>
>>Things continue as they are.
>>
>>
>>You remain owned.
>
> [the upkeep on that "ownership" is terrible...I'm going to Ebay]

It would be cheaper if you did a little more maintenance once in a
while! He seems to be getting a bit rusty, too..... :)

>
>
>>If you elect to change, then you have chosen Option 2.
>>
>>You become free.
>>
>>You must decide!
>
> [I should have gotten a prenup before coming in here...]

Or shots... :)

>
>
>
>>>You want me to shut up. That is clear. You dress it up in fancy
>>>terms but that's what it comes down to.
>>
>>Do you possess the discipline which you will require in order to avoid
>>responding to each and every taunt and tease posted to annoy you?
>>
>>Do you possess the wisdom to see things as they are, and recognize a
>>need for change?
>>
>>I see little evidence so far - but there is hope.
>>
>>Look within. Think.
>>

>>And Decide!
>
> "He polished up the bell so care-full-ee, that now he is an
> extra in the ham coterie..."
>
> [with apologies to Mssrs Gilbert and Sullivan]

Oh yeah! The King's Nayvee!

>
>
>
>>>Option 1 - Speak out against incorrect information (even though it's
>>>probably a troll)
>>
>>Correct.
>>
>>>Option 2 - Shut up
>>
>>Oversimplification, as discussed above.
>
> Big Jim allus RIGHT. Me allus WRRRONNNNGGGGG (or a "troll")
>
> Bells are still "wringing..."
>
> WRRRONNNNGGGG...WRRRONNNGGGG...WRRRONNNGGGG...WRRRONNNGGGG...
>
>
>>>That would require Leo to be responsible..
>>
>>That would relieve you of your requirement to think.
>
> "The League is his shepherd, he shall not have to think...}
>
>
>>>Perhaps you are talking about yourself *and* Len.
>>
>>Focus. Everything is not about Len.
>>
>>>Suppose you are correct, and Len's purpose is to
>>
>>Focus. Everything is not about Len.
>>
>>And try to start what you finish. :)
>
> A problem with a wide-angle lens is that everything seems in
> the field of view...but proper focussing requires concentration
> on the center of the image. Some just don't have the single-
> lens REFLEX to do it... [clik......clique]

Oh oh.

Um, there's an extra 's' in 'focusing' up there.

I just got #@$% for that - he'll be on to you shortly..... :)

>
>
>>>"I am not a number! I am a free man"
>>
>>Well, no.
>>
>>At the moment, you are at a crossroads.
>>
>>To be free, you must decide.
>>
>>Status quo - or change.
>
> Easy route is status quo. No need to fire up brainworks. Every
> day the beginning of every other day of the past...except:
>
> "All things are as they were then, except Jim was THERE..."
>
> [apologies to CBS and their old radio show "You Are There"]
>
> I need a better agent. I was getting used to being at the
> "center focus" of so much agitated hate. Maybe I need more
> more exposure? [I was using Eastman Super-XX at ASA 400]
>
> buy, buy,
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

We'll see soon!

73, Leo

4020. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 02:35:57 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KaadnV4uVrYvx17fRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 111052

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:k6WdnW5_PK8_zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>What is more important:
>>>
>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>
>>>
>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>
>>
>> YMMV
>>
>> I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>
> Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus, and
> getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go by
> ("flying behind the plane")
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

As I have mentioned before, my ex had a 70% hearing loss in each ear and
tinnitus in both ears. Yet he passed the code. He just cranked the volume
up and used headphones. If he can do it, anyone can.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4021. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 02:38:10 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3-qdnQwYNdOrxl7fRVn-1w@comcast.com>
Source record: 111053

"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:jydrrl3q7ldnc5k.290620051907@kirk...
> exactly
>
> but only a couple of guys here even have a clue
>
> that is a problem
>
> "ham radio truth" <laughbox77@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:26dfb$42c352af$d1cc492a$21898@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>> What is more important:
>>>
>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>
>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>
>>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> YES to CW or NO to CW makes no difference whatsoever Mike.
>> Not at this stage of the game. Ham radio is a dying hobby, period.
>>
>> The average age of the USA ham operator is a staggering 64 Years.
>> There are FIVE TIMES more hams dying off per month than there
>> are new hams comming into the hobby and license renewals combined.
>>
>> 80% of young people 2-day have text messaging cellphones.
>> Also there's AOL Instant Messenger or similar Chatroom software
>> plus Apple IPOD Podcasting and similar technology. (just wait till
>> the wireless IPOD hits around October 2005 just in time for xmas!)
>>
>> What young person, apart from the occasional geek, would want to
>> invest time and money in archaic, obsolete, analog technology based
>> ham radio in 2005? Oh yes there will be a few, but for the most part
>> today's young people wouldn't know ham radio from CB and could
>> not care less either.
>>
>> Tune across HF any evening and tell me how many young people

>> you hear on SSB. Most of the guys I hear on 75 Meters are long
>> retired and most callsigns I recall from just 10 Years ago are either
>> in the local nursing home or 6 feet under the earth.
>>
>> Read the handwriting boys. At this rate Ham Radio will be dead
>> by 2030.

Well most of the hams I know, including myself, have pretty good odds of still being alive and kicking in 2030.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4022. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 02:45:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120099525.568694.131330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111054

Mike Coslo wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>What is more important:
> >>
> >> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
> >>
> >>
> >> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
> >
> >
> > YMMV
> >
> > I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>
> Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus, and
> getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go by
> ("flying behind the plane")

Yes there was and remains a problem, 2 of them One Dyslexia, theother
dyslexiod aphasia. they affect at basic level my use of letters and
langauge. Morse given me chance to blow each letter

Ole Stevie et all like to make fun of me for it

The only good thing I can say for Morse was that trying to learn it
gave a clue to one of the pople that admistered a code test who was
able to guess at and begin the dianostic process at an earlier than was
common then
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

4023. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 02:46:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120099565.310388.260960@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111055

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:k6WdnW5_PK8_zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com...
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >> Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >>>What is more important:
> >>>
> >>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
> >>
> >>
> >> YMMV
> >>
> >> I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
> >
> > Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus, and
> > getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go by
> > ("flying behind the plane")
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> As I have mentioned before, my ex had a 70% hearing loss in each ear and
> tinnitus in both ears. Yet he passed the code. He just cranked the volume
> up and used headphones. If he can do it, anyone can.

sorry yuo simply don't know what you are taking about

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4024. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 02:50:20 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <xehy40rgttpme82.290620051950@kirk>
Source record: 111057

```
let me see-the mean age for hams is approx 65-if we add 25 years
65+25=90

since the lifespan of men is shorter than females-you are joking right

have you QSO'ed with many 90 year old hams in resthomes-that must be
exciting

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:3-qdnQwYNdOrxl7fRVn-1w@comcast.com...
>
> "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY"
> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:jydrrl3q7ldnc5k.290620051907@kirk...
>> exactly
>>
>> but only a couple of guys here even have a clue
>>
>> that is a problem
>>
>> "ham radio truth" <laughbox77@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:26dfb$42c352af$d1cc492a$21898@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>>
>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>> What is more important:
>>>>
>>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>
>>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>
>>>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
>>>> better
>>>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>> YES to CW or NO to CW makes no difference whatsoever Mike.
>>> Not at this stage of the game. Ham radio is a dying hobby, period.
>>>
>>> The average age of the USA ham operator is a staggering 64 Years.
>>> There are FIVE TIMES more hams dying off per month than there
>>> are new hams comming into the hobby and license renewals combined.
>>>
>>> 80% of young people 2-day have text messaging cellphones.
```

>>> Also there's AOL Instant Messenger or similar Chatroom software
>>> plus Apple IPOD Podcasting and similar technology. (just wait till
>>> the wireless IPOD hits around October 2005 just in time for xmas!)
>>>
>>> What young person, apart from the occasional geek, would want to
>>> invest time and money in archaic, obsolete, analog technology
>>> based
>>> ham radio in 2005? Oh yes there will be a few, but for the most
>>> part
>>> today's young people wouldn't know ham radio from CB and could
>>> not care less either.
>>>
>>> Tune across HF any evening and tell me how many young people
>>> you hear on SSB. Most of the guys I hear on 75 Meters are long
>>> retired and most callsigns I recall from just 10 Years ago are
>>> either
>>> in the local nursing home or 6 feet under the earth.
>>>
>>> Read the handwriting boys. At this rate Ham Radio will be dead
>>> by 2030.
>
> Well most of the hams I know, including myself, have pretty good
> odds of still being alive and kicking in 2030.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

4025. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 02:55:06 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120100106.796146.118330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111058

Michael Coslo wrote:
> What is more important:
>
> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>
>
> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>
> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Some choices! Is that anything like having sex with the boss and
having a job, or not having a job? ;^)

4026. 10 Meter CB ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 02:56:49 UTC
From: Radio Hanover <jaiishared4@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b43ca$42c35f74$d1cc7ae6$25617@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 111059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The article: http://www.layover.com/driverscorner/cbshop/

(note: the author does mention that the radio is for export only)

The radio: http://www.claysradioshop.com/Connex4300cx%20hp.htm

or: http://www.ramkodist.com/en-us/dept_92.html

..and curious comments on the topic:
http://www.truckingboards.com/trucking/upload/archive/index.php/t-2843
http://www.truckingboards.com/trucking/upload/archive/index.php/f-80

..finally this one is rather "cute":
http://cmdxgroup.tripod.com/fr-freeband.html

4027. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 03:08:06 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <i8qdnVUxPqaTh1nfRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111095

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote
>
>
>>Don't believe Zenith ever made a 6V farm 'cathedral' style radio. The model
>>250 had four bands, went to 18Mc, but was 110VAC. They made a few 'tombstone'
>>style 6V farm sets with several sw bands.
>>
>
>
> The nearest 110VAC was several miles away where the REA lines stopped, so I can
> assure you it was a 6V radio. (Bank of several old 6V auto batteries in
> parallel in the basement, kept topped off by a windcharger atop the barn.)
> "Catherdral" may be the wrong term, but the radio was a table-top wood cabinet
> affair with a pointed round top. Large round dial in the center, with the
> prominent Zenith "Z" designed into the pointer. Don't remember the band layout,
> but my recollection is that it went to at least 13 Mc (maybe higher). 4, 8, and
> 12 Mc marine bands were my favorites, and may have influenced my decision to go
> to sea as a Navy radioman.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

Just curious, what did you use for a BFO to copy Morse?

4028. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 03:42:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120102931.395210.144760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111060

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1120001116.722248.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>
> >>K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>>bb wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>John Smith wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Len:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
> >>>>>heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> >>>>>children, small animals and gays)...
> >>>>
> >>>>And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
> >>>
> >>> Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
> >>>
> >>> You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
> >>>untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
> >>>
> >>> I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
> >>>weren't lost..knew right where they were.
> >>
> >>Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
> >>All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
> >>as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.
> >>
> >
> >
> > Interesting how you can derive prejudice from a simple question.
>
> Probably becuase the question often gets answers that are not in line
> with other people's prejudices.

Probably because the nature of the "simple" question is negative and is
seeking a like response.

Probably because further dialog confirms an already negative viewpoint
of No Code Techs.

Probably because Dan and Dave chimed in with negative responses as well.

Probably because I have seen many Extras with such attitudes, many of them right here on RRAP.

Probably because Robber's Son keeps asking who chased away the Techs when the answer is so plainly visible.

4029. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 03:48:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pYJwe.11244$hK3.6895@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111061

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote

>
> Don't believe Zenith ever made a 6V farm 'cathedral' style radio. The model
> 250 had four bands, went to 18Mc, but was 110VAC. They made a few 'tombstone'
> style 6V farm sets with several sw bands.
>

The nearest 110VAC was several miles away where the REA lines stopped, so I can assure you it was a 6V radio. (Bank of several old 6V auto batteries in parallel in the basement, kept topped off by a windcharger atop the barn.) "Catherdral" may be the wrong term, but the radio was a table-top wood cabinet affair with a pointed round top. Large round dial in the center, with the prominent Zenith "Z" designed into the pointer. Don't remember the band layout, but my recollection is that it went to at least 13 Mc (maybe higher). 4, 8, and 12 Mc marine bands were my favorites, and may have influenced my decision to go to sea as a Navy radioman.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4030. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 03:50:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120103415.892386.216260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111062

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> > > Hardly embellished; Hans has his citation.
> > >
> > > What, might I gently ask, have you done for this country? One doesn't
> > > progress beyond E-7 by being a bullsh*t artist, you know .... :))
> >
> > Really Most miliatry promotion, indeed most promotions in Government
> > and private industry is some form of politics.
>
> BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Spoken like a true gay pagan draftee chemical corps officer, Mark!
>
> Having been promoted six times in the Marines,

Hi! Making that same chevron again and again doesn't count.

I can tell you
> that, at least for Enlisted personnel in that service, politics has
> NOTHING to do with it.

Above E-7? Up to E-7 you can get by on decent performance and fitness
reports, awards and decs, and TIS/TIG.

But beyond E-7, you need an O-6+ benefactor.

> > Bull#### well spun get some people very far indeed Clinton for example.
>
> That's because ALL Clinton was was a politician, so WHAT did you
> expect?
>
> > > Perhaps you'd care to share your expertise in communications.
>
> You really ought to go back to 98 and later and read some of
> Markie's stuff, Jim! What a HOOT!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Robber's Son hootiness began on day one and continues to this very day.
```

4031. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 03:58:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <w5Kwe.11246$hK3.1146@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111063

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

>
> But beyond E-7, you need an O-6+ benefactor.
>

Maybe in the Air Farce. In the military services you advanced based on your professional performance, demonstrated leadership record, test scores, and breadth of experience. WTF is a "benefactor"?

73, de Hans, K0HB
(E9 at 17 years TIS, 3 years TIG)

4032. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 04:00:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <zN2dnVlTjOfQu1nfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111096

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>Where did the six volts come from out in your boonies?
>>
>>Wind-powered charger on the roof of the barn. (Not all windmills pumped water).
>
>
> Where did the B+ come from? Dynamotor, vibrator, batteries?
>
> ---
>
> Most of the classic windcharger systems I know of were nominally 32
> volts. When I lived in a rural part 2-land, windmill towers were still
> plentiful, although most held TV antennas.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

And there were 'farm' sets that worked off of 32 volts, some had
vibrator power supplies but some used 32 VDC as the B+. The 32 volt
systems used outlets just like 110vac outlets.

4033. Re: Brian P Burke Continues To Utter False Statements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 04:01:56 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120104116.091793.38230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111064

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > Stebie is very, very busy trying to light his match to blaze
> > > hisself in the glory and majesty (his idea) of MARS-manship.
> > > [ASSISTANTS get like that some times...]
> >
> > I can't accept his claims to have been in MARS in any capacity. The
> > ignorance he has displayed wrt MARS is too vast.
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
> Coming from a documented chronic liar and a pathetic old man who
> has NO practical experience in Amateur Radio >>OR<< MARS, this is
> reeeeeeeeeeeeeeal impressive (not)....
>
> Losers both.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, calling names and stretching out words with lots of extra letters lends nothing to your non-existent credibility.

And you plugging into an aircraft intercom is not communications experience.

I do not beleive you when you claimed having held a leadership position in MARS. Had you ever actually held such a position, you would have been given a letter of appointment. That's how its done. So show it.

4034. Re: realize this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 04:06:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1120104419.006228.78820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111065

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > -there is nothing new to be discovered
> >
> > -we amateurs are great
> >
> > -our shit does not stink
> >
> > -we know everything
> >
> > -books written by the ARRL should have the same status as the Koran,
> > anyone caught flushing one down a toilet should be electrocuted with a
> > 160 meter carrier
> >
> > -the fewer hams the better, we don't want our bands cluttered
>
>
> Snort!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Will the real Mike Colso please stand up?

4035. Re: Connect the dots, Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 04:07:57 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120104476.995384.43340@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111066
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Poor Woger, his activities are catching up to him. His
old friends at Stratuswave have released logs showing Woger's past
libel. Reported interested parties: Lloyd,
Dave Heil, and the real Geno Paris. Will Woger be in court or jail
soon? Only time will tell. Ovis, which is now
defuncted will also release info in you write and ask and have been
stalked or slandered by everyone's favorite loser.

Remailer or no remailer it doesn't matter when you have the logs. Will
Woger's past misdeeds land him
in jail or court? Only time will tell.

4036. Re: Connect the dots, Woger<Saggytits wants us to write on his cancerous bald cranium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 04:08:57 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jawn_kimball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120104537.082996.322280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111067
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ummmmm

Dance little Woger, Dance.

4037. Re: realize this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 04:13:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8pudhzjqqtt5z6n.290620052113@kirk>
Source record: 111068

what makes you think you are the real bb?

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1120104419.006228.78820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> > -there is nothing new to be discovered
>> >
>> > -we amateurs are great
>> >
>> > -our shit does not stink
>> >
>> > -we know everything
>> >
>> > -books written by the ARRL should have the same status as the
>> > Koran,
>> > anyone caught flushing one down a toilet should be electrocuted
>> > with a
>> > 160 meter carrier
>> >
>> > -the fewer hams the better, we don't want our bands cluttered
>>
>>
>> Snort!
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Will the real Mike Colso please stand up?
>
>

4038. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 05:37:23 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <396dnc8_t5-XoFnfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111310

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote
>
>
>>Just curious, what did you use for a BFO to copy Morse?
>
>
> Hey, I was a pre-teen kid, not a ham. Didn't know WTF was a BFO, but if you
> held a finger on the metal skin of the third tube from the right in back the
> Morse would be heard as a sort of buzzy hum. Worked for me and my brother.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

LOL, where there is a will there is someone who come up with something.

4039. Re: Navy Radiomen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 06:11:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120111860.902566.305950@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111069

From: Leo on Jun 29, 10:11 pm

>On 29 Jun 2005 16:51:34 -0700, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Tsk, Jimmie will not do the "action." He will stay on his subject
>> and his "correctness" until the coroner pries the keyboard away
>> from his cold, dead fingers...
>
>So far - right you are!
>
>But - There's Still Hope. :)

Bob is gone. But there's a nice airport with his name on it just
five minutes away by car from here...

>> If a tree falls on a florist, will he make himself a bouquet? :-)
>
>Hmmm - lemme think about that one a spell.....

Sorry about that, I had a newly-ground axe that needed testing.

>>>Please try to stay focussed.
>
>>>Everything is not about Len.
>
>> [oh, heck and darn! :-)]
>
>I know....but there has to be room for other things in the guy's life
>too!
>
>Like radio - and TV, and research, and history....

...and choo-choo trains.

>> Long ago I removed the geas and erased the pentacle, breaking the
>> spell.
>
>There's the problem, right there. You erased the pentacle?
>
>Thanks a lot! :)

Sorry. It was "that old black magic has me in its spell..."

>> Tsk. I must have been using indelible chalk...
>
>Irascible chalk, perhaps! :)

Yee-haa! "Chalk" one up for Leo! Good word! :-)

>>>Your current situation requires a different metaphor:
>
>>>"A man walking along discovers a turd in the middle of his path. What
>>>should he do?
>
>>>If he is wise, he would step around it and go along his way. But, if
>>>he should pick it up and begin polishing it, in the expectation that
>>>it would somehow gain value as a result of his effort, he would be
>>>quite foolish indeed."
>
>>>Polish - or Avoid.
>
>> [ahhh...the Light of Wisdom of the East dawns upon the grasshopper]
>
>Yes - our intrepid soul may now see the light at the end of the
>tunnel.
>
>Then again - it might be a train coming.....
>
>We'll see soon! :)

Metaphors be with you, Leo Skywalker!

>> [I should have gotten a prenup before coming in here...]
>
>Or shots... :)

The whole rabies series in the abdomen?!? Yuch.

>> A problem with a wide-angle lens is that everything seems in
>> the field of view...but proper focussing requires concentration
>> on the center of the image. Some just don't have the single-
>> lens REFLEX to do it... [clik......clique]
>
>Oh oh.
>
>Um, there's an extra 's' in 'focusing' up there.
>
>I just got #@$% for that - he'll be on to you shortly..... :)

Tsk, I know...but the choice of one or two S is optional in use
(depending on the editor).

In English, using one S would tend to have the word pronounced
"foe-cues-ed" while two S would tend to prounounce "foe-cuss-ed."
With one S it also sounds like a nastyword..."foe-cue-ess." :-)

>> I need a better agent. I was getting used to being at the
>> "center focus" of so much agitated hate. Maybe I need more
>> more exposure? [I was using Eastman Super-XX at ASA 400]
>
>We'll see soon!

I doubt anything will "develop" on that...too long in the Stop
bath.

buy, buy,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4040. Re: Riley Hollingsworth speaks...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 06:13:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120111994.068347.193340@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111070

From: Leo on Jun 29, 6:36 pm

>On 29 Jun 2005 09:33:06 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon 27 Jun 2005 03:19

>>snip
>>That's not exactly correct, Len.
>>snip

>Shall we assume that the decision has been made?
>
>Option 1?

Nah...he hasn't "chosen" anything but "business as usual."

Jimmie's technique goes something like this:

He picks out phrases, sentences, or (rarely) whole paragraphs
and then asks "pointed questions" as if he is a "prosecuting
attorney" or as the "judge" of this less-than-noble Moot Court.
Then he will belabor those specific points in long, voluminous
postings, probably hoping the subject will get tired, get angry,
make a dumb comment. If a "dumb comment" is made, he will then
belabor THAT in the usual absurb minutae. The subject eventually
just gives up bothering to post and Jimmie feels vindicated; he
has established HE is "right." Smirk time.

If challenged on any point, he will belabor that with/without
misdirection onto similar but not directly related subjects.
Or he will simply state the challenger is "in error," "doesn't
have 'parenting experience'," or just doesn't know as much as
the "judge/prosecutor." That goes into minutae and misdirection
again until the challenger just gives up. More smirks, more
feelings of Jimmie's vindication. He emerges "triumphant."

Now, in his reply to challenges on his partly wrong statement,
plus misdirection into some American national politics (out of
place, but then that doesn't matter to USA amateur extras), he
does NOT acknowledge he was in error of anything. He simply
issues the imperious "you are right" or "you are incorrect" or
(in some mollifying attempt at partial appeasement from another
thread) he STATES "that's not exactly correct!" He has fashioned
his personna into the LAWGIVER, the one who judges but is never,
ever himself judged.

Radio regulation in the USA is governed by the entirety of Title 47, Code of Federal Regulation. Amateur radio is not solely confined to Part 97 of that Title...yet he keeps referring solely to that one Part. [that one Part is available on the ARRL web-site...but in various pieces, albeit complete, on the U.S. Government Printing Office archives page for Titles of the Codes of Federal Regulations] He regards only the ARRL copy as "the reference," thus forgetting several other Parts in Title 47 which do, definitely apply in LAW here.

But, if Jimmie is challenged again, he goes deeper into absurd minutae, perhaps wandering into phrase meanings in the law as if he is one of the Supreme Court judges. [Lawgivers get like that] [in the U.S. Army we called them "barracks room lawyers"] [but Jimmie was never IN the military in the USA] [we don't even know how many children he has "parented"...he won't say, yet demands that of others]

Lawgivers sometimes get lost in their own self-appointed, self-grandiose rhetoric...such as the one who started this particular thread using what amounts to a Consultant to the FCC in the Enforcement Bureau Spectral Enforcement Division...Riley Hollingsworth. Hollingsworth has law credentials. The Lawgiver does not. Hollingsworth is NOT a Commissioner, isn't on any of the Commissioners' special Staffs, yet the Lawgiver makes Riley a big, important guru status. A status almost as great as the ARRL's "CEO" (probably "President for Life" soon), Dave Sumner. One can almost see the editorial page in QST forming as the Lawgiver extolls his mighty rhetoric upon the masses at the beginning of this thread.

Woe be unto the Disbelievers of the Lawgiver, for they shall be forever known as WRRRONNNNGGGGGG.

"Ask not for whom the bel tolls, it tolls for thee, A. G."

[the humor impaired will not notice the "bel" so I won't explain]

Business as usual.

buy, buy,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4041. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 06:30:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120113015.154679.14310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111071

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> > I don't believe one bit of it.
>
> Gospel truth!
>
> Learned Morse off the old 6V farm radio (a "cathedral" style Zenith with 5 or 6
> bands ---

Geeez, OK, understood, that's roughly the same way I ran into
on-the-air Morse for the first time. A couple old-maid aunts had a big
wooden console radio which tuned bands above the AM BC band which I
messed with and heard the "beeps and boops". Which did not particularly
light my fires. Listening to the BBC and hams yakking on AM phone did
light my fires.

> we didn't get REA until I was in high school) at about age 8 or 9.

Ye gawds Hans, no 115vac until you were 8-9 years old??! That would
have been in the 1958-59 timeframe and REA had just gotten to your
neighborhood then?? WTF . . ?!! Or were you in Guatemala??

I was in my early twenties at that point in history and already had a
gazillion confirmed and my *grandparents* had been getting around on
'lectric trains and trolly cars most of their lives.

Sheesh: This 'ole city boy can't even start to imagine . . . . ! Talk
about "coming up" in different worlds.

Where did the six volts come from out in your boonies?

> Wanted to know what all those beeps and boops were about on what turned out to
> be the 8 and 12 MC marine bands. Fascinating stuff for a kid thousands of miles
> from any ocean.

Of course, but something is missing here. How did you learn to copy CW
by just *listening* to the stuff?

I've been wracking (what little is left of) my brain about when and how
I started to learn the code. I dimly remember a Christmas around the
end of WW2 when I got a pair of widgets made by, I think, Lionel. They
were battery powered "code buzzers" which were connected by a pair of
the usual cotten-wrapped copper wires normally used between the the
Lionel or American Flyer xfmrs and the clips on the track.

The idea was to connect the two code buzzers located a room or two away from each other and yak via the code with somebody. The code was printed on the box next to the key. Problem was that I didn't have a sombody to join the fun so I buzzed to myself and finally started to get it.

> Ham radio interest came much later, introduced by my roomate as a college
> freshman.

10-4 that Hans, every beeper out here has a different war story about how we got here. Helluva a lotta fun eh?

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv . . . dit . .

4042. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 06:40:15 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <dISdncAYZ7FY1InfRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111314

Radio Hero wrote:
> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:e3Wwe.11371$jX6.4480@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>>
>>>Where did the B+ come from? Dynamotor, vibrator, batteries?
>>
>>I have no idea. To a preteen farm kid the B+ came from getting a good
>>score on a history test. What did I know about dynamotors?
>>
>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>
>
>
> Looks like Buzz nailed you again Hans, and don't try to change
> the subject to school work.
>
>
>

Nailed him how, could you explaing that?

4043. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 06:46:09 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <D4WdnXbn4d220FnfRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 111315

Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
>
> Actually only about 3/4-mile. Wildwood School, District 28, one room grades
> 1-8, but rarely kids in every grade, average enrollment about 10 kids. Teacher
> was Mrs. Isabelle Schneider. At 9th grade you went to "town school" on a yellow
> bus. During blizzards the bus didn't take you home, so you stayed at your
> "storm home" --- all the farm kids were assigned one of those.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>

Often houses that had the 32V system were wired with standard 110v
outlets, then when REA came along all one had to do was disconnect from
the 32V system and hook up to REA. Those 32V radios would have 110v
plugs and it isn't too unsual to find one where somone plugged it into
110 volts.

4044. Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 09:08:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120122521.407001.44860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111072

http://www.geocities.com/k3xf/Rver124F.pdf

73 de Jim, N2EY

4045. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 10:03:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120125828.467058.300920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111073

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> >
> > > > Hardly embellished; Hans has his citation.
> > > >
> > > > What, might I gently ask, have you done for this country? One doesn't
> > > > progress beyond E-7 by being a bullsh*t artist, you know .... :))
> > >
> > > Really Most miliatry promotion, indeed most promotions in Government
> > > and private industry is some form of politics.
> >
> > BWHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH> > ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > Spoken like a true gay pagan draftee chemical corps officer, Mark!
>
> again with your genitla fixation and your mocking of my relingion as
> well your Langage Nazi routinue

There's no "genitalia fixation" or mocking of your "relingion",
Mark.

Did you not say you participatre in sex with men?

Did you not say you are pagan?

Did you not say you were allegedly drafted into the Army, then
forcibly commissioned into the "chemical corps"...?!?!

Your words, Markie...not mine...

> > Having been promoted six times in the Marines, I can tell you
> > that, at least for Enlisted personnel in that service, politics has
> > NOTHING to do with it.
>
> No it shows you were playing the political game without even being
> bright enough to know you were doing it

No...It shows I was doing my job in a professional and military
manner.

> > > Bull#### well spun get some people very far indeed Clinton for example.
> >
> > That's because ALL Clinton was was a politician, so WHAT did you

> > expect?
>
> The results obtained
>
> I try but i'll guess these of Omar Bradley (placed in his mouth in
> movie which he took part in making) words are not enough to convince,
> "I am afraid being a good soldier doesn't mean a thing. we have to be
> politcials, adminatraor you name it"

That's fine for flag rank officers, Markie.

I was not one.

> > > > Perhaps you'd care to share your expertise in communications.
> >
> > You really ought to go back to 98 and later and read some of
> > Markie's stuff, Jim! What a HOOT!
>
> GIGO
>
> your point?

"GIGO" is about right...Nothing but "garbage out" from Mark
Morgan.

THAT was my point, and you do so well substantiating it for me.

Steve, K4YZ

4046. Burke On the Lie Path Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 10:10:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120126242.439510.93220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111074

```
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> >
> > > > Hardly embellished; Hans has his citation.
> > > >
> > > > What, might I gently ask, have you done for this country? One doesn't
> > > > progress beyond E-7 by being a bullsh*t artist, you know .... :))
> > >
> > > Really Most miliatry promotion, indeed most promotions in Government
> > > and private industry is some form of politics.
> >
> > BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> > ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > Spoken like a true gay pagan draftee chemical corps officer, Mark!
> >
> > Having been promoted six times in the Marines,
>
> Making that same chevron again and again doesn't count.

More deceitfulness, Brain.

Why do you lie so prolifically?

> I can tell you
> > that, at least for Enlisted personnel in that service, politics has
> > NOTHING to do with it.
>
> Above E-7? Up to E-7 you can get by on decent performance and fitness
> reports, awards and decs, and TIS/TIG.
>
> But beyond E-7, you need an O-6+ benefactor.

You mean you need to be a suck-up, don't you, Brain?

Perhaps in the Weather Service of the Blue Crew, but USMC and USN
promotion citeria is very specific.

> > > Bull#### well spun get some people very far indeed Clinton for example.
> >
> > That's because ALL Clinton was was a politician, so WHAT did you
> > expect?
> >
```

> > > > Perhaps you'd care to share your expertise in communications.
> >
> > You really ought to go back to 98 and later and read some of
> > Markie's stuff, Jim! What a HOOT!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Robber's Son hootiness began on day one and continues to this very day.

You misrepresent the character of a deceased honest man.

Why do you do that, Brain? (Sheesh..now I AM "losing it"...I'm
asking a pathological liar why he's lying...Get me beer, someone!)

Steve, K4YZ

4047. Re: Internet testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 10:13:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5o6dnah12amVW17fRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 111075

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:vtHwe.9$gm1.971913@news.sisna.com...
> Maybe a test for the internet would not be so bad...
>
> At least a person should be able to email, instant message, irc chat, be
> proficient at google searches and know how to limit searches to exact
> sites, etc...
>
> ... from what I see the computer illiterates out there cannot even use
> email without the children/grand-children assisting them...
>
> John

Actually a spelling test should be required. It is very difficult to read
much of the stuff coming from the teenage crowd.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4048. Why Does Brian P Burke Defame The Character Of Deceased Honest Men?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 10:17:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120126635.701056.245190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111076

```
bb wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1120001116.722248.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >>
> > >>K4YZ wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>bb wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>>John Smith wrote:
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>>Len:
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
> > >>>>>heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> > >>>>>children, small animals and gays)...
> > >>>>
> > >>>>And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
> > >>>
> > >>> Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
> > >>>
> > >>> You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
> > >>>untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
> > >>>
> > >>> I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
> > >>>weren't lost..knew right where they were.
> > >>
> > >>Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
> > >>All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
> > >>as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > > Interesting how you can derive prejudice from a simple question.
> >
> > Probably becuase the question often gets answers that are not in line
> > with other people's prejudices.
>
> Probably because the nature of the "simple" question is negative and is
> seeking a like response.
```

The simple answer to your suggestion that the NCT's have all been chased away is that they've NOT been all chased away.

> Probably because further dialog confirms an already negative viewpoint
> of No Code Techs.

I again insist that this must be a North of the Mason-Dixon line issue. No such "negative viewpoint" exists here.

Maybe because we go out of the way to include them?

> Probably because Dan and Dave chimed in with negative responses as
> well.
>
> Probably because I have seen many Extras with such attitudes, many of
> them right here on RRAP.
>
> Probably because Robber's Son keeps asking who chased away the Techs
> when the answer is so plainly visible.

No, it's not.

You insist it's happened. I say it hasn't.

Even casual observation reveals that's not an accurate assessment. Again I acknowledge that that is probably a regional experience.

Why do you continue to defame the character of a deceased honest man?

Steve, K4YZ

4049. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 10:28:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120127296.303316.87160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111077

N9OGL wrote:
> >Some Moron said
> > What I mean is: "I t p r o v e s t h a t y o u a r e n 't a b l e t o f o l l o w i n s t r u c t i o n s.
>
> No steve what you don't understand is the FCC area bunch of scumbags
> who go to the federal courts claiming that all a person has to do is
> apply for a license and a waiver, and when some one does it (and by the
> way I'm NOT the only one that has done it) the FCC wouldn't time the
> ####ing time to even look at the application or waiver.

"...wouldn't time the ####ing time..."

Angry, aren't you?

> The FCC DOES NOT SERVE THE PUBLIC INTREST...(SNIP)

That's your opinion.

However they did, in their best judgement, keep YOU off the public
airwaves, and as far as I am concerned that was worth almost all the
other grief they could possibly promulgate for any radio service.

> (UNSNIP)...the only interest the FCC serves is the BIG companies.

Gee, Todd...there's a couple of LPFM stations near me, and none of
them seem to have any problems with "big companies" >>OR<< the FCC.

> That's all I really have to say because in my opinion
> your nothing but a waste of space, a sadist control freak, with a god
> complex.

Let's see...why am I NOT impressed...?!?!

You're a foul-mouthed bigot...

You're a radio scofflaw...

You're borderline functionally illiterate...

You're a deadbeat parasite, almost 40 and still mooching off
mumsie and popsie...

I could go on, but anything else would just be icing on the
cake...

Steve, K4YZ

4050. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 10:36:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120127817.802793.256240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111078

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >What it proves is that you aren't able to follow instructions.
> > >
> > > What do you mean??
> >
> > What I mean is: "I t p r o v e s t h a t y o u a r e n '
> > t
> >
> > a b l e t o f o l l o w i n s t r u c t i o n s.
>
>
> >
> > > Waivers don't have filing window, waivers can filed
> > > at anytime....the point are a bunch of lying scumbags just like
> > > yourself.
> >
> > No one's lied to you, Todd.
>
> and you are lieng about Todds point or at best you missed it although I
> don't can ahrdly see howthat is possible

I didn't miss anything.

Todd's the one missing the point.

He didn't meet licensing requirements. The FCC said NO. Todd
thinks that "just because" he filed a "waiver" he has a right to an
LPFM license. He doesn't.

> > You just haven't got what it takes to step up to the plate and
> > take responsibility for your own actions or failings.
>
> Even if true it is a failing you personaly should be able to understand
> since you suffer from it

Wrong again, Mark. There have been occassions in this forum where
I have made an error, and when shown that error, acknowledged it and
issued an apolgy where due. Ask Cecil, Hans, Kim, etc...

Steve, K4YZ

4051. Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 11:04:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1120129445.584741.53100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111079

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > I'd like to dig that post out of Google.
> > > > >
> > > > > He made severl of them also made the threat repeatly in email to me
> > > >
> > > > But he tries to make out that he's really a nice guy and only has your
> > > > family's best interest at heart.
> > >
> > > I never said any of that, Brain.
> > >
> > > What I HAVE said is I wonder if your families know how you act in
> > > a public forum.
> >
> > Prehaps you have never said but you clearly try to make as if your
> > realy anice and only has our family's best interest at heart

It's not your family's interest, Mark. It's yours.

You need help. Someone needs to get you to it since you won't go
on your own.

> > Do you lie to your wife and kids like you do in here?
> >
> > > > > Stevie Claims a lot of ####
> > >
> > > Agreed. He backs up none of it with documentation.
> > >
> > > I have all the "documentation" I need right here when it comes to
> > > you two...your own words. Lot's of it. Over and over.
> >
> > All that you need prehaps. since you need none at all I am sure you
> > have that
> > but you don't back it up for anyone else.

Sure I do.

Brain insisted that I was never in MARS and know nothing about it.
I made one error as it pertainted to Chief MARS, and he now claims my
lack of knowledge on MARS is "vast".

I gave him sepcific dates, times, places, callsigns, even military
units of assignment where pertinent.

Then he said, "Oh, I need "DOCUMENTATION".

Whelp, he's got far more information to verify MY claims than any
he's ever provided for any claim he's ever made.

As far as I am concerned, the information's been delivered.

> You don't use our own word
> you make stuff up, or you distort the context, or at best you start
> assume, and if you were ever a maraine you know what ASSuME means

Nothing's made up, Mark.

Even YOU have the resources to verify anything I've ever said in
this forum except that I won't discuss my combat service in the Corps.
If Lennie and Brain want to subsequently say "it didn't happen", well,
they can have fun doing it but they'd still be wrong.

> Now I will never try to stop you from making an ### of yourself, that
> is your right, but you don't have the right to make others make the
> trip with you

Coming from the "Drafted At Age Eight And They Won't Let Me Resign
Colonel In The Chemical Corps..."...?!?!?!

ROTMFFLMMFAO! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > As for my affiliation with MARS, well, you have the dates,
> > callsigns, etc. Any 12 year old who's watched a week's worth of CSI
> > could follow those leads.
>
> There is the porblem I am 41 not 12 how foolish of me not realize it
> (paraphrase of Maj West lost in space)

Oh Markie! You're lost in space, alright!

And how silly of ME...Guess 41 year olds don't watch CSI,
eh...?!?!

> > > > I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> > > > Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.
> > >
> > > The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...
> >
> > But you're the PuppetBoy, Brain...Dutifully mimicking your
> > master's words.
>
>
> Who is Brain's Master? ME Steve (it is the clear inference) flattering
> but another lie on your part

Remember what you said about "assume", Markie...?!?!

No...it's NOT you...You flatter yourself.

The "honor" of being Brain's string puller belongs to another.

> > > But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed tires, and
> > > terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only "other
> > > people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven snow.
> >
> > And so far you've been making the not so veiled suggestion that I
> > said all of those as a threat against you, Lennie, or whomever.
>
> And so you have in the sentence above.

> > It's yet more evidence of your own lying, Burke.
>
> No it is evidence of your guilt

Guilt of what?

> > So far you've not made good on substantiating THAT claim.
>
> No need you do that for him

No, I've not.

However Brain HAS made MY point that he's a pathological liar.

Over and over and over and over and.....................

> > Do you not see why it's so easy to prove you a liar, Burke?
>
> I don't. I'll wager he doesn't, since you haven't proven anything.

Sure I have. Repeatedly.

His suggestion that comments I made about how Internet antagonists
like Lennie Anderson have incited people to do the aforementioned
violent acts was a "thinly veiled threat" being one of them.

Brain could defend his accusations then, he can't do it now.

Then we can recycle his "ARES is overblown" comments, followed up
with reams of reports of how ARES teams are being lauded and the
federal government is pouring in $100's of thousands of dollars to
support ARES programs.

Let's not forget his "Unlicensed devices play a major role in
emergency comms" rant, along with his "I was legal DX from Somalia"
stories.

> None even yur membership in Mars, not that I realy doubt it (or care)
> but you have not proved it, You are too lazy to do that you want him to
> prove it for you.
>
> Well I know I will not carry your baggage I doubt brain will either

You're not man enough to carry MY "baggage", Mark, and Brain
couldn't find it.

Brain was given information so he could independently verify it.
I am quote sure that even if I got replacemtn copies of old licenses
and authorizations, he'd claim them "altered" or other such silliness.

He'd have a hard time calling the folks in the various MARS or
USMC offices liars, though.

> > Do these posts need to wind up in your wife's hands so SOMEone can
> > get you into the help you need to stop your erratic behaviour...?!?!
>
> And there is a Blackmail threat Steve

It's only blackmail if I stand to gain from the act, Mark.

Brain (and you, for that matter) are in need of definitive care.
Sometimes it takes the insistance of the family to push a person to do
what's right.

> > (Please don't give me any more of that cock-of-the-walk "You
> > better not.." language either, Brain...You need help...lot's of it...)
>
> More of your genital obsession again

Nope.

Sorry, Mark..."cock" does NOT exclusively refer to male genitalia.

Do some research on what "cock-of-the-walk" means.

> > > > Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
> > > > managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> > > > people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead
> > >
> > > I think he's a creep.
> >
> > Sure you do.
>
> But you don't deny being a creep. Interesting

Sure I do. My respose to him was one of sarcasm.

> > I don't take your lies and deceit.
>
> But you don't deny being a creep. Interesting

Sure I do. My response to him was one of sarcasm.

> > > Leave no crumbs if you can help it.
> >
> > Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....a crumb leaving a crumb...That's kinda
> > like child abandonment, isn't it?
> >
> > > > Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> > > > on the Internet about my bio or location etc
> > >

> > > Vermin! Hi!
> > >
> > > And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.
> >
> > I guess there's some proof of that too...
>
> What proof does that require just adding a space

Adding a space?

What are you talking about?

> > Just another lie by people who find it easy to lie.
>
> Not unless you are lieing on your FCC ap when spelled your name
> RoberSon

Guess you ought to look at QRZ and see how my name's spelled,
Mark. Of course I know accuracy and truth are not your strong suits.

Steve, K4YZ

4052. Re: The Paranoia remailer was investigated because

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 11:04:17 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <secwet.woger.cia.fodder@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 111080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.fag-lamer.roger-wiseman.chucklehead,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

On 29 Jun 2005 16:08:31 -0700, Secwet Woger, Chucklehead wrote:

:
: Poor Saggytits, still think the Marshall County, Alabama ARES website
: is the one for the ARES group in Marshall County, WV?

Poor Woger. Still think that the Paranoia Remailer's servers are
located on the island of Aruba? Still unable to compute RMS voltage?
Still suffering from negative SWR?

You can find out the truth about all those things and more by simply
going to NIM Busters and asking the experts there.

Oops! I momentarily forgot: You've been banned from there.

: Nice to see you value his words so. Think the CIA is still running
: "anonymous remailers?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

Some posters in alt.privacy.anon-server seem to think so. They have
even claimed that the CIA was involved in the Paranoia Remailer
takedown. You know how it goes: The CIA is tasked to keep track of
terrorist communications; the terrorists use the net to keep in touch,
and the CIA and police agencies do things like establishing phony
remailers and compromiseing other remailers in order to track the
terrorists' email.

Woger's been hanging out on the wrong part of the net it seems.

====================
In message <ag1j7r$m9m$s@pita.alt.net>, AB8MQ shows his technical
cluelessness about IP addresses when he ominously asks: "Still using:
NNTP-Posting-Host: 172.16.133.151?"

4053. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 11:21:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120130492.360532.170020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111081

> He didn't meet licensing requirements. The FCC said NO. Todd
>thinks that "just because" he filed a "waiver" he has a right to an
>LPFM license. He doesn't.

Hey moron, it was LOW POWER TV not LOW POWER FM

dumbass

4054. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 11:24:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120130649.864623.261810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111082

>He didn't meet licensing requirements. The FCC said NO. Todd
>thinks that "just because" he filed a "waiver" he has a right to an
>LPFM license. He doesn't.

No dickhead, the FCC didn't even consider my waiver, if they deny my waiver then I can appeal to the US court of appeal, they did even do that, Before you open your mouth steve you better know what the hell you are talking about.

4055. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 11:29:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120130963.995349.5070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111083

> The FCC DOES NOT SERVE THE PUBLIC INTREST...(SNIP)

>That's your opinion.

it's my opinion steve along with a LOT of other people that feel that way.

> However they did, in their best judgement, keep YOU off the public >airwaves, and as far as I am concerned that was worth almost all the >other grief they could possibly promulgate for any radio service.

Steve you don't know what the hell your talking about

>Gee, Todd...there's a couple of LPFM stations near me, and none of >them seem to have any problems with "big companies" >>OR<< the FCC.

Yeah steve and there would be more LPFM stations on the air if the Big radio stations lobby group the NAB wouldn't of lied to congress about LPFM interfering. Like I said steve you don't know what the hell you are talking about.

4056. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 11:34:26 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <6NQwe.2446$cb6.2189@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 111084

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> What is more important:
>
> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>
>
> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>
> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Hi Mike:

I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never really wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV, anything digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV, etc. I think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this newsgroup, except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just don't wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are pretty much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode (as I do anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor the tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast things in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the "idiots" on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms :o).

For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and I learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So, could you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I don't think most of us feel that strongly about it.

Kim W5TIT

4057. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 11:35:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1120131310.954005.102780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111085

> Let's see...why am I NOT impressed...?!?!
>You're a foul-mouthed bigot...
>You're a radio scofflaw...
>You're borderline functionally illiterate...
>You're a deadbeat parasite, almost 40 and still mooching off
>mumsie and popsie...
>I could go on, but anything else would just be icing on the
>cake...
And your a Crybaby little bitchwhore who doesn't know what the hell he
is talking about...and FYI I don't mooch of my parents I've done pretty
well for myself in the stock market.

4058. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 11:47:46 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <da0m52opc1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 111086

an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1120001116.722248.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>bb wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>John Smith wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Len:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
>>>>>>>heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
>>>>>>>children, small animals and gays)...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
>>>>>
>>>>> Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
>>>>>
>>>>> You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
>>>>>untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
>>>>>
>>>>> I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
>>>>>weren't lost..knew right where they were.
>>>>
>>>>Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
>>>>All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
>>>>as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Interesting how you can derive prejudice from a simple question.
>>

>> Probably becuase the question often gets answers that are not in line
>>with other people's prejudices.
>>
>>
>>>I honestly wanted to know why, in a VHF/UHF contest, I wasn't contacting
>>>more Techs since they are "trapped above 30MHz by the code test" as some
>>>would have us believe. When Technicians are nearly half of all licensed
>>>hams, why were they only about 5% of my contacts? Extra's are only about
>>>16% of the total and yet they were about 90% of the contacts.
>>
>> Most Technicians are doing exactly what they want. Some are satisfied
>>with getting on the local repeater, some are helping with public service
>>and event support, which again is either 2m simplex or repeater work.
>>
>> Those who want to upgrade, do upgrade.
>>
>>
>>>Those who maintain that the Techs are "trapped" are creating a
>>>self-fulfilling prophecy by convincing the Techs that they are not capable
>>>of passing code.
>>
>> Frankly I doubt too many Techs are convinced by that sort of argument.
>>
>> The only people (with one exception) who are trapped are those who for
>>some reason allow themselves to be. The exception is those very, very
>>few who for some reason are truly incapable. BUt that number is
>>vanishingly small.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>Every ham at every level should be encouraged to believe in their ABILITY to
>>>master any and all of the elements even if the element is not a required
>>>one. Whether they choose to pursue it is a different issue but we should
>>>encourage them to believe in themselves and not tear down their self esteem.
>>
>>
>>
>>>Yet we have some people maintaining that the code should be dropped because
>>>some people "can't learn it and are trapped above 30MHz." By maintaining
>>>this attitude they are the ones putting down the Techs and showing their
>>>prejudices.
>>
>> Some people cannot pass the written tests either. Does not being able
>>to pass the General test also trap you above 30 MHz? Not passing the
>>Extra trap you out of the Extra segments?
>>
>> Does not being able to pass the Technician test trap you out of Amateur
>>radio? If I don't want to learn the satellite operation questions, is
>>that an unfair thing, and should those questions be removed so I don't

>>have to learn the answers to those questions?
>
>
> But your analogy is false if you don't wish to learn about satelite
> operation then don't it will not keep you from passing the test.
> Perfect score is NOT required in order to pass

Analogys are never perfect. But as it goes, we are not allowed to pick
and choose what we need to learn to get the license. If we want the
license, we have to learn what is required.

> I don't claim to have plotted it but I beleive you even choose not to
> learn the rules of the ARS and still pass the test. (not that I would
> recmend such a course)
>
> Futher No one has suggested removing from the question pools all
> content regarding Morse Encoded CW, but the current state of affairs
> make Morse encoded CW more important than all of the rest of Ham radio,
> something that is clearly not the case and unfairly enhances the
> previldges of those to whom it comes more easily
>
> An alterenitve would of course be to discuss wether or not the ARS
> would be better off with a fee based license sructure, with the fees
> reservsed for ARS enforcement. Not sure I endorse the idea fully but I
> do think it has enough merit to discuss, or even asome from of mixed
> system of fees or tests and fees or just tests with the pathways
> choosen by each of us I think that has ral merit but I doubt the FCC
> would ever go for it,
>
> But it does seem forbidden to even discuss something like that
>
>
>> Those who would have the Technicians be the "oppressed victims" do hate
>>those questions.
>>
>>
>>>They would trample on other's self esteem to obtain their
>>>objectives.
>>
>> Correct! If a person hates Morse code, that is fine. It's a free
>>country. But The concept of people being "trapped" above 30 MHz is a
>>sympathy ploy that has no relation to the reality of people wanting more
>>priveliges, and doing what is needed to get them.
>
>
> false again. it is not a matter of sympathy but of law, the
> constitution, rights, all those little thing that get in the of petty
> (or grand) tyrants

Out of context, and incorrect. No one is trapped.
>

>> I had some people telling me to wait to get my General, because the
>>Morse code requirement is going away "any day now". That was in 1999.
>>Just about 6 years ago. I guess it was a good thing I didn't listen to
>>them! 8^)
>>
>> But that is the tale I give the new guys who are interested in
>>upgrading. Don't wait. Learn what you need to learn. If the requirement
>>goes away, all you will have lost is the time spent learning Morse, and
>>you will have traded that time for some skill and knowledge. Then I ask
>>if 6 years of not being on HF is worth *not* learning Morse code.
>
>
> Certainly is I spent 5 years on it that was a waste and in those it
> kept you off HF and VHF and.... I traded my time for nothing
>
> Indeed the Tale I tell is decide if you want HF, and then give morse
> code a try, and if you try good luck with it, but please don't let
> yourself get down about it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4059. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 12:13:41 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <da0nll$o8k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 111087

Dee Flint wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:k6WdnW5_PK8_zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com...
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>What is more important:
>>>>
>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>
>>>
>>>YMMV
>>>
>>>I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>>
>>Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus, and
>>getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go by
>>("flying behind the plane")
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> As I have mentioned before, my ex had a 70% hearing loss in each ear and
> tinnitus in both ears. Yet he passed the code. He just cranked the volume
> up and used headphones. If he can do it, anyone can.

I won't deny it can be done - obviously, since my problems are similar.
I doubt I'll ever be proficient at Morse though. To get an idea of what
it is like for me, imagine concentrating as hard as you can on
something. Can I do it? Sure. But not for extended periods.

Certainly turning up the headphones helps, but the levels I use are
fatiguing, and they sometimes annoy the other ops.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4060. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 12:16:16 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <da0nqg$o8k$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 111088

bb wrote:

>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>What is more important:
>>
>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>
>>
>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>
>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Some choices! Is that anything like having sex with the boss and
> having a job, or not having a job? ;^)

I guess it depends on the boss! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

4061. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 12:19:41 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <da0o0t$o8k$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 111089

Kim wrote:

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>What is more important:
>>
>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>
>>
>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>
>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> Hi Mike:
>
> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never really
> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV, anything
> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV, etc. I
> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this newsgroup,
> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just don't
> wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are pretty
> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode (as I do
> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor the
> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast things
> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the "idiots"
> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms :o).
>
> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and I
> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So, could
> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I don't
> think most of us feel that strongly about it.

Yeah, "haters" was the wrong choice of word in retrospect.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4062. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 12:36:03 UTC
From: Radio Hero <hero@storyheroho.org>
Message-ID: <da0ooj$je0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111090

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:w5Kwe.11246$hK3.1146@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> Maybe in the Air Farce. In the military services you advanced based on
> your professional performance, demonstrated leadership record, test
> scores, and breadth of experience. WTF is a "benefactor"?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> (E9 at 17 years TIS, 3 years TIG)
>

You are slipping Hans. Last time you told us this sea story you made E9 in 11 years with only 4 months in grade. Which "version" are currently telling down at the Legion Hall?

4063. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 13:54:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LQSwe.1951$8f7.153@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111091

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

> Where did the six volts come from out in your boonies?

Wind-powered charger on the roof of the barn. (Not all windmills pumped water).

4064. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 14:14:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37Twe.11292$jX6.4569@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111092

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1120122521.407001.44860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

> http://www.geocities.com/k3xf/Rver124F.pdf

Actually it's NOT a "restructuring" proposal as the term has been adopted here
(restructuring of license classes).

Rather it is a proposal to rid us of FCC/ARRL-imposed bandplans.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4065. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 16:07:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120147657.802269.304650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111093

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1120122521.407001.44860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> > http://www.geocities.com/k3xf/Rver124F.pdf
>
> Actually it's NOT a "restructuring" proposal as the term has been adopted here
> (restructuring of license classes).

Strictly speaking, you are correct, Hans.
>
> Rather it is a proposal to rid us of FCC/ARRL-imposed bandplans.

FCC imposes subbands; ARRL and others suggest bandplans. The former are
not voluntary!

In any event, it's possible that any restructuring NPRM would include
provisions from this proposal if FCC thinks it has merit. Which might
slow down the whole NPRM process in the bargain.

No RM number yet, last time I looked.

--

I think it's a terrible idea for a whole bunch of reasons.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4066. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 16:11:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120147914.738422.292880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111094

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> > Where did the six volts come from out in your boonies?
>
> Wind-powered charger on the roof of the barn. (Not all windmills pumped water).

Where did the B+ come from? Dynamotor, vibrator, batteries?

Most of the classic windcharger systems I know of were nominally 32
volts. When I lived in a rural part 2-land, windmill towers were still
plentiful, although most held TV antennas.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4067. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 17:24:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iVVwe.11772$hK3.11323@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111097

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> I think it's a terrible idea for a whole bunch of reasons.

I think it still needs some work, especially the liberal/social-engineering part where they arbitrarily segregate hams to frequency segments based on license classes.

In the republican/conservative spirit of "less government is better government", here is a better proposal:

----------&#65533;----------&#65533;---------

97.1 To get an Amateur Radio license, you are required to pass a technical test to show that you understand how to build simple equipment which meets spectral purity specifications of (.....blah, blah, blah). You will be issued a license and callsign when you pass the test. Transmit your call sign once every 10 minutes when on the air.

97.2 Your power limit is 1.5KW to the antenna.

97.3 Here are your authorized frequency bands. (list)
Stay inside of them.

97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and communicate and do public service and talk to strangers in far away lands and launch communications satellites into space and any other cool technical "radio stuff" you may think up. The government doesn't care what mode you use for any of this. (See 97.3)

97.5 Play nice. We'll try to keep the CBers out of your hair. Deliberate interference, unresolved dirty signals, or other asinine behavior on your part will cause Riley Hollingsworth to come and permanently kick your ass off the playground. Have fun.

Love always,
/signed/ FCC

----------&#65533;----------&#65533;----------

4068. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 17:31:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <90Wwe.11794$hK3.1541@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111098

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote

> Just curious, what did you use for a BFO to copy Morse?

Hey, I was a pre-teen kid, not a ham. Didn't know WTF was a BFO, but if you held a finger on the metal skin of the third tube from the right in back the Morse would be heard as a sort of buzzy hum. Worked for me and my brother.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4069. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 17:35:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <e3Wwe.11371$jX6.4480@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111099

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> Where did the B+ come from? Dynamotor, vibrator, batteries?

I have no idea. To a preteen farm kid the B+ came from getting a good score on
a history test. What did I know about dynamotors?

73, de Hans, K0HB

4070. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 17:39:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <e7Wwe.2043$8f7.1035@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111300

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in

> Ye gawds Hans, no 115vac until you were 8-9 years old??! That would
> have been in the 1958-59 timeframe and REA had just gotten to your
> neighborhood then?? WTF . . ?!! Or were you in Guatemala??

We got REA in the summer of 1954 when I was 14 years old. Running water too.
(I was 8 or 9 when I learned Morse.)

73, de Hans, K0HB

4071. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 18:06:20 UTC
From: Radio Hero <hero@storyheroho.org>
Message-ID: <da1c3r$j24$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111301

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:90Wwe.11794$hK3.1541@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote
>
>> Just curious, what did you use for a BFO to copy Morse?
>
> Hey, I was a pre-teen kid, not a ham. Didn't know WTF was a BFO, but if
> you held a finger on the metal skin of the third tube from the right in
> back the Morse would be heard as a sort of buzzy hum. Worked for me and
> my brother.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Nice attempt to spin an answer Hans but no cigar.
Did you ever try that "metal skin" thing down at the
Legion Hall?

4072. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 18:08:25 UTC
From: Radio Hero <hero@storyheroho.org>
Message-ID: <da1c7njgl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111302

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:e3Wwe.11371$jX6.4480@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> Where did the B+ come from? Dynamotor, vibrator, batteries?
>
> I have no idea. To a preteen farm kid the B+ came from getting a good
> score on a history test. What did I know about dynamotors?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Looks like Buzz nailed you again Hans, and don't try to change
the subject to school work.

4073. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 18:11:42 UTC
From: Radio Hero <hero@storyheroho.org>
Message-ID: <da1cdt$k6q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111303

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:e7Wwe.2043$8f7.1035@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in
>
>> Ye gawds Hans, no 115vac until you were 8-9 years old??! That would
>> have been in the 1958-59 timeframe and REA had just gotten to your
>> neighborhood then?? WTF . . ?!! Or were you in Guatemala??
>
> We got REA in the summer of 1954 when I was 14 years old. Running water
> too. (I was 8 or 9 when I learned Morse.)
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Hans used to walk eight miles to school in bare feet, but when he found out Abe Lincoln had already used that line, Hans decided to become a Navy Radioman radio hero instead.

4074. Re: I Stand Corrected On N9OGL/KB9RQZ Wedding...It's N0IMD/KB9RQZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 18:19:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120155568.556478.244060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111304

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > I'd like to dig that post out of Google.
> > > > >
> > > > > He made severl of them also made the threat repeatly in email to me
> > > >
> > > > But he tries to make out that he's really a nice guy and only has your
> > > > family's best interest at heart.
> > >
> > > I never said any of that, Brain.
> > >
> > > What I HAVE said is I wonder if your families know how you act in
> > > a public forum.
> >
> > Prehaps you have never said but you clearly try to make as if your
> > realy anice and only has our family's best interest at heart

Break
>
> It's not your family's interest, Mark. It's yours.
>
> You need help. Someone needs to get you to it since you won't go
> on your own.

You are lying again but which is the lie Stevie, that you carry on this
becuase you are a sadist or becuase youcareabout or is it simpleir than
that are both a lie
>
> > > Do you lie to your wife and kids like you do in here?
> > >
> > > > > Stevie Claims a lot of ####
> > > >
> > > > Agreed. He backs up none of it with documentation.
> > >
> > > I have all the "documentation" I need right here when it comes to
> > > you two...your own words. Lot's of it. Over and over.
> >
> > All that you need prehaps. since you need none at all I am sure you
> > have that
> > but you don't back it up for anyone else.
>

> Sure I do.

As I suspect you have all you need, which may wel be none at all
The rest of us have higher standards

>
> Brain insisted that I was never in MARS and know nothing about it.
> I made one error as it pertainted to Chief MARS, and he now claims my
> lack of knowledge on MARS is "vast".

You admit to one error from experence I'd there exist at least 10
errors for every one you admit to

>
> I gave him sepcific dates, times, places, callsigns, even military
> units of assignment where pertinent.

So

>
> Then he said, "Oh, I need "DOCUMENTATION".

And you did not provide it
>
> Whelp, he's got far more information to verify MY claims than any
> he's ever provided for any claim he's ever made.
>

You the lazy bum you are want him to do the work of proving YOUR Claim

> As far as I am concerned, the information's been delivered.

I am sure you think so, don't make it so

>
> > You don't use our own word
> > you make stuff up, or you distort the context, or at best you start
> > assume, and if you were ever a maraine you know what ASSuME means
>
> Nothing's made up, Mark.

You have made lots of stuff Not in position to say what you made up vs
what you distorted beyond all reason w.r.t. Brain Idon't eneough about
him

>
> Even YOU have the resources to verify anything I've ever said in
> this forum except that I won't discuss my combat service in the Corps.
> If Lennie and Brain want to subsequently say "it didn't happen", well,
> they can have fun doing it but they'd still be wrong.

A simple lie I don't have those resourses, not without digging for them
at best, and to say I might be able to dig out of yoour lies and
obfucations the data is being generous in assuimng that your aren't
lying win saying I can.

But I digress it is not MY job to prove YOUR Claims. It is not Brain or Lennies Job either. They are YOUR claims YOU back em up

As your Claims of comabt if memory serves (and it may not) you claims to have served under a General with REALLY big ego problems (to go with a his military skills) MacArther when the Chinesse entered that war, not that I neccesaly believe it that but as I recal that was one of your claims

>
> > Now I will never try to stop you from making an ### of yourself, that
> > is your right, but you don't have the right to make others make the
> > trip with you
>
> Coming from the "Drafted At Age Eight And They Won't Let Me Resign
> Colonel In The Chemical Corps..."...?!?!?!

Never said any such thing, the above is your distortion of what I have said, it is your straw man, you made up claim. as result BTW your presuming that YOU can dictate how people use the english language

>
> ROTMFFLMMFAO! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

perhaps you are, but I doubt it

>
> > > As for my affiliation with MARS, well, you have the dates,
> > > callsigns, etc. Any 12 year old who's watched a week's worth of CSI
> > > could follow those leads.
> >
> > There is the porblem I am 41 not 12 how foolish of me not realize it
> > (paraphrase of Maj West lost in space)
>
> Oh Markie! You're lost in space, alright!

Nope I am here on earth OTH if I had the chance yeah I'd take a spot even on the Jupiter 2

>
> And how silly of ME...Guess 41 year olds don't watch CSI,
> eh...?!?!

I suspect many people that are 41 do watch CSI. I don't as is my right

>
> > > > > I said relitively harmless, they are far more dangerous one than
> > > > > Stevie. more wooried about him inciting other folks with his crap.
> > > >
> > > > The thought of Steve as puppetmaster...
> > >
> > > But you're the PuppetBoy, Brain...Dutifully mimicking your
> > > master's words.
> >

> >
> > Who is Brain's Master? ME Steve (it is the clear inference) flattering
> > but another lie on your part
>
> Remember what you said about "assume", Markie...?!?!

Then WHO are you claiming
>
> No...it's NOT you...You flatter yourself.

Nope I don't I was following you tortured logic, it seems I did not
torture it enough in trying to follow you

>
> The "honor" of being Brain's string puller belongs to another.

Then Who? Some mysterious "THEM" perhaps
>
> > > > But then, when he made his "bricks through windows, slashed tires, and
> > > > terrorized wives" comments, he assured us that it was only "other
> > > > people" who do such things. He is as pure as the driven snow.
> > >
> > > And so far you've been making the not so veiled suggestion that I
> > > said all of those as a threat against you, Lennie, or whomever.
> >
> > And so you have in the sentence above.
>
> > > It's yet more evidence of your own lying, Burke.
> >
> > No it is evidence of your guilt
>
> Guilt of what?

Threats and intimadation

>
> > > So far you've not made good on substantiating THAT claim.
> >
> > No need you do that for him
>
> No, I've not.

Yep you do

>
> However Brain HAS made MY point that he's a pathological liar.

Again Nursie practicing Medicine without a License, and form a lowly
LPN not even an RN, but from a Nursing school dropout that has to hide
behind a dead child rather than admit he that lacksed what it takes.

>
> Over and over and over and over and.....................

More hyperbole

>
> > > Do you not see why it's so easy to prove you a liar, Burke?
> >
> > I don't. I'll wager he doesn't, since you haven't proven anything.
>
> Sure I have. Repeatedly.

No you haven't, you have not bothered to prove anything that I can
recall in the whole time I have dealt with you. The best you do is try
and get overs to carry your freight

>
> His suggestion that comments I made about how Internet antagonists
> like Lennie Anderson have incited people to do the aforementioned
> violent acts was a "thinly veiled threat" being one of them.
>
> Brain could defend his accusations then, he can't do it now.

They are self eveident when combined with your history of making
threats, or murder and of your powers to have someone crimnal
prosecuted etc,

>
> Then we can recycle his "ARES is overblown" comments, followed up
> with reams of reports of how ARES teams are being lauded and the
> federal government is pouring in $100's of thousands of dollars to
> support ARES programs.

One and this is not an atteck on ARES but simple statement of fact, the
Govt pours Millions into sewer studing the contents therein. Being Govt
funded is NOT eveidence that funded program has merit

Two Hams are certainly overblown inthier beliefs of what they do in the
event of disaster. That we have over blown expectation is in some ways
helpful

three recycling trash that you have already distorted at least is about
all you do

>
> Let's not forget his "Unlicensed devices play a major role in
> emergency comms" rant, along with his "I was legal DX from Somalia"
> stories.

Unlicensed devices certainly do and will play a large perhaps even
major role

I simpley don't know about Somalia not do I care for more of your
recycling job

>
> > None even yur membership in Mars, not that I realy doubt it (or care)
> > but you have not proved it, You are too lazy to do that you want him to

> > prove it for you.
> >
> > Well I know I will not carry your baggage I doubt brain will either
>
> You're not man enough to carry MY "baggage", Mark, and Brain
> couldn't find it.

Arnold the Govt and Jessie Ventura and the current Ms Unerverse are not man enough to carry your Baggage Stevie, I wonder if your baggage can be carry by a C-5 Galaxy. No shame in admiting I can't carry your baggage, not do I intend to try

>
> Brain was given information so he could independently verify it.
> I am quote sure that even if I got replacemtn copies of old licenses

More spelling probalem Stevie

> and authorizations, he'd claim them "altered" or other such silliness.

I Suspect you may be right, for once becuase altering them is easy to do, and he (nor I) trust you. Perhaps you begin to see how hard it is to prove something, and will stop claiming that you accomlished this al but impossible task

>
>
> He'd have a hard time calling the folks in the various MARS or
> USMC offices liars, though.

He'd have to find them

>
> > > Do these posts need to wind up in your wife's hands so SOMEone can
> > > get you into the help you need to stop your erratic behaviour...?!?!
> >
> > And there is a Blackmail threat Steve
>
> It's only blackmail if I stand to gain from the act, Mark.

and you do. Your gain is several fold, You gain sadist pleasure by making. You gain if sucessfull silencing those that dare to oppose you

Therefore you now admit to blackmail.

>
> Brain (and you, for that matter) are in need of definitive care.
> Sometimes it takes the insistance of the family to push a person to do
> what's right.

You are practicing medicine without a license, under condictions that real Docs would hardly try. Even Sen Frist, had a video tape to look at even making his long distance medical judgement. You have never meet me. (Thank god Hail mary, Allah be praised, Cthulu be thanked) as far

BTW as a side my partner of the lasts 4 has heard and read your words
and has "given the matter all the attention it derserves) namely a good
laugh

>
> > > (Please don't give me any more of that cock-of-the-walk "You
> > > better not.." language either, Brain...You need help...lot's of it...)
> >
> > More of your genital obsession again
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> Sorry, Mark..."cock" does NOT exclusively refer to male genitalia.
>
> Do some research on what "cock-of-the-walk" means.

I do know but your choice of that phrase still shows your obcession,
with the comon genital reference is still a siagn of your obcession

We have about 8 cocks of the wlak around the yard, roosters
>
> > > > > Hence i take and have always taken precautions and thus Steve has never
> > > > > managed to get closer than I have choosen to allow him he has contacted
> > > > > people that know me and where the trails of breadcrumbs lead
> > > >
> > > > I think he's a creep.
> > >
> > > Sure you do.
> >
> > But you don't deny being a creep. Interesting
>
> Sure I do. My respose to him was one of sarcasm.

Guess you do NOW
>
> > > I don't take your lies and deceit.
> >
> > But you don't deny being a creep. Interesting
>
> Sure I do. My response to him was one of sarcasm.
>
> > > > Leave no crumbs if you can help it.
> > >
> > > Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....a crumb leaving a crumb...That's kinda
> > > like child abandonment, isn't it?
> > >
> > > > > Vermin Like Steve is why I am not nor will I ever be completely honest
> > > > > on the Internet about my bio or location etc
> > > >
> > > > Vermin! Hi!

> > > >
> > > > And I like the way you broke his name down; Robber's Son.
> > >
> > > I guess there's some proof of that too...
> >
> > What proof does that require just adding a space
>
> Adding a space?

I am laughing at your weak mind. BTW just in case I will remind you
that I am something of a sadist, but generaly believe only in
tormenting consenting mashochists, you make it hard not to laugh at you

>
> What are you talking about?

You don't know?
>
> > > Just another lie by people who find it easy to lie.
> >
> > Not unless you are lieing on your FCC ap when spelled your name
> > RoberSon
>
> Guess you ought to look at QRZ and see how my name's spelled,
> Mark. Of course I know accuracy and truth are not your strong suits.

I simply have trusted that you were not lying about the spelling of
your name, and what is on your FCC and your real name are not going to
be revailed by a QRZ search

>
> Steve, K4YZ

4075. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 18:23:08 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gMWwe.2048$8f7.1848@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111305

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote

> And there were 'farm' sets that worked off of 32 volts, some had vibrator
> power supplies but some used 32 VDC as the B+. The 32 volt systems used
> outlets just like 110vac outlets.

Could well have been 32V --- just assumed 6V based on the batteries Dad had in the cellar. They were wired direct to the radio, not through any outlets. Radio was the only "electric" thing in the house.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4076. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 18:26:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120156015.934281.66880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111306

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >Some Moron said
> > > What I mean is: "I t p r o v e s t h a t y o u a r e n 't a b l e t o f o l l o w i n s t r u c t i o n s.
> >
> > No steve what you don't understand is the FCC area bunch of scumbags
> > who go to the federal courts claiming that all a person has to do is
> > apply for a license and a waiver, and when some one does it (and by the
> > way I'm NOT the only one that has done it) the FCC wouldn't time the
> > #####ing time to even look at the application or waiver.
>
> "...wouldn't time the #####ing time..."
>
> Angry, aren't you?

Perhaps he is so what, Now people need your premission to get angery?

>
> > The FCC DOES NOT SERVE THE PUBLIC INTREST...(SNIP)
>
> That's your opinion.
>
> However they did, in their best judgement, keep YOU off the public
> airwaves, and as far as I am concerned that was worth almost all the
> other grief they could possibly promulgate for any radio service.

Well they did not keep him off the public airwaves, they kept him off
Comercail ones, but he on the public airwaves, as are you.

>
> > (UNSNIP)...the only interest the FCC serves is the BIG companies.
>
> Gee, Todd...there's a couple of LPFM stations near me, and none of
> them seem to have any problems with "big companies" >>OR<< the FCC.
>
> > That's all I really have to say because in my opinion
> > your nothing but a waste of space, a sadist control freak, with a god
> > complex.
>
> Let's see...why am I NOT impressed...?!?!

Most likely becuase you are an unsuccesfull control freak ....

>
> You're a foul-mouthed bigot...

And You Steve are Bigot.

>
> You're a radio scofflaw...

You are a stalker

>
> You're borderline functionally illiterate...

you are a Hatemonger

>
> You're a deadbeat parasite, almost 40 and still mooching off
> mumsie and popsie...

A Lazy bum that wants others to do HIS work
>
> I could go on, but anything else would just be icing on the
> cake...

As Could I but you put it well
>
> Steve, K4YZ

4077. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 18:29:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jSWwe.2050$8f7.1953@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111307

"Radio Hero" <hero@storyheroho.org> wrote
>
> Hans used to walk eight miles to school in bare feet...
>

Actually only about 3/4-mile. Wildwood School, District 28, one room grades 1-8, but rarely kids in every grade, average enrollment about 10 kids. Teacher was Mrs. Isabelle Schneider. At 9th grade you went to "town school" on a yellow bus. During blizzards the bus didn't take you home, so you stayed at your "storm home" --- all the farm kids were assigned one of those.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4078. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 18:33:59 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120156439.019012.194870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111308

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > I think it's a terrible idea for a whole bunch of reasons.
>
> I think it still needs some work, especially the liberal/social-engineering part
> where they arbitrarily segregate hams to frequency segments based on license
> classes.
>
> In the republican/conservative spirit of "less government is better government",
> here is a better proposal:
>
> ---
>
> 97.1 To get an Amateur Radio license, you are required
> to pass a technical test to show that you understand how
> to build simple equipment which meets spectral purity
> specifications of (.....blah, blah, blah). You will be issued
> a license and callsign when you pass the test. Transmit
> your call sign once every 10 minutes when on the air.
>
> 97.2 Your power limit is 1.5KW to the antenna.
>
> 97.3 Here are your authorized frequency bands. (list)
> Stay inside of them.
>
> 97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and
> communicate and do public service and talk to strangers
> in far away lands and launch communications satellites
> into space and any other cool technical "radio stuff"
> you may think up. The government doesn't
> care what mode you use for any of this. (See 97.3)

. . . wall-to-wall Pactor and spread-spectrum and it all goes downhill
fast from there . . .

> 97.5 Play nice. We'll try to keep the CBers out of
> your hair. Deliberate interference, unresolved dirty
> signals, or other asinine behavior on your part will
> cause Riley Hollingsworth to come and permanently
> kick your ass off the playground. Have fun.
>
> Love always,
> /signed/ FCC
>

> ----------

4079. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 18:35:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120156514.851322.243800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111309

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Hardly embellished; Hans has his citation.
> > > > >
> > > > > What, might I gently ask, have you done for this country? One doesn't
> > > > > progress beyond E-7 by being a bullsh*t artist, you know .... :))
> > > >
> > > > Really Most miliatry promotion, indeed most promotions in Government
> > > > and private industry is some form of politics.
> > >
> > > BWHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH> > ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > Spoken like a true gay pagan draftee chemical corps officer, Mark!
> >
> > again with your genitla fixation and your mocking of my relingion as
> > well your Langage Nazi routinue
>
> There's no "genitalia fixation" or mocking of your "relingion",
> Mark.

Your made post about my consulting Hirim Maxim via tarrot cards its
tone was mocking and insulting
>
> Did you not say you participatre in sex with men?

Nope I did not You went stalking over the net, and then brought it back
to make an issue of. Not sure I have ever said I have had sex with men
on this newsgroup. I have said thing like so what if I have etc. Otoh I
may well have admitted to it when you challanged me, becuase I am not
ashamed of it, but it has NO place here, and WOULD only be brought up
by a Homophobic gay basher like yourself

>
> Did you not say you are pagan?

No I never brought it here, You did.

I simply choose not to deny it

Now what does either of these to do radio Ham or otherwise

>
> Did you not say you were allegedly drafted into the Army, then
> forcibly commissioned into the "chemical corps"...?!?!

Nope I never said iwas drafted INTO the army, you made that up from my
saying I was not being allowed to leave the IRR
>
> Your words, Markie...not mine...

No YOUR WORDS

>
> > > Having been promoted six times in the Marines, I can tell you
> > > that, at least for Enlisted personnel in that service, politics has
> > > NOTHING to do with it.
> >
> > No it shows you were playing the political game without even being
> > bright enough to know you were doing it
>
> No...It shows I was doing my job in a professional and military
> manner.

No shows you were playing the game. period
>
> > > > Bull#### well spun get some people very far indeed Clinton for example.
> > >
> > > That's because ALL Clinton was was a politician, so WHAT did you
> > > expect?
> >
> > The results obtained
> >
> > I try but i'll guess these of Omar Bradley (placed in his mouth in
> > movie which he took part in making) words are not enough to convince,
> > "I am afraid being a good soldier doesn't mean a thing. we have to be
> > politcials, adminatraor you name it"
>
> That's fine for flag rank officers, Markie.

No it isn't Stevie it is a threat to the safety of the troops, and is a
dreadfull thing

>
> I was not one.

for which the nation May thank god
>
> > > > > Perhaps you'd care to share your expertise in communications.
> > >
> > > You really ought to go back to 98 and later and read some of
> > > Markie's stuff, Jim! What a HOOT!
> >
> > GIGO
> >

> > your point?
>
> "GIGO" is about right...Nothing but "garbage out" from Mark
> Morgan.
>
> THAT was my point, and you do so well substantiating it for me.

Then your point was and remains irrelavant
>
> Steve, K4YZ

4080. Re: Why Does Brian P Burke Defame The Character Of Deceased Honest Men?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 19:01:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120156797.880450.295320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111312

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1120001116.722248.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > >>
> > > >>K4YZ wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>>bb wrote:
> > > >>>
> > > >>>>John Smith wrote:
> > > >>>>
> > > >>>>>Len:
> > > >>>>>
> > > >>>>>>Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint of
> > > >>>>>>heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> > > >>>>>>children, small animals and gays)...
> > > >>>>
> > > >>>>And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
> > > >>>
> > > >>> Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
> > > >>>
> > > >>> You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
> > > >>>untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
> > > >>>
> > > >>> I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
> > > >>>weren't lost..knew right where they were.
> > > >>
> > > >>Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
> > > >>All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
> > > >>as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.
> > > >>
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Interesting how you can derive prejudice from a simple question.
> > >
> > > Probably becuase the question often gets answers that are not in line
> > > with other people's prejudices.
> >
> > Probably because the nature of the "simple" question is negative and is

> > seeking a like response.
break
>
> The simple answer to your suggestion that the NCT's have all been
> chased away is that they've NOT been all chased away.

Not all indeed but most

>
> > Probably because further dialog confirms an already negative viewpoint
> > of No Code Techs.
>
> I again insist that this must be a North of the Mason-Dixon line
> issue. No such "negative viewpoint" exists here.

It exists right here and in your person. If they dare to assert that
they have rights at all, if they are good little code niggers and mind
Massa Rober Son
>
> Maybe because we go out of the way to include them?

You don't

>
> > Probably because Dan and Dave chimed in with negative responses as
> > well.
> >
> > Probably because I have seen many Extras with such attitudes, many of
> > them right here on RRAP.
> >
> > Probably because Robber's Son keeps asking who chased away the Techs
> > when the answer is so plainly visible.
>
> No, it's not.
>
> You insist it's happened. I say it hasn't.
>
> Even casual observation reveals that's not an accurate assessment.
> Again I acknowledge that that is probably a regional experience.
>
> Why do you continue to defame the character of a deceased honest
> man?

Who is Being defamed?

Can dish it out but can't take it Stevie boy desendant of thieves

>
> Steve, K4YZ

4081. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 19:03:21 UTC
From: Radio Hero <hero@storyheroho.org>
Message-ID: <da1ff0$1jh$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111311

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:gMWwe.2048$8f7.1848@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote
>
>> And there were 'farm' sets that worked off of 32 volts, some had vibrator
>> power supplies but some used 32 VDC as the B+. The 32 volt systems used
>> outlets just like 110vac outlets.
>
> Could well have been 32V --- just assumed 6V based on the batteries Dad
> had in the cellar. They were wired direct to the radio, not through any
> outlets. Radio was the only "electric" thing in the house.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

You are getting better Hans. That was a good spin. Play up the "unknown voltage" thing when you retell the story at the Legion Hall.

4082. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 19:06:57 UTC
From: Radio Hero <hero@storyheroho.org>
Message-ID: <da1fln$2qm$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111313

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:jSWwe.2050$8f7.1953@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Radio Hero" <hero@storyheroho.org> wrote
>>
>> Hans used to walk eight miles to school in bare feet...
>>
>
> Actually only about 3/4-mile. Wildwood School, District 28, one room
> grades 1-8, but rarely kids in every grade, average enrollment about 10
> kids. Teacher was Mrs. Isabelle Schneider. At 9th grade you went to
> "town school" on a yellow bus. During blizzards the bus didn't take you
> home, so you stayed at your "storm home" --- all the farm kids were
> assigned one of those.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Hmmm, not enough "action" or "Radio Hero" stuff, if you stick to this story line. When you spin this story down at the Legion Hall, work in how you saved all the kids, using a 32v hand wired radio.

4083. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 19:52:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Q3Ywe.2085$8f7.918@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111316

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> 97.3 Here are your authorized frequency bands. (list)
> Stay inside of them.
>
> 97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and
> communicate and do public service and talk to strangers
> in far away lands and launch communications satellites
> into space and any other cool technical "radio stuff"
> you may think up. The government doesn't
> care what mode you use for any of this. (See 97.3)

> . . . wall-to-wall Pactor and spread-spectrum and
> it all goes downhill fast from there . . .

If that's true, I think it already would have happened. The US is one of only a very few countries which has mandated "mode sub-bands".

73, de Hans, K0HB

4084. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 20:34:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120163670.465818.28290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111317

From: "bb" on Wed 29 Jun 2005 21:01

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> > > Stebie is very, very busy trying to light his match to blaze
>> > > hisself in the glory and majesty (his idea) of MARS-manship.
>> > > [ASSISTANTS get like that some times...]
>> >
>> > I can't accept his claims to have been in MARS in any capacity. The
>> > ignorance he has displayed wrt MARS is too vast.
>>
>> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>>
>> Coming from a documented chronic liar and a pathetic old man who
>> has NO practical experience in Amateur Radio >>OR<< MARS, this is
>> reeeeeeeeeeeeeeal impressive (not)....
>>
>> Losers both.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve, calling names and stretching out words with lots of extra
>letters lends nothing to your non-existent credibility.

Stebie has all the charm and warmth of Godzilla on a bad hair
day...

>And you plugging into an aircraft intercom is not communications
>experience.

Yes, it might be...the ASSISTANT NCOIC of MARS may need to ask
the chief NCOIC of MARS how to tune up a tube transmitter:
"Is it peak the plate and dip the grid or the other way around?"

Important, vital, radio communications to keep democracy safe
in the free world...by handling H&W messages to the folks at
home.

>I do not beleive you when you claimed having held a leadership position
>in MARS. Had you ever actually held such a position, you would have
>been given a letter of appointment. That's how its done. So show it.

Stebie no gots da written orders. His word is his "bond." Of course, the bond papers haven't been filed in this court, but that is just a triviality. :-)

In reality, an ASSISTANT NCOIC position might just be on the regular Morning Report of his Company. The ones actually listed for any station would be the KEY people...first the OIC, then the NCOIC. [Officer In Charge, Non-Commissioned Officer In Charge for the civilian readers]

MARS doesn't normally operate IN the USA ham bands but that seems a minor technicality in here. All is pomp and circumstance and medals and titles with Stebie. In fact, Stebie seems to regard this entire newsgroup as his personal blog to carry on the endless nastygrams he sends chewing out those he no like.

We no gots Stebie papers, no evidence, no proof. We all take his "word" for everything. Stebie RULES. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4085. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 20:49:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120164558.838939.326670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111318

From: Michael Coslo on Thurs 30 Jun 2005 08:19

>Kim wrote:
>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>>What is more important:
>>>
>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>
>>>
>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>
>>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>
>>
>> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never really
>> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV, anything
>> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV, etc. I
>> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this newsgroup,
>> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just don't
>> wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are pretty
>> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode (as I do
>> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor the
>> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast things
>> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the "idiots"
>> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms :o).
>>
>> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and I
>> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So, could
>> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I don't
>> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>
> Yeah, "haters" was the wrong choice of word in retrospect.

No, it wasn't "wrong." It got exactly what you wanted to get
in here...more polarization on a topic already rife with
polarized "opinions" and personal pontifications.

It is no different than the self-ascribed "representative" of ALL
radio amateurs saying a challenger "hates ham radio" when all the
challenger did was dislike the opinion of the "representative."

The hobby activity of amateur radio is supposedly about personal recreation of the hobbyist (which includes personal daydreams of being in a "service to the nation"...if the daydreamer is a bit over the edge). That means they get the OPTION of doing anything they want as allowed by the FCC.

Ya know what? The FCC has long since abandoned any necessity of hams being required by law to show evidence of "CW" contacts in a year's time! [How about that...and...Sunnuvagun!] Every single allocated mode is perfectly optional to use at the licensee's OPTION!

Isn't that "terrible!" OPTION! Why, with all the nastywords and implied impropriety of all those who do not choose the beloved, honored, revered, treasured, adored "CW," all those who don't bother with "CW" ought to turn in their ham licenses and make public apologies for not "holding tradition!"

But, the olde-fahrts still shout and holler for "tradition" and demands to keep the test for "CW" that is adored, treasured, revered, honored, beloved, etc., because "that is how it should be!" [in their tight little fantasy world] Every ham, according to those olde-fahrt wunderkinder of long-ago days, MUST do good morsemanship! :-)

One MUST "show dedication, etc., to the 'amateur community'" by doing as all those olde-fahrts DEMAND. ["it is only right" cry the olde-fahrts] They think they "rule." No problem. Well, one problem...no forwarding address to send all that "dedication and committment" to the "community" as required by the unwritten law...

Meanwhile, the newcomers (who don't seem to count to the olde-fahrts or the league of distinguished, nearly extinguished gentlemen of Newington) are getting IN to amateur radio through the NO-CODE-TEST class. Many more than are "flocking" to the "CW faithful" churches of the lesser-day saints of "CW." They take the OPTIONS available by law. Nearly half of all ham licensees by now...

Option is no failure.

Continue to shun AC and keep the polarization going. Ask them pre-loaded questions.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4086. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 20:51:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1120164689.695815.262300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111319

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 30 Jun 2005 09:11

>K□B wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>>
>> > Where did the six volts come from out in your boonies?
>>
>> Wind-powered charger on the roof of the barn. (Not all windmills pumped
>>water).
>
>Where did the B+ come from? Dynamotor, vibrator, batteries?

How many CONSUMER ELECTRONICS radios had "dynamotors," oh great
graduate of the ivy leagues?

Show your "radio history" expertise at work. Remember, CONSUMER
electronics, sold to civilians not the military.

>---
>
>Most of the classic windcharger systems I know of were nominally 32
>volts. When I lived in a rural part 2-land, windmill towers were still
>plentiful, although most held TV antennas.

Oh, my, the great guru of radio was how old circa 1958? Was
the great guru born with radio knowledge already implanted?
Or was familiarity already pre-programmed at birth?

"Not all windmills pumped water" was already stated by Hans.
A cross-country travel NOW will show there are plenty of
windmill structures still up and still doing something. STOP
and ASK for details if you need to show "expertise."

Non-electrified rural communities had all sorts of self-electrical
systems. Open any Sears Roebuck catalog of the 1950s and see.

The "nominal 32 Volt" systems might have been common to MARINE
applications. General Radio Company said so in their description
of their 1950s-era Frequency/Time Standard...which used 16 large
lead-acid batteries in series as AC back-up. One was put into
service at the Ramo-Wooldridge Corporation Calibration Lab when
I worked there in 1958-1960. Helped put it in, did the weekly
checks with the old east coast WWV stations on Saturdays (nice
little overtime addition to paycheck).

There's lots of "experts" on 1950s-era "power systems" in here,
such as the "knowledgeable about military surplus" who claim

WW2 Jeeps had 28 V ignition systems. No problem. They will all have errors which will please your "correctness" syndrome. Feel free to ruler-spank the naughty, sister Nun of the Above.

pump, pump

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4087. Re: MARS and Amateur Radio are a "Service to the Nation..." MARS Chief Says Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 21:02:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120165364.061775.56470@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111320

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed 29 Jun 2005 21:01
>
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > Stebie is very, very busy trying to light his match to blaze
> >> > > hisself in the glory and majesty (his idea) of MARS-manship.
> >> > > [ASSISTANTS get like that some times...]
> >> >
> >> > I can't accept his claims to have been in MARS in any capacity. The
> >> > ignorance he has displayed wrt MARS is too vast.
> >>
> >> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> >>
> >> Coming from a documented chronic liar and a pathetic old man who
> >> has NO practical experience in Amateur Radio >>OR<< MARS, this is
> >> reeeeeeeeeeeeeeal impressive (not)....
> >>
> >> Losers both.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Steve, calling names and stretching out words with lots of extra
> >letters lends nothing to your non-existent credibility.

break
>
> Stebie has all the charm and warmth of Godzilla on a bad hair
> day...

Or on a Good hair day
>
> >And you plugging into an aircraft intercom is not communications
> >experience.
>
> Yes, it might be...the ASSISTANT NCOIC of MARS may need to ask
> the chief NCOIC of MARS how to tune up a tube transmitter:
> "Is it peak the plate and dip the grid or the other way around?"
>
> Important, vital, radio communications to keep democracy safe

> in the free world...by handling H&W messages to the folks at
> home.
>
> >I do not beleive you when you claimed having held a leadership position
> >in MARS. Had you ever actually held such a position, you would have
> >been given a letter of appointment. That's how its done. So show it.
>
> Stebie no gots da written orders. His word is his "bond." Of
> course, the bond papers haven't been filed in this court, but
> that is just a triviality. :-)
>
> In reality, an ASSISTANT NCOIC position might just be on the
> regular Morning Report of his Company. The ones actually
> listed for any station would be the KEY people...first the OIC,
> then the NCOIC. [Officer In Charge, Non-Commissioned Officer
> In Charge for the civilian readers]
>
> MARS doesn't normally operate IN the USA ham bands but that seems
> a minor technicality in here. All is pomp and circumstance and
> medals and titles with Stebie. In fact, Stebie seems to regard
> this entire newsgroup as his personal blog to carry on the endless
> nastygrams he sends chewing out those he no like.
>
> We no gots Stebie papers, no evidence, no proof. We all take his
> "word" for everything. Stebie RULES. :-)

Lennie don't take this the wroung way but I realy wish you were wroung
about Stevie

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

4088. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 21:17:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120166235.360433.93870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111321

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
> >
> > 97.3 Here are your authorized frequency bands. (list)
> > Stay inside of them.
> >
> > 97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and
> > communicate and do public service and talk to strangers
> > in far away lands and launch communications satellites
> > into space and any other cool technical "radio stuff"
> > you may think up. The government doesn't
> > care what mode you use for any of this. (See 97.3)
>
> > . . . wall-to-wall Pactor and spread-spectrum and
> > it all goes downhill fast from there . . .
>
> If that's true, I think it already would have happened. The US is one of only a
> very few countries which has mandated "mode sub-bands".
>
The US is also one of the few countries with a large and relatively
affluent amateur radio population licensed to use relatively high
powered transmitters.

Canada, IIRC, has less than 1/10th the number of hams as the USA,
spread out across a larger area. Of course Canada also has a
proportionately smaller population. Yet the Canadian HF ham bands are
virtually the same as the US ones. Perhaps Leo can give us a more
precise comparison, and the Canadian amateur power limit.

--

The problems of repeater coordination on 2 meters (a band wider than
all amateur HF/MF bands put together!) show the difficulties of
depending solely on informal agreements. And repeaters are relatively
local!

It seems to me that it's more spectrum-efficient to have like modes
together, rather than mixed.

There's also the robot station situation to consider.

--

IMHO, narrow and wide modes do not coexist well. That alone is a good
reason to have subbands-by-mode, or at least subbands-by-bandwidth, on
the ham bands.

--

btw, Hans, when are you going to submit your restructuring proposal to FCC?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4089. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:00:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0cadnSarT9Jb9lnfRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 111322

"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:xehy40rgttpme82.290620051950@kirk...
> let me see-the mean age for hams is approx 65-if we add 25 years 65+25=90
>
> since the lifespan of men is shorter than females-you are joking right
>
> have you QSO'ed with many 90 year old hams in resthomes-that must be
> exciting
>
>

I said most of the hams I knew. I did not say the average ham.

I've QSO'd with several 90+ year old hams. Who says just because they are 90+ that they are in a nursing home? Who says that they are not interesting? I had the pleasure of talking with W5BQU, who was over 100 and he was still quite sharp.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4090. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:07:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120169252.760728.243970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111323

KØHB wrote:
> "Radio Hero" <hero@storyheroho.org> wrote

> > Hans used to walk eight miles to school in bare feet...

> Actually only about 3/4-mile. Wildwood School, District 28,
> one room grades
> 1-8, but rarely kids in every grade, average enrollment about
> 10 kids. Teacher
> was Mrs. Isabelle Schneider. At 9th grade you went to "town
> school" on a yellow
> bus. During blizzards the bus didn't take you home, so you
> stayed at your
> "storm home" --- all the farm kids were assigned one of those.

3/4 mile? The schools I went to from Grades 1-12 were a mile away!
Little kids took the bus; after 3rd grade or so we just walked. Grades
1-8 was uphill all the way to school but downhill all the way home.
Grades 9-12 was a little rolling.

We did have 110/220 VAC, though. Sidewalks too! And the RESCO store was
on the way home. I still have some of the parts and books I bought
there.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4091. K1MAN Info Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:10:50 UTC
From: Rob Sheldon <sheldon391@shelltdcommsco.org>
Message-ID: <da1qeemkb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 111324

Does anyone know the name of the communications law firm
which was retained this week by MAN?

tnx

Rob
Syracuse NY

4092. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:12:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NMWdnVEdFer781nfRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 111325

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1120102931.395210.144760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1120001116.722248.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >>
>> >>K4YZ wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>bb wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>John Smith wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>Len:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint
>> >>>>>of
>> >>>>>heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
>> >>>>>children, small animals and gays)...
>> >>>>
>> >>>>And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
>> >>>
>> >>> Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
>> >>>
>> >>> You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
>> >>>untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
>> >>>
>> >>> I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
>> >>>weren't lost..knew right where they were.
>> >>
>> >>Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
>> >>All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
>> >>as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> > Interesting how you can derive prejudice from a simple question.
>>
>> Probably becuase the question often gets answers that are not in line
>> with other people's prejudices.
```

>
> Probably because the nature of the "simple" question is negative and is
> seeking a like response.
>

Again you read in what is not there.

> Probably because further dialog confirms an already negative viewpoint
> of No Code Techs.
>

You read in what is not there. If you had been around for a while, you would know that I have repeatedly expressed positive viewpoints of the no code Techs. There are many of them who are heavily involved in the club to which I belong and contribute a great deal to our club. Several of our officers are no code Techs. Our satellite guru was a no code Tech until he took time out to upgrade. Many of our no code Techs are johnny on the spot in supporting public service events. Several becames ham solely because they were interested in this aspect of ham radio. None of them are whining about how tough it is to learn the code. Many of our public service people have no interest in upgrading and that's no problem. We do not push them to do so as they are getting what they want out of ham radio. Those who wish to upgrade get our full support and help.

> Probably because Dan and Dave chimed in with negative responses as
> well.
>

No they express disdain only for the whiners.

>
> Probably because I have seen many Extras with such attitudes, many of
> them right here on RRAP.
>

Again it is only the whiners that get their hackles up not the no code Techs in general.

> Probably because Robber's Son keeps asking who chased away the Techs
> when the answer is so plainly visible.
>

It is not at all "so plainly visible". All of us have reached out to those who wanted/needed help to upgrade. Unfortunately all of us have experienced those "know it all" individuals who think they know more than the experienced amateurs.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4093. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:14:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <k6OdnVE4L5N181nfRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 111326

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120099565.310388.260960@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:k6WdnW5_PK8_zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com...
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>What is more important:
>> >>>
>> >>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> YMMV
>> >>
>> >> I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>> >
>> > Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus, and
>> > getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go
>> > by
>> > ("flying behind the plane")
>> >
>> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> As I have mentioned before, my ex had a 70% hearing loss in each ear and
>> tinnitus in both ears. Yet he passed the code. He just cranked the
>> volume
>> up and used headphones. If he can do it, anyone can.
>
> sorry yuo simply don't know what you are taking about
>

You have no data on what to base such an assessment of degree of knowledge I
may or may not have.
Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
```

4094. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:21:31 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8NSdnex7ce8A7VnfRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 111327

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:da0nll$o8k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:k6WdnW5_PK8_zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>What is more important:
>>>>>
>>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>YMMV
>>>>
>>>>I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>>>
>>>Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus, and
>>>getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go by
>>>("flying behind the plane")
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>> As I have mentioned before, my ex had a 70% hearing loss in each ear and
>> tinnitus in both ears. Yet he passed the code. He just cranked the
>> volume up and used headphones. If he can do it, anyone can.
>
> I won't deny it can be done - obviously, since my problems are similar. I
> doubt I'll ever be proficient at Morse though. To get an idea of what it
> is like for me, imagine concentrating as hard as you can on something. Can
> I do it? Sure. But not for extended periods.
>
> Certainly turning up the headphones helps, but the levels I use are
> fatiguing, and they sometimes annoy the other ops.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

>

I understand that completely. If my ex was practicing code without the headphones, I had to leave not only the room, but that floor of the house. If he was using headphones, I could hear it more than well enough to copy his practice sessions. The point is that he passed the test.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4095. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:23:39 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <VJ-dnfZWQYK57FnfRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 111328

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:da0o0t$o8k$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Kim wrote:
>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>>What is more important:
>>>
>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>
>>>
>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>
>>>IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>>>thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>
>>
>> Hi Mike:
>>
>> I think you know I don't "hate" Morse Code. I, personally, never really
>> wished to try it out; just like I have never really tried SCTV, anything
>> digital (except for APRS--if that can be considered digital), ATV, etc.
>> I
>> think you get my point. Since I have ever first perused this newsgroup,
>> except for a few real jerks, I'd believe that most of "us" who just don't
>> wander into other means of communication--including Morse Code--are
>> pretty
>> much the same as I am. I absolutely support those who use the mode (as I
>> do
>> anyone who uses and/or invents any other modes), am willing to honor the
>> tradition of Morse Code (as I honor the tradition of other steadfast
>> things
>> in amateur radio), and hold no animosity for anyone--OTHER than the
>> "idiots"
>> on both sides of the floor (as it would be stated in political terms :o).
>>
>> For me, it was never a matter of wanting HF privileges that much, and I
>> learned the 5wpm needed to get the privileges I was happy with. So,
>> could
>> you do me a favor? Please rethink your phrase "Morse Code Haters." I

>> don't
>> think most of us feel that strongly about it.
>
> Yeah, "haters" was the wrong choice of word in retrospect.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Besides that, Kim, you did what people like myself and Mike and so many others advocate. That is you took the testing in place at the time that was required for the privileges that you wanted. You didn't sit on your hands and wait for the testing procedure to change.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4096. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:28:39 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <nN6dnV2qU9fX71nfRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 111329

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:e7Wwe.2043$8f7.1035@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in
>
>> Ye gawds Hans, no 115vac until you were 8-9 years old??! That would
>> have been in the 1958-59 timeframe and REA had just gotten to your
>> neighborhood then?? WTF . . ?!! Or were you in Guatemala??
>
> We got REA in the summer of 1954 when I was 14 years old. Running water
> too. (I was 8 or 9 when I learned Morse.)
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

There were a lot of rural areas like that. I lived on a farm in Iowa from the age of 6 months to 10 years old and there was no electricity or running water there. It was kerosene lamps and carry water from the pump. There were electrical lines in the area, just not to our farm. And from the numbers above, I see that I am about 10 years younger than Hans.

Hey Hans, looks like there's a bunch of city slickers in here!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4097. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:29:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120170588.027772.135250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111330

Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1120102931.395210.144760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:1120001116.722248.88540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>K4YZ wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>bb wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>John Smith wrote:
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>>Len:
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>Yes, they do look the part of ancient toothless bullies--the faint
> >> >>>>>of
> >> >>>>>heart have fled in fear before their rants (as so have the women,
> >> >>>>>children, small animals and gays)...
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>And they ask who chased away the No Code Techs.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Who chased away the NCT's, Brain...?!?!
> >> >>>
> >> >>> You keep reiterating this assinine assertion that is, in fact,
> >> >>>untruthful, yet you continue to DODGE answering the question?
> >> >>>
> >> >>> I talked with two NCT's on the way home fromwork last night...They
> >> >>>weren't lost..knew right where they were.
> >> >>
> >> >>Perhaps you didn't see Dee, Dan and Dave's prejudice in the "Where are
> >> >>All the Techs" thread. It's all pretty self-explanatory, and as plain
> >> >>as that gut of yours in the QRZ photo.
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Interesting how you can derive prejudice from a simple question.
> >>
> >> Probably becuase the question often gets answers that are not in line

> >> with other people's prejudices.
> >
> > Probably because the nature of the "simple" question is negative and is
> > seeking a like response.
> >
>
> Again you read in what is not there.
>
> > Probably because further dialog confirms an already negative viewpoint
> > of No Code Techs.
> >
>
> You read in what is not there. If you had been around for a while, you
> would know that I have repeatedly expressed positive viewpoints of the no
> code Techs. There are many of them who are heavily involved in the club to
> which I belong and contribute a great deal to our club. Several of our
> officers are no code Techs. Our satellite guru was a no code Tech until he
> took time out to upgrade. Many of our no code Techs are johnny on the spot
> in supporting public service events. Several becames ham solely because
> they were interested in this aspect of ham radio. None of them are whining
> about how tough it is to learn the code. Many of our public service people
> have no interest in upgrading and that's no problem. We do not push them to
> do so as they are getting what they want out of ham radio. Those who wish
> to upgrade get our full support and help.
>
> > Probably because Dan and Dave chimed in with negative responses as
> > well.
> >
>
> No they express disdain only for the whiners.
>
> >
> > Probably because I have seen many Extras with such attitudes, many of
> > them right here on RRAP.
> >
>
> Again it is only the whiners that get their hackles up not the no code Techs
> in general.
>

break
> > Probably because Robber's Son keeps asking who chased away the Techs
> > when the answer is so plainly visible.
> >
>
> It is not at all "so plainly visible". All of us have reached out to those
> who wanted/needed help to upgrade. Unfortunately all of us have experienced
> those "know it all" individuals who think they know more than the
> experienced amateurs.

It certainly does many of the techs get tired of being told how we NED
to upgrade, just at at your own words.

My first contact from the ARRL was a letter telling me how I needed to
upgrade
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4098. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:31:00 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <1nr8c1tpuikrsmfiveke0060fviq5pdodk@4ax.com>
Source record: 111331

On 30 Jun 2005 14:17:15 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>snip

>The US is also one of the few countries with a large and relatively
>affluent amateur radio population licensed to use relatively high
>powered transmitters.
>
>Canada, IIRC, has less than 1/10th the number of hams as the USA,
>spread out across a larger area. Of course Canada also has a
>proportionately smaller population. Yet the Canadian HF ham bands are
>virtually the same as the US ones. Perhaps Leo can give us a more
>precise comparison, and the Canadian amateur power limit.

I'll try!

Canadian population - approx. 33 Million.

Current number of Amateur operators: approx. 55 thousand or so....the vast majority reside in Ontario, Quebec and British Columbia (in that order)

Power limits: Basic licence: 250W; Advanced licence: 1KW.

This is a link to the recommended HF bandplans (please note that these are not legislated by government - they are administered and published by the RAC (our equivalent of the ARRL), and adhered to by the Canadian amateur community by 'gentlemen's agreement' (and -um- peer pressure, for those who stray....!)

Out Morse band area tends to be smaller than the US allocation - for example, you'll hear the Trans-Canada Net on LSB at 7.055 MHz.

http://www.rac.ca/service/hfband.htm

73, Leo

>snip

4099. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:32:57 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <eJydnW7vQZHR7InfRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 111332

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:jSWwe.2050$8f7.1953@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Radio Hero" <hero@storyheroho.org> wrote
>>
>> Hans used to walk eight miles to school in bare feet...
>>
>
> Actually only about 3/4-mile. Wildwood School, District 28, one room
> grades 1-8, but rarely kids in every grade, average enrollment about 10
> kids. Teacher was Mrs. Isabelle Schneider. At 9th grade you went to
> "town school" on a yellow bus. During blizzards the bus didn't take you
> home, so you stayed at your "storm home" --- all the farm kids were
> assigned one of those.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

"Storm homes" sounds like a good idea. In our area, they just cancelled the buses and the farmers came into town on their tractors pulling wagons to get the kids home.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4100. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:36:53 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PqCdnSDr-rml6VnfRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 111333

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Q3Ywe.2085$8f7.918@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>>
>> 97.3 Here are your authorized frequency bands. (list)
>> Stay inside of them.
>>
>> 97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and
>> communicate and do public service and talk to strangers
>> in far away lands and launch communications satellites
>> into space and any other cool technical "radio stuff"
>> you may think up. The government doesn't
>> care what mode you use for any of this. (See 97.3)
>
>> . . . wall-to-wall Pactor and spread-spectrum and
>> it all goes downhill fast from there . . .
>
> If that's true, I think it already would have happened. The US is one of
> only a very few countries which has mandated "mode sub-bands".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

The US also has one of the highest ham populations in the world. And human nature being what it is, there needs to be some type of discipline on the bands as to what goes where.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4101. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:42:48 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Y6adnUce8qEG6FnfRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 111335

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120170588.027772.135250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> It certainly does many of the techs get tired of being told how we NED
> to upgrade, just at at your own words.
>

Nope, never told anyone that they need to upgrade just for upgrading's sake.
I have however helped them learn what privileges are available should they
choose to do so.

> My first contact from the ARRL was a letter telling me how I needed to
> upgrade

Never received such a letter myself and do not know its content so cannot
comment on it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4102. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:42:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Jz_we.14180$pa3.12068@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111334

"Radio Hero" <hero@storyheroho.org> wrote in message
news:da1c3r$j24$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:90Wwe.11794$hK3.1541@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote
>>
>>> Just curious, what did you use for a BFO to copy Morse?
>>
>> Hey, I was a pre-teen kid, not a ham. Didn't know WTF was a BFO, but if
>> you held a finger on the metal skin of the third tube from the right in
>> back the Morse would be heard as a sort of buzzy hum. Worked for me and
>> my brother.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>
>
> Nice attempt to spin an answer Hans but no cigar.
> Did you ever try that "metal skin" thing down at the
> Legion Hall?
>
>
>

I never tried that....but I listened to the thumps in the speaker and
figured out something was missing. A few years later I 'larned' about
BFO's.

Dan/W4NTI

4103. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:48:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HE_we.12329$hK3.1583@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111336

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> Canada, IIRC, has less than 1/10th the number of
> hams as the USA, spread out across a larger area.

90% of all Canadians live within 75 miles of the USA, a distance trivial to HF propagation.

> Yet the Canadian HF ham bands are virtually
> the same as the US ones.

Yes, they share the same bands with us, and without arbitrary in-band segments based on mode or bandwidth. On 160M, 80M, 40M, 20M, 17M, 15M, and 12M they are limited to a 6kHz bandwidth signal anywhere inside the band. On 30M they are limited to 1kHz bandwidth, and on 10M they are limited to 20kHz bandwidth.

Since, as you point out, their bands are virtually the same ones we use right next door, certainly we'd know about any problems with their style of regulation.

> the Canadian amateur power limit.

2.25 KW PEP output on SSB, 750W output on other modes. Certainly sufficient to spill outside their southern border.

> It seems to me that it's more spectrum-efficient to
> have like modes together, rather than mixed.

Our HF bands are hardly congested, and as the "worldwide-except-USA" experience shows, hams have pretty well figured out how to share the spectrum without governments imposing mode/bandwidth segments on them.

> IMHO, narrow and wide modes do not coexist well. That alone
> is a good reason to have subbands-by-mode, or at least
> subbands-by-bandwidth, on the ham bands.

Morse (a "narrow" mode) is allowed on all MF/HF frequencies except the 60M channels. Have you seen any problems caused by that?

In Canada SSB is allowed anywhere on any MF/HF frequency. Have you heard reports of problems with that? Europe (much more densly populated than US or Canada) doesn't seem to have mode coexistance problems.

It's time FCC quits micromanaging our assigned spectrum.

> btw, Hans, when are you going to submit your restructuring proposal to
> FCC?

It's in their hands.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4104. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 22:59:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3P_we.2179$8f7.1399@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111337

"Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote

>
> Power limits: Basic licence: 250W; Advanced licence: 1KW.
>

Industry Canada RIC-2, Article 10.2 states this:

" The holder of an Amateur Radio Operator Certificate with Basic and Advanced Qualifications is limited to a maximum transmitting power of:

(a) where expressed as direct-current input power, 1,000 W to the anode or collector circuit of the transmitter stage that supplies radio frequency energy to the antenna; or

(b) where expressed as radio-frequency output power measured across an impedance-matched load,

(i) 2,250 W peak envelope power for transmitters that produce any type of single sideband
emission, or

(ii) 750 W carrier power for transmitters that produce any other type of emission."

4105. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:02:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iS_we.2183$8f7.1716@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111338

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3P_we.2179$8f7.1399@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Power limits: Basic licence: 250W; Advanced licence: 1KW.
>>
>
> Industry Canada RIC-2, Article 10.2 states this:
>
>
> " The holder of an Amateur Radio Operator Certificate with Basic and
> Advanced Qualifications is limited to a maximum transmitting power of:
>
> (a) where expressed as direct-current input power, 1,000 W to the anode or
> collector circuit of the transmitter stage that supplies radio frequency
> energy to the antenna; or
>
> (b) where expressed as radio-frequency output power measured across an
> impedance-matched load,
>
> (i) 2,250 W peak envelope power for transmitters that produce any type
> of single sideband
> emission, or
>
> (ii) 750 W carrier power for transmitters that produce any other type of
> emission."
>

I notice that RIC-3 limits "Basic" licensees to 250W.

4106. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:08:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <l8n3v3bjv8j3ric.300620051608@kirk>
Source record: 111339

that is dumb

my cb linear puts out more than all that added together

"KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3P_we.2179$8f7.1399@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Power limits: Basic licence: 250W; Advanced licence: 1KW.
>>
>
> Industry Canada RIC-2, Article 10.2 states this:
>
>
> " The holder of an Amateur Radio Operator Certificate with Basic
> and Advanced Qualifications is limited to a maximum transmitting
> power of:
>
> (a) where expressed as direct-current input power, 1,000 W to the
> anode or collector circuit of the transmitter stage that supplies
> radio frequency energy to the antenna; or
>
> (b) where expressed as radio-frequency output power measured
> across an impedance-matched load,
>
> (i) 2,250 W peak envelope power for transmitters that produce
> any type of single sideband
> emission, or
>
> (ii) 750 W carrier power for transmitters that produce any
> other type of emission."
>
>
>
>

4107. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:12:47 UTC
From: Freebander <freebander@freeband.org>
Message-ID: <mfld2z6gvaiqarl.300620051612@kirk>
Source record: 111341

limits are great

i always stay on the freaks from 2mhz to 30ghz

except for my pirate am broadcast station

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:PqCdnSDr-rml6VnfRVn-pg@comcast.com...
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:Q3Ywe.2085$8f7.918@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>>>
>>> 97.3 Here are your authorized frequency bands. (list)
>>> Stay inside of them.
>>>
>>> 97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and
>>> communicate and do public service and talk to strangers
>>> in far away lands and launch communications satellites
>>> into space and any other cool technical "radio stuff"
>>> you may think up. The government doesn't
>>> care what mode you use for any of this. (See 97.3)
>>
>>> . . . wall-to-wall Pactor and spread-spectrum and
>>> it all goes downhill fast from there . . .
>>
>> If that's true, I think it already would have happened. The US is
>> one of only a very few countries which has mandated "mode
>> sub-bands".
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> The US also has one of the highest ham populations in the world.
> And human nature being what it is, there needs to be some type of
> discipline on the bands as to what goes where.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

4108. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:14:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <v1%we.1638$aY6.1403@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111340

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:nN6dnV2qU9fX71nfRVn-oA@comcast.com...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:e7Wwe.2043$8f7.1035@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in
>>
>>> Ye gawds Hans, no 115vac until you were 8-9 years old??! That would
>>> have been in the 1958-59 timeframe and REA had just gotten to your
>>> neighborhood then?? WTF . . ?!! Or were you in Guatemala??
>>
>> We got REA in the summer of 1954 when I was 14 years old. Running water
>> too. (I was 8 or 9 when I learned Morse.)
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> There were a lot of rural areas like that. I lived on a farm in Iowa from
> the age of 6 months to 10 years old and there was no electricity or
> running water there. It was kerosene lamps and carry water from the pump.
> There were electrical lines in the area, just not to our farm. And from
> the numbers above, I see that I am about 10 years younger than Hans.
>
> Hey Hans, looks like there's a bunch of city slickers in here!
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Been following this thread with increased interest....just have to throw in my two cents worth.

Years ago.,..must have been in the mid to late 50s I would spend time with my Aunt Kay and Uncle Frank. They lived in the old original family home in Leetonia Ohio. No indoor plumbing, no heat, a shed for the Model T that still ran, and a special place with the Sears catalog.

Winters were the most interesting...with the feather beds and pillows and quilts so thick it would bury my little body so deep I looked like I was part of the bed.

Frozen bed pans, contemplation of the ''quick'' run to the Sears catalog shed in the middle of the night. Brrrrrrrrrrrr......

And yes I had to walk to school ....but it was only a mile or so.

Dan/W4NTI

4109. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:16:58 UTC
From: Freebander <freebander@freeband.org>
Message-ID: <74y3nfe8ln4gv82.300620051617@kirk>
Source record: 111343

or how even though there were starving in the cold they managed to
come up with enough food for the children

or how they had to walk to school 50 miles in the snow as a child

or how they donate their time at the homeless shelter and buy kids
toys at christmas

then wonder how such 'nice' people can look like damn mean stoopid
liars

"Radio Hero" <hero@storyheroho.org> wrote in message
news:da1fln$2qm$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "KXHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:jSWwe.2050$8f7.1953@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Radio Hero" <hero@storyheroho.org> wrote
>>>
>>> Hans used to walk eight miles to school in bare feet...
>>>
>>
>> Actually only about 3/4-mile. Wildwood School, District 28, one
>> room grades 1-8, but rarely kids in every grade, average enrollment
>> about 10 kids. Teacher was Mrs. Isabelle Schneider. At 9th grade
>> you went to "town school" on a yellow bus. During blizzards the
>> bus didn't take you home, so you stayed at your "storm home" ---
>> all the farm kids were assigned one of those.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>
>
> Hmmm, not enough "action" or "Radio Hero" stuff, if you stick to
> this
> story line. When you spin this story down at the Legion Hall, work
> in
> how you saved all the kids, using a 32v hand wired radio.
>
>

4110. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:17:20 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <5ku8c1l0vnu02tpc86o561ua2sbt084065@4ax.com>
Source record: 111342

On Thu, 30 Jun 2005 22:59:11 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Power limits: Basic licence: 250W; Advanced licence: 1KW.
>>
>
>Industry Canada RIC-2, Article 10.2 states this:
>
>
> " The holder of an Amateur Radio Operator Certificate with Basic and Advanced
>Qualifications is limited to a maximum transmitting power of:
>
> (a) where expressed as direct-current input power, 1,000 W to the anode or
>collector circuit of the transmitter stage that supplies radio frequency energy
>to the antenna; or
>
> (b) where expressed as radio-frequency output power measured across an
>impedance-matched load,
>
> (i) 2,250 W peak envelope power for transmitters that produce any type of
>single sideband
>emission, or
>
> (ii) 750 W carrier power for transmitters that produce any other type of
>emission."
>

That is the full version alright - which applies to our Advanced
licence. I prefer to use the 'input to the final' limits myself, as
they are easily calculated using the plate voltmeter and ammeter.

RIC-2's wording is odd - 10.2 refers to the holder of 'both the Basic
and Advanced" license. As you cannot hold the Advanced without first
obtaining (and continuing to hold - you collect the whole set up
here!) the Basic, these are therefore the limits for holders of the
Advanced licence.

The limits for those holding only the Basic licence are covered under
10.1:

10.1 Amateur Radio Operator Certificate with Basic Qualification
The holder of an Amateur Radio Operator Certificate with Basic

Qualification is limited to a maximum transmitting power of:

(a) where expressed as direct-current input power, 250 W to the anode or collector circuit of the transmitter stage that supplies radio frequency energy to the antenna; or

(b) where expressed as radio-frequency output power measured across an impedance-matched load,

(i) 560 W peak envelope power for transmitters that produce any type of single sideband emission, or

(ii) 190 W carrier power for transmitters that produce any other type of emission.

I should have clarified that I was referring to 'input power' in my reply - my omission there!

73, Leo

4111. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:29:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Pf%we.2189$8f7.449@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111344

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> And human nature being what it is, there needs to be
> some type of discipline on the bands as to what goes where.

Sounds like an excerpt from a religious catechism!

Is "human nature" different in the USA? For that matter, is "human nature" different between 1.8 and 2.0 MHz, where US hams themselves provide the "type of discipline" to sort things out without FCC micromanaging it?

73, de Hans, K0HB

4112. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:34:30 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1120174470.830278.308570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111345

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
> >
> > 97.3 Here are your authorized frequency bands. (list)
> > Stay inside of them.
> >
> > 97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and
> > communicate and do public service and talk to strangers
> > in far away lands and launch communications satellites
> > into space and any other cool technical "radio stuff"
> > you may think up. The government doesn't
> > care what mode you use for any of this. (See 97.3)
>
> > . . . wall-to-wall Pactor and spread-spectrum and
> > it all goes downhill fast from there . . .
>
> If that's true, I think it already would have happened.

Pactor is already in the HF bands, it's a royal pain in the tush and the FCC will probably bring it's use under control based on all the griping about it.

Considering the rapid pace of development work in the field of high-speed wireless comms I expect it'll become technically much easier as time goes on to get some form or another of wireless broadband hardware running in the HF ham bands. Which would immediately raise more hell on the bands than anything we've seen in the modern era. Which it hasn't and it won't because those modes are not allowed to happen under the current regs. So I disagree with your "unregulated equal opportunity mode playground" concept.

> The US is one of only a
> very few countries which has mandated "mode sub-bands".

No counter, we've been very pointedly marching to our own drummer since 1776 and I sure hope we never become international sheep.

We have a whole pile of sweeping "restructuring" schemes before the FCC and everybody is all atwitter over the minutia and their parochial hot buttons, etc. The usual. Lotta nonsense, I have yet to read one of 'em which if implemented wouldn't make conditions on the bands *worse* in some way or another than what we have now. The bands ain't broken, why are we so hell-bent on "fixing" something which ain't broke??

Bleh.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

4113. Re: "Robber's Son" Denial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:35:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1120174519.738004.261700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111346

Dee Flint wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120170588.027772.135250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > It certainly does many of the techs get tired of being told how we NED
> > to upgrade, just at at your own words.
> >

and do you even know how many such leures tech receive?
>
> Nope, never told anyone that they need to upgrade just for upgrading's sake.
> I have however helped them learn what privileges are available should they
> choose to do so.

They learned those very likely studing for the tech License
>
> > My first contact from the ARRL was a letter telling me how I needed to
> > upgrade
>
> Never received such a letter myself and do not know its content so cannot
> comment on it.

You can of course but choose not to
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4114. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:35:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <sl%we.14191$pa3.876@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111347

There are other ways to copy CW other than audio. And I am not talking
about a computer. Lights are one, vibration is another.

Dan/W4NTI

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:da0nll$o8k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:k6WdnW5_PK8_zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>What is more important:
>>>>>
>>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>YMMV
>>>>
>>>>I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>>>
>>>Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus, and
>>>getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go by
>>>("flying behind the plane")
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>> As I have mentioned before, my ex had a 70% hearing loss in each ear and
>> tinnitus in both ears. Yet he passed the code. He just cranked the
>> volume up and used headphones. If he can do it, anyone can.
>
> I won't deny it can be done - obviously, since my problems are similar. I
> doubt I'll ever be proficient at Morse though. To get an idea of what it
> is like for me, imagine concentrating as hard as you can on something. Can
> I do it? Sure. But not for extended periods.
>

> Certainly turning up the headphones helps, but the levels I use are
> fatiguing, and they sometimes annoy the other ops.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

4115. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:37:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <5n%we.14192$pa3.3206@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111348

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:8NSdnex7ce8A7VnfRVn-jw@comcast.com...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:da0nll$o8k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>> news:k6WdnW5_PK8_zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com...
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>What is more important:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>YMMV
>>>>>
>>>>>I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>>>>
>>>>Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus, and
>>>>getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go by
>>>>("flying behind the plane")
>>>>
>>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>> As I have mentioned before, my ex had a 70% hearing loss in each ear and
>>> tinnitus in both ears. Yet he passed the code. He just cranked the
>>> volume up and used headphones. If he can do it, anyone can.
>>
>> I won't deny it can be done - obviously, since my problems are similar. I
>> doubt I'll ever be proficient at Morse though. To get an idea of what it
>> is like for me, imagine concentrating as hard as you can on something.
>> Can I do it? Sure. But not for extended periods.
>>
>> Certainly turning up the headphones helps, but the levels I use are
```

>> fatiguing, and they sometimes annoy the other ops.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> I understand that completely. If my ex was practicing code without the
> headphones, I had to leave not only the room, but that floor of the house.
> If he was using headphones, I could hear it more than well enough to copy
> his practice sessions. The point is that he passed the test.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Exactly Dee.....these anti-code dunderheads don't get it. It is mostly a matter of dedication and persistence to learn Morse. They obviously have neither.

Dan/W4NTI

4116. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:39:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3p%we.14193$pa3.4665@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111349

Mike,

Send me an e-mail. My spam catcher will get ya. Follow the instructions
and I will see it.

Lets discuss how to get you going on CW.

Dan/W4NTI

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1120099525.568694.131330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> >
>> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >
>> >>What is more important:
>> >>
>> >> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>> >
>> >
>> > YMMV
>> >
>> > I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>>
>> Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus, and
>> getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go by
>> ("flying behind the plane")
>
> Yes there was and remains a problem, 2 of them One Dyslexia, theother
> dyslexiod aphasia. they affect at basic level my use of letters and
> langauge. Morse given me chance to blow each letter
>
> Ole Stevie et all like to make fun of me for it
>
> The only good thing I can say for Morse was that trying to learn it
> gave a clue to one of the pople that admistered a code test who was
> able to guess at and begin the dianostic process at an earlier than was
> common then
>>

>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

4117. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:40:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <5q%we.14195$pa3.9203@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111350

This dolt is not only anti cw, but he is anti Amateur Radio. Why does this
NOT surprise me?

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:f_Fwe.4$zi6.702556@news.sisna.com...
> Learn the code-get the license-forget cw and lobby to ditch the damn
> ancient waste of time...
>
> Join NCI No-Code International.
> Write your congressman and complain public funds are supporting only a
> handful of code using radio hobbyists!
>
> Complain, complain, complain...
>
> John
>
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1120077019.487688.112240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>> What is more important:
>>>
>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>
>>>
>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>
>>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>
>> Waiting for the code test to go away to get HF privs kinda reminds me
>> of my old uncle who until the day he passed away ten or so years ago
>> was still waiting for his Pennsylvania Railroad stock go back up and
>> he'd make a wad.
>>
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> w3rv
>>
>
>

4118. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:42:32 UTC
From: Freebander <freebander@freeband.org>
Message-ID: <uyynd3sramrzy5i.300620051642@kirk>
Source record: 111358

anyday now we will change our minds and love code

you just wait right there now

we will get back to you shortly

[sound of phone going on hold]

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:5n%we.14192$pa3.3206@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:8NSdnex7ce8A7VnfRVn-jw@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:da0nll$o8k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>
>>>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:k6WdnW5_PK8_zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com...
>>>>
>>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>What is more important:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>YMMV
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>>>>>
>>>>>Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with
>>>>>Tinnitus, and getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest
>>>>>of the message go by ("flying behind the plane")
>>>>>
>>>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>
>>>>

>>>> As I have mentioned before, my ex had a 70% hearing loss in each
>>>> ear and tinnitus in both ears. Yet he passed the code. He just
>>>> cranked the volume up and used headphones. If he can do it,
>>>> anyone can.
>>>
>>> I won't deny it can be done - obviously, since my problems are
>>> similar. I doubt I'll ever be proficient at Morse though. To get
>>> an idea of what it is like for me, imagine concentrating as hard
>>> as you can on something. Can I do it? Sure. But not for extended
>>> periods.
>>>
>>> Certainly turning up the headphones helps, but the levels I use
>>> are fatiguing, and they sometimes annoy the other ops.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>
>> I understand that completely. If my ex was practicing code without
>> the headphones, I had to leave not only the room, but that floor of
>> the house. If he was using headphones, I could hear it more than
>> well enough to copy his practice sessions. The point is that he
>> passed the test.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
> Exactly Dee.....these anti-code dunderheads don't get it. It is
> mostly a matter of dedication and persistence to learn Morse. They
> obviously have neither.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>

4119. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:44:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tt%we.2194$8f7.2062@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111351

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote

> and a special place with the Sears catalog.

Those shiny pages were hell! Always loved it when mom bought a couple lugs of peaches for canning. Those tissue-like wrappings were a wonder to use. Always thought that in heaven all the outhouses must be equipped with peach wrappers year-round, even when peaches were out of season. (Maybe peaches are always "in season" in heaven?)

> Winters were the most interesting...with the feather beds and pillows and
> quilts so thick it would bury my little body so deep I looked like I was part
> of the bed.

My wife is a city girl. After we were married we spent some time (in February) visiting the folks on the farm. First morning it was a wonder to see her hurrying to get dressed before parts were frozen. Then I asked her to toss me my shirt and trousers and I demonstrated how to get fully dressed >BEFORE< crawling out from under the quilt!

73, de Hans, K0HB

4120. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:44:25 UTC
From: Freebander <freebander@freeband.org>
Message-ID: <zsymobgjals4dcj.300620051644@kirk>
Source record: 111369

u just wait right there now

we will anxiously follow your instructions to the letter and email you
back quickly

wait right there now

[sound of crumpling paper and a pen being dropped]

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:3p%we.14193$pa3.4665@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Mike,
>
> Send me an e-mail. My spam catcher will get ya. Follow the
> instructions and I will see it.
>
> Lets discuss how to get you going on CW.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1120099525.568694.131330@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> >
>>> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>>> >
>>> >>What is more important:
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > YMMV
>>> >
>>> > I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>>>
>>> Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with
>>> Tinnitus, and
>>> getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message
>>> go by

>>> ("flying behind the plane")
>>
>> Yes there was and remains a problem, 2 of them One Dyslexia,
>> theother
>> dyslexiod aphasia. they affect at basic level my use of letters and
>> langauge. Morse given me chance to blow each letter
>>
>> Ole Stevie et all like to make fun of me for it
>>
>> The only good thing I can say for Morse was that trying to learn it
>> gave a clue to one of the pople that admistered a code test who was
>> able to guess at and begin the dianostic process at an earlier than
>> was
>> common then
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
>

4121. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:46:34 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uv%we.2195$8f7.717@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111352

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> Hey Hans, looks like there's a bunch of city slickers in here!

Buncha sissies!

73, de Hans, K0HB

4122. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:47:35 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <LLadnZXLYLAKGVnfRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111353

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:da0nll$o8k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>news:k6WdnW5_PK8_zV7fRVn-jQ@adelphia.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>What is more important:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>YMMV
>>>>>
>>>>>I do not face that choice at all Itried for years to learn
>>>>
>>>>Was there a specific problem? I had a lot of trouble with Tinnitus, and
>>>>getting hung up on one letter, and letting the rest of the message go by
>>>>("flying behind the plane")
>>>>
>>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>As I have mentioned before, my ex had a 70% hearing loss in each ear and
>>>tinnitus in both ears. Yet he passed the code. He just cranked the
>>>volume up and used headphones. If he can do it, anyone can.
>>
>>I won't deny it can be done - obviously, since my problems are similar. I
>>doubt I'll ever be proficient at Morse though. To get an idea of what it
>>is like for me, imagine concentrating as hard as you can on something. Can
```

>>I do it? Sure. But not for extended periods.
>>
>>Certainly turning up the headphones helps, but the levels I use are
>>fatiguing, and they sometimes annoy the other ops.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> I understand that completely. If my ex was practicing code without the
> headphones, I had to leave not only the room, but that floor of the house.
> If he was using headphones, I could hear it more than well enough to copy
> his practice sessions. The point is that he passed the test.

Of course. Passing the test is the main point. My XYL-who has very,
very, good hearing, can actually listen to the television by the
residual sound coming out of my headphones! Problem is it is a little
biased toward the high frequencies that way. Most of the time we
compromise and turn on the closed captioning.

The acuteness of her hearing is such is that she can hear soap bubbles
in the dishwater "popping" in the living room, which is about thirty
feet from the kitchen.And I cannot hear them under any circumstances. We
both feel bad for each other! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

- Mike KB3EIA -

4123. Re: Another Restructuring Proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:49:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1120175372.844874.109180@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 111356

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > Canada, IIRC, has less than 1/10th the number of
> > hams as the USA, spread out across a larger area.
>
> 90% of all Canadians live within 75 miles of the USA, a
> distance trivial to HF propagation.

Within 75 miles of the border, maybe. But not within 75 miles of most
US hams.

> > Yet the Canadian HF ham bands are virtually
> > the same as the US ones.
>
> Yes, they share the same bands with us, and without arbitrary
> in-band segments based on mode or bandwidth.

But they do have arbitrary bandwidth limits.

> On 160M, 80M, 40M, 20M, 17M, 15M, and 12M they are
> limited to a 6kHz bandwidth signal anywhere inside the band.
> On 30M they are
> limited to 1kHz bandwidth, and on 10M they are limited to 20kHz > bandwidth.
>
> Since, as you point out, their bands are virtually the same
> ones we use right
> next door, certainly we'd know about any problems with their
> style of regulation.

They have far fewer hams than the USA, spread out over a larger area.
>
> > the Canadian amateur power limit.
>
> 2.25 KW PEP output on SSB, 750W output on other modes.
> Certainly sufficient to
> spill outside their southern border.
>
> > It seems to me that it's more spectrum-efficient to
> > have like modes together, rather than mixed.
>
> Our HF bands are hardly congested,

If they're not congested, why change the rules?

> and as the "worldwide-except-> USA" experience
> shows, hams have pretty well figured out how to share the
> spectrum without
> governments imposing mode/bandwidth segments on them.

What works in the country (low-density-of-hams places) won't
necessarily work in the city (high-density-of-hams places).

An analogy:

Most of the rest of the developed world places far more restrictions on
the ownership of firearms than the USA. And they have far less violent
crime, too. Since that seems to work for them, would you propose the
USA adopt such restrictions?

> > IMHO, narrow and wide modes do not coexist well. That alone
> > is a good reason to have subbands-by-mode, or at least
> > subbands-by-bandwidth, on the ham bands.
>
> Morse (a "narrow" mode) is allowed on all MF/HF frequencies
> except the 60M
> channels. Have you seen any problems caused by that?

Nope - because Morse operators, in general, voluntarily stay out of the
'phone/image subbands.

> In Canada SSB is allowed anywhere on any MF/HF frequency. Have > you heard
> reports of problems with that?

I've experienced problems with that personally on 40 meters, from hams
both north and south of the USA. Region 2 hams, who have 7000 to 7300,
but operate high-power SSB on 7050 and lower.

'Bandplan? We don't need no steenkin' bandplan!'

> Europe (much more densly populated than US or
> Canada) doesn't seem to have mode coexistance problems.

How many hams in Europe? How many with HF stations?

> It's time FCC quits micromanaging our assigned spectrum.

I disagree.

The proposal is similar to the idea of allowing walkers, runners,
skateboarders, cyclists, motorcycles, cars, light trucks, buses and 18
wheelers to all use the interstates - with no speed or lane limits.
>
> > btw, Hans, when are you going to submit your restructuring
> > proposal to FCC?
>
> It's in their hands.

You mean it was formally submitted as a restructuring proposal in the
past year or two?

Or do you mean your comments of several years ago?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4124. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:50:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Ny%we.14200$pa3.10807@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111357

"ham radio truth" <laughbox77@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:26dfb$42c352af$d1cc492a$21898@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> What is more important:
>>
>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>
>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>
>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a better
>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> YES to CW or NO to CW makes no difference whatsoever Mike.
> Not at this stage of the game. Ham radio is a dying hobby, period.
>
> The average age of the USA ham operator is a staggering 64 Years.
> There are FIVE TIMES more hams dying off per month than there
> are new hams comming into the hobby and license renewals combined.
>

So? we concentrate on the group of folks that have the TIME to do Ham Radio
these days. The retired or soon to be retired group. Let the youth text
all they want, chase women, find drugs....so what.

> 80% of young people 2-day have text messaging cellphones.
> Also there's AOL Instant Messenger or similar Chatroom software
> plus Apple IPOD Podcasting and similar technology. (just wait till
> the wireless IPOD hits around October 2005 just in time for xmas!)
>

None of which has a thing to do with Ham Radio.

> What young person, apart from the occasional geek, would want to
> invest time and money in archaic, obsolete, analog technology based
> ham radio in 2005? Oh yes there will be a few, but for the most part
> today's young people wouldn't know ham radio from CB and could
> not care less either.
>

Ham Radio is and always has been a group of radio geeks. Only recently has
this become a "problem". I see no problem with a much smaller, more
dedicated group. We don't need 700,000 licensed hams if only a small

percentage are actually licensed. As a matter of fact I believe you will find that the membership of the ARRL are the REAL ACTIVE AMATEURS. Not the give a way Tech ticket. These are the folks that wanted a free cell phone. Go for it.
Real hams know what this hobby/service is supposed to be. The rest of you are at the bottom of the learning curve.

Perhaps if you would pay attention to those that have been there and KNOW what its about....your life would be a bit easier (?).

> Tune across HF any evening and tell me how many young people
> you hear on SSB. Most of the guys I hear on 75 Meters are long
> retired and most callsigns I recall from just 10 Years ago are either
> in the local nursing home or 6 feet under the earth.
>

Which is exactly how 75 has been since the 1950s. Or earlier for all I know.

YOUR POINT IS?

> Read the handwriting boys. At this rate Ham Radio will be dead
> by 2030.
>
No it wont. Changed.....but not dead. You of course will be long gone. Good riddance.

Dan/W4NTI

4125. Re: realize this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:50:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <LLadnZTLYLCnGFnfRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 111355

bb wrote:
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>-there is nothing new to be discovered
>>>
>>>-we amateurs are great
>>>
>>>-our shit does not stink
>>>
>>>-we know everything
>>>
>>>-books written by the ARRL should have the same status as the Koran,
>>>anyone caught flushing one down a toilet should be electrocuted with a
>>>160 meter carrier
>>>
>>>-the fewer hams the better, we don't want our bands cluttered
>>
>>
>> Snort!
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Will the real Mike Colso please stand up?

Tis very very strange, eh Brian?

- Mike KB3EIA -

4126. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:51:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3A%we.14204$pa3.8447@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111354

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:3-qdnQwYNdOrxl7fRVn-1w@comcast.com...
>
> "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY"
> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:jydrrl3q7ldnc5k.290620051907@kirk...
>> exactly
>>
>> but only a couple of guys here even have a clue
>>
>> that is a problem
>>
>> "ham radio truth" <laughbox77@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:26dfb$42c352af$d1cc492a$21898@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>>
>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>> What is more important:
>>>>
>>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>
>>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>
>>>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
>>>> better
>>>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>> YES to CW or NO to CW makes no difference whatsoever Mike.
>>> Not at this stage of the game. Ham radio is a dying hobby, period.
>>>
>>> The average age of the USA ham operator is a staggering 64 Years.
>>> There are FIVE TIMES more hams dying off per month than there
>>> are new hams comming into the hobby and license renewals combined.
>>>
>>> 80% of young people 2-day have text messaging cellphones.
>>> Also there's AOL Instant Messenger or similar Chatroom software
>>> plus Apple IPOD Podcasting and similar technology. (just wait till
>>> the wireless IPOD hits around October 2005 just in time for xmas!)
>>>
>>> What young person, apart from the occasional geek, would want to
>>> invest time and money in archaic, obsolete, analog technology based
>>> ham radio in 2005? Oh yes there will be a few, but for the most part
```

>>> today's young people wouldn't know ham radio from CB and could
>>> not care less either.
>>>
>>> Tune across HF any evening and tell me how many young people
>>> you hear on SSB. Most of the guys I hear on 75 Meters are long
>>> retired and most callsigns I recall from just 10 Years ago are either
>>> in the local nursing home or 6 feet under the earth.
>>>
>>> Read the handwriting boys. At this rate Ham Radio will be dead
>>> by 2030.
>
> Well most of the hams I know, including myself, have pretty good odds of
> still being alive and kicking in 2030.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
Maybe by then you and I and/or the OM will have a QSO....hi.

Dan/W4NTI

4127. Re: Question for the Morse code Haters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-06-30 23:52:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <QA%we.14208$pa3.3243@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 111359

I talk with a lot of late 80 and early 90 year old hams. You need to wake
up and smell the roses me boy.

Dan/W4NTI

"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:xehy40rgttpme82.290620051950@kirk...
> let me see-the mean age for hams is approx 65-if we add 25 years 65+25=90
>
> since the lifespan of men is shorter than females-you are joking right
>
> have you QSO'ed with many 90 year old hams in resthomes-that must be
> exciting
>
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:3-qdnQwYNdOrxl7fRVn-1w@comcast.com...
>>
>> "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY"
>> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:jydrrl3q7ldnc5k.290620051907@kirk...
>>> exactly
>>>
>>> but only a couple of guys here even have a clue
>>>
>>> that is a problem
>>>
>>> "ham radio truth" <laughbox77@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:26dfb$42c352af$d1cc492a$21898@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>>>
>>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>>> news:d9u52v$17v8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>>> What is more important:
>>>>>
>>>>> 1. Having a license that allows HF access.
>>>>>
>>>>> 2. Not having to learn Morse code.
>>>>>
>>>>> IOW, is standing on principle, and refusing to learn Morse code a
>>>>> better
>>>>> thing than learning it to get the priveliges?
>>>>>
>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>

>>>> YES to CW or NO to CW makes no difference whatsoever Mike.
>>>> Not at this stage of the game. Ham radio is a dying hobby, period.
>>>>
>>>> The average age of the USA ham operator is a staggering 64 Years.
>>>> There are FIVE TIMES more hams dying off per month than there
>>>> are new hams comming into the hobby and license renewals combined.
>>>>
>>>> 80% of young people 2-day have text messaging cellphones.
>>>> Also there's AOL Instant Messenger or similar Chatroom software
>>>> plus Apple IPOD Podcasting and similar technology. (just wait till
>>>> the wireless IPOD hits around October 2005 just in time for xmas!)
>>>>
>>>> What young person, apart from the occasional geek, would want to
>>>> invest time and money in archaic, obsolete, analog technology based
>>>> ham radio in 2005? Oh yes there will be a few, but for the most part
>>>> today's young people wouldn't know ham radio from CB and could
>>>> not care less either.
>>>>
>>>> Tune across HF any evening and tell me how many young people
>>>> you hear on SSB. Most of the guys I hear on 75 Meters are long
>>>> retired and most callsigns I recall from just 10 Years ago are either
>>>> in the local nursing home or 6 feet under the earth.
>>>>
>>>> Read the handwriting boys. At this rate Ham Radio will be dead
>>>> by 2030.
>>
>> Well most of the hams I know, including myself, have pretty good odds of
>> still being alive and kicking in 2030.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>